

PACWEST BANCORP
Form 10-Q
August 07, 2008

[QuickLinks](#) -- Click here to rapidly navigate through this document

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2008

OR

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from _____ to _____
Commission File Number: 00-30747

PACWEST BANCORP

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

DELAWARE

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

33-0885320

(I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

**401 West "A" Street
San Diego, California**

(Address of principal executive offices)

92101
(Zip Code)

(619) 233-5588

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

| | | | |
|--|---|---|---|
| Large accelerated filer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/> | Non-accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/> | Smaller reporting company <input type="checkbox"/> |
| | | (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) | |

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Edgar Filing: PACWEST BANCORP - Form 10-Q

As of August 4, 2008 there were 27,196,853 shares of the registrant's common stock outstanding, excluding 975,950 shares of unvested restricted stock.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|---|-------------|
| PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION | 3 |
| ITEM 1. Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | 3 |
| Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets | 3 |
| Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings | 4 |
| Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income | 5 |
| Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity | 6 |
| Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows | 7 |
| Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | 8 |
| ITEM 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations | 21 |
| ITEM 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk | 47 |
| ITEM 4. Controls and Procedures | 47 |
| PART II OTHER INFORMATION | 48 |
| ITEM 1. Legal Proceedings | 48 |
| ITEM 1A. Risk Factors | 48 |
| ITEM 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds | 48 |
| ITEM 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders | 49 |
| ITEM 6. Exhibits | 50 |
| SIGNATURES | 51 |
| See "Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements." | |

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

| | June 30, 2008 | December 31, 2007 |
|---|--|----------------------|
| | (Dollars in thousands, except share data) | |
| Assets: | | |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ 117,862 | \$ 99,363 |
| Federal funds sold | 9,000 | 2,000 |
| | | |
| Total cash and cash equivalents | 126,862 | 101,363 |
| Interest-bearing deposits in financial institutions | 253 | 420 |
| Investments: | | |
| Federal Home Loan Bank stock, at cost | 33,944 | 26,649 |
| Securities available-for-sale (amortized cost of \$109,644 at June 30, 2008 and \$105,984 at December 31, 2007) | 109,460 | 106,888 |
| | | |
| Total investments | 143,404 | 133,537 |
| Loans, held for sale | | 63,565 |
| Loans, net of unearned income | 3,905,056 | 3,949,218 |
| Less allowance for loan losses | (59,777) | (52,557) |
| | | |
| Net loans | 3,845,279 | 3,896,661 |
| Premises and equipment, net | 25,718 | 26,327 |
| Other real estate owned, net | 9,886 | 2,736 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 14,978 | 18,555 |
| Goodwill | | 761,990 |
| Core deposit and customer relationship intangibles | 38,771 | 43,785 |
| Cash surrender value of life insurance | 69,340 | 67,846 |
| Other assets | 68,833 | 62,255 |
| | | |
| Total assets | \$4,343,324 | \$ 5,179,040 |
| Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity: | | |
| Deposits: | | |
| Noninterest-bearing | \$ 1,239,098 | \$ 1,211,946 |
| Interest-bearing | 1,953,115 | 2,033,200 |
| | | |
| Total deposits | 3,192,213 | 3,245,146 |
| Accrued interest payable and other liabilities | 44,960 | 45,054 |
| Borrowings | 601,300 | 612,000 |
| Subordinated debentures | 130,107 | 138,488 |
| | | |
| Total liabilities | 3,968,580 | 4,040,688 |
| Stockholders' equity: | | |
| Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value. Authorized 5,000,000 shares; none issued and outstanding | | |
| Common stock, \$0.01 par value. Authorized 50,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding 28,184,978 at June 30, 2008 and 28,002,382 at December 31, 2007 (includes 1,010,288 and 861,269 shares of unvested restricted stock, respectively) | 282 | 280 |
| Capital surplus | 929,416 | 936,328 |

Edgar Filing: PACWEST BANCORP - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|---|-------------|--------------|
| Retained earnings (accumulated deficit) | (554,848) | 201,220 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income unrealized (loss) gain on securities available-for-sale, net | (106) | 524 |
| Total stockholders' equity | 374,744 | 1,138,352 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$4,343,324 | \$ 5,179,040 |

See "Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements."

Edgar Filing: PACWEST BANCORP - Form 10-Q

UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EARNINGS (LOSS)

| | Quarter Ended | | | Six Months Ended | |
|--|----------------|----------------|---------------|------------------|----------------|
| | 6/30/08 | 3/31/08 | 6/30/07 | June 30, 2008 | 2007 |
| (In thousands, except per share data) | | | | | |
| Interest income: | | | | | |
| Interest and fees on loans | \$ 69,536 | \$ 75,653 | \$ 84,277 | \$ 145,189 | \$ 175,226 |
| Interest on federal funds sold | 23 | 40 | 909 | 63 | 1,123 |
| Interest on deposits in financial institutions | 2 | 3 | 6 | 5 | 12 |
| Interest on investment securities | 1,861 | 1,701 | 1,362 | 3,562 | 2,738 |
| Total interest income | 71,422 | 77,397 | 86,554 | 148,819 | 179,099 |
| Interest expense: | | | | | |
| Deposits | 8,919 | 11,821 | 13,731 | 20,740 | 27,156 |
| Borrowings | 4,680 | 5,307 | 3,414 | 9,987 | 10,166 |
| Subordinated debentures | 2,051 | 2,409 | 2,955 | 4,460 | 5,888 |
| Total interest expense | 15,650 | 19,537 | 20,100 | 35,187 | 43,210 |
| Net interest income before provision for credit losses | 55,772 | 57,860 | 66,454 | 113,632 | 135,889 |
| Provision for credit losses | 3,500 | 26,000 | | 29,500 | |
| Net interest income after provision for credit losses | 52,272 | 31,860 | 66,454 | 84,132 | 135,889 |
| Noninterest income: | | | | | |
| Service charges on deposit accounts | 3,205 | 3,224 | 2,850 | 6,429 | 5,667 |
| Other commissions and fees | 1,812 | 1,519 | 1,976 | 3,331 | 3,299 |
| Gain (loss) on sale of loans, net | (572) | 269 | 1,779 | (303) | 9,304 |
| Increase in cash surrender value of life insurance | 617 | 587 | 627 | 1,204 | 1,243 |
| Other income | 339 | 968 | 297 | 1,307 | 2,367 |
| Total noninterest income | 5,401 | 6,567 | 7,529 | 11,968 | 21,880 |
| Noninterest expense: | | | | | |
| Compensation | 18,919 | 18,846 | 18,267 | 37,765 | 37,189 |
| Occupancy | 4,884 | 4,731 | 4,725 | 9,615 | 9,486 |
| Furniture and equipment | 1,046 | 1,139 | 1,195 | 2,185 | 2,488 |
| Data processing | 1,604 | 1,543 | 1,467 | 3,147 | 3,025 |
| Other professional services | 1,669 | 1,415 | 1,795 | 3,084 | 3,232 |
| Business development | 849 | 756 | 849 | 1,605 | 1,556 |
| Communications | 816 | 824 | 841 | 1,640 | 1,673 |
| Insurance and assessments | 810 | 540 | 378 | 1,350 | 791 |
| Intangible asset amortization | 2,484 | 2,530 | 2,305 | 5,014 | 4,479 |
| Reorganization charges | 258 | | 1,083 | 258 | 1,341 |
| Legal settlement | 780 | | | 780 | |
| Goodwill write-off | 486,701 | 275,000 | | 761,701 | |
| Other | 3,264 | 2,986 | 3,092 | 6,250 | 6,130 |
| Total noninterest expense | 524,084 | 310,310 | 35,997 | 834,394 | 71,390 |
| (Loss) earnings before income taxes | (466,411) | (271,883) | 37,986 | (738,294) | 86,379 |
| Income taxes | 8,103 | 840 | 15,461 | 8,943 | 35,308 |

Edgar Filing: PACWEST BANCORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | |
|--|--------------|--------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|
| Net (loss) earnings | \$ (474,514) | \$ (272,723) | \$ 22,525 | \$ (747,237) | \$ 51,071 |
| Number of outstanding shares (weighted average): | | | | | |
| Basic | 27,166.8 | 27,145.2 | 28,885.9 | 27,156.0 | 28,876.6 |
| Diluted | 27,166.8 | 27,145.2 | 29,015.8 | 27,156.0 | 29,007.4 |
| (Loss) earnings per share: | | | | | |
| Basic | \$ (17.47) | \$ (10.05) | \$ 0.78 | \$ (27.52) | \$ 1.77 |
| Diluted | \$ (17.47) | \$ (10.05) | \$ 0.78 | \$ (27.52) | \$ 1.76 |

See "Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements."

UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

| | Quarter Ended | | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|------------------------|--------------|-----------|------------------------------|-----------|
| | 6/30/08 | 3/31/08 | 6/30/07 | 2008 | 2007 |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| Net (loss) earnings | \$ (474,514) | \$ (272,723) | \$ 22,525 | \$ (747,237) | \$ 51,071 |
| Other comprehensive income, net of related income taxes: | | | | | |
| Unrealized holding (losses) gains on securities arising during the period | (1,193) | 563 | (343) | (630) | (174) |
| Comprehensive net (loss) income | \$ (475,707) | \$ (272,160) | \$ 22,182 | \$ (747,867) | \$ 50,897 |

See "Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements."

UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

| | Common Stock | | Retained Earnings (Accumulated Deficit) | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) | Total | |
|--|--------------|-----------|--|---|----------|-----------------|
| | Shares | Par Value | | | | Capital Surplus |
| (Dollars in thousands, except share data) | | | | | | |
| Balance at December 31, 2007 | 28,002,382 | \$ 280 | \$ 936,328 | \$ 201,220 | \$ 524 | \$ 1,138,352 |
| Net loss | | | | (747,237) | | (747,237) |
| Exercise of stock options | 1,000 | | 30 | | | 30 |
| Tax deficiency from vesting of restricted stock | | | (252) | | | (252) |
| Restricted stock awarded and earned stock compensation, net of shares forfeited | 193,720 | 2 | 2,430 | | | 2,432 |
| Restricted stock surrendered | (12,124) | | (288) | | | (288) |
| Cash dividends paid (\$0.64 per share) | | | (8,832) | (8,831) | | (17,663) |
| Other comprehensive income decrease in net unrealized gain on securities available-for-sale, net of tax effect of \$456 thousand | | | | | (630) | (630) |
| Balance at June 30, 2008 | 28,184,978 | \$ 282 | \$ 929,416 | \$ (554,848) | \$ (106) | \$ 374,744 |

See "Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements."

Edgar Filing: PACWEST BANCORP - Form 10-Q

UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|------------------------------|-------------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | |
| Net (loss) earnings | \$(747,237) | \$ 51,071 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net (loss) earnings to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Goodwill write-off | 761,701 | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 7,453 | 7,206 |
| Provision for credit losses | 29,500 | |
| Loss (gain) on sale of loans | 303 | (9,304) |
| Loss (gain) on sale of premises and equipment | 12 | (26) |
| Proceeds from sale of loans held for sale | 7,868 | 78,350 |
| Origination of loans held for sale | (1,665) | (13,430) |
| Restricted stock amortization | 2,432 | 4,582 |
| Deficit (excess) tax benefit from stock option exercises and restricted and performance stock vesting | 252 | (1,951) |
| Increase (decrease) in accrued and deferred income taxes, net | (7,665) | 10,333 |
| Increase in other assets | 3,664 | 824 |
| Decrease in accrued interest payable and other liabilities | 1,065 | 7,654 |
| Dividends on FHLB stock | (695) | (746) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 56,988 | 134,563 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | |
| Net cash and cash equivalents paid in acquisitions | | (1,566) |
| Net decrease in net loans outstanding | 47,676 | 77,102 |
| Proceeds from sale of loans | 22,110 | 355,239 |
| Net decrease in deposits in financial institutions | 167 | 79 |
| Securities available-for-sale: | | |
| Maturities | 25,813 | 29,412 |
| Purchases | (29,316) | (20,877) |
| Net (purchases) redemptions of FHLB stock | (6,600) | 12,185 |
| Proceeds from sale of other real estate owned | 846 | 479 |
| Purchases of premises and equipment, net | (2,185) | (2,929) |
| Proceeds from sale of premises and equipment | 54 | 110 |
| Net cash provided by investing activities | 58,565 | 449,234 |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | |
| Net increase (decrease) in deposits: | | |
| Noninterest-bearing | 27,152 | (117,098) |
| Interest-bearing | (80,085) | (157,883) |
| Redemptions of subordinated debentures | (8,248) | (10,310) |
| Net repurchases of common stock | | (9,521) |
| Net (surrenders) proceeds from exercise and vesting of stock awards | (258) | 109 |
| Deficit (excess) tax benefit from stock option exercises and restricted and performance stock vesting | (252) | 1,951 |
| Net decrease in borrowings | (10,700) | (196,700) |
| Repayment of acquired debt | | (83,700) |
| Cash dividends paid | (17,663) | (18,928) |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (90,054) | (592,080) |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | 25,499 | (8,283) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 101,363 | 150,910 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 126,862 | \$ 142,627 |
| Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information: | | |
| Cash paid during period for interest | \$ 35,516 | \$ 43,110 |

Edgar Filing: PACWEST BANCORP - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|-----------|---------|
| Cash paid during period for income taxes | \$ 16,556 | 25,073 |
| Transfer of loans to other real estate owned | 8,355 | 98 |
| Transfer from loans held-for-sale to loans | 57,034 | 24,944 |
| Transfer from loans to loans held-for-sale | 22,085 | 379,692 |

See "Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements."

NOTE 1 BASIS OF PRESENTATION

PacWest Bancorp, formerly known as First Community Bancorp, is a bank holding company registered under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended. Our principal business is to serve as a holding company for our banking subsidiary. As of June 30, 2008, our sole banking subsidiary was Pacific Western Bank, which we refer to as Pacific Western or the Bank. When we say "we", "our" or the "Company", we mean the Company on a consolidated basis with the Bank. When we refer to "PacWest" or to the holding company, we are referring to the parent company on a stand-alone basis.

We have completed 19 acquisitions since May 2000 including the merger whereby the former Rancho Santa Fe National Bank and First Community Bank of the Desert became wholly-owned subsidiaries of the Company in a pooling-of-interests transaction. All other acquisitions have been accounted for using the purchase method of accounting and, accordingly, their operating results have been included in the consolidated financial statements from their respective dates of acquisition. Please see Notes 2 and 3 for more information about our acquisitions.

At a special meeting of the Company's shareholders held on April 23, 2008, the shareholders approved the reincorporation of the Company in Delaware from California and the change of the Company's name to PacWest Bancorp from First Community Bancorp. The reincorporation became effective on May 14, 2008. In connection with the reincorporation, the Company's certificate of incorporation in Delaware authorizes 50,000,000 shares of common stock with a par value of \$0.01 per share and 5,000,000 shares of preferred stock with a par value of \$0.01 per share.

In connection with the reincorporation and name change, the Company also changed its ticker symbol on the NASDAQ Global Select Market to "PACW." Other than the name change, change in ticker symbol and change in corporate domicile, the reincorporation did not result in any change in the business, physical location, management, assets, liabilities or total stockholders' equity of the Company, nor did it result in any change in location of the Company's employees, including the Company's management. Additionally, the reincorporation did not alter any shareholder's percentage ownership interest, or number of shares owned, in the Company.

The stockholders' equity sections of the accompanying consolidated financial statements have been restated retroactively to give effect to the reincorporation. Such reclassification had no effect on the results of operations or the total amount of stockholders' equity.

(a) Basis of Presentation

The accounting and reporting policies of the Company are in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, which we refer to as GAAP. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated.

Our financial statements reflect all adjustments that are, in the opinion of management, necessary to present a fair statement of the results for the interim periods presented. Certain information and note disclosures normally included in consolidated financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP have been condensed or omitted pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. The interim operating results are not necessarily indicative of operating results for the full year.

(b) Use of Estimates

Management of the Company has made a number of estimates and assumptions relating to the reporting of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the

NOTE 1 BASIS OF PRESENTATION (Continued)

reporting period to prepare these consolidated financial statements in conformity with GAAP. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Material estimates subject to change in the near term include, among other items, the allowance for credit losses, the carrying values of intangible assets and the realization of deferred tax assets.

NOTE 2 MERGER RELATED LIABILITIES

All of the acquisitions consummated after December 31, 2000 were completed using the purchase method of accounting. Accordingly, we recorded the estimated merger-related charges associated with each acquisition as a liability at closing when allocating the related purchase price.

For each acquisition, we developed an integration plan for the Company that addressed, among other things, requirements for staffing, systems platforms, branch locations and other facilities. The established plans are evaluated regularly during the integration process and modified as required. Merger and integration expenses are summarized in the following primary categories: (i) severance and employee-related charges; (ii) system conversion and integration costs, including contract termination charges; (iii) asset write-downs, lease termination costs for abandoned space and other facilities-related costs; and (iv) other charges. Other charges include investment banking fees, legal fees, other professional fees relating to due diligence activities and shareholder expenses associated with preparation of securities filings, as appropriate. These costs were included in the allocation of the purchase price at the acquisition date based on our formal integration plans.

The following table presents the activity in the merger-related liability account for the six months ended June 30, 2008:

| | Severance and Employee- related | System Conversion and Integration | Asset Write- downs, Lease Terminations and Other Facilities- related | Other | Total |
|------------------------------|--|--|---|--------|----------|
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | |
| Balance at December 31, 2007 | \$ 31 | \$ | \$ 1,337 | \$ 309 | \$ 1,677 |
| Cash outlays | (12) | | (404) | (36) | (452) |
| Balance at June 30, 2008 | \$ 19 | \$ | \$ 933 | \$ 273 | \$ 1,225 |

NOTE 3 GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Goodwill and intangible assets arise from purchase business combinations. The goodwill previously recorded had been assigned to our one reporting segment, banking. Goodwill and other intangible assets generated from purchase business combinations and deemed to have indefinite lives are not subject to amortization and are instead tested for impairment at least annually. As a result of the volatility in the banking industry and the effect such volatility has had on banking stocks since the beginning of 2008, including PacWest Bancorp's common stock, during both the first and second quarters we engaged an independent valuation consultant to assist us in determining whether and to what extent our goodwill asset was impaired. Based on these analyses, we wrote-off \$275.0 million of goodwill in the first quarter of 2008 and wrote-off the remaining balance of our goodwill totaling \$486.7 million in the second quarter of 2008. Such charges had no effect on the Company's or the Bank's cash balances or liquidity. In addition, because goodwill and other intangible assets are not included in the calculation of regulatory capital, the Company's and the Bank's well-capitalized regulatory ratios are not affected by this non-cash expense.

NOTE 3 GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS (Continued)

The analyses compared the implied fair value of goodwill to the carrying amount of goodwill on the Company's balance sheet. Since the carrying amount of the goodwill exceeded the implied fair value of that goodwill, an impairment loss was recognized in an amount equal to that excess. The implied fair value of goodwill was determined in the same manner as goodwill recognized in a business combination would be determined. That is, the estimated fair value of the Company was allocated to all of the Company's individual assets and liabilities, including any unrecognized identifiable intangible assets, as if the Company had been acquired in a business combination and the estimated fair value of the Company was the price paid to acquire it. The allocation process was performed only for purposes of determining the amount of goodwill impairment, as no assets or liabilities are written up or down, nor are any additional unrecognized identifiable intangible assets recorded as a part of this process.

The following table presents the changes in goodwill for the six months ended June 30, 2008:

| | Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 (Dollars in thousands) | |
|--|--|-----------|
| Balance as of January 1, 2008 | \$ | 761,990 |
| Adjustments related to 2007 acquisitions | | (289) |
| Write-offs | | (761,701) |
| Balance as of June 30, 2008 | \$ | |

Intangible assets with definite lives are amortized over their respective estimated useful lives to their estimated residual values and reviewed for impairment annually. The estimated aggregate amortization expense related to the intangible assets is expected to be \$9.4 million for 2008. The estimated aggregate amortization expense related to the intangible assets for each of the subsequent four years is \$7.9 million, \$7.2 million, \$6.4 million, and \$4.6 million.

The following table presents the changes in the gross amounts of core deposit and customer relationship intangibles and the related accumulated amortization for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007:

| | Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 2007 (Dollars in thousands) | |
|----------------------------------|--|-----------|
| Gross amount: | | |
| Balance as of January 1, | \$ 70,463 | \$ 67,773 |
| Additions | | 342 |
| Balance as of June 30, | 70,463 | 68,115 |
| Accumulated amortization: | | |
| Balance as of January 1, | (26,678) | (17,346) |
| Amortization | (5,014) | (4,479) |
| Balance as of June 30, | (31,692) | (21,825) |
| Net balance as of June 30, | \$ 38,771 | \$ 46,290 |

NOTE 4 INVESTMENT SECURITIES

The amortized cost, gross unrealized gains and losses and fair value of securities available-for-sale as of June 30, 2008 are as follows:

| | Amortized cost | June 30, 2008 | | Fair value |
|--|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------|
| | | Gross unrealized gains | Gross unrealized losses | |
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| Government-sponsored entity securities | \$ 32,384 | \$ 285 | \$ 89 | \$ 32,580 |
| Municipal securities | 8,290 | 181 | 2 | 8,469 |
| Mortgage-backed and other securities | 68,970 | 137 | 696 | 68,411 |
| Total | \$ 109,644 | \$ 603 | \$ 787 | \$ 109,460 |

At June 30, 2008, we held approximately \$78.4 million of AAA-rated debt securities comprised of both direct obligations and mortgage-backed debt securities issued by the Federal National Mortgage Association (Fannie Mae) and the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (Freddie Mac). We do not own any equity securities issued by Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac. The contractual maturity distribution based on amortized cost and fair value as of June 30, 2008, is shown below. Mortgage-backed securities have contractual terms to maturity, but require periodic payments to reduce principal. In addition, expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities because issuers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

| | Maturity Distribution as of June 30, 2008 | |
|--|---|------------|
| | Amortized cost | Fair value |
| (Dollars in thousands) | | |
| Due in one year or less | \$ 6,653 | \$ 6,731 |
| Due after one year through five years | 18,814 | 19,082 |
| Due after five years through ten years | 22,122 | 22,187 |
| Due after ten years | 62,055 | 61,460 |
| Total | \$ 109,644 | \$ 109,460 |

The following table presents the fair value and unrealized losses on securities that were temporarily impaired as of June 30, 2008:

| Descriptions of securities | Impairment Period | | | | Total | |
|--|--------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------|----------------------|
| | Less than 12 months Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | 12 months or longer Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | | |
| Government-sponsored entity securities | \$ 11,905 | \$ 89 | \$ | \$ | \$ 11,905 | \$ 89 |
| Municipal securities | 383 | 2 | | | 383 | 2 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 35,232 | 578 | 5,573 | 118 | 40,805 | 696 |
| Total temporarily impaired securities | \$ 47,520 | \$ 669 | \$ 5,573 | \$ 118 | \$ 53,093 | \$ 787 |

All individual securities that have been in a continuous unrealized loss position for 12 months or longer at June 30, 2008 are mortgaged-backed securities that have been issued by U.S. government-sponsored entities and have a AAA credit rating as determined by various rating agencies. These

NOTE 4 INVESTMENT SECURITIES (Continued)

securities have fluctuated in value since their purchase dates because of changes in market interest rates. We concluded that the continuous unrealized loss position for the past 12 months on our securities is a result of the level of market interest rates and not a result of the underlying issuers' ability to repay and are, therefore, temporarily impaired. In addition, we have the intent and ability to hold these securities until their fair value recovers to their cost. Accordingly, we have not recognized the temporary impairment in our consolidated statement of earnings.

As of June 30, 2008 securities available-for-sale with a fair value of \$107.5 million were pledged as security for borrowings, public deposits and other purposes as required by various statutes and agreements.

NOTE 5 NET EARNINGS (LOSS) PER SHARE

The following is a summary of the calculation of basic and diluted net earnings (loss) per share for the periods indicated:

| | Quarter Ended | | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|---------------------------------------|--------------|-----------|------------------------------|-----------|
| | 6/30/08 | 3/31/08 | 6/30/07 | 2008 | 2007 |
| | (In thousands, except per share data) | | | | |
| Net earnings (loss) | \$ (474,514) | \$ (272,723) | \$ 22,525 | \$ (747,237) | \$ 51,071 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding used for basic net earnings per share | 27,166.8 | 27,145.2 | 28,885.9 | 27,156.0 | 28,876.6 |
| Effect of dilutive restricted stock and stock options | | | 129.9 | | 130.8 |
| Diluted weighted average shares outstanding | 27,166.8 | 27,145.2 | 29,015.8 | 27,156.0 | 29,007.4 |
| Earnings per share: | | | | | |
| Basic earnings per share | \$ (17.47) | \$ (10.05) | \$ 0.78 | \$ (27.52) | \$ 1.77 |
| Diluted earnings per share | \$ (17.47) | \$ (10.05) | \$ 0.78 | \$ (27.52) | \$ 1.76 |

In calculating the common stock equivalents for purposes of diluted earnings per share, we selected the transition method provided by FASB Staff Position FAS123(R)-3, *Transition Election Related to Accounting for the Tax Effects of Share-Based Payment Awards*. Diluted earnings per share do not include all potentially dilutive shares that may result from outstanding stock options and restricted stock awards that may eventually vest. The number of common shares underlying stock options and shares of restricted stock which were outstanding but not included in the calculation of diluted net earnings per share were 1,011,600 and 761,627 for the quarters ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 and 1,011,600 and 760,735 for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007.

NOTE 6 STOCK COMPENSATION*Time-based and Performance-based Restricted Stock.*

At June 30, 2008, there were outstanding 490,288 shares of unvested time-based restricted common stock and 520,000 shares of unvested performance-based restricted common stock awarded in 2006 and 2007. The awarded shares of time-based restricted common stock vest over a service period of three to five years from date of the grant. The awarded shares of performance-based restricted common stock vest in full on the date the Compensation, Nominating and Governance ("CNG") Committee of the Board of Directors, as Administrator of the Company's 2003 Stock Incentive Plan (the "2003 Plan"), determines that the Company achieved certain financial goals established by the CNG Committee as set forth in the grant documents. Both time-based and performance-based restricted common stock vest

NOTE 6 STOCK COMPENSATION (Continued)

immediately upon a change in control of the Company as defined in the 2003 Plan and upon death of the employee.

Compensation expense related to awards of restricted stock is based on the fair value of the underlying stock on the award date and is recognized over the vesting period using the straight-line method. The vesting of performance-based restricted stock awards and recognition of related compensation expense may occur over a shorter vesting period if financial performance targets are achieved earlier than anticipated. During the fourth quarter of 2007 we determined that attainment of the financial targets related to the performance-based restricted stock prior to their expiration was less than probable and suspended amortization of the expense related to these awards. If and when the attainment of such financial targets is deemed probable in future periods, a catch-up adjustment will be recorded and amortization of such performance-based restricted stock will continue. The unvested performance-based restricted stock awarded in 2006 expires in 2013. The unvested performance-based restricted stock awarded in 2007 expires in 2017. Restricted stock amortization totaled \$1.5 million and \$2.4 million for the quarters ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 and \$2.4 million and \$4.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007. Such amounts are included in compensation expense in the accompanying consolidated statements of earnings.

The Company's 2003 Plan permits stock based compensation awards to officers, directors, key employees and consultants. The 2003 Plan authorizes grants of stock-based compensation instruments to purchase or issue up to 3,500,000 shares of authorized but unissued Company common stock, subject to adjustments provided by the 2003 Plan. As of August 4, 2008, there were 457,985 shares available for grant under the 2003 Plan.

NOTE 7 BORROWINGS, SUBORDINATED DEBENTURES AND BROKERED DEPOSITS*Borrowings.*

The following table summarizes information on our FHLB advances outstanding, by maturity dates, as of June 30, 2008:

| Year of Maturity | Amount | Rate | Next Date Callable by FHLB |
|------------------|---------------------------|-------|----------------------------------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | |
| Overnight | \$ 106,300 | 3.12% | N/A |
| 2008 | 45,000 | 4.78% | N/A |
| 2009 | 100,000 | 3.63% | 12/7/2008 |
| 2010 | 75,000 | 3.04% | 1/11/2009 |
| 2013 | 50,000 | 2.71% | 1/11/2009 ⁽¹⁾ |
| 2017 | 200,000 | 3.16% | 12/11/2008 ⁽¹⁾ |
| 2018 | 25,000 | 2.61% | 1/11/2009 ⁽¹⁾ |
| Total | \$ 601,300 | 3.28% | |

(1) Quarterly thereafter.

With the exception of overnight borrowings and the \$45 million advance due in December 2008, the Bank has entered into a series of fixed rate term advances with the FHLB that have a call option. These advances may be called by the FHLB on the next call date noted above. While the FHLB may call the advances to be repaid for any reason, they are likely to be called if market interest rates are higher than the advances' stated rates on the call dates. If the advances are called by the FHLB, there is no prepayment penalty. We may repay the advances at any time with a prepayment penalty; such

NOTE 7 BORROWINGS, SUBORDINATED DEBENTURES AND BROKERED DEPOSITS (Continued)

prepayment penalty would be determined at that time. Our aggregate remaining secured borrowing capacity from the FHLB was \$353.3 million at June 30, 2008. Additionally, the Bank maintains unsecured lines of credit of \$165.0 million with correspondent banks for the purchase of overnight funds; these lines are subject to availability of funds.

The Company has a revolving credit line with U.S. Bank, N.A. for \$35.0 million. The line matures on August 30, 2008 and is secured by a pledge of all of the outstanding capital stock of Pacific Western. The credit agreement requires the Company to maintain certain financial and capital ratios, among other covenants and conditions. Such covenants include minimum regulatory capital ratios, maximum debt ratios, a minimum return on average assets, minimum and maximum credit quality ratios, and dividend payment limitations. As of June 30, 2008, we, and where applicable, Pacific Western, were in compliance with all covenants covering the agreement. We pay a fee of 25 basis points on the unused amounts. There were no amounts outstanding under the revolving credit agreement as of June 30, 2008 or at the date hereof. We reduced the line amount during the first quarter of 2008 to avoid incurring additional fees on the unused portion of the credit line.

Subordinated Debentures.

The Company had an aggregate of \$130.1 million subordinated debentures outstanding at June 30, 2008. During the first quarter we redeemed \$8.2 million of subordinated debentures. The remaining subordinated debentures were issued in seven separate series. Each issuance has a maturity of thirty years from its date of issue. The subordinated debentures were issued to trusts established by us or entities we have acquired, which in turn issued trust preferred securities, which total \$123.0 million at June 30, 2008. These trust preferred securities are presently considered Tier 1 capital for regulatory purposes. With the exception of Trust I and Trust CI, the subordinated debentures are callable at par, only by the issuer, five years from the date of issuance, subject to certain exceptions. We are permitted to call the debentures in the first five years if the prepayment election relates to one of the following three events: (i) a change in the tax treatment of the debentures stemming from a change in the IRS laws; (ii) a change in the regulatory treatment of the underlying trust preferred securities as Tier 1 capital; and (iii) a requirement to register the underlying trust as a registered investment company. However, redemption in the first five years is subject to a prepayment penalty. Trust I and Trust CI may not be called for 10 years from the date of issuance unless one of the three events described above has occurred and then a prepayment penalty applies. In addition, there is a prepayment penalty if either of these debentures is called 10 to 20 years from the date of their issuance and they may be called at par after 20 years. The proceeds of the subordinated debentures were used primarily to fund several of our acquisitions and to augment regulatory capital.

Edgar Filing: PACWEST BANCORP - Form 10-Q

NOTE 7 BORROWINGS, SUBORDINATED DEBENTURES AND BROKERED DEPOSITS (Continued)

The following table summarizes the terms of each issuance of the subordinated debentures outstanding at June 30, 2008:

| Series | Date issued | Amount | Maturity | Earliest Call Date by Company without Penalty ⁽¹⁾ | Fixed or Variable Rate | Rate Index | Current Rate ⁽²⁾ | Next Reset Date |
|------------------------------------|-------------|-------------------|-----------|--|------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | | | | |
| Trust CI ⁽³⁾ | 3/23/2000 | \$ 10,310 | 3/8/2030 | 3/8/2020 | Fixed | N/A | 11.00% | N/A |
| Trust I | 9/7/2000 | 8,248 | 9/7/2030 | 9/7/2020 | Fixed | N/A | 10.60% | N/A |
| Trust V | 8/15/2003 | 10,310 | 9/17/2033 | 9/17/2008 | Variable | 3 month LIBOR + 3.10 | 5.91% | 9/17/2008 |
| Trust VI | 9/3/2003 | 10,310 | 9/15/2033 | 9/15/2008 | Variable | 3 month LIBOR + 3.05 | 5.83% | 9/15/2008 |
| Trust CII ⁽³⁾ | 9/17/2003 | 5,155 | 9/17/2033 | 9/17/2009 | Variable | 3 month LIBOR + 2.95 | 5.76% | 9/17/2008 |
| Trust VII | 2/5/2004 | 61,856 | 4/23/2034 | 4/23/2009 | Variable | 3 month LIBOR + 2.75 | 5.55% | 10/29/2008 |
| Trust CIII ⁽³⁾ | 8/15/2005 | 20,619 | 9/15/2035 | 9/15/2010 | Fixed ⁽⁴⁾ | N/A | 5.85% | 9/15/2010 |
| Unamortized premium ⁽⁵⁾ | | 3,299 | | | | | | |
| Total | | \$ 130,107 | | | | | | |

(1) As described above, certain issuances may be called earlier without penalty upon the occurrence of certain events.

(2) As of July 28, 2008; excludes debt issuance costs.

(3) Acquired in the Community Bancorp acquisition.

(4) Interest rate is fixed until 9/15/2010 and then is variable at a rate of 3-month LIBOR + 1.69%.

(5) This amount represents the fair value adjustment to the four trusts that we acquired during 2006.

As previously mentioned, the subordinated debentures were issued to trusts established by us, or entities we acquired, which in turn issued \$123.0 million of trust preferred securities. These securities are currently included in our Tier I capital for purposes of determining the Company's Tier I and total risk-based capital ratios. The Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, which is the holding company's banking regulator, has promulgated a modification of the capital regulations affecting trust preferred securities. Under this modification, beginning March 31, 2009, the Company will be required to use a more restrictive formula to determine the amount of trust preferred securities that can be included in regulatory Tier I capital. At that time, the Company will be allowed to include in Tier I capital an amount of trust preferred securities equal to no more than 25% of the sum of all core capital elements, which is generally defined as shareholders' equity less certain intangibles, including goodwill, core deposit intangibles and customer relationship intangibles, net of any related deferred income tax liability. The regulations currently in effect through December 31, 2008, limit the amount of trust preferred securities that can be included in Tier I capital to 25% of the sum of core capital elements without a deduction for permitted intangibles. We have determined that our Tier I capital ratios would remain above the well-capitalized level had the modification of the capital regulations been in effect at June 30, 2008. We expect that our Tier I capital ratios will be at or above the existing well-capitalized levels on March 31, 2009, the first date on which the modified capital regulations must be applied.

Brokered Deposits.

Brokered deposits totaled \$88.3 million at June 30, 2008 and are included in the interest-bearing deposits balances on the accompanying consolidated balance sheet. Such amount includes \$17.7 million

NOTE 7 BORROWINGS, SUBORDINATED DEBENTURES AND BROKERED DEPOSITS (Continued)

of customer deposits that were subsequently participated with other FDIC insured financial institutions as a means to provide FDIC deposit insurance coverage for the full amount of our customers' deposits.

NOTE 8 COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCES

Lending Commitments.

Pacific Western is a party to financial instruments with off-balance-sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of their customers. Such financial instruments include commitments to extend credit, standby letters of credit and financial guarantees. Those instruments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit risk in excess of the amount recognized in the consolidated balance sheets. The contract or notional amounts of such instruments reflect the extent of involvement the Company has in particular classes of financial instruments.

Commitments to extend credit amounting to \$1.1 billion were outstanding as of June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007. Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Since many of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements.

Standby letters of credit and financial guarantees amounting to \$84.7 million and \$90.9 million were outstanding as of June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007. Standby letters of credit and financial guarantees are conditional commitments issued by the Company to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. Those guarantees are primarily issued to support private borrowing arrangements. Most guarantees expire within one year from the date of issuance. The Company generally requires collateral or other security to support financial instruments with credit risk. Management does not anticipate that any material loss will result from the outstanding commitments to extend credit, standby letters of credit or financial guarantees.

The Company has investments in several small business investment companies and in low income housing project partnerships which provide the Company income tax credits. As of June 30, 2008 the Company had commitments to contribute capital to these entities totaling \$433,000.

Legal Matters

On June 8, 2004, the Company was served with an amended complaint naming First Community, the predecessor to PacWest Bancorp, and Pacific Western as defendants in a class action lawsuit filed in Los Angeles Superior Court pending as Gilbert et. al v. Cohn et al, Case No. BC310846 (the "Gilbert Litigation"). A former officer of First Charter Bank, N.A. ("First Charter"), which the Company acquired in October 2001, was also named as a defendant. That former officer left First Charter in May of 1997 and later became a principal of Four Star Financial Services, LLC ("Four Star"), an affiliate of 900 Capital Services, Inc. ("900 Capital").

On April 18, 2005, the plaintiffs filed the second amended class action complaint. The second amended complaint alleged that the former officer of First Charter improperly induced several First Charter customers to invest in 900 Capital or affiliates of 900 Capital and further alleges that Four Star, 900 Capital and some of their affiliated entities perpetuated a fraud upon investors through various accounts at First Charter and Pacific Western with those banks' purported knowing participation in and/or willful ignorance of the scheme. The key allegations in the second amended complaint dated back to the mid-1990s and the second amended complaint alleged several counts for relief including aiding and abetting, conspiracy, fraud, breach of fiduciary duty, relief pursuant to the

NOTE 8 COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCES (Continued)

California Business and Professions Code, negligence and relief under the California Securities Act stemming from an alleged fraudulent scheme and sale of securities issued by 900 Capital and Four Star. In disclosures provided to the parties, plaintiffs have asserted that the named plaintiffs have suffered losses well in excess of \$3.85 million, and plaintiffs have asserted that "losses to the class total many tens of millions of dollars." On June 15, 2005, we filed a demurrer to the second amended complaint, and on August 22, 2005, the Court sustained our demurrer as to each of the counts therein, granting plaintiffs leave to amend on four of the six counts, and dismissing the other counts outright.

On August 12, 2005, the Company was notified by Progressive Casualty Insurance Company ("Progressive"), its primary insurance carrier with respect to the Gilbert Litigation, that Progressive had determined that, based upon the allegations in the second amended complaint filed in the Gilbert Litigation, there was no coverage with respect to the Gilbert Litigation under the Company's insurance policy with Progressive. Progressive also notified the Company that it was withdrawing its agreement to fund defense costs for the Gilbert Litigation and reserving its right to seek reimbursement from the Company for any defense costs advanced pursuant to the insurance policy. Through December 31, 2005, Progressive had advanced to the Company approximately \$690,000 of defense costs with respect to the Gilbert Litigation.

On August 12, 2005, Progressive filed an action in federal district court for declaratory relief, titled Progressive Casualty Insurance Company, etc., v. First Community Bancorp, etc., et al., Case No. 05-5900 SVW (MAWx) (the "Progressive Litigation"), seeking a declaratory judgment with respect to the parties' rights and obligations under Progressive's policy with the Company. On October 11, 2005, the Company filed in federal court a motion to dismiss or stay the Progressive Litigation.

In November 2005, along with certain other defendants, we reached an agreement in principle with respect to the Gilbert Litigation. That agreement is reflected in a written Stipulation of Settlement dated February 9, 2007, which was executed by all the parties to that settlement and filed with the Court. At a hearing on June 23, 2008 the Los Angeles Superior Court stated that it approved the settlement, and subsequently executed the Final Judgment and Order of Dismissal regarding the claims against the Company and First Charter's former officer. The settlement will become effective once the time for appeal expires without an appeal being taken or once any appeal is resolved in favor of approval of the settlement. Assuming the settlement becomes effective, the Company's contribution to the settlement will be \$775,000, which was accrued in 2005.

While we believe that this settlement, if finalized, will end our exposure to the underlying claims in the Gilbert Litigation, we cannot be certain that all conditions to effectiveness of the settlement will be satisfied.

In connection with the Gilbert Litigation settlement, we also reached a settlement with Progressive Casualty Insurance Co. in the Progressive Litigation. The settlement with Progressive, which includes an additional contribution by Progressive under the Company's policy toward the settlement of the Gilbert Litigation and a dismissal by Progressive of any claims against the Company for reimbursement, is contingent upon the consummation of the Gilbert Litigation settlement.

In the ordinary course of our business, we are party to various other legal actions, which we believe are incidental to the operation of our business. Although the ultimate outcome and amount of liability, if any, with respect to these other legal actions to which we are currently a party cannot presently be ascertained with certainty, in the opinion of management, based upon information currently available to us, any resulting liability is not likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

NOTE 9 FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*, defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value including a three-level valuation hierarchy, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. Fair value is defined in SFAS 157 as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date reflecting assumptions that a market participant would use when pricing an asset or liability. The fair value hierarchy of SFAS 157 is as follows:

Level 1: Quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets.

Level 2: Quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, and inputs that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the financial instrument.

Level 3: Inputs to the valuation methodology that are unobservable and significant to the fair value measurement.

The adoption of SFAS 157 had no impact on either the Company's financial condition or operating results. We have no liabilities being measured using fair value.

Certain assets and liabilities are measured at the lower of cost or fair value in accordance with GAAP. Accordingly, an asset or a liability may, or may not, meet the criteria for fair value measurement during a reporting period; the fair value measurements of these assets and liabilities are considered "nonrecurring" for purposes of SFAS 157. For assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis, the following tables present the level of valuation assumptions used to determine each adjustment, the carrying value of the related assets and the total losses recognized in the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2008. The tables are followed by a description of the valuation methodologies used to measure such assets at fair value.

For the quarter ended June 30, 2008:

| Description | Total Fair Value | Fair Value Measurements Using | | | Total Losses |
|---|------------------------|----------------------------------|---------|---------|-----------------|
| | | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | |
| | | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| Goodwill | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$486,701 |
| Impaired loans | 43,198 | | 43,198 | | 4,464 |
| Loans transferred from held-for-sale to the regular portfolio | 24,632(1) | | 24,632 | | 673 |
| Servicing asset | 2,565 | | | 2,565 | 100 |
| | | | | | \$491,938 |

NOTE 9 FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS (Continued)

For the six months ended June 30, 2008:

| Description | Total Fair Value | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total Losses |
|--|------------------------|---------|---------|---------|-----------------|
| | | | | | |
| Goodwill | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$761,701 |
| Impaired loans | 45,953 | | 45,953 | | 4,524 |
| Loans transferred from held-for-sale to the regular portfolio | 24,632(1) | | 24,632 | | 673 |
| Servicing asset | 2,565 | | | 2,565 | 255 |
| | | | | | \$767,153 |

(1)

Represents unpaid balance at the time of transfer.

Goodwill. In accordance with SFAS No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*, goodwill was written down to its implied fair value of zero by charges to earnings of \$486.7 million and \$761.7 million for the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2008. The key inputs used to determine the implied fair value of the Company and the corresponding amount of the write-off included the quoted market price of our common stock, market prices of common stocks of other banking organizations, common stock trading multiples, discounted cash flows, and inputs from comparable transactions. In addition, consideration was given to the value that may arise from synergies and other benefits that would accrue from control over an entity. These valuation inputs are considered to be Level 2 and 3 inputs.

Impaired loans. All of our nonaccrual loans are considered impaired and are reviewed individually for the amount of impairment, if any. Most of our loans are collateral dependent and, accordingly, we measure impaired loans based on the fair value of such collateral. Fair value of the loan's collateral is determined by appraisals or independent valuation, which is then adjusted for the cost related to liquidation of the collateral. These valuation inputs are considered to be Level 2 inputs. The loan balances shown in the above tables represent those nonaccrual loans for which impairment was recognized during the periods based on the fair value of collateral, as these loans were deemed to be collateral-dependant. The amounts shown as losses represent, for the loan balances shown, the impairment recognized during the periods.

Loans transferred from held-for-sale to the regular portfolio. SBA loan sales were suspended during the second quarter due to deterioration in the market for such sales. As a result, we transferred the SBA loans held-for-sale to the regular loan portfolio at the lower of cost or market value. The market values for the loans transferred were based on bids from market participants. At the time of the transfer, we recognized a \$673,000 write-down related to \$24.6 million in loans.

Servicing asset. In accordance with SFAS No. 156, *Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets*, the SBA servicing asset, included in other assets in the balance sheet, was written down to its implied fair value of \$2.6 million by a charge to earnings of \$100,000 in the second quarter of 2008 and \$255,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2008. The fair value of the servicing asset is estimated by discounting future cash flows using market-based discount rates and prepayment speeds. The discount rate is based on the current US Treasury yield curve, as published by the Department of the Treasury, plus a spread for the marketplace risk associated with these assets. We utilize estimated prepayment vectors using SBA prepayment information provided by Bloomberg for pools of similar assets to determine the timing of the cash flows. These valuation inputs are considered to be Level 3 inputs.

NOTE 9 FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS (Continued)

Securities available-for-sale. The table below presents the balance of securities available-for-sale, which is measured at fair value on a recurring basis. An independent third party performs market valuations of our securities available-for sale, which consist entirely of fixed income investments. The fair values are determined by using several sources for valuing fixed income securities. The techniques include pricing models that vary based on the type of asset being valued and incorporate available trade, bid and other market information. The market valuation sources include observable market inputs and are therefore considered Level 2 inputs for purposes of determining the fair values. See also Note 4 for unrealized gains and losses on securities available for sale.

| | Fair Value Measurement Using | | | |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------|------------|---------|
| | Total | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| Securities available-for-sale | \$ 109,460 | | \$ 109,460 | |

NOTE 10 RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

SFAS No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities* (SFAS 159), was effective for us on January 1, 2008. This statement permits companies to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value. Once a company chooses to report an item at fair value, changes in fair value would be reported in earnings at each reporting date. Upon adoption we did not choose to measure any of our financial instruments at fair value and accordingly SFAS 159 had no impact on either the Company's financial condition or operating results.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141R, *Business Combinations* (SFAS 141R). SFAS 141R establishes principles and requirements for how the acquirer of a business recognizes and measures in its financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, and any noncontrolling interest in the acquiree. This statement also provides guidance for recognizing and measuring the goodwill acquired in the business combination and determines what information to disclose to enable users of the financial statement to evaluate the nature and financial effects of the business combination. SFAS 141R is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. Accordingly, any business combinations we complete prior to January 1, 2009 will be recorded and disclosed following existing GAAP. We expect SFAS 141R will have an impact on our consolidated financial statements when effective, but the nature and magnitude of the specific effects will depend upon the nature, terms and size of any acquisitions we consummate after the effective date. We are currently assessing the impact of this standard on our future consolidated financial statements.

In June 2008, the FASB issued FSP EITF 03-6-1, *Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions Are Participating Securities* (FSP EITF 03-6-1). FSP EITF 03-6-1 clarified that all outstanding unvested share-based payment awards that contain rights to nonforfeitable dividends participate in undistributed earnings with common shareholders. Awards of this nature are considered participating securities and the two-class method of computing basic and diluted earnings per share must be applied. All of our unvested restricted stock participates with common shareholders in dividends declared and paid by the Company and at June 30, 2008, we had 1,010,288 shares of unvested restricted stock. FSP EITF 03-6-1 is effective for us on January 1, 2009. We are currently assessing the impact this FSP may have, if any, on our operating results.

NOTE 11 DIVIDEND APPROVAL

On July 8, 2008 our Board of Directors declared a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.32 per common share payable on August 29, 2008, to stockholders of record at the close of business on August 15, 2008.

ITEM 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Forward-Looking Information

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains certain forward-looking information about the Company and its subsidiaries, which statements are intended to be covered by the safe harbor for "forward-looking statements" provided by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. All statements other than statements of historical fact are forward-looking statements. Such statements involve inherent risks and uncertainties, many of which are difficult to predict and are generally beyond the control of the Company. We caution readers that a number of important factors could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed in, implied or projected by, such forward-looking statements. Risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to:

lower than expected revenues;

credit quality deterioration or pronounced and sustained reduction in real estate market values could cause an increase in the allowance for credit losses and a reduction in earnings;

increased competitive pressure among depository institutions;

the Company's ability to complete future acquisitions and to successfully integrate such acquired entities or achieve expected benefits, synergies and/or operating efficiencies within expected time-frames or at all;

the possibility that personnel changes will not proceed as planned;

the cost of additional capital is more than expected;

a change in the interest rate environment reduces interest margins;

asset/liability repricing risks and liquidity risks;

pending legal matters may take longer or cost more to resolve or may be resolved adversely to the Company;

general economic conditions, either nationally or in the market areas in which the Company does or anticipates doing business, are less favorable than expected;

environmental conditions, including natural disasters, may disrupt our business, impede our operations, negatively impact the values of collateral securing the Company's loans or impair the ability of our borrowers to support their debt obligations;

the economic and regulatory effects of the continuing war on terrorism and other events of war, including the war in Iraq;

legislative or regulatory requirements or changes adversely affecting the Company's business; and

changes in the securities markets.

If any of these risks or uncertainties materializes, or if any of the assumptions underlying such forward-looking statements proves to be incorrect, our results could differ materially from those expressed in, implied or projected by, such forward-looking statements. The Company assumes no obligation to update such forward-looking statements.

Overview

We are a bank holding company registered under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended. Our principal business is to serve as the holding company for our subsidiary bank, Pacific Western Bank, which we refer to as Pacific Western or the Bank.

Edgar Filing: PACWEST BANCORP - Form 10-Q

Pacific Western is a full-service community bank offering a broad range of banking products and services including: accepting time and demand deposits; originating loans, including commercial, real estate construction, SBA guaranteed, consumer, and international loans; and providing other business-oriented products. Our operations are primarily located in Southern California and the Bank focuses on conducting business with small to medium size businesses and the owners and employees of those businesses in our marketplace. Through our asset-based lending and SBA loan production offices we also operate in Arizona, Northern California, the Pacific Northwest, and Texas. At June 30, 2008, our assets totaled \$4.3 billion, of which gross loans totaled \$3.9 billion. At this date approximately 22% were commercial loans, 55% were commercial real estate loans, 9% were commercial real estate construction loans, 7% were residential real estate construction loans, 6% were residential real estate loans, and 1% were consumer and other loans. These percentages include some foreign loans, primarily to individuals or entities with business in Mexico, representing 1% of total loans. Our portfolio's value and credit quality is affected in large part by real estate trends in Southern California.

Pacific Western competes actively for deposits, and emphasizes solicitation of noninterest-bearing deposits. In managing the top line of our business, we focus on loan growth and loan yield, deposit cost, and net interest margin, as net interest income, on a year-to-date basis, accounts for 90% of our net revenues (net interest income plus noninterest income).

Key Performance Indicators

Among other factors, our operating results depend generally on the following:

The Level of Our Net Interest Income

Net interest income is the excess of interest earned on our interest-earning assets over the interest paid on our interest-bearing liabilities. The recent decline in market interest rates has compressed our net interest margin. Further reductions in market interest rates, if any, could negatively impact both our net interest income and net interest margin going forward. Our primary interest-earning asset is loans. Our interest-bearing liabilities include deposits, borrowings, and subordinated debentures. We attempt to increase our net interest income by maintaining a high loan-to-deposit ratio and high level of transactional deposit accounts, which includes noninterest-bearing deposits and interest-bearing checking accounts. While our deposit balances fluctuate depending on deposit holders' perceptions of alternative yields available in the market, we attempt to minimize these variances by attracting a high percentage of noninterest-bearing deposits, which have no expectation of yield. At June 30, 2008, approximately 39% of our total deposits were noninterest-bearing deposits. Our general policy is to price our deposits in the bottom half or third-quartile of our competitive peer group, resulting in deposit products that bear somewhat lower interest rates. However, recent disruptions in the financial credit and liquidity markets have resulted in increased competition from financial institutions seeking to maintain adequate liquidity, thus placing upward pressure on the rates paid on certain deposit accounts. In addition to deposits, we have borrowing capacity under various credit lines which we use for liquidity needs such as funding loan demand, managing deposit flows and interim acquisition financing. While this borrowing capacity is relatively flexible, it tends to be more expensive than core deposits.

Loan Growth

We generally seek new lending opportunities in the \$1 million to \$10 million range, try to limit loan maturities for commercial loans to one year, for construction loans up to 18 months, and for commercial real estate loans up to ten years, and to price lending products so as to preserve our interest spread and net interest margin. We sometimes encounter strong competition in pursuing lending opportunities such that potential borrowers obtain loans elsewhere at lower rates than those we offer. We have continued to reduce our exposure to residential construction and foreign loans, including limiting the amount of new loans in these categories.

The Magnitude of Credit Losses

We stress credit quality in originating and monitoring the loans we make and measure our success by the level of our nonperforming assets and the corresponding level of our allowance for credit losses. Our allowance for credit losses is the sum of our allowance for loan losses and our reserve for unfunded loan commitments. Provisions for credit losses are charged to operations as and when needed for both on and off balance sheet credit exposure. Loans which are deemed uncollectible are charged off and deducted from the allowance for loan losses. Recoveries on loans previously charged off are added to the allowance for loan losses. During the six months ended June 30, 2008, we made a provision for credit losses totaling \$29.5 million based upon our reserve methodology and considered, among other factors, the level of net charge-offs, the level and trends of classified, criticized, and nonaccrual loans, general market conditions and portfolio concentrations, including the transfer of SBA loans previously held for sale into the regular portfolio.

We actively review our loans to determine whether there has been any deterioration in credit quality stemming from economic conditions or other factors which may affect collectibility of our loans. Changes in economic conditions, such as inflation, unemployment, increases in the general level of interest rates and negative conditions in borrowers' businesses, could negatively impact our customers and cause us to adversely classify loans and increase portfolio loss factors. An increase in classified loans generally results in increased provisions for credit losses. Because we have a concentration in real estate loans, any deterioration in the real estate markets may negatively impact our borrowers and could lead to increased provisions for credit losses.

The Level of Our Noninterest Expense

Our operating noninterest expense (noninterest expense excluding goodwill write-offs, a legal settlement and reorganization costs) includes fixed and controllable overhead, the major components of which are compensation, occupancy, data processing, professional fees and communications expense. We measure success in controlling such costs through monitoring of the efficiency ratio. We calculate the operating efficiency ratio by dividing operating noninterest expense by the sum of net interest income and noninterest income. Accordingly, a lower percentage reflects lower expenses relative to income. The consolidated operating efficiency ratios have been as follows:

| Quarterly Period | Ratio |
|-------------------------|--------------|
| Second quarter of 2008 | 59.4% |
| First quarter 2008 | 54.8% |
| Fourth quarter 2007 | 52.6% |
| Third quarter 2007 | 48.0% |
| Second quarter 2007 | 47.2% |

The increase in the operating efficiency ratio for the second quarter of 2008 compared to the other periods presented is due mostly to a decline in net interest income as a result of lower market interest rates. Certain reporting periods include income or expense items that were significant to specific quarters' results and also influenced the efficiency ratio. In particular, during the fourth quarter of 2007 we incurred \$1.4 million in prepayment penalties and made a charitable contribution of \$1.0 million; these items increased the fourth quarter operating efficiency ratio from 49.1% to 52.6%. See also Results of Operations *Earnings Performance* for further information on non-GAAP financial measures.

Critical Accounting Policies

The Company's accounting policies are fundamental to understanding management's discussion and analysis of results of operations and financial condition. The Company has identified several

policies as being critical because they require management to make particularly difficult, subjective and/or complex judgments about matters that are inherently uncertain and because of the likelihood that materially different amounts would be reported under different conditions or using different assumptions. These policies relate to the allowance for credit losses and the carrying values of goodwill, other intangible assets and deferred income tax assets. For further information, refer to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007.

Results of Operations

Earnings Performance

The discussion in this Form 10-Q of net earnings, earnings per share, performance ratios and comparisons to prior periods will be based on net operating earnings as shown in the following table and described below. The Company uses certain non-GAAP financial measures to provide meaningful supplemental information regarding the Company's operational performance and to enhance investors' overall understanding of such financial performance. These non-GAAP financial measures are presented for supplemental informational purposes only for understanding the Company's operating results and should not be considered a substitute for financial information presented in accordance with GAAP. These non-GAAP financial measures presented by the Company may be different from non-GAAP financial measures used by other companies. The following table presents a reconciliation

Edgar Filing: PACWEST BANCORP - Form 10-Q

of the non-GAAP financial measurements to the GAAP financial measurements and certain performance ratios.

Non-GAAP Measurements (Unaudited)

| In thousands, except per share data and percentages | Quarter Ended | | | Six Months Ended | |
|--|------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | June 30, 2008 | March 31, 2008 | June 30, 2007 | June 30, 2008 | 2007 |
| Net (loss) earnings as reported | \$ (474,514) | \$ (272,723) | \$ 22,525 | \$ (747,237) | \$ 51,071 |
| Legal settlement, net of tax | 452 | | | 452 | |
| Reorganization costs, net of tax | 150 | | 628 | 150 | 778 |
| Goodwill write-off | 486,701 | 275,000 | | 761,701 | |
| Net operating earnings | \$ 12,789 | \$ 2,277 | \$ 23,153 | \$ 15,066 | \$ 51,849 |
| GAAP basic shares outstanding | 27,166.8 | 27,145.2 | 28,885.9 | 27,156.0 | 28,876.6 |
| Effect of restricted stock and dilutive stock options ^(a) | | | 129.9 | | 130.8 |
| GAAP diluted shares outstanding | 27,166.8 | 27,145.2 | 29,015.8 | 27,156.0 | 29,007.4 |
| Operating earnings basic shares outstanding | 27,166.8 | 27,145.2 | 28,885.9 | 27,156.0 | 28,876.6 |
| Effect of restricted stock and dilutive stock options | 11.5 | 18.1 | 129.9 | 22.0 | 130.8 |
| Operating earnings diluted shares outstanding | 27,178.3 | 27,163.3 | 29,015.8 | 27,178.0 | 29,007.4 |
| GAAP basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share | \$ (17.47) | \$ (10.05) | \$ 0.78 | \$ (27.52) | \$ 1.76 |
| Net operating diluted earnings per share | \$ 0.47 | \$ 0.08 | \$ 0.80 | \$ 0.55 | \$ 1.79 |
| GAAP return on average assets | (39.18)% | (21.10)% | 1.75% | (29.85)% | 1.93% |
| Net operating return on average assets | 1.06% | 0.18% | 1.80% | 0.60% | 1.96% |
| GAAP return on average equity | (223.19)% | (96.35)% | 7.61% | (150.76)% | 8.75% |
| Net operating return on average equity | 6.02% | 0.80% | 7.83% | 3.04% | 8.88% |
| Noninterest expense as reported | \$ 524,084 | \$ 310,310 | \$ 35,997 | \$ 834,394 | \$ 71,390 |
| Legal settlement | (780) | | | (780) | |
| Reorganization costs | (258) | | (1,083) | (258) | (1,341) |
| Goodwill write-off | (486,701) | (275,000) | | (761,701) | |
| Operating noninterest expense | \$ 36,345 | \$ 35,310 | \$ 34,914 | \$ 71,655 | \$ 70,049 |
| GAAP efficiency ratio | 856.7% | 481.6% | 48.7% | 664.3% | 45.3% |
| Net operating efficiency ratio | 59.4% | 54.8% | 47.2% | 57.1% | 44.4% |

(a)

Anti-dilutive for the quarters and year ended June 30, 2008 and March 31, 2008.

We had a net loss of \$474.5 million, or \$17.47 per diluted share, for the second quarter of 2008 compared to a net loss of \$272.7 million, or \$10.05 per diluted share for the first quarter of 2008 and net earnings of \$22.5 million, or \$0.78 per diluted share for the second quarter of 2007. We had a net loss of \$747.2 million, or \$27.52 per diluted share, for the six months ended June 30, 2008 compared to net earnings of \$51.1 million, or \$1.76 per diluted share, for the same 2007 period. The net loss for the

2008 periods is due to goodwill write-offs. In response to the volatility in the banking industry and the effect such volatility has had on banking stocks since the beginning of 2008, including PacWest Bancorp's common stock, we wrote-off \$275.0 million of goodwill in the first quarter of 2008 and wrote-off the remaining balance of our goodwill totaling \$486.7 million in the second quarter of 2008. Such charges had no effect on the Company's or the Bank's cash balances, liquidity or well-capitalized regulatory ratios.

Net earnings, excluding goodwill write-offs, a legal settlement and reorganization costs (hereinafter referred to as "net operating earnings"), totaled \$12.8 million, or \$0.47 per diluted share, for the quarter ended June 30, 2008, compared to \$2.3 million, or \$0.08 per diluted share, for the first quarter of 2008 and \$23.2 million, or \$0.80 per diluted share, for the quarter ended June 30, 2007. In addition to the 2008 goodwill write-offs, we incurred a legal settlement of \$452,000 (after-tax) and reorganization costs of \$150,000 (after-tax) for the quarter ended June 30, 2008; these charges compare to reorganization costs of \$628,000 (after-tax) for the quarter ended June 30, 2007. The increase in net operating earnings for the quarter ended June 30, 2008 compared to the prior quarter is attributed mostly to a lower provision for credit losses. The decrease in net operating earnings for the quarter ended June 30, 2008 compared to the same quarter in 2007 is attributed to a higher provision for credit losses, lower net interest income, lower noninterest income and higher noninterest expense.

Net operating earnings total \$15.1 million, or \$0.55 per diluted share, for the six months ended June 30, 2008 compared to \$51.8 million, or \$1.79 per diluted share, for the six months ended June 30, 2007. The decrease in net operating earnings for the year-to-date period is attributed to a higher provision for credit losses, lower net interest income, lower noninterest income and higher noninterest expense. The decrease in net interest income relates mostly to the decline in market interest rates and lower loan balances resulted mostly from the sale of a participating interest in approximately \$353 million in commercial real estate mortgage loans in March 2007 combined with our effort to reduce our nonowner-occupied residential loan exposure. The decrease in noninterest income is due mostly to lower gain on sale of loans and lower income related to discounts recognized on acquired loans that have since been repaid.

Net Interest Income. Net interest income, which is our principal source of revenue, represents the difference between interest earned on assets and interest paid on liabilities. Net interest margin is net interest income expressed as a percentage of average interest-earning assets. Net interest income is affected by changes in both interest rates and the volume of average interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. The following table presents, for the periods indicated, the distribution of

Edgar Filing: PACWEST BANCORP - Form 10-Q

average assets, liabilities and shareholders' equity, as well as interest income and yields earned on average interest-earning assets and interest expense and costs on average interest-bearing liabilities:

| | June 30, 2008 | | | Quarter Ended March 31, 2008 | | | June 30, 2007 | | |
|---|---------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|
| | Average Balance | Interest Income/ Expense | Yields and Rates | Average Balance | Interest Income/ Expense | Yields and Rates | Average Balance | Interest Income/ Expense | Yields and Rates |
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | | | | | |
| ASSETS | | | | | | | | | |
| Loans, net of deferred fees and costs ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | \$ 3,970,704 | \$ 69,536 | 7.04% | \$ 4,019,224 | \$ 75,653 | 7.57% | \$ 3,945,574 | \$ 84,277 | 8.57% |
| Investment securities ⁽²⁾ | 146,840 | 1,861 | 5.10% | 143,379 | 1,701 | 4.77% | 104,005 | 1,362 | 5.25% |
| Federal funds sold | 4,549 | 23 | 2.03% | 5,032 | 40 | 3.20% | 69,585 | 909 | 5.24% |
| Other earning assets | 326 | 2 | 2.47% | 324 | 3 | 3.72% | 498 | 6 | 4.83% |
| Total interest-earning assets | 4,122,419 | 71,422 | 6.97% | 4,167,959 | 77,397 | 7.47% | 4,119,662 | 86,554 | 8.43% |
| Noninterest-earning assets: | | | | | | | | | |
| Other assets | 748,146 | | | 1,030,130 | | | 1,033,326 | | |
| Total assets | \$ 4,870,565 | | | \$ 5,198,089 | | | \$ 5,152,988 | | |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | | | | | | | | | |
| Interest checking | \$ 373,382 | \$ 690 | 0.74% | \$ 369,841 | \$ 931 | 1.01% | \$ 318,185 | \$ 552 | 0.70% |
| Money market | 1,085,945 | 4,875 | 1.81% | 1,089,672 | 6,968 | 2.57% | 1,062,334 | 7,872 | 2.97% |
| Savings | 100,779 | 41 | 0.16% | 104,905 | 42 | 0.16% | 130,129 | 63 | 0.19% |
| Time certificates of deposit | 426,654 | 3,313 | 3.12% | 413,712 | 3,880 | 3.77% | 507,162 | 5,244 | 4.15% |
| Total interest-bearing deposits | 1,986,760 | 8,919 | 1.81% | 1,978,130 | 11,821 | 2.40% | 2,017,810 | 13,731 | 2.73% |
| Other interest-bearing liabilities | 723,115 | 6,731 | 3.74% | 758,178 | 7,716 | 4.09% | 423,439 | 6,369 | 6.03% |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | 2,709,875 | 15,650 | 2.32% | 2,736,308 | 19,537 | 2.87% | 2,441,249 | 20,100 | 3.30% |
| Noninterest-bearing liabilities: | | | | | | | | | |
| Demand deposits | 1,256,794 | | | 1,273,173 | | | 1,464,362 | | |
| Other liabilities | 48,801 | | | 50,207 | | | 60,595 | | |
| Total liabilities | 4,015,470 | | | 4,059,688 | | | 3,966,206 | | |
| Stockholders' equity | 855,095 | | | 1,138,401 | | | 1,186,782 | | |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 4,870,565 | | | \$ 5,198,089 | | | \$ 5,152,988 | | |
| Net interest income | | \$ 55,772 | | | \$ 57,860 | | | \$ 66,454 | |
| Net interest spread | | | 4.65% | | | 4.60% | | | 5.13% |
| Net interest margin | | | 5.44% | | | 5.58% | | | 6.47% |

(1) Includes nonaccrual loans and loan fees.

(2) Yields on loans and securities have not been adjusted to a tax-equivalent basis because the impact is not material.

Second quarter of 2008 compared to first quarter of 2008

Edgar Filing: PACWEST BANCORP - Form 10-Q

Interest income declined \$6.0 million due mainly to lower loan yields from reductions in our base lending rate, lower average construction loan balances and increased nonaccrual loans. The Federal Reserve lowered the Federal funds rate by 225 basis points since year end 2007 and our base lending rate was reduced by the same amount. On the funding side, interest expense decreased \$3.9 million compared to the first quarter of 2008 due mostly to the effect declining market interest rates had on our deposit pricing and the cost of wholesale funding through Federal Home Loan Bank ("FHLB") advances.

Our net interest margin for the second quarter of 2008 was 5.44%, a decrease of 14 basis points when compared to the first quarter of 2008. This decrease is due mostly to lower loan yields as a result of lower market interest rates and a higher level of nonaccrual loans. The actual net interest margin was 5.32% in June and when the net reversal of interest income on nonaccrual loans is excluded, the net interest margin for the month of June was 5.55%. The yield on average loans was 6.93% for June and when net reversal of interest income on nonaccrual loans are excluded, the loan yield for June was 7.17%. The decline in the linked-quarter net interest margin was tempered by lower funding costs. The

average cost of deposits was 1.11% for the second quarter of 2008 compared to 1.46% for the first quarter of 2008. On a monthly basis our deposit costs declined steadily to 1.08% in June 2008 compared to 1.35% in March 2008. Our relatively low cost of deposits is driven by our disciplined pricing strategy and the high proportion of demand deposits balances within our deposit base. Demand deposits averaged 39% of average total deposits during both the second and first quarters of 2008. Further, the cost of money market deposits and time deposits declined 76 basis points and 65 basis points when compared to the prior quarter. The overall cost of interest-bearing liabilities decreased to 2.32% for the second quarter of 2008 compared to 2.87% for the first quarter of 2008 due mostly to lower market interest rates.

Second quarter of 2008 compared to the second quarter of 2007

The \$10.7 million decrease in net interest income for the second quarter of 2008 compared to the same quarter of 2007 was mainly a result of reduced loan interest income due to lower loan yields. Loan interest income decreased \$14.7 million as our average loan yields declined in line with the general decline in market interest rates which began in September 2007. Interest expense decreased \$4.5 million for the second quarter of 2008 compared to the same quarter of 2007 due to a combination of a decrease in the cost of our funding sources as market interest rates have declined and higher average FHLB borrowings. We continue to use FHLB advances to fund loan growth and deposit flows.

The net interest margin for the second quarter of 2008 declined 103 basis points when compared to the second quarter of 2007. This decrease is due to a combination of lower loan yields, lower average demand deposits and lower overall funding costs. The lower loan yield and cost of funds are

Edgar Filing: PACWEST BANCORP - Form 10-Q

due to lower market interest rates. The loan yields for the second quarter of 2008 compared to the same quarter last year were also negatively impacted by the higher level of nonaccrual loans.

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| | 2008 | | | 2007 | | |
| | Average Balance | Interest Income/Expense | Yields and Rates | Average Balance | Interest Income/Expense | Yields and Rates |
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | | |
| ASSETS | | | | | | |
| Loans, net of deferred fees and costs ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | \$ 3,994,964 | \$ 145,189 | 7.31% | \$ 4,129,896 | \$ 175,226 | 8.56% |
| Investment securities ⁽²⁾ | 145,110 | 3,562 | 4.94% | 108,616 | 2,738 | 5.08% |
| Federal funds sold | 4,791 | 63 | 2.64% | 43,234 | 1,123 | 5.24% |
| Other earning assets | 325 | 5 | 3.09% | 492 | 12 | 4.92% |
| Total interest-earning assets | 4,145,190 | 148,819 | 7.22% | 4,282,238 | 179,099 | 8.43% |
| Noninterest-earning assets: | | | | | | |
| Other assets | 889,137 | | | 1,047,120 | | |
| Total assets | \$ 5,034,327 | | | \$ 5,329,358 | | |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | | | | | | |
| Interest checking | \$ 371,611 | \$ 1,620 | 0.88% | \$ 296,365 | \$ 717 | 0.49% |
| Money market | 1,087,809 | 11,844 | 2.19% | 1,075,930 | 15,201 | 2.85% |
| Savings | 102,842 | 83 | 0.16% | 134,300 | 121 | 0.18% |
| Time certificates of deposit | 420,183 | 7,193 | 3.44% | 539,367 | 11,117 | 4.16% |
| Total interest-bearing deposits | 1,982,445 | 20,740 | 2.10% | 2,045,962 | 27,156 | 2.68% |
| Other interest-bearing liabilities | 740,647 | 14,447 | 3.92% | 549,849 | 16,054 | 5.89% |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | 2,723,092 | 35,187 | 2.60% | 2,595,811 | 43,210 | 3.36% |
| Noninterest-bearing liabilities: | | | | | | |
| Demand deposits | 1,264,984 | | | 1,497,120 | | |
| Other liabilities | 49,503 | | | 58,787 | | |
| Total liabilities | 4,037,579 | | | 4,151,718 | | |
| Stockholders' equity | 996,748 | | | 1,177,640 | | |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 5,034,327 | | | \$ 5,329,358 | | |
| Net interest income | | \$ 113,632 | | | \$ 135,889 | |
| Net interest spread | | | 4.62% | | | 5.07% |
| Net interest margin | | | 5.51% | | | 6.40% |

(1) Includes nonaccrual loans and loan fees.

(2) Yields on loans and securities have not been adjusted to a tax-equivalent basis because the impact is not material.

Edgar Filing: PACWEST BANCORP - Form 10-Q

Net interest income decreased \$22.3 million to \$113.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008 compared to the same period of 2007. This decrease was mainly a result of decreased loan interest income from lower loan yields as market rates have declined and lower average loan balances. The lower average loan balances resulted mostly from the sale of the participating interest of approximately \$353 million in commercial real estate mortgage loans at the end of March 2007 combined with our efforts to reduce our nonowner-occupied residential construction loan exposure. Interest expense decreased due to a combination of a decrease in the cost of our funding sources as market interest rates have declined and higher average FHLB borrowings.

Edgar Filing: PACWEST BANCORP - Form 10-Q

Our net interest margin for the six months ended June 30, 2008 was 5.51%, a decrease of 89 basis points when compared to the same period of 2007. The decrease in the net interest margin is primarily the result of lower loan yields and lower average demand deposits.

Provision for Credit Losses. The amount of the provision for credit losses in each reporting period is a charge against earnings in that reporting period. The provisions for credit losses are based on our reserve methodology and reflect our judgments about the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses and the reserve for unfunded loan commitments. In determining the amount of the provision for credit losses, we consider certain quantitative and qualitative factors including our historical loan loss experience, the volume and type of lending we conduct, the results of our credit review process, the amounts of classified, criticized and nonperforming assets, regulatory policies, general economic conditions, underlying collateral values, off-balance sheet exposures, and other factors regarding collectibility and impairment. To the extent we experience, for example, increased levels of documentation deficiencies, adverse changes in collateral values, or negative changes in economic and business conditions which adversely affect our borrowers, our classified loans may increase. Increases in our classified loans generally result in provisions for credit losses.

We recorded a \$29.5 million provision for credit losses during the first six months of 2008 compared to no provision for credit losses during the comparable 2007 period. Such provision was based on our reserve methodology and considered, among other factors, net charge-offs, the level and trends of classified, criticized, and nonaccrual loans, general market conditions and portfolio concentration, including the transfer of SBA loans held for sale into the regular portfolio.

Increased provisions for credit losses may be required in the future based on loan and unfunded commitment growth and the effect that changes in economic conditions, such as inflation, commodity prices, unemployment, market interest rate levels and real estate values, have on the ability of borrowers to repay their loans, and other conditions specific to our borrowers' businesses.

Noninterest Income. The following table summarizes noninterest income by category for the periods indicated:

| | June 30, 2008 | March 31, 2008 | Quarter Ended ⁽¹⁾ December 31, 2007 | September 30, 2007 | June 30, 2007 |
|--|------------------|-------------------|--|-----------------------|------------------|
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | |
| Service charges and fees on deposit accounts | \$3,205 | \$ 3,224 | \$ 3,029 | \$ 2,877 | \$ 2,850 |
| Other commissions and fees | 1,812 | 1,519 | 1,817 | 1,903 | 1,976 |
| (Loss) gain on sale of loans, net | (572) | 269 | (543) | (323) | 1,779 |
| Increase in cash surrender value of life insurance | 617 | 587 | 649 | 597 | 627 |
| Other income | 339 | 968 | 400 | 628 | 297 |
| Total noninterest income | \$5,401 | \$ 6,567 | \$ 5,352 | \$ 5,682 | \$ 7,529 |

(1) Our quarterly results include BFI subsequent to its June 25, 2007 acquisition date. BFI is a commercial finance company based in San Jose, California. At the date of acquisition, BFI had \$122.6 million in assets, including \$84.5 million in loans.

Second quarter of 2008 compared to first quarter of 2008

Noninterest income for the second quarter of 2008 totaled \$5.4 million compared to \$6.6 million in the first quarter of 2008. The decrease compared to the first quarter of 2008 is due mostly to decreased gain on sale of loans. The net loss on sale of SBA loans was \$572,000 for the second quarter of 2008 compared to a net gain of \$269,000 for the first quarter of 2008. Due to the depressed SBA loan sale market, we suspended SBA loan sales during the second quarter of 2008. Accordingly, the SBA loans

Edgar Filing: PACWEST BANCORP - Form 10-Q

held for sale at the end of March 2008 were either transferred to the regular loan portfolio at the lower of cost or market or sold during the second quarter. Other income for the first quarter of 2008 includes \$444,000 related to the payoff of certain acquired loans and a \$200,000 income item related to the 2003 sale of a merchant card portfolio; there were no such items in the second quarter of 2008.

Second quarter of 2008 compared to second quarter of 2007

Compared to the second quarter of 2007, noninterest income declined \$2.1 million due mainly to lower gain on sale of loans from decreased SBA loan sale activity and the reduced level of SBA market premiums.

Six Months Analysis

Noninterest income declined \$9.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008 when compared to the \$21.9 million earned during the same period in 2007. The decrease in noninterest income resulted largely from lower gain on sale of loans and lower other income. The 2007 period included a \$6.6 million gain related to the sale of a participating interest in certain commercial real estate mortgage loans and net gains of \$2.7 million on the sale of SBA loans; this compares to net losses of \$303,000 recognized in 2008. The 2007 other income category included a \$1.9 million gain related to recognizing an unearned discount on the payoff of an acquired loan; this compares to the \$444,000 recognized during 2008.

Noninterest Expense. The following table summarizes noninterest expense by category for the periods indicated:

| | Quarter Ended ⁽¹⁾ | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| | June 30, 2008 | March 31, 2008 | December 31, 2007 | September 30, 2007 | June 30, 2007 |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| Compensation | \$ 18,919 | \$ 18,846 | \$ 16,669 | \$ 17,582 | \$ 18,267 |
| Occupancy | 4,884 | 4,731 | 4,871 | 4,799 | 4,725 |
| Furniture and equipment | 1,046 | 1,139 | 1,183 | 1,258 | 1,195 |
| Data processing | 1,604 | 1,543 | 1,475 | 1,507 | 1,467 |
| Other professional services | 1,669 | 1,415 | 1,495 | 1,574 | 1,795 |
| Business development | 849 | 756 | 1,709 | 780 | 849 |
| Communications | 816 | 824 | 779 | 825 | 841 |
| Insurance and assessments | 810 | 540 | 464 | 468 | 378 |
| Intangible asset amortization | 2,484 | 2,530 | 2,621 | 2,574 | 2,305 |
| Other | 3,264 | 2,986 | 4,689 | 3,157 | 3,092 |
| Operating noninterest expense | 36,345 | 35,310 | 35,955 | 34,524 | 34,914 |
| Legal settlement | | | | | |
| | 780 | | | | |
| Reorganization costs | 258 | | 390 | | 1,083 |
| Goodwill write-off | 486,701 | 275,000 | | | |
| Total noninterest expense | \$524,084 | \$310,310 | \$ 36,345 | \$ 34,524 | \$35,997 |
| Efficiency ratio | | | | | |
| | 856.7% | 481.6% | 53.2% | 48.0% | 48.7% |
| Operating efficiency ratio | 59.4% | 54.8% | 52.6% | 48.0% | 47.2% |

(1) Our quarterly results include BFI subsequent to June 25, 2007.

Edgar Filing: PACWEST BANCORP - Form 10-Q

Second quarter of 2008 compared to first quarter of 2008

Operating noninterest expense (defined as reported noninterest expense excluding goodwill write-offs, a legal settlement and reorganization costs) for the second quarter of 2008 totaled \$36.3 million compared to \$35.3 million for the first quarter of 2008. The increase in operating noninterest expense is due mostly to higher professional services, insurance and assessments, and other operating noninterest expense. Professional services increased in the second quarter due to higher litigation costs and consulting fees related to the goodwill write-off. Increased insurance and assessment relates to higher regulatory fees. The increase in other noninterest expense resulted from higher costs to workout nonperforming assets and other problem credits. The second quarter of 2008 legal settlement related to the resolution of an insured charged-off loan and the reorganization costs were for legal and stockholder meeting expenses related to the Company's reincorporation in Delaware.

Noninterest expense includes amortization of time-based and performance-based restricted stock, which is included in compensation, and intangible asset amortization. Restricted stock amortization totaled \$1.5 million for the second quarter of 2008 compared to \$952,000 for the first quarter of 2008 and \$2.4 million for the second quarter of 2007. In the fourth quarter of 2007 we suspended amortization of certain performance-based restricted stock awards whose vesting is dependent on the attainment of specific long-term financial targets. At that time, we concluded that attainment of these financial targets was less than probable. If and when the attainment of such financial targets is deemed probable in future periods, a catch-up adjustment will be recorded and amortization of such performance-based restricted stock will recommence. Amortization expense for all time-based restricted stock awards is estimated to be \$5.0 million for 2008. Intangible asset amortization totaled \$2.5 million for the second quarter of 2008 and is estimated to be \$9.4 million for 2008. The 2008 estimates of both restricted stock award expense and intangible asset amortization are subject to change.

Second quarter of 2008 compared to second quarter of 2007

The increase in operating noninterest expense for the second quarter of 2008 compared to the same quarter of 2007 relates mainly to increased compensation, occupancy and data processing costs, which is due largely to the BFI acquisition completed in June 2007. In addition, insurance and assessments and other expenses increased as described above. Reorganization expenses for the second quarter of 2007 represent mostly severance costs associated with the elimination of staff positions in branch locations.

Six Months Analysis

Operating noninterest expense for the six months ended June 30, 2008 totaled \$71.7 million compared to \$70.0 million for the same period in 2007. The increase is due to a combination of the increased costs from the BFI acquisition, and increased regulatory assessments, OREO expenses and loan collection costs as previously described.

Income Taxes. Our statutory income tax rate is approximately 42.0%, representing a blend of the statutory federal income tax rate of 35.0% and the California income tax rate of 10.84%. The effective tax rate on net operating earnings for the second quarter of 2008 was 39.9% compared to 26.9% for the first quarter of 2008 and 40.7% for the second quarter of 2007. While the effective tax rates for each of these quarterly period reflect reductions for credits on certain investments and tax-exempt income, such amounts were a higher proportion of taxable income in the first quarter of 2008. The goodwill write-offs are not deductible for tax purposes.

Balance Sheet Analysis

Loans. The following table presents the balance of each major category of loans at the dates indicated:

| | At June 30, 2008 | | At March 31, 2008 | | At December 31, 2007 | |
|------------------------------------|---------------------|------------|---------------------|------------|----------------------|------------|
| | Amount | % of total | Amount | % of total | Amount | % of total |
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | | |
| Loan Category: | | | | | | |
| Domestic: | | | | | | |
| Commercial | \$ 833,376 | 21% | \$ 849,850 | 22% | \$ 852,279 | 22% |
| Real estate, construction | 623,605 | 16 | 661,782 | 17 | 717,419 | 18 |
| Real estate, mortgage | 2,361,529 | 61 | 2,309,434 | 59 | 2,280,963 | 58 |
| Consumer | 47,500 | 1 | 47,506 | 1 | 49,943 | 1 |
| Foreign: | | | | | | |
| Commercial | 46,096 | 1 | 48,737 | 1 | 56,916 | 1 |
| Other, including real estate | 1,861 | (1) | 906 | (1) | 1,206 | (1) |
| Gross loans | 3,913,967 | 100% | 3,918,215 | 100% | 3,958,726 | 100% |
| Less: unearned income | (8,911) | | (9,208) | | (9,508) | |
| Less: allowance for loan losses | (59,777) | | (60,199) | | (52,557) | |
| Total net loans | \$ 3,845,279 | | \$ 3,848,808 | | \$ 3,896,661 | |
| Loans held for sale ⁽²⁾ | \$ | | \$ 57,309 | | \$ 63,565 | |

(1) Amount is less than 1%.

(2) Loans held for sale, consisting of SBA 504 and 7(a) loans, were transferred into the regular portfolio during the second quarter of 2008.

Loans, net of unearned income, and loans held for sale decreased \$61.3 million to \$3.9 billion at June 30, 2008, from March 31, 2008. Commercial and industrial loans declined \$24.5 million, construction loans declined \$38.2 million and all other loan categories remained approximately the same as we continued to lower our exposure to nonowner-occupied residential construction loans. Due to the depressed SBA loan sale market, we suspended SBA loan sales during the second quarter of 2008. Loans held for sale at the end of March 2008 were either transferred into the regular portfolio at the lower of cost or market value on the date of transfer or they were sold during the second quarter of 2008. At June 30, 2008, the SBA loan portfolio totaled \$168.5 million and was composed of \$119.1 million in SBA 504 loans and \$49.4 million in SBA 7(a) and Express loans.

Allowance for Credit Losses. The allowance for credit losses is the combination of the allowance for loan losses and the reserve for unfunded loan commitments. The allowance for loan losses is reported as a reduction of outstanding loan balances and the reserve for unfunded loan commitments is included within other liabilities. Generally, as loans are funded, the amount of the commitment reserve applicable to such funded loans will be transferred from the reserve for unfunded loan commitments to the allowance for loan losses based on our reserving methodology. At June 30, 2008, the allowance for credit losses was comprised of the allowance for loan losses of \$59.8 million and the reserve for unfunded loan commitments of \$7.7 million.

An allowance for loan losses is maintained at a level deemed appropriate by management to adequately provide for known and inherent risks in the loan portfolio and other extensions of credit at the balance sheet date. The allowance is based upon a continuing review of the portfolio, past loan loss experience, current economic conditions which may affect the borrowers' ability to pay, and the underlying collateral value of the loans. Loans which are deemed to be uncollectible are charged off

and deducted from the allowance. The provision for loan losses and recoveries on loans previously charged off are added to the allowance.

The methodology we use to estimate the amount of our allowance for credit losses is based on both objective and subjective criteria. While some criteria are formula driven, other criteria are subjective inputs included to capture environmental and general economic risk elements which may trigger losses in the loan portfolio, and to account for the varying levels of credit quality in the loan portfolios of the entities we have acquired that have not yet been captured in our objective loss factors.

Specifically, our allowance methodology contains four elements: (a) amounts based on specific evaluations of impaired loans; (b) amounts of estimated losses on several pools of loans categorized by type; (c) amounts of estimated losses for loans adversely classified based on our loan review process; and (d) amounts for environmental and general economic factors that indicate probable losses were incurred but were not captured through the other elements of our allowance process.

Impaired loans are identified at each reporting date based on certain criteria and individually reviewed for impairment. A loan is considered impaired when it is probable that a creditor will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the original contractual terms of the loan agreement. We measure impairment of a loan based upon the fair value of the loan's collateral if the loan is collateral dependent or the present value of cash flows, discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, if the loan is not collateralized. If the measurement of impairment for the loan is less than the recorded investment in the loan, a valuation allowance is established with a corresponding charge to operations to increase the allowance for loan losses.

Our loan portfolio, excluding impaired loans which are evaluated individually, is categorized into several pools for purposes of determining allowance amounts by loan pool. The loan pools we currently evaluate are: commercial real estate construction, residential real estate construction, SBA real estate, real estate other, commercial collateralized, commercial unsecured, SBA commercial, consumer, foreign, asset-based, and factoring. Within these loan pools, we evaluate loans not adversely classified, which we refer to as "pass" credits, separately from adversely classified loans. The allowance amounts for pass rated loans and those loans adversely classified are determined using historical loss rates developed through migration analyses.

Finally, in order to ensure our allowance methodology is incorporating recent trends and economic conditions, we apply environmental and general economic factors to our allowance methodology including: credit concentrations; delinquency trends; economic and business conditions; external factors such as fuel and building materials prices, and the effects of adverse weather; the quality of lending management and staff; lending policies and procedures; loss and recovery trends; nature and volume of the portfolio; nonaccrual and problem loan trends; the quality of loan review; and other adjustments for items not covered by other factors.

We recognize the determination of the allowance for loan losses is sensitive to the assigned credit risk ratings and inherent loss rates at any given point in time. Therefore, we perform a sensitivity analysis to provide insight regarding the impact adverse changes in risk ratings may have on our allowance for loan losses. The sensitivity analysis has inherent limitations and is based on various assumptions as of a point in time and, accordingly, it is not necessarily representative of the impact loan risk rating changes may have on the allowance for loan losses. At June 30, 2008, in the event that 1 percent of our loans were downgraded from the pass to substandard category within our current allowance methodology, the allowance for loan losses would increase by approximately \$8.4 million. Given current processes employed by the Company, management believes the risk ratings and inherent loss rates currently assigned are appropriate. It is possible that others, given the same information, may at any point in time reach different reasonable conclusions that could be significant to the Company's financial statements. In addition, current risk ratings are subject to change as we continue to review

Edgar Filing: PACWEST BANCORP - Form 10-Q

loans within our portfolio and as our borrowers are impacted by economic trends within their market areas.

The following table presents the changes in our allowance for credit losses for the periods indicated:

| | Quarter Ended June 30, 2008 | As of or for the Quarter Ended March 31, 2008 | Year Ended December 31, 2007 | Quarter Ended June 30, 2007 |
|--------------------------------|--|--|---|--|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ 68,870 | \$ 61,028 | \$ 61,179 | \$ 58,623 |
| Provision for credit losses | 3,500 | 26,000 | 3,000 | |
| Net charge-offs | (4,922) | (18,158) | (2,836) | 94 |
| Reduction for loans sold | | | (2,461) | (161) |
| Additions due to acquisitions | | | 2,146 | 2,146 |
| Balance at end of period | \$ 67,448 | \$ 68,870 | \$ 61,028 | \$ 60,702 |

The credit loss provisions were based on our reserve methodology and considered, among other factors, net charge-offs, the level and trends of classified, criticized, and nonaccrual loans, general market conditions and portfolio concentrations, including the transfer of SBA loans previously held for sale into the regular portfolio during the second quarter of 2008. At June 30, 2008, the allowance for credit losses totaled \$67.4 million and represented 1.73% of loans net of unearned income compared to \$68.9 million and 1.76% at the end of March. The transfer into the regular portfolio of SBA loans held for sale is the principal reason behind the decline in the allowance for credit losses coverage ratio.

Edgar Filing: PACWEST BANCORP - Form 10-Q

The following table presents the changes in our allowance for loan losses for the periods indicated:

| | Quarter Ended June 30, 2008 | As of or for the | | Quarter Ended June 30, 2007 |
|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | | Quarter Ended March 31, 2008 | Year Ended December 31, 2007 | |
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ 60,199 | \$ 52,557 | \$ 52,908 | \$ 50,352 |
| Loans charged off: | | | | |
| Commercial | (3,420) | (108) | (2,091) | (285) |
| Real estate construction | (1,417) | (18,335) | (660) | |
| Real estate mortgage | (159) | (68) | (454) | |
| Consumer | (97) | (38) | (166) | (21) |
| Foreign | (39) | | (1,414) | |
| Total loans charged off | (5,132) | (18,549) | (4,785) | (306) |
| Recoveries on loans charged off: | | | | |
| Commercial | 151 | 356 | 1,591 | 344 |
| Real estate mortgage | 46 | 26 | 163 | |
| Consumer | 10 | 9 | 122 | 26 |
| Foreign | 3 | | 73 | 30 |
| Total recoveries on loans charged off | 210 | 391 | 1,949 | 400 |
| Net charge-offs | (4,922) | (18,158) | (2,836) | 94 |
| Provision for loan losses | 4,500 | 25,800 | 2,800 | |
| Reduction for loans sold | | | (2,461) | (161) |
| Additions due to acquisitions | | | 2,146 | 2,146 |
| Balance at end of period | \$ 59,777 | \$ 60,199 | \$ 52,557 | \$ 52,431 |
| Ratios: | | | | |
| Allowance for loan losses to loans, net | 1.53% | 1.54% | 1.33% | 1.36% |
| Allowance for loan losses to nonaccrual loans | 93.2% | 188.4% | 233.9% | 211.4% |
| Annualized net charge-offs (recoveries) to average loans | 0.50% | 1.82% | 0.07% | (0.01)% |

We recorded a \$4.5 million provision for loan losses during the second quarter 2008. Based on information currently available, management believes that the allowance for loan losses is adequate and appropriate for the known and inherent risks in our loan portfolio. In making its evaluation, management considers certain quantitative and qualitative factors including the Company's historical loss experience, the volume and type of lending conducted by the Company, the results of our credit review process, the amounts of classified, criticized and nonperforming assets, regulatory policies, general economic conditions, underlying collateral values, and other factors regarding collectibility and impairment. Second quarter commercial loan charge-offs include \$2.2 million related to one loan whose borrower became significantly over-extended and was forced into bankruptcy by other lenders. During the first quarter of 2008 we charged off \$16.2 million in residential-related construction loans in connection with the sale of such loans and recorded a \$25.8 million provision for loan losses. To the extent we experience, for example, increased levels of documentation deficiencies, adverse changes in collateral values, or negative changes in economic and business conditions which adversely affect our borrowers, our classified loans may increase. Higher levels of classified loans generally result in higher allowances for loan losses.

Edgar Filing: PACWEST BANCORP - Form 10-Q

The following table presents the changes in our reserve for unfunded loan commitments for the periods indicated:

| | Quarter Ended June 30, 2008 | As of or for the | | Quarter Ended June 30, 2007 |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | | Quarter Ended March 31, 2008 | Year Ended December 31, 2007 | |
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ 8,671 | \$ 8,471 | \$ 8,271 | \$ 8,271 |
| (Reversal)/provision | (1,000) | 200 | 200 | |
| Balance at end of period | \$ 7,671 | \$ 8,671 | \$ 8,471 | \$ 8,271 |

Based on current information, management believes that the reserve for unfunded loan commitments is adequate. In making this determination, we use the same methodology for the reserve for unfunded loan commitments as we do for the allowance for loan losses and consider the same qualitative factors, as well as an estimate of the probability of advances of the commitments correlated to their credit risk rating. The reduction in the reserve for unfunded loan commitments reflects, among other factors, a decrease in the amount of our outstanding loan commitments.

Credit Quality During the first six months of 2008, credit quality was impacted by the sale of certain nonaccrual loans in the first quarter, the reduction in our construction loan exposure, the increase in nonaccrual loans, and the decrease in loans past due 30 days or more.

In mid-March we sold \$34.1 million of residential construction-related nonaccrual loans at a loss of \$16.2 million which was charged to the allowance for credit losses. These loans were collateralized by both residential construction projects and residential land, and the several quarters needed to workout these troubled credits could have led to increased risk and additional loss. We decided to sell these loans at a substantial discount in order to eliminate from our portfolio the risks presented by these loans and to reduce the management distraction their workout would have caused.

The construction loan portfolio declined \$93.8 million during the first six months of 2008 to \$623.6 million at the end of June. Within our construction loan portfolio, we reduced our exposure to nonowner-occupied residential construction loans by \$90.7 million to \$259.3 million at the end of June from year end 2007. This reduction was due to net repayments of \$48.9 million, sales of \$34.1 million and foreclosures of \$7.7 million. The following table presents the details of the nonowner-occupied residential construction portfolio as of the dates indicated.

| Loan Category | Balance | As of June 30, 2008 | | As of March 31, 2008 Balance | As of December 31, 2007 Balance |
|--|------------|---------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| | | Number of loans | Average loan balance | | |
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | |
| Residential land acquisition and development | \$ 59,352 | 39 | \$ 1,522 | \$ 57,174 | \$ 80,848 |
| Residential nonowner-occupied single family | 97,525 | 46 | 2,120 | 96,381 | 133,694 |
| Unimproved residential land | 42,653 | 13 | 3,281 | 49,761 | 51,014 |
| Residential multifamily | 59,812 | 14 | 4,272 | 84,215 | 84,533 |
| | \$ 259,342 | 112 | \$ 2,316 | \$ 287,531 | \$ 350,089 |

The increases in the residential land and nonowner-occupied single family categories during the second quarter of 2008 represent disbursements under existing lending commitments and do not represent new loan originations.

Edgar Filing: PACWEST BANCORP - Form 10-Q

The types of loans included in the nonaccrual category and accruing loans past due between 30 and 89 days as of June 30, 2008 and March 31, 2008 follow. All nonaccrual loans are considered impaired and are evaluated individually for loss exposure. At June 30, 2008, approximately \$5.0 million of the allowance for credit losses was allocated to nonaccrual loans.

| Loan category | Nonaccrual Loans | | Accruing and 30 Days Past Due | |
|--------------------------|---|----------|-------------------------------|----------|
| | 6/30/08 | 3/31/08 | 6/30/08 | 3/31/08 |
| | Balance as of (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| SBA 504 | \$ 2,493 | \$ 2,493 | \$ 1,898 | \$ 2,540 |
| SBA 7(a) and Express | 13,006 | 11,011 | 551 | 1,913 |
| Residential construction | 10,762 | 7,857 | | 6,715 |
| Commercial real estate | 25,322 | 6,722 | 2,309 | 4,411 |
| Commercial construction | 6,228 | | | |
| Commercial | 2,966 | 3,213 | 2,821 | 6,089 |
| Commercial land | 1,519 | | | |
| Residential other | 284 | 295 | 1,045 | 1,299 |
| Residential land | 518 | 220 | 1,058 | 518 |
| Other, including foreign | 1,018 | 144 | 3,657 | 841 |
| | \$64,116 | \$31,955 | \$13,339 | \$24,326 |

Included in the nonaccrual loans at the end of June are \$15.5 million of SBA related loans representing 24% of total nonaccrual loans at that date. The SBA 504 loans are secured by first trust deeds on owner-occupied business real estate with loan-to-value ratios of generally 50% or less at the time of origination. The 7(a) loans are secured by borrowers' real estate and/or business assets and are covered by a guarantee of the Small Business Administration of up to 85% of the amount of the individual loans. The SBA guaranteed portion on the 7(a) and Express loans shown above is \$10.8 million. The increases in the commercial real estate and commercial construction categories are due mostly to five loans totaling \$19.8 million. These five loans were underwritten with separate first and second trust deeds with the expectation that the SBA would pay-off our second trust deed by issuing a subordinated debenture. These loans were, however, placed on nonaccrual status prior to the pay-off of the second trust deeds by the SBA and are now reported as secured commercial real estate or commercial construction loans.

Loans on accrual status, but past due between 30 days and 89 days, which we refer to as "accruing and over 30 days past due," decreased \$11.0 million to \$13.3 million at June 30, 2008, from \$24.3 million at March 31, 2008. Past due loans have the potential to become nonaccrual or adversely classified. Increases in nonaccrual and adversely classified loans generally result in increased provisions for loan losses. While the category of loans accruing and over 30 days past due decreased during the second quarter of 2008, no assurance can be given that such trend will continue.

Nonperforming assets include nonaccrual loans and other real estate owned (OREO) and totaled \$74.0 million at the end of June compared to \$38.0 million at the end of March. OREO totaled \$9.9 million at the end of June compared to \$6.1 million at the end of March. The increase in OREO is due mostly to foreclosure on a condominium project in San Diego. The ratio of nonperforming assets to loans and OREO was 1.89% at June 30, 2008 compared to 0.96% at March 31, 2008.

Edgar Filing: PACWEST BANCORP - Form 10-Q

A summary of the components of OREO by property type follows at the dates indicated:

| Property Type | Balance as of | |
|---------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|
| | June 30, 2008 | March 31, 2008 |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | |
| Improved residential land | \$ 3,156 | \$ 3,156 |
| Commercial real estate | 1,024 | |
| Residential real estate | 5,706 | 2,899 |
| Total | \$ 9,886 | \$ 6,055 |

The following table presents historical credit quality information as of the dates indicated:

| | Quarter Ended June 30, 2008 | As of or for the Year Ended December 31, 2007 | Quarter Ended June 30, 2007 |
|--|--------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|
| | | (Dollars in thousands) | |
| ALLOWANCE FOR CREDIT LOSSES: | | | |
| Allowance for loan losses | \$ 59,777 | \$ 52,557 | \$ 52,431 |
| Reserve for unfunded loan commitments | 7,671 | 8,471 | 8,271 |
| Allowance for credit losses | \$ 67,448 | \$ 61,028 | \$ 60,702 |
| NONPERFORMING ASSETS: | | | |
| Nonaccrual loans | \$ 64,116 | \$ 22,473 | \$ 24,796 |
| Other real estate owned | 9,886 | 2,736 | |
| Total nonperforming assets | \$ 74,002 | \$ 25,209 | \$ 24,796 |
| Allowance for credit losses to loans, net of unearned income | 1.73% | 1.55% | 1.58% |
| Allowance for credit losses to nonaccrual loans | 105.2% | 271.6% | 244.8% |
| Allowance for credit losses to nonperforming assets | 91.1% | 242.1% | 244.8% |

Deposits. The following table presents the balance of each major category of deposits at the dates indicated:

| | At June 30, 2008 | | At March 31, 2008 | | At December 31, 2007 | |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------|----------------------|---------------|
| | Amount | % of total | Amount | % of total | Amount | % of total |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | |
| Noninterest-bearing | \$ 1,239,098 | 39% | \$ 1,277,302 | 38% | \$ 1,211,946 | 37% |
| Interest-bearing: | | | | | | |
| Interest checking | 355,754 | 11 | 373,145 | 11 | 366,191 | 11 |
| Money market accounts | 1,050,726 | 33 | 1,165,337 | 36 | 1,135,307 | 36 |
| Savings | 100,422 | 3 | 100,505 | 3 | 108,223 | 3 |
| Time deposits under \$100,000 | 207,621 | 7 | 136,476 | 4 | 138,750 | 4 |
| Time deposits over \$100,000 | 238,592 | 7 | 266,379 | 8 | 284,729 | 9 |
| Total interest-bearing | 1,953,115 | 61 | 2,041,842 | 62 | 2,033,200 | 63 |
| Total deposits | \$ 3,192,213 | 100% | \$ 3,319,144 | 100% | \$ 3,245,146 | 100% |

Edgar Filing: PACWEST BANCORP - Form 10-Q

The second quarter decline in deposits is centered in lower money market balances. We believe this decline results from customers' use of deposit balances to make April tax payments. We continue to attract money to our checking products, which have generated \$45.5 million in new deposits during 2008 of which \$24.3 million of such deposits was generated in the second quarter. We also offer a sweep product, which represents \$100.2 million of our money market balances at June 30, 2008. Deposits by foreign customers, primarily located in Mexico and Canada, totaled \$116.5 million, or approximately 3.6% of total deposits at June 30, 2008. Brokered deposits totaled \$88.3 million at June 30, 2008 and are included in the "Time deposits under \$100,000" category in the above table. Such brokered deposits include \$17.7 million of customer deposits that were subsequently participated with other FDIC insured financial institutions as a means to provide FDIC deposit insurance coverage for the full amount of our customers' deposits.

Regulatory Matters

The regulatory capital guidelines as well as the actual capital ratios for Pacific Western and the Company as of June 30, 2008, are as follows:

| | Minimum Regulatory Requirements | | Actual |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|
| | Well Capitalized | Pacific Western | Company Consolidated |
| Tier 1 leverage capital ratio | 5.00% | 9.17% | 9.77% |
| Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio | 6.00% | 10.22% | 10.89% |
| Total risk-based capital | 10.00% | 11.47% | 12.14% |

The Company issued subordinated debentures to trusts that were established by us or entities we have acquired, which, in turn, issued trust preferred securities, which totaled \$123.0 million at June 30, 2008. Our trust preferred securities are currently included in our Tier I capital for purposes of determining the Company's Tier I and total risk-based capital ratios. The FRB, which is the holding company's banking regulator, has promulgated a modification of the capital regulations affecting trust preferred securities. Under this modification, effective March 31, 2009, the Company will be required to use a more restrictive formula to determine the amount of trust preferred securities that can be included in regulatory Tier I capital. At that time, the Company will be allowed to include in Tier I capital an amount of trust preferred securities equal to no more than 25% of the sum of all core capital elements, which is generally defined as shareholders' equity, less goodwill net of any related deferred income tax liability. The regulations currently in effect through December 31, 2008 limit the amount of trust preferred securities that can be included in Tier I capital to 25% of the sum of core capital elements without a deduction for goodwill. We have determined that our Tier I capital ratios would remain above the well-capitalized level had the modification of the capital regulations been in effect at June 30, 2008. We expect that our Tier I capital ratios will be at or above the existing well capitalized levels on March 31, 2009, the first date on which the modified capital regulations must be applied.

Reincorporation in Delaware and change of name to PacWest Bancorp from First Community Bancorp

On May 14, 2008, Company changed its state of incorporation from California to Delaware and changed its name to PacWest Bancorp from First Community Bancorp. The reincorporation was effected through a merger with and into PacWest Bancorp, a Delaware corporation and wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company established for such purpose. The merger agreement relating to the reincorporation was approved by the requisite vote of shareholders at the Company's Special Meeting of Shareholders on April 23, 2008. In connection with the reincorporation and name change, the Company also changed its ticker symbol on the NASDAQ Global Select Market to "PACW." Other

than the name change, change in ticker symbol and change in corporate domicile, the reincorporation did not result in any change in the business, physical location, management, assets, liabilities or net worth of the Company, nor did it result in any change in location of the Company's employees, including the Company's management.

The reincorporation did not alter any shareholder's percentage ownership interest, or number of shares owned, in the Company. Shareholders are not required to undertake any exchange of the Company's shares, as shares in the California company are deemed to represent an equal number of shares in the Delaware company.

Liquidity Management

Liquidity. The goals of our liquidity management are to ensure the ability of the Company to meet its financial commitments when contractually due and to respond to other demands for funds such as the ability to meet the cash flow requirements of customers who may be either depositors wanting to withdraw funds or borrowers who may need assurance that sufficient funds will be available to meet their credit needs. We have an Executive Asset/Liability Management Committee, or Executive ALM Committee, which is comprised of members of senior management and responsible for managing balance sheet and off-balance sheet commitments to meet the needs of customers while achieving our financial objectives. Our Executive ALM Committee meets regularly to review funding capacities, current and forecasted loan demand, and investment opportunities.

Historically, the Bank's primary liquidity source has been its core deposit base. Over the last several years the Bank's reliance on collateralized FHLB advances has increased as one of its sources of affordable and immediately available liquidity. The level of such wholesale funding is monitored based on the Bank's liquidity requirements, and we maintain what we believe to be an acceptable level of this collateralized borrowing capacity available at all times. The Bank's secured borrowing capacity was \$957.0 million, of which \$353.3 million was available as of June 30, 2008. In addition to the secured borrowing relationship with the FHLB, to meet short term liquidity needs the Bank maintains adequate balances in liquid assets, which include cash and due from banks, Federal Funds sold, interest-bearing deposits in other financial institutions, and unpledged investment securities available-for-sale. At June 30, 2008, liquid assets as a percent of total deposits were 4.0% and when available secured borrowings are included this ratio increases to 15.1%. Additionally, the Bank maintains unsecured lines of credit of \$165.0 million with correspondent banks for purchase of overnight funds. These lines are subject to availability of funds. Another source of liquidity is the holding company's revolving line of credit for \$35.0 million. There were no amounts outstanding under the revolving credit agreement as of June 30, 2008 or at the date hereof. We reduced the line amount during the first quarter of 2008 to avoid incurring additional fees on the unused portion of the credit line.

The recent disruption in the financial credit and liquidity markets has had the effect of decreasing the overall liquidity in the marketplace. Competition from financial institutions seeking to maintain adequate liquidity has placed upward pressure on the rates paid for customer deposits. We have lowered the rates paid on certain deposit accounts as market interest rates have declined, consistent with our disciplined deposit pricing strategy; this action, however, has resulted in deposit outflows. We have augmented our funding needs with our collateralized FHLB borrowings. In order to maintain sufficient levels of liquidity given the need to fund loan growth and manage deposit flows, the Bank may also use the secondary market for large denomination time deposits, the availability of which is uncertain and subject to competitive market forces. The Bank has \$70.6 million of these large denomination time deposits at June 30, 2008.

The primary sources of liquidity for the Company, on a stand-alone basis, include the dividends from the Bank and our ability to raise capital, issue subordinated debt and secure outside borrowings. The ability of the Company to obtain funds for the payment of dividends to our shareholders and for

other cash requirements is largely dependent upon the Bank's earnings. Pacific Western is subject to restrictions under certain federal and state laws and regulations which limit its ability to transfer funds to the Company through intercompany loans, advances or cash dividends. Dividends paid by state banks, such as Pacific Western, are regulated by the DFI under its general supervisory authority as it relates to a bank's capital requirements. A state bank may declare a dividend without the approval of the DFI as long as the total dividends declared in a calendar year do not exceed either the retained earnings or the total of net profits for three previous fiscal years less any dividends paid during such period. During the first quarter of 2008, PacWest received dividends of \$106.0 million from the Bank. Further dividends from the Bank to the Company require DFI approval.

At June 30, 2008, the Company had, on a stand-alone basis, approximately \$29.6 million in cash on deposit at the Bank. Management believes this amount of cash is sufficient to cover the Company's operating expenses and expected cash dividends on common stock for the remainder of 2008.

On May 16, 2005, we filed a registration statement with the SEC regarding the sale of up to 3,400,000 shares of our common stock, no par value per share, which we may offer and sell, from time to time, in amounts, at prices and on terms that we will determine at the time of any particular offering. To date, we have issued 2,935,766 shares of common stock under this registration statement for net proceeds of \$158.5 million.

Contractual Obligations. The known contractual obligations of the Company at June 30, 2008, are as follows:

| | At June 30, 2008 and Due | | | | Total |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|
| | Within One Year | One to Three Years | Three to Five Years | After Five Years | |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| Short-term debt obligations | \$ 151,300 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 151,300 |
| Brokered deposits | 70,563 | | | | 70,563 |
| Long-term debt obligations | | 175,000 | 50,000 | 355,107 | 580,107 |
| Operating lease obligations | 12,543 | 22,655 | 15,359 | 24,237 | 74,794 |
| Other contractual obligations | 3,514 | 6,933 | | | 10,447 |
| Total | \$ 237,920 | \$ 204,588 | \$ 65,359 | \$ 379,344 | \$ 887,211 |

The amount of brokered deposits included in the contractual obligations table are wholesale broker deposits only. Such amount does not include \$17.7 million of customer deposits that were subsequently participated with other FDIC insured financial institutions as a means to provide FDIC deposit insurance coverage for the full amount of our customers' deposits.

Debt obligations are discussed in Note 7 of Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements contained in "Item 1. Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements." Operating lease obligations are discussed in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007. The other contractual obligations relate to the minimum liability associated with our data and item processing contract with a third-party provider.

We believe that we will be able to meet our contractual obligations as they come due through the maintenance of adequate cash levels. We expect to maintain adequate cash levels through profitability, loan and securities repayment and maturity activity, and continued deposit gathering activities. We believe we have in place sufficient borrowing mechanisms for short-term liquidity needs.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

Our obligations also include off-balance sheet arrangements consisting of loan-related commitments, of which only a portion are expected to be funded. At June 30, 2008, our loan-related commitments, including standby letters of credit and financial guarantees, totaled \$1.2 billion. The commitments which result in a funded loan increase our profitability through net interest income. Therefore, during the year, we manage our overall liquidity taking into consideration funded and unfunded commitments as a percentage of our liquidity sources. Our liquidity sources have been and are expected to be sufficient to meet the cash requirements of our lending activities.

Asset/Liability Management and Interest Rate Sensitivity

Interest Rate Risk. Our market risk arises primarily from credit risk and interest rate risk inherent in our lending and deposit gathering activities. To manage our credit risk, we rely on adherence to our underwriting standards and loan policies as well as our allowance for credit losses methodology. To manage our exposure to changes in interest rates, we perform asset and liability management activities which are governed by guidelines pre-established by our Executive ALM Committee and approved by our Board of Directors' Asset/Liability Management Committee ("Board ALCO"). Our Executive ALM Committee and Board ALCO monitor our compliance with our asset/liability policies. These policies focus on providing sufficient levels of net interest income while considering acceptable levels of interest rate exposure as well as liquidity and capital constraints.

Market risk sensitive instruments are generally defined as derivatives and other financial instruments, which include investment securities, loans, deposits, and borrowings. At June 30, 2008, we had not used any derivatives to alter our interest rate risk profile or for any other reason. However, both the repricing characteristics of our fixed rate loans and floating rate loans, as well as our significant percentage of noninterest-bearing deposits compared to interest-earning assets, may influence our interest rate risk profile. Our financial instruments include loans receivable, Federal funds sold, interest-bearing deposits in financial institutions, Federal Home Loan Bank stock, investment securities, deposits, borrowings, and subordinated debentures.

We measure our interest rate risk position on a monthly basis using three methods: (i) net interest income simulation analysis; (ii) market value of equity modeling; and (iii) traditional gap analysis. The results of these analyses are reviewed by the Executive ALM Committee monthly and the Board ALCO quarterly. If hypothetical changes to interest rates cause changes to our simulated net present value of equity and/or net interest income outside our pre-established limits, we may adjust our asset and liability mix in an effort to bring our interest rate risk exposure within our established limits. We evaluated the results of our net interest income simulation and market value of equity models prepared as of June 30, 2008. These simulation models suggest our balance sheet is asset-sensitive over a one year time horizon and liability sensitive over a longer time horizon. This profile suggests that in a rising interest rate environment, our net interest margin would initially increase and then gradually decrease over time; and during a falling or sustained low interest rate environment, our net interest margin would decrease initially and then gradually increase over time. This fairly neutral profile is due to the assumed repricing characteristics of our loans, deposits and borrowings. Approximately 27% of our loan portfolio is eligible to reprice immediately and another 18% of our loan portfolio has a variable interest rate that is currently at its floor. We anticipate immediately changing the rates on approximately 14% of our total deposits and borrowings as interest rates change; and we anticipate fully repricing a majority of the remaining interest bearing deposits and borrowings within the 1 to 2 year time horizon, subject to the embedded optionality in our FHLB puttable advances. As more assets than liabilities are expected to reprice immediately as interest rates change, the Company is asset sensitive in the near term. After the one year time horizon cumulatively more liabilities than assets are expected to have repriced which suggests a gradual shift to a liability sensitive profile thereafter.

Edgar Filing: PACWEST BANCORP - Form 10-Q

Net interest income simulation. We used a simulation model to measure the estimated changes in net interest income that would result over the next 12 months from immediate and sustained changes in interest rates as of June 30, 2008. This model is an interest rate risk management tool and the results are not necessarily an indication of our future net interest income. This model has inherent limitations and these results are based on a given set of rate changes and assumptions at one point in time. We have assumed no growth in either our interest-sensitive assets or liabilities over the next 12 months; therefore, the results reflect an interest rate shock to a static balance sheet.

This analysis calculates the difference between net interest income forecasted using both increasing and declining interest rate scenarios and net interest income forecasted using a base market interest rate derived from the current treasury yield curve. In order to arrive at the base case, we extend our balance sheet at June 30, 2008 one year and reprice any assets and liabilities that would contractually reprice or mature during that period using the products' pricing as of June 30, 2008. Based on such repricings, we calculated an estimated net interest income and net interest margin. The effects of certain balance sheet attributes, such as fixed-rate loans, floating rate loans that have reached their floors and the volume of noninterest-bearing deposits as a percentage of earning assets, impact our assumptions and consequently the results of our interest rate risk management model. Changes that may vary significantly from our assumptions include loan and deposit growth or contraction, changes in the mix of our earning assets or funding sources, and future asset/liability management decisions, all of which may have significant effects on our net interest income.

The net interest income simulation model includes various assumptions regarding the repricing relationship for each of our assets and liabilities. Many of our assets are floating rate loans, which are assumed to reprice immediately and to the same extent as the change in market rates according to their contracted index. Some loans and investment vehicles include the opportunity of prepayment (imbedded options) and the simulation model uses national indexes to estimate these prepayments and reinvest the proceeds there from at current simulated yields. Our deposit products reprice at our discretion and are assumed to reprice more slowly, usually repricing less than the change in market rates. Also, a callable option feature on certain borrowings will reprice differently in a rising interest rate environment than in a declining interest rate environment.

The simulation analysis does not account for all factors that impact this analysis, including changes by management to mitigate the impact of interest rate changes or the impact a change in interest rates may have on our credit risk profile, loan prepayment estimates and spread relationships which can change regularly. Interest rate changes cause changes in actual loan prepayment rates which will differ from the market estimates we used in this analysis. In addition, the simulation analysis does not make any assumptions regarding loan fee income, which is a component of our net interest income and tends to increase our net interest margin. Management reviews the model assumptions for reasonableness on a quarterly basis.

The following table presents as of June 30, 2008, forecasted net interest income and net interest margin for the next 12 months using a base case and the estimated change to the base scenario given

Edgar Filing: PACWEST BANCORP - Form 10-Q

immediate and sustained upward and downward movements in interest rates of 100, 200 and 300 basis points.

| Interest rate scenario | Estimated Net Interest Income | Percentage Change From Base | Estimated Net Interest Margin | Estimated Net Interest Margin Change From Base |
|------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| Up 300 basis points | \$ 216,448 | 2.9% | 5.33% | 0.15% |
| Up 200 basis points | \$ 213,712 | 1.6% | 5.26% | 0.08% |
| Up 100 basis points | \$ 212,689 | 1.1% | 5.24% | 0.06% |
| BASE CASE | \$ 210,346 | | 5.18% | |
| Down 100 basis points | \$ 208,435 | (0.9)% | 5.13% | (0.05)% |
| Down 200 basis points | \$ 203,870 | (3.1)% | 5.02% | (0.16)% |
| Down 300 basis points | \$ 196,501 | (6.6)% | 4.84% | (0.34)% |

Our simulation results as of June 30, 2008 indicate our interest rate risk position was asset sensitive as the simulated impact of an immediate upward movement in interest rates would result in increases in net interest income over the subsequent 12 month period while an immediate downward movement in interest rates would result in a decrease in net interest income over the next 12 months. In comparing the June 30, 2008, simulation results to March 31, 2008, we have become less asset sensitive. The decrease in our asset sensitivity is mostly a result of an increase of overnight borrowings from the FHLB.

Market value of equity. We measure the impact of market interest rate changes on the net present value of estimated cash flows from our assets, liabilities and off-balance sheet items, defined as the market value of equity, using a simulation model. This simulation model assesses the changes in the market value of our interest-sensitive financial instruments that would occur in response to an instantaneous and sustained increase or decrease in market interest rates of 100, 200 and 300 basis points. This analysis assigns significant value to our noninterest-bearing deposit balances. The projections are by their nature forward-looking and therefore inherently uncertain, and include various assumptions regarding cash flows and interest rates. This model is an interest rate risk management tool and the results are not necessarily an indication of our actual future results. Actual results may vary significantly from the results suggested by the market value of equity table. Loan prepayments and deposit attrition, changes in the mix of our earning assets or funding sources, and future asset/liability management decisions, among others, may vary significantly from our assumptions.

The base case is determined by applying various current market discount rates to the estimated cash flows from the different types of assets, liabilities and off-balance sheet items existing at June 30, 2008. The following table shows the projected change in the market value of equity for the set of rate shocks presented as of June 30, 2008:

| Interest rate scenario | Estimated Market Value | Percentage Change From Base | Percentage of total assets | Ratio of Estimated Market Value to Book Value |
|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|---|
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| Up 300 basis points | \$ 587,006 | (10.7)% | 13.5% | 156.6% |
| Up 200 basis points | \$ 612,767 | (6.8)% | 14.1% | 163.5% |
| Up 100 basis points | \$ 637,202 | (3.1)% | 14.7% | 170.0% |
| BASE CASE | \$ 657,560 | | 15.1% | 175.5% |
| Down 100 basis points | \$ 679,140 | 3.3% | 15.6% | 181.2% |
| Down 200 basis points | \$ 690,135 | 5.0% | 15.9% | 184.2% |
| Down 300 basis points | \$ 701,509 | 6.7% | 16.2% | 187.2% |

Edgar Filing: PACWEST BANCORP - Form 10-Q

The results of our market value of equity model indicate a liability sensitive profile as the simulated impact of an immediate upward movement in interest rates would result in a decline in the estimated market value of equity while an immediate downward movement in interest rates would result in an increase in the estimated market value of equity. The June 30, 2008 simulation results, as compared to March 31, 2008, indicate increased liability sensitivity and volatility as a result of the decline in the book value of equity due to the goodwill write-off in the second quarter of 2008. As a result of the decline in the book value of equity, changes in the fair value of the remaining assets and liabilities have a greater impact on the overall change in the estimated market value of equity.

Gap analysis. As part of the interest rate management process, we use a gap analysis. A gap analysis provides information about the volume and repricing characteristics and relationship between the amounts of interest-sensitive assets and interest-bearing liabilities at a particular point in time. An effective interest rate strategy attempts to match the volume of interest sensitive assets and interest bearing liabilities repricing over different time intervals. The following table illustrates the volume and repricing characteristics of our balance sheet at June 30, 2008 over the indicated time intervals:

| At June 30, 2008 | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| Amounts Maturing or Repricing In | | | | | | |
| | 3 Months Or Less | Over 3 Months to 12 Months | Over 1 Year to 5 Years | Over 5 Years | Non- sensitive ⁽¹⁾ | Total |
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | | |
| ASSETS | | | | | | |
| Cash and deposits in financial institutions | \$ 253 | | | | \$ 117,862 | \$ 118,115 |
| Federal funds sold | 9,000 | | | | | 9,000 |
| Investment securities | 43,779 | 4,378 | 19,082 | 76,165 | | 143,404 |
| Loans, net of unearned income | 1,717,541 | 211,287 | 932,942 | 1,043,286 | | 3,905,056 |
| Other assets | | | | | 167,749 | 167,749 |
| Total assets | \$ 1,770,573 | \$ 215,665 | \$ 952,024 | \$ 1,119,451 | \$ 285,611 | \$ 4,343,324 |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY | | | | | | |
| Noninterest-bearing demand deposits | | | | | \$ 1,239,098 | \$ 1,239,098 |
| Interest-bearing demand, money market and savings | 1,506,902 | | | | | 1,506,902 |
| Time deposits | 180,851 | 237,100 | 28,262 | | | 446,213 |
| Borrowings ⁽²⁾ | 106,300 | 45,000 | 225,000 | 225,000 | | 601,300 |
| Subordinated debentures | 87,631 | | 20,619 | 18,558 | 3,299 | 130,107 |
| Other liabilities | | | | | 44,960 | 44,960 |
| Shareholders' equity | | | | | 374,744 | 374,744 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$ 1,881,684 | \$ 282,100 | \$ 273,881 | \$ 243,558 | \$ 1,662,101 | \$ 4,343,324 |
| Period gap | \$ (111,111) | \$ (66,435) | \$ 678,143 | \$ 875,893 | \$ (1,376,490) | |
| Cumulative interest-earning assets | \$ 1,770,573 | \$ 1,986,238 | \$ 2,938,262 | \$ 4,057,713 | | |
| Cumulative interest-bearing liabilities | \$ 1,881,684 | \$ 2,163,784 | \$ 2,437,665 | \$ 2,681,223 | | |
| Cumulative gap | \$ (111,111) | \$ (177,546) | \$ 500,597 | \$ 1,376,490 | | |
| Cumulative interest-earning assets to cumulative interest-bearing liabilities | 94.1% | 91.8% | 120.5% | 151.3% | | |
| Cumulative gap as a percent of: | | | | | | |
| Total assets | (2.6)% | (4.1)% | 11.5% | 31.7% | | |
| Interest earning assets | (2.7)% | (4.4)% | 12.3% | 33.9% | | |

(1) Assets or liabilities which do not have a stated interest rate.

(2) Puttable FHLB advances are shown based on their contractual maturities.

Edgar Filing: PACWEST BANCORP - Form 10-Q

All amounts are reported at their contractual maturity or repricing periods. This analysis makes certain assumptions as to interest rate sensitivity of savings and interest-bearing checking accounts which have no stated maturity and have had very little price fluctuation in the recent past. Money market accounts are repriced at management's discretion and generally are more rate sensitive.

Edgar Filing: PACWEST BANCORP - Form 10-Q

The preceding table indicates that we had a negative one year cumulative gap of \$177.5 million at June 30, 2008, an increase of \$6.7 million from the negative gap position of \$170.8 million at March 31, 2008. The increase in the negative gap is the result of increased overnight borrowings. This gap position suggests that we are liability sensitive and if rates were to increase, our net interest margin would most likely decrease. Conversely, if rates were to decrease, our net interest margin would most likely increase. The ratio of interest-earning assets to interest-bearing liabilities maturing or repricing within one year at June 30, 2008 is 91.8%. This one year gap position indicates that interest expense is likely to be affected to a greater extent than interest income for any changes in interest rates within one year from June 30, 2008.

The Bank entered into two fixed rate term advances with FHLB totaling \$300 million during the fourth quarter of 2007 and three fixed rate term advances with the FHLB totaling \$150 million during the first quarter of 2008. These advances may be called by the FHLB on various call dates as detailed in Note 7 of Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements contained in "Item 1. Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements." While the FHLB may call the advances to be repaid for any reason, they are likely to be called if market interest rates are higher than the advances' stated rates on the call dates. If the advances are called by the FHLB, there is no prepayment penalty. We may repay the advances with a prepayment penalty at any time.

The gap table has inherent limitations and actual results may vary significantly from the results suggested by the gap table. The gap table assumes a static balance sheet, as does the net interest income simulation, and, accordingly, looks at the repricing of existing assets and liabilities without consideration of new loans and deposits that reflect a more current interest rate environment. Unlike the net interest income simulation, however, the interest rate risk profile of certain deposit products and floating rate loans that have reached their floors cannot be captured effectively in a gap table. Although the table shows the amount of certain assets and liabilities scheduled to reprice in a given time frame, it does not reflect when or to what extent such repricings may actually occur. For example, interest-bearing demand, money market and savings deposits are shown to reprice in the first three months, but we may choose to reprice these deposits more slowly and incorporate only a portion of the movement in market rates based on market conditions at that time. Alternatively, a loan which has reached its floor may not reprice despite a change in market interest rates causing such loan to act like a fixed rate loan regardless of its scheduled repricing date. For example, a loan already at its floor would not reprice if the adjusted rate was less than its floor. The gap table as presented is not able to factor in the flexibility we believe we have in repricing either deposits or the floors on our loans.

We believe the estimated effect of a change in interest rates is better reflected in our net interest income and market value of equity simulations which incorporate many of the factors mentioned.

ITEM 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure about Market Risk

Please see the section above titled "Asset/Liability Management and Interest Rate Sensitivity" in "Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" which provides an update to our quantitative and qualitative disclosure about market risk. This analysis should be read in conjunction with text under the caption "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007, which text is incorporated herein by reference. Our analysis of market risk and market-sensitive financial information contains forward-looking statements and is subject to the disclosure at the beginning of Item 2 regarding such forward-looking information.

ITEM 4. Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this report, an evaluation was carried out by the Company's management, with the participation of the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial

Officer, of the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934). Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report, these disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

There have been no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) during our most recent fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. Legal Proceedings

This disclosure amends and updates our previous disclosure regarding Gilbert et. al v. Cohn et al, Case No. BC310846 currently pending in the Los Angeles Superior Court (the "Gilbert Litigation"), as previously reported in Item 3 to Part I of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007.

At a hearing on June 23, 2008 the Los Angeles Superior Court stated that it approved the Stipulation of Settlement dated February 9, 2007 (the "Settlement"), and the court subsequently executed a Final Judgment and Order of Dismissal regarding the claims against the Company and First Charter's former officer. The Settlement will become effective once the time for appeal expires without an appeal being taken or once any appeal is resolved in favor of approval of the settlement. Assuming the Settlement becomes effective, the Company's contribution to the Settlement will be \$775,000, which was fully accrued in 2005.

While we believe that this Settlement, if finalized, will end our exposure to the underlying claims in the Gilbert Litigation, we cannot be certain that all conditions to effectiveness of the Settlement will be satisfied.

See also Note 8 of the Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in Part I of this report for additional discussion of legal proceedings, which information is incorporated herein by reference.

In the ordinary course of our business, we are party to various other legal actions, which we believe are incidental to the operation of our business. Although the ultimate outcome and amount of liability, if any, with respect to these other legal actions to which we are currently a party cannot presently be ascertained with certainty, in the opinion of management, based upon information currently available to us, any resulting liability is not likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

ITEM 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes with respect to the risk factors described in Item 1A. to Part I of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007, which Item 1A. is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

(c) Issuer Repurchases of Common Stock

Through the Company's Directors Deferred Compensation Plan, or the DDCP, participants in the plan may reinvest deferred amounts in the Company's common stock. The Company has the discretion whether to track purchases of common stock as if made, or to fully fund the DDCP via purchases of stock with deferred amounts. Purchases of Company common stock by the rabbi trust of the DDCP are

Edgar Filing: PACWEST BANCORP - Form 10-Q

considered repurchases of common stock by the Company since the rabbi trust is an asset of the Company. Actual purchases of Company common stock via the DDCP are made through open market purchases pursuant to the terms of the DDCP, which includes a predetermined formula and schedule for the purchase of such stock in accordance with Rule 10b5-1 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Pursuant to the terms of the DDCP, generally purchases are actually made or deemed to be made in the open market on the 15th of the month (or the next trading day) following the day on which deferred amounts are contributed to the DDCP, beginning March 15 of each year.

The following table presents stock purchases made during the second quarter of 2008 under our publicly announced share repurchase program and purchases made by the DDCP:

| | | Publicly Announced Share Repurchase Programs | | | Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased |
|--------------|----------------|---|--|---------------------------------------|---|
| | | Total Shares Purchased | Average Price Per Share | Total Shares Purchased | Average Price Per Share |
| April 1 | April 30, 2008 | | \$ 0.00 | | \$ 0.00 |
| May 1 | May 31, 2008 | | \$ 0.00 | | \$ 0.00 |
| June 1 | June 30, 2008 | 10,598 | \$ 17.90 | | \$ 0.00 |
| Total | | 10,598 | \$ 17.90 | | \$ 36,247,000 |

ITEM 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

I. (a) The Company held a Special Meeting of Shareholders on April 23, 2008.

(c) At the Special Meeting, shareholders voted to approve the reincorporation of the Company from California to Delaware. The results of the voting were as follows:

| Matter | Votes For | Votes Against | Abstentions | Broker Non-Votes |
|---|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| To approve the reincorporation of the Company from California to Delaware | 18,423,590 | 120,343 | 29,566 | |

Edgar Filing: PACWEST BANCORP - Form 10-Q

II. (a) The Company held its Annual Meeting of Shareholders on May 13, 2008.

(c) At the Annual Meeting, shareholders voted on the election of the Company's directors. All nominees for director were elected. The results of the voting were as follows:

| Matter | Votes For | Withheld |
|-------------------------------|------------|-----------|
| Election of Directors: | | |
| Mark N. Baker | 24,013,034 | 369,261 |
| Gary W. Deems | 24,206,950 | 175,345 |
| Stephen M. Dunn | 24,131,172 | 251,123 |
| John M. Eggemeyer | 23,639,886 | 742,409 |
| Barry C. Fitzpatrick | 24,115,233 | 267,062 |
| George E. Langley | 24,254,299 | 127,996 |
| Susan E. Lester | 24,139,228 | 243,067 |
| Timothy B. Matz | 23,363,907 | 1,018,388 |
| Arnold W. Messer | 24,137,265 | 245,030 |
| Daniel B. Platt | 24,043,262 | 339,033 |
| Robert A. Stine | 24,049,595 | 332,700 |
| Matthew P. Wagner | 24,245,141 | 137,154 |
| David S. Williams | 23,929,238 | 453,057 |

ITEM 6. Exhibits

| Exhibit Number | Description |
|----------------|---|
| 3.1 | Certificate of Incorporation, as amended, of PacWest Bancorp, a Delaware corporation (Exhibit 3.1 to Form 8-K filed on May 14, 2008 and incorporated herein by this reference). |
| 3.2 | Bylaws of PacWest Bancorp, a Delaware corporation, dated April 22, 2008 (Exhibit 3.2 to Form 8-K filed on May 14, 2008 and incorporated herein by this reference). |
| 31.1 | Rule 13a-14(a) / 15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Executive Officer. |
| 31.2 | Rule 13a-14(a) / 15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Financial Officer. |
| 32.1 | Section 1350 Certification of Chief Executive Officer. |
| 32.2 | Section 1350 Certification of Chief Financial Officer. |

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

PACWEST BANCORP

DATE: AUGUST 7, 2008

/s/ VICTOR R. SANTORO

Victor R. Santoro
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
51

QuickLinks

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EARNINGS (LOSS)

UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

ITEM 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

ITEM 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure about Market Risk

ITEM 4. Controls and Procedures

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. Legal Proceedings

ITEM 1A. Risk Factors

ITEM 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

ITEM 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

ITEM 6. Exhibits

SIGNATURES