SUMMIT FINANCIAL GROUP INC Form 10-Q November 12, 2013

## UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10 – Q

# [X] QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2013.

or

[ ] TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_\_.

Commission File Number 0-16587

Summit Financial Group, Inc. (Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

West Virginia 55-0672148
(State or (IRS other Employer jurisdiction of incorporation or No.)
organization)

300 North Main Street
Moorefield, West Virginia 26836
(Address of principal executive (Zip Code)
offices)

(304) 530-1000

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Sections 13 or 15(d) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes bNo o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T

(§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes bNo o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer o Accelerated filero

Non-accelerated filer o Smaller reporting companyb

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes oNo b

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of Common Stock as of the latest practicable date.

Common Stock, \$2.50 par value 7,451,022 shares outstanding as of November 5, 2013

Summit Financial Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries Table of Contents

# Page PART I.FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item	1.	Financial Statements	
		Consolidated balance sheets September 30, 2013 (unaudited), December 31, 2012, and September 30, 2012 (unaudited)	4
		Consolidated statements of income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 (unaudited)	5
		Consolidated statements of comprehensive income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 (unaudited)	6
		Consolidated statements of shareholders' equity for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 (unaudited)	7
		Consolidated statements of cash flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 (unaudited)	8-9
		Notes to consolidated financial statements (unaudited)	10-46

Edgar Filing: SUMMIT FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	47-65
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	64
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	66

# Summit Financial Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries Table of Contents

PART II.	OTHER INFORMATION	I	Page
	Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	67
	Item 1A.	Risk Factors	67
	Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	None
	Item 3.	Defaults upon Senior Securities	None
	Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures	None
	Item 5.	Other Information	None
	Item 6.	Exhibits	67
SIGNATURE	SS		68
EXHIBIT INDEX			69

Summit Financial Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries Consolidated Balance Sheets (unaudited)

Dollars in thousands	_		December 31, 2012 (*)		September 30, 2012 (unaudited)		
ASSETS							
Cash and due from banks	\$	4,571	\$ 3,833	\$	3,752		
Interest bearing deposits							
with other banks		11,532	10,969		13,441		
Cash and cash							
equivalents		16,103	14,802		17,193		
Securities available for							
sale		291,258	281,539		291,992		
Other investments		8,004	14,658		16,100		
Loans held for sale		602	226		213		
Loans, net		939,169	937,168		940,933		
Property held for sale		45,303	56,172		56,033		
Premises and equipment,							
net		20,780	21,129		21,264		
Accrued interest							
receivable		5,364	5,621		5,352		
Intangible assets		8,036	8,300		8,387		
Cash surrender value of							
life insurance policies		35,257	29,553		30,065		
Other assets		17,911	17,936		16,554		
Total assets	\$	1,387,787	\$ 1,387,104	\$	1,404,086		
LIABILITIES AND							
SHAREHOLDERS'							
EQUITY							
Liabilities							
Deposits							
Non interest bearing	\$	99,109	\$ 100,592	\$	96,764		
Interest bearing		917,626	926,533		930,543		
Total deposits		1,016,735	1,027,125		1,027,307		
Short-term borrowings		54,163	3,958		20,957		
Long-term borrowings		163,540	203,268		203,744		
Subordinated							
debentures		16,800	16,800		16,800		
Subordinated							
debentures owed to							
unconsolidated subsidiary							
trusts		19,589	19,589		19,589		
Other liabilities		8,155	7,809		8,361		
Total liabilities		1,278,982	1,278,549		1,296,758		
Commitments and							
Contingencies							

Shareholders' Equity			
Preferred stock and			
related surplus -			
authorized 250,000			
shares;			
Series 2009, 8%			
Non-cumulative			
convertible preferred			
stock,			
par value \$1.00;			
issued 3,710 shares	3,519	3,519	3,519
Series 2011, 8%			
Non-cumulative			
convertible preferred			
stock,			
par value \$1.00;			
issued 2013 - 11,938,			
2012 - 12,000 shares	5,776	5,807	5,807
Common stock and			
related surplus -			
authorized 20,000,000			
shares;			
\$2.50 par value;			
issued and outstanding			
2013 - 7,448,422 and			
2012 - 7,425,472			
shares	24,632	24,520	24,520
Retained earnings	74,541	69,841	67,929
Accumulated other			
comprehensive income	337	4,868	5,553
Total shareholders' equity	108,805	108,555	107,328
Total liabilities and			
shareholders' equity	\$ 1,387,787 \$	1,387,104 \$	1,404,086

(\*) - December 31, 2012 financial information has been extracted from audited consolidated financial statements See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

	Three Mo September 30,				Ended eptember 30,	S	Nine Meptember 30,		nths Ended September 30,	
Dollars in thousands,										
except per share amounts Interest income	20	13		201	12	20	13	20	)12	
Interest and fees on										
loans										
Taxable	\$	12,469		\$	13,572	\$	38,037	\$	41,812	
Tax-exempt		63			76		197		243	
Interest and dividends on										
securities										
Taxable		896			1,340		2,852		4,593	
Tax-exempt		616			594		1,832		1,986	
Interest on interest										
bearing deposits with other										
banks		1			7		4		30	
Total interest income		14,045			15,589		42,922		48,664	
Interest expense		2 405			2.065		0.074		10.140	
Interest on deposits		2,487			3,067		8,074		10,140	
Interest on short-term		2.4			0		50		25	
borrowings		24			8		50		25	
Interest on long-term borrowings and										
subordinated debentures		1,996			2,579		5,997		8,575	
Total interest expense		4,507			5,654		14,121		18,740	
Net interest income		9,538			9,935		28,801		29,924	
Provision for loan losses		1,000			2,000		3,500		6,002	
Net interest income after		1,000			2,000		2,200		0,002	
provision for loan losses		8,538			7,935		25,301		23,922	
Other income		,			,		,		,	
Insurance commissions		1,057			1,052		3,373		3,352	
Service fees related to										
deposit accounts		1,106			1,074		3,202		3,163	
Realized securities gains		132			760		116		2,245	
Other		606			514		1,741		1,649	
Total										
other-than-temporary										
impairment loss on										
securities		(38	)		(233	)	(155	)	(1,115)	
Portion of loss										
recognized in other					104		27		740	
comprehensive income		-			194		37		740	
Net impairment loss		(29	`		(20)	`	(110	`	(275)	
recognized in earnings  Total other income		(38 2,863	)		(39 3,361	)	(118 8,314	)	(375) 10,034	
Other expense		2,003			5,501		0,514		10,034	
Salaries, commissions,										
and employee benefits		4,050			3,940		12,155		11,733	
and employee deficites		1,050			5,7 10		12,133		11,733	

Edgar Filing: SUMMIT FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Net occupancy expense	454		476		1,387	1,445
Equipment expense	578		573		1,724	1,777
Professional fees	263		292		885	872
Amortization of						
intangibles	88		88		263	263
FDIC premiums	503		510		1,558	1,532
Foreclosed properties						
expense	262		356		836	935
(Gain) loss on sale of						
foreclosed properties	(17	)	(16	)	546	583
Write-down of						
foreclosed properties	654		2,571		3,078	6,114
Other	1,396		1,237		3,900	3,749
Total other expense	8,231		10,027		26,332	29,003
Income before income						
taxes	3,170		1,269		7,283	4,953
Income tax expense	898		272		2,001	1,345
Net Income	2,272		997		5,282	3,608
Dividends on preferred						
shares	194		194		582	583
Net Income applicable to						
common shares	\$ 2,078		\$ 803	\$	4,700	\$ 3,025
Basic earnings per						
common share	\$ 0.28		\$ 0.11	\$	0.63	\$ 0.41
Diluted earnings per						
common share	\$ 0.24		\$ 0.10	\$	0.55	\$ 0.38

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

5

Summit Financial Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (unaudited)

For the Three Months Ended September 30, Dollars in thousands 2013 2012 Net income 2,272 \$ 997 \$ Other comprehensive income (loss): Net unrealized (loss) on cashflow hedge of (\$117), net of deferred taxes of \$43 (74) -Non-credit related other-than-temporary impairment on available for sale debt securities - 2013 - \$0, net of deferred taxes of \$0; 2012 - \$194, net of deferred taxes of \$74 (120)Net unrealized gain (loss) on available for sale debt securities of: 2013 - (\$681) net of deferred taxes of \$252 and reclassification adjustment for net realized gains included in net income of \$132; 2012 - \$1,513, net of deferred taxes of \$575 and reclassification adjustment for net realized gains included in net income of \$760 (429 ) 938 Total comprehensive \$ income 1,769 \$ 1,815

> For the Nine Months Ended September 30,

Dollars in thousands	2013			201	12
Net income	\$	5,282		\$	3,608
Other comprehensive	e				
income (loss):					
Net unrealized (loss)	)				
on cashflow hedge of	•				
(\$117), net of					
deferred		(74	)		-
taxes of \$43					
Non-credit related					
other-than-temporary					
impairment on					
available for sale deb	t secur	ities -			
2013 - \$37, net of det	ferred				
taxes of					
\$14; 2012 - \$740, ne	t				
of deferred taxes of					
\$281		(23	)		(459)
Net unrealized gain (	loss) o	n			
available for sale deb	t secur	ities of	:		
2013 - (\$7,038) net o			es of	f \$2,	,604
and reclassification a	djustm	ent			
for net realized gains	includ	ed in			
net income of \$116; 2	2012 -	\$3,539	,		
net of					
deferred taxes of \$1,3	345 and	l			
reclassification adjust	tment f	or net			
realized					
gains included in	l				
net income of \$2,245		(4,434)	4)		2,194
Total					
comprehensive					
income	\$	751		\$	5,343

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Summit Financial Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity (unaudited)

Dollars in thousands,	P S	ries 2009 referred tock and Related	P S	ries 2011 Preferred tock and Related	S	Common tock and Related	F	Retained	(	cumulate Other Compre- hensive		Total Share- holders'
except per share amounts	,	Surplus	,	Surplus		Surplus	E	Earnings		Income		Equity
Balance, December 31, 2012 Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013	\$	3,519	\$	5,807	\$	24,520	\$	69,841	\$	4,868	\$	108,555
Comprehensive income:												
Net income Other comprehensive		-		-		_		5,282		-		5,282
income (loss) Total comprehensive										(4,531	)	(4,531)
income (loss)												751
Exercise of stock options						80						80
Stock compensation		_		_		80		_		_		00
expense		-		-		1		-		-		1
Series 2009 Preferred Stock cash dividends												
declared (\$60.00 per share)		_		_		_		(223	)	_		(223)
Series 2011 Preferred Stock cash dividends									,			
declared (\$30.00 per share)		-		-		-		(359	)	-		(359)
Conversion of Series 2011 Preferred Stock to												
Common Stock		-		(31	)	31		-				
Balance, September 30, 2013	\$	3,519	\$	5,776	\$	24,632	\$	74,541	\$	337	\$	108,805
Balance, December 31, 2011	\$	3,519	\$	5,807	\$	24,518	\$	64,904	\$	3,818	\$	102,566
Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012												
Comprehensive income:												
Net income		-		-		-		3,608		-		3,608

Edgar Filing: SUMMIT FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

							1,735		1,735
									5,343
-		-	2		-		-		2
-		-	-		(223	)	-		(223)
-		-	-		(360	)	-		(360)
\$ 3,519	\$	5,807	\$ 24,520	\$	67,929	\$	5,553	\$	107,328
	-	-		2 	2 	2 - (223 (360	2 - (223 ) (360 )	2 (223 ) (360 ) -	2 (223 ) (360 ) -

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

7

## Summit Financial Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited)

	Nine Months Ended September					
		30,		Sep	tember 30,	
Dollars in thousands	201	3		2012		
Cash Flows from						
Operating Activities						
Net income	\$	5,282		\$	3,608	
Adjustments to						
reconcile net earnings to						
net cash						
provided by						
operating activities:		0.50			000	
Depreciation		878			989	
Provision for loan						
losses		3,500			6,002	
Stock compensation						
expense		1			2	
Deferred income tax						
expense (benefit)		1,248			(1,172)	
Loans originated for						
sale		(7,963	)		(5,463)	
Proceeds from loans						
sold		7,587			5,251	
Securities gains		(116	)		(2,245)	
Other-than-temporary						
impairment of securities		118			375	
Loss on disposal of						
assets		535			583	
Write down of						
foreclosed properties		3,078			6,114	
Amortization of						
securities premiums						
(accretion of discounts),						
net		4,698			3,181	
Amortization of						
goodwill and purchase						
accounting						
adjustments, net		272			272	
Decrease in accrued						
interest receivable		257			431	
Increase in cash						
surrender value of bank						
owned life insurance		(704	)		(781)	
(Increase) decrease in						
other assets		2,354			(971)	

Edgar Filing: SUMMIT FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Decrease in other liabilities	(748)	(140)
Net cash provided by	(7.10	(110)
operating activities	20,277	16,036
Cash Flows from		10,000
Investing Activities		
Proceeds from		
maturities and calls of		
securities available for sale	1,258	4,056
Proceeds from sales of		
securities available for sale	41,188	64,567
Principal payments		
received on securities		
available for sale	50,650	47,584
Purchases of securities		
available for sale	(111,443)	(120,114)
Purchases of other		
investments	(2,541)	-
Redemption of Federal		
Home Loan Bank Stock	5,922	3,048
Net principal payments		
received from (loans made		
to) customers	(8,371)	13,736
Purchases of premises		
and equipment	(528)	(170)
Proceeds from disposal	4.4	
of premises and equipment	11	-
Proceeds from sales of		
other repossessed assets &	10.220	6.000
property held for sale	10,339	6,998
Purchase of life	(5,000	
insurance contracts	(5,000 )	-
Net cash provided by	(10.515.)	10.705
investing activities	(18,515)	19,705
Cash Flows from		
Financing Activities  Net increase in demand		
deposit, NOW and		
savings accounts	9,759	15,662
Net decrease in time	9,139	13,002
deposits	(20,149)	(4,856)
Net increase in	(20,14)	(4,030)
short-term borrowings	50,205	5,002
Proceeds from	30,203	3,002
long-term borrowings	3,454	_
Repayment of	3,131	
long-term borrowings	(43,228)	(66,510)
Exercise of stock	(.5,220)	(53,510)
options	80	_
Dividends paid on		
preferred stock	(582)	(537)
1	( /	()

Edgar Filing: SUMMIT FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Net cash (used in)			
financing activities	(461	)	(51,239)
Increase (decrease) in cash			
and cash equivalents	1,301		(15,498)
Cash and cash			
equivalents:			
Beginning	14,802		32,691
Ending	\$ 16,103		\$ 17,193

(Continued)

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

8

Summit Financial Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited)

		Nine Months Ended							
	Se	ptember 30,	Se	ptember 30,					
Dollars in thousands	2013		201	2					
Supplemental Disclosures of Cash Flow Information Cash payments for:									
Interest	\$	14,526	\$	19,335					
Income taxes	\$	1,098	\$	1,834					
Supplemental Schedule of Nonc Financing Activities	ash Inve	esting and							
Other assets acquired in									
settlement of loans	\$	2,871	\$	5,266					

Summit Financial Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

#### NOTE 1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

We, Summit Financial Group, Inc. and subsidiaries, prepare our consolidated financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for interim financial information and with instructions to Form 10-Q and Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all the information and footnotes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for annual year end financial statements. In our opinion, all adjustments considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included and are of a normal recurring nature.

The presentation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ materially from these estimates.

The results of operations for the quarter ended September 30, 2013 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year. The consolidated financial statements and notes included herein should be read in conjunction with our 2012 audited financial statements and Annual Report on Form 10-K. Certain accounts in the consolidated financial statements for December 31, 2012 and September 30, 2012, as previously presented, have been reclassified to conform to current year classifications.

#### NOTE 2. SIGNIFICANT NEW AUTHORITATIVE ACCOUNTING GUIDANCE

ASU No. 2013-02, Comprehensive Income (Topic 220) – Reporting Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income amended authoritative guidance related to the reporting of reclassifications out of other comprehensive earnings. The new guidance sets requirements for presentation for significant items reclassified to net earnings during the period presented. The new guidance was effective for annual and interim periods beginning on January 1, 2013 and did not have an effect on our financial statements.

ASU 2013-10, "Derivatives and Hedging (Topic 815) – Inclusion of the Fed Funds Effective Swap Rate (or Overnight Index Swap Rate) as a Benchmark Interest Rate for Hedge Accounting Purposes" permits the Fed Funds Effective Swap Rate (or Overnight Index Swap Rate) to be used as a U.S. benchmark interest rate for hedge accounting purposes under Topic 815, in addition to interest rates on direct Treasury obligations of the U.S. government and the London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR"). ASU 2013-10 is effective prospectively for qualifying new or redesignated hedging relationships entered into on or after July 17, 2013 and is not expected to have a significant impact on our financial statements.

## NOTE 3. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

ASC Topic 820 defines fair value as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. ASC Topic 820 also establishes a fair value hierarchy which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The standard describes three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value.

Level 1: Quoted prices (unadjusted) or identical assets or liabilities in active markets that the entity has the ability to access as of the measurement date.

Level 2: Significant other observable inputs other than Level 1 prices, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities, quoted prices in markets that are not active, and other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data.

Level 3: Significant unobservable inputs that reflect a company's own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability.

10

Accordingly, securities available-for-sale and derivative financial instruments are recorded at fair value on a recurring basis. Additionally, from time to time, we may be required to record other assets at fair value on a nonrecurring basis, such as loans held for sale, and impaired loans held for investment. These nonrecurring fair value adjustments typically involve application of lower of cost or market accounting or write-downs of individual assets.

Following is a description of valuation methodologies used for assets and liabilities recorded at fair value.

Available-for-Sale Securities: Investment securities available-for-sale are recorded at fair value on a recurring basis. Fair value measurement is based upon quoted prices, if available. If quoted prices are not available, fair values are measured using independent pricing models or other model-based valuation techniques such as the present value of future cash flows, adjusted for the security's credit rating, prepayment assumptions and other factors such as credit loss assumptions. Level 1 securities include those traded on an active exchange, such as the New York Stock Exchange, U.S. Treasury securities that are traded by dealers or brokers in active over-the-counter markets and money market funds. Level 2 securities include mortgage-backed securities issued by government sponsored entities, municipal bonds and corporate debt securities.

Derivative Financial Instruments: Derivative financial instruments are recorded at fair value on a recurring basis. Fair value measurement is based on pricing models run by a third-party, utilizing observable market-based inputs. All future floating cash flows are projected and both floating and fixed cash flows are discounted to the valuation date. As a result, we classify interest rate swaps as Level 2.

Loans Held for Sale: Loans held for sale are carried at the lower of cost or market value. The fair value of loans held for sale is based on what secondary markets are currently offering for portfolios with similar characteristics. As such, we classify loans subject to nonrecurring fair value adjustments as Level 2.

Loans: We do not record loans at fair value on a recurring basis. However, from time to time, a loan is considered impaired and an allowance for loan losses is established. Loans for which it is probable that payment of interest and principal will not be made in accordance with the original contractual terms of the loan agreement are considered impaired. Once a loan is identified as individually impaired, management measures impairment in accordance with ASC Topic 310, Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan. The fair value of impaired loans is estimated using one of several methods, including collateral value, liquidation value and discounted cash flows. Those impaired loans not requiring an allowance represent loans for which the fair value of the expected repayments or collateral exceed the recorded investments in such loans. At September 30, 2013, substantially all of the total impaired loans were evaluated based on the fair value of the collateral. In accordance with ASC Topic 310, impaired loans where an allowance is established based on the fair value of collateral requires classification in the fair value hierarchy. When the fair value of the collateral is based on an observable market price or a current appraised value, we record the impaired loan as nonrecurring Level 2. When a current appraised value is not available and there is no observable market price, we record the impaired loan as nonrecurring Level 3.

11

When a collateral-dependent loan is identified as impaired, management immediately begins the process of evaluating the estimated fair value of the underlying collateral to determine if a related specific allowance for loan losses or charge-off is necessary. Current appraisals are ordered once a loan is deemed impaired if the existing appraisal is more than twelve months old, or more frequently if there is known deterioration in value. For recently identified impaired loans, a current appraisal may not be available at the financial statement date. Until the current appraisal is obtained, the original appraised value is discounted, as appropriate, to compensate for the estimated depreciation in the value of the loan's underlying collateral since the date of the original appraisal. Such discounts are generally estimated based upon management's knowledge of sales of similar collateral within the applicable market area and its knowledge of other real estate market-related data as well as general economic trends. When a new appraisal is received (which generally are received within 3 months of a loan being identified as impaired), management then re-evaluates the fair value of the collateral and adjusts any specific allocated allowance for loan losses, as appropriate. In addition, management also assigns a discount of 7–10% for the estimated costs to sell the collateral.

Other Real Estate Owned ("OREO"): OREO consists of real estate acquired in foreclosure or other settlement of loans. Such assets are carried on the balance sheet at the lower of the investment in the real estate or its fair value less estimated selling costs. The fair value of OREO is determined on a nonrecurring basis generally utilizing current appraisals performed by an independent, licensed appraiser applying an income or market value approach using observable market data (Level 2). Updated appraisals of OREO are generally obtained if the existing appraisal is more than 18 months old or more frequently if there is a known deterioration in value. However, if a current appraisal is not available, the original appraised value is discounted, as appropriate, to compensate for the estimated depreciation in the value of the real estate since the date of its original appraisal. Such discounts are generally estimated based upon management's knowledge of sales of similar property within the applicable market area and its knowledge of other real estate market-related data as well as general economic trends (Level 3). Upon foreclosure, any fair value adjustment is charged against the allowance for loan losses. Subsequent fair value adjustments are recorded in the period incurred and included in other noninterest expense in the consolidated statements of income.

Assets and Liabilities Recorded at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

The table below presents the recorded amount of assets (liabilities) measured at fair value on a recurring basis.

		alance at eptember	Fair Value Measurements Usin						
Dollars in thousands Available for sale securities	30, 2013		Level 1			Level 2		Level	
U.S. Government									
sponsored agencies	\$	28,430	\$	-	\$	28,430	\$	-	
Mortgage backed									
securities:									
Government									
sponsored agencies		150,787		-		150,787		-	
Nongovernment									
sponsored entities		13,440		-		13,440		-	
State and political									
subdivisions		15,011		-		15,011		_	
Corporate debt									
securities		3,950		-		3,950		-	

Edgar Filing: SUMMIT FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Other equity									
securities		77		-		77		-	
Tax-exempt state									
and political									
subdivisions		79,563		-		79,563		-	
Tax-exempt									
mortgage-backed									
securities		-		-		-		-	
Total available for sa	le								
securities	\$	291,258	\$	-	\$	291,25	8	\$ -	
Derivative financial									
instrument									
Interest rate swap	\$	(117	)\$	-	\$	(117	)	\$ -	
		•				•	-		

12

	Balance at Fair Value Measurement December								sing:
Dollars in thousands Available for sale securities	3	31, 2012	]	Level 1		]	Level 2	Ι	Level 3
U.S. Government									
sponsored agencies	\$	29,020	\$	-		\$	29,020	\$	-
Mortgage backed securities:									
Government									
sponsored agencies		136,570		-			136,570		-
Nongovernment									
sponsored agencies		15,745		-			15,745		-
State and political									
subdivisions		12,169		-			12,169		-
Corporate debt									
securities		1,950		-			1,950		-
Other equity									
securities		77		-			77		-
Tax-exempt state and political									
subdivisions		83,270		-			83,270		-
Tax-exempt									
mortgage backed									
securities		2,738		-			2,738		-
Total available for sal	e								
securities	\$	281,539	\$	-		\$	281,539	\$	-

There were no assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) for the period ended September 30, 2013.

Assets and Liabilities Recorded at Fair Value on a Nonrecurring Basis

We may be required, from time to time, to measure certain assets at fair value on a nonrecurring basis in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. These include assets that are measured at the lower of cost or market that were recognized at fair value below cost at the end of the period. Assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis are included in the table below.

	otal at ptember	Fair Value Measurements Using							
Dollars in thousands	0, 2013	Level 1		Level 2	I	Level 3			
Residential mortgage									
loans held for sale	\$ 602	\$ -	\$	602	\$	-			
Impaired loans									
Commercial	\$ 5,456	\$ -	\$	3,731	\$	1,725			

Edgar Filing: SUMMIT FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Commercial real					
estate	17,109	-		3,998	13,111
Construction and					
development	24,128	-		21,629	2,499
Residential real					
estate	22,632	-		17,341	5,291
Consumer	40	-		-	40
Total impaired loans	\$ 69,365	\$ -	\$	46,699	\$ 22,666
OREO					
Commercial	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -
Commercial real					
estate	9,961	-		9,961	-
Construction and					
development	31,747	-		31,747	-
Residential real					
estate	3,595	-		3,595	-
Consumer	-	-		-	-
Total OREO	\$ 45,303	\$ -	\$	45,303	\$ -

13

		otal at cember		Fair Value Measurements Using					
Dollars in thousands	31	1, 2012	I	Level 1	L	evel 2	Level 3		
Residential mortgage									
loans held for sale	\$	226	\$	-	\$	226	\$	-	
Impaired loans									
Commercial	\$	10,856	\$	-	\$	5,013	\$	5,843	
Commercial real									
estate		25,435		-		16,331		9,104	
Construction and									
development		27,352		-		24,578		2,774	
Residential real									
estate		24,442		-		21,625		2,817	
Consumer		50		-		-		50	
Total impaired loans	\$	88,135	\$	-	\$	67,547	\$	20,588	
_									
OREO									
Commercial	\$	-	\$	-	\$	_	\$	-	
Commercial real									
estate		11,835		-		11,047		788	
Construction and									
development		40,671		-		35,978		4,693	
Residential real									
estate		3,666		-		3,666		-	
Consumer		-		-		-		-	
Total OREO	\$	56,172	\$	-	\$	50,691	\$	5,481	

Our policy with respect to troubled debt restructurings ("TDRs"), included in impaired loans, is to appraise any underlying collateral at the time of restructure, and then only obtain periodic reappraisals if the TDR is not performing in accordance with the terms of the restructure. Substantially all Level 3 fair values of impaired loans in the above tables are performing TDRs.

ASC Topic 825, Financial Instruments, requires disclosure of the fair value of financial assets and financial liabilities, including those financial assets and financial liabilities that are not measured and reported at fair value on a recurring basis or non-recurring basis. The following summarizes the methods and significant assumptions we used in estimating our fair value disclosures for financial instruments.

Cash and cash equivalents: The carrying values of cash and cash equivalents approximate their estimated fair value.

Federal funds sold: The carrying values of Federal funds sold approximate their estimated fair values.

Securities: Estimated fair values of securities are based on quoted market prices, where available. If quoted market prices are not available, estimated fair values are based on quoted market prices of comparable securities.

Loans held for sale: The carrying values of loans held for sale approximate their estimated fair values.

Loans: The estimated fair values for loans are computed based on scheduled future cash flows of principal and interest, discounted at interest rates currently offered for loans with similar terms to borrowers of similar credit quality. No prepayments of principal are assumed.

Accrued interest receivable and payable: The carrying values of accrued interest receivable and payable approximate their estimated fair values.

Deposits: The estimated fair values of demand deposits (i.e. non-interest bearing checking, NOW, money market and savings accounts) and other variable rate deposits approximate their carrying values. Fair values of fixed maturity deposits are estimated using a discounted cash flow methodology at rates currently offered for deposits with similar remaining maturities. Any intangible value of long-term relationships with depositors is not considered in estimating the fair values disclosed.

14

Short-term borrowings: The carrying values of short-term borrowings approximate their estimated fair values.

Long-term borrowings: The fair values of long-term borrowings are estimated by discounting scheduled future payments of principal and interest at current rates available on borrowings with similar terms.

Subordinated debentures: The carrying values of subordinated debentures approximate their estimated fair values.

Subordinated debentures owed to unconsolidated subsidiary trusts: The carrying values of subordinated debentures owed to unconsolidated subsidiary trusts approximate their estimated fair values.

Derivative financial instruments: The fair value of the interest rate swap is valued using independent pricing models.

Off-balance sheet instruments: The fair values of commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit are estimated using the fees currently charged to enter into similar agreements, taking into account the remaining terms of the agreements and the present credit standing of the counter parties. The amounts of fees currently charged on commitments and standby letters of credit are deemed insignificant, and therefore, the estimated fair values and carrying values are not shown below.

The carrying values and estimated fair values of our financial instruments are summarized below:

	September	2013 Estimated	December	2012 Estimated	
	Carrying	Fair	Carrying		Fair
Dollars in thousands	Value	Value	Value		Value
Financial assets					
Cash and cash					
equivalents	\$ 16,103	\$ 16,103	\$ 14,802	\$	14,802
Securities available for					
sale	291,258	291,258	281,539		281,539
Other investments	8,004	8,004	14,658		14,658
Loans held for sale	602	602	226		226
Loans, net	939,169	965,070	937,168		965,454
Accrued interest					
receivable	5,364	5,364	5,621		5,621
	\$ 1,260,500	\$ 1,286,401	\$ 1,254,014	\$	1,282,300
Financial liabilities					
Deposits	\$ 1,016,735	\$ 1,045,084	\$ 1,027,125	\$	1,064,957
Short-term borrowings	54,163	54,163	3,958		3,958
Long-term borrowings	163,540	175,237	203,268		220,175
Subordinated					
debentures	16,800	16,800	16,800		16,800
Subordinated					
debentures owed to					
unconsolidated					
subsidiary trusts	19,589	19,589	19,589		19,589
Accrued interest					
payable	1,473	1,473	1,877		1,877

Derivative financial

liabilities	117	117	-	-
	\$ 1.272.417	\$ 1.312.463 \$	1.272.617	\$ 1.327.356

15

## NOTE 4. EARNINGS PER SHARE

The computations of basic and diluted earnings per share follow:

			For the Th	For the Three Months Ended September 30,							
	201	13	Common		20	012	Common				
Dollars in thousands,	Ir	ncome	Shares	Per		Income	Shares	Per			
except per share	(NI		(Dan amin atan)	Classes	(NI		(Danaminatan)	Chana			
amounts Net income		merator) 2,272	(Denominator)	Share	(IN \$	umerator) 997	(Denominator)	Share			
Less preferred stock	Ψ	2,272			Ψ	<i>) ) (</i>					
dividends		(194)				(194)					
Basic EPS	\$	2,078	7,443,865	\$ 0.28	\$	803	7,425,472	\$ 0.11			
TICC											
Effect of dilutive securities:											
Stock options		-	7,819			-	1,261				
Series 2011											
convertible	sle	120	1,495,728			120	1,500,000				
preferred stoc Series 2009	i K	120	1,493,726			120	1,500,000				
convertible											
preferred stoc	ck	74	674,545			74	674,545				
Diluted EPS	\$	2,272	9,621,957	\$ 0.24	\$	997	9,601,278	\$ 0.10			
	201	3	For the Ni	ine Months		ed Septemb 112	per 30,				
	_01		Common				Common				
Dollars in thousands, except per share	In	icome	Shares	Per	]	Income	Shares	Per			
amounts	(Nu	merator)	(Denominator)	Share	(N	umerator)	(Denominator)	Share			
Net income	\$ :	5,282			\$	3,608					
Less preferred stock dividends	(	(582)				(583)					
Davis EDC	ф	4.700	7.420.216	¢ 0.62	¢	2.025	7 405 470	¢ 0.41			
Basic EPS	\$ 4	4,700	7,438,216	\$ 0.63	\$	3,025	7,425,472	\$ 0.41			
Effect of dilutive securities:											
Stock options		-	7,316			-	1,081				
Series 2011 convertible											
preferred stoc	ck í	359	1,498,251			360	1,500,000				

Series 2009 convertible

COHVCIL	IDIC								
	preferred stock	k	223	674,545			223	674,545	
Diluted	l EPS	\$	5,282	9,618,32	8 \$ 0.5	55 \$	3,608	9,601,098	\$ 0.38

Stock option grants and the convertible preferred shares are disregarded in this computation if they are determined to be anti-dilutive. Our anti-dilutive stock options at September 30, 2013 and 2012 totaled 170,500 shares and 289,380 shares, respectively.

16

## NOTE 5. SECURITIES

The amortized cost, unrealized gains, unrealized losses and estimated fair values of securities at September 30, 2013, December 31, 2012, and September 30, 2012 are summarized as follows:

	Amortized			September 30, 2013 Unrealized				Estimated	
Dollars in thousands		Cost		Gains		Losses	F	air Value	
Available for Sale  Taxable debt securities:									
U. S. Government agencies									
and corporations	\$	27,740	\$	757	\$	67	\$	28,430	
Residential mortgage-backed		21,140	Ψ	131	φ	07	φ	20,430	
securities:									
Government-sponsored									
agencies		149,569		2,444		1,226		150,787	
Nongovernment-sponsore	d	147,507		۷,444		1,220		130,707	
agencies	u	13,134		315		9		13,440	
State and political		13,134		313		,		13,770	
subdivisions:									
General obligations		9,064		_		383		8,681	
Water and sewer revenues		3,234		1		91		3,144	
Other revenues		3,298				112		3,186	
Corporate debt securities		3,970		25		45		3,950	
Total taxable debt securities		210,009		3,542		1,933		211,618	
Tax-exempt debt securities:		210,000		3,372		1,755		211,010	
State and political									
subdivisions:									
General obligations		49,569		1,048		1,112		49,505	
Water and sewer revenues		10,132		89		290		9,931	
Lease revenues		8,869		1		441		8,429	
Lottery/casino revenues		4,457		68		166		4,359	
Other revenues		7,490		61		212		7,339	
Total tax-exempt debt securitie	es	80,517		1,267		2,221		79,563	
Equity securities		77		-		-		77	
Total available for sale									
securities	\$	290,603	\$	4,809	\$	4,154	\$	291,258	

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

	December 31, 2012 Amortized Unrealized			Estimated		
Dollars in thousands	Cost	Gains	Losses		air Value	
Available for Sale						
Taxable debt securities						
U. S. Government agencies						
and corporations \$	28,128	\$ 892	\$ -	\$	29,020	
Residential mortgage-backed securities	es:					
Government-sponsored						
agencies	133,812	3,250	492		136,570	
Nongovernment-sponsored						
entities	15,380	509	144		15,745	
State and political						
subdivisions:						
General obligations	8,847	58	57		8,848	
Water and sewer revenues	1,920	-	32		1,888	
Other revenues	1,420	13	-		1,433	
Corporate debt securities	1,959	29	38		1,950	
Total taxable debt securities	191,466	4,751	763		195,454	
Tax-exempt debt securities						
State and political						
subdivisions:						
General obligations	54,948	3,259	145		58,062	
Water and sewer revenues	5,773	171	47		5,897	
Lease revenues	6,910	159	13		7,056	
Lottery/casino revenues	4,500	305	9		4,796	
Other revenues	7,272	210	23		7,459	
Residential mortgage-backed securities	es:					
Government-sponsored						
agencies	2,738	-	-		2,738	
Total tax-exempt debt securities	82,141	4,104	237		86,008	
Equity securities	77	-	-		77	
Total available for sale securities \$	273,684	\$ 8,855	\$ 1,000	\$	281,539	

	A	mortized	September 30, 2012 Unrealized			Estimated		
Dollars in thousands		Cost		Gains		Losses	F	air Value
Available for Sale								
Taxable debt securities:								
U. S. Government agencies								
and corporations	\$	25,857	\$	1,009	\$	4	\$	26,862
Residential mortgage-backed								
securities:								

Edgar Filing: SUMMIT FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Government-sponsored						
agencies		150,233	3,741	453		153,521
Nongovernment-sponsored	l					
agencies		21,199	712	290		21,621
State and political						
subdivisions		10,176	57	56		10,177
Corporate debt securities		1,955	29	74		1,910
Total taxable debt securities		209,420	5,548	877		214,091
Tax-exempt debt securities:						
State and political						
subdivisions		70,678	4,358	70		74,966
Residential mortgage-backed						
securities:						
Government-sponsored						
agencies		2,858	-	-		2,858
Total tax-exempt debt						
securities		73,536	4,358	70		77,824
Equity securities		77	-	-		77
Total available for sale						
securities	\$	283,033	\$ 9,906	\$ 947	\$	291,992

18

The below information is relative to the five states where issuers with the highest volume of state and political subdivision securities held in our portfolio are located. We own no such securities of any single issuer which we deem to be a concentration.

		Septemb	er 30, 2013	
	Amortized	Unr	ealized	Estimated
Dollars in thousands	Cost	Gains	Losses	Fair Value
West Virginia	\$ 14,286	\$ 97	\$ 267	\$ 14,116
Illinois	10,435	59	535	9,959
California	8,102	107	241	7,968
Texas	7,155	234	178	7,211
Washington	4,409	110	80	4,439

Management performs pre-purchase and ongoing analysis to confirm that all investment securities meet applicable credit quality standards. Prior to July 1, 2013, we principally used credit ratings from Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organizations ("NRSROs") to support analyses of our portfolio of securities issued by state and political subdivisions, as we generally do not purchase securities that are rated below the six highest NRSRO rating categories. Beginning July 1, 2013, in addition to considering a security's NRSRO rating, we now also assess or confirm through an internal review of an issuer's financial information and other applicable information that: 1) the issuer's risk of default is low; 2) the characteristics of the issuer's demographics and economic environment are satisfactory; and 3) the issuer's budgetary position and stability of tax or other revenue sources are sound.

The maturities, amortized cost and estimated fair values of securities at September 30, 2013, are summarized as follows:

		Available for Sale				
	Amortized		E	stimated		
Dollars in						
thousands		Cost	Fa	air Value		
Due in one						
year or less	\$	68,076	\$	68,631		
Due from						
one to five						
years		88,188		89,189		
Due from						
five to ten						
years		33,174		33,187		
Due after ten						
years		101,088		100,174		
Equity						
securities		77		77		
	\$	290,603	\$	291,258		

The proceeds from sales, calls and maturities of available for sale securities, including principal payments received on mortgage-backed obligations, and the related gross gains and losses realized, for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 are as follows:

<b>5</b>		Calls and	Principal		
Dollars in thousands	Sales	Maturities	Payments	Gains	Losses
Securities available for sale \$	41,188	\$ 1,258	\$ 50,650	\$ 362	\$ 246

19

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, we recorded other-than-temporary impairment losses on residential mortgage-backed nongovernment sponsored entity securities as follows:

		Three N Sept		ber í	30,	•	Ended 30,			
In thousands	20	13		2012		2013			2012	
Total other-than-temporary impairment losses Portion of loss recognized in	\$	(38	)	\$	(233	) \$	(155	)	\$	(1,115)
other comprehensive										
income		-			194		37			740
Net impairment losses recognized in	Φ	(20	`	Φ	(20	٠, ۴	/110	`	Φ.	(275)
earnings	\$	(38	)	\$	(39	) \$	(118	)	\$	(375)

Activity related to the credit component recognized on debt securities available for sale for which a portion of other-than-temporary impairment was recognized in other comprehensive income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 is as follows:

	Th	ree		Nine	
	Mo	onths	N	Months	
	En	ded	]	Ended	
	Se	ptember	Se	ptember	
	3	0, 2013	30, 2013		
In thousands		Total		Total	
Beginning Balance	\$	2,984	\$	2,904	
Additions for the credit					
component on debt					
securities in which					
other-than-temporary					
impairment was not					
previously recognized		38		118	
Securities sold during					
the period		-		-	
Ending Balance	\$	3,022	\$	3,022	

At September 30, 2013, our debt securities with other-than-temporary impairment in which only the amount of loss related to credit was recognized in earnings consisted solely of residential mortgage-backed securities issued by nongovernment-sponsored entities. We utilize third party vendors to estimate the portion of loss attributable to credit using a discounted cash flow models. The vendors estimate cash flows of the underlying collateral of each mortgage-backed security using models that incorporate their best estimates of current key assumptions, such as

default rates, loss severity and prepayment rates. Assumptions utilized vary widely from security to security, and are influenced by such factors as underlying loan interest rates, geographical location of underlying borrowers, collateral type and other borrower characteristics.

Our vendors performing these valuations also analyze the structure of each mortgage-backed instrument in order to determine how the estimated cash flows of the underlying collateral will be distributed to each security issued from the structure. Expected principal and interest cash flows on the impaired debt securities are discounted predominantly using unobservable discount rates which the vendors assume that market participants would utilize in pricing the specific security. Based on the discounted expected cash flows derived from our vendor's models, we expect to recover the remaining unrealized losses on residential mortgage-backed securities issued by nongovernment sponsored entities.

20

Provided below is a summary of securities available for sale which were in an unrealized loss position at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, including debt securities for which a portion of other-than-temporary impairment has been recognized in other comprehensive income.

	Less th mor Estimated Fair	nths	Septembe 12 mon mo Estimated Fair	iths or re	То	tal Unrealized
Dollars in thousands	Value	Loss	Value	Loss	Value	Loss
Temporarily impaired securities  Taxable debt securities						
U. S. Government agencies						
	\$ 7,770	\$ (67)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 7,770	\$ (67)
Residential	Ψ 1,110	Ψ(07)	Ψ	Ψ	Ψ 1,110	$\Psi (OI)$
mortgage-backed securities:						
Government-sponsored						
agencies	48,957	(1,011)	11,754	(215)	60,711	(1,226)
Nongovernment-sponsored		( )- /	,	( - )	/ -	( ) - )
entities	355	(1)	858	(8)	1,213	(9)
State and political		Ì		, ,		
subdivisions:						
General obligations	5,806	(316)	876	(67)	6,682	(383)
Water and sewer revenues	634	(35)	1,175	(56)	1,809	(91)
Other revenues	3,185	(112)	-	-	3,185	(112)
Corporate debt securities	2,954	(45)	-	-	2,954	(45)
Tax-exempt debt securities						
State and political						
subdivisions:						
General obligations	21,134	(1,112)	-	-	21,134	(1,112)
Water and sewer revenues	6,505	(290)	-	-	6,505	(290)
Lease revenues	7,522	(424)	366	(17)	7,888	(441)
Lottery/casino revenues	3,292	(166)	-	-	3,292	(166)
Other revenues	5,407	(212)	-	-	5,407	(212)
Total temporarily impaired						
securities	113,521	(3,791)	15,029	(363)	128,550	(4,154)
Other-than-temporarily						
impaired securities						
Taxable debt securities						
Residential						
mortgage-backed securities:						
Nongovernment-sponsored						
entities	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total other-than-temporarily						
impaired securities	- h 112 72 i	- • (2 = 2 : )	- -	- • (2.52.)	- 0.100.77°	- • (4.1.7.1)
Total	\$ 113,521	\$ (3,791)	\$ 15,029	\$ (363)	\$ 128,550	\$ (4,154)

				Decemb	er 31, 2012	2	
	Less th	an 12		12 mor			
	mon	ths		mo	re	To	otal
	Estimated	Unrealiz	edE	stimated	Unrealized	Estimated	Unrealized
	Fair			Fair		Fair	
Dollars in thousands	Value	Loss		Value	Loss	Value	Loss
Temporarily impaired securities	<b>,</b>						
Taxable debt securities							
U. S. Government agencies							
and corporations	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Residential	Ψ	Ψ	Ψ		Ψ	Ψ	4
mortgage-backed securities:							
Government-sponsored							
agencies	36,498	(414	)	8,997	(78)	45,495	(492)
Nongovernment-sponsored	•	(111	,	0,777	(10)	13,173	(1)2)
entities	-	(4	)	1,478	(14)	1,478	(18)
State and political		(-1	,	1,170	(14 )	1,170	(10)
subdivisions:							
General obligations	2,526	(57	)	_	_	2,526	(57)
Water and sewer revenues	1,240	(28	)	387	(4)	1,627	(32)
Other revenues	1,240	(20	,	-	( <del>+</del> )	1,027	(32)
Corporate debt securities				962	(38)	962	(38)
Tax-exempt debt securities	_	_		702	(30 )	702	(36)
State and political							
subdivisions:							
General obligations	11,926	(145	`	_		11,926	(145)
Water and sewer revenues	2,534	(47	)	_	-	2,534	(47)
Lease revenues	1,013	(13	)	_	-	1,013	(13)
Lottery/casino revenues	1,777	(9	)	-	-	1,777	(9)
Other revenues		`	)	-	-		
Other equity securties	2,684	(23	)	-	-	2,684	(23)
* · ·	-	-		-	-	-	-
Total temporarily impaired securities	<i>6</i> 0 100	(740	`	11 024	(124)	72 022	(974)
	60,198	(740	)	11,824	(134)	72,022	(874)
Other-than-temporarily							
impaired securities  Taxable debt securities							
Residential							
mortgage-backed securities:	ı						
Nongovernment-sponsored		(6	`	502	(120.)	0.50	(126)
entities  Total other than tampararily	265	(6	)	593	(120)	858	(126)
Total other-than-temporarily		(6	\	502	(120.)	050	(126)
impaired securities	265	(6	)	593	(120)	858	(126)
Total	\$ 60,463	\$ (746	) \$	12,417	\$ (254)	\$ 72,880	\$ (1,000)

We held 127 available for sale securities having an unrealized loss at September 30, 2013. We do not intend to sell these securities, and it is more likely than not that we will not be required to sell these securities before recovery of

their amortized cost bases. We believe that this decline in value is primarily attributable to the lack of market liquidity and to changes in market interest rates and not due to credit quality. Accordingly, no additional other-than-temporary impairment charge to earnings is warranted at this time.

### NOTE 6. LOANS

Loans are generally stated at the amount of unpaid principal, reduced by unearned discount and allowance for loan losses. Interest on loans is accrued daily on the outstanding balances. Loan origination fees and certain direct loan origination costs are deferred and amortized as adjustments of the related loan yield over its contractual life. We categorize residential real estate loans in excess of \$600,000 as jumbo loans.

Generally, loans are placed on nonaccrual status when principal or interest is greater than 90 days past due based upon the loan's contractual terms. Interest is accrued daily on impaired loans unless the loan is placed on nonaccrual status. Impaired loans are placed on nonaccrual status when the payments of principal and interest are in default for a period of 90 days, unless the loan is both well-secured and in the process of collection. Interest on nonaccrual loans is recognized primarily using the cost-recovery method. Loans may be returned to accrual status when repayment is reasonably assured and there has been demonstrated performance under the terms of the loan or, if applicable, the terms of the restructured loans.

22

Commercial-related loans or portions thereof (which are risk-rated) are charged off to the allowance for loan losses when the loss has been confirmed. This determination is made on a case by case basis considering many factors, including the prioritization of our claim in bankruptcy, expectations of the workout/restructuring of the loan and valuation of the borrower's equity. We deem a loss confirmed when a loan or a portion of a loan is classified "loss" in accordance with bank regulatory classification guidelines, which state, "Assets classified loss are considered uncollectible and of such little value that their continuance as bankable assets is not warranted".

Consumer-related loans are generally charged off to the allowance for loan losses upon reaching specified stages of delinquency, in accordance with the Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council policy. For example, credit card loans are charged off by the end of the month in which the account becomes 180 days past due or within 60 days from receiving notification about a specified event (e.g., bankruptcy of the borrower), which ever is earlier. Residential mortgage loans are generally charged off to net realizable value no later than when the account becomes 180 days past due. Other consumer loans, if collateralized, are generally charged off to net realizable value at 120 days past due.

#### Loans are summarized as follows:

	Se	eptember 30,	De	December 31,		ptember 30,
Dollars in thousands			201	2012		2
Commercial	\$	83,844	\$	85,829	\$	88,997
Commercial real						
estate						
Owner-occupied		151,260		154,252		150,090
Non-owner						
occupied		279,412		276,082		279,132
Construction and						
development						
Land and land						
development		73,089		79,335		82,857
Construction		12,323		3,772		2,087
Residential real						
estate						
Non-jumbo		215,058		216,714		215,584
Jumbo		59,701		61,567		62,748
Home equity		53,674		53,263		53,455
Consumer		20,472		20,586		21,290
Other		3,375		3,701		2,513
Total loans, net of						
unearned fees		952,208		955,101		958,753
Less allowance for						
loan losses		13,039		17,933		17,820
Loans, net	\$	939,169	\$	937,168	\$	940,933

The following table presents the contractual aging of the recorded investment in past due loans by class as of September 30, 2013 and 2012 and December 31, 2012.

At Se	ptember	30.	2013
11150	ptemeer	-0,	2015

				ast l	Due			Inv	corded estmen > 90 days
Dollars in		30-59	60-89						and
thousands		days	days		> 90 days	Total	Current		cruing
Commercial	\$	10	\$ 111		\$ 1,583	\$ 1,704	\$ 82,140	\$	-
Commercial real									
estate									
Owner-occupied	l	846	229		432	1,507	149,753		-
Non-owner									
occupied		587	244		-	831	278,581		-
Construction and									
development									
Land and land									
development		154	-		8,669	8,823	64,266		-
Construction		-	-		38	38	12,285		-
Residential									
mortgage									
Non-jumbo		3,467	2,031		2,225	7,723	207,335		-
Jumbo		-	-		9,000	9,000	50,701		-
Home equity		214	49		24	287	53,387		-
Consumer		197	77		91	365	20,107		-
Other		50	-		-	50	3,325		-
Total	\$	5,525	\$ 2,741		\$ 22,062	\$ 30,328	\$ 921,880	\$	-

## At December 31, 2012

		Pas	st Due			Recorded Investment > 90 days
Dollars in	30-59	60-89				and
thousands	days	days	> 90 days	Total	Current	Accruing
Commercial	\$ 225	\$ 5	\$ 2,294	\$ 2,524	\$ 83,305	\$ -
Commercial real						
estate						
Owner-occupied	1 57	-	1,023	1,080	153,172	-
Non-owner						
occupied	182	193	908	1,283	274,799	-

Edgar Filing: SUMMIT FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Construction and development						
Land and land						
development	-	-	11,795	11,795	67,540	-
Construction	-	-	153	153	3,619	-
Residential						
mortgage						
Non-jumbo	3,344	2,616	2,797	8,757	207,957	-
Jumbo	-	-	12,564	12,565	49,002	-
Home equity	337	448	179	964	52,299	-
Consumer	255	79	48	382	20,204	-
Other	-	-	-	-	3,701	-
Total	\$ 4,400	\$ 3,341	\$ 31,761	\$ 39,503	\$ 915,598	\$ -

24

## At September 30, 2012

										corded estment
									;	> 90
			P	ast I	Du	e			(	days
Dollars in		30-59	60-89							and
thousands		days	days		>	90 days	Total	Current	Ac	cruing
Commercial	\$	273	\$ 634	;	\$	1,594	\$ 2,501	\$ 86,496	\$	-
Commercial real										
estate										
Owner-occupie	d	216	581			400	1,197	148,893		-
Non-owner										
occupied		513	-			1,882	2,395	276,737		-
Construction and										
development										
Land and land										
development		17	39			13,412	13,468	69,389		-
Construction		50	-			153	203	1,884		-
Residential										
mortgage										
Non-jumbo		2,681	2,029			2,544	7,254	208,330		-
Jumbo		-	-			15,273	15,273	47,475		-
Home equity		280	5			149	434	53,021		-
Consumer		319	36			46	401	20,889		-
Other		-	-			-	-	2,513		-
Total	\$	4,349	\$ 3,324		\$	35,453	\$ 43,126	\$ 915,627	\$	-

Nonaccrual loans: The following table presents the nonaccrual loans included in the net balance of loans at September 30, 2013, December 31, 2012 and September 30, 2012.

Dollars in						
thousands	9/:	30/2013	12	2/31/2012	9/3	30/2012
Commercial	\$	2,557	\$	5,002	\$	5,343
Commercial real						
estate						
Owner-occupied		3,795		1,524		921
Non-owner						
occupied		-		1,032		1,882
Construction and						
development						
Land & land						
development		12,851		13,487		16,558
Construction		38		154		203
Residential						
mortgage						

Edgar Filing: SUMMIT FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Non-jumbo	3,119	3,518	3,122
Jumbo	9,000	12,564	15,272
Home equity	266	440	415
Consumer	145	55	87
Other	-	-	-
Total	\$ 31.771 \$	37,776 \$	43,803

Impaired loans: Impaired loans include the following:

- § Loans which we risk-rate (consisting of loan relationships having aggregate balances in excess of \$2.0 million, or loans exceeding \$500,000 and exhibiting credit weakness) through our normal loan review procedures and which, based on current information and events, it is probable that we will be unable to collect all amounts due in accordance with the original contractual terms of the loan agreement. Risk-rated loans with insignificant delays or insignificant short falls in the amount of payments expected to be collected are not considered to be impaired.
  - § Loans that have been modified in a troubled debt restructuring.

25

Both commercial and consumer loans are deemed impaired upon being contractually modified in a troubled debt restructuring. Troubled debt restructurings typically result from our loss mitigation activities and occur when we grant a concession to a borrower who is experiencing financial difficulty in order to minimize our economic loss and to avoid foreclosure or repossession of collateral. Once restructured in a troubled debt restructuring, a loan is generally considered impaired until its maturity, regardless of whether the borrower performs under the modified terms. Although such a loan may be returned to accrual status if the criteria set forth in our accounting policy are met, the loan would continue to be evaluated for an asset-specific allowance for loan losses and we would continue to report the loan in the impaired loan table below.

The table below sets forth information about our impaired loans.

Method Used to Measure Impairment of Impaired Loans Dollars in thousands

tilousalius				Method used to measure
Loan Category	9/30/2013	12/31/2012	9/30/2012	impairment
				Fair value
Commerical	\$ 5,997	\$ 10,776 \$	12,411	of collateral
	160	165	_	Discounted cash flow
Commerical real estate				
				Fair value
Owner-occupied	d 9,054	14,028	13,248	of collateral
				Discounted
	2,497	2,686	2,698	cash flow
Non-owner				Fair value
occupied	5,884	9,468	12,057	of collateral
Construction and	development			
Land & land				Fair value
development	24,870	29,307	30,482	of collateral
				Discounted
	649	656	656	cash flow
Residential mortgage				
				Fair value
Non-jumbo	5,133	5,626	5,461	of collateral
				Discounted
	884	692	959	cash flow
				Fair value
Jumbo	17,786	21,543	23,094	of collateral
				Fair value
Home equity	213	219	219	of collateral

Edgar Filing: SUMMIT FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

				Fair value
Consumer	52	66	42	of collateral
Total	\$ 73,179	\$ 95,232 \$	101,32	7

Summit Financial Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

The following tables present loans individually evaluated for impairment at September 30, 2013, December 31, 2012 and September 30, 2012.

	September 30, 2013								
Dollars in	Recorded	F	Unpaid Principal		Related	]	Average Impaired	Re	Interest Income ecognized while
thousands	Investment	]	Balance	A	llowance		Balance	i	mpaired
Without a related allowance	h 4.254	d	1260	Φ.		Φ.	5 (22	ф	224
	\$ 4,254	\$	4,268	\$	-	\$	5,632	\$	334
Commercial real estate									
Owner-occupied	7,609		7,613				8,839		293
Non-owner	7,009		7,013		-		0,037		293
occupied	5,368		5,370		_		5,800		289
Construction and	2,200		2,270				2,000		20)
development									
Land & land									
development	20,923		20,921		-		20,331		404
Construction	-		-		-		-		-
Residential real									
estate									
Non-jumbo	2,888		2,895		-		2,930		171
Jumbo	7,876		7,882		-		8,168		419
Home equity	186		186		-		186		11
Consumer	28		28		-		32		2
Total without a									
related allowance	\$ 49,132	\$	49,163	\$	-	\$	51,918	\$	1,923
With a related									
allowance									
	\$ 1,891	\$	1,889	\$	702	\$	2,537	\$	-
Commercial real									
estate									
Owner-occupied	3,938		3,938		216		3,956		138
Non-owner					440		<b></b>		• 0
occupied	514		514		110		516		28
Construction and									
development									
Land & land	4.507		4.500		1 200		2.002		101
development	4,597		4,598		1,390		3,992		121
Construction	-		-		-		-		-

Edgar Filing: SUMMIT FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Residential real estate						
Non-jumbo		3,119	3,122	316	2,843	130
Jumbo		9,904	9,904	1,040	10,152	45
Home equity		27	27	27	27	-
Consumer		24	24	13	25	1
Total with a relat	ed					
allowance	\$	24,014	\$ 24,016	\$ 3,814	\$ 24,048	\$ 463
Total						
Commercial	\$	49,094	\$ 49,111	\$ 2,418	\$ 51,603	\$ 1,607
Residential real						
estate		24,000	24,016	1,383	24,306	776
Consumer		52	52	13	57	3
Total	\$	73,146	\$ 73,179	\$ 3,814	\$ 75,966	\$ 2,386

27

# December 31, 2012

Dollars in thousands		Recorded	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related	]	Average Impaired Balance	Re	Interest Income ecognized while mpaired
Without a related allowance								
Commercial	\$	10,518	\$ 10,537	\$ -	\$	3,131	\$	134
Commercial real								
estate								
Owner-occupied	1	9,992	9,996	-		8,528		368
Non-owner								
occupied		6,143	6,145	-		6,056		304
Construction and								
development								
Land & land								
development		11,596	11,596	-		11,093		367
Construction		-	-	-		-		-
Residential real								
estate								
Non-jumbo		3,497	3,505	-		3,040		125
Jumbo		7,347	7,349	-		5,399		272
Home equity		191	191	-		191		11
Consumer		38	38	-		32		1
Total without a								
related allowance	\$	49,322	\$ 49,357	\$ -	\$	37,470	\$	1,582
With a related								
allowance								
Commercial	\$	404	\$ 404	\$ 85	\$	515	\$	6
Commercial real								
estate								
Owner-occupied	1	6,719	6,718	461		4,442		187
Non-owner								
occupied		3,321	3,323	286		3,341		115
Construction and								
development								
Land & land								
development		18,367	18,367	2,611		17,633		344
Construction		-	-	-		-		-
Residential real								
estate								
Non-jumbo		2,812	2,813	394		2,378		77
Jumbo		14,189	14,194	3,216		13,585		59
Home equity		28	28	28		29		-

Edgar Filing: SUMMIT FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Consumer		28	28	16	2	-
Total with a relat	ted					
allowance	\$	45,868	\$ 45,875	\$ 7,097	\$ 41,925	\$ 788
Total						
Commercial	\$	67,060	\$ 67,086	\$ 3,443	\$ 54,739	\$ 1,825
Residential real	[					
estate		28,064	28,080	3,638	24,622	544
Consumer		66	66	16	34	1
Total	\$	95,190	\$ 95,232	\$ 7,097	\$ 79,395	\$ 2,370

28

# September 30, 2012

Dollars in thousands		Recorded		Unpaid Principal Balance		Related	I	Average impaired Balance	Re	Interest Income ecognized while mpaired
Without a related										1
allowance										
Commercial	\$	12,059	\$	12,075	\$	-	\$	3,148	\$	54
Commercial real										
estate										
Owner-occupie	d	11,370		11,373		-		8,058		346
Non-owner										
occupied		8,537		8,540		-		6,349		304
Construction and										
development										
Land & land										
development		15,624		15,624		-		14,060		524
Construction		-		-		-		-		-
Residential real										
estate										
Non-jumbo		3,987		3,996		-		3,545		135
Jumbo		8,902		8,905		-		6,497		257
Home equity		191		191		-		192		12
Consumer		39		38		-		30		1
Total without a										
related allowance	\$	60,709	\$	60,742	\$	-	\$	41,879	\$	1,633
With a related										
allowance	Φ.	226	Φ.	226	Φ.	0.6	Φ.	200	Φ.	
Commercial	\$	336	\$	336	\$	86	\$	299	\$	6
Commercial real										
estate	.1	4 572		4.572		241		4.400		200
Owner-occupie	a	4,573		4,573		241		4,409		209
Non-owner		2 516		2 5 1 7		175		3,361		115
occupied Construction and		3,516		3,517		475		3,301		115
development										
Land & land										
development		15,514		15,514		2,762		15,026		182
Construction		-		13,314		- -		-		102
Residential real		-		_		-		-		-
estate										
Non-jumbo		2,422		2,424		502		1,787		101
Jumbo		14,183		14,189		3,211		13,193		32
Home equity		28		28		28		29		-
Tionic equity		20		20		20				

Edgar Filing: SUMMIT FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Consumer		4	4	4	1	-
Total with a relat	ed					
allowance	\$	40,576	\$ 40,585	\$ 7,309	\$ 38,105	\$ 645
Total						
Commercial	\$	71,529	\$ 71,552	\$ 3,564	\$ 54,710	\$ 1,740
Residential real						
estate		29,713	29,733	3,741	25,243	537
Consumer		43	42	4	31	1
Total	\$	101,285	\$ 101,327	\$ 7,309	\$ 79,984	\$ 2,278

A modification of a loan is considered a TDR when a borrower is experiencing financial difficulty and the modification constitutes a concession that we would not otherwise consider. This may include a transfer of real estate or other assets from the borrower, a modification of loan terms, or a combination of both. A loan continues to be classified as a TDR for the life of the loan. Included in impaired loans are TDRs of \$43.8 million, of which \$33.7 million were current with respect to restructured contractual payments at September 30, 2013, and \$56.7 million, of which \$42.3 million were current with respect to restructured contractual payments at December 31, 2012. There were no commitments to lend additional funds under these restructurings at either balance sheet date.

The following table presents by class the TDRs that were restructured during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012. Generally, the modifications were extensions of term, modifying the payment terms from principal and interest to interest only for an extended period, or reduction in interest rate. All TDRs are evaluated individually for allowance for loan loss purposes.

29

	For the Three Months Ended		For the	For the Three Months Ended				
	Septemb	er 30, 20	13	Se	epteml	ber 30, 20	12	
	Pre	-ma <b>Riosit</b> ea	<b>atiochi</b> fic	cation	Pre	-modProsa	ti <b>oo</b> dific	atioı
	Number of	Record	tecorde	d Numb	er of	Recorded	Recorded	1
Dollars in								
thousands	Modification	knvestm <b>e</b>	westme	ntModific	ations	Investmel	ntvestmer	ıt
Commercial	-	\$ -	\$ -	-		\$ -	\$ -	
Commercial real								
estate								
Owner-occupie	d-	-	-	-		-	-	
Non-owner								
occupied	-	-	-	1		1,929	1,929	
Construction and d	levelopment							
Land & land								
development	-	-	-	2		1,927	1,927	
Construction	-	-	-	-		-	-	
Residential real								
estate								
Non-jumbo	2	487	487	3		688	688	
Jumbo	-	-	-	-		-	-	
Home equity	-	-	-	-		-	-	
Consumer	-	-	-	-		-	-	
Total	2	\$ 487	\$ 487	6		\$ 4,544	\$ 4,544	
	For the N	ine Montl	hs					
	Er	nded		For the	e Nine	Months I	Ended	
	Septembe	er 30, 201	3	Se	ptemb	er 30, 201	12	
	Pre	-m <b>Poistic</b> a	<b>ntoidin</b> fica	ation	Pre-	modi <b>Float</b>	i <b>m</b> odifica	atior
	Number of	Recorde	corded	Number	r of I	Recorded	Recorded	ĺ
Dollars in								
thousands	Modification	Isn vestr <del>hev</del>	<b>t</b> stmen	Modifica	tionsIı	nvestmer <b>lt</b>	nvestmen	ıt
Commercial	1	\$23	\$23	3		\$1,109	\$1,117	
Commercial real								

thousands	Modificatio	nsvestme	<b>vne</b> stme	n <b>M</b> odi	ficationsInvestmen	dnvestment
Commercial	1	\$23	\$23	3	\$1,109	\$1,117
Commercial real						
estate						
Owner-occupie	ed-	-	-	-	-	-
Non-owner						
occupied	-	-	-	3	4,063	3,685
Construction and o	development					
Land & land	_					
development	1	49	50	3	3,715	2,927
Construction	-	-	-	-	-	-
Residential real						
estate						
Non-jumbo	4	728	514	7	1,245	1,256
Jumbo	-	-	-	3	2,301	2,701
Home equity	-	-	-	-	-	-
Consumer	-	-	-	2	42	42

Total 6 \$800 \$587 21 \$12,475 \$11,728

30

The following table presents defaults during the stated period of TDRs that were restructured during the past twelve months. For purposes of these tables, a default is considered as either the loan was past due 30 days or more at any time during the period, or the loan was fully or partially charged off during the period.

				For the Nine Months				
	For the Three M	onth	s Ended	Er	ided			
	September 3	30, 20	013	Septembe	er 30	), 2013		
	Number	R	ecorded	Number	R	ecorded		
	of	vestment	of Investm					
		at	Default		at	Default		
Dollars in thousands	Defaults		Date	Defaults		Date		
Commercial	-	\$	-	-	\$	-		
Commercial real								
estate								
Owner-occupied	-		-	-		-		
Non-owner								
occupied	-		-	-		-		
Construction and dev	velopment							
Land & land								
development	-		-	2		1,676		
Construction	-		-	-		-		
Residential real								
estate								
Non-jumbo	1		239	3		760		
Jumbo	-		-	-		-		
Home equity	-		-	-		-		
Consumer	2		22	2		24		
Total	3	\$	261	7	\$	2,460		

The following tables detail the activity regarding TDRs by loan type for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2013, and the related allowance on TDRs.

		Fo	or the Th	ree Month	is Ended Se	ptember 3	30, 2013		
	Construct	ion &							
	Lanc	i							
	Develop	ment							
				Comme	cial Real				
	Land &			Es	tate	Residen	tial Real	Estate	
	Land				Non-				
	Develop-	Constru <b>ć</b>	Gommer	- Owner	Owner	Non-		Home Con-	
Dollars in									
thousands	ment	tion	cial	Occupied	Occupied	jumbo	Jumbo	Equity sumer Other	Total

Edgar Filing: SUMMIT FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Troubled debt re	estru	acturings	8									
Balance June 30	,											
2013	\$	8,549	\$ -	\$ 3,735	\$ 9,986	\$ 5,367	\$ 5,018	\$ 15,349	\$ -	\$ 62	\$ -	\$ 48,066
Additions		-	-	-	-	-	487	-	-	-	-	487
Charge-offs		-	-	-	-	-	-	(113	) -	-	-	(113)
Net												
(paydowns)												
advances		(3,007	) -	(1,441)	(211)	(31)	(28)	84	-	(10	) -	(4,644)
Transfer into												
OREO		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Refinance out												
of TDR status		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Balance												
September 30,												
2013	\$	5,542	\$ -	\$ 2,294	\$ 9,775	\$ 5,336	\$ 5,477	\$ 15,320	\$ -	\$ 52	\$ -	\$ 43,796
Allowance												
related to												
troubled debt												
restructurings	\$	22	\$ -	\$ 131	\$ 216	\$ 110	\$ 302	\$ 1,040	\$ -	\$ 13	\$ -	\$ 1,834

31

Summit Financial Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

	La	uction &	For the N	ine Month	s Ended Sep	tember 30	0, 2013			
	Devei	opment		<b>C</b>						
	T 1	0			rcial Real	D '1	.: 1D 1	Г.,		
	Land of			Es	tate	Residen	itial Real	Estate		
	Land		0	0	Non-	N.T.		**	C	
D 11 '	Develo	p-Consti	u <b>C</b> ommer-	Owner	Owner	Non-		Home	e Con-	
Dollars in				0 . 1		. 1	T 1	Б :	0.1	TD 4 1
thousands	ment	tion	n cial	Occupied	Occupied	jumbo	Jumbo	Equity	y sumer Other	Total
Troubled debt restructurings										
Balance										
December 31,										
· ·	\$ 9,57	0 \$-	\$ 4,981	\$ 10,692	\$ 7,331	\$ 5,089	\$ 19,000	. \$ _	\$ 65 \$ -	\$ 56,728
Additions	49	-	23	ψ 10,0 <i>72</i> -	ψ 7,331 -	728	ψ 1 <i>7</i> ,000	- Ψ	φ 0 <i>3</i> φ -	800
Charge-offs	(888)			) (63	) -	(9)	(3,678	) -		(4,671)
Net paydowns	(3,13	,	(2,677		) (104)	(331		) -	(13) -	(6,652)
Transfer into	(3,1)	<i>37</i> )	(2,077	) (330	) (104 )	(331)	(2	,	(13)	(0,032)
OREO	_	_	_	(518	) -	_	_	_		(518)
Refinance out				(510	)					(310)
of TDR status	_	_	_	_	(1,891)	_	_	_		(1,891)
Balance					(1,0)1)					(1,0)1)
September 30,										
•	\$ 5,54	2 \$ -	\$ 2,294	\$ 9,775	\$ 5,336	\$ 5,477	\$ 15,320	) \$ -	\$ 52 \$ -	\$ 43,796
Allowance										
related to										
troubled debt										

We categorize loans into risk categories based on relevant information about the ability of borrowers to service their debt such as current financial information, historical payment experience, credit documentation, public information, and current economic trends, among other factors. We analyze loans individually by classifying the loans as to credit risk. We internally grade all commercial loans at the time of loan origination. In addition, we perform an annual loan review on all non-homogenous commercial loan relationships with an aggregate exposure of \$2 million, at which time these loans are re-graded. We use the following definitions for our risk grades:

\$ 110

\$ 302

\$ 1,040

\$ 13

\$ -

\$ 216

\$ - \$ 131

restructurings

22

Pass: Loans graded as Pass are loans to borrowers of acceptable credit quality and risk. They are higher quality loans that do not fit any of the other categories described below.

OLEM (Special Mention): Commercial loans categorized as OLEM are potentially weak. The credit risk may be relatively minor yet represent a risk given certain specific circumstances. If the potential weaknesses are not monitored or mitigated, the asset may weaken or inadequately protect our position in the future.

\$ 1,834

Substandard: Commercial loans categorized as Substandard are inadequately protected by the borrower's ability to repay, equity, and/or the collateral pledged to secure the loan. These loans have identified weaknesses that could hinder normal repayment or collection of the debt. These loans are characterized by the distinct possibility that we will sustain some loss if the identified weaknesses are not mitigated.

Doubtful: Commercial loans categorized as Doubtful have all the weaknesses inherent in those loans classified as Substandard, with the added elements that the full collection of the loan is improbable and the possibility of loss is high.

Loss: Loans classified as loss are considered to be non-collectible and of such little value that their continuance as a bankable asset is not warranted. This does not mean that the loan has absolutely no recovery value, but rather it is neither practical nor desirable to defer writing off the loan, even though partial recovery may be obtained in the future.

32

The following table presents the recorded investment in construction and development, commercial, and commercial real estate loans which are generally evaluated based upon the internal risk ratings defined above.

Loan Risk Profile by Internal Risk Rating

		truction and	d Develop	ment			Commercial Real Estate Non-Owner					
			Constr	uation	Comn	nercial	Ournard	Daguniad				
D 11 :	deven	opment	Consu	uction	Collin	nerciai	Owner	Occupied	Occi	ıpied		
Dollars in												
thousands	9/30/2013	12/31/2012	29/30/201 <b>f</b>	2/31/201	<b>29/30/2013</b>	12/31/201:	2 9/30/2013	12/31/2012	9/30/2013	12/31/2012		
Pass	\$ 43,400	\$ 43,572	\$12,285	\$3,619	\$75,968	\$73,425	\$145,349	\$139,176	\$268,002	\$262,132		
OLEM												
(Special												
Mention)	5,603	7,349	-	-	1,501	1,260	1,422	1,034	11,141	11,477		
Substandard	23,977	28,414	38	153	5,264	11,144	4,489	14,042	269	2,473		
Doubtful	109	-	-	-	1,111	-	-	-	_	-		
Loss	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Total	\$ 73,089	\$ 79,335	\$12,323	\$3,772	\$83,844	\$85,829	\$151,260	\$ 154,252	\$279,412	\$276,082		

The following table presents the recorded investment in consumer, residential real estate, and home equity loans, which are generally evaluated based on the aging status of the loans, which was previously presented, and payment activity.

		Performing		Nonperforming					
Dollars in									
thousands	9/30/2013	12/31/2012	9/30/2012	9/30/2013	12/31/2012	9/30/2012			
Residential									
real estate									
Non-jumbo	\$ 211,939	\$ 213,196	\$ 212,529	\$ 3,119	\$ 3,518	\$ 3,055			
Jumbo	50,701	49,003	47,476	9,000	12,564	15,272			
Home Equity	53,408	52,823	53,040	266	440	415			
Consumer	20,327	20,531	21,202	145	55	88			
Other	3,375	3,701	2,513	-	-	-			
Total	\$ 339.750	\$ 339 254	\$ 336,760	\$ 12.530	\$ 16 577	\$ 18.830			

Loan commitments: ASC Topic 815, Derivatives and Hedging, requires that commitments to make mortgage loans should be accounted for as derivatives if the loans are to be held for sale, because the commitment represents a written option and accordingly is recorded at the fair value of the option liability.

## NOTE 7. ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES

An analysis of the allowance for loan losses for the nine month periods ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, and for the year ended December 31, 2012 is as follows:

	N	Jine Mon Septem				Year Ended ecember 31,
Dollars in thousands	20	)13	20	)12	20	012
Balance,						
beginning of year	\$	17,933	\$	17,712	\$	17,712
Losses:						
Commercial		205		355		1,273
Commercial						
real estate						
Owner						
occupied		1,067		636		636
Non-owner						
occupied		9		436		806
Construction						
and development						
Land and						
land development		3,560		2,319		3,390
Construction	ì	-		367		367
Residential real						
estate						
Non-jumbo		494		1,257		1,372
Jumbo		3,739		737		737
Home equity	7	77		5		5
Consumer		55		91		136
Other		84		69		95
Total		9,290		6,272		8,817
Recoveries:						
Commercial		7		7		13
Commercial						
real estate						
Owner						
occupied		2		24		33
Non-owner						
occupied		633		20		31
Construction						
and development						
Land and						
land development		13		16		61
Construction	l	-		-		-

Edgar Filing: SUMMIT FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Real estate -			
mortgage			
Non-jumbo	106	51	81
Jumbo	4	85	86
Home equity	4	60	61
Consumer	53	53	95
Other	74	62	77
Total	896	378	538
Net losses	8,394	5,894	8,279
Provision for loan			
losses	3,500	6,002	8,500
Balance, end of			
year	\$ 13,039	\$ 17,820 \$	17,933

34

Activity in the allowance for loan losses by loan class during the first nine months of 2013 is as follows:

Construction & Land Development  Commercial Real Land & Estate Residential Real Estate Land Non- Develop-Construc-Commer- Owner Owner Non- Home Con- Dollars in thousands ment tion cial Occupied Occupied jumbo Jumbo Equity sumer Other To	<b>ช</b> ราไ
Commercial Real  Land & Estate Residential Real Estate  Land Non- Develop-Construc-Commer- Owner Owner Non- Home Con-  Dollars in	ntal
Land Non- Develop-Construc-Commer- Owner Owner Non- Home Con- Dollars in	<sub>stal</sub>
Develop-Construc-Commer- Owner Owner Non- Home Con- Dollars in	stal .
	rtal
	nai
Allowance for loan losses	
Beginning balance \$5,220 \$138 \$782 \$1,387 \$3,269 \$2,617 \$3,942 \$425 \$132 \$21 \$17,9	,933
Charge-offs 3,560 - 205 1,067 9 494 3,739 77 55 84 9,29	.90
Recoveries 13 - 7 2 633 106 4 4 53 74 896	5
Provision 2,780 95 888 1,071 (3,716) (66) 2,636 (162) (43) 17 3,56	00
Ending balance \$4,453 \$233 \$1,472 \$1,393 \$177 \$2,163 \$2,843 \$190 \$87 \$28 \$13,00	
\$\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	00)
Allowance related to:	
Loans	
individually evaluated	
for	
	11
impairment \$1,390 \$- \$702 \$216 \$110 \$316 \$1,040 \$27 \$13 \$- \$3,8 Loans	14
collectively	
evaluated	
for	
impairment 3,063 233 770 1,177 67 1,847 1,803 163 74 28 9,27	25
Loans	
acquired with	
deteriorated	
credit quality	
Total \$4,453 \$233 \$1,472 \$1,393 \$177 \$2,163 \$2,843 \$190 \$87 \$28 \$13,0	,039
Loans	
Loans individually	
evaluated for	
impairment \$25,519 \$- \$6,157 \$11,551 \$5,884 \$6,017 \$17,786 \$213 \$52 \$- \$73,	,179

Loans collectively											
evaluated											
for											
impairment	47,570	12,323	77,687	139,709	273,528	209,041	41,915	53,461	20,420	3,375	\$879,029
Loans											
acquired with	l										
deteriorated	l										
credit quality	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	\$73,089	\$12,323	\$83,844	\$151,260	\$279,412	\$215,058	\$59,701	\$53,674	\$20,472	\$3,375	\$952,208

### NOTE 8. GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS

The following tables present our goodwill by reporting unit at September 30, 2013 and other intangible assets by reporting unit at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

	Goodwill Activity Community Insurance										
Dollars in		,									
thousands	B	anking	S	ervices	Total						
Balance,											
January 1,											
2013	\$	1,488	\$	4,710	\$	6,198					
Acquired goodwill,											
net		-		-		-					
Balance, September	¢.	1 400	¢.	4.710	ф	<i>(</i> 100					
30, 2013	\$	1,488	\$	4,710	\$	6,198					

35

					Other Intangi	ble	Assets				
		Sep	ten	nber 30, 20	C			cem	ber 31, 20	12	
	Co	mmunity	In	surance		Co	mmunity	In	surance		
Dollars in thousands	E	Banking	S	Services	Total	E	Banking	S	Services		Total
Unidentifiable											
intangible assets											
Gross carrying amount	\$	2,267	\$	-	\$ 2,267	\$	2,267	\$	-	\$	2,267
Less: accumulated											
amortization		2,179		-	2,179		2,065		-		2,065
Net carrying											
amount	\$	88	\$	-	\$ 88	\$	202	\$	-	\$	202
Identifiable intangible											
assets											
Gross carrying amount	\$	-	\$	3,000	\$ 3,000	\$	-	\$	3,000	\$	3,000
Less: accumulated											
amortization		-		1,250	1,250		-		1,100		1,100
Net carrying											

We recorded amortization expense of approximately \$263,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 relative to our other intangible assets. Annual amortization is expected to be approximately \$351,000 in 2013, \$251,000 in 2014, and \$200,000 for each of the years ending 2015 through 2017.

\$ 1,750

\$ 1,750

### NOTE 9. DEPOSITS

amount

\$ -

The following is a summary of interest bearing deposits by type as of September 30, 2013 and 2012 and December 31, 2012:

	September		D	ecember	September		
	30,			31,	30,		
Dollars in							
thousands	20	13	20	12	20	12	
Demand							
deposits, interest							
bearing	\$	186,702	\$	175,706	\$	177,236	
Savings deposits		193,285		193,039		197,610	
Time deposits		537,639		557,788		555,697	
Total	\$	917,626	\$	926,533	\$	930,543	

Included in time deposits are deposits acquired through a third party ("brokered deposits") totaling \$174.5 million, \$190.4 million and \$207.3 million at September 30, 2013, December 31, 2012, and September 30, 2012, respectively.

A summary of the scheduled maturities for all time deposits as of September 30, 2013 is as follows:

\$ 1,900

\$ 1,900

Dollars in thousands Three month period ending December 31, 2013 \$78,769 Year ending December 31, 2014 174,501 Year ending December 31, 2015 71,003 Year ending December 31, 2016 84,033 Year ending December 31, 2017 30,169 Thereafter 99,164 \$537,639

36

The following is a summary of the maturity distribution of all certificates of deposit in denominations of \$100,000 or more as of September 30, 2013:

1	Amount	Percent
\$	53,212	13.6%
	23,785	6.1%
	82,135	21.0%
	232,620	59.3%
\$	391,752	100.0%
	\$	\$ 53,212 23,785 82,135

## NOTE 10. BORROWED FUNDS

Short-term borrowings: A summary of short-term borrowings is presented below:

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013				
	Federal				
	Funds				
	Short-term		Purchased		
	FHLB		and Lines		
Dollars in	•		ull	Lines	
thousands	Advances		of Credit		
Balance at					
September 30	\$	45,200	\$	8,963	
Average					
balance					
outstanding					
for the period		22,834		2,745	
Maximum					
balance					
outstanding at					
any month					
end during					
period		45,200		8,963	

0.27	%	0.25%
0.26	%	0.25%
	0.27	0.27 %

Year Ended December 31, 2012 Federal Funds Purchased Short-term **FHLB** and Lines Dollars in thousands of Credit Advances Balance at December 31 \$ 958 \$ 3,000 Average balance outstanding for the year 12,291 957 Maximum balance outstanding at any month end 20,000 958 Weighted average interest rate for the year 0.24 % 0.25% Weighted average interest rate for balances outstanding at December 31 0.25 % 0.25%

> Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 Federal Funds

Edgar Filing: SUMMIT FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

		ort-ter FHLB	m		rchased d Lines			
Dollars in								
thousands	A	dvance	es	of	Credit			
Balance at								
September 30	\$	20,00	0	\$	957			
Average								
balance								
outstanding								
for the period		13,29	4		956			
Maximum								
balance								
outstanding at								
any month								
end during								
period		20,00	0		957			
Weighted								
average								
interest rate								
for the period		0.23	%		0.25%			
Weighted								
average								
interest rate								
for balances								
outstanding								
at September								
30		0.26	%		0.25%			

37

Long-term borrowings: Our long-term borrowings of \$163.5million, \$203.3 million and \$203.7 million at September 30, 2013, December 31, 2012, and September 30, 2012 respectively, consisted primarily of advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank ("FHLB") and structured reverse repurchase agreements with two unaffiliated institutions. All FHLB advances are collateralized primarily by similar amounts of residential mortgage loans, certain commercial loans, mortgage backed securities and securities of U. S. Government agencies and corporations.

	Ва	alance at S	epte	ember 30,		alance at ecember 31,
Dollars in						
thousands	20	13	20	12	20	12
Long-term FHLB						
advances	\$	82,624	\$	122,718	\$	122,693
Long-term reverse repurchase						
agreements		72,000		72,000		72,000
Term loans		8,916		9,026		8,575
Total	\$	163,540	\$	203,744	\$	203,268

The term loans are secured by the common stock of our subsidiary bank. \$5.4 million bears a variable interest rate of prime minus 50 basis points with a final maturity of 2017, and \$3.5 million bears a fixed rate of 8% with a final maturity of 2023.

Our long term FHLB borrowings and reverse repurchase agreements bear both fixed and variable rates and mature in varying amounts through the year 2019.

The average interest rate paid on long-term borrowings for the nine month period ended September 30, 2013 was 3.89% compared to 3.92% for the first nine months of 2012.

Subordinated debentures: We have subordinated debt totaling \$16.8 million at September 30, 2013, December 31, 2012, and September 30, 2012. The subordinated debt qualifies as Tier 2 capital under Federal Reserve Board guidelines until the debt is within 5 years of its maturity; thereafter the amount qualifying as Tier 2 capital is reduced by 20 percent each year until maturity. During 2009, we issued \$6.8 million in subordinated debt, of which \$5 million was issued to an affiliate of a director of Summit. We also issued \$1.0 million and \$0.8 million to two unrelated parties. These three issuances bear an interest rate of 10 percent per annum, a term of 10 years, and are not prepayable by us within the first five years. During 2008, we issued \$10 million of subordinated debt to an unrelated institution, which bears a variable interest rate of 1 month LIBOR plus 275 basis points and a term of 7.5 years.

Subordinated debentures owed to unconsolidated subsidiary trusts: We have three statutory business trusts that were formed for the purpose of issuing mandatorily redeemable securities (the "capital securities") for which we are obligated to third party investors and investing the proceeds from the sale of the capital securities in our junior subordinated debentures (the "debentures"). The debentures held by the trusts are their sole assets. Our subordinated debentures totaled \$19.6 million at September 30, 2013, December 31, 2012, and September 30, 2012.

In October 2002, we sponsored SFG Capital Trust I, in March 2004, we sponsored SFG Capital Trust II, and in December 2005, we sponsored SFG Capital Trust III, of which 100% of the common equity of each trust is owned by us. SFG Capital Trust I issued \$3.5 million in capital securities and \$109,000 in common securities and invested the proceeds in \$3.61 million of debentures. SFG Capital Trust II issued \$7.5 million in capital securities and \$232,000 in common securities and invested the proceeds in \$7.73 million of debentures. SFG Capital Trust III issued \$8.0 million in capital securities and \$248,000 in common securities and invested the proceeds in \$8.25 million of debentures. Distributions on the capital securities issued by the trusts are payable quarterly at a variable interest rate equal to 3 month LIBOR plus 345 basis points for SFG Capital Trust I, 3 month LIBOR plus 280 basis points for SFG Capital Trust II, and equals the interest rate earned on the debentures held by the trusts, and is recorded as interest expense by us. The capital securities are subject to mandatory redemption in whole or in part, upon repayment of the debentures. We have entered into agreements which, taken collectively, fully and unconditionally guarantee the capital securities subject to the terms of the guarantee. The debentures of each Capital Trust are redeemable by us quarterly.

38

The capital securities held by SFG Capital Trust I, SFG Capital Trust II, and SFG Capital Trust III qualify as Tier 1 capital under Federal Reserve Board guidelines. In accordance with these Guidelines, trust preferred securities generally are limited to 25% of Tier 1 capital elements, net of goodwill. The amount of trust preferred securities and certain other elements in excess of the limit can be included in Tier 2 capital.

A summary of the maturities of all long-term borrowings and subordinated debentures for the next five years and thereafter is as follows:

		Long-tern	n Sul	oordinated	d d unc	bordinated ebentures owed to consolidated
Dollars in					S	ubsidiary
thousands		borrowing	gs de	ebentures		trusts
Year						
Ending						
December						
31,	2013	\$ 24	\$	-	\$	-
	2014	82,527	1	-		-
	2015	1,909		10,000		-
	2016	28,911	-	-		-
	2017	918		-		-
	Thereafter	49,251	-	6,800		19,589
		\$ 163,54	10 \$	16,800	\$	19,589

#### NOTE 11. STOCK OPTION PLAN

The 2009 Officer Stock Option Plan was adopted by our shareholders in May 2009 and provides for the granting of stock options for up to 350,000 shares of common stock to our key officers. Each option granted under the Plan vests according to a schedule designated at the grant date and has a term of no more than 10 years following the vesting date. Also, the option price per share was not to be less than the fair market value of our common stock on the date of grant. The 2009 Officer Stock Option Plan, which expires in May 2019, replaces the 1998 Officer Stock Option Plan (collectively the "Plans") that expired in May 2008.

The fair value of our employee stock options granted is estimated at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. This model requires the input of highly subjective assumptions, changes to which can materially affect the fair value estimate. Additionally, there may be other factors that would otherwise have a significant effect on the value of employee stock options granted but are not considered by the model. Because our employee stock options have characteristics significantly different from those of traded options and because changes in the subjective input assumptions can materially affect the fair value estimate, in management's opinion, the existing models do not necessarily provide a reliable single measure of the fair value of its employee stock options at the time of grant. There were no options granted during the first nine months of 2013 or 2012.

We recognize compensation expense based on the estimated number of stock awards expected to actually vest, exclusive of the awards expected to be forfeited. During the first nine months of 2013 and 2012, our stock compensation expense and related deferred taxes were insignificant.

39

A summary of activity in our Plans during the first nine months of 2013 and 2012 is as follows:

	For the Nine Months Ended September 30,												
	2013			2012									
		Weighte											
		A	Averag										
		Exercise Exe											
	Options			Price									
Outstanding	<del>,</del>												
January 1	249,700	\$	18.98	317,180	\$	18.17							
Granted	-		-	-		-							
Exercised	1 (15,200)		5.27	-		-							
Forfeited	(1,750)		19.69	-		-							
Expired	(39,700)		23.41	(22,800)		5.12							
Outstanding	<u>,</u>												
September													
30	193,050	\$	19.14	294,380	\$	19.18							

Other information regarding options outstanding and exercisable at September 30, 2013 is as follows:

	Options Outstanding Options Exercise									
			Wted. Avg.A	Aggregate						
			Remaining	Intrinsic			Intrinsic			
Range			_							
of	# of		Contractual	Value	# of		Value			
exercise				(in			(in			
price	shares	WAEP	Life (yrs) th	nousands)	shares	WAEP	housands)			
2.54 -										
\$ \$6.00	19,550	\$ 4.86	3.50	\$ 74	17,550	\$ 5.13	\$ 62			
6.01 -										
10.00	28,200	9.14	2.89	7	27,600	9.20	6			
10.01										
-										
17.50	2,300	17.43	0.42	-	2,300	17.43	-			
17.51										
-										
20.00	38,500	17.80	3.25	-	38,500	17.80	-			
20.01										
-										
25.93	104,500	25.04	2.99	-	104,500	25.04	-			
	193,050	19.14		\$ 81	190,450	19.35	\$ 68			

NOTE 12. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

### Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We are a party to certain financial instruments with off-balance-sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of our customers. These instruments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit and interest rate risk in excess of the amount recognized in the statement of financial position. The contract amounts of these instruments reflect the extent of involvement that we have in this class of financial instruments.

Many of our lending relationships contain both funded and unfunded elements. The funded portion is reflected on our balance sheet. The unfunded portion of these commitments is not recorded on our balance sheet until a draw is made under the loan facility. Since many of the commitments to extend credit may expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash flow requirements.

40

A summary of the total unfunded, or off-balance sheet, credit extension commitments follows:

	September 30,
Dollars in	
thousands	2013
Commitments	
to extend	
credit:	
Revolving	
home equity	
and	
credit	
card lines	\$ 49,535
Construction	
loans	32,534
Other loans	42,196
Standby letters	
of credit	1,759
Total	\$ 126,024

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. We evaluate each customer's credit worthiness on a case-by-case basis. The amount of collateral obtained, if we deem necessary upon extension of credit, is based on our credit evaluation. Collateral held varies but may include accounts receivable, inventory, equipment or real estate.

Standby letters of credit are conditional commitments issued to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. Standby letters of credit generally are contingent upon the failure of the customer to perform according to the terms of the underlying contract with the third party.

Our exposure to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the other party to the financial instrument for commitments to extend credit is represented by the contractual amount of those instruments. We use the same credit policies in making commitments and conditional obligations as we do for on-balance sheet instruments.

#### NOTE 13. REGULATORY MATTERS

We and our subsidiaries are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the banking regulatory agencies. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, we and each of our subsidiaries must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of our and our subsidiaries' assets, liabilities and certain off-balance sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. We and each of our subsidiaries' capital amounts and classifications are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings and other factors.

Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require us and each of our subsidiaries to maintain minimum amounts and ratios of total and Tier I capital (as defined in the regulations) to risk-weighted assets (as defined), and of Tier I capital (as defined) to average assets (as defined). We believe, as of September 30, 2013,

that we and each of our subsidiaries met all capital adequacy requirements to which they were subject.

The most recent notifications from the banking regulatory agencies categorized us and each of our subsidiaries as well capitalized under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. To be categorized as well capitalized, we and each of our subsidiaries must maintain minimum total risk-based, Tier I risk-based, and Tier I leverage ratios as set forth in the table below.

41

Our actual capital amounts and ratios as well as our subsidiary, Summit Community Bank's ("Summit Community") are presented in the following table.

Dollars in thousands As of September 30, 2013	Actual Amount	Ratio		Minimum R Regulatory Amount			To be Well C under Pr Correct Action Pro Amount	rompt etive
Total Capital (to risk wei		14.5	<i>C</i> 4 <i>I</i>	ф. <b>7</b> 0.4 <b>22</b>	0.0	01	Φ 00.270	10.00
Summit	\$ 143,494	14.5	%	\$ 79,423	8.0	%	\$ 99,279	10.0%
Summit	154 155	15.5	CT.	70.407	0.0	01	00.271	10.00/
Community	154,155	15.5	%	79,497	8.0	%	99,371	10.0%
Tier I Capital (to risk we	•	10.1	01	20.712	4.0	01	50.567	C 007
Summit	120,221	12.1	%	39,712	4.0	%	59,567	6.0%
Summit	141 (00	142	O.	20.740	4.0	01	50.622	C 001
Community Tion I Conited (to	141,682	14.3	%	39,748	4.0	%	59,623	6.0%
Tier I Capital (to								
average assets) Summit	120,221	8.9	%	54,291	4.0	%	67,863	5.0%
Summit	120,221	8.9	%	34,291	4.0	%	07,803	3.0%
Community	141,682	10.4	%	54,336	4.0	%	67,920	5.0%
Community	141,002	10.4	70	54,550	7.0	70	07,720	3.070
As of December 31, 2012								
Total Capital (to risk wei	ighted assets)							
Summit	138,593	14.0	%	79,391	8.0	%	99,238	10.0%
Summit								
Community	148,803	15.0	%	79,484	8.0	%	99,354	10.0%
Tier I Capital (to risk we	ighted assets)							
Summit	115,221	11.6	%	39,695	4.0	%	59,543	6.0%
Summit								
Community	136,231	13.7	%	39,742	4.0	%	59,613	6.0%
Tier I Capital (to								
average assets)								
Summit	115,221	8.3	%	55,591	4.0	%	69,489	5.0%
Summit								
Community	136,231	9.8	%	55,581	4.0	%	69,476	5.0%

Summit Financial Group, Inc. ("Summit") and its bank subsidiary, Summit Community Bank, Inc. (the "Bank"), have entered into informal Memoranda of Understanding ("MOU's") with their respective regulatory authorities. A memorandum of understanding is characterized by the regulatory authorities as an informal action that is not published or publicly available and that is used when circumstances warrant a milder form of action than a formal supervisory action, such as a formal written agreement or order.

Under the Summit MOU, Summit has agreed among other things to:

- § Summit suspending all cash dividends on its common stock until further notice. Dividends on all preferred stock, as well as interest payments on subordinated notes underlying Summit's trust preferred securities, continue to be permissible; and,
- § Summit not incurring any additional debt, other than trade payables, without the prior written consent of the principal banking regulators.

Additional information regarding Summit's MOU is included in Part I. Item 1A – Risk Factors on our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

On October 25, 2012, the Bank entered into a revised MOU ("Bank MOU") which replaced the Bank MOU effective September 24, 2009 and subsequently amended on February 1, 2011. In general, the Bank MOU includes provisions substantially similar to those in the prior Bank MOU with the exception that several provisions deemed no longer applicable by the regulatory authorities were removed and a provision relative to reducing the Bank's levels of classified assets was added.

42

In summary, we have agreed, among other things, to address the following matters relative to the Bank:

- § maintaining a Board committee which monitors and promotes compliance with the provisions of the Bank MOU;
- § providing the Bank's regulatory authorities with updated reports of criticized assets and/or formal workout plans for all nonperforming borrower relationships with an aggregate outstanding balance exceeding \$1 million;
- § developing and submitting to regulatory authorities a written plan to reduce the Bank's risk exposure in each adversely classified credit relationship in excess of \$1 million and all OREO;
- § establishing procedures to report all loans with balances exceeding \$500,000 that have credit weaknesses or that fall outside of the Bank's policy;
  - § annually reviewing the organizational structure and operations of the Bank's loan department;
  - § maintaining an adequate allowance for loan and lease losses through charges to current operating income;
- § reviewing overall liquidity objectives and developing and submitting to regulatory authorities plans and procedures aimed to improve liquidity and reduce reliance on volatile liabilities;
- § preparing comprehensive budgets and earnings forecasts for the Bank and submitting reports comparing actual performance to the budget plan;
- § maintaining a minimum Tier 1 Leverage Capital ratio of at least 8% and a Total Risk-based Capital ratio of at least 11%;
  - § not paying any cash dividends without the prior written consent of the banking regulators; and,
- § providing quarterly progress reports to the Bank's regulatory authorities detailing steps taken to comply with the Bank MOU.

43

Summit Financial Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

#### NOTE 14. SEGMENT INFORMATION

We operate two business segments: community banking and insurance services. These segments are primarily identified by the products or services offered. The community banking segment consists of our full service banks which offer customers traditional banking products and services through various delivery channels. The insurance services segment consists of three insurance agency offices that sell insurance products. The accounting policies discussed throughout the notes to the consolidated financial statements apply to each of our business segments.

Intersegment revenue and expense consists of management fees allocated to the bank and Summit Insurance Services, LLC for all centralized functions that are performed by the parent, including overall direction in the areas of strategic planning, investment portfolio management, asset/liability management, financial reporting and other financial and administrative services. Information for each of our segments is included below:

	C	Community			ne Mor suranc		sΕ	nded Se	ptei	nb	er 30, 2	2013	
Dollars in													
thousands		Banking		S	ervices	5		Parent		El	iminati	ons	Total
Net interest													
income	\$	30,237		\$	-		\$	(1,436	)	\$	-		\$ 28,801
Provision for													
loan losses		3,500			-			-			-		3,500
Net interest													
income after													
provision for													
loan losses		26,737			-			(1,436	)		-		25,301
Other income		4,857			3,457			815			(815	)	8,314
Other expenses		22,723			3,266			1,158			(815	)	26,332
Income (loss)													
before income													
taxes		8,871			191			(1,779	)		-		7,283
Income tax													
expense (benefit)		2,534			79			(612	)		-		2,001
Net income (loss)		6,337			112			(1,167	)		-		5,282
Dividends on													
preferred shares		-			-			582			-		582
Net income (loss)													
applicable to													
common shares	\$	6,337		\$	112		\$	(1,749	)	\$	-		\$ 4,700
Intersegment													
revenue													
(expense)	\$	(734	)	\$	(81	)	\$	815		\$	-		\$ -
Average assets	\$	1,431,835		\$	6,329		\$	155,522	2	\$	(213,2	02)	\$ 1,380,484

			Niı	ne Month	ns I	Ended Se	pter	nb	er 30, 20	12	
	C	Community	In	surance							
Dollars in											
thousands		Banking	S	ervices		Parent		El	imination	IS	Total
Net interest											
income	\$	31,264	\$	-	9	(1,340	)	\$	-	\$	29,924
Provision for											
loan losses		6,002		-		-			-		6,002
Net interest											
income after											
provision for											
loan losses		25,262		-		(1,340	)		-		23,922
Other income		6,681		3,371		765			(783	)	10,034
Other expenses		25,583		2,980		1,223			(783	)	29,003
Income (loss)											
before income											
taxes		6,360		391		(1,798	)		-		4,953
Income tax											
expense (benefit)		1,772		156		(583	)		-		1,345
Net income (loss)		4,588		235		(1,215	)		-		3,608
Dividends on											
preferred shares		-		-		583			-		583
Net income (loss)											
applicable to											
common shares	\$	4,588	\$	235	\$	(1,798	)	\$	-	\$	3,025
Intersegment											
revenue											
(expense)	\$	(706)	\$	(77)	9	783		\$	-	\$	-
Average assets	\$	1,486,808	\$	6,495	9	154,01	1	\$	(218,207	7) \$	1,429,107

44

Dollars in	C	Community		ree Moi surance		E	Ended Se	epte	emb	per 30,	2013		
thousands		Banking	S	ervices	}		Parent		El	iminati	ons		Total
Net interest													
income	\$	10,025	\$	-		\$	(487	)	\$	-		\$	9,538
Provision for													
loan losses		1,000		-			-			-			1,000
Net interest													
income after													
provision for		0.025					(407	`					0.500
loan losses		9,025		1 105			(487	)		-	\		8,538
Other income		1,758		1,105			272			(272	)		2,863
Other expenses		7,060		1,078			365			(272	)		8,231
Income (loss) before income													
taxes		3,723		27			(580	)					3,170
Income tax		3,723		21			(360	,		_			3,170
expense (benefit)		1,087		12			(201	)		_			898
Net income (loss)		2,636		15			(379	)		_			2,272
Dividends on		2,030		13			(31)	,					2,272
preferred shares		_		_			194			_			194
Net income (loss)													
applicable to													
common shares	\$	2,636	\$	15		\$	(573	)	\$	_		\$	2,078
Intersegment		,											
revenue													
(expense)	\$	(251)	\$	(21	)	\$	272		\$	-		\$	-
Average assets	\$	1,409,870	\$	6,377		\$	153,59	6	\$	(205,3)	32)	\$	1,364,511
	_					E	inded Se	epte	emb	er 30, i	2012		
D 11 '	C	Community	In	surance	e								
Dollars in		D 1:	C				D .		г.	,.			TD 4 1
thousands		Banking	5	ervices	<b>;</b>		Parent		EI	iminati	ons		Total
Net interest													
income	\$	10,381	\$	_		\$	(446	)	\$	_		\$	9,935
Provision for	Ψ	10,501	Ψ			Ψ	(110	,	Ψ			Ψ	,,,,,,,,,
loan losses		2,000		_			_			_			2,000
Net interest		,											,
income after													
provision for													
loan losses		8,381		-			(446	)		-			7,935
Other income		2,312		1,049			261			(261	)		3,361
Other expenses		8,904		1,023			361			(261	)		10,027

Edgar Filing: SUMMIT FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Income (loss)						
before income						
taxes	1,789	26	(546	)	-	1,269
Income tax						
expense (benefit)	430	10	(168	)	-	272
Net income (loss)	1,359	16	(378	)	-	997
Dividends on						
preferred shares	-	-	194		-	194
Net income (loss) applicable to						
common shares	\$ 1,359	\$ 16	\$ (572	)	\$ -	\$ 803
Intersegment						
revenue						
(expense)	\$ (235)	\$ (26)	\$ 261		\$ -	\$ -
Average assets	\$ 1,473,356	\$ 6,579	\$ 155,16	8	\$ (220,005)	\$ 1,415,098

#### NOTE 15. DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

We use derivative instruments primarily to protect against the risk of adverse interest rate movements on the cash flows of certain liabilities. Derivative instruments represent contracts between parties that usually require little or no initial net investment and result in one party delivering cash or another type of asset to the other party based upon a notional amount and an underlying as specified in the contract. A notional amount represents the number of units of a specific item, such as currency units. An underlying represents a variable, such as an interest rate or price index. The amount of cash or other asset delivered from one party to the other is determined based upon the interaction of the notional amount of the contract with the underlying. Derivatives can also be implicit in certain contracts and commitments.

As with any financial instrument, derivative instruments have inherent risks, primarily market and credit risk. Market risk associated with changes in interest rates is managed by establishing and monitoring limits as to the degree of risk that may be undertaken as part of our overall market risk monitoring process. Credit risk occurs when a counterparty to a derivative contract with an unrealized gain fails to perform according to the terms of the agreement. Credit risk is managed by monitoring the size and maturity structure of the derivative portfolio, and applying uniform credit standards to all activities with credit risk.

45

In accordance with ASC 815, Derivatives and Hedging, all derivative instruments are recorded on the balance sheet at fair value. Changes in the fair value of derivatives are recorded each period in current earnings or other comprehensive income, depending on whether a derivative is designated as part of a hedge transaction and, if it is, depending on the type of hedge transaction.

Fair-value hedges – For transactions in which we are hedging changes in fair value of an asset, liability, or a firm commitment, changes in the fair value of the derivative instrument are generally offset in the income statement by changes in the hedged item's fair value.

Cash-flow hedges – For transactions in which we are hedging the variability of cash flows related to a variable-rate asset, liability, or a forecasted transaction, changes in the fair value of the derivative instrument are reported in other comprehensive income. The gains and losses on the derivative instrument, which are reported in comprehensive income, are reclassified to earnings in the periods in which earnings are impacted by the variability of cash flows of the hedged item.

The ineffective portion of all hedges is recognized in current period earnings.

Other derivative instruments - For risk management purposes that do not meet the hedge accounting criteria and, therefore, do not qualify for hedge accounting. These derivative instruments are accounted for at fair value with changes in fair value recorded in the income statement.

We have entered into a \$40 million notional forward-starting, pay-fixed/receive LIBOR interest rate swap with an effective date of July 18, 2016, which was designated as a cash flow hedge of \$40 million of forecasted variable rate Federal Home Loan Bank advances. Under the terms of the swap we will pay a fixed rate of 2.98% for a 3 year period.

A summary of this sole derivative financial instrument as of September 30, 2013 follows:

		S	Sept	tember	30,	2013		
			I	Net effective Hedge				
- ·	N	lotional		Fair	vai	ue	(	Gains
Dollars in								
thousands	A	Amount	1	Asset	L	iability	(I	Losses)
CASH FLOW								
HEDGES								
Pay-fixed/recei	ive-va	ariable						
interest rate sw	aps							
Long term	Ť							
borrowings	\$	40,000	\$	-	\$	117	\$	_
	\$	40,000	\$	_	\$	117	\$	_

On October 23, 2013, we entered into a \$30 million notional forward-starting, pay-fixed/receive LIBOR interest rate swap with an effective date of April 18, 2016, which was designated as a cash flow hedge of \$30 million of forecasted variable rate Federal Home Loan Bank advances. Under the terms of the swap we will pay a fixed rate of 2.89% for a 4.5 year period.

46

Summit Financial Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

#### INTRODUCTION

The following discussion and analysis focuses on significant changes in our financial condition and results of operations of Summit Financial Group, Inc. ("Company" or "Summit") and our operating segments, Summit Community Bank ("Summit Community"), and Summit Insurance Services, LLC for the periods indicated. See Note 14 of the accompanying consolidated financial statements for our segment information. This discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with our 2012 audited financial statements and Annual Report on Form 10-K.

The Private Securities Litigation Act of 1995 indicates that the disclosure of forward-looking information is desirable for investors and encourages such disclosure by providing a safe harbor for forward-looking statements by us. Our following discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations contains certain forward-looking statements that involve risk and uncertainty. In order to comply with the terms of the safe harbor, we note that a variety of factors could cause our actual results and experience to differ materially from the anticipated results or other expectations expressed in those forward-looking statements.

#### **OVERVIEW**

Our primary source of income is net interest income from loans and deposits. Business volumes tend to be influenced by the overall economic factors including market interest rates, business spending, and consumer confidence, as well as competitive conditions within the marketplace.

Interest earning assets declined by 3.27% for the first nine months in 2013 compared to the same period of 2012 while our net interest earnings on a tax equivalent basis decreased 3.95%. Our tax equivalent net interest margin decreased 2 basis points. Historically high levels of nonaccrual loans continue to negatively impact our net interest earnings while our reduced cost of interest bearing funds continues to positively impact our net interest earnings.

#### **BUSINESS SEGMENT RESULTS**

We are organized and managed along two major business segments, as described in Note 14 of the accompanying consolidated financial statements. The results of each business segment are intended to reflect each segment as if it were a stand alone business. Net income by segment follows:

	T	hree Mor Septen			]	Nine Months Ended September 30,			
Dollars in thousands	20	13	20	012 2		2013		12	
Community banking	\$	2,636	\$	1,359	\$	6,337	\$	4,588	
Insurance		15		16		112		235	
Parent		(573)		(572	)	(1,749)		(1,798)	
Consolidated net									
income	\$	2,078	\$	803	\$	4,700	\$	3,025	

#### CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Our consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and follow general practices within the financial services industry. Application of these principles requires us to make estimates, assumptions, and judgments that affect the amounts reported in our financial statements and accompanying notes. These estimates, assumptions, and judgments are based on information available as of the date of the financial statements; accordingly, as this information changes, the financial statements could reflect different estimates, assumptions, and judgments. Certain policies inherently have a greater reliance on the use of estimates, assumptions, and judgments and as such have a greater possibility of producing results that could be materially different than originally reported.

47

Our most significant accounting policies are presented in the notes to the consolidated financial statements of our 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K. These policies, along with the other disclosures presented in the financial statement notes and in this financial review, provide information on how significant assets and liabilities are valued in the financial statements and how those values are determined.

Based on the valuation techniques used and the sensitivity of financial statement amounts to the methods, assumptions, and estimates underlying those amounts, we have identified the determination of the allowance for loan losses, the valuation of goodwill, fair value measurements and deferred tax assets to be the accounting areas that require the most subjective or complex judgments, and as such could be most subject to revision as new information becomes available.

Allowance for Loan Losses: The allowance for loan losses represents our estimate of probable credit losses inherent in the loan portfolio. Determining the amount of the allowance for loan losses is considered a critical accounting estimate because it requires significant judgment and the use of estimates related to the amount and timing of expected future cash flows on impaired loans, estimated losses on pools of homogeneous loans based on historical loss experience, and consideration of current economic trends and conditions, all of which may be susceptible to significant change. The loan portfolio also represents the largest asset type on our consolidated balance sheet. To the extent actual outcomes differ from our estimates, additional provisions for loan losses may be required that would negatively impact earnings in future periods. Note 8 to the consolidated financial statements of our 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K describes the methodology used to determine the allowance for loan losses and a discussion of the factors driving changes in the amount of the allowance for loan losses is included in the Asset Quality section of the financial review of the 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Goodwill: Goodwill is subject to an analysis by reporting unit at least annually to determine whether write-downs of the recorded balances are necessary. Initially, an assessment of qualitative factors (Step 0) is performed to determine whether the existence of events or circumstances leads to a determination that it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. If, after assessing the totality of events or circumstances, we determine it is not more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying value, then performing the two-step impairment test is unnecessary. However, if we conclude otherwise, then we are required to perform the first step (Step 1) of the two-step impairment test by calculating the fair value of the reporting unit and comparing the fair value with the carrying amount of the reporting unit. If the fair value is less than the carrying value, an expense may be required on our books to write down the goodwill to the proper carrying value. Step 2 of impairment testing, which is necessary only if the reporting unit does not pass Step 1, compares the implied fair value of the reporting unit goodwill with the carrying amount of the goodwill for the reporting unit. The implied fair value of goodwill is determined in the same manner as goodwill that is recognized in a business combination.

48

Community Banking – During third quarter 2013, we performed the Step 0 assessment of our goodwill of our community banking reporting unit and determined that it was not more likely than not that the fair value was less than its carrying value. In performing the qualitative Step 0 assessments, we considered certain events and circumstances such as macroeconomic conditions, industry and market considerations, overall financial performance and cost factors when evaluating whether it is more likely than not that the fair value is less than its carrying amount. No indicators of impairment were noted as of September 30, 2013.

Insurance Services – During third quarter 2013, we performed the Step 0 assessment of our goodwill of our insurance services reporting unit. We considered certain events and circumstances specific to the reporting unit, such as macroeconomic conditions, industry and market considerations, overall financial performance and cost factors when evaluating whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of our insurance services reporting unit is less than its carrying value and deemed it necessary to perform the further 2-step impairment test. We performed an internal valuation utilizing the income approach to determine the fair value of our insurance services reporting unit. This methodology consisted of discounting the expected future cash flows of this unit based upon a forecast of its operations considering long-term key business drivers such as anticipated commission revenue growth. The long term growth rate used in determining the terminal value was estimated at 2%, and a discount rate of 10.0% was applied to the insurance services unit's estimated future cash flows. We did not fail this Step 1 test as of September 30, 2013, therefore Step 2 testing was not necessary.

We cannot assure you that future goodwill impairment tests will not result in a charge to earnings. See Note 11 of the consolidated financial statements of our 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K for further discussion of our intangible assets, which include goodwill.

Fair Value Measurements: ASC Topic 820 Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures provides a definition of fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value, and requires expanded disclosures about fair value measurements. Fair value is the price that could be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants. Based on the observability of the inputs used in the valuation techniques, we classify our financial assets and liabilities measured and disclosed at fair value in accordance with the three-level hierarchy (e.g., Level 1, Level 2 and Level 3) established under ASC Topic 820. Fair value determination in accordance with this guidance requires that we make a number of significant judgments. In determining the fair value of financial instruments, we use market prices of the same or similar instruments whenever such prices are available. We do not use prices involving distressed sellers in determining fair value. If observable market prices are unavailable or impracticable to obtain, then fair value is estimated using modeling techniques such as discounted cash flow analyses. These modeling techniques incorporate our assessments regarding assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or the liability, including assumptions about the risks inherent in a particular valuation technique and the risk of nonperformance.

Fair value is used on a recurring basis for certain assets and liabilities in which fair value is the primary basis of accounting. Additionally, fair value is used on a non-recurring basis to evaluate assets or liabilities for impairment or for disclosure purposes in accordance with ASC Topic 825 Financial Instruments.

49

Deferred Income Tax Assets: At September 30, 2013, we had net deferred tax assets of \$12.9 million. Based on our ability to offset the net deferred tax asset against taxable income in carryback years and expected future taxable income in carryforward years, there was no impairment of the deferred tax asset at September 30, 2013. All available evidence, both positive and negative, was considered to determine whether, based on the weight of that evidence, impairment should be recognized. However, our forecast process includes judgmental and quantitative elements that may be subject to significant change. If our forecast of taxable income within the carryback/carryforward periods available under applicable law is not sufficient to cover the amount of net deferred tax assets, such assets may become impaired.

# **RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

### **Earnings Summary**

Net income applicable to common shares for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 increased to \$4.7 million, or \$0.55 per diluted share as compared to \$3.0 million or \$0.38 per diluted share for the same period of 2012. Net income applicable to common shares for the quarter ended September 30, 2013 totaled \$2.1 million, or \$0.24 per diluted share as compared to \$803,000, or \$0.10 per diluted share for the quarter ended September 30, 2012. Earnings for both the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2013 were positively impacted by lower provisions for loan losses and lower write-downs of foreclosed properties to their estimated fair values. The provision for loan losses was \$3.5 million and \$6.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Included in earnings for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 was \$535,000 in losses on the sales of assets, primarily foreclosed properties, and \$3.1 million of charges resulting from the write down of a portion of our foreclosed properties to fair value. Returns on average equity and assets for the first nine months of 2013 were 6.46% and 0.51%, respectively, compared with 4.54% and 0.34% for the same period of 2012.

## Net Interest Income

Net interest income is the principal component of our earnings and represents the difference between interest and fee income generated from earning assets and the interest expense paid on deposits and borrowed funds. Fluctuations in interest rates as well as changes in the volume and mix of earning assets and interest bearing liabilities can materially impact net interest income.

Our net interest income on a fully tax-equivalent basis totaled \$29.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to \$31.1 million for the same period of 2012, representing a decrease of \$1.2 million or 4.0%. While our earnings on interest earning assets decreased \$5.8 million, this decrease was partially offset by a reduction in the volume of interest bearing liabilities and a reduction in the cost of interest bearing liabilities (see Table II). Average interest earning assets decreased 3.27% from \$1.30 billion during the first nine months of 2012 to \$1.26 billion for the first nine months of 2013. Average interest bearing liabilities declined 4.33% from \$1.22 billion at September 30, 2012 to \$1.17 billion at September 30, 2013, at an average yield for the first nine months of 2013 of 1.61% compared to 2.05% for the same period of 2012.

Our consolidated net interest margin decreased to 3.17% for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, compared to 3.19% for the same period in 2012. The margin continues to be affected by elevated levels of nonaccruing loans. The present continued low interest rate environment has served to positively impact our net interest margin due to our liability sensitive balance sheet. For the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to September 30, 2012, both the yields on earning assets and the cost of our interest bearing funds decreased by 44 basis points.

Assuming no significant change in market interest rates, we anticipate a relatively stable net interest margin in the near term as we do not expect interest rates to rise in the near future, we do not expect significant growth in our interest earning assets, nor do we expect our nonperforming asset balances to decline significantly in the near future. We continue to monitor the net interest margin through net interest income simulation to minimize the potential for any significant negative impact. See the "Market Risk Management" section for further discussion of the impact changes in market interest rates could have on us. Further analysis of our yields on interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities are presented in Tables I and II below.

51

Table I - Average Balance Sheet and Net Interest Income Analysis Dollars in thousands

	For the Nine Months Ended									
	Septen	nber í	30, 2013				Septe	mbe	er 30, 2012	
	Average	F	Earnings/	Yield	/		Average	1	Earnings/	Yield/
	Balance	]	Expense	Rate			Balance		Expense	Rate
Interest earning assets										
Loans, net of										
unearned income (1)										
Taxable \$	948,870	\$	38,038	5.36	%	\$	965,227	\$	41,811	5.79%
Tax-exempt (2)	5,560		298	7.17	%		6,776		368	7.25%
Securities										
Taxable	220,669		2,851	1.73	%		235,885		4,592	2.60%
Tax-exempt (2)	76,021		2,776	4.88	%		70,753		3,009	5.68%
Federal funds sold										
and interest										
bearing deposits										
with other banks	7,706		4	0.07	%		22,737		31	0.18%
Total interest earning										
assets	1,258,826		43,967	4.67	%		1,301,378		49,811	5.11%
Noninterest earning										
assets										
Cash & due from										
banks	4,430						4,195			
Premises and										
equipment	21,006						21,684			
Other assets	111,948						120,041			
Allowance for loan										
losses	(15,726)						(18,191)			
Total assets \$	1,380,484					\$	1,429,107			
Interest bearing										
liabilities										
Interest bearing										
demand deposits \$	178,911	\$	193	0.14	%	\$	167,843	\$	247	0.20%
Savings deposits	195,907		875	0.60	%		206,953		1,054	0.68%
Time deposits	566,172		7,007	1.65	%		545,121		8,839	2.17%
Short-term										
borrowings	25,579		50	0.26	%		14,250		25	0.23%
Long-term	·						·			
borrowings										
and capital trust										
securities	203,019		5,997	3.95	%		288,305		8,575	3.97%
Total interest bearing										
liabilities	1,169,588		14,122	1.61	%		1,222,472		18,740	2.05%

Noninterest bearing liabilities

naomues							
and shareholders'							
equity							
Demand deposits	94,139				92,362		
Other liabilities	7,698				8,273		
Total liabilities	1,271,425				1,323,107		
Shareholders'							
equity - preferred	9,319				9,326		
Shareholders'							
equity - common	99,740				96,674		
Total liabilities and							
shareholders' equity\$	1,380,484				\$ 1,429,107		
Net interest earnings		\$ 29,845				\$ 31,071	
Net yield on interest							
earning assets			3.17	%			3.19%

- (1) For purposes of this table, nonaccrual loans are included in average loan balances.
- (2) Interest income on tax-exempt securities and loans has been adjusted assuming an effective tax rate of 34% for all periods presented.

The tax equivalent adjustment resulted in an increase in interest income of \$1,045,000 and \$1,147,000 for the periods ended

September 30, 2013 and September

30, 2012, respectively.

52

Table II - Changes in Interest Margin Attributable to Rate and Volume

For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 versus September 30, 2012 Increase (Decrease) Due to Change in:

	m	crease	(De	crea	ise) Du	e to	Cna	ange in:
Dollars in								
thousands	V	olume/			Rate			Net
Interest earned								
on:								
Loans								
Taxable	\$	(705	)	\$	(3,068	3)	\$	(3,773)
Tax-exempt		(66	)		(4	)		(70)
Securities								
Taxable		(281	)		(1,460)	))		(1,741)
Tax-exempt		212			(445	)		(233)
Federal funds								
sold and								
interest								
bearing								
deposits with								
other banks		(13	)		(14	)		(27)
Total interest								
earned on								
interest								
earning assets		(853	)		(4,991	)		(5,844)
<u> </u>					, ,			
Interest paid on:								
Interest bearing								
demand								
deposits		15			(69	)		(54)
Savings					,			
deposits		(54	)		(125	)		(179)
Time deposits		329			(2,161			(1,832)
Short-term								
borrowings		22			3			25
Long-term								
borrowings and								
capital								
trust								
securities		(2,52)	7)		(51	)		(2,578)
Total interest		( )-	,		<u></u>			( ) )
paid on								
interest								
bearing								
liabilities		(2,21:	5)		(2,403	3)		(4,618)
		(-,-1.	,		(2,100	,		(1,010)

Net interest

income \$ 1,362 \$ (2,588) \$ (1,226)

### Noninterest Income

Total noninterest income decreased to \$8.31 million for the first nine months of 2013, compared to \$10.03 million for the same period of 2012, with fewer realized securities gains being the primary negative component. Further detail regarding noninterest income is reflected in the following table.

Table III Noninterest Income

onths O,
)
)
,,
352
163
245
75)
1
8
,034
1

Other-than-temporary impairment of securities: During the first nine months of 2013, we recorded non-cash other-than temporary impairment charges of \$118,000 related to certain residential mortgage-backed securities which we continue to own.

53

### Noninterest Expense

Total noninterest expense decreased 17.9% and 9.2% for the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, as compared to the same periods in 2012. Table IV below shows the breakdown of the changes.

Table IV -Noninterest Expense

<b>F</b>						For the I	Nine Mor	nths Ende	d S	eptember
	For the	e Quarter	mber 30,							
		Ch	ange				Ch	ange		
Dollars in										
thousands	2013	\$	%		2012	2013	\$	%		2012
Salaries,										
commissions, and	ф. 4.0 <b>5</b> 0	ф. 11O	2.0	01	Ф 2.040	ф 10 1 <i>5</i> 5	Ф. 400	2.6	07	ф. 11. <b>7</b> 22
employee benefits	\$ 4,050	\$ 110	2.8	%	\$ 3,940	\$ 12,155	\$ 422	3.6	%	\$ 11,733
Net occupancy expense	454	(22	) -4.6	%	476	1,387	(58	) -4.0	%	1,445
Equipment	15 1	(22	) 1.0	70	170	1,507	(50	) 1.0	70	1,115
expense	578	5	0.9	%	573	1,724	(53	) -3.0	%	1,777
Professional										
fees	263	(29	) -9.9	%	292	885	13	1.5	%	872
Amortization of										
intangibles	88	-	0.0	%	88	263	-	0.0	%	263
FDIC premiums	503	(7	) -1.4	%	510	1,558	26	1.7	%	1,532
Foreclosed										
properties expense	262	(94	) -26.4	%	356	836	(99	) -10.6	%	935
(Gain) loss on										
sale of foreclosed										
properties	(17	) (1	) 6.3	%	(16)	546	(37	) -6.3	%	583
Write-down of										
foreclosed	65.4	(1.01)	<b>.</b>	~	2.551	2.050	(2.02	6) 40 5	~	6 1 1 4
properties	654		7) -74.6		2,571	3,078		6) -49.7		6,114
Other	1,396	159	12.9	%	1,237	3,900	151	4.0	%	3,749
Total	\$ 8,231	\$ (1,79	6) -17.9	%	\$ 10,027	\$ 26,332	\$ (2,67	1) -9.2	%	\$ 29,003

Salaries, commissions, and employee benefits; Salaries, commissions, and employee benefits increased during the first nine months of 2013 compared to the first nine months of 2012 primarily as a result of general merit raises.

Foreclosed properties expense: Foreclosed properties expense has decreased in 2013 due to our lower balance of foreclosed properties.

Writedown of foreclosed properties: During the first nine months of 2013, we recorded \$3.08 million in charges to writedown certain OREO properties to fair value less estimated costs to sell as part of our normal, ongoing re-appraisal process. Continued volatility in the real estate markets could result in further writedowns of these properties in the foreseeable future.

### Credit Experience

While recent economic data points to a stabilizing real estate market, general economic conditions remain weak when compared to pre-2008 levels. As a result, we continue to experience elevated levels of loan delinquencies and nonperforming assets. Although Management anticipates loan delinquencies and nonperforming assets will remain higher than pre-recession levels, we do expect recent trends of improvement to continue.

The provision for loan losses represents charges to earnings necessary to maintain an adequate allowance for probable credit losses inherent in the loan portfolio. Our determination of the appropriate level of the allowance is based on an ongoing analysis of credit quality and loss potential in the loan portfolio, change in the composition and risk characteristics of the loan portfolio, and the anticipated influence of national and local economic conditions. The adequacy of the allowance for loan losses is reviewed quarterly and adjustments are made as considered necessary.

54

We recorded \$3.5 million and \$6.0 million provisions for loan losses for the first nine months of 2013 and 2012, respectively. This decline is a result of lower levels of specific reserves, based upon the fair value of collateral method in measuring impairment, necessary on newly identified impaired loans at September 30, 2013 compared to September 30, 2012.

As illustrated in Table V below, our non-performing assets have decreased since year end 2012.

Table V -Summary of Non-Performing Assets

Assets					ъ	1	
		Septemb	0,	Decembe 31,			
Dollars in							
thousands	20	13	20	12	2012		
Accruing loans							
past due 90 days or	•						
more	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
Nonaccrual loans							
Commercial		2,557		5,343		5,002	
Commercial real							
estate		3,795		2,803		2,556	
Commercial							
construction and							
development		3,761		428		-	
Residential							
construction and							
development		9,128		16,333		13,641	
Residential real							
estate		12,385		18,809		16,522	
Consumer		145		88		55	
Total							
nonaccrual loans		31,771		43,804		37,776	
Foreclosed							
properties							
Commercial real							
estate		9,961		11,802		11,835	
Commercial							
construction and							
development		11,161		17,683		17,597	
Residential							
construction and							
development		20,585		23,769		23,074	
Residential real							
estate		3,596		2,779		3,666	
Total							
foreclosed							
properties		45,303		56,033		56,172	

Edgar Filing: SUMMIT FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Repossessed					
assets	-		-		6
Total					
nonperforming					
assets	\$ 77,074	1	\$ 99,837	7 \$	93,954
Total					
nonperforming					
loans as a					
percentage of					
total loans	3.34	%	4.57	%	3.96%
Total					
nonperforming					
assets as a					
percentage of					
total assets	5.55	%	7.11	%	6.77%
Allowance for loan					
losses as a					
percentage of					
nonperforming					
loans	41.04	%	40.68	%	47.47%
Allowance for loan					
losses as a					
percentage of					
period end loans	1.37	%	1.86	%	1.88%

The following table details the activity regarding our foreclosed properties for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012.

Table VI -										
Foreclosed	Fo	or the Thr	ee	Months	For the Nine Months					
Property Activity		Enc	led		Ended					
		September 30,				September 30,				
Dollars in										
thousands	20	)13	20	)12	20	)13	20	)12		
Beginning										
balance	\$	47,257	\$	60,068	\$	56,172	\$	63,938		
Acquisitions		60		241		2,858		5,262		
Improvements		90		155		197		677		
Disposals		(1,449)		(1,860)		(10,846)		(7,309)		
Writedowns to										
fair value		(655)		(2,571)		(3,078)		(6,114)		
Reclassification										
of covered loans		-		-		-		(421)		
Balance Sept 30	\$	45,303	\$	56,033	\$	45,303	\$	56,033		

55

The following table details our most significant nonperforming loan relationships at September 30, 2013.

Table IX - Significant Nonperforming Loan Relationships September 30, 2013 Dollars in thousands

							Amount	
							Allocated	
					Method	Most	to	
		Loan	Loan		Used to	Recent	Allowance	Amount
	Underlying		Nonaccrual	Loan	Measure	Appraised		Previously
Location	Collateral	Date	Date	Balance	Impairmen			Charged-off
	Commercial				<b>F</b>			2282
	development							
Eastern	&	Aug. 2006						
Panhandle	commercial	& Apr.			Collateral			
WV	real estate	2007	Aug. 2013 S	\$ 5,240	Value	\$ 8,179	(1) \$ -	\$ -
Shenandoal	n Commerical		· ·		Collateral			
Valley VA	real estate	Dec. 2009	Aug. 2013 S	\$ 1,442	value	\$ 1,400	(1) \$ 182	\$ -
		Aug. 2007,						
		Oct. 2007						
Northern	Single family	& Sept.			Collateral			
VA	residence	2008	Dec. 2011 S	\$ 9,000	value	\$ 10,000	(1) \$ 1,000	\$ 3,565
	Residential							
	development							
Eastern	&	Mar. 2008						
Panhandle	undeveloped	& June			Collateral			
WV	acreage	2008	Jun. 2011 S	\$ 5,936	value	\$ 6,713	(1) \$ -	\$ 2,477
	UCC							
	Business	Feb. 2003,						
	Assets &	Mar. 2008						
Southcentra	lResidential	& Apr.	May 2011		Collateral			
WV	Subdivision	2008	& Jul. 2011 S	1,220	value	\$ 1,620	(2) \$ 571	\$ -

<sup>(1) -</sup> Values are based upon recent external appraisal.

Refer to Note 6 of the accompanying consolidated financial statements for information regarding our past due loans, impaired loans, nonaccrual loans, and TDRs.

We maintain the allowance for loan losses at a level considered adequate to provide for estimated probable credit losses inherent in the loan portfolio. The allowance is comprised of three distinct reserve components: (1) specific reserves related to loans individually evaluated, (2) quantitative reserves related to loans collectively evaluated, and (3) qualitative reserves related to loans collectively evaluated. A summary of the methodology we employ on a

<sup>(2) -</sup> Value is based upon current appraisal on the real estate and most recent estimate on business assets.

quarterly basis with respect to each of these components in order to evaluate the overall adequacy of our allowance for loan losses is as follows:

Specific Reserve for Loans Individually Evaluated

First, we identify loan relationships having aggregate balances in excess of \$500,000 and that may also have credit weaknesses. Such loan relationships are identified primarily through our analysis of internal loan evaluations, past due loan reports, and loans adversely classified by regulatory authorities. Each loan so identified is then individually evaluated to determine whether it is impaired – that is, based on current information and events, it is probable that we will be unable to collect all amounts due in accordance with the contractual terms of the underlying loan agreement. Substantially all of our impaired loans are and historically have been collateral dependent, meaning repayment of the loan is expected to be provided solely from the sale of the loan's underlying collateral. For such loans, we measure impairment based on the fair value of the loan's collateral, which is generally determined utilizing current appraisals. A specific reserve is established in an amount equal to the excess, if any, of the recorded investment in each impaired loan over the fair value of its underlying collateral, less estimated costs to sell. Our policy is to re-evaluate the fair value of collateral dependent loans at least every twelve months unless there is a known deterioration in the collateral's value, in which case a new appraisal is obtained.

56

### Quantitative Reserve for Loans Collectively Evaluated

Second, we stratify the loan portfolio into the following ten loan pools: land and land development, construction, commercial, commercial real estate -- owner-occupied, commercial real estate -- non-owner occupied, conventional residential mortgage, jumbo residential mortgage, home equity, consumer, and other. Loans within each pool are then further segmented between (1) loans which were individually evaluated for impairment and not deemed to be impaired, (2) larger-balance loan relationships exceeding \$2 million which are assigned an internal risk rating in conjunction with our normal ongoing loan review procedures and (3) smaller-balance homogenous loans.

Quantitative reserves relative to each loan pool are established as follows: for all loan segments detailed above an allocation equaling 100% of the respective pool's average 12 month historical net loan charge-off rate (determined based upon the most recent twelve quarters) is applied to the aggregate recorded investment in the pool of loans.

### Qualitative Reserve for Loans Collectively Evaluated

Third, we consider the necessity to adjust our average historical net loan charge-off rates relative to each of the above ten loan pools for potential risk factors that could result in actual losses deviating from prior loss experience. For example, if we observe a significant increase in delinquencies within the conventional mortgage loan pool above historical trends, an additional allocation to the average historical loan charge-off rate is applied. Such qualitative risk factors considered are: (1) levels of and trends in delinquencies and impaired loans, (2) levels of and trends in charge-offs and recoveries, (3) trends in volume and term of loans, (4) effects of any changes in risk selection and underwriting standards, and other changes in lending policies, procedures, and practice, (5) experience, ability, and depth of lending management and other relevant staff, (6) national and local economic trends and conditions, (7) industry conditions, and (8) effects of changes in credit concentrations.

Relationship between Allowance for Loan Losses, Net Charge-offs and Nonperforming Loans

In analyzing the relationship between the allowance for loan losses, net loan charge-offs and nonperforming loans, it is helpful to understand the process of how loans are treated as they deteriorate over time. Reserves for loans are established at origination through the quantitative and qualitative reserve process discussed above.

Charge-offs, if necessary, are typically recognized in a period after the reserves were established. If the previously established reserves exceed that needed to satisfactorily resolve the problem credit, a reduction in the overall level of the reserve could be recognized. In summary, if loan quality deteriorates, the typical credit sequence is periods of reserve building, followed by periods of higher net charge-offs.

Consumer loans are generally charged off to the allowance for loan losses upon reaching specified stages of delinquency, in accordance with the Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council policy. For example, credit card loans are charged off by the end of the month in which the account becomes 180 days past due or within 60 days from receiving notification about a specified event (e.g., bankruptcy of the borrower), whichever is earlier. Residential mortgage loans are generally charged off to net realizable value no later than when the account becomes 180 days past due. Other consumer loans, if collateralized, are generally charged off to net realizable value at 120 days past due.

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Commercial-related loans (which are risk-rated) are charged off to the allowance for loan losses when the loss has been confirmed. This determination includes many factors, including the prioritization of our claim in bankruptcy, expectations of the workout/restructuring of the loan and valuation of the borrower's equity.

Substantially all of our nonperforming loans are secured by real estate. The majority of these loans were underwritten in accordance with our loan-to-value policy guidelines which range from 70-85% at the time of origination. The fair values of the underlying collateral value remains in excess of the recorded investment in many of our nonperforming loans, and therefore, no specific reserve allocation is required; as of September 30, 2013, approximately 59% of our impaired loans required no reserves or have been charged down to their fair value.

At September 30, 2013, December 31, 2012, and September 30, 2012, our allowance for loan losses totaled \$13.0 million, or 1.37% of total loans, \$17.9 million, or 1.88% of total loans and \$17.8 million, or 1.86% of total loans, respectively, and is considered adequate to cover our estimate of probable credit losses inherent in our loan portfolio. The 2013 decline is a result of lower average loan losses experienced over the past twelve quarters. Lower losses cause our historical charge-off factor of the quantitative reserve calculation to decline, thus requiring fewer quantitative reserves.

At September 30, 2013, December 31, 2012, and September 30, 2012, we had approximately \$45.3 million, \$56.2 million and \$56.0 million, respectively, in other real estate owned which was obtained as the result of foreclosure proceedings. Although foreclosed property is recorded at fair value less estimated costs to sell, the prices ultimately realized upon their sale may or may not result in us recognizing loss.

### FINANCIAL CONDITION

Our total assets increased slightly to \$1.388 billion at September 30, 2013, compared to \$1.387 billion at December 31, 2012. Table VIII below serves to illustrate significant changes in our financial position between December 31, 2012 and September 30, 2013.

58

Table VIII - Summary of Significant Changes in Financial Position

Dellare in	Balance December 31,	Increase	(Decrease)		Balance September 30,
Dollars in thousands Assets	2012	Amount	Percentage	e	2013
Securities available for sale	\$281,539	9,719	3.5	%	\$291,258
Loans, net of unearned interest	937,168	2,001	0.2	%	939,169
Liabilities					
Deposits Short-term	\$1,027,125	\$(10,390	)-1.0	%	\$1,016,735
borrowings	3,958	50,205	1268.4	%	54,163
Long-term borrowings	203,268	(39,728	)-19.5	%	163,540
Subordinated	200,200	(0),/20	) 15.6	, 0	100,010
debentures	16,800	-	0.0	%	16,800
Subordinated deber to	ntures owed				
unconsolidated subsidiary trusts	19,589	-	0.0	%	19,589

Loans increased 0.2% and securities increased 3.5% during the first nine months of 2013. We have slowed our loan growth due to the current weakened economic conditions in our market areas and limited availability of new capital resources.

Deposits decreased approximately \$10.4 million during the first nine months of 2013; brokered deposits decreased approximately \$15.9 million.

The decrease in long term borrowings is primarily attributable to maturities and repayments of long-term FHLB advances during the first nine months of 2013.

Refer to Notes 5, 6, 9, and 10 of the notes to the accompanying consolidated financial statements for additional information with regard to changes in the composition of our securities, loans, deposits and borrowings between September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

### LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Liquidity reflects our ability to ensure the availability of adequate funds to meet loan commitments and deposit withdrawals, as well as provide for other transactional requirements. Liquidity is provided primarily by funds invested in cash and due from banks (net of float and reserves), Federal funds sold, non-pledged securities, and available lines of credit with the Federal Home Loan Bank of Pittsburgh ("FHLB") and Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond, which totaled approximately \$560 million or 40.4% of total consolidated assets at September 30, 2013.

Our liquidity strategy is to fund loan growth with deposits and other borrowed funds while maintaining an adequate level of short- and medium-term investments to meet normal daily loan and deposit activity. As a member of the FHLB, we have access to approximately \$393 million. As of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, these advances totaled approximately \$128 million and \$126 million, respectively. At September 30, 2013, we had additional borrowing capacity of \$265 million through FHLB programs. We have established a line with the Federal Reserve Bank to be used as a contingency liquidity vehicle. The amount available on this line at September 30, 2013 was approximately \$84 million, which is secured by a pledge of our consumer and commercial and industrial loan portfolios. We have a \$6 million unsecured line of credit with a correspondent bank. Also, we classify all of our securities as available for sale to enable us to liquidate them if the need arises.

59

Liquidity risk represents the risk of loss due to the possibility that funds may not be available to satisfy current or future commitments based on external market issues, customer or creditor perception of financial strength, and events unrelated to Summit such as war, terrorism, or financial institution market specific issues. The Asset/Liability Management Committee ("ALCO"), comprised of members of senior management and certain members of the Board of Directors, oversees our liquidity risk management process. The ALCO develops and recommends policies and limits governing our liquidity to the Board of Directors for approval with the objective of ensuring that we can obtain cost-effective funding to meet current and future obligations, as well as maintain sufficient levels of on-hand liquidity, under both normal and "stressed" circumstances.

One aspect of our liquidity management process is establishing contingency liquidity funding plans under various scenarios in order to prepare for unexpected liquidity shortages or events. The following represents three "stressed" liquidity circumstances and our related contingency plans with respect to each.

Scenario 1 – Summit Community's capital status becomes less than "well capitalized". Banks which are less than "well capitalized" in accordance with regulatory capital guidelines are prohibited from issuing new brokered deposits without first obtaining a waiver from the FDIC to do so. In the event Summit Community's capital status were to fall below well capitalized and was not successful in obtaining the FDIC's waiver to issue new brokered deposits, Summit Community:

- Would have limited amounts of maturing brokered deposits to replace in the short-term, as we have limited our brokered deposits maturing in any one quarter to no more than \$50 million.
- Presently has \$560 million in available sources of liquid funds which could be drawn upon to fund maturing brokered deposits until Summit Community had restored its capital to well capitalized status.
- Would first seek to restore its capital to well capitalized status through capital contributions from Summit, its parent holding company.
- Would generally have no more than \$100 million in brokered deposits maturing in any one year time frame, which is well within its presently available sources of liquid funds, if in the event Summit does not have the capital resources to restore Summit Community's capital to well capitalized status. One year would give Summit Community ample time to raise alternative funds either through retail deposits or the sale of assets, and obtain capital resources to restore it to well capitalized status.

Scenario 2 – Summit Community's credit quality deteriorates such that the FHLB restricts further advances. If in the event that the Bank's credit quality deteriorated to the point that further advances under its line with the FHLB were restricted, Summit Community:

- Would severely curtail lending and other growth activities until such time as access to this line could be restored, thus eliminating the need for net new advances, and
- Would still have available current liquid funding sources secured by unemcumbered loans and securities totaling \$275 million aside from its FHLB line, which would result in a funding source of approximately \$233 million.

Scenario 3 – A competitive financial institution offers a retail deposit program at interest rates significantly above current market rates in the Summit Community's market areas. If a competitive financial institution offered a retail deposit program at rates well in excess of current market rates in the Summit Community's market area, the Bank:

- Presently has \$560 million in available sources of liquid funds which could be drawn upon immediately to fund any "net run off" of deposits from this activity.
- Would severely curtail lending and other growth activities so as to preserve the availability of as much contingency funds as possible.
- Would begin offering its own competitive deposit program when deemed prudent so as to restore the retail deposits lost to the competition.

We continuously monitor our liquidity position to ensure that day-to-day as well as anticipated funding needs are met. We are not aware of any trends, commitments, events or uncertainties that have resulted in or are reasonably likely to result in a material change to our liquidity.

One of our continuous goals is maintenance of a strong capital position. Through management of our capital resources, we seek to provide an attractive financial return to our shareholders while retaining sufficient capital to support future growth. Shareholders' equity at September 30, 2013 totaled \$108.8 million compared to \$108.6 million at December 31, 2012.

Summit and Summit Community have entered into informal Memoranda of Understanding ("MOU's") with their respective regulatory authorities. A memorandum of understanding is characterized by the regulatory authorities as an informal action that is not published or publicly available and that is used when circumstances warrant a milder form of action than a formal supervisory action, such as a formal written agreement or order. Among other things, under the MOU's, Summit's management team has agreed to:

- The Bank achieving and maintaining a minimum Tier 1 leverage capital ratio of at least 8% and a total risk-based capital ratio of at least 11%;
- The Bank providing 30 days prior notice of any declaration of intent to pay cash dividends to provide the Bank's regulatory authorities an opportunity to object;
- Summit suspending all cash dividends on its common stock until further notice. Dividends on all preferred stock, as well as interest payments on subordinated notes underlying Summit's trust preferred securities, continue to be permissible; and,
- Summit not incurring any additional debt, other than trade payables, without the prior written consent of the principal banking regulators.

On October 25, 2012, the Bank entered into a revised MOU ("Bank MOU") which replaced the Bank MOU effective September 24, 2009 and subsequently amended on February 1, 2011. In general, the Bank MOU includes provisions substantially similar to those in the prior Bank MOU with the exception that several provisions deemed no longer applicable by the regulatory authorities were removed and a provision relative to reducing the Bank's levels of classified assets was added.

61

In summary, we have agreed, among other things, to address the following matters relative to the Bank:

- maintaining a Board committee which monitors and promotes compliance with the provisions of the Bank MOU;
- providing the Bank's regulatory authorities with updated reports of criticized assets and/or formal workout plans for all nonperforming borrower relationships with an aggregate outstanding balance exceeding \$1 million;
- developing and submitting to regulatory authorities a written plan to reduce the Bank's risk exposure in each adversely classified credit relationship in excess of \$1 million and all OREO;
  - establishing procedures to report all loans with balances exceeding \$500,000 that have credit weaknesses or that fall outside of the Bank's policy;
    - annually reviewing the organizational structure and operations of the Bank's loan department;
  - maintaining an adequate allowance for loan and lease losses through charges to current operating income;
- reviewing overall liquidity objectives and developing and submitting to regulatory authorities plans and procedures aimed to improve liquidity and reduce reliance on volatile liabilities;
- preparing comprehensive budgets and earnings forecasts for the Bank and submitting reports comparing actual performance to the budget plan;
- maintaining a minimum Tier 1 Leverage Capital ratio of at least 8% and a Total Risk-based Capital ratio of at least 11%;
  - not paying any cash dividends without the prior written consent of the banking regulators; and,
- providing quarterly progress reports to the Bank's regulatory authorities detailing steps taken to comply with the Bank MOU.

Dividends on Summit's preferred stock, as well as interest payments on our subordinated debt and junior subordinated debentures underlying our trust preferred securities, continue to be permissible. However, such dividends and interest payments on our preferred stock and trust preferred debt are subject to future review by the regulatory authorities should we continue to experience deterioration in our financial condition.

Summit Community received regulatory approval for and paid an upstream dividend of \$1.0 million to Summit during third quarter 2013, representing the first such dividend since second quarter 2008. Although dividends from Summit Community are the principal source of funds to pay dividends, interest, and principal payments on Summit's preferred stock, subordinated debentures (including those owed to unconsolidated subsidiary trusts), and term borrowings, we currently have sufficient cash on hand to continue to service our subordinated debentures and term borrowing obligations as well as the expected dividend payments on our preferred stock through mid-2015. Nevertheless, we can make no assurances that we will continue to have sufficient funds available for Summit's debt service and for distributions to the holders of our preferred stock.

Refer to Note 13 of the notes to the accompanying consolidated financial statements for additional information regarding regulatory restrictions on our capital as well as our subsidiaries' capital.

62

# CONTRACTUAL CASH OBLIGATIONS

During our normal course of business, we incur contractual cash obligations. The following table summarizes our contractual cash obligations at September 30, 2013.

Table IX -					
Contractual					
Cash					
Obligations	Long	(	Capital		
	Term		Trust	Op	erating
Dollars in					
thousands	Debt	Se	ecurities	L	eases
2013	\$ 24	\$	-	\$	61
2014	82,527		-		204
2015	11,909		-		38
2016	28,911		-		-
2017	918		-		-
Thereafter	56,051		19,589		-
Total	\$ 180,340	\$	19,589	\$	303

### **OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS**

We are involved with some off-balance sheet arrangements that have or are reasonably likely to have an effect on our financial condition, liquidity, or capital. These arrangements at September 30, 2013 are presented in the following table.

TD 11 37	
Table X -	
Off-Balance	
Sheet	September
Arrangements	30,
Dollars in	
thousands	2013
Commitments	
to extend	
credit:	
Revolving	
home equity	
and	
credit	
card lines	\$ 49,535
Construction	
loans	32,534
Other loans	42,196

Standby letters of credit 1,759 Total \$ 126,024

63

Summit Financial Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

#### MARKET RISK MANAGEMENT

Market risk is the risk of loss arising from adverse changes in the fair value of financial instruments due to changes in interest rates, exchange rates and equity prices. Interest rate risk is our primary market risk and results from timing differences in the repricing of assets, liabilities and off-balance sheet instruments, changes in relationships between rate indices and the potential exercise of imbedded options. The principal objective of asset/liability management is to minimize interest rate risk and our actions in this regard are taken under the guidance of our Asset/Liability Management Committee ("ALCO"), which is comprised of members of senior management and members of the Board of Directors. The ALCO actively formulates the economic assumptions that we use in our financial planning and budgeting process and establishes policies which control and monitor our sources, uses and prices of funds.

Some amount of interest rate risk is inherent and appropriate to the banking business. Our net income is affected by changes in the absolute level of interest rates. Our interest rate risk position is liability sensitive. The nature of our lending and funding activities tends to drive our interest rate risk position to being liability sensitive. That is, absent any changes in the volumes of our interest earning assets or interest bearing liabilities, liabilities are likely to reprice faster than assets, resulting in a decrease in net income in a rising rate environment. Net income would increase in a falling interest rate environment. Net income is also subject to changes in the shape of the yield curve. In general, a flattening yield curve would result in a decline in our earnings due to the compression of earning asset yields and funding rates, while a steepening would result in increased earnings as margins widen.

Several techniques are available to monitor and control the level of interest rate risk. We primarily use earnings simulations modeling to monitor interest rate risk. The earnings simulation model forecasts the effects on net interest income under a variety of interest rate scenarios that incorporate changes in the absolute level of interest rates and changes in the shape of the yield curve. Each increase or decrease in interest rates is assumed to gradually take place over the next 12 months, and then remain stable, except for the up 400 scenario, which assumes a gradual increase in rates over 24 months. Assumptions used to project yields and rates for new loans and deposits are derived from historical analysis. Securities portfolio maturities and prepayments are reinvested in like instruments. Mortgage loan prepayment assumptions are developed from industry estimates of prepayment speeds. Noncontractual deposit repricings are modeled on historical patterns.

The following table presents the estimated sensitivity of our net interest income to changes in interest rates, as measured by our earnings simulation model as of September 30, 2013. The sensitivity is measured as a percentage change in net interest income given the stated changes in interest rates (gradual change over 12 months, stable thereafter for the down 100 and the up 200 scenarios, and gradual change over 24 months for the up 400 scenario) compared to net interest income with rates unchanged in the same period. The estimated changes set forth below are dependent on the assumptions discussed above and are well within our ALCO policy limit, which is a 10% reduction in net interest income over the ensuing twelve month period.

Change in	Estimated	% Change in	Net
Interest Rates	Interes	Income Over	:
		13	3-24
(basis points)	0-12 Mo	onths Mor	nths
Down 100 (1)	-0.61	% 1.55%	
Up 200 (1)	-4.51	% -4.91%	o o
Up 400 (2)	-3.72	% -9.91%	ó

- (1) assumes a parallel shift in the yield curve
- (2) assumes 400 bp increase over
- 24 months

65

Summit Financial Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

### **CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**

Our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has conducted as of September 30, 2013, an evaluation of the effectiveness of disclosure controls and procedures as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(e). Based on that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the disclosure controls and procedures as of September 30, 2013 were effective. There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended September 30, 2013 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

66

Summit Financial Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries Part II. Other Information

# Item 1. Legal Proceedings

We are involved in various legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business. In the opinion of management, the outcome of these matters will not have a significant adverse effect on the consolidated financial statements.

### Item 1A. Risk Factors

In addition to the other information set forth in this report, you should carefully consider the factors discussed in Part I, "Item 1A. Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit 3.i	Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of Summit Financial Group, Inc.
Exhibit 3.ii	Articles of Amendment 2009
Exhibit 3.iii	Articles of Amendment 2011
Exhibit 3.iv	Amended and Restated By-Laws of Summit Financial Group, Inc.
Exhibit 11	Statement re: Computation of Earnings per Share – Information contained in Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements on page 15 of this Quarterly Report is incorporated herein by reference.
Exhibit 31.1	Sarbanes-Oxley Act Section 302 Certification of Chief Executive Officer
Exhibit 31.2	Sarbanes-Oxley Act Section 302 Certification of Chief Financial Officer

Exhibit 32.1	Sarbanes-Oxley Act Section 906 Certification of Chief Executive Officer
Exhibit 32.2	Sarbanes-Oxley Act Section 906 Certification of Chief Financial Officer
Exhibit 101	Interactive Data File (XBRL)

### **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

SUMMIT FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. (registrant)

By: /s/ H. Charles Maddy, III H. Charles Maddy, III, President and Chief Executive Officer

By: /s/ Robert S. Tissue Robert S. Tissue, Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

By: /s/ Julie R. Cook Julie R. Cook, Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer

Date: November 12, 2013

68

### **EXHIBIT INDEX**

Exhibit No.	Description	Page Number
(3)	Articles of Incorporation and By-laws:	
	(i) Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation Summit Financial Group, Inc.	of(a)
	(ii) Articles of Amendment 2009	(b)
	(iii) Articles of Amendment 2011	(c)
	(iv) Amended and Restated By-laws of Summit Financial Group, Inc.	(d)
11	Statement re: Computation of Earnings per Share	16
31.1	Sarbanes-Oxley Act Section 302 Certification of Chief Executive Officer	
31.2	Sarbanes-Oxley Act Section 302 Certification of Chief Financial Officer	
32.1*	Sarbanes-Oxley Act Section 906 Certification of Chief Executive Officer	
32.2*	Sarbanes-Oxley Act Section 906 Certification of Chief Financial Officer	
101**	Interactive data file (XBRL)	

<sup>\*</sup> Furnished, not filed.

- (a) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.i of Summit Financial Group, Inc.'s filing on Form 10-Q dated March 31, 2006.
- (b) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of Summit Financial Group, Inc.'s filing on Form 8-K dated September 30, 2009.
- (c) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of Summit Financial Group, Inc.'s filing on Form 8-K dated November 3, 2011.
- (d) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 of Summit Financial Group, Inc.'s filing on Form 10-Q dated June 30, 2006.

<sup>\*\*</sup> As provided in Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, this information is furnished and not filed for purposes of Sections 11 and 12 of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.