AXT INC Form 10-Q August 13, 2007

# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, DC. 20549

### **FORM 10-Q**

(Mark One)

x Quarterly report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2007

or

o Transition report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

for the transition period from to

Commission File Number 000-24085

### AXT, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

### **DELAWARE**

(State or other jurisdiction of Incorporation or organization)

94-3031310

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

4281 Technology Drive, Fremont, California 94538

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip code)

(510) 683-5900

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. YES x NO o

Indicate by checkmark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer o

Accelerated filer O

Non-accelerated filer X

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). YES o NO x

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer s classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Class Outstanding at July 31, 2007

Common Stock, \$0.001 par value

30,021,987

### AXT, INC. FORM 10-Q TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION	3
Item 1. Financial Statements (unaudited)	3
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006	3
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006	4
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006	5
Notes To Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	6
Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	15
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	28
Item 4T. Controls and Procedures	29
PART II. OTHER INFORMATION	30
Item 1. Legal Proceedings	30
Item 1A. Risk Factors	30
Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	30
Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities	30
Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	31
Item 5. Other Information	31
Item 6. Exhibits	32
<u>Signatures</u>	33

### PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

### **Item 1. Financial Statements**

## AXT, INC. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Unaudited, in thousands, except per share data)

	June 30, 2007		December 31 2006	
Assets:				
Current assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	15,416	\$	16,116
Short-term investments	18,26	52	19,	428
Accounts receivable, net of allowances of \$674 and \$140 as of June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006,				
respectively	9,882	2	9,6	58
Inventories, net	24,95	53	20,	263
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	4,121		3,9	35
Assets held for sale	5,140	)	4,6	59
Total current assets	77,77	74	74,	109
Property, plant and equipment, net	14,81	14	12,	775
Restricted deposits	6,850	)	7,1	50
Other assets	4,843	3	4,2	98
Total assets	\$	104,281	\$	98,332
Liabilities and stockholders equity:				
Current liabilities:				
Accounts payable	\$	2,141	\$	3,764
Accrued liabilities	3,426	5	3,3	58
Current portion of long-term debt	450		450	
Income taxes payable	335		178	
Total current liabilities	6,352	2	7,7	
Long-term debt, net of current portion	6,456	5	6,8	39
Other long-term liabilities	2,592	2	2,5	43
Total liabilities	15,40	00	17,	132
Commitments and contingencies (Note 11)				
Stockholders equity:				
Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value per share; 2,000 shares authorized; 883 shares issued and outstanding				
as of June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006.	3,532	2	3,5	32
Common stock, \$0.001 par value per share; 70,000 shares authorized; 29,975 and 29,011 shares issued				
and outstanding as of June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively	30		29	
Additional paid-in capital	184,9	988	180	,936
Accumulated deficit	(101,	275	) (10	3,832
Accumulated other comprehensive income	1,606	5	535	
Total stockholders equity	88,88	31	81,	200
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$	104,281	\$	98,332

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

## AXT, INC. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(Unaudited, in thousands, except per share data)

		ree Months ine 30, 07	Ende				Months Ei ine 30, 07	nded 200	06
Revenue	\$	13,639	\$	10,35	5	\$	26,165	\$	18,826
Cost of revenue	8,6	507	7,	596		15	,728	14	,557
Gross profit	5,0	)32	2,	759		10	,437	4,2	269
Operating expenses:									
Selling, general and administrative	3,7	743	3,	353		7,4	146	7,0	)83
Research and development	34	8	57	1		80	8	1,1	105
Recovery of impairment on assets held for sale	(48	31 )				(48	31 )		
Restructuring benefit								(2	)
Total operating expenses	3,6	510	4,4	124		7,7	773	8,1	186
Income (loss) from continuing operations	1,4	122	(1	,665	)	2,6	664	(3,	917 )
Interest income, net	22	5	11	1		44	9	23	9
Other income (expense), net	(27	72 )	81	4		(28	33 )	1,0	)52
Income (loss) before provision for income taxes	1,3	375	(7	40	)	2,8	330	(2,	(626)
Provision for income taxes	16	2	13	8		27	3	45	6
Income (loss) from continuing operations	1,2	213	(8	78	)	2,5	557	(3,	.082
Discontinued operations:									
Gain from discontinued operations, net of tax			2					3	
Net income (loss)	\$	1,213	\$	(876	)	\$	2,557	\$	(3,079)
Basic income (loss) per share:									
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$	0.04	\$	(0.04	)	\$	0.08	\$	(0.14)
Gain from discontinued operations, net of tax									
Net income (loss)	\$	0.04	\$	(0.04	)	\$	0.08	\$	(0.14)
Shares used in computing basic income (loss) per share	29	,943	23	,052		29	,871	23	,019
Diluted income (loss) per share:									
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$	0.04	\$	(0.04	)	\$	0.08	\$	(0.14)
Gain from discontinued operations, net of tax									
Net income (loss)	\$	0.04	\$	(0.04	)	\$	0.08	\$	(0.14)
Shares used in computing diluted income (loss) per share	31	,142	23	,052		31	,233	23	,019

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

## AXT, INC. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

### (Unaudited, in thousands)

	Six Mont June 2007	hs Endo 30,	ed	2006		
Cash flows from operating activities:						
Net income (loss)	\$	2,557		\$	(3,079	)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash used in operations:						
Depreciation	686			1,76	1	
Accretion of marketable securities premium/discount	(48		)	(30		)
Loss on disposal of property, plant and equipment				67		
Stock-based compensation	211			443		
Realized loss (gain) on sale of investments	29			(1,4)	38	)
Recovery of impairment on assets held for sale	(481		)			
Changes in assets and liabilities:						
Accounts receivable, net	(183		)	(2,2)	03	)
Inventories	(4,59	0	)	(36		)
Prepaid expenses	(36		)	(1,8	01	)
Other assets	(512		)	89		
Accounts payable	(1,65	6	)	383		
Accrued liabilities	51			(975	í	)
Income taxes payable	149			13		
Other long-term liabilities	(26		)	61		
Net cash used in operating activities	(3,84	9	)	(6,7	45	)
Cash flows from investing activities:						
Purchases of property, plant and equipment	(2,29)	8	)	(1,3)	31	)
Proceeds from disposal of property, plant and equipment				161		
Purchases of marketable securities	(10,2)	54	)	(4,6	97	)
Proceeds from sale of marketable securities	11,91	.1		5,98	5	
Decrease in restricted deposits	300			150		
Net cash used in investing activities	(341		)	268		
Cash flows from financing activities:						
Proceeds from issuance of common stock, net	3,842	2		298		
Long-term debt payments	(387		)	(318	}	)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	3,455	5		(20		)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	35			78		
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(700		)	(6,4	19	)
Cash and cash equivalents at the beginning of the period	16,11	6		17,4		
Cash and cash equivalents at the end of the period		15,416		\$	11,053	

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

## AXT, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

### Note 1. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements of AXT, Inc. (AXT, Company, we, us, and our refer to AXT, Inc. and all of its consolidated subsidiaries) are unaudited, and have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, the year-end condensed consolidated balance sheet data was derived from audited consolidated financial statements, but does not include all disclosures required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. In the opinion of our management, the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements reflect all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, considered necessary to present fairly the financial position, results of operations and cash flows of AXT and our subsidiaries for all periods presented.

Our management has made a number of estimates and assumptions relating to the reporting of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities to prepare these condensed consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Actual results could differ materially from those estimates.

The results of operations are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected in the future or for the full fiscal year. It is recommended that these condensed consolidated financial statements be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto included in our 2006 Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) on March 23, 2007 and our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the SEC on May 11, 2007.

### **Note 2. Discontinued Operations**

Our condensed consolidated financial statements have been presented to reflect the opto-electronics business as a discontinued operation for all periods presented. Operating results of the discontinued operation are as follows (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Er June 30,	nded
	2007	2006	2007	2006
Revenue	\$	\$	\$	\$
Cost of revenue				
Gross profit				
Operating expenses:				
Selling, general and administrative		(2	)	(3)
Total operating expenses				
Gain from operations, net of tax		2		3
Net income	\$	\$ 2	\$	\$ 3

In the first quarter of 2007, we dissolved the corporation that previously operated our discontinued operations and transferred the cash balance to our continuing operations. Accordingly, we no longer have discontinued operations. In the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, the \$2,000 and \$3,000, respectively, in income was interest earned on cash balances held in the discontinued operations.

The carrying value of the assets and liabilities of the discontinued opto-electronics business included in the condensed consolidated balance sheets was as follows (in thousands):

	June 30, 2007	Dece 2006	ember 31,
Current assets:			
Cash	\$	\$	395
Total current assets		395	
Total assets	\$	\$	395
Net assets		395	
Total liabilities and net assets	\$	\$	395

### **Note 3. Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation**

Effective January 1, 2006, we adopted the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123 (revised 2004), *Share-Based Payment*, (SFAS 123(R)). SFAS 123(R) establishes accounting for stock-based awards exchanged for employee services. Accordingly, stock-based compensation cost is measured at grant date, based on the fair value of the award, and is recognized as expense over the employee requisite service period. All of our stock compensation is accounted for as an equity instrument. We previously applied Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and related interpretations and provided the required proforma disclosures of SFAS No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*.

We elected the modified prospective transition method for adopting SFAS 123(R). Under this method, the provisions of SFAS 123(R) apply to all awards granted or modified after the date of adoption. The unrecognized expense of awards not yet vested at the date of adoption will be recognized in net income (loss) in the periods after the date of adoption using the same Black-Scholes valuation method and assumptions determined under the original provisions of SFAS No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*, as disclosed in our previous quarterly and annual reports.

#### Impact of the Adoption of SFAS 123(R)

Under the modified prospective application transition method as provided by SFAS 123(R), we recorded \$91,000 and \$186,000 of stock compensation expense in our unaudited condensed consolidated statements of operations for the three months ended June 30, 2007, and 2006, respectively, and \$211,000 and \$443,000 of stock compensation expense in our unaudited condensed consolidated statements of operations for the six months ended June 30, 2007, and 2006, respectively. We elected not to capitalize any stock-based compensation to inventory as of January 1, 2006 when the provisions of SFAS 123(R) were initially adopted. We utilized the Black-Scholes valuation model for estimating the fair value of the stock compensation granted both before and after the adoption of SFAS 123(R). The following table summarizes the compensation expense related to our stock-based compensation plan (in thousands, except per share data):

	Jun	ee Months e 30,				Jun			·	
Stock-based compensation in the form of employee stock options, included in:	200′	7	200	O		200		200	D	
Cost of revenue	\$	13	\$	32		\$	26	\$	60	
Selling, general and administrative	60	13	91	32		149		264		
Research and development	18		63			36		119		
Total stock-based compensation	91		186	I		211		443		
Tax effect on stock-based compensation										
Net effect on net income (loss)	\$	91	\$	186		\$	211	\$	443	
Effect on basic net income (loss) per share	\$	0.00	\$	(0.01	)	\$	0.01	\$	(0.02	
Effect on diluted net income (loss) per share	\$	0.00	\$	(0.01)	)	\$	0.01	\$	(0.02)	

### Stock options

As of June 30, 2007 and 2006, the total compensation costs related to unvested stock-based awards granted to employees under our stock option plan but not yet recognized was approximately \$851,000 and \$1.0 million, respectively, net of estimated forfeitures of \$60,000 and \$44,000, respectively, and will be adjusted for subsequent changes in estimated forfeitures. This cost will be amortized on a straight-line basis over a weighted-average period of approximately 2.2 years. We elected not to capitalize any stock-based compensation to inventory as of June 30, 2007 and 2006, due to the immateriality of the amount.

The amortization of stock compensation under SFAS 123(R) for the period after our January 1, 2006 adoption is based on the single-option approach.

We estimate the fair value of stock options using a Black-Scholes valuation model, consistent with the provisions of SFAS 123(R), Securities and Exchange Commission Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 107 and our prior period pro forma disclosures of net loss, including stock-based compensation (determined under a fair value method as prescribed by SFAS No. 123). There were no stock option grants made in the three and six months ended June 30, 2007. The fair value of our stock options granted to employees for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 was estimated using the following weighted-average assumptions:

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2006	Six Months Ended June 30, 2006	
Expected term (in years)	5.0	5.0	
Volatility	83.95	% 85.91	%
Expected dividend	0	% 0	%
Risk-free interest rate	5.10	% 4.86	%
Estimated forfeitures	2.90	% 9.59	%
Weighted-average fair value	\$ 2.67	\$ 1.68	

The following table summarizes the stock option transactions during the six months ended June 30, 2007 (in thousands, except per share data):

	Shares	a E	Veig vera Exerc Price	cise	Weighted- average Remaining Contractual Life (in years)	Aggı Intri Valu	
Options outstanding as of December 31, 2006	2,728	\$	Š	2.51			
Granted							
Exercised	(91)	) 2	.49				
Canceled	(17 )	) 1	.36				
Options outstanding as of June 30, 2007	2,620	\$	3	2.52	6.04	\$	5,949
Options vested and expected to vest as of June 30, 2007	2,575	\$	ì	2.53	6.00	\$	5,843
Options exercisable as of June 30, 2007	1,926	\$	ì	2.65	5.27	\$	4,365

The options outstanding and exercisable as of June 30, 2007 were in the following exercise price ranges:

Options Outstanding as of June	30, 2007			W. 14.1	Options Exercisable as of June 30, 2007	:	
Range of Exercise Price	Shares	8	hted-average cise Price	Weighted-average Remaining Contractual Life	Shares		ghted-Average rcise Price
\$1.17 - \$ 1.38	1,436,169	\$	1.29	6.60	961,148	\$	1.30
\$1.39 - \$ 1.44	11,500	\$	1.41	7.89	5,886	\$	1.41
\$1.45 - \$ 2.24	602,530	\$	2.17	5.23	559,896	\$	2.18
\$2.25 - \$ 5.00	452,005	\$	4.09	6.27	281,754	\$	3.74
\$5.01 - \$41.50	117,500	\$	13.41	2.27	117,500	\$	13.41
	2,619,704	\$	2.52	6.04	1,926,184	\$	2.65

The total intrinsic value of options exercised for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 was \$90,000 and \$160,000, respectively. Cash received from option exercises for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 was \$183,000 and \$228,000, respectively. The total intrinsic value of options exercised for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 was \$167,000 and \$233,000, respectively. Cash received from option exercises for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 was \$232,000 and \$298,000, respectively.

#### Restricted stock awards

A summary of activity related to restricted stock awards for the three months ended June 30, 2007 is presented below:

	Shares	Weighted-Average Grant Date Fair Value		
Non-vested restricted stock shares outstanding at beginning of the year		\$		
Restricted stock shares granted	10,608	\$	3.77	
Restricted stock shares vested		\$		
Non-vested restricted stock shares outstanding at June 30, 2007	10,608	\$	3.77	

At June 30, 2007, we had \$39,000 of unrecognized compensation expense, net of forfeitures, related to restricted stock awards, which will be recognized over the weighted average period of 2.9 years. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 no shares of restricted stock vested.

### Note 4. Cash, Cash Equivalents and Investments

Our cash, cash equivalents and investments are classified as follows (in thousands):

	June 30, 2007				December 31, 200			
	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gain	Gross Unrealized (Loss)	Fair Value	Amortized U	Gross Unrealized Gain	Gross Unrealized (Loss)	Fair Value
Classified as:								
Cash	\$ 6,427	\$	\$	\$ 6,427	\$ 6,892	5	\$	\$ 6,892
Cash equivalents:								
Money market fund	6,797			6,797	7,045			7,045
U.S. Treasury and								
agency securities	2,192			2,192	2,179			2,179
Total cash equivalents	8,989			8,989	9,224			9,224
Total cash and cash								
equivalents	15,416			15,416	16,116			16,116
Investments:								
U.S. Treasury and								
agency securities	4,613		(18)	4,595	12,277		(39)	12,238
Asset-backed securities	22			22	809		(1)	808
Commercial paper					500			500
Corporate bonds	20,048	484	(37)	20,495	13,035		(3)	13,032
Total investments	24,683	484	(55)	25,112	26,621		(43)	26,578
Total cash, cash								
equivalents and								
investments	\$ 40,099	\$ 484	\$ (55)	\$ 40,528	\$ 42,737	5	\$ (43 )	\$ 42,694
Contractual maturities								
on investments:								
Mature within 1 year	\$ 12,694			\$ 12,770	\$ 13,767			\$ 13,727
Mature after 1 through 5								
years	11,989			12,342	12,854			12,851
	\$ 24,683			\$ 25,112	\$ 26,621			\$ 26,578

The investments include \$6.9 million and \$7.2 million recorded as restricted deposits on the condensed consolidated balance sheets as of June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively, as a result of the outstanding principal amount on our industrial revenue bonds.

We manage our investments as a single portfolio of highly marketable securities that is intended to be available to meet our current cash requirements. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2007, we had \$19,000 and \$29,000, respectively, in gross realized losses on sales of our available-for-sale securities. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, we had \$0.4 million and \$1.4 million, respectively, in gross realized gains on sales of our available-for-sale securities.

The gross unrealized losses related to our portfolio of available-for-sale securities were primarily due to a decrease in the fair value of debt securities as a result of an increase in interest rates during 2006 and the first six months of 2007. We have determined that the gross unrealized losses on our available-for-sale securities as of June 30, 2007 are temporary in nature. We reviewed our investment portfolio to identify and evaluate investments that have indications of possible impairment. Factors considered in determining whether a loss is temporary include the magnitude of the decline in market value, the length of time the market value has been below cost (or adjusted cost), credit quality, and our ability and intent to hold the securities for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in market value. The following table provides a breakdown of our available-for-sale securities with unrealized losses as of June 30, 2007 (in thousands):

		oss Position months					oss Position months				Tota Loss	al In s Position			
	Fair Valı		Gros Unro (Los	ealized		Fair Valu		Gro Uni (Lo	ealized		Fair Valu		Gro Unr (Los	ealized	
Investments:															
U.S. Treasury and agency															
securities	\$	2,644	\$	(4	)	\$	1,951	\$	(14	)	\$	4,595	\$	(18	)
Corporate bonds	5,81	11	(37		)						5,81	1	(37		)
Total in loss position	\$	8,455	\$	(41	)	\$	1,951	\$	(14	)	\$	10,406	\$	(55	)

### Note 5. Inventories, Net

The components of inventories are summarized below (in thousands):

	June 30, 2007	December 31, 2006
Inventories, net:		
Raw materials	\$ 11,081	\$ 8,419
Work in process	12,059	11,222
Finished goods	1,813	622
	\$ 24,953	\$ 20,263

Note 6. Recovery of Impairment on Assets Held for Sale

During the three months ended June 30, 2007, we benefited from a recovery of impairment on assets held for sale in connection with our adjustment of the fair value of our U.S. property located in Fremont, California, which has been decontaminated and is being prepared for sale We recorded a \$481,000 market value adjustment after we entered into an agreement with an independent third party purchaser in June 2007 to purchase the property for estimated net proceeds of \$5.1 million, after deducting estimated commission and selling expenses. We expect the sale to be completed in the third quarter of 2007. This property has been classified as assets held for sale in the amount of \$5.1 million on the condensed consolidated balance sheet as of June 30, 2007.

### Note 7. Net Income (Loss) Per Share

Basic net income (loss) per common share is calculated by dividing net income (loss) available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted net income (loss) per common and common equivalent shares include the dilutive effect of common stock equivalents outstanding during the period calculated using the treasury stock method. Common stock equivalents consist of the shares issueable upon the exercise of stock options.

A reconciliation of the numerators and denominators of the basic and diluted net income (loss) per share calculations is as follows (in thousands, except per share data):

	Three Months E June 30,	nded	Six Months End June 30,	ed
	2007	2006	2007	2006
Numerator:				
Net income (loss)	\$ 1,213	\$ (876 )	\$ 2,557	\$ (3,079)
Less: Preferred stock dividends	(44)	(44)	(88)	(88)
Net income (loss) available to common stockholders	\$ 1,169	\$ (920 )	\$ 2,469	\$ (3,167)
Denominator:				
Denominator for basic net income (loss) per share - weighted average				
common shares	29,943	23,052	29,871	23,019
Effect of dilutive securities:				
Common stock options	1,199		1,362	
Denominator for dilutive net income (loss) per common share	31,142	23,052	31,233	23,019
Basic net income (loss) per share	\$ 0.04	\$ (0.04)	\$ 0.08	\$ (0.14)
Diluted net income (loss) per share	\$ 0.04	\$ (0.04)	\$ 0.08	\$ (0.14)
Options excluded from diluted net loss per share as the impact is				
anti-dilutive	372	2,722	362	2,722
Common stock options Denominator for dilutive net income (loss) per common share Basic net income (loss) per share Diluted net income (loss) per share Options excluded from diluted net loss per share as the impact is	31,142 \$ 0.04 \$ 0.04	\$ (0.04 ) \$ (0.04 )	31,233 \$ 0.08 \$ 0.08	\$ (0.14 ) \$ (0.14 )

### **Note 8. Comprehensive Income**

The components of comprehensive income (loss) are as follows (in thousands):

	Three Months E June 30, 2007	2006	Six Months Ended June 30, 2007	2006
Net income (loss)	\$ 1,213	\$ (876 )	\$ 2,557	\$ (3,079 )
Foreign currency translation gain	369	207	599	78
Unrealized gain (loss) on available-for-sale investments	443	(1,409)	472	1,902
Less: reclassification adjustment for realized gain included in net				
loss		(1,062)		(1,438 )
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$ 2,025	\$ (3,140)	\$ 3,628	\$ (2,537)

### Note 9. Segment Information and Foreign Operations

Segment Information

We operate in one segment for the design, development, manufacture and distribution of high-performance compound semiconductor substrates and sale of materials. In accordance with SFAS No. 131, *Disclosures About Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information* (SFAS No. 131), our chief operating decision-maker has been identified as the Chief Executive Officer, who reviews operating results to make decisions about allocating our resources and assessing our performance. All material operating units qualify for aggregation under SFAS No. 131 due to their identical customer base and similarities in economic characteristics, nature of products and services, and procurement, manufacturing and distribution processes. Since we operate in one segment, all financial segment and product line information required by SFAS No. 131 can be found in the condensed consolidated financial statements.

Geographical Information

The following table represents revenue amounts (in thousands) reported for products shipped during the respective periods to customers in the corresponding geographic region:

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2007 2006		Six Months Ende June 30, 2007	ed 2006
Net revenues:	200.	2000	200.	2000
North America*	\$ 2,756	\$ 2,703	\$ 6,098	\$ 4,662
Europe	2,606	2,258	4,671	3,664
Japan	3,012	1,130	5,398	1,796
Taiwan	2,228	1,415	4,040	2,929
Asia Pacific	3,037	2,849	5,958	5,775
Consolidated	\$ 13,639	\$ 10,355	\$ 26,165	\$ 18,826

<sup>\*</sup> Primarily the United States

Long-lived assets consist primarily of property, plant and equipment, and are attributed to the geographic location in which they are located. Long-lived assets by geographic region were as follows (in thousands):

	As of June 30, 2007	December 31, 2006
Long-lived assets:		
North America	\$ 208	\$ 426
China	14,606	12,349
	\$ 14,814	\$ 12,775

Significant Customers

No customer represented more than 10% of revenue for the three months ended June 30, 2007. One customer represented 11.4% of revenue for the three months ended June 30, 2006. No customer represented more than 10% of revenue for the six months ended June 30, 2007. One customer represented 12.7% of revenue for the six months ended June 30, 2006. Our top five customers represented 35.2% and 40.6% of revenue for the three months ended June 30, 2007, and 2006, respectively. Our top five customers represented 33.6% and 38.5% of revenue for the six months ended June 30, 2007, and 2006, respectively.

### Note 10. Investments in Privately-held Companies

We have made strategic investments in private companies located in China in order to gain access at a competitive cost to raw materials that are critical to our substrate business. Our investments in these privately-held companies are summarized below (in thousands):

	Investment Balance as of								
Company	June 30, 2007	December 31, 2006	Accounting Method	Ownership Percentage					
Beijing Ji Ya Semiconductor Material Co., Ltd	\$ 996	\$ 996	Consolidated	46 %					
Nanjing Jin Mei Gallium Co., Ltd	592	592	Consolidated	83					
Beijing BoYu Manufacturing Co., Ltd	410	410	Consolidated	70					
Xilingol Tongli Ge Co. Ltd	1,839	1,304	Equity	25					
Emeishan Jia Mei High Pure Metals Co., Ltd	680	670	Equity	25					

The investment balances for the two companies accounted for under the equity method are included in other assets in the condensed consolidated balance sheets. We own 25% of the ownership interests in each of these companies. These two companies are not considered variable interest entities because:

- both companies have sustainable businesses of their own;
- our voting power is proportionate to our ownership interests;
- we only recognize our respective share of the losses and/or residual returns generated by the companies if they occur; and
- we do not have a controlling financial interest in, do not maintain operational or management control of, do not control the board of directors of, and are not required to provide additional investment or financial support to, either company.

Undistributed retained earnings relating to our investments were \$6.0 million and \$4.4 million as of June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively. Net income recorded from our investments was \$955,000 and \$455,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Net income recorded from our investments was \$1.6 million and \$724,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

The minority interest for those investments that are consolidated is included in Other long-term liabilities in the condensed consolidated balance sheets and in Other income (expense), net on the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

#### Note 11. Commitments and Contingencies

#### **Indemnification Agreements**

Included in our standard terms and conditions of sale that we enter into in the ordinary course of business with our customers are standard indemnification arrangements. Pursuant to these arrangements, we indemnify, hold harmless, and agree to reimburse the indemnified parties for losses suffered or incurred by the indemnified party in connection with any claim by a third party that our products violate or infringe any U.S. patent, or any copyright or other intellectual property right. The term of these indemnification provisions is generally perpetual from the date of the execution of the agreement. The maximum potential amount of future payments we could be required to make under these agreements is unlimited. We have never incurred costs to defend lawsuits or settle claims related to these indemnification agreements. As a result, we believe the estimated fair value of these agreements is minimal.

We have entered into indemnification agreements with our directors, officers and key employees that may require us to indemnify our directors, officers and key employees against liabilities that may arise by reason of their status or service as directors or officers or key employees, other than liabilities arising from willful misconduct of a culpable nature; to advance their expenses incurred as a result of any proceeding against them as to which they could be indemnified; and to obtain directors and officers insurance if available on reasonable terms, which we currently have in place.

**Product Warranty** 

We warrant our products for a specific period of time, generally twelve months, against material defects. We provide for the estimated future costs of warranty obligations in cost of sales when the related revenue is recognized. The accrued warranty costs represent the best estimate at the time of sale of the total costs that we expect to incur to repair or replace product parts that fail while still under warranty. The amount of accrued estimated warranty costs are primarily based on historical experience as to product failures as well as current information on repair costs. On a quarterly basis, we review the accrued balances and update these based on the historical warranty cost trends. The following table reflects the change in our warranty accrual, which is included in accrued liabilities on the condensed consolidated balance sheets, during the three months and six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 (in thousands):

	Three N	Three Months Ended June 30,			Six Months Ended June 30,			,
	2007		2006		2007		2006	•
Beginning accrued warranty and related costs	\$ 7	83	\$	184	\$ 4:	59	\$	120
Charged to cost of revenue	244		41		696		146	
Actual warranty expenditures	(78	)	(21		) (206	)	(62	
Ending accrued warranty and related costs	\$ 9	49	\$	204	\$ 9	49	\$	204

#### **Purchase Commitment**

On February 27, 2007, we entered into an agreement with Recapture Metals Limited of Ontario, Canada (Recapture), pursuant to which Recapture will supply our subsidiary in the People's Repiblic of China with one thousand kilograms per month of 99.99999% pure gallium, during the eighteen month period beginning July 1, 2007. Under the terms of the agreement, we are required to purchase a minimum of eighteen thousand kilograms of gallium, unless the agreement is terminated prior to the expiration of the eighteen month period on December 31, 2008. Our total commitment under this agreement is approximately \$7.3 million.

### Note 12. Foreign Exchange Transaction Gains/Losses

We incurred foreign currency transaction exchange losses of \$246,000 and \$47,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2007, and 2006, respectively. We incurred foreign currency transaction exchange losses of \$290,000 and gains of \$11,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2007, and 2006, respectively. These amounts are included in other income (expense), net on the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

#### Note 13. Income Taxes

In July 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Interpretation No. (FIN) 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes*. FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise s financial statements in accordance with SFAS 109. This interpretation prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. FIN 48 also provides guidance on derecognition of tax benefits, classification on the balance sheet, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure, and transition. We adopted FIN 48 effective January 1, 2007. We recognize interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions in income tax expense. As of June 30, 2007, we do not have any gross unrecognized tax benefits, nor any accrued interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions. As a result of the implementation of FIN 48, we identified \$16.4 million in unrecognized tax benefits. This amount decreased the tax loss carryforwards in the U.S. which are fully offset by a valuation allowance. We file income tax returns in the U.S. federal, various states and foreign jurisdictions. We have substantially concluded all U.S. federal and state income tax matters through December 31, 2006.

#### ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

This quarterly report on Form 10-Q, including the following sections, contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, particularly statements relating to our expectations regarding results of operations, customer demand, improvements in our product quality, our ability to expand our markets and increase sales, customer qualifications of our products, gross margins, favorable pricing, reliable supply and enhanced sourcing lead-times of raw materials, and our reserve balances. These forward-looking statements are based upon management s current views with respect to future events and financial performance, and are subject to certain risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from historical results or those anticipated in such forward-looking statements. Such risks and uncertainties include those set forth under Item 1A Risk Factors below, which identify important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those predicted in any such forward-looking statements. We caution investors that actual results may differ materially from those projected in the forward-looking statements as a result of certain risk factors identified in this Form 10-Q and other filings we have made with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Forward-looking statements may be identified by the use of terms such as anticipates, believes, estimates, expects, intends, and similar expressions. Statements concerning our future or expected financial result condition, business strategy and plans or objectives for future operations are forward-looking statements.

These forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date hereof. This discussion should be read in conjunction with Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006 and the condensed consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report.

#### Overview

We are a leading worldwide developer and producer of high-performance compound and single element semiconductor substrates comprising gallium arsenide (GaAs), indium phosphide (InP) and germanium (Ge). We currently sell the following substrate products:

Product		
Substrates	Diameter	Applications
GaAs (semi-insulating)	2 , 4 , 6	<ul> <li>Power amplifiers and integrated circuits for wireless</li> </ul>
		handsets
		<ul> <li>Direct broadcast television</li> </ul>
		High-performance transistors
		<ul> <li>Satellite communications</li> </ul>
GaAs (semi-conducting)	2,4	• LEDs
		• Lasers
		Optical couplers
InP	2 , 4 , 6	<ul> <li>Broadband and Fiber optic communications</li> </ul>
Ge	2,4	Satellite solar cells

We manufacture compound semiconductor substrates using our proprietary vertical gradient freeze, or VGF, technology. Our in-house VGF technology enables us to add capacity quickly and cost efficiently. We manufacture all of our products in China, which generally has lower costs for facilities, labor and materials.

We also have three majority-owned and two minority-owned joint ventures in China which provide us favorable pricing, reliable supply and enhanced sourcing lead-times for key raw materials which are central to our final manufactured products. These joint ventures produce products including 99.99% pure gallium (4N Ga), high purity gallium, arsenic, germanium, germanium dioxide, paralytic boron nitride (pBN) crucibles and boron oxide. AXT s ownership interest in these entities ranges from 25% to 83%. We consolidate the three ventures in which we own a majority or controlling financial interest and employ equity accounting for the two joint ventures in which we have a 25% interest. We purchase portions of the materials produced by these ventures for our own use and the joint ventures sell the remainder of their production to third parties.

Revenue increased \$7.3 million, or 39.0%, to \$26.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007 from \$18.8 million for the same period of 2006 primarily due to our improved product quality, higher customer demands for larger diameter wafers, and an increase in raw material sales. As of June 30, 2007, we had available cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments of \$33.7 million, excluding restricted deposits.

Recovery of Impairment on Assets Held for Sale

During the three months ended June 30, 2007, we benefited from a recovery of impairment on assets held for sale in connection with our adjustment of the fair value of our U.S. property located in Fremont, California, which has been decontaminated and is being prepared for sale, to market value. We recorded a \$481,000 market value adjustment after we entered into an agreement with an independent third party purchaser in June 2007 to purchase the property for estimated net proceeds of \$5.1 million, after deducting estimated commission and selling expenses. We expect the sale to be completed in the third quarter of 2007. This property has been classified as assets held for sale in the amount of \$5.1 million on the condensed consolidated balance sheet as of June 30, 2007.

### **Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates**

We have prepared our condensed consolidated financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. As such, we have had to make estimates, assumptions and judgments that affect the amounts reported on our financial statements. These estimates, assumptions and judgments about future events and their effects on our results cannot be determined with certainty, and are made based upon our historical experience and on other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. These estimates may change as new events occur or additional information is obtained, and we may periodically be faced with uncertainties, the outcomes of which are not within our control and may not be known for a prolonged period of time. The discussion and analysis of our results of operations and financial condition are based upon these condensed consolidated financial statements.

A critical accounting policy is one that is both material to the presentation of our financial statements and requires us to make difficult, subjective or complex judgments that could have a material effect on our financial condition and results of operations. They may require us to make assumptions about matters that are highly uncertain at the time of the estimate, and different estimates that we could have used, or changes in the estimate that are reasonably likely to occur, may have a material impact on our financial condition or results of operations.

We have identified the policies below as critical to our business operations and understanding of our financial condition and results of operations.

### Revenue Recognition

We manufacture and sell high-performance compound and single element semiconductor substrates and sell certain raw materials including gallium, germanium dioxide and pBN crucibles. After we ship our products, there are no remaining obligations or customer acceptance requirements that would preclude recognition of the revenue earned on the sale. Our products are typically sold pursuant to a purchase order placed by our customers, and our terms and conditions of sale do not require customer acceptance. We recognize revenue upon shipment and transfer of title of products to our customers, which is ordinarily upon shipment from our dock, receipt at the customer s dock, or removal from consignment inventory at the customer s location, provided that we have received a signed purchase order, the price is fixed or determinable, title and risk of ownership have transferred, collection of resulting receivables is probable, and product returns are reasonably estimable. We do not provide training, installation or commissioning services.

We provide for future returns based on historical experience, current economic trends and changes in customer demand at the time revenue is recognized.

In June 2006, the FASB ratified the consensus reached by the Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) regarding EITF Issue No. 06-03, *How Taxes Collected from Customers and Remitted to Government Authorities Should be Presented in the Income Statement (That Is, Gross versus Net Presentation)*. We have historically presented, and will continue to present sales tax, value added tax, and other similar taxes on a net basis, excluding them from revenue.

### Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

We periodically review the likelihood of collection on our accounts receivable balances and provide an allowance for doubtful accounts receivable primarily based upon the age of these accounts. We typically provide a 100% allowance for receivables from U.S. customers in excess of 90 days and for receivables from customers located outside the U.S. in excess of 120 days. We assess the probability of collection based on a number of factors, including the length of time a receivable balance has been outstanding, our past history with the customer and their creditworthiness.

As of June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, our accounts receivable, net, balance was \$9.9 million and \$9.7 million, respectively, which was net of an allowance for doubtful accounts of \$0.7 million and \$0.1 million, respectively. The increase in the allowance for doubtful accounts was mainly for our slow-paying customers in Asia. If actual uncollectible accounts differ substantially from our estimates, revisions to the estimated allowance for doubtful accounts would be required, which could have a material impact on our financial results for the period.

#### Warranty Reserve

We maintain a warranty reserve based upon our claims experience during the prior twelve months. Warranty costs are accrued at the time revenue is recognized. As of June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, accrued product warranties totaled \$949,000 and \$459,000, respectively. The increase in accrued warranties is attributable to increased claims experienced as well as to an increase in revenue. If actual warranty costs differ substantially from our estimates, revisions to the estimated warranty liability would be required, which could have a material impact on our financial condition and results of operations.

#### **Inventory Valuation**

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market. Cost is determined using the weighted average cost method. Our inventory consists of raw materials as well as finished goods and work-in-process that include material, labor and manufacturing overhead costs. Given the nature of our substrate products, and the materials used in the manufacturing process, the wafers and ingots comprising work-in-process may be held in inventory for up to two years and three years, respectively, as the risk of obsolescence for these materials is low. We routinely evaluate the levels of our inventory in light of current market conditions in order to identify excess and obsolete inventory, and we provide a valuation allowance for certain inventories based upon the age and quality of the product and the projections for sale of the completed products. As of June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, we had an inventory reserve of \$12.7 million and \$15.4 million for excess and obsolete inventory, respectively. The majority of this inventory has not been scrapped, and accordingly, may be sold in future periods. If actual demand for our products were to be substantially lower than estimated, additional inventory adjustments for excess or obsolete inventory might be required, which could have a material impact on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

### Impairment of Investments

We classify our investments in debt and equity securities as available-for-sale securities as prescribed by Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 115, Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities. All available-for-sale securities with a quoted market value below cost (or adjusted cost) are reviewed in order to determine whether the decline is other-than-temporary. Factors considered in determining whether a loss is temporary include the magnitude of the decline in market value, the length of time the market value has been below cost (or adjusted cost), credit quality, and our ability and intent to hold the securities for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in market value.

We invest in equity instruments of privately-held companies for business and strategic purposes. These investments are classified as other assets and, other than companies that we consolidate, are accounted for under the cost method as we do not have the ability to exercise significant influence over their operations. We monitor our investments for impairment and would record reductions in carrying value when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. Determination of impairment is highly subjective and is based on a number of factors, including an assessment of the strength of the investee s management, the length of time and extent to which the fair value has been less than our cost basis, the financial condition and near-term prospects of the investee, fundamental changes to the business prospects of the investee, share prices of subsequent offerings, and our intent and ability to hold the investment for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in our carrying value.

### Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

We evaluate the recoverability of property, equipment and intangible assets in accordance with SFAS No. 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets*. When events and circumstances indicate that long-lived assets may be impaired, we compare the carrying value of the long-lived assets to the projection of future undiscounted cash flows attributable to these assets. In the event that the carrying value exceeds the future undiscounted cash flows, we record an impairment charge against income equal to the excess of the carrying value over the assets fair value. Fair values are determined based on quoted market values, discounted cash flows or internal and external appraisals, as applicable. Assets held for sale are carried at the lower of carrying value or estimated net realizable value.

### **Employee Stock Options**

We grant options to purchase our common stock to substantially all management employees and believe that this program helps us to attract, motivate and retain high quality employees, to the ultimate benefit of our stockholders. Effective January 1, 2006, we adopted the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), Share-Based Payment (SFAS 123(R)), using the modified prospective application transition method. Under this transition method, stock-based compensation cost was recognized in the condensed consolidated financial statements for all share-based payments after January 1, 2006. Compensation cost recognized includes the estimated expense for the portion of the vesting period after January 1, 2006 for share-based payments granted prior to, but not vested as of January 1, 2006, based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the original provisions of SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation. Results for prior periods have not been restated, as provided for under the modified prospective application transition method. As of the date of the filing of this quarterly report, we believe there have been no material changes to our critical accounting policy on employee stock options during the three months and six months ended June 30, 2007 compared to that disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006 filed with the SEC on March 23, 2007 and our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the SEC on May 11, 2007. See Note 3 to our condensed consolidated financial statements.

#### Income Taxes

We account for income taxes in accordance with SFAS No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes* (SFAS 109), which requires that deferred tax assets and liabilities be recognized using enacted tax rates for the effect of temporary differences between the book and tax bases of recorded assets and liabilities. SFAS 109 also requires that deferred tax assets be reduced by a valuation allowance if it is more likely than not that a portion of the deferred tax asset will not be realized.

We provide for income taxes based upon the geographic composition of worldwide earnings and tax regulations governing each country, including particularly the People s Republic of China. The calculation of tax liabilities involves significant judgment in estimating the impact of uncertainties in the application of complex tax laws, particularly in foreign countries such as the People s Republic of China.

In July 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued FASB Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109 (FIN 48). FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise s financial statements in accordance with SFAS 109. This interpretation prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. FIN 48 also provides guidance on derecognition of tax benefits, classification on the balance sheet, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure, and transition. We adopted FIN 48 effective January 1, 2007. We recognize interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions. As a result of the implementation of FIN 48, we identified \$16.4 million in unrecognized tax benefits. This amount decreased the tax loss carryforwards in the U.S. which are fully offset by a valuation allowance. We file income tax returns in the U.S. federal, various states and foreign jurisdictions. We have substantially concluded all U.S. federal and state income tax matters through December 31, 2006.

### **Results of Operations**

### Revenue

	June 30, 2007						
GaAs	\$ 9,296	\$ 8,125	\$ 1,171	14.4 %			
InP	660	613	47	7.7 %			
Ge	402	169	233	137.9 %			
Raw materials	3,281	1,448	1,833	126.6 %			
Total revenue	\$ 13,639	\$ 10,355	\$ 3,284	31.7 %			

Revenue increased \$3.3 million, or 31.7%, to \$13.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007 from \$10.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2006. Total GaAs substrate revenue increased \$1.2 million, or 14.4%, to \$9.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007 from \$8.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2006.

Sales of 5 inch and 6 inch diameter GaAs substrates were \$4.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007 compared to \$3.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2006. The increase in larger diameter substrate revenue was due to the fact that, while the GaAs device market grew in strength for both cellular and the WLAN (Wide Local Area Network) markets, the compound semiconductor industry has been experiencing capacity constraints, particularly in 6 inch; with our excess capacity, we were able to benefit from the overflow business from our competition.

Sales of 2 inch, 3 inch and 4 inch diameter GaAs substrates were \$5.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007 compared with \$4.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2006. The increase in revenue from smaller diameter substrates was due to the continued market growth generally of LED laser diodes and commercial epitaxy.

InP revenue increased \$47,000, or 7.7%, to \$660,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2007 from \$613,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2006. The increase in InP revenue was primarily due to a one-time sale of \$251,000 indium scrap metal. Excluding the impact of the one-time sale, InP substrate revenue decreased due to a fall in demand from customers in the optical networking industry.

Ge substrate revenue increased \$233,000, or 137.9%, to \$402,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2007 from \$169,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2006. The increase in Ge substrate revenue was due to a customer in Germany who has now qualified our product, as demand for photovoltaic applications increases.

Raw materials revenue increased \$1.8 million, or 126.6%, to \$3.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007 from \$1.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2006. The increase in raw materials revenue was primarily due to sales of gallium to new customers in Europe as the demand for gallium increases, as well as an increase in raw materials pricing. We expect this demand for gallium to continue at this pace.

	Six Months Ender June 30, 2007 (\$ in thousands)	d 2006	Increase (Decrease)	% Change	
GaAs	\$ 18,088	\$ 14,880	\$ 3,208	21.6 %	
InP	1,178	909	269	29.6 %	
Ge	943	205	738	360.0 %	
Raw materials	5,911	2,832	3,079	108.7 %	
Other	45		45	NM	
Total revenue	\$ 26,165	\$ 18,826	\$ 7,339	39.0 %	

NM = % not meaningful

Revenue increased \$7.3 million, or 39.0%, to \$26.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007 from \$18.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006. Total GaAs substrate revenue increased \$3.2 million, or 21.6%, to \$18.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007 from \$14.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006.

Sales of 5 inch and 6 inch diameter GaAs substrates were \$8.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007 compared to \$6.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006. The increase in larger diameter substrate revenue was due to the fact that, while the GaAs device market grew in strength for both cellular and the WLAN (Wide Local Area Network) markets, the compound semiconductor industry has been experiencing capacity constraints, particularly in 6 inch; with our excess capacity, we were able to benefit from the overflow business from our competition.

Sales of 2 inch, 3 inch and 4 inch diameter GaAs substrates were \$10.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007 compared to \$8.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006. The increase in revenue from smaller diameter substrates was due to the continued market growth generally of LED laser diodes and commercial epitaxy.

InP revenue increased \$269,000, or 29.6%, to \$1.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007 from \$0.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006. The increase in InP revenue was primarily due to a one-time sale of \$251,000 indium scrap metal, while InP substrate revenue was flat from customers in the optical networking industry.

Ge substrate revenue increased \$738,000, or 360.0%, to \$943,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2007 from \$205,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2006. The increase in Ge substrate revenue was due to an increase in customers in the People s Republic of China and to a customer in Germany who has now qualified our product, as demand for photovoltaic applications continues to increase.

Raw materials revenue increased \$3.1 million, or 108.7%, to \$5.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007 from \$2.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006. The increase in raw materials revenue was primarily due to sales of gallium to new customers in Japan and Europe as the demand for gallium increases, as well as an increase in raw materials pricing. We expect this demand for gallium to continue at this pace.

Revenue by Geographic Region

	Three Months Ended						
	June 30, 2007 (\$ in thousand	2006		Increase (Decrease)	% Change		
North America *	\$ 2,756		\$ 2,703		\$ 53	2.0 %	ó
% of total revenue	20	%	26	%			
Europe	2,606		2,258		348	15.4 %	ó
% of total revenue	19	%	22	%			
Japan	3,012		1,130		1,882	166.5 %	ó
% of total revenue	22	%	11	%			
Taiwan	2,228		1,415		813	57.5 %	ó
% of total revenue	16	%	14	%			
Asia Pacific (excluding Japan and Taiwan)	3,037		2,849		188	6.6 %	б
% of total revenue	22	%	27	%			
Total revenue	\$ 13,639		\$ 10,355		\$ 3,284	31.7 %	6

### \* Primarily the United States

North America revenue increased by \$53,000, or 2.0%, to \$2.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007 from \$2.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2006.

Europe revenue increased by \$0.3 million, or 15.4%, to \$2.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007 from \$2.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2006. This increase came primarily from increased raw materials sales to customers in the Netherlands.

Japan revenue increased by \$1.9 million, or 166.5%, to \$3.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007 from \$1.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2006. The increase came from 2 new customers for raw materials amounting to \$1.1 million, while sales to existing customers increased by \$0.8 million, of which \$0.7 million was from raw material sales.

Taiwan revenue increased by \$0.8 million, or 57.5%, to \$2.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007 from \$1.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2006. \$0.7 million of this increase came from existing customers for an even mix of small diameter wafers and large diameter wafers, while \$0.1 million came from sales to a new customer for smaller diameter wafers.

Asia Pacific (excluding Japan and Taiwan) revenue increased by \$0.2 million, or 6.6%, to \$3.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007 from \$2.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2006. Sales to customers in Singapore increased by \$0.7 million mainly from large diameter wafers, partially offset by \$0.2 million decreased sales in the People s Republic of China (PRC) mainly from decreased raw materials sales.

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2007 (\$ in thousands)		2006	Increase (Decrease)		% Change	
North America *	\$ 6,098		\$ 4,662	\$	1,436	30.8	%
% of total revenue	23	%	25	%			
Europe	4,671		3,664	1,00	7	27.5	%
% of total revenue	18	%	19	%			
Japan	5,398		1,796	3,602	2	200.6	%
% of total revenue	21	%	10	%			
Taiwan	4,040		2,929	1,11	1	37.9	%
% of total revenue	15	%	16	%			
Asia Pacific (excluding Japan and Taiwan)	5,958		5,775	183		3.2	%
% of total revenue	23	%	31	%			
Total revenue	\$ 26,165		\$ 18,826	\$	7,339	39.0	%

### \* Primarily the United States

North America revenue increased by \$1.4 million, or 30.8%, to \$6.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007 from \$4.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006. We believe our quality has improved as shown by customers that have qualified our products manufactured in the PRC as substrate sales increased by \$1.1 million, while raw material sales increased by \$0.3 million.

Europe revenue increased by \$1.0 million, or 27.5%, to \$4.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007 from \$3.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006. This increase came primarily from increased raw materials sales to customers in the Netherlands, and increased substrate sales to customers in Germany, partially offset by decreased substrate sales to customers in France.

Japan revenue increased by \$3.6 million, or 200.6%, to \$5.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007 from \$1.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006. The increase came from 5 new customers for raw materials amounting to \$2.3 million, while sales to existing customers increased by \$1.3 million, of which \$0.7 million was from increased substate sales, while \$0.6 million was from increased raw material sales.

Taiwan revenue increased by \$1.1 million, or 37.9%, to \$4.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007 from \$2.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006. \$1.0 million of this increase came from existing customers for an even mix of small diameter wafers and large diameter wafers, while \$0.1 million came from sales to 2 new customers for smaller diameter wafers.

Asia Pacific (excluding Japan and Taiwan) revenue increased by \$0.2 million, or 3.2%, to \$6.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007 from \$5.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006. Substrate sales to customers in the PRC increased by \$0.5 million mainly from small diameter wafers, while substrate sales to customers in Singapore increased by \$0.4 million mainly from large diameter sales, partially offset by \$0.7 million decreased raw materials sales in the PRC mainly from large one-time raw materials sales in 2006.

#### Gross Margin

	Three Months E	nded		
	June 30,		Increase	
	2007	2006	(Decrease)	% Change
	(\$ in thousands)			
Gross profit	\$ 5,032	\$ 2,759	\$ 2,273	82.4 %
Gross Margin %	36.9	6 26.6	%	

Gross margin. Gross margin increased to 36.9% of total revenue for the three months ended June 30, 2007 from 26.6% of total revenue for the three months ended June 30, 2006. Gross margin for the three months ended June 30, 2007 was positively impacted by sales of approximately \$0.4 million of gallium arsenide (GaAs) wafers that were previously fully reserved. Product mix also contributed to higher gross margins as we sold a greater amount of larger diameter GaAs wafers, InP scrap metal, as well as raw materials, all of which contributed higher gross margins. In addition, we had manufacturing equipment that has become fully depreciated since the third quarter of 2006, and the absence of depreciation expense for this equipment, partially offset by depreciation on property, plant and equipment additions, contributed approximately 3.3 percentage points to gross margin in the three months ended June 30, 2007. Finally, higher substrate gross margins were also achieved through better slicing techniques, longer length ingot growth, shorter runtimes, and higher production volumes, partially offset by higher prices of raw materials for gallium and arsenic. Gross margin for the three months ended June 30, 2006 was positively impacted by sales of approximately \$802,000 of GaAs wafers which were previously fully reserved.

	Six Months Ende June 30,	d	Increase	
	2007	2006	(Decrease)	% Change
	(\$ in thousands)			
Gross profit	\$ 10,437	\$ 4,269	\$ 6,168	144.5 %
Gross Margin %	39.9 %	22.7	%	

Gross margin. Gross margin increased to 39.9% of total revenue for the six months ended June 30, 2007 from 22.7% of total revenue for the six months ended June 30, 2006. Gross margin for the six months ended June 30, 2007 was positively impacted by sales of approximately \$1.1 million of GaAs wafers that were previously fully reserved. Product mix also contributed to higher gross margins as we sold a greater amount of larger diameter GaAs wafers, InP scrap metal, as well as raw materials, all of which contributed higher gross margins. In addition, we had manufacturing equipment that has become fully depreciated since the third quarter of 2006, and the absence of depreciation expense for this equipment, partially offset by depreciation on property, plant and equipment additions, contributed approximately 3.2 percentage points to gross margin in the six months ended June 30, 2007. Finally, higher substrate gross margins were also achieved through better slicing techniques, longer length ingot growth, shorter runtimes, and higher production volumes, partially offset by higher prices of raw materials for gallium and arsenic. Gross margin for the six months ended June 30, 2006 was positively impacted by sales of approximately \$1.4 million of GaAs wafers, which were previously fully reserved, and by approximately \$53,000 as a result of a reversal of a sales return reserve established in 2004 as we favorably resolved an outstanding matter with a customer.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

	Three Months En	ıded		
	June 30, 2007 (\$ in thousands)	2006	Increase (Decrease)	% Change
Selling, general and administrative expenses	\$ 3,743	\$ 3,853	\$ (110	) (2.9 )%
% of total revenue	27.4 %	37.2	%	

Selling, general and administrative expenses decreased \$0.1 million to \$3.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007 from \$3.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2006. The decrease was primarily due to the absence in 2007 of \$0.3 million decontamination fees on our property owned in Fremont, California, and \$0.2 million sales compensation expenses given to customers as compensation for their epitaxial costs on defective wafers supplied to them. We also had \$0.1 million lower professional fees, and \$0.1 million lower severance payments, partially offset by \$0.6 million higher bad debt expenses mainly for our slow-paying customers in Asia.

	Six Months End June 30, 2007 (\$ in thousands)	2006	Increase (Decrease)	% Change
Selling, general and administrative expenses	\$ 7,446	\$ 7,083	\$ 363	5.1 %
% of total revenue	28.5	% 37.6	%	

Selling, general and administrative expenses increased \$0.4 million to \$7.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007 from \$7.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006. The increase was primarily due to \$0.5 million higher bad debt expenses mainly for our slow-paying customers in Asia, \$0.2 million higher legal expenses which was mainly our portion of the settlement of the securities class action lawsuit reached on April 24, 2007, \$0.1 million higher overseas travel expenses, and \$0.1 million higher sales commission due to increased sales volume, partially offset by the absence in 2007 of \$0.3 million sales compensation expenses given to customers as compensation for their epitaxial costs on defective wafers supplied to them and \$0.2 million decontamination fees on our property owned in Fremont, California.

#### Research and Development

	Three Months 1	Ended		
	June 30, 2007	2006	Increase (Decrease)	% Change
	(\$ in thousands)	)		
Research and development	\$ 348	\$ 571	\$ (223	) (39.1 )%
% of total revenue	2.6	% 5.5	%	

Research and development expenses decreased \$0.2 million, or 39.1% to \$0.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007 from \$0.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2006, mainly from \$0.1 million savings in salary and related costs as a result of the retirement of Dr. Morris Young, our former Chief Technology Officer effective December 31, 2006. New products testing expenses decreased by \$0.1 million.

	Six Months End	ded		
	June 30,		Increase	
	2007	2006	(Decrease)	% Change
	(\$ in thousands)	)		
Research and development	\$ 808	\$ 1,105	\$ (297	) (26.9 )%
% of total revenue	3.1	% 5.9	%	

Research and development expenses decreased \$0.3 million, or 26.9% to \$0.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007 from \$1.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006, mainly from \$0.2 million savings in salary and related costs as a result of the retirement of Dr. Morris Young, our former Chief Technology Officer effective December 31, 2006. New products testing expenses and severance pay decreased by \$0.1 million.

### Recovery of impairment on assets held for sale

	Three Months End	led				
	June 30,	June 30,		rease		
	2007	2006	(De	crease)	% Chai	nge
	(\$ in thousands)					
Recovery of impairment on assets held for sale	\$ (481 )	\$	\$	481	NA	%
% of total revenue	(3.5)%	NA				

During the three months ended June 30, 2007, we benefited from a recovery of impairment on assets held for sale in connection with our adjustment of the fair value of our U.S. property located in Fremont, California, which has been decontaminated and is being prepared for sale. We recorded a \$481,000 market value adjustment after we entered into an agreement with an independent third party purchaser in June 2007 to purchase the property for estimated net proceeds of \$5.1 million, after deducting estimated commission and selling expenses.

	Six Months Ended		
	June 30,	Increase	
	2006 2005	(Decrease)	% Change
	(\$ in thousands)		
Recovery of impairment on assets held for sale	\$ (481 ) \$	\$ 481	NA
% of total revenue	(1.8 )% NA		

During the six months ended June 30, 2007, we benefited from a recovery of impairment on assets held for sale in connection with our adjustment of the fair value of our U.S. property located in Fremont, California, which has been decontaminated and is being prepared for sale. We recorded a \$481,000 market value adjustment after we entered into an agreement with an independent third party purchaser in June 2007 to purchase the property for estimated net proceeds of \$5.1 million, after deducting estimated commission and selling expenses.

Interest Income, net

	Three Months	Ended		
	June 30,	2007	Increase	Ø Chara
	2007 (\$ in thousands	2006	(Decrease)	% Change
Interest income, net	\$ 225	\$ 111	\$ 114	102.7 %
% of total revenue	1.6	% 1.1	%	

Interest income, net increased \$0.1 million to \$0.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007 from \$0.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2006 as a result of higher balances of our investments which came from the net proceeds of our public offering of common stock, completed in December 2006 and January 2007.

	Six Months End	led		
	June 30, 2007 (\$ in thousands)	2006	Increase (Decrease)	% Change
Interest income, net	\$ 449	\$ 239	\$ 210	87.9 %
% of total revenue	1.7	6 1.3	%	

Interest income, net increased \$0.2 million to \$0.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007 from \$0.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006 as a result of higher balances of our investments which came from the net proceeds of our public offering of common stock, completed in December 2006 and January 2007.

Other Income and Expense, net

	Three Month	ns Ended		
	June 30, 2007	2006	Increase (Decrease)	% Change
	(\$ in thousan		(Decrease)	70 Change
Other income (expense) net	\$ (272	) \$ 814	\$ (1,086	) (1,334.2 )%
% of total revenue	(2.0	)% 7.9	%	

Other expense was \$0.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007 due to the minority interests in our joint ventures. Other income was \$814,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2006 due to a realized gain of \$1.1 million on the sale of shares of common stock of Finisar Corporation held by us, partially offset by minority interests in our joint ventures.

	Six Months End	ded		
	June 30, 2007 (\$ in thousands	<b>2006</b>	Increase (Decrease)	% Change
Other income (expense) net	\$ (283)	) \$ 1,052	\$ (1,335 )	(126.9)%
% of total revenue	(1.1)	)% 5.6	%	

Other expense was \$0.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007 due to the minority interests in our joint ventures. Other income was \$1.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006 due to a realized gain of \$1.4 million on the sale of shares of common stock of Finisar Corporation held by us, partially offset by minority interests in our joint ventures.

Provision for Income Taxes

	Three Months	Ended		
	June 30, 2007	2006	Increase (Decrease)	% Change
	(\$ in thousand	S)		
Provision for income taxes	\$ 162	\$ 138	\$ 24	17.4 %
% of total revenue	1.2	% 1.3	%	

We provided for income taxes of \$0.2 million for our China operations for the three months ended June 30, 2007 compared to \$0.1 million for the three month period ended June 30, 2006. The increase in provision is due to higher income in two of our China joint ventures.

	Six Months End June 30, 2007 (\$ in thousands	2006	Increase (Decrease)	% Change
Provision for income taxes	\$ 273	\$ 456	\$ (183	) (40.1 )%
% of total revenue	1.0	% 2.4	%	

We provided for income taxes of \$0.3 million for our China operations for the six months ended June 30, 2007 compared to \$0.5 million for the six month period ended June 30, 2006. The decrease in provision in 2007 compared to 2006 is due to an overprovision in the first three months of 2006 in our China subsidiary.

### Gain from Discontinued Operations

	Three Months	Ended		
	June 30, 2007 (\$ in thousand	2006 s)	Increase (Decrease)	% Change
Gain from discontinued operations	\$	\$ 2	\$ (2	) (100.0 )%
% of total revenue	NA	0.0	%	

In January, 2007, we dissolved the corporation that operated our discontinued operations that related to our opto-electronics business and transferred the cash balance to our continuing operations. Accordingly, we no longer have discontinued operations. For the three months ended June 30, 2006, the \$2,000 in income was from interest income earned on cash balances held in the discontinued operations.

	Six Months En June 30, 2007 (\$ in thousand	2006	Increase (Decrease)	% Change
Gain from discontinued operations	\$	\$ 3	\$ (3	) (100.0 )%
% of total revenue	NA	0.0	%	

In January 2007, we dissolved the corporation that operated our discontinued operations that related to our opto-electronics business and transferred the cash balance to our continuing operations. Accordingly, we no longer have discontinued operations. For the six months ended June 30, 2006, the \$3,000 in income was from interest income earned on cash balances held in the discontinued operations.

### **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

As of June 30, 2007, our principal sources of liquidity were \$33.7 million in cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments, excluding restricted deposits. We consider cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments as liquid and available for use. Short-term investments are comprised of government bonds and high-grade commercial debt instruments.

Cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments decreased \$1.9 million to \$33.7 million as of June 30, 2007 compared with \$35.5 million as of December 31, 2006. Included in this decrease are the purchase of machinery and equipment of \$2.3 million, payments of long-term debt of \$0.4 million, and the continual funding of our operations, partially offset by the net proceeds of \$3.7 million received from the public offering of 862,500 shares of our common stock in January 2007.

Net cash used in operating activities of \$3.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007 was primarily comprised of our net income of \$2.6 million, adjusted for non-cash items of depreciation of \$0.7 million, stock based compensation of \$0.2 million, partially offset by a recovery of impairment on assets held for sale of \$0.5 million, and offset by a net increase of \$6.8 million in assets and liabilities. The net increase in assets and liabilities resulted from a \$4.6 million increase in inventories, \$1.7 million decrease in accounts payable, \$0.5 million increase in other assets and a \$0.2 million increase in accounts receivable, net, partially offset by a \$0.2 million decrease in income taxes payable.

Inventories, increased by \$4.7 million, or 23.1%, to \$24.9 million as of June 30, 2007 compared with \$20.3 million as of December 31, 2006, as we increased inventory in raw materials and work-in-process to increase production in anticipation of increased forecast sales. The value of finished goods increased by \$0.7 million with respect to consignment inventory as we increased our number of consignees from four to six.

Accounts receivable, net increased by \$0.2 million, or 2.3%, to \$9.9 million as of June 30, 2007 compared to \$9.7 million as of December 31, 2006. Gross accounts receivable increased by \$0.8 million from \$9.8 million to \$10.6 million as revenue increased. The allowance for doubtful accounts increased by \$0.6 million from \$0.1 million to \$0.7 million mainly for some slow-paying customers in Asia. We continue to pursue collections on slow-paying customers. Our days sales outstanding is 66 days as of June 30, 2007 compared to 68 days as of December 31, 2006.

Net cash used in investing activities of \$0.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007 was primarily for the purchase of investments totaling \$10.3 million, the purchase of property, plant and equipment of \$2.3 million, partially offset by the proceeds from sale of investments of \$11.9 million and the decrease of restricted cash of \$0.3 million.

We expect to invest approximately \$2.6 million in capital projects for the remainder of 2007. We believe that our existing and planned facilities and equipment are sufficient to fulfill current and expected future orders.

Net cash provided by financing activities of \$3.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007 consisted of \$3.7 million net proceeds from the issuance of 862,500 shares of common stock as a result of our January 2007 closing of our public offer of our common stock and \$0.2 million from employee stock options exercised, partially offset by payments of \$0.4 million related to long-term borrowings.

Our main Fremont, California facility is financed by long-term borrowings, which were refinanced by taxable variable rate revenue bonds in 1998. These bonds mature in 2023 and bear interest at a variable rate that was 5.42% as of June 30, 2007. The bonds are traded in the public market. Repayment of principal and interest under the bonds is supported by a letter of credit from our bank and is paid on a quarterly basis. We have the option to redeem the bonds in whole or in part during their term. As of June 30, 2007, \$6.9 million was outstanding under these bonds.

As of June 30, 2007, the credit facility maintained by us with a bank included a letter of credit supporting repayment of our revenue bonds with an outstanding amount of \$6.9 million. We have pledged and placed a like amount of investment securities with an affiliate of the bank as additional collateral for this facility.

On June 15, 2007, we entered into a sales agreement with an independent third party to sell our main Fremont, California facility. We estimate our net proceeds will be approximately \$5.1 million after deducting sales commission and other selling expenses. We expect to close escrow in the third quarter, pending timely sign off on the closure report by the local fire department.

We believe that we have adequate cash and investments to meet our needs over the next 12 months. If our performance fails to improve, we will continue to use cash and may at some time be forced to seek additional capital. There can be no assurance that such additional capital will be available or, if available it will be at terms acceptable to us. Cash from operations could be affected by various risks and uncertainties, including, but not limited to those set forth below under Item 1A Risks Factors.

Outstanding contractual obligations as of June 30, 2007 are summarized as follows (in thousands):

	Payments due b	y period			
		Less than	1 - 3	3 - 5	More than
Contractual Obligations	Total	1 year	years	years	5 years
Debt	\$ 6,906	\$ 450	\$ 956	\$ 900	\$ 4,600
Operating leases	4,232	655	1,466	1,553	558
Purchase obligation	7,290	4,800	2,490		
Total	\$ 18,428	\$ 5,905	\$ 4,912	\$ 2,453	\$ 5,158

We lease certain office space, manufacturing facilities and property under long-term operating leases expiring at various dates through March 2013. Total rent payments under these operating leases were approximately \$0.2 million and \$0.3 million for the six month periods ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

On February 27, 2007, we entered into an agreement with Recapture Metals Limited of Ontario, Canada (Recapture), pursuant to which Recapture will supply our subsidiary in the People s Republic of China with one thousand kilograms per month of 99.99999% pure gallium, during the eighteen month period beginning July 1, 2007. Under the terms of the agreement, we are required to purchase a minimum of eighteen thousand kilograms of gallium, unless the agreement is terminated prior to the expiration of the eighteen month period on December 31, 2008.

#### ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

#### Foreign Currency Exchange Rate Exposure

We operate in the United States, manufacture in the People s Republic of China (PRC), and the substantial majority of our sales to date have been made in U.S. dollars. Certain expenses from our PRC operations are incurred in the PRC s Renminbi. As a result, currency fluctuations between the U.S. dollar and the Renminbi could cause foreign currency transaction gains or losses that we would recognize in the period incurred. However, a 10% fluctuation in the dollar at June 30, 2007 would have an immaterial impact on our net dollar position in outstanding trade payables and receivables.

In July 2005, the PRC uncoupled the Renminbi from the U.S. dollar and began to permit it to float in a narrow band against a basket of foreign currencies. The move revalued Renminbi by 2.1% against the U.S. dollar; however, it is uncertain what further adjustments will follow. The Renminbi-U.S. dollar exchange rate could float, and the Renminbi could appreciate relative to the U.S. dollar.

We expect our international revenues and expenses to continue to be denominated largely in U.S. dollars. We also believe that our PRC operations will likely expand in the future as our business continues to grow. As a result, we anticipate that we may experience increased exposure to the risks of fluctuating currencies and may choose to engage in currency hedging activities to reduce these risks. However, we cannot be certain that any such hedging activities will be effective, or available to us at commercially reasonable rates.

#### **Interest Rate Risk**

Cash and cash equivalents earning interest and certain variable rate debt instruments are subject to interest rate fluctuations. The following table sets forth the probable impact of a 10% change in interest rates (in thousands):

Instrument	Balance as of June 30, 2007	Current Interest Rate	Projected Annual Interest Income/(Expense)	Proforma 10% Interest Rate Decline Income/(Expense)	Proforma 10% Interest Rate Increase Income/(Expense)
Cash	\$ 6,427	0.50	% \$ 32	\$ 29	\$ 35
Cash equivalents	8,989	5.21	468	421	515
Investment in debt and equity					
instruments	25,112	4.71	1,183	1,064	1,301
Taxable variable rate revenue					
bonds	(6,850	) 5.42	(371	) (334	) (408
			\$ 1,312	\$ 1,180	\$ 1,443

#### **Equity Risk**

We maintain minority investments in privately-held companies. These investments are reviewed for other than temporary declines in value on a quarterly basis. These investments are classified as other assets in the consolidated balance sheets and are accounted for under the cost method as we do not have the ability to exercise significant influence over their operations. We monitor our investments for impairment and record reductions in carrying value when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. Reasons for other than temporary declines in value include whether the related company would have insufficient cash flow to operate for the next twelve months, significant changes in the operating performance and changes in market conditions. The minority investments totaled \$0.4 million as of December 31, 2006 and June 30, 2007.

#### ITEM 4T. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

(a) Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures, as such term is defined under Rule 13a-15(e) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Based upon that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer concluded that the our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report.

(b) No change in our internal control over financial reporting was made during the quarter ended June 30, 2007, that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

#### PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

#### Item 1. Legal Proceedings

From time to time we may be involved in judicial or administrative proceedings concerning matters arising in the ordinary course of business. We do not expect that any of these matters, individually or in the aggregate, will have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, cash flows or results of operation.

On October 15, 2004, a purported securities class action lawsuit was filed in the United States Court for the Northern District of California, City of Harper Woods Employees Retirement System v. AXT, Inc. et al., No. C 04 4362 MJJ. The Court consolidated the case with a subsequent related case and appointed a lead plaintiff. On April 5, 2005, the lead plaintiff filed a consolidated complaint, captioned as Morgan v. AXT, Inc. et al., No. C 04 4362 MJJ. The lawsuit complaint names AXT, Inc. and our chief technology officer as defendants, and is brought on behalf of a class of all purchasers of our securities from February 6, 2001 through April 27, 2004. The complaint alleges that we announced financial results during this period that were false and misleading. No specific amount of damages is claimed. On September 23, 2005, the Court granted our motion to dismiss the complaint, with leave to amend. The lead plaintiff filed an amended complaint, which we had moved to dismiss. On April 24, 2007, we reached a settlement of this litigation for which we are responsible only for the amount remaining in our insurance retention. We paid the remaining amount of the retention (approximately \$350,000) as of June 30, 2007.

On June 1, 2005, a lawsuit was filed in the Superior Court of California, County of Alameda, Zhao et al. v. American Xtal Technology, et al., No. R 605215713. The lawsuit complaint names as defendants AXT, Inc., our chief technology officer and one of our suppliers. The lawsuit was brought on behalf of two former employees and their minor child. The complaint alleged personal injury, general negligence, intentional tort, wage loss and other damages, including punitive damages, as a result of exposure of the child while in utero to high levels of gallium arsenide and methanol used in the production of gallium arsenide wafers. On April 23, 2007, we reached a settlement of this litigation, all of which is covered by our insurance carriers.

#### Item 1A. Risk Factors

There are no material changes from the risk factors set forth under Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006, provided, however, that the two litigation matters described under the risks disclosed under the captions *If* we fail to comply with environmental and safety regulations, we may be subject to significant fines or forced to cease our operations; in addition, we could be subject to suits for personal injuries caused by hazardous materials and Existing or future litigation could result in significant judgments against us, or cause us to incur costly settlements. These two settled matters comprise (i) the complaint filed against us and a current and former officer, alleging personal injury, general negligence, intentional tort, wage loss and other damages as a result of exposure of plaintiffs, who are former employees of AXT, including a minor child in utero, to high levels of gallium arsenide in gallium arsenide wafers, and methanol, and (ii) the ongoing securities litigation matter.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Use of Proceeds

On December 19, 2006 and January 9, 2007, we sold 5,750,000 shares and 862,500 shares, respectively, of our common stock in a firmly underwritten public offering pursuant to a registration statement on Form S-3 (SEC file number 333-135474), which was declared effective by the SEC on August 3, 2006, and a registration statement on Form S-3MEF (SEC file number 333-139365), which was effective upon filing with the SEC on December 15, 2006. Needham & Company, LLC acted as the sole underwriter. The price per share to the public was \$4.50. We received net proceeds of \$24.1 million and \$3.7 million, for aggregate net proceeds of \$27.8 million. The net proceeds have been and will continue to be used for corporate and joint venture capacity expansion, research and development and working capital requirements, as well as potential acquisitions of complementary products, technologies or businesses.

#### Item 3. Defaults upon Senior Securities

None

#### Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

AXT held its annual meeting of stockholders at its headquarters in Fremont, California on May 22, 2007. Of the 29,894,949 shares outstanding as of the record date, 20,778,717 shares were represented in person or by proxy at the meeting. Proxies were solicited by the Company pursuant to Regulation 14A under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. At the meeting, AXT s stockholders voted on the following matters:

(1) Proposal to elect a class III director to hold office for a three-year term, and until the successor is elected and qualified.

Class III directors	For	Abstain
Leonard J. LeBlanc	20.667.345	5,688,543

In addition, the term of office as directors of Dr. Morris Young, Mr. Leonard Le Blanc and Dr. David Chang continued after the meeting.

Proposal to ratify the appointment of Burr, Pilger & Mayer LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2007.

	For	Against	Abstain
Burr, Pilger & Mayer LLP	20,769,270	28,774	683,901

(3) Proposal to restate the AXT, Inc. 1997 Stock Option Plan as the AXT, Inc. 2007 Equity Incentive Plan.

	For	Against	Abstain
AXT, Inc. 2007 Equity Incentive Plan	10,460,637	5,500,658	77,350

Accordingly, all three proposals were approved by the stockholders.

#### **Item 5. Other Information**

None

# Item 6. Exhibits

# a. Exhibits

Exhibit Number	Description
31.1	Certification by Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification by Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1	Certification by Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2	Certification by Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32	

#### **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this Report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

AXT, INC.

Dated: August 13, 2007 By: /s/ Philip C. S. Yin

Philip C. S. Yin Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)

/s/ Wilson W. Cheung Wilson W. Cheung Chief Financial Officer

(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

# EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit Number	Description
31.1	Certification by Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification by Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1	Certification by Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2	Certification by Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
34	