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## PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## Item 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## DRIL-QUIP, INC.

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

## (UNAUDITED)

|  | March 31, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ <br> (In thousands) |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | ASSETS |  |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

## DRIL-QUIP, INC.

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

## (UNAUDITED)



The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

DRIL-QUIP, INC.

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

## (UNAUDITED)

|  | Three months ended March 31, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (In thousands) |  |
| Net income |  | 39,842 | \$ 28,797 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax: |  |  |  |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments |  | $(14,623)$ | 8,625 |
| Total comprehensive income |  | 25,219 | \$ 37,422 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

## DRIL-QUIP, INC.

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOW

## (UNAUDITED)

$\left.\left.\begin{array}{l|rl} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Three months ended } \\ \text { March 31, }\end{array} \\ \mathbf{2 0 1 2}\end{array}\right] \begin{array}{l}\mathbf{2 0 1 3} \\ \text { (In thousands) }\end{array}\right)$

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

## DRIL-QUIP, INC.

## NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## (UNAUDITED)

## 1. Organization and Principles of Consolidation

Dril-Quip, Inc., a Delaware corporation (the Company or Dril-Quip ), designs, manufactures, sells and services highly engineered offshore drilling and production equipment that is well suited for use in deepwater, harsh environment and severe service applications. The Company s principal products consist of subsea and surface wellheads, subsea and surface production trees, subsea control systems and manifolds, mudline hanger systems, specialty connectors and associated pipe, drilling and production riser systems, liner hangers, wellhead connectors and diverters. Dril-Quip s products are used by major integrated, large independent and foreign national oil and gas companies in offshore areas throughout the world. Dril-Quip also provides technical advisory assistance on an as-requested basis during installation of its products, as well as rework and reconditioning services for customer-owned Dril-Quip products. In addition, Dril-Quip s customers may rent or purchase running tools from the Company for use in the installation and retrieval of the Company s products.

The Company s operations are organized into three geographic segments Western Hemisphere (including North and South America; headquartered in Houston, Texas), Eastern Hemisphere (including Europe and Africa; headquartered in Aberdeen, Scotland) and Asia-Pacific (including the Pacific Rim, Southeast Asia, Australia, India and the Middle East; headquartered in Singapore). Each of these segments sells similar products and services and the Company has major manufacturing facilities in all three of its headquarter locations as well as in Macae, Brazil.

The condensed consolidated financial statements included herein are unaudited. The balance sheet at December 31, 2012, has been derived from the audited consolidated financial statements at that date. In the opinion of management, the unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements include all normal recurring adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of the financial position as of March 31, 2013 and the results of operations, comprehensive income and cash flows for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2013 and 2012. Although management believes the unaudited interim related disclosures in these condensed consolidated financial statements are adequate to make the information presented not misleading, certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in annual audited consolidated financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America have been condensed or omitted pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. The results of operations, comprehensive income and the cash flows for the three-month period ended March 31, 2013 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year. The condensed consolidated financial statements included herein should be read in conjunction with the consolidated audited financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

## 2. Significant Accounting Policies <br> Principles of Consolidation

The condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its subsidiaries. All material intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated.

## Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities as of the date of the financial statements and reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Some of the Company s more significant estimates are those affected by critical accounting policies for revenue recognition, inventories and contingent liabilities as discussed more fully in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Revenue Recognition

## Product Revenue
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The Company earns product revenues from two methods:
product revenues recognized under the percentage-of-completion method; and
product revenues from the sale of products that do not qualify for the percentage-of-completion method.

Revenues recognized under the percentage-of-completion method

The Company uses the percentage-of-completion method on long-term project contracts that have the following characteristics:

The contracts call for products which are designed to customer specifications;

The structural designs are unique and require significant engineering and manufacturing efforts generally requiring more than one year in duration;

The contracts contain specific terms as to milestones, progress billings and delivery dates; and

Product requirements cannot be filled directly from the Company s standard inventory.
For each project, the Company prepares a detailed analysis of estimated costs, profit margin, completion date and risk factors which include availability of material, production efficiencies and other factors that may impact the project. On a quarterly basis, management reviews the progress of each project, which may result in revisions of previous estimates, including revenue recognition. The Company calculates the percent complete and applies the percentage to determine the revenues earned and the appropriate portion of total estimated costs. Losses, if any, are recorded in full in the period they become known. Historically, the Company s estimates of total costs and costs to complete have approximated actual costs incurred to complete the project.

Under the percentage-of-completion method, billings may not correlate directly to the revenue recognized. Based upon the terms of the specific contract, billings may be in excess of the revenue recognized, in which case the amounts are included in customer prepayments as a liability on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. Likewise, revenue recognized may exceed customer billings in which case the amounts are reported in trade receivables. Unbilled revenues are expected to be billed and collected within one year. At March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 , receivables included $\$ 54.3$ million and $\$ 62.1$ million of unbilled receivables, respectively. For the quarter ended March 31, 2013, there were 14 projects representing approximately $19 \%$ of the Company s total revenue and approximately $22 \%$ of its product revenues that were accounted for using percentage-of-completion accounting, compared to 13 projects during the first quarter of 2012, which represented approximately $17 \%$ of the Company s total revenues and approximately $20 \%$ of its product revenues. During 2012, there were 21 projects representing approximately $20 \%$ of the Company s total revenues and approximately $24 \%$ of its product revenues.

## Revenues not recognized under the percentage-of-completion method

Revenues from the sale of inventory products, not accounted for under the percentage-of-completion method, are recorded at the time the manufacturing processes are complete and ownership is transferred to the customer.

## Service revenue

The Company earns service revenues from three sources:
technical advisory assistance;
rental of running tools; and
rework and reconditioning of customer-owned Dril-Quip products.
The Company does not install products for its customers, but it does provide technical advisory assistance. At the time of delivery of the product, the customer is not obligated to buy or rent the Company s running tools and the Company is not obligated to perform any subsequent services relating to installation. Technical advisory assistance service revenue is recorded at the time the service is rendered. Service revenues associated with the rental of running and installation tools are recorded as earned. Rework and reconditioning service revenues are recorded when the
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refurbishment process is complete.

The Company normally negotiates contracts for products, including those accounted for under the percentage-of-completion method, and services separately. For all product sales, it is the customer s decision as to the timing of the product installation as well as whether Dril-Quip running tools will be purchased or rented. Furthermore, the customer is under no obligation to utilize the Company stechnical advisory services. The customer may use a third party or their own personnel.

## Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The Company s financial instruments consist primarily of cash and cash equivalents, receivables and payables. The carrying values of these financial instruments approximate their respective fair values as they are short-term in nature.

Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per common share is computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per common share is computed considering the dilutive effect of stock options and awards using the treasury stock method.

In each relevant period, the net income used in the basic and dilutive earnings per share calculations is the same. The following table reconciles the number of common shares outstanding at March 31 of each year to the weighted average number of common shares outstanding and the weighted average diluted number of common shares outstanding for the purpose of calculating basic and diluted earnings per share:

|  | Three months ended March 31, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of common shares outstanding at end of period basic | 40,548 | 40,187 |
| Effect of using weighted average common shares outstanding | (19) | (3) |
| Weighted average basic common shares outstanding basic | 40,529 | 40,184 |
| Dilutive effect of common stock options and awards | 184 | 227 |
| Weighted average diluted common shares outstanding diluted | 40,713 | 40,411 |

## 3. New Accounting Standards

In February 2013 the FASB issued ASU 2013-02 Comprehensive Income (Topic 220) Reporting Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income. The amendment requires an entity to provide information about the amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by component. An entity is required to present, either on the face of the statements where net income is presented or in the notes, significant amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by the respective line items of net income. The statement is effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2012. The Company adopted this statement for the reporting period ended March 31, 2013. The Company s balance in accumulated other comprehensive gains (losses) is comprised solely of cumulative translation adjustments and no amounts were reclassified to the income statement during the quarter ended March 31, 2013.

## 4. Stock-Based Compensation and Stock Awards

During the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company recognized approximately $\$ 2.1$ million and $\$ 1.5$ million, respectively, of stock-based compensation expense. Stock-based compensation expense is included in the selling, general and administrative expense line of the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income. No stock-based compensation expense was capitalized during the three months ended March 31, 2013 or 2012. Except for a deminimus amount, there were no stock options or awards granted in the first quarter of 2013. There were no stock options or awards granted in the first quarter of 2012. Refer to Note 13 of the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 for additional information regarding stock-based compensation plans.

## 5. Inventories

Inventories consist of the following:

|  | March 31, 2013 (In | De | mber 31, <br> 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Raw materials | \$ 87,434 | \$ | 86,007 |
| Work in progress | 110,474 |  | 97,379 |
| Finished goods | 200,079 |  | 209,221 |
|  | 397,987 |  | 392,607 |
| Less: allowance for obsolete and excess inventory | $(29,680)$ |  | $(30,426)$ |
| Total inventory | \$ 368,307 | \$ | 362,181 |

## 6. Geographic Areas

|  | Three months ended March 31, <br> 2013 <br> 2012 <br> (In thousands) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revenues: |  |  |
| Western Hemisphere |  |  |
| Products | \$ 99,729 | \$ 67,692 |
| Services | 15,629 | 15,760 |
| Intercompany | 12,387 | 17,458 |
| Total | \$ 127,745 | \$ 100,910 |
| Eastern Hemisphere |  |  |
| Products | \$ 37,833 | \$ 58,652 |
| Services | 12,167 | 8,522 |
| Intercompany | 155 | 3,926 |
| Total | \$ 50,155 | \$ 71,100 |
| Asia-Pacific |  |  |
| Products | \$ 22,923 | \$ 23,362 |
| Services | 4,874 | 3,136 |
| Intercompany | 131 | 25 |
| Total | \$ 27,928 | \$ 26,523 |
| Summary |  |  |
| Products | \$ 160,485 | \$ 149,706 |
| Services | 32,670 | 27,418 |
| Intercompany | 12,673 | 21,409 |
| Eliminations | $(12,673)$ | $(21,409)$ |
| Total | \$ 193,155 | \$ 177,124 |
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| Western Hemisphere | $\$ 24,946$ | $\$ 19,321$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Eastern Hemisphere | 17,008 | 13,154 |
| Asia-Pacific | 9,691 | 4,445 |
| Eliminations | 634 | 3,210 |
|  |  |  |
| Total | $\$ 52,279$ | $\$ 40,130$ |


|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { March 31, } \\ & 2013 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |
| Total Long-Lived Assets: |  |  |  |  |
| Western Hemisphere |  | 217,347 | \$ | 215,340 |
| Eastern Hemisphere |  | 35,967 |  | 36,194 |
| Asia-Pacific |  | 59,411 |  | 58,484 |
| Eliminations |  | $(2,959)$ |  | $(2,959)$ |
|  |  | 309,766 | \$ | 307,059 |
| Total Assets: |  |  |  |  |
| Western Hemisphere |  | 739,999 | \$ | 727,242 |
| Eastern Hemisphere |  | 269,341 |  | 275,868 |
| Asia-Pacific |  | 256,127 |  | 261,319 |
| Eliminations |  | $(13,527)$ |  | $(32,982)$ |
|  |  | 1,251,940 | \$ | 1,231,447 |

## 7. Commitments and Contingencies

Deepwater Horizon Incident

On April 22, 2010, a deepwater U.S. Gulf of Mexico drilling rig known as the Deepwater Horizon, operated by BP Exploration \& Production, Inc. ( BP ), sank after an explosion and fire that began on April 20, 2010. The Company s wellhead and certain of its other equipment were in use on the Deepwater Horizon at the time of the incident. The Company was named in both class action and other lawsuits arising from the Deepwater Horizon incident that were consolidated in the multi-district proceeding In Re: Oil Spill by the Oil Rig Deepwater Horizon in the Gulf of Mexico, on April 20, 2010 ( MDL Proceeding ). On January 20, 2012, the judge presiding over the MDL Proceeding issued an order that granted the Company s Motion for Summary Judgment and dismissed all claims asserted against the Company in those proceedings with prejudice. On April 9, 2012, the judge issued an order granting a final judgment in favor of the Company with respect to the court s prior order that granted the Company s Motion for Summary Judgment.

One of the lawsuits against the Company consolidated in the MDL Proceeding was a personal injury lawsuit initially filed in a Texas state court for which the plaintiff has filed a motion to remand the lawsuit back to the Texas state court. If that lawsuit is remanded to the Texas state court, the Company intends to vigorously defend that lawsuit and does not believe it will have a material impact. Accordingly, no liability has been accrued in conjunction with this matter.

## Brazilian Tax Issue

From 2002 to 2007, the Company s Brazilian subsidiary imported goods through the State of Espirito Santo in Brazil and subsequently transferred them to its facility in the State of Rio de Janeiro. During that period, the Company s Brazilian subsidiary paid taxes to the State of Espirito Santo on its imports. Upon the final sale of these goods, the Company s Brazilian subsidiary collected taxes from customers and remitted them to the State of Rio de Janeiro net of the taxes paid on importation of those goods to the State of Espirito Santo in accordance with the Company s understanding of Brazilian tax laws.

In August 2007, the State of Rio de Janeiro served the Company s Brazilian subsidiary with assessments to collect a state tax on the importation of goods through the State of Espirito Santo from 2002 to 2007 claiming that these taxes were due and payable to it under applicable law. The Company settled these assessments with payments to the State of Rio de Janeiro of $\$ 12.2$ million in March 2010 and $\$ 3.9$ million in December 2010. Approximately $\$ 7.8$ million of these settlement payments were attributable to penalties, interest and amounts that had expired under the statute of limitations so that amount was recorded as an expense. The remainder of the settlement payments generated credits (recorded as a prepaid tax) and will be used to offset future state taxes on sales to customers in the State of Rio de Janeiro once certified by the tax authorities under a process that is currently ongoing.

In December 2010 and January 2011, the Company s Brazilian subsidiary was served with additional assessments totaling approximately $\$ 13.0$ million from the State of Rio de Janeiro to cancel the credits associated with the tax payments to the State of Espirito Santo ( Santo Credits ) on the importation of goods from July 2005 to October 2007. The Santo Credits are not related to the credits described in the immediately preceding paragraph. The Company has objected to this assessment as it would represent double taxation on the importation of the same goods and the
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Company is entitled to the credits under applicable Brazilian law. The Company believes that these credits are valid and success in the matter is probable. Based upon this analysis the Company has not accrued any liability in conjunction with this matter.

Since 2007, the Company s Brazilian subsidiary has paid taxes on the importation of goods directly to the State of Rio de Janeiro and the Company does not expect any similar issues to exist for periods subsequent to 2007.

## ATP Bankruptcy

The Company has entered into several contracts with ATP Oil \& Gas Corporation ( ATP ). In August 2012, ATP filed for bankruptcy in the U.S Bankruptcy Court in the Southern District of Texas. At March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company had $\$ 1.4$ million of receivables owed by ATP or its subsidiaries and $\$ 4.3$ million in customer prepayments (payments in excess of recognized revenue) from ATP. The Company has not provided for any losses due to the ATP bankruptcy filings as of March 31, 2013 or December 31, 2012.

## General

The Company operates its business and markets its products and services in most of the significant oil and gas producing areas in the world and is, therefore, subject to the risks customarily attendant to international operations and dependency on the condition of the oil and gas industry. Additionally, products of the Company are used in potentially hazardous drilling, completion, and production applications that can cause personal injury, product liability, and environmental claims. Although exposure to such risk has not resulted in any significant problems in the past, there can be no assurance that ongoing and future developments will not adversely impact the Company.

The Company is also involved in a number of legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business. Although no assurance can be given with respect to the ultimate outcome of such legal action, in the opinion of management, the ultimate liability with respect thereto will not have a material adverse effect on the Company s operations, comprehensive income, financial position or cash flows.

## Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following is management s discussion and analysis of certain significant factors that have affected aspects of the Company s financial position, results of operations, comprehensive income and cash flows during the periods included in the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements. This discussion should be read in conjunction with the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements presented elsewhere herein as well as the discussion under Risk Factors, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and the financial statements included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

## Overview and Industry Outlook

Dril-Quip designs, manufactures, sells and services highly engineered offshore drilling and production equipment that is well suited for use in deepwater, harsh environment and severe service applications. The Company designs and manufactures subsea equipment, surface equipment and offshore rig equipment for use by major integrated, large independent and foreign national oil and gas companies in offshore areas throughout the world. The Company s principal products consist of subsea and surface wellheads, subsea and surface production trees, subsea control systems and manifolds, mudline hanger systems, specialty connectors and associated pipe, drilling and production riser systems, liner hangers, wellhead connectors and diverters. Dril-Quip also provides technical advisory assistance on an as-requested basis during installation of its products, as well as rework and reconditioning services for customer-owned Dril-Quip products and rental of running tools for use in connection with the installation and retrieval of the Company s products.

## Oil and Gas Prices

Both the market for offshore drilling and production equipment and services and the Company s business are substantially dependent on the condition of the oil and gas industry and, in particular, the willingness of oil and gas companies to make capital expenditures on exploration, drilling and production operations offshore. Oil and gas prices and the level of offshore drilling and production activity have historically been characterized by significant volatility.

According to the Energy Information Administration ( EIA ) of the U.S. Department of Energy, average Brent Crude oil and natural gas (Henry Hub) closing prices are listed below for the periods covered by this report:

|  | Three months ended |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | March 31, |  |  |
|  | 2012 |  |  |
| Crude oil $(\$ / \mathrm{Bbl})$ | $\$ 112.47$ | $\$ 118.71$ |  |
| Natural gas (\$cf) | $\$ 3.60$ | $\$$ | 2.52 |

Brent Crude oil prices ranged between $\$ 106.41$ per barrel and $\$ 118.90$ per barrel with an average price of $\$ 112.47$ for the first quarter of 2013 . Brent Crude oil prices ranged between $\$ 108.38$ per barrel and $\$ 128.14$ per barrel with an average price of $\$ 118.71$ for the first quarter of 2012 . Brent crude oil prices ended the first quarter of

2013 at $\$ 108.45$ per barrel. At March 31, 2013 the Henry Hub natural gas price was $\$ 4.15$ per Mcf. The Henry Hub natural gas prices ranged from $\$ 3.18$ to $\$ 4.21$ per Mcf for the quarter ended March 31, 2013 as compared to a low of $\$ 2.06$ and a high of $\$ 3.06$ per Mcf for the quarter ended March 31, 2012. On April 19, 2013 the Henry Hub natural gas price closed at $\$ 4.52$ per Mcf.

According to the April 2013 release of the Short-Term Energy Outlook published by the EIA, Brent Crude oil prices are projected to average $\$ 107.96$ per barrel in 2013 and $\$ 100.83$ per barrel in 2014. On April 19, 2013, the Brent Crude oil price was $\$ 98.94$ per barrel. In its April 2013 Oil Market Report, the International Energy Agency projects that world demand for oil will rise to 90.6 million barrels per day in 2013, which is 0.8 million barrels per day over 2012. In April 2013, the EIA projected Henry Hub natural gas prices to average $\$ 3.63$ per Mcf in 2013 and $\$ 3.71$ per Mcf in 2014.

## Rig Count

Detailed below is the average contracted rig count for the Company s geographic regions for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012. The rig count data includes floating rigs (semi-submersibles and drillships) and jack-up rigs. The Company has included only these types of rigs as they are the primary end users of the Company s products.

|  | Three months ended March 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 |  | 2012 |  |
|  | Floating Rigs | Jack-up Rigs | Floating Rigs | Jack-up Rigs |
| Western Hemisphere | 131 | 85 | 120 | 81 |
| Eastern Hemisphere | 90 | 88 | 88 | 81 |
| Asia - Pacific | 48 | 235 | 50 | 212 |
| TOTAL | 269 | 408 | 258 | 374 |

Source: ODS - Petrodata RigBase - March 31, 2013 and 2012

The table represents rigs under contract and includes rigs currently drilling as well as rigs committed, but not yet drilling. According to ODS-Petrodata RigBase, as of March 31, 2013, there were 73 rigs under contract ( 38 floating rigs and 35 jack-up rigs) in the U.S. Gulf of Mexico, 70 of which were actively drilling ( 36 floating rigs and 34 jack-up rigs). At March 31, 2012, there were 65 rigs under contract in the U.S. Gulf of Mexico ( 28 floating rigs and 37 jack-up rigs), of which 58 were actively drilling ( 22 floating rigs and 36 jack-up rigs).

The Company believes that the number of rigs (semi-submersibles, drillships and jack-up rigs) under construction impacts its revenues because in certain cases, its customers order some of the Company s products during the construction of such rigs. As a result, an increase in rig construction activity tends to favorably impact the Company s backlog while a decrease in rig construction activity tends to negatively impact the Company s backlog. According to ODS-Petrodata, at the end of March 2013 and 2012, there were 195 and 183 rigs, respectively, under construction and the expected delivery dates for the rigs under construction on March 31, 2013 are as follows:

|  | Floating Rigs | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jack-Up } \\ \text { Rigs } \end{gathered}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2013 | 21 | 46 | 67 |
| 2014 | 26 | 27 | 53 |
| 2015 | 16 | 26 | 42 |
| 2016 | 10 | 1 | 11 |
| After 2016 or unspecified delivery date | 20 | 2 | 22 |
|  | 93 | 102 | 195 |

## Regulation

The demand for the Company s products and services is also affected by laws and regulations relating to the oil and gas industry in general, including those specifically directed to offshore operations. The adoption of new laws and regulations, or changes to existing laws or regulations
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that curtail exploration and development drilling for oil and gas for economic or other policy reasons could adversely affect the Company s operations by limiting demand for its products.

Business Environment

Oil and gas prices and the level of offshore drilling and production activity have been characterized by significant volatility in recent years. Worldwide military, political, economic and other events have contributed to oil and natural gas price volatility and are likely to continue to do so in the future. Oil and gas prices fell from previously high historic levels beginning in mid-2008 and continued into 2009, and began to stabilize somewhat in the latter half of 2009. Brent Crude oil prices in 2010 ranged from $\$ 67.18$ per barrel to $\$ 93.63$ per barrel. In 2011, Brent Crude oil prices peaked at $\$ 126.64$ per barrel and ended the year at $\$ 108.09$ per barrel. In 2012, Brent Crude oil prices ranged between $\$ 88.69$ per barrel and $\$ 128.14$ per barrel with an average price of $\$ 111.63$ per barrel and ended the year at $\$ 110.80$ per barrel. The Company expects continued volatility in both crude oil and natural gas prices, as well as in the level of drilling and production related activities. The volatility in prices appears to have impacted land drilling activity more so than offshore drilling, particularly in deeper offshore waters, where Dril-Quip s products are more often utilized. Even during periods of high prices for oil and natural gas, companies exploring for oil and gas may cancel or curtail programs, or reduce their levels of capital expenditures for exploration and production for a variety of reasons. In addition, a significant and prolonged decline in hydrocarbon prices would likely have a material adverse effect on the Company s results of operations.

The Company believes that its backlog should help mitigate the impact of negative market conditions; however, a prolonged decline in commodity prices, an extended continuation of the downturn in the global economy or future restrictions or declines in offshore oil and gas exploration and production could have a negative impact on the Company and/or its backlog. The Company s backlog at March 31, 2013 was approximately $\$ 1,022$ million compared to approximately $\$ 701$ million at March 31, 2012 and $\$ 881$ million at December 31, 2012. In August 2012, the Company s Brazilian subsidiary, Dril-Quip do Brasil LTDA, was awarded a four-year contract by Petroleo Brasiliero S.A. ( Petrobras ), Brazil s national oil company. At exchange rates in effect at the signing date, the contract was valued at $\$ 650$ million, net of Brazilian taxes, if all the equipment under contract is ordered. Amounts will be included in the Company s backlog as purchase orders under the contract are received. At December 31, 2012, backlog included $\$ 105$ million of purchase orders under the new Petrobras contract and there were no new purchase orders issued or any shipments made related to this contract during the first quarter of 2013. The Company can give no assurance that backlog will remain at current levels. All of the Company s projects currently included in its backlog are subject to change and/or termination at the option of the customer. If the Company s existing or future products are unable to satisfy the requirements for any testing required by its customers or additional testing triggered by the Deepwater Horizon incident, or if the costs of the modifications to such products necessary to satisfy the testing are not acceptable to the Company s customers, the customers may terminate their contracts with the Company.

The Company operates its business and markets its products and services in most of the significant oil and gas producing areas in the world and is, therefore, subject to the risks customarily attendant to international operations and investments in foreign countries. These risks include nationalization, expropriation, war, acts of terrorism and civil disturbance, restrictive action by local governments, limitation on repatriation of earnings, change in foreign tax laws and change in currency exchange rates, any of which could have an adverse effect on either the Company s ability to manufacture its products in its facilities abroad or the demand in certain regions for the Company sproducts or both. To date, the Company has not experienced any significant problems in foreign countries arising from local government actions or political instability, but there is no assurance that such problems will not arise in the future.

Revenues. Dril-Quip s revenues are generated from two sources: products and services. Product revenues are derived from the sale of offshore drilling and production equipment. Service revenues are earned when the Company provides technical advisory assistance for installation of the Company s products, reconditioning services and rental of running tools for installation and retrieval of the Company s products. For the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company derived $83 \%$ and $85 \%$, respectively, of its revenues from the sale of its products and $17 \%$ and $15 \%$, respectively, of its revenues from services. Service revenues generally correlate to revenues from product sales because increased product sales typically generate increased demand for technical advisory services during installation and rental of running tools. The Company has substantial international operations, with approximately $74 \%$ and $68 \%$ of its revenues derived from foreign sales for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Substantially all of the Company s domestic revenue relates to operations in the U. S. Gulf of Mexico. Domestic revenue approximated $26 \%$ and $32 \%$, respectively, of the Company s total revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012.

Product contracts are negotiated and sold separately from service contracts. In addition, service contracts are not typically included in the product contracts or related sales orders and are not offered to the customer as a condition of the sale of the Company s products. The demand for products and services is generally based on world-wide economic conditions in the offshore oil and gas industry, and is not based on a specific relationship between the two types of contracts. Substantially all of the Company s sales are made on a purchase order basis. Purchase orders are subject to change and/or termination at the option of the customer. In case of a change or termination, the customer is required to pay the Company for work performed and other costs necessarily incurred as a result of the change or termination.

Generally, the Company attempts to raise its prices as its costs increase. However, the actual pricing of the Company s products and services is impacted by a number of factors, including competitive pricing pressure, the level of utilized capacity in the oil service sector, maintenance of market share, the introduction of new products and general market conditions.

The Company accounts for larger and more complex projects that have relatively longer manufacturing time frames on a percentage-of-completion basis. For the first three months of 2013, 14 projects representing approximately $19 \%$ of the Company s total revenue and approximately $22 \%$ of its product revenue were accounted for using percentage-of-completion accounting, compared to 13 projects representing approximately $17 \%$ of the Company s total revenue and $20 \%$ of its product revenue for the first three months of 2012. This percentage may fluctuate in the future. Revenues accounted for in this manner are generally recognized based upon a calculation of the percentage complete, which is used to determine the revenue earned and the appropriate portion of total estimated cost of sales. Accordingly, price and cost estimates are reviewed periodically as the work progresses, and adjustments proportionate to the percent complete are reflected in the period when such estimates are revised. Losses, if any, are recorded in full in the period they become known. Amounts received from customers in excess of revenues recognized are classified as a current liability.

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, a breakdown of the Company s U.S. Gulf of Mexico products and services revenues:
$\left.\begin{array}{lrr} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Three months ended } \\ \text { March 31, } \\ \text { 2012 }\end{array} \\ \text { 2013 (In millions) }\end{array}\right]$

As a result of the 2010 U.S. Gulf of Mexico drilling moratorium and subsequent delays in the issuance of permits, many of the Company s customers in the U.S. Gulf of Mexico still have unused inventory of the Company s subsea wellhead equipment. The number of floating rigs actively drilling in the U.S. Gulf of Mexico totaled 36 as of March 31, 2013, compared to an average of 29 in 2012 and an average of 18 for the 2011 year. The Company believes that the effects of the U.S. Gulf of Mexico drilling moratorium and related permitting delays have had little or no impact on revenues related to offshore rig equipment. The change in offshore rig equipment revenues in the three months ended March 31, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012 resulted primarily from a reduction of revenues from projects accounted for under the percentage-of-completion method. For the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company s U.S. Gulf of Mexico service revenues as a percentage of worldwide revenues was $5.7 \%$ and $6.6 \%$, respectively.

Cost of Sales. The principal elements of cost of sales are labor, raw materials and manufacturing overhead. Cost of sales as a percentage of revenues is influenced by the product mix sold in any particular period, costs from projects accounted for under the percentage- of-completion method and market conditions. The Company s costs related to its foreign operations do not significantly differ from its domestic costs.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses. Selling, general and administrative expenses include the costs associated with sales and marketing, general corporate overhead, compensation expense, stock-based compensation expense, legal expenses, foreign currency transaction gains and losses and other related administrative functions.

Engineering and Product Development Expenses. Engineering and product development expenses consist of new product development and testing, as well as application engineering related to customized products.

Income Tax Provision. The Company s effective income tax rate has historically been lower than the statutory rate primarily due to foreign income tax rate differentials, research and development credits and deductions related to domestic manufacturing activities.

## Results of Operations

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, certain statement of operations data expressed as a percentage of revenues:

|  | Three months ended <br> March 31, |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Revenues: | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| Products | $83.1 \%$ | $84.5 \%$ |
| Services | 16.9 | 15.5 |
| Total revenues | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Cost of sales: | 50.0 | 52.0 |
| Products | 10.2 | 8.4 |
| Services |  |  |
|  | 60.2 | 60.4 |
| Total cost of sales | 8.2 | 11.6 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 4.7 | 5.4 |
| Engineering and product development | 26.9 | 22.6 |
| Operating income | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Interest income |  |  |
| Interest expense | 27.0 | 22.7 |
| Income before income taxes | 6.4 | 6.4 |
| Income tax provision | $20.6 \%$ | $16.3 \%$ |
|  |  |  |
| Net income |  |  |

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, a breakdown of our product and service revenues:

|  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Three months ended } \\ \text { March 31, } \\ \text { 2012 }\end{array}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 2013 |  |  |
| (In millions) |  |  |$]$

Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 Compared to Three Months Ended March 31, 2012.

Revenues. Revenues increased by $\$ 16.1$ million, or approximately $9.1 \%$, to $\$ 193.2$ million in the three months ended March 31, 2013 from $\$ 177.1$ million in the three months ended March 31, 2012. Product revenues increased by approximately $\$ 10.8$ million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012 as a result of increased revenues of $\$ 12.1$ million in subsea equipment and $\$ 3.2$ million in offshore rig equipment, offset by a $\$ 4.5$ million decrease in surface equipment. Product revenues increased in the Western Hemisphere by $\$ 32.0$ million, offset by a $\$ 20.8$ million decrease in the Eastern Hemisphere and a $\$ 400,000$ decrease in Asia-Pacific. In any given time period, the revenues recognized between the various product lines and geographic areas will vary depending upon the timing of
shipments to customers, completion status of the projects accounted for under the percentage-of-completion accounting method, market conditions and customer demand at that time. Service revenues increased by approximately $\$ 5.3$ million as a result of increases of $\$ 3.6$ million in the Eastern Hemisphere and $\$ 1.7$ million in Asia-Pacific. The majority of the increases in service revenues related to additional revenues from technical advisory assistance and rework of customer-owned equipment.

Cost of Sales. Cost of sales increased by $\$ 9.3$ million, or approximately $8.7 \%$, to $\$ 116.3$ million for the three months ended March 31,2013 from $\$ 107.0$ million for the same period in 2012. This increase was primarily due to increased revenues. As a percentage of revenues, cost of sales were approximately $60.2 \%$ and $60.4 \%$ for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses. For the three months ended March 31, 2013, selling, general and administrative expenses decreased by approximately $\$ 4.8$ million, or $23.5 \%$, to $\$ 15.6$ million from $\$ 20.4$ million in the 2012 period. The Company experienced approximately $\$ 5.6$ million in pre-tax foreign currency transaction gains in the first quarter of 2013 due to the strengthening of the United States dollar relative to the British pound sterling compared to a loss of $\$ 2.3$ million in the first quarter of 2012 due to the weakening of the U.S. dollar versus the British pound sterling and the Brazilian real. Stock award expense totaled $\$ 2.0$ million for the first quarter of 2013 as compared to $\$ 1.5$ million in 2012. Selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of revenues decreased to $8.2 \%$ in 2013 from $11.6 \%$ in March 2012.

Engineering and Product Development Expenses. For the three-month period ended March 31, 2013, engineering and product development expenses totaled $\$ 9.0$ million compared to $\$ 9.6$ million for the same period in 2012, a decrease of $\$ 600,000$ or $6.3 \%$. Engineering and product development expenses as a percentage of revenues decreased to $4.7 \%$ in 2013 from $5.4 \%$ in 2012.

Income tax provision. Income tax expense for the three months ended March 31, 2013 was $\$ 12.4$ million on income before taxes of $\$ 52.3$ million, resulting in an effective income tax rate of approximately $23.8 \%$. Income tax expense for the three months ended March 31, 2012 was $\$ 11.3$ million on income before taxes of $\$ 40.1$ million, resulting in an effective income tax rate of approximately $28.2 \%$. The decrease in the effective income tax rate reflects the $\$ 1.2$ million Research and Development tax credit from the American Taxpayer Relief Act of 2012 recognized on the 2012 U.S. income tax return, but not recorded until 2013 for financial statement purposes in accordance with GAAP, and the difference in income among the Company sthree geographic areas, which have different income tax rates.

Net Income. Net income was approximately $\$ 39.8$ million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and $\$ 28.8$ million for the same period in 2012 for the reasons set forth above.

## Liquidity and Capital Resources

Cash flows provided by (used in) type of activity were as follows:

|  | Three months ended March 31, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Operating activities | \$ 74,983 | \$ (1,484) |
| Investing activities | $(11,904)$ | $(13,710)$ |
| Financing activities | 3,673 | 544 |
|  | 66,752 | $(14,650)$ |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash activities | $(4,969)$ | 1,562 |
| Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | \$ 61,783 | \$ $(13,088)$ |

Statements of cash flows for entities with international operations that are local currency functional exclude the effects of the changes in foreign currency exchange rates that occur during any given period, as these are non-cash changes. As a result, changes reflected in certain accounts on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows may not reflect the changes in corresponding accounts on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

The primary liquidity needs of the Company are (i) to fund capital expenditures to improve and expand facilities and manufacture additional running tools and (ii) to fund working capital. The Company s principal sources of funds have been cash flows from operations.

Cash flows from operating activities provided $\$ 75.0$ million of cash during the three months ended March 31, 2013 compared to utilizing $\$ 1.5$ million for the same period in 2012. This increase in cash flows of $\$ 76.5$ million was primarily due to changes in net operating assets and liabilities, which provided $\$ 27.2$ million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and utilized $\$ 37.5$ million for the same period in 2012. The increase was further enhanced by an increase in net income of $\$ 11.0$ million to $\$ 39.8$ million in 2013 from $\$ 28.8$ million in 2012.

For the three months ended March 31, 2013, the significant changes in net operating assets and liabilities were due to the following:

Decreases in trade receivables of $\$ 46.2$ million was largely due to a reduction in unbilled receivables of $\$ 7.8$ million and improved collection efforts.

Increases in inventory of $\$ 11.7$ million was driven by higher inventory levels required for increased backlog.

Increases in trade accounts payable and accrued expenses of $\$ 7.1$ million related primarily to increases in inventory, partially offset by decreases in customer prepayments.
Capital expenditures by the Company were $\$ 12.1$ million and $\$ 13.9$ million in the first three months of 2013 and 2012, respectively. Capital expenditures in 2013 and 2012 included expanding manufacturing facilities in the Asia-Pacific, Eastern and Western Hemispheres and increased expenditures on machinery and equipment and running tools due to expanded operations. The capital expenditures for the first quarter of 2013 were primarily $\$ 2.7$ million for facilities, $\$ 5.8$ million for machinery and equipment, $\$ 2.9$ million for running tools and other expenditures of $\$ 700,000$.

On June 19, 2012, the Company announced that its Board of Directors authorized a stock repurchase plan under which the Company can repurchase up to $\$ 100$ million of its common stock. The repurchase program has no expiration date. As of March 31, 2013, no shares had been repurchased.

As of March 31, 2013, the Company has no commercial lending arrangement or lines of credit. The Company believes that cash generated from operations plus cash on hand will be sufficient to fund operations, working capital needs and anticipated capital expenditure requirements for the next twelve months. However, any significant future declines in hydrocarbon prices, catastrophic events or significant changes in regulations affecting the Company or its customers could have a material adverse effect on the Company s liquidity. Should market conditions result in unexpected cash requirements, the Company believes that borrowing from commercial lending institutions would be available and adequate to meet such requirements.

## Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

The Company has no derivative instruments and no off-balance sheet hedging or financing arrangements, contracts or operations.

## Critical Accounting Policies

Refer to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 for a discussion of its critical accounting policies. During the three months ended March 31, 2013, there were no material changes in our judgments and assumptions associated with the development of our critical accounting policies.

## Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

The Company is currently exposed to certain market risks related to interest rate changes on its short-term investments and fluctuations in foreign exchange rates. The Company does not engage in any material hedging transactions, forward contracts or currency trading which could mitigate the market risks inherent in such transactions. There have been no material changes in market risks for the Company from December 31, 2012.

## Foreign Exchange Rate Risk

Through its subsidiaries, the Company conducts a portion of its business in currencies other than the United States dollar, principally the British pound sterling and, to a lesser extent, the Brazilian real. The Company experienced foreign currency pre-tax gains of approximately $\$ 5.6$ million during the three-month period ended March 31, 2013 and pre-tax losses of $\$ 2.3$ million in the same quarter of 2012. Historically, the Company s foreign currency gains and losses have not been significant. However, when significant disparities between the British pound sterling and the U.S. dollar or the Brazilian real and the U.S. dollar occur, there can be no assurance that the Company will be able to protect itself against such currency fluctuations.

## Item 4. Controls and Procedures

In accordance with Exchange Act Rules 13a-15 and 15d-15, the Company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of management, including the Company s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on that evaluation, the Company s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company s disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of March 31, 2013 to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed in the Company s reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission s rules and forms, and such information is accumulated and communicated to management, including the Company s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding disclosure.

Management s Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting appears on page 44 of the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

There has been no change in the Company s internal controls over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended March 31, 2013 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company s internal controls over financial reporting.

## PART II OTHER INFORMATION

## Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

In December 2010 and January 2011, the Company s Brazilian subsidiary was served with assessments from the disallowance of netting certain import and export taxes. The Company is vigorously contesting these assessments.

In addition, the Company is involved in lawsuits filed as a result of the April 2010 Deepwater Horizon incident in the U.S. Gulf of Mexico. The judge presiding over the multi-district litigation proceedings for the Deepwater Horizon incident dismissed all claims consolidated against the Company in those proceedings in January 2012 and issued a final judgment ordering the same in April 2012, but there is a pending motion before the judge to remand one of the lawsuits back to a Texas state court.

For a further description of the Company s legal proceedings, see Commitments and Contingencies, Note 7 to the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

## Item 1A. Risk Factors.

There have been no material changes from the risk factors disclosed in the Company s Annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

## Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

None.

## FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q includes certain statements that may be deemed to be forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act ), and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act ). Statements contained in all parts of this document that are not historical facts are forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties that are beyond the control of Dril-Quip, Inc. (the Company or Dril-Quip ). You can identify the Company s forward-looking statements by the words anticipate, estimate, expect, may, project, believe and similar expressions, or by the Company discussion of strategies or trends. Although the Company believes that the expectations reflected in such forward-looking statements are reasonable, no assurance can be given that these expectations will prove to be correct. These forward-looking statements include the following types of information and statements as they relate to the Company:
future operating results and cash flow;
scheduled, budgeted and other future capital expenditures;
working capital requirements;
the availability of expected sources of liquidity;
the introduction into the market of the Company sfuture products;
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the market for the Company s existing and future products;
the Company s ability to develop new applications for its technologies;
the exploration, development and production activities of the Company s customers;
compliance with present and future environmental regulations and costs associated with environmentally related penalties, capital expenditures, remedial actions and proceedings;
effects of pending legal proceedings; and
future operations, financial results, business plans and cash needs.
These statements are based on assumptions and analyses in light of the Company s experience and perception of historical trends, current conditions, expected future developments and other factors the Company believes were appropriate in the circumstances when the statements were made. Forward-looking statements by their nature involve substantial risks and uncertainties that could significantly impact expected results, and actual future results could differ materially from those described in such statements. While it is not possible to identify all factors, the Company continues to face many risks and uncertainties. Among the factors that could cause actual future results to differ materially are the risks and uncertainties discussed under Item 1A. Risk Factors in Part I of the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 and the following:
the volatility of oil and natural gas prices;
the cyclical nature of the oil and gas industry;
uncertainties associated with the United States and worldwide economies;
uncertainties regarding political tensions in the Middle East, Europe, Africa and elsewhere;
current and potential governmental regulatory actions in the United States and regulatory actions and political unrest in other countries;
uncertainties regarding future oil and gas exploration and production activities in the U.S. Gulf of Mexico and elsewhere, including new regulations, customs requirements and product testing requirements;
operating interruptions (including explosions, fires, weather-related incidents, mechanical failure, unscheduled downtime, labor difficulties, transportation interruptions, spills and releases and other environmental risks);
the Company s reliance on product development;
technological developments;
the Company s reliance on third-party technologies;
the Company s dependence on key employees and skilled machinists, fabricators and technical personnel;
the Company s reliance on sources of raw materials;
impact of environmental matters, including future environmental regulations;
competitive products and pricing pressures;
fluctuations in foreign currency;
the Company s reliance on significant customers;
creditworthiness of the Company s customers;
fixed-price contracts;
changes in general economic, market or business conditions;
access to capital markets;
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negative outcome of litigation, threatened litigation or government proceedings;
terrorist threats or acts, war and civil disturbances; and
the interpretation of foreign tax laws with respect to our foreign subsidiaries.
Many of such factors are beyond the Company s ability to control or predict. Any of the factors, or a combination of these factors, could materially affect the Company s future results of operations and the ultimate accuracy of the forward-looking statements. Management cautions against putting undue reliance on forward-looking statements or projecting any future results based on such statements or present or prior earnings levels. Every forward-looking statement speaks only as of the date of the particular statement, and the Company undertakes no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statement.

## Item 6. Exhibits.

(a) Exhibits

The following exhibits are filed herewith.

## Exhibit

## No. Description

*3.1 Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Company (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Registration No. 333-33447)),
*3.2 Certificate of Designations of Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock of the Company (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company s report on Form 8-K dated November 25, 2008).
*3.3 Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Company (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company s report on Form 8-K filed January 17, 2012).
*4.1 Form of certificate representing Common Stock (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.2 the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Registration No. 333-33447)).

| Exhibit <br> No. | Description |
| :--- | :--- |
| $* 4.2$ | Rights Agreement dated as of November 24, 2008 between Dril-Quip, Inc. and Mellon Investor Services LLC, as Rights <br> Agent (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on <br> November 25, 2008). |
| 31.1 | Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Blake T. DeBerry. |
| 31.2 | Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Jerry M. Brooks. |
| 32.1 | Section 1350 Certification of Blake T. DeBerry. |
| 32.2 | Section 1350 Certification of Jerry M. Brooks. |
| 101.INS | XBRL Instance Document |
| 101.SCH | XBRL Schema Document |
| 101.CAL | XBRL Calculation Document |
| 101.DEF | XBRL Definition Linkbase Document |
| 101.LAB | XBRL Label Linkbase Document |
| 101.PRE | XBRL Presentation Linkbase Document |

* Incorporated herein by reference as indicated.
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