

PENNS WOODS BANCORP INC
Form 10-Q
November 12, 2013
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

ý Quarterly Report pursuant to Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
for the Quarterly Period Ended September 30, 2013.

o Transition report pursuant to Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Exchange Act

For the Transition Period from _____ to _____.

No. 0-17077
(Commission File Number)

PENNS WOODS BANCORP, INC.
(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)
PENNSYLVANIA
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

23-2226454
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

300 Market Street, P.O. Box 967 Williamsport,
Pennsylvania
(Address of principal executive offices)

17703-0967
(Zip Code)

(570) 322-1111
Registrant's telephone number, including area code

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. YES ý NO o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). YES ý NO o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definition of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Edgar Filing: PENNS WOODS BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Large accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer

Accelerated filer
Small reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). YES NO

On November 2, 2013 there were 4,819,054 shares of the Registrant's common stock outstanding.

Table of Contents

PENNS WOODS BANCORP, INC.

INDEX TO QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q

	Page Number
<u>Part I</u> <u>Financial Information</u>	
<u>Item 1.</u> <u>Financial Statements</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheet (Unaudited) as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Consolidated Statement of Income (Unaudited) for the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 and 2012</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income (Unaudited) for the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 and 2012</u>	<u>5</u>
<u>Consolidated Statement of Changes in Shareholders' Equity (Unaudited) for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 and 2012</u>	<u>6</u>
<u>Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows (Unaudited) for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 and 2012</u>	<u>7</u>
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u>	<u>9</u>
<u>Item 2.</u> <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>32</u>
<u>Item 3.</u> <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	<u>46</u>
<u>Item 4.</u> <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>47</u>
<u>Part II</u> <u>Other Information</u>	
<u>Item 1.</u> <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>48</u>
<u>Item 1A.</u> <u>Risk Factors</u>	<u>48</u>
<u>Item 2.</u> <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	<u>48</u>
<u>Item 3.</u> <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	<u>48</u>
<u>Item 4.</u> <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	<u>48</u>
<u>Item 5.</u> <u>Other Information</u>	<u>48</u>
<u>Item 6.</u> <u>Exhibits</u>	<u>48</u>

Signatures 49

Exhibit Index and Exhibits 50

2

Table of Contents

Part I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

PENNS WOODS BANCORP, INC.

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

(UNAUDITED)

(In Thousands, Except Share Data)	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
ASSETS:		
Noninterest-bearing balances	\$23,073	\$12,695
Interest-bearing deposits in other financial institutions	9,776	2,447
Federal funds sold	195	—
Total cash and cash equivalents	33,044	15,142
Investment securities available for sale, at fair value	285,383	289,316
Loans held for sale	1,588	3,774
Loans	806,163	512,232
Allowance for loan losses	(9,630) (7,617
Loans, net	796,533	504,615
Premises and equipment, net	18,352	8,348
Accrued interest receivable	4,639	4,099
Bank-owned life insurance	25,216	16,362
Investment in limited partnerships	2,387	2,883
Goodwill	17,104	3,032
Intangibles	1,892	—
Deferred tax asset	10,389	4,731
Other assets	7,563	4,233
TOTAL ASSETS	\$1,204,090	\$856,535
LIABILITIES:		
Interest-bearing deposits	\$760,147	\$527,073
Noninterest-bearing deposits	215,374	114,953
Total deposits	975,521	642,026
Short-term borrowings	15,060	33,204
Long-term borrowings, Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB)	70,750	76,278
Accrued interest payable	435	366
Other liabilities	16,472	10,935
TOTAL LIABILITIES	1,078,238	762,809
SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY:		
Preferred stock, no par value, 3,000,000 shares authorized; no shares issued	—	—
Common stock, par value \$8.33, 15,000,000 shares authorized; 4,999,483 and 4,019,112 shares issued	41,662	33,492
Additional paid-in capital	49,782	18,157
Retained earnings	46,324	43,030
Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income:		
Net unrealized (loss) gain on available for sale securities	(799) 10,164
Defined benefit plan	(4,807) (4,807
Treasury stock at cost, 180,596 shares	(6,310) (6,310

TOTAL SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY	125,852	93,726
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY	\$1,204,090	\$856,535

See accompanying notes to the unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

PENNS WOODS BANCORP, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF INCOME
(UNAUDITED)

(In Thousands, Except Per Share Data)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 2013	September 30, 2012	September 30, 2013	September 30, 2012
INTEREST AND DIVIDEND INCOME:				
Loans, including fees	\$9,211	\$6,346	\$23,256	\$18,954
Investment securities:				
Taxable	1,570	1,486	4,520	4,477
Tax-exempt	1,124	1,339	3,553	4,127
Dividend and other interest income	74	96	208	274
TOTAL INTEREST AND DIVIDEND INCOME	11,979	9,267	31,537	27,832
INTEREST EXPENSE:				
Deposits	855	902	2,406	2,797
Short-term borrowings	16	38	63	100
Long-term borrowings, FHLB	479	637	1,480	1,877
TOTAL INTEREST EXPENSE	1,350	1,577	3,949	4,774
NET INTEREST INCOME	10,629	7,690	27,588	23,058
PROVISION FOR LOAN LOSSES	600	600	1,675	1,800
NET INTEREST INCOME AFTER PROVISION FOR LOAN LOSSES	10,029	7,090	25,913	21,258
NON-INTEREST INCOME:				
Service charges	671	489	1,651	1,394
Securities (losses) gains, net	(3) 447	2,257	1,206
Bank-owned life insurance	199	138	481	539
Gain on sale of loans	551	527	1,204	1,053
Insurance commissions	286	295	797	1,053
Brokerage commissions	250	239	797	698
Other	888	636	1,923	1,872
TOTAL NON-INTEREST INCOME	2,842	2,771	9,110	7,815
NON-INTEREST EXPENSE:				
Salaries and employee benefits	4,515	2,939	11,025	8,806
Occupancy	554	317	1,302	963
Furniture and equipment	422	355	1,242	1,058
Pennsylvania shares tax	225	169	617	505
Amortization of investment in limited partnerships	165	165	496	496
Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation deposit insurance	173	111	421	349
Marketing	156	132	371	405
Intangible amortization	91	—	122	—
Other	2,674	1,270	6,195	3,683
TOTAL NON-INTEREST EXPENSE	8,975	5,458	21,791	16,265
INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAX PROVISION	3,896	4,403	13,232	12,808
INCOME TAX PROVISION	650	736	2,643	2,054
NET INCOME	\$3,246	\$3,667	\$10,589	\$10,754
EARNINGS PER SHARE - BASIC	\$0.67	\$0.96	\$2.48	\$2.80
EARNINGS PER SHARE - DILUTED	\$0.67	\$0.96	\$2.48	\$2.80
WEIGHTED AVERAGE SHARES OUTSTANDING - BASIC	4,818,494	3,837,925	4,272,989	3,837,570

Edgar Filing: PENNS WOODS BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

WEIGHTED AVERAGE SHARES OUTSTANDING - DILUTED	4,818,494	3,837,925	4,272,989	3,837,570
DIVIDENDS DECLARED PER SHARE	\$0.47	\$0.47	\$1.66	\$1.41

See accompanying notes to the unaudited consolidated financial statements.

4

Table of Contents

PENNS WOODS BANCORP, INC.
 CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME
 (UNAUDITED)

(In Thousands)	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2013	2012	2013	2012	
Net Income	\$3,246	\$3,667	\$10,589	\$10,754	
Other comprehensive (loss) income:					
Change in unrealized (loss) gain on available for sale securities	(1,647) 6,190	(14,354) 13,228	
Tax effect	560	(2,105) 4,881	(4,498)
Net realized loss (gain) included in net income	3	(447) (2,257) (1,206)
Tax effect	(1) 152	767	410	
Total other comprehensive (loss) income	(1,085) 3,790	(10,963) 7,934	
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$2,161	\$7,457	\$(374) \$18,688	

See accompanying notes to the unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

PENNS WOODS BANCORP, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY
(UNAUDITED)

(In Thousands, Except Per Share Data)	COMMON STOCK			RETAINED EARNINGS	ACCUMULATED		TOTAL SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY
	SHARES	AMOUNT	ADDITIONAL PAID-IN CAPITAL		OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)	TREASURY STOCK	
Balance, December 31, 2011	4,017,677	\$33,480	\$18,115	\$36,394	\$(1,219)	\$(6,310)	\$80,460
Comprehensive income:							
Net income				10,754			10,754
Other comprehensive income					7,934		7,934
Dividends declared, (\$1.41 per share)				(5,411)			(5,411)
Common shares issued for employee stock purchase plan	1,100	9	33				42
Balance, September 30, 2012	4,018,777	\$33,489	\$18,148	\$41,737	\$6,715	\$(6,310)	\$93,779

(In Thousands, Except Per Share Data)	COMMON STOCK			RETAINED EARNINGS	ACCUMULATED		TOTAL SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY
	SHARES	AMOUNT	ADDITIONAL PAID-IN CAPITAL		OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)	TREASURY STOCK	
Balance, December 31, 2012	4,019,112	\$33,492	\$18,157	\$43,030	\$5,357	\$(6,310)	\$93,726
Comprehensive loss:							
Net income				10,589			10,589
Other comprehensive loss					(10,963)		(10,963)
Dividends declared, (\$1.66 per share)				(7,295)			(7,295)
Common shares issued for employee stock purchase plan	1,394	12	47				59
Common shares issued for acquisition of Luzerne National Bank Corporation	978,977	8,158	31,578				39,736
Balance, September 30, 2013	4,999,483	\$41,662	\$49,782	\$46,324	\$(5,606)	\$(6,310)	\$125,852

See accompanying notes to the unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

PENNS WOODS BANCORP, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS
(UNAUDITED)

	Nine Months Ended September	
	30,	
(In Thousands)	2013	2012
OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Net Income	\$10,589	\$10,754
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	708	569
Amortization of intangible assets	122	—
Provision for loan losses	1,675	1,800
Accretion and amortization of investment security discounts and premiums	(44) (816
Securities gains, net	(2,257) (1,206
Originations of loans held for sale	(42,985) (32,116
Proceeds of loans held for sale	46,375	34,671
Gain on sale of loans	(1,204) (1,053
Earnings on bank-owned life insurance	(481) (539
(Increase) decrease in deferred tax asset	(86) 315
Other, net	(445) (1,189
Net cash provided by operating activities	11,967	11,190
INVESTING ACTIVITIES:		
Investment securities available for sale:		
Proceeds from sales	69,898	35,847
Proceeds from calls and maturities	12,775	17,259
Purchases	(71,221) (64,965
Investment securities held to maturity:		
Proceeds from calls and maturities	—	55
Net increase in loans	(43,401) (50,513
Acquisition of bank premises and equipment	(2,744) (1,109
Proceeds from the sale of foreclosed assets	—	700
Purchase of bank-owned life insurance	(981) (33
Proceeds from bank-owned life insurance death benefit	—	383
Proceeds from redemption of regulatory stock	2,237	1,034
Purchases of regulatory stock	(980) —
Acquisition, net of cash acquired	17,487	—
Net cash used for investing activities	(16,930) (61,342
FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
Net increase in interest-bearing deposits	38,636	55,515
Net increase in noninterest-bearing deposits	17,903	3,931
Proceeds from long-term borrowing, FHLB	—	15,000
Repayment of long-term borrowings, FHLB	(5,528) —
Net decrease in short-term borrowings	(20,910) (11,666
Dividends paid	(7,295) (5,411
Issuance of common stock	59	42
Net cash provided by financing activities	22,865	57,411
NET INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	17,902	7,259
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, BEGINNING	15,142	13,885

CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, ENDING	\$33,044	\$21,144
-----------------------------------	----------	----------

See accompanying notes to the unaudited consolidated financial statements.

7

Table of Contents

(In Thousands)	Nine Months Ended September	
	30,	2012
	2013	
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION:		
Interest paid	\$3,880	\$4,809
Income taxes paid	2,770	2,350
Transfer of loans to foreclosed real estate	185	—
Acquisition of Luzerne National Bank Corporation		
Noncash assets acquired:		
Securities available for sale	21,783	
Loans	250,377	
Premises and equipment, net	8,014	
Accrued interest receivable	726	
Bank-owned life insurance	7,419	
Intangibles	2,015	
Other assets	2,636	
Goodwill	14,072	
	307,042	
Liabilities assumed:		
Deferred tax liability	76	
Interest-bearing deposits	194,438	
Noninterest-bearing deposits	82,518	
Short-term borrowings	2,766	
Accrued interest payable	103	
Other liabilities	4,892	
	284,793	
Net noncash assets acquired	22,249	
Cash and cash equivalents acquired	\$20,363	

See accompanying notes to the unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

PENNS WOODS BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
 (Unaudited)

Note 1. Basis of Presentation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Penns Woods Bancorp, Inc. (the “Company”) and its wholly-owned subsidiaries: Woods Investment Company, Inc., Woods Real Estate Development Company, Inc., Luzerne Bank (“Luzerne”) and Jersey Shore State Bank (referred to together as the “Bank”) and Jersey Shore State Bank’s wholly-owned subsidiary, The M Group, Inc. D/B/A The Comprehensive Financial Group (“The M Group”). All significant inter-company balances and transactions have been eliminated in the consolidation.

The interim financial statements are unaudited, but in the opinion of management reflect all adjustments necessary for the fair presentation of results for such periods. The results of operations for any interim period are not necessarily indicative of results for the full year. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes thereto contained in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

The accounting policies followed in the presentation of interim financial results are the same as those followed on an annual basis. These policies are presented on pages 35 through 39 of the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

In reference to the attached financial statements, all adjustments are of a normal recurring nature pursuant to Rule 10-01(b) (8) of Regulation S-X.

Note 2. Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income

The changes in accumulated other comprehensive income by component as of September 30, 2013 were as follows:

(In Thousands)	Three Months Ended September 30, 2013			Three Months Ended September 30, 2012		
	Net Unrealized Gain (Loss) on Available for Sale Securities	Defined Benefit Plan	Total	Net Unrealized Gain on Available for Sale Securities	Defined Benefit Plan	Total
Balance, June 30	\$286	\$(4,807)	\$(4,521)	\$7,058	\$(4,133)	\$2,925
Other comprehensive (loss) income before reclassifications	(1,087)	—	(1,087)	4,085	—	4,085
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income	2	—	2	(295)	—	(295)
Net current-period other comprehensive (loss) income	(1,085)	—	(1,085)	3,790	—	3,790
Balance, September 30	\$(799)	\$(4,807)	\$(5,606)	\$10,848	\$(4,133)	\$6,715
(In Thousands)	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013			Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012		
		Defined	Total	Net Unrealized	Defined	Total

Edgar Filing: PENNS WOODS BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

	Net Unrealized Gain (Loss) on Available for Sale Securities	Benefit Plan		Gain on Available for Sale Securities	Benefit Plan	
Balance, December 31	\$10,164	\$(4,807)	\$5,357	\$2,914	\$(4,133)	\$(1,219)
Other comprehensive (loss) income before reclassifications	(9,473) —	(9,473)	8,730	—	8,730
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income	(1,490) —	(1,490)	(796) —	(796)
Net current-period other comprehensive (loss) income	(10,963) —	(10,963)	7,934	—	7,934
Balance, September 30	\$(799) \$(4,807)	\$(5,606)	\$10,848	\$(4,133)	\$6,715

Table of Contents

The reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income as of September 30, 2013 were as follows:
(In Thousands)

Details about Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income Components	Amount Reclassified from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income		Affected Line Item in the Consolidated Statement of Income
	Three Months Ended September 30, 2013	Three Months Ended September 30, 2012	
Net unrealized loss on available for sale securities	\$ (3)) \$ 447	Securities (losses) gains, net
	(1)) 152	Income tax provision
Total reclassifications for the period	\$ (2)) \$ 295	Net of tax

(In Thousands)

Details about Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income Components	Amount Reclassified from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income		Affected Line Item in the Consolidated Statement of Income
	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012	
Net unrealized gain on available for sale securities	\$ 2,257	\$ 1,206	Securities gains, net
	767	410	Income tax provision
Total reclassifications for the period	\$ 1,490	\$ 796	Net of tax

Note 3. Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In January 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-01, Balance Sheet (Topic 210): Clarifying the Scope of Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities. The amendments clarify that the scope of Update 2011-11 applies to derivatives accounted for in accordance with Topic 815, Derivatives and Hedging, including bifurcated embedded derivatives, repurchase agreements and reverse repurchase agreements, and securities borrowing and securities lending transactions that are either offset in accordance with Section 210-20-45 or Section 815-10-45 or subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement or similar agreement. An entity is required to apply the amendments for fiscal years beginning on or after January 1, 2013, and interim periods within those annual periods. An entity should provide the required disclosures retrospectively for all comparative periods presented. The effective date is the same as the effective date of Update 2011-11. This ASU is not expected to have a significant impact on the Company's financial statements.

In February 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-02, Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income. The amendments in this update require an entity to report the effect of significant reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income on the respective line items in net income if the amount being reclassified is required under U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) to be reclassified in its entirety to net income. For other amounts that are not required under U.S. GAAP to be reclassified in their entirety to net income in the same reporting period, an entity is required to cross-reference other disclosures required under U.S. GAAP that provide additional detail about those amounts. For public entities, the amendments are effective prospectively for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2012. The Company has provided the necessary disclosures in Note 2 - Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income.

In July 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-11, Income Taxes (Topic 740): Presentation of an Unrecognized Tax Benefit When a Net Operating Loss Carryforward, a Similar Tax Loss, or a Tax Credit Carryforward Exists. This Update applies to all entities that have unrecognized tax benefits when a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward exists at the reporting date. An unrecognized tax benefit, or a portion of an unrecognized tax benefit, should be presented in the financial statements as a reduction to a deferred tax asset for a net

operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward, except as follows. To the extent a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward is not available at the reporting date under the tax law of the applicable jurisdiction to settle any additional income taxes that would result from the disallowance of a tax position or the tax law of the applicable jurisdiction does not require the entity to use, and the entity does not intend to use, the deferred tax asset for such purpose, the unrecognized tax benefit should be presented in the financial statements as a liability and should not be combined with deferred tax assets. The assessment of whether a deferred tax asset is available is based on the unrecognized tax benefit and deferred tax asset that exist at the reporting date and should be made presuming disallowance of the tax position at the reporting date. The amendments in this Update are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2013. The amendments should be applied prospectively to all unrecognized tax benefits that exist at the effective date. Retrospective application is permitted. This ASU is not expected to have a significant impact on the Company's financial statements.

Note 4. Per Share Data

Table of Contents

There are no convertible securities which would affect the denominator in calculating basic and dilutive earnings per share. Net income as presented on the consolidated statement of income will be used as the numerator. The following table sets forth the composition of the weighted average common shares (denominator) used in the basic and dilutive earnings per share computation.

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Weighted average common shares issued	4,999,090	4,018,521	4,453,585	4,018,166
Average treasury stock shares	(180,596)	(180,596)	(180,596)	(180,596)
Weighted average common shares and common stock equivalents used to calculate basic and diluted earnings per share	4,818,494	3,837,925	4,272,989	3,837,570

Note 5. Investment Securities

The amortized cost and fair values of investment securities at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 are as follows:

(In Thousands)	September 30, 2013			
	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
Available for sale (AFS)				
U.S. Government and agency securities	\$30,263	\$795	\$(289)	\$30,769
State and political securities	148,581	3,215	(4,924)	146,872
Other debt securities	97,691	858	(2,424)	96,125
Total debt securities	276,535	4,868	(7,637)	273,766
Financial institution equity securities	8,384	1,616	(10)	9,990
Other equity securities	1,675	11	(59)	1,627
Total equity securities	10,059	1,627	(69)	11,617
Total investment securities AFS	\$286,594	\$6,495	\$(7,706)	\$285,383
	December 31, 2012			
(In Thousands)	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
Available for sale (AFS)				
U.S. Government and agency securities	\$24,475	\$1,384	\$(19)	\$25,840
State and political securities	168,843	12,805	(1,424)	180,224
Other debt securities	70,108	1,750	(259)	71,599
Total debt securities	263,426	15,939	(1,702)	277,663
Financial institution equity securities	8,422	1,140	(14)	9,548
Other equity securities	2,068	74	(37)	2,105
Total equity securities	10,490	1,214	(51)	11,653
Total investment securities AFS	\$273,916	\$17,153	\$(1,753)	\$289,316

The following tables show the Company's gross unrealized losses and fair value, aggregated by investment category and length of time, that the individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position, at September 30,

2013 and December 31, 2012.

11

Table of Contents

(In Thousands)	September 30, 2013					
	Less than Twelve Months		Twelve Months or Greater		Total	
	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses
U.S. Government and agency securities	\$ 16,179	\$(275)	\$ 747	\$(14)	\$ 16,926	\$(289)
State and political securities	41,626	(3,224)	3,993	(1,700)	45,619	(4,924)
Other debt securities	60,151	(2,424)	—	—	60,151	(2,424)
Total debt securities	117,956	(5,923)	4,740	(1,714)	122,696	(7,637)
Financial institution equity securities	160	(10)	—	—	160	(10)
Other equity securities	1,175	(59)	—	—	1,175	(59)
Total equity securities	1,335	(69)	—	—	1,335	(69)
Total	\$ 119,291	\$(5,992)	\$ 4,740	\$(1,714)	\$ 124,031	\$(7,706)
(In Thousands)	December 31, 2012					
	Less than Twelve Months		Twelve Months or Greater		Total	
	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses
U.S. Government and agency securities	\$ 910	\$(19)	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 910	\$(19)
State and political securities	8,882	(316)	5,647	(1,108)	14,529	(1,424)
Other debt securities	11,250	(189)	3,727	(70)	14,977	(259)
Total debt securities	21,042	(524)	9,374	(1,178)	30,416	(1,702)
Financial institution equity securities	66	(1)	205	(13)	271	(14)
Other equity securities	701	(28)	63	(9)	764	(37)
Total equity securities	767	(29)	268	(22)	1,035	(51)
Total	\$ 21,809	\$(553)	\$ 9,642	\$(1,200)	\$ 31,451	\$(1,753)

At September 30, 2013 there were a total of 190 and 11 individual securities that were in a continuous unrealized loss position for less than twelve months and twelve months or greater, respectively.

The Company reviews its position quarterly and has determined that, at September 30, 2013, the declines outlined in the above table represent temporary declines and the Company does not intend to sell and does not believe it will be required to sell these securities before recovery of their cost basis, which may be at maturity. The Company has concluded that the unrealized losses disclosed above are not other than temporary but are the result of interest rate changes, sector credit ratings changes, or company-specific ratings changes that are not expected to result in the non-collection of principal and interest during the period.

The amortized cost and fair value of debt securities at September 30, 2013, by contractual maturity, are shown below. Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities since borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

(In Thousands)	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
Due in one year or less	\$ 5,783	\$ 5,803
Due after one year to five years	30,823	31,301

Edgar Filing: PENNS WOODS BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Due after five years to ten years	94,538	92,686
Due after ten years	145,391	143,976
Total	\$276,535	\$273,766

Total gross proceeds from sales of securities available for sale were \$69,898 and \$35,847, for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The following table represents gross realized gains and losses on those transactions:

12

Table of Contents

(In Thousands)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 2013	2012	September 30, 2013	2012
Gross realized gains:				
U.S. Government and agency securities	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$ 138
State and political securities	276	52	1,917	103
Other debt securities	163	142	462	219
Financial institution equity securities	—	144	130	605
Other equity securities	—	397	250	523
Total gross realized gains	\$439	\$735	\$2,759	\$1,588
Gross realized losses:				
U.S. Government and agency securities	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—
State and political securities	415	144	475	146
Other debt securities	27	53	27	53
Financial institution equity securities	—	—	—	67
Other equity securities	—	91	—	116
Total gross realized losses	\$442	\$288	\$502	\$382

There were no impairment charges included in gross realized losses for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Note 6. Federal Home Loan Bank Stock

Jersey Shore State Bank and Luzerne are both members of the Federal Home Loan Bank (“FHLB”) of Pittsburgh and as such, are required to maintain a minimum investment in stock of the FHLB that varies with the level of advances outstanding with the FHLB. The stock is bought from and sold to the FHLB based upon its \$100 par value. The stock does not have a readily determinable fair value and as such is classified as restricted stock, carried at cost and evaluated for impairment as necessary. The stock’s value is determined by the ultimate recoverability of the par value rather than by recognizing temporary declines. The determination of whether the par value will ultimately be recovered is influenced by criteria such as the following: (a) the significance of the decline in net assets of the FHLB as compared to the capital stock amount and the length of time this situation has persisted (b) commitments by the FHLB to make payments required by law or regulation and the level of such payments in relation to the operating performance (c) the impact of legislative and regulatory changes on the customer base of the FHLB and (d) the liquidity position of the FHLB.

Management evaluated the stock and concluded that the stock was not impaired for the periods presented herein. More consideration was given to the long-term prospects for the FHLB as opposed to the recent stress caused by the extreme economic conditions the world is facing. Management also considered that the FHLB maintains regulatory capital ratios in excess of all regulatory capital requirements, liquidity appears adequate, new shares of FHLB stock continue to change hands at the \$100 par value, and the resumption of dividends.

Note 7. Credit Quality and Related Allowance for Loan Losses

Management segments the Bank’s loan portfolio to a level that enables risk and performance monitoring according to similar risk characteristics. Loans are segmented based on the underlying collateral characteristics. Categories include commercial and agricultural, real estate, and installment loans to individuals. Real estate loans are further

segmented into three categories: residential, commercial and construction.

The following table presents the related aging categories of loans, by segment, as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

13

Table of Contents

	September 30, 2013				
(In Thousands)	Current	Past Due 30 To 89 Days	Past Due 90 Days Or More & Still Accruing	Non- Accrual	Total
Commercial and agricultural	\$105,001	\$66	\$ 4	\$ 108	\$105,179
Real estate mortgage:					
Residential	378,441	2,117	228	894	381,680
Commercial	280,793	2,840	—	3,782	287,415
Construction	17,044	—	—	1,049	18,093
Installment loans to individuals	14,346	335	—	—	14,681
	795,625	\$5,358	\$ 232	\$5,833	807,048
Net deferred loan fees and discounts	(885)				(885)
Allowance for loan losses	(9,630)				(9,630)
Loans, net	\$785,110				\$796,533
	December 31, 2012				
(In Thousands)	Current	Past Due 30 To 89 Days	Past Due 90 Days Or More & Still Accruing	Non- Accrual	Total
Commercial and agricultural	\$48,322	\$133	\$ —	\$ —	\$48,455
Real estate mortgage:					
Residential	245,674	4,888	351	1,229	252,142
Commercial	177,539	443	—	4,049	182,031
Construction	13,813	177	—	6,077	20,067
Installment loans to individuals	10,550	109	—	—	10,659
	495,898	\$5,750	\$ 351	\$11,355	513,354
Net deferred loan fees and discounts	(1,122)				(1,122)
Allowance for loan losses	(7,617)				(7,617)
Loans, net	\$487,159				\$504,615

Purchased loans acquired are recorded at fair value on their purchase date without a carryover of the related allowance for loan losses.

Upon acquisition, the Company evaluated whether each acquired loan (regardless of size) was within the scope of ASC 310-30, Receivables-Loans and Debt Securities Acquired with Deteriorated Credit Quality. Purchased credit-impaired loans are loans that have evidence of credit deterioration since origination and it is probable at the date of acquisition that the Company will not collect all contractually required principal and interest payments. There were no material increases or decreases in the expected cash flows of these loans between June 1, 2013 (the “acquisition date”) and September 30, 2013. The fair value of purchased credit-impaired loans, on the acquisition date, was determined, primarily based on the fair value of loan collateral. The carrying value of purchased loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality was \$909,000 at September 30, 2013.

On the acquisition date, the preliminary estimate of the unpaid principal balance for all loans evidencing credit impairment acquired in the Luzerne acquisition was \$1,211,000 and the estimated fair value of the loans was \$878,000. Total contractually required payments on these loans, including interest, at the acquisition date was \$1,783,000. However, the Company’s preliminary estimate of expected cash flows was \$941,000. At such date, the Company established a credit risk related non-accretable discount (a discount representing amounts which are not expected to be collected from the customer nor liquidation of collateral) of \$842,000 relating to these impaired loans, reflected in the recorded net fair value. Such amount is reflected as a non-accretable fair value adjustment to loans.

The Company further estimated the timing and amount of expected cash flows in excess of the estimated fair value and established an accretable discount of \$63,000 on the acquisition date relating to these impaired loans.

The carrying value of the loans acquired and accounted for in accordance with ASC 310-30, Loans and Debt Securities Acquired with Deteriorated Credit Quality, was determined by projecting discounted contractual cash flows. The table below

14

Table of Contents

presents the components of the purchase accounting adjustments related to the purchased impaired loans acquired in the Luzerne acquisition as of June 1, 2013:

(In Thousands)	June 1, 2013	
Unpaid principal balance	\$1,211	
Interest	572	
Contractual cash flows	1,783	
Non-accretable discount	(842)
Expected cash flows	941	
Accretable discount	(63)
Estimated fair value	\$878	

Changes in the amortizable yield for purchased credit-impaired loans were as follows for the four months ended September 30, 2013:

(In Thousands)	September 30, 2013	
Balance at beginning of period	\$63	
Accretion	(17)
Balance at end of period	\$46	

The following table presents additional information regarding loans acquired with specific evidence of deterioration in credit quality under ASC 310-30:

(In Thousands)	June 1, 2013	September 30, 2013
Outstanding balance	\$1,211	\$1,225
Carrying amount	878	909

The following table presents the interest income if interest had been recorded based on the original loan agreement terms and rate of interest for non-accrual loans and interest income recognized on a cash basis for non-accrual loans for the three and nine ended September 30, 2013 and 2012:

	Three Months Ended September 30,		2012	
(In Thousands)	Interest Income That Would Have Been Recorded Based on Original Term and Rate	Interest Income Recorded on Cash Basis	Interest Income That Would Have Been Recorded Based on Original Term and Rate	Interest Income Recorded on Cash Basis
Commercial and agricultural	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—
Real estate mortgage:				
Residential	13	10	13	4
Commercial	49	5	92	43
Construction	16	8	77	11
	\$78	\$23	\$182	\$58

Table of Contents

(In Thousands)	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013		2012	
	Interest Income That Would Have Been Recorded Based on Original Term and Rate	Interest Income Recorded on Cash Basis	Interest Income That Would Have Been Recorded Based on Original Term and Rate	Interest Income Recorded on Cash Basis
Commercial and agricultural Real estate mortgage:	\$4	\$—	\$—	\$—
Residential	67	22	25	17
Commercial	165	89	135	51
Construction	97	33	298	67
	\$333	\$144	\$458	\$135

Impaired Loans

Impaired loans are loans for which it is probable the Bank will not be able to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. The Bank evaluates such loans for impairment individually and does not aggregate loans by major risk classifications. The definition of “impaired loans” is not the same as the definition of “non-accrual loans,” although the two categories overlap. The Bank may choose to place a loan on non-accrual status due to payment delinquency or uncertain collectability, while not classifying the loan as impaired. A loan evaluated for impairment is considered to be impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Factors considered by management in determining impairment include payment status and collateral value. The amount of impairment for these types of loans is determined by the difference between the present value of the expected cash flows related to the loan, using the original interest rate, and its recorded value, or as a practical expedient in the case of collateralized loans, the difference between the fair value of the collateral and the recorded amount of the loan. When foreclosure is probable, impairment is measured based on the fair value of the collateral.

Management evaluates individual loans in all of the commercial segments for possible impairment if the loan is greater than \$100,000 and if the loan is either on non-accrual status or has a risk rating of substandard. Management may also elect to measure an individual loan for impairment if less than \$100,000 on a case by case basis.

Mortgage loans on one-to-four family properties and all consumer loans are large groups of smaller-balance homogeneous loans and are measured for impairment collectively. Loans that experience insignificant payment delays, which are defined as 90 days or less, generally are not classified as impaired. Management determines the significance of payment delays on a case-by-case basis taking into consideration all circumstances surrounding the loan and the borrower including the length of the delay, the borrower’s prior payment record, and the amount of shortfall in relation to the principal and interest owed. Interest income for impaired loans is recorded consistent with the Bank’s policy on nonaccrual loans.

The following table presents the recorded investment, unpaid principal balance, and related allowance of impaired loans by segment as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

Table of Contents

	September 30, 2013		
(In Thousands)	Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance
With no related allowance recorded:			
Commercial and agricultural	\$310	\$446	\$—
Real estate mortgage:			
Residential	845	945	—
Commercial	1,249	1,249	—
Construction	533	533	—
	2,937	3,173	—
With an allowance recorded:			
Commercial and agricultural	543	543	233
Real estate mortgage:			
Residential	788	1,040	143
Commercial	6,939	7,083	1,895
Construction	528	1,382	128
	8,798	10,048	2,399
Total:			
Commercial and agricultural	853	989	233
Real estate mortgage:			
Residential	1,633	1,985	143
Commercial	8,188	8,332	1,895
Construction	1,061	1,915	128
	\$11,735	\$13,221	\$2,399
	December 31, 2012		
(In Thousands)	Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance
With no related allowance recorded:			
Commercial and agricultural	\$—	\$—	\$—
Real estate mortgage:			
Residential	410	487	—
Commercial	324	324	—
Construction	2,894	4,599	—
	3,628	5,410	—
With an allowance recorded:			
Commercial and agricultural	485	485	46
Real estate mortgage:			
Residential	1,146	1,255	237
Commercial	8,515	8,611	2,018
Construction	3,196	4,696	234
	13,342	15,047	2,535
Total:			
Commercial and agricultural	485	485	46
Real estate mortgage:			
Residential	1,556	1,742	237
Commercial	8,839	8,935	2,018
Construction	6,090	9,295	234
	\$16,970	\$20,457	\$2,535

Table of Contents

The following table presents the average recorded investment in impaired loans and related interest income recognized for the three and nine months ended for September 30, 2013 and 2012:

(In Thousands)	Three Months Ended September 30, 2013			2012		
	Average Investment in Impaired Loans	Interest Income Recognized on an Accrual Basis on Impaired Loans	Interest Income Recognized on a Cash Basis on Impaired Loans	Average Investment in Impaired Loans	Interest Income Recognized on an Accrual Basis on Impaired Loans	Interest Income Recognized on a Cash Basis on Impaired Loans
Commercial and agricultural Real estate mortgage:	\$786	\$ 7	\$ —	\$—	\$ —	\$ —
Residential	1,652	28	10	1,253	10	4
Commercial	8,277	45	5	6,576	63	6
Construction	1,119	1	8	6,822	1	11
	\$11,834	\$ 81	\$ 23	\$14,651	\$ 74	\$ 21
(In Thousands)	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013			2012		
	Average Investment in Impaired Loans	Interest Income Recognized on an Accrual Basis on Impaired Loans	Interest Income Recognized on a Cash Basis on Impaired Loans	Average Investment in Impaired Loans	Interest Income Recognized on an Accrual Basis on Impaired Loans	Interest Income Recognized on a Cash Basis on Impaired Loans
Commercial and agricultural Real estate mortgage:	\$869	\$ 20	\$ —	\$—	\$ —	\$ —
Residential	2,110	45	21	1,382	35	33
Commercial	11,278	138	89	6,541	224	14
Construction	4,071	1	561	8,266	1	67
	\$18,328	\$ 204	\$ 671	\$16,189	\$ 260	\$ 114

There is approximately \$129,000 committed to be advanced in connection with impaired loans.

Modifications

The loan portfolio also includes certain loans that have been modified in a Troubled Debt Restructuring (“TDR”), where economic concessions have been granted to borrowers who have experienced or are expected to experience financial difficulties. These concessions typically result from loss mitigation activities and could include reductions in the interest rate, payment extensions, forgiveness of principal, forbearance, or other actions. Certain TDRs are classified as nonperforming at the time of restructure and may only be returned to performing status after considering the borrower’s sustained repayment performance for a reasonable period, generally six months.

Loan modifications that are considered TDRs completed during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 were as follows:

Three Months Ended September 30,

Edgar Filing: PENNS WOODS BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

(In Thousands, Except Number of Contracts)	2013		2012			
	Number of Contracts	Pre-Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment	Post-Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment	Number of Contracts	Pre-Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment	Post-Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment
Real estate mortgage:						
Residential	—	\$ —	\$ —	1	\$ 100	\$ 100
Commercial	2	1,634	1,634	—	—	—
Construction	—	—	—	—	—	—
	2	\$ 1,634	\$ 1,634	1	\$ 100	\$ 100

Table of Contents

(In Thousands, Except Number of Contracts)	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013		2012			
	Number of Contracts	Pre-Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment	Post-Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment	Number of Contracts	Pre-Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment	Post-Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment
Real estate mortgage:						
Residential	2	\$ 61	\$ 61	3	\$ 254	\$ 254
Commercial	4	1,898	1,898	1	37	37
Construction	—	—	—	2	26	26
	6	\$ 1,959	\$ 1,959	6	\$ 317	\$ 317

There were three loan modifications considered troubled debt restructurings made during the twelve months previous to September 30, 2013 that defaulted during the nine months ended September 30, 2013. The loans that defaulted are commercial real estate loans that are currently in litigation with a recorded investment of \$1,343,000 at September 30, 2013.

Troubled debt restructurings amounted to \$12,008,000 and \$16,217,000 as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

Internal Risk Ratings

Management uses a ten point internal risk rating system to monitor the credit quality of the overall loan portfolio. The first six categories are considered not criticized, and are aggregated as “Pass” rated. The criticized rating categories utilized by management generally follow bank regulatory definitions. The special mention category includes assets that are currently protected but are potentially weak, resulting in an undue and unwarranted credit risk, but not to the point of justifying a substandard classification. Loans in the substandard category have well-defined weaknesses that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt, and have a distinct possibility that some loss will be sustained if the weaknesses are not corrected. All loans greater than 90 days past due are considered Substandard. Loans in the doubtful category exhibit the same weaknesses found in the substandard loans, however, the weaknesses are more pronounced. Such loans are static and collection in full is improbable. However, these loans are not yet rated as loss because certain events may occur which would salvage the debt. Loans classified loss are considered uncollectible and charge-off is imminent.

To help ensure that risk ratings are accurate and reflect the present and future capacity of borrowers to repay a loan as agreed, the Bank has a structured loan rating process with several layers of internal and external oversight. Generally, consumer and residential mortgage loans are included in the pass category unless a specific action, such as bankruptcy, repossession, or death occurs to raise awareness of a possible credit event. An external annual loan review of all commercial relationships \$800,000 or greater is performed, as well as a sample of smaller transactions. Confirmation of the appropriate risk category is included in the review. Detailed reviews, including plans for resolution, are performed on loans classified as Substandard, Doubtful, or Loss on a quarterly basis.

The following table presents the credit quality categories identified above as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

(In Thousands)	September 30, 2013				Installment Loans to Individuals	Totals
	Commercial and Agricultural	Real Estate Residential	Mortgages Commercial	Construction		
Pass	\$98,043	\$379,857	\$268,408	\$13,142	\$14,681	\$774,131

Edgar Filing: PENNS WOODS BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Special Mention	5,109	278	6,360	1,500	—	13,247
Substandard	2,027	1,545	12,647	3,451	—	19,670
	\$105,179	\$381,680	\$287,415	\$18,093	\$14,681	\$807,048

December 31, 2012

(In Thousands)	Commercial and Real Estate Mortgages				Installment Loans	
	Agricultural	Residential	Commercial	Construction	to Individuals	Totals
Pass	\$46,805	\$250,161	\$167,463	\$13,944	\$10,659	\$489,032
Special Mention	1,480	—	1,630	—	—	3,110
Substandard	170	1,981	12,938	6,123	—	21,212
	\$48,455	\$252,142	\$182,031	\$20,067	\$10,659	\$513,354

Table of Contents

Allowance for Loan Losses

An allowance for loan losses (“ALL”) is maintained to absorb losses from the loan portfolio. The ALL is based on management’s continuing evaluation of the risk characteristics and credit quality of the loan portfolio, assessment of current economic conditions, diversification and size of the portfolio, adequacy of collateral, past and anticipated future loss experience, and the amount of non-performing loans.

The Bank’s methodology for determining the ALL is based on the requirements of ASC Section 310-10-35 for loans individually evaluated for impairment (previously discussed) and ASC Subtopic 450-20 for loans collectively evaluated for impairment, as well as the Interagency Policy Statements on the Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses and other bank regulatory guidance. The total of the two components represents the Bank’s ALL.

Loans that are collectively evaluated for impairment are analyzed with general allowances being made as appropriate. Allowances are segmented based on collateral characteristics previously disclosed, and consistent with credit quality monitoring. Loans that are collectively evaluated for impairment are grouped into two classes for evaluation. A general allowance is determined for “Pass” rated credits, while a separate pool allowance is provided for “Criticized” rated credits that are not individually evaluated for impairment.

For the general allowances, historical loss trends are used in the estimation of losses in the current portfolio. These historical loss amounts are modified by other qualitative factors. A historical charge-off factor is calculated utilizing a twelve quarter moving average. Management has identified a number of additional qualitative factors which it uses to supplement the historical charge-off factor because these factors are likely to cause estimated credit losses associated with the existing loan pools to differ from historical loss experience. The additional factors that are evaluated quarterly and updated using information obtained from internal, regulatory, and governmental sources are: national and local economic trends and conditions; levels of and trends in delinquency rates and non-accrual loans; trends in volumes and terms of loans; effects of changes in lending policies; experience, ability, and depth of lending staff; value of underlying collateral; and concentrations of credit from a loan type, industry and/or geographic standpoint.

Loans in the criticized pools, which possess certain qualities or characteristics that may lead to collection and loss issues, are closely monitored by management and subject to additional qualitative factors. Management also monitors industry loss factors by loan segment for applicable adjustments to actual loss experience.

Management reviews the loan portfolio on a quarterly basis in order to make appropriate and timely adjustments to the ALL. When information confirms all or part of specific loans to be uncollectible, these amounts are promptly charged off against the ALL.

There has been no allowance for loan losses recorded for acquired loans with or without specific evidence of deterioration in credit quality as of June 1, 2013 as well as those acquired without specific evidence of deterioration in credit quality as of September 30, 2013.

Activity in the allowance is presented for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012:

(In Thousands)	Three Months Ended September 30, 2013						Totals
	Commercial and Real Estate Mortgages		Installment Loans				
	Agricultural	Residential	Commercial	Construction	to Individuals	Unallocated	
Beginning Balance	\$540	\$3,045	\$3,988	\$843	\$141	\$847	\$9,404
Charge-offs	—	(105)	(193)	(100)	(29)	—	(427)
Recoveries	39	(2)	1	1	14	—	53

Edgar Filing: PENNS WOODS BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Provision	(59) 520	(31) 8	14	148	600
Ending Balance	\$520	\$3,458	\$3,765	\$752	\$ 140	\$995	\$9,630

20

Table of Contents

(In Thousands)	Three Months Ended September 30, 2012						Totals
	Commercial and Real Estate Mortgages			Installment Loans			
	Agricultural	Residential	Commercial	Construction	to Individuals	Unallocated	
Beginning Balance	\$355	\$960	\$3,164	\$2,139	\$169	\$651	\$7,438
Charge-offs	—	(49)	(1)	(483)	(22)	—	(555)
Recoveries	1	4	2	19	12	—	38
Provision	(9)	418	223	37	13	(82)	600
Ending Balance	\$347	\$1,333	\$3,388	\$1,712	\$172	\$569	\$7,521

(In Thousands)	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013						Totals
	Commercial and Real Estate Mortgages			Installment Loans			
	Agricultural	Residential	Commercial	Construction	to Individuals	Unallocated	
Beginning Balance	\$361	\$1,954	\$3,831	\$950	\$144	\$377	\$7,617
Charge-offs	—	(239)	(199)	(100)	(79)	—	(617)
Recoveries	52	3	7	851	42	—	955
Provision	107	1,740	126	(949)	33	618	1,675
Ending Balance	\$520	\$3,458	\$3,765	\$752	\$140	\$995	\$9,630

(In Thousands)	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012						Totals
	Commercial and Real Estate Mortgages			Installment Loans			
	Agricultural	Residential	Commercial	Construction	to Individuals	Unallocated	
Beginning Balance	\$418	\$939	\$2,651	\$2,775	\$190	\$181	\$7,154
Charge-offs	—	(60)	(19)	(1,360)	(73)	—	(1,512)
Recoveries	7	7	4	23	38	—	79
Provision	(78)	447	752	274	17	388	1,800
Ending Balance	\$347	\$1,333	\$3,388	\$1,712	\$172	\$569	\$7,521

The Company grants commercial, industrial, residential, and installment loans to customers throughout north-east and central Pennsylvania. Although the Company has a diversified loan portfolio at September 30, 2013, a substantial portion of its debtors' ability to honor their contracts is dependent on the economic conditions within this region.

The Company has a concentration of loans at September 30, 2013 and 2012 as follows:

	September 30, 2013		
	2013	2012	
Owners of residential rental properties	15.73	% 18.32	%
Owners of commercial rental properties	13.20	% 14.57	%

The following table presents the balance in the allowance for loan losses and the recorded investment in loans by portfolio segment based on impairment method as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

Note 8. Net Periodic Benefit Cost-Defined Benefit Plans

For a detailed disclosure on the Company's pension and employee benefits plans, please refer to Note 12 of the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements included in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

The following sets forth the components of the net periodic benefit cost of the domestic non-contributory defined benefit plan for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively:

(In Thousands)	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2013	2012	2013	2012	
Service cost	\$ 160	\$ 156	\$ 478	\$ 469	
Interest cost	192	187	578	559	
Expected return on plan assets	(246) (195) (738) (586)
Amortization of transition obligation	—	(1) —	(2)
Amortization of prior service cost	6	6	19	19	
Amortization of net loss	120	109	359	327	
Net periodic cost	\$ 232	\$ 262	\$ 696	\$ 786	

Table of Contents

Employer Contributions

The Company previously disclosed in its consolidated financial statements, included in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, that it expected to contribute a minimum of \$400,000 to its defined benefit plan in 2013. As of September 30, 2013, there were contributions of \$635,000 made to the plan with additional contributions of \$205,000 anticipated during the remainder of 2013.

Note 9. Employee Stock Purchase Plan

The Company maintains an Employee Stock Purchase Plan (“Plan”). The Plan is intended to encourage employee participation in the ownership and economic progress of the Company. The Plan allows for up to 1,000,000 shares to be purchased by employees. The purchase price of the shares is 95% of market value with an employee eligible to purchase up to the lesser of 15% of base compensation or \$12,000 in market value annually. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, there were 1,394 and 1,100 shares issued under the plan, respectively.

Note 10. Off Balance Sheet Risk

The Company is a party to financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its customers. These financial instruments are primarily comprised of commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit. These instruments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit, interest rate, or liquidity risk in excess of the amount recognized in the consolidated balance sheet. The contract amounts of these instruments express the extent of involvement the Company has in particular classes of financial instruments.

The Company’s exposure to credit loss from nonperformance by the other party to the financial instruments for commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit is represented by the contractual amount of these instruments. The Company uses the same credit policies in making commitments and conditional obligations as it does for on-balance sheet instruments. The Company may require collateral or other security to support financial instruments with off-balance sheet credit risk.

Financial instruments whose contract amounts represent credit risk are as follows at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

(In Thousands)	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Commitments to extend credit	\$ 173,196	\$ 90,503
Standby letters of credit	5,135	3,768
	\$ 178,331	\$ 94,271

Commitments to extend credit are legally binding agreements to lend to customers. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of fees. Since many of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future liquidity requirements. The Company evaluates each customer’s credit worthiness on a case-by-case basis. The amount of collateral obtained, if deemed necessary by the Company, on an extension of credit is based on management’s credit assessment of the counterparty.

Standby letters of credit represent conditional commitments issued by the Company to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. These instruments are issued primarily to support bid or performance related contracts. The

coverage period for these instruments is typically a one year period with an annual renewal option subject to prior approval by management. Fees earned from the issuance of these letters are recognized upon expiration of the coverage period. For secured letters of credit, the collateral is typically Bank deposit instruments or customer business assets.

Note 11. Fair Value Measurements

The following disclosures show the hierarchal disclosure framework associated with the level of pricing observations utilized in measuring assets and liabilities at fair value.

Table of Contents

Level I: Quoted prices are available in active markets for identical assets or liabilities as of the reported date.

Level II: Pricing inputs are other than quoted prices in active markets, which are either directly or indirectly observable as of the reported date. The nature of these assets and liabilities include items for which quoted prices are available but traded less frequently, and items that are fair valued using other financial instruments, the parameters of which can be directly observed.

Level III: Assets and liabilities that have little to no pricing observability as of the reported date. These items do not have two-way markets and are measured using management's best estimate of fair value, where the inputs into the determination of fair value require significant management judgment or estimation.

This hierarchy requires the use of observable market data when available.

The following table presents the assets reported on the balance sheet at their fair value on a recurring basis as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, by level within the fair value hierarchy. Financial assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

(In Thousands)	September 30, 2013			
	Level I	Level II	Level III	Total
Assets measured on a recurring basis:				
Investment securities, available for sale:				
U.S. Government and agency securities	\$—	\$30,769	\$—	\$30,769
State and political securities	—	146,872	—	146,872
Other debt securities	—	96,125	—	96,125
Financial institution equity securities	9,990	—	—	9,990
Other equity securities	1,627	—	—	1,627
Total assets measured on a recurring basis	\$11,617	\$273,766	\$—	\$285,383

(In Thousands)	December 31, 2012			
	Level I	Level II	Level III	Total
Assets measured on a recurring basis:				
Investment securities, available for sale:				
U.S. Government and agency securities	\$—	\$25,840	\$—	\$25,840
State and political securities	—	180,224	—	180,224
Other debt securities	—	71,599	—	71,599
Financial institution equity securities	9,548	—	—	9,548
Other equity securities	2,105	—	—	2,105
Total assets measured on a recurring basis	\$11,653	\$277,663	\$—	\$289,316

The following table presents the assets reported on the consolidated balance sheet at their fair value on a non-recurring basis as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, by level within the fair value hierarchy. Financial assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

(In Thousands)	September 30, 2013			
	Level I	Level II	Level III	Total
Assets measured on a non-recurring basis:				
Impaired loans	\$—	\$—	\$9,336	\$9,336
Other real estate owned	—	—	1,719	1,719
Total assets measured on a non-recurring basis	\$—	\$—	\$11,055	\$11,055

Table of Contents

(In Thousands)	December 31, 2012			
	Level I	Level II	Level III	Total
Assets measured on a non-recurring basis:				
Impaired loans	\$—	\$—	\$14,435	\$14,435
Other real estate owned	—	—	1,449	1,449
Total assets measured on a non-recurring basis	\$—	\$—	\$15,884	\$15,884

The following table provides a listing of significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement process for items valued utilizing level III techniques as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

September 30, 2013

Quantitative Information About Level III Fair Value Measurements

(In Thousands)	Fair Value	Valuation Technique(s)	Unobservable Inputs	Range	Weighted Average
Impaired loans	\$9,336	Discounted cash flow	Temporary reduction in payment amount	0 to -100%	-27%
			Probability of default	—%	—%
			Appraisal adjustments (1)	0 to -44%	-10%
Other real estate owned	\$1,719	Appraisal of collateral (1)			

(1) Appraisals may be adjusted by management for qualitative factors such as economic conditions and estimated liquidation expenses.

December 31, 2012

Quantitative Information About Level III Fair Value Measurements

(In Thousands)	Fair Value	Valuation Technique(s)	Unobservable Inputs	Range	Weighted Average
Impaired loans	\$14,435	Discounted cash flow	Temporary reduction in payment amount	0 to -55%	-27%
			Probability of default	—%	—%
			Appraisal adjustments (1)	0 to -20%	-11%
Other real estate owned	\$1,449	Appraisal of collateral (1)			

(1) Appraisals may be adjusted by management for qualitative factors such as economic conditions and estimated liquidation expenses.

The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of the Company's impaired loans using the discounted cash flow valuation technique include temporary changes in payment amounts and the probability of default. Significant increases (decreases) in payment amounts would result in significantly higher (lower) fair value measurements. The probability of default is 0% for impaired loans using the discounted cash flow valuation technique because all defaulted impaired loans are valued using the appraisal of collateral valuation technique.

The significant unobservable input used in the fair value measurement of the Company's impaired loans using the appraisal of collateral valuation technique include appraisal adjustments, which are adjustments to appraisals by management for qualitative factors such as economic conditions and estimated liquidation expenses. The significant unobservable input used in the fair value measurement of the Company's other real estate owned are the same inputs used to value impaired loans using the appraisal of collateral valuation technique.

Note 12. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The Company is required to disclose fair values for its financial instruments. Fair values are made at a specific point in time, based on relevant market information and information about the financial instrument. These estimates do not reflect any premium or discount that could result from offering for sale at one time the Company's entire holdings of a particular financial instrument. Also, it is the Company's general practice and intention to hold most of its financial instruments to maturity and not to engage in trading or sales activities. Because no market exists for a significant portion of the Company's financial instruments, fair value estimates are based on judgments regarding future expected loss experience, current economic conditions, risk characteristics of various financial instruments, and other factors. These fair values are subjective in nature and

25

Table of Contents

involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment and therefore cannot be determined with precision. Changes in assumptions can significantly affect the fair values.

Fair values have been determined by the Company using historical data and an estimation methodology suitable for each category of financial instruments. The Company's fair values, methods, and assumptions are set forth below for the Company's other financial instruments.

As certain assets and liabilities, such as deferred tax assets, premises and equipment, and many other operational elements of the Company, are not considered financial instruments but have value, this fair value of financial instruments would not represent the full market value of the Company.

The fair values of the Company's financial instruments are as follows at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

(In Thousands)	Carrying Value	Fair Value	Fair Value Measurements at September 30, 2013		
			Quoted Prices in		
			Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level I)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level II)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level III)
Financial assets:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$33,044	\$33,044	\$33,044	\$ —	\$ —
Investment securities:					
Available for sale	285,383	285,383	11,617	273,766	—
Loans held for sale	1,588	1,588	1,588	—	—
Loans, net	796,533	785,225	—	—	785,225
Bank-owned life insurance	25,216	25,216	25,216	—	—
Accrued interest receivable	4,639	4,639	4,639	—	—
Financial liabilities:					
Interest-bearing deposits	\$760,147	\$733,328	\$494,386	\$ —	\$ 238,942
Noninterest-bearing deposits	215,374	215,374	215,374	—	—
Short-term borrowings	15,060	15,060	15,060	—	—
Long-term borrowings, FHLB	70,750	73,145	—	—	73,145
Accrued interest payable	435	435	435	—	—
			Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2012		
			Quoted Prices in		
			Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level I)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level II)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level III)
Financial assets:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$15,142	\$15,142	\$15,142	\$ —	\$ —
Investment securities:					
Available for sale	289,316	289,316	11,653	277,663	—
Loans held for sale	3,774	3,774	3,774	—	—
Loans, net	504,615	506,406	—	—	506,406
Bank-owned life insurance	16,362	16,362	16,362	—	—
Accrued interest receivable	4,099	4,099	4,099	—	—

Financial liabilities:

Interest-bearing deposits	\$527,073	\$530,485	\$359,979	\$ —	\$ 170,506
Noninterest-bearing deposits	114,953	114,953	114,953	—	—
Short-term borrowings	33,204	33,204	33,204	—	—
Long-term borrowings, FHLB	76,278	80,772	—	—	80,772
Accrued interest payable	366	366	366	—	—

Table of Contents

Cash and Cash Equivalents, Loans Held for Sale, Accrued Interest Receivable, Short-term Borrowings, and Accrued Interest Payable:

The fair value is equal to the carrying value.

Investment Securities:

The fair value of investment securities available for sale and held to maturity is equal to the available quoted market price. If no quoted market price is available, fair value is estimated using the quoted market price for similar securities. Regulatory stocks' fair value is equal to the carrying value.

Loans:

Fair values are estimated for portfolios of loans with similar financial characteristics. Loans are segregated by type such as commercial and agricultural, commercial real estate, residential real estate, construction real estate, and installment loans to individuals. Each loan category is further segmented into fixed and adjustable rate interest terms and by performing and nonperforming categories.

The fair value of performing loans is calculated by discounting scheduled cash flows through the estimated maturity using estimated market discount rates that reflect the credit and interest rate risk inherent in the loan. The estimate of maturity is based on the Company's historical experience with repayments for each loan classification, modified, as required, by an estimate of the effect of current economic and lending conditions.

Fair value for significant nonperforming loans is based on recent external appraisals. If appraisals are not available, estimated cashflows are discounted using a rate commensurate with the risk associated with the estimated cash flows. Assumptions regarding credit risk, cash flows, and discounted rates are judgmentally determined using available market information and specific borrower information.

Bank-Owned Life Insurance:

The fair value is equal to the cash surrender value of the life insurance policies.

Deposits:

The fair value of deposits with no stated maturity, such as noninterest-bearing demand deposits, savings, NOW, and money market accounts, is equal to the amount payable on demand. The fair value of certificates of deposit is based on the discounted value of contractual cash flows.

The fair value estimates above do not include the benefit that results from the low-cost funding provided by the deposit liabilities compared to the cost of borrowing funds in the market, commonly referred to as the core deposit intangible.

Long Term Borrowings:

The fair value of long term borrowings is based on the discounted value of contractual cash flows.

Commitments to Extend Credit, Standby Letters of Credit, and Financial Guarantees Written:

There is no material difference between the notional amount and the estimated fair value of off-balance sheet items. The contractual amounts of unfunded commitments and letters of credit are presented in Note 10 (Off Balance Sheet Risk).

Note 13. Reclassification of Comparative Amounts

Certain comparative amounts for the prior period have been reclassified to conform to current period presentations. Such reclassifications had no effect on net income or shareholders' equity.

Note 14. Acquisition of Luzerne National Bank Corporation

Table of Contents

On June 1, 2013, the Company closed on a merger transaction pursuant to which Penns Woods Bancorp, Inc. acquired Luzerne National Bank Corporation in a stock and cash transaction. The acquisition extended the Company's footprint into Luzerne and Lackawanna Counties, Pennsylvania.

Luzerne National Bank Corporation was the holding company for Luzerne Bank, a Pennsylvania bank that conducted its business from a main office in Luzerne, Pennsylvania with eight branch offices in Luzerne County and one loan production office in Lackawanna County, all in northeastern Pennsylvania.

Under the terms of the merger agreement, the Company acquired all of the outstanding shares of Luzerne National Bank Corporation for a total purchase price of approximately \$42,612,000. As a result of the acquisition, the Company issued 978,977 common shares, or 20.31% of the total shares outstanding as of September 30, 2013, to former shareholders of Luzerne National Bank Corporation. Luzerne Bank is operating as an independent bank under the Penns Woods Bancorp, Inc. umbrella.

The acquired assets and assumed liabilities were measured at estimated fair values. Management made significant estimates and exercised significant judgment in accounting for the acquisition. Management measured loan fair values based on loan file reviews, appraised collateral values, expected cash flows, and historical loss factors of Luzerne Bank. Real estate acquired through foreclosure was primarily valued based on appraised collateral values. The Company also recorded an identifiable intangible asset representing the core deposit base of Luzerne Bank based on management's evaluation of the cost of such deposits relative to alternative funding sources. The Company also recorded an identifiable intangible asset representing the trade name of Luzerne Bank based on management's evaluation of the value of the name in the market. Management used significant estimates including the average lives of depository accounts, future interest rate levels, and the cost of servicing various depository products. Management used market quotations to determine the fair value of investment securities.

The business combination resulted in the acquisition of loans with and without evidence of credit quality deterioration. Luzerne Bank's loans were deemed impaired at the acquisition date if the Company did not expect to receive all contractually required cash flows due to concerns about credit quality. Such loans were fair valued and the difference between contractually required payments at the acquisition date and cash flows expected to be collected was recorded as a non-accretable difference. At the acquisition date, the Company recorded \$1,211,000 of purchased credit-impaired loans subject to a non-accretable difference of \$842,000. The method of measuring carrying value of purchased loans differs from loans originated by the Company (originated loans), and as such, the Company identifies purchased loans and purchased loans with a credit quality discount and originated loans at amortized cost.

Luzerne's loans without evidence of credit deterioration were fair valued by discounting both expected principal and interest cash flows using an observable discount rate for similar instruments that a market participant would consider in determining fair value. Additionally, consideration was given to management's best estimates of default rates and payment speeds. At acquisition, Luzerne's loan portfolio without evidence of deterioration totaled \$249,789,000 and was recorded at a fair value of \$249,500,000.

The following table summarizes the purchase of Luzerne National Bank Corporation as of June 1, 2013:

Table of Contents

(In Thousands, Except Per Share Data)

Purchase Price Consideration in Common Stock		
Luzerne National Bank Corporation common shares settled for stock	630,216	
Exchange Ratio	1.5534	
Penns Woods Bancorp, Inc. shares issued	978,977	
Value assigned to Penns Woods Bancorp, Inc. common share	\$40.59	
Purchase price assigned to Luzerne National Bank Corporation common shares exchanged for Penns Woods Bancorp, Inc.		\$39,736
Purchase Price Consideration - Cash for Common Stock		
Luzerne National Bank Corporation shares exchanged for cash	46,480	
Purchase price paid to each Luzerne National Bank Corporation common share exchanged for cash	\$61.86	
Purchase price assigned to Luzerne National Bank Corporation common shares exchanged for cash		2,876
Total Purchase Price		42,612
Net Assets Acquired:		
Luzerne National Bank Corporation shareholders' equity	\$27,371	
Adjustments to reflect assets acquired at fair value:		
Investments	33	
Loans		
Interest rate	2,680	
General credit	(3,206)
Specific credit - non-amortizing	(58)
Specific credit - amortizing	(40)
Core deposit intangible	1,882	
Trade name intangible	133	
Owned premises	1,138	
Leased premises contracts	122	
Deferred tax assets	(603)
Adjustments to reflect liabilities acquired at fair value:		
Time deposits	(912)
		28,540
Goodwill resulting from merger		\$14,072

The following condensed statement reflects the values assigned to Luzerne National Bank Corporation's net assets as of the acquisition date:

Table of Contents

(In Thousands)

Total purchase price		\$42,612
Net assets acquired:		
Cash	\$20,296	
Federal funds sold	67	
Securities available for sale	21,783	
Loans	250,377	
Premises and equipment, net	8,014	
Accrued interest receivable	726	
Bank-owned life insurance	7,419	
Intangibles	2,015	
Deferred tax liability	(76)
Other assets	2,636	
Time deposits	(79,223)
Deposits other than time deposits	(197,733)
Borrowings	(2,766)
Accrued interest payable	(103)
Other liabilities	(4,892)
		28,540
Goodwill resulting from Luzerne National Bank Corporation Merger		\$14,072

The Company recorded goodwill and other intangibles associated with the purchase of Luzerne National Bank Corporation totaling \$16,086,000. Goodwill is not amortized, but is periodically evaluated for impairment. The Company did not recognize any impairment during the nine months ended September 30, 2013. The carrying amount of the goodwill at September 30, 2013 related to the Luzerne acquisition was \$14,072,000.

Identifiable intangibles are amortized to their estimated residual values over the expected useful lives. Such lives are also periodically reassessed to determine if any amortization period adjustments are required. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, no such adjustments were recorded. The identifiable intangible assets consist of a core deposit intangible and trade name intangible which are being amortized on an accelerated basis over the useful life of such assets. The gross carrying amount of the core deposit intangible and trade name intangible at September 30, 2013 was \$1,882,000 and \$133,000, respectively, with \$114,000 and \$8,000 accumulated amortization as of that date.

As of September 30, 2013, the current year and estimated future amortization expense for the core deposit and trade name intangible was:

(In Thousands)

2013	\$ 214
2014	345
2015	308
2016	272
2017	235
2018	198
2019	162
2020	125
2021	89
2022	52
2023	15

\$2,015

Table of Contents

Results of operations for Luzerne National Bank Corporation prior to the acquisition date are not included in the Consolidated Statement of Income for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2013. Due to the significant amount of fair value adjustments, historical results of Luzerne National Bank Corporation are not relevant to the Company's results of operations. Therefore, no pro forma information is presented.

The following table presents financial information regarding the former Luzerne National Bank Corporation operations included in our Consolidated Statement of Income from the date of acquisition through September 30, 2013 under the column "Actual from acquisition date through September 30, 2013". In addition, the following table presents unaudited pro forma information as if the acquisition of Luzerne National Bank Corporation had occurred on January 1, 2012 under the "Pro Forma" columns. The table below has been prepared for comparative purposes only and is not necessarily indicative of the actual results that would have been attained had the acquisition occurred as of the beginning of the periods presented, nor is it indicative of future results. Furthermore, the unaudited proforma information does not reflect management's estimate of any revenue-enhancing opportunities nor anticipated cost savings as a result of the integration and consolidation of the acquisition. Merger and acquisition integration costs and amortization of fair value adjustments are included in the numbers below.

(In Thousands, Except Per Share Data)	Actual from Acquisition Date Through September 30, 2013	Pro Formas	
		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013	2012
Net interest income	\$3,972	\$32,175	\$31,312
Non-interest income	588	9,932	9,254
Net income	532	9,458	12,699
Pro forma earnings per share:			
Basic		\$1.96	\$2.64
Diluted		1.96	2.64

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT FOR PURPOSES OF THE PRIVATE SECURITIES LITIGATION REFORM ACT OF 1995

This Report contains certain "forward-looking statements" including statements concerning plans, objectives, future events or performance and assumptions and other statements which are other than statements of historical fact. The Company cautions readers that the following important factors, among others, may have affected and could in the future affect the Company's actual results and could cause the Company's actual results for subsequent periods to differ materially from those expressed in any forward-looking statement made by or on behalf of the Company herein: (i) the effect of changes in laws and regulations, including federal and state banking laws and regulations, with which the Company must comply, and the associated costs of compliance with such laws and regulations either currently or in the future as applicable; (ii) the effect of changes in accounting policies and practices, as may be adopted by the regulatory agencies as well as by the Financial Accounting Standards Board, or of changes in the Company's organization, compensation and benefit plans; (iii) the effect on the Company's competitive position within its market area of the increasing consolidation within the banking and financial services industries, including the increased competition from larger regional and out-of-state banking organizations as well as non-bank providers of various financial services; (iv) the effect of changes in interest rates; (v) the effect of changes in the business cycle and downturns in the local, regional or national economies; and (vi) our ability to successfully integrate the business of Luzerne.

You should not put undue reliance on any forward-looking statements. These statements speak only as of the date of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, even if subsequently made available by the Company on its website or otherwise. The Company undertakes no obligation to update or revise these statements to reflect events or

circumstances occurring after the date of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

31

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation

EARNINGS SUMMARY

Comparison of the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 and 2012

Summary Results

Net income for the three months ended September 30, 2013 was \$3,246,000 compared to \$3,667,000 for the same period of 2012 as after-tax securities gains decreased \$297,000 (from a gain of \$295,000 to a loss of \$2,000). The results for the three months ended September 30, 2013 were negatively impacted by \$684,000 in expenses related to the acquisition of Luzerne. Basic and diluted earnings per share for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 were \$0.67 and \$0.96, respectively. Return on average assets and return on average equity were 1.08% and 10.39% for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to 1.77% and 15.94% for the corresponding period of 2012. Net income from core operations ("operating earnings") decreased to \$3,248,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to \$3,372,000 for the same period of 2012. Operating earnings per share for the three months ended September 30, 2013 were \$0.67 basic and dilutive compared to \$0.88 basic and dilutive for the three months ended September 30, 2012.

The nine months ended September 30, 2013 generated net income of \$10,589,000 compared to \$10,754,000 for the same period of 2012. Comparable results were impacted by an increase in after-tax securities gains of \$694,000 (from a gain of \$796,000 to a gain of \$1,490,000). In addition, a gain of \$109,000 on death benefit related to bank owned life insurance was recorded during the nine months ended September 30, 2013. The results for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 were negatively impacted by \$1,307,000 in expenses related to the acquisition of Luzerne. Earnings per share, basic and dilutive, for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 were \$2.48 compared to \$2.80 for the comparable period of 2012. Return on average assets and return on average equity were 1.39% and 12.90% for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to 1.78% and 16.25% for the corresponding period of 2012. Operating earnings decreased to \$9,099,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to \$9,849,000 for the comparable period of 2012. Operating earnings per share for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 were \$2.13 basic and dilutive compared to \$2.57 basic and dilutive for the nine months ended September 30, 2012.

Management uses the non-GAAP measure of net income from core operations, or operating earnings, in its analysis of the Company's performance. This measure, as used by the Company, adjusts net income by excluding significant gains or losses that are unusual in nature. Because certain of these items and their impact on the Company's performance are difficult to predict, management believes the presentation of financial measures excluding the impact of such items provides useful supplemental information in evaluating the operating results of the Company's core businesses. For purposes of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, net income from core operations, or operating earnings, means net income adjusted to exclude after-tax net securities gains or losses and bank-owned life insurance gains on death benefit. These disclosures should not be viewed as a substitute for net income determined in accordance with GAAP, nor are they necessarily comparable to non-GAAP performance measures that may be presented by other companies.

Reconciliation of GAAP and Non-GAAP Financial Measures

(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Data)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 2013	September 30, 2012	September 30, 2013	September 30, 2012
GAAP net income	\$3,246	\$3,667	\$10,589	\$10,754

Edgar Filing: PENNS WOODS BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Less: net securities and bank-owned life insurance (losses) gains, net of tax	(2)	295	1,490	905
Non-GAAP operating earnings	\$3,248		\$3,372	\$9,099	\$9,849

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2013	2012	2013	2012	
Return on average assets (ROA)	1.08	% 1.77	% 1.39	% 1.78	%
Less: net securities and bank-owned life insurance (losses) gains, net of tax	—	% 0.14	% 0.20	% 0.15	%
Non-GAAP operating ROA	1.08	% 1.63	% 1.19	% 1.63	%

Table of Contents

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2013		2012		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013		2012	
Return on average equity (ROE)	10.39	%	15.94	%	12.90	%	16.25	%
Less: net securities and bank-owned life insurance (losses) gains, net of tax	(0.01))%	1.28	%	1.82	%	1.37	%
Non-GAAP operating ROE	10.40	%	14.66	%	11.08	%	14.88	%
	Three Months Ended September 30, 2013		2012		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013		2012	
Basic earnings per share (EPS)	\$0.67		\$0.96		\$2.48		\$2.80	
Less: net securities and bank-owned life insurance (losses) gains, net of tax	—		0.08		0.35		0.23	
Non-GAAP basic operating EPS	\$0.67		\$0.88		\$2.13		\$2.57	
	Three Months Ended September 30, 2013		2012		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013		2012	
Dilutive EPS	\$0.67		\$0.96		\$2.48		\$2.80	
Less: net securities and bank-owned life insurance (losses) gains, net of tax	—		0.08		0.35		0.23	
Non-GAAP dilutive operating EPS	\$0.67		\$0.88		\$2.13		\$2.57	

Interest and Dividend Income

Interest and dividend income for the three months ended September 30, 2013 increased to \$11,979,000 compared to \$9,267,000 for the same period of 2012. The increase was due to loan portfolio income increasing as the impact of portfolio growth, due primarily due to the acquisition of Luzerne, offset a reduction in yield of 77 basis points (“bp”) due to the competitive landscape and the continued low rate environment that is impacting new loan rates as well as the variable rate segment of the loan portfolio. The loan portfolio income increase was partially offset by a decrease in investment portfolio interest due to a decline in the average taxable equivalent yield of 38 bp as the duration in the investment portfolio continues to be shortened in order to reduce interest rate and market risk in the future.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, interest and dividend income was \$31,537,000, an increase of \$3,705,000 over the same period in 2012. Interest income on the loan portfolio increased as the growth in the portfolio, primarily due to the acquisition of Luzerne, was countered by a 74 bp decline in average yield. The investment portfolio interest income decreased as the increase in portfolio size was more than offset by the decline in yield.

Interest and dividend income composition for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 was as follows:

(In Thousands)	Three Months Ended September 30, 2013		September 30, 2012		Change		
	Amount	% Total	Amount	% Total	Amount	%	
Loans including fees	\$9,211	76.89	% \$6,346	68.47	% \$2,865	45.15	%
Investment securities:							
Taxable	1,570	13.11	1,486	16.04	84	5.65	

Edgar Filing: PENNS WOODS BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Tax-exempt	1,124	9.38	1,339	14.45	(215) (16.06)
Dividend and other interest income	74	0.62	96	1.04	(22) (22.92)
Total interest and dividend income	\$11,979	100.00	% \$9,267	100.00	% \$2,712	29.27	%

33

Table of Contents

(In Thousands)	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013		September 30, 2012		Change			
	Amount	% Total	Amount	% Total	Amount	%		
Loans including fees	\$23,256	73.74	% \$18,954	68.10	% \$4,302	22.70	%	
Investment securities:								
Taxable	4,520	14.33	4,477	16.09	43	0.96		
Tax-exempt	3,553	11.27	4,127	14.83	(574)	(13.91))	
Dividend and other interest income	208	0.66	274	0.98	(66)	(24.09))	
Total interest and dividend income	\$31,537	100.00	% \$27,832	100.00	% \$3,705	13.31	%	

Interest Expense

Interest expense for the three months ended September 30, 2013 decreased \$227,000 to \$1,350,000 compared to \$1,577,000 for the same period of 2012. The substantial decrease associated with deposits is primarily the result of a reduction of 48 and 17 bps in the rate paid on time deposits and money markets, respectively, and a continued shift from higher cost time deposits to core deposits, with emphasis on money market and NOW accounts. Factors that led to the rate decreases include, but are not limited to, Federal Open Market Committee ("FOMC") actions to maintain low interest rates, campaigns conducted by the Company to focus on core deposit (non-time deposit) growth as the building block to solid customer relationships, and the acquisition of Luzerne. In addition, during the past two years the time deposit portfolio has been shortened in order to increase repricing frequency. The time deposit portfolio is now slowly being lengthened to build protection when interest rates begin to increase. In addition, the Marcellus Shale natural gas exploration in north central Pennsylvania is creating opportunities to gather new and build upon existing deposit relationships. Borrowing interest expense decreased as FHLB long-term borrowings have matured and have been replaced at rates less than 1% with maturities ranging from four to five years.

Interest expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 decreased 17.28% from the same period of 2012. The reasons noted for the decline in interest expense for the three month period comparison also apply to the nine month period.

Interest expense composition for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 was as follows:

(In Thousands)	Three Months Ended September 30, 2013		September 30, 2012		Change			
	Amount	% Total	Amount	% Total	Amount	%		
Deposits	\$855	63.33	% \$902	57.20	% \$(47)	(5.21))	%
Short-term borrowings	16	1.19	38	2.41	(22)	(57.89))	
Long-term borrowings, FHLB	479	35.48	637	40.39	(158)	(24.80))	
Total interest expense	\$1,350	100.00	% \$1,577	100.00	% \$(227)	(14.39))	%

(In Thousands)	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013		September 30, 2012		Change			
	Amount	% Total	Amount	% Total	Amount	%		
Deposits	\$2,406	60.92	% \$2,797	58.59	% \$(391)	(13.98))	%
Short-term borrowings	63	1.60	100	2.09	(37)	(37.00))	
Long-term borrowings, FHLB	1,480	37.48	1,877	39.32	(397)	(21.15))	
Total interest expense	\$3,949	100.00	% \$4,774	100.00	% \$(825)	(17.28))	%

Net Interest Margin

The net interest margin (“NIM”) for the three months ended September 30, 2013 was 4.07% compared to 4.34% for the corresponding period of 2012. The NIM declined as a 39 bp decline in the rate paid on interest bearing liabilities was countered by a 59 bp decline in the yield on interest earning assets. The decrease in earning asset yield is due to the impact of the current low rate environment on the loan and investment portfolios. In addition, the duration of the investment portfolio has been shortened by utilizing variable rate and intermediate term corporate bonds to offset the relatively longer duration of the municipal bonds within the portfolio. This shortening of the investment portfolio limits current earnings due to the low rates on

Table of Contents

the short end of the interest rate curve, but it also limits interest rate risk and will provide cash flow over the next few years as we anticipate a period of increasing rates. The decrease in the cost of interest bearing liabilities from 1.02% to 0.63% was driven by a reduction in the rate paid on time deposits of 48 bp. The reduction in the rate paid on time deposits was the result of shortening the time deposit portfolio, which has resulted in an increasing repricing frequency during this period of low rates. In addition, a focus on increasing core deposits has resulted in significant growth in lower cost core deposits. The duration of the time deposit portfolio has slowly started to be lengthened due to the apparent bottoming or near bottoming of deposit rates. The average rate on long-term borrowings declined due to the maturity of FHLB borrowings during 2012 and 2013 coupled with the addition of \$30,000,000 in borrowings with terms ranging from four to five years at rates less than 1% during the second half of 2012.

The NIM for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 was 4.19% compared to 4.51% for the same period of 2012. The impact of the items mentioned in the three month discussion also applies to the nine month period. A 40 bp decline in the rate paid on time deposits served as the foundation for a 23 bp decline in the rate paid on deposits, while the FOMC and general market actions affected the yield on earning assets and cost of borrowings.

The following is a schedule of average balances and associated yields for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012:

Table of Contents

(In Thousands)	AVERAGE BALANCES AND INTEREST RATES						
	Three Months Ended September 30, 2013			Three Months Ended September 30, 2012			
	Average Balance	Interest	Average Rate	Average Balance	Interest	Average Rate	
Assets:							
Tax-exempt loans	\$22,688	\$263	4.60	% \$22,916	\$302	5.24	%
All other loans	774,355	9,037	4.63	% 452,370	6,147	5.41	%
Total loans	797,043	9,300	4.63	% 475,286	6,449	5.40	%
Fed funds sold	355	—	—	% —	—	—	%
Taxable securities	184,325	1,637	3.55	% 162,822	1,580	3.88	%
Tax-exempt securities	112,432	1,703	6.06	% 132,996	2,029	6.10	%
Total securities	296,757	3,340	4.50	% 295,818	3,609	4.88	%
Interest-bearing deposits	10,783	7	0.26	% 8,966	2	0.09	%
Total interest-earning assets	1,104,938	12,647	4.55	% 780,070	10,060	5.14	%
Other assets	94,928			48,096			
Total assets	\$1,199,866			\$828,166			
Liabilities and shareholders' equity:							
Savings	\$141,526	44	0.12	% \$81,413	16	0.08	%
Super Now deposits	163,422	177	0.43	% 120,135	158	0.52	%
Money market deposits	207,684	144	0.28	% 151,307	173	0.45	%
Time deposits	238,551	490	0.81	% 171,245	555	1.29	%
Total interest-bearing deposits	751,183	855	0.45	% 524,100	902	0.68	%
Short-term borrowings	20,568	16	0.31	% 18,607	38	0.81	%
Long-term borrowings, FHLB	70,750	479	2.65	% 65,517	637	3.80	%
Total borrowings	91,318	495	2.12	% 84,124	675	3.14	%
Total interest-bearing liabilities	842,501	1,350	0.63	% 608,224	1,577	1.02	%
Demand deposits	214,897			116,582			
Other liabilities	17,513			11,355			
Shareholders' equity	124,955			92,005			
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$1,199,866			\$828,166			
Interest rate spread			3.92	%		4.12	%
Net interest income/margin		\$11,297	4.07	%	\$8,483	4.34	%

- Information on this table has been calculated using average daily balance sheets to obtain average balances.
- Non-accrual loans have been included with loans for the purpose of analyzing net interest earnings.

3. Income and rates on a fully taxable equivalent basis include an adjustment for the difference between annual income from tax-exempt obligations and the taxable equivalent of such income at the standard 34% tax rate.

36

Table of Contents

AVERAGE BALANCES AND INTEREST RATES

(In Thousands)	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013			Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012				
	Average Balance	Interest	Average Rate	Average Balance	Interest	Average Rate		
Assets:								
Tax-exempt loans	\$22,069	\$761	4.61	%	\$21,977	\$909	5.52	%
All other loans	623,047	22,754	4.88	%	436,921	18,354	5.61	%
Total loans	645,116	23,515	4.87	%	458,898	19,263	5.61	%
Fed funds sold	152	—	—	%	—	—	—	%
Taxable securities	174,977	4,714	3.59	%	157,791	4,747	4.01	%
Tax-exempt securities	119,799	5,383	5.99	%	131,306	6,253	6.35	%
Total securities	294,776	10,097	4.57	%	289,097	11,000	5.07	%
Interest-bearing deposits	7,628	14	0.25	%	8,098	4	0.07	%
Total interest-earning assets	947,672	33,626	4.74	%	756,093	30,267	5.34	%
Other assets	69,942				49,702			
Total assets	\$1,017,614				\$805,795			
Liabilities and shareholders' equity:								
Savings	\$111,242	96	0.12	%	\$78,180	44	0.08	%
Super Now deposits	150,220	521	0.46	%	116,205	452	0.52	%
Money market deposits	174,991	408	0.31	%	143,878	580	0.54	%
Time deposits	200,688	1,381	0.92	%	173,578	1,721	1.32	%
Total interest-bearing deposits	637,141	2,406	0.50	%	511,841	2,797	0.73	%
Short-term borrowings	21,235	63	0.40	%	19,293	100	0.69	%
Long-term borrowings, FHLB	72,607	1,480	2.69	%	62,701	1,877	3.93	%
Total borrowings	93,842	1,543	2.17	%	81,994	1,977	3.17	%
Total interest-bearing liabilities	730,983	3,949	0.72	%	593,835	4,774	1.07	%
Demand deposits	161,948				112,464			
Other liabilities	15,208				11,258			
Shareholders' equity	109,475				88,238			
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$1,017,614				\$805,795			
Interest rate spread			4.02	%			4.27	%
		\$29,677	4.19	%		\$25,493	4.51	%

Net interest
income/margin

1. Information on this table has been calculated using average daily balance sheets to obtain average balances.
2. Non-accrual loans have been included with loans for the purpose of analyzing net interest earnings.
3. Income and rates on a fully taxable equivalent basis include an adjustment for the difference between annual income from tax-exempt obligations and the taxable equivalent of such income at the standard 34% tax rate.

The following table presents the adjustment to convert net interest income to net interest income on a fully taxable equivalent basis for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012.

(In Thousands)	Three Months Ended September		Nine Months Ended September	
	30, 2013	2012	30, 2013	2012
Total interest income	\$11,979	\$9,267	\$31,537	\$27,832
Total interest expense	1,350	1,577	3,949	4,774
Net interest income	10,629	7,690	27,588	23,058
Tax equivalent adjustment	668	793	2,089	2,435
Net interest income (fully taxable equivalent)	\$11,297	\$8,483	\$29,677	\$25,493

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the respective impact that both volume and rate changes have had on net interest income on a fully taxable equivalent basis for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012:

(In Thousands)	Three Months Ended September 30, 2013 vs. 2012			Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 vs. 2012		
	Increase (Decrease) Due to Volume	Rate	Net	Increase (Decrease) Due to Volume	Rate	Net
Interest income:						
Tax-exempt loans	\$ (3)	\$ (36)	\$ (39)	\$ 1	\$ (149)	\$ (148)
All other loans	3,878	(988)	2,890	5,794	(1,394)	4,400
Fed funds sold	—	—	—	—	—	—
Taxable investment securities	198	(141)	57	318	(351)	(33)
Tax-exempt investment securities	(312)	(14)	(326)	(530)	(340)	(870)
Interest bearing deposits	—	5	5	—	10	10
Total interest-earning assets	3,761	(1,174)	2,587	5,583	(2,224)	3,359
Interest expense:						
Savings deposits	16	12	28	22	30	52
Super Now deposits	50	(31)	19	98	(29)	69
Money market deposits	102	(131)	(29)	152	(324)	(172)
Time deposits	177	(242)	(65)	121	(461)	(340)
Short-term borrowings	4	(26)	(22)	1	(38)	(37)
Long-term borrowings, FHLB	46	(204)	(158)	216	(613)	(397)
Total interest-bearing liabilities	395	(622)	(227)	610	(1,435)	(825)
Change in net interest income	\$ 3,366	\$ (552)	\$ 2,814	\$ 4,973	\$ (789)	\$ 4,184

Provision for Loan Losses

The provision for loan losses is based upon management's quarterly review of the loan portfolio. The purpose of the review is to assess loan quality, identify impaired loans, analyze delinquencies, ascertain loan growth, evaluate potential charge-offs and recoveries, and assess general economic conditions in the markets served. An external independent loan review is also performed annually for the Bank. Management remains committed to an aggressive program of problem loan identification and resolution.

The allowance for loan losses is determined by applying loss factors to outstanding loans by type, excluding loans for which a specific allowance has been determined. Loss factors are based on management's consideration of the nature of the portfolio segments, changes in mix and volume of the loan portfolio, and historical loan loss experience. In addition, management considers industry standards and trends with respect to non-performing loans and its knowledge and experience with specific lending segments.

Although management believes it uses the best information available to make such determinations and that the allowance for loan losses is adequate at September 30, 2013, future adjustments could be necessary if circumstances or economic conditions differ substantially from the assumptions used in making the initial determinations. A downturn in the local economy, increased unemployment, and delays in receiving financial information from borrowers could result in increased levels of nonperforming assets, charge-offs, loan loss provisions, and reductions in income. Additionally, as an integral part of the examination process, bank regulatory agencies periodically review the

Bank's loan loss allowance. The banking agencies could require the recognition of additions to the loan loss allowance based on their judgment of information available to them at the time of their examination.

When determining the appropriate allowance level, management has attributed the allowance for loan losses to various portfolio segments; however, the allowance is available for the entire portfolio as needed.

The allowance for loan losses increased from \$7,617,000 at December 31, 2012 to \$9,630,000 at September 30, 2013. The increase in the allowance for loan losses was augmented by net loan recoveries of \$338,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2013. The primary driver of the loan recoveries for the period was one large recovery of a construction real-

Table of Contents

estate loan that supplemented the provision for loan losses during the nine months ended September 30, 2013. At September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the allowance for loan losses to total loans was 1.19% and 1.49%, respectively. The ratio was impacted by the growth in the loan portfolio due to the acquisition of Luzerne and the related purchase accounting adjustments.

The provision for loan losses totaled \$600,000 and \$600,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 and \$1,675,000 and \$1,800,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012. The amount of the provision for loan losses was the result of several factors, including but not limited to, a ratio of nonperforming loans to total loans of 0.75% at September 30, 2013 and a ratio of the allowance for loan losses to nonperforming loans of 158.81% at September 30, 2013. The large increase in the provision for residential real estate loans was due to the growth of the home equity portfolio while the large decrease in the provision for construction real estate loans was due to a large recovery.

Nonperforming loans decreased to \$6,064,000 at September 30, 2013 from \$12,041,000 at September 30, 2012 due to several partial charge-offs and the payoff of a large construction loan that was on non-accrual. Internal loan review and analysis and the continued uncertainty surrounding the economy, coupled with the ratios noted previously, dictated that the provision for loan losses was at a level of \$1,675,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2013. The change in level of provision for loan losses did not equate to the change in nonperforming loans due to the economic situation and substantial growth in the loan portfolio.

The following is a table showing total nonperforming loans as of:

(In Thousands)	Total Nonperforming Loans		
	90 Days Past Due	Non-accrual	Total
September 30, 2013	\$231	\$5,833	\$6,064
June 30, 2013	8	6,507	6,515
March 31, 2013	37	9,022	9,059
December 31, 2012	351	11,355	11,706
September 30, 2012	654	11,387	12,041

Non-interest Income

Total non-interest income for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012 increased \$71,000 to \$2,842,000. Excluding net securities gains/losses, non-interest income for the three months ended September 30, 2013 increased \$521,000 compared to the 2012 period due in part to the acquisition of Luzerne. The increase in service charges and bank-owned life insurance was driven by the acquisition of Luzerne. Insurance commissions declined as a shift in product mix towards managed money is occurring and the length of time of the sales cycle related to investment products typically takes to complete. Other income increased as debit and credit card related income continues to build as debit cards continue to gain in popularity, while an increasing number of merchants utilize our merchant card services.

Total non-interest income for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012 increased \$1,295,000. Excluding net securities gains, non-interest income increased \$244,000 compared to the 2012 period. Gain on sale of loans increased as the level of real estate transactions processed has increased over the past year. The increase in number of transactions processed is a direct result of our strategy to increase the number of mortgage originators within our market area, while also hiring additional mortgage originators to expand our market area. Insurance commissions decreased due to several large bonuses that were received during the first quarter of 2012. The increase in other income due to increased debit and credit card related income was limited due to a partial

write down of other real estate owned.

Non-interest income composition for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 was as follows:

39

Table of Contents

(In Thousands)	Three Months Ended		September 30, 2012		Change			
	September 30, 2013		September 30, 2012		Amount	%		
	Amount	% Total	Amount	% Total	Amount	%		%
Service charges	\$671	23.61	% \$489	17.65	% \$182	37.22		%
Securities (losses) gains, net	(3) (0.11) 447	16.13	(450) (100.67)	
Bank-owned life insurance	199	7.00	138	4.98	61	44.20		
Gain on sale of loans	551	19.39	527	19.02	24	4.55		
Insurance commissions	286	10.06	295	10.65	(9) (3.05)	
Brokerage commissions	250	8.80	239	8.63	11	4.60		
Other	888	31.25	636	22.94	252	39.62		
Total non-interest income	\$2,842	100.00	% \$2,771	100.00	% \$71	2.56		%
	Nine Months Ended		September 30, 2012		Change			
	September 30, 2013		September 30, 2012		Amount	%		
(In Thousands)	Amount	% Total	Amount	% Total	Amount	%		%
Service charges	\$1,651	18.12	% \$1,394	17.84	% \$257	18.44		%
Securities (losses) gains, net	2,257	24.77	1,206	15.43	1,051	87.15		
Bank-owned life insurance	481	5.28	539	6.90	(58) (10.76)	
Gain on sale of loans	1,204	13.22	1,053	13.47	151	14.34		
Insurance commissions	797	8.75	1,053	13.47	(256) (24.31)	
Brokerage commissions	797	8.75	698	8.93	99	14.18		
Other	1,923	21.11	1,872	23.96	51	2.72		
Total non-interest income	\$9,110	100.00	% \$7,815	100.00	% \$1,295	16.57		%

Non-interest Expense

Total non-interest expense increased \$3,517,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the same period of 2012 due in part to the acquisition of Luzerne. The increase in salaries and employee benefits was attributable to increases in salaries and pension expense. Other expenses increased primarily due to increased fees related to providing debit card services and expenses of \$684,000 related to the acquisition of Luzerne.

Total non-interest expense increased \$5,526,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the same period of 2012. The increase in non-interest expense for the nine months ended is primarily the result of the same items noted in the three month discussion including \$1,307,000 in one time expenses related to the acquisition of Luzerne.

Non-interest expense composition for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 was as follows:

(In Thousands)	Three Months Ended		September 30, 2012		Change			
	September 30, 2013		September 30, 2012		Amount	%		
	Amount	% Total	Amount	% Total	Amount	%		%
Salaries and employee benefits	\$4,515	50.31	% \$2,939	53.85	% \$1,576	53.62		%
Occupancy	554	6.17	317	5.81	237	74.76		

Edgar Filing: PENNS WOODS BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Furniture and equipment	422	4.70	355	6.50	67	18.87
Pennsylvania shares tax	225	2.51	169	3.10	56	33.14
Amortization of investment in limited partnerships	165	1.84	165	3.02	—	—
Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation deposit insurance	173	1.93	111	2.03	62	55.86
Marketing	156	1.74	132	2.42	24	18.18
Intangible amortization	91	1.01	—	—	91	N/A
Other	2,674	29.79	1,270	23.27	1,404	110.55
Total non-interest expense	\$8,975	100.00 %	\$5,458	100.00 %	\$3,517	64.44 %

40

Table of Contents

(In Thousands)	Nine Months Ended		September 30, 2012		Change			
	September 30, 2013	September 30, 2012	Amount	% Total	Amount	% Total	Amount	%
Salaries and employee benefits	\$11,025	50.59 %	\$8,806	54.14 %	\$2,219	25.20 %		
Occupancy	1,302	5.97	963	5.92	339	35.20		
Furniture and equipment	1,242	5.70	1,058	6.50	184	17.39		
Pennsylvania shares tax	617	2.83	505	3.10	112	22.18		
Amortization of investment in limited partnerships	496	2.28	496	3.05	—	—		
Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation deposit insurance	421	1.93	349	2.15	72	20.63		
Marketing	371	1.70	405	2.49	(34)	(8.40)		
Intangible amortization	122	0.56	—	—	122	N/A		
Other	6,195	28.44	3,683	22.65	2,512	68.21		
Total non-interest expense	\$21,791	100.00 %	\$16,265	100.00 %	\$5,526	33.97 %		

Provision for Income Taxes

Income taxes decreased \$86,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and increased \$589,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the same periods of 2012. The primary cause of the changes in tax expense for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to 2012 is the impact of security gains and losses. Excluding the impact of the net securities gains, the effective tax rate for the three and nine ended September 30, 2013 was 16.70% and 17.09% compared to 14.76% and 14.17% for the same periods of 2012. The Company currently is in a deferred tax asset position due to the low income housing tax credits earned both currently and previously. Management has reviewed the deferred tax asset and has determined that the asset will be utilized within the appropriate carry forward period and therefore does not require a valuation allowance.

ASSET/LIABILITY MANAGEMENT

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents increased \$17,902,000 from \$15,142,000 at December 31, 2012 to \$33,044,000 at September 30, 2013 primarily as a result of the following activities during the nine months ended September 30, 2013:

Loans Held for Sale

Activity regarding loans held for sale resulted in loan originations trailing sale proceeds, less \$1,204,000 in realized gains, by \$2,186,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2013.

Loans

Gross loans increased \$293,931,000 since December 31, 2012 as Luzerne provided approximately \$254,000,000 of the increase concentrated in the commercial real estate and commercial and agricultural segments of the portfolio. The increase in residential real estate was due primarily to an emphasis on home equity products.

The allocation of the loan portfolio, by category, as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 is presented below:

Table of Contents

(In Thousands)	September 30, 2013		December 31, 2012		Change			
	Amount	% Total	Amount	% Total	Amount	%		
Commercial and agricultural	\$ 105,179	13.05	% \$ 48,455	9.46	% \$ 56,724	117.07	%	
Real estate mortgage:								
Residential	381,680	47.36	252,142	49.22	129,538	51.38	%	
Commercial	287,415	35.65	182,031	35.54	105,384	57.89	%	
Construction	18,093	2.24	20,067	3.92	(1,974)	(9.84))%	
Installment loans to individuals	14,681	1.82	10,659	2.08	4,022	37.73	%	
Net deferred loan fees and discounts	(885)	(0.11)	(1,122)	(0.22)	237	(21.12))%	
Gross loans	\$ 806,163	100.01	% \$ 512,232	100.00	% \$ 293,931	57.38	%	

The following table shows the amount of accrual and non-accrual TDRs at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

(In Thousands)	September 30, 2013			December 31, 2012		
	Accrual	Non-accrual	Total	Accrual	Non-accrual	Total
Commercial and agricultural	\$ 447	\$—	\$ 447	\$ 485	\$—	\$ 485
Real estate mortgage:						
Residential	608	413	1,021	710	321	1,031
Commercial	6,393	3,079	9,472	5,172	3,424	8,596
Construction	12	1,049	1,061	13	6,077	6,090
Installment loans to individuals	7	—	7	15	—	15
	\$ 7,467	\$ 4,541	\$ 12,008	\$ 6,395	\$ 9,822	\$ 16,217

Investments

The fair value of the investment securities portfolio at September 30, 2013 decreased \$3,933,000 since December 31, 2012 as the net unrealized gain of \$15,400,000 within the portfolio at December 31, 2012 was decreased to a net unrealized loss of \$1,211,000 at September 30, 2013. The amortized cost of the portfolio increased \$12,678,000 primarily due to the acquisition of Luzerne. The unrealized losses within the debt securities portfolio are the result of market activity, not credit issues/ratings, as approximately 94% of the debt securities portfolio on an amortized cost basis is currently rated A or higher by either S&P or Moody's.

The Company considers various factors, which include examples from applicable accounting guidance, when analyzing the available for sale portfolio for possible other than temporary impairment. The Company primarily considers the following factors in its analysis: length of time and severity of the market value being less than carrying value; reduction of dividend paid (equities); continued payment of dividend/interest, credit rating, and financial condition of an issuer; intent and ability to hold until anticipated recovery (which may be maturity); and general outlook for the economy, specific industry, and entity in question.

The bond portion of the portfolio review is conducted with emphases on several factors. Continued payment of principal and interest is given primary importance with credit rating and financial condition of the issuer following as the next most important. Credit ratings were reviewed with the ratings of the bonds being satisfactory. Bonds that were not currently rated were discussed with a third party and/or underwent an internal financial review. The Company also monitors whether each of the investments incurred a decline in market value from carrying value of at least 20% for twelve consecutive months or a similar decline of at least 50% for three consecutive months. Each bond

is reviewed to determine whether it is a general obligation bond, which is backed by the credit and taxing power of the issuing jurisdiction, or revenue bond, which is only payable from specified revenues. Based on the review undertaken by the Company, the Company determined that the decline in value of the various bond holdings were temporary and were the result of the general market downturns and interest rate/yield curve changes, not credit issues. The fact that almost all of such bonds are general obligation bonds further solidified the Company's determination that the decline in the value of these bond holdings is temporary.

The fair value of the equity portfolio continues to fluctuate as the economic turbulence continues to impact financial sector stock pricing. The amortized cost of the equity securities portfolio has decreased \$431,000 to \$10,059,000 at September 30, 2013 from \$10,490,000 at December 31, 2012 while the fair value decreased \$36,000 over the same time period.

Table of Contents

The equity portion of the portfolio is reviewed for possible other than temporary impairment in a similar manner to the bond portfolio with greater emphasis placed on the length of time the market value has been less than the carrying value and financial sector outlook. The Company also reviews dividend payment activities and, in the case of financial institutions, whether or not such issuer was participating in the TARP Capital Purchase Program. The starting point for the equity analysis is the length and severity of a market price decline. The Company monitors two primary measures: 20% decline in market value from carrying value for twelve consecutive months and 50% decline for three consecutive months.

The distribution of credit ratings by amortized cost and fair values for the debt security portfolio at September 30, 2013 follows:

(In Thousands)	A- to AAA		B- to BBB+		Not Rated		Total	
	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
Available for sale (AFS)								
U.S. Government and agency securities	\$26,236	\$26,905	\$—	\$—	\$4,027	\$3,864	\$30,263	\$30,769
State and political securities	142,230	140,792	900	917	5,451	5,163	148,581	146,872
Other debt securities	91,894	90,626	5,797	5,499	—	—	97,691	96,125
Total debt securities AFS	\$260,360	\$258,323	\$6,697	\$6,416	\$9,478	\$9,027	\$276,535	\$273,766

Financing Activities

Deposits

Total deposits increased \$333,495,000 from December 31, 2012 to September 30, 2013 with the acquisition of Luzerne providing approximately \$280,000,000 of the increase. The growth was led by an increase in non-interest bearing deposits accounts from December 31, 2012 to September 30, 2013 of 87.36% as Luzerne provided approximately \$85,000,000 of the \$100,421,000 increase. The increase in core deposits (deposits less time deposits) has provided relationship driven funding for the loan and investment portfolios. The increase in deposits is the result of our focus on building relationships, not by offering market leading rates. Over the past year and through the first nine months of 2013, time deposits, excluding the impact of the Luzerne acquisition, have decreased as we have taken a position of using these accounts as complementary accounts to core deposits. To facilitate this strategy we are actively working single product time deposit relationships to create a solid relationship through the addition of other products to the customer's portfolio.

Deposit balances and their changes for the periods being discussed follow:

(In Thousands)	September 30, 2013		December 31, 2012		Change			
	Amount	% Total	Amount	% Total	Amount	%		
Demand deposits	\$215,374	22.08	% \$114,953	17.90	% \$100,421	87.36	%	
NOW accounts	169,974	17.42	130,454	20.32	39,520	30.29		
Money market deposits	209,469	21.47	144,722	22.54	64,747	44.74		
Savings deposits	142,193	14.58	82,546	12.86	59,647	72.26		
Time deposits	238,511	24.45	169,351	26.38	69,160	40.84		
	\$975,521	100.00	% \$642,026	100.00	% \$333,495	51.94	%	

Borrowed Funds

Total borrowed funds decreased 21.62% or \$23,672,000 to \$85,810,000 at September 30, 2013 compared to \$109,482,000 at December 31, 2012. Long-term borrowings decreased due to a FHLB borrowings that matured during 2013 at an average rate of 3.94%.

Table of Contents

(In Thousands)	September 30, 2013		December 31, 2012		Change	
	Amount	% Total	Amount	% Total	Amount	%
Short-term borrowings:						
FHLB repurchase agreements	\$—	—	% \$16,236	14.83	% \$(16,236)	(100.00)
Securities sold under agreement to repurchase	15,060	17.55	16,968	15.50	(1,908)	(11.24)
Total short-term borrowings	15,060	17.55	33,204	30.33	(18,144)	(54.64)
Long-term borrowings, FHLB	70,750	82.45	76,278	69.67	(5,528)	(7.25)
Total borrowed funds	\$85,810	100.00	% \$109,482	100.00	% \$(23,672)	(21.62)

Capital

The adequacy of the Company's capital is reviewed on an ongoing basis with reference to the size, composition, and quality of the Company's resources and regulatory guidelines. Management seeks to maintain a level of capital sufficient to support existing assets and anticipated asset growth, maintain favorable access to capital markets, and preserve high quality credit ratings.

Bank holding companies are required to comply with the Federal Reserve Board's risk-based capital guidelines. The risk-based capital rules are designed to make regulatory capital requirements more sensitive to differences in risk profiles among banks and bank holding companies and to minimize disincentives for holding liquid assets. Specifically, each is required to maintain certain minimum dollar amounts and ratios of total risk-based, tier I risk-based, and tier I leverage capital. In addition to the capital requirements, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvements Act (FDICIA) established five capital categories ranging from "well capitalized" to "critically undercapitalized." To be classified as "well capitalized", total risk-based, tier I risk-based, and tier I leverage capital ratios must be at least 10%, 6%, and 5%, respectively.

Capital ratios as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 were as follows:

(In Thousands)	September 30, 2013		December 31, 2012	
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
Total Capital (to Risk-weighted Assets)				
Actual	\$115,264	13.19	% \$85,377	14.97
For Capital Adequacy Purposes	69,899	8.00	45,641	8.00
To Be Well Capitalized	87,374	10.00	57,051	10.00
Tier I Capital (to Risk-weighted Assets)				
Actual	\$104,901	12.01	% \$77,717	13.62
For Capital Adequacy Purposes	34,950	4.00	22,820	4.00
To Be Well Capitalized	52,424	6.00	34,231	6.00
Tier I Capital (to Average Assets)				
Actual	\$104,901	8.96	% \$77,717	9.47
For Capital Adequacy Purposes	46,834	4.00	32,818	4.00
To Be Well Capitalized	58,543	5.00	41,022	5.00

In June 2012, the federal bank regulatory agencies issued a series of proposed revisions to the agencies' capital adequacy guidelines and prompt corrective action rules, which were designed to enhance such requirements and implement the revised standards of the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision, commonly referred to as Basel III. In July 2013, the federal bank regulatory agencies adopted final rules, which differ in certain respects from the June 2012 proposals.

The July 2013 final rules generally implement higher minimum capital requirements, add a new common equity tier 1 capital requirement, and establish criteria that instruments must meet to be considered common equity tier 1 capital, additional tier 1 capital or tier 2 capital. The new minimum capital to risk-adjusted assets requirements are a common equity tier 1 capital ratio of 4.5% (6.5% to be considered “well capitalized”) and a tier 1 capital ratio of 6.0%, increased from 4.0% (and increased from 6.0% to 8.0% to be considered “well capitalized”); the total capital ratio remains at 8.0% under the new rules (10.0% to be considered “well capitalized”). Under the new rules, in order to avoid limitations on capital distributions (including dividend payments and certain discretionary bonus payments to executive officers), a banking organization must hold a capital conservation buffer comprised of common equity tier 1 capital above its minimum risk-based capital requirements in an

Table of Contents

amount greater than 2.5% of total risk-weighted assets. The new minimum capital requirements are effective on January 1, 2015. The capital contribution buffer requirements phase in over a three-year period beginning January 1, 2016.

The July 2013 final rules include three significant changes from the June 2012 proposals: (i) the final rules do not change the current risk weighting for residential mortgage exposures; (ii) the final rules permit institutions, other than certain large institutions, to elect to continue to treat certain components of accumulated other comprehensive income as permitted under the current general risk-based capital rules, and not reflect unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities in common equity tier 1 calculations; and (iii) the final rules permit institutions with less than \$15.0 billion in assets to grandfather certain non-qualifying capital instruments (including trust preferred securities) issued prior to May 19, 2009 into tier 1 capital.

The Company and the Bank will continue to analyze these new rules and their effects on the business, operations and capital levels of the Company and the Bank.

Liquidity; Interest Rate Sensitivity and Market Risk

The asset/liability committee addresses the liquidity needs of the Company to ensure that sufficient funds are available to meet credit demands and deposit withdrawals as well as to the placement of available funds in the investment portfolio. In assessing liquidity requirements, equal consideration is given to the current position as well as the future outlook.

The following liquidity measures are monitored for compliance and were within the limits cited at September 30, 2013:

1. Net Loans to Total Assets, 85% maximum
2. Net Loans to Total Deposits, 100% maximum
3. Cumulative 90 day Maturity GAP %, +/- 20% maximum
4. Cumulative 1 Year Maturity GAP %, +/- 25% maximum

Fundamental objectives of the Company's asset/liability management process are to maintain adequate liquidity while minimizing interest rate risk. The maintenance of adequate liquidity provides the Company with the ability to meet its financial obligations to depositors, loan customers, and shareholders. Additionally, it provides funds for normal operating expenditures and business opportunities as they arise. The objective of interest rate sensitivity management is to increase net interest income by managing interest sensitive assets and liabilities in such a way that they can be repriced in response to changes in market interest rates.

The Bank, like other financial institutions, must have sufficient funds available to meet its liquidity needs for deposit withdrawals, loan commitments and originations, and expenses. In order to control cash flow, the Bank estimates future cash flows from deposits, loan payments, and investment security payments. The primary sources of funds are deposits, principal and interest payments on loans and investment securities, FHLB borrowings, and brokered deposits. Management believes the Bank has adequate resources to meet its normal funding requirements.

Management monitors the Company's liquidity on both a long and short-term basis, thereby providing management necessary information to react to current balance sheet trends. Cash flow needs are assessed and sources of funds are determined. Funding strategies consider both customer needs and economical cost. Both short and long-term funding needs are addressed by maturities and sales of available for sale investment securities, loan repayments and maturities, and liquidating money market investments such as federal funds sold. The use of these resources, in conjunction with

access to credit provides core funding to satisfy depositor, borrower, and creditor needs.

Management monitors and determines the desirable level of liquidity. Consideration is given to loan demand, investment opportunities, deposit pricing and growth potential, as well as the current cost of borrowing funds. The Company has a total current maximum borrowing capacity at the FHLB of \$443,482,000. In addition to this credit arrangement, the Company has additional lines of credit with correspondent banks of \$32,013,000. Management believes it has sufficient liquidity to satisfy estimated short-term and long-term funding needs. FHLB borrowings totaled \$70,750,000 as of September 30, 2013.

Interest rate sensitivity, which is closely related to liquidity management, is a function of the repricing characteristics of the Company's portfolio of assets and liabilities. Asset/liability management strives to match maturities and rates between loan and investment security assets with the deposit liabilities and borrowings that fund them. Successful asset/liability management results in a balance sheet structure which can cope effectively with market rate fluctuations. The matching process is affected by segmenting both assets and liabilities into future time periods (usually 12 months, or less) based upon when repricing can be effected. Repriceable assets are subtracted from repriceable liabilities, for a specific time period to determine the "gap", or difference. Once known, the gap is managed based on predictions about future market interest rates. Intentional

Table of Contents

mismatching, or gapping, can enhance net interest income if market rates move as predicted. However, if market rates behave in a manner contrary to predictions, net interest income will suffer. Gaps, therefore, contain an element of risk and must be prudently managed. In addition to gap management, the Company has an asset/liability management policy which incorporates a market value at risk calculation which is used to determine the effects of interest rate movements on shareholders' equity and a simulation analysis to monitor the effects of interest rate changes on the Company's balance sheet.

The Company currently maintains a GAP position of being liability sensitive. The Company has strategically taken this position as it has decreased the duration of the time deposit portfolio, while continuing to maintain a primarily fixed rate earning asset portfolio with a duration greater than the liabilities utilized to fund earning assets. Lengthening of the liability portfolio coupled with the addition of limited short-term assets is being undertaken. These actions are expected to reduce, but not eliminate, the liability sensitive structure of the balance sheet.

A market value at risk calculation is utilized to monitor the effects of interest rate changes on the Company's balance sheet and more specifically shareholders' equity. The Company does not manage the balance sheet structure in order to maintain compliance with this calculation. The calculation serves as a guideline with greater emphases placed on interest rate sensitivity. Changes to calculation results from period to period are reviewed as changes in results could be a signal of future events. As of the most recent analysis, the results of the market value at risk calculation were within established guidelines due to the strategic direction being taken.

Interest Rate Sensitivity

In this analysis the Company examines the result of a 100, 200, 300, and 400 basis point change in market interest rates and the effect on net interest income. It is assumed that the change is instantaneous and that all rates move in a parallel manner. Assumptions are also made concerning prepayment speeds on mortgage loans and mortgage securities.

The following is a rate shock forecast for the twelve month period ending September 30, 2014 assuming a static balance sheet as of September 30, 2013.

	Parallel Rate Shock in Basis Points							
(In Thousands)	-200	-100	Static	+100	+200	+300	+400	
Net interest income	\$38,326	\$39,986	\$41,249	\$41,781	\$42,498	\$43,152	\$43,527	
Change from static	(2,923)	(1,263)	—	532	1,249	1,903	2,278	
Percent change from static	-7.09	% -3.06	% —	1.29	% 3.03	% 4.61	% 5.52	%

The model utilized to create the report presented above makes various estimates at each level of interest rate change regarding cash flow from principal repayment on loans and mortgage-backed securities and/or call activity on investment securities. Actual results could differ significantly from these estimates which would result in significant differences in the calculated projected change. In addition, the limits stated above do not necessarily represent the level of change under which management would undertake specific measures to realign its portfolio in order to reduce the projected level of change. Generally, management believes the Company is well positioned to respond expeditiously when the market interest rate outlook changes.

Inflation

The asset and liability structure of a financial institution is primarily monetary in nature. Therefore, interest rates rather than inflation have a more significant impact on the Company's performance. Interest rates are not always affected in the same direction or magnitude as prices of other goods and services, but are reflective of fiscal policy initiatives or economic factors which are not measured by a price index.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Market risk for the Company is comprised primarily of interest rate risk exposure and liquidity risk. Interest rate risk and liquidity risk management is performed at the Bank level as well as the Company level. The Company's interest rate sensitivity is monitored by management through selected interest rate risk measures produced by an independent third party. There have been no substantial changes in the Company's gap analysis or simulation analysis compared to the information provided in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2012. Additional information and details are provided in the "Liquidity and Interest Rate Sensitivity" section of "Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations."

Table of Contents

Generally, management believes the Company is well positioned to respond in a timely manner when the market interest rate outlook changes.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

An analysis was performed under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures. Based on that evaluation, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of September 30, 2013.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

The Company completed its acquisition of Luzerne National Bank Corporation on June 1, 2013. As a result of the Luzerne National Bank Corporation acquisition, the Company has begun the process of evaluating the internal control processes of Luzerne National Bank Corporation, and integrating those processes into the Company's existing control environment. Other than the Luzerne National Bank Corporation acquisition, there were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended September 30, 2013, that materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

Part II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

None.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

There are no material changes to the risk factors set forth in Part I, Item 1A, "Risk Factors," of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012. Please refer to that section for disclosures regarding the risks and uncertainties related to the Company's business.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Period	Total Number of Shares (or Units) Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share (or Units) Purchased	Total Number of Shares (or Units) Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Maximum Number (or Approximate Dollar Value) of Shares (or Units) that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
Month #1 (July 1 - July 31, 2013)	—	\$—	—	76,776
Month #2 (August 1 - August 31, 2013)	—	—	—	76,776
Month #3 (September 1 - September 30, 2013)	—	—	—	76,776

On April 23, 2013, the Board of Directors extended the previously approved authorization to repurchase up to \$197,000 shares, or approximately 5%, of the outstanding shares of the Company for an additional year to April 30, 2014. To date, there have been \$120,224 shares repurchased under this plan.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

Item 5. Other Information

None.

Item 6. Exhibits

- 3(i) Articles of Incorporation of the Registrant as presently in effect (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(i) of the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2012 filed May 9, 2012).
- 3(ii) Bylaws of the Registrant as presently in effect (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(ii) of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed June 17, 2005).
- 31(i) Rule 13a-14(a)/Rule 15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Executive Officer.

- 31(ii) Rule 13a-14(a)/Rule 15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Financial Officer.
- 32(i) Section 1350 Certification of Chief Executive Officer.
- 32(ii) Section 1350 Certification of Chief Financial Officer.

Interactive data file containing the following financial statements formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language): (i) the Consolidated Balance Sheet at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012; (ii) the Consolidated Statement of Income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012; (iii) the Consolidated Statement of Shareholders' Equity for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012; (iv) Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012; (v) the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012; and (vi) the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. As provided in Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, this interactive data file shall not be deemed to be "filed" for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and shall not be deemed "filed" or part of any registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Section 11 or 12 under the Securities Act of 1933, or otherwise subject to liability under those sections.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

PENNS WOODS BANCORP, INC.
(Registrant)

Date: November 12, 2013

/s/ Richard A. Grafmyre
Richard A. Grafmyre, President and Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

Date: November 12, 2013

/s/ Brian L. Knepp
Brian L. Knepp, Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting
Officer)

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

- Exhibit 31(i) Rule 13a-14(a)/Rule 15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Executive Officer
Exhibit 31(ii) Rule 13a-14(a)/Rule 15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Financial Officer
Exhibit 32(i) Section 1350 Certification of Chief Executive Officer
Exhibit 32(ii) Section 1350 Certification of Chief Financial Officer
Interactive data file containing the following financial statements formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language): (i) the Consolidated Balance Sheet at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012; (ii) the Consolidated Statement of Income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012; (iii) the Consolidated Statement of Shareholders' Equity for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012; (iv) Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012; (v) the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012; and (vi) the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. As provided in Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, this interactive data file shall not be deemed to be "filed" for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and shall not be deemed "filed" or part of any registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Section 11 or 12 under the Securities Act of 1933, or otherwise subject to liability under those sections.
- Exhibit 101