

Applied Minerals, Inc.
Form POS AM
April 15, 2016
Table Of Contents

As filed with the Securities and Exchange

Commission Registration No. 205179

U.S. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Post-Effective Amendment No. 1 to

FORM S-1

REGISTRATION STATEMENT UNDER THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933

APPLIED MINERALS, INC.

(Name of small business issuer in its charter)

Delaware 1400 82-0096527

(State of jurisdiction of (Primary Standard Industrial (I.R.S. Employer
incorporation or organization) Classification Code Number) Identification No.)

110 Greene Street, Suite 1101, New York, NY 10012

(212) 226-4265

(Address and telephone number of principal executive offices and principal place of business)

William Gleeson General Counsel

Applied Minerals, Inc.

110 Greene Street – Suite 1101, New York,

NY 10012 (212) 226-4251

(Name, address and telephone number of agent for service)

Approximate date of proposed sale to the public:

From time to time after this Registration Statement becomes effective.

If any of the securities being registered on this form are to be offered on a delayed or continuous basis pursuant to Rule 415 under the Securities Act, check the following box.

If this Form is filed to register additional securities for an offering pursuant to Rule 462(b) under the Securities Act, please check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering.

If this Form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(c) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering.

If this Form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(d) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act Registration Statement number of the earlier effective Registration Statement for the same offering.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company

The Registrant hereby amends this Post-Effective Amendment to the Registration Statement on such date or dates as may be necessary to delay its effective date until the Registrant shall file a further amendment which specifically

states that this Post-Effective Amendment to the Registration Statement shall thereafter become effective in accordance with Section 8(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 or until the Post-Effective Amendment to the Registration Statement shall become effective on such date as the Commission, acting pursuant to Section 8(a), may determine.

The prospectus contained in this registration statement is a combined prospectus relating to this registration statement and also to Registration Statement No. 333-202139 which is a registration statement on Form S-1, and Registration Statement No. 333-179139, which is a registration statement filed on Form S-3 and amended on Form S-1, in each case relating to the resale of shares of common stock of Applied Minerals, Inc.

Table Of Contents

PROSPECTUS

APPLIED MINERALS, INC.

38,203,573 Shares of Common Stock

This prospectus relates to the offer and sale by the Selling Stockholders, from time to time, of the following

Up to 27,537,181 shares of Common Stock, issuable on conversion of 10% PIK-Election Convertible Notes due 2018 (the “Series A Notes” or the “Notes”). At the Company’s election, interest may be paid in cash or in Series A Notes. As of the date of this prospectus, 14,163,370 shares are issuable on conversion of the Series A Notes that were issued on November 3, 2014 (the date of the initial issuance of Series A Notes) and 2,118,136 shares are issuable on conversion of Series A Notes that have been issued as interest. If the Company issues additional Series A Notes in payment of interest, the number of shares that may be sold pursuant to this Prospectus relates will increase, and if the Company makes all the interest payments by issuing additional Series A Notes and all the Series A Notes (including all the Series A Notes issued as interest) remain outstanding until maturity, the additional shares issuable on conversion of the Series A Notes issued in payment of interest could increase the number of shares issuable on conversion of the Notes to 27,537,181 shares. This number assumes that immediately prior to the 2018 maturity date, the maturity date to be extended from 2018 to 2023, the interest rate is lowered to 1% and the conversion price is reduced by \$0.10, all in accordance with the terms of the Notes. Given the Company’s current financial position, it is anticipated that for the foreseeable future, the Company will pay interest using Series A Notes issued as payment-in-kind interest. The shares of Common Stock that may be issued on conversion of the Series A Notes are referred to as the “Shares.”

10,000,000 already outstanding shares of Common Stock.

666,392 shares of Common Stock issued as Liquidated Damages pursuant to Section 2c of the Registration Statement Agreement for the Series A Notes.

The sellers referred to above as collectively referred to as the “Selling Stockholders” and the shares referred to above as collectively referred to as the “Shares.”

The term “Selling Stockholders” includes the persons listed in the table under “Selling Stockholders ” and also donees, pledgees, transferees or other successors-in- interest selling Common Stock or interests in Common Stock received after the date of this prospectus from a Selling Stockholder as a gift, pledge, partnership distribution or similar

transfer. The Selling Stockholders may sell all or any portion of their Common Stock in one or more transactions on any stock exchange, market or trading facility on which the shares are traded or in private, negotiated transactions. Each Selling Stockholder will determine the prices at which the Selling Stockholder's securities will be sold. Although we will incur expenses in connection with the registration of the shares of Common Stock offered under this prospectus, we will not receive any proceeds from the sale of the shares of Common Stock by the Selling Stockholders.

Our Common Stock is quoted on the OTCQB under the symbol "AMNL." On April 14, 2016, the closing bid quotation of our Common Stock was \$0.17. Our principal executive offices are located 110 Greene Street, Suite 1101, New York, N.Y. 10012. Our telephone number is (212) 226-4265.

We may amend or supplement this prospectus from time to time by filing amendments or supplements as required. You should carefully read this entire prospectus and any amendments or supplements to this prospectus as well as material incorporated by reference into this prospectus before you make your investment decision.

The shares of Common Stock offered under this prospectus involve a high degree of risk. See "Risk Factors" beginning at page 20 and the risk factors that are incorporated by reference in this prospectus from our filings made with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

NEITHER THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION NOR ANY STATE SECURITIES COMMISSION HAS APPROVED OR DISAPPROVED OF THESE SECURITIES OR PASSED UPON THE ACCURACY OR ADEQUACY OF THIS PROSPECTUS. ANY REPRESENTATION TO THE CONTRARY IS A CRIMINAL OFFENSE.

The date of this prospectus is _____, 2016

Table Of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|--|----|
| <u>Note Regarding Forward Looking Statements</u> | 4 |
| <u>Prospectus Summary</u> | 5 |
| <u>Information about the Company</u> | 9 |
| <u>Risk Factors</u> | 20 |
| <u>Generalized Risks and Uncertainties</u> | 22 |
| <u>Properties</u> | 23 |
| <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 24 |
| <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u> | 24 |
| <u>Market Prices for Our Common Stock</u> | 25 |
| <u>Equity Compensation Plans</u> | 25 |
| <u>Stock Performance Graph</u> | 27 |
| <u>Selected Financial Data</u> | 27 |
| <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u> | 27 |
| <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u> | 27 |
| <u>Control and Procedures</u> | 28 |
| <u>Information about Directors and Officers</u> | 29 |
| <u>Executive Compensation</u> | 34 |
| <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management</u> | 46 |
| <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions</u> | 48 |
| <u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u> | 48 |
| <u>SEC Position on Indemnification for Securities Act liabilities</u> | 49 |
| <u>The Offering</u> | 49 |
| <u>The Notes</u> | 50 |
| <u>Use of Proceeds</u> | 50 |
| <u>Description of Capital Stock</u> | 51 |
| <u>Selling Stockholders</u> | 53 |
| <u>Plan of Distribution</u> | 54 |
| <u>Experts</u> | 56 |
| <u>Legal Matters</u> | 56 |
| <u>Where You Can Find More Information</u> | 56 |
| <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 56 |
| <u>Financial Statements</u> | 64 |

Table Of Contents

We have not authorized any person to give you any supplemental information or to make any representations for us. You should not rely upon any information about our Company that is not contained in, or incorporated by reference into, this prospectus or a supplement thereto. Information contained in this prospectus may become stale. You should not assume that the information contained in this prospectus or any prospectus supplement is accurate as of any date other than their respective dates, regardless of the time of delivery of this prospectus or of any sale of the shares. Our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects may have changed since those dates.

The Selling Stockholders are offering to sell, and seeking offers to buy, Shares only in jurisdictions where offers and sales are permitted.

Unless otherwise specified or the context otherwise requires, references in this prospectus to the "Company," "we," "us," and "our" refer to Applied Minerals, Inc., a Delaware corporation, and its consolidated subsidiary.

NOTE REGARDING FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS

This prospectus contains "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. These forward-looking statements are based on our current expectations, assumptions, estimates and/or projections about our business and our industry. Words such as "believe," "anticipate," "expect," "intend," "plan," "will," "may," and other similar expressions identify forward-looking statements. In addition, any statements that refer to expectations, projections or other characterizations of future events or circumstances are forward-looking statements.

In the discussion under "Business," the Company discusses a wide range of forward-looking information, including the Company's beliefs and expectations concerning business opportunities, potential customer interest, customer activities (including but not limited to testing, scale-ups, production trials, field trials, product development), and the Company's expectations as to sales, the amount of sales, and the timing of sales. Whether any of the foregoing will actually come to fruition, occur, be successful, or result in sales, and the timing and amount of such sales, is uncertain.

More generally, all forward-looking statements are subject to certain risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those reflected in the forward looking statements. Factors that might cause such a difference include, but are not limited to, those discussed in the section of this prospectus entitled "RISK FACTORS."

Table Of Contents

PROSPECTUS SUMMARY

You should read this summary in conjunction with the more detailed information and financial statements in this prospectus and any supplement thereto. This summary does not contain all of the information you should consider before investing in our securities. You should read all of the information in this prospectus and any supplement thereto carefully, especially the risks of investing in our securities (see “Risk Factors”) before making an investment decision.

In this prospectus and any amendment or supplement hereto, unless otherwise indicated, the terms the “Company”, “we”, “us”, and “our” refer and relate to Applied Minerals, Inc.

**Securities
Being
Offered**

This prospectus relates to the offer and sale by the Selling Stockholders, from time to time, of the following:

Up to 27,537,181 shares of Common Stock, issuable on conversion of 10% PIK-Election Convertible Notes due 2018 (the “Series A Notes” or the “Notes”). At the Company’s election, interest may be paid in cash or in Series A Notes. As of the date of this prospectus, 14,163,370 shares are issuable on conversion of the Series A Notes that were issued on November 3, 2014 (the date of the initial issuance of Series A Notes) and 2,118,136 shares are issuable on conversion of Series A Notes that have been issued as interest and liquidated damages. If the Company issues additional Series A Notes in payment of interest, the number of shares that may be sold pursuant to this Prospectus relates will increase, and if the Company makes all the interest payments by issuing additional Series A Notes and all the Series A Notes (including all the Series A Notes issued as interest) remain outstanding until maturity, the additional shares issuable on conversion of the Series A Notes issued in payment of interest could increase the number of shares issuable on conversion of the Notes to 27,537,181 shares. This number assumes that immediately prior to the 2018 maturity date, the maturity date to be extended from 2018 to 2023, the interest rate is lowered to 1% and the conversion price is reduced by \$.10, all in accordance with the terms of the Notes. Given the Company’s current financial position, it is anticipated that for the foreseeable future, the Company will pay interest using Series A Notes issued as payment-in-kind interest. The shares of Common Stock that may be issued on conversion of the Series A Notes are referred to as the “Shares.”

10,000,000 already outstanding shares of Common Stock issued on December 22, 2011.

666,392 shares of Common Stock issued as Liquidated Damages pursuant to Section 2c of the Registration Rights Agreement for the Series A Notes. These shares were issued to satisfy liquidated damages provision related to a delay in the effectiveness of a registration statement for the resale of Common Stock issuable on the conversion of the Series A Notes. The Company was required to pay the noteholders 1% of the principal amount of the Series A Notes if a Registration statement is not filed and effective within 90 days of the issuance of the Series A Notes (and further damages for every 30 days thereafter). The registration statement became effective on July 2015.

The sellers referred to above as collectively referred to as the “Selling Stockholders” and the shares referred to above as collectively referred to as the “Shares.

The term “Selling Stockholders” includes the persons listed in the table under “Selling Stockholders ” and also donees, pledgees, transferees or other successors-in- interest selling Common Stock or interests in Common Stock received after the date of this prospectus from a Selling Stockholder as a gift, pledge, partnership distribution or similar transfer. The Selling Stockholders may sell all or any portion of their Common Stock in one or more transactions on any stock exchange, market or trading facility on which the shares are traded or in private, negotiated transactions. Each Selling Stockholder will determine the prices at which the Selling Stockholder’s securities will be sold. Although we will incur expenses in connection with the registration of the shares of Common Stock offered under this prospectus, we will not receive any proceeds from the sale of the shares of Common Stock by the Selling Stockholders.

See “The Offering,” “Selling Stockholders,” and “Antidilution Provisions.”

Table Of Contents

Antidilution Provisions The Series A Notes contain standard antidilution provisions whereby the conversion price of the Notes into Common Stock would be reduced upon the occurrence of certain events. The reduction of the conversion price would mean that more shares of Common Stock would be issuable on conversion. See “Antidilution Provisions” for a detailed description of the antidilution provisions.

Use of Proceeds The Company will receive none of the proceeds from the sale of the Common Stock by the Selling Stockholders. The proceeds will go to the Selling Stockholders. See “Use of Proceeds.”

Plan of Distribution The term “Selling Stockholders ” includes the persons listed in the Selling Stockholders table and also donees, pledgees, transferees or other successors-in-interest selling Common Stock or interests in Common Stock received after the date of this prospectus from a Selling Stockholder as a gift, pledge, partnership distribution or similar transaction. The Selling Stockholders may, from time to time, sell any or all of their Common Stock on any stock exchange, market or trading facility on which the shares are traded or in private transactions. These sales may be at fixed or negotiated prices. The Selling Stockholders may also engage in puts and calls and other transactions in our Common Stock or derivatives of our Common Stock and may sell or deliver the Common Stock in connection with these trades.

Broker-dealers engaged by the Selling Stockholders may arrange for other brokers-dealers to participate in sales. Broker-dealers may receive commissions or discounts from the Selling Stockholders (or, if any broker-dealer acts as agent for the purchaser of shares, from the purchaser) in amounts to be negotiated.

See “Plan of Distribution.”

Business Applied Minerals, Inc. (the “Company” or “Applied Minerals”) (OTCQB: AMNL) (OTCBB: AMNL) owns the Dragon Mine from which we extract, process and market halloysite clay and iron oxide for sale to a range of end markets. We also engage in research and development and frequently work collaboratively with potential customers to engineer our halloysite clay and iron oxide products to enhance the performance of our customers’ existing and new products.

The Company is classified as an “exploration stage” company for purposes of Industry Guide 7 of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission. Under Industry Guide 7, companies engaged in significant mining operations are classified into three categories, referred to as “stages” - exploration, development, and production. Exploration stage includes all companies engaged in the search for mineral deposits, which are not in either the development or production stage. In order to be classified as a development or production stage company, the company must have already established reserves. Notwithstanding the nature and extent of development-type or production-type activities that have been undertaken or completed, a company cannot be classified as a development or production stage company unless it has established reserves. The mineralization indicated by the resource study is not a reserve for purpose of Industry Guide 7.

Halloysite, marketed by Applied Minerals under the DRAGONITE™ trade name, is aluminosilicate clay with a hollow tubular morphology. DRAGONITE utilizes halloysite's unique shape, high surface area, and reactivity to add significant functionality to applications such as plastic composites, flame retardant additives, paints and coatings, catalysts, and environmental remediation media. The high purity of the Dragon Mine's iron oxide resource positions it to be able to compete cost effectively with higher cost synthetic iron oxides, which currently dominate the high-value transparent coatings and technical markets. Applied Minerals' AMIRON™ brand of advanced natural iron oxides provides customers with synthetic-like performance at significantly lower cost and has the potential expand the market for iron oxides by making them accessible to more product applications, particularly within the transparent coatings segment.

Over the last six years, the Company has expended significant resources on R&D focused on exploiting the unique morphology and high purity of the Dragon Mine's mineral resource to develop high-value, eco-friendly solutions that either enhance product performance at minimal additional cost or reduce manufacturing costs without sacrificing product performance. We believe that DRAGONITE and AMIRON offer solutions that accomplish both of these objectives.

Table Of Contents

The Company is marketing its halloysite-based DRAGONITE products for the following uses: flame retardant additives for plastics; nucleation of polymers; reinforcement fillers for polymers; molecular sieves and catalysts; ceramics; binders; cosmetics; cementing; controlled release carriers; and environmental remediation. The Company markets its AMIRON™ line of natural iron oxide-based products for the following uses: pigments and technical applications; adsorbents (iron oxide can be used to remove arsenic, copper, lead, chromium, cadmium from drinking water); and weighting agents for drilling muds used in oil and gas drilling. Other applications include iron oxide for agricultural use, as an additive in pet food, and for steel casing in foundries.

We have a mineral processing plant with a capacity of up to 45,000 tons per annum for certain applications. Currently, this facility is dedicated to processing our iron oxide resource. Additionally, the Company has a second processing facility with a capacity of up to 10,000 tons per annum that is dedicated to its halloysite resource. We use a dry beneficiation method to process our halloysite products through a micronizing system. Wet processing is available through toll processors.

At the current time, we are selling AMIRON iron oxide, for use in a technical application, to one company on an ongoing basis. The agreement with that company calls for the sale of \$5 million of AMIRON over a period of approximately 18 months. The Company is currently selling halloysite on an ongoing basis to seven customers. One customer uses the halloysite for a specialty zeolite adsorption application. The initial purchase order of \$228,000 was placed in 2015. The Company also sells to three ceramic companies that use the halloysite as a binder; to a large manufacturing company that uses the halloysite in structural acrylic adhesives; to a customer that uses the halloysite to strengthen epoxy resins; and to a large manufacturer that uses the halloysite for nucleation in extruded polymers.

The Company markets and sells its products directly and through distributors. The Company's CEO spends a significant amount of his time on sales, marketing and product development. The Director of Sales focuses on the marketing of the Company's DRAGONITE products. The Head of Iron Oxide Operations focuses on the marketing of the Company's AMIRON products. The Company also uses several leading distribution organizations, E.T. Horn, Brandt Technologies, LLC, Koda Distribution Group, to market its products. The Company has a non-exclusive distribution agreement with a distributor for Taiwan and an exclusive agreement with a distributor for Japan.

See "Business," "Properties," and "Financial Statements."

Table Of Contents

Risk Factors

An investment in our Common Stock is very speculative and involves a high degree of risk. If you decide to buy our Common Stock, you should be able to afford a complete loss of your investment. Among the risks are the following. You should read the full risk factor section within.

Losses, deficits, going concern.

We have experienced annual operating losses for as long as we have financial records (since 1998). For the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, the Company sustained losses from operations of \$9,805,137 and \$10,316,317, respectively. At December 31, 2015 and 2014, the Company had accumulated deficits of \$81,943,426 and \$72,138,289, respectively. We have limited cash, negative cash flow, and unprofitable operations. Accordingly, there is substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. We may need to seek additional financing to support our continued operations; however, there are no assurances that any such financing can be obtained on favorable terms, if at all, especially in light to the restrictions imposed on senior or pari passu financing by 10% PIK-Election Convertible Notes due 2018 and 10% PIK-Election Notes due in 2023 ("Series 2023 Notes"). In view of these conditions, our ability to continue as a going concern may be dependent upon our ability to obtain such financing and is ultimately dependent on achieving profitable operations. The outcome of these matters cannot be predicted at this time.

Maturity of outstanding pik-election convertible notes.

Unless the Company is able to generate significant cash flow, the Company may not have sufficient funds to pay outstanding PIK-Election Convertible Notes when such notes mature and unless the stock price increases significantly, the Company may not be able to force conversion of the notes before maturity.

Penetrating markets

For the Company to survive, we must penetrate our target markets and achieve sales levels and generate sufficient cash flow to break-even. To be a success, we must do better than that. There is significant uncertainty that we will be able to do so.

See "Risk Factors"

Common Stock

Rights

Holders of Common Stock are entitled to one vote per share. Holders of Common Stock have no cumulative voting rights in the election of directors. Two shareholders have certain rights, which are described in the footnote 4 to the Selling Stockholders table to nominate directors.

Holders of Common Stock are entitled to receive ratably dividends if, as, and when dividends are declared from time to time by our Board of Directors out of funds legally available for that purpose, after payment of dividends required to be paid on outstanding preferred stock or series Common Stock. The Series 2023 Notes and Series A Notes prohibit dividends without the approval of the holders of a majority of the principal amount of the Series 2023 Notes and Series A Notes. The Company has never paid a dividend and does not anticipate paying one in the future.

See “Description of Capital Stock.”

Market for Our Common Stock

Our Common Stock is traded on the OTCBB. On April 14, 2016, the closing market price on the OTCQB was \$0.17.

See “Price Range of our Common Stock.”

Table Of Contents

INFORMATION ABOUT THE COMPANY

Applied Minerals, Inc. (the “Company” or “Applied Minerals”) (OTCQB: AMNL) (OTCBB: AMNL) owns the Dragon Mine from which we extract halloysite clay and iron oxide, which we then process and sell. We also engage in research and development and frequently work collaboratively with potential customers to engineer our halloysite clay and iron oxide products to enhance the performance of our customers’ existing and new products.

The Dragon Mine is a 267-acre property located in central Utah, approximately 70 miles southwest of Salt Lake City, Utah.

We market our halloysite clay-based line of products under the tradename DRAGONITE. We market our iron oxide line of products under the tradename AMIRON.

INFORMATION ABOUT THE DRAGON MINE

History of the Dragon Mine

The Dragon Mine was first mined in the third quarter of the 19th century. It was mined for iron oxide from the late nineteenth century until approximately 1931 and it was mined for halloysite clay from approximately 1931 to 1976. From 1949 to 1976, the halloysite was sold for use as a petroleum cracking catalyst. No mining took place from 1976 until 2001 at which point the Company leased the property with an option to buy it. The Company purchased the property in 2005.

The Company acquired the Dragon Mine primarily to exploit the mine’s halloysite resources. Prior to a change in management in 2009, the Company did relatively little to explore the mineralization at the Dragon Mine or to identify and exploit markets for halloysite.

At the time that the Dragon Mine was acquired, it was assumed that the iron oxide mineralization would be useful only for steel making. Given historical market conditions, sales for such use would often not be economic and at best would yield marginal profits. It was not until 2009 that the Company explored the iron oxide mineralization and realized its high quality and the commercial possibilities it possessed for applications currently utilizing synthetic iron

oxides, which are higher in cost, as well as applications where synthetic iron oxides are not utilized due to it being cost prohibitive. We believe the iron oxide deposit at the Dragon Mine is a high-quality deposit due to its high Fe₂O₃ content, relative chemical purity, good dispersibility, good tinting strength and color saturation, low color variation, low content of heavy metals, and high surface area (25 m²/g – 125 m²/g and reactivity).

Resource Study of the Dragon Mine's Mineralization

There are two areas of the Dragon Mine minesite at which mining is conducted and they are referred to the “Dragon Pit” and the “Western Area.” In addition, there are five surface piles on the site, mineralization that was left by prior operators.

The Company commissioned a study (and later an update) of the mine’s “resources” that was conducted using the standards of the JORC Code of the Australasian Code for Reporting Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves. We will refer to the study and the update as the “study.” That study indicated the existence of JORC “resources” of halloysite clay and iron oxide. Under the JORC Code, resource tonnages are reported in situ and are not net of (i) diluting materials or (ii) losses due to the mining process.

A JORC resource is defined as a “mineral deposit in such form, grade...and quantity that there are reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction.” There are three levels of resources, differentiated by the level of confidence and they are in ascending order of confidence: inferred, indicated, and measured.

A JORC indicated resource is an economic mineral occurrence that has been sampled (from locations such as outcrops, trenches, pits and boreholes) to a point where an estimate has been made, at a reasonable level of confidence, of its contained metal, grade, tonnage, shape, densities, and physical characteristics.

A JORC measured resource is an indicated resource that has undergone enough further sampling to be an acceptable estimate, at a high degree of confidence, of the grade, tonnage, shape, densities, physical characteristics and mineral content of the mineral occurrence.

The results of the study are summarized below. The tonnages in the Dragon Pit, the Western Area, and in Surface Pile #1 are considered “measured” resources. The tonnages in Waste Piles #2 - #5 are considered “indicated” resources.

The study was performed by Ian Wilson, Ph.D. as our consulting geologist. Dr. Wilson has supervised our drilling program. Dr. Wilson is a member of iom³ (Institute of Materials, Minerals and Mining of the UK). From 1974 to 2001 Mr. Wilson worked with English China Clays/Imerys mainly as a geologist and with management roles in Brazil,

Spain, Sweden and China. Since his retirement in 2001, he has worked as an independent consultant dealing with many industrial minerals including halloysite.

Table Of Contents***Dragon Pit***

The Dragon Pit area covers 4.95 acres and is mined underground. There are three separate types of mineralized material in the Dragon Pit area.

The first type is comprised of clay with a relatively high concentration (approximately 94%) of halloysite. The Dragon Pit contains 625,650 tons of this type of mineralized material.

The second type is comprised of a mix of kaolinite, illite-smectite, and halloysite clays. Clays constitute approximately 73.4% of this mineralization, of which halloysite constitutes approximately 42.6%, kaolinite constitutes 19.2% and illite-smectite constitutes 11.6%. The Dragon Pit contains 565,575 tons of this type of mineralized material.

The third type of mineralized material found in the Dragon Pit is comprised of iron-bearing materials. This mineralization contains goethite and hematite. When dehydrated, goethite forms hematite. We will sometimes refer to either minerals or combinations of the minerals as “iron oxide.” The mineralization is approximately 94% iron oxide, of which goethite accounts for 69.7% and hematite 24.3%. There exist separate areas of goethite and hematite but the majority of the iron-bearing mineralization in the Dragon Pit exists as a goethite-hematite mix. The Dragon Pit contains 3,254,989 tons of this iron-bearing mineralization.

The table below describes the clay resource in the Dragon Pit:

| Area | Acres | Resource Clay | | Clay Type | Average Clay Content (%) | | | |
|------------|-------|---------------|------------|-----------------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|-------|
| | | Status | (Tons) | | Halloysite | Kaolinite | Illite-Smectite | Total |
| Dragon Pit | 4.95 | Measured | 629,650 | Pure Halloysite | 94.0 | N/A | N/A | 94.0 |
| | | | 565,575(1) | Mixed | 42.6 | 19.2 | 11.6 | 73.4 |

The amount is the result of processing to <45 microns, which eliminated 27% of the starting unprocessed material. The material would have to be wet processed so that the >45 micron material can be removed. The Company (1) does not presently have wet processing capability and would either have to invest in additional processing equipment or contract a third party toll processor to perform this function. The pure halloysite is used in our DRAGONITE products and mixed clays are not used in our DRAGONITE products.

The table below describes the iron oxide resource in the Dragon Pit:

| Area | Acres | Resource Status | Iron Oxide (Tons) | Average Content (%) | | | LOI (1) |
|------------|-------|-----------------|-------------------|---------------------|----------|-------|---------|
| | | | | Hematite | Goethite | Fe2O3 | |
| Dragon Pit | 4.95 | Measured | 3,254,989 | 29.16 | 63.54 | 77.47 | 10.09 |

LOI, or Loss on Ignition, is a test used in inorganic analytical chemistry, particularly in the analysis of minerals. It consists of strongly heating ("igniting") a sample of the material at a specified temperature, allowing volatile substances (such as water) to escape, until its mass ceases to change. LOI is generally described as the amount of moisture and trapped volatile oxides or carbonates present in the ore.

Western Area

The Western area covers 6.33 acres and is mined underground. There are two different types of mineralization in the Western Area.

One type of mineralization in the Western Area is clay. It is comprised primarily of a mix of kaolinite, illite-smectite, and halloysite clays. The clay content of this mineralization is approximately 71.4%, of which kaolinite constitutes 47.2%, illite-smectite constitutes 17.5%, and halloysite constitutes 6.7%. The Western Area contains 862,903 tons of this type of mineralization.

The other type of mineralization is iron bearing. The Western Area contains goethite and hematite. The mineralization is approximately 96% iron oxide on a mineralogical basis, of which hematite accounts for 75.9% and goethite 20.1%. There exist separate areas of goethite and hematite but the majority of the iron-bearing mineralization in the Western Area exists as a goethite-hematite mix. The Western Area contains 797,717 tons of this iron-bearing mineralization.

Table Of Contents

The table below describes the clay resource in the Western Mine:

| Area | Acres | Resource Status | Clay (tons) | Average Clay Content (%) | | | Total |
|--------------|-------|-----------------|-------------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|-------|
| | | | | Halloysite | Kaolinite | Illite-Smectite | |
| Western Area | 6.3 | Measured | 862,903 | 6.7 | 47.2 | 17.5 | 71.3 |

The Western Mine clays are not used in our DRAGONITE products. The table below describes the iron oxide resource in the Western Mine:

| Area | Acres | Resource Status | Iron (tons) Hematite | Average Content of Hematite, Goethite, Fe ₂ O ₃ and LOI (%) | | | |
|--------------|-------|-----------------|-------------------------|---|----------|--------------------------------|------|
| | | | | Hematite | Goethite | Fe ₂ O ₃ | LOI |
| Western Area | 6.33 | Measured | 797,717 | 60.03 | 30.7 | 8.29 | 8.04 |

Surface Piles

There are five surface piles that were created during the mining of halloysite clay between 1949 and 1976 when the Dragon Mine's halloysite resource for use as a petroleum cracking catalyst. Any clay that contained more than a minimal amount (~ 2%) of iron oxide was not usable for petroleum cracking and was discarded into one of five surface piles.

The following sets forth information about the mineralized material by surface pile:

| Surface Pile | Resource Status | Clay (Tons) | Average Clay Content (%) | | | Total |
|--------------|-----------------|-------------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|-------|
| | | | Halloysite | Kaolinite | Illite-Smectite | |
| 1 | Measured | 154,500 | 41.8 | 25.8 | 9.4 | 77.0 |
| 2 | Indicated | 127,100 | 19.0 | 33.6 | 27.8 | 80.4 |
| 3 | Indicated | 298,900 | 9.8 | 30.7 | 24.9 | 65.4 |
| 4 | Indicated | 33,280 | 13.2 | 31.7 | 31.8 | 76.7 |
| 5 | Indicated | 144,100 | 13.5 | 31.8 | 36.5 | 81.8 |

These clays are not used in our DRAGONITE products.

In addition to the surface pile material described above, there is a surface pile of approximately 20,000 tons of mined iron oxide on the surface of the Dragon Mine property.

Resource Development/Exploration Drilling Expenses

In 2015 and 2014, the Company spent \$4,245,478 and \$4,626,139, respectively, on exploration and resource development.

More Detailed Description of the Mineralization at the Dragon Mine

Clays. Kaolinite and halloysite are clays and members of the kaolin group of clays. Both are aluminosilicate clays. Kaolinite and halloysite are essentially chemically identical, but have different morphologies (shapes). Kaolinite typically appears in plates or sheets. Halloysite, in contrast, typically appears in the shape of hollow tubes. On average, the halloysite tubes have a length in the range of 0.5 - 3.0 microns, an exterior diameter in the range of 50 - 70 nanometers and an internal diameter (lumen) in the range of 15 - 30 nanometers. Formation of halloysite occurs when kaolinite sheets roll into tubes due to the strain caused by a lattice mismatch between the adjacent silicon dioxide and aluminum oxide layers. Halloysite is non-toxic and natural, demonstrating high biocompatibility without posing any risk to the environment.

Kaolinite is one of the world's most common minerals. U.S. production in 2014 was approximately 6.3 million tons.

Halloysite is, by comparison, a rarer mineral and we believe worldwide production is less than 25,000 tons.

Illite refers to a group of clays that includes hydrous micas, phengite, brammalite, celadonite, and glauconite. Illite clays are common and large amounts are produced each year.

Smectite refers to a group of clays that includes montmorillonite, bentonite, nontronite, hectorite, saponite and saucanite. Smectite clays are common clay and large amounts are produced each year.

Table Of Contents

Iron Oxide. Hematite is the mineral form of iron oxide, which exists in a range of colors, including black to steel or silver-gray and brown to reddish brown, or red.

Goethite is an iron hydroxide oxide mineral, which exists in a range of colors, including yellowish to reddish to dark brown. If goethite is sufficiently heated to eliminate its contained water, it is transformed into hematite.

Mixtures of goethite and hematite are the color brown.

Procedures Used to Develop the Tonnage and Grade Results in Resource Study

The following describes sample collection, sample preparation, and the analytical procedures used to develop analytical results set forth above for the Dragon Pit, the Western Area, and the Surface Piles.

Surface surveying positioning of holes was carried out using sub-centimeter Trimble GPS Receivers (base station and Rover) with accuracy within 5mm. Underground surveying utilized a Topcon GTS3 Total Station, which measures angle to one second and measures distances to parts per million. A DSI (Deviation Survey Instrument) SRG (Surface Recording Gyro) was utilized to measure deviation of the hole. A Brunton compass is used to determine a reference line. Readings are taken every 50' during the survey and a final reference reading is used to calculate the hole's overall drift. When run correctly, the instrument is accurate to within 1 foot per 1000' of depth.

Core drilling was carried out and for all boreholes the driller placed the core into a box, which was carefully labeled with borehole number, depth reached and any voids noted. A LCF (Lithology Control File) was established for each area and it included all rock types identified. The borehole number, coordinates, elevation, inclination, azimuth, and depths drilled were entered into a log showing the LCF.

Services on the clay extracted from the drill holes: Moisture Content; XRD identification; XRPD quantitative analysis; XRPD Formamide test; SEM imaging; FTIR analysis; BET Surface Area / Porosity; Qualitative EDS; XRF; ICP—MS for trace elements; MINOLTA – Color and brightness measurements. For analysis of the iron oxide, XRD, ICP-MS, BET Surface Area, Color and Brightness measurements were carried out by James Hutton Institute. ALS Minerals Laboratory in Reno, Nevada carried out analytical procedures ME-XRF21u, Iron oxide by XRF Fusion – normalized (XRF Instrument), OA-CRAE05x, LOIS for XRF (WST-SEQ) AND Au-ICP21, Au 30g FA ICP-AES Finish (ICP-AES Instrument)

Logging was carried out in line with the Lithology Control File. All of the data was then entered into a spreadsheet to show Borehole Number, coordinates, elevation and individual test results for each of the increments sampled and tested.

The Company utilized the PC/Core software package to convert raw borehole data and assumptions by the Company into a mathematical model using block model interpolation. The program provides for the production of “Quality Array Reports,” each of which shows the correlation between one variable and all of the other variables in the model.

STATUS OF THE COMPANY FOR SEC REPORTING PURPOSES

The Company is classified as an “exploration stage” company for purposes of Industry Guide 7 of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission.

Under Industry Guide 7, companies engaged in significant mining operations are classified into three categories, referred to as “stages” - exploration, development, and production.

Exploration stage includes all companies engaged in the search for mineral deposits (that is, reserves), which are not in either the development or production stage. In order to be classified as a development or production stage company, the company must have already established reserves. Notwithstanding the nature and extent of development-type or production-type activities that have been undertaken or completed, a company cannot be classified as a development or production stage company unless it has established reserves.

Under Industry Guide 7, a “reserve” is “that part of a mineral deposit which could be economically and legally extracted or produced at the time of the reserve determination.” Generally speaking, a company may not declare reserves, unless, among other requirements, a competent professional engineer conducts a detailed engineering and economic study and prepare a “bankable” or “final” feasibility study that “demonstrates that a mineral deposit can be mined profitably at a commercial rate.”

The Company commissioned a study and an update of “resources” under the JORC Code of the Australasian Code for Reporting Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves. That study indicated the existence of JORC “resources” of halloysite clay and iron oxide. A JORC resource is defined as a “mineral deposit in such form, grade and quantity that there are reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction,” a lower standard than that used for a final feasibility study. Among the differences between resources determined under the JORC Code and Industry Guide 7 is that under the JORC Code resources are measured in situ and are not net of diluting materials and mining losses, while under Industry Guide 7, the reserves are not measured in this fashion.

Table Of Contents

Despite the fact that the Company has not established reserves for purposes of Industry Guide 7, the Company has mined, processed and sold, and intends to continue to mine, process, and sell halloysite clay and iron oxide from the Dragon Mine.

A consequence of the absence of reserves under Industry Guide 7 is that the mining company, such as the Company, is deemed to lack an objective basis to assert that it has a deposit with mineralization that can be economically and legally extracted or produced and sold to produce revenue.

PROCESSING FACILITIES AT THE DRAGON MINE

We have a mineral processing plant with a capacity of up to 45,000 tons per annum for certain applications. Currently, this facility is dedicated to processing our iron oxide resource. This facility involves a preliminary step of crushing the iron oxide in a crusher and a further step of pulverizing the iron oxide in our Hosokawa Alpine table roller mill. Depending on the customer's specifications, if we need to only process the iron oxide through the preliminary step of crushing, our production capacity would be significantly greater than 45,000 tons per annum. Additionally, the Company has a second processing facility with a capacity of up to 10,000 tons per annum that is dedicated to its halloysite resource. We use a dry beneficiation method to process our halloysite products, which involves a preliminary step of crushing the halloysite clay in a crusher and a further step of micronizing the halloysite. The process may, in certain instances, utilize a low energy jet mill. None of our systems employ any chemicals. Wet processing is available through toll processors.

If demand for halloysite increases beyond our current capacity, we may choose to outsource the processing of the mineral to a third party or invest in more processing capacity.

MINING AND PROCESSING ACTIVITY IN 2015 AND 2014

The following table discloses (i) the number of tons of halloysite clay and iron oxide extracted by the Company from the Dragon Mine and (ii) the number of tons of finished product produced by the Company during the 12 months ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively:

| | 2015 | 2014 |
|-----------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Tons extracted | | |
| Halloysite clay | 550 | 650 |

| | | |
|---------------------------------|-----|-------|
| Iron oxide | 400 | 2,200 |
| Products produced (tons) | | |
| Halloysite clay | 140 | 69 |
| Iron oxide | 750 | 166 |

SALE OF PRODUCTS

AMIRON

At the current time, we are selling AMIRON iron oxide to one customer on an ongoing basis. That customer uses the AMIRON as a catalyst to adsorb a gas. The agreement with that company calls for the sale of \$5 million of AMIRON over a period of 18 months, which commenced in December, 2015. (The Company has agreed not to sell AMIRON to other customers for use in the same application for five years. The customer may elect to make another \$5 million purchase at the end of five years and extend the exclusivity for this application for a total of ten years.

In 2015, we sold 20 tons of AMIRON to two other customers for use in commercial sales (as opposed to testing); one of the customers used the AMIRON in a biogas application and the other used it in connection with stain dispersion. The Company received no adverse feedback from such customers.

The Company is exploring whether AMIRON can be sold as an effective and cost-efficient substitute or partial substitute for barite as a weighting agent in certain drilling fluids used in the production of oil and gas. There is no certainty that the Company will be successful in penetrating this market.

The path to commercialization begins with testing by the customer and ends with a customer's decision to commercialize the product after pilot scale production trials and, in certain cases, commercial scale production trials. The Company is working with many potential customers and individual potential customers are at different stages of the commercialization process. There is no certainty that any potential customer who tests our products will actually become a customer on an ongoing basis.

Table Of Contents

DRAGONITE

At the current time, the Company is selling halloysite on an ongoing basis to seven customers. One customer uses the halloysite to manufacture a specialty zeolite, which is used in an adsorption application. The initial purchase order of \$228,000 was placed in 2015. The Company also sells to three ceramic companies that use the halloysite as a binder; to a large manufacturing company that uses the halloysite in structural acrylic adhesives; to a customer that uses the halloysite to strengthen epoxy resins; and to a large manufacturer that uses the halloysite for nucleation in extruded polymers.

There is no certainty that any potential customer who tests our products will actually become a customer on an ongoing basis. The Company currently is cautiously optimistic that additional customers could become ongoing customers with additional revenue in 2016 or early 2017.

Sales by Customer Use

The table below discloses the percentage of total revenue by product category for the twelve months ended December 31, 2015 and 2014. "Testing" represents revenue generated from the sale of products used for laboratory testing by customers or potential customers. "Scale-Ups" represents revenue generated from the sale of products to customers or potential customers to determine whether our products perform successfully within a production-scale environment. "Commercial Production" represents revenue generated from the sale of products to customers that are either consumed by the customer or incorporated into a product that is sold by a customer to a third-party. "Other" represents revenue generated from the sale of products for which the Company is not aware of the use by a potential customer.

| <i>Sales for:</i> | Percentages of Sales Classified by Customer Use | |
|-----------------------|--|-------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 |
| Commercial Production | 70 | 70 |
| Scale-Ups | 20 | 26 |
| Testing | 10 | 2 |
| Other | 0 | 2 |
| Total | 100 | 100 |

SALES AND MARKETING

The Company markets and sells its products directly and through distributors. The Company's CEO spends a significant amount of his time on sales and marketing, directly and assisting the Company's sales staff, agents, and distributors. The Director of Sales focuses on the marketing of the Company's DRAGONITE products to high-value application markets and the establishment and management of relationships with distributors. The Head of Iron Oxide Operations focuses on the marketing of the Company's AMIRON products. The Company also uses several sales agents.

E.T. Horn acts as exclusive distributor for AMIRON in the following states: Washington, Oregon, Idaho, Montana, Wyoming, California, Nevada, Utah, Arizona, New Mexico. It acts as exclusive distributor of DRAGONITE in those states plus Texas, Oklahoma, Arkansas, and Louisiana.

Brandt Technologies, LLC acts as exclusive distributor for DRAGONITE and AMIRON in North Dakota, South Dakota, Nebraska, Kansas, Missouri, Iowa, Minnesota, Wisconsin, Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Ohio, and Michigan.

Koda Distribution Group, by itself and through its subsidiaries, Ribelin Sales, Inc., E.W. Kaufman Co., and GMZ Inc., acts as exclusive distributor for AMIRON in Mississippi. Alabama, Tennessee, Georgia, Florida, South Carolina, North Carolina, Virginia, West Virginia, Maryland, Delaware, Pennsylvania, New Jersey, Connecticut, New York, Vermont, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, and Maine. The Company intends to engage a distributor for DRAGONITE in these states.

The Company has a non-exclusive distribution agreement with a distributor for Taiwan and an exclusive agreement with a distributor for Japan. The Company is negotiating agreements with other distributors.

APPLICATION MARKETS

The following discusses the markets into which the Company is marketing its DRAGONITE and AMIRON products. It cannot be assured that we will be successful penetrating these markets. The discussion does not discuss certain problems of selling into these markets, which are discussed elsewhere in the Business section or in the Risk Factors section.

Table Of Contents

DRAGONITE

The following is a description of the application markets to which the Company is marketing its halloysite-based DRAGONITE products:

Flame retardant additives for plastics. Flame retardants are widely used in flammable and flame resistant plastics and are found in electronics, building insulation, polyurethane foam, and wire and cable. There are three types of flame retardants used in plastics:

Minerals, including halloysite, aluminum trihydrate (ATH), magnesium dihydrate (MDH), glass fiber, organoclays, and a number of other less important minerals;

Halogenated compounds (compounds containing bromine or chlorine). Halogenated flame retardants are used in conjunction with a synergist (something that enhances the effectiveness of an active agent) to enhance their efficiency. Antimony trioxide (ATO) is widely used as a synergist for halogenated fire retardants. Halogenated fire retardants have been associated with health concerns due to the potential toxicity of the decomposition products, namely dioxins and furans, as well as environmental and bioaccumulation concerns and there has been action, in the form of treaties and federal and state legislation, to restrict certain uses of halogenated fire retardants.

Organophosphorus compounds.

Plastic manufacturers typically mix or load a small amount of flame retardant to plastic to lower the risk of flammability of their products. We believe that DRAGONITE can be used as a partial replacement for Alumina Trihydrate (ATH) and Magnesium Hydroxide (MDH) in certain applications and as a synergist to ATH and MDH in other applications. At typical loadings, ATH and MDH can adversely affect certain mechanical properties of plastics. We believe that DRAGONITE, in conjunction with ATH and MDH, exhibits a synergistic performance without degrading the mechanical properties of a polymer matrix. Our research and development indicates that DRAGONITE can be used to replace 50% - 75% of antimony trioxide (ATO) in plastic without affecting flame retardancy, retaining the same rating under UL 94, the Standard for Safety of Flammability of Plastic Materials for Parts in Devices and Appliances testing. The price of ATO is approximately \$5,000 per ton.

Generally speaking, we believe the use of DRAGONITE instead of other fire retardant products should allow a manufacturer to use less fire retardant. A further benefit of using DRAGONITE is that it may enable the manufacturer to reduce the weight of the manufactured part ("light-weighting").

Other clays compete in the markets for partially replacing ATH, MDH, and ATO.

The Company estimates the global demand for flame retardant additives to be approximately 2.2 million metric tons. It cannot be assured that we will be successful penetrating this market.

Nucleation of Polymers. Plastics and polymers are composed of long molecular chains that form irregular, entangled coils in a melted resin, the phase in which a resin is liquid and its molecules can move about freely.

Some plastics, namely amorphous types, retain such a disordered structure upon freezing, the state in which a liquid resin becomes solid and its molecules are frozen, or locked, in place and cannot move. In other plastics, such as semi-crystalline polymers, the chains rearrange upon freezing and form partly ordered regions. Examples of semi-crystalline polymers are polyethylene (PE), polypropylene (PP), Nylon 6 and Nylon 6-6.

Crystallization of a polymer occurs as a result of nucleation, a process that starts with small, nanometer-sized domains upon which the polymer chains arrange in an orderly manner to develop larger crystals. The overall rate of crystallization of a polymer can be increased by a nucleating agent.

In plastic molding processes, especially in injection molding, the plastic part must remain in the mold until freezing. To the extent that crystallization is accelerated, the time in the mold can be reduced, thereby resulting in productivity enhancement.

We believe that DRAGONITE added to a resin at a loading of just 1% can significantly speed up the process of crystallization.

We believe DRAGONITE is one of only two products that can nucleate polyethylene. The other product, to the best of our knowledge, costs approximately \$30,000 per ton. Currently a very small portion of the polyethylene market is nucleated.

We believe DRAGONITE can also nucleate polypropylene.

It cannot be assured that we will be successful in further penetrating this market.

Table Of Contents

Reinforcement Fillers for Polymers. Many plastics are reinforced with a filler to meet the increasing performance requirements of advanced polymer applications. Plastics filled with some type of particulate or fibrous filler are classified as polymer composites. The primary purpose of reinforcement is to enhance the mechanical properties of a polymer. Reinforced plastics, in certain instances, can compete with stiffer materials like metal while also offering an opportunity to reduce the weight of a manufactured part (“light-weighting”).

We believe that:

the utilization of DRAGONITE as a reinforcing filler results in the improvement of one or more mechanical properties of a polymer such as modulus (the measure of how well a polymer resist breaking when pulled apart), strength (the measure of the stress needed to break a polymer), and impact resistance (the measure of a polymer’s resistance when impacted by a sharp and sudden stress):

DRAGONITE, at a 1% loading, creates the following effects on polyethylene: an increase in modulus of 20% - 25%; an increase in strength of up to 15%; and retention of impact resistance. DRAGONITE, at a 1% loading, creates the following effects on polypropylene: an increase in modulus of 20-25%; an increase in strength of up to 20%; and retention of impact resistance: and

DRAGONITE, due to the improvements it imparts at such low loading rates, can offer a value proposition when compared to certain traditional fillers.

We estimate the value of the high-performance filler market to be approximately \$17.2 billion. It cannot be assured that we will be successful in further penetrating this market.

Molecular Sieves and Catalysts. A molecular sieve is a material with very small holes of precise and uniform size. These holes are small enough to block large molecules while allowing small molecules to pass. Many molecular sieves are used as desiccants (substances that induce or sustain a state of dryness). Zeolites are a form of molecular sieve that are crystalline with a skeletal composed of aluminosilicates. We believe that DRAGONITE works very well with zeolites and helps entrap water and impurities both within the hollow tubular structure as well as the porous outer walls, enhancing the drying of natural gas and air, the separation of liquid from product streams, and the separation of impurities from a gas stream.

The global market for molecular sieves and other adsorbents is approximately \$2.9 billion.

Crude oil petroleum must be processed in order to make it into gasoline and other fuels. Part of that process includes cracking, whereby large hydrocarbons are broken into smaller ones. There are two general types of cracking, thermal and catalytic. Catalytic cracking involves the addition of a catalyst to speed up the cracking. The reactive nature of halloysite lends itself to be an effective catalyst especially for high sulfur oil. Halloysite can also be used as a support for catalysts, which are applied to the halloysite as a coating.

Halloysite from the Dragon Mine was mined and processed as a catalyst for petroleum cracking from 1949 to 1976.

It cannot be assured that we will be successful penetrating these markets.

Ceramics. A ceramic is any of various hard, brittle, heat-resistant and corrosion-resistant materials made by shaping and then firing a nonmetallic mineral, such as clay, at a high temperature. We market our halloysite to two ceramic markets: whiteware and technical ceramics. Whiteware is a broad class of ceramic products that are white to off-white in appearance and frequently contain a significant vitreous, or glassy, component. These include products such as fine china dinnerware, lavatory sinks and toilets, dental implants, and spark-plug insulators. Whitewares depend for their utility upon a relatively small set of properties: imperviousness to fluids, low conductivity of electricity, chemical inertness, and an ability to be formed into complex shapes. Examples of technical ceramics include ceramic disc brakes, missile nose cones, gas burner nozzles, and ballistic protection.

It cannot be assured that we will be successful in further penetrating this market.

Binders. DRAGONITE is an effective binder for traditional ceramic products. Binders are substances that improve the mechanical strength of green ceramic bodies so they can pass through production steps, before firing, without breakage. In many cases, binder additions to ceramic bodies are essential. Without them some production processes would be impossible. We believe that DRAGONITE, when used as a binder, also effectuates an improvement in the casting rate of the ceramic manufacturing process. This would equate to an increase in manufacturing efficiency.

It cannot be assured that we will be successful in further penetrating this market.

Table Of Contents

Cosmetics. Halloysite in DRAGONITE has a tubular shape that may be suited for an array of cosmetic applications. We believe the adsorptive nature of the halloysite found in DRAGONITE clay can serve as a hypoallergenic skin cleanser capable of removing unwanted toxins and oils from the skin without the need for harsh chemicals. We believe that DRAGONITE, due primarily to its presence of halloysite, is also capable of exfoliating the skin. DRAGONITE has been shown to be capable of functioning as a non-irritating carrier and release mechanism of cosmetic ingredients for a long lasting application.

It cannot be assured that we will be successful in further penetrating this market.

Cementing. The Company continues to market its DRAGONITE product to the cement admixture market as well as to consumers of high performance cement. Certain research demonstrates how DRAGONITE, when added to a cement formulation, results in significant improvements in tensile strength and compressive strength while also reducing in permeability. Cement and concrete mixtures, on their own, lack significant tensile strength and must often be reinforced with steel to achieve tensile strength required by many applications. One patent, published in April 2014 (US20140090842 A1), demonstrates how just a 1.5% loading of DRAGONITE to a cement mixture produces an increase in tensile strength of about 135%, a significant increase over a leading strength additive used in well cement. We believe the cementing market offers an attractive opportunity for DRAGONITE. The Company is pursuing a number of commercial trials with customers in the oil and gas and prefabricated-concrete industries.

It cannot be assured that we will be successful in further penetrating this market.

Controlled Release Carriers. We believe that the halloysite present in DRAGONITE clay can act as an effective carrier of active ingredients, enabling an agent to be released from the carrier over an extended time frame. We believe that this controlled release capability can be utilized in a wide array of applications including, but not limited to, anti-corrosive and anti-mold paint applications, agricultural applications, cosmetics, and certain pharmaceutical products, which would require the prevention of overdosing. In agriculture applications

DRAGONITE can provide a delivery system for often-toxic agricultural agents. Utilizing the inner lumen of the clay as a natural reservoir, DRAGONITE is able to load, store, and control the release of a range of agents, which, in turn, allows for a lower loading of substances, such as pesticides or herbicides, without sacrificing efficacy. We believe that DRAGONITE release rates can be controlled to match the duration of a growth or reproductive cycle, resulting in a reduction of the frequency of applications of an agent. We believe that DRAGONITE can be used to control the release of the following agents: pesticides, fertilizers, insecticides, fungicides, herbicides, nutrients, and growth stimulants.

According to BCC Research, the market for materials used as carriers for controlled release applications is approximately \$1.0 billion.

It cannot be assured that we will be successful in further penetrating this market.

Environmental Remediation. We believe that DRAGONITE, due to its high selectivity of toxic compounds, high porosity, high surface area, fine particle size, fast adsorption rate and high absorption capacity, can act as a sorbent in environmental remediation and emissions capture. We believe that DRAGONITE can be utilized to facilitate the remediation of environments polluted with oil, PCB's, toluene, phenols, methylene blue, chromium-6, ammonium, heavy and alkali metals, and uranium.

It cannot be assured that we will be successful in further penetrating this market.

AMIRON

The Company markets its AMIRON line of natural iron oxide-based products to the pigmentary and technical application markets. The iron oxide resource at the Dragon Mine has a high content of Fe₂O₃. We believe that the iron oxide is of high chemical purity, possesses high surface area, fine grains, dispersability, good tinting strength, enhanced color saturation, low color variation, and a low level of heavy metals content, high surface area (25 m²/g – 125 m²/g and reactivity. For these reasons, we refer to the Dragon Mine's iron oxide resource as an "advanced" natural iron oxide.

Pigments. We can produce natural iron oxide pigments. These compete with synthetic iron oxide pigments are produced from basic chemicals. The three major methods for the manufacture of synthetic iron oxides are thermal decomposition of iron salts or iron compounds, precipitation of iron salts usually accompanied by oxidation, and reduction of organic compounds by iron. Synthetic iron oxides account for approximately 80% of the market; natural iron oxides account for approximately 20%. Generally speaking, synthetic iron oxides cost much more to produce than natural iron oxides and sell for prices significantly in excess of natural iron oxides. Traditionally, natural iron oxides, due to their variance in quality, have not been able to compete with synthetic in the pigment market. We believe that the consistency of purity (high level of Fe₂O₃), desirable dispersibility, color consistency, and UV protection, as well as the lower price, of our iron oxide resource should enable us to compete to some extent with higher priced synthetic iron oxides.

Table Of Contents

We currently market our AMIRON line of advanced natural iron oxide pigments for use as artistic paint, interior and semi-transparent and opaque exterior wood stain, semi-transparent cosmetics, food contact colorants, and pharmaceutical applications. We also market to the construction, wood coatings, paints and industrial coatings, plastic and rubber markets, semi-transparent cosmetics, food contact colorants, and pharmaceutical applications.

Technical applications. Among the technical applications for our AMIRON are the following: adsorbents (iron oxide can be used to remove arsenic, copper, lead, chromium, cadmium from drinking water and to remove moisture from gases. Other applications include iron oxide for agricultural use, as an additive in pet food, and for steel casing in foundries.

We believe we have identified a market opportunity for our AMIRON to be used as a weighting agent for oil and gas drilling fluids. Currently, barite and hematite are commonly used weighting agents. The estimated current annual U.S. consumption of barite for use as a weighting agent in oil and gas drilling fluids is approximately 3 million metric tons. The Company has had one of its AMIRON products, "OH50," assessed as a weighting agent by a third-party lab focused on the testing of oilfield products. We believe the results from the testing demonstrate that AMIRON OH50 is a viable weighting agent alternative to existing solutions. A number of potential customers focused on the manufacturing and/or distribution of oilfield products are assessing AMIRON for use in this application.

The Company encounters challenges in marketing DRAGONITE. In particular, the company must compete on price and quality in relation to competitive materials.

It cannot be assured that we will be successful in further penetrating these markets.

THE SALES PROCESS

The Company sells its products using employees, agents, and distributors, selling on a global basis.

DRAGONITE

The Company markets its DRAGONITE into two general types of application markets.

The first type is a market in which halloysite has not been previously used, or is to be used as an additive in substitution for another additive, to enhance certain functionality of an application. This type of market requires a number of steps before a sale can be consummated. Like any new material that will be incorporated into a commercial manufacturing process, a significant amount of testing must be performed before DRAGONITE can be incorporated into both a manufacturing process and a product. Sales, of this type require, working with the potential customers' existing formulations, which can vary from potential customer to potential customer. This could include identifying a solution, such as (i) surface coating or (ii) when to introduce DRAGONITE into the formulation or (iii) the conditions under which it should be introduced or (iv) changes, deletions, additions, or substitutions involving other elements of the customer's formulation. Without customer collaboration, DRAGONITE could be unsuccessful in achieving the customer's goals. This process can take an extended period of time (years in the case of discoloration of polymers as a result of the introduction of DRAGONITE) and, in some cases, there is no solution.

During this process we frequently must work collaboratively with the potential customer to appropriately orient its manufacturing process to successfully incorporate our material. The length of the sales process is difficult to predict given the number of variables involved. In this type of market, price can be an important consideration and in some cases, we are not able to compete.

The second type of market is one in which halloysite clay is currently being used in traditional application markets. Within these established markets, we believe our DRAGONITE products offer an enhanced value proposition with respect to purity and other properties sought by customers. The pricing of our products relative to those of our competitors, however, will always be a significant factor in determining our ability to penetrate these markets.

AMIRON

The Company encounters the same types of challenges marketing AMIRON, as it faces in marketing DRAGONITE. In particular, the company must compete on price and quality in relation to competitive materials.

It cannot be assured that we will be successful in further penetrating these markets.

Table Of Contents

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT AND TESTING

The Company's research and development and testing efforts are focused on the continued creation of commercial applications for our halloysite-based products and our iron oxides. The Company conducts significant research and development efforts internally and occasionally through consultants. The Company also conducts product research and development collaboratively with customers and potential customers.

In 2015 and 2014, the Company spent \$181,821 and \$403,212 for testing and research, respectively.

TRADEMARKS and PATENTS

We have trademarked the name DRAGONITE and AMIRON. We believe these trademarks are important to the successful marketing of our product offering. We filed a Provisional Application for Patent in October, 2010 related to the use of nucleating agents in polyethylene. As of December 31, 2015, we have been granted this patent in Great Britain, Denmark, France and China. A patent is pending approval in the United States, Canada and Thailand.

REGULATION

The Utah Department of Natural Resources sets the guidelines for exploration and other mineral related activities based on provisions of the Mined Land Reclamation Act, Title 40-8, Utah Code Annotated 1953, as amended, and the General Rules and Rules of Practice and Procedures, R647-1 through R647-5. We have received a large mine permit from the Department. The Company does not believe that such regulations, including environmental regulations, have or will adversely affect the Company's business or have a material impact on capital expenditures, earnings and competitive position of the Company.

We carry a Mine Safety and Health Administration (MSHA) license (#4202383) for the Dragon Mine and report as required to MSHA. The Company is subject to extensive regulation by the Mine Safety and Health Administration, which was created by the Mine Safety and Health Act of 1977. The regulations generally are designed to assure the health and safety of miners and our mine is periodically inspected by MSHA. The Company does not believe that such regulations have or will adversely affect the Company's business or have a material impact on capital expenditures, earnings and competitive position of the Company.

The clays that the Company mines, including halloysite, may contain various levels of crystalline silica when mined. Crystalline silica is considered a hazardous substance under regulations promulgated by the U.S. Occupational Health and Safety Administration (OSHA) and U.S. Mine Health and Safety Administration (MSHA) and as a result is subject to permissible exposure limits (PELs), both in the mine and at the workplaces of our customers. The Company is required to provide Safety Data Sheets (SDS) at the mine and accompanying sales of products to customers. The Company must also apply hazard warning to labels of containers of the product sold to customers. Kaolin and halloysite are also subject to PELs.

On September 12, 2013, the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) released a proposed standard on respirable crystalline silica. The proposed rule would lower to the PEL to 50 micrograms per cubic meter of air ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$), which is 50% of the current PEL and consistent with the NIOSH PEL. The agency also proposed an action level of 25 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$. The Company cannot predict whether OSHA will adopt a rule and what, if any, adverse effect such rules may have on the Company's business.

The EPA has stated that it is developing a test rule under the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) to require manufacturers (which would include the Company) of certain nanoscale materials including kaolin, halloysite, and alumina (which is present in the clays mined by the company) to conduct testing for health effects, ecological effects, and environmental fate, as well as provide material characterization data. The impact of such a rule on the Company cannot be determined at this time. It seems clear, however, that if the results of the testing of particular nanomaterials indicate adverse health, ecological, or environmental effects, the EPA may seek to regulate those nanomaterials more extensively. Such regulation could include, among other things, limiting the uses of the nanoscale materials; requiring the use of personal protective equipment, such as impervious gloves and NIOSH approved respirators, and limiting environmental releases. The EPA is developing a SNUR for nanoscale materials under TSCA.

EMPLOYEES

As of December 31, 2015, Applied Minerals, Inc. and its subsidiary had 29 employees. None of our employees are covered by a collective bargaining agreement, we have never experienced a work stoppage, and we consider our labor relations to be excellent.

Table Of Contents

RISK FACTORS

AN INVESTMENT IN OUR SECURITIES IS VERY SPECULATIVE AND INVOLVES A HIGH DEGREE OF RISK. YOU SHOULD CAREFULLY CONSIDER THE FOLLOWING RISK FACTORS, ALONG WITH THE OTHER MATTERS RELATED TO RISK REFERRED TO IN THIS ANNUAL REPORT, BEFORE YOU DECIDE TO BUY OUR SECURITIES. IF YOU DECIDE TO BUY OUR SECURITIES, YOU SHOULD BE ABLE TO AFFORD A COMPLETE LOSS OF YOUR INVESTMENT.

Our business activities are subject to significant risks, including those described below. Every investor, or potential investor, in our securities should carefully consider these risks. If any of the described risks actually occurs, our business, financial position and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected. Such risks are not the only ones we face and additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to us or that we currently deem immaterial may also significantly and adversely affect our business.

SPECIFIC RISKS APPLICABLE TO APPLIED MINERALS

LOSSES, DEFICITS, GOING CONCERN.

We have experienced annual operating losses for as long as we have financial records (since 1998). For the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, the Company sustained losses from operations of \$9,805,137 and \$10,316,317, respectively. At December 31, 2015 and 2014, the Company had accumulated deficits of \$81,943,426 and \$72,138,289, respectively. We have limited cash, negative cash flow, and unprofitable operations. Accordingly, there is substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. We may need to seek additional financing to support our continued operations; however, there are no assurances that any such financing can be obtained on favorable terms, if at all, especially in light to the restrictions imposed on senior or pari passu financing by 10% PIK-Election Convertible Notes due 2018 and 10% PIK-Election Notes due in 2023. In view of these conditions, our ability to continue as a going concern may be dependent upon our ability to obtain such financing and is ultimately dependent on achieving profitable operations. The outcome of these matters cannot be predicted at this time.

MATURITY OF OUTSTANDING PIK-ELECTION CONVERTIBLE NOTES.

Unless the Company is able to generate significant cash flow, the Company may not have sufficient funds to pay outstanding PIK-Election Convertible Notes when such notes mature and unless the stock price increases significantly, the Company may not be able to force conversion of the notes before maturity.

The Company has two series of convertible, PIK notes outstanding, 10% PIK-Election Convertible Notes due 2018 ("Series A Notes") and 10% PIK-Election Notes due in 2023 ("Series 2023 Notes"). As of April 14, 2016, the outstanding balance of the Series A Notes was \$21,882,953 and the outstanding balance of the Series 2023 Notes was \$13,400,957. If the Company continues to pay interest with PIK Notes, the outstanding balances will increase significantly before maturity.

The entire principal amount of this Note and accrued interest shall be mandatorily converted into such number of Conversion Shares as determined in Section 4(e) below on the earliest date that is not earlier than one year after the Issue Date that both or the following conditions are satisfied: (i) the weighted average trading price of a share of the Issuer's Common Stock on the principal market for trading of the Issuer's Common Stock, as determined by the Issuer for the preceding ten (10) trading days, is in excess of the Strike Price (as defined in 4(e)), and (ii) either (x) a Registration Statement is effective and available for the resale of all of the Holder's Conversion Shares on the Conversion Date and each of the five (5) trading days prior to the Conversion Date and on the Conversion Date the Holder is not restricted from selling or distributing any of such Holder's Conversion Shares pursuant to the provisions of the registration rights agreement entered into contemporaneously with this Note ("Registration Rights Agreement") or (y) the Holder may sell all such Conversion Shares immediately under Rule 144 under the Securities Act.

The Series A Notes initially mature in 2018 but the maturity may, under certain circumstances, be extended until 2019 and under other circumstances may be extended until 2023.

Table Of Contents

The holders may convert the Series A Notes at any time. The Series A Notes are mandatorily convertible by the Company at any time that is two years after issuance only if either of the following conditions exist: (A) (i) the maturity date of the Series A Notes has not been extended, (ii) the VWAP over the preceding 10 trading days is at or in excess of \$1.00 per share, (iii) the closing market price of the common stock is at or in excess of \$1.00 per share on the day before a mandatory conversion notice is issued, (iv) all outstanding amounts, if any, of the Series 2023 Notes or replacement financing for the Series 2023 Notes have been converted into common stock and (v) a registration statement is effective or a holder of the Series A Notes may sell the conversion shares under Rule 144 or (B) (i) the VWAP over the preceding 20 trading days is in excess of the greater of \$1.40 per share, the conversion price of the Series 2023 Notes (currently a \$1.36 per share, adjusted for the sale of the Series A Notes), if any, and the conversion price of the Replacement Financing, if any, (ii) the closing market price of the common stock is in excess of the greater of \$1.40 per share, the conversion price of the Series 2023 Notes, if any, and the conversion price of the replacement Financing, if any, on the day before a mandatory conversion notice is issued, (iii) all outstanding amounts, if any, of the Series 2023 Notes or Replacement Financing have been converted into common stock and (v) a registration statement is effective or a holder of the Series A Notes may sell the conversion shares under Rule 144. The Series A Notes can mature earlier in the event of an Event of Default under the terms of the Series A Notes. There is not now, and the Company does not anticipate the occurrence of an Event of Default.

PENETRATING MARKETS

For the Company to survive, we must penetrate our target markets and achieve sales levels and generate sufficient cash flow to break-even. To be a success, we must do better than that. As outlined below, and in light of the disclosures above, there is significant uncertainty that we will be able to do so.

Many of the applications for which we are selling for our halloysite-based material are applications for which halloysite has not been used previously. As a result, there are a number of special obstacles that we need to overcome to achieve sales in these markets. It maybe necessary to convince manufacturers to change their manufacturing processes and substitute our halloysite-based material for the product they are currently using, and in some cases, to use our halloysite-based material where no product was used before.

The process beginning with introducing our halloysite-based material to manufacturers and ending with the manufacturers using our products in their production (i) can encounter inertia, skepticism, and different corporate priorities, (ii) requires educating potential customers (some of whom can be resistant) on whether our product actually works for the manufacturer's particular need, the benefits of our material, and how to test and use our material (how much to add, when to add, and so forth), and (iii) often requires working with potential customers to assure that the potential customers test the materials under proper conditions to assure that our products provide the desired results, do not adversely affect the customer's product and do not interfere with the other constituents of the customer's product. In summary, while we believe that our halloysite-based material often adds significant value, we can say two things about the process that ends with manufacturers using our halloysite-based material: it can take a long time and there is no certainty that we will be able to convince enough manufacturers to use our halloysite-base material.

Similarly, we are trying to sell our iron oxides, which are natural, into markets where synthetic iron oxides have been used in the past. In trying to make such sales, we encounter the same or similar types of problems described in the preceding paragraph

Other applications for which we are selling for our halloysite-based material and our iron oxides are applications for which halloysite or natural iron oxides have been used previously. To penetrate these markets, we face the difficulties encountered by any company trying to enter an established market competing against established players that may be in better financial condition than we are and are already familiar to, and in many cases have relationships with, the potential customers, which may make such competitors more attractive than us. While we believe that in many cases, our products are superior to those already in the market, there is uncertainty that we will be able to penetrate those markets to a sufficient degree. Because individual halloysite and iron oxide deposits can differ in significant respects, we may have to demonstrate that our halloysite or iron oxide will actually work for the manufacturer's particular need and thus we can encounter the problems discussed in the second paragraph of this section.

COMPETITION

Competition from Other Miners of Halloysite

Currently there is limited competition involving the sale of halloysite-based products in our advanced applications target markets. If our DRAGONITE penetrates our advanced application target markets, we may face significant competition from such competitors as well as non-halloysite solutions often sold by larger, more established companies. The basis for competition is performance and price. If we are successful in penetrating our advanced applications target markets, we may face significant competition from operators of halloysite clay deposits in other locations around the world.

We believe that our Dragon Mine property is one of only two large-sized halloysite deposits in the world.

Table Of Contents

The degree or extent to which the halloysite other deposits can or will compete with our halloysite-based products is subject to a variety of factors, including the following:

Deposits of halloysite are formed under a variety of geological conditions of hydrothermal alteration and weathering. As a result, the nature and extent of impurities, the length of the tube, thickness of the walls, and the size of the pore or lumen can all vary. In many deposits, the halloysite is mixed with other clays, limiting its usefulness for certain applications. Other deposits can contain significant amounts of crystalline silica, which may limit the usefulness for certain applications and/or require additional processing. Other deposits contain more iron oxide than is acceptable, requiring additional processing

Some deposits are subject to difficulties relating to mining. Some deposits are located in geographically isolated areas and some deposits can only be mined by handpicking.

Nevertheless, there are many other deposits of halloysite around the world and in the U.S, including one adjacent to the Dragon Mine property, which, to our knowledge, sells halloysite as a component in cement at a relatively low price. There is a commercial halloysite mine in New Zealand. There are other production mining operations in China and Turkey that can produce relatively high quality halloysite at a low cost. The company that purchases our halloysite for a specialty zeolite adsorption application also uses halloysite from the Turkish mine for the same application. There are other small mines known to us. Whether halloysite from any of these deposits will compete with our advanced halloysite-based products, or the extent to which they can compete, is not clear. While, based on what is known to the Company at this point, the Company does not believe that competition from the other halloysite mines known to us will significantly adversely affect sales, prices or margins in advanced applications, such competition could arise and could adversely affect sales and margins and such competition would be based on performance and/or price.

Competition from Suppliers of Alternative Solutions to Halloysite

When we market halloysite for use in situations halloysite has not been previously used, or is to be used as an additive in substitution for another additive, to enhance certain functionality of an application we will face competition from suppliers of other solutions and the competition will be based on performance and/or price.

Iron Oxide

We expect to compete with companies that, in some cases, may be larger and better capitalized than us. Because individual iron oxide deposits can differ in significant respects, our iron oxide may not be suitable for certain uses and

we may have to demonstrate that our halloysite or iron oxide will actually work for the manufacturer's particular need and we can encounter the problems in getting manufactures to test our product and if successful, to use our iron oxide.

Pigments

There is significant competition within the iron oxide pigment market. We will try to compete directly with synthetic iron oxide pigments in the coatings markets by selling our pigments at a lower price. In other iron oxide pigment markets, there is very little product differentiation with competition focused primarily on price.

THE COMPANY'S SUCCESS DEPENDS ON OUR CEO

Andre Zeitoun is the President and CEO of the Company. Mr. Zeitoun has played a critical role in leading the effort to commercialize our halloysite-based products iron oxides products. If the Company loses the service of Mr. Zeitoun, there is no assurance that the Company would be able to attract and retain a qualified replacement.

OTHER MORE GENERALIZED RISKS AND UNCERTAINTIES

The actual Dragon Mine profitability or economic feasibility may be adversely affected by any of the following factors, among others:

- Changes in tonnage, grades and characteristics of mineralization to be mined and processed;
- Higher input and labor costs;
 - The quality of the data on which engineering assumptions were made;
- Adverse geotechnical conditions;
- Availability and cost of adequate and skilled labor force and supply and cost of water and power;
- Availability and terms of financing;
- Environmental or other government laws and regulations related to the Dragon Mine;
- Changes in tax laws;

Table Of Contents

Weather or severe climate impacts;
Potential delays relating to social and community issues;
Industrial accidents, including in connection with the operation of mining transportation equipment and accidents associated with the preparation and ignition of blasting operations, milling equipment and conveyor systems;
Underground fires or floods;
Unexpected geological formations or conditions (whether in mineral or gaseous form);
Ground and water conditions;
Accidents in underground operations;
Failure of mining pit slopes;
Seismic activity; and
Other natural phenomena, such as lightning, cyclonic or storms, floods or other inclement weather conditions.

THERE IS COMPREHENSIVE FEDERAL, STATE AND LOCAL REGULATION OF THE EXPLORATION INDUSTRY THAT COULD HAVE A NEGATIVE IMPACT OUR MINING OPERATIONS.

Exploration and mining operations are subject to federal, state and local laws relating to the protection of the environment, including laws regulating removal of natural resources from the ground and the discharge of materials into the environment. Exploration and mining operations and some of the products we sell are also subject to federal, state and/or local laws and regulations that seek to maintain health and safety standards. No assurance can be given that environmental standards imposed by federal, state or local authorities will not be changed or that any such changes would not have material adverse effects on our activities, including mine closure. Moreover, compliance with such laws may cause substantial delays or require capital outlays in excess of those anticipated, thus causing an adverse effect on our financial position.

Additionally, we may be subject to liability for pollution or other environmental damages that we may elect not to insure against due to prohibitive premium costs and other reasons.

PROPERTIES

PRINCIPAL OFFICE

The corporate office is located at 110 Greene Street, Suite 1101, New York, N.Y., 10012.

MINING PROPERTIES

The following section describes our right, title, or claim to our properties and each property's location. This section also discusses our present plans for exploration of the properties.

DRAGON MINE

The Dragon Mine property, located in Juab County, Utah, within the Tintic Mining District, has been principally exploited for halloysite clay and iron oxide. It is located approximately 2 miles southwest of Eureka, Utah and can be accessed via state highway and county road. The Union Pacific Railroad has a spur approximately 2 miles from the property. Electrical power is located approximately 1.5 miles from the site and there was no evidence of a water source on the property except in the mine shaft.

The property is located in the Tintic District of Utah, covering approximately 267 acres with a large mining permit covering 40 acres allowing for the extraction of minerals. The property consists of 38 patented and six unpatented mining claims located in the following sections: T10S, R2W, sections 29, 30, 31, and T10S, R3W, Section 36, all relative to the Salt Lake Base Meridian. The Company pays approximately \$800 in annual maintenance fees to the U.S. Department of Interior Bureau of Land Management to maintain rights to its unpatented claims. The BLM Claim Numbers are: UMC385543, UMC 385544, UMC394659, UMC394660, UMC408539, and UMC408540. The Company has no underlying royalty agreements with any third-party with respect to the Dragon Mine. 267. We leased the property in 2001 and on August 18, 2005, we purchased the property for \$500,000 in cash. As more fully explained in the "Business" section, the property has two mining areas, the Dragon Pit, which contains high purity halloysite, Dragon mixed clays and iron oxide and the Western Area, which contains mixed clays and iron oxides. On the property, there are also five large waste piles containing significant amounts of clay.

The Company has two dry-process facilities at its Dragon Mine property. One facility, dedicated primarily to processing the iron oxide resource and occasionally used to process halloysite, has a capacity of up to 45,000 ton per year for certain types of processing and includes a Hosokawa Alpine mill. The other facility is dedicated to the halloysite clay resource and has an annual capacity of up to 10,000 tons per year.

Table Of Contents

We believe the physical plant and equipment utilized at the Dragon Mine are in satisfactory condition to continue our current mining activity. The Company continually reviews the adequacy of its physical plant and equipment inventory and expects to invest accordingly to ensure that the size and quality of its physical plant and equipment can meet its needs. Currently, our physical plant includes, but is not limited to, two processing mills, a dry house, a site office, a general storage facility, an equipment repair facility, and a structure housing three IR compressors, which are used to power the mill and certain drilling equipment used underground. Our mining equipment includes, but is not limited to, a road header, an underground drill, a deep drill, a Scooptrans, a skid steer, a front-end loader and a number of other pieces traditionally used to mine underground.

There are some pieces of equipment we choose to rent on a daily basis rather than own or lease to own. The Company uses diesel fuel as its primary source of power and has water transported to the property from an external source. The property has sufficient access to roads to enable the transportation of materials and products.

As of the filing of this report, the Company was classified as an exploration stage company for purposes of Industry Guide 7 of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission.

Under Industry Guide 7, companies engaged in significant mining operations are classified into three categories, referred to as “stages”- exploration, development, and production. Exploration stage includes all companies engaged in the search for mineral deposits (reserves). In order to be classified as a development or production stage company, a company must have already established reserves. Unless a company has established reserves, it cannot be classified as a development or production stage company, notwithstanding the nature and extent of development-type or production- type activities that have been undertaken or completed.

Under Industry Guide 7, a “reserve” is “that part of a mineral deposit which could be economically and legally extracted or produced at the time of the reserve determination.” Generally speaking, a company may not declare reserves, unless, among other requirements, competent professional engineers conduct a detailed engineering and economic study and prepare a “bankable” or “final” feasibility study that “demonstrates that a mineral deposit can be mined profitably at a commercial rate.”

The Company commissioned a study of “resources” under the JORC Code of the Australasian Code for Reporting Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves. That study indicated the existence of JORC “resources” of halloysite clay and iron oxide. A JORC resource is defined as a “mineral deposit in such form, grade and quantity that there are reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction,” a lower standard than that used for a final feasibility study.

Significant additional steps, including the demonstration that the Company has and can penetrate markets, will be necessary before a “bankable” or “final” feasibility study can be prepared for the Company.

Despite the fact that the Company has not established reserves, the Company has mined, processed and sold, and intends to continue to mine, process, and sell, halloysite clay and iron oxide from the Dragon Mine.

For purposes of Industry Guide 7, a consequence of the absence of reserves is that the mining company, such as the Company, is deemed to lack an objective basis to assert that it has a deposit with mineralization that can be economically and legally extracted or produced and sold to produce revenue.

IDAHO PROPERTIES

The Company has entered into a contract to sell approximately 705 acres located in Mullan, Idaho, for a net \$380,000. The Company, through its 53% ownership of Park Copper and Gold Mining Company Limited, a Idaho corporation and an administratively dissolved subsidiary of the Company, owns an interest in 100 acres of patented mining claims. The Company is pursuing the monetization of its indirect ownership of these mining claims.

LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

As of the date of this report, there is no pending or threatened litigation. We may become involved in or subject to, routine litigation, claims, disputes, proceedings and investigations in the ordinary course of business, could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, cash flows or results of operations.

MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

The information concerning mine safety violations or other regulatory matters required by Section 1503(a) of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act and this Item is included in Exhibit 95 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015.

Table Of Contents**MARKET PRICES FOR OUR COMMON STOCK**

Our Common Stock is quoted on OTCQB under the symbol “AMNL”.

The following table sets forth the high and low bid quotations per share of our Common Stock for the periods indicated. The high and low bid quotations reflect inter- dealer prices, without retail mark-up, mark-down or commission and may not necessarily represent actual transactions.

| 2014 | High | Low |
|----------------|-------------|------------|
| First Quarter | \$ 1.09 | \$ 0.69 |
| Second Quarter | \$ 0.85 | \$ 0.60 |
| Third Quarter | \$ 0.86 | \$ 0.67 |
| Fourth Quarter | \$ 0.78 | \$ 0.59 |

| 2015 | High | Low |
|----------------|-------------|------------|
| First Quarter | \$ 0.74 | \$ 0.63 |
| Second Quarter | \$ 0.75 | \$ 0.54 |
| Third Quarter | \$ 0.56 | \$ 0.22 |
| Fourth Quarter | \$ 0.43 | \$ 0.16 |

| 2016 | High | Low |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|------------|
| First Quarter | \$ 0.33 | \$ 0.15 |
| April 1, 2016 through April 14, 2016 | \$ 0.23 | \$ 0.15 |

Record Holders

As of December 31, 2015, there were approximately 908 holders of record of our common stock. This number does not include an indeterminate number of shareholders whose shares are held by brokers in street name.

Dividends

Since we became a reporting company in 2002, we have never declared or paid any cash dividend on our common stock. We have no current plans to declare dividends and we are not subject to any restrictions or limitations relating to the declaration or payment of dividends other than those imposed by state corporate laws applicable to corporations generally.

EQUITY COMPENSATION PLANS

On November 20, 2012, the shareholders of the Company approved the adoption of the Applied Minerals, Inc. 2012 Long-Term Incentive Plan (“LTIP”) and the Short-Term Incentive Plan (STIP”) and the performance criteria used in setting performance goals for awards intended to be performance-based under Code Section 162(m). Under the LTIP, 8,900,000 shares are authorized for issuance. The STIP does not refer to a particular number of shares, but would use the shares authorized in the LTIP for issuance under the STIP. The CEO, the CFO, named executive officers, and directors, among others, are eligible to participate in the LTIP and STIP. Prior to the adoption of the LTIP and STIP, stock options were granted under individual arrangements between the Company and the grantees and approved by the Board of Directors.

Table Of Contents**Equity Compensation Information****As of December 31, 2015**

| | Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants, and rights | Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights | Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a)) |
|--|---|--|--|
| | (a) | (b) | (c) |
| Equity compensation plans approved by security holders | 6,063,061 | \$ 1.27 | 1,151,133 |
| Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders | 12,622,520 (1) | \$ 0.87 | NA |
| Total | 18,685,581 | \$ 1.01 | |

(1) Options to purchase common stock were issued under individual compensation plans prior to the adoption of the LTIP as follows: (a) 9,487,930 options were granted to Material Advisors LLC, the entity that provided management personnel to the Company from 2009 to 2013. Mr. Zeitoun was allocated 60% of the options and the other members of Material Advisors LLC, Christopher Carney and Eric Basroon (Mr. Zeitoun's brother-in-law and Vice President of Business Development), were allocated 20% each. 6,583,277 options have an exercise price of \$.70 per share, vested over three years from 2009-2011, and have a ten-year term. 2,904,653 have an exercise price of \$.83 per share, vested over one year in 2012, and have a ten-year term; (b) 400,481 options were granted to directors in four grants during 2011 and 2012 with exercise prices ranging from \$.83 per share to \$1.24 per share. The options had vesting periods of one year and have five-year terms. 430,481 of these options were still outstanding at December 31, 2015; (c) 650,000 options were granted in two grants to a now-former director during 2008 and 2009 in his capacity as an employee and a consultant. The exercise price was \$.70 per share, the options vested immediately and have a ten-year term; (d) 1,622,769 options were granted to employees and consultants in five grants during 2011 and 2012. The exercise prices range from \$.78 per share to \$2.00 per share, vesting periods

ranged from one to three years, and the terms are five or ten years; and (e) 461,340 options were granted to an investment bank in April of 2011 for financial advisory services provided to the Company. The exercise price of the options was \$1.15 per share, they vested immediately and has a term of ten years. All of the foregoing options had an exercise price at or above the market price of the common stock on the date of grant.

Table Of Contents**MARKET PRICES FOR OUR COMMON STOCK**

| | Dec-10 | Dec-11 | Dec-12 | Dec-13 | Dec-14 | Dec-15 |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Applied Minerals, Inc. | \$ 100 | \$ 159 | \$ 193 | \$ 138 | \$ 91 | \$ 35 |
| iShares Russell Microcap ® Index ETF | \$ 100 | \$ 89 | \$ 105 | \$ 150 | \$ 154 | \$ 144 |
| S&P Metals & Mining Index ETF | \$ 100 | \$ 72 | \$ 67 | \$ 63 | \$ 47 | \$ 23 |

* Cumulative return assumes a \$100 investment of each respective security at December 31, 2010.

SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

| Year Ended December 31 (in 000's except per share data) | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|---|--------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|
| Revenue | \$507.5 | \$234.2 | \$54.8 | \$165.7 | \$93.0 |
| Loss from continuing operations | \$(9,805.1) | \$(10,316.3) | \$(13,063.5) | \$(9,732.4) | \$(7,424.5) |
| Net loss | \$(9,805.1) | \$(10,316.3) | \$(13,063.5) | \$(9,732.4) | \$(7,430.3) |
| Loss from continuing operations - basic | \$(0.10) | \$(0.11) | \$(0.14) | \$(0.11) | \$(0.10) |
| Net loss - basic | \$(0.10) | \$(0.11) | \$(0.14) | \$(0.11) | \$(0.10) |
| Loss from continuing operations - diluted | \$(0.10) | \$(0.11) | \$(0.14) | \$(0.11) | \$(0.10) |
| Net loss - diluted | \$(0.10) | \$(0.11) | \$(0.14) | \$(0.11) | \$(0.10) |
| Cash and equivalents | \$1,803.1 | \$10,701.7 | \$8,685.6 | \$3,356.1 | \$10,170.5 |
| Total assets | \$8,339.4 | \$18,457.7 | \$15,215.3 | \$7,818.5 | \$12,874.8 |
| Long-term liabilities | \$22,245.4 | \$23,119.0 | \$11,727.4 | \$2,129.4 | \$3,452.8 |

| | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|---------------|---------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Shareholders' equity (deficit) | \$ (15,739.7) | \$ (7,517.0) | \$ 1,486.6 | \$ 3,966.2 | \$ 8,828.4 |
|--------------------------------|---------------|---------------|------------|------------|------------|

QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The Company has no virtually exposure to fluctuations in interest rates or foreign currencies.

CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS

ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

During the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, there were no disagreements with our independent registered public accounting firms.

Table Of Contents

CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

We evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Exchange Act, as of December 31, 2015. Our principal executive and financial officers supervised and participated in the evaluation. Based on the evaluation, our principal executive and financial officers each concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective in providing reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's forms and rules as of December 31, 2015.

INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) of the Exchange Act. Internal control over financial reporting is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of consolidated financial statements for external purposes in accordance with GAAP. Our internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that:

- pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of our assets;
- provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP, and that our receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of our management and directors; and
- provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

MANAGEMENT'S ANNUAL REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

Our management, including the chief executive officer and principal financial officer, concluded that the Company's internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2015. In arriving at that conclusion, we

considered the criteria established in the 2013 *Internal Control—Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (“COSO”) and we performed a complete assessment as outlined in *Commission Guidance Regarding Management’s Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Under Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act* (“SOX”).

In performing our assessment, we identified the risks that most likely affect reliable financial reporting and are most likely to have a material impact on the company’s consolidated financial statements, documented each business process within the risk area, determined the control points related to the business process and tested the design and effectiveness of each control. In addition to process (transactional) level controls, we evaluated entity level controls to determine if compensating controls mitigated any process level risks. Entity level controls include a broad range of non-transactional activities including account reconciliations, management review of results, the company’s Code of Conduct and Audit Committee review of practices and results.

SEC Release 33-8809 defines “material weakness” as a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the registrant’s financial statement will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. SEC release 33829 defines “significant deficiency” as a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those responsible for oversight of the registrant’s financial reporting.

CHANGES IN INTERNAL CONTROLS OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

There were no significant changes in internal controls over financial reporting. Applied Minerals Inc.’s disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of December 31, 2015 and 2014.

Table Of Contents**INFORMATION ABOUT DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS****Identification of Directors and Officers**

The following table provides the names, positions, ages and principal occupations of our current directors, and our executive officers.

| Name and Position with The Company | Age | Director¹/Officer Since | Principal Occupation |
|---|------------|--|--|
| John F. Levy | 60 | Non-executive Chairman since August 2009, Director since January 2008 | CEO of Board Advisory |
| Robert T. Betz | 74 | Director since 2014 | Owner, Personal Care Ingredients President, Mario Concha & Associates, LLC |
| Mario Concha | 75 | Director since 2013 | President, IBS Capital, LLC |
| David A. Taft | 59 | Director since 2008 | Professional Investor |
| Bradley Tirpak | 46 | Director since 2015 | Portfolio Manager at Genifor Capital Management |
| Ali Zamani | 36 | Director since 2014 | President and CEO of Applied Minerals, Inc. |
| Andre M. Zeitoun | 43 | Director, President and CEO since January 2009 | Chief Financial Officer |
| Christopher T. Carney | 45 | Officer since August 2015 | General Counsel |
| William Gleeson | 73 | Officer since September 2011 | |

- (1) The directors are elected to serve until the next annual meeting of shareholders. Officers serve at the pleasure of the Board.

Background of Directors and Officers*John F. Levy, Non-Executive Chairman and Director*

Since May 2005, Mr. Levy has served as the Chief Executive Officer of Board Advisory, a consulting firm that advises public companies in the areas of corporate governance, corporate compliance, financial reporting, and financial strategies. Mr. Levy currently serves on the board of directors of three public companies including Applied Minerals. Mr. Levy has been a director of China Commercial Credit, a publicly held Chinese micro-lender, since 2013. . Mr. Levy has been a director of Takung Art Co., Ltd. since March, 2016. The Hong Kong-based company is an online trading platform for acquiring shared ownership in Asian fine art, jewelry and precious gems. From 2009 through February 2015, Mr. Levy was a director and audit committee member of Applied Energetics, Inc. (AERG), a

publicly-traded company that specialized in the development and application of high power lasers, high voltage electronics, advanced optical systems, and energy management systems technologies, since 2009. From 2006 to 2013, Mr. Levy was a director and chair of the audit committee of Gilman Ciocia, Inc. (GTAX), a publicly traded financial planning and tax preparation firm and served as lead director from 2007 to 2013. From 2010 to 2012, he served as director of Brightpoint, Inc. (CELL), a publicly traded company that provides supply chain solutions to leading stakeholders in the wireless industry. From 2008 through 2010, he served as a director of Applied Natural Gas Fuels, Inc. (formerly PNG Ventures, Inc.). From 2006 to 2010, Mr. Levy served as a director and Audit Committee chairman of Take Two Interactive Software, Inc., a public company, which is a global developer and publisher of video games best known for the Grand Theft Auto franchise. Mr. Levy served as Interim Chief Financial Officer from 2005 to 2006 for Universal Food & Beverage Company, which filed a voluntary petition under the provisions of Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Act on August 31, 2007. Mr. Levy is a frequent speaker on the roles and responsibilities of Board members and audit committee members. He has authored *The 21st Century Director: Ethical and Legal Responsibilities of Board Members*; *Acquisitions to Grow the Business: Structure, Due Diligence, Financing*; *Creating the Best Projections You Can: Insights Techniques*; and *Ethics and Sustainability: A 4-way Path to Success* and *Finance and Innovation: Reinvent Your Department and Your Company*. All five courses have initially been presented to various state accounting societies.

Mr. Levy is a Certified Public Accountant with nine years experience with the national public accounting firms of Ernst & Young, Laventhol & Horwath, and Grant Thornton. Mr. Levy has a B.S. degree in economics from the Wharton School of the University of Pennsylvania and received his M.B.A. from St. Joseph's University (PA).

Table Of Contents

Key attributes, experience and skills: Mr. Levy has over 35 years of professional experience involving accounting, auditing, financial reporting, corporate governance and compliance matters, including having served as Chief Financial Officer of both public and private companies for over 13 years. Mr. Levy brings to the board expertise in corporate governance and compliance matters along with extensive experience gained from numerous senior executive positions with public companies. Further, Mr. Levy's service on the boards of directors of public companies in a variety of industries also allows him to bring a diverse blend of experiences to the Company's board.

Robert T. Betz

From 2000 through his retirement in 2002, Mr. Betz was the President of Cognis Corp., the North American division of Cognis GmbH, a \$4 billion worldwide supplier of specialty chemicals and nutritional ingredients spun off from Henkel AG & Company ("Henkel"). From 1989 through 2000, Mr. Betz held a number of management positions at Henkel including Executive VP and President of its Emery Group, a leading manufacturer of oleochemicals, and President of its Chemicals Group for North America.

From 1979 through 1989, Mr. Betz worked in a number of manufacturing and operations capacities for the Emery Division of National Distillers and Chemicals Corp., eventually rising to President of the division. Mr. Betz began his career in the specialty chemicals industry by joining Emery Industries in 1963. Between 1963 and 1979 he worked for the company as Market Development Representative, Manager of Corporate Planning, Vice President of Operations - Emery (Canada), Manager of Commercial Development, and General Manager of Business Groups. Emery Industries was sold to National Distillers and Chemicals Corp. in 1979.

Since 2003, Mr. Betz has been the owner of Personal Care Ingredients, LLC, a privately owned marketer of natural products to the personal care industry. Mr. Betz also serves as a director for Bio-Botanica, a manufacturer of natural extracts, and The Plaza Group, a marketer of petrochemicals.

Mr. Betz holds a B.S. in Chemical Engineering and an M.B.A. from the University of Cincinnati. He's also attended the Program for Management Development at Harvard University.

Key attributes experience and skills. During Mr. Betz's career, he has been involved in developing new products or new markets for existing products. Several of these products grew into sizeable businesses. He managed multiple chemical manufacturing facilities and managed a multi-billion dollar polyethylene business. He was responsible for profit and loss for businesses with sales of \$900 million. While heading the chemical operations, he was responsible for all aspects of the business: manufacturing, sales, R&D, IT, HS&E, HR, purchasing, engineering, and legal. His career has continuously involved developing, manufacturing, and selling products directed at most of the markets that Applied Minerals is attempting to penetrate. Since his retirement, he has served on the boards of three chemical-related, private companies: Plaza, Syrgis, and Bio-Botanica..

Mario Concha, Director

Mr. Concha is the President of Mario Concha and Associates, LLC, a firm providing consulting services to senior executives and members of boards of directors. In addition to providing consulting services, he has served as a director of The Plaza Group, a chemical marketer; Arclin, Ltd., a manufacturer of specialty resins; and Auro Resources, Corp, a mineral exploration company with holdings in Colombia's gold region. Prior to founding Mario Concha and Associates in 2005, Mr. Concha was an officer of Georgia Pacific Corporation and president of its Chemical Division from 1998 to 2005. Prior to Georgia Pacific, Mr. Concha participated in the formation of GS Industries, a manufacturer of specialty steels for the mining industry, through a leveraged buyout of Armco Inc's Worldwide Grinding Systems Division. He then served as President of its International Division from 1992 to 1998. From 1985 to 1992, Mr. Concha was Vice President-International for Occidental Chemical Corporation. Prior to OxyChem, he served in several senior management positions at Union Carbide Corporation in the United States and overseas.

Mr. Concha is a graduate of Cornell University with a degree in Chemical Engineering. He is a member of the American Chemical Society and of the American Institute of Chemical Engineers.

Key attributes, experience and skills: Mr. Concha has over 40 years experience as a hands-on corporate executive. He has first-hand industry knowledge, gained from senior executive positions in various industries, including chemicals, plastics, forest products, metals, and mining. In addition to manufacturing operations, he has had extensive involvement in marketing, sales, and finance. Mr. Concha also brings corporate governance experience, having served on both public and private company boards.

David A. Taft, Director

Mr. Taft is the President of IBS Capital LLC, a private investment company based in Boston, Massachusetts, which he founded in 1990. Prior to founding IBS Capital LLC, Mr. Taft spent ten years working in corporate finance with Drexel Burnham Lambert, Winthrop Financial and Merrill Lynch. Mr. Taft is a graduate of Amherst College and Amos Tuck School of Business Administration at Dartmouth College.

Table Of Contents

Key attributes, experience and skills: Mr. Taft has over 30 years experience as a corporate investment banker and the manager of IBS Capital LLC, a private investment fund. Mr. Taft brings significant leadership, financial expertise, business development skills, and corporate restructuring experience to the Company's Board of Directors. The investments Mr. Taft has made through his management of the IBS Turnaround Fund has, on occasion, required him to advise companies on issues such as corporate governance, capital raising, balance sheet restructuring, and general business strategy. IBS Capital LLC, under Mr. Taft's direction, has been a large shareholder of Applied Minerals, Inc. for a number of years.

Bradley Tirpak, Director

Mr. Tirpak is a professional investor with twenty years of investing experience who has been a portfolio manager at Credit Suisse First Boston, Caxton Associates, and Sigma Capital Management. He is currently the Managing Member of various investment partnerships. Between 1993 and 1996, he was the founder and CEO of Access Telecom, Inc., an international telecommunications company doing business in Mexico. Mr. Tirpak has served as a director and Chairman of the Board of Directors of Full House Resorts, Inc. since 2014. Mr. Tirpak served as a director of USA Technologies, Inc. from 2010 to 2012. Mr. Tirpak earned a B.S.M.E. from Tufts University and earned his M.B.A. from Georgetown University.

Key attributes, experience and skills: Mr. Tirpak has knowledge and experience in managing investments and serving on public company boards. In addition to his financial expertise, Mr. Tirpak has extensive experience in corporate governance, strategy and capital allocation.

Ali Zamani, Director

Ali Zamani has served as a Portfolio Manager at Gefinor Capital Management since February 2014 and as Chief Investment Officer of the GEF Opportunities Fund, an opportunistic, value-oriented, liquid public markets fund. Prior to Gefinor, Ali Zamani was a Principal at SLZ Capital Management, a New York-based asset management firm, from July 2012 to December 2013. Prior thereto, he was a Portfolio Manager at Goldman Sachs from 2004 to 2012 where he focused on the energy, materials, utilities and industrials sectors. From 2002 to 2004, Mr. Zamani was a mergers and acquisitions analyst at Dresdner Kleinwort Wasserstein, a boutique New York-based investment bank focused on the energy and utilities sectors.

Mr. Zamani holds a B.S. in Economics from the Wharton School at the University of Pennsylvania, where he graduated magna cum laude.

Key attributes, experience and skills: Mr. Zamani has over 14 years of financial industry experience, including 8 years as a senior investment professional at Goldman Sachs & Co. Mr. Zamani brings significant capital markets expertise, including extensive mining and industrial sector investing experience. Additionally, Mr. Zamani brings a unique shareholder/investor perspective to the board having been a major shareholder in numerous similar companies over his career.

Andre M. Zeitoun, Chief Executive Officer, President, Director

Mr. Zeitoun is President and CEO and has served in those positions since January 1, 2009.

Mr. Zeitoun was a Portfolio Manager at SAC Capital/CR Intrinsic Investors from March 2007 through December 2008. At SAC, he led a team of six professionals and managed a several hundred million dollar investment portfolio focused on companies that required a balance sheet recapitalization and/or operational turnaround. Many of these investments required Mr. Zeitoun to take an active role in the turnaround process. From 2003 to 2006, Mr. Zeitoun headed the Special Situations Group at RBC Dain Rauscher as a Senior Vice President and head of the division. He managed all group matters related to sales, trading, research and the investment of the firm's proprietary capital. From 1999 to 2003 Mr. Zeitoun was a Senior Vice President at Solomon Smith Barney. In this role, Mr. Zeitoun led a Special Situations sales trading research team serving middle market institutions. Mr. Zeitoun is a graduate of Canisius College.

Key attributes, experience and skills: Mr. Zeitoun has over 16 years experience identifying, allocating capital to, and taking an active role in corporate situations requiring a balance sheet recapitalization and/or operational restructuring. Since January 2009, Mr. Zeitoun has spearheaded effort to stabilize the Company's balance sheet, raise critically needed capital, engage industry-leading consultants to quantify and characterize the Company's Dragon Mine resource, increase processing capabilities, establish a marketing infrastructure, and lead the marketing effort. During his time as President and Chief Executive Officer of Applied Minerals, Inc., Mr. Zeitoun has developed a level of expertise in the area of the commercialization of halloysite and iron oxide applications.

Christopher T. Carney, Chief Financial Officer

From February 2009 through May 2012, Mr. Carney was the Interim Chief Financial Officer of the Company. From May 2012 through August 2015, Mr. Carney was a VP of Business Development for the Company. Mr. Carney was reappointed Chief Financial Officer of the Company in August 2015 when previous Chief Financial Officer, Nat Krishnamurti, resigned. From March 2007 until December 2008, Mr. Carney was an analyst at SAC Capital/CR Intrinsic Investors, LLC, a hedge fund, where he evaluated the debt and equity securities of companies undergoing financial restructurings and operational turnarounds. From March 2004 until October 2006, Mr. Carney was a distressed debt and special situations analyst for RBC Dain Rauscher Inc., a registered broker-dealer. Mr. Carney graduated with a BA in Computer Science from Lehman College and an MBA in Finance from Tulane University.

Table Of Contents

William Gleeson, General Counsel

Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Gleeson was a partner at K&L Gates, LLP for eleven years, focusing on various areas of corporate and securities law. From January 2008 until September 2011 when he joined the Company, he served as Applied Minerals, Inc.'s outside counsel, a time during which he acquired an in-depth understanding of the Company's business. Mr. Gleeson received his J.D. from the University of Michigan, from which he also received his undergraduate degree.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 requires our officers, directors, and any person who beneficially owns more than 10% of our common stock to file reports of ownership and changes in ownership with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Executive officers, directors, and more than 10% shareholders are required by regulation to furnish us with copies of all Section 16(a) forms which they file. To the best of our knowledge, all filings were made timely in 2015 except for one filing each by Messrs. Taft (and his controlled entity, IBS Capital), Betz, Concha, Zamani, and Levy where the filings were deemed late because the SEC's EDGAR filing system changed the filing date to the next day.

Code of Ethics

We have adopted a Code of Conduct and Ethics for our Chief Executive Officer and our senior financial officers. A copy of our Code of Conduct and Ethics is posted on our website at www.appliedminerals.com and can be obtained at no cost by mail at: Applied Minerals, Inc., 110 Greene Street, Suite 1101, New York, New York, 10012. We believe our Code of Conduct and Ethics is reasonably designed to deter wrongdoing and promote honest and ethical conduct; provide full, fair, accurate, timely, and understandable disclosure in public reports; comply with applicable laws; ensure prompt internal reporting of code violations; and provide accountability for adherence to the Code.

Board Meetings

There were ten meetings of the Board of Directors held in 2015. Every director attended all board meetings and also attended all committee meetings of which that director was a member. It is the policy of the Board that all Board members attend the annual meeting of shareholders, if possible.

Committees of the Board

The following sets forth the Committees of the Board and membership of the committees. The charters of the committees are available at the Company's website, appliedminerals.com. The Board of Directors has determined that all committee members are independent under the independence definition used by NASDAQ except for Mr. Zeitoun.

| | Audit Committee | Governance and Nominating Committee | Compensation Committee | Health, Safety and Environment Committee | Operations Committee |
|-------------------|-----------------|--|------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| John Levy | X | X | X | | |
| Mario Concha | | X | X | X | X |
| Robert Betz | | X | X | X | X |
| Bradley Tirpak | X | | | | |
| Ali Zamani | X | | | | |

In 2015, the Audit Committee met eight times. The Compensation Committee and the Governance and Nominating Committee each met four times. The Health, Safety and Environment Committee and Operations Committee were established in 2016.

Audit Committee Financial Expert

The Board of Directors has determined that Mr. Levy is an audit committee financial expert as the term is defined in the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission and is independent under the independence standards of NASDAQ (the independence standard used by the Company) and the enhanced independence standards of Section 10A-3 of the Securities Exchange Act.

Audit Committee Report

The audit committee has reviewed and discussed the audited financial statements included elsewhere in this Annual Report with management;

Table Of Contents

The audit committee has discussed with the independent auditors the matters required to be discussed by the Auditing Standards AU Section 380 - The Auditor's Communication with those charged with Governance as adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board in Rule 3200T;

The audit committee has received the written disclosures and the letter from the independent accountant required by applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding the independent accountant's communications with the audit committee concerning independence and has discussed with the independent accountant the independent accountant's independence; and

Based on the review and discussions referred to in three preceding paragraphs, the audit committee recommended to the board of directors that the audited financial statements be included in the Company's annual report on Form 10-K (17 CFR 249.310) for the last fiscal year for filing with the Commission.

Audit Committee

John Levy, Chairman

Bradley Tirpak

Ali Zamani

The Nomination Process

The general criteria that our Board uses to select nominees includes the following: reputation for integrity, honesty and adherence to high ethical standards; demonstrated business acumen, experience, and ability to exercise sound judgments in matters that relate to the current and long-term objectives of the Company; willingness and ability to contribute positively to the decision-making process of the Company; commitment to understand the Company, its risk factors and its industry and to regularly attend and participate in meetings of the Board and its committees; interest and ability to understand the sometimes conflicting interests of the various constituencies of the Company, which include stockholders, employees, customers, creditors and the general public; ability to act in the interests of all stakeholders; and the absence of any appearance of a conflict of interest that would impair the nominee's ability to represent the interests of all of the Company's stockholders and to fulfill the responsibilities of a director. There are, however, no specific minimum qualifications that nominees must have in order to be selected. The Board will consider director candidates recommended by our stockholders. In evaluating candidates recommended by our stockholders, the Board of Directors applies the same criteria discussed above. Any stockholder recommendations for director nominees proposed for consideration by the Board should include the nominee's name and qualifications for Board membership and should be addressed in writing to the President, Applied Minerals, Inc., 110 Greene St., Suite

1101, New York, NY 10012. There have been no changes in the procedures by which shareholders may recommend candidates for director.

Compensation Committee

The Committee's charter provides that the Committee meet at least twice a year and the committee will have the resources and authority necessary to discharge its duties and responsibilities and the committee has sole authority to retain and terminate outside counsel, compensation consultants, or other experts or consultants, as it deems appropriate, including sole authority to approve the fees and other retention terms for such persons.

The principal responsibilities of the Compensation Committee are as follows:

1. Board Compensation. Periodically review the compensation paid to non-employee directors and make recommendations to the Board for any adjustments.

2. Chief Executive Officer Compensation.
 - a. Assist the Board in establishing CEO annual goals and objectives, if appropriate.
 - b. Recommend CEO compensation to the other independent members of the Board for approval.

3. Other Executive Officer Compensation.
 - a. Oversee an evaluation of the performance of the Company's executive officers and approve the annual compensation, including salary and incentive compensation, for the executive officers.
Review the structure and competitiveness of the Company's executive officer compensation programs considering the following factors: (i) the attraction and retention of executive officers; (ii) the motivation of executive officers
 - b. to achieve the Company's business objectives; and (iii) the alignment of the interests of executive officers with the long-term interests of the Company's shareholders.
 - c. Review and approve compensation arrangements for new executive officers and termination arrangements for executive officers.

Table Of Contents

The Compensation Committee may form and delegate authority to subcommittees and may delegate authority to one or more designated members of the Committee. The Committee may delegate to the Chief Executive Officer the authority to make grants of equity-based compensation in the form of rights or options to eligible officers and employees who are not executive officers, such authority including the power to (i) designate officers and employees of the Company or of any of its subsidiaries to be recipients of such rights or options created by the Company, and (ii) determine the number of such rights or options to be received by such officers and employees; provided, however, that the resolution so authorizing the Chief Executive Officer shall specify the total number of rights or options the Chief Executive Officer may so award. If such authority is delegated, the Chief Executive Officer shall regularly report to the Committee grants so made and the Committee may revoke any delegation of authority at any time. The Compensation Committee has not delegated any authority to the Chief Executive Officer.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

There were no interlocks during 2015.

Mr. Zeitoun participated in deliberations of the board of directors concerning executive officer compensation other than his own.

Shareholder Communications to the Board of Directors

Stockholders may communicate with the Board of Directors by sending a letter to Applied Minerals, Inc. Board of Directors, c/o President & CEO, 110 Greene Street, Suite 1101, New York, New York, 10012. The President will receive the correspondence and forward it to the individual director or directors to whom the communication is directed or to all directors, if not directed to one or more specifically.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

| Name and | Salary | Bonus | Option | All Other | Total |
|----------|--------|-------|--------|--------------|-------|
|----------|--------|-------|--------|--------------|-------|

Edgar Filing: Applied Minerals, Inc. - Form POS AM

| Principal Position | Year | \$ | (Cash) (\$) | Award (\$ (1) | Comp. (\$) | (\$) |
|---|------|---------|----------------|------------------|---------------|-----------|
| Andre M. Zeitoun, CEO, Director (2) | 2015 | 600,000 | 299,000 | 50,000 | - | 949,000 |
| | 2014 | 600,000 | 400,000 | - | - | 1,000,000 |
| | 2013 | 600,000 | 400,000 | - | - | 1,000,000 |
| Christopher T. Carney, CFO (4) (5) (6) | 2015 | 200,000 | \$37,500 | 54,062 | - | 291,562 |
| | 2014 | 200,000 | - | 31,055 | - | 231,055 |
| | 2013 | 200,000 | - | - | - | 200,000 |
| Nat Krishnamurti, CFO (7) | 2015 | 70,323 | - | 16,562 | 2,917 | 115,902 |
| | 2014 | 225,000 | - | 31,055 | 5,000 | 261,055 |
| | 2013 | 225,000 | - | 400,200 | 2,917 | 508,117 |
| William Gleeson, General Counsel (5) (8) | 2015 | 300,000 | 37,500 | 37,500 | - | 375,000 |
| | 2014 | 300,000 | - | 270,486 | - | 545,486 |
| | 2013 | 250,000 | - | 100,065 | - | 350,065 |

(1) Pursuant to SEC rules, the amounts shown exclude the impact of estimated forfeitures related to service-based vesting conditions. For additional information, refer to Note 10 to the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements found in Item 8, Part II of this document. These amounts reflect the Company's accounting expense for these awards, and do not correspond to the amount that will be recognized as income by the named executive officers or the amount that will be recognized as a tax deduction by the Company, if any, upon exercise. The options awards were valued using the Black Scholes Option Valuation Model.

(2) Mr. Zeitoun's 2015 potential bonus arrangement, as determined in February, 2015, was as follows: the total amount of the possible bonus compensation to Mr. Zeitoun would be \$500,000; \$200,000 would be based on personal performance metrics; \$300,000 would be based on revenue goals. Revenues could include the amount of firm commitment and take-and-pay arrangements. The last \$100,000 based on revenue goals would be payable in stock or options. If revenues were below \$2 million, no revenue-based bonus would be paid; if between \$2 million and \$2,999,999, \$100,000 in cash would be paid; if between \$3 and \$3,999,999, a total of \$150,000 would be paid; if between \$4 million and \$4,999,999, a total of \$200,000 in cash would be paid; if \$5 million, a total of \$200,000 in cash and \$100,000 in stock options would be paid.

Table Of Contents

Revenues for 2015, including a \$5 million take-or-pay agreement for the delivery of iron oxide over a period of 18 months, exceeded \$5 million. The bonus paid was agreed upon by the Compensation Committee and Mr. Zeitoun.

(3) Mr. Krishnamurti resigned on August 8, 2015. Other Compensation consists of a car allowance.

(4) Mr. Carney has served as Vice President Business Development since 2011. On August 12, 2015, he was appointed Chief Financial Officer while retaining his position as Vice President Business Development. His compensation did not change upon being appointed CFO.

The 2015 bonus arrangements for each of Messrs. Carney, Gleeson, and Krishnamurti (rendered inapplicable to Mr. Krishnamurti because of his resignation) were as follows: the total amount of the possible bonus compensation for each would be \$100,000; \$25,000 would be based on personal performance metrics; \$75,000 would be based on revenue goals. Revenues could include the amount of firm commitment and take-and-pay arrangements. The (5) last \$25,000 based on revenue goals would be payable in five-year stock options. If revenues were below \$2 million, no revenue-based bonus would be paid; if between \$2 million and \$2,999,999, \$25,000 in cash would be paid; if between \$3 and \$3,999,999, a total of \$35,000 would be paid; if between \$4 million and \$4,999,999, a total of \$50,000 in cash would be paid; if \$5 million or more, a total of \$50,000 in cash and \$25,000 in stock options would be paid.

Revenues for 2015, including a \$5 million take-or-pay agreement for the delivery of iron oxide over a period of 18 months, exceeded the \$5 million. In January, 2016, the Board reduced the total value of the bonuses to be paid to Messrs. Carney and Gleeson from \$100,000 to \$75,000. Messrs. Carney and Gleeson would receive a bonus for 2015 consisting of \$75,000 of value to be paid, at their election, in stock, five-year options, or cash (up to \$37,500) or any combination thereof, payable on March 31, 2016.

In March, 2016 Mr. Carney elected to receive \$37,500 of his 2015 bonus in cash and the remaining \$37,500 of value in options to purchase common stock of the Company. These options will enable Mr. Carney the right to purchase 248,344 shares of common stock of the Company at a price of \$0.24 per share over a five-year period. His 2015 bonus, while paid in March, 2016, has been included as part of his 2015 compensation for purposes of the above table. During February, 2015 Mr. Carney was granted options to purchase 50,000 shares of common stock of (6) the Company. The fair value of the options at the time of grant was \$16,562. They were granted to Mr. Carney for his performance as VP of Business Development during 2014 but were not part of a pre-defined bonus arrangement. During June, 2014 Mr. Carney was granted options to purchase 75,000 shares of common stock of the Company. The fair value of the options at the time of grant was \$31,055. They were granted to Mr. Carney for his performance as VP of Business Development during 2013 but were not part of a pre-defined bonus arrangement.

(7) During February, 2015 Mr. Krishnamurti was granted options to purchase 50,000 shares of common stock of the Company. The fair value of the options at the time of grant was \$16,562. They were granted to Mr. Krishnamurti for his performance as Chief Financial Officer during 2014 but were not part of a pre-defined bonus arrangement. During June, 2014 Mr. Krishnamurti was granted options to purchase 75,000 shares of common stock of the Company. The fair value of the options at the time of grant was \$31,055. They were

granted to Mr. Krishnamurti for his performance as VP of Business Development during 2013 but were not part of a pre-defined bonus arrangement.

In March, 2016 Mr. Gleeson elected to receive \$37,500 of his 2015 bonus in cash and the remaining \$37,500 in options to purchase common stock. These options will provide Mr. Gleeson the right to purchase 248,344 shares of common stock of the Company at a price of \$0.24 per share over a five-year period. His 2015 bonus, while paid in (8) March, 2016, has been included as part of his 2015 compensation for purposes of the above table. During June, 2014 Mr. Gleeson was granted options to purchase 600,000 shares of common stock of the Company. The fair value of the options at the time of grant was \$270,486. They were granted to Mr. Gleeson for his performance as General Counsel.

Pensions

The Company does not have any pension plan nor does it have any nonqualified defined contribution and other nonqualified deferred compensation plans.

Potential Payments upon Termination or Change-in-Control.

In accordance with SEC rules, the following statements are based on the assumption that the triggering event took place on December 31, 2015.

In the event Mr. Zeitoun was terminated without Cause or terminated for Good Reason, he would receive, in addition to the accrued obligations, (i) six months of base salary (that is, \$300,000), (ii) one-half of bonus amounts not yet earned, and (ii) an amount equal to six months of COBRA payments. For 2015, Mr. Zeitoun's was eligible to receive a bonus of up to \$500,000, of which \$100,000 would be paid in common stock or options, upon achievement of predetermined performance goals, which were achieved.

Table Of Contents

Mr. Carney. In the event Mr. Carney was terminated by the Company without Cause or he terminated his employment for Good Reason, he shall receive (i) not less than two months of his base salary and (ii) continuation of benefits on the same terms as in effect immediately prior to the date of termination for a period of two months. Mr. Carney's base salary was \$200,000.

Mr. Gleeson. In the event Mr. Gleeson terminated by the Company without Cause or he terminates his employment for Good Reason, he shall receive (i) not less than two months of his base salary and (ii) continuation of benefits (including his family) on the same terms as in effect immediately prior to the date of termination for a period of two months. Mr. Gleeson's base salary is \$300,000.

Grants of Plan-Based Awards

The following table lists the plan-based awards granted under the Long-Term Incentive Plan to Named Executive Officers in 2015:

| Name | Grant date | All other option awards: Number of securities underlying options (#) | Exercise or base price of option awards (\$/Share) | Grant date |
|--------------------------------|------------|---|--|---|
| | | | | fair value of stock and option awards (\$) |
| Nat Krishnamurti, CFO (1) (2)S | 02-5-2015 | 50,000 | 0.68 | 16,562 |
| Christopher Carney (2) | 02-5-2015 | 50,000 | 0.68 | 16,562 |
| William Gleeson (2) | | | | |

(1)Mr. Krishnamurti resigned on August 1, 2015.

(2) Does not include options not granted in 2016 in connection with 2015 bonus arrangements for Messrs. Zeitoun, Carney, and Gleeson.

Table Of Contents**Outstanding Equity Awards at December 31, 2015**

The following table provides information on the holdings as of December 31, 2015 of stock options granted to the named executive officers. This table includes unexercised and unvested option awards. Each equity grant is shown separately for each named executive officer

OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT DECEMBER 31, 2015

| Name | Grant Date | Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options: Exercisable | Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options: Unexercisable | Equity Incentive Plan Awards | Option Exercise Price | Option Expiration Date |
|---------------------------|------------|--|--|---|-----------------------|------------------------|
| | | | | Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options: Unearned Options | | |
| Andre Zeitoun | 01-01-09 | 3,949,966 | -- | -- | \$ 0.70 | 01-01-19 |
| | 02-08-11 | 1,742,792 | -- | -- | \$ 0.83 | 01-01-22 |
| | 11-20-12 | 1,742,792 | -- | -- | \$ 1.66 | 01-01-23 |
| Christopher T. Carney (2) | 01-01-09 | 1,316,655 | -- | -- | \$ 0.70 | 01-01-19 |
| | 02-08-11 | 580,930 | -- | -- | \$ 0.83 | 01-01-22 |
| | 11-20-12 | 580,931 | -- | -- | \$ 1.66 | 01-01-23 |
| | 06-10-14 | 75,000 | -- | -- | \$ 0.84 | 06-10-24 |
| | 02-05-15 | 16,665 | 33,335 | -- | \$ 0.68 | 02-5-25 |
| Nat Krishnamurti (1) | 05-29-12 | 300,000 | -- | -- | \$ 1.55 | 05-01-22 |
| | 05-29-13 | 65,000 | -- | -- | \$ 1.35 | 05-29-23 |
| | 06-10-14 | 75,000 | -- | -- | \$ 0.84 | 06-10-24 |
| | 02-05-15 | -- | 50,000 | -- | \$ 0.68 | 02-5-25 |
| William Gleeson | 08-18-11 | 900,000 | -- | -- | \$ 1.90 | 08-18-21 |
| | 11-20-12 | 72,406 | -- | -- | \$ 1.66 | 11-20-22 |
| | 06-10-14 | 316,667 | 283,333 | -- | \$ 0.84 | 06-10-24 |

- (1) Mr. Krishnamurti resigned as Chief Financial officer on August 8, 2015.
- (2) Mr. Carney was appointed Chief Financial Officer on August 12, 2015.

Beginning November 20, 2012, all awards were made under the Company's Long Term Incentive Plan approved by stockholders on November 20, 2012.

Table Of Contents**Director Compensation for the Year Ended December 31, 2015**

The following sets forth compensation to the persons who served as directors in 2015. Mr. Tirpak joined the Board in March, 2015 and his fees are adjusted for time served as a director. Mr. Zeitoun receives no fees for service as a director.

| Name | Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$) | Common Stock Awards (\$) | Options Awards(\$) (1) | Total (\$) |
|-------------------------|--|---|--|----------------------------|
| John Levy (1) (2) | 52,500 | 50,500 | 14,738 | 117,739 |
| Robert Betz (1) (2) (3) | 34,500 | 60,000 | 14,738 | 109,238 |
| Mario Concha (1) (2) | 45,000 | 48,000 | 14,738 | 107,738 |
| David Taft (1) (2) | 39,738 | 58,000 | -- | 97,738 |
| Bradley Tirpak (1) (2) | 7,728 | 58,423 | 12,748 | 78,899 |
| Ali Zamani (1) (2) | 17,500 | 65,500 | 14,738 | 97,738 |
| Andre Zeitoun (1) (2) | -- | -- | -- | -- |

During 2015, each director received an annual fee of \$50,000. A director who served as a committee chair or a board chair received an additional \$10,000 annual fee. Until the third quarter of 2015, directors could elect to (1) receive their fees in cash, equity, or any combination thereof. Beginning in the third quarter of 2015, directors were required to receive at least 50% of such fees in equity. Mr. Zeitoun does not receive fees for serving as a director.

(2) On February 5, 2015, in addition to their annual fees, each member of the Board was granted 50,000 shares of restricted common stock (50% cannot be sold for at least one year and the other 50% cannot be sold for at least two years) and options to purchase 50,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$0.66 per share except for Mr. Tirpak who, on April 15, 2015, was granted 38,356 shares and 38,356 options to purchase common stock at an exercise price of \$0.73 per share. In lieu of the option to purchase 50,000 shares of stock, Mr. Taft was paid \$14,738, the Black-Scholes value of the option. Mr. Zeitoun did not receive a common stock and option grant.

(3) For the cash portion of his Q1 2015 fees, Mr. Betz was mistakenly overpaid by \$1,500. An adjustment will be made in 2016 to correct this error.

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Objectives and Strategy

The Company's objectives are to develop a range of commercial applications for its halloysite clay-based and iron oxide-based products and to market those applications to industries seeking enhanced product functionality and to market its iron oxides for pigment and other uses. We believe the successful marketing of such applications will generate material profits for the Company, which, in turn, will create significant value for its stockholders. To realize this objective, the Company must attract and retain individuals, including our Named Executive Officers ("*Named Executive Officers*" or "*NEOs*") (Messrs. Zeitoun, Carney and Gleeson), who possess the skill sets and experience needed to effectively develop and implement the business strategies and corporate governance infrastructure necessary to achieve commercial success.

Accordingly, compensation for the Named Executive Officers is designed to:

- Attract, motivate, and retain qualified Named Executive Officers;
- Incentivize the Named Executive Officers to lead the Company to profitable operations and to increase stockholder value;
- Assure that over time a significant part of NEO compensation is linked to the Company's long-term stock price performance, which aligns the Named Executive Officers' financial interests with those of the Company's stockholders
- Motivate the Named Executive Officers to develop long-term careers at the Company and contribute to its future prospects; and
- Permit the Named Executive Officers to remain focused on the development of the Company's business in the midst of actual or potential change-in-control transactions.

Table Of Contents

The Company does not have a policy concerning minimum ownership or hedging by officers of Company securities.

Compensation of Mr. Zeitoun

Mr. Zeitoun has been president and CEO of the Company since 2009.

2013 Compensation.

On November 20, 2012, the Board determined the 2013 compensation for Mr. Zeitoun. The 2013 cash compensation for Mr. Zeitoun would be \$600,000. Options to purchase 1,742,581 shares of common stock were granted to Mr. Zeitoun (with a Black-Scholes value of \$2,408,539). The options vested over the period of the employment agreement (2013), vesting on the first day of the month following a month of the employment agreement beginning February 1, 2013 with the last vesting on January 1, 2014. The options have an exercise price equal to market price of the common stock on the date of grant (\$0.83). The Board also agreed that Mr. Zeitoun would be eligible for a target bonus for 2013 of \$400,000 but that the amount could be more or less depending on Mr. Zeitoun's 2013 performance. The criteria for determining performance would be decided at the time the Board made a decision as to whether to award a bonus. These criteria could include quantitative and qualitative considerations such as sales, entering to arrangements with joint ventures and similar "reach through" arrangements, increases in market capitalization, development of corporate staff, management of plant expansions.

To assist in determining 2013 compensation for Mr. Zeitoun, the Compensation Committee sought advice from a compensation consultant from Hay Associates.

The compensation consultant used market data in his analysis that was drawn from the following sources: public peer group in the mining and metals sector (13 companies; Comstock Mining Inc., General Moly Inc., Gold Reserve Inc., Golden Minerals Co., Midway Gold Corp, Mines Management Inc, Paramount Gold and Silver, Prospect Global Resources, Rare Element Resources Ltd , Revett Minerals Inc. Ruby Creek Resources Inc., Searchlight Minerals Corp, and Vista Gold Corp) and specialty chemical sector (7 companies; Monarch Cement Co, Northern Tech Intl, ADA-ES Inc, Chase Corp, Material Sciences Corp, Senonyx Corp, and Zoltek Cos Inc); the 2012 Hay Group Global Mining Compensation Review for pay-mix purposes; and a sample of companies recently completing an initial public offering as set forth in the Hay Group IPO Reporter (Matador Resources Company, Bonanza Creek Energy, Inc., Carbonite, Inc, C&J Energy, SunCoke Energy, KiOR, Compressco Partners, L.P., Solazyme, Inc., Energy Partners LP, International Corp., Kinder Morgan, Inc., Gevo, Inc., Primo Water Corporation, Amyris, Inc., Molycorp, Inc., Oasis Petroleum LLC, Aluminum Holding Corporation, Douglas Dynamics, Inc., Global Geophysical Services, Inc., Metals USA Holdings Corp., Graham Packaging Company Inc., Cellu Tissue Holdings, Inc.) The consultant stated that the analysis focused on annual compensation mix and levels relative to market as well as CEO equity ownership relative to other companies recently completing an initial public offering. The basis for selecting peer group companies consisted of the following factors: assets, market capitalization, nature of the business, the talent market, complexity, and the market share.

Compared to the peer group companies in the mining sector as well as the specialty chemicals sector and the IPO Reporter sample, the Board determined that Mr. Zeitoun's 2012 compensation was above the 75th percentile. It also determined that Mr. Zeitoun's 2012 pay mix (as among salary, bonus, and options) was generally well aligned with the pay mixes in the samples noted above.

The consultant stated that there is no perfect model for determining what the appropriate compensation for a CEO should be. He said that the Compensation Committee would have to review the data presented in his report and use its business judgment to create a package that would be motivational to the CEO and also retain him, as the Board believed that the CEO is key to the success of the business. The compensation consultant also said that while the Company's CEO position may have a certain "market value," it is imperative that the Committee should also consider the contribution and value that Mr. Zeitoun brings to the position and, in particular, the facts that (i) Mr. Zeitoun had raised substantial sums of money without the Company having to use investment bankers thereby saving substantial commissions, (ii) Mr. Zeitoun is leading the Company's technology development to further commercialize its products, and (iii) Mr. Zeitoun was serving multiple roles (CEO and COO).

Members of the Board noted differences between the Company and many of the mining companies in the peer group. In particular, many of the peer group companies were gold companies. They noted that entities involved in the gold-mining business are not engaged in businesses that have anywhere near the complexity of the Company's business. In particular, the Board noted that gold companies have a product with a ready market; thus, they do not have to develop a market, as the Company must do for halloysite. The Board also noted that the stockholder return under Mr. Zeitoun's leadership and, in particular, the Company's market capitalization, had increased from \$10 million to \$150 million under Mr. Zeitoun's leadership. Mr. Zeitoun indicated that he believed that for peer-group comparison purposes, the Company was more like a developmental pharmaceutical company. Other members of the Board also agreed that the Company was more like developmental pharmaceutical, biotech and software companies.

At the end of 2013, the Board reviewed the quantitative and qualitative criteria relating to Mr. Zeitoun's bonus against his actual performance in 2013 and determined to award him a \$400,000 bonus.

Table Of Contents

2014 Compensation

Compensation Consultant. In November, 2013, the Compensation Committee retained Compensation Resources, Inc. ("CRI"), a compensation consultant located in Upper Saddle River, New Jersey, as a compensation consultant regarding the 2014 compensation of Mr. Zeitoun.

Interactions of CRI with the Compensation Committee and the Board. Representatives of CRI attended meetings of the Board and/or the Compensation Committee in December, 2013 and February and March, 2014, met (telephonically or in person) with members of the Compensation Committee on four occasions, met with Mr. Zeitoun twice, and met with the Company's General Counsel (in his capacity of as agent for the Compensation Committee in due diligence matters) four times.

Summary of CRI's Report to the Compensation Committee. CRI's "Executive Compensation Study: Report of Findings" was delivered to the Compensation Committee and the Board in March, 2014. Below is a summary of the report.

While the company has previously been identified as a mining company, its operations are much more diversified than what would be considered as typical mining activities. The Company engages in significant research and development activities that are aimed at producing uses for its products with broader applications and thus considers itself more of a specialty chemical company rather than a traditional mining company. Based on the nature of the halloysite clay and its "exclusivity," the Company believes itself more closely aligned with the pharmaceutical industry, which itself has significant research and development activities associated with the development and marketing of proprietary pharmaceuticals. Given that the nature of the Company's business, and the talent needed to expand the business and make it successful, extends into various industry sectors. CRI considered the nature of the Company's operations in the identification of a relevant peer group for purposes of executive compensation benchmarking.

The process of selecting a group of related peers was based on the nature of the Company's operations and future strategic direction. The selection was based on the following parameters:

Industry - Comparable industry sectors, from a business perspective, as well as other industries that cultivate a similar level of talent and ability, we used to conduct the analysis. CRI identified comparable companies within the following industry sectors: specialty chemical manufacturing; biotech and pharmaceuticals; software; mining; and environmental/sustainable.

Geographic location - Based on national averages, but in this case, however, CRI applied a geographic differential to the peer data to account for differences in the cost of living between the geographic locations of the peer companies

and that of Applied Minerals, which is located in an area with a high cost of living (New York City);

Market Cap - Guidelines set forth by ISS indicate that the generally accepted approach is to identify companies that are approximately 0.2x to 5.0x of the Market Cap of the target company being analyzed. CRI utilized Applied Minerals' Market Cap of approximately \$100 million; this would place the Market Cap range of peer companies between \$20 million and \$500 million. Market Cap, rather than revenue, was utilized as the financial metric for peer selection, as the Company is considered more of a startup with very limited revenue. CRI reviewed the list of peer group companies with the Compensation Committee, the CEO, and the General Counsel, and the list was further refined to reflect more closely a group of companies that would be considered competitors for similar talent.

The peer group consisted of the following companies: Accelrys Inc., American Pacific Corp., Amyris, Inc. Amicus Therapeutics Inc., Authentidate Holding Corp., Biodelivery Sciences, Cytokinetics Inc. International Inc., Energy Recovery, Inc., General Moly Inc., Hemispherx Biopharma Inc., Kior Inc., Metabolix Inc., Pmfg Inc., Pozen Inc., Simulations Plus Inc., Streamline Health Solutions Inc., U S Lime & Minerals, and United-Guardian Inc.

This compensation study analyzed the position of CEO of the Company, comparing it against other top executives within the identified peer marketplace. Based on the discussion of peer selection above, CRI concluded that the larger context of Mr. Zeitoun's responsibilities, based on his knowledge and ability to translate his ideas into creative uses for the Company's products, validated the use of a broader industry view of competitive compensation. CRI found that (I) Mr. Zeitoun's commitment to the Company's success was evidenced through his ability to gain financing for the Company through his personal connections, which enabled him to secure \$10 million of capital in 2013 and (ii) Mr. Zeitoun also had become totally conversant in the chemical properties of the clay and its potential commercial applications, and realized the value of its iron oxide deposits and thus developed a new business for this product over the prior two years. CRI indicated that the Company was then at a transition point, where its operations had to move from "process" to "results"; therefore, the CEO's compensation package needed to be structure in a way that recognized the competitive marketplace, with sufficient financial motivation to reward the CEO to move forward with the Company's strategic direction.

Table Of Contents

CRI presented its findings with respect to the competitive marketplace for the components of Base Salary and Total Cash Compensation (Base Salary and Annual Bonus/Incentive), along with the value of Total Direct Compensation (Total Cash Compensation, the value of equity, and any other compensation). It said that compensation theory suggests that a Market Range of Compensation around the Market Consensus, rather than a fixed number, is appropriate. CRI said that typically, the Market Range of Base Salary is conservatively considered to be $\pm 10\%$ of the Market Consensus, while the range for Total Cash Compensation varies by $\pm 20\%$ of the Market Consensus, while the range for Total Direct Compensation is wider and usually varies by $\pm 25\%$ of the Market Consensus. Therefore, CRI's comparative analysis of actual compensation to the marketplace was based on the identified Market Range for the indicated component.

For Mr. Zeitoun's actual compensation, CRI represented the following figures: Base Salary of \$600,000, equivalent to his 2013 fixed compensation; Total Cash Compensation which includes Base Salary of \$600,000 plus an annual target incentive opportunity of 100% of Base Salary, or \$600,000, for a total of \$1,200,000; and Total Direct Compensation, which typically includes Base Salary and Total Cash Compensation, plus the three year average of equity compensation, in order to conduct a preliminary comparative analysis with the market findings. However, the Compensation Committee indicated to CRI that (i) the most recent equity grant was specifically provided to Mr. Zeitoun as a one-time award, with the clear understanding that future at-risk compensation will be in the form of short-term incentives; (ii) the short-term incentive opportunity is intended to drive performance towards the Company's most immediate goals of revenue generation and is commensurate with the Compensation Committee's short-term incentive target and (iii) over the next two to three years, it is anticipated that additional equity will not be provided; therefore, CRI's analysis did not include or provide for any long-term compensation. In this connection, it was noted that the beneficial stock ownership for the majority of the CEO among the peer companies ranged between 1.10% and 6.80% (excluding the outliers which are 13.7% to 38.03%). Mr. Zeitoun's beneficial ownership is 8.6%.

CRI noted that at market average (50% percentile) without equity compensation, Mr. Zeitoun's represented Base Salary and Total Cash Compensation were above the Market Range and the Total Direct Compensation was within the Market Range, but that at the 75% percentile without equity compensation, Mr. Zeitoun's represented Base Salary and Total Cash Compensation were within the Market Range and the Total Direct Compensation was below the Market Range.

CRI noted that the methodology used to conduct the competitive market analysis was based on the typical duties and responsibilities associated with the top executive position within the competitive marketplace, and the comparative analysis did not take into consideration the incumbent or any factors relating to that incumbent. CRI noted, however, that it was at the Compensation Committee's discretion to consider Mr. Zeitoun in determining whether his value to the Company was considerable and therefore would justify a higher level of compensation as compared to the marketplace. CRI said that in some cases, a premium can be applied to the market findings to reflect on the incumbent's knowledge, expertise, and contributions (both past and anticipated in the future), and therefore would reflect on a higher percentile positioning due to these factors. CRI noted that in discussions with the Compensation Committee, the Committee believed that it had a significant level of confidence in Mr. Zeitoun's abilities to drive the Company. CRI noted that based on multiple in-depth discussions with the Compensation Committee and their strong belief that Mr. Zeitoun is a crucial and extremely valuable asset to the Company, CRI presented both the Market

Average and 75th percentile calculations so that the Compensation Committee would have a broader perspective of the marketplace for pay in consideration of the peer group examined herein.

Compensation Committee Actions. The Compensation Committee met four times regarding Mr. Zeitoun's compensation and the Board met three times. At its meeting on June 9, 2014, the Compensation Committee determined to recommend to the Board that:

Mr. Zeitoun's salary for 2014 would be \$600,000, which was the same salary as in 2013 and was justified by Mr. Zeitoun's exceptional knowledge and accomplishments.

Mr. Zeitoun's annual target incentive for 2014 would be \$400,000 rather than \$600,000 (as represented in CRI's report) and that this would bring the Total Direct Compensation at Market Average (no equity) within the Market Range and Total Cash Compensation at the 75th percentile would still be within the Market Range, but would be below the Market Consensus. The Compensation Committee further determined that the bonus would be payable in cash in two tranches: (i) \$200,000 payable if there were a commercial sale of iron oxide in 2014 and (ii) \$200,000 payable if one of the following three were accomplished in 2014: cumulative revenue (accrued or realized) and/or backlog of \$1 million; sale of all or a substantial portion of Idaho properties; raising at least \$2 million of capital by sale of equity and/or sale of PIK Notes;

Mr. Zeitoun would not be awarded any equity compensation for 2014 because he already beneficially owned (through direct ownership and options) 8.6% of the equity of the Company and that ownership provided him with sufficient long-term incentive.

On the same day, the Board adopted the recommendations of the Compensation Committee.

In December, 2014, the Nominating and Governance Committee determined that Mr. Zeitoun had satisfied the conditions of both tranches for payment of the bonus and recommended that the Board approve payment of the bonus. Thereafter, the Board approved payment of a \$400,000 bonus.

Table Of Contents

2015 Compensation

In October, 2014, the Compensation Committee determined that because of shareholder sensitivity to compensation matters and because of the Company's cash burn, it was deemed necessary to get the latest comparative data on CEO compensation in order to make good decisions regarding 2015 compensation for Mr. Zeitoun. Accordingly, Committee engaged Compensation Resources to provide an executive compensation study to the Compensation Committee.

Compensation Resources had provided an executive compensation study to the Compensation Committee in March, 2014 in connection with Mr. Zeitoun's 2014 compensation. Compensation Resources delivered its 2015 executive compensation to the Committee on December 3, 2014.

On December 9, 2014, representatives of Compensation Resources met with the Compensation Committee and told the Committee the following. Compensation Resources was retained to prepare a competitive compensation study of the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer in order to determine the competitive levels of compensation for comparable positions among similar publicly traded companies. The study presented findings with regard to the current competitive levels of the total compensation package (cash and equity compensation) and the findings in the report reflect an update to a study completed on behalf of the Compensation Committee dated March, 2014 and was meant to provide the most recent compensation data from peer organizations, which reflects, for the most part, fiscal years ending December 31, 2013. The study utilized the same list of publicly traded companies benchmarked as part of the March 2014 study, with the exception of Accelrys, Inc., which had been recently acquired.

For purposes of valuing equity awards, the study continued to use a three-year average to more accurately recognize the variety and timing of long-term plans. All data inputs were increased on an annual factor of 3.0% to a common date of January 1, 2015, the percentage representing the average of the actual 2014 merit increase factors for executive positions within the various industries represented in the analysis. To determine "Market Consensus," Compensation Resources calculated the competitive market level of each compensation element from the peer market analysis and published survey analysis, utilizing multiple measures of central tendency, which included mean: simple average; median: the middle number, 50th percentile; trimmed mean: average that eliminates the highest and lowest data elements (outliers); regression: calculated based on statistical analysis using "Line of Least Square," which is a mathematical computation used to model a presumed linear relationship between two variables, a dependent variable (compensation element) and an independent variable (Market Cap) (peer analysis only). Market Consensus is the average of the Mean, Median, Trimmed Mean, and Regression; represents the best estimate of the market value (consensus) for the position. To calculate the overall Market Consensus, Compensation Resources calculated a weighted average of peer and published survey data at a ratio of 2:1.

Typically, the market range of base salary is conservatively considered to be $\pm 10\%$ of the market consensus. This range represents the central tendency of the market data. The range for total cash compensation is wider and usually varies by $\pm 20\%$ of the market consensus. The range for total direct compensation is $\pm 25\%$.

With respect to Mr. Zeitoun's current compensation opportunity, Compensation Resources utilized the following figures: base salary of \$600,000; total cash compensation which includes base salary of \$600,000 plus an annual incentive opportunity of \$400,000, for a total of \$1,000,000; and total direct compensation of \$1,000,000. Because equity grants in 2011 and 2012 were considered by the Compensation Committee to be one-time awards, Compensation Resources excluded them from the analysis. At the 50th percentile, Mr. Zeitoun's base salary and total cash compensation are well above the market consensus as of January 1, 2015 and his total direct compensation is within the market consensus. At the 75th percentile, Mr. Zeitoun's base salary and total cash compensation are within the market consensus as of January 1, 2015 and his total direct compensation is below the market consensus.

Mr. Concha, chairman of the Compensation Committee, indicated that the goal of the Compensation Committee with respect to Mr. Zeitoun's compensation was to provide incentives to Mr. Zeitoun consistent with the desires of shareholders. He noted the difficulties of finding good comparables for Mr. Zeitoun's compensation and said that compensating Mr. Zeitoun under a strategic leadership model may be more useful.

Thereafter, the directors discussed with Mr. Zeitoun present and later at great length in executive session without Mr. Zeitoun, the structure and amount of Mr. Zeitoun's 2015 bonus.

It was preliminarily decided at a time when Mr. Zeitoun was not present that Mr. Zeitoun's bonus would be broken into two parts, one part related to revenues and the other to the achievement of non-revenue goals. The total amount of the possible bonus compensation to Mr. Zeitoun would be \$500,000, with the last \$100,000 of revenue-based compensation being paid in stock or option and the maximum amount of the revenue-based bonus payable at \$5 million in revenues, which could include the amount of firm commitment and take-and-pay arrangements. If Mr. Zeitoun achieved his personal goals and revenue was at least \$4 million, his bonus compensation would be \$1 million.

Table Of Contents

It was determined that Mr. Zeitoun should prepare goals and objectives and submit them to the Compensation Committee and the Board for approval. At the same time that the Compensation Committee and the Board approved the personal goals, it would approve the amounts of the bonus allocated to personal goals and the revenues, the percentages of the revenue-based amounts to be paid on partial achievement of the revenue based-goals, and the revenue points at which such amounts would be paid.

On February 4, 2015, the Compensation Committee reported to the Board that, between December 9, 2014 and February 4, 2015, the it met several times and had discussed and approved the following compensation proposal for Mr. Zeitoun (“Zeitoun Compensation Proposal”): Salary of \$600,000, potential bonus of \$500,000 of which 40% would depend on the achievement of four personal goals and 60% would be dependent on revenues. If revenues were below \$2 million, no revenue-based bonus would be paid; if between \$2 million and \$2,999,999, \$100,000 in cash would be paid; if between \$3 million and \$3,999,999, a total of \$150,000 would be paid; if between \$4 million and \$4,999,999, a total of \$200,000 in cash would be paid; if \$5 million or above, a total of \$200,000 in cash and \$100,000 in stock options would be paid.

Mr. Concha on behalf of the Compensation Committee explained (i) the revenue and non-revenue goals that the Compensation Committee had worked out with Mr. Zeitoun regarding Mr. Zeitoun’s 2015 bonus arrangements, (ii) salary and bonus amounts, and (iii) the reason for not awarding Mr. Zeitoun any equity compensation in respect of 2015.

Mr. Concha, on behalf of the Compensation Committee, recommended to the Board that the Board approve the revenue and non–revenue goals related to Mr. Zeitoun’s 2015 bonus as well as the salary and bonus arrangements.

Mr. Concha noted the Executive Compensation Study Update performed by Compensation Resources (the compensation consultant hired by the Compensation Committee) indicated that (i) at the 50th percentile, Mr. Zeitoun’s base salary and total cash compensation are well above the market consensus and his total direct compensation is within the market consensus and (ii) at the 75th percentile, Mr. Zeitoun’s base salary and total cash compensation are within the market consensus as of January 1, 2015 and his total direct compensation is below the market consensus.

There was a general discussion, with Mr. Zeitoun abstaining, of the goals and the compensation elements and amounts and relative mix of elements. After such discussion, the Board, with Mr. Zeitoun abstaining, adopted the Zeitoun Compensation Proposals.

In January, 2016 Mr. Zeitoun and the Board agreed that Mr. Zeitoun satisfied the metrics for the payment of the full bonus amount, but Mr. Zeitoun would receive a bonus for 2015 consisting of \$299,000 in cash and \$50,000 of

five-year options. Cash payments of \$200,000 have been made and remaining payments are subject to the condition that no payments will be made unless after such payments the Company's cash balance is in excess of \$1.5 million.

2015 Compensation for Messrs. Krishnamurti, Gleeson, and Carney

At the February 4, 2015, Board meeting, Mr. Concha, acting on behalf of the Compensation Committee, indicated that the Compensation Committee has discussed and approved a compensation proposal for certain employees, which included Messrs. Krishnamurti, Gleeson, and Carney ("Management Compensation Proposals") and that he had sent to each Board member who was not a member of the Compensation Committee a copy of the Management Compensation Proposals. Mr. Concha explained the revenue and non-revenue goals that the Compensation Committee, with the assistance of Mr. Zeitoun, had worked out, with each regarding 2015 bonus arrangements for such persons. He also explained the proposed salary, bonus and equity compensation arrangements for senior management, which had the approval of Mr. Zeitoun.

Under the Management Compensation Proposals,

Salaries remained at 2014 levels.

Messrs. Krishnamurti and Carney received ten-year at-the-market options to purchase 60,000 shares of common stock vesting annually over a three-year period.

Each of Messrs. Krishnamurti, Gleeson, and Carney would be eligible for possible bonus compensation of up to \$100,000; \$25,000 would be based on personal performance metrics; \$75,000 would be based on revenue goals. Revenues could include the amount of firm commitment and take-and-pay arrangements. The last \$25,000 based on revenue goals would be payable in five-year stock options. If revenues were below \$2 million, no revenue-based bonus would be paid; if between \$2 million and \$2,999,999, \$25,000 in cash would be paid; if between \$3 and \$3,999,999, a total of \$35,000 would be paid; if between \$4 million and \$4,999,999, a total of \$50,000 in cash would be paid; if \$5 million or more, A total of \$50,000 in cash and \$25,000 in stock options would be paid. Revenues in 2015, including a \$5 million take-or-pay agreement for the delivery of iron oxide over a period of 18 months, exceeded the \$5 million goal for the calculation of bonus arrangements.

Mr. Concha, on behalf of the Compensation Committee, recommended to the Board that the Board approve the revenue and non-revenue goals related to management's 2015 bonus as well as the salary and bonus arrangements, all as set forth in the Senior Management Compensation Proposals. After a general discussion, the Board gave its approval.

Table Of Contents

No compensation consultant was used by the Board for the Management Compensation Proposals and no benchmarking against peers was used.

Mr. Krishnamurti resigned in August, 2015.

Revenues for 2015, including a \$5 million take-or-pay agreement for the delivery of iron oxide over a period of 18 months, exceeded the \$5 million goal for the calculation of bonus arrangements. In January, 2016, the Board reduced the total value of the bonuses to be paid to Messrs. Carney and Gleeson to \$75,000. Messrs. Carney and Gleeson would receive a bonus for 2015 consisting of \$75,000 of value to be paid, at their election, in stock, five-year options, or cash (up to \$37,500) or any combination thereof, payable on March 31, 2016. Each of Messrs. Carney and Gleeson elected to take \$37,500 in five year options and \$37,500 in cash.

2013 and 2014 Compensation of Mr. Carney

Mr. Carney served as Chief Financial Officer from February, 2009 through May, 2012. From May 2012 through early August 2015, he served as Vice President - Business Development. In August, 2015, upon the resignation of Nat Krishnamurti, he was appointed Chief Financial Officer while also continuing his duties as Vice President – Business Development. During 2013 and 2014 Mr. Carney received an annual salary of \$200,000. On June 10, 2014, Mr. Carney was granted options to purchase 75,000 shares of the Company’s common stock at a price of \$0.84 per share. The options vested immediately and had a term of 10 years. At the time of the grant, the options had a Black-Scholes value of \$31,055.

Compensation of Mr. Gleeson

William Gleeson, the General Counsel, was hired as of September 15, 2011. Mr. Gleeson’s three-year employment agreement provides for annual cash compensation of \$200,000 plus a 10-year option to purchase up to 900,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$1.90 per share, which was above the \$1.74 market price on the date of grant, September 15, 2011. The Black-Scholes value of the options was \$1,370,340 on the date of grant. The options vested in 36 monthly installments beginning September 15, 2011. Mr. Gleeson’s employment may be terminated at will. The Company did not use a compensation consultant in connection with the employment agreement.

The compensation package in the employment agreement reflected Mr. Gleeson’s familiarity with the Company and its legal issues resulting from his representation of the Company beginning in January, 2008. The relative mix of cash and options reflected the Company’s strained cash position and its near-term revenue prospects at the time of the hiring, with the number of options designed to compensate for the relatively low cash compensation. The vesting of the options was not tied to any performance standards because at the time, given the Company’s limited amount of sales and its lack of profitability, it was deemed that meaningful performance standards could not be established. For

the same reason, the Company did not establish performance standards for bonus awards. No cash bonus was awarded to Mr. Gleeson.

On November 20, 2012, the Board, on the recommendation of Mr. Zeitoun, awarded Mr. Gleeson 72,406 stock options having an exercise price equal to market price on the date of grant with a Black-Scholes value of \$100,065 and increased his compensation to \$250,000 per year for 2013 because of exemplary performance.

For 2014, as a result of exceptional performance, Mr. Gleeson's salary was increased to \$300,000 and he was awarded 600,000 options to purchase common stock at \$0.83 per share, such options vesting monthly over a three-year period and having an exercise price equal to the market price on the date of grant..

The Company has not used compensation consultants in connection with Mr. Gleeson's compensation and has not benchmarked his compensation.

Compensation of Mr. Krishnamurti

In May, 2012, the Company, on the recommendation of Mr. Zeitoun, hired Mr. Krishnamurti under a three year employment agreement calling for an annual salary \$180,000 and an option grant to purchase 300,000 shares of the Company's common stock with a exercise price equal to the market price on the day of grant. The options had a Black-Scholes value of \$400,200 determined as of the date of grant. The terms, including the compensation amounts and the number of options, as well as the mix between those elements, were negotiated by the parties and the Board believed that the compensation package reflected Mr. Krishnamurti's expertise and experience and gave him appropriate long-term incentive through the stock options.

In May 2013, the Board, on the recommendation of Mr. Zeitoun due to Mr. Krishnamurti's excellent performance, increased Mr. Krishnamurti's cash compensation to \$225,000 and granted a 10-year option vesting immediately to purchase 65,000 shares of common stock with an exercise price equal to the market price on the day of grant. The options had a Black-Scholes value of \$49,686 of the date of grant.

Table Of Contents

Mr. Krishnamurti's 2014 cash compensation remained the same as in 2013, but he was awarded options to purchase 75,000 shares of common stock at \$0.84 per share, and the options vested immediately and had an exercise price equal to the market price on the day of grant. The options had a Black-Scholes value of \$31,055 of the date of grant.

The Company has not used compensation consultants in connection with Mr. Krishnamurti's compensation and has not benchmarked his compensation.

Tax and Accounting Treatment of Compensation

Tax Deductibility Cap on Executive Compensation

The Compensation Committee is aware that Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code treats certain elements of executive compensation in excess of \$1 million a year as an expense not deductible by the Company for federal income tax purposes. Depending on the market price of the Company's common stock on the date of exercise of options that are not performance-based, the compensation of certain executive officers in future years may be in excess of \$1 million for purposes of Section 162(m). The Compensation Committee reserves the right to pay compensation that may be non-deductible to the Company if it determines that it would be in the best interests of the Company.

Tax and Accounting Treatment of Options

We are required to recognize in our financial statements compensation cost arising from the issuance of stock options. GAAP requires that such that compensation cost is determined using fair value principles (we use the Black-Scholes method of valuation) and is recognized in our financial statements over the requisite service period of an instrument. However, the tax deduction is only recorded on our tax return when the option is exercised. The tax benefit received at exercise and recognized in our tax return is generally equal to the intrinsic value of the option on the date of exercise.

Compensation of Policies and Practices as they relate to Risk Management

The Company does not believe that its compensation policies and practices (cash compensation and at-the-market or above-market five- and ten-year options without or without performance standards and with or without vesting schedules) are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company as they relate to risk management practices and risk-taking incentives.

Compensation Committee Report

Edgar Filing: Applied Minerals, Inc. - Form POS AM

The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis with management. Based on such review and discussions, the Compensation Committee recommended to the Board that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in the Annual Report on Form 10-K and the Company's next proxy statement for the election of director.

Mario Concha

John Levy

Robert Betz

45

Table Of Contents**SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT**

The following table sets forth, as of March 10, 2016, information regarding the beneficial ownership of our common stock with respect to each of the named executive officers, each of our directors, each person known by us to own beneficially more than 5% of the common stock, and all of our directors and executive officers as a group. Each individual or entity named has sole investment and voting power with respect to shares of common stock indicated as beneficially owned by such person, subject to community property laws, where applicable, except where otherwise noted. The percentage of common stock beneficially owned is based on 97,255,694 shares of common stock outstanding as of April 7, 2016 plus the shares that a person has a right to acquire within 60 days of April 14, 2016.

| Name and Address (1) | Number of Shares of Common Stock Beneficially Owned (2) | Percentage of Common Stock Beneficially Owned | |
|---------------------------------------|--|---|---|
| John Levy (3) (4) | 723,361 | * | |
| Robert Betz (3) (5) | 326,321 | * | |
| Mario Concha (3) (6) | 345,989 | * | |
| David Taft (3) (7) | 26,544,785 | 26.2 | % |
| Bradley Tirpak (3) (8) | 234,919 | * | |
| Ali Zamani (3) (9) | 233,944 | * | |
| Andre Zeitoun (3) (10) (16) | 9,144,625 | 8.7 | % |
| Christopher T. Carney (11) (16) | 3,622,691 | 3.6 | % |
| William Gleeson (12) (16) | 1,570,750 | 1.6 | % |
| All Officers and Directors as a Group | 42,835,391 | 37.5 | % |
| IBS Capital, LLC (7) | 26,544,785 | 26.2 | % |
| Samlyn Capital, LLC (13) | 22,582,880 | 20.6 | % |
| Berylson Master Fund, L.P. (14) | 6,058,150 | 6.0 | % |
| John Berylson (14) | 7,295,150 | 7.3 | % |
| Kingdon Capital Management, LLC (15) | 6,159,136 | 6.0 | % |

* Less than 1%

(1) Unless otherwise indicated, the address of the persons named in this column is c/o Applied Minerals, Inc., 110 Greene Street, Suite 1101, New York, NY 10012.

Included in this calculation are shares deemed beneficially owned by virtue of the individual's right to acquire (2) them within 60 days of the date of March 10, 2016 as determined pursuant to Rule 13d-3 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

(3) Director

Mr. Levy's holdings include: (i) options to purchase 15,000 shares of common stock at \$1.00 per share expiring in June, 2016; (ii) options to purchase 50,000 shares of common stock at \$0.83 per share expiring November, 2016; (iii) options to purchase 100,000 shares of common stock at \$1.24 per share expiring in January, 2018; (iv) options to purchase 100,000 shares of common stock at \$1.66 per share expiring November, 2022; (v) options to (4) purchase 50,000 shares of common stock at \$0.83 per share expiring in March, 2024; (vi) options to purchase 50,000 shares of common stock at \$0.66 per share expiring in February, 2025; (vii) options to purchase 50,000 shares of common stock at \$0.28 per share, vesting equally on March 31, June 30, September 30 and December 31, 2016 and expiring in January, 2026; and (viii) options to purchase 51,170 shares of common stock at \$0.29 per share expiring in January, 2026.

Mr. Betz's holdings include: (i) options to purchase 50,000 shares of common stock at \$0.83 per share expiring in March, 2024; (ii) options to purchase 50,000 shares of common stock at \$0.66 per share expiring in February (5) 2025; (iii) options to purchase 50,000 shares of common stock at \$0.28 per share, vesting equally on March 31, June 30, September 30 and December 31, 2016 and expiring in January, 2026; and (iv) options to purchase 33,937 shares of common stock at \$0.29 per share expiring in January, 2021.

Mr. Concha's holdings include: (i) options to purchase 50,000 shares of common stock at \$0.83 per share expiring in March, 2024; (ii) options to purchase 50,000 shares of common stock at \$0.66 per share expiring in February, (6) 2025; (iii) options to purchase 50,000 shares of common stock at \$0.28 per share, vesting equally on March 31, June 30, September 30 and December 31, 2016 and expiring in January, 2026; and (iv) options to purchase 33,937 shares of common stock at \$0.29 per share expiring in January, 2021.

Table Of Contents

- Mr. Taft is President of IBS Capital LLC and deemed to be the beneficial owner of shares held by the funds it manages by virtue of the right to vote and dispose of such securities. The IBS Turnaround Fund (QP) (A Limited Partnership) owns (i) 14,405,583 shares of common stock; (ii) 2,131,540 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of 10% PIK Election Convertible Notes due 2018 (“Series A Notes”); and (iii) options to purchase
- (7) 49,820 shares of common stock at \$0.21 per share expiring in January, 2021. The IBS Turnaround Fund (A Limited Partnership) owns (i) 6,875,997 shares of common stock; (ii) 1,014,180 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of the Series A Notes; and (iii) options to purchase 25,175 shares of common stock at \$0.21 expiring in January, 2021. The IBS Opportunity Fund, Ltd. owns (i) 1,368,820 shares of common stock; (ii) 667,270 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of the Series A Notes; and (iii) options to purchase 6,400 shares of common stock at \$0.21 per share expiring in January, 2021.
- Mr. Tirpak’s holdings include: (i) options to purchase 28,767 shares of common stock \$0.73 per share expiring in April, 2025; (ii) options to purchase 14,140 shares of common stock at \$0.285 per share expiring in January, 2026;
- (8) (iii) options to purchase 50,000 shares of common stock at \$0.28 per share, vesting equally on March 31, June 30, September 30 and December 31, 2016 and expiring in January, 2026; and (iv) options to purchase 18,275 shares of common stock at \$0.285 per share expiring in January, 2021.
- Mr. Zamani’s holdings include: (i) options to purchase 50,000 shares of common stock at \$0.83 per share expiring in March, 2024; (ii) options to purchase 50,000 shares of common stock at \$0.66 per share expiring in February,
- (9) 2025; (iii) options to purchase 50,000 shares of common stock at \$0.28 per share, vesting equally on March 31, June 30, September 30 and December 31, 2016 and expiring in January, 2026; and (iv) 73,099 shares of common stock at \$0.285 per share expiring in January, 2021.
- Mr. Zeitoun’s holdings include (i) options (held through Material Advisors) to purchase 3,949,966 shares of common stock at \$0.70 per share expiring in January, 2019; (ii) options (held through Material Advisors) to
- (10) purchase 1,742,792 shares of common stock at \$0.83 per share expiring in January, 2021; (iii) options to purchase 1,742,792 shares of common stock at \$1.66 per share expiring in November, 2022; and (iv) options to purchase 331,126 shares of common stock at \$0.24 per share expiring in March, 2021.
- Mr. Carney’s holdings include: (i) options to purchase 1,316,655 shares of common stock at \$0.70 per share expiring in January, 2019; (ii) options to purchase 580,930 shares of common stock at \$0.83 per share expiring in
- (11) January, 2022; (iii) options to purchase 580,931 shares of common stock at \$1.66 per share expiring in January, 2023; (iv) options to purchase 75,000 shares of common stock at \$0.84 per share expiring in June, 2024; (v) options to purchase 16,665 shares of common stock at \$0.68 per share expiring in February, 2025; and (vi) options to purchase 248,344 shares of common stock at \$0.24 per share expiring in March, 2021.
- Mr. Gleeson’s holdings include: (i) options to purchase 900,000 shares of common stock at \$1.90 per share expiring in September, 2021; (ii) options to purchase 72,406 shares of common stock at \$1.66 per share expiring
- (12) in November, 2022; (iii) options to purchase 350,000 shares of common stock at \$0.84 per share expiring in June, 2024; and (iv) options to purchase 248,344 shares of common stock at \$0.24 per share expiring in March, 2021.
- Samlyn Capital, LLC, 500 Park Avenue, 2nd Floor, New York, N.Y. 10022, is the beneficial owner of shares held by funds it manages by virtue of the right to vote and dispose of the securities. Based on a Schedule 13D/A filed on November 11, 2014, Samlyn Onshore Fund, L.P. owns 8,228,842 shares, including 4,378,842 shares of
- (13) common stock issuable upon conversion of the Series A Notes and Samlyn Offshore Master Fund, Ltd., owned 14,354,038 shares of common stock, including 8,204,038 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of the Series A Notes. Robert Pohly is the president of Samlyn Capital, LLC. He has beneficial ownership of shares owned by funds of which Samlyn Capital, LLC is the general partner or investment manager, Mr. Pohly having sole voting and investment power.
- (14) Based on a Schedule 13D filed on May 1, 2015, James Berylson is the sole managing member of Berylson Capital Partners, LLC, which manages the Berylson Master Fund, L.P. Of the 6,058,150 shares owned by the Berylson Master Fund, L.P., 3,753,769 shares are issuable upon conversion of Series 2023 Notes owned by the

Berylson Master Fund, L.P. Mr. Berylson may be deemed to beneficially own the 6,058,150 shares. Mr. Berylson owns and additional 1,273,000 shares. The address of Berylson Capital Partners, LLC is 200 Clarendon Street, Boston, MA 02116.

- Based on a Schedule 13G/A filed on February 16, 2016, Kingdon Capital Management, LLC, 152 West 57th Street, 50th Floor, New York, N.Y. 10019, is the beneficial owner of shares of the Company, which are held by funds it manages by virtue of the right to vote and dispose of the securities. Kingdon Associates owns 1,985,089 shares through the conversion of its ownership of Series 2023 and Series A Convertible PIK Notes, Kingdon (15) Family Partnership, L.P. owns 454,851 shares through the conversion of its ownership of Series 2023 and Series A Convertible PIK Notes, and M. Kingdon Offshore Mast Fund, L.P. owns 3,719,196 shares through the conversion of its ownership of Series 2023 and Series A Convertible PIK Notes. Mark Kingdon is the President of Kingdon Capital Management, LLC and may be deemed to beneficially own these shares.
- (16) Executive officer.

Table Of Contents

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

Review, approval or ratification of transactions with related persons.

Our Board of Directors reviews any transaction, except for ordinary business travel and entertainment, involving the Company and a related party before the transaction or upon any significant change in the transaction or relationship. For these purposes, the term "related-party transaction" includes any transaction required to be disclosed pursuant to Item 404 of Regulation S-K of the SEC.

Transactions with Related Persons

In 2014, funds managed by IBS Capital LLC, of which Mr. Taft, a director is president, purchased \$3,030,303 of Series A Notes and funds managed by Samlyn Capital, LLC purchased \$10,000,000 of Series A Notes. The Series A Notes bear interest at 10% per year and may be paid, at the Company's election, in cash or Series A Notes. In 2015, the Company issued as interest \$310,606 and \$1,025,000 of Series A Notes to the funds managed by IBS Capital LLC and Samlyn Capital LLC respectively

Eric Basroon, Mr. Zeitoun's brother-in-law, is currently employed and, during 2015, was employed by the Company as Vice President of Business Development. During 2015, he received an annual salary of \$200,000, options to purchase 50,000 shares of stock, such options having an Black Scholes value of \$16,562. During 2016, he will receiving a salary of \$180,000 plus \$20,000 to be issued at various times during the year upon Mr. Basroon's request. On March 10, 2016, Mr. Basroon was granted a bonus consisting of (i) \$37,500 in cash and (ii) options to purchase 248,344 shares of common stock of the Company at \$0.24 per share, which had a Black-Scholes value of \$37,500 at Match 10, 2016. The bonus granted to Mr. Basroon was for his performance during 2015.

Director Independence

The directors who are deemed to be independent under the independence standards of NASDAQ (the independence standard used by the Company) are Messrs. Levy, Concha, Betz, Tirpak, and Zamani. They are also independent under the enhanced independence standards of Section 10A-3 of the Securities Exchange Act. Messrs. Zeitoun and Taft are not independent under the NASDAQ standards of independence. Mr. Zeitoun is an employee. Mr. Taft is deemed not independent because of the size of his security holdings.

PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

EisnerAmper LLP was selected by our Board of Directors as the Company’s independent accountant for the years ending December 31, 2015 and 2014.

The following table presents fees for audit services rendered by EisnerAmper, the independent auditor for the audits of the Company’s annual consolidated financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

| | December 31, 2015 | December 31, 2014 |
|--------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Audit Fees (1) | \$ 151,500 | \$ 121,500 |
| Audit-Related Fees | -- | -- |
| Tax Fees (2) | 7,500 | 7,500 |
| Total | \$ 159,000 | \$ 129,000 |

(1) Audit fees represent the aggregate fees paid for professional services including: (i) audit, (ii) S-1 filings and (iii) SEC comment letters.

(2) Tax fees represent aggregate fees paid for professional services, principally including fees for tax compliance and tax advice.

Table Of Contents

SEC POSITION ON INDEMNIFICATION FOR SECURITIES ACT LIABILITIES

As permitted by the Delaware General Corporation Law, the Bylaws of the Company provide that (i) the Company is required to indemnify its directors and officers to the fullest extent permitted by the Delaware General Corporation Law, (ii) the Company is required to advance expenses, as incurred, to its directors and officers in connection with a legal proceeding to the fullest extent permitted by the Delaware General Corporation Law, subject to certain very limited exceptions, (iii) the Company may indemnify any other person as set forth in the Delaware General Corporation Law, and (iii) the indemnification rights conferred in the Bylaws are not exclusive.

Insofar as indemnification for liabilities arising under the Securities Act of 1933 may be permitted to our directors, officers, and controlling persons pursuant to the provisions described above or otherwise, we have been advised that in the opinion of the SEC such indemnification is against public policy as expressed in the Securities Act and is, therefore, unenforceable. In the event that a claim for indemnification against such liabilities (other than the payment of expenses incurred or paid in a successful defense of any action, suit or proceeding) is asserted by such director, officer or controlling person in connection with the Common Stock being registered, we will, unless in the opinion of our counsel the matter has been settled by controlling precedent, submit to the court of appropriate jurisdiction the question whether such indemnification by it is against public policy as expressed in the Securities Act and will be governed by the final adjudication of such issue.

THE OFFERING

This prospectus relates to the offer and sale by the Selling Stockholders, from time to time, of the following:

Up to 27,537,181 shares of Common Stock, issuable on conversion of 10% PIK-Election Convertible Notes due 2018 (the "Series A Notes" or the "Notes") issued on November 3, 2014. At the Company's election, interest may be paid in cash or in Series A Notes. As of the date of this prospectus, 14,163,370 shares are issuable on conversion of the Series A Notes that were issued on November 3, 2014 (the date of the initial issuance of Series A Notes) and 2,118,136 shares are issuable on conversion of the Series A Notes that have been issued as interest. If the Company issues additional Series A Notes in payment of interest, the number of shares that may be sold pursuant to this Prospectus relates will increase, and if the Company makes all the interest payments by issuing additional Series A Notes and all the Series A Notes (including all the Series A Notes issued as interest) remain outstanding until maturity, the additional shares issuable on conversion of the Series A Notes issued in payment of interest could increase the number of shares issuable on the conversion of the Series A Notes to 27,537,181 shares. This number assumes that immediately prior to the 2018 maturity date, the maturity date to be extended from 2018 to 2023, the interest rate is lowered to 1% and the conversion price is reduced by \$.10, all in accordance with the terms of the Notes. Given the Company's current financial position, it is anticipated that for the foreseeable future, the Company will pay interest using Series A Notes issued as payment-in-kind interest. The shares of Common Stock that may be

issued on conversion of the Series A Notes are referred to as the “Shares.”

10,000,000 already outstanding shares of Common Stock issued on December 22, 2011.

666,392 shares of Common Stock issued as Liquidated Damages pursuant to Section 2c of the Registration Rights Agreement for the Series A Notes. These shares were issued to satisfy liquidated damages provision related to a delay in the effectiveness of a registration statement for the resale of Common Stock issuable on the conversion of the Series A Notes. The Company was required to pay the noteholders 1% of the principal amount of the Series A Notes if a Registration statement is not filed and effective within 90 days of the issuance of the Series A Notes (and further damages for every 30 days thereafter). The registration statement became effective on July 2015.

ANTIDILUTION PROVISIONS

The number of shares issuable under the Notes may be affected by the antidilution provisions of the Notes. The antidilution provisions adjust the conversion price of the Notes in the event of stock dividends and splits, issuance below the market price of the Common Stock, issuances below the conversion price of the Notes, pro rata distribution of assets, rights plans, tender offers, and exchange offers.

The anti-dilution provisions may be characterized as “broad-based, weighted-average.” Broad-based refers to the fact that the antidilution formulas take into account convertible securities as well as Common Stock. Weighted-average refers to the fact that the adjustment takes into account the number of shares of shares before and after the event in question.

Table Of Contents

THE NOTES

Set forth below are the antidilution provisions of the Series A Notes in the event of sales of Common Stock by the Company below market price and below conversion price (initially \$.92 but \$.82 in the event of a certain extension of the maturity date).

Below Market Issuances. If the Issuer, at any time while this Note is outstanding, shall issue shares of Common Stock or Convertible Securities at an Effective Consideration per share that is less than the Market Price on the Trading Day immediately before the issuance is announced, then the Exercise Price shall be adjusted pursuant to the following formula:

$$N_0 + C/M$$

$$E = E_0 \times \frac{N_0 + NA}{N_0 + C/M}$$

$$N_0 + NA$$

where:

- E = the Exercise Price in effect immediately after the Open of Business on the Trading Day of such issuance;
- E₀ = the Exercise Price in effect immediately prior to the Open of Business on the Trading Day of such issuance;
- N₀ = the number of shares of Common Stock outstanding immediately prior to the Open of Business on the Trading Day of such issuance;
- N_A = the number of shares of Common Stock issued and/or issuable upon exercise, conversion or exchange of any Convertible Securities, full physical settlement assumed;
- C = the total consideration receivable by the Issuer on issuance and/or the exercise, conversion or exchange of any Convertible Securities, full physical settlement assumed; and
- M = the Five-Day VWAP as of the Trading Day immediately preceding the date on which such issuance is announced.

Issuances Below the Exercise Price. If the Issuer, at any time while this Note is outstanding, shall issue shares of Common Stock or Convertible Securities at an Effective Consideration per share that is less than the Exercise Price in effect at the Close of Business on the Trading Day immediately preceding such issuance (other than issuances to directors, officers, employees or consultants of the Issuer as compensation for services rendered to the Issuer by such Persons), then the Exercise Price shall be adjusted pursuant to the following formula:

$$N0 + C/E0$$

$$E = E0 \times \text{-----}$$

$$N0 + NA$$

where:

E = the Exercise Price in effect immediately after the Open of Business on the Trading Day of such issuance;

E0 = the Exercise Price in effect immediately prior to the Open of Business on the Trading Day of such issuance;

$N0$ = the number of shares of Common Stock outstanding immediately prior to the Open of Business on the Trading Day of such issuance;

NA = the number of shares of Common Stock issued and/or issuable upon exercise, conversion or exchange of any Convertible Securities, full physical settlement assumed; and

C = the total consideration receivable by the Issuer on issuance and/or the exercise, conversion or exchange of any Convertible Securities, full physical settlement assumed.

USE OF PROCEEDS

The Company will receive none of the proceeds for the sale of the Common Stock. The proceeds will go to the Selling Stockholders.

Table Of Contents

DESCRIPTION OF CAPITAL STOCK

Set forth below is a description of certain provisions relating to our capital stock. For additional information regarding our capital stock please refer to our Certificate of Incorporation and Bylaws.

COMMON STOCK

Each share of Common Stock entitles the holder to one vote on each matter that may come before a meeting of the stockholders. There is no right to cumulative voting thus, except as noted below, the holders of fifty percent or more of the shares outstanding can, if they choose to do so, elect all of the directors. Samlyn Onshore Fund, LP and Samlyn Offshore Masterfund Ltd are referred hereafter to as the “Samlyn Stockholders.” Until the Samlyn Stockholders, together with their respective affiliates, cease to beneficially own at least 9,700,000 shares of Common Stock (they beneficially own 21,413,043 shares of Common Stock prior to this offering), the Samlyn Stockholders jointly shall have the right to designate one person to be nominated for election to the Board (an “Initial Nominee”), and the Samlyn Stockholders jointly shall exercise this right, in their sole discretion, anytime and from time to time by providing written notice to the Company. Until the earlier of (i) the Samlyn Stockholders, together with their respective affiliates, ceasing to beneficially own at least 9,700,000 shares of Common and (ii) December 22, 2016, if Andre Zeitoun ceases to serve as a named executive officer of the Company or as chairman of the Board, the Samlyn Stockholders jointly, during such period in which Mr. Andre Zeitoun is not serving in such capacity, shall be entitled to designate a number of additional nominees (each an “Additional Nominee,” and together with the Initial Nominee, the “Nominees”) who, together with the Initial Nominee, shall comprise at least 20% of the total number of Directors, and the number of Directors representing such 20% shall be rounded up to the nearest whole number. The Stockholders jointly shall exercise the rights in their sole discretion, anytime and from time to time by providing written notice to the Company.

In the event of a voluntary or involuntary liquidation, all stockholders are entitled to a pro rata distribution after payment of liabilities and after provision has been made for each class of stock, if any, having preference over the Common Stock.

The holders of the Common Stock have no preemptive rights with respect to future offerings of shares of Common Stock except that the Samlyn Stockholders have preemptive rights by contract under investment agreements (“Investment Agreements”) as follows. If the Company proposes to issue any (i) equity securities or (ii) securities convertible into or exercisable or exchangeable for equity securities, other than any Excluded Securities (the “Dilutive Securities”), the Company shall deliver to each Samlyn Stockholder a written notice (which notice shall state the number of Dilutive Securities proposed to be issued, the purchase price thereof and any other material terms or conditions of the proposed Dilutive Securities and of their issuance, including any linked or grouped securities which comprise Dilutive Securities) of such issuance (the “Preemptive Offer Notice”) at least 5 business days prior to the date

of the proposed issuance (such period beginning on the date that the Preemptive Offer Notice is delivered to the Investors and the 5 Business Days following such date being the “Preemptive Offer Period”). Each Investor shall have the option, exercisable at any time during the Preemptive Offer Period by delivering a written notice to the Company (a “Preemptive Offer Acceptance Notice”), to subscribe for up to a number of such Dilutive Securities, equal to the number of such Dilutive Securities proposed to be offered multiplied by a fraction, the numerator of which is the total number of shares of Common Stock beneficially owned by such Investor and any of its affiliates at the time the Company proposes to issue any the securities and the denominator of which is the total number of shares of Common Stock issued and outstanding at such time (“Pro Rata Portion”). The preemptive rights do not apply to the following securities issued by the Company at any time in compliance with the Investment Agreements (the “Excluded Securities”): (i) equity securities or securities convertible into or exercisable or exchangeable for equity securities, in each case issued to directors, officers, employees, or consultants of the Company as compensation for services rendered by such directors, officers, employees, or consultants (ii) shares of Common Stock issued as a dividend on shares of Common Stock or upon any stock split, reclassification, recapitalization, exchange or readjustment of shares or other similar transaction (iii) securities issued as consideration in a merger, consolidation, acquisition of all or substantially all of the another person’s assets or any similar transaction involving the Company and a Person (other than the Investors or an Affiliate of the Company), in each case to the extent that such transaction is conducted in compliance with this Agreement and (iv) securities issued upon the exercise, conversion or exchange of any options, warrants or other derivative securities of the Company issued in compliance with (or otherwise not in violation of) the Investment Agreements.

Holders of Common Stock are entitled to dividends if, as, and when declared by the Board out of the funds legally available therefore. The Series A Notes prohibit dividends without the approval of the holders of a majority of the principal amount of the Series A Notes. It is our present intention to retain earnings, if any, for use in our business. The payment of dividends on our Common Stock is unlikely in the foreseeable future. The Board of Directors is not classified. The Company’s Certificate of Incorporation and Bylaws have no restrictions on alienability of the Common Stock and do not contain any provision discriminating against any existing or prospective holder of such Common Stock as a result of such security holder owning or acquiring a substantial amount of Common Stock.

Table Of Contents

The Delaware General Corporation Law (“GCL”) has a provision called “Business Combinations with Interested Stockholders Act,” by which the Company has elected to be governed.

The Delaware Business Combinations with Interested Stockholders Act generally operates to prevent a wide variety of transactions between the corporation, on one hand, and an “interested shareholder” and its affiliates, on the other hand. It generally prohibits a publicly held Delaware corporation from engaging in a “business combination” with an “interested stockholder” for a period of three years after the date of the transaction in which the person became an interested stockholder, unless prior to such date the Board of Directors of the corporation approved either the business combination or the transaction in which the person became an interested stockholder, (ii) upon consummation of the transaction that resulted in the stockholder becoming an interested stockholder, the interested stockholder owns at least 85% of the outstanding voting stock of the corporation excluding shares owned by officers or directors of the corporation and by certain employee stock plans, or (iii) on or after such date the business combination is approved by the Board of Directors of the corporation and by the affirmative vote of at least 66 2/3% of the outstanding voting stock of the corporation that is not owned by the interested stockholder. The term “business combination” generally includes mergers, asset sales, and similar transactions between the corporation and the interested stockholder, and other transactions resulting in a financial benefit to the stockholder. An “interested stockholder” is a person who, together with affiliates and associates, owns 15% or more of the corporation’s voting stock or who is an affiliate or associate of the corporation and, together with his affiliates and associates, has owned 15% or more of the corporation’s voting stock within three years.

Table Of Contents**SELLING STOCKHOLDERS**

The Selling Stockholders are named in the table below. Each Selling Stockholder acquired the Common Stock to be sold using this prospectus in a private transaction offered and sold by the Company in reliance upon exemptions from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act or in open market transactions.

The term “Selling Stockholders ” includes persons listed in the table below and also includes donees, pledgees, transferees or other successors-in-interest selling shares of Common Stock, or interests in shares of Common Stock, received after the date of this prospectus from a Selling Stockholder as a gift, pledge, partnership distribution or similar transaction. The Selling Stockholders may sell all or any portion of their shares of Common Stock in one or more transactions on any stock exchange, market or trading facility on which the shares are traded or in private, negotiated transactions. Each Selling Stockholder will determine the prices at which the Selling Stockholder’s shares will be sold.

The information below is based in part on information provided by or on behalf of the Selling Stockholders. Beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with the rules of the SEC, and includes voting or investment power with respect to shares, as well as any shares as to which the Selling Stockholder has the right to acquire beneficial ownership within sixty (60) days after April 14, 2016 through the exercise or conversion of any stock options, warrants, convertible debt or otherwise. No estimate can be given as to the amount or percentage of our Common Stock that will be held by the Selling Stockholders after any sales or other dispositions made pursuant to this prospectus because the Selling Stockholders are not required to sell any of the shares being registered under this prospectus. The table below assumes that the Selling Stockholders will sell all of the shares listed in this prospectus.

Unless otherwise indicated in the footnotes to the table, (i) the named persons possess sole voting and investment control with respect to the shares listed (except to the extent such authority is shared with spouses under applicable law) as of April 14, 2016, (ii) the Selling Stockholders have not held any position or office or had any material relationship with our Company or any of its subsidiaries within the past three years, and (iii) the Selling Stockholder is not a broker-dealer, or an affiliate of a broker-dealer

| | Shares | Maximum | | |
|--------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Selling | beneficially | number of | Shares | Percentage owned after the |
| Stockholder | owned before | shares | beneficially | offering(3) |
| | the | to be sold in | Owned after | |
| | offering(1) | the | the offering | |

Edgar Filing: Applied Minerals, Inc. - Form POS AM

| | | offering(2) | | |
|--|------------|--------------------|------------|------|
| Samlyn Offshore Master Fund Ltd. (4) | 19,928,840 | 19,928,840 | 0 | 0 |
| Samlyn Onshore Fund LP (4) | 11,204,350 | 11,204,350 | 0 | 0 |
| IBS Turnaround Fund (A Limited Partnership) (5) | 8,604,507 | 1,703,335 | 6,901,172 | 7.1 |
| IBS Turnaround Fund QP (A Limited Partnership) (5) | 18,035,366 | 3,579,963 | 14,455,403 | 14.9 |
| IBS Opportunity Fund (5) | 2,495,914 | 1,120,694 | 1,375,220 | 1.4 |

- The number of shares in this column includes all shares that are registered in this offering: The number of shares in this column includes all shares registered in this offering: (i) 10 million Shares held by the Samlyn Entities and (ii) the maximum number of shares issuable on conversion of the Series A Notes, which maximum assumes that (1) all interest payments are paid in kind and the maturity date is extended to 2019 thereby reducing the conversion price from \$.92 to \$.82 and the maturity date is further extended to the maturity date of the Series 2023 Notes; and (iii) as to the Samlyn entities an aggregate of 10 million shares of Common Stock and (b) other shares beneficially owned.
- (2) The number of shares in this column includes (a) all shares that are registered in this offering. As of April 14, 2016, 97,255,694 shares were issued and outstanding. The numerator in the percentage ownership calculation is the same number as in the “shares beneficially owned after the offering” column and the denominator (3) is the number of outstanding shares plus the amount of shares included in the “shares beneficially owned after the offering,” which are issuable upon the conversion of any convertible securities.
- Robert Pholy, the managing member of Samlyn Partners, LLC, which is the general partner of Samlyn Onshore (4) Fund, LP, and Samlyn Capital, LLC, which is the investment manager of Samlyn Offshore Master Fund, Ltd. has sole investment and voting power over the shares.
- (5) David Taft, a current member of the Company’s Board of Directors, is President of IBS Capital LLC, which manages the named funds, has sole investment and voting power over the shares.

Table Of Contents

PLAN OF DISTRIBUTION

The term “Selling Stockholders ” includes the persons listed in the table under “Selling Stockholders ” and also includes donees, pledgees, transferees or other successors-in-interest selling shares of Common Stock or interests in shares of Common Stock received after the date of this prospectus from a Selling Stockholder as a gift, pledge, partnership distribution or similar transaction.

Each Selling Stockholder will determine the prices at which the Stockholder’s Common Stock will be sold. These sales may be at fixed or negotiated prices.

The Selling Stockholders may sell all or any portion of their Common Stock in one or more transactions on any stock exchange, market or trading facility on which the Common Stock are traded or in private transactions.

The Selling Stockholders may use any method or combination of methods, for sale of the Common Stock to the extent permitted by law. Such methods may include:

ordinary brokerage transactions and transactions in which the broker-dealer solicits purchasers

block trades in which the broker-dealer will attempt to sell the Common Stock as agent but may position and resell a portion of the block as principal to facilitate the transaction

purchases by a broker-dealer as principal and resale by the broker-dealer for its account

an exchange distribution in accordance with the rules of the applicable exchange

privately negotiated transactions

short sales

broker-dealers may agree with the Selling Stockholders to sell a specified number of such Common Stock at a stipulated price per share

a combination of any such methods of sale and

puts and calls and other transactions in our Common Stock or derivatives of our Common Stock, which may involve the sale or delivery of Common Stock in connection with these transactions

The Selling Stockholders may also sell the Common Stock under exemptions for registration under Section 5 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (“Securities Act”), including sales under Rule 144 under the Securities Act, if available, rather than under this prospectus.

Table Of Contents

The Selling Stockholders and any broker-dealers or agents that are involved in selling Common Stock may be deemed to be “underwriters” within the meaning of the Securities Act in connection with such sales. In such event, any commissions received by such broker-dealers or agents and any profit on the resale of the Common Stock purchased by them may be deemed to be underwriting commissions or discounts under the Securities Act.

The Selling Stockholders may indemnify any broker-dealer that participates in transactions involving the sale of the Securities against certain liabilities, including liabilities arising under the Securities Act.

The Selling Stockholders may from time to time pledge or grant a security interest in some or all of the Common Stock owned by them and, if they default in the performance of their secured obligations, the pledgees or secured parties may offer and sell the Common Stock from time to time under this prospectus after we have filed a supplement to this prospectus under Rule 424(b)(3) (or other applicable provision under the Securities Act) amending the list of Selling Stockholders to include the pledgee, transferee or other successors in interest as Selling Stockholders under this prospectus.

The Selling Stockholders also may transfer the Common Stock in other circumstances, in which case the transferees, or other successors in interest will be the selling beneficial owners for purposes of this prospectus and may sell the Common Stock from time to time under this prospectus after we have filed a supplement to this prospectus under Rule 424(b)(3) (or other applicable provision under the Securities Act) amending the list of Selling Stockholders to include the transferee or other successors in interest as Selling Stockholders under this prospectus.

Each Selling Stockholder has advised the Company that it acquired the Series 2023 Notes or the Common Stock in the ordinary course of such Selling Stockholder’s business, that it has not entered into any agreements, understandings or arrangements with any underwriters or broker-dealers regarding the sale of their Securities, and there is no underwriter or coordinating broker acting in connection with a proposed sale of Common Stock by any Selling Stockholder. If we are notified by any Selling Stockholder that any material arrangement has been entered into with a broker-dealer for the sale of Common Stock, we will file a supplement, if required, to this prospectus disclosing the material facts relating to the arrangement and the related transactions.

If the Selling Stockholders use this prospectus for any sale of the Common Stock, they will be subject to the prospectus delivery requirements of the Securities Act.

The Company has advised each Selling Stockholder that it may not use Common Stock registered on the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part to cover short sales of Common Stock made prior to the date on which this registration statement shall have been declared effective by the SEC.

The Selling Stockholders and other persons participating in the sale or distribution of the Common Stock will be subject to the applicable provisions of the Securities Act and Securities Exchange Act, and the rules and regulations thereunder promulgated, including, without limitation, Regulation M, as applicable to such Selling Stockholders in connection with resales of their respective Common Stock under this registration statement.

In order to comply with the securities laws of some states, if applicable, the Common Stock may be sold in these jurisdictions only through registered or licensed brokers or dealers. In addition, in some states the Common Stock may not be sold unless it has been registered or qualified for sale or an exemption from registration or qualification requirements is available and is complied with.

Although we will incur expenses in connection with the registration of the Common Stock offered under this prospectus, we will not receive any proceeds from the sale of the Common Stock by the Selling Stockholders.

We have agreed to indemnify certain Selling Stockholders against liabilities, including liabilities under the Securities Act and state securities laws, relating to the registration of the Common Stock offered by this prospectus.

Table Of Contents

EXPERTS

The consolidated balance sheets of Applied Minerals, Inc. as of December 31, 2015 and 2014 and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in stockholders' equity (deficit), and cash flows and the related schedule for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2015, appearing in this prospectus and registration statement, have been audited by EisnerAmper LLP, independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report, thereon (which contained an explanatory paragraph describing conditions that raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern as described in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements) appearing herein. Such consolidated financial statements have been included herein in reliance on the report of such firm given upon their authority as experts in accounting and auditing.

LEGAL MATTERS

Unless otherwise indicated in any applicable prospectus supplement, the validity of the Common Stock being offered hereby has been passed upon for us by William Gleeson Esq., the General Counsel of the Company. Mr. Gleeson owns options to purchase 1,572,406 shares of Common Stock.

WHERE YOU CAN FIND MORE INFORMATION

We are subject to the informational requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and, in accordance therewith, file reports, proxy statements and other information with the SEC. Our reports, proxy statements and other information filed pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 are available to the public over the Internet from the SEC's website at <http://www.sec.gov> and may be inspected and copied at the public reference facilities maintained by the SEC at 100 F. Street, N.E., Room 1580, Washington, D.C. 20549. The public may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC maintains an Internet site that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the SEC and the address of that site is <http://www.sec.gov>.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF

FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

OVERVIEW

Applied Minerals, Inc. is a leading global producer of DRAGONITE halloysite clay and AMIRON advanced natural iron oxides. We are a vertically integrated operation focused on developing grades of DRAGONITE and AMIRON that can be utilized for both traditional and advanced end-market applications. We have mineral production capacity of up to approximately 55,000 tons per year. See “ITEM 1. BUSINESS” for further details regarding both our business strategy.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The following accounting policies have been identified by management as policies critical to the Company’s financial reporting:

Fair Value

ASC Topic 820, *Fair Value Measurement and Disclosures*, defines fair value as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. This topic also establishes a fair value hierarchy, which requires classification based on observable and unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The fair value hierarchy distinguishes between assumptions based on market data (observable inputs) and an entity’s own assumptions (unobservable inputs). The hierarchy consists of three levels:

Level 1 – quoted prices in active markets for identical assets and liabilities

Level 2 – observable inputs other than quoted prices in active markets for identical assets and liabilities

Level 3 – significant unobservable inputs

The recorded value of certain financial assets and liabilities, which consist primarily of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, other current assets, and accounts payable and accrued expenses approximate the fair value at December 31, 2015 and 2014 based upon the short-term nature of the assets and liabilities. Based on borrowing rates currently available to the Company for loans with similar terms, and the remaining short term period outstanding, the carrying value of notes payable other than the PIK Notes, materially approximate their fair value. The fair value of the PIK Notes payable was estimated using a Monte Carlo Model..

Table Of Contents

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

Long-lived assets are tested for recoverability whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that its carrying amount may not be recoverable. When such events occur, the Company compares the sum of the undiscounted cash flows expected to result from the use and eventual disposition of the asset to its carrying amount. If this comparison indicates that there is impairment, the amount of the impairment is typically calculated using discounted expected future cash flows where observable fair values are not readily determinable.

RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued new accounting guidance, Accounting Standards Update (“ASU”) No. 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers, on revenue recognition. The new standard provides for a single five-step model to be applied to all revenue contracts with customers as well as requires additional financial statement disclosures that will enable users to understand the nature, amount, timing and uncertainty of revenue and cash flows relating to customer contracts. Companies have an option to use either a retrospective approach or cumulative effect adjustment approach to implement the standard. Accounting Standards Update No. 2014-09 is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim periods within that reporting period. Early adoption is permitted, but not before the original effective date of the standard. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of the new guidance on our consolidated financial statements.

On June 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-12, Accounting for Share-Based Payments When the Terms of an Award Provide That a Performance Target Could Be Achieved after the Requisite Service Period, which clarifies that entities should treat performance targets that can be met after the requisite service period of a share-based payment award as performance conditions that affect vesting. Under the ASU, an entity would not record compensation expense related to an award for which transfer to the employee is contingent on the entity’s satisfaction of a performance target until it becomes probable that the performance target will be met. The adoption of this ASU will be required, either on a retrospective basis or prospective basis, beginning with the quarter ending March 31, 2016. The adoption of this ASU is not expected to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In August 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-15, “Presentation of Financial Statements – Going Concern (Subtopic 205-10): Disclosure of Uncertainties about an Entity’s Ability to Continue as a Going Concern” (“ASU 2014-15”). ASU 2014-15 is intended to define management’s responsibility to evaluate whether there is substantial doubt about an entity’s ability to continue as a going concern and to provide related footnote disclosures. Specifically, ASU 2014-15 provides a definition of the term substantial doubt and requires an assessment for a period of one year after the date the financial statements are issued. It also requires certain disclosures when substantial doubt is alleviated as a result of consideration of management’s plans and requires an express statement and other disclosures when substantial doubt is not alleviated. The new standard will be effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016 with early adoption permitted. Management is currently evaluating the impact of the adoption of ASU 2014-14 on our

financial disclosures.

In April 2015, the FASB issued an ASU 2015-03, which requires that debt issuance costs be presented in the balance sheet as a direct reduction to the carrying amount of the associated debt liability, consistent with debt discounts. Currently debt issuance costs are recognized as an asset. The ASU is effective for the Company in the first quarter of 2016 and is required to be applied retrospectively. Early adoption is permitted. The Company does not expect the adoption of this standard to have a material impact on its balance sheets.

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-02, Leases, which is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2018 with early adoption permitted. Under Accounting Standards Update 2016-02, lessees will be required to recognize for all leases at the commencement date a lease liability, which is a lessee's obligation to make lease payments arising from a lease measured on a discounted basis, and a right-to-use asset, which is an asset that represents the lessee's right to use or control the use of a specified asset for the lease term. The Company is currently evaluating the effect that the new guidance will have on its financial statements and related disclosures.

Table Of Contents**Results of Operations - 2015 Compared to 2014**

The following sets forth, for the periods indicated, certain components of our operating earnings, including such data stated as percentage of revenues:

| | Twelve Months Ended December 31, | | | | Variance | |
|--|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------|-------------|--------|
| | 2015 | % of Rev. | 2014 | % of Rev. | Amount | % |
| REVENUES | \$507,474 | 100 % | \$234,221 | 100 % | \$273,253 | 117 % |
| OPERATING EXPENSES: | | | | | | |
| Production costs | 9,204 | 2 % | 49,464 | 21 % | (40,260) | (81 %) |
| Exploration costs | 4,245,478 | 837 % | 4,626,139 | 1,975 % | (380,661) | (8 %) |
| General and administrative * | 4,857,015 * | 957 % | 5,195,830 * | 2,218 % | (338,815) | (7 %) |
| Depreciation expense | 1,312,585 | 259 % | 1,164,366 | 497 % | 148,219 | 13 % |
| Total Operating Expenses | 10,424,282 | 2,054 % | 11,035,799 | 4,712 % | (611,517) | (6 %) |
| Operating Loss | (9,916,808) | (1,954%) | (10,801,578) | (4,612%) | 884,770 | (8 %) |
| OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE): | | | | | | |
| Interest expense, net, including amortization of deferred financing cost and debt discount | (4,567,952) | (900 %) | (1,667,285) | (712 %) | (2,900,667) | 174 % |
| Gain on revaluation of warrants derivative | 0 | 0 % | 830,000 | 354 % | (830,000) | (100%) |
| Gain on revaluation of stock awards | 0 | 0 % | 110,000 | 47 % | (110,000) | (100%) |
| Gain on revaluation of PIK Notes | 5,328,515 | 1,050 % | 1,470,798 | 628 % | 3,857,717 | 262 % |
| Other (expense) | (648,892) | (128 %) | (258,252) | (110 %) | (390,640) | 151 % |
| Total Other Income (Expense) | 111,671 | 25 % | 485,261 | (207 %) | (373,590) | (77 %) |
| Net Loss | \$ (9,805,137) | (1,932%) | \$ (10,316,317) | (4,405%) | \$511,180 | (5 %) |

* Includes \$397,417 and \$865,716 of noncash stock compensation expense for 2015 and 2014, respectively, relating to employee and consultant stock options.

Revenue generated during 2015 was \$507,474, compared to \$234,221 of revenue generated during the same period in 2014, an increase of 117.0%. DRAGONITE sales in 2015 were \$324,085 and AMIRON sales were \$183,389. DRAGONITE sales increased by approximately \$117,000 (56.5%) during 2015 compared to 2014. This increase resulted primarily from a \$120,000 increase in sales to customers utilizing DRAGONITE in commercial applications

that include catalysts, nucleating agents for plastic and reinforcing fillers for plastics. This increase was partially offset by a decline in sales of DRAGONITE for testing and scale-up purposes. AMIRON sales increased approximately \$161,000 (714.3%) compared to 2014. The increase was due to sales made to two customers who are using the material for pigment and catalyst applications.

Total operating expenses for 2015 were \$10,424,282 compared to \$11,035,799 of expenses incurred during the same period in 2014, a decrease of \$611,517 or 6.0%. The components of the Company's operating expenses include exploration costs and general and administrative expenses. Exploration costs are incurred by our Dragon Mine operation. General and administrative costs primarily include expenses incurred by our New York-based operation. The 6.0% decline in operating expenses was primarily due to an 8.0% decline in exploration costs and a 7% decline in general and administrative expenses, offset by a 13% increase in depreciation expense.

Table Of Contents

Exploration costs, excluding depreciation expense, incurred during 2015 were \$4,245,478 compared to \$4,626,139 of costs incurred during the same period in 2014, a decrease of 8.0%. The decline was driven primarily by a \$246,700, or 66.7%, decline in the use of outside labs for product development and testing, a \$280,200, or 57.8%, decline in the use of geological consulting services, a \$86,800, or 5.1%, decline in wage expense, a \$61,100 decline in drilling materials and equipment maintenance, and a \$57,200, or 24.5%, decline in utility (electricity) charges. These decreases were partially offset by a \$163,500, or 328.9% increase in equipment rentals and leases, a \$63,500, or 206.7%, increase in safety expense, a \$61,300, or 67.1%, increase in building maintenance expense, a \$34,600, or 357.6%, increase in consulting expense, and a \$29,200 increase in workers' compensation related to the Dragon Mine operations.

The decrease in expense related to the use of outside labs for product development and testing was driven by the Company's decision to establish a lab at the Dragon Mine facility and conduct most of its product development and testing in-house. The decline in expense related to the use of geological consulting services is, in large part, due to the completion of the characterization of the Dragon Mine resource. The decline in wage expense, drilling materials costs and equipment maintenance expense were due, in large part, to the completion of certain underground development activities during the latter half of 2016. The increase in equipment rentals and leases was due to equipment needs related to pre-production of the iron oxide contract and equipment needed related to work focused on enhancing our clay processing capabilities. The increase in safety expense is related to the Company's effort to enhance its compliance with MSHA standards.

The increase in building maintenance expense was due to work needed to enhance the reliability of the Company's larger mill facility. The increase in consulting expense is due to the hiring of surveyor to perform continued work on the Dragon Mine property. The increase in workers' compensation expense is related to a slight increase in rates.

General and administrative expenses for 2015 totaled \$4,857,015 compared to \$5,195,830 of expense incurred during the same period in 2014, a decrease of 6.0%. The decline was driven primarily by a \$263,900, or 10.6%, decrease in payroll expense and a \$468,300, or 54.1%, decrease in compensation expense, partially offset by a \$152,700, or 103.2%, increase in shareholder expenses, a \$137,800, or 46.5%, increase in director expense, and a \$90,700, or 101.9%, increase in consulting service fees. The decline in payroll expense is the result of a decline in certain executive compensation. The decrease in equity compensation is the result of a decrease in the value of equity granted to employees. The increase in shareholder expense was due primarily to the engagement of a new investor relations firm. The increase in director expense was due to the addition of a director. The increase in consulting expense was due to the engagement of a cosmetic product development lab..

Other income for 2015 was \$111,671 compared to other income of \$485,261 during the same period in 2014, a decrease of 77%. The \$373,590 decline in other income was driven primarily by a \$2,900,667, or 174.0%, increase in interest expense, a \$3,857,717, or 262.3%, increase in the gain on the revaluation of PIK Notes derivative, and a \$390,640, or 151.3%, increase in other expense. The increase in interest expense is due to the issuance of approximately \$19.8 million of the 10% Convertible PIK Notes due 2018. The increase in the revaluation of PIK Notes derivative is due to a decline in the Company's stock price.

Net Loss for 2015 was \$9,805,137 compared to a net loss of \$10,316,317 for 2014, a decrease of \$511,180 or 5%. The improvement in net loss was driven primarily by the 117.0% increase in revenue and 5.0% decrease in operating expense.

Table Of Contents**Results of Operations - 2014 Compared to 2013**

The following sets forth, for the periods indicated, certain components of our operating earnings, including such data stated as percentage of revenues:

| | Twelve Months Ended December 31, | | | | Variance | |
|--|----------------------------------|-----------|----------------|------------|-------------|---------|
| | 2014 | % of Rev. | 2013 | % of Rev. | Amount | % |
| REVENUES | \$234,221 | 100 % | \$54,825 | 100 % | \$179,396 | 327 % |
| OPERATING EXPENSES: | | | | | | |
| Production costs | 49,464 | 21 % | 17,244 | 31 % | 32,220 | 187 % |
| Exploration costs | 4,626,139 | 1,975 % | 4,551,666 | 8,302 % | 74,473 | 2 % |
| General and administrative * | 5,195,830 * | 2,218 % | 8,569,413 * | 15,630 % | (3,373,583) | (39 %) |
| Depreciation expense | 1,164,366 | 497 % | 317,570 | 579 % | 846,796 | 267 % |
| Loss on impairment and disposition of land and equipment | - 0 - | 0 % | 2,482 | 5 % | (2,482) | (100 %) |
| Total Operating Expenses | 11,035,799 | 4,712 % | 13,458,375 | 24,548 % | (2,422,576) | (18 %) |
| Operating Loss | (10,801,578) | (4,612 %) | (13,403,550) | (24,448 %) | 2,601,972 | (19 %) |
| OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE): | | | | | | |
| Interest expense, net, including amortization of deferred financing cost and debt discount | (1,667,285) | (712 %) | (497,187) | (907 %) | (1,170,098) | 235 % |
| Gain on revaluation of warrants derivative | 830,000 | 354 % | 995,000 | 1,815 % | (165,000) | (17 %) |
| Gain on revaluation of stock awards | 110,000 | 47 % | 44,000 | 80 % | 66,000 | 150 % |
| Gain (Loss) on revaluation of PIK Notes | 1,470,798 | 628 % | (195,000) | (356 %) | 1,665,798 | 854 % |
| Other (expense) | (258,252) | (110 %) | (6,789) | (12 %) | (251,463) | 3,704 % |
| Total Other Income (Expense) | 485,261 | (207 %) | 340,024 | 620 % | 145,237 | 43 % |
| Net Loss | \$(10,316,317) | (4,405 %) | \$(13,063,526) | (23,828 %) | \$2,747,209 | (21 %) |

* Includes \$865,716 and \$4,707,381 of noncash stock compensation expense for 2014 and 2013, respectively, relating to employee and consultant stock options.

Revenue generated during 2014 was \$234,221, compared to \$54,825 of revenue generated during the same period in 2013. Sales of halloysite clay increased to existing and new customers for various uses, including as a nucleating agent, a binder for ceramic-based sanitary ware, and a binder for clay-based molecular sieves. The increase in iron oxide sales stems mainly from usage as a catalyst..

Total operating expenses for 2014 were \$11,035,799 compared to \$13,458,375 of expenses incurred during the same period in 2013, a decrease of \$2,422,576 or 18%. The decrease was due primarily to a \$3,373,583, or 39%, decrease in general and administrative expenses due primarily from lower stock compensation expense incurred from the vesting of stock options, partially offset by increases in exploration costs and depreciation expense from the new mill plant, which was commissioned in 2014.

Exploration costs incurred during 2014 were \$4,626,139 compared to \$4,551,666 of costs incurred during the same period in 2013, an increase of \$74,473 or 2%. The majority of our exploration expenses were related to the continued exploration activities at our Dragon Mine property and the mineralogical analysis of the material mined from the property. The Company hired new mining personnel in 2014 to facilitate the sorting and processing of our minerals, leading to increased personnel costs, including health benefits and workers compensation. The new mill plant constructed also increased utilities, maintenance, insurance and property taxes. These increases were offset by reduced laboratory testing expenses as the Company utilized the newly constructed laboratory and the absence of exploratory drilling cost.

Table Of Contents

General and administrative expenses for 2014 totaled \$5,195,830 compared to \$8,569,413 of expense incurred during the same period in 2013, a decrease of \$3,373,583 or 39%. The reduction was driven primarily by a \$3,841,665 decrease in noncash stock compensation expense due primarily to the absence of expense associated with the vesting of certain management options, partially offset by expenses associated with the hiring of additional personnel in late 2013, including the head of our iron oxide business, increased shareholder expenses relating to additional meetings held during 2014 to increase awareness of the company stock, increased travel-related expenditures, and increased expenses related to the election of two additional directors.

Net Loss for 2014 was \$10,316,317 compared to a loss of \$13,063,526 incurred during 2013, a decrease of \$2,747,209 or 21%. The reduction in the Net Loss was mainly due to reduced stock compensation expense, as described above, and an increase in Other Income, mainly due to a reduction in the derivative liability during 2014.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

The Company has a history of recurring losses from operations and the use of cash in operating activities. For the twelve months ended December 31, 2015, the Company's net loss was \$9,805,137 and cash used in operating activities was \$8,585,557. As of December 31, 2015, the Company had working capital of \$563,324, which, in part, includes \$1,623,152 of accounts payable and accrued liabilities, which were comprised, in part, of \$880,407 of accrued interest on the PIK Notes, which can be paid in additional PIK notes, and \$97,650 for which the Company has accrued an expense but believes it has a statute of limitations defense.

On November 2, 2015, the Company announced that it entered into a 5-year take-or-pay supply agreement. An initial purchase order of \$5 million worth of AMIRON products was received and was to be delivered over the course of 18 months with deliveries commencing on December 1, 2015.

On November 2, 2015, the Company announced that it had begun the implementation of a number of cost-saving initiatives that will serve to strengthen its operational model and enhance its liquidity position as it executes the next steps of its strategy to penetrate the application markets for both its DRAGONITE halloysite clay and AMIRON iron oxide products. The savings realized from these initiatives are anticipated to be derived from efficiencies realized from all aspects of the business, both corporate and operational, putting the company in a stronger position to execute on its current business and pipeline of opportunities. The Company anticipates that these cost savings actions will reduce the fixed component of our annual expenses from \$9.0 million to under \$6.0 million.

On December 15, 2015, the Company, through an auction held in Coeur D'Alene, Idaho, offered for sale four non-core real estate totaling approximately 1,017 acres located throughout the Silver Valley region of Idaho. In January, 2016,

three of the four properties closed for total gross proceeds of \$172,948. Net proceeds received by the Company were \$155,187. On March 23, 2016, the Company entered into an agreement to sell the fourth property for gross proceeds of \$418,000. Net proceeds to the Company are expected to be \$380,000, adjusted for a 10% buyers premium paid to the auction firm, J.P. King.

Based on the Company's current cash usage expectations for 2016, it believes it will have sufficient liquidity to fund its operations for at least the next 12 months only if (i) it successfully accelerates the fulfillment date of the aforementioned take-or-pay agreement to December 2016; (ii) implements its cost-cutting initiatives; and (iii) during 2016, closes the sale of its non-core Mullan, Idaho property. However, the Company can provide no assurances that these initiatives will succeed and, therefore, there exists substantial doubt about its ability to continue as a going concern. The Company cannot provide any assurance that it will be able to raise additional capital if needed.

Cash used in operating activities in 2015 was \$8,585,557 compared to \$8,003,851 of cash used during the same period in 2014. Cash used by operating activities during 2015, after adjusting for non-cash items but before adjusting for changes in operating assets and liabilities, was \$7,751,121, \$1,323,112 less than the comparable period in 2014, driven primarily by the Company's increase in revenue and reduction of operating expenses. After adjusting for the change in operating assets and liabilities, cash used by operating activities during 2015 was \$8,585,557, \$581,076 more than during 2014, driven primarily the timing of certain payables.

Cash used in investing activities during 2015 was \$251,055 compared to a use of \$2,039,562 during the same period in 2014. The decline was due primarily to the completion of a significant portion of the Company's Hosokawa Alpine table roller milling facility in 2014.

Cash used in financing activities during 2015 was \$61,923 compared to \$12,059,527 of cash generated during the same period in 2014. The decline was due primarily to the absence of a \$12,500,000 capital raise, which occurred during 2014.

Table Of Contents

Our total assets as of December 31, 2015 were \$8,339,362 compared to \$18,457,702 as of December 31, 2014, or a decrease of \$10,118,340. The decrease in total assets was due primarily to a decrease in cash of \$8,898,535 due primarily to cash used by operating activities and a decrease in property and equipment, net of \$1,849,049 due primarily to the depreciation of our new mill assets, partially offset by an increase in prepaids and other long-term assets. Total liabilities were \$24,079,012 at December 31, 2015 compared to \$25,974,467 at December 31, 2014. The decline in total liabilities was due primarily to a \$1,063,497 decrease in accounts payable and accrued liabilities and a \$4,681,383 decrease in the PIK Note derivative due to the decline in the Company's stock price, which lowers the value of the option component of the Series A and Series 2023 Notes. These declines were partially offset by a \$4,000,000 increase in the PIK Notes liability due primarily to the issuance of additional PIK Notes to satisfy interest payment obligations during 2015.

ISSUANCE OF CONVERTIBLE DEBT

The Company raised \$12.5 million of financing through the issuance of Paid-In-Kind ("PIK")-Election Convertible Notes in 2014, with key terms highlighted in the table below:

| Key Terms | Series A Notes |
|-------------------------------|---|
| Inception Date | 11/03/2014 |
| Cash Received | \$ 12,500,000 |
| Principal (Initial Liability) | \$ 19,848,486 |
| Maturity (Term) | 4 years, but may range between 2 years to the full maturity of the Series 2023 Notes, depending on whether a Specified Event occurs and/or an Extension Option is elected (see below for further details) |
| Exercise Price | \$0.92 at inception, adjusted downward based on anti-dilution provisions/down-round protection; also may be reduced by \$0.10 based if Extension Option is elected (see below) |
| Stated Interest | 10% per annum, due semiannually, may be reduced to 1% if a |

Derivative Liability Specified Event occurs
\$9,212,285 established
at inception due to
existence of
down-round protection;
revalued every quarter
using a Monte Carlo
model

Series A Notes

On November 3, 2014 (“Issue Date”), the Company issued, in a private placement pursuant to investment agreements, \$19,848,486 principal amount of 10% PIK-Election Convertible Notes due 2018 (“Series A Notes”) in exchange for \$12,500,000 in cash and the cancellation of previously-issued warrants held by one investor.

Below are key terms of the Series A Notes:

Maturity- November 3, 2018, provided that the Stated Maturity Date may be extended to November 3, 2019 at the option of the Company (the “Extension Option”) if (i) the Company has delivered written notice of its exercise of the Extension Option to the Holder not more than ninety (90) nor less than thirty (30) days prior to November 3, 2018 and (ii) the Company has delivered a certificate, dated as of November 3, 2018, certifying that no Default or Event of Default has occurred and is continuing; provided, further that the Stated Maturity Date shall be extended to the maturity date of the Series 2023 Notes or any Replacement Financing, as applicable, upon the occurrence of a Specified Event (“Specified Extension”).

Exercise Price- initially \$0.92 per share and will be (i) adjusted from time to time pursuant anti-dilution provisions and (ii) reduced by \$0.10 per share if the Company elects to exercise its Extension Option.

Stated Interest: 10% payable semiannually in arrears, provided that the interest rate shall be reduced to 1% per annum on the principal amount of the Note upon the occurrence of the Specified Event, as defined below.

Specified Event- means the event that may occur after the second anniversary of the Issuer Date if: (i) any amounts under the Series 2023 Notes or any Replacement Financing are outstanding, (ii) the VWAP for the preceding 30 consecutive Trading Days as determined by the Board of Directors of the Issuer in good faith is in excess of the Exercise Price, (iii) the closing Market Price of the common stock is in excess of the Exercise Price on the date immediately preceding the date on which the Specified Event occurs, (iv) no Default or Event of Default has occurred and is continuing and (v) the Issuer has delivered a certificate to each holder of Series A Notes certifying that the conditions set forth in clauses (i) through (iv) above have been met.

Extension Option- If stock price is lower than current exercise price (\$0.92) prior to the stated maturity (November 3, 2018), then the Company can elect an Extension Option, whereby the maturity is extended by one year (see Maturity definition), but with a reduction in exercise price by \$0.10.

Table Of Contents

Liquidated Damages- The Company is required to pay the noteholders 1% of the principal amount of the Series A Notes if a Registration statement is not filed and effective within 90 days of the inception date (and further damages for every 30 days thereafter). The registration statement became effective on July 2015. Liquidation damages of \$541,011 and \$200,000 have been charged to Other Expenses during 2015 and 2014, respectively, due to the delay of the registration statement's effective date. During the second quarter of 2015, the Company issued 1,015,086 shares valued at \$741,011 to Series A note holders as payment of liquidated damages.

The number of shares issuable under the Notes may be affected by the anti-dilution provisions of the Notes. The anti-dilution provisions adjust the Exercise Price of the Notes in the event of stock dividends and splits, issuance below the market price of the common stock, issuances below the conversion price of the Notes, pro rata distribution of assets, rights plans, tender offers, and exchange offers.

OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

There are no off-balance sheet arrangements between the Company and any other entity that have, or are reasonably likely to have, a current or future effect on our financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures, or capital resources that is material to investors.

CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS

The following table summarizes our contractual obligations as of December 31, 2015 that require us to make future cash payments. For contractual obligations, we included payments that we have an unconditional obligation to make:

| | Payment due by period | | | | |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|----------------|----------------|--------------|
| | Total | < 1 year | 1 – 3 years | 3 – 5 years | > 5 years |
| Contractual Obligations: | | | | | |
| Rent obligations | \$157,575 | \$157,575 | -- | -- | -- |
| Total | \$157,575 | \$157,575 | -- | -- | -- |

Rent expense for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013 was \$182,168, \$174,091 and \$164,961, respectively. Facility lease obligation is under a 2-year extension period and does not contain escalation clauses.

Table Of Contents

CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

| | Page |
|---|------|
| <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> | 65 |
| Financial Statements: | |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2015 and 2014</u> | 66 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013</u> | 67 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity (Deficit) for each of the Years Ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013</u> | 68 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for Each of the Years Ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013</u> | 69 |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 71 |

Table Of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Stockholders of

Applied Minerals, Inc.:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Applied Minerals, Inc. (the “Company”) as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in stockholders’ equity (deficit), and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2015. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule of Valuation and Qualifying Accounts. These financial statements and financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Company’s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company’s internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Applied Minerals, Inc. as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2015, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule referred to above, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information stated therein.

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note [2] to the financial statements, the Company has suffered recurring losses from operations and used cash in operating activities. In addition, the Company’s working capital at December 31, 2015 may not be sufficient to fund its operations for the next twelve months after giving consideration to management’s

plans and certain transactions, which are also described in Note [2]. Further, the Company may not be able to raise additional financing if needed. Collectively these conditions raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

/s/ EisnerAmper LLP

New York, New York

March 29, 2016

Table Of Contents**APPLIED MINERALS, INC.****(An Exploration Stage Mining Company)**

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

| | December 31, 2015 | December 31, 2014 |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| <u>ASSETS</u> | | |
| Current Assets | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$1,803,131 | \$10,701,666 |
| Accounts receivable | 176,205 | 112,831 |
| Deposits and prepaid expenses | 322,922 | 289,644 |
| Other current receivables | 94,647 | - 0 - |
| Total Current Assets | 2,396,905 | 11,104,141 |
| Property and Equipment, net | 5,206,825 | 7,055,874 |
| Other Assets | | |
| Deferred Financing Costs | 21,250 | 28,750 |
| Deposits | 269,202 | 268,937 |
| Assets Held for Sale | 445,180 | - 0 - |
| Total Other Assets | 735,632 | 297,687 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$8,339,362 | \$18,457,702 |
| <u>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' (DEFICIT)</u> | | |
| Current Liabilities | | |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | \$1,623,152 | \$2,608,364 |
| Current portion of notes payable | 210,429 | 246,894 |
| Total Current Liabilities | 1,833,581 | 2,855,258 |
| Long-Term Liabilities | | |
| Long-term portion of notes payable | 33,688 | 59,145 |
| PIK Notes payable, net of \$17,572,885 and \$18,400,297 debt discount, respectively | 17,072,886 | 13,024,439 |
| PIK Note derivative | 5,138,857 | 10,035,625 |
| Total Long-Term Liabilities | 22,245,431 | 23,119,209 |
| Total Liabilities | 24,079,012 | 25,974,467 |
| Commitments and Contingencies (Note 14) | | |
| Stockholders' (Deficit) | | |

Edgar Filing: Applied Minerals, Inc. - Form POS AM

| | | |
|---|------------------------|-------------------------|
| Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value, 10,000,000 shares authorized, none issued and outstanding | | |
| Common stock, \$0.001 par value, 200,000,000 shares authorized, 97,144,736 and 95,054,552 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively | 97,145 | 95,055 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 66,106,631 | 64,526,469 |
| Accumulated deficit prior to the exploration stage | (20,009,496) | (20,009,496) |
| Accumulated deficit during the exploration stage | (61,933,930) | (52,128,793) |
| <i>Total Stockholders' (Deficit)</i> | (15,739,650) | (7,516,765) |
| <i>TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' (DEFICIT)</i> | \$8,339,362 | \$18,457,702 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table Of Contents**APPLIED MINERALS, INC.****(An Exploration Stage Mining Company)****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

| | For the years ended | | |
|--|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | December 31, | | |
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| REVENUES | \$507,474 | \$234,221 | \$54,825 |
| OPERATING EXPENSES: | | | |
| Production costs | 9,204 | 49,464 | 17,244 |
| Exploration costs | 4,245,478 | 4,626,139 | 4,551,666 |
| General and administrative | 4,857,015 | 5,195,830 | 8,569,413 |
| Depreciation expense | 1,312,585 | 1,164,366 | 317,570 |
| Loss from disposition of equipment | -0- | -0- | 2,482 |
| Total Operating Expenses | 10,424,282 | 11,035,799 | 13,458,375 |
| Operating Loss | (9,916,808) | (10,801,578) | (13,403,550) |
| OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE): | | | |
| Interest expense, net, including amortization of deferred financing cost and debt discount | (4,567,952) | (1,667,285) | (497,187) |
| Gain on revaluation of warrant derivative | -0- | 830,000 | 995,000 |
| Gain on revaluation of stock awards | -0- | 110,000 | 44,000 |
| Gain (Loss) on revaluation of PIK Note derivative | 5,328,515 | 1,470,798 | (195,000) |
| Other expense | (648,892) | (258,252) | (6,789) |
| Total Other Income | 111,671 | 485,261 | 340,024 |
| Net loss | \$(9,805,137) | \$(10,316,317) | \$(13,063,526) |
| Net Loss Per Share (Basic and Diluted) | \$(0.10) | \$(0.11) | \$(0.14) |
| Weighted Average Shares Outstanding (Basic and Diluted) | 96,033,553 | 94,895,194 | 94,303,264 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table Of Contents**APPLIED MINERALS, INC.****(An Exploration Stage Mining Company)**

Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity (Deficit)

| | Common Stock | | | Accumulated | Accumulated | Total |
|---|--------------|----------|--------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|
| | Shares | Amount | Additional | Deficit | Deficit | Stock- |
| | | | Paid-In | Prior to | During | holders' |
| | | | Capital | Exploration | Exploration | Equity |
| | | | | Stage | Stage | (Deficit) |
| Balance, December 31, 2012 | 90,619,444 | \$90,619 | \$52,634,064 | \$(20,009,496) | \$(28,748,950) | \$3,966,237 |
| Shares issued for directors fees and other services | 269,812 | 270 | 316,205 | -- | -- | 316,475 |
| Shares issued for cash | 3,756,757 | 3,757 | 5,556,243 | -- | -- | 5,560,000 |
| Stock-based compensation expense | -- | -- | 4,707,381 | -- | -- | 4,707,381 |
| Net Loss | -- | -- | -- | -- | (13,063,526) | (13,063,526) |
| Balance, December 31, 2013 | 94,646,013 | 94,646 | 63,213,893 | (20,009,496) | (41,812,476) | 1,486,567 |
| Shares issued for directors fees and other services | 408,539 | 409 | 326,860 | -- | -- | 327,269 |
| Warrant Cancellation | -- | -- | 120,000 | -- | -- | 120,000 |
| Stock-based compensation expense | -- | -- | 865,716 | -- | -- | 865,716 |
| Net Loss | -- | -- | -- | -- | (10,316,317) | (10,316,317) |
| Balance, December 31, 2014 | 95,054,552 | 95,055 | 64,526,469 | (20,009,496) | (52,128,793) | (7,516,765) |
| Shares issued for directors fees and other services | 1,045,788 | 1,046 | 378,890 | -- | -- | 379,936 |
| Shares issued for employee bonus | 29,310 | 29 | 63,859 | -- | -- | 63,888 |
| Shares issued for liquidated damages | 1,015,086 | 1,015 | 739,996 | -- | -- | 741,011 |

Edgar Filing: Applied Minerals, Inc. - Form POS AM

| | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|------------|----------|--------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Stock-based compensation expense | -- | -- | 397,417 | -- | -- | 397,417 |
| Net Loss | -- | -- | -- | -- | (9,805,137) | (9,805,137) |
| Balance, December 31, 2015 | 97,144,736 | \$97,145 | \$66,106,631 | \$(20,009,496) | \$(61,933,930) | \$(15,739,650) |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table Of Contents**APPLIED MINERALS, INC.****(An Exploration Stage Mining Company)****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

| | For the year ended | | |
|---|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| | December 31, | | |
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Cash Flows From Operating Activities: | | | |
| Net loss | \$(9,805,137) | \$(10,316,317) | \$(13,063,526) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operations: | | | |
| Depreciation | 1,312,585 | 1,164,366 | 317,570 |
| Amortization of discount – PIK Notes | 1,259,159 | 218,031 | 41,583 |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs | 7,500 | 1,250 | -- |
| Accrued interest on PIK Notes | 3,221,035 | 1,076,250 | -- |
| Stock issued for director and consulting services | 379,936 | 327,269 | 316,475 |
| Stock-based compensation expense | 397,417 | 865,716 | 4,707,381 |
| Stock issued for liquidated damages | 741,011 | -- | -- |
| Stock issued for employee compensation | 63,888 | -- | -- |
| (Gain) on revaluation of warrant derivative | -- | (830,000) | (995,000) |
| (Gain) Loss on revaluation of PIK Notes | (5,328,515) | (1,470,798) | 195,000 |
| (Gain) on revaluation of stock awards for non-employees | -- | (110,000) | (44,000) |
| Loss of disposal of equipment | -- | -- | 2,482 |
| Reversal of doubtful accounts | -- | -- | (25,106) |
| Change in operating assets and liabilities: | | | |
| Accounts receivable | (63,374) | (107,075) | 27,128 |
| Other receivables | (94,646) | -- | -- |
| Deposits and prepaid expenses | (33,543) | 149,239 | 189,656 |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | (642,873) | 1,028,218 | 125,256 |
| Net Cash (Used In) Operating Activities | (8,585,557) | (8,003,851) | (8,205,101) |
| Cash Flows From Investing Activities: | | | |
| Purchases of property and equipment | (251,055) | (2,039,562) | (64,345) |
| Construction-in-progress | -- | -- | (1,982,013) |
| Net Cash (Used In) Investing Activities | (251,055) | (2,039,562) | (2,046,358) |
| Cash Flows From Financing Activities: | | | |
| Payments on notes payable | (61,923) | (440,471) | (479,092) |
| Proceeds from PIK notes payable | -- | 12,499,998 | 10,500,000 |
| Proceeds from sale of common stock | -- | -- | 5,560,000 |
| Net Cash (Used In) Provided By Financing Activities | (61,923) | 12,059,527 | 15,580,908 |
| Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents | (8,898,535) | 2,016,114 | 5,329,449 |

Edgar Filing: Applied Minerals, Inc. - Form POS AM

| | | | |
|---|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year | 10,701,666 | 8,685,552 | 3,356,103 |
| Cash And Cash Equivalents At End Of Year | \$1,803,131 | \$10,701,666 | \$8,685,552 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table Of Contents**APPLIED MINERALS, INC.****(An Exploration Stage Mining Company)****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

| | For the year ended | | |
|--|--------------------|-------------|-----------|
| | December 31, | | |
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information: | | | |
| Cash paid during the period for: | | | |
| Interest | \$12,839 | \$10,460 | \$23,180 |
| Income Taxes | \$13,017 | \$1,431 | \$3,841 |
| Supplemental disclosure of noncash investing and financing activities: | | | |
| Property and equipment financed with note payable | \$-- | \$149,129 | \$-- |
| Construction-in-progress in accounts payable | \$-- | \$120,000 | \$299,563 |
| Prepaid insurance financed with note payable | \$233,940 | \$245,390 | \$233,187 |
| Reclassification of Construction in Progress to building and equipment | \$-- | \$3,091,163 | \$-- |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table Of Contents

APPLIED MINERALS, INC.

(An Exploration Stage Mining Company)

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

NOTE 1 – ORGANIZATION AND DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS

Applied Minerals, Inc. (the “Company”) is the owner of the Dragon Mine located in the Tintic Mining District of the State of Utah from where it produces halloysite clay and iron oxide. The Company is currently in various phases of commercial scale trials with several organizations in various markets with respects to uses of halloysite clay and iron oxide.

Applied Minerals, Inc. is a publicly traded company incorporated in the state of Delaware. The common stock trades on the OTC Bulletin Board under the symbol AMNL.

NOTE 2 - LIQUIDITY AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared on a going concern basis. The Company has incurred recurring losses from operations and used cash in operating activities while in the process of developing and commercializing halloysite clay and iron oxide. For the year ended December 31, 2015, the Company’s net loss was \$9,805,137 and cash used in operating activities was \$8,585,557. At December 31, 2015, the Company has working capital of \$563,324, which may not be sufficient to fund its operations for the next twelve months after giving consideration to management’s plans and the transactions discussed below. In addition, the Company last obtained financing in November 2014 of \$12,500,000 through the private placements of convertible notes; however, the Company cannot provide any assurance that it will be able to raise additional capital if needed. Collectively these factors raise substantial doubt regarding the Company’s ability to continue as going concern.

On November 2, 2015, the Company announced that it entered into a 5-year take-or-pay supply agreement. An initial purchase order of \$5 million worth of AMIRON products was received and was to be delivered over the course of 18 months with deliveries commencing on December 1, 2015.

On November 2, 2015, the Company announced that it had begun the implementation of a number of cost-saving initiatives to strengthen its operational model and enhance its liquidity position as it executes the next steps of its strategy to penetrate the application markets for both its DRAGONITE halloysite clay and AMIRON iron oxide products. The savings realized from these initiatives are anticipated to be derived from efficiencies realized from all aspects of the business, both corporate and operational, putting the Company in a stronger position to execute on its current business and pipeline of opportunities. The Company anticipates that these cost savings actions are expected to reduce the fixed component of annual expenses from \$9.0 million to under \$6.0 million.

On December 15, 2015, the Company, through an auction held in Coeur D'Alene, Idaho, offered for sale four non-core real estate totaling approximately 1,017 acres located throughout the Silver Valley region of Idaho. In January, 2016, three of the four properties sold for total gross proceeds of \$172,948. Net proceeds received by the Company were \$155,187. On March 23, 2016, the Company entered into an agreement to sell the fourth property for gross proceeds of \$418,000. Net proceeds to the Company are expected to be \$380,000, adjusted for a 10% buyers premium paid to the auction firm, J.P. King.

Based on the Company's current cash usage expectations for 2016, it believes it will have sufficient liquidity to fund its operations for at least the next 12 months only if (i) it successfully accelerates the fulfillment of the aforementioned take-or-pay agreement to December 2016; (ii) implements its cost-cutting initiatives; and (iii) during 2016, closes the sale of its Mullan, Idaho property. However, the Company can provide no assurances that these initiatives will succeed. These financial statements do not include any adjustments to the recoverability and classification of recorded asset amounts and classification of liabilities that might be necessary should the Company not be able to continue as a going concern.

Table Of Contents

NOTE 3 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Exploration-Stage Company

From 1997 through 2008, the Company's sole source of revenue and income was derived from its contract mining business through which it provided shaft sinking, underground mine development and mine labor services. At December 31, 2008, the Company discontinued its contract mining efforts due to economic conditions and the desire to concentrate its efforts on the commercialization of the halloysite clay deposit at the Dragon Mine.

Effective January 1, 2009, the Company was, and still is, classified as an exploration company as the existence of proven or probable reserves have not been demonstrated and no significant revenue has been earned from the mine. Under the SEC's Industry Guide 7, a mining company is considered an exploration stage company until it has declared mineral reserves determined in accordance with the guide and staff interpretations thereof.

Principles of Consolidation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Applied Minerals, Inc. and its inactive subsidiary, which holds 100 acres of timber and mineral property in northern Idaho.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP") requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect reported amounts of assets and liabilities, disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. In these consolidated financial statements, the warrant and PIK note derivative liabilities, stock compensation, impairment of long-lived assets and valuation allowance on income taxes involve extensive reliance on management's estimates. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Table Of Contents

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents include all highly liquid investments with a maturity of three months or less. The Company minimizes its credit risk by investing its cash and cash equivalents, which sometimes exceeds FDIC limits, with major financial institutions located in the United States with a high credit rating.

Receivables

Trade receivables are reported at outstanding principal amounts, net of an allowance for doubtful accounts.

Management evaluates the collectability of receivable account balances to determine the allowance, if any. Management considers the other party's credit risk and financial condition, as well as current and projected economic and market conditions, in determining the amount of the allowance. Receivable balances are written off when management determines that the balance is uncollectable. No allowance was required at December 31, 2015 and 2014.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are carried at cost net of accumulated depreciation and amortization. Depreciation and amortization is computed on the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets, or the life of the lease, whichever is shorter, as follows:

| | Estimated Useful Life (years) |
|---|--|
| Building and Building Improvements | 5 – 40 |
| Mining equipment | 2 – 7 |
| Office and shop furniture and equipment | 3 – 7 |
| Vehicles | 5 |

Depreciation expense for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and, 2013 totaled \$1,312,585, \$1,164,366, and \$317,570, respectively.

Impairment of Long-lived Assets

The Company periodically reviews the carrying amounts of long-lived assets to determine whether current events or circumstances warrant adjustment to such carrying amounts. Long-lived assets are tested for recoverability whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that its carrying amount may not be recoverable. When such events occur, the Company compares the sum of the undiscounted cash flows expected to result from the use and eventual disposition of the asset to its carrying amount. If this comparison indicates that there is an impairment, the amount of the impairment is typically calculated using discounted expected future cash flows where observable fair values are not readily determinable. Considerable management judgment is necessary to estimate the fair value of assets. Assets to be disposed of are carried at the lower of their financial statement carrying amount or fair value, less cost to sell.

Revenue Recognition

Revenue includes sales of halloysite clay and iron oxide, and is recognized when title passes to the buyer and when collectability is reasonably assured. Title passes to the buyer based on terms of the sales contract. Product pricing is determined based on contractual arrangements with the Company's customers.

Mining Exploration and Development Costs

Land and mining property are carried at cost. The Company expenses prospecting and mining exploration costs. At the point when a property is determined to have proven and probable reserves, subsequent development costs will be capitalized and will be charged to operations using the units-of-production method over proven and probable reserves. Upon abandonment or sale of a mineral property, all capitalized costs relating to the specific property are written off in the period abandoned or sold and a gain or loss is recognized.

Income taxes

The Company uses an asset and liability approach which results in the recognition of deferred tax liabilities and assets for the expected future tax consequences or benefits of temporary differences between the financial reporting basis and the tax basis of assets and liabilities, as well as operating loss and tax credit carry forwards, using enacted tax rates in effect in the years in which the differences are expected to reverse.

In assessing the realizability of deferred tax assets, management considers whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of its deferred tax assets will not be realized. Management considers the scheduled reversal of deferred tax liabilities, projected future taxable income and tax planning strategies in making this assessment. A full valuation allowance has been provided for the Company's net deferred tax assets as it is more likely than not that they will not be realized.

Table Of Contents

Authoritative guidance provides that the tax effects from an uncertain tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return can be recognized in our financial statements only if the position is more likely than not of being sustained on audit based on the technical merits of the position. As of December 31, 2015 no benefit from uncertain tax positions was recognized in our financial statements. The Company has elected to classify interest and/or penalties related to income tax matters in income tax expense.

Stock Options and Warrants

The Company follows ASC 718 (Stock Compensation) and 505-50 (Equity-Based Payments to Non-employees), which provide guidance in accounting for share-based awards exchanged for services rendered and requires companies to expense the estimated fair value of these awards over the requisite service period. The Company instituted a formal long-term and short-term incentive plan on November 20, 2012, which was approved by its shareholders. Prior to that date, we did not have a formal equity plan, but all equity grants, including stock options and warrants, were approved by our Board of Directors. We determine the fair value of the stock-based compensation awards granted to non-employees as either the fair value of the consideration received or the fair value of the equity instruments issued, whichever is more reliably measurable. If the fair value of the equity instruments issued is used, it is measured using the stock price and other measurement assumptions as of the earlier of either of (1) the date at which a commitment for performance by the counterparty to earn the equity instruments is reached, or (2) the date at which the counterparty's performance is complete. Beginning in the quarter ended June 30, 2013 the Company began using the simplified method to determine the expected term for any options granted because the Company did not have sufficient historical exercise data to provide a reasonable basis upon which to estimate expected term. The Company previously utilized the contractual term as the expected term.

Environmental Matters

Expenditures for ongoing compliance with environmental regulations that relate to current operations are expensed or capitalized as appropriate. Expenditures resulting from the remediation of existing conditions caused by past operations that do not contribute to future revenue generations are expensed. Liabilities are recognized when environmental assessments indicate that remediation efforts are probable and the costs can be reasonably estimated.

Estimates of such liabilities are based upon currently available facts, existing technology and presently enacted laws and regulations taking into consideration the likely effects of inflation and other societal and economic factors, and include estimates of associated legal costs. These amounts also reflect prior experience in remediating contaminated sites, other companies' clean-up experience and data released by The Environmental Protection Agency or other organizations. Such estimates are by their nature imprecise and can be expected to be revised over time because of changes in government regulations, operations, technology and inflation. Recoveries are evaluated separately from the liability and, when recovery is assured, the Company records and reports an asset separately from the associated liability.

Based upon management's current assessment of its environmental responsibilities, it does not believe that any reclamation or remediation liability exists at December 31, 2015.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued new accounting guidance, Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") No. 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers, on revenue recognition. The new standard provides for a single five-step model to be applied to all revenue contracts with customers as well as requires additional financial statement disclosures that will enable users to understand the nature, amount, timing and uncertainty of revenue and cash flows relating to customer contracts. Companies have an option to use either a retrospective approach or cumulative effect adjustment approach to implement the standard. Accounting Standards Update No. 2014-09 is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim periods within that reporting period. Early adoption is permitted, but not before the original effective date of the standard. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of the new guidance on our consolidated financial statements.

On June 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-12, Accounting for Share-Based Payments When the Terms of an Award Provide That a Performance Target Could Be Achieved after the Requisite Service Period, which clarifies that entities should treat performance targets that can be met after the requisite service period of a share-based payment award as performance conditions that affect vesting. Under the ASU, an entity would not record compensation expense related to an award for which transfer to the employee is contingent on the entity's satisfaction of a performance target until it becomes probable that the performance target will be met. The adoption of this ASU will be required, either on a retrospective basis or prospective basis, beginning with the quarter ending March 31, 2016. The adoption of this ASU is not expected to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In August 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-15, "Presentation of Financial Statements – Going Concern (Subtopic 205-10): Disclosure of Uncertainties about an Entity's Ability to Continue as a Going Concern" ("ASU 2014-15") ASU 2014-15 is intended to define management's responsibility to evaluate whether there is substantial doubt about an entity's ability to continue as a going concern and to provide related footnote disclosures. Specifically, ASU 2014-15 provides a definition of the term substantial doubt and requires an assessment for a period of one year after the date the financial statements are issued. It also requires certain disclosures when substantial doubt is alleviated as a result of consideration of management's plans and requires an express statement and other disclosures when substantial doubt is not alleviated. The new standard will be effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016 with early adoption permitted. Management is currently evaluating the impact of the adoption of ASU 2014-14 on our financial disclosures.

Table Of Contents

In April 2015, the FASB issued an ASU 2015-03, which requires that debt issuance costs be presented in the balance sheet as a direct reduction to the carrying amount of the associated debt liability, consistent with debt discounts. Currently debt issuance costs are recognized as an asset. The ASU is effective for the Company in the first quarter of 2016 and is required to be applied retrospectively. Early adoption is permitted. The Company does not expect the adoption of this standard to have a material impact on its balance sheets.

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-02, Leases, which is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2018 with early adoption permitted. Under Accounting Standards Update 2016-02, lessees will be required to recognize for all leases at the commencement date a lease liability, which is a lessee's obligation to make lease payments arising from a lease measured on a discounted basis, and a right-to-use asset, which is an asset that represents the lessee's right to use or control the use of a specified asset for the lease term. The Company is currently evaluating the effect that the new guidance will have on its financial statements and related disclosures.

NOTE 4 – PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

The following is a summary of property, plant, and equipment – at cost, less accumulated depreciation:

| | 2015 | 2014 |
|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Land (a) | \$500,000 | \$945,180 |
| Land improvements | 164,758 | 164,758 |
| Buildings | 3,116,515 | 3,308,465 |
| Mining equipment | 1,697,583 | 1,684,197 |
| Milling equipment | 2,702,969 | 2,620,300 |
| Laboratory equipment | 594,451 | 594,451 |
| Office equipment | 69,625 | 72,174 |
| Vehicles | 148,673 | 148,673 |
| | 8,994,574 | 9,538,198 |
| Less: Accumulated depreciation | 3,787,749 | 2,482,324 |
| Total | \$5,206,825 | \$7,055,874 |

(a) Includes the Dragon Mine with a carrying value of \$500,000 and in 2014 also includes the Atlas Mine near Mullan, Idaho with a carrying value of \$445,180 (See Note 5).

NOTE 5 – ASSETS HELD FOR SALE

During the third quarter of 2015, the Company reclassified its non-core Atlas Mine properties, located in and around Mullan, Idaho, from property and equipment to assets held for sale as the Company began exploring various strategic options to further monetize the value of the land and any associated mineral resources.

In December 2015, the Company put four parcels owned in Idaho up for auction. Three of the four properties sold but settled in January 2016 for a gross sales price of \$172,948. The Company received net process of \$155,187, net of \$17,761 of selling expenses. On March 23, 2016, the Company entered into an agreement to sell the fourth parcel for gross proceeds of \$418,000. Net proceeds to be received by the Company are expected to be \$380,000, adjusted for a 10% buyer's premium paid to the auction house, J.P. King. At year-end, the carrying value of the parcels was tested for impairment, noting fair value exceeded the carrying value of \$445,180 and therefore no impairment was necessary.

NOTE 6 – FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS AND FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

ASC Topic 820, *Fair Value Measurement and Disclosures*, defines fair value as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. This topic also establishes a fair value hierarchy, which requires classification based on observable and unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The fair value hierarchy distinguishes between assumptions based on market data (observable inputs) and an entity's own assumptions (unobservable inputs). The hierarchy consists of three levels:

Level 1 – Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets and liabilities;

Level 2 – Inputs other than level one inputs that are either directly or indirectly observable; and

Level 3 – Unobservable inputs developed using estimates and assumptions, which are developed by the reporting entity and reflect those assumptions that a market participant would use.

Table Of Contents

Liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis are summarized as follows:

| | Fair value measurement using inputs | | | Carrying amount | |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------|-------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | December 31, 2015 | December 31, 2014 |
| Financial instruments: | | | | | |
| Series 2023 Note Derivative | \$-0- | \$-0- | \$262,764 | \$262,764 | \$478,149 |
| Series A Note Derivative | \$-0- | \$-0- | \$4,876,093 | \$4,876,093 | \$9,557,476 |

The following table summarizes the activity for financial instruments at fair value using Level 3 inputs for 2015 and 2014:

| | 2015 | 2014 |
|--|--------------|--------------|
| Balance at beginning of year | \$10,035,625 | \$0 |
| Transfer to Level 3 | 0 | 2,250,000 |
| Issuance of additional Series 2023 Notes | 36,665 | 44,138 |
| Issuance of additional Series A Notes | 395,082 | 9,212,285 |
| Net unrealized gain included in operations | (5,328,515) | (1,470,798) |
| Balance at December 31, 2015 | \$5,138,857 | \$10,035,625 |

The recorded value of certain financial assets and liabilities, which consist primarily of cash and cash equivalents, receivables, and accounts payable and accrued expenses approximate their fair value at December 31, 2015 and 2014 based upon the short-term nature of the assets and liabilities. Based on borrowing rates currently available to the Company for loans with similar terms, and the remaining short term period outstanding, the carrying value of notes payable other than PIK notes approximate fair value. The estimated fair value of the PIK Notes Payable was approximately \$21,800,000 and \$27,500,000 at December 31, 2015 and 2014 (Level 3), respectively.

For the Company's warrant and PIK note derivative liabilities, Level 3 fair value hierarchy was estimated using a Monte Carlo Model using the following assumptions:

Series 2023 Note derivative liability

Edgar Filing: Applied Minerals, Inc. - Form POS AM

Fair Value
Measurements
Using Inputs
December
31, 2015 December
31, 2014

| | | | |
|--|--------|---------|---|
| Market price and estimated fair value of stock | \$0.28 | \$ 0.73 | |
| Exercise price | \$1.36 | \$ 1.33 | |
| Term (years) | 7.58 | 8.58 | |
| Dividend yield | \$-0- | \$ -0- | |
| Expected volatility * | 72.9% | 52.0 | % |
| Risk-free interest rate | 2.12% | 2.08 | % |

Table Of Contents

| Series A Note derivative liability | Fair Value Measurements Using Inputs | | |
|--|--|----------------------|---|
| | December 31, 2015 | December 31, 2014 | |
| Market price and estimated fair value of stock | \$ 0.28 | \$ 0.73 | |
| Exercise price | \$ 0.92 | \$ 0.92 | |
| Term (years) | 2.82 | 3.83 | |
| Dividend yield | \$ -0- | \$ -0- | |
| Expected volatility | 72.9 % | 52.0 % | % |
| Risk-free interest rate | 2.12 % | 2.08 % | % |

* During the first quarter of 2014, the Company revised its assumption for expected volatility by switching from a peer-group average volatility to the Company's three-year historical volatility in measuring the value of the derivative liabilities mentioned above. Prior to 2011, the occurrence of certain corporate events would not have made the historical volatility calculations meaningful or accurate if included. This reduction in volatility led to a reduced valuation for both the Warrant and Series 2023 Note derivative liabilities of approximately \$118,500 and \$126,000, respectively, in 2014. The remaining decrease in the valuation is attributable to the decline in stock price.

NOTE 7 - NOTES AND LEASES PAYABLE

Notes payable at December 31, 2015 and 2014 consist of the following:

| | December 31, | |
|--|--------------|-----------|
| | 2015 | 2014 |
| Note payable for mining equipment, payable \$950 monthly, including interest (a) | \$3,714 | \$14,057 |
| Note payable for mining equipment, payable \$6,060 monthly, including interest (b) | -- | 6,033 |
| Note payable for equipment, payable \$9,122 monthly, including interest (c) | -- | 18,246 |
| Note payable for equipment, payable \$1,339 monthly, including interest (d) | 42,235 | 55,720 |
| Note payable for mine site vehicle, payable \$628 monthly (e) | 13,196 | 20,736 |
| Note payable to an insurance company, payable \$21,531 monthly, including interest (f) | -- | 149,036 |
| Note payable to an insurance company, payable \$6,094 monthly, including interest (g) | -- | 42,211 |
| Note payable to an insurance company, payable \$9,055 monthly, including interest (h) | 60,953 | -- |
| Note payable to an insurance company, payable \$18,609 monthly, including interest (i) | 124,019 | -- |
| | 244,117 | 306,039 |
| Less: Current Portion | (210,429) | (246,894) |

Notes Payable, Long-Term Portion \$33,688 \$59,145

On April 17, 2012, the Company purchased mining equipment for \$40,565 by issuing a note with an effective (a) interest rate of 11.279%. The note is collateralized by the mining equipment with payments of \$950 for 48 months, which started on May 1, 2012.

On July 23, 2012, the Company purchased mining equipment for \$169,500 by issuing a note with an interest rate (b) of 5.5%. The note is collateralized by the mining equipment with payments of \$6,060 for 30 months, which started on August 25, 2012.

On April 1, 2014, the Company purchased lab equipment for \$109,493 by paying deposit and issuing a (c) non-interest bearing note in the amount of \$91,229. The note is collateralized by the lab equipment with payments of \$9,122 for ten months, which started in November 2014.

On October 31, 2014, the Company purchased mining equipment for \$65,120 by paying deposit and issuing a note (d) in the amount of \$57,900 with an interest rate of 5.2%. The note is collateralized by the mining equipment with payments of \$1,339 for 48 months, which started on November 30, 2014.

On September 20, 2012, the Company purchased a vehicle for the mine site for \$37,701 by issuing a non-interest (e) bearing note. The note is collateralized by the vehicle with payments of \$628 for 60 months, which started on October 20, 2012.

The Company signed a note payable with an insurance company dated October 17, 2014 for directors' and officers' (f) insurance, due in monthly installments, including interest at 3.15%. The note matured in June 2015 and was repaid.

Table Of Contents

- (g) The Company signed a note payable with an insurance company dated October 17, 2014 for liability insurance, due in monthly installments, including interest at 4.732%. The note matured in July 2015 and was repaid.
- (h) The Company signed a note payable with an insurance company dated October 21, 2015 for liability insurance, due in monthly installments, including interest at 11.845%.
- (i) The Company signed a note payable with an insurance company dated October 17, 2015 for liability insurance, due in monthly installments, including interest at 3.000%.

The following is a schedule of the principal maturities on these notes as of December 31, 2015:

| | |
|----------------------------|------------------|
| 2016 | \$210,429 |
| 2017 | 20,615 |
| 2018 | 13,073 |
| Total Notes Payable | \$244,117 |

During the 2015 and 2014, the Company's interest payments totaled \$12,839 and \$10,460, respectively.

NOTE 8 – CONVERTIBLE DEBT (PIK NOTES)

The Company raised \$23 million of financing through the issuance of two series of Paid-In-Kind (“PIK”)-Election Convertible Notes in 2013 and 2014, with key terms highlighted in the table below:

| Key Terms | Series 2023 Notes | Series A Notes |
|-------------------------------|---|---|
| Inception Date | 08/05/2013 | 11/03/2014 |
| Cash Received | \$10,500,000 | \$12,500,000 |
| Principal (Initial Liability) | \$10,500,000 | \$19,848,486 |
| Maturity (Term) | 10 years, but convertible after 1 year based on the market price of the Company’s stock | 4 years, but may range between 2 years to the full maturity of the Series 2023 Notes, depending on whether a Specified Event occurs and/or an Extension Option is elected (see below for further details) |
| Exercise Price | \$1.40 at inception, adjusted downward based on anti-dilution provisions/downround protection | \$0.92 at inception, adjusted downward based on anti-dilution provisions/down-round protection; also may be reduced by \$0.10 based if Extension Option is elected (see below) |

Edgar Filing: Applied Minerals, Inc. - Form POS AM

| | | |
|----------------------|--|--|
| Stated Interest | 10% per annum, due semiannually | 10% per annum, due semiannually, may be reduced to 1% if a Specified Event occurs |
| Derivative Liability | \$2,055,000 established at inception due to the existence of down-round protection; revalued every quarter using Monte Carlo model | \$9,212,285 established at inception due to existence of down-round protection; revalued every quarter using a Monte Carlo model |

Table Of Contents

As of December 31, 2015, the liability components of the PIK Notes on the Company's balance sheet are listed in the following table:

| | Series 2023 Notes | Series A Notes | Total |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|
| PIK Note Payable, Gross | \$12,762,816 | \$21,882,955 | \$34,645,771 |
| Less: Discount | (1,854,894) | (15,717,991) | (17,572,885) |
| PIK Note Payable, Net | \$10,907,922 | \$6,164,964 | \$17,072,886 |
| PIK Note Derivative Liability | \$262,764 | \$4,876,093 | \$5,138,857 |

As of December 31, 2014, the liability components of the PIK Notes on the Company's balance sheet are listed in the following table:

| | Series 2023 Notes | Series A Notes | Total |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|
| PIK Note Payable, Gross | \$11,576,250 | \$19,848,486 | \$31,424,736 |
| Less: Discount | (1,949,555) | (16,450,742) | (18,400,297) |
| PIK Note Payable, Net | \$9,626,695 | \$3,397,744 | \$13,024,439 |
| PIK Note Derivative Liability | \$478,149 | \$9,557,476 | \$10,035,625 |

Series A Notes

On November 3, 2014 ("Issue Date"), the Company issued, in a private placement pursuant to investment agreements, \$19,848,486 principal amount of 10% PIK-Election Convertible Notes due 2018 ("Series A Notes") in exchange for \$12,500,000 in cash and the cancellation of previously-issued warrants held by one investor.

Below are key terms of the Series A Notes:

Maturity- November 3, 2018, provided that the Stated Maturity Date may be extended to November 3, 2019 at the option of the Company (the "Extension Option") if (i) the Company has delivered written notice of its exercise of the Extension Option to the Holder not more than ninety (90) nor less than thirty (30) days prior to November 3, 2018 and (ii) the Company has delivered a certificate, dated as of November 3, 2018, certifying that no Default or Event of Default has occurred and is continuing; provided, further that the Stated Maturity Date shall be extended to the maturity date of the Series 2023 Notes or any Replacement Financing, as applicable, upon the occurrence of a Specified Event ("Specified Extension").

Exercise Price- initially \$0.92 per share and will be (i) adjusted from time to time pursuant anti-dilution provisions and (ii) reduced by \$0.10 per share if the Company elects to exercise its Extension Option.

Stated Interest: 10% payable semiannually in arrears, provided that the interest rate shall be reduced to 1% per annum on the principal amount of the Note upon the occurrence of the Specified Event, as defined below.

Specified Event- means the event that may occur after the second anniversary of the Issuer Date if: (i) any amounts under the Series 2023 Notes or any Replacement Financing are outstanding, (ii) the VWAP for the preceding 30 consecutive Trading Days as determined by the Board of Directors of the Issuer in good faith is in excess of the Exercise Price, (iii) the closing Market Price of the common stock is in excess of the Exercise Price on the date immediately preceding the date on which the Specified Event occurs, (iv) no Default or Event of Default has occurred and is continuing and (v) the Issuer has delivered a certificate to each holder of Series A Notes certifying that the conditions set forth in clauses (i) through (iv) above have been met.

Extension Option- If stock price is lower than current exercise price (\$0.92) prior to the stated maturity (November 3, 2018), then the Company can elect an Extension Option, whereby the maturity is extended by one year (see Maturity definition), but with a reduction in exercise price by \$0.10.

Liquidated Damages- The Company is required to pay the noteholders 1% of the principal amount of the Series A Notes if a Registration statement is not filed and effective within 90 days of the inception date (and further damages for every 30 days thereafter). The registration statement became effective on July 8, 2015. Liquidation damages of \$541,011 and \$200,000 have been charged to Other Expenses during 2015 and 2014, respectively, due to the delay of the effective date of the registration statement. During the second quarter of 2015, the Company issued 1,015,086 shares, valued at \$741,011, to Series A note holders as payment of liquidated damages.

The number of shares issuable under the Notes may be affected by the anti-dilution provisions of the Notes. The antidilution provisions adjust the Exercise Price of the Notes in the event of stock dividends and splits, issuance below the market price of the common stock, issuances below the conversion price of the Notes, pro rata distribution of assets, rights plans, tender offers, and exchange offers.

Table Of Contents

The Series A Notes are mandatorily convertible by the Company at any time that is two years after issuance only if either of the following conditions exist: (A) (i) the maturity date of the Series A Notes has not been extended, (ii) the VWAP over the preceding 10 trading days is at or in excess of \$1.00 per share, (iii) the closing market price of the common stock is at or in excess of \$1.00 per share on the day before a mandatory conversion notice is issued, (iv) all outstanding amounts, if any, of the Series 2023 Notes or replacement financing for the Series 2023 Notes have been converted into common stock and (v) a registration statement is effective or a holder of the Series A Notes may sell the conversion shares under Rule 144 or (B) (i) the VWAP over the preceding 20 trading days is in excess of the greater of \$1.40 per share, the conversion price of the Series 2023 Notes (currently a \$1.36 per share, adjusted for the sale of the Series A Notes), if any, and the conversion price of the Replacement Financing, if any, (ii) the closing market price of the common stock is in excess of the greater of \$1.40 per share, the conversion price of the Series 2023 Notes, if any, and the conversion price of the replacement Financing, if any, on the day before a mandatory conversion notice is issued, (iii) all outstanding amounts, if any, of the Series 2023 Notes or Replacement Financing have been converted into common stock and (v) a registration statement is effective or a holder of the Series A Notes may sell the conversion shares under Rule 144.

These Series A Notes were not issued with the intent of effectively hedging any future cash flow, fair value of any asset, liability or any net investment in a foreign operation. In addition to the customary anti-dilution provisions the notes contain a down-round provision whereby the conversion price would be adjusted downward in the event that additional shares of the Company's common stock or securities exercisable, convertible or exchangeable for the Company's common stock were issued for cash consideration (e.g. a capital raise) at a price less than the conversion price. Therefore, the estimated fair value of the conversion feature of \$9,212,285 (based on observable inputs using a Monte Carlo model) was bifurcated from the Series A Notes and accounted for as a separate derivative liability, which resulted in a corresponding amount of debt discount on the Series A Notes. In addition, an additional debt discount of \$7,348,486 was recorded as a result of the difference between the \$12,500,000 of cash received and the \$19,848,486 of principal on the Series A Notes. This combined debt discount of \$16,560,771 is being amortized using the effective interest method over the 4-year term of the Notes as Interest Expense, while the PIK Note Derivative is carried at fair value (using a Monte Carlo model) until the Notes are converted or otherwise extinguished. Any changes in fair value are recognized in earnings.

Table Of Contents

In May 2015 and November 2015, the Company issued \$992,424 and \$1,042,045, respectively, in additional PIK Notes to the holders to pay the semi-annual interest.

At December 31, 2015, the fair value of the Series A Note Derivative was estimated to be \$4,876,093, which includes the value of the derivative related to the additional PIK Notes issued in May and November 2015 for the semi-annual interest payments due. During the year ended December 31, 2015, the Company amortized \$732,751 of debt discount relating to the Series A Notes Payable and issued additional PIK Notes in lieu of interest payments of \$2,034,469, increasing the Series A Notes Payable carrying value to \$6,164,964 as of December 31, 2015.

Series 2023 Notes

In August 2013, the Company received \$10,500,000 of financing through the private placement of 10% mandatory convertible Notes due 2023 ("Series 2023 Notes"). The principal amount of the Notes is due on maturity. The Company can elect to pay semi-annual interest on the Series 2023 Notes with additional PIK Notes containing the same terms as the Series 2023 Notes, except interest will accrue from issuance of such notes. The Company can also elect to pay interest in cash. In February 2015 and August 2015, the Company issued \$578,813 and \$607,753, respectively, in additional PIK Notes to the holders to pay the semi-annual interest. In February 2014 and August 2014, the Company issued \$525,000 and \$551,250, respectively, in additional PIK Notes to the holders to pay the semi-annual interest.

The Series 2023 Notes convert into the Company's common stock at a conversion price of \$1.40 per share, which is subject to customary anti-dilution adjustments; these anti-dilution adjustments reduced the conversion price to \$1.36 after the issuance of the Series A Notes. As of issuance, principal amount of the Series 2023 Notes were convertible into 7,500,000 shares of the common stock and into 7,720,588 shares after the issuance of the Series A Notes. The holders may convert the Series 2023 Notes at any time. The Series 2023 Notes are mandatorily convertible after one year when the weighted average trading price of a share of the common stock for the preceding ten trading days is in excess of the conversion price. The Series 2023 Notes contain customary representations and warranties and several covenants. The proceeds are being used for general corporate purposes. No broker was used and no commission was paid in connection with the sale of the Series 2023 Notes. As of December 31, 2015, the Company was in compliance with the covenants.

These Series 2023 Notes were not issued with the intent of effectively hedging any future cash flow, fair value of any asset, liability or any net investment in a foreign operation. In addition to the customary anti-dilution provisions the notes contain a down-round provision whereby the conversion price would be adjusted downward in the event that additional shares of the Company's common stock or securities exercisable, convertible or exchangeable for the Company's common stock were issued for cash consideration (e.g. a capital raise) at a price less than the conversion price. Therefore, the estimated fair value of the conversion feature of \$2,055,000 (based on observable inputs using a Monte Carlo model) was bifurcated from the Series 2023 Notes and accounted for as a separate derivative liability, which resulted in a corresponding amount of debt discount on the Series 2023 Notes. The debt discount is being

amortized using the effective interest method over the 10-year term of the Series 2023 Notes as Interest Expense, while the PIK Note Derivative is carried at fair value (using a Monte Carlo model) until the Series 2023 Notes are converted or otherwise extinguished. Any changes in fair value are recognized in earnings.

At December 31, 2015, the fair value of the PIK Note Derivative was estimated to be \$262,764, which includes the value of the derivative related to additional PIK Notes issued 2015 and 2014 for the semi-annual interest payments due. During 2015, the Company recorded \$36,665 of additional debt discount from the February and August 2015 issuances above, and also amortized \$131,326 of debt discount relating to the Series 2023 Notes Payable, resulting in a total debt discount of \$1,854,894 as of December 31, 2015, and increasing the Series 2023 Notes Payable carrying value to \$10,907,922 as of December 31, 2015.

Table Of Contents

NOTE 9 – STOCKHOLDERS’ EQUITY

Preferred Stock

The Company is authorized to issue 10,000,000 shares of noncumulative, non-voting, nonconvertible preferred stock, \$0.001 par value per share. At December 31, 2015 and 2014, no shares of preferred stock were outstanding.

Common Stock

On November 20, 2012, stockholders of the Company approved to increase the authorized shares of common stock from 120,000,000 to 200,000,000 shares, \$0.001 par value per share. At December 31, 2015 and 2014, 97,144,736 and 95,054,552 shares were issued and outstanding, respectively.

2015

During 2015 the Company issued a total of 1,045,788 shares of common stock valued at \$379,936 to directors and consultants as payments of fees.

2014

During 2014 the Company issued a total of 408,539 shares of common stock valued at \$327,269 to directors and consultants as payments of fees.

2013

During 2013 the Company issued a total of 269,812 shares of common stock valued at \$316,475 to directors and consultants as payments of fees. In addition, on January 23, 2013 the Company sold, in a privately negotiated transaction, 3,756,757 shares of its common stock at \$1.48 per share for gross proceeds of \$5,560,000. No broker was used and no commission was paid as part of this transaction.

Table Of Contents**NOTE 10 – OPTIONS AND WARRANTS TO PURCHASE COMMON STOCK**Derivative Instruments - Warrants

The Company issued 5,000,000 warrants (“Samlyn Warrants”) in connection with the December 22, 2011 private placement of 10,000,000 shares of common stock. The strike price of these warrants was \$2.00 per share at the date of grant. These warrants were not issued with the intent of effectively hedging any future cash flow, fair value of any asset, liability or any net investment in a foreign operation. In addition to the customary anti-dilution provisions the notes contain a down-round provision whereby the exercise price would be adjusted downward in the event that additional shares of the Company's common stock or securities exercisable, convertible or exchangeable for the Company's common stock were issued at a price less than the exercise price. Therefore, the fair value of these warrants (based on observable inputs) was recorded as a liability in the balance sheet until they were canceled.

During the first quarter of 2013, the Company issued 3,756,757 shares of its common stock for gross proceeds of \$5,560,000, which triggered a down-round adjustment of \$0.03 from \$2.00 to \$1.97 in the strike price of the Samlyn Warrants at that time. As discussed in Note 8, during August 2013, the Company issued \$10,500,000 of 10% mandatorily convertible Series 2023 Notes in a private placement, which triggered a down-round adjustment of \$0.04 from \$1.97 to \$1.93 in the strike price of the Samlyn Warrants. On November 3, 2014, the Company cancelled the warrant arrangement, resulting in a \$120,000 credit to equity.

During 2014 and 2013, the Company recorded other income of \$830,000 and \$995,000, respectively, resulting from the changes in the fair value of the warrant liability, mainly due to a lower stock price and a change in the volatility utilized by the Company.

Outstanding Stock Warrants

A summary of the status of the warrants outstanding and exercisable at December 31, 2015 is presented below:

| Exercise Price | Warrants Outstanding and Exercisable | | |
|----------------|--------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | Number | Weighted Average | Weighted Average |
| | Outstanding | Remaining | Exercise Price |
| | | Contractual | Price |
| | | Life | |

| | | (years) | |
|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| \$ 0.78 | 213,402 | 0.09 | \$ 0.78 |
| \$ 1.15 | 461,340 | 5.33 | \$ 1.15 |
| \$ 2.00 | 54,367 | 0.59 | \$ 2.00 |
| | 729,109 | 3.44 | \$ 1.11 |

During 2015, warrants to acquire 443,821 shares of common stock expired. No warrants were issued during 2013, 2014 and 2015.

Outstanding Stock Options

On November 20, 2012, the shareholders of the Company approved the adoption of the Applied Minerals, Inc. 2012 Long-Term Incentive Plan ("LTIP") and the Short-Term Incentive Plan ("STIP") and the performance criteria used in setting performance goals for awards intended to be performance-based. Under the LTIP, 8,900,000 shares are authorized for issuance. The STIP does not refer to a particular number of shares under the LTIP, but would use the shares authorized in the LTIP for issuance under the STIP. The CEO, the CFO, and named executive officers, and directors, among others are eligible to participate in the LTIP and STIP. Prior to the adoption of the LTIP and STIP, stock options were granted under individual arrangements between the Company and the grantees, and approved by the Board of Directors.

The fair value of each of the Company's stock option awards is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model that uses the assumptions noted in the table below. Expected volatility is based on an average of historical volatility of the Company's common stock. The risk-free interest rate for periods within the contractual life of the stock option award is based on the yield curve of a zero-coupon U.S. Treasury Bond on the date the award is granted with a maturity equal to the expected term of the award.

The significant assumptions relating to the valuation of the Company's options issued for 2015 and 2014 were as follows on a weighted average basis:

| | 2015 | 2014 |
|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Dividend Yield | 0.0 % | 0.0 % |
| Expected Life (in years) | 7.00 | 5.5 |
| Expected Volatility | 72.9% | 56.1% |
| Risk Free Interest Rate | 2.00% | 1.79% |

Table Of Contents

A summary of the status and changes of the options granted under stock option plans and other agreements for 2015 and 2014 is as follows:

| | December 31, 2015 | | December 31, 2014 | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|--|-------------------|--|
| | Shares | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Shares | Weighted Average Exercise Price |
| Outstanding at beginning of period | 17,053,116 | \$ 1.02 | 15,878,116 | \$ 1.03 |
| Issued | 1,138,356 | 0.63 | 1,175,000 | 0.84 |
| Exercised | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Forfeited | (235,000) | \$ 0.77 | -- | -- |
| Outstanding at end of period | 17,956,472 | \$ 1.00 | 17,053,116 | \$ 1.02 |

Table Of Contents

During the year ended December 31, 2015, the Company granted 1,138,356 options to purchase the Company's common stock with a weighted average exercise price of \$0.63. Of the 1,138,356 options granted, the options vest as follows:

Vesting Information

| Shares | Frequency | Begin Date | End Date |
|---------|-------------|------------|------------|
| 200,000 | Immediately | 2/12/2015 | 2/12/2015 |
| 38,356 | Immediately | 4/15/2015 | 4/15/2015 |
| 100,000 | Monthly | 4/7/2015 | 4/7/2016 |
| 100,000 | Monthly | 9/16/2015 | 9/16/2016 |
| 200,000 | Annually | 2/5/2015 | 2/5/2018 |
| 350,000 | Annually | 6/1/2015 | 6/1/2018 |
| 150,000 | Annually | 11/10/2015 | 11/10/2018 |

A summary of the status of the options outstanding at December 31, 2015 is presented below:

| Options Outstanding | | Options Exercisable | | |
|---------------------|---|--|-----------------------|--|
| Number Outstanding | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (years) | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Number Exercisable | Weighted Average Exercise Price |
| 100,000 | 4.72 | \$ 0.22 | 25,002 | \$ 0.22 |
| 150,000 | 4.87 | \$ 0.50 | -0- | \$ N/A |
| 200,000 | 9.13 | \$ 0.66 | 200,000 | \$ 0.66 |
| 150,000 | 9.11 | \$ 0.68 | -0- | \$ N/A |
| 7,233,277 | 2.99 | \$ 0.70 | 7,233,277 | \$ 0.70 |
| 488,356 | 9.38 | \$ 0.73 | 94,178 | \$ 0.73 |
| 3,405,134 | 5.69 | \$ 0.83 | 3,405,134 | \$ 0.83 |
| 975,000 | 8.45 | \$ 0.84 | 691,667 | \$ 0.84 |
| 300,000 | 7.64 | \$ 1.10 | 200,000 | \$ 1.10 |
| 300,000 | 7.48 | \$ 1.15 | 233,333 | \$ 1.15 |
| 100,000 | 2.09 | \$ 1.24 | 100,000 | \$ 1.24 |
| 115,000 | 5.24 | \$ 1.35 | 115,000 | \$ 1.35 |
| 125,000 | 2.09 | \$ 1.45 | 125,000 | \$ 1.45 |
| 330,000 | 5.95 | \$ 1.55 | 330,000 | \$ 1.55 |
| 7,645 | 2.09 | \$ 1.58 | 7,645 | \$ 1.58 |
| 3,077,060 | 6.89 | \$ 1.66 | 3,077,060 | \$ 1.66 |
| 900,000 | 5.64 | \$ 1.90 | 900,000 | \$ 1.90 |

17,956,472 5.12 \$ 1.00 16,737,296 \$ 1.01

Compensation expense of \$397,417, \$865,716, and \$4,707,381 has been recognized for the vested options for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The aggregate intrinsic value of the outstanding options at December 31, 2015 was \$6,500. At December 31, 2015, \$354,284 of unamortized compensation expense for unvested options will be recognized over the next 5.12 years on a weighted average basis.

Table Of Contents**NOTE 11 - PER SHARE DATA**

The computation of basic earnings (loss) per share of common stock is based on the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the year. The computation of diluted earnings per common share is based on the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the year plus the common stock equivalents that would arise from the exercise of stock options and warrants outstanding under the treasury method and the average market price per share during the year as well as the conversion of notes. At December 31, 2015, there were options outstanding to purchase 17,956,742 shares of common stock of the Company, warrants outstanding to purchase 729,109 shares of common stock of the Company and notes payable outstanding convertible into 33,170,241 shares of common stock of the Company. At December 31, 2014, there were options outstanding to purchase 17,053,116 shares of common stock of the Company, warrants outstanding to purchase 1,172,930 shares of common stock of the Company and notes payable outstanding convertible into 30,086,389 shares of common stock of the Company. At December 31, 2013, there were options outstanding to purchase 15,878,166 shares of common stock of the Company, warrants outstanding to purchase 6,240,930 shares of common stock of the Company and notes payable outstanding convertible into 7,500,000 shares of common stock of the Company.

NOTE 12 – INCOME TAXES

The Company calculates its deferred tax assets and liabilities using the federal tax rate of 35% and the following effective state rates, net of federal benefits: Idaho (0.1%), Utah (2.55%), and New York State/New York City (0.35%).

The tax effect of items that give rise to the deferred tax assets and liabilities are as follows:

| | December 31, 2015 | December 31, 2014 |
|---------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Deferred tax assets: | | |
| Net operating loss carryforward | \$28,475,616 | \$23,246,809 |
| Stock-based compensation | 4,240,612 | 4,121,311 |
| Total deferred tax assets | 32,716,228 | 27,368,120 |
| Deferred tax liabilities: | | |
| Fixed assets | (348,869) | (673,241) |
| Less: valuation allowance | (32,367,359) | (26,694,879) |
| | \$-- | \$-- |

In assessing the realization of deferred tax assets, management determines whether it is more likely than not some, or all, of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income during the carryforward period as well as the period in which those temporary differences become deductible. Management considers the reversal of taxable temporary differences, projected taxable income and tax planning strategies in making this assessment. Based upon historical losses and the possibility of continued losses over the periods that the deferred tax assets are deductible, management believes it is more likely than not that the Company will not realize the benefits of these deferred tax assets and thus recorded a valuation allowance against the entire deferred tax asset balance. The valuation allowance increased by \$5,672,480, \$4,935,303, and \$5,034,877 in the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014, and 2013, respectively.

At December 31, 2015, the Company had net operating loss carry-forwards of \$76,126,103 for federal income tax purposes and \$51,205,132 for state and local income tax purposes. The federal net operating loss carry-forwards are available to be utilized against future taxable income through fiscal year 2035 and state loss carry-forwards expire from 2024 through 2035, subject to substantial restrictions on the utilization of net operating losses in the event of an “ownership change” as defined by the Internal Revenue Code. Utilization of the Company’s federal and state net operating loss carry-forwards are subject to limitations as a result of these restrictions. No amounts were provided for unrecognized tax benefits attributable to uncertain tax positions as of December 31, 2015 and 2014.

Table Of Contents

A reconciliation of the differences between the effective and statutory income tax rates is as follows:

| | December 31, 2015 | | December 31, 2014 | | December 31, 2013 | |
|--|-------------------|---------|-------------------|---------|-------------------|---------|
| Federal statutory rate | \$(3,457,068) | 35.0 % | \$(3,610,711) | 35.0 % | \$(4,572,234) | 35.0 % |
| State income taxes – Idaho | (7,652) | 0.1 % | (11,016) | 0.1 % | (14,264) | 0.1 % |
| State income taxes – Utah | (243,973) | 2.5 % | (239,093) | 2.3 % | (199,166) | 1.5 % |
| State and local income taxes - NY | (30,468) | 0.3 % | (46,372) | 0.4 % | (58,721) | 0.5 % |
| Change in valuation allowance related to derivatives | 5,672,480 | (57.5%) | 4,935,302 | (47.8%) | 5,034,877 | (39.0%) |
| Net nontaxable income related to derivatives | (2,005,896) | 20.3 % | (843,861) | 8.2 % | (290,623) | 2.2 % |
| Miscellaneous | 72,577 | (0.70%) | (184,249) | 2.0 % | 100,131 | (0.3 %) |
| | \$-- | 0 % | \$-- | 0 % | \$-- | 0 % |

NOTE 13 – RELATED PARTIES

David A. Taft, a director, is the president of IBS Capital LLC (“IBS”), a Massachusetts limited liability company, whose principal business is investing in securities. IBS is the general partner of the IBS Turnaround Fund (QP), which is a Massachusetts limited partnership, IBS Turnaround Fund (LP), which is a Massachusetts limited partnership and the IBS Opportunity Fund, Ltd.

Mr. Taft participated in the Series A Note financing described in Note 8, with the following investments, which were utilized by the Company to fund its operations:

| Investor | Investment | OID/Discount | Principal | Shares Issuable at 0.92 (excluding interest) |
|--|-------------------|---------------------|------------------|---|
| IBS Turnaround Fund (A Limited Partnership) | \$ 531,960 | \$ 0.66 | \$ 806,000 | 876,087 |
| IBS Turnaround Fund QP (A Limited Partnership) | 1,118,040 | 0.66 | 1,694,000 | 1,841,304 |

| | | | | |
|----------------------------|-------------|------|-------------|-----------|
| IBS Opportunity Fund, Ltd. | 350,000 | 0.66 | 530,303 | 576,416 |
| | \$2,000,000 | | \$3,030,303 | 3,293,807 |

NOTE 14 – COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Contingencies

The Company was named as the defendant in a lawsuit filed on April 18, 2014 in state district court in Salt Lake City, Utah. The plaintiff is Tekko Enterprises, Inc., which was hired in 2012 as project manager for the construction of a processing plant at the Company’s Dragon Mine property and terminated in 2013 before the completion of the plant. The complaint seeks damages of \$346,000, unpaid amounts that the plaintiff claims it is entitled to under the project management agreement and two purchase orders. The Company intends to vigorously defend against the claims and to counterclaim.

Office Lease

The Company extended their lease agreement for office space through December 31, 2016 at a monthly rent of \$13,131 for an aggregate commitment of approximately \$157,575 at December 31, 2015, payable over the next year.

Table Of Contents**NOTE 15 - FINANCIAL INFORMATION BY QUARTER (UNAUDITED)**

| 2015 For Quarter Ended | December 31 | September 30 | June 30 | March 31 |
|---|------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Revenue | \$235,586 | \$43,293 | \$65,848 | \$162,747 |
| Operating loss | \$(2,022,257) | \$(2,415,818) | \$(2,803,433) | \$(2,675,300) |
| Net income (loss) | \$(4,674,752) | \$1,792,956 | \$(2,728,876) | \$(4,194,465) |
| Income (Loss) Per Share (Basic and Diluted) | \$(0.02) | \$0.02 | \$(0.03) | \$(0.04) |

| 2014 For Quarter Ended | December 31 | September 30 | June 30 | March 31 |
|------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Revenue | \$119,533 | \$55,681 | \$47,993 | \$11,014 |
| Operating loss | \$(3,147,929) | \$(2,389,291) | \$(2,712,065) | \$(2,552,293) |
| Net loss | \$(4,346,638) | \$(2,576,750) | \$(3,035,704) | \$(357,225) |
| Loss Per Share (Basic and Diluted) | \$(0.05) | \$(0.03) | \$(0.03) | \$(0.00) |

NOTE 16 - SUBSEQUENT EVENT

On March 23, 2016 the Company entered into an agreement to sell the fourth parcel, located in Mullan, Idaho, for gross proceeds of \$418,000. Net proceeds to be received by the Company are expected to be \$380,000, adjusted for a 10% buyers premium paid to the auction firm, J.P. King.

Table Of Contents

PART II

INFORMATION NOT REQUIRED IN PROSPECTUS

ITEM 13. OTHER EXPENSES OF ISSUANCE AND DISTRIBUTION.

The following table sets forth estimated expenses we expect to incur in connection with the resale of the shares being registered. All such expenses are estimated except for the SEC registration fee.

| | |
|---|-----------------|
| Registration Fee – Securities and Exchange Commission | \$3,567 |
| Accounting Fees and Expenses | 10,000 |
| Legal Fees and Expenses | -0- |
| Miscellaneous | 2,000 |
| TOTAL | \$15,567 |

ITEM 14. INDEMNIFICATION OF DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS.

As permitted by the Delaware General Corporation Law, the Bylaws of the Company provide that (i) the Company is required to indemnify its directors and officers to the fullest extent permitted by the Delaware General Corporation Law, (ii) the Company is required to advance expenses, as incurred, to its directors and officers in connection with a legal proceeding to the fullest extent permitted by the Delaware General Corporation Law, subject to certain very limited exceptions, (iii) the Company may indemnify any other person as set forth in the Delaware General Corporation Law, and (iii) the indemnification rights conferred in the Bylaws are not exclusive.

Insofar as indemnification for liabilities arising under the Securities Act of 1933 may be permitted to our directors, officers, and controlling persons pursuant to the provisions described above or otherwise, we have been advised that in the opinion of the SEC such indemnification is against public policy as expressed in the Securities Act and is, therefore, unenforceable. In the event that a claim for indemnification against such liabilities (other than the payment of expenses incurred or paid in a successful defense of any action, suit or proceeding) is asserted by such director, officer or controlling person in connection with the Common Stock being registered, we will, unless in the opinion of our counsel the matter has been settled by controlling precedent, submit to the court of appropriate jurisdiction the question whether such indemnification by it is against public policy as expressed in the Securities Act and will be governed by the final adjudication of such issue.

We currently maintain liability insurance for our directors and officers. In connection with this offering, we expect to obtain additional liability insurance for our directors and officers. Such insurance would be available to our directors and officers in accordance with its terms.

ITEM 15. RECENT SALES OF UNREGISTERED SECURITIES.

1. Offerings to investors for cash to raise working capital.

No underwriter or placement agent was used and no underwriting, placement agent fee or commission was paid. All of the issuances described in this section were exempt from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act, as transactions not involving a public offering. The sales were separate transactions and were not part of a continuous offering. With respect to each transaction listed, no general solicitation was made by either the Company or any person acting on our behalf; the number of purchasers was limited; the securities sold are subject to transfer restrictions; each purchaser was an accredited investor and sophisticated; each purchaser had access to the Company's public filings and could ask questions of management; and the certificates for the shares contained an appropriate legend stating such securities have not been registered under the Securities Act and may not be offered or sold absent registration or pursuant to an exemption therefrom.

(a) On August 2, 2013, the Company issued, in a private placement, \$10,500,000 of 10% PIK-Election Convertible Notes due 2023 ("2023 Notes"). The purchasers were one investment fund of a family office (\$4 million), an investment fund and two related family funds (\$2.5 million) and an investment fund (\$4 million).

The 2023 Notes bear interest at the rate of 10% per annum, payable (including by issuance of additional October 2010 Notes) semi-annually in arrears on February 1 and August 1 with payments commencing on February 1, 2014. The 2023 Notes were convertible at the option of the noteholder at any time. The 2023 Notes were mandatorily convertible on the earliest date that is one year after the Issue Date when each of the following conditions have been satisfied: (i) the average closing bid price or market price of Company common stock for the preceding 5 trading days is above the Strike Price (as defined below), and (iii) a registration statement is effective and available for resale of all of the converted shares or the noteholder may sell such shares under Rule 144 under the Securities Act.

Table Of Contents

The number of shares issued on conversion of the 2023 Notes was derived by dividing the principal and accrued interest on the 2023 Notes by \$1.40 (the "Strike Price"). The Strike Price was subject to adjustment in the event of a dividend or distribution on Company's common stock in shares of common stock, subdivision or combination of Company outstanding common stock, or reclassification of Company's outstanding common stock. After issuance of the Series A Notes, the 2023 Notes currently have an exercise price of \$1.34 per share. The note holders may accelerate the entire amount due under the 2023 Notes upon the occurrence of certain events of default.

(b) On November 3, 2014 ("Issue Date"), the Company issued, in a private placement pursuant to investment agreements, \$19,848,486 principal amount of 10% PIK-Election Convertible Notes due 2018 ("Series A Notes") in exchange for \$12,500,000 in cash and the cancellation of warrants held by one investor.

Maturity Date of the Series A Notes.

"Stated Maturity Date" means November 3, 2018, provided that the Stated Maturity Date may be extended to November 3, 2019 at the option of the Company (the "Extension Option") if (i) the Company has delivered written notice of its exercise of the Extension Option to the Holder not more than ninety (90) nor less than thirty (30) days prior to November 3, 2018 and (ii) the Company has delivered a certificate, dated as of November 3, 2018, certifying that no Default or Event of Default has occurred and is continuing; provided, further that the Stated Maturity Date shall be extended to the maturity date of the Series 2023 Notes or any Replacement Financing, as applicable, upon the occurrence of a Specified Event ("Specified Extension").

Interest in the Series A Notes.

The Company will pay in kind interest for each interest period on the Note by adding the full amount of interest due on each interest payment date to the principal amount of the Note on each interest payment date, unless it elects to pay interest entirely in cash.

The principal amount of the Series A Notes bears interest at the rate of 10% payable semiannually in arrears, provided that the interest rate shall be reduced to 1% per annum on the principal amount of the Note upon the occurrence of the Specified Event, as defined below.

The term "Specified Event" means the event that will occur after the second anniversary of the Issue Date if: (i) any amounts under the Series 2023 Notes (as defined below) or any Replacement Financing (as defined below) are outstanding, (ii) the VWAP (volume weighted average price) for the preceding 30 consecutive trading days is in excess of the Exercise Price (as defined below), (iii) the closing market price of the Common Stock is in excess of the

Exercise Price on the date immediately preceding the date on which the Specified Event occurs, and (iv) no default or event of default under the Series A Notes has occurred and is continuing.

The term “Series 2023 Notes” means the series of 10% PIK-Election Convertible Notes due 2023 issued by the Company on August 2, 2013 in the initial principal amount of \$10,500,000.

The term “Replacement Financing” means unsecured indebtedness of the Company in a principal amount not to exceed \$10,500,000 plus any accrued interest thereon (including any interest paid in kind) so long as (1) no default or event of default under the Series A Notes shall have occurred and be continuing or would result therefrom, (2) all outstanding amounts under each Series 2023 Note shall have been converted into the Common Stock of the Company pursuant to the terms of such Series 2023 Note prior to the date on which such Indebtedness is incurred, (3) the maturity date of such Indebtedness shall not be earlier than the maturity date under the Series 2023 Notes, (4) such indebtedness is not subject to scheduled amortization, redemption, sinking fund or other payment in cash prior to the maturity date of such Indebtedness, (5) such indebtedness does not include any terms that are more restrictive or onerous on the Company or its Subsidiaries in any respect than any comparable term in the Series A Note, (6) such indebtedness shall only be guaranteed by a party that is providing a guarantee of the Series A Notes (the Series A Notes are not guaranteed), (7) such indebtedness shall have an interest rate less than or equal to 10% and (8) if applicable, the exercise price, strike price or similar term with respect to the conversion of such indebtedness into the Common Stock of the Company shall be greater than or equal \$1.40 and shall be subject to the adjustments on the same terms as the Series 2023 Notes.

Conversion of the Series A Notes into Common Stock.

The number of Shares to be issued upon conversion of a Note is obtained by: (i) adding (A) the principal amount or portion thereof of the Note to be converted and (B) the amount of any accrued but unpaid interest on the portion of the Note to be converted; and (ii) dividing the result obtained pursuant to clause (i) above by the per share Exercise Price (as defined below) then in effect.

The term “Exercise Price” means initially \$0.92 per share and will be (i) adjusted from time to time pursuant antidilution provisions and (ii) reduced by \$0.10 per share if the Company elects to exercise its Extension Option.

The Series A Notes may be voluntarily converted at any time.

Table Of Contents

The entire principal amount of the Series A Notes and accrued interest thereon shall be mandatorily converted into Shares on the earliest date that is not earlier than two years after the Issue Date that all of the following conditions are satisfied and appropriate notice given:

(1) if on or prior to November 3, 2019 and a Specified Extension has not occurred, the VWAP for the preceding 30 consecutive trading days is at or greater than \$1.00 or (2) the VWAP for the preceding 10 consecutive trading days as determined is in excess of the greater of (x) \$1.40, (y) the strike price (or similar term) set forth in the Series 2023 Notes and (z) the strike price (or similar term) set forth in the Replacement Financing, if any;

(2) if on or prior to November 3, 2019 and a Specified Extension has not occurred, the closing market price of the Common Stock is at or greater than \$1.00 or (2) the closing market price of the Common Stock is in excess of the greater of (x) \$1.40, (y) the strike price (or similar term) set forth in the Series 2023 Notes and (z) the strike price (or similar term) set forth in the Replacement Financing, if any, in each case, on the date immediately preceding the date on which the mandatory conversion notice is received;

(3) all outstanding amounts under each Series 2023 Note or Replacement Financing, if any, shall have been converted into the Common Stock pursuant to the terms of such Series 2023 Note or the Replacement Financing, if any; and

(4) either (x) a registration statement is effective and available for the resale of all of the Shares to be issued on conversion on the conversion date and each of the five (5) trading days prior to the Conversion Date and on the conversion date the holder is not restricted from selling or distributing any of such its Shares to be issued on conversion pursuant to the provisions of the Registration Rights Agreement between the holder and the Company or (y) the Holder may sell all such Conversion Shares immediately under Rule 144 under the Securities Act.

Antidilution Provisions of the Series A Notes.

The number of shares issuable under the Notes may be affected by the antidilution provisions of the Notes. The antidilution provisions adjust the Exercise Price of the Notes in the event of stock dividends and splits, issuance below the market price of the Common Stock, issuances below the conversion price of the Notes, pro rata distribution of assets, rights plans, tender offers, and exchange offers.

The anti-dilution provisions are of the type known as “broad-based, weighted-average.” Broad-based refers to the fact that the antidilution formulas take into account convertible securities as well as Common Stock. Weighted-average refers to the fact that the adjustment takes into account the number of shares of shares before and after the event in question. By way of example, if the Company issues shares of Common Stock or convertible securities at an effective consideration per share that is less than the Exercise Price of the Notes then in effect (other than issuances to directors,

officers, employees or consultants of the Company as compensation for services), then the conversion price will be adjusted pursuant to the following formula:

$$N_0 + C/E_0$$

$$E = E_0 \times \frac{N_0 + C/E_0}{N_0 + NA}$$

$$N_0 + NA$$

where: E = the Exercise Price in effect immediately after such issuance; E0 = the Exercise Price in effect immediately prior to such issuance; N0 = the number of shares of Common Stock outstanding immediately prior to the open of business on the trading day of such issuance; NA = the number of shares of Common Stock issued and/or issuable upon exercise, conversion or exchange of any convertible securities, full physical settlement assumed; and C = the total consideration receivable by the Company on issuance and/or the exercise, conversion or exchange of any convertible securities, full physical settlement assumed.

If any single action would require more than one adjustment of the Exercise Price under the antidilution provisions, only one adjustment shall be made and such adjustment shall be the amount of adjustment that has the highest absolute value.

2. Compensatory grants of shares or options

The grants were made in exchange for services and the services did not relate to raising capital. No payments of cash were made to the Company in connection with the grants. No underwriter or placement agent was used and no underwriting, placement agent fee or commission was paid. All of the issuances described in this section were exempt from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act, as transactions not involving a public offering. With respect to each transaction listed above, no general solicitation was made by either the Company or any person acting on our behalf; the securities sold are subject to transfer restrictions; each purchaser was an accredited investor or sophisticated; each purchaser had access to the Company's public filings and could ask questions of management; and the certificates for the shares contained an appropriate legend stating such securities have not been registered under the Securities Act and may not be offered or sold absent registration or pursuant to an exemption therefrom. Only one person of those listed below has sold stock.

Table Of Contents

(i) Issuances or Exercises by Third Parties

(a) On the dates set forth below, shares of common stock were issued to Yash Khanna, Ph.D pursuant to a consulting agreement for research and development into plastics, functional fillers, and additives using the Company's halloysite clay.

| Grant Date | Number of Shares | Stock Price on Grant Date (\$) |
|-------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 05/01/13 | 2,448 | 1.43 |
| 06/03/13 | 2,713 | 1.29 |
| 07/01/13 | 2,941 | 1.19 |
| 08/01/13 | 3,500 | 1.00 |

(b) On the dates set forth below, shares of common stock were issued to Edward Volk, pursuant to an agreement relating to selling the Company's products.

| Grant Date | Number of Shares | Stock Price on Grant Date (\$) |
|-------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 05/01/13 | 2,448 | 1.43 |
| 06/03/13 | 2,713 | 1.29 |
| 07/01/13 | 2,941 | 1.19 |
| 08/01/13 | 3,500 | 1.00 |
| 09/03/13 | 3,302 | 1.06 |
| 10/01/13 | 3,211 | 1.09 |
| 11/01/13 | 2,941 | 1.19 |
| 12/02/13 | 3,182 | 1.10 |
| 01/01/14 | 3,182 | 1.10 |
| 02/04/14 | 3,804 | 0.92 |

(c) On the dates set forth below, shares of common stock were issued to Eric Wisnefsky, pursuant to an agreement relating to selling the Company's products.

| Grant Date | Number of Shares | Stock Price on Grant Date (\$) |
|-------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 03/07/13 | 6,364 | 1.65 |
| 06/03/13 | 8,140 | 1.29 |
| 09/03/13 | 9,907 | 1.06 |
| 12/02/13 | 9,546 | 1.10 |
| 03/31/14 | 11,798 | 0.89 |
| 07/09/14 | 12,650 | 0.83 |
| 09/02/14 | 13,125 | 0.80 |
| 12/05/14 | 13,636 | 0.77 |
| 12/05/14 | 1,333 | 0.75 |

Table Of Contents

(d) On the dates set forth below, shares of common stock were issued to MZHCI, LLC, pursuant to an agreement related to providing investor relations consulting services for the Company.

| Grant Date | Number of Shares | Stock Price on Grant Date (\$) |
|-------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 03/02/15 | 100,000 | 0.74 |
| 06/15/15 | 50,000 | 0.65 |
| 10/13/15 | 50,000 | 0.45 |
| 01/28/16 | 50,000 | 0.20 |

(e) On May 29, 2013, a five-year option to purchase 50,000 shares of common stock was issued to Crossways Consulting, the consulting vehicle for Tuvia Barak, for consulting services relating to sales leads.

(f) Grants to Directors in their capacity as such

On the dates set forth below, the Company granted the following common shares to directors as payment for director's fees:

| Director | Grant Date | Number of Shares | Stock Price on Date of Grant (\$) |
|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Evan Stone | 07/01/13 | 5,777 | 1.19 |
| Evan Stone | 10/01/13 | 6,307 | 1.09 |
| Mario Concha | 10/08/13 | 7,775 | 1.09 |

(f) Option Grants to Employees

On the dates set forth below, the Company granted compensatory ten-year options to purchase shares of common stock to the named employees of the Company at the time, for the share amounts and the exercise prices set forth

below:

| Optionee | Grant Date | Number of Shares | Stock Price on Date of Grant (\$) |
|------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Nat Krishnamurti | 05/29/13 | 65,000 | 1.35 |
| Yash Khanna | 06/24/13 | 300,000 | 1.15 |
| Rogério Galanta | 08/20/13 | 300,000 | 1.10 |

93

Table Of Contents**ITEM 16A. EXHIBITS**

| Exhibit Number | Description of Exhibit | |
|-----------------------|---|------|
| 3.1 | Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation | (1) |
| 3.2 | Bylaws, as amended | (2) |
| 4.1 | Form of 10% PIK Election Convertible Note due 2023 used in connection with August, 2013 capital raise | (3) |
| 4.2 | Form of 10% PIK Election Convertible Note due 2018 used in connection with November, 2014 capital raise | (4) |
| 5 | Opinion of William Gleeson | (5) |
| 10.1 | Form of Investment Agreement used in connection with November, 2014 capital raise | (6) |
| 10.2 | Form of Warrant Cancellation Agreement used in connection with November, 2014 capital raise | (7) |
| 10.3 | 2012 Long Term Option Plan | (8) |
| 10.4 | Form of Stock Option Agreement | (9) |
| 10.5 | Short Term Incentive Plan | (10) |
| 10.6 | Form of Stock Grant | (11) |
| 10.7 | Terms of Private Placement of Securities | (12) |
| 10.8 | Directors' Compensation | (13) |
| 23.1 | Consent of EisnerAmper LLP, Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm | * |
| 101.INS | XBRL Instance | ** |
| 101.SCH | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema | ** |
| 101.CAL | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation | ** |
| 101.DEF | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition | ** |
| 101.LAB | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Labels | ** |
| 101.PRE | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation | ** |

** XBRL information is furnished and not filed or a part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, is deemed not filed for purposes of section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise is not subject to liability under these sections.

- (1) Filed as Exhibit 3.1 to the Annual Report for the year ended December 31, 2015 filed on March 30, 2016
- (2) Filed as Exhibit 3.12 to the Annual Report for the year ended December 31, 2015 filed on March 30, 2016
- (3) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 included in the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on August 5, 2013
- (4) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 included in the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on November 5, 2014
- (5) Filed as Exhibit 5 to registration statement 333-205179 on June 23, 2015
- (6) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 included in the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on November 5, 2014
- (7) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.4 included in the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on November 5, 2014

Edgar Filing: Applied Minerals, Inc. - Form POS AM

- (8) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 included in the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on November 26, 2012
- (9) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 included in the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on November 26, 2012
- (10) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.3 included in the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on November 26, 2012
- (11) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.4 included in the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on November 26, 2012
- (12) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 included in the Registrant's Annual Report of Form 10-K, filed on March 27, 2015
- (13) Filed as Exhibit 10.8 to the Annual Report for the year ended December 31, 2015 filed on March 30, 2016

Table Of Contents**ITEM 16B. SCHEDULE OF VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS**

| | Balance at | Additions | Net | Balance at |
|--|---------------------|---|---------------------|-------------------|
| | Beginning of | to | (Deductions) | End of |
| | Year | Expenses/ Other Accounts | Recoveries | Year |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | | | | |
| 2015 | \$ -- | \$ -- | \$ -- | \$-- |
| 2014 | \$ -- | \$ -- | \$ -- | \$-- |
| 2013 | \$ 25,106 | \$ -- | \$ 25,106 | \$-- |
| Valuation allowance for deferred tax assets | | | | |
| 2015 | \$ 26,694,879 | \$ 5,672,480 | \$ -- | \$32,367,359 |
| 2014 | \$ 21,759,577 | \$ 4,935,302 | \$ -- | \$26,694,879 |
| 2013 | \$ 16,724,700 | \$ 5,034,877 | \$ -- | \$21,759,577 |

Table Of Contents

ITEM 17. UNDERTAKINGS.

The undersigned registrant hereby undertakes:

1. to file, during any period in which offers or sales are being made, a post-effective amendment to this registration statement to:

(i) include any prospectus required by section 10(a)(3) of the Securities Act;

reflect in the prospectus any facts or events arising after the effective date of the registration statement (or the most recent post-effective amendment thereof) which, individually or in the aggregate, represent a fundamental change in the information in the registration statement. Notwithstanding the foregoing, any increase or decrease in volume of securities offered (if the total dollar value of securities offered would not exceed that which was registered) and any deviation from the low or high end of the estimated maximum offering range may be reflected in the form of prospectus filed with the Commission pursuant to Rule 424(b) if, in the aggregate, the changes in volume and price represent no more than a 20 percent change in the maximum aggregate offering price set forth in the “Calculation of

Registration Fee” table in the effective registration statement; and

(iii) include any material information with respect to the plan of distribution not previously disclosed in the registration statement or any material change to such information on the registration statement.

2. that, for the purpose of determining any liability under the Securities Act of 1933, each post-effective amendment shall be deemed to be a new registration statement relating to the securities offered therein, and the offering of such securities at that time shall be deemed to be the initial bona fide offering thereof.

3. to remove from registration by means of post-effective amendment any of the securities being registered which remain unsold at the termination of the offering.

that, for the purpose of determining liability under the Securities Act to any purchaser, each prospectus filed pursuant to Rule 424(b) as part of a registration statement relating to an offering, other than registration statements relying on Rule 430B or other than prospectuses filed in reliance on Rule 430A, shall be deemed to be part of and included in the registration statement as of the date it is first used after effectiveness. Provided, however, that no statement made in a registration statement or prospectus that

4. is part of the registration statement or made in a document incorporated or deemed incorporated by reference into the registration statement or prospectus that is part of the registration statement will, as to a purchaser with a time of contract of sale prior to such first use, supersede or modify any statement that was made in the registration statement or prospectus that was part of the registration statement or made in any such document immediately prior to such date of first use.

5.

that insofar as indemnification for liabilities arising under the Securities Act may be permitted to directors, officers and controlling persons of the registrant pursuant to the foregoing provisions, or otherwise, the registrant has been advised that in the opinion

of the Securities and Exchange Commission, such indemnification is against public policy as expressed in the Act and is, therefore, unenforceable. In the event that a claim for indemnification against such liabilities (other than the payment by the registrant of

expenses incurred or paid by a director, officer or controlling person to the registrant in the successful defense of any action, suit or proceeding) is asserted by such director, officer or controlling person in connection with the securities being registered, the registrant

will, unless in the opinion of its counsel the matter has been settled by controlling precedent, submit to a court of appropriate jurisdiction the question of whether such indemnification by it is against public policy as expressed in the Securities Act and will be governed by the final adjudication of such issue.

The undersigned registrant hereby undertakes to deliver or cause to be delivered with the prospectus, to each person to whom the prospectus is sent or given, the latest annual report to security holders that is incorporated by reference in the prospectus and furnished pursuant to and meeting the requirements of Rule 14a-3 or Rule 14c-3 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and, where interim financial information required to be presented by Article 3 of Regulation S-X are not set forth in the prospectus, to deliver, or cause to be delivered to each person to whom the prospectus is sent or given, the latest quarterly report that is specifically incorporated by reference in the prospectus to provide such interim financial information.

Table Of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, the registrant has duly caused this amendment to the registration statement to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized in the City of New York, New York, on April 15, 2016.

APPLIED MINERALS, INC .

April 15, 2016 By: /s/ ANDRE ZEITOUN
 Andre Zeitoun
 Chief Executive Officer

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS, that each person whose signature appears below constitutes and appoints Andre Zeitoun and William Gleeson, and each of them, with full power to act without the other, such person's true and lawful attorneys-in-fact and agents, with full power of substitution and re-substitution, for him and in his name, place and stead, in any and all capacities, to sign this Post-Effective Amendment to the Registration Statement, any and all amendments thereto (including post-effective amendments), any subsequent Registration Statements pursuant to Rule 462 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and any amendments thereto and to file the same, with exhibits and schedules thereto, and other documents in connection therewith, with the Securities and Exchange Commission, granting unto said attorneys-in-fact and agents, and each of them, full power and authority to do and perform each and every act and thing necessary or desirable to be done in and about the premises, as fully to all intents and purposes as he might or could do in person, hereby ratifying and confirming all that said attorneys-in-fact and agents, or any of them, or their or his substitute or substitutes, may lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, this post-effective amendment to the registration statement has been signed by the following persons in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

| Signature | Title | Date |
|---------------------------|---|----------------|
| /s/ Andre Zeitoun | Director and Chief Executive Officer | April 15, 2016 |
| /s/ Christopher T. Carney | Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer) | April 15, 2016 |
| /s/ John F. Levy | Director, Chairman of the Board of Directors | April 15, 2016 |
| /s/ David Taft | Director | April 15, 2016 |

| | | |
|--------------------|----------|----------------|
| /s/ Mario Concha | Director | April 15, 2016 |
| /s/ Robert Betz | Director | April 15, 2016 |
| /s/ Bradley Tirpak | Director | April 15, 2016 |
| /s/ Ali Zamani | Director | April 15, 2016 |