

SEABRIDGE GOLD INC
Form SC 13D/A
November 12, 2014
UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 13D

(Amendment No. 8)

Under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

SEABRIDGE GOLD INC.
(Name of Issuer)

Common Stock, No Par Value
(Title of Class of Securities)

811916105
(CUSIP Number)

Robert A. Grauman, Esq.

Baker & McKenzie LLP

452 Fifth Avenue

New York NY 10018

(212) 626-4100

(Name, Address and Telephone Number of Person Authorized to Receive Notices and Communications)

November 7, 2014

(Date of Event which Requires Filing of this Statement)

If the filing person has previously filed a statement on Schedule 13G to report the acquisition that is the subject of this Schedule 13D, and is filing this schedule because of §§240.13d-1(e), 240.13d-1(f) or 240.13d-1(g), check the following box o.

Note: Schedules filed in paper format shall include a signed original and five copies of the schedule, including all exhibits. *See* §240.13d-7 for other parties to whom copies are to be sent.

*The remainder of this cover page shall be filled out for a reporting person's initial filing on this form with respect to the subject class of securities, and for any subsequent amendment containing information which would alter disclosures provided in a prior cover page.

The information required on the remainder of this cover page shall not be deemed to be "filed" for the purpose of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act") or otherwise subject to the liabilities of that section of the Act but shall be subject to all other provisions of the Act (however, see the Notes).

CUSIP No. 811916105

1. Names of Reporting Persons.

FCMI FINANCIAL
CORPORATION

Check the Appropriate Box if

2. a Member of a Group (See (a) o
Instructions)

(b) o

3. SEC Use Only

4. Source of Funds (See
Instructions)

WC

Check if Disclosure of Legal

5. Proceedings Is Required o
Pursuant to Items 2(d) or 2(e)

6. Citizenship or Place of
Organization

ONTARIO, CANADA

7. Sole Voting Power
Number of -0-

8. Shared Voting Power

Beneficially 6,004,732

9. Sole Dispositive Power
Owned by -0-

10. Shared Dispositive Power
Each Reporting

Person 6,004,732

With

Aggregate Amount

11. Beneficially Owned by Each
Reporting Person

6,004,732

Check Box if the Aggregate

12. Amount in Row (11) Excludes o
Certain Shares

13. Percent of Class Represented
by Amount in Row (11)

12.4%

14. Type of Reporting Person

CO

2

CUSIP No. 811916105

1. Names of Reporting Persons.

PAN ATLANTIC BANK
AND TRUST LIMITED

Check the Appropriate Box if

2. a Member of a Group (See (a) o
Instructions)

(b) o

3. SEC Use Only

4. Source of Funds (See
Instructions)

WC

Check if Disclosure of Legal

5. Proceedings Is Required o
Pursuant to Items 2(d) or 2(e)

6. Citizenship or Place of
Organization

BARBADOS

7. Sole Voting Power
of -0-

8. Shared Voting Power

Beneficially 5,449,732

9. Sole Dispositive Power
by -0-

10. Shared Dispositive Power
Reporting

Person 5,449,732

With

Aggregate Amount

11. Beneficially Owned by Each
Reporting Person

5,449,732

Check Box if the Aggregate

12. Amount in Row (11) Excludes o
Certain Shares

13. Percent of Class Represented
by Amount in Row (11)

11.3%

14. Type of Reporting Person

CO

3

CUSIP No. 811916105

1. Names of Reporting Persons.
ALBERT D. FRIEDBERG
Check the Appropriate Box if
2. a Member of a Group (See (a) o
Instructions) (b) o
3. SEC Use Only
4. Source of Funds (See
Instructions)
AF, PF

- Check if Disclosure of Legal
5. Proceedings Is Required o
Pursuant to Items 2(d) or 2(e)
6. Citizenship or Place of
Organization

CANADA

7. Sole Voting Power
of 21,700
8. Shared Voting Power
Beneficially Owned 6,276,432
9. Sole Dispositive Power
by 21,700
10. Shared Dispositive Power
Reporting
Person 6,276,432
With

11. Beneficially Owned by Each
Reporting Person

6,298,132

- Check Box if the Aggregate
12. Amount in Row (11) Excludes x
Certain Shares
13. Percent of Class Represented
by Amount in Row (11)

13.0%

14. Type of Reporting Person

IN

CUSIP No. 811916105

1. Names of Reporting Persons.

NANCY FRIEDBERG

Check the Appropriate Box if

2. a Member of a Group (See (a) o
Instructions)

(b) o

3. SEC Use Only

4. Source of Funds (See
Instructions)

PF

Check if Disclosure of Legal

5. Proceedings Is Required o
Pursuant to Items 2(d) or 2(e)

6. Citizenship or Place of
Organization

CANADA

7. Sole Voting Power
of 29,125

8. Shared Voting Power
Beneficially 271,700

9. Sole Dispositive Power
by 29,125

10. Shared Dispositive Power
Reporting

Person 271,700

With

Aggregate Amount

11. Beneficially Owned by Each
Reporting Person

300,825

Check Box if the Aggregate

12. Amount in Row (11) Excludes o
Certain Shares

13. Percent of Class Represented
by Amount in Row (11)

0.6%

14. Type of Reporting Person

IN

5

CUSIP No. 811916105

1. Names of Reporting Persons.

THE BUCKINGHAM
CHARITABLE
FOUNDATION

Check the Appropriate Box if

2. a Member of a Group (See (a) o
Instructions)

(b) o

3. SEC Use Only

4. Source of Funds (See
Instructions)
WC

Check if Disclosure of Legal
5. Proceedings Is Required o
Pursuant to Items 2(d) or 2(e)

6. Citizenship or Place of
Organization

CANADA

Number of Sole Voting Power
of 250,000

Number of Shared Voting Power
Beneficially

Owned Sole Dispositive Power
by 250,000

Each 10. Shared Dispositive Power
Reporting

Person -0-
With

Aggregate Amount

11. Beneficially Owned by Each
Reporting Person

250,000

Check Box if the Aggregate
12. Amount in Row (11) Excludes o
Certain Shares

13. Percent of Class Represented
by Amount in Row (11)

0.5%

14. Type of Reporting Person

OO

The Statement on Schedule 13D filed April 1, 2009 filed by FCMI Financial Corporation, a corporation existing under the laws of the province of Ontario, Canada (“FCMI”), Pan Atlantic Bank and Trust Ltd., a Barbados company (“PABTL”), Ms. Nancy Friedberg, an individual, and Mr. Albert D. Friedberg, an individual, as amended by Amendment No. 1 thereto filed November 18, 2009 by FCMI, PABTL, Ms. Friedberg, Mr. Friedberg and by Friedberg Global-Macro Hedge Fund Ltd., a Cayman Island Company (“Global-Macro Fund”) and Friedberg Mercantile Group Ltd., a Canadian corporation (“FMG”) as additional parties to the Schedule 13D, by Amendment No. 2 thereto filed January 22, 2010 by FCMI, PABTL, Ms. Friedberg, Mr. Friedberg, Global-Macro Fund and FMG, by Amendment No. 3 thereto filed July 8, 2013 by FCMI, PABTL, Ms. Friedberg, Mr. Friedberg and The Buckingham Charitable Foundation (“Buckingham” and, together with FCMI, PABTL, Ms. Friedberg and Mr. Friedberg, the “Filing Persons”), by Amendment No. 4 thereto filed December 10, 2013, by Amendment No. 5 thereto filed July 17, 2014, by Amendment No. 6 thereto filed August 19, 2014 and by Amendment No. 7 thereto filed September 8, 2014 (as so amended, the “Statement”), relating to the common stock, \$0.001 par value (the “Common Shares”), of Seabridge Gold Inc., a Canadian corporation (the “Issuer”), is hereby amended with respect to the items set forth below in this Amendment No. 8. Capitalized terms used herein without definition have the same meanings as those ascribed to them in the Statement.

Item 3. Source and Amount of Funds or Other Consideration

Item 3 of the Schedule 13D is hereby amended by the addition of the following information:

Between October 7, 2014 and November 10, 2014, PABTL purchased a total of 520,300 Common Shares, as described in Item 5 of this Amendment No. 8. PABTL purchased 472,500 Common Shares in transactions effected in United States dollars (“US \$”) for an aggregate purchase price of US \$3,386,477, and 47,800 Common Shares in transactions effected in Canadian dollars (“CDN \$”) for an aggregate purchase price of CDN \$383,849. PABTL obtained the funds used to acquire such shares from its working capital, including the proceeds of the sale of 4,600,000 Common Shares to National Bank of Canada (“NBC”) described in Amendment No. 5 to the Filing Persons’ Schedule 13D.

Item 4. Purpose of Transaction

Item 4 of the Schedule 13D is hereby amended by the addition of the following information:

PABTL acquired the additional Common Shares reported in this Schedule 13D (Amendment No. 8) for investment purposes.

Item 5. Interest in Securities of the Issuer

Item 5 of the Schedule 13D is hereby amended by the addition of the following information:

Between October 7, 2014 and November 10, 2014, PABTL purchased a total of 520,300 Common Shares in open-market transactions on the New York Stock Exchange (“NYSE”) and the Toronto Stock Exchange (“TSX”), as set forth in the following table. Transactions denominated in US \$ were effected on the NYSE; transactions denominated in CDN \$ were effected on the TSX.

Edgar Filing: SEABRIDGE GOLD INC - Form SC 13D/A

| Date | No. of Shares | Price/Share | Total | Price/Share | Total |
|------------|-----------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|
| 10/7/2014 | 15,500 1,100 | US \$7.51 | US \$116,405 | CDN \$8.38 | CDN \$9,218 |
| 10/8/2014 | 38,200 4,500 | US \$7.60 | US \$290,320 | CDN \$8.46 | CDN \$38,070 |
| 10/9/2014 | 34,000 4,700 | US \$7.90 | US \$268,600 | CDN \$8.81 | CDN \$41,407 |
| 10/10/2014 | 21,600 2,500 | US \$7.88 | US \$170,143 | CDN \$8.84 | CDN \$22,098 |
| 10/13/2014 | 1,839 | US \$7.97 | US \$14,657 | | |
| 10/22/2014 | 51,500 3,700 | US \$7.87 | US \$405,305 | CDN \$8.86 | CDN \$32,782 |
| 10/23/2014 | 23,800 2,100 | US \$7.68 | US \$182,784 | CDN \$8.66 | CDN \$18,186 |
| 10/24/2014 | 17,100 1,900 | US \$7.84 | US \$134,064 | CDN \$8.78 | CDN \$16,682 |
| 10/27/2014 | 14,700 900 | US \$7.54 | US \$110,838 | CDN \$8.48 | CDN \$7,632 |
| 10/28/2014 | 16,400 1,000 | US \$7.58 | US \$124,312 | CDN \$8.46 | CDN \$8,460 |
| 10/29/2014 | 32,700 3,700 | US \$7.30 | US \$238,710 | CDN \$8.16 | CDN \$30,192 |
| 10/30/2014 | 39,200 3,000 | US \$6.91 | US \$270,872 | CDN \$7.75 | CDN \$23,250 |
| 10/31/2014 | 38,800 3,700 | US \$6.42 | US \$249,096 | CDN \$7.23 | CDN \$26,751 |
| 11/3/2014 | 20,300 2,200 | US \$6.60 | US \$133,980 | CDN \$7.46 | CDN \$16,412 |
| 11/4/2014 | 19,600 3,100 | US \$6.45 | US \$126,420 | CDN \$7.40 | CDN \$22,940 |
| 11/5/2014 | 29,600 2,800 | US \$6.13 | US \$181,448 | CDN \$7.05 | CDN \$19,740 |
| 11/6/2014 | 25,900 | US \$6.34 | US \$164,206 | | |

Edgar Filing: SEABRIDGE GOLD INC - Form SC 13D/A

| | | | | | |
|------------|---------|-----------|----------------|------------|---------------|
| | 2,900 | | | CDN \$7.25 | CDN \$21,025 |
| 11/7/2014 | 14,261 | US \$6.61 | US \$94,265 | | |
| | 1,200 | | | CDN \$7.47 | CDN \$8,964 |
| 11/10/2014 | 17,500 | US \$6.29 | US \$110,052 | | |
| | 2,800 | | | CDN \$7.16 | CDN \$20,044 |
| Total: | 520,300 | | US \$3,386,477 | | CDN \$383,849 |
| 8 | | | | | |

Item 5 of the Schedule 13D is hereby further amended by deleting the information (including the table provided as part of such information) set forth in Item 5 of Schedule 13D (Amendment No. 7) regarding beneficial ownership of the Issuer's Common Shares by each of the Filing Persons, and replacing the deleted information with the following information:

On the date of this Schedule 13D (Amendment No. 8), the Filing Persons are the beneficial owners of, in the aggregate, 6,327,257 Common Shares, representing 13.1% of the Issuer's outstanding Common Shares. The Filing Persons' percentage beneficial ownership has been computed as a percentage of 48,386,376 Common Shares outstanding on August 15, 2014, as reported by the Issuer to the Filing Persons in response to an inquiry by them. The number of Common Shares and the percentage of the Issuer's Common Shares beneficially owned by each Filing Person are as follows:

| Name | Shares Directly Owned | Percentage Directly Owned | Shares Owned Beneficially | Percentage Owned Beneficially |
|------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|
| PABTL | 5,449,732 | 11.3 | % 5,449,732 | 11.3 % |
| FCMI | 555,000 | 1.1 | % 6,004,732 | 1 12.4 % ¹ |
| Buckingham | 250,000 | 0.5 | % 250,000 | 2 0.5 % ² |
| Nancy Friedberg | 50,825 | 0.1 | % 300,825 | 3 0.6 % ³ |
| Albert Friedberg | 21,700 | 0.04 | % 6,298,132 | 4 13.0 % |

¹Includes 5,449,732 shares owned directly by PABTL.

²Voting and dispositive power over the Common Shares held by Buckingham is exercisable by any of its trustees, acting individually. In practice, such authority is exercised solely by Mr. Friedberg and by Ms. Friedberg.

³Includes 21,700 shares held in a retirement account for the benefit of Ms. Friedberg, 29,125 shares owned directly by Ms. Friedberg, and 250,000 shares owned directly by Buckingham (see note 2).

⁴Includes 5,449,732 shares owned directly by PABTL, 555,000 shares owned directly by FCMI, 43,400 shares held in a retirement account (21,700 shares for the benefit of each of Mr. Friedberg and Ms. Friedberg), and 250,000 shares owned directly by Buckingham (see note 2). Excludes 29,125 shares owned directly by Ms. Friedberg, the wife of Mr. Friedberg, with respect to which Mr. Friedberg disclaims beneficial ownership.

All shares reported as beneficially owned by FCMI, PABTL, Nancy Friedberg, Albert D. Friedberg and Buckingham are presently outstanding. Mr. Friedberg, directly and through his control over FCMI shares held by members of his family and trusts for the benefit of members of his family, may be considered the sole beneficial owner of all of the Common Stock beneficially owned by FCMI. By virtue of his control of FCMI, Mr. Friedberg also may be deemed to possess voting and dispositive power over the shares owned directly by its wholly-owned subsidiary, PABTL. As trustees of Buckingham, each of Mr. Friedberg and Ms. Friedberg possesses voting and dispositive power over the Common Shares owned by Buckingham and may be deemed to share beneficial ownership of such Common Shares. Except for such beneficial ownership by Albert D. Friedberg and by Ms. Friedberg, none of the directors or officers of FCMI or PABTL, and none of the trustees of Buckingham, beneficially own any Common Shares.

Except as described in this Item 5, none of the Filing Persons, and none of their respective directors, officers or trustees has effected any transactions in the Issuer's Common Shares in the 60 days preceding the filing of this Schedule 13D (Amendment No. 8).

Signatures

After reasonable inquiry and to the best of each of the undersigned's knowledge and belief, each of the undersigned certify that the information set forth in this statement is true, complete and correct.

Dated: November 12, 2014

**FCMI F-INANCIAL
CORPORATION**

By: /s/ Dan Scheiner
Name: Dan Scheiner
Title: Vice President

**PAN ATLANTIC BANK
AND TRUST LIMITED**

By: /s/ Robert J. Bourque
Name: Robert J. Bourque
Title: Managing Director

**ALBERT D.
FRIEDBERG,
individually**

/s/ Albert D. Friedberg
Name: Albert D.
Friedberg

**NANCY FRIEDBERG,
individually**

/s/ Nancy Friedberg
Name: Nancy Friedberg

**THE BUCKINGHAM
CHARITABLE
FOUNDATION**

By: /s/ Albert D. Friedberg
Name: Albert D.
Friedberg
Title: Trustee

n-top:0px;margin-bottom:0px">

enabling our chief executive officer to focus on company operations instead of board administration;

providing our chief executive officer with an experienced sounding board;

Table of Contents

providing greater opportunities for communication between stockholders and our board;

enhancing the independent and objective assessment of risk by our board; and

providing an independent spokesman for our company.

The Board's Role in Risk Oversight

We face a number of risks in our business, including risks related to: pre-clinical and clinical research and development; regulatory reviews, approvals and oversight; intellectual property filings, prosecution, maintenance and challenges; the establishment and maintenance of strategic alliances; competition; the ability to access additional funding for our business; shareholder litigation; as well as other risks. Our management is responsible for the day-to-day management of the risks that we face, while our board of directors, as a whole and through its committees, has responsibility for the oversight of risk management.

Our board administers its risk oversight function directly and through its three committees. Each of our board chairman and lead outside director meet regularly with our chief executive officer and other executive officers to discuss strategy and risks facing the company. Members of our executive management team attend at least quarterly board meetings and are available to address any questions or concerns raised by the board on risk management and other related matters. Each quarter, the board of directors receives presentations from members of senior management on strategic matters involving our business. In addition, in accordance with its charter, the audit committee regularly discusses with management our risk exposures in the areas of financial reporting, internal controls and compliance with legal and financial regulatory requirements, their potential impact on our company and the steps we take to manage them. The compensation committee assists the board in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities with respect to the management of risks arising from our compensation policies and programs. The nominating and governance committee assists the board in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities with respect to the management of risks associated with board organization, membership and structure, succession planning for our directors and executive officers, and corporate governance.

Board of Directors Meetings and Attendance

Our board met ten times during 2014, either in person or by teleconference. During 2014, each of our directors attended at least 75% of the aggregate number of board meetings and meetings of the committees on which he then served.

Director Attendance at Annual Meeting of Stockholders

Our corporate governance guidelines provide that directors are responsible for attending annual meetings. All of our current directors attended the 2014 annual meeting of stockholders.

Board Committees

Our board of directors has established standing audit, compensation and nominating and governance committees, each of which operates under a written charter that has been approved by our board. The members of each committee are appointed by our board, upon recommendation of our nominating and governance committee.

Our board has determined that all of the members of each of these three standing committees satisfy the independence standards for such committees established by the SEC and the NASDAQ Marketplace Rules.

Audit Committee

The members of our audit committee are Kenneth Bate, Anthony Evnin and Robert Young. Dr. Robert Epstein served on the Audit Committee until his departure from our board on June 19, 2014, at which time

Table of Contents

Dr. Young was elected to the audit committee. Mr. Bate chairs the audit committee. Our board of directors has determined that Mr. Bate is an audit committee financial expert as defined in applicable SEC rules. Our audit committee's responsibilities include:

appointing, approving the compensation of, and assessing the independence of the independent registered public accounting firm;

overseeing the work of the independent registered public accounting firm, including through the receipt and consideration of reports from such firm;

reviewing and discussing with management and the independent registered public accounting firm our annual and quarterly financial statements and related disclosures;

monitoring our internal control over financial reporting, disclosure controls and procedures and code of business conduct and ethics;

overseeing our internal audit function;

discussing our risk management policies;

establishing policies regarding hiring employees from the independent registered public accounting firm and procedures for the receipt and retention of accounting-related complaints and concerns;

meeting independently with our internal auditing staff, independent registered public accounting firm and management;

reviewing and approving or ratifying any related person transactions; and

preparing the audit committee report required by SEC rules.

Our audit committee met six times during 2014.

Compensation Committee

The members of our compensation committee are Kenneth Bate, Anthony Evnin and Henri Termeer. Dr. Evnin chairs the compensation committee. Our compensation committee's responsibilities include:

annually reviewing and approving corporate goals and objectives relevant to our chief executive officer and our other executive officers' compensation;

reviewing and making recommendations to our board with respect to the compensation of our chief executive officer;

Edgar Filing: SEABRIDGE GOLD INC - Form SC 13D/A

reviewing and approving, or making recommendations to our board with respect to, the compensation of our other executive officers;

overseeing an evaluation of our senior executives;

overseeing and administering our cash and equity incentive plans;

reviewing and making recommendations to our board with respect to director compensation;

reviewing and discussing annually with management our Compensation Discussion and Analysis disclosure required by SEC rules; and

preparing the annual compensation committee report required by SEC rules.

The processes and procedures followed by our compensation committee in considering and determining executive compensation are described below under the heading Executive and Director Compensation Processes.

Our compensation committee met three times during 2014.

Table of Contents

Nominating and Governance Committee

The members of our nominating and governance committee are Raju Kucherlapati, Henri Termeer and Robert Young. Dr. Young chairs the nominating and governance committee. Our nominating and governance committee's responsibilities include:

identifying individuals qualified to serve as members of our board;

recommending to our board the persons to be nominated for election as directors and to each of our board's committees;

reviewing and making recommendations to our board with respect to management succession planning;

developing and recommending to our board corporate governance principles;

developing and recommending to our board continuing educational programs regarding corporate governance and other pertinent topics; and

overseeing an annual evaluation of our board.

The processes and procedures followed by our nominating and governance committee in identifying and evaluating director candidates are described below under the heading "Director Nomination Process."

Our nominating and governance committee met two times during 2014.

Director Nomination Process

Our nominating and governance committee is responsible for identifying individuals qualified to serve as directors, consistent with criteria approved by our board, and recommending the persons to be nominated for election as directors, except where we are legally required by contract, law or otherwise to provide third parties with the right to nominate.

The process followed by our nominating and governance committee to identify and evaluate director candidates includes requests to board members and others for recommendations, meetings from time to time to evaluate biographical information and background material relating to potential candidates and interviews of selected candidates by members of the committee and our board.

Criteria and Diversity

Our corporate governance guidelines specify that diversity on the board should be considered by the nominating and governance committee in the director identification and nomination process. In considering whether to recommend any particular candidate for inclusion in our board's slate of recommended director nominees, our nominating and governance committee will apply criteria that include the candidate's integrity, business acumen, knowledge of our business and industry, experience, diligence, conflicts of interest and ability to act in the interests of all stockholders. Our nominating and governance committee also considers issues of diversity, such as diversity of gender, race and national origin, education, professional experience and differences in viewpoints and skills. While our nominating and governance committee does not have a formal policy with respect to diversity, our board and nominating and governance committee believe that it is essential that the board members represent diverse viewpoints. The committee does not assign specific weights to particular criteria and no particular criterion is a prerequisite for each prospective nominee. We believe that the backgrounds and qualifications of our directors, considered as a group, should provide a composite mix of experience, knowledge and abilities that will allow our board to promote our strategic objectives and fulfill its responsibilities to our stockholders.

Edgar Filing: SEABRIDGE GOLD INC - Form SC 13D/A

The director biographies appearing above under Proposal 1 Election of Directors indicate each nominee's experience, qualifications, attributes and skills that led our board to conclude that each director should

Table of Contents

serve as a member of our board. Our board believes that each of the nominees has had substantial achievement in his professional and personal pursuits, and possesses the background, talents and experience that our board desires and that will contribute to the best interests of our company and to long-term stockholder value.

Stockholder Nominations

Stockholders may recommend individuals to our nominating and governance committee for consideration as potential director candidates by submitting names of the proposed candidates, together with appropriate biographical information and background materials and, if the stockholder is not a stockholder of record, a statement as to whether the stockholder or group of stockholders making the recommendation has beneficially owned more than 5% of our common stock for at least a year as of the date such recommendation is made, to the nominating and governance committee, c/o Michael Bailey, Secretary, AVEO Pharmaceuticals, Inc., 650 East Kendall Street, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02142. Assuming that appropriate biographical and background material has been provided on a timely basis, the committee will evaluate stockholder-recommended candidates by following substantially the same process, and applying substantially the same criteria, as it follows for candidates submitted by others. Stockholders also have the right under our bylaws to nominate director candidates directly, without any action or recommendation on the part of the committee or the board, by following the procedures set forth below under the heading **Stockholder Proposals**.

Communicating with the Independent Directors

Our board of directors will give appropriate attention to written communications that are submitted by stockholders, and will respond if and as appropriate. The chairman of our board is primarily responsible for monitoring communications from stockholders and for providing copies or summaries to the other directors as he considers appropriate.

Communications are forwarded to all directors if they relate to important substantive matters and include suggestions or comments that the chairman of our board considers to be important for the directors to know. In general, communications relating to governance and long-term corporate strategy are more likely to be forwarded than communications relating to ordinary business affairs and personal grievances.

Stockholders who wish to send communications on any topic to our board should address such communications to the board of directors, c/o Michael Bailey, Secretary, AVEO Pharmaceuticals, Inc., 650 East Kendall Street, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02142.

Executive Officer and Director Compensation Processes

Our compensation committee has implemented an annual performance review program for our executives, under which annual performance goals are determined and set forth in writing at the beginning of each calendar year for the company as a whole and for each of our executive officers. Annual corporate goals are proposed by management and approved by our board at the beginning of each calendar year for the upcoming year. These corporate goals target the achievement of specific research, regulatory, clinical, corporate development and financial milestones. Annual individual goals focus on contributions that facilitate the achievement of the corporate goals. Individual goals are proposed by our compensation committee and approved by our board of directors. Annual salary increases, annual bonuses, and annual stock option and restricted stock awards granted to our executives are tied to the achievement of these corporate and individual performance goals.

During the first calendar quarter of each year, we evaluate individual and corporate performance against the written goals for the recently completed year. This process leads to a recommendation by our chief executive officer for annual executive salary increases, annual stock option and restricted stock awards and bonuses, if any, which is then reviewed and approved by our compensation committee. Any awards under our annual cash incentive program to our chief executive officer are based solely on the achievement of our overall corporate goals.

Table of Contents

Our compensation committee has delegated to our chief executive officer the authority to make stock option grants under our Amended and Restated 2010 Incentive Stock Plan to our employees (other than to the chief executive officer or any of our other officers or any individual our board of directors or compensation committee may specify from time to time), at exercise prices equal to the closing price of our common stock on the date of grant and subject to vesting provisions and other conditions specified by our compensation committee.

Our compensation committee has the authority to retain compensation consultants and other outside advisors to assist in the evaluation of executive officer compensation. During the year ended December 31, 2014, our compensation committee retained an independent compensation consultant, Radford, an Aon Hewitt company, to assist the compensation committee in developing our executive and director compensation program for 2014, which included considering retention cash awards for certain executives and key employees. Radford also assisted in developing our overall executive and director compensation program for 2015.

Report of the Audit Committee

Our audit committee has reviewed our audited financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2014 and discussed them with our management and our independent registered public accounting firm, Ernst & Young LLP.

Our audit committee has also received from, and discussed with, Ernst & Young LLP various communications that Ernst & Young LLP is required to provide to our audit committee, including the matters required to be discussed by generally accepted auditing standards (including Public Company Accounting Oversight Board Auditing Standard No. 16, *Communications with Audit Committees*).

In addition, Ernst & Young LLP provided our audit committee with the written disclosures and the letter required by applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding the independent registered public accounting firm's communications with the audit committee concerning independence, and has discussed with the company's independent registered public accounting firm their independence.

Based on the review and discussions referred to above, our audit committee recommended to our board of directors that our financial statements audited by Ernst & Young LLP be included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014.

By the audit committee of the board of directors of AVEO Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

Kenneth Bate, Chair

Anthony Evnin

Robert Young

Principal Accountant Fees and Services

The following table summarizes the fees of Ernst & Young LLP, our independent registered public accounting firm, billed to us for each of the last two years.

| Fee Category | 2014 | 2013 |
|-------------------------------|------------|------------|
| Audit Fees ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 511,631 | \$ 484,300 |
| Tax Fees ⁽²⁾ | 32,370 | 105,580 |
| All Other Fees ⁽³⁾ | | 1,995 |
| Total Fees | \$ 544,001 | \$ 591,875 |

- (1) Audit Fees consist of fees for the integrated audit of our annual financial statements, the review of the interim financial statements included in our quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, and other professional services provided in connection with regulatory filings or engagements.

Table of Contents

(2) Tax Fees consist of fees for tax advice and tax services primarily related to: (i) miscellaneous federal and state tax consulting, (ii) international tax consulting and (iii) tax compliance with domestic and foreign tax returns.

(3) All Other Fees represent payment for access to the Ernst & Young LLP on-line accounting research database.

All such accountant services and fees were pre-approved by our audit committee in accordance with the Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures described below.

Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures

Our audit committee has adopted policies and procedures relating to the approval of all audit and non-audit services that are to be performed by our independent registered public accounting firm. This policy generally provides that we will not engage our independent registered public accounting firm to render audit or non-audit services unless the service is specifically approved in advance by our audit committee.

From time to time, our audit committee may pre-approve specified types of services that are expected to be provided to us by our independent registered public accounting firm during the next twelve months. Any such pre-approval is detailed as to the particular service or type of services to be provided and is also generally subject to a maximum dollar amount.

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED PERSON TRANSACTIONS

Policies and Procedures for Related Person Transactions

Our board of directors has adopted written policies and procedures for the review of any transaction, arrangement or relationship in which we are a participant, the amount involved exceeds \$120,000 and one of our executive officers, directors, director nominees or 5% stockholders (or their respective immediate family members), each of whom we refer to as a related person, has a direct or indirect material interest.

If a related person proposes to enter into such a transaction, arrangement or relationship, which we refer to as a related person transaction, the related person must report the proposed related person transaction to our corporate counsel. The policy calls for the proposed related person transaction to be reviewed and, if deemed appropriate, approved by the audit committee of our board of directors. Whenever practicable, the reporting, review and approval will occur prior to entry into the transaction. If advance review and approval is not practicable, the committee will review, and, in its discretion, may ratify the related person transaction. The policy also permits the chairman of the audit committee to review and, if deemed appropriate, approve proposed related person transactions that arise between committee meetings, subject to ratification by the committee at its next meeting. Any related person transactions that are ongoing in nature will be reviewed annually.

A related person transaction reviewed under the policy will be considered approved or ratified if it is authorized by the audit committee after full disclosure of the related person's interest in the transaction. As appropriate for the circumstances, the committee will review and consider:

the related person's interest in the related person transaction;

the approximate dollar value of the amount involved in the related person transaction;

the approximate dollar value of the amount of the related person's interest in the transaction without regard to the amount of any profit or loss;

whether the transaction was undertaken in the ordinary course of our business;

whether the terms of the transaction are no less favorable to us than terms that could have been reached with an unaffiliated third party;

Table of Contents

the purpose of, and the potential benefits to us of, the transaction; and

any other information regarding the related person transaction or the related person in the context of the proposed transaction that would be material to investors in light of the circumstances of the particular transaction.

The audit committee may approve or ratify the transaction only if the committee determines that, under all of the circumstances, the transaction is in or is not inconsistent with our best interests. The audit committee may impose any conditions on the related person transaction that it deems appropriate.

In addition to the transactions that are excluded by the instructions to the SEC's related person transaction disclosure rule, our board of directors has determined that the following transactions do not create a material direct or indirect interest on behalf of related persons and, therefore, are not related person transactions for purposes of this policy:

interests arising solely from the related person's position as an executive officer of another entity (whether or not the person is also a director of such entity), that is a participant in the transaction, where (a) the related person and all other related persons own in the aggregate less than a 10% equity interest in such entity, (b) the related person and his or her immediate family members are not involved in the negotiation of the terms of the transaction and do not receive any special benefits as a result of the transaction, and (c) the amount involved in the transaction equals less than the greater of \$200,000 or 5% of the annual consolidated gross revenues of the entity receiving payment under the transaction; or

a transaction that is specifically contemplated by provisions of our charter or bylaws.

The policy provides that transactions involving compensation of executive officers shall be reviewed and approved by the compensation committee in the manner specified in its charter.

Related Person Transactions

Since January 1, 2014, we have not engaged in any transaction which may constitute a related person transaction .

EXECUTIVE AND DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Our approach to executive compensation is guided by the following principles:

holding our executive officers accountable for results over the long term and maintaining integrity in all of the business dealings of our executive officers;

rewarding our executive officers for consistently strong execution; and

establishing a clear connection between rewards and performance.

These principles underlie our compensation program and, indeed, our entire culture. We seek to achieve financial strength by, among other things, linking compensation to performance goals, by using equity as a key component of compensation, and by continually reviewing and monitoring our compensation program.

Executive Summary

Edgar Filing: SEABRIDGE GOLD INC - Form SC 13D/A

We are a biopharmaceutical company committed to developing targeted therapies through biomarker-driven insights to provide substantial improvements in patient outcomes where significant unmet medical needs exist. Our proprietary platform has delivered unique insights into cancer and related disease. Our business strategy is to

Table of Contents

develop our pipeline drug candidates to key clinical value inflection points so that we can license our drugs to partners and generate revenue. This process takes multiple years for each drug so we need to ensure our executive officers and employees continue to execute on a long-term strategy. The life science industry is often characterized by long product lifecycles and complex development challenges.

During 2014, we continued to focus our efforts on further developing our four lead programs while exploring partnership opportunities to fund the further development of these programs, including our lead program for tivozanib. In January 2015, because our lead programs reached a clinical stage of development, the board elected to implement a restructuring, eliminating the research function of the company and related general administrative positions, to buttress stockholder value. In light of these events, our compensation committee and the board of directors saw a need to ensure that the compensation of the executive officers both reflected our performance in 2014 and a need to provide incentives for and retain key executive officers and employees. Accordingly, the board decided to implement a retention program to aid in retaining certain executives and key employees integral in the execution of our 2015 corporate strategy, which includes furthering the development of certain programs through partnerships, including our lead program for tivozanib.

Our chief executive officer's compensation is tied to the performance of the company, which is an underlying philosophy of our executive compensation program. Our executive compensation generally tracks total stockholder return and our chief executive officer's realizable compensation has generally decreased when our stock price has decreased. Notably, Mr. Ha-Ngoc, our former chief executive officer, did not receive any portion of his annual incentive cash award for 2014. Moreover, 58% of Mr. Ha-Ngoc's compensation for 2014 consisted of equity, in the form of stock options and performance shares, which was not realizable in 2014. In addition, none of Mr. Ha-Ngoc's equity awards that vested in 2014 had exercise prices below our common stock price.

Similarly, the realizable pay for our other named executive officers also declined as our stock price declined in 2014. As discussed further in this Compensation Discussion and Analysis, our named executive officers receive a significant portion of their compensation in the form of time-based options and performance or milestone-based restricted stock awards. All of these awards were initially granted at a stock price that is higher than our current stock price, and therefore, the value of these awards is currently significantly lower than the value was at the time of the grant for our named executive officers.

In order to move AVEO forward, the compensation committee recognized the critical need to attract and retain exceptional talent. As part of the January 2015 restructuring, the board appointed Mr. Bailey as chief executive officer and president and hired a new chief medical officer. The compensation committee considered the January 2015 restructuring, the need to retain key executives and our low stock price when making compensation decisions for 2015. The compensation committee took steps to retain our key employees in ways that were both meaningful to the employees, as well as aligned with the interests of our stockholders, including providing the executive officers with cash awards and retention equity awards, as further described below.

Our Compensation Program Emphasizes Performance

We believe that the compensation of our named executive officers for 2014 was well-aligned with our executive compensation objectives and with our performance for the following reasons:

We provide cash bonuses that are 100% dependent upon the company's and individual's performance goals. The cash bonus portion of our named executive officer's compensation is not guaranteed and is totally at risk. We ensure that the performance goals underlying the cash bonuses are aggressive, aligned with stockholder's interest and result driven. Therefore, if AVEO or an individual does not perform at a level of excellence, the cash bonus can be, and has been on occasion, zero.

Table of Contents

We deliver a significant portion of our named executive officer target total direct compensation in the form of long-term incentive equity awards. Over the past several years, a portion of the total target value of the three primary elements of named executive officer compensation—base salaries, annual cash incentive awards and equity awards—was delivered in the form of long-term equity awards. The amounts disclosed in the executive compensation tables in this proxy statement generally reflect the grant-date fair value of stock option awards, but the actual economic value of stock option awards depends directly on the performance of our stock price over the period during which the awards vest and the period during which stock options may be exercised. Therefore, if stockholder value decreases over time, so does the value of the stock compensation. Our executive officers will only realize value when our stock price, and consequently stockholder value, increases.

A significant portion of our named executive officer equity compensation is in the form of performance-based restricted stock awards, which aligns with our philosophy of pay-for-performance. Failure to attain stated corporate goals and defined strategic objectives will result in loss of long-term equity compensation and, moreover, a low stock price will result in a materially lower value than the target value of the equity award. During 2014, our named executive officers held long-term equity awards in the form of restricted stock with vesting based on strategic performance objectives. In accordance with our pay-for-performance philosophy, a significant portion of these performance shares did not vest and were not realizable due to our performance in 2014.

We aim to attract and retain exceptional executives in an extremely competitive market. In making its recommendation and decisions, the compensation committee reviewed market and peer data, which includes competitive information relating to the mix and levels of compensation for executives in the life science industry. The compensation committee also considers the need to retain key executives and reward those executives who continued to perform at a high level through 2014.

Overview of our Executive Compensation

The following section discusses the principles underlying our policies and decisions with respect to the compensation of our executive officers who are named in the Summary Compensation Table for the Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, which we refer to as our named executive officers, and the most important factors relevant to an analysis of these policies and decisions.

Under applicable SEC rules, our named executive officers for 2014 were:

Michael Bailey, our current president and chief executive officer;

Tuan Ha-Ngoc, our former president and chief executive officer and acting chief financial officer through January 6, 2015;

Joseph Vittiglio, our former general counsel;

Jeno Gyuris, our former chief scientific officer; and

William Slichenmyer, our former chief medical officer.

In this Compensation Discussion and Analysis and the discussion that follows, pursuant to SEC rules we include information with respect to Mr. Ha-Ngoc, who left his position as chief executive officer as of January 6, 2015, Dr. Slichenmyer who left his position as chief medical officer effective as of April 30, 2014, Dr. Gyuris who left his position as chief scientific officer effective as of January 15, 2015 and Mr. Vittiglio who left his position as general counsel effective as of March 19, 2015.

Our compensation committee is responsible for establishing and administering our policies governing the compensation for our named executive officers, including salaries, cash incentives and equity incentive compensation. Our compensation committee consists of three non-employee independent directors of our board,

Table of Contents

all of whom have extensive experience in our industry. Our compensation committee considers the recommendations of our chief executive officer when determining the appropriate mix of compensation for each of our executive officers, including our named executive officers. Our chief executive officer, however, does not provide input on his own compensation. Although our compensation committee is empowered to approve the salaries, cash incentives and equity incentive compensation of certain of our named executive officers, (i) the members of our board of directors approve the salary, cash incentive and equity incentive compensation of our chief executive officer, based on the recommendation of the compensation committee, and (ii) the compensation committee typically requests that the members of our board of directors approve the salaries, cash incentives and equity incentive compensation of all of our other named executive officers based on the compensation committee's recommendation.

We believe that the compensation of our named executive officers should be designed to focus executive behavior on the achievement of near-term corporate goals as well as long-term business objectives and strategies. We place significant emphasis on pay-for-performance compensation programs, which reward our executives when we achieve certain specific research, regulatory, clinical, corporate development and financial milestones thereby creating stockholder value. We use a combination of base salary, annual cash incentive compensation programs, a long-term equity incentive compensation program and a broad based benefits program to create a competitive compensation package for our executive officers.

Objectives of our Executive Compensation Program

Our compensation committee has designed our overall executive compensation program to achieve the following objectives:

attempt to attract and retain talented and experienced executives;

motivate and reward executives whose knowledge, skills and performance are critical to our success;

provide a competitive compensation package that aligns the interests of our named executive officers and stockholders by including a significant variable component which is weighted heavily toward performance-based rewards;

ensure fairness among executive officers by recognizing the contributions each executive makes to our success; and

foster a shared commitment among executives by aligning their individual goals with our corporate goals and the creation of stockholder value.

Basis for Compensation Policies and Decisions

We use a mix of short-term compensation, consisting of base salaries and cash incentive awards, and long-term compensation, consisting of equity incentive compensation, to provide a total compensation structure that is designed to achieve our objectives.

In arriving at the amount and types of initial compensation for each of our named executive officers, we consider the following factors:

the individual's particular background and circumstances, including prior relevant work experience and compensation paid prior to joining us and the uniqueness of the individual's skills within the industry;

the individual's role with us and the compensation paid to similar persons in the companies represented in the compensation data that we review (as further discussed below);

the demand for people with the individual's specific expertise and experience at the time of hire;

performance goals and other expectations for the individual's position;

Table of Contents

comparison to other executives within our company having similar levels of expertise and experience; and

recommendations from our independent compensation consultant.

We reassess annually the compensation of our named executive officers and determine whether any adjustments should be made. In determining whether to adjust the compensation of any of our named executive officers, we generally take into account the following factors:

the executive compensation practices of a selected peer group of publicly traded companies;

formal market data regarding base salary, cash incentives and equity compensation from a leading life science compensation survey of national biopharmaceutical and biotechnology companies;

the roles and responsibilities of our executives, including any increases or decreases in responsibilities; and

the contributions and performance of each named executive officer.

In making certain compensation decisions during 2014 and for 2015, the compensation committee also considered our recent restructurings, the need to retain key executives and our low stock price.

Our compensation committee retained an independent compensation consultant, Radford, an Aon Hewitt company, or Radford, in 2014 to assist the compensation committee in developing our overall executive and director compensation programs for 2014 and 2015.

To assist in determining executive compensation in 2014, Radford and the compensation committee reviewed a peer group, which we refer to as the 2014 peer group, of publicly traded companies in the life sciences industry at a stage of development, market capitalization and size comparable to ours, which companies the compensation committee believed were generally comparable to our company and against which the compensation committee believed we competed for executive talent. The compensation committee, in consultation with members of the Radford team, included the following companies in the 2014 peer group:

Achillion Pharmaceuticals, Inc.
Anthera Pharmaceuticals, Inc.
ArQule Inc.
Coronado Biosciences, Inc.
Curis, Inc.
Cytokinetics, Inc.
Dynavax Technologies Corporation

Geron Corporation
Infinity Pharmaceuticals, Inc.
KaloBios Pharmaceuticals, Inc.
Merrimack Pharmaceuticals, Inc.
Peregrine Pharmaceuticals, Inc.
Progenics Pharmaceuticals, Inc.
Rigel Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

Sunesis Pharmaceuticals, Inc.
Synta Pharmaceuticals Corp.
Targacept, Inc.
Threshold Pharmaceuticals, Inc.
ZIOPHARM Oncology, Inc.

Table of Contents

To assist in determining executive compensation in 2015, Radford and the compensation committee reviewed a peer group, which we refer to as the 2015 peer group, of publicly traded companies in the life sciences industry at a stage of development, market capitalization and size comparable to ours, which companies the compensation committee believes are generally comparable to our company and against which the compensation committee believes we compete for executive talent. Due to AVEO's changed profile from 2013 to 2014, including a lower market value, reduced headcount and stage of its development assets, Radford removed eight companies with market capitalizations in excess of \$400 million and added four companies whose parameters aligned more closely with the selection criteria for the peer group. The intention of the selection criteria was to focus on the biopharmaceutical industry, find companies in comparable stages of development, employee size and market capitalization and allow sufficient room for growth. The compensation committee, in consultation with the Radford team, included in the following companies in the 2015 peer group:

| | | |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Agenus Inc. | KaloBios Pharmaceuticals, Inc. | Sunesis Pharmaceuticals, Inc. |
| Athera Pharmaceuticals, Inc. | OncoGenex Pharmaceuticals, Inc. | Synta Pharmaceuticals Corp. |
| ArQule Inc. | Peregrine Pharmaceuticals, Inc. | Targacept, Inc. |
| Curis, Inc. | Progenics Pharmaceuticals, Inc. | Threshold Pharmaceuticals, Inc. |
| Cytokinetics, Inc. | Rexahn Pharmaceuticals, Inc. | ZIOPHARM Oncology Inc. |
| Dynavax Technologies Corporation | Rigel Pharmaceuticals, Inc. | |
| Geron Corporation | SIGA Technologies, Inc. | |

In addition to the publicly available information with respect to our 2014 and 2015 peer group companies, Radford gathered competitive market data from the 2014 Radford Global Life Sciences Survey of public biopharmaceutical companies with less than 200 employees, which we refer to as the 2014 Radford Global Life Sciences Survey, for our analysis of executive compensation in 2015.

Our compensation committee has concluded that our executive compensation program is effectively designed in light of our objectives and continues to be aligned with the interests of our stockholders and therefore determined not to make significant changes to the structure of our executive compensation program. Notably, Mr. Tuan Ha-Ngoc did not receive any portion of his cash incentive award for 2014, which was based on the company's performance in 2014, demonstrating that a significant portion of the chief executive officer's compensation is at risk and tied to the performance of the company. In addition, a significant portion of the executive officer's equity awards were not realizable in 2014 as a result of the company's low stock price.

Going forward, in light of the board's decision to implement a restructuring in January 2015, which eliminated the research function of the company and related general administrative positions, our compensation committee also saw a need to provide proper incentives for the remaining executive officers and key employees when making compensation decisions for 2015.

The Chief Executive Officer's Role in the Compensation Process

The compensation committee has historically used, in addition to its own judgment and experience, and the resources and tools described above, the recommendations of our chief executive officer as to the performance of each executive and as to the amount and type of compensation for such executive. Mr. Ha-Ngoc, our former chief executive officer, did not participate in the determination of his own compensation and the remuneration paid to him as a result of the January 2015 restructuring. In addition, Mr. Bailey, our current chief executive officer, did not participate in the determination of his compensation for 2015.

Risk Considerations in our Compensation Program

Our compensation committee has discussed the concept of risk as it relates to our executive compensation program and our compensation committee does not believe our executive compensation program encourages excessive or inappropriate risk taking. As described more fully below in Executive Compensation

Table of Contents

Components, we structure our pay to consist of both fixed and variable compensation to motivate our executives to produce superior short- and long-term results that are in our best interests and the best interests of our stockholders and that have the greatest potential to increase stockholder value. We have reviewed our compensation policies and programs with our compensation and audit committees and have concluded that any risks arising from our compensation policies and programs are not reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on our company or business.

Executive Compensation Components

Our executive compensation program is primarily comprised of:

base salary;

annual cash incentive compensation;

cash retention awards; and

equity compensation.

Our compensation committee has not adopted a formal policy for allocating between long-term and short-term compensation, between cash and non-cash compensation or among the different forms of non-cash compensation. Instead, the compensation committee, after reviewing information provided by our compensation consultant, determines what it believes to be the appropriate and competitive level and mix of the various compensation components.

We generally strive to provide our named executive officers with a balance of short-term and long-term incentives to encourage consistently strong performance. While we believe that the annual incentive cash component of our compensation package encourages our executives to focus on our near-term performance, generally performance over a one-year period, we rely upon equity-based awards to encourage our executives to focus on our longer-term performance. In addition, we provide our executives with benefits that are available to all of our salaried employees, including medical, dental, group life and accidental death, dismemberment and long- and short-term disability insurance, and matching contributions in our 401(k) plan.

Base Salary. Base salary is used to recognize the experience, skills, knowledge and responsibilities required of all our employees, including our named executive officers. Generally, we believe that executive base salaries should be targeted near the median of the range of salaries for executives in similar positions at comparable companies. When establishing base salaries for 2014 and 2015, the independent members of our board of directors, upon the recommendation of our compensation committee, considered the overall economic environment, the degree to which the company achieved its business goals and objectives, the need to attract, motivate and retain key executives, and each individual's performance. In addition, with respect to the base salaries of our named executive officers in 2014 and 2015, other than Mr. Ha-Ngoc, our compensation committee considered the recommendations of Mr. Ha-Ngoc in determining appropriate base salary levels.

Table of Contents

In January 2014, upon the recommendation of the compensation committee, the independent members of our board of directors decided to increase the base salary of each of our named executive officers for 2014 as set forth in the table below. Our compensation committee made its recommendation based on its analysis, with input from our consultant, Radford, of executive officer pay for the 2014 peer group companies described above and the 2013 Radford Global Life Sciences Survey of public biopharmaceutical companies with less than 250 employees and a market value of less than \$1 billion, which we refer to as the 2013 Radford Global Life Sciences Survey.

| Name | 2014 Annual Base Salary (\$) | Percentage Increase in Base Salary From 2013 Base Salary (%) |
|---------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Michael Bailey | \$ 361,611 | 3.00% ⁽¹⁾ |
| Tuan Ha-Ngoc | \$ 567,875 | 0.00% ⁽²⁾ |
| Joseph Vittiglio | \$ 319,947 | 10.30% ⁽³⁾ |
| Jeno Gyuris | \$ 344,497 | 3.00% ⁽¹⁾ |
| William Slichenmyer | \$ 401,549 | 3.00% ⁽¹⁾ |

- (1) Equal to the corporate-wide merit increase for all eligible employees.
- (2) Mr. Ha-Ngoc, who ceased serving as our president and chief executive officer in January 2015, did not receive a merit increase for the year 2014.
- (3) In addition to a corporate-wide merit increase of 3.0%, this increase includes an adjustment of 7.3% to align Mr. Vittiglio's salary with the median of the range of salaries for executives in similar positions at comparable companies in the 2014 peer group.

In January 2015, upon the recommendation of the compensation committee, our board of directors decided to increase the base salary for Mr. Bailey and Mr. Vittiglio as set forth in the table below to maintain their competitive position within the 2015 peer group and reflect market compensation practices. Our compensation committee made its recommendation based on its analysis, with input from Radford, of executive officer pay for the 2015 peer group companies described above and the 2014 Radford Global Life Sciences Survey.

On January 6, 2015, Mr. Bailey was appointed as our president and chief executive officer, promoted from the position of chief business officer of the company. In connection with this appointment, the board of directors, upon recommendation of the compensation committee, with input from Radford, decided to increase Mr. Bailey's salary to \$425,000 per year, a 17.5% increase in base salary. This decision was made in light of Mr. Bailey's promotion to president and chief executive officer and his new responsibilities in his new role. The compensation committee considered the recommendations by Radford regarding market data for chief executive officers from the 2014 Radford Global Life Sciences survey of public biopharmaceutical companies with less than 50 employees and a market value less than \$200 million, and assessed current compensation against base salary, target short-incentives, target total cash compensation, long-term incentives and target total direct compensation. Mr. Bailey's current equity holdings in the company, including the fact that 60% of his equity awards were unvested, all of his outstanding options had exercises prices higher than our current stock price and the value of his restricted stock grant were also considered in evaluating his entire compensation package.

Table of Contents

Mr. Ha-Ngoc resigned as president and chief executive officer effective as of January 6, 2015 and did not receive a merit increase for the year 2015. Dr. Gyuris' employment was terminated effective as of January 15, 2015 and he did not receive a merit increase for the year 2015. Mr. Vittiglio received a merit increase equal to the corporate-wide merit increase for all eligible employees.

| Name | 2015 Annual Base Salary (\$) | Percentage Increase in Base Salary From 2014 Base Salary (%) |
|------------------|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Michael Bailey | \$ 425,000 | 17.53% |
| Tuan Ha-Ngoc | \$ 567,875 | 0.00% |
| Joseph Vittiglio | \$ 329,545 | 3.00% |
| Jeno Gyuris | \$ 344,497 | 0.00% |

We believe that the base salaries established for our named executive officers for 2014 and 2015 are aligned with our executive compensation objectives stated above and are competitive with those of similarly situated companies.

Annual Cash Incentive Program. We have designed our annual cash incentive program to reward our named executive officers upon the achievement of specified annual corporate and individual goals which are approved in advance by our compensation committee and board of directors. Our cash incentive program emphasizes pay-for-performance and is intended to closely align executive compensation with achievement of specified operating results as the cash incentive amount is calculated on the basis of the percentage of corporate goals achieved. The compensation committee communicates the cash incentive award criteria to the named executive officers at the beginning of each fiscal year. The performance goals established by the compensation committee are based on the business strategy of the company and the objective of building stockholder value. There are three steps for determining whether, and the extent to which, an annual cash incentive award is payable to a named executive officer. First, at the beginning of the fiscal year, the compensation committee determines the target annual cash incentive award for the named executive officer based on a percentage of the officer's annual base salary for that year. Second, at the beginning of the fiscal year, the compensation committee establishes the specific performance goals that must be met in order for the officer to receive the award and the related weighting of each goal. Third, shortly after the end of the fiscal year, the compensation committee determines the extent to which these performance goals were met and the amount of the award. The independent members of the board of directors consider, and if they deem appropriate, approve, the recommendation of the compensation committee with respect to each of these steps.

Table of Contents*2014 Cash Incentive Program*

For 2014, the corporate goals, which accounted for 80% of the cash incentive for each of our named executive officers (other than our chief executive officer), the weighting of each goal, and the compensation committee's quantitative assessment of the degree to which each goal was actually achieved, were as follows:

| | Target Score (%) | Actual Score (%) |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|
| 2014 Corporate Goals | | |
| Advancement of the program goals outlined in the Company's 2014 strategic plan , including the development of the AV-380, ficlatuzumab and AV-203 programs, (including the completion of strategic partnership(s) for one or more of such programs), and the cost-efficient wind-down of the Tivozanib program | 60% | 35% ⁽¹⁾ |
| Identification of external product opportunities for further acceleration of value creation | 25% | 0% ⁽²⁾ |
| Achievement of financial goals, including specified year-end cash balance | 15% | 10% ⁽³⁾ |
| Total | 100% | 45% |

- (1) The company partnered ficlatuzumab with Biodesix in May 2014 and continued to wind-down tivozanib, but did not advance AV-380 nor ficlatuzumab, and therefore the compensation committee assessed a 35% score.
- (2) Due to the company's inability to identify an external product opportunity, the compensation committee determined that this goal was not achieved.
- (3) As a result of achieving the company's projected year-end cash balance of \$52.3 million, the compensation committee determined that two-thirds of this goal was achieved.

For 2014, the individual goals for each of our named executive officers (other than our chief executive officer) accounted for 20% of their performance incentive. The cash incentive payment for our chief executive officer is based solely on the achievement of our overall corporate goals described above. The individual goals for our other named executive officers are primarily related to the corporate goals for which they are most responsible and, to a lesser extent, individual development goals or department specific goals, subject to discretionary adjustments that our compensation committee deems appropriate. Our chief executive officer makes recommendations to the compensation committee as to the degree to which those named executive officers have satisfied their individual goals.

For 2014, Mr. Bailey's individual goals related to leading the company's business development activities in completing the partnerships identified in the corporate goals and overseeing activities relating to the supply chain for all of the company's development programs and alliance and program management.

For 2014, Mr. Vittiglio's individual goals related to leading the legal function in addressing all corporate matters and overseeing activities related to the company's quality assurance function.

For 2014, Dr. Gyuris' individual goals related to leading the research organization in delivering all activities related to the advancement of all of the company's development programs and to providing scientific insights to all of the company's development programs.

For 2014, Dr. Slichenmyer's individual goals were not established in light of his departure from the company effective April 30, 2014.

Our compensation committee has the authority to make discretionary adjustments to our annual cash incentive program, including the ability to make additional awards based on our named executive officers' performance and to modify the corporate and individual performance targets and the level of awards that our named executive officers receive in conjunction with their performance against the targets. In January 2014, the

Table of Contents

compensation committee established a target cash incentive payment for each of our named executive officers based on a percentage of their 2014 annual base salary. In determining these target cash incentive payments, the compensation committee used, in addition to its own judgment and experience, the recommendations of our chief executive officer based on market data from the 2013 Radford Global Life Sciences Survey and information on executive cash incentive targets from companies in the 2014 peer group.

The following table sets forth each named executive officer's target incentive payment for 2014 established by the compensation committee in January 2014, the cash incentive award actually paid and the cash incentive award paid as a percentage of the target award.

| Name | 2014 Target Annual Cash Incentive Award (\$) | Cash Incentive Award Paid for 2014 (\$) | Cash Incentive Award as a Percentage of Target Cash Incentive Award (%) |
|---------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Michael Bailey | \$ 144,644 | \$ 81,001 | 56% |
| Tuan Ha-Ngoc | \$ 369,119 | \$ 0 | 0% |
| Joseph Vittiglio | \$ 127,979 | \$ 71,668 | 56% |
| Jeno Gyuris | \$ 137,799 | \$ 137,799 | 100% |
| William Slichenmyer | \$ 160,620 | \$ 52,806 | 33% |

Messrs. Bailey and Vittiglio each received a cash incentive bonus equal to 56% of their potential cash incentive award for 2014, which was calculated based on the achievement of 45% of our corporate goals (which represented 80% of the total cash incentive bonus) and achievement of 100% of their respective personal goals (which represented for 20% of the total cash incentive bonus.)

In recognition of, among other things, his efforts on furthering the company's AV-380 program, our board, upon the recommendation of our compensation committee, decided to pay Dr. Gyuris, an award equal to 100% of his target cash incentive award for 2014, of which 56% was paid upon his departure and the remaining 44% was paid in three equal monthly installments commencing after his departure from the company.

In light of our performance in 2014, the board, upon recommendation of our compensation committee, did not award a cash incentive bonus to Mr. Ha-Ngoc, our former president and chief executive officer.

In accordance with his Severance and Change of Control Agreement, Dr. Slichenmyer was entitled to receive 100% of his annual cash incentive award pro-rated through the date of his termination. Dr. Slichenmyer left the company on April 30, 2014, and therefore received 33% of his target cash incentive award of \$160,620.

Table of Contents*2015 Cash Incentive Program*

In March 2015, the compensation committee established a target incentive payment for each of our named executive officers based on a percentage of their 2015 annual base salary as set forth below. These target incentive payments are based on the executive cash incentive practices of the 2014 Radford Global Life Sciences Survey. To arrive at competitive market data, Radford blended this broad life sciences survey data with peer survey data from the 2014 peer group set at levels that approximated the 50th percentile of target executive cash incentive compensation at those companies.

| Name ⁽¹⁾ | 2015 Annual Base Salary (\$) | Target Percentage of 2015 Annual Base Salary (%) | 2015 Target Annual Cash Incentive Award (\$) |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|
| Michael Bailey | \$ 425,000 | 50% | \$ 212,500 |
| Joseph Vittiglio ⁽²⁾ | \$ 329,545 | 40% | \$ 131,818 |

(1) Dr. Gyuris departed the company effective January 15, 2015 and Dr. Slichenmyer departed the company effective April 30, 2014. Accordingly, the compensation committee did not establish their respective target annual cash incentive awards for 2015.

(2) Mr. Vittiglio departed the company effective March 19, 2015.

In March 2015, our board of directors, upon the recommendation of our compensation committee, established the following corporate goals under the 2015 annual cash incentive award program for the year ending December 31, 2015, as set forth below:

| Corporate Goals | Percentage of Annual Cash Incentive Award Attributable to Corporate Goals |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Achievement of certain partnership and financial goals , including securing a partnership for tivozanib or AV-380 and completing a financing in order to extend cash runway | 50% |
| Tivozanib | 25% |
| Advance the Marketing Authorization Application filing in the EU | |
| Finalize registration and development and associated financing plan | |
| Ficlatuzumab | 15% |
| Meet patient enrollment goals for the FOCAL study | |
| General and Administrative | 10% |
| Evaluate and secure new facilities | |
| Complete January 2015 restructuring | |
| Total | 100% |

The corporate goals will account for 80% of the annual cash incentive opportunity for our executive officers (other than our chief executive officer). In addition to the overall corporate goals described above, the performance of each executive officer will be measured against the

Edgar Filing: SEABRIDGE GOLD INC - Form SC 13D/A

achievement of certain individual goals. The cash incentive payment for our chief executive officer will be based solely on the achievement of our overall corporate goals described above. For 2015, the individual goals for each of our named executive officers (other than chief executive officer), will account for 20% of such executive officer's annual cash incentive opportunity. Mr. Vittiglio departed the company effective March 19, 2015, Dr. Gyuris departed the company effective January 15, 2015 and Dr. Slichenmyer departed the company effective April 30, 2014. Accordingly, the compensation committee did not establish individual goals for Mr. Vittiglio, or Drs. Gyuris or Slichenmyer for 2015.

Table of Contents

Cash Retention Awards.

In June 2013, the independent directors of our board, upon the recommendation of our compensation committee, approved the grant of cash retention awards as a retention incentive to each of our named executive officers, other than the chief executive officer, including Dr. Slichenmyer and Messrs. Bailey, Gyuris and Vittiglio. Each cash retention award is equal to 20% of the applicable named executive officer's target bonus in effect on the date of grant. Dr. Slichenmyer received a pro-rated amount of this cash incentive award in connection with his departure on April 30, 2014. Messrs. Bailey, Vittiglio and Gyuris received their respective payment under the June 2013 retention award in October 2014.

In January 2014, our independent directors, upon the recommendation of our compensation committee, approved the grant of cash retention awards as a retention incentive to each of our named executive officers, other than the chief executive officer, including Dr. Slichenmyer and Messrs. Bailey, Gyuris and Vittiglio. Each cash retention award is equal to 20% of the applicable named executive officer's base pay in effect on the applicable cash payment date. Dr. Slichenmyer received a pro-rated amount of his cash incentive award in connection with his departure on April 30, 2014. Messrs. Bailey, Vittiglio and Gyuris received the first two cash retention award payments in June 2014 and in October 2014. Provided that the named executive officer continues to be employed by us on such date and otherwise subject to the terms of the executive officer's Severance Agreement with us, as amended, and certain letter agreements with each named executive officer (as described below), the third payment will be made during June 2015. In connection with his departure in January 2015, Dr. Gyuris received a pro-rated amount of the third cash retention payment due in June 2015. In connection with his departure in March 2015, Mr. Vittiglio did not receive any portion of the third payment due in June 2015. In addition, in May, 2014, in light of the desire to retain Mr. Vittiglio as an executive officer of the company, the compensation committee approved the grant of an additional cash retention award to Mr. Vittiglio of \$125,000, of which fifty percent was paid in August 2014 and the other fifty percent was paid in March 2015.

Considering the need to retain certain core employees remaining after the reduction in head count in January 2015, our board of directors, upon the recommendation of the compensation committee, approved the payment of an incentive bonus to Mr. Vittiglio in the aggregate amount of \$160,000, whereby fifty percent would be due upon the earlier of (y) the closing of a corporate partnership or financing and (z) July 31, 2015 and the other fifty percent would be due on October 1, 2015 provided that a corporate partnership or financing transaction had closed, so long as Mr. Vittiglio remained employed with the company on such dates. As a result of Mr. Vittiglio's departure in March 2015, he did not receive any of these payments.

In January 2015, our independent directors, upon the recommendation of our compensation committee, also approved the grant of cash retention awards to 10 key employees considered essential to AVEO's future progress. These cash retention awards are payable in three installments during the 2015 calendar year, whereby the first two payments would equal the total severance value of what the employees would have received had they been laid off and the final payment would equal 75% of the employee's target cash incentive payment for 2015.

The compensation committee did not grant any cash retention awards to our former chief executive officer, Tuan Ha-Ngoc in 2014 or to our current chief executive officer, Michael Bailey, in 2015.

Equity Compensation. In addition to the cash retention awards described above, we use stock options and restricted stock to attract, retain, motivate and reward our named executive officers. Through our equity-based grants, we seek to align the interests of our named executive officers with our stockholders, reward and motivate both near-term and long-term executive performance and provide an incentive for retention. Our decisions regarding the amount and type of equity incentive compensation, the allocation of equity and relative weighting of these awards within total executive compensation have been based on market practices of similarly-situated companies.

Table of Contents

We grant equity incentive awards to our employees, including our named executive officers, upon the commencement of their employment and, generally, on an annual basis, as part of our overall compensation program. Historically, all grants of awards to our named executive officers have been made by our board of directors at regularly scheduled meetings during the year upon the recommendation of our compensation committee. The exercise or purchase price of each award is equal to the fair market value of the award on the date of grant, which is the date of the board meeting approving such grant. The following factors are considered in determining the amount of equity incentive awards, if any, to be granted to our named executive officers:

the number of shares subject to, and exercise prices (when applicable) of, outstanding awards, both exercisable, or vested, and unexercisable, or unvested, held by our executives;

the vesting schedule of the unvested awards held by our executives; and

the amount and percentage of total equity on a diluted basis held by our executives.

All historical stock option grants prior to our initial public offering were made at exercise prices that our board of directors determined to equal the fair market value of our shares of common stock on the respective grant dates.

2014 Equity Compensation Awards

In January 2014, as part of the annual individual performance evaluations of our named executive officers, the independent members of our board of directors, upon the recommendation of our compensation committee, granted to our named executive officers the options to purchase shares of our common stock set forth in the table below. The stock option awards to our named executive officers were granted with a term of 10 years (subject to continued employment with our company) and an exercise price of \$1.77 per share, which was the closing price of our common stock on the date of grant. See the footnotes to the table below for a description of the vesting terms applicable to these awards. Our compensation committee made its recommendation based on its analysis, with input from our consultant, Radford, of the executive officer equity compensation practices in the 2013 Radford Global Life Sciences Survey and executive officer equity compensation for the 2014 peer group companies and recommended awards that approximated the 50th percentile of executive equity incentive compensation at those companies.

| Name | Number of Shares of Common Stock Underlying Time-Based Options Granted in January 2014 ⁽¹⁾ | Number of Share of Common Stock Underlying Milestone-Based Options Granted in June 2014 ⁽²⁾ | Total Number of Shares of Common Stock Underlying Awards Granted in 2014 |
|---------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Michael Bailey | 75,000 | 250,000 | 325,000 |
| Tuan Ha-Ngoc | 100,000 | 900,000 | 1,000,000 |
| Joseph Vittiglio | 75,000 | 250,000 | 325,000 |
| Jeno Gyuris | 75,000 | 250,000 | 325,000 |
| William Slichenmyer | 75,000 | | 75,000 |

(1) These options vest and become exercisable over a period of four years in equal monthly installments.

(2) One-third of these options vest and become exercisable on the date upon which the closing price per share of our common stock is equal to or above \$3.00 for at least 30 consecutive trading days prior to December 31, 2016. One-third of these options vest and become exercisable on the date upon which the closing price per share of our common stock is equal to or above \$4.50 for at least 30 consecutive trading days prior to December 31, 2017. One-third of these options vest and become exercisable on the date upon which the closing price per share of our common stock is equal to or above \$6.50 or more for at least 30 consecutive trading days prior to December 31, 2018.

Table of Contents

In June 2013, after our restructuring and related reduction in employee headcount, our compensation committee decided to grant to our executive officers, including our named executive officers (but excluding our chief executive officer) and certain other employees time-based option grants and performance-based restricted stock grants, as follows:

| Name | Number of Shares of Common Stock Underlying Time-Based Options Granted in June 2013 | Number of Shares of Performance-Based Restricted Stock Granted in June 2013 ⁽¹⁾ | Number of Shares of Restricted Stock Eligible for Vesting in 2014 | Number of Restricted Shares Forfeited in 2014 |
|---------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Michael Bailey | 120,000 | 40,000 | 24,000 | 13,200 |
| Tuan Ha-Ngoc | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Joseph Vittiglio | 120,000 | 40,000 | 24,000 | 13,200 |
| Jeno Gyuris | 120,000 | 40,000 | 24,000 | 13,200 |
| William Slichenmyer | 120,000 | 40,000 | 24,000 | 13,200 |

- (1) The shares underlying the restricted stock awards are subject to the achievement of certain strategic performance criteria and continued employment, as follows: up to 40% of the total shares underlying the restricted stock were eligible to vest no later March 15, 2014, referred to as the 2013 Performance Restricted Stock Tranche upon the determination by the compensation committee of the degree to which the performance goals were met and up to 60% of the total shares underlying the restricted stock were eligible to vest no later than March 15, 2015, referred to as the 2014 Performance Restricted Stock Tranche, upon the determination by the compensation committee of the degree to which we achieve our corporate goals under the annual cash incentive program established for the 2014 fiscal year.

The following goals were established for the 2013 Performance Restricted Stock Tranche granted in June 2013:

| Performance Goals for 2013 Performance Restricted Stock Tranche | Target Score (%) | Actual Score (%) |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Advancement of AV-203, including completing dose-escalation and initiating enrollment in NRG1+ expansion cohorts of First-in-Human study | 5% | 5% |
| Advancement of Ficluzumab, including filing investigational new drug application amendment for newly manufactured material and evaluating a proof of concept study | 10% | 10% |
| Advancement of Tivozanib, including enrolling patients in phase 2 study of tivozanib in patients with triple-negative breast cancer, filing an investigational device exemption with respect to the Hypoxia gene and the winding down clinical studies related to RCC | 25% | 10% |
| Advancement of AV-380, including nominating a GDF-15 development candidate and initiating a Cell Line Development | 20% | 20% |
| Achievement of certain year-end cash balances | 35% | 35% |
| Sub-letting unnecessary facility space | 5% | 0% |
| Total | 100% | 80% |

In January 2014, the compensation committee determined that 80% of the goals for the 2013 Performance Restricted Stock Tranche were achieved. Consequently, 80% of the shares of common stock underlying 40% of the restricted stock awards granted in June 2013 have vested, while the remaining 20% of the shares of common stock underlying 40% of the restricted stock awards have been forfeited to the company.

The goals for the 2014 Performance Restricted Stock Tranche were the same as the corporate goals for 2014 as set forth under the annual incentive cash program described above. In January 2015, our board of directors,

Table of Contents

upon recommendation of the compensation committee, determined that 45% of corporate goals for 2014 were achieved. Therefore, 45% of the shares of common stock underlying 60% of the restricted stock awards granted in June 2013 have vested, while the remaining 55% of the shares of common stock underlying 60% of the restricted stock awards have been forfeited to the company.

2015 Equity Compensation Awards

In January 2015, as part of the annual individual performance evaluations of our named executive officers, our board of directors, upon the recommendation of our compensation committee, granted to our named executive officers the option to purchase shares of our common stock set forth in the table below. The stock option awards to our named executive officers were granted with a term of 10 years (subject to continued employment with our company) and an exercise price of \$0.84 per share, which was the closing price of our common stock on the date of grant. The options vest in equal monthly installments over four years. Our compensation committee made its recommendation based on its analysis, with input from our consultant, Radford, of the executive officer equity compensation practices in the 2014 Radford Global Life Sciences Survey and executive officer equity compensation for the 2014 peer group companies and recommended awards that approximated the 50th percentile of executive equity incentive compensation at those companies.

| Name | Number of Shares of Common Stock Underlying Time-Based Options Granted in 2015 ⁽¹⁾ | Total Number of Shares of Common Stock Underlying Awards Granted in 2015 |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Michael Bailey | 900,000 | 900,000 |
| Tuan Ha-Ngoc | 0 | 0 |
| Joseph Vittiglio | 300,000 | 300,000 |
| Jeno Gyuris | 0 | 0 |
| William Slichenmyer | 0 | 0 |

(1) These options vest and become exercisable over a period of four years in equal monthly installments.

Vesting of options and restricted stock granted to our named executive officers fully accelerates if such officer is terminated without cause within 18 months following a change in control of us. Vesting and exercise rights, if applicable, cease shortly after termination of employment except in the case of death or disability. Prior to the exercise of an option, the holder has no rights as a stockholder with respect to the shares subject to such option, including voting rights and the right to receive dividends or dividend equivalent payments.

Our insider trading policy, which applies to all of our employees and directors, prohibits (i) pledging of our securities, including purchasing our securities on margin, margin accounts and pledges as collateral for a loan and (ii) hedging of our securities, including shorts sales of our securities and purchases or sales of puts, calls and other derivative securities. We do not have any equity ownership guidelines for our executive officers.

Other Benefits. We believe that establishing competitive benefit packages for our employees is an important factor in attracting and retaining highly qualified personnel. Named executive officers are eligible to participate in all of our employee benefit plans, such as medical, dental, group life and accidental death and dismemberment insurance and our 401(k) plan, in each case on the same basis as other employees. Under our 401(k) plan, we match 50% on every dollar contributed by an employee up to a maximum of 5% of the employee's salary. The match vests at 25% per year over four years. Consistent with our compensation philosophy, we intend to continue to maintain our current benefits for our named executive officers. Our compensation committee may, in its discretion, revise, amend or add to the benefits and perquisites made available to our named executive officers if it deems it advisable to do so.

Table of Contents

Severance and Change in Control Benefits

Our named executive officers are entitled to receive severance benefits in connection with a termination of their employment not in connection with a change in control. Please refer to *Employment Agreements and Severance Arrangements* for a more detailed discussion of these benefits. Additionally, pursuant to our Key Employee Change in Control Severance Benefit Plan, certain of our key employees, including our named executive officers, are entitled to severance payments if we terminate their employment without cause or if they leave their employment with us for good reason (as such terms are defined in the Key Employee Change in Control Severance Benefit Plan) within 18 months of a change in control of us. Further, if we terminate a named executive officer's employment without cause or such named executive officer leaves his employment with us for good reason within 18 months of a change in control of us, all options held by such named executive officer will become immediately exercisable in full and all restricted stock held by such named executive officer will vest in full. We have provided more detailed information about these benefits, along with estimates of their value under various circumstances, under *Potential Payments and Benefits Upon Termination and a Change in Control* below.

We believe providing these benefits helps us compete for executive talent. After reviewing the practices of comparable companies, we believe that our severance and change in control benefits are generally in line with severance packages offered to executives by such companies.

Our practice in the case of change in control benefits has been to structure these as *double trigger* benefits. This means that the change in control does not itself trigger benefits; rather, benefits are paid only if the employment of the executive is terminated during a specified period after the change in control. We believe a *double trigger* benefit maximizes stockholder value because it prevents an unintended windfall to executives in the event of a friendly change in control, while still providing executives with appropriate incentives to cooperate in negotiating any change in control in which they believe they may lose their jobs but which may be beneficial to stockholders.

Tax and Accounting Considerations

Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, or the Code, generally disallows a tax deduction for compensation in excess of \$1.0 million paid to our chief executive officer and our three other officers (other than our chief financial officer) whose compensation is required to be reported to our stockholders pursuant to the Exchange Act by reason of being among the three other most highly paid executive officers. Qualifying performance-based compensation is not subject to the deduction limitation if specified requirements are met. We have a history of operating losses and expect to continue to incur operating losses for the foreseeable future. These net operating loss carryforwards would have the effect of offsetting certain future taxable gains, and as such, we generally do not consider the tax implications of our executive compensation programs to be meaningful to our operating or financial results. Accordingly, the compensation committee may, in its judgment, authorize compensation payments that do not comply with the exemptions in Section 162(m) when it believes that such payments are appropriate to attract and retain executive talent.

We account for equity compensation paid to our employees in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification, or ASC, 718, which requires us to measure and recognize compensation expense in our financial statements for all share-based payments based upon an estimate of their fair value over the service period of the award. We record cash compensation as an expense at the time the obligation is incurred.

Table of Contents**Summary Compensation Table for the Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012**

The following table sets forth information for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 regarding compensation awarded to, earned by or paid to our chief executive officer, our chief financial officer, and our three other most highly compensated executive officers during 2014, 2013 and 2012.

| Name and Principal Position | Year | Salary (\$) | Cash Retention (\$) ⁽¹⁾ | Stock Awards (\$) ⁽²⁾ | Option Awards (\$) ⁽²⁾ | Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$) ⁽³⁾ | All Other Compensation (\$) ⁽⁴⁾ | Total (\$) |
|------------------------------------------------------|------|----------------|------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| Michael Bailey, Chief Executive Officer | 2014 | \$ 361,611 | \$ 142,538 | | \$ 279,560 | \$ 81,001 | \$ 7,759 | \$ 872,469 |
| | 2013 | \$ 351,079 | | \$ 357,380 | \$ 343,133 | \$ 39,321 | \$ 7,668 | \$ 1,098,581 |
| | 2012 | \$ 330,427 | | \$ 239,876 | \$ 244,627 | \$ 126,884 | \$ 7,220 | \$ 949,034 |
| Tuan Ha-Ngoc, Former Chief Executive Officer | 2014 | \$ 567,875 | | | \$ 806,597 | | \$ 12,044 | \$ 1,386,516 |
| | 2013 | \$ 567,875 | | \$ 636,900 | \$ 376,456 | | \$ 12,044 | \$ 1,593,275 |
| | 2012 | \$ 550,000 | | \$ 724,900 | \$ 737,827 | \$ 339,625 | \$ 12,131 | \$ 2,364,483 |
| Joseph Vittiglio, Former General Counsel | 2014 | \$ 319,947 | \$ 170,000 | | \$ 279,560 | \$ 71,668 | \$ 6,285 | \$ 847,460 |
| | 2013 | \$ 290,070 | | \$ 244,500 | \$ 297,502 | \$ 63,879 | \$ 6,118 | \$ 862,556 |
| | 2012 | \$ 264,783 | | \$ 54,275 | \$ 55,238 | \$ 77,235 | \$ 5,926 | \$ 444,101 |
| Jeno Gyuris, Former Chief Scientific Officer | 2014 | \$ 344,497 | \$ 135,792 | | \$ 279,560 | \$ 137,799 | \$ 8,333 | \$ 905,981 |
| | 2013 | \$ 334,463 | | \$ 280,180 | \$ 343,133 | \$ 37,460 | \$ 8,203 | \$ 1,003,439 |
| | 2012 | \$ 323,935 | | \$ 214,834 | \$ 218,586 | \$ 124,391 | \$ 7,758 | \$ 889,504 |
| William Slichenmyer, Former Chief Medical Officer | 2014 | \$ 401,549 | \$ 128,293 | | \$ 88,155 | \$ 52,806 | \$ 5,313 | \$ 676,116 |
| | 2013 | \$ 389,853 | | \$ 318,780 | \$ 343,133 | \$ 43,664 | \$ 10,112 | \$ 1,105,542 |
| | 2012 | \$ 377,582 | | \$ 247,784 | \$ 251,729 | \$ 148,012 | \$ 9,722 | \$ 1,034,829 |

(1) Represents cash retention awards paid during the year.

(2) The assumptions we used in valuing equity awards are described in Note 11, Stock-Based Compensation, to our audited financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014. With respect to options other than performance-based options, amounts reported reflect the aggregate grant date fair value as calculated in accordance with ASC 718 for the indicated year in connection with options we granted in the indicated year, adjusted to disregard the effects of any estimate of forfeitures related to service-based vesting. Forty percent of performance-based restricted stock awards granted in June 2014 were deemed on January 29, 2014 to be 60% earned as such the grant date fair value of such awards was determined to be equal to the fair market value of the underlying shares on the date of grant. The remaining 60% of performance-based restricted stock awards were deemed on January 6, 2015 to be 45% earned as such the grant date fair value of such awards was determined to be equal to the fair market value of the underlying shares on the date of grant. Performance-based restricted stock awards granted in January 2014 were deemed at the time of issuance to be probable of being earned and as such the grant date fair value of such awards was determined to be equal to the fair market value of the underlying shares on the date of grant. Performance-based restricted stock awards granted in February 2012 were deemed at

Edgar Filing: SEABRIDGE GOLD INC - Form SC 13D/A

the time of issuance to be probable of being earned and as such the grant date fair value of such awards was determined to be equal to the fair market value of the underlying shares on the date of grant. In October 2014, the compensation committee determined that the conditions underlying each of these awards would not be met and the shares were forfeited to the company.

- (3) Our compensation committee determined to pay Tuan Ha-Ngoc, Joseph Vittiglio, William Slichenmyer (pro-rated through the day of his departure from the company in April 2014), Michael Bailey, and Jeno Gyuris annual cash incentive plan awards equal to 0%, 56%, 33%, 56%, and 100% of such executive officer's target award, respectively, for performance in 2014. Our compensation committee determined to pay Tuan Ha-Ngoc, Joseph Vittiglio, William Slichenmyer, Michael Bailey, and Jeno Gyuris annual cash incentive plan awards equal to 0%, 73%, 28%, 28%, and 28% of such executive officer's target award, respectively, for performance in 2013. The bonus earned on the basis of actual performance relative to target cash incentive award metrics has been reported in this column as non-equity incentive plan compensation.

Table of Contents

Our compensation committee determined to pay Tuan Ha-Ngoc, Joseph Vittiglio, William Slichenmyer, Michael Bailey, and Jenó Gyuris annual cash incentive plan awards equal to 95%, 117%, 98%, 96%, and 96% of such executive officer's target award, respectively, for performance in 2012.

- (4) Amounts represent the value of perquisites and other personal benefits, which are further detailed below:

| Name and Principal Position | Year | Matched 401(k) Contribution (\$) | Group Life Insurance (\$) | Total (\$) |
|---------------------------------|------|----------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------|
| Michael Bailey, | 2014 | \$ 6,500 | \$ 1,259 | \$ 7,759 |
| Chief Executive Officer | 2013 | \$ 6,500 | \$ 1,168 | \$ 7,668 |
| | 2012 | \$ 6,125 | \$ 1,095 | \$ 7,220 |
| Tuan Ha-Ngoc, | 2014 | \$ 6,500 | \$ 5,544 | \$ 12,044 |
| Former Chief Executive Officer | 2013 | \$ 6,500 | \$ 5,544 | \$ 12,044 |
| | 2012 | \$ 6,125 | \$ 6,006 | \$ 12,131 |
| Joseph Vittiglio | 2014 | \$ 5,554 | \$ 731 | \$ 6,285 |
| Former General Counsel | 2013 | \$ 5,487 | \$ 631 | \$ 6,118 |
| | 2012 | \$ 5,356 | \$ 570 | \$ 5,926 |
| Jenó Gyuris | 2014 | \$ 6,500 | \$ 1,833 | \$ 8,333 |
| Former Chief Scientific Officer | 2013 | \$ 6,500 | \$ 1,703 | \$ 8,203 |
| | 2012 | \$ 6,125 | \$ 1,633 | \$ 7,758 |
| William Slichenmyer, | 2014 | \$ 4,109 | \$ 1,204 | \$ 5,313 |
| Former Chief Medical Officer | 2013 | \$ 6,500 | \$ 3,612 | \$ 10,112 |
| | 2012 | \$ 6,125 | \$ 3,597 | \$ 9,722 |

Grants of Plan-Based Awards for the Year Ended December 31, 2014

The following table sets forth information for the year ended December 31, 2014 regarding grants of plan-based awards made during 2014 to our named executive officers.

| Name | Grant Date | Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards Target (\$) ⁽¹⁾ | All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options (#) ⁽²⁾ | Exercise or Base Price of Stock and Option Awards (\$/sh) | Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards (\$) ⁽³⁾ |
|----------------|------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Michael Bailey | | \$ 144,644 | | | |
| | | \$ 74,492 ⁽⁵⁾ | | | |
| | 1/29/14 | | 75,000 | \$ 1.77 | \$ 88,155 ⁽⁴⁾ |
| | 6/19/14 | | 250,000 | \$ 1.57 | \$ 191,607 ⁽⁴⁾ |

Edgar Filing: SEABRIDGE GOLD INC - Form SC 13D/A

| | | | | | |
|---------------------|---------|---------------------------|---------|---------|---------------------------|
| Tuan Ha-Ngoc | | \$ 369,119 | | | |
| | 1/29/14 | | 100,000 | \$ 1.77 | \$ 117,540 ⁽⁴⁾ |
| | 6/19/14 | | 900,000 | \$ 1.57 | \$ 689,786 ⁽⁴⁾ |
| Joseph Vittiglio | | \$ 127,979 | | | |
| | | \$ 128,409 ⁽⁵⁾ | | | |
| | 1/29/14 | | 75,000 | \$ 1.77 | \$ 88,155 ⁽⁴⁾ |
| | 6/19/14 | | 250,000 | \$ 1.57 | \$ 191,607 ⁽⁴⁾ |
| Jeno Gyuris | | \$ 137,799 | | | |
| | | \$ 68,899 ⁽⁵⁾ | | | |
| | 1/29/14 | | 75,000 | \$ 1.77 | \$ 88,155 ⁽⁴⁾ |
| | 6/19/14 | | 250,000 | \$ 1.57 | \$ 191,607 ⁽⁴⁾ |
| William Slichenmyer | 1/29/14 | | 75,000 | \$ 1.77 | \$ 88,155 ⁽⁴⁾ |

Table of Contents

- (1) Represents the target payout levels under the annual cash incentive program. Target payouts for Tuan Ha-Ngoc, Joseph Vittiglio, William Slichenmyer, Michael Bailey, and Jenő Gyuris represented 65%, 40%, 40%, 40%, and 40% of base salary in 2014, respectively. The actual payout with respect to each named executive officer is shown above in the Summary Compensation Table for the Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 in the column titled Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation. The annual cash incentive program did not have threshold payout levels, as the determination of the level of achievement of corporate and individual goals was subjective and subject to the discretion of our board of directors. Payouts under the annual cash incentive program were not subject to any maximum limit. Additional information regarding the design of the annual cash incentive program, including a description of the corporate goals and individual performance applicable to 2014 awards, is described above in Executive Compensation Components.
- (2) For the vesting schedules of these awards, please see footnote 2 of the Outstanding Equity Awards at December 31, 2014 table below. These awards are subject to acceleration upon termination of employment as further described in the Employment Agreements and Severance Arrangements and Potential Payments and Benefits Upon Termination and a Change in Control sections below.
- (3) For a discussion of our methodology for determining the fair value of our common stock, see Note 11 to our audited financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014.
- (4) Valuation of these options is based on the aggregate dollar amount of share-based compensation recognized for financial statement reporting purposes computed in accordance with ASC 718 over the term of these options, excluding the impact of estimated forfeitures related to service-based vesting conditions. The assumptions used by us with respect to the valuation of stock and option awards are set forth in Note 11 to our audited financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014.
- (5) Bonus amount for select executives granted on January 29, 2014 by our compensation committee. These payments were made on March 13, 2015 and will be made on June 15, 2015, and only if the individual remains employed by us at such time.

Outstanding Equity Awards at December 31, 2014

The following table sets forth information regarding outstanding equity awards held as of December 31, 2014 by our named executive officers.

| Name | Option Awards ⁽¹⁾ | | | | Stock Awards ⁽¹⁾ | |
|----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options Exercisable (#) | Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options Unexercisable (#) | Option Exercise Price (\$) | Option Expiration Date | Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (#) | Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (\$) |
| Michael Bailey | 250,000 | | \$ 1.57 ⁽⁹⁾ | 6/19/2024 | 24,000 ⁽³⁾ | \$ 43,920 |
| | 18,750 | 56,250 | \$ 1.77 ⁽⁵⁾ | 1/29/2024 | | |
| | 48,000 | 72,000 | \$ 2.51 ⁽⁷⁾ | 6/26/2023 | | |
| | 15,572 | 16,928 | \$ 7.72 ⁽⁶⁾ | 1/24/2023 | | |
| | 22,604 | 8,396 | \$ 13.18 ⁽²⁾ | 1/31/2022 | | |
| | 4,895 | 105 | \$ 14.16 ⁽⁴⁾ | 2/15/2021 | | |
| | 180,000 | | \$ 14.26 | 10/5/2020 | | |

Table of Contents

| Name | Option Awards ⁽¹⁾ | | | | Stock Awards ⁽¹⁾ | |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options Exercisable (#) | Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) | Option Exercise Price (\$) | Option Expiration Date | Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (#) | Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (\$) |
| Tuan Ha-Ngoc | | 900,000 | \$ 1.57 ⁽⁹⁾ | 6/19/2024 | | |
| | 25,000 | 75,000 | \$ 1.77 ⁽⁵⁾ | 1/29/2024 | | |
| | 39,531 | 42,969 | \$ 7.72 ⁽⁶⁾ | 1/24/2023 | | |
| | 68,177 | 25,323 | \$ 13.18 ⁽²⁾ | 1/31/2022 | | |
| | 83,229 | 1,771 | \$ 14.16 ⁽⁴⁾ | 2/15/2021 | | |
| | 61,250 | | \$ 12.24 | 2/2/2020 | | |
| | 39,999 | | \$ 12.24 | 2/2/2020 | | |
| | 57,499 | | \$ 8.48 | 4/1/2019 | | |
| | 62,499 | | \$ 6.44 | 1/31/2018 | | |
| | 238,749 | | \$ 5.20 | 5/9/2017 | | |
| | 59,500 | | \$ 2.00 | 2/9/2016 | | |
| | 250,000 | | \$ 1.32 | 2/1/2015 | | |
| Joseph Vittiglio ⁽¹⁰⁾ | | 250,000 | \$ 1.57 ⁽⁹⁾ | 6/19/2024 | 24,000 ⁽³⁾ | \$ 43,920 |
| | 18,750 | 56,250 | \$ 1.77 ⁽⁵⁾ | 1/29/2024 | | |
| | 48,000 | 72,000 | \$ 2.51 ⁽⁷⁾ | 6/26/2023 | | |
| | 10,781 | 11,719 | \$ 7.72 ⁽⁶⁾ | 1/24/2023 | | |
| | 5,104 | 1,896 | \$ 13.18 ⁽²⁾ | 1/31/2022 | | |
| | 9,791 | 209 | \$ 14.16 ⁽⁴⁾ | 2/15/2021 | | |
| | 12,500 | | \$ 12.24 | 2/2/2020 | | |
| | 4,250 | | \$ 8.00 | 1/30/2029 | | |
| | 17,500 | | \$ 5.60 | 10/31/2017 | | |
| Jeno Gyuris ⁽¹¹⁾ | | 250,000 | \$ 1.57 ⁽⁹⁾ | 6/19/2024 | 24,000 ⁽³⁾ | \$ 43,920 |
| | 18,750 | 56,250 | \$ 1.77 ⁽⁵⁾ | 1/29/2024 | | |
| | 48,000 | 72,000 | \$ 2.51 ⁽⁷⁾ | 6/26/2023 | | |
| | 15,572 | 16,928 | \$ 7.72 ⁽⁶⁾ | 1/24/2023 | | |
| | 20,197 | 7,503 | \$ 13.18 ⁽²⁾ | 1/31/2022 | | |
| | 14,687 | 313 | \$ 14.16 ⁽⁴⁾ | 2/15/2021 | | |
| | 24,999 | | \$ 12.24 | 2/2/2020 | | |
| | 12,499 | | \$ 8.48 | 4/1/2019 | | |
| | 30,000 | | \$ 6.44 | 1/31/2018 | | |
| | 50,000 | | \$ 5.20 | 5/9/2017 | | |
| | 37,450 | | \$ 2.00 | 2/9/2016 | | |
| William Slichenmyer ⁽⁸⁾ | | | | | | |

- (1) All equity awards held by our named executive officers are subject to vesting acceleration upon termination of employment, as further described in the Employment Agreements and Severance Arrangements and Potential Payments and Benefits Upon Termination and a Change in Control sections above.
- (2) These options vest in equal monthly installments through January 1, 2016.
- (3) These restricted stock awards were eligible to vest with respect to 40% of the shares on January 29, 2014. Our compensation committee determined that we that we achieved 80% the performance goals for 2013 resulting in the vesting of 32% of the shares initially granted. These restricted stock awards were eligible to vest with respect to the remaining 60% of the shares on January 6, 2015. Our compensation committee determined that we achieved 45% our corporate goals for 2014, resulting in the vesting of 27% of the shares initially granted. In the aggregate, 59%, or 23,600 shares, of the 40,000 initially granted have vested, with the remaining 41%, or 16,400 shares, having been forfeited.

Table of Contents

- (4) These options vest in equal monthly installments through January 1, 2015.
- (5) These options vest in equal monthly installments through January 1, 2018.
- (6) These options vest in equal monthly installments through January 1, 2017.
- (7) These options vest annually on July 1, 2014 and July 1, 2015.
- (8) Mr. Slichenmyer departed the company in April 2014, and as a result, all of his option awards forfeited back to the company 90 days after his departure.
- (9) One-third of these options vest and become exercisable on the date upon which the closing price per share of our common stock is equal to or above \$3.00 or more for at least 30 consecutive trading days prior to December 31, 2016. One-third of these options vest and become exercisable on the date upon which the closing price per share of our common stock is equal to or above \$4.50 for at least 30 consecutive trading days prior to December 31, 2017. One-third of these options vest and become exercisable on the date upon which the closing price per share of our common stock is equal to or above \$6.50 for at least 30 consecutive trading days prior to December 31, 2018.
- (10) Mr. Vittiglio departed the company in March 2015, and as a result, all of his option awards forfeited back to the company 90 days after his departure.
- (11) Mr. Gyuris departed the company in January 2015, and as a result, all of his option awards forfeited back to the company 90 days after his departure.

Option Exercises

Our named executive officers did not exercise any stock options during the year ended December 31, 2014.

Employment Agreements and Severance Arrangements

Severance and Change in Control Agreements with our Named Executive Officers. We have entered into individual severance and change in control agreements with each of our named executive officers during their employment with us. All benefits payable pursuant to a severance and change in control agreement are or were to be paid or furnished to the named executive officer by us and are or were contingent upon the named executive officer executing a release of claims in our favor in a form satisfactory to us. In addition, each of our named executive officers is subject to non-competition and non-solicitation covenants as part of their individual agreements, subject to certain exceptions.

Pursuant to the terms of our severance and change in control agreement with Mr. Ha-Ngoc, he was entitled, in the event that his employment was terminated without cause, due to a disability or for good reason to the following:

to continue to receive compensation after termination of his employment with us at a rate equal to his then-current base salary for the lesser of 18 months or until such time as he finds comparable employment;

to receive a lump sum payment of his annual cash incentive award target pro-rated through the date of his termination; and

to continue his health insurance for the lesser of 18 months or until such time as he receives such benefits from a new employer.

Pursuant to the terms of our severance and change in control agreement with Dr. Slichenmyer, Mr. Vittiglio and Dr. Gyuris, each such named executive officer was entitled, and Mr. Bailey is entitled, in the event that his employment is terminated without cause, due to a disability or for good reason to the following:

to continue to receive compensation after termination of his respective employment with us at a rate equal to his then-current base salary for the lesser of 12 months or until such time as he finds comparable employment;

to receive a lump sum payment of his annual cash incentive award target pro-rated through the date of his termination; and

Table of Contents

to continue his health insurance for the lesser of 12 months or until such time as he receives such benefits from a new employer. As defined in each named executive officer's severance and change in control agreement, "cause" means any of the following, as determined by our board of directors:

the conviction of or plea of not guilty or nolo contendere to a felony or a crime involving dishonesty or any felony;

willful misconduct resulting in material harm to our company;

commission of an act of fraud, embezzlement, theft or dishonesty against the company resulting in material harm to our company;

repeated and continuing failure to follow the proper and lawful directions of our chief executive officer or our board of directors after a written demand is delivered that specifically identifies the manner in which our chief executive officer or our board of directors believes that he has failed to follow such instructions;

current alcohol or prescription drug abuse affecting work performance, or current illegal use of drugs regardless of the effect on work performance;

material violation of our code of conduct that causes harm to our company; or

material breach of any term of his severance and change in control agreement, or any other applicable confidentiality and/or non-competition agreements with us.

However, in the case of Mr. Ha-Ngoc, a termination for "cause" can only be made (i) upon the determination of at least 67% of the non-interested members of our board of directors and (ii) if Mr. Ha-Ngoc is given at least 30 days to cure any violation. Mr. Ha-Ngoc was not terminated for "cause."

As defined in each named executive officer's severance and change in control agreement, "termination for good reason" means the executive officer's voluntary termination of employment due to any of the following occurring without his written consent:

the requirement that such employee perform his duties outside a radius of 50 miles from our corporate headquarters in Cambridge, MA;

any material diminution in such employee's duties, responsibilities or authority;

a reduction in his base salary (unless such reduction is effected in connection with a general and proportionate reduction of compensation for all employees of his pay level); or

the material breach by us of any term or condition of his severance and change in control agreement or another applicable employment agreement.

The right to terminate employment for "good reason" requires that an executive give us written notice of termination and an opportunity to cure the condition giving rise to good reason within 30 days of receiving such notice. The delivery of the notice and the date of termination must

Edgar Filing: SEABRIDGE GOLD INC - Form SC 13D/A

occur within 90 and 180 days, respectively, of the condition giving rise to good reason.

If an executive's employment is terminated within 18 months following a change in control of us, the individual severance and change in control agreements provide that all severance payments be made pursuant to our Key Employee Change in Control Severance Benefits Plan.

Letter Agreements. In February 2014, we entered into letter agreements with each of Drs. Slichenmyer and Gyuris and Messrs. Bailey and Vittiglio regarding their respective cash retention bonus awards granted in 2014 and their existing severance agreements. The purpose of the letter agreements is to provide incentives to each named executive officer to remain dedicated to our success. Each letter agreement provides that if the named executive

Table of Contents

officer's employment with us is terminated by us without cause, due to a disability or by the named executive officer for good reason prior to the payment date of the cash retention award, the named executive officer shall receive a pro-rated amount of his 2014 mid-year retention bonus, 2014 year-end retention bonus and 2015 retention bonus. For more information regarding these cash retention awards, see Executive Compensation Components Annual Cash Incentive Program above.

Tuan Ha-Ngoc Transition and Separation Agreement. Upon Mr. Ha-Ngoc's resignation as president and chief executive officer in January 2015, we entered into a transition and separation agreement with Mr. Ha-Ngoc to provide for a successful restructuring and amicable transition of his leadership role. Pursuant to his agreement, Mr. Ha-Ngoc agreed to resign as president and chief executive officer effective as of January 2015 and to provide transition services.

Michael Bailey Offer Letter. In January 2015, in light of his promotion to president and chief executive officer, the company extended Mr. Bailey an offer letter. The offer letter provides for an annual base salary of \$425,000 and also provides that Mr. Bailey is eligible for an annual bonus of up to 50% of his base salary, based on the achievement of performance goals as determined at the discretion of the board. Mr. Bailey also receives \$5,000 per month from the Company to cover commuting and housing expenses pursuant to the offer letter.

Key Employee Change in Control Severance Benefits Plan. In addition to individual severance and change in control agreements, our named executive officers and other key employees participate in our Key Employee Change in Control Severance Benefits Plan. No payments are made pursuant to individual severance and change in control agreements if payments are made under this plan. All benefits payable under the plan are to be paid or furnished to the participant by us and are contingent upon the participant executing a release of claims in our favor in a form satisfactory to us. Pursuant to the terms of the plan, if we terminate a named executive officer's employment without cause or if they leave their employment with us for good reason within 18 months following a change in control of us, such named executive officer is entitled to the following benefits:

continued receipt of compensation after termination at a rate equal to such executive's then-current base salary for 12 months (18 months in the case of Mr. Bailey);

payment of a sum equal to (i) such individual's pro rata target cash incentive award plus (ii) an amount equal to one times his target bonus (1.5 times his target bonus, in the case of Mr. Bailey); and

continued health insurance for 12 months (18 months in the case of Mr. Bailey).

Further, pursuant to the terms of our Key Employee Change in Control Severance Benefits Plan, if we terminate a named executive officer's employment without cause or such named executive officer leaves his employment with us for good reason within 18 months of a change in control of us, all options held by such named executive officer will become immediately exercisable in full and all restricted stock held by such named executive officer will become vested in full.

Table of Contents**Potential Payments and Benefits Upon Termination and a Change in Control**

Our named executive officers are entitled to certain benefits in the event their employment is terminated without cause, due to a disability or for good reason, as described above. The following table describes the potential payments and benefits to each of our named executive officers following a termination of employment without cause, due to a disability or for good reason, as the same were payable on December 31, 2014. Actual amounts payable to each executive listed below upon termination can only be determined definitively at the time of each executive's actual departure. In addition to the amounts shown in the table below, each executive would receive payments for amounts of base salary and vacation time accrued through the date of termination and payment for any reimbursable business expenses incurred. For information relating to compensation earned by each of our named executive officers, see our Summary Compensation Table For the Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 above.

| Name and Principal Position | Benefit Type | Termination Without Cause, Due to a Disability or For Good Reason (\$) | Termination without Cause or For Good Reason Within 18 Months of a Change in Control (\$) |
|------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Michael Bailey, Chief Executive Officer | Base Salary | \$ 361,611 ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 361,611 ⁽⁵⁾ |
| | Cash Incentive Award | \$ 144,644 ⁽²⁾ | \$ 289,289 ⁽⁶⁾ |
| | Healthcare Benefits | \$ 21,965 ⁽³⁾ | \$ 21,965 ⁽⁷⁾ |
| | Market Value of Awards Vesting on Termination ⁽⁴⁾ | | \$ 33,600 |
| | Total | \$ 528,220 | \$ 706,465 |
| Tuan Ha-Ngoc ⁽⁸⁾ , Former Chief Executive Officer | Base Salary | \$ 851,813 ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 851,813 ⁽⁵⁾ |
| | Cash Incentive Award | \$ 369,119 ⁽²⁾ | \$ 922,797 ⁽⁶⁾ |
| | Healthcare Benefits | \$ 21,586 ⁽³⁾ | \$ 21,586 ⁽⁷⁾ |
| | Market Value of Awards Vesting on Termination ⁽⁴⁾ , | \$ | \$ |
| | Total | \$ 1,242,518 | \$ 1,796,196 |
| Joseph Vittiglio ⁽⁹⁾ , Former General Counsel | Base Salary | \$ 319,947 ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 329,545 ⁽⁵⁾ |
| | Cash Incentive Award | \$ 127,979 ⁽²⁾ | \$ 255,958 ⁽⁶⁾ |
| | Healthcare Benefits | \$ 21,965 ⁽³⁾ | \$ 21,965 ⁽⁷⁾ |
| | Market Value of Awards Vesting on Termination ⁽⁴⁾ | | \$ 10,752 |
| | Total | \$ 469,891 | \$ 618,220 |
| Jeno Gyuris ⁽¹⁰⁾ , Former Chief Scientific Officer | Base Salary | \$ 344,497 ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 344,497 ⁽⁵⁾ |
| | Cash Incentive Award | \$ 137,799 ⁽²⁾ | \$ 275,598 ⁽⁶⁾ |
| | Healthcare Benefits | \$ 25,149 ⁽³⁾ | \$ 25,149 ⁽⁷⁾ |
| | Market Value of Awards Vesting on Termination ⁽⁴⁾ | | \$ 33,600 |
| | Total | \$ 507,445 | \$ 678,844 |

Table of Contents

| Name and Principal Position | Benefit Type | Termination Without Cause, Due to a Disability or For Good Reason (\$) | Termination without Cause or For Good Reason Within 18 Months of a Change in Control (\$) |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| William Slichenmyer ⁽¹⁾ , Former Chief Medical Officer | Base Salary | | |
| | Cash Incentive Award | | |
| | Healthcare Benefits | | |
| | Market Value of Awards Vesting on Termination ⁽⁴⁾ | | |
| | Total | | |

- (1) Represents the named executive officer's base salary payable over 12 months, or in the case of Mr. Ha-Ngoc, 18 months. Severance is equal to payment of the executive's base salary until the earlier of (i) 12 months (in the case of Mr. Ha-Ngoc, 18 months) following the date of termination and (ii) the date on which the executive commences full-time employment or a full-time consulting relationship with substantially equivalent compensation.
- (2) Represents the named executive officer's severance bonus payable within 30 days of the date of termination. Severance bonus is equal to payment of the executive's target annual incentive plan bonus pro-rated through the date of termination.
- (3) Represents the cost of continued COBRA benefits for the named executive officer and any qualified beneficiary. COBRA benefits are payable until the earlier of (i) 12 months (in the case of Mr. Ha-Ngoc, 18 months) (or as long as such eligibility for the executive and each qualified beneficiary continues) from the date such benefits would otherwise end under the applicable plan terms and (ii) the date the executive becomes eligible for group health coverage through another employer. This value is based upon the type of insurance coverage we carried for each named executive officer as of December 31, 2014 and is valued at the premiums in effect on December 31, 2014.
- (4) This amount is equal to (a)(i) the number of options that would vest as a direct result of the employment termination subsequent to a change in control multiplied by (ii) the excess of \$0.84, which represents the fair market value of our common stock as of December 31, 2014, over the exercise price of the options, plus (b)(i) the number of shares of restricted stock that would vest as a direct result of the employment termination subsequent to a change in control multiplied by (ii) \$0.84.
- (5) Represents the named executive officer's base salary payable over 12 months (in the case of Mr. Ha-Ngoc, 18 months) following the date of termination.
- (6) Represents the named executive officer's severance bonus payable over 12 months (in the case of Mr. Ha-Ngoc, 18 months) following the date of termination. Severance bonus is in addition to the named executive officer's target annual incentive plan bonus pro-rated through the date of termination.
- (7) Represents the cost of continued COBRA benefits for the named executive officer and any qualified beneficiary for 12 months (in the case of Mr. Ha-Ngoc, 18 months) following the date of termination.
- (8) Mr. Ha-Ngoc departed the company in January 2015 and received certain payments pursuant to his Severance and Change in Control Agreement as follows: \$851,813 representing payment for his base salary through July 31, 2016; \$31,350 representing a lump sum payment of his annual cash incentive award pro-rated through the date of termination; and \$21,586 representing health insurance payments. Mr. Ha-Ngoc is eligible to continue to receive payments for his salary and health insurance through the earlier of July 31, 2016 or until such time he finds comparable employment and health benefits from a new employer.
- (9) Mr. Vittiglio departed the company voluntarily in March 2015 and did not receive any payments pursuant to his Severance and Change in Control Agreement.
- (10) Mr. Gyuris departed the company in January 2015 and received certain payments pursuant to his Severance and Change in Control Agreement as follows: \$344,497 representing payment for his base salary through January 15, 2015; \$49,596 representing a lump sum payment of his cash retention award; and \$25,149

Table of Contents

representing health insurance payments. Mr. Gyuris is eligible to continue to receive payments for his salary and health insurance through the earlier of January 15, 2016 or until such time he finds comparable employment and health benefits from a new employer.

- (11) Mr. Slichenmyer departed the company in April 2014 and received certain payments pursuant to his Severance and Change in Control Agreement as follows: \$267,699 representing payment for his base salary through December 31, 2014; \$53,540 representing a lump sum payment of his annual cash incentive award pro-rated through the date of termination; and \$15,597 representing health insurance payments. Mr. Slichenmyer is eligible to continue to receive payments for his salary and health insurance through the earlier of April 30, 2015 or until such time he finds comparable employment and health benefits from a new employer.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table sets forth information concerning our equity compensation plans as of December 31, 2014.

| Plan Category | Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights (a) | Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights (b) | Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a)) (c) ⁽¹⁾ |
|------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Equity compensation plans approved by security holders | 5,817,313 | 4.45 | 3,143,513 |
| Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders | | | |
| Total | 5,817,313 | 4.45 | 3,143,513 |

- (1) Includes 317,014 shares of common stock issuable under our 2010 Employee Stock Purchase Plan, all of which are issuable in connection with the current offering period which ends on June 30, 2015.

Compensation Committee Report

Our compensation committee has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis required by Item 402(b) of Regulation S-K with management. Based upon such review and discussions, our compensation committee recommended to our board that such section be included in this proxy statement.

By the compensation committee of the board of directors of AVEO Pharmaceuticals, Inc.,

Anthony B. Evnin, Chair

Kenneth M. Bate

Henri A. Termeer

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

Our compensation committee was comprised of Anthony Evnin, Kenneth Bate and Henri Termeer during 2014. No member of our compensation committee is or has been a current or former officer or employee of our company. None of our executive officers served as a director or a member of a compensation committee (or other committee serving an equivalent function) of any other entity that had one or more executive officers serving as a director or member of our compensation committee during the year ended December 31, 2014. For a description

Edgar Filing: SEABRIDGE GOLD INC - Form SC 13D/A

of transactions between us and members of the compensation committee and entities affiliated with such members, please see Certain Relationships and Related Person Transactions.

Table of Contents**Director Compensation**

Mr. Bailey, who was appointed as our president and chief executive officer and elected as a director in January 2015, has not received any compensation in connection with his services as a director. Mr. Ha-Ngoc, our president and chief executive officer during 2014, has not received any compensation in connection with his service as a director. The compensation that we pay to our president and chief executive officer is discussed under Compensation Discussion and Analysis above.

The following table sets forth information for the year ended December 31, 2014 regarding the compensation awarded to, earned by or paid to our non-employee directors. Mr. Epstein resigned from the board effective June 19, 2014.

| Name | Fees Earned or Paid In Cash or Stock(\$) | Option Awards \$(1)(2) | All Other Compensation (\$) | Total (\$) |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------|
| Kenneth Bate ⁽³⁾ | \$ 60,500 | \$ 12,439 | | \$ 72,939 |
| Robert Epstein ⁽³⁾ | \$ 21,829 | | | \$ 21,829 |
| Anthony Evnin ⁽³⁾ | \$ 28,254 | \$ 12,439 | | \$ 40,693 |
| Raju Kucherlapati ⁽³⁾ | \$ 43,500 | \$ 12,439 | | \$ 55,939 |
| Henri Termeer ⁽³⁾ | \$ 68,501 | \$ 12,439 | | \$ 80,940 |
| Robert Young ⁽³⁾ | \$ 48,683 | \$ 12,439 | | \$ 61,122 |

- (1) The assumptions we used in valuing options are described in Note 11, Stock-Based Compensation, to our audited financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014. This column reflects the aggregate grant date fair value as calculated in accordance with ASC 718 for the indicated year in connection with options we granted in the indicated year, adjusted to disregard the effects of any estimate of forfeitures related to service-based vesting.
- (2) Options were granted at fair market value on June 19, 2014 at an exercise price of \$1.57 per share. Options vest over one year in twelve equal monthly installments.
- (3) The following table reflects the aggregate number of stock awards and the aggregate number of option awards outstanding for our directors as of December 31, 2014.

| Name | Option Awards |
|------------------------------------|------------------|
| Kenneth Bate ⁽ⁱ⁾ | 82,500 |
| Anthony Evnin ⁽ⁱⁱ⁾ | 72,500 |
| Raju Kucherlapati ⁽ⁱⁱⁱ⁾ | 67,085 |
| Henri Termeer ^(iv) | 67,500 |
| Robert Young ^(v) | 62,750 |

- (i) Consists of (A) an option to purchase 10,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$6.36 per share, (B) an option to purchase 10,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$8.72 per share, (C) an option to purchase 12,500 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$7.44 per share, (D) an option to purchase 12,500 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$18.87 per share, (E) an option to purchase 12,500 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$12.78 per share, (F) an option to purchase 12,500 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$2.59 per share, and (G) an option to purchase 12,500 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$1.57 per share.
- (ii) Consists of (A) an option to purchase 10,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$8.72 per share, (B) an option to purchase 12,500 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$7.44 per share, (C) an option to purchase 12,500 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$18.87 per share, (D) an option to purchase 12,500 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$12.78 per share, (E) an option to purchase 12,500 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of

Table of Contents

- \$2.59, and (F) an option to purchase 12,500 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$1.57 per share.
- (iii) Consists of (A) an option to purchase 1,252 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$6.88 per share, (B) an option to purchase 3,333 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$8.72 per share, (C) an option to purchase 12,500 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$7.44 per share, (D) an option to purchase 12,500 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$18.87 per share, (E) an option to purchase 12,500 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$12.78 per share, (F) an option to purchase 12,500 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$2.59 per share, and (G) an option to purchase 12,500 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$1.57 per share.
 - (iv) Consists of (A) an option to purchase 30,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$13.65 per share, (B) an option to purchase 12,500 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$12.78 per share, (C) an option to purchase 12,500 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$2.59 per share, and (D) an option to purchase 12,500 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$1.57 per share.
 - (v) Consists of (A) an option to purchase 6,750 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$8.72 per share, (B) an option to purchase 6,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$7.44 per share, (C) an option to purchase 12,500 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$18.87 per share, (D) an option to purchase 12,500 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$12.78 per share, (E) an option to purchase 12,500 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$2.59, and (F) an option to purchase 12,500 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$1.57 per share.

In March 2013, as part of its annual review of executive and director compensation, our board of directors, upon recommendation from our compensation committee, amended our director compensation policy, effective as of March 31, 2013, to better align compensation of our directors with industry trends which include paying each director an annual retainer based on his role(s) on the board and no longer paying individual meeting attendance fees. The amendments to the director compensation policy also included extending vesting for initial option grants to newly elected directors so that the awards vest over a three-year period. Accordingly, the director compensation policy effective as of March 31, 2013 provides as follows:

Upon the initial election to our board of directors, each non-employee director will receive an option to purchase 30,000 shares of common stock exercisable for a price per share equal to the then fair market value of our common stock. These options expire ten years from the date of grant, subject to the director's continued service on our board, and vest in 36 equal monthly installments commencing with the first day of the month following the date of grant.

Upon the date each director is re-elected at our annual stockholders meeting, such director will receive an option to purchase 12,500 shares of our common stock exercisable for a price per share equal to the then fair market value of our common stock. These options expire ten years from the date of grant, subject to the director's continued service on our board, and vest in twelve equal monthly installments commencing on the first day of the month following the date of grant.

In the event a director resigns from the board, the vesting of any options granted for service on the board ceases as of such date, and such director has a period of up to three months from the date of resignation to exercise any option granted as compensation for service on the board of directors, to the extent vested on the date of resignation.

Our non-employee directors will be paid for their service on our board as follows:

annual retainer fee for the chairman of the board of \$60,500;

annual retainer fee of \$40,500 (other than chairperson);

annual retainer fee for members of the audit committee (other than chairperson) of \$6,000;

annual retainer fee for audit committee chairperson of \$15,000;

Table of Contents

annual retainer fee for members of the compensation committee (other than chairperson) of \$5,000;

annual retainer fee for compensation committee chairperson of \$10,000;

annual retainer fee for members of the nominating and governance committee (other than chairperson) of \$3,000; and

annual retainer fee for nominating and governance committee chairperson of \$5,000.

Each annual fee will be payable in arrears in four equal quarterly installments on the last day of each quarter, provided that the amount of such payment will be pro-rated for any portion of the quarter that the director was not serving on our board. In accordance with a policy established by the board effective for payments received in 2014, each director may elect to receive up to 100% of any such quarterly installment of board fees in the form of unrestricted shares of our common stock issued pursuant to our Amended and Restated 2010 Stock Incentive Plan. The number of shares of common stock to be issued is determined by dividing the percentage of the board fees elected to be received in shares of common stock by the fair market value of one share of common stock on the first business day of the quarter following the quarter in which the fees were earned. Each non-employee director will also be reimbursed for reasonable travel and other expenses incurred in connection with attending meetings of the board of directors and any committee on which he or she serves.

In April 2015, as part of its annual review of executive and director compensation, our board of directors, upon recommendation from our compensation committee, amended our director compensation policy, effective as of April 2015, to better align compensation of our directors with the company's philosophy of using a mix of cash and equity compensation to motivate the right balance of short-term and long-term decision making. In addition, the compensation committee undertook a review of director compensation practices in comparison with the company's 2015 peer group. The board elected to make the payment of the annual retainer fee in the form of option to assist preserving the company's cash as well as to further align the directors' interest with the stockholders. Accordingly, the director compensation policy effective for 2015 provides as follows:

Upon the initial election to our board of directors, each non-employee director will receive an option to purchase 40,000 shares of common stock exercisable for a price per share equal to the then fair market value of our common stock. These options expire ten years from the date of grant, subject to the director's continued service on our board, and vest in 36 equal monthly installments commencing with the first day of the month following the date of grant.

Upon the date each director is re-elected at our annual stockholders meeting, such director will receive an option to purchase 20,000 shares of our common stock exercisable for a price per share equal to the then fair market value of our common stock. These options expire ten years from the date of grant, subject to the director's continued service on our board, and vest in twelve equal monthly installments commencing on the first day of the month following the date of grant.

Our non-employee directors will be paid for their service on our board as follows:

a base annual retainer fee of \$40,500 to be paid in the form of an option to purchase a number of shares calculated by dividing \$40,500 by the fair market value of the company's common stock on the date of the grant, and such option shall vest in four quarterly installments over the calendar year.

an additional annual fee of \$20,000 for the chairperson and lead independent director;

an additional annual fee for members of the audit committee (other than chairperson) of \$6,000, and \$15,000 for its chairperson;

an additional annual fee for members of the compensation committee (other than chairperson) of \$5,000, and \$10,000 for its chairperson;

an additional annual fee for members of the nominating and governance committee (other than chairperson) of \$3,000, and \$5,000 for its chairperson.

Table of Contents

In the event a director resigns from the board, the vesting of any options granted for service on the board ceases as of such date, and such director has a period of up to three months from the date of resignation to exercise any option granted as compensation for service on the board of directors, to the extent vested on the date of resignation.

PROPOSAL 2 APPROVAL OF AN AMENDMENT TO OUR RESTATED CERTIFICATE OF INCORPORATION

In April 2015, the board of directors voted to recommend to the stockholders that they approve an amendment to Article Fourth of our restated certificate of incorporation to increase the authorized number of shares of common stock from 100,000,000 (as presently authorized) to 200,000,000 shares. A copy of the amendment to the restated certificate of incorporation setting forth the amendment is attached to this proxy statement as Appendix A.

The first paragraph of Article Fourth of the Certificate, marked to show the proposed amendments, is as follows:

FOURTH: The total number of shares of all classes of stock which the Corporation shall have authority to issue is ~~105,000,000~~**205,000,000** shares, consisting of (i) ~~100,000,000~~**200,000,000** shares of Common Stock, \$0.001 par value per share (Common Stock) and (ii) 5,000,000 shares of Preferred Stock, \$0.001 par value per share (Preferred Stock).

As of April 2, 2015 (the record date), 55,007,649 shares of common stock were issued and outstanding. In addition, 6,197,881 shares of common stock are reserved for issuance pursuant to outstanding equity awards and 2,424,793 shares are reserved for issuance under our Amended and Restated 2010 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended.

This is the first increase in the number of authorized shares of common stock since our initial public offering in 2010. The board of directors believes that the availability of additional authorized shares of common stock will provide us with additional flexibility to issue common stock for a variety of general corporate purposes as the board of directors may determine to be desirable including, without limitation, stock splits (including splits effected through the declaration of stock dividends), raising capital, future financings, investment opportunities, acquisitions, employee stock-based incentive plans or other distributions. For example, in February 2015, we have entered into an At Market Issuance Sales Agreement, or the sales agreement, with MLV & Co. LLC, or MLV, pursuant to which we may issue and sell shares of our common stock having an aggregate offering price of up to \$17,900,000 from time to time through MLV, as further described in our Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on February 27, 2015. Certain of our currently authorized shares of common stock are reserved for issuance under this sales agreement, and depending upon market factors and other considerations, we plan to issue shares of our common stock pursuant to this sales agreement. We currently do not have any other definitive plans, arrangements or understandings with respect to the issuance of the additional shares of common stock authorized by the proposed amendment to our restated certificate of incorporation.

An increase in the authorized number of shares of common stock could, under certain circumstances, have an anti-takeover effect or delay or prevent a change in control of the company by providing us the capability to engage in actions that would be dilutive to a potential acquiror, to pursue alternative transactions, or to otherwise increase the potential cost to acquire control of the company. Thus, while we currently have no intent to employ the additional unissued authorized shares as an anti-takeover device, the proposed amendment may have the effect of discouraging future unsolicited takeover attempts. The board of directors is not aware of any such attempt to take control of the company.

The proposed increase in the number of authorized shares of common stock will not change the number of shares of common stock outstanding, nor will it have any immediate dilutive effect or change the rights of

Table of Contents

current holders of common stock. However, the issuance of additional shares of common stock authorized by this amendment to the restated certificate of incorporation may occur at times or under circumstances as to have a dilutive effect on earnings per share, book value per share or the percentage voting or ownership interest of the present holders of common stock, none of whom have preemptive rights under our restated certificate of incorporation to subscribe for additional securities that we may issue.

Once the proposed amendment is approved, no further action by the stockholders would be necessary prior to the issuance of additional shares of common stock unless required by law or the rules of any stock exchange or national securities association on which the common stock is then listed or quoted. Under the proposed amendment, each of the newly authorized shares of common stock will have the same rights and privileges as currently authorized common stock. The proposed amendment to increase the authorized number of shares of common stock does not change the number of shares of preferred stock that the company is authorized to issue.

If the proposed amendment is adopted, it will become effective upon filing of a certificate of amendment to our restated certificate of incorporation with the Delaware Secretary of State. The board intends to cause such filing to be made promptly following stockholder approval, but the board nevertheless retains the discretion under Delaware law not to implement the proposed amendment. Under Delaware law, stockholders are not entitled to dissenter's rights with respect to the proposed amendment to our restated certificate of incorporation.

The affirmative vote of a majority of the outstanding shares of the company's common stock entitled to vote is required to approve this Proposal 2. Abstentions or the failure to vote including as a result of broker non-votes shares therefore will have the effect of votes AGAINST the Proposal in this context.

The board recommends a vote FOR approval to amend the restated certificate of incorporation to increase the number of authorized shares of common stock from 100,000,000 to 200,000,000 shares.

PROPOSAL 3 ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

We are providing our stockholders the opportunity to vote to approve, on an advisory, non-binding basis, the compensation of our named executive officers as disclosed in this proxy statement in accordance with the SEC's rules. This proposal, which is commonly referred to as say-on-pay, is required by the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010, which added Section 14A to the Exchange Act. Our board of directors has determined, in accordance with the shareholders' recommendation at our 2011 annual meeting of stockholders, to hold such advisory votes to approve the compensation of our named executive officers every year.

Our executive compensation programs are designed to attract, motivate, and retain our executive officers, who are critical to our success. Under these programs, our named executive officers are rewarded for the achievement of our near-term and longer-term financial and strategic goals and for driving corporate financial performance and stability. The programs contain elements of cash and equity-based compensation and are designed to align the interests of our executives with those of our stockholders.

The Executive and Director Compensation section of this proxy statement beginning on page 18, including Compensation Discussion and Analysis, describes in detail our executive compensation programs and the decisions made by our compensation committee and our board of directors with respect to the year ended December 31, 2014.

As discussed in these disclosures, we believe that our compensation program provides a competitive overall compensation that is designed to attract and retain top performers. To achieve this goal, our compensation program is structured to:

provide total compensation and compensation elements that are competitive with those companies that are competing for available employees;

Table of Contents

hold our executive officers accountable for results over the long term and maintain integrity in all of the business dealings of our executive officers;

align the interest of our executives with our stockholders;

reward exceptional performance by individual employees;

provide a mix of compensation that offers (i) a meaningful base compensation, with a potential to earn additional amounts based on achievement of defined corporate goals, which are generally expected to be achieved within 12 months, and (ii) the opportunity to share in the long-term growth of our company through equity compensation; and

establish a clear connection between rewards and performance.

Our board believes this link between compensation and the achievement of our near- and long-term business goals has helped to retain and motivate our employees to drive our performance over time. At the same time, we believe our program does not encourage excessive risk-taking by management.

Our board is asking stockholders to indicate their support for the compensation of our named executive officers as described in this proxy statement by casting a non-binding advisory vote **FOR** the following resolution:

RESOLVED, that the compensation paid to our named executive officers, as disclosed pursuant to the compensation disclosure rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, including the compensation discussion and analysis, the compensation tables and any related material disclosed in this proxy statement, is hereby approved.

As an advisory vote, this proposal is not binding. The outcome of this advisory vote will not overrule any decision by us or our board of directors (or any committee thereof), will not create or imply any change to our fiduciary duties or the fiduciary duties of our board of directors (or any committee thereof), and will not create or imply any additional fiduciary duties for us or our board (or any committee thereof). However, our compensation committee and board of directors value the opinions expressed by our stockholders in their vote on this proposal and will consider the outcome of the vote when making future compensation decisions for named executive officers.

Our board of directors recommends that stockholders vote to approve

*the compensation of our named executive officers by voting **FOR** Proposal No. 3.*

PROPOSAL 4 RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT

REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Our board has appointed the firm of Ernst & Young LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as independent auditors for the year ending December 31, 2015. Although stockholder approval of our board's appointment of Ernst & Young LLP is not required by law, our board believes that it is advisable to give stockholders an opportunity to ratify this appointment. If this proposal is not approved at the annual meeting, our board will reconsider its appointment of Ernst & Young LLP. Representatives of Ernst & Young LLP are expected to be present at the annual meeting and will have the opportunity to make a statement, if they desire to do so, and will be available to respond to appropriate questions from our stockholders.

*Our board of directors recommends a vote **FOR** the ratification of the appointment of*

Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2015.

Table of Contents

OTHER MATTERS

Our board of directors does not know of any other matters which may come before the meeting. However, if any other matters are properly brought before the meeting, it is the intention of the persons named in the accompanying proxy card to vote, or otherwise act, in accordance with their judgment on those matters.

STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS

In order to be included in proxy material for the 2016 annual meeting of stockholders, stockholders' proposals must be received by us at our principal executive offices, 650 East Kendall Street, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02142 no later than January 29, 2016. We suggest that proponents submit their proposals by certified mail, return receipt requested, addressed to our Secretary.

In addition, our bylaws require that we be given advance notice of stockholder nominations for election to our board of directors and of other matters which stockholders wish to present for action at an annual meeting of stockholders, other than matters included in our proxy statement. The required notice must be in writing and received by our corporate secretary at our principal offices not later than February 28, 2016 (90 days prior to the first anniversary of our 2015 annual meeting of stockholders) and not before January 29, 2016 (120 days prior to the first anniversary of our 2015 annual meeting of stockholders). However, if the 2016 annual meeting of stockholders is advanced by more than 20 days, or delayed by more than 60 days, from the first anniversary of the 2015 annual meeting of stockholders, notice must be received no earlier than the 120th day prior to such annual meeting and not later than the close of business on the later of (1) the 90th day prior to such annual meeting and (2) the 10th day following the date on which notice of the date of such annual meeting was mailed or public disclosure of the date of such annual meeting was made, whichever occurs first. Our bylaws also specify requirements relating to the content of the notice which stockholders must provide, including a stockholder nomination for election to our board of directors, to be properly presented at the 2016 annual meeting of stockholders.

OUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS ENCOURAGES STOCKHOLDERS TO ATTEND THE ANNUAL MEETING. WHETHER OR NOT YOU PLAN TO ATTEND IN PERSON, YOU ARE URGED TO VOTE BY PROXY OVER THE INTERNET, BY TELEPHONE OR BY MAIL AS DESCRIBED IN THE ENCLOSED PROXY CARD. A PROMPT RESPONSE WILL GREATLY FACILITATE ARRANGEMENTS FOR THE ANNUAL MEETING AND YOUR COOPERATION WILL BE APPRECIATED. STOCKHOLDERS OF RECORD WHO ATTEND THE ANNUAL MEETING MAY VOTE THEIR SHARES PERSONALLY EVEN THOUGH THEY HAVE SUBMITTED A PROXY PREVIOUSLY.

Table of Contents

Appendix A

**CERTIFICATE OF AMENDMENT
TO
RESTATED CERTIFICATE OF INCORPORATION
OF
AVEO PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.**

Aveo Pharmaceuticals, Inc., a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware (the Corporation), does hereby certify as follows:

1. The name of the Corporation is Aveo Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

2. Article 4 of the Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Corporation, is hereby amended by replacing the first paragraph thereof with the following:

FOURTH: The total number of shares of all classes of stock which the Corporation shall have authority to issue is 205,000,000 shares, consisting of (i) 200,000,000 shares of Common Stock, \$0.001 par value per share (Common Stock) and (ii) 5,000,000 shares of Preferred Stock, \$0.001 par value per share (Preferred Stock).

3. This Certificate of Amendment has been duly adopted by the Board of Directors and stockholders of the Corporation in accordance with Section 242 of the General Corporation Law of the State of Delaware.

4. This Certificate of Amendment shall become effective at _____ .m. Eastern _____ Time on _____, _____.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Corporation has caused its duly authorized officer to execute this Certificate of Amendment on this _____ day of _____, _____.

AVEO PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

By:
Name:
Title:

Table of Contents

AVEO PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

650 EAST KENDALL STREET

CAMBRIDGE, MA 02142

Investor Address Line 1

Investor Address Line 2

Investor Address Line 3

Investor Address Line 4

Investor Address Line 5

John Sample

1234 ANYWHERE STREET

ANY CITY, ON A1A 1A1

|||||

| | | | |

VOTE BY INTERNET - www.proxyvote.com

Use the Internet to transmit your voting instructions and for electronic delivery of information up until 11:59 P.M. Eastern Time on May 27, 2015. Have your proxy card in hand when you access the web site and follow the instructions to obtain your records and to create an electronic voting instruction form.

ELECTRONIC DELIVERY OF FUTURE PROXY MATERIALS

If you would like to reduce the costs incurred by our company in mailing proxy materials, you can consent to receiving all future proxy statements, proxy cards and annual reports electronically via e-mail or the Internet. To sign up for electronic delivery, please follow the instructions above to vote using the Internet and, when prompted, indicate that you agree to receive or access proxy materials electronically in future years.

VOTE BY PHONE - 1-800-690-6903

Use any touch-tone telephone to transmit your voting instructions up until 11:59 P.M. Eastern Time on May 27, 2015. Have your proxy card in hand when you call and then follow the instructions.

Edgar Filing: SEABRIDGE GOLD INC - Form SC 13D/A

VOTE BY MAIL

Mark, sign and date your proxy card and return it in the postage-paid envelope we have provided or return it to Vote Processing, c/o Broadridge, 51 Mercedes Way, Edgewood, NY 11717.

| NAME | CONTROL # | SHARES |
|---------------------------------|-----------|-----------------------|
| THE COMPANY NAME INC. - COMMON | g | 123,456,789,012.12345 |
| THE COMPANY NAME INC. - CLASS A | | 123,456,789,012.12345 |
| THE COMPANY NAME INC. - CLASS B | | 123,456,789,012.12345 |
| THE COMPANY NAME INC. - CLASS C | | 123,456,789,012.12345 |
| THE COMPANY NAME INC. - CLASS D | | 123,456,789,012.12345 |
| THE COMPANY NAME INC. - CLASS E | | 123,456,789,012.12345 |
| THE COMPANY NAME INC. - CLASS F | | 123,456,789,012.12345 |
| THE COMPANY NAME INC. - 401 K | | 123,456,789,012.12345 |

PAGE 1 OF 2

TO VOTE, MARK BLOCKS BELOW IN BLUE OR BLACK INK AS FOLLOWS:

x

KEEP THIS PORTION FOR YOUR RECORDS

DETACH AND RETURN THIS PORTION ONLY

THIS PROXY CARD IS VALID ONLY WHEN SIGNED AND DATED.

For All Withhold All For All Except

To withhold authority to vote for any individual nominee(s), mark For All Except and write the number(s) of the nominee(s) on the line below.

..

The Board of Directors recommends you vote FOR the following:

.. ..

1. Election of Directors

Edgar Filing: SEABRIDGE GOLD INC - Form SC 13D/A

Nominees

01 Michael Bailey 02 Kenneth M. Bate 03 Anthony B. Evnin 04 Tuan Ha-Ngoc 05 Raju Kucherlapati
 06 Henri A. Termeer 07 Robert C. Young

The Board of Directors recommends you vote FOR proposals 2, 3 and 4:

For Against Abstain

| | | | | |
|----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|----|----|
| 2. | To approve an amendment to the Restated Certificate of Incorporation | .. | .. | .. |
| 3. | To approve an advisory vote on executive compensation | .. | .. | .. |
| 4. | To ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2015 | .. | .. | .. |

NOTE: In their discretion, the proxies are authorized to vote upon such other business as may properly come before the meeting or any adjournment thereof.



Yes No

Please indicate if you plan to attend this meeting

Please sign exactly as your name(s) appear(s) hereon. When signing as attorney, executor, administrator, or other fiduciary, please give full title as such. Joint owners should each sign personally. All holders must sign. If a corporation or partnership, please sign in full corporate or partnership name, by authorized officer.

| | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-------|--------------------------|------|-----------------------------------------|
| Signature [PLEASE SIGN WITHIN BOX] | JOB # | Signature (Joint Owners) | Date | SHARES CUSIP # SEQUENCE # |
|------------------------------------|-------|--------------------------|------|-----------------------------------------|

Table of Contents



Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Annual Meeting: The Notice & Proxy Statement and Form 10-K are available at www.proxyvote.com.



AVEO PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

Annual Meeting of Stockholders

May 28, 2015 10:00 AM EDT

This proxy is solicited by the Board of Directors

The undersigned hereby appoints Michael Bailey and Noreen A. Martin or any of them, as proxies, each with the power to appoint their substitute, and hereby authorizes them to represent and to vote, as designated on the reverse side of this ballot, all of the shares of Common Stock of AVEO PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. that the stockholder(s) is/are entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held at 10:00 AM, Eastern Daylight Time on May 28, 2015, at the offices of Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP, 60 State Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02109, and any adjournment or postponement thereof. For directions to our Annual Meeting of Stockholders, please visit our website at www.aveooncology.com.

Unless submitting a proxy for these shares over the internet or by telephone, please mark, sign, date and return this proxy card promptly in the enclosed reply envelope.

This proxy, when properly executed, will be voted in the manner directed herein. If no such direction is made, this proxy will be voted in accordance with the recommendations of our Board of Directors. If no direction is made to withhold authority to vote for an individual nominee (or all nominees), this proxy will be voted in favor of each nominee for which no such direction is made.

Continued and to be signed on reverse side