CONMED CORP Form DEFA14A August 22, 2014

## **UNITED STATES**

#### SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

#### Washington, D.C. 20549

#### **SCHEDULE 14A**

#### (Rule 14a-101)

#### **SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION**

#### Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the

#### **Securities Exchange Act of 1934**

Filed by the Registrant x

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant "

Check the appropriate box:

" Preliminary Proxy Statement

# " Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))

- " Definitive Proxy Statement
- x Definitive Additional Materials
- " Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

#### **CONMED CORPORATION**

#### (Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- x No fee required.
- " Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
  - (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
  - (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
  - (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
  - (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
  - (5) Total fee paid:
- <sup>...</sup> Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.
- " Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.
  - (1) Amount Previously Paid:
  - (2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
  - (3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

Investor Update August 2014

Table of Contents 2 Executive Summary 3 CONMED Overview and Performance 6 CONMED Strengths and Opportunities 13
Exploration of Strategic Alternatives
17
Sweeping Changes Since the Last Annual Meeting
20
Voce Has No Strategy and No Interest in Engagement
24
1
4
5
2
3

6

Executive Summary 1

**Executive Summary** 

4

CONMED is a Leader in Global Medical Technology for Orthopedic and General Surgery Past performance in line to below consensus. New leadership recognizes the potential for meaningful improvement

CONMED Has Implemented Sweeping Governance Changes Since the Last Annual Meeting Board and governance changes process began in late 2012 Current slate is 60% new, independent, with right expertise and major shareholder representation CONMED is Entering a New Era of Performance Focused Management New Interim CEO: Curt Hartman, Former Interim CEO and CFO of Stryker Corporation New Strategy: Execution and performance improvement **CONMED** Has Explored Strategic Alternatives Process Overview: Ran a comprehensive process on the basis of industry consolidation dynamics and input from several shareholders, contacted more than 40 potential buyers, including an exhaustive list of strategic and financial buyers Utilized two well respected investment banks, Bank of America Merrill Lynch and Greenhill & Co. Various alternatives available at that time did not adequately reflect the intrinsic value of the Company or its future growth prospects Voce Has No Strategy and No Interest in Engagement Voce has provided no ideas outside of what CONMED is doing or has already done under the leadership of a conscientious, independent board **CONMED** has attempted to work constructively with Voce to avoid а costly and disruptive proxy contest, including two attempts at settlement

Executive Summary 5 The CONMED Board has made sweeping changes since the last annual meeting that dramatically disrupted the status quo and put the Company on a path to deliver greater shareholder value

Nominated a slate of highly qualified, independent directors that is more than 60% new Entering a new era of leadership following the departures of founding family members Joe Corasanti and Eugene Corasanti, as well as long-tenured directors Bruce Daniels and Stuart Schwartz

Appointed Curt Hartman as Interim Chief Executive Officer and formed an executive search committee to identify a permanent CEO

Implemented a number of other governance changes including the appointment of independent director Mark Tryniski as Chairman of the Board and the installation of new chairpersons for each of its Audit, Compensation and Corporate Governance and Nominating Committees These proactive steps to implement change were set in motion in late 2012 with the

retention of a search firm to identify new director candidates

With a thoroughly reconstituted governance structure, CONMED is ready to focus on proactive value creation

CONMED has implemented significant change and has the

right leaders at this time to focus on execution and deliver value to shareholders

Is more Board change warranted at CONMED at this time? No. And here s why

CONMED Overview and Performance 2

CONMED Has a Leading Presence in the Global Medical Technology Market 7 Note: Dollars in millions. Company Overview CONMED Brands Key Business Categories

**Global Presence** Founded in 1973 in Utica, NY, CONMED is a global medical technology organization with ~3,600 employees and sales across six continents The company is a market leader in many products across three primary categories: Orthopedic Surgery, General Surgery (includes GI, Pulmonary, and Patient Monitoring), and Surgical Visualization Development of innovative products, acquisitions and a strong pipeline of new product introductions have contributed to a robust product portfolio Direct sales to 17 countries and indirect sales to more than 100 countries International revenue CAGR of nearly 6% between 2009 and 2013 Employees by Region Revenue by Region Total Employees: 3,567 Total 2013A Revenue: \$763 **Orthopedic Surgery** General Surgery GI, Pulmonary, and Patient Monitoring Revenue by Category Total 2013A Revenue: \$763mm Visualization And many more Surgical instruments used to treat sports-related injuries as well as devices used in the repair of joint-related injuries Devices and tools used in minimally invasive surgical procedures, including singleand multi-use tools GI and Pulmonary surgical devices, ECG and other patient monitoring systems \$66 9% GI, Pulmonary and Patient Monitoring \$119 16% Surgical Visualization 2-D and 3-D high definition camera systems for use in minimally invasive surgery US 2,334 65% OUS 1,233

35%
US
\$375
49%
OUS
\$387
51%
Ortho
\$410
54%
GS
\$168
22%

CONMED Enjoys Strong Brand Recognition and Has an Attractive Product Mix 8 Strong Brand Recognition CONMED key brands are well known to physicians and purchasing managers Hall is one of the most respected powered instruments brands in the market with over 50 years of use Linvatec, which was acquired by CONMED in 1997, is a leading brand in arthroscopic surgery CONMED is well known for its General Surgery products Attractive Product Mix Over 80% of CONMED total revenue is generated by single-use disposable products Approximately 95% of arthroscopic sports medicine products are disposables Powered Instruments revenue is ~50% disposable products 50% of CONMED products are designed for use in minimally invasive surgery Reduces costs while providing equivalent treatment and outcomes Linvatec Sports Medicine Hall **Powered Instruments** CONMED Advanced Energy CONMED -Video System Selected Products

9

Strong, Broad Product Portfolio Orthopedic Surgery and Surgical Visualization Description Key Products Sports Medicine Devices for repair of soft tissue injuries in joints, particularly the knee and shoulder; also includes revenue from promoting allograft tissue use Shoulder anchors Bioabsorable, PEEK and metal screws Meniscal repair devices RF ablation devices **Resection shavers** Fluid management systems MTF allograft tissue Powered Instruments Surgical drills and saws and related blades powered by battery, electricity, or pneumatic, used by orthopedic surgeons or other specialists Large bone, small bone, and specialty handpieces Related single-use disposables Surgical Visualization 2-D and 3-D High Definition surgical visualization systems to enable minimally invasive laparoscopic and arthroscopic surgery Cameras Scopes Camera controllers Light sources Insufflation devices and accessories Image capture systems

10 General Surgery Description Key Products Advanced Energy Devices using Radio Frequency (RF) energy to affect tissue by cutting, sealing or causing hemostasis in open or minimally invasive procedures Electrosurgical pencils, ground pads, generators and smoke evacuation Argon Beam coagulation Vessel Sealing A sub-category of Advanced Energy using a device to seal or sever vessels using thermal energy Tissue sealing devices Endomechanical Instruments for minimally invasive laparoscopic or gynecological abdominal surgery Trocars Suction irrigation Scissors and other handheld instruments Probes V-Care Endocap fee-for-use program GI & Pulmonary Minimally invasive diagnostic and therapeutic products used by Gastroenterologists and Pulmonologists in procedures which require flexible endoscopy Forceps, snares and retrieval nets Scope management **Billiary** stents Hemostasis devices Bronchoscopy devices **Advanced Patient** Monitoring Single-use devices for monitoring cardiac activity, blood oxygenation, and other patient care devices **EKG** electrodes Defribulation pads Pulse oxymetry devices Surgical suction devices IV fluid management devices Strong, Broad Product Portfolio (Cont d)

58% 121% 121% 122% 0% 25% 50%

75% 100% 125% Peer Average S&P 500 Russell 2000 CNMD 50% 79% 86% 88% 0% 20% 40% 60% 80% 100% Peer Average **CNMD** Russell 2000 S&P 500 11 **CONMED** Comparative Total Shareholder Return Last Three-Years Total Shareholder Return Last Five-Years Total Shareholder Return Source: FactSet as of 08/19/14. (1)Peers include ARTC, IART, MASI, NUVA, TFX, THOR, TRNX, and WMGI. (1)(1)Last One-Years Total Shareholder Return 16% 19% 23% 23% 0% 5% 10% 15% 20% 25% Russell 2000

Peer

Average S&P 500 CNMD (1)

12 Revenue Adj. EBITDA (1) (2) Adj. Income from Operations (1) Adj. EPS

(1)(3)Performance Has Been Improving But We Are Committed to Doing Better New leadership understands there is room for organic improvement and is committed to growing revenue and expanding margins to enhance performance Note: Dollars in millions, except per share amounts. 2013 figures exclude the medical device tax. Unadjusted 2013 EBITDA, Income from Operations and EPS which include th \$1.81 per share, respectively. Excludes stock-based compensation expense. Includes stock-based compensation expense. (1)(2)(3)13.3% 14.7% 16.0% 17.4% 18.0% \$695 \$714 \$725 \$767 \$763 500 600 700 \$800 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 \$1.00 \$1.30 \$1.50 \$1.80 \$1.94 0.00

0.50	
0.50	
1.00	
1.50	
2.00	
\$2.50	
2009	
2010	
2011	
2012	
2013	
\$52	
\$62	
\$73	
\$82	
\$84	
0	
25	
50	
75	
\$100	
2009	
2010	
2011	
2012	
2013	
\$92	
\$105	
\$116	
\$134	
\$137	
0	
25	
50	
75	
100	
125	
\$150	
2009	
2010	
2011	
2012	
2013	
30%	
25%	
23 % 5%	
10%	
15%	
20%	
0.04	

0%

CONMED Strengths and Opportunities 3

14 CONMED is Entering a New Era of Performance-Focused Management Joseph Corasanti stepped down as Chief Executive Officer, President and a member of the Board of Directors on July 23, 2014 Search underway for a permanent Chief Executive Officer Led by five independent directors

Curt Hartman appointed Interim Chief Executive Officer

More than 22 years of medical device industry experience to guide the new CONMED

Successful tenure at Stryker, a then \$26 billion leading large-cap medical device company, as Former Interim Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer

Extensive knowledge of medical device industry and track record of executive leadership that will help CONMED transition seamlessly

Led Stryker through tremendous strategic and operational change

For nine years, had full P&L responsibility and helped grow a global operating division in the orthopedic and minimally invasive device segments that serve many of the same markets in which CONMED competes today

Well regarded by sell-side community with positive feedback on Interim CEO appointment:

We view Mr. Hartman as a seasoned operator and manager, whose experience and external perspective is likely to have a positive impact on the business

Matt Miksic, Sr. Research Analyst, Piper Jaffray, July 2014

15 CONMED s Strengths Growing End-Markets Sport Medicine: 5-6%; Power Surgical: 3-4%; Various General Surgery markets: 2-5% Strong Brand Recognition with Leading Market Positions Hall is one of the most respected powered instruments brands in the market with over 50 years in use

Linvatec is a leading brand in arthroscopic surgery Leading market share position in rapidly growing global sports medicine market Global Presence Direct sales to 17 countries and indirect sales to more than 100 countries International represented 51% of 2013 revenue with emerging markets ~11% International revenue CAGR of nearly 6% between 2009 and 2013 Highly Recurring Revenue Base Over 80% of CONMED total revenue is generated by single-use disposable products Approximately 95% of arthroscopic sports medicine products are disposables

Powered Instruments revenue is ~50% disposable products

CONMED Plans to Capitalize on Opportunities for Improvement 16 Opportunities The New CONMED s Answers Domestic Sales Growth Domestic sales have been declining for several years Underperforming the market and peers Product development cycles have taken too long Reevaluate commercial strategy and improve the alignment of the US selling structure to better reflect the needs of customers (measure progress on a weekly, monthly, quarterly and annual basis) Steer commercial and R&D leadership to focus on clear expectations and accountability throughout the innovation and development process **EBITDA Margins** While improved from the trough, EBITDA margins are still well below the company s historical levels Trail industry peers Evaluate SG&A expense and investments to ensure allocation of resources to areas with the highest returns for the Company and maximize value for shareholders Leverage recently consolidated manufacturing footprint to drive margins Accountability and Performance Culture Company has not had a culture that sufficiently emphasized accountability Consistently missed revenue forecasts Hold team accountable to metrics-driven expectations Deliver on commitments we establish for our customers and shareholders CONMED has many strong attributes on which to build as the reconstituted Board and management team focus on improved execution

Exploration of Strategic Alternatives 4

Exploration of Strategic Alternatives

18

As part of an initiative to maximize shareholder value, the CONMED Board, with the help of outside advisors, evaluated a range of strategic alternatives, including a sale of the Company in parts or whole

The Company evaluated each alternative and, on the basis of industry consolidation and valuation dynamics and input from several shareholders, decided to move forward with

the sale process Financial advisors Bank of America Merrill Lynch and Greenhill & Co. conducted а formal auction process to solicit interest from a wide range of public and private device companies More than 40 potential buyers were contacted, including an exhaustive list of strategic and financial buyers as well as all of the companies listed in Voce s November 2013 letter 25 companies signed Non-Disclosure Agreements Over the course of the six month sale process, potential buyers attended management presentations and had access to management, detailed financials, a formal dataroom and the opportunity for follow up diligence calls

CONMED delayed the 2014 annual meeting in order to maximize the potential outcome of the process

Exploration of Strategic Alternatives

19

The Board of Directors determined that the various strategic alternatives available at that time did not adequately reflect the intrinsic value of the Company or its future growth prospects

The Board unanimously decided to terminate the process at that time and work with management to focus on better execution to grow revenues and expand

margins

Following the conclusion of the process, CONMED s Board and management team remain committed to creating value for all shareholders by all means available and will continue to take any actions that enable the Company to achieve this objective

Sweeping Changes Since the Last Annual Meeting 5

The CONMED Board Has Significantly Reconstituted Itself Since the Last Annual Meeting 21 Departures Joseph Corasanti resigned

as Chief Executive Officer, President and Member of the Board in July 2014 Eugene Corasanti CONMED s founder, retired in July 2014 from the Board and the Company Longstanding members Daniels and will retire at the upcoming Annual Meeting on September 10, 2014 Additions Brian Concannon (Chief Executive Officer and а member of the Board of Haemonetics Corporation (NYSE: HAE)) joined the Board in July 2013 Dirk Kuyper (President and Chief Executive Officer of Illuminoss Medical) joined the Board in July 2013 Curt Hartman (formerly Interim Chief Executive Officer and CFO of Stryker Corporation (NYSE: SYK)) joined the Board in March 2014 Jerome Lande (Managing Partner of Coppersmith

Capital owns 6% of CONMED) joined the Board in March 2014 Charles Farkas (Senior Partner at Bain & Company and the former North American Head of Bain s healthcare practice) joined the Board in July 2014 CONMED s eight member slate is comprised of 60% new directors, with five members added since the last annual meeting The CONMED Board implemented changes in consultation with some of the Company s largest shareholders as a result of a process that began in late 2012

Implemented Additional Meaningful

Corporate Governance Changes

Appointed independent director, Mark Tryniski, as Chairman of the Board in March 2014

Formed an executive search committee comprised of five independent directors,

including three new directors, to identify a permanent CEO

Installed new chairpersons for each of the Company s Audit, Compensation and

Corporate Governance and Nominating Committees

Populated Committees with new directors possessing appropriate skills

Instituted a tenure policy requiring director retirement after 15 years service, tracking

best practices, to preserve independence of Board

Board regularly considers director candidates who it believes will add necessary

experience and skill sets to the Board

CONMED s eight highly qualified director nominees, seven of whom are independent, have been unanimous in driving these meaningful governance changes

23 CONMED s Current Board: Engaged, Experienced, and Highly Qualified CONMED s Board members have public company CEO experience, including from the medical device and orthopedic industry, and expertise in management, corporate governance, consulting and investing as well as major shareholder representation

Brian Concannon

President and CEO of medical device company Haemonetics Corporation (NYSE: HAE) Director since July 2013 **Charles Farkas** Senior Partner at Bain & Company, Former North American Head of Bain s Healthcare practice Director since August 2014 Jo Ann Golden Certified Public Accountant and retired Managing Partner of the New Hartford NY office of Dermody Burke and Brown Former President of NY State Society of CPAs and member of the governing Council of the American Institute of CPAs ( AICPA ) Director since May 2003 Curt Hartman Former Interim CEO and CFO of Stryker Corporation (NYSE: SYK) **CONMED** Interim CEO Over 22 years of medical device industry experience Led Stryker through tremendous strategic and organizational change Director since March 2014 Dirk Kuyper President and CEO of medical device company, Illuminoss Medical Inc. Former President and CEO of Alphatex Spine (NASDAQ: ATEC) Director since July 2013 Jerome Lande Managing Partner of Coppersmith Capital, CONMED s fourth largest shareholder Highly regarded activist investor with 16 year successful track record

Director since March 2014 Stephen Mandia President of Mandia International Trading Corp Current Chairman and former CEO of Sovena USA Former Chairman of North American Olive Oil Association (represents 95% of \$1.5B industry) Director since July 2002 Mark Tryniski President and CEO of Community Bank System Inc. (NYSE: CBU) Named Chairman of **CONMED** Board in March 2014 Former Lead Independent Director Director since May 2007

Voce Has No Strategy and No Interest in Engagement 6

CONMED Has Attempted to Work

Constructively with Voce

CONMED has attempted to engage in constructive discussions with Voce over the past 12 months to better understand its views and explain the changes we have made

Despite these significant changes and CONMED s efforts over the last five months

to reach a mutually agreeable resolution through a settlement offer, Voce chose to pursue a costly and distracting proxy contest

Recognizing that the potential sale of CONMED had greater likelihood of success if not encumbered by an unnecessary public fight with a shareholder, five months ago CONMED offered settlement negotiations to Voce Voce refused

to engage in those negotiations, even on a confidential basis

Voce outside counsel advised that engaging would not be a good use of time citing the likelihood of having any productive discussions as pretty low

Following conclusion of the strategic alternatives process, sweeping changes to the Board, a change in Chief Executive Officer and the exit of the Company s founding leadership, the CONMED Board again reached out to Voce with an offer to add one of its nominees to the Board

Voce again refused, and indicated a proxy contest would be forthcoming

Voce s Interests are NOT Aligned with ALL CONMED Shareholders X

Voce owns less than 0.5% of the Company s outstanding common stock and is seeking three seats on the board, or 37.5%, which is grossly disproportionate to its ownership stake

Of note, Coppersmith received two Board seats with 6.0% ownership Х Voce has neither articulated а plan nor offered any constructive ideas to create value for all CONMED shareholders that have not already been considered or implemented Voce s nominees would not enhance the current strengths of the Board and do not address CONMED s contemplated areas for improvement Х Voce continues to ignore the important changes we have made and instead focuses on criticizing past actions, processes and departed personnel Х Voce s proxy contest can no longer credibly be claimed to be about exploration of strategic alternatives, Board composition, management performance, shareholder representation or entrenchment of CONMED s founders Voce s actions make it clear that Voce is only interested in pursuing its self-serving agenda, irrespective of the cost to all other CONMED shareholders

Conclusion

CONMED s Board is new, independent and accountable to shareholders Significant additional corporate governance changes have been made since the last annual meeting

CONMED s director nominees have diverse and proven leadership experience with

investor, medical device and orthopedic industry, financial and corporate governance backgrounds CONMED s new leadership is focused on improving operating performance to create additional value for shareholders over the short and long term CONMED recently conducted a thorough exploration of strategic alternatives CONMED has attempted to work constructively with Voce to avoid a costly and disruptive proxy contest Voce has no strategy and no interest in engagement

Vote the GOLD Proxy Card Today The Board unanimously recommends that shareholders vote FOR ALL of CONMED s experienced and highly qualified director nominees

Forward Looking Statements and

Additional Information

Forward Looking Information

This presentation contains forward-looking statements based on certain assumptions and contingencies that involve risks and u statements are made pursuant to the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and relate to going-forward basis. The forward-looking statements in this presentation involve risks and uncertainties which could cause act

differ materially from those expressed in the forward-looking statements herein or in previous disclosures. The Company belie made by it have a reasonable basis, but there can be no assurance that management s expectations, beliefs or projections as exstatements will actually occur or prove to be correct. In addition to general industry and economic conditions, factors that could materially from those discussed in the forward-looking statements in this presentation include, but are not limited to: (i) the fai assumptions stated above, to prove to be correct; (ii) the risks relating to forward-looking statements discussed in the Company the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013; (iii) cyclical purchasing patterns from customers, end-users and dealers; (iv) timely r acceptance of such new products by the market; (v) the introduction of new products by competitors and other competitive resp new acquisition or other transaction may require the Company to reconsider its financial assumptions and goals/targets; (vii) in transportation or litigation; and/or (viii) the Company s ability to devise and execute strategies to respond to market condition Important Additional Information and Where to Find It

The Company has filed a proxy statement on Schedule 14A and other relevant documents with the Securities and Exchange Co the solicitation of proxies for its 2014 Annual Meeting of Shareholders or any adjournment or postponement thereof (the 2014 definitive proxy statement and a proxy card to each shareholder of record entitled to vote at the 2014 Annual Meeting. SHARE ADVISED TO READ THE COMPANY S 2014 PROXY STATEMENT (INCLUDING ANY AMENDMENTS OR SUPPLE DOCUMENTS FILED WITH THE SEC WHEN THEY BECOME AVAILABLE BECAUSE THEY CONTAIN IMPORTAN free copy of the 2014 proxy statement, any amendments or supplements to the proxy statement and other documents that the C SEC s website at www.sec.gov or the Company s website at www.conmed.com in the Investors section as soon as reasona electronically filed with, or furnished to, the SEC.

Certain Information Regarding Participants in Solicitation

The Company, its directors, its executive officers and its nominees for election as directors may be deemed participants in the s shareholders in connection with the matters to be considered at the Company s 2014 Annual Meeting. Information regarding t of the SEC, be considered participants in the solicitation of shareholders in connection with the Company s 2014 Annual Meet interests, by security holdings or otherwise, which may be different from those of the Company s shareholders generally, is se proxy statement for the 2014 Annual Meeting and other relevant documents filed with the SEC.