NRG ENERGY, INC. Form 10-Q August 02, 2010

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549 FORM 10-Q

b Quarterly report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 For the quarterly period ended: June 30, 2010

• Transition report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 Commission File Number: 001-15891

NRG Energy, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

Table of Contents

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

211 Carnegie Center, Princeton, New Jersey

(Address of principal executive offices)

(609) 524-4500

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes þ No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes þ No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer, and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer *b* Accelerated filer *o* Non-accelerated filer *o* Smaller reporting company *o*

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes o No þ

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has filed all documents and reports required to be filed by Sections 12, 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 subsequent to the distribution of securities under a plan confirmed by a court.

Yes þ No o

As of July 29, 2010, there were 253,184,870 shares of common stock outstanding, par value \$0.01 per share.

2

41-1724239 (I.R.S. Employer

Identification No.)

08540

(Zip Code)

TABLE OF CONTENTS Index

GLOSSARY OF TERMS4PART IFINANCIAL INFORMATION8ITEM 1CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND NOTES8ITEM 2MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND70RESULTS OF OPERATIONS50ITEM 3QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK102ITEM 4CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES108PART IIOTHER INFORMATION109ITEM 1LEGAL PROCEEDINGS109ITEM 1RISK FACTORS109ITEM 2UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS109ITEM 3DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES109
ITEM 1CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND NOTES8ITEM 2MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND50RESULTS OF OPERATIONS50ITEM 3QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK102ITEM 4CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES108PART IIOTHER INFORMATION109ITEM 1LEGAL PROCEEDINGS109ITEM 2UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS109
ITEM 2MANAGEMENTSDISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION ANDRESULTS OF OPERATIONS50ITEM 3QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK102ITEM 4CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES108PART IIOTHER INFORMATION109ITEM 1LEGAL PROCEEDINGS109ITEM 1ARISK FACTORS109ITEM 2UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS109
RESULTS OF OPERATIONS50ITEM 3QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK102ITEM 4CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES108PART IIOTHER INFORMATION109ITEM 1LEGAL PROCEEDINGS109ITEM 1ARISK FACTORS109ITEM 2UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS109
ITEM 3QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK102ITEM 4CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES108PART IIOTHER INFORMATION109ITEM 1LEGAL PROCEEDINGS109ITEM 1ARISK FACTORS109ITEM 2UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS109
ITEM 4CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES108PART IIOTHER INFORMATION109ITEM 1LEGAL PROCEEDINGS109ITEM 1ARISK FACTORS109ITEM 2UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS109
PART IIOTHER INFORMATION109ITEM 1LEGAL PROCEEDINGS109ITEM 1ARISK FACTORS109ITEM 2UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS109
ITEM 1LEGAL PROCEEDINGS109ITEM 1ARISK FACTORS109ITEM 2UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS109
ITEM 1A RISK FACTORS 109 ITEM 2 UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS 109
ITEM 2 UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS 109
ITEM 3 DEFAULTS LIPON SENIOR SECURITIES 109
<u>ITEM 5 DEF ROLLO OF ON OECORTIE</u> S
ITEM 4 (REMOVED AND RESERVED) 109
ITEM 5 OTHER INFORMATION 109
ITEM 6 EXHIBITS 110
<u>SIGNATURES</u> 111
<u>EX-10.3</u>
<u>EX-10.4</u>
<u>EX-31.1</u> EX-31.2

2

EX-31.3 EX-32

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD LOOKING INFORMATION

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of NRG Energy, Inc., or NRG or the Company, includes forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Act, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act. The words believes, projects, anticipates, plans, expects, intends, estimates and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors which may cause NRG s actual results, performance and achievements, or industry results, to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. These factors, risks and uncertainties include the factors described under Risks Factors Related to NRG Energy, Inc. in Part I, Item 1A, of the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K, for the year ended December 31, 2009, including the following:

General economic conditions, changes in the wholesale power markets and fluctuations in the cost of fuel;

Volatile power supply costs and demand for power;

Hazards customary to the power production industry and power generation operations such as fuel and electricity price volatility, unusual weather conditions, catastrophic weather-related or other damage to facilities, unscheduled generation outages, maintenance or repairs, unanticipated changes to fuel supply costs or availability due to higher demand, shortages, transportation problems or other developments, environmental incidents, or electric transmission or gas pipeline system constraints and the possibility that NRG may not have adequate insurance to cover losses as a result of such hazards;

The effectiveness of NRG s risk management policies and procedures, and the ability of NRG s counterparties to satisfy their financial commitments;

Counterparties collateral demands and other factors affecting NRG s liquidity position and financial condition;

NRG s ability to operate its businesses efficiently, manage capital expenditures and costs tightly, and generate earnings and cash flows from its asset-based businesses in relation to its debt and other obligations;

NRG s ability to enter into contracts to sell power and procure fuel on acceptable terms and prices;

The liquidity and competitiveness of wholesale markets for energy commodities;

Government regulation, including compliance with regulatory requirements and changes in market rules, rates, tariffs and environmental laws and increased regulation of carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gas emissions;

Price mitigation strategies and other market structures employed by ISOs or RTOs that result in a failure to adequately compensate NRG s generation units for all of its costs;

NRG s ability to borrow additional funds and access capital markets, as well as NRG s substantial indebtedness and the possibility that NRG may incur additional indebtedness going forward;

Operating and financial restrictions placed on NRG and its subsidiaries that are contained in the indentures governing NRG s outstanding notes, in NRG s Senior Credit Facility, and in debt and other agreements of certain of NRG subsidiaries and project affiliates generally;

NRG s ability to implement its *Repowering*NRG strategy of developing and building new power generation facilities, including new nuclear, wind and solar projects;

NRG s ability to implement its econrg strategy of finding ways to meet the challenges of climate change, clean air and protecting natural resources while taking advantage of business opportunities;

NRG s ability to implement its *FOR*NRG strategy of increasing the return on invested capital through operational performance improvements and a range of initiatives at plants and corporate offices to reduce costs or generate revenues;

NRG s ability to achieve its strategy of regularly returning capital to shareholders;

Reliant Energy s ability to maintain market share;

NRG s ability to successfully evaluate investments in new business and growth initiatives; and

NRG s ability to successfully integrate and manage acquired businesses.

Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they were made, and NRG undertakes no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. The foregoing review of factors that could cause NRG s actual results to differ materially from those contemplated in any forward-looking statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q should not be construed as exhaustive.

3

GLOSSARY OF TERMS

When the following terms and abbreviations appear in the text of this report, they have the meanings indicated below:

Baseload capacity	Electric power generation capacity normally expected to serve loads on an around-the-clock basis throughout the calendar year
BTU	British Thermal Unit
CAA	Clean Air Act
CAIR	Clean Air Interstate Rule
CAISO	California Independent System Operator
CATR	Clean Air Transport Rule
Capital Allocation Plan	Share repurchase program
Capital Allocation Program	NRG s plan of allocating capital between debt reduction, reinvestment in the business, and share repurchases through the Capital Allocation Plan
C&I	Commercial, industrial and governmental/institutional
CFTC	U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission
CO ₂	Carbon dioxide
CPS	CPS Energy
CSF Debt	CSF I and CSF II issued notes and preferred interest, individually referred to as CSF I Debt and CSF II Debt
CSRA	Credit Sleeve Reimbursement Agreement with Merrill Lynch in connection with acquisition of Reliant Energy, as hereinafter defined
CSRA Amendment	Amendment of the existing CSRA with Merrill Lynch which became effective October 5, 2009
DNREC	Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control
ERCOT	Electric Reliability Council of Texas, the Independent System Operator and the regional reliability coordinator of the various electricity systems within Texas
Exchange Act	The Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended
Expected Baseload Generation	The net baseload generation limited by economic factors (relationship between cost of generation and market price) and reliability factors (scheduled and unplanned outages)

FASB	Financial Accounting Standards Board the designated organization for establishing standards for financial accounting and reporting			
FERC	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission			
Funded Letter of Credit Facility	NRG s \$1.3 billion term loan-backed fully funded senior secured letter of credit facility, of which \$500 million matures on February 1, 2013, and \$800 million matures on August 31, 2015, and is a component of NRG s Senior Credit Facility			
GHG	Greenhouse Gases			
GWh	Gigawatt hour			
IGCC	Integrated Gasification Combined Cycle			
ISO	Independent System Operator, also referred to as Regional Transmission Organizations, or RTO			
ISO-NE	ISO New England Inc.			
kV	Kilovolts			
kW	Kilowatts 4			

kWh	Kilowatt-hours
LIBOR	London Inter-Bank Offer Rate
LTIP	Long-Term Incentive Plan
МАСТ	Maximum Achievable Control Technology
Mass	Residential and small business
Merit Order	A term used for the ranking of power stations in order of ascending marginal cost
MIBRAG	Mitteldeutsche Braunkohlengesellschaft mbH
MMBtu	Million British Thermal Units
MW	Megawatts
MWh	Saleable megawatt hours net of internal/parasitic load megawatt-hours
NAAQS	National Ambient Air Quality Standards
NINA	Nuclear Innovation North America LLC
NO _x	Nitrogen oxide
NPNS	Normal Purchase Normal Sale
NRC	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
NYISO	New York Independent System Operator
OCI	Other comprehensive income
Phase II 316(b) Rule	A section of the Clean Water Act regulating cooling water intake structures
РЈМ	PJM Interconnection, LLC
PJM market	The wholesale and retail electric market operated by PJM primarily in all or parts of Delaware, the District of Columbia, Illinois, Maryland, New Jersey, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Virginia and West Virginia
PPA	Power Purchase Agreement
PUCT	Public Utility Commission of Texas
Reliant Energy	NRG s retail business in Texas purchased on May 1, 2009, from Reliant Energy, Inc. which is now known as RRI Energy, Inc., or RRI

Repowering	Technologies utilized to replace, rebuild, or redevelop major portions of an existing electrical generating facility, not only to achieve a substantial emissions reduction, but also to increase facility capacity, and improve system efficiency
RepoweringNRG	NRG s program designed to develop, finance, construct and operate new, highly efficient, environmentally responsible capacity
RERH	RERH Holding, LLC and its subsidiaries
Revolving Credit Facility	NRG s \$875 million senior secured revolving credit facility, which matures on August 31, 2015, and is a component of NRG s Senior Credit Facility
RGGI	Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative
RMR	Reliability Must-Run
ROIC	Return on invested capital
RRI	RRI Energy, Inc. (formerly Reliant Energy, Inc.)
Sarbanes-Oxley	Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, as amended
SEC	United States Securities and Exchange Commission 5

Securities Act	The Securities Act of 1933, as amended
Senior Credit Facility	NRG s senior secured facility, which is comprised of a Term Loan Facility, an \$875 million Revolving Credit Facility and a \$1.3 billion Funded Letter of Credit Facility
Senior Notes	The Company s \$5.4 billion outstanding unsecured senior notes consisting of \$1.2 billion of 7.25% senior notes due 2014, \$2.4 billion of 7.375% senior notes due 2016, \$1.1 billion of 7.375% senior notes due 2017, and \$700 million of 8.5% senior notes due 2019
SO ₂	Sulfur dioxide
STP	South Texas Project nuclear generating facility located near Bay City, Texas in which NRG owns a 44% Interest
STPNOC	South Texas Project Nuclear Operating Company
TANE	Toshiba America Nuclear Energy Corporation
TANE Facility	NINA s \$500 million credit facility with TANE which matures on February 24, 2012
TEPCO	The Tokyo Electric Power Company of Japan, Inc.
Term Loan Facility	A senior first priority secured term loan, of which approximately \$975 million matures on February 1, 2013 and \$1.0 billion matures on August 31, 2015, and is a component of NRG s Senior Credit Facility
TNEA	TEPCO Nuclear Energy America LLC
Tonnes	Metric tonnes, which are units of mass or weight in the metric system each equal to 2,205lbs and are the global measurement for GHG
TWh	Terawatt hour
U.S.	United States of America
U.S. DOE	United States Department of Energy
U.S. EPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
U.S. GAAP	Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States
VaR	Value at Risk

ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

The FASB has established the FASB Accounting Standards Codification, or ASC, as the source of authoritative U.S. GAAP. The FASB issues updates to the ASC through Accounting Standards Updates, or ASUs. The following ASC topics and ASUs are referenced in this report:

ASC 280	ASC-280, Segment Reporting
ASC 450	ASC-450, Contingencies
ASC 740	ASC-740, Income Taxes
ASC 805	ASC-805, Business Combinations
ASC 810	ASC-810, Consolidation
ASC 815	ASC-815, Derivatives and Hedging
ASC 820	ASC-820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures
ASC 980	ASC-980, Regulated Operations
ASU 2009-15	ASU No. 2009-15, Accounting for Own-Share Lending Arrangements in Contemplation of Convertible Debt Issuance or Other Financing
ASU 2009-17	ASU No. 2009-17, Consolidations: Improvements to Financial Reporting by Enterprises Involved with Variable Interest Entities
ASU 2010-02	ASU No. 2010-02, Consolidation (Topic 810): Accounting and Reporting for Decreases in Ownership of a Subsidiary a Scope Clarification
ASU 2010-06	ASU No. 2010-06, Fair Value Measurement and Disclosures: Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements
ASU 2010-09	ASU No. 2010-09, Subsequent Events (Topic 815): Amendments to Certain Recognition and Disclosure Requirements
ASU 2010-10	ASU No. 2010-10, Consolidation (Topic 810): Amendments for Certain Investment Funds
	7

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION ITEM 1 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND NOTES NRG ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (Unaudited)

	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
(In millions, except for per share amounts)	2010	2009	2010	2009
Operating Revenues				
Total operating revenues	\$2,133	\$2,237	\$4,348	\$3,895
Operating Costs and Expenses				
Cost of operations	1,329	1,242	2,968	2,008
Depreciation and amortization	208	213	410	382
Selling, general and administrative	139	131	269	214
Acquisition-related transaction and integration costs		23		35
Development costs	13	9	22	22
Total operating costs and expenses Gain on sale of assets	1,689	1,618	3,669	2,661
Gain on sale of assets			23	
Operating Income	444	619	702	1,234
Other Income/(Expense)				
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates	11	5	25	27
Gain on sale of equity method investment		128		128
Other income/(expense), net	19	(11)	23	(14)
Interest expense	(147)	(159)	(300)	(297)
Total other expense	(117)	(37)	(252)	(156)
Income Before Income Taxes	327	582	450	1,078
Income tax expense	117	150	182	448
Net Income	210	432	268	630
Less: Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interest	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)
Net income attributable to NRG Energy, Inc.	211	433	269	631
Dividends for preferred shares	3	7	5	21
Income Available for NRG Energy, Inc. Common Stockholders	\$ 208	\$ 426	\$ 264	\$ 610
Earnings per share attributable to NRG Energy, Inc. Common				
Stockholders	c		<i></i>	
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding basic	255	253	254	245
Net Income per Weighted Average Common Share basic	\$ 0.82	\$ 1.68	\$ 1.04	\$ 2.49

Edgar Filing: NRG ENERGY, INC Form 10-Q				
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding diluted	256	275	256	275
Net Income per Weighted Average Common Share diluted	\$ 0.81	\$ 1.56	\$ 1.03	\$ 2.27
See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.				

NRG ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(In millions, except shares)	June 30, 2010 (unaudited)	December 31, 2009
ASSETS		
Current Assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 2,168	\$ 2,304
Funds deposited by counterparties	310	177
Restricted cash	13	2
Accounts receivable trade, less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$21 and \$20, representively.	000	076
\$29, respectively Inventory	909 535	876 541
Derivative instruments valuation	1,800	1,636
Cash collateral paid in support of energy risk management activities	391	361
Prepayments and other current assets	243	311
repuyments and other earrent assets	215	511
Total current assets	6,369	6,208
Property, plant and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of		
\$3,414 and \$3,052, respectively	11,793	11,564
Other Assets		
Equity investments in affiliates	394	409
Note receivable affiliate and capital leases, less current portion	434	504
Goodwill	1,716	1,718
Intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization of \$862 and \$648,		
respectively	1,626	1,777
Nuclear decommissioning trust fund	360	367
Derivative instruments valuation	910	683
Restricted cash supporting funded letter of credit facility	1,300	1.40
Other non-current assets	201	148
Total other assets	6,941	5,606
Total Assets	\$25,103	\$ 23,378
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY		
Current Liabilities		
Current portion of long-term debt and capital leases	\$ 179	\$ 571
Accounts payable	690	697
Derivative instruments valuation	1,484	1,473
Deferred income taxes	244	197
Cash collateral received in support of energy risk management activities	310	177
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities	623	647
Total current liabilities	3,530	3,762

Other Liabilities		
Long-term debt and capital leases	7,991	7,847
Funded letter of credit	1,300	
Nuclear decommissioning reserve	309	300
Nuclear decommissioning trust liability	234	255
Deferred income taxes	1,768	1,783
Derivative instruments valuation	433	387
Out-of-market contracts	258	294
Other non-current liabilities	1,002	806
Total non-current liabilities	13,295	11,672
Total Liabilities	16,825	15,434
3.625% convertible perpetual preferred stock (at liquidation value, net of		
issuance costs)	248	247
Commitments and Contingencies		
Stockholders Equity		
Preferred stock (at liquidation value, net of issuance costs)		149
Common stock	3	3
Additional paid-in capital	5,311	4,948
Retained earnings	3,596	3,332
Less treasury stock, at cost 50,625,606 and 41,866,451 shares, respectively	(1,373)	(1,163)
Accumulated other comprehensive income	476	416
Noncontrolling interest	17	12
Total Stockholders Equity	8,030	7,697
Total Liabilities and Stockholders Equity	\$25,103	\$ 23,378
See notes to condensed consolidated financial stat	ements.	

9

NRG ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited)

(In millions) Six months ended June 30,	2010	2009
Cash Flows from Operating Activities		
Net income	\$ 268	\$ 630
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Distributions and equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates	(9)	(27)
Depreciation and amortization	410	382
Provision for bad debts	22	9
Amortization of nuclear fuel	19	19
Amortization of financing costs and debt discount/premiums	15	21
Amortization of intangibles and out-of-market contracts	1	15
Changes in deferred income taxes and liability for unrecognized tax benefits	179	445
Changes in nuclear decommissioning trust liability	9	15
Changes in derivatives	(55)	(368)
Changes in collateral deposits supporting energy risk management activities	(30)	245
Gain on sale of assets, net	(11)	(1)
Gain on sale of equity method investment	2	(128)
Loss/(gain) on sale of emission allowances	3	(9)
Gain recognized on settlement of pre-existing relationship	15	(31)
Amortization of unearned equity compensation	15 34	13
Changes in option premiums collected, net of acquisition		(270)
Cash used by changes in other working capital, net of acquisition	(265)	(238)
Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities	605	722
Cash Flows from Investing Activities		
Acquisition of businesses, net of cash acquired	(141)	(345)
Capital expenditures	(330)	(374)
Increase in restricted cash, net	(11)	(3)
Decrease/(increase) in notes receivable	15	(11)
Purchases of emission allowances	(45)	(52)
Proceeds from sale of emission allowances	11	15
Investments in nuclear decommissioning trust fund securities	(76)	(172)
Proceeds from sales of nuclear decommissioning trust fund securities	67	157
Proceeds from renewable energy grants	102	
Proceeds from sale of assets, net	30	6
Proceeds from sale of equity method investment		284
Other	(7)	(5)
Net Cash Used by Investing Activities	(385)	(500)
Cash Flows from Financing Activities		
Payment of dividends to preferred stockholders	(5)	(21)
Payment for treasury stock	(50)	

Net receipt from/(payments for) acquired derivatives that include financing		
elements	27	(22)
Installment proceeds from sale of noncontrolling interest in subsidiary	50	50
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt	141	820
Proceeds from issuance of term loan for funded letter of credit facility	1,300	
Increase in restricted cash supporting funded letter of credit facility	(1,300)	
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	2	
Payment of deferred debt issuance costs	(53)	(29)
Payments for short and long-term debt	(459)	(233)
Net Cash (Used)/Provided by Financing Activities	(347)	565
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(9)	1
Net (Decrease)/Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents	(136)	788
Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Period	2,304	1,494
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period	\$ 2,168	\$ 2,282

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

10

NRG ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

Note 1 Basis of Presentation

NRG Energy, Inc., or NRG or the Company, is primarily a wholesale power generation company with a significant presence in major competitive power markets in the United States, as well as a major retail electricity provider in the ERCOT (Texas) market. NRG is engaged in the ownership, development, construction and operation of power generation facilities, both conventional and renewable, the transacting in and trading of fuel and transportation services, the trading of energy, capacity and related products in the United States and select international markets, and supply of electricity and energy services to retail electricity customers in the Texas market. The Company also seeks to invest in and deploy new energy technologies.

The accompanying unaudited interim condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the SEC s regulations for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and notes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. The following notes should be read in conjunction with the accounting policies and other disclosures as set forth in the notes to the Company s financial statements in its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009. Interim results are not necessarily indicative of results for a full year.

In the opinion of management, the accompanying unaudited interim condensed consolidated financial statements contain all material adjustments consisting of normal and recurring accruals necessary to present fairly the Company s consolidated financial position as of June 30, 2010, the results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, and 2009, and cash flows for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009. Certain prior-year amounts have been reclassified for comparative purposes.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions. These estimates and assumptions impact the reported amount of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities as of the date of the consolidated financial statements. They also impact the reported amount of net earnings during the reporting period. Actual results could be different from these estimates.

Note 2 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Other Cash Flow Information

NRG s investing activities do not include non-cash capital expenditures of \$113 million which were accrued at June 30, 2010.

Recent Accounting Developments

ASU No. 2009-17 On January 1, 2010, the Company adopted the provisions of ASU No. 2009-17, *Consolidations: Improvements to Financial Reporting by Enterprises Involved with Variable Interest Entities*, or ASU 2009-17. This guidance amends ASC 810 by altering how a company determines when an entity that is insufficiently capitalized or not controlled through its voting interests should be consolidated. The previous ASC 810 guidance required a quantitative analysis of the economic risk/rewards of a Variable Interest Entity, or a VIE, to determine the primary beneficiary. ASU 2009-17 specifies that a qualitative analysis be performed, requiring the primary beneficiary to have both the power to direct the activities of a VIE that most significantly impact the entities economic performance, as well as either the obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits that could potentially be significant to the VIE. The Company s adoption of ASU 2009-17 on January 1, 2010, did not have an impact on its results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

ASU No. 2010-10 In February 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-10, *Consolidation (Topic 810): Amendments for Certain Investment Funds*, or ASU 2010-10. The amendments to ASC 810 clarify that related parties should be considered when evaluating the criteria for determining whether a decision maker s or service provider s fee represents a variable interest. In addition, the amendments clarify that a quantitative calculation should not be the sole basis for evaluating whether a decision maker s or service provider s fee represents a variable interest. The Company adopted the provisions of ASU 2010-10 effective January 1, 2010, with no impact on its results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

Other effects of ASU 2009-17/ASU 2010-10 adoption NRG determined that one of its equity method investments was a VIE as of January 1, 2010, upon adoption of this new guidance. NRG owns a 50% interest in Sherbino I Wind Farm LLC, or Sherbino, a 150MW wind farm operated as a joint venture with BP Wind Energy North America Inc., or BP Wind. The Company has determined that Sherbino is a VIE, but the Company is not the primary beneficiary, under the amended guidance in ASU 2009-17 and ASU 2010-10. Therefore, NRG will continue to account for its investment in Sherbino under the equity method. NRG s maximum exposure to loss is limited to its equity investment, which is \$94 million as of June 30, 2010.

Borrowings of an equity method investment In December 2008, Sherbino entered into a 15-year term loan facility which is non-recourse to NRG. As of June 30, 2010, the outstanding principal balance of the term loan facility was \$131 million, and is secured by substantially all of Sherbino s assets and membership interests.

ASU No. 2010-09 In February 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-09, Subsequent Events (Topic 855): Amendments to Certain Recognition and Disclosure Requirements, or ASU 2010-09. Under the amendments of ASU 2010-09, an entity that is an SEC filer is not required to disclose the date through which subsequent events have been evaluated. As this guidance provides only disclosure requirements, the adoption of ASU 2010-09 effective January 1, 2010, did not impact the Company s results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

Other The following accounting standards were adopted on January 1, 2010, with no impact on the Company s results of operations, financial position or cash flows:

ASU No. 2009-15, Accounting for Own-Share Lending Arrangements in Contemplation of Convertible Debt Issuance or Other Financing, or ASU 2009-15.

ASU No. 2010-02, Consolidation (Topic 810): Accounting and Reporting for Decreases in Ownership of a Subsidiary a Scope Clarification, or ASU 2010-02.

ASU No. 2010-06, Fair Value Measurement and Disclosures: Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements, or ASU 2010-06.

Note 3 Comprehensive Income/(Loss)

The following table summarizes the components of the Company s comprehensive income/(loss), net of tax:

		nths ended e 30,	Six months ended June 30,		
(In millions)	2010	2009	2010	2009	
Net income attributable to NRG Energy, Inc.	\$ 211	\$ 433	\$269	\$631	
Changes in derivative activity	(154)	(109)	103	64	
Foreign currency translation adjustment	(36)	36	(42)	18	
Reclassification adjustment for translation gain					
realized upon sale of foreign investments		(22)		(22)	
Unrealized (loss)/gain on available-for-sale securities	(1)	1	(1)	2	
Other comprehensive (loss)/income	(191)	(94)	60	62	
Comprehensive income attributable to NRG Energy, Inc.	\$ 20	\$ 339	\$329	\$693	

The following table summarizes the changes in the Company s accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax:

(In millions)

Accumulated other comprehensive income as of December 31, 2009

20

\$416

Changes in derivative activity Foreign currency translation adjustment Unrealized loss on available-for-sale securities	103 (42) (1)
Accumulated other comprehensive income as of June 30, 2010	\$476
12	

Note 4 Business Acquisitions and Dispositions Acquisition of Reliant Energy

On May 1, 2009, NRG, through its wholly-owned subsidiary, NRG Retail LLC, acquired Reliant Energy from RRI Energy, Inc., or RRI, which consisted of the entire Texas electric retail business operations of RRI, including the exclusive use of the trade name Reliant and related branding rights. The acquisition of Reliant Energy was accounted for under the acquisition method of accounting in accordance with ASC 805. Accordingly, NRG conducted an assessment of net assets acquired and recognized identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed at their acquisition date fair values. The accounting for this business combination was completed on March 31, 2010.

NRG paid RRI total cash consideration of approximately \$370 million. NRG also recognized a \$31 million non-cash gain at the acquisition date, on the settlement of a pre-existing relationship, representing the in-the-money value to NRG of an agreement that permits Reliant Energy to call on certain NRG gas plants when necessary for Reliant Energy to meet its load obligations. This non-cash gain was considered a component of consideration in accordance with ASC 805, and together with cash consideration, brings total consideration to approximately \$401 million.

The following table summarizes the values assigned to the net assets acquired, including cash acquired of \$6 million, as of the acquisition date:

	(In millions)
Assets	
Current and non-current assets	\$ 635
Property, plant and equipment	72
Intangible assets subject to amortization:	
In-market customer contracts	790
Customer relationships	405
Trade names	178
In-market energy supply contracts	54
Other	6
Derivative assets	1,942
Deferred tax asset, net	14
Goodwill	
Total assets acquired	\$4,096
Liabilities	
Current and non-current liabilities	\$ 556
Derivative liabilities	2,996
Out-of-market energy supply and customer contracts	143
Total liabilities assumed	\$ 3,695
Net assets acquired	\$ 401
13	

Measurement period adjustments

The following measurement period adjustments to the provisional amounts, attributable to refinement of the underlying appraisal assumptions, were recognized during 2009 subsequent to the acquisition date and through the first quarter of 2010, the end of the measurement period:

	Increase/(Decrease) (In millions)
Assets	
Intangible assets subject to amortization:	
In-market customer contracts	\$ 57
Customer relationships	(76)
In-market energy supply contracts	17
Deferred tax asset, net	3
Total assets acquired	1
Liabilities	
Current and non-current liabilities	6
Out-of-market energy supply and customer contracts	(5)
Total liabilities assumed	1
Net assets acquired	\$

Other Acquisitions

Northwind Phoenix On June 22, 2010, NRG, through its wholly-owned subsidiary, NRG Thermal LLC, or NRG Thermal, acquired Northwind Phoenix, LLC, or Northwind Phoenix, for a total purchase price of \$100 million, plus a payment for acquired working capital true-ups. Northwind Phoenix owns and operates a district cooling system that provides chilled water to commercial buildings in the Phoenix central business district. In addition, Northwind Phoenix maintains and operates Combined Heat and Power, or CHP, plants that provide chilled water, steam and electricity in metropolitan Tucson and to portions of Arizona State University campuses in Tempe and Mesa. The acquisition was financed by the issuance of \$100 million in notes by NRG Thermal. See Note 8, *Long-Term Debt*, for information related to the notes issued.

South Trent On June 14, 2010, NRG acquired South Trent Wind LLC, owner of the South Trent wind farm, or South Trent, a 101 MW wind farm near Sweetwater, Texas, for a total purchase price of \$111 million. South Trent commenced operations in January 2009 and consists of 44 turbines producing up to 2.3 MW of power each. The project has a 20-year PPA, which commenced January 2009, for all generation from the site. In connection with the acquisition, NRG paid \$32 million in cash and South Trent entered into a financing arrangement that includes a \$79 million term loan. See Note 8, *Long-Term Debt*, for information related to this financing arrangement. *Dispositions*

Padoma On January 11, 2010, NRG sold its terrestrial wind development company, Padoma Wind Power LLC, or Padoma, to Enel North America, Inc., or Enel. NRG retained its existing ownership interest in its three Texas wind farms: Sherbino, Elbow Creek and Langford. In addition, NRG will maintain a strategic partnership with Enel to evaluate potential opportunities in renewable energy, including the opportunity to participate in wind projects currently in development. NRG recognized a gain on the sale of Padoma of \$23 million, which was recorded as a component of operating income in the statement of operations.

MIBRAG On June 10, 2009, NRG sold its 50% ownership interest in Mibrag B.V. whose principal holding was MIBRAG. For its share, NRG received EUR 203 million (\$284 million at an exchange rate of 1.40 U.S.\$/EUR), net of transaction costs. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, NRG recognized an after-tax gain of

\$128 million. Prior to completion of the sale, NRG continued to record its share of MIBRAG s operations to Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates. In connection with the transaction, NRG entered into a foreign currency forward contract to hedge the impact of exchange rate fluctuations on the sale proceeds. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, NRG recorded an exchange loss of \$15 million and \$24 million, respectively, on the contract within Other income/(expense), net.

Note 5 Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The estimated carrying values and fair values of NRG s recorded financial instruments are as follows:

	Carrying	g Amount December 31,	Fair	Value December 31,
	June 30, 2010	2009	June 30, 2010	2009
	2010		illions)	_009
Assets:		× ×	,	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$2,168	\$2,304	\$2,168	\$ 2,304
Funds deposited by counterparties	310	177	310	177
Restricted cash	13	2	13	2
Cash collateral paid in support of energy risk				
management activities	391	361	391	361
Investment in available-for-sale securities				
(classified within other non-current assets):				
Debt securities	10	9	10	9
Marketable equity securities	3	5	3	5
Trust fund investments	362	369	362	369
Notes receivable	221	231	232	238
Derivative assets	2,710	2,319	2,710	2,319
Restricted cash supporting funded letter of credit				
facility	1,300		1,300	
Liabilities:				
Long-term debt, including current portion	8,069	8,295	7,991	8,211
Funded letter of credit	1,300		1,250	
Cash collateral received in support of energy risk				
management activities	310	177	310	177
Derivative liabilities	\$1,917	\$1,860	\$1,917	\$ 1,860
	15			

Recurring Fair Value Measurements

The following table presents assets and liabilities measured and recorded at fair value on the Company s condensed consolidated balance sheet on a recurring basis and their level within the fair value hierarchy:

(In millions)	Fair Value Level				
As of June 30, 2010	Level 1	Level 2	3	Total	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$2,168	\$	\$	\$2,168	
Funds deposited by counterparties	310			310	
Restricted cash	13			13	
Cash collateral paid in support of energy risk management activities	391			391	
Investment in available-for-sale securities (classified within other non-current assets):					
Debt securities			10	10	
Marketable equity securities	3			3	
Trust fund investments					
Cash and cash equivalents	9			9	
U.S. government and federal agency obligations	27			27	
Federal agency mortgage-backed securities		61		61	
Commercial mortgage-backed securities		10		10	
Corporate debt securities		50		50	
Marketable equity securities	172		32	204	
Foreign government fixed income securities		1		1	
Derivative assets					
Commodity contracts	629	2,005	65	2,699	
Interest rate contracts		,	11	11	
Restricted cash supporting funded letter of credit facility	1,300			1,300	
Total assets	\$5,022	\$2,127	\$118	\$7,267	
Cash collateral received in support of energy risk management activities	\$ 310	\$	\$	\$ 310	
Derivative liabilities					
Commodity contracts	681	967	152	1,800	
Interest rate contracts		117	_	117	
Total liabilities	\$ 991	\$1,084	\$152	\$2,227	
(In millions)		Fair V	alue Level		

		el		
As of December 31, 2009	Level 1	Level 2	3	Total
Cash and cash equivalents	\$2,304	\$	\$	\$2,304
Funds deposited by counterparties	177			177
Restricted cash	2			2
Cash collateral paid in support of energy risk management activities	361			361
Investment in available-for-sale securities (classified within other non-current assets):				
Debt securities			9	9

Marketable equity securities	5			5
Trust fund investments	214	118	37	369
Derivative assets	489	1,767	63	2,319
Total assets	\$3,552	\$1,885	\$109	\$5,546
Cash collateral received in support of energy risk management activities	\$ 177	\$	\$	\$ 177
Derivative liabilities	501	1,283	76	1,860
Total liabilities	\$ 678	\$1,283	\$ 76	\$2,037
16				

There have been no transfers during the three months and six months ended June 30, 2010, between Levels 1 and 2. The following table reconciles the beginning and ending balances for financial instruments that are recognized at fair value in the consolidated financial statements using significant unobservable inputs:

	Three months ended June 30, 2010 Trust Debt Fund					Six months ended June 30, Trust Debt Fund					
(In millions)			Derivatives ^(a)	Total			Derivatives ^(a)	Total			
Beginning Balance Total gains/(losses) (realized and unrealized)	\$9	\$ 37	\$ (25)	\$ 21	\$9	\$ 37	\$ (13)	\$ 33			
Included in earnings			(63)	(63)			(31)	(31)			
Included in OCI Included in nuclear decommissioning	1			1	1			1			
obligations		(5)		(5)		(5)		(5)			
Purchases			8	8			9	9			
Transfer into Level 3 (b)			15	15			(47)	(47)			
Transfer out of Level 3 ^(b)			(11)	(11)			6	6			
Ending balance as of											
June 30, 2010	\$10	\$ 32	\$ (76)	\$(34)	\$10	\$ 32	\$ (76)	\$(34)			
The amount of the total gains for the period included in earnings attributable to the change in unrealized gains relating to assets still held as of											
June 30, 2010	\$	\$	\$ (61)	\$(61)	\$	\$	\$ (36)	\$(36)			

(In millions)	Debt	Trust Fund	ended June 30, Derivatives ^(a)	2009 Total	Debt	Trust Fund	ded June 30, 2 Derivatives ^(a)	2009 Total
Beginning Balance	\$7	\$ 27	\$ 126	\$160	\$7	\$ 31	\$ 49	\$87
Total gains/(losses)								
(realized and unrealized) Included in earnings			(49)	(49)			(30)	(30)
Included in nuclear				· · ·				~ /
decommissioning								
obligations		6		6		2		2
Purchases/(sales), net		1	(8)	(7)		1	(4)	(3)
Transfer in/(out) of Level 3	3							
<i>(b)</i>			(19)	(19)			35	35

Ending balance as of June 30, 2009	\$7	\$ 34	\$	50	\$91	\$7	\$ 34	\$	50	\$ 91
The amount of the total gains for the period included in earnings attributable to the change in unrealized gains relating to assets still held as of	¢	¢	¢		ф (1)	¢	¢	¢	29	¢ 20
June 30, 2009	\$	\$	\$	(1)	\$ (1)	\$	\$	\$	28	\$ 28
(a) Consists of derivative assets and liabilities, net.										
(b) Transfers in/(out) of Level 3 are related to the availability of external broker quotes. All transfers out are to Level 2.										

Realized and unrealized gains and losses included in earnings that are related to the energy derivatives are recorded in operating revenues and cost of operations.

In determining the fair value of NRG s Level 2 and 3 derivative contracts, NRG applies a credit reserve to reflect credit risk which is calculated based on credit default swaps. As of June 30, 2010, the credit reserve resulted in an \$11 million decrease in fair value which is composed of a \$6 million loss in OCI and a \$5 million loss in operating revenue and cost of operations.

Concentration of Credit Risk

In addition to the credit risk discussion as disclosed in Note 2, *Summary of Significant Accounting Policies*, to the Company s financial statements in its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009, the following item is a discussion of the concentration of credit risk for the Company s financial instruments. Credit risk relates to the risk of loss resulting from non-performance or non-payment by counterparties pursuant to the terms of their contractual obligations. NRG is exposed to counterparty credit risk through various activities including wholesale sales, fuel purchases and retail supply and retail customer credit risk through its retail load activities.

Counterparty Credit Risk

The Company monitors and manages counterparty credit risk through credit policies that include: (i) an established credit approval process; (ii) a daily monitoring of counterparties credit limits; (iii) the use of credit mitigation measures such as margin, collateral, prepayment arrangements, or volumetric limits; (iv) the use of payment netting agreements; and (v) the use of master netting agreements that allow for the netting of positive and negative exposures of various contracts associated with a single counterparty. Risks surrounding counterparty performance and credit could ultimately impact the amount and timing of expected cash flows. The Company seeks to mitigate counterparty credit risk with a diversified portfolio of counterparties. The Company also has credit protection within various agreements to call on additional collateral support if and when necessary. Cash margin is collected and held at NRG to cover the credit risk of the counterparty until positions settle.

As of June 30, 2010, total counterparty credit exposure to substantially all counterparties was \$1.5 billion and NRG held cash collateral against those positions of \$310 million and letters of credit of \$11 million, resulting in a net exposure of \$1.2 billion. Total counterparty credit exposure is discounted at the risk free rate.

The following table highlights the counterparty credit quality and the net counterparty credit exposure by industry sector. Net counterparty credit exposure is defined as the aggregate net asset position for NRG with counterparties where netting is permitted under the enabling agreement and includes all cash flow, mark-to-market and Normal Purchase Normal Sale, or NPNS, and non-derivative transactions. The exposure is shown net of collateral held, and includes amounts net of receivables or payables.

Category	Net Exposure ^(a) (% of Total)
Financial institutions Utilities, energy, merchants, marketers and other Coal suppliers ISOs	59% 31 4 6
Total as of June 30, 2010	100%
Category	Net Exposure ^(a) (% of Total)
Investment grade Non-Investment grade Non-rated	88% 2 10
Total as of June 30, 2010	100%
 (a) Counterparty credit exposure excludes California tolling, Northeast load obligations, certain cooperative load contracts, and Texas Westmoreland coal contracts. The aforementioned exposures were excluded for various reasons 	

including regulatory support or liens held against the contracts which serve to reduce the risk of loss. NRG also excludes uranium and coal transportation contracts from counterparty credit exposure because of the *illiquidity of the* reference markets. Credit exposure also excludes any exposure NRG has to counterparties of non-recourse subsidiaries.

NRG has counterparty credit risk exposure to certain counterparties representing more than 10% of total net exposure and the aggregate of such counterparties was \$409 million. Approximately 89% of NRG s positions relating to credit risk roll-off by the end of 2012. Changes in hedge positions and market prices will affect credit exposure and counterparty concentration. Given the credit quality, diversification and term of the exposure in the portfolio, NRG does not anticipate a material impact on the Company s financial results or results of operations from nonperformance by any of NRG s counterparties.

Retail Customer Credit Risk

NRG is exposed to retail credit risk through the Company s competitive electricity supply business, which serves C&I customers and the Mass market in Texas. Retail credit risk results when a customer fails to pay for services rendered. The losses could be incurred from nonpayment of customer accounts receivable and any in-the-money forward value. NRG manages retail credit risk through the use of established credit policies that include monitoring of the portfolio, and the use of credit mitigation measures such as deposits or prepayment arrangements.

As of June 30, 2010, the Company s retail customer credit exposure to C&I customers was diversified across many customers and various industries, with a significant portion of the exposure with government entities.

NRG is also exposed to retail customer credit risk relating to its Mass customers, which may result in a write-off of bad debt. During 2010, the Company continued to experience improved customer payment behavior, but current economic conditions may affect the Company s customers ability to pay bills in a timely manner, which could increase customer delinquencies and may lead to an increase in bad debt expense.

This footnote should be read in conjunction with the complete description under Note 5, *Fair Value of Financial Instruments*, to the Company s financial statements in its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Note 6 Nuclear Decommissioning Trust Fund

NRG s nuclear decommissioning trust fund assets, which are for its portion of the decommissioning of the South Texas Project, or STP, are comprised of securities classified as available-for-sale and recorded at fair value based on actively quoted market prices. NRG accounts for the nuclear decommissioning trust fund in accordance with ASC-980

Regulated Operations, or ASC 980. Since the Company is in compliance with the Public Utility Commission of Texas, or PUCT rules and regulations regarding decommissioning trusts and the cost of decommissioning is the responsibility of the Texas ratepayers, not NRG, all realized and unrealized gains or losses (including other than-temporary-impairments) related to the Nuclear Decommissioning Trust Fund are recorded to the Nuclear Decommissioning Trust Fund are recorded to the Nuclear Commissioning Trust Liability to the ratepayers and are not included in net income or accumulated other comprehensive income, consistent with regulatory treatment.

The following table summarizes the aggregate fair values and unrealized gains and losses (including other-than-temporary impairments) for the securities held in the trust funds as of June 30, 2010, and December 31, 2009, as well as information about the contractual maturities of those securities. The cost of securities sold is determined on the specific identification method.

	As of June 30, 2010			As of December 31, 2009				
(In millions, except otherwise noted)	Fair		dnrealize	Weighted- average daturities (in		Unrealize	dnrealize	(in
(In millions, except otherwise noted)	Value	e gains	losses	years)	Value	gains	losses	years)
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 9	\$	\$		\$ 4	\$	\$	
U.S. government and federal agency		_						_
obligations	25	2		11	23	1		8
Federal agency mortgage-backed								
securities	61	3		22	60	2		23
Commercial mortgage-backed								
securities	10		1	30	10		1	29
Corporate debt securities	50	3		10	48	3	1	10
Marketable equity securities	204	73	3		220	89	2	
Foreign government fixed income								
securities	1			7	2			6
Total	\$360	\$ 81	\$4		\$367	\$ 95	\$4	

The following tables summarize proceeds from sales of available-for-sale securities and the related realized gains and losses from these sales.

	Six months ended June 30,			
(In millions)	2010	2009		
Realized gains	\$ 2	\$ 2		
Realized losses	2	5		
Proceeds from sale of securities	67	157		

Note 7 Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

ASC 815 requires NRG to recognize all derivative instruments on the balance sheet as either assets or liabilities and to measure them at fair value each reporting period unless they qualify for a NPNS exception. If certain conditions are met, NRG may be able to designate certain derivatives as cash flow hedges and defer the effective portion of the change in fair value of the derivatives to accumulated OCI, until the hedged transactions occur and are recognized in earnings. The ineffective portion of a cash flow hedge is immediately recognized in earnings.

For derivatives designated as hedges of the fair value of assets or liabilities, the changes in fair value of both the derivative and the hedged transaction are recorded in current earnings.

For derivatives that are not designated as cash flow hedges or do not qualify for hedge accounting treatment, the changes in the fair value will be immediately recognized in earnings. Under the guidelines established per ASC 815, certain derivative instruments may qualify for the NPNS exception and are therefore exempt from fair value accounting treatment. ASC 815 applies to NRG s energy related commodity contracts, interest rate swaps, and foreign exchange contracts.

As the Company engages principally in the trading and marketing of its generation assets and retail business, some of NRG s commercial activities qualify for hedge accounting under the requirements of ASC 815. In order for the generation assets to qualify, the physical generation and sale of electricity should be highly probable at inception of the trade and throughout the period it is held, as is the case with the Company s baseload plants. For this reason, many trades in support of NRG s baseload units normally qualify for NPNS or cash flow hedge accounting treatment, and trades in support of NRG s peaking unit s asset optimization will generally not qualify for hedge accounting treatment, with any changes in fair value likely to be reflected on a mark-to-market basis in the statement of operations. Most of the retail load contracts either qualify for the NPNS exception or fail to meet the criteria for a derivative and the majority of the supply contracts are recorded under mark-to-market accounting. All of NRG s hedging and trading activities are subject to limits within the Company s Risk Management Policy.

Energy-Related Commodities

To manage the commodity price risk associated with the Company s competitive supply activities and the price risk associated with wholesale and retail power sales from the Company s electric generation facilities, NRG may enter into a variety of derivative and non-derivative hedging instruments, utilizing the following:

Forward contracts, which commit NRG to sell or purchase energy commodities or purchase fuels in the future.

Futures contracts, which are exchange-traded standardized commitments to purchase or sell a commodity or financial instrument.

Swap agreements, which require payments to or from counter-parties based upon the differential between two prices for a predetermined contractual, or notional, quantity.

Option contracts, which convey the right or obligation to purchase or sell a commodity.

Weather and hurricane derivative products used to mitigate a portion of Reliant Energy s lost revenue due to weather.

The objectives for entering into derivative contracts designated as hedges include:

Fixing the price for a portion of anticipated future electricity sales through the use of various derivative instruments including gas collars and swaps at a level that provides an acceptable return on the Company s electric generation operations.

Fixing the price of a portion of anticipated fuel purchases for the operation of NRG s power plants.

As of June 30, 2010, NRG had cash flow hedge energy-related derivative financial instruments extending through December 2013.

NRG s trading activities are subject to limits within the Company s Risk Management Policy. These contracts are recognized on the balance sheet at fair value and changes in the fair value of these derivative financial instruments are recognized in earnings.

Interest Rate Swaps

NRG is exposed to changes in interest rates through the Company s issuance of variable and fixed rate debt. In order to manage the Company s interest rate risk, NRG enters into interest rate swap agreements. As of June 30, 2010, NRG had interest rate derivative instruments extending through June 2028, the majority of which had been designated as either cash flow or fair value hedges.

Volumetric Underlying Derivative Transactions

The following table summarizes the net notional volume buy/(sell) of NRG s open derivative transactions broken out by commodity, excluding those derivatives that qualified for the NPNS exception as of June 30, 2010, and December 31, 2009. Option contracts are reflected using delta volume. Delta volume equals the notional volume of an option adjusted for the probability that the option will be in-the-money at its expiration date.

		Total Volume				
		June 30,	December 31,			
		2010	2009			
Commodity	Units	(In :	(In millions)			
Emissions	Short Ton	(7)	(2)			
Coal	Short Ton	42	55			
Natural Gas	MMBtu	(299)	(484)			
Oil	Barrel		1			
Power	MWh	11	5			
Capacity	MW/Day	(3)	(2)			
Interest	Dollars	\$3,203	\$ 3,291			

Fair Value of Derivative Instruments

The Company has elected to disclose derivative assets and liabilities on a trade-by-trade basis and does not offset amounts at the counterparty master agreement level. Also, collateral received or paid on the Company s derivative assets or liabilities are recorded on a separate line item on the balance sheet. The Company has chosen not to offset positions as permitted in ASC 815. As of June 30, 2010, the Company recorded \$391 million of cash collateral paid and \$310 million of cash collateral received on its balance sheet.

The following table summarizes the fair value within the derivative instrument valuation on the balance sheet as of June 30, 2010, and December 31, 2009:

	Fair Value					
	Derivati	Derivative	Liabilities			
			December			
(In millions)	June 30, 2010	31, 2009	June 30, 2010	31, 2009		
Derivatives Designated as Cash Flow or Fair						
Value Hedges:						
Interest rate contracts current	\$	\$	\$ 48	\$ 2		
Interest rate contracts long-term	11	8	69	106		
Commodity contracts current	370	300	8	12		
Commodity contracts long-term	511	508	1	6		
Total Derivatives Designated as Cash Flow or						
Fair Value Hedges	892	816	126	126		

Derivatives Not Designated as Cash Flow or Fair Value Hedges:				
Commodity contracts current	1,430	1,336	1,428	1,459
Commodity contracts long-term	388	167	363	275
Total Derivatives Not Designated as Cash Flow or Fair Value Hedges	1,818	1,503	1,791	1,734
Total Derivatives	\$2,710	\$ 2,319	\$1,917	\$ 1,860
	21			

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income

The following table summarizes the effects of ASC 815 on NRG s Accumulated OCI balance attributable to cash flow hedge derivatives, net of tax:

	Three months ended June 30, 2010 Energy Interest			Six months ended June 30, 2010 Energy Interest			
(In millions)	Commodities	Rate	Total	Commodities	Rate	Total	
Beginning Balance Reclassified from Accumulated OCI to income: - Due to realization of	\$ 719	\$(56)	\$ 663	\$ 461	\$(55)	\$ 406	
previously deferred amounts Mark-to-market of cash flow hedge accounting	(128)	(2)	(130)	(234)		(234)	
contracts	(16)	(8)	(24)	348	(11)	337	
Accumulated OCI balance at June 30, 2010, net of \$308 tax	\$ 575	\$(66)	\$ 509	\$ 575	\$(66)	\$ 509	
Gains/(losses) expected to be realized from OCI during the next 12 months, net of \$186 tax	\$ 348	\$(32)	\$ 316	\$ 348	\$(32)	\$ 316	
(Losses)/gains recognized in income from the ineffective portion of cash flow hedges	\$ (12)	\$2	\$ (10)	\$ (14)	\$2	\$ (12)	

	Three mont	hs ended Jur	ne 30, 2009	Six month	s ended June	June 30, 2009	
(In millions)	Energy Commodities	Interest Rate	Total	Energy Commodities	Interest Rate	Total	
Beginning Balance Reclassified from Accumulated OCI to income: - Due to realization of	\$567	\$(79)	\$488	\$ 406	\$(91)	\$ 315	
previously deferred amounts - Due to discontinuation of cash flow hedge accounting Mark-to-market of cash flow	(76)	(1)	(77)	(188) (135)		(188) (135)	
hedge accounting contracts	(46)	14	(32)	362	25	387	
Accumulated OCI balance at June 30, 2009, net of \$233	\$445	\$(66)	\$379	\$ 445	\$(66)	\$ 379	

tax

(Losses)/gains recognized in					
income from the ineffective					
portion of cash flow hedges	\$ (3)	\$ \$ (3)	\$ 1	\$ \$	1

Amounts reclassified from Accumulated OCI into income and amounts recognized in income from the ineffective portion of cash flow hedges are recorded to operating revenue for commodity contracts and interest expense for interest rate contracts.

Accounting guidelines require a high degree of correlation between the derivative and the hedged item throughout the period in order to qualify as a cash flow hedge. As of July 31, 2008, the Company s regression analysis for natural gas prices to ERCOT power prices, while positively correlated, did not meet the required threshold for cash flow hedge accounting for calendar years 2012 and 2013. As a result, the Company de-designated its 2012 and 2013 ERCOT cash flow hedges as of July 31, 2008, and prospectively marked these derivatives to market. On April 1, 2009, the required correlation threshold for cash flow hedge accounting was achieved for these transactions, and accordingly, these hedges were re-designated as cash flow hedges.

As discussed in Note 3, *Business Acquisitions*, to the Company s financial statements in its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009, on October 5, 2009, the Company amended the CSRA with Merrill Lynch. In connection with the CSRA Amendment, NRG net settled certain in-the-money transactions with Morgan Stanley. As these transactions were net settled, \$245 million in OCI was frozen and is recognized into income as the underlying power from the baseload plants is generated.

The following table summarizes the amount of gain/(loss) resulting from fair value hedges reflected in interest income/(expense) for interest rate contracts:

		Three months ended June 30 ,		Six months ended June 30,	
(In millions)	2010	2009	2010	2009	
Derivative	\$	\$ (7)	\$ 3	\$ (8)	
Senior Notes (hedged item)	\$	\$ 7	\$ (3)	\$8	
	22				

22

Impact of Derivative Instruments on the Statement of Operations

In accordance with ASC 815, unrealized gains and losses associated with changes in the fair value of derivative instruments not accounted for as cash flow hedge derivatives and ineffectiveness of hedge derivatives are reflected in current period earnings.

The following table summarizes the pre-tax effects of economic hedges that did not qualify for cash flow hedge accounting, ineffectiveness on cash flow hedges, and trading activity on NRG s statement of operations. These amounts are included within operating revenues and cost of operations.

	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,		
(In millions)	2010	2009	2010	2009	
Unrealized mark-to-market results					
Reversal of previously recognized unrealized gains					
on settled positions related to economic hedges	\$ (51)	\$ (18)	\$ (91)	\$ (34)	
Reversal of loss positions acquired as part of the					
Reliant Energy acquisition as of May 1, 2009	60	210	150	210	
Reversal of previously recognized unrealized					
losses/(gains) on settled positions related to trading					
activity	8	(35)	26	(104)	
Net unrealized gains/(losses) on open positions					
related to economic hedges	48	(40)	(70)	309	
(Losses)/gains on ineffectiveness associated with					
open positions treated as cash flow hedges	(12)	(3)	(14)	1	
Net unrealized gains on open positions related to					
trading activity	9	1	23	8	
Total unrealized gains	\$ 62	\$ 115	\$ 24	\$ 390	

	Three months ended June 30,			ended June
(In millions)	2010	2009	2010	2009
Revenue from operations energy commodities Cost of operations	\$ (83) 145	\$ (210) 325	\$ (14) 38	\$117 273
Total impact to statement of operations	\$ 62	\$ 115	\$ 24	\$ 390

Reliant Energy s loss positions were acquired as of May 1, 2009, and valued using forward prices on that date. The roll-off amounts were offset by realized losses at the settled prices and are reflected in the cost of operations during the same period.

For the six months ended June 30, 2010, the \$70 million loss from economic hedge positions is the result of a decrease in value of forward purchases and sales of natural gas, electricity and fuel due to a decrease in forward power and gas prices.

For the six months ended June 30, 2009, the \$309 million gain from economic hedge positions includes \$217 million recognized in earnings from previously deferred amounts in Accumulated OCI as the Company discontinued cash flow hedge accounting for certain 2009 transactions in Texas and New York due to lower expected generation, and \$92 million of increase in value of forward purchases and sales of electricity and fuel due to a

decrease in forward power and gas prices.

Discontinued Normal Purchase and Sale for Coal Purchases Due to lower coal-fired generation during the first quarter 2009, the Company s coal consumption was lower than forecasted. The Company net settled some of its coal purchases under NPNS designation and thus was not able to assert physical delivery under these coal contracts. The forward positions previously treated as accrual accounting were reclassified into mark-to-market accounting during the first quarter of 2009 and prospectively. The impact of discontinuance of coal NPNS designated transactions resulted in a derivative loss of \$29 million that was reflected in the cost of operations for the six months ended June 30, 2009.

Credit Risk Related Contingent Features

Certain of the Company s hedging agreements contain provisions that require the Company to post additional collateral if the counterparty determines that there has been deterioration in credit quality, generally termed adequate assurance under the agreements, or require the Company to post additional collateral if there was a one notch downgrade in the Company s credit rating. The collateral required for contracts that have adequate assurance clauses that are in a net liability position as of June 30, 2010, was \$63 million. The collateral required for contracts with credit rating contingent features that are in a net liability position as of June 30, 2010, was \$11 million. The Company is also a party to certain marginable agreements where NRG has a net liability position but the counterparty has not called for the collateral due, which is approximately \$15 million as of June 30, 2010.

See Note 5, *Fair Value of Financial Instruments*, to this Form 10-Q for discussion regarding concentration of credit risk.

Note 8 Long-Term Debt

In March 2010, NRG made a repayment of approximately \$229 million to its first lien lenders under the Term Loan Facility. This payment resulted from the mandatory annual offer of a portion of NRG s excess cash flow (as defined in the Senior Credit Facility) for the prior year.

Amendment and Extension of Maturity Dates

On June 30, 2010, NRG completed an amendment and extension of the Senior Credit Facility, resulting in the following:

NRG extended the maturity date for approximately \$1.0 billion of its \$2.0 billion outstanding Term Loan Facility to August 31, 2015, with the remaining amount due on the original maturity date of February 1, 2013. The interest rate for the extended portion of the facility increased from LIBOR+1.75% to LIBOR+3.25%;

Borrowing capacity under the Revolving Credit Facility was reduced from \$1.0 billion to \$875 million and its maturity was extended to August 31, 2015. The interest rate for the amended Revolving Credit Facility is LIBOR+3.25%;

The existing Synthetic Letter of Credit Facility was converted into a term loan-backed funded letter of credit facility, or Funded Letter of Credit Facility, with the term loan reflected as a non-current liability and the proceeds of the term loan reflected as non-current restricted cash on NRG s balance sheet. Of the total \$1.3 billion borrowed under the term loan, \$500 million will mature on February 1, 2013 and bear interest at LIBOR+1.75%, while \$800 million will mature August 31, 2015 and bear interest at LIBOR+3.25%.

Restricted cash supporting funded letter of credit Pursuant to the letter of credit reimbursement agreements entered into as of June 30, 2010, or the LC Agreements, and the Senior Credit Facility, as amended, NRG made capital contributions to NRG LC Facility Company, or LCFC, a separate, bankruptcy-remote entity that is a wholly-owned subsidiary of NRG. In addition, pursuant to reimbursement agreements related to the LC Agreements, NRG or its subsidiaries is liable for certain reimbursement obligations to LCFC. As of June 30, 2010, LCFC has cash invested in short-term certificates of deposit with an aggregate market value of \$1.3 billion. Pursuant to the LC Agreements, which have a maximum committed amount of \$1.3 billion, LCFC is liable on various letters of credit issued by Deutsche Bank AG, New York Branch and Citibank, N.A. These letters of credit will be used to support the businesses of NRG and certain of its other subsidiaries and equity investments. LCFC has secured its reimbursement and other obligations under the LC Agreements with a pledge of the cash and cash equivalents that it owns. The LC Agreements require LCFC s assets to be used first and foremost to satisfy claims of creditors of LCFC. Although the cash and cash equivalents held by LCFC are included in the consolidated assets of NRG, such cash and cash equivalents are not available to creditors of NRG. Expenses of approximately \$45 million, including fees to the lenders and other fees, were deferred and will be expensed in part over the original term of maturity through 2013 and in part over the amended maturity through 2015.

As of June 30, 2010, NRG had issued \$820 million of letters of credit under the Funded Letter of Credit Facility, leaving \$480 million available for future issuances. Under the Revolving Credit Facility as of June 30, 2010, NRG had issued a letter of credit of \$36 million, leaving \$839 million available.

Dunkirk Power LLC Tax-Exempt Bonds

On February 1, 2010, the Company fixed the rate on the Dunkirk bonds originally issued in April 2009, at 5.875%. In addition, the \$59 million letter of credit issued by NRG in support of the bonds was cancelled and replaced with an NRG guarantee.

Debt Related to Capital Allocation Program

On March 3, 2010, the Company completed the early unwinding of the CSF I Debt by remitting a cash payment to Credit Suisse, or CS, of \$242 million to settle the outstanding principal and interest, as compared to \$249 million that would have been due at maturity in June 2010. As part of the unwind, CS returned to NRG 6,600,000 shares of NRG common stock borrowed under the Share Lending Agreement, or SLA, between the parties and released all 12,441,973 shares of NRG common stock held as collateral for the CSF I Debt. The 6,600,000 shares of NRG common stock were returned to treasury stock and will no longer be treated as outstanding for corporate law purposes. The Company has now settled all obligations related to the CSF I and II Debt entered into in 2006, as amended from time to time, as well as the SLA entered into in February 2009.

Blythe Credit Agreement

On June 24, 2010, NRG Solar Blythe LLC, or Blythe, entered into a credit agreement with a bank, or the Blythe Credit Agreement, for a \$30 million term loan which has an interest rate of LIBOR plus an applicable margin which escalates 0.25% every three years and ranges from 2.5% at closing to 3.75% in year fifteen. The term loan matures in June 2028, amortizes based upon a predetermined schedule, and is secured by all of the assets of Blythe. The bank has also issued two letters of credit on behalf of Blythe totaling approximately \$6.4 million. Blythe pays an availability fee of 100% of the applicable margin on these issued letters of credit.

Also related to the Blythe Credit Agreement, on June 25, 2010, Blythe entered into a fixed for floating interest rate swap for 75% of the outstanding term loan amount, intended to hedge the risks associated with floating interest rates. Blythe will pay its counterparty the equivalent of a 3.563% fixed interest payment on a predetermined notional value, and Blythe will receive quarterly the equivalent of a floating interest payment based on a three month LIBOR calculated on the same notional value. All interest rate swap payments by Blythe and its counterparty are made quarterly and the LIBOR is determined in advance of each interest period. The notional amount of the swap, which matures on June 25, 2028, is \$22 million and amortizes in proportion to the loan.

South Trent Financing Agreement

On June 14, 2010 NRG completed the acquisition of the South Trent, as discussed in Note 4, *Business Acquisitions and Dispositions*. As part of the purchase price consideration, South Trent entered into the Amended and Restated Financing Agreement, or Financing Agreement, with a group of lenders, which matures on June 14, 2020. The Financing Agreement includes a \$79 million term loan, as well as a \$10 million letter of credit facility in support of the PPA, for which the full amount had been issued as of June 30, 2010. The Financing Agreement also provides for up to \$8 million in additional letter of credit facilities, none of which are utilized as of June 30, 2010. The term loan accrues interest at LIBOR plus a margin based upon a grid, which is initially 2.50% and increases every two years by 12.5 basis points. The term loan amortizes quarterly based upon a predetermined schedule with the unamortized portion due at maturity.

Under the terms of the Financing Agreement, South Trent was required to enter into interest rate protection agreements that would fix the interest rate for a minimum of 75% of the outstanding principal amount. Accordingly, on June 14, 2010, South Trent entered into five interest rate swaps, intended to hedge the risks associated with floating interest rates. For each of the interest rate swaps, South Trent will pay its counterparty the equivalent of a 3.265% fixed interest payment on a predetermined notional value, and South Trent will receive the quarterly equivalent of a floating interest payment based on a three month LIBOR calculated on the same notional value. All interest rate swap payments by South Trent and its counterparties are made quarterly and the LIBOR is determined in advance of each interest period. The total notional amount of these swaps, which mature on June 14, 2020, is \$59 million. The swaps amortize in proportion to the loan.

South Trent also entered into a series of forward-starting interest rate swaps that will become effective June 14, 2020, and are effective for eight years. The swaps are intended to hedge the risks associated with floating interest rates. For each of the interest rate swaps, South Trent will pay its counterparty the equivalent of a 4.95% fixed interest

payment on a predetermined notional value, and receive the quarterly equivalent of a floating interest payment based on a three month LIBOR calculated on the same notional value. All interest rate swap payments by South Trent and its counterparties will be made quarterly and the LIBOR is determined in advance of each interest period. The total notional amount of these swaps, which will mature on June 14, 2028, is \$21 million.

NRG Thermal Financing

On June 22, 2010 NRG Thermal s largest subsidiary, NRG Energy Center Minneapolis LLC, or NRG Thermal Minneapolis, issued \$100 million of 5.95% Series C notes due June 23, 2025, or the Series C Notes. The Series C Notes are secured by substantially all of the assets of NRG Energy Center Minneapolis. NRG Thermal has guaranteed the indebtedness and its guarantee is secured by a pledge of the equity interest in all of NRG Thermal s subsidiaries. At the same time, NRG Thermal amended agreements for its other outstanding notes to conform to the covenants of the Series C Notes. The proceeds of the loan were used to finance the acquisition of Northwind Phoenix, as discussed in Note 4, *Business Acquisitions and Dispositions*.

GenConn Energy LLC related financings

NRG Connecticut Peaking Development LLC made funding requests under the equity bridge loan, or EBL, during the quarter. The EBL is backed by a letter of credit issued by NRG under its Funded Letter of Credit Facility equal to 104% of the amount outstanding. The proceeds of the EBL received through June 30, 2010, were \$115 million and the remaining amounts will be drawn as necessary to fund interest on the EBL as the maximum amount permitted to be drawn for project costs for both projects has been met. Of the \$115 million, \$55 million was drawn to fund Devon project costs and will become due and payable upon the commercial operation date, or COD, of the Devon project, which is expected to occur in the third quarter of 2010.

Borrowings of an equity method investment In April 2009, GenConn secured financing for 50% of the Devon and Middletown project construction costs through a seven-year term loan facility, and also entered into a five-year revolving working capital loan and letter of credit facility, which collectively with the term loan is referred to as the GenConn Facility. The aggregate credit amount secured under the GenConn Facility, which is non-recourse to NRG, is \$291 million, including \$48 million for the revolving facility. In August 2009, GenConn began to draw under the GenConn Facility to cover costs related to the Devon project, and in June 2010 GenConn began to draw for the Middletown project. As of June 30, 2010, \$109 million had been drawn.

NINA Financing

On May 28, 2010, NINA borrowed \$3 million under the TANE Facility. On June 1, 2010, NINA repaid \$20 million outstanding under its revolving credit facility, and the facility was terminated.

26

Note 9 Changes in Capital Structure

The following table reflects the changes in NRG s common stock issued and outstanding during the six months ended June 30, 2010:

	Authorized	Issued	Treasury	Outstanding
Balance as of December 31, 2009 Shares issued under LTIP	500,000,000	295,861,759 179,259	(41,866,451)	253,995,308 179,259
Shares issued under NRG Employee Stock Purchase Plan, or ESPP			54,845	54,845
Capital Allocation Plan			(2,214,000)	(2,214,000)
Shares returned by affiliates of CS			(6,600,000)	(6,600,000)
4% Preferred Stock conversion		7,701,450		7,701,450
Balance as of June 30, 2010	500,000,000	303,742,468	(50,625,606)	253,116,862

Employee Stock Purchase Plan

As of June 30, 2010, there were 363,623 shares of treasury stock reserved for issuance under the ESPP. In July 2010, 66,145 shares of common stock were issued to employee accounts from treasury stock.

2010 Capital Allocation Plan

As part of the Company s 2010 Capital Allocation Plan, the Company repurchased \$50 million of NRG s common stock during the quarter ended June 30, 2010. NRG intends to complete the remainder of its \$180 million of share repurchases by the end of 2010, subject to market prices, financial restrictions under the Company s debt facilities and as permitted by securities laws.

Share Lending Agreements

As part of the CSF I Debt unwind on March 3, 2010, CS returned to NRG 6,600,000 shares of NRG common stock borrowed under the SLA between the parties. The 6,600,000 shares of NRG common stock were returned to treasury stock and will no longer be treated as outstanding for corporate law purposes. See Note 8, *Long-Term Debt*, to this Form 10-Q for more information.

4% Preferred Stock

As of January 21, 2010, the Company completed the redemption of all remaining outstanding shares of 4% Preferred Stock, with holders converting 154,029 Preferred Stock shares into 7,701,450 shares of common stock and the Company redeeming 28 Preferred Stock shares for \$28 thousand in cash.

27

Note 10 Equity Compensation

Non-Qualified Stock Options, or NQSOs

The following table summarizes the Company s NQSO activity as of June 30, 2010, and changes during the six months then ended:

	Weighted Average Exercise		Aggregate Intrinsic Value
	Shares	Price	(In millions)
Outstanding as of December 31, 2009	4,793,585	\$ 25.07	
Granted	754,200	23.79	
Exercised	(111,331)	22.12	
Forfeited	(331,669)	30.16	
Outstanding at June 30, 2010	5,104,785	24.61	\$ 10
Exercisable at June 30, 2010	3,288,301	\$ 23.65	\$ 10

The weighted average grant date fair value of NQSOs granted for the six months ended June 30, 2010, was \$10.67. *Restricted Stock Units, or RSUs*

The following table summarizes the Company s non-vested RSU awards as of June 30, 2010, and changes during the six months then ended:

	Units	Weighted Average Grant-Date Fair Value Per Unit
Non-vested as of December 31, 2009	1,614,769	\$ 30.78
Granted	352,600	23.66
Vested	(68,240)	28.56
Forfeited	(109,180)	30.12
Non-vested as of June 30, 2010	1,789,949	\$ 29.50

Performance Units, or PUs

The following table summarizes the Company s non-vested PU awards as of June 30, 2010, and changes during the six months then ended:

	Units	Weighted Average Grant- Date Fair Value Per Unit
Non-vested as of December 31, 2009	617,300	\$ 24.27
Granted	348,500	23.81

Forfeited	(194,400)	22.73
Non-vested as of June 30, 2010	771,400	\$ 24.45

In the six months ended June 30, 2010, there were no performance unit payouts in accordance with the terms of the performance units.

Deferral Stock Units, or DSUs

The following table summarizes the Company s outstanding DSU awards as of June 30, 2010, and changes during the six months then ended:

		Units	Weighted Average Grant- Date Fair Value Per Unit
Outstanding as of December 31, 2009		304,049	\$ 19.34
Granted		59,067	22.18
Conversions		(28,395)	21.77
Outstanding as of June 30, 2010		334,721	\$ 19.63
	28		

Note 11 Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per share attributable to NRG common stockholders is computed by dividing net income attributable to NRG Energy Inc. adjusted for accumulated preferred stock dividends by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding. Shares issued and treasury shares repurchased during the year are weighted for the portion of the year that they were outstanding.

Diluted earnings per share attributable to NRG common stockholders is computed in a manner consistent with that of basic earnings per share while giving effect to all potentially dilutive common shares that were outstanding during the period.

On March 3, 2010, as part of the CSF I Debt unwind, CS returned 6,600,000 shares of NRG common stock borrowed under the SLA between the parties. These shares had not been treated as outstanding for earnings per share purposes because CS was required to return all borrowed shares (or identical shares) upon termination of the SLA. See Note 8, *Long-Term Debt*, to this Form 10-Q, for more information on the SLA.

The reconciliation of basic earnings per common share to diluted earnings per share attributable to NRG is as follows:

		hree months ended Six month June 30, June		
(In millions, except per share data)	2010	2009	2010	2009
Basic earnings per share attributable to NRG common stockholders Numerator:				
Net income attributable to NRG Energy, Inc. Preferred stock dividends	\$ 211 (3)	\$ 433 (7)	\$ 269 (5)	\$ 631 (21)
Net income attributable to NRG Energy, Inc. available to common stockholders	\$ 208	\$ 426	\$ 264	\$ 610
Denominator: Weighted average number of common shares outstanding	255	253	254	245
<i>Basic earnings per share:</i> Net income attributable to NRG Energy, Inc.	\$0.82	\$1.68	\$1.04	\$2.49
<i>Diluted earnings per share attributable to NRG common stockholders</i> Numerator:				
Net income available to common stockholders Add preferred stock dividends for dilutive preferred	\$ 208	\$ 426	\$ 264	\$ 610
stock		4		14
Net income attributable to NRG Energy, Inc. available to common stockholders	\$ 208	\$ 430	\$ 264	\$ 624
Denominator: Weighted average number of common shares outstanding	255	253	254	245
Incremental shares attributable to the issuance of equity compensation (treasury stock method)	1	1	1	1
Table of Contents				48

Incremental shares attributable to assumed conversion features of outstanding preferred stock (if-converted method)		21	1	29
Total dilutive shares Diluted earnings per share:	256	275	256	275
Net income attributable to NRG Energy, Inc.	\$0.81	\$1.56	\$1.03	\$2.27

The following table summarizes NRG s outstanding equity instruments that were anti-dilutive and not included in the computation of the Company s diluted earnings per share for the three and six months ended June 30:

		s ended June 0,	Six months ended June 30,		
(In millions of shares)	2010	2009	2010	2009	
Equity compensation NQSOs and PUs Embedded derivative of 3.625% redeemable perpetual	6	5	6	5	
preferred stock Embedded derivative of CSF II Debt	16	16 8	16	16 8	
Total	22	29	22	29	
	29				

Note 12 Segment Reporting

NRG s segment structure has changed to reflect the Company s acquisition of Reliant Energy along with the previously reported core areas of operation which are primarily the geographic regions of the Company s wholesale power generation, thermal and chilled water business, and corporate activities. Within NRG s wholesale power generation operations, there are distinct components with separate operating results and management structures for the following regions: Texas, Northeast, South Central, West and International.

(In millions)Wholesale Power GenerationThree months ended ReliantSouth										
June 30, 2010	Energy	Texas ^(a)) Northeas		l Westn	ternatio	Fa erma	Corporat	Elimination	n Total
Operating revenues Depreciation and	\$1,282	\$ 692	\$ 205	\$152	\$ 32	\$ 30	\$ 27	\$ (4)	\$ (283)	\$ 2,133
amortization Equity in earnings of unconsolidated	29	124	31	16	3		3	2		208
affiliates Income/(loss) before		1	(1)		1	11		(1)		11
income taxes	277	157	()		8	31	(2)	(147)		327
Net income/(loss) Net loss attributable to non-controlling	277	157	(2)	4	8	21	(2)	(254)	1	210
interest		(1)							(1)
Net income/(loss) attributable to NRG Energy, Inc.	\$ 277	\$ 158	\$ (2)	\$4	\$8	\$ 21	\$ (2)	\$ (254)	\$ 1	\$ 211
Total assets	\$1,930	\$13,363	\$1,843	\$884	\$372	\$672	\$328	\$27,303	\$(21,592)	\$25,103
(a) Includes inter-segment sales of \$281 million to Reliant Energy.										
(In millions)		,	Wholesale	Power	Genera	tion				
Three months ended June 30, 2009	Relian Energy		Northese	South tCentral	Wost	ntornati	ionTher	maCornor	afeliminatio	on Total
June 30, 2007	Lifeigy	· / I UAAS	Tortheas			inter nati		mac or por		ni iotai
Operating revenues Depreciation and	\$1,175		\$237	\$139		\$ 34				
amortization Equity in earnings/(loss) of unconsolidated	43	117	30	17	2		2	3 1		213
affiliates		(7)			3	9				5
Income/(loss) from continuing operations	414	107	42	(9)	19	128	3	(119))	582

before income taxes Net income/(loss) Net loss attributable to non-controlling interest	2	233	98 (1)	2	12	(9)	19	125	(76)		432 (1)
Net income/(loss) attributable to NRG Energy, Inc.	\$ 2	233	\$99	\$ 4	42	\$ (9)	\$19	\$ 125	\$ \$ (76)	\$ \$	433
(a) Reliant Energy results are for the period May 1, 2009, to June 30, 2009.											
(b) Includes inter-segment sales of \$69 million to Reliant Energy.						20					
						30					

(In millions) Six months ended	Reliant	Wholesale Power Generation South									
June 30, 2010	Energy	Texas ^(a)	Northeas	tCentral	Wesh	nternation	Eh erma	Corporat	liminatio	n Total	
Operating revenues Depreciation and	\$2,458	\$1,562	\$484	\$295	\$67	\$ 65	\$63	\$ (2)	\$ (644)	\$4,348	
amortization Equity in earnings of unconsolidated	59	241	63	32	6		5	4		410	
affiliates Income/(loss) from continuing operations before		11	(1)		1	15		(1)		25	
income taxes	89	532	50		14	41	2	(279)	1	450	
Net income/(loss) Net loss attributable to non-controlling	89	532	50		14	29	2	(449)	1	268	
interest		(1)								(1)	
Net income/(loss) attributable to NRG Energy, Inc.	\$89	\$ 533	\$ 50	\$	\$14	\$ 29	\$ 2	\$ (449)	\$ 1	\$ 269	
(a) Includes inter-segment sales of \$642 million to Reliant Energy.											
In millions) Six months ended	Reliant	Whole	sale Powe	er Genera South	tion	tion					
June 30, 2009	Energy ^(a)	Texas ^(b)	Northeas		West	nternation	a Th erma	aCorporat	eliminatio	n Total	
Operating revenues Depreciation and	\$1,175	\$1,544	\$ 701	\$301	\$70	\$ 68	\$ 70	\$ 36	\$ (70)	\$3,895	
amortization Equity in earnings/(losses) of unconsolidated	43	234	59	34	4		5	3		382	
affiliates Income/(loss) from continuing		(3)			4	26				27	
operations before income taxes	414	485	253	(8)	16	142	4	(228)		1,078	
Net income/(loss) Net loss attributable to non-controlling	233	315	253	(8)	16	137	4	(320)		630	
interest		(1)								(1)	

Net income/(loss) attributable to NRG Energy, Inc.	\$ 233	\$ 316	\$ 253	\$ (8)	\$16	\$ 137	\$4	\$ (320)	\$ \$	631
(a) Reliant Energy results are for the period May 1, 2009, to June 30, 2009.										
 (b) Includes inter-segment sales of \$69 million to Reliant Energy. 				31						

Note 13 Income Taxes

Effective Tax Rate

The Company s Income tax provision consisted of the following:

	Three month 30	Six months ended June 30,		
(In millions except otherwise noted)	2010	2009	2010	2009
Income tax expense Effective tax rate	\$ 117 35.8%	\$ 150 25.8%	\$ 182 40.4%	\$ 448 41.5%

For the three months ended June 30, 2010, NRG s overall effective tax rate was different than the statutory rate of 35% primarily due to state and local income taxes as well as recording federal and state tax expense and interest for unrecognized tax benefits. For the three months ended June 30, 2009, NRG s effective tax rate was different than the statutory rate of 35% primarily due to a net state and local income tax benefit as a result of the Reliant Energy acquisition, and the sale of the MIBRAG facility.

For the six months ended June 30, 2010, NRG s overall effective tax rate was different than the statutory rate of 35% primarily due to state and local income taxes as well as recording federal and state tax expense and interest for unrecognized tax benefits. For the six months ended June 30, 2009, NRG s overall effective tax rate was different than the statutory rate of 35% primarily due to an increase in valuation allowance as a result of capital losses generated in the six month period for which there were no projected capital gains or available tax planning strategies. Furthermore, the effective tax rate was decreased by the sale of the MIBRAG facility as well as a net state and local income tax benefit as a result of the Reliant Energy acquisition.

Unrecognized tax benefits

As of June 30, 2010, NRG has recorded a \$512 million non-current tax liability for unrecognized tax benefits, primarily resulting from taxable earnings for the period for which there are no net operating losses available to offset for financial statement purposes. NRG has accrued interest related to these unrecognized tax benefits of approximately \$17 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010, and has accrued approximately \$34 million since adoption. The Company recognizes interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in income tax expense.

The Company continues to be under examination by the Internal Revenue Service for the years 2004 through 2006, as well as various state jurisdictions for multiple years.

Tax Receivable and Payable

As of June 30, 2010, NRG recorded a current tax payable of approximately \$22 million that represents a tax liability due for domestic state taxes of approximately \$14 million, as well as foreign taxes payable of approximately \$8 million. In addition, as of June 30, 2010, NRG had a domestic tax receivable of \$77 million for property tax refunds primarily due to the New York State Empire Zone program.

32

Note 14 Benefit Plans and Other Postretirement Benefits NRG Defined Benefit Plans

NRG sponsors and operates three defined benefit pension and other postretirement plans. The NRG Plan for Bargained Employees and the NRG Plan for Non-Bargained Employees are maintained solely for eligible legacy NRG participants. A third plan, the Texas Genco Retirement Plan, is maintained for participation solely by eligible employees. The total amount of employer contributions paid for the six months ended June 30, 2010, was \$11 million. NRG expects to make approximately \$7 million in further contributions for the remainder of 2010.

The net periodic pension cost related to all of the Company s defined benefit pension plans includes the following components:

	Defined Benefit Pension Plans						
	Three more	nths ended	Six months ended June				
	Jun	30,					
(In millions)	2010	2009	2010	2009			
Service cost benefits earned	\$4	\$ 3	\$7	\$7			
Interest cost on benefit obligation	5	5	10	10			
Prior service cost		1		1			
Expected return on plan assets	(6)	(4)	(10)	(8)			
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 3	\$5	\$7	\$ 10			

The net periodic cost related to all of the Company s other postretirement benefits plans includes the following components:

	Other Postretirement Benefits Plans						
	Three mon June	Six months ended June 30,					
(In millions)	2010	2009	2010	2009			
Service cost benefits earned	\$	\$1	\$ 1	\$ 2			
Interest cost on benefit obligation	2	1	3	3			
Net periodic benefit cost	\$2	\$2	\$4	\$ 5			

STP Defined Benefit Plans

NRG has a 44% undivided ownership interest in South Texas Project, or STP. South Texas Project Nuclear Operating Company, or STPNOC, which operates and maintains STP, provides its employees a defined benefit pension plan as well as postretirement health and welfare benefits. Although NRG does not sponsor the STP plan, it reimburses STPNOC for 44% of the contributions made towards its retirement plan obligations. The total amount of employer contributions reimbursed to STPNOC for the six months ended June 30, 2010 was \$1 million.

The Company recognized net periodic costs related to its 44% interest in STP defined benefits as follows:

	Three months 30	Six months ended June 30,		
(In millions)	2010	2009	2010	2009
Net periodic benefit costs	\$ 2	\$ 2	\$ 4	\$5

Note 15 Commitments and Contingencies

First and Second Lien Structure

NRG has granted first and second liens to certain counterparties on substantially all of the Company s assets to reduce the amount of cash collateral and letters of credit that it would otherwise be required to post from time to time to support its obligations under out-of-the-money hedge agreements for forward sales of power or MWh equivalents. The Company s lien counterparties may have a claim on NRG s assets to the extent market prices exceed the hedged price. As of June 30, 2010, all hedges under the first and second liens were in-the-money on a counterparty aggregate basis.

Nuclear Innovation North America, LLC

CPS Settlement On March 1, 2010, an agreement was reached with CPS for NINA to acquire a controlling interest in the STP Units 3 and 4 Project through a settlement of litigation between the parties. As part of the agreement, NINA increased its ownership in the STP Units 3 and 4 Project from 50% to 92.375% and assumed full management control of the project. NRG also will pay \$80 million to CPS, subject to the United States Department of Energy s, or U.S. DOE, approval of a fully executed term sheet for a conditional U.S. DOE loan guarantee. The first \$40 million would be promptly paid after acceptance of the guarantee with the remaining \$40 million paid six months later. NRG also agreed to donate an additional \$10 million, unconditionally, over four years in annual payments of \$2.5 million to the Residential Energy Assistance Partnership, or REAP, in San Antonio. The first \$2.5 million payment to REAP was made on March 17, 2010. In connection with the agreement, the Company capitalized \$90 million to construction in progress within property, plant and equipment, and as of June 30, 2010, \$87.5 million in liabilities remains on the condensed consolidated balance sheet for the obligations to CPS and REAP. As part of the agreement with CPS, all litigation was dismissed with prejudice.

NINA Investment and Option Agreement On May 10, 2010, NINA and Tokyo Electric Power Company of Japan, or TEPCO, signed an Investment and Option Agreement whereby TEPCO agreed to acquire up to a 20% interest in NINA Investments Holdings LLC, or Holdings, a wholly-owned subsidiary of NINA, which indirectly holds NINA s ownership interest in the STP Units 3 and 4 Project. TEPCO will initially invest \$155 million for a 10% share of Holdings, which includes a \$30 million option premium payment to Holdings. This option, which expires approximately one year from the date of signing the Investment and Option Agreement, will enable TEPCO to buy an additional 10% of Holdings for another payment of \$125 million. Pursuant to the terms of the Agreement, the closing is contingent upon NINA s acceptance of a U.S. DOE loan guarantee commitment. Upon its initial investment, TEPCO will hold a 9.238% interest in the STP Units 3 and 4 Project, diluting NINA s investment to 83.137% (75.11% for NRG). If TEPCO exercises its option to increase its ownership of Holdings another 10%, it will own 18.475% of the STP Units 3 and 4 Project, diluting NINA s investment to 73.90% (66.8% for NRG).

U.S. DOE Loan Guarantee In early 2010, NRG announced that if the STP Units 3 and 4 Project did not receive a loan guarantee from the U.S. DOE in a timely fashion, it was the intention of the Company both to reduce substantially its commitment to fund on-going project expenditures as well as to reduce development spending on the project overall while the outcome of the loan guarantee was uncertain. At the end of the second quarter, with the outcome of the loan guarantee uncertain, NRG began to curtail substantially its funding of on-going development expenses, immediately reducing its spend by approximately 70%. Working with NRG s partner (which agreed to step-up its commitment) and with other counterparties involved in the project, NRG also reduced the current spend rate on project development but did so in a manner which allowed the project to stay on its current schedule. NRG presently is in discussions with its partner and counterparties about a second phase of spending reductions. Should NRG and its partners withdraw support from the project this may result in a reassessment of the probability of success of the project and an impairment of the value of the capitalized assets for STP Units 3 and 4. An impairment to NRG would result in a permanent write-down of \$498 million of construction-in-progress capitalized through June 30, 2010, plus any amounts capitalized through the impairment date. The likelihood of NINA receiving a loan guarantee is largely dependent upon additional appropriations for nuclear development by Congress or other means of properly securing the necessary funding for additional nuclear loan guarantee volume. On July 1, 2010, the U.S. House of Representatives passed an Emergency Supplemental Appropriations bill for fiscal year 2010, which included an additional \$9 billion in loan guarantee authority for nuclear power facilities. The \$9 billion in nuclear loan guarantee

volume accelerates into 2010 a portion of the \$36 billion in additional loan guarantee authority requested by the Obama administration for fiscal year 2011. The legislation passed by the House of Representatives, however, was rejected by the U.S. Senate. If Congress fails to agree on the necessary appropriation this session, the required funding will be subject to the normal fiscal year 2011 budget appropriation process, which as currently contemplated, would provide enough appropriations for the benefit of a loan guarantee to the STP Units 3 and 4 Project.

Contingencies

Set forth below is a description of the Company s material legal proceedings. The Company believes that it has valid defenses to these legal proceedings and intends to defend them vigorously. NRG records reserves for estimated losses from contingencies when information available indicates that a loss is probable and the amount of the loss, or range of loss, can be reasonably estimated. In addition legal costs are expensed as incurred. Management has assessed each of the following matters based on current information and made a judgment concerning its potential outcome, considering the nature of the claim, the amount and nature of damages sought, and the probability of success. Unless specified below, the Company is unable to predict the outcome of these legal proceedings or reasonably estimate the scope or amount of any associated costs and potential liabilities. As additional information becomes available, management adjusts its assessment and estimates of such contingencies accordingly. Because litigation is subject to inherent uncertainties and unfavorable rulings or developments, it is possible that the ultimate resolution of the Company s liabilities and contingencies could be at amounts that are different from its currently recorded reserves and that such difference could be material.

In addition to the legal proceedings noted below, NRG and its subsidiaries are party to other litigation or legal proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business. In management s opinion, the disposition of these ordinary course matters will not materially adversely affect NRG s consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

California Department of Water Resources

This matter concerns, among other contracts and other defendants, the California Department of Water Resources, or CDWR and its wholesale power contract with subsidiaries of WCP (Generation) Holdings, Inc., or WCP. The case originated with a February 2002 complaint filed by the State of California alleging that many parties, including WCP subsidiaries, overcharged the State of California. For WCP, the alleged overcharges totaled approximately \$940 million for 2001 and 2002. The complaint demanded that the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, or FERC abrogate the CDWR contract and sought refunds associated with revenues collected under the contract. In 2003, the FERC rejected this complaint, denied rehearing, and the case was appealed to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit where oral argument was held on December 8, 2004. On December 19, 2006, the Ninth Circuit decided that in the FERC s review of the contracts at issue, the FERC could not rely on the Mobile-Sierra standard presumption of just and reasonable rates, where such contracts were not reviewed by the FERC with full knowledge of the then existing market conditions. WCP and others sought review by the U.S. Supreme Court. WCP s appeal was not selected, but instead held by the Supreme Court. In the appeal that was selected by the Supreme Court, on June 26, 2008 the Supreme Court ruled: (i) that the Mobile-Sierra public interest standard of review applied to contracts made under a seller s market-based rate authority; (ii) that the public interest bar required to set aside a contract remains a very high one to overcome; and (iii) that the Mobile-Sierra presumption of contract reasonableness applies when a contract is formed during a period of market dysfunction unless (a) such market conditions were caused by the illegal actions of one of the parties or (b) the contract negotiations were tainted by fraud or duress. In this related case, the U.S. Supreme Court affirmed the Ninth Circuit s decision agreeing that the case should be remanded to the FERC to clarify the FERC s 2003 reasoning regarding its rejection of the original complaint relating to the financial burdens under the contracts at issue and to alleged market manipulation at the time these contracts were formed. As a result, the U.S. Supreme Court then reversed and remanded the WCP CDWR case to the Ninth Circuit for treatment consistent with its June 26, 2008 decision in the related case. On October 20, 2008, the Ninth Circuit asked the parties in the remanded CDWR case, including WCP and the FERC, whether that Court should answer a question the U.S. Supreme Court did not address in its June 26, 2008 decision; whether the Mobile-Sierra doctrine applies to a third-party that was not a signatory to any of the wholesale power contracts, including the CDWR contract, at issue in that case. Without answering that reserved question, on December 4, 2008, the Ninth Circuit vacated its prior opinion and remanded the WCP CDWR case back to the FERC for proceedings consistent with the U.S. Supreme Court s June 26, 2008 decision. On December 15, 2008, WCP and the other seller-defendants filed with the FERC a Motion for Order Governing Proceedings on Remand. On January 14, 2009, the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California filed an Answer and Cross Motion for an Order Governing Procedures on Remand and on January 28, 2009, WCP and the other seller-defendants filed their reply.

At this time, while NRG cannot predict with certainty whether WCP will be required to make refunds for rates collected under the CDWR contract or estimate the range of any such possible refunds, a reconsideration of the CDWR contract by the FERC with a resulting order mandating significant refunds could have a material adverse impact on NRG s financial position, statement of operations, and statement of cash flows. As part of the 2006 acquisition of Dynegy s 50% ownership interest in WCP, WCP and NRG assumed responsibility for any risk of loss arising from this case, unless any such loss was deemed to have resulted from certain acts of gross negligence or willful misconduct on the part of Dynegy, in which case any such loss would be shared equally between WCP and Dynegy.

On January 14, 2010, the U.S. Supreme Court issued its decision in an unrelated proceeding involving the *Mobile-Sierra* doctrine that will affect the standard of review applied to the CDWR contract on remand before the FERC. In *NRG Power Marketing v. Maine Public Utilities Commission*, the Supreme Court held that the *Mobile-Sierra* presumption regarding the reasonableness of contract rates does not depend on the identity of the complainant who seeks a FERC investigation/refund.

Louisiana Generating, LLC

On February 11, 2009, the U.S. Department of Justice, or U.S. DOJ, acting at the request of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, or U.S. EPA, commenced a lawsuit against Louisiana Generating, LLC, or LaGen, in federal district court in the Middle District of Louisiana alleging violations of the Clean Air Act, or CAA, at the Big Cajun II power plant. This is the same matter for which Notices of Violation, or NOVs, were issued to LaGen on February 15, 2005, and on December 8, 2006. Specifically, it is alleged that in the late 1990 s, several years prior to NRG s acquisition of the Big Cajun II power plant from the Cajun Electric bankruptcy and several years prior to the NRG bankruptcy, modifications were made to Big Cajun II Units 1 and 2 by the prior owners without appropriate or adequate permits and without installing and employing the best available control technology, or BACT, to control emissions of nitrogen oxides and/or sulfur dioxides. The relief sought in the complaint includes a request for an injunction to: (i) preclude the operation of Units 1 and 2 except in accordance with the CAA; (ii) order the installation of BACT on Units 1 and 2 for each pollutant subject to regulation under the CAA; (iii) obtain all necessary permits for Units 1 and 2; (iv) order the surrender of emission allowances or credits; (v) conduct audits to determine if any additional modifications have been made which would require compliance with the CAA s Prevention of Significant Deterioration program; (vi) award to the Department of Justice its costs in prosecuting this litigation; and (vii) assess civil penalties of up to \$27,500 per day for each CAA violation found to have occurred between January 31, 1997, and March 15, 2004, up to \$32,500 for each CAA violation found to have occurred between March 15, 2004, and January 12, 2009, and up to \$37,500 for each CAA violation found to have occurred after January 12, 2009.

On April 27, 2009, LaGen made several filings. It filed an objection in the Cajun Electric Cooperative Power, Inc. s bankruptcy proceeding in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the Middle District of Louisiana to seek to prevent the bankruptcy from closing. It also filed a complaint in the same bankruptcy proceeding in the same court seeking a judgment that: (i) it did not assume liability from Cajun Electric for any claims or other liabilities under environmental laws with respect to Big Cajun II that arose, or are based on activities that were undertaken, prior to the closing date of the acquisition; (ii) it is not otherwise the successor to Cajun Electric; and (iii) Cajun Electric and/or the Bankruptcy Trustee are exclusively liable for the violations alleged in the February 11, 2009, lawsuit to the extent that such claims are determined to have merit. On April 15, 2010, the bankruptcy court signed an order granting LaGen s stipulation of voluntary dismissal without prejudice of its adversary bankruptcy action.

On June 8, 2009, the parties filed a joint status report in the U.S. DOJ lawsuit setting forth their views of the case and proposing a trial schedule. On June 18, 2009, LaGen filed a motion to bifurcate the U.S. DOJ lawsuit into separate liability and remedy phases, and on June 30, 2009, the U.S. DOJ filed its opposition. On April 28, 2010, the district court entered a Joint Case Management Order, and LaGen s motion for bifurcation was effectively granted, in that the district court set trial on the liability phase for mid-2011, and, if necessary, trial on the damages (remedy) phase for mid-2012. On August 24, 2009, LaGen filed a motion to dismiss this lawsuit, and on September 25, 2009, the U.S. DOJ filed its opposition to the motion to dismiss. On February 18, 2010, the LDEQ filed a motion to intervene in the above lawsuit and a complaint against LaGen for alleged violations of Louisiana s Prevention of Significant Deterioration, or PSD regulations, and Louisiana s Title V operating permit program. LDEQ seeks substantially similar relief to that requested by the U.S. DOJ. On February 19, 2010, the district court granted LDEQ s motion to intervene. On April 26, 2010, LaGen filed a motion to dismiss LDEQ s complaint. On July 21, 2010, LaGen argued its motions to dismiss, while the U.S. DOJ and LDEQ argued in opposition to the motions. The judge ordered the parties to submit further briefing within thirty days.

On February 18, 2010, the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality, or LDEQ, filed a motion to intervene in the above lawsuit and a complaint against LaGen for alleged violations of Louisiana s Prevention of Significant Deterioration, or PSD regulations and Louisiana s Title V operating permit program. LDEQ seeks substantially similar relief to that requested by the U.S. DOJ. On February 19, 2010, the district court granted LDEQ s

motion to intervene. On April 26, 2010, LaGen filed a motion to dismiss LDEQ s complaint. On April 28, 2010, the district court entered a Joint Case Management Order in this matter. As a result of entering this order, LaGen s motion for bifurcation was effectively granted. As such, the first trial on liability will take place on or about May 2011. The second trial on the remedy will take place on or about March 2012. On July 21, 2010, LaGen argued its motions to dismiss, while the U.S. DOJ and LDEQ argued in opposition to the motions. The judge ordered the parties to submit further briefing within thirty days.

Dunkirk Construction Litigation

In 2005, NRG entered into a Consent Decree with the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation whereby it agreed to reduce certain emissions generated by its Huntley and Dunkirk power plants. Pursuant to the Consent Decree, on November 21, 2007, Clyde Bergemann EEC, or CBEEC, and NRG entered into a firm fixed price contract for the supply of equipment, material and services for six fabric filters for NRG s Dunkirk Electric Power Generating Station. Subsequent to contracting with NRG, CBEEC subcontracted with Hohl Industrial Services, Inc., or Hohl, to perform steel erection and equipment installation at Dunkirk.

On August 28, 2009, Hohl filed its original complaint against NRG, its subsidiary Dunkirk Power LLC, or Dunkirk Power, and CBEEC among others for claims of breach of contract, quantum meruit, unjust enrichment and foreclosure of mechanics liens. As part of CBEEC s contractual obligation to NRG, CBEEC agreed to defend NRG, under a reservation of rights. CBEEC filed an answer to the above complaint on behalf of itself, NRG, and Dunkirk Power on October 5, 2009. On December 16, 2009, CBEEC filed a Motion for Summary Judgment on behalf of itself, NRG, and Dunkirk Power. On February 1, 2010, NRG and Dunkirk Power filed a Motion for Leave to file an Amended Answer with Cross-Claims against CBEEC. NRG asserted breach of contract claims seeking liquidated damages for the delays caused by CBEEC. NRG also retained its own counsel to represent its interest in the cross-claims and reserved its rights to seek reimbursement from CBEEC. On February 17, 2010, CBEEC filed an Amended Answer with Affirmative Defenses, Counterclaims and Cross-Claims against NRG, in which it sought \$30 million alleging breach of contract, quantum meruit, unjust enrichment, and foreclosure of two mechanic s liens, as a result of alleged delays caused by NRG and Dunkirk Power. On March 5, 2010, CBEEC and NRG resolved their disputed cross-claims. In April 2010, the other parties to this litigation settled their disputes which settlement is expected to be final in the third quarter of 2010.

Excess Mitigation Credits

From January 2002 to April 2005, CenterPoint Energy applied excess mitigation credits, or EMCs, to its monthly charges to retail electric providers as ordered by the PUCT. The PUCT imposed these credits to facilitate the transition to competition in Texas, which had the effect of lowering the retail electric providers monthly charges payable to CenterPoint Energy. As indicated in its Petition for Review filed with the Supreme Court of Texas on June 2, 2008, CenterPoint Energy has claimed that the portion of those EMCs credited to Reliant Energy Retail Services, LLC, or RERS, a retail electric provider and NRG subsidiary acquired from RRI, totaled \$385 million for RERS s Price to Beat Customers. It is unclear what the actual number may be. Price to Beat was the rate RERS was required by state law to charge residential and small commercial customers that were transitioned to RERS from the incumbent integrated utility company commencing in 2002. In its original stranded cost case brought before the PUCT on March 31, 2004, CenterPoint Energy sought recovery of all EMCs that were credited to all retail electric providers, including RERS, and the PUCT ordered that relief in its Order on Rehearing in Docket No. 29526, on December 17, 2004. After an appeal to state district court, the court entered a final judgment on August 26, 2005, affirming the PUCT s order with regard to EMCs credited to RERS. Various parties filed appeals of that judgment with the Court of Appeals for the Third District of Texas with the first such appeal filed on the same date as the state district court judgment and the last such appeal filed on October 10, 2005. On April 17, 2008, the Court of Appeals for the Third District reversed the lower court s decision ruling that CenterPoint Energy s stranded cost recovery should exclude only EMCs credited to RERS for its Price to Beat customers. On June 2, 2008, CenterPoint Energy filed a Petition for Review with the Supreme Court of Texas and on June 19, 2009, the Court agreed to consider the CenterPoint Energy appeal as well as two related petitions for review filed by other entities. Oral argument occurred on October 6, 2009.

In November 2008, CenterPoint Energy and Reliant Energy Inc., or REI, on behalf of itself and affiliates including RERS, agreed to suspend unexpired deadlines, if any, related to limitations periods that might exist for possible claims against REI and its affiliates if CenterPoint Energy is ultimately not allowed to include in its stranded cost calculation those EMCs previously credited to RERS. Regardless of the outcome of the Texas Supreme Court proceeding, NRG believes that any possible future CenterPoint Energy claim against RERS for EMCs credited to RERS would lack legal merit. No such claim has been filed.

Note 16 Regulatory Matters

NRG operates in a highly regulated industry and is subject to regulation by various federal and state agencies. As such, NRG is affected by regulatory developments at both the federal and state levels and in the regions in which NRG operates. In addition, NRG is subject to the market rules, procedures and protocols of the various ISO markets in which NRG participates. These power markets are subject to ongoing legislative and regulatory changes that may impact NRG s wholesale and retail businesses.

In addition to the regulatory proceedings noted below, NRG and its subsidiaries are a party to other regulatory proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business or have other regulatory exposure. In management s opinion, the disposition of these ordinary course matters will not materially adversely affect NRG s consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

PJM On June 18, 2009, FERC denied rehearing of its order dated September 19, 2008, dismissing a complaint filed by the Maryland Public Service Commission, or MDPSC, together with other load interests, against PJM challenging the results of the Reliability Pricing Model, or RPM transition Base Residual Auctions for installed capacity, held between April 2007 and January 2008. The complaint had sought to replace the auction-determined results for installed capacity for the 2008/2009, 2009/2010, and 2010/2011 delivery years with administratively-determined prices. On August 14, 2009, the MDPSC and the New Jersey Board of Public Utilities filed an appeal of FERC s orders to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit, and a successful appeal could disrupt the auction-determined results and create a refund obligation for market participants. The case has been transferred to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the DC Circuit.

Midwest ISO v. PJM On March 8, 2010, Midwest ISO filed a complaint against PJM seeking payments from PJM related to inter-market operations and settlements for congestion costs between the systems for the period from April 2005 to the present. If the Midwest ISO s allegations are true, PJM may have significant liability. If PJM makes any payments to the Midwest ISO related to these claims, PJM is expected to seek to recover the payments from entities that served load and held transmission congestion rights on PJM during the period in dispute, including NRG, which provided basic generation service and thus effectively served load. At this time, NRG s share of any payment by PJM is not expected to be material.

Retail (Replacement Reserve) On November 14, 2006, Constellation Energy Commodities Group, or Constellation, filed a complaint with the PUCT alleging that ERCOT misapplied the Replacement Reserve Settlement, or RPRS, Formula contained in the ERCOT protocols from April 10, 2006, through September 27, 2006. Specifically, Constellation disputed approximately \$4 million in under-scheduling charges for capacity insufficiency asserting that ERCOT applied the wrong protocol. REPS, other market participants, ERCOT, and PUCT staff opposed Constellation s complaint. On January 25, 2008, the PUCT entered an order finding that ERCOT correctly settled the capacity insufficiency charges for the disputed dates in accordance with ERCOT protocols and denied Constellation s complaint. On April 9, 2008, Constellation appealed the PUCT order to the Civil District Court of Travis County, Texas and on June 19, 2009, the court issued a judgment reversing the PUCT order, finding that the ERCOT protocols were in irreconcilable conflict with each other. On July 20, 2009, REPS filed an appeal to the Third Court of Appeals in Travis County, Texas, thereby staying the effect of the trial court s decision. If all appeals are unsuccessful, on remand to the PUCT, it would determine the appropriate methodology for giving effect to the trial court s decision. It is not known at this time whether only Constellation s under-scheduling charges, the under-scheduling charges of all other QSEs that disputed REPS charges for the same time frame, the entire market, or some other approach would be used for any resettlement.

Under the PUCT ordered formula, Qualified Scheduling Entities, or QSEs, who under-scheduled capacity within any of ERCOT s four congestion zones were assessed under-scheduling charges which defrayed the costs incurred by ERCOT for RPRS that would otherwise be spread among all load-serving QSEs. Under the Court s decision, all RPRS costs would be assigned to all load-serving QSEs based upon their load ratio share without assessing any separate charge to those QSEs who under-scheduled capacity. If under-scheduling charges for capacity insufficient QSEs were not used to defray RPRS costs, REPS s share of the total RPRS costs allocated to QSEs would increase.

Note 17 Environmental Matters

The construction and operation of power projects are subject to stringent environmental and safety protection and land use laws and regulation in the United States. If such laws and regulations become more stringent, or new laws, interpretations or compliance policies apply and NRG s facilities are not exempt from coverage, the Company could be required to make modifications to further reduce potential environmental impacts. New legislation and regulations to mitigate the effects of Greenhouse Gases, or GHG including carbon dioxide, or CO_2 from power plants, are under consideration at the federal and state levels. In general, the effect of such future laws or regulations is expected to require the addition of pollution control equipment or the imposition of restrictions or additional costs on the Company s operations.

Environmental Capital Expenditures

Based on current rules, technology and plans, NRG has estimated that environmental capital expenditures from 2010 through 2014 to meet NRG s environmental commitments will be approximately \$0.9 billion and are primarily associated with controls on the Company s Big Cajun and Indian River facilities. These capital expenditures, in general, are related to installation of particulate, sulfur dioxide, or SO₂, nitrogen oxide, or NO_x, and mercury controls to comply with federal and state air quality rules and consent orders, as well as installation of Best Technology Available under a section of the Clean Water Act regulating cooling water intake structures, or Phase II 316(b) Rule. NRG continues to explore cost effective alternatives that can achieve desired results. This estimate reflects anticipated schedules and controls related to the Clean Air Interstate Rule, or CAIR, Clean Air Transport Rule, or CATR, Maximum Achievable Control Technology, or MACT for mercury, and the Phase II 316(b) Rule which are under remand to the U.S. EPA, and, as such, the full impact on the scope and timing of environmental retrofits from any new or revised regulations cannot be determined at this time.

NRG s current contracts with the Company s rural electrical customers in the South Central region allow for recovery of a portion of the regions capital costs once in operation, along with a capital return incurred by complying with new laws, including interest over the asset life of the required expenditures. The actual recoveries will depend, among other things, on the timing of the completion of the capital project and the remaining duration of the contracts.

Northeast Region

In January 2006, NRG s Indian River Operations, Inc. received a letter of informal notification from DNREC stating that it may be a potentially responsible party with respect to Burton Island Old Ash Landfill, a historic captive landfill located at the Indian River facility. On October 1, 2007, NRG signed an agreement with DNREC to investigate the site through the Voluntary Clean-up Program. On February 4, 2008, DNREC issued findings that no further action is required in relation to surface water and that a previously planned shoreline stabilization project would satisfactorily address shoreline erosion. The landfill itself will require a further Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study to determine the type and scope of any additional work required. Until the Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study is completed, the Company is unable to predict the impact of any required remediation. On May 29, 2008, DNREC requested that NRG s Indian River Operations, Inc. participate in the development and performance of a Natural Resource Damage Assessment, or NRDA, at the Burton Island Old Ash Landfill. NRG is currently working with DNREC and other trustees to close out the assessment phase.

Pursuant to a consent order dated September 25, 2007, between NRG and DNREC, NRG agreed to operate the four units at the Indian River plant in a manner that would limit the emissions of NO_x and SO_2 , and to mothball Units 1 and 2 on May 1, 2011 and May 1, 2010, respectively. In addition, Units 3 and 4, with a combined generating capacity of approximately 565 MW, could not operate beyond December 31, 2011 unless appropriate control technology was installed on each unit. Unit 2 was mothballed as planned on May 1, 2010. On July 21, 2010, the court approved an amended consent order, pursuant to which NRG will retire Unit 3 (155 MW) by December 31, 2013, thereby extending the operable period of the unit by two years without installing additional control technology. Units 1, 2 and 4 are not affected by the amended consent order.

South Central Region

On February 11, 2009, the U.S. DOJ acting at the request of the U.S. EPA commenced a lawsuit against LaGen in federal district court in the Middle District of Louisiana alleging violations of the CAA at the Big Cajun II power plant. This is the same matter for which NOVs were issued to LaGen on February 15, 2005, and on December 8,

2006. Further discussion on this matter can be found in Note 15, *Commitments and Contingencies*, to this Form 10-Q, *Louisiana Generating, LLC*.

Note 18 Condensed Consolidating Financial Information

As of June 30, 2010, the Company had outstanding \$1.2 billion of 7.25% Senior Notes due 2014, \$2.4 billion of 7.375% Senior Notes due 2016, \$1.1 billion of 7.375% Senior Notes due 2017, and \$700 million of 8.50% Senior Notes due 2019. These notes are guaranteed by certain of NRG s current and future wholly-owned domestic subsidiaries, or guarantor subsidiaries.

Unless otherwise noted below, each of the following guarantor subsidiaries fully and unconditionally guaranteed the Senior Notes as of June 30, 2010:

Arthur Kill Power LLC Astoria Gas Turbine Power LLC Berrians I Gas Turbine Power LLC Big Cajun II Unit 4 LLC Cabrillo Power I LLC Cabrillo Power II LLC Carbon Management Solutions LLC Clean Edge Energy LLC **Conemaugh Power LLC** Connecticut Jet Power LLC **Devon Power LLC Dunkirk Power LLC** Eastern Sierra Energy Company Elbow Creek Wind Project LLC El Segundo Power, LLC El Segundo Power II LLC GCP Funding Company LLC Huntley IGCC LLC Huntley Power LLC Indian River IGCC LLC Indian River Operations Inc. Indian River Power LLC James River Power LLC Keystone Power LLC Langford Wind Power, LLC Louisiana Generating LLC Middletown Power LLC Montville IGCC LLC Montville Power LLC **NEO** Corporation NEO Freehold-Gen LLC NEO Power Services Inc. New Genco GP LLC Norwalk Power LLC NRG Affiliate Services Inc. NRG Arthur Kill Operations Inc. NRG Artesian Energy LLC NRG Astoria Gas Turbine Operations Inc. NRG Bayou Cove LLC NRG Cabrillo Power Operations Inc. NRG California Peaker Operations LLC

NRG Generation Holdings, Inc. NRG Huntley Operations Inc. NRG International LLC NRG MidAtlantic Affiliate Services Inc. NRG Middletown Operations Inc. NRG Montville Operations Inc. NRG New Jersey Energy Sales LLC NRG New Roads Holdings LLC NRG North Central Operations, Inc. NRG Northeast Affiliate Services Inc. NRG Norwalk Harbor Operations Inc. NRG Operating Services Inc. NRG Oswego Harbor Power Operations Inc. NRG Power Marketing LLC NRG Retail LLC NRG Saguaro Operations Inc. NRG South Central Affiliate Services Inc. NRG South Central Generating LLC NRG South Central Operations Inc. NRG South Texas LP NRG Texas LLC NRG Texas C & I Supply LLC NRG Texas Holding Inc. NRG Texas Power LLC NRG West Coast LLC NRG Western Affiliate Services Inc. Oswego Harbor Power LLC Reliant Energy Power Supply, LLC Reliant Energy Retail Holdings, LLC Reliant Energy Retail Services, LLC RE Retail Receivables, LLC **RERH Holdings**, LLC Reliant Energy Services Texas LLC Reliant Energy Texas Retail LLC Saguaro Power LLC Somerset Operations Inc. Somerset Power LLC Texas Genco Financing Corp. Texas Genco GP, LLC Texas Genco Holdings, Inc. Texas Genco LP. LLC

NRG Cedar Bayou Development Company LLC NRG Connecticut Affiliate Services Inc. NRG Construction LLC NRG Devon Operations Inc. NRG Dunkirk Operations, Inc. NRG Energy Services LLC NRG El Segundo Operations Inc. Texas Genco Operating Services, LLC Texas Genco Services, LP Vienna Operations, Inc. Vienna Power LLC WCP (Generation) Holdings LLC West Coast Power LLC

The non-guarantor subsidiaries include all of NRG s foreign subsidiaries and certain domestic subsidiaries. NRG conducts much of its business through and derives much of its income from its subsidiaries. Therefore, the Company s ability to make required payments with respect to its indebtedness and other obligations depends on the financial results and condition of its subsidiaries and NRG s ability to receive funds from its subsidiaries. Except for NRG Bayou Cove, LLC, which is subject to certain restrictions under the Company s Peaker financing agreements, there are no restrictions on the ability of any of the guarantor subsidiaries to transfer funds to NRG. In addition, there may be restrictions for certain non-guarantor subsidiaries.

The following condensed consolidating financial information presents the financial information of NRG, the guarantor subsidiaries and the non-guarantor subsidiaries in accordance with Rule 3-10 under the Securities and Exchange Commission s Regulation S-X. The financial information may not necessarily be indicative of results of operations or financial position had the guarantor subsidiaries or non-guarantor subsidiaries operated as independent entities.

In this presentation, NRG Energy, Inc. consists of parent company operations. Guarantor subsidiaries and non-guarantor subsidiaries of NRG are reported on an equity basis. For companies acquired, the fair values of the assets and liabilities acquired have been presented on a push-down accounting basis.

41

NRG ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2010

	Guarantor	Non-Guarantor	NRG Energy, Inc. (Note		Consolidated
(In millions)	Subsidiaries	Subsidiaries	(Note Issuer)	Eliminations ^(a)	Balance
Operating Revenues Total operating revenues	\$2,066	\$ 74	\$	\$ (7)	\$ 2,133
Total operating revenues	\$2,000	φ / 4	φ	\$ (7)	φ2,133
Operating Costs and Expenses					
Cost of operations	1,283	53		(7)	1,329
Depreciation and amortization	202	4	2		208
Selling, general and administrative	72	2	65		139
Development costs		3	10		13
Total operating costs and expenses	1,557	62	77	(7)	1,689
Operating Income/(Loss)	509	12	(77)		444
Other Income/(Expense)					
Equity in earnings of consolidated					
subsidiaries	15		332	(347)	
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated					
affiliates	1	10	_		11
Other income, net	2	14	3		19
Interest expense	(6)	(9)	(132)		(147)
			• • •		
Total other income/(expense)	12	15	203	(347)	(117)
Incomo/(Loggos) Defens Incomo					
Income/(Losses) Before Income Taxes	521	27	126	(247)	327
	190	12	-	(347)	327 117
Income tax expense/(benefit)	190	12	(85)		11/
Net Income	331	15	211	(347)	210
Less: Net loss attributable to	551	15	211	(347)	210
noncontrolling interest	(1)				(1)
noncontroning interest	(1)				(1)
Net Income attributable to NRG					
Energy, Inc.	\$ 332	\$ 15	\$ 211	\$ (347)	\$ 211
	4 <i>00</i> 2	+ 20	¥ 2 11	+ (017)	*

(a) All significant intercompany transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

NRG ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2010

	a i		NRG Energy,		
	Guarantor	Non-Guarantor	Inc. (Note		Consolidated
(In millions)	Subsidiaries	Subsidiaries	Issuer)	Eliminations ^(a)	Balance
Operating Revenues					
Total operating revenues	\$4,193	\$ 169	\$	\$ (14)	\$ 4,348
Operating Costs and Expenses					
Cost of operations	2,856	119	7	(14)	2,968
Depreciation and amortization	392	14	4		410
Selling general and administrative	139	5	125		269
Development costs		6	16		22
Total operating costs and expenses	3,387	144	152	(14)	3,669
Gain on sale of assets			23		23
Operating Income/(Loss)	806	25	(129)		702
Other Income/(Expense)					
Equity in earnings of consolidated					
subsidiaries	22		526	(548)	
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated					
affiliates	1	24			25
Other income, net	3	17	3		23
Interest expense	(11)	(23)	(266)		(300)
Total other income/(expense)	15	18	263	(548)	(252)
Income/(Losses) Before Income					
Taxes	821	43	134	(548)	450
Income tax expense/(benefit)	301	16	(135)		182
Net Income	520	27	269	(548)	268
Less: Net loss attributable to	520	27	209	(348)	208
noncontrolling interest	(1)				(1)
Net Income attributable to NRG Energy, Inc.	\$ 521	\$ 27	\$ 269	\$ (548)	\$ 269

(a) All significant intercompany transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

43

NRG ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEETS June 30, 2010

(In millions)	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	NRG Energy, Inc. (Note Issuer)	Eliminations ^(a)	Consolidated Balance
		ASSETS			
Current Assets					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 34	\$ 154	\$ 1,980	\$	\$ 2,168
Funds deposited by counterparties	310	10			310
Restricted cash	1	12			13
Accounts receivable, net	876 527	33			909 525
Inventory Derivative instruments valuation	527 1,800	8			535 1,800
Cash collateral paid in support of	1,000				1,000
energy risk management activities	389	2			391
Prepayments and other current	507	2			571
assets	62	55	240	(114)	243
			2.0	(11.)	2.0
Total current assets	3,999	264	2,220	(114)	6,369
Net property, plant and					
equipment	10,515	1,125	153		11,793
Other Assets	752	259	20.751	(21.762)	
Investment in subsidiaries	753 42	258 352	20,751	(21,762)	394
Equity investments in affiliates Capital leases and notes	42	552			394
receivable, less current portion	5,626	431	3,169	(8,792)	434
Goodwill	1,713	3	5,107	(0,7)2)	1,716
Intangible assets, net	1,567	58	33	(32)	1,626
Nuclear decommissioning trust	-,			(==)	_,
fund	360				360
Derivative instruments valuation	899		11		910
Restricted cash supporting funded					
letter of credit facility		1,300			1,300
Other non-current assets	39	13	149		201
Total other assets	10,999	2,415	24,113	(30,586)	6,941
Total other assets	10,999	2,413	24,115	(50,580)	0,941
Total Assets	\$25,513	\$ 3,804	\$ 26,486	\$ (30,700)	\$25,103
LIA	ABILITIES A	ND STOCKHOL	DERS EQUITY	Y	
Current Liabilities			-		
Current portion of long-term debt					
and capital leases	\$ 58	\$ 159	\$ 20	\$ (58)	\$ 179
Accounts payable	(3,111)	483	3,318		690

Ed	gar Filing: NR	G ENERGY, IN	C Form 10-Q		
Derivative instruments valuation Deferred income taxes Cash collateral received in support of energy risk	1,434 (4)	2	48 248		1,484 244
management activities	310				310
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities	345	33	302	(57)	623
Total current liabilities	(968)	677	3,936	(115)	3,530
Other Liabilities					
Long-term debt and capital leases Funded letter of credit	2,936	853	12,994 1,300	(8,792)	7,991 1,300
Nuclear decommissioning reserve Nuclear decommissioning trust	309				309
liability	234				234
Deferred income taxes	2,231	(193)	(270)		1,768
Derivative instruments valuation	364	40	29		433
Out-of-market contracts	283	6		(31)	258
Other non-current liabilities	739	27	236		1,002
Total non-current liabilities	7,096	733	14,289	(8,823)	13,295
Total liabilities	6,128	1,410	18,225	(8,938)	16,825
3.625% Preferred Stock			248		248
Stockholders Equity	19,385	2,394	8,013	(21,762)	8,030
Total Liabilities and Stockholders Equity	\$25,513	\$ 3,804	\$ 26,486	\$ (30,700)	\$25,103

(a) All significant intercompany transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

NRG ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2010

	Guarantor	Non- Guarantor	NRG Energy, Inc. (Note	(Consolidated
(In millions)	Subsidiaries	Subsidiaries	(Note Issuer)	Eliminations ^(a)	Balance
Cash Flows from Operating Activities	• 50 0	¢ 27	• • • • •	¢ (540)	† 2 (0)
Net income	\$ 520	\$ 27	\$ 269	\$ (548)	\$ 268
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net					
cash provided by operating activities:					
Distributions and equity in (earnings)/losses of unconsolidated affiliates and consolidated					
subsidiaries	10	(11)	(480)	481	(0)
	392	(11) 14	(489) 4	401	(9) 410
Depreciation and amortization Provision for bad debts	22	14	4		22
Amortization of nuclear fuel	19				19
Amortization of financing costs and debt	19				19
discount/premiums		3	12		15
Amortization of intangibles and		5	12		15
out-of-market contracts	1				1
Changes in deferred income taxes and	1				1
liability for unrecognized tax benefits	300	2	(123)		179
Changes in nuclear decommissioning trust	500	2	(123)		177
liability	9				9
Changes in derivatives	(57)	2			(55)
Changes in collateral deposits supporting	(07)	-			(00)
energy risk management activities	(30)				(30)
Loss/(gain) on sale of assets	12		(23)		(11)
Loss on sale of emission allowances	3		(-)		3
Amortization of unearned equity					
compensation			15		15
Changes in option premiums collected, net					
of acquisition	34				34
Cash (used)/provided by changes in other					
working capital, net of acquisitions	(505)	(75)	315		(265)
Net Cash Provided/(Used) by Operating	720	(29)	(20)	((7))	605
Activities	730	(38)	(20)	(67)	605
Cash Flows from Investing Activities					
Intercompany (loans to)/receipts from					
subsidiaries	(739)		(142)	881	
Acquisition of businesses	-	(141)			(141)
Investment in subsidiaries		1,721	(1,721)		
Capital expenditures	(145)	(159)	(26)		(330)
_					

5 5		,			
Increase in restricted cash, net		(11)			(11)
Decrease in notes receivable		15			15
Purchases of emission allowances	(45)	-			(45)
Proceeds from sale of emission allowances	11				11
Investments in nuclear decommissioning					
trust fund securities	(76)				(76)
Proceeds from sales of nuclear	(10)				(70)
decommissioning trust fund securities	67				67
Proceeds from renewable energy grants	84	18			102
Proceeds from sale of assets, net	1	10	29		30
Other	1	(2)	(5)		(7)
Other		(2)	(\mathbf{J})		(7)
Net Cash (Used)/Provided by Investing					
Activities	(842)	1,441	(1,865)	881	(385)
Acuvities	(842)	1,441	(1,805)	001	(383)
Cash Flows from Financing Activities					
(Payments)/proceeds from intercompany					
	127	15	739	(881)	
loans			159	(881) 67	
Payment of intercompany dividends	(30)	(37)		07	
Payment of dividends to preferred			(5)		(5)
stockholders			(5)		(5)
Payments for treasury stock			(50)		(50)
Net receipt from acquired derivatives that	27				07
include financing elements	27				27
Installment proceeds from sale of		50			50
non-controlling interest in subsidiary	2	50			50
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt	3	138			141
Proceeds from issuance of term loan for			1 200		1 200
funded letter of credit facility			1,300		1,300
Increase in restricted cash supporting funded		(1.000)			(1.000)
letter of credit facility		(1,300)			(1,300)
Proceeds from issuance of common stock			2		2
Payment of deferred debt issuance costs	(1)	(7)	(45)		(53)
Payment of short and long-term debt		(219)	(240)		(459)
Net Cash Provided/(Used) by Financing				(a. t. t)	
Activities	126	(1,360)	1,701	(814)	(347)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and					
cash equivalents		(9)			(9)
Net Increase/(Decrease) in Cash and Cash					
Equivalents	14	34	(184)		(136)
Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning					
of Period	20	120	2,164		2,304
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of		ф - -	.	¢	• • • • • •
Period	\$ 34	\$ 154	\$ 1,980	\$	\$ 2,168

(a) All significant intercompany transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

NRG ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2009

	Guaranto		Consolidated		
(In millions)	Subsidiaries	Subsidiaries	(Note Issuer) E	liminations	s ^(a) Balance
Operating Revenues					
Total operating revenues	\$1,025	\$ 1,254	\$ 32	\$ (74)	\$2,237
Operating Costs and Expenses					
Cost of operations	596	719	1	(74)	1,242
Depreciation and amortization	157	54	2	. ,	213
Selling, general and administrative	17	51	63		131
Acquisition related transaction and integration costs			23		23
Development costs	2	3	4		9
Total operating costs and expenses	772	827	93	(74)	1,618
Operating Income/(Loss)	253	427	(61)		619
Other Income/(Expense)					
Equity in earnings of consolidated subsidiaries	120		477	(597)	
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates	3	2			5
Gain on sale of equity method investment		128			128
Other income/(expense), net	2	(12)	(1)		(11)
Interest expense	(18)	(38)	(103)		(159)
Total other income/(expense)	107	80	373	(597)	(37)
Income/(Loss) Before Income Taxes	360	507	312	(597)	582
Income tax expense/(benefit)	97	174	(121)		150
Net Income	263	333	433	(597)	432
Less: Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interest	(1)			~ /	(1)
Net Income/(Loss) attributable to NRG Energy, Inc.	\$ 264	\$ 333	\$ 433	\$ (597)	\$ 433

(a) All significant intercompany transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

NRG ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2009

	Guarantor	Non-Guarantor	NRG Energy, Inc.		Consolidated
(In millions)	Subsidiaries	Subsidiaries	(Note Issuer)	Eliminations ^(a)	Balance
Operating Revenues					
Total operating revenues	\$2,591	\$ 1,349	\$ 32	\$ (77)	\$ 3,895
Operating Costs and Expenses					
Cost of operations	1,294	787	4	(77)	2,008
Depreciation and amortization	315	64	3		382
Selling, general and administrative Acquisition related transaction and	34	54	126		214
integration costs			35		35
Development costs	4	5	13		22
Total operating costs and expenses	1,647	910	181	(77)	2,661
Operating Income/(Loss) Other Income/(Expense)	944	439	(149)		1,234
Equity in earnings of consolidated					
subsidiaries	129		874	(1,003)	
Equity in earnings of					
unconsolidated affiliates	4	23			27
Gain on sale of equity method					
investment		128			128
Other income/(expense), net	3	(19)	2		(14)
Interest expense	(66)	(59)	(172)		(297)
Total other income/(expense)	70	73	704	(1,003)	(156)
Income/(Loss) Before Income					
Taxes	1,014	512	555	(1,003)	1,078
Income tax expense/(benefit)	349	175	(76)		448
Net Income	665	337	631	(1,003)	630
Less: Net loss attributable to					
noncontrolling interest	(1)				(1)
Net Income attributable to NRG Energy, Inc.	\$ 666	\$ 337	\$ 631	\$ (1,003)	\$ 631

(a) All significant intercompany transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

NRG ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEETS December 31, 2009

		Non-	NRG	NRG		
	Guaranto	r Guarantor	Energy, Inc. (Note	(Consolidated	
(In millions)	Subsidiarie	Subsidiarie	· ·	Eliminations ^{(a}	^{b)} Balance	
	ASSETS					
Current Assets						
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 20	\$ 120	\$ 2,164	\$	\$ 2,304	
Funds deposited by counterparties	177				177	
Restricted cash	1	1			2	
Accounts receivable-trade, net	837	39			876	
Inventory	529	12			541	
Derivative instruments valuation	1,636				1,636	
Cash collateral paid in support of energy risk						
management activities	359	2			361	
Prepayments and other current assets	194	61	157	(101)	311	
Total current assets	3,753	235	2,321	(101)	6,208	
Net Property, Plant and Equipment	10,494	1,009	61		11,564	
Other Assets						
Investment in subsidiaries	613	222	16,862	(17,697)		
Equity investments in affiliates	42	367			409	
Capital leases and note receivable, less current						
portion	4,982	504	3,027	(8,009)	504	
Goodwill	1,718				1,718	
Intangible assets, net	1,755	20	33	(31)	1,777	
Nuclear decommissioning trust fund	367				367	
Derivative instruments valuation	718		8	(43)	683	
Other non-current assets	29	8	111		148	
Total other assets	10,224	1,121	20,041	(25,780)	5,606	
Total Assets	\$24,471	\$2,365	\$ 22,423	\$(25,881)	\$23,378	
LIABILITIES AN	D STOCKH	OLDERS	EQUITY			
Current Liabilities						
Current portion of long-term debt and capital leases	\$ 58	\$ 310	\$ 261	\$ (58)	\$ 571	
Accounts payable	(852)	393	1,156		697	
Derivative instruments valuation	1,469	2	2		1,473	
Deferred income taxes	456	11	(270)		197	
	177				177	

Edgar Filing: NRO	GENERGY, INC.	- Form 10-Q
-------------------	---------------	-------------

Cash collateral received in support of energy risk management activities					
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities	261	82	347	(43)	647
Total current liabilities	1,569	798	1,496	(101)	3,762
Other Liabilities					
Long-term debt and capital leases	2,533	1,003	12,320	(8,009)	7,847
Nuclear decommissioning reserve	300				300
Nuclear decommissioning trust liability	255				255
Deferred income taxes	1,711	(165)	237		1,783
Derivative instruments valuation	323	28	79	(43)	387
Out-of-market contracts	318	7		(31)	294
Other non-current liabilities	431	16	359		806
Total non-current liabilities	5,871	889	12,995	(8,083)	11,672
Total liabilities	7,440	1,687	14,491	(8,184)	15,434
3.625% Preferred Stock			247		247
Stockholders Equity	17,031	678	7,685	(17,697)	7,697
Total Liabilities and Stockholders Equity	\$24,471	\$2,365	\$ 22,423	\$(25,881)	\$23,378

(a) All significant intercompany transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

NRG ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2009

	Guarantor	Non- Guarantor	NRG Energy, Inc. (Note		Consolidated
(In millions)	Subsidiaries	Subsidiaries	Issuer)	Eliminations ^(a)	Balance
Cash Flows from Operating Activities					
Net income Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: Distributions and equity in (earnings)/losses of unconsolidated affiliates and consolidated	\$ 666	\$ 337	\$ 630	\$ (1,003)	\$ 630
subsidiaries Depreciation and amortization Provision for bad debts Amortization of nuclear fuel	197 315 19	(23) 64 9	(544) 3	343	(27) 382 9 19
Amortization of financing costs and debt discount/premiums Amortization of intangibles and		7	14		21
out-of-market contracts Changes in deferred income taxes and liability for unrecognized tax	(49)	64			15
benefits Changes in nuclear decommissioning liability	100 15	14	331		445 15
Changes in derivatives Changes in collateral deposits supporting energy risk management	(198)	(170)			(368)
activities Gain on sale of equity method	274	(29)			245
investment Gain on sale of assets Gain on sale of emission allowances Gain recognized on settlement of	(1) (9)	(128)			(128) (1) (9)
pre-existing relationship Amortization of unearned equity			(31)		(31)
compensation Changes in option premium			13		13
collected, net of acquisition Cash provided/(used) by changes in other working capital, net of	(265)	(5)			(270)
acquisition	533	170	(941)		(238)

Net Cash Provided/(Used) by Operating Activities	1,597	310	(525)	(660)	722
Cash Flows from Investing					
Activities					
Intercompany (loans to)/receipts from subsidiaries	(901)		160	741	
Acquisition of Reliant Energy, net of	(901)		100	/+1	
cash acquired		(57)	(288)		(345)
Investment in Reliant Energy		200	(200)		
Capital expenditures	(263)	(109)	(2)		(374)
(Increase)/decrease in restricted cash,	(
net Decrease/(increase) in notes	6	(9)			(3)
receivable		(47)	36		(11)
Purchases of emission allowances	(52)	()			(52)
Proceeds from sale of emission					
allowances	15				15
Investment in nuclear					
decommissioning trust fund securities	(172)				(172)
Proceeds from sales of nuclear	(172)				(172)
decommissioning trust fund					
securities	157				157
Proceeds from sale of assets, net	6				6
Other investment			(5)		(5)
Proceeds from sale of equity method investment		284			284
Investment		204			204
Net Cash (Used)/Provided by					
Investing Activities	(1,204)	262	(299)	741	(500)
Cash Flows from Financing					
Activities					
(Payments)/proceeds from					
intercompany loans	(188)	28	901	(741)	
Payment from intercompany				(())	
dividends Payment of dividends to preferred	(330)	(330)		660	
stockholders			(21)		(21)
Receipt from/(payment of) from			()		(=1)
financing element of acquired					
derivatives	102	(124)			(22)
Installment proceeds from sale of		50			50
noncontrolling interest in subsidiary Proceeds from issuance of long-term		50			50
debt	34	98	688		820
Payment of deferred debt issuance					-
costs	(1)	(1)	(27)		(29)
Payment of short and long-term debt		(20)	(213)		(233)

Net Cash (Used)/Provided by Financing Activities Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(383)	(299) 1	1,328	(81)	565 1
Net Decrease in Cash and Cash Equivalent Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Period	10 (2)	274 159	504 1,337		788 1,494
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period	\$ 8	\$ 433	\$ 1,841	\$	\$ 2,282

(a) All significant intercompany transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

ITEM 2 MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

As you read this discussion and analysis, refer to the Company s Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations to this Form 10-Q, which present the results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, and 2009. Also refer to NRG s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009, which includes detailed discussions of various items impacting the Company s business, results of operations and financial condition, including: Introduction and Overview section which provides a description of NRG s business segments; Strategy section; Business Environment section, including how regulation, weather, and other factors affect NRG s business; and Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates section.

The discussion and analysis below has been organized as follows:

Executive Summary, including introduction and overview, business strategy, and changes to the business environment during the period including regulatory and environmental matters;

Results of operations beginning with an overview of the Company s consolidated results, followed by a more detailed discussion of those results by operating segment;

Financial condition addressing liquidity position, sources and uses of cash, capital resources and requirements, commitments, and off-balance sheet arrangements; and

Known trends that may affect NRG s results of operations and financial condition in the future.

<u>Table of Contents</u> Executive Summary Introduction and Overview

NRG Energy, Inc., or NRG or the Company, is primarily a wholesale power generation company with a significant presence in major competitive power markets in the United States, as well as a major retail electricity provider in the ERCOT (Texas) market through Reliant Energy. NRG is engaged in the ownership, development, construction and operation of power generation facilities, the transacting in and trading of fuel and transportation services, the trading of energy, capacity and related products in the United States and select international markets, and the supply of electricity and energy services to retail electricity customers in the Texas market.

As of June 30, 2010, NRG had a total global generation portfolio of 187 active operating fossil fuel and nuclear generation units, at 44 power generation plants, with an aggregate generation capacity of approximately 23,985 MW, and approximately 255 MW under construction which includes partner interests of 125 MW. In addition to its fossil fuel plant ownership, NRG has ownership interests in operating renewable facilities with an aggregate generation capacity of 465 MW, consisting of four wind farms representing an aggregate generation capacity of 445 MW and a 20 MW solar facility. Within the United States, NRG has large and diversified power generation portfolios in terms of geography, fuel-type and dispatch levels, with approximately 22,980 MW of fossil fuel and nuclear generation capacity in 179 active generating units at 42 plants. The Company s power generation facilities are most heavily concentrated in Texas (approximately 11,440 MW, including 445 MW from four wind farms), the Northeast (approximately 6,885 MW), South Central (approximately 2,855 MW), and West (approximately 2,150 MW, including 20 MW from a solar facility) regions of the United States, with approximately 115 MW of additional generation capacity from the Company s thermal assets. In addition, through certain foreign subsidiaries, NRG has investments in power generation projects located in Australia and Germany with approximately 1,005 MW of generation capacity.

NRG s principal domestic power plants consist of a mix of natural gas-, coal-, oil-fired, nuclear and renewable facilities, representing approximately 45%, 31%, 17%, 5% and 2% of the Company s total domestic generation capacity, respectively. In addition, 9% of NRG s domestic generating facilities have dual or multiple fuel capacity, which allows those plants to dispatch with the lowest cost fuel option.

NRG s domestic generation facilities consist of intermittent, baseload, intermediate and peaking power generation facilities, the ranking of which is referred to as the Merit Order, and include thermal energy production plants. The sale of capacity and power from baseload generation facilities accounts for the majority of the Company s revenues and provides a stable source of cash flow. In addition, NRG s generation portfolio provides the Company with opportunities to capture additional revenues by selling power during periods of peak demand, offering capacity or similar products to retail electric providers and others, and providing ancillary services to support system reliability.

Reliant Energy, the Company s retail electricity provider, arranges for the transmission and delivery of electricity to customers, bills customers, collects payments for electricity sold and maintains call centers to provide customer service. Based on metered locations, as of June 30, 2010, Reliant Energy had approximately 1.5 million Mass customers and approximately 0.1 million C&I customers, with expected annual volumes for these customer classes of 20 TWhs and 25-30 TWhs, respectively.

Furthermore, NRG is focused on the development and investment in energy-related new businesses and new technologies where the benefits of such investments represent significant commercial opportunities and create a comparative advantage for the Company. These investments include low or no GHG emitting energy generating sources, such as nuclear, wind, solar thermal, photovoltaic, biomass, clean coal and gasification, the retrofit of post-combustion carbon capture technologies, and developments in the electric vehicle ecosystem.

NRG s Business Strategy

NRG s business strategy is intended to maximize shareholder value through the production and sale of safe, reliable and affordable power to its customers in the markets served by the Company, while aggressively positioning the Company to meet the market s increasing demand for sustainable and low carbon energy solutions. This dual strategy is designed to perfect the Company s core business of competitive power generation and establish the Company as a leading provider of sustainable energy solutions, while utilizing the Company s retail business to complement and advance both initiatives.

The Company s core business is focused on: (i) top decile operating performance of its existing operating assets, (ii) optimal hedging of baseload and retail operations, while retaining optionality on the Company s gas fleet, (iii) repowering of power generation assets at existing sites and reducing environmental impacts, (iv) pursuit of selective acquisitions, joint ventures, divestitures and investments, and (v) engaging in a proactive capital allocation plan focused on achieving the regular return of capital to stockholders within the dictates of prudent balance sheet management.

In addition, the Company believes that success in providing energy solutions that address sustainability and climate change concerns will not only reduce the carbon and capital intensity of the Company in the future, it also will reduce the real and perceived linkage between the Company s financial performance and prospects, and volatile commodity prices, particularly with respect to natural gas. The Company s initiatives in this area of future growth are focused on: (i) low carbon baseload primarily nuclear generation, (ii) renewables, with a concentration in solar and wind generation and development, (iii) fast start, high efficiency gas-fired capacity in the Company s core regions, (iv) electric vehicle ecosystems, and (v) smart grid services. The Company s advancements in each of these areas are driven by select acquisitions, joint ventures, and investments that are more fully described in the Company s 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K, the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2010, and this Form 10-Q.

Environmental Matters

Environmental Regulatory Landscape

A number of regulations that could significantly impact the power generation industry are in development or under review by the U.S. EPA: CAIR, MACT, NAAQS revisions, coal combustion byproducts, once-through cooling, and GHG regulations. While most of these regulations have been considered for some time, they are expected to gain clarity in 2010 through 2011. The timing and stringency of these regulations will provide a framework for the retrofit of existing fossil plants and deployment of new, cleaner technologies in the next decade. The Company has included capital to meet anticipated CAIR Phase I and II, CATR, MACT standards for mercury, and the installation of Best Technology Available under the 316(b) Rule in the current estimated environmental capital expenditure. While the Company cannot predict the impact of future regulations and would likely face additional investments over time, these expenditures, combined with the Company s already existing air quality controls, use of Powder River Basin coal, closed cycle cooling, and dry ash handling systems position NRG well to meet more stringent requirements.

The U.S. EPA released the proposed Clean Air Transport Rule, or CATR, on July 6, 2010. This rule is designed to replace CAIR and address the findings of the D.C. Court of Appeals that initially vacated the rule. It is designed to bring 31 states and D.C. into attainment with PM 2.5 and ozone national ambient air quality standards through emission reductions in SO_2 and NO_x . Proposed implementation would be through a cap and trade program starting in 2012 with constrained trading between states in the CATR regions. In 2014 the SO_2 cap would be further reduced in certain states. Under CATR, CAIR use of discounted Acid Rain SO_2 allowances would be discontinued and replaced with a completely distinct CATR SO_2 allowance program. Acid Rain allowances would still be required on a 1:1 basis under the Acid Rain Program. NRG continues to evaluate the proposed rule and any impact it has to emission markets and currently estimates that the proposed rule, if it becomes effective, could result in up to a \$50 million future impairment of the Company s SQ emission allowance intangible assets. NRG s planned environmental capital expenditures are consistent with reductions anticipated in the rule.

The New York State Department of Environmental Conservation finalized the NO_x RACT Rule on July 14, 2010. This rule identifies new NO_x emission limits for major sources which must be met by July 1, 2014. Plants can comply or request an alternate Reasonably Available Control Technology, or RACT, limit. All of NRG s facilities are able to meet the new standards with the exception of Oswego, which will apply for an alternate limit.

On May 4, 2010, the U.S. EPA proposed two options for the regulation of coal combustion residue, commonly known as coal ash. Under the Proposal s first regulatory option, the U.S. EPA would reverse its August 1993 and May 2000 Bevill Regulatory Determinations and list coal ash as a special waste subject to regulation under hazardous waste regulations. The second regulatory option would leave the Bevill Determination in place and regulate disposal of coal ash as non-hazardous. Under both options, an exemption for the beneficial use of coal ash would remain in place. Additionally, under both options, the U.S. EPA would establish dam safety requirements to address the

structural integrity of surface impoundments. While it is not possible to predict the impact of this rule until it is final, as proposed it is not expected to have a material impact on NRG s operations, as all flyash disposal sites are dry landfills; however, should the U.S. EPA implement the hazardous waste option, NRG may incur significant costs due to loss of markets for beneficial reuse. Given the recent release of this proposed rule, NRG will continue to monitor developments and their respective impact on the Company s operations.

On May 4, 2010, the California State Water Resources Control Board adopted a statewide 316(b) policy to mitigate once through cooling in California. Options for power plants with once through cooling include transitioning to a closed loop system, retirement or submitting an alternative plan that meets equivalent mitigation criteria. Specified compliance dates for NRG s El Segundo and Encina Power Plants are December 31, 2015, and December 31, 2017, respectively. NRG is analyzing compliance through a mix of alternative mitigation plans and repowering.

In June 2010, the U.S. EPA issued a Section 308 Information Collection Request to steam electric power generating plants across the industry, including 13 NRG facilities. The questionnaire focuses on water and wastewater discharges from power plants. The U.S. EPA indicated results will be used to develop new effluent guidelines for the industry.

Finalization of the Endangerment Finding, a rule addressing tailpipe limitations for light duty vehicles, and a final interpretation of the Johnson Memorandum set the stage for regulation of GHGs from stationary sources. On June 3, 2010, the U.S. EPA published the final rule tailoring the applicability criteria that determine which new and modified sources will become subject to permitting requirements for GHGs under the Prevention of Significant Deterioration, or PSD and Title V programs of the Clean Air Act. The rule raised applicability triggers to 75,000 or 100,000 tons per year CO_2 equivalents, or CO_2e , and implemented the requirements in two phases on January 2, 2011 or July 2, 2011. The immediate impact to NRG s new and modified facilities is not expected to be material; the Company will continue to evaluate the potential long-term impact as regulatory programs are implemented over time.

Climate Change Legislation

In 2009, in the course of producing approximately 71 million MWh of electricity, NRG s power plants emitted 59 million tonnes of CO_2 , of which 53 million tonnes were emitted in the United States, 3 million tonnes in Germany and 3 million tonnes in Australia. During the same period, NRG emitted approximately 8 million tons of CO_2 in the RGGI region. The impact from legislation or federal, regional or state regulation of GHGs on the Company s financial performance will depend on a number of factors, including the overall level of GHG reductions required under any such regulations, the price and availability of offsets, and the extent to which NRG would be entitled to receive CO_2 emissions allowances without having to purchase them in an auction or on the open market. Thereafter, under any such legislation or regulation, the impact on NRG would depend on the Company s level of success in developing and deploying low and no carbon technologies such as those being pursued as discussed in the above.

Congress has been unable to come to an agreement on climate legislation during this session. Lack of legislation will prolong the uncertainty of the nature and timing of GHG requirements and their resulting impact on NRG. **Regulatory Matters**

As operators of power plants and participants in wholesale energy markets, certain NRG entities are subject to regulation by various federal and state government agencies. These include the U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission, or CFTC, FERC, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, or NRC, PUCT and other public utility commissions in certain states where NRG s generating or thermal assets are located. In addition, NRG is subject to the market rules, procedures and protocols of the various ISO markets in which it participates. Certain of the Reliant Energy entities are competitive Retail Electric Providers, or REPs, and as such are subject to the rules and regulations of the PUCT governing REPs. NRG must also comply with the mandatory reliability requirements imposed by the North American Electric Reliability Corporation, or NERC, and the regional reliability councils in the regions where the Company operates. The operations of, and wholesale electric sales from, NRG s Texas region are not subject to rate regulation by the FERC, as they are deemed to operate solely within the ERCOT market and not in interstate commerce.

Financial Reform On July 21, 2010, President Obama signed the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, or the Dodd-Frank Act, which, among other things, aims to improve transparency and accountability in derivative markets. While the Dodd-Frank Act increases the CFTC s regulatory authority over over-the-counter derivatives, there is uncertainty on several issues related to market clearing, definitions of market participants, reporting, and capital requirements. Thus, while many details remain to be addressed in CFTC rulemaking proceedings, at this time the Company does not anticipate any material impact to its current hedging collateral strategy. NRG s view is informed by a letter dated June 30, 2010 from Senate Banking Committee Chairman Dodd and Senate Agriculture Committee Chairman Lincoln clarifying that the legislative intent of the Dodd-Frank Act is not to

impose margin requirements on end users that use swaps to hedge or mitigate commercial risks.

New England On February 22, 2010, ISO-NE filed proposed amendments to its Forward Capacity Market, or FCM, design with FERC. A number of generators protested the ISO-NE filing, arguing that FERC should not accept the proposed amendments. On March 23, 2010, an association of generators filed a complaint alleging that the proposed FCM amendments are not just and reasonable due to market distortions such as out-of-market contracts, and thus would continue to under-compensate capacity suppliers in New England. On April 2, 2010, NRG and PSEG jointly filed a second complaint alleging that the existing FCM market fails to adequately establish zonal prices and thus does not adequately compensate suppliers for the locational value of their capacity. These complaints are seeking only prospective relief. Any changes to the FCM market in response to these complaints could benefit from the Company s existing New England assets in future FCM auctions. On April 23, 2010, FERC issued an order consolidating the proceedings. In its order, FERC accepted some of the ISO-NE s proposed changes, but also set several of the central issues for hearing and settlement processes.

California On May 4, 2010, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit in *Southern California Edison Company v. FERC* vacated FERC s acceptance of station power rules for the CAISO market, and remanded the case for further proceedings at FERC. As a result of the court s decision, NRG s power plants may be prevented from netting their station power consumption against their sales on a monthly basis in the California markets, which could require NRG to purchase station power at retail rates. Additionally, the precedent announced in this case may affect station power tariffs in other markets.

Changes in Accounting Standards

See Note 2, *Summary of Significant Accounting Policies*, to this Form 10-Q as found in Item 1 for a discussion of recent accounting developments.

Consolidated Results of Operations

The following table provides selected financial information for the Company:

	Three months ended June 30, Change			Six months ended June 30, Change			
(In millions except otherwise noted)	2010	2009	%	2010	2009	%	
Operating Revenues							
Energy revenue	\$ 605	\$ 725	(17)%	\$1,283	\$1,612	(20)%	
Capacity revenue	206	253	(19)	417	513	(19)	
Retail revenue	1,341	1,250	7	2,586	1,250	107	
Risk management activities	(2)	(12)	83	89	425	(79)	
Contract amortization	(52)	(53)	2	(114)	(32)	(256)	
Thermal revenue	20	21	(5)	48	55	(13)	
Other revenues	15	53	(72)	39	72	(46)	
Total operating revenues	2,133	2,237	(5)	4,348	3,895	12	
Operating Costs and Expenses							
Cost of sales	1,129	1,175	(4)	2,318	1,628	42	
Risk management activities	(84)	(204)	59	51	(136)	138	
Other cost of operations	284	271	5	599	516	16	
Total cost of operations	1,329	1,242	7	2,968	2,008	48	
Depreciation and amortization	208	213	(2)	410	382	7	
Selling, general and administrative Acquisition-related transaction and	139	131	6	269	214	26	
integration costs		23	(100)		35	(100)	
Development costs	13	9	44	22	22	(100)	
Development costs	15)					
Total operating costs and expenses Gain on sale of assets	1,689	1,618	4	3,669	2,661	38	
Gain on sale of assets				23			
Operating income	444	619	(28)	702	1,234	(43)	
Other Income/(Expense)							
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated	1.1	_	100	25	27		
affiliates	11	5	120	25	27	(7)	
Gain on sale of equity method		100	(100)		100	(100)	
investments	10	128	(100)	22	128	(100)	
Other income/(expense), net	19	(11)	273	23	(14)	264	
Interest expense	(147)	(159)	(8)	(300)	(297)	1	
Total other expense	(117)	(37)	216	(252)	(156)	62	
Income before income tax expense	327	582	(44)	450	1,078	(58)	
Income tax expense	117	150	(22)	182	448	(59)	
Net Income	210	432	(51)	268	630	(57)	

Less: Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interest	(1)	(1)		(1)	(1)	
Net income attributable to NRG Energy, Inc.	\$ 211	\$ 433	(51)	\$ 269	\$ 631	(57)
Business Metrics						
Average natural gas price Henry Hub (\$/MMBtu)	4.09	3.68	11%	4.69	4.13	14%
		55				

Management s discussion of the results of operations for the three months ended June 30, 2010, and 2009:

The table below represents the results of NRG excluding the impact of Reliant Energy, and adjusted for intercompany transactions between Reliant Energy and the Texas region, during the three months ended June 30, 2010, and 2009:

		,	2010			2009					
		Reliant		Total excluding Reliant		Reliant		Total excluding Reliant			
(In millions)	Consolidated	Energy	Eliminations		Consolidate	dEnergy ^(a) E	liminations				
Operating Revenues											
Energy revenue	\$ 605	\$	\$ 284	\$ 889	\$ 725	\$	\$ 54	\$ 779			
Capacity revenue	206		3	209	253	1.0.50	11	264			
Retail revenue	1,341	1,341			1,250	1,250					
Risk management activities	(2)		(19)	(21)	(12)		2	(10)			
Contract	(2)		(1))	(21)	(12)		2	(10)			
amortization	(52)	(59)		7	(53)	(75)		22			
Thermal revenue	20	(20	21	()		21			
Other revenues	15		13	28	53		2	55			
Total operating revenues Operating Costs	2,133	1,282	281	1,132	2,237	1,175	69	1,131			
and Expenses											
Cost of sales	1,129	937	300	492	1,175	803	71	443			
Risk management	, -				,						
activities	(84)	(76)	(19)	(27)	(204)	(189)	(2)	(17)			
Other operating											
costs	284	49		235	271	41		230			
Total cost of operations	1,329	910	281	700	1,242	655	69	656			
Depreciation and	,				,						
amortization	208	29		179	213	43		170			
Selling, general and administrative Acquisition-related	139	64		75	131	49		82			
transaction and											
integration costs				10	23			23			
Development costs	13			13	9			9			
Total operating costs and expenses	s 1,689	1,003	281	967	1,618	747	69	940			
Operating income	\$ 444	\$ 279	\$	\$ 165	\$ 619	\$ 428	\$	\$ 191			

(a) Reliant Energy results are for the period May 1, 2009, to June 30, 2009.

Operating Revenues

Operating revenues, excluding risk management activities, decreased by \$114 million during the three months ended June 30, 2010, compared to the same period in 2009.

Retail revenue increased by \$91 million. This increase was driven by \$354 million of revenue for the month of April included in 2010, which was offset by a decrease of \$263 million from Mass, C&I and supply management revenues during the two month period ended June 30 2010, as compared to 2009. Mass revenues decreased by \$143 million due to 12% lower revenue rates and 8% lower volumes due to fewer customers. C&I revenues decreased by \$86 million due to 17% lower volumes driven by fewer customers.

Energy revenue including intercompany revenue, increased \$110 million during the three months ended June 30, 2010, compared to the same period in 2009:

o *Texas* increased by \$64 million with \$66 million increase driven by higher energy prices and an increase in margin on megawatt hours sold from market purchases of \$12 million, offset by a \$13 million decrease driven by reduction in generation. The average realized energy price increased by 11%, driven by a 14% increase in merchant prices and a 3% increase in contract prices. Intercompany sales to Reliant Energy, which eliminate in consolidation, were \$284 million, an increase of \$230 million over the two month period in 2009. Generation decreased by 2%, driven by an 18% decrease in nuclear plant generation and a 6% decrease in gas plant generation. The decreases were offset by an increase in wind farm generation as Langford began commercial operation in December 2009.

- o *Northeast* increased by \$36 million, with \$32 million driven by higher energy prices and \$4 million driven by 3% higher generation. Merchant energy prices were higher by an average of 50%. The increase in oil and gas generation is attributable to higher reliability run hours at the Connecticut plants.
- South Central increased by \$15 million due to a \$19 million increase in contract revenue offset by a decrease of \$4 million in merchant energy revenues. The increase in contract energy price was driven by a \$6 million increase in fuel cost pass-through from the cooperatives and a \$12 million increase due to a new contract with a regional municipality. Total megawatt hour sales to the region s contract customers were up 13% while the average realized price on contract energy sales was \$27.77 per MWh in 2010 compared to \$22.98 per MWh in 2009. Megawatt hours sold to the merchant market increased by 26% but lower realized merchant prices resulted in a decrease of \$4 million.

Capacity revenue including intercompany revenue, decreased \$55 million during the three months ended June 30, 2010, compared to the same period in 2009:

- o *Texas* decreased by \$42 million resulting from a lower proportion of baseload contracts which contain a capacity component. Intercompany sales to Reliant Energy, which eliminate in consolidation, decreased by \$8 million.
- o *South Central* decreased by \$7 million primarily due to expiration of a capacity agreement with a regional utility.

Contract amortization revenue decreased by \$1 million during the three months ended June 30, 2010, as compared to the same period in 2009. The contract amortization expense decreased by \$16 million at Reliant Energy offset by a \$15 million reduction in contract amortization revenue in the Texas region due to the lower volume of contracted energy.

Other revenues decreased by \$38 million during the three months ended June 30, 2010, as compared to the same period in 2009, driven by \$7 million in lower emissions revenues and a \$31 million non-cash gain related to the settlement of pre-existing in-the-money contracts with Reliant Energy recognized in 2009. The Texas region s intercompany ancillary sales to Reliant Energy, which eliminate in consolidation, were \$13 million, an increase of \$12 million over the two month period in 2009.

Cost of Operations

Cost of operations, excluding risk management activities, decreased by \$33 million during the three months ended June 30, 2010, compared to the same period in 2009.

Cost of sales including intercompany purchases, decreased \$46 million during the three months ended June 30, 2010, compared to the same period in 2009 due to:

- o *Retail* increased by \$134 million, with \$280 million of costs for the month of April included in 2010. This increase was offset by a \$151 million decrease in supply costs and by a \$26 million decrease in transmission and distribution charges for the two month period ended June 30, 2010, as compared to 2009. Intercompany purchases from the Texas region, which eliminate in consolidation, were \$300 million, an increase of \$229 million over the two month period in 2009.
- o *Texas* increased \$25 million due to higher coal costs and ancillary services costs offset by a decrease in natural gas costs and purchased energy. Coal costs increased \$23 million due to higher transportation charges.
- Northeast increased \$24 million driven by a \$13 million increase in natural gas and oil costs, an \$8 million increase in purchased energy and a \$4 million increase in coal costs. Natural gas and oil costs increased due to 20% higher generation and 37% higher average natural gas prices. Purchased energy increased due to costs to supply new load contracts which commenced on June 1, 2010. Coal costs increased due to 52% higher average prices offset by 1% lower coal generation.

Other costs of operations increased \$13 million during the three months ended June 30, 2010, compared to the same period in 2009. Maintenance expenses in the Texas and South Central regions increased by

\$24 million due to planned baseload outages which was offset by a decrease of \$16 million in the Northeast region due to lower property tax expense and lower operations and maintenance expenses.

Risk Management Activities

Risk management activities include economic hedges that did not qualify for cash flow hedge accounting, ineffectiveness on cash flow hedges, and trading activities. Total derivative gains decreased by \$110 million during the three months ended June 30, 2010, compared to the same period in 2009. The breakdown of changes by region are as follows:

		Three months ended June 30, 2010									
	Reliant	_		South							
	Energy	Texas	Northeast	Central	West	Thermal	Elimination	Total			
Nat asing/(lassas) or				(In mill	ions)						
Net gains/(losses) on settled positions	\$ (88)	\$ 69	\$ 44	\$ (8)	\$1	\$ 2	\$	\$20			
Mark-to-market gains/(losses)	163	(57)	(55)	10	2	(1)		62			
Total derivative gains/(losses) included in revenues and cost of	<u>^</u>	÷			Aa		Â	† .			
operations	\$ 75	\$ 12	\$(11)	\$ 2	\$3	\$ 1	\$	\$82			

	Reliant	Three months ended June 30, 2009ReliantSouth							
	Energy ^(a)	Texas	Northeast	Central (In milli	West	Thermal	Elimination	Total	
Net gains/(losses) on settled positions	\$(114)	\$ 101	\$ 95	\$ (5)	\$(1)	\$ 1	\$	\$77	
Mark-to-market gains/(losses)	303	(144)	(34)	(15)	7	(2)		115	
Total derivative gains/(losses) included in revenues and cost of operations	\$ 189	\$ (43)	\$ 61	\$(20)	\$ 6	\$(1)	\$	\$192	
(a) Reliant Energy results are for the period May 1, 2009, to June 30, 2009. The breakdown of g	gains and losse	es included	in revenue and	d cost of ope	erations b	y region are	e as follows:		
			Three n	nonths ende	ed June 3	0, 2010			

Reliant			South				
						Elimination	
Energy	Texas	Northeast	Central	West	Thermal	(a)	Total

			(In	millions)			
Net gains/(losses) on settled positions, or financial income in revenues	\$ \$ 70	\$ 44	\$(8)	\$1	\$ 2	\$ (28)	\$ 81
Mark-to-market results in revenues Reversal of previously recognized unrealized (gains)/losses on settled positions related to economic hedges	(16)	(34)	1		(1)	2	(48)
Reversal of previously recognized unrealized losses on settled positions related to trading activity Net unrealized	(10)	(34)	1		(1)	2	(48)
(losses)/gains on open positions related to economic hedges Net unrealized gains on open positions related to trading activity	(66)	(28)	(4)	1		45	(52)
Subtotal mark-to-market results	(73)	(59)	1	2	(1)	47	(83)
Total derivative (losses)/gains included in revenues	\$ \$ (3)	\$(15)	\$(7)	\$3	\$ 1	\$ 19	\$ (2)
(a) Represents the elimination of \$19 million intercompany loss in the Texas region. The offsetting intercompany gain is included in cost of operations in the Reliant Energy region.							

	Reliant	Three months ended June 30, 2009 ant South							
	Energy ^(a)	Texas	Northeast	Central	West nillions)	Thermal	Elimination ^(b)	Total	
Net gains/(losses) on settled positions, or financial income in revenues	\$	\$ 105	\$ 96	\$ (2)	\$(1)	\$ 1	\$	\$ 199	
Mark-to-market results in revenues Reversal of previously recognized unrealized gains on settled positions related									
to economic hedges Reversal of previously recognized unrealized gains on settled positions related		(16)	(32)			(1)		(49)	
to trading activity Net unrealized (losses)/gains on open		(14)	(9)	(12)				(35)	
positions related to economic hedges Net unrealized (losses)/gains on open		(119)	(9)	(4)	7	(1)	(2)	(128)	
positions related to trading activity		(10)	5	6				1	
Subtotal mark-to-market results		(159)	(45)	(10)	7	(2)	(2)	(211)	
Total derivative (losses)/gains included in revenues	\$	\$ (54)	\$ 51	\$(12)	\$6	\$(1)	\$ (2)	\$ (12)	
(a) Reliant Energy results are for the period May 1, 2009, to June 30, 2009.									
(b) Represents the elimination of \$2 million intercompany gain in the Texas region. The offsetting intercompany									
Table of Contonto								100	

loss is included in cost of operations in the Reliant Energy region.

	Reliant	Thr	Three months ended June 30, 2010 South				
	Energy	Texas			Elimination ^(a)	Total	
Net (losses)/gains on settled positions, or financial expense in cost of operations	l \$ (88)	\$(1)	\$	\$	\$ 28	\$ (61)	
Mark-to-market results in cost of operations Reversal of previously recognized unrealized (gains)/losses on settled positions related to economic hedges Reversal of loss positions acquired as part of the Reliant Energy acquisition as of May 1, 2009 Net unrealized gains/(losses) on open positions related to economic hedges	(17) 60 120	8	4	4	(2) (45)	(3) 60 88	
Subtotal mark-to-market results	163	16	4	9	(47)	145	
Total derivative gains/(losses) included in cost of operations	\$ 75	\$15	\$4	\$9	\$ (19)	\$84	
(a) Represents the elimination of \$19 million intercompany gains in the Reliant Energy region. The offsetting intercompany loss is included in revenue in the Texas region.							
	Reliant Energy ^(a)			South	e 30, 2009 Elimination ^(b)	Total	
Net losses on settled positions, or financial expense in cost of operations	\$(114)	\$ (4)	(11) \$ (1)	\$(3)	\$	\$(122)	
Mark-to-market results in cost of operations Reversal of previously recognized unrealized losses on settled positions related to economic hedges		12	19			31	
Table of Contents						10	

Reversal of loss positions acquired as part of the Reliant Energy acquisition as of May 1, 2009 Net unrealized gains/(losses) on open positions	210					210
related to economic hedges	93	3	(8)	(5)	2	85
Subtotal mark-to-market results	303	15	11	(5)	2	326
Total derivative gains/(losses) included in cost of operations	\$ 189	\$11	\$ 10	\$(8)	\$2	\$ 204
 (a) Reliant Energy results are for the period May 1, 2009, to June 30, 2009. (b) Represents the elimination of \$2 million intercompany loss in the Reliant Energy region. The offsetting intercompany gain is included in revenue in the Texas region. 	59					
	59					

For the three months ended June 30, 2010, the \$52 million loss in revenue from economic hedge positions is primarily driven by a decrease in value of forward sales of natural gas and electricity due to an increase in forward power and gas prices. The \$88 million gain in cost of energy from economic hedge positions is primarily driven by an increase in value of forward purchases of natural gas, electricity and fuel due to an increase in forward power and gas prices. Reliant Energy s \$60 million gain from the roll-off of acquired derivatives consists of loss positions that were acquired as of May 1, 2009, and valued using forward prices on that date. The roll-off amounts were offset by realized losses at the settled prices and higher costs of physical power which are reflected in cost of operations during the same period.

For the period ended June 30, 2009, the \$128 million mark-to-market loss in revenue related to a decrease in value in forward sales of electricity and fuel relating to economic hedges due to an increase in forward power and gas prices. The \$85 million mark-to-market gain in expense related to economic hedges was due to an increase in forward purchases of electricity and natural gas relating to retail supply, due to an increase in forward power and gas prices.

In accordance with ASC 815, the following table represents the results of the Company s financial and physical trading of energy commodities for the three months ended June 30, 2010, and 2009. The realized financial trading results and unrealized financial and physical trading results are included in the risk management activities above, while the realized physical trading results are included in energy revenue. The Company s trading activities are subject to limits within the Company s Risk Management Policy.

	Three months ended June 30,				
(In millions)	2010	2009			
Trading gains/(losses)	¢ (12)	• • •			
Realized Unrealized	\$(13) 17	\$ 26 (34)			
Unicalized	17	(34)			
Total trading gains/(losses)	\$4	\$ (8)			

Depreciation and Amortization

NRG s depreciation and amortization expense decreased by \$5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010, compared to the same period in 2009. Depreciation and amortization expense for Reliant Energy decreased by \$14 million mainly due to reduction in amortization of customer relationships. This decrease was offset by a \$9 million increase in depreciation related to baghouse projects in western New York, Cedar Bayou 4 project which began operations in June 2009 and Langford which began commercial operations in December 2009.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

Selling, general and administrative expenses increased by \$8 million during the three months ended June 30, 2010, compared to the same period in 2009. The increase was due to:

Retail selling, general and administrative expense increased by \$15 million due to inclusion of month of April in 2010.

This increase was offset by:

Consultant costs decreased due to \$5 million non-recurring costs related to Exelon s exchange offer and proxy contest efforts incurred in 2009.

Acquisition-related Transaction and Integration Costs

NRG incurred Reliant Energy acquisition-related transaction and integration costs of \$23 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009. These integration efforts were completed by the end of 2009.

Equity in Earnings of Unconsolidated Affiliates

NRG s equity earnings from unconsolidated affiliates increased by \$6 million during the three months ended June 30, 2010, compared to the same period in 2009, primarily from an increase in equity earnings from Sherbino.

Gain on Sale of Equity Method Investments

NRG s gain on sale of equity method investments in 2009 represents a \$128 million gain on the sale of NRG s 50% ownership interest in MIBRAG.

Other Income/(Expense), Net

NRG s other income/(expense), net increased \$30 million during the three months ended June 30, 2010, compared to the same period in 2009. The 2010 amount includes \$3 million and \$9 million of unrealized and realized foreign exchange gains, respectively. The 2009 amount includes a \$15 million loss on a forward contract for foreign currency executed to hedge the MIBRAG sale proceeds.

Interest Expense

NRG s interest expense decreased by \$12 million during the three months ended June 30, 2010, compared to the same period in 2009. This decrease was due to \$7 million related to the settlement of the CSF Debt in 2009 and early 2010, a \$12 million decrease in fees on the CSRA facility, a \$4 million decrease due to a lower outstanding principal balance on the Term Loan Facility, and \$2 million due to lower interest rates related to the unhedged portion of the Term Loan. These decreases were offset by a \$10 million increase in interest expense related to the issuance of the 2019 Senior Notes in June 2009.

Income Tax Expense

NRG s income tax expense decreased by \$33 million during the three months ended June 30, 2010, compared to the same period in 2009. The decrease in income tax expense was primarily due to a decrease in income. The effective tax rate was 35.8% and 25.8% for the three months ended June 30, 2010, and 2009, respectively.

For the three months ended June 30, 2010, NRG s overall effective tax rate was different than the statutory rate of 35% primarily due to state and local income taxes as well as recording federal and state tax expense and interest for unrecognized tax benefits. For the three months ended June 30, 2009, NRG s effective tax rate was different than the statutory rate of 35% primarily due to a net state and local income tax benefit as a result of the Reliant Energy acquisition, and the sale of the MIBRAG facility.

Management s discussion of the results of operations for the six months ended June 30, 2010, and 2009:

The table below represents the results of NRG excluding the impact of Reliant Energy, and adjusted for intercompany transactions between Reliant Energy and the Texas region, during the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009:

			2010					
		Reliant		Total excluding Reliant		Reliant		Total excluding Reliant
(In millions)	Consolidated	Energy	Eliminations		Consolidate	d Energy ^(a) E	liminations	
Operating Revenues								
Energy revenue	\$1,283	\$	\$ 484	\$ 1,767	\$1,612	\$	\$ 54	\$ 1,666
Capacity revenue	417		7	424	513		11	524
Retail revenue	2,586	2,586			1,250	1,250		
Risk management								
activities	89		125	214	425		2	427
Contract								
amortization	(114)	(128)		14	(32)	(75)		43
Thermal revenue	48			48	55			55
Other revenues	39		26	65	72		2	74
Total operating		a 4 a a	6.10				<u> </u>	• =00
revenues	4,348	2,458	642	2,532	3,895	1,175	69	2,789
Operating Costs								
and Expenses		4 0 4 9		0.01	1 (20)		- 1	000
Cost of sales	2,318	1,843	516	991	1,628	803	71	896
Risk management								
activities	51	248	125	(72)	(136)	(189)	(2)	51
Other operating								
costs	599	94	1	506	516	41		475
Total cost of								
operations	2,968	2,185	642	1,425	2,008	655	69	1,422
Depreciation and		-			• • •			
amortization	410	59		351	382	43		339
Selling, general and administrative Acquisition-related	269	122		147	214	49		165
transaction and								
integration costs					35			35
Development costs	22			22	22			22
L								
Total operating costs	8							
and expenses	3,669	2,366	642	1,945	2,661	747	69	1,983
1	,	,		· · -	,		-	,
Gain on sale of								
assets	23			23				

Operating income	\$ 702	\$	92	\$	\$ 610	\$1,234	\$ 428	\$	\$ 806
-------------------------	--------	----	----	----	--------	---------	--------	----	--------

(a) Reliant Energy results are for the period May 1, 2009, to June 30, 2009.

Operating Revenues

Operating revenues, excluding risk management activities, increased \$789 million during the six months ended June 30, 2010, compared to the same period in 2009.

Retail revenue for the six months ended June 30, 2010, were \$2.6 billion consisting of \$1.5 billion in Mass revenues and \$991 million in C&I revenues. Retail revenues for the two months ended 2009 were \$1.3&nbs