AVALONBAY COMMUNITIES INC Form 10-K March 01, 2007

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006 Commission file number 1-12672 AVALONBAY COMMUNITIES, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

77-0404318 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

2900 Eisenhower Avenue, Suite 300
Alexandria, Virginia 22314
(Address of principal executive office)
(703) 329-6300
(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Common Stock, par value \$.01 per share 8.70% Series H Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock.

New York Stock Exchange New York Stock Exchange

par value \$.01 per share

(Title of each class)

(Name of each exchange on which registered)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act.

Yes o No x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding twelve (12) months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant s knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. o Indicate by check mark whether the Exchange registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act).

Large accelerated filer x Accelerated filer o Non-accelerated filer o Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act).

Yes o No x

The aggregate market value of the Registrant s Common Stock, par value \$.01 per share, held by nonaffiliates of the registrant, as of June 30, 2006 was \$8,231,895,376.

The number of shares of the registrant s Common Stock, par value \$.01 per share, outstanding as of January 31, 2007 was 79,344,557.

Documents Incorporated by Reference

Portions of AvalonBay Communities, Inc. s Proxy Statement for the 2007 annual meeting of stockholders, a definitive copy of which will be filed with the SEC within 120 days after the year end of the year covered by this Form 10-K, are incorporated by reference herein as portions of Part III of this Form 10-K.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		PAGE
	PART I	
ITEM 1.	BUSINESS	1
ITEM 1a.	RISK FACTORS	8
ITEM 1b.	UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS	15
ITEM 2.	COMMUNITIES	16
ITEM 3.	LEGAL PROCEEDINGS	43
ITEM 4.	SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS	43
	PART II	
ITEM 5.	MARKET FOR REGISTRANT S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES	44
ITEM 6.	SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA	46
ITEM 7.	MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS	49
ITEM 7a.	QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK	66
ITEM 8.	FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA	68
ITEM 9.	CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE	68
ITEM 9a.	CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES	68
ITEM 9b.	OTHER INFORMATION	68
	PART III	
ITEM 10.	DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE	69
ITEM 11.	EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	69
ITEM 12.	SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS	69
ITEM 13.		70

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED T	TRANSACTIONS, ANI	DIRECTOR
INDEPENDENCE		

ITEM 14.	PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES	70
	PART IV	
ITEM 15.	EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULE	71
SIGNATURES		76

PART I

This Form 10-K contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Our actual results could differ materially from those set forth in each forward-looking statement. Certain factors that might cause such a difference are discussed in this report, including in the section entitled Forward-Looking Statements on page 65 of this Form 10-K. You should also review Item 1a., Risk Factors, for a discussion of various risks that could adversely affect us.

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

General

AvalonBay Communities, Inc. (the Company, which term, unless the context otherwise requires, refers to AvalonBay Communities, Inc. together with its subsidiaries) is a Maryland corporation that has elected to be treated as a real estate investment trust, or REIT, for federal income tax purposes. We engage in the development, redevelopment, acquisition, ownership and operation of multifamily communities in high barrier-to-entry markets of the United States. These barriers-to-entry generally include a difficult and lengthy entitlement process with local jurisdictions and dense urban or suburban areas where zoned and entitled land is in limited supply. Our markets are located in the Northeast, Mid-Atlantic, Midwest, Pacific Northwest, and Northern and Southern California regions of the United States. We focus on these markets because we believe that, long term, the limited new supply of apartment homes and lower housing affordability in these markets will result in larger increases in cash flows relative to other markets. In addition to increasing the rental revenues of our operating assets, we believe these market attributes will increase the value of our operating assets and enable us to create additional value through the development and selective acquisition of multifamily housing.

At January 31, 2007, we owned or held a direct or indirect ownership interest in:

151 operating apartment communities containing 43,533 apartment homes in ten states and the District of Columbia, of which six communities containing 2,381 apartment homes were under reconstruction;

17 communities under construction that are expected to contain an aggregate of 5,153 apartment homes when completed; and

rights to develop an additional 54 communities that, if developed in the manner expected, will contain an estimated 14,185 apartment homes.

We generally obtain ownership in an apartment community by developing a new community on vacant land or by acquiring and either repositioning or redeveloping an existing community. In selecting sites for development, redevelopment or acquisition, we favor locations that are near expanding employment centers and convenient to transportation, recreation areas, entertainment, shopping and dining.

Our real estate investments consist of the following reportable segments: Established Communities, Other Stabilized Communities and Development/Redevelopment Communities. Established Communities are generally operating communities that are consolidated for financial reporting purposes and that were owned and had stabilized occupancy and operating expenses as of the beginning of the prior year. Other Stabilized Communities are generally all other operating communities that have stabilized occupancy and operating expenses during the current year, but that had not achieved stabilization as of the beginning of the prior year. Development/Redevelopment Communities consist of communities that are under construction, communities where substantial redevelopment is in progress or is planned to begin during the current year and communities under lease-up. A more detailed description of these segments and other related information can be found in Note 9, Segment Reporting, of the Consolidated Financial Statements set forth in Item 8 of this report.

Our principal financial goal is to increase long-term stockholder value by successfully and cost-effectively developing, redeveloping, acquiring, owning and operating high-quality communities in our selected markets that contain features and amenities desired by residents, as well as by providing our residents with efficient and effective service.

To help fulfill this goal, we regularly (i) monitor our investment allocation by geographic market and product type, (ii) develop, redevelop and acquire apartment communities in high barrier-to-entry markets with growing or high potential for demand and high for-sale housing costs, (iii) selectively sell apartment communities that no longer meet our long-term strategy or when opportunities are presented to realize a portion of the value created through our investment and redeploy the proceeds from those sales, and (iv) endeavor to maintain a capital structure that is aligned with our business risks such that we maintain continuous access to cost-effective capital. Our long-term strategy is to more deeply penetrate the high barrier-to-entry markets in our chosen regions with a broad range of products and services and an intense focus on our customer. A substantial majority of our current communities are upscale, which generally command among the highest rents in their markets. However, we also pursue the ownership and operation of apartment communities that target a variety of customer segments and price points, consistent with our goal of offering a broad range of products and services.

During the three years ended December 31, 2006, we acquired two apartment communities whose financial results are consolidated for financial reporting purposes, disposed of 16 apartment communities, and completed the development of 20 apartment communities and the redevelopment of six apartment communities. In anticipation of continued improvement in apartment fundamentals and to help position us for future growth, we increased our construction volume during 2006 (as measured by total projected capitalized cost at completion) and continued to secure new development opportunities, including the acquisition of land for future development. We also increased our investments in apartment communities through an institutional discretionary investment fund, AvalonBay Value Added Fund, L.P. (the Fund), which we manage and in which we own approximately a 15% interest. The Fund acquired communities that we believe we can redevelop or reposition, or take advantage of market cycle timing and improved operating performance, to create value. Since its inception in March 2005, the Fund has acquired 13 communities. A more detailed description of the Fund and its investment activity can be found in Financing Activities and Note 6, Investments in Unconsolidated Entities of the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 of this report. As a result of strong capital flows to the industry, we also continued to dispose of assets at prices that enabled significant realized gains on cost.

In 2007, we expect additional new development activity to be in the range of \$1,000,000,000 to \$1,300,000,000, and we expect the Fund will continue to selectively acquire additional communities. We also anticipate asset sales, dependent on strategic and value realization opportunities. The level of development, acquisition and disposition activity, however, is heavily influenced by capital market conditions, including prevailing interest rates. A further discussion of our development, redevelopment, disposition, acquisition, property management and related strategies follows.

Development Strategy. We select land for development and follow established procedures that we believe minimize both the cost and the risks of development. As one of the largest developers of multifamily apartment communities in high barrier-to-entry markets of the United States, we identify development opportunities through local market presence and access to local market information achieved through our regional offices. In addition to our principal executive office in Alexandria, Virginia, we also maintain regional offices and administrative or specialty offices in or near the following cities:

owing cities:
Boston, Massachusetts;
Chicago, Illinois;
Long Island, New York;
Los Angeles, California;
New York, New York;
Newport Beach, California;

San Jose, California;

Seattle, Washington;

Shelton, Connecticut; and

Woodbridge, New Jersey.

After selecting a target site, we usually negotiate for the right to acquire the site either through an option or a long-term conditional contract. Options and long-term conditional contracts generally enable us to acquire the target site shortly before the start of construction, which reduces development-related risks and preserves capital. However, we will acquire and hold land when business conditions warrant. Due to increased competition for land based on current market conditions, we have, at times, acquired land earlier in the development cycle or acquired land zoned for uses other than residential with the potential for rezoning. After we acquire land, we generally shift our focus to construction. Except for certain mid-rise and high-rise apartment communities where we may elect to use third-party general contractors or construction managers, we act as our own general contractor and construction manager.

We generally perform these functions directly (although we may use a wholly-owned subsidiary) both for ourselves and for the joint ventures and partnerships of which we are a member or a partner. We believe this enables us to achieve higher construction quality, greater control over construction schedules and significant cost savings. Our development, property management and construction teams monitor construction progress to ensure high-quality workmanship and a smooth and timely transition into the leasing and operating phase.

As competition for desirable development opportunities has increased in recent years, we will in some cases be engaged in more complicated development pursuits. For example, at times we have acquired and may in the future acquire existing commercial buildings with the intent to pursue rezoning, tenant terminations or expirations and demolition of the existing structures. Generally, during the period that we hold these buildings for future development, the net revenue from these operations, which we consider to be incidental, is accounted for as a reduction in our investment in the development pursuit and not as net income. We have also participated, and may in the future participate, in master planned or other large multi-use developments where we commit to build infrastructure (such as roads) to be used by other participants or commit to act as construction manager or general contractor in building structures or spaces for third parties (such as municipal garages or parks). Costs we incur in connection with these activities may be accounted for as additional invested capital in the community or we may earn fee income for providing these services. Particularly with large scale, urban in-fill developments, we may engage in significant environmental remediation efforts to prepare a site for construction.

Throughout this report, the term development is used to refer to the entire property development cycle, including pursuit of zoning approvals, procurement of architectural and engineering designs and the construction process. References to construction refer to the actual construction of the property, which is only one element of the development cycle.

Redevelopment Strategy. When we undertake the redevelopment of a community, our goal is to renovate and/or rebuild an existing community so that our total investment is generally below replacement cost and the community is well positioned in the market to achieve attractive returns on our capital. We have established procedures to minimize both the cost and risks of redevelopment. Our redevelopment teams, which include key redevelopment, construction and property management personnel, monitor redevelopment progress. We believe we achieve significant cost savings by acting as our own general contractor. More importantly, this helps to ensure high-quality design and workmanship and a smooth and timely transition into the lease-up and restabilization phase.

Throughout this report, the term redevelopment is used to refer to the entire redevelopment cycle, including planning and procurement of architectural and engineering designs, budgeting and actual renovation work. The actual renovation work is referred to as reconstruction, which is only one element of the redevelopment cycle. *Disposition Strategy*. We sell assets when market conditions are favorable and redeploy the proceeds from those sales to develop, redevelop and acquire communities and to rebalance our portfolio across geographic regions. This also allows us to realize a portion of the value created through our investments, and provides additional liquidity. We are then able to redeploy the net proceeds from our dispositions in lieu of raising that amount of capital externally by issuing debt or equity securities. When we decide to sell a community, we generally solicit competing bids from unrelated parties for these individual assets and consider the sales price of each proposal.

Acquisition Strategy. Our core competencies in development and redevelopment discussed above allow us to be selective in the acquisitions we target. Acquisitions allow us to achieve rapid penetration into markets in which we desire an increased presence. Acquisitions (and dispositions) also help us achieve our desired product mix or rebalance our portfolio. In 2005 we formed the Fund, which during its investment period (ending no later than March 2008) will be the principal vehicle for us to acquire additional investments in apartment communities, subject to certain exceptions. Through the Fund s investment period (or until fully invested), we expect to continue our acquisition activity through the Fund, focusing in particular on communities in our markets that can benefit from redevelopment, repositioning or market cycle opportunities.

Property Management Strategy. We intend to increase operating income through innovative, proactive property management that will result in higher revenue from communities and controlled operating expenses.

Our principal strategies to maximize revenue include:

strong focus on resident satisfaction;

staggering lease terms such that lease expirations are better matched to traffic patterns;

balancing high occupancy with premium pricing, and increasing rents as market conditions permit; and

managing community occupancy for optimal rental revenue levels.

Controlling operating expenses is another way in which we intend to increase earnings growth. Growth in our portfolio and the resulting increase in revenue allows for fixed operating costs to be spread over a larger volume of revenue, thereby increasing operating margins. We control operating expenses in a variety of ways, which include the following, among others:

we use purchase order controls, acquiring goods and services from pre-approved vendors;

we purchase supplies in bulk where possible;

we bid third-party contracts on a volume basis;

we strive to retain residents through high levels of service in order to eliminate the cost of preparing an apartment home for a new resident and to reduce marketing and vacant apartment utility costs;

we perform turnover work in-house or hire third parties, generally depending upon the least costly alternative;

we undertake preventive maintenance regularly to maximize resident satisfaction and property and equipment life; and

we aggressively pursue real estate tax appeals.

On-site property management teams receive bonuses based largely upon the net operating income produced at their respective communities. We use and continuously seek ways to improve technology applications to help manage our communities, believing that the accurate collection of financial and resident data will enable us to maximize revenue and control costs through careful leasing decisions, maintenance decisions and financial management.

We generally manage the operation and leasing activity of our communities directly (although we may use a wholly-owned subsidiary) both for ourselves and the joint ventures and partnerships of which we are a member or a partner.

From time to time, we also pursue or arrange ancillary services for our residents to provide additional revenue sources or increase resident satisfaction. In general, as a REIT we cannot directly provide services to our tenants that are not customarily provided by a landlord, nor can we share in the income of a third party that provides such services. However, we can provide such non-customary services to residents or share in the revenue from such services if we do so through a taxable REIT subsidiary, which is a subsidiary that is treated as a C corporation and is therefore subject to federal income taxes.

Financing Strategy. We have consistently maintained, and intend to continue to maintain, a capital structure that is aligned to the business risks presented by our corporate strategy. For the year ended December 31, 2006, our fixed charge ratio on an incurred and expensed basis was 1.90 and 2.68, respectively. We believe that fixed charge coverage is an important measure of balance sheet strength, as it measures our ability to service fixed payment obligations from operating cash flow. At December 31, 2006, our debt-to-total market capitalization was 22.3%, and our long-term floating rate debt was 3.4% of total market capitalization. Total market capitalization reflects the aggregate of the market value of our common stock, the market value of our operating partnership units outstanding (based on the market value of our common stock), the liquidation preference of our preferred stock and the outstanding principal

amount of our debt. We believe that debt-to-total market capitalization can be one useful measure of a real estate operating company s long-term liquidity and balance sheet strength, because it shows an approximate relationship between a company s total debt and the current total market value of its assets based on the current price at which the company s common stock trades. However, because debt-to-total market capitalization changes with fluctuations in our stock price, which occur regularly, our debt-to-total market capitalization may change even when our earnings and debt levels remain stable.

4

We estimate that a portion of our short-term liquidity needs will be met from retained operating cash and borrowings under our variable rate unsecured credit facility. If required to meet the balance of our current or anticipated liquidity needs, we will attempt to arrange additional capacity under our existing unsecured credit facility, sell existing communities or land and/or issue additional debt or equity securities. A determination to engage in an equity or debt offering depends on a variety of factors such as general market and economic conditions, including interest rates, our short and long term liquidity needs, the adequacy of our expected liquidity sources, the relative costs of debt and equity capital, and growth opportunities. A summary of debt and equity activity for the last three years is reflected on our Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows of the Consolidated Financial Statements set forth in Item 8 of this report. We have entered into, and may continue in the future to enter into, joint ventures (including limited liability companies) or partnerships through which we would own an indirect economic interest of less than 100% of the community or communities owned directly by such joint venture or partnership. Our decision whether to hold an apartment community in fee simple or to have an indirect interest in the community through a joint venture or partnership is based on a variety of factors and considerations, including: (i) the economic and tax terms required by a seller of land or of a community, who may prefer that (or who may require less payment if) the land or community is contributed to a joint venture or partnership; (ii) our desire to diversify our portfolio of communities by market, submarket and product type; (iii) our desire at times to preserve our capital resources to maintain liquidity or balance sheet strength; and (iv) our projection, in some circumstances, that we will achieve higher returns on our invested capital or reduce our risk if a joint venture or partnership vehicle is used. Investments in joint ventures or partnerships are not limited to a specified percentage of our assets. Each joint venture or partnership agreement is individually negotiated, and our ability to operate and/or dispose of a community in our sole discretion may be limited to varying degrees depending on the terms of the joint venture or partnership agreement.

We have invested in the Fund, a private, discretionary investment vehicle that acquires and operates apartment communities in our markets. The Fund will serve, until March 16, 2008 or until 80% of its committed capital is invested, as the principal vehicle through which we will invest in the acquisition of apartment communities, subject to certain exceptions. These exceptions include significant individual asset and portfolio acquisitions, properties acquired in tax-deferred transactions and acquisitions that are inadvisable or inappropriate for the Fund. The Fund will not restrict our development activities, and will terminate after a term of eight years, subject to two one-year extensions. The Fund has nine institutional investors, including us, with a combined equity capital commitment of \$330,000,000. A significant portion of the investments made in the Fund by its investors are being made through AvalonBay Value Added Fund, Inc., a Maryland corporation that qualifies as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code (the Fund REIT). A wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company is the general partner of the Fund and has committed \$50,000,000 to the Fund and the Fund REIT (of which approximately \$22,944,000 has been invested as of January 31, 2007) representing a 15.2% combined general partner and limited partner equity interest. Under the Fund documents, the Fund has the ability to employ leverage through debt financings up to 65% on a portfolio basis, which, if achieved, would enable the Fund to invest up to \$940,000,000. We currently expect that leverage of less than 65% will be employed, reducing the projected investment value to between \$850,000,000 and \$900,000,000 (of which approximately \$514,000,000 has been invested as of January 31, 2007).

In addition, we may, from time to time, offer shares of our equity securities, debt securities or options to purchase stock in exchange for property.

Other Strategies and Activities. While we emphasize equity real estate investments in rental apartment communities, we have the ability to invest in other types of real estate, mortgages (including participating or convertible mortgages), securities of other REITs or real estate operating companies, or securities of technology companies that relate to our real estate operations or of companies that provide services to us or our residents, in each case consistent with our qualification as a REIT. On occasion, we own and operate retail space at our communities when either (i) the highest and best use of the space is for retail (e.g., street level in an urban area) or (ii) we believe the retail space will enhance the attractiveness of the community to residents. As of December 31, 2006, we had a total of 327,010 square feet of rentable retail space that produced gross rental revenue in 2006 of \$5,258,000 (0.7% of total revenue). If we secure a development right and believe that its best use, in whole or in part, is to develop the real estate with the intent to sell rather than hold the asset, we may, through a taxable REIT subsidiary, develop real estate for sale. At present, we

expect to develop with the intent to sell, directly through a taxable REIT subsidiary or indirectly through a joint venture partnership, one or more land parcels. Any investment in securities of other entities, and any development of real estate for sale, is subject to the percentage of ownership limitations, gross income tests, and other limitations that must be observed for REIT qualification.

5

We have not engaged in trading, underwriting or agency distribution or sale of securities of other issuers and do not intend to do so. At all times we intend to make investments in a manner so as to qualify as a REIT unless, because of circumstances or changes to the Internal Revenue Code (or the Treasury Regulations), the Board of Directors determines that it is no longer in our best interest to qualify as a REIT.

Inflation and Deflation

Substantially all of our apartment leases are for a term of one year or less. In an inflationary environment, this may allow us to realize increased rents upon renewal of existing leases or the beginning of new leases. Short-term leases generally minimize our risk from the adverse effects of inflation, although these leases generally permit residents to leave at the end of the lease term and therefore expose us to the effect of a decline in market rents. In a deflationary rent environment, we may be exposed to declining rents more quickly under these shorter-term leases.

Tax Matters

We filed an election with our 1994 federal income tax return to be taxed as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, and intend to maintain our qualification as a REIT in the future. As a qualified REIT, with limited exceptions, we will not be taxed under federal and certain state income tax laws at the corporate level on our net income to the extent net income is distributed to our stockholders. We expect to make sufficient distributions to avoid income tax at the corporate level. While we believe that we are organized and qualified as a REIT and we intend to operate in a manner that will allow us to continue to qualify as a REIT, there can be no assurance that we will be successful in this regard. Qualification as a REIT involves the application of highly technical and complex provisions of the Internal Revenue Code for which there are limited judicial and administrative interpretations and involves the determination of a variety of factual matters and circumstances not entirely within our control.

Competition

We face competition from other real estate investors, including insurance companies, pension and investment funds, partnerships and investment companies and other apartment REITs, to acquire and develop apartment communities and acquire land for future development. As an owner and operator of apartment communities, we also face competition for prospective residents from other operators whose communities may be perceived to offer a better location or better amenities or whose rent may be perceived as a better value proposition given the quality, location and amenities that the resident seeks. We also compete with the condominium and single-family home markets. Although we often compete against large sophisticated developers and operators for development opportunities and for prospective residents, real estate developers and operators of any size can provide effective competition for both real estate assets and potential residents.

Environmental and Related Matters

As a current or prior owner, operator and developer of real estate, we are subject to various federal, state and local environmental laws, regulations and ordinances and also could be liable to third parties resulting from environmental contamination or noncompliance at our communities. For some development communities, we undertake extensive environmental remediation to prepare the site for construction, which could be a significant portion of our total construction cost. Environmental remediation efforts could expose us to possible liabilities for accidents or improper handling of contaminated materials during construction. These and other risks related to environmental matters are described in more detail in Item 1a., Risk Factors .

Other Information

We file annual, quarterly and current reports, proxy statements and other information with the SEC. You may read and copy any document we file at the SEC s Public Reference Room at 450 Fifth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20549. You may call the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330 for further information on the operation of the Public Reference Room. Our SEC filings are also available to the public from the SEC s website at www.sec.gov. In addition, you may read our SEC fillings at the offices of the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE), which is located at 20 Board Street, New York, New York 10005. Our SEC fillings are available at the NYSE because our common stock and an outstanding series of preferred stock are listed on the NYSE.

We maintain a website at www.avalonbay.com. Our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 are available free of charge in the Investor Relations section of our website as soon as reasonably practicable after the reports are filed with or furnished to the SEC. In addition, the charters of our Board's Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, Audit Committee and Compensation Committee, as well as our Corporate Governance Guidelines and Code of Conduct, are available free of charge in that section of our website or by writing to AvalonBay Communities, Inc., 2900 Eisenhower Avenue, Suite 300, Alexandria, Virginia 22314, Attention: Chief Financial Officer.

We were incorporated under the laws of the State of California in 1978. In 1995, we reincorporated in the State of Maryland and have been focused on the ownership and operation of apartment communities since that time. As of December 31, 2006, we had 1,767 employees.

7

ITEM 1a. RISK FACTORS

Our operations involve various risks that could have adverse consequences, including those described below. This Item 1a includes forward-looking statements. You should refer to our discussion of the qualifications and limitations on forward-looking statements on page 65.

Development, redevelopment and construction risks could affect our profitability.

We intend to continue to develop and redevelop apartment home communities. These activities can include long planning and entitlement timelines and can involve complex and costly activities, including significant environmental remediation or construction work in high-density urban areas. These activities may be exposed to the following risks:

we may be unable to obtain, or experience delays in obtaining, necessary zoning, occupancy, or other required governmental or third party permits and authorizations, which could result in increased costs or the delay or abandonment of opportunities;

we may abandon opportunities that we have already begun to explore for a number of reasons, including changes in local market conditions or increases in construction or financing costs, and, as a result, we may fail to recover expenses already incurred in exploring those opportunities;

we may incur costs that exceed our original estimates due to increased material, labor or other costs;

occupancy rates and rents at a community may fail to meet our expectations for a number of reasons, including changes in market and economic conditions beyond our control and the development by competitors of competing communities;

we may be unable to complete construction and lease up of a community on schedule, resulting in increased construction and financing costs and a decrease in expected rental revenues;

we may be unable to obtain financing with favorable terms, or at all, for the proposed development of a community, which may cause us to delay or abandon an opportunity;

we may incur liabilities to third parties during the development process, for example, in connection with managing existing improvements on the site prior to tenant terminations and demolition (such as commercial space) or in connection with providing services to third parties, such as the construction of shared infrastructure or other improvements; and

we may incur liability if our communities are not constructed and operated in compliance with the accessibility provisions of the Americans with Disabilities Acts, the Fair Housing Act or other federal, state or local requirements. Noncompliance could result in imposition of fines, an award of damages to private litigants, and a requirement that we undertake structural modifications to remedy the noncompliance. We are currently engaged in a lawsuit alleging noncompliance with these statutes. See Legal Proceedings.

We project construction costs based on market conditions at the time we prepare our budgets, and our projections include changes that we anticipate but cannot predict with certainty. Construction costs have been increasing, particularly for materials such as steel, concrete and lumber, and, for some of our Development Communities and Development Rights, the total construction costs may be higher than the original budget. Total capitalized cost includes all capitalized costs projected to be incurred to develop or redevelop a community, determined in accordance with United States Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP), including:

land and/or property acquisition costs;

construction or reconstruction costs;

costs of environmental remediation;
real estate taxes;
capitalized interest;
loan fees;
permits;
professional fees;
allocated development or redevelopment overhead; and
other regulatory fees.

Costs to redevelop communities that have been acquired have, in some cases, exceeded our original estimates and similar increases in costs may be experienced in the future. We cannot assure you that market rents in effect at the time new development or redevelopment communities complete lease-up will be sufficient to fully offset the effects of any increased construction or reconstruction costs.

Unfavorable changes in market and economic conditions could hurt occupancy or rental rates.

Local conditions in our markets significantly affect occupancy or rental rates at our communities. The risks that may adversely affect conditions in those markets include the following:

plant closings, industry slowdowns and other factors that adversely affect the local economy;

an oversupply of, or a reduced demand for, apartment homes;

a decline in household formation or employment or lack of employment growth;

the inability or unwillingness of residents to pay rent increases; and

rent control or rent stabilization laws, or other laws regulating housing, that could prevent us from raising rents to offset increases in operating costs.

Changes in applicable laws, or noncompliance with applicable laws, could adversely affect our operations or expose us to liability.

We must operate our communities in compliance with numerous federal, state and local laws and regulations, including landlord tenant laws and other laws generally applicable to business operations. Noncompliance with laws could expose us to liability.

Compliance with changes in (i) laws increasing the potential liability for environmental conditions existing on properties or the restrictions on discharges or other conditions, (ii) rent control or rent stabilization laws or (iii) other governmental rules and regulations or enforcement policies affecting the use and operation of our communities, including changes to building codes and fire and life-safety codes, may result in lower revenue growth or significant unanticipated expenditures.

Short-term leases expose us to the effects of declining market rents.

Substantially all of our apartment leases are for a term of one year or less. Because these leases generally permit the residents to leave at the end of the lease term without penalty, our rental revenues are impacted by declines in market rents more quickly than if our leases were for longer terms.

Competition could limit our ability to lease apartment homes or increase or maintain rents.

Our apartment communities compete with other housing alternatives to attract residents, including other rental apartments, condominiums and single-family homes that are available for rent, as well as new and existing condominiums and single-family homes for sale. Competitive residential housing in a particular area could adversely affect our ability to lease apartment homes and to increase or maintain rental rates.

Attractive investment opportunities may not be available, which could adversely affect our profitability.

We expect that other real estate investors, including insurance companies, pension funds, other REITs and other well-capitalized investors, will compete with us to acquire existing properties and to develop new properties. This competition could increase prices for properties of the type we would likely pursue and adversely affect our profitability.

Insufficient cash flow could affect our debt financing and create refinancing risk.

We are subject to the risks associated with debt financing, including the risk that our cash flow will be insufficient to meet required payments of principal and interest. In this regard, we note that we are required to annually distribute dividends generally equal to at least 90% of our REIT taxable income, computed without regard to the dividends paid deduction and our net capital gain, in order for us to continue to qualify as a REIT, and this requirement limits the amount of our cash flow available to meet required principal and interest payments. The principal outstanding balance on a portion of our debt will not be fully amortized prior to its maturity. Although we may be able to repay our debt by using our cash flows, we cannot assure you that we will have sufficient cash flows available to make all required principal payments. Therefore, we may need to refinance at least a portion of our outstanding debt as it matures. There is a risk that we may not be able to refinance existing debt or that a refinancing will not be done on as favorable terms, either of which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Rising interest rates could increase interest costs and could affect the market price of our common stock.

We currently have, and may in the future, incur variable interest rate debt. Accordingly, if interest rates increase, our interest costs will also rise, unless we have made arrangements that hedge the risk of rising interest rates. In addition, an increase in market interest rates may lead purchasers of our common stock to demand a greater annual dividend yield, which could adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

Bond financing and zoning compliance requirements could limit our income, restrict the use of communities and cause favorable financing to become unavailable.

We have financed some of our apartment communities with obligations issued by local government agencies because the interest paid to the holders of this debt is generally exempt from federal income taxes and, therefore, the interest rate is generally more favorable to us. These obligations are commonly referred to as tax-exempt bonds and generally must be secured by communities. As a condition to obtaining tax-exempt financing, or on occasion as a condition to obtaining favorable zoning in some jurisdictions, we will commit to make some of the apartments in a community available to households whose income does not exceed certain thresholds (e.g., 50% or 80% of area median income), or who meet other qualifying tests. As of December 31, 2006, approximately 7% of our apartment homes at current operating communities were under use limitations such as these. These commitments, which may run without expiration or may expire after a period of time (such as 15 or 20 years) may limit our ability to raise rents aggressively and, in consequence, can also limit increases in the value of the communities subject to these restrictions.

In addition, some of our tax-exempt bond financing documents require us to obtain a guarantee from a financial institution of payment of the principal of, and interest on, the bonds. The guarantee may take the form of a letter of credit, surety bond, guarantee agreement or other additional collateral. If the financial institution defaults in its guarantee obligations, or if we are unable to renew the applicable guarantee or otherwise post satisfactory collateral, a default will occur under the applicable tax-exempt bonds and the community could be foreclosed upon.

Risks related to indebtedness.

We have a \$650,000,000 revolving variable rate unsecured credit facility with JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., and Wachovia Bank, N.A., serving together as syndication agent and as banks, Bank of America, N.A., serving as administrative agent, swing lender, issuing bank and a bank, Morgan Stanley Bank, Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., and Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, serving collectively as documentation agent and as banks, and a syndicate of other financial institutions, serving as banks. Our organizational documents do not limit the amount or percentage of indebtedness that may be incurred. Accordingly, subject to compliance with outstanding debt covenants, we could incur more debt, resulting in an increased risk of default on our obligations and an increase in debt service requirements that could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

The mortgages on those of our properties subject to secured debt, our unsecured credit facility and the indentures under which a substantial portion of our debt was issued contain customary restrictions, requirements and other limitations, as well as certain financial and operating covenants including maintenance of certain financial ratios. Maintaining compliance with these restrictions could limit our flexibility. A default in these requirements, if uncured, could result in a requirement that we repay indebtedness, which could severely affect our liquidity and increase our financing costs.

Failure to generate sufficient revenue could limit cash flow available for distributions to stockholders.

A decrease in rental revenue could have an adverse effect on our ability to pay distributions to our stockholders and our ability to maintain our status as a REIT. Significant expenditures associated with each community such as debt service payments, if any, real estate taxes, insurance and maintenance costs are generally not reduced when circumstances cause a reduction in income from a community.

Debt financing may not be available and equity issuances could be dilutive to our stockholders.

Our ability to execute our business strategy depends on our access to an appropriate blend of debt and equity financing. Debt financing may not be available in sufficient amounts or on favorable terms. If we issue additional equity securities, the interests of existing stockholders could be diluted.

Difficulty of selling apartment communities could limit flexibility.

Federal tax laws may limit our ability to earn a gain on the sale of a community (unless we own it through a subsidiary which will incur a taxable gain upon sale) if we are found to have held, acquired or developed the community primarily with the intent to resell the community, and this limitation may affect our ability to sell communities without adversely affecting returns to our stockholders. In addition, real estate in our markets can at times be hard to sell, especially if market conditions are poor. These potential difficulties in selling real estate in our markets may limit our ability to change or reduce the apartment communities in our portfolio promptly in response to changes in economic or other conditions.

Acquisitions may not yield anticipated results.

Subject to the requirements related to the Fund, we may in the future acquire apartment communities on a select basis. Our acquisition activities and their success may be exposed to the following risks:

an acquired property may fail to perform as we expected in analyzing our investment; and

our estimate of the costs of repositioning or redeveloping an acquired property may prove inaccurate.

Failure to succeed in new markets or in activities other than the development, ownership and operation of residential rental communities may have adverse consequences.

We may from time to time commence development activity or make acquisitions outside of our existing market areas if appropriate opportunities arise. As noted in the business description above, we also own and operate retail space when a retail component represents the best use of the space, as is often the case with large urban in-fill developments. Also as noted in the business description above, we expect to develop, through a taxable REIT subsidiary, directly or through a joint venture partnership, one or more parcels with the intent to sell, which we believe represents the best use for those parcels. Our historical experience in our existing markets in developing, owning and operating rental communities does not ensure that we will be able to operate successfully in new markets, should we choose to enter them, or that we will be successful in these other activities. We may be exposed to a variety of risks if we choose to enter new markets, including an inability to evaluate accurately local apartment market conditions; an inability to obtain land for development or to identify appropriate acquisition opportunities; an inability to hire and retain key personnel; and lack of familiarity with local governmental and permitting procedures. We may be unsuccessful in owning and operating retail space at our communities or in developing real estate with the intent to sell.

Risks involved in real estate activity through joint ventures.

Instead of acquiring or developing apartment communities directly, at times we invest as a partner or a co-venturer. Partnership or joint venture investments involve risks, including the possibility that our partner might become insolvent or otherwise refuse to make capital contributions when due; that we may be responsible to our partner for indemnifiable losses; that our partner might at any time have business goals which are inconsistent with ours; and that our partner may be in a position to take action or withhold consent contrary to our instructions or requests. Frequently, we and our partner may each have the right to trigger a buy-sell arrangement, which could cause us to sell our interest, or acquire our partner s interest, at a time when we otherwise would not have initiated such a transaction.

Risks associated with an investment in and management of a discretionary investment fund.

We have formed the Fund which, through a wholly-owned subsidiary, we manage as the general partner and to which we have committed \$50,000,000, representing an approximate 15% equity interest. This presents risks, including the following:

investors in the Fund may fail to make their capital contributions when due and, as a result, the Fund may be unable to execute its investment objectives;

our subsidiary that is the general partner of the Fund is generally liable, under partnership law, for the debts and obligations of the Fund, subject to certain exculpation and indemnification rights pursuant to the terms of the partnership agreement of the Fund;

investors in the Fund holding a majority of the partnership interests may remove us as the general partner without cause, subject to our right to receive an additional nine months of management fees after such removal and our right to acquire one of the properties then held by the Fund;

while we have broad discretion to manage the Fund and make investment decisions on behalf of the Fund, the investors or an advisory committee comprised of representatives of the investors must approve certain matters, and as a result we may be unable to cause the Fund to make certain investments or implement certain decisions that we consider beneficial;

we can develop communities but are generally prohibited from making acquisitions of apartment communities outside of the Fund until the earlier of March 16, 2008 or until 80% of the Fund s committed capital is invested, subject to certain exceptions; and

we may be liable if either the Fund, or the REIT through which a number of investors have invested in the Fund and which we manage, fails to comply with various tax or other regulatory matters.

Risk of earthquake damage.

As further described in Item 2., Communities Insurance and Risk of Uninsured Losses, many of our West Coast communities are located in the general vicinity of active earthquake faults. We cannot assure you that an earthquake would not cause damage or losses greater than insured levels. In the event of a loss in excess of insured limits, we could lose our capital invested in the affected community, as well as anticipated future revenue from that community. We would also continue to be obligated to repay any mortgage indebtedness or other obligations related to the community. Any such loss could materially and adversely affect our business and our financial condition and results of operations.

Insurance coverage for earthquakes is expensive due to limited industry capacity. As a result, we may experience shortages in desired coverage levels if market conditions are such that insurance is not available.

A significant uninsured property or liability loss could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

In addition to the earthquake insurance discussed above, we carry commercial general liability insurance, property insurance and terrorism insurance with respect to our communities on terms we consider commercially reasonable.

There are, however, certain types of losses (such as losses arising from acts of war) that are not insured, in full or in part, because they are either uninsurable or the cost of insurance makes it, in management s view, economically impractical. If an uninsured property loss or a property loss in excess of insured limits were to occur, we could lose our capital invested in a community, as well as the anticipated future revenues from such community. We would also continue to be obligated to repay any mortgage indebtedness or other obligations related to the community. If an uninsured liability to a third party were to occur, we would incur the cost of defense and settlement with, or court ordered damages to, that third party. A significant uninsured property or liability loss could materially and adversely affect our business and our financial condition and results of operations.

We may incur costs and increased expenses to repair property damage resulting from inclement weather.

Particularly in the Northeast and Midwest we are exposed to risks associated with inclement winter weather, including increased costs for the removal of snow and ice as well as from delays in construction. In addition, inclement weather could increase the need for maintenance and repair of our communities.

We may incur costs due to environmental contamination or non-compliance.

Under various federal, state and local environmental laws, regulations and ordinances, we may be required, regardless of knowledge or responsibility, to investigate and remediate the effects of hazardous or toxic substances or petroleum product releases at our properties and may be held liable under these laws or common law to a governmental entity or to third parties for property, personal injury or natural resources damages and for investigation and remediation costs incurred as a result of the contamination. These damages and costs may be substantial. The presence of such substances, or the failure to properly remediate the contamination, may adversely affect our ability to borrow against, sell or rent the affected property.

In addition, some environmental laws create a lien on the contaminated site in favor of the government for damages and costs it incurs as a result of the contamination.

The development, construction and operation of our communities are subject to regulations and permitting under various federal, state and local laws, regulations and ordinances, which regulate matters including wetlands protection, storm water runoff and wastewater discharge. Noncompliance with such laws and regulations may subject us to fines and penalties. We do not currently anticipate that we will incur any material liabilities as a result of noncompliance with these laws.

Certain federal, state and local laws, regulations and ordinances govern the removal, encapsulation or disturbance of asbestos containing materials (ACMs) when such materials are in poor condition or in the event of renovation or demolition of a building. These laws and the common law may impose liability for release of ACMs and may allow third parties to seek recovery from owners or operators of real properties for personal injury associated with exposure to ACMs. We are not aware that any ACMs were used in the construction of the communities we developed. ACMs were, however, used in the construction of several of the communities that we acquired. We implement an operations and maintenance program at each of the communities at which ACMs are detected. We do not currently anticipate that we will incur any material liabilities as a result of the presence of ACMs at our communities.

We are aware that some of our communities have lead paint and have implemented an operations and maintenance program at each of those communities. We do not currently anticipate that we will incur any material liabilities as a result of the presence of lead paint at our communities.

All of our stabilized operating communities, and all of the communities that we are currently developing or redeveloping, have been subjected to at least a Phase I or similar environmental assessment, which generally does not involve invasive techniques such as soil or ground water sampling. These assessments, together with subsurface assessments conducted on some properties, have not revealed, and we are not otherwise aware of, any environmental conditions that we believe would have a material adverse effect on our business, assets, financial condition or results of operation. In connection with our ownership, operation and development of communities, from time to time we undertake substantial remedial action in response to the presence of subsurface or other contaminants. In some cases, an indemnity exists upon which we may be able to rely if environmental liability arises from the contamination or remediation costs exceed estimates.

There can be no assurance, however, that all necessary remediation actions have been or will be undertaken at our properties or that we will be indemnified, in full or at all, in the event that environmental liability arises. Mold growth may occur when excessive moisture accumulates in buildings or on building materials, particularly if the moisture problem remains undiscovered or is not addressed over a period of time. Although the occurrence of mold at multifamily and other structures, and the need to remediate such mold, is not a new phenomenon, there has been increased awareness in recent years that certain molds may in some instances lead to adverse health effects, including allergic or other reactions. To help limit mold growth, we educate residents about the importance of adequate ventilation and request or require that they notify us when they see mold or excessive moisture. We have established procedures for promptly addressing and remediating mold or excessive moisture from apartment homes when we become aware of its presence regardless of whether we or the resident believe a health risk is presented. However, we cannot provide assurance that mold or excessive moisture will be detected and remediated in a timely manner. If a significant mold problem arises at one of our communities, we could be required to undertake a costly remediation program to contain or remove the mold from the affected community and could be exposed to other liabilities. Additionally, we have occasionally been involved in developing, managing, leasing and operating various properties for third parties. Consequently, we may be considered to have been an operator of such properties and, therefore, potentially liable for removal or remediation costs or other potential costs which could relate to hazardous or toxic substances. We are not aware of any material environmental liabilities with respect to properties managed or developed by us or our predecessors for such third parties.

We cannot assure you that:

the environmental assessments described above have identified all potential environmental liabilities;

no prior owner created any material environmental condition not known to us or the consultants who prepared the assessments;

no environmental liabilities have developed since the environmental assessments were prepared;

the condition of land or operations in the vicinity of our communities, such as the presence of underground storage tanks, will not affect the environmental condition of our communities;

future uses or conditions, including, without limitation, changes in applicable environmental laws and regulations, will not result in the imposition of environmental liability; and

no environmental liabilities will arise at communities that we have sold for which we may have liability. Failure to qualify as a REIT would cause us to be taxed as a corporation, which would significantly reduce funds available for distribution to stockholders.

If we fail to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, we will be subject to federal income tax on our taxable income at regular corporate rates (subject to any applicable alternative minimum tax). In addition, unless we are entitled to relief under applicable statutory provisions, we would be ineligible to make an election for treatment as a REIT for the four taxable years following the year in which we lose our qualification. The additional tax liability resulting from the failure to qualify as a REIT would significantly reduce or eliminate the amount of funds available for distribution to our stockholders. Furthermore, we would no longer be required to make distributions to our stockholders. Thus, our failure to qualify as a REIT could also impair our ability to expand our business and raise capital, and would adversely affect the value of our common stock.

We believe that we are organized and qualified as a REIT, and we intend to operate in a manner that will allow us to continue to qualify as a REIT. However, we cannot assure you that we are qualified as a REIT, or that we will remain qualified in the future. This is because qualification as a REIT involves the application of highly technical and complex provisions of the Internal Revenue Code for which there are only limited judicial and administrative interpretations and involves the determination of a variety of factual matters and circumstances not entirely within our control. In addition, future legislation, new regulations, administrative interpretations or court decisions may

significantly change the tax laws or the application of the tax laws with respect to qualification as a REIT for federal income tax purposes or the federal income tax consequences of this qualification.

Even if we qualify as a REIT, we will be subject to certain federal, state and local taxes on our income and property and on taxable income that we do not distribute to our shareholders. In addition, we may engage in activities through taxable subsidiaries and will be subject to federal income tax at regular corporate rates on the income of those subsidiaries.

The ability of our stockholders to control our policies and effect a change of control of our company is limited by certain provisions of our charter and bylaws and by Maryland law.

There are provisions in our charter and bylaws that may discourage a third party from making a proposal to acquire us, even if some of our stockholders might consider the proposal to be in their best interests. These provisions include the following:

Our charter authorizes our Board of Directors to issue up to 50,000,000 shares of preferred stock without stockholder approval and to establish the preferences and rights, including voting rights, of any series of preferred stock issued. The Board of Directors may issue preferred stock without stockholder approval, which could allow the Board to issue one or more classes or series of preferred stock that could discourage or delay a tender offer or a change in control. To maintain our qualification as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, not more than 50% in value of our outstanding stock may be owned, directly or indirectly, by or for five or fewer individuals at any time during the last half of any taxable year. To maintain this qualification, and to otherwise address concerns about concentrations of ownership of our stock, our charter generally prohibits ownership (directly, indirectly by virtue of the attribution provisions of the Internal Revenue Code, or beneficially as defined in Section 13 of the Securities Exchange Act) by any single stockholder of more than 9.8% of the issued and outstanding shares of any class or series of our stock. In general, under our charter, pension plans and mutual funds may directly and beneficially own up to 15% of the outstanding shares of any class or series of stock. Under our charter, our Board of Directors may in its sole discretion waive or modify the ownership limit for one or more persons. These ownership limits may prevent or delay a change in control and, as a result, could adversely affect our stockholders—ability to realize a premium for their shares of common stock.

Our bylaws provide that the affirmative vote of holders of a majority of all of the shares entitled to be cast in the election of directors is required to elect a director. In a contested election, if no nominee receives the vote of holders of a majority of all of the shares entitled to be cast, the incumbent directors would remain in office. This requirement may prevent or delay a change in control and, as a result, could adversely affect our stockholders—ability to realize a premium for their shares of common stock.

As a Maryland corporation, we are subject to the provisions of the Maryland General Corporation Law. Maryland law imposes restrictions on some business combinations and requires compliance with statutory procedures before some mergers and acquisitions may occur, which may delay or prevent offers to acquire us or increase the difficulty of completing any offers, even if they are in our stockholders best interests. In addition, other provisions of the Maryland General Corporation Law permit the Board of Directors to make elections and to take actions without stockholder approval (such as classifying our Board such that the entire Board is not up for reelection annually) that, if made or taken, could have the effect of discouraging or delaying a change in control.

ITEM 1b. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS None.

15

ITEM 2. COMMUNITIES

following attributes:

Our real estate investments consist primarily of current operating apartment communities, communities in various stages of development (Development Communities) and Development Rights as defined below. Our current operating communities are further distinguished as Established Communities, Other Stabilized Communities, Lease-Up Communities and Redevelopment Communities. The following is a description of each category:

<u>Current Communities</u> are categorized as Established, Other Stabilized, Lease-Up, or Redevelopment according to the

Established Communities (also known as Same Store Communities) are consolidated communities where a comparison of operating results from the prior year to the current year is meaningful, as these communities were owned and had stabilized occupancy and operating expenses as of the beginning of the prior year. For the year ended December 31, 2006, the Established Communities are communities that are consolidated for financial reporting purposes, had stabilized occupancy and operating expenses as of January 1, 2005, are not conducting or planning to conduct substantial redevelopment activities and are not held for sale or planned for disposition within the current year. A community is considered to have stabilized occupancy at the earlier of (i) attainment of 95% physical occupancy or (ii) the one-year anniversary of completion of development or redevelopment.

Other Stabilized Communities includes all other completed communities that we own or have a direct or indirect ownership interest in, and that have stabilized occupancy, as defined above. Other Stabilized Communities do not include communities that are conducting or planning to conduct substantial redevelopment activities within the current year.

Lease-Up Communities are communities where construction has been complete for less than one year and where physical occupancy has not reached 95%.

Redevelopment Communities are communities where substantial redevelopment is in progress or is planned to begin during the current year. For communities that we wholly own, redevelopment is considered substantial when capital invested during the reconstruction effort is expected to exceed the lesser of \$5,000,000 or 10% of the community s acquisition cost. The definition of substantial redevelopment may differ for communities owned through a joint venture arrangement.

<u>Development Communities</u> are communities that are under construction and for which a final certificate of occupancy has not been received. These communities may be partially complete and operating.

<u>Development Rights</u> are development opportunities in the early phase of the development process for which we either have an option to acquire land or enter into a leasehold interest, for which we are the buyer under a long-term conditional contract to purchase land or where we own land to develop a new community. We capitalize related pre-development costs incurred in pursuit of new developments for which we currently believe future development is probable.

In addition, we own approximately 60,000 square feet of office space in Alexandria, Virginia, for our corporate office, with all other regional and administrative offices leased under operating leases.

As of December 31, 2006, communities that we owned or held a direct or indirect interest in were classified as follows:

	Number of	Number of
	communities	apartment homes
Current Communities		
Established Communities:		
Northeast	35	8,674
Mid-Atlantic	17	5,413
Midwest	3	887
Pacific Northwest	10	2,500
Northern California	29	8,450
Southern California	11	3,430
Total Established	105	29,354
Other Stabilized Communities:		
Northeast	19	6,088
Mid-Atlantic	5	1,397
Midwest	2	460
Pacific Northwest	1	211
Northern California	3	603
Southern California	7	2,128
Total Other Stabilized	37	10,887
Lease-Up Communities	2	519
Redevelopment Communities	6	2,381
Total Current Communities	150	43,141
Development Communities	17	5,153
Development Rights	54	14,185

Our holdings under each of the above categories are discussed on the following pages.

Current Communities

Our Current Communities are primarily garden-style apartment communities consisting of two and three-story buildings in landscaped settings. In January 2007, the Fund acquired one community containing 392 apartment homes. The Current Communities, as of January 31, 2007, include 115 garden-style (of which 15 are mixed communities and

include townhomes), 21 high-rise and 15 mid-rise apartment communities. The Current Communities offer many attractive amenities including some or all of the following:

vaulted ceilings;

lofts;

fireplaces;

patios/decks; and

modern appliances.

Other features at various communities may include:

swimming pools;

fitness centers;

tennis courts; and

business centers.

We also have an extensive and ongoing maintenance program to keep all communities and apartment homes substantially free of deferred maintenance and, where vacant, available for immediate occupancy.

17

We believe that the aesthetic appeal of our communities and a service oriented property management team, focused on the specific needs of residents, enhances market appeal to discriminating residents. We believe this will ultimately achieve higher rental rates and occupancy levels while minimizing resident turnover and operating expenses. Our Current Communities are located in the following geographic markets:

	Number of communities at			f apartment les at	Percentag apartment	ge of total homes at
	1-1-06	1-31-07	1-1-06	1-31-07	1-1-06	1-31-07
Northeast	55	56	15,671	15,732	37.8%	36.1%
Boston, MA	18	18	4,514	4,490	10.9%	10.3%
Fairfield County, CT	16	14	4,375	3,812	10.6%	8.8%
Long Island, NY	3	6	915	1,621	2.2%	3.7%
Northern New Jersey	3	3	1,182	1,182	2.9%	2.7%
Central New Jersey	5	6	1,752	2,042	4.2%	4.7%
New York, NY	10	9	2,933	2,585	7.1%	5.9%
Mid-Atlantic	21	24	6,859	7,622	16.6%	17.5%
Baltimore, MD	6	9	1,224	1,987	3.0%	4.6%
Washington, DC	15	15	5,635	5,635	13.6%	12.9%
Midwest	6	7	1,696	1,952	4.1%	4.5%
Chicago, IL	6	7	1,696	1,952	4.1%	4.5%
Pacific Northwest	12	12	3,111	3,111	7.5%	7.2%
Seattle, WA	12	12	3,111	3,111	7.5%	7.2%
Northern California	32	33	9,203	9,366	22.2%	21.5%
Oakland-East Bay, CA	7	7	2,089	2,089	5.0%	4.8%
San Francisco, CA	9	11	2,015	2,489	4.9%	5.7%
San Jose, CA	16	15	5,099	4,788	12.3%	11.0%
Southern California	16	19	4,872	5,750	11.8%	13.2%
Los Angeles, CA	7	9	2,448	3,006	5.9%	6.9%
Orange County, CA	6	7	1,366	1,686	3.3%	3.9%
San Diego, CA	3	3	1,058	1,058	2.6%	2.4%
	142	151	41,412	43,533	100.0%	100.0%

We manage and operate substantially all of our Current Communities. During the year ended December 31, 2006, including communities owned by joint ventures and the Fund, we completed construction of 1,368 apartment homes in six communities, acquired 1,397 apartment homes in six communities and sold 1,036 apartment homes in four communities. The average age of our Current Communities, on a weighted average basis according to number of apartment homes, is 14.1 years. When adjusted to reflect redevelopment activity, as if redevelopment were a new construction completion date, the average age of our Current Communities is 9.3 years.

Of the Current Communities, as of January 31, 2007, we own:

- a full fee simple, or absolute, ownership interest in 113 operating communities, six of which are on land subject to land leases expiring in November 2028, July 2029, December 2034, January 2062, April 2095, and March 2142;
- a general partnership interest in two partnerships that each own a fee simple interest in an operating community;
- a general partnership interest and an indirect limited partnership interest in the Fund, which owns a fee simple interest in 14 operating communities;
- a general partnership interest in five partnerships structured as DownREITs, as described more fully below, that own an aggregate of 16 communities;
- a membership interest in five limited liability companies that each hold a fee simple interest in an operating community, three of which are on land subject to land leases expiring in December 2026, November 2089, and December 2103; and

a residual profits interest (with no ownership interest) in a limited liability company to which an operating community was transferred upon completion of construction in the second quarter of 2006. We also hold, directly or through wholly-owned subsidiaries, the full fee simple ownership interest in 17 of the Development Communities.

In each of our five partnerships structured as DownREITs, either AvalonBay or one of our wholly-owned subsidiaries is the general partner, and there are one or more limited partners whose interest in the partnership is represented by units of limited partnership interest. For each DownREIT partnership, limited partners are entitled to receive an initial distribution before any distribution is made to the general partner. Although the partnership agreements for each of the DownREITs are different, generally the distributions per unit paid to the holders of units of limited partnership interests have approximated our current common stock dividend amount. The holders of units of limited partnership interest have the right to present all or some of their units for redemption for a cash amount as determined by the applicable partnership agreement and based on the fair value of our common stock. In lieu of a cash redemption by the partnership, we may elect to acquire any unit presented for redemption for one share of our common stock or for such cash amount. As of January 31, 2007, there were 144,955 DownREIT partnership units outstanding. The DownREIT partnerships are consolidated for financial reporting purposes.

Profile of Current, Development and Unconsolidated Communities (1) (Dollars in thousands, except per apartment home data)

Average economic

Average

	Number	Approx.		Year of	Average Physical occupancy		occupancy		rental rate		Financ reporti
	of	area		completion	/ Size	at			\$ per	\$ per Sq.	cost
City and state	homes	(Sq. Ft.)	Acres	acquisition	Ft.)	12/31/06	2006	2005	Apt (4)	Ft.	(5)
Bedford, MA	139	159,704	38.0	2006	1,149	95.7%	85.4%(3)	17.1%	\$ 1,705	\$ 1.27(3)	24,7
Providence, RI	225	222,834	1.2	1991/1997	990	88.0%	93.8%	95.6%	2,247	2.13	28,7
Danvers & Peabody, MA	387	410,454	20.0	2004	1,271	95.3%	95.5%	85.6%	1,349	1.21	54,7
Quincy, MA	171	175,649	8.3	1998	1,027	95.3%	96.3%	95.7%	1,596	1.50	15,6
_	, 280	299,828	62.0	2003	1,099	95.7%	95.4%	97.0%	1,515	1.35	37,2
	198	230,277	16.1	1994	1,163	98.0%	95.9%	97.1%	1,775	1.46	16,0
	294	339,484	7.0	2003	1,177	95.2%	95.2%	96.0%	2,220	1.83	56,6
Boston, MA	780	732,237	1.0	1968/1998	939	97.4%	97.5%	96.8%	2,767	2.87	156,6
Saugus, MA	326	381,825	82.6	2004	1,106	96.0%	95.7%	94.6%	1,587	1.30	54,2
Plymouth, MA	101	151,712	6.0	2004	1,954	96.0%	93.4%	83.9%	1,814	1.13	19,9
Peabody, MA		198,478 329,822	11.1 57.6	2000 2002	-			96.6% 94.3%	1,605 1,432	1.21 1.26	21,8 36,3
MA		·			,						21,2
MA		·			•				•		16,8
MA					•						21,1
MA	150	170,157	23.0	2002	1,21	75.570	70.170	<i>71.27</i> 0	1,123	1.27	21,1
Quincy, MA Westborough	245 120	224,418 147,472	8.0 8.0	1986/1996 1996				95.2% 96.7%	1,221 1,432	1.27 1.12	17,3 11,3
MA Peabody, MA	286	250,322	18.0	2004	875	5 96.2%	97.8%	96.3%	1,090	1.22	23,7
	Bedford, MA Providence, RI Danvers & Peabody, MA Quincy, MA Westborough, MA Lexington, MA Newton, MA Saugus, MA Plymouth, MA Peabody, MA Weymouth, MA Wilmington, MA Wilmington, MA Wilmington, MA Wilmington, MA Wilmington, MA Wilmington, MA Westborough, MA Quincy, MA	City and state homes Bedford, MA 139 Providence, 225 RI Danvers & 387 Peabody, MA Quincy, MA 171 Westborough, 280 MA Lexington, 198 MA Newton, MA 294 Boston, MA 326 Plymouth, 101 MA Peabody, MA 154 Weymouth, 304 MA Wilmington, 204 MA Wilmington, 120 MA Wilmington, 120 MA Marlborough, 156 MA Quincy, MA 245 Westborough, 120 MA	Number rentable of area City and state homes (Sq. Ft.) Bedford, MA 139 159,704 Providence, 225 222,834 RI Danvers & 387 410,454 Peabody, MA Quincy, MA 171 175,649 Westborough, 280 299,828 MA Lexington, 198 230,277 MA Newton, MA 294 339,484 Boston, MA 780 732,237 Saugus, MA 326 381,825 Plymouth, 101 151,712 MA Peabody, MA 154 198,478 Weymouth, 304 329,822 MA Wilmington, 101 151,712 MA Peabody, MA 154 198,478 Weymouth, 304 329,822 MA Wilmington, 204 229,752 MA Wilmington, 120 133,376 MA Marlborough, 156 176,497 MA Quincy, MA 245 224,418 Westborough, 120 147,472 MA	Number rentable of area City and state homes (Sq. Ft.) Acres Bedford, MA 139 159,704 38.0 Providence, 225 222,834 1.2 RI Danvers & 387 410,454 20.0 Peabody, MA Quincy, MA 171 175,649 8.3 Westborough, 280 299,828 62.0 MA Lexington, 198 230,277 16.1 MA Newton, MA 294 339,484 7.0 Boston, MA 780 732,237 1.0 Saugus, MA 326 381,825 82.6 Plymouth, 101 151,712 6.0 MA Peabody, MA 154 198,478 11.1 Weymouth, 304 329,822 57.6 MA Wilmington, 120 133,376 27.0 MA Wilmington, 120 133,376 27.0 MA Marlborough, 156 176,497 23.0 MA Quincy, MA 245 224,418 8.0 Westborough, 120 147,472 8.0 MA	Number rentable of area completion/ City and state homes (Sq. Ft.) Acres acquisition Bedford, MA 139 159,704 38.0 2006 Providence, 225 222,834 1.2 1991/1997 RI Danvers & 387 410,454 20.0 2004 Peabody, MA Quincy, MA 171 175,649 8.3 1998 Westborough, 280 299,828 62.0 2003 MA Lexington, 198 230,277 16.1 1994 MA Newton, MA 294 339,484 7.0 2003 Boston, MA 780 732,237 1.0 1968/1998 Saugus, MA 326 381,825 82.6 2004 Plymouth, 101 151,712 6.0 2004 MA Peabody, MA 154 198,478 11.1 2000 Weymouth, 304 329,822 57.6 2002 MA Wilmington, 204 229,752 22.5 1999 MA Wilmington, 120 133,376 27.0 2002 MA Marlborough, 156 176,497 23.0 2002 MA Quincy, MA 245 224,418 8.0 1986/1996 Mestborough, 120 147,472 8.0 1996	Number rentable of area completion/ Size (Sq. City and state homes (Sq. Ft.) Acres acquisition Ft.) Bedford, MA 139 159,704 38.0 2006 1,149 Providence, 225 222,834 1.2 1991/1997 996 RI Danvers & 387 410,454 20.0 2004 1,271 Peabody, MA Quincy, MA 171 175,649 8.3 1998 1,027 Westborough, 280 299,828 62.0 2003 1,099 MA Lexington, 198 230,277 16.1 1994 1,163 MA Newton, MA 294 339,484 7.0 2003 1,177 Boston, MA 780 732,237 1.0 1968/1998 939 Saugus, MA 326 381,825 82.6 2004 1,106 Plymouth, 101 151,712 6.0 2004 1,954 MA Peabody, MA 154 198,478 11.1 2000 1,289 Weymouth, 304 329,822 57.6 2002 1,023 MA Wilmington, 204 229,752 22.5 1999 1,023 MA Wilmington, 120 133,376 27.0 2002 1,033 MA Wilmington, 120 133,376 27.0 2002 1,033 MA Wilmington, 120 133,376 27.0 2002 1,219 MA Quincy, MA 245 224,418 8.0 1986/1996 916 Westborough, 120 147,472 8.0 1996 1,229 MA	Number rentable of area completion/ Size at (Sq. City and state homes (Sq. Ft.) Acres acquisition Ft.) 12/31/06 Bedford, MA 139 159,704 38.0 2006 1,149 95.7% Providence, 225 222,834 1.2 1991/1997 990 88.0% RI Danvers & 387 410,454 20.0 2004 1,271 95.3% Peabody, MA Quincy, MA 171 175,649 8.3 1998 1,027 95.3% Westborough, 280 299,828 62.0 2003 1,099 95.7% MA Lexington, 198 230,277 16.1 1994 1,163 98.0% MA Newton, MA 294 339,484 7.0 2003 1,177 95.2% Boston, MA 780 732,237 1.0 1968/1998 939 97.4% Saugus, MA 326 381,825 82.6 2004 1,106 96.0% Plymouth, 101 151,712 6.0 2004 1,954 96.0% MA Peabody, MA 154 198,478 11.1 2000 1,289 98.1% Weymouth, 304 329,822 57.6 2002 1,023 95.4% MA Wilmington, 204 229,752 22.5 1999 1,023 98.5% MA Wilmington, 120 133,376 27.0 2002 1,033 97.5% MA Wilmington, 120 133,376 27.0 2002 1,033 97.5% MA Marlborough, 156 176,497 23.0 2002 1,019 95.5% MA Marlborough, 156 176,497 23.0 2002 1,219 95.5% MA Marlborough, 120 147,472 8.0 1996 1,229 95.8%	Approx. Number rentable of area Number rentable of area Number rentable of area City and state homes (Sq. Ft.) Acres acquisition Bedford, MA 139 159,704 38.0 2006 1,149 95.7% 85.4%(3) Providence, 225 222,834 1.2 1991/1997 990 88.0% 93.8% RI Danvers & 387 410,454 20.0 2004 1,271 95.3% 95.5% Peabody, MA Quincy, MA 171 175,649 8.3 1998 1,027 95.3% 96.3% Westborough, 280 299,828 62.0 2003 1,099 95.7% 95.4% MA Lexington, 198 230,277 16.1 1994 1,163 98.0% 95.9% MA Newton, MA 294 339,484 7.0 2003 1,177 95.2% 95.2% Boston, MA 780 732,237 1.0 1968/1998 939 97.4% 97.5% Saugus, MA 326 381,825 82.6 2004 1,106 96.0% 95.7% Plymouth, 101 151,712 6.0 2004 1,954 96.0% 95.7% Plymouth, 304 329,822 57.6 2002 1,023 95.4% 95.3% MA Weymouth, 304 329,822 57.6 2002 1,023 95.4% 95.3% MA Wilmington, 204 229,752 22.5 1999 1,023 98.5% 95.3% MA Wilmington, 120 133,376 27.0 2002 1,033 97.5% 93.7% MA Marlborough, 156 176,497 23.0 2002 1,033 97.5% 93.7% MA Marlborough, 156 176,497 23.0 2002 1,219 95.5% 96.4% MA Westborough, 120 147,472 8.0 1996 1,229 95.8% 95.9%	Number rentable of area September Se	Approx Number rentable of area Year of AveragePhysical occupancy Completion Size at	Approx Number rentable of area Completion Size Approx Completion Size C

rfield-New												
ven, CT												
ılon at	Stamford, CT	306	314,600	3.0	2002	1,040	97.4%	97.9%	97.6%	2,088	1.99	70,3
yrock Place												
llon Danbury	Danbury, CT	234	235,320	36.0	2005	1,006	94.0%		40.8%(3)	1,619	1.52	35,4
lon Darien	Darien, CT	189	242,533	32.0	2004	1,282	95.8%	93.7%	97.7%	2,500	1.82	41,5
alon Gates	Trumbull, CT	340	379,282	37.0	1997	1,116	95.0%		96.3%	1,554	1.37	37,1
ılon Glen	Stamford, CT	238	229,644	4.1	1991	965	93.7%	97.2%	98.0%	1,878	1.89	32,1
	North Haven,	128	139,972	10.6	2000	1,094	96.1%	97.4%	95.9%	1,511	1.35	13,9
ılon Haven	CT											
ılon Milford I	Milford, CT	246	216,746	22.0	2004	886	95.9%		93.9%	1,363	1.52	31,4
ılon New	New Canaan,	104	131,782	9.1	2002	1,251	92.3%	95.7%	96.4%	2,910	2.20	24,3
ıaan (7)	CT											
alon on	Stamford, CT	323	323,587	12.1	2003	1,002	98.8%	97.6%	96.5%	2,334	2.27	62,8
mford Harbor												
ılon Orange	Orange, CT	168	163,238	9.6	2005	972	95.2%	97.9%	64.1%(3)	1,436	1.45	22,0
ılon Springs	Wilton, CT	102	158,259	12.0	1996	1,552	95.1%		93.9%	2,845	1.70	17,1
ılon Valley	Danbury, CT	268	300,044	17.1	1999	1,070	97.4%	98.1%	94.9%	1,621	1.42	26,3
ılon Walk I &	Hamden, CT	764	766,604	38.4	1992/1994	996	89.9%	91.7%(2)	93.2%	1,242	1.14(2)	65,4
ıg Island, NY												
alon at Glen re South	Glen Cove, NY	256	261,425	4.0	2004	1,050	96.9%	95.5%	95.8%	2,289	2.14	67,9
ılon Commons	Smithtown, NY	312	377,240	20.6	1997	1,209	96.8%	97.1%	97.4%	2,014	1.62	33,7
alon Court	Melville, NY	494	596,942	35.4	1997/2000	1,208	96.4%	96.3%	96.8%	2,394	1.91	59,8
lon Pines I	Coram, NY	298	362,124	32.0	2005	1,485	95.3%	95.9%	71.6%(3)	1,816	1.43	46,5
ılon Pines II	Coram, NY	152	185,954	42.0	2006	1,223	98.7%	71.0%(3)	N/A	2,310	1.34(3)	23,8
	Long Beach,	109	124,611	1.3	1990/1995	1,143	98.2%	97.7%	97.7%	3,339	2.85	21,2
ılon Towers	NY		•		20	•				•		•

Profile of Current, Development and Unconsolidated Communities (1) (Dollars in thousands, except per apartment home data)

Average

		Approx.		Year of	•	•	economic occupancy		Average rental rate		Financ reporti	
	ľ	of	area		completion/		at			\$ per Apt	\$ per Sq.	cost
rthern New sey	City and state	homes	(Sq. Ft.)	Acres	acquisition	Ft.)	12/31/06	2006	2005	(4)	Ft.	(5)
alon at gewater	Edgewater, NJ	408	428,611	7.6	2002	1,051	96.3%	95.8%	96.3%	2,269	2.07	75,2
alon at rham Park	Florham Park, NJ	270	330,410	41.9	2001	1,224	95.6%	97.6%	96.4%	2,467	1.97	41,8
alon Cove	Jersey City, NJ	504	575,334	11.0	1997	1,142	98.8%	97.7%	97.5%	2,677	2.29	92,8
ntral New sey												
alon at ehold	Freehold, NJ	296	317,331	40.3	2002	1,072	98.0%	96.3%	95.6%	1,679	1.51	34,7
alon Run (13)	Lawrenceville, NJ	426	443,168	9.0	1994	1,010	95.8%	94.6%	95.5%	1,401	1.27	60,0
alon Run East	Lawrenceville, NJ	206	257,938	27.1	1996	1,287	97.1%	95.8%	96.0%	1,594	1.22	16,3
alon Run East 8)	Lawrenceville, NJ	312	341,320	70.5	2003	1,095	96.5%	96.2%	87.6%	1,722	1.51	52,1
alon Watch	West Windsor, NJ	512	486,069	64.4	1988	949	96.1%	96.4%	95.3%	1,368	1.39	30,1
w York, NY												
alon Bowery ce I	New York, NY	206	162,000	1.1	2006	786	59.7%	32.2%(3)	N/A	3,418	1.40(3)	89,5
alon Gardens	Nanuet, NY	504	608,842	62.5	1998	1,208	95.2%	97.0%	97.8%	2,035	1.63	55,1
alon Green	Elmsford, NY	105	113,538	16.9	1995	1,081	94.3%	96.5%	96.3%	2,148	1.92	13,2
alon on the and (11)	New Rochelle, NY	412	372,860	2.4	2001	905	96.4%	96.2%	96.2%	2,237	2.38	117,0
alon Riverview 1)	Long Island City, NY	372	332,947	1.0	2002	895	97.0%	96.7%	96.7%	2,956	3.19	94,5
alon View	Wappingers Falls, NY	288	327,547	41.0	1993	1,137	92.0%	95.0%	92.7%	1,320	1.10	19,2
alon Willow	Mamaroneck, NY	227	199,842	4.0	2000	880	98.2%	98.9%	96.4%	2,044	2.29	47,5
e Avalon	Bronxville, NY	110	119,410	1.5	1999	1,085	96.4%	97.0%	96.4%	3,438	3.07	31,3

D-ATLANTIC

timore, MD alon at rway Hills I &	Columbia, MD	384	386,344	23.8	1987/1996	1,005	95.1%	97.0%	94.7%	1,207	1.16	22,7
alon at rway Hills III	Columbia, MD	336	337,683	20.2	1987/1996	1,005	94.9%	95.1%(2)	91.1%(2)	1,293	1.22(2)	29,3
alon at nphony Glen	Columbia, MD	176	179,880	10.0	1986	1,022	91.0%	96.6%	95.9%	1,200	1.13	9,3
alon Landing	Annapolis, MD	158	117,033	13.8	1984/1995	741	97.5%	97.8%	97.2%	1,177	1.55	10,1
ithgate ssing	Columbia, MD	215	212,420	12.7	1986/2006	988	95.3%	93.8%	N/A	248	0.24	36,3
shington, DC		120	255 220	242	1000/1007	0.46	06.40	0.4.69(0)	05.00	1 225	1.27(2)	22.2
tumnWoods alon at ington Square	Fairfax, VA Arlington, VA	420 842	355,228 901,120	24.3 20.1	1989/1996 2001	846 1,070	86.4% 97.1%	94.6%(2) 96.5%	95.2% 94.7%	1,225 1,770	1.37(2) 1.60	33,2 112,6
alon at lston shington wers	Arlington, VA	344	294,954	4.1	1990	857	95.9%	97.8%	97.2%	1,602	1.83	38,1
alon at meron Court	Alexandria, VA	460	467,292	16.0	1998	1,016	95.2%	97.3%	95.3%	1,725	1.65	43,5
alon at coverly	Rockville, MD	368	368,374	24.0	1991/1995	1,001	94.8%	97.0%	95.3%	1,369	1.33	32,2
alon at Foxhall		308	297,875	2.7	1982	967	98.7%	96.6%	94.3%	2,050	2.05	44,3
alon at Gallery ce I	DC	203	184,230	0.5	2003	903	97.5%	95.7%	95.6%	2,235	2.36	48,8
alon at osvenor Station	North Bethesda, MD	497	477,459	10.0	2004	963	96.8%	97.3%	95.7%	1,627	1.65	82,1
alon at vidence Park	Fairfax, VA	141	148,282	9.3	1988/1997	1,052	100.0%	96.9%	96.8%	1,387	1.28	11,6
alon at Rock ing (9) (11)	North Bethesda, MD	386	388,232	10.2	2003	1,006	97.2%	96.6%	94.9%	1,659	1.59	46,2
alon at Traville	North Potomac, MD	520	573,717	47.9	2004	1,103	96.5%	97.7%	94.7%	1,595	1.41	69,7
alon Crescent	McLean, VA	558	613,426	19.1	1996	1,099	96.1%	96.0%	96.2%	1,770	1.55	57,6
alon Fields I &	Gaithersburg, MD	288	292,282	9.2	1998	1,050	92.7%	97.0%	95.7%	1,350	1.29	22,7
alon Knoll	Germantown, MD	300	290,544	26.7	1985	968	95.7%	97.2%	95.8%	1,147	1.15	9,3
					21							

Profile of Current, Development and Unconsolidated Communities (1) (Dollars in thousands, except per apartment home data)

		Number of	Approx. r rentable area		Year of completion	(ePhysical occupancy at	Average econon occupan	nic	Aver rental	•	Financial reporting cost
	City and state			Acres	•	(Sq.	12/31/06	2006	2005	Apt (4)	Sq. Ft.	(5)
MIDWEST	City and state	lionics	(5q. 11.)	Acies	acquisition	171.)	12/31/00	2000	2003	(4)	1 τ.	(3)
Chicago, IL Avalon Arlington Heights	Arlington Heights, IL	409	346,416	2.8	1987/2000	848	91.9%	92.9%(2)	95.0%	1,374	1.51(2)	55,857
Avalon at Danada Farms (8)	Wheaton, IL	295	350,606	19.2	1997	1,188	95.9%	95.3%	95.6%	1,346	1.08	38,972
Avalon at Stratford Green (8)	Bloomingdale IL	e, 192	237,084	12.7	1997	1,235	95.3%	95.9%	95.1%	1,322	1.03	22,164
Avalon at West Grove (8)	Westmont, IL	400	388,500	17.4	1967	971	94.5%	95.0%	96.2%	881	0.86	31,272
PACIFIC NORTHWEST Seattle, WA												
Avalon at Bear	Redmond,	264	288,250	22.2	1998	1,092	95.8%	96.3%	94.6%	1,174	1.04	34,857
Creek (8) Avalon Bellevue	WA Bellevue, WA	202	167,069	1.7	2001	827	98.0%	96.5%	95.8%	1,361	1.59	30,862
Avalon Belltown	Seattle, WA	100	82,418	0.7	2001	824	96.0%	96.4%	95.5%	1,610	1.88	18,444
Avalon Brandemoor (8)	Lynwood,	424	453,602	27.0	2001	1,070	96.0%	96.8%	96.6%	1,034	0.94	45,646
Avalon HighGrove (8)	Everett, WA	391	422,482	19.0	2000	1,081	96.4%	96.5%	94.9%	962	0.86	39,879
Avalon ParcSquare (8)	Redmond, WA	124	126,951	2.0	2000	1,024	94.4%	96.4%	95.4%	1,371	1.29	19,245
Avalon Redmond Place (8)	Redmond,	222	211,450	8.4	1991/1997	952	94.6%	96.7%	96.4%	1,116	1.13	26,409
Avalon RockMeadow (8)	Bothell, WA	206	243,958	11.2	2000	1,184	97.1%	96.1%	95.0%	1,132	0.92	24,806
Avalon WildReed (8)	Everett, WA	234	259,080	23.0	2000	1,107	96.2%	96.7%	95.7%	955	0.83	23,095
Avalon Wynhaven (8)	Issaquah, WA	333	424,803	11.6	2001	1,276	93.7%	95.4%	94.0%	1,286	0.96	52,844

NORTHERN

CALIFORNIA												
Oakland-East												
Bay, CA												
Avalon at	Union City,	208	150,320	8.5	1973/1996	723	98.1%	96.7%	96.9%	1,106	1.48	22,581
Union Square	CA											
Avalon at	Fremont, CA	235	191,935	13.5	1985/1994	817	100.0%	97.7%	96.9%	1,336	1.60	36,212
Willow Creek												
Avalon Dublin	Dublin, CA	204	179,004	13.0	1989/1997	877	96.6%	97.2%	96.2%	1,400	1.55	27,866
Avalon	Fremont, CA	308	316,052	14.3	1994	1,026	95.8%	96.9%	96.3%	1,583	1.49	56,612
Fremont I												
Avalon	Pleasanton,	456	366,062	14.7	1988/1994	803	97.4%	96.8%	95.9%	1,304	1.57	62,350
Pleasanton	CA											
Waterford	Hayward, CA	544	452,043	11.1	1985/1986	831	95.6%	95.6%	94.9%	1,154	1.33	61,686
San Francisco,												
CA												
Avalon at Cedar	Daly City, CA	195	141,411	7.0	1972/1997	725	97.4%	96.8%	96.0%	1,404	1.87	26,546
Ridge	3		•							,		ŕ
Avalon at	San Francisco,	154	123,047	3.0	1972/1994	799	98.1%	97.4%	95.9%	1,649	2.01	25,327
Diamond	CA											
Heights												
Avalon at	San Francisco,	250	243,089	1.4	2003	977	92.8%	95.2%	95.5%	3,057	2.99	92,812
Mission Bay	CA											
North												
Avalon at Nob	San Francisco,	185	108,745	1.4	1990/1995	588	97.3%	96.4%	96.3%	1,672	2.74	28,071
Hill	CA											
Avalon Foster	Foster City,	288	222,364	11.0	1973/1994	772	97.9%	97.1%	97.0%	1,377	1.73	43,588
City	CA											
Avalon Pacifica	Pacifica, CA	220	186,800	21.9	1971/1995	849	96.8%	96.7%	96.1%	1,495	1.70	32,165
Avalon Sunset	San Francisco,	243	171,800	16.0	1961/1996	707	95.9%	96.7%	97.4%	1,714	2.34	28,778
Towers	CA											
Avalon Towers	San Francisco,	227	243,090	1.0	1999	1,071	97.8%	96.8%	96.2%	2,843	2.57	67,006
by the Bay	CA											
	San Rafael,	254	221,635	21.9	1973/1996	873	98.4%	96.3%	95.8%	1,324	1.46	33,063
Crowne Ridge	CA											
					22							

Profile of Current, Development and Unconsolidated Communities (1) (Dollars in thousands, except per apartment home data)

Average

		Number	Approx.		Year of	•	ePhysical	econo		Aver rental	_	Financial reporting
		of	area		completion/		at			\$ per Apt	\$ per Sq.	cost
	City and state	e homes	(Sq. Ft.)	Acres	acquisition	Ft.)	12/31/06	2006	2005	(4)	Ft.	(5)
San Jose, CA												
Avalon at Blossom Hill	San Jose, CA	324	323,496	7.5	1995	998	97.8%	96.7%	96.2%	1,484	1.44	62,060
Avalon at Cahill Park	San Jose, CA	218	218,177	3.8	2002	1,001	95.9%	97.0%	97.1%	1,868	1.81	52,570
Avalon at Creekside	Mountain View, CA	294	215,680	13.0	1962/1997	734	98.0%	97.7%	96.5%	·	1.67	43,423
Avalon at Foxchase I & II	San Jose, CA	396	334,956	12.0	1988/1987	844	97.5%	96.9%	96.0%	1,247	1.43	60,670
Avalon at Parkside	Sunnyvale, CA	192	203,990	8.0	1991/1996	1,062	99.5%	98.0%	97.4%	1,655	1.53	38,218
Avalon at Pruneyard	Campbell, CA	252	197,000	8.5	1968/1997	782	99.6%	97.4%	97.0%	1,251	1.56	32,141
Avalon at River Daks	San Jose, CA	226	210,050	4.0	1990/1996	929	97.3%	97.6%	96.7%	1,487	1.56	45,009
Avalon Campbell	Campbell, CA	348	326,796	10.8	1995	939	98.3%	96.9%	96.2%	1,528	1.58	60,114
Avalon Mountain View 7)	Mountain View, CA	248	211,552	10.5	1986	853	96.4%	95.9%	95.1%	1,567	1.76	51,609
Avalon on the Alameda	San Jose, CA	305	299,762	8.9	1999	983	97.0%	96.9%	95.2%	1,850	1.82	56,506
Avalon Rosewalk	San Jose, CA	456	448,488	16.6	1997/1999	984	98.0%	96.4%	95.7%	1,480	1.45	79,364
Avalon Silicon Valley	Sunnyvale, CA	710	653,929	13.6	1997	921	95.2%	96.5%	95.8%	1,732	1.81	122,123
Avalon Towers on the Peninsula	Mountain View, CA	211	218,392	1.9	2002	1,035	97.6%	96.5%	96.7%	2,422	2.26	65,752
CountryBrook [8]	San Jose, CA	360	322,992	14.0	1985/1996	897	99.4%	97.8%	96.8%	1,347	1.47	48,790
San Marino	San Jose, CA	248	209,000	11.5	1984/1988	843	99.2%	97.4%	96.7%	1,249	1.44	35,017
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA Los Angeles, CA												
Avalon at Media Center	Burbank, CA	748	530,084	14.1	1961/1997	709	96.7%	95.7%	95.9%	1,370	1.85	76,461

Edgar Filing: AVALONBAY COMMUNITIES INC - Form	10-K
--	------

Avalon at Warner Center	Woodland Hills, CA	227	191,114	7.0	1979/1998	842	96.0%	96.8%	97.5%	1,614	1.86	26,943
Warner Center Avalon Camarillo	Camarillo,	249	233,267	10.0	2006	937	99.2%	54.4%(3)	N/A	3,196	1.85(3)	47,174
Avalon Glendale (11)	Burbank, CA	223	241,714	5.1	2003	1,084	96.0%	95.4%	95.7%	2,237	1.97	40,248
Avalon Woodland Hills	Woodland Hills, CA	663	594,396	18.2	1989/1997	897	97.0%	95.5%	96.0%	1,491	1.59	72,073
The Promenade	Burbank, CA	400	360,587	6.9	1988/2002	901	98.0%	97.4%	96.9%	1,759	1.90	71,003
Avalon Del Rey (9)(12)	Los Angeles, CA	309	284,636	5.0	2006	921	97.4%	51.5%(3)	N/A	3,710	2.07(3)	65,075
Orange												
County, CA												
Avalon at Pacific Bay	Huntington Beach, CA	304	268,000	9.7	1971/1997	882	96.7%	96.0%	96.7%	1,455	1.58	32,296
Avalon at South Coast	Costa Mesa, CA	258	207,672	8.0	1973/1996	805	98.1%	98.3%	97.2%	1,356	1.66	25,490
Avalon Mission Viejo	Mission Viejo, CA	166	124,600	7.8	1984/1996	751	95.8%	95.5%	95.4%	1,233	1.57	14,012
Avalon Newport	Costa Mesa, CA	145	122,415	6.6	1956/1996	844	100.0%	98.2%	97.5%	1,566	1.82	10,352
Avalon Santa Margarita	Rancho Santa Margarita, CA	301	229,593	20.0	1990/1997	763	97.7%	96.9%	96.5%	1,295	1.64	24,361
San Diego, CA												
Avalon at Cortez Hill	San Diego, CA	294	226,140	1.4	1973/1998	769	95.6%	95.1%	95.0%	1,404	1.74	34,556
Avalon at Mission Bay	San Diego, CA	564	402,285	12.9	1969/1997	713	97.3%	95.7%	95.1%	1,378	1.85	66,281
Avalon at Mission Ridge	San Diego, CA	200	207,625	4.0	1960/1997	1,038	97.0%	96.3%	96.1%	1,509	1.40	22,421
Ŭ					23							

Profile of Current, Development and Unconsolidated Communities (1) (Dollars in thousands, except per apartment home data)

Average

1	Numbe	Approx.		Year of		•	occupan			_
-	of	area		completion/		at			\$ per Apt	\$ pe Sq
City and state	homes	(Sq. Ft.)	Acres	acquisition	_	12/31/06	2006	2005	(4)	Ft.
Rockville,	196	182,560	10.8	N/A	931	l N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/
MD										ļ
Dublin, CA	305	299,329			981		N/A	N/A	N/A	
Glen Cove,	111	101,161	1.3	N/A	911	l N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/
NY										ļ
Lexington,	387	487,139	2.3	N/A	1,259	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/
MA										ļ
Acton, MA	380	353,790			931		N/A	N/A	N/A	
New York,	90	73,624	1.1	N/A	838	3 N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/
NY			_							_ !
Chestnut Hill,	204	275,563	4.7	N/A	1,351	l N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/
MA										•
Danvers, MA		493,095					N/A	N/A	N/A	
Los Angeles, CA	131	131,252	2.0		1,002	2 N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Lyndhurst, NJ	328	331,122	5.8	N/A	1,010) N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/.
Bellevue, WA	368	329,613	3.6	N/A	896	6 N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/
New	588	561,981		N/A			N/A	N/A	N/A	
Rochelle, NY										ŀ
Long Island	602	477,657	1.8	N/A	793	3 N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/
City, NY										ŀ
Shrewbury, MA	251	209,548	25.5	N/A	835	5 N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/
Los Angeles,	123	125,109	1.6	N/A	1,017	7 N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/
CA										İ
Woburn, MA	446	483,995	56.0	N/A	1,085	5 N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/
Conoga Park,	210	186,599	3.3	N/A	889	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
CA										ĺ
S										
San	160	125,636	0.9	2000/2006	785	94.4%	95.4%(3)	N/A	2,523	3.0
Francisco,										
CA			- 0			2.44				
Aberdeen, NJ		296,033			1,021				1,736	
San Francisco,	313	291,817	1.5	2006	932	36.4%	27.8%(3)	N/A	9,048	2.6
	Rockville, MD Dublin, CA Glen Cove, NY Lexington, MA Acton, MA New York, NY Chestnut Hill, MA Danvers, MA Los Angeles, CA Lyndhurst, NJ Bellevue, WA New Rochelle, NY Long Island City, NY Shrewbury, MA Los Angeles, CA Woburn, MA Conoga Park, CA S San Francisco, CA Aberdeen, NJ San	City and state homes Rockville, 196 MD Dublin, CA 305 Glen Cove, 111 NY Lexington, 387 MA Acton, MA 380 New York, 90 NY Chestnut Hill, 204 MA Danvers, MA 433 Los Angeles, 131 CA Lyndhurst, NJ 328 Bellevue, WA 368 New 588 Rochelle, NY Long Island 602 City, NY Shrewbury, 251 MA Los Angeles, 123 CA Woburn, MA 446 Conoga Park, 210 CA S San 160 Francisco, CA Aberdeen, NJ 290 San 313	Number rentable of area City and state homes (Sq. Ft.) Rockville, 196 182,560 MD Dublin, CA 305 299,329 Glen Cove, 111 101,161 NY Lexington, 387 487,139 MA Acton, MA 380 353,790 New York, 90 73,624 NY Chestnut Hill, 204 275,563 MA Danvers, MA 433 493,095 Los Angeles, 131 131,252 CA Lyndhurst, NJ 328 331,122 Bellevue, WA 368 329,613 New 588 561,981 Rochelle, NY Long Island 602 477,657 City, NY Shrewbury, 251 209,548 MA Los Angeles, 123 125,109 CA Woburn, MA 446 483,995 Conoga Park, 210 186,599 CA S San 160 125,636 Francisco, CA Aberdeen, NJ 290 296,033 San 313 291,817	Number rentable of area City and state homes (Sq. Ft.) Acres Rockville, 196 182,560 10.8 MD Dublin, CA 305 299,329 4.7 Glen Cove, 111 101,161 1.3 NY Lexington, 387 487,139 2.3 MA Acton, MA 380 353,790 5.0 New York, 90 73,624 1.1 NY Chestnut Hill, 204 275,563 4.7 MA Danvers, MA 433 493,095 75.0 Los Angeles, 131 131,252 2.0 CA Lyndhurst, NJ 328 331,122 5.8 Bellevue, WA 368 329,613 3.6 New 588 561,981 1.7 Rochelle, NY Long Island 602 477,657 1.8 City, NY Shrewbury, 251 209,548 25.5 MA Los Angeles, 123 125,109 1.6 CA Woburn, MA 446 483,995 56.0 CA Woburn, MA 446 483,995 56.0 CA San 160 125,636 0.9 Francisco, CA Aberdeen, NJ 290 296,033 16.8 San 313 291,817 1.5	Number rentable of area completion/ City and state homes (Sq. Ft.) Acres acquisition Rockville, MD 196 182,560 10.8 N/A MD Dublin, CA 305 299,329 4.7 N/A Glen Cove, 111 101,161 1.3 N/A NY Lexington, 387 487,139 2.3 N/A MA Acton, MA 380 353,790 5.0 N/A MA Acton, MA 380 353,790 5.0 N/A New York, 90 73,624 1.1 N/A NY Chestnut Hill, 204 275,563 4.7 N/A MA Danvers, MA 433 493,095 75.0 N/A Los Angeles, 131 131,252 2.0 N/A CA Lyndhurst, NJ 328 331,122 5.8 N/A New 588 561,981 1.7 N/A Rochelle, NY Long Island 602 477,657 1.8 N/A CA	Number rentable of area completion/ Size (Sq. City and state homes (Sq. Ft.) Acres acquisition Ft.) Rockville, 196 182,560 10.8 N/A 931 MD Dublin, CA 305 299,329 4.7 N/A 981 Glen Cove, 111 101,161 1.3 N/A 911 NY Lexington, 387 487,139 2.3 N/A 1,259 MA Acton, MA 380 353,790 5.0 N/A 931 New York, 90 73,624 1.1 N/A 838 NY Chestnut Hill, 204 275,563 4.7 N/A 1,351 MA Danvers, MA 433 493,095 75.0 N/A 1,351 MA Danvers, MA 433 493,095 75.0 N/A 1,002 CA Lyndhurst, NJ 328 331,122 5.8 N/A 1,010 Bellevue, WA 368 329,613 3.6 N/A 896 New 588 561,981 1.7 N/A 956 Rochelle, NY Long Island 602 477,657 1.8 N/A 793 City, NY Shrewbury, 251 209,548 25.5 N/A 835 MA Los Angeles, 123 125,109 1.6 N/A 1,017 CA Woburn, MA 446 483,995 56.0 N/A 1,017 CA Woburn, MA 446 483,995 56.0 N/A 1,085 Ca San 160 125,636 0.9 2000/2006 785 Francisco, CA Aberdeen, NJ 290 296,033 16.8 2002/2006 1,021 San 313 291,817 1.5 2006 932	Number rentable of area completion/ Size at (Sq. City and state homes (Sq. Ft.) Acres acquisition Ft.) 12/31/06 Rockville, 196 182,560 10.8 N/A 931 N/A MD Dublin, CA 305 299,329 4.7 N/A 981 N/A Glen Cove, 111 101,161 1.3 N/A 911 N/A NY Lexington, 387 487,139 2.3 N/A 1,259 N/A MA Acton, MA 380 353,790 5.0 N/A 931 N/A New York, 90 73,624 1.1 N/A 838 N/A NY Chestnut Hill, 204 275,563 4.7 N/A 1,351 N/A MA Danvers, MA 433 493,095 75.0 N/A 1,139 N/A Los Angeles, 131 131,252 2.0 N/A 1,002 N/A CA Lyndhurst, NJ 328 331,122 5.8 N/A 1,010 N/A Bellevue, WA 368 329,613 3.6 N/A 896 N/A New 588 561,981 1.7 N/A 956 N/A Rochelle, NY Long Island 602 477,657 1.8 N/A 793 N/A CA CA Woburn, MA 446 483,995 56.0 N/A 1,017 N/A CA CA Woburn, MA 446 483,995 56.0 N/A 1,085 N/A CA CA S San 160 125,636 0.9 2000/2006 785 94.4% Francisco, CA Aberdeen, NJ 290 296,033 16.8 2002/2006 1,021 97.0% San 313 291,817 1.5 2006 932 36.4%	Approx Number rentable of area Year of AveragePhysical occupancy completion Size at (Sq. City and state homes (Sq. Ft.) Acres acquisition Ft. 12/31/06 2006	Number rentable of area completion Size at (Sq. City and state homes (Sq. Ft.) Acres acquisition Ft. 12/31/06 2006 2005	Approx

	CA										
	Schaumburg,	196	178,490	12.8	1986/2005	911	90.8%	95.9%(2)	94.3%(3)	1,073	1.1
r Creek (6)	IL										
	New York,	361	266,940	1.5	2005	739	98.6%	98.4%	62.5%(3)	3,125	4.1
Place I (9)(11)	NY										
	Columbia,	170	177,284	11.3	1989/2004	1,043	96.5%	96.1%(2)	84.4%(3)	1,273	1.1
ia (6)	MD										
9)	Stamford, CT	402	365,252	5.1	1996	906	96.8%	96.9%	96.6%	2,101	2.2
e (6)	Wheaton, IL	204	162,821	12.4	2004	798	96.6%	95.5%	79.6%	894	1.0
	Redondo	105	85,380	1.2	1971/2004	813	97.1%	94.4%	95.9%	1,929	2.2
ndo Beach (6)	Beach, CA										
	Columbia,	156	150,276	11.4	1972/2006	963	97.0%	96.6%(3)	N/A	1,187	1.1
)	MD							•			
)	Norwalk, CA	192	173,568	8.7	1987/2005	904	89.1%	94.8%(2)	98.6%(3)	1,468	1.5
	Los Angeles,	82	71,846	0.8	1987/2005	876	96.3%	96.1%	97.4%(3)	1,650	1.8
	CA		•							•	
<i>,</i>	Fremont, CA	134	105,900	7.0	1987/2005	790	100.0%	96.9%	96.0%(3)	1,114	1.3
	Redmond,	400	340,448	24.0	1983/2004	851	87.5%		93.9%	1,016	1.0
nd (6)	WA		•					•		•	
	Schaumburg,	256	201,924	13.2	1988/2006	789	85.9%	83.8%(3)	N/A	1,008	1.0
(6)	IL g,		,							,	
Village (10)	Kirkland, WA	211	207,511	2.9	2005	983	94.3%	94.1%	45.4%(3)	1,289	1.2
	Corona, CA	320	241,440	13.3	1987/2006	755	94.1%	94.7%(3)	N/A	1,066	1.3
	,		,	24		-	-	,		,-	
											Į.

Profile of Current, Development and Unconsolidated Communities (1) (Dollars in thousands, except per apartment home data)

- (1) We own a fee simple interest in the communities listed, excepted as noted below.
- (2) Represents community which was under redevelopment during the year, resulting in lower average economic occupancy and average rental rate per square foot for the year.
- (3) Represents community that completed development or was purchased during the year, which could result in lower average economic occupancy and average rental rate per square foot for the year.
- (4) Represents the average rental revenue per occupied apartment home.
- (5) Costs are presented in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. For current Development Communities, cost represents total costs incurred through December 31, 2006. Financial reporting costs are excluded for unconsolidated communities, see Note 6, Investments in Unconsolidated Entities of our Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 of this report.
- (6) We own a 15.2% combined general partnership and indirect limited partner equity interest in this community.
- (7) We own a general partnership interest in a partnership that owns a fee simple interest in this community.
- (8) We own a general partnership interest in a partnership structured as a DownREIT that owns this community.
- (9) We own a membership interest in a limited liability company that holds a fee simple interest in this community.
- (10) This community was transferred to a joint venture entity upon completion of development. We do not hold an equity interest in the entity, but retain a promoted residual interest in the profits of the entity. We receive a property management fee for this community.
- (11) Community is located on land subject to a land lease.
- (12) Upon completion of this community we admitted a 70% joint venture partner to the LLC. However, due to an operating guarantee provided to the joint venture partner, this community is consolidated for financial reporting purposes.
- (13) In December 2006, we completed the purchase of our partner s interest in Avalon Run, and this community is now a wholly-owned community. See Note 6, Investments in Unconsolidated Entities of our Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 of this report.

Features and Recreational Amenities Current and Development Communities

							Washer &			•	Large	Balcony,		
2E	R.	3B	ıR				dryer					patio, deck		
21	,,,	31		Studios			•				_	patio, acek		
/1 5	212 512	2/2 5		/		Parking	hook-ups	Vaulted			walk-in	or	Built-in	
BA	2/2.5/3 BA		3B eA f	icienci O t	her Total	spaces	or units	ceilings	Lofts	Fireplaces	closet	sunroom	bookcases	Carports
	87				139	269	All	Some	Some	Some	Some	All	None	No
	112	4		6	225	371	All	None	None	None	Some	Some	None	No
12	175	38			387	658	All	Some	Some	Some	All	All	None	No
	75	28			171	327	All	Some	Some	Some	Most	All	None	No
22	120	30			280	589	All	Some	Some	Some	All	All	None	No
25	89	56			198	362	All	Some	Some	Some	Most	All	None	Yes
40	99	55	4	6	294	551	All	Some	Some	Some	Most	Most	None	No
	242		29	149	781	538	None	None	None	None	Most	Some	None	No
	202	22			326	749	All	Some	Some	Some	All	All	None	No
	73	16			101	235	All	Most	Some	Some	All	All	None	No
	104				154		All	Some	Some	Half	Most	All	None	No
28	124	28			304		All	Some	Some	Some	All	All	None	No
24	96	24			204		All	Some	Some	Some	All	All	None	No
12	48	12			120		All	Some	Some	Some	All	All	None	No
87	2.1				156		All	Some	Some	Some	All	All	None	No
58	31	1			1 245		None	None	None	None	Some	Most	None	No
0.16	55	25			120		All	Some	Some	Some	All	Half	None	No
246					286	450	Some	None	None	None	Some	Some	None	No
91	99	12			52 306	464	All	None	None	None	Most	All	None	No

98

24

234

54

All

None Some

Some

Some

None

No

All

	80 168 125 40 62	32 50 1 24			36	189 340 238 128 246	443 688 363 256 426	All All Most All All	All Some Some Some	Some Some Some None	Some Half Some Some	All Some All Some	All All Most All All	None None Some None None	No Yes Yes Yes Yes
	64	24				104	194	All	Some	Some	Some	All	All	None	No
116	130 28 70 134 122	20 28 32 28		14	28 156	323 168 102 268 764	503 362 264 637 1,411	All All All All	Some Some Half Some	Some Some Half Some	Some Some Most Some	All All All Most	Most All All All	None None None None	No Yes No Yes
40 54	91 112 194 220 102 38	32 74	6 3	41	67	256 312 494 298 152 109	366 485 797 1,094 135 198	All All All All All	None Some Half Most Most None 26	None Some Half Some Some None	Some Some Some Some None	All Some Most All Most	Some All All All All Most	None None None None None	No No No No No

Features and Recreational Amenities Current and Development Communities

								Washer &				Large	Balcony,		
2E	3R	3B		\. 1.				dryer				storage or	patio, deck		
/1 5	2/2 5/2	2/2.5	S	Studios /			Parking	hook-ups	Vaulted			walk-in	or	Built-in	;
71.3 . BA	2/2.5/3 BA		3 R :Af	icienc)s her	Total	spaces	or units	ceilings	Lofts	Fireplaces	closet	sunroom	bookcases	Carports g
	190	60				408	872	All	Some	Some	Some	All	Half	None	No
	162 231	62 26	2		48	270 504	583 460	All All	All Some	None Some	Some Some	Most All	Some Some	None None	No No
24 90	192 108	40 84				296 426	591 640	All All	Some Some	Some	Some	All Some	All All	None None	No Yes
106 36 48	148 172	36 56 40				206312512	401 500 781	All All	Some Some	Some Some None	Some Some Half	Most Some All	Most All All	None None None	Yes Yes No
54 48 24	144 56	104		54		206 504 105	131 1,382 208	All All All	None Half Some	None Half Some	None Some Some	Some All All	Some All All	None Some None	No Yes Yes
	185	21	21	43		412	648	Most	Some	Some	None	Most	Some	None	No
47 2	114 65 76 43	15 64 10		43		372 288 227 110	426 598 371 170	All All All	None Some Some	Some Some	Some	Most Most Some Most	Some All All Half	None None None None	No Yes No No
78	100	38				401	283	All	Some	None	Most	Some	All	Some	No
146	54	22			23	342	522	All	Some	None	Most	Some	All	Some	No
14	54	20				176	268	All	Some	None	Most	All	Most	Some	No

18 63	57				158	256 15	All	None	None	Most	Most	All	None	Yes
94	48	10			2	353	All	None	None	Most	None	All	None	No
104	96				420	720	All	Some	None	Some	All	All	None	Yes
24	196	60		158	842	1,411	All	Some	Some	Some	All	All	Some	No
111					344	470	All	None	None	Some	Most	All	Some	No
	168			84	460	897	All	Most	Some	Some	All	Most	None	No
70	168 32	44 2	28	16	368 308	627 349	All All	Some Some	Some None	Some Some	Most All	All All	None Some	No No
75		4	11		203	148	All	Some	None	None	Most	Some	None	No
33	185	13	1		497	746	All	Some	Some	None	Most	Most	None	No
	112	4		6	141	299	All	Some	None	Most	All	All	None	No
39 30 26	133 232 346	36 68			386 520 558	680 1,062 989	All All All	Some Some	Some Some	Some Some Most	Most All Most	All Most All	None Some Some	No Yes No
32 56	112 80	32 28		66	288 300	461 477	All All	Some Some 27	Some None	Some Most	Most All	Most All	None Most	No No

Features and Recreational Amenities Current and Development Communities

								Washer &	<i>i</i>			Large	Balcony,			No
2B	R	3B		Yer-dian				dryer				storage or	patio, deck			dir
			31	Studios /		F	Parking	g hook-ups	Vaulted	Į		walk-in	or	Built-in		acc
	2/2.5/3			: -: <i>r</i>	24h						Einaula aan				Comonto	
BA	BA	ВА.	316PX10	cienci	Mher	Total s	spaces	or units	ceilings	Loits	Fireplaces	closet	sunroom	bookcases	Carports	gara
	147			30		409	650	All	None	None	None	Some	Half	None	No	N
	134	14	15			295	555	All	None	None	Some	Most	Some	Some	No	N
	108	21				192	424	All	None	None	Some	Most	Most	Some	No	Y
200						400	594	None	None	None	None	Some	Half	None	Yes	N
40	110	56	3			264	515	All	Some	None	Most	All	All	Half	Yes	Y
	67			23		202	300	All	Some	Some	Some	Most	Some	None	No	N
	20			16		100	118	All	None	None	None	Most	Some	None	No	N
109	149	78				424	737	All	Some	None	Most	All	All	Some	Yes	Y
119	124	56	8			391	721	All	Half	None	Most	All	All	Some	Yes	Y
26	55	12			117	124	189	All	Some	None	None	All	All	None	No	Y
44	67	35				222	161	All	Some	None	Most	Most	All	None	Yes	Y
48	86	28	16			206	415	All	Some	None	Most	Most	All	Some	Yes	N
60	78	60				234	463	All	Some	None	Most	All	All	Some	Yes	Y

Most Some

Most

Half

Most

None

All

8 333

780

13 28

42 239

Yes

84						208	296	None	None	None	Most	All	All	None	Yes	N
8	136 60	48			16	235 204	240 428	All Most	None Some	None None	None Most	All All	All All	None None	Yes No	N Y
	176		44			308	609	All	Some	None	Some	Half	All	None	Yes	Y
	218 336					456 544	941 927	All Some	Some Some	None None	Most None	Some All	All All	None None	Yes Yes	Y N
33	24			21		195	259	None	None	Some	None	Some	All	None	Yes	N
	49	15				154	155	None	Some	None	None	All	All	None	No	Y
	95	6		1		250	191	All	None	Some	None	All	Most	Some	No	Y
	25			46		185	105	None	None	None	None	Some	Some	Most	No	Y
122 106	1 56			40		288 220	290 301	None None	None None	None None	None Some	Most Some	All All	Some None	Yes Yes	N Y
20	20			20		243	244	None	None	None	None	None	Some	None	No	N
68	120 24		3	4		226 254	212 404	All Some	None Some	None None 8	Some Some	Half None	Most All	None None	No Yes	N N

Features and Recreational Amenities Current and Development Communities

							Washer &				Large	Balcony,			N
2B	R	3B		Studios			dryer				storage or	patio, deck			di
/1.5 2	2/2.5/3	2/2.5	č	/		Parking	hook-ups	Vaulted			walk-in	or	Built-in		ac
ВА	BA		3B A	icien Oth	efTotal	spaces	or units	ceilings	Lofts	Fireplaces	closet	sunroom	bookcases	Carports	gai
	210		24		324	549	All	Some	None	None	Most	All	None	Yes]
	94		6		218	314	All	Some	Some	None	All	Some	None	No]
128				8	294	441	None	None	None	Some	None	Most	None	Yes	1
	240				396	666	All	Some	None	None	ALL	All	None	Yes	Y
	96	36			192	353	All	Some	None	Half	All	All	Some	Yes	Y
40					252	400	All	None	None	None	None	Half	None	Yes	Y
	126				226	356	Most	None	None	Most	All	All	None	No	Y
	179		12		348	454	All	Some	None	None	All	All	None	Yes	1
	88	52			248	672	All	Some	None	None	Some	All	None	Yes	1
	164		28		305	534	All	Some	Some	Some	Most	All	None	Some	Y
	264		24		456	684	All	Some	None	Some	ALL	All	Most	Yes	Y
	336	18	15	3	710	2,000	All	Some	Some	Some	All	All	Some	Yes	Y
	117 252 146		6		211 360 248	307 692 439	All All All	Some None Some	None None None	None All None	Most None None	All All All	None None None	No Yes Yes	1 Y 1
169	50	12		221	748	893	Most	Some		Some	Some	Some	None	Yes	Ţ
54	65	20			227	427	All	Some	None	Some	Some	All	None	Yes	}

249

124

482

All

None None

No

None

All

All

None

	121		27	223	519	All	None	Some	Some	All	All	None	No]
	441 196	51 119		663 400 309	1,356 736 623	Some Some All	Some Some None	Some Some	None All None	Most Some All	All All All	None None None	No No No]
56	104			304	492	All	None	None	None	All	All	None	Yes	•
	86		48	258	428	Some	Half	None	None	Half	All	None	Yes	•
28	44			166	232	None	None	None	None	None	All	None	Yes	3
54		35	12	145	249	Most	Some	None	Some	Most	Most	Some	Yes	3
	141			301	521	All	None	None	None	None	All	None	Yes	7
	84		97	294	298	None	None	None	None	None	All	None	No]
9	165		120	564	755	None	None	None	None	Some	All	None	No]
98	1	83		200	387	Most	None 29	None	Most	Most	Most	Most	No	`

							Washer &				Large	Balcony,			Non-
2E	3R	3B		tudia -			dryer				storage or	patio, deck			direct
1 /1 50	NO 512	2/2.5	3	tudios /	I	Parking	hook-ups	Vaulted			walk-in	or	Built-in		acces
	2/2.5/3 BA		3 B Afi	cien Oite re	≅fTotal	spaces	or units	ceilings	Lofts	Fireplaces	closet	sunroom	bookcases	Carports	garage
	90				196	327	All	Some	Some	None	Some	All	None	No	Yes
8				16	111	190	All	None	None	None	All	Some	None	No	No
	254	24			387	823	All	Some	Some	Some	All	Some	None	No	Yes
45	157 188			8	328 380	569 732	Most All	Some Some	Some Some	None Some	All Most	Most Some	None None	No No	No Yes
18				10	90	50	All	None	None	None	Most	Some	None	No	No
	70	15			210	370	All	Some	Some	None	Most	Most	None	No	Yes
28	85	50		5	204	427	All	None	Some	None	All	All	None	No	No
	235	50			433	856	All	Some	Some	Some	Some	Some	None	No	Yes
	56		14		131	357	All	Some	None	None	Some	Some	None	No	Yes
5	88	23		78	368	485	All	None	None	None	Some	Some	None	No	Yes
	162	128		90	588	489	All	None	None	None	Some	None	None	No	All
	146		1	74	602	361	Some	None	None	None	Some	Some	None	No	Yes
12	123	24			251	529	All	None	Some	None	All	All	None	No	Yes
	288				446	892	All	None	Some	Some	All	Some	None	No	Yes
	62	8			123	350	All	None	None 30	None	All	Most	None	No	Yes

Features and Recreational Amenities Current and Development Communities

			1 carares	, unu Recr	cunona	at Minerities	Curr	cm ana D	retopin	cui Commun	ttttes		
nity ce led s	Building entrance controlled access					e Walking / jogging trail	Pool		Tennis court		Fitness 1 center		Indoor / outdoor basketball
	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	No
	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	No
	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes
	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No
	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes
	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes
	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes
	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes
	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	No
	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No
	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes
	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No
	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes		No	No	Yes	No	No
	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes		No	No	Yes	No	No
	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes		No	No	Yes	No	No
	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No No	Yes		No	No	No	No	Yes
	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes		Yes	No	Yes	No	No
	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes		No	No	Yes	No	No
	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes		No	No	Yes	No	No
	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

No

Yes

Yes

Yes

No

Yes

No

Yes

No

No

No

No

Yes

Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	No
Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes
Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	No
Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	No
Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	No
No	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes
No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	No
Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes
Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No
110	108	110	108	108	110	31	1 63	140	110	108	140	110
						JI						

Features and Recreational Amenities Current and Development Communities

nity ce led s	Building entrance controlled access		Aerobicse dance studio	Car wash		Walking / jogging trail	Pool	Sauna / whirlpool			Fitness center	Sand volleyball	Indoor / outdoor basketball
	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	No
	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	No
	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	No
	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
	Some	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No
	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No
	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No
	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes
	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No
	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No
	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No
	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No
	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No
	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	No
	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	No
	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No

No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes
Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No
Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	No
Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No
Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	No
No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No
Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	No
Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes
No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes
No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	No
Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
						32						

Features and Recreational Amenities Current and Development Communities

ty d	Building entrance controlled access		Aerobicse dance studio	Car wash		Walking / jogging trail	Pool	Sauna / whirlpool			Fitness center	Sand volleyball	Indoor / outdoor basketball
	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	No
	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	No
	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No
	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No
	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No
	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No
	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No
	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No
	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No
	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No
	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No
	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No
	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No
	N.T.	N	N.T.	N.T.	NT	N	37	NT	NT	N	N/	N	N
	No No	No No	No No	No Yes	No Yes	No No	Yes Yes	No Yes	No No	No No	Yes Yes	No No	No No

No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
No	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No
No No	No No	No No	Yes Yes	No No	No No	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	No No	No No	Yes Yes	No No	Yes No
No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No
Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No
Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No
Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No
No No	No No	No No	Yes No	No No	Yes No	Yes Yes	No No	No No	No No	Yes Yes	No No	Yes No
Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Yes No	Yes Yes	No No	No No	No No	No Yes	No Yes 33	Yes Yes	No No	No No	Yes Yes	No No	No No

Features and Recreational Amenities Current and Development Communities

y d	Building entrance controlled access		Aerobicse dance studio			Walking / jogging trail	Pool	Sauna / whirlpool			Fitness center	Sand volleyball	Indoor / outdoor basketball
	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No
	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No
	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	No
	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes
	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes
	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No
	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No
	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No
	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No
	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No
	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
	Yes No No	Yes No No	No No No	Yes Yes Yes	No No No	No No No	Yes Yes Yes	Yes Yes No	No No No	No No No	Yes Yes Yes	No No No	No No No
	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	No
	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	No
	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No

Edgar Filing: AVALONBAY COMMUNITIES INC - Form 10-K

No Yes Yes	Yes Yes No	No No No	No No No	No No Yes	No No No	Yes Yes Yes	Yes Yes No	No No No	No No No	Yes No Yes	No No No	No No No
No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No
No No	No No	No No	Yes No	No No	No Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes No	No No	Yes Yes	Yes No	No No
No	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No
No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No
Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No
No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
No	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes 34	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No

Features and Recreational Amenities Current and Development Communities

nity ce led s	Building entrance controlled access					Walking / jogging trail	Pool	Sauna / whirlpool			Fitness center		Indoor / outdoor basketball
	No	No	No	No	Vac	No	Vac	No	Vac	Vac	Vac	No	No
	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No
	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No
	Some	Some	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes
	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes		No	No	Yes	No	No
	Some	Some	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes
	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No
	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	No
	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes
	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No
	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No
	All	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	No
	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	No
	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes
	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes		No	No	Yes	No	Yes
	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No

⁽¹⁾ For the purpose of this table, Current Communities excludes communities held by unconsolidated real estate joint ventures.

35

Development Communities

As of December 31, 2006, we had 17 Development Communities under construction. We expect these Development Communities, when completed, to add a total of 5,153 apartment homes to our portfolio for a total capitalized cost, including land acquisition costs, of approximately \$1,323,300,000. You should carefully review Item 1a., Risk Factors, for a discussion of the risks associated with development activity.

The following table presents a summary of the Development Communities. We hold a direct or indirect fee simple ownership interest in these communities except where noted.

			Total				
		Number					
		of	capitalized		*	.	.
		apartment	cost (1)	Construction	Initial	Estimated	Estimated
		homes	(\$ millions)	stort	occupancy	aamnlation	stabilization (3)
1.	Avalon Wilshire	123	\$ 46.6	start Q1 2005	(2) Q1 2007	completion Q2 2007	Q4 2007
1.	Los Angeles, CA	123	ψ -10.0	Q1 2003	Q1 2007	Q2 2007	Q+ 2007
2.	Avalon Chestnut Hill	204	60.6	Q2 2005	Q3 2006	Q1 2007	Q3 2007
	Chestnut Hill, MA						C
3.	Avalon at Decoverly II	196	30.5	Q3 2005	Q2 2006	Q1 2007	Q3 2007
	Rockville, MD						
4.	Avalon Lyndhurst (4)	328	78.8	Q3 2005	Q4 2006	Q4 2007	Q2 2008
	Lyndhurst, NJ						
5.	Avalon Shrewsbury	251	36.1	Q3 2005	Q2 2006	Q2 2007	Q4 2007
	Shrewsbury, MA						
6.	Avalon Riverview	602	175.6	02 2005	02 2007	02.2000	01.2000
	North	602	175.6	Q3 2005	Q3 2007	Q3 2008	Q1 2009
7.	New York, NY Avalon at Glen Cove						
/.	North	111	42.4	Q4 2005	Q2 2007	Q3 2007	Q1 2008
	Glen Cove, NY	111	72.7	Q+ 2003	Q2 2007	Q3 2007	Q1 2000
8.	Avalon Danvers	433	84.8	Q4 2005	Q1 2007	Q2 2008	Q4 2008
•	Danvers, MA		00	Q. =000	Q 1 2 007	Q= = 000	₹. 2000
9.	Avalon Woburn	446	81.3	Q4 2005	Q3 2006	Q1 2008	Q3 2008
	Woburn, MA						
10	. Avalon on the Sound II	588	184.2	Q1 2006	Q3 2007	Q3 2008	Q1 2009
	New Rochelle, NY						
11	. Avalon Meydenbauer	368	84.3	Q1 2006	Q4 2007	Q3 2008	Q1 2009
	Bellevue, WA						
12	Avalon at Dublin	205	05.0	02 2006	02 2007	02 2000	04.2000
	Station I Dublin, CA	305	85.8	Q2 2006	Q3 2007	Q2 2008	Q4 2008
13	. Avalon at Lexington						
13	Hills	387	86.2	Q2 2006	Q2 2007	Q3 2008	Q1 2009
	Lexington, MA	207	00.2	Q2 2000	Q2 2007	Q3 2000	Q1 2003
14	. Avalon Bowery Place						
	II (5)	90	61.9	Q3 2006	Q4 2007	Q1 2008	Q2 2008
	New York, NY			-	-	-	-
15	. Avalon Encino	131	61.5	Q3 2006	Q3 2008	Q4 2008	Q1 2009
	Los Angeles, CA						

16. Avalon Canoga Park Canoga Park, CA	210	53.9	Q4 2006	Q1 2008	Q2 2008	Q4 2008
17. Avalon Acton (5) Acton, MA	380	68.8	Q4 2006	Q1 2008	Q4 2008	Q2 2009
Total	5,153	\$ 1,323.3				

(1) Total capitalized cost includes all capitalized costs projected to be or actually incurred to develop the respective Development Community, determined in accordance with GAAP, including land acquisition costs, construction costs, real estate taxes, capitalized interest and loan fees, permits, professional fees, allocated development overhead and other regulatory fees. Total capitalized cost for communities identified as having joint venture ownership, either during construction or upon construction completion, represents the total projected joint venture

contribution

amount.

- (2) Future initial occupancy dates are estimates. There can be no assurance that we will pursue to completion any or all of these proposed developments.
- (3) Stabilized operations is defined as the earlier of (i) attainment of 95% or greater physical occupancy or (ii) the one-year anniversary of completion of development.

36

- (4) The remediation
 - of our Avalon
 - Lyndhurst
 - development
 - site, as
 - discussed in
 - Note 8.
 - Commitment
 - and
 - Contingencies
 - of the
 - Consolidated
 - Financial
 - Statements
 - included as
 - Item 8 of this
 - report, is
 - substantially
 - complete. The
 - net cost
 - associated with
 - this remediation
 - effort after
 - considering
 - insurance
 - proceeds
 - received to date,
 - including costs
 - associated with
 - construction
 - delays, is
 - expected to total
 - approximately
 - \$7.5 million.
 - We are pursuing
 - the recovery of
 - these additional
 - costs through
 - insurance as
 - well as from the
 - third parties
 - involved, but
 - any additional
 - recoverable
 - amounts are not
 - currently
 - estimable. The
 - total expected
 - capitalized cost
 - cited above does

not reflect the potential impact of these additional net costs.

(5) This community is being financed in part by third party, tax-exempt debt.

Redevelopment Communities

As of December 31, 2006, we had three consolidated communities under redevelopment. We expect the total capitalized cost to redevelop these communities to be \$25,800,000, excluding costs prior to redevelopment. In addition, the Fund has three communities under redevelopment. We have found that the cost to redevelop an existing apartment community is more difficult to budget and estimate than the cost to develop a new community. Accordingly, we expect that actual costs may vary from our budget by a wider range than for a new development community. We cannot assure you that we will meet our schedule for reconstruction completion or restabilized operations, or that we will meet our budgeted costs, either individually or in the aggregate. We anticipate increasing our redevelopment activity related to Fund-owned communities, as well as communities in our current operating portfolio. You should carefully review Item 1a., Risk Factors, for a discussion of the risks associated with redevelopment activity.

The following presents a summary of these Redevelopment Communities:

		To	otal cos	st			
	Number						
	of	(\$ 1	million			Estimated	Estimated
		11		Total	D		
	apartmen t re	-redevelopii	ientcap	ntanzed	Reconstruction	reconstruction	restabilized operations
	homes	cost	C	ost (1)	start	completion	(2)
Consolidated				. ,		•	. ,
Communities							
1. Avalon Arlington							
Heights Arlington	400		Φ.		Q1	Q1	Q3
Heights, IL	409	\$ 50.2	\$	57.1	2006	2007	2007
2. Avalon Walk I and II	764	50.4		71.0	Q1	Q4	Q2
Hamden, CT	764	59.4		71.2	2006	2007	2008
3. Avalon at					03	02	01
AutumnWoods <i>Fairfax</i> , <i>VA</i>	420	31.2		38.3	Q3 2006	Q3 2008	Q1 2009
VA	420	31.2		36.3	2000	2006	2009
Cultantal	1 502	¢ 140 0	¢	1666			
Subtotal	1,593	\$ 140.8	\$	166.6			
Fund Communities							
1. Avalon Redmond					Q2	Q4	Q2
Redmond, WA	400	\$ 49.2	\$	56.7	2006	2007	2008
Realitotta, 1171	192	38.1	Ψ	43.5	2000	2007	2000
	192	38.1		43.3			

2. Civic Center Place<i>Norwalk</i>, <i>CA</i>3. Avalon at Poplar				Q4 2006 Q4	Q2 2008 Q1	Q4 2008 Q3
Creek Schaumburg, IL	196	25.2	28.6	2006	2008	2008
Subtotal	788	\$ 112.5	\$ 128.8			
Total	2,381	\$ 253.3	\$ 295.4			

- (1) Total capitalized cost includes all capitalized costs projected to be or actually incurred to develop the respective Redevelopment Community, including land acquisition costs, construction costs, real estate taxes, capitalized interest and loan fees, permits, professional fees, allocated development overhead and other regulatory fees, all as determined in accordance with GAAP.
- (2) Restabilized operations is defined as the earlier of (i) attainment of 95% or greater physical occupancy or (ii) the one-year anniversary of completion of

Development Rights

As of December 31, 2006, we are evaluating the future development of 54 new apartment communities on land that is either owned by us, under contract, subject to a leasehold interest or for which we hold either a purchase or lease option. We generally hold Development Rights through options to acquire land, although for 18 of the Development Rights we currently own the land on which a community would be built if we proceeded with development. The Development Rights range from those beginning design and architectural planning to those that have completed site plans and drawings and can begin construction almost immediately. We estimate that the successful completion of all of these communities would ultimately add 14,185 apartment homes to our portfolio. Substantially all of these apartment homes will offer features like those offered by the communities we currently own. At December 31, 2006, there were cumulative capitalized costs (including legal fees, design fees and related overhead costs, but excluding land costs) of \$39,365,000 relating to Development Rights that we consider probable for future development. In addition, land costs related to the pursuit of Development Rights (consisting of original land and additional carrying costs) of \$209,568,000 are reflected as land held for development as of December 31, 2006 on the Consolidated Balance Sheet of the Consolidated Financial Statements set forth in Item 8 of this report.

The properties comprising the Development Rights are in different stages of the due diligence and regulatory approval process. The decisions as to which of the Development Rights to invest in, if any, or to continue to pursue once an investment in a Development Right is made, are business judgments that we make after we perform financial, demographic and other analyses. In the event that we do not proceed with a Development Right, we generally would not recover capitalized costs incurred in the pursuit of those communities, unless we were to recover amounts in connection with the sale of land; however, we cannot guarantee a recovery. Pre-development costs incurred in the pursuit of Development Rights for which future development is not yet considered probable are expensed as incurred. In addition, if the status of a Development Right changes, making future development no longer probable, any capitalized pre-development costs are written-off with a charge to expense.

You should carefully review Section 1a., Risk Factors, for a discussion of the risks associated with Development Rights.

The table below presents a summary of these Development Rights:

1. White Plains, NY 296 393 \$ 155 2. New York, NY 296 125 3. Tinton Falls, NJ 216 41 4. Coram, NY (2) 200 47 5. Kirkland, WA Phase II (2) 176 53 6. Hingham, MA (2) 235 44 7. Northborough, MA (3) 350 60 8. Wilton, CT (2) 100 24 9. Union City, CA (5) 438 120 10. Andover, MA (2) 115 21 11. Norwalk, CT 319 83 12. Sharon, MA 156 26 13. Brooklyn, NY 628 317 4. Pleasant Hill, CA (4) 416 153 15. Milford, CT (2) 284 45 16. West Haven, CT 170 23 17. Cohasset, MA (2) 200 38 18. Quincy, MA (2) 146 24 West Long Branch, NI (3) 216 <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Estimated number</th> <th>Total capitalized cost (\$ millions)</th>				Estimated number	Total capitalized cost (\$ millions)
2. New York, NY 296 125 3. Tinton Falls, NI 216 41 4. Coram, NY (2) 200 47 5. Kirkland, WA Phase II (2) 176 53 6. Hingham, MA 350 66 8. Wilton, CT (2) 100 24 9. Union City, CA (5) 438 120 10. Andover, MA (2) 115 21 11. Norwalk, CT 319 83 12. Sharon, MA 156 26 13. Brooklyn, NY 628 317 14. Pleasant Hill, CA (4) 416 153 15. Milford, CT (2) 284 45 16. West Haven, CT 170 23 17. Cohasset, MA (2) 146 24 18. Quincy, MA (2) 146 24 19. West Long Branch, NJ (3) 216 36 20. Plymouth, MA Phase II 81 17 15. Shelton, CT II 171 34 25.				of homes	
3. Tinton Falls, NJ 216 41 4. Coram, NY (2) 200 47 5. Kirkland, WA Phase II (2) 176 53 6. Hingham, MA (2) 235 44 7. Northborough, MA 350 60 8. Wilton, CT (2) 100 24 9. Union City, CA (5) 438 120 10. Andover, MA (2) 115 21 11. Norwalk, CT 319 83 12. Sharon, MA (2) 1156 26 13. Brooklyn, NY 628 317 14. Pleasant Hill, CA (4) 416 153 15. Milford, CT (2) 284 45 16. West Haven, CT 170 23 15. Milford, CT 170 23 20. Pylymouth, Ma Phase II 31 17 21. Shelton, CT II <td></td> <td></td> <td>(2)</td> <td></td> <td></td>			(2)		
4. Coram, NY (2) 200 47 5. Kirkland, WA Phase II (2) 176 53 6. Hingham, MA (2) 235 44 7. Northborough, MA 350 60 8. Wilton, CT (2) 100 24 9. Union City, CA (5) 438 120 10. Andover, MA (2) 115 21 11. Norwalk, CT 319 83 12. Sharon, MA 156 26 13. Brooklyn, NY 628 317 14. Pleasant Hill, CA (4) 416 153 15. Milford, CT (2) 284 45 16. West Haven, CT 170 23 17. Cohasset, MA (2) 200 38 18. Quincy, MA (2) 146 24 19. West Long Branch, NJ (3) 216 36 20. Plymouth, MA Phase II 81 17 21. Shelton, CT 302 49 22. Shelton, CT II 31 340 75 23. Roselle Park, NJ 340 75 2					
5. Kirkland, WA Phase II (2) 176 53 6. Hingham, MA (2) 235 44 7. Northborough, MA 350 60 8. Wilton, CT (2) 100 24 9. Union City, CA (5) 438 120 10. Andover, MA (2) 115 21 11. Norwalk, CT 319 83 12. Sharon, MA 156 26 26 13. Brooklyn, NY 628 317 14. Pleasant Hill, CA (4) 416 153 15. Milford, CT (2) 284 45 16. West Haven, CT 170 23 17. Cohasset, MA (2) 146 24 18. Quincy, MA (2) 146 24 19. West Long Branch, NJ (3) 216 36 20. Plymouth, MA Phase II 81 17			(3)		
6. Hingham, MA (2) 235 44 7. Northborough, MA 350 60 8. Wilton, CT (2) 100 24 9. Union City, CA (5) 438 120 10. Andover, MA (2) 115 21 11. Norwalk, CT 319 83 12. Sharon, MA 156 26 13. Brooklyn, NY 628 317 14. Pleasant Hill, CA (4) 416 153 15. Milford, CT (2) 284 45 16. West Haven, CT 170 23 17. Cohasset, MA (2) 200 38 18. Quincy, MA (2) 200 38 18. Quincy, MA (2) 200 38 19. West Long Branch, NI (3) 216 36 20. Plymouth, MA Phase II 81 17 21. Shelton, CT II 171 34 22. Shelton, CT II 171 34 23. Roselle Park, NI 340 75 24. Wanaque, NI 31 156 48 27. Howell, NI					
7. Northborough, MA 350 60 8. Wilton, CT (2) 100 24 9. Union City, CA (5) 438 120 10. Andover, MA (2) 1115 21 11. Norwalk, CT 319 83 12. Sharon, MA 156 26 13. Brooklyn, NY 628 317 14. Pleasant Hill, CA (4) 416 153 15. Milford, CT (2) 284 45 16. West Haven, CT 170 23 17. Cohasset, MA (2) 200 38 18. Quincy, MA (2) 200 38 18. Quincy, MA (2) 146 24 19. West Long Branch, NJ (3) 216 36 20. Plymouth, MA Phase II 171 34 21. Shelton, CT 302 49 22. Shelton, CT II 171 34 23. Roselle Park, NJ 340 75 24. Wanaque, NJ 210 45 25. San Francisco, CA <td></td> <td>•</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		•			
8. Wilton, CT (2) 100 24 9. Union City, CA (5) 438 120 10. Andover, MA (2) 115 21 11. Norwalk, CT 319 83 12. Sharon, MA 156 26 13. Brooklyn, NY 628 317 14. Pleasant Hill, CA (4) 416 153 15. Milford, CT (2) 284 45 16. West Haven, CT 170 23 17. Cohasset, MA (2) 200 38 18. Quincy, MA (2) 146 24 19. West Long Branch, NJ (3) 216 36 20. Plymouth, MA Phase II 171 34 36 21. Shelton, CT II 171 34 34 75 22. Shelton, CT II 171 34 34 75 23. Roselle Park, NJ (3) 156 48 25. San Francisco, CA 152 40					
9. Union City, CA (5) 438 120 10. Andover, MA (2) 115 21 11. Norwalk, CT 319 83 12. Sharon, MA 156 26 13. Brooklyn, NY 628 317 14. Pleasant Hill, CA (4) 416 153 15. Milford, CT (2) 284 45 16. West Haven, CT 170 23 17. Cohasset, MA (2) 200 38 18. Quincy, MA (2) 146 24 19. West Long Branch, NJ (3) 216 36 20. Plymouth, MA Phase II 81 17 21. Shelton, CT 302 49 22. Shelton, CT II 302 49 22. Shelton, CT II 310 45 23. Roselle Park, NJ 340 75 24. Wanaque, NJ 210 45 25. San Francisco, CA 152 40 26. North Bergen, NJ (3) 156 48 27. Howell, NJ 255 42 28. Gaithersburg, MD 254 41 <td></td> <td>•</td> <td>(2)</td> <td></td> <td></td>		•	(2)		
10. Andover, MA (2) 115 21 11. Norwalk, CT 319 83 12. Sharon, MA 156 26 13. Brooklyn, NY 628 317 14. Pleasant Hill, CA (4) 416 153 15. Milford, CT (2) 284 45 16. West Haven, CT 170 23 17. Cohasset, MA (2) 200 38 18. Quincy, MA (2) 146 24 19. West Long Branch, NJ (3) 216 36 20. Plymouth, MA Phase II 81 17 21. Shelton, CT 302 49 22. Shelton, CT II 171 34 23. Roselle Park, NJ 340 75 24. Wanaque, NJ 210 45 25. San Francisco, CA 152 40 26. North Bergen, NJ (3) 156 48 27. Howell, NJ 265 42 28. Gaithersburg, MD 254 41 29. Highland Park, NJ 285 67 30. Dublin, CA Phase II 205 53					
12. Sharon, MA 156 26 13. Brooklyn, NY 628 317 14. Pleasant Hill, CA (4) 416 153 15. Milford, CT (2) 284 45 16. West Haven, CT 170 23 17. Cohasset, MA (2) 200 38 18. Quincy, MA (2) 146 24 19. West Long Branch, NJ (3) 216 36 20. Plymouth, MA Phase II 81 17 21. Shelton, CT 302 49 22. Shelton, CT II 302 49 23. Roselle Park, NJ 340 75 24. Wanaque, NJ 210 45 25. San Francisco, CA 152 40 26. North Bergen, NJ (3) 156 48 27. Howell, NJ 265 42 28. Gaithersburg, MD 254 41 29. Highland Park, NJ 285 67 30. <td></td> <td>· ·</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		· ·			
13. Brooklyn, NY 628 317 14. Pleasant Hill, CA (4) 416 153 15. Milford, CT (2) 284 45 16. West Haven, CT 170 23 17. Cohasset, MA (2) 200 38 18. Quincy, MA (2) 146 24 19. West Long Branch, NJ (3) 216 36 20. Plymouth, MA Phase II 81 17 21. Shelton, CT II 302 49 22. Shelton, CT II 171 34 23. Roselle Park, NJ 340 75 24. Wanaque, NJ 210 45 25. San Francisco, CA 152 40 26. North Bergen, NJ (3) 156 48 27. Howell, NJ 265 42 28. Gaithersburg, MD 254 41 29. Highland Park, NJ 285 67 30. Dublin, CA Phase II 200 52 31. Dublin, CA Phase III 200 52 32. Canoga Park, CA 376 55 33. New York, NY II 680 261 <	11.	Norwalk, CT		319	83
14. Pleasant Hill, CA (4) 416 153 15. Milford, CT (2) 284 45 16. West Haven, CT 170 23 17. Cohasset, MA (2) 200 38 18. Quincy, MA (2) 146 24 19. West Long Branch, NJ (3) 216 36 20. Plymouth, MA Phase II 81 17 21. Shelton, CT 302 49 22. Shelton, CT II 171 34 23. Roselle Park, NJ 340 75 24. Wanaque, NJ 210 45 25. San Francisco, CA 152 40 26. North Bergen, NJ (3) 156 48 27. Howell, NJ 265 42 28. Gaithersburg, MD 254 41 29. Highland Park, NJ 285 67 30. Dublin, CA Phase II 200 52 31. Dublin, CA Phase III 205 53 32. Canoga Park, CA 297 85 33. New York, NY II 680 261 34. Camarillo, CA 376 55	12.	Sharon, MA		156	26
15. Milford, CT (2) 284 45 16. West Haven, CT 170 23 17. Cohasset, MA (2) 200 38 18. Quincy, MA (2) 146 24 19. West Long Branch, NJ (3) 216 36 20. Plymouth, MA Phase II 81 17 21. Shelton, CT 302 49 22. Shelton, CT II 171 34 23. Roselle Park, NJ 340 75 24. Wanaque, NJ 210 45 25. San Francisco, CA 152 40 26. North Bergen, NJ (3) 156 48 27. Howell, NJ 265 42 28. Gaithersburg, MD 254 41 29. Highland Park, NJ 285 67 30. Dublin, CA Phase II 200 52 31. Dublin, CA Phase III 205 53 32. Canoga Park, CA 376 55 33.		•			
16. West Haven, CT 170 23 17. Cohasset, MA (2) 200 38 18. Quincy, MA (2) 146 24 19. West Long Branch, NJ (3) 216 36 20. Plymouth, MA Phase II 81 17 21. Shelton, CT 302 49 22. Shelton, CT II 171 34 23. Roselle Park, NJ 340 75 24. Wanaque, NJ 210 45 25. San Francisco, CA 152 40 26. North Bergen, NJ (3) 156 48 27. Howell, NJ 265 42 28. Gaithersburg, MD 254 41 29. Highland Park, NJ 285 67 30. Dublin, CA Phase II 200 52 31. Dublin, CA Phase III 205 53 32. Canoga Park, CA 376 55 33. New York, NY III 680 261 34. Camaril			·		
17. Cohasset, MA (2) 200 38 18. Quincy, MA (2) 146 24 19. West Long Branch, NJ (3) 216 36 20. Plymouth, MA Phase II 81 17 21. Shelton, CT 302 49 22. Shelton, CT II 171 34 23. Roselle Park, NJ 340 75 24. Wanaque, NJ 210 45 25. San Francisco, CA 152 40 26. North Bergen, NJ (3) 156 48 27. Howell, NJ 265 42 28. Gaithersburg, MD 254 41 29. Highland Park, NJ 285 67 30. Dublin, CA Phase II 200 52 31. Dublin, CA Phase III 205 53 32. Canoga Park, CA 297 85 33. New York, NY III 680 261 34. Camarillo, CA 376 55 35. Bloomingdale, NJ 173 38 36. Greenburgh, NY Phase II 444 112 37. Irvine, CA (2) 280 76			(2)		
18. Quincy, MA (2) 146 24 19. West Long Branch, NJ (3) 216 36 20. Plymouth, MA Phase II 81 17 21. Shelton, CT 302 49 22. Shelton, CT II 171 34 23. Roselle Park, NJ 340 75 24. Wanaque, NJ 210 45 25. San Francisco, CA 152 40 26. North Bergen, NJ (3) 156 48 27. Howell, NJ 265 42 28. Gaithersburg, MD 254 41 29. Highland Park, NJ 285 67 30. Dublin, CA Phase II 200 52 31. Dublin, CA Phase III 205 53 32. Canoga Park, CA 297 85 33. New York, NY II 680 261 34. Camarillo, CA 376 55 35. Bloomingdale, NJ 173 38 36. Greenburgh, NY Phase II 444 112 37. Irvine, CA (2) 280 76 38. Stratford, CT (2) 280 76					
19. West Long Branch, NJ (3) 216 36 20. Plymouth, MA Phase II 81 17 21. Shelton, CT 302 49 22. Shelton, CT II 171 34 23. Roselle Park, NJ 340 75 24. Wanaque, NJ 210 45 25. San Francisco, CA 152 40 26. North Bergen, NJ (3) 156 48 27. Howell, NJ 265 42 28. Gaithersburg, MD 254 41 29. Highland Park, NJ 285 67 30. Dublin, CA Phase III 200 52 31. Dublin, CA Phase III 205 53 32. Canoga Park, CA 297 85 33. New York, NY II 680 261 34. Camarillo, CA 376 55 35. Bloomingdale, NJ 173 38 36. Greenburgh, NY Phase II 444 112 37. Irvine, CA (2) 280 76 38. Stratford, CT (2) 280 76 38. Stratford, CT (2) 146 23					
20. Plymouth, MA Phase II 81 17 21. Shelton, CT 302 49 22. Shelton, CT II 171 34 23. Roselle Park, NJ 340 75 24. Wanaque, NJ 210 45 25. San Francisco, CA 152 40 26. North Bergen, NJ (3) 156 48 27. Howell, NJ 265 42 28. Gaithersburg, MD 254 41 29. Highland Park, NJ 285 67 30. Dublin, CA Phase II 200 52 31. Dublin, CA Phase III 205 53 32. Canoga Park, CA 297 85 33. New York, NY II 680 261 34. Camarillo, CA 376 55 35. Bloomingdale, NJ 173 38 36. Greenburgh, NY Phase II 444 112 37. Irvine, CA (2) 280 76 38. Stratford, CT (2) 146 23 39. Hackensack, NJ 210 47 40. Oyster Bay, NY (2) 150 42 41. Saddle Brook, NJ<		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
21. Shelton, CT 302 49 22. Shelton, CT II 171 34 23. Roselle Park, NJ 340 75 24. Wanaque, NJ 210 45 25. San Francisco, CA 152 40 26. North Bergen, NJ (3) 156 48 27. Howell, NJ 265 42 28. Gaithersburg, MD 254 41 29. Highland Park, NJ 285 67 30. Dublin, CA Phase II 200 52 31. Dublin, CA Phase III 205 53 32. Canoga Park, CA 297 85 33. New York, NY II 680 261 34. Camarillo, CA 376 55 35. Bloomingdale, NJ 173 38 36. Greenburgh, NY Phase II 444 112 37. Irvine, CA (2) 280 76 38. Stratford, CT (2) 146 23 39. Hackensack, NJ 210 47 40. Oyster Bay, NY (2) 150 42 41. Saddle Brook, NJ 300 55		_	(3)		
22. Shelton, CT II 171 34 23. Roselle Park, NJ 340 75 24. Wanaque, NJ 210 45 25. San Francisco, CA 152 40 26. North Bergen, NJ (3) 156 48 27. Howell, NJ 265 42 28. Gaithersburg, MD 254 41 29. Highland Park, NJ 285 67 30. Dublin, CA Phase II 200 52 31. Dublin, CA Phase III 205 53 32. Canoga Park, CA 297 85 33. New York, NY II 680 261 34. Camarillo, CA 376 55 35. Bloomingdale, NJ 173 38 36. Greenburgh, NY Phase II 444 112 37. Irvine, CA (2) 280 76 38. Stratford, CT (2) 146 23 39. Hackensack, NJ 210 47 40. Oyster Bay, NY (2) 150 42 41. Saddle Brook, NJ 300 55		· ·			
23. Roselle Park, NJ 340 75 24. Wanaque, NJ 210 45 25. San Francisco, CA 152 40 26. North Bergen, NJ (3) 156 48 27. Howell, NJ 265 42 28. Gaithersburg, MD 254 41 29. Highland Park, NJ 285 67 30. Dublin, CA Phase II 200 52 31. Dublin, CA Phase III 205 53 32. Canoga Park, CA 297 85 33. New York, NY II 680 261 34. Camarillo, CA 376 55 35. Bloomingdale, NJ 173 38 36. Greenburgh, NY Phase II 444 112 37. Irvine, CA (2) 280 76 38. Stratford, CT (2) 146 23 39. Hackensack, NJ 210 47 40. Oyster Bay, NY (2) 150 42 41. Saddle Brook, NJ 300 55					
24. Wanaque, NJ 210 45 25. San Francisco, CA 152 40 26. North Bergen, NJ (3) 156 48 27. Howell, NJ 265 42 28. Gaithersburg, MD 254 41 29. Highland Park, NJ 285 67 30. Dublin, CA Phase II 200 52 31. Dublin, CA Phase III 205 53 32. Canoga Park, CA 297 85 33. New York, NY II 680 261 34. Camarillo, CA 376 55 35. Bloomingdale, NJ 173 38 36. Greenburgh, NY Phase II 444 112 37. Irvine, CA (2) 280 76 38. Stratford, CT (2) 146 23 39. Hackensack, NJ 210 47 40. Oyster Bay, NY (2) 150 42 41. Saddle Brook, NJ 300 55					
25. San Francisco, CA 152 40 26. North Bergen, NJ (3) 156 48 27. Howell, NJ 265 42 28. Gaithersburg, MD 254 41 29. Highland Park, NJ 285 67 30. Dublin, CA Phase II 200 52 31. Dublin, CA Phase III 205 53 32. Canoga Park, CA 297 85 33. New York, NY II 680 261 34. Camarillo, CA 376 55 35. Bloomingdale, NJ 173 38 36. Greenburgh, NY Phase II 444 112 37. Irvine, CA (2) 280 76 38. Stratford, CT (2) 146 23 39. Hackensack, NJ 210 47 40. Oyster Bay, NY (2) 150 42 41. Saddle Brook, NJ 300 55					
26. North Bergen, NJ (3) 156 48 27. Howell, NJ 265 42 28. Gaithersburg, MD 254 41 29. Highland Park, NJ 285 67 30. Dublin, CA Phase II 200 52 31. Dublin, CA Phase III 205 53 32. Canoga Park, CA 297 85 33. New York, NY II 680 261 34. Camarillo, CA 376 55 35. Bloomingdale, NJ 173 38 36. Greenburgh, NY Phase II 444 112 37. Irvine, CA (2) 280 76 38. Stratford, CT (2) 146 23 39. Hackensack, NJ 210 47 40. Oyster Bay, NY (2) 150 42 41. Saddle Brook, NJ 300 55		-			
28. Gaithersburg, MD 254 41 29. Highland Park, NJ 285 67 30. Dublin, CA Phase II 200 52 31. Dublin, CA Phase III 205 53 32. Canoga Park, CA 297 85 33. New York, NY II 680 261 34. Camarillo, CA 376 55 35. Bloomingdale, NJ 173 38 36. Greenburgh, NY Phase II 444 112 37. Irvine, CA (2) 280 76 38. Stratford, CT (2) 146 23 39. Hackensack, NJ 210 47 40. Oyster Bay, NY (2) 150 42 41. Saddle Brook, NJ 300 55	26.		(3)	156	48
29. Highland Park, NJ 285 67 30. Dublin, CA Phase II 200 52 31. Dublin, CA Phase III 205 53 32. Canoga Park, CA 297 85 33. New York, NY II 680 261 34. Camarillo, CA 376 55 35. Bloomingdale, NJ 173 38 36. Greenburgh, NY Phase II 444 112 37. Irvine, CA (2) 280 76 38. Stratford, CT (2) 146 23 39. Hackensack, NJ 210 47 40. Oyster Bay, NY (2) 150 42 41. Saddle Brook, NJ 300 55	27.	Howell, NJ		265	42
30. Dublin, CA Phase II 200 52 31. Dublin, CA Phase III 205 53 32. Canoga Park, CA 297 85 33. New York, NY II 680 261 34. Camarillo, CA 376 55 35. Bloomingdale, NJ 173 38 36. Greenburgh, NY Phase II 444 112 37. Irvine, CA (2) 280 76 38. Stratford, CT (2) 146 23 39. Hackensack, NJ 210 47 40. Oyster Bay, NY (2) 150 42 41. Saddle Brook, NJ 300 55	28.	Gaithersburg, MD		254	41
31. Dublin, CA Phase III 205 53 32. Canoga Park, CA 297 85 33. New York, NY II 680 261 34. Camarillo, CA 376 55 35. Bloomingdale, NJ 173 38 36. Greenburgh, NY Phase II 444 112 37. Irvine, CA (2) 280 76 38. Stratford, CT (2) 146 23 39. Hackensack, NJ 210 47 40. Oyster Bay, NY (2) 150 42 41. Saddle Brook, NJ 300 55		-			
32. Canoga Park, CA 297 85 33. New York, NY II 680 261 34. Camarillo, CA 376 55 35. Bloomingdale, NJ 173 38 36. Greenburgh, NY Phase II 444 112 37. Irvine, CA (2) 280 76 38. Stratford, CT (2) 146 23 39. Hackensack, NJ 210 47 40. Oyster Bay, NY (2) 150 42 41. Saddle Brook, NJ 300 55		•			
33. New York, NY II 680 261 34. Camarillo, CA 376 55 35. Bloomingdale, NJ 173 38 36. Greenburgh, NY Phase II 444 112 37. Irvine, CA (2) 280 76 38. Stratford, CT (2) 146 23 39. Hackensack, NJ 210 47 40. Oyster Bay, NY (2) 150 42 41. Saddle Brook, NJ 300 55					
34. Camarillo, CA 376 55 35. Bloomingdale, NJ 173 38 36. Greenburgh, NY Phase II 444 112 37. Irvine, CA (2) 280 76 38. Stratford, CT (2) 146 23 39. Hackensack, NJ 210 47 40. Oyster Bay, NY (2) 150 42 41. Saddle Brook, NJ 300 55		_			
35. Bloomingdale, NJ 173 38 36. Greenburgh, NY Phase II 444 112 37. Irvine, CA (2) 280 76 38. Stratford, CT (2) 146 23 39. Hackensack, NJ 210 47 40. Oyster Bay, NY (2) 150 42 41. Saddle Brook, NJ 300 55					
36. Greenburgh, NY Phase II 444 112 37. Irvine, CA (2) 280 76 38. Stratford, CT (2) 146 23 39. Hackensack, NJ 210 47 40. Oyster Bay, NY (2) 150 42 41. Saddle Brook, NJ 300 55		-			
37. Irvine, CA (2) 280 76 38. Stratford, CT (2) 146 23 39. Hackensack, NJ 210 47 40. Oyster Bay, NY (2) 150 42 41. Saddle Brook, NJ 300 55		-			
38. Stratford, CT (2) 146 23 39. Hackensack, NJ 210 47 40. Oyster Bay, NY (2) 150 42 41. Saddle Brook, NJ 300 55		_	(2)		
39. Hackensack, NJ 210 47 40. Oyster Bay, NY (2) 150 42 41. Saddle Brook, NJ 300 55					
40. Oyster Bay, NY (2) 150 42 41. Saddle Brook, NJ 300 55			(2)		
41. Saddle Brook, NJ 300 55			(2)		
		•			
	42.	Oakland, NJ		308	62

43.	Randolph, NJ			128		31
44.	Irvine, CA II			180		57
45.	Garden City, NY			160		58
46.	Alexandria, VA		(5)	283		73
47.	Tysons Corner, VA		(5)	439		101
48.	Yonkers, NY			400		88
49.	Plainview, NY			160		38
50.	Wheaton, MD		(5)	320		56
51.	Yaphank, NY		(2)	343		57
52.	Camarillo, CA II			233		57
53.	Rockville, MD		(5)	240		46
54.	Winchester, MA			260		65
	Total			1/1105	¢	2 501
	Total			14,185	\$	3,581
		39				

- (1) Total capitalized cost includes all capitalized costs incurred to date (if any) and projected to be incurred to develop the respective community, determined in accordance with GAAP, including land acquisition costs, construction costs, real estate taxes, capitalized interest and loan fees, permits, professional fees, allocated development overhead and other regulatory fees.
- (2) We own the land parcel, but construction has not yet begun.
- (3) This
 Development
 Right is subject
 to a joint
 venture
 ownership
 structure.
- (4) This
 Development
 Right is subject
 to a joint
 venture
 arrangement. In
 connection with

the pursuit of

this

Development

Right,

\$125 million in

bond financing

was issued and

immediately

invested in a

guaranteed

investment

contract (GIC)

administered by

a trustee as

described in the

Notes to the

Consolidated

Financial

Statements set

forth in Item 8

of this report.

(5) Represents

improved land

encumbered

with debt. The

improved land

consists of

occupied office

buildings and

industrial space.

Net operating

income from

incidental

operations from

the current

improvements

are recorded as

a reduction in

the cost basis as

described in the

Notes to the

Consolidated

Financial

Statements set forth in Item 8

of this report.

Recent Developments

Sales of Existing Communities. We seek to increase the value of our interests and increase our presence in selected high barrier-to-entry markets where we believe we can:

apply sufficient market and management presence to enhance revenue growth;

reduce operating expenses; and leverage management talent.

To achieve this increased value creation and presence, we (i) sell assets that do not meet our long-term investment strategy or when capital and real estate markets allow us to realize a portion of the value created over the past business cycle and (ii) redeploy the proceeds from those sales to develop, redevelop and acquire communities. Pending such redeployment, we will generally use the proceeds from the sale of these communities to reduce amounts outstanding under our variable rate unsecured credit facility. On occasion, we will set aside the proceeds from the sale of communities into a cash escrow account to facilitate a non-taxable, like-kind exchange transaction. We sold four communities, including one community previously held by a joint venture entity, containing an aggregate of 1,036 apartment homes, during the period from January 1, 2006 through January 31, 2007. Net proceeds from the sale of these assets were \$218,492,000.

40

Land Acquisitions. We select land for development and follow established procedures that we believe minimize both the cost and the risks of development. During 2006, we acquired nine land parcels for an aggregate purchase price of \$91,574,000. The land parcels purchased, which are currently being developed or are held for future development, are as follows:

			Estimated number	Total capitalized	I	
			of	•		
		Gross	apartment	cost (1) (\$	Date	Construction
1.		acres	homes	millions)	acquired January	start (2)
	Avalon Cohasset	62.0	200	\$ 38	•	2008
	Cohasset, MA					
2.					January	
	Avalon Canoga Park Canoga Park, CA	3.3	210	54	2006	2006
3.	Avalon Jamboree Village <i>Irvine</i> , <i>CA</i>	4.5	280	76	May 2006	2008
4.	Avalon at Lexington Hills Lexington, MA	22.5	387	86	June 2006	2006
5.	Avalon at Charles Pond (3) Coram, NY	39.0	200	47	June 2006	2007
6.	Avalon at the Hingham					
	Shipyard	12.9	235	44	June 2006	2007
	Hingham, MA					
7.					August	
	Avalon at Oyster Bay Oyster Bay, NY	5.0	150	42	2006	2008
8.					December	
	Avalon Acton (3)	50.3	380	69	2006	2006
	Acton, MA					
9.					December	
	Avalon White Plains White Plains, NY	3.2	393	155	2006	2007
	Total	202.7	2,435	\$ 611		
		202.7	2,133	Ψ 011		

(1) Total capitalized cost includes all capitalized costs incurred to date (if any) and projected to be incurred to develop the respective community,

determined in accordance with GAAP. including land acquisition costs. construction costs, real estate taxes, capitalized interest and loan fees, permits, professional fees, allocated development overhead and other regulatory fees.

- (2) Future
 construction
 start dates are
 estimates. There
 can be no
 assurance that
 we will pursue
 to completion
 any or all of
 these proposed
 developments.
- (3) Excludes portion of land acquired that is not planned for development

In addition, in January 2007, we acquired a parcel of land located in Brooklyn, NY for approximately \$70,000,000. We expect to begin construction of this high-rise community in the second half of 2007.

Insurance and Risk of Uninsured Losses

We carry commercial general liability insurance and property insurance with respect to all of our communities. These policies, and other insurance policies we carry, have policy specifications, insured limits and deductibles that we consider commercially reasonable. There are, however, certain types of losses (such as losses arising from acts of war) that are not insured, in full or in part, because they are either uninsurable or the cost of insurance makes it, in management s view, economically impractical. You should carefully review the discussion under Item 1a., Risk Factors, for a discussion of risks associated with an uninsured property or liability loss.

Many of our West Coast communities are located in the general vicinity of active earthquake faults. A large concentration of our communities lies near, and thus is susceptible to, the major fault lines in California, including the San Andreas Fault and the Hayward Fault. We cannot assure you that an earthquake would not cause damage or losses greater than insured levels. We have in place with respect to communities located in California, for any single occurrence and in the aggregate, \$75,000,000 of coverage with a deductible per building equal to five percent of the insured value of that building. The five percent deductible is subject to a minimum of \$100,000 per occurrence. Earthquake coverage outside of California is subject to a \$100,000,000 limit, except with respect to the state of Washington, for which the limit is \$65,000,000. Our earthquake insurance outside of California provides for a

\$100,000 deductible per occurrence. In addition, up to a policy aggregate of \$2,000,000, the next \$400,000 of loss per occurrence outside California will be treated as an additional deductible.

We renewed the first \$15,000,000 layer of our property insurance policy on May 1, 2006. We renewed the remaining layers on this policy on December 1, 2006. At that time, we elected to renew most of these layers so that they will now expire on May 1, 2007, in order to mitigate the risk of cost escalation and align the renewal date for the upper layers with the renewal date for the primary layer.

Our annual general liability policy and workman s compensation coverage renewed on August 1, 2006. We have completed our negotiations with the incumbent carrier and the insurance coverage provided for in these renewal policies did not materially change from the preceding year.

Just as with office buildings, transportation systems and government buildings, there have been reports that apartment communities could become targets of terrorism. In December 2005, Congress passed the Terrorism Risk Insurance Extension Act (TRIEA) which is designed to make terrorism insurance available. In connection with this legislation, we have purchased insurance for property damage due to terrorism up to \$200,000,000. Additionally, we have purchased insurance for certain terrorist acts, not covered under TRIEA, such as domestic-based terrorism. This insurance, often referred to as non-certified terrorism insurance, is subject to deductibles, limits and exclusions. Our general liability policy provides TRIEA coverage (subject to deductibles and insured limits) for liability to third parties that result from terrorist acts at our communities. TRIEA is scheduled to expire on December 31, 2007. It is uncertain if Congress will extend TRIEA and continue to provide federal support for terrorism insurance. If Congress does not extend TRIEA, the cost and availability of terrorism insurance may be in question.

Mold growth may occur when excessive moisture accumulates in buildings or on building materials, particularly if the moisture problem remains undiscovered or is not addressed over a period of time. If a significant mold problem arises at one of our communities, we could be required to undertake a costly remediation program to contain or remove the mold from the affected community and could be exposed to other liabilities. For further discussion of the risks and the Company s related prevention and remediation activities, please refer to the discussion on environmental contamination. We cannot provide assurance that we will have coverage under our existing policies for property damage or liability to third parties arising as a result of exposure to mold or a claim of exposure to mold at one of our communities.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We are currently involved in litigation alleging that communities constructed by us violate the accessibility requirements of the Fair Housing Act and the Americans with Disabilities Act. The lawsuit, Equal Rights Center v. AvalonBay Communities, Inc., was filed on September 23, 2005 in the federal district court in Maryland. The plaintiff seeks compensatory and punitive damages in unspecified amounts as well as injunctive relief (such as modification of existing communities), an award of attorneys fees, expenses and costs of suit. The Company has filed a motion to dismiss all or parts of the suit, which has not been ruled on yet by the court. Due to the preliminary nature of the litigation, we cannot predict or determine the outcome of this lawsuit, nor is it reasonably possible to estimate the amount of loss, if any, that would be associated with an adverse decision or settlement.

On January 11, 2007, a former leasing consultant of the Company, individually and on behalf of other leasing consultants allegedly similarly situated, filed suit against the Company in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York alleging that the Company did not pay all leasing consultants overtime as required under the Fair Labor Standards Act. The Company disputes this allegation and maintains that it has accurately tracked and paid all leasing consultants overtime as required by law. We cannot predict the outcome of this lawsuit, nor is it reasonably possible at this time to estimate the amount of loss, if any, that would be associated with an adverse decision. In addition to the matters described above, we are involved in various other claims and/or administrative proceedings that arise in the ordinary course of our business. While no assurances can be given, we do not believe that any of these outstanding litigation matters, individually or in the aggregate, will have a material adverse effect on our operations.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

No matters were submitted to a vote of our security holders during the fourth quarter of 2006.

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Our common stock is traded on the NYSE under the ticker symbol AVB. The following table sets forth the quarterly high and low sales prices per share of our common stock for the years 2006 and 2005, as reported by the NYSE. On January 31, 2007 there were 795 holders of record of an aggregate of 79,344,557 shares of our outstanding common stock. The number of holders does not include individuals or entities who beneficially own shares but whose shares are held of record by a broker or clearing agency, but does include each such broker or clearing agency as one record holder.

		2006		2005				
	Sales	Sales Price		Sales	Dividends			
	High	Low	declared	High	Low	declared		
Quarter ended March 31	\$110.45	\$ 88.95	\$0.78	\$75.59	\$65.18	\$0.71		
Quarter ended June 30	\$112.00	\$100.50	\$0.78	\$81.80	\$64.99	\$0.71		
Quarter ended September 30	\$125.21	\$110.27	\$0.78	\$88.23	\$78.37	\$0.71		
Quarter ended December 31	\$134.60	\$119.31	\$0.78	\$92.99	\$78.82	\$0.71		

We expect to continue our policy of paying regular quarterly cash dividends. However, dividend distributions will be declared at the discretion of the Board of Directors and will depend on actual cash from operations, our financial condition, capital requirements, the annual distribution requirements under the REIT provisions of the Internal Revenue Code and other factors as the Board of Directors may consider relevant. The Board of Directors may modify our dividend policy from time to time. In January 2007, we announced that our Board of Directors declared a dividend on our common stock for the first quarter of 2007 of \$0.85 per share, a 9.0% increase over the previous quarterly dividend of \$0.78 per share. The increased dividend will be payable on April 16, 2007 to all common stockholders of record as of April 2, 2007.

During the three months ended December 31, 2006, the Company issued (i) 2,287 shares of common stock in exchange for 2,287 units of limited partnership held by two limited partners of Bay Countrybrook, L.P., and (ii) 3,235 shares of common stock in exchange for 3,235 limited partnership units in Avalon DownREIT V, L.P. The shares were issued in reliance on an exemption from registration under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933. AvalonBay is relying on the exemption based on factual representations received from the limited partners who received these shares.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

			(c)	(d)
			Total	Maximum Dollar
			Number of	Amount
			Shares	that May Yet be
	(a)	(b)	Purchased	Purchased
	Total	Average Price	as Part of	
	Number of	Paid	Publicly	Under the Plans or
	Shares		Announced	
	Purchased	per Share	Plans	Programs
			or	
			Programs	
Period	(1)	(1)	(2)	(in thousands) (2)
Month Ended October 31, 2006	549	\$ 121.78		\$ 100,000
Month Ended November 30, 2006	757	\$ 131.77		\$ 100,000
Month Ended December 31, 2006	254	\$ 127.91		\$ 100,000

- (1) Includes shares surrendered to the Company in connection with employee stock option exercises or vesting of restricted stock as payment of exercise price or as payment of taxes.
- (2) As disclosed for the first time in our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005, our Board of Directors has adopted a Stock Repurchase Program under which we may acquire, from time to time, shares of common stock in the open market with an aggregate purchase price of up to \$100,000,000. In 2006 and 2005, no purchases were made (a) under this program, or (b) outside of this program. In determining whether to repurchase shares, we consider a variety of factors, including our liquidity needs, the then current market price of our shares and the effect of the share repurchases on our per share earnings and FFO. There is no scheduled expiration date to this program.

Information regarding securities authorized for issuance under equity compensation plans is included in the section entitled Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters on page 69 of this Form 10-K.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following table provides historical consolidated financial, operating and other data for AvalonBay Communities, Inc. You should read the table with our Consolidated Financial Statements and the Notes included in this report (dollars in thousands, except per share information).

				Fe	or th	e year ende	d			
	1	2-31-06		12-31-05		2-31-04		12-31-03	1	2-31-02
Revenue:	Ф	701.041	Ф	666.076	ф	(12.240	ф	556 500	ф	521 505
Rental and other income	\$	731,041	\$	666,376	\$	613,240	\$	556,582	\$	531,595
Management, development and other fees		6,259		4,304		604		931		2,145
ices		0,239		4,504		004		731		2,143
Total revenue		737,300		670,680		613,844		557,513		533,740
-										
Expenses:										
Operating expenses, excluding property		210,895		191,558		181,351		164,253		147.065
taxes Property taxes		68,257		65,487		59,458		53,257		147,965 47,580
Interest expense, net		111,046		127,099		131,103		130,178		114,282
Depreciation expense		162,896		158,822		151,991		138,725		121,995
General and administrative expense		24,767		25,761		18,074		14,830		13,449
Impairment loss										6,800
Total expenses		577,861		568,727		541,977		501,243		452,071
Equity in income of unconsolidated										
entities		7,455		7,198		1,100		25,535		55
Venture partner interest in profit-sharing		.,		.,_,		(1,178)		(1,688)		(857)
Minority interest in consolidated								, , ,		, ,
partnerships		(573)		(1,481)		(150)		(950)		(865)
Gain on sale of land		13,519		4,479		1,138		1,234		
Income from continuing energtions										
Income from continuing operations before cumulative effect of change in										
accounting principle		179,840		112,149		72,777		80,401		80,002
Discontinued operations:		1,7,0.0		112,117		. =,		00,.01		00,002
Income from discontinued operations		1,148		14,942		21,134		31,368		44,723
Gain on sale of communities		97,411		195,287		121,287		159,756		48,893
Total discontinued operations		98,559		210,229		142,421		191,124		93,616
In come hafare arms 1-4' effect of										
Income before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle		278,399		322,378		215,198		271,525		173,618
enange in accounting principle		210,373		322,310		4,547		211,323		175,010

Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle

Net income Dividends attributable to preferred stock		278,399 (8,700)		322,378 (8,700)		219,745 (8,700)		271,525 (10,744)		173,618 (17,896)
Net income available to common stockholders	\$	269,699	\$	313,678	\$	211,045	\$	260,781	\$	155,722
Per Common Share and Share Information:										
Earnings per common share basic Income from continuing operations (net of dividends attributable to preferred										
stock)	\$	2.31	\$	1.42	\$	0.96	\$	1.01	\$	0.90
Discontinued operations	\$	1.33	\$	2.88	\$	1.99	\$	2.79	\$	1.36
Net income available to common stockholders	\$	3.64	\$	4.30	\$	2.95	\$	3.80	\$	2.26
Weighted average common shares outstanding basic	74	4,125,795	72	2,952,492	7	1,564,202	(68,559,657	6	8,772,139
Earnings per common share diluted Income from continuing operations (net of dividends attributable to preferred										
stock)	\$	2.27	\$	1.40	\$	0.96	\$	1.00	\$	0.89
Discontinued operations	\$	1.30	\$	2.81	\$	1.96	\$	2.73	\$	1.34
Net income available to common stockholders	\$	3.57	\$	4.21	\$	2.92	\$	3.73	\$	2.23
Weighted average common shares outstanding diluted	75	5,586,898	74	1,759,318	7	3,354,956	7	70,203,467	7	0,674,211
Cash dividends declared	\$	3.12	\$ 46	2.84	\$	2.80	\$	2.80	\$	2.80

	1	2-31-06	1	Fc 12-31-05		ne year ende 12-31-04		12-31-03	1	12-31-02
Other Information:	ф	250 200	Φ.	222 270	Φ.	210 515	ф	271 525	ф	152 (10
Net income	\$	278,399	\$	322,378	\$	219,745	\$	271,525	\$	173,618
Depreciation continuing operations		162,896		158,822		151,991		138,725		121,995
Depreciation discontinued operations		111.046		3,241		10,676		14,380		22,482
Interest expense, net continuing operations Interest expense, net discontinued		111,046		127,099		131,103		130,178		114,282
1 /						505		2 200		2 122
operations						525		2,399		3,122
EBITDA(1)	\$	552,341	\$	611,540	\$	514,040	\$	557,207	\$	435,499
EBITDA(1)	Ψ	332,341	Ψ	011,540	Ψ	314,040	ψ	331,201	ψ	433,433
Funds from Operations (2)	\$	330,819	\$	281,773	\$	246,247	\$	230,566	\$	251,410
Number of Current Communities (3)	Ψ	150	Ψ	143	Ψ	138	Ψ	131	Ψ	137
Number of apartment homes		43,141		41,412		40,142		38,504		40,179
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		- ,		,		- ,		,		-,
Balance Sheet Information:										
Real estate, before accumulated										
depreciation	\$ (6,578,615	\$.	5,903,168	\$:	5,697,144	\$:	5,431,757	\$:	5,369,453
Total assets	\$:	5,813,186	\$.	5,165,060	\$:	5,081,249	\$ 4	4,909,582	\$	4,950,835
Notes payable and unsecured credit										
facilities	\$ 2	2,825,586	\$	2,334,017	\$ 2	2,451,354	\$ 2	2,337,817	\$:	2,471,163
Cash Flow Information:										
Net cash flows provided by operating										
activities	\$	351,943	\$	306,248	\$	275,617	\$	239,677	\$	307,810
Net cash flows provided by (used in)										
investing activities	\$	(511,371)	\$	(19,761)	\$	(251,683)	\$	33,935	\$	(435,796)
Net cash flows provided by (used in)										
financing activities	\$	162,280	\$	(282,293)	\$	(29,471)	\$	(279,465)	\$	68,008
Notes to Selected Financial Data										

(1) EBITDA is

defined as net income before interest income and expense, income taxes, depreciation and amortization from both continuing and discontinued operations.
Under this definition,

EBITDA

includes gains on sale of assets and gain on sale of partnership interests.

Management generally

considers

EBITDA to be

an appropriate

supplemental

measure to net

income of our

operating

performance

because it helps

investors to

understand our

ability to incur

and service debt

and to make

capital

expenditures.

EBITDA should

not be

considered as an

alternative to

net income (as

determined in

accordance with

generally

accepted

accounting

principles, or

GAAP), as an

indicator of our

operating

performance, or

to cash flows

from operating

activities (as

determined in

accordance with

GAAP) as a

measure of

liquidity. Our

calculation of

EBITDA may

not be

comparable to

EBITDA as calculated by

other companies. (2) We generally consider Funds from Operations, or FFO, as defined below, to be an appropriate supplemental measure of our operating and financial performance because, by excluding gains or losses related to dispositions of previously depreciated property and excluding real estate depreciation, which can vary among owners of identical assets in similar condition based on historical cost accounting and useful life estimates, FFO can help one compare the operating performance of

between periods or as compared

to different

companies. We

believe that in

order to

understand our

operating

results, FFO

should be
examined with
net income as
presented in the
Consolidated
Statements of
Operations and
Other
Comprehensive
Income included
elsewhere in
this report.

(3) Current

Communities consist of all communities other than those which are still under construction and have not received a certificate of occupancy.

47

Consistent with the definition adopted by the Board of Governors of the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts^â (NAREIT), we calculate FFO as net income or loss computed in accordance with GAAP, adjusted for:

gains or losses on sales of previously depreciated operating communities;

FFO does not represent net income in accordance with GAAP, and therefore it should not be considered an alternative to net income, which remains the primary measure, as an indication of our performance. In addition, FFO as calculated by other REITs may not be comparable to our calculation of FFO.

FFO also does not represent cash generated from operating activities in accordance with GAAP, and therefore should not be considered an alternative to net cash flows from operating activities, as determined by GAAP, as a measure of liquidity. Additionally, it is not necessarily indicative of cash available to fund cash needs. A presentation of GAAP based cash flow metrics is provided in Cash Flow Information in the table on the previous page.

The following is a reconciliation of net income to FFO (dollars in thousands, except per share data):

	For the year ended									
	1	2-31-06	1	12-31-05		12-31-04	1	12-31-03	1	2-31-02
Net income	\$	278,399	\$	322,378	\$	219,745	\$	271,525	\$	173,618
Dividends attributable to preferred stock		(8,700)		(8,700)		(8,700)		(10,744)		(17,896)
Depreciation real estate assets,										
including discontinued operations and										
joint venture adjustments		164,749		162,019		157,988		128,278		142,980
Minority interest expense, including										
discontinued operations		391		1,363		3,048		1,263		1,601
Gain on sale of unconsolidated entities										
holding previously depreciated real										
estate assets		(6,609)								
Cumulative effect of change in										
accounting principle						(4,547)				
Gain on sale of previously depreciated										
real estate assets		(97,411)		(195,287)		(121,287)		(159,756)		(48,893)
		, , ,		, , ,				, , ,		, , ,
Funds from Operations attributable to										
common stockholders	\$	330,819	\$	281,773	\$	246,247	\$	230,566	\$	251,410
		•								·
Weighted average common shares										
outstanding diluted	7:	5,586,898	7	4,759,318	7	73,354,956	7	0,203,467	7	0,674,211
FFO per common share diluted	\$	4.38	\$	3.77	\$	3.36	\$	3.28	\$	3.55
•			48							

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (MD&A) is intended to facilitate an understanding of our business and results of operations. This MD&A should be read in conjunction with our Consolidated Financial Statements and the accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this report. This report, including the following MD&A, contains forward-looking statements regarding future events or trends as described more fully under Forward-Looking Statements on page 65 of this report. Actual results or developments could differ materially from those projected in such statements as a result of the risk factors described in Item 1a, Risk Factors, of this report.

Overview

Business Description

We are primarily engaged in developing, acquiring, owning and operating apartment communities in high barrier-to-entry markets of the United States. We seek to create long-term shareholder value by accessing capital on cost effective terms; deploying that capital to develop, redevelop and acquire apartment communities in high barrier-to-entry markets; operating apartment communities; and selling communities when they no longer meet our long-term investment strategy or when pricing is attractive.

We believe that apartment communities present an attractive long-term investment opportunity compared to other real estate investments because a broad potential resident base should help reduce demand volatility over a real estate cycle. We intend to continue to pursue real estate investments in markets where constraints to new supply exist, and where new rental household formations are expected to out-pace multifamily permit activity over the course of the real estate cycle. Barriers-to-entry in our markets generally include a difficult and lengthy entitlement process with local jurisdictions and dense urban or suburban areas where zoned and entitled land is in limited supply. We regularly evaluate the allocation of our investments by the amount of invested capital and by product type within our individual markets, which are located in the Northeast, Mid-Atlantic, Midwest, Pacific Northwest, and Northern and Southern California regions of the United States. Our strategy is to more deeply penetrate these markets with a broad range of products and services and an intense focus on our customer. A substantial majority of our communities are upscale, which generally command among the highest rents in their markets. However, we also pursue the ownership and operation of apartment communities that target a variety of customer segments and price points, consistent with our goal of offering a broad range of products and services.

We believe that, over an entire real estate cycle, lower housing affordability and the limited new supply of apartment homes in our markets will result in a higher propensity to rent and larger revenue and cash flow increases relative to other markets. However, throughout the real estate cycle, apartment market fundamentals, and therefore operating cash flows, are affected by overall economic conditions. A number of our markets experienced economic contraction due to job losses in 2002 and 2003, resulting in a prolonged period of weak apartment market fundamentals (i.e., the ratio of demand, including from new renter household formations, to supply) as reflected in declining rental revenue and demand. However, 2004 was a year of transition with apartment fundamentals further improving in 2005 and 2006. The economic upturn, as evidenced by job growth and declining unemployment claims, and modest increases in net supply, are contributing to the current strong apartment market fundamentals.

Financial Highlights and Outlook

Strong apartment fundamentals in 2006 were evidenced by the year-over-year rental revenue growth of 6.8% achieved within our Established Community portfolio (as defined later in this report) during the year ended December 31, 2006, comprised of an increase in rental rates of 6.3% and an increase in occupancy of 0.5%. This revenue growth combined with constrained expense growth contributed to our Established Community portfolio achieving year-over-year growth in net operating income (NOI) of 9.1% in 2006. For the fourth quarter of 2006, our Established Communities experienced an increase in rental revenue of 7.4% and a corresponding increase in NOI of 10.9% over the prior year period, our strongest operating performance since 2001.

We expect the positive revenue and net operating income growth of Established Communities to continue in 2007 but at a more moderate pace. Modest net new supply, low home affordability and continued but moderating job growth should support favorable apartment fundamentals. We expect modest increases in net rental supply in our markets in 2007 that will remain below the national average. The single-family housing market continues to moderate, such that the increase in home prices is flat or down and for-sale inventory has increased. However, the current gap between the cost to rent and the cost to own continues to make rental apartments an economically attractive housing alternative in our markets. These recent trends increase the likelihood that potential home buyers will extend the period they rent a home. Finally, we expect that job growth will continue in our markets, however, at a more modest rate in 2007. Accordingly, we expect apartment market fundamentals to remain strong in our markets such that apartment rental demand will outpace new supply. Our current financial outlook provides for rental revenue growth of 5.0% to 6.5% in our Established Community portfolio in 2007, and projected NOI growth of 5.5% to 7.5%.

In positioning for future growth, we have increased our development activity and our investments in Development Rights, as discussed below. We currently have in excess of \$1,300,000,000 under construction (measured by total projected capitalized cost of the communities at completion, including the portions in which joint venture partners hold an equity or economic interest). For 2007, we expect additional new development activity to be in the range of \$1,000,000,000 to \$1,300,000,000. In addition, we continue to secure new Development Rights, including the acquisition of land for future development. We currently have Development Rights for construction of new apartment communities that, based on total projected capitalized cost if developed as expected, total approximately \$3,600,000,000.

We continue to look for opportunities to acquire existing communities through our investment in and management of a discretionary investment fund (the Fund), in which the Company holds an interest of approximately 15%. During its investment period (which will end on or before March 16, 2008), the Fund will be our principal vehicle for acquiring apartment communities, subject to certain exceptions. The Fund acquired five communities for an aggregate purchase price of \$223,670,000 during 2006 and has approximately \$115,000,000 under contract for acquisition in early 2007. As of January 31, 2007, the total amount invested by the Fund is \$514,000,000. We expect the Fund to continue to focus on acquisition opportunities where value can be created, generally through redevelopment, repositioning and market cycle timing opportunities.

Real estate capital flows remain strong, with income investors seeking to acquire existing apartment communities. As a result, opportunities to realize value upon disposition have continued to be available. In 2006, we sold four communities (one through a joint venture) for an aggregate sales price of \$261,850,000 resulting in a gain in accordance with GAAP of \$104,020,000. We expect asset sales of approximately \$150,000,000 to \$200,000,000 in 2007.

For new development, the slowing for-sale market has resulted in increased investment opportunities. We are being selective in pursuing these opportunities, given continued high land prices and construction costs. In addition, we are seeing greater availability of experienced subcontractors and development and construction professionals as a result of slowing construction in both the condominium and single-family housing markets. These positives are somewhat offset by higher construction and development costs.

Communities Overview

Our real estate investments consist primarily of current operating apartment communities, communities in various stages of development (Development Communities) and Development Rights (i.e., land or options to purchase land held for development), as further described in Item 2 of this report.

Our current operating communities are further distinguished as Established Communities, Other Stabilized Communities, Lease-Up Communities and Redevelopment Communities. Established Communities are generally operating communities that are consolidated for financial reporting purposes and were owned and had stabilized occupancy and operating expenses as of the beginning of the prior year, which allows the performance of these communities and the markets in which they are located to be compared and monitored between years. Other Stabilized Communities are generally all other operating communities that have stabilized occupancy and operating expenses during the current year, but had not achieved stabilization as of the beginning of the prior year. Lease-Up Communities consist of communities where construction is complete but stabilization has not been achieved. Redevelopment Communities consist of communities where substantial redevelopment is in progress or is planned to begin during the current year. A more detailed description of our reportable segments and other related operating information can be found in Note 9, Segment Reporting, of our Consolidated Financial Statements. Although each of these categories is important to our business, we generally evaluate overall operating, industry and market trends based on the operating results of Established Communities, for which a detailed discussion can be found in Results of Operations as part of our discussion of overall operating results. We evaluate our current and future cash needs and future operating potential based on acquisition, disposition, development, redevelopment and financing activities within Other Stabilized, Redevelopment and Development Communities, and discussions related to these segments of our business can be found in Liquidity and Capital Resources.

The net operating income of our current operating communities, as defined later in this report, is one of the financial measures that we use to evaluate community performance. Net operating income is affected by the demand and supply dynamics within our markets, our rental rates and occupancy levels, and our ability to control operating costs. Our overall financial performance is also impacted by the general availability and cost of capital and the performance of newly developed and acquired apartment communities.

As of December 31, 2006, we owned or held a direct or indirect ownership interest in 167 apartment communities containing 48,294 apartment homes in ten states and the District of Columbia, of which 17 communities were under construction and six communities were under reconstruction. In addition, we owned a direct or indirect ownership interest in Development Rights to develop an additional 54 communities that, if developed in the manner expected, will contain an estimated 14,185 apartment homes.

Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) requires management to use judgment in the application of accounting policies, including making estimates and assumptions. If our judgment or interpretation of the facts and circumstances relating to various transactions had been different, or different estimates or assumptions had been made, it is possible that different accounting policies would have been applied, resulting in different financial results or a different presentation of our financial statements. Below is a discussion of a number of accounting policies that we consider critical to an understanding of our financial condition and operating results that may require complex judgment in their application or require estimates about matters which are inherently uncertain. A discussion of our significant accounting policies, including further discussion of the accounting policies described below, can be found in Note 1, Organization and Significant Accounting Policies of our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Cost Capitalization

We capitalize costs during the development of assets (including interest and related loan fees, property taxes and other direct and indirect costs) beginning when development efforts commence until the asset, or a portion of the asset, is delivered and is ready for its intended use, which is generally indicated by the issuance of a certificate of occupancy. We capitalize costs during redevelopment of apartment homes (including interest and related loan fees, property taxes and other direct and indirect costs) beginning when an apartment home is taken out-of-service for redevelopment until the apartment home redevelopment is completed and the apartment home is available for a new resident. Rental income and operating expenses incurred during the initial lease-up or post-redevelopment lease-up period are fully recognized as they accrue.

We capitalize pre-development costs incurred in pursuit of Development Rights for which we currently believe future development is probable. These costs include legal fees, design fees and related overhead costs. Future development of these Development Rights is dependent upon various factors, including zoning and regulatory approval, rental market conditions, construction costs and availability of capital. Pre-development costs incurred in the pursuit of Development Rights for which future development is not yet considered probable are expensed as incurred. In addition, if the status of a Development Right changes, making future development no longer probable, any capitalized pre-development costs are written-off with a charge to expense.

We generally capitalize only non-recurring expenditures. We capitalize improvements and upgrades only if the item: (i) exceeds \$15,000; (ii) extends the useful life of the asset; and (iii) is not related to making an apartment home ready for the next resident. Under this policy, a significant portion of our capitalized costs are non-recurring, as recurring make-ready costs are expensed as incurred. Recurring make-ready costs include: (i) carpet and appliance replacements; (ii) floor coverings; (iii) interior painting; and (iv) other redecorating costs. Because we expense recurring make-ready costs, such as carpet replacements, our expense levels and volatility are greatest in the third quarter of each year as this is when we experience our greatest amount of turnover. We capitalize purchases of personal property, such as computers and furniture, only if the item is a new addition and the item exceeds \$2,500. We generally expense replacements of personal property.

In 2006, 2005 and 2004, the amounts capitalized (excluding land costs) related to acquisitions, development and redevelopment were \$677,587,000, \$425,170,000 and \$347,091,000, respectively. For Established and Other Stabilized Communities, we recorded non-revenue generating capital expenditures of \$18,000,000 or \$497 per apartment home in 2006, \$16,753,000 or \$471 per apartment home in 2005 and \$12,347,000 or \$354 per apartment home in 2004. In addition, revenue generating, or expense saving capital expenditures, such as water sub metering equipment and cable installations, were \$153,000, \$817,000 and \$637,000 in 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The average maintenance costs charged to expense per apartment home, including carpet and appliance replacements, related to these communities was \$1,638 in 2006, \$1,546 in 2005 and \$1,348 in 2004. Historically, we have experienced a gradual increase in capitalized costs and expensed maintenance costs per apartment home as the average age of our communities has increased. We expect to return to the trend of gradual increases in maintenance costs in future years.

Asset Impairment Evaluation

We assess the impairment of our investments and long-lived assets whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. For both our consolidated and unconsolidated entities, factors that could trigger an assessment for impairment include, but are not limited to: i) underperformance of the asset relative to historical or expected future operating results, ii) significant change in legal and economic factors, iii) incurrence of costs significantly in excess of amounts originally forecasted for construction or acquisition of an asset, or iv) an expectation that a long-lived asset will be disposed of at an amount below the current carrying value. We evaluate the key factors necessary in the assessment of asset impairment on a quarterly basis. In 2006, 2005 and 2004, we did not recognize any impairment in value associated with our investments or long-lived assets. We cannot predict the occurrence of future events that may cause an impairment assessment to be performed.

REIT Status

We are a Maryland corporation that has elected to be treated, for federal income tax purposes, as a REIT. We elected to be taxed as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (the Code), as amended, for the year ended December 31, 1994 and have not revoked such election. A corporate REIT is a legal entity which holds real estate interests and must meet a number of organizational and operational requirements, including a requirement that it currently distribute at least 90% of its adjusted taxable income to stockholders. As a REIT, we generally will not be subject to corporate level federal income tax on taxable income if we distribute 100% of taxable income over time periods allowed under the Code to our stockholders. If we fail to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, we will be subject to federal income taxes at regular corporate rates (subject to any applicable alternative minimum tax) and may not be able to elect to qualify as a REIT for four subsequent taxable years.

Results of Operations

Our year-over-year operating performance is primarily affected by changes in net operating income of our current operating apartment communities due to market conditions; net operating income derived from acquisitions and development completions; the loss of net operating income related to disposed communities; and capital market, disposition and financing activity. A comparison of our operating results for the years 2006, 2005 and 2004 follows (dollars in thousands):

Revenue:	2006	2005	\$ Change	% Change	2005	2004	\$ Change	% Change
Rental and other income Management,	\$731,041	\$ 666,376	\$ 64,66	5 9.7%	\$ 666,376	\$ 613,240	\$ 53,136	8.7%
development and other fees	6,259	4,304	1,95	5 45.4%	4,304	604	3,700	612.6%
Total revenue	737,300	670,680	66,620	0 9.9%	670,680	613,844	56,836	9.3%
Expenses: Direct property operating expenses, excluding property taxes Property taxes	169,685 68,257	155,481 65,487	14,20 2,77		155,481 65,487	148,705 59,458	6,776 6,029	4.6% 10.1%
Total community operating expenses	237,942	220,968	16,97		220,968	208,163	12,805	6.2%
Corporate-level property management and other indirect								
operating expenses Investments and	34,177	31,243	2,93	4 9.4%	31,243	27,956	3,287	11.8%
investment management Interest expense, net Depreciation expense General and administrative	7,033 111,046 162,896	4,834 127,099 158,822	2,19 ⁶ (16,05) 4,07	3) (12.6%) 4 2.6%	158,822	4,690 131,103 151,991	144 (4,004) 6,831	3.1% (3.1%) 4.5%
expense	24,767	25,761	(994		•	18,074	7,687	42.5%
Total other expenses	339,919	347,759	(7,84	0) (2.3%)	347,759	333,814	13,945	4.2%
Equity in income of unconsolidated entities	7,455	7,198	25	7 3.6%	7,198	1,100	6,098	n/a

Edgar Filing: AVALONBAY COMMUNITIES INC - Form 10-K

Venture partner interest in profit-sharing Minority interest in				n/a		(1,178)	1,178	(100.0%)
consolidated partnerships Gain on sale of land	(573) 13,519	(1,481) 4,479	908 9,040	(61.3%) 201.8%	(1,481) 4,479	(150) 1,138	(1,331) 3,341	n/a 293.6%
Income from continuing operations before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	179,840	112,149	67,691	60.4%	112,149	72,777	39,372	54.1%
Discontinued operations:								
Income from discontinued operations Gain on sale of	1,148	14,942	(13,794)	(92.3%)	14,942	21,134	(6,192)	(29.3%)
communities	97,411	195,287	(97,876)	(50.1%)	195,287	121,287	74,000	61.0%
Total discontinued operations	98,559	210,229	(111,670)	(53.1%)	210,229	142,421	67,808	47.6%
Income before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle Cumulative effect of	278,399	322,378	(43,979)	(13.6%)	322,378	215,198	107,180	49.8%
change in accounting principle				n/a		4,547	(4,547)	n/a
Net income Dividends attributable	278,399	322,378	(43,979)	(13.6%)	322,378	219,745	102,633	46.7%
to preferred stock	(8,700)	(8,700)			(8,700)	(8,700)		
Net income available to common stockholders	\$ 269,699	\$313,678	\$ (43,979)	(14.0%)	\$313,678	\$ 211,045	\$ 102,633	48.6%

Net income available to common stockholders decreased \$43,979,000 or 14.0%, to \$269,699,000 in 2006. This decrease is primarily attributable to reduced asset sales and related gains in 2006, partially offset by growth in net operating income from Established Communities and contributions to net operating income from newly developed communities. Net income available to common stockholders increased \$102,633,000, or 48.6%, to \$313,678,000 in 2005. This increase is primarily attributable to higher gains on sales of assets in 2005, including the gain related to the sale of a technology investment, as well as increased net operating income from Established Communities and newly

developed communities.

Net operating income (NOI) is considered by management to be an important and appropriate supplemental performance measure to net income because it helps both investors and management to understand the core operations of a community or communities prior to the allocation of any corporate-level or financing-related costs. NOI reflects the operating performance of a community and allows for an easy comparison of the operating performance of individual assets or groups of assets. In addition, because prospective buyers of real estate have different financing and overhead structures, with varying marginal impacts to overhead by acquiring real estate, NOI is considered by many in the real estate industry to be a useful measure for determining the value of a real estate asset or group of assets. We define NOI as total property revenue less direct property operating expenses, including property taxes.

NOI does not represent cash generated from operating activities in accordance with GAAP. Therefore, NOI should not be considered an alternative to net income as an indication of our performance. NOI should also not be considered an alternative to net cash flow from operating activities, as determined by GAAP, as a measure of liquidity, nor is NOI necessarily indicative of cash available to fund cash needs. A calculation of NOI for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, along with a reconciliation to net income for each year, is as follows (dollars in thousands):

	For the year ended					
	12-31-06	12-31-05	12-31-04			
Net income	\$ 278,399	\$ 322,378	\$ 219,745			
Indirect operating expenses, net of corporate income	28,809	26,675	26,612			
Investments and investment management	7,033	4,834	4,690			
Interest expense, net	111,046	127,099	131,103			
General and administrative expense	24,767	25,761	18,074			
Equity in income of unconsolidated entities	(7,455)	(7,198)	(1,100)			
Minority interest in consolidated partnerships			1,178			
Venture partner interest in profit-sharing	573	1,481	150			
Depreciation expense	162,896	158,822	151,991			
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle			(4,547)			
Gain on sale of real estate assets	(110,930)	(199,766)	(122,425)			
Income from discontinued operations	(1,148)	(14,942)	(21,134)			
Net operating income	\$ 493,990	\$ 445,144	\$ 404,337			

The NOI increases in both 2006 and 2005 as compared to the prior years, consist of changes in the following categories (dollars in thousands):

	2006 Increase	2005 Increase
Established Communities Other Stabilized Communities	\$ 32,216 5,497	\$ 13,052 3,786
Development and Redevelopment Communities	11,133	23,969
Total	\$48,846	\$ 40,807

The NOI increase in Established Communities in 2006 was largely due to the improved apartment market fundamentals. During 2006, we focused on rental rate growth, while maintaining occupancy of at least 95% in all regions. We will continue to seek increases in rental rates. However we anticipate that increases in rental rates and overall rental revenue growth may moderate in 2007, as we expect continued but moderating job growth (demand) and increased net supply as compared to recent periods. We expect revenue growth from our Established Communities of 5.0% to 6.5% in 2007 as compared to 2006. In addition, although we will continue to aggressively manage operating expenses, there is upward pressure on operating expenses from increasing utility, labor, insurance and property tax expenses. We expect operating expenses at our Established Communities to increase by 3.5% to 5.0% in 2007 as compared to 2006. Overall, we anticipate growth in NOI from our Established Communities of 5.5% to 7.5% in 2007 as compared to 2006.

The Company has given projected NOI growth in 2007 only for Established Communities and not on a company-wide basis. The Company believes that NOI growth of the Established Communities assists investors in understanding management s estimate of the likely contribution to operations from Established Communities.

However, the Company has not provided a projection of NOI growth on a company-wide basis due to the difficulty in projecting the timing of new development starts, dispositions and acquisitions, as well as the complexities involved in projecting the allocation of corporate-level property management overhead, general and administrative costs and interest expense to communities not yet developed, disposed or acquired. NOI growth expected from Established Communities is not a projection of the Company s projected consolidated financial performance or projected cash flow.

Rental and other income increased in 2006 due to increased rental rates and occupancy for our Established Communities, coupled with additional rental income generated from newly developed communities. We expect the strong apartment fundamentals experienced in 2006 to continue in 2007, but at a more moderate pace. Overall Portfolio The weighted average number of occupied apartment homes increased to 37,716 apartment homes for 2006 as compared to 36,520 apartment homes for 2005 and 34,540 apartment homes for 2004. This change is primarily the result of an increase in the overall occupancy rate and increased homes available from newly developed and acquired communities, partially offset by communities sold in 2006 and 2005. The weighted average monthly revenue per occupied apartment home increased to \$1,610 in 2006 as compared to \$1,516 in 2005 and \$1,477 in 2004. Established Communities - Rental revenue increased \$35,871,000, or 6.8%, in 2006 and increased \$16,523,000, or 3.6%, in 2005. The increases in 2006 and 2005 are due to both increased rental rates and increased economic occupancy as compared to the prior years. For 2006, the weighted average monthly revenue per occupied apartment home increased 6.3% to \$1,647 compared to \$1,549 in 2005, primarily due to increased market rents and decreased concessions. The average economic occupancy increased from 96.0% in 2005 to 96.5% in 2006. Economic occupancy takes into account the fact that apartment homes of different sizes and locations within a community have different economic impacts on a community s gross revenue. Economic occupancy is defined as gross potential revenue less vacancy loss, as a percentage of gross potential revenue. Gross potential revenue is determined by valuing occupied homes at leased rates and vacant homes at market rents. We expect rental revenue from Established Communities to increase 5.0% to 6.5% in 2007 as compared to 2006.

We experienced increases in Established Communities rental revenue in all six of our regions in 2006 as compared to 2005. The largest increases in rental revenue were in the Pacific Northwest, the Mid-Atlantic and Northern California, with increases of 10.1%, 8.9% and 8.4%, respectively, between years. The Northeast and Northern California regions comprise the majority of our Established Community revenue, and therefore are discussed in more detail below. Northern California, which represented approximately 27.4% of Established Community rental revenue during 2006, experienced an increase in rental revenue of 8.4% in 2006 as compared to 2005. Average rental rates increased by 7.9% to \$1,561 in 2006, and economic occupancy increased 0.5% to 96.7% in 2006. Apartment fundamentals improved in Northern California in 2006, resulting in accelerated revenue growth in this region. We expect Northern California to see continued revenue growth in 2007.

The Northeast region, which accounted for approximately 36.5% of Established Community rental revenue during 2006, experienced an increase in rental revenue of 4.5% in 2006 as compared to 2005. Average rental rates increased 4.4% to \$2,032 in 2006 and economic occupancy increased 0.1% to 96.5% during 2006. We expect job growth in 2007 to increase slightly over the growth levels experienced in 2006 in the Northeast and net supply to increase. However, we expect overall apartment fundamentals will remain favorable, resulting in moderate rental rate growth in the Northeast during 2007. The Company believes that Northern New Jersey will lead the region in revenue growth as a result of the strong apartment fundamentals in neighboring New York City. We expect Boston, Massachusetts will lag the region in revenue growth, as economic recovery is not occurring as quickly as in other areas of the region. In accordance with GAAP, cash concessions are amortized as an offset to rental revenue over the approximate lease term, which is generally one year. As a supplemental measure, we also present rental revenue with concessions stated on a cash basis to help investors evaluate the impact of both current and historical concessions on GAAP based rental revenue and to more readily enable comparisons to revenue as reported by other companies.

Rental revenue with concessions stated on a cash basis also allows investors to understand historical trends in cash concessions, as well as current rental market conditions.

The following table reconciles total rental revenue in conformity with GAAP to total rental revenue adjusted to state concessions on a cash basis for our Established Communities for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 (dollars in thousands). Information for the year ended December 31, 2004 is not presented, as Established Community classification is not comparable prior to January 1, 2005. See Note 9, Segment Reporting, of our Consolidated Financial Statements.

	For the ye	ar ended
	12-31-06	12-31-05
Rental revenue (GAAP basis)	\$ 559,771	\$ 523,900
Concessions amortized	11,082	20,010
Concessions granted	(5,796)	(17,399)
Rental revenue adjusted to state concessions on a cash basis	\$ 565,057	\$ 526,511
Year-over-year % change GAAP revenue	6.8%	n/a

Year-over-year % change cash concession based revenue

7.3% n/a

Management, development and other fees increased in 2006 and 2005 due to increased asset management, property management and redevelopment fees earned from the Fund. The Fund was formed in March 2005, and continues to grow through purchases, acquiring five more communities in 2006. In addition, construction and development fees earned from unconsolidated entities in 2006 and 2005 contributed to increased fee income.

Direct property operating expenses, excluding property taxes increased in both 2006 and 2005, primarily due to the addition of recently developed and acquired apartment homes coupled with expense growth in our Established Communities.

For Established Communities, direct property operating expenses, excluding property taxes, increased \$3,088,000, or 2.6%, to \$120,487,000 in 2006 due primarily to increases in payroll, maintenance and utility costs, partially offset by decreases in marketing and office and administration expenses. During 2005, direct property operating expenses increased \$965,000, or 0.9%, to \$104,346,000 in 2005 due primarily to increases in utility, maintenance and payroll costs, partially offset by decreases in marketing and bad debt expenses. We expect operating expenses for Established Communities to increase by 3.5% to 5.0% in 2007 as compared to 2006, primarily as a result of continued higher utility and payroll costs, as well as increased insurance costs.

Property taxes increased in both 2006 and 2005 due to overall higher assessments and the addition of newly developed and redeveloped apartment homes, and are impacted by the size and timing of successful tax appeals in both years.

For Established Communities, property taxes increased by \$721,000, or 1.4%, in 2006 and \$2,527,000, or 5.7%, in 2005, due to overall higher assessments throughout all regions and are impacted by the size and timing of successful tax appeals in both years. We expect property taxes to continue to increase in 2007 as compared to 2006 to reflect increased valuations. However, property tax increases are mitigated for communities in California, where increases in property taxes are limited by law (Proposition 13). We evaluate property tax increases internally, as well as engage third-party consultants, and appeal increases when appropriate.

Corporate-level property management and other indirect operating expenses increased in both 2006 and 2005 due to increased compensation, as well as increased costs relating to corporate initiatives focused on increasing efficiency and enhancing controls at our operating communities.

Investments and investment management reflects the costs incurred related to investment acquisitions, investment management and abandoned pursuit costs, which include costs incurred on development pursuits not yet considered probable for development, as well as the abandonment or impairment of development pursuits, acquisition pursuits and disposition pursuits. Investments and investment management increased in 2006 as compared to 2005 due primarily to increased compensation costs and increased staffing related to management of the Fund redevelopment activity, coupled with an increase in abandoned pursuit costs. Abandoned pursuit costs were \$2,115,000 in 2006, \$816,000 in 2005 and \$1,726,000 in 2004. Abandoned pursuit costs can be volatile, and the costs incurred in any given period may vary significantly in future years.

Interest expense, net decreased in 2006 as compared to 2005 due primarily to higher levels of capitalized interest in connection with our increased development activity, lower average outstanding balances on our unsecured credit facility and increased interest income. In addition, through a maturity and the subsequent issuance of unsecured notes, we reduced the effective annual interest rate on \$150,000,000 of debt by approximately 1%. These decreases in interest expense are partially offset by higher interest rates on variable rate debt in 2006 and the timing of the repayment and re-issuance of unsecured debt in 2005. Interest income increased in 2006 due to higher invested cash balances as well as increases in the interest rate earned on cash deposits. In addition, interest income in 2006 includes interest earned on an escrow funded from a disposition in 2005 that was used in a tax-deferred exchange. Depreciation expense increased in both 2006 and 2005 primarily due to the completion of development and redevelopment activities, coupled with the timing of depreciation expense for a community previously classified as held for sale.

General and administrative expense (G&A) decreased in 2006 and increased in 2005 relative to the prior years primarily due to the incurrence in 2005 of the following: (i) separation costs of approximately \$2,100,000 due to the departure of a senior executive; (ii) the accrual of costs related to various litigation matters of approximately \$1,500,000; and (iii) increased board of director fees due to the acceleration of equity awards with the resignation of a director due to disability in 2005, partially offset by higher compensation costs in 2006. We expect expensed overhead costs, including G&A, corporate-level property management and investments and investment management, to increase approximately 6.0% to 7.5% in 2007 as compared to 2006 in support of the Company's continued growth. Equity in income of unconsolidated entities in 2006 includes our share of gain on the sale of a joint venture community in the amount of \$6,609,000, and the release of amounts previously withheld in escrow allowing recognition of the final installment of the gain from the sale of our investment in Rent.com to eBay in the amount of \$433,000. Equity in income of unconsolidated entities in 2005 includes the initial gain recognized in the amount of \$6,252,000 related to the sale of our investment in Rent.com to eBay.

Minority interest in consolidated partnerships decreased in 2006 as compared to 2005 due to the conversion of limited partnership units, thereby reducing outside ownership interests and the allocation of net income to outside ownership interests. However, minority interest increased in 2005 due to the consolidation of an entity under FASB Interpretation No. 46 (FIN 46), Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities, an Interpretation of ARB No. 51, as revised in December 2003. Effective January 1, 2004, we consolidated an entity from which we held a participating mortgage note in accordance with FIN 46. We did not hold an equity interest in this entity, and therefore 100% of the entity s net loss was recognized as minority interest in consolidated partnerships during the year ended December 31, 2004. In October 2004, we received payment in full of the outstanding mortgage note due from this entity. Upon repayment of the mortgage note, our economic interest in this entity ended, and therefore we discontinued consolidation as this entity was no longer considered a variable interest entity under FIN 46.

Gain on sale of land in 2006 represents the gain on sale of three land parcels located in Danvers, Massachusetts,

Jersey City, New Jersey and Stamford, Connecticut. During 2005, we sold three land parcels, one located in Dublin, California, one in Madison, Washington, and one in Freehold, New Jersey.

Income from discontinued operations represents the net income generated by communities sold during the period from January 1, 2004 through December 31, 2006. See Note 7, Real Estate Disposition Activities, of our Consolidated Financial Statements. The decreases in 2006 and 2005 are due to the sale of three consolidated communities in 2006, seven communities and one office building in 2005 and five communities in 2004, eliminating the income generated from the assets upon disposition.

Gain on sale of real estate assets decreased in 2006 as compared to 2005 primarily due to the volume and size of dispositions, coupled with the carrying value of the communities sold. Gain on sale of real estate assets increased in 2005 and decreased in 2004 due to the volume and size of dispositions in each year. The amount of gain realized in any given reporting period depends on many factors, including the number of communities sold, the size and carrying value of those communities and the sales price, which are driven by local and national market conditions. Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle in 2004 is a result of the implementation of FIN 46, discussed above, and represents the difference between the net assets consolidated under FIN 46 and the previously recorded net assets.

Funds from Operations Attributable to Common Stockholders (FFO)

FFO is considered by management to be an appropriate supplemental measure of our operating and financial performance. In calculating FFO, we exclude gains or losses related to dispositions of previously depreciated property and exclude real estate depreciation. These amounts are generally excluded in the industry definition of FFO as amounts can vary among owners of identical assets in similar condition based on historical cost accounting and useful life estimates. FFO can help one compare the operating performance of a real estate company between periods or as compared to different companies. We believe that in order to understand our operating results, FFO should be examined with net income as presented in our Consolidated Financial Statements. For a more detailed discussion and presentation of FFO, see Selected Financial Data, included in Item 6 of this report.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Factors affecting our liquidity and capital resources are our cash flows from operations, financing activities and investing activities, as well as general economic and market conditions. Operating cash flow has historically been determined by: (i) the number of apartment homes currently owned, (ii) rental rates, (iii) occupancy levels and (iv) operating expenses with respect to apartment homes. The timing, source and amount of cash flows provided by financing activities and used in investing activities are sensitive to the capital markets environment, particularly to changes in interest rates. The timing and type of capital markets activity in which we engage, as well as our plans for development, redevelopment, acquisition and disposition activity, are affected by changes in the capital markets environment, such as changes in interest rates or the availability of cost-effective capital.

We regularly review our liquidity needs, the adequacy of cash flows from operations, and other expected liquidity sources to meet these needs. We believe our principal short-term liquidity needs are to fund:

normal recurring operating expenses;

debt service and maturity payments;

preferred stock dividends and DownREIT partnership unit distributions;

the minimum dividend payments on our common stock required to maintain our REIT qualification under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986:

development and redevelopment activity in which we are currently engaged; and

capital calls for the Fund, as required.

We anticipate that we can fully satisfy these needs from a combination of cash flow provided by operating activities, proceeds from asset dispositions and borrowing capacity under our variable rate unsecured credit facility, as well as other public or private sources of liquidity.

Cash and cash equivalents totaled \$8,567,000 at December 31, 2006, an increase of \$2,852,000 from \$5,715,000 at December 31, 2005. The following discussion relates to changes in cash due to operating, investing and financing activities, which are presented in our Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows included elsewhere in this report. Operating Activities Net cash provided by operating activities increased to \$351,943,000 in 2006 from \$306,248,000 in 2005. The increase was driven primarily by the additional NOI from our Established Communities operations, as well as NOI from recently developed communities, partially offset by the loss of NOI from the four communities sold in 2006, as discussed earlier in this report.

Investing Activities Net cash used in investing activities of \$511,371,000 in 2006 related to investments in assets through the development redevelopment and acquisition of apartment communities, partially offset by proceeds from asset dispositions. During 2006, we invested \$832,337,000 in the purchase and development of the following real estate and capital expenditures:

We began the development of eight new communities. These eight communities, if developed as expected, will contain a total of 2,459 apartment homes, and the total capitalized cost, including land acquisition costs, is projected to be approximately \$686,600,000. We completed the development of six communities containing a total of 1,368 apartment homes for a total capitalized cost, including land acquisition cost, of \$375,200,000.

We began the redevelopment of three consolidated communities, which contain an aggregate of 1,593 apartment homes and, if redeveloped as expected, will be completed for a total redevelopment capitalized cost of \$25,800,000, excluding costs incurred prior to redevelopment. We completed the redevelopment of one consolidated community containing 336 apartment homes for a total capitalized cost of \$6,000,000, excluding costs incurred prior to redevelopment.

We acquired nine parcels of land in connection with Development Rights, for an aggregate purchase price of \$91,574,000.

We had capital expenditures relating to current communities real estate assets of \$21,289,000 and non-real estate capital expenditures of \$957,000.

We disposed of four communities (one through a joint venture) for an aggregate sales price of \$261,850,000 and a total gain in accordance with GAAP of \$104,020,000. We also disposed of three parcels of land for an aggregate sales price of \$19,635,000 and a total gain in accordance with GAAP of \$13,519,000. In addition, we received proceeds in the amount of \$20,482,000 from the sale of a 70% interest in our investments in Avalon Del Rey Apartments, LLC. For further discussion See Note 6, Investments in Unconsolidated Entities, included in Item 8 of this report. Financing Activities Net cash provided by financing activities totaled \$162,280,000 in 2006. The net cash inflow is due primarily to the proceeds from \$500,000,000 of unsecured notes that we issued in September 2006, partially offset by the \$150,000,000 of unsecured notes that was repaid upon maturity in July 2006. In addition, net cash provided by financing activities includes the issuance of common stock for option exercises and the issuance of secured mortgage loans, partially offset by dividends paid and repayment of borrowings under our unsecured credit facility. See Note 3,

Notes Payable, Unsecured Notes and Credit Facility, and Note 4, Stockholders Equity, of our Consolidated Financial Statements, for additional information.

Variable Rate Unsecured Credit Facility

We entered into a \$650,000,000 revolving variable rate unsecured credit facility with a syndicate of commercial banks. JPMorgan Chase Bank, Wachovia Bank, N.A. and Bank of America, N.A. led the syndication effort in varying capacities. Under the terms of the credit facility, we may elect to increase the facility up to \$1,000,000,000,000, provided that one or more banks (from the syndicate or otherwise) voluntarily agree to provide the additional commitment. No member of the syndicate of banks can prohibit such an increase; such an increase in the facility will only be effective to the extent banks (from the syndicate or otherwise) choose to commit to lend additional funds. We pay participating banks, in the aggregate, an annual facility fee of approximately \$813,000. The unsecured credit facility bears interest at varying levels based on the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR), our credit rating and on a maturity schedule selected by us. The current stated pricing is LIBOR plus 0.40% per annum (5.72% on January 31, 2007).

The spread over LIBOR can vary from LIBOR plus 0.325% to LIBOR plus 1.00% based on our credit rating. In addition, a competitive bid option is available for borrowings of up to \$422,500,000. This option allows banks that are part of the lender consortium to bid to provide us loans at a rate that is lower than the stated pricing provided by the unsecured credit facility. The competitive bid option may result in lower pricing if market conditions allow. We had no outstanding balance under this competitive bid option at January 31, 2007. We are subject to certain customary covenants under the unsecured credit facility, including, but not limited to, maintaining certain maximum leverage ratios, a minimum fixed charges coverage ratio and minimum unencumbered assets and equity levels. The credit facility matures in November 2011, assuming our exercise of a one-year renewal option. At January 31, 2007, no amounts were outstanding on the credit facility, \$38,088,000

was used to provide letters of credit and \$611,912,000 was available for borrowing under the unsecured credit facility. *Future Financing and Capital Needs Debt Maturities*

One of our principal long-term liquidity needs is the repayment of long-term debt at the time that such debt matures. For unsecured notes, we anticipate that no significant portion of the principal of these notes will be repaid prior to maturity. If we do not have funds on hand sufficient to repay our indebtedness as it becomes due, it will be necessary for us to refinance the debt. This refinancing may be accomplished by uncollateralized private or public debt offerings, additional debt financing that is collateralized by mortgages on individual communities or groups of communities, draws on our unsecured credit facility or by additional equity offerings. Although we believe we will have the capacity to meet our long-term liquidity needs, we cannot assure you that additional debt financing or debt or equity offerings will be available or, if available, that they will be on terms we consider satisfactory. The following debt activity occurred during the year ended December 31, 2006:

We repaid \$150,000,000 in previously issued unsecured notes in July 2006, along with any unpaid interest, pursuant to their scheduled maturity. No prepayment penalty was incurred;

We issued a total of \$500,000,000 of unsecured notes in September 2006 under our existing shelf registration statement. The offering consisted of two separate tranches of \$250,000,000 with an annual effective interest rate of 5.586% and 5.820%, maturing in 2012 and 2016, respectively;

We issued \$34,000,000 of variable rate mortgage debt for one community in April 2006, maturing in April 2011;

We issued \$93,800,000 of variable rate, tax-exempt debt for one community in December 2006, maturing in November 2037;

We issued \$48,500,000 of variable rate, tax-exempt debt for one community in December 2006, maturing in November 2039; and

We issued \$45,000,000 of variable rate, tax-exempt debt for one community in December 2006, maturing in July 2040.

In January 2007, the Company filed a shelf registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission, allowing us to sell an undetermined number or amount of certain debt and equity securities as defined in the prospectus. In addition, in January 2007, in conjunction with the inclusion of our common stock in the S&P 500 Index, we issued 4,600,000 shares of our common stock at \$129.30 per share. Net proceeds of approximately \$594,000,000 will be used for general corporate purposes.

The table below details debt maturities for the next five years, excluding our unsecured credit facility, for debt outstanding at December 31, 2006 (dollars in thousands).

	All-InPrincipal interestmaturity E	utstanding	Scheduled maturities						
Community	rate (1) date 12	2-31-05	12-31-06	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Thereafter
Tax-exempt	, ,								
bonds Fixed rate									
CountryBrook	6.30M/ar-20\frac{1}{2}	16,586	\$ 15,990	\$ 634	\$ 676	\$ 719	\$ 766	\$ 816	\$ 12,379
Avalon at									
Symphony	4 000// 1 0004	0.700	0.700						0.700
Glen	4.90%ul-2024	9,780	9,780	£1£	<i>E E E</i>	505	625	690	9,780
Avalon View Avalon at	7.55 <i>K</i> aug-2024	16,465	15,980	515	555	595	635	680	13,000
Lexington	6.55 F /eb-2025	12,834	12,467	367	415	441	469	498	10,277
Avalon at		,	,						-,
Nob Hill	5.80% an-2025	18,494	18,116(2))					18,116
Avalon									
Campbell	6.48% in-2025	33,614	32,776(2))					32,776
Avalon Pacifica	6.48 % an-2025	15,247	14,867(2)						14,867
Avalon Knoll	6.95% an -2026	12,239	11,957	302	324	347	371	398	10,215
Avalon	0.73 3u 11-2020	12,237	11,757	302	324	347	3/1	370	10,213
Landing	6.85% un-2026	6,044	5,903	153	162	173	185	198	5,032
Avalon Fields	7.55May-2027	10,705	10,483	237	256	275	295	316	9,104
Avalon West	7.73Dec-2036	8,259	8,179	92	91	98	105	112	7,681
Avalon Oaks	7.45% wl-2041	17,324	17,205	129	137	147	157	168	16,467
Avalon Oaks									
West	7.48% pr-2043	17,145	17,036	117	125	133	142	152	16,367
		194,736	190,739	2,546	2,741	2,928	3,125	3,338	176,061
		174,730	170,737	2,540	2,771	2,720	3,123	3,330	170,001
Variable rate									
(3)									
The									
Promenade	5.68@ct-2010	32,100	31,495	651	701	755	29,388		22.100
Waterford	4.27% ml-2014	33,100	33,100(4))					33,100
Avalon at Mountain									
View	4.27 % eb-2017	18,300	18,300(4)	1					18,300
Avalon at	1.27100 2017	10,500	10,200(1)	,					10,200
Foxchase I	4.27Mov-2017	16,800	16,800(4))					16,800
Avalon at									
Foxchase II	4.271%ov-2017	9,600	9,600(4))					9,600
Avalon at	4.00# 2027	7.625	7 (05(1)						7.625
Mission Viejo		7,635	7,635(4)						7,635
	3.65% an-2025	2,306	2,684(2)	1					2,684

Avalon at Nob Hill Avalon									
Campbell Avalon	3.65% an-2025	5,186	6,024(2)						6,024
Pacifica	3.65% an-2025	2,353	2,733(2)						2,733
Bowery Place I Bowery Place	4.16Nov-2037		93,800(5)		521	576	636	703	91,364
II Avalon Acton Avalon at Fairway Hills	4.23Nov-2039 4.96%al-2040		48,500(5) 45,000(5)				270	298	47,932 45,000
I	4.91% an-2026	11,500	11,500						11,500
Conventional		138,880	327,171	651	1,222	1,331	30,294	1,001	292,672
loans (6) Fixed rate \$150 million unsecured									
notes \$150 million unsecured	6.93%ul-2006	150,000							
notes \$110 million unsecured	5.18 % ug-2007	150,000	150,000	150,000					
notes \$50 million unsecured	7.13Dec-2007	110,000	110,000	110,000					
notes \$150 million unsecured	6.63¶an-2008	50,000	50,000		50,000				
notes \$150 million unsecured	8.38%ul-2008	150,000	146,000		146,000				
notes \$200 million unsecured	7.63 % ug-2009	150,000	150,000			150,000			
notes \$300 million	7.66Dec-2010	200,000	200,000				200,000		
unsecured notes \$50 million	6.79 % ep-2011	300,000	300,000					300,000	
unsecured notes \$250 million	6.31 % ep-2011	50,000	50,000					50,000	
unsecured notes \$100 million unsecured	6.26%ov-2012 5.11%ar-2013		250,000 100,000						250,000 100,000

Edgar Filing: AVALONBAY COMMUNITIES INC - Form 10-K

notes \$150 million unsecured									
notes \$250 million unsecured	5.52%pr-2014	150,000	150,000						150,000
notes \$250 million	5.88% an-2012		250,000						250,000
unsecured notes Wheaton	5.72 % ep-2016		250,000						250,000
Development Right Eisenhower Ave.	6.99Øct-2008	4,589	4,513	81	4,432				
Development Right Twinbrook	8.08 % pr-2009	4,504	4,402	109	118	4,175			
Development Right Tysons West	7.25Øct-2011	8,379	8,200	193	207	222	239	7,339	
Development Right Avalon	5.55% ul-2028	6,681	6,535	156	162	173	183	193	5,668
Orchards	7.65% w1-2033	20,136	19,883	272	290	311	333	357	18,320
	1,	854,289	2,199,533	260,811	201,209	154,881	200,755	357,889	1,023,988
Variable rate (3) Avalon									
Ledges Avalon at	6.75 M ay-2009	19,290	18,635(4)	811	688	17,136			
Flanders Hill Avalon at Newton	6.75 M ay-2009	21,935	21,245(4)	926	784	19,535			
Highlands Avalon at	6.69Dec-2009	38,905	37,650(4)	1,546	1,397	34,707			
Crane Brook	6.66 M ar-2011		33,535(4)	1,230	1,045	1,106	1,169	28,985	
		80,130	111,065	4,513	3,914	72,484	1,169	28,985	
Total indebtedness excluding unsecured credit facility	\$ 2	268 035	\$2,828,508	\$ 268 521	\$ 209 086	\$ 231 624	\$ 235 343	\$ 391 213	\$ 1,492,721
cuit inclinty	Ψ 2,	,_00,000	- - ,0 - 0,000	- 200,021	÷ =07,000	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	÷ = 00,0 .0	+ 0 × 1, 2 10	, · > - , / - 1

⁽¹⁾ Includes credit enhancement

fees, facility fees, trustees fees and other fees.

- (2) Financed by variable rate, tax-exempt debt, but the interest rate on a portion of this debt is effectively fixed at December 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005 through a swap agreement. The portion of the debt fixed through a swap agreement decreases (and therefore the variable portion of the debt increases) monthly as payments are made to a principal reserve fund.
- (3) Variable rates are given as of December 31, 2006.
- (4) Financed by variable rate debt, but interest rate is capped through an interest rate protection agreement.
- (5) Represents full amount of the debt as of

December 31, 2006. Actual amounts drawn on the debt as of December 31, 2006 are \$79,849 for Bowery Place I and \$0 for both Bowery Place II and Avalon Acton.

(6) Balances

outstanding represent total amounts due at maturity, and are not net of \$2,922 and \$818 of debt discount as of December 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively, as reflected in unsecured notes on our Consolidated **Balance Sheets**

included elsewhere in this report.

61

Future Financing and Capital Needs Portfolio and Other Activity

As of December 31, 2006, we had 17 new communities under construction, for which a total estimated cost of \$639,458,000 remained to be invested. In addition, we had six communities which we own, or in which we have a direct or indirect interest, under reconstruction, for which a total estimated cost of \$13,791,000 remained to be invested. Substantially all of the capital expenditures necessary to complete the communities currently under construction and reconstruction, as well as development costs related to pursuing Development Rights, will be funded from:

cash currently on hand invested in highly liquid overnight money market funds and repurchase agreements;

the remaining capacity under our current \$650,000,000 unsecured credit facility;

the net proceeds from sales of existing communities;

retained operating cash;

the issuance of debt or equity securities (including proceeds from the recent stock offering); and/or

private equity funding.

Before planned reconstruction activity, including reconstruction activity related to communities acquired by the Fund as discussed below, or the construction of a Development Right begins, we intend to arrange adequate financing to complete these undertakings, although we cannot assure you that we will be able to obtain such financing. In the event that financing cannot be obtained, we may have to abandon Development Rights, write-off associated pre-development costs that were capitalized and/or forego reconstruction activity. In such instances, we will not realize the increased revenues and earnings that we expected from such Development Rights or reconstruction activity and significant losses could be incurred.

We have invested in the Fund, a private, discretionary investment vehicle that acquires and operates apartment communities in our markets. The Fund will serve, until March 16, 2008 or until 80% of its committed capital is invested, as the principal vehicle through which we will invest in the acquisition of apartment communities, subject to certain exceptions. These exceptions include significant individual asset and portfolio acquisitions, properties acquired in tax-deferred transactions and acquisitions that are inadvisable or inappropriate for the Fund. The Fund will not restrict our development activities, and will terminate after a term of eight years, subject to two one-year extensions. The Fund has nine institutional investors, including us, with a combined equity capital commitment of \$330,000,000. A significant portion of the investments made in the Fund by its investors are being made through AvalonBay Value Added Fund, Inc., a Maryland corporation that qualifies as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code (the Fund REIT). A wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company is the general partner of the Fund and has committed \$50,000,000 to the Fund and the Fund REIT (of which approximately \$22,944,000 has been invested as of January 31, 2007) representing a 15.2% combined general partner and limited partner equity interest. Under the Fund documents, the Fund has the ability to employ leverage through debt financings up to 65% on a portfolio basis, which, if achieved, would enable the Fund to invest up to \$940,000,000 (of which approximately \$514,000,000 has been invested as of January 31, 2007). We currently expect that leverage of less than 65% will be employed, reducing the projected investment value to between \$850,000,000 and \$900,000,000.

From time to time we use joint ventures to hold or develop individual real estate assets. We generally employ joint ventures primarily to mitigate asset concentration or market risk or secondarily as a source of liquidity. We may also use joint ventures related to mixed-use land development opportunities where our partners bring development and operational expertise to the venture. Each joint venture or partnership agreement has been and will continue to be individually negotiated, and our ability to operate and/or dispose of a community in our sole discretion may be limited to varying degrees depending on the terms of the joint venture or partnership agreement. However, we cannot assure you that we will achieve our objectives through joint ventures.

In evaluating our allocation of capital within our markets, we sell assets that do not meet our long-term investment criteria or when capital and real estate markets allow us to realize a portion of the value created over the past business cycle and redeploy the proceeds from those sales to develop and redevelop communities. In response to real estate and capital markets conditions, we sold four communities, including an investment held in a joint venture, with net proceeds in the aggregate of approximately \$218,492,000 from January 1, 2006 through January 31, 2007. Because the proceeds from the sale of communities may not be immediately redeployed into revenue generating assets, the immediate effect of a sale of a community for a gain is to increase net income, but reduce future total revenues, total expenses and NOI. However, we believe that the absence of future cash flows from communities sold will have a minimal impact on our ability to fund future liquidity and capital resource needs.

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

In addition to the investment interests in consolidated and unconsolidated real estate entities, we have certain off balance sheet arrangements with the entities in which we invest. Additional discussion of these entities can be found in Note 6, Investments in Unconsolidated Entities, and Note 8, Commitments and Contingencies, of our Consolidated Financial Statements located elsewhere in this report.

CVP I, LLC has outstanding tax-exempt, variable rate bonds maturing in November 2036 in the amount of \$117,000,000, which have permanent credit enhancement. We have agreed to guarantee, under limited circumstances, the repayment to the credit enhancer of any advances it may make in fulfillment of CVP I, LLC s repayment obligations under the bonds. We have also guaranteed to the credit enhancer that CVP I, LLC will obtain a final certificate of occupancy for the project (Chrystie Place in New York City) overall once tenant improvements related to a retail tenant are complete, which is expected in 2007. Our 80% partner in this venture has agreed that it will reimburse us its pro rata share of any amounts paid relative to these guaranteed obligations. The estimated fair value of, and our obligation under these guarantees, both at inception and as of December 31, 2006 were not significant. As a result we have not recorded any obligation associated with these guarantees at December 31, 2006.

MVP I, LLC MVP I, LLC has a construction loan in the amount of \$94,400,000 (of which \$76,739,000 is outstanding as of December 31, 2006), which matures in September 2010, assuming exercise of two one-year renewal options, and is payable by the unconsolidated real estate entity. In connection with the construction management services that we provided to MVP I, LLC, the entity that owns and developed Avalon at Mission Bay North II in San Francisco, we have provided a construction completion guarantee to the lender in order to fulfill their standard financing requirements related to the construction financing. Construction was completed in 2006, and our obligations under this guarantee will terminate once all of the lender s standard completion requirements have been satisfied, which we currently expect to occur in 2007. The estimated fair value of and our obligation under this guarantee, both at inception and as of December 31, 2006 was not significant and therefore no liability has been recorded related to this construction completion guarantee as of December 31, 2006.

The Fund The Fund has 12 mortgage loans with amounts outstanding in the aggregate of \$259,645,000. These mortgage loans have varying maturity dates (or dates after which the loans can be prepaid), ranging from February 2007 to October 2014. These mortgage loans are secured by the underlying real estate. In addition, the Fund has a credit facility with \$57,400,000 outstanding as of December 31, 2006, which matures in January 2008. The mortgage loans and the credit facility are payable by the Fund with operating cash flow from the underlying real estate, and the credit facility is secured by capital commitments. We have not guaranteed the debt of the Fund, nor do we have any obligation to fund this debt should the Fund be unable to do so.

In addition, as part of the formation of the Fund, we have provided to one of the limited partners a guarantee. The guarantee provides that if, upon final liquidation of the Fund, the total amount of all distributions to that partner during the life of the Fund (whether from operating cash flow or property sales) does not equal a minimum of the total capital contributions made by that partner, then we will pay the partner an amount equal to the shortfall, but in no event more than 10% of the total capital contributions made by the partner (maximum of approximately \$3,400,000 as of December 31, 2006). As of December 31, 2006, the fair value of the real estate assets owned by the Fund is considered adequate to cover such potential payment to that partner under a liquidation scenario. The estimated fair value of, and our obligation under this guarantee, both at inception and as of December 31, 2006 was not significant and therefore we have not recorded any obligation for this guarantee as of December 31, 2006.

PHVP I, LLC In connection with the pursuit of a Development Right in Pleasant Hill, California, \$125,000,000 in bond financing was issued by the Contra Costa County Redevelopment Agency (the Agency) in connection with the possible future construction of a multifamily rental community by PHVP I, LLC. The bond proceeds were immediately invested in their entirety in a guaranteed investment contract (GIC) administered by a trustee. This Development Right is planned as a mixed-use development, with residential, for-sale, retail and office components. The bond proceeds will remain in the GIC until at least June 1, 2007, but no later than December 5, 2007, at which time a loan will be made to PHVP I, LLC to fund construction of the multifamily portion of the development, or the bonds will be redeemed by the Agency. Although we do not have any equity or economic interest in PHVP I, LLC at this time, we do have an option to make a capital contribution to PHVP I, LLC in exchange for a 99% general partner interest in the entity. Should we decide not to exercise this option, the bonds will be redeemed, and a loan will not be made to PHVP I, LLC. The bonds are payable from the proceeds of the GIC and are non-recourse to both PHVP I, LLC and to us. There is no loan payable outstanding by PHVP I, LLC as of December 31, 2006.

In addition, as part of providing construction management services to PHVP I, LLC for the construction of a public garage, we have provided a construction completion guarantee to the related lender in order to fulfill their standard financing requirements related to the garage construction financing. Our obligations under this guarantee will terminate following construction completion of the garage once all of the lender s standard completion requirements have been satisfied, which we currently expect to occur in 2008. In the third quarter of 2006, significant modifications were requested by the local transit authority to change the garage structure design. We do not believe that the requested design changes impact the construction schedule. However, it is expected that these changes will increase the original budget by an amount up to \$5,000,000. We believe that substantially all potential additional amounts are reimbursable from unrelated third parties. At this time we do not believe that it is probable that we will incur any additional costs. The estimated fair value of, and our obligation under this guarantee, both at inception and as of December 31, 2006 was not significant and therefore we have not recorded any obligation for this guarantee as of December 31, 2006.

Avalon Del Rey Apartments, LLC In the fourth quarter of 2006, we admitted a 70% venture partner to the LLC for an investment of \$49,000,000, including the assumption of debt. In conjunction with this investment, we provided an operating guarantee to the joint venture partner. This guarantee provides that if the initial year return earned by the joint venture partner is less than a threshold return of 7% on its initial equity investment, we will pay the joint venture partner an amount equal to the shortfall, up to the 7% threshold return required. As of December 31, 2006, the cash flows and expected return on investment of the community are expected to meet and exceed the initial year threshold return required by our joint venture partner. Therefore we have not recorded any liability associated with this guarantee as of December 31, 2006.

There are no other lines of credit, side agreements, financial guarantees or any other derivative financial instruments related to or between us and our unconsolidated real estate entities. In evaluating our capital structure and overall leverage, management takes into consideration our proportionate share of this unconsolidated debt.

Contractual Obligations

We currently have contractual obligations consisting primarily of long-term debt obligations and lease obligations for certain land parcels and regional and administrative office space. Scheduled contractual obligations required for the next five years and thereafter are as follows as of December 31, 2006 (dollars in thousands):

		Payı	ments due by pe	eriod	
	Total	1 Year	1-3 Years	3-5 Years	More than 5 Years
Long-Term Debt Obligations (1)	\$ 2,828,508	\$ 268,521	\$ 440,710	\$ 626,556	\$ 1,492,721
Operating Lease Obligations (2)	1,868,720	8,045	16,411	16,156	1,828,108
Total	\$ 4,697,228	\$ 276,566	\$ 457,121	\$ 642,712	\$ 3,320,829

- (1) No balance outstanding under our variable rate unsecured credit facility as of December 31, 2006. Amounts exclude interest payable as of December 31, 2006.
- (2) Includes land leases expiring between July 2029 and March 2142. Amounts do not include any adjustment for purchase options available under the land leases.

Inflation and Deflation

Substantially all of our apartment leases are for a term of one year or less. In an inflationary environment, this may allow us to realize increased rents upon renewal of existing leases or the beginning of new leases. Short-term leases generally minimize our risk from the adverse effects of inflation, although these leases generally permit residents to leave at the end of the lease term and therefore expose us to the effect of a decline in market rents. In a deflationary rent environment, we may be exposed to declining rents more quickly under these shorter term leases.

Forward-Looking Statements

This Form 10-K contains forward-looking statements as that term is defined under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. You can identify forward-looking statements by our use of the words believe, expect, anticipate, intend, estimate, assume, project, plan, may, shall, will and other similar expressions in this Form 10-K indicate future events and trends and that do not report historical matters. These statements include, among other things, statements regarding our intent, belief or expectations with respect to:

our potential development, redevelopment, acquisition or disposition of communities;

the timing and cost of completion of apartment communities under construction, reconstruction, development or redevelopment;

the timing of lease-up, occupancy and stabilization of apartment communities;

the pursuit of land on which we are considering future development;

the anticipated operating performance of our communities;

cost, yield and earnings estimates;

our declaration or payment of distributions;

our joint venture and discretionary fund activities;

our policies regarding investments, indebtedness, acquisitions, dispositions, financings and other matters;

our qualification as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code;

the real estate markets in Northern and Southern California and markets in selected states in the Mid-Atlantic, Northeast, Midwest and Pacific Northwest regions of the United States and in general;

the availability of debt and equity financing;

interest rates;

general economic conditions; and

trends affecting our financial condition or results of operations.

65

We cannot assure the future results or outcome of the matters described in these statements; rather, these statements merely reflect our current expectations of the approximate outcomes of the matters discussed. You should not rely on forward-looking statements because they involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors, some of which are beyond our control. These risks, uncertainties and other factors, which we describe in Item 1a, Risk Factors, elsewhere in this report, may cause our actual results, performance or achievements to differ materially from the anticipated future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements. In addition, these forward-looking statements represent our estimates and assumptions only as of the date of this report. We do not undertake a duty to update these forward-looking statements, and therefore they may not represent our estimates and assumptions after the date of this report.

Some of the factors that could cause our actual results, performance or achievements to differ materially from those expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, the following:

we may fail to secure development opportunities due to an inability to reach agreements with third parties to obtain land at attractive prices or to obtain desired zoning and other local approvals;

we may abandon or defer development opportunities for a number of reasons, including changes in local market conditions which make development less desirable, increases in costs of development and increases in the cost of capital, resulting in losses;

construction costs of a community may exceed our original estimates;

we may not complete construction and lease-up of communities under development or redevelopment on schedule, resulting in increased interest costs and construction costs and a decrease in our expected rental revenues;

occupancy rates and market rents may be adversely affected by competition and local economic and market conditions which are beyond our control;

financing may not be available on favorable terms or at all, and our cash flows from operations and access to cost effective capital may be insufficient for the development of our pipeline which could limit our pursuit of opportunities;

our cash flows may be insufficient to meet required payments of principal and interest, and we may be unable to refinance existing indebtedness or the terms of such refinancing may not be as favorable as the terms of existing indebtedness;

we may be unsuccessful in our management of the Fund and the Fund REIT; and

we may be unsuccessful in managing changes in our portfolio composition.

ITEM 7a. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

We are exposed to certain financial market risks, the most predominant being interest rate risk. We monitor interest rate risk as an integral part of our overall risk management program, which recognizes the unpredictability of financial markets and seeks to reduce the potentially adverse effect on our results of operations. The effect of interest rate fluctuations historically has been small relative to other factors affecting operating results, such as rental rates and occupancy. The specific market risks and the potential impact on our operating results are described below. Our operating results are affected by changes in interest rates as a result of borrowings under our variable rate unsecured credit facility as well as outstanding bonds with variable interest rates. We had \$426,795,000 and \$209,165,000 in variable rate debt outstanding (excluding variable rate debt effectively fixed through swap agreements) as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. If interest rates on the variable rate debt had been 100 basis points higher throughout 2006 and 2005, our annual interest costs would have increased by approximately \$3,027,000 and \$3,990,000, respectively, based on balances outstanding during the applicable years.

We currently use interest rate protection agreements (consisting of interest rate swap and interest rate cap agreements) to reduce the impact of interest rate fluctuations on certain variable rate indebtedness, not for trading on speculative purposes. Under swap agreements:

we agree to pay to a counterparty the interest that would have been incurred on a fixed principal amount at a fixed interest rate (generally, the interest rate on a particular treasury bond on the date the agreement is entered into, plus a fixed increment); and

the counterparty agrees to pay to us the interest that would have been incurred on the same principal amount at an assumed floating interest rate tied to a particular market index.

As of December 31, 2006, the effect of swap agreements is to fix the interest rate on approximately \$65,800,000 of our variable rate, tax-exempt debt. The interest rate protection provided by certain swap agreements on the consolidated variable rate, tax-exempt debt was not electively entered into by us but, rather, was a requirement of either the bond issuer or the credit enhancement provider related to certain tax-exempt bond financings. Had these swap agreements not been in place during 2006 and 2005, our annual interest costs would have been approximately \$1,182,000 and \$1,878,000 lower, respectively, based on balances outstanding and reported interest rates during the applicable years. Additionally, if the variable interest rates on this debt had been 100 basis points higher throughout 2006 and 2005 and these swap agreements had not been in place, our annual interest costs would have been approximately \$37,000 higher in 2006 and \$1,200,000 lower in 2005.

Because the counterparties providing the swap agreements are major financial institutions which have an A+ or better credit rating by the Standard & Poor s Ratings Group and the interest rates fixed by the swap agreements are significantly higher than current market rates for such agreements, we do not believe there is exposure at this time to a default by a counterparty provider.

In addition, changes in interest rates affect the fair value of our fixed rate debt, which impacts the fair value of our aggregate indebtedness. Debt securities and notes payable (excluding amounts outstanding under our variable rate unsecured credit facility) with an aggregate carrying value of \$2,828,508,000 at December 31, 2006 had an estimated aggregate fair value of \$2,939,717,000 at December 31, 2006. Fixed rate debt (excluding our variable rate debt effectively fixed through swap agreements) represented \$2,324,513,000 of the carrying value and \$2,435,722,000 of the fair value at December 31, 2006. If interest rates had been 100 basis points higher as of December 31, 2006, the fair value of this fixed rate debt would have decreased by \$102,909,000.

We do not have any exposure to foreign currency or equity price risk, and our exposure to commodity price risk is insignificant.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

The response to this Item 8 is included as a separate section of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 9a. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

- (a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures. As required by Rule 13a-15 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company carried out an evaluation under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures. Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms. We continue to review and document our disclosure controls and procedures, including our internal control over financial reporting, and may from time to time make changes aimed at enhancing their effectiveness and to ensure that our systems evolve with our business.
- (b) *Management s Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting*. Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f). Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006 based on the framework in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Based on that evaluation, our management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2006.
 - Management s assessment of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006 has been audited by Ernst & Young LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report which is included elsewhere herein.
- (c) Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the fourth quarter of the period covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 9b. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Information pertaining to directors and executive officers of the Company and the Company s Code of Conduct are incorporated herein by reference to the Company s Proxy Statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after the end of the year covered by this Form 10-K with respect to the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 16, 2007.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Information pertaining to executive compensation is incorporated herein by reference to the Company s Proxy Statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after the end of the year covered by this Form 10-K with respect to the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 16, 2007.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

Information pertaining to security ownership of management and certain beneficial owners of the Company s common stock is incorporated herein by reference to the Company s Proxy Statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after the end of the year covered by this Form 10-K with respect to the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 16, 2007.

The Company maintains the 1994 Stock Incentive Plan (the 1994 Plan) and the 1996 Non-Qualified Employee Stock Purchase Plan (the ESPP), pursuant to which common stock or other equity awards may be issued or granted to eligible persons.

The following table gives information about equity awards under the Company s 1994 Plan and ESPP as of December 31, 2006:

	(a)		(b)	(c)
				Number of securities
				remaining available
				for future
	Number of			issuance under
	securities to be	Weigh	ited-average	equity
	issued upon	exer	cise price	
	exercise of		of	compensation plans
	outstanding	outstanding		
	options,		ptions,	(excluding securities
	•		rants and	reflected in column
Plan category	warrants and rights		rights	(a))
Equity compensation plans approved	8		C	· //
by security holders (1)	2,603,738(2)(3)	\$	69.65(3)(4)	1,791,861(5)
Equity compensation plans not				
approved by security holders (6)			n/a	789,312
Total	2,603,738	\$	69.65(3)(4)	2,581,173
			` / ` /	

(1) Consists of the 1994 Plan.

- (2) Includes 116,499 deferred units granted under the 1994 Plan, which, subject to vesting requirements, will convert in the future to common stock on a one-for-one basis, but does not include 274,986 shares of restricted stock that are outstanding and that are already reflected in the Company s outstanding shares.
- (3) Does not include outstanding options to acquire 4,240 shares, at a weighted-average exercise price of \$36.83 per share, that were assumed, in connection with the 1998 merger of Avalon Properties, Inc. with and into the Company, under the Avalon Properties, Inc. 1995 Equity Incentive Plan and the Avalon Properties, Inc. 1993 Stock Option and Incentive Plan.

- (4) Excludes
 deferred units
 granted under
 the 1994 Plan,
 which, subject
 to vesting
 requirements,
 will convert in
 the future to
 common stock
 on a one-for-one
 basis.
- (5) The 1994 Plan incorporates an evergreen formula pursuant to which the aggregate number of shares reserved for issuance under the 1994 Plan will increase annually. On each January 1, the aggregate number of shares reserved for issuance under the 1994 Plan will increase by a number of shares equal to a percentage (ranging from 0.48% to 1.00%) of all outstanding shares of common stock and operating partnership units at the end of the year. The exact

percentage used

is determined based on the percentage of all awards made under the 1994 Plan during the calendar year that were in the form of stock options with an exercise price equal to the fair market value of a share of common stock on the date of the grant. In accordance with this procedure, on January 1, 2007, the maximum number of shares remaining available for future issuance under the 1994 Plan was increased by 748,133 to 2,539,994.

(6) Consists of the ESPP.

The ESPP, which was adopted by the Board of Directors on October 29, 1996, has not been approved by our shareholders. A further description of the ESPP appears in Note 10, Stock-Based Compensation Plans, of our Consolidated Financial Statements included in this report.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

Information pertaining to certain relationships and related transactions is incorporated herein by reference to the Company s Proxy Statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after the end of the year covered by this Form 10-K with respect to the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 16, 2007.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

Information pertaining to the fees paid to and services provided by the Company s principal accountant is incorporated herein by reference to the Company s Proxy Statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after the end of the year covered by this Form 10-K with respect to the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 16, 2007.

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULE

15(a	(1)	Financial	Statements

Index to Financial Statements

Consolidated Financial Statements and Financial Statement Schedule:

Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	F-1
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2006 and 2005	F-3
Consolidated Statements of Operations and Other Comprehensive Income for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004	F-4
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004	F-5
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004	F-6
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements 15(a)(2) Financial Statement Schedule	F-8
Schedule III Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation 15(a)(3) Exhibits The exhibits listed on the accompanying Index to Exhibits are filed as a part of this report. 71	F-35

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

3(1).1	Articles of Amendment and Restatement of Articles of Incorporation of the Company, dated as of June 4, 1998. (Refiled herewith.)
3(i).2	Articles of Amendment, dated as of October 2, 1998. (Refiled herewith.)
3(i).3	Articles Supplementary, dated as of October 13, 1998, relating to the 8.70% Series H Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock. (Refiled herewith.)
3(ii).1	Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Company, as adopted by the Board of Directors on February 13, 2003. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(ii) to Form 10-K of the Company filed March 11, 2003.)
4.1	Second Supplemental Indenture of Avalon Properties dated as of December 16, 1997. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to Form 10-K of the Company filed March 11, 2003.)
4.2	Indenture for Senior Debt Securities, dated as of January 16, 1998, between the Company and State Street Bank and Trust Company, as Trustee. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Form S-3ASR of the Company filed January 8, 2007.)
4.3	First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of January 20, 1998, between the Company and State Street Bank and Trust Company as Trustee. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to Form S-3ASR of the Company filed January 8, 2007.)
4.4	Second Supplemental Indenture, dated as of July 7, 1998, between the Company and State Street Bank and Trust Company as Trustee. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to Form S-3ASR of the Company filed January 8, 2007.)
4.5	Amended and Restated Third Supplemental Indenture, dated as of July 10, 2000 between the Company and State Street Bank and Trust Company as Trustee. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to Form S-3ASR of the Company filed January 8, 2007.)
4.6	Fourth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of September 18, 2006, between the Company and U.S. Bank National Association as Trustee. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.5 to Form S-3ASR of the Company filed January 8, 2007.)
4.7	Dividend Reinvestment and Stock Purchase Plan of the Company filed September 14, 1999. (Incorporated by reference to Form S-3 of the Company, File No. 333-87063.)
4.8	Amendment to the Company s Dividend Reinvestment and Stock Purchase Plan filed on December 17, 1999. (Incorporated by reference to the Prospectus Supplement filed pursuant to Rule 424(b)(2) of the Securities Act of 1933 on December 17, 1999.)
4.9	Amendment to the Company s Dividend Reinvestment and Stock Purchase Plan filed on March 26, 2004. (Incorporated by reference to the Prospectus Supplement filed pursuant to Rule 424(b)(3) of the Securities Act of 1933 on March 26, 2004.)
10.1	

Amended and Restated Distribution Agreement, dated August 6, 2003, among AvalonBay Communities, Inc. (the Company) and the Agents, including Administrative Procedures, relating

10.2	to the MTNs. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 10-K of the Company filed March 5, 2004.) Amended and Restated Limited Partnership Agreement of AvalonBay Value Added Fund, L.P., dated as of March 16, 2005. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 10-Q of the Company filed May 6, 2005.)
10.3+	Endorsement Split Dollar Agreements and Amendments thereto with Messrs. Blair, Naughton, Fuller, Sargeant, Horey and Meyer (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Form 10-Q of the Company filed May 6, 2005.)
10.4+	Employment Agreement, dated as of July 1, 2003, between the Company and Thomas J. Sargeant. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Amendment No. 3 to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-3 (333-103755), filed July 7, 2003.)
10.5+	First Amendment to Employment Agreement between the Company and Thomas J. Sargeant, dated as of March 31, 2005. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to Form 10-Q of the Company filed May 6, 2005.)
10.6+	Employment Agreement, dated as of January 10, 2003, between the Company and Bryce Blair. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to Form 10-K of the Company filed March 11, 2003.)
10.7+	First Amendment to Employment Agreement between the Company and Bryce Blair, dated as of March 31, 2005. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Form 10-Q of the Company filed May 6, 2005.)
10.8+	Employment Agreement, dated as of February 26, 2001, between the Company and Timothy J. Naughton. (Refiled herewith.)
10.9+	First Amendment to Employment Agreement between the Company and Timothy J. Naughton, dated as of March 31, 2005. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to Form 10-Q of the Company filed May 6, 2005.)
10.10+	Employment Agreement, dated as of September 10, 2001, between the Company and Leo S. Horey. (Refiled herewith.)
10.11+	First Amendment to Employment Agreement between the Company and Leo S. Horey, dated as of March 31, 2005. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to Form 10-Q of the Company filed May 6, 2005).
10.12+	Employment Agreement, dated as of December 31, 2001, between the Company and Samuel B. Fuller. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to Form 10-K of the Company filed March 26, 2002.)
10.13+	First Amendment to Employment Agreement between the Company and Samuel B. Fuller, dated as of March 31, 2005. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to Form 10-Q of the Company filed May 6, 2005).

10.14+

Separation Agreement between the Company and Samuel B. Fuller, dated as of April 6, 2005. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to Form 10-Q of the Company filed May 6, 2005.)

10.15+	Retirement Agreement, dated as of March 24, 2000, between the Company and Gilbert M. Meyer. (Refiled herewith.)
10.16+	First Amendment to Retirement Agreement between the Company and Gilbert M. Meyer, dated as of March 31, 2005. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to Form 10-Q of the Company filed May 6, 2005).
10.17+	Avalon Properties, Inc. 1993 Stock Option and Incentive Plan. (Refiled herewith.)
10.18+	Avalon Properties, Inc. 1995 Equity Incentive Plan. (Refiled herewith.)
10.19+	Amendment, dated May 6, 1999, to the Avalon Properties Amended and Restated 1995 Equity Incentive Plan. (Refiled herewith.)
10.20+	AvalonBay Communities, Inc. 1994 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended and restated in full on December 8, 2004. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.B 1 to Form 8-K of the Company filed December 14, 2004.)
10.21+	Amendment, dated February 9, 2006, to the AvalonBay Communities, Inc. 1994 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended and restated on December 8, 2004. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.32 to Form 10-K of the Company filed March 14, 2006.)
10.22+	Amendment, dated December 6, 2006, to the AvalonBay Communities, Inc. 1994 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended and restated on December 8, 2004. (Filed herewith.)
10.23+	1996 Non-Qualified Employee Stock Purchase Plan, dated June 26, 1997, as amended and restated. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to Post-effective Amendment No. 1 to Form S-8 of the Company filed June 26, 1997, File No. 333-16837.)
10.24+	1996 Non-Qualified Employee Stock Purchase Plan Plan Information Statement dated June 26, 1997. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 to Form S-8 of the company, File No. 333-16837.)
10.25+	Form of Indemnity Agreement between the Company and its Directors. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 10-Q of the Company filed November 9, 2005.)
10.26+	The Company s Officer Severance Plan adopted on September 9, 1999. (Refiled herewith.)
10.27+	Form of AvalonBay Communities, Inc. Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement (1994 Stock Incentive Plan, as Amended and Restated). (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company s Form 10-Q filed on November 4, 2004.).
10.28+	Form of AvalonBay Communities, Inc. Incentive Stock Option Agreement (1994 Stock Incentive Plan, as Amended and Restated). (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company s Form 10-Q filed on November 4, 2004.)
10.29+	Form of AvalonBay Communities, Inc. Employee Stock Grant and Restricted Stock Agreement. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company s Form 10-Q filed on

November 4, 2004.)

74

10.30+	Form of AvalonBay Communities, Inc. Director Restricted Unit Agreement. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company s Form 10-Q filed on November 4, 2004.)
10.31+	Form of AvalonBay Communities, Inc. Director Restricted Stock Agreement. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company s Form 10-Q filed on November 4, 2004.)
10.32	Amended and Restated Revolving Loan Agreement, dated as of May 24, 2004, among the Company, as Borrower, JPMorgan Chase Bank and Wachovia Bank, N.A., each as a Bank and Syndication Agent, Bank of America, successor in interest to Fleet National Bank, as a Bank, Swing Lender and Issuing Bank, Morgan Stanley Bank, Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., and Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, each as a Bank and Documentation Agent, the other banks signatory thereto, each as a Bank, J.P. Morgan Securities, Inc., as Sole Bookrunner and Lead Arranger, and Bank of America, successor in interest to Fleet National Bank, as Administrative Agent. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 10-Q of the Company filed August 6, 2004.)
10.33+	Rules and Procedures for Non-Employee Directors Deferred Compensation Program adopted on November 20, 2006. (Filed herewith.)
10.34+	Compensation Arrangements for Non-Employee Directors. (Incorporated by reference to the Company s Form 8-K filed on February 14, 2006.)
12.1	Statements re: Computation of Ratios. (Filed herewith.)
21.1	Schedule of Subsidiaries of the Company. (Filed herewith.)
23.1	Consent of Ernst & Young LLP. (Filed herewith.)
31.1	Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Chief Executive Officer). (Filed herewith.)
31.2	Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Chief Financial Officer). (Filed herewith.)
32	Certification pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer). (Filed herewith.)
+ Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement required to be filed or incorporated by reference as an exhibit to this Form 10-K	

pursuant to Item 154(c) of Form 10-K.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

AvalonBay Communities, Inc.

Date: February 28, 2007 By: /s/ Bryce Blair

Bryce Blair, Chairman of the Board and

Chief Executive

Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Date: February 28, 2007 By: /s/ Bryce Blair

Bryce Blair, Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)

Date: February 28, 2007 By: /s/ Thomas J. Sargeant

Thomas J. Sargeant, Chief Financial

Officer

(Principal Financial and Accounting

Officer)

Date: February 28, 2007 By: /s/ Bruce A. Choate

Bruce A. Choate, Director

Date: February 28, 2007 By: /s/ John J. Healy, Jr.

John J. Healy, Jr., Director

Date: February 28, 2007 By: /s/ Gilbert M. Meyer

Gilbert M. Meyer, Director

Date: February 28, 2007 By: /s/ Timothy J. Naughton

Timothy J. Naughton, Director

Date: February 28, 2007 By: /s/ Lance R. Primis

Lance R. Primis, Director

Date: February 28, 2007 By: /s/ H. Jay Sarles

H. Jay Sarles, Director

Date: February 28, 2007 By: /s/ Allan D. Schuster

Allan D. Schuster, Director

Date: February 28, 2007 By: /s/ Amy P. Williams

Amy P. Williams, Director

76

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Shareholders of

AvalonBay Communities, Inc.:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of AvalonBay Communities, Inc. as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, and the related consolidated statements of operations and other comprehensive income, stockholders equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2006. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Item 15(a)(2). These financial statements and schedule are the responsibility of the Company s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of AvalonBay Communities, Inc. at December 31, 2006 and 2005, and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2006, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the effectiveness of AvalonBay Communities, Inc. s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated February 26, 2007 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

McLean, Virginia February 26, 2007

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Shareholders of

AvalonBay Communities, Inc.:

We have audited management s assessment, included in the accompanying Management s Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting in Item 9a., that AvalonBay Communities, Inc. maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, based on criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). AvalonBay Communities, Inc. s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on management s assessment and an opinion on the effectiveness of the company s internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, evaluating management s assessment, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. A company s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, management s assessment that AvalonBay Communities, Inc. maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, is fairly stated, in all material respects, based on the COSO criteria. Also, in our opinion, AvalonBay Communities, Inc. maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of AvalonBay Communities, Inc. as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, and the related consolidated statements of operations and other comprehensive income, stockholders—equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2006 of AvalonBay Communities, Inc. and our report dated February 26, 2007 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

McLean, Virginia February 26, 2007

AVALONBAY COMMUNITIES, INC. CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)

	12-31-06	12-31-05
ASSETS		
Real estate:		
Land	\$ 958,254	\$ 872,822
Buildings and improvements	4,560,457	4,254,914
Furniture, fixtures and equipment	143,480	131,689
	5,662,191	5,259,425
Less accumulated depreciation	(1,099,834)	(937,824)
Net operating real estate	4,562,357	4,321,601
Construction in progress, including land	641,781	261,743
Land held for development	209,568	179,739
Operating real estate assets held for sale, net	64,351	182,705
Total real estate, net	5,478,057	4,945,788
Cash and cash equivalents	8,567	5,715
Cash in escrow	136,989	48,266
Resident security deposits	26,574	26,290
Investments in unconsolidated real estate entities	42,724	41,942
Deferred financing costs, net	26,343	17,976
Deferred development costs	39,365	31,467
Prepaid expenses and other assets	54,567	47,616
Total assets	\$ 5,813,186	\$5,165,060
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY		
Unsecured notes, net	\$ 2,153,078	\$1,809,182
Variable rate unsecured credit facility		66,800
Mortgage notes payable	672,508	458,035
Dividends payable	60,417	54,476
Payables for construction	59,232	24,690
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	112,219	82,205
Accrued interest payable	37,236	34,649
Resident security deposits	38,803	35,544
Liabilities related to real estate assets held for sale	42,985	38,352
Total liabilities	3,176,478	2,603,933
Minority interest of unitholders in consolidated partnerships	5,270	19,464

Commitments and contingencies

Stockholders equity: Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value; \$25 liquidation preference; 50,000,000 shares authorized at both December 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005; 4,000,000 shares issued and outstanding at both December 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005 Common stock, \$0.01 par value; 140,000,000 shares authorized at both December 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005; 74,668,372 and 73,663,048 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005,	40	40
respectively	747	737
Additional paid-in capital	2,482,516	2,429,568
Accumulated earnings less dividends	151,714	115,788
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(3,579)	(4,470)
Total stockholders equity	2,631,438	2,541,663
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 5,813,186	\$ 5,165,060

See accompanying notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

F-3

AVALONBAY COMMUNITIES, INC. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS AND OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)

	For the year ended		
		•	12-31-04
Revenue:			
Rental and other income	\$731,041	\$666,376	•
Management, development and other fees	6,259	4,304	604
Total revenue	737,300	670,680	613,844
Expenses:			
Operating expenses, excluding property taxes	210,895	191,558	181,351
Property taxes	68,257	65,487	59,458
Interest expense, net	111,046	127,099	131,103
Depreciation expense	162,896	158,822	151,991
General and administrative expense	24,767	25,761	18,074
Total expenses	577,861	568,727	541,977
Equity in income of unconsolidated entities	7,455	7,198	1,100
Venture partner interest in profit-sharing			(1,178)
Minority interest in consolidated partnerships	(573)	(1,481)	(150)
Gain on sale of land	13,519	4,479	1,138
Income from continuing operations before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	179,840	112,149	72,777
Discontinued operations:			
Income from discontinued operations	1,148	14,942	21,134
Gain on sale of communities	97,411	195,287	121,287
Total discontinued operations	98,559	210,229	142,421
Income before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	278,399	322,378	215,198 4,547
Net income Dividends attributable to preferred stock	278,399 (8,700)	322,378 (8,700)	219,745 (8,700)
Net income available to common stockholders	\$ 269,699	\$313,678	\$ 211,045

Other comprehensive income:						
Unrealized gain on cash flow hedges		891		2,626		1,116
Comprehensive income	\$ 270,590		\$316,304		\$ 212,16	
Earnings per common share basic: Income from continuing operations (net of dividends attributable to preferred stock) Discontinued operations	\$	2.31 1.33	\$	1.42 2.88	\$	0.96 1.99
Net income available to common stockholders	\$	3.64	\$	4.30	\$	2.95
Earnings per common share diluted: Income from continuing operations (net of dividends attributable to preferred stock) Discontinued operations	\$	2.27 1.30	\$	1.40 2.81	\$	0.96 1.96
Net income available to common stockholders	\$	3.57	\$	4.21	\$	2.92
See accompanying notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. F-4						

AVALONBAY COMMUNITIES, INC. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY (Dollars in thousands)

					AccumulatedAccumulated				
	Shares Preferred stock	issued Common stock	Preferre stock	Common stock	Additional paid-in capital	earnings less com dividends	other nprehensives loss	Total stockholders equity	
					1			1 7	
Balance at December 31, 2003	4,000,000	70,937,526	\$ 40	\$ 709	\$2,316,773	\$ 2,024 \$	(8,212)	\$ 2,311,334	
Net income Unrealized gain on						219,745		219,745	
cash flow hedges Dividends declared to common and							1,116	1,116	
preferred stockholders Issuance of common						(210,338)		(210,338)	
stock Amortization of		1,644,550)	17	59,147	(662)		58,502	
deferred compensation					4,932			4,932	
Balance at									
December 31, 2004 Net income	4,000,000	72,582,076	40	726	2,380,852	10,769 322,378	(7,096)	2,385,291 322,378	
Unrealized gain on cash flow hedges Dividends declared							2,626	2,626	
to common and preferred									
stockholders Issuance of common						(216,982)		(216,982)	
stock Amortization of		1,080,972		11	40,378	(377)		40,012	
deferred compensation					8,338			8,338	
Balance at December 31, 2005	4,000,000	73,663,048	40	737	2,429,568	115,788	(4,470)	2,541,663	
Net income Unrealized gain on						278,399		278,399	
cash flow hedges						(241,155)	891	891 (241,155)	

Dividends declared to common and preferred stockholders Issuance of common stock

stock 1,005,324 10 38,839 (1,318) 37,531

Amortization of

deferred

compensation 14,109 14,109

Balance at

December 31, 2006 4,000,000 74,668,372 \$ 40 \$ 747 \$2,482,516 \$ 151,714 \$ (3,579) \$2,631,438

See accompanying notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

AVALONBAY COMMUNITIES, INC. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Dollars in thousands)

	For the year ended			
	12-31-06	12-31-05	12-31-04	
Cash flows from operating activities:				
Net income	\$ 278,399	\$ 322,378	\$ 219,745	
Adjustments to reconcile net income to cash provided by operating				
activities:				
Depreciation expense	162,896	158,822	151,991	
Depreciation expense from discontinued operations		3,241	10,676	
Amortization of deferred financing costs and debt premium/discount	4,474	4,022	3,962	
Amortization of deferred compensation	10,095	4,292	2,593	
Income allocated to minority interest in consolidated partnerships	573	1,481	187	
Income allocated to venture partner interest in profit-sharing			1,178	
Equity in income of unconsolidated entities, net of eliminations	(6,480)	(6,565)	(1,100)	
Return on investment of unconsolidated entities	298	330	43	
Gain on sale of real estate assets	(110,930)	(199,766)	(122,425)	
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle			(4,547)	
Increase in cash in operating escrows	(844)	(4,344)	(1,451)	
Decrease (increase) in resident security deposits, prepaid expenses and other				
assets	(2,197)	8,547	(10,589)	
Increase in accrued expenses, other liabilities and accrued interest payable	15,659	13,810	25,354	
Net cash provided by operating activities	351,943	306,248	275,617	
Cash flows from investing activities:				
Development/redevelopment of real estate assets including land acquisitions				
and deferred development costs	(735,167)	(382,871)	(355,938)	
Acquisition of real estate assets, including partner equity interest	(74,924)	(57,415)	(128,238)	
Capital expenditures existing real estate assets	(74,224) $(21,289)$	(37,413) $(17,570)$	(12,984)	
Capital expenditures non-real estate assets	(957)	(17,570) $(1,520)$	(860)	
Proceeds from sale of real estate and technology investments, including	(551)	(1,320)	(000)	
reimbursement for Fund communities, net of selling costs	272,223	469,292	219,649	
Increase (decrease) in payables for construction	34,542	5,198	(3,962)	
Decrease (increase) in cash in construction escrows	19,572	(21,784)	201	
Repayment of participating mortgage note, including interest and	13,672	(=1,701)	201	
prepayment premium			34,846	
Increase in investments in unconsolidated real estate entities	(5,371)	(13,091)	(4,397)	
Not each used in investing activities	(511 271)	(10.761)	(251 692)	
Net cash used in investing activities	(511,371)	(19,761)	(251,683)	
Cash flows from financing activities:				
Issuance of common stock	26,551	36,611	54,031	
Dividends paid	(234,958)	(215,391)	(209,095)	
Net borrowings (repayments) under unsecured credit facility	(66,800)	(35,200)	50,900	

Issuance of mortgage notes payable and draws on construction loans	113,849		26,269	105,843
Repayments of mortgage notes payable	(6,827)		(41,932)	(40,270)
Issuance (repayment) of unsecured notes	343,743		(50,000)	25,000
Payment of deferred financing costs	(12,698)		(1,292)	(9,318)
Redemption of units for cash by minority partners	(80)		(50)	(1,691)
Distributions to DownREIT partnership unitholders	(392)		(1,194)	(1,425)
Distributions to joint venture and profit-sharing partners	(108)		(114)	(3,446)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	162,280	((282,293)	(29,471)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	2,852		4,194	(5,537)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	5,715		1,521	7,058
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	\$ 8,567	\$	5,715	\$ 1,521
Cash paid during year for interest, net of amount capitalized	\$ 102,640	\$	121,526	\$ 124,895

See accompanying notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (continued)

Supplemental disclosures of non-cash investing and financing activities (dollars in thousands): During the year ended December 31, 2006:

As described in Note 4, Stockholders Equity, 122,172 shares of common stock valued at \$12,568 were issued in connection with stock grants, 2,306 shares valued at \$256 were issued through the Company s dividend reinvestment plan, 47,411 shares valued at \$3,449 were withheld to satisfy employees tax withholding and other liabilities and 5,910 shares valued at \$193 were forfeited, for a net value of \$9,182. In addition, the Company granted 849,769 options for common stock, net of forfeitures, at a value of \$9,946.

308,345 units of limited partnership, valued at \$14,166, were presented for redemption to the DownREIT partnerships that issued such units and were acquired by the Company in exchange for an equal number of shares of the Company s common stock.

The Company issued \$187,300 of variable rate tax-exempt debt, of which \$107,451 in proceeds were not received, but placed in an escrow until requisitioned for construction funding.

The Company recorded a decrease to other liabilities and a corresponding gain to other comprehensive income of \$891 to adjust the Company s Hedged Derivatives (as defined in Note 5, Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities) to their fair value.

Common and preferred dividends declared but not paid totaled \$60,417.

During the year ended December 31, 2005:

165,790 shares of common stock were issued in connection with stock grants, 1,295 shares were issued through the Company s dividend reinvestment plan, 8,971 shares were issued to a member of the Board of Directors in fulfillment of a deferred stock award, 50,916 shares were withheld to satisfy employees tax withholding and other liabilities and 9,965 shares were forfeited, for a net value of \$9,317. In addition, the Company granted 696,484 options for common stock, net of forfeitures, at a value of \$4,521.

49,263 units of limited partnership, valued at \$2,202, were presented for redemption to the DownREIT partnerships that issued such units and were acquired by the Company in exchange for an equal number of shares of the Company s common stock.

The Company deconsolidated mortgage notes payable in the aggregate amount of \$24,869 upon admittance of outside investors into the Fund (as defined in Note 6, Investments in Unconsolidated Entities).

The Company assumed fixed rate debt of \$4,566 as part of the acquisition of an improved land parcel.

The Company recorded a decrease to other liabilities and a corresponding gain to other comprehensive income of \$2,626 to adjust the Company s Hedging Derivatives to their fair value.

Common and preferred dividends declared but not paid totaled \$54,476.

During the year ended December 31, 2004:

147,517 shares of common stock were issued in connection with stock grants, 78,509 shares were issued in connection with non-cash stock option exercises, 1,545 shares were issued through the Company s dividend reinvestment plan, 75,515 shares were withheld to satisfy employees tax withholding and other liabilities and 3,012 shares were forfeited, for a net value of \$6,138. In addition, the Company granted 465,232 options for common stock, net of forfeitures, at a value of \$2,081.

104,160 units of limited partnership, valued at \$4,035, were presented for redemption to the DownREIT partnerships that issued such units and were acquired by the Company in exchange for an equal number of shares of the Company s common stock.

The Company sold two communities with mortgage notes payable of \$28,335 in the aggregate, that were assumed by the respective buyers as part of the total sales price.

The Company assumed fixed rate debt of \$8,155 in connection with the acquisition of a community and \$20,141 in connection with the acquisition of three improved land parcels.

The Company recorded a decrease to other liabilities and a corresponding gain to other comprehensive income of \$1,116 to adjust the Company s Hedged Derivatives to their fair value.

Common and preferred dividends declared but not paid totaled \$52,982.

AVALONBAY COMMUNITIES, INC. NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Dollars in thousands, except per share data)

1. Organization and Significant Accounting Policies

Organization

AvalonBay Communities, Inc. (the Company, which term, unless the context otherwise requires, refers to AvalonBay Communities, Inc. together with its subsidiaries) is a Maryland corporation that has elected to be taxed as a real estate investment trust (REIT) under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (the Code), as amended. The Company focuses on the ownership and operation of apartment communities in high barrier-to-entry markets of the United States. These markets are located in the Northeast, Mid-Atlantic, Midwest, Pacific Northwest, and Northern and Southern California regions of the country.

At December 31, 2006, the Company owned or held a direct or indirect ownership interest in 150 operating apartment communities containing 43,141 apartment homes in ten states and the District of Columbia, of which six communities containing 2,381 apartment homes were under reconstruction. In addition, the Company owned or held a direct or indirect ownership interest in 17 communities under construction that are expected to contain an aggregate of 5,153 apartment homes when completed. The Company also owned or held a direct or indirect ownership interest in rights to develop an additional 54 communities that, if developed in the manner expected, will contain an estimated 14,185 apartment homes.

Principles of Consolidation

The Company is the surviving corporation from the merger (the Merger) of Bay Apartment Communities, Inc. (Bay) and Avalon Properties, Inc. (Avalon) on June 4, 1998, in which Avalon shareholders received 0.7683 of a share of common stock of the Company for each share owned of Avalon common stock. The Merger was accounted for under the purchase method of accounting, with the historical financial statements for Avalon presented prior to the Merger. At that time, Avalon ceased to legally exist, and Bay as the surviving legal entity adopted the historical financial statements of Avalon. Consequently, Bay s assets were recorded in the historical financial statements of Avalon at an amount equal to Bay s debt outstanding at that time plus the value of capital stock retained by the Bay stockholders, which approximates fair value. In connection with the Merger, the Company changed its name from Bay Apartment Communities, Inc. to AvalonBay Communities, Inc.

The accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly-owned partnerships, certain joint venture partnerships, subsidiary partnerships structured as DownREITs and any variable interest entities consolidated under FASB Interpretation No. 46 (FIN 46(R)), Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities, an Interpretation of ARB No. 51, as revised in December 2003. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

The Company assesses consolidation of variable interest entities under the guidance of FIN 46(R). The Company accounts for joint venture entities and subsidiary partnerships, including those structured as DownREITs, that are not variable interest entities, in accordance with EITF Issue No. 04-5, Determining Whether a General Partner, or the General Partners as a Group, Controls a Limited Partnership or Similar Entity When the Limited Partners Have Certain Rights , Statement of Position (SOP) 78-9, Accounting for Investments in Real Estate Ventures , Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 18, The Equity Method of Accounting for Investments in Common Stock and EITF Topic D-46, Accounting for Limited Partnership Investments. The Company uses EITF Issue No. 04-5 to evaluate the partnership of each joint venture entity and determine whether control over the partnership, as defined by the EITF, lies with the general partner, or the limited partners, when the limited partners have certain rights. The general partner in a limited partnership is presumed to control that limited partnership, unless that presumption is overcome by the limited partners having either: (i) the substantive ability, either by a single limited partner or through a simple majority vote, to dissolve the limited partnership or otherwise remove the general partner without cause; or (ii) substantive participating rights. If the Company is the general partner and has control over the partnership, or if the Company s limited partnership ownership includes the ability to dissolve the partnership, or has substantive participating rights, as discussed above, the Company consolidates the investments.

If the Company is not the general partner, or the Company s partnership interest does not contain either of the above terms which overcome the presumption of control in a limited partnership residing with the general partner, the Company then looks to the guidance in SOP 78-9, APB 18 and EITF D-46 to determine the accounting framework to apply. The Company generally uses the equity method to account for these investments unless our ownership interest is so minor that we have virtually no influence over the partnership operating and financial policies. Investments in which the Company has little or no influence are accounted for using the cost method.

In each of the partnerships structured as DownREITs, either the Company or one of the Company s wholly-owned subsidiaries is the general partner, and there are one or more limited partners whose interest in the partnership is represented by units of limited partnership interest. For each DownREIT partnership, limited partners are entitled to receive an initial distribution before any distribution is made to the general partner. Although the partnership agreements for each of the DownREITs are different, generally the distributions per unit paid to the holders of units of limited partnership interests have approximated the Company s current common stock dividend per share. The holders of units of limited partnership interest have the right to present all or some of their units for redemption for a cash amount as determined by the applicable partnership agreement and based on the fair value of the Company s common stock. In lieu of cash redemption, the Company may elect to exchange such units for an equal number of shares of the company s common stock.

In conjunction with the acquisition and development of investments in unconsolidated entities, the Company may incur costs in excess of its equity in the underlying assets. These costs are capitalized and depreciated over the life of the underlying assets to the extent that the Company expects to recover these costs.

If there is an event or change in circumstance that indicates a loss in the value of an investment, the Company s policy is to record the loss and reduce the value of the investment to its fair value. A loss in value would be indicated if the Company could not recover the carrying value of the investment or if the investee could not sustain an earnings capacity that would justify the carrying amount of the investment. During the year ended December 31, 2004, the Company recorded an impairment loss of \$1,002 related to a technology investment, which is included in operating expenses, excluding property taxes on the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations and Other Comprehensive Income. The Company did not recognize an impairment loss on any of its investments in unconsolidated entities during the years ended December 31, 2006 or 2005.

Revenue and Gain Recognition

Rental income related to leases is recognized on an accrual basis when due from residents in accordance with SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 104, Revenue Recognition, and Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 13, Accounting for Leases. In accordance with the Company s standard lease terms, rental payments are generally due on a monthly basis. Any cash concessions given at the inception of the lease are amortized over the approximate life of the lease, which is generally one year.

The Company accounts for sales of real estate assets and the related gain recognition in accordance with SFAS No. 66, Accounting for Sales of Real Estate.

Real Estate

The operating real estate assets are stated at cost and consist of land, buildings and improvements, furniture, fixtures and equipment, and other costs incurred during their development, redevelopment and acquisition. Significant expenditures which improve or extend the life of an asset are capitalized. Expenditures for maintenance and repairs are charged to operations as incurred.

The Company s policy with respect to capital expenditures is generally to capitalize only non-recurring expenditures. Improvements and upgrades are capitalized only if the item exceeds \$15, extends the useful life of the asset and is not related to making an apartment home ready for the next resident. Purchases of personal property, such as computers and furniture, are capitalized only if the item is a new addition and exceeds \$2.5. The Company generally expenses purchases of personal property made for replacement purposes.

The capitalization of costs during the development of assets (including interest and related loan fees, property taxes and other direct and indirect costs) begins when development efforts commence and ends when the asset, or a portion of an asset, is delivered and is ready for its intended use.

Cost capitalization during redevelopment of apartment homes (including interest and related loan fees, property taxes and other direct and indirect costs) begins when an apartment home is taken out-of-service for redevelopment and ends when the apartment home redevelopment is completed and the apartment home is available for a new resident. Rental income and operating costs incurred during the initial lease-up or post-redevelopment lease-up period are recognized as they accrue.

In accordance with SFAS No. 67, Accounting for Costs and Initial Rental Operations of Real Estate Projects, the Company capitalizes pre-development costs incurred in pursuit of new development opportunities for which the Company currently believes future development is probable (Development Rights). Future development of these Development Rights is dependent upon various factors, including zoning and regulatory approval, rental market conditions, construction costs and availability of capital. Pre-development costs incurred in the pursuit of Development Rights for which future development is not yet considered probable are expensed as incurred. In addition, if the status of a Development Right changes, making future development by the Company no longer probable, any capitalized pre-development costs are written-off with a charge to expense. The Company expenses costs related to abandoned pursuits, which includes the abandonment or impairment of Development Rights, acquisition pursuits, disposition pursuits and technology investments, in the amounts of \$2,115 in 2006, \$816 in 2005 and \$1,726 in 2004. These costs are included in operating expenses, excluding property taxes on the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations and Other Comprehensive Income. Abandoned pursuit costs can vary greatly, and the costs incurred in any given period may be significantly different in future years.

The Company owns land improved with office buildings and industrial space occupied by unrelated third-parties in connection with five Development Rights. The Company intends to manage the current improvements until such time as all tenant obligations have been satisfied or eliminated through negotiation, and construction of new apartment communities is ready to begin. As provided under the guidance of SFAS No. 67, the revenue from incidental operations received from the current improvements in excess of any incremental costs are being recorded as a reduction of total capitalized costs of the Development Right and not as part of net income.

In connection with the acquisition of an operating community, the Company performs a valuation and allocation to each asset and liability acquired in such transaction, based on their estimated fair values at the date of acquisition in accordance with SFAS No. 141, Business Combinations. The purchase price allocations to tangible assets, such as land, buildings and improvements, and furniture, fixtures and equipment, are reflected in real estate assets and depreciated over their estimated useful lives. Any purchase price allocation to intangible assets, such as in-place leases, is included in prepaid expenses and other assets on the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets and amortized over the average remaining lease term of the acquired leases. The fair value of acquired in-place leases is determined based on the estimated cost to replace such leases, including foregone rents during an assumed re-lease period, as well as the impact on projected cash flow of acquired leases with leased rents above or below current market rents.

Depreciation is calculated on buildings and improvements using the straight-line method over their estimated useful lives, which range from seven to thirty years. Furniture, fixtures and equipment are generally depreciated using the straight-line method over their estimated useful lives, which range from three years (primarily computer-related equipment) to seven years.

It is the Company s policy to perform a quarterly qualitative analysis to determine if there are changes in circumstances that suggest the carrying value of a long lived asset may not be recoverable. If there is an event or change in circumstance that indicates an impairment in the value of an operating community, the Company compares the current and projected operating cash flow of the community over its remaining useful life, on an undiscounted basis, to the carrying amount of the community. If the carrying amount is in excess of the estimated projected operating cash flow of the community, the Company would recognize an impairment loss equivalent to an amount required to adjust the carrying amount to its estimated fair market value. The Company has not recognized an impairment loss on any of its operating communities during the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 or 2004. *Income Taxes*

The Company elected to be taxed as a REIT under the Code, as amended, for the year ended December 31, 1994 and has not revoked such election. A corporate REIT is a legal entity which holds real estate interests and must meet a

number of organizational and operational requirements, including a requirement that it currently distribute at least 90% of its adjusted taxable income to stockholders. As a REIT, the Company generally will not be subject to corporate level federal income tax on taxable income if it distributes 100% of the taxable income over the time period allowed under the Code to its stockholders.

Management believes that all such conditions for the avoidance of income taxes have been met for the periods presented. Accordingly, no provision for federal and state income taxes has been made. If the Company fails to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, it will be subject to federal income taxes at regular corporate rates (including any applicable alternative minimum tax) and may not be able to qualify as a REIT for four subsequent taxable years. Even if the Company qualifies for taxation as a REIT, the Company may be subject to certain state and local taxes on its income and property, and to federal income and excise taxes on its undistributed taxable income. In addition, taxable income from non-REIT activities managed through taxable REIT subsidiaries is subject to federal, state and local income taxes.

The following reconciles net income available to common stockholders to taxable net income for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 (unaudited):

	2006 Estimate	2005 Actual	2004 Actual
Net income available to common stockholders	\$ 269,699	\$313,678	\$ 211,045
Dividends attributable to preferred stock, not deductible for tax	8,700	8,700	8,700
GAAP gain on sale of communities less than tax gain	7,326	9,345	8,305
Depreciation/Amortization timing differences on real estate	(24,917)	(14,736)	(3,793)
Tax compensation expense in excess of GAAP	(20,968)	(18,969)	(19,758)
Other adjustments	5,135	(2,254)	(9,835)
Taxable net income	\$ 244,975	\$ 295,764	\$ 194,664

The following summarizes the tax components of the Company s common and preferred dividends declared for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 (unaudited):

2006	2005	2004
48%	9%	39%
43%	77%	51%
9%	14%	10%
	48% 43%	48% 9% 43% 77%

Deferred Financing Costs

Deferred financing costs include fees and other expenditures necessary to obtain debt financing and are amortized on a straight-line basis, which approximates the effective interest method, over the shorter of the term of the loan or the related credit enhancement facility, if applicable. Unamortized financing costs are written-off when debt is retired before the maturity date. Accumulated amortization of deferred financing costs was \$16,179 at December 31, 2006 and was \$16,074 at December 31, 2005.

Cash, Cash Equivalents and Cash in Escrow

Cash and cash equivalents include all cash and liquid investments with an original maturity of three months or less from the date acquired. Cash in escrow consists primary of construction financing proceeds that is restricted for use in the construction of a specific community. The majority of the Company s cash, cash equivalents and cash in escrows are held at major commercial banks.

Interest Rate Contracts

The Company utilizes derivative financial instruments to manage interest rate risk and has designated these financial instruments as cash flow hedges under the guidance of SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, and SFAS No. 138, Accounting for Certain Instruments and Certain Hedging Activities, an Amendment of Statement No. 133. This statement requires that every derivative instrument be recorded on the balance sheet as either an asset or liability measured at its fair value, with changes in fair value recognized currently in earnings unless specific hedge accounting criteria are met.

For cash flow hedge relationships, changes in the fair value of the derivative instrument that are deemed effective at offsetting the risk being hedged are reported in other comprehensive income. For cash flow hedges where the cumulative changes in the fair value of the derivative exceed the cumulative changes in fair value of the hedged item, the ineffective portion is recognized in current period earnings. As of December 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005, the Company had approximately \$262,000 and \$233,000, respectively, in variable rate debt subject to cash flow hedges. See Note 5, Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities for further discussion of derivative financial instruments. *Comprehensive Income*

Comprehensive income, as reflected on the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Other Comprehensive Income, is defined as all changes in equity during each period except for those resulting from investments by or distributions to shareholders. Accumulated other comprehensive loss as reflected on the Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity, reflects the effective portion of the cumulative changes in the fair value of derivatives in qualifying cash flow hedge relationships.

Earnings per Common Share

In accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 128, Earnings per Share, basic earnings per share is computed by dividing earnings available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the period. Other potentially dilutive common shares, and the related impact to earnings, are considered when calculating earnings per share on a diluted basis. The Company s earnings per common share are determined as follows:

		For th	e year ende	d	
12-	-31-06	1	2-31-05	1	2-31-04
74,	125,795	7	2,952,492	7	1,564,202
	172,255		474,440		573,529
1,	288,848		1,332,386		1,217,225
75,	586,898	7	4,759,318	7	3,354,956
\$	269,699	\$	313,678	\$	211,045
74,	125,795	7	2,952,492	7	1,564,202
\$	3.64	\$	4.30	\$	2.95
\$	269,699	\$	313,678	\$	211,045
	391		1,363		3,048
			,		,
\$	270,090	\$	315,041	\$	214,093
75,	586,898	7	4,759,318	7	3,354,956
\$	3.57	\$	4.21	\$	2.92
	74, 1, 75, \$ 74, \$ \$ 75,	74,125,795 \$ 3.64 \$ 269,699 391 \$ 270,090 75,586,898	12-31-06 1 74,125,795 7 172,255 1,288,848 75,586,898 7 \$ 269,699 \$ 74,125,795 7 \$ 3.64 \$ \$ 269,699 \$ 391 \$ 270,090 \$ 75,586,898 7	12-31-06 12-31-05 74,125,795 72,952,492 172,255 474,440 1,288,848 1,332,386 75,586,898 74,759,318 \$ 269,699 \$ 313,678 74,125,795 72,952,492 \$ 3.64 \$ 4.30 \$ 269,699 \$ 313,678 391 1,363 \$ 270,090 \$ 315,041 75,586,898 74,759,318	74,125,795 72,952,492 7 172,255 474,440 1,288,848 1,288,848 1,332,386 75,586,898 74,759,318 7 \$ 269,699 \$ 313,678 \$ 74,125,795 72,952,492 7 \$ 3.64 \$ 4.30 \$ \$ 269,699 \$ 313,678 \$ \$ 269,699 \$ 313,678 \$ \$ 270,090 \$ 315,041 \$ 75,586,898 74,759,318 7

Certain options to purchase shares of common stock in the amounts of 3,000 were outstanding during the year ended December 31, 2006, but were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because in applying the treasury stock method under the provisions of SFAS 123(R), as discussed below, such options are anti-dilutive.

Employee options to purchase shares of common stock of 4,500 and 6,000 were outstanding during the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively, but were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because the options exercise prices were greater than the average market price of the common shares for the period and therefore, are anti-dilutive.

Stock-Based Compensation

Effective January 1, 2003, the Company adopted the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, as amended by SFAS No. 148, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation

Transition and Disclosure—an amendment of FASB Statement No. 123, prospectively to all employee awards granted, modified, or settled on or after January 1, 2003. Awards under the Company—s stock option plans vest over a three-year period. Therefore, the cost related to stock-based employee compensation for employee stock options included in the determination of net income for the year ended 2006 is the same as the cost that would have been recognized if the fair value based method had been applied to all awards since the original effective date of SFAS No. 123. However, the cost related to stock-based employee compensation for employee stock options included in the determination of net income for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004 is less than that which would have been recognized if the fair value based method had been applied to all awards granted since the original effective date of SFAS 123. If the fair value based method had been applied to all outstanding and unvested awards in the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively. There would not have been any material impact on earnings per common share—diluted for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004.

The Company adopted the provisions of SFAS 123(R), Share Based Payment, using the modified prospective transition method on January 1, 2006. The adoption of SFAS 123(R) did not have a material impact on the Company s financial position or results of operations. However, the adoption of SFAS 123(R) changed the service period for, and timing of, the recognition of compensation cost related to retirement eligibility, which will generally result in accelerated expense recognition by the Company for its stock based compensation programs. For the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, the Company recorded compensation cost over the vesting period, regardless of eligibility for retirement (see Note 8, Commitments and Contingencies, for a discussion of the Company s retirement plan). If the Company had recorded compensation cost based on retirement eligibility, the increase to compensation cost during the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004 would not have been material.

Under the provisions of SFAS 123(R), the Company is required to estimate the forfeiture of stock options and recognize compensation cost net of the estimated forfeitures. The estimated forfeitures included in compensation cost are adjusted to reflect actual forfeitures at the end of the vesting period. Prior to the adoption of SFAS 123(R), option forfeitures were recognized as they occurred. The forfeiture rate at December 31, 2006 was 1.9%. The application of estimated forfeitures did not materially impact compensation expense for the year ended December 31, 2006. *Variable Interest Entities under FIN 46(R)*

The Company adopted the final provisions of FIN 46(R) as of January 1, 2004, which resulted in the consolidation of one entity during 2004 from which the Company held a participating mortgage note. As a result, the Company recognized a cumulative effect of change in accounting principle in January 2004 in the amount of \$4,547, which increased earnings per common share—diluted by \$0.06. The Company did not hold an equity interest in this entity, and therefore 100% of the entity—s net income or loss was recognized by the Company as minority interest in consolidated partnerships on the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Other Comprehensive Income. In October 2004, the Company received payment in full of the outstanding mortgage note. Upon note repayment, the Company did not continue to hold a variable interest in this entity and therefore the Company discontinued consolidating the entity under the provisions of FIN 46(R). Related interest income in the year ended December 31, 2004 has been eliminated in consolidation.

Assets Held for Sale & Discontinued Operations

The Company follows SFAS No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets (SFAS 144) which requires that the assets and liabilities of any communities which have been sold, or otherwise qualify as held for sale, be presented separately in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. In addition, the results of operations for those assets that meet the definition of discontinued operations are presented as such in the Company s Consolidated Statements of Operations and Other Comprehensive Income. Held for sale and discontinued operations classifications are provided in both the current and prior years presented. Real estate assets held for sale are measured at the lower of the carrying amount or the fair value less the cost to sell. Both the real estate assets and corresponding liabilities are presented separately in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets. Subsequent to classification of a community as held for sale, no further depreciation is recorded. For those assets qualifying for classification as discontinued operations, the community specific components of net income presented as discontinued operations include net operating income, minority interest expense and interest expense, net. For periods prior to the asset qualifying for discontinued operations under SFAS 144, the Company reclassified the results of operations to discontinued operations in accordance with SFAS 144. Subsequent to the reclassification to discontinued operations, the impact of assets classified as discontinued operations on the statements of operations and other comprehensive income will include depreciation. In addition, the net gain or loss (including any impairment loss) on the eventual disposal of communities held for sale will be presented as discontinued operations when recognized. A change in presentation for held for sale or discontinued operations will not have any impact on the Company s financial condition or results of operations. The Company combines the operating, investing and financing portions of cash flows attributable to discontinued operations with the respective cash flows from continuing operations on the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

Recently Issued Accounting Standards

In June 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109, (FIN 48) which provides guidance for the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise s financial statements in accordance with FASB Statement No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. FIN 48 establishes a threshold for the recognition and measurement in financial statements of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. FIN 48 is effective for all fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The Company is still assessing the impact and disclosure requirements of FIN 48.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements, which standardizes the definition of fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 applies under other accounting pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurements, and accordingly, this statement does not require any new fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 is effective for all fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. The Company does not believe that the adoption of SFAS No. 157 will have any material impact on its financial position or results of operations.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) requires management to make certain estimates and assumptions. These estimates and assumptions affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the dates of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications have been made to amounts in prior years financial statements to conform to current year presentations.

2. Interest Capitalized

The Company capitalized interest during the development and redevelopment of real estate assets in accordance with SFAS No. 34, Capitalization of Interest Cost. Capitalized interest associated with communities under development or redevelopment totaled \$46,388 for 2006, \$25,284 for 2005 and \$20,566 for 2004.

3. Notes Payable, Unsecured Notes and Credit Facility

The Company s mortgage notes payable, unsecured notes and variable rate unsecured credit facility as of December 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005 are summarized below. The following amounts and discussion do not include the construction loan payable related to a community classified as held for sale as of December 31, 2006 (see Note 7, Real Estate Disposition Activities).

	12-31-06	12-31-05
Fixed rate unsecured notes (1)	\$ 2,153,078	\$1,809,182
Fixed rate mortgage notes payable conventional and tax-exempt	234,272	239,025
Variable rate mortgage notes payable conventional and tax-exempt	438,236	219,010
Total notes payable and unsecured notes	2,825,586	2,267,217
Variable rate unsecured credit facility		66,800
Total mortgage notes payable, unsecured notes and unsecured credit facility	\$ 2,825,586	\$ 2,334,017

(1) Balances at

December 31,

2006 and

December 31,

2005 include

\$2,922 and \$818

of debt discount,

respectively.

The following debt activity occurred during the year ended December 31, 2006:

The Company issued \$34,000 of variable rate mortgage debt maturing in March 2011;

The Company issued \$93,800 of variable rate tax-exempt debt maturing in November 2037;

The Company issued \$48,500 of variable rate tax-exempt debt maturing in November 2039;

The Company issued \$45,000 of variable rate tax-exempt debt maturing in July 2040;

The Company repaid \$150,000 of unsecured notes with an annual interest rate of 6.8%, pursuant to their scheduled maturity; and

The Company issued a total of \$500,000 of unsecured notes a shelf registration statement. The offering consisted of two separate tranches in the aggregate principal amount of \$250,000 each, with effective interest rates of 5.586% and 5.820%, maturing in January 2012 and September 2016, respectively.

In the aggregate, secured notes payable mature at various dates from October 2008 through April 2043 and are secured by certain apartment communities and improved land parcels (with a net carrying value of \$954,612 as of December 31, 2006). As of December 31, 2006, the Company has guaranteed approximately \$67,395 of mortgage notes payable held by wholly-owned subsidiaries; all such mortgage notes payable are consolidated for financial reporting purposes. The weighted average interest rate of the Company s fixed rate mortgage notes payable (conventional and tax-exempt) was 6.8% at December 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005. The weighted average interest rate of the Company s variable rate mortgage notes payable and its unsecured credit facility (as discussed on the following page), including the effect of certain financing related fees, was 5.8% at December 31, 2006 and 5.5% at December 31, 2005.

Scheduled payments and maturities of mortgage notes payable and unsecured notes outstanding at December 31, 2006 are as follows:

					U	Insecured	Stated
	S	ecured	Ş	Secured			
		notes		notes		notes	interest rate of
							unsecured
Year	pa	yments	m	aturities	n	naturities	notes
2007	\$	8,521	\$		\$	110,000	6.875%
						150,000	5.000%
2008		8,718		4,368		50,000	6.625%
						146,000	8.250%
2009		7,831		73,793		150,000	7.500%
2010		6,354		28,989		200,000	7.500%
2011		5,303		35,910		300,000	6.625%
						50,000	6.625%
2012		4,601		12,166		250,000	6.125%
						250,000	5.500%
2013		4,728				100,000	4.950%
2014		3,748		34,450		150,000	5.375%
2015		5,499					
2016		5,926				250,000	5.750%
Thereafter		144,364		277,239			
	\$	205,593	\$	466,915	\$	2,156,000	

The Company s unsecured notes contain a number of financial and other covenants with which the Company must comply, including, but not limited to, limits on the aggregate amount of total and secured indebtedness the Company may have on a consolidated basis and limits on the Company s required debt service payments.

The Company has entered into a \$650,000 revolving variable rate unsecured credit facility with a syndicate of commercial banks. JPMorgan Chase Bank, Wachovia Bank, N.A. and Bank of America, N.A. led the syndication effort in varying capacities. There were no amounts outstanding under the current facility and \$38,713 outstanding in letters of credit on December 31, 2006. The Company had \$66,800 outstanding under the prior credit facility and \$40,154 in letters of credit on December 31, 2005. Under the terms of the credit facility, the Company may elect to increase the facility up to \$1,000,000, provided that one or more banks (from the syndicate or otherwise) voluntarily agree to provide the additional commitment. No member of the syndicate of banks can prohibit such increase; such an increase in the facility will only be effective to the extent banks (from the syndicate or otherwise) choose to commit to lend additional funds. The Company pays participating banks, in the aggregate, an annual facility fee of approximately \$813, which is subject to increase in the event that the amount available on the facility is increased. The unsecured credit facility bears interest at varying levels based on the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR), rating levels achieved on the Company s unsecured notes and on a maturity schedule selected by the Company. The current stated pricing is LIBOR plus 0.40% per annum. The stated spread over LIBOR can vary from LIBOR plus 0.325% to LIBOR plus 1.00% based on credit conditions. In addition, the unsecured credit facility includes a competitive bid option, which allows banks that are part of the lender consortium to bid to make loans to the Company at a rate that is lower than the stated rate provided by the unsecured credit facility for up to \$422,500. The competitive bid option may result in lower pricing than the stated rate if market conditions allow. The Company had no amounts outstanding under this competitive bid option as of December 31, 2006. The Company is subject to certain customary covenants under the unsecured credit facility, including, but not limited to, maintaining certain maximum leverage ratios, a minimum fixed charges coverage ratio and minimum unencumbered assets and equity levels. The credit facility

matures in November 2011, assuming exercise of a one-year renewal option by the Company. F-16

4. Stockholders Equity

As of both December 31, 2006 and 2005, the Company had authorized for issuance 140,000,000 and 50,000,000 shares of common and preferred stock, respectively. As of December 31, 2006, the Company has the following series of redeemable preferred stock outstanding at a stated value of \$100,000. This series has no stated maturity and is not subject to any sinking fund or mandatory redemptions.

	Shares	Davabla	Annual	Liquidation	Non-redeemable	
	outstanding	Payable	Annual	Liquidation	Non-redeemable	
	December 31,					
Series	2006	quarterly	rate	preference	prior to	
Н	4,000,000	March, June, September, December	8.70%	\$25.00	October 15, 2008	
Dividends	on the preferred sto	ck are cumulative from the date of origin	al issue an	d are payable q	quarterly in arrears on	
or before t	he 15th day of each	month as stated in the table above. The p	referred sto	ock is not rede	emable prior to the	
date stated in the table above, but on or after the stated date, may be redeemed for cash at the option of the Company						
in whole or in part at a redemption price of \$25.00 per share, plus all accrued and unpaid dividends, if any.						
During the year ended December 31, 2006, the Company:						
	1 64 4 60 0 1					

- (i) issued 614,692 shares of common stock in connection with stock options exercised;
- (ii) issued 308,345 shares of common stock to acquire an equal number of DownREIT limited partnership units;
- (iii) issued 2,306 shares through the Company s dividend reinvestment plan;
- (iv) issued 122,172 common shares in connection with stock grants;
- (v) issued 10,830 shares of common stock in connection with its employee stock purchase plan;
- (vi) had 5,910 shares of restricted stock forfeited; and
- (vii) withheld 47,111 shares to satisfy employees tax withholding and other liabilities.

In addition, the Company granted 867,113 options for common stock to employees. As required under SFAS No. 123(R), any deferred compensation related to the Company s stock option and restricted stock grants during the year ended December 31, 2006 is not reflected on the Company s Consolidated Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2006 or on the Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity, and will not be reflected until earned as compensation cost.

Dividends per common share were \$3.12 for the year ended December 31, 2006, \$2.84 for year ended December 31, 2005, and \$2.80 for the year ended December 31, 2004. The average dividend for all non-redeemed preferred shares during 2006, 2005 and 2004 was \$2.18 per share. No preferred shares were redeemed in 2006, 2005 or 2004. In 2004, the Company resumed its Dividend Reinvestment and Stock Purchase Plan (the DRIP). The DRIP allows for holders of the Company s common stock or preferred stock to purchase shares of common stock through either reinvested dividends or optional cash payments. The purchase price per share for newly issued shares of common stock under the DRIP will be equal to the last reported sale price for a share of the Company s common stock as reported by the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) on the applicable investment date.

5. Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

The Company enters into interest rate swap and interest rate cap agreements (collectively, the Hedging Derivatives) to reduce the impact of interest rate fluctuations on its variable rate, tax-exempt bonds and its variable rate conventional secured debt (collectively, the Hedged Debt). The Company has not entered into any interest rate hedge agreements for its conventional unsecured debt and does not enter into derivative transactions for trading or other speculative purposes. The following table summarizes the consolidated Hedging Derivatives at December 31, 2006 (dollars in thousands):

	Interest Rate Caps	Interest Rate Swaps
Notional balance	\$196,500	\$65,759
Weighted average interest rate (1)	5.7%	6.3%
Weighted average capped interest rate	7.7%	n/a
Earliest maturity date	Mar-07	Aug-07
Latest maturity date	Apr-11	Jul-10
Estimated liability fair value	\$ (78)	\$ (3,084)
(1) For interest rate		
caps, this		
represents the		
weighted		
average interest		
rate on the debt.		

The Company has determined that its Hedging Derivatives qualify as effective cash flow hedges under SFAS No. 133, resulting in the Company recording the effective portion of cumulative changes in the fair value of the Hedging Derivatives in other comprehensive income. Amounts recorded in other comprehensive income will be reclassified into earnings in the periods in which earnings are affected by the hedged cash flow. To adjust the Hedging Derivatives to their fair value, the Company recorded unrealized gains in other comprehensive income of \$891, \$2,626 and \$1,116 during the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. These amounts will be reclassified into earnings in conjunction with the periodic adjustment of the floating rates on the Hedged Debt, in interest expense, net. The amount reclassified into earnings in 2006, as well as the estimated amount included in accumulated other comprehensive income as of December 31, 2006, expected to be reclassified into earnings within the next twelve months to offset the variability of cash flows of the hedged items during this period are not material. The Company assesses both at inception and on an on-going basis, the effectiveness of qualifying cash flow hedges. Hedge ineffectiveness, reported as a component of General and Administrative expenses, did not have a material impact on earnings of the Company for any prior period, and the Company does not anticipate that it will have a material effect in the future. The fair values of the Hedging Derivatives are included in accrued expenses and other

Derivative financial instruments expose the Company to credit risk in the event of nonperformance by the counterparties under the terms of the Hedging Derivatives. The Company minimizes its credit risk on these transactions by dealing with major, creditworthy financial institutions which have an A+ or better credit rating by the Standard & Poor s Ratings Group. As part of its on-going control procedures, the Company monitors the credit ratings of counterparties and the exposure of the Company to any single entity, thus minimizing credit risk concentration. The Company believes the likelihood of realizing losses from counterparty non-performance is remote.

6. Investments in Unconsolidated Entities

Investments in Unconsolidated Real Estate Entities

liabilities on the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets.

The Company accounts for its investments in unconsolidated real estate entities that are not considered variable interest entities under FIN 46(R) in accordance with EITF Issue No. 04-5, SOP 78-9 and APB 18, and EITF Topic D-46.

During 2006, the Company engaged in the following transactions impacting our investments in unconsolidated real estate entities.

Town Run Associates In the fourth quarter of 2006, the Company purchased its partner s interest in Avalon Run for \$58,500. Town Run Associates was formed as a general partnership in November 1994 to develop, own and operate Avalon Run, a 426 apartment-home community located in Lawrenceville, New Jersey. Avalon Run is currently a wholly-owned community and has since been consolidated for financial reporting purposes.

Avalon Terrace, LLC In December 2006, the Company and its joint venture partner sold Avalon Bedford to an unrelated third party for a sales price of \$79,100. The Company s share of the gain calculated in accordance with GAAP was \$6,609 and is included in equity income of unconsolidated entities on the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations and Other Comprehensive Income. The Company acquired Avalon Bedford, a 368 apartment-home community located in Stamford, Connecticut in December 1998. In May 2000, the Company transferred Avalon Bedford to Avalon Terrace, LLC and subsequently admitted a joint venture partner, while retaining a 25% ownership interest in this limited liability company for an investment of \$5,394 and a right to 50% of cash flow distributions after achievement of a threshold return.

As of December 31, 2006, the Company had investments in the following real estate entities:

Town Grove, LLC The limited liability corporation was formed in December 1997 to develop, own and operate Avalon Grove, a 402 apartment-home community located in Stamford, Connecticut. Since formation of this venture, the Company has invested \$12,600, and following a preferred return on all contributed equity (which was achieved in 2006), has a 50% ownership and a 50% cash flow and residual economic interest. The Company is responsible for the day-to-day operations of the Avalon Grove community and is the management agent subject to the terms of a management agreement. The development of Avalon Grove was funded through contributions from the Company and the other venture partner, and therefore Avalon Grove is not subject to any outstanding debt as of December 31, 2006. This community is unconsolidated for financial reporting purposes and is accounted for under the equity method.

Arna Valley View LP In connection with the municipal approval process for the development of a consolidated community, the Company agreed to participate in the formation of a limited partnership in February 1999 to develop, finance, own and operate Arna Valley View, a 101 apartment-home community located in Arlington, Virginia. This community has affordable rents for 100% of apartment homes related to the tax-exempt bond financing and tax credits used to finance construction of the community. A subsidiary of the Company is the general partner of the partnership with a 0.01% ownership interest. The Company is responsible for the day-to-day operations of the community and is the management agent subject to the terms of a management agreement. As of December 31, 2006, Arna Valley View has \$5,793 of variable rate, tax-exempt bonds outstanding, which mature in June 2032. In addition, Arna Valley View has \$4,834 of 4% fixed rate county bonds outstanding that mature in December 2030. Arna Valley View s debt is neither guaranteed by, nor recoursed to the Company. Due to the Company s limited ownership in this venture and the terms of the management agreement regarding the rights of the limited partners, it is accounted for using the cost method.

CVP I, LLC In February 2004, the Company entered into a joint venture agreement with an unrelated third-party for the development of Avalon Chrystie Place, a 361 apartment-home community located in New York, New York, for which construction was completed in late 2005. The Company has contributed \$6,270 to this joint venture and holds a 20% equity interest (with a right to 50% of distributions after achievement of a threshold return, which was not achieved in 2006). The Company is the managing member of CVP I, LLC, however property management services at the community are performed by an unrelated third party. In connection with the construction management services that the Company provided to CVP I, LLC during the development of Avalon Chrystie Place, the Company provided a construction completion guarantee to the construction loan lender in order to fulfill their standard financing requirements related to the construction financing. Upon completion of the construction of Avalon Chrystie Place in 2006, the Company was released from all obligations associated with this guarantee.

As of December 31, 2006, CVP I, LLC has tax-exempt variable rate bonds in the amount of \$117,000 outstanding, which have a permanent credit enhancement and mature in February 2036. The Company has guaranteed, under limited circumstance, the repayment to the credit enhancer of any advance in fulfillment of CVP I, LLC s repayment obligations under the bonds. The Company has also guaranteed the credit enhancer that CVP I, LLC will obtain a final certificate of occupancy for the project overall once tenant improvements

related to a retail tenant are complete, which is expected in 2007.

The Company s 80% partner in this venture has agreed that it will reimburse us its pro rata share of any amounts paid relative to these guaranteed obligations. The Company does not currently expect to incur any liability under either of these guarantees. The estimated fair value of, and the Company s obligation under these guarantees, both at inception and as of December 31, 2006 were not significant. As a result, the Company has not recorded any obligation associated with these guarantees at December 31, 2006. This community is unconsolidated for financial reporting purposes and is accounted for under the equity method.

Avalon Del Rey Apartments, LLC - In March 2004, the Company entered into an agreement with an unrelated third party which provided that, upon construction completion, Avalon Del Rey would be owned and operated by a joint venture between the Company and the third party. Avalon Del Rey is a 309 apartment-home community located in Los Angeles, California. Construction for Avalon Del Rey was completed during the third quarter of 2006. During the fourth quarter of 2006, the third-party venture partner invested \$49,000 and was granted a 70% ownership interest in the venture, with the Company retaining a 30% equity interest (see Note 7, Real Estate Disposition Activities). The Company will continue to be responsible for the day-to-day operations of the community and will be the management agent subject to the terms of a management agreement. Avalon Del Rey Apartments, LLC has a variable rate \$50,000 secured construction loan, of which \$40,845 is outstanding as of December 31, 2006 and which matures in September 2007. In conjunction with the construction management services that the Company provided to Avalon Del Rey Apartments, LLC, the Company has provided a construction completion guarantee to the construction loan lender in order to fulfill their standard financing requirements related to construction financing. The obligation of the Company under this guarantee will terminate following satisfaction of the lender s standard completion requirements, which the Company expects to occur in 2007.

In conjunction with the admittance of the joint venture partner to the LLC, the Company provided the third-party investor an operating guarantee. This guarantee, which extends for one year, provides that if the one-year return for the initial year of the joint venture partner s investment is less than a threshold return of 7% on its initial equity investment, that the Company will pay the joint venture partner an amount equal to the shortfall, up to the 7% threshold return required. The maximum exposure of this guarantee is approximately \$3,400. As of December 31, 2006, the cash flows and return on investment for Avalon Del Rey are expected to meet and exceed the initial year threshold return required by our joint venture partner. As a result, the Company s obligation under this guarantee is insignificant, and the Company has therefore not recorded any liability associated with this guarantee as of December 31, 2006.

The sale of the 70% ownership interest is being accounted for under the deposit method of accounting pursuant to SFAS 66, with the recognition of the sale deferred until the Company is relieved of its obligation under the operating guarantee. Accordingly, the Company continues to consolidate this community for financial reporting purposes, reporting the joint venture partner s interest in the net assets of the LLC as a component of accrued expenses and other liabilities, and recognizing the joint venture partner s interest in the operating results of the LLC as a component of minority interest in consolidated partnerships.

Juanita Construction, Inc. - In April 2004, a taxable REIT subsidiary of the Company entered into an agreement to develop Avalon at Juanita Village, a 211 apartment-home community located in Kirkland, Washington, for which construction was completed in late 2005. Avalon at Juanita Village was developed through Juanita Construction, Inc., a wholly-owned taxable REIT subsidiary and was sold to a joint venture in the first quarter of 2006, at which point, the subsidiary was reimbursed for all the costs of construction and retained a promoted residual interest in the profits of the joint venture. The third party joint venture partner received a 100% equity interest in the joint venture and will control the joint venture. The Company was engaged to manage the community for a property management fee. This community is unconsolidated for financial reporting purposes effective with the sale to the joint venture.

MVP I, LLC In December 2004, the Company entered into a joint venture agreement with an unrelated third party for the development of Avalon at Mission Bay North II. Construction for Avalon at Mission Bay North II, a 313 apartment-home community located in San Francisco, California, was completed in December 2006. The Company has contributed \$5,902 to this venture and holds a 25% equity interest. The Company will be responsible for the day-to-day operations of the community and will be the management agent subject to the terms of a management agreement.

MVP I, LLC has a variable rate \$94,400 secured construction loan, of which \$76,739 is outstanding as of December 31, 2006 and which matures in September 2010, assuming exercise of two one-year extensions. In conjunction with the construction management services that the Company provided to MVP I, LLC, the Company has provided a construction completion guarantee to the construction loan lender in order to fulfill their standard financing requirements related to construction financing. Under the terms of the guarantee, in the event of default, the Company would be required to make payment for any excess cost to complete construction over remaining unused loan proceeds. The obligation of the Company under this guarantee will terminate once all of the lender s standard completion requirements have been satisfied, which the Company expects to occur in 2007. The estimated fair value of, and the Company s obligation under this guarantee, both at inception and as of December 31, 2006 was not significant and therefore no liability for this guarantee has been recorded by the Company at December 31, 2006. This community is unconsolidated for financial reporting purposes and is accounted for under the equity method.

AvalonBay Value Added Fund, L.P. (the Fund) In March 2005, the Company admitted outside investors into the Fund, a private, discretionary investment vehicle, which will acquire and operate communities in the Company s markets. The Fund will serve, until March 16, 2008 or until 80% of its committed capital is invested, as the principal vehicle through which the Company will acquire apartment communities, subject to certain exceptions. The Fund has nine institutional investors, including the Company, and a combined equity capital commitment of \$330,000. A significant portion of the investments made in the Fund by its investors are being made through AvalonBay Value Added Fund, Inc., a Maryland corporation that qualifies as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code (the Fund REIT). A wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company is the general partner of the Fund and has committed \$50,000 to the Fund and the Fund REIT, representing a 15.2% combined general partner and limited partner equity interest, with \$22,944 of this commitment funded as of December 31, 2006. Under the Fund documents, the Fund has the ability to employ leverage of up to 65% on a portfolio basis, which, if achieved, would enable the Fund to invest up to approximately \$940,000. Upon the admittance of the outside investors, the Fund held four communities, containing a total of 879 apartment homes with an aggregate gross real estate value of \$112,852, that were acquired in 2004. Prior to the admittance of outside investors, the Fund was directly or indirectly wholly-owned by the Company, and therefore the revenues and expenses, and assets and liabilities of these four communities were consolidated in the Company s results of operations and financial position. However, upon admittance of the outside investors in March 2005, the Company deconsolidated the revenue and expenses, and assets and liabilities of these four communities and accounts for its 15.2% equity interest in the Fund under the equity method of accounting. The Company received net proceeds of \$87,948 as reimbursement for acquiring and warehousing these communities. The Company receives asset management fees, property management fees and redevelopment fees, as well as a promoted interest if certain thresholds are met (which were not achieved in 2006).

As of December 31, 2006, the Fund owns the following 13 communities, subject to certain mortgage debt. In addition, as of December 31, 2006, the Fund has \$57,400 outstanding under its variable rate credit facility, which matures in January 2008. The Company has not guaranteed any of the Fund debt, nor does it have any obligation to fund this debt should the Fund be unable to do so.

Avalon at Redondo Beach, a 105 apartment-home community located in Los Angeles, California. As of December 31, 2006, Avalon at Redondo Beach has \$16,765 in 4.8% fixed rate debt outstanding, which matures in October 2011;

Avalon Lakeside, a 204 apartment-home community located in Chicago, Illinois. As of December 31, 2006, Avalon Lakeside has no debt outstanding;

Avalon Columbia, a 170 apartment-home community located in Baltimore, Maryland. As of December 31, 2006, Avalon Columbia has \$16,575 in 5.3% fixed rate debt outstanding, which matures in

April 2012;

Avalon Redmond, a 400 apartment-home community located in Seattle, Washington. As of December 31, 2006, Avalon Redmond has \$31,500 in 5.0% fixed rate debt outstanding, which matures in July 2012;

Avalon at Poplar Creek, a 196 apartment-home community located in Chicago, Illinois. As of December 31, 2006, Avalon at Poplar Creek has \$16,500 in 4.8% fixed rate debt outstanding, which matures in October 2012;

Fuller Martel, an 82 apartment-home community located in Los Angeles, California. As of December 31, 2006, Fuller Martel has \$11,500 in 5.4% fixed rate debt outstanding, which matures in February 2014; F-21

Civic Center Place, a 192 apartment-home community located in Norwalk, California. As of December 31, 2006, Civic Center Place has \$23,806 in 5.3% fixed rate debt outstanding, which matures in August 2013;

Paseo Park, a 134 apartment-home community located in Fremont, California. As of December 31, 2006, Paseo Park has \$11,800 in 5.7% fixed rate debt outstanding, which matures in November 2013;

Aurora at Yerba Buena, a 160 apartment-home community located in San Francisco, California. As of December 31, 2006, Aurora at Yerba Buena has \$41,500 in 5.9% fixed rate debt outstanding, which matures in March 2014:

Avalon at Aberdeen Station, a 290 apartment-home community located in Aberdeen, New Jersey. As of December 31, 2006, Avalon at Aberdeen Station has \$34,456 in 5.7% fixed rate debt outstanding, which matures in September 2013;

The Springs, a 320 apartment-home community located in Corona, California. As of December 31, 2006, The Springs has \$26,000 in 6.1% fixed rate debt outstanding, which matures in October 2014;

The Covington, a 256 apartment-home community located in Lombard, Illinois. As of December 31, 2006, The Covington has \$17,243 in 5.4% fixed rate debt outstanding, which matures in January 2014; and

Cedar Valley, a 156 apartment-home community located in Columbia, Maryland. As of December 31, 2006, Cedar Valley has \$12,000 in 6.3% variable rate debt outstanding, which matures in February 2007. In addition, as part of the formation of the Fund, the Company has provided to one of the limited partners a guarantee. The guarantee provides that, if, upon final liquidation of the Fund, the total amount of all distributions to that partner during the life of the Fund (whether from operating cash flow or property sales) does not equal the total capital contributions made by that partner, then the Company will pay the partner an amount equal to the shortfall, but in no event more than 10% of the total capital contributions made by the partner (maximum of approximately \$3,400 as of December 31, 2006). As of December 31, 2006, the fair value of the real estate assets owned by the Fund is considered adequate to cover such potential payment under a liquidation scenario. The estimated fair value of, and the Company s obligation under this guarantee, both at inception and as of December 31, 2006 was not significant and therefore the Company has not recorded any obligation for this guarantee as of December 31, 2006.

The following is a combined summary of the financial position of the entities accounted for using the equity method, as of the dates presented:

	12-31-06	12-31-05
Assets:		
Real estate, net	\$ 707,227	\$ 520,556
Other assets	55,716	40,485
Total assets	\$ 762,943	\$ 561,041
Liabilities and partners capital:		
Mortgage notes payable and credit facility	\$510,784	\$ 332,760
Other liabilities	33,505	26,745
Partners capital	218,654	201,536
Total liabilities and partners capital	\$ 762,943	\$ 561,041

The following is a combined summary of the operating results of the entities accounted for using the equity method, for the years presented:

	F	For the year ended		
	12-31-06	12-31-05	12-31-04	
Rental income	\$ 67,207	\$ 35,826	\$ 21,148	
Operating and other expenses	(31,281)	(19,582)	(8,291)	
Gain on Sale of Communities	26,661			
Interest expense, net	(23,142)	(7,648)	(1,786)	
Depreciation expense	(18,054)	(8,482)	(4,003)	
Net income	\$ 21,391	\$ 114	\$ 7,068	

In March 2005, the Company purchased its joint venture partner s 75% interest in AvalonBay Redevelopment, LLC, the limited liability company that owns Avalon on the Sound, which was developed through the joint venture in 2001. Prior to December 31, 2004, the Company had a repurchase option for Avalon on the Sound and accounted for its investment as a profit-sharing arrangement as required by SFAS No. 66, Accounting for Sales of Real Estate. The income allocated to the controlling partner is shown as venture partner interest in profit-sharing on the Company s Consolidated Statements of Operations and Other Comprehensive Income for the year ended December 31, 2004. The repurchase option expired in December 2004, and therefore as of December 31, 2004 and for the three months ended March 31, 2005, the Company accounted for its 25% interest in Avalon on the Sound under the equity method of accounting. Due to the purchase of the remaining 75% equity interest, this entity was consolidated as of April 1, 2005. In conjunction with the acquisition and development of the investments in unconsolidated entities, the Company incurred costs in excess of its equity in the underlying net assets of the respective investments. These costs represent \$7,491 at December 31, 2006 and \$8,806 at December 31, 2005 of the respective investment balances.

Investments in Unconsolidated Non-Real Estate Entities

In February 2005, the Company sold its interest in a technology venture that was accounted for under the cost method. As a result of this transaction, the Company received net proceeds of approximately \$6,700 and recognized a gain on the sale of this investment of \$6,252, which is reflected in equity in income of unconsolidated entities on the accompanying Consolidated Statement of Operations and Other Comprehensive Income for the year ended December 31, 2005. Under the terms of the sale, certain proceeds were escrowed to secure the purchaser s rights to indemnification. Any amounts not used for this purpose were distributed to the former investors in the venture in 2006. For the year ended December 31, 2006, the Company recognized \$433 for the final installment of the gain on this sale upon release of this escrow.

The following is a summary of the Company s equity in income of unconsolidated entities for the years presented:

	For the year ended				
	12-31-06	12	2-31-05	12	-31-04
Town Grove, LLC	\$ 1,457	\$	1,286	\$	950
CVP I, LLC	(68)		(339)		
Town Run Associates	298		266		43
Avalon Terrace, LLC	6,736		58		(28)
MVP I, LLC	(662)		(57)		
AvalonBay Value Added Fund, L.P.	(799)		(341)		
AvalonBay Redevelopment, LLC			73		
Rent.com	433		6,252		135
Constellation Real Technologies	60				
Total	\$ 7,455	\$	7,198	\$	1,100

7. Real Estate Disposition Activities

During the year ended December 31, 2006, the Company sold four communities, containing a total of 1,036 apartment homes, including one community that was previously held by a joint venture entity (see Note 6, Investments in Unconsolidated Entities). These communities were sold for a gross sales price of approximately \$261,850, resulting in net proceeds of \$218,492 and a GAAP gain of \$104,020. Details regarding the community asset sales are summarized in the following table:

Community Name	Location	Period of sale	Apartment homes	Debt	Gross sales price	Net proceeds
Avalon Estates	Boston, MA San Jose,	Q106	162		34,550	33,563
Avalon Cupertino	CA Stamford,	Q106	311		88,000	86,602
Avalon Corners	CT Stamford,	Q206	195		60,200	58,248
Avalon Bedford (1)	CT	Q406	368	37,200	79,100	40,079
Total of all 2006 asset sales			1,036	\$ 37,200	\$ 261,850	\$ 218,492
Total of all 2005 asset sales			1,305	\$	\$ 351,450	\$ 344,185
Total of all 2004 asset sales			1,360	\$ 38,735	\$ 241,050	\$ 210,001

⁽¹⁾ The Company held a 25% ownership

interest and right to 50% of cash flow distributions after achievement of a threshold return for this community

As of December 31, 2006, the Company had one community, Avalon Del Rey, that qualified as held for sale under the provisions of SFAS No. 144. In 2006, the Company admitted a third-party partner into the joint venture entity that owns Avalon Del Rey (see Note 6, Investments in Unconsolidated Entities). However, due to the operating guarantee provided to the joint venture partner, the Company will account for its investment under the deposit method as required by SFAS No. 66, Accounting for Sales of Real Estate. As a result, the Company has classified the real estate assets (which are net of an impairment charge taken on the land in 2002, as well as accumulated depreciation recorded through December 31, 2006) and the related liabilities for Avalon Del Rey as held for sale, as separate captions in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets. However, due to the continuing involvement of the Company through its 30% ownership interest and its role as the managing member in the venture, Avalon Del Rey has been and will continue to be reported as a component of continuing operations on the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements.

Also, in accordance with the requirements of SFAS No. 144, the operations for any communities sold from January 1, 2004 through December 31, 2006 have been presented as discontinued operations in the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements. Accordingly, certain reclassifications have been made in prior periods to reflect discontinued operations consistent with current period presentation.

The following is a summary of income from discontinued operations for the periods presented:

]	For the year ended		
	12-31-06	12-31-05	12-31-04	
Rental income	\$ 1,787	\$ 26,867	\$ 48,018	
Operating and other expenses	(639)	(8,684)	(15,646)	
Interest expense, net			(525)	
Minority interest expense			(37)	
Depreciation expense		(3,241)	(10,676)	
Income from discontinued operations	\$ 1,148	\$ 14,942	\$ 21,134	

The Company s Consolidated Balance Sheets include other assets (excluding net real estate) of \$1,558 and \$1,599, and other liabilities of \$42,985 and \$38,352 as of December 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively, relating to real estate assets sold or held for sale.

The Company sold three parcels of land, one located in Jersey City, New Jersey, one in Danvers, Massachusetts, and one in Bedford, Massachusetts, for an aggregate gross sales price of \$19,635 and an aggregate GAAP gain of \$13,519. The Company had gains on the Sales of land parcels of \$4,479 in 2005, and \$1,138 in 2004.

8. Commitments and Contingencies

Employment Agreements and Arrangements

As of December 31, 2006, the Company had employment agreements with four executive officers. The employment agreements provide for severance payments and generally provide for accelerated vesting of stock options and restricted stock in the event of a termination of employment (except for a termination by the Company with cause or a voluntary termination by the employee). The current terms of these agreements end on dates that vary between December 2007 and November 2008. The employment agreements provide for one-year automatic renewals (two years in the case of the Chief Executive Officer (CEO)) after the initial term unless an advance notice of non-renewal is provided by either party. Upon a notice of non-renewal by the Company, each of the officers may terminate his employment and receive a severance payment.

Upon a change in control, the agreements provide for an automatic extension of up to three years from the date of the change in control. The employment agreements provide for base salary and incentive compensation in the form of cash awards, stock options and stock grants subject to the discretion of, and attainment of performance goals established by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors.

The Company s stock incentive plan, as described in Note 10, Stock-Based Compensation Plans, provides that upon an employee s Retirement (as defined in the plan documents) from the Company, all outstanding stock options and restricted shares of stock held by the employee will vest, and the employee will have up to 12 months to exercise any options held upon retirement. Under the plan, Retirement means a termination of employment, other than for cause, after attainment of age 50, provided that (i) the employee has worked for the Company for at least 10 years, (ii) the employee s age at Retirement plus years of employment with the Company equals at least 70, (iii) the employee provides at least six months written notice of his intent to retire, and (iv) the employee enters into a one year non-compete and employee non-solicitation agreement.

The Company also has an Officer Severance Program (the Program) for the benefit of those officers of the Company who do not have employment agreements. Under the Program, in the event an officer who is not otherwise covered by a severance arrangement is terminated (other than for cause) within two years following a change in control (as defined) of the Company, such officer will generally receive a cash lump sum payment equal to the sum of such officer s base salary and cash bonus, as well as accelerated vesting of stock options and restricted stock. Costs related to the Company s employment agreements and the Program are accounted for in accordance with SFAS No. 5,

Accounting for Contingencies, and therefore are recognized when considered by management to be probable and estimable.

Construction and Development Contingencies

In connection with the pursuit of a Development Right in Pleasant Hill, California, \$125,000 in bond financing was issued by the Contra Costa County Redevelopment Agency (the Agency) in connection with the possible future construction of a multifamily rental community by PHVP I, LLC. The bond proceeds were immediately invested in their entirety in a guaranteed investment contract (GIC) administered by a trustee. This Development Right is planned as a mixed-use development, with residential, for-sale, retail and office components. The bond proceeds will remain in the GIC until at least June 1, 2007, but no later than December 5, 2007, at which time a loan will be made to PHVP I, LLC to fund construction of the multifamily portion of the development, or the bonds will be redeemed by the Agency. Although the Company does not have any equity or economic interest in PHVP I, LLC at this time, the Company holds an option to make a capital contribution to PHVP I, LLC in exchange for a 99% general partner interest in the entity. Should the Company decide not to exercise this option, the bonds will be redeemed, and a loan will not be made to PHVP I, LLC. The bonds are payable from the proceeds of the GIC and are non-recourse to both PHVP I, LLC and to the Company. There is no loan payable outstanding by PHVP I, LLC as of December 31, 2006. In addition, as part of providing construction management services to PHVP I, LLC for the construction of a public garage, the Company has provided a construction completion guarantee to the related lender in order to fulfill their standard financing requirements related to the garage construction financing. The Company s obligations under this guarantee will terminate following construction completion of the garage once all of the lender s standard completion requirements have been satisfied, which the Company currently expect to occur in 2008. In the third quarter of 2006, significant modifications were requested by the local transit authority to change the garage structure design. The Company does not believe that the requested design changes will impact the construction schedule. However, it is expected that these changes will increase the original budget by an amount up to \$5,000. The Company believe that substantially all potential additional amounts are reimbursable from unrelated third parties. At this time, the Company does not believe that it is probable that it will incur any additional costs. The estimated fair value of, and the Company s obligation under this guarantee, both at inception and as of December 31, 2006 was not significant and therefore the Company has not recorded any obligation for this guarantee as of December 31, 2006.

Legal Contingencies

The Company is currently involved in litigation alleging that 100 communities currently or formerly owned by us violate the accessibility requirements of the Fair Housing Act and the Americans with Disabilities Act. The lawsuit, Equal Rights Center v. AvalonBay Communities, Inc., was filed on September 23, 2005 in the federal district court in Maryland. The plaintiff seeks compensatory and punitive damages in unspecified amounts as well as injunctive relief (such as modification of existing communities), an award of attorneys fees, expenses and costs of suit. The Company has filed a motion to dismiss all or parts of the suit, which has not been ruled on yet by the court. The Company cannot predict or determine the outcome of this lawsuit, nor is it reasonably possible to estimate the amount of loss, if any, that would be associated with an adverse decision.

During 2006, the Company determined that contaminated soil from imported fill was delivered to its Avalon Lyndhurst development site by third parties. The contaminants exceeded allowable levels for residential use under New Jersey state and local regulations. The remediation effort is substantially complete. The Company has estimated that the net cost associated with this remediation effort after considering insurance proceeds received to date, including costs associated with construction delays, is expected to be approximately \$7,500. The Company is pursuing the recovery of these additional net costs through its insurance as well as from the third parties involved, but no assurance can be given as to the amount or timing of reimbursements to the Company. The Company is recording these incremental costs as they are incurred, and potential recoveries as they become certain or are received. Although the estimated costs to complete construction of this community exceed the original construction budget, the Company does not expect that, upon completion, there will be an impairment in value of this asset which would require a write down in the carrying value. The Company will continue to review this assessment based on changes in circumstances or market conditions.

In addition, the Company is subject to various legal proceedings and claims that arise in the ordinary course of business. These matters are frequently covered by insurance. If it has been determined that a loss is probable to occur, the estimated amount of the loss is expensed in the financial statements. While the resolution of these matters cannot be predicted with certainty, management currently believes the final outcome of such matters will not have a material adverse effect on the financial position or results of operations of the Company. However, if these matters are resolved unfavorably, they may have a material adverse effect on the Company s financial position and results of operations. *Lease Obligations*

The Company owns nine apartment communities which are located on land subject to land leases expiring between November 2028 and March 2142. In addition, the Company leases certain office space. These leases are accounted for as operating leases under SFAS No. 13, Accounting for Leases. These leases have varying escalation terms, and three of these leases have purchase options exercisable between 2006 and 2052. The Company incurred costs of \$4,231, \$4,486 and \$4,399 in the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively, related to these leases. The following table details the future minimum lease payments under the Company s current leases:

		Payments di	ue by period		
2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Thereafter
\$8,045	\$8,288	\$8,123	\$8,091	\$8,065	\$1,828,108

9. Segment Reporting

The Company s reportable operating segments include Established Communities, Other Stabilized Communities, and Development/Redevelopment Communities. Annually as of January 1st, the Company determines which of its communities fall into each of these categories and maintains that classification, unless disposition plans regarding a community change, throughout the year for the purpose of reporting segment operations.

Established Communities (also known as Same Store Communities) are communities where a comparison of operating results from the prior year to the current year is meaningful, as these communities were owned and had stabilized occupancy and operating expenses as of the beginning of the prior year. For the year ended December 31, 2006, the Established Communities are communities that are consolidated for financial reporting purposes, had stabilized occupancy and operating expenses as of January 1, 2005, are not conducting or

planning to conduct substantial redevelopment activities and are not held for sale or planned for disposition within the current year. A community is considered to have stabilized occupancy at the earlier of (i) attainment of 95% physical occupancy or (ii) the one-year anniversary of completion of development or redevelopment.

Other Stabilized Communities includes all other completed communities that have stabilized occupancy, as defined above. Other Stabilized Communities do not include communities that are conducting or planning to conduct substantial redevelopment activities within the current year.

Development/Redevelopment Communities consists of communities that are under construction and have not received a final certificate of occupancy, communities where substantial redevelopment is in progress or is planned to begin during the current year and communities under lease-up, that had not reached stabilized occupancy, as defined above, as of January 1, 2006.

In addition, the Company owns land held for future development and has other corporate assets that are not allocated to an operating segment.

SFAS No. 131, Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information, requires that segment disclosures present the measure(s) used by the chief operating decision maker for purposes of assessing such segments performance. The Company s chief operating decision maker is comprised of several members of its executive management team who use Net Operating Income (NOI) as the primary financial measure for Established Communities and Other Stabilized Communities. NOI is defined by the Company as total revenue less direct property operating expenses. Although the Company considers NOI a useful measure of a community s or communities operating performance, NOI should not be considered an alternative to net income or net cash flow from operating activities, as determined in accordance with GAAP. NOI excludes a number of income and expense categories as detailed in the reconciliation of NOI to net income.

A reconciliation of NOI to net income for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 is as follows:

	F	For the year ended	l
	12-31-06	12-31-05	12-31-04
Net income	\$ 278,399	\$ 322,378	\$ 219,745
Indirect operating expenses, net of corporate income	28,809	26,675	26,612
Investments and investment management	7,033	4,834	4,690
Interest expense, net	111,046	127,099	131,103
General and administrative expense	24,767	25,761	18,074
Equity in income of unconsolidated entities	(7,455)	(7,198)	(1,100)
Minority interest in consolidated partnerships	573	1,481	150
Venture partner interest in profit-sharing			1,178
Depreciation expense	162,896	158,822	151,991
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle			(4,547)
Gain on sale of real estate assets	(110,930)	(199,766)	(122,425)
Income from discontinued operations	(1,148)	(14,942)	(21,134)
Net operating income	\$ 493,990	\$ 445,144	\$ 404,337

The primary performance measure for communities under development or redevelopment depends on the stage of completion. While under development, management monitors actual construction costs against budgeted costs as well as lease-up pace and rent levels compared to budget.

The table on the following page provides details of the Company s segment information as of the dates specified. The segments are classified based on the individual community s status as of the beginning of the given calendar year. Therefore, each year the composition of communities within each business segment is adjusted. Accordingly, the amounts between years are not directly comparable. The accounting policies applicable to the operating segments described above are the same as those described in Note 1, Organization and Significant Accounting Policies. Segment information for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 has been adjusted for the communities that were sold from January 1, 2004 through December 31, 2006 as described in Note 7, Real Estate Disposition

	Total	NOI	% NOI change from prior	Gross real estate
For the year ended December 31, 2006	revenue	NOI	year	(1)
Established				
Northeast	\$ 204,374	\$ 137,379	5.1%	\$ 1,263,190
Mid-Atlantic	100,462	72,033	12.5%	591,996
Midwest	11,478	7,121	7.4%	92,408
Pacific Northwest	33,103	21,819	13.0%	316,089
Northern California	153,151	107,135	11.6%	1,441,418
Southern California	57,632	41,572	9.1%	373,421
Total Established	560,200	387,059	9.1%	4,078,522
Other Stabilized	93,878	59,432	n/a	865,338
Development / Redevelopment	76,356	47,499	n/a	1,324,929
Land Held for Future Development	n/a	n/a	n/a	209,568
Non-allocated (2)	6,866	n/a	n/a	35,183
Total	\$737,300	\$493,990	10.9%	\$ 6,513,540
For the year ended December 31, 2005				
Established				
Northeast	\$ 167,636	\$111,734	3.5%	\$ 1,062,981
Mid-Atlantic	68,575	48,613	3.9%	387,801
Midwest	11,113	6,627	7.1%	91,755
Pacific Northwest	30,080	19,312	8.0%	315,331
Northern California	146,432	99,769	3.5%	1,489,363
Southern California	48,800	35,319	6.7%	331,315
Total Established	472,636	321,374	4.2%	3,678,546
Other Stabilized	77,552	50,621	n/a	653,399
Development / Redevelopment	116,144	73,149	n/a	1,158,482
Land Held for Future Development	n/a	n/a	n/a	179,739
Non-allocated (2)	4,348	n/a	n/a	30,741
Total	\$ 670,680	\$ 445,144	10.1%	\$ 5,700,907

For the year ended December 31, 2004

\$ 135,059	\$ 89,547	(2.5%)	\$ 722,482	
51,390	36,316	(0.2%)	273,774	
10,734	6,188	6.8%	91,121	
28,836	17,874	1.1%	314,717	
126,196	87,067	(5.9%)	1,270,848	
56,124	39,634	1.8%	401,204	
408,339	276,626	(1.2%)	3,074,146	
111,894	71,744	n/a	1,068,859	
93,096	55,967	n/a	1,019,396	
n/a	n/a	n/a	156,350	
515	n/a	n/a	27,401	
\$ 613.844	\$ 404.337	9.7%	\$ 5.346.152	
	51,390 10,734 28,836 126,196 56,124 408,339 111,894 93,096 n/a	51,390 36,316 10,734 6,188 28,836 17,874 126,196 87,067 56,124 39,634 408,339 276,626 111,894 71,744 93,096 55,967 n/a n/a 515 n/a	51,390 36,316 (0.2%) 10,734 6,188 6.8% 28,836 17,874 1.1% 126,196 87,067 (5.9%) 56,124 39,634 1.8% 408,339 276,626 (1.2%) 111,894 71,744 n/a 93,096 55,967 n/a n/a n/a n/a 515 n/a n/a	51,390 36,316 (0.2%) 273,774 10,734 6,188 6.8% 91,121 28,836 17,874 1.1% 314,717 126,196 87,067 (5.9%) 1,270,848 56,124 39,634 1.8% 401,204 408,339 276,626 (1.2%) 3,074,146 111,894 71,744 n/a 1,068,859 93,096 55,967 n/a 1,019,396 n/a n/a 156,350 515 n/a n/a 27,401

(1) Does not include gross real estate assets for discontinued operations of \$65,075, \$202,261 and \$350,992 as of December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 respectively.

(2) Revenue represents third-party management, accounting and developer fees and miscellaneous income which are not allocated to a reportable segment.

10. Stock-Based Compensation Plans

The Company has a stock incentive plan (the 1994 Plan), which was amended and restated on December 8, 2004, and amended on February 9, 2006 and December 6, 2006. Individuals who are eligible to participate in the 1994 Plan include officers, other associates, outside directors and other key persons of the Company and its subsidiaries who are responsible for or contribute to the management, growth or profitability of the Company and its subsidiaries. The 1994 Plan authorizes (i) the grant of stock options that qualify as incentive stock options (ISOs) under Section 422 of the Internal Revenue Code, (ii) the grant of stock options that do not so qualify, (iii) grants of shares of restricted and unrestricted common stock, (iv) grants of deferred stock awards, (v) performance share awards entitling the recipient to acquire shares of common stock and (vi) dividend equivalent rights.

Shares of common stock of 1,791,861, 2,066,308 and 2,311,249 were available for future option or restricted stock grant awards under the 1994 Plan as of December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. Annually on January 1st, the maximum number available for issuance under the 1994 Plan is increased by between 0.48% and 1.00% of the total number of shares of common stock and DownREIT units actually outstanding on such date. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the maximum number of shares of stock for which ISOs may be issued under the 1994 Plan shall not exceed 2,500,000 and no awards shall be granted under the 1994 Plan after May 11, 2011. Options and restricted stock granted under the 1994 Plan vest and expire over varying periods, as determined by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors.

Before the Merger, Avalon had adopted its 1995 Equity Incentive Plan (the Avalon 1995 Incentive Plan). Under the Avalon 1995 Incentive Plan, a maximum number of 3,315,054 shares (or 2,546,956 shares as adjusted for the Merger) of common stock were issuable, plus any shares of common stock represented by awards under Avalon s 1993 Stock Option and Incentive Plan (the Avalon 1993 Plan) that were forfeited, canceled, reacquired by Avalon, satisfied without the issuance of common stock or otherwise terminated (other than by exercise). Options granted to officers, non-employee directors and associates under the Avalon 1995 Incentive Plan generally vested over a three-year term, expire ten years from the date of grant and are exercisable at the market price on the date of grant. In connection with the Merger, the exercise prices and the number of options under the Avalon 1995 Incentive Plan and the Avalon 1993 Plan were adjusted to reflect the equivalent Bay shares and exercise prices based on the 0.7683 share conversion ratio used in the Merger. Officers, non-employee directors and associates with Avalon 1995 Incentive Plan or Avalon 1993 Plan options may exercise their adjusted number of options for the Company s common stock at the adjusted exercise price. As of June 4, 1998, the date of the Merger, options and other awards ceased to be granted under the Avalon 1993 Plan or the Avalon 1995 Incentive Plan. Accordingly, there were no options to purchase shares of common stock available for grant under the Avalon 1995 Incentive Plan or the Avalon 1993 Plan at December 31, 2006, 2005 or 2004.

Information with respect to stock options granted under the 1994 Plan, the Avalon 1995 Incentive Plan and the Avalon 1993 Plan is as follows:

	1994 Plan shares	av ex	eighted verage kercise price r share	Avalon 1995 and Avalon 1993 Plan shares	Weighted average exercise price per share	
Options Outstanding, December 31, 2003 Exercised Granted Forfeited	2,979,265 (1,167,679) 545,809 (80,577)	\$	39.57 39.06 50.71 43.98	473,962 (287,700)	\$	37.32 37.05
Options Outstanding, December 31, 2004	2,276,818	\$	42.39	186,262	\$	36.23
Exercised Granted Forfeited	(743,524) 725,988 (29,504)		41.89 70.09 55.66	(159,638)		37.82
Options Outstanding, December 31, 2005	2,229,778	\$	51.40	26,624	\$	37.09
Exercised Granted Forfeited	(592,308) 867,113 (17,344)		50.09 99.28 79.72	(22,384)		37.15
Options Outstanding, December 31, 2006	2,487,239	\$	69.65	4,240	\$	36.81
Options Exercisable: December 31, 2004	1,366,009	\$	39.72	186,262	\$	38.15
December 31, 2005	1,158,591	\$	42.45	26,624	\$	37.09
December 31, 2006	1,041,360	\$	47.99	4,240	\$	36.81

For options outstanding at December 31, 2006 under the 1994 Plan, 350,919 options had exercise prices ranging between \$31.50 and \$39.99 and a weighted average remaining contractual life of 3.0 years, 300,697 options had exercise prices ranging between \$40.00 and \$49.99 and a weighted average remaining contractual life of 4.7 years, 336,181 options had exercise prices between \$50.00 and \$59.99 and a weighted average remaining contractual life of 7.1 years, 637,142 options had exercise prices ranging between \$69.93 and \$79.99 and a weighted average remaining contractual life of 8.1 years, 851,800 options had exercise prices ranging between \$81.42 and \$99.99 and a weighted average remaining contractual life of 9.1 years, and 10,500 options had exercise prices between \$103.00 and \$123.03 and a weighted average remaining contractual life of 9.5 years. Options outstanding and exercisable at December 31, 2006 for the Avalon 1993 and Avalon 1995 Plans had exercise prices ranging from \$35.31 to \$37.66 and a weighted average contractual life of approximately one year and an intrinsic value of \$395. Options outstanding under the 1994 Plan at December 31, 2006 had an intrinsic value of \$153,922. Options exercisable at December 31, 2006 under the 1994 plan had a weighted average contractual life of 5.3 years and an intrinsic value of \$85,454. The intrinsic value of

options exercised during 2006, 2005 and 2004 was \$49,440, \$80,271 and \$133,003, respectively. The weighted average fair value of the options granted during 2006 is estimated at \$11.47 per share on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions: dividend yield of 5.0% over the expected life of the option, volatility of 17.61%, risk-free interest rates of 4.55% and an expected life of approximately 7 years. The weighted average fair value of the options granted during 2005 is estimated at \$6.40 per share on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions: dividend yield of 5.5% over the expected life of the option, volatility of 17.56%, risk-free interest rates of 3.91% and an expected life of approximately 7 years. The weighted average fair value of the options granted during 2004 is estimated at \$3.87 per share on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions: dividend yield of 6.05% over the expected life of the option, volatility of 17.28%, risk-free interest rates of 3.58% and an expected life of approximately 7 years. The cost related to stock-based employee compensation for employee stock options included in the determination of net income is based on estimated forfeitures for the given year. Estimated forfeitures are adjusted to reflect actual forfeitures at the end of the vesting period.

The Company issued restricted stock as part of its stock-based compensation plan during the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004. Compensation cost is recognized over the requisite service period, which varies, but does not exceed five years. The fair value of restricted stock is the closing stock price on the date of the grant. Provisions of SFAS 123(R) require the Company to recognize compensation cost taking into consideration retirement eligibility. The cost related to stock-based compensation for restricted stock included in the determination of net income is based on actual forfeitures for the given year. Restricted stock awards typically vest over a five year period with the exception of accelerated vesting provisions, which are infrequent and occur on a case by case basis. Restricted stock vesting during 2006 had fair values ranging from \$36.66 to \$102.88 per share. The total fair value of shares vested was \$7,655, \$8,932, and \$4,859 for the periods ended December 31, 2006, December 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004 respectively.

Total compensation cost recognized in income relating to deferred compensation for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 was \$10,095, \$4,292 and \$2,593 respectively. Total capitalized compensation cost for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 was \$4,014, \$4,046 and \$2,339 respectively. At December 31, 2006, there was a total of \$8,490 and \$11,560 in unrecognized compensation cost for unvested stock options and unvested restricted stock, respectively. The unrecognized compensation cost for stock options does not take into account estimated forfeitures. The unrecognized compensation cost for unvested stock options and restricted stock is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 1.9 years and 2.5 years, respectively.

In October 1996, the Company adopted the 1996 Non-Qualified Employee Stock Purchase Plan (as amended, the ESPP). Initially 1,000,000 shares of common stock were reserved for issuance under this plan. There are currently 789,312 shares remaining available for issuance under the plan. Full-time employees of the Company generally are eligible to participate in the ESPP if, as of the last day of the applicable election period, they have been employed by the Company for at least one month. All other employees of the Company are eligible to participate provided that, as of the applicable election period they have been employed by the Company for 12 months. Under the ESPP, eligible employees are permitted to acquire shares of the Company s common stock through payroll deductions, subject to maximum purchase limitations. The purchase period is a period of seven months beginning each April 1 and ending each October 30. The purchase price for common stock purchased under the plan is 85% of the lesser of the fair market value of the Company s common stock on the first day of the applicable purchase period or the last day of the applicable purchase period. The offering dates, purchase dates and duration of purchase periods may be changed, if the change is announced prior to the beginning of the affected date or purchase period. The Company issued 10,830 shares, 13,372 shares and 14,476 shares and recognized compensation expense of \$173, \$134 and \$109 under the ESPP for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The Company accounts for transactions under the ESPP using the fair value method prescribed under SFAS No. 123(R), as further discussed in Note 1, Organization and Significant Accounting Policies.

11. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Cash and cash equivalent balances are held with various financial institutions and may at times exceed the applicable Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation limit. The Company monitors credit ratings of these financial institutions and the concentration of cash and cash equivalent balances with any one financial institution and believes the likelihood of realizing material losses from the excess of cash and cash equivalent balances over insurance limits is remote. The following estimated fair values of financial instruments were determined by management using available market information and established valuation methodologies, including discounted cash flow. Accordingly, the estimates presented are not necessarily indicative of the amounts the Company could realize on disposition of the financial instruments. The use of different market assumptions and/or estimation methodologies may have a material effect on the estimated fair value amounts.

Cash equivalents, rents receivable, accounts and construction payable and accrued expenses, and other liabilities are carried at their face amounts, which reasonably approximate their fair values.

Bond indebtedness and notes payable with an aggregate outstanding per amount of approximately \$2,829,000 and \$2,268,000 had an estimated aggregate fair value of \$2,940,000 and \$2,394,000 at December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

The Company reports all derivative instruments at for value in accordance with SFAS No. 133, as amended. See Note 5, Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, for further discussions.

12. Related Party Arrangements

Unconsolidated Entities

The Company manages unconsolidated real estate entities for which it receives asset management, property management, development and redevelopment fee revenue. From these entities, the Company received fees of \$6,259, \$4,304 and \$604 in the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. These fees are included in management, development and other fees on the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations and Other Comprehensive Income.

In addition, in connection with the construction management services that the Company provided to MVP I, LLC, the entity that owns and developed Avalon at Mission Bay North II, the Company funds certain construction costs that are expected to be reimbursed through construction financing within 30 to 60 days. Construction was completed in 2005, and depending on the timing of such funding, the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets may reflect a corresponding receivable in prepaid expenses and other assets or a corresponding liability in accrued expenses and other liabilities. The Company has recorded receivables in the amounts of \$5,654 as of December 31, 2006 and \$6,653 as of December 31, 2005, from MVP I, LLC. The Company expects to be reimbursed through draws on a construction loan within 30 to 60 days.

Director Compensation

The 1994 Plan provides that directors of the Company who are also employees receive no additional compensation for their services as a director. On May 14, 2003, the Company s Board of Directors approved an amendment to the 1994 Plan pursuant to which each non-employee director would receive, following the 2004 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and each annual meeting thereafter, (i) a number of shares of restricted stock (or deferred stock awards) having a value of \$100 based on the last reported sale price of the common stock on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) on the fifth business day following the prior year s annual meeting and (ii) \$30 cash, payable in quarterly installments of \$7.5. A non-employee director may elect to receive all or a portion of such cash payment in the form of a deferred stock award. In addition, the Lead Independent Director receives an annual fee of \$30 payable in equal monthly installments of \$2.5. In February 2006, the Company s Board of Directors approved another amendment to the 1994 Plan under which (i) following the 2006 Annual Meeting of Stockholders the cash payment was adjusted to \$40, payable in quarterly installments of \$10 and (ii) following the 2007 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, the number of shares of restricted stock (or deferred stock awards) will be calculated based on the closing price on the day of the award (rather than the closing price on the award date of the prior year). The Company recorded non-employee director compensation expense relating to the restricted stock grants, deferred stock awards and stock options in the amount of \$1,013, \$966 and \$940 in the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively as a component of general and administrative expenses. Deferred compensation relating to these restricted stock grants, deferred stock awards and stock options was \$778 and \$579 on December 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively.

13. Quarterly Financial Information (Unaudited)

The following summary represents the quarterly results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005:

		For the three i	months ended	
	3-31-06	6-30-06	9-30-06	12-31-06
Total revenue	\$ 175,158	\$ 180,675	\$ 187,667	\$ 193,800
Income from continuing operations ⁽¹⁾	\$ 47,582	\$ 37,906	\$ 45,076	\$ 49,276
Income from discontinued operations ⁽¹⁾	\$ 66,495	\$ 32,063		
Net income available to common stockholders	\$111,902	\$ 67,794	\$ 42,901	\$ 47,101
Net income per common share basi ⁽²⁾	\$ 1.52	\$ 0.91	\$ 0.58	\$ 0.63
Net income per common share diluted)	\$ 1.49	\$ 0.90	\$ 0.57	\$ 0.62
		For the three i	months ended	
	3-31-05	6-30-05	9-30-05	12-31-05
Total revenue	\$ 161,245	\$ 165,586	\$ 170,751	\$ 173,098
Income from continuing operations ⁽¹⁾	\$ 27,861	\$ 29,977	\$ 26,885	\$ 27,426
Income from discontinued operations ⁽¹⁾	\$ 41,749	\$ 26,934	\$ 72,243	\$ 69,303
Net income available to common stockholders	\$ 67,435	\$ 54,736	\$ 96,953	\$ 94,554
Net income per common share basi ⁽²⁾	\$ 0.93	\$ 0.75	\$ 1.32	\$ 1.29
Net income per common share dilute(d)	\$ 0.92	\$ 0.74	\$ 1.30	\$ 1.26

⁽¹⁾ Amounts may not equal previously reported results due to reclassification between income from continuing operations and income from discontinued operations.

14. Subsequent Events

In January 2007, the Company filed a new shelf registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission, allowing the Company to sell an undetermined number or amount of certain debt and equity securities as defined in the prospectus. In addition, in conjunction with its inclusion in the S&P 500 Index in January 2007, the Company issued 4,600,000 shares of its common stock at \$129.30 per share. Net proceeds in the amount of approximately \$594,000 will be used for general corporate purposes.

In January 2007, the Company purchased a parcel of land located in New York, NY for \$70,000. The Company expects to begin construction on this parcel of a 628 apartment-home community in the fourth quarter of 2007. In January 2007, the Fund acquired Centerpoint, a newly constructed high-rise tower and separate, recently renovated historic mid-rise buildings located within a single downtown city block of Baltimore, MD. Centerpoint was acquired for a purchase price of \$78,500. The community contains a total of 392 apartment homes and approximately 33,000 square feet of retail space.

⁽²⁾ Amounts may not equal full year results due to rounding.

AVALONBAY COMMUNITIES, INC. REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION December 31, 2006

(Dollars in thousands)

/ Costs / Total Construction beequent Construction Cost, Net in to in of Year of Progress Acquisition Progress & / & Accumulated Completion Land Improvements Total Depreciation cumbrance Acquisition Current Communities
in to in of Year of Progress Acquisition Progress & / & Accumulated Completion Land Improvemed tenstruction Land Improvements Total Depreciation to Depreciat
in to in of Year of Progress Acquisition Progress & / & Accumulated Completion Land Improvemed tenstruction Land Improvements Total Depreciation to Depreciat
& / & Accumulated Completion Land Improvements Total Depreciation Land Improvements Total Depreciation Current Current
Land Improveme@txnstruction Land Improvements Total Depreciatibrepreciationcumbrance&cquisition
Current
Avalon at
Bedford
Center 4,238 20,477 1 4,238 20,478 24,716 763 23,953 2006
Avalon at
Center Place 26,816 1,928 28,744 28,744 9,561 19,183 1991/199
Avalon at
Crane Brook 12,381 42,298 102 12,381 42,400 54,781 3,569 51,212 33,535 2004
Avalon at
Faxon Park 1,136 14,001 520 1,136 14,521 15,657 4,540 11,117 1998
Avalon at
Flanders Hill 3,572 33,504 126 3,572 33,630 37,202 5,198 32,004 21,245 2003
Avalon at
Lexington 2,124 12,599 1,311 2,124 13,910 16,034 5,898 10,136 12,467 1994
Avalon at
Newton
Highlands 11,038 45,527 99 11,038 45,626 56,664 5,385 51,279 37,650 2003
Avalon at
Prudential
Center 25,811 104,399 26,443 25,811 130,842 156,653 34,972 121,681 1968/199
Avalon at Stevens Pond 10,704 43,506 75 10,704 43,581 54,285 5,005 49,280 2004
Stevens Pond 10,704 43,506 75 10,704 43,581 54,285 5,005 49,280 2004 Avalon at The
Pinehills I 3,623 16,292 28 3,623 16,320 19,943 1,361 18,582 2004
Avalon Essex 5,230 16,303 284 5,230 16,587 21,817 4,105 17,712 2000
Avalon Avalon
Ledges 2,627 33,443 297 2,627 33,740 36,367 5,515 30,852 18,635 2002
Avalon Oaks 2,129 18,656 472 2,129 19,128 21,257 5,357 15,900 17,205 1999
Avalon Oaks
West 3,303 13,467 74 3,303 13,541 16,844 2,430 14,414 17,036 2002
Avalon
Orchards 2,975 18,037 138 2,975 18,175 21,150 3,134 18,016 19,883 2002
1,743 14,670 932 1,743 15,602 17,345 5,747 11,598 1986/199

Edgar Filing: AVALONBAY COMMUNITIES INC - Form 10-K

Avalon Summit										
Avalon West	943	9,881	508	943	10,389	11,332	3,702	7,630	8,179	1996
Essex Place	4,643	19,007	77	4,643	19,084	23,727	1,571	22,156		2004
Avalon at	•	•		·	•		•			
Greyrock										
Place	13,819	56,499	75	13,819	56,574	70,393	8,883	61,510		2002
Avalon	,	,		,	,	,	,	,		
Danbury	4,905	30,520	29	4,905	30,549	35,454	1,573	33,881		2005
Avalon	,	/		,	,	, -	,	,		
Darien	6,922	34,594	3	6,922	34,597	41,519	3,857	37,662		2004
Avalon Gates	4,414	31,268	1,423	4,414	32,691	37,105	10,820	26,285		1997
Avalon Glen	5,956	23,993	2,194	5,956	26,187	32,143	11,465	20,678		1991
Avalon	3,750	23,773	2,171	3,730	20,107	32,113	11,105	20,070		1,7,71
Haven	1,264	12,491	232	1,264	12,723	13,987	3,066	10,921		2000
Avalon	1,204	12,771	232	1,204	12,723	13,707	3,000	10,721		2000
Milford I	8,746	22,695	(0)	8,746	22,695	31,441	1,931	29,510		2004
Avalon New	0,740	22,093	(0)	0,740	22,093	31,441	1,931	29,310		2004
	1 025	10.405	4.4	1 025	10.520	24.264	2 172	21 102		2002
Canaan	4,835	19,485	44	4,835	19,529	24,364	3,172	21,192		2002
Avalon on										
Stamford	10.026	71 000	<i>C</i> 1	10.026	52.050	62 006	0.220	54.550		2002
Harbor	10,836	51,989	61	10,836	52,050	62,886	8,328	54,558		2003
Avalon	• • • • •	40.000	_	• 400	40.000	22.006	4.000	20.011		2007
Orange	2,108	19,983	5	2,108	19,988	22,096	1,282	20,814		2005
Avalon										
Springs	2,116	14,664	414	2,116	15,078	17,194	5,105	12,089		1996
Avalon										
Valley	2,277	23,781	252	2,277	24,033	26,310	6,525	19,785		1999
Avalon Walk										
I & II	9,102	48,796	7,563	9,102	56,359	65,461	21,389	44,072		1992/1994
Avalon at										
Glen Cove										
South	7,871	59,969	62	7,871	60,031	67,902	5,150	62,752		2004
Avalon										
Commons	4,679	28,509	527	4,679	29,036	33,715	9,454	24,261		1997
Avalon Court	9,228	50,021	573	9,228	50,594	59,822	14,172	45,650		1997/2000
Avalon Pines										
I	6,178	40,564	(205)	6,178	40,359	46,537	2,625	43,912		2005
Avalon Pines										
II	2,943	20,923	0	2,943	20,923	23,866	556	23,310		2006
Avalon	,	,		,	,	,		,		
Towers	3,118	12,709	5,451	3,118	18,160	21,278	6,102	15,176		1990/1995
Avalon at	-, -	,	-, -	-, -	-,	,	-, -	-,		
Edgewater	14,529	60,240	445	14,529	60,685	75,214	11,184	64,030		2002
Avalon at	1 .,02	٠٠,٢٠٠		1 .,0 = >	00,000	,=	11,101	0.,000		2002
Florham Park	6,647	34,909	260	6,647	35,169	41,816	7,842	33,974		2001
Avalon Cove	8,760	82,442	1,670	8,760	84,112	92,872	28,326	64,546		1997
Avalon at	0,700	02,772	1,070	0,700	07,112	72,012	20,320	07,570		1///
Freehold	4,116	30,514	118	4,116	30,632	34,748	5,527	29,221		2002
1 Iccholu	4,110	50,514	110	7,110	F-35	54,740	3,341	49,441		2002
					1-33					

AVALONBAY COMMUNITIES, INC. REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION

December 31, 2006 (Dollars in thousands)

Initial Cost
Building
Costs
Total Cost
Building
/ Costs

		·						Total		
	,	Tanatmy ati G	m baaana	·	Canatanation					
	(Constructi s	_	it (Construction			Cost,		Voor of
		in Dua anno sa	to		in			Net of		Year of
		ProgressA	.cquisitic)II	Progress		1 . A	114	. 1	C1-4:/
	T 1T	&		T 17	&		Accumula A			Completion /
A 1 D		•			•		•	•	oc umbranc	ceAcquisition
Avalon Run	13,060	45,855	1,130	13,060	46,985	60,045	145	59,900		1994
Avalon Run	1.550	14.660		1.550	1.4.770	16.250	5 000	11 106		1006
East	1,579	14,668	111	1,579	14,779	16,358	5,232	11,126		1996
Avalon Run										
East II	6,765	45,377	2	6,765	45,379	52,144	3,409	48,735		2003
Avalon Watch	5,585	22,394	2,159	5,585	24,553	30,138	11,357	18,781		1988
Avalon										
Bowery Place	18,668	70,739	170	18,668	70,909	89,577	433	89,144	93,800	2006
Avalon										
Gardens	8,428	45,660	1,024	8,428	46,684	55,112	14,590	40,522		1998
Avalon Green	1,820	10,525	898	1,820	11,423	13,243	4,361	8,882		1995
Avalon on the										
Sound		116,231	867		117,098	117,098	18,931	98,167		2001
Avalon										
Riverview I		94,166	395		94,561	94,561	14,611	79,950		2002
Avalon View	3,529	14,140	1,598	3,529	15,738	19,267	6,871	12,396	15,980	1993
Avalon Willow	6,207	40,791	516	6,207	41,307	47,514	10,373	37,141		2000
The Avalon	2,889	28,324	143	2,889	28,467	31,356	7,457	23,899		1999
Avalon at										
Fairway Hills I										
& II	4,147	16,599	2,025	4,147	18,624	22,771	7,546	15,225	11,500	1987/1996
Avalon at										
Fairway Hills										
III	4,465	17,864	7,024	4,465	24,888	29,353	7,301	22,052		1987/1996
Avalon at										
Symphony										
Glen	1,594	6,384	1,377	1,594	7,761	9,355	3,875	5,480	9,780	1986
Avalon	ŕ	,	•	,	ŕ	ŕ	ŕ	,	,	
Landing	1,849	7,409	930	1,849	8,339	10,188	3,460	6,728	5,903	1984/1995
Southgate	,	,		,	,	,	,	,	,	
Crossing	7,207	29,151	0	7,207	29,151	36,358	203	36,155		2006
AutumnWoods	6,096	24,400	2,705	6,096	27,105	33,201	8,858	24,343		1989/1996
Avalon at	-,070	,	_,. 00	-,070	,	,	-,020	,		
Arlington										
Square	22,041	90,296	272	22,041	90,568	112,609	17,183	95,426		2001
~ quai v	,0 11	70,270	-,-	,0 .1	, 0,500	-12,007	1,,100	72,120		2001

Avalon at Ballston										
Washington	7,291	29,177	1,642	7,291	30,819	38,110	13,310	24,800		1990
Avalon at Cameron Court	10,292	32,930	311	10,292	33,241	43,533	10,421	33,112		1998
Avalon at	10,272	32,730	311	10,272	33,241	73,333	10,421	33,112		1770
Decoverly	6,157	24,800	1,341	6,157	26,141	32,298	10,160	22,138		1991/1995
Avalon at Foxhall	6,848	27,614	9,926	6,848	37,540	44,388	13,727	30,661		1982
Avalon at	0,010	27,011	J,J20	0,010	37,310	11,500	13,727	20,001		1702
Gallery Place I	9,084	39,731	58	9,084	39,789	48,873	5,138	43,735		2003
Avalon at Grosvenor										
Station	24,751	57,331	75	24,751	57,406	82,157	5,649	76,508		2004
Avalon at										
Providence Park	2,152	8,907	621	2,152	9,528	11,680	3,207	8,473		1988/1997
Avalon at	2,132	8,907	021	2,132	9,328	11,000	3,207	8,473		1988/1997
Rock Spring		46,003	257		46,260	46,260	6,524	39,736		2003
Avalon at		ŕ			•	,	,	ŕ		
Traville	14,360	55,382	5	14,360	55,387	69,747	5,504	64,243		2004
Avalon Crescent	13,851	43,397	353	13,851	43,750	57,601	14,666	42,935		1996
Avalon Fields	13,631	43,391	333	13,031	43,730	37,001	14,000	42,933		1990
I & II	4,047	18,553	178	4,047	18,731	22,778	6,712	16,066	10,483	1998
Avalon Knoll	1,528	6,136	1,731	1,528	7,867	9,395	3,795	5,600	11,957	1985
Avalon										
Arlington Heights	9,728	39,661	6,468	9,728	46,129	55,857	8,787	47,070		1987/2000
Avalon at	7,720	37,001	0,400	7,720	70,127	33,037	0,707	47,070		170772000
Danada Farms	7,535	30,623	814	7,535	31,437	38,972	9,721	29,251		1997
Avalon at										
Stratford Green	4,326	17,569	269	4,326	17,838	22,164	5,520	16,644		1997
Avalon at	4,320	17,309	209	4,320	17,030	22,104	3,320	10,044		1997
West Grove	5,149	20,656	5,467	5,149	26,123	31,272	8,324	22,948		1967
Avalon at Bear	6.796	27 154	017	6.796	20.071	24.957	9.207	26.560		1000
Creek Avalon	6,786	27,154	917	6,786	28,071	34,857	8,297	26,560		1998
Bellevue	6,664	24,119	79	6,664	24,198	30,862	5,264	25,598		2001
Avalon Belltown	5,644	12,733	67	5,644	12,800	18,444	2,555	15,889		2001
Avalon	,	,		,	,	,	,	,		
Brandemoor	8,630	36,679	337	8,630	37,016	45,646	7,565	38,081		2001
Avalon HighGrove	7,569	32,041	269	7,569	32,310	39,879	6,991	32,888		2000
Avalon	7,309	32,041	209	7,309	32,310	39,019	0,991	32,000		2000
ParcSquare	3,789	15,143	313	3,789	15,456	19,245	3,631	15,614		2000
Avalon										
Redmond Place	4,558	17,568	4,283	4,558	21,851	26,409	7,181	19,228		1991/1997
1 1400	ਰ,੭੭੦	17,500	¬,∠oɔ	ਰ,,,,,,,	21,031	∠∪, + U>	7,101	17,440		1//1/177/

Avalon

RockMeadow 4,777 19,726 303 4,777 20,029 24,806 4,656 20,150 2000 F-36

AVALONBAY COMMUNITIES, INC. REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION

December 31, 2006 (Dollars in thousands)

Total Cost

Initial Cost

4,726

13,814

5,403

7,852

6,125

3.561

9,155

19,130

78,452

21,567

31,445

24,796

21,321

57,624

1.471

546

1,101

4,291

1,244

3.896

227

4,726

13,814

5,403

7.852

6,125

3,561

9,155

20,601

78,998

22,668

35,736

26,040

25,217

57,851

25,327

92,812

28,071

43,588

32,165

28,778

67,006

6,290

10,517

6,750

10,340

7,775

8.140

14,606

19,037

82,295

21,321

33,248

24,390

20,638

52,400

20,800

17,600

Avalon Dublin Avalon Fremont Avalon Pleasanton Waterford Avalon at Cedar Ridge Avalon at Diamond Heights

Avalon at Mission Bay

Avalon at Nob Hill

Avalon Foster

North

City

Avalon Pacifica

Avalon Sunset **Towers**

Avalon

Bay

Towers by the

		Building								
		/	Costs		Building /					
								Total		
	(Constructi&	n bsequent		Construction			Cost,		
		in	to		in			Net of		Year of
		Progress/	Acquisition		Progress					
		&	/		&	A	ccumula#e	xx cumulate	d	Completion A
	LandIr		ntsstruction	ı Land In						eAcquisition
Avalon	Lanan	iipio (c iii c	and action	. Dana in	inprovements	roun D	сріссіння	фтесници	acimor am	cestequisition
WildReed	4,253	18,676	166	4,253	18,842	23,095	4,343	18,752		2000
Avalon	.,	10,070	100	.,_00	10,0 .2	20,070	.,.	10,702		2000
Wynhaven	11,412	41,142	290	11,412	41,432	52,844	8,424	44,420		2001
Avalon at	,	,		,	,	,	,	,		
Union Square	4,249	16,820	1,512	4,249	18,332	22,581	5,654	16,927		1973/1996
Avalon at	ŕ	,	ŕ	,	•	•	,	,		
Willow Creek	6,581	26,583	3,048	6,581	29,631	36,212	8,958	27,254		1985/1994
Avalon										
Dublin	5,276	19,642	2,948	5,276	22,590	27,866	6,574	21,292		1989/1997
Avalon										
Fremont	10,746	43,399	2,467	10,746	45,866	56,612	13,721	42,891		1994
Avalon										
Pleasanton	11,610	46,552	4,188	11,610	50,740	62,350	15,564	46,786		1988/1994
Waterford	11,324	45,717	4,645	11,324	50,362	61,686	15,675	46,011	33,100	1985/1986
Avalon at										
Cedar Ridge	4,230	9,659	12,657	4,230	22,316	26,546	6,810	19,736		1972/1997

1972/1994

2003

1990/1995

1973/1994

1971/1995

1961/1996

1999

/

C										
Crowne	5.000	16.005	10.106	5.000	27.001	22.062	0.202	24.700		1072/1007
Ridge	5,982	16,885	10,196	5,982	27,081	33,063	8,283	24,780		1973/1996
Avalon at	11 022	40.047	1 000	11.022	50 107	(2.0(0	14067	47.002		1005
Blossom Hill	11,933	48,247	1,880	11,933	50,127	62,060	14,967	47,093		1995
Avalon at	4.760	47.600	210	4.760	47.010	50.570	7.500	45.040		2002
Cahill Park	4,760	47,600	210	4,760	47,810	52,570	7,528	45,042		2002
Avalon at	(516	26 201	10.576	(516	26.077	12 122	10 405	22.020		10/2/1007
Creekside	6,546	26,301	10,576	6,546	36,877	43,423	10,485	32,938		1962/1997
Avalon at										
Foxchase I &	11 240	45 520	2.700	11 240	40.220	(0 (70	15 200	45 202	26 400	1000/1007
II	11,340	45,532	3,798	11,340	49,330	60,670	15,288	45,382	26,400	1988/1987
Avalon at	7.406	20.022	000	7.406	20.012	20 210	0.257	20.061		1001/1006
Parkside	7,406	29,823	989	7,406	30,812	38,218	9,257	28,961		1991/1996
Avalon at	2 414	15 460	12.050	2 414	20 727	22 141	0.002	22 120		1069/1007
Pruneyard	3,414	15,469	13,258	3,414	28,727	32,141	9,002	23,139		1968/1997
Avalon at	9.004	25 121	004	0.004	26 105	45,000	10.625	24 274		1000/1006
River Oaks	8,904	35,121	984	8,904	36,105	45,009	10,635	34,374		1990/1996
Avalon	11 020	47.020	156	11 020	10 201	60 114	14,365	45 740	20 000	1005
Campbell Avalon	11,830	47,828	456	11,830	48,284	60,114	14,303	45,749	38,800	1995
Avaion Mountain										
View	9,755	39,393	2,461	9,755	41,854	51,609	12,634	38,975	18,300	1986
Avalon on the	9,733	39,393	2,401	9,733	41,634	31,009	12,034	36,973	18,300	1960
Alameda	6,119	50,230	157	6,119	50,387	56,506	13,929	42,577		1999
Avalon	0,119	30,230	137	0,119	30,367	30,300	13,929	42,377		1999
Rosewalk	15,814	62,028	1,522	15,814	63,550	79,364	18,233	61,131		1997/1999
Avalon	13,014	02,020	1,322	13,614	05,550	19,304	10,233	01,131		199//1999
Silicon										
Valley	20,713	99,573	1,837	20,713	101,410	122,123	29,967	92,156		1997
Avalon	20,713	77,313	1,037	20,713	101,710	122,123	27,707	72,130		1771
Towers on the										
Peninsula	9,560	56,136	56	9,560	56,192	65,752	9,588	56,164		2002
Countrybrook	9,384	34,958	4,448	9,384	39,406	48,790	11,872	36,918	15,990	1985/1996
San Marino	6,607	26,673	1,737	6,607	28,410	35,017	8,616	•	15,770	1984/1988
Avalon at	0,007	20,073	1,737	0,007	20,110	33,017	0,010	20,101		170 1/1700
Media Center	22,483	28,104	25,874	22,483	53,978	76,461	15,382	61,079		1961/1997
Avalon at	22, 103	20,10	22,071	22,103	22,770	70,101	10,002	01,075		1,01,1,,,
Warner										
Center	7,045	12,986	6,912	7,045	19,898	26,943	6,935	20,008		1979/1998
Avalon	.,	,-	-,	,,,,,,	-,,,,,	,-	-,,	,,,,,,		-,,,,-,,
Camarillo	8,454	38,720	(0)	8,454	38,720	47,174	866	46,308		2006
Avalon at	,	,	()	,	,	,		,		
Glendale		40,248	0		40,248	40,248	4,757	35,491		2003
Avalon		ŕ			•	,	,	•		
Woodland										
Hills	23,828	40,372	7,873	23,828	48,245	72,073	16,163	55,910		1989/1997
The	,	ŕ	,	ŕ	•	,	,	,		
Promenade	14,052	56,827	124	14,052	56,951	71,003	8,957	62,046	31,495	1988/2002
Avalon Del					•	•				
Rey	6,541	58,535	(1)	6,541	58,534	65,075	724	64,351	40,845	2006
-	4,871	19,745	7,680	4,871	27,425	32,296	8,256	24,040		1971/1997

Edgar Filing: AVALONBAY COMMUNITIES INC - Form 10-K

Avalon at Pacific Bay Avalon at										
South Coast	4,709	16,063	4,718	4,709	20,781	25,490	6,554	18,936		1973/1996
Avalon Mission Viejo	2,517	9,257	2,238	2,517	11,495	14,012	3,521	10,491	7,635	1984/1996
Avalon	1.075	2 014	1.562	1.075	9 277	10.252	2.527	7.015		1056/1006
Newport	1,975	3,814	4,563	1,975	8,377 F-37	10,352	2,537	7,815		1956/1996

AVALONBAY COMMUNITIES, INC. REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION

December 31, 2006 (Dollars in thousands)

	Initia	l Cost Building / Construction in	Costs Subsequent to Acquisition		Total Cost Building / Construction in	ı		Total Cost, Net of		Yea
n Santa	Land	Progress & Improvement	- /		Progress & Improvement	s Total		dAccumulated Depreciation	l Encumbrances	Compl s Acqui
rita n at	4,607	16,911	2,843	4,607	19,754	24,361	6,055	18,306		1990/
z Hill n at	2,768	20,134	11,654	2,768	31,788	34,556	9,344	25,212		1973/
on Bay n at on	9,922	40,633	15,726	9,922	56,359	66,281	16,313	49,968		1969/
	2,710	10,924	8,787	2,710	19,711	22,421	6,021	16,400		1960/
	\$ 921,900	\$4,356,642	\$312,294	\$ 921,900	\$4,668,936	\$5,590,836	\$1,076,823	\$4,514,013	\$ 596,203	
opment nunities										
n erly II n at	3,364	14,864	11,195	3,364	26,059	29,423	132	29,291		
n n at Cove		17	42,334		42,351	42,351		42,351		
n at		107	27,030		27,137	27,137		27,137		
n Acton		52	22,559 16,672		22,611 16,672	22,611 16,672		22,611 16,672	45,000	
ry H		41	14,966		15,007	15,007		15,007	48,500	
n nut Hill	10,626	34,527	14,773	10,626	49,300	59,926	223	59,703		
n ers		127	46,306		46,433	46,433		46,433		
n O		38	22,833		22,871	22,871		22,871		

			285	63,94	41				64,226		64,226				64,226			
			147	35,94	42				36,089		36,089				36,089			
			71	102,00	02				102,073		102,073				102,073			
			49	85,70	65				85,814		85,814				85,814			
	3,258		19,798	10,48	87		3,258		30,285		33,543		223		33,320			
			222	38,1	16				38,338		38,338				38,338			
	3,320		10,125	47,83	32		3,320		57,957		61,277		84		61,193			
			19	14,0	12				14,031		14,031				14,031			
\$	20,568	\$	80,490	\$616,70	64	\$	20,568	\$	697,254	\$	717,822	\$	662	\$	717,160	\$	93,50	0
2	09,568						209,568				209,568				209,568		23,65	0
	22,327		13,370	24,69	92		22,327		38,062		60,389		23,073		37,316	2,	153,07	8
\$1,1	74,363	\$4,4	450,502	\$953,75	50	\$1,	,174,363	\$5	5,404,252	\$6	6,578,615	\$1.	,100,558	\$5	5,478,057	\$2,	866,43	1
									F-38									
	2	3,320 \$ 20,568 209,568 22,327	3,320 \$ 20,568 \$ 209,568 22,327	147 71 49 3,258 19,798 222 3,320 10,125 19 \$ 20,568 \$ 80,490 209,568 22,327 13,370	147 35,94 71 102,00 49 85,76 3,258 19,798 10,49 222 38,1 3,320 10,125 47,89 19 14,0 \$ 20,568 \$ 80,490 \$616,76 209,568 22,327 13,370 24,66	147 35,942 71 102,002 49 85,765 3,258 19,798 10,487 222 38,116 3,320 10,125 47,832 19 14,012 \$ 20,568 \$ 80,490 \$616,764 209,568 22,327 13,370 24,692	147 35,942 71 102,002 49 85,765 3,258 19,798 10,487 222 38,116 3,320 10,125 47,832 19 14,012 \$ 20,568 \$ 80,490 \$616,764 \$ 209,568 22,327 13,370 24,692	147 35,942 71 102,002 49 85,765 3,258 19,798 10,487 3,258 222 38,116 3,320 10,125 47,832 3,320 19 14,012 \$ 20,568 \$ 80,490 \$616,764 \$ 20,568 209,568 22,327 13,370 24,692 22,327	147 35,942 71 102,002 49 85,765 3,258 19,798 10,487 3,258 222 38,116 3,320 10,125 47,832 3,320 19 14,012 \$ 20,568 \$ 80,490 \$616,764 \$ 20,568 \$ 209,568 22,327 13,370 24,692 22,327	147 35,942 36,089 71 102,002 102,073 49 85,765 85,814 3,258 19,798 10,487 3,258 30,285 222 38,116 38,338 3,320 10,125 47,832 3,320 57,957 19 14,012 14,031 \$ 20,568 \$ 80,490 \$616,764 \$ 20,568 \$ 697,254 209,568 209,568 22,327 13,370 24,692 22,327 38,062 \$1,174,363 \$4,450,502 \$953,750 \$1,174,363 \$5,404,252	147 35,942 36,089 71 102,002 102,073 49 85,765 85,814 3,258 19,798 10,487 3,258 30,285 222 38,116 38,338 3,320 10,125 47,832 3,320 57,957 19 14,012 14,031 \$ 20,568 \$ 80,490 \$616,764 \$ 20,568 \$ 697,254 \$ 209,568 22,327 13,370 24,692 22,327 38,062 \$1,174,363 \$4,450,502 \$953,750 \$1,174,363 \$5,404,252 \$6	147 35,942 36,089 36,089 71 102,002 102,073 102,073 49 85,765 85,814 85,814 3,258 19,798 10,487 3,258 30,285 33,543 222 38,116 38,338 38,338 3,320 10,125 47,832 3,320 57,957 61,277 19 14,012 14,031 14,031 \$ 20,568 \$ 80,490 \$616,764 \$ 20,568 \$ 697,254 \$ 717,822 209,568 209,568 209,568 209,568 22,327 13,370 24,692 22,327 38,062 60,389 \$1,174,363 \$4,450,502 \$953,750 \$1,174,363 \$5,404,252 \$6,578,615	147 35,942 36,089 36,089 71 102,002 102,073 102,073 49 85,765 85,814 85,814 3,258 19,798 10,487 3,258 30,285 33,543 222 38,116 38,338 38,338 3,320 10,125 47,832 3,320 57,957 61,277 19 14,012 14,031 14,031 \$ 20,568 \$ 697,254 \$ 717,822 \$ 209,568 209,568 209,568 22,327 13,370 24,692 22,327 38,062 60,389 \$1,174,363 \$4,450,502 \$953,750 \$1,174,363 \$5,404,252 \$6,578,615 \$1.5	147 35,942 36,089 36,089 71 102,002 102,073 102,073 49 85,765 85,814 85,814 3,258 19,798 10,487 3,258 30,285 33,543 223 222 38,116 38,338 38,338 38,338 3,320 10,125 47,832 3,320 57,957 61,277 84 19 14,012 14,031 14,031 14,031 662 20,568 80,490 \$616,764 \$20,568 \$697,254 717,822 662 209,568 209,568 209,568 209,568 209,568 209,568 22,327 13,370 24,692 22,327 38,062 60,389 23,073 \$1,174,363 \$4,450,502 \$953,750 \$1,174,363 \$5,404,252 \$6,578,615 \$1,100,558	147 35,942 36,089 36,089 71 102,002 102,073 102,073 49 85,765 85,814 85,814 3,258 19,798 10,487 3,258 30,285 33,543 223 222 38,116 38,338 38,338 3,320 10,125 47,832 3,320 57,957 61,277 84 19 14,012 14,031 14,031 \$ 20,568 \$ 80,490 \$616,764 \$ 20,568 \$ 697,254 \$ 717,822 \$ 662 \$ 209,568 209,568 22,327 13,370 24,692 22,327 38,062 60,389 23,073 \$1,174,363 \$4,450,502 \$953,750 \$1,174,363 \$5,404,252 \$6,578,615 \$1,100,558 \$5	147 35,942 36,089 36,089 36,089 71 102,002 102,073 102,073 102,073 49 85,765 85,814 85,814 85,814 3,258 19,798 10,487 3,258 30,285 33,543 223 33,320 222 38,116 38,338 38,338 38,338 3,320 10,125 47,832 3,320 57,957 61,277 84 61,193 19 14,012 14,031 14,031 14,031 14,031 \$ 20,568 \$ 80,490 \$616,764 \$ 20,568 \$ 697,254 \$ 717,822 \$ 662 \$ 717,160 209,568 22,327 38,062 60,389 23,073 37,316 \$1,174,363 \$4,450,502 \$953,750 \$1,174,363 \$5,404,252 \$6,578,615 \$1,100,558 \$5,478,057	147 35,942 36,089 36,089 36,089 71 102,002 102,073 102,073 102,073 49 85,765 85,814 85,814 85,814 3,258 19,798 10,487 3,258 30,285 33,543 223 33,320 222 38,116 38,338 38,338 38,338 3,320 10,125 47,832 3,320 57,957 61,277 84 61,193 19 14,012 14,031 14,031 14,031 \$ 20,568 \$ 80,490 \$616,764 \$ 20,568 \$ 697,254 \$ 717,822 \$ 662 \$ 717,160 \$ 209,568 209,568 209,568 209,568 209,568 209,568 209,568 23,073 37,316 2,81,174,363 \$4,450,502 \$953,750 \$1,174,363 \$5,404,252 \$6,578,615 \$1,100,558 \$5,478,057 \$2,450 \$2,450 \$2,450 \$2,450 \$2,450 \$2,450 \$2,450 \$2,450 \$2,450 \$2,450 \$2,450 \$2,450 \$2,450 \$2,450 \$2,450 \$2,450 \$2,450	147 35,942 36,089 36,089 36,089 71 102,002 102,073 102,073 102,073 49 85,765 85,814 85,814 85,814 3,258 19,798 10,487 3,258 30,285 33,543 223 33,320 222 38,116 38,338 38,338 38,338 3,320 10,125 47,832 3,320 57,957 61,277 84 61,193 19 14,012 14,031 14,031 14,031 \$ 20,568 \$ 80,490 \$616,764 \$ 20,568 \$ 697,254 \$ 717,822 \$ 662 \$ 717,160 \$ 93,50 209,568 209,568 209,568 209,568 209,568 23,65 22,327 13,370 24,692 22,327 38,062 60,389 23,073 37,316 2,153,07 \$1,174,363 \$4,450,502 \$953,750 \$1,174,363 \$5,404,252 \$6,578,615 \$1,100,558 \$5,478,057 \$2,866,43

AVALONBAY COMMUNITIES, INC. REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION

December 31, 2006 (Dollars in thousands)

Amounts include real estate assets held for sale.

Depreciation of AvalonBay Communities, Inc. building, improvements, upgrades and furniture, fixtures and equipment (FF&E) is calculated over the following useful lives, on a straight line basis:

Building 30 years

Improvements, upgrades and FF&E not to exceed 7 years

The aggregate cost of total real estate for Federal income tax purposes was approximately \$6,579,000 at December 31, 2006.

The changes in total real estate assets for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 are as follows:

	Years ended December 31,				
	2006	2005	2004		
Balance, beginning of period	\$5,903,168	\$5,697,144	\$5,431,757		
Acquisitions, construction costs and					
improvements	825,981	528,118	520,643		
Dispositions, including impairment loss on					
planned dispositions	(150,534)	(322,094)	(255,256)		
Balance, end of period	\$6,578,615	\$ 5,903,168	\$5,697,144		

The changes in accumulated depreciation for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, are as follows:

	Years ended December 31,						
	2006	2005	2004				
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 957,380	\$819,319	\$695,368				
Depreciation, including discontinued							
operations	162,896	162,063	162,667				
Dispositions	(19,718)	(24,002)	(38,716)				
Balance, end of period	\$ 1,100,558	\$ 957,380	\$819,319				