

AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC
Form 10-K
February 24, 2011

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010

Commission file number 1-8787

American International Group, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

13-2592361
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

180 Maiden Lane, New York, New York
(Address of principal executive offices)

10038
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code (212) 770-7000

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act: See Exhibit 99.02

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the voting and nonvoting common equity held by nonaffiliates of the registrant (based on the closing price of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter) was approximately \$4,397,000,000.

As of January 31, 2011, there were outstanding 1,795,503,716 shares of Common Stock, \$2.50 par value per share, of the registrant.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Document of the Registrant
Portions of the registrant's definitive proxy statement
for the 2011 Annual Meeting of Shareholders

Form 10-K Reference Locations
Part III, Items 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14

Table of Contents

(This page intentionally left blank)

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Table of Contents

| Index | Page |
|--|-------------------|
| <u>PART I</u> | |
| <u>Item 1.</u> <u>Business</u> | <u>4</u> |
| <u>Item 1A.</u> <u>Risk Factors</u> | <u>26</u> |
| <u>Item 1B.</u> <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u> | <u>39</u> |
| <u>Item 2.</u> <u>Properties</u> | <u>39</u> |
| <u>Item 3.</u> <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | <u>39</u> |
| <u>PART II</u> | |
| <u>Item 5.</u> <u>Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u> | <u>40</u> |
| <u>Item 6.</u> <u>Selected Financial Data</u> | <u>42</u> |
| <u>Item 7.</u> <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | <u>43</u> |
| <u>Item 7A.</u> <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u> | <u>194</u> |
| <u>Item 8.</u> <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u> | <u>195</u> |
| <u>Item 9.</u> <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u> | <u>375</u> |
| <u>Item 9A.</u> <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | <u>375</u> |
| <u>PART III</u> | |
| <u>Item 10.</u> <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u> | <u>377</u> |
| <u>Item 11.</u> <u>Executive Compensation</u> | <u>377</u> |
| <u>Item 12.</u> <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u> | <u>377</u> |
| <u>Item 13.</u> <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u> | <u>377</u> |
| <u>Item 14.</u> <u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u> | <u>377</u> |
| <u>PART IV</u> | |
| <u>Item 15.</u> <u>Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules</u> | <u>378</u> |
| <u>Signatures</u> | <u>379</u> |

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Part I

Item 1. Business

American International Group, Inc. (AIG) is a leading international insurance organization serving customers in more than 130 countries. AIG companies serve commercial, institutional and individual customers through one of the most extensive worldwide property-casualty networks of any insurer. In addition, AIG companies are leading providers of life insurance and retirement services in the United States. AIG Common Stock, par value \$2.50 per share (AIG Common Stock), is listed on the New York Stock Exchange, as well as the stock exchanges in Ireland and Tokyo.

Throughout this Annual Report on Form 10-K, the terms AIG, the Company, we, us and our are used to collectively refer to AIG, a Delaware corporation, and its consolidated subsidiaries. The term AIG Parent refers solely to American International Group, Inc., a Delaware corporation, and not to any of its consolidated subsidiaries.

In September 2008, liquidity issues resulted in AIG seeking and receiving governmental support through a credit facility from the Federal Reserve Bank of New York (the FRBNY, and such credit facility, the FRBNY Credit Facility) and funding from the United States Department of the Treasury (Department of the Treasury) through the Troubled Asset Relief Program (TARP). On January 14, 2011, AIG was recapitalized (the Recapitalization) and the FRBNY Credit Facility was repaid and terminated through a series of transactions that resulted in the Department of the Treasury becoming AIG's majority shareholder with ownership of approximately 92 percent of AIG's outstanding common stock. AIG understands that, subject to market conditions, the Department of the Treasury intends to dispose of its ownership interest over time, and AIG has granted certain registration rights to the Department of the Treasury to facilitate such sales. See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Capital Resources and Liquidity and Notes 1 and 26 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion of the governmental support provided to AIG and the Recapitalization.

AIG reports the results of its operations through the following three reportable segments:

Chartis AIG's property and casualty operations are conducted through multiple line companies writing substantially all commercial and consumer lines both domestically and abroad. Beginning in the third quarter of 2010, reporting includes the results of Fuji Fire & Marine Insurance Company Limited (Fuji), a recently consolidated business writing primarily consumer lines in Japan. These operations were rebranded under the Chartis brand in 2009.

SunAmerica Financial Group (SunAmerica) SunAmerica offers a comprehensive suite of products and services to individuals and groups, including term life, universal life, accident and health (A&H), fixed and variable deferred annuities, fixed payout annuities, mutual funds and financial planning. SunAmerica offers its products and services through a diverse, multi-channel distribution network that includes banks, national, regional and independent broker-dealers, affiliated financial advisors, independent marketing organizations, independent and career insurance agents, structured settlement brokers, benefit consultants and direct-to-consumer platforms. These operations were previously known as AIG Domestic Life Insurance & Retirement Services and were renamed SunAmerica in 2009.

Financial Services AIG's financial services businesses engage in commercial aircraft leasing through International Lease Finance Corporation (ILFC) and the remaining Capital Markets portfolios through AIG Financial Products Corp. and AIG Trading Group Inc. and their respective subsidiaries (collectively, AIGFP).

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

The principal business units in each of AIG's reportable segments at year-end 2010 are as follows.

| Chartis | SunAmerica |
|---|---|
| National Union Fire Insurance Company of Pittsburgh, Pa. (National Union) | American General Life Insurance Company (American General) |
| New Hampshire Insurance Company (New Hampshire) | American General Life and Accident Insurance Company (AGLA) |
| American Home Assurance Company (American Home) | The United States Life Insurance Company in the City of New York (USLIFE) |
| Lexington Insurance Company (Lexington) | The Variable Annuity Life Insurance Company (VALIC) |
| AIU Insurance Company (AIUI) | Western National Life Insurance Company (Western National) |
| Chartis Overseas, Ltd. | SunAmerica Annuity and Life Assurance Company (SunAmerica Annuity) |
| Fuji Fire & Marine Insurance Company Limited (Fuji) | |
| Chartis UK Holdings Limited (Chartis UK) | |
| Chartis Europe, S.A. (Chartis Europe) | |

Financial Services

International Lease Finance Corporation (ILFC)

AIG Financial Products Corp. and AIG Trading Group Inc. and their respective subsidiaries (AIGFP)

The following principal business units are not included in AIG's reportable segments because they consist of businesses and items not allocated to AIG's reportable segments or have been or are in the process of being divested:

| Other Operations, Including Divested Businesses | Discontinued Operations |
|--|---|
| Other operations: | American Life Insurance Company (ALICO) (sold in November 2010) |
| AIG Parent | AIG Star Life Insurance Co., Ltd. (AIG Star) (sold in February 2011) |
| United Guaranty Corporation (UGC) | AIG Edison Life Insurance Company (AIG Edison) (sold in February 2011) |
| American International Reinsurance Company Limited (AIRCO) | Nan Shan Life Insurance Company, Ltd. (Nan Shan) (expected to be sold in 2011) |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Institutional Asset Management:

American General Finance, Inc. (AGF)
(sold in November 2010)

AIG Global Asset Management Holdings Corp., AIG Markets, Inc., AIG Asset Management U.S., LLC (and, until their collective sale on March 26, 2010, PineBridge Capital Partners, LLC, PineBridge Global Investments LLC, and PineBridge Securities LLC,)

Direct Investment business:

AIG Global Real Estate Corp.

Divested businesses:

American International Assurance Company, Limited, together with American International Assurance Company (Bermuda) Limited, until their collective deconsolidation on October 29, 2010 as a result of the initial public offering of their parent holding company, AIA Group Limited (AIA)

For financial information concerning AIG's reportable segments, including geographic areas of operation, and changes made in 2010, see Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

The following charts present the sources of AIG's revenues for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009:

2010

2009

* *Includes consolidation and eliminations, but excludes discontinued operations.*

Additional information about AIG's operations follows:

Chartis Operations

Chartis is a major global property and casualty insurance franchise built over 90 years and serving more than 45 million clients. Chartis wrote \$31.6 billion in net premiums in 2010. Chartis is diversified both in terms of classes of businesses and geographic locations. Chartis U.S. writes commercial and consumer products throughout the U.S. and Canada. Chartis International writes commercial and consumer products outside the U.S. and Canada. For the year ended December 31, 2010, Chartis U.S. and Chartis International comprised approximately 55 percent and 45 percent, respectively, of the Chartis business, measured by net premiums written.

Chartis' combination of global reach and scale, extensive range of products and services, diversified, multi-channel distribution network and strong capital positions it to meet the demands of a broad range of customers worldwide.

Chartis is diversified both in terms of classes of business and geographic locations. During 2010, 6 percent and 5 percent of its direct premiums written (gross premiums less return premiums and cancellations, excluding insurance assumed and before deducting reinsurance ceded) were written in the states of California and New York, respectively, and 13 percent and 8 percent in Japan and the United Kingdom, respectively. No other state or foreign jurisdiction accounted for more than five percent of such premiums.

The composition of Chartis net premiums written in 2010 is as follows:

NPW by Region.

NPW by Line of Business

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Business Strategy

Chartis leverages its global knowledge and experience in the property and casualty markets by competing in selected commercial lines such as executive liability/ director's and officer's liability (D&O), large risk management programs and commercial property. In recent years, Chartis has repositioned its Commercial Lines business mix by increasingly complementing its portfolio of Fortune 1000 and multinational clients with small-and medium-sized enterprises. Its global geographic footprint and local presence enable Chartis to provide multinational customers with insurance programs across borders and continents.

Chartis also has a strong and growing consumer business. The consumer business underwrites lines such as Accident & Health, property, auto and liability for high-net-worth individuals, extended warranty and travel insurance products and services.

Chartis' scale and diverse product offerings allow it to pursue cross-selling opportunities among its businesses. For example, Chartis can provide primary casualty coverage for an account as part of its commercial casualty unit, underwrite that account's board of directors through its executive liability business and insure the personal needs of its management through its Private Client Group as part of its Consumer segment.

Client Approach

Chartis clients benefit from its substantial underwriting capacity, long-term commitment to the markets and clients it serves and tradition of product innovation and expertise. In 2010, Chartis introduced more than 200 products and services worldwide.

Capital Deployment

Chartis' scale and geographical diversification also allow the business to strategically deploy capital to pursue the more attractive long-term opportunities around the world. Chartis regularly reviews and adjusts its business mix with the goals of aligning risk profile with risk tolerance and meeting capital management objectives.

Chartis U.S.

The Chartis U.S. companies comprise the largest U.S.-domiciled commercial property and casualty group by 2010 net premiums written. Chartis U.S. distributes its products through independent retail and wholesale brokers, and writes business on both an admitted and surplus line basis. Chartis U.S. business in the United States and Canada is conducted through American Home, National Union, Lexington, the market leader in surplus lines, and certain other property-casualty insurance company subsidiaries of Chartis U.S. Inc.

Chartis U.S.'s business strategy focuses on growing high-margin, less capital intensive lines of business, including segments of consumer lines, specialty markets and its multinational business, while leveraging its distribution relationships, innovation, national footprint and extensive product offering.

Chartis U.S. commercial lines include:

Casualty: Includes general liability, commercial automobile liability, excess casualty and workers' compensation coverages. Also includes insurance and risk management programs for large corporate customers and other customized structured insurance products.

Property: Includes industrial and commercial property insurance products, which cover exposures to man-made and natural disasters.

Specialty: Includes aviation, marine and energy, environmental, kidnap-ransom, export credit and political risk coverages. It also offers various forms of professional liability insurance including D&O, fidelity, employment practices, fiduciary liability and errors and omissions coverages. Chartis U.S. also offers products and services to U.S.-based multinational clients doing business overseas and to foreign corporations doing business in the U.S. as part of its Worldsource business.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Chartis U.S. consumer insurance lines include:

Accident & Health: Includes voluntary and sponsor-paid accidental and supplemental health products, including accidental death and disability and medical excess for employees, associations and other organizations. It also includes a broad range of travel insurance products and services for leisure and business travelers, including trip cancellation, trip interruption, lost baggage, travel assistance and concierge services.

Personal: Includes insurance products and risk management services for high net worth individuals (Private Client Group) including homeowners, automobile, umbrella, yacht and fine art coverages, as well as extended service contracts, primarily for consumer electronics products.

Chartis U.S. net premiums written for 2010 are as follows:

Chartis U.S. NPW

Chartis International

Chartis International is the largest U.S.-based property and casualty insurer in Europe, the largest foreign insurance company in Japan and China, and an established leader in other developing markets such as India, Korea, Argentina and Russia. Chartis International is also a market leader in aerospace, marine, energy and financial lines.

Chartis International's geographic footprint, its history in markets and its access to local resources allow it to better serve global clients and to take advantage of new and emerging opportunities around the world.

Chartis International writes commercial (Casualty, Property and Specialty) and consumer (A&H, Personal and Life) lines through a network of agencies, branches and foreign-based insurance subsidiaries. Chartis International uses various marketing methods and multiple distribution channels to write both commercial and consumer lines of insurance with refinements for local laws, customs and needs. Given its extensive worldwide presence, Chartis International organizes itself into three broad regions: the Far East, Europe and Growth Economies (which primarily include Asia Pacific, the Middle East and Latin America).

Chartis International's business strategy, aided by its competitive position in the international market and ability to write both commercial and consumer lines, is focused on growing its commercial business in emerging economies and consumer lines in many parts of the world.

The acquisition of a controlling stake in Fuji Fire & Marine Insurance Company Limited (Fuji) in 2010 was consistent with this strategy. The acquisition of Fuji enhances Chartis' position in the substantial Japanese insurance market and provides a new distribution channel. As a result of this transaction, Chartis International has solidified its position as the largest foreign-owned property and casualty insurance group at December 31, 2010.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Chartis International net premiums written for 2010 are as follows:

Chartis International NPW

Discussion and Analysis of Consolidated Loss Reserve Development

The net liability for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expense (net loss reserves) shown in the following tables represents management's best estimate of future payments for covered losses, which is derived from the accumulation of estimates for reported losses (case basis reserves) and provisions for losses incurred but not reported (IBNR), both reduced by applicable reinsurance recoverable and the discount for future expected investment income, where permitted. Net losses and loss expenses are charged to income as incurred. For a discussion of our loss reserve experience in 2010, see Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Results of Operations Segment Results - Chartis Operations - Liability for Unpaid Claims and Claims Adjustment Expense.

The loss reserves established with respect to foreign business are set and monitored in the currencies in which payment is expected to be made. Therefore, no assumption is included for changes in exchange rates. See Note 2(v) to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

A significant portion of Chartis' business is in the commercial casualty class, which tends to involve longer periods of time for the reporting and settlement of claims and may increase the risk and uncertainty with respect to Chartis' loss reserve development.

Management reviews the adequacy of established net loss reserves utilizing a number of analytical reserve development techniques. Through the use of these techniques, management monitors the adequacy of AIG's established reserves and determines appropriate assumptions for inflation and other factors influencing loss costs. Also, analysis of emerging specific development patterns, such as case reserve redundancies or deficiencies and IBNR emergence, allows management to determine any required adjustments.

The "Analysis of Consolidated Loss Reserve Development" table presents the development of net loss reserves for calendar years 2000 through 2010. Immediately following this table is a second table that presents all data on a basis that excludes asbestos and environmental net loss reserve development. The opening reserves held are shown at the top of the table for each year-end date. The amount of loss reserve discount included in the opening reserve at each date is shown immediately below the reserves held for each year. The undiscounted reserve at each date is equal to the sum of the discount and the reserve held.

The upper half of the table presents the cumulative amounts paid during successive years related to the undiscounted opening loss reserves. For example, in the table that excludes asbestos and environmental losses, with respect to the net loss reserve of \$35.56 billion at December 31, 2003, by the end of 2010 (seven years later) \$43.18 billion had actually been paid in settlement of this net loss reserve. In addition, as reflected in the lower section of the table, the original undiscounted reserve of \$37.08 billion was re-estimated to be \$55.75 billion at December 31, 2010. This increase from the original estimate generally results from a combination of a number of factors, including claims being settled for larger amounts than originally estimated. The original estimates are also increased or decreased

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

as more information becomes known about the individual claims and overall claim frequency and severity patterns. The redundancy (deficiency) depicted in the table, for any particular calendar year, presents the aggregate change in estimates over the period of years subsequent to the calendar year reflected at the top of the respective column heading. For example, the deficiency of \$2.68 billion at December 31, 2010 related to December 31, 2009 net losses and loss expense reserves of \$69.24 billion represents the cumulative amount by which reserves in 2009 and prior years have developed unfavorably during 2010.

The bottom of each table below presents the remaining undiscounted and discounted net loss reserves for each year. For example, in the table that excludes asbestos and environmental losses, for the 2002 year-end, the remaining undiscounted reserves held at December 31, 2010 are \$11.04 billion, with a corresponding discounted net reserve of \$10.08 billion.

For a sensitivity analysis of loss reserves held at December 31, 2010, see Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Critical Accounting Estimates Liability for Unpaid Claims and Claims Adjustment Expense.

Analysis of Consolidated Loss Reserve Development

The following table presents for each calendar year the loss reserves and the development thereof including those with respect to asbestos and environmental claims.*

| <i>(in millions)</i> | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
|----------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Net Reserves Held | \$ 25,684 | \$ 26,005 | \$ 29,347 | \$ 36,228 | \$ 47,253 | \$ 57,476 | \$ 62,630 | \$ 69,288 | \$ 72,455 | \$ 67,899 | \$ 71,507 |
| Discount (in Reserves Held) | 1,287 | 1,423 | 1,499 | 1,516 | 1,553 | 2,110 | 2,264 | 2,429 | 2,574 | 2,655 | 3,217 |
| Net Reserves Held (Undiscounted) | 26,971 | 27,428 | 30,846 | 37,744 | 48,806 | 59,586 | 64,894 | 71,717 | 75,029 | 70,554 | 74,724 |
| Paid | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (Cumulative) as of: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| One year later | 9,709 | 11,007 | 10,775 | 12,163 | 14,910 | 15,326 | 14,862 | 16,531 | 24,267 | 15,919 | |
| Two years later | 17,149 | 18,091 | 18,589 | 21,773 | 24,377 | 25,152 | 24,388 | 31,791 | 36,164 | | |
| Three years later | 21,930 | 23,881 | 25,513 | 28,763 | 31,296 | 32,295 | 34,647 | 40,401 | | | |
| Four years later | 26,090 | 28,717 | 30,757 | 33,825 | 36,804 | 40,380 | 40,447 | | | | |
| Five years later | 29,473 | 32,685 | 34,627 | 38,087 | 43,162 | 44,473 | | | | | |
| Six years later | 32,421 | 35,656 | 37,778 | 42,924 | 46,330 | | | | | | |
| Seven years later | 34,660 | 38,116 | 41,493 | 45,215 | | | | | | | |
| Eight years later | 36,497 | 41,055 | 43,312 | | | | | | | | |
| Nine years later | 38,943 | 42,591 | | | | | | | | | |
| Ten years later | 40,153 | | | | | | | | | | |

| <i>(in millions)</i> | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | \$ 26,971 | \$ 27,428 | \$ 30,846 | \$ 37,744 | \$ 48,806 | \$ 59,586 | \$ 64,894 | \$ 71,717 | \$ 75,029 | \$ 70,554 | \$ 74,724 |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--|
| Net Reserves Held (Undiscounted) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Undiscounted Liability as of: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| One year later | 26,979 | 31,112 | 32,913 | 40,931 | 53,486 | 59,533 | 64,238 | 71,836 | 77,800 | 74,736 | |
| Two years later | 30,696 | 33,363 | 37,583 | 49,463 | 55,009 | 60,126 | 64,764 | 74,318 | 82,043 | | |
| Three years later | 32,732 | 37,964 | 46,179 | 51,497 | 56,047 | 61,242 | 67,303 | 78,275 | | | |
| Four years later | 36,210 | 45,203 | 48,427 | 52,964 | 57,618 | 63,872 | 70,733 | | | | |
| Five years later | 41,699 | 47,078 | 49,855 | 54,870 | 60,231 | 67,102 | | | | | |
| Six years later | 43,543 | 48,273 | 51,560 | 57,300 | 63,348 | | | | | | |
| Seven years later | 44,475 | 49,803 | 53,917 | 60,283 | | | | | | | |
| Eight years later | 45,767 | 52,034 | 56,827 | | | | | | | | |
| Nine years later | 47,682 | 54,847 | | | | | | | | | |
| Ten years later | 50,422 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net Redundancy / (Deficiency) | (23,451) | (27,419) | (25,981) | (22,539) | (14,542) | (7,516) | (5,839) | (6,558) | (7,014) | (4,182) | |
| Remaining Reserves (Undiscounted) | 10,269 | 12,256 | 13,515 | 15,068 | 17,018 | 22,629 | 30,286 | 37,874 | 45,879 | 58,817 | |
| Remaining Discount | 824 | 941 | 1,116 | 1,245 | 1,363 | 1,531 | 1,751 | 2,056 | 2,425 | 2,836 | |
| Remaining Reserves | 9,445 | 11,315 | 12,399 | 13,823 | 15,655 | 21,098 | 28,535 | 35,818 | 43,454 | 55,981 | |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

The following table presents the gross liability (before discount), reinsurance recoverable and net liability recorded for each calendar year, and the reestimation of these amounts as of December 31, 2010:

| (in millions) | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Gross Liability, End of Year | \$ 39,222 | \$ 42,629 | \$ 48,173 | \$ 53,388 | \$ 63,430 | \$ 79,279 | \$ 82,263 | \$ 87,929 | \$ 91,832 | \$ 88,041 | \$ 94,368 |
| Reinsurance Recoverable, End of Year | 12,251 | 15,201 | 17,327 | 15,644 | 14,624 | 19,693 | 17,369 | 16,212 | 16,803 | 17,487 | 19,644 |
| Net Liability, End of Year | 26,971 | 27,428 | 30,846 | 37,744 | 48,806 | 59,586 | 64,894 | 71,717 | 75,029 | 70,554 | 74,724 |
| Reestimated Gross Liability | 75,731 | 80,801 | 82,628 | 83,659 | 84,848 | 91,544 | 91,738 | 97,890 | 101,022 | 94,070 | |
| Reestimated Reinsurance Recoverable | 25,309 | 25,954 | 25,801 | 23,376 | 21,500 | 24,442 | 21,005 | 19,615 | 18,979 | 19,334 | |
| Reestimated Net Liability | 50,422 | 54,847 | 56,827 | 60,283 | 63,348 | 67,102 | 70,733 | 78,275 | 82,043 | 74,736 | |
| Cumulative Gross Redundancy/(Deficiency) | (36,509) | (38,172) | (34,455) | (30,271) | (21,418) | (12,265) | (9,475) | (9,961) | (9,190) | (6,029) | |

*

During 2009, Transatlantic Holdings, Inc. (Transatlantic) was deconsolidated and 21st Century Insurance Group and Agency Auto Division (excluding AIG Private Client Group) (21st Century) and HSB Group, Inc. (HSB) were sold. The sales and deconsolidation are reflected in the table above as a reduction in December 31, 2009 net reserves of \$9.7 billion and as an \$8.6 billion increase in paid losses for the years 1999 through 2008 to remove the reserves for these divested entities from the ending balance.

Analysis of Consolidated Losses and Loss Expense Reserve Development Excluding Asbestos and Environmental Losses and Loss Expense Reserve Development

The following table presents the losses and loss expense reserves and the development thereof excluding those for asbestos and environmental claims for each calendar year.*

| (in millions) | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Net Reserves Held | \$ 24,829 | \$ 25,286 | \$ 28,651 | \$ 35,559 | \$ 45,742 | \$ 55,226 | \$ 60,451 | \$ 67,597 | \$ 71,062 | \$ 66,588 | \$ 69,157 |
| Discount (in Reserves Held) | 1,287 | 1,423 | 1,499 | 1,516 | 1,553 | 2,110 | 2,264 | 2,429 | 2,574 | 2,655 | 3,055 |
| Net Reserves Held (Undiscounted) | 26,116 | 26,709 | 30,150 | 37,075 | 47,295 | 57,336 | 62,715 | 70,026 | 73,636 | 69,243 | 72,212 |
| Paid (Cumulative) as of: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| One year later | 9,515 | 10,861 | 10,632 | 11,999 | 14,718 | 15,047 | 14,356 | 16,183 | 24,028 | 15,618 | |
| Two years later | 16,808 | 17,801 | 18,283 | 21,419 | 23,906 | 24,367 | 23,535 | 31,204 | 35,613 | | |
| Three years later | 21,447 | 23,430 | 25,021 | 28,129 | 30,320 | 31,163 | 33,555 | 39,503 | | | |
| Four years later | 25,445 | 28,080 | 29,987 | 32,686 | 35,481 | 39,009 | 39,044 | | | | |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | |
|-------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Five years later | 28,643 | 31,771 | 33,353 | 36,601 | 41,600 | 42,791 |
| Six years later | 31,315 | 34,238 | 36,159 | 41,198 | 44,456 | |
| Seven years later | 33,051 | 36,353 | 39,637 | 43,178 | | |
| Eight years later | 34,543 | 39,055 | 41,163 | | | |
| Nine years later | 36,752 | 40,299 | | | | |
| Ten years later | 37,671 | | | | | |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

| <i>(in millions)</i> | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
|-----------------------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Net Reserves Held | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (Undiscounted) \$ | 26,116 | \$ 26,709 | \$ 30,150 | \$ 37,075 | \$ 47,295 | \$ 57,336 | \$ 62,715 | \$ 70,026 | \$ 73,636 | \$ 69,243 | \$ 72,212 |
| Undiscounted Liability as of: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| One year later | 26,071 | 30,274 | 32,129 | 39,261 | 51,048 | 57,077 | 62,043 | 70,096 | 76,251 | 71,925 | |
| Two years later | 29,670 | 32,438 | 35,803 | 46,865 | 52,364 | 57,653 | 62,521 | 72,423 | 78,994 | | |
| Three years later | 31,619 | 36,043 | 43,467 | 48,691 | 53,385 | 58,721 | 64,904 | 74,880 | | | |
| Four years later | 34,102 | 42,348 | 45,510 | 50,140 | 54,908 | 61,195 | 66,833 | | | | |
| Five years later | 38,655 | 44,018 | 46,925 | 51,997 | 57,365 | 62,924 | | | | | |
| Six years later | 40,294 | 45,201 | 48,584 | 54,272 | 58,981 | | | | | | |
| Seven years later | 41,213 | 46,685 | 50,786 | 55,753 | | | | | | | |
| Eight years later | 42,459 | 48,761 | 52,199 | | | | | | | | |
| Nine years later | 44,219 | 50,077 | | | | | | | | | |
| Ten years later | 45,463 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net Redundancy/(Deficiency) | (19,347) | (23,368) | (22,049) | (18,678) | (11,686) | (5,588) | (4,118) | (4,854) | (5,358) | (2,682) | |
| Remaining Reserves (Undiscounted) | 7,792 | 9,778 | 11,036 | 12,575 | 14,525 | 20,133 | 27,789 | 35,377 | 43,381 | 56,307 | |
| Remaining Discount | 662 | 779 | 953 | 1,083 | 1,201 | 1,369 | 1,589 | 1,894 | 2,263 | 2,674 | |
| Remaining Reserves | 7,130 | 8,999 | 10,083 | 11,492 | 13,324 | 18,764 | 26,200 | 33,483 | 41,118 | 53,633 | |

The following table presents the gross liability excluding liability for asbestos and environmental claims (before discount), reinsurance recoverable and net liability for each calendar year and the reestimation of these amounts as of December 31, 2010:

| <i>(in millions)</i> | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Gross Liability, End of Year | \$ 36,777 | \$ 40,400 | \$ 46,036 | \$ 51,363 | \$ 59,790 | \$ 73,808 | \$ 77,111 | \$ 83,551 | \$ 87,973 | \$ 84,467 | \$ 87,830 |
| Reinsurance Recoverable, End of Year | 10,661 | 13,691 | 15,887 | 14,288 | 12,495 | 16,472 | 14,396 | 13,525 | 14,337 | 15,224 | 15,618 |
| Net Liability, End of Year | 26,116 | 26,709 | 30,149 | 37,075 | 47,295 | 57,336 | 62,715 | 70,026 | 73,636 | 69,243 | 72,212 |
| Reestimated Gross Liability | 63,792 | 69,391 | 71,561 | 72,973 | 74,452 | 81,570 | 82,422 | 89,452 | 93,331 | 87,149 | |
| Reestimated Reinsurance Recoverable | 18,329 | 19,314 | 19,362 | 17,220 | 15,471 | 18,646 | 15,589 | 14,572 | 14,337 | 15,224 | |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Reestimated Net Liability | 45,463 | 50,077 | 52,199 | 55,753 | 58,981 | 62,924 | 66,833 | 74,880 | 78,994 | 71,925 |
| Cumulative Gross | | | | | | | | | | |
| Redundancy/(Deficiency) | (27,015) | (28,991) | (25,525) | (21,610) | (14,662) | (7,762) | (5,311) | (5,901) | (5,358) | (2,682) |

*

During 2009, Transatlantic was deconsolidated and 21st Century and HSB were sold. The sales and deconsolidation are reflected in the table above as a reduction in December 31, 2009 net reserves of \$9.6 billion and as an \$8.6 billion increase in paid losses for the years 1999 through 2008 to remove the reserves for these divested entities from the ending balance.

The Liability for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expense as reported in AIG's Consolidated Balance Sheet at December 31, 2010 differs from the total reserve reported in the Annual Statements filed with state insurance departments and, where appropriate, with foreign regulatory authorities. The differences at December 31, 2010 relate primarily to reserves for certain foreign operations not required to be reported in the United States for statutory reporting purposes. Further, statutory practices in the United States require reserves to be shown net of applicable reinsurance recoverable. In addition, AIG's Consolidated Balance Sheet and the amounts in the tables above are reflected net of intercompany transactions, whereas statutory financial statements include reserves for intercompany transactions.

Gross loss reserves are calculated without reduction for reinsurance recoverable and represent the accumulation of estimates for reported losses and IBNR. Management reviews the adequacy of established gross loss reserves in the manner previously described for net loss reserves.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

For further discussion regarding net loss reserves, see Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Results of Operations Segment Results Chartis Operations Liability for Unpaid Claims and Claims Adjustment Expense.

SunAmerica Operations

SunAmerica offers a comprehensive suite of products and services to individuals and groups including term life, universal life, accident and health (A&H), fixed and variable deferred annuities, fixed payout annuities, mutual funds and financial planning. SunAmerica offers its products and services through a diverse, multi-channel distribution network that includes banks, national, regional and independent broker-dealers, affiliated financial advisors, independent marketing organizations, independent and career insurance agents, structured settlement brokers, benefit consultants and direct-to-consumer platforms.

The SunAmerica segment has two operating segments: *Domestic Life*, which focuses on mortality-and morbidity-based protection products, and *Domestic Retirement Services*, which focuses on investment, retirement savings and income solutions.

Business Strategy

SunAmerica's strategy is to increase sales of its products and services in a disciplined manner that drives consistent, profitable earnings growth and efficient use of capital. To do so, SunAmerica will seek to take advantage of the growing need for insurance solutions to help Americans achieve their protection, investment, retirement savings and retirement income goals. With its comprehensive platform of products and services offered through a diverse multi-channel distribution network, SunAmerica is well positioned to help a wide array of customers meet their goals. SunAmerica plans to further expand its distribution network by adding more distribution firms, increasing the number of individual agents and financial advisors who sell its products and seeking to increase the productivity of those agents and advisors already selling its products especially those in its affiliated group of career and independent agents and financial advisors. SunAmerica will pursue a disciplined approach to pricing, product feature development, risk management, asset/liability management and expense control. SunAmerica will work to enhance operational efficiencies and service levels through prudent investments in technology, leveraging resources and enhancing utilization of lower cost operations centers.

Domestic Life

SunAmerica's Domestic Life operations are conducted through the American General business unit:

American General is a leading provider of individual term and universal life insurance solutions to middle-income and high-net-worth customers. Primary products include term, universal and whole life insurance, A&H, fixed and indexed deferred annuities, fixed payout annuities, private placement variable annuities, structured settlements, terminal funding, corporate-owned life insurance, bank-owned life insurance and group benefits. American General distributes its products through independent marketing organizations, independent and career insurance agents, structured settlement brokers, benefit consultants and direct-to-consumer platforms, including its wholly owned Matrix Direct platform.

Domestic Retirement Services

SunAmerica's Domestic Retirement Services operations consist of five business units:

VALIC is a leading provider of defined contribution retirement savings plans sponsored by education, not-for-profit and government organizations. Primary products include fixed and variable group annuities, and group mutual funds. VALIC also offers group administrative and compliance services, and individual annuity and mutual fund products. VALIC utilizes career and independent financial advisors to provide enrollment support and comprehensive financial planning services.

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Western National is a leading provider of fixed deferred annuities to bank customers. Primary products include single and flexible premium deferred fixed annuities. Western National sustains its leading position in bank distribution through its collaborative product design process and efficient and flexible administration platform.

SunAmerica Retirement Markets is a leading provider of deferred variable annuities, which provide comprehensive retirement income solutions. Variable annuities provide market participation through a diverse menu of equity and fixed income portfolios, guaranteed death benefits and a suite of guaranteed retirement income solutions. SunAmerica Retirement Markets distributes products through national, regional, bank and independent broker-dealer firms.

Brokerage Services and Retail Mutual Funds includes the operations of SunAmerica Asset Management, which provides retail mutual funds and administration services for VALIC's and SunAmerica Retirement Markets' variable annuity funds, and The Advisor Group, which is one of the largest networks of independent financial advisors in the U.S.

Other includes the operations of SunAmerica Affordable Housing Partners, runoff Guaranteed Investment Contracts (GIC) and individual annuity portfolios.

The following charts present SunAmerica premiums and other considerations and premiums, deposits and other considerations by line of business:

Premiums and Other Considerations

Premiums, Deposits and Other Considerations

Premiums and other considerations represent premiums received on traditional life insurance policies, deposits on life contingent payout annuities and fee income related to annuities and life insurance policies. Premiums, deposits and other considerations is a non-GAAP measure which includes life insurance premiums, deposits on annuity contracts and mutual funds.

The following table presents a reconciliation of premiums, deposits and other considerations to premiums and other considerations:

Year Ended December 31,

(in millions)

2010

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Premiums, deposits and other considerations | \$ 19,086 |
| Deposits | (16,461) |
| Fee income | 2,710 |
| Other | (105) |
| Premiums and other considerations | \$ 5,230 |

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Reinsurance Activities

Chartis subsidiaries operate worldwide primarily by underwriting and accepting risks for their direct account on a gross line basis and subsequently reinsuring on either an individual risk or an aggregate basis to the extent those risks exceed the desired retention level.

For a further discussion of reinsurance, see Item 1A. Risk Factors Reinsurance; and Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Risk Management Insurance Risk Management Reinsurance.

Insurance Investment Activities

A significant portion of the revenues of Chartis and SunAmerica operations are derived from AIG's insurance investment activities. As insurance companies, Chartis and SunAmerica generally receive premiums and deposits well in advance of paying covered claims or benefits. In the intervening periods, these premiums and deposits are invested to generate net investment income and fee income that is available to pay claims or benefits.

AIG's worldwide insurance investment policy places primary emphasis on investments in fixed income securities of corporations, municipal bonds and government issuances in all of its portfolios, and, to a lesser extent, investments in high-yield bonds, common stocks, real estate, hedge funds and other alternative investments.

The majority of assets backing insurance liabilities at AIG consist of intermediate and long duration fixed maturity securities. In the case of SunAmerica, the fundamental investment strategy is, as nearly as is practicable, to match the duration characteristics of the liabilities with assets of comparable duration. Fixed maturity securities held by the insurance companies included in Chartis U.S. historically have consisted primarily of laddered holdings of tax-exempt municipal bonds, which provided attractive after-tax returns and limited credit risk. In order to meet the Chartis U.S. current risk/return and tax objectives, the domestic property and casualty companies have begun to shift investment allocations away from tax-exempt municipal bonds towards taxable instruments which meet the companies' liquidity, duration and quality objectives as well as current risk-return and tax objectives. Fixed maturity securities held by Chartis International companies consist primarily of intermediate duration high-grade securities.

See Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Investments Investment Strategy for discussion of AIG's investment strategy.

The following table summarizes the investment results of AIG's insurance operations, excluding the results of discontinued operations:

| Years Ended | Annual Average | Net Investment | Pre-tax Return on |
|----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| December 31, | Investments^(a) | Income | Average Investments^(b) |
| (in millions) | | | |
| Chartis: | | | |
| 2010 | \$ 100,583 | \$ 4,392 | 4.4% |
| 2009 | 89,236 | 3,292 | 3.7 |
| 2008 | 92,313 | 2,567 | 2.8 |
| SunAmerica: | | | |
| 2010 | \$ 154,167 | \$ 10,768 | 7.0% |
| 2009 | 148,202 | 9,553 | 6.4 |
| 2008 | 196,515 | 9,134 | 4.6 |

(a) *Includes real estate investments and, in 2008, collateral assets invested under the securities lending program, and excludes cash and short-term investments.*

(b)

Net investment income divided by the annual average investments.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Financial Services Operations

Aircraft Leasing

International Lease Finance Corporation (ILFC), one of the world's leading aircraft lessors, acquires commercial jet aircraft from various manufacturers and other parties and leases those aircraft to airlines around the world.

As of December 31, 2010, ILFC managed a lease portfolio of over 1,000 aircraft, including an owned fleet of 933 aircraft with a net book value of approximately \$38.5 billion. Additionally, ILFC had contracted with Boeing and Airbus to purchase 115 new aircraft through 2019, with an estimated purchase price of approximately \$13.5 billion.

ILFC believes its scale, the breadth and mix of its aircraft portfolio and its long-standing relationships with a global customer base that includes the majority of the world's leading airlines allow it to lease aircraft under favorable terms and maximize utilization.

As part of its ongoing fleet strategy, ILFC may pursue potential aircraft sales or opportunities to sell parts of aircraft. In evaluating its fleet strategies, ILFC is balancing the need for funding with the long-term value of holding aircraft and other financing alternatives.

Capital Markets

AIGFP has continued to unwind its portfolios, including those associated with credit protection written through credit default swaps on super senior risk tranches of diversified pools of loans and debt securities. As a consequence of its wind-down strategy, AIGFP is entering into new derivative transactions only to hedge its current portfolio, reduce risk and hedge the currency, interest rate and other market risks associated with its affiliated businesses. See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity of Parent and Subsidiaries Financial Services Capital Markets Wind-down. Prior to the wind-down, AIGFP engaged as principal in a wide variety of financial transactions, including standard and customized financial products involving commodities, credit, currencies, energy, equities and interest rates.

Historically, AIGFP derived a significant portion of its revenues from hedged financial positions entered into in connection with counterparty transactions. Prior to the wind-down, AIGFP also participated as a dealer in a wide variety of financial derivatives transactions.

Other Operations

AIG's Other operations include results from Parent & Other operations, after allocations to AIG's business segments, Mortgage Guaranty operations, Asset Management operations and results from those divested businesses not included in Discontinued operations.

Parent & Other

AIG's Parent & Other operations consist primarily of interest expense, intercompany interest income that is eliminated in consolidation, restructuring costs, expenses of corporate staff not attributable to specific reportable segments, expenses related to efforts to improve internal controls and the financial and operating platforms, corporate initiatives, certain compensation plan expenses, corporate level net realized capital gains and losses, certain litigation related charges and net gains and losses on sale of divested businesses and properties that did not qualify for discontinued operations accounting treatment. In addition, fair value gains or losses on AIG's remaining interest in AIA and in the MetLife, Inc. (MetLife) securities received as consideration from the sale of ALICO are recorded as Net investment income and are included in Parent & Other operations.

Mortgage Guaranty

The main business of the subsidiaries of UGC is the issuance of residential mortgage guaranty insurance, both domestically and internationally, that covers mortgage lenders for the first loss for credit defaults on high loan-to-value conventional first-lien mortgages for the purchase or refinance of one- to four-family residences.

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

UGC previously insured second-lien and private student loans, but ceased insuring new business in these products in 2008, although certain of the second-lien policies are subject to reinstatement.

Mortgage Guaranty is seeking to take advantage of its risk-based pricing approach, expand into new attractive markets and manage its legacy exposures through risk mitigation strategies.

Asset Management Operations

AIG's Asset Management operations include the results of the Direct Investment business and the Institutional Asset Management business.

On March 26, 2010, AIG completed the sale of its third-party asset management business. The results of operations through the closing of the sale are included in the Institutional Asset Management results. Subsequent to the sale, Institutional Asset Management derives the majority of its revenues from providing asset management services to AIG and its subsidiaries and are eliminated in consolidation.

Direct Investment Business

The Direct Investment business includes results of AIG Global Real Estate, the Matched Investment Program (MIP), AIG's historical program to generate spread income from investments yielding returns greater than AIG's cost of funds, and certain non-derivative assets and liabilities of AIGFP. The MIP assets and liabilities and the AIGFP portfolio are being managed as a single portfolio to better match maturities of assets and liabilities. AIG Global Real Estate is selling, restructuring or otherwise divesting its assets and reducing its funding obligations. Direct Investment business operating results are significantly impacted by performance in the credit, equity, interest rate, foreign exchange and real estate markets.

Institutional Asset Management Business

AIG's Institutional Asset Management business is conducted through AIG Global Asset Management Holdings Corp. and its subsidiaries, including AIG Markets, Inc. (AIG Markets). AIG Markets acts as a derivative intermediary transacting with AIG, its subsidiaries and third parties.

Divested Businesses

Divested businesses include the historical results of divested entities that did not meet the criteria for discontinued operations accounting treatment as well as certain immaterial non-core businesses currently in run-off. Divested businesses include the historical results of AIA through October 29, 2010 and AIG's remaining consumer finance business, discussed below. In the third quarter of 2010 AIG completed an initial public offering of ordinary shares of AIA; upon completion of the initial public offering, AIG owned approximately 33 percent of the outstanding shares of AIA. Based on AIG's continuing involvement with AIA, as a result of its ownership of 33 percent of AIA's shares and board representation, AIA is not presented as a discontinued operation.

Discontinued Operations

Discontinued operations include the results of ALICO, AIG Star, AIG Edison, Nan Shan and AGF. In the fourth quarter of 2010 AIG closed the sales of ALICO and AGF, and on February 1, 2011 AIG closed the sale of AIG Star and AIG Edison. On January 12, 2011, AIG entered into an agreement to sell Nan Shan, and expects to close the sale within the next 12 months. See Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information on discontinued operations.

Additionally, following the classification of AGF as a discontinued operation in the third quarter of 2010 (see Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements), AIG's remaining consumer finance business, which is conducted through the AIG Federal Savings Bank and the Consumer Finance Group in Poland, is now reported in AIG's Other operations category as part of Divested businesses.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Locations of Certain Assets

As of December 31, 2010, approximately 25 percent of the consolidated assets of AIG were located outside the U.S. and Canada, including \$3.6 billion of cash and securities on deposit with regulatory authorities in those locations. Operations outside the U.S. and Canada and assets held abroad may be adversely affected by political developments in foreign countries, including tax changes, nationalization and changes in regulatory policy, as well as by consequence of hostilities and unrest. The risks of such occurrences and their overall effect upon AIG vary from country to country and cannot easily be predicted. If expropriation or nationalization does occur, AIG's policy is to take all appropriate measures to seek recovery of any affected assets. Certain of the countries in which AIG's business is conducted have currency restrictions that generally cause a delay in a company's ability to repatriate assets and profits. See also Item 1A. Risk Factors Foreign Operations and Notes 2 and 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Regulation

AIG's operations around the world are subject to regulation by many different types of regulatory authorities, including insurance, securities, investment advisory, banking and thrift regulators in the United States and abroad.

Supervisory Coordinator

In 1999, AIG became a unitary savings and loan holding company within the meaning of the Home Owners' Loan Act (HOLA) when the U.S. Office of Thrift Supervision (OTS) granted AIG approval to organize AIG Federal Savings Bank. Until March 2010, AIG was subject to OTS regulation, examination, supervision and reporting requirements.

Under prior law, a unitary savings and loan holding company, such as AIG, was not restricted as to the types of business in which it could engage, provided that its savings association subsidiary continued to be a qualified thrift lender. The Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act of 1999 (GLBA) provides that no company may acquire control of an OTS-regulated institution after May 4, 1999 unless it engages only in the financial activities permitted for financial holding companies under the law or for multiple savings and loan holding companies. The GLBA, however, grandfathered the unrestricted authority for activities with respect to a unitary savings and loan holding company existing prior to May 4, 1999, so long as its savings association subsidiary continues to be a qualified thrift lender under the HOLA. As a unitary savings and loan holding company whose application was pending as of May 4, 1999, AIG is grandfathered under the GLBA and generally is not restricted under existing laws as to the types of business activities in which it may engage, provided that AIG Federal Savings Bank continues to be a qualified thrift lender under the HOLA.

Directive 2002/87/EC (Directive) issued by the European Parliament provides that certain financial conglomerates with regulated entities in the European Union, such as AIG, are subject to supplementary supervision. Pursuant to the Directive, the Commission Bancaire, the French banking regulator, was appointed as AIG's supervisory coordinator. From February 2007 until March 2010, with the approval of the Commission Bancaire, OTS acted as AIG's equivalent supervisor, as permitted by the Directive in circumstances in which a financial conglomerate organized outside the European Union, such as AIG, has proposed to have one of its existing regulators recognized as its coordinator and such regulator's supervision is determined to be equivalent to that required by the Directive. Since March 2010, AIG has been in discussions with, and has provided information to, the Autorité de Contrôle Prudentiel (formerly, the Commission Bancaire) and the UK Financial Services Authority regarding the possibility of proposing another of AIG's existing regulators as its equivalent supervisor.

Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act

On July 21, 2010, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (Dodd-Frank) was signed into law. Dodd-Frank effects comprehensive changes to the regulation of financial services in the United States and will subject AIG to substantial additional federal regulation. Dodd-Frank is intended to enhance the safety and soundness of U.S. financial institutions and increase public confidence in them. Dodd-Frank directs existing and newly-created government agencies and oversight bodies to promulgate regulations implementing the law, an

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

ongoing process anticipated to continue over the next few years. Many of the regulations must be adopted before July 16, 2011. AIG cannot predict with certainty the requirements of the regulations ultimately adopted or how or whether Dodd-Frank and such regulations will affect the financial markets generally; impact AIG's businesses, results of operations, cash flows or financial condition; or require AIG to raise additional capital or result in a downgrade of AIG's credit ratings.

Dodd-Frank's potential impact on AIG includes the following:

The new legislation provides two scenarios in which the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (FRB) could become AIG's regulator: (1) if AIG is recognized as a "savings and loan holding company" as defined by the Home Owners' Loan Act (HOLA); and/or (2) if the newly created systemic risk regulator – the Financial Stability Oversight Council (Council) – designates AIG as a company whose material financial distress, or whose nature, scope, size, scale, concentration, interconnectedness or mix of activities, could pose a threat to the financial stability of the United States (a Designated Financial Company).

If AIG becomes subject, as a savings and loan holding company, to the examination, enforcement and supervisory authority of the FRB, the FRB would be required to impose minimum leverage and risk-based capital requirements on AIG and its subsidiaries. AIG cannot predict what capital regulations the FRB would promulgate under these authorizations, either generally or as applicable to insurance businesses, nor can AIG predict how the FRB would exercise general supervisory authority over AIG. If designated as a Designated Financial Company, AIG would become subject to stricter prudential standards not yet specified, including stricter requirements and limitations relating to risk-based capital, leverage, liquidity and credit exposure, as well as overall risk management requirements, management interlock prohibitions, a new early remediation process and a requirement to maintain a plan for rapid and orderly dissolution in the event of severe financial distress.

If AIG is designated as a Designated Financial Company and determined to be a grave threat to U.S. financial stability, it would be required to maintain a debt-to-equity ratio of no more than 15:1, and the FRB could (i) limit AIG's ability to merge with, acquire, consolidate with, or become affiliated with another company, to offer specified financial products or to terminate specified activities; (ii) impose conditions on how we conduct our activities or (iii) with approval of the Council, and a determination that the foregoing actions are inadequate to mitigate a threat to U.S. financial stability, require AIG to sell or otherwise transfer assets or off-balance-sheet items to unaffiliated entities.

In either scenario, AIG may become subject to stress tests to determine whether, on a consolidated basis, AIG has the capital necessary to absorb losses due to adverse economic conditions. AIG cannot predict how the stress tests would be designed or conducted or whether the results thereof would cause AIG to alter its business practices or affect the perceptions of regulators, rating agencies, customers, counterparties or investors about AIG's financial strength.

The Council may recommend that state insurance regulators or other regulators apply new or heightened standards and safeguards for activities or practices that AIG and other insurers or other financial services companies engage in.

If AIG is considered a banking entity for purposes of certain provisions in Dodd-Frank referred to as the "Volcker Rule" AIG would become subject to the provisions of Dodd-Frank prohibiting, subject to the rule's exceptions, "proprietary trading" and the sponsorship of, or investment in, hedge, private equity or similar funds. Even if AIG no longer controlled an insured depository institution, AIG might still be subject to additional capital and quantitative limitations under the Volcker Rule.

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Title II of Dodd-Frank provides that a financial company whose largest United States subsidiary is an insurer may be subject to a special liquidation process outside the federal bankruptcy code. That process is to be administered by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) upon a coordinated determination by the Secretary of the Treasury, the director of the Federal Insurance Office and the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, in consultation with the FDIC, that such a financial company is in default or in

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

danger of default and presents a systemic risk to U.S. financial stability. AIG is a financial company and its largest U.S. subsidiary is an insurer.

Dodd-Frank establishes a new framework for regulation of the over-the-counter (OTC) derivatives markets and certain market participants that could affect various activities of AIG and its insurance subsidiaries, as well as Capital Markets. These regulations could impose margin or collateral requirements on derivative transactions entered into by AIG prior to the passage of Dodd-Frank or intercompany derivative transactions between AIG and one or more of its affiliates or between affiliates. Any such margin or collateral requirements could adversely affect AIG's liquidity and credit ratings. The CFTC and SEC have published proposed rules governing major swap participants and major security-based swap participants. If AIG or one or more of its subsidiaries meet the tests finally adopted by the CFTC or SEC, AIG or one or more of its subsidiaries may become subject to derivative transaction clearing, execution and reporting requirements, capital and margin requirements and business conduct rules.

Dodd-Frank establishes a Federal Insurance Office (FIO) within the Department of the Treasury to be headed by a director appointed by the Secretary of the Treasury. While not having a general supervisory or regulatory authority over the business of insurance, the director of this office would perform various functions with respect to insurance (other than health insurance), including serving as a non-voting member of the Council and participating in the Council's decisions regarding insurers, potentially including AIG to be designated for stricter prudential regulation. The director is also required to conduct a study on how to modernize and improve the system of insurance regulation in the United States, including by increased national uniformity through either a federal charter or effective action by the states. The FIO may also recommend enhanced regulations to state insurance regulatory bodies.

Dodd-Frank authorizes the FRB to require a savings and loan holding company or a Designated Financial Company to place its financial activities in an intermediate holding company separate from non-financial activities (as defined for purposes of the Bank Holding Company Act) and imposes restrictions on transactions between the two businesses, which could be burdensome and costly to implement.

Dodd-Frank establishes the Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection (BCFP) as an independent agency within the FRB to regulate consumer financial products and services offered primarily for personal, family or household purposes. Insurance products and services are not within the BCFP's general jurisdiction, and broker-dealers and investment advisers are not subject to the BCFP's jurisdiction when acting in their registered capacity.

Title XIV of Dodd-Frank also restricts certain terms for mortgage loans, such as loan fees, prepayment fees and other charges, and imposes certain duties on a lender to ensure that a borrower can afford to repay the loan. These changes may adversely affect UGC's business.

Dodd-Frank seeks to increase efficiency, reduce transaction costs and improve consumer access in the nonadmitted property and casualty insurance market (excess and surplus lines). AIG expects that these measures will make certain of Chartis' operations within the U.S. more streamlined and efficient, although they could lead to greater competition in these markets.

Dodd-Frank includes various securities law reforms that may affect AIG's business practices and the liabilities and/or exposures associated therewith, including:

The SEC recently completed a staff report on registered broker-dealers who provide personalized investment advice to retail investors, such as certain of SunAmerica's operations. The staff report recommended to Congress a uniform fiduciary standard of conduct for broker-dealers and investment advisers. The SEC may also require broker-dealers selling proprietary or a limited range of products to

make certain disclosures and obtain customer consents or acknowledgements.

The SEC and other regulators are required to promulgate regulations requiring the originator of certain asset-backed securities to retain at least five percent of the credit risk of securities sold, which may apply to activities of subsidiaries of AIG as part of their funding activities in the future.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Dodd-Frank imposes various assessments on financial companies, including, as applicable to AIG, ex-post assessments to provide funds necessary to repay any borrowing and to cover the costs of any special resolution of a financial company conducted under Title II (although the regulatory authority would have to take account of the amounts paid by AIG into state guaranty funds). AIG cannot predict the potential effects the new legislation will have on its organizational structure, financial condition or results of operations. However, it is possible that such effect could be materially adverse.

In addition to the adoption of Dodd-Frank in the United States, regulators and lawmakers around the world are actively reviewing the causes of the financial crisis and taking steps to avoid similar problems in the future. The Financial Stability Board (FSB), consisting of representatives of national financial authorities of the G20 nations, has issued a series of frameworks and recommendations intended to produce significant changes in how financial companies, particularly systematically important financial institutions, should be regulated. These frameworks and recommendations address such issues as financial group supervision, capital and solvency standards, systemic economic risk, corporate governance including compensation, and a host of related issues associated with responses to the financial crisis. The FSB has directed the International Association of Insurance Supervisors (the IAIS, headquartered in Basel, Switzerland) to create standards relative to these areas and incorporate them within that body's Insurance Core Principles. IAIS Insurance Core Principles form the baseline threshold for how countries' financial services regulatory efforts are measured relative to the insurance sector. That measurement is made by periodic Financial Sector Assessment Program (FSAP) reviews conducted by the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund and the reports thereon spur the development of country-specific additional or amended regulatory changes. Lawmakers and regulatory authorities in a number of jurisdictions in which AIG's subsidiaries conduct business have already begun implementing legislative and regulatory changes consistent with these recommendations, including proposals governing consolidated regulation of insurance holdings companies by the Financial Services Agency (FSA) in Japan, financial and banking regulation adopted in France and compensation regulations proposed or adopted by the financial regulators in Germany (BaFIN) and the United Kingdom (FSA).

AIG cannot predict whether these actions will become effective or the effect they may have on the financial markets or on AIG's business, results of operations, cash flows, financial condition and credit ratings.

Other Regulatory Developments

AIG's operations are subject to regulatory supervision and the possibility of intervention. In light of AIG's liquidity problems beginning in the third quarter of 2008, AIG and its regulated subsidiaries have been subject to intense review and supervision around the world. Regulators have taken significant steps to protect the businesses of the entities they regulate. These steps have included:

restricting or prohibiting the payment of dividends to AIG Parent and its subsidiaries;

restricting or prohibiting other payments to AIG Parent and its subsidiaries;

requesting additional capital contributions from AIG Parent;

requesting that intercompany reinsurance reserves be covered by assets locally;

restricting the business in which the subsidiaries may engage;

requiring pre-approval of all proposed transactions between the regulated subsidiaries and AIG Parent or any affiliate; and

requiring more frequent reporting, including with respect to capital and liquidity positions.

Legislation in the European Union could also affect AIG's international insurance operations. The Solvency II Directive (2009/138/EEC), which was adopted on November 25, 2009 and is expected to become effective in January 2013 (Solvency II), reforms the insurance industry's

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

solvency framework, including minimum capital and solvency requirements, governance requirements, risk management and public reporting standards. The impact on AIG will depend on whether the U.S. insurance regulatory regime is deemed "equivalent" to Solvency II; if the U.S. insurance regulatory regime is not equivalent, then AIG as a group could be required to be supervised under

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Solvency II standards. Whether the U.S. insurance regulatory regime will be deemed "equivalent" is still under consideration by European authorities and remains uncertain, so AIG is not currently able to predict the impact of Solvency II.

AIG expects that the regulations applicable to it and its regulated entities will continue to evolve for the foreseeable future.

Regulation of Domestic Insurance Subsidiaries

Certain states require registration and periodic reporting by insurance companies that are licensed in such states and are controlled by other corporations. Applicable legislation typically requires periodic disclosure concerning the corporation that controls the registered insurer and the other companies in the holding company system and prior approval of intercorporate services and transfers of assets, including in some instances payment of dividends by the insurance subsidiary, within the holding company system. AIG's subsidiaries are registered under such legislation in those states that have such requirements.

AIG's insurance subsidiaries, in common with other insurers, are subject to regulation and supervision by the states and by other jurisdictions in which they do business. Within the United States, the method of such regulation varies but generally has its source in statutes that delegate regulatory and supervisory powers to an insurance official. The regulation and supervision relate primarily to the financial condition of the insurers and their corporate conduct and market conduct activities. This includes approval of policy forms and rates, the standards of solvency that must be met and maintained, including with respect to risk-based capital, the licensing of insurers and their agents, the nature of and limitations on investments, restrictions on the size of risks that may be insured under a single policy, deposits of securities for the benefit of policyholders, requirements for acceptability of reinsurers, periodic examinations of the affairs of insurance companies, the form and content of reports of financial condition required to be filed and reserves for unearned premiums, losses and other purposes. In general, such regulation is for the protection of policyholders rather than the equity owners of these companies.

AIG has taken various steps to enhance the capital positions of the Chartis U.S. and SunAmerica companies. AIG entered into capital maintenance agreements with these companies that set forth procedures through which AIG has provided, and expects to continue to provide, capital support. Also, in order to allow the Chartis U.S. companies to record as an admitted asset at December 31, 2010 certain reinsurance ceded to non-U.S. reinsurers, which has the effect of maintaining the level of the statutory surplus of such companies, AIG obtained and entered into reimbursement agreements for approximately \$6.1 billion of letters of credit issued by several commercial banks in favor of certain Chartis and SunAmerica companies and funded trusts totaling \$800 million in favor of certain Chartis companies.

In the U.S., the Risk-Based Capital (RBC) formula is designed to measure the adequacy of an insurer's statutory surplus in relation to the risks inherent in its business. The RBC Model Law, which allows states to act upon the results of RBC calculations, provides for four incremental levels of regulatory action regarding insurers whose RBC calculations fall below specific thresholds. Those levels of action range from the requirement to submit a plan describing how an insurer would regain a calculated RBC ratio above the respective threshold through a mandatory regulatory takeover of the company. The action thresholds are based on RBC levels that are calculated so that a company, subject to such actions, is solvent but its future solvency is in doubt without some type of corrective action. The RBC formula computes a risk-adjusted surplus level by applying discreet factors to various asset, premium and reserve items. These factors are developed to be risk-sensitive so that higher factors are applied to items exposed to greater risk.

The statutory surplus of each of AIG's U.S.-based life and property and casualty insurance subsidiaries exceeded RBC minimum required levels as of December 31, 2010.

To the extent that any of AIG's insurance entities would fall below prescribed levels of statutory surplus, it would be AIG's intention to provide appropriate capital or other types of support to that entity, under formal support or capital maintenance agreements or otherwise.

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

There are a number of proposals to amend state insurance laws and regulations in ways that could affect AIG and its subsidiaries. The National Association of Insurance Commissioners (NAIC) has recently adopted or amended model laws on holding company regulation that would provide for supervision of insurers at the corporate group level. Although these changes are only beginning to be adopted by individual state regulators, it can be expected that most will ultimately adopt them in some form. The various proposals to implement group supervision include:

- uniform standards for insurer corporate governance;
- group-wide supervision of insurance holding companies;
- adjustments to RBC calculations to account for group-wide risks; and
- additional regulatory and disclosure requirements for insurance holding companies.

Additionally, the NAIC has undertaken the Solvency Modernization Initiative (SMI) which focuses on a review of insurance solvency regulations throughout the U.S. financial regulatory system and will lead to a set of long-term solvency modernization goals. SMI is broad in scope, but NAIC has stated that its focus will include the U.S. solvency framework, group solvency issues, capital requirements, international accounting and regulatory standards, reinsurance and corporate governance.

AIG cannot predict the potential effect that any new regulations would have on AIG's insurance subsidiaries or on AIG's business, results of operations, cash flows or financial condition.

Regulation of Domestic Subsidiaries in Foreign Jurisdictions

A substantial portion of Chartis' business is conducted in foreign countries. The degree of regulation and supervision in foreign jurisdictions varies. Generally, AIG, as well as the underwriting companies operating in such jurisdictions, must satisfy local regulatory requirements. Licenses issued by foreign authorities to AIG subsidiaries are subject to modification or revocation by such authorities, and these subsidiaries could be prevented from conducting business in certain of the jurisdictions where they currently operate.

In addition to licensing requirements, AIG's foreign operations are also regulated in various jurisdictions with respect to currency, policy language and terms, advertising, amount and type of security deposits, amount and type of reserves, amount and type of capital to be held, amount and type of local investment and the share of profits to be returned to policyholders on participating policies. Some foreign countries regulate rates on various types of policies. Certain countries have established reinsurance institutions, wholly or partially owned by the local government, to which admitted insurers are obligated to cede a portion of their business on terms that may not always allow foreign insurers, including AIG subsidiaries, full compensation. In some countries, regulations governing constitution of technical reserves and remittance balances may hinder remittance of profits and repatriation of assets.

See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Capital Resources and Liquidity Regulation and Supervision and Note 18 to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Competition

AIG's businesses operate in highly competitive environments, both domestically and overseas. Principal sources of competition are insurance companies, banks, investment banks and other non-bank financial institutions. AIG considers its principal competitors to be other large multi-national insurance organizations.

The insurance industry in particular is highly competitive. Within the United States, Chartis subsidiaries compete with approximately 3,300 other stock companies, specialty insurance organizations, mutual companies and other underwriting organizations. SunAmerica subsidiaries compete in the United States with approximately 1,800 life insurance companies and other participants in related financial services fields. Overseas, AIG's subsidiaries compete for business with the foreign insurance operations of large U.S. insurers and with global insurance groups

and local companies in particular areas in which they are active.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

As a result of the reduction of the credit ratings of AIG and its subsidiaries, AIG's businesses have faced and continue to face intense competition to retain existing customers and to maintain business with existing customers and counterparties at historical levels. General insurance and life insurance companies compete through a combination of risk acceptance criteria, product pricing, and terms and conditions. Retirement services companies compete through crediting rates and the issuance of guaranteed benefits.

For a further discussion of the risks relating to retaining existing customers, soliciting new customers and retaining key employees, see Item 1A. Risk Factors.

Other Information about AIG

At December 31, 2010, AIG and its subsidiaries had approximately 63,000 employees.

AIG's internet address for its corporate website is www.aigcorporate.com. AIG makes available free of charge, through the Investor Information section of AIG's corporate website, Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K and Proxy Statements on Schedule 14A and amendments to those reports or statements filed or furnished pursuant to Sections 13(a), 14(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), as soon as reasonably practicable after such materials are electronically filed with, or furnished to, the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). AIG also makes available on its corporate website copies of the charters for its Audit, Nominating and Corporate Governance and Compensation and Management Resources Committees, as well as its Corporate Governance Guidelines (which include Director Independence Standards), Director, Executive Officer and Senior Financial Officer Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, Employee Code of Conduct and Related-Party Transactions Approval Policy. Except for the documents specifically incorporated by reference into this Annual Report on Form 10-K, information contained on AIG's website or that can be accessed through its website is not incorporated by reference into this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Directors and Executive Officers of AIG

All directors of AIG are elected for one-year terms at the annual meeting of shareholders.

All executive officers are elected to one-year terms, but serve at the pleasure of the Board of Directors. Except as hereinafter noted, each of the executive officers has, for more than five years, occupied an executive position with AIG or companies that are now its subsidiaries. There are no arrangements or understandings between any executive officer and any other person pursuant to which the executive officer was elected to such position.

Robert Benmosche joined AIG as Chief Executive Officer in August 2009. Prior to joining AIG, Mr. Benmosche served as a member of the Board of Directors of Credit Suisse Group since 2002. Mr. Benmosche was former Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of MetLife, a leading provider of insurance and other financial services.

Thomas Russo joined AIG as Executive Vice President – Legal, Compliance, Regulatory Affairs and Government Affairs and General Counsel in February 2010. Prior to joining AIG, Mr. Russo was with the law firm of Patton Boggs, LLP, where he served as Senior Counsel. Prior to that, he was a Vice Chairman of Lehman Brothers Inc. and Chief Legal Officer of Lehman Brothers Holdings, Inc. Before joining Lehman Brothers in 1993, he was a partner at the law firm of Cadwalader, Wickersham & Taft and a member of its Management Committee.

Peter Hancock joined AIG in February 2010 as Executive Vice President of Finance and Risk. Prior to joining AIG, Mr. Hancock served as Vice Chairman of KeyCorp, responsible for Key National Banking. Prior to that position, Mr. Hancock was at JP Morgan for 20 years, eventually serving as head of its fixed income division and ultimately Chief Financial Officer.

Sid Sankaran joined AIG in December 2010 as Senior Vice President and Chief Risk Officer. Prior to that, he was a partner in the Finance and Risk practice of Oliver Wyman Financial Services and served as Canadian Market Manager since 2006.

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Charles S. Shamieh joined AIG in 2007 as Executive Director of Enterprise Risk management. In January 2011, Mr. Shamieh was elected to his current position of Senior Vice President and Corporate Chief Actuary. Prior to joining AIG, Mr. Shamieh was Group Chief Risk Officer for Munich Re Group and a Member of the Group Committee of Munich Re's Board of Management since 2006.

Information concerning the directors and executive officers of AIG as of February 24, 2011 is set forth below.

| Name | Title | Age | Served as Director or Officer Since |
|-----------------------|---|-----|--|
| Robert H. Benmosche | Director and Chief Executive Officer | 66 | 2009 |
| Laurette T. Koellner | Director | 56 | 2009 |
| Donald H. Layton | Director | 60 | 2010 |
| Christopher S. Lynch | Director | 53 | 2009 |
| Arthur C. Martinez | Director | 71 | 2009 |
| George L. Miles, Jr. | Director | 69 | 2005 |
| Henry S. Miller | Director | 65 | 2010 |
| Robert S. Miller | Chairman | 69 | 2009 |
| Suzanne Nora Johnson | Director | 53 | 2008 |
| Morris W. Offit | Director | 74 | 2005 |
| Ronald A. Rittenmeyer | Director | 63 | 2010 |
| Douglas M. Steenland | Director | 59 | 2009 |
| William N. Dooley | Executive Vice President Investments and Financial Services | 58 | 1992 |
| Peter D. Hancock | Executive Vice President Finance, Risk and Investments | 52 | 2010 |
| David L. Herzog | Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer | 51 | 2005 |
| Kristian P. Moor | Executive Vice President Domestic General Insurance | 51 | 1998 |
| Thomas A. Russo | Executive Vice President Legal, Compliance, Regulatory Affairs, Government Affairs and General Counsel | 67 | 2010 |
| Brian T. Schreiber | Executive Vice President Treasury and Capital Markets | 45 | 2002 |
| Nicholas C. Walsh | Executive Vice President Foreign General Insurance | 60 | 2005 |
| Jay S. Wintrob | Executive Vice President SunAmerica Financial Group | 53 | 1999 |
| Jeffrey J. Hurd | Senior Vice President Human Resources and Communications | 44 | 2010 |
| Sid Sankaran | Senior Vice President and Chief Risk Officer | 33 | 2010 |
| Monika M. Machon | Senior Vice President and Chief Investment Officer | 50 | 2009 |
| Charles S. Shamieh | Senior Vice President Corporate Chief Actuary | 44 | 2011 |

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Item 1A. Risk Factors

We were significantly and adversely affected by the market turmoil in late 2008 and early 2009 and, despite the recovery in the markets in mid-2009 through 2010 and our recapitalization activities, are subject to significant risks, as discussed below.

The risks described below are not the only ones we face. Additional risks that are not currently known to us or that we currently believe are immaterial may also adversely affect our business, results of operations, financial condition or liquidity. Many of these risks are interrelated and occur under similar business and economic conditions, and the occurrence of certain of them may in turn cause the emergence, or exacerbate the effect, of others. Such a combination could materially increase the severity of the impact on us. As a result, should certain of these risks emerge, we may need to raise additional capital or obtain other sources of commercial funding, such as through additional credit facilities, which may not be available.

Credit and Financial Strength Ratings

A downgrade in the Insurer Financial Strength ratings of our insurance companies could prevent the companies from writing new business and retaining customers and business. Insurer Financial Strength (IFS) ratings are an important factor in establishing the competitive position of insurance companies. IFS ratings measure an insurance company's ability to meet its obligations to contract holders and policyholders. High ratings help maintain public confidence in a company's products, facilitate marketing of products and enhance a company's competitive position.

Further downgrades of the IFS ratings of our insurance companies may prevent these companies from offering products and services or result in increased policy cancellations or termination of assumed reinsurance contracts. Moreover, a downgrade in AIG Parent's credit ratings may, under credit rating agency policies concerning the relationship between parent and subsidiary ratings, result in a downgrade of the IFS ratings of our insurance subsidiaries.

A downgrade in our credit ratings could require us to post additional collateral and result in the termination of derivative transactions. Adverse ratings actions regarding our long-term debt ratings by the major rating agencies would require us to post additional collateral payments pursuant to, and/or permit the termination of, derivative transactions to which AIGFP is a party, which could adversely affect our business, our consolidated results of operations in a reporting period or our liquidity. Credit ratings estimate a company's ability to meet its obligations and may directly affect the cost and availability to that company of financing. In the event of a further downgrade of our long-term senior debt ratings, AIGFP would be required to post additional collateral, and certain of AIGFP's counterparties would be permitted to elect early termination of contracts.

Based on our financial derivative transactions, including those of AIGFP, outstanding at December 31, 2010 (as if the downgrade by Moody's Investors' Services (Moody's) on January 12, 2011 had occurred on December 31, 2010), a one notch downgrade of our long-term senior debt rating to BBB+ by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC, a subsidiary of The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc (S&P), would have permitted counterparties to make additional collateral calls and permit the counterparties to elect early termination of contracts, resulting in up to approximately \$0.7 billion of corresponding collateral postings and termination payments; a one-notch downgrade to Baa2 by Moody's and a two-notch downgrade to BBB by S&P would have resulted in approximately \$0.4 billion in additional collateral postings and termination payments above the aforementioned \$0.7 billion; and a two-notch downgrade to Baa3 by Moody's and a three-notch downgrade to BBB- by S&P would have resulted in approximately \$0.2 billion of additional collateral posting and termination payments above the aforementioned \$1.1 billion.

Additional collateral postings upon downgrade are estimated based on the factors in the individual collateral posting provisions of the Credit Support Annex (CSA) with each counterparty and current exposure as of December 31, 2010. Factors considered in estimating the termination payments upon downgrade include current

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

market conditions, the complexity of the derivative transaction, historical termination experience and other observable market events such as bankruptcy and downgrade events that have occurred at other companies. Management's estimates are also based on the assumption that counterparties will terminate based on their net exposure to AIG. The actual termination payments could significantly differ from management's estimates given market conditions at the time of the downgrade and the level of uncertainty in estimating both the number of counterparties who may elect to exercise their right to terminate and the payment that may be triggered in connection with any such exercise.

For a further discussion of our liquidity, see Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Capital Resources and Liquidity Liquidity.

Market Conditions

Our businesses, consolidated results of operations and financial condition have been and may continue to be materially and adversely affected by market conditions. Our businesses are highly dependent on the business environment in which they operate. In 2008 and through early 2009, the significant deterioration in worldwide economic conditions materially and adversely affected our businesses. The global financial crisis resulted in a serious lack of liquidity, highly volatile markets, a steep depreciation in asset values across all classes, an erosion of investor and public confidence, a widening of credit spreads, a lack of price transparency in many markets and the collapse or merger of several prominent financial institutions. Difficult economic conditions also resulted in increased unemployment and a severe decline in business activity across a wide range of industries and regions. While the markets and the business environment have generally stabilized and improved in mid- and late 2009 and in 2010, asset values for many asset classes have not returned to previous levels, and business, financial and economic conditions, particularly unemployment levels, continue to be negatively affected. Revenue and budget constraints affecting U.S. municipalities, lending activities and the housing and commercial property markets also continue to have a negative effect on asset values. There can be no assurance that the conditions supporting the recent recovery will continue in the near or long term. If they do not, we may be negatively affected in a number of ways, including, but not limited to:

declines in the valuation and performance of our investment portfolio;

declines in the value of our remaining shares in AIA and the MetLife securities received in the disposition of ALICO;

unrealized market valuation losses on our super senior credit default swap portfolio;

increased credit losses;

impairments of goodwill and other long-lived assets;

additional statutory capital requirements

limitations on our ability to recover deferred tax assets;

a decline in new business levels;

increased liability associated with interest rate guarantees in life annuity products;

an increase in policy surrenders and cancellations; and

a writeoff of deferred policy acquisition costs (DAC).

Investment Portfolio and Concentration of Investments, Insurance and Other Exposures

The value of our investment portfolio is subject to a number of risks and uncertainties, including changes in interest rates. Changes in interest rates can negatively affect the performance of our investment securities. Interest rates are highly sensitive to many factors, including monetary policies, domestic and international economic and political issues and other factors beyond our control. Changes in monetary policy or other factors may cause interest rates

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

to rise, which would adversely affect the value of the fixed income securities that we hold and could adversely affect our ability to sell these securities. In addition, the evaluation of available-for-sale securities for other-than-temporary impairments is a quantitative and qualitative process that is subject to significant management judgment.

Concentration of our investment portfolios in any particular segment of the economy may have adverse effects. Our results of operations have been adversely affected and may continue to be adversely affected by a concentration in residential mortgage-backed, commercial mortgage-backed and other asset-backed securities and commercial mortgage loans. We also have significant exposures to: financial institutions and, in particular, to money center and global banks; U.S. state and local government issuers and authorities (as described below); and Eurozone governments and corporations. These types of concentrations in our investment portfolios could have an adverse effect on the value of these portfolios and consequently on our consolidated results of operations and financial condition. Events or developments that have a negative effect on any particular industry, asset class, group of related industries or geographic region may have a greater adverse effect on the investment portfolios to the extent that the portfolios are concentrated. Furthermore, our ability to sell assets relating to such particular groups of related assets may be limited if other market participants are seeking to sell at the same time.

The value of our investment portfolio is exposed to the creditworthiness of state and municipal governments. We hold a large portfolio of state and municipal bonds (\$46.6 billion at December 31, 2010), primarily in Chartis, and, because of the budget deficits that most states and many municipalities are continuing to incur in the current economic environment, the risks associated with this portfolio have increased. Negative publicity surrounding certain states and municipal issues has negatively affected the value of our portfolio and reduced the liquidity in the state and municipal bond market. Defaults, or the prospect of imminent defaults, by the issuers of state and municipal bonds could cause our portfolio to decline in value and significantly reduce the portfolio's liquidity, which could also adversely affect AIG Parent's liquidity if AIG Parent then needed, or was required by its capital maintenance agreements, to provide additional capital support to the insurance subsidiaries holding the affected state and municipal bonds. As with our fixed income security portfolio generally, rising interest rates would also negatively affect the value of our portfolio of state and municipal bonds and could make those instruments more difficult to sell. A decline in the liquidity or market value of these instruments, which are carried at fair value for statutory purposes, could also result in a decline in the Chartis entities' capital ratios and, in turn, require AIG Parent to provide additional capital to those entities.

Concentration of our insurance and other risk exposures may have adverse effects. We seek to manage the risks to which we are exposed as a result of the insurance policies, derivatives and other obligations that we undertake to customers and counterparties by monitoring the diversification of our exposures by exposure type, industry, geographic region, counterparty and otherwise and by using reinsurance, hedging and other arrangements to limit or offset exposures that exceed the limits we wish to retain. In certain circumstances, or with respect to certain exposures, such risk management arrangements may not be available on acceptable terms or may prove to be ineffective, or our exposure in absolute terms may be so large that even slightly adverse experience compared to our expectations may have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition or results of operations or result in additional statutory capital requirements.

Casualty Insurance Underwriting and Reserves

Casualty insurance liabilities are difficult to predict and may exceed the related reserves for losses and loss expenses. Although we regularly review the adequacy of the established Liability for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expense and conduct an extensive analysis of our reserves at each year end, there can be no assurance that our loss reserves will not develop adversely and have a material adverse effect on our results of operations. Estimation of ultimate net losses, loss expenses and loss reserves is a complex process for long-tail casualty lines of business, which include excess and umbrella liability, D&O, professional liability, medical malpractice, workers' compensation, general liability, products liability and related classes, as well as for asbestos and environmental exposures. Generally, actual historical loss development factors are used to project future loss development. However, there can be no assurance that future loss development patterns will be the same as in the past.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Moreover, any deviation in loss cost trends or in loss development factors might not be discernible for an extended period of time subsequent to the recording of the initial loss reserve estimates for any accident year. For example, in the fourth quarter of 2010, we recorded a net charge of \$4.2 billion to strengthen Chartis loss reserves, reflecting adverse development in classes of business with long reporting tails, primarily asbestos (which includes policies written more than 25 years ago), excess casualty, excess workers' compensation and primary workers' compensation. Thus, there is the potential for reserves with respect to a number of years to be significantly affected by changes in loss cost trends or loss development factors that were relied upon in setting the reserves. These changes in loss cost trends or loss development factors could be attributable to changes in inflation or in the judicial environment, or in other social or economic phenomena affecting claims, such as the effects that the recent disruption in the credit markets could have on reported claims under D&O or professional liability coverages. For a further discussion of our loss reserves, see Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Results of Operations Segment Results Chartis Operations Liability for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expense and Critical Accounting Estimates Liability for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expense (Chartis).

Competition

We face intense competition in each of our businesses. Our businesses operate in highly competitive environments, both domestically and overseas. Principal sources of competition are insurance companies, banks, investment banks and other non-bank financial institutions. We consider our principal competitors to be other large multi-national insurance organizations.

The insurance industry in particular is highly competitive. Within the U.S., Chartis subsidiaries compete with approximately 3,300 other stock companies, specialty insurance organizations, mutual companies and other underwriting organizations. SunAmerica subsidiaries compete in the U.S. with approximately 1,800 life insurance companies and other participants in related financial services fields. Overseas, our subsidiaries compete for business with the foreign insurance operations of large U.S. insurers and with global insurance groups and local companies.

As a result of the reduction of our credit ratings and those of our subsidiaries and the lingering effects of AIG's recent negative publicity, we have faced and continue to face intense competition to retain existing customers and to maintain business with existing customers and counterparties at historical levels. General insurance and life insurance companies compete through a combination of risk acceptance criteria, product pricing, and terms and conditions. Retirement services companies compete through crediting rates and the issuance of guaranteed benefits. A decline in our position as to any one or more of these factors could adversely affect our profitability.

Guarantees Within Variable Annuities

Guarantees Within Certain of Our Products May Decrease Our Earnings and Increase the Volatility of Our Results. Certain variable annuity products that we offer guarantee a certain level of benefits to the policyholder. These guarantee features include guaranteed minimum death benefits (GMDB), guaranteed minimum income benefits (GMIB), guaranteed minimum withdrawal benefits (GMWB) and guaranteed minimum account value benefits (GMAV). At December 31, 2010, our net liabilities associated with these guaranteed benefits, representing the aggregate amount of the benefits in excess of the related account values, were \$613 million. We use reinsurance in combination with derivative instruments to mitigate the exposure associated with these liabilities, and while we believe that these and other actions have mitigated the risks related to these guaranteed benefits, our exposure is not fully hedged, and we remain liable in the event that reinsurers or counterparties are unable or unwilling to pay. In addition, downturns in equity markets, increased equity volatility or reduced interest rates could result in an increase in the valuation of the future policy benefits or policyholder account balances, increasing the liabilities associated with the guaranteed benefits and resulting in a reduction in our net income.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Adjustments to Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs for Life Insurance and Retirement Services Companies

Interest rate fluctuations, increased surrenders, investment returns and other events may require our subsidiaries to accelerate the amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs (DAC), which could adversely affect our results of operations. DAC represents the costs that vary with and are related primarily to the acquisition of new and renewal insurance and annuity contracts. When interest rates rise or customers lose confidence in a company, policy loans and policy surrenders and withdrawals of life insurance policies and annuity contracts may increase as policyholders seek to buy products with perceived higher returns or more stability, resulting in an acceleration of the amortization of DAC. To the extent such amortization exceeds surrender or other charges earned upon surrender and withdrawals of certain life insurance policies and annuity contracts, our results of operations could be negatively affected.

DAC for both insurance-oriented and investment-oriented products, as well as retirement services products, is reviewed for recoverability, which involves estimating the future profitability of current business. This review involves significant management judgment. If future profitability is substantially lower than estimated, we could be required to accelerate DAC amortization, and such acceleration could adversely affect our results of operations. For a further discussion of DAC, see Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Critical Accounting Estimates and Notes 2 and 10 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Catastrophe Exposures

The occurrence of catastrophic events could adversely affect our consolidated financial condition or results of operations. The occurrence of events such as hurricanes, earthquakes, pandemic disease, acts of terrorism and other catastrophes could adversely affect our consolidated financial condition or results of operations, including by exposing our businesses to the following:

widespread claim costs associated with property, workers' compensation, mortality and morbidity claims;

loss resulting from a decline in the value of invested assets to below the amount required to meet policy and contract liabilities; and

loss resulting from actual policy experience emerging adversely in comparison to the assumptions made in the product pricing related to mortality, morbidity, termination and expenses.

Reinsurance

Reinsurance may not be available or affordable. Our subsidiaries are major purchasers of reinsurance and utilize reinsurance as part of our overall risk management strategy. Reinsurance is an important risk management tool to manage transaction and insurance line risk retention and to mitigate losses that may arise from catastrophes. Market conditions beyond our control determine the availability and cost of the reinsurance purchased by our subsidiaries. For example, reinsurance may be more difficult or costly to obtain after a year with a large number of major catastrophes. Accordingly, we may be forced to incur additional expenses for reinsurance or may be unable to obtain sufficient reinsurance on acceptable terms, in which case we would have to accept an increase in exposure risk, reduce the amount of business written by our subsidiaries or seek alternatives.

Reinsurance subjects us to the credit risk of our reinsurers and may not be adequate to protect us against losses. Although reinsurance makes the reinsurer liable to our subsidiary to the extent the risk is ceded, it does not relieve our subsidiary of the primary liability to its policyholders. Accordingly, we bear credit risk with respect to our subsidiaries' reinsurers to the extent the credit risk is not mitigated by collateral or other credit enhancements. A reinsurer's insolvency or inability or refusal to make timely payments under the terms of its agreements with our subsidiaries could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and liquidity. For additional information on our reinsurance, see Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Risk Management Insurance Risk Management Reinsurance.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Indemnity Obligations

Claims under indemnity obligations may be material. We have provided financial guarantees and indemnities in connection with the businesses sold or under contract for sale, including ALICO, AGF, AIG Star and AIG Edison. While we do not currently believe that the claims under these indemnities will be material, it is possible that significant indemnity claims could be made against us. If such a claim were successful, our results of operations, cash flows and liquidity could be materially adversely affected. See Note 16 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for more information on these financial guarantees and indemnities.

Regulation

The enactment of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act will subject us to substantial additional federal regulation, which may materially and adversely affect our businesses, results of operations, cash flows, financial condition and credit ratings. On July 21, 2010, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (Dodd-Frank), which effects comprehensive changes to the regulation of financial services in the United States, was signed into law. Dodd-Frank directs existing and newly created government agencies and bodies to promulgate regulations implementing the law, an ongoing process anticipated to continue over the next few years. We cannot predict with certainty the requirements of the regulations ultimately adopted or how or whether Dodd-Frank and such regulations will affect our businesses, results of operations, cash flows or financial condition, require us to raise additional capital or result in a downgrade of our credit ratings.

We may become subject to the examination, enforcement and supervisory authority of the FRB as a savings and loan holding company or a Designated Financial Company. In such an event:

We would become subject to the examination, enforcement and supervisory authority of the FRB. We cannot predict how the FRB would exercise general supervisory authority over us.

The FRB would be required to impose minimum leverage and risk-based capital requirements on us not less than those applicable to insured depository institutions.

We may be required to place our financial activities in an intermediate holding company separate from our non-financial activities (as defined for purposes of the Bank Holding Company Act) subject to restrictions on transactions between the two businesses, which could be burdensome and costly to implement.

If we are designated a Designated Financial Company:

We may become subject to stress tests to determine whether, on a consolidated basis, we have the capital necessary to absorb losses due to adverse economic conditions.

We would be subject to stricter prudential standards not yet specified, including stricter requirements and limitations relating to risk-based capital, leverage, liquidity and credit exposure, as well as overall risk management requirements, management interlock prohibitions and a requirement to maintain a plan for rapid and orderly dissolution in the event of severe financial distress.

We would become subject to a new early remediation regime process, the details of which are not yet established, to be administered by the FRB.

If we are designated as a Designated Financial Company and determined to be a grave threat to U.S. financial stability:

We would be required to maintain a debt-to-equity ratio of no more than 15:1.

The FRB may:

limit our ability to merge with, acquire, consolidate with, or become affiliated with another company;

restrict our ability to offer specified financial products;

require us to terminate specified activities;

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

impose conditions on how we conduct our activities; or

with approval of the Financial Stability Oversight Council (Council), and a determination that the foregoing actions are inadequate to mitigate a threat to U.S. financial stability, require us to sell or otherwise transfer assets or off-balance-sheet items to unaffiliated entities.

If we continue to control AIG Federal Savings Bank or another insured depository institution, we would become subject to the "Volcker Rule", which would place limits on "proprietary trading" and the sponsorship of, or investment in, hedge, private equity or similar funds. Such prohibitions could substantially impact our investment business as it is currently managed. The Volcker Rule contains an exception for trading by insurance companies for their general account, but the extent of this exception cannot be predicted. Even if we no longer controlled an insured depository institution, we might still be subject to additional capital and quantitative limitations under the Volcker Rule.

In addition, Dodd-Frank establishes a new framework for regulation of OTC derivatives under which we may have to collateralize previously uncollateralized swaps. These additional obligations to post collateral or the costs of assignment, termination or obtaining alternative credit could have a material adverse affect on us. This new framework may also increase the cost of conducting a hedging program or have other effects materially adverse to us.

We cannot predict the requirements of the regulations ultimately adopted, the level and magnitude of supervision we may become subject to, or how Dodd-Frank and such regulations will affect the financial markets generally or our businesses, results of operations, cash flows or financial condition. It is possible that the regulations adopted under Dodd-Frank could significantly alter our business practices, require us to raise additional capital, impose burdensome and costly requirements and add additional costs. Some of the regulations may also affect the perceptions of regulators, rating agencies, customers, counterparties, creditors or investors about our financial strength and could potentially affect our financing costs or result in a ratings downgrade.

We are subject to extensive regulation in the jurisdictions in which we conduct our businesses, including with respect to the pricing of policies that we write, and regulatory actions could make it challenging for us to continue to engage in business in the ordinary course. Our operations around the world are subject to regulation by different types of regulatory authorities, including insurance, securities, investment advisory, banking and thrift regulators in the United States and abroad. Regulators have the ability to take various steps to protect the businesses of the entities they regulate. These steps could include:

restricting or prohibiting the payment of dividends to AIG Parent and its subsidiaries;

restricting or prohibiting other payments to AIG Parent and its subsidiaries;

requesting additional capital contributions from AIG Parent;

requesting that intercompany reinsurance reserves be covered by assets locally;

restricting the business in which the subsidiaries may engage;

requiring pre-approval of all proposed transactions between the regulated subsidiaries and AIG Parent or any affiliate; and

requiring more frequent reporting, including with respect to capital and liquidity positions.

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

In addition, the premium rates that we are able to charge and the profits that we are able to obtain are affected by the actions of state and foreign insurance departments that regulate our businesses. In addition to this regulation, our insurance subsidiaries are subject to laws that require insurers to participate in assigned risk plans, or to offer coverage to all consumers or at prices that we might not otherwise offer. Any of these actions could have an adverse effect on our consolidated results of operations.

Requirements of the USA PATRIOT Act, the Office of Foreign Assets Control and similar laws that apply to us may expose us to significant penalties. The operations of certain of our subsidiaries are subject to laws and regulations,

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

including the USA PATRIOT Act of 2001, which requires companies to know certain information about their clients and to monitor their transactions for suspicious activities. In addition, the Department of the Treasury's Office of Foreign Assets Control administers regulations requiring U.S. persons to refrain from doing business, or allowing their clients to do business through them, with certain organizations or individuals on a prohibited list maintained by the U.S. government or with certain countries. The United Kingdom, the European Union and other jurisdictions maintain similar laws and regulations. Although we have instituted compliance programs to address these requirements, there are inherent risks in global transactions.

New regulations promulgated from time to time may affect our operations, financial condition and ability to compete effectively. Legislators and regulators may periodically consider and put forward various proposals that may affect the profitability of certain of our businesses or even our ability to conduct certain businesses at all, including proposals relating to restrictions on the type of activities in which financial institutions are permitted to engage and the size of financial institutions, and proposals to impose additional taxes on a limited subset of financial institutions and insurance companies (either based on size, activities, geography, government support or other criteria). It is uncertain whether and how these and other such proposals would apply to us or our competitors or how they could impact our consolidated results of operations, financial condition and ability to compete effectively.

Change in Control

Our ability to utilize tax losses and credits carryforwards to offset future taxable income may be significantly limited if we experience an "ownership change" under the Internal Revenue Code. As of December 31, 2010, we had a U.S. federal net operating loss carryforward of approximately \$32.3 billion, \$27.8 billion in capital loss carryforwards and \$4.6 billion in foreign tax credits (Tax Losses and credits carryforwards). Our ability to utilize such tax attributes to offset future taxable income may be significantly limited if we experience an "ownership change" as defined in Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code). In general, an ownership change will occur when the percentage of AIG Parent's ownership (by value) of one or more "5-percent shareholders" (as defined in the Code) has increased by more than 50 percent over the lowest percentage owned by such shareholders at any time during the prior three years (calculated on a rolling basis). An entity that experiences an ownership change generally will be subject to an annual limitation on its pre-ownership change tax losses and credits carryforwards equal to the equity value of the corporation immediately before the ownership change, multiplied by the long-term, tax-exempt rate posted monthly by the IRS (subject to certain adjustments). The annual limitation would be increased each year to the extent that there is an unused limitation in a prior year. The limitation on our ability to utilize tax losses and credits carryforwards arising from an ownership change under Section 382 would depend on the value of our equity at the time of any ownership change.

While the Department of the Treasury owns more than 50 percent of AIG Common Stock, under guidance issued by the Internal Revenue Service, we will not be treated as having experienced an ownership change. However, once the Department of the Treasury's ownership of outstanding AIG Common Stock falls below 50 percent, it is possible for us to experience an ownership change as a result of purchases of AIG Common Stock by "5-percent shareholders". For the purpose of determining whether there has been an "ownership change", the change in ownership as a result of purchases by "5-percent shareholders" will be aggregated with certain changes in ownership that occurred over the three-year period ending on the date of such purchases, including, for example, the sale of AIG Common Stock that was issued in exchange for the shares of AIG's Series C Perpetual, Convertible, Participating Preferred Stock, par value \$5.00 per share (the Series C Preferred Stock), but excluding the issuance of the AIG Common Stock that was issued in exchange for the shares of AIG's Series E Fixed Rate Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, par value \$5.00 per share (the Series E Preferred Stock), and the shares of AIG's Series F Fixed Rate Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, par value \$5.00 per share (the Series F Preferred Stock). If we were to experience an "ownership change", it is possible that a significant portion of our tax losses and credits carryforwards could expire before we would be able to use them to offset future taxable income.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Foreign Operations

Our foreign operations expose us to risks that may affect our operations, liquidity and financial condition. We provide insurance, investment and other financial products and services to both businesses and individuals in more than 130 countries. A substantial portion of our Chartis business is conducted outside the United States, and our intention is to continue to grow this business. Operations outside the United States, particularly those in developing nations, may be affected by regional economic downturns, changes in foreign currency exchange rates, political upheaval, nationalization and other restrictive government actions, which could also affect our other operations.

The degree of regulation and supervision in foreign jurisdictions varies. Generally, AIG Parent, as well as its subsidiaries operating in such jurisdictions, must satisfy local regulatory requirements. Licenses issued by foreign authorities to our subsidiaries are subject to modification and revocation. Thus, our insurance subsidiaries could be prevented from conducting future business in certain of the jurisdictions where they currently operate. Adverse actions from any single country could adversely affect our results of operations, liquidity and financial condition depending on the magnitude of the event and our financial exposure at that time in that country.

Legal Proceedings

Significant legal proceedings may adversely affect our results of operations or financial condition. We are party to numerous legal proceedings, including securities class actions and regulatory and governmental investigations. Due to the nature of the litigation, the lack of precise damage claims and the type of claims we are subject to, we cannot currently quantify our ultimate or maximum liability for these actions. It is possible that developments in these unresolved matters could have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition or consolidated results of operations for an individual reporting period. For a discussion of these unresolved matters, see Note 16(a) to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Use of Estimates

If actual experience differs from management's estimates used in the preparation of financial statements, our consolidated results of operations or financial condition could be adversely affected. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires the application of accounting policies that often involve a significant degree of judgment. We consider our accounting policies that are most dependent on the application of estimates and assumptions, and therefore viewed as critical accounting estimates, are those described in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Critical Accounting Estimates. These accounting estimates require the use of assumptions, some of which are highly uncertain at the time of estimation. These estimates, by their nature, are based on judgment and current facts and circumstances. Therefore, actual results could differ from these estimates, possibly in the near term, and could have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

Aircraft Leasing Business

Our aircraft leasing business depends on lease revenues and exposes us to the risk of lessee non-performance. Our aircraft leasing business depends on the ability of our customers to meet their obligations to us under their leases; if their ability materially decreases, it may negatively affect our business, results of operations and cash flows.

Our aircraft may become obsolete over time. Aircraft are long-lived assets requiring long lead times to develop and manufacture. As a result, aircraft of a particular model and type may become obsolete and less in demand over time, when newer, more advanced and efficient aircraft or aircraft engines are manufactured. This life cycle, however, can be shortened by world events, government regulation or customer preferences. As aircraft in our fleet approach obsolescence, demand for particular models and types may decrease. This may result in declining lease rates or impairment charges and may adversely affect our business, consolidated financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Liquidity

If our internal sources of liquidity are insufficient to meet our needs, we may become dependent on third-party financing, external capital markets or other sources of liquidity, which may not be available or could be prohibitively expensive. We need liquidity to pay our operating expenses, interest on our debt and certain maturing debt obligations and to meet any statutory capital requirements of our subsidiaries. If we have insufficient liquidity to meet our needs, we may be required to raise additional capital or obtain other sources of commercial funding. The availability of any additional financing depends on a variety of factors, including, but not limited to, general market conditions, the volume of trading activities, the overall availability of credit, regulatory actions, our credit ratings and credit capacity, as well as the possibility that customers, lenders or investors could develop a negative perception of our long- or short-term financial prospects. Disruptions, volatility and uncertainty in the financial markets, to the extent they persist or recur, may also limit our ability to access external capital markets at times and on terms favorable to us and to meet our capital and liquidity needs. For example, our inability to access the capital markets in September 2008 led to the FRBNY providing us financing under the FRBNY Credit Facility. Furthermore, if our internal sources of liquidity prove to be insufficient, we may be unable to obtain additional financing on favorable terms, if at all. For a further discussion of liquidity, see Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Capital Resources and Liquidity.

AIG Parent's ability to access funds from our subsidiaries is limited. As a holding company, AIG Parent depends on dividends, distributions and other payments from our subsidiaries to fund payments due on its obligations, including its outstanding debt. Further, the majority of its investments are held by our regulated subsidiaries. Our subsidiaries may be limited in their ability to make dividend payments or advance funds to AIG Parent in the future because of the need to support their own capital levels.

AIG Parent's ability to support our subsidiaries is limited. Historically, AIG Parent has provided capital and liquidity to our subsidiaries to maintain regulatory capital ratios, comply with rating agency requirements and meet unexpected cash flow obligations, in some cases under support or capital maintenance agreements. If AIG Parent is unable to provide support to a subsidiary having an immediate capital or liquidity need, the subsidiary could become insolvent or, in the case of an insurance subsidiary or other regulated entity, could be seized by its regulator. In the event of a catastrophe, reserve strengthening or other event, AIG Parent may be required to provide capital to one or more of our regulated subsidiaries. For example, AIG Parent recently provided \$3.7 billion of capital to the Chartis insurance companies as a result of the reserve strengthening in the fourth quarter of 2010. AIG Parent also expects to enter into additional capital maintenance agreements with certain of our U.S. insurance subsidiaries that will require it to contribute capital if specific risk-based capital (RBC) thresholds are triggered.

Certain of the investments held by our subsidiaries are illiquid and/or are difficult to sell, or to sell in significant amounts or at acceptable prices, to generate cash to meet their needs. Our subsidiaries' investments in certain securities, including certain fixed income securities and certain structured securities, private equity securities, investment partnerships, mortgage loans, flight equipment, finance receivables and real estate, which had a collective fair value of \$103 billion at December 31, 2010, are illiquid or may not be disposed of quickly. Further, we have a significant remaining stake in AIA and have a significant position in the securities of MetLife, both of which are subject to restrictions on transfer and hedging. In addition, the steep decline in the U.S. real estate market and tight credit markets have materially adversely affected the liquidity of our other securities portfolios, including our residential and commercial mortgage-related securities and investment portfolios. In the event additional liquidity is required by one or more of our subsidiaries and AIG Parent is unable to provide liquidity, it may be difficult to generate additional liquidity by selling, pledging or otherwise monetizing the less liquid investments described above.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Special Purpose Vehicle Intercompany Loans and Pledge of Designated Entities

We have pledged equity interests in certain of our businesses and other assets to secure intercompany loans made in connection with the Recapitalization and granted other control rights with respect to certain businesses and assets. We have pledged, as security for the repayment of the intercompany loans extended to AIG Parent by the special purpose vehicles that held the proceeds of the AIA initial public offering and the ALICO sale (the SPVs, and such loans, the SPV Intercompany Loans), our equity interests in Nan Shan and ILFC (the Designated Entities) as well as the assets that continue to be held by the AIA SPV and the ALICO SPV, including the ordinary shares of AIA held by the AIA SPV and the MetLife securities received from the sale of ALICO. If we are unable to satisfy our obligations under the SPV Intercompany Loans, the secured parties may have the right to foreclose upon and sell the assets that secure these loans, which could have a material adverse effect on the operations of the Designated Entities and could adversely affect the value of the Designated Entities.

Furthermore, so long as the Department of the Treasury holds the preferred interests (the SPV Preferred Interests) in the AIA SPV and the ALICO SPV, the Department of the Treasury will have the right, subject to existing contractual restrictions, to require us to dispose of our ordinary shares of AIA and the MetLife securities we received from the sale of ALICO. The consent of the Department of the Treasury will also be required for us to take specified significant actions with respect to the Designated Entities, including initial public offerings, sales of the businesses and significant acquisitions or dispositions and incurrence of indebtedness above specified levels. If any SPV Preferred Interests are outstanding on May 1, 2013, the Department of the Treasury will have the right to compel the sale of all or a portion of one or more of the Designated Entities on terms that it will determine. These rights could have a material adverse effect on the operations of the Designated Entities and could adversely affect the value of the Designated Entities.

Controlling Shareholder

As a result of the issuance of the shares of AIG Common Stock to the Department of the Treasury in connection with the Recapitalization, the Department of the Treasury is AIG Parent's controlling shareholder. The Department of the Treasury is able, to the extent permitted by law, to control a vote of AIG shareholders on substantially all matters, including:

approval of mergers or other business combinations;

a sale of all or substantially all of our assets;

amendments to AIG Parent's amended certificate of incorporation; and

other matters that might be favorable to the Department of the Treasury, but not to our other shareholders.

Moreover, the Department of the Treasury's ability to cause or prevent a change in control of AIG could also have an adverse effect on the market price of AIG Common Stock. The Department of the Treasury may also, subject to applicable securities laws, transfer all, or a portion of, the AIG Common Stock to another person or entity and, in the event of such a transfer, that person or entity could become our controlling shareholder. The Department of the Treasury's rights under a registration rights agreement executed in connection with the Recapitalization may be assigned to any person purchasing over \$500 million of AIG Common Stock.

We granted the Department of the Treasury certain registration rights and, subject to certain exceptions, the ability to control the terms, conditions and pricing of any offering in which it participates, including any primary offering by us. We have granted the Department of the Treasury registration rights with respect to the shares of AIG Common Stock issued in connection with the Recapitalization, including:

the right to participate in any registered offering of AIG Common Stock by us;

the right to demand no more than twice in any 12-month period that we effect a registered marketed offering of our shares after the earlier of August 15, 2011 and the date of our completion of a primary equity offering;

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

the right to engage in at-the-market offerings; and

subject to certain exceptions, the right to approve the terms, conditions and pricing of any registered offering in which it participates until its ownership falls below 33 percent of our voting securities.

Possible future sales of AIG Common Stock by the Department of the Treasury could adversely affect the market for AIG Common Stock. We have granted the Department of the Treasury the registration rights described above. Although we can make no prediction as to the effect, if any, that sales by the Department of the Treasury would have on the market price of AIG Common Stock, sales of substantial amounts of AIG Common Stock, or the perception that such sales could occur, could adversely affect the market price of AIG Common Stock.

Employees

Mr. Benmosche may be unable to continue to provide services to AIG due to his health. Mr. Robert Benmosche, the President and Chief Executive Officer of AIG, has been diagnosed with cancer and has been undergoing treatment for his disease. Following a briefing by a physician fully aware of Mr. Benmosche's medical condition, test results, and prognosis, the AIG Board of Directors, while recognizing that in matters of cancer circumstances can change, anticipates that Mr. Benmosche should be able to serve in his role as AIG President and CEO over the next twelve to eighteen months. However, Mr. Benmosche's condition may change and prevent him from continuing to perform these roles. In such a case, the AIG Board of Directors would need to implement its succession plan and either have Mr. Robert S. Miller act as interim President and Chief Executive Officer or have a permanent replacement assume Mr. Benmosche's responsibilities.

The limitations on incentive compensation contained in the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 and the restrictions placed on compensation by the Special Master for TARP Executive Compensation and in our agreement with the Department of the Treasury (the Master Transaction Agreement) may adversely affect our ability to attract talent and retain and motivate our highest performing employees. The American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (Recovery Act) contains provisions which, as implemented by the Department of the Treasury in its Interim Final Rule, restrict bonus and other incentive compensation payable to the five executives named in a company's proxy statement and the next 20 highest paid employees of companies that received more than \$500 million of TARP funds. Pursuant to the Recovery Act, the Office of the Special Master for TARP Executive Compensation (Special Master) issued Determination Memoranda with respect to our named executive officers and 20 highest paid employees, and reviewed our compensation arrangements for our next 75 most highly compensated employees and issued a Determination Memorandum on their compensation structures, which placed significant new restrictions on their compensation as well. Historically, we have embraced a pay-for-performance philosophy. Based on the limitations placed on incentive compensation by the Determination Memoranda issued by the Special Master, it is unclear whether, for the foreseeable future, we will be able to create a compensation structure that permits us to attract talent and retain and motivate our most senior and most highly compensated employees and other high performing employees who become subject to the purview of the Special Master. The restrictions on our ability to attract talent and retain and motivate our highest performing employees may affect our ability to strengthen our businesses and prepare and make required filings in a timely manner with the SEC and other federal, state and foreign regulators.

Employee error and misconduct may be difficult to detect and prevent and may result in significant losses. Losses may result from, among other things, fraud, errors, failure to document transactions properly or to obtain proper internal authorization or failure to comply with regulatory requirements or our internal policies. There have been a number of highly publicized cases involving fraud or other misconduct by employees in the financial services industry in recent years, and we run the risk that employee misconduct could occur. It is not always possible to deter or prevent employee misconduct, and the controls that we have in place to prevent and detect this activity may not be effective in all cases.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Electronic Data Systems and Handling of Confidential Information

If we are unable to maintain the availability of our electronic data systems and safeguard the security of our data, our ability to conduct business may be compromised, which could adversely affect our consolidated financial condition or results of operations. We use computer systems to store, retrieve, evaluate and utilize customer and company data and information. These systems in turn, rely upon third-party systems. Our business is highly dependent on our ability to access these systems to perform necessary business functions, including providing insurance quotes, processing premium payments, making changes to existing policies, filing and paying claims, administering variable annuity products and mutual funds, providing customer support and managing our investment portfolios. Systems failures or outages could compromise our ability to perform these functions in a timely manner, which could harm our ability to conduct business and hurt our relationships with our business partners and customers. In the event of a natural disaster, a computer virus, a terrorist attack or other disruption inside or outside the U.S., our systems may be inaccessible to our employees, customers or business partners for an extended period of time, and our employees may be unable to perform their duties for an extended period of time if our data or systems are disabled or destroyed. Our systems could also be subject to physical or electronic break-ins or unauthorized tampering. This may impede or interrupt our business operations and could adversely affect our consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

In addition, we routinely transmit, receive and store personal, confidential and proprietary information by email and other electronic means. Although we attempt to keep such information confidential, we may be unable to utilize such capabilities in all events, especially with clients, vendors, service providers, counterparties and other third parties who may not have or use appropriate controls to protect confidential information. Furthermore, certain of our businesses are subject to compliance with regulations enacted by U.S. federal and state governments, the European Union or other jurisdictions or enacted by various regulatory organizations or exchanges relating to the privacy of the information of clients, employees or others. A misuse or mishandling of confidential or proprietary information being sent to or received from an employee or third party could result in legal liability, regulatory action and reputational harm.

Regulatory Capital Credit Default Swap Portfolio

A deterioration in the credit markets may cause us to recognize unrealized market valuation losses which could have an adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition, consolidated results of operations or liquidity. Moreover, depending on how and when the Basel I capital standards are phased out, the period of time that AIGFP remains at risk for such deterioration could be longer than anticipated by AIGFP. A total of \$38.1 billion in net notional amount of the super senior credit default swap (CDS) portfolio of AIGFP as of December 31, 2010, represented derivatives written for financial institutions, principally in Europe, primarily for the purpose of providing regulatory capital relief rather than for arbitrage purposes. The net fair value of the net derivative asset for these CDS transactions was \$173 million at December 31, 2010.

The regulatory benefit of these transactions for AIGFP's financial institution counterparties was generally derived from the capital regulations promulgated by the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision known as Basel I. In December 2010, the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision finalized a new framework for international capital and liquidity standards known as Basel III, which, when fully implemented, may reduce or eliminate the regulatory benefits to certain counterparties from these transactions, and may thus impact the period of time that such counterparties are expected to hold the positions. AIGFP continues to reassess the expected maturity of this portfolio. As of December 31, 2010, AIGFP estimated that the weighted average expected maturity of the portfolio was 3.16 years.

Given the current performance of the underlying portfolios, the level of subordination of credit protection written by AIGFP and AIGFP's own assessment of the credit quality of the underlying portfolio, as well as the risk mitigants inherent in the transaction structures, AIGFP does not expect that it will be required to make payments pursuant to the contractual terms of those transactions providing regulatory capital relief. AIGFP will continue to assess the valuation of this portfolio and monitor developments in the marketplace. Given the potential deterioration in the credit markets and the risk that AIGFP's expectations with respect to the

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

termination of these transactions by its counterparties may not materialize, there can be no assurance that we will not recognize unrealized market valuation losses from this portfolio in future periods or be required to post collateral. Depending on how and when the Basel I regulatory requirements are phased out, we could also remain at risk for a longer period of time than currently anticipated.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

There are no material unresolved written comments that were received from the SEC staff 180 days or more before the end of AIG's fiscal year relating to AIG's periodic or current reports under the Exchange Act.

Item 2. Properties

AIG and its subsidiaries operate from approximately 500 offices in the United States and numerous offices in over 75 foreign countries. The following offices are located in buildings owned by AIG and its subsidiaries:

| | |
|--|--|
| Greensboro and Winston-Salem, North Carolina | Nashville, Tennessee |
| Amarillo, Ft. Worth and Houston, Texas | Stevens Point, Wisconsin |
| San Juan, Puerto Rico | 175 Water Street in New York, New York |
| Livingston, New Jersey | |

In addition, offices in more than 30 foreign countries and jurisdictions including Bermuda, Chile, Hong Kong, the Philippines, Japan, the U.K., Singapore, Malaysia, Taiwan and Thailand are located in buildings owned by AIG and its subsidiaries. The remainder of the office space utilized by AIG and its subsidiaries is leased. AIG believes that its leases and properties are sufficient for its current purposes.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

For a discussion of legal proceedings, see Note 16(a) to the Consolidated Financial Statements, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Part II**Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities**

AIG's common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange, as well as on the stock exchanges in Ireland and Tokyo.

The following table presents the high and low closing sale prices on the New York Stock Exchange Composite Tape and the dividends paid per share of AIG Common Stock for each quarter of 2010 and 2009.

| | 2010 | | | 2009 | | |
|----------------|----------|----------|----------------|----------|---------|----------------|
| | High | Low | Dividends Paid | High | Low | Dividends Paid |
| First quarter | \$ 36.24 | \$ 22.16 | \$ - | \$ 34.80 | \$ 7.00 | \$ - |
| Second quarter | 44.51 | 34.05 | - | 40.20 | 21.00 | - |
| Third quarter | 41.64 | 33.10 | - | 50.23 | 9.48 | - |
| Fourth quarter | 59.38 | 38.86 | - | 45.90 | 28.06 | - |

The approximate number of record holders of AIG Common Stock as of January 31, 2011 was 45,282.

AIG's table of equity compensation plans previously approved by security holders and equity compensation plans not previously approved by security holders will be included in the definitive proxy statement for AIG's 2011 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, which will be filed with the SEC no later than 120 days after the close of AIG's fiscal year pursuant to Regulation 14A.

Dividend Restrictions

Pursuant to terms of the AIG Series G Preferred Stock, AIG is not able to declare or pay any cash dividends on AIG Common Stock while the AIG Series G Preferred Stock is outstanding. In addition, AIG was unable to pay dividends in 2009 or 2010 under the terms of other series of AIG preferred stock that were outstanding from November 2008 through January 14, 2011.

For a discussion of certain restrictions on the payment of dividends to AIG by some of its insurance subsidiaries, see Item 1A. Risk Factors Liquidity AIG Parent's ability to access funds from its subsidiaries is limited, and Note 17 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Performance Graph

The following Performance Graph compares the cumulative total shareholder return on AIG Common Stock for a five-year period (December 31, 2005 to December 31, 2010) with the cumulative total return of the S&P's 500 stock index (which includes AIG) and a peer group of companies consisting of nine insurance companies to which AIG compares its business and operations:

| | |
|---|---|
| ACE Limited | Lincoln National Corporation |
| Aflac Incorporated | MetLife, Inc. |
| The Chubb Corporation | Prudential Financial, Inc. |
| Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc. | The Travelers Companies, Inc., and XL Capital Ltd. |

FIVE-YEAR CUMULATIVE TOTAL SHAREHOLDER RETURNS
Value of \$100 Invested on December 31, 2005

| | As of December 31, | | | | | |
|------------|---------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
| AIG | \$ 100.00 | \$ 106.05 | \$ 87.24 | \$ 2.54 | \$ 2.42 | \$ 4.65 |
| S&P 500 | 100.00 | 115.79 | 122.16 | 76.96 | 97.33 | 111.99 |
| Peer Group | 100.00 | 115.71 | 120.86 | 69.93 | 81.61 | 99.51 |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The Selected Consolidated Financial Data should be read in conjunction with Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and the Consolidated Financial Statements and accompanying notes included elsewhere herein. 2010 reflects the effects of AIG's sales of assets and therefore is not fully comparable to prior periods.

Years Ended December 31,

(in millions, except per share data)

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
|--|---------------|-----------------|------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Revenues: | | | | | |
| Premiums and other considerations | \$ 48,029 | \$ 51,239 | \$ 63,137 | \$ 61,581 | \$ 57,861 |
| Net investment income | 20,930 | 18,987 | 10,453 | 23,933 | 22,303 |
| Net realized capital losses | (279) | (5,210) | (46,794) | (3,248) | (324) |
| Unrealized market valuation gains (losses) on Capital Markets super senior credit default swap portfolio | 598 | 1,418 | (28,602) | (11,472) | - |
| Other income | 8,023 | 8,918 | (5,034) | 10,723 | 6,331 |
| Total revenues | 77,301 | 75,352 | (6,840) | 81,517 | 86,171 |
| Benefits, claims and expenses: | | | | | |
| Policyholder benefits and claims incurred | 45,874 | 50,015 | 51,036 | 50,928 | 47,220 |
| Policy acquisition and other insurance expenses | 15,820 | 15,864 | 20,833 | 15,644 | 15,404 |
| Interest expense | 7,859 | 14,238 | 15,713 | 3,483 | 2,476 |
| Restructuring expenses and related asset impairment and other expenses | 574 | 1,149 | 771 | - | - |
| Net (gain) loss on sale of divested businesses and properties ^(a) | (17,767) | 1,271 | - | - | - |
| Other expenses | 7,005 | 7,122 | 7,836 | 6,728 | 4,762 |
| Total benefits, claims and expenses | 59,365 | 89,659 | 96,189 | 76,783 | 69,862 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax expense (benefit) and cumulative effect of change in accounting principles ^(b) | 17,936 | (14,307) | (103,029) | 4,734 | 16,309 |
| Income tax expense (benefit) | 5,859 | (1,489) | (9,683) | 125 | 4,708 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations before cumulative effect of change in accounting principles | 12,077 | (12,818) | (93,346) | 4,609 | 11,601 |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax | (2,064) | 505 | (7,041) | 2,879 | 3,549 |
| Net income (loss) | 10,013 | (12,313) | (100,387) | 7,488 | 15,150 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to AIG | 7,786 | (10,949) | (99,289) | 6,200 | 14,048 |
| Earnings per common share attributable to AIG: | | | | | |
| Basic | | | | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations before cumulative effect of change in accounting principles | 14.75 | (93.69) | (704.26) | 26.32 | 81.16 |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations | (3.15) | 3.21 | (52.59) | 21.66 | 26.31 |
| Cumulative effect of change in accounting principles, net of tax | - | - | - | - | 0.26 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to AIG | 11.60 | (90.48) | (756.85) | 47.98 | 107.73 |
| Diluted | | | | | |
| Income (loss) before cumulative effect of change in accounting principles | 14.75 | (93.69) | (704.26) | 26.18 | 80.76 |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations | (3.15) | 3.21 | (52.59) | 21.55 | 26.16 |
| Cumulative effect of change in accounting principles, net of tax | - | - | - | - | 0.26 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to AIG | 11.60 | (90.48) | (756.85) | 47.73 | 107.18 |
| Dividends declared per common share | - | - | 8.40 | 15.40 | 13.00 |

Year-end balance sheet data:

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|--|-----------------|---------|----------|-----------|---------|
| Total investments | 410,412 | 601,165 | 636,912 | 829,468 | 767,812 |
| Total assets | 683,443 | 847,585 | 860,418 | 1,048,361 | 979,414 |
| Commercial paper and other short-term debt | - | 4,739 | 15,718 | 13,114 | 13,028 |
| Long-term debt ^(c) | 106,461 | 136,733 | 177,485 | 162,935 | 135,650 |
| Total AIG shareholders' equity | 85,319 | 69,824 | 52,710 | 95,801 | 101,677 |
| Total equity | 113,239 | 98,076 | 60,805 | 104,273 | 107,037 |
| Other data (from continuing operations): | | | | | |
| Other-than-temporary impairments | 3,039 | 6,696 | 41,867 | 4,212 | 885 |
| Goodwill impairment charges | - | 693 | 3,744 | - | - |
| Adjustment to federal and foreign deferred tax valuation allowance | 1,486 | 3,137 | 20,121 | 212 | 38 |
| Amortization of prepaid commitment fee | 3,424 | 8,311 | 9,250 | - | - |
| Catastrophe-related losses | \$ 1,076 | \$ 53 | \$ 1,840 | \$ 276 | \$ - |

- (a) *Reflects a \$16.3 billion gain from shares sold in the initial public offering of AIA Group Limited.*
- (b) *Reduced by fourth quarter reserve strengthening charges of \$4.2 billion and \$2.2 billion in 2010 and 2009, respectively, related to the annual review of Chartis loss and loss adjustment reserves.*
- (c) *Includes that portion of long-term debt maturing in less than one year. See Note 15 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.*

See Note 2(y) to the Consolidated Financial Statements for effects of adopting new accounting standards.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Information

This Annual Report on Form 10-K and other publicly available documents may include, and AIG's officers and representatives may from time to time make, projections and statements that may constitute "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These projections and statements are not historical facts but instead represent only AIG's belief regarding future events, many of which, by their nature, are inherently uncertain and outside AIG's control. These projections and statements may address, among other things:

the timing of the disposition of the ownership position of the United States Department of the Treasury (Department of the Treasury) in AIG;

the timing and method of repayment of the preferred interests (the SPV Preferred Interests) in AIA Aurora LLC and ALICO Holdings LLC (together, the SPVs) held by the Department of the Treasury;

AIG's exposures to subprime mortgages, monoline insurers and the residential and commercial real estate markets;

AIG's credit exposures to state and municipal bond issuers;

AIG's strategy for risk management;

AIG's ability to retain and motivate its employees; and

AIG's strategy for customer retention, growth, product development, market position, financial results and reserves.

It is possible that AIG's actual results and financial condition will differ, possibly materially, from the anticipated results and financial condition indicated in these projections and statements. Factors that could cause AIG's actual results to differ, possibly materially, from those in the specific projections and statements include:

actions by credit rating agencies;

changes in market conditions;

the occurrence of catastrophic events;

significant legal proceedings;

concentrations in AIG's investment portfolios, including its municipal bond portfolio;

judgments concerning casualty insurance underwriting and reserves;

judgments concerning the recognition of deferred tax assets; and

such other factors as are discussed throughout this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (MD&A) and in Item 1A. Risk Factors of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

AIG is not under any obligation (and expressly disclaims any obligation) to update or alter any projection or other statement, whether written or oral, that may be made from time to time, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

Use of Non-GAAP Measures

Throughout this MD&A, AIG presents its operations in the way it believes will be most meaningful and representative of ongoing operations as well as most transparent. Certain of the measurements used by AIG management are "non-GAAP financial measures" under SEC rules and regulations.

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Underwriting profit (loss) is utilized to report results for Chartis operations. Operating income (loss), which is before net realized capital gains (losses) and related DAC and sales inducement asset (SIA) amortization and goodwill impairment charges, is utilized to report results for SunAmerica Financial Group (SunAmerica) operations. Management believes that these measures enhance the understanding of the underlying profitability of the ongoing operations of these businesses and allow for more meaningful comparisons with AIG's insurance competitors.

Executive Overview

This executive overview of management's discussion and analysis highlights selected information and may not contain all of the information that is important investors in AIG's securities. This Annual Report on Form 10-K should be read in its entirety for a complete description of events, trends and uncertainties as well as the capital, liquidity, credit, operational and market risks and the critical accounting estimates affecting AIG and its subsidiaries.

Highlights

AIG has completed the following significant milestones in connection with executing its recapitalization plan (the Recapitalization), raising capital and executing its asset disposition plan:

Recapitalization and Raising Capital

On January 14, 2011 (the Closing), AIG completed the Recapitalization, which included repaying and terminating the credit facility provided by the Federal Reserve Bank of New York (the FRBNY, and such credit facility, the FRBNY Credit Facility), applying proceeds from the AIA Group Limited (AIA) initial public offering and American Life Insurance Company (ALICO) sale to partially repay the government's ownership interests in special purpose vehicles that held AIA and ALICO, and exchanging preferred stock held by the Department of the Treasury and the AIG Credit Facility Trust (the Trust) for AIG Common Stock. As a result of the termination of the FRBNY Credit Facility, AIG expects to record a net \$3.3 billion pre-tax charge in the first quarter of 2011, primarily representing the accelerated amortization of the prepaid commitment fee asset.

During 2010, International Lease Finance Corporation (ILFC) raised a total of \$9.8 billion in debt financings and entered into an unsecured \$2.0 billion three-year revolving credit facility in January 2011.

On December 23, 2010, AIG entered into 364-day and three-year bank credit facilities totaling \$3 billion and Chartis, Inc. entered into a one-year \$1.3 billion letter of credit facility.

On December 8, 2010, AIG established a \$500 million contingent liquidity facility.

On November 30, 2010, AIG issued \$2.0 billion in senior debt in its first bond sale since the summer of 2008.

On November 24, 2010, AIG exchanged 49,474,600 of its Equity Units for 4,881,667 shares of AIG Common Stock and \$162 million in cash.

Sales of Businesses and Specific Asset Dispositions

On February 1, 2011, AIG completed the sale of its Japan-based life insurance subsidiaries, AIG Star Life Insurance Co., Ltd. (AIG Star) and AIG Edison Life Insurance Company (AIG Edison), to Prudential Financial, Inc., for \$4.8 billion, consisting of \$4.2 billion in cash and \$0.6 billion in the assumption of third-party debt.

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

On January 12, 2011, AIG entered into an agreement to sell its 97.57 percent interest in Nan Shan Life Insurance Company, Ltd. (Nan Shan) for \$2.16 billion in cash.

On November 30, 2010, AIG completed the sale of 80 percent of American General Finance Inc. (AGF) and retained a 20 percent economic interest in the AGF business.

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

On November 1, 2010, AIG completed the sale of ALICO to MetLife, Inc. (MetLife) for approximately \$16.2 billion (\$7.2 billion in cash and the remainder in securities of MetLife).

On October 29, 2010, AIG sold, in an initial public offering, 8.08 billion shares (or 67 percent) of AIA for \$20.51 billion.

AIG Parent had \$14.5 billion of actual and contingent liquidity at February 16, 2011. As a result of the foregoing and additional non-core asset sales and internal liquidity repositioning transactions, AIG has concluded that it has sufficient liquidity to satisfy its future liquidity requirements, including reasonably foreseeable contingencies or events.

See Capital Resources and Liquidity herein and Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information on these transactions.

2010 Financial Overview

AIG's income from continuing operations before income taxes amounted to \$17.9 billion in 2010 compared to a loss of \$14.3 billion in 2009. The improvement of \$32.2 billion compared to 2009 reflects significant divestiture activity discussed further below as well as the following additional items:

a gain of \$17.8 billion on sales of divested businesses in 2010, which includes a gain of \$16.3 billion from the completion of the initial public offering and listing of AIA ordinary shares on the Stock Exchange of Hong Kong on October 29, 2010, as well as a gain of \$1.3 billion recognized in 2010 related to the sale of AIG's headquarters building in Tokyo in 2009 which gain had been deferred until the expiration of certain lease provisions. In 2009, AIG incurred losses of \$1.3 billion from sales of divested businesses;

a decline in interest expense on the FRBNY Credit Facility, primarily due to lower amortization of the prepaid commitment asset, including accelerated amortization. Total amortization declined from \$8.3 billion in 2009 to \$3.4 billion in 2010;

a reduction in net realized capital losses of \$4.9 billion as discussed in Consolidated Results – Net Realized Capital Gains (Losses);

an improvement of \$2.7 billion in asset management pre-tax earnings, reflecting a portion of the gain recorded on the Tokyo headquarters sale discussed above, decreases in impairment charges on proprietary real estate and private equity investments and the effect of goodwill impairment charges recorded in 2009;

an increase of \$1.9 billion in net investment income, primarily driven by higher valuation gains associated with AIG's interests in Maiden Lane II LLC (ML II) and Maiden Lane III LLC (ML III) (together, the Maiden Lane Interests) as well as increased income from partnership investment; and

an improvement in underwriting results of \$2.0 billion for Mortgage Guaranty operations primarily due to lower claims and claims adjustment expenses, commutations and favorable prior year reserve development arising from increased cures, rescissions and claim denials.

These improvements were partially offset by the following:

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

an increased underwriting loss for Chartis reflecting a net reserve strengthening charge of \$4.2 billion in the fourth quarter of 2010 primarily related to its asbestos, excess casualty, and workers' compensation lines; and

a decline of \$2.6 billion in Financial Services pre-tax income, reflecting a reduction in unrealized market valuation gains on the super senior credit default swap portfolio in Capital Markets of \$820 million, as well as an increase in ILFC impairment charges of \$1.6 billion.

Additionally, AIG recorded a net loss from discontinued operations of \$2.1 billion in 2010, compared to net income from discontinued operations of \$505 million in 2009. The net loss in 2010 reflected goodwill impairment charges of \$4.6 billion related to the sales of ALICO and AIG Star and AIG Edison. See Note 2(k) to the Consolidated Financial Statements and Consolidated Results – Discontinued Operations for further discussion.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

See Results of Operations herein for additional discussion of our results.

2011 Outlook

Priorities for 2011

AIG is focused on the following priorities for 2011:

continuing to strengthen and grow AIG's businesses;

executing one or more primary offerings of AIG Common Stock;

implementing plans to maximize the value of resources available for repayment of the SPV Preferred Interests held by the Department of the Treasury;

continuing to build and strengthen the financial and operating systems infrastructure throughout the organization, particularly in financial reporting, financial operations and human resources;

restructuring AIG's operations consistent with its smaller size and plans to increase its competitiveness;

completing the active unwind of AIGFP's portfolios by June 30, 2011; and

closing the Nan Shan sale.

2011 Business Outlook

Chartis

Given current insurance capital levels and overall economic conditions, 2011 will be a challenging year as Chartis expects a weak growth environment in most developed economies. The continued weakness of ratable exposures (i.e. asset values, payrolls, and sales) over the past year and its negative impact on the overall market premium base, and in addition, in the U.S., continued weakness in commercial insurance rates, are likely to continue into much of 2011. In Growth Economies such as Brazil, Turkey, India, and Asia Pacific countries, Chartis anticipates improved growth rates.

In 2011, Chartis expects to continue to execute capital management initiatives by enhancing broad-based risk tolerance guidelines for its operating units and executing underwriting and reinsurance strategies to improve capital ratios and reduce volatility, increase return on equity by line of business and reduce exposure to businesses where inadequate pricing and increased loss trends exist. Chartis will also continue to aggressively pursue cost saving initiatives that were undertaken in the later part of 2010. Further, Chartis plans to continue to attract, retain and develop human capital and continue to build on strategies implemented during 2010 to better align employee performance incentive programs with profitability, capital management, risk management and compliance objectives.

Chartis U.S. expects both gross and net written premiums to remain generally consistent with 2010 levels. However, its business mix is expected to continue to reflect efforts to align risk profile with risk tolerance, with the goal of meeting profitability and capital management objectives. Also, Chartis has initiated a number of steps to address historical experience with respect to adverse development. Changes include exiting certain classes of its excess workers' compensation business, increased actuarial involvement in product pricing and attachments, increased utilization of pricing models with actuarial support, policy form changes, increased policy exclusions and fewer multi-year policies

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

being offered. As a result, Chartis U.S. expects continued growth within its consumer lines business and an overall decline in certain classes of its commercial lines businesses.

Chartis International expects continued growth of its net written premiums in 2011. Given its well-established franchises and operations, expectations with regards to continued globalization and growth in the gross domestic product within countries included in the Growth Economies region, Chartis International intends to increase its insurance penetration and growth within commercial liability businesses overseas. This growth is expected in the Far East and Growth Economy Regions. Strong pricing discipline in a continued soft market is expected to keep

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

the Europe Region net written premiums at levels consistent with 2010. Far East growth in 2011 is expected to be attributable to the full-year inclusion of the Fuji Fire & Marine Insurance Company Limited (Fuji) results of operations, compared to only six months for 2010. Further, in connection with this purchase, Chartis recognized certain net intangible liabilities. The amortization of these net intangible liabilities is expected to continue to have a beneficial impact on the 2011 expense ratio.

On February 10, 2011, Chartis announced an all-cash tender offer for the 45.3 percent of outstanding Fuji shares that it does not already own, as well as outstanding stock acquisition rights. The announced offering period began February 14, 2011 and is expected to close on March 24, 2011. Following the completion of the tender offer, Chartis intends to take any additional steps necessary to acquire all remaining shares in Fuji. The cost for all outstanding shares not currently held and related transaction fees is estimated at \$590 million and will be funded from available cash within Chartis' insurance companies.

This transaction is consistent with Chartis' strategy to diversify its portfolio of businesses on both a geographic and product line basis and is intended to strengthen Chartis' position in the consolidating Japanese market, while enabling Fuji to benefit from Chartis' global operational resources and financial strength.

Following completion of its annual comprehensive loss reserve review, Chartis recorded a \$4.2 billion reserve charge, net of \$435 million in discount and loss sensitive business premium adjustments, for the fourth quarter of 2010 to strengthen loss reserves, reflecting adverse development on prior accident years in classes of business with long reporting tails. Four classes – asbestos, excess casualty, excess workers' compensation, and primary workers' compensation – comprise approximately 80 percent of the total charge. The majority of the strengthening relates to development in accident years 2005 and prior. These adjustments reflect management's current best estimate of the ultimate value of the underlying claims. These liabilities are necessarily subject to the impact of future changes in claim severity and frequency, as well as numerous other factors. Although AIG believes that these estimated liabilities are reasonable, because of the extended period of time over which such claims are reported and settled, the subsequent development of these liabilities in future periods may not conform to the assumptions inherent in their determination and, accordingly, may vary materially from the amounts previously recorded. To the extent actual emerging loss experience varies from the current assumptions used to determine these liabilities, they will be adjusted to reflect actual experience. Such adjustments, to the extent they occur, will be reported in the period recognized. AIG continues to monitor these liabilities and will take active steps to mitigate future adverse development.

Australia has suffered a series of catastrophic floods in 2010 and 2011. Chartis recorded a catastrophe loss in the fourth quarter of 2010 of \$139 million and anticipates significant claims from the 2011 floods.

On April 20, 2010, an explosion on the Deepwater Horizon offshore drilling rig, operating in the Gulf of Mexico off the coast of Louisiana, resulted in a fire that led to the sinking of the rig and subsequent oil spill. AIG continues to monitor the casualty exposure to Deepwater Horizon and believes that carried loss reserves at December 31, 2010 are adequate to cover estimated losses attributable to this event. However, AIG's claims estimates may change over time, as the forensic investigation is incomplete, and litigation is in its early stages.

SunAmerica

SunAmerica intends in 2011 to expand its distribution capabilities, reposition its excess cash and liquidity, maintain a strong statutory surplus, pro-actively manage expenses and increase dividends paid to AIG Parent.

SunAmerica intends to improve net investment income results in 2011 by investing its excess cash and liquid assets into longer-term higher-yielding securities to improve spreads, while actively managing credit and liquidity risks. However, acquiring higher-yielding investments that otherwise meet SunAmerica's investment criteria in the current low interest rate environment is expected to remain challenging.

SunAmerica's fixed annuity business is affected by the interest rate environment in several ways. The primary effects are fluctuations in sales volumes related to the absolute level of interest rates and the relative steepness of the yield curve. In low interest rate environments, new sales of fixed annuities tend to be lower as consumers see

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

less opportunity to improve their return on savings by purchasing a fixed annuity. With respect to yield curves, steep yield curves provide a relative advantage to products such as fixed annuities that have a longer investment horizon than alternative savings products like money market funds and certificates of deposit. After a period of historically low interest rates during the latter part of 2010, interest rates generally increased at the longer part of the yield curve late in the year. This change in the interest rate environment increased the relative attractiveness of fixed annuities compared to alternative products. Should that interest rate environment continue or increase, SunAmerica's fixed annuity sales should improve. If the environment returns to one of lower interest rates, sales volumes would continue to be challenged.

Spreads in the fixed annuity business are largely dependent upon three factors: base yields on the traditional fixed income investments (e.g., bonds, mortgage- and asset-backed securities, commercial mortgages), returns on alternative investments (hedge funds and private equity partnerships), and other income items such as the ML II investment income and call and tender income. Base yields on the core fixed income portfolio are the primary source of investment income and were lower than historical trends in 2009 and 2010 due to high levels of cash and short-term investments accumulated for liquidity in that period. SunAmerica is focused on redeploying those lower-yielding assets into higher-yielding traditional investments. To the extent this reinvestment is achieved, the base investment yield and the spread over the cost of funds on the fixed annuity business should increase. Alternative investment returns are affected by equity markets and the interest rate environment, but generally, a favorable equity market should provide positive returns and also improve investment spreads.

SunAmerica has experienced a recovery of sales in its variable annuity business as various distribution partners have resumed sales of SunAmerica's products during 2010. SunAmerica recently announced that its formerly largest distribution partner for variable annuities has agreed to resume distribution of SunAmerica's products in mid-2011. As a result of broader distribution opportunities and improvement in the equity markets, SunAmerica expects continued improvement in its variable annuity sales.

SunAmerica companies issue variable annuity contracts with guaranteed benefits, primarily in the individual variable annuity business. Those benefits expose SunAmerica to equity market and interest rate risks which SunAmerica seeks to mitigate with a dynamic hedging program. The fees charged for guaranteed benefits were generally set with the intent that fees would, over time, be sufficient to fund the hedging instruments and pay potential claims under those benefits. In response to the difficult market environment of recent years that has increased the cost of funding the hedging instruments, SunAmerica adjusted the levels of guarantees and changed the basis for fees in response to market conditions, particularly changes in implied volatilities. These changes have reduced the risk exposure in new variable annuity business and position SunAmerica for growth in sales in a very competitive market.

The estimated gross profits used to amortize Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs (DAC), Value of Business Acquired (VOBA) and SIA are subject to differing market returns and interest rate environments in any single period. Estimated gross profits is comprised of net interest income, net realized investment gains and losses, fees, surrender charges, expenses, and mortality and morbidity gains and losses. SunAmerica uses a reversion to mean methodology to account for fluctuations in separate account returns. Continued favorable separate account returns could trigger a favorable unlocking, where the reversion to mean assumption is reset. If current favorable equity market returns continue in 2011, such an unlocking could occur during 2011, which could result in higher amortization requirements in future periods.

SunAmerica generally obtains letters of credit in order to receive statutory recognition of its intercompany reinsurance transactions, particularly with respect to redundant statutory reserve requirements on term insurance and universal life with secondary guarantees (XXX and AXXX reserves). For this purpose, SunAmerica had a \$2.5 billion syndicated letter of credit facility outstanding at December 31, 2010, all of which relate to intercompany life reinsurance transactions. SunAmerica has also obtained approximately \$2.3 billion of letters of credit on a bilateral basis, all of which relate to intercompany life reinsurance transactions. All of these approximately \$4.8 billion in letters of credit are due to mature on December 31, 2015. The fees paid to maintain any bilateral letters of credit will likely be based on AIG's long-term debt ratings.

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Under the terms of approximately \$2.2 billion of the bilateral letters of credit, however, the issuing bank has the right to base its fees on AIG's credit default swap pricing, and elected to do so in September 2010. This change increased the fees for maintaining these letters of credit by approximately \$48 million annually.

The life insurance industry continues to be challenged by XXX reserve funding requirements. While capital markets' solutions for financing these reserves are becoming less restrictive than in prior years, the capacity for and cost of available solutions, such as letters of credit and securitization, have not returned to pre-2008 levels.

Financial Services

International Lease Finance Corporation

In 2011, ILFC will focus on the following to improve its credit rating in 2011:

transition its focus from liquidity to planning for long-term capital requirements as it further strengthens its already diversified financing profile and re-establishes back-up sources of liquidity;

implement a long-term aircraft portfolio strategy; as it acquires new aircraft to support comprehensive fleet solutions for airlines, ILFC will seek to reduce its portfolio to bolster the core at the same time as it maximizes value from other aircraft; and

focus on its customers through increased interaction, including the opening of regional offices, to enhance its regional presence.

In 2011, ILFC sees a positive outlook for aircraft leasing. ILFC anticipates the following:

a continuing recovery in airline revenues, although such recovery and the profitability of such recovery will remain vulnerable to increasing fuel costs and other political and economic disruptions;

fleet flexibility and lower cash requirements offered by operating leases will continue to be valuable to the airline industry; and

stabilization and improvement in lease rates and market values of aircraft.

Capital Markets Wind-Down

During 2010, AIG continued to make progress winding down the Capital Markets derivatives portfolio. At December 31, 2010, the net notional amount of the portfolio was \$352.8 billion (including \$11.5 billion of intercompany derivatives), of which \$59.9 billion were super senior credit default swap contracts. AIG expects the active unwind of the Capital Markets derivatives portfolio to be completed by the end of the second quarter of 2011, and the remaining Capital Markets derivatives portfolio will consist predominantly of transactions that AIG believes will be of low complexity, low risk, supportive of AIG's risk management objectives or not economically appropriate to unwind based on a cost versus benefit analysis.

Other Operations

Parent & Other

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

In connection with the January 2011 repayment of the FRBNY Credit Facility from proceeds of the AIA IPO and ALICO sale, AIG expects to record a net \$3.3 billion pre-tax charge from extinguishment of debt in the first quarter of 2011, primarily representing the accelerated amortization of the prepaid commitment fee asset. As a consequence of the significant reduction in outstanding debt realized in connection with the Recapitalization, AIG's interest expense is expected to decline significantly in 2011 as a result of the repayment.

AIG also expects restructuring expenses attributable to disposition activity will decline as AIG completes the remaining dispositions in 2011.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Mortgage Guaranty

The improvement in UGC's 2010 results is primarily the result of declining levels of newly reported delinquencies in the first-lien, second-lien and international products, higher cure rates on existing first-lien and international delinquent loans, the effect of stop-loss limits on certain second-lien and international policies, increased rescission activity on domestic first-lien claims, the commutation of several blocks of loan risks, and increased efforts to modify payment plans for currently delinquent domestic first-lien loans. If these trends persist, UGC's financial results may continue to show improvement in future quarters. However, there remains considerable uncertainty about the longer term outlook for the housing market, U.S. unemployment rates, the impact of future foreclosures on domestic home prices, loan modification programs, the elimination of tax credits for first-time homebuyers, moratoriums on foreclosures by certain lenders and mortgage insurance rescission rates and the effects, if any, these factors may have on UGC's financial results.

Direct Investment Business

During 2010, AIG made progress in the wind-down of the Global Real Estate business by reducing debt, guarantees and other funding requirements while monetizing assets within the portfolio which included the sale of discrete assets, joint venture restructuring and the sale of certain business platforms both domestically and internationally. During 2011, AIG expects to continue the wind-down of the Global Real Estate platform. AIG carefully evaluates various alternative exit strategies for each property and chooses the strategy it deems most appropriate. Although orderly, the wind-down has produced and will continue to produce income statement volatility resulting from impairments on real estate assets and subsequent gains on the defeasance of non-recourse debt. AIG continues to monitor funding requirements of the business.

During the fourth quarter of 2010, AIG either sold or internally securitized Direct Investment assets. These actions have produced sufficient cash to fund the expected 2011 maturities of the Direct Investment portfolio. During 2011, management expects to pursue measures to maximize cash flows from these invested assets while continuing its efforts to align the timing of the combined cash flows between the MIP and certain non-derivative assets and liabilities of AIGFP.

The remainder of MD&A is organized as follows:

| Index | Page |
|--|-------------|
| Capital Resources and Liquidity | 51 |
| Overview | 51 |
| Results of Operations | 69 |
| Consolidated Results | 69 |
| Segment Results | 76 |
| Chartis Operations | 77 |
| SunAmerica Operations | 110 |
| Financial Services Operations | 115 |
| Other Operations | 119 |
| Investments | 125 |
| Investment Strategy | 125 |
| Other-Than-Temporary Impairments | 137 |
| Enterprise Risk Management | 143 |
| Overview | 143 |
| Credit Risk Management | 146 |
| Market Risk Management | 149 |
| Operational Risk Management | 151 |
| Segment Risk Management | 152 |
| Critical Accounting Estimates | 161 |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

AIG has incorporated into this discussion a number of cross-references to additional information included throughout this Annual Report on Form 10-K to assist readers seeking additional information related to a particular subject.

Capital Resources and Liquidity

Overview

As a result of the recent closing of the Recapitalization, AIG's liquidity management practices have changed considerably. Since September 2008, the FRBNY Credit Facility had been utilized as a primary source of liquidity. In addition, since 2009, the commitment provided by the Department of the Treasury (the Department of the Treasury Commitment (Series F)), relating to AIG's Series F Fixed Rate Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, par value \$5.00 per share (the Series F Preferred Stock), had been used as a source of funding, primarily to support the capital needs of AIG's insurance company subsidiaries. However, at the closing of the Recapitalization, as described more fully above and in Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, AIG fully repaid and terminated the FRBNY Credit Facility, and the Department of the Treasury Commitment (Series F) was exchanged for, among other consideration, a commitment (the Series G Drawdown Right) by the Department of the Treasury to fund up to \$2 billion in liquidation preference of AIG's Series G Cumulative Mandatory Convertible Preferred Stock, par value \$5.00 per share (the Series G Preferred Stock). AIG also completed the following transactions to enhance its liquidity and capital position:

In the fourth quarter of 2010, AIG issued an aggregate of \$2 billion in senior unsecured notes, comprised of \$500 million in three-year notes and \$1.5 billion in ten-year notes. In addition, AIG established a \$500 million contingent liquidity facility.

In December 2010, AIG entered into an aggregate of \$3 billion in unsecured and committed bank credit facilities, split evenly between a 364-day and a three-year credit facility. These facilities became available upon the closing of the Recapitalization and are intended to support general corporate purposes.

In December 2010, Chartis Inc. entered into a one-year \$1.3 billion letter of credit facility, which provides for the issuance of letters of credit in favor of certain of Chartis' insurance companies.

In January 2011, ILFC entered into an unsecured three-year \$2 billion revolving credit facility. ILFC may borrow under this facility for general corporate purposes.

For further discussion of the terms and conditions relating to these above-referenced credit facilities, see Credit Facilities below.

As a result of various actions taken in the fourth quarter of 2010 and in 2011 to date, AIG Parent has generated substantial cash and short-term investment balances, and has established significant sources of contingent liquidity.

Liquidity Adequacy Management

In 2010, AIG implemented a stress testing and liquidity framework to systematically assess AIG's aggregate exposure to its most significant risks. This framework is built on AIG's existing Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) stress testing methodology for both insurance and non-insurance operations. The scenarios are performed with a two-year time horizon and capital adequacy requirements consider both financial and insurance risks.

AIG's insurance operations must comply with numerous constraints on their minimum capital positions. These constraints are guiding requirements for capital adequacy for individual businesses, based on capital assessments under rating agency, regulatory and business requirements. Using ERM's stress testing methodology, the capital impact of potential stresses is evaluated relative to the binding capital constraint of each business operation in order to determine AIG Parent's liquidity needs to support the insurance operations and maintain their target capitalization levels. Added to this amount is the contingent liquidity required under stressed scenarios for non-insurance operations, including the AIGFP derivatives portfolio, the Direct Investment business and ILFC.

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

AIG's consolidated risk target is to maintain a minimum liquidity buffer such that AIG Parent's liquidity needs under the ERM stress scenarios do not exceed 80 percent of AIG Parent's overall liquidity sources over the specified two-year horizon. If the 80 percent minimum threshold is projected to be breached over this defined time horizon, AIG will take appropriate actions to further increase liquidity sources or reduce liquidity needs to maintain the target threshold, although no assurance can be given that this would be possible under then-prevailing market conditions.

AIG expects to enter into additional capital maintenance agreements with its U.S. insurance companies to manage the flow of capital and funds between AIG Parent and the insurance companies.

As a result of these ERM stress tests, AIG believes that it has sufficient liquidity at the AIG Parent level to satisfy future liquidity requirements and meet its obligations, including reasonably foreseeable contingencies or events.

See further discussion regarding AIG Parent and subsidiary liquidity considerations in Liquidity of Parent and Subsidiaries below.

Analysis of sources and uses of cash

The following table presents selected data from AIG's Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows:

Years Ended December 31,

(in millions)

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|---|-----------|-----------|----------|
| Summary: | | | |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | \$ 16,910 | \$ 18,584 | \$ (122) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | (10,225) | 5,778 | 47,176 |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (9,261) | (28,997) | (40,734) |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash | 39 | 533 | 38 |
| Change in cash | (2,537) | (4,102) | 6,358 |
| Cash at beginning of year | 4,400 | 8,642 | 2,284 |
| Reclassification of assets held for sale | (305) | (140) | - |
| Cash at end of year | \$ 1,558 | \$ 4,400 | \$ 8,642 |

Net cash provided by operating activities was positive for both 2010 and 2009 compared to negative in 2008, principally due to positive cash flows from AIG's life insurance subsidiaries.

Insurance companies generally receive most premiums in advance of the payment of claims or policy benefits, but the ability of Chartis to generate positive cash flow is affected by operating expenses, the frequency and severity of losses under its insurance policies and policy retention rates. Cash provided by Chartis operations was \$1.9 billion for 2010 compared to \$2.8 billion in 2009 as a reduction in claims paid was more than offset by declines in premiums collected, arising primarily from a decrease in domestic production. Catastrophic events and significant casualty losses, the timing and effect of which are inherently unpredictable, reduce operating cash flow for Chartis operations. Cash provided by AIG's life insurance subsidiaries, including entities presented as discontinued operations, was \$15.5 billion for 2010 compared to \$9.1 billion in 2009 as growth in international markets was partially offset by a decrease in cash flows from domestic operations. Cash flows provided from Financial Services including entities presented as discontinued operations were \$1.4 billion and \$5.4 billion for 2010 and 2009, respectively. The decrease can be attributed in part to the continued wind-down of AIGFP's businesses and portfolio.

Cash provided by Chartis was \$2.8 billion for 2009 compared to \$4.8 billion in 2008 as a reduction in claims paid was more than offset by reduced premiums collected. Cash provided by life insurance operations, including entities presented as discontinued operations, was \$9.1 billion for 2009 compared to \$22 billion in 2008. Reduced cash flows were primarily driven by the continuing impact of the negative events during the second half of 2008. Cash provided from Financial Services, including entities presented as discontinued operations, was \$5.4 billion for 2009 compared to \$28.9 billion operating cash outflows in 2008, primarily related to collateral posting requirements.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

The Capital Markets wind-down and other segment developments affecting pre-tax income (loss) described above are discussed further in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity of Parent and Subsidiaries Financial Services Capital Markets Wind-down.

Accrued compounding interest and fees (reflected as non-cash expenses) were paid in kind in 2010 and 2009 under the provisions of the FRBNY Credit Facility and, accordingly, did not reduce operating cash flow in any period. Debt under the FRBNY Credit Facility includes total accrued compounding interest and fees of \$6.4 billion at December 31, 2010. This amount was fully repaid in cash on January 14, 2011 as part of the Recapitalization.

Net cash used in investing activities in 2010 primarily resulted from net purchases of fixed maturity securities, resulting from AIG's investment of cash generated from operating activities, and the redeployment of liquidity that had been accumulated by the insurance companies in 2008 and 2009. In these years, Net cash provided by investing activities resulted from the net proceeds from the sale and maturity of investments.

Net cash used in financing activities was significantly lower in 2010 than in 2009, primarily as a result of declines in policyholder contract withdrawals, reflecting improved conditions for the life insurance and retirement services businesses was partially offset by the issuance of long-term debt by ILFC, which is discussed in Liquidity of Parent and Subsidiaries Financial Services ILFC. Net cash used in financing activities was significantly lower in 2009 than in 2008, also primarily as a result of declines in policyholder contract withdrawals, reduction of payments and the FRBNY Credit Facility and a reduction in repayments of other borrowings. See Contractual Obligations herein for additional information.

Liquidity of Parent and Subsidiaries**AIG Parent***Sources of Liquidity*

As a result of the Closing of the Recapitalization, AIG has established and maintains substantial sources of actual and contingent liquidity. **The following table presents AIG Parent's sources of liquidity in addition to liquidity that is expected to result from cash flows from operations:**

| <i>(In millions)</i> | As of | |
|--|-------------------|--------------------------|
| | December 31, 2010 | February 16, 2011 |
| Cash ^(a) | \$ 49 | \$ - |
| Short-term investments ^(a) | 5,602 | 8,953 |
| Available capacity under Syndicated Credit Facility ^(b) | - | 3,000 |
| Available capacity under Contingent Liquidity Facility | 500 | 500 |
| Available capacity under the Department of the Treasury Commitment (Series G) ^(b) | - | 2,000 |
| Available borrowing under the FRBNY Credit Facility ^(c) | 9,890 | - |
| Available capacity under the Department of the Treasury Commitment (Series F) ^(c) | 22,292 | - |
| Total AIG Parent liquidity sources ^(d) | \$ 38,333 | \$ 14,453 ^(e) |

(a) *Includes total Cash and Short-term investments for AIG Parent. See Note 25 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.*

(b) *The Syndicated Credit Facility and the Series G Drawdown Right became effective January 14, 2011, the closing date of the Recapitalization.*

(c)

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

The FRBNY Credit Facility was fully repaid and terminated, and the Department of Treasury Commitment (Series F) was drawn down on the closing of the Recapitalization.

- (d) *Excludes Cash and Short-term Investments held by AIGFP (excluding Banque AIG S.A.) which are considered to be unrestricted and available for use by AIG Parent; these balances totaled \$421 million at December 31, 2010 and \$494 million at February 16, 2011.*
- (e) *Does not give effect to reduction for a \$3.7 billion capital contribution made by AIG Parent to Chartis after February 16, 2011.*

FRBNY Credit Facility: At December 31, 2010, a total of \$21.0 billion was outstanding under the FRBNY Credit Facility, a net decrease of \$2.5 billion from December 31, 2009. The amount outstanding at December 31, 2010 included \$6.4 billion of accrued compounding interest and fees. On January 14, 2011, AIG used cash proceeds from the AIA initial public offering and the sale of ALICO to fully repay and terminate the FRBNY

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Credit Facility in connection with the closing of the Recapitalization. See Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information.

Department of the Treasury Commitment: At December 31, 2010, a total of \$7.5 billion was outstanding under the Department of the Treasury Commitment (Series F), an increase of \$2.2 billion from December 31, 2009. On January 14, 2011 AIG drew down approximately \$20.3 billion under this (Series F) Commitment to purchase a portion of the SPV Preferred Interests that were exchanged with the Department of the Treasury. In connection with the closing of the Recapitalization, \$2 billion under the (Series F) Commitment was exchanged for the Series G Drawdown Right, and the Series G Drawdown Right became effective on that date. See Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information.

Syndicated and Contingent Facilities: Bank credit facilities totaling \$3 billion became available upon the closing of the Recapitalization on January 14, 2011 and AIG had previously established a \$500 million contingent liquidity facility in December 2010. AIG's ability to borrow under the facilities is not contingent on its credit ratings. For further discussion of the terms and conditions relating to the bank credit facilities, see Credit Facilities below. For additional information on the contingent liquidity facility see Debt below.

AIG Parent's primary sources of cash flow are dividends, distributions, and other payments from subsidiaries. In 2010, AIG Parent collected \$1.9 billion in dividends and other payments from subsidiaries (primarily from insurance company subsidiaries), which included \$1.4 billion in dividends from Chartis U.S. In addition, AIG has been able to generate significant liquidity through capital markets activities. In November 2010, AIG issued an aggregate of \$2 billion in senior unsecured notes, comprised of \$500 million in three-year notes and \$1.5 billion in ten-year notes. The proceeds from these issuances have been retained by AIG Parent for liquidity. Additional details are set forth in Debt below.

Uses of Liquidity

AIG's primary uses of cash flow are for debt service, operating expenses and subsidiary capital needs. In 2010, AIG Parent retired \$1.4 billion of debt and made interest payments totaling \$1.8 billion, excluding MIP and Series AIGFP debt. AIG Parent made \$2.6 billion in net capital contributions to subsidiaries in 2010, of which the majority was contributed to AIG Capital Corporation, enabling AIG Capital Corporation to redeem its preferred securities held by a Chartis U.S. subsidiary. In connection with the sale of a majority interest in AGF in November 2010, AIG Parent paid AGF \$750 million under a demand note in addition to making net repayments of \$800 million prior to the fourth quarter of 2010. At December 31, 2010, AIG Parent owed AGF \$469 million under a promissory note related to a tax sharing agreement between AIG Parent and AGF.

After February 16, 2011, AIG Parent provided capital support to Chartis by making a \$3.7 billion capital contribution. This transaction was funded from the retention of \$2 billion of net cash proceeds from the sale of AIG Star and AIG Edison (which the Department of the Treasury provided a waiver to use for this purpose instead of using the amount to repay SPV Preferred Interests) and available cash at AIG Parent.

AIG believes that it has sufficient liquidity at the AIG Parent level to satisfy future liquidity requirements and meet its obligations, including reasonably foreseeable contingencies or events. However, no assurance can be given that AIG's cash needs will not exceed projected amounts. Additional collateral calls, deterioration in investment portfolios or reserve strengthening affecting statutory surplus, higher surrenders of annuities and other policies, further downgrades in AIG's credit ratings, catastrophic losses or a further deterioration in the super senior credit default swap portfolio may result in significant additional cash needs, loss of some sources of liquidity or both. Regulatory and other legal restrictions could limit AIG's ability to transfer funds freely, either to or from its subsidiaries.

Several Chartis U.S. insurance subsidiaries have deferred tax assets on a separate company basis, including those resulting from net operating losses incurred. Chartis intends to rely on prudent and feasible effective tax planning actions and/or strategies to preserve admissibility of such deferred tax assets for insurance regulatory reporting purposes. In the event that Chartis cannot execute such actions, if required, and the related deferred tax assets become non-admitted for insurance regulatory reporting purposes, such insurance companies would require additional capital contributions of up to \$2.3 billion from AIG, based on December 31, 2010 balances. The Chartis

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

U.S. deferred tax assets have a full valuation allowance at the AIG consolidated level as described in Note 22 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Chartis

AIG currently expects that its Chartis subsidiaries will be able to continue to satisfy future liquidity requirements and meet their obligations, including reasonably foreseeable contingencies or events, through cash from operations and, to the extent necessary, asset dispositions. Chartis subsidiaries maintain substantial liquidity in the form of cash and short-term investments, totaling \$12.4 billion as of December 31, 2010. Further, Chartis businesses maintain significant levels of investment-grade fixed income securities, including substantial holdings in government and corporate bonds, which Chartis could seek to monetize in the event operating cash flows are insufficient. Generally, these assets are not transferable across various legal entities; however, management believes there are generally sufficient resources within those legal entities such that they can meet their individual needs.

One or more large catastrophes, however, may require AIG to provide additional support to the affected Chartis operations. In addition, further downgrades in AIG's credit ratings could put pressure on the insurer financial strength ratings of its subsidiaries which could result in non-renewals or cancellations by policyholders and adversely affect the relevant subsidiary's ability to meet its own obligations and require AIG to provide capital or liquidity support to the subsidiary. Increases in market interest rates may adversely affect the financial strength ratings of Chartis subsidiaries as rating agency capital models may reduce the amount of available capital relative to required capital. Other potential events that could cause a liquidity strain include economic collapse of a nation or region significant to Chartis operations, nationalization, catastrophic terrorist acts, pandemics or other economic or political upheaval.

Given the size and liquidity profile of the Chartis investment portfolios, AIG believes that variations from its projected claim experience do not constitute a significant liquidity risk. For example, the recent \$4.2 billion fourth-quarter net reserve charge did not materially impact the liquidity of Chartis in light of the long-tail nature of the classes of business strengthened. In addition, Chartis' liquidity position was further strengthened by the contribution of \$3.7 billion in cash to the capital of Chartis. The contribution was immediately followed by a transfer of UGC shares by Chartis via a return of capital. The capital contribution was partially funded from the retention of \$2 billion of net cash proceeds from the sale of AIG Star and AIG Edison. AIG's asset/liability management process takes into account the expected maturity of investments and the specific nature and risk profile of liabilities. Historically, there has been no significant variation between the expected maturities of the Chartis investments and the payment of claims. See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Investments for further information.

In December 2010, Chartis Inc. entered into a one-year \$1.3 billion letter of credit facility, which provides for the issuance of letters of credit in favor of certain of its general insurance companies, to permit those companies' statutory recognition of reinsurance recoverables from unauthorized reinsurers.

SunAmerica

Management considers the sources of liquidity for SunAmerica subsidiaries adequate to satisfy future liquidity requirements and meet foreseeable liquidity needs, including reasonably foreseeable contingencies or events. These subsidiaries generally have been lengthening their maturity profile by purchasing investment grade fixed income securities, in order to reduce the high levels of cash, cash equivalents and other short-term instruments that had been maintained during 2009 and 2010. The SunAmerica subsidiaries continue to maintain substantial liquidity in the form of cash and short-term investments, totaling \$19.4 billion as of December 31, 2010. In addition, the SunAmerica businesses maintain significant levels of investment-grade fixed income securities, including substantial holdings in government and corporate bonds, which SunAmerica could seek to monetize in the event operating cash flows are insufficient. Generally, these assets are not transferable across various legal entities; however, management believes there are generally sufficient resources within those legal entities such that they can meet their individual needs.

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

The most significant potential liquidity needs of SunAmerica companies are the funding of surrenders, withdrawals and the liquidity needs to meet the GIC maturities. A significant increase in policy surrenders and withdrawals, which could be triggered by a variety of factors, including AIG-specific concerns, could result in a substantial liquidity strain on these companies. Other potential events that could cause a liquidity strain include economic collapse of a state or region significant to SunAmerica operations, nationalization, catastrophic terrorist acts or pandemics or other economic or political upheaval. Given the size and liquidity profile of SunAmerica's investment portfolios, AIG believes that any deviations from their projected claim experience would not constitute a significant liquidity risk.

The liquidity needs of the GIC maturities are expected to be substantially met by the underlying asset portfolios.

AIG believes that SunAmerica companies currently have adequate capital to support their business plans. However, to the extent that these subsidiaries experience significant future losses or declines in their investment portfolios, AIG Parent may be required to contribute capital.

Financial Services

AIG's major Financial Services operations consist of ILFC and the remaining portfolios of AIGFP, which are in wind-down. During 2010, ILFC made significant progress in addressing its foreseeable liquidity needs, as further described below. In addition, AIG has sold a substantial portion of its consumer finance operations, which were previously reported as part of Financial Services.

International Lease Finance Corporation

ILFC's sources of liquidity include collections of lease payments, borrowing in the public markets, and proceeds from asset sales. Uses of liquidity for ILFC primarily consist of aircraft purchases and debt repayments. In 2010, ILFC took a number of actions to increase its liquidity position and lengthen its maturities as described under Debt below.

See Debt Debt Maturities ILFC and Note 15 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further details on ILFC's outstanding debt.

Direct Investment Business and Capital Markets

Prior to September 2008, AIGFP had historically funded its operations through the issuance of notes and bonds, guaranteed investment agreement (GIA) borrowings, other structured financing transactions and repurchase agreements. AIGFP has relied upon AIG Parent to meet most of its collateral and other liquidity needs.

The following table presents a rollforward of the amount of collateral posted by the Direct Investment business and Capital Markets operations:

| <i>(in millions)</i> | Collateral Posted as of December 31, 2009 | Additional Postings, Netted by Counterparty | Collateral Returned by Counterparties | Collateral Posted as of December 31, 2010 |
|--|--|--|---|--|
| Collateralized GIAs (Direct Investment business) | \$ 6,129 | \$ 708 | \$ 1,175 | \$ 5,662 |
| Super senior credit default swap (CDS) portfolio | 4,590 | 393 | 1,197 | 3,786 |
| All other derivatives | 5,217 | 2,196 | 6,078 | 1,335 |
| Total | \$ 15,936 | \$ 3,297 | \$ 8,450 | \$ 10,783 |

Capital Markets Wind-down

During 2010, AIG's Asset Management Group undertook the management responsibilities for certain non-derivative assets and liabilities of the Capital Markets businesses of the Financial Services segment. These assets and liabilities are being managed on a spread basis, in concert

with the MIP. Accordingly, gains and losses

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

related to these assets and liabilities, primarily consisting of credit valuation adjustment gains and losses, are reported in AIG's Other operations category as part of Asset Management Direct Investment business.

During 2010, AIGFP continued to unwind its portfolios, significantly reducing the net notional amount and number of outstanding trade positions as shown in the following table:

| <i>(dollars in billions)</i> | December 31, | | December 31, | Percentage Decrease | |
|--|--------------|--------|--------------|---------------------|---------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2010 vs. 2009 | 2009 vs. 2008 |
| Net notional amount ^(a) | \$ 353 | \$ 941 | \$ 1,800 | (62)% | (48)% |
| Super senior CDS contracts (included in net notional amount above) | \$ 60 | \$ 184 | \$ 302 | (67) | (39) |
| Outstanding trade positions ^(b) | 3,900 | 16,100 | 35,200 | (76) | (54) |

(a) *Includes \$11.5 billion, \$40.7 billion and \$44.7 billion of intercompany derivatives in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Net notional amount in 2008 was adjusted by approximately \$200.0 billion in accordance with the amended accounting standard on derivative instruments and hedging activities.*

(b) *Excludes approximately 4,800 non-derivative trade positions that were transferred to Direct Investment business in 2010.*

In connection with these activities, AIGFP disaggregated its portfolio of existing transactions into separate "books" and developed a plan for addressing each book, including assessing each book's risks, risk mitigation options, monitoring metrics and various potential outcomes. The plans are subject to change as efforts progress and as conditions in the financial markets evolve, and they contemplate, depending on the book in question, alternative strategies, including sales, assignments or other transfers of positions, terminations of positions and/or run-offs of positions in accordance with existing terms. Execution of these plans is overseen by a transaction approval process involving senior members of AIGFP's and AIG's respective management groups as specific actions entail greater liquidity and financial consequences. Successful execution of these plans is subject, to varying degrees depending on the transactions of a given book, to market conditions and, in many circumstances, counterparty negotiation and agreement.

As a consequence of its wind-down strategy, AIGFP is entering into new derivative transactions only to hedge its current portfolio, reduce risk and hedge the currency, interest rate and other market risks associated with its affiliated businesses. AIGFP has already reduced the size of certain portions of its portfolio, including through a substantial reduction in credit derivative transactions in respect of multi-sector collateralized debt obligations (CDOs) in connection with ML III, the ongoing termination of transactions in its regulatory capital portfolio, a sale of its commodity index business, termination and sale of its activities as a foreign exchange prime broker and a sale and other disposition of its energy/infrastructure investment portfolio. AIGFP has also novated certain trades to AIG Markets. AIG expects the active unwind of the Capital Markets derivatives portfolio to be completed by the end of the second quarter of 2011, and the remaining Capital Markets derivatives portfolio will consist predominantly of transactions AIG believes will be of low complexity, low risk, supportive of AIG's risk management objectives or not economically appropriate to unwind based on a cost versus benefit analysis.

The cost and liquidity needs of executing the wind-down will depend on many factors, many of which are not within AIG's control, including market conditions, AIGFP's access to markets via market counterparties, the availability of liquidity and the potential implications of further rating downgrades.

Debt

Debt Maturities

The following table summarizes the maturing debt at December 31, 2010 of AIG and its subsidiaries for the next four quarters:

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

| <i>(in millions)</i> | First Quarter 2011 | Second Quarter 2011 | Third Quarter 2011 | Fourth Quarter 2011 | Total |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------|
| ILFC | \$ 1,489 | \$ 1,262 | \$ 2,148 | \$ 336 | \$ 5,235 |
| AIG borrowings supported by assets | 248 | 1,637 | 1,284 | 1,151 | 4,320 |
| AIG general borrowings | 146 | - | - | 618 | 764 |
| Other | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| Total | \$ 1,884 | \$ 2,900 | \$ 3,433 | \$ 2,106 | \$ 10,323 |

AIG 2010 Form 10-K

57

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

AIG's plans for meeting these maturing obligations are as follows:

ILFC's sources of liquidity available to meet these needs include existing cash, future cash flows from operations, debt issuances and aircraft sales (subject to market and other conditions) (see Liquidity of Parent and Subsidiaries – Financial Services – ILFC). During 2010, ILFC significantly increased its liquidity position through a combination of new secured and unsecured debt issuances of approximately \$9.8 billion and an extension of the maturity date of \$2.16 billion of its \$2.5 billion revolving credit facility from October 2011 to October 2012. In December 2010, ILFC paid down \$800 million of this revolving credit facility. The amended facility prohibits ILFC from re-borrowing amounts repaid under this facility for any reason; therefore the size of the outstanding facility is \$1.7 billion. Also, in January 2011 ILFC entered into an unsecured three-year \$2.0 billion revolving credit facility. During 2010, ILFC agreed to sell 66 aircraft to third parties, 57 of which were sold. These sales generated approximately \$2.1 billion in gross proceeds during 2010.

AIG borrowings supported by assets consist of debt under the MIP as well as AIGFP debt being managed in the Direct Investment business. Approximately \$3.7 billion of debt maturities in the Direct Investment business through December 31, 2011 are supported by maturities of investments and short term cash investments. Mismatches in the timing of cash inflows on the assets and outflows with respect to the liabilities may require assets to be sold to satisfy maturing liabilities. Depending on market conditions and the ability to sell assets at that time, proceeds from sales may not be sufficient to satisfy the full amount due on maturing liabilities. Any shortfalls would need to be funded by AIG Parent.

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

The following table provides the rollforward of AIG's total debt outstanding:

| Year Ended December 31, 2010 (in millions) | Balance at December 31, 2009 | Issuances | Maturities Repayments | Effect of and Foreign Exchange | Other Non-Cash Changes | Activity of discontinued operations ^(a) | Reclassified to Liabilities of businesses held for sale ^(b) | Dispositions ^(b) | Balance at December 31, 2010 |
|--|------------------------------------|---------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Debt issued or guaranteed by AIG: | | | | | | | | | |
| General borrowings: | | | | | | | | | |
| FRBNY Credit Facility ^(e) | \$ 23,435 | \$ 19,900 | \$ (23,178) | \$ - | \$ 828 ^(d) | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 20,985 |
| Notes and bonds payable | 10,419 | 1,996 | (1,351) | (54) | 501 | - | - | - | 11,511 |
| Junior subordinated debt | 12,001 | - | - | (262) | 1 | - | - | - | 11,740 |
| Junior subordinated debt attributable to equity units | 5,880 | - | - | - | (3,711) ^(e) | - | - | - | 2,169 |
| Loans and mortgages payable | 438 | 146 | - | - | 2 | 12 | (380) | - | 218 |
| AIG Funding FRBNY commercial paper funding facility | 1,997 | - | (2,000) | - | 3 | - | - | - | - |
| SunAmerica Financial Group, Inc. (SAFG, Inc.) notes and bonds payable | 798 | - | (500) | - | - | - | - | - | 298 |
| Liabilities connected to trust preferred stock | 1,339 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,339 |
| Total general borrowings | 56,307 | 22,042 | (27,029) | (316) | (2,376) | 12 | (380) | - | 48,260 |
| Borrowings supported by assets: | | | | | | | | | |
| MIP notes payable | 13,371 | - | (2,267) | 392 | (178) | - | - | - | 11,318 |
| Series AIGFP matched notes and bonds payable | 3,913 | - | (63) | - | 131 | - | - | - | 3,981 |
| FRBNY commercial paper funding facility, at fair value | 2,742 | 2,272 | (6,127) | - | 1,113 ^(f) | - | - | - | - |
| GLAs, at fair value | 8,257 | 680 | (1,666) | - | 941 ^(f) | - | - | - | 8,212 |
| Notes and bonds payable, at fair value | 3,916 | 108 | (925) | - | 154 ^(f) | - | - | - | 3,253 |
| Loans and mortgages payable at fair value | 1,022 | 21 | (299) | - | (66) ^(f) | - | - | - | 678 |
| Total borrowings supported by assets | 33,221 | 3,081 | (11,347) | 392 | 2,095 | - | - | - | 27,442 |
| Total debt issued or guaranteed by AIG | 89,528 | 25,123 | (38,376) | 76 | (281) | 12 | (380) | - | 75,702 |
| Debt not guaranteed by AIG: | | | | | | | | | |
| ILFC: | | | | | | | | | |
| Notes and bonds payable, ECA facilities, bank financings and other secured financings ^(g) | 25,174 | 9,704 | (7,990) | (200) | 12 | - | - | - | 26,700 |
| Junior subordinated debt | 999 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 999 |
| Total ILFC debt | 26,173 | 9,704 | (7,990) | (200) | 12 | - | - | - | 27,699 |
| AGF: | | | | | | | | | |
| Notes and bonds payable | 19,770 | - | - | - | - | (3,495) | - | (16,275) | - |
| Junior subordinated debt | 349 | - | - | - | - | - | - | (349) | - |
| Total AGF debt | 20,119 | - | - | - | - | (3,495) | - | (16,624) | - |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|-----------|-------------|----------|----------------------|------------|----------|-------------|-------------------|
| AIG Consumer Finance Group, Inc. loans and mortgages payable | 216 | 100 | (115) | (7) | (194) | - | - | - | - |
| Other subsidiaries | 295 | 43 | (58) | 2 | 318 ^(h) | 4 | (145) | (13) | 446 |
| Total debt of consolidated investments ⁽ⁱ⁾ | 5,141 | 248 | (1,507) | (1) | (681) | (52) | - | (534) | 2,614 |
| Total debt not guaranteed by AIG | 51,944 | 10,095 | (9,670) | (206) | (545) | (3,543) | (145) | (17,171) | 30,759 |
| Total debt: | | | | | | | | | |
| Total long-term debt | 136,733 | 32,946 | (39,919) | (130) | (1,942) | (3,531) | (525) | (17,171) | 106,461 |
| FRBNY commercial paper funding facility | 4,739 | 2,272 | (8,127) | - | 1,116 ^(j) | - | - | - | - |
| Total debt | \$ 141,472 | \$ 35,218 | \$ (48,046) | \$ (130) | \$ (826) | \$ (3,531) | \$ (525) | \$ (17,171) | \$ 106,461 |

- (a) *Primarily represents activity related to ALICO and AGF prior to close of sale.*
- (b) *Reflects the deconsolidation of AIA and sale of AGF and ALICO in 2010.*
- (c) *The FRBNY Credit Facility was fully repaid and terminated in January 2011 in connection with the closing of the Recapitalization.*
- (d) *Represents accrued interest and fees.*
- (e) *Represents exchange of Equity Units (and the underlying subordinated debt) for AIG Common Stock and cash.*
- (f) *Primarily represents adjustments to the fair value of Direct Investment business debt.*
- (g) *Includes \$114 million of secured financings that are non-recourse to ILFC.*
- (h) *Includes \$164 million of debt assumed on the acquisition of Fuji.*
- (i) *At December 31, 2010, includes debt of consolidated investments held through AIG Global Real Estate Investment, AIG Credit and SunAmerica of \$2.2 billion, \$312 million and \$108 million, respectively.*
- (j) *Reflects the consolidation of Nightingale during the first quarter of 2010.*

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

AIG Parent

AIG issues debt securities from time to time to meet its financing needs and those of certain of its subsidiaries, including general borrowing to support AIG's capital structure and corporate needs, borrowing supported by assets intended to provide spread-based income and borrowing specific to certain AIG subsidiaries to support the operations of those subsidiaries. Liquidity sources of AIG and its respective subsidiaries are utilized to fund repayment of these obligations, including any additional funding requirements where cash flows from assets supporting borrowing obligations are not sufficient.

In the fourth quarter of 2010, AIG raised approximately \$2.5 billion in senior debt and contingent liquidity. AIG issued an aggregate of \$2 billion in senior unsecured notes, comprised of \$500 million in three-year notes and \$1.5 billion in ten-year notes. In addition, AIG established a \$500 million contingent liquidity facility in the name of Stone Street Trust in December 2010. Under this facility, AIG has the unconditional right, prior to December 15, 2015, to issue up to \$500 million in senior debt to the Stone Street Trust, at its discretion, based on a put option agreement between AIG and the Stone Street Trust. The senior debt, if issued, will mature on December 15, 2015.

As of December 31, 2010, notes and bonds, including AIG Parent, MIP and Series AIGFP matched notes and bonds payable, aggregating \$26.5 billion were outstanding with maturity dates ranging from 2011 to 2052. To the extent considered appropriate, AIG may enter into swap transactions to manage its effective borrowing rates with respect to these notes and bonds.

In November 2010, AIG exchanged 49,474,600 of its Equity Units for 4,881,667 shares of AIG Common Stock plus \$162 million in cash. Each Equity Unit was exchanged for 0.09867 shares of AIG Common Stock plus \$3.2702 in cash. The stock and cash received by the Equity Unit holders was the result of netting payments from two separate transactions, a repurchase of the subordinated debentures and a cancellation of the stock purchase contracts.

Following the completion of the exchange offer, a total of 28,925,400 Equity Units remained outstanding. In addition, the remaining debentures continue to be subject to remarketing. In January 2011, AIG remarketed the first of three series of the remaining debentures included in the Equity Units. AIG purchased and retired all of the Series B-1 Debentures representing \$723 million aggregate principal amount of the Series B-1 Debentures and as a result, no Series B-1 Debentures remain outstanding. The remarketing of the remaining debentures included in the Equity Units will occur later in 2011.

SunAmerica Financial Group, Inc. (SAFG, Inc.; formerly AIG Life Holdings (US), Inc.)

In connection with its acquisition of SAFG, Inc. in 2001, AIG entered into arrangements with SAFG, Inc. with respect to outstanding SAFG, Inc. capital securities. In 1996, SAFG, Inc. issued capital securities through a trust to institutional investors and funded the trust with SAFG, Inc. junior subordinated debentures issued to the trust. SAFG, Inc. guaranteed payments to the holders of capital securities only to the extent (i) the trust received payments on the debentures and (ii) these payments were available for the trust to pay to holders of capital securities. In 2001, AIG guaranteed the same payments to the holders of capital securities. Like the SAFG, Inc. guarantee, the AIG guarantee applies only to any payments actually made to the trust in respect of the debentures. If no payments are made on the debentures, AIG is not required to make any payments to the trust. AIG also guaranteed the debentures pursuant to a guarantee that is expressly subordinated to certain SAFG, Inc. senior debt securities. Under AIG's guarantee, AIG is not required to make any payments in respect of the debentures if such payment would be prohibited by the subordination provisions of the debentures. As a result, AIG will never be required to make a payment under its guarantee of the debentures for so long as SAFG, Inc. is prohibited from making a payment on the debentures.

ILFC

During 2010, ILFC borrowed \$327 million to refinance five aircraft and finance five new aircraft under its Export Credit Agency (ECA) Facility described below, borrowed \$5.2 billion through secured financing

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

arrangements, issued \$2.75 billion aggregate principal amount of unsecured senior notes in private placements and issued \$1.5 billion in registered unsecured senior notes.

In 2010, ILFC amended its \$2.5 billion revolving credit facility to, among other things, extend the maturity date of \$2.16 billion from October 2011 to October 2012. In December 2010, ILFC paid down \$800 million of this revolving credit facility. The amended facility prohibits ILFC from re-borrowing amounts repaid under this facility for any reason; therefore the size of the outstanding facility is \$1.7 billion. In addition, in January 2011 ILFC entered into an unsecured three-year \$2.0 billion revolving credit facility. This revolving credit facility includes restrictive covenants that, among other things, restrict ILFC from entering into secured financings in excess of 30 percent of its consolidated tangible net assets, as defined in the agreement, less \$2.0 billion, which results in an amount of approximately \$10.0 billion. ILFC may borrow under this facility for general corporate purposes. For further discussion of the terms and conditions relating to ILFC's credit facilities, see Credit Facilities below.

ILFC Notes and Bonds Payable

As of December 31, 2010, notes and bonds aggregating \$16.9 billion were outstanding with maturity dates ranging from 2011 to 2020. To the extent considered appropriate, ILFC may enter into swap transactions to manage its effective borrowing rates with respect to these notes and bonds.

ILFC ECA Facilities

ILFC has a \$4.3 billion 1999 ECA Facility that was used in connection with the purchase of 62 Airbus aircraft delivered through 2001. The interest rate varies from 5.83 percent to 5.86 percent on these amortizing ten-year borrowings depending on the delivery date of the aircraft. At December 31, 2010, ILFC had five loans with a remaining principal balance of \$13 million outstanding under this facility. At December 31, 2010, the net book value of the related aircraft was \$1.6 billion.

ILFC has a similarly structured 2004 ECA Facility, which was amended in May 2009 to allow ILFC to borrow up to a maximum of \$4.6 billion to fund the purchase of Airbus aircraft delivered through June 30, 2010. At December 31, 2010, ILFC had financed 76 aircraft using approximately \$4.3 billion under this facility and approximately \$2.8 billion was outstanding. At December 31, 2010, the interest rate of the loans outstanding ranged from 0.43 percent to 4.71 percent. At December 31, 2010, the net book value of the related aircraft was \$4.3 billion.

Borrowings with respect to these facilities are included in ILFC's notes and bonds payable in the table below. New financings are no longer available to ILFC under either the 1999 or 2004 ECA facility.

ILFC Bank Financings and Other Secured Financings

At December 31, 2010, the total funded amount of ILFC's revolving credit facility was \$1.7 billion, of which approximately \$1.5 billion is secured. The maturity of the facility, as amended, is \$235 million in October 2011 and approximately \$1.5 billion in October 2012. At December 31, 2010, the interest rates ranged from 0.95 percent to 2.45 percent. In addition, at December 31, 2010, ILFC has other secured financings of approximately \$5.3 billion that mature through 2018, with interest rates ranging from 3.41 percent to 7.13 percent.

On January 31, 2011, ILFC entered into an unsecured \$2.0 billion three-year revolving credit facility.

AIG does not guarantee any of the debt obligations of ILFC. See Note 15 to the Consolidated Financial Statements Debt Outstanding for further details on ILFC's outstanding debt.

Credit Facilities

AIG relies on credit facilities as a potential source of liquidity for general corporate purposes. Currently, AIG, Chartis, Inc. and ILFC maintain committed, revolving credit facilities and a letter of credit facility summarized in the following table for general corporate purposes. AIG, Chartis Inc. and ILFC intend to replace or extend these credit facilities on or prior to their expiration, although no assurance can be given that this will be possible. One

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

of the facilities, as noted below, contains a "term-out option" allowing for the conversion by the borrower of any outstanding loans at expiration into one-year term loans. All facilities, except for the ILFC five-year syndicated credit facility dated October 13, 2006, are unsecured.

| At February 16, 2011 (in millions) | | | Available | | One-Year | |
|---|----------|-------------|-----------|--------------|----------|------------|
| Facility | Size | Borrower(s) | Amount | Expiration | Term-Out | Effective |
| | | | | | Option | Date |
| AIG: | | | | | | |
| 364-Day Syndicated Facility | \$ 1,500 | AIG | \$ 1,500 | January 2012 | Yes | 1/14/2011 |
| 3-Year Syndicated Facility | 1,500 | AIG | 1,500 | January 2014 | No | 1/14/2011 |
| Total AIG | \$ 3,000 | | \$ 3,000 | | | |
| Chartis 364-Day Syndicated Letter of Credit Facility | | | | | | |
| | \$ 1,300 | Chartis | \$ - | January 2012 | No | 1/14/2011 |
| ILFC: | | | | | | |
| 5-Year Syndicated Facility ^(a) | \$ 235 | ILFC | \$ - | October 2011 | No | 10/13/2006 |
| 5-Year Syndicated Facility ^{(a)(b)} | 1,465 | ILFC | - | October 2012 | No | 10/13/2006 |
| 3-Year Syndicated Facility | 2,000 | ILFC | 2,000 | January 2014 | No | 1/31/2011 |
| Total ILFC | \$ 3,700 | | \$ 2,000 | | | |

(a) Prior to April 16, 2010, ILFC had a \$2.5 billion five-year syndicated facility which was scheduled to expire in October 2011. On April 16, 2010, ILFC extended the maturity date of \$2.16 billion of its \$2.5 billion revolving credit facility from October 2011 to October 2012. In December 2010, ILFC paid down \$800 million on the \$2.5 billion revolving credit facility. The amended facility prohibits ILFC from re-borrowing amounts repaid under this facility for any reason; therefore the size of the outstanding facility is \$1.7 billion.

(b) During 2010 ILFC entered into amendments to this revolving credit facility. Upon effectiveness of these amendments, the previously unsecured bank debt became secured by the equity interest in certain of its non-restricted subsidiaries, which hold a pool of aircraft with an appraised value of not less than 133 percent of the principal amount of the outstanding loans.

AIG's ability to borrow under these facilities is conditioned on satisfaction of certain legal, operating, administrative and financial covenants and other requirements contained in the facilities, including covenants relating to AIG's maintenance of a specified total consolidated net worth and consolidated total debt to consolidated total capitalization. Failure to satisfy these and other requirements contained in the credit facilities would restrict AIG's access to the facilities when needed and, consequently, could have a material adverse effect on AIG's financial condition and results of operations.

The Chartis letter of credit facility provides for the issuance of letters of credit in favor of certain of its general insurance companies to permit those companies to obtain statutory recognition of reinsurance recoverables from unauthorized reinsurers. This facility requires Chartis to maintain a minimum combined statutory surplus and a minimum combined net worth, and contains certain customary affirmative and negative covenants, including limitations with respect to incurrence of certain types of indebtedness or liens, certain dispositions, entry into certain

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

restrictive agreements and transactions with affiliates and certain fundamental changes, as well as customary events of default.

ILFC's three-year credit facility which became effective January 31, 2011 contains customary events of default and restrictive financial covenants that require ILFC to maintain a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio, a minimum consolidated tangible net worth, and a maximum ratio of consolidated debt to consolidated tangible net worth.

Credit Ratings

The cost and availability of unsecured financing for AIG and its subsidiaries are generally dependent on their short-and long-term debt ratings. The following table presents the credit ratings of AIG and certain of its subsidiaries as of February 16, 2011. In parentheses, following the initial occurrence in the table of each rating, is an indication of that rating's relative rank within the agency's rating categories. That ranking refers only to the

62 AIG 2010 Form 10-K

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

generic or major rating category and not to the modifiers appended to the rating by the rating agencies to denote relative position within such generic or major category.

| | Short-Term Debt | | Senior Long-Term Debt | | |
|---|---|----------------|---|---|--|
| | Moody's | S&P | Moody's ^(a) | S&P ^(b) | Fitch ^(c) |
| AIG | P-2 (2nd of 3) <i>Stable Outlook</i> | A-2 (2nd of 8) | Baa 1 (4th of 9) <i>Stable Outlook</i> | A- (3rd of 8) <i>Negative Outlook</i> | BBB (4th of 9) <i>Stable Outlook</i> |
| AIG Financial Products Corp. ^(d) | P-2 <i>Stable Outlook</i> | A-2 | Baa 1 <i>Stable Outlook</i> | A- <i>Negative Outlook</i> | - |
| AIG Funding, Inc. ^(d) | P-2 <i>Stable Outlook</i> | A-2 | - | - | - |
| ILFC | Not prime <i>Stable Outlook</i> | - | B1 (6th of 9) <i>Stable Outlook</i> | BBB-(4th of 8) <i>Negative Outlook</i> | BB (5th of 9) <i>Evolving Outlook</i> |

(a) *Moody's appends numerical modifiers 1, 2 and 3 to the generic rating categories to show relative position within the rating categories.*

(b) *S&P ratings may be modified by the addition of a plus or minus sign to show relative standing within the major rating categories.*

(c) *Fitch ratings may be modified by the addition of a plus or minus sign to show relative standing within the major rating categories.*

(d) *AIG guarantees all obligations of AIG Financial Products Corp. and AIG Funding.*

These credit ratings are current opinions of the rating agencies. As such, they may be changed, suspended or withdrawn at any time by the rating agencies as a result of changes in, or unavailability of, information or based on other circumstances. Ratings may also be withdrawn at AIG management's request. This discussion of ratings is not a complete list of ratings of AIG and its subsidiaries.

"Ratings triggers" have been defined by one independent rating agency to include clauses or agreements the outcome of which depends upon the level of ratings maintained by one or more rating agencies. "Ratings triggers" generally relate to events that (i) could result in the termination or limitation of credit availability, or require accelerated repayment, (ii) could result in the termination of business contracts or (iii) could require a company to post collateral for the benefit of counterparties.

A significant portion of the GIAs, structured financing arrangements and financial derivative transactions have provisions that require collateral to be posted upon a downgrade of AIG's long-term debt ratings or, with the consent of the counterparties, assignment or repayment of the positions or arrangement of a substitute guarantee of AIG's obligations by an obligor with higher debt ratings. Furthermore, certain downgrades of AIG's long-term senior debt ratings would permit either AIG or the counterparties to elect early termination of contracts.

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

The actual amount of collateral required to be posted to counterparties in the event of such downgrades, or the aggregate amount of payments that AIG could be required to make, depends on market conditions, the fair value of outstanding affected transactions and other factors prevailing at the time of the downgrade. For a discussion of the effects of downgrades in the financial strength ratings of AIG's insurance companies or AIG's credit ratings, see Item 1A. Risk Factors – Credit and Financial Strength Ratings.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Contractual Obligations**The following table summarizes contractual obligations in total, and by remaining maturity:**

| At December 31, 2010 (in millions) | Total Payments | Payments due by Period | | | |
|---|-------------------|------------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| | | 2011 | 2012 - 2013 | 2014 - 2015 | Thereafter |
| Borrowings ^(a) | \$ 82,862 | \$ 10,323 | \$ 16,031 | \$ 9,223 | \$ 47,285 |
| FRBNY Credit Facility ^(b) | 20,985 | - | 20,985 | - | - |
| Interest payments on borrowings | 51,940 | 4,531 | 9,532 | 5,963 | 31,914 |
| Loss Reserves | 91,151 | 20,235 | 25,157 | 14,074 | 31,685 |
| Insurance and investment contract liabilities | 462,496 | 18,743 | 32,916 | 30,706 | 380,131 |
| Aircraft purchase commitments | 13,533 | 282 | 1,742 | 3,523 | 7,986 |
| Operating leases | 2,054 | 429 | 657 | 422 | 546 |
| Other long-term obligations ^(c) | 365 | 61 | 95 | 80 | 129 |
| Total^(d) | \$ 725,386 | \$ 54,604 | \$ 107,115 | \$ 63,991 | \$ 499,676 |

(a) Reflects completion of an offer to exchange Equity Units (and therefore the underlying subordinated debt) for AIG Common Stock and cash.

(b) The FRBNY Credit Facility was fully repaid and terminated on January 14, 2011, the closing date of the Recapitalization.

(c) Primarily includes contracts to purchase future services and other capital expenditures.

(d) Does not reflect unrecognized tax benefits of \$5.3 billion, the timing of which is uncertain. In addition, the majority of Capital Markets' credit default swaps require AIGFP to provide credit protection on a designated portfolio of loans or debt securities. At December 31, 2010, the fair value derivative liability was \$3.5 billion relating to AIGFP's super senior multi-sector CDO credit default swap portfolio, net of amounts realized in extinguishing derivative obligations. Due to the long-term maturities of these credit default swaps, AIG is unable to make reasonable estimates of the periods during which any payments would be made. However, at December 31, 2010 AIGFP had posted collateral of \$3.0 billion with respect to these swaps (prior to offsets for other transactions).

Borrowings

AIG's borrowings exclude those incurred by consolidated investments and include hybrid financial instrument liabilities recorded at fair value. The repayment of long-term debt maturities and interest accrued on borrowings by AIG and its subsidiaries is expected to be made through maturing investments and asset sales, future cash flows from operations, cash flows generated from invested assets, future debt issuance and other financing arrangements, as more fully described in Liquidity of Parent and Subsidiaries.

Loss Reserves

Loss reserves relate primarily to Chartis business, but also include Mortgage Guaranty reserves, and represent future loss and loss adjustment expense payments estimated based on historical loss development payment patterns. Due to the significance of the assumptions used, the payments by period presented above could be materially different from actual required payments.

Management believes that adequate financial resources are maintained by the individual Chartis and UGC subsidiaries to meet the actual required payments under these obligations. These subsidiaries maintain substantial liquidity in the form of cash and short-term investments. Further, these businesses maintain significant levels of investment-grade fixed income securities, including substantial holdings in government and corporate bonds (see Investments herein), which Chartis and UGC could seek to monetize in the event operating cash flows are insufficient.

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

See Capital Resources and Liquidity Liquidity Analysis of Sources and Uses of Cash and Capital Resources and Liquidity Liquidity Liquidity of Parent and Subsidiaries for matters that could affect operating cash flows and liquidity of the subsidiaries.

64 AIG 2010 Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Insurance and Investment Contract Liabilities

Insurance and investment contract liabilities, including GIC liabilities, relate primarily to SunAmerica businesses and include various investment-type products with contractually scheduled maturities, including periodic payments of a term certain nature. Insurance and investment contract liabilities also include benefit and claim liabilities, of which a significant portion represents policies and contracts that do not have stated contractual maturity dates and may not result in any future payment obligations. For these policies and contracts (i) AIG is currently not making payments until the occurrence of an insurable event, such as death or disability, (ii) payments are conditional on survivorship or (iii) payment may occur due to a surrender or other non-scheduled event out of AIG's control.

AIG has made significant assumptions to determine the estimated undiscounted cash flows of these contractual policy benefits, which assumptions include mortality, morbidity, future lapse rates, expenses, investment returns and interest crediting rates, offset by expected future deposits and premiums on in-force policies. Due to the significance of the assumptions used, the periodic amounts presented could be materially different from actual required payments. The amounts presented in this table are undiscounted and therefore exceed the future policy benefits and policyholder contract deposits included in the Consolidated Balance Sheet.

Management believes that adequate financial resources are maintained by individual SunAmerica subsidiaries to meet the payments actually required under these obligations. These subsidiaries maintain substantial liquidity in the form of cash and short-term investments. In addition, SunAmerica businesses maintain significant levels of investment-grade fixed income securities, including substantial holdings in government and corporate bonds (see Investments herein), which SunAmerica could seek to monetize in the event operating cash flows are insufficient. Liquidity needs for GIC liabilities are generally expected to be funded through cash flows generated from maturities and sales of invested assets. See Capital Resources and Liquidity Liquidity Analysis of Sources and Uses of Cash and Capital Resources and Liquidity Liquidity Liquidity of Parent and Subsidiaries for matters that could affect operating cash flows and liquidity of the subsidiaries.

Aircraft Purchase Commitments

At December 31, 2010, ILFC had committed to purchase 115 new aircraft deliverable from 2011 through 2019, at an estimated aggregate purchase price of approximately \$13.5 billion, the majority of which is due after 2015, with \$282 million coming due in 2011. See Note 16 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, and Liquidity of Parent and Subsidiaries Financial Services ILFC.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements and Commercial Commitments

The following table summarizes Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements and Commercial Commitments in total, and by remaining maturity:

| December 31, 2010 (in millions) | Total Amounts Committed | Amount of Commitment Expiration | | | |
|---|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|----------------|------------|
| | | 2011 | 2012 - 2013 | 2014 - 2015 | Thereafter |
| Guarantees: | | | | | |
| Liquidity facilities ^(a) | \$ 849 | \$ 748 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 101 |
| Standby letters of credit | 990 | 964 | 14 | 11 | 1 |
| Construction guarantees ^(b) | 37 | 37 | - | - | - |
| Guarantees of indebtedness | 202 | - | - | - | 202 |
| All other guarantees ^(c) | 632 | 30 | 149 | 140 | 313 |
| Commitments: | | | | | |
| Investment commitments ^(d) | 3,947 | 1,900 | 1,247 | 637 | 163 |
| Commitments to extend credit | 197 | 119 | 39 | 38 | 1 |
| Letters of credit | 1,553 | 252 | 1,301 | - | - |
| Other commercial commitments ^(e) | 776 | 14 | - | - | 762 |
| Total ^(f) | \$ 9,183 | \$ 4,064 | \$ 2,750 | \$ 826 | \$ 1,543 |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

- (a) *Primarily represents liquidity facilities provided in connection with certain municipal swap transactions and collateralized bond obligations.*
- (b) *Primarily represents SunAmerica construction guarantees connected to affordable housing investments.*
- (c) *Excludes potential amounts attributable to indemnifications included in asset sales agreements. See Note 16 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.*
- (d) *Includes commitments to invest in limited partnerships, private equity, hedge funds and mutual funds and commitments to purchase and develop real estate in the United States and abroad. The commitments to invest in limited partnerships and other funds are called at the discretion of each fund, as needed for funding new investments or expenses of the fund. The expiration of these commitments is estimated in the table above based on the expected life cycle of the related fund, consistent with past trends of requirements for funding. Investors under these commitments are primarily insurance and real estate subsidiaries.*
- (e) *Includes options to acquire aircraft. Excludes commitments with respect to pension plans. The annual pension contribution for 2011 is expected to be approximately \$144 million for U.S. and non-U.S. plans.*
- (f) *Does not include guarantees, capital maintenance agreements or other support arrangements among AIG consolidated entities.*

Securities Financing

The fair value of securities transferred under repurchase agreements accounted for as sales was \$2.7 billion and \$2.3 billion at December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively, and the related cash collateral obtained was \$2.1 billion and \$1.5 billion at December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively.

Arrangements with Variable Interest Entities

While AIG enters into various arrangements with variable interest entities (VIEs) in the normal course of business, AIG's involvement with VIEs is primarily as a passive investor in fixed maturities (rated and unrated) and equity interests issued by VIEs. AIG consolidates a VIE when it is the primary beneficiary of the entity. For a further discussion of AIG's involvement with VIEs, see Notes 2 and 11 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Indemnification Agreements

AIG is subject to financial guarantees and indemnity arrangements in connection with the completed sales of businesses pursuant to its asset disposition plan. The various arrangements may be triggered by, among other things, declines in asset values, the occurrence of specified business contingencies, the realization of contingent liabilities, developments in litigation, or breaches of representations, warranties or covenants provided by AIG. These arrangements are typically subject to various time limitations, defined by the contract or by operation of law, such as statutes of limitation. In some cases, the maximum potential obligation is subject to contractual limitations, while in other cases no such limitation is specified or applicable.

Where estimable, AIG has recorded liabilities for certain of these arrangements. These liabilities are not material in the aggregate. AIG is unable to develop a reasonable estimate of the maximum potential payout under certain of these arrangements. Overall, AIG believes that it is unlikely it will have to make any material payments related to completed sales under these arrangements. See Note 16 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information regarding indemnification provisions for the ALICO, AGF, AIG Star and AIG Edison sales.

Dividends from Insurance Subsidiaries

Payments of dividends to AIG by its insurance subsidiaries are subject to certain restrictions imposed by regulatory authorities. With respect to AIG's domestic insurance subsidiaries, the payment of any dividend requires formal notice to the insurance department in which the particular insurance subsidiary is domiciled. For example, unless permitted by the New York Superintendent of Insurance, general insurance companies domiciled in New York may not pay dividends to shareholders that, in any 12-month period, exceed the lesser of ten percent of such company's statutory policyholders' surplus or 100 percent of its "adjusted net investment income," as defined. Generally, less severe restrictions applicable

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

to both general and life insurance companies exist in most of the other states in which AIG's insurance subsidiaries are domiciled. Under the laws of many states, an insurer

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

may pay a dividend without prior approval of the insurance regulator when the amount of the dividend is below certain regulatory thresholds. Other foreign jurisdictions may restrict the ability of AIG's foreign insurance subsidiaries to pay dividends. There are also various local restrictions limiting cash loans and advances to AIG by its subsidiaries. Largely as a result of these restrictions, approximately 80 percent of the aggregate equity of AIG's consolidated subsidiaries was restricted from transfer to AIG Parent at December 31, 2010. AIG cannot predict how regulatory investigations may affect the ability of its regulated subsidiaries to pay dividends. To AIG's knowledge, no AIG insurance company is currently on any regulatory or similar "watch list" with regard to solvency.

Regulation and Supervision

AIG's insurance subsidiaries, like other insurers, are subject to regulation and supervision by the states and jurisdictions in which they do business. AIG Parent is not generally subject to supervision by state regulators, but certain transactions, such as those involving statutorily designated transactions with its insurance company subsidiaries and any transaction involving a change in control of AIG or any of its insurance company subsidiaries, may require the prior approval of state regulators. In the United States, the NAIC has developed Risk-Based Capital (RBC) Model Law requirements. RBC relates an individual insurance company's statutory surplus to the risk inherent in its overall operations.

AIG's insurance subsidiaries file financial statements prepared in accordance with statutory accounting practices prescribed or permitted by domestic and foreign insurance regulatory authorities. The principal differences between statutory financial statements for domestic companies and financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP are that in statutory financial statements acquisition costs are expensed instead of being deferred, a large portion of the bond portfolios may be carried at amortized cost, securities are valued on a different basis, assets and liabilities are presented net of reinsurance, policyholder liabilities are valued using more conservative assumptions and certain assets are not admitted under statutory accounting practices and are charged directly to surplus. Further, statutory accounting practices do not give recognition to purchase accounting adjustments and require certain other reserves not required by U.S. GAAP.

As discussed under Note 16(a) to the Consolidated Financial Statements, various regulators have commenced investigations into certain insurance business practices. In addition, insurance regulators routinely conduct examinations of AIG and its subsidiaries. AIG cannot predict the ultimate effect that these investigations and examinations, or any additional regulation arising therefrom, might have on its business. Federal, state or local legislation, including Dodd-Frank, may affect AIG's ability to operate its various financial services businesses, and changes in the current laws, regulations or interpretations thereof may have a material adverse effect on these businesses. See Item 1A. Risk Factors for additional information.

AIG's U.S. operations are negatively affected under guarantee fund assessment laws which exist in most states. As a result of operating in a state that has guarantee fund assessment laws, a solvent insurance company may be assessed for certain obligations arising from the insolvencies of other insurance companies operated in that state. AIG generally records these assessments upon notice. Additionally, certain states permit at least a portion of the assessed amount to be used as a credit against a company's future premium tax liabilities. Therefore, the ultimate net assessment cannot reasonably be estimated. The guarantee fund assessments net of credits recognized in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, were \$16 million, \$18 million and \$12 million.

AIG is also required to participate in various involuntary pools (principally workers' compensation business and, internationally, personal automobile business) that provide insurance coverage for those not able to obtain such coverage in the voluntary markets. This participation is also recorded upon notification, as these amounts cannot reasonably be estimated.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

A substantial portion of Chartis' business is conducted in foreign countries. The degree of regulation and supervision in foreign jurisdictions varies. Generally, AIG, as well as the underwriting companies operating in such jurisdictions, must satisfy local regulatory requirements. Licenses issued by foreign authorities to AIG subsidiaries are subject to modification and revocation. Thus, AIG's insurance subsidiaries could be prevented from conducting future business in certain of the jurisdictions where they currently operate. AIG's international operations include operations in various developing nations. Both current and future foreign operations could be adversely affected by unfavorable political developments up to and including nationalization of AIG's operations without compensation. Adverse effects resulting from any one country may affect AIG's results of operations, liquidity and financial condition depending on the magnitude of the event and AIG's net financial exposure at that time in that country.

Foreign insurance operations are individually subject to local solvency margin requirements that require maintenance of adequate capitalization, with which AIG complies in each country. In addition, certain foreign locations, notably Japan, have established regulations that can result in guarantee fund assessments. These have not had a material effect on AIG's financial condition or results of operations.

See Note 18 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Results of Operations

AIG reports the results of its operations through three reportable segments: Chartis (formerly General Insurance), SunAmerica (formerly Domestic Life Insurance & Retirement Services), and Financial Services. Through these reportable segments, AIG provides insurance, financial and investment products and services to both businesses and individuals in more than 130 countries. AIG's subsidiaries serve commercial, institutional and individual customers through an extensive property-casualty and life insurance and retirement services network. AIG's Financial Services businesses include commercial aircraft and equipment leasing and the wind-down of Capital Markets operations, both in the United States and abroad. AIG's Other operations category consists of businesses and items not allocated to AIG's reportable segments.

Consolidated Results

The following table presents AIG's consolidated results of operations:

| Years Ended December 31, (in millions) | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | Percentage Increase/(Decrease) | |
|---|----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|
| | | | | 2010 vs. 2009 | 2009 vs. 2008 |
| Revenues: | | | | | |
| Premiums and other considerations | \$ 48,029 | \$ 51,239 | \$ 63,137 | (6)% | (19)% |
| Net investment income | 20,930 | 18,987 | 10,453 | 10 | 82 |
| Net realized capital losses | (279) | (5,210) | (46,794) | - | - |
| Unrealized market valuation gains (losses) on Capital Markets super senior credit default swap portfolio | 598 | 1,418 | (28,602) | (58) | - |
| Other income | 8,023 | 8,918 | (5,034) | (10) | - |
| Total revenues | 77,301 | 75,352 | (6,840) | 3 | - |
| Benefits, claims and expenses: | | | | | |
| Policyholder benefits and claims incurred | 45,874 | 50,015 | 51,036 | (8) | (2) |
| Policy acquisition and other insurance expenses | 15,820 | 15,864 | 20,833 | - | (24) |
| Interest expense | 7,859 | 14,238 | 15,713 | (45) | (9) |
| Restructuring expenses and related asset impairment and other expenses | 574 | 1,149 | 771 | (50) | 49 |
| Net (gain) loss on sale of divested businesses and properties | (17,767) | 1,271 | - | - | - |
| Other expenses | 7,005 | 7,122 | 7,836 | (2) | (9) |
| Total benefits, claims and expenses | 59,365 | 89,659 | 96,189 | (34) | (7) |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax expense (benefit) | 17,936 | (14,307) | (103,029) | - | - |
| Income tax expense (benefit) | 5,859 | (1,489) | (9,683) | - | - |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | 12,077 | (12,818) | (93,346) | - | - |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of income tax expense (benefit) | (2,064) | 505 | (7,041) | - | - |
| Net income (loss) | 10,013 | (12,313) | (100,387) | - | - |
| Less: Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests | 2,227 | (1,364) | (1,098) | - | - |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|--|-----------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Net income (loss) attributable to AIG | \$ 7,786 | \$ (10,949) | \$ (99,289) | -% | -% |
|--|-----------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------|-----------|

AIG 2010 Form 10-K 69

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Premiums and Other Considerations**2010 and 2009 Comparison**

Premiums and other considerations decreased in 2010 compared to 2009 primarily due to a reduction of \$3.3 billion related to 2009 dispositions that did not meet the criteria for discontinued operations accounting. These dispositions included HSB Group, Inc. (HSB), 21st Century and AIG Life Canada in 2009 as well as the deconsolidation of Transatlantic.

2009 and 2008 Comparison

Premiums and other considerations decreased in 2009 compared to 2008 primarily due to:

the effect of dispositions during 2009 noted above;

a decline in Chartis U.S. net premiums written due to reductions in workers' compensation, construction, real estate and transportation lines of business;

a decrease in Chartis International due to the negative effect of foreign exchange;

a decrease in SunAmerica premiums, primarily due to lower payout annuities and the sale of AIG Life Canada; and

a decrease in AIA primarily due to generally weak economic conditions and lower fee income related to investment-linked products.

Net Investment Income

The following table summarizes the components of consolidated Net investment income:

| <i>(in millions)</i> | Years Ended December 31, | | | Percentage Increase/(Decrease) | |
|--|--------------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------------------------|---------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2010 vs. 2009 | 2009 vs. 2008 |
| Fixed maturities, including short-term investments | \$ 14,445 | \$ 14,535 | \$ 16,326 | (1)% | (11)% |
| ML II | 513 | (25) | (211) | - | - |
| ML III | 1,792 | 419 | (900) | 328 | - |
| Change in fair value of AIA securities* | (638) | - | - | - | - |
| Change in fair value of MetLife securities | 665 | - | - | - | - |
| Other equity securities | 326 | 372 | 361 | (12) | 3 |
| Interest on mortgage and other loans | 1,268 | 1,347 | 1,278 | (6) | 5 |
| Partnerships | 1,602 | 4 | (2,084) | - | - |
| Mutual funds | (25) | 315 | (799) | - | - |
| Real estate | 126 | 139 | 258 | (9) | (46) |
| Other investments | 465 | 120 | 521 | 288 | (77) |
| | 20,539 | 17,226 | 14,750 | 19 | 17 |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Total investment income before policyholder income
and trading gains

| | | | | | |
|--|------------------|-----------|-----------|------|------|
| Policyholder investment income and trading gains (losses) | 886 | 2,305 | (3,504) | (62) | - |
| Total investment income | 21,425 | 19,531 | 11,246 | 10 | 74 |
| Investment expenses | 495 | 544 | 793 | (9) | (31) |
| Net investment income | \$ 20,930 | \$ 18,987 | \$ 10,453 | 10% | 82% |

*

Represents change in fair value measured from the closing sale price on the October 29, 2010 initial date of trading.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

2010 and 2009 Comparison

Net investment income increased in 2010 compared to 2009 primarily due to significantly higher income from partnership investments due to an improved market environment compared to 2009, and increased valuation gains associated with AIG's interest in ML II and ML III.

These increases were partially offset by a decline in Policyholder investment income and trading gains for AIA (together, policyholder trading gains) compared to 2009. Policyholder trading gains are offset by a change in Policyholder benefits and claims incurred and generally reflect the trends in equity markets, principally in Asia.

2009 and 2008 Comparison

Net investment income increased in 2009 compared to 2008 primarily due to:

policyholder trading gains in 2009 noted above compared to losses in 2008;

gains associated with the change in fair value of AIG's investment in ML III compared to losses in 2008; and

income from mutual fund investments and partnerships in 2009 compared to losses in 2008 reflecting more stable market conditions in 2009 than in 2008.

These increases were partially offset by lower levels of invested assets, including the effect of divested businesses in 2009, compared to 2008 and lower returns as a result of increased levels of short-term investments that were held for liquidity purposes.

Net Realized Capital Gains (Losses)

| <i>(in millions)</i> | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|---|---------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Sales of fixed maturity securities | \$ 1,846 | \$ 849 | \$ (4,906) |
| Sales of equity securities | 725 | 303 | 158 |
| Sales of real estate and loans | 153 | (18) | 136 |
| Other-than-temporary impairments: | | | |
| Severity | (73) | (1,510) | (23,213) |
| Change in intent | (441) | (958) | (10,806) |
| Foreign currency declines | (63) | (112) | (1,356) |
| Issuer-specific credit events | (2,457) | (3,979) | (4,874) |
| Adverse projected cash flows on structured securities | (5) | (137) | (1,618) |
| Provision for loan losses | (304) | (614) | - |
| Foreign exchange transactions | 178 | (616) | 2,028 |
| Derivative instruments | 453 | 1,724 | (3,313) |
| Other | (291) | (142) | 970 |
| Net realized capital losses | \$ (279) | \$ (5,210) | \$ (46,794) |

2010 and 2009 Comparison

Net realized capital losses decreased in 2010 compared to 2009 reflecting the following:

increased gains on sales of fixed maturity and equity securities in 2010;

lower other-than-temporary impairment charges in the current year. Affecting the comparison was the adoption of the new other-than-temporary impairments accounting standard commencing in the second quarter of 2009. The three-month period ended March 31, 2009 included non-credit impairments (i.e., severity losses) that are no longer required for fixed maturity securities unless it is likely and

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

management has the intent to sell such securities. See Note 7 to the Consolidated Financial Statements; and Investments Other-Than-Temporary Impairments; and

foreign exchange transaction gains incurred in 2010 compared to losses in 2009 primarily resulting from the strengthening of the U.S. dollar against the Euro, British pound and Japanese yen compared to 2009.

These improvements were partially offset by lower gains from derivative instruments not designated for hedge accounting, particularly those used to hedge foreign exchange movements.

2009 and 2008 Comparison

Net realized capital losses decreased in 2009 compared to 2008 primarily due to the following:

the 2008 period included severity losses throughout the year that are no longer required for fixed maturity securities as noted above. Additionally, other-than-temporary impairments declined from the 2008 period due to improved market conditions. See Note 7 to the Consolidated Financial Statements; and Investments Other-Than-Temporary Impairments;

gains on sales of fixed maturity securities in 2009 compared to losses in 2008 reflecting improvement in the credit markets; and

gains on derivative instruments not qualifying for hedge accounting treatment in 2009 compared to losses in 2008 resulting from weakening of the U.S. dollar in 2009.

Partially offsetting the above items were losses on sales of real estate and other assets in 2009. Additionally, Net realized capital losses includes foreign exchange translation losses in 2009 compared to gains in 2008 primarily resulting from the weakening of the U.S. dollar.

Unrealized Market Valuation Gains (Losses) on Capital Markets Super Senior Credit Default Swap Portfolio

2010 and 2009 Comparison

The unrealized market valuation gains decreased in 2010 compared to 2009 as a result of losses in the corporate arbitrage portfolio caused by increasing corporate spreads in 2010 and decreasing corporate spreads in 2009, offset by improved prices of the underlying assets in the multi-sector CDO portfolio in 2010 compared to 2009.

2009 and 2008 Comparison

Capital Markets reported unrealized market valuation gains related to its super senior credit default swap portfolio in 2009 and unrealized market valuation losses in 2008. The change in the unrealized market valuation gains (losses) related to Capital Markets' super senior credit default swap portfolio was due to the substantial decline in outstanding net notional amount resulting from the termination of contracts in the fourth quarter of 2008 associated with the ML III transaction and the improvement in market conditions in 2009, as well as the narrowing of corporate credit spreads.

See Segment Results Financial Services Operations Financial Services Results Capital Markets Results and Critical Accounting Estimates Valuation of Level 3 Assets and Liabilities and Note 6 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Other Income

2010 and 2009 Comparison

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Other income decreased in 2010 compared to 2009 due to:

a decline of \$975 million in credit valuation adjustments on Capital Markets' derivative assets and liabilities which are measured at fair value, excluding gains and losses, which are reflected in Unrealized gains (losses)

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

on Capital Markets super senior credit default swap portfolio, partially offset by reduced losses from AIGFP from lower unwind costs; and

a decline of \$1.0 billion in credit valuation adjustments on Direct Investment business assets and liabilities which are measured at fair value.

This decrease was partially offset by a bargain purchase gain recorded by Chartis International of \$332 million related to the Fuji acquisition.

2009 and 2008 Comparison

Other income increased in 2009 compared to 2008 due to:

net credit valuation adjustment gains of \$2.8 billion in 2009 compared to net credit valuation adjustment losses of \$9.5 billion in 2008 on Capital Markets and Direct Investment business assets and liabilities which are measured at fair value, excluding gains and losses which are reflected in Unrealized gains (losses) on Capital Markets' super senior credit default swap portfolio; and

an improvement of \$5.5 billion reflecting the positive effect of hedging activities that did not qualify for hedge accounting, which was driven by the weakening of the U.S. dollar against most major currencies during 2009.

These increases were partially offset by:

a \$2.4 billion decline in Institutional Asset Management revenues due to impairments on proprietary real estate and private equity investments and lower base management fees on lower base assets under management in 2009; and

a decline of \$1.0 billion in income from consolidated managed partnerships and funds, which is partially offset by Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests.

Policyholder Benefits and Claims Incurred

2010 and 2009 Comparison

Policyholder benefits and claims incurred decreased in 2010 primarily due to:

a reduction of \$2.2 billion as a result of the dispositions in 2009 noted above that did not meet the criteria for discontinued operations accounting;

a decrease in incurred policy losses and benefit expenses for AIA of \$1.3 billion related to a decline in policyholder trading gains which are discussed above in Net Investment Income; and

a decrease in claims and claims adjustment expense of \$2.4 billion for Mortgage Guaranty operations primarily due to lower levels of newly reported delinquencies in the first-lien, second-lien and international products, higher cure rates on existing first-lien and international delinquent loans and the recognition of stop loss limits on certain second-lien policies.

Partially offsetting these declines were:

the net \$4.3 billion of reserve strengthening in 2010 compared to \$2.8 billion in 2009;

increased catastrophe losses for Chartis; and

the effect of the consolidation of Fuji in 2010.

See Chartis results herein for further discussion.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

2009 and 2008 Comparison

Policyholder benefits and claims incurred decreased in 2009 compared to 2008 due to:

a reduction of \$4.0 billion due to dispositions in 2009 noted above;

catastrophe-related losses of \$53 million in 2009 compared to \$1.8 billion in 2008 (losses in 2008 were primarily related to hurricanes Ike and Gustav); and

the effects of lower production levels for Chartis and SunAmerica.

These decreases were partially offset by:

higher incurred policy losses and benefits expenses for AIA due to policyholder trading gains of \$2.3 billion in 2009 compared to policyholder trading losses of \$3.5 billion in 2008 as discussed above in Net Investment Income; and

adverse development from prior years in Chartis U.S. primarily for excess casualty and excess workers' compensation and increased current year losses in Chartis International from exposure to financial lines claims.

Policy Acquisition and Other Insurance Expenses

2010 and 2009 Comparison

Policy acquisition and other insurance expenses decreased slightly in 2010 compared to 2009 as a result of a reduction of \$947 million related to the dispositions in 2009 noted above, partially offset by increased expenses at Chartis, primarily resulting from the consolidation of Fuji noted above.

2009 and 2008 Comparison

Policy acquisition and other insurance expenses decreased in 2009 compared to 2008 primarily due to:

a reduction of \$1.9 billion due to dispositions in 2009 noted above;

a reduction of \$3.3 billion due to goodwill impairment charges recorded in 2008 from Chartis U.S., primarily related to goodwill of HSB, SunAmerica and Other businesses; and

the effects of lower production levels for Chartis, SunAmerica's domestic retirement services business and AIA.

Interest Expense

Interest expense decreased in 2010 primarily due to lower interest expense on the FRBNY Credit Facility reflecting a reduced weighted average interest rate on borrowings, a lower average outstanding balance and a decline in amortization of the prepaid commitment fee asset as set forth below. Interest expense decreased in 2009 compared to 2008 primarily due to lower interest expense on the FRBNY Credit Facility reflecting a reduced weighted average interest rate on borrowings. However, because the facility was outstanding for the full year in 2009 compared to only 107 days in 2008, the favorable impact was largely offset.

Years Ended December 31,*(dollars in millions)*

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|--|------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Weighted average interest rate* | 3.3% | 4.5% | 10.6% |
| Average outstanding balance (excluding paid in kind interest)* | \$ 18,775 | \$ 37,358 | \$ 52,439 |
| Periodic amortization of prepaid commitment fee asset* | \$ 1,766 | \$ 3,174 | \$ 2,703 |
| Accelerated amortization of prepaid commitment fee asset* | \$ 1,705 | \$ 5,185 | \$ 6,576 |

*

The FRBNY Credit Facility was repaid in full and terminated upon the closing of the Recapitalization on January 14, 2011.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Restructuring Expenses and Related Asset Impairment and Other Expenses

In the fourth quarter of 2008, following receipt of federal government assistance, AIG commenced an organization-wide restructuring plan, which AIG continued to develop and modify throughout 2010. Restructuring expenses decreased in 2010 reflecting progress made under the restructuring plan and asset disposition initiatives. Restructuring expenses increased in 2009 compared to 2008 due to an increase in professional fees related to disposition activities and AIG's transactions with the FRBNY and the Department of the Treasury. See Note 23 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional discussion regarding restructuring and separation expenses.

Net (Gain) Loss on Sale of Divested Businesses and Properties

Net (gain) loss on sale of divested businesses and properties includes the net (gain) loss on the sale of divested businesses that did not qualify as discontinued operations as well as gains and losses from property disposals in connection with AIG's restructuring program. The gain in 2010 primarily represents a gain of \$16.3 billion on the sale of 67 percent of AIA, a gain of \$228 million associated with the termination fee paid by Prudential plc to AIG and a \$1.3 billion gain on the sale of the Otemachi building in Japan. See Segment Results - Chartist Operations - Chartist Results and Other Operations - Other Results herein for further information.

Other Expenses

2010 and 2009 Comparison

Other expenses decreased slightly in 2010 compared to 2009 due to:

goodwill impairment charges of \$612 million recorded in 2009 related to the Institutional Asset Management business;

lower compensation-related costs for the Institutional Asset Management business, including the effect of deconsolidation of certain portfolio investments and the sale of the Swiss bank; and

lower provisions for credit losses for consumer finance businesses not presented as discontinued operations.

These declines were partially offset by \$1.6 billion of ILFC aircraft asset impairment charges and \$90 million of operating lease-related charges with respect to aircraft sold, otherwise disposed of or held for sale.

2009 and 2008 Comparison

Other expenses for 2009 decreased compared to 2008 primarily due to lower compensation-related costs for Parent and Other operations and the Institutional Asset Management business, partially offset by the 2009 goodwill impairment charges noted above.

Income Tax Expense (Benefit)

2010, 2009 and 2008 Effective Tax Rates

For the year ended December 31, 2010, the effective tax rate on pretax income from continuing operations was 32.7 percent. The effective tax rate for the year ended December 31, 2010, attributable to continuing operations differs from the statutory rate primarily due to tax benefits of \$1.3 billion associated with AIG's investment in subsidiaries and partnerships, principally the AIA SPV which is treated as a partnership for U.S. tax purposes, and \$587 million associated with tax exempt interest, partially offset by an increase in the valuation allowance attributable to continuing operations of \$1.5 billion.

The effective tax rate on the pre-tax loss from continuing operations for the year ended December 31, 2009, differs from the statutory rate primarily due to increases in the valuation allowance of \$3.1 billion and reserve for uncertain tax positions of \$874 million, partially offset by tax exempt interest of \$677 million and the change in investment in subsidiaries and partnerships of \$473 million which was principally related

to changes in the estimated U.S. tax liability with respect to the potential sales of subsidiaries.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

The effective tax rate on the pre-tax loss from continuing operations for the year ended December 31, 2008, differs from the statutory rate primarily due to the change in investment in subsidiaries and partnerships of \$490 million, effect of foreign operations of \$2.5 billion, nondeductible goodwill impairment of \$1.3 billion, reserve for uncertain tax positions of \$1.0 billion, and an increase in the valuation allowance of \$20.1 billion.

See Critical Accounting Estimates Valuation Allowance on Deferred Tax Assets and Note 22 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information.

Discontinued Operations

Income (loss) from Discontinued Operations is comprised of the following:

Years Ended December 31,

| <i>(in millions)</i> | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|--|--------------|-------------|-------------|
| Foreign life insurance businesses ^(a) | \$ (1,237) | \$ 2,581 | \$ (4,941) |
| AGF | (145) | (904) | (434) |
| Net gain (loss) on sale | 1,588 | (2,758) | - |
| Consolidation adjustments | (356) | 54 | (302) |
| Interest allocation ^(b) | (75) | (89) | (55) |
| Loss from discontinued operations | \$ (225) | \$ (1,116) | \$ (5,732) |
| Income tax expense (benefit) | 1,839 | (1,621) | 1,309 |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax | \$ (2,064) | \$ 505 | \$ (7,041) |

(a) Represents results of ALICO, AIG Star, AIG Edison and Nan Shan.

(b) Represents interest expense, including periodic amortization of the prepaid commitment fee asset, on the estimated net proceeds from the sale of ALICO that were contractually required to be applied to the FRBNY Credit Facility. See Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Significant items affecting the comparison of results from discontinued operations included the following:

impairments of goodwill in 2010 of \$4.6 billion related to ALICO, AIG Star and AIG Edison. See Note 2(k) of Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements Goodwill for further discussion;

a pre-tax gain of \$4.1 billion on the sale of ALICO in 2010;

a pre-tax loss of approximately \$1.7 billion on the sale of AGF in 2010;

a pre-tax loss of \$2.8 billion recognized in 2009 related to the sale of Nan Shan, as well as an additional loss on sale of \$874 million recognized in 2010; and

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

tax effects of the above transactions, notably the impact of non-deductible goodwill impairments and the change in investment in subsidiaries, which was principally related to changes in the estimated U.S. tax liability with respect to the planned sales.

See Notes 4 and 22 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion of discontinued operations.

Segment Results

AIG believes it should present and discuss its financial information in a manner most meaningful to its financial statement users. Underwriting profit (loss) is utilized to report results for Chartis operations. Operating income (loss), which is before net realized capital gains (losses) and related DAC and SIA amortization and goodwill impairment charges, is utilized to report results for SunAmerica operations. Results from discontinued operations and net gains (losses) on sales of divested businesses are excluded from these measures. AIG believes that these measures allow for a better assessment and enhanced understanding of the operating performance of each business by highlighting the results from ongoing operations and the underlying profitability of its businesses. When such measures are disclosed, reconciliations to GAAP pre-tax income are provided.

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

The following table summarizes the operations of each reportable segment. See also Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

| Years Ended December 31, (in millions) | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | Percentage Increase/ (Decrease) | |
|---|------------------|--------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------|------------------|
| | | | | 2010 vs. 2009 | 2009 vs. 2008 |
| Total revenues: | | | | | |
| Chartis | \$ 37,196 | \$ 35,023 | \$ 33,793 | 6% | 4% |
| SunAmerica | 14,747 | 11,366 | (19,634) | 30 | - |
| Financial Services | 5,657 | 6,730 | (25,426) | (16) | - |
| Other | 20,425 | 24,342 | 6,670 | (16) | 265 |
| Consolidation and eliminations | (724) | (2,109) | (2,243) | - | - |
| Total | 77,301 | 75,352 | (6,840) | 3 | - |
| Pre-tax income (loss): | | | | | |
| Chartis | (116) | 164 | (2,488) | - | - |
| SunAmerica | 2,712 | (1,179) | (34,948) | - | - |
| Financial Services | (636) | 2,006 | (29,786) | - | - |
| Other | 15,710 | (14,454) | (34,492) | - | - |
| Consolidation and eliminations | 266 | (844) | (1,315) | - | - |
| Total | \$ 17,936 | \$ (14,307) | \$ (103,029) | -% | -% |

Chartis Operations

Chartis, AIG's property and casualty insurance operation, offers a broad range of commercial and consumer insurance products and services worldwide.

Chartis regularly reviews its underlying domestic and international businesses in an effort to determine and ensure proper alignment of its risk profile to its risk tolerance, increase its focus on managing risk, improve results of operations and reduce volatility of its overall returns. As a result of these efforts, Chartis has developed and is executing, a broad-based strategy that includes the following:

Increased production in less capital intensive and higher margin business, including its Commercial Specialty and Consumer lines;

Taking underwriting actions in more capital intensive, long-tail and catastrophe-exposed businesses within the Commercial Casualty and Property lines, including primary and excess workers' compensation, excess casualty and various classes of property business;

Maintenance of strong underwriting discipline in soft market cycles;

Geographic diversity in its overall portfolio through more strategic growth in Chartis International;

More strategic use of reinsurance designed to reduce overall costs, maintain comparable limits, minimize credit exposures and reduce probable maximum losses (PML); and

Enhancement of Chartis' risk management framework.

Chartis has made significant advancements on these objectives including:

An overall increase in Consumer lines business by 18.7 percent since 2008 resulting in a significant change in the mix of business. At December 31, 2010, Consumer lines business represented 35 percent of Chartis' net premiums written, compared to 27 percent at December 31, 2008.

An overall decrease in Commercial lines of 18.5 percent since 2008 also contributing to the significant change in business mix. At December 31, 2010, Commercial lines net premiums written represented 65 percent of Chartis' net premiums written, compared to 73 percent at December 31, 2008. Further,

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

longer-tail lines of business within Commercial Casualty such as primary workers' compensation, excess workers' compensation and excess casualty business are down 72 percent, 45 percent and 42 percent, respectively since 2006.

Due in large part to the acquisition of Fuji, at December 31, 2010, Chartis International represents 45 percent of Chartis' net premiums written compared to 38 percent at December 31, 2008.

Through a combination of a reduction in its gross writing and reinsurance strategy, since 2008 Chartis reduced its natural catastrophe net PML, on a 1/250 year Occurrence Exceedance Probability (OEP) combined peril basis, by 31 percent. Since 2008, Commercial Property writings are down approximately 10 percent. Further, Chartis has re-balanced its reinsurance purchases through its increased use of natural catastrophe reinsurance in its U.S. business and reduced reinsurance purchases internationally. As part of this program, Chartis secured \$875 million in protection for U.S. hurricanes and earthquakes in 2010 through two separate catastrophe bond transactions.

As part of AIG's enhanced enterprise risk management framework, in 2010, Chartis hired a Chief Risk Officer, created Senior ERM teams in Chartis U.S. and each of its Chartis International regions, enhanced its risk procedures and implemented an improved ERM framework.

Chartis evaluates its liabilities for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expenses (loss reserves) including incurred but not yet reported claims (IBNR) and periodically adjusts the loss reserves to reflect management's current best estimate of the ultimate value of the underlying claims. These liabilities are necessarily subject to the impact of future changes in claim severity and frequency, as well as numerous other factors. Although AIG believes that these estimated liabilities are reasonable, because of the extended period of time over which such claims are reported and settled, the subsequent development of these liabilities in future periods may not conform to the assumptions inherent in their determination and, accordingly, may vary materially from the amounts previously recorded.

During calendar years 2010 and 2009, Chartis recorded net adverse loss development for prior accident years of \$4.3 billion and \$2.8 billion net of discount and loss sensitive premium adjustments, respectively. Approximately 80 percent of the 2010 charges of \$4.3 billion, relates to the asbestos, excess casualty, excess workers' compensation, and primary workers' compensation. Further, 83 percent of this charge relates to accident years 2007 and prior (accident years before the financial crisis in 2008) and 65 percent relates to accident 2005 and prior (accident years prior to the start of the managed reduction in these long-tail lines of business).

Approximately 98 percent of the 2009 charge of \$2.8 billion relates to excess casualty, excess workers' compensation and asbestos lines of business. Further, 95 percent relates to accident years 2005 and prior (accident years prior to the start of the managed reduction in these long-tail lines of business).

As noted above, writings in long-tail lines of business that were the drivers of the reserve charges in 2010 and 2009 have been reduced since 2006. In the case of asbestos, since 1985, standard policies have contained an absolute exclusion for asbestos and pollution-related damages.

Within its Commercial Casualty lines, significant underwriting changes have been made to address historical experience with respect to adverse development. Changes include exiting certain classes of its excess workers' compensation business, increased actuarial involvement in product aggregate pricing and attachments, increased utilization of pricing models with actuarial support, policy form changes, increased policy exclusions and fewer multi-year policies being offered.

As a result of these changes, at December 31, 2010, its most recent overall accident year loss ratios (excluding catastrophes) are in line with management's expectations.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Chartis Results

The following table presents Chartis' results:

| Years Ended December 31, (in millions) | Percentage Increase/ (Decrease) | | | | |
|--|------------------------------------|-----------|------------|---------------|---------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2010 vs. 2009 | 2009 vs. 2008 |
| Underwriting results: | | | | | |
| Net premiums written | \$ 31,612 | \$ 30,653 | \$ 34,531 | 3% | (11)% |
| Decrease in unearned premiums | 909 | 1,608 | 979 | (43) | 64 |
| Net premiums earned | 32,521 | 32,261 | 35,510 | 1 | (9) |
| Claims and claims adjustment expenses incurred | 27,867 | 25,362 | 25,524 | 10 | (1) |
| Underwriting expenses | 10,114 | 9,497 | 10,757 | 6 | (12) |
| Underwriting loss | (5,460) | (2,598) | (771) | - | - |
| Investing and other results: | | | | | |
| Net investment income | 4,392 | 3,292 | 2,567 | 33 | 28 |
| Net realized capital losses | (49) | (530) | (4,284) | - | - |
| Gain on divested properties | 669 | - | - | - | - |
| Bargain purchase gain | 332 | - | - | - | - |
| Pre-tax income (loss) | \$ (116) | \$ 164 | \$ (2,488) | -% | -% |

Chartis Net Premiums Written

As previously noted, AIG believes it should present and discuss its financial information in a manner most meaningful to its financial statement users. AIG analyzes the operating performance of Chartis, using underwriting profit. Underwriting profit is derived by reducing net premiums earned by claims and claims adjustment expenses incurred and underwriting expenses. Net premiums written are initially deferred and earned based upon the terms of the underlying policies for short duration contracts. The unearned premium reserve constitutes deferred revenues which are generally recognized in earnings ratably over the policy period. Net premiums written for long duration contracts are earned when due from the policyholder. Net premiums written reflect the premiums retained after purchasing reinsurance protection.

AIG, along with most property and casualty insurance companies, uses the loss ratio, the expense ratio and the combined ratio as measures of underwriting performance. The loss ratio is the sum of claims and claims adjustment expenses divided by net premiums earned. The expense ratio is underwriting expenses, which consist of acquisition costs plus other insurance expenses, divided by net premiums earned. The combined ratio is a sum of loss ratio and expense ratio. These ratios are relative measurements that describe, for every \$100 of net premiums earned, the amount of claims and claims adjustment expenses, and other underwriting expenses that would be incurred. A combined ratio of less than 100 indicates an underwriting profit and over 100 indicates an underwriting loss.

The underwriting environment varies from country to country, as does the degree of litigation activity. Regulation, product type and competition have a direct effect on pricing and consequently on profitability as reflected in underwriting profit and the combined ratio.

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

The following table presents Chartis net premiums written by major line of business:

| Years Ended December 31, (in millions) | Percentage Increase/ (Decrease) | | | | |
|--|------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|---------------|---------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2010 vs. 2009 | 2009 vs. 2008 |
| Consumer lines: | | | | | |
| Accident & health | \$ 5,434 | \$ 5,045 | \$ 5,220 | 8% | (3)% |
| Personal lines | 5,305 | 4,016 | 4,108 | 32 | (2) |
| Life insurance | 334 | - | - | - | - |
| Total Consumer lines | 11,073 | 9,061 | 9,328 | 22 | (3) |
| Commercial lines: | | | | | |
| Casualty | 8,398 | 9,082 | 11,414 | (8) | (20) |
| Property | 3,290 | 3,548 | 3,660 | (7) | (3) |
| Specialty | 8,851 | 8,962 | 10,129 | (1) | (12) |
| Total Commercial lines | 20,539 | 21,592 | 25,203 | (5) | (14) |
| Total net premiums written | \$ 31,612 | \$ 30,653 | \$ 34,531 | 3% | (11)% |

On March 31, 2010, AIG, through a Chartis International subsidiary, purchased additional voting shares in Fuji which resulted in Chartis International gaining control of Fuji. Fuji's financial information is reported on a one-quarter lag. As a result, beginning on July 1, 2010, Fuji's results are included in Chartis International's results.

Chartis' net premiums written increased in 2010 compared to 2009 primarily due to the Fuji acquisition and to a lesser extent strategic growth in higher-margin lines of business. These increases were offset by declines in Commercial businesses in the U.S. and other developed economies and the effects of risk management initiatives of Chartis U.S. designed to reduce its catastrophe-exposed business in property and overall exposure in its long-tail casualty lines. They also reflect Chartis' commitment to maintain price discipline in lines where market rates are unsatisfactory as well as overall rate declines and a decline in ratable exposures such as workers' compensation. Chartis continues to see improved retention, new business submissions, and a relatively stable rate environment; however, net premium writings continue to be affected by a weak economic environment in developed economies and increased competition.

Chartis' net premiums written decreased in 2009 compared to 2008 due to reductions in insurable exposures resulting from the adverse economic conditions on workers' compensation, construction, real estate and transportation classes of business within its Commercial Casualty lines and various classes of professional liability business within its Specialty lines. Additionally, the effects of negative publicity with respect to AIG in 2009 adversely impacted all classes of both consumer and commercial business. The decline in net premiums written was also due to general economic conditions which continued to negatively affect the generation of new business.

AIG transacts business in most major foreign currencies. The following table summarizes the effect of changes in foreign currency exchange rates on Chartis net premiums written:

| Years Ended December 31, | 2010 vs. 2009 | 2009 vs. 2008 |
|---|---------------|---------------|
| Increase (decrease) in original currency* | 1.4% | (9.7)% |
| Foreign exchange effect | 1.7 | (1.5) |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

| | | |
|---|------|---------|
| Increase (decrease) as reported in U.S. dollars | 3.1% | (11.2)% |
|---|------|---------|

*

Computed using a constant exchange rate for each period.

80 AIG 2010 Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Chartis Underwriting Ratios

The following table summarizes Chartis GAAP combined ratios:

| Years Ended December 31, | 2010 | 2009 | Increase (Decrease) | 2008 | Increase (Decrease) |
|--------------------------|-------|-------|------------------------|-------|------------------------|
| Loss ratio | 85.7 | 78.6 | 7.1 | 71.9 | 6.7 |
| Expense ratio | 31.1 | 29.4 | 1.7 | 30.3 | (0.9) |
| Combined ratio | 116.8 | 108.0 | 8.8 | 102.2 | 5.8 |

The increase in the Chartis combined ratio for 2010 compared to 2009 primarily resulted from a loss ratio for accident year 2010 which was 2.5 points higher than the loss ratio for accident year 2009 recorded in 2009. The increase in the overall accident year loss ratio in 2010 is due to catastrophe-related losses of approximately \$1.1 billion driven by a number of catastrophes including:

| Years Ended December 31, 2010 (in millions) | Chartis U.S. | Chartis International | Total |
|--|-----------------|--------------------------|----------|
| Event: | | | |
| Chile earthquake | \$ 28 | \$ 263 | \$ 291 |
| Southeast U.S. floods | 179 | - | 179 |
| Australia floods | 30 | 109 | 139 |
| Northeast U.S. rainstorms | 123 | - | 123 |
| Arizona hailstorm | 80 | - | 80 |
| Madeira flooding | - | 52 | 52 |
| Other catastrophic events* | 117 | 95 | 212 |
| Total catastrophes | \$ 557 | \$ 519 | \$ 1,076 |

*

No events individually impacted Chartis by more than \$50 million.

The 2009 year reflected catastrophe-related losses of \$53 million, an unusually low amount for catastrophe claims. Excluding catastrophe-related losses, the accident year loss ratio for 2010 was 0.7 points lower than the prior year. In 2009, Chartis also recorded \$412 million of losses in its Commercial Specialty line of business for its exposure to credit and fraud claims relating to the financial crisis. While no additional losses were recorded in 2010 for these credit and fraud claims, these reserves continue to be closely monitored and to date have developed in line with expectations.

Net prior year adverse loss development, net of discount and loss sensitive premium adjustments, which amounted to \$4.3 billion in 2010 compared to \$2.8 billion in 2009, contributed 13.2 points to the calendar year loss ratio.

The following table presents the components of net prior year adverse development for Chartis:

| Years Ended December 31, (in millions) | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|---|----------|----------|---------|
| Gross prior year adverse loss development | \$ 4,850 | \$ 2,758 | \$ (39) |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|--|----------|----------|--------|
| Increase in loss reserve discount | (562) | (81) | (145) |
| Returned/(additional) premium on loss-sensitive business | (8) | 118 | 339 |
| Net prior year adverse loss development | \$ 4,280 | \$ 2,795 | \$ 155 |

For a more detailed discussion of Net Prior Year Adverse Loss Development, refer to the Liability for Unpaid Claims and Claims Adjustment Expense section that follows.

an increase of 1.7 points in the expense ratio due to an increase in acquisition and other underwriting expenses, partially offset by an overall decline in the expense ratio due to benefits from the amortization of

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

net intangible liabilities relating to the acquisition of Fuji. For more details on the expenses see the Chartis U.S. and Chartis International discussions below.

The increase in the Chartis combined ratio for 2009 compared to 2008 primarily resulted from net prior year adverse loss development, which increased incurred losses by \$2.8 billion in 2009 and \$155 million in 2008, respectively, which accounts for an 8.7 point deterioration of the loss ratio in 2009.

For additional discussion regarding Net Prior Year Loss Development, refer to the Liability for Unpaid Claims and Claims Adjustment Expense section below.

Partially offsetting the effect of the change in prior year loss development was:

a loss ratio for accident year 2009 recorded in 2009 which was 1.9 points lower than the loss ratio for accident year 2008 recorded in 2008. This decrease is due primarily to a decline in catastrophe losses from \$1.6 billion in 2008 to \$53 million in 2009, resulting in a 4.4 point decrease in the accident year loss ratio. The decrease in accident year loss ratio relating to catastrophes was partially offset by a \$412 million increase in loss reserves in 2009 relating to financial fraud claims discussed above.

a decline in the expense ratio of 0.9 points in 2009 compared to 2008, as the 2008 year reflects the effects of a \$1.2 billion impairment charge for goodwill relating to its investment in HSB, which was sold in 2009.

Chartis Investing and Other Results

In 2010, net investment income for Chartis increased compared to 2009 primarily as a result of an increase in the value of partnership investments as market conditions improved. In addition, investment expenses decreased in 2010 due to 2009 expenses including the equity method losses from Fuji. In 2010, Fuji was consolidated as indicated below. Net realized capital losses for Chartis declined in 2010 compared to 2009 due to increased gains on sales of fixed maturity and equity securities and lower other-than-temporary impairments on investments as market conditions continue to improve. The bargain purchase gain in 2010 resulted from the acquisition of Fuji, and the gain on sale of divested assets is from the sale of the AIG Otemachi Building in Japan.

On March 31, 2010, AIG, through a Chartis International subsidiary, purchased additional voting shares in Fuji. This acquisition resulted in a bargain purchase gain of \$0.3 billion, which was included in the Consolidated Statement of Income (Loss) in Other Income. See Note 5 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information. The bargain purchase gain is primarily attributable to the depressed market value of Fuji's common stock, which AIG believes was the result of macro-economic, capital market and regulatory factors in Japan coupled with Fuji's financial condition and results of operations. AIG anticipates that the bargain purchase gain will not be subject to U.S. or foreign income tax because the gain would only be recognized for tax purposes upon the sale of the Fuji shares.

In May 2009, AIG completed the sale of its interest in the AIG Otemachi Building in Japan, including the land and development rights. Approximately 50 percent of its interest was held by Chartis International subsidiaries with the remainder held by Asset Management, which is reported in AIG's Other operations category. Although the transaction qualified as a legal sale, it did not qualify as a sale for U.S. GAAP purposes at the time of sale due to AIG's continued involvement as a lessee, primarily in the form of a lease deposit. As leases expired in December 2010 and AIG vacated the building, the gain of approximately \$1.3 billion was recognized in AIG's pre-tax income, of which \$669 million was included in the Chartis International results.

Net investment income for Chartis increased in 2009 compared to 2008 primarily due to improvement in returns from partnership investments of \$860 million. Net realized capital losses declined in 2009 compared to 2008 due to lower other-than-temporary impairment charges on investments while 2008 included significant other-than-temporary impairment charges related to the deterioration in the fixed income markets. In addition, the adoption of the new other-than-temporary impairment accounting standard, commencing in the second quarter of 2009, resulted in fewer fixed income credit securities requiring other-than-temporary impairment.

See Consolidated Results for further discussion on net investment income and net realized capital losses.

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Chartis U.S. Results

The following table presents Chartis U.S. results:

| Years Ended December 31, (in millions) | Percentage Increase/ (Decrease) | | | | |
|--|------------------------------------|-----------|------------|---------------|---------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2010 vs. 2009 | 2009 vs. 2008 |
| Underwriting results: | | | | | |
| Net premiums written | \$ 17,247 | \$ 18,373 | \$ 21,243 | (6)% | (14)% |
| Decrease in unearned premiums | 976 | 1,405 | 1,169 | (31) | 20 |
| Net premiums earned | 18,223 | 19,778 | 22,412 | (8) | (12) |
| Claims and claims adjustment expenses incurred | 18,514 | 17,943 | 18,255 | 3 | (2) |
| Underwriting expenses | 4,671 | 4,401 | 5,887 | 6 | (25) |
| Underwriting loss | (4,962) | (2,566) | (1,730) | - | - |
| Net investment income | 3,458 | 2,790 | 1,981 | 24 | 41 |
| Net realized capital losses | (196) | (679) | (3,294) | - | - |
| Pre-tax loss | \$ (1,700) | \$ (455) | \$ (3,043) | -% | -% |

Chartis U.S. Underwriting Results

Chartis U.S. Net Premiums Written

The following table presents Chartis U.S. net premiums written by line of business:

| Years Ended December 31, (in millions) | Percentage Increase/ (Decrease) | | | | |
|--|------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------------|---------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2010 vs. 2009 | 2009 vs. 2008 |
| Consumer lines: | | | | | |
| Accident & health | \$ 1,428 | \$ 1,324 | \$ 1,392 | 8% | (5)% |
| Personal lines | 1,792 | 1,784 | 1,709 | - | 4 |
| Total Consumer lines | 3,220 | 3,108 | 3,101 | 4 | - |
| Commercial lines: | | | | | |
| Casualty | 6,141 | 6,880 | 8,824 | (11) | (22) |
| Property | 1,867 | 2,170 | 2,128 | (14) | 2 |
| Specialty | 6,019 | 6,215 | 7,190 | (3) | (14) |
| Total Commercial lines | 14,027 | 15,265 | 18,142 | (8) | (16) |
| | \$ 17,247 | \$ 18,373 | \$ 21,243 | (6)% | (14)% |

Total net premiums
written

Chartis U.S. net premiums written decreased in 2010 compared to 2009 primarily due to:

risk management initiatives undertaken as part of the redefinition of its overall risk appetite resulting in the reduction of aggregate exposures in certain Commercial Casualty, Property and Specialty lines of business. Chartis U.S. is reducing its production in capital-intensive or lower margin and catastrophe-exposed businesses, including excess casualty, workers' compensation and excess workers' compensation businesses in its Commercial Casualty lines, and within its Commercial Property lines it reduced both its gross and net exposures to catastrophes through the reduction in direct writings and a more strategic use of reinsurance; and

lower U.S. workers' compensation premiums due to declining rates, lower employment levels, increased competition and Chartis' continued strategy to remain price disciplined. These factors negatively affected both its Commercial Casualty and Specialty lines of business.

declines in the construction, real estate and transportation classes within Commercial Casualty lines and various classes of professional liability business in the Specialty lines, which were negatively affected to a greater extent than other classes by the credit crisis. The limited availability of capital for new projects

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

adversely impacted general liability and commercial umbrella business in the Commercial Casualty and environmental classes in the Commercial Specialty lines of business. Additionally, 2010 reflects the effects of the Chartis U.S decision to reduce writings within its Commercial Specialty lines for certain classes of environmental coverages.

Partially offsetting these declines are increases in its Consumer lines of business as part of the Chartis U.S. strategy to increase production in less capital intensive and higher margin businesses.

Chartis U.S. net premiums written decreased in 2009 compared to 2008 primarily due to:

declines in the Commercial Casualty lines of business due to lower U.S. workers' compensation premiums resulting from declining rates, lower employment levels, increased competition and a strategy to remain price disciplined;

declines in the construction, real estate and transportation lines within Commercial Casualty lines, and various classes of professional liability business within the Specialty lines, which were negatively affected to a greater extent than other classes by the credit crisis. Additionally, the limited availability of capital for new projects adversely impacted the general liability and commercial umbrella businesses in its Commercial Casualty and environmental lines within the Specialty lines of business; and

the effect of AIG's negative publicity in 2009, which adversely impacted all classes of both commercial and consumer business.

Chartis U.S. Underwriting Ratios

The following table presents Chartis U.S. GAAP combined ratios:

| Years Ended December 31, | 2010 | 2009 | Increase (Decrease) | 2008 | Increase (Decrease) |
|--------------------------|-------|-------|------------------------|-------|------------------------|
| Loss ratio | 101.6 | 90.7 | 10.9 | 81.4 | 9.3 |
| Expense ratio | 25.6 | 22.3 | 3.3 | 26.3 | (4.0) |
| Combined ratio | 127.2 | 113.0 | 14.2 | 107.7 | 5.3 |

The increase in the Chartis U.S. combined ratio in 2010 compared to 2009 primarily resulted from the following:

a loss ratio for accident year 2010 recorded in 2010 which was 3.2 points higher than the loss ratio for accident year 2009 recorded in 2009. The increase in the overall accident year loss ratio is due to approximately \$557 million catastrophe-related losses in 2010 previously noted (compared to \$53 million of catastrophe-related losses in 2009).

net adverse prior year development, which increased Chartis U.S. incurred losses by \$3.9 billion in 2010 compared to \$2.8 billion of net adverse loss development in 2009.

The following table presents the components of net prior year adverse development for Chartis U.S.:

| 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|------|------|------|
|------|------|------|

Years Ended December 31,
(in millions)

| | | | |
|--|-----------------|----------|--------|
| Gross prior year adverse loss development | \$ 4,471 | \$ 2,749 | \$ 23 |
| Increase in loss reserve discount | (515) | (81) | (145) |
| Returned/(additional) premium on loss-sensitive business | (8) | 118 | 339 |
| Net prior year adverse loss development | \$ 3,948 | \$ 2,786 | \$ 217 |

For additional discussion regarding net prior year loss development, refer to the Liability for Unpaid Claims and Claims Adjustment Expense section that follows.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

an increase of 3.4 points in the expense ratio due to a decline in net premiums earned and increases in both acquisition and general operating expenses. Higher acquisition expenses reflect overall increases in commissions paid to brokers across most lines of businesses, increased regulatory assessments, more specifically in the workers' compensation lines, new marketing agreements with select strategic distribution partners, and direct marketing costs within its Consumer line products. The increase in General operating expenses reflect the Chartis U.S. strategy to continue the enhancement and build-out of its financial systems and related control environment. Further, as part of its plans to continue to attract, retain and develop its human capital and to better align employee performance incentive programs with profitability, capital management, risk management, and other AIG performance measures, during 2010, Chartis U.S. recorded increased expenses relating to its incentive compensation programs. During 2010, Chartis U.S. also undertook a number of cost-saving initiatives and as a result of these initiatives during the fourth quarter of 2010 recorded a \$23 million charge relating to a reduction of its employee base.

The increase in the Chartis U.S. combined ratio for 2009 compared to 2008 primarily resulted from the following:

net adverse prior year development, which increased incurred losses by \$2.8 billion in 2009 compared to \$217 million of net adverse loss development in 2008.

For additional discussion regarding net prior year loss development, see Liability for Unpaid Claims and Claims Adjustment Expense section below.

Prior year loss development was partially offset by:

a decrease in the loss ratio for accident year 2009 recorded in 2009 which was 3.7 points lower than the loss ratio for accident year 2008 recorded in 2008 resulting from a decline in catastrophe-related losses from \$1.5 billion in 2008 to \$53 million in 2009. The 2008 catastrophe-related losses included Hurricanes Ike and Gustav, California wildfires, Midwest storms and floods and the Atlanta tornado.

a decline in the expense ratio of 4.0 points in 2009 compared to 2008. This decrease is due primarily to a \$1.2 billion goodwill impairment charge in 2008 relating to the Chartis U.S. investment in HSB. Overall expenses, excluding the 2008 write-off of goodwill, declined \$452 million, or eight percent compared to 2008 due to decreased acquisition costs, but were partially offset by increased pension and restructuring-related costs.

Chartis U.S. Investing Results

In 2010, net investment income for Chartis U.S. increased compared to 2009 primarily as a result of partnership investments returning to profitability as market conditions improved. In addition, net investment income for non-partnership investments increased in 2010 compared to 2009 due to an increase in maturing Life Settlement policies. Net realized capital losses declined in 2010 compared to 2009 due to increased sales of fixed maturity and equity securities as market conditions improved and lower other-than-temporary-impairments on investments due to improved cash flow expectations on structured securities.

Net investment income for Chartis U.S. increased in 2009 compared to 2008 primarily due to improvement in returns from partnership investments of \$633 million. Net realized capital losses for Chartis U.S. declined in 2009 compared to 2008 due to lower other-than-temporary impairments on investments as 2008 results reflected significant other-than-temporary impairment charges related to the deterioration in the fixed income markets. In addition, the adoption of the new other-than-temporary impairment accounting standard, commencing in the second quarter of 2009, resulted in fewer fixed income credit securities requiring other-than-temporary impairment.

See Consolidated Results for further discussion on net investment income and net realized capital losses.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Chartis International Results

The following table presents Chartis International results:

| Years Ended December 31, (in millions) | Percentage Increase/ (Decrease) | | | | |
|--|------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------------|---------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2010 vs. 2009 | 2009 vs. 2008 |
| Underwriting results: | | | | | |
| Net premiums written | \$ 14,365 | \$ 12,280 | \$ 13,288 | 17% | (8)% |
| (Increase) decrease in unearned premiums | (67) | 203 | (190) | - | - |
| Net premiums earned | 14,298 | 12,483 | 13,098 | 15 | (5) |
| Claims and claims adjustment expenses incurred | 9,353 | 7,419 | 7,269 | 26 | 2 |
| Underwriting expenses | 5,443 | 5,096 | 4,870 | 7 | 5 |
| Underwriting profit (loss) | (498) | (32) | 959 | - | - |
| Investing and other results: | | | | | |
| Net investment income | 934 | 502 | 586 | 86 | (14) |
| Net realized capital gains (losses) | 147 | 149 | (990) | (1) | - |
| Gain on sale of properties | 669 | - | - | - | - |
| Bargain purchase gain | 332 | - | - | - | - |
| Pre-tax income | \$ 1,584 | \$ 619 | \$ 555 | 156% | 12% |

Chartis International Underwriting ResultsChartis International Net Premiums Written

The following table presents Chartis International net premiums written by line of business:

| Years Ended December 31, (in millions) | Percentage Increase/ (Decrease) | | | | |
|--|------------------------------------|----------|----------|---------------|---------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2010 vs. 2009 | 2009 vs. 2008 |
| Consumer lines: | | | | | |
| Accident & health | \$ 4,006 | \$ 3,722 | \$ 3,828 | 8% | (3)% |
| Personal lines | 3,513 | 2,232 | 2,399 | 57 | (7) |
| Life insurance | 334 | - | - | - | - |
| Total consumer lines | 7,853 | 5,954 | 6,227 | 32 | (4) |
| Commercial lines: | | | | | |
| Casualty | 2,257 | 2,202 | 2,590 | 2 | (15) |
| Property | 1,423 | 1,378 | 1,532 | 3 | (10) |
| Specialty | 2,832 | 2,746 | 2,939 | 3 | (7) |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------|-----------|-----------|-----|------|
| Total commercial lines | 6,512 | 6,326 | 7,061 | 3 | (10) |
| Total net premiums written | \$ 14,365 | \$ 12,280 | \$ 13,288 | 17% | (8)% |

Chartis International net premiums written increased in 2010 compared to 2009 primarily due to:

the acquisition of Fuji. Beginning on July 1, 2010, Fuji's net premiums were included in Chartis International. Fuji's net premiums written were \$1.8 billion in 2010, of which \$1.7 billion relates to Consumer lines and \$91 million relates to Commercial lines. In addition, Chartis International's Life insurance business included in Consumer lines is produced by Fuji.

increased stabilization of developed economies after the financial crisis that began in 2008. This increased stabilization resulted in an improved pricing, increased new business submissions and improved policyholder persistency in both Consumer and Commercial lines. However, the effects of the improved environment were partially offset by Chartis International's decision not to renew a credit card indemnification program within Specialty business in Commercial lines that did not meet certain profitability targets.

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

From a regional perspective, growth in the Far East region was driven primarily by the Fuji acquisition. The growth economy countries, with well-established franchises and operations, continue to increase insurance penetration and growth within Consumer and Commercial lines. The Europe region's net premium written levels were consistent with 2009 due to continued strong pricing discipline in a recovering soft market.

Chartis International net premiums written decreased in 2009 compared to 2008 primarily due to negative effects of changes in foreign exchange rates, general economic conditions which continued to negatively affect new business and the adverse effect of negative publicity regarding AIG in 2009.

AIG transacts business in most major foreign currencies. The following table summarizes the effect of changes in foreign currency exchange rates on the growth of Chartis International net premiums written:

| Years Ended December 31, | 2010 vs. 2009 | 2009 vs. 2008 |
|---|-----------------------------|---------------|
| Increase (decrease) in original currency ^(a) | 12.8% ^(b) | (3.8)% |
| Foreign exchange effect | 4.2 | (3.8) |
| Increase (decrease) as reported in U.S. dollars | 17.0% | (7.6)% |

(a) *Computed using a constant exchange rate for each period.*

(b) *Substantially all of this increase was attributable to the Fuji acquisition.*

Chartis International Underwriting Ratios

The following table presents Chartis International combined ratios:

| Years Ended December 31, | 2010 | 2009 | Increase (Decrease) | 2008 | Increase (Decrease) |
|--------------------------|--------------|-------|------------------------|------|------------------------|
| Loss ratio | 65.4 | 59.4 | 6.0 | 55.5 | 3.9 |
| Expense ratio | 38.1 | 40.8 | (2.7) | 37.2 | 3.6 |
| Combined ratio | 103.5 | 100.2 | 3.3 | 92.7 | 7.5 |

The increase in the Chartis International combined ratio in 2010 compared to 2009 primarily resulted from the following:

significant catastrophe-related losses in 2010. No catastrophe-related losses were recorded in the comparable 2009 period. The 2010 catastrophe-related losses of \$520 million previously noted, including \$10 million of reinstatement reinsurance premiums, increased the current accident year loss ratio by 3.3 points.

net adverse prior year loss development, which increased incurred losses by \$332 million in 2010 compared to \$9 million of net adverse loss development in 2009. The increase in net adverse prior year loss development increased the 2010 loss ratio by 2.7 points.

The following table presents the components of net prior year adverse development for Chartis International:

Years Ended December 31,

(in millions)

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Gross prior year adverse loss development | \$ 379 | \$ 9 | \$ (62) |
| Increase in loss reserve discount | (47) | - | - |
| Returned/(additional) premium on loss-sensitive business | - | - | - |
| Net prior year adverse loss development | \$ 332 | \$ 9 | \$ (62) |

For a detailed discussion of Net Loss Development by class of business, see Liability for Unpaid Claims and Claim Adjustment Expense below.

a decrease of 2.7 points in the expense ratio resulting from the net benefits of the amortization of net intangible liabilities relating to the acquisition of Fuji. Excluding Fuji, the 2010 expense ratio was essentially

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

unchanged compared to the prior year. Increases in underwriting expenses were offset by decreases in acquisition costs. The increase in other underwriting expenses in 2010 resulted primarily from the impairment of intangible assets within the Far East region, Consumer lines business (unrelated to the Fuji operations), and costs associated with separation activities from certain AIG divested entities. In addition, other underwriting expenses in 2010 include the cost of enhancements to financial systems and related control environment as well as expenses relating to long-term incentive programs that will continue to align employee performance incentive programs with profitability, capital management, risk management and compliance objectives. The decrease in acquisition costs in 2010 is primarily due to the credit card indemnification program in Commercial Specialty, discussed above, which carried a high commission ratio.

The increase in the Chartis International combined ratio for 2009 compared to 2008 primarily resulted from the following:

a loss ratio for accident year 2009 recorded in 2009 which was 3.4 points higher than the loss ratio for accident year 2008 recorded in 2008. The increase in the overall accident year loss ratio was due primarily to increases relating to Commercial Specialty financial lines claims of \$412 million arising from the disruption in the financial markets as well as financial frauds. Partially offsetting these increases were the year-over-year effects of catastrophe-related losses. No catastrophe-related losses were recorded in 2009, while 2008 was affected by natural catastrophes of \$143 million including Hurricanes Gustav and Ike.

an increase in the expense ratio in 2009 compared to 2008 due to a decrease in net premiums earned and an increase in general operating expenses. The increase in general operating expenses relates to separation and restructuring charges, certain costs associated with bad debt-related expenses, and increased pension costs.

Chartis International Investing and Other Results

Chartis International net investment income increased in 2010 compared to 2009 primarily due to higher returns from partnership investments, dividends earned, and other investment income which were partially offset by lower mutual fund and interest income. Investment expense was also lower in 2010 as 2009 expenses included the equity method losses from Fuji. In 2010, Fuji was consolidated as described below.

Chartis International net realized capital gains in 2010 were essentially unchanged from the prior year. On March 31, 2010, AIG, through a Chartis International subsidiary, purchased additional voting shares in Fuji. The acquisition of the additional voting shares resulted in Chartis International obtaining control of Fuji. This acquisition resulted in a bargain purchase gain of approximately \$332 million, which is included in the Consolidated Statement of Income (Loss) in Other Income. See Note 5 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for a complete discussion. The bargain purchase gain is primarily attributable to the depressed market value of Fuji's common stock, which AIG believes is the result of macro-economic, capital market and regulatory factors in Japan coupled with Fuji's financial condition and results of operations. AIG anticipates that the bargain purchase gain will not be subject to U.S. or foreign income tax because the gain would only be recognized for tax purposes upon the sale of the Fuji shares.

In May 2009, AIG completed the sale of its interest in the AIG Otemachi Building in Japan, including the land and development rights. Approximately 60 percent of these interests were held by Chartis International subsidiaries with the remainder held by Asset Management and reported in AIG's Other operations category. Although the transaction qualified as a legal sale, it did not qualify as a sale for U.S. GAAP purposes at the time of sale due to AIG's continued involvement as a lessee, primarily in the form of a lease deposit. As leases expired in December 2010 and AIG vacated the building, a pre-tax gain of approximately \$1.3 billion was recognized in AIG's consolidated results, of which \$669 million was included in the Chartis International results.

Chartis International Net investment income decreased in 2009 compared to 2008 primarily due to losses from an equity method investment, and lower yields on the fixed income portfolios, partially offset by improving mutual fund income due to improved market conditions.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Chartis International recorded Net realized capital gains in 2009 compared to net realized capital losses in 2008 due to the reduced number of fixed income credit securities requiring other-than-temporary impairments. The reduced number of securities was the result of adoption of the new other-than-temporary impairment accounting standard, commencing in the second quarter of 2009.

Liability for Unpaid Claims and Claims Adjustment Expense

The following discussion of the consolidated liability for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expenses (loss reserves) presents loss reserves for the Chartis U.S. and Chartis International reporting units in the Chartis operating segment and loss reserves pertaining to divested and/or Divested businesses and those of the Mortgage Guaranty reporting unit collectively reported in AIG's Other operations category.

The following table presents the components of the loss reserves by major lines of business on a statutory annual statement basis^(a):

At December 31,

(in millions)

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Other liability occurrence | \$ 23,583 | \$ 20,344 |
| Workers' compensation | 17,683 | 15,200 |
| International ^(b) | 16,583 | 12,582 |
| Other liability claims made | 11,446 | 12,619 |
| Mortgage Guaranty/Credit | 4,220 | 5,477 |
| Property | 3,846 | 3,872 |
| Auto liability | 3,337 | 4,164 |
| Products liability | 2,377 | 2,414 |
| Medical malpractice | 1,754 | 1,672 |
| Accident and health | 1,444 | 1,677 |
| Aircraft | 1,149 | 1,388 |
| Commercial multiple peril | 1,006 | 1,081 |
| Fidelity/surety | 934 | 875 |
| Reinsurance | 130 | 154 |
| Other | 1,659 | 1,867 |
| Total | \$ 91,151 | \$ 85,386 |

(a)

Presented by lines of business pursuant to statutory reporting requirements as prescribed by the National Association of Insurance Commissioners.

(b)

Includes \$2.3 billion related to the acquisition of Fuji on March 31, 2010.

AIG's gross loss reserves represent the accumulation of estimates of ultimate losses, including estimates for IBNR and loss expenses. The methods used to determine loss reserve estimates and to establish the resulting reserves are continually reviewed and updated. Any adjustments resulting from this review are currently reflected in pre-tax income. Because loss reserve estimates are subject to the outcome of future events, changes in estimates are unavoidable given that loss trends vary and time is often required for changes in trends to be recognized and confirmed. Reserve changes that increase previous estimates of ultimate cost are referred to as unfavorable or adverse development or reserve strengthening. Reserve changes that decrease previous estimates of ultimate cost are referred to as favorable development.

The net loss reserves represent loss reserves reduced by reinsurance recoverables, net of an allowance for unrecoverable reinsurance and applicable discount for future investment income.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

The following table classifies the components of the net liability for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expense by business unit:

| Years Ended December 31, <i>(in millions)</i> | 2010 | 2009 |
|---|------------------|-------------|
| Chartis: | | |
| Chartis U.S. | \$ 53,111 | \$ 50,498 |
| Chartis International | 14,963 | 12,688 |
| Total Chartis | 68,074 | 63,186 |
| Mortgage Guaranty | 3,433 | 4,713 |
| Net liability for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expense at end of year | \$ 71,507 | \$ 67,899 |

Discounting of Reserves

At December 31, 2010, net loss reserves reflect a loss reserve discount of \$3.22 billion, including tabular and non-tabular calculations. The tabular workers' compensation discount is calculated using a 3.5 percent interest rate and the 1979 - 81 Decennial Mortality Table. The non-tabular workers' compensation discount is calculated separately for companies domiciled in New York and Pennsylvania, and follows the statutory regulations for each state. For New York companies, the discount is based on a five percent interest rate and the companies' own payout patterns. For Pennsylvania companies, the statute has specified discount factors for accident years 2001 and prior, which are based on a six percent interest rate and an industry payout pattern. For accident years 2002 and subsequent, the discount is based on the payout patterns and investment yields of the companies. Those asbestos liabilities that are fixed and determinable are discounted based on investment yields. The discount is comprised of the following: \$790 million tabular discount for workers' compensation in Chartis U.S. and \$2.27 billion non-tabular discount for workers' compensation in Chartis U.S.; and \$162 million non-tabular discount for asbestos for Chartis.

Results of the Reserving Process

AIG believes that its net loss reserves are adequate to cover net losses and loss expenses as of December 31, 2010. While AIG regularly reviews the adequacy of established loss reserves, there can be no assurance that AIG's ultimate loss reserves will not develop adversely and materially exceed AIG's loss reserves as of December 31, 2010. In the opinion of management, such adverse development and resulting increase in reserves is not likely to have a material adverse effect on AIG's consolidated financial condition, although it could have a material adverse effect on AIG's consolidated results of operations for an individual reporting period. See Item 1A. Risk Factors - Casualty Insurance Underwriting and Reserves.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

The following table presents the rollforward of net loss reserves:**Years Ended December 31,***(in millions)*

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Net liability for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expense at beginning of year | \$ 67,899 | \$ 72,455 | \$ 69,288 |
| Foreign exchange effect | (126) | 1,416 | (2,113) |
| Acquisitions ^(a) | 1,538 | - | - |
| Dispositions ^(b) | (87) | (9,657) | (269) |
| Losses and loss expenses incurred ^(c) : | | | |
| Current year | 24,074 | 27,354 | 34,516 |
| Prior years, other than accretion of discount | 4,182 | 2,771 | 118 |
| Prior years, accretion of discount | (181) | 313 | 317 |
| Losses and loss expenses incurred | 28,075 | 30,438 | 34,951 |
| Losses and loss expenses paid ^(c) : | | | |
| Current year | 9,873 | 11,079 | 13,204 |
| Prior years | 15,919 | 15,673 | 16,240 |
| Losses and loss expenses paid | 25,792 | 26,752 | 29,444 |
| Activity of discontinued operations | - | (1) | 42 |
| Net liability for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expense at end of year | \$ 71,507 | \$ 67,899 | \$ 72,455 |

(a) Represents the acquisition of Fuji on March 31, 2010.

(b) Transatlantic was deconsolidated during the second quarter of 2009, 21st Century was sold in the third quarter of 2009, HSB was sold during the first quarter of 2009 and Unibanco was sold in the fourth quarter of 2008.

(c) Includes amounts related to dispositions through the date of disposition.

The following tables summarize development, (favorable) or unfavorable, of incurred losses and loss expenses for prior years (other than accretion of discount):

Years Ended December 31,*(in millions)*

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|--|----------|----------|-------|
| Prior Accident Year Development by Reporting Unit: | | | |
| Chartis segment: | | | |
| Chartis U.S. | \$ 4,471 | \$ 2,749 | \$ 23 |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Chartis International | 379 | 9 | (62) |
| Total Chartis segment | 4,850 | 2,758 | (39) |
| Other businesses: | | | |
| Mortgage Guaranty | (668) | 38 | 177 |
| Other businesses* | - | (25) | 17 |
| Total Other businesses | (668) | 13 | 194 |
| Asbestos settlements | - | - | (37) |
| Prior years, other than accretion of discount | \$ 4,182 | \$ 2,771 | \$ 118 |

*

Includes Transatlantic which was deconsolidated during the second quarter of 2009, 21st Century was sold in the third quarter of 2009 and HSB was sold during the first quarter of 2009.

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Years Ended December 31,

(in millions)

2010 2009 2008

Prior Accident Year Development by Major Class of Business:

| | | | |
|---|----------|----------|----------|
| Excess casualty (Chartis U.S.) | \$ 1,071 | \$ 1,507 | \$ 1,105 |
| D&O and related management liability (Chartis U.S.) | (94) | (39) | (430) |
| Excess workers' compensation (Chartis U.S.) | 793 | 956 | (12) |
| Healthcare (Chartis U.S.) | (75) | (92) | (310) |
| Asbestos and environmental (primarily Chartis U.S.) | 1,503 | 155 | 51 |
| Primary (Specialty) Workers' Compensation | 518 | 37 | 125 |
| All other, net | 466 | 247 | (411) |

Prior years, other than accretion of discount \$ 4,182 \$ 2,771 \$ 118

**Years Ended
December 31,**

(in millions)

Calendar Year
2010 2009 2008

Prior Accident Year Development by Accident Year:

Accident Year

| | | | |
|----------------|---------|--------|----------|
| 2009 | \$ (61) | | |
| 2008 | 286 | \$ 289 | |
| 2007 | 528 | (57) | \$ (370) |
| 2006 | 199 | (91) | (590) |
| 2005 | 113 | 18 | (455) |
| 2004 | 134 | 182 | (335) |
| 2003 | 73 | 73 | 200 |
| 2002 | 97 | 126 | 176 |
| 2001 | 73 | 316 | 238 |
| 2000 and prior | 2,740 | 1,915 | 1,254 |

Prior years, other than accretion of discount \$ 4,182 \$ 2,771 \$ 118

In determining the loss development from prior accident years, AIG conducts analyses to determine the change in estimated ultimate loss for each accident year for each class of business. For example, if loss emergence for a class of business is different than expected for certain accident years, the actuaries examine the indicated effect such emergence would have on the reserves of that class of business. In some cases, the higher or lower than expected emergence may result in no clear change in the ultimate loss estimate for the accident years in question, and no adjustment would be made to the reserves for the class of business for prior accident years. In other cases, the higher or lower than expected emergence may result in a larger change, either favorable or unfavorable, than the difference between the actual and expected loss emergence. Such additional analyses were conducted for each class of business, as appropriate, in 2010 to determine the loss development from prior accident years for 2010. As part of its reserving process, AIG also considers notices of claims received with respect to emerging issues, such as those related to the U.S. mortgage and housing market.

Net Loss Development by Class of Business

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

The following is a discussion of the primary reasons for the development in 2010, 2009 and 2008 for those classes of business that experienced significant prior accident year developments during the three-year period. See Asbestos and Environmental Reserves below for a further discussion of asbestos and environmental reserves and development.

Excess Casualty: Excess Casualty reserves experienced significant adverse loss development in 2010, 2009 and 2008. The increase in loss costs driving this development resulted primarily from medical inflation, which increased the economic loss component of tort claims; advances in medical care, which extended the life span of severely injured claimants; and larger jury verdicts, which increased the value of severe tort claims. An additional factor affecting AIG's excess casualty experience in 2008 and 2009 has been the exhaustion of underlying primary policies for products liability coverage and for homebuilders. This has led to increased loss emergence relating to claims involving exhaustion of underlying product aggregates and increased construction defect-related claims

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

activity on AIG's excess and umbrella policies. Many excess casualty policies were written on a multi-year basis in the late 1990s, which limited AIG's ability to respond to emerging market trends as rapidly as would otherwise be the case. In subsequent years, AIG responded to these emerging trends by increasing rates and implementing numerous policy form and coverage changes. This led to a significant improvement in experience beginning with accident year 2001. In 2008, a significant portion of the adverse development from accident years 2002 and prior also related to latent exposures, including pharmaceutical exposures as well as the construction defect and product aggregate related exposures noted above. AIG's exposure to these latent exposures was sharply reduced after 2002 due to significant changes in policy terms and conditions as well as underwriting guidelines. Another contributor to the adverse development during 2008 and 2009 is that actual loss development for other large losses for accident years 1998 and subsequent have emerged at higher than expected levels as compared to the loss emergence pattern exhibited from earlier accident years. This has caused significant additional development for accident years 1998 to 2002 and to a lesser extent for accident years 2003 to 2006. In 2009 the vast majority of the prior accident year development was attributable to loss emergence that significantly exceeded the historical average for this class of business.

AIG increased its estimate for its year-end 2009 loss reserve for excess casualty liabilities by more than \$1 billion, primarily relating to accident years 2006 and prior. The majority of the 2009 charge resulted from management's decision to place greater reliance on the experience of the five most recent calendar years, resulting in significantly higher loss development factor assumptions for the year-end 2009 loss reserve review.

Even with these higher loss development factors, during the fourth quarter of 2010, loss emergence across all accident years for excess casualty was approximately \$115 million worse than expected and was concentrated in accident years 2007 and 2008. The concentration of such losses in more recent accident years resulted in much higher loss estimates at year-end 2010 because the experience is extrapolated not only for these years, but to all years through the application of the loss development factors. The higher than expected loss emergence in the last half of 2010, particularly in the fourth quarter, led management to select higher loss development factors than those selected in 2009 because greater weight was placed on the adverse development in the recent calendar years (i.e., the three most recent calendar years). In these low frequency/high severity classes of business, AIG applied significant judgment to select an appropriate averaging period for loss development that is long enough to be statistically credible while recognizing changing trends in a sufficiently responsive manner. AIG also considered recent trends in large products liability verdicts in the United States given the impact of the recession and the impact of anti-corporate sentiment in the mind of the general public, as well as the high attachment points at which this business is written.

In determining the appropriate reserve estimate, in addition to the adverse claim emergence during late 2010, management considered the continued exposure to latent product liability claim emergence for this long tail class and the continued uncertainty of the expected loss ratios during the soft market conditions that prevailed in recent accident years. At December 31, 2010, the calculation of AIG's loss development factor assumptions was based on giving much greater weight to the latest three calendar years of loss development experience. This change from basing the loss development factor assumptions on the last five years provides still greater recognition of the recent calendar-year experience than the assumptions used in the 2009 loss reserve review, and in management's judgment was warranted based on the developing trends described above. Approximately \$80 million of the reserve strengthening in the fourth quarter of 2010 pertained to accident year 2009, whereas approximately \$200 million was attributable to accident year 2008, \$340 million to accident year 2007, \$195 million to accident year 2006, \$100 million to accident years 1999 and prior, and approximately \$95 million in the aggregate to accident years 2000 through 2005.

For the year-end 2009 loss reserve review, in response to significantly higher than expected loss emergence, AIG reviewed the indicated reserves for excess casualty under a variety of loss development assumptions. These assumptions ranged from long term loss development averages, which utilized all or nearly all of the historical data for this class, to short term averages which utilized only the latest three to five calendar years of loss development experience. AIG gave greater recognition to the recent calendar year experience, resulting in significantly higher loss development factor assumptions for the year-end 2009 loss reserve review. This change in

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

loss development assumptions increased the excess casualty reserves by approximately \$815 million for accident years 2006 and prior. Additionally, in conjunction with the selection of higher loss development factors described above, AIG assigned greater credibility to the emerging loss development factors for product aggregate-related claims, which are reviewed separately. This resulted in an increase of approximately \$195 million in reserves, primarily for accident years 2000 and prior. In the 2008 review of the product aggregate-related loss development, only partial credibility had been given to the emerging loss development experience for product aggregate-related claims. Finally, AIG claims staff updated its review of accounts with significant exposure to construction defect-related claims. This resulted in an increase of approximately \$65 million.

For the year-end 2008 loss reserve review, AIG claims staff updated its review of accounts with significant exposure to construction defect-related claims. In response to the continued upward developments on these claims, and based on an updated analysis of this development, AIG increased the reserves by an additional \$75 million beyond the increases identified in the claims review. In response to the continued adverse development of product aggregate related claims during 2007 and 2008, AIG's actuaries conducted a special analysis of product aggregate-related claims development, resulting in an increase in the IBNR reserve for this exposure of \$175 million. In response to the high level of pharmaceutical-related claim emergence during 2007 and 2008, AIG claims staff reviewed the remaining exposure, and based on this review an additional reserve of \$10 million was established. In response to the much greater than expected actual loss emergence for other large losses for accident years 1998 and subsequent during 2007 and 2008, AIG's actuaries increased the loss development factor assumptions for this business, resulting in a further increase of approximately \$200 million in loss reserves for this class. In total, the specific increases in reserves related to these items increased the excess casualty reserves by approximately \$460 million during 2008. During 2008, AIG also recognized approximately \$200 million of losses relating to MTBE, a gasoline additive, which primarily related to excess casualty business from accident years 2000 and prior.

Loss reserves pertaining to the excess casualty class of business are generally included in the other liability occurrence line of business, with a small portion of the excess casualty reserves included in the other liability claims made line of business, as presented in the loss reserves by major lines of business table above.

Excess Workers' Compensation: AIG experienced significant adverse development for this class during 2010 and 2009, following a year of immaterial development in 2008. This class of business has an extremely long tail and is one of the most challenging classes of business to reserve for because it is highly sensitive to small changes in assumptions in the rate of medical inflation or the longevity of injured workers, for example which can have a significant effect on the ultimate reserve estimate. Furthermore claims estimates for this line are highly sensitive to:

The assumed future rate of inflation and other economic conditions in the United States;

Changes in the legal, regulatory, judicial and social environment;

The expected impact of recently enacted health care reform on workers' compensation costs;

Underlying policy pricing, terms and conditions;

Claims settlement trends that can materially alter the mix and ultimate cost of claims;

Changes in claims reporting practices of insureds and third-party administrators;

The cost of new and additional treatment specialties, such as "pain management";

Changes in injured worker longevity; and

Territorial experience differences (across states and within regions in a state).

With the passage of the Affordable Care Act in March 2010, management concluded that there is increased vulnerability to the risk of further cost-shifting to the excess workers' compensation class of business in particular. Settlement efforts can also be affected by changes to evaluation protocols implemented by the Centers for

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Medicare & Medicaid Services in 2009, which are expected to result in future prescription drug costs being borne by workers' compensation insurers to a significantly greater degree than in the past and thus likely to lead to further deteriorating trends for the excess workers' compensation class of business.

In addition, approximately 20 percent of the reported claims emanate from excess of loss reinsurance contracts provided by Chartis to other third-party insurers in accident years 2002 and prior. These reinsurance contracts generally include the so-called "follow the fortunes clause" whereby claims management is performed by the ceding insurers and the outcomes of these efforts are binding on Chartis as the reinsurer. Chartis has virtually no ability to affect the outcomes of these claims.

Moreover, underwriting actions in recent years have led to a significant increase in insured retention levels, which reduce the frequency of moderate-severity losses but extend the time period of first report of claim, causing further unpredictability in loss development patterns.

During the fourth quarter of 2010, AIG conducted its comprehensive loss reserve analysis using a variety of actuarial techniques to project future loss development for this very long-tail class. As part of this analysis, AIG compared and contrasted the traditional techniques that have been used for this class with an alternative approach that focuses more explicitly on projecting the effect of future calendar year trends, placing less weight on prior-period loss development ratios due to the increased evidence of changes to the claims environment. To this end, AIG engaged a third-party actuary that uses such alternative approaches to supplement the extensive analysis performed by AIG as it conducted its comprehensive loss review of its year-end loss reserves. The third-party actuary provided an additional perspective for the excess workers' compensation class by using a method that management considered to be particularly suited to the excess workers' compensation class, given its long-tail nature. These various actuarial analyses all indicated a substantial increase in loss estimates from the prior-year level. AIG responded to this increased loss indication by evaluating a range of loss development scenarios including developing the tail factors that extrapolate the claims projections as far as 40 years into the future. Due to the extremely long-tail nature of this class, the impact of the selected change in loss development assumptions affected many accident years and led to an overall strengthening of approximately \$825 million, before discount. Approximately \$430 million of the reserve strengthening in the fourth quarter of 2010 pertained to accident years 1999 and prior, with an additional \$160 million attributable to accident year 2000, \$140 million to accident year 2001, \$80 million to accident year 2002, and only approximately \$10 million attributable to 2003 and subsequent years.

For the year-end 2009 loss reserve review, AIG increased the loss development assumptions for this class of business, resulting in approximately a \$925 million increase in reserves. The increased loss development assumptions were based on an additional actuarial study performed by AIG in response to the emergence of losses in accident years 1999 and prior. This study analyzed the development patterns emanating from the AIG claims staff projections of expected ultimate cost for each open claim. No significant changes in assumptions were made in the year-end 2008 loss reserve reviews.

D&O and Related Management Liability Classes of Business: AIG experienced a significant favorable development during 2008, but only a relatively minor amount of favorable development in 2009 and 2010. The favorable development over the three-year period related primarily to accident years 2004 and 2005, and to a lesser extent accident years 2003 and 2006. Loss cost trends for D&O and related management liability classes of business were adverse in accident years 2002 and prior due to a variety of factors, including an increase in frequency and severity of corporate bankruptcies; the increase in the frequency of financial restatements; the sharp rise in market capitalization of publicly traded companies; and the increase in the number of initial public offerings. The 2003 through 2006 period was marked by a significant reduction in claims related to these factors; thus the expected loss ratios initially established for these accident years have developed favorably, particularly for 2004 and 2005. Beginning in accident year 2007, claims relating to the credit crisis resulted in increased overall claim activity. AIG utilizes ground-up claims projections by AIG claims staff as a benchmark to select the loss reserves for this business; these projections are updated annually.

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

For the year-end 2010 loss reserve review, AIG's actuaries took into account the favorable development from prior accident years, as well as the continuing favorable development observed in the ground-up claims projections by AIG claims staff over the past five years. This favorable development was partially offset by higher than expected initial claim projections for accident year 2009.

For the year-end 2009 loss reserve review, AIG's actuaries took into account the favorable development for accident years 2007 and prior, as well as adverse development from accident year 2008. In response to the emerging favorable development observed in the ground-up claims projections by AIG claims staff over the past several years, AIG considered both the higher than expected initial claim projections for accident year 2008 as well as the favorable developments for the claims projections from the earlier accident years in determining the loss ratio for accident year 2009.

For the year-end 2008 loss reserve review, AIG's actuaries took into account the favorable loss emergence for accident years 2006 and prior. They determined that, in order to respond to the significant favorable loss emergence during 2007 and 2008, greater weight should be applied to the improving loss experience for accident years 2006 and prior. Loss reserve selections therefore gave increased weight to the improved experience and less weight to the ground-up claim projections for these accident years, as the experience has continued to improve relative to the claim benchmark that was originally established for these accident years. For accident year 2007, the claim projections include claims relating to the credit crisis. The recognition of these projections resulted in a significant increase in loss reserves for some D&O subclasses. However this was partially offset by favorable loss development for other subclasses that were significantly less affected by the credit crisis. The overall development for accident year 2007 was thus only a modest increase in loss reserves. The reserves established for accident year 2008 reflect AIG's expectation of increased claim activity relating to the credit crisis. Given the uncertainty of the ultimate development from claims relating to the credit crisis in accident years 2007 and 2008, there is a greater than normal potential variation in the loss ratios for these accident years. The increased responsiveness to the improving loss trends for accident years 2006 and prior resulted in approximately \$225 million of favorable loss development in the fourth quarter of 2008 for this business, primarily in accident years 2004 and 2005.

Loss reserves pertaining to D&O and related management liability classes of business are included in the other liability claims made line of business, as presented in the loss reserves by major lines of business table above.

Healthcare: Healthcare business written by Chartis U.S. produced moderate favorable development in 2010 and 2009 and significant favorable development in 2008. Healthcare loss reserves have benefited from favorable market conditions and an improved legal environment in accident years 2002 and subsequent, following a period of adverse loss trends and market conditions that began in the mid 1990's. For the year-end 2008 loss reserve review, AIG's actuaries responded to the consistently favorable experience observed during the latest three years by utilizing more responsive assumptions relating to loss development factors, loss trend factors, and expected loss ratios for this business. These modified assumptions resulted in approximately \$140 million of additional favorable development that was recognized in the fourth quarter of 2008 for this business. No significant changes in assumptions were made for the year-end 2009 or 2010 loss reserve review.

Primary (Specialty) Workers' Compensation: AIG's Primary (Specialty) Workers' Compensation business unit grew significantly in the early to mid 2000's but has reduced its premium writings by nearly 70 percent since 2007. During 2010, losses for accident years 2007 through 2009 continued to emerge at higher levels than anticipated by the expected loss ratios originally established for these accident years. A total of \$96 million of adverse loss development was recorded for specialty workers' compensation in the first three quarters of 2010, consisting of \$15 million, \$38 million and \$43 million in the first through third quarters, respectively. Significant improvements in claims handling, which had the effect of accelerating claims recognition (without increasing overall loss costs), were believed to be the cause of the earlier emergence of claims during this period. However, the adverse loss emergence during 2010, including in the fourth quarter, led AIG to conclude that the worsening experience was attributable to a credible upward trend in the emergence of losses, rather than claims handling. Reasons for the worsening experience include the persistently high rates of unemployment observed in 2010, which diminish the opportunities of employers to offer "light duty" return-to-work mitigation, and evidence of pain management

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

strategies. In addition, a projected shift in losses over time from indemnity to medical claims, which AIG has observed in California and expects to experience in other markets, is expected to result in worsening claims experience. AIG's conclusion that the worsening experience necessitated a strengthening of the reserves was confirmed by an independent third-party actuarial review during the fourth quarter of 2010. Approximately 75 percent of the year-end 2010 reserve strengthening for this business pertained to accident years 2007 through 2009.

Construction and Commercial Risk Management: The construction and commercial risk management businesses consist of a combination of primary workers' compensation, general liability and commercial automobile coverages. The experience in the excess workers' compensation class discussed under Excess Workers' Compensation above that led to a fourth quarter 2010 change in estimate also significantly affected these classes. Adverse loss development of \$109 million was recognized for the construction and commercial risk management businesses during the first nine months of 2010. Although significant improvements in claims handling were believed to be a cause of the earlier emergence of claims during this period, as with excess workers' compensation, the adverse loss emergence during 2010, including in the fourth quarter, led AIG to conclude that the worsening experience now represented a credible trend. AIG's conclusion that the worsening experience with respect to the construction risk management business necessitated a strengthening of the reserves was confirmed by a third-party actuarial review during the fourth quarter of 2010. Construction risk management represented approximately \$250 million of the fourth quarter increase in estimated loss reserves.

National Accounts Loss-Sensitive Portfolio: Loss-sensitive business refers to policies whose premiums vary with the level of losses incurred; such premiums are referred to by AIG as loss-cost premiums. In 2009 and prior years, the method for establishing loss reserve balances was to match loss-cost premiums for this class against paid losses, consistent with the underlying loss-sensitive contracts. In 2010, system enhancements allowed for a more granular view of data and transactions, leading to the use of alternative reserving methods. These alternative methods led to the decision to increase estimated loss reserves by approximately \$400 million in the fourth quarter of 2010.

See Chartis Results herein for further discussion of net loss development.

Overview of Loss Reserving Process

Chartis loss reserves can generally be categorized into two distinct groups. One group is short-tail classes of business consisting principally of property, personal lines and certain casualty classes. The other group is long-tail casualty classes of business which includes excess and umbrella liability, D&O, professional liability, medical malpractice, workers' compensation, general liability, products liability and related classes.

Short-Tail Reserves

For operations writing short-tail coverages, such as property coverages, the process of recording quarterly loss reserves is generally geared toward maintaining an appropriate reserve for the outstanding exposure, rather than determining an expected loss ratio for current business. For example, the IBNR reserve required for a class of property business might be expected to approximate 20 percent of the latest year's earned premiums, and this level of reserve would generally be maintained regardless of the loss ratio emerging in the current quarter. The 20 percent factor would be adjusted to reflect changes in rate levels, loss reporting patterns, known exposure to unreported losses, or other factors affecting the particular class of business.

Long-Tail Reserves

Estimation of ultimate net losses and loss expenses (net losses) for long-tail casualty classes of business is a complex process and depends on a number of factors, including the class and volume of business involved. Experience in the more recent accident years of long-tail casualty classes of business shows limited statistical credibility in reported net losses because a relatively low proportion of net losses would be reported claims and

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

expenses and an even smaller percentage would be net losses paid. Therefore, IBNR would constitute a relatively high proportion of net losses.

AIG's carried net long-tail loss reserves are tested using loss trend factors that AIG considers appropriate for each class of business. A variety of actuarial methods and assumptions is normally employed to estimate net losses for long-tail casualty classes of business. These methods ordinarily involve the use of loss trend factors intended to reflect the annual growth in loss costs from one accident year to the next. For the majority of long-tail casualty classes of business, net loss trend factors approximated five percent. Loss trend factors reflect many items including changes in claims handling, exposure and policy forms, current and future estimates of monetary inflation and social inflation and increases in litigation and awards. These factors are periodically reviewed and adjusted, as appropriate, to reflect emerging trends which are based upon past loss experience. Thus, many factors are implicitly considered in estimating the year-to-year growth in loss costs.

A number of actuarial assumptions are generally made in the review of reserves for each class of business. For longer-tail classes of business, actuarial assumptions generally are made with respect to the following:

Loss trend factors which are used to establish expected loss ratios for subsequent accident years based on the projected loss ratios for prior accident years.

Expected loss ratios for the latest accident year (i.e., accident year 2010 for the year-end 2010 loss reserve analysis) and, in some cases for accident years prior to the latest accident year. The expected loss ratio generally reflects the projected loss ratio from prior accident years, adjusted for the loss trend (see above) and the effect of rate changes and other quantifiable factors on the loss ratio. For low-frequency, high-severity classes such as excess casualty, expected loss ratios generally are used for at least the three most recent accident years.

Loss development factors which are used to project the reported losses for each accident year to an ultimate basis. Generally, the actual loss development factors observed from prior accident years would be used as a basis to determine the loss development factors for the subsequent accident years.

AIG records quarterly changes in loss reserves for each of its many Chartis classes of business. The overall change in AIG's loss reserves is based on the sum of these classes of business changes. For most long-tail classes of business, the process of recording quarterly loss reserve changes involves determining the estimated current loss ratio for each class of coverage. This loss ratio is multiplied by the current quarter's net earned premium for that class of coverage to determine the current accident quarter's total estimated net incurred loss and loss expense. The change in loss reserves for the quarter for each class is thus the difference between the net incurred loss and loss expense, estimated as described above, and the net paid losses and loss expenses in the quarter. Also, any change in estimated ultimate losses from prior accident years, either positive or negative, is reflected in the loss reserve for the current quarter.

Details of the Loss Reserving Process

The process of determining the current loss ratio for each class of business is based on a variety of factors. These include, but are not limited to, the following considerations: prior accident year and policy year loss ratios; rate changes; changes in coverage, reinsurance, or mix of business; and actual and anticipated changes in external factors affecting results, such as trends in loss costs or in the legal and claims environment. The current loss ratio for each class of business reflects input from actuarial, underwriting and claims staff and is intended to represent management's best estimate of the current loss ratio after reflecting all of the factors described above. At the close of each quarter, the assumptions underlying the loss ratios are reviewed to determine if the loss ratios remain appropriate. This process includes a review of the actual claims experience in the quarter, actual rate changes achieved, actual changes in coverage, reinsurance or mix of business, and changes in certain other factors that may affect the loss ratio. When this review suggests that the initially determined loss ratio is no longer appropriate, the loss ratio for current business is changed to reflect the revised assumptions.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

A comprehensive annual loss reserve review is conducted in the fourth quarter of each year for each Chartis subsidiary. These detailed reviews are conducted for each class of business for each subsidiary, and thus consist of hundreds of individual analyses. The purpose of these reviews is to confirm the appropriateness of the reserves carried by each of the individual subsidiaries, and therefore of AIG's overall carried reserves. The reserve analysis for each class of business is performed by the actuarial personnel who are most familiar with that class of business. In completing these detailed actuarial reserve analyses, the actuaries are required to make numerous assumptions, including the selection of loss development factors and loss cost trend factors. They are also required to determine and select the most appropriate actuarial methods to employ for each business class. Additionally, they must determine the appropriate segmentation of data from which the adequacy of the reserves can be most accurately tested. In the course of these detailed reserve reviews an actuarial central estimate of the loss reserve is determined. The sum of these central estimates for each class of business for each subsidiary provides an overall actuarial central estimate of the loss reserve for that subsidiary. The ultimate process by which the actual carried reserves are determined considers both the internal actuarial central estimate and numerous other internal and external factors including a qualitative assessment of inflation and other economic conditions in the United States and abroad, changes in the legal, regulatory, judicial and social environment, underlying policy pricing, terms and conditions, and claims handling, as well as third-party actuarial reviews that are periodically performed for key classes of business. Loss reserve development can also be affected by commutations of assumed and ceded reinsurance agreements.

Actuarial Methods for Major Classes of Business

In testing the reserves for each class of business, a determination is made by AIG's actuaries as to the most appropriate actuarial methods. This determination is based on a variety of factors including the nature of the claims associated with the class of business, such as the frequency or severity of the claims. Other factors considered include the loss development characteristics associated with the claims, the volume of claim data available for the applicable class, and the applicability of various actuarial methods to the class. In addition to determining the actuarial methods, the actuaries determine the appropriate loss reserve groupings of data. For example, AIG writes a great number of unique subclasses of professional liability. For pricing or other purposes, it is appropriate to evaluate the profitability of each subclass individually. However, for purposes of estimating the loss reserves for professional liability, it is appropriate to combine the subclasses into larger groups. The greater degree of credibility in the claims experience of the larger groups may outweigh the greater degree of homogeneity of the individual subclasses. This determination of data segmentation and actuarial methods is carefully considered for each class of business. The segmentation and actuarial methods chosen are those which together are expected to produce the most accurate estimate of the loss reserves.

Actuarial methods used by AIG for most long-tail casualty classes of business include loss development methods and expected loss ratio methods, including "Bornhuetter Ferguson" methods described below. Other methods considered include frequency/severity methods, although these are generally used by AIG more for pricing analysis than for loss reserve analysis. Loss development methods utilize the actual loss development patterns from prior accident years to project the reported losses to an ultimate basis for subsequent accident years. Loss development methods generally are most appropriate for classes of business which exhibit a stable pattern of loss development from one accident year to the next, and for which the components of the classes have similar development characteristics. For example, property exposures would generally not be combined into the same class as casualty exposures, and primary casualty exposures would generally not be combined into the same class as excess casualty exposures. Expected loss ratio methods are generally utilized by AIG where the reported loss data lacks sufficient credibility to utilize loss development methods, such as for new classes of business or for long-tail classes at early stages of loss development.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Expected loss ratio methods rely on the application of an expected loss ratio to the earned premium for the class of business to determine the loss reserves. For example, an expected loss ratio of 70 percent applied to an earned premium base of \$10 million for a class of business would generate an ultimate loss estimate of \$7 million. Subtracting any reported paid losses and loss expense would result in the indicated loss reserve for this class. "Bornhuetter Ferguson" methods are expected loss ratio methods for which the expected loss ratio is applied only to the expected unreported portion of the losses. For example, for a long-tail class of business for which only 10 percent of the losses are expected to be reported at the end of the accident year, the expected loss ratio would be applied to the 90 percent of the losses still unreported. The actual reported losses at the end of the accident year would be added to determine the total ultimate loss estimate for the accident year. Subtracting the reported paid losses and loss expenses would result in the indicated loss reserve. In the example above, the expected loss ratio of 70 percent would be multiplied by 90 percent. The result of 63 percent would be applied to the earned premium of \$10 million resulting in an estimated unreported loss of \$6.3 million. Actual reported losses would be added to arrive at the total ultimate losses. If the reported losses were \$1 million, the ultimate loss estimate under the "Bornhuetter Ferguson" method would be \$7.3 million versus the \$7 million amount under the expected loss ratio method described above. Thus, the "Bornhuetter Ferguson" method gives partial credibility to the actual loss experience to date for the class of business. Loss development methods generally give full credibility to the reported loss experience to date. In the example above, loss development methods would typically indicate an ultimate loss estimate of \$10 million, as the reported losses of \$1 million would be estimated to reflect only 10 percent of the ultimate losses.

A key advantage of loss development methods is that they respond quickly to any actual changes in loss costs for the class of business. Therefore, if loss experience is unexpectedly deteriorating or improving, the loss development method gives full credibility to the changing experience. Expected loss ratio methods would be slower to respond to the change, as they would continue to give more weight to the expected loss ratio, until enough evidence emerged for the expected loss ratio to be modified to reflect the changing loss experience. On the other hand, loss development methods have the disadvantage of overreacting to changes in reported losses if in fact the loss experience is not credible. For example, the presence or absence of large losses at the early stages of loss development could cause the loss development method to overreact to the favorable or unfavorable experience by assuming it will continue at later stages of development. In these instances, expected loss ratio methods such as "Bornhuetter Ferguson" have the advantage of properly recognizing large losses without extrapolating unusual large loss activity onto the unreported portion of the losses for the accident year. AIG's loss reserve reviews for long-tail classes typically utilize a combination of both loss development and expected loss ratio methods. Loss development methods are generally given more weight for accident years and classes of business where the loss experience is highly credible. Expected loss ratio methods are given more weight where the reported loss experience is less credible, or is driven more by large losses. Expected loss ratio methods require sufficient information to determine the appropriate expected loss ratio. This information generally includes the actual loss ratios for prior accident years, and rate changes as well as underwriting or other changes which would affect the loss ratio. Further, an estimate of the loss cost trend or loss ratio trend is required in order to allow for the effect of inflation and other factors which may increase or otherwise change the loss costs from one accident year to the next.

Frequency/severity methods generally rely on the determination of an ultimate number of claims and an average severity for each claim for each accident year. Multiplying the estimated ultimate number of claims for each accident year by the expected average severity of each claim produces the estimated ultimate loss for the accident year. Frequency/severity methods generally require a sufficient volume of claims in order for the average severity to be predictable. Average severity for subsequent accident years is generally determined by applying an estimated annual loss cost trend to the estimated average claim severity from prior accident years. Frequency/severity methods have the advantage that ultimate claim counts can generally be estimated more quickly and accurately than can ultimate losses. Thus, if the average claim severity can be accurately estimated, these methods can more quickly respond to changes in loss experience than other methods. However, for average severity to be predictable, the class of business must consist of homogeneous types of claims for which loss severity trends from one year to the next are reasonably consistent. Generally these methods work best for high frequency, low severity classes of

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

business such as personal auto. AIG also utilizes these methods in pricing subclasses of professional liability. However, AIG does not generally utilize frequency/severity methods to test loss reserves, due to the general nature of AIG's reserves being applicable to lower frequency, higher severity commercial classes of business where average claim severity is volatile.

Excess Casualty: AIG generally uses a combination of loss development methods and expected loss ratio methods for excess casualty classes. Expected loss ratio methods are generally utilized for at least the three latest accident years, due to the relatively low credibility of the reported losses. The loss experience is generally reviewed separately for lead umbrella classes and for other excess classes, due to the relatively shorter tail for lead umbrella business. Automobile-related claims are generally reviewed separately from non-auto claims, due to the shorter-tail nature of the automobile-related claims. Claims relating to certain latent exposures such as construction defects or exhaustion of underlying product aggregate limits are reviewed separately due to the unique emergence patterns of losses relating to these claims. The expected loss ratios utilized for recent accident years are based on the projected ultimate loss ratios of prior years, adjusted for rate changes, estimated loss cost trends and all other changes that can be quantified. The estimated loss cost trend utilized in the year-end 2010 reviews averaged approximately five percent for excess casualty classes. Frequency/severity methods are generally not utilized as the vast majority of reported claims do not result in a claim payment. In addition, the average severity varies significantly from accident year to accident year due to large losses which characterize this class of business, as well as changing proportions of claims which do not result in a claim payment.

D&O: AIG generally utilizes a combination of loss development methods and expected loss ratio methods for D&O and related management liability classes of business. Expected loss ratio methods are given more weight in the two most recent accident years, whereas loss development methods are given more weight in more mature accident years. In addition to these traditional actuarial methods, AIG's actuaries utilize ground-up claim projections provided by AIG claims staff as a benchmark for determining the indicated ultimate losses for all accident years other than the most recent accident year. For the year-end 2010 loss reserve review, claims projections for accident years 2009 and prior were utilized. These classes of business reflect claims made coverage, and losses are characterized by low frequency and high severity. Thus, the claim projections can produce an overall indicator of the ultimate loss exposure for these classes by identifying and estimating all large losses. Frequency/severity methods are generally not utilized for these classes as the overall losses are driven by large losses more than by claim frequency. Severity trends have varied significantly from accident year to accident year.

Workers' Compensation: AIG generally utilizes loss development methods for all but the most recent accident year. Expected loss ratio methods generally are given significant weight only in the most recent accident year. Workers' compensation claims are generally characterized by high frequency, low severity, and relatively consistent loss development from one accident year to the next. AIG is a leading writer of workers' compensation, and thus has sufficient volume of claims experience to utilize development methods. AIG does not believe frequency/severity methods are as appropriate, due to volume changes in AIG's workers' compensation business over the years. AIG generally segregates California business from other business in evaluating workers' compensation reserves. Certain classes of workers' compensation, such as construction, are also evaluated separately. Additionally, AIG writes a number of very large accounts which include workers' compensation coverage. These accounts are generally priced by AIG actuaries, and to the extent appropriate, the indicated losses based on the pricing analysis may be utilized to record the initial estimated loss reserves for these accounts.

Excess Workers' Compensation: AIG generally utilizes a combination of loss development methods and expected loss ratio methods. Loss development methods are given the greater weight for mature accident years such as 2003 and prior. Expected loss ratio methods are given the greater weight for the more recent accident years. Excess workers' compensation is an extremely long-tail class of business, with loss emergence extending for decades. Therefore there is limited credibility in the reported losses for many of the more recent accident years. For the mature accident years, AIG's actuaries utilize claims projections provided by AIG claims staff to help determine the loss development factors for this class of business.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

General Liability: AIG generally uses a combination of loss development methods and expected loss ratio methods for primary general liability or products liability classes. For certain classes of business with sufficient loss volume, loss development methods may be given significant weight for all but the most recent one or two accident years, whereas for smaller or more volatile classes of business, loss development methods may be given limited weight for the five or more most recent accident years. Expected loss ratio methods would be utilized for the more recent accident years for these classes. The loss experience for primary general liability business is generally reviewed at a level that is believed to provide the most appropriate data for reserve analysis. For example, primary claims made business is generally segregated from business written on an occurrence policy form. Additionally, certain subclasses, such as construction, are generally reviewed separately from business in other subclasses. Due to the fairly long-tail nature of general liability business, and the many subclasses that are reviewed individually, there is less credibility in the reported losses and increased reliance on expected loss ratio methods. AIG's actuaries generally do not utilize frequency/severity methods to test reserves for this business, due to significant changes and growth in AIG's general liability and products liability business over the years.

Commercial Automobile Liability: AIG generally utilizes loss development methods for all but the most recent accident year for commercial automobile classes of business. Expected loss ratio methods are generally given significant weight only in the most recent accident year. Frequency/severity methods are generally not utilized due to significant changes and growth in this business over the years.

Healthcare: AIG generally uses a combination of loss development methods and expected loss ratio methods for healthcare classes of business. The largest component of the healthcare business consists of coverage written for hospitals and other healthcare facilities. Reserves for excess coverage are tested separately from those for primary coverage. For primary coverages, loss development methods are generally given the majority of the weight for all but the latest three accident years, and are given some weight for all years other than the latest accident year. For excess coverages, expected loss methods are generally given all the weight for the latest three accident years, and are also given considerable weight for accident years prior to the latest three years. For other classes of healthcare coverage, an analogous weighting between loss development and expected loss ratio methods is utilized. The weights assigned to each method are those which are believed to result in the best combination of responsiveness and stability. Frequency/severity methods are sometimes utilized for pricing certain healthcare accounts or business. However, in testing loss reserves the business is generally combined into larger groupings to enhance the credibility of the loss experience. The frequency/severity methods that are applicable in pricing may not be appropriate for reserve testing and thus frequency/severity methods are not generally employed in AIG's healthcare reserve analyses.

Professional Liability: AIG generally uses a combination of loss development methods and expected loss ratio methods for professional liability classes of business. Loss development methods are used for the more mature accident years. Greater weight is given to expected loss ratio methods in the more recent accident years. Reserves are tested separately for claims made classes and classes written on occurrence policy forms. Further segmentations are made in a manner believed to provide an appropriate balance between credibility and homogeneity of the data. Frequency/severity methods are used in pricing and profitability analyses for some classes of professional liability; however, for loss reserve testing, the need to enhance credibility generally results in classes that are not sufficiently homogenous to utilize frequency/severity methods.

Catastrophic Casualty: AIG utilizes expected loss ratio methods for all accident years for catastrophic casualty business. This class of business consists of casualty or financial lines coverage which attaches in excess of very high attachment points; thus the claims experience is marked by very low frequency and high severity. Because of the limited number of claims, loss development methods are not utilized. The expected loss ratios and loss development assumptions utilized are based upon the results of prior accident years for this business as well as for similar classes of business written above lower attachment points. The business is generally written on a claims made basis. AIG utilizes ground-up claim projections provided by AIG claims staff to assist in developing the appropriate reserve.

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Aviation: AIG generally uses a combination of loss development methods and expected loss ratio methods for aviation exposures. Aviation claims are not very long-tail in nature; however, they are driven by claim severity. Thus a combination of both development and expected loss ratio methods are used for all but the latest accident year to determine the loss reserves. Expected loss ratio methods are used to determine the loss reserves for the latest accident year. Frequency/severity methods are not employed due to the high severity nature of the claims and different mix of claims from year to year.

Personal Auto (Domestic): AIG generally utilizes frequency/severity methods and loss development methods for domestic personal auto classes. For many classes of business, greater reliance is placed on frequency/severity methods as claim counts emerge quickly for personal auto and allow for more immediate analysis of resulting loss trends and comparisons to industry and other diagnostic metrics.

Fidelity/Surety: AIG generally uses loss development methods for fidelity exposures for all but the latest accident year. Expected loss ratio methods are also given weight for the more recent accident years, and for the latest accident year they may be given 100 percent weight. For surety exposures, AIG generally uses the same method as for short-tail classes.

Mortgage Guaranty: AIG tests mortgage guaranty reserves using loss development methods, supplemented by an internal claim analysis by actuaries and staff who specialize in the mortgage guaranty business. The claim analysis projects ultimate losses for claims within each of several categories of delinquency based on actual historical experience and is essentially a frequency/severity analysis for each category of delinquency. Additional reserve tests are also employed, such as tests measuring losses as a percent of risk in force. Reserves are reviewed separately for each class of business to consider the loss development characteristics associated with the claims, the volume of claim data available for the applicable class and the applicability of various actuarial methods to the class.

Estimates for mortgage guaranty insurance losses and loss adjustment expense reserves are based on notices of mortgage loan delinquencies and estimates of delinquencies that have been incurred but have not been reported by loan servicers, based upon historical reporting trends. Mortgage Guaranty establishes reserves using a percentage of the contractual liability (for each delinquent loan reported) that is based upon past experience regarding certain loan factors such as age of the delinquency, cure rates, dollar amount of the loan and type of mortgage loan. Mortgage Guaranty losses and loss adjustment expenses have been adversely affected by macroeconomic events, such as declining home prices and increasing unemployment, among other events, related to the turmoil in the financial markets. As these macroeconomic events change, adversely or favorably, the determination of the ultimate losses and loss adjustment expenses requires a high degree of judgment. Responding to these adverse macroeconomic influences, numerous government and lender loan modification programs have been implemented to mitigate mortgage losses. The loan modification programs have produced additional cures of delinquent loans in 2010 that may not continue in 2011 as some modification programs are phased out or retired. In addition, these loan modifications may re-default resulting in new losses for Mortgage Guaranty.

Increased occurrences of fraudulent loans, underwriting violations, and other deviations from contractual terms mostly related to the 2006 and 2007 blocks of business have resulted in an increase in claim rescissions and denials (collectively referred to as rescissions) during 2010. As a result, in the latter half of 2010 many lenders increased their rescission appeals activity as well as the success rate on those appeals by focusing additional resources on the process. The increased lender attention on tracking down missing loan documents along with the heightened focus on appeals of rescissions caused the estimated ultimate rescission rate net of appeals in the loss reserves to be lower than the rescission level experienced in the first half of 2010. AIG believes it has provided appropriate reserves for currently delinquent loans, consistent with industry practices.

Short-Tail Classes: AIG generally uses either loss development methods or IBNR factor methods to set reserves for short-tail classes such as property coverages. Where a factor is used, it generally represents a percent of earned premium or other exposure measure. The factor is determined based on prior accident year experience. For example, the IBNR for a class of property coverage might be expected to approximate 20 percent of the latest

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

year's earned premium. The factor is continually reevaluated in light of emerging claim experience as well as rate changes or other factors that could affect the adequacy of the IBNR factor being employed.

International: Business written by Chartis International operating segment includes both long-tail and short-tail classes of business. For long-tail classes of business, the actuarial methods utilized are analogous to those described above. However, the majority of business written by Chartis International is short-tail, high frequency and low severity in nature. For this business, loss development methods are generally employed to test the loss reserves. AIG maintains a database of detailed historical premium and loss transactions in original currency for business written by Chartis International, thereby allowing AIG actuaries to determine the current reserves without any distortion from changes in exchange rates over time. In testing the Chartis International reserves, AIG's actuaries segment the data by region, country or class of business as appropriate to determine an optimal balance between homogeneity and credibility.

Loss Adjustment Expenses: AIG determines reserves for legal defense and cost containment loss adjustment expenses for each class of business by one or more actuarial methods. The methods generally include development methods analogous to those described for loss development methods. The developments could be based on either the paid loss adjustment expenses or the ratio of paid loss adjustment expenses to paid losses, or both. Other methods include the utilization of expected ultimate ratios of paid loss expense to paid losses, based on actual experience from prior accident years or from similar classes of business. AIG generally determines reserves for adjuster loss adjustment expenses based on calendar year ratios of adjuster expenses paid to losses paid for the particular class of business. AIG generally determines reserves for other unallocated loss adjustment expenses based on the ratio of the calendar year expenses paid to overall losses paid. This determination is generally done for all classes of business combined, and reflects costs of home office claim overhead as a percent of losses paid.

Catastrophes: Special analyses are conducted by AIG in response to major catastrophes in order to estimate AIG's gross and net loss and loss expense liability from the events. These analyses may include a combination of approaches, including modeling estimates, ground-up claim analysis, loss evaluation reports from on-site field adjusters, and market share estimates.

AIG's loss reserve analyses do not calculate a range of loss reserve estimates. Because a large portion of the loss reserves from Chartis business relates to longer-tail casualty classes of business driven by severity rather than frequency of claims, such as excess casualty and D&O, developing a range around loss reserve estimates would not be meaningful. Using the reserving methodologies described above, AIG's actuaries determine their best estimate of the required reserve and advise management of that amount. An important part of AIG's internal governance process over the establishment of loss reserves is the Reserve Review Committee. This multi-disciplinary committee is comprised of senior actuarial, finance, claims, risk management and business unit executives throughout the organization. The purpose of this committee is to provide oversight, policy establishment and guidance to the reserving process and when deemed necessary to adjust the liability for unpaid claims and claim adjustment expenses to an amount that is different than the amounts recommended by the actuaries.

For discussion of sensitivity analysis on the reserve for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expenses, see Critical Accounting Estimates Liability for Unpaid Claims and Claims Adjustment Expense.

Asbestos and Environmental Reserves

The estimation of loss reserves relating to asbestos and environmental claims on insurance policies written many years ago is subject to greater uncertainty than other types of claims due to inconsistent court decisions as well as judicial interpretations and legislative actions that in some cases have tended to broaden coverage beyond the original intent of such policies and in others have expanded theories of liability. In addition, reinsurance recoverable balances relating to asbestos and environmental loss reserves are subject to greater uncertainty due to the underlying age of the claim, underlying legal issues surrounding the nature of the coverage, and determination of proper policy period. As such, these balances tend to be subject to increased levels of disputes and legal collection activity when actually billed. The insurance industry as a whole is engaged in extensive litigation over

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

these coverage and liability issues and is thus confronted with a continuing uncertainty in its efforts to quantify these exposures.

AIG continues to receive claims asserting injuries and damages from toxic waste, hazardous substances, and other environmental pollutants and alleged claims to cover the cleanup costs of hazardous waste dump sites, referred to collectively as environmental claims, and indemnity claims asserting injuries from asbestos.

The vast majority of these asbestos and environmental claims emanate from policies written in 1984 and prior years. Commencing in 1985, standard policies contained an absolute exclusion for pollution-related damage and an absolute asbestos exclusion was also implemented. The current environmental policies that AIG underwrites on a claims-made basis have been excluded from the analysis herein.

The majority of AIG's exposures for asbestos and environmental claims are excess casualty coverages, not primary coverages. Thus, the litigation costs are treated in the same manner as indemnity amounts. That is, litigation expenses are included within the limits of the liability AIG incurs. Individual significant claim liabilities, where future litigation costs are reasonably determinable, are established on a case-by-case basis.

Estimation of asbestos and environmental claims loss reserves is a subjective process and reserves for asbestos and environmental claims cannot be estimated using conventional reserving techniques such as those that rely on historical accident year loss development factors. The methods used to determine asbestos and environmental loss estimates and to establish the resulting reserves are continually reviewed and updated by management.

Significant factors which affect the trends that influence the asbestos and environmental claims estimation process are the court resolutions and judicial interpretations which broaden the intent of the policies and scope of coverage. The current case law can be characterized as still evolving, and there is little likelihood that any firm direction will develop in the near future. Additionally, the exposures for cleanup costs of hazardous waste dump sites involve issues such as allocation of responsibility among potentially responsible parties and the government's refusal to release parties from liability.

Due to this uncertainty, it is not possible to determine the future development of asbestos and environmental claims with the same degree of reliability as with other types of claims. Such future development will be affected by the extent to which courts continue to expand the intent of the policies and the scope of the coverage, as they have in the past, as well as by the changes in Superfund and waste dump site coverage and liability issues. If the asbestos and environmental reserves develop deficiently, such deficiency could have an adverse effect on AIG's future results of operations for an individual reporting period.

With respect to known asbestos and environmental claims, AIG established over two decades ago specialized toxic tort and environmental claims units, which investigate and adjust all such asbestos and environmental claims. These units evaluate these asbestos and environmental claims utilizing a claim-by-claim approach that involves a detailed review of individual policy terms and exposures. Because each policyholder presents different liability and coverage issues, AIG generally evaluates exposure on a policy-by-policy basis, considering a variety of factors such as known facts, current law, jurisdiction, policy language and other factors that are unique to each policy. Quantitative techniques have to be supplemented by subjective considerations, including management judgment. Each claim is reviewed at least semi-annually utilizing the aforementioned approach and adjusted as necessary to reflect the current information.

In both the specialized and dedicated asbestos and environmental claims units, AIG actively manages and pursues early resolution with respect to these claims in an attempt to mitigate its exposure to the unpredictable development of these claims. AIG attempts to mitigate its known long-tail environmental exposures by utilizing a combination of proactive claim-resolution techniques, including policy buybacks, complete environmental releases, compromise settlements, and, when appropriate, litigation.

With respect to asbestos claims handling, AIG's specialized claims staff operates to mitigate losses through proactive handling, supervision and resolution of asbestos cases. Thus, while AIG has resolved all claims with respect to miners and major manufacturers (Tier One), its claims staff continues to operate under the same proactive philosophy to resolve claims involving accounts with products containing asbestos (Tier Two), products

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

containing small amounts of asbestos, companies in the distribution process, and parties with remote, ill-defined involvement in asbestos (Tiers Three and Four). Through its commitment to appropriate staffing, training, and management oversight of asbestos cases, AIG seeks to mitigate its exposure to these claims.

In the fourth quarter of 2010, management conducted its more in-depth comprehensive loss-reserve review with the assistance of its third-party actuary. The more in-depth study to determine the appropriate loss reserve estimate for its asbestos exposures includes a series of top-down and ground-up reserve analyses. To ensure it has the most comprehensive analysis possible, AIG engages an independent third-party actuarial firm to assist in assessing these exposures. The third-party actuarial firm's ground-up study uses a proprietary model to calculate the loss exposure on an insured-by-insured basis. Management believes that the accuracy of the reserve estimate is greatly enhanced through the combination of the third-party actuarial firm's industry modeling techniques and industry knowledge and management's specific account-level experience.

In developing its initial model for AIG in 2005, the third-party actuary reviewed a considerable amount of account, policy and claim information and collaborated closely with management. The third-party actuary has used this base model, updated for necessary assumption changes and new industry data, and AIG's asbestos claims development when performing its reserve studies for AIG every year since 2005.

AIG has identified approximately 800 existing accounts with asbestos-related exposure. Most of those accounts represent multiple underlying individual policies and hundreds of claims. In addition, at this point, there are likely policyholders facing claims who have not yet reported them to AIG, and who may not even be aware they have policy coverage. Policies also may not have been identified by AIG as being exposed to asbestos claims. Commencing in 1985, standard (occurrence-based) commercial general liability policies, which are the policies most likely to respond to asbestos claims, have contained an absolute exclusion for pollution-related damage, and an absolute asbestos exclusion was also implemented.

Due to the significant amount of individual claims and related data for each account, elements of the account-specific data are sampled and analyzed in the reserve modeling. Additionally, the high degree of uncertainty in the estimation process for asbestos reserves reduces the benefit of attempting to develop reserves only on account-specific data. Therefore, generally consistent with industry practice, a ground-up model for asbestos reserves is based on standard industry assumptions combined with key relevant AIG account-specific data. Each year, AIG refines and calibrates this asbestos model with more account-specific data, when appropriate, and with information gained from actual claims history and industry experience. It is accepted practice in the insurance industry to make model refinements from a sample of accounts.

As part of the 2010 study, AIG gathered a list of insureds with new asbestos exposures along with the insureds' policy limits, and information on any closed asbestos claims during the year. AIG initiated additional extensive audits of claimants to assist in compiling, confirming and analyzing coverage information for industry-wide distribution of insurance coverage by carrier for certain exposed insureds. As a result of these efforts, AIG was able to provide more extensive policy and claims data, including settlement agreements, policy details, account narratives and other claims data on a significant number of accounts with asbestos exposure for this review. The third-party actuary used the detailed information to refine its model to consider the AIG-specific experience in addition to reflecting general industry trends. In addition, based on this data, AIG reassessed its assumptions about its ability to negotiate favorable settlement terms and increased its expected average cost per claim.

Management reviews the reserve estimates produced by the third-party actuary each year as an element of its overall evaluation and applies the appropriate amount of management judgment in making adjustments to the AIG asbestos reserves when considered necessary.

Key observations from AIG's third-party actuary that were factors in informing the base-case reserve strengthening included:

An analysis was performed on policy-specific information including, for instance, policy limits, layers of coverage, ground-up attachment points, and self-insured retentions/deductibles. This policyholder-specific data provided the third-party actuary with an ability to refine its models to produce more account-specific

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

reserves and reduce the amount of standard-model assumptions (i.e., industry assumptions). This new information allowed the third-party actuary to consider certain policies for which assumed losses would not be allocated evenly across years (i.e., pro rata) as assumed under the standard model.

Through the third-party actuary's review of the policy data as provided by AIG, the third-party actuary identified specific additional policies with no claim activity to date and included them in its modeling for certain accounts. These additional policies provided the actuary with the ability to replace its standard assumptions used in the pure IBNR calculation, with actual identified policies.

During the fourth quarter of 2010, AIG and the third-party actuary increased the estimate of reserves in recognition of general industry litigation trends attempting to expand asbestos coverage theories.

With the assistance of the third-party actuary, AIG periodically reviews its assumptions and modeling parameters used in its reserving estimates to calibrate the model to arrive at the most accurate estimate of AIG's experience. This regular calibration is a necessary step in ensuring that AIG's loss reserve estimate considers all relevant information and produces as accurate an estimate as possible. During its 2010 loss reserve review, the third-party actuary recommended, and AIG agreed, that such changes be made to certain assumptions and model parameters.

AIG conducted a comprehensive analysis of reinsurance recoverability to establish the appropriate asbestos and environmental reserve net of reinsurance. AIG estimates the reinsurance recoverable amount using aggregate ratios based on an analysis of the largest asbestos accounts. AIG determined the amount of reinsurance that would be ceded to insolvent reinsurers or to commuted reinsurance contracts for both reported claims and for IBNR. These amounts were then deducted from the indicated amount of reinsurance recoverable. The year-end 2010 analysis reflected an update to the comprehensive analysis of reinsurance recoverability that was first completed in 2005 and updated each subsequent year. All asbestos accounts for which there was a significant amount of expected unreported losses based on the 2010 review were analyzed to determine the appropriate reserve net of reinsurance.

AIG also completed a top-down report year projection as well as a market share projection of its indicated asbestos and environmental loss reserves. These projections consist of a series of tests performed separately for asbestos and for environmental exposures.

For asbestos, these tests project the losses expected to be reported over the next 16 years, i.e., from 2011 through 2026, based on the actual losses reported through 2010 and the expected future loss emergence for these claims. Three scenarios were tested, with a series of assumptions ranging from more optimistic to more conservative.

For environmental claims, an analogous series of frequency/severity tests are produced. Environmental claims from future report years (i.e., IBNR) are projected out six years, i.e., through the year 2016.

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

At year-end 2010, AIG considered a number of factors and recent experience in addition to the results of the respective top-down and ground-up analyses performed for asbestos and environmental reserves. AIG considered the significant uncertainty that remains as to AIG's ultimate liability relating to asbestos and environmental claims. This uncertainty is due to several factors including:

The long latency period between asbestos exposure and disease manifestation and the resulting potential for involvement of multiple policy periods for individual claims;

Claims filed under the non-aggregate premises or operations section of general liability policies;

The number of insureds seeking bankruptcy protection and the effect of prepackaged bankruptcies;

Diverging legal interpretations; and

With respect to environmental claims, the difficulty in estimating the allocation of remediation cost among various parties.

After carefully considering the results of the ground-up analysis, which AIG updates on an annual basis, as well as all of the above factors, including the recent report year experience, AIG increased its gross asbestos reserves by \$2.6 billion and increased its net asbestos reserves by \$1.2 billion in 2010. Additionally, during 2010 \$389 million gross and \$160 million net of adverse incurred loss development pertaining to asbestos was reflected, which was primarily attributed to several large accounts.

Upon completion of the environmental top-down report year analysis performed in the fourth quarter of 2010, a moderate adjustment to gross and net reserves was recognized.

The following table provides a summary of reserve activity, including estimates for applicable IBNR, relating to asbestos and environmental claims separately and combined:

| As of or for the Year Ended December 31, (in millions) | 2010 | | 2009 | | 2008 | |
|--|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | Gross | Net | Gross | Net | Gross | Net |
| Asbestos: | | | | | | |
| Liability for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expense at beginning of year | \$ 3,236 | \$ 1,151 | \$ 3,443 | \$ 1,200 | \$ 3,864 | \$ 1,454 |
| Dispositions | (17) | (8) | (84) | (21) | - | - |
| Losses and loss expenses incurred* | 2,940 | 1,317 | 482 | 151 | 273 | 53 |
| Losses and loss expenses paid* | (633) | (237) | (605) | (179) | (694) | (307) |
| Liability for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expense at end of year | \$ 5,526 | \$ 2,223 | \$ 3,236 | \$ 1,151 | \$ 3,443 | \$ 1,200 |
| Environmental: | | | | | | |
| Liability for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expense at beginning of year | \$ 338 | \$ 159 | \$ 417 | \$ 194 | \$ 515 | \$ 237 |
| Dispositions | (27) | (10) | (37) | (7) | - | - |
| Losses and loss expenses incurred* | 23 | 24 | 2 | 4 | (44) | (2) |
| Losses and loss expenses paid* | (94) | (46) | (44) | (32) | (54) | (41) |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|----|------------|----|------------|----|-----|----|-----|----|-----|----|-----|
| Liability for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expense at end of year | \$ | 240 | \$ | 127 | \$ | 338 | \$ | 159 | \$ | 417 | \$ | 194 |
|--|----|------------|----|------------|----|-----|----|-----|----|-----|----|-----|

Combined:

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|----|--------------|----|--------------|----|-------|----|-------|----|-------|----|-------|
| Liability for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expense at beginning of year | \$ | 3,574 | \$ | 1,310 | \$ | 3,860 | \$ | 1,394 | \$ | 4,379 | \$ | 1,691 |
| Dispositions | | (44) | | (18) | | (121) | | (28) | | - | | - |
| Losses and loss expenses incurred* | | 2,963 | | 1,341 | | 484 | | 155 | | 229 | | 51 |
| Losses and loss expenses paid* | | (727) | | (283) | | (649) | | (211) | | (748) | | (348) |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|----|--------------|----|--------------|----|-------|----|-------|----|-------|----|-------|
| Liability for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expense at end of year | \$ | 5,766 | \$ | 2,350 | \$ | 3,574 | \$ | 1,310 | \$ | 3,860 | \$ | 1,394 |
|--|----|--------------|----|--------------|----|-------|----|-------|----|-------|----|-------|

*

All amounts pertain to policies underwritten in prior years, primarily to policies issued in 1984 and prior years.

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

The following table presents the estimate of the gross and net IBNR included in the Liability for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expense, relating to asbestos and environmental claims separately and combined:

| At December 31, (in millions) | 2010 | | 2009 | | 2008 | |
|----------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|--------|----------|----------|
| | Gross | Net | Gross | Net | Gross | Net |
| Asbestos | \$ 4,520 | \$ 1,964 | \$ 2,072 | \$ 863 | \$ 2,301 | \$ 939 |
| Environmental | 93 | 38 | 161 | 71 | 249 | 99 |
| Combined | \$ 4,613 | \$ 2,002 | \$ 2,233 | \$ 934 | \$ 2,550 | \$ 1,038 |

The following table presents a summary of asbestos and environmental claims count activity:

| As of or for the Years Ended December 31, | 2010 | | | 2009 | | | 2008 | | |
|---|----------|---------------|----------|----------|---------------|----------|----------|---------------|----------|
| | Asbestos | Environmental | Combined | Asbestos | Environmental | Combined | Asbestos | Environmental | Combined |
| Claims at beginning of year | 5,417 | 5,994 | 11,411 | 5,780 | 6,674 | 12,454 | 6,563 | 7,652 | 14,215 |
| Claims during year: | | | | | | | | | |
| Opened | 502 | 354 | 856 | 615 | 983 | 1,598 | 639 | 1,065 | 1,704 |
| Settled | (247) | (125) | (372) | (243) | (215) | (458) | (219) | (207) | (426) |
| Dismissed or otherwise resolved | (739) | (2,136) | (2,875) | (735) | (1,448) | (2,183) | (1,203) | (1,836) | (3,039) |
| Claims at end of year | 4,933 | 4,087 | 9,020 | 5,417 | 5,994 | 11,411 | 5,780 | 6,674 | 12,454 |

Survival Ratios - Asbestos and Environmental

The following table presents AIG's survival ratios for asbestos and environmental claims at December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008. The survival ratio is derived by dividing the current carried loss reserve by the average payments for the three most recent calendar years for these claims. Therefore, the survival ratio is a simplistic measure estimating the number of years it would be before the current ending loss reserves for these claims would be paid off using recent year average payments. The significant increase in the gross and net survival ratios at December 31, 2010 compared to December 31, 2009 relates to the aforementioned reserve increases recorded in 2010. The December 31, 2009 gross asbestos survival ratio is lower than at December 31, 2008 due to more recent periods included in the rolling average reflecting higher payments. In addition, AIG's survival ratio for asbestos claims was negatively affected by certain favorable settlements during 2008 and 2007. These settlements reduced gross and net asbestos survival ratios at December 31, 2010 by approximately 0.1 years and 0.3 years, respectively; reduced gross and net asbestos survival ratios at December 31, 2009 by approximately 0.9 years and 1.9 years, respectively; and reduced gross and net asbestos survival ratios at December 31, 2008 by approximately 1.1 years and 2.4 years, respectively.

Many factors, such as aggressive settlement procedures, mix of business and level of coverage provided, have a significant effect on the amount of asbestos and environmental reserves and payments and the resultant survival ratio. Moreover, as discussed above, the primary basis for AIG's determination of its reserves are not survival ratios, but instead the ground-up and top-down analysis. Thus, caution should be exercised in attempting to determine reserve adequacy for these claims based simply on this survival ratio.

The following table presents AIG's survival ratios for asbestos and environmental claims, separately and combined, which were based upon a three-year average payment:

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

| Years Ended December 31, | 2010 | | 2009 | | 2008 | |
|-----------------------------|-------|-----|-------|-----|-------|-----|
| | Gross | Net | Gross | Net | Gross | Net |
| Survival ratios: | | | | | | |
| Asbestos | 8.6 | 9.2 | 4.7 | 3.7 | 5.2 | 3.7 |
| Environmental | 3.7 | 3.2 | 4.5 | 3.5 | 4.4 | 3.5 |
| Combined | 8.1 | 8.4 | 4.7 | 3.7 | 5.1 | 3.7 |

AIG 2010 Form 10-K

109

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

SunAmerica Operations

SunAmerica offers a comprehensive suite of products and services to individuals and groups including term life, universal life, A&H, fixed and variable deferred annuities, fixed payout annuities, mutual funds and financial planning. SunAmerica offers its products and services through a diverse, multi-channel distribution network that includes banks, national, regional and independent broker-dealers, affiliated financial advisors, independent marketing organizations, independent and career insurance agents, structured settlement brokers, benefit consultants and direct to-consumer platforms.

In managing SunAmerica, AIG analyzes the operating performance of each business using Operating income (loss), which is before net realized capital gains (losses) and related DAC and SIA amortization and goodwill impairment charges. Operating income (loss) is not a substitute for pre-tax income determined in accordance with U.S. GAAP. However, AIG believes that the presentation of Operating income (loss) enhances the understanding of the underlying profitability of the ongoing operations of SunAmerica. The reconciliations to pre-tax income are provided in the tables that follow.

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

SunAmerica Results

The following table presents SunAmerica results:

| Years Ended December 31, (in millions) | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | Percentage Increase/(Decrease) | |
|--|----------|------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|
| | | | | 2010 vs. 2009 | 2009 vs. 2008 |
| Domestic Life Insurance: | | | | | |
| Premiums and other considerations | \$ 4,096 | \$ 4,252 | \$ 6,248 | (4)% | (32)% |
| Net investment income | 4,313 | 3,819 | 3,823 | 13 | - |
| Policyholder benefits and claims incurred | 5,120 | 5,027 | 6,869 | 2 | (27) |
| Policy acquisition and other expenses | 1,775 | 1,748 | 1,840 | 2 | (5) |
| Operating income | 1,514 | 1,296 | 1,362 | 17 | (5) |
| Benefit (amortization) of DAC, VOBA and SIA related to net realized capital gains (losses) | (45) | 35 | 364 | - | - |
| Net realized capital losses | (75) | (712) | (11,554) | - | - |
| Goodwill impairment charges | - | - | (402) | - | - |
| Pre-tax income (loss) | \$ 1,394 | \$ 619 | \$ (10,230) | 125% | -% |
| Domestic Retirement Services: | | | | | |
| Premiums and other considerations | \$ 1,134 | \$ 1,075 | \$ 1,396 | 5% | (23)% |
| Net investment income | 6,455 | 5,734 | 5,311 | 13 | 8 |
| Policyholder benefits and claims incurred | 3,636 | 4,065 | 5,076 | (11) | (20) |
| Policy acquisition and other expenses | 1,419 | 1,732 | 2,823 | (18) | (39) |
| Operating income (loss) | 2,534 | 1,012 | (1,192) | 150 | - |
| Benefit (amortization) of DAC, VOBA and SIA related to net realized capital gains (losses) | (40) | 73 | 2,150 | - | - |
| Net realized capital losses | (1,176) | (2,802) | (24,858) | - | - |
| Goodwill impairment charges | - | (81) | (818) | - | - |
| Pre-tax income (loss) | \$ 1,318 | \$ (1,798) | \$ (24,718) | -% | -% |
| Total SunAmerica: | | | | | |
| Premiums and other considerations | \$ 5,230 | \$ 5,327 | \$ 7,644 | (2)% | (30)% |
| Net investment income | 10,768 | 9,553 | 9,134 | 13 | 5 |
| Policyholder benefits and claims incurred | 8,756 | 9,092 | 11,945 | (4) | (24) |
| Policy acquisition and other expenses | 3,194 | 3,480 | 4,663 | (8) | (25) |
| Operating income | 4,048 | 2,308 | 170 | 75 | - |
| Benefit (amortization) of DAC, VOBA and SIA related to net realized capital gains (losses) | (85) | 108 | 2,514 | - | - |
| Net realized capital losses | (1,251) | (3,514) | (36,412) | - | - |
| Goodwill impairment charges | - | (81) | (1,220) | - | - |
| Pre-tax income (loss) | \$ 2,712 | \$ (1,179) | \$ (34,948) | -% | -% |

2010 and 2009 Comparison

SunAmerica reported an increase in operating income in 2010 compared to 2009 primarily due to the following:

higher net investment income due to a \$699 million increase in partnership income, a \$539 million increase in valuation gains on ML II and higher call and tender income of \$279 million; and

DAC and SIA amortization unlocking and related reserve strengthening charges of \$611 million in 2009 primarily due to reductions in the long-term growth assumptions and deteriorated equity market conditions early in 2009 for group retirement products and individual variable annuities, and projected increases in surrenders for individual fixed annuities. The 2010 unlocking and reserve strengthening was not significant.

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

The improvement in the pre-tax results for SunAmerica in 2010 compared to 2009 reflected a decline in net realized capital losses due principally to a significant decline in other-than-temporary impairments, and an increase in net realized gains from the sale of investments in 2010 partially offset by affordable housing partnership impairments and an increase in fair value losses on derivatives primarily used to hedge the effect of interest rate and foreign exchange movements on GIC reserves. See Results of Operations Consolidated Results Premiums and Other Considerations; Net Investment Income; and Net Realized Capital Gains (Losses).

2009 and 2008 Comparison

SunAmerica reported an increase in operating income in 2009 compared to 2008 primarily due to the following:

higher net investment income as a result of improved partnership returns (\$264 million of income in 2009 compared with losses of \$1.2 billion in 2008) as well as lower losses from valuation adjustments from the investment in ML II, which offset the negative effects of higher liquidity in the investment portfolios;

lower DAC and SIA amortization and related reserve strengthening charges of \$611 million in 2009 primarily due to reductions in the long-term growth assumptions for group retirement products and individual variable annuities, and projected increases in surrenders for individual fixed annuities, compared to DAC and SIA charges and related reserve strengthening of \$1.5 billion in 2008.

The reduction in the pre-tax loss for SunAmerica in 2009 compared to 2008 reflected a decline in net realized capital losses due principally to a significant decline in other-than-temporary impairments in 2009. See Results of Operations Consolidated Results Premiums and Other Considerations; Net Investment Income; and Net Realized Capital Gains (Losses).

Sales and Deposits

The following table summarizes SunAmerica sales and deposits by product^(a):

| Years Ended December 31, (in millions) | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | Percentage Increase/(Decrease) | |
|--|------------------|------------------|------------------|--------------------------------|---------------|
| | | | | 2010 vs. 2009 | 2009 vs. 2008 |
| Life insurance: | | | | | |
| Periodic premiums | \$ 236 | \$ 224 | \$ 527 | 5% | (57)% |
| Single premiums and unscheduled deposits | 167 | 81 | 288 | 106 | (72) |
| Total life insurance | 403 | 305 | 815 | 32 | (63) |
| Group retirement product deposits | 6,309 | 6,201 | 7,181 | 2 | (14) |
| Individual fixed annuity deposits ^(b) | 5,079 | 6,231 | 8,373 | (18) | (26) |
| Individual variable annuity deposits | 2,072 | 891 | 3,455 | 133 | (74) |
| Retail mutual funds | 1,101 | 782 | 922 | 41 | (15) |
| Payout annuity deposits | 675 | 616 | 2,585 | 10 | (76) |
| Group life and health premiums | 85 | 97 | 132 | (12) | (27) |
| Individual annuities runoff | 108 | 67 | 83 | 61 | (19) |
| Total sales and deposits | \$ 15,832 | \$ 15,190 | \$ 23,546 | 4% | (35)% |

(a)

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Includes divested operations in 2009. Life insurance sales include periodic premiums from new business expected to be collected over a one-year period and single premiums and unscheduled deposits from new and existing policyholders. Annuity sales represent deposits from new and existing customers. Sales of group accident and health insurance represent annualized first-year premium from new policies.

(b)

Includes fixed annuity deposits sold through independent life insurance distribution channels.

2010 and 2009 Comparison

Total sales and deposits increased in 2010 compared to 2009 as improved sales from life insurance, group retirement products and individual variable annuities offset a decline in individual fixed annuity deposits. Life insurance sales increased in 2010 compared to 2009 driven by term and universal life products sold through independent and career distribution networks. Group Retirement deposits increased for 2010 primarily due to

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

improved sales from individual rollovers. Individual fixed annuity deposits decreased primarily due to the low interest rate environment in 2010. Variable annuity sales increased due to competitive product enhancements, reinstatements at a number of key broker-dealers, and increased wholesaler productivity. Payout annuity sales increased in 2010 compared to 2009 as a result of improved structured settlement and immediate annuity sales.

2009 and 2008 Comparison

Total sales and deposits decreased in 2009 compared to 2008. Deposits were negatively affected in 2009 by lower AIG ratings and the lingering effects of negative AIG publicity. Life insurance sales and deposits decreased significantly in 2009 compared to 2008 primarily due to lower financial strength ratings, the lingering effects of negative AIG publicity and the sale of AIG Life Canada. For individual variable annuities, the decrease in 2009 compared to 2008 is also attributable to a general decline in industry sales volumes. Individual fixed and variable annuity sales have decreased due to the temporary suspension of product sales at certain selling organizations due to the effect of negative publicity relating to AIG. However, deposits for individual fixed annuities increased in the second half of 2009 primarily due to increased demand for guaranteed products as well as reinstatement of sales at certain financial institutions that had previously suspended sales. Payout annuities sales decreased primarily due to lower financial strength ratings and the lingering effects of negative AIG publicity.

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Domestic Retirement Services Sales and Deposits

The following table presents the account value rollforward for Domestic Retirement Services:

Years Ended December 31,
(in millions)

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|--|------------|------------|------------|
| Group retirement products | | | |
| Balance, beginning of year | \$ 63,419 | \$ 56,861 | \$ 68,109 |
| Deposits annuities | 4,937 | 4,856 | 5,661 |
| Deposits mutual funds | 1,372 | 1,345 | 1,520 |
| Total Deposits | 6,309 | 6,201 | 7,181 |
| Surrenders and other withdrawals | (6,647) | (7,233) | (6,693) |
| Death benefits | (317) | (275) | (246) |
| Net inflows (outflows) | (655) | (1,307) | 242 |
| Change in fair value of underlying investments, interest credited, net of fees | 5,601 | 7,865 | (11,490) |
| Balance, end of year | \$ 68,365 | \$ 63,419 | \$ 56,861 |
| Individual fixed annuities | | | |
| Balance, beginning of year | \$ 47,202 | \$ 48,394 | \$ 50,508 |
| Deposits | 4,410 | 5,348 | 7,276 |
| Surrenders and other withdrawals | (3,520) | (6,715) | (9,571) |
| Death benefits | (1,479) | (1,700) | (1,721) |
| Net outflows | (589) | (3,067) | (4,016) |
| Change in fair value of underlying investments, interest credited, net of fees | 1,876 | 1,875 | 1,902 |
| Balance, end of year | \$ 48,489 | \$ 47,202 | \$ 48,394 |
| Individual variable annuities | | | |
| Balance, beginning of year | \$ 24,637 | \$ 23,593 | \$ 33,108 |
| Deposits | 2,072 | 891 | 3,455 |
| Surrenders and other withdrawals | (2,725) | (2,667) | (4,240) |
| Death benefits | (437) | (404) | (480) |
| Net outflows | (1,090) | (2,180) | (1,265) |
| Change in fair value of underlying investments, interest credited, net of fees | 2,034 | 3,224 | (8,250) |
| Balance, end of year | \$ 25,581 | \$ 24,637 | \$ 23,593 |
| Total Domestic Retirement Services | | | |
| Balance, beginning of year | \$ 135,258 | \$ 128,848 | \$ 151,725 |
| Deposits | 12,791 | 12,440 | 17,912 |
| Surrenders and other withdrawals | (12,892) | (16,615) | (20,504) |
| Death benefits | (2,233) | (2,379) | (2,447) |
| Net outflows | (2,334) | (6,554) | (5,039) |
| Change in fair value of underlying investments, interest credited, net of fees | 9,511 | 12,964 | (17,838) |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|---|-------------------|------------|------------|
| Balance, end of year, excluding runoff | 142,435 | 135,258 | 128,848 |
| Individual annuities runoff | 4,430 | 4,637 | 5,079 |
| GIC runoff | 8,486 | 8,536 | 14,608 |
| Balance, end of year | \$ 155,351 | \$ 148,431 | \$ 148,535 |
| General and separate account reserves and mutual funds | | | |
| General account reserve | \$ 97,515 | \$ 94,912 | \$ 103,748 |
| Separate account reserve | 48,804 | 45,444 | 38,499 |
| Total general and separate account reserves | 146,319 | 140,356 | 142,247 |
| Group retirement mutual funds | 9,032 | 8,075 | 6,288 |
| Total reserves and mutual funds | \$ 155,351 | \$ 148,431 | \$ 148,535 |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

2010 and 2009 Comparison

Surrender rates have improved compared to the prior year for group retirement products, individual fixed annuities and individual variable annuities as surrenders have returned to more normal levels. Surrender rates for individual fixed annuities have decreased significantly in 2010 due to the low interest rate environment and the relative competitiveness of interest credited rates on the existing block of fixed annuities versus interest rates on alternative investment options available in the marketplace. Surrender rates for group retirement products are expected to increase in 2011 as certain large group surrenders are anticipated.

2009 and 2008 Comparison

Surrenders and other withdrawals increased in 2009 for group retirement products primarily due to higher large group surrenders. However, surrender rates and withdrawals have improved for individual fixed annuities and individual variable annuities.

The following table presents reserves by surrender charge category and surrender rates:

| At December 31, (in millions) | 2010 | | | 2009 | | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Group Retirement Products* | Individual Fixed Annuities | Individual Variable Annuities | Group Retirement Products* | Individual Fixed Annuities | Individual Variable Annuities |
| No surrender charge | \$ 52,742 | \$ 14,006 | \$ 11,859 | \$ 47,854 | \$ 11,444 | \$ 11,161 |
| 0% - 2% | 1,292 | 3,510 | 4,083 | 1,509 | 3,054 | 4,094 |
| Greater than 2% - 4% | 1,754 | 5,060 | 2,040 | 1,918 | 5,635 | 2,066 |
| Greater than 4% | 2,753 | 22,777 | 7,361 | 3,213 | 23,885 | 6,758 |
| Non-Surrenderable | 792 | 3,136 | 238 | 850 | 3,184 | 558 |
| Total reserves | \$ 59,333 | \$ 48,489 | \$ 25,581 | \$ 55,344 | \$ 47,202 | \$ 24,637 |
| Surrender rates | 10.3% | 7.4% | 11.4% | 12.3% | 14.4% | 12.1% |

*

Excludes mutual funds of \$9.0 billion and \$8.1 billion in 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Financial Services Operations

AIG's Financial Services subsidiaries engage in diversified activities including commercial aircraft leasing and the remaining Capital Markets portfolios, which are conducted through ILFC and AIGFP, respectively. Following the classification of AGF as discontinued operations in the third quarter of 2010 (see Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements), AIG's remaining consumer finance businesses are now reported in AIG's Other operations category as part of Divested businesses.

As discussed in Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, in order to align financial reporting with changes made during the third quarter of 2010 to the manner in which AIG's chief operating decision makers review the businesses to make decisions about resources to be allocated and to assess performance, changes were made to AIG's segment information. During the third quarter of 2010, AIG's Asset Management Group undertook the management responsibilities for non-derivative assets and liabilities of the Capital Markets' businesses of the Financial Services segment. These assets and liabilities are being managed on a spread basis, in concert with the MIP. Accordingly, gains and losses related to these assets and liabilities, primarily consisting of credit valuation adjustment gains and losses are reported in AIG's Other operations category as part of Asset Management Direct Investment business. Also, intercompany interest related to loans from AIG Funding Inc. (AIG Funding) to AIGFP is no longer being allocated to Capital Markets from Other operations.

The remaining Capital Markets derivatives business continues to be reported in the Financial Services segment as part of Capital Markets results.

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

During 2010, AIG reclassified aircraft asset impairment charges and operating lease-related charges from Other income to Other expenses and reclassified the provision for overhauls from Other expenses to Other income. Prior periods have been revised to conform to the current period presentation.

Aircraft Leasing

AIG's Aircraft Leasing operations are the operations of ILFC, which generates its revenues primarily from leasing new and used commercial jet aircraft to foreign and domestic airlines. Aircraft Leasing operations also include gains and losses that result from the remarketing of commercial jet aircraft for ILFC's own account, and remarketing and fleet management services for airlines and other aircraft fleet owners.

Capital Markets

AIGFP has continued to unwind its portfolios, including those associated with credit protection written through credit default swaps on super senior risk tranches of diversified pools of loans and debt securities. As a consequence of its wind-down strategy, AIGFP is entering into new derivative transactions only to hedge its current portfolio, reduce risk and hedge the currency, interest rate and other market risks associated with its affiliated businesses. See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Liquidity of Parent and Subsidiaries – Financial Services – Capital Markets Wind-down. Prior to the wind-down, AIGFP engaged as principal in a wide variety of financial transactions, including standard and customized financial products involving commodities, credit, currencies, energy, equities and interest rates.

Historically, AIGFP derived a significant portion of its revenues from hedged financial positions entered into in connection with counterparty transactions. Prior to the wind-down, AIGFP also participated as a dealer in a wide variety of financial derivatives transactions.

Financial Services Results

Financial Services results were as follows:

| Years Ended December 31, (in millions) | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | Percentage Increase/(Decrease) | |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|
| | | | | 2010 vs. 2009 | 2009 vs. 2008 |
| Revenues: | | | | | |
| Aircraft Leasing | \$ 4,718 | \$ 4,992 | \$ 4,810 | (5)% | 4% |
| Capital Markets | 527 | 1,166 | (30,559) | (55) | (104) |
| Other, including intercompany adjustments | 412 | 572 | 323 | (28) | 77 |
| Total | \$ 5,657 | \$ 6,730 | \$ (25,426) | (16)% | (126)% |
| Pre-tax income (loss): | | | | | |
| Aircraft Leasing | \$ (729) | \$ 1,385 | \$ 1,116 | -% | 24% |
| Capital Markets | 209 | 684 | (30,697) | (69) | (102) |
| Other, including intercompany adjustments | (116) | (63) | (205) | - | - |
| Total | \$ (636) | \$ 2,006 | \$ (29,786) | -% | (107)% |

2010 and 2009 Comparison

Financial Services reported a pre-tax loss in 2010 compared to pre-tax income in 2009 due to the following:

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

ILFC reported a pre-tax loss in 2010 compared to pre-tax income in 2009 primarily due to impairment charges recorded on aircraft in its fleet. During the fourth quarter of 2010, new engine options were announced by one of the aircraft manufacturers which promise significant improvements in fuel economy and / or payload capacity. ILFC management expects that this announcement will negatively impact the demand for certain aircraft in its fleet, and as a result recorded impairment charges of \$602 million. Also during 2010, ILFC recorded asset impairment losses of \$505 million on certain aircraft in its fleet reflecting

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

management's outlook related to the future recovery of the airline industry due to a decrease in demand for certain aircraft types, increased volatility in fuel costs and changes in other macroeconomic conditions which, when aggregated, resulted in lower future estimated lease rates used in ILFC's annual recurring recoverability assessment. Additionally, ILFC signed agreements to sell 62 aircraft to third parties and recorded asset impairment losses aggregating \$416 million, and operating lease related charges aggregating \$90 million related to those aircraft. Additionally, ILFC recorded asset impairment losses of \$91 million related to the potential sales of nine aircraft. ILFC also incurred increased interest expense driven by higher composite borrowing rates, and an increase in the provision for overhauls to reflect an increase in future reimbursements.

Capital Markets reported lower pre-tax income in 2010 compared to 2009. Unrealized market valuation gains related to its super senior credit default swap portfolio amounted to \$598 million and \$1.4 billion in 2010 and 2009, respectively. The operating results in 2010 and 2009 include net losses of \$200 million and net gains of \$775 million, respectively, representing the effect of changes in credit spreads on the valuation of Capital Markets' derivative assets and liabilities. The effect on operating results related to the continued wind-down of Capital Markets' businesses and portfolios was significantly lower during 2010 compared to 2009.

2009 and 2008 Comparison

Financial Services reported pre-tax income in 2009 compared to a very significant pre-tax loss in 2008 primarily due to the following:

ILFC pre-tax income increased 24 percent or \$269 million in 2009 compared to 2008. Rental revenues increased \$332 million and interest expense decreased \$212 million in 2009 compared to 2008. The rental revenues increase was driven to a large extent by a larger aircraft fleet and the interest expense decrease resulted from lower composite borrowing rates. These results were partially offset by higher depreciation expense and provision for overhauls, lower flight equipment marketing revenue, and aircraft impairment charges in 2009 of \$51 million.

Capital Markets reported unrealized market valuation gains related to its super senior credit default swap portfolios of \$1.4 billion in 2009 and unrealized market valuation losses of \$28.6 billion in 2008. These results were partially offset by net gains of \$775 million and net losses of \$992 million in 2009 and 2008, respectively, representing the effect of changes in credit spreads on the valuation of Capital Markets' derivative assets and liabilities.

Capital Markets Results

2010 and 2009 Comparison

Capital Markets reported lower pre-tax income in 2010 compared to 2009 primarily due to lower market valuation gains related to the super senior credit default swap portfolio and the significant decrease related to the net effect of changes in credit spreads on the valuation of Capital Markets' derivative assets and liabilities, partially offset by lower costs related to the continued wind-down of Capital Markets' businesses and portfolios.

Capital Markets reported unrealized market valuation gains related to the super senior credit default swap portfolio of \$598 million for 2010 compared to unrealized market valuation gains of \$1.4 billion for 2009. The principal components of Capital Markets' unrealized valuation gains and losses recognized on the super senior credit default swap portfolio were as follows:

Capital Markets recognized an unrealized market valuation loss of \$67 million in 2010 with respect to CDS transactions in the corporate arbitrage portfolio, compared to an unrealized market valuation gain of \$1.9 billion in 2009 as a result of increasing corporate spreads in 2010 and decreasing corporate spreads in 2009.

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Capital Markets recognized an unrealized market valuation gain of \$663 million in 2010, with respect to CDS transactions written on multi-sector CDOs, compared to unrealized market valuation losses of \$669 million in 2009 driven primarily by price improvement of the underlying assets.

Capital Markets recognized an unrealized market valuation gain of \$53 million in 2010, with respect to CDS transactions written on regulatory capital prime residential mortgage transactions, compared to an unrealized market valuation gain of \$137 million in 2009. The gain in 2009 primarily reflected the fact that one counterparty surrendered its right to call its transactions in that year.

See Critical Accounting Estimates Level 3 Assets and Liabilities Valuation of Level 3 Assets and Liabilities for a discussion of Capital Markets' super senior credit default swap portfolio.

During 2010, Capital Markets:

recognized a gain of \$149 million on credit default swap contracts referencing single-name exposures written on corporate, index and asset-backed credits which are not included in the super senior credit default swap portfolio compared to a gain of \$240 million in 2009;

incurred interest charges of \$147 million for 2010, relating to intercompany borrowings with AIG that are eliminated in consolidation, flat with the same period in 2009; and

incurred a net loss of \$333 million (including \$133 million of losses reflected in the unrealized market valuation loss on super senior credit default swaps) as compared to a gain of \$827 million (including \$52 million of gains reflected in the unrealized market valuation gain on super senior credit default swaps) in 2009, representing the impact of credit valuation adjustments on Capital Markets' derivative assets and liabilities.

2009 and 2008 Comparison

Capital Markets reported a pre-tax gain in 2009 compared to a very significant pre-tax loss in 2008 primarily due to a market valuation gain in 2009 compared to a loss in 2008 on its super senior credit default swap portfolio. Capital Markets' results also reflect the effects of its wind-down activities. The net pre-tax results were also affected by efforts initiated during the first half of 2008 to preserve liquidity. As a result of AIG's intention to refocus on its core business, Capital Markets began unwinding its businesses and portfolios.

Capital Markets recognized an unrealized market valuation gain of \$1.4 billion in 2009 compared to an unrealized market valuation loss of \$28.6 billion in 2008, representing the change in fair value of its super senior credit default swap portfolio. The principal components of the valuation gains and losses recognized were as follows:

Capital Markets recognized an unrealized market valuation gain of \$1.9 billion in 2009 with respect to CDS transactions in the corporate arbitrage portfolio, compared to an unrealized market valuation loss of \$2.3 billion in 2008. During 2009, the valuation of these contracts benefited from the narrowing of corporate credit spreads, while these spreads widened dramatically during 2008.

Capital Markets recognized an unrealized market valuation loss of \$669 million in 2009 with respect to CDS transactions written on multi-sector CDOs, compared to unrealized market valuation losses of \$25.7 billion in 2008. The decrease in the unrealized market valuation loss on this portfolio was largely due to the substantial decline in outstanding net notional amount resulting from the termination of CDS contracts in the fourth quarter of 2008 in connection with the ML III transaction.

During the fourth quarter of 2009, one counterparty notified AIG that it would not terminate early two of its prime residential mortgage transactions. With respect to these two transactions, the counterparty no longer had any rights to terminate the transactions early and was required to pay AIG fees on the original notional amounts reduced only by realized losses through the final maturity. Because these two transactions had weighted average lives that were considerably less than their final legal maturities, there was value to AIG due to the counterparty paying its contractual fees beyond the date at which the net notional amounts

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

had fully amortized through the final legal maturity date. As a result, an unrealized market valuation gain of \$137 million was recorded in 2009. This gain was partially offset by losses on the mezzanine tranches of those same transactions.

During 2009, Capital Markets:

recognized a gain of \$240 million on credit default swap contracts referencing single-name exposures written on corporate, index and asset backed credits which are not included in the super senior credit default swap portfolio, compared to a net loss of \$888 million in 2008;

recognized a net gain of \$827 million (including \$52 million of gains reflected in the unrealized market valuation gain on super senior credit default swaps) as compared to a loss of \$807 million (including \$185 million of gains reflected in the unrealized market valuation loss on super senior credit default swaps) in 2008, representing the impact of credit valuation adjustments on Capital Markets' derivative assets and liabilities; and

incurred an additional charge of \$198 million related to a transaction entered into in 2002 whereby Capital Markets guaranteed obligations under leases of office space from a counterparty.

Other Operations

AIG's Other operations includes results from Parent & Other operations, after allocations to AIG's business segments, Mortgage Guaranty operations, Asset Management operations, and results from those divested businesses not included in Discontinued operations.

AIG's Parent & Other operations consist primarily of interest expense, intercompany interest income that is eliminated in consolidation, restructuring costs, expenses of corporate staff not attributable to specific reportable segments, expenses related to efforts to improve internal controls and the financial and operating platforms, corporate initiatives, certain compensation plan expenses, corporate-level net realized capital gains and losses, certain litigation-related charges and net gains and losses on sale of divested businesses which did not qualify for discontinued operations accounting treatment. In addition, fair value gains or losses on AIG's remaining interest in AIA and in the MetLife securities received as consideration from the sale of ALICO are included in Parent & Other.

Divested businesses include results of certain businesses that have been divested or are being wound down or repositioned.

As discussed in Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, in order to align financial reporting, including changes made during the third quarter of 2010, with the manner in which AIG's chief operating decision makers review the businesses to make decisions about resources to be allocated and to assess performance, changes were made to AIG's segment information. Gains and losses related to non-derivative assets and liabilities of the Capital Markets businesses, primarily consisting of credit valuation adjustment gains and losses are reported in AIG's Other operations category as part of Asset Management Direct Investment business. Also, intercompany interest income related to loans from AIG Funding to AIGFP is no longer being recognized in Parent & Other.

Prior periods have been revised to conform with the current period presentation for the above changes.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Other Results

The following table presents pre-tax income (loss) for AIG's Other operations:

| Years Ended December 31, (in millions) | | | Percentage Increase/(Decrease) | | |
|--|------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2010 vs. 2009 | 2009 vs. 2008 |
| Parent & Other: | | | | | |
| Intercompany interest income, net | \$ 412 | \$ 647 | \$ 214 | (36)% | 202% |
| Interest expense on FRBNY Credit Facility: | | | | | |
| Accrued and compounding interest | (636) | (2,022) | (2,116) | - | - |
| Amortization of prepaid commitment asset | (3,471) | (8,359) | (9,279) | - | - |
| Total interest expense on FRBNY Credit Facility ^(a) | (4,107) | (10,381) | (11,395) | - | - |
| Other interest expense | (1,872) | (2,035) | (1,919) | - | - |
| Unallocated corporate expenses | (1,224) | (1,149) | (967) | - | - |
| Restructuring expenses | (458) | (422) | (195) | - | - |
| Change in fair value of ML III ^(b) | - | (1,401) | (900) | - | - |
| Change in fair value of MetLife securities | 665 | - | - | - | - |
| Change in fair value of AIA securities ^(c) | (638) | - | - | - | - |
| Net realized capital gain (loss) | 497 | 900 | (1,218) | (45) | - |
| Net gain (loss) on sale of divested businesses | 16,466 | (1,271) | - | - | - |
| Other miscellaneous, net | 102 | 111 | 73 | (8) | 52 |
| Total Parent & Other | \$ 9,843 | \$ (15,001) | \$ (16,307) | -% | -% |
| Other businesses: | | | | | |
| Mortgage Guaranty | \$ 373 | \$ (1,688) | \$ (2,488) | -% | -% |
| Asset Management: | | | | | |
| Direct Investment business | 1,254 | (322) | (13,548) | - | - |
| Institutional Asset Management | (151) | (1,303) | (255) | - | - |
| Divested businesses | 2,599 | 2,040 | (1,894) | 27 | - |
| Change in fair value of ML III ^(b) | 1,792 | 1,820 | - | (2) | - |
| Total Other businesses | \$ 5,867 | \$ 547 | \$ (18,185) | -% | -% |
| Total Other operations | \$ 15,710 | \$ (14,454) | \$ (34,492) | -% | -% |

(a) Includes interest expense of \$75 million, \$89 million and \$55 million for 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, allocated to discontinued operations in consolidation.

(b) Parent & Other contributed its equity interest in ML III to an AIG subsidiary, reported above in Other businesses, during the second quarter of 2009.

(c) Represents change in fair value measured from the closing sale price on the October 29, 2010 initial date of trading.

Parent & Other

Parent & Other reported pre-tax income in 2010 compared to a pre-tax loss in 2009 primarily due to the following:

a decline in interest expense on the FRBNY Credit Facility;

gains on sales of divested businesses in 2010, primarily related to AIA, compared to losses in 2009 as shown in the table below; and

the absence in 2010 of losses related to ML III due to the contribution of its equity interest in ML III to an AIG subsidiary in 2009.

Parent & Other pre-tax loss decreased in 2009 compared to 2008 primarily due to net realized capital gains in 2009 compared to losses in 2008 and a decline in interest expense on the FRBNY Credit Facility. See

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Results Interest Expense herein for further discussion of the decline in interest expense. Additionally, Parent & Other pre-tax loss in 2009 includes a decline in fair value of AIG's equity interest in ML III, restructuring expenses, and net losses on sales of divested businesses.

The following table summarizes the net gain (loss) on sale of divested businesses:

| Years Ended December 31, (in millions) | Gain/(Loss) | |
|---|------------------|-------------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| AIA* | \$ 16,577 | \$ - |
| Consumer Finance businesses | (56) | (375) |
| AIG Capital India | (40) | - |
| A.I. Credit | (33) | (287) |
| AIG Private Bank | - | 111 |
| AIG Life Canada | - | 111 |
| Transatlantic | - | (497) |
| 21st Century | - | (416) |
| HSB | - | 177 |
| Other businesses | 18 | (95) |
| Total | \$ 16,466 | \$ (1,271) |

*

During the second quarter of 2010, AIG and Prudential plc terminated the AIA purchase agreement they had entered in March 2010 and in accordance with the terms of the purchase agreement, Prudential plc paid AIG a termination fee of \$228 million, which is included in this amount.

Other Businesses

Mortgage Guaranty

The main business of the subsidiaries of UGC is the issuance of residential mortgage guaranty insurance, both domestically and internationally, that covers mortgage lenders for the first loss for credit defaults on high loan-to-value conventional first-lien mortgages for the purchase or refinance of one- to four-family residences.

2010 and 2009 Comparison

Mortgage Guaranty reported pre-tax income in 2010, driven by favorable prior year reserve development due to increased cures, rescissions and claims denials, compared to a pre-tax loss in 2009. This improvement reflected a decline in claims and claims adjustment expenses incurred of \$2.4 billion, offset in part by lower earned premiums in 2010 and by an amortization of the second-lien premium deficiency reserve of \$222 million in the first quarter of 2009. Domestic first-lien, second-lien and international businesses reported pre-tax income of \$198 million, \$58 million and \$86 million, respectively, for 2010 which was \$1.3 billion, \$341 million and \$347 million higher, respectively, than 2009. The improvement in pre-tax income reflects the decline in claims and claims adjustment expenses of \$1.4 billion for first liens, \$607 million for second liens and \$394 million for international markets. The lower claims and claims adjustment expenses include favorable prior year development of \$668 million for 2010 compared to unfavorable prior year development of \$38 million for 2009. The improved pre-tax results correspond to lower levels of newly reported delinquencies in the first-lien, second-lien and international products, higher mortgage cure rates on existing first-lien and international delinquent loans, higher rescission rates on first-lien claims and the recognition of stop loss limits on certain second-lien policies, partially offset by increased delinquencies in private student loans. During 2010, UGC commuted the majority of its private student loan portfolio.

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

UGC continues to deny claims and rescind coverage on loans (collectively referred to as rescissions) due to fraudulent or undocumented claims, underwriting guideline violations and other deviations from contractual terms, mostly related to the 2006 and 2007 vintage books of business. These policy violations have resulted in loan rescissions totaling \$694 million of claims on first-lien business during 2010 compared to \$263 million during 2009. Although rescissions will continue to affect UGC's financial results, lenders and mortgage servicers have added

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

resources and increased their efforts to find missing documents supporting their claim submissions. This has resulted in a higher level of appeals and in certain cases the overturn of rescissions and the subsequent claim payments. Although these items may increase volatility in the future, AIG believes it has provided appropriate reserves for currently delinquent loans, consistent with industry practice.

During 2010, foreclosure moratoriums, state attorneys general investigations into lending practices and new financial regulations were initiated, which may affect UGC's future financial results. Final resolution of these issues is unclear and UGC cannot reasonably estimate the ultimate financial impact that any of these actions individually or collectively may have on its future results of operations or financial condition.

UGC, like other participants in the mortgage insurance industry, has made claims against various counterparties in relation to alleged underwriting failures, and received similar claims from counterparties. These claims and counterclaims allege breach of contract, breach of good faith and fraud among other allegations. During 2010, UGC settled certain of these lawsuits and arbitrations with various counterparties.

2009 and 2008 Comparison

Mortgage Guaranty's pre-tax loss for 2009 decreased compared to 2008. The decreased pre-tax loss reflects a decline in loss and loss expenses incurred of \$394 million combined with a \$483 million reduction in operating expenses as a result of the recognition of a premium deficiency reserve of \$222 million in 2008 and the release of the entire \$222 million premium deficiency reserve in 2009. Domestic first-lien and second-lien businesses reported pre-tax losses of \$1.06 billion and \$283 million, respectively, for 2009 which were \$72 million and \$902 million, respectively, lower than 2008. These reductions in pre-tax losses reflect the declines in loss and loss expenses of \$154 million for first liens and \$443 million for second liens in addition to the release of the second-lien premium deficiency reserve in 2009. The improved operating results correspond with the relative slowing of declines in domestic housing values and, primarily in the case of second liens, the recognition of stop loss limits on certain policies. Domestic private student loans and international businesses pre-tax losses of \$70 million and \$261 million, respectively, for 2009 were \$71 million and \$104 million higher, respectively, than during 2008.

During 2008, UGC tightened underwriting guidelines and increased premium rates for its first-lien business, ceased insuring new second-lien loans as of September 30, 2008 and during the fourth quarter of 2008 ceased insuring new private student loan business and suspended insuring new business throughout its European operations. All of these actions were in response to the worsening conditions in the global housing markets and resulted in a significant decline in new business written during the second half of 2008 and throughout 2009. This is reflected in 2009 new insurance written of \$14 billion which was 61 percent below 2008 levels. Earned premiums during 2009 of \$1.0 billion were 1 percent below 2008 earned premiums, reflecting the high level of persistency in the older books of business resulting from relatively consistent mortgage interest rates, tightening of refinancing requirements throughout the mortgage market and a weak domestic residential resale market.

Risk-in-Force

The following table presents risk in force and delinquency ratio information for UGC's domestic business:

At December 31,
(dollars in billions)

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|---|---------|---------|
| Domestic first-lien: | | |
| Risk in force | \$ 25.3 | \$ 26.4 |
| 60+ day delinquency ratio on primary loans ^(a) | 16.3% | 18.4% |
| Domestic second-lien: | | |
| Risk in force ^(b) | \$ 2.1 | \$ 2.5 |

(a) Based on number of policies, consistent with mortgage industry practice.

(b)

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Represents the full amount of second-lien loans insured reduced for contractual aggregate loss limits on certain pools of loans, usually 10 percent of the full amount of loans insured in each pool. Certain second-lien pools have reinstatement provisions, with total risk-in-force of \$569 million, that will expire as the loan balances are repaid.

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Dispositions

In December 2009, UGC entered into two stock purchase agreements for the sale of its Canadian and Israel operations. The Israel transaction closed on January 21, 2010 and the Canadian transaction closed on April 16, 2010.

Change in Fair Value of ML III

The gain of \$1.8 billion on ML III for 2010 was attributable to the shortening of weighted average life by 1.34 years. Additionally, fair value for 2010 was positively affected by a decrease in projected credit losses in the underlying collateral securities. During 2010, credit spreads tightened by 287 basis points.

Asset Management Operations

AIG's Asset Management operations include the results of the Direct Investment businesses and the Institutional Asset Management business.

On March 26, 2010, AIG completed the sale of its third-party asset management business. The results of operations from January 1 through the closing of the sale are included in the Institutional Asset Management results. Subsequent to the sale of AIG's third-party asset management business, the revenues of the Institutional Asset Management business are derived from providing asset management services to AIG and its subsidiaries, which are eliminated in consolidation.

Direct Investment Business Results

The Direct Investment business includes results for the MIP, AIG Global Real Estate and the results of certain non-derivative assets and liabilities of Capital Markets now managed by the Asset Management Group. The revenues and pre-tax income (loss) for these operations are affected by the general conditions in the credit, equity, interest rate, foreign exchange and real estate markets. In addition, net realized gains are contingent upon investment maturity levels and market conditions.

2010 and 2009 Comparison

The Direct Investment business recognized pre-tax income in 2010 driven by:

its allocated share of the gain on the sale of the Otemachi building of \$620 million;

gains on the sale of assets sold primarily to create liquidity in the fourth quarter;

net unrealized gain on assets and liabilities accounted for under the fair value option where tightening asset spreads exceeded liability spreads; and

significantly lower impairments on fixed maturity and real estate investments.

2009 and 2008 Comparison

The Direct Investment business reported a lower pre-tax loss in 2009 compared to 2008 due to:

significantly lower other-than-temporary impairments on fixed maturity investments driven by improved credit environment and the adoption of the new accounting standard on other-than-temporary impairments; and

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

the positive impact of AIG's credit spread widening on liabilities for which AIG elected the fair value option, offset by increased net fair value losses on foreign exchange and interest rate derivatives not qualifying for hedge accounting treatment.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

MIP enters into derivative arrangements to hedge the effect of changes in interest rates and currency associated with the fixed and floating rate and foreign currency denominated obligations issued under these programs. Substantially all of these hedging relationships do not qualify for hedge accounting treatment and therefore create volatility in operating results despite being effective economic hedges. Further, the Direct Investment business had previously invested in short single name credit default swaps in order to obtain unfunded credit exposure.

The following table presents credit valuation adjustment gains (losses) for the Direct Investment Business (excluding intercompany transactions):

(in millions)

| | Counterparty Credit Valuation Adjustment on Assets | | AIG's Own Credit Valuation Adjustment on Liabilities |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|---|
| Year Ended December 31, 2010 | | | |
| Bond trading securities | \$ 1,678 | Notes and bonds payable | \$ (251) |
| Loans and other assets | 40 | Hybrid financial instrument liabilities | (311) |
| | | GIAs | (173) |
| | | Other liabilities | (44) |
| Increase in assets | \$ 1,718 | Increase in liabilities | \$ (779) |
| Net pre-tax increase to Other income | \$ 939 | | |
| Year Ended December 31, 2009 | | | |
| Bond trading securities | \$ 2,095 | Notes and bonds payable | \$ (163) |
| Loans and other assets | (48) | Hybrid financial instrument liabilities | (83) |
| | | GIAs | 172 |
| | | Other liabilities | (12) |
| Increase in assets | \$ 2,047 | Increase in liabilities | \$ (86) |
| Net pre-tax increase to Other income | \$ 1,961 | | |

Institutional Asset Management Results

Institutional Asset Management includes AIG's internal asset management business and AIG Markets. AIG Markets acts as a derivative intermediary transacting with AIG and its subsidiaries and third parties.

2010 and 2009 Comparison

Institutional Asset Management recognized a pre-tax loss in 2010 driven by operating expenses which exceeded asset management fees as well as the sale and deconsolidation of the operating results of AIG's third-party asset management business and certain previously consolidated private equity investments. Also contributing to the operating loss is the negative impact of AIG's narrowing credit spreads on the valuation of derivative liabilities held through AIG Markets.

2009 and 2008 Comparison

Institutional Asset Management recognized an increased pre-tax loss in 2009 compared to 2008, primarily resulting from goodwill impairments in 2009 as substantially all of the operating unit's goodwill was impaired in the third quarter of 2009, impairments on private equity investments and a decline in unrealized carried interest revenues. A total of \$609 million in goodwill impairments was recorded in 2009, with \$287 million offset in noncontrolling interests, which is not included in pre-tax income (loss). Unrealized carried interest was a revenue stream earned by the third-party asset management business which was sold in the first quarter of 2010.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Divested Businesses

Divested businesses include the operating results of divested businesses that did not qualify for discontinued operations accounting through the date of their sale as well as certain non-core businesses currently in run-off.

Divested businesses include the historical results of AIA through October 29, 2010. On October 29, 2010, AIG completed an initial public offering of 8.08 billion ordinary shares of AIA for aggregate gross proceeds of approximately \$20.51 billion. Upon completion of the initial public offering, AIG owned approximately 33 percent of AIA's outstanding shares. Accordingly, AIG deconsolidated AIA and recorded a pre-tax gain of \$16.3 billion (\$12.8 billion after tax) on the transaction.

Following the classification of AGF as discontinued operations in the third quarter of 2010 (see Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements), AIG's remaining consumer finance businesses are now reported in AIG's Other operations category as part of Divested businesses.

At December 31, 2010, the remaining consumer finance operations were conducted through the AIG Federal Savings Bank and the Consumer Finance Group in Poland. During 2010, AIG completed the sale of consumer finance operations in Argentina, Colombia, India and Taiwan and its banking business in Poland.

Investments

Investment Strategy

AIG's investment strategies are tailored to the specific business needs of each operating unit. The investment objectives are driven by the business model for each of the businesses: general insurance, life insurance, retirement services and the Direct Investment business. The primary objectives are generation of investment income, preservation of capital, liquidity management and growth of surplus to support the insurance products.

At the local operating unit level, investment strategies are based on considerations that include the local market, liability duration and cash flow characteristics, rating agency and regulatory capital considerations, legal investment limitations, tax optimization and diversification.

The majority of assets backing insurance liabilities at AIG consist of intermediate and long duration fixed maturity securities. In the case of life insurance and retirement services companies, as well as in the Direct Investment business, the fundamental investment strategy is, as nearly as is practicable, to match the duration characteristics of the liabilities with assets of comparable duration. Fixed maturity securities held by the insurance companies included in Chartis U.S. historically have consisted primarily of laddered holdings of tax-exempt municipal bonds, which provided attractive after-tax returns and limited credit risk. In order to meet the current risk/return and tax objectives of Chartis U.S., the domestic property and casualty companies have begun to shift investment allocations away from tax-exempt municipal bonds towards taxable instruments which meet the companies' liquidity, duration and credit quality objectives as well as current risk-return and tax objectives. Fixed maturity securities held by Chartis International companies consist primarily of intermediate duration high-grade securities.

The market price of fixed maturity securities reflects numerous components, including interest rate environment, credit spread, embedded optionality (such as call features), liquidity, structural complexity, foreign exchange risk and other credit and non-credit factors. However, in most circumstances, pricing is most sensitive to interest rates, such that the market price declines as interest rates rise, and increases as interest rates fall. This effect is more pronounced for longer duration securities.

AIG accounts for the vast majority of the invested assets held by its insurance companies at fair value. However, with limited exceptions (primarily with respect to separate account products on AIG's Consolidated Balance Sheet), AIG does not modify the fair value of its insurance liabilities for changes in interest rates, even though rising interest rates have the effect of reducing the fair value of such liabilities, and falling interest rates have the opposite effect. This results in the recording of changes in unrealized gains (losses) on securities in Accumulated other comprehensive income resulting from changes in interest rates without any correlative, inverse changes in gains (losses) on AIG's liabilities. Because AIG's asset duration in certain low-yield currencies,

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

particularly Japan and Taiwan, is shorter than its liability duration, AIG views increasing interest rates in these countries as economically advantageous, notwithstanding the effect that higher rates have on the market value of its fixed maturity portfolio.

At December 31, 2010, approximately 88 percent of the fixed maturity securities were held by domestic entities. Approximately 23 percent of such securities were rated AAA by one or more of the principal rating agencies. Approximately 12 percent were below investment grade or not rated. AIG's investment decision process relies primarily on internally generated fundamental analysis and internal risk ratings. Third-party rating services' ratings and opinions provide one source of independent perspective for consideration in the internal analysis.

A significant portion of the foreign fixed maturity portfolio is rated by Moody's, S&P or similar foreign rating services. Rating services are not available in all overseas locations. AIG's Credit Risk Committee closely reviews the credit quality of the foreign portfolio's non-rated fixed maturity securities. At December 31, 2010, approximately 24 percent of the foreign fixed income investments were either rated AAA or, on the basis of AIG's internal analysis, were equivalent from a credit standpoint to securities so rated. Approximately 5 percent were below investment grade or not rated at that date. Approximately 35 percent of the foreign fixed maturity portfolio is sovereign fixed maturity securities supporting policy liabilities in the country of issuance.

The following table presents the credit ratings of AIG's fixed maturity investments:

| December 31, | 2010 | 2009 |
|------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Rating: | | |
| AAA | 24% | 23% |
| AA | 22 | 24 |
| A | 21 | 28 |
| BBB | 22 | 17 |
| Below investment grade | 7 | 6 |
| Non-rated | 4 | 2 |
| Total | 100% | 100% |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Investments by Segment

The following tables summarize the composition of AIG's investments by segment:

| <i>(in millions)</i> | Chartis | SunAmerica | Financial Services | Other | Total |
|--|------------|------------|--------------------|------------|------------|
| At December 31, 2010 | | | | | |
| Fixed maturity securities: | | | | | |
| Bonds available for sale, at fair value | \$ 88,904 | \$ 128,347 | \$ 108 | \$ 10,943 | \$ 228,302 |
| Bond trading securities, at fair value | - | 1,307 | 339 | 24,536 | 26,182 |
| Equity securities: | | | | | |
| Common and preferred stock available for sale, at fair value | 3,827 | 218 | 2 | 534 | 4,581 |
| Common and preferred stock trading, at fair value | - | 1 | 152 | 6,499 | 6,652 |
| Mortgage and other loans receivable, net of allowance | 690 | 16,727 | 71 | 1,879 | 19,367 |
| Finance receivables, net of allowance | - | - | 671 | 199 | 870 |
| Flight equipment primarily under operating leases, net of accumulated depreciation | - | - | 38,510 | - | 38,510 |
| Other invested assets | 13,743 | 13,069 | 270 | 15,128 | 42,210 |
| Securities purchased under agreements to resell, at fair value | - | - | - | 1,553 | 1,553 |
| Short-term investments | 11,799 | 19,160 | 3,878 | 7,348 | 42,185 |
| Total investments ^(a) | 118,963 | 178,829 | 44,001 | 68,619 | 410,412 |
| Cash | 572 | 270 | 302 | 414 | 1,558 |
| Total invested assets ^(b) | \$ 119,535 | \$ 179,099 | \$ 44,303 | \$ 69,033 | \$ 411,970 |
| At December 31, 2009 | | | | | |
| Fixed maturity securities: | | | | | |
| Bonds available for sale, at fair value | \$ 79,507 | \$ 116,629 | \$ 508 | \$ 168,907 | \$ 365,551 |
| Bond trading securities, at fair value | - | 846 | 388 | 30,009 | 31,243 |
| Equity securities: | | | | | |
| Common and preferred stock available for sale, at fair value | 2,770 | 320 | 15 | 6,417 | 9,522 |
| Common and preferred stock trading, at fair value | 48 | 1 | 388 | 7,881 | 8,318 |
| Mortgage and other loans receivable, net of allowance | 9 | 17,728 | 168 | 9,556 | 27,461 |
| Finance receivables, net of allowance | - | - | 1,328 | 18,999 | 20,327 |
| Flight equipment primarily under operating leases, net of accumulated depreciation | - | - | 44,091 | - | 44,091 |
| Other invested assets | 11,668 | 13,141 | 170 | 20,256 | 45,235 |
| Securities purchased under agreements to resell, at fair value | - | - | - | 2,154 | 2,154 |
| Short-term investments | 12,094 | 17,456 | 2,145 | 15,568 | 47,263 |
| Total investments ^(a) | 106,096 | 166,121 | 49,201 | 279,747 | 601,165 |
| Cash | 780 | 63 | 1,585 | 1,972 | 4,400 |
| Total invested assets | \$ 106,876 | \$ 166,184 | \$ 50,786 | \$ 281,719 | \$ 605,565 |

(a) At December 31, 2010, approximately 85 percent and 15 percent of investments were held by domestic and foreign entities, respectively, compared to approximately 60 percent and 40 percent, respectively, at December 31, 2009 reflecting the deconsolidation of AIA and sale of ALICO in 2010.

(b) Total invested assets of businesses held for sale amounted to \$96.3 billion at December 31, 2010. See Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Chartis

In AIG's general insurance business, the duration of liabilities for long-tail casualty lines is greater than other lines. As differentiated from the life insurance and retirement services companies, the focus is not on asset-liability matching, but on preservation of capital and growth of surplus.

Fixed income holdings of Chartis U.S., with an average duration of 4.1 years, are currently comprised primarily of tax-exempt securities, which provide attractive risk-adjusted after-tax returns as well as taxable municipal bonds,

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

government bonds and agency and corporate securities. The majority of these high quality investments are rated AAA or AA.

Fixed income assets held in Chartis International are of high quality and short to intermediate duration, averaging 2.5 years.

While invested assets backing reserves are invested in conventional fixed income securities in Chartis U.S., a modest portion of surplus is allocated to large capitalization, high-dividend, public equity strategies and to alternative investments, including private equity and hedge funds. Notwithstanding the current environment, these investments have provided a combination of added diversification and attractive long-term returns over time.

SunAmerica

With respect to SunAmerica companies, AIG uses asset-liability management as a tool to determine the composition of the invested assets. AIG's objective is to maintain a matched asset-liability structure. However, in certain markets, the absence of long-dated fixed income investment instruments may preclude a matched asset-liability position. In addition, AIG may occasionally determine that it is economically advantageous to be temporarily in an unmatched position. To the extent that AIG has maintained a matched asset-liability structure, the economic effect of interest rate fluctuations is partially mitigated.

AIG's investment strategy for SunAmerica is to produce cash flows greater than maturing insurance liabilities. There exists a future investment risk associated with certain policies currently in-force which will have premium receipts in the future. That is, the investment of these future premium receipts may be at a yield below that required to meet future policy liabilities.

AIG actively manages the interest rate assumptions and crediting rates used for its new and in force business. Business strategies continue to evolve to maintain profitability of the overall business.

The investment of insurance cash flows and reinvestment of the proceeds of matured securities and coupons requires active management of investment yields while maintaining satisfactory investment quality and liquidity.

AIG actively manages the asset-liability relationship in its domestic operations. This relationship is more easily managed through the availability of qualified long-term investments.

A number of guaranteed benefits, such as living benefits or guaranteed minimum death benefits, are offered on certain variable life and variable annuity products. The fair value of these benefits is measured based on actuarial and capital market assumptions related to projected cash flows over the expected lives of the contracts. AIG manages its exposure resulting from these long-term guarantees through reinsurance or capital market hedging instruments. SunAmerica has taken positions in certain derivative financial instruments in order to hedge the impact of changes in equity markets and interest rates on these benefit guarantees. SunAmerica executes listed futures and options contracts on equity indexes to hedge certain guarantees of variable annuity products. SunAmerica also enters into various types of futures and options contracts, primarily to hedge changes in value of certain guarantees of variable annuities due to fluctuations in interest rates. SunAmerica's interest rate hedging instruments include listed futures on government securities and listed options on government securities.

With respect to over-the-counter derivatives, SunAmerica deals with highly rated counterparties and does not expect the counterparties to fail to meet their obligations under the contracts. SunAmerica has controls in place to monitor credit exposures by limiting transactions with specific counterparties within specified dollar limits and assessing the creditworthiness of counterparties periodically. SunAmerica generally uses ISDA Master Agreements and Credit Support Annexes with bilateral collateral provisions to reduce counterparty credit exposures.

Available for sale bonds and equity securities are subject to declines in fair value. Such declines in fair value are presented in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments, net of taxes, as a component of Accumulated other comprehensive income. AIG recognizes the credit component of an other-than-temporary impairment of a fixed maturity security in earnings and the non-credit component in Accumulated other comprehensive income when AIG does not intend to sell the security or it is more likely than not that AIG will not be required to sell the security prior to recovery. See Investments Other-Than-Temporary Impairments herein. Generally, insurance

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

regulations restrict the types of assets in which an insurance company may invest. When permitted by regulatory authorities and when deemed necessary to protect insurance assets, including invested assets, from adverse movements in foreign currency exchange rates, interest rates and equity prices, AIG and its insurance subsidiaries may enter into derivative transactions as end users to hedge their exposures. For a further discussion of AIG's use of derivatives, see Risk Management Segment Risk Management Financial Services herein.

Financial Services

For a discussion of the unwinding of AIG's Capital Markets' businesses and portfolios, see Capital Resources and Liquidity Liquidity of Parent and Subsidiaries Capital Markets Wind-down. The following information pertains to AIGFP's operations during this wind-down.

Capital Markets derivative transactions are carried at fair value. AIGFP reduces its market risk exposure through similarly valued offsetting transactions including swaps, trading securities, options, forwards and futures. For discussion on the use of derivatives by Capital Markets, see Results of Operations Segment Results Financial Services Operations Capital Markets and Segment Risk Management Financial Services Derivative Transactions herein and Note 12 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Other Businesses

Invested assets of the Direct Investment business primarily include those supporting the MIP, certain non-derivative assets and liabilities of Capital Markets and proprietary investments of AIG Global Real Estate.

MIP

The MIP business was originally created to generate spread income from investments yielding returns greater than AIG's cost of funds. The invested assets are predominantly fixed maturity securities and include U.S. residential mortgage-backed securities, asset-backed securities and commercial mortgage-backed securities as well as commercial mortgage loans. Due to principal losses on the investment portfolio, the strategy of the business has focused on generating sufficient liquidity in order to fund maturing liabilities.

Non-derivative Assets and Liabilities of Capital Markets

Management's objective is to minimize interest rate, currency, commodity and equity risks associated with its investment securities. Market risk associated with the investment securities is hedged on a portfolio basis effectively converting the returns to floating U.S. dollars. While not qualifying for hedge accounting treatment, these transactions achieve the economic result of limiting interest rate volatility arising from such securities. The market risk associated with such hedges is managed on a portfolio basis.

Securities purchased under agreements to resell are treated as collateralized financing transactions. AIGFP took possession of or obtained a security interest in securities purchased under agreements to resell.

Historically, AIGFP used the proceeds from the issuance of notes and bonds and GIAs to invest in a diversified portfolio of securities, including trading securities, securities available for sale and derivative transactions. The funds were also invested in securities purchased under agreements to resell. The proceeds from the disposal of these trading securities, securities available for sale and securities purchased under agreements to resell were used to fund the maturing GIAs or other AIGFP financings. For a further discussion, see Capital Resources and Liquidity Debt herein.

AIGFP owns inventories in certain commodities in which it trades, and may reduce its exposure to market risk through the use of swaps, forwards, futures and option contracts. Physical commodities are recorded at the lower of cost or fair value.

Trading securities, at fair value, and securities and spot commodities sold but not yet purchased, at fair value, are marked to fair value daily with the unrealized gain or loss recognized in income. These trading securities are purchased and sold as necessary to meet the risk management and business objectives of Capital Markets operations.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

AIG Global Real Estate

AIG Global Real Estate maintains a proprietary investment portfolio of direct real estate investments and investments in real estate-based joint ventures and partnerships. AIG Global Real Estate invests primarily in strategic and opportunistic development projects domiciled in the U.S., and Europe and Asia.

Available for Sale Investments

The following table presents the amortized cost or cost and fair value of AIG's available for sale securities:

| <i>(in millions)</i> | Amortized Cost or Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Other-Than- Temporary Impairments in AOCI ^(a) |
|--|------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|---|
| December 31, 2010 | | | | | |
| Bonds available for sale: | | | | | |
| U.S. government and government sponsored entities | \$ 7,239 | \$ 184 | \$ (73) | \$ 7,350 | \$ - |
| Obligations of states, municipalities and political subdivisions | 45,297 | 1,725 | (402) | 46,620 | 2 |
| Non-U.S. governments | 14,780 | 639 | (75) | 15,344 | (28) |
| Corporate debt | 118,729 | 8,827 | (1,198) | 126,358 | 99 |
| Mortgage-backed, asset-backed and collateralized: | | | | | |
| RMBS | 20,661 | 700 | (1,553) | 19,808 | (648) |
| CMBS | 7,320 | 240 | (1,149) | 6,411 | (218) |
| CDO/ABS | 6,643 | 402 | (634) | 6,411 | 32 |
| Total mortgage-backed, asset-backed and collateralized | 34,624 | 1,342 | (3,336) | 32,630 | (834) |
| Total bonds available for sale^(b) | 220,669 | 12,717 | (5,084) | 228,302 | (761) |
| Equity securities available for sale: | | | | | |
| Common stock | 1,820 | 1,931 | (52) | 3,699 | - |
| Preferred stock | 400 | 88 | (1) | 487 | - |
| Mutual funds | 351 | 46 | (2) | 395 | - |
| Total equity securities available for sale | 2,571 | 2,065 | (55) | 4,581 | - |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Total^(c) \$ 223,240 \$ 14,782 \$ (5,139) \$ 232,883 \$ (761)

December 31, 2009

Bonds available for sale:

| | | | | | |
|--|----------|--------|----------|----------|---------|
| U.S. government and government sponsored entities | \$ 5,098 | \$ 174 | \$ (49) | \$ 5,223 | \$ - |
| Obligations of states, municipalities and political subdivisions | 52,324 | 2,163 | (385) | 54,102 | - |
| Non-U.S. governments | 63,080 | 3,153 | (649) | 65,584 | (1) |
| Corporate debt | 185,188 | 10,826 | (3,876) | 192,138 | 119 |
| Mortgage-backed, asset-backed and collateralized: | | | | | |
| RMBS | 32,173 | 991 | (4,840) | 28,324 | (2,121) |
| CMBS | 18,717 | 195 | (5,623) | 13,289 | (739) |
| CDO/ABS | 7,911 | 284 | (1,304) | 6,891 | (63) |
| Total mortgage-backed, asset-backed and collateralized | 58,801 | 1,470 | (11,767) | 48,504 | (2,923) |

Total bonds available for sale^(b) 364,491 17,786 (16,726) 365,551 (2,805)

Equity securities available for sale:

| | | | | | |
|-----------------|-------|-------|------|-------|---|
| Common stock | 4,460 | 2,913 | (75) | 7,298 | - |
| Preferred stock | 740 | 94 | (20) | 814 | - |
| Mutual funds | 1,264 | 182 | (36) | 1,410 | - |

Total equity securities available for sale 6,464 3,189 (131) 9,522 -

Total^(c) \$ 370,955 \$ 20,975 \$ (16,857) \$ 375,073 \$ (2,805)

(a) Represents the amount of other-than-temporary impairment losses recognized in Accumulated other comprehensive loss, which, starting on April 1, 2009, were not included in earnings. Amount includes unrealized gains and losses on impaired securities relating to changes in the value of such securities subsequent to the impairment measurement date.

(b) At December 31, 2010 and 2009, bonds available for sale held by AIG that were below investment grade or not rated totaled \$18.6 billion and \$24.5 billion, respectively.

(c) Excludes \$80.5 billion and \$36.1 billion of available for sale investments at fair value from businesses held for sale at December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. See Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements herein.

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

The following table presents the fair value of AIG's available for sale U.S. municipal bond portfolio by state and type:

| December 31, 2010 (in millions) | State General Obligation | Local General Obligation | Revenue | Total Fair Value |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| State: | | | | |
| California | \$ 788 | \$ 1,628 | \$ 3,937 | \$ 6,353 |
| New York | 3 | 813 | 5,346 | 6,162 |
| Texas | 201 | 3,199 | 2,371 | 5,771 |
| Florida | 915 | 38 | 1,610 | 2,563 |
| Washington | 900 | 547 | 937 | 2,384 |
| Massachusetts | 1,068 | 10 | 1,088 | 2,166 |
| Illinois | 241 | 926 | 845 | 2,012 |
| New Jersey | 38 | 3 | 1,272 | 1,313 |
| Georgia | 670 | 122 | 517 | 1,309 |
| Ohio | 345 | 321 | 622 | 1,288 |
| Arizona | - | 210 | 1,067 | 1,277 |
| Pennsylvania | 700 | 138 | 339 | 1,177 |
| Virginia | 77 | 250 | 817 | 1,144 |
| All Other | 2,743 | 2,086 | 6,783 | 11,612 |
| Total^{(a)(b)} | \$ 8,689 | \$ 10,291 | \$ 27,551 | \$ 46,531 |

(a) Excludes certain university and not-for-profit entities that issue in the corporate debt market. Includes industrial revenue bonds.

(b) Includes \$6.4 billion of pre-refunded U.S. municipal bonds.

At December 31, 2010, the U.S. municipal bond portfolio was composed primarily of essential service revenue bonds and high-quality tax-backed bonds with 99 percent of the portfolio rated A or higher.

The following table presents the industry category distribution of AIG's corporate debt securities:

| December 31, Industry Category | 2010 ^(a) | 2009 |
|--|---------------------|------|
| Financial institutions: | | |
| Money Center/Global Bank Groups | 12% | 18% |
| Regional banks other | 3 | 5 |
| Life insurance | 4 | 4 |
| Securities firms and other finance companies | 2 | 2 |
| Insurance non-life | 4 | 3 |
| Regional banks North America | 2 | 2 |
| Other financial institutions | 5 | 4 |
| Utilities | 16 | 14 |
| Communications | 8 | 8 |
| Consumer noncyclical | 8 | 8 |
| Capital goods | 6 | 7 |
| Energy | 6 | 5 |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

| | | |
|----------------------|-------------|------|
| Consumer cyclical | 8 | 6 |
| Other | 16 | 14 |
| Total ^(b) | 100% | 100% |

(a) *Excludes corporate debt securities held by businesses held for sale as of December 31, 2010.*

(b) *At December 31, 2010 and 2009, approximately 93 percent and 94 percent, respectively, of these investments were rated investment grade.*

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Investments in Residential Mortgage-Backed Securities (RMBS)

The following table presents AIG's RMBS investments by year of vintage:

| (in millions) | Amortized Cost | December 31, 2010 | | Fair Value | Percent of Amortized Cost | December 31, 2009 | | Fair Value | Percent of Amortized Cost | |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|-------------|
| | | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | | | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | | | |
| Total RMBS^(a) | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2010 | \$ 4,157 | \$ 11 | \$ (53) | \$ 4,115 | 20% | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | - | -% |
| 2009 | 881 | 9 | (3) | 887 | 4 | 1,716 | 19 | (6) | 1,729 | 5 |
| 2008 | 937 | 39 | (2) | 974 | 4 | 3,418 | 135 | (1) | 3,552 | 11 |
| 2007 | 2,836 | 114 | (213) | 2,737 | 14 | 4,982 | 135 | (881) | 4,236 | 16 |
| 2006 | 2,399 | 99 | (230) | 2,268 | 12 | 5,206 | 197 | (1,161) | 4,242 | 16 |
| 2005 and prior | 9,451 | 428 | (1,052) | 8,827 | 46 | 16,851 | 505 | (2,791) | 14,565 | 52 |
| Total RMBS | \$ 20,661 | \$ 700 | \$ (1,553) | \$ 19,808 | 100% | \$ 32,173 | \$ 991 | \$ (4,840) | \$ 28,324 | 100% |
| Alt-A | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2010 | \$ 70 | \$ 1 | \$ (1) | \$ 70 | 2% | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | - | -% |
| 2009 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2008 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2007 | 1,004 | 39 | (76) | 967 | 28 | 1,490 | 21 | (408) | 1,103 | 28 |
| 2006 | 724 | 13 | (98) | 639 | 21 | 1,484 | 9 | (568) | 925 | 28 |
| 2005 and prior | 1,725 | 28 | (282) | 1,471 | 49 | 2,397 | 13 | (705) | 1,705 | 44 |
| Total Alt-A | \$ 3,523 | \$ 81 | \$ (457) | \$ 3,147 | 100% | \$ 5,371 | \$ 43 | \$ (1,681) | \$ 3,733 | 100% |
| Subprime | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2010 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | -% | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | - | -% |
| 2009 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2008 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2007 | 44 | 19 | (5) | 58 | 3 | 61 | 16 | (18) | 59 | 4 |
| 2006 | 111 | 9 | (10) | 110 | 9 | 180 | 6 | (42) | 144 | 11 |
| 2005 and prior | 1,104 | 7 | (307) | 804 | 88 | 1,358 | - | (659) | 699 | 85 |
| Total Subprime | \$ 1,259 | \$ 35 | \$ (322) | \$ 972 | 100% | \$ 1,599 | \$ 22 | \$ (719) | \$ 902 | 100% |
| Prime non-agency^(b) | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2010 | \$ 20 | \$ - | \$ (1) | \$ 19 | -% | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | - | -% |
| 2009 | 97 | - | - | 97 | 2 | 387 | 6 | - | 393 | 3 |
| 2008 | - | - | - | - | - | 109 | 9 | - | 118 | 1 |
| 2007 | 1,097 | 19 | (71) | 1,045 | 21 | 1,920 | 21 | (340) | 1,601 | 17 |
| 2006 | 986 | 30 | (89) | 927 | 19 | 2,259 | 91 | (415) | 1,935 | 20 |
| 2005 and | 3,024 | 66 | (394) | 2,696 | 58 | 6,783 | 42 | (1,272) | 5,553 | 59 |

prior

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|----------|--------|----------|-------|---------|-----------|--------|------------|-------|------|
| Total | | | | | | | | | | |
| Prime | | | | | | | | | | |
| non-agency | 5,224 \$ | 115 \$ | (555) \$ | 4,784 | 100% \$ | 11,458 \$ | 169 \$ | (2,027) \$ | 9,600 | 100% |

(a) *Includes \$10.1 billion amortized cost and \$10.5 billion fair value of agency backed securities.*

(b) *Includes foreign and jumbo RMBS-related securities.*

As of February 16, 2011, \$941 million of RMBS was on watch by the rating agencies for downgrade.

In 2010 and 2009, AIG collected approximately \$5.4 billion and \$5.0 billion, respectively, of principal payments on RMBS. During 2010 AIG reduced its exposure to RMBS by approximately \$9.0 billion.

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

The following table presents AIG's RMBS investments by credit rating:

| (in millions) | December 31, 2010 | | | | | December 31, 2009 | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|---------------------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Percent of Amortized Cost | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Percent of Amortized Cost |
| Rating: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total RMBS | | | | | | | | | | |
| AAA | \$ 13,009 | \$ 477 | \$ (277) | \$ 13,209 | 63% | \$ 20,503 | \$ 793 | \$ (1,256) | \$ 20,040 | 64% |
| AA | 1,265 | 46 | (274) | 1,037 | 6 | 1,547 | 22 | (447) | 1,122 | 5 |
| A | 548 | 2 | (144) | 406 | 3 | 1,423 | 6 | (451) | 978 | 4 |
| BBB | 610 | 5 | (113) | 502 | 3 | 1,428 | 30 | (440) | 1,018 | 5 |
| Below investment grade | 5,209 | 170 | (744) | 4,635 | 25 | 7,204 | 131 | (2,245) | 5,090 | 22 |
| Non-rated | 20 | - | (1) | 19 | - | 68 | 9 | (1) | 76 | - |
| Total RMBS^{(a)(b)} | \$ 20,661 | \$ 700 | \$ (1,553) | \$ 19,808 | 100% | \$ 32,173 | \$ 991 | \$ (4,840) | \$ 28,324 | 100% |
| Alt-A RMBS | | | | | | | | | | |
| AAA | \$ 862 | \$ 1 | \$ (63) | \$ 800 | 25% | \$ 1,707 | \$ 15 | \$ (406) | \$ 1,316 | 32% |
| AA | 462 | 30 | (89) | 403 | 13 | 296 | - | (108) | 188 | 5 |
| A | 148 | 1 | (41) | 108 | 4 | 247 | - | (95) | 152 | 5 |
| BBB | 102 | 1 | (15) | 88 | 3 | 141 | 3 | (46) | 98 | 3 |
| Below investment grade | 1,949 | 48 | (249) | 1,748 | 55 | 2,980 | 25 | (1,026) | 1,979 | 55 |
| Non-rated | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total Alt-A | \$ 3,523 | \$ 81 | \$ (457) | \$ 3,147 | 100% | \$ 5,371 | \$ 43 | \$ (1,681) | \$ 3,733 | 100% |
| Subprime RMBS | | | | | | | | | | |
| AAA | \$ 417 | \$ - | \$ (63) | \$ 354 | 33% | \$ 677 | \$ 13 | \$ (207) | \$ 483 | 42% |
| AA | 259 | 15 | (67) | 207 | 21 | 150 | 1 | (70) | 81 | 10 |
| A | 108 | 1 | (33) | 76 | 9 | 191 | 1 | (107) | 85 | 12 |
| BBB | 78 | - | (23) | 55 | 6 | 160 | - | (99) | 61 | 10 |
| Below investment grade | 397 | 19 | (136) | 280 | 31 | 421 | 7 | (236) | 192 | 26 |
| Non-rated | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total Subprime | \$ 1,259 | \$ 35 | \$ (322) | \$ 972 | 100% | \$ 1,599 | \$ 22 | \$ (719) | \$ 902 | 100% |
| Prime non-agency | | | | | | | | | | |
| AAA | \$ 1,564 | \$ 24 | \$ (89) | \$ 1,499 | 30% | \$ 5,191 | \$ 40 | \$ (600) | \$ 4,631 | 45% |
| AA | 502 | 1 | (103) | 400 | 10 | 1,018 | 21 | (258) | 781 | 9 |
| A | 221 | - | (40) | 181 | 4 | 879 | 5 | (187) | 697 | 8 |
| BBB | 338 | 4 | (44) | 298 | 7 | 957 | 4 | (225) | 736 | 8 |
| Below investment grade | 2,579 | 86 | (278) | 2,387 | 49 | 3,345 | 90 | (757) | 2,678 | 29 |
| Non-rated | 20 | - | (1) | 19 | - | 68 | 9 | - | 77 | 1 |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|----|-------|----|-----|----|-------|----|-------|------|----|--------|----|-----|----|---------|----|-------|------|
| Total prime | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| non-agency | \$ | 5,224 | \$ | 115 | \$ | (555) | \$ | 4,784 | 100% | \$ | 11,458 | \$ | 169 | \$ | (2,027) | \$ | 9,600 | 100% |

(a) *The weighted average expected life is 6 years.*

(b) *Includes \$10.1 billion amortized cost and \$10.5 billion fair value of agency backed securities.*

AIG's underwriting practices for investing in RMBS, other asset-backed securities and CDOs take into consideration the quality of the originator, the manager, the servicer, security credit ratings, underlying characteristics of the mortgages, borrower characteristics, and the level of credit enhancement in the transaction.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Investments in Commercial Mortgage-Backed Securities (CMBS)

The following table presents the amortized cost, gross unrealized gains (losses) and fair value of AIG's CMBS investments:

| <i>(in millions)</i> | December 31, 2010 | | | | | December 31, 2009 | | | | |
|----------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|---------------------------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Percent of Amortized Cost | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Percent of Amortized Cost |
| CMBS (traditional) | \$ 6,428 | \$ 204 | \$ (919) | \$ 5,713 | 88% | \$ 16,599 | \$ 161 | \$ (4,925) | \$ 11,835 | 89% |
| ReRemic/CRE CDO | 508 | 23 | (219) | 312 | 7 | 932 | 20 | (578) | 374 | 5 |
| Agency | 297 | 13 | (1) | 309 | 4 | 200 | 8 | (3) | 205 | 1 |
| Other | 87 | - | (10) | 77 | 1 | 986 | 6 | (117) | 875 | 5 |
| Total | \$ 7,320 | \$ 240 | \$ (1,149) | \$ 6,411 | 100% | \$ 18,717 | \$ 195 | \$ (5,623) | \$ 13,289 | 100% |

The following table presents AIG's CMBS investments by credit rating:

| <i>(in millions)</i> | December 31, 2010 | | | | | December 31, 2009 | | | | |
|---------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|---------------------------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Percent of Amortized Cost | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Percent of Amortized Cost |
| Rating: | | | | | | | | | | |
| AAA | \$ 2,416 | \$ 88 | \$ (21) | \$ 2,483 | 33% | \$ 8,579 | \$ 127 | \$ (997) | \$ 7,709 | 45% |
| AA | 772 | 7 | (94) | 685 | 11 | 2,265 | 2 | (839) | 1,428 | 12 |
| A | 1,061 | 18 | (100) | 979 | 14 | 1,967 | 13 | (832) | 1,148 | 11 |
| BBB | 1,140 | 12 | (302) | 850 | 16 | 2,188 | 15 | (1,009) | 1,194 | 12 |
| Below investment grade | 1,931 | 115 | (632) | 1,414 | 26 | 3,155 | 38 | (1,844) | 1,349 | 17 |
| Non-rated | - | - | - | - | - | 563 | - | (102) | 461 | 3 |
| Total | \$ 7,320 | \$ 240 | \$ (1,149) | \$ 6,411 | 100% | \$ 18,717 | \$ 195 | \$ (5,623) | \$ 13,289 | 100% |

The following table presents AIG's CMBS investments by year of vintage:

| <i>(in millions)</i> | December 31, 2010 | | | | | December 31, 2009 | | | | |
|----------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|---------------------------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Percent of Amortized Cost | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Percent of Amortized Cost |
| Year: | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2010 | \$ 86 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 86 | 1% | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | -% |
| 2009 | 42 | 1 | - | 43 | 1 | 35 | - | (1) | 34 | - |
| 2008 | 217 | 8 | (1) | 224 | 3 | 263 | - | (70) | 193 | 1 |
| 2007 | 2,205 | 118 | (484) | 1,839 | 30 | 4,968 | 42 | (2,134) | 2,876 | 27 |
| 2006 | 1,158 | 48 | (247) | 959 | 16 | 2,842 | 19 | (1,250) | 1,611 | 15 |
| 2005 and prior | 3,612 | 65 | (417) | 3,260 | 49 | 10,609 | 134 | (2,168) | 8,575 | 57 |
| Total | \$ 7,320 | \$ 240 | \$ (1,149) | \$ 6,411 | 100% | \$ 18,717 | \$ 195 | \$ (5,623) | \$ 13,289 | 100% |

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

The following table presents the percentage of AIG's CMBS investments by geographic region:

| December 31, | 2010 | 2009 |
|---------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Geographic region: | | |
| New York | 17% | 15% |
| California | 12 | 14 |
| Texas | 6 | 7 |
| Florida | 6 | 6 |
| Virginia | 3 | 3 |
| Illinois | 3 | 3 |
| New Jersey | 3 | 3 |
| Georgia | 3 | 2 |
| Maryland | 2 | 3 |
| Pennsylvania | 2 | 3 |
| Nevada | 2 | 2 |
| Washington | 2 | 2 |
| All Other* | 39 | 37 |
| Total | 100% | 100% |

*

*Includes Non-U.S. locations.***The following table presents the percentage of AIG's CMBS investments by industry:**

| December 31, | 2010 | 2009 |
|------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Industry: | | |
| Office | 34% | 30% |
| Retail | 27 | 30 |
| Multi-family | 17 | 15 |
| Lodging | 8 | 7 |
| Industrial | 6 | 7 |
| Other | 8 | 11 |
| Total | 100% | 100% |

There have been disruptions in the CMBS market due to weakness in underlying commercial real estate fundamentals and the market's anticipation of increasing delinquencies and defaults. Although the market value of the holdings has improved and CMBS spreads have tightened during 2010, it continues to be below amortized cost. The majority of AIG's investments in CMBS are in tranches that contain substantial protection features through collateral subordination. As indicated in the tables, downgrades have occurred on many CMBS holdings. The majority of CMBS holdings are traditional conduit transactions, broadly diversified across property types and geographical areas.

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Investments in CDOs

The following table presents AIG's CDO investments by collateral type:

| <i>(in millions)</i> | December 31, 2010 | | | | | December 31, 2009 | | | | |
|----------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Percent of Amortized Cost | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Percent of Amortized Cost |
| Collateral Type: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bank loans (CLO) | \$ 1,697 | \$ 62 | \$ (321) | \$ 1,438 | 76% | \$ 2,015 | \$ 63 | \$ (596) | \$ 1,482 | 66% |
| Synthetic investment grade | 78 | 102 | (2) | 178 | 4 | 220 | 83 | (21) | 282 | 8 |
| Other | 433 | 151 | (52) | 532 | 19 | 772 | 74 | (107) | 739 | 25 |
| Subprime ABS | 24 | 2 | (12) | 14 | 1 | 33 | 1 | (27) | 7 | 1 |
| Total | \$ 2,232 | \$ 317 | \$ (387) | \$ 2,162 | 100% | \$ 3,040 | \$ 221 | \$ (751) | \$ 2,510 | 100% |

The following table presents AIG's CDO investments by credit rating:

| <i>(in millions)</i> | December 31, 2010 | | | | | December 31, 2009 | | | | |
|------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Percent of Amortized Cost | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Percent of Amortized Cost |
| Rating: | | | | | | | | | | |
| AAA | \$ 27 | \$ - | \$ (2) | \$ 25 | 1% | \$ 326 | \$ 5 | \$ (42) | \$ 289 | 11% |
| AA | 133 | 1 | (13) | 121 | 6 | 135 | 1 | (29) | 107 | 4 |
| A | 558 | 17 | (99) | 476 | 25 | 1,028 | 22 | (311) | 739 | 34 |
| BBB | 787 | 21 | (181) | 627 | 35 | 670 | 19 | (214) | 475 | 22 |
| Below investment grade | 727 | 277 | (92) | 912 | 33 | 879 | 155 | (155) | 879 | 29 |
| Non-rated | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 2 | 19 | - | 21 | - |
| Total | \$ 2,232 | \$ 317 | \$ (387) | \$ 2,162 | 100% | \$ 3,040 | \$ 221 | \$ (751) | \$ 2,510 | 100% |

Commercial Mortgage Loans

At December 31, 2010, AIG had direct U.S. commercial mortgage loan exposure of \$13.8 billion. At that date, over 97 percent of the U.S. loans were current.

The following table presents the U.S. commercial mortgage loan exposure by state and type of loan:

| At December 31, 2010 | Number of Loans | Amount | Apartments | Offices | Retails | Industrials | Hotels | Others | Percent of Total |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|---------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|---------------|---------------|-------------------------|
| State: | | | | | | | | | |
| California | 196 | \$ 3,633 | \$ 113 | \$ 1,402 | \$ 214 | \$ 967 | \$ 407 | \$ 530 | 26% |
| New York | 71 | 1,551 | 271 | 946 | 166 | 39 | 48 | 81 | 11 |
| New Jersey | 63 | 1,207 | 545 | 312 | 269 | 8 | - | 73 | 9 |
| Florida | 99 | 936 | 28 | 324 | 240 | 105 | 28 | 211 | 7 |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|-------------|
| Texas | 63 | 872 | 58 | 334 | 122 | 251 | 81 | 26 | 6 |
| Pennsylvania | 62 | 515 | 92 | 132 | 139 | 119 | 18 | 15 | 4 |
| Ohio | 59 | 429 | 165 | 46 | 98 | 68 | 40 | 12 | 3 |
| Maryland | 23 | 388 | 26 | 186 | 167 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 3 |
| Arizona | 17 | 322 | 103 | 55 | 60 | 9 | 9 | 86 | 2 |
| Colorado | 22 | 312 | 11 | 209 | 1 | 4 | 27 | 60 | 2 |
| Other states | 392 | 3,624 | 316 | 1,467 | 702 | 399 | 301 | 439 | 27 |
| Total^{(a)(b)} | 1,067 | \$ 13,789 | \$ 1,728 | \$ 5,413 | \$ 2,178 | \$ 1,970 | \$ 963 | \$ 1,537 | 100% |

(a) *Excludes portfolio valuation losses.*

(b) *Includes mezzanine loans.*

136 AIG 2010 Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

MetLife and AIA Equity Investments

At December 31, 2010, AIG's equity method investments included a 33 percent interest in AIA with a total carrying value of \$11.1 billion which is recorded in Other invested assets and accounted for under the fair value option. As part of the consideration on the sale of ALICO, AIG received approximately 78.2 million shares of MetLife, Inc. (MetLife) common stock, 6.9 million shares of newly issued MetLife participating preferred stock convertible into 68.6 million shares of MetLife common stock and 40.0 million equity units of MetLife with an aggregate carrying value of approximately \$10 billion at December 31, 2010. The common stock and participating preferred stock are carried at fair value and reported in Common and preferred stocks trading. The equity units (described in more detail below) consist of debentures and a stock purchase contract. The debentures are carried at fair value and reported in Bonds available for sale. The stock purchase contract is carried at fair value and reported in Unrealized gain on swaps, options and forward transactions. Changes in the fair value of the stock purchase contract are reported in Net realized capital gains (losses) Changes in the fair values of all other components of the MetLife securities are reported in Net investment income.

The value of the AIA shares will fluctuate until the ultimate disposition by AIG of the AIA shares. The value of the AIA shares will rise and fall in response to various factors beyond the control of AIG, including the business and financial performance of AIA. The agreement with the underwriters precludes AIG from entering into hedging transactions that might protect AIG against fluctuations in the value of its remaining interest in AIA while those restrictions are in place.

Prior to conversion into MetLife common stock, the participating preferred stock will be entitled to dividends equivalent, on an as-converted basis, to those that may be declared from time to time on MetLife common stock.

Each of the equity units of MetLife has an initial stated amount of \$75 and consists of an ownership interest in three series of debt securities of MetLife and three stock purchase contracts with a weighted average life of approximately three years. The stock purchase contracts obligate the holder of an equity unit to purchase, and obligate MetLife to sell, a number of shares of MetLife common stock that will be determined based on the market price of MetLife common stock at the scheduled settlement dates under the stock purchase contracts (a minimum of 67,764,000 shares and a maximum of 84,696,000 in the aggregate for all equity units, subject to anti-dilution adjustments). The equity units provide for the remarketing of the senior debt securities to fund the purchase price of the MetLife common stock. They also entitle the holder to receive interest payments on the senior debt securities and deferrable contract payments at a combined rate equal to five percent of their stated amount. The equity units have been placed in escrow as collateral to secure payments, if any, in respect of indemnity agreements. The escrow collateral will be released to the ALICO SPV over a 30-month period, to the extent not used to make indemnity payments or to secure pending indemnity claims submitted by MetLife.

The value of the MetLife securities received in the sale of ALICO will continue to fluctuate until the ultimate monetization by AIG of the MetLife securities. These fluctuations will be influenced by market prices of MetLife securities generally, and the market prices of MetLife common stock in particular, which will rise and fall in response to various factors beyond the control of AIG, including the business and financial performance of MetLife. AIG is subject in each case to the agreed minimum holding periods that also restrict AIG's ability to enter into hedging transactions that might protect AIG against fluctuations in the value of the securities. These minimum holding periods and hedging restrictions cannot be altered without the consent of MetLife, so AIG will bear the risk of these market price fluctuations during the applicable holding period.

Other-Than-Temporary Impairments

As a result of AIG's periodic evaluation of its securities for other-than-temporary impairments in value, AIG recorded impairment charges in earnings of \$3.0 billion, \$6.7 billion and \$41.9 billion in 2010, 2009, and 2008, respectively. Refer to Note 7 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for a discussion of AIG's other-than-temporary impairment accounting policy.

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

The following table presents other-than-temporary impairment charges in earnings by segment:

| <i>(in millions)</i> | General Insurance | Domestic Life Insurance & Retirement Services | Financial Services | Other | Total |
|---|----------------------|--|-----------------------|----------|-----------|
| December 31, 2010 | | | | | |
| Impairment Type: | | | | | |
| Severity | \$ 30 | \$ 14 | \$ - | \$ 29 | \$ 73 |
| Change in intent | 389 | 34 | - | 18 | 441 |
| Foreign currency declines | 17 | - | - | 46 | 63 |
| Issuer-specific credit events | 141 | 1,906 | 10 | 400 | 2,457 |
| Adverse projected cash flows on structured securities | - | 4 | - | 1 | 5 |
| Total | \$ 577 | \$ 1,958 | \$ 10 | \$ 494 | \$ 3,039 |
| December 31, 2009 | | | | | |
| Impairment Type: | | | | | |
| Severity | \$ 118 | \$ 829 | \$ - | \$ 563 | \$ 1,510 |
| Change in intent | 186 | 656 | - | 116 | 958 |
| Foreign currency declines | 9 | - | - | 103 | 112 |
| Issuer-specific credit events | 589 | 2,260 | - | 1,130 | 3,979 |
| Adverse projected cash flows on structured securities | 1 | 76 | - | 60 | 137 |
| Total | \$ 903 | \$ 3,821 | \$ - | \$ 1,972 | \$ 6,696 |
| December 31, 2008 | | | | | |
| Impairment Type: | | | | | |
| Severity | \$ 2,367 | \$ 17,799 | \$ - | \$ 3,047 | \$ 23,213 |
| Change in intent | 372 | 9,043 | - | 1,391 | 10,806 |
| Foreign currency declines | - | - | - | 1,356 | 1,356 |
| Issuer-specific credit events | 1,305 | 2,160 | - | 1,409 | 4,874 |
| Adverse projected cash flows on structured securities | 7 | 1,462 | - | 149 | 1,618 |
| Total | \$ 4,051 | \$ 30,464 | \$ - | \$ 7,352 | \$ 41,867 |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

The following table presents other-than-temporary impairment charges in earnings by type of security and type of impairment:

| (in millions) | RMBS | CDO/ABS | CMBS | Other Fixed Income | Equities/Other Invested Assets* | Total |
|---|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------|------------------|
| December 31, 2010 | | | | | | |
| Impairment Type: | | | | | | |
| Severity | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | 73 | \$ 73 |
| Change in intent | 210 | - | 99 | 41 | 91 | 441 |
| Foreign currency declines | - | 5 | - | 57 | 1 | 63 |
| Issuer-specific credit events | 1,066 | 34 | 739 | 81 | 537 | 2,457 |
| Adverse projected cash flows on structured securities | 5 | - | - | - | - | 5 |
| Total | \$ 1,281 | \$ 39 | \$ 838 | \$ 179 | \$ 702 | \$ 3,039 |
| December 31, 2009 | | | | | | |
| Impairment Type: | | | | | | |
| Severity | \$ 816 | \$ 471 | \$ 21 | \$ 26 | 176 | \$ 1,510 |
| Change in intent | 19 | 8 | 44 | 715 | 172 | 958 |
| Foreign currency declines | - | 21 | - | 91 | - | 112 |
| Issuer-specific credit events | 1,929 | 306 | 451 | 301 | 992 | 3,979 |
| Adverse projected cash flows on structured securities | 102 | 35 | - | - | - | 137 |
| Total | \$ 2,866 | \$ 841 | \$ 516 | \$ 1,133 | \$ 1,340 | \$ 6,696 |
| December 31, 2008 | | | | | | |
| Impairment Type: | | | | | | |
| Severity | \$ 14,125 | \$ 2,697 | \$ 3,831 | \$ 1,767 | 793 | \$ 23,213 |
| Change in intent | 5,064 | 435 | 441 | 4,031 | 835 | 10,806 |
| Foreign currency declines | - | 64 | - | 960 | 332 | 1,356 |
| Issuer-specific credit events | 1,916 | 92 | 238 | 1,257 | 1,371 | 4,874 |
| Adverse projected cash flows on structured securities | 1,595 | 23 | - | - | - | 1,618 |
| Total | \$ 22,700 | \$ 3,311 | \$ 4,510 | \$ 8,015 | \$ 3,331 | \$ 41,867 |

*

Includes other-than-temporary impairment charges on partnership investments and direct private equity investments.

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

The following table presents other-than-temporary impairment charges in earnings by type of security and credit rating:

| <i>(in millions)</i> | RMBS | CDO/ABS | CMBS | Other Fixed Income | Equities/Other Invested Assets* | Total |
|--------------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------|------------------|
| December 31, 2010 | | | | | | |
| Rating: | | | | | | |
| AAA | \$ 28 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 19 | \$ - | \$ 47 |
| AA | 39 | 1 | 2 | - | - | 42 |
| A | 61 | 3 | 13 | 16 | 45 | 138 |
| BBB | 65 | 3 | 55 | 28 | 6 | 157 |
| Below investment grade | 1,088 | 27 | 768 | 103 | 6 | 1,992 |
| Non-rated | - | 5 | - | 13 | 645 | 663 |
| Total | \$ 1,281 | \$ 39 | \$ 838 | \$ 179 | \$ 702 | \$ 3,039 |
| December 31, 2009 | | | | | | |
| Rating: | | | | | | |
| AAA | \$ 781 | \$ 20 | \$ 43 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 844 |
| AA | 358 | 16 | 56 | 21 | - | 451 |
| A | 230 | 338 | 60 | 242 | - | 870 |
| BBB | 258 | 108 | 116 | 254 | - | 736 |
| Below investment grade | 1,239 | 328 | 241 | 595 | - | 2,403 |
| Non-rated | - | 31 | - | 21 | 1,340 | 1,392 |
| Total | \$ 2,866 | \$ 841 | \$ 516 | \$ 1,133 | \$ 1,340 | \$ 6,696 |
| December 31, 2008 | | | | | | |
| Rating: | | | | | | |
| AAA | \$ 13,834 | \$ 586 | \$ 2,489 | \$ 137 | \$ - | \$ 17,046 |
| AA | 4,048 | 686 | 633 | 545 | - | 5,912 |
| A | 1,789 | 1,446 | 1,042 | 1,907 | - | 6,184 |
| BBB | 974 | 415 | 252 | 1,398 | - | 3,039 |
| Below investment grade | 1,995 | 107 | 94 | 3,760 | - | 5,956 |
| Non-rated | 60 | 71 | - | 268 | 3,331 | 3,730 |
| Total | \$ 22,700 | \$ 3,311 | \$ 4,510 | \$ 8,015 | \$ 3,331 | \$ 41,867 |

*

Includes other-than-temporary impairment charges on partnership investments and direct private equity investments.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

With the adoption of the new other-than-temporary impairments accounting standard on April 1, 2009, severity loss charges subsequent to that date exclusively related to equity securities and other invested assets. In all prior periods, these charges primarily related to mortgage-backed, asset-backed and collateralized securities, corporate debt securities of financial institutions and other equity securities. Notwithstanding AIG's intent and ability to hold such securities until they had recovered their cost or amortized cost basis, and despite structures that indicated, at the time, that a substantial amount of the securities should have continued to perform in accordance with original terms, AIG concluded, at the time, that it could not reasonably assert that the impairment would be temporary.

Determinations of other-than-temporary impairments are based on fundamental credit analyses of individual securities without regard to rating agency ratings. Based on this analysis, AIG expects to receive cash flows sufficient to cover the amortized cost of all below investment grade securities for which credit losses were not recognized.

In addition to the severity losses, AIG recorded other-than-temporary impairment charges in 2010, 2009 and 2008 related to:

securities for which AIG has changed its intent from hold to sell;

declines due to foreign exchange rates;

issuer-specific credit events;

certain structured securities; and

other impairments, including equity securities, partnership investments and private equity investments.

AIG recognized \$441 million, \$958 million and \$10.8 billion in other-than-temporary impairment charges in 2010, 2009, and 2008, respectively, due to changes in intent. The other-than-temporary impairment charges in 2010 related to changes in intent resulting from the repositioning of certain investments, primarily within the Chartis portfolios.

With respect to the issuer-specific credit events shown above, no other-than-temporary impairment charge with respect to any one single credit was significant to AIG's consolidated financial condition or results of operations, and no individual other-than-temporary impairment charge exceeded 0.2 percent, 0.1 percent and 1.0 percent of total equity in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

In periods subsequent to the recognition of an other-than-temporary impairment charge for available for sale fixed maturity securities that is not foreign exchange related, AIG generally prospectively accretes into earnings the difference between the new amortized cost and the expected undiscounted recovery value over the remaining expected holding period of the security. The amounts of accretion recognized in earnings for 2010, 2009 and 2008 were \$401 million, \$735 million and \$634 million, respectively. For a discussion of recent accounting standards affecting fair values and other-than-temporary impairments, see Notes 2 and 7 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

An aging of the pre-tax unrealized losses of fixed maturity and equity securities, distributed as a percentage of cost relative to unrealized loss (the extent by which the fair value is less than amortized cost or cost), including the number of respective items was as follows:

| At December 31, 2010 | Less than or equal to 20% of Cost ^(b) | | | Greater than 20% to 50% of Cost ^(b) | | | Greater than 50% of Cost ^(b) | | | Total | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|-----------------|----------------------|--|-----------------|----------------------|---|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|
| | Cost ^(c) | Unrealized Loss | Items ^(e) | Cost ^(c) | Unrealized Loss | Items ^(e) | Cost ^(c) | Unrealized Loss | Items ^(e) | Cost ^(c) | Unrealized Loss ^(d) | Items ^(e) |
| Investment grade bonds | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0-6 months | \$ 35,727 | \$ 934 | 3,246 | \$ 31 | \$ 11 | 9 | \$ 145 | \$ 73 | 8 | \$ 35,903 | \$ 1,018 | 3,263 |
| 7-12 months | 928 | 54 | 143 | 17 | 4 | 3 | 3 | - | 61 | 948 | 58 | 207 |
| > 12 months | 12,563 | 880 | 1,349 | 3,246 | 953 | 413 | 569 | 364 | 99 | 16,378 | 2,197 | 1,861 |
| Total | \$ 49,218 | \$ 1,868 | 4,738 | \$ 3,294 | \$ 968 | 425 | \$ 717 | \$ 437 | 168 | \$ 53,229 | \$ 3,273 | 5,331 |
| Below investment grade bonds | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0-6 months | \$ 1,160 | \$ 47 | 257 | \$ 3 | \$ 1 | 8 | \$ 1 | \$ - | 35 | \$ 1,164 | \$ 48 | 300 |
| 7-12 months | 102 | 7 | 29 | 67 | 21 | 11 | 4 | 2 | 38 | 173 | 30 | 78 |
| > 12 months | 4,257 | 391 | 445 | 2,556 | 815 | 266 | 858 | 527 | 175 | 7,671 | 1,733 | 886 |
| Total | \$ 5,519 | \$ 445 | 731 | \$ 2,626 | \$ 837 | 285 | \$ 863 | \$ 529 | 248 | \$ 9,008 | \$ 1,811 | 1,264 |
| Total bonds | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0-6 months | \$ 36,887 | \$ 981 | 3,503 | \$ 34 | \$ 12 | 17 | \$ 146 | \$ 73 | 43 | \$ 37,067 | \$ 1,066 | 3,563 |
| 7-12 months | 1,030 | 61 | 172 | 84 | 25 | 14 | 7 | 2 | 99 | 1,121 | 88 | 285 |
| > 12 months | 16,820 | 1,271 | 1,794 | 5,802 | 1,768 | 679 | 1,427 | 891 | 274 | 24,049 | 3,930 | 2,747 |
| Total^(e) | \$ 54,737 | \$ 2,313 | 5,469 | \$ 5,920 | \$ 1,805 | 710 | \$ 1,580 | \$ 966 | 416 | \$ 62,237 | \$ 5,084 | 6,595 |
| Equity securities | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0-6 months | \$ 601 | \$ 31 | 105 | \$ 65 | \$ 17 | 16 | \$ - | \$ - | - | \$ 666 | \$ 48 | 121 |
| 7-12 months | 32 | 5 | 89 | 10 | 2 | 24 | - | - | - | 42 | 7 | 113 |
| > 12 months | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total | \$ 633 | \$ 36 | 194 | \$ 75 | \$ 19 | 40 | \$ - | \$ - | - | \$ 708 | \$ 55 | 234 |

(a) Represents the number of consecutive months that fair value has been less than cost by any amount.

(b) Represents the percentage by which fair value is less than cost at December 31, 2010.

(c) For bonds, represents amortized cost.

(d) The effect on Net income of unrealized losses after taxes will be mitigated upon realization because certain realized losses will be charged to participating policyholder accounts, or realization will result in current decreases in the amortization of certain DAC.

(e)

Item count is by CUSIP by subsidiary.

For 2010, net unrealized gains related to fixed maturity and equity securities increased by \$9.1 billion primarily resulting from the narrowing of credit spreads.

As of December 31, 2010, the majority of AIG's fixed maturity investments in an unrealized loss position of more than 50 percent for more than 12 months, consisted of the unrealized loss of \$891 million related to CMBS and RMBS securities originally rated investment grade that are floating rate or that have low fixed coupons relative to current market yields. A total of 99 securities with an amortized cost of \$569 million and a net unrealized loss of \$364 million are still investment grade. As part of its credit evaluation procedures applied to these and other securities, AIG considers the nature of both the specific securities and the market conditions for those securities. For most security types supported by real estate-related assets, current market yields continue to be higher than the yields were at the respective issuance dates of the securities. This is largely due to investors demanding additional yield premium for securities whose performance is closely linked to the commercial and residential real estate sectors. In addition, for floating rate securities, persistent low LIBOR levels continue to make these securities less attractive.

AIG believes that the lack of demand for commercial and residential real estate collateral-based securities, low contractual coupons and interest rate spreads, and the deterioration in the level of collateral support due to real estate market conditions are the primary reasons for these securities trading at significant price discounts. Based

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

on its analysis, and taking into account the level of subordination below these securities, AIG continues to believe that the expected cash flows from these securities will be sufficient to recover the amortized cost of its investment. AIG continues to monitor these positions for potential credit impairments that could result from further deterioration in commercial and residential real estate fundamentals.

See Note 7 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion of AIG's investment portfolio.

Enterprise Risk Management

Overview

AIG has an integrated process for managing risks throughout the organization. AIG conducts risk management functions through its Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) Department in conjunction with the Company's business units, Asset Management Group and Treasury Department.

Ultimate oversight of risk management is conducted by the Board of Directors (the Board). AIG's Chief Risk Officer (AIG CRO) provides reports to the Board and various of its committees on AIG's risk-taking policies and risk tolerances, as well as AIG's exposure to various risks.

AIG's risk management in each business unit begins with senior business leaders and executives. The AIG Group Risk Committee (GRC) is AIG's senior risk management oversight body, comprised of AIG's executive officers.

Risk management is embedded in the day-to-day activities of each business unit. Senior leaders and executives for each unit approve risk-taking policies and targeted risk tolerance within the framework provided by ERM.

Risk Oversight

At the corporate level, AIG's major risks are addressed through ERM, which is headed by the AIG CRO, who, in turn, reports to the Executive Vice President Finance, Risk & Investments. ERM is responsible for assisting AIG's business leaders and executive management in identifying, assessing, quantifying, managing and mitigating the risks incurred by AIG. Throughout 2010, AIG continued to enhance its risk management functions at the corporate and individual business levels. A new AIG CRO was appointed in late 2010.

An important goal of ERM is to ensure that, after appropriate governance, authorities, procedures and policies have been established, aggregated risks do not result in inappropriate concentrations. Senior management defines the policies and has established general operating parameters for its global businesses and various oversight committees to monitor the risks attendant to its businesses. A number of transactional and policy-setting committees and working groups as well as business unit risk and capital committees report to the GRC, including the Credit Risk Committee (CRC), Liquidity Risk Committee (LRC), Complex Structured Finance Transaction Committee (CSFTC) and Global and Regional Pricing Committees.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

The GRC is responsible for ensuring that AIG has a solid foundation for risk management in application of strategic risk tolerance limits. The mandate of the GRC is to ensure that AIG's financial strength is protected and that business decisions are governed by overarching consideration of maximizing intrinsic value and risk-adjusted return.

The CRC is responsible for approving credit risk policies and procedures for use throughout AIG and delegating credit authority to business unit credit officers and select business unit managers. In addition, the CRC approves transactions and limits that exceed delegated authorities and reviews all credit concentration risks.

The LRC is responsible for liquidity policy and implementation at AIG Parent and exercises oversight and control of liquidity policies at each AIG entity. See Capital Resources and Liquidity herein.

The CSFTC has the authority and responsibility to review and approve any proposed CSFT, defined as any AIG transaction or product that may involve a heightened legal, regulatory, accounting or reputational risk that is developed, marketed or proposed by AIG or a third-party. The CSFTC provides guidance to and monitors the activities of transaction review committees (TRCs) which have been established in all major business units. TRCs have the responsibility to identify, review and refer CSFTs to the CSFTC.

The Global Pricing Committee provides oversight of AIG's pricing valuation practices and processes and has delegated operational responsibility to the Regional Pricing Committees to implement and monitor these practices within the underlying businesses of each respective region.

AIG has steering committees overseeing the wind-down of the real estate and Capital Markets portfolios. Derivatives risk, foreign currency exposures and operational risk are monitored and addressed by various committees and working groups.

AIG has also instituted a monthly business review process whereby senior corporate and business management discuss operating performance and review issues including risks affecting the business. AIG continues to develop

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

and implement risk mitigation strategies and reduce its exposures in accordance with GRC-approved tolerances. Implementation of such strategies has resulted in:

reduced exposure to natural catastrophes and modification of reinsurance programs at Chartis;

reduced asset / liability mismatch at Chartis;

tightening credit criteria and improving claims management at UGC; and

product design innovations to meet customer needs while simultaneously reducing risk to SunAmerica.

Business Unit Risk Governance

AIG has also continued to strengthen the risk management, governance, structure and processes at the individual business unit levels, including hiring additional risk management professionals. During 2010, AIG hired CROs for Chartis, SunAmerica and Investments & Financial Services, all of whom report to the AIG CRO and their respective business unit chief executive officer.

The risk management framework of AIG's major business units is consistent with that of AIG and articulates risk strategy and governance within the business units in support of their strategic objectives. The business units' risk governance structure encompasses the roles and responsibilities of all functions and businesses in the major business entities, and in the case of Chartis, the geographic regions in which it operates. The major business units' enterprise risk management organizations are headed by their respective CROs. The business units have significantly expanded their risk management resources, including risk subject matter experts.

The following committees are responsible for managing risks at the business unit level:

Chartis Risk & Capital Committee The Chartis Risk and Capital Committee (RCC) is co-chaired by the Chartis CRO and chief financial officer. Its scope includes all sources of risk, including emerging and catastrophic risks, and its specific responsibilities include setting risk tolerance, approving capital management strategies, insurance portfolio optimization and strategic asset allocation and risk financing and providing oversight on economic capital modeling and risk identification, assessment and control. Similar committees exist within major geographic regions and report directly or indirectly to the Chartis RCC.

Chartis Asset and Liability Committee Chartis asset and liability management is overseen by two committees the Asset Liability Investment Committee (ALIC) and the Asset Liability Finance Committee (ALFC). ALIC is chaired by the Chartis chief investment officer and ALFC by the Chartis chief financial officer, each of whom is a member of both committees.

SunAmerica Financial Group Risk & Capital Committee The SunAmerica Financial Group Risk and Capital Committee (RCC) is co-chaired by the SunAmerica CRO and the SunAmerica CEO. It is responsible for all types of risk and its specific responsibilities include, but are not limited to, approving significant risk assessment and risk management policies, approving aggregate and specific risks, reviewing the current and anticipated capitalization, cash flow, liquidity, and earnings, and the related risk, and approving strategic and financial initiatives and activities as recommended by the business units and functional groups. The RCC has various subcommittees focusing on specific risk areas, including an Asset and Liability Committee, Operational Risk Committee, the Product Pricing Committee, and Investment Committee.

UGC Risk Committee is chaired by the United Guaranty CRO and is responsible for UGC's risk management framework to set the policies and guiding principles for managing risk. The Risk Committee meets monthly to evaluate the risk monitoring

reports and tools employed by UGC.

Defined Risk Tolerance and Enhanced Risk Identification

During 2010, AIG enhanced its risk tolerance framework with clearly-articulated tolerances for key risks at the group and business unit levels using its enhanced scenario-related stress testing framework.

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

The stress testing framework allows AIG to aggregate exposures comprehensively across the organization and takes into consideration statutory and rating agency capital and legal entity fungibility constraints. Its enhanced stress testing process also allowed AIG to develop a parental liquidity framework that is tailored to business-unit specific risks and embedded in day-to-day risk management. In 2011, AIG plans to roll out an organization-wide vulnerability identification process to facilitate the escalation of potential new or emerging risks to management by employees.

The major risks to which AIG is exposed include the following:

Credit risk the potential loss arising from an obligor's inability or unwillingness to meet its obligations to AIG.

Market risk the potential loss arising from adverse fluctuations in interest rates, foreign currencies, equity and commodity prices, and their levels of volatility. Market risk includes credit spread risk, the potential loss arising from adverse fluctuations in credit spreads of securities or counterparties.

Operational risk the potential loss resulting from inadequate or failed internal processes, people, and systems, or from external events.

Liquidity risk the potential inability to meet all payment obligations when they become due.

General insurance risk the potential loss resulting from inadequate premiums, insufficient reserves and catastrophic exposures.

Life insurance risk the potential loss resulting from experience deviating from expectations for mortality, morbidity and termination rates in the insurance-oriented products and insufficient cash flows to cover contract liabilities in the retirement savings products.

AIG is also exposed to reputational risk, which is the risk of direct loss or loss in future business because of damage to AIG's reputation. Damage to the company's reputation can arise from a large number of issues, including potential conflicts of interest; legal and regulatory requirements; ethical issues; and sales and trading practices. In addition, reputational risk can be both the cause of or result from the major risks outlined above.

Credit Risk Management

AIG devotes considerable resources to managing its direct and indirect credit exposures, such as those arising from fixed income investments, deposits, corporate and consumer loans, leases, reinsurance recoverables, counterparty risk in derivatives activities, cessions of insurance risk to reinsurers and customers, credit risk assumed through credit derivatives written, financial guarantees and letters of credit. Credit risk is defined as the risk that AIG's customers or counterparties are unable or unwilling to repay their contractual obligations when they become due. Credit risk may also be manifested: (i) through the downgrading of credit ratings of counterparties whose credit instruments AIG may be holding, or, in some cases, insuring, causing the value of the assets to decline or insured risks to rise; and (ii) as cross-border risk where a country (sovereign government risk) or one or more non-sovereign obligors within a country are unable or unwilling to repay an obligation or are unable or unwilling to provide foreign exchange to service a credit or equity exposure incurred by another AIG business unit located outside that country.

AIG's credit risks are managed at the corporate level by the AIG Credit Risk Management (CRM) department whose primary role is to support and supplement the work of the businesses and the CRC. CRM is headed by AIG's Chief Credit Officer (CCO), who reports to AIG's CRO. AIG's CCO is primarily responsible for the development and maintenance of credit risk policies and procedures approved by the CRC. In discharging this function CRM has the following responsibilities:

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

approve delegated credit authorities to CRM credit executives and business unit credit officers;

manage the approval process for all requests for credit limits, program limits and credit transactions above delegated authorities;

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

aggregate globally all credit exposure data by counterparty, country and industry and report risk concentrations regularly to and review with the CRC and the Finance and Risk Management Committee of the Board of Directors;

administer regular portfolio credit reviews of all investment, derivative and credit-incurring business units and recommend any corrective actions where required;

develop methodologies for quantification and assessment of credit risks, including the establishment and maintenance of AIG's internal risk rating process; and

approve appropriate credit reserves and methodologies at the business unit and enterprise levels.

The CRC also approves concentration limits on U.S. and international business unit consumer loan portfolios, including the mortgage insurance activities of UGC. In addition, the CRC is also responsible for establishing concentration limits on Asset Management Group's exposures in U.S. and international RMBS, CMBS and CDOs. See Investments herein for a discussion of these exposures.

AIG monitors and controls its company-wide credit risk concentrations and attempts to avoid unwanted or excessive risk accumulations, whether funded or unfunded. To minimize the level of credit risk in certain circumstances, AIG may require third-party guarantees, reinsurance or collateral, such as letters of credit and trust accounts. These guarantees, reinsurance recoverable, letters of credit and trust accounts are also treated as credit exposure and are added to AIG's risk concentration exposure data.

AIG defines its aggregate credit exposures to a counterparty as the sum of its fixed maturities, loans, finance leases, reinsurance recoverables, derivatives (mark-to-market), deposits, reverse repurchase agreements, collateral extended to counterparties and letters of credit (in the case of financial institutions) and the specified credit equivalent exposure to certain insurance products which embody credit risk.

The following table presents AIG's largest credit exposures as a percentage of Total equity:

| At December 31, 2010 | | Credit Exposure as a Percentage of Total Equity ^(b) |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| Category | Risk Rating ^(a) | |
| <i>Investment Grade:</i> | | |
| 10 largest combined ^(c) | A+ | 84.4% ^(d) |
| Single largest financial institution | AA- | 4.8 |
| Single largest corporate | AAA | 2.9 |
| Single largest sovereign | AAA | 21.3 |
| <i>Non-Investment Grade:</i> | | |
| Single largest financial institution | BB- | 0.3 |
| Single largest corporate | BB | 0.6 |
| Single largest sovereign | BB | 0.1 |

(a) Reflects AIG's internal risk ratings.

(b) Amounts shown include AIG Star, AIG Edison and Nan Shan which were held for sale at December 31, 2010.

(c) Six of the ten largest credit exposures are to financial institutions, three are to investment-grade rated sovereigns and one is to an AAA-rated corporate. Based on support from the U.S. Government, the exposure to government-sponsored entities (GSEs) and to mortgage-backed securities

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

guaranteed by the GSEs is included in the U.S. Government total, rather than in financial institutions. None of the top ten is rated lower than BBB- or its equivalent.

(d)

Exposure to the ten largest combined as a percentage of Total equity declined from 123.9 percent at September 30, 2010, primarily due to the deconsolidation of AIA and sale of ALICO.

AIG monitors its aggregate cross-border exposures by country and regional group of countries. AIG includes in its cross-border exposures both aggregated cross-border credit exposures to unrelated third parties, its cross-border investments in its own international subsidiaries and operating aircraft leases. Eight countries have cross-border exposures in excess of 10 percent of Total equity at December 31, 2010. Based on AIG's internal risk ratings, as of

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

December 31, 2010, six countries were rated AAA and two were rated AA. The two largest cross-border exposures are to the United Kingdom and France.

In addition, AIG reviews and manages its industry concentrations. AIG's single largest industry credit exposure is to the global financial institutions sector, which includes banks and finance companies, securities firms and insurance and reinsurance companies. These exposures include fixed income securities, operating account balances, deposit placements, reverse repurchase agreements, the mark-to-market and potential future exposure to derivative exposures, collateral placed with counterparties, letters of credit received as collateral to insurance programs and credit exposure to captive insurance programs.

The following table presents AIG's largest credit exposures to the global financial institution sector as a percentage of Total equity:

| At December 31, 2010 | Credit Exposure as a Percentage of Total Equity^(a) |
|--|--|
| Industry Category: | |
| Money center / Global bank groups | 54.9% ^(b) |
| European regional financial institutions | 11.1 |
| Global reinsurance companies | 10.4 |
| Global life insurance companies | 9.5 |
| North American based regional financial institutions | 6.1 |
| Global non-life insurance companies | 4.6 |
| Global securities companies | 4.3 |
| Supranational Banks | 4.2 |

(a) *Amounts shown include AIG Star, AIG Edison, and Nan Shan which were held for sale at December 31, 2010.*

(b) *Exposure to Money Center/Global Bank Groups as a percentage of Total equity declined from 74.6 percent at September 30, 2010, primarily as a result of the deconsolidation of AIA and sale of ALICO.*

AIG's exposure to its five largest money center/global bank group institutions was 21.4 percent of Total equity at December 31, 2010 compared to 33.5 percent of Total equity at December 31, 2009.

AIG also has a risk concentration through the investment portfolios of its insurance companies in the U.S. municipal sector. AIG holds approximately \$45.6 billion (amortized cost) of tax-exempt and taxable securities, \$5.9 billion of which are pre-refunded, issued by a wide number of municipal authorities across the U.S. and its territories. A majority of these securities are held in available-for-sale portfolios of AIG's domestic property-casualty insurance companies. These securities are comprised of the general obligations of states and local governments, revenue bonds issued by these same governments and bonds issued by transportation authorities, universities, state housing finance agencies and hospital systems. The weighted average credit quality of these issuers is A. AIG has \$985 million of additional exposure to the municipal sector outside of its insurance company portfolios.

Currently, several states, local governments and other issuers are facing pressures on their budgets from the effects of the recession and have had to cut spending, raise taxes and fees and draw on reserve funds. Consequently, several municipal issuers in AIG's portfolios have been downgraded one or more notches by the major nationally recognized statistical rating agencies. The most notable of these issuers is the State of California, of which AIG holds approximately \$748.1 million of general obligation bonds, \$44.9 million of which are pre-refunded, and the state of Illinois, of which AIG holds approximately \$238 million, \$69 million of which are pre-refunded.

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

AIG has credit exposure to several European sovereign governments whose ratings have been downgraded or placed under review in recent months by one or more major rating agencies. The downgrades primarily reflect the large government budget deficits and rising government debt to GDP ratios of these countries. At December 31, 2010, AIG's exposure to the governments of Portugal, Ireland, Italy, Spain and Hungary, amounted to \$1.1 billion. Four of these five governments experienced rating downgrades during 2010.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

See also Investments herein for further information.

The CRC reviews quarterly concentration reports in all categories listed above as well as credit trends by risk ratings. The CRC periodically adjusts limits to provide reasonable assurance that AIG does not incur excessive levels of credit risk and that AIG's credit risk profile is properly calibrated across business units.

Market Risk Management

AIG is exposed to market risks, primarily within its insurance and capital markets businesses (see Capital Resources and Liquidity Financial Services Capital Markets Wind-down regarding its market risk issues and management as transactions in that business are wound down). For AIG's insurance operations, the asset-liability exposures are predominantly structural in nature, and not the result of speculative positioning to take advantage of short-term market opportunities. For example, the business model of life insurance and retirement savings is to collect premiums or deposits from policyholders and invest the proceeds in predominantly long-term, credit based assets. A spread is earned over time between the asset yield and the cost payable to policyholders. The asset and liability profiles are managed so that the cash flows resulting from invested assets are sufficient to meet policyholder obligations when they become due without the need to sell assets prematurely into a potentially distressed market. In periods of severe market volatility, depressed and illiquid market values on otherwise performing investments diminish shareholders' equity even without the realization of actual credit event related losses. Such diminution of capital strength has caused downward pressure on the market's assessment of the financial strength and the credit ratings of insurers.

The Market Risk Management and Independent Valuation function (MRMIV), which reports to the CRO, is responsible for control and oversight of all frequently traded market risks within AIG. The Insurance Risk Management function (IRM), which also reports to the CRO, is responsible for control and oversight of non-frequently traded asset liability management risks and risk aggregation across AIG's financial services, insurance, and investment activities.

AIG's market exposures can be categorized as follows:

Benchmark interest rates. Benchmark interest rates are also known as risk-free interest rates and are associated with either the government / treasury yield curve or the swap curve. The fair value of AIG's significant fixed maturity securities portfolio changes as benchmark interest rates change.

Credit spread or risk premium. Credit spread risk is the potential for loss due to a change in an instrument's risk premium or yield relative to that of a comparable-duration, default-free instrument.

Equity and alternative investment prices. AIG's exposure to equity and alternative investment prices arises from direct investments in common stocks and mutual funds, from minimum benefit guarantees embedded in the structure of certain variable annuity and variable life insurance products and from other equity-like investments, such as partnerships comprised of hedge funds and private equity funds, private equity investments, commercial real estate and real estate funds.

Foreign currency exchange rates. AIG is a globally diversified enterprise with significant income, assets and liabilities denominated in, and significant capital deployed in, a variety of currencies.

AIG uses a number of measures and approaches to measure and quantify its market risk exposure, including:

Duration / key rate duration. Duration is the measure of the sensitivities of a fixed-income instrument to the parallel shift in the benchmark yield curve. Key rate duration measures sensitivities to the movement at a given term point on the yield curve.

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Scenario analysis. Scenario analysis uses historical, hypothetical, or forward-looking macro-economic scenarios to assess and report exposures. Examples of hypothetical scenarios include a 100 basis point parallel shift in the yield curve or a 10 percent immediate and simultaneous decrease in world-wide equity markets.

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Value-at-Risk (VaR). VaR is a summary statistical measure that uses the estimated volatility and correlation of market factors to calculate the maximum loss that could occur over a defined period of time with a specified level of statistical confidence. VaR measures not only the size of individual exposures but also the interaction between different market exposures, thereby providing a portfolio approach to measuring market risk. A key shortcoming of the VaR approach is its reliance on historical data, making VaR calculations essentially "backward looking." This shortcoming was most evident during the recent credit crisis.

Stress testing. Stress testing is a special form of scenario analysis whereby the scenarios used are designed to lead to a material adverse outcome (for example, the stock market crash of October 1987 or the widening of yields or spread of RMBS or CMBS during 2008). Stress testing is often used to address VaR shortcomings and complement VaR calculations. Particularly in times of significant volatility in financial markets, using stress scenarios provides more pertinent and forward-looking information on market risk exposure than VaR results based upon historical data alone.

Insurance Assets and Aircraft Leasing Sensitivities

The following table provides estimates of AIG's sensitivity to changes in yield curves, equity prices and foreign currency exchange rates:

As of December 31,

| (dollars in millions) | Exposure | | Sensitivity Factor | Effect | |
|--|------------|------------|---|-------------|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | | 2010 | 2009 |
| Yield sensitive assets | \$ 403,500 | \$ 524,000 | 100 bps parallel increase in all yield curves | \$ (19,700) | \$ (26,200) |
| Equity and alternative investments exposure | \$ 54,300 | \$ 44,000 | 20% decline in stock prices and value of alternative investments | \$ (10,861) | \$ (8,800) |
| Foreign currency exchange rates net exposure | \$ 6,200 | \$ 22,000 | 10% depreciation of all foreign currency exchange rates against the U.S. dollar | \$ (620) | \$ (2,200) |

Exposures to yield curves include assets that are directly sensitive to yield curve movements, such as fixed maturity securities, loans, finance receivables and short-term investments (excluding consolidated separate account assets). Exposures to equity and alternative investment prices include investments in common stocks, preferred stocks, mutual funds, hedge funds, private equity funds, commercial real estate and real estate funds (excluding consolidated separate account assets and consolidated managed partnerships and funds). Exposures to foreign currency exchange rates reflect AIG's consolidated non-U.S. dollar net capital investments on a GAAP basis, net of Nan Shan DAC adjustment. Comparisons of 2010 exposures to 2009 are as follows:

total-yield sensitive assets decreased by 23 percent (\$121 billion) compared to 2009. This decrease is primarily due to the divestitures of ALICO, AIA, AGF, and CFG, totaling (\$152 billion), and is partially offset by an increase in asset prices of \$10 billion as well as new investments of \$23 billion.

total equity and alternative investments increased 22 percent (\$10.0 billion) compared to 2009. The increase is primarily due to (1) the inclusion of AIG's remaining stake in the AIA SPV (33 percent, or \$11.1 billion) in All Other investments; (2) the inclusion of AIG's stake in the ALICO SPV (\$6.5 billion) in common and preferred stocks trading at fair value; and (3) rallying world equity markets in the third quarter of 2010, which lifted the value of common and preferred equity holdings available for sale by approximately \$2.0 billion. The increase was partly offset by the exclusion of AIA's common and preferred equity holdings available for sale (\$6.4 billion), AIA's mutual fund investments (\$1.2 billion), and AIA's and ALICO's real estate investments (\$1.0 billion and \$800 million, respectively). AIRCO's real estate investments decreased by approximately \$500 million.

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

the \$15.6 billion decrease in foreign currency exchange rates net exposure is primarily due to the deconsolidation of AIA and sale of ALICO.

The above changes of a 100 basis point increase in yield curves, a 20 percent decline in equities and alternative assets, and a 10 percent depreciation of all foreign currency exchange rates against the U.S. dollar were chosen

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

solely for illustrative purposes. The selection of these specific events should not be construed as a prediction, but only as a demonstration of the potential effects of such events. These scenarios should not be construed as the only risks AIG faces; these events are shown as an indication of several possible losses AIG could experience. In addition, losses from these and other risks could be materially higher than illustrated.

The sensitivity factors utilized for 2010 and presented above were selected based on historical data from 1990 to 2010, as follows (see the table below):

a 100 basis point parallel shift in the yield curve is broadly consistent with a one standard deviation movement of the benchmark ten-year treasury yield;

a 20 percent drop for equity and alternative investments is broadly consistent with a one standard deviation movement in the S&P 500; and

a 10 percent depreciation of foreign currency exchange rates is consistent with a one standard deviation movement in the U.S. dollar (USD)/Japanese Yen (JPY) exchange rate.

| | | Standard | Suggested | 2010 Scenario as a Multiple of Standard Deviation | 2010 Change/ Return | 2010 as a Multiple of Standard Deviation | Original 2009 Scenario (based on Standard Deviation for 1989-2009 Period) |
|------------------|-----------|-----------|------------------|---|---------------------------|---|---|
| Period | Period | Deviation | 2010 Scenario | Deviation | Return | Deviation | Period) |
| 10-Year Treasury | 1990-2010 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 1.01 | (0.01) | 0.56 | 0.01 |
| S&P 500 | 1990-2010 | 0.19 | 0.20 | 1.05 | 0.13 | 0.67 | 0.20 |
| USD/JPY | 1990-2010 | 0.11 | 0.10 | 0.92 | 0.15 | 1.34 | 0.10 |

Operational Risk Management

AIG's Operational Risk Management department (ORM) oversees AIG's operational risk management practices. The Director of ORM reports to the CRO. ORM is responsible for establishing and maintaining the framework, principles and guidelines of AIG's operational risk management program.

Each business unit is responsible for its operational risks and implementing the components of the operational risk management program to effectively identify, assess, monitor and mitigate such risks. This responsibility includes developing and implementing policies, procedures, management oversight processes, and other governance-related activities consistent with AIG's overall operational risk management process.

Senior operational risk executives in the businesses report to the Director of ORM and to business management. This reporting structure facilitates development of business-specific knowledge of operational risk matters, while at the same time maintaining company-wide consistency in AIG's overall approach to operational risk management.

A strong operational risk management program facilitates escalation and resolution of operational risk issues. In order to accomplish this, AIG's operational risk management program is designed to:

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

pro-actively address potential operational risk issues;

create transparency at all levels of the organization; and

assign clear ownership and accountability for addressing identified issues.

As part of the operational risk management framework, AIG has implemented a risk and control self assessment (RCSA) process. The RCSA process is used to identify key operational risks and evaluate the effectiveness of existing controls to mitigate those risks. Corrective action plans are developed to address any identified issues. In 2010, business units continued to enhance their RCSA processes to perform more robust risk assessments.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

The Operational Risk Management Forum, a company-wide governance body comprised of senior ORM executives, including the senior business operational risk executives, meets regularly and provides a forum for approving operational risk policies and ensuring effectiveness of the operational risk management program.

Segment Risk Management

Other than as described above, AIG manages its business risk oversight activities through its operating segments.

Insurance Operations

AIG's multiple insurance businesses conducted on a global basis expose AIG to a wide variety of risks with different time horizons. These risks are managed throughout the organization, both centrally and locally, through a number of procedures, including:

pre-launch approval of product design, development and distribution;

underwriting approval processes and authorities;

exposure limits with ongoing monitoring;

modeling and reporting of aggregations and limit concentrations at multiple levels (policy, line of business, product group, country, individual/group, correlation and catastrophic risk events);

compliance with financial reporting and capital and solvency targets;

extensive use of reinsurance, both internal and third-party; and

review and establishment of reserves.

AIG closely manages insurance risk by overseeing and controlling the nature and geographic location of the risks in each line of business underwritten, the terms and conditions of the underwriting and the premiums charged for taking on the risk. Concentrations of risk, including, but not limited to, wind, flood, earthquake, terrorism and accident are analyzed using various modeling techniques.

AIG has two major categories of insurance risks as follows:

Property and casualty (Chartis and Mortgage Guaranty) risks covered include property, casualty, fidelity/surety, management liability and mortgage insurance. Risks in the general insurance segment are managed through aggregations and limitations of concentrations at multiple levels: policy, line of business, correlation and catastrophic risk events. Risks in the mortgage insurance business are managed through geographic location of the insured properties, the relative economic conditions in the local housing markets, credit attributes of the borrowers and the loan amount relative to the value of the respective collateral.

Domestic Life Insurance & Retirement Service (SunAmerica) risks include mortality and morbidity in the insurance-oriented products and insufficient cash flows to cover contract liabilities in the retirement savings-oriented products. Risks are managed through product design, sound medical underwriting, external traditional reinsurance programs and external

catastrophe reinsurance programs.

AIG is a major purchaser of reinsurance for its insurance operations. The use of reinsurance facilitates insurance risk management (retention, volatility, concentrations) and capital planning locally (branch and subsidiary). AIG may purchase reinsurance on a pooled basis. Pooling of AIG's reinsurance risks enables AIG to purchase reinsurance more efficiently at a consolidated level, manage global counterparty risk and relationships and manage global catastrophe risks, both for Chartis and SunAmerica.

Chartis

At Chartis, underwriting risks are managed through the application approval process, exposure limitations as well as through exclusions, deductibles and self-insured retentions, coverage limits and reinsurance. The risks

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

covered by AIG are managed through sound underwriting practices, pricing procedures and the use of actuarial analysis as part of the determination of overall adequacy of provisions for insurance contract liabilities. Underwriting practices and pricing procedures are based on historical experience, current regulation and judicial decisions as well as proposed or anticipated regulatory changes.

Climate change and related regulatory initiatives may increase both the frequency and severity of claims or the cost of defending such claims. Chartis policies are primarily written for periods of 12 months, providing Chartis with the ability to modify underwriting practices and pricing procedures; limiting the financial impact of such increase in claims. Each line of business and many individual policyholders may have different exposures to the effects of climate change. While it is not possible to precisely quantify the impact of a policyholder's operations on climate change, underwriters routinely evaluate the potential effect on greenhouse gas emissions when considering policy renewals. Property and casualty insurance policies typically exclude or significantly limit coverage for pollution and related environmental damage. While these pollution exclusions have sustained judicial scrutiny and have not been overturned by judicial decisions, there can be no assurance that future court decisions will uphold prior case law precedents.

A primary goal of AIG in managing its Chartis operations is to achieve an underwriting profit. To achieve this goal, AIG must be disciplined in its risk selection, premiums must be adequate, and terms and conditions must be appropriate to cover the risk accepted.

Catastrophe Exposures

The nature of AIG's business exposes it to various catastrophic events in which multiple losses across multiple lines of business can occur in any calendar year. In order to control this exposure, AIG uses a combination of techniques, including setting aggregate limits in key business units, monitoring and modeling accumulated exposures, and purchasing catastrophe reinsurance to supplement its other reinsurance protections. The majority of policies exposed to catastrophic events are one-year contracts allowing AIG to quickly adjust its exposure to catastrophic events if climate changes or other events increase the frequency or severity of catastrophes.

Natural disasters, such as hurricanes, earthquakes and other catastrophes, have the potential to adversely affect AIG's operating results. Other risks, such as a pandemic disease, like the Swine Flu Influenza A Virus (H1N1), could adversely affect AIG's business and operating results to the extent they are only partially offset by reinsurance programs.

AIG evaluates catastrophic events and assesses the probability of occurrence and magnitude of catastrophic events through the use of industry recognized models, among other techniques. AIG updates these models by periodically monitoring the exposure risks of AIG's worldwide Chartis operations and adjusting such models accordingly. Changing climate conditions have added to the unpredictability and frequency of natural disasters (including, but not limited to, hurricanes, tornadoes, floods and fires) increasing the uncertainty as to future trends and exposures. Following is an overview of modeled losses associated with the more significant natural perils, which includes exposures for Chartis U.S. and Chartis International. The modeled results assume that all reinsurers fulfill their obligations to AIG in accordance with their terms.

Chartis utilizes industry recognized catastrophe models. The use of different methodologies and assumptions could materially change the projected losses. Therefore, these modeled losses may not be comparable to estimates made by other companies. These estimates are inherently uncertain and may not reflect AIG's maximum exposures to these events. It is highly likely that AIG's losses will vary, perhaps significantly, from these estimates.

The modeled results provided in the table below were based on the aggregate exceedence probability (AEP) losses, which represent total property, workers' compensation, and A&H losses that may occur in any single year from one or more natural events. The A&H data include exposures for United States, Japan and Taiwan earthquakes. These exposures represent the largest share of A&H exposures to earthquakes. A&H losses were modeled using April 2009 data. The property exposures were modeled with data as of September 2010. All reinsurance program structures, domestic and international, reflect the reinsurance programs in place as of January 1, 2011. The values provided were based on 100-year return period losses, which have a one percent

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

likelihood of being exceeded in any single year. Thus, the model projects that there is a one percent probability that AIG could incur in any year losses in excess of the modeled amounts for these perils. Losses include loss adjustment expenses and the net values include reinstatement premiums.

| At December 31, 2010 (in millions) | Gross | Net of 2011 Reinsurance | Net of 2011 Reinsurance, After Tax | Percent of Total Equity |
|---------------------------------------|----------|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Natural Peril: | | | | |
| Earthquake | \$ 6,299 | \$ 3,695 | \$ 2,402 | 5.26% |
| Tropical Cyclone* | \$ 6,863 | \$ 4,406 | \$ 2,864 | 6.27% |

*

Includes hurricanes, typhoons and European windstorms.

Gross earthquake and tropical cyclone modeled losses decreased \$633 million and \$522 million, respectively, compared to 2009, while net losses increased \$165 million and \$107 million, respectively, compared to 2009. These decreases in gross losses are primarily due to our use of active aggregate management programs to decrease AIG's catastrophe exposure and increases in net losses are primarily due to a reduction in reinsurance coverage.

In addition to the return period loss, AIG evaluates potential single event earthquake and hurricane losses that may be incurred. The single events utilized are a subset of potential events identified and utilized by Lloyd's (*see Lloyd's Realistic Disaster Scenarios, Scenario Specifications, January 2011*) and referred to as Realistic Disaster Scenarios (RDS). The purpose of this analysis is to utilize these RDS to provide a reference frame and place into context the model results. However, it is important to note that the specific events used for this analysis do not necessarily represent the worst case loss that AIG could incur from this type of an event in these regions. The losses associated with the RDS are included in the following table.

Single-event modeled property and workers' compensation losses to AIG's worldwide portfolio of risk for key geographic areas are set forth below. Gross values represent AIG's liability after the application of policy limits and deductibles and net values represent losses after reinsurance is applied. The net losses also include reinsurance reinstatement premiums. Both gross and net losses include loss adjustment expenses.

| At December 31, 2010 (in millions) | Gross | Net of 2011 Reinsurance |
|---------------------------------------|----------|----------------------------|
| Natural Peril: | | |
| Northeast Hurricane | \$ 4,590 | \$ 3,024 |
| Gulf Coast Hurricane | \$ 5,209 | \$ 2,963 |
| Los Angeles Earthquake | \$ 6,140 | \$ 2,866 |
| San Francisco Earthquake | \$ 5,918 | \$ 2,864 |
| Miami Hurricane | \$ 7,109 | \$ 2,853 |
| Japanese Earthquake | \$ 1,052 | \$ 563 |
| European Windstorm | \$ 545 | \$ 380 |
| Japanese Typhoon | \$ 641 | \$ 340 |

AIG also monitors key international property risks utilizing industry recognized natural catastrophe models. Based on the occurrence exceedance probabilities, the 100-year return period loss for Japanese Earthquake is \$639 million gross and \$356 million net; the 100-year return period loss for European Windstorm is \$640 million gross and \$435 million net; and the 100-year return period loss for Japanese Typhoon is \$944 million gross and \$539 million net.

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

ACTUAL RESULTS IN ANY PERIOD ARE LIKELY TO VARY, PERHAPS MATERIALLY, FROM THE MODELED SCENARIOS, AND THE OCCURRENCE OF ONE OR MORE SEVERE EVENTS COULD HAVE A MATERIAL ADVERSE EFFECT ON AIG'S FINANCIAL CONDITION, RESULTS OF OPERATIONS AND LIQUIDITY.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Terrorism

Exposure to loss from terrorist attack is controlled by limiting the aggregate accumulation of workers' compensation and property insurance that is underwritten within defined target locations. Modeling is used to provide projections of PML probable maximum loss by target location based upon the actual exposures of AIG policyholders.

Terrorism risk is monitored to manage AIG's exposure. AIG shares its exposures to terrorism risks under the Terrorism Risk Insurance Program Reauthorization Act of 2007 (TRIPRA). During 2010, AIG's deductible under TRIPRA was approximately \$3.3 billion, with a 15 percent share of certified terrorism losses in excess of the deductible. As of January 1, 2011, the deductible decreased to approximately \$2.9 billion, with a 15 percent share of certified terrorism losses in excess of the deductible.

Reinsurance

AIG uses reinsurance programs for its insurance risks as follows:

facultative agreements to cover large individual exposures;

quota share treaties to cover specific books of business;

excess-of-loss treaties to cover large losses;

excess or surplus automatic treaties to cover individual life risks in excess of stated per-life retention limits; and

catastrophe treaties to cover specific catastrophes, including earthquake, windstorm and flood.

AIG monitors its exposures to natural catastrophes and takes corrective actions to limit its exposure with respect to particular geographic areas, companies, or perils.

The RSD conducts periodic detailed assessments of the financial status and condition of current and potential reinsurers, both foreign and domestic. The RSD monitors both the nature of the risks ceded to the reinsurers and the aggregation of total reinsurance recoverables ceded to reinsurers. Such assessments may include, but are not limited to, identifying whether a reinsurer is appropriately licensed and has sufficient financial capacity and evaluating the local economic environment in which a foreign reinsurer operates.

The RSD reviews the nature of the risks ceded to reinsurers and the need for credit risk mitigants. For example, in AIG's treaty reinsurance contracts, AIG frequently includes provisions that require a reinsurer to post collateral when a referenced event occurs. Furthermore, AIG limits its unsecured exposure to reinsurers through the use of credit triggers which include, but are not limited to, insurer financial strength rating downgrades, declines in statutory surplus below pre-determined levels, decreases in NAIC risk-based capital (RBC) below certain levels, or setting maximum limits for reinsurance recoverables. In addition, AIG's CRC reviews all reinsurer exposures and credit limits and approves most large reinsurer credit limits above pre-set limits that represent actual or potential credit concentrations. AIG believes that no exposure to a single reinsurer represents an inappropriate concentration of risk to AIG, nor is AIG's business substantially dependent upon any single reinsurance contract.

AIG enters into intercompany reinsurance transactions for its Chartis and SunAmerica operations. AIG enters into these transactions as a sound and prudent business practice in order to maintain underwriting control and spread insurance risk among AIG's various insurance company subsidiaries and to take advantage of economies of scale with external reinsurers. When required for statutory recognition, AIG obtains letters of credit from third-party financial institutions to collateralize these intercompany transactions. At December 31, 2010, approximately \$6.1 billion of third-party letters of credit were outstanding to cover reinsurance transactions and, in some cases, among subsidiaries.

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Although reinsurance arrangements do not relieve AIG subsidiaries from their direct obligations to insureds, an efficient and effective reinsurance program substantially mitigates AIG's exposure to potentially significant losses.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

AIG continually evaluates the reinsurance markets and the relative attractiveness of various arrangements for coverage, including structures such as catastrophe bonds, insurance risk securitizations, "sidecars" and similar vehicles.

AIG purchased U.S. property catastrophe coverage of approximately \$4.8 billion and \$4.2 billion in 2011 and 2010, respectively, in excess of a per occurrence deductible of \$2.0 billion. During 2010, additional property catastrophe coverage of \$875 million was obtained through catastrophe bond issuances, bringing the total property catastrophe coverage to \$5.1 billion for the year. In addition, AIG purchased \$250 million and \$320 million in 2011 and 2010, respectively, in workers' compensation catastrophe reinsurance.

Reinsurance Recoverable

Chartis' reinsurance recoverable assets are comprised of:

balances due from reinsurers for indemnity losses and loss expenses billed to, but not yet collected from, reinsurers (collectively, Paid Losses Recoverable);

ultimate ceded reserves for indemnity losses and expenses, including reserves for claims reported but not yet paid and estimates for IBNR (collectively, Ceded Loss Reserves); and

ceded Reserves for Unearned Premiums.

At December 31, 2010, reinsurance recoverable assets of \$24.6 billion include Paid Losses Recoverable of \$1.0 billion, Ceded Loss Reserves of \$19.6 billion, and Ceded Reserves for Unearned Premiums of \$3.9 billion. The methods used to estimate IBNR and to establish the resulting ultimate losses involve projecting the frequency and severity of losses over multiple years and are continually reviewed and updated by management. Any adjustments are reflected in income. It is AIG's belief that the ceded reserves for losses and loss expenses at December 31, 2010 reflect a reasonable estimate of the ultimate losses recoverable. Actual losses may, however, differ from the reserves currently ceded.

AIG manages the credit risk in its reinsurance relationships by transacting with reinsurers that it considers financially sound, providing for appropriate credit limits and diversification and, when necessary, AIG requires reinsurers to post collateral in the form of funds withheld, securities in reinsurance trust accounts and/or irrevocable letters of credit. This collateral can be drawn on for amounts that remain unpaid on an individual reinsurer basis. At December 31, 2010, approximately 57 percent of the reinsurance assets were from unauthorized reinsurers. The terms authorized and unauthorized pertain to regulatory categories, not creditworthiness. More than 50 percent of these balances were collateralized, permitting statutory recognition. In January 2011 Chartis Inc. obtained \$1.3 billion of letters of credit under a one-year letter of credit facility issued by commercial banks in favor of certain Chartis companies to permit those companies statutory recognition of balances otherwise uncollateralized at December 31, 2010. The letters of credit issued under the facility replaced approximately \$1.3 billion in the aggregate of bi-lateral letters of credit and reinsurance trusts in place prior to the issuance of letters of credit under the letter of credit facility. Approximately \$800 million of additional pre-existing reinsurance trusts have been retained in favor of certain Chartis companies and are available to those companies to be used as reinsurance collateral for statutory recognition. The remaining 43 percent of the reinsurance assets were from authorized reinsurers. At December 31, 2010, approximately 86 percent of the balances with respect to authorized reinsurers are from reinsurers rated A (excellent) or better, as rated by A.M. Best, or A (strong) or better, as rated by S&P. These ratings are measures of financial strength.

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

The following table presents information for each reinsurer representing in excess of four percent of AIG's total Reinsurance assets:

| At December 31, 2010 (in millions) | S&P Rating ^(a) | A.M. Best Rating ^(a) | Gross Reinsurance Assets | Percent of Reinsurance Assets, Net | Collateral Held ^(b) | Uncollateralized Reinsurance Assets |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---|
| Reinsurer: | | | | | | |
| Transatlantic | A+ | A | \$ 1,985 | 8.1% | \$ 103 | \$ 1,882 |
| Swiss Reinsurance Group of Companies | A+ | A | \$ 1,606 | 6.5% | \$ 335 | \$ 1,271 |
| Munich Reinsurance Group of Companies | AA- | A+ | \$ 1,860 | 7.6% | \$ 729 | \$ 1,131 |

(a) *The financial strength ratings reflect the ratings of the various reinsurance subsidiaries of the companies listed as of February 16, 2011.*

(b) *Excludes collateral held in excess of applicable treaty balances.*

The estimation of reinsurance recoverable involves a significant amount of judgment, particularly for asbestos exposures, due to its long-tail nature. Chartis assesses the collectability of its reinsurance recoverable balances through detailed reviews of the underlying nature of the reinsurance balance, including paid and unpaid recoverables, whether the balance is in dispute or a legal collection status, whether the insurer is financially troubled (i.e., liquidated, insolvent, in receivership or otherwise subject to formal or informal regulatory restriction), whether collateral and collateral arrangements exist, and the credit quality of the underlying insurer. Detailed reviews of the underlying receivables are particularly important when assessing recoverables attributable to long-tail exposures, such as asbestos. Adjustments to reflect the results of the detailed review are recorded through an allowance for uncollectable reinsurance. At December 31, 2010, the allowance for estimated unrecoverable reinsurance was \$492.6 million. At December 31, 2010, AIG had no significant reinsurance recoverables due from any individual reinsurer that was financially troubled. In the current environment of weaker economic conditions and strained financial markets, certain reinsurers are reporting losses and could be subject to rating downgrades. AIG's reinsurance recoverable exposures are primarily to the regulated subsidiaries of such companies which are subject to minimum regulatory capital requirements. AIG's Reinsurance Security Department (RSD), in conjunction with CRM, is reviewing these developments, is monitoring compliance with credit triggers that may require the reinsurer to post collateral, and, as appropriate, will seek to use other means to mitigate any material risks arising from these developments.

SunAmerica

For SunAmerica, the primary risks are the following:

Pricing risk, which represents the potential exposure to loss resulting from actual policy experience emerging adversely in comparison to the assumptions made in product pricing associated with investment results, mortality, morbidity, terminations and expenses;

Investment risk, which represents the exposure to loss resulting from the cash flows from the invested assets being less than cash flows required to meet the obligations of the expected policy and contract liabilities and the necessary return on investments;

Interest rate risk, which represents the exposure to loss due to the sensitivity of the liabilities and assets to changes in interest rates; and

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Equity market risk, which represents the potential exposure to higher claim costs for guaranteed benefits associated with variable annuities and the potential reduction in expected fee revenue.

AIG businesses manage these risks through product design, exposure limitations and the active management of the asset-liability relationship in their operations. The emergence of significant adverse experience would require an adjustment to DAC and benefit reserves that could have a material adverse effect on AIG's consolidated results of operations for a particular period. For a further discussion of this risk, see Item 1A. Risk Factors - Adjustments to Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs for Life Insurance and Retirement Services companies.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

SunAmerica companies generally limit their maximum underwriting exposure on life insurance of a single life to \$15 million or less of coverage, in certain circumstances by using yearly renewable term reinsurance. For SunAmerica companies, the reinsurance programs provide risk mitigation per life for individuals and group and for catastrophic risk events.

Financial Services

AIG's Financial Services subsidiaries engage in diversified activities including commercial aircraft leasing and the remaining Capital Markets businesses and portfolios. Together, the Aircraft Leasing and Capital Markets operations generate the majority of the revenues produced by the Financial Services operations.

Capital Markets

AIGFP has continued to unwind its portfolios, including those associated with credit protection written through credit default swaps on super senior risk tranches of diversified pools of loans and debt securities. As a consequence of its wind-down strategy, AIGFP is entering into new derivative transactions only to hedge its current portfolio, reduce risk and hedge the currency, interest rate and other market risks associated with its affiliated businesses. See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Liquidity of Parent and Subsidiaries – Financial Services – Capital Markets Wind-down. Prior to the wind-down, AIGFP engaged as principal in a wide variety of financial transactions, including standard and customized financial products involving commodities, credit, currencies, energy, equities and interest rates.

Historically, AIGFP derived a significant portion of its revenues from hedged financial positions entered into in connection with counterparty transactions. Prior to the wind-down, AIGFP also participated as a dealer in a wide variety of financial derivatives transactions.

The senior management of AIG defines the policies and establishes general operating parameters for Capital Markets operations. AIG's senior management has established various oversight committees to monitor on an ongoing basis the various financial market, operational and credit risk attendant to the Capital Markets operations. The senior management of AIGFP reports the results of its operations to and reviews future strategies with AIG's senior management.

AIGFP actively manages its exposures to limit potential economic losses, and in doing so, AIGFP must continually manage a variety of exposures including credit, market, liquidity, operational and legal risks.

Derivative Transactions

A counterparty may default on any obligation to AIG, including a derivative contract. Credit risk is a consequence of extending credit and/or carrying trading and investment positions. Credit risk exists for a derivative contract when that contract has a positive fair value to AIG. The maximum potential exposure will increase or decrease during the life of the derivative commitments as a function of maturity and market conditions. To help manage this risk, AIGFP's credit department operates within the guidelines set by the CRC. Transactions which fall outside these pre-established guidelines require the specific approval of the CRC. It is also AIG's policy to include credit valuation adjustments for potential counterparty default when necessary.

In addition, AIGFP utilizes various credit enhancements, including letters of credit, guarantees, collateral, credit triggers, credit derivatives and margin agreements to reduce the credit risk relating to its outstanding financial derivative transactions. AIGFP requires credit enhancements in connection with specific transactions based on, among other things, the creditworthiness of the counterparties, and the transaction's size and maturity. Furthermore, AIGFP generally seeks to enter into agreements that have the benefit of set-off and close-out netting provisions. These provisions provide that, in the case of an early termination of a transaction, AIGFP can set off its receivables from a counterparty against its payables to the same counterparty arising out of all covered transactions. As a result, where a legally enforceable netting agreement exists, the fair value of the transaction with the counterparty represents the net sum of estimated fair values. The fair value of AIGFP's interest rate,

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

currency, commodity and equity swaps, options, swaptions, and forward commitments, futures, and forward contracts reported in unrealized gains on swaps, options and forward transactions was approximately \$4.8 billion at December 31, 2010 and \$7.6 billion at December 31, 2009. Where applicable, these amounts have been determined in accordance with the respective master netting agreements.

AIGFP evaluates the counterparty credit quality by reference to ratings from rating agencies or, where such ratings are not available, by internal analysis consistent with the risk rating policies of the CRC. In addition, AIGFP's credit approval process involves pre-set counterparty and country credit exposure limits subject to approval by the CRC and, for particularly credit-intensive transactions, requires approval from the CRC.

The following table presents the fair value of Capital Markets derivatives portfolios by counterparty credit rating:

At December 31,
(in millions)

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Rating: | | |
| AAA | \$ 310 | \$ 896 |
| AA | 885 | 1,286 |
| A | 1,170 | 3,682 |
| BBB | 1,625 | 1,535 |
| Below investment grade | 795 | 213 |
| Total | \$ 4,785 | \$ 7,612 |

See Critical Accounting Estimates and Note 12 for additional discussion related to derivative transactions.

Capital Markets Trading VaR

AIGFP attempts to minimize risk in benchmark interest rates, equities, commodities and foreign exchange. Market exposures in option-implied volatilities, correlations and basis risks are also minimized over time.

AIGFP's minimal reliance on market risk-driven revenue is reflected in its VaR. AIGFP's VaR calculation is based on the interest rate, equity, commodity and foreign exchange risk arising from its portfolio. Credit-related factors, such as credit spreads or credit default, are not included in AIGFP's VaR calculation. Because the market risk with respect to securities available for sale, at market, is substantially hedged, segregation of the financial instruments into trading and other than trading was not considered necessary. AIGFP operates under established market risk limits based upon this VaR calculation. In addition, AIGFP back-tests its VaR.

In the calculation of VaR for AIGFP, AIG uses the historical simulation methodology based on estimated changes to the value of all transactions under explicit changes in market rates and prices within a specific historical time period. AIGFP attempts to secure reliable and independent current market prices, such as published exchange prices, external subscription services, such as Bloomberg or Reuters, or third-party or broker quotes. When such prices are not available, AIGFP uses an internal methodology that includes extrapolation from observable and verifiable prices nearest to the dates of the transactions. Historically, actual results have not deviated from these models in any material respect.

AIGFP reports its VaR level using a 95 percent confidence level and a one-day holding period, facilitating risk comparison with AIGFP's trading peers and reflecting the fact that market risks can be actively assumed and offset in AIGFP's trading portfolio.

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

The following table presents the year-end, average, high, and low VaRs on a diversified basis and of each component of market risk for Capital Markets operations. The diversified VaR is usually smaller than the sum of its components due to correlation effects.

| (in millions) | For the Year Ended December 31, 2010 | | | | For the Year Ended December 31, 2009 | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|---------|------|------|---|---------|------|------|
| | At December 31, 2010 | Average | High | Low | At December 31, 2009 | Average | High | Low |
| Capital Markets trading market risk: | | | | | | | | |
| Diversified | \$ 1 | \$ 2 | \$ 3 | \$ 1 | \$ 3 | \$ 3 | \$ 6 | \$ 2 |
| Interest rate | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 2 |
| Currency | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 3 | - |
| Equity | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| Commodity | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - |

See Critical Accounting Estimates Valuation of Level 3 Assets and Liabilities for a comprehensive discussion of AIGFP's super senior credit default swap portfolio.

Aircraft Leasing

AIG's Aircraft Leasing operations represent the operations of ILFC, which generates its revenues primarily from leasing new and used commercial jet aircraft to foreign and domestic airlines. Aircraft Leasing operations also include gains and losses that result from the re-marketing of commercial jet aircraft for ILFC's own account and re-marketing and fleet management services for airlines and financial institutions. Risks inherent in this business, which are managed at the business unit level, include the following:

the risk that there will be no market for the aircraft acquired;

the risk that aircraft cannot be placed with lessees;

the risk of non-performance by lessees; and

the risk that aircraft and related assets cannot be disposed of at the time and in a manner desired.

The airline industry is sensitive to changes in economic conditions and is cyclical and highly competitive. Airlines and related companies may be affected by political or economic instability, terrorist activities, changes in national policy, competitive pressures, fuel prices and shortages, labor stoppages, pilot shortages, insurance costs, recessions, health concerns and other political or economic events adversely affecting world or regional trading markets.

ILFC's revenues and pre-tax income may be adversely affected by the volatile competitive environment in which its customers operate. ILFC is exposed to pre-tax loss and liquidity strain through non-performance of aircraft lessees, through owning aircraft which it may be unable to sell or re-lease at acceptable rates at lease expiration, and, in part, through committing to purchase aircraft which it may be unable to lease.

As part of its ongoing fleet strategy, ILFC may pursue aircraft sales while balancing the need for funds with the long-term value of holding aircraft and other financing alternatives. Significant uncertainties could exist as to the aircraft comprising any actual sale portfolio, the terms of any sale portfolio (including price), and whether any portfolio sale will be approved. See Capital Resources and Liquidity Liquidity Liquidity of Parent and Subsidiaries Financial Services ILFC for further discussion.

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

To date ILFC manages the risk of nonperformance by its lessees with security deposit requirements, repossession rights, overhaul requirements and close monitoring of industry conditions through its marketing force. More than 94 percent of ILFC's fleet is leased to non-U.S. carriers, and the fleet continues to be in high demand from such carriers.

160 AIG 2010 Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

ILFC's management formally reviews regularly, and no less frequently than quarterly, issues affecting ILFC's fleet, including events and circumstances that may cause impairment of aircraft values. Management evaluates aircraft in the fleet as necessary based on these events and circumstances. ILFC recognized asset impairment charges related to its fleet in 2010 and 2009 of \$1.6 billion and \$51 million, respectively. ILFC did not recognize any asset impairment charges in 2008.

Critical Accounting Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires the application of accounting policies that often involve a significant degree of judgment. AIG considers its accounting policies that are most dependent on the application of estimates and assumptions, and therefore viewed as critical accounting estimates, to be those relating to items considered by management in the determination of:

insurance liabilities, including general insurance unpaid claims and claims adjustment expenses and future policy benefits for life and accident and health contracts;

recoverability of assets, including deferred policy acquisition costs (DAC) and flight equipment;

estimated gross profits for investment-oriented products;

impairment charges, including other-than-temporary impairments on financial instruments;

liabilities for legal contingencies;

estimates with respect to income taxes, including recoverability of deferred tax assets; and

fair value measurements of certain financial assets and liabilities, including credit default swaps (CDS) and AIG's economic interest in ML II and equity interest in ML III.

These accounting estimates require the use of assumptions about matters, some of which are highly uncertain at the time of estimation. To the extent actual experience differs from the assumptions used, AIG's financial condition and results of operations would be directly affected.

The major categories for which assumptions are developed and used to establish each critical accounting estimate are highlighted below.

Liability for Unpaid Claims and Claims Adjustment Expenses (general insurance):

Loss trend factors: used to establish expected loss ratios for subsequent accident years based on premium rate adequacy and the projected loss ratio with respect to prior accident years.

Expected loss ratios for the latest accident year: in this case, accident year 2010 for the year-end 2010 loss reserve analysis. For low-frequency, high-severity classes such as excess casualty, expected loss ratios generally are utilized for at least the three most recent accident years.

Loss development factors: used to project the reported losses for each accident year to an ultimate amount.

Reinsurance recoverable on unpaid losses: the expected recoveries from reinsurers on losses that have not yet been reported and/or settled.

AIG uses numerous assumptions in determining its best estimate of reserves for each class of business. The importance of any specific assumption can vary by both class of business and accident year. If actual experience differs from key assumptions used in establishing reserves, there is potential for significant variation in the development of loss reserves, particularly for long-tail casualty classes of business such as excess casualty, D&O or primary and excess workers' compensation.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

The following table summarizes the sensitivity analyses that estimate the effect on the loss reserve position of using alternative loss cost trend or loss development factor assumptions rather than those actually used in determining AIG's estimates in the year-end loss reserve analyses in 2010.

| December 31, 2010 (in millions) | Effect on Loss Reserves | Effect on Loss Reserves | |
|---|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------|
| Loss cost trends: | | Loss development factors: | |
| Excess casualty: | | Excess casualty: | |
| 10 percent increase | \$ 2,800 | 7 percent increase | \$ 1,500 |
| 10 percent decrease | (2,000) | 5.3 percent decrease | (1,600) |
| D&O: | | D&O: | |
| 15 percent decrease | (600) | 7 percent increase | 450 |
| 20 percent increase | 950 | 3 percent decrease | (200) |
| Excess workers' compensation: | | Excess workers' compensation: | |
| 5 percent increase | 450 ^(b) | | 1,250 |
| 5 percent decrease | (300) ^(b) | | (1,250) |
| Primary workers' compensation^(a): | | Primary workers' compensation: | |
| | | 7.5 percent increase | 2,180 |
| | | 3.9 percent decrease | (1,130) |

(a) Loss cost trend assumption is not material for this line of business.

(b) Not applicable due to extremely long-tailed nature of workers' compensation.

The sensitivity analysis presented above addresses each major class of business for which a material deviation to AIG's overall reserve position is believed reasonably possible, and uses what AIG believes is a reasonably likely range of potential deviation for each class. There can be no assurance, however, that actual reserve development will be consistent with either the original or the adjusted loss trend or loss development factor assumptions, or that other assumptions made in the reserving process will not materially affect reserve development for a particular class of business.

Alternative Loss Cost Trend and Loss Development Factor Assumptions by Class of Business

For casualty business other than the classes discussed below, there is generally some potential for deviation in both the loss cost trend and loss development factor assumptions. However, the effect of such deviations is expected to be less material when compared to the effect on the classes noted above and discussed below.

Loss Cost Trends: It should be emphasized that the percentage deviations noted in the table below are not considered the highest possible deviations that might be expected, but rather what is considered by AIG to reflect a reasonably likely range of potential deviation. Actual loss cost trends in the early 1990s were negative for several years whereas actual loss cost trends exceeded the figures cited above for several other years. Thus, there can be no assurance that loss trends will not deviate by more than amounts noted above and discussed below.

Loss Development Factors: Except for Primary Workers' Compensation, the assumed loss development factors are a key assumption. Generally, actual historical loss development factors are used to project future loss

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

development. However there can be no assurance that future loss development patterns will be the same as in the past, or that they will not deviate by more than the amounts noted above and discussed below.

| Class of Business | Loss Cost Trend | Loss Development Factor |
|---|---|--|
| <i>Excess Casualty</i> | <p>The assumed loss cost trend was approximately five percent. After evaluating the historical loss cost trends from prior accident years since the early 1990s, in AIG's judgment, it is reasonably likely that actual loss cost trends applicable to the year-end 2010 loss reserve review for excess casualty will range from negative five percent to positive 15 percent, or approximately ten percent lower or higher than the assumption actually utilized in the year-end 2010 reserve review. The loss cost trend assumption is critical for the excess casualty class of business due to the long-tail nature of the claims and therefore is applied across many accident years. Thus, there is the potential for the reserves with respect to a number of accident years to be significantly affected by changes in loss cost trends that were initially relied upon in setting the reserves. These changes in loss trends could be attributable to changes in inflation or in the judicial environment, or in other social or economic conditions affecting claims.</p> | <p>After evaluating the historical loss development factors from prior accident years since the early 1990s, in AIG's judgment, it is reasonably likely that actual loss development factors will range from approximately 5.3 percent below those actually utilized in the year-end 2010 reserve review to approximately 7.0 percent above those factors actually utilized. Excess casualty is a long-tail class of business and any deviation in loss development factors might not be discernible for an extended period of time subsequent to the recording of the initial loss reserve estimates for any accident year. Thus, there is the potential for the reserves with respect to a number of accident years to be significantly affected by changes in loss development factors that were initially relied upon in setting the reserves. These changes in loss development factors could be attributable to changes in inflation or in the judicial environment, or in other social or economic conditions affecting claims.</p> |
| <i>D&O and Related Management Liability Classes of Business</i> | <p>The assumed loss cost trend was approximately four percent. After evaluating the historical loss cost trends from prior accident years since the early 1990s, including the potential effect of recent claims relating to the credit crisis, in AIG's judgment, it is reasonably likely that actual loss cost trends applicable to the year-end 2010 loss reserve review for these classes will range from negative 11 percent to positive 24 percent, or approximately 15 percent lower or 20 percent higher than the assumption actually utilized in the year-end 2010 reserve review. Because the D&O class of business has exhibited highly volatile loss trends from one accident year to the next, there is the possibility of an exceptionally high deviation.</p> | <p>The assumed loss development factors are also an important assumption but less critical than for excess casualty. Because these classes are written on a claims made basis, the loss reporting and development tail is much shorter than for excess casualty. However, the high severity nature of the claims does create the potential for significant deviations in loss development patterns from one year to the next. After evaluating the historical loss development factors for these classes of business for accident years since the early 1990s, in AIG's judgment, it is reasonably likely that actual loss development factors will range from approximately 3 percent lower to 7 percent higher than those factors actually utilized in the year-end 2010 loss reserve review for these classes.</p> |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

| Class of Business | Loss Cost Trend | Loss Development Factor |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|
| <i>Excess Workers' Compensation</i> | Loss costs were trended at six percent per annum. After reviewing actual industry loss trends for the past ten years, in AIG's judgment, it is reasonably likely that actual loss cost trends applicable to the year-end 2010 loss reserve review for excess workers' compensation will range five percent lower or higher than this estimated loss trend. | Excess workers' compensation is an extremely long-tail class of business, with a much greater than normal uncertainty as to the appropriate loss development factors for the tail of the loss development. After evaluating the historical loss development factors for prior accident years since the 1980s as well as the development over the past several years of the ground up claim projections utilized to help select the loss development factors in the tail for this class of business, in AIG's judgment, it is reasonably likely that actual loss development for excess workers' compensation could increase or decrease the current reserves by up to approximately \$1.25 billion. |
| <i>Primary Workers' Compensation</i> | The loss cost trend assumption is not believed to be material with respect to AIG's loss reserves. This is primarily because AIG's actuaries are generally able to use loss development projections for all but the most recent accident year's reserves, so there is limited need to rely on loss cost trend assumptions for primary workers' compensation business. | Generally, AIG's actual historical workers' compensation loss development factors would be expected to provide a reasonably accurate predictor of future loss development. However, workers' compensation is a long-tail class of business, and AIG's business reflects a very significant volume of losses, particularly in recent accident years. After evaluating the actual historical loss development since the 1980s for this business, in AIG's judgment, it is reasonably likely that actual loss development factors will fall within the range of approximately 3.9 percent below to 7.5 percent above those actually utilized in the year-end 2010 loss reserve review. |

See Results of Operations Segment Results Chartis Operations Liability for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expense for additional information on AIG's reserve for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expenses.

Future Policy Benefits for Life and Accident and Health Contracts (life insurance and retirement services companies):

Investment returns: which vary by geographical region, year of issuance and products.

Mortality, morbidity and surrender rates: based upon actual experience by geographical region modified to allow for variation in policy form, risk classification and distribution channel.

Annually, AIG evaluates estimates used in establishing liabilities for future policy benefits for life insurance and accident and health contracts. AIG also evaluates estimates used in amortizing Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs (DAC), Value of Business Acquired (VOBA) and Sales Inducement Assets (SIA) assets for these products. These estimates are evaluated against actual experience and are adjusted based on management judgment regarding mortality, morbidity, persistency, company maintenance expenses, and investment returns. For long duration traditional business, a "lock-in" principle applies, whereby the assumptions used to calculate the benefit reserves and DAC are set when a policy is issued and do not change with changes in actual experience. These assumptions include margins for adverse deviation in the event that actual experience might deviate from these assumptions.

AIG experience changes over time, the best estimate assumptions are updated to reflect observed changes. Because of the long term nature of many of AIG's liabilities subject to the lock in principle, small changes in certain assumptions may cause large changes in the degree of reserve

adequacy. In particular, changes in estimates of future invested asset returns assumptions have a large effect on the degree of reserve deficiency.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

AIG's future policy benefits include guaranteed minimum death benefits (GMDB) and guaranteed minimum income benefits (GMIB). The GMDB and GMIB liabilities are determined at each period-end by estimating the expected value of death benefits and expected value of the annuitization benefits in excess of the projected account balances and incorporating assumptions including interest rates, mortality rates, lapse rates and stochastically generated investment returns.

AIG also issues certain variable annuity products that offer optional guaranteed minimum withdrawal benefits (GMWB) and guaranteed minimum account value benefits (GMAV). These benefits are embedded derivatives that are required to be bifurcated from the host contract and carried at fair value. The fair value estimates of the living benefit guarantees include assumptions such as equity market returns, interest rates and volatilities. AIG hedges a portion of these guarantees by utilizing both exchange traded and over-the-counter index options and exchange traded futures. For a further discussion of the risks of our unhedged exposures, see Item 1A. Risk Factors Guarantees Within Variable Annuities.

Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs (life insurance and retirement services companies):

Recoverability: based on current and future expected profitability, which is affected by interest rates, mortality, morbidity experience, expenses, investment returns and policy persistency.

Estimated Gross Profits for Investment-Oriented Products (life insurance and retirement services companies):

Estimated gross profits: to be realized over the estimated duration of the contracts (investment-oriented products), which affect the carrying value of DAC (including VOBA), unearned revenue liability, SIA and associated amortization patterns. Estimated gross profits (EGP) are subject to differing market returns and interest rate environments in any single period. EGP is composed of net interest income, net realized investment gains and losses, fees, surrender charges, expenses, and mortality and morbidity gains and losses. When assumptions are changed, the percentage of EGP used to amortize DAC might also change.

The following table summarizes the sensitivity of changes in certain assumptions in the amortization of DAC/SIA, guaranteed benefits reserves and unearned revenue liability and the related hypothetical impact in 2010. The effect of changes in the equity markets, volatility and interest rates primarily impacts individual variable annuities (SunAmerica Retirement Markets) and group retirement products (VALIC). The effect of changes in mortality primarily impacts the universal life insurance business.

| December 31, 2010 (in millions) | DAC/SIA | Guaranteed Benefits Reserve ^(a) | Unearned Revenue Liability | Net pre-tax Earnings |
|--|---------|--|----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Assumptions: | | | | |
| Equity Return^(b) | | | | |
| Effect of an increase by 1% | \$ 87 | \$ (18) | NA | \$ 105 |
| Effect of a decrease by 1% | (89) | 53 | NA | (142) |
| Volatility^(c) | | | | |
| Effect of an increase by 1% | 2 | 11 | NA | (9) |
| Effect of a decrease by 1% | (1) | (11) | NA | 10 |
| Interest Rate^(d) | | | | |
| Effect of an increase by 10 basis points | (2) | (17) | NA | 15 |
| Effect of a decrease by 10 basis points | 2 | 17 | NA | (15) |
| Mortality | | | | |
| Effect of an increase by 1% | (27) | 11 | (17) | (21) |
| Effect of a decrease by 1% | 24 | (12) | 13 | 23 |

(a)

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Includes GMDB and GMIB reserves as well as living benefits reserves, net of hedging impact.

(b)

Represents the net impact of 1 percent increase or decrease in equity returns for GMDB and GMIB reserves and the net impact of 1 percent increase or decrease in the S&P 500 index for living benefit reserves.

(c)

Represents the net impact of 1 percentage point increase or decrease in implied volatility.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

(d)

Represents the net impact of a 10 basis point parallel shift in the yield curve.

The analysis of DAC, guaranteed benefits reserve and unearned revenue liability is a dynamic process that considers all relevant factors and assumptions described above. Each of the factors set forth above is estimated individually, without consideration of any correlation among the key assumptions. An assessment of sensitivity associated with changes in any single assumption would not necessarily be an indicator of future results.

Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs – Short Duration (general insurance):

Recoverability: based upon the current terms and profitability of the underlying insurance contracts.

Policy acquisition costs are deferred and amortized over the period in which the related premiums written are earned, generally 12 months. DAC is grouped consistent with the manner in which the insurance contracts are acquired, serviced and measured for profitability and is reviewed for recoverability based on the profitability of the underlying insurance contracts. AIG assesses the recoverability of its DAC on an annual basis or more frequently if circumstances indicate an impairment may have occurred. This assessment is performed by comparing recorded unearned premium to the sum of expected claims, claims adjustment expenses and maintenance cost, unamortized DAC and anticipated maintenance costs. If the sum of these costs exceeds the amount of recorded unearned premium, the excess is recognized as an offset against the asset established for DAC. This offset is referred to as a premium deficiency charge. Investment income is not anticipated in assessing the recoverability of DAC. Increases in the expected claims, and claims adjustment expenses can have a significant impact on the likelihood and amount of a premium deficiency charge. Management tested the recoverability of DAC and determined that recorded unearned premiums of its Chartis U.S. and Chartis International operating segments exceeded the sum of these costs at December 31, 2010, by one percent and 16 percent, respectively, and, therefore, the DAC of these reporting units was considered to be recoverable. DAC for Chartis U.S. and Chartis International amounted to \$1.8 billion and \$1.6 billion, respectively, at December 31, 2010.

Flight Equipment Recoverability (Financial Services):

Expected undiscounted future net cash flows: based upon current lease rates, projected future lease rates and lease periods and estimated residual or sales values of each aircraft based on expectations regarding the use of the aircraft and market participants.

Other-Than-Temporary Impairments:

At each balance sheet date, AIG evaluates its available for sale securities holdings with unrealized losses. Prior to April 1, 2009, these reviews were conducted pursuant to accounting standards that were amended on that date. See Note 7 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for a discussion of AIG's process for evaluating other-than-temporary impairments under these prior accounting standards.

Fixed Maturity Securities

In April 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued a new accounting standard addressing recognition and presentation of other-than-temporary impairments, which amended the other-than-temporary impairment model for fixed maturity securities and requires additional disclosures. The impairment model for equity securities was not affected. See Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional discussion on the other-than-temporary impairments accounting standard.

In connection with AIG's adoption of the new other-than-temporary impairments accounting standard on April 1, 2009, AIG changed its process for determining other-than-temporary impairments with respect to available for sale fixed maturity securities. If AIG intends to sell a fixed maturity security or it is more likely than not that AIG will be required to sell a fixed maturity security before recovery of its amortized cost basis, and the fair value of the security is below amortized cost, an other-than-temporary impairment has occurred and the amortized cost is written down to current fair value, with a corresponding charge to earnings.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

For all other fixed maturity securities for which a credit impairment has occurred, the amortized cost is written down to the estimated recovery value with a corresponding charge to earnings. Additional fair value declines below recovery value, if any, are charged to unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of fixed maturity investments on which other-than-temporary credit impairments were taken (a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)) because this is considered a non-credit impairment.

When assessing AIG's intent to sell a fixed maturity security, or if it is more likely than not that AIG will be required to sell a fixed maturity security before recovery of its amortized cost basis, management evaluates relevant facts and circumstances including, but not limited to, decisions to reposition AIG's investment portfolio, sale of securities to meet cash flow needs and sales of securities to capitalize on favorable pricing.

AIG considers severe price declines in its assessment of potential credit impairments. AIG also may modify its modeled outputs for certain securities when it determines that price declines are indicative of factors not comprehended by the cash flow models.

In periods subsequent to the recognition of an other-than-temporary impairment charge for available-for-sale fixed maturity securities that are not foreign exchange related, generally AIG prospectively accretes into earnings the difference between the new amortized cost and the expected undiscounted recovery value over the remaining expected holding period of the security.

See the discussion in Note 7 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information on the methodology and significant inputs, by security type, that AIG uses to determine the amount of a credit loss on fixed maturity securities.

Equity Securities

AIG evaluates its available for sale equity securities, equity method and cost method investments for impairment such that a security is considered a candidate for other-than-temporary impairment if it meets any of the following criteria:

Trading at a significant (25 percent or more) discount to cost for an extended period of time (nine consecutive months or longer);

The occurrence of a discrete credit event resulting in (i) the issuer defaulting on a material outstanding obligation; (ii) the issuer seeking protection from creditors under the bankruptcy laws or any similar laws intended for court-supervised reorganization of insolvent enterprises; or (iii) the issuer proposing a voluntary reorganization pursuant to which creditors are asked to exchange their claims for cash or securities having a fair value substantially lower than par value of their claims;
or

AIG may not realize a full recovery on its investment, regardless of the occurrence of one of the foregoing events.

The determination that an equity security is other-than-temporarily impaired requires the judgment of management and consideration of the fundamental condition of the issuer, its near-term prospects and all the relevant facts and circumstances. The above criteria also consider circumstances of a rapid and severe market valuation decline for which AIG could not reasonably assert that the impairment period would be temporary (severity losses).

For further discussion, see Note 7 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Liability for Legal Contingencies:

AIG estimates and records a liability for potential losses that may arise from litigation and regulatory proceedings to the extent such losses are probable and can be estimated. Determining a reasonable estimate of the amount of such losses requires significant management judgment. In many such proceedings, it is not possible to determine whether a liability has been incurred or to estimate the ultimate or minimum amount of that liability until the matter is close to resolution. In view of the inherent difficulty of predicting the outcome of such matters,

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

particularly in cases in which claimants seek substantial or indeterminate damages, AIG often cannot predict the outcome or estimate the eventual loss or range of loss related to such matters.

U.S. Income Taxes on Earnings of Certain Foreign Subsidiaries:

Due to the complexity of the U.S. federal income tax laws involved in determining the amount of income taxes related to differences between book carrying value and tax basis of subsidiaries, as well as the level of judgment and reliance on reasonable assumptions and estimates in calculating this liability, AIG considers the U.S. federal income taxes accrued on the earnings of certain foreign subsidiaries to be a critical accounting estimate.

Valuation Allowance on Deferred Tax Assets:

AIG had a net deferred tax liability after valuation allowance of \$1.3 billion at December 31, 2010, and a net deferred tax asset after valuation allowance of \$5.9 billion at December 31, 2009.

AIG evaluates the recoverability of the deferred tax asset and establishes a valuation allowance, if necessary, to reduce the deferred tax asset to an amount that is more likely than not to be realized (a likelihood of more than 50 percent). Significant judgment is required to determine whether a valuation allowance is necessary and the amount of such valuation allowance, if appropriate.

When assessing the realization of its deferred tax asset, AIG considers all available evidence, including:

the nature, frequency, and severity of cumulative financial reporting losses in recent years;

the carryforward periods for the net operating loss, capital loss and foreign tax credit carryforwards;

predictability of future operating profitability of the character necessary to realize the asset;

certain transactions, including the recognition of the gains and losses on dispositions;

prudent and feasible tax planning strategies that would be implemented, if necessary, to protect against the loss of the deferred tax asset; and

the effect of reversing taxable temporary differences.

The evaluation of the recoverability of the deferred tax asset requires AIG to weigh all positive and negative evidence to reach a conclusion that it is more likely than not that all or some portion of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. The weight given to the evidence is commensurate with the extent to which it can be objectively verified. The more negative evidence that exists, the more positive evidence is necessary and the more difficult it is to support a conclusion that a valuation allowance is not needed. As part of the evaluation at December 31, 2010, despite several favorable developments, including the completion of the Recapitalization in January 2011, the wind-down of AIGFP's portfolios, and the sale of AGF, AIG has recent negative evidence of cumulative operating losses and a lack of predictable profits. Based on this evidence, AIG cannot assert that it is more likely than not that any U.S. member deferred tax assets will be realized as of December 31, 2010.

However, if in the future AIG demonstrates consistent profitability, ability to accurately project income by jurisdiction and the resultant annual effective tax rate, or develops prudent and feasible tax planning strategies, the evaluation of the recoverability of the deferred tax asset

could change and the valuation allowance could be released in whole or in part.

AIG has developed the comprehensive qualitative and quantitative assessment framework described above for evaluating the factors that would need to be considered each reporting period in assessing whether the deferred tax asset is more likely than not realizable.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Fair Value Measurements of Certain Financial Assets and Liabilities:

Overview

AIG measures the following financial instruments at fair value on a recurring basis:

trading and available for sale securities portfolios;

certain mortgage and other loans receivable;

derivative assets and liabilities;

securities purchased/sold under agreements to resell/repurchase;

non-traded equity investments and certain private limited partnerships and certain hedge funds included in other invested assets;

certain short-term investments;

separate account assets;

certain policyholder contract deposits;

securities and spot commodities sold but not yet purchased;

certain trust deposits and deposits due to banks and other depositors;

certain long-term debt; and

certain hybrid financial instruments included in Other liabilities.

The fair value of a financial instrument is the amount that would be received upon the sale of an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between willing, able and knowledgeable market participants at the measurement date.

The degree of judgment used in measuring the fair value of financial instruments generally correlates with the level of observable valuation inputs. AIG maximizes the use of observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. Financial instruments with quoted prices in active markets generally have more pricing observability and less judgment is used in measuring fair value. Conversely, financial instruments where no quoted prices are available have less observability and are measured at fair value using valuation models or other pricing techniques that require more judgment. Pricing observability is affected by a number of factors, including the type of

financial instrument, whether the financial instrument is new to the market, the characteristics specific to the transaction, liquidity and general market conditions.

AIG management is responsible for the determination of the value of the financial assets and financial liabilities carried at fair value and the supporting methodologies and assumptions. With respect to securities, AIG employs independent third-party valuation service providers to gather, analyze and interpret market information and derive fair values based upon relevant methodologies and assumptions for individual instruments. When AIG's valuation service providers are unable to obtain sufficient market observable information upon which to estimate the fair value for a particular security, fair value is determined either by requesting brokers who are knowledgeable about these securities to provide a quote, which is generally non-binding, or by employing widely accepted internal valuation models.

Valuation service providers typically obtain data about market transactions and other key valuation model inputs from multiple sources and, through the use of widely accepted valuation models, provide a single fair value measurement for individual securities for which a fair value has been requested under the terms of service agreements. The inputs used by the valuation service providers include, but are not limited to, market prices from recently completed transactions and transactions of comparable securities, interest rate yield curves, credit spreads, currency rates, and other market-observable information, as applicable. The valuation models take into account, among other things, market observable information as of the measurement date as well as the specific attributes

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

of the security being valued, including its term, interest rate, credit rating, industry sector, and when applicable, collateral quality and other security or issuer-specific information. When market transactions or other market observable data is limited, the extent to which judgment is applied in determining fair value is greatly increased.

AIG employs specific control processes to determine the reasonableness of the fair values of AIG's financial assets and financial liabilities. AIG's processes are designed to ensure that the values received or internally estimated are accurately recorded and that the data inputs and the valuation techniques utilized are appropriate, consistently applied, and that the assumptions are reasonable and consistent with the objective of determining fair value. AIG assesses the reasonableness of individual security values received from valuation service providers through various analytical techniques. In addition, AIG may validate the reasonableness of fair values by comparing information obtained from AIG's valuation service providers to other third-party valuation sources for selected securities. AIG also validates prices for selected securities obtained from brokers through reviews by members of management who have relevant expertise and who are independent of those charged with executing investing transactions.

The following table presents the fair value of fixed income and equity securities by source of value determination:

| At December 31, 2010 <i>(in billions)</i> | Fair Value | Percent of Total |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------------------|
| Fair value based on external sources ^(a) | \$ 238 | 89% |
| Fair value based on internal sources | 28 | 11 |
| Total fixed income and equity securities^(b) | \$ 266 | 100% |

(a) *Includes \$22.3 billion for which the primary source is broker quotes.*

(b) *Includes available for sale and trading securities.*

See Note 6 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for more detailed information about AIG's accounting policy for the incorporation of credit risk in fair value measurements and the measurement of fair value of financial assets and financial liabilities.

Level 3 Assets and Liabilities

Assets and liabilities recorded at fair value in the Consolidated Balance Sheet are classified in a hierarchy for disclosure purposes consisting of three "levels" based on the observability of inputs available in the marketplace used to measure the fair value. See Note 6 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information about the three levels of observability.

At December 31, 2010, AIG classified \$36.3 billion and \$6.2 billion of assets and liabilities, respectively, measured at fair value on a recurring basis as Level 3. This represented 5.3 percent and 1.1 percent of the total assets and liabilities, respectively, at December 31, 2010. At December 31, 2009, AIG classified \$38.9 billion and \$13.9 billion of assets and liabilities, respectively, measured at fair value on a recurring basis as Level 3. This represented 4.6 percent and 1.9 percent of the total assets and liabilities, respectively, at December 31, 2009. Level 3 fair value measurements are based on valuation techniques that use at least one significant input that is unobservable. These measurements are made under circumstances in which there is little, if any, market activity for the asset or liability. AIG's assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment.

Certain fair value measurements are based on valuation techniques that use significant inputs that are unobservable. Both observable and unobservable inputs may be used to determine the fair values of positions classified in Level 3. These measurements include circumstances in which there is little, if any, market activity for the asset or liability. Therefore, AIG must make certain assumptions as to the inputs a hypothetical market participant would use to value that asset or liability. In certain cases, the inputs used to measure fair value may fall into

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

different levels of the fair value hierarchy. In such cases, the level in the fair value hierarchy within which the fair value measurement in its entirety falls is determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to

170 AIG 2010 Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

the fair value measurement in its entirety. AIG's assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment. In making the assessment, AIG considers factors specific to the asset or liability. Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis and classified as Level 3 include certain RMBS, CMBS and collateralized debt obligations/asset-backed securities (CDO/ABS), corporate debt, certain municipal and sovereign debt, certain derivative contracts (including Capital Markets' super senior credit default swap portfolio), policyholder contract deposits carried at fair value, private equity and real estate fund investments, and direct private equity investments. AIG's non-financial instrument assets that are measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis generally are classified as Level 3.

Refer to Note 6 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for discussion of transfers of Level 3 assets and liabilities.

Valuation of Level 3 Assets and Liabilities

AIG values its assets and liabilities classified as Level 3 using judgment and valuation models or other pricing techniques that require a variety of inputs including contractual terms, market prices and rates, yield curves, credit curves, measures of volatility, prepayment rates and correlations of such inputs, some of which may be unobservable. The following paragraphs describe the methods AIG uses to measure on a recurring basis the fair value of the major classes of assets and liabilities classified in Level 3.

Private equity and real estate fund investments and certain hedge fund investments: These assets initially are valued at the transaction price, i.e., the price paid to acquire the asset. Subsequently, they are measured based on net asset value using information provided by the general partner or manager of these investments, the accounts of which generally are audited on an annual basis. AIG considers observable market data and performs diligence procedures in validating the appropriateness of using the net asset value as a fair value measurement.

Certain corporate bonds and private placement debt: These assets initially are valued at the transaction price. Subsequently, they are valued using market data for similar instruments (e.g., recent transactions, bond spreads or credit default swap spreads). When observable price quotations are not available, fair value is determined based on cash flow models using yield curves observed from indices or credit default swap spreads.

Certain RMBS and CMBS: These assets initially are valued at the transaction price. Subsequently, they may be valued by comparison to transactions in instruments with similar collateral and risk profiles considering remittances received and updated cumulative loss data on underlying obligations, or discounted cash flow techniques.

Certain ABS non-mortgage: These assets initially are valued at the transaction price. Subsequently, they may be valued based on external price/spread data. When position-specific external price data are not observable, the valuation is based on prices of comparable securities.

CDOs: These assets initially are valued at the transaction price. Subsequently, they are valued based on external price/spread data from independent third parties, dealer quotations, matrix pricing, the Binomial Expansion Technique (BET) model or a combination of these methods.

Interests in the Maiden Lane Interests: At their inception, ML II and ML III were valued at the transaction prices of \$1 billion and \$5 billion, respectively. Subsequently, Maiden Lane Interests are valued using a discounted cash flow methodology that uses the estimated future cash flows of the assets to which the Maiden Lane Interests are entitled and the discount rates applicable to such interests as derived from the fair value of the entire asset pool. The implicit discount rates are calibrated to the changes in the estimated asset values for the underlying assets commensurate with AIG's interests in the capital structure of the respective entities. Estimated cash flows and discount rates used in the valuations are validated, to the extent possible, using market observable information for securities with similar asset pools, structure and terms.

The fair value methodology used assumes that the underlying collateral in the Maiden Lane Interests will continue to be held and generate cash flows into the foreseeable future and does not assume a current liquidation

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

of the assets of the Maiden Lane Interests. Other methodologies employed or assumptions made in determining fair value for these investments could result in amounts that differ significantly from the amounts reported.

Refer to Note 6 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for sensitivity analysis disclosures with respect to the Maiden Lane Interests.

Capital Markets Super Senior Credit Default Swap Portfolio: AIGFP wrote credit protection on the super senior risk layer of collateralized loan obligations (CLOs), multi-sector CDOs and diversified portfolios of corporate debt, and prime residential mortgages. In these transactions, AIGFP is at risk of credit performance on the super senior risk layer related to such assets. To a lesser extent, AIGFP also wrote protection on tranches below the super senior risk layer, primarily in respect of regulatory capital relief transactions.

The following table presents the net notional amount, fair value of derivative (asset) liability and unrealized market valuation gain (loss) of the Capital Markets super senior credit default swap portfolio, including credit default swaps written on mezzanine tranches of certain regulatory capital relief transactions, by asset class:

| <i>(in millions)</i> | Net Notional Amount December 31, | | Fair Value of Derivative (Asset) Liability at December 31, | | Unrealized Market Valuation Gain (Loss) Year Ended December 31, | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--|------------------------|---|---------------------|
| | 2010 ^(a) | 2009 ^(a) | 2010 ^{(b)(c)} | 2009 ^{(b)(c)} | 2010 ^(c) | 2009 ^(c) |
| Regulatory Capital: | | | | | | |
| Corporate loans | \$ 5,193 | \$ 55,010 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
| Prime residential mortgages | 31,613 | 93,276 | (190) | (137) | 53 | 137 |
| Other | 1,263 | 1,760 | 17 | 21 | 4 | 35 |
| Total | 38,069 | 150,046 | (173) | (116) | 57 | 172 |
| Arbitrage: | | | | | | |
| Multi-sector CDOs ^(d) | 6,689 | 7,926 | 3,484 | 4,418 | 663 | (669) |
| Corporate debt/CLOs ^(e) | 12,269 | 22,076 | 171 | 309 | (67) | 1,863 |
| Total | 18,958 | 30,002 | 3,655 | 4,727 | 596 | 1,194 |
| Mezzanine tranches ^(f) | 2,823 | 3,478 | 198 | 143 | (55) | 52 |
| Total | \$ 59,850 | \$ 183,526 | \$ 3,680 | \$ 4,754 | \$ 598 | \$ 1,418 |

(a) Net notional amounts presented are net of all structural subordination below the covered tranches.

(b) Fair value amounts are shown before the effects of counterparty netting adjustments and offsetting cash collateral.

(c) Includes credit valuation adjustment gains (losses) of (\$133) million and \$52 million in the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, representing the effect of changes in AIG's credit spreads on the valuation of the derivatives liabilities.

(d) During 2010, AIGFP terminated a super senior CDS transaction with its counterparty with a net notional amount of \$296 million, included in Multi-sector CDOs. This transaction was terminated at approximately its fair value at the time of the termination. As a result, a \$202 million loss, which was previously included in the fair value derivative liability as an unrealized market valuation loss, was realized. During 2010, AIGFP also paid \$69 million to its counterparty with respect to multi-sector CDOs. Upon payment, a \$69 million loss, which was previously included in the fair value

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

derivative liability as an unrealized market valuation loss, was realized. Multi-sector CDOs also include \$5.5 billion and \$6.3 billion in net notional amount of credit default swaps written with cash settlement provisions at December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively.

(e)

During 2010, AIGFP terminated super senior CDS transactions with its counterparties with a net notional amount of \$9.3 billion, included in Corporate debt/CLOs. These transactions were terminated at approximately their fair value at the time of the termination. As a result, an \$83 million loss, which was previously included in the fair value derivative liability as an unrealized market valuation loss, was realized. In addition, AIGFP made a one time payment of \$122 million in 2010 to an intermediary in exchange for eliminating all future collateral posting requirements on \$10.7 billion of net notional of Corporate debt transactions. Corporate debt/CLOs also include \$1.3 billion and \$1.4 billion in net notional amount of credit default swaps written on the super senior tranches of CLOs at December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively.

(f)

Net of offsetting purchased CDS of \$1.4 billion and \$1.5 billion in net notional amount at December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively.

172 AIG 2010 Form 10-K

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

The following table presents changes in the net notional amount of the Capital Markets super senior credit default swap portfolio, including credit default swaps written on mezzanine tranches of certain regulatory capital relief transactions:

| <i>(in millions)</i> | Net Notional Amount December 31, 2009 ^(a) | Terminations | Maturities | Effect of Foreign Exchange Rates ^(b) | Amortization/ Reclassification, net of Replenishments | Net Notional Amount December 31, 2010 ^(a) |
|------------------------------------|---|--------------------|----------------|--|--|---|
| Regulatory Capital: | | | | | | |
| Corporate loans | \$ 55,010 | \$ (37,080) | \$ (13) | \$ (2,835) | \$ (9,889) | \$ 5,193 |
| Prime residential mortgages | 93,276 | (46,991) | - | (4,109) | (10,563) | 31,613 |
| Other | 1,760 | - | - | (68) | (429) | 1,263 |
| Total | 150,046 | (84,071) | (13) | (7,012) | (20,881) | 38,069 |
| Arbitrage: | | | | | | |
| Multi-sector CDOs ^(c) | 7,926 | (296) | - | (212) | (729) | 6,689 |
| Corporate debt/CLOs ^(d) | 22,076 | (9,291) | - | (482) | (34) | 12,269 |
| Total | 30,002 | (9,587) | - | (694) | (763) | 18,958 |
| Mezzanine tranches ^(e) | 3,478 | (530) | - | (96) | (29) | 2,823 |
| Total | \$ 183,526 | \$ (94,188) | \$ (13) | \$ (7,802) | \$ (21,673) | \$ 59,850 |

(a) Net notional amounts presented are net of all structural subordination below the covered tranches.

(b) Relates to the strengthening of the U.S. dollar, primarily against the Euro and the British Pound.

(c) Includes \$5.5 billion and \$6.3 billion in net notional amount of credit default swaps written with cash settlement provisions at December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively.

(d) Includes \$1.3 billion and \$1.4 billion in net notional amount of credit default swaps written on the super senior tranches of CLOs at December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively.

(e) Net of offsetting purchased CDS of \$1.4 billion and \$1.5 billion in net notional amount at December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively.

The following table presents summary statistics for Capital Markets super senior credit default swaps at December 31, 2010 and totals for December 31, 2010 and 2009:

| Category | Regulatory Capital Portfolio | | | | Arbitrage Portfolio | | | Total | |
|----------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------|----------|----------------------------|---|--|----------|----------------------|
| | Corporate Loans | Prime Residential Mortgages | Other | Subtotal | Corporate Debt/ CLOs | Multi- Sector CDOs w/ Subprime | Multi- Sector CDOs w/ No Subprime | Subtotal | December 31, 2010 |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|-----------|------------------|------------|
| Gross Transaction Notional Amount (in millions) | \$ 7,184 | \$ 36,905 | \$ 1,481 | \$ 45,570 | \$ 18,217 | \$ 5,682 | \$ 8,836 | \$ 32,735 | \$ 78,305 | \$ 246,215 |
| Net Notional Amount (in millions) | \$ 5,193 | \$ 31,613 | \$ 1,263 | \$ 38,069 | \$ 12,269 | \$ 3,216 | \$ 3,473 | \$ 18,958 | \$ 57,027 | \$ 180,048 |
| Number of Transactions | 6 | 9 | 1 | 16 | 15 | 9 | 6 | 30 | 46 | 71 |
| Weighted Average Subordination (%) | 27.70% | 14.31% | 14.78% | 16.44% | 24.10% | 30.53% | 24.51% | 25.33% | 20.16% | 18.67% |
| Weighted Average Number of loans/Transaction | 3,342 | 87,812 | 1,802 | 71,700 | 118 | 138 | 115 | | | |
| Weighted Average Expected Maturity (Years) | 1.18 | 3.47 | 4.78 | 3.16 | 5.00 | 6.68 | 5.66 | | | |

AIG 2010 Form 10-K

173

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

General Contractual Terms

AIGFP entered into CDS transactions in the ordinary course of its business. In the majority of AIGFP's credit derivative transactions, AIGFP sold credit protection on a designated portfolio of loans or debt securities. Generally, AIGFP provides such credit protection on a "second loss" basis, meaning that AIGFP will incur credit losses only after a shortfall of principal and/or interest, or other credit events, in respect of the protected loans and debt securities, exceeds a specified threshold amount or level of "first loss."

Typically, the credit risk associated with a designated portfolio of loans or debt securities has been tranching into different layers of risk, which are then analyzed and rated by the credit rating agencies. At origination, there is usually an equity layer covering the first credit losses in respect of the portfolio up to a specified percentage of the total portfolio, and then successive layers ranging generally from a BBB-rated layer to one or more AAA-rated layers. A significant majority of transactions that are rated by rating agencies have risk layers or tranches that were rated AAA at origination and are immediately junior to the threshold level at which AIGFP's payment obligation would generally arise. In transactions that were not rated, AIGFP applied equivalent risk criteria for setting the threshold level for its payment obligations. Therefore, the risk layer assumed by AIGFP with respect to the designated portfolio of loans or debt securities in these transactions is often called the "super senior" risk layer, defined as a layer of credit risk senior to one or more risk layers that have been rated AAA by the credit rating agencies, or if the transaction is not rated, structured as the equivalent thereto.

The following graphic represents a typical structure of a transaction including the super senior risk layer:

Regulatory Capital Portfolio

During 2010, \$84.1 billion in net notional amount of regulatory capital CDSs were terminated or matured at no cost to AIGFP. Through February 16, 2011, AIGFP had also received termination notices with respect to an additional \$1.4 billion in net notional amount with an effective termination date in 2011. AIGFP continues to reassess the expected maturity of this portfolio. As of December 31, 2010, AIGFP estimated that the weighted average expected maturity of the portfolio was 3.16 years. AIGFP has not been required to make any payments as part of terminations initiated by counterparties. The regulatory benefit of these transactions for AIGFP's financial institution counterparties was generally derived from Basel I. In December 2010, the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision finalized Basel III, which, when fully implemented, may reduce or eliminate the regulatory benefits to certain counterparties for these transactions, and this may reduce the period of time that such counterparties are expected to hold the positions. In prior years, it had been expected that financial institution counterparties would

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

complete a transition from Basel I to an intermediate standard known as "Basel II," which could have had similar effects on the benefits of these transactions, at the end of 2009. Basel III has now superseded Basel II, but the details of its implementation by the various European Central Banking districts have not been finalized. Should certain counterparties continue to receive favorable regulatory capital benefits from these transactions, those counterparties may not exercise their options to terminate the transactions in the expected time frame.

The weighted average expected maturity of the Regulatory Capital Portfolio increased as of December 31, 2010 by approximately 1.8 years from December 31, 2009 due to certain counterparties not terminating transactions with a combined net notional amount of \$6.0 billion. Where these counterparties continue to have a right to terminate the transaction early, AIGFP has extended the expected maturity dates by one year, which is based on how long AIGFP believes the relevant rules under Basel I will remain effective. Where the counterparties no longer have the right to terminate early, AIGFP has used the weighted average life of those transactions as their expected maturity. These counterparties in the Corporate Loan and Prime Residential Mortgage portfolios continue to receive favorable regulatory capital benefits under Basel I rules and, thus, AIG continues to categorize them as Regulatory Capital transactions.

Included in the Regulatory Capital portfolio are transactions with one counterparty that notified AIG that it would not terminate early two of its Prime Residential Mortgage transactions and a related mezzanine transaction with a combined net notional amount of \$24.3 billion that were expected to be terminated in the first quarter of 2010. With respect to these transactions, the counterparty no longer has any rights to terminate the transactions early and is required to pay AIG fees on the original notional amounts reduced only by realized losses through the final contractual maturity. Since the two transactions have weighted average lives that are considerably less than their final contractual maturities, there is value to AIGFP representing counterparty contractual fees to be received beyond the date at which the net notional amounts have fully amortized through to the final contractual maturity date. The fair value of these two super senior transactions as of December 31, 2010 was a derivative asset of \$190 million. With respect to these two transactions, AIGFP has also written CDS transactions on the mezzanine tranches of these portfolios; however, the majority of the transactions on the mezzanine tranches were hedged by AIGFP with other third-party CDS transactions. In October 2008, following a credit ratings agency downgrade of AIG, AIGFP entered into a CSA to the agreements governing the transactions with this counterparty that requires AIGFP to post collateral. The CSA provides that one of two methodologies will be used for determining the amount of collateral to be posted. The same methodology has been used throughout the term of the CSA, and, at December 31, 2010, AIGFP had posted approximately \$217 million of collateral. The counterparty has provided quotations from two dealers that it contends support using the second methodology, and contends that a total amount of Euro 1.4 billion (approximately \$1.9 billion) should be posted by AIGFP or, in the alternative, that the parties approach market participants jointly to obtain qualifying quotations. AIGFP continues to believe that the methodology currently being used is the correct one for computing collateral, that it has posted the amount of collateral required under the CSA, that the counterparty has not provided qualifying quotations and that the methodology the counterparty has used for calculating the amount of collateral to be posted is not valid.

In light of early termination experience to date and after analyses of other market data, to the extent deemed relevant and available, AIG determined that there was no unrealized market valuation adjustment for any of the transactions in this regulatory capital relief portfolio for 2010 other than (1) for transactions where AIGFP believes the counterparty is no longer using the transaction to obtain regulatory capital relief as discussed above and (2) for transactions where the counterparty has failed to terminate the transaction early as expected and no longer has any rights to terminate early in the future. Although AIGFP believes the value of contractual fees receivable on these transactions through maturity exceeds the economic benefits of any potential payments to the counterparties, the counterparties' early termination rights, and AIGFP's expectation that such rights will be exercised, preclude the recognition of a derivative asset for these transactions.

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

The following table presents for each of the regulatory capital CDS transactions in the corporate loan portfolio, the gross transaction notional amount, net notional amount, attachment points, inception to date realized losses and percent non-investment grade:

| <i>(dollars in millions)</i> | Gross Transaction Notional Amount at December 31, 2010 | Net Notional Amount at December 31, 2010 | Attachment Point at Inception ^(a) | Attachment Point at December 31, 2010 ^(a) | Realized Losses through December 31, 2010 ^(b) | Percent Non-investment Grade at December 31, 2010 ^(c) |
|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| CDS | | | | | | |
| 1 | \$ 360 | \$ 264 | 10.03% | 26.51% | 0.52% | 47.35% |
| 2 | 1,287 | 1,051 | 10.00% | 18.31% | 0.20% | 45.42% |
| 3 | 2,965 | 2,453 | 13.26% | 17.25% | 0.00% | 67.10% |
| 4 ^(d) | 703 | 383 | 14.00% | 44.61% | 0.16% | 34.29% |
| 5 ^(d) | 569 | 259 | 14.00% | 44.61% | 0.16% | 34.29% |
| 6 ^(d) | 1,300 | 783 | 14.00% | 44.61% | 0.16% | 34.29% |
| Total | \$ 7,184 | \$ 5,193 | | | | |

(a) Expressed as a percentage of gross transaction notional amount of the referenced obligations. As a result of participation ratios and replenishment rights, the attachment point may not always be computed by dividing net notional amount by gross transaction notional amount.

(b) Represents realized losses incurred by the transaction (defaulted amounts less amounts recovered) from inception through December 31, 2010 expressed as a percentage of the initial gross transaction notional amount.

(c) Represents non-investment grade obligations in the underlying pools of corporate loans expressed as a percentage of gross transaction notional amount.

(d) Terminated effective March 15, 2011.

The following table presents, for each of the regulatory capital CDS transactions in the prime residential mortgage portfolio, the gross transaction notional amount, net notional amount, attachment points and inception to date realized losses:

| <i>(dollars in millions)</i> | Gross Transaction Notional Amount at December 31, 2010 | Net Notional Amount at December 31, 2010 | Attachment Point at Inception ^(a) | Attachment Point at December 31, 2010 ^(a) | Realized Losses through December 31, 2010 ^(b) |
|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| CDS | | | | | |
| 1 | \$ 387 | \$ 179 | 17.01% | 52.35% | 2.61% |
| 2 | 234 | 97 | 18.48% | 58.08% | 2.15% |
| 3 | 221 | 130 | 16.81% | 40.99% | 1.72% |
| 4 | 269 | 184 | 13.19% | 31.62% | 0.50% |
| 5 ^(c) | 1,456 | 1,102 | 7.95% | 24.13% | 0.06% |
| 6 | 9,048 | 8,250 | 7.50% | 8.82% | 0.08% |
| 7 | 2,049 | 1,568 | 12.40% | 23.48% | 0.00% |
| 8 | 18,143 | 16,080 | 9.20% | 11.37% | 0.12% |
| 9 | 5,098 | 4,023 | 11.50% | 21.08% | 0.00% |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Total \$ 36,905 \$ 31,613

- (a) *Expressed as a percentage of gross transaction notional amount of the referenced obligations. As a result of participation ratios and replenishment rights, the attachment point may not always be computed by dividing net notional amount by gross transaction notional amount.*
- (b) *Represents realized losses incurred by the transaction (defaulted amounts less amounts recovered) from inception through December 31, 2010 expressed as a percentage of the initial gross transaction notional amount.*
- (c) *Delinquency information is not provided to Capital Markets for the underlying pools of residential mortgages of this transaction. However, information with respect to principal amount outstanding, defaults, recoveries, remaining term, property use, geography, interest rates, and ratings of the underlying junior tranches are provided to Capital Markets for such referenced pools.*

176 AIG 2010 Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

All of the regulatory capital CDS transactions directly or indirectly reference tranching pools of large numbers of whole loans that were originated by the financial institution (or its affiliates) receiving the credit protection, rather than structured securities containing loans originated by other third parties. In the vast majority of transactions, the loans are intended to be retained by the originating financial institution and in all cases the originating financial institution is the purchaser of the CDS, either directly or through an intermediary.

As further discussed below, AIGFP receives information monthly or quarterly regarding the performance and credit quality of the underlying referenced assets. AIGFP also obtains other information, such as ratings of the tranches below the super senior risk layer. The nature of the information provided or otherwise available to AIGFP with respect to the underlying assets in each regulatory capital CDS transaction is not consistent across all transactions. Furthermore, in a majority of corporate loan transactions and all of the residential mortgage transactions, the pools are blind, meaning that the identities of the obligors are not disclosed to AIGFP. In addition, although AIGFP receives periodic reports on the underlying asset pools, virtually all of the regulatory capital CDS transactions contain confidentiality restrictions that preclude AIGFP's public disclosure of information relating to the underlying referenced assets. The originating financial institutions, calculation agents or trustees (each a Report Provider) provide periodic reports on all underlying referenced assets as described below, including for those within the blind pools. While much of this information received by AIGFP cannot be aggregated in a comparable way for disclosure purposes because of the confidentiality restrictions and the inconsistency of the information, it does provide a sufficient basis for AIGFP to evaluate the risks of the portfolio and to determine a reasonable estimate of fair value.

For regulatory capital CDS transactions written on underlying pools of corporate loans, AIGFP receives monthly or quarterly updates from one or more Report Providers for each such referenced pool detailing, with respect to the corporate loans comprising such pool, the principal amount outstanding and defaults. In virtually all of these reports, AIGFP also receives information on recoveries and realized losses. AIGFP also receives quarterly stratification tables for each pool incorporating geography, industry and, when not publicly rated, the counterparty's assessment of the credit quality of the underlying corporate loans. Additionally, for a significant majority of these regulatory capital CDS transactions, upon the occurrence of a credit event with respect to any corporate loan included in any such pool, AIGFP receives a notice detailing the identity or identification number of the borrower, notional amount of such loan and the effective date of such credit event.

Ratings from independent ratings agencies for the underlying assets of the corporate loan portfolio are not universally available, but AIGFP estimates the ratings for the assets not rated by independent agencies by mapping the information obtained from the Report Providers to rating agency criteria. The "Percent Non-Investment Grade" information in the table above is provided as an indication of the nature of loans underlying the transactions, not necessarily as an indicator of relative risk of the CDS transactions, which is determined by the individual transaction structures. For example, Small and Medium Enterprise (SME) loan balances tend to be rated lower than loans to large, well-established enterprises. However, the greater number of loans and the smaller average size of the SME loans mitigate the risk profile of the pools. In addition, the transaction structures reflect AIGFP's assessment of the loan collateral arrangements, expected recovery values, and reserve accounts in determining the level of subordination required to minimize the risk of loss. The percentage of non-investment grade obligations in the underlying pools of corporate loans varies considerably. One pool containing the highest percentages of non-investment grade obligations, which include all transactions with pools having non-investment grade percentages greater than 50.00 percent, are all granular SME loan pools which benefit from collateral arrangements made by the originating financial institutions and from work out of recoveries by the originating financial institutions. The number of loans in this pool is 7,297. This large number of SME loans increases the predictability of the expected loss and lessens the probability that discrete events will have a meaningful impact on the results of the overall pool. These transactions benefit from a tranche junior to it which was still rated AAA by at least two rating agencies at December 31, 2010. Five other pools, with a total net notional amount of \$2.7 billion, have non-investment grade percentages less than 50 percent, with a weighted average remaining life to maturity of 10.8 years. These pools have weighted average realized losses of 0.20 percent from inception through December 31, 2010 and have current weighted average attachment points of 35.04 percent.

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Approximately 3.51 percent of the assets underlying the corporate loan transactions are in default. The percentage of assets in default by transaction was available for all transactions and ranged from 2.09 percent to 4.50 percent.

For regulatory capital CDS transactions written on underlying pools of residential mortgages, AIGFP receives quarterly reports for each such referenced pool detailing, with respect to the residential mortgages comprising such pool, the aggregate principal amount outstanding, defaults and realized losses. These reports include additional information on delinquencies for the large majority of the transactions and recoveries for substantially all transactions. AIGFP also receives quarterly stratification tables for each pool incorporating geography for the underlying residential mortgages. The stratification tables also include information on remaining term, property use and interest rates for a large majority of the transactions.

Delinquency information for the mortgages underlying the residential mortgage transactions was available on approximately 96.06 percent of the total gross transaction notional amount and mortgages delinquent more than 30 days ranged from 0.13 percent to 2.44 percent, averaging 0.77 percent. Except for one transaction, which comprised less than 1.25 percent of the total gross transaction notional amount, the average default rate (expressed as a percentage of gross transaction notional amount) was 0.26 percent and the default rates ranged from 0.00 percent to 5.28 percent. The default rate on this one transaction was 19.84 percent with a subordination level of 52.35 percent.

For all regulatory capital transactions, where the rating agencies directly rate the junior tranches of the pools, AIG monitors the rating agencies' releases for any affirmations or changes in such ratings, as well as any changes in rating methodologies or assumptions used by the rating agencies to the extent available. The tables below show the percentage of regulatory capital CDS transactions where there is an immediately junior tranche that is rated and the average rating of that tranche across all rated transactions.

AIGFP analyzes the information regarding the performance and credit quality of the underlying pools of assets to make its own risk assessment and to determine any changes in credit quality with respect to such pools of assets. This analysis includes a review of changes in pool balances, subordination levels, delinquencies, realized losses and expected performance under more adverse credit conditions. Using data provided by the Report Providers, and information available from rating agencies, governments, and other public sources that relate to macroeconomic trends and loan performance, AIGFP is able to analyze the expected performance of the overall portfolio because of the large number of loans that comprise the collateral pools.

Given the current performance of the underlying portfolios, the level of subordination and AIGFP's own assessment of the credit quality, as well as the risk mitigants inherent in the transaction structures, AIGFP does not expect that it will be required to make payments pursuant to the contractual terms of those transactions providing regulatory relief. Further, AIGFP expects that counterparties will, to the extent they retain termination rights, continue to terminate these transactions prior to their maturity.

The following table presents the Capital Markets Regulatory Capital CDS transactions in the Corporate loans portfolio by geographic location:

| Exposure Portfolio | Net Notional Amount (in millions) | Percent of Total | Current Average Attachment Point ^(a) | Realized Losses through December 31, 2010 ^(b) | Weighted Average Maturity (Years) | | | Ratings of Junior Tranches ^(d) | |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|---|--|-----------------------------------|-------------|------------------------|---|----------------|
| | | | | | To First Call ^(c) | To Maturity | Number of Transactions | Percent Rated | Average Rating |
| Primarily Single Country | | | | | | | | | |
| Germany | \$ 3,768 | 72.56% | 18.27% | 0.11% | 1.73 | 8.39 | 3 | 100% | A+ |
| | 1,425 | 27.44% | 44.61% | 0.16% | 0.19 | 15.20 | 3 | 100 | A |

**Regional
Europe**

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------|----|-------|---------|--------|-------|------|-------|---|-----|----|
| Total | \$ | 5,193 | 100.00% | 27.70% | 0.13% | 1.18 | 10.83 | 6 | 100 | A+ |
|-------|----|-------|---------|--------|-------|------|-------|---|-----|----|

(a) *Expressed as a percentage of gross transaction notional amount of the referenced obligations*

(b) *Represents realized losses incurred by the transaction (defaulted amounts less amounts recovered) from inception through December 31, 2010 expressed as a percentage of the initial gross transaction notional amount.*

178 AIG 2010 Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

(c) *Where no call right remains, the weighted average expected maturity is used.*

(d) *Represents the weighted average ratings, when available, of the tranches immediately junior to Capital Markets' super senior tranche. The percentage rated represents the percentage of net notional amount where there exists a rated tranche immediately junior to Capital Markets' super senior tranche.*

The following table presents the Capital Markets Regulatory Capital CDS transactions in the Prime residential mortgage portfolio summarized by geographic location:

| At December 31, 2010 | Net Notional Amount (in millions) | Percent of Total | Current Average Attachment Point ^(a) | Realized Losses through December 31, 2010 ^(b) | Weighted Average Maturity (Years) | | | Ratings of Junior Tranches ^(d) | |
|----------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|---|--|-----------------------------------|-------------|------------------------|---|----------------|
| | | | | | To First Call ^(c) | To Maturity | Number of Transactions | Percent Rated | Average Rating |
| Country: | | | | | | | | | |
| Denmark | \$ 24,330 | 76.96% | 10.52% | 0.10% | 4.52 | 28.74 | 2 | 100% | AAA |
| France | 1,102 | 3.49 | 24.13% | 0.06% | 0.97 | 27.97 | 1 | 100 | AAA |
| Germany | 2,158 | 6.82 | 31.49% | 0.92% | 1.12 | 38.68 | 5 | 100 | AAA |
| Sweden | 4,023 | 12.73 | 21.08% | 0.00% | 0.09 | 29.09 | 1 | 100 | AAA |
| Total | \$ 31,613 | 100.00% | 14.31% | 0.19% | 3.47 | 29.61 | 9 | 100% | AAA |

(a) *Expressed as a percentage of gross transaction notional amount of the referenced obligations.*

(b) *Represents realized losses incurred by the transaction (defaulted amounts less amounts recovered) from inception through December 31, 2010 expressed as a percentage of the initial gross transaction notional amount.*

(c) *Where no call right remains, the weighted average expected maturity is used.*

(d) *Represents the weighted average ratings, when available, of the tranches immediately junior to AIGFP's super senior tranche. The percentage rated represents the percentage of net notional amount where there exists a rated tranche immediately junior to AIGFP's super senior tranche.*

Arbitrage Portfolio

A portion of the Capital Markets super senior credit default swaps as of December 31, 2010 are arbitrage-motivated transactions written on multi-sector CDOs or designated pools of investment grade senior unsecured corporate debt or CLOs.

Multi-Sector CDOs

The following table summarizes gross transaction notional amount of the multi-sector CDOs on which AIGFP wrote protection on the super senior tranche, subordination below the super senior risk layer, net notional amount and fair value of derivative liability by underlying collateral type:

| At December 31, 2010 | Gross Transaction Notional | Subordination Below the Super Senior | Net Notional Amount | Fair Value of Derivative Liability |
|----------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------|
|----------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------|

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

| <i>(in millions)</i> | Amount ^(a) | | Risk Layer | |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| High grade with sub-prime collateral | \$ 3,093 | \$ 1,609 | \$ 1,484 | \$ 578 |
| High grade with no sub-prime collateral | 7,187 | 4,511 | 2,676 | 1,050 |
| Total high grade^(b) | 10,280 | 6,120 | 4,160 | 1,628 |
| Mezzanine with sub-prime collateral | 2,589 | 857 | 1,732 | 1,287 |
| Mezzanine with no sub-prime collateral | 1,649 | 852 | 797 | 569 |
| Total mezzanine^(c) | 4,238 | 1,709 | 2,529 | 1,856 |
| Total | \$ 14,518 | \$ 7,829 | \$ 6,689 | \$ 3,484 |

(a) *Total outstanding principal amount of securities held by a CDO.*

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

- (b) "High grade" refers to transactions in which the underlying collateral credit ratings on a stand-alone basis were predominantly AA or higher at origination.
- (c) "Mezzanine" refers to transactions in which the underlying collateral credit ratings on a stand-alone basis were predominantly A or lower at origination.

The following table summarizes net notional amounts of the remaining multi-sector CDOs on which AIGFP wrote protection on the super senior tranche, by settlement alternative and currency:

At December 31,

| (in millions) | 2010 | 2009 |
|--|----------|----------|
| CDS transactions with cash settlement provisions | | |
| U.S. dollar-denominated | \$ 4,010 | \$ 4,580 |
| Euro-denominated | 1,475 | 1,720 |
| Total CDS transactions with cash settlement provisions | 5,485 | 6,300 |
| CDS transactions with physical settlement provisions | | |
| U.S. dollar-denominated | 68 | 265 |
| Euro-denominated | 1,136 | 1,361 |
| Total CDS transactions with physical settlement provisions | 1,204 | 1,626 |
| Total | \$ 6,689 | \$ 7,926 |

The following table summarizes changes in the fair values of the derivative liability of the Capital Markets super senior multi-sector CDO credit default swap portfolio:

Years Ended December 31,

| (in millions) | 2010 | 2009 |
|---|----------|----------|
| Fair value of derivative liability, beginning of year | \$ 4,418 | \$ 5,906 |
| Unrealized market valuation (gain) loss | (663) | 669 |
| Purchases of underlying CDO securities | - | (234) |
| Other terminations and realized losses | (271) | (1,923) |
| Fair value of derivative liability, end of year | \$ 3,484 | \$ 4,418 |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

The following table presents, for each multi-sector CDO that is a reference obligation in a CDS written by AIGFP, the gross and net notional amounts, attachment points and percentage of gross notional amount rated less than B-/B-3:

| <i>(dollars in millions)</i> | Gross Notional Amount at December 31, 2010 | Net Notional Amount at December 31, 2010 | Attachment Point at Inception^(a) | Attachment Point at December 31, 2010^(a) | Percentage of Gross Notional Amount Rated Less than B-/B-3 at December 31, 2010 |
|------------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|
| CDO | | | | | |
| 1 | \$ 954 | \$ 406 | 40.00% | 57.48% | 62.84% |
| 2 | 677 | 327 | 53.00% | 51.67% | 51.63% |
| 3 | 973 | 470 | 53.00% | 51.70% | 79.56% |
| 4 | 1,078 | 304 | 76.00% | 71.84% | 86.58% |
| 5 | 746 | 3 | 10.83% | 1.55% | 29.59% |
| 6 | 227 | 188 | 39.33% | 17.13% | 86.20% |
| 7 | 857 | 432 | 12.27% | 6.61% | 6.15% |
| 8 | 984 | 704 | 25.24% | 23.29% | 7.80% |
| 9 | 1,269 | 1,177 | 10.00% | 7.24% | 35.61% |
| 10 | 2,188 | 1,475 | 16.50% | 18.75% | 3.25% |
| 11 | 317 | 175 | 32.00% | 44.78% | 83.96% |
| 12 | 389 | 389 | 24.49% | 0.00% ^(b) | 75.30% |
| 13 | 462 | 389 | 32.90% | 15.90% | 98.80% |
| 14 | 240 | 185 | 34.51% | 22.69% | 97.42% |
| 15 | 3,157 | 65 | 9.72% | 19.56% | 78.46% |
| Total | \$ 14,518 | \$ 6,689 | | | |

(a) Expressed as a percentage of gross notional amount of the referenced obligations. As a result of participation ratios and partial terminations, the attachment point may not always be computed by dividing net notional amount by gross notional amount.

(b) AIGFP began making payments on realized losses in excess of the attachment point on this trade in 2010.

In a number of instances, the level of subordination with respect to individual CDOs has increased since inception relative to the overall size of the CDO. While the super senior tranches are amortizing, subordinate layers have not been reduced by realized losses to date. Such losses are expected to emerge in the future. At inception, substantially all of the underlying assets were rated B-/B3 or higher and in most cases at least BBB or Baa. Thus, the percentage of gross notional amount rated less than B-/B3 represents a deterioration in the credit quality of the underlying assets.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

The following table summarizes the gross transaction notional amount, percentage of the total CDO collateral pools and ratings and vintage breakdown of collateral securities in the multi-sector CDOs, by asset-backed securities (ABS) category:

At December 31, 2010

(in millions)

| ABS Category | Gross Transaction Notional Amount | Percent of Total | Ratings | | | | | | | Vintage | | | |
|---------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|-------|---------|--------|--------|--------|
| | | | AAA | AA | A | BBB | BB | <BB | NR | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 | 2005+P |
| RMBS Prime | \$ 1,516 | 10.44% | 0.40% | 0.01% | 0.10% | 0.01% | 0.07% | 9.85% | 0.00% | 0.44% | 5.96% | 3.13% | 0.91% |
| RMBS Alt-A | 2,470 | 17.01% | 0.12% | 0.08% | 0.21% | 0.22% | 0.03% | 16.35% | 0.00% | 0.53% | 4.96% | 6.69% | 4.83% |
| RMBS Subprime | 2,867 | 19.75% | 0.48% | 0.68% | 0.38% | 0.54% | 0.63% | 17.04% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 1.06% | 1.77% | 16.92% |
| CMBS | 3,134 | 21.59% | 0.72% | 1.75% | 1.92% | 2.66% | 2.13% | 12.27% | 0.14% | 0.11% | 1.98% | 9.43% | 10.07% |
| CDO | 1,604 | 11.05% | 0.08% | 0.59% | 0.89% | 1.10% | 1.16% | 7.08% | 0.15% | 0.00% | 0.68% | 2.02% | 8.35% |
| Other | 2,927 | 20.16% | 4.68% | 4.40% | 5.28% | 3.25% | 1.33% | 1.08% | 0.14% | 0.60% | 1.17% | 5.66% | 12.73% |
| Total | \$ 14,518 | 100.00% | 6.48% | 7.51% | 8.78% | 7.78% | 5.35% | 63.67% | 0.43% | 1.68% | 15.81% | 28.70% | 53.81% |

Corporate Debt/CLOs

The corporate arbitrage portfolio consists principally of CDS written on portfolios of corporate obligations that were generally rated investment grade at the inception of the CDS. These CDS transactions require cash settlement. This portfolio also includes CDS with a net notional amount of \$1.3 billion written on the senior part of the capital structure of CLOs, which require physical settlement.

The following table summarizes gross transaction notional amount of CDS transactions written on portfolios of corporate obligations, percentage of the total referenced portfolios, and ratings by industry sector, in addition to the subordinations below the super senior risk layer, AIGFP's net notional amounts and fair value of derivative liability:

| At December 31, 2010 (in millions) | Gross Transaction Notional Amount | Percent of Total | Ratings | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|---------|------|-------|------|------|------|--|
| | | | Aa | A | Baa | Ba | <Ba | NR | |
| Industry Sector | | | | | | | | | |
| <u>United States</u> | | | | | | | | | |
| Industrial | \$ 6,017 | 33.0% | 0.1% | 3.4% | 16.3% | 4.0% | 6.7% | 2.5% | |
| Financial | 1,591 | 8.7% | 0.1% | 2.9% | 3.0% | 0.1% | 1.7% | 0.9% | |
| Utilities | 459 | 2.5% | 0.0% | 0.1% | 2.1% | 0.0% | 0.2% | 0.1% | |
| Other | 92 | 0.5% | 0.0% | 0.1% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.3% | |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------|--------|------|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Total United States | 8,159 | 44.7% | 0.2% | 6.5% | 21.5% | 4.1% | 8.6% | 3.8% |
| <u>Non-United States</u> | | | | | | | | |
| Industrial | 8,101 | 44.5% | 0.2% | 5.0% | 10.9% | 4.7% | 4.9% | 18.8% |
| Financial | 870 | 4.8% | 0.2% | 1.9% | 1.4% | 0.1% | 0.4% | 0.8% |
| Government | 557 | 3.1% | 0.0% | 1.0% | 1.5% | 0.2% | 0.0% | 0.4% |
| Utilities | 329 | 1.8% | 0.0% | 0.1% | 0.6% | 0.0% | 0.3% | 0.8% |
| Other | 201 | 1.1% | 0.0% | 0.7% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.4% |
| Total Non-United States | 10,058 | 55.3% | 0.4% | 8.7% | 14.4% | 5.0% | 5.6% | 21.2% |
| Total gross transaction notional amount | 18,217 | 100.0% | 0.6% | 15.2% | 35.9% | 9.1% | 14.2% | 25.0% |
| Subordination | 5,948 | | | | | | | |
| Net Notional Amount | \$ | 12,269 | | | | | | |
| Fair Value of Derivative Liability | \$ | 171 | | | | | | |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

The following table presents, for each of the corporate debt and CLO CDS transactions, the net notional amounts, attachment points and inception to date defaults:

| <i>(dollars in millions)</i> | | Net Notional Amount at December 31, 2010 | Attachment Point at Inception ^(a) | Attachment Point at December 31, 2010 ^(a) | Defaults through December 31, 2010 ^(b) |
|------------------------------|----------------|---|---|---|--|
| CDS | Type | | | | |
| 1 | Corporate Debt | \$ 1,554 | 21.76% | 18.94% | 6.16% |
| 2 | Corporate Debt | 5,267 | 22.00% | 20.23% | 3.76% |
| 3 | Corporate Debt | 987 | 22.14% | 20.21% | 3.61% |
| 4 | Corporate Debt | 982 | 20.80% | 18.16% | 5.26% |
| 5 | Corporate Debt | 214 | 28.00% | 27.68% | 1.01% |
| 6 | Corporate Debt | 640 | 24.00% | 22.42% | 4.46% |
| 7 | Corporate Debt | 1,286 | 24.00% | 22.32% | 4.63% |
| 8 | CLO | 171 | 35.85% | 37.78% | 3.11% |
| 9 | CLO | 129 | 43.76% | 43.69% | 1.02% |
| 10 | CLO | 190 | 44.20% | 43.65% | 4.31% |
| 11 | CLO | 77 | 44.20% | 43.65% | 4.31% |
| 12 | CLO | 144 | 44.20% | 43.65% | 4.31% |
| 13 | CLO | 170 | 31.76% | 32.30% | 4.14% |
| 14 | CLO | 338 | 30.40% | 31.12% | 0.00% |
| 15 | CLO | 120 | 31.23% | 29.55% | 0.75% |
| Total | | \$ 12,269 | | | |

(a) Expressed as a percentage of gross transaction notional amount of the referenced obligations.

(b) Represents defaults (assets that are technically defaulted but for which the losses have not yet been realized) from inception through December 31, 2010 expressed as a percentage of the gross transaction notional amount at December 31, 2010.

Triggers and Settlement Alternatives

At December 31, 2010, all outstanding regulatory capital CDS transactions and the majority of the arbitrage portfolio (comprising \$15.3 billion or 81 percent of the net notional amount for the arbitrage portfolio at December 31, 2010 compared to \$25.1 billion or 84 percent of the net notional amount for the arbitrage portfolio at December 31, 2009) have cash-settled structures in respect of a basket of reference obligations, where AIGFP's payment obligations may be triggered by payment shortfalls, bankruptcy and certain other events such as write-downs of the value of underlying assets (see Cash Settlement below). For the remainder of the CDS transactions in respect of the arbitrage portfolio (comprising \$3.7 billion or 19 percent of the net notional amount for the arbitrage portfolio at December 31, 2010 compared to \$4.9 billion or 16 percent of the net notional amount for the arbitrage portfolio at December 31, 2009), AIGFP's payment obligations are triggered by the occurrence of a credit event under a single reference security, and performance is limited to a single payment by AIGFP in return for physical delivery by the counterparty of the reference security (see Physical Settlement below).

Cash Settlement. Transactions requiring cash settlement (principally on a "pay as you go" basis) are generally in respect of baskets of reference credits (which may also include single-name CDS in addition to securities and loans) rather than a single reference obligation as in the case of the physically settled transactions described below. Under these credit default swap transactions:

Each time a "triggering event" occurs a "loss amount" is calculated. A triggering event is generally a failure by the relevant obligor to pay principal of or, in some cases, interest on one of the reference credits in the underlying basket. Triggering

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

events may also include bankruptcy of the obligors of the reference credits, write-downs or payment postponements with respect to interest or to the principal amount of a reference credit payable at maturity. The determination of the loss amount is specific to each triggering event. It can represent the amount of a shortfall in ordinary course interest payments on the reference credit, a write-down in the interest on or principal of such reference credit or payment postponed. It can also represent the difference between the notional or par amount of such reference credit and its market value, as determined by reference to market quotations. A "write-down" with respect to a referenced credit may

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

arise as a result of a reduction in the outstanding principal amount of such referenced credit (other than as a result of a scheduled or unscheduled payment of principal), whether caused by a principal deficiency, realized loss or forgiveness of principal. An implied write-down may also result from the existence of a shortfall between the referenced credit's pool principal balance and the aggregate balance of all *pari passu* obligations and senior securities backed by the same pool.

Triggering events can occur multiple times, either as a result of continuing shortfalls in interest or write-downs or payment postponements on a single reference credit, or as a result of triggering events in respect of different reference credits included in a protected basket. In connection with each triggering event, AIGFP is required to make a cash payment to the buyer of protection under the related CDS only if the aggregate loss amounts calculated in respect of such triggering event and all prior triggering events exceed a specified threshold amount (reflecting AIGFP's attachment point).

If there are reimbursements received (actual or deemed) by the CDS buyer in respect of prior triggering events, AIGFP will be entitled to receive equivalent amounts from the counterparty to the extent AIGFP has previously made a related payment.

Physical Settlement. For CDS transactions requiring physical settlement, AIGFP is generally required to pay unpaid principal and accrued interest for the relevant reference obligation in return for physical delivery of such reference obligation by the CDS buyer upon the occurrence of a credit event. After purchasing the reference obligation, AIGFP may sell the security and recover all or a portion of the purchase price paid under the CDS, or hold such security and be entitled to receive subsequent collections of principal and interest. AIGFP generally is required to settle such a transaction only if the following conditions are satisfied:

A "Credit Event" (as defined in the relevant CDS transaction confirmation) must have occurred. In all CDS transactions subject to physical settlement, "Failure to Pay" is specified as a Credit Event and is generally triggered if there is a failure by the issuer under the related CDO to make a payment under the reference obligation (after the expiration of any applicable grace period and, in certain transactions, subject to a nominal non-payment threshold having been met).

The CDS buyer must deliver the reference obligation within a specified period, generally within 30 days. There is no payment obligation if delivery is not made within this period.

Upon completion of the physical delivery and payment by AIGFP, AIGFP would be the holder of the relevant reference obligation and have all rights associated with a holder of such securities.

In addition to subordination, cash flow diversion mechanics may provide further protection from losses for holders of the super senior CDO securities. Following the acceleration of a CDO security, all, or a portion of, available cash flows in a CDO could be diverted from the junior tranches to the most senior tranches. In a CDO with such a feature, the junior tranches may not receive any cash flows until all interest on, and principal of, the super senior tranches are paid in full. Thus, potential losses borne by the holders of the super senior CDO securities may be mitigated as cash flows that would otherwise be payable to junior tranches throughout the entire CDO capital structure are instead diverted directly to the most senior tranches. Cash flow diversion mechanics also may arise in the context of over-collateralization tests. Upon a failure by the CDO issuer to comply with certain over-collateralization tests (other than those that trigger an indenture event of default), cash flows that would otherwise be payable to certain junior tranches throughout the CDO capital structure may instead be diverted to more senior tranches. Consequently, the super senior risk layer is paid down at a faster rate, effectively increasing the relative level of subordination.

The existence of a tranche of securities ranking *pari passu* with the super senior CDO securities does not provide additional subordination that protects holders of the super senior CDO securities, as holders of such *pari passu* securities are entitled to receive payments from available cash flows at the same level of priority as holders of the super senior securities. Thus, a *pari passu* tranche of securities does not affect the amount of losses that have to be absorbed by classes of CDO securities other than the super senior CDO securities before the super

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

senior securities incur a loss, although the *pari passu* tranche will absorb losses on a pro rata basis after subordinate classes of securities are exhausted.

2a-7 Puts: Included in the multi-sector CDO portfolio are maturity-shortening puts that allow the holders of the securities issued by certain CDOs to treat the securities as short-term 2a-7 eligible investments under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (2a-7 Puts). Holders of securities are required, in certain circumstances, to tender their securities to the issuer at par. If an issuer's remarketing agent is unable to resell the securities so tendered, AIGFP must purchase the securities at par so long as the security has not experienced a payment default or certain bankruptcy events with respect to the issuer of such security have not occurred.

At December 31, 2009, there were \$1.6 billion of net notional amount of 2a-7 Puts issued by AIGFP outstanding. During 2010, AIGFP terminated all 2a-7 Puts in respect of notes held by holders other than AIGFP or its affiliates. AIGFP is not a party to any commitments to issue any additional 2a-7 Puts.

Termination Events. Certain of the super senior credit default swaps provide the counterparties with an additional termination right if AIG's rating level falls to BBB or Baa2. At that level, counterparties to the CDS transactions with the following net notional amounts, by portfolio, have the right to terminate the transactions early:

| At December 31, 2010 <i>(in millions)</i> | Net Notional Amount |
|---|--------------------------------------|
| Multi-sector CDO | \$1,271 |
| Corporate arbitrage | 129 |
| Regulatory capital | 179 |
| Total | \$1,579 |

If counterparties exercise this right, the contracts provide for the counterparties to be compensated for the cost to replace the transactions, or an amount reasonably determined in good faith to estimate the losses the counterparties would incur as a result of the termination of the transactions.

Certain super senior credit default swaps written for regulatory capital relief, with a net notional amount of \$32.6 billion at December 31, 2010, include triggers that require certain actions to be taken by AIG once AIG's rating level falls to certain levels, which, if not taken, give rise to a right of the counterparties to terminate the CDS. Such actions include posting collateral, transferring the swap or providing a guarantee from a more highly rated entity. AIGFP has implemented collateral arrangements in a large majority of these transactions. In the event of a termination of the contract that is caused by AIG's rating downgrade, AIGFP is obligated to compensate the counterparty based on its loss. As a result of AIGFP posting collateral, AIG eliminated the counterparties' right to terminate under this downgrade provision, thereby avoiding the uncertainty of determining the loss from an early termination of a regulatory capital CDS.

Collateral

Most of the Capital Markets credit default swaps are subject to collateral posting provisions. These provisions differ among counterparties and asset classes. Although AIGFP has collateral posting obligations associated with both regulatory capital relief transactions and arbitrage transactions, the large majority of these obligations to date have been associated with arbitrage transactions in respect of multi-sector CDOs.

The collateral arrangements in respect of the multi-sector CDO, regulatory capital and corporate arbitrage transactions are nearly all documented under a Credit Support Annex (CSA) to an ISDA Master Agreement (Master Agreement). The Master Agreement and CSA forms are standardized form agreements published by the ISDA, which market participants have adopted as the primary contractual framework for various kinds of derivatives transactions, including CDS. The Master Agreement and CSA forms are designed to be customized by counterparties to accommodate their particular requirements for the anticipated types of swap transactions to be entered into. Elective provisions and modifications of the standard terms are negotiated in connection with the

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

execution of these documents. The Master Agreement and CSA permit any provision contained in these documents to be further varied or overridden by the individual transaction confirmations, providing flexibility to tailor provisions to accommodate the requirements of any particular transaction. A CSA, if agreed by the parties to a Master Agreement, supplements and forms part of the Master Agreement and contains provisions (among others) for the valuation of the covered transactions, the delivery and release of collateral, the types of acceptable collateral, the grant of a security interest (in the case of a CSA governed by New York law) or the outright transfer of title (in the case of a CSA governed by English law) in the collateral that is posted, the calculation of the amount of collateral required, the valuation of the collateral provided, the timing of any collateral demand or return, dispute mechanisms, and various other rights, remedies and duties of the parties with respect to the collateral provided.

In general, each party has the right under a CSA to act as the "Valuation Agent" and initiate the calculation of the exposure of one party to the other (Exposure) in respect of transactions covered by the CSA. The valuation calculation may be performed daily, weekly or at some other interval, and the frequency is one of the terms negotiated at the time the CSA is signed. The definition of Exposure under a standard CSA is the amount that would be payable to one party by the other party upon a hypothetical termination of that transaction. This amount is determined, in most cases, by the Valuation Agent using its estimate of mid-market quotations (i.e., the average of hypothetical bid and ask quotations) of the amounts that would be paid for a replacement transaction. AIGFP determines Exposure typically by reference to the mark-to-market valuation of the relevant transaction produced by its systems and specialized models. Exposure amounts are typically determined for all transactions under a Master Agreement (unless the parties have specifically agreed to exclude certain transactions, not to apply the CSA or to set a specific transaction Exposure to zero). The aggregate Exposure less the value of collateral already held by the relevant party (and following application of certain thresholds) results in a net exposure amount (Delivery Amount). If this amount is a positive number, then the other party must deliver collateral with a value equal to the Delivery Amount. Under the standard CSA, the party not acting as Valuation Agent for any particular Exposure calculation may dispute the Valuation Agent's calculation of the Delivery Amount. If the parties are unable to resolve this dispute, the terms of the standard CSA provide that the Valuation Agent is required to recalculate Exposure using, in substitution for the disputed Exposure amounts, the average of actual quotations at mid-market from four leading dealers in the relevant market.

After an Exposure amount is determined for a transaction subject to a CSA, it is combined with the Exposure amounts for all other transactions under the relevant Master Agreement, which may be netted against one another where the counterparties to a Master Agreement are each exposed to one another in respect of different transactions. Actual collateral postings with respect to a Master Agreement may be affected by other agreed CSA terms, including threshold and independent amounts, that may increase or decrease the amount of collateral posted.

Regulatory Capital Relief Transactions

As of December 31, 2010, 85.7 percent of the Capital Markets regulatory capital relief transactions (measured by net notional amount) were subject to CSAs linked to AIG's credit rating and 14.3 percent of the regulatory capital relief transactions were not subject to collateral posting provisions. In general, each regulatory capital relief transaction is subject to a stand-alone Master Agreement or similar agreement, under which the aggregate Exposure is calculated with reference to only a single transaction.

The underlying mechanism that determines the amount of collateral to be posted varies by counterparty, and there is no standard formula. The varied mechanisms resulted from individual negotiations with different counterparties. The following is a brief description of the primary mechanisms that are currently being employed to determine the amount of collateral posting for this portfolio.

Reference to Market Indices Under this mechanism, the amount of collateral to be posted is determined based on a formula that references certain tranches of a market index, such as either iTraxx or CDX. This mechanism is used for CDS transactions that reference either corporate loans, or residential mortgages. While the market index is not a direct proxy, it has the advantage of being readily obtainable.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Expected Loss Models Under this mechanism, the amount of collateral to be posted is determined based on the amount of expected credit losses, generally determined using a rating-agency model.

Negotiated Amount Under this mechanism, the amount of collateral to be posted is determined based on terms negotiated between AIGFP and the counterparty, which could be a fixed percentage of the notional amount or present value of premiums to be earned by AIGFP.

The following table presents the amount of collateral postings by underlying mechanism as described above with respect to the regulatory capital relief portfolio (prior to consideration of transactions other than the Capital Markets super senior credit default swaps subject to the same Master Agreements) as of the periods ended:

| <i>(in millions)</i> | December 31, 2009 | | December 31, 2010 | | February 16, 2011 | |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|-----|-------------------|-----|-------------------|-----|
| Reference to market indices | \$ | 60 | \$ | 19 | \$ | 10 |
| Expected loss models | | 20 | | - | | - |
| Negotiated amount | | 230 | | 217 | | 216 |
| Total | \$ | 310 | \$ | 236 | \$ | 226 |

Arbitrage Portfolio Multi-Sector CDOs

In the CDS transactions with physical settlement provisions, in respect of multi-sector CDOs, the standard CSA provisions for the calculation of exposure have been modified, with the exposure amount determined pursuant to an agreed formula that is based on the difference between the net notional amount of such transaction and the market value of the relevant underlying CDO security, rather than the replacement value of the transaction. As of any date, the "market value" of the relevant CDO security is the price at which a marketplace participant would be willing to purchase such CDO security in a market transaction on such date, while the "replacement value of the transaction" is the cost on such date of entering into a credit default swap transaction with substantially the same terms on the same referenced obligation (e.g., the CDO security). In cases where a formula is utilized, a transaction-specific threshold is generally factored into the calculation of exposure, which reduces the amount of collateral required to be posted. These thresholds typically vary based on the credit ratings of AIG and/or the reference obligations, with greater posting obligations arising in the context of lower ratings. For the large majority of counterparties to these transactions, the Master Agreement and CSA cover non-CDS transactions (e.g., interest rate and cross currency swap transactions) as well as CDS transactions. As a result, the amount of collateral to be posted by AIGFP in relation to the CDS transactions will be added to or offset by the amount, if any, of the exposure AIG has to the counterparty on the non-CDS transactions.

Arbitrage Portfolio Corporate Debt/CLOs

All of the Capital Markets corporate arbitrage-CLO transactions are subject to CSAs. These transactions are treated the same as other transactions subject to the same Master Agreement and CSA, with the calculation of collateral in accordance with the standard CSA procedures outlined above.

The vast majority of corporate debt transactions are no longer subject to future collateral postings. In exchange for an upfront payment to an intermediary counterparty, AIGFP has eliminated all future obligations to post collateral on corporate debt transactions that mature after 2011.

Collateral Calls

AIGFP has received collateral calls from counterparties in respect of certain super senior credit default swaps, of which a large majority relate to multi-sector CDOs. To a lesser extent, AIGFP has also received collateral calls in respect of certain super senior credit default swaps entered into by counterparties for regulatory capital relief purposes and in respect of corporate arbitrage.

From time to time, valuation methodologies used and estimates made by counterparties with respect to certain super senior credit default swaps or the underlying reference CDO securities, for purposes of determining the

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

amount of collateral required to be posted by AIGFP in connection with such instruments, have resulted in estimates that differ, at times significantly, from AIGFP's estimates. In almost all cases, AIGFP has been able to successfully resolve the differences or otherwise reach an accommodation with respect to collateral posting levels, including in certain cases by entering into compromise collateral arrangements. Due to the ongoing nature of collateral arrangements, AIGFP regularly is engaged in discussions with one or more counterparties in respect of these differences, including at the present time. Valuation estimates made by counterparties for collateral purposes are, like any other third-party valuation, considered in the determination of the fair value estimates of the Capital Markets super senior credit default swap portfolio.

The following table presents the amount of collateral postings with respect to the Capital Markets super senior credit default swap portfolio (prior to offsets for other transactions) as of the periods ended:

| <i>(in millions)</i> | December 31, 2009 | | December 31, 2010 | | February 16, 2011 | |
|----------------------------|-------------------|-------|-------------------|-------|-------------------|-------|
| Regulatory capital | \$ | 310 | \$ | 236 | \$ | 226 |
| Arbitrage multi-sector CDO | | 3,715 | | 3,013 | | 2,843 |
| Arbitrage corporate | | 565 | | 537 | | 527 |
| Total | \$ | 4,590 | \$ | 3,786 | \$ | 3,596 |

The amount of future collateral posting requirements generally is a function of AIG's credit ratings, the rating of the reference obligations and any further decline in the market value of the relevant reference obligations, with the latter being the most significant factor. While a high level of correlation exists between the amount of collateral posted and the valuation of these contracts in respect of the arbitrage portfolio, a similar relationship does not exist with respect to the regulatory capital portfolio given the nature of how the amount of collateral for these transactions is determined. Given the lack of observable data and the uncertainty regarding the potential effects on market prices of measures undertaken by the federal government to address the credit market disruption, AIGFP is unable to reasonably estimate the amounts of collateral that it may be required to post in the future.

Models and Modeling

AIGFP values its credit default swaps written on the super senior risk layers of designated pools of debt securities or loans using internal valuation models, third-party price estimates and market indices. The principal market was determined to be the market in which super senior credit default swaps of this type and size would be transacted, or have been transacted, with the greatest volume or level of activity. AIG has determined that the principal market participants, therefore, would consist of other large financial institutions who participate in sophisticated over-the-counter derivatives markets. The specific valuation methodologies vary based on the nature of the referenced obligations and availability of market prices.

The valuation of the super senior credit derivatives continues to be challenging given the limitation on the availability of market observable information due to the lack of trading and price transparency in the structured finance market. These market conditions have increased the reliance on management estimates and judgments in arriving at an estimate of fair value for financial reporting purposes. Further, disparities in the valuation methodologies employed by market participants and the varying judgments reached by such participants when assessing volatile markets have increased the likelihood that the various parties to these instruments may arrive at significantly different estimates as to their fair values.

AIGFP's valuation methodologies for the super senior credit default swap portfolio have evolved in response to the deteriorating market conditions and the lack of sufficient market observable information. AIG regularly calibrates the model to available market information and reviews model assumptions on a regular basis.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Arbitrage Portfolio Multi-Sector CDOs

The underlying assumption of the valuation methodology for AIGFP's credit default swap portfolio wrapping multi-sector CDOs is that, to be willing to assume the obligations under a credit default swap, a market participant would require payment of the full difference between the cash price of the underlying tranches of the referenced securities portfolio and the net notional amount specified in the credit default swap.

AIGFP uses a modified version of the Binomial Expansion Technique (BET) model to value its credit default swap portfolio written on super senior tranches of CDOs of ABS, including the 2a-7 Puts. The BET model was developed in 1996 by a major rating agency to generate expected loss estimates for CDO tranches and derive a credit rating for those tranches, and has been widely used ever since.

AIG selected the BET model for the following reasons:

it is known and utilized by other institutions;

it has been studied extensively, documented and enhanced over many years;

it is transparent and relatively simple to apply;

the parameters required to run the BET model are generally observable; and

it can easily be modified to use probabilities of default and expected losses derived from the underlying collateral securities market prices instead of using rating-based historical probabilities of default.

The BET model has certain limitations. A well known limitation of the BET model is that it can understate the expected losses for super senior tranches when default correlations are high. The model uses correlations implied from diversity scores which do not capture the tendency for correlations to increase as defaults increase. Recognizing this concern, AIG tested the sensitivity of the valuations to the diversity scores. The results of the testing demonstrated that the valuations are not very sensitive to the diversity scores because the expected losses generated from the prices of the collateral pool securities are currently high, breaching the attachment point in most transactions. Once the attachment point is breached by a sufficient amount, the diversity scores, and their implied correlations, are no longer a significant driver of the valuation of a super senior tranche.

AIGFP has adapted the BET model to estimate the price of the super senior risk layer or tranche of the CDO. AIG modified the BET model to imply default probabilities from market prices for the underlying securities and not from rating agency assumptions. To generate the estimate, the model uses the price estimates for the securities comprising the portfolio of a CDO as an input and converts those price estimates to credit spreads over current LIBOR-based interest rates. These credit spreads are used to determine implied probabilities of default and expected losses on the underlying securities. These data are then aggregated and used to estimate the expected cash flows of the super senior tranche of the CDO.

The application of the modified BET model involves the following steps for each individual super senior tranche of a CDO in the portfolio:

- 1) Calculation of the cash flow pattern that matches the weighted average life for each underlying security of the CDO;
- 2) Calculation of an implied credit spread for each security from the price and cash flow pattern determined in step 1. This is an arithmetic process which converts prices to yields (similar to the conversion of Department of the Treasury security prices to yields), and then subtracts LIBOR-based interest rates to determine the credit spreads;
- 3)

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Conversion of the credit spread into its implied probability of default. This also is an arithmetic process that determines the assumed level of default on the security that would equate the present value of the expected cash flows discounted at a risk-free rate with the present value of the contractual cash flows discounted using LIBOR-based interest rates plus the credit spreads;

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

- 4) Generation of expected losses for each underlying security using the probability of default and recovery rate;
- 5) Aggregation of the cash flows for all securities to create a cash flow profile of the entire collateral pool within the CDO;
- 6) Division of the collateral pool into a number of hypothetical independent identical securities based on the CDO's diversity score so that the cash flow effects of the portfolio can be mathematically aggregated properly. The purpose of dividing the collateral pool into hypothetical securities is a simplifying assumption used in all BET models as part of a statistical technique that aggregates large amounts of homogeneous data;
- 7) Simulation of the default behavior of the hypothetical securities using a Monte Carlo simulation and aggregation of the results to derive the effect of the expected losses on the cash flow pattern of the super senior tranche taking into account the cash flow diversion mechanism of the CDO;
- 8) Discounting of the expected cash flows determined in step 7 using LIBOR-based interest rates to estimate the value of the super senior tranche of the CDO; and
- 9) Adjustment of the model value for the super senior multi-sector CDO credit default swap for the effect of the risk of non-performance by AIG using the credit spreads of AIG available in the marketplace and considering the effects of collateral and master netting arrangements.

AIGFP employs a Monte Carlo simulation in step 7 above to assist in quantifying the effect on the valuation of the CDO of the unique aspects of the CDO's structure such as triggers that divert cash flows to the most senior part of the capital structure. The Monte Carlo simulation is used to determine whether an underlying security defaults in a given simulation scenario and, if it does, the security's implied random default time and expected loss. This information is used to project cash flow streams and to determine the expected losses of the portfolio.

In addition to calculating an estimate of the fair value of the super senior CDO security referenced in the credit default swaps using its internal model, AIGFP also considers the price estimates for the super senior CDO securities provided by third parties, including counterparties to these transactions, to validate the results of the model and to determine the best available estimate of fair value. In determining the fair value of the super senior CDO security referenced in the credit default swaps, AIGFP uses a consistent process which considers all available pricing data points and eliminates the use of outlying data points. When pricing data points are within a reasonable range, an averaging technique is applied.

The following table presents the net notional amount and fair value of derivative liability of the multi-sector super senior credit default swap portfolio using the Capital Markets fair value methodology:

| At December 31, (in millions) | Net Notional Amount | | Fair Value Derivative Liability | |
|--|---------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| BET model | \$ 1,819 | \$ 2,186 | \$ 886 | \$ 1,092 |
| Third-party price | 1,665 | 2,466 | 1,225 | 1,883 |
| Average of BET model and third-party price | 594 | 193 | 338 | 145 |
| Third-party price (European RMBS) | 2,611 | 3,081 | 1,035 | 1,298 |
| Total | \$ 6,689 | \$ 7,926 | \$ 3,484 | \$ 4,418 |

The fair value of derivative liability recorded on AIGFP's super senior multi-sector CDO credit default swap portfolio represents the cumulative change in fair value of the outstanding derivatives, which represents AIG's best estimate of the amount it would need to pay to a

willing, able and knowledgeable third-party to assume the obligations under AIGFP's super senior multi-sector credit default swap portfolio.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Arbitrage Portfolio Corporate Debt/CLOs

The valuation of credit default swaps written on portfolios of investment-grade corporate debt and CLOs is less complex than the valuation of super senior multi-sector CDO credit default swaps and the valuation inputs are more transparent and readily available.

During the third quarter of 2009, AIGFP enhanced its valuation methodology for credit default swaps written on portfolios of investment-grade corporate debt. This new methodology uses a mathematical model that produces results that are more closely aligned with prices received from third parties, rather than relying on market indices. This methodology is widely used by other market participants and uses the current market credit spreads of the names in the portfolios along with the base correlations implied by the current market prices of comparable tranches of the relevant market traded credit indices as inputs. As of December 31, 2010, one transaction, representing two percent of the total notional amount of the corporate arbitrage transactions, is valued using third-party quotes given its unique attributes.

AIGFP estimates the fair value of its obligations resulting from credit default swaps written on CLOs to be equivalent to the par value less the current market value of the referenced obligation. Accordingly, the value is determined by obtaining third-party quotes on the underlying super senior tranches referenced under the credit default swap contract.

No assurance can be given that the fair value of AIGFP's arbitrage credit default swap portfolio would not change materially if other market indices or pricing sources were used to estimate the fair value of the portfolio.

Regulatory Capital Portfolio

In the case of credit default swaps written to facilitate regulatory capital relief, AIGFP estimates the fair value of these derivatives by considering observable market transactions. The transactions with the most observability are the early terminations of these transactions by counterparties. AIGFP continues to reassess the expected maturity of this portfolio. As of December 31, 2010, AIGFP estimated that the weighted average expected maturity of the portfolio was 3.16 years. AIGFP has not been required to make any payments as part of terminations initiated by counterparties.

AIGFP does not expect to make any payment under these contracts based on current portfolio conditions and stress analyses performed. Over the contractual life of the transactions, AIGFP is owed contractual premiums over an extended period. However, the expectation that the counterparties will be willing and able to terminate these transactions in the very near term based on the contract provisions and market conditions significantly reduces the expected future cash flows to be received. Consequently, the future expected cash flows validate the observable market transactions used to price the portfolio.

In light of early termination experience to date and after other analyses, AIG determined that there was no unrealized market valuation adjustment for this regulatory capital relief portfolio for the year ended December 31, 2010 other than (1) for transactions where AIGFP believes the counterparty is no longer using the transaction to obtain regulatory capital relief and (2) for transactions where the counterparty has failed to terminate the transaction early as expected and no longer has any rights to terminate early in the future. During 2009, AIGFP effected the early termination of a CDS transaction written on a European RMBS security of \$1.5 billion in net notional amount that was reported as part of Regulatory Capital Other at a level approximating its fair value at that time. Given its unique structure and concentrated exposure to high loan-to-value Spanish residential mortgages, this transaction had exposed AIGFP to a relatively higher level of liquidity and credit risk than any other regulatory capital CDS exposure, and AIG felt it prudent to terminate the transaction to avoid further deterioration.

AIG will continue to assess the valuation of this portfolio and monitor developments in the marketplace. Given the potential for further significant deterioration in the credit markets and the risk that AIGFP's expectations with respect to the termination of these transactions by its counterparties may not materialize, there can be no assurance that AIG will not recognize unrealized market valuation losses from this portfolio in future periods.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Moreover, a decline in the fair value of this portfolio could have a material adverse effect on AIG's consolidated results of operations for an individual reporting period or to AIG's consolidated financial condition.

Key Assumptions Used in the BET model – Multi-Sector CDOs

The most significant assumption used in the BET model is the estimated price of the individual securities within the CDO collateral pools. The following table summarizes the gross transaction notional weighted average price by ABS category.

| ABS Category | Gross Transaction Notional Weighted Average Price at December 31, | |
|---------------|--|--------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| RMBS Prime | 69.49% | 64.35% |
| RMBS Alt-A | 43.17 | 37.47 |
| RMBS Subprime | 35.21 | 29.32 |
| CMBS | 52.64 | 67.14 |
| CDOs | 23.57 | 19.01 |
| Other | 71.66 | 70.62 |
| Total | 42.30% | 42.75% |

Prices for the individual securities held by a CDO are obtained in most cases from the CDO collateral managers, to the extent available. For the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, CDO collateral managers provided market prices for 58.0 percent and 62.8 percent of the underlying securities, respectively. When a price for an individual security is not provided by a CDO collateral manager, AIGFP derives the price through a pricing matrix using prices from CDO collateral managers for similar securities. Matrix pricing is a mathematical technique used principally to value fixed maturity securities without relying exclusively on quoted prices for the specific securities, but rather by relying on the relationship of the security to other benchmark-quoted securities. Substantially all of the CDO collateral managers who provided prices used dealer prices for all or part of the underlying securities, in some cases supplemented by third-party pricing services.

The BET model also uses diversity scores, weighted average lives, recovery rates and discount rates. The determination of some of these inputs requires the use of judgment and estimates, particularly in the absence of market-observable data. Diversity scores (which reflect default correlations between the underlying securities of a CDO) are obtained from CDO trustees or implied from default correlations. Weighted average lives of the underlying securities are obtained, when available, from external subscription services such as Bloomberg and Intex and, if not available, AIGFP utilizes an estimate reflecting known weighted average lives.

Collateral recovery rates are obtained from the multi-sector CDO recovery data of a major rating agency. AIGFP utilizes a LIBOR-based interest rate curve to derive its discount rates.

AIGFP employs similar control processes to validate these model inputs as those used to value AIG's investment portfolio as described in Fair Value Measurements of Certain Financial Assets and Liabilities – Overview. The effects of the adjustments resulting from the validation process were de minimis for each period presented.

Valuation Sensitivity – Arbitrage PortfolioMulti-Sector CDOs

AIG utilizes sensitivity analyses that estimate the effects of using alternative pricing and other key inputs on AIG's calculation of the unrealized market valuation loss related to the Capital Markets super senior credit default swap portfolio. While AIG believes that the ranges used in these analyses are reasonable, given the current difficult market conditions, AIG is unable to predict which of the scenarios is most likely to occur. As recent

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

experience demonstrates, actual results in any period are likely to vary, perhaps materially, from the modeled scenarios, and there can be no assurance that the unrealized market valuation loss related to the Capital Markets super senior credit default swap portfolio will be consistent with any of the sensitivity analyses. On average, prices for CDOs increased during 2010. Further, it is difficult to extrapolate future experience based on current market conditions.

For the purposes of estimating sensitivities for the super senior multi-sector CDO credit default swap portfolio, the change in valuation derived using the BET model is used to estimate the change in the fair value of the derivative liability. Out of the total \$6.7 billion net notional amount of CDS written on multi-sector CDOs outstanding at December 31, 2010, a BET value is available for \$4.1 billion net notional amount. No BET value is determined for \$2.6 billion of CDS written on European multi-sector CDOs as prices on the underlying securities held by the CDOs are not provided by collateral managers; instead these CDS are valued using counterparty prices. Therefore, sensitivities disclosed below apply only to the net notional amount of \$4.1 billion.

The most significant assumption used in the BET model is the estimated price of the securities within the CDO collateral pools. If the actual price of the securities within the collateral pools differs from the price used in estimating the fair value of the super senior credit default swap portfolio, there is potential for material variation in the fair value estimate. Any further declines in the value of the underlying collateral securities held by a CDO will similarly affect the value of the super senior CDO securities. While the models attempt to predict changes in the prices of underlying collateral securities held within a CDO, the changes are subject to actual market conditions which have proved to be highly volatile, especially given current market conditions. AIG cannot predict reasonably likely changes in the prices of the underlying collateral securities held within a CDO at this time.

The following table presents key inputs used in the BET model, and the potential increase (decrease) to the fair value of the derivative liability by ABS category at December 31, 2010 corresponding to changes in these key inputs:

| <i>(dollars in millions)</i> | Average Inputs Used at December 31, 2010 | Change | Increase (Decrease) to Fair Value of Derivative Liability | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|---|----------------------|---|---------------|---------------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| | | | Entire Portfolio | RMBS Prime | RMBS Alt-A | RMBS Subprime | CMBS | CDOs | Other |
| Bond prices | 42 points | Increase of 5 points | \$ (278) | \$ (7) | \$ (25) | \$ (116) | \$ (87) | \$ (30) | \$ (13) |
| | | Decrease of 5 points | 263 | 8 | 24 | 108 | 85 | 19 | 19 |
| Weighted average life | 6.21 years | Increase of 1 year | 26 | - | 2 | 19 | 4 | 1 | - |
| | | Decrease of 1 year | (54) | (1) | (2) | (42) | (5) | (3) | (1) |
| Recovery rates | 19% | Increase of 10% | (41) | - | (3) | (16) | (19) | (1) | (2) |
| | | Decrease of 10% | 27 | - | 4 | 13 | 9 | 1 | - |
| Diversity score ^(a) | 12 | Increase of 5 | (10) | | | | | | |
| | | Decrease of 5 | 37 | | | | | | |
| Discount curve ^(b) | N/A | Increase of 100bps | 20 | | | | | | |

(a) *The diversity score is an input at the CDO level. A calculation of sensitivity to this input by type of security is not possible.*

(b)

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

The discount curve is an input at the CDO level. A calculation of sensitivity to this input by type of security is not possible. Furthermore, for this input it is not possible to disclose a weighted average input as a discount curve consists of a series of data points.

These results are calculated by stressing a particular assumption independently of changes in any other assumption. No assurance can be given that the actual levels of the key inputs will not exceed, perhaps significantly, the ranges assumed by AIG for purposes of the above analysis. No assumption should be made that results calculated from the use of other changes in these key inputs can be interpolated or extrapolated from the results set forth above.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Corporate Debt

The following table represents the relevant market credit inputs used to estimate the sensitivity for the credit default swap portfolio written on investment-grade corporate debt and the estimated increase (decrease) in fair value of derivative liability at December 31, 2010 corresponding to changes in these market credit inputs:

| Input Used at December 31, 2010 (in millions) | Increase (Decrease) in Fair Value of Derivative Liability |
|--|--|
| Credit spreads for all names | |
| Effect of an increase by 10 basis points | \$16 |
| Effect of a decrease by 10 basis points | \$(17) |
| All base correlations | |
| Effect of an increase by 1% | \$5 |
| Effect of a decrease by 1% | \$(5) |
| Assumed recovery rate | |
| Effect of an increase by 1% | \$(4) |
| Effect of a decrease by 1% | \$4 |

These results are calculated by stressing a particular assumption independently of changes in any other assumption. No assurance can be given that the actual levels of the indices and maturity will not exceed, perhaps significantly, the ranges assumed by AIGFP for purposes of the above analysis. No assumption should be made that results calculated from the use of other changes in these indices and maturity can be interpolated or extrapolated from the results set forth above.

Other derivatives. Valuation models that incorporate unobservable inputs initially are calibrated to the transaction price. Subsequent valuations are based on observable inputs to the valuation model (e.g., interest rates, credit spreads, volatilities, etc.). Model inputs are changed only when corroborated by observable market data.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

The information required by this item is set forth in the Risk Management section of Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and is incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

American International Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries Index to Financial Statements and Schedules

| | Page |
|--|-------------|
| <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> | <u>196</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheet at December 31, 2010 and 2009</u> | <u>198</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statement of Income (Loss) for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008</u> | <u>200</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008</u> | <u>201</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statement of Equity for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008</u> | <u>202</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008</u> | <u>204</u> |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | <u>207</u> |
| Schedules: | |
| <u>I Summary of Investments Other than Investments in Related Parties at December 31, 2010</u> | <u>392</u> |
| <u>II Condensed Financial Information of Registrant at December 31, 2010 and 2009 and for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008</u> | <u>393</u> |
| <u>III Supplementary Insurance Information at December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 and for the years then ended</u> | <u>397</u> |
| <u>IV Reinsurance at December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 and for the years then ended</u> | <u>398</u> |
| <u>V Valuation and Qualifying Accounts at December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 and for the years then ended</u> | <u>399</u> |

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of American International Group, Inc.:

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements listed in the accompanying index present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of American International Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries (AIG) at December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2010 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. In addition, in our opinion, the financial statement schedules listed in the accompanying index present fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein when read in conjunction with the related consolidated financial statements. Also in our opinion, AIG maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). AIG's management is responsible for these financial statements and financial statement schedules, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting appearing under Item 9A in the 2010 Form 10-K. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements, on the financial statement schedules, and on AIG's internal control over financial reporting based on our integrated audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

As described in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements, AIG changed the manner in which it accounts for other-than-temporary impairments of fixed maturity securities as of April 1, 2009, as well as the classification of non-controlling interests in partially owned consolidated subsidiaries as of January 1, 2009. Also, as of January 1, 2008, AIG adopted a new framework for measuring fair value and elected an option to report selected financial assets and liabilities at fair value.

As described in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, AIG completed a series of integrated transactions to recapitalize AIG with the Department of Treasury, the Federal Reserve Bank of New York and the AIG Credit Facility Trust on January 14, 2011.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

As described in Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting appearing under Item 9A in the 2010 Form 10-K, management has excluded Fuji Fire & Marine Insurance Company, from its assessment of internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010 because AIG acquired a controlling interest in Fuji Fire & Marine Insurance Company in 2010. We have also excluded Fuji Fire & Marine Insurance Company from our audit of internal control over financial reporting. The total asset and total revenues of Fuji Fire & Marine Insurance Company constitute approximately 2 percent and less than 2 percent, respectively, of the related consolidated financial statement amounts as of and for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

New York, New York
February 24, 2011

AIG 2010 Form 10-K

197

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Balance Sheet

| <i>(in millions)</i> | December 31, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|
| Assets: | | |
| Investments: | | |
| Fixed maturity securities: | | |
| Bonds available for sale, at fair value (amortized cost: 2010 \$220,669; 2009 \$364,491) | \$ 228,302 | \$ 365,551 |
| Bond trading securities, at fair value | 26,182 | 31,243 |
| Equity securities: | | |
| Common and preferred stock available for sale, at fair value (cost: 2010 \$2,571; 2009 \$6,464) | 4,581 | 9,522 |
| Common and preferred stock trading, at fair value | 6,652 | 8,318 |
| Mortgage and other loans receivable, net of allowance (portion measured at fair value: 2010 \$143; 2009 \$119) | 19,367 | 27,461 |
| Finance receivables, net of allowance | 870 | 20,327 |
| Flight equipment primarily under operating leases, net of accumulated depreciation | 38,510 | 44,091 |
| Other invested assets (portion measured at fair value: 2010 \$21,356; 2009 \$18,888) | 42,210 | 45,235 |
| Securities purchased under agreements to resell, at fair value | 1,553 | 2,154 |
| Short-term investments (portion measured at fair value: 2010 \$22,307; 2009 \$23,975) | 42,185 | 47,263 |
| Total investments | 410,412 | 601,165 |
| Cash | 1,558 | 4,400 |
| Accrued investment income | 2,960 | 5,152 |
| Premiums and other receivables, net of allowance | 15,713 | 16,549 |
| Reinsurance assets, net of allowance | 25,810 | 22,425 |
| Current and deferred income taxes | - | 4,108 |
| Deferred policy acquisition costs | 14,668 | 40,814 |
| Real estate and other fixed assets, net of accumulated depreciation | 2,845 | 4,142 |
| Unrealized gain on swaps, options and forward transactions, at fair value | 5,917 | 9,130 |
| Goodwill | 1,333 | 6,195 |
| Other assets, including restricted cash of \$30,232 in 2010 and \$2,907 in 2009 and prepaid commitment asset of \$3,628 in 2010 and \$7,099 in 2009 (portion measured at fair value: 2010 \$14; 2009 \$288) | 40,342 | 18,976 |
| Separate account assets, at fair value | 54,432 | 58,150 |
| Assets held for sale | 107,453 | 56,379 |
| Total assets | \$ 683,443 | \$ 847,585 |

See Accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Balance Sheet *(Continued)*

| <i>(in millions, except share data)</i> | December 31, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|
| Liabilities: | | |
| Liability for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expense | \$ 91,151 | \$ 85,386 |
| Unearned premiums | 23,803 | 21,363 |
| Future policy benefits for life and accident and health insurance contracts | 31,268 | 116,001 |
| Policyholder contract deposits (portion measured at fair value: 2010 \$445; 2009 \$5,214) | 121,373 | 220,128 |
| Other policyholder funds | 6,758 | 13,252 |
| Commissions, expenses and taxes payable | 2,820 | 4,950 |
| Insurance balances payable | 2,829 | 4,393 |
| Funds held by companies under reinsurance treaties | 610 | 774 |
| Current and deferred income taxes | 2,369 | - |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase (portion measured at fair value: 2010 \$2,119; 2009 \$3,221) | 2,119 | 3,505 |
| Securities and spot commodities sold but not yet purchased, at fair value | 485 | 1,030 |
| Unrealized loss on swaps, options and forward transactions, at fair value | 5,735 | 5,403 |
| Trust deposits and deposits due to banks and other depositors (portion measured at fair value: 2010 \$15; 2009 \$15) | 892 | 1,641 |
| Other liabilities | 19,353 | 22,503 |
| Federal Reserve Bank of New York Commercial Paper Funding Facility (portion measured at fair value: 2009 \$2,742) | - | 4,739 |
| Federal Reserve Bank of New York credit facility (see Notes 1 and 26) | 20,985 | 23,435 |
| Other long-term debt (portion measured at fair value: 2010 \$12,143; 2009 \$13,195) | 85,476 | 113,298 |
| Separate account liabilities | 54,432 | 58,150 |
| Liabilities held for sale | 97,312 | 48,599 |
| Total liabilities | 569,770 | 748,550 |
| Commitments, contingencies and guarantees (see Note 16) | | |
| Redeemable noncontrolling interests in partially owned consolidated subsidiaries (including \$12 and \$211 associated with businesses held for sale in 2010 and 2009, respectively) | 434 | 959 |
| AIG shareholders' equity (see Notes 1 and 26): | | |
| Preferred stock | | |
| Series E; \$5.00 par value; shares issued: 2010 and 2009 400,000, at aggregate liquidation value | 41,605 | 41,605 |
| Series F; \$5.00 par value; shares issued: 2010 and 2009 300,000, aggregate liquidation value: 2010 7,543; 2009 5,344 | 7,378 | 5,179 |
| Series C; \$5.00 par value; shares issued: 2010 and 2009 100,000, aggregate liquidation value: 2010 and 2009 \$0.5 | 23,000 | 23,000 |
| Common stock, \$2.50 par value; 5,000,000,000 shares authorized; shares issued: 2010 147,124,067; 2009 141,732,263 | 368 | 354 |
| Treasury stock, at cost; 2010 6,660,908; 2009 6,661,356 shares of common stock | (873) | (874) |
| Additional paid-in capital | 9,683 | 6,358 |
| Accumulated deficit | (3,466) | (11,491) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 7,624 | 5,693 |
| Total AIG shareholders' equity | 85,319 | 69,824 |
| Noncontrolling interests (see Notes 1 and 26): | | |
| Noncontrolling nonvoting, callable, junior and senior preferred interests held by Federal Reserve Bank of New York | 26,358 | 24,540 |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

| | | |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|
| Other (including \$204 and \$2,234 associated with businesses held for sale in 2010 and 2009, respectively) | 1,562 | 3,712 |
| Total noncontrolling interests | 27,920 | 28,252 |
| Total equity | 113,239 | 98,076 |
| Total liabilities and equity | \$ 683,443 | \$ 847,585 |

See Accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Statement of Income (Loss)

| <i>(dollars in millions, except per share data)</i> | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|--|---------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Revenues: | | | |
| Premiums and other considerations | \$ 48,029 | \$ 51,239 | \$ 63,137 |
| Net investment income | 20,930 | 18,987 | 10,453 |
| Net realized capital losses: | | | |
| Total other-than-temporary impairments on available for sale securities | (1,712) | (6,096) | (41,409) |
| Portion of other-than-temporary impairments on available for sale fixed maturity securities recognized in Accumulated other comprehensive income | (812) | 316 | - |
| Net other-than-temporary impairments on available for sale securities recognized in net income (loss) | (2,524) | (5,780) | (41,409) |
| Other realized capital gains (losses) | 2,245 | 570 | (5,385) |
| Total net realized capital losses | (279) | (5,210) | (46,794) |
| Unrealized market valuation gains (losses) on Capital Markets super senior credit default swap portfolio | 598 | 1,418 | (28,602) |
| Other income | 8,023 | 8,918 | (5,034) |
| Total revenues | 77,301 | 75,352 | (6,840) |
| Benefits, claims and expenses: | | | |
| Policyholder benefits and claims incurred | 45,874 | 50,015 | 51,036 |
| Policy acquisition and other insurance expenses | 15,820 | 15,864 | 20,833 |
| Interest expense | 7,859 | 14,238 | 15,713 |
| Restructuring expenses and related asset impairment and other expenses | 574 | 1,149 | 771 |
| Net (gain) loss on sale of properties and divested businesses | (17,767) | 1,271 | - |
| Other expenses | 7,005 | 7,122 | 7,836 |
| Total benefits, claims and expenses | 59,365 | 89,659 | 96,189 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax expense (benefit) | | | |
| | 17,936 | (14,307) | (103,029) |
| Income tax expense (benefit): | | | |
| Current | 644 | 2,802 | 1,049 |
| Deferred | 5,215 | (4,291) | (10,732) |

| | | | |
|--|--------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Total income tax expense (benefit) | 5,859 | (1,489) | (9,683) |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | 12,077 | (12,818) | (93,346) |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of income tax expense (benefit) (See Note 4) | (2,064) | 505 | (7,041) |
| Net income (loss) | 10,013 | (12,313) | (100,387) |
| Less: | | | |
| Net income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to noncontrolling interests: | | | |
| Noncontrolling nonvoting, callable, junior and senior preferred interests held by Federal Reserve Bank of New York | 1,818 | 140 | - |
| Other | 355 | (1,576) | (984) |
| Total net income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to noncontrolling interests | 2,173 | (1,436) | (984) |
| Net income (loss) from discontinued operations attributable to noncontrolling interests | 54 | 72 | (114) |
| Total net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests | 2,227 | (1,364) | (1,098) |
| Net income (loss) attributable to AIG | \$ 7,786 | \$ (10,949) | \$ (99,289) |
| Net income (loss) attributable to AIG common shareholders | \$ 1,583 | \$ (12,244) | \$ (99,689) |
| Income (loss) per common share attributable to AIG: | | | |
| Basic: | | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | \$ 14.75 | \$ (93.69) | \$ (704.26) |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations | \$ (3.15) | \$ 3.21 | \$ (52.59) |
| Diluted: | | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | \$ 14.75 | \$ (93.69) | \$ (704.26) |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations | \$ (3.15) | \$ 3.21 | \$ (52.59) |
| Weighted average shares outstanding: | | | |
| Basic | 136,585,844 | 135,324,896 | 131,714,245 |
| Diluted | 136,649,280 | 135,324,896 | 131,714,245 |

See Accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income (Loss)

| <i>(in millions)</i> | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 10,013 | \$ (12,313) | \$ (100,387) |
| Other comprehensive income (loss): | | | |
| Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle | - | - | (162) |
| Income tax benefit (expense) on above change in accounting principle | - | - | 57 |
| Unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of fixed maturity investments on which other-than-temporary credit impairments were taken | 2,522 | 2,048 | - |
| Income tax benefit (expense) on above changes | (1,293) | (724) | - |
| Unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of all other investments net of reclassification adjustments | 3,640 | 27,891 | (13,966) |
| Income tax benefit (expense) on above changes | (1,529) | (9,802) | 4,948 |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments | (1,873) | 2,932 | (1,398) |
| Income tax benefit (expense) on above changes | 621 | (1,005) | 356 |
| Net derivative gains (losses) arising from cash flow hedging activities net of reclassification adjustments | 111 | 95 | (156) |
| Income tax benefit (expense) on above changes | (17) | (32) | 52 |
| Change in retirement plan liabilities adjustment | 299 | 370 | (1,325) |
| Income tax benefit (expense) on above changes | (24) | (16) | 352 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) | 2,457 | 21,757 | (11,242) |
| Comprehensive income (loss) | 12,470 | 9,444 | (111,629) |
| Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests | 590 | (1,116) | (1,369) |
| Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling nonvoting, callable, junior and senior preferred interests held by Federal Reserve Bank of New York | 1,818 | 140 | - |
| Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to AIG | \$ 10,062 | \$ 10,420 | \$ (110,260) |

See Accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Statement of Equity

| <i>(in millions)</i> | Preferred Stock | Common Stock | Treasury Stock | Additional Paid-in Capital | Payments Advanced to Purchase Shares | Retained Earnings/ (Accumulated Deficit) | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) | Total AIG Share- holders' Equity | Non- controlling Interests | Total Equity |
|--|--------------------|-----------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|---|---|--|---|----------------------------------|-----------------|
| Balance, January 1, 2008 | \$ - | \$ 344 | \$ (6,685) | \$ 9,382 | \$ (912) | \$ 89,029 | \$ 4,643 | \$ 95,801 | \$ 8,472 | \$ 104,273 |
| Consideration received for Series C preferred stock not yet issued | - | - | - | 23,000 | - | - | - | 23,000 | - | 23,000 |
| Series D issuance | 40,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 40,000 | - | 40,000 |
| Common stock issued | - | 24 | - | 7,319 | - | - | - | 7,343 | - | 7,343 |
| Common stock issued under stock plans | - | - | 146 | (120) | - | - | - | 26 | - | 26 |
| Shares purchased | - | - | (1,912) | - | 1,912 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Present value of future contract adjustment payments related to issuance of equity units | - | - | - | (431) | - | - | - | (431) | - | (431) |
| Payments advanced | - | - | - | - | (1,000) | - | - | (1,000) | - | (1,000) |
| Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle, net of tax | - | - | - | - | - | (1,003) | - | (1,003) | - | (1,003) |
| Net loss attributable to AIG or other noncontrolling interests ^(a) | - | - | - | - | - | (99,289) | - | (99,289) | (574) | (99,863) |
| Dividends | - | - | - | - | - | (1,105) | - | (1,105) | - | (1,105) |
| Other comprehensive loss | - | - | - | - | - | - | (10,971) | (10,971) | (271) | (11,242) |
| Net decrease due to deconsolidation | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | (648) | (648) |
| Contributions from noncontrolling interests | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,651 | 1,651 |
| Distributions to noncontrolling interests | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | (738) | (738) |
| Other | - | - | 1 | 338 | - | - | - | 339 | 203 | 542 |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Balance, December 31, 2008 | \$ 40,000 | \$ 368 | \$ (8,450) | \$ 39,488 | \$ - | \$ (12,368) | \$ (6,328) | \$ 52,710 | \$ 8,095 | \$ 60,805 |
| Series C issuance | 23,000 | - | - | (23,000) | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Series D exchange for Series E | 1,605 | - | - | (1,605) | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Series F drawdowns | 5,344 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5,344 | - | 5,344 |
| Series F commitment fee | (165) | - | - | - | - | - | - | (165) | - | (165) |
| Common stock issued under stock plans | - | 1 | 176 | (177) | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Retirement of treasury stock | - | (15) | 7,400 | (7,385) | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle, net of tax | - | - | - | - | - | 11,826 | (9,348) | 2,478 | - | 2,478 |
| Net loss attributable to AIG or other noncontrolling interests ^(a) | - | - | - | - | - | (10,949) | - | (10,949) | (1,784) | (12,733) |
| Other comprehensive income | - | - | - | - | - | - | 21,369 | 21,369 | 388 | 21,757 |
| Net decrease due to deconsolidation | - | - | - | (97) | - | - | - | (97) | (3,405) | (3,502) |
| Contributions from noncontrolling interests | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 677 | 677 |
| Distributions to noncontrolling interests | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | (368) | (368) |
| Issuance of noncontrolling, nonvoting, callable, junior and senior preferred interests to the Federal Reserve Bank of New York | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 24,400 | 24,400 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling nonvoting, callable, junior and senior preferred interests held by the Federal Reserve Bank of New York | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 140 | 140 |
| Deferred taxes | - | - | - | (818) | - | - | - | (818) | - | (818) |
| Other | - | - | - | (48) | - | - | - | (48) | 109 | 61 |
| Balance, December 31, 2009 | \$ 69,784 | \$ 354 | \$ (874) | \$ 6,358 | \$ - | \$ (11,491) | \$ 5,693 | \$ 69,824 | \$ 28,252 | \$ 98,076 |

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Statement of Equity (Continued)

| (in millions) | Preferred Stock | Common Stock | Treasury Stock | Additional Paid-in Capital | Payments Advanced to Purchase Shares | Retained Earnings/ (Accumulated Deficit) | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) | Total AIG Share- holders' Equity | Non- controlling Interests | Total Equity |
|---|--------------------|-----------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|---|---|--|---|----------------------------------|-------------------|
| Series F drawdowns | 2,199 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2,199 | - | 2,199 |
| Common stock issued under stock plans | - | 2 | - | (20) | - | - | - | (18) | - | (18) |
| Equity unit exchange | - | 12 | - | 3,645 | - | - | - | 3,657 | - | 3,657 |
| Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle, net of tax | - | - | - | - | - | 239 | (345) | (106) | - | (106) |
| Net income attributable to AIG or other noncontrolling interests ^(a) | - | - | - | - | - | 7,786 | - | 7,786 | 336 | 8,122 |
| Net income attributable to noncontrolling nonvoting, callable, junior and senior preferred interests held by the Federal Reserve Bank of New York | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,818 | 1,818 |
| Other comprehensive income ^(b) | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2,276 | 2,276 | 176 | 2,452 |
| Net decrease due to deconsolidation | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | (2,740) | (2,740) |
| Contributions from noncontrolling interests | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 253 | 253 |
| Distributions to noncontrolling interests | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | (175) | (175) |
| Deferred taxes | - | - | - | (332) | - | - | - | (332) | - | (332) |
| Other | - | - | 1 | 32 | - | - | - | 33 | - | 33 |
| Balance, December 31, 2010 | \$ 71,983 | \$ 368 | \$ (873) | \$ 9,683 | \$ - | \$ (3,466) | \$ 7,624 | \$ 85,319 | \$ 27,920 | \$ 113,239 |

(a)

Excludes gains (losses) of \$73 million, \$280 million, and \$(524) million in 2010, 2009, and 2008, respectively, attributable to redeemable noncontrolling interests and net income attributable to noncontrolling nonvoting, callable, junior and senior preferred interests held by the Federal Reserve Bank of New York of \$1.8 billion and \$140 million in 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

(b)

Excludes \$5 million attributable to redeemable noncontrolling interests.

See Accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, including Notes 1 and 26 which summarize the pro forma effect of the Recapitalization that closed on January 14, 2011.

AIG 2010 Form 10-K 203

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows**Years Ended December 31,***(in millions)***2010 2009 2008****Summary:**

| | | | |
|--|------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | \$ 16,910 | \$ 18,584 | \$ (122) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | (10,225) | 5,778 | 47,176 |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (9,261) | (28,997) | (40,734) |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash | 39 | 533 | 38 |
| Change in cash | (2,537) | (4,102) | 6,358 |
| Cash at beginning of period | 4,400 | 8,642 | 2,284 |
| Reclassification of assets held for sale | (305) | (140) | - |
| Cash at end of period | 1,558 | 4,400 | 8,642 |

Cash flows from operating activities:

| | | | |
|--|------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| Net income (loss) | \$ 10,013 | \$ (12,313) | \$ (100,387) |
| (Income) loss from discontinued operations | 2,064 | (505) | 7,041 |

Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:**Noncash revenues, expenses, gains and losses included in income (loss):**

| | | | |
|---|-----------------|----------------|---------------|
| Net (gains) losses on sales of securities available for sale and other assets | (2,842) | (1,305) | 5,020 |
| Net (gains) losses on sales of divested businesses | (17,767) | 1,271 | - |
| Unrealized (gains) losses in earnings net | (1,361) | (4,249) | 3,435 |
| Equity in (income) loss from equity method investments, net of dividends or distributions | (1,268) | 1,633 | 7,407 |
| Depreciation and other amortization | 11,320 | 12,074 | 12,875 |
| Provision for mortgage, other loans and finance receivables | 429 | 1,011 | 368 |
| Impairments of assets | 5,372 | 9,260 | 46,158 |
| | 4,223 | 10,175 | 11,163 |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Amortization of costs and
accrued interest and fees
related to FRBNY Credit
Facility

**Changes in operating assets
and liabilities:**

| | | | |
|---|----------------|---------------|---------------|
| General and life insurance reserves | 8,705 | 5,991 | 8,098 |
| Premiums and other receivables and payables net | 595 | 2,282 | (5,885) |
| Reinsurance assets and funds held under reinsurance treaties | (3,510) | (246) | (718) |
| Capitalization of deferred policy acquisition costs | (9,321) | (8,938) | (11,030) |
| Other policyholder funds | 572 | 689 | 400 |
| Current and deferred income taxes net | 4,856 | (2,397) | (9,815) |
| Trading securities | 354 | 993 | 2,816 |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase, net of securities purchased under | | | |
| agreements to resell | (281) | (18) | 13,951 |
| Securities and spot commodities sold but not yet purchased | (545) | (1,663) | (2,027) |
| Other, net | (1,905) | (1,462) | (959) |
| Total adjustments | (2,374) | 25,101 | 81,257 |

Net cash provided by (used in)
operating activities continuing
operations

Net cash provided by operating
activities discontinued
operations

**Net cash provided by (used in)
operating activities**

\$ 16,910 \$ 18,584 \$ (122)

See Accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows (Continued)

Years Ended December 31,

(in millions)

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|---|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | | |
| Proceeds from (payments for) | | | |
| Sales of available for sale investments | \$ 56,213 | \$ 39,969 | \$ 91,741 |
| Maturities of fixed maturity securities available for sale and hybrid investments | 14,657 | 15,778 | 14,744 |
| Sales of trading securities | 6,313 | 12,493 | 22,418 |
| Sales or distributions of other invested assets (including flight equipment) | 10,495 | 10,745 | 16,354 |
| Sales of divested businesses, net | 21,760 | 5,278 | - |
| Principal payments received on mortgage and other loans receivable | 4,276 | 4,282 | 4,357 |
| Principal payments received on and sales of finance receivables held for investment | 1,134 | 4,913 | 5,786 |
| Funding to establish Maiden Lane III LLC | - | - | (5,000) |
| Purchases of available for sale investments | (79,263) | (58,859) | (94,981) |
| Purchases of trading securities | (3,003) | (4,854) | (19,717) |
| Purchases of other invested assets (including flight equipment) | (7,850) | (10,270) | (21,569) |
| Mortgage and other loans receivable issued | (2,509) | (2,763) | (3,422) |
| Finance receivables held for investment originations and purchases | (486) | (3,520) | (6,420) |
| Net additions to real estate, fixed assets, and other assets | (267) | (341) | (1,023) |
| Net change in restricted cash | (27,115) | (250) | (2,362) |
| Net change in short-term investments | (5,233) | (9,021) | (4,421) |
| Net change in derivative assets and liabilities other than Capital Markets | 267 | (127) | (1,289) |
| Other, net | (332) | 2,953 | 48,205* |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities continuing operations | (10,943) | 6,406 | 43,401 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities discontinued operations | 718 | (628) | 3,775 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | \$ (10,225) | \$ 5,778 | \$ 47,176 |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | | |
| Proceeds from (payments for) | | | |
| Policyholder contract deposits | \$ 19,570 | \$ 21,546 | \$ 23,713 |
| Policyholder contract withdrawals | (14,897) | (26,258) | (36,875) |
| Change in commercial paper and other short-term debt | 225 | (425) | (8,912) |
| Change in Federal Reserve Bank of New York Commercial Paper Funding Facility borrowings | (5,855) | (10,647) | 15,061 |
| Federal Reserve Bank of New York credit facility borrowings | 19,900 | 32,526 | 96,650 |
| Federal Reserve Bank of New York credit facility repayments | (23,178) | (26,426) | (59,850) |
| Issuance of other long-term debt | 13,046 | 3,452 | 107,324 |
| Repayments on other long-term debt | (15,976) | (19,451) | (134,219) |
| Proceeds from issuance of Series D preferred stock | - | - | 40,000 |
| Drawdown on the Department of the Treasury Commitment | 2,199 | 5,344 | - |
| Issuance of common stock | - | - | 7,343 |
| Payments advanced to purchase shares | - | - | (1,000) |
| Cash dividends paid to shareholders | - | - | (1,628) |
| Other, net | (579) | (671) | (72,800)* |
| Net cash used in financing activities continuing operations | (5,545) | (21,010) | (25,193) |
| Net cash used in financing activities discontinued operations | (3,716) | (7,987) | (15,541) |
| Net cash used in financing activities | \$ (9,261) | \$ (28,997) | \$ (40,734) |

Supplementary disclosure of cash flow information:**Cash paid during the period for:**

| | | | |
|----------|------------|------------|------------|
| Interest | \$ (5,166) | \$ (5,777) | \$ (7,437) |
| Taxes | \$ (1,002) | \$ (226) | \$ (617) |

Non-cash financing/investing activities:

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Noncontrolling nonvoting callable, junior and senior preferred interests held by Federal Reserve Bank of New

| | | | | | | |
|--|----|-------|----|--------|----|--------|
| York | \$ | - | \$ | 25,000 | \$ | - |
| Consideration received for preferred stock not yet issued | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 23,000 |
| Interest credited to policyholder contract deposits included in financing activities | \$ | 9,294 | \$ | 12,615 | \$ | 2,566 |
| Treasury stock acquired using payments advanced to purchase shares | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 1,912 |
| Present value of future contract adjustment payments related to issuance of equity units | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 431 |
| Long-term debt reduction due to deconsolidations | \$ | - | \$ | 775 | \$ | - |
| Exchange of equity units and extinguishment of junior subordinated debentures | \$ | 3,657 | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Non-cash consideration received from sale of ALICO | \$ | 9,041 | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Debt assumed on consolidation of variable interest entities | \$ | 2,591 | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Debt assumed on acquisition | \$ | 164 | \$ | - | \$ | 153 |

*

Includes \$48.5 billion related to change in securities lending invested collateral in investing activities and \$72.8 billion related to change in securities lending payable in financing activities.

See Accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Index of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

| | Page | |
|-----------------|---|------------|
| Note 1. | <u>Basis of Presentation and Recent Events</u> | <u>207</u> |
| Note 2. | <u>Summary of Significant Accounting Policies</u> | <u>212</u> |
| Note 3. | <u>Segment Information</u> | <u>232</u> |
| Note 4. | <u>Divested Businesses, Discontinued Operations and Held for Sale Classification</u> | <u>239</u> |
| Note 5 | <u>Business Combination</u> | <u>243</u> |
| Note 6. | <u>Fair Value Measurements</u> | <u>245</u> |
| Note 7. | <u>Investments</u> | <u>270</u> |
| Note 8. | <u>Lending Activities</u> | <u>279</u> |
| Note 9. | <u>Reinsurance</u> | <u>281</u> |
| Note 10. | <u>Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs</u> | <u>283</u> |
| Note 11. | <u>Variable Interest Entities</u> | <u>284</u> |
| Note 12. | <u>Derivatives and Hedge Accounting</u> | <u>289</u> |
| Note 13. | <u>Liability for Unpaid Claims and Claims Adjustment Expense and Future Policy Benefits for Life and Accident and Health Insurance Contracts and Policyholder Contract Deposits</u> | <u>300</u> |
| Note 14. | <u>Variable Life and Annuity Contracts</u> | <u>302</u> |
| Note 15. | <u>Debt Outstanding</u> | <u>304</u> |
| Note 16. | <u>Commitments, Contingencies and Guarantees</u> | <u>311</u> |
| Note 17. | <u>Total Equity and Earnings (Loss) Per Share</u> | <u>330</u> |
| Note 18. | <u>Statutory Financial Data and Restrictions</u> | <u>336</u> |
| Note 19. | <u>Share-based Compensation and Other Plans</u> | <u>337</u> |
| Note 20. | <u>Employee Benefits</u> | <u>343</u> |
| Note 21. | <u>Ownership</u> | <u>353</u> |
| Note 22. | <u>Income Taxes</u> | <u>354</u> |
| Note 23. | <u>Restructuring</u> | <u>360</u> |
| Note 24. | <u>Quarterly Financial Information (Unaudited)</u> | <u>363</u> |
| Note 25. | <u>Information Provided in Connection With Outstanding Debt</u> | <u>364</u> |
| Note 26 | <u>Subsequent Events (Unaudited)</u> | <u>373</u> |

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. Basis of Presentation and Recent Events

American International Group, Inc. (AIG) is a leading international insurance organization with operations in more than 130 countries. AIG companies serve commercial, institutional and individual customers through one of the most extensive worldwide property-casualty networks of any insurer. In addition, AIG companies are leading providers of life insurance and retirement services in the United States.

Since September 2008, AIG has been working to protect and enhance the value of its key businesses, execute an orderly asset disposition plan, and position itself for the future. AIG has entered into several important transactions and relationships with the Federal Reserve Bank of New York (FRBNY), the AIG Credit Facility Trust (together with its trustees, acting in their capacity as trustees, the Trust) and the United States Department of the Treasury (the Department of the Treasury) during this period. As a result of the series of integrated transactions to recapitalize AIG, on January 14, 2011, the Department of the Treasury became the holder of 92.2 percent of AIG's outstanding common stock. See Recent Events below for further information and Note 26 to the Consolidated Financial Statements herein for financial information regarding subsequent events.

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of AIG, its controlled subsidiaries (through a greater than 50 percent ownership of voting rights of a voting interest entity), and variable interest entities (VIEs) in which AIG is the primary beneficiary. Entities that AIG does not consolidate but in which it holds 20 percent to 50 percent of the voting rights or otherwise has the ability to exercise significant influence are accounted for under the equity method unless AIG has elected the fair value option. On October 29, 2010, AIG completed an initial public offering of 8.08 billion ordinary shares of AIA Group Limited (AIA), upon the completion of which AIG owned approximately 33 percent of AIA's outstanding shares. AIG is accounting for its investment in AIA under the fair value option with gains and losses recorded in Net investment income.

Certain of AIG's foreign subsidiaries included in the consolidated financial statements report on different fiscal year bases, in most cases ending November 30. The effect on AIG's consolidated financial condition and results of operations of all material events occurring between the fiscal year end and December 31 for all periods presented has been recorded.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). All material intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires the application of accounting policies that often involve a significant degree of judgment. AIG considers that its accounting policies that are most dependent on the application of estimates and assumptions are those relating to items considered by management in the determination of:

insurance liabilities, including general insurance unpaid claims and claims adjustment expenses and future policy benefits for life and accident and health contracts;

recoverability of assets, including deferred policy acquisition costs (DAC) and flight equipment;

estimated gross profits for investment-oriented products;

impairment charges, including other-than-temporary impairments;

liabilities for legal contingencies;

estimates with respect to income taxes, including recoverability of deferred tax assets;

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

fair value measurements of certain financial assets and liabilities, including credit default swaps (CDS) and AIG's economic interest in Maiden Lane II LLC (ML II) and equity interest in Maiden Lane III LLC (ML III) (together, the Maiden Lane Interests); and

classification of entities as held for sale or as discontinued operations.

These accounting estimates require the use of assumptions about matters, some of which are highly uncertain at the time of estimation. To the extent actual experience differs from the assumptions used, AIG's consolidated financial condition, results of operations and cash flows could be materially affected.

Revisions and Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications have been made to prior periods to conform to the current presentation. See Notes 3, 4 and 24 herein for revisions and reclassifications to prior period amounts attributable to discontinued operations.

Out of Period Adjustments

For the year ended December 31, 2010, AIG recorded out of period adjustments relating to prior years that decreased Income from continuing operations before income taxes and increased Loss from discontinued operations before income taxes by \$233 million and \$92 million, respectively, and decreased Net income attributable to AIG by \$216 million. The out of period adjustments primarily related to the effect of recording impairments on certain consolidated investments held in Institutional Asset Management, which affected the calculation of income taxes, impairments on certain partnership investments held by SunAmerica Financial Group (SunAmerica), certain tax adjustments, and a foreign currency adjustment.

Had all adjustments been recorded in their appropriate periods, the Net loss attributable to AIG for the year ended December 31, 2009 would have increased by \$542 million, from \$10.9 billion to \$11.5 billion.

While these adjustments were noteworthy for the periods, after evaluating the quantitative and qualitative aspects of these corrections, AIG concluded that its prior period financial statements were not materially misstated and, therefore, no restatement was required. See Note 24 herein for the effects on the unaudited quarterly information for 2010 and 2009.

Recent Events

AIG has been working to protect and enhance the value of its key businesses, execute an orderly asset disposition plan and position itself for the future. AIG continually reassesses this plan to maximize value while maintaining flexibility in managing its liquidity and capital.

AIG recently completed the Recapitalization (described below) and reached multiple milestones in connection with executing its asset disposition plan and raising capital. As a result of the completion of the Recapitalization and other transactions (including sales of businesses, specific asset dispositions and capital raised), as well as AIG's consideration of its financial flexibility (all discussed below) AIG has concluded that it has sufficient liquidity to satisfy its future liquidity requirements, including reasonably foreseeable contingencies or events.

Recapitalization

On January 14, 2011 (the Closing), AIG completed a series of integrated transactions to recapitalize AIG (the Recapitalization) with the Department of the Treasury, the FRBNY and the Trust, including the repayment of all amounts owed under the Credit Agreement, dated as of September 22, 2008 (as amended, the FRBNY Credit Facility).

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Repayment and Termination of the FRBNY Credit Facility

At the Closing, AIG repaid to the FRBNY approximately \$21 billion in cash, representing complete repayment of all amounts owing under the FRBNY Credit Facility, and the FRBNY Credit Facility was terminated. The funds for the repayment came from the net cash proceeds from AIG's sale of 67 percent of the ordinary shares of AIA Group, Limited (AIA) in its initial public offering and from AIG's sale of American Life Insurance Company (ALICO). These funds were loaned to AIG, in the form of secured limited recourse debt (the SPV Intercompany Loans), from the special purpose vehicles that held the proceeds of the AIA IPO and the ALICO sale (the SPVs, and such loans, the SPV Intercompany Loans). The SPV Intercompany Loans are secured by pledges and any proceeds received from the sale by AIG and certain of its subsidiaries of, among other collateral, all or part of their equity interests in Nan Shan Life Insurance Company, Ltd. (Nan Shan) and International Lease Finance Corporation (ILFC) and, together with Nan Shan, the Designated Entities), as well as the remaining AIA ordinary shares held by the AIA SPV and certain of the MetLife, Inc. (MetLife) securities received from the sale of ALICO held by the ALICO SPV. Until their sale on February 1, 2011, AIG Star Life Insurance Company Ltd. (AIG Star) and AIG Edison Life Insurance Company (AIG Edison) were also Designated Entities. See Note 26 herein.

Repurchase and Exchange of SPV Preferred Interests

At the Closing, AIG drew down approximately \$20.3 billion (the Series F Closing Drawdown Amount) under the Department of the Treasury's commitment (the Department of the Treasury Commitment (Series F)) pursuant to the Securities Purchase Agreement, dated as of April 17, 2009 (the Series F SPA), between AIG and the Department of the Treasury relating to AIG's Series F Fixed Rate Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, par value \$5.00 per share (the Series F Preferred Stock). The Series F Closing Drawdown Amount was the full amount remaining under the Department of the Treasury Commitment (Series F), less \$2 billion that AIG designated to be available after the closing for general corporate purposes under a commitment relating to AIG's Series G Cumulative Mandatory Convertible Preferred Stock, par value \$5.00 per share (the Series G Preferred Stock), described below (the Series G Drawdown Right). The right of AIG to draw on the Department of the Treasury Commitment (Series F) (other than the Series G Drawdown Right) was terminated.

AIG used the Series F Closing Drawdown Amount to repurchase all of the FRBNY's SPV Preferred Interests. AIG transferred the SPV Preferred Interests to the Department of the Treasury as part of the consideration for the exchange of the Series F Preferred Stock, described below.

The Department of the Treasury, so long as it holds SPV Preferred Interests, has the right, subject to existing contractual restrictions, to require AIG to dispose of the remaining AIA common shares held by the AIA SPV and certain of the MetLife securities received from the sale of ALICO held by the ALICO SPV. The consent of the Department of the Treasury, so long as it holds SPV Preferred Interests, will also be required for AIG to take specified significant actions with respect to the Designated Entities, including initial public offerings, sales, significant acquisitions or dispositions and incurrence of specified levels of indebtedness. If any SPV Preferred Interests are outstanding on May 1, 2013, the Department of the Treasury will have the right to compel the sale of all or a portion of one or more of the Designated Entities on terms that it will determine.

As a result of these transactions, the SPV Preferred Interests are no longer considered permanent equity on AIG's balance sheet, and will be classified as redeemable noncontrolling interests in partially owned consolidated subsidiaries at the Closing.

Issuance of AIG's Series G Preferred Stock

At the Closing, AIG and the Department of the Treasury amended and restated the Series F SPA to provide for the issuance of 20,000 shares of Series G Preferred Stock by AIG to the Department of the Treasury. The Series G Preferred Stock initially has a liquidation preference of zero, which will increase by the amount of any

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

funds drawn down by AIG under the Series G Drawdown Right from the Closing until March 31, 2012 (or the earlier termination of the Series G Drawdown Right).

Dividends on the Series G Preferred Stock are payable on a cumulative basis at a rate per annum of 5 percent, compounded quarterly, of the aggregate liquidation preference of the Series G Preferred Stock and may be paid, at AIG's option, in cash or in increases in the liquidation preference.

The available funding under the Series G Drawdown Right that may be used for general corporate purposes will be reduced by the amount of net proceeds of future AIG equity offerings, and net proceeds from an equity offering in excess of the available funding under the Series G Drawdown Right will be required to be used to pay down any liquidation preference of the Series G Preferred Stock. The Series G Preferred Stock will be redeemable at any time in cash at AIG's option, at a redemption price equal to the liquidation preference plus accrued and unpaid dividends.

If the Series G Preferred Stock has an outstanding aggregate liquidation preference on March 31, 2012, it will be converted into a number of shares of AIG common stock, par value \$2.50 per share (AIG Common Stock), equal to the aggregate liquidation preference plus accrued and unpaid dividends divided by the lesser of \$29.29 or 80 percent of the average volume weighted average price over 30 consecutive trading days commencing January 20, 2011.

Exchange of AIG's Series C, E and F Preferred Stock for AIG Common Stock and Series G Preferred Stock

At the closing of the Recapitalization:

the shares of AIG's Series C Perpetual, Convertible, Participating Preferred Stock, par value \$5.00 per share (the Series C Preferred Stock), held by the Trust were exchanged for 562,868,096 shares of AIG Common Stock which were subsequently transferred by the Trust to the Department of the Treasury;

the shares of AIG's Series E Fixed Rate Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, par value \$5.00 per share (the Series E Preferred Stock), held by the Department of the Treasury were exchanged for 924,546,133 shares of AIG Common Stock; and

the shares of the Series F Preferred Stock held by the Department of the Treasury were exchanged for (a) the SPV Preferred Interests, (b) 20,000 shares of the Series G Preferred Stock and (c) 167,623,733 shares of AIG Common Stock. As a result of the Recapitalization, the Department of the Treasury holds 1,655,037,962 shares of newly issued AIG Common Stock, representing ownership of approximately 92.2 percent of the outstanding AIG Common Stock.

The issuance of AIG Common Stock in connection with the exchange for the Series C Preferred Stock, the Series E Preferred Stock and the Series F Preferred Stock will, in future periods, significantly affect the determination of net income attributable to common shareholders and the weighted average shares outstanding, both of which are used to compute earnings per share.

AIG entered into a registration rights agreement with the Department of the Treasury that granted the Department of the Treasury registration rights with respect to the shares of AIG Common Stock issued at the Closing, including:

the right to participate in any registered offering of AIG Common Stock by AIG after the Closing;

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

the right to demand no more than twice in any 12-month period that AIG effect a registered market offering of its shares after the earlier of August 15, 2011 and the date of AIG's completion of a primary equity offering;

the right to engage in at-the-market offerings; and

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

subject to certain exceptions, the right to approve the terms, conditions and pricing of any registered offering in which it participates until its ownership falls below 33 percent of AIG's voting securities.

AIG has the right to:

raise up to \$3 billion (and up to an additional \$4 billion with the consent of the Department of the Treasury) by January 14, 2012 in a registered primary offering; and

if the AIG Board of Directors determines, after consultations with the Department of the Treasury, that due to events affecting AIG's insurance subsidiaries, AIG Parent's reasonably projected aggregate liquidity (cash, cash equivalents and commitments of credit, but not the Series G Drawdown Right) will fall below \$8 billion within 12 months of the date of such determination, raise the greater of \$2 billion and the amount of the projected deficit;

Until the Department of the Treasury's ownership of AIG's voting securities falls below 33 percent, the Department of the Treasury will, subject to certain exceptions, have complete control over the terms, conditions and pricing of any offering in which it participates, including any primary offering by AIG. As a result, if AIG seeks to conduct an offering of its equity securities (other than an offering described in the preceding paragraph) the Department of the Treasury may decide to participate in the offering, and to prevent AIG from selling any equity securities.

Issuance to AIG's Common Shareholders of Warrants to Purchase AIG Common Stock

As part of the Recapitalization, on January 19, 2011, AIG issued to the holders of record of AIG Common Stock on January 13, 2011, by means of a dividend, ten-year warrants to purchase a total of up to 75 million shares of AIG Common Stock at an exercise price of \$45.00 per share. None of the Trust, the Department of the Treasury and the FRBNY received these warrants.

Sales of Businesses and Specific Asset Dispositions

On February 1, 2011, AIG completed the sale of its Japan-based life insurance subsidiaries, AIG Star Life Insurance Co., Ltd. (AIG Star) and AIG Edison Life Insurance Company (AIG Edison), to Prudential Financial, Inc., for \$4.8 billion, consisting of \$4.2 billion in cash and \$0.6 billion in the assumption of third-party debt. See also Note 26 herein.

On January 12, 2011, AIG entered into an agreement to sell its 97.57 percent interest in Nan Shan Life Insurance Company, Ltd. (Nan Shan) for \$2.16 billion in cash. See also Note 26 herein.

On November 30, 2010, AIG completed the sale of 80 percent of American General Finance Inc. (AGF) and retained a 20 percent economic (16 percent voting) interest in the AGF business.

On November 1, 2010, AIG completed the sale of ALICO to MetLife for approximately \$16.2 billion (\$7.2 billion in cash and the remainder in securities of MetLife).

On October 29, 2010, AIG sold, in an initial public offering, 8.08 billion shares (or approximately 67 percent) of AIA for \$20.51 billion.

See Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information on these transactions.

Capital Raised

On November 30, 2010, AIG issued \$2.0 billion in senior debt and on December 8, AIG established a \$500 million contingent liquidity facility.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

On December 23, 2010, AIG entered into 364-day and three-year bank credit facilities totaling \$3 billion and Chartis entered into a one-year \$1.3 billion letter of credit facility.

During 2010, ILFC significantly increased its liquidity position through a combination of new secured and unsecured debt issuances of approximately \$9.8 billion and an extension of the maturity date of \$2.16 billion of its \$2.5 billion revolving credit facility from October 2011 to October 2012. Approximately \$4.0 billion of the \$9.8 billion in debt issued in 2010 was used to repay loans and accrued interest due to AIG. AIG used the \$4.0 billion received from ILFC to reduce the principal amount outstanding under the FRBNY Credit Facility. In addition, during 2010, ILFC agreed to sell 66 aircraft to third parties, of which 60 aircraft, with an aggregate net book value of approximately \$2.6 billion, met the criteria to be classified as held for sale. Fifty-seven of the 66 aircraft were sold, of which 51 had been classified as held for sale. These sales generated approximately \$2.1 billion in gross proceeds during 2010. At December 31, 2010, nine aircraft were recorded in Assets held for sale on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. The sales of the remaining individual aircraft are expected to be consummated during the first quarter of 2011.

Financial Flexibility Assessment

In assessing AIG's current financial flexibility and developing operating plans for the future, management has made significant judgments and estimates with respect to the potential financial and liquidity effects of AIG's risks and uncertainties, including but not limited to:

the potential for declines in bond and equity markets;

the potential effect on AIG if the capital levels of its regulated and unregulated subsidiaries prove inadequate to support current business plans;

AIG's continued ability to generate cash flow from operations;

the potential adverse effects on AIG's businesses that could result if there are further downgrades by rating agencies; and

the potential for regulatory limitations on AIG's business in one or more countries.

While AIG remains subject to potential financial and liquidity risks and uncertainties, AIG believes the impact of these risks and uncertainties has decreased significantly compared to 2009.

AIG believes that it has sufficient liquidity to satisfy future liquidity requirements and meet its obligations, including reasonably foreseeable contingencies and events.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

(a) Revenue recognition and expenses:

Premiums and other considerations: Premiums for short duration contracts and considerations received from retailers in connection with sales of extended service contracts are earned primarily on a pro rata basis over the term of the related coverage. The reserve for unearned premiums includes the portion of premiums written and other considerations relating to the unexpired terms of coverage.

Premiums for long duration insurance products and life contingent annuities are recognized as revenues when due. Estimates for premiums due but not yet collected are accrued. Consideration for universal life and investment-type products consists of policy charges for the cost of insurance, administration and surrenders during the period. Policy charges collected with respect to future services are deferred and recognized

in a manner similar to DAC related to such products.

212 AIG 2010 Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Net investment income: Net investment income represents income primarily from the following sources in AIG's insurance operations and AIG Parent:

Interest income and related expenses, including amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts on bonds with changes in the timing and the amount of expected principal and interest cash flows reflected in the yield, as applicable.

Dividend income and distributions from common and preferred stock and other investments when receivable.

Realized and unrealized gains and losses from investments in trading securities accounted for at fair value.

Earnings from hedge funds and limited partnership investments accounted for under the equity method.

The difference between the carrying amount of a life settlement contract and the life insurance proceeds of the underlying life insurance policy recorded in income upon the death of the insured.

Change in fair value of AIG's interests in ML II, ML III, AIA and MetLife.

Net realized capital gains (losses): Net realized capital gains and losses are determined by specific identification. The net realized capital gains and losses are generated primarily from the following sources:

Sales of fixed maturity securities and equity securities (except trading securities accounted for at fair value), real estate, investments in joint ventures and limited partnerships and other types of investments.

Reductions to the cost basis of fixed maturity securities and equity securities (except trading securities accounted for at fair value) and other invested assets for other-than-temporary impairments.

Changes in fair value of derivatives except for (1) those instruments at Capital Markets, (2) those instruments that qualify for hedge accounting treatment when the change in the fair value of the hedged item is not reported in net realized capital gains (losses), and (3) those instruments that are designated as economic hedges of financial instruments for which the fair value option has been elected.

Exchange gains and losses resulting from foreign currency transactions.

Unrealized market valuation gains (losses) on Capital Markets' super senior credit default swap portfolio: Includes the market valuation gains and losses associated with Capital Markets' super senior credit default swap (CDS) portfolio.

Other income: Other income includes income from flight equipment and Asset Management operations.

Income from flight equipment under operating leases is recognized over the life of the lease as rentals become receivable under the provisions of the lease or, in the case of leases with varying payments, under the straight-line method over the noncancelable term of the lease. In certain cases, leases provide for additional payments contingent on usage. Rental income is recognized at the time such usage occurs, less a provision

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

for estimated future contractual aircraft maintenance reimbursements. Gains and losses on flight equipment are recognized as other income when flight equipment is sold and the risk of ownership of the equipment is passed to the new owner.

Income from Institutional Asset Management is generally recognized as revenues as services are performed with expenses generally recognized consistent with related revenues.

Other Income from the operations of Direct Investment business and AIG's Other category consists of the following:

Change in fair value relating to financial assets and liabilities for which the fair value option has been elected.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Interest income and related expenses, including amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts on bonds with changes in the timing and the amount of expected principal and interest cash flows reflected in the yield, as applicable.

Dividend income and distributions from common and preferred stock and other investments when receivable.

Changes in the fair value of derivatives. In certain instances, no initial gain or loss was recognized. Prior to January 1, 2008, the initial gain or loss was recognized in income over the life of the transaction or when observable market data became available. Any remaining unamortized balances at January 1, 2008 were recognized in beginning retained earnings when the fair value option was elected.

Changes in the fair value of trading securities and spot commodities sold but not yet purchased, futures and hybrid financial instruments.

Realized capital gains and losses from the sales of available for sale securities and investments in private equities, joint ventures, limited partnerships and other investments.

Income earned on real estate based investments and related losses from property level impairments and financing costs.

Exchange gains and losses resulting from foreign currency transactions.

Reductions to the cost basis of securities available for sale for other-than-temporary impairments.

Earnings from hedge funds and limited partnership investments accounted for under the equity method.

Policyholder benefits and claims incurred: Incurred claims and claims adjustment expenses for short duration insurance contracts consist of the estimated ultimate cost of settling claims incurred within the reporting period, including incurred but not reported claims, plus the changes in estimates of current and prior period losses resulting from the continuous review process. Benefits for long duration insurance contracts consist of benefits paid and changes in future policy benefits liabilities. Benefits for universal life and investment-type products primarily consist of interest credited to policy account balances and benefit payments made in excess of policy account balances except for certain contracts for which the fair value option was elected, for which benefits represent the entire change in fair value (including derivative gains and losses on related economic hedges).

Restructuring expenses and related asset impairment and other expenses: Restructuring expenses include employee severance and related costs, costs to terminate contractual arrangements, consulting and other professional fees and other costs related to restructuring and divestiture activities. Asset impairment includes charges associated with writing down long-lived assets to fair value when their carrying values are not recoverable from undiscounted cash flows. Other expenses include other costs associated with divesting of businesses and costs of employee retention awards.

Net (gain) loss on sale of divested businesses and properties: Includes gains or losses from the sales of businesses that do not qualify as discontinued operations and sales of previously occupied properties.

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

(b) Income taxes: Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recorded for the effects of temporary differences between the tax basis of an asset or liability and its reported amount in the consolidated financial statements. AIG assesses its ability to realize deferred tax assets considering all available evidence, including the earnings history, the timing, character and amount of future earnings potential, the reversal of taxable temporary differences and the tax planning strategies available to the legal entities when recognizing deferred tax assets. See Note 22 herein for a further discussion of income taxes.

(c) Held-for-sale and discontinued operations: AIG reports a business as held for sale when management has approved or received approval to sell the business and is committed to a formal plan, the business is available for immediate sale, the business is being actively marketed, the sale is anticipated to occur during the ensuing year

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

and certain other specified criteria are met. A business classified as held for sale is recorded at the lower of its carrying amount or estimated fair value less cost to sell. If the carrying amount of the business exceeds its estimated fair value, a loss is recognized. Depreciation is not recorded on assets of a business classified as held for sale. Assets and liabilities related to a business classified as held for sale are segregated in the Consolidated Balance Sheet and major classes are separately disclosed in the notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements commencing in the period in which the business is classified as held for sale.

AIG reports the results of operations of a business as discontinued operations if the business is classified as held for sale, the operations and cash flows of the business have been or will be eliminated from the ongoing operations of AIG as a result of a disposal transaction and AIG will not have any significant continuing involvement in the operations of the business after the disposal transaction. The results of discontinued operations are reported in Discontinued Operations in the Consolidated Statement of Income (Loss) for current and prior periods commencing in the period in which the business is either disposed of or is classified as held for sale, including any gain or loss recognized on closing or adjustment of the carrying amount to fair value less cost to sell.

(d) Investments:

Fixed maturities and equity securities: Bonds held to maturity are carried at amortized cost when AIG has the ability and positive intent to hold these securities until maturity. None of the fixed maturity securities met the criteria for held to maturity classification at December 31, 2010 and 2009. When AIG does not have the positive intent to hold bonds until maturity, these securities are classified as available for sale or as trading and are carried at fair value.

Premiums and discounts arising from the purchase of bonds classified as held to maturity or available for sale are treated as yield adjustments over their estimated lives, until maturity, or call date, if applicable.

Common and preferred stocks are carried at fair value.

For AIG's Financial Services subsidiaries, those securities for which the fair value option was not elected, are held to meet long-term investment objectives and are accounted for as available for sale, carried at fair values and recorded on a trade-date basis.

For AIG Parent and its insurance subsidiaries, unrealized gains and losses on investments in trading securities are reported in Net investment income. Unrealized gains and losses from available for sale investments in equity and fixed maturity securities are reported as a separate component of Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of deferred acquisition costs and deferred income taxes, in consolidated shareholders' equity. Investments in fixed maturities and equity securities are recorded on a trade-date basis.

Trading securities include the investment portfolio of Direct Investment business and the Maiden Lane Interests, all of which are carried at fair value.

Trading securities for Direct Investment business are held to meet short-term investment objectives and to economically hedge other securities. Trading securities are recorded on a trade-date basis and carried at fair value. Realized and unrealized gains and losses are reflected in Other income.

For discussion of AIG's other-than-temporary impairment policy, see Note 7 herein.

Mortgage and other loans receivable net: Mortgage and other loans receivable includes mortgage loans on real estate, policy loans and collateral, commercial loans and guaranteed loans. Mortgage loans on real estate and collateral, commercial loans and guaranteed loans are carried at unpaid principal balances less credit allowances and plus or minus adjustments for the accretion or amortization of discount or premium. Interest income on such loans is accrued as earned.

Impairment of mortgage and other loans receivable is based on certain risk factors, including past due status. For commercial mortgages in particular, risk factors evaluated in monitoring credit quality also include debt

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

service coverage ratio, loan-to-value or the ratio of the loan balance to the estimated value of the property, property occupancy, profile of the borrower and major property tenants, economic trends in the market where the property is located, and condition of the property. Mortgage and other loans receivable are considered impaired when collection of all amounts due under contractual terms is not probable. This impairment is generally measured based on the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate subject to the fair value of underlying collateral. Interest income on such impaired loans is recognized as cash is received.

Mortgage and other loans receivable also include policy loans which are carried at unpaid principal amount. There is no allowance for policy loans because these loans serve to reduce the death benefit paid when the death claim is made and the balances are effectively collateralized by the cash surrender value of the policy.

Finance receivables net: Finance receivables, which are reported net of unearned finance charges, are held for both investment purposes and for sale. Finance receivables held for investment purposes are carried at amortized cost, which includes accrued finance charges on interest bearing finance receivables, unamortized deferred origination costs, and unamortized net premiums and discounts on purchased finance receivables. The allowance for finance receivable losses is established through the provision for finance receivable losses charged to Other expenses and is maintained at a level considered adequate to absorb probable credit losses in the portfolio. The portfolio is periodically evaluated on a pooled basis and factors such as economic conditions, portfolio composition, and loss and delinquency experience are considered in the evaluation of the allowance.

Direct costs of originating finance receivables, net of nonrefundable points and fees, are deferred and included in the carrying amount of the related receivables. The amount deferred is amortized to income as an adjustment to finance charge revenues using the interest method.

Finance receivables originated and intended for sale in the secondary market are carried at the lower of cost or fair value, as determined by aggregate outstanding commitments from investors, current investor yield requirements or negotiations with prospective purchasers, if any.

Flight equipment primarily under operating leases net: Flight equipment is stated at cost (adjusted for any impairment charges), net of accumulated depreciation. Major additions, modifications and interest are capitalized. Normal maintenance and repairs, airframe and engine overhauls and compliance with return conditions of flight equipment on lease are provided by and paid for by the lessee. Under the provisions of most leases for certain airframe and engine overhauls, the lessee is reimbursed for certain costs incurred up to but not exceeding contingent rentals paid to ILFC by the lessee. ILFC provides a charge to income for such reimbursements based on the expected reimbursements during the life of the lease. For passenger aircraft, depreciation is generally computed on the straight-line basis to a residual value of approximately 15 percent of the cost of the asset over its estimated useful life of 25 years. For freighter aircraft, depreciation is computed on the straight-line basis to a zero residual value over its useful life of 35 years.

Aircraft in the fleet are evaluated for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets is measured by comparing the carrying amount of an asset to future undiscounted net cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. These evaluations for impairment are significantly affected by estimates of future net cash flows and other factors that involve uncertainty. There are a number of factors and circumstances that can influence (and increase) the potential for recognizing an impairment loss. A firm commitment to sell aircraft would result in aircraft being reclassified from held for use to held for sale for financial reporting purposes and would require an impairment assessment based on the aircraft's fair value. An increase in the likelihood of a sale transaction being completed could result in a similar impairment assessment if the probability of an aircraft sale becomes high enough to reduce the probability weighted expected undiscounted future cash flows to be realized from the aircraft to an amount that is less than its carrying value.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

When assets are retired or disposed of, the cost and associated accumulated depreciation are removed from the related accounts and the difference, net of proceeds, is recorded as a gain or loss in Other income.

Accumulated depreciation on flight equipment was \$12.9 billion and \$13.9 billion at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Other invested assets: Other invested assets consist primarily of investments by AIG's insurance operations in hedge funds, private equity funds, other investment partnerships and direct private equity investments. AIG's remaining 33 percent interest in AIA is also included in Other invested assets.

Hedge funds, private equity funds and other investment partnerships in which AIG's insurance operations hold in the aggregate less than a five percent interest are reported at fair value. The change in fair value is recognized as a component of Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). With respect to hedge funds, private equity funds and other investment partnerships in which AIG holds in the aggregate a five percent or greater interest or less than a five percent interest but in which AIG has more than a minor influence over the operations of the investee, AIG's carrying value is its share of the net asset value of the funds or the partnerships. The changes in such net asset values, accounted for under the equity method, are recorded in Net investment income.

In applying the equity method of accounting, AIG consistently uses the most recently available financial information provided by the general partner or manager of each of these investments, which is one to three months prior to the end of AIG's reporting period. The financial statements of these investees are generally audited on an annual basis.

Other invested assets include direct private equity investments entered into for strategic purposes and not solely for capital appreciation or for income generation. These investments are accounted for under the equity method. AIG is accounting for its investment in 33 percent of AIA's outstanding ordinary shares under the fair value option with gains and losses recorded in Net investment income. See Note 7(c) herein for further information.

Also included in Other invested assets are real estate held for investment, aircraft asset investments held by non-Financial Services subsidiaries and investments in life settlement contracts. See Note 7(c) herein for further information.

Securities purchased (sold) under agreements to resell (repurchase), at contract value: Securities purchased under agreements to resell and Securities sold under agreements to repurchase (other than those entered into by the Direct Investment business) generally are accounted for as collateralized borrowing or lending transactions and are recorded at their contracted resale or repurchase amounts plus accrued interest. AIG carries such agreements at fair value based on market observable interest rates and credit spreads. AIG's policy is to take possession of or obtain a security interest in securities purchased under agreements to resell.

When AIG does not obtain cash collateral sufficient to fund substantially all of the cost of purchasing identical replacement securities during the term of the contract (generally less than 90 percent of the security value), AIG accounts for the transaction as a sale of the security and reports the obligation to repurchase the security as a derivative contract. Where securities are carried in the available for sale category, AIG records a gain or loss in income. Where changes in fair value of securities are recognized through income, no additional gain or loss is recognized. The fair value of securities transferred under repurchase agreements accounted for as sales was \$2.7 billion and \$2.3 billion at December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively, and the related cash collateral obtained was \$2.1 billion and \$1.5 billion at December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively.

AIG minimizes the risk that counterparties to transactions might be unable to fulfill their contractual obligations by monitoring customer credit exposure and collateral value and generally requiring additional collateral to be deposited with AIG when necessary.

Short-term investments: Short-term investments consist of interest-bearing cash equivalents, time deposits, and investments with original maturities within one year from the date of purchase, such as commercial paper.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(e) Cash: Cash represents cash on hand and non-interest bearing demand deposits.

(f) Premiums and other receivables: Premiums and other receivables includes premium balances receivable, amounts due from agents and brokers and insureds, trade receivables for Direct Investment business and Capital Markets and other receivables. Trade receivables for Capital Markets include receivables from derivative counterparties. The allowance for doubtful accounts on premiums and other receivables was \$515 million and \$537 million at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

(g) Reinsurance assets net: Reinsurance assets include the balances due from reinsurance and insurance companies under the terms of AIG's reinsurance agreements for paid and unpaid losses and loss expenses, ceded unearned premiums and ceded future policy benefits for life and accident and health insurance contracts and benefits paid and unpaid. Amounts related to paid and unpaid losses and benefits and loss expenses with respect to these reinsurance agreements are substantially collateralized. The allowance for doubtful accounts on reinsurance assets was \$493 million and \$440 million at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

(h) Deferred policy acquisition costs: Policy acquisition costs represent those costs, including commissions, premium taxes and other underwriting expenses that vary with and are primarily related to the acquisition of new business.

Short-duration insurance contracts: Policy acquisition costs are deferred and amortized over the period in which the related premiums written are earned. DAC is grouped consistent with the manner in which the insurance contracts are acquired, serviced and measured for profitability and is reviewed for recoverability based on the profitability of the underlying insurance contracts. Investment income is not anticipated in assessing the recoverability of DAC.

Long-duration insurance contracts: Policy acquisition costs for participating life, traditional life and accident and health insurance products are generally deferred and amortized, with interest, over the premium paying period. Policy acquisition costs and policy issuance costs related to universal life, and investment-type products (investment-oriented products) are deferred and amortized, with interest, in relation to the incidence of estimated gross profits to be realized over the estimated lives of the contracts. Estimated gross profits are composed of net interest income, net realized investment gains and losses, fees, surrender charges, expenses, and mortality and morbidity gains and losses. If estimated gross profits change significantly, DAC is recalculated using the new assumptions. Any resulting adjustment is included in income as an adjustment to DAC. DAC is grouped consistent with the manner in which the insurance contracts are acquired, serviced and measured for profitability and is reviewed for recoverability based on the current and projected future profitability of the underlying insurance contracts.

The DAC for investment-oriented products is also adjusted with respect to estimated gross profits as a result of changes in the net unrealized gains or losses on fixed maturity and equity securities available for sale. Because fixed maturity and equity securities available for sale are carried at aggregate fair value, an adjustment is made to DAC equal to the change in amortization that would have been recorded if such securities had been sold at their stated aggregate fair value and the proceeds reinvested at current yields. The change in this adjustment, net of tax, is included with the change in net unrealized gains/losses on fixed maturity and equity securities available for sale that is credited or charged directly to Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss).

Value of Business Acquired (VOBA) is determined at the time of acquisition and is reported in the Consolidated Balance Sheet with DAC. This value is based on the present value of future pre-tax profits discounted at yields applicable at the time of purchase. For participating life, traditional life and accident and health insurance products, VOBA is amortized over the life of the business similar to that for DAC based on the assumptions at purchase. For universal life, and investment-oriented products, VOBA is amortized in relation to the estimated gross profits to date for each period.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For contracts accounted for at fair value, policy acquisition costs are expensed as incurred and not deferred or amortized.

(i) Real estate and other fixed assets net: The cost of buildings and furniture and equipment is depreciated principally on the straight-line basis over their estimated useful lives (maximum of 40 years for buildings and ten years for furniture and equipment). Expenditures for maintenance and repairs are charged to income as incurred; expenditures for betterments are capitalized and depreciated. AIG periodically assesses the carrying value of its real estate for purposes of determining any asset impairment.

Also included in Real estate and other fixed assets are capitalized software costs, which represent costs directly related to obtaining, developing or upgrading internal use software. Such costs are capitalized and amortized using the straight-line method over a period generally not exceeding five years.

Real estate, fixed assets and other long-lived assets are assessed for impairment when impairment indicators exist.

Accumulated depreciation on real estate and other fixed assets was \$3.6 billion and \$5.4 billion at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

(j) Unrealized gain and Unrealized loss on swaps, options and forward transactions: Interest rate, currency, equity and commodity swaps, credit contracts (including Capital Markets' super senior credit default swap portfolio), swaptions, options and forward transactions are accounted for as derivatives recorded on a trade-date basis, and carried at fair value. Unrealized gains and losses are reflected in income, when appropriate. In certain instances, a contract's transaction price is the best indication of initial fair value. Aggregate asset or liability positions are netted on the Consolidated Balance Sheet only to the extent permitted by qualifying master netting arrangements in place with each respective counterparty. Cash collateral posted by AIG with counterparties in conjunction with these transactions is reported as a reduction of the corresponding net derivative liability, while cash collateral received by AIG in conjunction with these transactions is reported as a reduction of the corresponding net derivative asset.

(k) Goodwill: Goodwill is the excess of the cost of an acquired business over the fair value of the identifiable net assets of the acquired business. Goodwill is tested for impairment annually, or more frequently if circumstances indicate an impairment may have occurred. During 2010, AIG performed goodwill impairment tests at March 31, June 30, September 30, in connection with the announced sales of ALICO, AIG Star and AIG Edison and again at December 31, 2010.

The impairment assessment involves a two-step process in which an initial assessment for potential impairment is performed and, if potential impairment is present, the amount of impairment is measured (if any) and recorded. Impairment is tested at the reporting unit level.

Management initially assesses the potential for impairment by estimating the fair value of each of AIG's reporting units and comparing the estimated fair values with the carrying amounts of those reporting units, including allocated goodwill. The estimate of a reporting unit's fair value may be based on one or a combination of approaches including market-based earning multiples of the unit's peer companies, discounted expected future cash flows, external appraisals or, in the case of reporting units being considered for sale, third-party indications of fair value, if available. Management considers one or more of these estimates when determining the fair value of a reporting unit to be used in the impairment test.

If the estimated fair value of a reporting unit exceeds its carrying value, goodwill is not impaired. If the carrying value of a reporting unit exceeds its estimated fair value, goodwill associated with that reporting unit potentially is impaired. The amount of impairment, if any, is measured as the excess of the carrying value of goodwill over the estimated fair value of the goodwill. The estimated fair value of the goodwill is measured as the excess of the fair value of the reporting unit over the amounts that would be assigned to the reporting unit's assets and liabilities in a hypothetical business combination. An impairment charge is recognized in earnings to the extent of the excess.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

In connection with the announced sale of ALICO on March 7, 2010 and management's decision that ALICO met the held-for-sale criteria on March 31, 2010, total goodwill of \$4.6 billion associated with the Foreign Life Insurance & Retirement Services Japan operating segment was allocated between ALICO and the AIG Star and AIG Edison reporting unit (Reporting Unit) based on their relative fair values as of March 31, 2010. This resulted in \$3.3 billion and \$1.3 billion of goodwill being allocated to ALICO and the AIG Star and AIG Edison Reporting Unit, respectively for the purpose of assessing goodwill impairment. Management initially tested goodwill for impairment in both reporting units and determined the fair values of ALICO and AIG Star and AIG Edison exceeded book value at March 31, 2010, by 1 percent and 51 percent, respectively, and, therefore, the goodwill of these reporting units was considered not impaired at that time. The fair value of ALICO used to test goodwill for impairment at March 31, 2010 was determined by AIG by considering, among other information, a third-party valuation at March 31, 2010 of the announced proceeds from the sale of ALICO to MetLife.

At June 30, 2010, AIG estimated the fair value of ALICO and determined it to be less than its carrying value. Accordingly, AIG performed the second step of the goodwill impairment analysis and estimated the implied fair value of the goodwill allocated to ALICO by measuring the excess of the estimated fair value of ALICO over the amounts that would be assigned to ALICO's assets and liabilities in a hypothetical business combination. Based on the results of the goodwill impairment test, AIG determined that all of the goodwill allocated to ALICO should be impaired and, accordingly, recognized a goodwill impairment charge of \$3.3 billion in the second quarter of 2010. The fair value of ALICO used to test goodwill for impairment at June 30, 2010 was determined by updating the fair value of ALICO determined at March 31, 2010 discussed above.

In connection with the announced sale of AIG Star and AIG Edison on September 30, 2010 and management's determination that the Reporting Unit met the held-for-sale criteria, management tested the \$1.3 billion of goodwill of the Reporting Unit for impairment. AIG estimated the fair value of the Reporting Unit based on the consideration to be received pursuant to the agreement with Prudential Financial Inc. and determined the fair value to be less than its carrying value. Accordingly, AIG performed the second step of the goodwill impairment analysis and estimated the implied fair value of the goodwill allocated to the Reporting Unit by measuring the excess of the estimated fair value of the Reporting Unit over the amounts that would be assigned to the Reporting Unit's assets and liabilities in a hypothetical business combination. Based on the results of the goodwill impairment test, AIG determined that all of the goodwill allocated to the Reporting Unit should be impaired and, accordingly, recognized a goodwill impairment charge of \$1.3 billion in the third quarter of 2010.

At December 31, 2010, AIG performed its annual goodwill impairment test. Based on the results of the goodwill impairment test, AIG concluded that the remaining goodwill was not impaired.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**The following table presents the changes in goodwill by reportable segment:**

| <i>(in millions)</i> | Chartis | SunAmerica Financial Group | Financial Services | Other | Total |
|---|----------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|----------|-----------|
| Balance at December 31, 2008: | | | | | |
| Goodwill gross | \$ 2,405 | \$ 1,301 | \$ 791 | \$ 6,540 | \$ 11,037 |
| Accumulated impairments | (1,196) | (1,220) | (791) | (878) | (4,085) |
| Net goodwill | 1,209 | 81 | - | 5,662 | 6,952 |
| Increase (decrease) due to: | | | | | |
| Goodwill impairments | - | (81) | - | (612) | (693) |
| Sales of business units | - | - | - | (83) | (83) |
| Consolidation/Deconsolidation ^(a) | - | - | - | (477) | (477) |
| Other ^(b) | 75 | - | - | 13 | 88 |
| Activity of discontinued operations | - | - | - | 424 | 424 |
| Reclassified to Assets of businesses held for sale | - | - | - | (16) | (16) |
| Balance at December 31, 2009: | | | | | |
| Goodwill gross | \$ 2,480 | \$ 1,301 | \$ 791 | \$ 6,401 | \$ 10,973 |
| Accumulated impairments | (1,196) | (1,301) | (791) | (1,490) | (4,778) |
| Net goodwill | \$ 1,284 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 4,911 | \$ 6,195 |
| Increase (decrease) due to: | | | | | |
| Acquisition | 33 | - | - | - | 33 |
| Sales of business units | - | - | - | (69) | (69) |
| Other ^(b) | 16 | - | - | (86) | (70) |
| Goodwill impairment included in discontinued operations | - | - | - | (4,625) | (4,625) |
| Dispositions ^(c) | - | - | - | (131) | (131) |
| Balance at December 31, 2010: | | | | | |
| Goodwill gross | \$ 2,529 | \$ 1,301 | \$ 791 | \$ 1,490 | \$ 6,111 |
| Accumulated impairments | (1,196) | (1,301) | (791) | (1,490) | (4,778) |
| Net goodwill | \$ 1,333 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 1,333 |

(a) Represents increase/decrease in AIG's ownership of consolidated investments.

(b) Includes foreign exchange translation and purchase price adjustments (PPA).

(c) Reflects the deconsolidation of AIA.

(I) **Other assets:** Other assets consists of a prepaid commitment fee asset related to the FRBNY Credit Agreement, prepaid expenses, including deferred advertising costs, sales inducement assets, deposits, other deferred charges, restricted cash, including net cash proceeds from

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

the AIA initial public offering and ALICO sale held in escrow pending the January 14, 2011 closing of the Recapitalization transactions and intangible assets other than goodwill. The prepaid commitment fee asset related to the FRBNY Credit Agreement was amortized as interest expense ratably over the five-year term of the agreement, accelerated for actual pay-downs that reduce the total credit available. The remaining unamortized prepaid commitment fee asset of \$3.6 billion at December 31, 2010 will be derecognized by AIG through earnings upon the closing of the Recapitalization on January 14, 2011. See Note 26 herein for additional information on the Recapitalization.

Certain direct response advertising costs are deferred and amortized over the expected future benefit period. When AIG can demonstrate that its customers have responded specifically to direct-response advertising, the primary purpose of which is to elicit sales to customers, and when it can be shown such advertising results in probable future economic benefits, the advertising costs are capitalized. Deferred advertising costs are amortized on a cost-pool-by-cost-pool basis over the expected future economic benefit period and are reviewed regularly for

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

recoverability. Deferred advertising costs totaled \$200 million and \$207 million at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The amount of expense amortized into income was \$40 million, \$173 million and \$483 million, for the years ended 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

AIG offers sales inducements, which include enhanced crediting rates or bonus payments to contract holders (bonus interest) on certain annuity and investment contract products. Sales inducements provided to the contractholder are recognized as part of the liability for policyholders' contract deposits in the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Such amounts are deferred and amortized over the life of the contract using the same methodology and assumptions used to amortize DAC. To qualify for such accounting treatment, the bonus interest must be explicitly identified in the contract at inception, and AIG must demonstrate that such amounts are incremental to amounts AIG credits on similar contracts without bonus interest, and are higher than the contract's expected ongoing crediting rates for periods after the bonus period. The deferred bonus interest and other deferred sales inducement assets totaled \$856 million and \$1.3 billion at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The amortization expense associated with these assets is reported within Policyholder benefits and claims incurred in the Consolidated Statement of Income (Loss). Such amortization expense totaled \$194 million, \$215 million and \$2 million for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

All commodities are recorded at the lower of cost or fair value. The exposure to market risk may be reduced through the use of forwards, futures and option contracts. Lower of cost or fair value reductions in commodity positions and unrealized gains and losses in related derivatives are reflected in Other income.

See Note 12 herein for a discussion of derivatives.

(m) Separate accounts: Separate accounts represent funds for which investment income and investment gains and losses accrue directly to the policyholders who bear the investment risk. Each account has specific investment objectives, and the assets are carried at fair value. The assets of each account are legally segregated and are not subject to claims that arise out of any other business of AIG. The liabilities for these accounts are equal to the account assets.

(n) Liability for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expense: Claims and claims adjustment expenses are charged to income as incurred. The liability for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expense represents the accumulation of estimates for unpaid reported losses and includes provisions for losses incurred but not reported. The methods of determining such estimates and establishing resulting reserves, including amounts relating to allowances for estimated unrecoverable reinsurance, are reviewed and updated. If the estimate of reserves is determined to be inadequate or redundant, the increase or decrease is reflected in income. AIG discounts its loss reserves relating to workers' compensation business written by its U.S. domiciled subsidiaries as permitted by the domiciliary statutory regulatory authorities.

(o) Future policy benefits for life and accident and health contracts and Policyholder contract deposits: The liability for future policy benefits and policyholder contract deposits are established using assumptions described in Note 13 herein. Future policy benefits for life and accident and health insurance contracts include provisions for future dividends to participating policyholders, accrued in accordance with all applicable regulatory or contractual provisions. Also included in Future policy benefits are liabilities for annuities issued in structured settlement arrangements whereby a claimant has agreed to settle a general insurance claim in exchange for fixed payments over a fixed determinable period of time with a life contingency feature. Structured settlement liabilities are presented on a discounted basis as the settled claims are fixed and determinable. Policyholder contract deposits include AIG's liability for (a) certain guarantee benefits accounted for as embedded derivatives at fair value, (b) annuities issued in a structured settlement arrangement with no life contingency and (c) certain contracts that AIG has elected to account for at fair value beginning in 2008.

See Note 6 herein for additional fair value disclosures.

(p) Other policyholder funds: Other policyholder funds are reported at cost and include any policyholders' funds on deposit that encompass premium deposits and similar items.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(q) Securities and spot commodities sold but not yet purchased, at fair value: Securities and spot commodities sold but not yet purchased represent sales of securities and spot commodities not owned at the time of sale. The obligations arising from such transactions are recorded on a trade-date basis and carried at fair value. Also included are obligations under gold leases, which are accounted for as a debt host with an embedded gold derivative which are accounted for under the fair value option.

(r) Other liabilities: Other liabilities consist of other funds on deposit, and other payables. AIG has entered into certain insurance and reinsurance contracts, primarily in its Chartis segment, that do not contain sufficient insurance risk to be accounted for as insurance or reinsurance. Accordingly, the premiums received on such contracts, after deduction for certain related expenses, are recorded as deposits within Other liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Net proceeds of these deposits are invested and generate Net investment income. As amounts are paid, consistent with the underlying contracts, the deposit liability is reduced. Also included in Other liabilities are trade payables for Direct Investment business and Capital Markets which include option premiums received and payables to counterparties that relate to unrealized gains and losses on futures, forwards, and options and balances due to clearing brokers and exchanges.

(s) FRBNY Credit Facility: In 2008, AIG obtained funding under the FRBNY Credit Facility . Amounts borrowed under the FRBNY Credit Facility are carried at the principal amount borrowed and also include accrued compounding interest and fees.

(t) Long-Term Debt: AIG's funding consists, in part, of medium and long-term debt. Long-term debt is carried at the principal amount borrowed, net of unamortized discounts or premiums. See Note 15 herein for additional information. Long-term debt also includes liabilities connected to trust preferred stock principally related to outstanding securities issued by SunAmerica Financial Group, Inc. (SAFG, Inc.), a wholly owned subsidiary of AIG, (formerly AIG Life Holdings, Inc.). Cash distributions on such preferred stock are accounted for as interest expense.

(u) Contingent Liabilities: Amounts are accrued for the resolution of claims that have either been asserted or are deemed probable of assertion if, in the opinion of management, it is both probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of the liability can be reasonably estimated. In many cases, it is not possible to determine whether a liability has been incurred or to estimate the ultimate or minimum amount of that liability until years after the contingency arises, in which case, no accrual is made until that time.

(v) Foreign Currency: Financial statement accounts expressed in foreign currencies are translated into U.S. dollars. Functional currency assets and liabilities are translated into U.S. dollars generally using rates of exchange prevailing at the balance sheet date of each respective subsidiary and the related translation adjustments are recorded as a separate component of Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of any related taxes, in consolidated shareholders' equity. Functional currencies are generally the currencies of the local operating environment. Financial statement accounts expressed in currencies other than the functional currency of a consolidated entity are translated into that entity's functional currency. Income statement accounts expressed in functional currencies are translated using average exchange rates during the period. The adjustments resulting from translation of financial statements of foreign entities operating in highly inflationary economies are recorded in income. Exchange gains and losses resulting from foreign currency transactions are recorded in income.

(w) Noncontrolling Interests: *Noncontrolling nonvoting, callable, junior and senior preferred interests held by the FRBNY:* Represents preferred interests in two wholly-owned SPVs formed to hold all the common stock of AIA and ALICO. The preferred interests were measured at fair value on their issuance date. AIG transferred the preferred interests in the SPVs to the FRBNY in consideration for a \$25 billion reduction of the FRBNY Credit Facility. The preferred interests have a liquidation preference of \$25 billion and have a preferred return of five percent per year compounded quarterly through September 22, 2013 and nine percent thereafter. The preferred return is reflected in Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to noncontrolling nonvoting, callable, junior and senior preferred interests held by the FRBNY in the Consolidated Statement of Income (Loss). The difference between the preferred interests' fair value and the initial liquidation preference will be amortized and

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

included in Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to noncontrolling nonvoting, callable, junior and senior preferred interests held by the FRBNY. These noncontrolling interests, other than the senior preferred interests in the ALICO SPV, which were redeemed in full, were transferred to the Department of the Treasury as part of the January 14, 2011 Recapitalization transactions.

Other Noncontrolling interests: Includes the equity interest of outside shareholders in AIG's consolidated subsidiaries and includes the preferred shareholders' equity in outstanding preferred stock of ILFC, a wholly owned subsidiary of AIG. Cash distributions on such preferred stock or interest are accounted for as interest expense. This preferred stock consists of 1,000 shares of market auction preferred stock (MAPS) in two series (Series A and B) of 500 shares each. Each of the MAPS shares has a liquidation value of \$100,000 per share and is not convertible. The dividend rate, other than the initial rate, for each dividend period for each series is reset approximately every seven weeks (49 days) on the basis of orders placed in an auction, provided such auctions are able to occur. At the present time, there is no ability to conduct such auctions, therefore, the MAPS certificate of determination dictates that a maximum applicable rate, as defined in the certificate of determination, be paid on the MAPS. At December 31, 2010, the dividend rate for each of the Series A and Series B MAPS was 0.53 percent and 0.61 percent respectively.

(x) Earnings (Loss) per Share: Basic earnings or loss per share and diluted loss per share are based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding, adjusted to reflect all stock dividends and stock splits. Diluted earnings per share is based on those shares used in basic earnings per share plus shares that would have been outstanding assuming issuance of common shares for all dilutive potential common shares outstanding, adjusted to reflect all stock dividends and stock splits.

See Note 17 herein for additional earnings (loss) per share disclosures.

(y) Recent Accounting Standards:***Future Application of Accounting Standards******Consolidation of Investments in Separate Accounts***

In April 2010, the FASB issued an accounting standard that clarifies that an insurance company should not combine any investments held in separate account interests with its interest in the same investment held in its general account when assessing the investment for consolidation. Separate accounts represent funds for which investment income and investment gains and losses accrue directly to the policyholders who bear the investment risk. The standard also provides guidance on how an insurer should consolidate an investment fund in situations in which the insurer concludes that consolidation of an investment is required and the insurer's interest is through its general account in addition to any separate accounts. The new standard is effective for interim and annual periods beginning on January 1, 2011 for AIG. Earlier application is permitted. AIG expects to adopt this new standard on January 1, 2011. AIG does not expect the adoption of this new standard to have a material effect on its consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Accounting for Costs Associated with Acquiring or Renewing Insurance Contracts

In October 2010, the FASB issued an accounting standard update that amends the accounting for costs incurred by insurance companies that can be capitalized in connection with acquiring or renewing insurance contracts. The new standard clarifies how to determine whether the costs incurred in connection with the acquisition of new or renewal insurance contracts qualify as deferred acquisition costs. The new standard is effective for interim and annual periods beginning on January 1, 2012 with early adoption permitted. Prospective or retrospective application is permitted. AIG has not determined whether it will adopt this new standard prospectively or retrospectively. The accounting standard update will result in a decrease of the amount of capitalized costs in connection with the acquisition or renewal of insurance contracts. AIG is currently assessing the effect of adoption of this new standard on its consolidated financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS*Accounting Standards Adopted During 2010**Accounting for Transfers of Financial Assets*

In June 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued an accounting standard addressing transfers of financial assets that removes the concept of a qualifying special-purpose entity (QSPE) from the FASB Accounting Standards Codification and removes the exception that exempted transferors from applying the consolidation rules to QSPEs.

The new standard was effective for interim and annual periods beginning on January 1, 2010 for AIG. Earlier application was prohibited. The adoption of this standard increased both assets and liabilities by approximately \$1.3 billion as a result of consolidating two previously unconsolidated QSPEs. The adoption of this new standard did not have a material effect on AIG's consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities

In June 2009, the FASB issued an accounting standard that amends the guidance addressing consolidation of certain variable interest entities with an approach focused on identifying which enterprise has the power to direct the activities of a variable interest entity that most significantly affect the entity's economic performance and has (1) the obligation to absorb losses of the entity or (2) the right to receive benefits from the entity. The new standard also requires enhanced financial reporting by enterprises involved with variable interest entities.

The following table summarizes the two methods applied by AIG and the amount and classification in the Consolidated Balance Sheet of the assets and liabilities consolidated as a result of the adoption of the new standard on January 1, 2010:

| <i>(in millions)</i> | Transition Methods | | Total |
|---|------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|
| | Fair Value Option | Carrying Value | |
| Assets: | | | |
| Bond trading securities, at fair value | \$ 1,239 | \$ 1,262 | \$ 2,501 |
| Mortgage and other loans receivable | - | 1,980 | 1,980 |
| Other invested assets | - | 480 | 480 |
| Other asset accounts | 194 | 150 | 344 |
| Assets held for sale | 4,630 | - | 4,630 |
| Total Assets | \$ 6,063 | \$ 3,872 | \$ 9,935 |
| Liabilities: | | | |
| FRBNY commercial paper funding facility | \$ 1,088 | \$ - | \$ 1,088 |
| Other long-term debt | - | 1,533 | 1,533 |
| Other liability accounts | 1 | 31 | 32 |
| Liabilities held for sale | 4,525 | - | 4,525 |
| Total Liabilities | \$ 5,614 | \$ 1,564 | \$ 7,178 |

The cumulative effect adjustment of electing the fair value option was not material to AIG's accumulated deficit.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following table summarizes the excess of amounts previously recorded upon the consolidation of previously unconsolidated VIEs, as a result of the adoption of the new standard on January 1, 2010:

(in billions)

| | |
|--|---------------|
| Assets | \$ 8.2 |
| Liabilities | 7.1 |
| Redeemable noncontrolling interest | 1.1 |
| Equity: | |
| Accumulated deficit | 0.2 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | (0.3) |
| Other noncontrolling interests | 0.1 |
| Total liabilities and equity | \$ 8.2 |

In February 2010, the FASB also issued an update to the aforementioned accounting standard that defers the revised consolidation rules for variable interest entities with attributes of, or similar to, an investment company or money market fund. The primary effect of this deferral for AIG is that AIG will continue to apply the consolidation rules in effect before the amended guidance discussed above for its interests in eligible entities, such as certain mutual funds.

Accounting for Embedded Credit Derivatives

In March 2010, the FASB issued an accounting standard that amends the accounting for embedded credit derivative features in structured securities that redistribute credit risk in the form of subordination of one financial instrument to another. The new standard clarifies how to determine whether embedded credit derivative features, including those in collateralized debt obligations (CDOs), credit-linked notes (CLNs), synthetic CDOs and CLNs and other synthetic securities (e.g., commercial and residential mortgage-backed securities issued by securitization entities that wrote credit derivatives), are considered to be embedded derivatives that should be analyzed for potential bifurcation and separate accounting or, alternatively, for fair value accounting in connection with the application of the fair value option to the entire hybrid instrument. AIG adopted the new standard on July 1, 2010 and recorded a reclassification of \$256 million of synthetic securities from Bonds available for sale to Bond trading securities and also reclassified a gain of \$68 million from Accumulated other comprehensive income to Accumulated deficit as of July 1, 2010. Upon adoption, AIG accounts for its investments in synthetic securities otherwise requiring bifurcation at fair value, with changes in fair value recognized in earnings. The adoption of this new standard did not have a material effect on AIG's consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Disclosure about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables

In July 2010, the FASB issued guidance to enhance disclosures about the credit quality of financing receivables that are not measured at fair value. This guidance requires a greater level of disaggregated information about the credit quality of financing receivables and the related allowance for credit losses. In addition, this guidance requires disclosure of credit quality indicators, past due information, and modifications of financing receivables. The disclosures as of the end of a reporting period became effective for interim and annual reporting periods ended on or after December 15, 2010 and are included herein. The disclosures about activity that occurs during a reporting period became effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning on or after December 15, 2010. In January 2011, the FASB issued an accounting standard update that temporarily defers the effective date for disclosures on modifications of financing receivables by creditors.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Accounting Standards Adopted During 2009

Business Combinations

In December 2007, the FASB issued an accounting standard that changed the accounting for business combinations in a number of ways, including broadening the transactions or events that are considered business combinations; requiring an acquirer to recognize 100 percent of the fair value of certain assets acquired, liabilities assumed and noncontrolling (i.e., minority) interests; and recognizing contingent consideration arrangements at their acquisition-date fair values with subsequent changes in fair value generally reflected in earnings, among other changes.

AIG adopted the new business combination standard for business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after January 1, 2009. The adoption of the new standard did not have a material effect on AIG's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows at and for the year ended December 31, 2009, but will affect the future accounting for business combinations, if any, as well as goodwill impairment assessments. See Note 5 herein for further discussion.

Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements

In December 2007, the FASB issued an accounting standard that requires noncontrolling (i.e., minority) interests in partially owned consolidated subsidiaries to be classified in the Consolidated Balance Sheet as a separate component of equity, or in the mezzanine section of the Consolidated Balance Sheet (between liabilities and equity) if such interests do not qualify for "permanent equity" classification. The new standard also specifies the accounting treatment for subsequent acquisitions and sales of noncontrolling interests and how noncontrolling interests should be presented in the Consolidated Statement of Income (Loss). The noncontrolling interests' share of subsidiary income (loss) should be reported as a part of consolidated Net income (loss) with disclosure of the attribution of consolidated Net income (loss) to the controlling and noncontrolling interests on the face of the Consolidated Statement of Income (Loss).

AIG adopted the new standard on January 1, 2009 and applied it prospectively, except for presentation and disclosure requirements. The Consolidated Statement of Income (Loss) for the year ended December 31, 2008 has been retrospectively recast to include net income (loss) attributable to both the controlling and noncontrolling interests.

Noncontrolling interests also includes junior and senior non-voting, callable preferred interests issued in connection with the \$25 billion reduction in the outstanding balance and maximum borrowing commitment under the FRBNY Credit Facility. See Note 17 herein for further discussion.

Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

In March 2008, the FASB issued an accounting standard that requires enhanced disclosures about (a) how and why AIG uses derivative instruments, (b) how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for and (c) how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect AIG's consolidated financial condition, results of operations, and cash flows. AIG adopted the new standard on January 1, 2009. See Note 12 herein for related disclosures.

Accounting for Transfers of Financial Assets and Repurchase Financing Transactions

In February 2008, the FASB issued an accounting standard that requires an initial transfer of a financial asset and a repurchase financing that was entered into contemporaneously with or in contemplation of the initial transfer to be evaluated as a linked transaction unless certain criteria are met. AIG adopted the new standard for new transactions entered into from that date forward. The adoption of the new standard did not have a material effect on AIG's consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Determining Whether an Instrument (or Embedded Feature) is Indexed to an Entity's Own Stock

In June 2008, the FASB issued an accounting standard that addresses how to determine whether a financial instrument (or embedded feature) is indexed to an entity's own stock and therefore may not be accounted for as a derivative instrument. AIG adopted the new standard on January 1, 2009, which resulted in a \$15 million cumulative effect adjustment to opening Accumulated deficit and a \$91 million reduction in Additional paid-in capital.

Interim Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments

In April 2009, the FASB issued an accounting standard that requires companies to disclose in interim financial statements information about the fair value of financial instruments (including methods and significant assumptions used). The standard also requires the disclosures of summarized financial information for interim reporting periods. AIG adopted the new standard on April 1, 2009.

Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments

In April 2009, the FASB issued an accounting standard that requires a company to recognize the credit component of an other-than-temporary impairment of a fixed maturity security in earnings and the non-credit component in accumulated other comprehensive income when the company does not intend to sell the security or it is more likely than not that the company will not be required to sell the security prior to recovery. The standard also changed the threshold for determining when an other-than-temporary impairment has occurred on a fixed maturity security with respect to intent and ability to hold until recovery. The standard does not change the recognition of other-than-temporary impairment for equity securities. The standard requires additional disclosures in interim and annual reporting periods for fixed maturity and equity securities. See Note 7 herein for the expanded disclosures.

AIG adopted the new standard on April 1, 2009 and recorded an after-tax cumulative effect adjustment to increase AIG shareholders' equity by \$2.5 billion as of April 1, 2009, consisting of a decrease in Accumulated deficit of \$11.8 billion and an increase to Accumulated other comprehensive loss of \$9.3 billion, net of tax. The net increase in AIG's shareholders' equity was due to a reversal of a portion of the deferred tax asset valuation allowance for certain previous non-credit impairment charges directly attributable to the change in accounting principle (see Note 22 herein). The cumulative effect adjustment resulted in an increase of approximately \$16 billion in the amortized cost of fixed maturity securities, which has the effect of significantly reducing the accretion of investment income over the remaining life of the underlying securities, beginning in the second quarter of 2009. The effect of the reduced investment income will be offset, in part, by a decrease in the amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs (DAC) and sales inducements assets (SIA).

The new standard is expected to reduce the level of other-than-temporary impairment charges recorded in earnings for fixed maturity securities due to the following required changes in AIG's accounting policy for other-than-temporary impairments (see Note 7 herein for a more detailed discussion of the changes in policy):

Impairment charges for non-credit (e.g., severity) losses are no longer recognized;

The amortized cost basis of credit impaired securities will be written down through a charge to earnings to the present value of expected cash flows, rather than to fair value; and

For fixed maturity securities that are not deemed to be credit-impaired, AIG is no longer required to assert that it has the intent and ability to hold such securities to recovery to avoid an other-than-temporary impairment charge. Instead, an impairment charge through earnings is required only in situations where AIG has the intent to sell the fixed maturity security or it is more likely than not that AIG will be required to sell the security prior to recovery.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following table presents the components of the change in AIG shareholders' equity at April 1, 2009 due to the adoption of the new accounting standard for other-than-temporary impairments:

| <i>(in billions)</i> | Accumulated Deficit | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss | AIG Shareholders' Equity |
|--|------------------------|---|--------------------------------|
| Increase (decrease) to: | | | |
| Net effect of the increase in amortized cost of available for sale fixed maturity securities | \$ 16.1 | \$ (16.1) | \$ |
| Net effect of related DAC, SIA and other insurance balances | (1.8) | 1.8 | |
| Net effect on deferred income tax assets | (2.5) | 5.0 | 2.5 |
| Net increase in AIG shareholders' equity | \$ 11.8 | \$ (9.3) | \$ 2.5 |

Determining Fair Value When the Volume and Level of Activity for the Asset or Liability Have Significantly Decreased and Identifying Transactions That Are Not Orderly

In April 2009 the FASB issued an accounting standard that provides guidance for estimating the fair value of assets and liabilities when the volume and level of activity for an asset or liability have significantly decreased and for identifying circumstances that indicate a transaction is not orderly. The new standard also requires extensive additional fair value disclosures. The adoption of the new standard on April 1, 2009, did not have a material effect on AIG's consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Employers' Disclosures about Postretirement Benefit Plan Assets

In December 2008, the FASB issued an accounting standard that requires more detailed disclosures about an employer's plan assets, including the employer's investment strategies, major categories of plan assets, concentrations of risk within plan assets, and valuation techniques used to measure the fair values of plan assets. The new standard was effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2009. The adoption of the new standard had no effect on AIG's consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. See Note 20 herein for disclosures.

Measuring Liabilities at Fair Value

In August 2009, the FASB issued an accounting standard to clarify how the fair value measurement principles should be applied to measuring liabilities carried at fair value. The new standard explains how to prioritize market inputs in measuring liabilities at fair value and what adjustments to market inputs are appropriate for debt obligations that are restricted from being transferred to another obligor. The new standard was effective beginning October 1, 2009 for AIG. The adoption of the new standard did not have a material effect on AIG's consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Investments in Certain Entities that Calculate Net Asset Value per Share (or Its Equivalent)

In September 2009, the FASB issued an accounting standard that permits, as a practical expedient, a company to measure the fair value of an investment that is within the scope of the update on the basis of the net asset value per share of the investment (or its equivalent) if that value is calculated in accordance with fair value as defined by the FASB. The standard also requires enhanced disclosures. The new standard applies to investment companies that do not have readily determinable fair values such as certain hedge funds and private equity funds. The new standard was effective for interim and annual periods ending after December 15, 2009. The adoption of the new standard did not have a material effect on AIG's consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. See Note 6 herein for disclosure.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Accounting and Reporting for Decreases in Ownership of a Subsidiary

In January 2010, the FASB issued an accounting standard that clarifies that the partial sale and deconsolidation provisions of the accounting standards addressing consolidation should be applied to (1) a business that is not in the legal form of a subsidiary, (2) transactions with equity method investees and joint ventures, (3) exchanges of groups of assets that constitute businesses for noncontrolling interests in other entities and (4) the deconsolidation of a subsidiary that does not qualify as a business if the substance of the transaction is not addressed directly by other guidance, and that the accounting standards addressing consolidation do not apply to the sales of in-substance real estate. The adoption of the new standard did not have a material effect on AIG's consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Accounting Standards Adopted During 2008

Fair Value Measurements and Fair Value Option

In September 2006, the FASB issued an accounting standard that defined fair value, established a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosure requirements regarding fair value measurements but did not change existing guidance about whether an asset or liability is carried at fair value. The standard nullifies the guidance that precluded the recognition of a trading profit at the inception of a derivative contract unless the fair value of such contract was obtained from a quoted market price or other valuation technique incorporating observable market data. The standard also clarifies that an issuer's credit standing should be considered when measuring liabilities at fair value. The fair value measurement and related disclosure guidance in the standard do not apply to fair value measurements associated with AIG's share-based employee compensation awards.

AIG adopted the standard on January 1, 2008, its required effective date. The standard was applied prospectively, except for certain stand-alone derivatives and hybrid instruments, which were applied as a cumulative effect of change in accounting principle to retained earnings at January 1, 2008. The cumulative effect, net of taxes, of adopting the standard on AIG's Consolidated Balance Sheet was an increase in retained earnings of \$4 million.

The most significant effect of adopting the standard on AIG's consolidated results of operations for 2008 related to changes in fair value methodologies with respect to both liabilities already carried at fair value, primarily hybrid notes and derivatives, and newly elected liabilities measured at fair value. Specifically, the incorporation of AIG's own credit spreads and the incorporation of explicit risk margins (embedded policy derivatives at transition only) resulted in a increase in pre-tax loss of \$1.8 billion (\$1.2 billion after tax) for 2008. The effects of the changes in AIG's own credit spreads on pre-tax income for Direct Investment business and Capital Markets was an increase of \$1.4 billion for 2008. The effect of the changes in counterparty credit spreads for assets measured at fair value at Direct Investment business and Capital Markets was a decrease in pre-tax income of \$10.7 billion for 2008.

See Note 6 herein for additional disclosures.

In February 2007, the FASB issued an accounting standard that permits entities to choose to measure at fair value many financial instruments and certain other items that are not required to be measured at fair value. Subsequent changes in fair value for designated items are required to be reported in income. The standard also establishes presentation and disclosure requirements for similar types of assets and liabilities measured at fair value. The standard permits the fair value option election on an instrument-by-instrument basis for eligible items existing at the adoption date and at initial recognition of an asset or liability, or upon most events that give rise to a new basis of accounting for that instrument.

AIG adopted the standard on January 1, 2008, its required effective date. The adoption of the standard with respect to elections made for its domestic and foreign life insurance businesses resulted in an after-tax decrease to 2008 opening retained earnings of \$559 million. The adoption of this standard with respect to elections made by Direct Investment business and Capital Markets resulted in an after-tax decrease to 2008 opening retained

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

earnings of \$448 million. Included in this amount are net unrealized gains of \$105 million that were reclassified to retained earnings from accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) related to available for sale securities recorded in the consolidated balance sheet at January 1, 2008 for which the fair value option was elected.

See Note 6 herein for additional fair value disclosures.

The following table summarizes the after-tax increase (decrease) from adopting the accounting standards on Fair Value Measurements and Fair Value Option on the opening shareholders' equity accounts:

| At January 1, 2008 <i>(in millions)</i> | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income/(Loss) | Retained Earnings | Cumulative Effect of Accounting Changes |
|---|--|------------------------------|--|
| Fair Value Measurements | \$ - | \$ 4 | \$ 4 |
| Fair Value Option | (105) | (1,007) | (1,112) |
| Cumulative effect of change in accounting principles | \$ (105) | \$ (1,003) | \$ (1,108) |

Offsetting of Amounts Related to Certain Contracts

In April 2007, the FASB issued an accounting standard that permitted companies to offset cash collateral receivables or payables against derivative instruments under certain circumstances. AIG adopted the provisions of the standard effective January 1, 2008, which required retrospective application to all prior periods presented. At December 31, 2008, the amounts of cash collateral received and posted that were offset against net derivative positions totaled \$7.1 billion and \$19.2 billion, respectively. The cash collateral received and paid related to Capital Markets derivative instruments was previously recorded in Other liabilities and Premiums and other receivables. Cash collateral received related to AIG and its subsidiaries (other than Capital Markets) derivative instruments was previously recorded in Other liabilities.

Disclosures about Credit Derivatives and Certain Guarantees

In September 2008, the FASB issued an accounting standard that requires additional disclosures by sellers of credit derivatives, including derivatives embedded in a hybrid instrument. The standard also requires an additional disclosure about the current status of the payment/performance risk of a guarantee. The additional disclosures are included in Note 12 herein.

Fair Value of Financial Assets in Inactive Markets

In October 2008, the FASB issued an accounting standard that provides guidance clarifying certain aspects with respect to the fair value measurements of a security when the market for that security is inactive. AIG adopted this guidance in the third quarter of 2008. The effects of adopting this standard on AIG's consolidated financial condition, results of operations and cash flows were not material.

Disclosures about Transfers of Financial Assets and Variable Interest Entities

In December 2008, the FASB issued an accounting standard that amends and expands the disclosure requirements regarding transfers of financial assets and a company's involvement with variable interest entities. The standard was effective for interim and annual periods ending after December 15, 2008. Adoption of the standard did not affect AIG's financial condition, results of operations or cash flow, as only additional disclosures were required. The additional disclosures are included in Note 11 herein.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Amendment to Impairment Guidance

In January 2009, the FASB issued an accounting standard that amends the impairment guidance on recognition of interest income and impairment on purchased beneficial interests and beneficial interests that continue to be held by a transferor in securitized financial assets to achieve more consistent determination of whether an other-than-temporary impairment has occurred. The standard also retains and emphasizes the objective of an other-than-temporary impairment assessment and the related disclosure requirements related to the accounting for certain investments in fixed maturity and equity securities and other related guidance. AIG adopted this guidance in the fourth quarter of 2008. The effects of adopting the standard on AIG's consolidated financial condition, results of operations and cash flows were not material.

3. Segment Information

AIG reports the results of its operations through three reportable segments: Chartis, SunAmerica and Financial Services. AIG evaluates performance based on pre-tax income (loss), excluding results from discontinued operations and net (gains) losses on sales of divested businesses, because AIG believes that this provides more meaningful information on how its operations are performing.

In order to align financial reporting with changes made during 2010 to the manner in which AIG's chief operating decision makers review the businesses to make decisions about resources to be allocated and to assess performance, the following changes were made to AIG's segment information.

As a result of the IPO of AIA on October 29, 2010, the results of AIA for the eleven-month period of AIG's ownership are now presented in AIG's Other operations category as part of Divested businesses. Divested businesses include the historical results of divested entities that did not meet the criteria for discontinued operations accounting as well as certain non-core businesses currently in run-off. As a result, AIG no longer has a Foreign Life Insurance & Retirement Services reportable segment.

As a result of AIG's sale of ALICO and AGF, discussed in Note 1 herein, these businesses are presented in discontinued operations and are no longer reported as part of the Foreign Life Insurance & Retirement Services and Financial Services segments, respectively. AIG's remaining foreign life and consumer finance businesses are now reported in AIG's Other operations category as part of Divested businesses.

As a result of AIG's entering into an agreement to sell AIG Star and AIG Edison, AIG Star and AIG Edison are presented in discontinued operations and are no longer reported as part of the Foreign Life Insurance & Retirement Services segment.

During 2010, AIG's Asset Management Group undertook the management responsibilities for non-derivative assets and liabilities of the Capital Markets businesses of the Financial Services segment. The assets are being managed by the Asset Management Group in concert with the Matched Investment Program (MIP) to service the associated liabilities. Accordingly, gains and losses related to these assets and liabilities, primarily consisting of credit valuation adjustment gains and losses are reported in AIG's Other operations category as part of Asset Management - Direct Investment business.

Intercompany interest related to loans from AIG Funding, Inc. (AIG Funding) to AIGFP is no longer being allocated to Capital Markets from Other operations.

The remaining Capital Markets derivatives business continues to be reported in the Financial Services segment as part of Capital Markets results.

Prior periods have been revised to conform to the current period presentation for the above changes.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The reportable segments and their respective operations are as follows:

Chartis: AIG's General Insurance subsidiaries, branded as Chartis, are multi-line companies writing substantially all lines of property and casualty insurance in the U.S. and internationally. Revenues in Chartis represent general insurance Premiums and other considerations, Net investment income and Net realized capital gains (losses). AIG's principal general insurance operations are as follows:

Chartis U.S. writes substantially all classes of business insurance in the U.S. and Canada accepting such business mainly from insurance brokers.

Chartis International writes both commercial and consumer lines of insurance through a network of branches and foreign based insurance subsidiaries. It also writes a small amount of life insurance (primarily traditional life products), particularly in the newly acquired Japanese business, Fuji Fire & Marine Insurance Company Limited (Fuji). Chartis International uses various marketing methods and multiple distribution channels to write both commercial and consumer lines insurance with certain refinements for local laws, customs and needs. Chartis International is established around four regional centers, Asia Pacific, Emerging Markets, Europe and the Far East.

Each of the Chartis operating segments is comprised of groupings of major products and services as follows: Chartis U.S. is comprised of commercial and personal lines insurance products and services sold in the U.S. and Canada; and Chartis International is comprised of general insurance products and services sold overseas.

SunAmerica: SunAmerica offers a comprehensive suite of products and services to individuals and groups including term life, universal life, accident and health, fixed and variable deferred annuities, fixed payout annuities, mutual funds and financial planning. SunAmerica offers its products and services through a diverse, multi-channel distribution network that includes banks, national, regional and independent broker-dealers, affiliated financial advisors, independent marketing organizations, independent and career insurance agents, structured settlement brokers, benefit consultants and direct-to-consumer platforms.

The SunAmerica segment is presented as two operating segments *Domestic Life Insurance*, which focuses on mortality and morbidity based protection products, and *Domestic Retirement Services*, which focuses on investment, retirement savings and income solutions.

Financial Services: AIG's Financial Services subsidiaries engage in diversified activities including commercial aircraft leasing and the remaining Capital Markets' portfolios, which are conducted through ILFC and AIGFP, respectively. Following the classification of AGF as discontinued operations in the third quarter of 2010 (see Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements), AIG's remaining consumer finance businesses are now reported in AIG's Other operations category as part of Divested businesses.

The remaining capital markets derivatives business continues to be reported in the Financial Services segment as part of Capital Markets results.

Prior periods have been revised to conform to the current period presentation for the above changes.

Other Operations: AIG's Other operations includes results from Parent & Other operations, after allocations to AIG's business segments, Mortgage Guaranty operations, Asset Management operations, and results from those divested businesses not included in Discontinued operations.

AIG's Parent & Other operations consist primarily of interest expense, intercompany interest income that is eliminated in consolidation, restructuring costs, expenses of corporate staff not attributable to specific reportable segments, expenses related to efforts to improve internal controls and the financial and operating platforms, corporate initiatives, certain compensation plan expenses, corporate-level net realized capital gains and losses, certain litigation-related charges and net gains and losses on sale of divested businesses and properties that did not qualify for discontinued operations accounting treatment. In addition, fair value gains or losses on AIG's

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

remaining interest in AIA and in the MetLife securities received as consideration from the sale of ALICO are included in Other operations.

Divested businesses include results of certain businesses that have been divested but do not meet the criteria for discontinued operations accounting (including AIA prior to its being deconsolidated as a result of its initial public offering), as well as certain non-core businesses currently in run-off.

Year-end identifiable assets presented in the following tables include assets of businesses held for sale at December 31, 2010 and 2009.

The following table presents AIG's operations by reportable segment:

| <i>(in millions)</i> | Reportable Segments | | | | Consolidation and | | |
|---|---------------------|-------------|--------------------|-----------|-------------------|--------------|--------------|
| | Chartis | SunAmerica | Financial Services | Other | Total | Eliminations | Consolidated |
| 2010 | | | | | | | |
| Total revenues | \$ 37,196 | \$ 14,747 | \$ 5,657 | \$ 20,425 | \$ 78,025 | \$ (724) | \$ 77,301 |
| Other-than-temporary impairment charges ^(a) | 577 | 1,958 | 10 | 494 | 3,039 | - | 3,039 |
| Net (gain) loss on sale of properties and divested businesses | (669) | - | - | (17,098) | (17,767) | - | (17,767) |
| Interest expense ^(b) | 1 | - | 1,813 | 6,452 | 8,266 | (406) | 7,860 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 7,256 | 899 | 2,065 | 1,100 | 11,320 | - | 11,320 |
| Pre-tax income (loss) from continuing operations | (116) | 2,712 | (636) | 15,710 | 17,670 | 266 | 17,936 |
| Capital expenditures | 213 | 57 | 266 | 620 | 1,156 | - | 1,156 |
| Year-end identifiable assets | 172,708 | 260,947 | 77,531 | 276,759 | 787,945 | (104,502) | 683,443 |
| 2009 | | | | | | | |
| Total revenues | \$ 35,023 | \$ 11,366 | \$ 6,730 | \$ 24,342 | \$ 77,461 | \$ (2,109) | \$ 75,352 |
| Other-than-temporary impairment charges ^(a) | 903 | 3,821 | - | 1,972 | 6,696 | - | 6,696 |
| Net (gain) loss on sale of properties and divested businesses | - | - | - | 1,271 | 1,271 | - | 1,271 |
| Interest expense ^(b) | - | - | 1,765 | 13,168 | 14,933 | (698) | 14,235 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 7,005 | 1,140 | 2,074 | 1,855 | 12,074 | - | 12,074 |
| Pre-tax income (loss) from continuing operations | 164 | (1,179) | 2,006 | (14,454) | (13,463) | (844) | (14,307) |
| Capital expenditures | 191 | 52 | 2,588 | 874 | 3,705 | - | 3,705 |
| Year-end identifiable assets | 154,733 | 245,607 | 86,965 | 450,260 | 937,565 | (89,980) | 847,585 |
| 2008 | | | | | | | |
| Total revenues | \$ 33,793 | \$ (19,634) | \$ (25,426) | \$ 6,670 | \$ (4,597) | \$ (2,243) | \$ (6,840) |
| Other-than-temporary impairment charges ^(a) | 4,051 | 30,464 | - | 7,352 | 41,867 | - | 41,867 |
| Interest expense ^(b) | - | - | 1,798 | 14,368 | 16,166 | (462) | 15,704 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 7,933 | 361 | 1,946 | 2,635 | 12,875 | - | 12,875 |
| Pre-tax loss from continuing operations | (2,488) | (34,948) | (29,786) | (34,492) | (101,714) | (1,315) | (103,029) |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-----------|---------|
| Capital expenditures | 179 | 100 | 3,416 | 2,446 | 6,141 | - | 6,141 |
| Year-end identifiable assets | 144,520 | 240,279 | 105,772 | 497,785 | 988,356 | (127,938) | 860,418 |

(a) *Included in Total revenues presented above.*

(b) *Interest expense for Other operations in 2010, 2009 and 2008 includes amortization of prepaid commitment asset of \$3.5 billion, \$8.4 billion and \$9.3 billion, respectively.*

234 AIG 2010 Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**The following table presents Chartis operations by operating segment:**

| <i>(in millions)</i> | Chartis U.S. | Chartis International | Total Operating Segments | Consolidation and Eliminations | Total Chartis |
|---|-----------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------|
| 2010 | | | | | |
| Total revenues | \$ 21,485 | \$ 15,711 | \$ 37,196 | \$ - | \$ 37,196 |
| Net (gain) loss on sale of properties and divested businesses | - | (669) | (669) | - | (669) |
| Claims and claims adjustment expenses incurred | 18,514 | 9,353 | 27,867 | - | 27,867 |
| Underwriting expenses | 4,671 | 5,443 | 10,114 | - | 10,114 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 3,856 | 3,400 | 7,256 | - | 7,256 |
| Pre-tax income (loss) from continuing operations | (1,700) | 1,584 | (116) | - | (116) |
| Capital expenditures | 87 | 126 | 213 | - | 213 |
| Year-end identifiable assets | 112,810 | 59,862 | 172,672 | 36 | 172,708 |
| 2009 | | | | | |
| Total revenues | \$ 21,889 | \$ 13,134 | \$ 35,023 | \$ - | \$ 35,023 |
| Claims and claims adjustment expenses incurred | 17,943 | 7,419 | 25,362 | - | 25,362 |
| Underwriting expenses | 4,401 | 5,096 | 9,497 | - | 9,497 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 3,759 | 3,246 | 7,005 | - | 7,005 |
| Pre-tax income (loss) from continuing operations | (455) | 619 | 164 | - | 164 |
| Capital expenditures | 103 | 88 | 191 | - | 191 |
| Year-end identifiable assets | 109,142 | 45,232 | 154,374 | 359 | 154,733 |
| 2008 | | | | | |
| Total revenues | \$ 21,099 | \$ 12,694 | \$ 33,793 | \$ - | \$ 33,793 |
| Claims and claims adjustment expenses incurred | 18,255 | 7,269 | 25,524 | - | 25,524 |
| Underwriting expenses | 5,887 | 4,870 | 10,757 | - | 10,757 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 4,558 | 3,375 | 7,933 | - | 7,933 |
| Pre-tax income (loss) from continuing operations | (3,043) | 555 | (2,488) | - | (2,488) |
| Capital expenditures | 62 | 117 | 179 | - | 179 |
| Year-end identifiable assets | 105,738 | 39,037 | 144,775 | (255) | 144,520 |

AIG 2010 Form 10-K

235

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following table presents SunAmerica operations by operating segment:

| <i>(in millions)</i> | Domestic Life Insurance | Domestic Retirement Services | Total Operating Segment | Consolidation and Eliminations | SunAmerica Financial Group |
|--|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 2010 | | | | | |
| Total revenues: | | | | | |
| Insurance-oriented products | \$ 5,992 | \$ - | \$ 5,992 | \$ - | \$ 5,992 |
| Retirement savings products | 2,335 | 6,150 | 8,485 | - | 8,485 |
| Asset management revenues | 7 | 263 | 270 | - | 270 |
| Total revenues | 8,334 | 6,413 | 14,747 | - | 14,747 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 629 | 270 | 899 | - | 899 |
| Pre-tax income (loss) from continuing operations | 1,394 | 1,318 | 2,712 | - | 2,712 |
| Capital expenditures | 28 | 29 | 57 | - | 57 |
| Year-end identifiable assets | 105,580 | 175,755 | 281,335 | (20,388) | 260,947 |
| 2009 | | | | | |
| Total revenues: | | | | | |
| Insurance-oriented products | \$ 5,349 | \$ - | \$ 5,349 | \$ - | \$ 5,349 |
| Retirement savings products | 1,993 | 3,611 | 5,604 | - | 5,604 |
| Asset management revenues | 17 | 396 | 413 | - | 413 |
| Total revenues | 7,359 | 4,007 | 11,366 | - | 11,366 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 534 | 606 | 1,140 | - | 1,140 |
| Pre-tax income (loss) from continuing operations | 619 | (1,798) | (1,179) | - | (1,179) |
| Capital expenditures | 17 | 35 | 52 | - | 52 |
| Year-end identifiable assets | 100,600 | 165,436 | 266,036 | (20,429) | 245,607 |
| 2008 | | | | | |
| Total revenues: | | | | | |
| Insurance-oriented products | \$ (3,743) | \$ - | \$ (3,743) | \$ - | \$ (3,743) |
| Retirement savings products | 2,222 | (15,520) | (13,298) | - | (13,298) |
| Asset management revenues | 38 | (2,631) | (2,593) | - | (2,593) |
| Total revenues | (1,483) | (18,151) | (19,634) | - | (19,634) |
| Depreciation and amortization | 279 | 82 | 361 | - | 361 |
| Pre-tax loss from continuing operations | (10,230) | (24,718) | (34,948) | - | (34,948) |
| Capital expenditures | 32 | 68 | 100 | - | 100 |
| Year-end identifiable assets | 99,881 | 159,558 | 259,439 | (19,160) | 240,279 |

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**The following table presents AIG's Financial Services operations by operating segment:**

| <i>(in millions)</i> | Aircraft Leasing | Capital Markets | Other | Total Operating Segments | Consolidation and Eliminations | Total Financial Services |
|--|---------------------|--------------------|----------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 2010 | | | | | | |
| Total revenues | \$ 4,718 | \$ 527 | \$ 442 | \$ 5,687 | \$ (30) | \$ 5,657 |
| Interest expense | 1,397 | - | 446 | 1,843 | (30) | 1,813 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 2,035 | 4 | 26 | 2,065 | - | 2,065 |
| Pre-tax income (loss) from continuing operations | (729) | 209 | (116) | (636) | - | (636) |
| Capital expenditures | 266 | - | - | 266 | - | 266 |
| Year-end identifiable assets | 43,158 | 29,747 | (18,117) | 54,788 | 22,743 | 77,531 |
| 2009 | | | | | | |
| Total revenues | \$ 4,992 | \$ 1,166 | \$ 690 | \$ 6,848 | \$ (118) | \$ 6,730 |
| Interest expense | 1,222 | - | 573 | 1,795 | (30) | 1,765 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 2,022 | 13 | 39 | 2,074 | - | 2,074 |
| Pre-tax income (loss) from continuing operations | 1,385 | 684 | (63) | 2,006 | - | 2,006 |
| Capital expenditures | 2,587 | - | 1 | 2,588 | - | 2,588 |
| Year-end identifiable assets | 45,992 | 33,963 | (15,420) | 64,535 | 22,430 | 86,965 |
| 2008 | | | | | | |
| Total revenues | \$ 4,810 | \$ (30,559) | \$ 323 | \$ (25,426) | \$ - | \$ (25,426) |
| Interest expense | 1,557 | - | 276 | 1,833 | (35) | 1,798 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 1,893 | 20 | 33 | 1,946 | - | 1,946 |
| Pre-tax income (loss) from continuing operations | 1,116 | (30,697) | (205) | (29,786) | - | (29,786) |
| Capital expenditures | 3,231 | 5 | 180 | 3,416 | - | 3,416 |
| Year-end identifiable assets | 47,426 | 47,468 | (2,354) | 92,540 | 13,232 | 105,772 |

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**The following table presents components of AIG's Other operations:**

| <i>(in millions)</i> | Parent & Other | Mortgage Guaranty | Asset Management Operations | | Divested Businesses | Change in ML III | Consolidation and Eliminations | Total Other Operations |
|--|-------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | | | Direct Investment Business | Institutional Asset Management | | | | |
| 2010 | | | | | | | | |
| Total revenues | \$ 1,934 | \$ 1,168 | \$ 1,179 | \$ 386 | \$ 14,210 | \$ 1,792 | \$ (244) | \$ 20,425 |
| Net (gain) loss on sale of properties and divested businesses | (16,466) | - | (632) | - | - | - | - | (17,098) |
| Interest expense | 6,075 | - | 545 | 35 | 41 | - | (244) | 6,452 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 192 | 77 | (208) | 22 | 1,017 | - | - | 1,100 |
| Pre-tax income (loss) from continuing operations | 9,843 | 373 | 1,254 | (151) | 2,599 | 1,792 | - | 15,710 |
| Capital expenditures | 161 | 10 | 336 | 5 | 108 | - | - | 620 |
| Year-end identifiable assets | 122,071 | 6,073 | 33,857 | 1,515 | 109,621 | 6,311 | (2,689) | 276,759 |
| 2009 | | | | | | | | |
| Total revenues | \$ 1,141 | \$ 1,183 | \$ 429 | \$ 913 | \$ 19,254 | \$ 1,820 | \$ (398) | \$ 24,342 |
| Net (gain) loss on sale of properties and divested businesses | 1,271 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,271 |
| Interest expense | 12,502 | - | 618 | 103 | 226 | - | (281) | 13,168 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 310 | 94 | 10 | 102 | 1,339 | - | - | 1,855 |
| Pre-tax income (loss) from continuing operations | (15,001) | (1,688) | (322) | (1,303) | 2,040 | 1,820 | - | (14,454) |
| Capital expenditures | 249 | 5 | 373 | 1 | 246 | - | - | 874 |
| Year-end identifiable assets | 66,995 | 7,816 | 38,841 | 5,262 | 334,821 | 4,519 | (7,994) | 450,260 |
| 2008 | | | | | | | | |
| Total revenues | \$ (856) | \$ 1,215 | \$ (12,704) | \$ 2,342 | \$ 16,721 | \$ - | \$ (48) | \$ 6,670 |
| Interest expense | 13,323 | - | 679 | 33 | 333 | - | - | 14,368 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 201 | 77 | 76 | 102 | 2,179 | - | - | 2,635 |
| Pre-tax income (loss) from continuing operations | (16,307) | (2,488) | (13,548) | (255) | (1,894) | - | - | (34,492) |
| Capital expenditures | 303 | 10 | 1,354 | 27 | 752 | - | - | 2,446 |
| Year-end identifiable assets | 117,765 | 6,561 | 48,801 | 6,241 | 325,429 | - | (7,012) | 497,785 |

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**The following table presents AIG's operations and long-lived assets by major geographic area:**

| (in millions) | United States | Geographic Area | | Consolidated |
|---|---------------|-----------------|---------------|--------------|
| | | Asia | Other Foreign | |
| 2010 | | | | |
| Total revenues ^(a) | \$ 40,772 | \$ 20,407 | \$ 16,122 | \$ 77,301 |
| Real estate and other fixed assets, net of accumulated depreciation | 1,896 | 557 | 392 | 2,845 |
| Flight equipment primarily under operating leases, net of accumulated depreciation ^(b) | 38,510 | - | - | 38,510 |
| 2009 | | | | |
| Total revenues ^(a) | \$ 34,896 | \$ 23,178 | \$ 17,278 | \$ 75,352 |
| Real estate and other fixed assets, net of accumulated depreciation | 2,328 | 1,189 | 625 | 4,142 |
| Flight equipment primarily under operating leases, net of accumulated depreciation ^(b) | 44,091 | - | - | 44,091 |
| 2008 | | | | |
| Total revenues ^(a) | \$ (37,198) | \$ 15,207 | \$ 15,151 | \$ (6,840) |
| Real estate and other fixed assets, net of accumulated depreciation | 3,220 | 1,552 | 794 | 5,566 |
| Flight equipment primarily under operating leases, net of accumulated depreciation ^(b) | 43,395 | - | - | 43,395 |

(a) Revenues are generally reported according to the geographic location of the reporting unit.

(b) ILFC derives more than 94 percent of its revenue from foreign-operated airlines.

4. Divested Businesses, Discontinued Operations and Held-for-Sale Classification**Divested Businesses****AIA Initial Public Offering**

During the second quarter of 2010, AIG and Prudential plc terminated the AIA purchase agreement they had entered in March 2010 and in accordance with the terms of the purchase agreement, Prudential plc paid AIG a termination fee of \$228 million, which is included in Net loss (gain) on sale of divested businesses and properties in the Consolidated Statement of Income (Loss).

On October 29, 2010, AIG completed an initial public offering of 8.08 billion ordinary shares of AIA for aggregate gross proceeds of approximately \$20.51 billion. Upon completion of the initial public offering, AIG owned 33 percent of AIA's outstanding shares. Accordingly, AIG deconsolidated AIA and recorded a pre-tax gain of \$16.3 billion in 2010. Under the terms of an agreement with the underwriters, AIG is precluded from selling or hedging any of its remaining shares of AIA until October 18, 2011 and more than half of its remaining shares of AIA until April 18, 2012. Based on AIG's continuing involvement as a result of its 33 percent ownership and board representation, AIA is not being presented as a discontinued operation in the Consolidated Financial Statements at December 31, 2010. AIG is accounting for its investment in AIA under the fair value option with gains and losses recorded in Net investment income. At December 31, 2010, the fair value of AIG's retained interest in AIA was approximately \$11.1 billion and is included in Other invested assets.

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Under the Master Transaction Agreement, dated as of December 8, 2010 (the Master Transaction Agreement), among AIG, the SPVs, the FRBNY, the Department of the Treasury and the Trust, net cash proceeds from the AIA public offering were held in escrow pending the Closing of the Recapitalization. Upon the Closing, these cash proceeds were loaned by AIA SPV to AIG and used to repay amounts owing under the FRBNY Credit Facility as described in Note 1.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Discontinued Operations

The results of operations for the following sales are presented as discontinued operations in AIG's Consolidated Statement of Income (Loss) for all periods presented.

ALICO Sale

On March 7, 2010, AIG and ALICO Holdings LLC (the ALICO SPV), a special purpose vehicle formed by AIG, entered into a definitive agreement with MetLife for the sale of ALICO by the ALICO SPV to MetLife, and the sale of Delaware American Life Insurance Company by AIG to MetLife, for consideration then valued at approximately \$15.5 billion, consisting of \$6.8 billion in cash and the remainder in equity securities of MetLife, subject to closing adjustments. The ALICO sale closed on November 1, 2010. AIG does not have any continuing significant involvement with or significant continuing cash flows from ALICO. The fair value of the consideration at closing was approximately \$16.2 billion. At December 31, 2010, a total of \$6.5 billion is included in preferred and common stock trading, and is recorded at fair value with unrealized gains and losses recorded in Net investment income and for certain derivative components, in Net realized capital gains (losses).

On the closing date, as consideration for the ALICO sale, the ALICO SPV received net cash consideration of \$7.2 billion (which included an upward price adjustment of approximately \$400 million pursuant to the terms of the ALICO stock purchase agreement), 78,239,712 shares of MetLife common stock, 6,857,000 shares of newly issued MetLife participating preferred stock convertible into 68,570,000 shares of MetLife common stock upon the approval of MetLife shareholders and 40,000,000 equity units of MetLife with an aggregate stated value of \$3.2 billion. AIG intends to monetize these MetLife securities over time, subject to market conditions, following the lapse of agreed-upon minimum holding periods. AIG recorded a pre-tax gain of \$4.1 billion on the transaction in 2010.

Under the Master Transaction Agreement, net cash proceeds from the ALICO sale were held in escrow pending the Closing of the Recapitalization. Upon the Closing of the Recapitalization, these cash proceeds were loaned by the ALICO SPV to AIG and were used to repay amounts owing under the FRBNY Credit Facility and, together with other funds, to repurchase all of the FRBNY's SPV Preferred Interests.

Prior to conversion into MetLife common stock, the MetLife participating preferred stock will be entitled to dividends equivalent, on an as-converted basis, to those that may be declared from time to time on MetLife common stock.

Each of the equity units of MetLife has an initial stated amount of \$75 and consists of an ownership interest in three series of senior debt securities of MetLife and three stock purchase contracts with a weighted average life of approximately three years. The stock purchase contracts obligate the holder of an equity unit to purchase, and obligate MetLife to sell, a number of shares of MetLife common stock that will be determined based on the market price of MetLife common stock at the scheduled settlement dates under the stock purchase contracts (a minimum of 67,764,000 shares and a maximum of 84,696,000 shares in the aggregate for all equity units, subject to anti-dilution adjustments). The equity units provide for the remarketing of the senior debt securities to fund the purchase price of the MetLife common stock. They also entitle the holder to receive interest payments on the senior debt securities and deferrable contract payments at a combined rate equal to five percent of their stated amount. The equity units have been placed in escrow as collateral to secure payments, if any, in respect of indemnity obligations owed by the ALICO SPV to MetLife under the ALICO stock purchase agreement and other transaction agreements. The escrow collateral will be released to the ALICO SPV over a 30-month period, to the extent not used to make indemnity payments or to secure pending indemnity claims submitted by MetLife.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

AGF Sale

On August 10, 2010, AIG entered into a definitive agreement to sell an 80 percent economic interest (84 percent voting interest) in American General Finance, Inc. (AGF) for \$125 million. The AGF sale closed on November 30, 2010. AIG's voting ownership interest in AGF was reduced to approximately 16 percent, and AIG does not otherwise have significant continuing involvement with or significant continuing cash flows from AGF. AIG is carrying its retained investment in AGF of approximately \$30 million as a cost method investment in Other invested assets. As a result of this transaction, AIG recorded a pre-tax loss of \$1.7 billion in 2010.

The components of the \$1.7 billion charge consisted of the difference between (i) the sum of the fair value of the agreed consideration and AIG's retained 20 percent economic interest and (ii) the net book value of the assets. Prior to the agreed sale, AGF's business and underlying assets were subject to periodic impairment assessments under a held-for-use model and did not meet the criteria for held-for-sale accounting. The large majority of AGF's assets were consumer finance and mortgage loans held for investment and thus were not carried at fair value.

AIG Star and AIG Edison Sale

On September 30, 2010, AIG entered into a definitive agreement with Prudential Financial, Inc. for the sale of its Japan-based insurance subsidiaries, AIG Star and AIG Edison, for total consideration of \$4.8 billion, including the assumption of certain outstanding debt totaling \$0.6 billion owed by AIG Star and AIG Edison. The transaction closed on February 1, 2011. AIG has no continuing significant involvement with or significant continuing cash flows from AIG Star and AIG Edison. In connection with the sale, AIG recorded a goodwill impairment charge of \$1.3 billion in 2010.

Nan Shan Sale Agreement

On January 12, 2011, AIG entered into an agreement to sell its 97.57 percent interest in Nan Shan for \$2.16 billion to a Taiwan-based consortium. While AIG believes the consortium meets certain basic criteria established by the Financial Supervisory Commission of Taiwan, the transaction is still subject to regulatory approvals and customary closing conditions. The sale of Nan Shan is expected to be consummated in 2011. AIG continues to classify Nan Shan as a discontinued operation for all periods presented since AIG will not retain any interest or continuing involvement within Nan Shan and Nan Shan is not expected to generate significant continuing cash flows.

The transaction meets the criteria for held for sale accounting and discontinued operations classification.

See Note 16 for a discussion of guarantees and indemnifications associated with sales of businesses.

ALICO, Nan Shan, AIG Star and AIG Edison previously were components of the Foreign Life Insurance & Retirement Services reportable segment and AGF previously was a component of the Financial Services reportable segment.

Certain other sales completed during 2010 and 2009 were not classified as discontinued operations because AIG continued to generate significant direct revenue-producing or cost-generating cash flows from the businesses or because associated assets, liabilities and results of operations were not material, individually or in the aggregate, to AIG's consolidated financial position or results of operations.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**The following table summarizes income (loss) from discontinued operations:**

| Years Ended December 31, <i>(in millions)</i> | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|---|-------------------|----------------|-------------------|
| Revenues: | | | |
| Premiums and other considerations | \$ 18,296 | \$ 18,325 | \$ 20,636 |
| Net investment income | 6,924 | 7,851 | 2,758 |
| Net realized capital gains (losses) | 289 | (920) | (8,690) |
| Other income | 1,607 | 2,285 | 2,975 |
| Total revenues | 27,116 | 27,541 | 17,679 |
| Benefits, claims and expenses* | 28,854 | 25,810 | 23,356 |
| Interest expense allocation | 75 | 89 | 55 |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations | (1,813) | 1,642 | (5,732) |
| Income (loss) on sales | 1,588 | (2,758) | - |
| Loss from discontinued operations, before income tax expense (benefit) | (225) | (1,116) | (5,732) |
| Income tax expense (benefit) | 1,839 | (1,621) | 1,309 |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax | \$ (2,064) | \$ 505 | \$ (7,041) |

*

In 2010, includes goodwill impairment charges of \$3.3 billion related to the sale of ALICO and \$1.3 billion related to the sale of AIG Star and AIG Edison. See Note 2(k) Goodwill for further discussion.

Interest Expense Allocation

Interest expense allocated to discontinued operations gives effect to the provisions of the Recapitalization discussed in Note 1 for all periods presented. For this reason, an interest allocation to discontinued operations related to a portion of the ALICO and all the AGF proceeds was required.

The interest expense allocated to discontinued operations was based on the anticipated net proceeds that would be applied toward the repayment of the FRBNY Credit Facility from the sales of ALICO and AGF multiplied by the daily interest rate on the FRBNY Credit Facility for each respective period. The periodic amortization of the prepaid commitment fee allocated to discontinued operations was determined based on the ratio of funds committed to repay the FRBNY Credit Facility to the total amount of credit available under the FRBNY Credit Facility.

Prior to the Recapitalization, the terms of the FRBNY Credit Facility contractually required net proceeds from dispositions, after taxes and transaction expenses, to the extent such proceeds did not represent capital of AIG's insurance subsidiaries required for regulatory or ratings purposes, to be applied toward the repayment of the FRBNY Credit Facility as mandatory prepayments unless otherwise agreed with the FRBNY. Mandatory prepayments reduced the amount available to be borrowed under the FRBNY Credit Facility by the amount of the prepayment. In conjunction with anticipated prepayments, AIG allocated interest expense, including periodic amortization of the prepaid commitment fee asset, to Income (loss) from discontinued operations. As a result of the revised terms for repayment of the FRBNY Credit

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Facility, interest expense that was previously allocated to discontinued operations in connection with the sales of AIG Star, AIG Edison and Nan Shan was reclassified to continuing operations for all periods presented.

Held-for-Sale Classification

The aggregate held-for-sale assets and liabilities are presented separately as single line items in the asset and liability sections of the Consolidated Balance Sheet at December 31, 2009 for Nan Shan and December 31, 2010 for Nan Shan, AIG Star and AIG Edison.

242 AIG 2010 Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

During 2010, AIG sold its investment advisory and third-party asset management business for \$277 million cash at closing plus contingent consideration to be received over time. Prior to the closing of this transaction this business was a component of the Asset Management operations included within Other operations. This transaction met the criteria for held-for-sale accounting, and the assets and liabilities of the business were included as single line items in the asset and liability sections of the Consolidated Balance Sheet at December 31, 2009. This transaction does not meet the criteria for discontinued operations accounting because of significant direct continuing cash flows between AIG and the business sold.

During 2009, AIG entered into an agreement to combine its consumer finance business in Poland, conducted through AIG Bank Polska S.A., into the Polish consumer finance business of Santander Consumer Finance S.A. (SCB). The transaction closed on June 8, 2010. In exchange, AIG received an equity interest in SCB. Prior to the closing of the transaction, AIG Bank Polska S.A. was a component of the Financial Services reporting segment. This transaction met the criteria for held-for-sale accounting and, as a result, the assets and liabilities of this business were included as single line items in the asset and liability sections of the Consolidated Balance Sheet at December 31, 2009. This transaction does not meet the criteria for discontinued operations accounting because of the equity method interest in SCB that AIG received in this transaction.

The following table summarizes assets and liabilities held for sale:

| December 31, (in millions) | 2010 | 2009 |
|---|------------|-----------|
| Assets: | | |
| Fixed maturity securities | \$ 77,905 | \$ 34,495 |
| Deferred policy acquisition costs | 7,095 | 3,322 |
| Mortgage and other loans receivable, net | 5,584 | 3,997 |
| Equity securities | 4,488 | 2,947 |
| Other invested assets | 4,167 | 4,256 |
| Short-term investments | 3,670 | 3,501 |
| Separate account assets | 3,745 | 3,467 |
| Other assets | 544 | 394 |
| Assets of businesses held for sale | 107,198 | 56,379 |
| Flight equipment* | 255 | - |
| Total assets held for sale | \$ 107,453 | \$ 56,379 |
| Liabilities: | | |
| Future policy benefits for life and accident and health insurance contracts | \$ 61,767 | \$ 38,023 |
| Policyholder contract deposits | 26,847 | 3,133 |
| Separate account liabilities | 3,745 | 3,467 |
| Other long-term debt | 525 | - |
| Other liabilities | 4,428 | 3,976 |
| Total liabilities held for sale | \$ 97,312 | \$ 48,599 |

*

Represents nine aircraft that remain to be sold under agreements for sale by ILFC as of December 31, 2010.

5. Business Combination

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

On March 31, 2010, AIG, through a Chartis International subsidiary, purchased additional voting shares in Fuji Fire & Marine Insurance Company Limited (Fuji), a publicly traded Japanese insurance company with property/casualty insurance operations and a life insurance subsidiary. The acquisition of the additional voting shares for \$145 million increased Chartis International's total voting ownership interest in Fuji from 41.7 percent to 54.8 percent, which resulted in Chartis International obtaining control of Fuji. This acquisition was made to increase Chartis International's share in the substantial Japanese insurance market, which is undergoing significant consolidation, and to achieve cost savings from synergies.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The purchase was accounted for under the acquisition method. Because the acquisition was completed on March 31, 2010, the initial accounting for the acquisition was incomplete when AIG issued its unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements as of and for the three months ended March 31, 2010. The initial purchase price allocation was based on financial information that was available at the time to identify and estimate certain of the fair values of assets acquired, liabilities assumed and noncontrolling interests of Fuji as of the acquisition date.

Subsequent to March 31, 2010, Chartis International obtained additional information and revised the purchase price allocation by approximately \$74 million, which included obtaining final appraisals of Fuji's insurance contracts, loans, certain real estate and intangible assets and retrospectively adjusted the provisional amounts initially recorded. In addition, adjustments to the previously reported purchase price allocation as of March 31, 2010 occurred as a result of new information that became known about market conditions in the life insurance industry in Japan that existed as of the acquisition date which, if known, would have reduced the amount recognized by Chartis International as of that date for the fair value of VOBA of Fuji's life insurance subsidiary by approximately \$132 million. Public announcements of capital raising initiatives during this period in response to new regulatory solvency rules announced by the Japanese regulator prior to the acquisition date but not yet adopted indicated that market participants are managing to the target solvency margin ratios under the new solvency margin rules instead of the current solvency margin rules. As a result, Chartis International revised its target capital assumption in its VOBA calculation based on the new standard.

Additional adjustments to the purchase price allocation as of March 31, 2010 may occur for one year after that date if new information becomes known about facts and circumstances that existed as of the acquisition date that, if known, would have affected the amounts recognized as of that date.

The following table summarizes the estimated provisional fair values of major classes of identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed as of March 31, 2010 as originally reported and the revised amounts:

| <i>(in millions)</i> | At March 31, 2010 | |
|---|-------------------------------|-------------------|
| | As Originally Reported | As Revised |
| Identifiable net assets: | | |
| Investments | \$ 10,355 | \$ 10,406 |
| Cash | 14 | 14 |
| Premiums and other receivables | 752 | 623 |
| Reinsurance assets | 533 | 533 |
| Value of business acquired | 173 | 41 |
| Real estate and other fixed assets | 365 | 365 |
| Other assets | 89 | 88 |
| Liability for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expense | (1,526) | (1,515) |
| Unearned premiums | (3,128) | (3,010) |
| Future policy benefits for life and accident and health insurance contracts | (1,968) | (1,968) |
| Policyholder contract deposits | (24) | (24) |
| Other policyholder funds | (3,483) | (3,483) |
| Other liabilities | (811) | (752) |
| Total preliminary identifiable net assets acquired | 1,341 | 1,318 |
| Less: | | |
| Cash consideration transferred | 145 | 145 |
| Fair value of the noncontrolling interest | 498 | 549 |
| Fair value of AIG's previous equity interest in Fuji | 292 | 292 |
| Bargain purchase gain | \$ 406 | \$ 332 |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

In accordance with the acquisition method of accounting, Chartis International remeasured its equity interest in Fuji held prior to the acquisition of the additional shares to fair value, which resulted in a \$25 million loss. The

244 AIG 2010 Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

loss was recorded in Other realized capital gains (losses) in the Consolidated Statement of Income (Loss). The fair values of AIG's previously-held equity interest and the noncontrolling interest were based on Fuji's publicly-traded share price on the Tokyo Stock Exchange as of the acquisition date. An insignificant amount of acquisition-related costs, consisting primarily of legal and transaction fees, was recorded in Other expenses in the Consolidated Statement of Income (Loss).

In connection with the acquisition, AIG recognized a bargain purchase gain of \$332 million, which was included in the Consolidated Statement of Income (Loss). The bargain purchase gain was primarily attributable to the depressed market value of Fuji's common stock, which AIG believes was the result of macro-economic, capital market and regulatory factors in Japan coupled with Fuji's financial condition and results of operations. AIG anticipates that the bargain purchase gain will not be subject to U.S. or foreign income tax because the gain would only be recognized for tax purposes upon the sale of the Fuji shares.

Fuji's financial information is reported on a one-quarter lag. Because the acquisition occurred on March 31, 2010, revenue and earnings of Fuji for only the six months ended September 30, 2010 are included in the Consolidated Statement of Income (Loss) for the twelve months ended December 31, 2010.

The following unaudited summarized pro forma consolidated income statement information assumes that the acquisition of Fuji occurred as of January 1, 2009. The pro forma amounts are for comparative purposes only and may not necessarily reflect the results of operations that would have resulted had the acquisition been completed at the beginning of the applicable period and may not be indicative of the results that will be attained in the future.

Years Ended December 31,
(in millions)

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|---|------------------|-------------|
| Total revenues | \$ 79,242 | \$ 77,828 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | 12,199 | (13,859) |
| Net income (loss) | 10,135 | (13,354) |
| Net income (loss) attributable to AIG | 7,828 | (11,536) |
| Net income (loss) attributable to AIG common shareholders | 1,592 | (12,831) |
| Income (loss) per common share attributable to AIG: | | |
| Basic: | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | 14.81 | (98.01) |
| Diluted: | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | 14.81 | (98.01) |

6. Fair Value Measurements**Fair Value Measurements on a Recurring Basis**

AIG carries certain of its financial instruments at fair value. AIG defines the fair value of a financial instrument as the amount that would be received from the sale of an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between willing, able and knowledgeable market participants at the measurement date.

The degree of judgment used in measuring the fair value of financial instruments generally correlates with the level of observable valuation inputs. AIG maximizes the use of observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. Financial instruments with quoted prices in active markets generally have more pricing observability and less judgment is used in measuring fair value. Conversely, financial instruments for which no quoted prices are available have less observability and are measured at fair value using valuation models or other pricing techniques that require more judgment. Pricing observability is affected by a number of factors, including the type of

financial instrument, whether the financial instrument is new to the market and not yet established, the characteristics specific to the transaction, liquidity and general market conditions.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Fair Value Hierarchy

Assets and liabilities recorded at fair value in the Consolidated Balance Sheet are measured and classified in a hierarchy for disclosure purposes consisting of three "levels" based on the observability of inputs available in the marketplace used to measure the fair values as discussed below:

Level 1: Fair value measurements that are quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets that AIG has the ability to access for identical assets or liabilities. Market price data generally is obtained from exchange or dealer markets. AIG does not adjust the quoted price for such instruments. Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis and classified as Level 1 include certain government and agency securities, actively traded listed common stocks and futures and options contracts, most separate account assets and most mutual funds.

Level 2: Fair value measurements based on inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1, that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. Level 2 inputs include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, and inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability, such as interest rates and yield curves that are observable at commonly quoted intervals. Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis and classified as Level 2 generally include certain government and agency securities, most investment-grade and high-yield corporate bonds, certain residential mortgage-backed securities (RMBS), commercial mortgage-backed securities (CMBS) and collateralized loan obligations/asset backed securities (CLO/ABS), certain listed equities, state, municipal and provincial obligations, hybrid securities, securities purchased (sold) under agreements to resell (repurchase), mutual fund and hedge fund investments, certain interest rate, currency and commodity derivative contracts, guaranteed investment agreements (GIAs) for the Direct Investment business, other long-term debt and physical commodities.

Level 3: Fair value measurements based on valuation techniques that use significant inputs that are unobservable. Both observable and unobservable inputs may be used to determine the fair values of positions classified in Level 3. These measurements include circumstances in which there is little, if any, market activity for the asset or liability. Therefore, AIG must make certain assumptions as to the inputs a hypothetical market participant would use to value that asset or liability. In certain cases, the inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. In such cases, the level in the fair value hierarchy within which the fair value measurement in its entirety falls is determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. AIG's assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment. In making the assessment, AIG considers factors specific to the asset or liability. Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis and classified as Level 3 include certain RMBS, CMBS and collateralized debt obligations/asset backed securities (CDO/ABS), corporate debt, certain municipal and sovereign debt, certain derivative contracts (including Capital Markets' super senior credit default swap portfolio), policyholder contract deposits carried at fair value, private equity and real estate fund investments, and direct private equity investments. AIG's non-financial instrument assets that are measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis generally are classified as Level 3.

The following is a description of the valuation methodologies used for instruments carried at fair value. These methodologies are applied to assets and liabilities across the levels noted above, and it is the observability of the inputs used that determines the appropriate level in the fair value hierarchy for the respective asset or liability.

Valuation Methodologies

Incorporation of Credit Risk in Fair Value Measurements

AIG's Own Credit Risk. Fair value measurements for certain Direct Investment business' debt, GIAs, structured note liabilities and freestanding derivatives, as well as Capital Markets derivatives, incorporate

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

AIG's own credit risk by determining the explicit cost for each counterparty to protect against its net credit exposure to AIG at the balance sheet date by reference to observable AIG credit default swap or cash bond spreads. A derivative counterparty's net credit exposure to AIG is determined based on master netting agreements, when applicable, which take into consideration all derivative positions with AIG, as well as cash collateral posted by AIG with the counterparty at the balance sheet date.

Fair value measurements for embedded policy derivatives and policyholder contract deposits take into consideration that policyholder liabilities are senior in priority to general creditors of AIG and therefore are much less sensitive to changes in AIG credit default swap or cash issuance spreads.

Counterparty Credit Risk. Fair value measurements for freestanding derivatives incorporate counterparty credit by determining the explicit cost for AIG to protect against its net credit exposure to each counterparty at the balance sheet date by reference to observable counterparty credit default swap spreads, when available. When not available, other directly or indirectly observable credit spreads will be used to derive the best estimates of the counterparty spreads. AIG's net credit exposure to a counterparty is determined based on master netting agreements, which take into consideration all derivative positions with the counterparty, as well as cash collateral posted by the counterparty at the balance sheet date.

A CDS is a derivative contract that allows the transfer of third party credit risk from one party to the other. The buyer of the CDS pays an upfront and/or periodic premium to the seller. The seller's payment obligation is triggered by the occurrence of a credit event under a specified reference security and is determined by the loss on that specified reference security. The present value of the amount of the periodic and/or upfront premium therefore represents a market-based expectation of the likelihood that the specified reference party will fail to perform on the reference obligation, a key market observable indicator of non-performance risk (the CDS spread).

Fair values for fixed maturity securities based on observable market prices for identical or similar instruments implicitly incorporate counterparty credit risk. Fair values for fixed maturity securities based on internal models incorporate counterparty credit risk by using discount rates that take into consideration cash issuance spreads for similar instruments or other observable information.

The cost of credit protection is determined under a discounted present value approach considering the market levels for single name CDS spreads for each specific counterparty, the mid market value of the net exposure (reflecting the amount of protection required) and the weighted average life of the net exposure. CDS spreads are provided to AIG by an independent third party. AIG utilizes an interest rate based on the benchmark London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) curve to derive its discount rates.

While this approach does not explicitly consider all potential future behavior of the derivative transactions or potential future changes in valuation inputs, AIG believes this approach provides a reasonable estimate of the fair value of the assets and liabilities, including consideration of the impact of non-performance risk.

Fixed Maturity Securities Trading and Available for Sale

Whenever available, AIG obtains quoted prices in active markets for identical assets at the balance sheet date to measure fixed maturity securities at fair value in its trading and available for sale portfolios. Market price data is generally obtained from dealer markets.

Management is responsible for the determination of the value of the investments carried at fair value and the supporting methodologies and assumptions. AIG employs independent third-party valuation service providers to gather, analyze, and interpret market information and derive fair values based upon relevant methodologies and assumptions for individual instruments. When AIG's valuation service providers are unable to obtain sufficient market observable information upon which to estimate the fair value for a particular security, fair value is determined either by requesting brokers who are knowledgeable about these securities to provide a quote, which is generally non-binding, or by employing widely accepted internal valuation models.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Valuation service providers typically obtain data about market transactions and other key valuation model inputs from multiple sources and, through the use of widely accepted valuation models, provide a single fair value measurement for individual securities for which a fair value has been requested under the terms of service agreements. The inputs used by the valuation service providers include, but are not limited to, market prices from recently completed transactions and transactions of comparable securities, benchmark yields, interest rate yield curves, credit spreads, currency rates, quoted prices for similar securities and other market-observable information, as applicable. The valuation models take into account, among other things, market observable information as of the measurement date as well as the specific attributes of the security being valued, including its term, interest rate, credit rating, industry sector, and when applicable, collateral quality and other security or issuer-specific information. When market transactions or other market observable data is limited, the extent to which judgment is applied in determining fair value is greatly increased.

AIG has processes designed to ensure that the values received or internally estimated are accurately recorded, that the data inputs and the valuation techniques utilized are appropriate and consistently applied and that the assumptions are reasonable and consistent with the objective of determining fair value. AIG assesses the reasonableness of individual security values received from valuation service providers through various analytical techniques. In addition, AIG may validate the reasonableness of fair values by comparing information obtained from AIG's valuation service providers to other third-party valuation sources for selected securities. AIG also validates prices for selected securities obtained from brokers through reviews by members of management who have relevant expertise and who are independent of those charged with executing investing transactions.

The methodology above is relevant for all fixed maturity securities; following are discussions of certain procedures unique to specific classes of securities.

Fixed Maturity Securities issued by Government Entities

For most debt securities issued by government entities, AIG obtains fair value information from independent third-party valuation service providers, as quoted prices in active markets are generally only available for limited debt securities issued by government entities. The fair values received from these valuation service providers may be based on a market approach using matrix pricing, which considers a security's relationship to other securities for which a quoted price in an active market may be available, or alternatively based on an income approach, which uses valuation techniques to convert future cash flows to a single present value amount.

Fixed Maturity Securities issued by Corporate Entities

For most debt securities issued by corporate entities, AIG obtains fair value information from third-party valuation service providers. For certain corporate debt securities, AIG obtains fair value information from brokers. For those corporate debt instruments (for example, private placements) that are not traded in active markets or that are subject to transfer restrictions, valuations are adjusted to reflect illiquidity and/or non-transferability, and such adjustments generally are based on available market evidence. In the absence of such evidence, management's best estimate is used.

RMBS, CMBS, CDOs and other ABS

Third-party valuation service providers also provide fair value information for the majority of AIG investments in RMBS, CMBS, CDOs and other ABS. Where pricing is not available from valuation service providers, AIG obtains fair value information from brokers. Broker prices may be based on an income approach, which converts expected future cash flows to a single present value amount, with specific consideration of inputs relevant to structured securities, including ratings, collateral types, geographic concentrations, underlying loan vintages, loan delinquencies, and weighted average coupons and maturities. Broker prices may also be based on a market approach that considers recent transactions involving identical or similar securities. When the volume or level of

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

market activity for an investment in RMBS, CMBS, CDOs or other ABS is limited, certain inputs used to determine fair value may not be observable in the market.

Maiden Lane II and Maiden Lane III

At their inception, ML II and ML III were valued and recorded at the transaction prices of \$1 billion and \$5 billion, respectively. Subsequently, the Maiden Lane Interests are valued using a discounted cash flow methodology that uses the estimated future cash flows of the Maiden Lane assets. AIG applies model-determined market discount rates to its interests. These discount rates are calibrated to the changes in the estimated asset values for the underlying assets commensurate with AIG's interests in the capital structure of the respective entities. Estimated cash flows and discount rates used in the valuations are validated, to the extent possible, using market observable information for securities with similar asset pools, structure and terms.

The fair value methodology used assumes that the underlying collateral in the Maiden Lane Interests will continue to be held and generate cash flows into the foreseeable future and does not assume a current liquidation of the assets underlying the Maiden Lane Interests. Other methodologies employed or assumptions made in determining fair value for these investments could result in amounts that differ significantly from the amounts reported.

Adjustments to the fair value of AIG's interest in ML II are recorded on the Consolidated Statement of Income (Loss) in Net investment income for SunAmerica's domestic life insurance companies. Adjustments to the fair value of AIG's interest in ML III are recorded on the Consolidated Statement of Income (Loss) in Net investment income and, beginning in the second quarter of 2009, were included in Other operations results, reflecting the contribution to an AIG subsidiary. Prior to the second quarter of 2009, such amounts had been included in Other Parent company results. AIG's Maiden Lane Interests are included in Bond trading securities, at fair value, on the Consolidated Balance Sheet.

As of December 31, 2010, AIG expected to receive cash flows (undiscounted) in excess of AIG's initial investment, and any accrued interest, in the Maiden Lane Interests over the remaining life of the investments after repayment of the first priority obligations owed to the FRBNY. AIG's cash flow methodology considers the capital structure of the collateral securities and their expected credit losses from the underlying asset pools. The fair values of the Maiden Lane Interests are most affected by changes in the discount rates and changes in the underlying estimated future collateral cash flow assumptions used in the valuation model.

The LIBOR interest rate curve changes are determined based on observable prices, interpolated or extrapolated to derive a LIBOR for a specific maturity term as necessary. The spreads over LIBOR for the Maiden Lane Interests (including collateral-specific credit and liquidity spreads) can change as a result of changes in market expectations about the future performance of these investments as well as changes in the risk premium that market participants would demand at the time of the transactions.

Changes in estimated future cash flows would primarily be the result of changes in expectations for defaults, recoveries and prepayments on underlying loans.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Changes in the discount rate or the estimated future cash flows used in the valuation would alter AIG's estimate of the fair value of the Maiden Lane Interests as shown in the table below.

| Year Ended December 31, 2010 <i>(in millions)</i> | Fair Value Change | |
|---|--------------------------|------------------------|
| | Maiden Lane II | Maiden Lane III |
| Discount Rates: | | |
| 200 basis point increase | \$ (142) | \$ (722) |
| 200 basis point decrease | 164 | 836 |
| 400 basis point increase | (266) | (1,349) |
| 400 basis point decrease | 354 | 1,810 |
| Estimated Future Cash Flows: | | |
| 10% increase | 303 | 845 |
| 10% decrease | (315) | (858) |
| 20% increase | 599 | 1,674 |
| 20% decrease | (649) | (1,735) |

AIG believes that the ranges of discount rates used in these analyses are reasonable on the basis of implied spread volatilities of similar collateral securities and implied volatilities of LIBOR interest rates. The ranges of estimated future cash flows were determined on the basis of variability in estimated future cash flows implied by cumulative loss estimates for similar instruments. Because of these factors, the fair values of the Maiden Lane Interests are likely to vary, perhaps materially, from the amount estimated.

Equity Securities Traded in Active Markets Trading, Available for Sale and Other Invested Assets

Whenever available, AIG obtains quoted prices in active markets for identical assets at the balance sheet date to measure at fair value marketable equity securities in its trading and available for sale portfolios or in Other invested assets. Market price data is generally obtained from exchange or dealer markets.

Direct Private Equity Investments Other Invested Assets

AIG initially estimates the fair value of direct private equity investments by reference to the transaction price. This valuation is adjusted for changes in inputs and assumptions that are corroborated by evidence such as transactions in similar instruments, completed or pending third-party transactions in the underlying investment or comparable entities, subsequent rounds of financing, recapitalizations and other transactions across the capital structure, offerings in the equity capital markets and/or changes in financial ratios or cash flows. For equity securities that are subject to transfer restrictions, valuations are adjusted to reflect illiquidity and/or non-transferability and such adjustments generally are based on available market evidence. In the absence of such evidence, management's best estimate is used.

Hedge Funds, Private Equity Funds and Other Investment Partnerships Other Invested Assets

AIG initially estimates the fair value of investments in certain hedge funds, private equity funds and other investment partnerships by reference to the transaction price. Subsequently, AIG generally obtains the fair value of these investments from net asset value information provided by the general partner or manager of the investments, the financial statements of which are generally audited annually. AIG considers observable market data and performs diligence procedures in validating the appropriateness of using the net asset value as a fair value measurement.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Separate Account Assets

Separate account assets are composed primarily of registered and unregistered open-end mutual funds that generally trade daily and are measured at fair value in the manner discussed above for equity securities traded in active markets.

Other Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value

Securities Purchased (Sold) under Agreements to Resell (Repurchase) AIG estimates the fair value of receivables (payables) arising from securities purchased (sold) under agreements to resell (repurchase) using dealer quotations, discounted cash flow analyses and/or internal valuation models. This methodology considers such factors as the coupon rate, yield curves, prepayment rates and other relevant factors.

Short-term Investments For short-term investments that are measured at fair value, AIG obtains fair value information from independent third-party valuation service providers. The determination of fair value for these instruments is consistent with the process for fixed maturity securities, as discussed above.

Loans Receivable AIG estimates the fair value of mortgage and other loans receivable by using dealer quotations, discounted cash flow analyses and/or internal valuation models. The determination of fair value considers inputs such as interest rate, maturity, the borrower's creditworthiness, collateral, subordination, guarantees, past-due status, yield curves, credit curves, prepayment rates, market pricing for comparable loans and other relevant factors.

Freestanding Derivatives

Derivative assets and liabilities can be exchange-traded or traded over-the-counter (OTC). AIG generally values exchange-traded derivatives such as futures and options using quoted prices in active markets for identical derivatives at the balance sheet date.

OTC derivatives are valued using market transactions and other market evidence whenever possible, including market-based inputs to models, model calibration to market clearing transactions, broker or dealer quotations or alternative pricing sources with reasonable levels of price transparency. When models are used, the selection of a particular model to value an OTC derivative depends on the contractual terms of, and specific risks inherent in the instrument, as well as the availability of pricing information in the market. AIG generally uses similar models to value similar instruments. Valuation models require a variety of inputs, including contractual terms, market prices and rates, yield curves, credit curves, measures of volatility, prepayment rates and correlations of such inputs. For OTC derivatives that trade in liquid markets, such as generic forwards, swaps and options, model inputs can generally be corroborated by observable market data by correlation or other means, and model selection does not involve significant management judgment.

Certain OTC derivatives trade in less liquid markets with limited pricing information, and the determination of fair value for these derivatives is inherently more difficult. When AIG does not have corroborating market evidence to support significant model inputs and cannot verify the model to market transactions, the transaction price may provide the best estimate of fair value. Accordingly, when a pricing model is used to value such an instrument, the model is adjusted so the model value at inception equals the transaction price. AIG will update valuation inputs in these models only when corroborated by evidence such as similar market transactions, third party pricing services and/or broker or dealer quotations, or other empirical market data. When appropriate, valuations are adjusted for various factors such as liquidity, bid/offer spreads and credit considerations. Such adjustments are generally based on available market evidence. In the absence of such evidence, management's best estimate is used.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS***Embedded Policy Derivatives***

The fair value of embedded policy derivatives contained in certain variable annuity and equity-indexed annuity and life contracts is measured based on actuarial and capital market assumptions related to projected cash flows over the expected lives of the contracts. These cash flow estimates primarily include benefits and related fees assessed, when applicable, and incorporate expectations about policyholder behavior. Estimates of future policyholder behavior are subjective and based primarily on AIG's historical experience. With respect to embedded policy derivatives in AIG's variable annuity contracts, because of the dynamic and complex nature of the expected cash flows, risk neutral valuations are used. Estimating the underlying cash flows for these products involves many estimates and judgments, including those regarding expected market rates of return, market volatility, correlations of market index returns to funds, fund performance, discount rates and policyholder behavior. With respect to embedded policy derivatives in AIG's equity-indexed annuity and life contracts, option pricing models are used to estimate fair value, taking into account assumptions for future equity index growth rates, volatility of the equity index, future interest rates, and determinations on adjusting the participation rate and the cap on equity indexed credited rates in light of market conditions and policyholder behavior assumptions. These methodologies incorporate an explicit risk margin to take into consideration market participant estimates of projected cash flows and policyholder behavior.

AIG also incorporates its own risk of non-performance in the valuation of the embedded policy derivatives associated with variable annuity and equity-indexed annuity and life contracts. Historically, the expected cash flows were discounted using the interest rate swap curve (swap curve), which is commonly viewed as being consistent with the credit spreads for highly-rated financial institutions (S&P AA-rated or above). A swap curve shows the fixed-rate leg of a plain vanilla swap against the floating LIBOR leg of a related tenor. The swap curve was adjusted, as necessary, for anomalies between the swap curve and the treasury yield curve. During the fourth quarter of 2010, AIG revised the non-performance risk adjustment to reflect a market participant's view of SunAmerica's claims paying ability. As a result, in 2010 AIG incorporated an additional spread to the swap curve used to value embedded policy derivatives, thereby reducing the fair value of the embedded derivative liabilities by \$336 million, which is partially offset by \$173 million of DAC amortization.

AIGFP's Super Senior Credit Default Swap Portfolio

AIGFP values AIGFP's CDS transactions written on the super senior risk layers of designated pools of debt securities or loans using internal valuation models, third-party price estimates and market indices. The principal market was determined to be the market in which super senior credit default swaps of this type and size would be transacted, or have been transacted, with the greatest volume or level of activity. AIG has determined that the principal market participants, therefore, would consist of other large financial institutions who participate in sophisticated over-the-counter derivatives markets. The specific valuation methodologies vary based on the nature of the referenced obligations and availability of market prices.

The valuation of the super senior credit derivatives is challenging given the limitation on the availability of market observable information due to the lack of trading and price transparency in certain structured finance markets. These market conditions have increased the reliance on management estimates and judgments in arriving at an estimate of fair value for financial reporting purposes. Further, disparities in the valuation methodologies employed by market participants and the varying judgments reached by such participants when assessing volatile markets have increased the likelihood that the various parties to these instruments may arrive at significantly different estimates as to their fair values.

AIG's valuation methodologies for the super senior credit default swap portfolio have evolved over time in response to market conditions and the availability of market observable information. AIG has sought to calibrate the methodologies to available market information and to review the assumptions of the methodologies on a regular basis.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Regulatory capital portfolio: In the case of credit default swaps written to facilitate regulatory capital relief, AIG estimates the fair value of these derivatives by considering observable market transactions. The transactions with the most observability are the early terminations of these transactions by counterparties. AIG continues to reassess the expected maturity of the portfolio. AIGFP has not been required to make any payments as part of terminations initiated by counterparties. The regulatory benefit of these transactions for AIGFP's financial institution counterparties is generally derived from Basel I. In December 2010, the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision finalized Basel III, which, when fully implemented, may reduce or eliminate the regulatory benefits to certain counterparties and thus may impact the period of time that such counterparties are expected to hold the positions. In assessing the fair value of the regulatory capital CDS transactions, AIG also considers other market data, to the extent relevant and available. For further discussion, see Note 12 herein.

Multi-sector CDO portfolios: AIG uses a modified version of the Binomial Expansion Technique (BET) model to value AIGFP's credit default swap portfolio written on super senior tranches of multi-sector collateralized debt obligations (CDOs) of ABS, including maturity-shortening puts that allow the holders of the securities issued by certain CDOs to treat the securities as short-term 2a-7 eligible investments under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (2a-7 Puts). The BET model was developed in 1996 by a major rating agency to generate expected loss estimates for CDO tranches and derive a credit rating for those tranches, and remains widely used.

AIG has adapted the BET model to estimate the price of the super senior risk layer or tranche of the CDO. AIG modified the BET model to imply default probabilities from market prices for the underlying securities and not from rating agency assumptions. To generate the estimate, the model uses the price estimates for the securities comprising the portfolio of a CDO as an input and converts those estimates to credit spreads over current LIBOR-based interest rates. These credit spreads are used to determine implied probabilities of default and expected losses on the underlying securities. This data is then aggregated and used to estimate the expected cash flows of the super senior tranche of the CDO.

Prices for the individual securities held by a CDO are obtained in most cases from the CDO collateral managers, to the extent available. CDO collateral managers provided market prices for 58.0 percent of the underlying securities used in the valuation at December 31, 2010. When a price for an individual security is not provided by a CDO collateral manager, AIG derives the price through a pricing matrix using prices from CDO collateral managers for similar securities. Matrix pricing is a mathematical technique used principally to value debt securities without relying exclusively on quoted prices for the specific securities, but rather by relying on the relationship of the security to other benchmark quoted securities. Substantially all of the CDO collateral managers who provided prices used dealer prices for all or part of the underlying securities, in some cases supplemented by third-party pricing services.

The BET model also uses diversity scores, weighted average lives, recovery rates and discount rates. AIG employs a Monte Carlo simulation to assist in quantifying the effect on the valuation of the CDO of the unique aspects of the CDO's structure such as triggers that divert cash flows to the most senior part of the capital structure. The Monte Carlo simulation is used to determine whether an underlying security defaults in a given simulation scenario and, if it does, the security's implied random default time and expected loss. This information is used to project cash flow streams and to determine the expected losses of the portfolio.

In addition to calculating an estimate of the fair value of the super senior CDO security referenced in the credit default swaps using its internal model, AIG also considers the price estimates for the super senior CDO securities provided by third parties, including counterparties to these transactions, to validate the results of the model and to determine the best available estimate of fair value. In determining the fair value of the super senior CDO security referenced in the credit default swaps, AIG uses a consistent process that considers all available pricing data points and eliminates the use of outlying data points. When pricing data points are within a reasonable range an averaging technique is applied.

Corporate debt/Collateralized loan obligation (CLO) portfolios: In the case of credit default swaps written on portfolios of investment-grade corporate debt, AIG uses a mathematical model that produces results that are

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

closely aligned with prices received from third parties. This methodology is widely used by other market participants and uses the current market credit spreads of the names in the portfolios along with the base correlations implied by the current market prices of comparable tranches of the relevant market traded credit indices as inputs. One transaction, representing two percent of the total notional amount of the corporate arbitrage transactions, is valued using third party quotes given its unique attributes.

AIG estimates the fair value of its obligations resulting from credit default swaps written on CLOs to be equivalent to the par value less the current market value of the referenced obligation. Accordingly, the value is determined by obtaining third-party quotes on the underlying super senior tranches referenced under the credit default swap contract.

Policyholder Contract Deposits

Policyholder contract deposits accounted for at fair value are measured using an earnings approach by taking into consideration the following factors:

Current policyholder account values and related surrender charges;

The present value of estimated future cash inflows (policy fees) and outflows (benefits and maintenance expenses) associated with the product using risk neutral valuations, incorporating expectations about policyholder behavior, market returns and other factors; and

A risk margin that market participants would require for a market return and the uncertainty inherent in the model inputs.

The change in fair value of these policyholder contract deposits is recorded as Policyholder benefits and claims incurred in the Consolidated Statement of Income (Loss).

Securities and Spot Commodities Sold But Not Yet Purchased

Fair values for securities sold but not yet purchased are based on current market prices. Fair values of spot commodities sold but not yet purchased are based on current market prices of reference spot futures contracts traded on exchanges.

Other Long-Term Debt

When fair value accounting has been elected, the fair value of non-structured liabilities is generally determined by using market prices from exchange or dealer markets, when available, or discounting expected cash flows using the appropriate discount rate for the applicable maturity. Such instruments are generally classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy as substantially all inputs are readily observable. AIG determines the fair value of structured liabilities and hybrid financial instruments (where performance is linked to structured interest rates, inflation or currency risks) using the appropriate derivative valuation methodology (described above) given the nature of the embedded risk profile. Such instruments are classified in Level 2 or Level 3 depending on the observability of significant inputs to the model. In addition, adjustments are made to the valuations of both non-structured and structured liabilities to reflect AIG's own credit-worthiness based on observable credit spreads of AIG.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis**

The following table presents information about assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis and indicates the level of the fair value measurement based on the levels of the inputs used:

| At December 31, 2010 (in millions) | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Counterparty Netting ^(a) | Cash Collateral ^(b) | Total |
|--|--------------|----------------|---------------|--|-----------------------------------|----------------|
| Assets: | | | | | | |
| Bonds available for sale: | | | | | | |
| U.S. government and government sponsored entities | \$ 142 | \$ 7,208 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 7,350 |
| Obligations of states, municipalities and Political subdivisions | 4 | 46,007 | 609 | - | - | 46,620 |
| Non-U.S. governments | 719 | 14,620 | 5 | - | - | 15,344 |
| Corporate debt | 8 | 124,088 | 2,262 | - | - | 126,358 |
| RMBS | - | 13,441 | 6,367 | - | - | 19,808 |
| CMBS | - | 2,807 | 3,604 | - | - | 6,411 |
| CDO/ABS | - | 2,170 | 4,241 | - | - | 6,411 |
| Total bonds available for sale | 873 | 210,341 | 17,088 | - | - | 228,302 |
| Bond trading securities: | | | | | | |
| U.S. government and government sponsored entities | 339 | 6,563 | - | - | - | 6,902 |
| Obligations of states, municipalities and Political subdivisions | - | 316 | - | - | - | 316 |
| Non-U.S. governments | - | 125 | - | - | - | 125 |
| Corporate debt | - | 912 | - | - | - | 912 |
| RMBS | - | 1,837 | 91 | - | - | 1,928 |
| CMBS | - | 1,572 | 506 | - | - | 2,078 |
| CDO/ABS | - | 4,490 | 9,431 | - | - | 13,921 |
| Total bond trading securities | 339 | 15,815 | 10,028 | - | - | 26,182 |
| Equity securities available for sale: | | | | | | |
| Common stock | 3,577 | 61 | 61 | - | - | 3,699 |
| Preferred stock | - | 423 | 64 | - | - | 487 |
| Mutual funds | 316 | 79 | - | - | - | 395 |
| Total equity securities available for sale | 3,893 | 563 | 125 | - | - | 4,581 |
| Equity securities trading: | | | | | | |
| Common stock | 6,545 | 106 | 1 | - | - | 6,652 |
| Total equity securities trading | 6,545 | 106 | 1 | - | - | 6,652 |
| Mortgage and other loans receivable | - | 143 | - | - | - | 143 |
| Other invested assets ^(c) | 12,281 | 1,661 | 7,414 | - | - | 21,356 |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Unrealized gain on swaps, options and forward transactions:

| | | | | | | |
|--|----|--------|-------|---------|---------|----------|
| Interest rate contracts | 1 | 13,146 | 1,057 | - | - | 14,204 |
| Foreign exchange contracts | 14 | 172 | 16 | - | - | 202 |
| Equity contracts | 61 | 233 | 65 | - | - | 359 |
| Commodity contracts | - | 69 | 23 | - | - | 92 |
| Credit contracts | - | 2 | 377 | - | - | 379 |
| Other contracts | 8 | 923 | 144 | - | - | 1,075 |
| Counterparty netting and cash collateral | - | - | - | (6,298) | (4,096) | (10,394) |

Total unrealized gain on swaps, options and forward transactions

84 14,545 1,682 (6,298) (4,096) 5,917

| | | | | | | |
|---|--------|--------|---|---|---|--------|
| Securities purchased under agreements to resell | - | 1,553 | - | - | - | 1,553 |
| Short-term investments | 5,401 | 16,906 | - | - | - | 22,307 |
| Separate account assets | 51,607 | 2,825 | - | - | - | 54,432 |
| Other assets | - | 14 | - | - | - | 14 |

Total \$ 81,023 \$ 264,472 \$ 36,338 \$ (6,298) \$ (4,096) \$ 371,439

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**At December 31, 2010***(in millions)*

| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Counterparty Netting ^(a) | Cash Collateral ^(b) | Total |
|--|---------|-----------|----------|--|-----------------------------------|-----------|
| Liabilities: | | | | | | |
| Policyholder contract deposits | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 445 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 445 |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase | - | 2,119 | - | - | - | 2,119 |
| Securities and spot commodities sold but not yet purchased | 391 | 94 | - | - | - | 485 |
| Unrealized loss on swaps, options and forward transactions: | | | | | | |
| Interest rate contracts | - | 9,387 | 325 | - | - | 9,712 |
| Foreign exchange contracts | 14 | 324 | - | - | - | 338 |
| Equity contracts | - | 286 | 43 | - | - | 329 |
| Commodity contracts | - | 68 | - | - | - | 68 |
| Credit contracts ^(d) | - | 5 | 4,175 | - | - | 4,180 |
| Other contracts | - | 52 | 256 | - | - | 308 |
| Counterparty netting and cash collateral | - | - | - | (6,298) | (2,902) | (9,200) |
| Total unrealized loss on swaps, options and forward transactions | 14 | 10,122 | 4,799 | (6,298) | (2,902) | 5,735 |
| Trust deposits and deposits due to banks and other depositors | | | | | | |
| | - | 15 | - | - | - | 15 |
| Other long-term debt | - | 11,161 | 982 | - | - | 12,143 |
| Total | \$ 405 | \$ 23,511 | \$ 6,226 | \$ (6,298) | \$ (2,902) | \$ 20,942 |

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**At December 31, 2009***(in millions)*

| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Counterparty Netting ^(a) | Cash Collateral ^(b) | Total |
|--|---------|----------|---------|--|-----------------------------------|----------|
| Assets: | | | | | | |
| Bonds available for sale: | | | | | | |
| U.S. government and government sponsored entities | \$ 146 | \$ 5,077 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 5,223 |
| Obligations of states, municipalities and Political subdivisions | 219 | 53,270 | 613 | - | - | 54,102 |
| Non-U.S. governments | 312 | 64,519 | 753 | - | - | 65,584 |
| Corporate debt | 10 | 187,337 | 4,791 | - | - | 192,138 |
| RMBS | - | 21,670 | 6,654 | - | - | 28,324 |
| CMBS | - | 8,350 | 4,939 | - | - | 13,289 |
| CDO/ABS | - | 2,167 | 4,724 | - | - | 6,891 |
| Total bonds available for sale | 687 | 342,390 | 22,474 | - | - | 365,551 |
| Bond trading securities: | | | | | | |
| U.S. government and government sponsored entities | 394 | 6,317 | 16 | - | - | 6,727 |
| Obligations of states, municipalities and Political subdivisions | - | 371 | - | - | - | 371 |
| Non-U.S. governments | 2 | 1,363 | 56 | - | - | 1,421 |
| Corporate debt | - | 5,205 | 121 | - | - | 5,326 |
| RMBS | - | 3,671 | 4 | - | - | 3,675 |
| CMBS | - | 2,152 | 325 | - | - | 2,477 |
| CDO/ABS | - | 4,381 | 6,865 | - | - | 11,246 |
| Total bond trading securities | 396 | 23,460 | 7,387 | - | - | 31,243 |
| Equity securities available for sale: | | | | | | |
| Common stock | 7,254 | 9 | 35 | - | - | 7,298 |
| Preferred stock | - | 760 | 54 | - | - | 814 |
| Mutual funds | 1,348 | 56 | 6 | - | - | 1,410 |
| Total equity securities available for sale | 8,602 | 825 | 95 | - | - | 9,522 |
| Equity securities trading: | | | | | | |
| Common stock | 1,254 | 104 | 1 | - | - | 1,359 |
| Mutual funds | 6,460 | 492 | 7 | - | - | 6,959 |
| Total equity securities trading | 7,714 | 596 | 8 | - | - | 8,318 |
| Mortgage and other loans receivable | - | 119 | - | - | - | 119 |
| Other invested assets ^(c) | 3,322 | 8,656 | 6,910 | - | - | 18,888 |
| Unrealized gain on swaps, options and forward transactions | 123 | 32,617 | 1,761 | (19,054) | (6,317) | 9,130 |
| Securities purchased under agreements to resell | - | 2,154 | - | - | - | 2,154 |
| Short-term investments | 1,898 | 22,077 | - | - | - | 23,975 |
| Separate account assets | 56,165 | 1,984 | 1 | - | - | 58,150 |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | |
|---|-----------|------------|-----------|-------------|------------|------------|
| Other assets | - | 18 | 270 | - | - | 288 |
| Total | \$ 78,907 | \$ 434,896 | \$ 38,906 | \$ (19,054) | \$ (6,317) | \$ 527,338 |
| Liabilities: | | | | | | |
| Policyholder contract deposits | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 5,214 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 5,214 |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase | - | 3,221 | - | - | - | 3,221 |
| Securities and spot commodities sold but not yet purchased | 159 | 871 | - | - | - | 1,030 |
| Unrealized loss on swaps, options and forward transactions ^(d) | 8 | 24,789 | 7,826 | (19,054) | (8,166) | 5,403 |
| Trust deposits and deposits due to banks and other depositors | - | 15 | - | - | - | 15 |
| Federal Reserve Bank of New York Commercial Paper Funding Facility | - | 2,742 | - | - | - | 2,742 |
| Other long-term debt | - | 12,314 | 881 | - | - | 13,195 |
| Total | \$ 167 | \$ 43,952 | \$ 13,921 | \$ (19,054) | \$ (8,166) | \$ 30,820 |

(a) *Represents netting of derivative exposures covered by a qualifying master netting agreement.*

(b) *Represents cash collateral posted and received. Securities collateral posted for derivative transactions that is reflected in Fixed maturity securities in the Consolidated Balance Sheet, and collateral received, not reflected in the Consolidated Balance Sheet, were \$1.4 billion and \$109 million, respectively, at December 31, 2010 and \$1.6 billion and \$289 million, respectively, at December 31, 2009.*

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(c) *Approximately 5 percent and 6 percent of the fair value of the total assets recorded as Level 3 relates to various private equity, real estate, hedge fund and fund-of-funds investments that are consolidated by AIG at both December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. AIG's ownership in these funds represented 68.6 percent, or \$1.3 billion, of Level 3 assets at December 31, 2010 and 71.1 percent, or \$1.6 billion, of Level 3 assets at December 31, 2009. For December 31, 2010, includes AIG's remaining 33 percent interest in AIA classified as Level 1.*

(d) *Included in Level 3 is the fair value derivative liability of \$3.7 billion and \$4.8 billion at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, on the Capital Markets' super senior credit default swap portfolio.*

Transfers of Level 1 and Level 2 Assets and Liabilities

AIG's policy is to record transfers of assets and liabilities between Level 1 and Level 2 at their fair values as of the end of each reporting period, consistent with the date of the determination of fair value. Assets are transferred out of Level 1 when they are no longer transacted with sufficient frequency and volume in an active market. During the year ended December 31, 2010, AIG transferred certain assets from Level 1 to Level 2, including approximately \$477 million of investments in U.S. government and government sponsored entities. Conversely, assets are transferred from Level 2 to Level 1 when transaction volume and frequency are indicative of an active market. AIG had no significant transfers from Level 2 to Level 1 during the twelve months ended December 31, 2010.

Changes in Level 3 recurring fair value measurements

The following tables present changes during 2010 and 2009 in Level 3 assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis, and the realized and unrealized gains (losses) recorded in the Consolidated Statement of

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**Income (Loss), during 2010 and 2009 related to the Level 3 assets and liabilities that remained on the Consolidated Balance Sheet at December 31, 2010 and 2009:**

| December 31, 2010 (in millions) | Balance Beginning of year ^(a) | Net Realized and Unrealized Gains (Losses) Included in Income ^(b) | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income | Purchases, Sales, Issuances and Settlements-Net ^(c) | Net Transfers | Activity of Discontinued Operations | Reclassified to Assets of Businesses Held for Sale ^(d) | Balance End of year | Changes in Unrealized Gains (Losses) on Instruments Held at End of year |
|--|--|--|---|---|------------------|---|---|---------------------------|---|
| Assets: | | | | | | | | | |
| Bonds available for sale: | | | | | | | | | |
| Obligations of states, municipalities and political subdivisions | | | | | | | | | |
| | \$ 613 | \$ (59) | \$ 5 | \$ (121) | \$ 171 | \$ 7 | \$ (7) | \$ 609 | \$ - |
| Non-U.S. governments | | | | | | | | | |
| | 753 | - | 3 | 29 | (39) | (19) | (722) | 5 | - |
| Corporate debt | 4,791 | (44) | 122 | (322) | (1,813) | (181) | (291) | 2,262 | - |
| RMBS | 6,654 | (700) | 1,847 | (2,497) | 1,106 | 134 | (177) | 6,367 | - |
| CMBS | 4,939 | (801) | 2,055 | (668) | 146 | 783 | (2,850) | 3,604 | - |
| CDO/ABS | 4,724 | 110 | 605 | (667) | (19) | (152) | (360) | 4,241 | - |
| Total bonds available for sale | 22,474 | (1,494) | 4,637 | (4,246) | (448) | 572 | (4,407) | 17,088 | - |
| Bond trading securities: | | | | | | | | | |
| U.S. government and government sponsored entities | | | | | | | | | |
| | 16 | - | - | - | - | (16) | - | - | - |
| Non-U.S. governments | | | | | | | | | |
| | 56 | - | - | (32) | (11) | (6) | (7) | - | - |
| Corporate debt | 121 | (3) | - | (7) | - | (1) | (110) | - | (6) |
| RMBS | 4 | (24) | - | (7) | 118 | 69 | (69) | 91 | (19) |
| CMBS | 325 | 50 | - | 19 | 210 | (14) | (84) | 506 | 146 |
| CDO/ABS | 6,865 | 2,876 | - | (144) | 4 | 29 | (199) | 9,431 | 1,407 |
| Total bond trading securities | 7,387 | 2,899 | - | (171) | 321 | 61 | (469) | 10,028 | 1,528 |
| Equity securities available for sale: | | | | | | | | | |
| Common stock | 35 | (2) | 38 | 4 | (5) | - | (9) | 61 | - |
| Preferred stock | 54 | (5) | 6 | 8 | 1 | - | - | 64 | - |
| Mutual funds | 6 | - | 3 | 11 | (2) | - | (18) | - | - |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------|----------|----------|------------|----------|----------|------------|------------|----------|
| Total equity securities available for sale | 95 | (7) | 47 | 23 | (6) | - | (27) | 125 | - |
| Equity securities trading: | | | | | | | | | |
| Common stock | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| Mutual funds | 7 | - | - | 1 | - | (2) | (6) | - | - |
| Total equity securities trading | 8 | - | - | 1 | - | (2) | (6) | 1 | - |
| Other invested assets | 6,910 | 355 | 149 | (1,075) | 1,474 | 346 | (745) | 7,414 | (516) |
| Other assets | 270 | - | - | (270) | - | - | - | - | - |
| Separate account assets | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | (1) | - | - |
| Total | \$ 37,145 | \$ 1,753 | \$ 4,833 | \$ (5,738) | \$ 1,341 | \$ 977 | \$ (5,655) | \$ 34,656 | \$ 1,012 |
| Liabilities: | | | | | | | | | |
| Policyholder contract deposits | \$ (5,214) | \$ 175 | \$ - | \$ (544) | \$ - | \$ (137) | \$ 5,275 | \$ (445) | \$ (609) |
| Unrealized loss on swaps, options and forward transactions, net: | | | | | | | | | |
| Interest rate contracts | (1,469) | (20) | - | 1,230 | 991 | - | - | 732 | (61) |
| Foreign exchange contracts | 29 | 7 | - | (2) | - | 1 | (19) | 16 | 9 |
| Equity contracts | 74 | (27) | - | (44) | 20 | - | (1) | 22 | (4) |
| Commodity contracts | 22 | 3 | - | (2) | - | - | - | 23 | 3 |
| Credit contracts | (4,545) | 880 | - | (131) | (2) | - | - | (3,798) | 993 |
| Other contracts | (176) | (61) | - | 69 | 49 | (3) | 10 | (112) | (86) |
| Total unrealized loss on swaps, options and forward transactions, net | (6,065) | 782 | - | 1,120 | 1,058 | (2) | (10) | (3,117) | 854 |
| Other long-term debt | (881) | (237) | - | 743 | (607) | - | - | (982) | (275) |
| Total | \$ (12,160) | \$ 720 | \$ - | \$ 1,319 | \$ 451 | \$ (139) | \$ 5,265 | \$ (4,544) | \$ (30) |

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

| December 31, 2009 <i>(in millions)</i> | Balance Beginning of year ^(a) | Net Realized and Unrealized Gains (Losses) Included in Income ^(b) | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income | Purchases, Sales, Issuances and Settlements-Net ^(c) | Net Transfers | Activity of Discontinued Operations | Reclassified to Assets of Businesses Held for Sale ^(d) | Balance End of year | Changes in Unrealized Gains (Losses) on Instruments Held at End of year |
|--|--|--|---|---|------------------|---|---|---------------------------|---|
| Assets: | | | | | | | | | |
| Bonds available for sale: | | | | | | | | | |
| U.S. government and government sponsored entities | | | | | | | | | |
| | \$ 2 | \$ - | \$ (2) | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
| Obligations of states, municipalities and political subdivisions | | | | | | | | | |
| | 861 | (12) | (55) | 97 | (278) | - | - | 613 | - |
| Non-U.S. governments | | | | | | | | | |
| | 601 | 2 | (1) | (3) | (66) | 220 | - | 753 | - |
| Corporate debt | 6,103 | (27) | 1,097 | (1,202) | (996) | (178) | (6) | 4,791 | - |
| RMBS | 6,156 | (1,134) | 1,503 | (494) | 622 | 1 | - | 6,654 | - |
| CMBS | 1,663 | (302) | 519 | (328) | 1,563 | 1,824 | - | 4,939 | - |
| CDO/ABS | 3,440 | (650) | 1,752 | (448) | 620 | 43 | (33) | 4,724 | - |
| Total bonds available for sale | 18,826 | (2,123) | 4,813 | (2,378) | 1,465 | 1,910 | (39) | 22,474 | - |
| Bond trading securities: | | | | | | | | | |
| U.S. government and government sponsored entities | | | | | | | | | |
| | 17 | - | - | - | - | (1) | - | 16 | - |
| Non-U.S. governments | | | | | | | | | |
| | - | - | - | 1 | 49 | 6 | - | 56 | - |
| Corporate debt | 261 | 12 | (5) | (65) | 6 | (24) | (64) | 121 | 37 |
| RMBS | 8 | (3) | - | (1) | - | - | - | 4 | 15 |
| CMBS | 45 | (98) | - | 58 | 222 | 98 | - | 325 | (66) |
| CDO/ABS | 6,656 | 850 | - | (641) | - | - | - | 6,865 | 1,844 |
| Total bond trading securities | 6,987 | 761 | (5) | (648) | 277 | 79 | (64) | 7,387 | 1,830 |
| Equity securities available for sale: | | | | | | | | | |
| Common stock | | | | | | | | | |
| | 55 | (24) | 7 | 5 | (8) | - | - | 35 | - |
| | 54 | (11) | 6 | 1 | 4 | - | - | 54 | - |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|--------------------|-----------------|--|
| Preferred stock | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mutual funds | 2 | - | 4 | - | - | - | - | 6 | - | |
| Total equity securities available for sale | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 111 | (35) | 17 | 6 | (4) | - | - | 95 | - | |
| Equity securities trading: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Common stock | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mutual funds | 2 | - | - | - | - | 5 | - | 7 | - | |
| Total equity securities trading | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 3 | - | - | - | - | 5 | - | 8 | - | |
| Other invested assets | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 11,168 | (2,051) | (1,497) | 790 | 119 | (43) | (1,576) | 6,910 | (1,737) | |
| Short-term investments | | | | | | | | | | |
| | - | - | - | 38 | (38) | - | - | - | - | |
| Other assets | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 325 | (23) | - | (32) | - | - | - | 270 | (23) | |
| Separate account assets | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 830 | - | (1) | - | - | 95 | (923) | 1 | - | |
| Total | \$ 38,250 | \$ (3,471) | \$ 3,327 | \$ (2,224) | \$ 1,819 | \$ 2,046 | \$ (2,602) | \$ 37,145 | \$ 70 | |
| Liabilities: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Policyholder contract deposits | | | | | | | | | | |
| | \$ (5,458) | \$ 955 | \$ 1 | \$ (457) | \$ - | \$ (255) | \$ - | \$ (5,214) | \$ (523) | |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase | | | | | | | | | | |
| | (85) | 4 | - | 81 | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Unrealized loss on swaps, options and forward transactions, net | | | | | | | | | | |
| | (10,570) | 1,618 | (4) | 3,460 | (583) | 14 | - | (6,065) | 5,223 | |
| Other long-term debt | | | | | | | | | | |
| | (1,147) | (3) | - | 186 | 83 | - | - | (881) | 82 | |
| Total | \$ (17,260) | \$ 2,574 | \$ (3) | \$ 3,270 | \$ (500) | \$ (241) | \$ - | \$ (12,160) | \$ 4,782 | |

(a)

Total Level 3 derivative exposures have been netted on these tables for presentation purposes only.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(b)

Net realized and unrealized gains and losses related to Level 3 items shown above are reported in the Consolidated Statement of Income (Loss) primarily as follows:

| Major Category of Assets/Liabilities | Consolidated Statement of Income (Loss) Line Items |
|---|--|
| Bonds available for sale | Net realized capital losses |
| Bond trading securities | Net investment income Other income |
| Other invested assets | Net realized capital losses Other income |
| Policyholder contract deposits | Policyholder benefits and claims incurred Net realized capital losses |
| Unrealized loss on swaps, options and forward transactions, net | Unrealized market valuation gains (losses) on Capital Markets super senior credit default swap portfolio Net realized capital losses Other income |

(c)

Included within purchases, sales, issuances and settlements net is approximately \$210 million transferred from bonds available for sale to bond trading securities for the 12 months ended December 31, 2010.

(d)

Reflects the deconsolidation of AIA and sales of ALICO and AGF in 2010.

Both observable and unobservable inputs may be used to determine the fair values of positions classified in Level 3 in the tables above. As a result, the unrealized gains (losses) on instruments held at December 31, 2010 and 2009 may include changes in fair value that were attributable to both observable (e.g., changes in market interest rates) and unobservable inputs (e.g., changes in unobservable long-dated volatilities).

Transfers of Level 3 Assets and Liabilities

AIG's policy is to transfer assets and liabilities into Level 3 when a significant input cannot be corroborated with market observable data. This may include circumstances in which market activity has dramatically decreased and transparency to underlying inputs cannot be observed, current prices are not available and substantial price variances in quotations among market participants exist.

In certain cases, the inputs used to measure the fair value may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. In such cases, the level in the fair value hierarchy within which the fair value measurement in its entirety falls is determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement. AIG's assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment. In making the assessment, AIG considers factors specific to the asset or liability.

Transfers in 2010 are comprised of gross transfers into Level 3 assets and liabilities of \$7.8 billion and gross transfers out of Level 3 assets and liabilities of \$6.9 billion. AIG's policy is to record transfers of assets and liabilities into or out of Level 3 at their fair values as of the end of each reporting period, consistent with the date of the determination of fair value. As a result, the Net realized and unrealized gains (losses) included in income or other comprehensive income and as shown in the table above excludes \$86 million of net losses related to assets and liabilities transferred into Level 3 during 2010, and includes \$172 million of net gains related to assets and liabilities transferred out of Level 3 during 2010.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**The following table provides the components of the transfers of Level 3 assets and liabilities on a gross basis:**

| December 31, 2010 <i>(in millions)</i> | Gross Transfers in | Gross Transfers (out) | Net transfers In (out) |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| Assets: | | | |
| Obligations of states, municipalities and political subdivisions | \$ 358 | \$ (187) | \$ 171 |
| Non U.S. governments | 9 | (59) | (50) |
| Corporate debt | 1,389 | (3,202) | (1,813) |
| RMBS | 1,486 | (262) | 1,224 |
| CMBS | 728 | (372) | 356 |
| CDO/ABS | 735 | (750) | (15) |
| Equity securities | 13 | (19) | (6) |
| Other invested assets | 2,284 | (810) | 1,474 |
| Total assets | \$ 7,002 | \$ (5,661) | \$ 1,341 |
| Liabilities: | | | |
| Unrealized loss on swaps, options, and forward transactions | | | |
| Interest rate contracts | \$ (11) | \$ 1,002 | \$ 991 |
| Foreign exchange contracts | (1) | 1 | - |
| Equity contracts | (86) | 106 | 20 |
| Credit contracts | (2) | - | (2) |
| Other contracts | - | 49 | 49 |
| Total unrealized loss on swaps, options and forward transactions | (100) | 1,158 | 1,058 |
| Other long-term debt | (705) | 98 | (607) |
| Total liabilities | \$ (805) | \$ 1,256 | \$ 451 |

Transfers of Level 3 Assets

During the year ended December 31, 2010, AIG transferred into Level 3 approximately \$7.0 billion of assets consisting of certain ABS, CMBS and RMBS, as well as private placement corporate debt, certain municipal bonds related to affordable housing partnerships and investment partnerships. The transfers into Level 3 related to investments in certain ABS, RMBS and CMBS were due to a decrease in market transparency, downward credit migration and an overall increase in price disparity for certain individual security types. Transfers into Level 3 for private placement corporate debt and certain ABS were primarily the result of AIG overriding matrix pricing information downward to better reflect the additional risk premium associated with those securities that AIG believes was not captured in the matrix. Certain municipal bonds were transferred into Level 3 based on limited market activity for the particular issuances and related limitations on observable inputs for their valuation. Investment partnerships transferred into Level 3 primarily consisted of certain hedge funds with limited market activity due to fund-imposed redemption restrictions.

Assets are transferred out of Level 3 when circumstances change such that significant inputs can be corroborated with market observable data. This may be due to a significant increase in market activity for the asset, a specific event, one or more significant input(s) becoming observable or when a long-term interest rate significant to a valuation becomes short-term and thus observable. During the year ended December 31, 2010,

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

AIG transferred approximately \$5.7 billion of assets out of Level 3. These transfers out of Level 3 are primarily related to investments in private placement corporate debt, investments in certain ABS, RMBS, CMBS and CDOs and certain investment partnerships. Transfers out of Level 3 for private placement corporate debt and for ABS were primarily the result of AIG using observable pricing information or a third party pricing quote that appropriately reflects the fair value of those securities, without the need for adjustment based on AIG's own assumptions regarding the characteristics of a specific security or the current liquidity in the market. Transfers out of Level 3 for RMBS investments were primarily due to increased usage of pricing from valuation service

262 AIG 2010 Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

providers that were reflective of market activity, where previously an internally adjusted price had been used. Similarly, transfers out of Level 3 for CMBS and CDO investments backed by corporate credits were primarily the result of AIG using observable pricing information or a third party pricing quote that appropriately reflects the fair value of those securities, without the need for adjustment based on AIG's own assumptions regarding the characteristics of a specific security or the current liquidity in the market. Transfers out of Level 3 for both the CMBS and CDO investments were primarily due to increased observations of market transactions and price information for those securities. Certain investment partnerships were transferred out of Level 3 primarily due to the availability of information related to the underlying assets of these funds.

Transfers of Level 3 Liabilities

During the year ended December 31, 2010, AIG transferred into Level 3 \$805 million of liabilities, primarily related to term notes and hybrid term notes, as well as certain derivatives. Term notes and hybrid term notes were transferred into Level 3 primarily due to an unobservable credit linked component constituting a significant amount of the valuations. As AIG provides net presentation of carrying values for its derivative positions in the table above, transfers out of Level 3 derivatives, which totaled approximately \$1.2 billion primarily relate to certain derivative assets transferred into Level 3 because of the lack of observable inputs on certain interest rate swaps. Other transfers out of Level 3 liabilities were due to movement in market variables.

AIG uses various hedging techniques to manage risks associated with certain positions, including those classified within Level 3. Such techniques may include the purchase or sale of financial instruments that are classified within Level 1 and/or Level 2. As a result, the realized and unrealized gains (losses) for assets and liabilities classified within Level 3 presented in the table above do not reflect the related realized or unrealized gains (losses) on hedging instruments that are classified within Level 1 and/or Level 2.

Investments in certain entities carried at fair value using net asset value per share

The following table includes information related to AIG's investments in certain other invested assets, including private equity funds, hedge funds and other alternative investments that calculate net asset value per share (or

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

its equivalent). For these investments, which are measured at fair value on a recurring or non-recurring basis, AIG uses the net asset value per share as a practical expedient for fair value.

| (in millions) | Investment Category Includes | December 31, 2010 ^(a) | | December 31, 2009 | |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|-------------------------|--|-------------------------|
| | | Fair Value Using Net Asset Value | Unfunded Commitments | Fair Value Using Net Asset Value | Unfunded Commitments |
| Investment Category | | | | | |
| <i>Private equity funds:</i> | | | | | |
| Leveraged buyout | Debt and/or equity investments made as part of a transaction in which assets of mature companies are acquired from the current shareholders, typically with the use of financial leverage. | \$ 3,137 | \$ 1,151 | \$ 3,166 | \$ 1,553 |
| Non-U.S. | Investments that focus primarily on Asian and European based buyouts, expansion capital, special situations, turnarounds, venture capital, mezzanine and distressed opportunities strategies. | 172 | 67 | 543 | 103 |
| Venture capital | Early-stage, high-potential, growth companies expected to generate a return through an eventual realization event, such as an initial public offering or sale of the company. | 325 | 42 | 427 | 48 |
| Fund of funds | Funds that invest in other funds, which invest in various diversified strategies | - | - | 334 | - |
| Distressed | Securities of companies that are already in default, under bankruptcy protection, or troubled. | 258 | 67 | 238 | 91 |
| Other | Real estate, energy, multi-strategy, mezzanine, and industry-focused strategies. | 373 | 147 | 336 | 182 |
| Total private equity funds | | 4,265 | 1,474 | 5,044 | 1,977 |
| <i>Hedge funds:</i> | | | | | |
| Event-driven | Securities of companies undergoing material structural changes, including mergers, acquisitions and other reorganizations. | 1,310 | 2 | 1,426 | - |
| Long-short | Securities that the manager believes are undervalued, with corresponding short positions to hedge market risk. | 1,038 | - | 955 | - |
| Relative value | Funds that seek to benefit from market inefficiencies and value discrepancies between related investments. | 230 | - | 286 | - |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|-------------------|--|-------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|--------------|
| Distressed | Securities of companies that are already in default, under bankruptcy protection or troubled. | 369 | 20 | 272 | - |
| Other | Non-U.S. companies, futures and commodities, macro and multi-strategy and industry-focused strategies. | 708 | - | 785 | - |
| Total hedge funds | | 3,655 | 22 | 3,724 | - |
| Total | | \$ 7,920^(b) | \$ 1,496 | \$ 8,768^(b) | 1,977 |

(a) *Because of the sale of the investment advisory business in 2010, certain partnerships and hedge funds are no longer carried at fair value and are not included in this table.*

(b) *Includes investments of entities classified as held for sale of \$415 million and \$1.1 billion at December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively.*

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

At December 31, 2010, private equity fund investments included above are not redeemable during the lives of the funds and have expected remaining lives that extend in some cases more than 10 years. At that date, 35 percent of the total above had expected remaining lives of less than three years, 41 percent between 3 and 7 years and 24 percent between 7 and 10 years. Expected lives are based upon legal maturity, which can be extended at the fund manager's discretion, typically in one-year increments.

At December 31, 2010, hedge fund investments included above are redeemable monthly (19 percent), quarterly (50 percent), semi-annually (4 percent) and annually (27 percent), with redemption notices ranging from 1 day to 180 days. More than 85 percent require redemption notices of less than 90 days. Investments representing approximately 66 percent of the value of the hedge fund investments cannot be redeemed, either in whole or in part, because the investments include various restrictions. The majority of these restrictions were put in place in 2008 and do not have stated end dates. The remaining restrictions, which have pre-defined end dates, are generally expected to be lifted by the end of 2012. The partial restrictions relate to certain hedge funds that hold at least one investment that the fund manager deems to be illiquid. In order to treat investors fairly and to accommodate subsequent subscription and redemption requests, the fund manager isolates these illiquid assets from the rest of the fund until the assets become liquid.

Fair Value Measurements on a Non-Recurring Basis

AIG also measures the fair value of certain assets on a non-recurring basis, generally quarterly, annually or when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the assets may not be recoverable. These assets include cost and equity-method investments, life settlement contracts, flight equipment primarily under operating leases, collateral securing foreclosed loans and real estate and other fixed assets, goodwill and other intangible assets. AIG uses a variety of techniques to measure the fair value of these assets when appropriate, as described below:

Cost and Equity-Method Investments: When AIG determines that the carrying value of these assets may not be recoverable, AIG records the assets at fair value with the loss recognized in earnings. In such cases, AIG measures the fair value of these assets using the techniques discussed in Valuation Methodologies, above, for Other invested assets.

Life Settlement Contracts: AIG measures the fair value of individual life settlement contracts (which are included in other invested assets) whenever the carrying value plus the undiscounted future costs that are expected to be incurred to keep the life settlement contract in force exceed the expected proceeds from the contract. In those situations, the fair value is determined on a discounted cash flow basis, incorporating current life expectancy assumptions. The discount rate incorporates current information about market interest rates, the credit exposure to the insurance company that issued the life settlement contract and AIG's estimate of the risk margin an investor in the contracts would require.

Flight Equipment Primarily Under Operating Leases: When AIG determines that the carrying value of its commercial aircraft may not be recoverable, AIG records the aircraft at fair value with the loss recognized in earnings. AIG measures the fair value of its commercial aircraft using an earnings approach based on the present value of all cash flows from existing and projected lease payments (based on historical experience and current expectations regarding market participants), including net contingent rentals for the period extending to the end of the aircraft's economic life in its highest and best use configuration, plus its disposition value.

Collateral Securing Foreclosed Loans and Real Estate and Other Fixed Assets: When AIG takes collateral in connection with foreclosed loans, AIG generally bases its estimate of fair value on the price that would be received in a current transaction to sell the asset by itself, by reference to observable transactions for similar assets.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Goodwill: AIG tests goodwill for impairment annually or more frequently whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying amount of goodwill may not be recoverable. When AIG determines that goodwill may be impaired, AIG uses techniques including market-based earning multiples of peer companies, discounted expected future cash flows, appraisals, or, in the case of reporting units being considered for sale, third-party indications of fair value of the reporting unit, if available, to determine the amount of any impairment.

Long-Lived Assets: AIG tests its long-lived assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of a long-lived asset may not be recoverable. AIG measures the fair value of long-lived assets based on an in-use premise that considers the same factors used to estimate the fair value of its real estate and other fixed assets under an in-use premise.

Finance Receivables:

Originated as held for sale AIG determines the fair value of finance receivables originated as held for sale by reference to available market indicators such as current investor yield requirements, outstanding forward sale commitments and negotiations with prospective purchasers, if any.

Originated as held for investment AIG determines the fair value of finance receivables originated as held for investment based on negotiations with prospective purchasers, if any, or by using projected cash flows discounted at the weighted average interest rates offered in the marketplace for similar finance receivables. Cash flows are projected based on contractual payment terms, adjusted for delinquencies and estimates of prepayments and credit-related losses.

Businesses Held for Sale: When AIG determines that a business qualifies as held for sale and AIG's carrying amount is greater than the expected sale price less cost to sell, AIG records an impairment loss for the difference.

See Notes 2(d), (f), (g) and (h) herein for additional information about how AIG tests various asset classes for impairment.

The following table presents assets (excluding discontinued operations) measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis on which impairment charges were recorded, and the related impairment charges:

| (in millions) | Assets at Fair Value Non-Recurring Basis | | | | Impairment Charges December 31, | | |
|-----------------------------|---|-------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| At December 31, 2010 | | | | | | | |
| Goodwill | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 693 | \$ 3,744 |
| Investment real estate | - | - | 1,588 | 1,588 | 604 | 1,198 | 242 |
| Other investments | - | 4 | 2,388 | 2,392 | 323 | 908 | 237 |
| Aircraft | - | - | 4,224 | 4,224 | 1,614 | 51 | - |
| Other assets | - | - | 2 | 2 | 5 | 225 | 34 |
| Total | \$ - | \$ 4 | \$ 8,202 | \$ 8,206 | \$ 2,546 | \$ 3,075 | \$ 4,257 |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

At December 31, 2009

| | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------------|-----------|--------------|
| Investment real estate | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 3,148 | \$ | 3,148 |
| Other investments | | 99 | | - | | 1,005 | | 1,104 |
| Aircraft | | - | | - | | 62 | | 62 |
| Other assets | | - | | 85 | | 54 | | 139 |
| Total | \$ | 99 | \$ | 85 | \$ | 4,269 | \$ | 4,453 |

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Impairment charges shown above for the 12 months ended December 31, 2010 exclude a \$4.6 billion of goodwill impairment charges associated with the sales of ALICO and AIG Star and AIG Edison, all of which are reported in discontinued operations.

During 2009, AIG recognized goodwill impairment charges primarily in the Institutional Asset Management business. These impairment charges related to a significant decline in certain consolidated warehoused investments as well as the consideration of recent transaction activity. AIG also recognized impairment charges related to certain investment real estate, proprietary real estate, private equity investments and other long-lived assets.

AIG recognized goodwill impairment charges of \$3.7 billion in 2008, which were primarily related to Chartis, SunAmerica, Consumer Finance and the Capital Markets businesses. The remaining impairment charges related to certain investment real estate and other long-lived assets that were included in other income. The fair value disclosed in the table above is unadjusted for transaction costs. The amounts recorded on the Consolidated Balance Sheet are net of transaction costs.

Fair Value Option

Under the fair value option, AIG may elect to measure at fair value financial assets and financial liabilities that are not otherwise required to be carried at fair value. Subsequent changes in fair value for designated items are reported in earnings.

The following table presents the gains or losses recorded related to the eligible instruments for which AIG elected the fair value option:

| Years Ended December 31, (in millions) | Gain (Loss) | | |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Assets: | | | |
| Mortgage and other loans receivable | \$ 53 | \$ (6) | \$ (82) |
| Trading securities | 2,060 | 2,513 | (8,663) |
| Trading Maiden Lane II | 513 | (25) | (211) |
| Trading Maiden Lane III | 1,792 | 419 | (900) |
| Securities purchased under agreements to resell | 1 | (8) | 400 |
| Retained interest in AIA ^(a) | (638) | - | - |
| Other invested assets | (43) | (32) | (39) |
| Short-term investments | 3 | - | 68 |
| Other assets | - | - | 1 |
| Liabilities: | | | |
| Policyholder contract deposits ^(b) | (320) | (1,121) | 1,304 |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase | 14 | (73) | (125) |
| Securities and spot commodities sold but not yet purchased | (21) | (148) | (176) |
| Debt | (1,285) | 2,447 | (4,041) |
| Other liabilities | (1) | (173) | 1,408 |
| Total gain (loss)^(c) | \$ 2,128 | \$ 3,793 | \$ (11,056) |

(a) Represents change in fair value measured from the closing sale price on the October 29, 2010 initial date of trading.

(b) AIG elected to apply the fair value option to a certain investment-linked life insurance product sold principally in Asia, classified within policyholder contract deposits in the Consolidated Balance Sheet. AIG elected the fair value option for these liabilities to more closely align its accounting with the

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

economics of its transactions. The election more effectively aligns changes in the fair value of assets with a commensurate change in the fair value of policyholders' liabilities.

(c)

Excludes businesses held for sale in the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Also excluded from the table above were gains of \$4.9 billion and \$3.8 billion and losses of \$32.4 billion for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, that were primarily due to changes in the fair value of derivatives, trading securities and certain other invested assets for which the fair value option was not elected. Included in these amounts were unrealized market valuation gains of \$598 million, gains of \$1.4 billion and losses of \$28.6 billion for 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, related to Capital Markets' super senior credit default swap portfolio.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Interest income and expense and dividend income on assets and liabilities elected under the fair value option are recognized and classified in the Consolidated Statement of Income (Loss) depending on the nature of the instrument and related market conventions. For Direct Investment business-related activity, interest, dividend income and interest expense are included in Other income. Otherwise, interest and dividend income are included in Net investment income in the Consolidated Statement of Income (Loss). Gains and losses on AIG's Maiden Lane Interests are recorded in Net investment income. See Note 2(a) herein for additional information about AIG's policies for recognition, measurement, and disclosure of interest and dividend income and interest expense.

During 2010, 2009 and 2008, AIG recognized losses of \$467 million and \$2 million and gains of \$84 million, respectively, attributable to the observable effect of changes in credit spreads on AIG's own liabilities for which the fair value option was elected. AIG calculates the effect of these credit spread changes using discounted cash flow techniques that incorporate current market interest rates, AIG's observable credit spreads on these liabilities and other factors that mitigate the risk of nonperformance such as cash collateral posted.

The following table presents the difference between fair values and the aggregate contractual principal amounts of mortgage and other loans receivable and long-term borrowings for which the fair value option was elected:

| <i>(in millions)</i> | At December 31, 2010 | | | At December 31, 2009 | | |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|------------|----------------------|------------------------------|------------|
| | Fair Value | Outstanding Principal Amount | Difference | Fair Value | Outstanding Principal Amount | Difference |
| Assets: | | | | | | |
| Mortgage and other loans receivable | \$ 143 | \$ 203 | \$ (60) | \$ 119 | \$ 253 | \$ (134) |
| Liabilities: | | | | | | |
| Long-term debt | \$ 10,778 | \$ 8,977 | \$ 1,801 | \$ 11,308 | \$ 10,111 | \$ 1,197 |

At December 31, 2010 and 2009 there were no significant mortgage or other loans receivable for which the fair value option was elected that were 90 days or more past due and in non-accrual status.

Fair Value Information about Financial Instruments Not Measured at Fair Value

Information regarding the estimation of fair value for financial instruments not carried at fair value (excluding insurance contracts and lease contracts) is discussed below:

Mortgage and other loans receivable: Fair values of loans on real estate and collateral loans were estimated for disclosure purposes using discounted cash flow calculations based upon discount rates that AIG believes market participants would use in determining the price that they would pay for such assets. For certain loans, AIG's current incremental lending rates for similar type loans is used as the discount rate, as it is believed that this rate approximates the rates that market participants would use. The fair values of policy loans were not estimated as AIG believes it would have to expend excessive costs for the benefits derived.

Finance receivables: Fair values of net finance receivables, less allowance for finance receivable losses, were estimated for disclosure purposes using projected cash flows, computed by category of finance receivable, discounted at the weighted average interest rates offered for similar finance receivables at the balance sheet date. Cash flows were projected based on contractual payment terms adjusted for delinquencies and estimates of losses. The fair value estimates do not reflect the underlying customer relationships or the related distribution systems.

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Cash, short-term investments, trade receivables, trade payables, securities purchased (sold) under agreements to resell (repurchase) and commercial paper and other short-term debt: The carrying values of these assets and liabilities approximate fair values because of the relatively short period of time between origination and expected realization.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Policyholder contract deposits associated with investment-type contracts: Fair values for policyholder contract deposits associated with investment-type contracts not accounted for at fair value were estimated for disclosure purposes using discounted cash flow calculations based upon interest rates currently being offered for similar contracts with maturities consistent with those remaining for the contracts being valued. Where no similar contracts are being offered, the discount rate is the appropriate tenor swap rates (if available) or current risk-free interest rates consistent with the currency in which the cash flows are denominated.

Trust deposits and deposits due to banks and other depositors: The fair values of certificates of deposit that mature in more than one year are estimated for disclosure purposes using discounted cash flow calculations based upon interest rates currently offered for deposits with similar maturities. For demand deposits and certificates of deposit that mature in less than one year, carrying values approximate fair value.

Long-term debt: Fair values of these obligations were determined for disclosure purposes by reference to quoted market prices, where available and appropriate, or discounted cash flow calculations based upon AIG's current market-observable implicit-credit-spread rates for similar types of borrowings with maturities consistent with those remaining for the debt being valued.

The following table presents the carrying value and estimated fair value of AIG's financial instruments:

| <i>(in millions)</i> | December 31, 2010 | | December 31, 2009 | |
|--|-------------------|------------|-------------------|------------|
| | Carrying Value | Fair Value | Carrying Value | Fair Value |
| Assets: | | | | |
| Fixed maturities | \$ 254,484 | \$ 254,484 | \$ 396,794 | \$ 396,794 |
| Equity securities | 11,233 | 11,233 | 17,840 | 17,840 |
| Mortgage and other loans receivable | 19,367 | 19,558 | 27,461 | 25,957 |
| Finance receivables, net of allowance | 870 | 802 | 20,327 | 18,974 |
| Other invested assets* | 40,828 | 40,220 | 43,737 | 42,474 |
| Securities purchased under agreements to resell | 1,553 | 1,553 | 2,154 | 2,154 |
| Short-term investments | 42,185 | 42,185 | 47,263 | 47,263 |
| Cash | 1,558 | 1,558 | 4,400 | 4,400 |
| Unrealized gain on swaps, options and forward transactions | 5,917 | 5,917 | 9,130 | 9,130 |
| Liabilities: | | | | |
| Policyholder contract deposits associated with investment-type contracts | 102,585 | 112,710 | 168,846 | 175,612 |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase | 2,119 | 2,119 | 3,505 | 3,505 |
| Securities and spot commodities sold but not yet purchased | 485 | 485 | 1,030 | 1,030 |
| Unrealized loss on swaps, options and forward transactions | 5,735 | 5,735 | 5,403 | 5,403 |
| Trust deposits and deposits due to banks and other depositors | 892 | 892 | 1,641 | 1,641 |
| Federal Reserve Bank of New York Commercial Paper Funding Facility | - | - | 4,739 | 4,739 |
| Federal Reserve Bank of New York credit facility | 20,985 | 21,009 | 23,435 | 23,390 |
| Other long-term debt | 85,476 | 84,879 | 113,298 | 94,458 |

*

Excludes aircraft asset investments held by non-Financial Services subsidiaries.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**7. Investments****(a) Securities Available for Sale**

The following table presents the amortized cost or cost and fair value of AIG's available for sale securities:

| <i>(in millions)</i> | Amortized Cost or Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Other-Than- Temporary Impairments in AOCI ^(a) |
|--|------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|---|
| December 31, 2010 | | | | | |
| Bonds available for sale: | | | | | |
| U.S. government and government sponsored entities | \$ 7,239 | \$ 184 | \$ (73) | \$ 7,350 | \$ - |
| Obligations of states, municipalities and political subdivisions | 45,297 | 1,725 | (402) | 46,620 | 2 |
| Non-U.S. governments | 14,780 | 639 | (75) | 15,344 | (28) |
| Corporate debt | 118,729 | 8,827 | (1,198) | 126,358 | 99 |
| Mortgage-backed, asset-backed and collateralized: | | | | | |
| RMBS | 20,661 | 700 | (1,553) | 19,808 | (648) |
| CMBS | 7,320 | 240 | (1,149) | 6,411 | (218) |
| CDO/ABS | 6,643 | 402 | (634) | 6,411 | 32 |
| Total mortgage-backed, asset-backed and collateralized | 34,624 | 1,342 | (3,336) | 32,630 | (834) |
| Total bonds available for sale^(b) | 220,669 | 12,717 | (5,084) | 228,302 | (761) |
| Equity securities available for sale: | | | | | |
| Common stock | 1,820 | 1,931 | (52) | 3,699 | - |
| Preferred stock | 400 | 88 | (1) | 487 | - |
| Mutual funds | 351 | 46 | (2) | 395 | - |
| Total equity securities available for sale | 2,571 | 2,065 | (55) | 4,581 | - |
| Total^(c) | \$ 223,240 | \$ 14,782 | \$ (5,139) | \$ 232,883 | \$ (761) |

December 31, 2009

Bonds available for sale:

| | | | | | |
|--|-------------------|------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| U.S. government and government sponsored entities | \$ 5,098 | \$ 174 | \$ (49) | \$ 5,223 | \$ - |
| Obligations of states, municipalities and political subdivisions | 52,324 | 2,163 | (385) | 54,102 | - |
| Non-U.S. governments | 63,080 | 3,153 | (649) | 65,584 | (1) |
| Corporate debt | 185,188 | 10,826 | (3,876) | 192,138 | 119 |
| Mortgage-backed, asset-backed and collateralized: | | | | | |
| RMBS | 32,173 | 991 | (4,840) | 28,324 | (2,121) |
| CMBS | 18,717 | 195 | (5,623) | 13,289 | (739) |
| CDO/ABS | 7,911 | 284 | (1,304) | 6,891 | (63) |
| Total mortgage-backed, asset-backed and collateralized | 58,801 | 1,470 | (11,767) | 48,504 | (2,923) |
| Total bonds available for sale^(b) | 364,491 | 17,786 | (16,726) | 365,551 | (2,805) |
| Equity securities available for sale: | | | | | |
| Common stock | 4,460 | 2,913 | (75) | 7,298 | - |
| Preferred stock | 740 | 94 | (20) | 814 | - |
| Mutual funds | 1,264 | 182 | (36) | 1,410 | - |
| Total equity securities available for sale | 6,464 | 3,189 | (131) | 9,522 | - |
| Total^(c) | \$ 370,955 | \$ 20,975 | \$ (16,857) | \$ 375,073 | \$ (2,805) |

(a) Represents the amount of other-than-temporary impairment losses recognized in Accumulated other comprehensive loss, which, starting on April 1, 2009, were not included in earnings. Amount includes unrealized gains and losses on impaired securities relating to changes in the value of such securities subsequent to the impairment measurement date.

(b) At December 31, 2010 and 2009, bonds available for sale held by AIG that were below investment grade or not rated totaled \$18.6 billion and \$24.5 billion, respectively.

(c) Excludes \$80.5 billion and \$36.1 billion of available for sale investments at fair value from businesses held for sale at December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. See Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements herein.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS*Unrealized losses on Securities Available for Sale*

The following table summarizes the fair value and gross unrealized losses on AIG's available for sale securities, aggregated by major investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position:

| <i>(in millions)</i> | 12 Months or Less | | More than 12 Months | | Total | |
|--|-------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|------------|-------------------------|
| | Fair Value | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Gross Unrealized Losses |
| December 31, 2010* | | | | | | |
| Bonds available for sale: | | | | | | |
| U.S. government and government sponsored entities | \$ 2,142 | \$ 73 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 2,142 | \$ 73 |
| Obligations of states, municipalities and political subdivisions | 9,300 | 296 | 646 | 106 | 9,946 | 402 |
| Non-U.S. governments | 1,427 | 34 | 335 | 41 | 1,762 | 75 |
| Corporate debt | 18,246 | 579 | 7,343 | 619 | 25,589 | 1,198 |
| RMBS | 4,461 | 105 | 6,178 | 1,448 | 10,639 | 1,553 |
| CMBS | 462 | 19 | 3,014 | 1,130 | 3,476 | 1,149 |
| CDO/ABS | 996 | 48 | 2,603 | 586 | 3,599 | 634 |
| Total bonds available for sale | 37,034 | 1,154 | 20,119 | 3,930 | 57,153 | 5,084 |
| Equity securities available for sale: | | | | | | |
| Common stock | 576 | 52 | - | - | 576 | 52 |
| Preferred stock | 11 | 1 | - | - | 11 | 1 |
| Mutual funds | 65 | 2 | - | - | 65 | 2 |
| Total equity securities available for sale | 652 | 55 | - | - | 652 | 55 |
| Total | \$ 37,686 | \$ 1,209 | \$ 20,119 | \$ 3,930 | \$ 57,805 | \$ 5,139 |
| December 31, 2009* | | | | | | |
| Bonds available for sale: | | | | | | |
| U.S. government and government sponsored entities | \$ 1,414 | \$ 35 | \$ 105 | \$ 14 | \$ 1,519 | \$ 49 |
| Obligations of states, municipalities and political subdivisions | 5,405 | 132 | 3,349 | 253 | 8,754 | 385 |
| Non-U.S. governments | 7,842 | 239 | 3,286 | 410 | 11,128 | 649 |
| Corporate debt | 24,696 | 1,386 | 22,139 | 2,490 | 46,835 | 3,876 |
| RMBS | 7,135 | 3,051 | 6,352 | 1,789 | 13,487 | 4,840 |
| CMBS | 5,013 | 3,927 | 4,528 | 1,696 | 9,541 | 5,623 |
| CDO/ABS | 2,809 | 1,119 | 1,693 | 185 | 4,502 | 1,304 |
| Total bonds available for sale | 54,314 | 9,889 | 41,452 | 6,837 | 95,766 | 16,726 |
| Equity securities available for sale: | | | | | | |
| Common stock | 933 | 75 | - | - | 933 | 75 |
| Preferred stock | 172 | 20 | - | - | 172 | 20 |
| Mutual funds | 333 | 36 | - | - | 333 | 36 |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|
| Total equity securities available for sale | 1,438 | 131 | - | - | 1,438 | 131 |
| Total | \$ 55,752 | \$ 10,020 | \$ 41,452 | \$ 6,837 | \$ 97,204 | \$ 16,857 |

*
Excludes fixed maturity and equity securities of businesses held for sale. See Note 4 herein.

At December 31, 2010, AIG held 6,595 and 234 of individual fixed maturity and equity securities, respectively, that were in an unrealized loss position, of which 2,747 individual securities were in a continuous unrealized loss position for longer than 12 months. AIG did not recognize in earnings the unrealized losses on these fixed maturity securities at December 31, 2010, because management neither intends to sell the securities nor does it believe that it is more likely than not that it will be required to sell these securities before recovery of their amortized cost basis. Furthermore, management expects to recover the entire amortized cost basis of these securities. In performing this evaluation, management considered the recovery periods for securities in previous

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

periods of broad market declines. For fixed maturity securities with significant declines, management performed fundamental credit analysis on a security-by-security basis, which included consideration of credit enhancements, expected defaults on underlying collateral, review of relevant industry analyst reports and forecasts and other available market data.

Contractual Maturities of Securities Available for Sale

The following table presents the amortized cost and fair value of fixed maturity securities available for sale by contractual maturity:

| December 31, 2010 <i>(in millions)</i> | Total Fixed Maturity Available for Sale Securities | | Fixed Maturity Securities in a Loss Position | |
|--|---|---------------|---|---------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
| Due in one year or less | \$ 8,940 | \$ 9,054 | \$ 1,052 | \$ 1,033 |
| Due after one year through five years | 52,394 | 54,803 | 11,236 | 10,997 |
| Due after five years through ten years | 57,375 | 60,455 | 10,644 | 10,157 |
| Due after ten years | 67,336 | 71,360 | 18,255 | 17,252 |
| Mortgage-backed, asset-backed and collateralized | 34,624 | 32,630 | 21,050 | 17,714 |
| Total | \$ 220,669 | \$ 228,302 | \$ 62,237 | \$ 57,153 |

Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities because certain borrowers have the right to call or prepay certain obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

(b) Trading Securities

The following table presents the fair value of AIG's trading securities:

| <i>(in millions)</i> | December 31, 2010 | | December 31, 2009 | |
|---|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| | Fair Value | Percent of Total | Fair Value | Percent of Total |
| Fixed Maturities: | | | | |
| U.S. government and government sponsored entities | \$ 6,902 | 21% | \$ 6,711 | 18% |
| Non-U.S. governments | 125 | 1 | 1,421 | 4 |
| Corporate debt | 912 | 3 | 5,315 | 13 |
| State, territories and political subdivisions | 316 | 1 | 370 | 1 |
| Mortgage-backed, asset-backed and collateralized: | | | | |
| RMBS | 1,928 | 6 | 3,675 | 9 |
| CMBS | 2,078 | 6 | 2,476 | 6 |
| CDO/ABS and other collateralized | 6,331 | 19 | 5,997 | 15 |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|--|------------------|-------------|-----------|------|
| Total mortgage-backed, asset-backed and collateralized | 10,337 | 31 | 12,148 | 30 |
| ML II | 1,279 | 4 | 759 | 2 |
| ML III | 6,311 | 19 | 4,519 | 11 |
| Total fixed maturities | 26,182 | 80 | 31,243 | 79 |
| Equity securities: | | | | |
| MetLife | 6,494 | 20 | - | - |
| All other | 158 | - | 8,318 | 21 |
| Total equity securities | 6,652 | 20 | 8,318 | 21 |
| Total | \$ 32,834 | 100% | \$ 39,561 | 100% |

272 AIG 2010 Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

See Note 8 herein for information on Mortgage and other loans receivable and Finance receivables.

(c) Other Invested Assets**The following table summarizes Other invested assets:****At December 31,***(in millions)*

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|---|-----------|-----------|
| Category: | | |
| Alternative funds ^(a) | \$ 18,825 | \$ 19,273 |
| Mutual funds | 1,718 | 9,623 |
| Investment real estate ^(b) | 3,196 | 7,262 |
| Aircraft asset investments ^(c) | 1,381 | 1,498 |
| Life settlement contracts | 3,834 | 3,399 |
| Consolidated managed partnerships and funds | 638 | 816 |
| Direct private equity investments | 383 | 443 |
| Retained interest in AIA | 11,134 | - |
| All other investments | 1,101 | 2,921 |
| Other invested assets | \$ 42,210 | \$ 45,235 |

(a) *Includes hedge funds, private equity funds, affordable housing partnerships and other investment partnerships.*

(b) *Net of accumulated depreciation of \$536 million and \$1.04 billion in 2010 and 2009, respectively.*

(c) *Consist primarily of SunAmerica investments in aircraft equipment held in trusts.*

Investments in Life Settlement Contracts

During 2010, 2009 and 2008, income recognized on life settlement contracts was \$213 million, \$106 million and \$120 million, respectively, and are included in Net investment income in the Consolidated Statement of Income. AIG's life settlement contracts reported above are monitored for impairment on a contract-by-contract basis quarterly. Impairment charges on life settlement contracts are included in net realized capital gains (losses).

The following table presents further information regarding life settlement contracts:

| <i>(dollars in millions)</i> | At December 31, 2010 | | |
|--|----------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|
| | Number of Contracts | Carrying Value | Face Value (Death Benefits) |
| Remaining Life Expectancy of Insureds: | | | |
| 0 - 1 year | 13 | \$ 7 | \$ 16 |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|-------------|-------|----------|-----------|
| 1 - 2 years | 50 | 26 | 37 |
| 2 - 3 years | 114 | 89 | 164 |
| 3 - 4 years | 242 | 283 | 574 |
| 4 - 5 years | 257 | 245 | 647 |
| Thereafter | 4,997 | 3,184 | 16,309 |
| Total | 5,673 | \$ 3,834 | \$ 17,747 |

At December 31, 2010, the anticipated life insurance premiums required to keep the life settlement contracts in force, payable in the ensuing 12 months ending December 31, 2011 and the four succeeding years ending December 31, 2015 are \$476 million, \$489 million, \$491 million, \$485 million and \$483 million, respectively.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS***Other Invested Assets Available for Sale Investments***

At December 31, 2010 and 2009, \$6.5 billion and \$6.1 billion of Other invested assets related to available for sale investments carried at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses recorded in Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of deferred taxes, with almost all of the remaining investments being accounted for on the equity method of accounting. All of the investments are subject to other-than-temporary impairment evaluation (see Note 2(d) herein). The gross unrealized loss on the investments accounted for as available for sale at December 31, 2010 was \$93 million, the majority of which represents investments that have been in a continuous unrealized loss position for less than 12 months.

Other Invested Assets Equity Method Investments

At December 31, 2010, AIG's equity method investments included a 33 percent interest in AIA, which AIG is accounting for under the fair value option, certain investment partnerships in which AIG holds in the aggregate a five percent or greater interest or less than a five percent interest but in which AIG has more than a minor influence over the operations of the investee, and certain other strategic investments. Dividends received from AIG's other strategic investments were \$11 million, \$1 million and \$20 million for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, respectively. The undistributed earnings of other strategic investments in which AIG's ownership interest is less than 50 percent were \$13 million, \$12 million and \$227 million at December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, respectively.

The following table presents the carrying value and ownership percentage of equity method investments:

| <i>(in millions, except percentages)</i> | 2010 | | 2009 | |
|--|------------------|----------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| | Carrying Value | Ownership Percentage | Carrying Value | Ownership Percentage |
| AIA | \$ 11,134 | 33% | \$ - | - |
| All other equity method investments | 9,187 | Various | 8,222 | Various |
| Total | \$ 20,321 | | \$ 8,222 | |

Summarized Financial Information of Equity Method Investees

The following is the aggregated summarized financial information of AIG's equity method investees:

| Years Ended December 31, (in millions) | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Operating results: | | | |
| Total revenues | \$ 29,694 | \$ (15,082) | \$ 19,181 |
| Total expenses | (17,250) | (6,384) | (8,701) |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 12,444 | \$ (21,466) | \$ 10,480 |

| At December 31, (in millions) | 2010 | 2009 |
|--|-------------|-------------|
|--|-------------|-------------|

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Balance sheet:

| | | | | |
|-------------------|----|------------------|----|----------|
| Total assets | \$ | 213,936 | \$ | 101,291 |
| Total liabilities | \$ | (115,760) | \$ | (32,136) |

Summarized financial information for these equity method investees may be presented on a lag, due to the unavailability of information for the investees at the respective balance sheet date, and is included for the periods in which AIG held an equity method ownership interest. Summarized financial information for entities that have been divested or are held-for-sale are not included in the table above.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**(d) Net Investment Income**

The following table presents the components of Net investment income:

Years Ended December 31,
(in millions)

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Fixed maturities, including short-term investments | \$ 14,445 | \$ 14,535 | \$ 16,326 |
| ML II | 513 | (25) | (211) |
| ML III | 1,792 | 419 | (900) |
| Change in fair value of AIA securities* | (638) | - | - |
| Change in fair value of MetLife securities | 665 | - | - |
| Other equity securities | 326 | 372 | 361 |
| Interest on mortgage and other loans | 1,268 | 1,347 | 1,278 |
| Partnerships | 1,602 | 4 | (2,084) |
| Mutual funds | (25) | 315 | (799) |
| Real estate | 126 | 139 | 258 |
| Other investments | 465 | 120 | 521 |
| Total investment income before policyholder income and trading gains | 20,539 | 17,226 | 14,750 |
| Policyholder investment income and trading gains (losses) | 886 | 2,305 | (3,504) |
| Total investment income | 21,425 | 19,531 | 11,246 |
| Investment expenses | 495 | 544 | 793 |
| Net investment income | \$ 20,930 | \$ 18,987 | \$ 10,453 |

*

Represents change in fair value measured from the closing sale price on the October 29, 2010 initial date of trading.

(e) Net Realized Capital Gains and Losses

The following table presents the components of Net realized capital gains (losses) and the increase (decrease) in unrealized appreciation of AIG's available for sale investments:

Years Ended December 31,
(in millions)

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|---|----------|---------|------------|
| Sales of fixed maturity securities | \$ 1,846 | \$ 849 | \$ (4,906) |
| Sales of equity securities | 725 | 303 | 158 |
| Sales of real estate and loans | 153 | (18) | 136 |
| Other-than-temporary impairments: | | | |
| Severity | (73) | (1,510) | (23,213) |
| Change in intent | (441) | (958) | (10,806) |
| Foreign currency declines | (63) | (112) | (1,356) |
| Issuer-specific credit events | (2,457) | (3,979) | (4,874) |
| Adverse projected cash flows on structured securities | (5) | (137) | (1,618) |
| Provision for loan losses | (304) | (614) | - |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|--|-----------------|------------|-------------|
| Foreign exchange transactions | 178 | (616) | 2,028 |
| Derivative instruments | 453 | 1,724 | (3,313) |
| Other | (291) | (142) | 970 |
| Net realized capital losses | \$ (279) | \$ (5,210) | \$ (46,794) |
| Increase (decrease) in unrealized appreciation of investments: | | | |
| Fixed maturities | \$ 8,651 | \$ 23,027 | \$ (7,570) |
| Equity securities | 470 | 1,276 | (2,286) |
| Other investments | (590) | (2,693) | (200) |
| Activity of businesses held for sale | (2,369) | 8,329 | (3,910) |
| Increase (decrease) in unrealized appreciation | \$ 6,162 | \$ 29,939 | \$ (13,966) |

AIG 2010 Form 10-K

275

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Net unrealized gains (losses) included in the Consolidated Statement of Income from investment securities classified as trading securities in 2010, 2009 and 2008 were \$3.5 billion, \$3.7 billion and \$(3.1) billion, respectively.

The following table presents the gross realized gains and gross realized losses from sales or redemptions of AIG's available for sale securities:

| <i>(in millions)</i> | Years Ended December 31, | | | | | |
|----------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | 2010 | | 2009 | | 2008 | |
| | Gross Realized Gains | Gross Realized Losses | Gross Realized Gains | Gross Realized Losses | Gross Realized Gains | Gross Realized Losses |
| Fixed maturities | \$ 2,138 | \$ 292 | \$ 1,497 | \$ 648 | \$ 6,367 | \$ 11,273 |
| Equity securities | 811 | 86 | 516 | 213 | 1,028 | 870 |
| Total | \$ 2,949 | \$ 378 | \$ 2,013 | \$ 861 | \$ 7,395 | \$ 12,143 |

For the year ended December 31, 2010, the aggregate fair value of available for sale securities sold was \$56.0 billion, which resulted in a net realized capital gain of \$2.6 billion.

Evaluating Investments for Other-Than-Temporary Impairments

On April 1, 2009, AIG adopted prospectively a new accounting standard addressing the evaluation of fixed maturity securities for other-than-temporary impairments. These requirements have significantly altered AIG's policies and procedures for determining impairment charges recognized through earnings. The new standard requires a company to recognize the credit component (a credit impairment) of an other-than-temporary impairment of a fixed maturity security in earnings and the non-credit component in Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) when the company does not intend to sell the security or it is more likely than not that the company will not be required to sell the security prior to recovery. The standard also changes the threshold for determining when an other-than-temporary impairment has occurred on a fixed maturity security with respect to intent and ability to hold the security until recovery and requires additional disclosures. A credit impairment, which is recognized in earnings when it occurs, is the difference between the amortized cost of the fixed maturity security and the estimated present value of cash flows expected to be collected (recovery value), as determined by management. The difference between fair value and amortized cost that is not related to a credit impairment is recognized as a separate component of Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). AIG refers to both credit impairments and impairments recognized as a result of intent to sell as "impairment charges." The impairment model for equity securities was not affected by the standard.

Impairment Policy Effective April 1, 2009 and Thereafter**Fixed Maturity Securities**

If AIG intends to sell a fixed maturity security or it is more likely than not that AIG will be required to sell a fixed maturity security before recovery of its amortized cost basis and the fair value of the security is below amortized cost, an other-than-temporary impairment has occurred and the amortized cost is written down to current fair value, with a corresponding charge to earnings.

For all other fixed maturity securities for which a credit impairment has occurred, the amortized cost is written down to the estimated recovery value with a corresponding charge to earnings. Changes in fair value compared to recovery value, if any, is charged to unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of fixed maturity investments on which other-than-temporary credit impairments were taken (a component of Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)).

When assessing AIG's intent to sell a fixed maturity security, or whether it is more likely than not that AIG will be required to sell a fixed maturity security before recovery of its amortized cost basis, management evaluates

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

relevant facts and circumstances including, but not limited to, decisions to reposition AIG's investment portfolio, sales of securities to meet cash flow needs and sales of securities to take advantage of favorable pricing.

AIG considers severe price declines in its assessment of potential credit impairments. AIG may also modify its modeled outputs for certain securities when it determines that price declines are indicative of factors not comprehended by the cash flow models.

In periods subsequent to the recognition of an other-than-temporary impairment charge for available for sale fixed maturity securities that is not foreign exchange related, AIG generally prospectively accretes into earnings the difference between the new amortized cost and the expected undiscounted recovery value over the remaining expected holding period of the security.

Credit Impairments

The following table presents a rollforward of the credit impairments recognized in earnings for available for sale fixed maturity securities held by AIG^(a):

| <i>(in millions)</i> | Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2010 | Nine Months Ended December 31, 2009 |
|--|---|---|
| Balance, beginning of year | \$ 7,803 | \$ - |
| Increases due to: | | |
| Credit losses remaining in accumulated deficit related to the adoption of new other-than-temporary impairment standard | - | 7,182 |
| Credit impairments on new securities subject to impairment losses | 627 | 550 |
| Additional credit impairments on previously impaired securities | 1,294 | 1,523 |
| Reductions due to: | | |
| Credit impaired securities fully disposed for which there was no prior intent or requirement to sell | (1,039) | (967) |
| Credit impaired securities for which there is a current intent or anticipated requirement to sell | (503) | - |
| Accretion on securities previously impaired due to credit ^(b) | (332) | (221) |
| Hybrid securities with embedded credit derivatives reclassified to Bonds trading securities | (748) | - |
| Foreign exchange translation adjustments | (18) | 18 |
| Activity of discontinued operations | 251 | (104) |
| Impairments on securities reclassified to Assets held for sale | (201) | (176) |
| Dispositions ^(c) | (406) | - |
| Other | 58 | (2) |
| Balance, end of year | \$ 6,786 | \$ 7,803 |

(a) *Includes structured, corporate, municipal and sovereign fixed maturity securities.*

(b) *Represents accretion recognized due to changes in cash flows expected to be collected over the remaining expected term of the credit impaired securities as well as the accretion due to the passage of time.*

(c)

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Reflects the deconsolidation of AIA and sale of ALICO and AGF in 2010.

In assessing whether a credit impairment has occurred for a structured fixed maturity security, AIG performs evaluations of expected future cash flows. Certain critical assumptions are made with respect to the performance of the securities.

When estimating future cash flows for a structured fixed maturity security (e.g., RMBS, CMBS, CDO, ABS) management considers historical performance of underlying assets and available market information as well as bond-specific structural considerations, such as credit enhancement and priority of payment structure of the

AIG 2010 Form 10-K 277

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

security. In addition, the process of estimating future cash flows includes, but is not limited to, the following critical inputs, which vary by asset class:

Current delinquency rates;

Expected default rates and the timing of such defaults;

Loss severity and the timing of any such recovery;

Expected prepayment speeds; and

Ratings of securities underlying structured products.

For corporate, municipal and sovereign fixed maturity securities determined to be credit impaired, management considers the fair value as the recovery value when available information does not indicate that another value is more relevant or reliable. When management identifies information that supports a recovery value other than the fair value, the determination of a recovery value considers scenarios specific to the issuer and the security, and may be based upon estimates of outcomes of corporate restructurings, political and macro economic factors, stability and financial strength of the issuer, the value of any secondary sources of repayment and the disposition of assets.

Equity Securities

The impairment model for equity securities and other cost and equity method investments was not affected by the adoption of the accounting standard related to other-than-temporary impairments in the second quarter of 2009. AIG continues to evaluate its available for sale equity securities, equity method and cost method investments for impairment by considering such securities as candidates for other-than-temporary impairment if they meet any of the following criteria:

The security has traded at a significant (25 percent or more) discount to cost for an extended period of time (nine consecutive months or longer);

A discrete credit event has occurred resulting in (i) the issuer defaulting on a material outstanding obligation; (ii) the issuer seeking protection from creditors under the bankruptcy laws or any similar laws intended for court-supervised reorganization of insolvent enterprises; or (iii) the issuer proposing a voluntary reorganization pursuant to which creditors are asked to exchange their claims for cash or securities having a fair value substantially lower than the par value of their claims; or

AIG has concluded that it may not realize a full recovery on its investment, regardless of the occurrence of one of the foregoing events.

The determination that an equity security is other-than-temporarily impaired requires the judgment of management and consideration of the fundamental condition of the issuer, its near-term prospects and all the relevant facts and circumstances. The above criteria also consider circumstances of a rapid and severe market valuation decline in which AIG could not reasonably assert that the impairment period would be temporary (severity losses).

Other Invested Assets

AIG's investments in funds and investment partnerships are evaluated for impairment consistent with the evaluation of equity securities for impairments as discussed above. Such evaluation considers market conditions, events and volatility that may impact the recoverability of the underlying investments within these funds and investment partnerships and is based on the nature of the underlying investments and specific inherent risks. Such risks may evolve based on the nature of the underlying investments.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

AIG's investments in life settlement contracts are monitored for impairment based on the underlying life insurance policies, with cash flows reported periodically. An investment in a life settlement contract is considered impaired if the undiscounted cash flows resulting from the expected proceeds from the insurance policy are less than the carrying amount of the investment plus anticipated continuing costs. If an impairment loss is recognized, the investment is written down to fair value.

AIG's aircraft asset investments and investments in real estate are periodically evaluated for recoverability whenever changes in circumstances indicate the carrying amount of an asset may be impaired. When impairment indicators are present, AIG compares expected investment cash flows to carrying value. When the expected cash flows are less than the carrying value, the investments are written down to fair value with a corresponding charge to earnings.

Fixed Maturity Securities Impairment Policy Prior to April 1, 2009

In all periods prior to April 1, 2009, AIG assessed its ability to hold any fixed maturity available for sale security in an unrealized loss position to its recovery at each balance sheet date. The decision to sell any such fixed maturity security classified as available for sale reflected the judgment of AIG's management that the security sold was unlikely to provide, on a relative value basis, as attractive a return in the future as alternative securities entailing comparable risks. With respect to distressed securities, the sale decision reflected management's judgment that the risk-adjusted ultimate recovery was less than the value achievable on sale.

In those periods, AIG evaluated its fixed maturity securities for other-than-temporary impairments with respect to valuation as well as credit.

After a fixed maturity security had been identified as other-than-temporarily impaired, the amount of such impairment was determined as the difference between fair value and amortized cost and the entire amount, whether attributable to credit or noncredit factors, was recorded as a charge to earnings.

(f) Insurance Statutory Deposits

Total carrying values of cash and securities deposited by AIG's insurance subsidiaries under requirements of regulatory authorities were \$12.0 billion and \$14.6 billion at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

8. Lending Activities

The following table presents mortgages and other loans receivable:

| December 31, (in millions) | 2010 | 2009 |
|--|-----------|-----------|
| Mortgages commercial | \$ 13,571 | \$ 16,005 |
| Mortgages residential ^(a) | 9 | 623 |
| Life insurance policy loans | 3,133 | 6,788 |
| Collateral, guaranteed, and other commercial loans | 3,521 | 4,883 |
| Total mortgage and other loans receivable | 20,234 | 28,299 |
| Allowance for losses ^(b) | (867) | (838) |
| Mortgage and other loans receivable, net | \$ 19,367 | \$ 27,461 |

(a)

Primarily consists of foreign mortgage loans.

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

(b)

In 2010, comprised of \$470 million related to commercial mortgage loans and \$397 million related to other loans. In 2009, comprised of \$432 million related to commercial mortgage loans and \$406 million related to other loans.

Mortgage loans and other receivables held for sale were \$62 million at December 31, 2009. For the year ended December 31, 2010, there were no mortgage loans and other receivables held for sale.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Commercial mortgages primarily represent lending for office, retail and industrial properties, with exposures in California and New York representing the largest geographic concentrations (26 percent and 11 percent, respectively, at December 31, 2010). Over 97 percent and 98 percent of the commercial mortgages were current as to payments of principal and interest at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

The following table summarizes finance receivables, net of unearned finance charges:

| December 31, (in millions) | 2010 | 2009 |
|-------------------------------|--------|-----------|
| Real estate loans | \$ 197 | \$ 15,473 |
| Non-real estate loans | - | 3,449 |
| Retail sales finance | - | 1,132 |
| Credit card loans | - | 14 |
| Other loans | 684 | 1,865 |
| Total finance receivables | 881 | 21,933 |
| Allowance for losses | (11) | (1,606) |
| Finance receivables, net | \$ 870 | \$ 20,327 |

Finance receivables held for sale were \$3 million and \$694 million at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

The following table presents a rollforward of the changes in the allowance for Mortgage and other loans receivable and allowance for Finance receivables:

| Years Ended December 31, (in millions) | Mortgage and Other Loans Receivable | | | Finance Receivables | | |
|--|--|--------|-------|---------------------|----------|--------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Allowance, beginning of year | \$ 838 | \$ 208 | \$ 77 | \$ 1,606 | \$ 1,472 | \$ 878 |
| Loans charged off | (326) | (196) | - | (28) | (368) | (343) |
| Recoveries of loans previously charged off | - | - | 30 | 8 | 54 | 83 |
| Net charge-offs | (326) | (196) | 30 | (20) | (314) | (260) |
| Provision for loan losses | 363 | 638 | 70 | 6 | 372 | 353 |
| Other | 122 | 119 | 34 | (53) | (144) | (31) |
| Activity of discontinued operations | 18 | 99 | (3) | (144) | 394 | 532 |
| Reclassified to Assets of businesses held for sale | (58) | (30) | - | - | (174) | - |
| | (90) | - | - | (1,384) | - | - |

Sales of divested
businesses

Allowance, end of year \$ 867 \$ 838 \$ 208 \$ 11 \$ 1,606 \$ 1,472

280 AIG 2010 Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**9. Reinsurance**

In the ordinary course of business, AIG's general insurance and life insurance companies place reinsurance with other insurance companies in order to provide greater diversification of AIG's business and limit the potential for losses arising from large risks. In addition, AIG's general insurance subsidiaries assume reinsurance from other insurance companies.

The following table provides supplemental information for gross loss and benefit reserves net of ceded reinsurance:

| At December 31, (in millions) | 2010 | | 2009 | |
|---|-------------|--------------------|-------------|--------------------|
| | As Reported | Net of Reinsurance | As Reported | Net of Reinsurance |
| Liability for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expense | \$ (91,151) | \$ (71,507) | \$ (85,386) | \$ (67,899) |
| Future policy benefits for life and accident and health insurance contracts | (31,268) | (30,234) | (116,001) | (114,777) |
| Reserve for unearned premiums | (23,803) | (19,927) | (21,363) | (18,146) |
| Reinsurance assets* | 24,554 | - | 21,928 | - |

* Represents gross reinsurance assets, excluding allowances and reinsurance recoverable on paid losses.

General Reinsurance

General reinsurance is effected under reinsurance treaties and by negotiation on individual risks. Certain of these reinsurance arrangements consist of excess of loss contracts that protect AIG against losses above stipulated amounts. Ceded premiums are considered prepaid reinsurance premiums and are recognized as a reduction of premiums earned over the contract period in proportion to the protection received. Amounts recoverable from general reinsurers are estimated in a manner consistent with the claims liabilities associated with the reinsurance and presented as a component of Reinsurance assets. Assumed reinsurance premiums are earned primarily on a pro-rata basis over the terms of the reinsurance contracts. For both ceded and assumed reinsurance, risk transfer requirements must be met in order for reinsurance accounting to apply. If risk transfer requirements are not met, the contract is accounted for as a deposit, resulting in the recognition of cash flows under the contract through a deposit asset or liability and not as revenue or expense. To meet risk transfer requirements, a reinsurance contract must include both insurance risk, consisting of both underwriting and timing risk, and a reasonable possibility of a significant loss for the assuming entity. Similar risk transfer criteria are used to determine whether directly written insurance contracts should be accounted for as insurance or as a deposit.

The following table presents general insurance premiums written and earned:

| Years Ended December 31, (in millions) | Chartis | | | Other Businesses* | | | Eliminations | | | Total | | |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------------|----------|----------|--------------|-------|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2010 | 2009* | 2008* | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Premiums written: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Direct | \$ 38,965 | \$ 38,461 | \$ 43,953 | \$ 927 | \$ 2,195 | \$ 3,997 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 39,892 | \$ 40,656 | \$ 47,950 |
| Assumed | 2,442 | 2,061 | 2,913 | (2) | 2,628 | 6,301 | - | (657) | (1,925) | 2,440 | 4,032 | 7,289 |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|----------|----------|------|-------|---------|------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Ceded | (9,795) | (9,869) | (12,335) | (169) | (631) | (697) | - | 657 | 1,925 | (9,964) | (9,843) | (11,107) |
| Total | \$ 31,612 | \$ 30,653 | \$ 34,531 | \$ 756 | \$ 4,192 | \$ 9,601 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 32,368 | \$ 34,845 | \$ 44,132 |
| Premiums earned: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Direct | \$ 39,082 | \$ 40,859 | \$ 44,655 | \$ 1,065 | \$ 2,288 | \$ 4,095 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 40,147 | \$ 43,147 | \$ 48,750 |
| Assumed | 2,488 | 2,192 | 2,951 | 80 | 2,740 | 6,361 | - | (657) | (1,925) | 2,568 | 4,275 | 7,387 |
| Ceded | (9,049) | (10,790) | (12,096) | (170) | (689) | (733) | - | 657 | 1,925 | (9,219) | (10,822) | (10,904) |
| Total | \$ 32,521 | \$ 32,261 | \$ 35,510 | \$ 975 | \$ 4,339 | \$ 9,723 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 33,496 | \$ 36,600 | \$ 45,233 |

*

Includes results of Mortgage Guaranty and in 2009 also includes results of Transatlantic which was deconsolidated during 2009 and 21st Century and HSB which were sold during 2009.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, reinsurance recoveries, which reduced loss and loss expenses incurred, amounted to \$8.0 billion, \$8.9 billion and \$8.3 billion, respectively.

Life Reinsurance

Life reinsurance is effected principally under yearly renewable term treaties. The premiums with respect to these treaties are considered prepaid reinsurance premiums and are recognized as a reduction of premiums earned over the contract period in proportion to the protection provided. Amounts recoverable from life reinsurers are estimated in a manner consistent with the assumptions used for the underlying policy benefits and are presented as a component of Reinsurance assets.

The following table presents premiums for AIG's life insurance and retirement services operations:

| Years Ended December 31, (in millions) | SunAmerica | | | Divested Businesses* | | | Eliminations | | | Total | | |
|--|------------|----------|----------|----------------------|----------|-----------|--------------|--------|------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Gross premiums | \$ 5,252 | \$ 5,489 | \$ 7,626 | \$ 9,670 | \$ 9,572 | \$ 10,451 | \$ - | \$ (4) | \$ - | \$ 14,922 | \$ 15,057 | \$ 18,077 |
| Ceded premiums | (628) | (729) | (753) | (435) | (342) | (274) | - | 4 | - | (1,063) | (1,067) | (1,027) |
| Total | \$ 4,624 | \$ 4,760 | \$ 6,873 | \$ 9,235 | \$ 9,230 | \$ 10,177 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 13,859 | \$ 13,990 | \$ 17,050 |

*

Primarily represents results of AIA, which was deconsolidated during 2010.

Life Insurance recoveries, which reduced death and other benefits, approximated \$810 million, \$638 million and \$740 million, respectively, for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008.

The following table presents Life insurance in force ceded to other insurance companies:

| At December 31, (in millions) | 2010* | 2009 | 2008 |
|----------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Life insurance in force ceded | \$ 148,605 | \$ 339,183 | \$ 384,538 |

*

Excludes amounts related to held-for-sale entities.

Life Insurance assumed represented 0.1 percent of gross Life insurance in force at December 31, 2010, and less than 0.1 percent in each of the periods ending December 31, 2009 and 2008, and combined life insurance and retirement services premiums assumed represented 0.3 percent, 0.1 percent and 0.2 percent of gross premiums for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

SunAmerica operations utilize internal and third-party reinsurance relationships to manage insurance risks and to facilitate capital management strategies. Pools of highly-rated third-party reinsurers are utilized to manage net amounts at risk in excess of retention limits.

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

SunAmerica's domestic life insurance companies also cede excess, non-economic reserves carried on a statutory-basis on certain term and universal life insurance policies and certain fixed annuities to an offshore affiliate.

SunAmerica generally obtains letters of credit in order to obtain statutory recognition of its intercompany reinsurance transactions, particularly with respect to redundant statutory reserves requirements on term insurance and universal life with secondary guarantees (XXX and AXXX reserves). For this purpose, SunAmerica has a \$2.5 billion syndicated letter of credit facility outstanding at December 31, 2010, all of which relates to life intercompany reinsurance transactions. SunAmerica has also obtained approximately \$2.3 billion of letters of credit on a bilateral basis all of which relates to life intercompany reinsurance transactions. All of these approximately \$4.8 billion of letters of credit are due to mature on December 31, 2015.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**Reinsurance Security**

AIG's third-party reinsurance arrangements do not relieve AIG from its direct obligation to its insureds. Thus, a credit exposure exists with respect to both general and life reinsurance ceded to the extent that any reinsurer fails to meet the obligations assumed under any reinsurance agreement. AIG holds substantial collateral as security under related reinsurance agreements in the form of funds, securities, and/or letters of credit. A provision has been recorded for estimated unrecoverable reinsurance. AIG has been largely successful in prior recovery efforts.

AIG evaluates the financial condition of its reinsurers and establishes limits per reinsurer through AIG's Credit Risk Committee. AIG believes that no exposure to a single reinsurer represents an inappropriate concentration of risk to AIG, nor is AIG's business substantially dependent upon any single reinsurer.

10. Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs

The following table presents a rollforward of deferred policy acquisition costs:

Years Ended December 31,
(in millions)

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| General insurance operations: | | | |
| Balance, beginning of year | \$ 4,875 | \$ 5,114 | \$ 5,407 |
| Dispositions ^(a) | - | (418) | - |
| Acquisition costs deferred | 6,865 | 6,522 | 7,370 |
| Amortization expense | (6,788) | (6,741) | (7,457) |
| Activity of discontinued operations | - | - | (152) |
| Increase (decrease) due to foreign exchange and other | 68 | 398 | (54) |
| Balance, end of year | \$ 5,020 | \$ 4,875 | \$ 5,114 |
| Life insurance and retirement services: | | | |
| Balance, beginning of year | \$ 11,098 | \$ 14,447 | \$ 12,270 |
| Dispositions ^(b) | - | (479) | - |
| Acquisition costs deferred | 990 | 1,014 | 1,655 |
| Amortization expense | (1,368) | (1,553) | (522) |
| Change in unrealized gains (losses) on securities ^(c) | (1,111) | (960) | 1,158 |
| Increase (decrease) due to foreign exchange | 1 | (10) | (114) |
| Other ^(d) | (4) | (1,361) | - |
| Subtotal | \$ 9,606 | \$ 11,098 | \$ 14,447 |
| Consolidation and eliminations | 42 | 49 | 55 |
| Balance, end of year ^(e) | \$ 9,648 | \$ 11,147 | \$ 14,502 |
| Divested Businesses:^(f) | | | |
| Balance, beginning of year | \$ 24,792 | \$ 26,166 | \$ 26,175 |
| Dispositions ^(b) | (22,244) | - | (16) |
| Acquisition costs deferred | 1,480 | 1,513 | 1,861 |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Amortization expense | (978) | (1,148) | (1,460) |
| Change in unrealized gains (losses) on securities ^(c) | 34 | (44) | (81) |
| Increase (decrease) due to foreign exchange | 377 | 826 | (1,143) |
| Activity of discontinued operations | 220 | 868 | 1,888 |
| Reclassified to Assets held for sale | (3,521) | (3,322) | - |
| Other ^(d) | (160) | (67) | (1,058) |
| Balance, end of year ^(e) | \$ - | \$ 24,792 | \$ 26,166 |
| Total deferred policy acquisition costs | \$ 14,668 | \$ 40,814 | \$ 45,782 |

(a)

In 2009, Transatlantic was deconsolidated and 21st Century and HSB were sold.

AIG 2010 Form 10-K

283

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

- (b) *For 2010, includes AIA which was deconsolidated and ALICO which was sold in 2010, AIG Life Canada was sold in 2009 and the Brazil operations were sold in 2008.*
- (c) *In 2009, includes an increase of \$1.3 billion and \$2 million related to the cumulative effect of adopting a new other-than-temporary impairments accounting standard for SunAmerica and Divested businesses, respectively.*
- (d) *In 2009, includes a decrease of \$1.3 billion and \$2 million related to the cumulative effect of adopting a new other-than-temporary impairments accounting standard for SunAmerica and Divested businesses, respectively. In 2008, primarily represents the cumulative effect of adopting a new accounting standard addressing the fair value option for financial assets and financial liabilities for Divested businesses.*
- (e) *Includes \$(1.0) billion, \$86 million, and \$1.0 billion for SunAmerica at December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and \$(34) million and \$9 million for Divested businesses at 2009 and 2008, respectively, related to the effect of net unrealized gains and losses on available for sale securities. For the year ended December 31, 2010, there were no net unrealized gains and losses on available for sale securities associated with divested businesses.*
- (f) *Includes AIA, which was deconsolidated in 2010, ALICO, which was sold in 2010 and AIG Star and AIG Edison, which were sold in February 2011.*

AIG adopted an other-than-temporary impairments accounting standard on April 1, 2009 resulting in a cumulative effect adjustment to the cost basis of affected securities and DAC and SIA charges related to other-than-temporary impairments previously taken. There was no material effect to DAC and SIA assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. However, because Net realized capital gains and losses are included in the estimated gross profits used to amortize DAC for investment-oriented products, DAC amortization is expected to be lower in future periods.

Included in the above table is the VOBA, an intangible asset recorded during purchase accounting, which is amortized in a manner similar to DAC. Amortization of VOBA was \$90 million, \$132 million and \$(33) million in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, while the unamortized balance was \$488 million, \$1.6 billion and \$2.1 billion at December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The percentage of the unamortized balance of VOBA at December 31, 2010 expected to be amortized in 2011 through 2015 by year is: 9.9 percent, 8.8 percent, 7.7 percent, 6.4 percent and 5.9 percent, respectively, with 61.3 percent being amortized after five years. These projections are based on current estimates for investment, persistency, mortality and morbidity assumptions. The DAC amortization charged to income includes the increase or decrease of amortization related to Net realized capital gains (losses), primarily in SunAmerica's domestic retirement services business. In 2010, 2009 and 2008, the rate of amortization expense (increased) decreased by \$101 million, \$(113) million and \$2.2 billion, respectively.

As AIG operates in various global markets, the estimated gross profits used to amortize DAC, VOBA and SIA are subject to differing market returns and interest rate environments in any single period. The combination of market returns and interest rates may lead to acceleration of amortization in some products and regions and simultaneous deceleration of amortization in other products and regions.

DAC, VOBA and SIA for insurance-oriented, investment-oriented and retirement services products are reviewed for recoverability, which involves estimating the future profitability of current business. This review involves significant management judgment. If actual future profitability is substantially lower than estimated, AIG's DAC, VOBA and SIA may be subject to an impairment charge and AIG's results of operations could be significantly affected in future periods.

11. Variable Interest Entities

A VIE is a legal entity that does not have sufficient equity at risk to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support or is structured such that equity investors lack the ability to make significant decisions relating to the entity's operations through voting rights and do not substantively participate in the gains and losses of the entity. Consolidation of a VIE by its primary beneficiary is not based on majority voting interest, but is based on other criteria discussed below.

While AIG enters into various arrangements with VIEs in the normal course of business, AIG's involvement with VIEs is primarily via its insurance companies as a passive investor in debt securities (rated and unrated) and

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

equity interests issued by VIEs. In all instances, AIG consolidates the VIE when it determines it is the primary beneficiary. This analysis includes a review of the VIE's capital structure, contractual relationships and terms, nature of the VIE's operations and purpose, nature of the VIE's interests issued and AIG's involvements with the entity. AIG also evaluates the design of the VIE and the related risks the entity was designed to expose the variable interest holders to in evaluating consolidation.

For VIEs with attributes consistent with that of an investment company or a money market fund, the primary beneficiary is the party or group of related parties that absorbs a majority of the expected losses of the VIE, receives the majority of the expected residual returns of the VIE, or both.

For all other variable interest entities, the primary beneficiary is the entity that has both (1) the power to direct the activities of the VIE that most significantly affect the entity's economic performance and (2) the obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits that could be potentially significant to the VIE. While also considering these factors, the consolidation conclusion depends on the breadth of AIG's decision-making ability and its ability to influence activities that significantly affect the economic performance of the VIE.

Exposure to Loss

AIG's total off-balance sheet exposure associated with VIEs, primarily consisting of financial guarantees and commitments to real estate and investment funds, was \$1.0 billion and \$2.2 billion at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

The following table presents AIG's total assets, total liabilities and off-balance sheet exposure associated with its variable interests in consolidated VIEs:

| At December 31, <i>(in billions)</i> | VIE Assets ^(a) | | VIE Liabilities | | Off-Balance Sheet Exposure | |
|---|---------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|---------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| AIA/ALICO SPVs ^(b) | \$ 48.6 | \$ 200.6 | \$ 0.9 | \$ 171.5 | \$ - | \$ - |
| Real estate and investment funds | 3.8 | 4.6 | 1.2 | 2.9 | 0.1 | 0.6 |
| Commercial paper conduit | 0.5 | 3.6 | 0.2 | 3.0 | - | - |
| CDOs | - | 0.2 | - | 0.1 | - | - |
| Affordable housing partnerships | 2.9 | 2.5 | 0.4 | - | - | - |
| Other | 4.7 | 3.4 | 2.1 | 2.1 | - | - |
| VIEs of businesses held for sale | 0.4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total | \$ 60.9 | \$ 214.9 | \$ 4.8 | \$ 179.6 | \$ 0.1 | \$ 0.6 |

(a) *Each of the VIE's assets can be used only to settle specific obligations of that VIE.*

(b) *In 2010, AIG made revisions to the presentation to include the ALICO and AIA SPV. The prior period has been revised to conform to the current period presentation.*

AIG calculates its maximum exposure to loss to be (i) the amount invested in the debt or equity of the VIE, (ii) the notional amount of VIE assets or liabilities where AIG has also provided credit protection to the VIE with the VIE as the referenced obligation and (iii) other commitments and guarantees to the VIE. Interest holders in VIEs sponsored by AIG generally have recourse only to the assets and cash flows of

the VIEs and do not have recourse to AIG, except in limited circumstances when AIG has provided a guarantee to the VIE's interest holders.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following table presents total assets of unconsolidated VIEs in which AIG holds a variable interest, as well as AIG's maximum exposure to loss associated with these VIEs:

| <i>(in billions)</i> | Total VIE Assets | Maximum Exposure to Loss | | Total |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|----------------|
| | | On-Balance Sheet | Off-Balance Sheet | |
| December 31, 2010 | | | | |
| Real estate and investment funds | \$ 18.5 | \$ 2.5 | \$ 0.3 | \$ 2.8 |
| Affordable housing partnerships | 0.6 | 0.6 | - | 0.6 |
| Maiden Lane Interests | 40.1 | 7.6 | - | 7.6 |
| Other | 1.6 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
| VIEs of businesses held for sale | 2.0 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.5 |
| Total | \$ 62.8 | \$ 11.2 | \$ 0.9 | \$ 12.1 |
| December 31, 2009 | | | | |
| Real estate and investment funds | \$ 23.3 | \$ 3.6 | \$ 1.6 | \$ 5.2 |
| Affordable housing partnerships | 1.3 | 1.3 | - | 1.3 |
| Maiden Lane Interests | 38.7 | 5.3 | - | 5.3 |
| Other | 7.6 | 1.7 | - | 1.7 |
| Total | \$ 70.9 | \$ 11.9 | \$ 1.6 | \$ 13.5 |

Balance Sheet Classification

AIG's interest in the assets and liabilities of consolidated and unconsolidated VIEs were classified on the Consolidated Balance Sheet as follows:

| <i>(in billions)</i> | Consolidated VIEs | | Unconsolidated VIEs | |
|---|-------------------|-----------------|---------------------|----------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Assets: | | | | |
| Available for sale securities | \$ 3.3 | \$ 132.2 | \$ - | \$ 0.3 |
| Trading securities | 8.1 | 9.9 | 7.7 | 6.4 |
| Mortgage and other loans receivable | 0.7 | 5.3 | - | - |
| Other invested assets | 18.3 | 27.6 | 3.1 | 3.6 |
| Cash | 0.1 | 4.3 | - | - |
| Other asset accounts | 30.0 | 35.6 | 0.1 | 1.6 |
| Assets held for sale | 0.4 | - | 0.3 | - |
| Total | \$ 60.9 | \$ 214.9 | \$ 11.2 | \$ 11.9 |
| Liabilities: | | | | |
| Future policy benefits for life and accident and health insurance contracts | \$ - | \$ 67.6 | \$ - | \$ - |
| Policyholder contract deposits | - | 77.3 | - | - |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|---|---------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------|
| Other policyholder funds | - | 8.9 | - | - |
| FRBNY commercial paper funding facility | - | 2.7 | - | - |
| Other long-term debt | 2.6 | 7.1 | - | - |
| Other liability accounts | 2.2 | 16.0 | - | - |
| Total | \$ 4.8 | \$ 179.6 | \$ - | \$ - |

286 AIG 2010 Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

See Note 2(y) herein for the effect of consolidation under the amended accounting standard for the consolidation of variable interest entities.

AIA and ALICO SPVs

AIG is the primary beneficiary of the AIA and ALICO SPVs as it has the power to direct the activities of the SPVs that most significantly impact their economic performance and the obligation to absorb losses and the right to receive benefits that could potentially be significant to the SPVs. See Notes 2 and 17 herein for further discussion of the AIA and ALICO SPVs.

Real Estate and Investment Funds

AIG's Direct Investment business, through AIG Global Real Estate, is an investor in various real estate investments, some of which are VIEs. These investments are typically with unaffiliated third-party developers via a partnership or limited liability company structure. The VIE's activities consist of the development or redevelopment of commercial and residential real estate. AIG's involvement varies from being a passive equity investor or finance provider to actively managing the activities of the VIE.

AIG's insurance operations participate as passive investors in the equity issued primarily by third-party-managed hedge and private equity funds. AIG's insurance operations typically are not involved in the design or establishment of VIEs, nor do they actively participate in the management of VIEs.

Affordable Housing Partnerships

SunAmerica Affordable Housing Partners, Inc. (SAAHP) organizes and invests in limited partnerships that develop and operate affordable housing qualifying for federal tax credits, in addition to a few market rate properties across the United States. The general partners in the operating partnerships are almost exclusively unaffiliated third-party developers. AIG does not consolidate an operating partnership if the general partner is an unaffiliated person. Through approximately 1,200 partnerships, SAAHP has invested in developments with approximately 150,000 apartment units nationwide, and has syndicated over \$7 billion in partnership equity since 1991 to other investors who will receive, among other benefits, tax credits under certain sections of the Internal Revenue Code. The pre-tax income of SAAHP is reported, along with other SunAmerica partnership income, as a component of the SunAmerica segment.

Maiden Lane Interests

In 2008, certain AIG wholly owned life insurance companies sold all of their undivided interests in a pool of \$39.3 billion face amount of RMBS to ML II, whose sole member is the FRBNY. AIG has a significant variable economic interest in ML II, which is a VIE.

In 2008, AIG entered into the ML III Agreement with the FRBNY, ML III and The Bank of New York Mellon, which established arrangements, through ML III, to fund the purchase of multi-sector CDOs underlying or related to CDS written by AIG Financial Products Corp. Concurrently, AIG Financial Products Corp's counterparties to such CDS transactions agreed to terminate those CDS transactions relating to the multi-sector CDOs purchased from them. AIG has a significant variable interest in ML III, which is a VIE.

Other Asset Accounts

Aircraft Trusts

AIG has created two VIEs for the purpose of acquiring, owning, leasing, maintaining, operating and selling aircraft. AIG subsidiaries hold beneficial interests, including all the equity interests in these entities. These beneficial interests include passive investments by AIG's insurance operations in non-voting preferred equity

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

interests and in the majority of the debt issued by these entities. AIG and its subsidiaries collectively maintain the power to direct the activities of the VIEs that most significantly impact the entities' economic performance, and bear the obligation to absorb economic losses or receive economic benefits that could potentially be significant to the VIEs. As result, AIG has determined that it is the primary beneficiary and fully consolidates these entities. The debt of these entities is not an obligation of, or guaranteed by, AIG or any of its subsidiaries. Under a servicing agreement, ILFC acts as servicer for the aircraft owned by these entities

Consumer Loans Vehicles

AIG sponsors one VIE that has issued a variable funding note backed by a consumer loan collateralized by individual life insurance assets. As of December 31, 2010, AIG's maximum exposure, representing the carrying value of the consumer loan, was \$502 million. The total VIE assets and liabilities for this entity were \$535 million and \$338 million, respectively. AIG consolidates the assets and liabilities of this entity.

Commercial Paper Conduit

AIG Financial Products Corp., AIG Trading Group Inc. and their respective subsidiaries (collectively, AIGFP) are the primary beneficiary of Curzon Funding LLC, an asset-backed commercial paper conduit to third parties, the assets of which serve as collateral for the conduit's obligations.

RMBS, CMBS, Other ABS and CDOs

AIG, through its insurance company subsidiaries, is a passive investor in RMBS, CMBS, other ABS and CDOs primarily issued by domestic special-purpose entities. AIG generally does not sponsor or transfer assets to, or act as the servicer to these asset-backed structures, and was not involved in the design of these entities.

AIG, through its Direct Investment business, also invests in CDOs and similar structures, which can be cash-based or synthetic and are managed by third parties. The role of Direct Investment subsidiaries is generally limited to that of a passive investor; it does not manage such structures.

AIG's maximum exposure in these types of structures is limited to its investment in securities issued by these entities. Based on the nature of AIG's investments and its passive involvement in these types of structures, AIG has determined that it is not the primary beneficiary of these entities. The fair values of AIG's investments in these structures are reported in Notes 6 and 7 herein.

Financing Vehicles

ILFC has created wholly owned subsidiaries for the purpose of purchasing aircraft and obtaining financing secured by such aircraft. A portion of the secured debt has been guaranteed by the European Export Credit Agencies. These entities meet the definition of a VIE because they do not have sufficient equity to operate without ILFC's subordinated financial support in the form of intercompany notes which serve as equity even though they are legally debt instruments. ILFC fully consolidates the entities, controls all the activities of the entities and guarantees the activities of the entities. AIG has not included these entities in the above table as they are wholly owned and there are no other variable interests other than those of ILFC and the lenders. See Note 15 herein for further information.

Leasing Entities

ILFC has created wholly owned subsidiaries for the purpose of facilitating aircraft leases with airlines. The entities meet the definition of a VIE because they do not have sufficient equity to operate without ILFC's subordinated financial support in the form of intercompany notes which serve as equity. ILFC fully consolidates the entities, controls all the activities of the entities and fully guarantees the activities of the entities. AIG has not

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

included these entities in the above table as they are wholly owned and there are no other variable interests in the entities other than those of ILFC.

Structured Investment Vehicle

The Direct Investment business sponsors Nightingale Finance Ltd, its only structured investment vehicle (SIV), which invests in variable rate, investment-grade debt securities, the majority of which are ABS. The Direct Investment business has no equity interest in the SIV; however, it maintains the power to direct the activities of the SIV that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance and bears the obligation to absorb economic losses that could potentially be significant to the SIV. The SIV meets the definition of a VIE and accordingly, the Direct Investment business, as primary beneficiary, consolidates the SIV.

12. Derivatives and Hedge Accounting

AIG uses derivatives and other financial instruments as part of its financial risk management programs and as part of its investment operations. AIGFP had also transacted in derivatives as a dealer and had acted as an intermediary between the relevant AIG subsidiary and the counterparty. In a number of situations, AIG has replaced AIGFP with AIG Markets for purposes of acting as an intermediary between the AIG subsidiary and the third-party counterparty as part of the wind-down of AIGFP's portfolios.

Derivatives are financial arrangements among two or more parties with returns linked to or "derived" from some underlying equity, debt, commodity, or other asset, liability, or foreign exchange rate or other index or the occurrence of a specified payment event. Derivative payments may be based on interest rates, exchange rates, prices of certain securities, commodities, or financial or commodity indices or other variables. Derivatives, with the exception of bifurcated embedded derivatives, are reflected on the Consolidated Balance Sheet in Unrealized gain on swaps, options and forward transactions, at fair value and Unrealized loss on swaps, options and forward contracts, at fair value. A bifurcated embedded derivative is recorded at fair value whereas the corresponding host contract is recorded on an amortized cost basis. A bifurcated embedded derivative is presented with the host contract on the Consolidated Balance Sheet.

The following table presents the notional amounts and fair values of AIG's derivative instruments:

| (in millions) | December 31, 2010 | | | | December 31, 2009 | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | Gross Derivative Assets | | Gross Derivative Liabilities | | Gross Derivative Assets | | Gross Derivative Liabilities | |
| | Notional Amount ^(a) | Fair Value ^(b) | Notional Amount ^(a) | Fair Value ^(b) | Notional Amount ^(a) | Fair Value ^(b) | Notional Amount ^(a) | Fair Value ^(b) |
| Derivatives designated as hedging instruments: | | | | | | | | |
| Interest rate contracts ^(c) | \$ 1,471 | \$ 156 | \$ 626 | \$ 56 | \$ 10,612 | \$ 2,129 | \$ 3,884 | \$ 375 |
| Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments: | | | | | | | | |
| Interest rate contracts ^(c) | 150,966 | 14,048 | 118,783 | 9,657 | 345,614 | 27,451 | 300,847 | 23,718 |
| Foreign exchange contracts | 2,495 | 203 | 4,105 | 338 | 16,662 | 720 | 9,719 | 939 |
| Equity contracts | 5,002 | 358 | 1,559 | 329 | 8,175 | 1,184 | 7,713 | 1,064 |
| Commodity contracts | 944 | 92 | 768 | 67 | 759 | 883 | 381 | 373 |
| Credit contracts | 2,046 | 379 | 62,715 | 4,180 | 3,706 | 1,210 | 190,275 | 5,815 |
| Other contracts | 27,333 | 1,075 | 16,297 | 753 | 34,605 | 928 | 23,310 | 1,101 |
| Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments | 188,786 | 16,155 | 204,227 | 15,324 | 409,521 | 32,376 | 532,245 | 33,010 |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|----|----------------|----|---------------|----|----------------|----|---------------|----|---------|----|--------|----|---------|----|--------|
| Total derivatives | \$ | 190,257 | \$ | 16,311 | \$ | 204,853 | \$ | 15,380 | \$ | 420,133 | \$ | 34,505 | \$ | 536,129 | \$ | 33,385 |
|--------------------------|----|----------------|----|---------------|----|----------------|----|---------------|----|---------|----|--------|----|---------|----|--------|

(a)

Notional amount represents a standard of measurement of the volume of derivatives business of AIG. Notional amount is generally not a quantification of market risk or credit risk and is not recorded on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Notional amounts generally represent those amounts used to calculate contractual cash flows to be exchanged and are not paid or received, except for certain contracts such as currency swaps and certain credit contracts. For credit contracts, notional amounts are net of all underlying subordination.

AIG 2010 Form 10-K

289

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(b) *Fair value amounts are shown before the effects of counterparty netting adjustments and offsetting cash collateral.*

(c) *Includes cross currency swaps.*

The following table presents the fair values of derivative assets and liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet:

| <i>(in millions)</i> | December 31, 2010 | | | | December 31, 2009 | | | |
|--|--------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|
| | Derivative Assets | | Derivative Liabilities ^(a) | | Derivative Assets ^(b) | | Derivative Liabilities ^(c) | |
| | Notional Amount | Fair Value | Notional Amount | Fair Value | Notional Amount | Fair Value | Notional Amount | Fair Value |
| Capital Markets derivatives | \$ 168,033 | \$ 12,268 | \$ 173,226 | \$ 12,379 | \$ 400,223 | \$ 31,951 | \$ 499,821 | \$ 30,930 |
| All other derivatives | 22,224 | 4,043 | 31,627 | 3,001 | 19,910 | 2,554 | 36,308 | 2,455 |
| Total derivatives, gross | \$ 190,257 | 16,311 | \$ 204,853 | 15,380 | \$ 420,133 | 34,505 | \$ 536,129 | 33,385 |
| Counterparty netting ^(d) | | (6,298) | | (6,298) | | (19,054) | | (19,054) |
| Cash collateral ^(e) | | (4,096) | | (2,902) | | (6,317) | | (8,166) |
| Total derivatives, net | | 5,917 | | 6,180 | | 9,134 | | 6,165 |
| Less: Bifurcated embedded derivatives ^(f) | | - | | 445 | | 4 | | 762 |
| Total derivatives on balance sheet | | \$ 5,917 | | \$ 5,735 | | \$ 9,130 | | \$ 5,403 |

(a) *Included in all other derivatives are bifurcated embedded derivatives, which are recorded in Policyholder contract deposits Bonds available for sale, at fair value, and Common and preferred stock.*

(b) *Included in all other derivatives are bifurcated embedded derivatives, which are recorded in Bonds available for sale, at fair value, and Policyholder contract deposits.*

(c) *Included in all other derivatives are bifurcated embedded derivatives, which are recorded in Policyholder contract deposits and Common and preferred stock.*

(d) *Represents netting of derivative exposures covered by a qualifying master netting agreement.*

(e) *Represents cash collateral posted and received.*

(f) *Current period includes a change in valuation assumption relating to embedded policy derivatives.*

Hedge Accounting

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

AIG designated certain derivatives entered into by AIGFP and AIG Markets with third parties as either fair value or cash flow hedges of certain debt issued by AIG Parent and ILFC. The fair value hedges included (i) interest rate swaps that were designated as hedges of the change in the fair value of fixed rate debt attributable to changes in the benchmark interest rate and (ii) foreign currency swaps designated as hedges of the change in fair value of foreign currency denominated debt attributable to changes in foreign exchange rates and in certain cases also the benchmark interest rate. With respect to the cash flow hedges, (i) interest rate swaps were designated as hedges of the changes in cash flows on floating rate debt attributable to changes in the benchmark interest rate, and (ii) foreign currency swaps were designated as hedges of changes in cash flows on foreign currency denominated debt attributable to changes in the benchmark interest rate and foreign exchange rates.

AIG assesses, both at the hedge's inception and on an ongoing basis, whether the derivatives used in hedging transactions are highly effective in offsetting changes in fair values or cash flows of hedged items. Regression analysis is employed to assess the effectiveness of these hedges both on a prospective and retrospective basis. AIG does not utilize the shortcut method to assess hedge effectiveness. For net investment hedges, a qualitative methodology is utilized to assess hedge effectiveness.

AIG uses debt instruments in net investment hedge relationships to mitigate the foreign exchange risk associated with AIG's non-U.S. dollar functional currency foreign subsidiaries. AIG assesses the hedge effectiveness and measures the amount of ineffectiveness for these hedge relationships based on changes in spot

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

exchange rates. AIG records the change in the carrying amount of these investments in the foreign currency translation adjustment within Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). Simultaneously, the effective portion of the hedge of this exposure is also recorded in foreign currency translation adjustment and the ineffective portion, if any, is recorded in earnings. If (i) the notional amount of the hedging debt instrument matches the designated portion of the net investment and (ii) the hedging debt instrument is denominated in the same currency as the functional currency of the hedged net investment, no ineffectiveness is recorded in earnings. For the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, AIG recognized gains (losses) of \$28 million and \$(81) million, respectively, included in Foreign currency translation adjustment in Accumulated other comprehensive loss related to the net investment hedge relationships.

The following table presents the effect of AIG's derivative instruments in fair value hedging relationships on the Consolidated Statement of Income (Loss):

Years Ended December 31,
(in millions)

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|--|---------------|-------------|
| Interest rate contracts^{(a)(b)}: | | |
| Gain (loss) recognized in earnings on derivatives | \$ 196 | \$ (240) |
| Gain (loss) recognized in earnings on hedged items ^(c) | (25) | 343 |
| Gain recognized in earnings for ineffective portion and amount excluded from effectiveness testing | 27 | 87 |

(a) *Gains and losses recognized in earnings on derivatives for the effective portion and hedged items are recorded in Other income. Gains and losses recognized in earnings on derivatives for the ineffective portion and amounts excluded from effectiveness testing are recorded in Net realized capital losses and Other income, respectively.*

(b) *Includes \$27 million and \$95 million for 2010 and 2009, respectively, related to the ineffective portion and \$0 million and \$(8) million for 2010 and 2009, respectively, for amounts excluded from effectiveness testing.*

(c) *Includes \$144 million for 2010, representing the amortization of debt basis adjustment following the discontinuation of hedge accounting on certain positions.*

The following table presents the effect of AIG's derivative instruments in cash flow hedging relationships on the Consolidated Statement of Income (Loss):

Years Ended December 31,
(in millions)

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|---|----------------|-------------|
| Interest rate contracts^(a): | | |
| Gain (loss) recognized in OCI on derivatives | \$ (33) | \$ 91 |
| Loss reclassified from Accumulated OCI into earnings ^(b) | (84) | (13) |
| Gain (loss) recognized in earnings on derivatives for ineffective portion | (6) | 9 |

(a) *Gains and losses reclassified from Accumulated other comprehensive loss are recorded in Other income. Gains or losses recognized in earnings on derivatives for the ineffective portion are recorded in Net realized capital losses.*

(b)

The effective portion of the change in fair value of a derivative qualifying as a cash flow hedge is recorded in Accumulated other comprehensive income until earnings are affected by the variability of cash flows in the hedged item. At December 31, 2010, \$55 million of the deferred net loss in Accumulated other comprehensive loss is expected to be recognized in earnings during the next 12 months.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments**

The following table presents the effect of AIG's derivative instruments not designated as hedging instruments on the Consolidated Statement of Income (Loss):

| Years Ended December 31, (in millions) | Gains (Losses) Recognized in Earnings | |
|---|--|-----------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| By Derivative Type: | | |
| Interest rate contracts ^(a) | \$ 254 | \$ 726 |
| Foreign exchange contracts | (123) | (578) |
| Equity contracts | 4 | (876) |
| Commodity contracts | 1 | (703) |
| Credit contracts | 1,227 | 2,088 |
| Other contracts ^(b) | 968 | 1,739 |
| Total | \$ 2,331 | \$ 2,396 |
| By Classification: | | |
| Premiums and other considerations | \$ 75 | \$ 74 |
| Net investment income | 18 | 21 |
| Net realized capital gains | 726 | 1,201 |
| Unrealized market valuation gains on Capital Markets super senior credit default swap portfolio | 598 | 1,418 |
| Other income | 914 | (318) |
| Total | \$ 2,331 | \$ 2,396 |

(a) Includes cross currency swaps.

(b) Includes embedded derivative gains of \$423 million and \$1.7 billion, for the years ended December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively.

Capital Markets Derivatives

AIGFP enters into derivative transactions to mitigate market risk in its exposures (interest rates, currencies, commodities, credit and equities) arising from its transactions. In most cases, AIGFP did not hedge its exposures related to the credit default swaps it had written. As a dealer, AIGFP structured and entered into derivative transactions to meet the needs of counterparties who may be seeking to hedge certain aspects of such counterparties' operations or obtain a desired financial exposure.

Capital Markets' derivative transactions involving interest rate swap transactions generally involve the exchange of fixed and floating rate interest payment obligations without the exchange of the underlying notional amounts. AIGFP typically became a principal in the exchange of interest payments between the parties and, therefore, is exposed to counterparty credit risk and may be exposed to loss, if counterparties default. Currency, commodity and equity swaps are similar to interest rate swaps but involve the exchange of specific currencies or cash flows based on the underlying commodity, equity securities or indices. Also, they may involve the exchange of notional amounts at the beginning and end of the transaction. Swaptions are options where the holder has the right but not the obligation to enter into a swap transaction or cancel an existing swap transaction.

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

AIGFP follows a policy of minimizing interest rate, currency, commodity, and equity risks associated with investment securities by entering into offsetting positions, on a security-by-security basis within its derivatives portfolio, thereby offsetting a significant portion of the unrealized appreciation and depreciation. In addition, to reduce its credit risk, AIGFP has entered into credit derivative transactions with respect to \$369 million of securities to economically hedge its credit risk.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The timing and the amount of cash flows relating to Capital Markets' foreign exchange forwards and exchange traded futures and options contracts are determined by each of the respective contractual agreements.

Futures and forward contracts are contracts that obligate the holder to sell or purchase foreign currencies, commodities or financial indices in which the seller/purchaser agrees to make/take delivery at a specified future date of a specified instrument, at a specified price or yield. Options are contracts that allow the holder of the option to purchase or sell the underlying commodity, currency or index at a specified price and within, or at, a specified period of time. As a writer of options, AIGFP generally receives an option premium and then manages the risk of any unfavorable change in the value of the underlying commodity, currency or index by entering into offsetting transactions with third-party market participants. Risks arise as a result of movements in current market prices from contracted prices, and the potential inability of the counterparties to meet their obligations under the contracts.

Capital Markets Super Senior Credit Default Swaps

AIGFP entered into credit default swap transactions with the intention of earning revenue on credit exposure. In the majority of Capital Markets' credit default swap transactions, AIGFP sold credit protection on a designated portfolio of loans or debt securities. Generally, AIGFP provides such credit protection on a "second loss" basis, meaning that AIGFP would incur credit losses only after a shortfall of principal and/or interest, or other credit events, in respect of the protected loans and debt securities, exceeds a specified threshold amount or level of "first losses."

Typically, the credit risk associated with a designated portfolio of loans or debt securities has been tranching into different layers of risk, which are then analyzed and rated by the credit rating agencies. At origination, there is usually an equity layer covering the first credit losses in respect of the portfolio up to a specified percentage of the total portfolio, and then successive layers ranging generally from a BBB-rated layer to one or more AAA-rated layers. A significant majority of AIGFP transactions that were rated by rating agencies had risk layers or tranches rated AAA at origination and are immediately junior to the threshold level above which AIGFP's payment obligation would generally arise. In transactions that were not rated, AIGFP applied equivalent risk criteria for setting the threshold level for its payment obligations. Therefore, the risk layer assumed by AIGFP with respect to the designated portfolio of loans or debt securities in these transactions is often called the "super senior" risk layer, defined as a layer of credit risk senior to one or more risk layers rated AAA by the credit rating agencies, or, if the transaction is not rated, structured to be the equivalent thereto.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following table presents the net notional amount, fair value of derivative (asset) liability and unrealized market valuation gain (loss) of the Capital Markets super senior credit default swap portfolio, including credit default swaps written on mezzanine tranches of certain regulatory capital relief transactions, by asset class:

| (in millions) | Net Notional Amount | | Fair Value of Derivative (Asset) Liability at December 31, | | Unrealized Market Valuation Gain (Loss) Year Ended December 31, | |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|--|------------------------|---|---------------------|
| | December 31, 2010 ^(a) | 2009 ^(a) | 2010 ^{(b)(c)} | 2009 ^{(b)(c)} | 2010 ^(c) | 2009 ^(c) |
| Regulatory Capital: | | | | | | |
| Corporate loans | \$ 5,193 | \$ 55,010 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
| Prime residential mortgages | 31,613 | 93,276 | (190) | (137) | 53 | 137 |
| Other | 1,263 | 1,760 | 17 | 21 | 4 | 35 |
| Total | 38,069 | 150,046 | (173) | (116) | 57 | 172 |
| Arbitrage: | | | | | | |
| Multi-sector CDOs ^(d) | 6,689 | 7,926 | 3,484 | 4,418 | 663 | (669) |
| Corporate debt/CLOs ^(e) | 12,269 | 22,076 | 171 | 309 | (67) | 1,863 |
| Total | 18,958 | 30,002 | 3,655 | 4,727 | 596 | 1,194 |
| Mezzanine tranches ^(f) | 2,823 | 3,478 | 198 | 143 | (55) | 52 |
| Total | \$ 59,850 | \$ 183,526 | \$ 3,680 | \$ 4,754 | \$ 598 | \$ 1,418 |

(a) Net notional amounts presented are net of all structural subordination below the covered tranches.

(b) Fair value amounts are shown before the effects of counterparty netting adjustments and offsetting cash collateral.

(c) Includes credit valuation adjustment gains (losses) of (\$133) million and \$52 million in the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, representing the effect of changes in AIG's credit spreads on the valuation of the derivatives liabilities.

(d) During 2010, AIGFP terminated a super senior CDS transaction with its counterparty with a net notional amount of \$296 million, included in Multi-sector CDOs. This transaction was terminated at approximately its fair value at the time of the termination. As a result, a \$202 million loss, which was previously included in the fair value derivative liability as an unrealized market valuation loss, was realized. During 2010, AIGFP also paid \$69 million to its counterparty with respect to multi-sector CDOs. Upon payment, a \$69 million loss, which was previously included in the fair value derivative liability as an unrealized market valuation loss, was realized. Multi-sector CDOs also include \$5.5 billion and \$6.3 billion in net notional amount of credit default swaps written with cash settlement provisions at December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively.

(e) During 2010, AIGFP terminated super senior CDS transactions with its counterparties with a net notional amount of \$9.3 billion, included in Corporate debt/CLOs. These transactions were terminated at approximately their fair value at the time of the termination. As a result, an \$83 million loss, which was previously included in the fair value derivative liability as an unrealized market valuation loss, was realized. In addition, AIGFP made a one time payment of \$122 million in 2010 to an intermediary in exchange for eliminating all future collateral posting requirements on \$10.7 billion of net notional of Corporate debt transactions. Corporate debt/CLOs also include \$1.3 billion and \$1.4 billion in net notional amount of credit default swaps written on the super senior tranches of CLOs at December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively.

(f)

Net of offsetting purchased CDS of \$1.4 billion and \$1.5 billion in net notional amount at December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively.

All outstanding CDS transactions for regulatory capital purposes and the majority of the arbitrage portfolio have cash-settled structures in respect of a basket of reference obligations, where AIGFP's payment obligations, other than for posting collateral, may be triggered by payment shortfalls, bankruptcy and certain other events such as write-downs of the value of underlying assets. For the remainder of the CDS transactions in respect of the arbitrage portfolio, AIGFP's payment obligations are triggered by the occurrence of a credit event under a single reference security, and performance is limited to a single payment by AIGFP in return for physical delivery by the counterparty of the reference security.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The expected weighted average maturity of AIGFP's super senior credit derivative portfolios as of December 31, 2010 was 1.2 years for the regulatory capital corporate loan portfolio, 3.5 years for the regulatory capital prime residential mortgage portfolio, 4.8 years for the regulatory capital other portfolio, 6.2 years for the multi-sector CDO arbitrage portfolio and 5.0 years for the corporate debt/CLO portfolio.

Regulatory Capital Portfolio

The regulatory capital portfolio represents derivatives written for financial institutions in Europe, for the purpose of providing regulatory capital relief rather than for arbitrage purposes. In exchange for a periodic fee, the counterparties receive credit protection with respect to a portfolio of diversified loans they own, thus reducing their minimum capital requirements. These CDS transactions were structured with early termination rights for counterparties allowing them to terminate these transactions at no cost to AIGFP at a certain period of time or upon a regulatory event such as certain changes to regulatory capital standards. During 2010, \$84.1 billion in net notional amount was terminated or matured at no cost to AIGFP. Through February 16, 2011, AIGFP had also received termination notices for an additional \$1.4 billion in net notional amount with effective termination dates in 2011.

The regulatory capital relief CDS transactions require cash settlement and, other than for collateral posting, AIGFP is required to make a payment in connection with a regulatory capital relief transaction only if realized credit losses in respect of the underlying portfolio exceed AIGFP's attachment point.

All of the regulatory capital transactions directly or indirectly reference tranching pools of large numbers of whole loans that were originated by the financial institution (or its affiliates) receiving the credit protection, rather than structured securities containing loans originated by other third parties. In the vast majority of transactions, the loans are intended to be retained by the originating financial institution and in all cases the originating financial institution is the purchaser of the CDS, either directly or through an intermediary.

The super senior tranches of these CDS transactions continue to be supported by high levels of subordination, which, in most instances, have increased since origination. The weighted average subordination supporting the prime residential mortgage and corporate loan referenced portfolios at December 31, 2010 was 14.31 percent and 27.70 percent, respectively. The highest realized losses to date in any single residential mortgage and corporate loan pool were 2.61 percent and 0.52 percent, respectively. Each of the corporate loan transactions consists of several hundred secured and unsecured loans diversified by industry and, in some instances, by country, and have per-issuer concentration limits. Both types of transactions generally allow some substitution and replenishment of loans, subject to defined constraints, as older loans mature or are prepaid. These replenishment rights generally expire within the first few years of the trade, after which the proceeds of any prepaid or maturing loans are applied first to the super senior tranche (sequentially), thereby increasing the relative level of subordination supporting the balance of AIGFP's super senior CDS exposure.

The regulatory benefit of these transactions for AIGFP's financial institution counterparties are generally derived from the capital regulations promulgated by the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision known as Basel I. In December 2010, the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision finalized a new framework for international capital and liquidity standards known as Basel III, which, when fully implemented, may reduce or eliminate the regulatory benefits to certain counterparties from these transactions and thus may impact the period of time that such counterparties are expected to hold the positions. In prior years, it had been expected that financial institution counterparties would complete a transition from Basel I to an intermediate standard known as Basel II, which could have had similar effects on the benefits of these transactions, at the end of 2009. Basel III has now superseded Basel II, but the details of its implementation by the various European Central Banking districts have not been finalized. Should certain counterparties continue to receive favorable regulatory capital benefits from these transactions, those counterparties may not exercise their options to terminate the transactions in the expected time frame. AIGFP continues to reassess the expected maturity of this portfolio. As of December 31, 2010, AIGFP estimated that the weighted average expected maturity of the portfolio was 3.16 years.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Given the current performance of the underlying portfolios, the level of subordination and AIGFP's own assessment of the credit quality of the underlying portfolio, as well as the risk mitigants inherent in the transaction structures, AIGFP does not expect that it will be required to make payments pursuant to the contractual terms of those transactions providing regulatory relief.

Arbitrage Portfolio

The arbitrage portfolio includes arbitrage-motivated transactions written on multi-sector CDOs or designated pools of investment grade senior unsecured corporate debt or CLOs.

The outstanding multi-sector CDO portfolio at December 31, 2010 was written on CDO transactions (including synthetic CDOs) that generally held a concentration of RMBS, CMBS and inner CDO securities. At December 31, 2010, approximately \$3.2 billion net notional amount (fair value liability of \$1.9 billion) of this portfolio was written on super senior multi-sector CDOs that contain some level of sub-prime RMBS collateral, with a concentration in the 2005 and earlier vintages of sub-prime RMBS. AIGFP's portfolio also included both high grade and mezzanine CDOs.

The majority of multi-sector CDO CDS transactions require cash settlement and, other than for collateral posting, AIGFP is required to make a payment in connection with such transactions only if realized credit losses in respect of the underlying portfolio exceed AIGFP's attachment point. As of December 31, 2010, only one transaction, with a net notional amount of \$389 million, has breached its attachment point. AIGFP has paid a total of \$69 million on this trade all of which was paid in 2010. In the remainder of the portfolio, AIGFP's payment obligations are triggered by the occurrence of a credit event under a single reference security, and performance is limited to a single payment by AIGFP in return for physical delivery by the counterparty of the reference security.

Included in the multi-sector CDO portfolio are 2a-7 Puts. Holders of securities are required, in certain circumstances, to tender their securities to the issuer at par. If an issuer's remarketing agent is unable to resell the securities so tendered, AIGFP must purchase the securities at par so long as the security has not experienced a payment default or certain bankruptcy events with respect to the issuer of such security have not occurred. At December 31, 2009, there were \$1.6 billion of net notional amount of 2a-7 Puts issued by AIGFP outstanding. During 2010, AIGFP terminated all 2a-7 Puts in respect of notes held by holders other than AIGFP and its affiliates. AIGFP is not a party to any commitments to issue any additional 2a-7 Puts.

The corporate arbitrage portfolio consists principally of CDS transactions written on portfolios of senior unsecured corporate obligations that were generally rated investment grade at inception of the CDS. These CDS transactions require cash settlement. Also, included in this portfolio are CDS transactions with a net notional amount of \$1.3 billion written on the senior part of the capital structure of CLOs, which require physical settlement.

Certain of the super senior credit default swaps provide the counterparties with an additional termination right if AIG's rating level falls to BBB or Baa2. At that level, counterparties to the CDS transactions with a net notional amount of \$1.6 billion at December 31, 2010 have the right to terminate the transactions early. If counterparties exercise this right, the contracts provide for the counterparties to be compensated for the cost to replace the transactions, or an amount reasonably determined in good faith to estimate the losses the counterparties would incur as a result of the termination of the transactions.

Because of long-term maturities of the CDS in the arbitrage portfolio, AIG is unable to make reasonable estimates of the periods during which any payments would be made. However, the net notional amount represents the maximum exposure to loss on the super senior credit default swap portfolio.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS*Collateral*

Most of AIGFP's super senior credit default swaps are subject to collateral posting provisions, which typically are governed by International Swaps and Derivatives Association, Inc. (ISDA) Master Agreements (Master Agreements) and related Credit Support Annexes (CSA). These provisions differ among counterparties and asset classes. AIGFP has received collateral calls from counterparties in respect of certain super senior credit default swaps, of which a large majority relate to multi-sector CDOs. To a lesser extent, AIGFP has also received collateral calls in respect of certain super senior credit default swaps entered into by counterparties for regulatory capital relief purposes and in respect of corporate arbitrage.

The amount of future collateral posting requirements is a function of AIG's credit ratings, the rating of the reference obligations and the market value of the relevant reference obligations, with the latter being the most significant factor. While a high level of correlation exists between the amount of collateral posted and the valuation of these contracts in respect of the arbitrage portfolio, a similar relationship does not exist with respect to the regulatory capital portfolio given the nature of how the amount of collateral for these transactions is determined. Given the lack of observable data and the uncertainty regarding the potential effects on market prices of measures undertaken by the federal government to address the credit market disruption, AIGFP is unable to reasonably estimate the amounts of collateral that it may be required to post in the future.

At December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the amounts of collateral postings with respect to AIGFP's super senior credit default swap portfolio (prior to offsets for other transactions) were \$3.8 billion and \$4.6 billion, respectively.

AIGFP Written Single Name Credit Default Swaps

AIGFP has also entered into credit default swap contracts referencing single-name exposures written on corporate, index and asset-backed credits, with the intention of earning spread income on credit exposure. Some of these transactions were entered into as part of a long-short strategy allowing AIGFP to earn the net spread between CDS it wrote and ones it purchased. At December 31, 2010, the net notional amount of these written CDS contracts was \$377 million. AIGFP has hedged these exposures by purchasing offsetting CDS contracts of \$188 million in net notional amount. The net unhedged position of approximately \$189 million represents the maximum exposure to loss on these CDS contracts. The average maturity of the written CDS contracts is 13.36 years. At December 31, 2010, the fair value of derivative liability (which represents the carrying value) of the portfolio of CDS was \$9 million.

Upon a triggering event (e.g., a default) with respect to the underlying credit, AIGFP would normally have the option to settle the position through an auction process (cash settlement) or pay the notional amount of the contract to the counterparty in exchange for a bond issued by the underlying credit obligor (physical settlement).

AIGFP wrote these written CDS contracts under Master Agreements. The majority of these Master Agreements include CSA, which provide for collateral postings at various ratings and threshold levels. At December 31, 2010, AIGFP had posted \$21 million of collateral under these contracts.

All Other Derivatives

AIG's non-Capital Markets businesses also use derivatives and other instruments as part of their financial risk management programs. Interest rate derivatives (such as interest rate swaps) are used to manage interest rate risk associated with investments in fixed income securities, outstanding medium- and long-term notes and other interest rate sensitive assets and liabilities. In addition, foreign exchange derivatives (principally foreign exchange forwards and options) are used to economically mitigate risk associated with non-U.S. dollar denominated debt, net capital exposures and foreign exchange transactions. The derivatives are effective economic hedges of the exposures they are meant to offset.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

In addition to hedging activities, AIG also uses derivative instruments with respect to investment operations, which include, among other things, credit default swaps and purchasing investments with embedded derivatives, such as equity linked notes and convertible bonds.

Matched Investment Program Written Credit Default Swaps

AIG's Matched Investment Program (MIP) operations, which are reported in AIG's Other operations category as part of Asset Management Direct Investment business, are currently in run-off. Through the MIP, AIG has entered into CDS contracts as a writer of protection, with the intention of earning spread income on credit exposure in an unfunded form. The portfolio of CDS contracts were single-name exposures and, at inception, were predominantly high-grade corporate credits.

These contracts were written through AIG Markets, which then transacted directly with unaffiliated third parties under ISDA agreements. As of December 31, 2010, the notional amount of written CDS contracts was \$1.9 billion with an average credit rating of BBB+. At that date, the average maturity of the written CDS contracts was 1.2 years and the fair value of the derivative liability (which represents the carrying value) of the MIP's written CDS was \$25.4 million.

The majority of the ISDA agreements include CSA provisions, which provide for collateral postings at various ratings and threshold levels. At December 31, 2010, \$1.8 million of collateral was posted for CDS contracts related to the MIP. The notional amount represents the maximum exposure to loss on the written CDS contracts. However, because of the average investment grade rating and expected default recovery rates, actual losses are expected to be less.

Upon a triggering event (e.g., a default) with respect to the underlying credit, AIG Markets would normally have the option to settle the position on behalf of the MIP through an auction process (cash settlement) or pay the notional amount of the contract to the counterparty in exchange for a bond issued by the underlying credit (physical settlement).

Credit Risk-Related Contingent Features

AIG transacts in derivative transactions directly with unaffiliated third parties under ISDA agreements. Many of the ISDA agreements also include CSA provisions, which provide for collateral postings at various ratings and threshold levels. In addition, AIG attempts to reduce credit risk with certain counterparties by entering into agreements that enable collateral to be obtained from a counterparty on an upfront or contingent basis.

The aggregate fair value of AIG's derivative instruments, including those of AIGFP, that contain credit risk-related contingent features that were in a net liability position at December 31, 2010, was approximately \$5.2 billion. The aggregate fair value of assets posted as collateral under these contracts at December 31, 2010, was \$5.2 billion. See Note 6 herein.

AIG estimates that at December 31, 2010, based on AIG's outstanding financial derivative transactions, including those of AIGFP at that date, a one-notch downgrade of AIG's long-term senior debt ratings to Baa1 by Moody's Investors Service (Moody's) and BBB+ by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC, a subsidiary of The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc. (S&P), would permit counterparties to make additional collateral calls and permit the counterparties to elect early termination of contracts, resulting in up to approximately \$0.7 billion of corresponding collateral postings and termination payments; a two-notch downgrade to Baa2 by Moody's and BBB by S&P would result in approximately \$0.4 billion in additional collateral postings and termination payments above the one-notch downgrade amount; and a three-notch downgrade to Baa3 by Moody's and BBB- by S&P would result in approximately \$0.2 billion in additional collateral postings and termination payments above the two-notch downgrade amount. Additional collateral postings upon downgrade are estimated based on the factors in the individual collateral posting provisions of the CSA with each counterparty and current exposure as of December 31, 2010. Factors considered in estimating the termination payments upon downgrade include current

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

market conditions, the complexity of the derivative transactions, historical termination experience and other observable market events such as bankruptcy and downgrade events that have occurred at other companies. Management's estimates are also based on the assumption that counterparties will terminate based on their net exposure to AIG. The actual termination payments could significantly differ from management's estimates given market conditions at the time of downgrade and the level of uncertainty in estimating both the number of counterparties who may elect to exercise their right to terminate and the payment that may be triggered in connection with any such exercise.

Hybrid Securities with Embedded Credit Derivatives

AIG invests in hybrid securities (credit-linked notes). Upon the issuance of credit-linked notes, the cash received by the issuer is generally used to invest in highly rated securities in addition to entering into a derivative contract that exchanges the return on its highly-rated securities for the return on a separate portfolio of assets. The investments owned by the issuer serve as collateral for the derivative instrument written by the issuer. The return on the separate portfolio received by the issuer is used to pay the return owed on the credit-linked notes. These hybrid securities expose AIG to risks similar to the risks in RMBS, CMBS, CDOs and ABS, but such risk is derived from the separate portfolio rather than from direct mortgage or loan investments owned by the issuer. As with other investments in RMBS, CMBS, CDOs and other ABS, AIG invested in these hybrid securities with the intent of generating income, and not specifically to acquire exposure to embedded derivative risk. Similar to AIG's other investments in RMBS, CMBS, CDOs and ABS, AIG's investments in these hybrid securities are exposed to losses only up to the amount of AIG's initial investment in the hybrid security, as losses on the derivative contract will be paid via the collateral held by the entity that issues the hybrid security. Losses on the embedded derivative contracts may be triggered by events such as bankruptcy, failure to pay or restructuring associated with the obligations referenced by the derivative, and these losses in turn result in the reduction of the principal amount to be repaid to AIG and other investors in the hybrid securities. Other than AIG's initial investment in the hybrid securities, AIG has no further obligation to make payments on the embedded credit derivatives in the related hybrid securities.

Effective July 1, 2010, AIG elected to account for its investments in these hybrid securities with embedded written credit derivatives at fair value, with changes in fair value recognized in earnings. Through June 30, 2010, these hybrid securities had been accounted for as available for sale securities, and had been subject to other than temporary impairment accounting as applicable.

AIG's investments in these hybrid securities are reported as Bond trading securities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. The fair value of these hybrid securities was \$35 million at December 31, 2010. These securities have a current par amount of \$331 million and have remaining stated maturity dates that extend to 2056.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**13. Liability for Unpaid Claims and Claims Adjustment Expense and Future Policy Benefits for Life and Accident and Health Insurance Contracts and Policyholder Contract Deposits**

The following table presents the reconciliation of activity in the Liability for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expense:

| Years Ended December 31, <i>(in millions)</i> | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|---|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Balance, beginning of year: | | | |
| Liability for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expense | \$ 85,386 | \$ 89,258 | \$ 85,500 |
| Reinsurance recoverable | (17,487) | (16,803) | (16,212) |
| Total | 67,899 | 72,455 | 69,288 |
| Foreign exchange effect | | | |
| | (126) | 1,416 | (2,113) |
| Acquisitions ^(a) | 1,538 | - | - |
| Dispositions ^(b) | (87) | (9,657) | (269) |
| Losses and loss expenses incurred^(c): | | | |
| Current year | 24,074 | 27,354 | 34,516 |
| Prior years, other than accretion of discount ^(d) | 4,182 | 2,771 | 118 |
| Prior years, accretion of discount | (181) | 313 | 317 |
| Total | 28,075 | 30,438 | 34,951 |
| Losses and loss expenses paid^(c): | | | |
| Current year | 9,873 | 11,079 | 13,204 |
| Prior years | 15,919 | 15,673 | 16,240 |
| Total | 25,792 | 26,752 | 29,444 |
| Activity of discontinued operations | - | (1) | 42 |
| Balance, end of year: | | | |
| Net liability for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expense | 71,507 | 67,899 | 72,455 |
| Reinsurance recoverable | 19,644 | 17,487 | 16,803 |
| Total | \$ 91,151 | \$ 85,386 | \$ 89,258 |

(a) Represents the acquisition of Fuji on March 31, 2010.

(b) Transatlantic was deconsolidated in 2009, 21st Century and HSB were sold in 2009, and Unibanco was sold in 2008.

(c) Includes amounts related to dispositions through the date of disposition.

(d)

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

In 2010, includes \$1.1 billion, \$793 million and \$1.5 billion related to excess casualty, excess workers' compensation and asbestos, respectively. In 2009, includes \$1.5 billion, \$956 million and \$151 million related to excess casualty, excess workers' compensation and asbestos, respectively.

Discounting of Reserves

At December 31, 2010, net loss reserves reflect a loss reserve discount of \$3.22 billion, including tabular and non-tabular calculations based upon the following assumptions.

The tabular workers' compensation discount is calculated using a 3.5 percent interest rate and the 1979-81 Decennial Mortality Table.

The non-tabular workers' compensation discount is calculated separately for companies domiciled in New York and Pennsylvania, and follows the statutory regulations for each state. For New York companies, the discount is based on a five percent interest rate and the companies' own payout patterns. For Pennsylvania companies, the statute has specified discount factors for accident years 2001 and prior, which are based on a six percent interest rate and an industry payout pattern. For accident years 2002 and subsequent, the discount is based on the payout patterns and investment yields of the companies.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Certain asbestos business that was written by Chartis is discounted based on the investment yields of the companies and the payout pattern for this business.

The discount comprises the following: \$790 million tabular discount for workers' compensation in Chartis U.S. and \$2.27 billion non-tabular discount for workers' compensation in Chartis U.S.; and \$162 million non-tabular discount for asbestos for Chartis.

Future policy benefits

The following table presents the components of future policy benefits:

At December 31,*(in millions)*

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|---|-------------|-------------|
| Future policy benefits: | | |
| Long duration and structured settlement contracts | \$ 30,992 | \$ 115,638 |
| Short duration contracts | 276 | 363 |
| Total future policy benefits | \$ 31,268 | \$ 116,001 |

Long duration contract liabilities included in future policy benefits, as presented in the preceding table, result primarily from life products. Short duration contract liabilities are primarily accident and health products. The liability for future life policy benefits has been established on the basis of the following assumptions:

Interest rates (exclusive of immediate/terminal funding annuities), which vary by territory, year of issuance and products, range from 1 percent to 9.7 percent within the first 20 years. Interest rates on immediate/terminal funding annuities are at a maximum of 13.5 percent and grade to not greater than 0.3 percent.

Mortality and surrender rates are based upon actual experience by geographical area modified to allow for variations in policy form. The weighted average lapse rate, including surrenders, for individual and group life was approximately 4.1 percent.

The portions of current and prior Net income and of current unrealized appreciation of investments that can inure to the benefit of AIG are restricted in some cases by the insurance contracts and by the local insurance regulations of the jurisdictions in which the policies are in force.

Participating life business represented approximately 2.6 percent of the gross insurance in force at December 31, 2010 and 2.7 percent of gross Premiums and other considerations in 2010. The amount of annual dividends to be paid is approved locally by the boards of directors of the life companies. Provisions for future dividend payments are computed by jurisdiction, reflecting local regulations.

Policyholder contract deposits

The following table presents policyholder contract deposits liabilities:

| 2010 | 2009 |
|------|------|
|------|------|

At December 31,
(in millions)

| | | |
|--------------------------------------|------------|------------|
| Policyholder contract deposits: | | |
| Annuities | \$ 92,672 | \$ 138,844 |
| Universal life products | 12,569 | 31,030 |
| Guaranteed investment contracts | 8,491 | 8,747 |
| Variable products | 2,217 | 24,196 |
| Corporate life products | 2,203 | 2,247 |
| Other investment contracts | 3,221 | 15,064 |
| Total policyholder contract deposits | \$ 121,373 | \$ 220,128 |

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The liability for policyholder contract deposits has been established based on the following assumptions:

Interest rates credited on deferred annuities, which vary by territory and year of issuance, range from 1 percent to, including bonuses, 13 percent. Current declared interest rates are generally guaranteed to remain in effect for a period of one year though some are guaranteed for longer periods. Withdrawal charges generally range from zero percent to 20 percent grading to zero over a period of zero to 15 years.

Domestically, guaranteed investment contracts (GICs) have market value withdrawal provisions for any funds withdrawn other than benefit responsive payments. Interest rates credited generally range from 0.3 percent to 8.5 percent. The vast majority of these GICs mature within four years.

Interest rates on corporate life insurance products are guaranteed at 3 percent and the weighted average rate credited in 2010 was 4.9 percent.

The universal life funds have credited interest rates of 1 percent to 8 percent and guarantees ranging from 1 percent to 5.5 percent depending on the year of issue. Additionally, universal life funds are subject to surrender charges that amount to 11 percent of the aggregate fund balance grading to zero over a period not longer than 20 years.

For variable products and investment contracts, policy values are expressed in terms of investment units. Each unit is linked to an asset portfolio. The value of a unit increases or decreases based on the value of the linked asset portfolio. The current liability at any time is the sum of the current unit value of all investment units plus any liability for guaranteed minimum death or withdrawal benefits.

Certain products are subject to experience adjustments. These include group life and group medical products, credit life contracts, accident and health insurance contracts/riders attached to life policies and, to a limited extent, reinsurance agreements with other direct insurers. Ultimate premiums from these contracts are estimated and recognized as revenue, and the unearned portions of the premiums recorded as liabilities. Experience adjustments vary according to the type of contract and the territory in which the policy is in force and are subject to local regulatory guidance.

14. Variable Life and Annuity Contracts

AIG reports variable contracts through separate accounts when investment income and investment gains and losses accrue directly to, and investment risk is borne by, the contract holder (traditional variable annuities), and the separate account qualifies for separate account treatment. AIG also reports variable annuity and life contracts through separate accounts, or general accounts when not qualified for separate account reporting, when AIG contractually guarantees to the contract holder (variable contracts with guarantees) either (a) total deposits made to the contract less any partial withdrawals plus a minimum return (and in minor instances, no minimum returns) (Net Deposits Plus a Minimum Return) or (b) the highest contract value attained, typically on any anniversary date minus any subsequent withdrawals following the contract anniversary (Highest Contract Value Attained). These guarantees include benefits that are payable in the event of death, annuitization, or, in other instances, at specified dates during the accumulation period. Such benefits are referred to as guaranteed minimum death benefits (GMDB), guaranteed minimum income benefits (GMIB), guaranteed minimum withdrawal benefits (GMWB) and guaranteed minimum account value benefits (GMAV). For AIG, GMDB is the most widely offered benefit.

The assets supporting the variable portion of both traditional variable annuities and variable contracts with guarantees are carried at fair value and reported as Separate account assets with an equivalent summary total reported as Separate account liabilities when the separate account qualifies for separate account treatment. Assets for separate accounts that do not qualify for separate account treatment are reported as trading account assets, and liabilities are included in the respective policyholder liability account of the general account. Amounts assessed against the contract holders for mortality, administrative, and other services are included in revenue and changes in liabilities for minimum guarantees are included in Policyholder benefits and claims incurred in the

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Consolidated Statement of Income. Separate account net investment income, net investment gains and losses, and the related liability changes are offset within the same line item in the Consolidated Statement of Income for those accounts that qualify for separate account treatment. Net investment income and gains and losses on trading accounts for contracts that do not qualify for separate account treatment are reported in Net investment income and are principally offset by amounts reported in Policyholder benefits and claims incurred.

The following table presents details concerning AIG's GMDB exposures^(a):

| At December 31, (dollars in billions) | 2010 | | 2009 | |
|---|--|------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|
| | Net Deposits Plus a Minimum Return | Highest Contract Value Attained | Net Deposits Plus a Minimum Return | Highest Contract Value Attained |
| Account value ^(b) | \$ 55 | \$ 13 | \$ 51 | \$ 12 |
| Amount at risk ^(c) | 3 | 1 | 4 | 2 |
| Average attained age of contract holders by product | 58-70 years | 70-73 years | 57-69 years | 69-71 years |
| Range of guaranteed minimum return rates | 3-10% | | 3-10% | |

(a) *The presentation of Nan Shan in discontinued operations does not reduce AIG's exposure to these guarantees. The exposure will be transferred upon closing of the sales of these businesses.*

(b) *Included in Policyholder contract deposits in the Consolidated Balance Sheet.*

(c) *Represents the amount of death benefit currently in excess of Account value.*

The following summarizes GMDB and GMIB liabilities for guarantees on variable contracts reflected in the general account.

| Years Ended December 31, (in millions) | 2010 | 2009 |
|---|--------|--------|
| Balance, beginning of year | \$ 469 | \$ 412 |
| Reserve increase | 31 | 229 |
| Benefits paid | (88) | (172) |
| Balance, end of year | \$ 412 | \$ 469 |

The GMDB liability is determined each period end by estimating the expected value of death benefits in excess of the projected account balance and recognizing the excess ratably over the accumulation period based on total expected assessments. AIG regularly evaluates estimates used and adjusts the additional liability balance, with a related charge or credit to benefit expense, if actual experience or other evidence suggests that earlier assumptions should be revised.

The following assumptions and methodology were used to determine the GMDB liability at December 31, 2010:

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Data used was up to 1,000 stochastically generated investment performance scenarios.

Mean investment performance assumptions ranged from three percent to approximately ten percent depending on the block of business.

Volatility assumption was 16 percent.

Mortality was assumed at between 50 percent and 88 percent of various life and annuity mortality tables.

For domestic contracts, lapse rates vary by contract type and duration and ranged from zero percent to 40 percent.

For domestic contracts, the discount rate ranged from 3.75 percent to 11 percent.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

In addition to GMDB, AIG's contracts currently include to a lesser extent GMIB. The GMIB liability is determined each period end by estimating the expected value of the annuitization benefits in excess of the projected account balance at the date of annuitization and recognizing the excess ratably over the accumulation period based on total expected assessments. AIG periodically evaluates estimates used and adjusts the additional liability balance, with a related charge or credit to benefit expense, if actual experience or other evidence suggests that earlier assumptions should be revised.

AIG contracts currently include GMAV and GMWB benefits. GMAV and GMWB considered to be embedded derivatives are recognized at fair value through earnings. AIG enters into derivative contracts to economically hedge a portion of the exposure that arises from GMAV and GMWB. At December 31, 2010, AIG had \$12.7 billion of account values and \$1.1 billion of net amount at risk that were attributable to variable annuities with these living benefit features. See Note 6 herein for additional fair value disclosures.

15. Debt Outstanding

The following table summarizes AIG's total debt outstanding:

At December 31,
(in millions)

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|--|------------|------------|
| FRBNY Credit Facility (secured) | \$ 20,985 | \$ 23,435 |
| Other long-term debt | 85,476 | 113,298 |
| Federal Reserve Bank of New York commercial paper funding facility | - | 4,739 |
| Total debt | \$ 106,461 | \$ 141,472 |

AIG has issued long-term debt denominated in various currencies, although predominantly in U.S. dollars, with both fixed and variable interest rates.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following table presents maturities of long-term debt (including unamortized original issue discount, hedge accounting valuation adjustments and fair value adjustments, when applicable), excluding borrowings of consolidated investments:

| At December 31, 2010 | Year Ending | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|
| (in millions) | Total | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | Thereafter |
| AIG general borrowings: | | | | | | | |
| FRBNY Credit Facility ^(a) | \$ 20,985 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 20,985 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
| Notes and bonds payable | 11,511 | 618 | 27 | 1,467 | 500 | 998 | 7,901 |
| Junior subordinated debt | 11,740 | - | - | - | - | - | 11,740 |
| Junior subordinated debt attributable to equity units | 2,169 | - | - | - | - | - | 2,169 |
| Loans and mortgages payable | 218 | 146 | - | - | - | 2 | 70 |
| SunAmerica Financial Group, Inc. (SAFG, Inc.) notes and bonds payable | 298 | - | - | - | - | - | 298 |
| Liabilities connected to trust preferred stock | 1,339 | - | - | - | - | - | 1,339 |
| Total AIG general borrowings | 48,260 | 764 | 27 | 22,452 | 500 | 1,000 | 23,517 |
| AIG borrowings supported by assets: | | | | | | | |
| MIP notes payable | 11,318 | 3,073 | 2,277 | 869 | 437 | 405 | 4,257 |
| Series AIGFP matched notes and bonds payable | 3,981 | 28 | 50 | 3 | - | - | 3,900 |
| GIAs, at fair value | 8,212 | 450 | 268 | 310 | 677 | 586 | 5,921 |
| Notes and bonds payable, at fair value | 3,253 | 612 | 815 | 171 | 76 | 221 | 1,358 |
| Loans and mortgages payable, at fair value | 678 | 157 | 224 | 78 | 90 | - | 129 |
| Total AIG borrowings supported by assets | 27,442 | 4,320 | 3,634 | 1,431 | 1,280 | 1,212 | 15,565 |
| ILFC^(b): | | | | | | | |
| Notes and bonds payable | 16,908 | 4,544 | 3,571 | 3,541 | 1,040 | 1,260 | 2,952 |
| Junior subordinated debt | 999 | - | - | - | - | - | 999 |
| ECA Facilities ^(c) | 2,778 | 442 | 429 | 429 | 424 | 336 | 718 |
| Bank financings and other secured financings ^(d) | 7,014 | 249 | 1,480 | 16 | 1,387 | 760 | 3,122 |
| Total ILFC | 27,699 | 5,235 | 5,480 | 3,986 | 2,851 | 2,356 | 7,791 |
| Other subsidiaries^(b) | 446 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 21 | 412 |
| Total | \$ 103,847 | \$ 10,323 | \$ 9,144 | \$ 27,872 | \$ 4,634 | \$ 4,589 | \$ 47,285 |

(a) The FRBNY Credit Facility was fully repaid and terminated in January 2011 in connection with the closing of the Recapitalization.

(b) AIG does not guarantee these borrowings.

(c) Reflects future minimum payment for ILFC's borrowings under the 1999 and 2004 ECA Facilities

(d)

Includes \$114 million of secured financings that are non-recourse to ILFC. Prior to April 16, 2010, ILFC had a \$2.5 billion five-year syndicated facility which was scheduled to expire in October 2011. On April 16, 2010, ILFC extended the maturity date of \$2.16 billion of its \$2.5 billion revolving credit facility from October 2011 to October 2012. In December 2010, ILFC paid down \$800 million on its revolving credit facility. The amended facility prohibits ILFC from re-borrowing amounts repaid under this facility for any reason; therefore the size of the outstanding facility is \$1.7 billion.

AIG General Borrowings

(i) *FRBNY Credit Facility:* On September 22, 2008, AIG entered into the \$85 billion FRBNY Credit Agreement and a Guarantee and Pledge Agreement (the Pledge Agreement) with the FRBNY.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

At December 31, 2010, a total of \$21.0 billion was outstanding under the FRBNY Credit Facility, a net decrease of \$2.5 billion from December 31, 2009. The amount outstanding at December 31, 2010 included \$6.4 billion of accrued compounding interest and fees. On January 14, 2011, AIG used cash proceeds from the AIA initial public offering and the sale of ALICO to fully repay and terminate the FRBNY Credit Facility in connection with the closing of the Recapitalization. See Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information.

Department of the Treasury Commitment: At December 31, 2010 a total of \$7.5 billion was outstanding under the Department of the Treasury Commitment (Series F), an increase of \$2.2 billion from December 31, 2009. On January 14, 2011 AIG drew down approximately \$20.3 billion under this (Series F) Commitment to purchase a portion of the SPV Preferred Interests that were exchanged with the Department of the Treasury. In connection with the closing of the Recapitalization, \$2 billion under the (Series F) Commitment was exchanged for the Series G Drawdown Right, and the Series G Drawdown Right became effective on that date. See Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information.

(ii) Notes and bonds payable: In the fourth quarter of 2010, AIG raised approximately \$2.5 billion in senior debt and contingent liquidity. AIG issued an aggregate of \$2.0 billion in senior unsecured notes, comprised of \$500 million in three-year notes and \$1.5 billion in ten-year notes.

As of December 31, 2010, approximately \$7.0 billion principal amount of senior notes were outstanding under AIG's medium-term note program, of which \$3.2 billion was used for AIG's general corporate purposes, \$453 million was used by AIGFP ("Series AIGFP matched notes and bonds payable" in the preceding tables) and \$3.2 billion was used to fund the MIP. The maturity dates of these notes range from 2011 to 2052. To the extent considered appropriate, AIG may enter into swap transactions to manage its effective borrowing rates with respect to these notes.

As of December 31, 2010, the equivalent of \$9.9 billion of notes were outstanding under AIG's Euro medium-term note program, of which \$8.1 billion were used to fund MIP and the remainder was used for AIG's general corporate purposes. The aggregate amount outstanding includes a \$602 million loss resulting from foreign exchange translation into U.S. dollars related to notes issued to fund the MIP. AIG has economically hedged the currency exposure arising from its foreign currency denominated notes.

AIG maintains a shelf registration statement in Japan, providing for the issuance of up to 300 billion Japanese Yen (\$3.7 billion at the December 31, 2010 exchange rate) principal amount of senior notes, of which the equivalent of \$618 million was outstanding at December 31, 2010.

(iii) Junior subordinated debt: During 2007 and 2008, AIG issued an aggregate of \$12.5 billion of junior subordinated debentures denominated in U.S. dollars, British Pounds and Euros in eight series of securities. In connection with each series of junior subordinated debentures, AIG entered into a Replacement Capital Covenant (RCC) for the benefit of the holders of AIG's 6.25 percent senior notes due 2036. The RCCs provide that AIG will not repay, redeem, or purchase the applicable series of junior subordinated debentures on or before a specified date, unless AIG has received qualifying proceeds from the sale of replacement capital securities.

In May 2008, as adjusted for the one-for-twenty reverse split of AIG's Common Stock effective June 30, 2009, AIG raised a total of approximately \$20 billion through the sale of (i) 9,835,526 shares of AIG Common Stock, in a public offering at a price per share of \$760; (ii) 78.4 million Equity Units in a public offering at a price per unit of \$75; and (iii) \$6.9 billion in unregistered offerings of junior subordinated debentures in three series. The Equity Units and junior subordinated debentures receive hybrid equity treatment from the major rating agencies under their current policies but are recorded as long-term debt on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. The Equity Units consist of an ownership interest in AIG junior subordinated debentures and a stock purchase contract obligating the holder of an equity unit to purchase, and obligating AIG to sell, a variable number of shares of AIG Common Stock on three dates in 2011 (a minimum of 6,447,224 shares and a maximum of 7,736,904 shares, subject to anti-dilution adjustments).

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

In November 2010, AIG exchanged 49,474,600 of its Equity Units for 4,881,667 shares of AIG Common Stock plus \$162 million in cash. Each Equity Unit was exchanged for 0.09867 shares of AIG Common Stock plus \$3.2702 in cash. The stock and cash received by the Equity Unit holders was the result of netting payments from two separate transactions, a repurchase of the subordinated debentures and a cancellation of the stock purchase contracts.

Following the completion of the exchange offer, a total of 28,925,400 Equity Units remained outstanding. In addition, the remaining debentures continue to be subject to remarketing. In January 2011, AIG remarketed the first of three series of the remaining debentures connected to the Equity Units. AIG purchased and retired all of the Series B-1 Debentures representing approximately \$723 million aggregate principal amount of the Series B-1 Debentures and as a result, no Series B-1 Debentures remain outstanding. The remarketing of the remaining debentures connected to the Equity Units will occur later in 2011.

SunAmerica Financial Group, Inc. (SAFG Inc.) (Formerly AIG Life Holdings (U.S.), Inc.)

At December 31, 2010, SAFG, Inc. notes and bonds payable aggregating \$298 million were outstanding with maturity dates ranging from 2025 to 2029 at interest rates from 6.625 percent to 7.50 percent. AIG guarantees the notes and bonds of SAFG, Inc.

Liabilities Connected to Trust Preferred Stock

In connection with its acquisition of SAFG, Inc. in 2001, AIG entered into arrangements with SAFG, Inc. with respect to outstanding SAFG, Inc. capital securities. In 1996, SAFG, Inc. issued capital securities through a trust to institutional investors and funded the trust with SAFG, Inc. junior subordinated debentures issued to the trust. SAFG, Inc. guaranteed payments to the holders of capital securities only to the extent (i) the trust received payments on the debentures and (ii) these payments were available for the trust to pay to holders of capital securities. In 2001, AIG guaranteed the same payments to the holders of capital securities. Like the SAFG, Inc. guarantee, the AIG guarantee only applies to any payments actually made to the trust in respect of the debentures. If no payments are made on the debentures, AIG is not required to make any payments to the trust. AIG also guaranteed the debentures pursuant to a guarantee that is expressly subordinated to certain SAFG, Inc. senior debt securities. Under AIG's guarantee, AIG is not required to make any payments in respect of the debentures if such payment would be prohibited by the subordination provisions of the debentures. As a result, AIG will never be required to make a payment under its guarantee of the debentures for so long as SAFG, Inc. is prohibited from making a payment on the debentures.

At December 31, 2010, the preferred stock outstanding consisted of \$300 million liquidation value of 8.5 percent preferred stock issued by American General Capital II in June 2000, \$500 million liquidation value of 8.125 percent preferred stock issued by American General Institutional Capital B in March 1997 and \$500 million liquidation value of 7.57 percent preferred stock issued by American General Institutional Capital A in December 1996.

AIG Borrowings Supported by Assets

(i) *Series AIGFP matched notes and bonds payable:* At December 31, 2010, AIG has outstanding \$3.25 billion principal amount of senior unsecured notes that were issued in a Rule 144A/Regulation S offering which bear interest at a per annum rate of 8.25 percent and mature in 2018. The proceeds from the sale of these notes were used by the Direct Investment business for its general corporate purposes. In addition, the Direct Investment business has used \$453 million of senior notes outstanding under AIG's medium-term note program. These notes are included within "Series AIGFP matched notes and bonds payable" in the preceding tables.

(ii) *GIAs at fair value:* Borrowings under obligations of GIAs, which are guaranteed by AIG, are recorded at fair value. Obligations may be called at various times prior to maturity at the option of the counterparty. Interest rates on these borrowings are primarily fixed, vary by maturity and range up to 9.8 percent.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

At December 31, 2010, the fair value of securities pledged as collateral with respect to these obligations approximated \$5.7 billion consisting primarily of securities of U.S. government and government sponsored entities.

(iii) *Notes and bonds payable at fair value:* Direct Investment business notes and bonds also include structured debt instruments whose payment terms are linked to one or more financial or other indices (such as an equity index or commodity index or another measure that is not considered to be clearly and closely related to the debt instrument). These notes contain embedded derivatives that otherwise would be required to be accounted for separately. The notes and bonds payable, including the structured debt instruments, are accounted for using the fair value option.

The following table presents AIG borrowings supported by assets:

At December 31, 2010

(dollars in millions)

| Range of Maturities | Currency | Range of Interest Rates | U.S. Dollar Carrying Value |
|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|
| 2011 - 2054 | U.S. dollar | 0.26 - 10.00% | \$ 16,533 |
| 2011 - 2047 | Euro | 0.98 - 9.74 | 5,327 |
| 2011 - 2040 | Japanese yen | 0.01 - 3.65 | 2,958 |
| 2011 - 2017 | Swiss franc | 0.78 - 3.39 | 1,435 |
| 2014 | Canadian dollar | 4.90 | 438 |
| 2016 - 2017 | Mexican peso | 7.98 - 8.59 | 315 |
| 2012 | Swedish krona | 2.03 | 297 |
| 2011 - 2017 | Australian dollar | 1.54 - 2.65 | 85 |
| 2013 | Great Britain pound | 1.10 | 44 |
| 2012 - 2017 | Other | | 10 |
| Total | | | \$ 27,442 |

The Direct Investment business economically hedges its notes, bonds and GIAs. AIG Parent guarantees all Direct Investment business debt, except for MIP notes payable, which are direct obligations of AIG, Inc.

ILFC

(i) *Notes and bonds payable:* At December 31, 2010, notes aggregating \$16.9 billion were outstanding, consisting of \$6.9 billion of term notes, \$10.0 billion of medium-term notes with maturities ranging from 2011 to 2020 and interest rates ranging from 0.61 percent to 8.88 percent and \$1.0 billion of junior subordinated debt, as discussed below. Notes aggregating \$2.0 billion are at floating interest rates and the remainder are at fixed rates. ILFC enters into swap transactions to manage its effective borrowing rates with respect to these notes.

On December 7, 2010, ILFC issued \$1.0 billion aggregate principal amount of 8.25 percent senior notes due December 15, 2020.

On August 20, 2010, ILFC issued \$500 million aggregate principal amount of 8.875 percent senior notes due September 1, 2017. Part of the proceeds from this debt issuance was used to repay loans from AIG, and then used by AIG to reduce the principal amount outstanding under the FRBNY Credit Facility.

On March 22, 2010 and April 6, 2010, ILFC issued a combined \$1.25 billion aggregate principal amount of 8.625 percent senior notes due September 15, 2015, and \$1.5 billion aggregate principal amount of 8.750 percent senior notes due March 15, 2017 in private placements. The notes are due in full on their scheduled maturity dates.

In 2009, ILFC entered into term loan agreements (the Term Loans) with AIG Funding in the amount of \$3.9 billion. The Term Loans were secured by a portfolio of aircraft and all related equipment and leases. These Term Loans were scheduled to mature on September 13, 2013. The

funds for the Term Loans were provided to

308 AIG 2010 Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

AIG Funding through the FRBNY Credit Facility. ILFC prepaid the principal balance and an accrued interest amount of approximately \$4.0 billion due under the Term Loans on August 20, 2010.

In addition, ILFC has a Euro medium-term note program for \$7.0 billion, under which \$1.2 billion in notes were outstanding at December 31, 2010. Notes issued under the Euro medium-term note program are included in ILFC notes and bonds payable in the preceding table of borrowings. ILFC has substantially eliminated the currency exposure arising from foreign currency denominated notes by hedging the note exposure through swaps.

(ii) Subordinated debt: In December 2005, ILFC issued two tranches of subordinated debt totaling \$1.0 billion. Both tranches mature on December 21, 2065, but each tranche has a different call option. The \$600 million tranche had a call option date of December 21, 2010, and the \$400 million tranche has a call option date of December 21, 2015. ILFC did not exercise the call option at December 21, 2010 and the interest rate on the \$600 million tranche changed from a fixed interest rate of 5.90 percent to a floating rate with an initial credit spread of 1.55 percent plus the highest of *(i)* 3 month LIBOR; *(ii)* 10-year constant maturity treasury; and *(iii)* 30-year constant maturity treasury. The interest rate will reset quarterly. The \$400 million tranche has a fixed interest rate of 6.25 percent until the 2015 call option date, and, if ILFC does not exercise the call option, the interest rate will change to a floating rate, reset quarterly, based on the initial credit spread of 1.80 percent plus the highest of *(i)* 3 month LIBOR; *(ii)* 10-year constant maturity treasury; and *(iii)* 30-year constant maturity treasury. If ILFC chooses to redeem the \$600 million tranche, they must pay 100 percent of the principal amount of the bonds being redeemed, plus any accrued and unpaid interest to the redemption date. If ILFC chooses to redeem only a portion of the outstanding bonds, at least \$50 million principal amount of the bonds must remain outstanding.

(iii) Export credit agency facility: ILFC has a \$4.3 billion 1999 Export Credit Agency Facility (1999 ECA Facility) that was used in connection with the purchase of 62 Airbus aircraft delivered through 2001. This facility is guaranteed by various European Export Credit Agencies. The interest rate varies from 5.83 percent to 5.86 percent on these amortizing ten-year borrowings depending on the delivery date of the aircraft. At December 31, 2010, ILFC had five loans with a remaining principal balance of \$13 million outstanding under this facility and the net book value of the related aircraft was \$1.6 billion. At December 31, 2009, ILFC had 32 loans with a remaining principal balance of \$146 million outstanding under this facility. The net book value of the related aircraft was \$1.8 billion. In January 2011, all of the amounts under the five remaining loans were repaid in full and no amounts remained outstanding under the 1999 ECA Facility.

ILFC has a similarly structured 2004 Export Credit Agency Facility (2004 ECA Facility), which was amended in May 2009 to allow ILFC to borrow up to a maximum of \$4.6 billion to fund the purchase of Airbus aircraft delivered through June 30, 2010. This facility is also guaranteed by various European Export Credit Agencies. The interest rates are either LIBOR based with spreads ranging from (0.04) percent to 2.25 percent or at fixed rates ranging from 3.40 percent to 4.71 percent. At December 31, 2010, ILFC had financed 76 aircraft using approximately \$4.3 billion under this facility and approximately \$2.8 billion was outstanding. At December 31, 2009, ILFC had financed 66 aircraft using approximately \$4.0 billion under this facility and approximately \$2.9 billion was outstanding. At December 31, 2010, the interest rate of the loans outstanding ranged from 0.43 percent to 4.71 percent. At December 31, 2009, the interest rate of the loans outstanding ranged from 0.45 percent to 4.71 percent. The debt is collateralized by a pledge of shares of a subsidiary of ILFC, which holds title to the aircraft financed under the facility. At December 31, 2010, the net book value of the related aircraft was \$4.3 billion. At December 31, 2009, the net book value of the related aircraft was \$4.0 billion.

ILFC borrowed \$327 million to refinance five aircraft and finance five new aircraft under the 2004 ECA Facility during 2010. ILFC's current credit ratings require *(i)* the segregation of security deposits, maintenance reserves and rental payments received for aircraft funded under its 2004 ECA Facilities into separate accounts, controlled by the trustees of the 2004 ECA Facilities; and *(ii)* the filings of individual mortgages on the aircraft funded under the facility in the respective local jurisdictions in which the aircraft is registered. At December 31, 2010, ILFC had segregated security deposits, maintenance reserves and rental payments aggregating \$394 million related to such

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

aircraft. Segregated rental payments are used to pay scheduled principal and interest on the 2004 ECA Facility as they become due.

During 2010, ILFC entered into agreements to cross-collateralize the two ECA Facilities. In conjunction with the agreement, ILFC agreed to an acceleration event, which would accelerate debt related to the ten aircraft financed during 2010 if, among other things, ILFC were to sell aircraft with an aggregate net book value exceeding an agreed upon amount, currently approximately \$10.5 billion, within a period starting from the date of the agreement until December 31, 2012.

Borrowings with respect to these facilities are included in ILFC's notes and bonds payable in the preceding table of borrowings. New financings are no longer available to ILFC under either the 1999 or 2004 ECA facility.

(iv) Bank financings and other secured financings: At December 31, 2010, the total funded amount of ILFC's revolving credit facility was \$1.7 billion, of which approximately \$1.5 billion is secured. The maturity of the facility, as amended, is October 2011 for \$235 million and October 2012 for approximately \$1.5 billion. At December 31, 2010, the interest rates ranged from 0.95 percent to 2.45 percent. In addition, at December 31, 2010, ILFC has other secured financings of approximately \$5.3 billion that mature through 2018, with interest rates ranging from 3.41 percent to 7.13 percent.

On August 20, 2010, ILFC issued \$1.35 billion aggregate principal amount of 6.5 percent senior secured notes due September 1, 2014, \$1.275 billion of aggregate principal amount of 6.75 percent senior secured notes due September 1, 2016, and \$1.275 billion of aggregate principal amount of 7.125 percent senior secured notes due September 1, 2018. The proceeds from these debt issuances were used to repay loans from AIG, and then used by AIG to reduce the principal amount outstanding under the FRBNY Credit Facility.

In 2010, ILFC amended its \$2.5 billion revolving credit facility to, among other things, extend the maturity date of \$2.16 billion from October 2011 to October 2012, with the loan secured by aircraft with an initial loan-to-value ratio of 75 percent, and increased the interest rate by 150 basis points. In addition, ILFC pre-paid \$485 million of bank term debt with original maturity dates through 2012. In December 2010, ILFC paid down \$800 million of this revolving credit facility. The amended facility prohibits ILFC from re-borrowing amounts repaid under this facility for any reason; therefore the size of the outstanding facility is \$1.7 billion.

On March 17, 2010, ILFC entered into a \$750 million term loan agreement secured by 43 aircraft and all related equipment and leases. The loan matures on March 17, 2015, and bears interest at LIBOR plus a margin of 4.75 percent with a LIBOR floor of 2.0 percent. The principal of the loan is payable in full at maturity with no scheduled amortization; however, ILFC has the right to voluntarily prepay the loan at any time, subject to a 1.0 percent prepayment penalty prior to March 17, 2011. On March 17, 2010, ILFC also entered into an additional term loan agreement of \$550 million, of which \$63 million is subject to the satisfaction of certain collateralization milestones. The loan is secured by 37 aircraft and all related equipment and leases. The loan matures on March 17, 2016, and bears interest at LIBOR plus a margin of 5.0 percent with a LIBOR floor of 2.0 percent. The principal of the loan is payable in full at maturity with no scheduled amortization; however, ILFC has the right to voluntarily prepay the loan at any time, subject to a 2.0 percent prepayment penalty prior to March 17, 2011, and a 1.0 percent prepayment penalty prior to March 17, 2012.

AIG does not guarantee any of the debt obligations of ILFC.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**Other Notes, Bonds, Loans and Mortgages Payable, consisted of the following:**

| At December 31, 2010 (in millions) | Uncollateralized Notes/Bonds/Loans Payable | Collateralized Loans and Mortgages Payable |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| AIG | \$ 218 | \$ - |
| Other subsidiaries | 96 | 350 |
| Total | \$ 314 | \$ 350 |

16. Commitments, Contingencies and Guarantees

In the normal course of business, various commitments and contingent liabilities are entered into by AIG and certain of its subsidiaries. In addition, AIG guarantees various obligations of certain subsidiaries.

Although AIG cannot currently quantify its ultimate liability for unresolved litigation and investigation matters including those referred to below, it is possible that such liability could have a material adverse effect on AIG's consolidated financial condition or its consolidated results of operations or consolidated cash flows for an individual reporting period.

(a) Litigation and Investigations

Overview. AIG and its subsidiaries, in common with the insurance and financial services industries in general, are subject to litigation, including claims for punitive damages, in the normal course of their business. In AIG's insurance operations (including United Guaranty Corporation (UGC)), litigation arising from claims settlement activities is generally considered in the establishment of AIG's liability for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expense. However, the potential for increasing jury awards and settlements makes it difficult to assess the ultimate outcome of such litigation. AIG is also subject to derivative, class action and other claims asserted by its shareholders and others alleging, among other things, breach of fiduciary duties by its directors and officers and violations of federal and state securities laws. In the case of any derivative action brought on behalf of AIG, any recovery would accrue to the benefit of AIG.

Various regulatory and governmental agencies have been reviewing certain public disclosures, transactions and practices of AIG and its subsidiaries in connection with industry-wide and other inquiries into, among other matters, AIG's liquidity, compensation paid to certain employees, payments made to counterparties, and certain business practices and valuations of current and former operating insurance subsidiaries. AIG has cooperated, and will continue to cooperate, in producing documents and other information in response to subpoenas and other requests.

AIG's Subprime Exposure, Capital Markets Credit Default Swap Portfolio and Related Matters

AIG, AIGFP and certain directors and officers of AIG, AIGFP and other AIG subsidiaries have been named in various actions relating to AIG's exposure to the U.S. residential subprime mortgage market, unrealized market valuation losses on AIGFP's super senior credit default swap portfolio, losses and liquidity constraints relating to AIG's securities lending program and related disclosure and other matters (Subprime Exposure Issues).

Consolidated 2008 Securities Litigation. Between May 21, 2008 and January 15, 2009, eight purported securities class action complaints were filed against AIG and certain directors and officers of AIG and AIGFP, AIG's outside auditors, and the underwriters of various securities offerings in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (the Southern District of New York), alleging claims under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act) or claims under the Securities Act of 1933 (the Securities Act). On March 20, 2009, the Court consolidated all eight of the purported securities class actions as *In re American International Group, Inc. 2008 Securities Litigation* (the Consolidated 2008 Securities Litigation).

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

On May 19, 2009, lead plaintiff in the Consolidated 2008 Securities Litigation filed a consolidated complaint on behalf of purchasers of AIG stock during the alleged class period of March 16, 2006 through September 16, 2008, and on behalf of purchasers of various AIG securities offered pursuant to AIG's shelf registration statements. The consolidated complaint alleges that defendants made statements during the class period in press releases, AIG's quarterly and year-end filings, during conference calls, and in various registration statements and prospectuses in connection with the various offerings that were materially false and misleading and that artificially inflated the price of AIG's stock. The alleged false and misleading statements relate to, among other things, the Subprime Exposure Issues. The consolidated complaint alleges violations of Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Exchange Act and Sections 11, 12(a)(2), and 15 of the Securities Act. On August 5, 2009, defendants filed motions to dismiss the consolidated complaint, and on September 27, 2010 the Court denied the motions to dismiss.

On November 24, 2010 and December 10, 2010, AIG and all other defendants filed answers to the consolidated complaint denying the material allegations therein and asserting their defenses.

As of February 16, 2011, plaintiffs have not specified an amount of alleged damages, discovery has only recently commenced and the Court has not determined if a class action is appropriate or the size or scope of any class. As a result, AIG is unable to reasonably estimate the possible loss or range of losses, if any, arising from the litigation.

ERISA Actions Southern District of New York. Between June 25, 2008, and November 25, 2008, AIG, certain directors and officers of AIG, and members of AIG's Retirement Board and Investment Committee were named as defendants in eight purported class action complaints asserting claims on behalf of participants in certain pension plans sponsored by AIG or its subsidiaries. On March 19, 2009, the Court consolidated these eight actions as *In re American International Group, Inc. ERISA Litigation II*. On June 26, 2009, lead plaintiffs' counsel filed a consolidated amended complaint. The action purports to be brought as a class action under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended (ERISA) on behalf of all participants in or beneficiaries of certain benefit plans of AIG and its subsidiaries that offered shares of AIG's common stock. In the consolidated amended complaint, plaintiffs allege, among other things, that the defendants breached their fiduciary responsibilities to plan participants and their beneficiaries under ERISA, by continuing to offer the AIG Stock Fund as an investment option in the plans after it allegedly became imprudent to do so. The alleged ERISA violations relate to, among other things, the defendants' purported failure to monitor and/or disclose certain matters, including the Subprime Exposure Issues. On September 18, 2009, defendants filed motions to dismiss the consolidated amended complaint, and those motions are pending.

As of February 16, 2011, plaintiffs have not specified an amount of alleged damages and the Court has not decided defendants' motions to dismiss, or determined if a class action is appropriate or the size or scope of any class. As a result, AIG is unable to reasonably estimate the possible loss or range of losses, if any, arising from the litigation.

Consolidated 2007 Derivative Litigation. On November 20, 2007 and August 6, 2008, purported shareholder derivative actions were filed in the Southern District of New York naming as defendants directors and officers of AIG and its subsidiaries and asserting claims on behalf of nominal defendant AIG. The actions have been consolidated as *In re American International Group, Inc. 2007 Derivative Litigation* (the Consolidated 2007 Derivative Litigation). On June 3, 2009, lead plaintiff filed a consolidated amended complaint naming additional directors and officers of AIG and its subsidiaries as defendants. As amended, the factual allegations include the Subprime Exposure Issues and AIG and AIGFP employee retention payments and related compensation issues. The claims asserted on behalf of nominal defendant AIG include breach of fiduciary duty, waste of corporate assets, unjust enrichment, contribution and violations of Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Exchange Act. On August 5 and 26, 2009, AIG and defendants filed motions to dismiss the consolidated amended complaint. On December 18, 2009, a separate action, previously commenced in the United States District Court for the Central District of California (Central District of California) and transferred to the Southern District of New York on June 5, 2009, was consolidated into the Consolidated 2007 Derivative Litigation and dismissed without prejudice to the pursuit of the claims in the Consolidated 2007 Derivative Litigation.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

On March 30, 2010, the Court dismissed the action due to plaintiff's failure to make a pre-suit demand on AIG's Board of Directors. On March 31, 2010, judgment was entered. On April 29, 2010, plaintiff filed a notice of appeal to the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit (the Second Circuit).

Other Derivative Actions. Separate purported derivative actions, alleging similar claims as the Consolidated 2007 Derivative Litigation, have been brought asserting claims on behalf of the nominal defendant AIG in various jurisdictions. These actions are all stayed pending further proceedings in the Consolidated 2007 Derivative Litigation (except one of the Superior Court of California, Los Angeles County cases, which was voluntarily dismissed on January 10, 2011, and the Supreme Court of New York, New York County case, in which no defendant has been served). These actions are described below:

Supreme Court of New York, Nassau County. On February 29, 2008, a purported shareholder derivative complaint was filed in the Supreme Court of Nassau County, naming as defendants certain directors and officers of AIG and its subsidiaries.

Supreme Court of New York, New York County. On March 20, 2009, a purported shareholder derivative complaint was filed in the Supreme Court of New York County naming as defendants certain directors and officers of AIG and recipients of AIGFP retention payments. The complaint has not been served on any defendant.

Delaware Court of Chancery. On September 17, 2008, a purported shareholder derivative complaint was filed in the Delaware Court of Chancery, naming as defendants certain directors and officers of AIG and its subsidiaries.

Delaware Court of Chancery. On January 15, 2009, a purported shareholder derivative complaint was filed in the Delaware Court of Chancery, naming as defendants certain directors of AIG and Joseph Cassano, the former Chief Executive Officer of AIGFP.

Superior Court for the State of California, Los Angeles County. On April 1, 2009 and November 20, 2009, two purported shareholder derivative complaints were filed in the Superior Court for the State of California, Los Angeles County, naming as defendants certain directors and officers of AIG and its subsidiaries.

Southern District of New York. On January 4, 2011, Wanda Mimms, a participant in the AIG Incentive Savings Plan (the "Plan"), filed a purported derivative action on behalf of the Plan in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York against PricewaterhouseCoopers, LLP (PwC) and asserting a claim for professional malpractice in conducting audits of AIG's 2007 financial statements. The complaint also asserts a claim for breach of fiduciary duty under ERISA against members of AIG's Board of Directors for failing to pursue a claim for professional malpractice on behalf of the Plan against PwC.

As of February 16, 2011, plaintiff has not specified an amount of alleged damages and no motions to dismiss have been filed by defendants. As a result, AIG is unable to reasonably estimate the possible loss or range of losses, if any, arising from the litigation.

Canadian Securities Class Action Ontario Superior Court of Justice. On November 12, 2008, an application was filed in the Ontario Superior Court of Justice for leave to bring a purported class action against AIG, AIGFP, certain directors and officers of AIG and Joseph Cassano, the former Chief Executive Officer of AIGFP, pursuant to the Ontario Securities Act. If the Court grants the application, a class plaintiff will be permitted to file a statement of claim against defendants. The proposed statement of claim would assert a class period of November 10, 2006 through September 16, 2008 (later amended to March 16, 2006 through September 16, 2008) and would allege that during this period defendants made false and misleading statements and omissions in quarterly and annual reports and during oral presentations in violation of the Ontario Securities Act.

On April 17, 2009, defendants filed a motion record in support of their motion to stay or dismiss for lack of jurisdiction and forum non conveniens. On July 12, 2010, the Court adjourned a hearing on the motion pending a decision by the Supreme Court of Canada in another

action with respect to similar issues raised in the action pending against AIG.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

As of February 16, 2011, plaintiff has not specified an amount of alleged damages and the Court has not determined whether it has jurisdiction or granted plaintiff's application to file a statement of claim. As a result, AIG is unable to reasonably estimate the possible loss or range of losses, if any, arising from the litigation.

Other Litigation Related to AIGFP

On September 30, 2009, Brookfield Asset Management, Inc. and Brysons International, Ltd. (together, Brookfield) filed a complaint against AIG and AIGFP in the Southern District of New York. Brookfield seeks a declaration that a 1990 interest rate swap agreement between Brookfield and AIGFP (guaranteed by AIG) terminated upon the occurrence of certain alleged events that Brookfield contends constituted defaults under the swap agreement's standard "bankruptcy" default provision. Brookfield claims that it is excused from all future payment obligations under the swap agreement on the basis of the purported termination. At December 31, 2010, the estimated present value of expected future cash flows discounted at LIBOR was \$1.3 billion, which represents AIG's maximum contractual loss from the alleged termination of the contract. It is AIG's position that no termination event has occurred and that the swap agreement remains in effect. A determination that a termination event has occurred could result in AIG losing its entitlement to all future payments under the swap agreement and result in a loss to AIG of the full value at which AIG is carrying the swap agreement.

A determination that AIG triggered a "bankruptcy" event of default under the swap agreement could also, depending on the Court's precise holding, affect other AIG or AIGFP agreements that contain the same or similar default provisions. Such a determination could also affect derivative agreements or other contracts between third parties, such as credit default swaps under which AIG is a reference credit, which could affect the trading price of AIG securities.

On December 17, 2009 defendants filed a motion to dismiss. On September 28, 2010, the Court issued a decision granting defendants' motion in part and denying it in part, holding that the complaint: (i) failed to allege that an event of default had occurred based upon defendants' failure to pay or inability to pay debts as they became due; but, (ii) sufficiently alleged that an event of default had occurred based upon other sections of the swap agreement's "bankruptcy" default provision. On January 26, 2011, Brookfield filed an amended complaint that seeks to reassert, on the basis of additional factual allegations, the claims that were dismissed from the initial complaint. On February 9, 2011, AIG filed a motion to dismiss the claim that Brookfield seeks to reassert in its amended complaint.

Securities Lending Dispute with Transatlantic Holdings Inc.

On May 24, 2010, Transatlantic Holdings, Inc. (Transatlantic) and two of its subsidiaries, Transatlantic Reinsurance Company and Trans Re Zurich Reinsurance Company Ltd. (collectively, Claimants), commenced an arbitration proceeding before the American Arbitration Association in New York against AIG and two of its subsidiaries (the AIG Respondents). Claimants allege breach of contract, breach of fiduciary duty, and common law fraud in connection with certain securities lending agency agreements between AIG's subsidiaries and Claimants. Claimants allege that AIG and its subsidiaries should be liable for the losses that Claimants purport to have suffered in connection with securities lending and investment activities, and seek damages of \$350 million and other unspecified damages.

On June 29, 2010, AIG brought a petition in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, seeking to enjoin the arbitration on the ground that AIG is not a party to the securities lending agency agreements with Claimants. On July 29, 2010, the parties agreed to resolve that petition by consolidating the arbitration commenced by Claimants with a separate arbitration, commenced by AIG on June 29, 2010, in which AIG is seeking damages of Euro 17.6 million (\$23.5 million at the December 31, 2010 exchange rate) from Transatlantic for breach of a Master Separation Agreement among Transatlantic, AIG and one of its subsidiary companies.

On September 13, 2010, the AIG Respondents submitted an answer to Claimants' claims asserting, among other things, that there was no breach of the securities lending agency agreements, and that Claimants' other allegations including purported breach of fiduciary duty and fraud are not meritorious. Transatlantic submitted an answer

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

denying liability with respect to AIG's claim on September 13, 2010. The arbitration hearing is scheduled for December 2011. Because of the stage of the proceeding and the wide difference in damages sought by the parties, AIG is unable to reasonably estimate the possible loss or range of losses, if any, arising from this arbitration.

Employment Litigation against AIG and AIG Global Real Estate Investment Corporation

On December 9, 2009, AIG Global Real Estate Investment Corporation's (AIGGRE) former President, Kevin P. Fitzpatrick, several entities he controls, and various other single purpose entities (the SPEs) filed a complaint in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, New York County against AIG and AIGGRE. The case was removed to the Southern District of New York, and an amended complaint was filed on March 8, 2010. The amended complaint asserts that AIG and AIGGRE violated fiduciary duties to Fitzpatrick and his controlled entities and breached Fitzpatrick's employment agreement and agreements of SPEs that purportedly entitled him to carried interest fees arising out of the sale or disposition of certain real estate. Fitzpatrick has also brought derivative claims on behalf of the SPEs, purporting to allege that AIG and AIGGRE breached contractual and fiduciary duties in failing to fund the SPEs with various amounts allegedly due under the SPE agreements. Fitzpatrick has also requested injunctive relief, an accounting, and that a receiver be appointed to manage the affairs of the SPEs. He has further alleged that the SPEs are subject to a constructive trust. Fitzpatrick also has alleged a violation of ERISA relating to retirement benefits purportedly due. Fitzpatrick has claimed that his damages are at least \$275 million, but has not provided an updated and finalized damage claim.

AIG and AIGGRE filed counterclaims against Fitzpatrick and a motion to dismiss. On September 28, 2010, the Court dismissed the defendants' counterclaims, and denied defendants' motion to dismiss. The case is currently in discovery, and trial is set for May 10, 2011.

Because discovery remains to be completed, AIG is unable to reasonably estimate the possible loss or range of losses, if any, arising from the litigation.

ALICO Life International Limited's Italian Internal Fund Suspensions

Certain policyholders of certain unit-linked funds offered by the Italian branch of ALICO Life International Limited (ALIL), the Irish subsidiary of ALICO, have either commenced or threatened litigation against ALIL's Italian branch as a result of the suspension of withdrawals from and diminution in value of those funds since late 2008, alleging damages for misrepresentation, mis-selling, improper or inadequate disclosures and other related claims against ALIL. Most of the lawsuits remain in the early stages of litigation.

In March 2010, ALIL learned that the public prosecutor in Milan had opened a formal investigation into the actions of employees and former employees of ALIL, as well as employees of ALIL's major distributor, based on a policyholder's complaint. The policyholder's complaint underlying the investigation was withdrawn in November 2010.

ALIL is continuing its cooperation with the Italian and Irish regulatory authorities, which have jurisdiction in connection with this matter, and is in discussions to address their concerns.

Under the terms of the ALICO Stock Purchase Agreement, pursuant to which MetLife has acquired ALICO as of November 1, 2010, AIG has agreed to indemnify MetLife and its affiliates in respect of any third party claims and regulatory fines associated with ALIL's suspended funds.

Settlement Agreement with the Starr Parties

On November 25, 2009, a settlement agreement and memorandum of understanding (the AIG/Greenberg MOU) was entered into by AIG, on the one hand, and AIG's former Chief Executive Officer, Maurice R. Greenberg, AIG's former Chief Financial Officer, Howard I. Smith, C.V. Starr & Company, Inc. (C.V. Starr) and Starr International Company, Inc. (SICO), on the other hand (the Starr Parties). Under the terms of the AIG/Greenberg MOU, the parties have agreed to release each other from all claims, including any claims by Greenberg and Smith against AIG for indemnification of future legal fees and expenses, or settlement costs.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

In addition, pursuant to the AIG/Greenberg MOU:

SICO agreed to undertake to dismiss with prejudice an action it brought against AIG in the Tribuna del Circuito Civil, Panama City, Panama. On February 10, 2010, the parties filed a joint request to dismiss the case. On March 2, 2010, the Court posted its approval of the dismissal of claims and the action was terminated.

AIG agreed to undertake to dismiss with prejudice its direct claims against Greenberg and Smith in the Delaware 2004/2005 Derivative Litigation. On February 5, 2010, AIG, Greenberg and Smith submitted a stipulation to the Court dismissing AIG's direct claims against Greenberg and Smith.

The Starr Parties have taken the position that the AIG/Greenberg MOU also releases certain of the derivative claims being pursued by the shareholder plaintiffs in the Delaware 2004/2005 Derivative Litigation and the New York 2004/2005 Derivative Litigation. AIG has taken the opposite position. The Delaware 2004/2005 Derivative Litigation and the New York 2004/2005 Derivative Litigation are described below under "Litigation Related to the Matters Underlying the 2006 Regulatory Settlements."

2006 Regulatory Settlements and Related Regulatory Matters

2006 Regulatory Settlements. In February 2006, AIG reached a resolution of claims and matters under investigation with the DOJ, the SEC, the Office of the New York Attorney General (NYAG) and the New York State Department of Insurance (DOI). The settlements resolved investigations conducted by the SEC, NYAG and DOI in connection with the accounting, financial reporting and insurance brokerage practices of AIG and its subsidiaries, as well as claims relating to the underpayment of certain workers' compensation premium taxes and other assessments. These settlements did not, however, resolve investigations by regulators from other states into insurance brokerage practices related to contingent commissions and other broker-related conduct, such as alleged bid rigging. Nor did the settlements resolve any obligations that AIG may have to state guarantee funds in connection with any of these matters.

As a result of these settlements, AIG made payments or placed amounts in escrow in 2006 totaling approximately \$1.64 billion, \$225 million of which represented fines and penalties.

In addition to the escrowed funds, \$800 million was deposited into, and subsequently disbursed by, a fund under the supervision of the SEC, to resolve claims asserted against AIG by investors, including the securities class action and shareholder lawsuits described below. Amounts held in escrow totaling approximately \$338 million, including interest thereon, (The Workers' Compensation Fund), are included in Other assets at December 31, 2010, and are specifically designated to satisfy liabilities related to workers' compensation premium reporting issues.

Also, as part of the settlements, AIG agreed to retain, for a period of three years which has been extended through June 30, 2011, an independent consultant to conduct a review that included, among other things, the adequacy of AIG's internal control over financial reporting, the policies, procedures and effectiveness of AIG's regulatory, compliance and legal functions and the remediation plan that AIG implemented as a result of its own internal review.

Other Regulatory Settlements. AIG's 2006 regulatory settlements with the SEC, DOJ, NYAG and DOI did not resolve investigations by regulators from other states into insurance brokerage practices. AIG entered into agreements effective in early 2008 with the Attorneys General of the States of Florida, Hawaii, Maryland, Michigan, Oregon, Texas and West Virginia; the Commonwealths of Massachusetts and Pennsylvania; and the District of Columbia; as well as the Florida Department of Financial Services and the Florida Office of Insurance Regulation, relating to their respective industry-wide investigations into producer compensation and insurance placement practices. The settlements called for total payments of \$26 million by AIG, of which \$4.4 million was paid under previous settlement agreements. During the term of the settlement agreements, which run through early 2018, AIG will continue to maintain certain producer compensation disclosure and ongoing compliance initiatives. AIG will also continue to cooperate with the industry-wide investigations. On April 7, 2010, it was announced that AIG and the Ohio Attorney General entered into a settlement agreement to resolve the Ohio

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Attorney General's claim concerning producer compensation and insurance placement practices. AIG paid the Ohio Attorney General \$9 million as part of that settlement.

NAIC Examination of Workers' Compensation Premium Reporting. During 2006, the Settlement Review Working Group of the National Association of Insurance Commissioners (NAIC), under the direction of the States of Indiana, Minnesota and Rhode Island, began an investigation into AIG's reporting of workers' compensation premiums. In late 2007, the Settlement Review Working Group recommended that a multi-state targeted market conduct examination focusing on workers' compensation insurance be commenced under the direction of the NAIC's Market Analysis Working Group. AIG was informed of the multi-state targeted market conduct examination in January 2008. The lead states in the multi-state examination are Delaware, Florida, Indiana, Massachusetts, Minnesota, New York, Pennsylvania, and Rhode Island. All other states (and the District of Columbia) have agreed to participate in the multi-state examination. The examination focused on legacy issues related to AIG's writing and reporting of workers' compensation insurance prior to 1996 and current compliance with legal requirements applicable to such business.

On December 17, 2010, AIG and the lead states reached an agreement to settle all regulatory liabilities arising out of the subjects of the multistate examination. The regulatory settlement agreement includes, among other terms, (i) AIG's payment of \$100 million in regulatory fines and penalties; (ii) AIG's payment of \$46.5 million in outstanding premium taxes; (iii) AIG's agreement to enter into a compliance plan describing agreed-upon specific steps and standards for evaluating AIG's ongoing compliance with state regulations governing the setting of workers' compensation insurance premium rates and the reporting of workers' compensation premiums; and (iv) AIG's agreement to pay up to \$150 million in contingent fines in the event that AIG fails to comply substantially with the compliance plan requirements. The \$146.5 million in fines, penalties and premium taxes can be funded out of the \$338 million held in the Workers' Compensation Fund to the extent that such monies have not already been used to fund the class action settlement discussed below. The regulatory settlement is contingent upon and will not become effective until, among other events: (i) at least 43 states sign on to the regulatory settlement agreement; (ii) a final, court-approved settlement is reached in all the lawsuits that comprise the Workers' Compensation Premium Reporting Litigation, discussed below, including the putative class action, except that such settlement need not resolve claims between AIG and the Liberty Mutual Group in order for the regulatory settlement to become effective, and (iii) a settlement is reached and consummated between AIG and certain state insurance guaranty funds that may assert claims against AIG for underpayment of guaranty-fund assessments.

AIG has established a reserve equal to the amounts payable under the proposed settlement.

Litigation Related to the Matters Underlying the 2006 Regulatory Settlements

AIG and certain present and former directors and officers of AIG have been named in various actions related to the matters underlying the 2006 Regulatory Settlements. These actions are described below.

The Consolidated 2004 Securities Litigation. Beginning in October 2004, a number of putative securities fraud class action suits were filed in the Southern District of New York against AIG and consolidated as *In re American International Group, Inc. Securities Litigation* (the Consolidated 2004 Securities Litigation). Subsequently, a separate, though similar, securities fraud action was also brought against AIG by certain Florida pension funds. The lead plaintiff in the Consolidated 2004 Securities Litigation is a group of public retirement systems and pension funds benefiting Ohio state employees, suing on behalf of themselves and all purchasers of AIG's publicly traded securities between October 28, 1999 and April 1, 2005. The named defendants are AIG and a number of present and former AIG officers and directors, as well as Starr, SICO, General Reinsurance Corporation (General Re), and PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP (PwC), among others. The lead plaintiff alleges, among other things, that AIG: (1) concealed that it engaged in anti-competitive conduct through alleged payment of contingent commissions to brokers and participation in illegal bid-rigging; (2) concealed that it used "income smoothing" products and other techniques to inflate its earnings; (3) concealed that it marketed and sold "income smoothing" insurance products to other companies; and (4) misled investors about the scope of government investigations. In addition, the lead plaintiff alleges that Greenberg manipulated AIG's stock price. The lead plaintiff asserts claims

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

for violations of Sections 11 and 15 of the Securities Act, Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder, and Sections 20(a) and Section 20A of the Exchange Act.

In October 2009, the lead plaintiff advised the Court that it had entered into a settlement agreement with Greenberg, Smith, Christian M. Milton, Michael J. Castelli, SICO and Starr. At the lead plaintiff's request, the Court has entered an order dismissing all of the lead plaintiff's claims against these defendants "without prejudice" to any party. The settlement agreement between lead plaintiff and these defendants was filed with the Court on January 6, 2011.

On February 22, 2010, the Court issued an opinion granting, in part, lead plaintiffs' motion for class certification. The Court rejected lead plaintiffs' request to include in the class purchasers of certain AIG bonds and declined to certify a class with respect to certain counts of the complaint and dismissed those claims for lack of standing. With respect to the remaining claims under the Exchange Act on behalf of putative class members who had purchased AIG Common Stock, the Court declined to certify a class as to certain defendants other than AIG and rejected lead plaintiffs' claims that class members could establish injury based on disclosures on two of the six dates lead plaintiffs had proposed, but certified a class consisting of all shareholders who purchased or otherwise acquired AIG Common Stock during the class period of October 28, 1999 to April 1, 2005, and who possessed that stock over one or more of the dates October 14, 2004, October 15, 2004, March 17, 2005 or April 1, 2005, as well as persons who held AIG Common Stock in two companies at the time they were acquired by AIG in exchange for AIG Common Stock, and were allegedly damaged thereby. In light of the class certification decision, on March 5, 2010, the Court denied as moot General Re's and lead plaintiffs' motion to certify their proposed settlement, and on March 18, 2010, PwC withdrew its motion to approve its proposed settlement with lead plaintiffs. Lead plaintiffs and AIG each filed petitions requesting permission to file an interlocutory appeal of the class certification decision. AIG, General Re, Richard Napier and Ronald Ferguson each filed opposition briefs to lead plaintiffs' petition.

On May 17, 2010, PwC and lead plaintiffs jointly moved for final approval of their settlement as proposed prior to class certification. On November 30, 2010, the Court approved the settlement between lead plaintiffs and PwC. On December 13, 2010, four shareholders filed a notice of appeal of the final judgment. The appeal is currently pending in the Second Circuit.

On June 23, 2010, General Re and lead plaintiffs jointly moved for preliminary approval of their settlement. On September 10, 2010, the Court issued an opinion denying the motion for preliminary approval and, on September 23, 2010, the Court dismissed the lead plaintiffs' causes of action with respect to General Re. On October 21, 2010, lead plaintiffs filed a notice of appeal of the Court's September 23, 2010 order dismissing the claims against the Gen Re defendants, as well as the March 4, 2010 order refusing to preliminarily approve a settlement with the Gen Re defendants, and the February 22, 2010 class certification order to the extent it denied class certification for the claims against the Gen Re defendants.

On June 28, 2010, the Second Circuit granted AIG's petition seeking permission to file an interlocutory appeal of the class certification decision, and denied the petition by lead plaintiffs. On September 1, 2010, AIG and lead plaintiffs entered into a stipulation to withdraw AIG's interlocutory appeal without prejudice to reinstate the appeal in the future, which has been endorsed by the Second Circuit. On February 4, 2011, AIG and lead plaintiffs entered into a stipulation to extend the time by which the appeal must be reinstated, which has been endorsed by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit.

On July 14, 2010, AIG approved the terms of a settlement (the Settlement) with lead plaintiffs. The Settlement is conditioned on, among other things, court approval and a minimum level of shareholder participation. Under the terms of the Settlement, if consummated, AIG will pay an aggregate of \$725 million, \$175 million of which is to be paid into escrow within ten days of preliminary court approval. AIG's obligation to fund the remainder of the settlement amount is conditioned on its having consummated one or more common stock offerings raising net proceeds of at least \$550 million prior to final court approval (Qualified Offering). AIG has agreed to use best efforts, consistent with the fiduciary duties of AIG's management and Board of Directors, to effect a Qualified Offering, but the decision as to whether market conditions or pending or contemplated corporate transactions

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

make it commercially reasonable to proceed with such an offering will be within AIG's unilateral discretion. In the event that AIG effects a registered secondary offering of common stock on behalf of the Department of the Treasury resulting in the Department of the Treasury receiving proceeds of at least \$550 million, then market access will be deemed to have been demonstrated and AIG shall be deemed to have consummated a Qualified Offering. AIG, in its sole discretion, also may fund the \$550 million from other sources. If AIG does not fund the \$550 million before final court approval of the Settlement, lead plaintiffs may terminate the agreement, elect to acquire freely transferable shares of AIG Common Stock with a market value of \$550 million provided AIG is able to obtain all necessary approvals, or extend the period for AIG to complete a Qualified Offering.

On July 20, 2010, at the joint request of AIG and lead plaintiffs, the District Court entered an order staying all deadlines in the case. On November 30, 2010, AIG and lead plaintiffs executed their agreement of settlement and compromise. On November 30, 2010, lead plaintiffs filed a motion for preliminary approval of the settlement with AIG, which is currently pending.

As of December 31, 2010, AIG has an accrued liability for the full amount of the Settlement.

The New York 2004/2005 Derivative Litigation. Between October 25, 2004 and July 14, 2005, seven separate derivative actions were filed in the Southern District of New York, five of which were consolidated into a single action (the New York 2004/2005 Derivative Litigation). The complaint in this action contains nearly the same types of allegations made in the Consolidated 2004 Securities Litigation. The named defendants include current and former officers and directors of AIG, as well as Marsh & McLennan Companies, Inc. (Marsh), SICO, Starr, ACE Limited and subsidiaries (Ace), General Re, PwC, and certain employees or officers of these entity defendants. Plaintiffs assert claims for breach of fiduciary duty, gross mismanagement, waste of corporate assets, unjust enrichment, insider selling, auditor breach of contract, auditor professional negligence and disgorgement from Greenberg and Smith of incentive-based compensation and AIG share proceeds under Section 304 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, among others. Plaintiffs seek, among other things, compensatory damages, corporate governance reforms, and a voiding of the election of certain AIG directors. AIG's Board of Directors has appointed a special committee of independent directors (Special Committee) to review the matters asserted in the operative consolidated derivative complaint. The Court has entered an order staying this action pending resolution of the Delaware 2004/2005 Derivative Litigation discussed below. The Court also has entered an order that termination of certain named defendants from the Delaware action applies to this action without further order of the Court. On February 26, 2009, the Court dismissed those AIG officer and director defendants against whom the shareholder plaintiffs in the Delaware action had not pursued claims.

Under the AIG/Greenberg MOU, AIG agreed to undertake to dismiss with prejudice its claims against Greenberg and Smith in the New York 2004/2005 Derivative Litigation. The Starr Parties have taken the position that the AIG/Greenberg MOU also releases the derivative claims being pursued by the shareholder plaintiffs in this litigation. AIG has taken the opposite position.

On August 25, 2010, AIG entered into a settlement agreement with the other parties to the derivative litigations which was submitted by plaintiffs to the Delaware Court of Chancery on August 26, 2010. The settlement is conditioned on a separate agreement with AIG's directors and officers liability (D&O) insurers, under which the insurers would pay \$150 million, \$90 million of which would fund the settlement of the derivative claims and which, after the deduction of expenses and plaintiffs' counsel's attorneys' fees, would be paid to AIG. The remaining \$60 million would be used to cover attorneys' fees and expenses incurred by Maurice Greenberg and Howard Smith. On November 11, 2010, the terms of the settlement agreement were agreed, and on January 25, 2011 the Delaware Court approved the settlement agreement and dismissed the suit with prejudice. Pursuant to the terms of the settlement agreement, after dismissal of the Delaware 2004/2005 Derivative Litigation, the plaintiffs in the New York 2004/2005 Derivative Litigation and the Supreme Court of New York Derivative Action sought dismissal of those actions. On February 4, 2011, plaintiffs in the New York 2004/2005 Derivative Litigation filed an unopposed motion to dismiss the suit with prejudice and for an award of attorneys' fees and expenses as well as supporting declarations and a memorandum of law. On February 4, 2011, the parties to the Supreme

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Court of New York Derivative Action submitted a stipulation and proposed order dismissing the case with prejudice.

The Delaware 2004/2005 Derivative Litigation. From October 2004 to April 2005, AIG shareholders filed five derivative complaints in the Delaware Chancery Court. All of these derivative lawsuits were consolidated into a single action as *In re American International Group, Inc. Consolidated Derivative Litigation* (the Delaware 2004/2005 Derivative Litigation). The amended consolidated complaint named 43 defendants (not including nominal defendant AIG) who, as in the New York 2004/2005 Derivative Litigation, were current and former officers and directors of AIG, as well as other entities and certain of their current and former employees and directors. The factual allegations, legal claims and relief sought in this action are similar to those alleged in the New York 2004/2005 Derivative Litigation, except that the claims are only under state law.

In early 2007, the Court approved an agreement that AIG be realigned as plaintiff, and, on June 13, 2007, acting on the direction of the Special Committee, AIG filed an amended complaint against former directors and officers Greenberg and Smith, alleging breach of fiduciary duty and indemnification. Also on June 13, 2007, the Special Committee filed a motion to terminate the litigation as to certain defendants, while taking no action as to others. Defendants Greenberg and Smith filed answers to AIG's complaint and brought third-party complaints against certain current and former AIG directors and officers, PwC and INS Regulatory Insurance Services, Inc. On September 28, 2007, AIG and the shareholder plaintiffs filed a combined amended complaint in which AIG continued to assert claims against defendants Greenberg and Smith and took no position as to the claims asserted by the shareholder plaintiffs in the remainder of the combined amended complaint. In that pleading, the shareholder plaintiffs are no longer pursuing claims against certain AIG officers and directors. On February 12, 2008, the Court granted AIG's motion to stay discovery pending the resolution of claims against AIG in the Consolidated 2004 Securities Litigation.

On April 11, 2008, the shareholder plaintiffs filed the First Amended Combined Complaint, which added claims against former AIG directors and officers Greenberg, Edward Matthews, and Thomas Tizzio for breach of fiduciary duty based on alleged bid-rigging in the municipal derivatives market. On June 13, 2008, certain defendants filed motions to dismiss the shareholder plaintiffs' portions of the complaint. On February 10, 2009, the Court denied the motions to dismiss filed by Greenberg, Matthews, and Tizzio; granted the motion to dismiss filed by PwC without prejudice; and granted the motion to dismiss filed by certain former employees of AIG without prejudice for lack of personal jurisdiction. On March 6, 2009, the Court granted an Order of Dismissal, Notice and Order of Voluntary Dismissal and Stipulation and Order of Dismissal to dismiss those individual defendants who were similarly situated to the individuals dismissed by the Court for lack of personal jurisdiction. On March 12, 2009, Defendant Greenberg filed his verified answer to AIG's complaint; cross-claims against Marsh, ACE, General Re, and Tizzio and a third-party complaint against certain current and former AIG directors and officers, as well as INS Regulatory Insurance Services, Inc. Defendant Smith has also filed his answer to AIG's complaint, which was amended on July 9, 2009 to add cross-claims against Tizzio and third-party claims against certain current and former AIG directors and officers, as well as INS Regulatory Insurance Services, Inc. On June 17, 2009, the Court issued an opinion granting the motions to dismiss filed by General Re, Marsh, ACE, and Susan Rivera. On July 13, 2009 and July 17, 2009, the Court entered final judgments in favor of PwC, General Re, Marsh, ACE, and Susan Rivera. Shortly thereafter, the shareholder plaintiffs filed separate appeals: one addressing the dismissal of PwC, and the other addressing the dismissals of ACE, General Re, and Marsh. The Delaware Supreme Court certified the question to the New York Court of Appeals as to whether, under certain circumstances, New York's *in pari delicto* doctrine would bar a derivative claim against a corporation's accountants for negligently failing to uncover a fraud by the corporation. On October 21, 2010 the New York Court of Appeals affirmatively answered the certified question.

On November 10, 2009, the Delaware Supreme Court granted AIG's motion to consolidate the appeal of its dismissal from the *In re Marsh Derivative Litigation* (see below, "*Derivative Action - Delaware Chancery Court (Marsh)*") with the appeal of the dismissals of Marsh, General Re and ACE from the Delaware 2004/2005 Derivative Litigation, and subsequently issued an order notifying the parties that the appeal would be heard by the

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Court *en banc*. On December 29, 2010, the Delaware Supreme Court affirmed the Chancery Court's decision dismissing claims against AIG, General Re, Marsh, and ACE.

On February 5, 2010, a stipulation of dismissal was filed with the Court dismissing AIG's direct claims against Greenberg and Smith, pursuant to the AIG/Greenberg MOU. On February 10, 2010, the shareholder plaintiffs informed the Court that they did not object to the dismissal of AIG's direct claims against Greenberg and Smith, but stated that the dismissal did not apply to their claim against Greenberg and Smith, and further stated that they intended to seek attorneys' fees for having initiated the claims against Greenberg and Smith. The Starr Parties have taken the position that the AIG/Greenberg MOU releases the derivative claims being pursued by the shareholder plaintiffs; AIG has taken the opposite position.

This action is also subject to the conditional settlement between the parties to the derivative actions, reached on August 25, 2010 (see *The New York 2004/2005 Derivative Litigation* herein).

Derivative Action Supreme Court of New York. On February 11, 2009, shareholder plaintiffs in the Delaware 2004/2005 Derivative Litigation filed a derivative complaint in the Supreme Court of New York against the individual defendants who moved to dismiss the complaint in the Delaware 2004/2005 Derivative Litigation on personal jurisdiction grounds. The defendants include current and former officers and employees of AIG, Marsh, and General Re; AIG is named as a nominal defendant. The complaint in this action contains similar allegations to those made in the Delaware 2004/2005 Derivative Litigation described above. Defendants filed motions to dismiss the complaint on May 1, 2009. The shareholder plaintiffs have reached an agreement staying discovery as well as any motions to dismiss the General Re and Marsh defendants pending final adjudication of any claims against those parties in the Delaware 2004/2005 Derivative Litigation. The individual defendants have also filed motions to dismiss. Pursuant to the stipulation of settlement executed by the parties (see *The New York 2004/2005 Derivative Litigation* herein), the Court denied all pending motions to dismiss as moot.

This action is also subject to the conditional settlement between the parties to the derivative actions, reached on August 25, 2010 (see *The New York 2004/2005 Derivative Litigation* herein).

Derivative Action Delaware Chancery Court (Marsh). AIG was also named as a defendant in a derivative action in the Delaware Chancery Court brought by shareholders of Marsh. On July 10, 2008, shareholder plaintiffs filed a second consolidated amended complaint, which contains claims against AIG for aiding and abetting a breach of fiduciary duty and contribution and indemnification in connection with alleged bid-rigging and steering practices in the commercial insurance market that are the subject of the Multi-District Litigation described below. On November 10, 2008, AIG and certain defendants filed motions to dismiss the shareholder plaintiffs' portions of the complaint. On June 17, 2009, the Court dismissed the claims against AIG, Greenberg, and Zachary Carter with prejudice and denied the motions to dismiss filed by the remaining defendants. The shareholder plaintiffs filed their notice of appeal on October 1, 2009. AIG moved to consolidate the appeal with the appeal of the dismissal of ACE, General Re, and Marsh in the Delaware 2004/2005 Derivative Litigation. The shareholders of Marsh moved to stay this appeal pending the decision in the appeal of the dismissal of ACE, General Re, and Marsh in the Delaware 2004/2005 Derivative Litigation. On November 10, 2009, the Delaware Supreme Court granted AIG's motion to consolidate the appeals for the purposes of oral argument and denied the Marsh shareholders' motion to stay. On February 22, 2010, the Court issued an order notifying the parties that the appeal would be heard by the Court *en banc*.

On December 22, 2009, the Marsh shareholder plaintiffs filed a stipulation of settlement, resolving their claims against the Marsh defendants.

On October 21, 2010, the Delaware Supreme Court asked the parties to brief the New York Court of Appeals' answer to the certified question regarding the dismissal of PwC from the *Delaware 2004/2005 Derivative Litigation* on the grounds of *in pari delicto*. The Delaware Supreme Court heard oral argument on the Marsh shareholders' appeal against AIG on December 15, 2010, and on December 29, 2010, the Delaware Supreme Court affirmed the Chancery Court's decision dismissing claims against AIG.

In light of the decision by the Delaware Supreme Court, this matter has concluded without any liability to AIG.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The Multi-District Litigation. Commencing in 2004, policyholders brought multiple federal antitrust and RICO class actions in jurisdictions across the nation against insurers and brokers, including AIG and a number of its subsidiaries, alleging that the insurers and brokers engaged in one or more broad conspiracies to allocate customers, steer business, and rig bids. These actions, including 24 complaints filed in different federal courts naming AIG or an AIG subsidiary as a defendant, were consolidated by the judicial panel on multi-district litigation and transferred to the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey (District of New Jersey) for coordinated pretrial proceedings. The consolidated actions have proceeded in that Court in two parallel actions, In re Insurance Brokerage Antitrust Litigation (the Commercial Complaint) and In re Employee Benefits Insurance Brokerage Antitrust Litigation (the Employee Benefits Complaint, and, together with the Commercial Complaint, the Multi-District Litigation).

The plaintiffs in the Commercial Complaint are a group of corporations, individuals and public entities that contracted with the broker defendants for the provision of insurance brokerage services for a variety of insurance needs. The broker defendants are alleged to have placed insurance coverage on the plaintiffs' behalf with a number of insurance companies named as defendants, including AIG subsidiaries. The Commercial Complaint also named various brokers and other insurers as defendants (three of which have since settled). The Commercial Complaint alleges that defendants engaged in a number of overlapping "broker-centered" conspiracies to allocate customers through the payment of contingent commissions to brokers and through purported "bid-rigging" practices. It also alleges that the insurer and broker defendants participated in a "global" conspiracy not to disclose to policyholders the payment of contingent commissions. Plaintiffs assert that the defendants violated the Sherman Antitrust Act, the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (RICO), and the antitrust laws of 48 states and the District of Columbia, and are liable under common law breach of fiduciary duty and unjust enrichment theories. Plaintiffs seek treble damages plus interest and attorneys' fees as a result of the alleged RICO and Sherman Antitrust Act violations.

The plaintiffs in the Employee Benefits Complaint are a group of individual employees and corporate and municipal employers alleging claims on behalf of two separate nationwide purported classes: an employee class and an employer class that acquired insurance products from the defendants from January 1, 1998 to December 31, 2004. The Employee Benefits Complaint names AIG, as well as various other brokers and insurers, as defendants. The activities alleged in the Employee Benefits Complaint, with certain exceptions, track the allegations of customer allocation through steering and bid-rigging made in the Commercial Complaint.

The District Court, in connection with the Commercial and Employee Benefits Complaints, granted (without leave to amend) defendants' motions to dismiss the federal antitrust and RICO claims on August 31, 2007 and September 28, 2007, respectively. The Court declined to exercise supplemental jurisdiction over the state law claims in the Commercial Complaint and therefore dismissed it in its entirety. Plaintiffs appealed the dismissal of the Commercial Complaint to the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit (the Third Circuit) on October 10, 2007. On January 14, 2008, the District Court granted summary judgment to defendants on plaintiffs' ERISA claims in the Employee Benefits Complaint. On February 12, 2008, plaintiffs filed a notice of appeal to the Third Circuit with respect to the dismissal of the antitrust and RICO claims in the Employee Benefits Complaint.

On August 16, 2010, the Third Circuit affirmed the dismissal of the Employee Benefits Complaint in its entirety, affirmed in part and vacated in part the District Court's dismissal of the Commercial Complaint, and remanded the case for further proceedings consistent with the opinion. Specifically, the Third Circuit affirmed the dismissal of plaintiffs' broader antitrust and RICO claims, but the Court reversed the District Court's dismissal of alleged "Marsh-centered" antitrust and RICO claims based on allegations of bid-rigging involving excess casualty insurance. The Court remanded these Marsh-centered claims to the District Court for consideration as to whether plaintiffs had adequately pleaded them. Because the Third Circuit vacated in part the judgment dismissing the federal claims in the Commercial Complaint, the Third Circuit also vacated the District Court's dismissal of the state-law claims in the Commercial Complaint.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

On October 1, 2010, defendants in the Commercial Complaint filed motions to dismiss the remaining remanded claims in the District Court of New Jersey.

As of February 16, 2011, plaintiffs have not specified an amount of alleged damages. Because the District Court has not ruled on the renewed motion to dismiss, discovery has not yet been completed, and AIG lacks sufficient information about the total amount of insurance premiums paid to other insurer defendants, AIG is unable to reasonably estimate the possible loss or range of losses, if any, arising from these remaining claims.

A number of complaints making allegations similar to those in the Multi-District Litigation have been filed against AIG and other defendants in state and federal courts around the country. The defendants have thus far been successful in having the federal actions transferred to the District of New Jersey and consolidated into the Multi-District Litigation. These additional consolidated actions are still pending in the District of New Jersey, but are currently stayed. In one of those consolidated actions, *Palm Tree Computer Systems, Inc. v. Ace USA*, which is brought by two named plaintiffs on behalf of a proposed class of insurance purchasers, the plaintiffs allege specifically with respect to their claim for breach of fiduciary duty against the insurer defendants that neither named plaintiff nor any member of the proposed class suffered damages "exceeding \$74,999 each." Plaintiffs do not specify damages as to other claims against the insurer defendants in the complaint. The plaintiffs in *Palm Tree* have not yet sought certification of the class, as that case has been stayed by the District Court in New Jersey. Because discovery has not been completed and the District Court has not determined if a class action is appropriate or the size or scope of any class, AIG is unable to reasonably estimate the possible loss or range of losses, if any, arising from the *Palm Tree* litigation. In another consolidated action, *The Heritage Corp. of South Florida v. National Union Fire Ins. Co.*, an individual plaintiff alleges damages "in excess of \$75,000." Because discovery has not been completed, AIG is unable to reasonably estimate the possible loss or range of losses, if any, arising from the *Heritage Corp.* litigation. For the remaining consolidated actions, as of February 16, 2011, plaintiffs have not specified an amount of alleged damages arising from these actions. AIG is therefore unable to reasonably estimate the possible loss or range of losses, if any, arising from these matters.

The AIG defendants have also sought to have state court actions making similar allegations stayed pending resolution of the Multi-District Litigation proceeding. These efforts have generally been successful, although four cases have proceeded; one each in Florida and New Jersey state courts that have settled, and one each in Texas and Kansas state courts have proceeded (although discovery is stayed in both actions). In the Texas action, plaintiff filed its Fourth Amended Petition on July 13, 2009 and on August 14, 2009, defendants filed renewed special exceptions. Plaintiff in the Texas action alleges a "maximum" of \$125 million in total damages (after trebling). Because the Court has not rendered a decision on defendants' renewed special exceptions and discovery has not been completed, AIG is unable to reasonably estimate the possible loss or range of losses, if any, arising from the Texas action. In the Kansas action, defendants are appealing to the Kansas Supreme Court the trial court's denial of defendants' motion to dismiss on statute of limitations grounds. Briefing on the appeal was completed in December 2010. In the Kansas action, the plaintiff alleges damages in an amount "greater than \$75,000" for each of the three claims directed against AIG in the complaint. Because the Kansas Supreme Court has not decided the appeal of the trial court's denial of defendants' motion to dismiss, and discovery has not been completed, AIG is unable to reasonably estimate the possible loss or range of losses, if any, from the Kansas action.

Workers' Compensation Premium Reporting. On May 24, 2007, the National Council on Compensation Insurance (NCCI), on behalf of the participating members of the National Workers' Compensation Reinsurance Pool (the NWCRP), filed a lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois (Northern District of Illinois) against AIG with respect to the underpayment by AIG of its residual market assessments for workers' compensation insurance. The complaint alleged claims for violations of RICO, breach of contract, fraud and related state law claims arising out of AIG's alleged underpayment of these assessments between 1970 and the present and sought damages purportedly in excess of \$1 billion. On August 6, 2007, the Court denied AIG's motion seeking to dismiss or stay the complaint or, in the alternative, to transfer to the Southern District of New York. On December 26, 2007, the Court denied AIG's motion to dismiss the complaint.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

On March 17, 2008, AIG filed an amended answer, counterclaims and third-party claims against NCCI (in its capacity as attorney-in-fact for the NWCRP), the NWCRP, its board members, and certain of the other insurance companies that are members of the NWCRP alleging violations of RICO, as well as claims for conspiracy, fraud, and other state law claims. The counterclaim-defendants and third-party defendants filed motions to dismiss on June 9, 2008. On January 26, 2009, AIG filed a motion to dismiss all claims in the complaint for lack of subject-matter jurisdiction. On February 23, 2009, the Court issued a decision and order sustaining AIG's counterclaims and sustaining, in part, AIG's third-party claims. The Court also dismissed certain of AIG's third-party claims without prejudice.

On April 13, 2009, third-party defendant Liberty Mutual filed third-party counterclaims against AIG, certain of its subsidiaries, and former AIG executives. On August 23, 2009, the Court granted AIG's motion to dismiss the NCCI complaint for lack of standing. On September 25, 2009, AIG filed its First Amended Complaint, reasserting its RICO claims against certain insurance companies that both underreported their workers' compensation premium and served on the NWCRP Board, and repleading its fraud and other state law claims. Defendants filed a motion to dismiss the First Amended Complaint on October 30, 2009. On October 8, 2009, Liberty Mutual filed an amended counterclaim against AIG. The amended counterclaim is substantially similar to the complaint initially filed by NCCI, but also seeks damages related to non-NWCRP states, guaranty funds, and special assessments, in addition to asserting claims for other violations of state law. The amended counterclaim also removes as defendants the former AIG executives. On October 30, 2009, AIG filed a motion to dismiss the Liberty amended counterclaim.

On April 1, 2009, Safeco Insurance Company of America and Ohio Casualty Insurance Company filed a complaint in the Northern District of Illinois, on behalf of a purported class of all NWCRP participant members, against AIG and certain of its subsidiaries with respect to the underpayment by AIG of its residual market assessments for workers' compensation insurance. The complaint was styled as an "alternative complaint," should the Court grant AIG's motion to dismiss the NCCI lawsuit for lack of subject-matter jurisdiction. The allegations in the class action complaint are substantially similar to those filed by the NWCRP, but the complaint names former AIG executives as defendants and asserts a RICO claim against those executives. On August 28, 2009, the class action plaintiffs filed an amended complaint, removing the AIG executives as defendants. On October 30, 2009, AIG filed a motion to dismiss the amended complaint. On July 16, 2010, Safeco Insurance Company and Ohio Casualty Insurance Company filed their motion for class certification, which AIG opposed on October 8, 2010.

On July 1, 2010, the Court ruled on the pending motions to dismiss that were directed at all parties' claims. With respect to the underreporting NWCRP companies' and board members' motion to dismiss AIG's first amended complaint, the Court denied the motion to dismiss all counts except AIG's claim for unjust enrichment, which it found to be precluded by the surviving claims for breach of contract. With respect to NCCI and the NWCRP's motion to dismiss AIG's first amended complaint, the Court denied the NCCI and the NWCRP's motions to dismiss AIG's claims for an equitable accounting and an action on an open, mutual, and current account. With respect to AIG's motions to dismiss Liberty's counterclaims and the class action complaint, the Court denied both motions, except that it dismissed the class claim for promissory estoppel. On July 30, 2010 the NWCRP filed a motion for reconsideration of the Court's ruling denying its motion to dismiss AIG's claims for an equitable accounting and an action on an open, mutual, and current account. The Court denied the NWCRP's motion for reconsideration on September 16, 2010. The plaintiffs filed a motion for class certification on July 16, 2010. AIG opposed the motion.

On January 5, 2011, AIG executed a term sheet with a group of intervening plaintiffs, made up of seven participating members of the NWCRP that filed a motion to intervene in the class action for the purpose of settling the claims at issue on behalf of a settlement class. The proposed class-action settlement would require AIG to pay \$450 million to satisfy all liabilities to the class members arising out of the workers' compensation premium reporting issues, a portion of which would be funded out of the remaining amount held in the Workers'

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Compensation Fund less any amounts previously withdrawn to satisfy AIG's regulatory settlement obligations, as addressed above. On January 13, 2011, their motion to intervene was granted. On January 19, 2011, the intervening class plaintiffs filed their Complaint in Intervention. On January 28, 2011, AIG and the intervening class plaintiffs entered into a settlement agreement embodying the terms set forth in the January 5, 2011 term sheet and filed a joint motion for certification of the settlement class and preliminary approval of the settlement. If approved by the Court (and such approval becomes final), the settlement agreement will resolve and dismiss with prejudice all claims that have been made or that could have been made in the consolidated litigations pending in the Northern District of Illinois arising out of workers' compensation premium reporting, including the class action, other than claims that are brought by any class member that opts out of the settlement. The \$450 million settlement amount along with the \$146.5 million in fines, penalties, and premium taxes discussed in the NAIC Examination of Workers' Compensation Premium Reporting matter above may be funded in part from the \$338 million held in the Workers' Compensation Fund. In the event that the proposed class action settlement is not approved, or that certain class members opt out of the settlement and continue to pursue their claims against AIG, the litigation will resume. AIG has an accrued liability equal to the amounts payable under the settlement. Amounts held in escrow totaling approximately \$338 million, including interest thereon, are included in Other assets at December 31, 2010, and are specifically designated to satisfy liabilities related to workers' compensation premium reporting issues.

Litigation Matters Relating to AIG's Insurance Operations

Caremark. AIG and certain of its subsidiaries have been named defendants in two putative class actions in state court in Alabama that arise out of the 1999 settlement of class and derivative litigation involving Caremark Rx, Inc. (Caremark). The plaintiffs in the second-filed action intervened in the first-filed action, and the second-filed action was dismissed. An excess policy issued by a subsidiary of AIG with respect to the 1999 litigation was expressly stated to be without limit of liability. In the current actions, plaintiffs allege that the judge approving the 1999 settlement was misled as to the extent of available insurance coverage and would not have approved the settlement had he known of the existence and/or unlimited nature of the excess policy. They further allege that AIG, its subsidiaries, and Caremark are liable for fraud and suppression for misrepresenting and/or concealing the nature and extent of coverage. In addition, the intervenors originally alleged that various lawyers and law firms who represented parties in the underlying class and derivative litigation (the "Lawyer Defendants") were also liable for fraud and suppression, misrepresentation, and breach of fiduciary duty.

The complaints filed by the plaintiffs and the intervenors request compensatory damages for the 1999 class in the amount of \$3.2 billion, plus punitive damages. AIG and its subsidiaries deny the allegations of fraud and suppression, assert that information concerning the excess policy was publicly disclosed months prior to the approval of the settlement, that the claims are barred by the statute of limitations, and that the statute cannot be tolled in light of the public disclosure of the excess coverage. The plaintiffs and intervenors, in turn, have asserted that the disclosure was insufficient to inform them of the nature of the coverage and did not start the running of the statute of limitations.

In November 2007, the trial court dismissed the intervenors' complaint against the Lawyer Defendants, and the Alabama Supreme Court affirmed that dismissal in September 2008. After the case was sent back down to the trial court, the intervenors retained additional counsel and filed an Amended Complaint in Intervention that named only Caremark and AIG and various subsidiaries as defendants, purported to bring claims against all defendants for deceit and conspiracy to deceive, and purported to bring a claim against AIG and its subsidiaries for aiding and abetting Caremark's alleged deception. The defendants moved to dismiss the Amended Complaint in Intervention, and the plaintiffs moved to disqualify all of the lawyers for the intervenors because, among other things, the newly retained firm had previously represented Caremark. The intervenors, in turn, moved to disqualify the lawyers for the plaintiffs in the first-filed action. The cross-motions to disqualify were withdrawn after the two sets of plaintiffs agreed that counsel for the original plaintiffs would act as lead counsel, and intervenors also withdrew their Amended Complaint in Intervention. The trial Court approved all of the foregoing steps and, in

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

April 2009, established a schedule for class action discovery that was to lead to a hearing on class certification in March 2010. The Court has since appointed a special master to oversee class action discovery and has directed the parties to submit a new discovery schedule after certain discovery disputes are resolved. Class discovery is ongoing, and no schedule for the class certification hearing has been set.

As of February 16, 2011, the parties have not completed class action discovery, general discovery has not commenced, and the court has not determined if a class action is appropriate or the size or scope of any class. As a result, AIG is unable to reasonably estimate the possible loss or range of losses, if any, arising from the litigation.

(b)
Commitments***Flight Equipment***

At December 31, 2010, ILFC had committed to purchase 115 new aircraft deliverable from 2011 through 2019, at an estimated aggregate purchase price of approximately \$13.5 billion. ILFC will be required to find lessees for any aircraft acquired and to arrange financing for a substantial portion of the purchase price.

Included in the 115 new aircraft are 74 Boeing 787 aircraft (B787s), with the first aircraft currently scheduled to be delivered in July 2012. ILFC is in discussions with Boeing related to revisions to the delivery schedule and potential delay compensation and penalties for which ILFC may be eligible. ILFC has signed contracts with customers for 37 of the 74 B787s on order. Under the terms of ILFC's B787 leases, the lessees may be entitled to share in certain compensation that ILFC receives from Boeing for late delivery of the aircraft.

The following table presents the minimum future rental income on noncancelable operating leases of flight equipment that has been delivered:

At December 31, 2010*(in millions)*

| | |
|----------------------------|------------------|
| 2011 | \$ 4,171 |
| 2012 | 3,590 |
| 2013 | 2,931 |
| 2014 | 2,247 |
| 2015 | 1,647 |
| Remaining years after 2015 | 2,518 |
| Total | \$ 17,104 |

Flight equipment is leased under operating leases with remaining terms ranging from one to eleven years.

Lease Commitments

AIG and its subsidiaries occupy leased space in many locations under various long-term leases and have entered into various leases covering the long-term use of data processing equipment.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**The following table presents the future minimum lease payments under operating leases:****At December 31, 2010***(in millions)*

| | |
|----------------------------|-----------------|
| 2011 | \$ 429 |
| 2012 | 362 |
| 2013 | 295 |
| 2014 | 233 |
| 2015 | 189 |
| Remaining years after 2015 | 546 |
| Total | \$ 2,054 |

Rent expense was \$587 million, \$733 million and \$896 million for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. These amounts include \$118 million, \$206 million and \$225 million attributable to discontinued operations for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Other Commitments

In the normal course of business, AIG enters into commitments to invest in limited partnerships, private equities, hedge funds and mutual funds and to purchase and develop real estate in the U.S. and abroad. These commitments totaled \$4.1 billion at December 31, 2010.

AIG is obligated, subject to certain conditions, to make any payment that is not promptly paid with respect to the benefits accrued by certain employees of AIG and its subsidiaries under the SICO Plans (as discussed in (c) below under "Benefits Provided by Starr International Company, Inc.").

(c) Contingencies***Liability for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expense***

Although AIG regularly reviews the adequacy of the established Liability for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expense, there can be no assurance that AIG's ultimate Liability for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expense will not develop adversely and materially exceed AIG's current Liability for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expense. Estimation of ultimate net claims, claims adjustment expenses and Liability for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expense is a complex process for long-tail casualty lines of business, which include excess and umbrella liability, D&O, professional liability, medical malpractice, workers' compensation, general liability, products liability and related classes, as well as asbestos and environmental exposures. Generally, actual historical loss development factors are used to project future loss development. However, there can be no assurance that future loss development patterns will be the same as in the past. Moreover, any deviation in loss cost trends or in loss development factors might not be discernible for an extended period of time subsequent to the recording of the initial loss reserve estimates for any accident year. Thus, there is the potential for reserves with respect to a number of years to be significantly affected by changes in loss cost trends or loss development factors that were relied upon in setting the reserves. These changes in loss cost trends or loss development factors could be attributable to changes in inflation, in labor and material costs or in the judicial environment, or in other social or economic phenomena affecting claims.

Benefits Provided by Starr International Company, Inc.

SICO has provided a series of two-year Deferred Compensation Profit Participation Plans (SICO Plans) to certain AIG employees. The SICO Plans were created in 1975 when the voting shareholders and Board of Directors of SICO, a private holding company whose principal asset is AIG Common Stock, decided that a

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

portion of the capital value of SICO should be used to provide an incentive plan for the current and succeeding managements of all American International companies, including AIG.

None of the costs of the various benefits provided under the SICO Plans has been paid by AIG, although AIG has recorded a charge to reported earnings for the deferred compensation amounts paid to AIG employees by SICO, with an offsetting amount credited to Additional paid-in capital reflecting amounts considered to be contributed by SICO. The SICO Plans provide that shares currently owned by SICO are set aside by SICO for the benefit of the participant and distributed upon retirement. The SICO Board of Directors currently may permit an early payout of units under certain circumstances. Prior to payout, the participant is not entitled to vote, dispose of or receive dividends with respect to such shares, and shares are subject to forfeiture under certain conditions, including but not limited to the participant's voluntary termination of employment with AIG prior to normal retirement age. Under the SICO Plans, SICO's Board of Directors may elect to pay a participant cash in lieu of shares of AIG Common Stock. Following notification from SICO to participants in the SICO Plans that it will settle specific future awards under the SICO Plans with shares rather than cash, AIG modified its accounting for the SICO Plans from variable to fixed measurement accounting. AIG gave effect to this change in settlement method beginning on December 9, 2005, the date of SICO's notice to participants in the SICO Plans.

Under the Starr International Company, Inc. Assurance Agreement, dated as of June 27, 2005 (SICO Assurance Agreement), AIG has agreed that, in the event that SICO does not promptly deliver the shares as required under the express terms of the SICO Plans to participants who were employees of AIG and its subsidiaries as of May 18, 2005, AIG will pay the benefits due under the SICO Plans. At December 31, 2010, the maximum number of shares of AIG Common Stock that AIG could be required to deliver under the SICO Assurance Agreement was 238,613.

(d) Guarantees

Subsidiaries

AIG has issued unconditional guarantees with respect to the prompt payment, when due, of all present and future payment obligations and liabilities of AIGFP arising from transactions entered into by such companies.

In connection with AIGFP's leasing business, AIGFP has issued, in a limited number of transactions, standby letters of credit or similar facilities to equity investors in an amount equal to the termination value owing to the equity investor by the lessee in the event of a lessee default (the equity termination value). The total amount outstanding at December 31, 2010 was \$1.0 billion. In those transactions, AIGFP has agreed to pay such amount if the lessee fails to pay. The amount payable by AIGFP is, in certain cases, partially offset by amounts payable under other instruments typically equal to the present value of a scheduled payment to be made by AIGFP. In the event that AIGFP is required to make a payment to the equity investor, the lessee is unconditionally obligated to reimburse AIGFP. To the extent that the equity investor is paid the equity termination value from the standby letter of credit and/or other sources, including payments by the lessee, AIGFP takes an assignment of the equity investor's rights under the lease of the underlying property. Because the obligations of the lessee under the lease transactions are generally economically defeased, lessee bankruptcy is the most likely circumstance in which AIGFP would be required to pay.

Asset Dispositions

General

AIG is subject to financial guarantees and indemnity arrangements in connection with the completed sales of businesses pursuant to its asset disposition plan. The various arrangements may be triggered by, among other things, declines in asset values, the occurrence of specified business contingencies, the realization of contingent liabilities, developments in litigation or breaches of representations, warranties or covenants provided by AIG. These arrangements are typically subject to various time limitations, defined by the contract or by operation of

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

law, such as statutes of limitation. In some cases, the maximum potential obligation is subject to contractual limitations, while in other cases such limitations are not specified or are not applicable.

AIG is unable to develop a reasonable estimate of the maximum potential payout under certain of these arrangements. Overall, AIG believes that it is unlikely it will have to make any material payments related to completed sales under these arrangements, and no material liabilities related to these arrangements have been recorded in the Consolidated Balance Sheet. See Note 1 herein for additional information on sales of businesses and asset dispositions.

ALICO Sale

Pursuant to the terms of the ALICO stock purchase agreement, AIG has agreed to provide MetLife with certain indemnities, the most significant of which include:

Indemnification related to breaches of general representations and warranties with an aggregate deductible of \$125 million and a maximum payout of \$2.25 billion. The indemnification extends for 21 months after November 1, 2010.

Indemnifications related to specific product, investment, litigation and other matters that are excluded from the general representations and warranties indemnity. These indemnifications provide for various deductible amounts, which in certain cases are zero, and maximum exposures, which in certain cases are unlimited, and extend for various periods after the completion of the sale.

Tax indemnifications related to insurance reserves that extend for taxable periods ending on or before December 31, 2013 and that are limited to an aggregate of \$200 million, and certain other tax-related representations and warranties that extend to the expiration of the statute of limitations and are subject to an aggregate deductible of \$50 million.

Indemnification for taxes incurred by ALICO as a result of the proposed elections under Section 338 of the Internal Revenue Code (the Code). Such elections have the effect of shifting the federal income tax liability on the sale from the seller to ALICO.

In connection with the above, AIG placed \$3 billion of sales proceeds (consisting of MetLife securities received upon the completion of the sale) into an escrow arrangement that declines to zero over a 30-month period ending in April 2013, with claims submitted related to the indemnifications reducing the amount that can be released. AIG has accrued for estimated liabilities for indemnities, including the Italian internal fund suspension matter discussed above.

AGF Sale

Under the terms of the sale of AGF, AIG and the purchaser have made certain customary representations, warranties and covenants in the stock purchase agreement and AIG agreed to provide the purchaser with certain indemnities. The transaction closed on November 30, 2010. In connection with entering into the stock purchase agreement, AIG and AGF amended their tax sharing agreement, which terminated on the closing of the transaction, (i) to provide that the parties' payment obligation under the tax sharing agreement shall be limited to the payments required to be made by AIG to AGF with respect to the 2009 taxable year in accordance with the tax sharing agreement and (ii) to include the terms of the promissory note to be issued by AIG in satisfaction of its 2009 taxable year payment obligation to AGF.

Star/Edison Sale

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Pursuant to the terms of the Star/Edison stock purchase agreement, AIG has agreed to provide Prudential Financial, Inc. with certain indemnities, the most significant of which is indemnification related to breaches of general representations and warranties that exceed 4.1 billion Yen (\$50 million at the December 31, 2010)

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

exchange rate), with a maximum payout of 102 billion Yen (\$1.3 billion at the December 31, 2010 exchange rate). Except for certain specified representations and warranties that may have a longer survival period. The indemnification extends for 21 months after the closing, which occurred on February 1, 2011.

Other

See Note 11 herein for commitments and guarantees associated with VIEs.

See Note 12 herein for disclosures on derivatives, including Capital Markets and MIP written credit default swaps and other derivatives with credit risk-related contingent features.

See Note 25 herein for additional disclosures on guarantees of outstanding debt.

17. Total Equity and Earnings (Loss) Per Share**Shares Outstanding**

The following table presents a rollforward of outstanding shares:

| Year Ended | Preferred Stock | | | AIG Series D | Common Stock | Treasury Stock |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| | AIG Series E | AIG Series F | AIG Series C | | | |
| December 31, 2010 | | | | | | |
| Shares issued, beginning of year | 400,000 | 300,000 | 100,000 | - | 141,732,263 | 6,661,356 |
| Issuances | - | - | - | - | 5,391,804 | - |
| Shares exchanged | - | - | - | - | - | (448) |
| Shares issued, end of year | 400,000 | 300,000 | 100,000 | - | 147,124,067 | 6,660,908 |

See Note 1 and 26 herein for a discussion of the Recapitalization.

Preferred Stock

During 2010, AIG drew approximately \$2.2 billion under the Department of the Treasury Commitment and, as a result, the liquidation preference of the Series F Preferred Stock increased to \$7.543 billion in the aggregate.

At December 31, 2010, a total of \$7.5 billion was outstanding under the Department of the Treasury Commitment (Series F), an increase of \$2.2 billion from December 31, 2009. On January 14, 2011 AIG drew down approximately \$20.3 billion under this Department of the Treasury Commitment (Series F) to purchase a portion of the SPV Preferred Interests from the FRBNY that were exchanged with the Department of the Treasury. In connection with the closing of the Recapitalization, \$2.0 billion under the Department of the Treasury Commitment (Series F) was exchanged for the Series G Drawdown Right, and the Series G Drawdown Right became effective on that date. See Note 1 herein.

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

On January 14, 2011, the Series C Preferred Stock, the Series E Preferred Stock and the Series F Preferred Stock were exchanged for AIG Common Stock and retired in connection with the Recapitalization (at which time the Series G Preferred Stock was issued). See Note 1 herein.

330 AIG 2010 Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**The following table presents a rollforward of preferred stock:**

| <i>(in millions)</i> | AIG Series E | AIG Series F | AIG Series C | AIG Series D | Total Preferred Stock |
|--|------------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|
| Balance, January 1, 2008 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
| AIG Series D issuance | - | - | - | 40,000 | 40,000 |
| Balance, December 31, 2008 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 40,000 | \$ 40,000 |
| AIG Series C issuance | - | - | 23,000 | - | 23,000 |
| AIG Series D exchange for AIG Series E | 41,605 | - | - | (40,000) | 1,605 |
| AIG Series F drawdown | - | 5,344 | - | - | 5,344 |
| AIG Series F commitment fee | - | (165) | - | - | (165) |
| Balance, December 31, 2009 | \$ 41,605 | \$ 5,179 | \$ 23,000 | \$ - | \$ 69,784 |
| AIG Series F drawdown | - | 2,199 | - | - | 2,199 |
| Balance, December 31, 2010 | \$ 41,605 | \$ 7,378 | \$ 23,000 | \$ - | \$ 71,983 |

See Note 26 herein for the expected impact of the closing of the Recapitalization on Preferred stock.

Common Stock***AIG Treasury Stock Retirement***

On November 30, 2009, AIG retired 6,111,158 common shares included in Treasury stock, which had a carrying value of \$7.40 billion. These shares were returned to AIG's authorized but unissued common stock. AIG accounted for the retirement by reducing common stock by \$15.28 million and Additional paid-in capital by \$7.38 billion.

Dividends

Dividends declared per common share were \$8.40 in 2008. No dividends were declared in 2010 and 2009 because effective September 23, 2008, AIG's Board of Directors (the Board) suspended the declaration of dividends on AIG Common Stock. Currently, pursuant to the terms of the AIG Series G Preferred Stock, AIG is not able to declare or pay any cash dividends on the AIG Common Stock while the AIG Series G Preferred Stock is outstanding. In addition, AIG was unable to pay dividends under the terms of other series of AIG preferred stock that were outstanding from November 2008 through January 14, 2011.

As a result of AIG's failure to declare and pay dividends on the Series E Preferred Stock and the Series F Preferred Stock for four quarterly dividend payment periods, the Department of the Treasury, as the sole holder of the Series E Preferred Stock and the Series F Preferred Stock, exercised its right and elected Ronald A. Rittenmeyer and Donald H. Layton (the Preferred Directors) to the Board of Directors of AIG (the Board) by written consent effective April 1, 2010. The Preferred Directors were re-elected by the United States Department of the Treasury, as the sole holder of the Series E Preferred Stock and the Series F Preferred Stock, at AIG's 2010 Annual Meeting of Shareholders and held office as Preferred Directors until the closing of the Recapitalization, at which time the Board determined that it was advisable and in the best interests of AIG and its shareholders to provide for the continued service of Messrs. Layton and Rittenmeyer as directors of AIG.

Share Issuances and Purchases

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

During the first six months of 2008, AIG purchased a total of 1,896,303 shares of AIG Common Stock. Pursuant to the FRBNY Credit Agreement, AIG was restricted from repurchasing shares of its common stock,

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

and no shares have been purchased since the second quarter of 2008, except for the purchase of a total of 5,336,981 shares from AIA and ALICO prior to their divestiture, which had been reported as treasury shares.

In May 2008, AIG sold 9,835,526 shares of AIG Common Stock at a price per share of \$760 for gross proceeds of \$7.47 billion and 78.4 million equity units (the Equity Units) at a price per unit of \$75 for gross proceeds of \$5.88 billion. The Equity Units, the key terms of which are summarized below, are recorded as long-term debt in the Consolidated Balance Sheet.

Equity Units

In May 2008, AIG sold 78.4 million equity units (the Equity Units) at a price per unit of \$75 for gross proceeds of \$5.88 billion. Each Equity Unit has an initial stated amount of \$75 and consists of a stock purchase contract issued by AIG and, initially, a 1/40th, or 2.5 percent, undivided beneficial ownership interest in three series of junior subordinated debentures (Series B-1, B-2 and B-3), each with a principal amount of \$1,000.

The Equity Units also include a stock purchase contract obligating the holder of an Equity Unit to purchase, and obligating AIG to sell, a variable number of shares of AIG Common Stock for \$25 in cash on each of February 15, 2011, May 1, 2011 and August 1, 2011. The number of shares that AIG is obligated to deliver on each stock purchase date is set forth in the chart below (where the "applicable market value" is an average of the trading prices of AIG Common Stock over the 20-trading-day period ending on the third business day prior to the relevant stock purchase date).

| If the applicable market value is: | then AIG is obligated to issue: |
|---|---|
| Greater than or equal to \$912 | 0.02741 shares per stock purchase contract |
| Between \$912 and \$760 | Shares equal to \$25 divided by the applicable market value |
| Less than or equal to \$760 | 0.03289 shares per stock purchase contract |

AIG is obligated to pay quarterly contract adjustment payments to the holders of the stock purchase contracts, at an initial annual rate of 2.71 percent applied to the stated amount. The present value of the contract adjustment payments, \$431 million, was recognized at inception as a liability (a component of Other liabilities), and was recorded as a reduction to Additional paid-in capital.

In addition to the stock purchase contracts, as part of the Equity Units, AIG issued \$1.96 billion of each of the Series B-1, B-2 and B-3 junior subordinated debentures, which initially pay interest at rates of 5.67 percent, 5.82 percent and 5.89 percent, respectively. AIG allocated the proceeds of the Equity Units between the stock purchase contracts and the junior subordinated debentures on a relative fair value basis. AIG determined that the fair value of the stock purchase contract at issuance was zero, and therefore all of the proceeds were allocated to the junior subordinated debentures.

The junior subordinated debentures are recorded as Other long-term debt in the Consolidated Balance Sheet. The principal amount owed by AIG on the subordinated debentures is equal to the amount owed to AIG under the related stock purchase contract.

On November 23, 2010, AIG commenced an offer to exchange up to 74,480,000 of its Equity Units for consideration per Equity Unit equal to 0.09867 share of AIG Common Stock plus \$3.2702 in cash (the "Exchange Offer"). The stock and cash received will be the result of netting payments from two separate transactions, a repurchase of the subordinated debentures and a cancellation of the stock purchase contracts.

On November 29, 2010, holders of 49,474,600 Equity Units accepted the Exchange Offer and their units were tendered in exchange for 4,881,667 shares of AIG Common Stock and \$162 million in cash. The consideration offered per Equity Unit exchanged is the same number of shares and the same cumulative amount of cash per Equity Unit that a holder would receive if the holder did not tender into the Exchange Offer and instead held

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Equity Units and settled the respective stock purchase contract at its final stock purchase date with the proceeds from subordinated debentures. The execution of the Exchange Offer resulted in a loss on the extinguishment of the subordinated debentures of approximately \$104 million and an increase to equity of approximately \$3.7 billion.

Following the completion of the exchange offer, a total of 28,925,400 Equity Units remained outstanding. In addition, the remaining debentures continue to be subject to remarketing. The trading market for the Equity Units that remain outstanding after the Exchange Offer is expected to be more limited. AIG may, to the extent permitted by applicable law, after the settlement date of the Exchange Offer, purchase Equity Units and the subordinated debentures in a remarketing, in the open market, in privately negotiated transactions or otherwise.

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)

A rollforward of Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) is as follows:

| <i>(in millions)</i> | Unrealized Appreciation (Depreciation) of Fixed Maturity Investments on Which Other-Than- Temporary Credit Impairments Were Taken | Unrealized Appreciation (Depreciation) of All Other Investments | Foreign Currency Translation Adjustments | Net Derivative Gains (losses) Arising from Cash Flow Hedging Activities | Retirement Plan Liabilities Adjustment | Total |
|---|--|---|---|--|---|------------|
| Balance, January 1, 2008, net of tax | \$ - | \$ 4,375 | \$ 880 | \$ (87) | \$ (525) | \$ 4,643 |
| Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle, net of tax | - | (105) | - | - | - | (105) |
| Unrealized depreciation of investments | - | (13,966) | - | - | - | (13,966) |
| Net changes in foreign currency translation adjustments | - | - | (1,398) | - | - | (1,398) |
| Net losses on cash flow hedges | - | - | - | (156) | - | (156) |
| Net actuarial loss | - | - | - | - | (1,313) | (1,313) |
| Prior service cost | - | - | - | - | (12) | (12) |
| Deferred tax asset | - | 4,948 | 356 | 52 | 352 | 5,708 |
| Total other comprehensive loss | - | (9,123) | (1,042) | (104) | (973) | (11,242) |
| Noncontrolling interests | - | (296) | 25 | - | - | (271) |
| Balance, December 31, 2008, net of tax | \$ - | \$ (4,452) | \$ (187) | \$ (191) | \$ (1,498) | \$ (6,328) |
| Adjustment on April 1, 2009* | (599) | 599 | - | - | - | - |
| Unrealized appreciation of investments | 2,048 | 27,891 | - | - | - | 29,939 |
| Net changes in foreign currency translation adjustments | - | - | 2,932 | - | - | 2,932 |
| Net gains on cash flow hedges | - | - | - | 95 | - | 95 |
| Net actuarial gain | - | - | - | - | 397 | 397 |
| Prior service cost | - | - | - | - | (27) | (27) |
| Deferred tax liability | (724) | (9,802) | (1,005) | (32) | (16) | (11,579) |
| Total other comprehensive income | 1,324 | 18,089 | 1,927 | 63 | 354 | 21,757 |
| Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle, net of tax | (2,537) | (6,811) | - | - | - | (9,348) |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | |
|---|------------|----------|----------|----------|------------|----------|
| Noncontrolling interests | (2) | 280 | 110 | - | - | 388 |
| Balance, December 31, 2009, net of tax | \$ (1,810) | \$ 7,145 | \$ 1,630 | \$ (128) | \$ (1,144) | \$ 5,693 |
| Unrealized appreciation of investments | 2,522 | 3,640 | - | - | - | 6,162 |
| Net changes in foreign currency translation adjustments | - | - | (1,873) | - | - | (1,873) |
| Net gains on cash flow hedges | - | - | - | 111 | - | 111 |
| Net actuarial gain | - | - | - | - | 303 | 303 |
| Prior service cost | - | - | - | - | (4) | (4) |
| Deferred tax asset (liability) | (1,293) | (1,529) | 621 | (17) | (24) | (2,242) |
| Total other comprehensive income (loss) | 1,229 | 2,111 | (1,252) | 94 | 275 | 2,457 |
| Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle, net of tax | (76) | (269) | - | - | - | (345) |
| Noncontrolling interests | 2 | 99 | 80 | - | - | 181 |
| Balance, December 31, 2010, net of tax | \$ (659) | \$ 8,888 | \$ 298 | \$ (34) | \$ (869) | \$ 7,624 |

*

Adjustment to reflect adoption of the new other-than-temporary impairment accounting standard.

As a result of divestitures in 2010, \$2.1 billion of Foreign currency cumulative translation adjustment and \$6.0 billion of Unrealized appreciation of investments were transferred to earnings as net gain on sale.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Noncontrolling interests

In connection with the ongoing execution of its orderly asset disposition plan, as well as plans to timely repay the FRBNY Credit Facility, AIG transferred two of its wholly owned businesses, AIA and ALICO, to two newly created special purpose vehicles (SPVs) in exchange for all the common and preferred interests of those SPVs. On December 1, 2009, AIG transferred the preferred interests in the SPVs to the FRBNY in consideration for a \$25 billion reduction of the outstanding loan balance and of the maximum amount of credit available under the FRBNY Credit Facility and amended the terms of the FRBNY Credit Facility. The remaining preferred interests, with an aggregate liquidation preference of approximately \$26.4 billion at December 31, 2010, were transferred by the FRBNY to the Department of the Treasury as part of the closing of the Recapitalization. The remaining preferred interests, which have an aggregate liquidation preference of approximately \$20.3 billion following a partial repayment on January 14, 2011 with proceeds from the sale of ALICO, were transferred by the FRBNY to AIG and subsequently transferred to the Department of the Treasury as part of the Recapitalization. Under the terms of the SPVs' limited liability company agreements, the SPVs generally may not distribute funds to AIG until the liquidation preferences and preferred returns on the preferred interests have been repaid in full and concurrent distributions have been made on certain participating returns attributable to the preferred interests.

The common interests, which were retained by AIG, entitle AIG to 100 percent of the voting power of the SPVs. The voting power allows AIG to elect the boards of managers of the SPVs, who oversee the management and operation of the SPVs. Primarily due to the substantive participation rights of the preferred interests, the SPVs were determined to be variable interest entities. As the primary beneficiary of the SPVs, AIG consolidates the SPVs.

The rights held by the FRBNY through their ownership of the preferred interests are now held by the Department of the Treasury. In connection with the Recapitalization, AIG agreed to cause the proceeds of certain asset dispositions to be used to redeem the remaining preferred interests.

For the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, the Noncontrolling interests balance declined by \$2.2 billion and \$4.4 billion. In 2009, this decline reflected the deconsolidation of Transatlantic in the second quarter of 2009 following the public offering of 29.9 million shares of Transatlantic common stock, after which AIG retained 13.9 percent of Transatlantic common stock outstanding. AIG also restructured certain relationships within the Institutional Asset Management business in 2009, resulting in the deconsolidation of a subsidiary and a related decline in goodwill of \$476 million and noncontrolling interests of \$1.9 billion for the year ended December 31, 2009, due to deconsolidation of certain entities.

As a result of the closing of the Recapitalization on January 14, 2011, the SPV non-controlling interests are no longer be considered permanent equity on AIG's Consolidated Balance Sheet, and will be classified as redeemable non-controlling interests in partially owned consolidated subsidiaries. See Notes 1 and 26 herein for a discussion of the Recapitalization.

Earnings (Loss) Per Share (EPS)

Basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share are based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding, adjusted to reflect all stock dividends and stock splits. Diluted earnings per share is based on those shares used in basic EPS plus shares that would have been outstanding assuming issuance of common shares for all dilutive potential common shares outstanding, adjusted to reflect all stock dividends and stock splits. Basic earnings (loss) per share is not affected by outstanding stock purchase contracts. Diluted earnings per share is determined considering the potential dilution from outstanding stock purchase contracts using the treasury stock method and will not be affected by outstanding stock purchase contracts until the applicable market value per share exceeds \$912.

In connection with the issuance of the Series C Preferred Stock, AIG began applying the two-class method for calculating EPS. The two-class method is an earnings allocation method for computing EPS when a company's

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

capital structure includes either two or more classes of common stock or common stock and participating securities. This method determines EPS based on dividends declared on common stock and participating securities (i.e., distributed earnings) as well as participation rights of participating securities in any undistributed earnings.

The following table presents the computation of basic and diluted EPS:

Years Ended December 31,

(dollars in millions, except per share data)

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Numerator for EPS: | | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | \$ 12,077 | \$ (12,818) | \$ (93,346) |
| Net income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to noncontrolling interests: | | | |
| Noncontrolling nonvoting, callable, junior and senior preferred interests held by Federal Reserve Bank of New York | 1,818 | 140 | - |
| Other | 355 | (1,576) | (984) |
| Total net income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to noncontrolling interests | 2,173 | (1,436) | (984) |
| Net income (loss) attributable to AIG from continuing operations | 9,904 | (11,382) | (92,362) |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations | \$ (2,064) | \$ 505 | \$ (7,041) |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations attributable to noncontrolling interests | 54 | 72 | (114) |
| Net income (loss) attributable to AIG from discontinued operations | (2,118) | 433 | (6,927) |
| Cumulative dividends on AIG Series D Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, par value \$5.00 per share | - | (1,204) | (400) |
| Deemed dividend to AIG Series D Preferred Stock exchanged for the Series E Preferred Stock | - | (91) | - |
| (Income) loss allocated to the Series C Preferred Stock continuing operations | (7,890) | - | - |
| Net income (loss) attributable to AIG from continuing operations, applicable to common stock for EPS | 2,014 | (12,677) | (92,762) |
| (Income) loss allocated to the Series C Preferred Stock discontinued operations | 1,687 | - | - |
| Net income (loss) attributable to AIG from discontinued operations, applicable to common stock for EPS | \$ (431) | \$ 433 | \$ (6,927) |
| Denominator for EPS: | | | |
| Weighted average shares outstanding basic | 136,585,844 | 135,324,896 | 131,714,245 |
| Dilutive shares* | 63,436 | - | - |
| Weighted average shares outstanding diluted | 136,649,280 | 135,324,896 | 131,714,245 |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

EPS attributable to AIG:

Basic:

| | | | | | | |
|--|----|---------------|----|---------|----|----------|
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | \$ | 14.75 | \$ | (93.69) | \$ | (704.26) |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations | \$ | (3.15) | \$ | 3.21 | \$ | (52.59) |

Diluted:

| | | | | | | |
|--|----|---------------|----|---------|----|----------|
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | \$ | 14.75 | \$ | (93.69) | \$ | (704.26) |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations | \$ | (3.15) | \$ | 3.21 | \$ | (52.59) |

*

Diluted shares are calculated using the treasury stock method and include dilutive shares from share-based employee compensation plans, and the warrants issued to the Department of the Treasury on April 17, 2009 to purchase up to 150 shares of AIG Common Stock (Series F Warrant). The number of shares excluded from diluted shares outstanding were 11 million, 12 million and 9 million for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, because the effect would have been anti-dilutive.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**18. Statutory Financial Data and Restrictions**

The following table presents statutory surplus and net income (loss) for AIG's general insurance and life insurance and retirement services operations in accordance with statutory accounting practices:

| <i>(in millions)</i> | 2010 ^(d) | 2009 | 2008 |
|---|---------------------|-----------|----------|
| At December 31, | | | |
| Statutory surplus ^(a) : | | | |
| General insurance ^(b) | \$ 39,990 | \$ 38,970 | |
| Life insurance and retirement services | 14,045 | 12,270 | |
| Years Ended December 31, | | | |
| Statutory net income (loss) ^{(a)(c)} : | | | |
| General insurance | \$ 471 | \$ 2,937 | \$ 216 |
| Life insurance and retirement services | 794 | 536 | (22,257) |

(a) Excludes discontinued operations and other divested businesses. Statutory surplus and net income (loss) with respect to foreign operations are estimated at November 30.

(b) The 2009 amount was increased by \$1.0 billion from that previously reported as a result of the finalization of statutory filings.

(c) Includes catastrophe losses (General Insurance) and Net realized capital gains and losses.

(d) Amount subject to change based on final statutory filings.

AIG's insurance subsidiaries file financial statements prepared in accordance with statutory accounting practices prescribed or permitted by domestic and foreign insurance regulatory authorities. The principal differences between statutory financial statements and financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP for domestic companies are that statutory financial statements do not reflect DAC, some bond portfolios may be carried at amortized cost, investment impairments are determined in accordance with statutory accounting practices, assets and liabilities are presented net of reinsurance, policyholder liabilities are generally valued using more conservative assumptions and certain assets are non-admitted.

At December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, statutory capital of AIG's insurance subsidiaries exceeded minimum company action level requirements.

Effect of New Standards

Effective January 1, 2009, these domestic life insurance and domestic retirement services insurance entities, as well as certain other AIG insurance entities were initially required to prospectively adopt Statements of Statutory Accounting Principles (SSAP) No. 98, "Treatment of Cash Flows When Quantifying Changes in Valuation and Impairments, an Amendment of SSAP No. 43 Loan-backed and Structured Securities" (SSAP 98). However, in the first quarter of 2009, the NAIC subsequently delayed the effective date of SSAP No. 98 until September 30, 2009, in consideration of the FASB's issuance of a new other-than-temporary accounting standard. The NAIC subsequently promulgated SSAP 43R (Revised) *Loan-backed and Structured Securities*, which was effective for the third quarter of 2009 and superseded SSAP No. 43 and also SSAP No. 98, prior to its delayed effective date. Similar to the new other-than-temporary accounting standard, SSAP No. 43R requires that credit-related other-than-temporary impairments of structured securities be measured based upon projected discounted cash flows. SunAmerica

insurance entities recognized a cumulative effect adjustment upon the adoption of SSAP No. 43R that on a pre-tax basis increased regulatory capital by approximately \$0.9 billion.

Dividend Restrictions

Payments of dividends to AIG by its insurance subsidiaries are subject to certain restrictions imposed by regulatory authorities. With respect to AIG's domestic insurance subsidiaries, the payment of any dividend

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

requires formal notice to the insurance department in which the particular insurance subsidiary is domiciled. For example, unless permitted by the New York Superintendent of Insurance, general insurance companies domiciled in New York may not pay dividends to shareholders that, in any 12-month period, exceed the lesser of ten percent of such company's statutory policyholders' surplus or 100 percent of its "adjusted net investment income," as defined. Generally, less severe restrictions applicable to both general and life insurance companies exist in most of the other states in which AIG's insurance subsidiaries are domiciled. Under the laws of many states, an insurer may pay a dividend without prior approval of the insurance regulator when the amount of the dividend is below certain regulatory thresholds. Other foreign jurisdictions may restrict the ability of AIG's foreign insurance subsidiaries to pay dividends. There are also various local restrictions limiting cash loans and advances to AIG by its subsidiaries. Largely as a result of these restrictions, approximately 80 percent of the aggregate equity of AIG's consolidated subsidiaries was restricted from transfer to AIG Parent at December 31, 2010. AIG cannot predict how regulatory investigations may affect the ability of its regulated subsidiaries to pay dividends. To AIG's knowledge, no AIG insurance company is currently on any regulatory or similar "watch list" with regard to solvency.

19. Share-based Compensation and Other Plans

AIG's Consolidated Statement of Income included share-based compensation expense as follows:

Years Ended December 31,
(in millions)

| | | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|----------------------------------|-----------|--------|--------|--------|
| Share-based compensation expense | pre-tax* | \$ 333 | \$ 209 | \$ 389 |
| Share-based compensation expense | after tax | 216 | 151 | 284 |

*

Includes pre-tax share-based compensation expense related to discontinued operations for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 of \$12 million (\$9 million after tax), \$21 million (\$13 million after tax), and \$32 million (\$22 million after tax), respectively.

Employee Plans

As of December 31, 2010, AIG employees had been granted awards under the following employee compensation plans:

AIG 1999 Stock Option Plan, as amended (1999 Plan) (superseded by the 2007 Plan);

AIG 2002 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended (2002 Plan), under which AIG has issued time-vested restricted stock units (RSUs) and performance restricted stock units (performance RSUs) (superseded by the 2007 Plan);

AIG 2007 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended (2007 Plan), under which AIG has issued restricted stock units (RSUs), performance restricted stock units (performance RSUs) and restricted stock (superseded by the 2010 Plan);

AIG 2010 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended (2010 Plan), under which AIG has issued restricted stock, restricted stock units and stock appreciation rights; and

SICO's Deferred Compensation Profit Participation Plans (SICO Plans).

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Although awards granted under the plans listed above remain outstanding at December 31, 2010, future grants of restricted stock and other share-settled awards can be made only under the 2010 Plan. Share option exercises and other share awards to participants were settled by issuing previously acquired shares held in AIG's treasury account through November 30, 2009. Effective December 1, 2009, AIG is settling its share-settled awards with newly-issued shares of AIG Common Stock. Share awards made by SICO are settled by SICO.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**Non-Employee Plans**

AIG's non-employee directors received share-based compensation in the form of deferred stock units (DSUs) under the 2010 Plan and the 2007 Plan with delivery deferred until retirement from the Board. In 2010 and 2009, AIG granted to directors 14,484 and 9,106 DSUs, respectively.

Stock Options***AIG Stock Option Plan***

Options granted under the 2007 Plan and the 1999 Plan remain outstanding at December 31, 2010. These awards generally vest over four years (25 percent vesting per year) and expire 10 years from the date of grant.

In 2010, AIG issued 600,994 shares in connection with previous exercises of options with delivery deferred.

Stock Option Valuation

AIG uses a binomial lattice model to calculate the fair value of stock option grants. A more detailed description of the valuation methodology is provided below. There were no stock options granted in 2010 and 2009.

The following weighted-average assumptions were used for stock options granted:

| | 2008 |
|---|-------------|
| Expected annual dividend yield ^(a) | 3.77% |
| Expected volatility ^(b) | 53.27% |
| Risk-free interest rate ^(c) | 4.43% |
| Expected term ^(d) | 4 years |

(a) *The dividend yield is determined at the grant date.*

(b) *Expected volatility is the average of historical volatility (based on seven years of daily stock price changes) and the implied volatility of actively traded options on AIG shares.*

(c) *The interest rate curves used in the valuation model were the U.S. Treasury STRIP rates with terms from 3 months to 10 years.*

(d) *The expected term is 4 years based on the average time to exercise derived from the output of the valuation model. In 2008, the contractual term of the option was generally 10 years with an expected term of seven years calculated based on an analysis of historical employee and executive exercise behavior and employee turnover (post-vesting terminations). The early exercise rate is a function of time elapsed since the grant. Fifteen years of historical data were used to estimate the early exercise rate.*

The following table provides a roll forward of stock option activity:

| As of or for the Year Ended December 31, | Shares | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Weighted Average | Aggregate Intrinsic Values |
|---|---------------|--|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|
|---|---------------|--|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

2010 Remaining Contractual Life (in millions)

| Options: | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-----------|----|----------|------|------|
| Outstanding at beginning of year | 1,352,276 | \$ | 1,264.30 | \$ | - |
| Forfeited or expired | (329,869) | \$ | 1,350.06 | | - |
| Outstanding at end of year* | 1,022,407 | \$ | 1,236.64 | 3.20 | \$ - |
| Options exercisable at end of year | 979,453 | \$ | 1,260.36 | 3.01 | \$ - |

*

Includes vested and expected-to-vest options at December 31, 2010 of 1,020,338, with a weighted average exercise price of \$1,237.85, a weighted average contractual life of 3.14 years and a zero aggregate intrinsic value.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

At December 31, 2010, total unrecognized compensation cost (net of expected forfeitures) was \$4 million with a blended weighted average period of 0.59 years. The cost of awards outstanding under these plans at December 31, 2010 is expected to be recognized over approximately one year.

The following table provides additional information about stock options:

As of or for the Year Ended December 31,
(in millions, except weighted average grant date fair value of options granted) **2008**

| | |
|--|--------|
| Intrinsic value of options exercised* | \$ 2 |
| Grant date fair value of options vesting | 67 |
| Weighted average grant date fair value of options granted* | 212.20 |
| Cash received from exercise of stock options | 16 |
| Tax benefits realized on stock option exercises | 1 |

*
There were no options granted or exercised in 2010 or 2009. The grant date fair value of options vesting in 2010 and 2009 was \$7 million and \$25 million, respectively.

Other Share-Settled Awards Under Share-Based Plans***AIG 2010 Stock Incentive Plan***

The 2010 Plan was adopted at the 2010 Annual Meeting of Shareholders. The total number of shares of common stock that may be granted under the Plan is 60,000,000. The 2010 Plan supersedes the 2007 Plan and is currently the only plan under which share-based awards can be issued. During 2010, AIG granted DSUs, RSUs, restricted stock and stock appreciation rights under the 2010 Plan. Of the awards granted in 2010 under the 2010 Plan, only the DSUs and the restricted stock are share-settled. At December 31, 2010, there were 49,803,251 shares reserved for future grants under the 2010 Plan.

In December 2010, AIG granted 587,681 fully-vested shares of non-transferable AIG Common Stock (restricted stock) under the 2010 Stock Incentive Plan to certain of AIG's most highly compensated employees and executive officers. The restricted stock generally becomes transferable in March 2012 or on the third anniversary of grant in accordance with the terms of the employee's award.

AIG 2007 Stock Incentive Plan

The 2007 Plan was adopted at the 2007 Annual Meeting of Shareholders and amended and restated by AIG's Board of Directors on November 14, 2007. The total number of shares of Common Stock permitted to be issued under the Plan was 9,000,000. The 2010 Plan superseded the 2007 Plan, therefore, there were no grants made under the 2007 Plan subsequent to May 11, 2010. During 2010 and 2009, 114,521 and 12,426 RSUs, respectively, including performance RSUs, were granted under the 2007 Plan. The time-vested RSUs granted in 2010 and 2009 under the 2007 Plan vest on the third anniversary of the date of grant.

Fully-vested shares of non-transferable AIG Common Stock (restricted stock) were granted to certain of AIG's most highly compensated employees and executive officers under the 2007 Plan totaling 118,605 and 351,259, in 2010 and 2009, respectively. The restricted stock generally becomes transferable on the first, second or third anniversary of grant in accordance with the terms of the employee's award.

AIG 2002 Stock Incentive Plan

The 2002 Plan was adopted in 2002 and superseded by the 2007 Plan. In addition to 2,817 time-vested RSUs, only the AIG 2005-2006 Deferred Compensation Profit Participation Plan (AIG DCPPP) and the AIG Partners Plan, which provide share-based compensation to key AIG

employees, including senior executive officers remained outstanding under the 2002 Plan at December 31, 2010.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The AIG DCPPP provides that a fixed number of time-vested RSUs would be allocated to each participant if AIG's cumulative adjusted earnings per share in 2005 and 2006 exceeded that of 2003 and 2004 as determined by AIG's Compensation and Management Resources Committee. This goal was met and 184,842 time-vested RSUs were awarded in 2007. Due to the modification in March 2008, the vesting periods for these RSUs have been shortened to vest in three installments with the final installment vesting in January 2012. At December 31, 2010, RSU awards with respect to 38,517 shares remained outstanding.

The AIG Partners Plan provides shares to the participants if certain performance measures were met over a specified period of time. The Compensation Committee eventually approved three grants with performance periods that ran from January 1, 2006 through December 31, 2007 for the first grant, January 1, 2007 through December 31, 2008 for the second grant, and January 1, 2008 through December 31, 2009 for the third grant. The second and third grants were cancelled because the performance thresholds were not met. The first grant vested 50 percent on the fourth and sixth anniversaries of the first day of the related performance period. At December 31, 2010, RSU awards with respect to 21,578 shares remained outstanding.

SICO Plans

The SICO Plans provide that shares of AIG Common Stock currently held by SICO are set aside for the benefit of the participant and distributed upon retirement. The SICO Board of Directors currently may permit an early payout of shares under certain circumstances. Prior to payout, the participant is not entitled to vote, dispose of or receive dividends with respect to such shares, and shares are subject to forfeiture under certain conditions, including but not limited to the participant's termination of employment with AIG prior to normal retirement age. A significant portion of the awards under the SICO Plans vest the year after the participant reaches age 65, provided that the participant remains employed by AIG through age 65. The portion of the awards for which early payout is available vest on the applicable payout date.

Although none of the costs of the various benefits provided under the SICO Plans have been paid by AIG, AIG has recorded compensation expense for the deferred compensation amounts payable to AIG employees by SICO, with an offsetting amount credited to Additional paid-in capital reflecting amounts deemed contributed by SICO.

The SICO Plans are also described in Note 16 herein.

Restricted Stock and Restricted Stock Unit Valuation

The fair value of restricted stock and RSUs is based on the closing price of AIG stock on the date of grant.

The following table summarizes outstanding share-settled awards under the foregoing plans*:

| As of or for the Year Ended December 31, 2010 | Number of Shares | | | | | Weighted Average Grant-Date Fair Value | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|--------------|------------------|----------------------|------------------------|--|--------------|------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| | Time- vested RSUs | AIG DCPPP | Partners Plan | Total AIG Plan | Total SICO Plans | Time- vested RSUs | AIG DCPPP | Partners Plan | Total AIG Plans | Total SICO Plans |
| Unvested, beginning of year | 218,839 | 87,680 | 20,968 | 327,487 | 319,789 | \$ 1,053.11 | \$ 1,140.99 | \$ 860.62 | \$ 1,064.32 | \$ 1,219.07 |
| Granted | 820,807 | - | - | 820,807 | - | 47.87 | - | - | 47.87 | - |
| Vested | (838,447) | (54,870) | (2,338) | (895,655) | (52,621) | 219.02 | 1,113.41 | 478.14 | 274.49 | 789.98 |
| Forfeited | (83,535) | (5,496) | (2,880) | (91,911) | (28,555) | 789.81 | 1,137.38 | 812.00 | 811.29 | 1,223.23 |
| Unvested, end of year | 117,664 | 27,314 | 15,750 | 160,728 | 238,613 | \$ 171.21 | \$ 1,134.30 | \$ 811.98 | \$ 397.67 | \$ 1,215.16 |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

*

Excludes DSUs and options, which are discussed under the Non-Employee Plans and Stock Options sections above. This table also excludes 112,362 shares of fully vested restricted stock granted to AIG's chief executive officer in 2010 as stock salary with a weighted average grant-date fair value of \$35.60, which was issued under his employment agreement pursuant to the employment inducement award exemption to New York Stock Exchange rules.

340

AIG 2010 Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The total unrecognized compensation cost (net of expected forfeitures) related to unvested share-settled compensation awards and the weighted-average periods over which those costs are expected to be recognized are as follows:

| At December 31, 2010 | Unrecognized | Weighted- | Expected |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| <i>(in millions)</i> | Compensation | Average Period | Period |
| | Cost | (years) | (years) |
| Plans: | | | |
| Time-vested RSUs 2007 Plan | \$ 4 | 0.72 | 2 |
| AIG DCPPP | \$ 6 | 0.63 | 1 |
| AIG Partners Plan | \$ 3 | 0.62 | 1 |
| Total AIG Plans | \$ 13 | 0.65 | 2 |
| Total SICO Plans | \$ 92 | 5.39 | 29 |

Liability Awards

AIG has issued various share-based grants, including restricted stock units, linked to AIG Common Stock, but providing for cash settlement to certain of its most highly compensated employees and executive officers. Share-based cash settled awards are recorded as liabilities until the final payout is made or the award is replaced with a stock-settled award. Unlike share-settled awards, which have a fixed grant-date fair value (unless the award is subsequently modified), the fair value of unsettled or unvested liability awards is remeasured at the end of each reporting period based on the change in fair value of one share of AIG Common Stock (except for long term performance units, the value of which is currently based on only 20 percent of AIG Common Stock as discussed below). The liability and corresponding expense are adjusted accordingly until the award is settled.

Stock Salary Awards

In 2009, AIG established a program of regular grants of vested stock or restricted stock units that is generally referred to as "Stock Salary". Stock Salary is determined as a dollar amount through the date that salary is earned and accrued at the same time or times as the salary would otherwise be paid in cash. Stock Salary was granted to any individual qualifying as a senior executive officer or one of AIG's next twenty most highly compensated employees (the Top 25). Stock salary for a Top 25 employee (other than AIG's CEO) will be settled in three equal installments on the first, second and third anniversary of grant. Stock salary granted to any individual qualifying as an executive officer or one of AIG's next 75 most highly compensated employees (Top 26-100) will be settled on either the first or third anniversary of grant in accordance with the terms of an employee's award. The Stock Salary grants issued in 2009 were awarded in the form of immediately vested RSUs, and the number of units awarded was based on the value of AIG Common Stock on the grant date. The RSUs are settled in cash based on the value of AIG Common Stock on the applicable settlement date. For the 2009 Stock Salary Awards, AIG paid \$18 million to settle awards and recognized compensation expense of \$36 million for unsettled awards to reflect increases in the price of AIG Common Stock during 2010.

In 2010, AIG adopted the Long-Term Performance Units Plan (LTPUs) and awarded Stock Salary in the form of LTPUs. The units are also fully vested on the date of grant and settlement terms are substantially the same as the 2009 Stock Salary awards. However, each unit is measured based on the underlying value of a mix of AIG hybrid securities and AIG Common Stock weighted 80 percent and 20 percent, respectively, on the date of grant. Both components of the LTPU are market observable. During 2010, compensation expense of approximately \$156 million was recognized related to this plan. By their terms, the LTPUs will be converted into AIG Common Stock 90 days after the date on which at least 75 percent of the preferred securities in AIG held by the Department of the Treasury are exchanged for AIG Common Stock. The Recapitalization on January 14, 2011 included the exchange of more than 75 percent of the Department of the Treasury's preferred holdings for AIG Common Stock. Accordingly, on April 14, 2011 the AIG hybrid securities portion of any outstanding LTPU will be

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

converted into AIG Common Stock based on the values of such securities and AIG Common Stock at the time of the conversion.

Restricted Stock Units***TARP RSUs***

TARP RSUs awarded require the achievement of objective performance metrics as a condition to entitlement. TARP RSUs granted to the Top 25 (other than AIG's CEO) vest on the third anniversary of grant, while TARP RSUs granted to the Top 26-100 vest on the second anniversary of grant and are subject to transferability restrictions for an additional year after vesting. When vested and transferable, an award will be settled in 25 percent installments in proportion to AIG's repayment of its TARP obligations.

Other RSUs

Fully vested awards of RSUs will be issued in March 2011 to certain employees in the Top 26-100 based on 2010 performance. Similarly, 301,645 fully vested awards of RSUs were issued in March 2010 for performance in 2009. The RSUs for both awards will be cash-settled in March 2014 and 2013 for the 2010 and 2009 grants, respectively, based on the value of AIG Common Stock on each settlement date. AIG recorded expense of \$8 million and \$9 million in 2010 and 2009, respectively, related to these awards.

Long Term Incentive Plans

In 2009, AIG established the 2009 Long-Term Incentive Plan (2009 LTIP) under which middle management employees were offered the opportunity to receive additional compensation in the form of cash and stock appreciation rights (SARs) if certain performance metrics are met. The SARs component was added to the 2009 LTIP with the adoption of AIG's 2010 Long-Term Incentive Plan (2010 LTIP) in March 2010. The ultimate value of LTIP awards under the 2009 LTIP and the 2010 LTIP is contingent on the achievement of performance measures aligned to the participant's business unit over a two-year period and such value could range from zero to twice the target amount. Subsequent to the performance period, the earned awards are subject to an additional time-vesting period. This results in a graded vesting schedule for the cash portion of up to two years, while the SARs portion cliff-vests two years after the performance period ends. The strike price for the majority of SARs issued under the 2010 LTIP and the 2009 LTIP is \$31.91, which is based on AIG's average share price over the 30 days prior to March 29, 2010, the grant date. The cash portion of the awards expensed in 2010 totaled approximately \$258 million. AIG recognizes compensation expense over the respective vesting periods for these plans.

The following table presents a summary of SARs (based on target amounts) and cash-settled RSUs as well as the related expenses:

| As of or for the Year Ended December 31, 2010 | Number of Units | | |
|--|-----------------|-----------|---------------------|
| | SARs | TARP RSUs | RSUs ^(a) |
| Unvested, beginning of year | - | 367,875 | - |
| Granted | 8,959,444 | 395,891 | 301,645 |
| Vested ^(b) | (781,935) | - | (301,645) |
| Forfeited | (216,632) | (118,220) | - |
| Unvested, end of year | 7,960,877 | 645,546 | - |

| | | | | | | |
|---|----|----|----|----|----|---|
| Compensation expense for the year (in millions) | \$ | 82 | \$ | 10 | \$ | 3 |
|---|----|----|----|----|----|---|

(a) *Represent fully vested RSUs that were awarded in March 2010 based on 2009 performance. On December 20, 2010, 160,346 of these RSUs were replaced with restricted stock, which is reflected in the applicable table above.*

(b) *Pursuant to the terms of the LTIP, vesting was accelerated for SARs awarded to employees who became retirement eligible or whose business was sold during 2010.*

342 AIG 2010 Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The total unrecognized compensation cost (net of expected forfeitures) related to unvested SARs and cash-settled RSUs and the weighted-average periods over which those costs are expected to be recognized are as follows:

| At December 31, 2010 (in millions) | Unrecognized Compensation Cost | Weighted- Average Period (years) | Expected Period (years) |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|
| SARs | \$ 132 | 1.30 | 3 |
| TARP RSUs | 24 | 1.15 | 3 |

Stock Appreciation Rights Valuation

The table below presents the weighted average assumptions used to estimate the fair value of SARs at December 31, 2010 using Monte Carlo simulation. Monte Carlo simulation incorporates range of assumptions for inputs and they are disclosed in the table below.

| 2010 | |
|--|-----------|
| Expected dividend yield ^(a) | -% |
| Expected volatility ^(b) | 42.50% |
| Risk-free interest rate ^(c) | 1.12% |
| Expected term ^(d) | 2.7 years |

(a) *The dividend yield is estimated at zero percent given AIG's recent period dividend history.*

(b) *The expected volatilities are based on implied volatilities with the nearest maturity and strikes as of valuation date from traded stock options on AIG Common Stock.*

(c) *The risk-free interest rate for periods within the contractual life of the SARs is based on the swap yield curve in effect at the time of valuation.*

(d) *The expected term of stock options granted is the period of time the SARs are expected to be outstanding.*

Modifications

In 2008, the Compensation and Management Resources Committee of the Board made the decision to shorten the vesting schedules of certain outstanding awards, as well as future awards, from four to three years, which resulted in an acceleration of expense. AIG also modified the metrics used to determine the level of performance achieved with respect to the AIG Partners Plan.

For accounting purposes, a modification of the terms or conditions of an equity award is treated as an exchange of the original award for a new award. As a result, the incremental value related to the remaining affected awards totaled \$21 million and will, together with the unamortized originally-measured compensation cost, be amortized over shorter periods. At the time of the modifications net amortization of this cost was estimated to increase by \$43 million and \$98 million in 2009 and 2008, respectively, with a related reduction in amortization expense of \$120 million in 2010 through 2012. However, AIG recognized a credit to expense of \$31 million in 2010 and a charge of \$12 million in 2009 due to forfeitures and the expense acceleration resulting from the modification. The related reduction in amortization expense expected through 2012 was revised to \$61 million.

20. Employee Benefits

Pension Plans

AIG, its subsidiaries and certain affiliated companies offer various defined benefit plans to eligible employees based on years of service.

AIG's U.S. qualified retirement plan is a noncontributory defined benefit plan which is subject to the provisions of ERISA. U.S. salaried employees who are employed by a participating company, have attained age 21 and

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

completed 12 months of continuous service are eligible to participate in the plan. Employees generally vest after five years of service. Unreduced benefits are paid to retirees at normal retirement (age 65) and are based upon a percentage of final average compensation multiplied by years of credited service, up to 44 years. Non-U.S. defined benefit plans are generally either based on the employee's years of credited service and compensation in the years preceding retirement or on points accumulated based on the employee's job grade and other factors during each year of service.

AIG also sponsors several unfunded defined benefit plans for certain employees, including key executives, designed to supplement pension benefits provided by AIG's other retirement plans. These include the AIG Excess Retirement Income Plan (Excess), which provides a benefit equal to the reduction in benefits payable to certain employees under the AIG U.S. qualified retirement plan as a result of federal tax limitations on compensation and benefits payable and the Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (Supplemental), which provides additional retirement benefits to designated executives. Under the Supplemental plan, an annual benefit accrues at a percentage of final average pay multiplied by each year of credited service, not greater than 60 percent of final average pay, reduced by any benefits from the current and any predecessor retirement plans (including the Excess plan and any comparable plans), Social Security, if any, and from any qualified pension plan of prior employers. AIG has complied with the Special Master's mandate to freeze future benefits in the non-qualified retirement plans for the Top 100 employees of AIG. The impact to AIG's financial statements was not significant.

Postretirement Plans

AIG and its subsidiaries also provide postretirement medical care and life insurance benefits in the U.S. and in certain non-U.S. countries. Eligibility in the various plans is generally based upon completion of a specified period of eligible service and attaining a specified age. Overseas, benefits vary by geographic location.

U.S. postretirement medical and life insurance benefits are based upon the employee electing immediate retirement and having a minimum of ten years of service. Medical benefits are contributory, while the life insurance benefits are non-contributory. Retiree medical contributions vary from requiring no cost for pre-1989 retirees to requiring actual premium payments reduced by certain credits for post-1993 retirees. These contributions are subject to adjustment annually. Other cost sharing features of the medical plan include deductibles, coinsurance, Medicare coordination and a lifetime maximum benefit of \$5 million.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following table presents the funded status of the plans, reconciled to the amount reported in the Consolidated Balance Sheet. The measurement date for most of the non-U.S. defined benefit pension and postretirement plans is November 30, consistent with the fiscal year end of the sponsoring companies. For all other plans, measurement occurs as of December 31.

| As of or for the Years Ended December 31, | Pension | | U.S. Plans ^(c) | | Postretirement ^(a) | | U.S. Plans | |
|--|-------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| | Non-U.S. Plans ^(b) | | | | Non-U.S. Plans | | | |
| (in millions) | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Change in projected benefit obligation: | | | | | | | | |
| Benefit obligation, beginning of year | \$ 2,313 | \$ 2,080 | \$ 3,687 | \$ 3,745 | \$ 106 | \$ 101 | \$ 274 | \$ 285 |
| Service cost | 137 | 121 | 150 | 155 | 8 | 11 | 8 | 8 |
| Interest cost | 59 | 60 | 216 | 219 | 4 | 4 | 15 | 16 |
| Actuarial (gain) loss | (6) | 155 | 305 | 108 | (10) | (9) | 3 | 9 |
| Benefits paid: | | | | | | | | |
| AIG assets | (52) | (57) | (10) | (7) | (1) | (1) | (13) | (17) |
| Plan assets | (57) | (40) | (115) | (110) | - | - | - | - |
| Curtailments | - | (3) | (73) | (119) | - | - | (3) | (16) |
| Settlements | (28) | (46) | (282) | (320) | - | - | (5) | (8) |
| Foreign exchange effect | 37 | 212 | - | - | 5 | 3 | - | - |
| Dispositions | (736) | (170) | - | - | (45) | (10) | - | - |
| Acquisitions | 329 | - | - | - | - | 11 | - | - |
| Other | (15) | 1 | - | 16 | (1) | (4) | - | (3) |
| Projected benefit obligation, end of year | \$ 1,981 | \$ 2,313 | \$ 3,878 | \$ 3,687 | \$ 66 | \$ 106 | \$ 279 | \$ 274 |
| Change in plan assets: | | | | | | | | |
| Fair value of plan assets, beginning of year | \$ 750 | \$ 765 | \$ 3,362 | \$ 2,733 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
| Actual return on plan assets, net of expenses | (3) | 49 | 456 | 541 | - | - | - | - |
| AIG contributions | 161 | 146 | 11 | 446 | 1 | 1 | 13 | 17 |
| Benefits paid: | | | | | | | | |
| AIG assets | (52) | (57) | (10) | (7) | (1) | (1) | (13) | (17) |
| Plan assets | (57) | (40) | (115) | (110) | - | - | - | - |
| Settlements | (27) | (46) | (279) | (241) | - | - | - | - |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|-------|-------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Foreign exchange effect | 39 | 69 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Dispositions | (159) | (137) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Acquisitions | 303 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Other | (1) | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------|--------|----------|----------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Fair value of plan assets, end of year | \$ 954 | \$ 750 | \$ 3,425 | \$ 3,362 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
|---|--------|--------|----------|----------|------|------|------|------|------|

| | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|------------|------------|----------|----------|---------|----------|----------|----------|
| Funded status, end of year | \$ (1,027) | \$ (1,563) | \$ (453) | \$ (325) | \$ (66) | \$ (106) | \$ (279) | \$ (274) |
|-----------------------------------|------------|------------|----------|----------|---------|----------|----------|----------|

Amounts recognized in the consolidated balance sheet:

| | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|-------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Assets | \$ 43 | \$ 23 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
|--------|-------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|

| | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|---------|---------|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|
| Liabilities | (1,070) | (1,586) | (453) | (325) | (66) | (106) | (279) | (274) |
|-------------|---------|---------|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|

| | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|------------|------------|----------|----------|---------|----------|----------|----------|
| Total amounts recognized | \$ (1,027) | \$ (1,563) | \$ (453) | \$ (325) | \$ (66) | \$ (106) | \$ (279) | \$ (274) |
|---------------------------------|------------|------------|----------|----------|---------|----------|----------|----------|

Pre tax amounts recognized in Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss):

| | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|------|---------|---------|--------|
| Net income (loss) | \$ (539) | \$ (727) | \$ (838) | \$ (921) | \$ 3 | \$ (10) | \$ (11) | \$ (7) |
|-------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|------|---------|---------|--------|

| | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|----|----|------|------|---|-----|------|------|
| Prior service (cost) credit | 36 | 58 | (13) | (15) | 1 | (1) | (15) | (16) |
|-----------------------------|----|----|------|------|---|-----|------|------|

| | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|------|---------|---------|---------|
| Total amounts recognized | \$ (503) | \$ (669) | \$ (851) | \$ (936) | \$ 4 | \$ (11) | \$ (26) | \$ (23) |
|---------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|------|---------|---------|---------|

- (a) *AIG does not currently fund postretirement benefits.*
- (b) *Includes unfunded plans for which the aggregate pension benefit obligation was \$627 million and \$990 million at December 2010 and 2009, respectively. For 2010 and 2009, approximately 72 percent and 79 percent pertain to Japanese plans, which are not required by local regulation to be funded. The projected benefit obligation for these plans total \$454 million and \$785 million, respectively.*
- (c) *Includes non-qualified unfunded plans, for which the aggregate projected benefit obligation was \$219 million and \$224 million at December 2010 and 2009, respectively.*

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

At December 31, 2010, the funded status for the Non-U.S. pension plans was reduced by \$536 million from December 31, 2009 primarily due to the divestitures of ALICO and AIA, which are included in dispositions in the table above.

The following table presents the accumulated benefit obligations for non-U.S. and U.S. pension benefit plans:

At December 31,

| (in millions) | 2010 | 2009 |
|--------------------------------|----------|----------|
| Non-U.S. pension benefit plans | \$ 1,720 | \$ 2,099 |
| U.S. pension benefit plans | \$ 3,388 | \$ 3,131 |

Defined benefit pension plan obligations in which the projected benefit obligation was in excess of the related plan assets and the accumulated benefit obligation was in excess of the related plan assets were as follows:

| At December 31, | PBO Exceeds Fair Value of Plan Assets | | | | ABO Exceeds Fair Value of Plan Assets | | | |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------|------------|----------|---------------------------------------|----------|------------|--------|
| | Non-U.S. Plans | | U.S. Plans | | Non-U.S. Plans | | U.S. Plans | |
| (in millions) | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Projected benefit obligation | \$ 1,716 | \$ 2,249 | \$ 3,878 | \$ 3,687 | \$ 1,594 | \$ 2,216 | \$ 219 | \$ 237 |
| Accumulated benefit obligation | 1,720 | 2,099 | 3,388 | 3,131 | 1,496 | 2,035 | 167 | 192 |
| Fair value of plan assets | 646 | 663 | 3,425 | 3,362 | 612 | 650 | - | 11 |

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following table presents the components of net periodic benefit cost recognized in income and other amounts recognized in Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) with respect to the defined benefit pension plans and other postretirement benefit plans:

| (in millions) | Pension | | | | | | Postretirement | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------|----------|----------|---------------------------|--------|------------|-------------------------------|--------|---------|---------------------------|--------|---------|
| | Non-U.S. Plans ^(a) | | | U.S. Plans ^(b) | | | Non-U.S. Plans ^(a) | | | U.S. Plans ^(b) | | |
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Components of net periodic benefit cost: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Service cost | \$ 137 | \$ 121 | \$ 112 | \$ 150 | \$ 155 | \$ 132 | \$ 8 | \$ 11 | \$ 8 | \$ 8 | \$ 8 | \$ 8 |
| Interest cost | 59 | 60 | 62 | 216 | 219 | 202 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 15 | 16 | 16 |
| Expected return on assets | (31) | (31) | (44) | (259) | (226) | (235) | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Amortization of prior service (credit) cost | (9) | (13) | (11) | 1 | - | (1) | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Amortization of net (gain) loss | 45 | 41 | 29 | 57 | 88 | 22 | - | 1 | - | (1) | 1 | - |
| Net curtailment (gain) loss | (1) | (2) | (5) | 1 | (4) | - | - | - | - | (2) | 1 | - |
| Net settlement (gain) loss | 3 | 11 | 4 | 58 | 14 | - | - | - | - | (6) | (8) | - |
| Other | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 5 |
| Net periodic benefit cost | \$ 205 | \$ 188 | \$ 147 | \$ 224 | \$ 246 | \$ 122 | \$ 12 | \$ 16 | \$ 12 | \$ 14 | \$ 18 | \$ 29 |
| Total recognized in Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) | \$ 167 | \$ (134) | \$ (361) | \$ 85 | \$ 492 | \$ (917) | \$ 16 | \$ 11 | \$ (16) | \$ (3) | \$ 10 | \$ (17) |
| Total recognized in net periodic benefit cost and other comprehensive income (loss) | \$ (38) | \$ (322) | \$ (508) | \$ (139) | \$ 246 | \$ (1,039) | \$ 4 | \$ (5) | \$ (28) | \$ (17) | \$ (8) | \$ (46) |

(a)

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Amounts for non-U.S. plans include pension costs associated with discontinued operations totaling \$123 million, \$122 million and \$87 million for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

(b)

Amounts for U.S. plans include pension costs associated with discontinued operations totaling \$18 million, \$20 million and \$11 million for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

The estimated net loss and prior service credit (cost) that will be amortized from Accumulated other comprehensive income into net periodic benefit cost over the next fiscal year are \$70 million and \$7 million, respectively, for AIG's combined defined benefit pension plans. For the defined benefit postretirement plans, the estimated amortization from Accumulated other comprehensive income for net gain (loss) and prior service credit (cost) that will be amortized into net periodic benefit cost over the next fiscal year will be less than \$1 million in the aggregate.

The annual pension expense in 2011 for the AIG U.S. and non-U.S. defined benefit pension plans is expected to be approximately \$282 million. A 100 basis point increase in the discount rate or expected long-term rate of return would decrease the 2011 expense by approximately \$81 million and \$44 million, respectively, with all other items remaining the same. Conversely, a 100 basis point decrease in the discount rate or expected long-term rate of return would increase the 2011 expense by approximately \$97 million and \$43 million, respectively, with all other items remaining the same.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**Curtailments, Remeasurement and Settlements**

In connection with the asset sales in 2010 and the designation of AGF as held for sale at September 30, 2010, AIG remeasured the AIG Retirement Plan. The assumptions used in the remeasurement were the same as those disclosed at December 31, 2009, except for the five percent discount rate which was derived from the rounded unadjusted Citigroup Pension Discount Curve at September 30, 2010. The remeasurement resulted in a decrease to Accumulated other comprehensive income of approximately \$571 million.

In connection with the sale of AGF on November 30, 2010, AIG recognized a net settlement loss of \$58 million in discontinued operations due to the transfer of certain pension and post retirement obligations to the buyer.

In connection with the sale of HSB on March 31, 2009, AIG recognized in income as part of the net gain from the sale, a net settlement gain of \$57 million due to the transfer of certain HSB-sponsored pension plans in the first quarter.

In connection with the sale of 21st Century Insurance Group on July 1, 2009, AIG remeasured certain of its domestic pension and postretirement plans to determine the curtailment and settlement effects. The assumptions used in the remeasurement were the same as those disclosed at December 31, 2008, except for the 6.25 percent discount rate, which was derived from the rounded unadjusted Citigroup Pension Discount Curve at June 30, 2009. The remeasurement resulted in a decrease to Accumulated other comprehensive loss of approximately \$123 million and a net settlement loss of approximately \$59 million, which was reflected in the loss from the sale of 21st Century.

Assumptions

The following table summarizes the weighted average assumptions used to determine the benefit obligations:

| | Pension | | Postretirement | |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|------------|-----------------|------------|
| | Non-U.S. Plans* | U.S. Plans | Non-U.S. Plans* | U.S. Plans |
| December 31, 2010 | | | | |
| Discount rate | 2.25% | 5.50% | 4.00% | 5.25% |
| Rate of compensation increase | 3.00% | 4.00% | 3.00% | N/A |
| December 31, 2009 | | | | |
| Discount rate | 2.75% | 6.00% | 3.75% | 5.75% |
| Rate of compensation increase | 3.50% | 4.00% | 3.75% | N/A |

*

The non-U.S. plans reflect those assumptions that were most appropriate for the local economic environments of each of the subsidiaries providing such benefits.

The following table summarizes assumed health care cost trend rates for the U.S. plans:

| At December 31, | 2010 | 2009 |
|----------------------------|-------|-------|
| Following year: | | |
| Medical (before age 65) | 7.75% | 8.00% |
| Medical (age 65 and older) | 7.00% | 7.00% |
| | 4.50% | 4.50% |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Ultimate rate to which cost increase is assumed to decline

| Year in which the ultimate trend rate is reached: | | |
|---|--------------|------|
| Medical (before age 65) | 2027* | 2027 |
| Medical (age 65 and older) | 2027* | 2027 |

*

Increase in ultimate trend rate is based on the current expectation of future increases in medical and prescription drug costs.

348 AIG 2010 Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

A one percent point change in the assumed healthcare cost trend rate would have the following effect on AIG's postretirement benefit obligations:

| At December 31, | One Percent Increase | | One Percent Decrease | |
|-----------------|----------------------|-------|----------------------|---------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| (in millions) | | | | |
| Non-U.S. plans | \$ 8 | \$ 13 | \$ (6) | \$ (10) |
| U.S. plans | \$ 4 | \$ 5 | \$ (3) | \$ (4) |

AIG's postretirement plans provide benefits primarily in the form of defined employer contributions rather than defined employer benefits. Changes in the assumed healthcare cost trend rate are subject to caps for U.S. plans. AIG's non-U.S. postretirement plans are not subject to caps.

The following table presents the weighted average assumptions used to determine the net periodic benefit costs:

| At December 31, | Pension | | Postretirement | |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|------------|
| | Non-U.S. Plans ^(a) | U.S. Plans | Non-U.S. Plans ^(a) | U.S. Plans |
| 2010 | | | | |
| Discount rate | 2.75% | 6.00 ^(b) | 3.75% | 5.75 |
| Rate of compensation increase | 3.50% | 4.00 | 3.75% | N/A |
| Expected return on assets | 3.75% | 7.75 | N/A | N/A |
| 2009 | | | | |
| Discount rate | 3.00% | 6.00 ^(c) | 3.50% | 6.00 |
| Rate of compensation increase | 3.50% | 4.25 | 3.25% | N/A |
| Expected return on assets | 4.75% | 7.75 | N/A | N/A |
| 2008 | | | | |
| Discount rate | 3.25% | 6.50 | 4.50% | 6.50 |
| Rate of compensation increase | 4.50% | 4.25 | 3.25% | N/A |
| Expected return on assets | 4.50% | 7.75 | N/A | N/A |

(a) The non-U.S. plans reflect those assumptions that were most appropriate for the local economic environments of the subsidiaries providing such benefits.

(b) As a result of the 2010 asset sales and the designation of AGF as held for sale at September 30, 2010, the AIG Retirement Plan was remeasured at September 30, 2010 utilizing a five percent discount rate.

(c) As a result of the sale of 21st Century, certain U.S. plans were remeasured utilizing a 6.25 percent discount rate at July 1, 2009.

Discount Rate Methodology

The projected benefit cash flows under the U.S. AIG Retirement Plan were discounted using the spot rates derived from the unadjusted Citigroup Pension Discount Curve at December 31, 2010 and 2009 and an equivalent single discount rate was derived that resulted in the same liability. This single discount rate was rounded to the nearest 25 basis points, namely 5.5 and 6.0 percent at December 31, 2010 and 2009. The

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

methodology for determining the discount rate for the other U.S. plans was consistent with this method.

In general, the discount rates for non-U.S. pension plans were developed based on the duration of liabilities on a plan by plan basis and were selected by reference to high quality corporate bonds in developed markets or local government bonds where developed markets are not as robust or nonexistent.

The projected benefit obligation for Japan represents approximately 76 and 74 percent of the total projected benefit obligations for AIG's non-U.S. pension plans at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The weighted average discount rate of 1.50 percent for Japan was selected by reference to the published Moody's/S&P AA Corporate Bond Universe at the measurement date based on the duration of the plans' liabilities.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS*Plan Assets*

The investment strategy with respect to assets relating to AIG's U.S. and non-U.S. pension plans is designed to achieve investment returns that will (a) provide for the benefit obligations of the plans over the long term; (b) limit the risk of short-term funding shortfalls; and (c) maintain liquidity sufficient to address cash needs. Accordingly, the asset allocation strategy is designed to maximize the investment rate of return while managing various risk factors, including but not limited to, volatility relative to the benefit obligations, diversification and concentration, and the risk and rewards profile indigenous to each asset class.

There were no shares of AIG Common Stock included in the U.S. and non-U.S. pension plans assets at December 31, 2010 or 2009.

U.S. pension plans

The long-term strategic asset allocation is reviewed and revised approximately every three years. The plans' assets are monitored by the investment committee of AIG's Retirement Board and the investment managers, which can entail allocating the plans assets among approved asset classes within pre-approved ranges permitted by the strategic allocation.

The following table presents the asset allocation percentage by major asset class for U.S. pension plans and the target allocation:

At December 31,

| | Target 2011 | Actual 2010 | Actual 2009 |
|---------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Asset class: | | | |
| Equity securities | 45% | 60% | 56% |
| Fixed maturity securities | 30% | 22% | 25% |
| Other investments | 25% | 17% | 16% |
| Cash and cash equivalents | -% | 1% | 3% |
| Total | 100% | 100% | 100% |

The expected long-term rate of return for the plan was 7.75 percent for both 2010 and 2009. The expected rate of return is an aggregation of expected returns within each asset class category. The expected asset return and any contributions made by AIG together are expected to maintain the plans' ability to meet all required benefit obligations. The expected asset return with respect to each asset class was developed based on a building block approach that considers historical returns, current market conditions, asset volatility and the expectations for future market returns. While the assessment of the expected rate of return is long-term and thus not expected to change annually, significant changes in investment strategy or economic conditions may warrant such a change.

Non-U.S. pension plans

The assets of the non-U.S. pension plans are held in various trusts in multiple countries and are invested primarily in equities and fixed maturity securities to maximize the long-term return on assets for a given level of risk.

The following table presents the asset allocation percentage by major asset class for Non-U.S. pension plans and the target allocation:

At December 31,

| Target 2011 | Actual 2010 | Actual 2009 |
|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|---------------------------|------|-------------|------|
| Asset class: | | | |
| Equity securities | 42% | 57% | 46% |
| Fixed maturity securities | 35% | 28% | 27% |
| Other investments | 20% | 10% | 22% |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 3% | 5% | 5% |
| Total | 100% | 100% | 100% |

350 AIG 2010 Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The expected weighted average long-term rates of return for the non-U.S. pension plans was 3.75 percent and 4.75 percent for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The expected rate of return for each country is an aggregation of expected returns within each asset class for such country. For each country, the return with respect to each asset class was developed based on a building block approach that considers historical returns, current market conditions, asset volatility and the expectations for future market returns. While the assessment of the expected rate of return is long-term and not expected to change annually, significant changes in investment strategy or economic conditions may warrant such a change. The expected asset return and any contributions made by AIG together are expected to maintain the plan's ability to meet all required benefit obligations.

Assets Measured at Fair Value

AIG is required to disclose the level of the fair value measurement of its plan assets. The inputs and methodology used in determining the fair value of the plan assets are consistent with those used by AIG to measure its assets as noted in Note 6 herein.

The following table presents information about AIG's plan assets based on the level within the fair value hierarchy in which the fair value measurement falls:

| <i>(in millions)</i> | Non-U.S. Plans | | | | U.S. Plans | | | |
|---|----------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|
| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
| At December 31, 2010 | | | | | | | | |
| Assets: | | | | | | | | |
| Cash & cash equivalents | \$ 41 | \$ 3 | \$ - | \$ 44 | \$ 37 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 37 |
| Equity securities: | | | | | | | | |
| U.S. ^(a) | 24 | - | - | 24 | 1,731 | 13 | - | 1,744 |
| International ^(b) | 407 | 112 | - | 519 | 278 | 18 | - | 296 |
| Fixed maturity securities: | | | | | | | | |
| U.S. investment grade ^(c) | - | 10 | - | 10 | - | 478 | 1 | 479 |
| International investment grade ^(c) | 8 | 219 | - | 227 | - | - | - | - |
| U.S. and international high yield ^(d) | - | 32 | - | 32 | - | 153 | - | 153 |
| Mortgage and other asset-backed securities ^(e) | - | - | - | - | - | 44 | 80 | 124 |
| Other investment types: | | | | | | | | |
| Hedge funds ^(f) | - | - | - | - | - | 332 | - | 332 |
| Commodities | - | - | - | - | - | 19 | - | 19 |
| Private equity ^(g) | - | - | - | - | - | - | 209 | 209 |
| Insurance contracts | - | 64 | 34 | 98 | - | 32 | - | 32 |
| Total | \$ 480 | \$ 440 | \$ 34 | \$ 954 | \$ 2,046 | \$ 1,089 | \$ 290 | \$ 3,425 |
| At December 31, 2009 | | | | | | | | |
| Assets: | | | | | | | | |
| Cash & cash equivalents | \$ 36 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 36 | \$ 85 | \$ 2 | \$ - | \$ 87 |
| Equity securities: | | | | | | | | |
| U.S. ^(a) | 89 | - | - | 89 | 1,420 | 50 | - | 1,470 |
| International ^(b) | 219 | 35 | - | 254 | 392 | 16 | - | 408 |
| Fixed maturity securities: | | | | | | | | |
| U.S. investment grade ^(c) | - | 9 | - | 9 | - | 422 | 1 | 423 |
| International investment grade ^(c) | - | 114 | - | 114 | - | - | - | - |
| U.S. and international high yield ^(d) | - | 79 | - | 79 | - | 132 | 1 | 133 |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|------------|-----------|--------------|
| Mortgage and other asset-backed securities ^(e) | - | - | - | - | - | 245 | 52 | 297 | | | | | | | | |
| Other investment types: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Hedge funds ^(f) | - | 21 | - | 21 | - | 302 | - | 302 | | | | | | | | |
| Commodities | - | - | - | - | - | 33 | - | 33 | | | | | | | | |
| Real estate | - | - | 19 | 19 | - | - | - | - | | | | | | | | |
| Private equity ^(g) | - | - | 21 | 21 | - | - | 175 | 175 | | | | | | | | |
| Insurance contracts | - | 79 | 29 | 108 | - | 34 | - | 34 | | | | | | | | |
| Total | \$ | 344 | \$ | 337 | \$ | 69 | \$ | 750 | \$ | 1,897 | \$ | 1,236 | \$ | 229 | \$ | 3,362 |

(a)

Includes index funds that primarily track several indices including S&P 500 and S&P 600 in addition to other actively managed accounts, comprised of investments in large cap companies.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

- (b) *Includes investments in companies in emerging and developed markets.*
- (c) *Represents investments in U.S. and non-U.S. government issued bonds, U.S. government agency or sponsored agency bonds, and investment grade corporate bonds.*
- (d) *Consists primarily of investments in securities or debt obligations that have a rating below investment grade.*
- (e) *Comprised primarily of investments that are guaranteed by a U.S. government agency.*
- (f) *Includes funds comprised of macro, event driven, long/short equity, and controlled risk hedge fund strategies and a separately managed controlled risk strategy.*
- (g) *Includes funds that are diverse by geography, investment strategy, and sector.*

The inputs or methodologies used for valuing securities are not necessarily an indication of the risk associated with investing in these securities. Based on AIG's investment strategy, AIG has no significant concentrations of risks.

The U.S. pension plan holds a group annuity contract with US Life, an AIG subsidiary, which totaled \$32 million and \$34 million at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

The non-U.S. pension plans hold an insurance contract with AIG Star, an AIG subsidiary, which totaled \$64 million and \$79 million at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Changes in Level 3 fair value measurements

The following table presents changes in AIG's non-U.S. and U.S. Level 3 plan assets measured at fair value:

| At December 31, 2010 <i>(in millions)</i> | Balance Beginning of year | Net Realized and Unrealized Gains (Losses) | Purchases, Sales, Issuances and Settlements-NetIn | Transfers (Out) | Balance at End of year | Changes in Unrealized Losses on Instruments Held at End of year |
|---|---------------------------------|---|---|--------------------|------------------------------|--|
| Non-U.S. Plan Assets: | | | | | | |
| Real estate | \$ 19 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ (19) | \$ - | \$ - |
| Private equity | 21 | - | - | (21) | - | - |
| Insurance contracts | 29 | 3 | 2 | - | 34 | - |
| Total | \$ 69 | \$ 3 | \$ 2 | \$ (40) | \$ 34 | \$ - |
| U.S. Plan Assets: | | | | | | |
| Fixed maturity | | | | | | |
| U.S. investment grade | \$ 1 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 1 | \$ - |
| U.S. and international high yield | 1 | - | - | (1) | - | (1) |
| Mortgage and other asset-backed securities | 52 | 7 | 24 | (3) | 80 | (14) |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | |
|----------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|
| Equities U.S. | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Private equity | 175 | 17 | 17 | - | 209 | - |
| Total | \$ 229 | \$ 24 | \$ 41 | \$ (4) | \$ 290 | \$ (15) |

At December 31, 2009

Non-U.S. Plan Assets:

| | | | | | | |
|---------------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|---------------|
| Real estate | \$ 22 | \$ (3) | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 19 | \$ (3) |
| Private equity | 17 | 4 | - | - | 21 | - |
| Insurance contracts | 24 | 2 | 3 | - | 29 | - |
| Total | \$ 63 | \$ 3 | \$ 3 | \$ - | \$ 69 | \$ (3) |

U.S. Plan Assets:

| | | | | | | |
|--|---------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|---------------|----------------|
| Fixed maturity | | | | | | |
| U.S. investment grade | \$ 3 | \$ 1 | \$ (3) | \$ - | \$ 1 | \$ - |
| U.S. and international high yield | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| Mortgage and other asset-backed securities | 49 | 3 | (40) | 40 | 52 | (44) |
| Equities U.S. | 1 | - | - | (1) | - | - |
| Private equity | 159 | 33 | (18) | 1 | 175 | (19) |
| Total | \$ 213 | \$ 37 | \$ (61) | \$ 40 | \$ 229 | \$ (63) |

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**Expected Cash Flows**

Funding for the U.S. pension plan ranges from the minimum amount required by ERISA to the maximum amount that would be deductible for U.S. tax purposes. This range is generally not determined until the fourth quarter. Contributed amounts in excess of the minimum amounts are deemed voluntary. Amounts in excess of the maximum amount would be subject to an excise tax and may not be deductible under the Internal Revenue Code. Supplemental, Excess and postretirement plan payments are deductible when paid.

AIG's annual pension contribution in 2011 is expected to be approximately \$144 million for non-U.S. and certain U.S. plans. These estimates are subject to change, since contribution decisions are affected by various factors including AIG's liquidity, asset dispositions, market performance and management's discretion.

The expected future benefit payments, net of participants' contributions, with respect to the defined benefit pension plans and other postretirement benefit plans, are as follows:

| <i>(in millions)</i> | Pension | | Postretirement | |
|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| | Non-U.S. Plans | U.S. Plans | Non-U.S. Plans | U.S. Plans |
| 2011 | \$ 111 | \$ 134 | \$ 1 | \$ 17 |
| 2012 | 101 | 146 | 1 | 18 |
| 2013 | 104 | 158 | 1 | 19 |
| 2014 | 98 | 170 | 1 | 19 |
| 2015 | 99 | 183 | 1 | 20 |
| 2016 - 2019 | 537 | 1,130 | 8 | 116 |

Defined Contribution Plans

In addition to several small defined contribution plans, AIG sponsors a voluntary savings plan for U.S. employees which provides for salary reduction contributions by employees and matching U.S. contributions by AIG of up to seven percent of annual salary depending on the employees' years of service subject to certain compensation limits. Pre-tax expense associated with this plan was \$103 million, \$100 million and \$115 million in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

21. Ownership

(a) According to the Schedule 13D as amended through January 19, 2011 filed by Fairholme Capital Management, L.L.C. (Fairholme), Mr. Bruce Berkowitz and Fairholme Funds, Inc. (Fairholme Funds), Fairholme and Mr. Berkowitz each may be deemed to beneficially own 44,282,964 shares of AIG Common Stock and Fairholme Funds may be deemed to beneficially own 40,432,939 shares of AIG Common Stock. Based on the shares of AIG Common Stock outstanding at January 31, 2011, these ownership interests would represent approximately 2.5 percent of AIG Common Stock for Fairholme and Mr. Berkowitz and 2.3 percent of AIG Common Stock for Fairholme Funds.

According to the Schedule 13D as amended through March 19, 2010, filed by Maurice R. Greenberg, Edward E. Matthews, Starr International Company, Inc. (Starr International), C.V. Starr & Co. (CV Starr), Inc. and Universal Foundation, Inc. (Universal Foundation) (collectively, the Starr Group), the Starr Group could be deemed to beneficially own 14,105,606 shares of AIG Common Stock at that date. Based on the shares of AIG Common Stock outstanding at January 31, 2011, this ownership would represent approximately 0.8 percent of the outstanding shares of AIG Common Stock. Although these reporting persons may have made filings under Section 16 of the Exchange Act, reporting sales of shares of AIG Common Stock, no amendment to the Schedule 13D has been filed to report a change in ownership subsequent to March 19, 2010.

(b) As a result of the Recapitalization in January 2011, the Department of the Treasury holds 1,655,037,962 shares of newly issued AIG Common Stock, representing ownership of approximately 92.2 percent of the outstanding AIG Common Stock at December 31, 2010. For discussion of the Recapitalization and the ownership by the Department of the Treasury, see Note 1 herein.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**22. Income Taxes**

The following table presents income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax expense (benefit) by U.S. and foreign location in which such pretax income (loss) was earned or incurred.

| Years Ended December 31, (in millions) | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|---|-----------|-------------|--------------|
| U.S. | \$ 13,208 | \$ (17,122) | \$ (103,552) |
| Foreign | 4,728 | 2,815 | 523 |
| Total | \$ 17,936 | \$ (14,307) | \$ (103,029) |

The following table presents the income tax expense (benefit) attributable to pre-tax income (loss) from continuing operations:

| Years Ended December 31, (in millions) | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|--|----------|------------|------------|
| Foreign and U.S. components of actual income tax expense: | | | |
| Foreign: | | | |
| Current | \$ 807 | \$ 1,573 | \$ 1,135 |
| Deferred | 318 | 3,661 | (1,511) |
| U.S.: | | | |
| Current | (163) | 1,229 | (86) |
| Deferred | 4,897 | (7,952) | (9,221) |
| Total | \$ 5,859 | \$ (1,489) | \$ (9,683) |

AIG's actual income tax (benefit) expense differs from the statutory U.S. federal amount computed by applying the federal income tax rate due to the following:

| (dollars in millions) | 2010 | | | 2009 | | | 2008 | | |
|---|-------------------|----------|---------------------------------|-----------------|------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|-------------|---------------------------------|
| | Pre-tax Income | Amount | Percent of Pre-tax Income | Pre-tax Loss | Amount | Percent of Pre-tax Income | Pre-tax Loss | Amount | Percent of Pre-tax Income |
| U.S. federal income tax at statutory rate | \$ 17,711 | \$ 6,199 | 35.0% | \$ (15,423) | \$ (5,398) | 35.0% | \$ (108,761) | \$ (38,065) | 35.0% |
| Adjustments: | | | | | | | | | |
| Tax exempt interest | | (587) | (3.3) | | (677) | 4.4 | | (837) | 0.8 |
| Investment in subsidiaries and partnerships | | (1,319) | (7.5) | | (473) | 3.1 | | 490 | (0.5) |
| | | (2) | (0.0) | | 435 | (2.8) | | 279 | (0.3) |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------|----------|---------|-------------|------------|-------|--------------|------------|--------|
| Variable Interest Entities | | | | | | | | | |
| Uncertain Tax Positions | (37) | (0.2) | | 874 | (5.7) | | 1,000 | (0.9) | |
| Dividends received deduction | (108) | (0.6) | | (117) | 0.8 | | (90) | 0.1 | |
| Effect of foreign operations | 206 | 1.2 | | (130) | 0.8 | | 2,546 | (2.3) | |
| Bargain purchase gain | (116) | (0.7) | | - | - | | - | - | |
| State income taxes | 162 | 0.9 | | (1,371) | 8.9 | | (166) | 0.2 | |
| Goodwill | 2 | - | | 1 | - | | 1,282 | (1.2) | |
| Other | 183 | 1.0 | | 313 | (2.1) | | 1,680 | (1.6) | |
| Effect of discontinued operations | (642) | (3.6) | | (1,012) | 6.6 | | 2,644 | (2.4) | |
| Effect of discontinued operations goodwill | 1,268 | 7.2 | | 3 | (0.0) | | 119 | (0.1) | |
| State tax valuation allowance continuing operations | (289) | (1.6) | | 1,526 | (9.9) | | 71 | (0.1) | |
| Valuation allowance: | | | | | | | | | |
| Continuing operations | 1,486 | 8.4 | | 3,137 | (20.3) | | 20,121 | (18.5) | |
| Discontinued operations | 1,292 | 7.3 | | (221) | 1.4 | | 552 | (0.5) | |
| Total income tax expense (benefit) | 17,711 | 7,698 | 43.5 | (15,423) | (3,110) | 20.2 | (108,761) | (8,374) | 7.7 |
| Amount included in discontinued operations | (225) | 1,839 | (817.3) | (1,116) | (1,621) | 145.3 | (5,732) | 1,309 | (22.8) |
| Tax expense (benefit) from continuing operations | \$ 17,936 | \$ 5,859 | 32.7% | \$ (14,307) | \$ (1,489) | 10.4% | \$ (103,029) | \$ (9,683) | 9.4% |

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the year ended December 31, 2010, the effective tax rate on pretax income from continuing operations was 32.7 percent. The effective tax rate for the year ended December 31, 2010, attributable to continuing operations differs from the statutory rate primarily due to tax benefits of \$1.3 billion associated with AIG's investment in subsidiaries and partnerships, principally the AIA SPV which is treated as a partnership for U.S. tax purposes, and \$587 million associated with tax exempt interest, partially offset by an increase in the valuation allowance attributable to continuing operations of \$1.5 billion.

The effective tax rate on the pre-tax loss from continuing operations for the year ended December 31, 2009, differs from the statutory rate primarily due to increases in the valuation allowance of \$3.1 billion and reserve for uncertain tax positions of \$874 million, partially offset by tax exempt interest of \$677 million and the change in investment in subsidiaries and partnerships of \$473 million which was principally related to changes in the estimated U.S. tax liability with respect to the potential sales of subsidiaries.

The effective tax rate on the pre-tax loss from continuing operations for the year ended December 31, 2008, differs from the statutory rate primarily due to the change in investment in subsidiaries and partnerships of \$490 million, effect of foreign operations of \$2.5 billion, nondeductible goodwill impairment of \$1.3 billion, reserve for uncertain tax positions of \$1.0 billion, and an increase in the valuation allowance of \$20.1 billion.

The following table presents the components of the net deferred tax asset:

December 31,
(in millions)

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|--|-------------------|-----------------|
| Deferred tax assets: | | |
| Losses and tax credit carryforwards | \$ 25,195 | \$ 26,204 |
| Unrealized loss on investments | 3,223 | 8,651 |
| Adjustment to life policy reserves | (458) | 2,794 |
| Accruals not currently deductible, and other | 3,036 | 2,616 |
| Investments in foreign subsidiaries and joint ventures | 2,207 | 2,194 |
| Loss reserve discount | 1,264 | 1,613 |
| Loan loss and other reserves | 1,093 | 1,461 |
| Unearned premium reserve reduction | 825 | 1,467 |
| Employee benefits | 1,034 | 1,088 |
| Total deferred tax assets | 37,419 | 48,088 |
| Deferred tax liabilities: | | |
| Deferred policy acquisition costs | (4,387) | (12,110) |
| Flight equipment, fixed assets and intangible assets | (4,753) | (5,030) |
| Unrealized gains related to available for sale debt securities | (3,317) | (835) |
| Other | (466) | (524) |
| Total deferred tax liabilities | (12,923) | (18,499) |
| Net deferred tax assets before valuation allowance | 24,496 | 29,589 |
| Valuation allowance | (25,773) | (23,705) |
| Net deferred tax assets (liabilities) | \$ (1,277) | \$ 5,884 |

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following table presents AIG's U.S. consolidated income tax group tax losses and credits carryforwards as of December 31, 2010 on a tax return basis.

December 31, 2010*(in millions)*

| | Gross | Tax Effected | Expiration Periods |
|---|-----------|--------------|--------------------|
| Net operating loss carryforwards | \$ 32,281 | \$ 11,298 | 20 years/2028-2030 |
| Capital loss carryforwards Life | 23,172 | 8,110 | 5 years/2013-2014 |
| Capital loss carryforwards Non-Life | 4,633 | 1,621 | 5 years/2014-2015 |
| Foreign tax credit carryforwards | - | 4,575 | 10 years/2015-2020 |
| Other carryforwards and other | - | 424 | various |
| Total AIG U.S. consolidated income tax group tax losses and credits carryforwards | | \$ 26,028 | |

The following table provides a rollforward of the net deferred tax asset (liability) from December 31, 2009 to December 31, 2010.

| <i>(in millions)</i> | Net Deferred Tax Asset Before Valuation Allowance | 2010 Valuation Allowance | Net Deferred Tax Asset (Liability) |
|--|---|--------------------------------|--|
| Net deferred tax asset, beginning of year | \$ 29,589 | \$ (23,705) | \$ 5,884 |
| Provision continuing operations | (4,018) | (1,197) | (5,215) |
| Benefit (provision) discontinued operations | 1 | (1,292) | (1,291) |
| Deferred taxes on components of shareholders' equity | (2,490) | (66) | (2,556) |
| Deferred taxes of acquired entities | 621 | (693) | (72) |
| Deferred taxes of deconsolidated entities | 140 | 1,180 | 1,320 |
| Net deferred tax liabilities reclassified as held for sale | 653 | - | 653 |
| Net deferred tax asset (liability), end of year | \$ 24,496 | \$ (25,773) | \$ (1,277) |

Assessment of Deferred Tax Asset Valuation Allowances

AIG evaluates the recoverability of the deferred tax asset and establishes a valuation allowance, if necessary, to reduce the deferred tax asset to an amount that is more likely than not to be realized (a likelihood of more than 50 percent). Significant judgment is required to determine whether a valuation allowance is necessary and the amount of such valuation allowance, if appropriate.

When assessing the realization of its deferred tax asset, AIG considers all available evidence, including:

the nature, frequency, and severity of cumulative financial reporting losses in recent years;

the carryforward periods for the net operating loss, capital loss and foreign tax credit carryforwards;

predictability of future operating profitability of the character necessary to realize the asset;

certain transactions, including the recognition of the gains and losses on dispositions;

prudent and feasible tax planning strategies that would be implemented, if necessary, to protect against the loss of the deferred tax asset; and

the effect of reversing taxable temporary differences.

The evaluation of the recoverability of the deferred tax asset requires AIG to weigh all positive and negative evidence to reach a conclusion that it is more likely than not that all or some portion of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. The weight given to the evidence is commensurate with the extent to which it can be objectively verified. The more negative evidence that exists, the more positive evidence is necessary and the more difficult it is to support a conclusion that a valuation allowance is not needed. As part of the evaluation at

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

December 31, 2010, despite several favorable developments, including the completion of the Recapitalization in January 2011, the wind-down of AIGFP's portfolios, and the sale of AGF, AIG has recent negative evidence of cumulative operating losses and a lack of predictable profits. Based on this evidence, AIG cannot assert that it is more likely than not that any U.S. member deferred tax assets will be realized at December 31, 2010.

However, if in the future AIG demonstrates consistent profitability, ability to accurately project income by jurisdiction and the resultant annual effective tax rate, or develops prudent and feasible tax planning strategies, the evaluation of the recoverability of the deferred tax asset could change and the valuation allowance could be released in whole or in part.

The following table presents the net deferred tax assets (liabilities) for December 31, 2010, and December 31, 2009, respectively, on a U.S. GAAP basis:

| <i>(in millions)</i> | December 31, | |
|--|---------------------|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Net U.S. consolidated return group deferred tax assets | \$ 26,563 | \$ 28,267 |
| Net deferred tax assets in Other comprehensive income | (2,901) | 413 |
| Valuation Allowance | (23,840) | (20,452) |
| Net U.S. consolidated return group deferred tax assets | (178) | 8,228 |
| Net foreign, state & local deferred tax assets* | 834 | 909 |
| Valuation allowance | (1,933) | (3,253) |
| Net foreign, state & local deferred tax assets | (1,099) | (2,344) |
| Total AIG net deferred tax (liabilities) assets | \$ (1,277) | \$ 5,884 |

*

Amount includes deferred tax liabilities for certain jurisdictions which are not available to offset deferred tax assets from other jurisdictions.

Deferred tax asset of U.S. consolidated income tax group

At December 31, 2009, AIG had a partial deferred tax asset valuation allowance of \$20.4 billion as \$8.2 billion of the U.S. consolidated income tax group deferred tax asset was supported by gains expected to be realized from the planned divestitures of businesses and assets, principally AIA and ALICO. All of these divestitures were completed in 2010.

At December 31, 2010, and December 31, 2009, AIG's U.S. consolidated income tax group had net deferred tax liability after valuation allowance of \$178 million and net deferred tax asset after valuation allowance of \$8.2 billion, respectively. At December 31, 2010, and December 31, 2009, AIG's U.S. consolidated income tax group had deferred tax asset valuation allowances of \$23.9 billion and \$20.4 billion, respectively.

For the year ended December 31, 2010, AIG recorded an increase in the U.S. consolidated income tax group deferred tax asset valuation allowance of \$3.4 billion. The increase in the deferred tax asset valuation allowance was primarily attributable to a \$1.8 billion increase in the deferred tax asset associated with operating losses excluding divestiture gains that resulted in the realization of previously recognized deferred tax assets, \$525 million related to lower than expected realized value from the divestiture of AIA, \$1.3 billion related to a reduction in the

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

estimated U.S. tax liability related to the investment in subsidiaries as a result of goodwill impairment charges, a \$533 million increase in the deferred tax asset attributable to the pre-tax loss on the disposition of AGF, \$589 million related to a reduction in tax planning strategies, and a transfer of deferred tax asset valuation allowance to the U.S. consolidated income tax group of \$582 million as a result of a U.S. tax election to treat a foreign insurance company as a U.S. insurance company for U.S. federal income tax purposes. These increases in the deferred tax asset valuation allowance were partially offset by \$1.9 billion reduction associated primarily with the appreciation in the available for sale securities portfolio.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The significant unrealized appreciation in the available for sale securities portfolio partially offset by activity in other comprehensive income reduced the net deferred tax asset before valuation allowances, allowing a reduction of \$1.9 billion of valuation allowance.

During the year ended December 31, 2010, AIG changed its planned securitization of an insurance portfolio because it is pursuing more attractive opportunities to provide liquidity. This planned securitization previously supported \$589 million of the U.S. consolidated income tax group's deferred tax assets.

For the year ended December 31, 2010, \$1.5 billion of the increase in valuation allowance was allocated to continuing operations, \$1.2 billion was allocated to discontinued operations, and \$66 million was allocated to Accumulated other comprehensive income. This allocation was based on the primacy of continuing operations, which requires a net increase in valuation allowance to be attributed to continuing operations to the extent of the related deferred tax benefit attributable to continuing operations. The amount allocated to continuing operations also included the \$589 million of change related to a reduction in tax planning strategies. The increase in valuation allowance of \$1.2 billion was allocated to discontinued operations to principally offset the deferred tax benefit on losses.

Deferred tax liability – foreign, state and local

At December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, AIG had net deferred tax liabilities of \$1.1 billion and \$2.3 billion, respectively, related to foreign subsidiaries, state and local tax jurisdictions, and certain domestic subsidiaries that file separate tax returns.

At December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, AIG had deferred tax asset valuation allowances of \$1.9 billion and \$3.3 billion, respectively, related to foreign subsidiaries, state and local tax jurisdictions, and certain domestic subsidiaries that file separate tax returns. The change is primarily due to a reduction in deferred tax asset valuation allowance of \$1.1 billion related to entities sold, a reduction in state and local jurisdictions valuation allowances of \$269 million, and a transfer of deferred tax asset valuation allowance to the U.S. consolidated income tax group of \$582 million as a result of a U.S. tax election to treat a foreign insurance company as a U.S. insurance company for U.S. federal income tax purposes, partially offset by an additional deferred tax asset valuation allowance of \$693 million associated with the purchase of additional shares of Fuji, recorded through purchase accounting.

Tax Examinations and Litigation

AIG and its eligible U.S. subsidiaries file a consolidated U.S. federal income tax return. Several U.S. subsidiaries included in the consolidated financial statements file separate U.S. federal income tax returns and are not part of the AIG U.S. consolidated income tax group. Subsidiaries operating outside the U.S. are taxed, and income tax expense is recorded, based on applicable U.S. and foreign law.

The statute of limitations for all tax years prior to 2000 has now expired for AIG's consolidated federal income tax return. AIG is currently under examination for the tax years 2000 through 2005.

In April 2008, AIG filed a refund claim for years 1997 through 2006. A refund claim filed in June 2007 for years 1991 through 1996 is pending. These refund claims relate to the tax effects of the restatements of AIG's 2004 and prior financial statements.

On March 20, 2008, AIG received a Statutory Notice of Deficiency (Notice) from the IRS for years 1997 to 1999. The Notice asserted that AIG owes additional taxes and penalties for these years primarily due to the disallowance of foreign tax credits associated with cross-border financing transactions. The transactions that are the subject of the Notice extend beyond the period covered by the Notice, and the IRS is challenging the later periods. It is also possible that the IRS will consider other transactions to be similar to these transactions. AIG has paid the assessed tax plus interest and penalties for 1997. On February 26, 2009, AIG filed a complaint in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York seeking a refund of approximately \$306 million in taxes, interest and penalties paid with respect to its 1997 taxable year. AIG alleges that the IRS improperly disallowed foreign tax credits and that AIG's taxable income should be reduced as a result of AIG's

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

2005 restatement of its consolidated financial statements. AIG has also paid additional taxes, interest, and penalties assessed for 1998 and 1999, and filed an administrative refund claim on September 9, 2010 for these periods. AIG will vigorously defend its position, and continues to believe that it has adequate reserves for any liability that could result from the IRS actions.

Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes

The following table presents a rollforward of the beginning and ending balances of the total amounts of gross unrecognized tax benefits:

| Year Ended December 31, (in millions) | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|---|----------|----------|----------|
| Gross unrecognized tax benefits, beginning of year | \$ 4,843 | \$ 3,368 | \$ 1,310 |
| Increases in tax positions for prior years | 888 | 1,628 | 1,175 |
| Decreases in tax positions for prior years | (470) | (132) | (248) |
| Increases in tax positions for current year | 49 | 142 | 1,092 |
| Lapse in statute of limitations | (6) | (47) | (26) |
| Settlements | (12) | (9) | (25) |
| Activity of discontinued operations | - | (46) | 90 |
| Less: Unrecognized tax benefits of held for sale entities | 4 | (61) | - |
| Gross unrecognized tax benefits, end of year | \$ 5,296 | \$ 4,843 | \$ 3,368 |

At December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, AIG's unrecognized tax benefits, excluding interest and penalties, were \$5.3 billion, \$4.8 billion and \$3.4 billion, respectively. At December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, AIG's unrecognized tax benefits were \$1.7 billion, \$1.4 billion and \$665 million, respectively, related to tax positions that if recognized would not affect the effective tax rate as they relate to such factors as the timing, rather than the permissibility, of the deduction. Accordingly, at December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, the amounts of unrecognized tax benefits that, if recognized, would favorably affect the effective tax rate were \$3.6 billion, \$3.4 billion and \$2.7 billion, respectively.

Interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits are recognized in income tax expense. At December 31, 2010 and 2009, AIG had accrued \$952 million and \$835 million, respectively, for the payment of interest (net of the federal benefit) and penalties. For the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, AIG had accrued \$152 million, \$393 million and \$146 million, respectively, for the payment of interest (net of the federal benefit) and penalties.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

AIG regularly evaluates adjustments proposed by taxing authorities. At December 31, 2010, such proposed adjustments would not have resulted in a material change to AIG's consolidated financial condition, although it is possible that the effect could be material to AIG's consolidated results of operations for an individual reporting period. Although it is reasonably possible that a change in the balance of unrecognized tax benefits may occur within the next 12 months, based on the information currently available, AIG does not expect any change to be material to AIG's consolidated financial condition.

Listed below are the tax years that remain subject to examination by major tax jurisdictions:

| At December 31, 2010 | Open Tax Years |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Major Tax Jurisdiction | |
| United States | 2000 - 2009 |
| France | 2007 - 2009 |
| Hong Kong | 2004 - 2009 |
| Japan | 2003 - 2009 |
| Korea | 2009 |
| Malaysia | 2002 - 2009 |
| Singapore | 2001 - 2009 |
| Taiwan | 2005 - 2009 |
| Thailand | 2005 - 2009 |
| United Kingdom | 2008 - 2009 |

23. Restructuring

Since September 2008, AIG has been working to execute an orderly disposition plan with respect to certain of its businesses and assets, protect and enhance the value of its key businesses, and position itself for the future. Successful execution of the plan involved significant separation and restructuring activities, including the separation of shared services, corporate functions, infrastructure and assets among business units.

In connection with these activities, AIG has incurred significant expenses, including legal, banking, accounting, consulting and other professional fees. In addition, AIG is contractually obligated to reimburse or advance certain professional fees and other expenses incurred by the FRBNY, the Department of Treasury and the trustees of the Trust.

Restructuring and separation expenses that have been cumulatively incurred or could be reasonably expected to be incurred at December 31, 2010, are set forth in the table below, as well as expenses (principally professional fees) that were capitalized. With respect to the FRBNY and the trustees of the Trust, these amounts include actual reimbursements and payments made through December 31, 2010.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**Restructuring expenses and related asset impairment and other expenses by reportable segment consisted of the following:**

| <i>(in millions)</i> | Chartis | SunAmerica Financial Group | Financial Services ^(a) | Other ^(b) | Total |
|--|---------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|----------|
| Year Ended December 31, 2010 | | | | | |
| Restructuring expenses | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 30 | \$ 488 | \$ 518 |
| Separation expenses | 2 | 10 | (11) | 55 | 56 |
| Total | \$ 2 | \$ 10 | \$ 19 | \$ 543 | \$ 574 |
| Year Ended December 31, 2009 | | | | | |
| Restructuring expenses | \$ 2 | \$ 33 | \$ 138 | \$ 483 | \$ 656 |
| Separation expenses | 181 | 60 | 107 | 145 | 493 |
| Total | \$ 183 | \$ 93 | \$ 245 | \$ 628 | \$ 1,149 |
| Year Ended December 31, 2008 | | | | | |
| Restructuring expenses | \$ - | \$ 3 | \$ 66 | \$ 220 | \$ 289 |
| Separation expenses | 84 | 55 | 243 | 100 | 482 |
| Total | \$ 84 | \$ 58 | \$ 309 | \$ 320 | \$ 771 |
| Cumulative amounts incurred since inception of restructuring plan | | | | | |
| | \$ 269 | \$ 161 | \$ 573 | \$ 1,491 | \$ 2,494 |
| Total amounts expected to be incurred^(c) | | | | | |
| | \$ 269 | \$ 170 | \$ 638 | \$ 1,552 | \$ 2,629 |

(a) Benefit in 2010 relates to returned AIGFP retention awards.

(b)

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Primarily includes professional fees related to disposition activities and the Recapitalization.

- (c) *Includes cumulative amounts incurred and future amounts to be incurred that can be reasonably estimated at December 31, 2010.*

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

A rollforward of the restructuring liability, reported in Other liabilities on AIG's Consolidated Balance Sheet, for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, the cumulative amounts incurred since inception of the restructuring plan, and the total amounts expected to be incurred are summarized as follows:

| <i>(in millions)</i> | Severance Expenses | Contract Termination Expenses | Asset Write- Downs | Other Expenses ^(a) | Subtotal Restructuring Expenses | Separation Expenses | Total Restructuring and Separation Expenses |
|--|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------|---|
| Year Ended December 31, 2010 | | | | | | | |
| Balance, beginning of year | \$ 125 | \$ 20 | \$ - | \$ 81 | \$ 226 | \$ 360 | \$ 586 |
| Additional charges | (5) | 6 | 5 | 365 | 371 | 45 | 416 |
| Cash payments | (94) | (12) | - | (389) | (495) | (290) | (785) |
| Non-cash items ^(b) | (3) | - | (6) | - | (9) | (24) | (33) |
| Changes in estimates | 5 | 1 | 1 | 143 | 150 | 11 | 161 |
| Activity of discontinued operations | - | (6) | 1 | (25) | (30) | (51) | (81) |
| Sale of business unit / deconsolidation | (1) | (3) | (1) | 18 | 13 | (36) | (23) |
| Balance, end of year | \$ 27 | \$ 6 | \$ - | \$ 193 | \$ 226 | \$ 15 | \$ 241 |
| Cumulative amounts incurred since inception of restructuring plan | \$ 240 | \$ 70 | \$ 87 | \$ 1,068 | \$ 1,465 | \$ 1,032 | \$ 2,497 |
| Total amounts expected to be incurred ^(c) | \$ 240 | \$ 100 | \$ 87 | \$ 1,159 | \$ 1,586 | \$ 1,043 | \$ 2,629 |
| Year Ended December 31, 2009 | | | | | | | |
| Balance, beginning of year | \$ 77 | \$ 27 | \$ - | \$ 87 | \$ 191 | \$ 284 | \$ 475 |
| Additional charges | 146 | 35 | 34 | 441 | 656 | 506 | 1,162 |
| Cash payments | (91) | (23) | - | (444) | (558) | (575) | (1,133) |
| Non-cash items ^(b) | (10) | (31) | (78) | (1) | (120) | 52 | (68) |
| Changes in estimates | 13 | 7 | - | (20) | - | (13) | (13) |
| Activity of discontinued operations | (6) | 5 | 44 | 18 | 61 | 107 | 168 |
| Reclassified to Liabilities of businesses held for sale | (4) | - | - | - | (4) | (1) | (5) |
| Balance, end of year | \$ 125 | \$ 20 | \$ - | \$ 81 | \$ 226 | \$ 360 | \$ 586 |
| Cumulative amounts incurred since inception of restructuring plan | \$ 240 | \$ 63 | \$ 81 | \$ 560 | \$ 944 | \$ 976 | \$ 1,920 |
| Total amounts expected to be incurred ^(c) | \$ 250 | \$ 115 | \$ 180 | \$ 757 | \$ 1,302 | \$ 1,268 | \$ 2,570 |

(a) Primarily includes professional fees related to (i) disposition activities, (ii) the Recapitalization and (iii) AIGFP unwinding activities.

(b)

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Primarily represents asset impairment charges, foreign currency translation and reclassification adjustments.

(c) *Includes cumulative amounts incurred and future amounts to be incurred that can be reasonably estimated at December 31, 2010.*

362 AIG 2010 Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**24. Quarterly Financial Information (Unaudited)****Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss)**

| <i>(dollars in millions, except per share data)</i> | Three Months Ended | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------|------------|------------|-----------|---------------|-----------|--------------|------------|
| | March 31, | | June 30, | | September 30, | | December 31, | |
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Total revenues | \$ 18,520 | \$ 14,550 | \$ 18,278 | \$ 22,505 | \$ 19,420 | \$ 19,513 | \$ 21,083 | \$ 18,784 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax expense (benefit)* | 1,641 | (6,128) | 1,501 | 526 | 306 | (640) | 14,488 | (8,065) |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of income tax expense (benefit)* | 343 | 115 | (2,611) | 1,097 | (1,833) | 217 | 2,037 | (924) |
| Net income (loss) | 2,431 | (5,133) | (2,115) | 1,845 | (2,013) | (15) | 11,710 | (9,010) |
| Net income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to noncontrolling interests: | | | | | | | | |
| Noncontrolling nonvoting, callable, junior and senior preferred interests held by Federal Reserve Bank of New York | 519 | - | 508 | - | 388 | - | 403 | 140 |
| Other | 119 | (768) | 20 | (7) | 104 | (496) | 112 | (305) |
| Total net income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to noncontrolling interests | 638 | (768) | 528 | (7) | 492 | (496) | 515 | (165) |
| Net income (loss) attributable to AIG* | \$ 1,783 | \$ (4,353) | \$ (2,656) | \$ 1,822 | \$ (2,517) | \$ 455 | \$ 11,176 | \$ (8,873) |
| Earnings (loss) per common share attributable to AIG: | | | | | | | | |
| Basic: | | | | | | | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | \$ 2.16 | \$ (40.60) | \$ (0.25) | \$ 0.70 | \$ (4.95) | \$ 0.39 | \$ 13.60 | \$ (58.51) |
| Income (loss) from discontinued | \$ 0.50 | \$ 0.93 | \$ (19.32) | \$ 1.60 | \$ (13.58) | \$ 0.29 | \$ 3.00 | \$ (7.00) |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|----|-------------|----|-------------|----|-------------|----|-------------|----|-------------|----|-------------|----|-------------|----|-------------|
| operations | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Diluted: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | \$ | 2.16 | \$ | (40.60) | \$ | (0.25) | \$ | 0.70 | \$ | (4.95) | \$ | 0.39 | \$ | 13.60 | \$ | (58.51) |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations | \$ | 0.50 | \$ | 0.93 | \$ | (19.32) | \$ | 1.60 | \$ | (13.58) | \$ | 0.29 | \$ | 3.00 | \$ | (7.00) |
| Weighted average shares outstanding: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Basic | | 135,658,680 | | 135,252,869 | | 135,813,034 | | 135,281,740 | | 135,879,125 | | 135,293,841 | | 138,395,856 | | 135,446,727 |
| Diluted | | 135,724,939 | | 135,252,869 | | 135,869,594 | | 135,336,440 | | 135,879,125 | | 135,456,372 | | 138,447,775 | | 135,446,727 |
| Noteworthy quarterly items income (expense): | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Credit valuation adjustment | \$ | (49) | \$ | 1,787 | \$ | 368 | \$ | (37) | \$ | (155) | \$ | 645 | \$ | 456 | \$ | 393 |
| Other-than-temporary impairments | | (864) | | (3,451) | | (583) | | (799) | | (824) | | (1,519) | | (768) | | (927) |
| Net (gain) loss on sale of divested businesses | | 76 | | (259) | | (198) | | 566 | | (4) | | 885 | | (17,641) | | 79 |
| Adjustment to federal and foreign deferred tax valuation allowance | | 750 | | (1,575) | | (539) | | 1,544 | | 110 | | (450) | | (1,807) | | (2,656) |
| Accelerated amortization of prepaid commitment asset | | 627 | | 810 | | 531 | | 810 | | 1,187 | | 810 | | 1,079 | | 5,881 |
| Reserve strengthening charge | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - | | 4,203 | | 2,151 |

* During 2010, AIG reclassified aircraft asset impairment charges and operating lease-related charges from Other income to Other expenses and reclassified the provision for overhauls from Other expenses to Other income. Prior periods have been revised to conform to the current period presentation.

For the three months ended December 31, 2010, AIG recorded out of period adjustments related to prior periods that decreased AIG's income from continuing operations and increased AIG's income from discontinued operations, before income taxes, by \$415 million and \$295 million, respectively, and decreased Net income attributable to AIG by \$116 million. The amounts were primarily due to impairments on certain partnership investments held by SunAmerica and adjustments related to deferred acquisition costs. For the three months ended December 31, 2009, AIG recorded out of period adjustments related to prior periods which increased AIG's losses from continuing operations and AIG's losses from discontinued operations, before income tax benefit, by \$649 million and \$98 million, respectively, and increased Net loss attributable to AIG by \$390 million. The amounts were primarily due to an intercompany elimination to Other income reported in the Other operations category. These entries primarily affected previously reported 2010 and 2009 quarterly results.

Had all adjustments been recorded in their appropriate periods, Net income (loss) attributable to AIG for the three-month periods ended September 30, 2010, June 30, 2010 and March 31, 2010 would have increased by \$139 million, decreased by \$187 million and increased by \$76 million, respectively. Net income (loss) attributable to AIG for the three-month periods ended September 30, 2009, June 30, 2009 and March 31, 2009 would have decreased by \$88 million, decreased by \$484 million and increased by \$298 million, respectively.

While these adjustments were noteworthy for the periods, after evaluating the quantitative and qualitative aspects of these corrections, AIG concluded that its prior period financial statements were not materially misstated and, therefore, no restatement was required.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**25. Information Provided in Connection With Outstanding Debt**

The following condensed consolidating financial statements reflect the results of SAFG, Inc. formerly known as AIG Life Holdings (US), Inc. (AIGLH), a holding company and a wholly owned subsidiary of AIG. AIG provides a full and unconditional guarantee of all outstanding debt of SAFG, Inc.

Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet

| <i>(in millions)</i> | American International Group, Inc. (As Guarantor) | SAFG, Inc. ^(a) | Other Subsidiaries | Reclassifications and Eliminations | Consolidated AIG |
|--|---|---------------------------|-----------------------|--|---------------------|
| December 31, 2010 | | | | | |
| Assets: | | | | | |
| Short-term investments ^(a) | \$ 5,602 | \$ - | \$ 38,354 | \$ (1,771) | \$ 42,185 |
| Other investments | 5,852 | - | 488,047 | (125,672) | 368,227 |
| Total investments | 11,454 | - | 526,401 | (127,443) | 410,412 |
| Cash | 49 | - | 1,509 | - | 1,558 |
| Loans to subsidiaries ^(b) | 61,630 | - | (61,630) | - | - |
| Debt issuance costs, including prepaid commitment asset of \$3,628 | 3,838 | - | 241 | - | 4,079 |
| Investment in consolidated subsidiaries ^(b) | 93,511 | 33,354 | (6,788) | (120,077) | - |
| Other assets, including current and deferred income taxes | 7,852 | 2,717 | 150,157 | (785) | 159,941 |
| Assets held for sale | - | - | 107,453 | - | 107,453 |
| Total assets | \$ 178,334 | \$ 36,071 | \$ 717,343 | \$ (248,305) | \$ 683,443 |
| Liabilities: | | | | | |
| Insurance liabilities | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 278,776 | \$ (984) | \$ 277,792 |
| Federal Reserve Bank of New York credit facility | 20,985 | - | - | - | 20,985 |
| Other long-term debt | 40,443 | 1,637 | 167,532 | (124,136) | 85,476 |
| Other liabilities, including intercompany balances ^{(a)(c)} | 31,587 | 4,793 | 54,788 | (2,963) | 88,205 |
| Liabilities held for sale | - | - | 97,300 | 12 | 97,312 |
| Total liabilities | 93,015 | 6,430 | 598,396 | (128,071) | 569,770 |
| Redeemable noncontrolling interests in partially owned consolidated subsidiaries (including \$12 associated with businesses held for sale) | - | - | 207 | 227 | 434 |
| Total AIG shareholders' equity | 85,319 | 29,641 | 117,641 | (147,282) | 85,319 |
| Noncontrolling interests: | | | | | |
| Noncontrolling nonvoting, callable, junior and senior preferred interest held by Federal Reserve Bank of New York | - | - | - | 26,358 | 26,358 |
| Other (including \$204 million associated with businesses held for sale) | - | - | 1,099 | 463 | 1,562 |
| Total noncontrolling interests | - | - | 1,099 | 26,821 | 27,920 |
| Total equity | 85,319 | 29,641 | 118,740 | (120,461) | 113,239 |
| Total liabilities and equity | \$ 178,334 | \$ 36,071 | \$ 717,343 | \$ (248,305) | \$ 683,443 |

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

| <i>(in millions)</i> | American International Group, Inc. (As Guarantor) | SAFG, Inc.^(a) | Other Subsidiaries | Reclassifications and Eliminations | Consolidated AIG |
|---|--|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|
| December 31, 2009 | | | | | |
| Assets: | | | | | |
| Short-term investments ^(a) | \$ 1,311 | \$ - | \$ 45,996 | \$ (44) | \$ 47,263 |
| Other investments | 9,391 | - | 690,981 | (146,470) | 553,902 |
| Total investments | 10,702 | - | 736,977 | (146,514) | 601,165 |
| Cash | 57 | 2 | 4,341 | - | 4,400 |
| Loans to subsidiaries ^(b) | 72,926 | - | (72,926) | - | - |
| Debt issuance costs, including prepaid commitment asset of \$7,099 | 7,383 | - | 159 | - | 7,542 |
| Investment in consolidated subsidiaries ^(b) | 71,419 | 28,580 | (980) | (99,019) | - |
| Other assets, including current and deferred income taxes | 10,986 | 2,618 | 164,670 | (175) | 178,099 |
| Assets held for sale | - | - | 56,379 | - | 56,379 |
| Total assets | \$ 173,473 | \$ 31,200 | \$ 888,620 | \$ (245,708) | \$ 847,585 |
| Liabilities: | | | | | |
| Insurance liabilities | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 461,706 | \$ (409) | \$ 461,297 |
| Federal Reserve Bank of New York Commercial Paper Funding Facility | - | - | 4,739 | - | 4,739 |
| Federal Reserve Bank of New York credit facility | 23,435 | - | - | - | 23,435 |
| Other long-term debt | 45,436 | 2,097 | 210,512 | (144,747) | 113,298 |
| Other liabilities, including intercompany balances ^{(a)(c)} | 34,778 | 4,209 | 60,135 | (1,940) | 97,182 |
| Liabilities held for sale | - | - | 48,599 | - | 48,599 |
| Total liabilities | 103,649 | 6,306 | 785,691 | (147,096) | 748,550 |
| Redeemable noncontrolling interests in partially owned consolidated subsidiaries (including \$211 associated with businesses held for sale) | - | - | 177 | 782 | 959 |
| Total AIG shareholders' equity | 69,824 | 24,894 | 83,303 | (108,197) | 69,824 |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Noncontrolling interests:

| | | | | | |
|---|------------|-----------|------------|--------------|------------|
| Noncontrolling nonvoting, callable, junior and senior preferred interest held by Federal Reserve Bank of New York | - | - | 15,596 | 8,944 | 24,540 |
| Other (including \$2.2 billion associated with businesses held for sale in 2009) | - | - | 3,853 | (141) | 3,712 |
| Total noncontrolling interests | - | - | 19,449 | 8,803 | 28,252 |
| Total equity | 69,824 | 24,894 | 102,752 | (99,394) | 98,076 |
| Total liabilities and equity | \$ 173,473 | \$ 31,200 | \$ 888,620 | \$ (245,708) | \$ 847,585 |

(a) *Includes intercompany derivative positions, which are reported at fair value before credit valuation adjustment.*

(b) *Eliminated in consolidation.*

(c) *For December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, includes intercompany tax payable of \$28.1 billion and \$28.7 billion, respectively, for American International Group, Inc. (As Guarantor) and intercompany tax receivable of \$152 million and \$45 million, respectively, for SAFG, Inc.*

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**Condensed Consolidating Statement of Income (Loss)**

| <i>(in millions)</i> | American International Group, Inc. (As Guarantor) | SAFG, Inc. | Other Subsidiaries | Reclassifications and Eliminations | Consolidated AIG |
|--|---|-----------------|-----------------------|--|---------------------|
| Year Ended December 31, 2010 | | | | | |
| Revenues: | | | | | |
| Equity in undistributed net income (loss) of consolidated subsidiaries ^(a) | \$ 16,210 | \$ 1,683 | \$ - | \$ (17,893) | \$ - |
| Dividend income from consolidated subsidiaries ^(a) | 1,830 | - | - | (1,830) | - |
| Other revenue ^(b) | 2,942 | 246 | 74,113 | - | 77,301 |
| Total revenues | 20,982 | 1,929 | 74,113 | (19,723) | 77,301 |
| Expenses: | | | | | |
| Accrued and compounding interest | 636 | - | - | (32) | 604 |
| Amortization of prepaid commitment asset | 3,471 | - | - | (47) | 3,424 |
| Total interest expense on FRBNY Credit Facility | 4,107 | - | - | (79) | 4,028 |
| Other interest expense | 2,279 | 378 | 1,170 | 4 | 3,831 |
| Restructuring expenses and related asset impairment and other expenses | 451 | - | - | - | 451 |
| Other expense | 1,213 | - | 49,842 | - | 51,055 |
| Total expenses | 8,050 | 378 | 51,012 | (75) | 59,365 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax expense | 12,932 | 1,551 | 23,101 | (19,648) | 17,936 |
| Income tax expense ^(c) | 5,144 | (105) | 820 | - | 5,859 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | 7,788 | 1,656 | 22,281 | (19,648) | 12,077 |
| Loss from discontinued operations | (2) | - | (1,983) | (79) | (2,064) |
| Net income (loss) | 7,786 | 1,656 | 20,298 | (19,727) | 10,013 |
| Less: | | | | | |
| Net income from continuing operations attributable to noncontrolling interests: | | | | | |
| Noncontrolling nonvoting, callable, junior and senior preferred interests held by Federal Reserve Bank of New York | - | - | - | 1,818 | 1,818 |
| Other | - | - | 355 | - | 355 |
| Total income from continuing operations attributable to noncontrolling interests | - | - | 355 | 1,818 | 2,173 |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations attributable to noncontrolling interests | - | - | 54 | - | 54 |
| Total net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests | - | - | 409 | 1,818 | 2,227 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to AIG | \$ 7,786 | \$ 1,656 | \$ 19,889 | \$ (21,545) | \$ 7,786 |

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

| <i>(in millions)</i> | American International Group, Inc. (As Guarantor) | SAFG, Inc. | Other Subsidiaries | Reclassifications and Eliminations | Consolidated AIG |
|--|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|
| Year Ended December 31, 2009 | | | | | |
| Revenues: | | | | | |
| Equity in undistributed net income (loss) of consolidated subsidiaries ^(a) | \$ (3,479) | \$ (472) | \$ - | \$ 3,951 | \$ - |
| Dividend income from consolidated subsidiaries ^(a) | 2,002 | 169 | - | (2,171) | - |
| Change in fair value of ML III | (1,401) | - | 1,820 | - | 419 |
| Other revenue ^(b) | 4,166 | 199 | 70,568 | - | 74,933 |
| Total revenues | 1,288 | (104) | 72,388 | 1,780 | 75,352 |
| Expenses: | | | | | |
| Accrued and compounding interest | 2,022 | - | - | (41) | 1,981 |
| Amortization of prepaid commitment asset | 8,359 | - | - | (48) | 8,311 |
| Total interest expense on FRBNY Credit Facility | 10,381 | - | - | (89) | 10,292 |
| Other interest expense | 2,496 | 355 | 1,095 | - | 3,946 |
| Restructuring expenses and related asset impairment and other expenses | 407 | - | 742 | - | 1,149 |
| Other expenses | 1,230 | - | 73,042 | - | 74,272 |
| Total expenses | 14,514 | 355 | 74,879 | (89) | 89,659 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax expense (benefit) | (13,226) | (459) | (2,491) | 1,869 | (14,307) |
| Income tax expense (benefit) ^(c) | (2,277) | 15 | 773 | - | (1,489) |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | (10,949) | (474) | (3,264) | 1,869 | (12,818) |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations | - | - | 594 | (89) | 505 |
| Net income (loss) | (10,949) | (474) | (2,670) | 1,780 | (12,313) |
| Less: | | | | | |
| Net income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to noncontrolling interests: | | | | | |
| Noncontrolling nonvoting, callable, junior and senior preferred interests held by Federal Reserve Bank of New York | - | - | 96 | 44 | 140 |
| Other | - | - | (1,576) | - | (1,576) |
| Total income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to noncontrolling interests | - | - | (1,480) | 44 | (1,436) |
| Income from discontinued operations attributable to noncontrolling interests | - | - | 72 | - | 72 |
| Total net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests | - | - | (1,408) | 44 | (1,364) |
| Net income (loss) attributable to AIG | \$ (10,949) | \$ (474) | \$ (1,262) | \$ 1,736 | \$ (10,949) |

AIG 2010 Form 10-K 367

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

| <i>(in millions)</i> | American International Group, Inc. (As Guarantor) | SAFG, Inc. | Other Subsidiaries | Reclassifications and Eliminations | Consolidated AIG |
|---|--|--------------------|-------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|
| Year Ended December 31, 2008 | | | | | |
| Revenues: | | | | | |
| Equity in undistributed net income (loss) of consolidated subsidiaries ^(a) | \$ (61,542) | \$ (17,027) | \$ - | \$ 78,569 | \$ - |
| Dividend income from consolidated subsidiaries ^(a) | 2,401 | 75 | - | (2,476) | - |
| Change in fair value of ML III | (900) | - | - | - | (900) |
| Other revenue ^(b) | (2,931) | 198 | (3,207) | - | (5,940) |
| Total revenues | (62,972) | (16,754) | (3,207) | 76,093 | (6,840) |
| Expenses: | | | | | |
| Accrued and compounding interest | 2,116 | - | - | (26) | 2,090 |
| Amortization of prepaid commitment asset | 9,279 | - | - | (29) | 9,250 |
| Total interest expense on FRBNY Credit Facility | 11,395 | - | - | (55) | 11,340 |
| Other interest expense | 2,393 | 275 | 1,705 | - | 4,373 |
| Restructuring expenses and related asset impairment and other expenses | 189 | - | 582 | - | 771 |
| Other expenses | 2,706 | 15 | 76,984 | - | 79,705 |
| Total expenses | 16,683 | 290 | 79,271 | (55) | 96,189 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax expense (benefit) | (79,655) | (17,044) | (82,478) | 76,148 | (103,029) |
| Income tax expense (benefit) ^(c) | 19,634 | (17) | (29,300) | - | (9,683) |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | (99,289) | (17,027) | (53,178) | 76,148 | (93,346) |
| Loss from discontinued operations | - | - | (6,986) | (55) | (7,041) |
| Net income (loss) | (99,289) | (17,027) | (60,164) | 76,093 | (100,387) |
| Less: | | | | | |
| Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interests: | | | | | |
| Loss from continuing operations attributable to noncontrolling interests | - | - | (984) | - | (984) |
| Loss from discontinued operations attributable to noncontrolling interests | - | - | (114) | - | (114) |
| Total net loss attributable to noncontrolling interests | - | - | (1,098) | - | (1,098) |
| Net income (loss) attributable to AIG | \$ (99,289) | \$ (17,027) | \$ (59,066) | \$ 76,093 | \$ (99,289) |

(a) *Eliminated in consolidation.*

(b) *Includes Interest income of \$3.2 billion, \$4.1 billion, and \$2.7 billion for 2010, 2009, and 2008, respectively, for American International Group, Inc. (As Guarantor).*

(c)

Income taxes recorded by American International Group, Inc. (As Guarantor) include deferred tax expense attributable to the pending sales of foreign businesses and a valuation allowance to reduce the consolidated deferred tax asset to the amount more likely than not to be realized. See Note 22 herein for additional information.

368

AIG 2010 Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**Condensed Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows**

| <i>(in millions)</i> | American International Group, Inc. (As Guarantor) | SAFG, Inc. | Other Subsidiaries and Eliminations | Consolidated AIG |
|---|--|--------------|--|---------------------|
| Year Ended December 31, 2010 | | | | |
| Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities continuing operations | \$ (1,942) | \$ (141) | \$ 11,786 | \$ 9,703 |
| Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities discontinued operations | - | - | 7,207 | 7,207 |
| Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities | (1,942) | (141) | 18,993 | 16,910 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | | | |
| Sales of investments | 3,997 | - | 89,091 | 93,088 |
| Sales of divested businesses, net | 278 | - | 21,482 | 21,760 |
| Purchase of investments | (55) | - | (93,056) | (93,111) |
| Loans to subsidiaries net | 5,703 | - | (5,703) | - |
| Contributions to subsidiaries net | (2,574) | - | 2,574 | - |
| Other, net | (4,774) | - | (27,906) | (32,680) |
| Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities continuing operations | 2,575 | - | (13,518) | (10,943) |
| Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities discontinued operations | - | - | 718 | 718 |
| Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities | 2,575 | - | (12,800) | (10,225) |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | | | |
| Federal Reserve Bank of New York credit facility borrowings | 19,900 | - | - | 19,900 |
| Federal Reserve Bank of New York credit facility repayments | (19,110) | - | (4,068) | (23,178) |
| Issuance of other long-term debt | 1,996 | - | 11,050 | 13,046 |
| Repayments on other long-term debt | (3,681) | (500) | (11,795) | (15,976) |
| Drawdown on the Department of the Treasury Commitment | 2,199 | - | - | 2,199 |
| Intercompany loans net | (1,777) | 639 | 1,138 | - |
| Other, net | (168) | - | (1,368) | (1,536) |
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities continuing operations | (641) | 139 | (5,043) | (5,545) |
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities discontinued operations | - | - | (3,716) | (3,716) |
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities | (641) | 139 | (8,759) | (9,261) |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash | - | - | 39 | 39 |
| Change in cash | (8) | (2) | (2,527) | (2,537) |
| Cash at beginning of period | 57 | 2 | 4,341 | 4,400 |
| Reclassification to assets held for sale | - | - | (305) | (305) |
| Cash at end of period | \$ 49 | \$ - | \$ 1,509 | \$ 1,558 |

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

| <i>(in millions)</i> | American International Group, Inc. (As Guarantor) | SAFG, Inc. | Other Subsidiaries and Eliminations | Consolidated AIG |
|---|--|-------------------|--|-----------------------------|
| Year Ended December 31, 2009 | | | | |
| Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities continuing operations | \$ (1,393) | \$ (120) | \$ 13,796 | \$ 12,283 |
| Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities discontinued operations | - | - | 6,301 | 6,301 |
| Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities | (1,393) | (120) | 20,097 | 18,584 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | | | |
| Sales of investments | 1,981 | - | 86,199 | 88,180 |
| Sales of divested businesses, net | 857 | 169 | 4,252 | 5,278 |
| Purchase of investments | (400) | - | (79,866) | (80,266) |
| Loans to subsidiaries net | (5,927) | - | 5,927 | - |
| Contributions to subsidiaries net | (5,683) | (2,350) | 8,033 | - |
| Other, net | 547 | - | (7,333) | (6,786) |
| Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities continuing operations | (8,625) | (2,181) | 17,212 | 6,406 |
| Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities discontinued operations | - | - | (628) | (628) |
| Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities | (8,625) | (2,181) | 16,584 | 5,778 |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | | | |
| Federal Reserve Bank of New York credit facility borrowings | 32,526 | - | - | 32,526 |
| Federal Reserve Bank of New York credit facility repayments | (26,400) | - | (26) | (26,426) |
| Issuance of other long-term debt | - | - | 3,452 | 3,452 |
| Repayments on other long-term debt | (2,931) | - | (16,520) | (19,451) |
| Drawdown on the Department of the Treasury Commitment | 5,344 | - | - | 5,344 |
| Intercompany loans net | 1,554 | 1,103 | (2,657) | - |
| Other, net | (121) | 1,200 | (17,534) | (16,455) |
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities continuing operations | 9,972 | 2,303 | (33,285) | (21,010) |
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities discontinued operations | - | - | (7,987) | (7,987) |
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities | 9,972 | 2,303 | (41,272) | (28,997) |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash | - | - | 533 | 533 |
| Change in cash | (46) | 2 | (4,058) | (4,102) |
| Cash at beginning of period | 103 | - | 8,539 | 8,642 |
| Reclassification to assets held for sale | - | - | (140) | (140) |
| Cash at end of period | \$ 57 | \$ 2 | \$ 4,341 | \$ 4,400 |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

| <i>(in millions)</i> | American International Group, Inc. (As Guarantor) | SAFG, Inc. | Other Subsidiaries and Eliminations | Consolidated AIG |
|---|--|-------------------|--|-----------------------------|
| Year Ended December 31, 2008 | | | | |
| Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities continuing operations | \$ 284 | \$ (27) | \$ (12,346) | \$ (12,089) |
| Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities discontinued operations | - | - | 11,967 | 11,967 |
| Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities | 284 | (27) | (379) | (122) |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | | | |
| Sales of investments | 1,017 | - | 154,383 | 155,400 |
| Funding to establish Maiden Lane III LLC | (5,000) | - | - | (5,000) |
| Purchase of investments | (4,200) | - | (141,909) | (146,109) |
| Loans to subsidiaries net | (76,358) | - | 76,358 | - |
| Contributions to subsidiaries net | (12,153) | - | 12,153 | - |
| Other, net | 2,356 | (16) | 36,770 | 39,110 |
| Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities continuing operations | (94,338) | (16) | 137,755 | 43,401 |
| Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities discontinued operations | - | - | 3,775 | 3,775 |
| Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities | (94,338) | (16) | 141,530 | 47,176 |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | | | |
| Federal Reserve Bank of New York credit facility borrowings | 96,650 | - | - | 96,650 |
| Federal Reserve Bank of New York credit facility repayments | (59,850) | - | - | (59,850) |
| Issuance of other long-term debt | 16,295 | - | 91,029 | 107,324 |
| Repayments on other long-term debt | (3,592) | - | (130,627) | (134,219) |
| Issuance of common stock | 7,343 | - | - | 7,343 |
| Proceeds from issuance of AIG Series D preferred stock | 40,000 | - | - | 40,000 |
| Intercompany loans net | 4,846 | 223 | (5,069) | - |
| Payments advanced to purchase shares | (1,000) | - | - | (1,000) |
| Cash dividends paid to shareholders | (1,628) | (180) | 180 | (1,628) |
| Other, net | (4,991) | - | (74,822) | (79,813) |
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities continuing operations | 94,073 | 43 | (119,309) | (25,193) |
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities discontinued operations | - | - | (15,541) | (15,541) |
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities | 94,073 | 43 | (134,850) | (40,734) |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash | - | - | 38 | 38 |
| Change in cash | 19 | - | 6,339 | 6,358 |
| Cash at beginning of period | 84 | - | 2,200 | 2,284 |
| Cash at end of period | \$ 103 | \$ - | \$ 8,539 | \$ 8,642 |

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**Supplementary disclosure of cash flow information:**

| | American International Group, Inc. (As Guarantor) | SAFG, Inc. | Other Subsidiaries and Eliminations | Consolidated AIG |
|--|--|-------------------|--|-----------------------------|
| Cash (paid) received during the year ended December 31, 2010 for: | | | | |
| Interest: | | | | |
| Third party | \$ (2,493) | \$ (152) | \$ (2,521) | \$ (5,166) |
| Intercompany | (12) | (226) | 238 | - |
| Taxes: | | | | |
| Income tax authorities | \$ (32) | \$ - | \$ (970) | \$ (1,002) |
| Intercompany | 859 | - | (859) | - |

Cash (paid) received during the year ended December 31, 2009 for:

| | | | | |
|------------------------|------------|----------|------------|------------|
| Interest: | | | | |
| Third party | \$ (2,595) | \$ (166) | \$ (3,016) | \$ (5,777) |
| Intercompany | (6) | (186) | 192 | - |
| Taxes: | | | | |
| Income tax authorities | \$ 1,140 | \$ - | \$ (1,366) | \$ (226) |
| Intercompany | (1,287) | (21) | 1,308 | - |

Cash (paid) received during the year ended December 31, 2008 for:

| | | | | |
|------------------------|------------|----------|------------|------------|
| Interest: | | | | |
| Third party | \$ (2,122) | \$ (174) | \$ (5,141) | \$ (7,437) |
| Intercompany | (2) | (97) | 99 | - |
| Taxes: | | | | |
| Income tax authorities | \$ 1,334 | \$ - | \$ (1,951) | \$ (617) |
| Intercompany | (2,240) | 6 | 2,234 | - |

American International Group, Inc. (As Guarantor) supplementary disclosure of non-cash activities:Year Ended December 31,
(in millions)

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|--|----------|--------|--------|
| Intercompany non-cash financing and investing activities: | | | |
| Paydown of FRBNY Credit Facility by subsidiary | \$ 4,068 | \$ 26 | \$ - |
| Capital contributions to subsidiaries through forgiveness of loans | 2,510 | 287 | 11,350 |
| Intercompany loan receivable offset by intercompany payable | 1,364 | - | - |
| Exchange of intercompany payable with loan payable | 469 | - | - |
| Investment assets received through reduction of intercompany loan receivable | 468 | - | - |
| Other capital contributions net | 346 | 2,834 | 513 |
| Settlement of payable to subsidiary with return of capital from subsidiary | - | 15,500 | - |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|--|---|-------|-------|
| Exchange of intercompany receivable with loan receivable | - | 528 | - |
| Capital contributions in the form of bonds | - | 2,698 | 3,160 |
| Settlement of repurchase agreement with loan receivable | - | - | 3,160 |

372 AIG 2010 Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**SAFG, Inc. supplementary disclosure of non-cash activities:****Year Ended December 31,***(in millions)***2010****2009****2008****Intercompany non-cash financing/investing activities:**

| | | | | | | |
|---|----|---|----|---|----|--------|
| Loans receivable forgiven through capital contributions | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 17,225 |
| Other capital contributions in the form of forgiveness of payables and contribution of assets net | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 1,394 |

26. Subsequent Events**Recapitalization**

The following table presents the Consolidated Balance Sheet line items at December 31, 2010 and the expected impact as a result of the January 14, 2011 Recapitalization, described in Note 1 herein, as if it had occurred on December 31, 2010 (Unaudited):

| <i>(\$ in millions)</i> | December 31, 2010 | Pro Forma Effect of Recapitalization | | | Pro Forma |
|--|----------------------|---|--|--|---------------|
| | | Repayment and Termination of FRBNY Credit Facility | Repurchase and Exchange of SPV Preferred Interests | Exchange of Preferred Stock for Common Stock | |
| Cash | \$ 1,558 | \$ - | \$ (155) | \$ - | \$ 1,403 |
| Other assets | 40,342 | (24,613) | (5,911) | - | 9,818 |
| Other liabilities | (19,353) | 300 | - | - | (19,053) |
| Federal Reserve Bank of New York credit facility | (20,985) | 20,985 | - | - | - |
| Redeemable noncontrolling nonvoting, callable, junior preferred interests held by Department of Treasury | - | - | (20,292) | - | (20,292) |
| AIG shareholders' equity: | | | | | |
| Preferred stock | | | | | |
| Series E preferred stock | (41,605) | - | - | 41,605 | - |
| Series F preferred stock | (7,378) | - | (20,292) | 7,378 | - |
| Series C preferred stock | (23,000) | - | - | 23,000 | - |
| Series G preferred stock; 20,000 shares issued; liquidation value \$0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Common stock | (368) | - | - | (4,138) | (4,506) |
| Additional paid-in capital | (9,683) | - | - | (67,845) | (77,528) |
| Accumulated deficit | 3,466 | 3,328 | - | - | 6,794 |
| Noncontrolling nonvoting, callable, junior and senior preferred interests held by Federal Reserve Bank of New York | (26,358) | - | 26,358 | - | - |
| Shares outstanding | 140,463,159 | | | 1,655,037,962 | 1,795,501,121 |

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Repayment and Termination of the FRBNY Credit Facility Represents net cash proceeds from the AIA IPO and the ALICO sale used to repay the FRBNY Credit Facility. The net proceeds were held in an escrow account and presented in Other assets at December 31, 2010. The adjustments to Other assets and Accumulated deficit also reflect the planned write-off of the unamortized portion of the net prepaid commitment fee asset.

Repurchase and Exchange of SPV Preferred Interests AIG used remaining net cash proceeds from the AIA IPO and the ALICO sale (approximately \$5.9 billion) and other cash (approximately \$155 million) to partially repay the SPV Preferred Interests held by the FRBNY and drew down approximately \$20.3 billion under the Department of the Treasury Commitment (Series F) to repurchase the FRBNY's remaining SPV Preferred Interests, which AIG then transferred to the Department of the Treasury as part of the consideration for the exchange of the Series F Preferred Stock, referenced below. The SPV Preferred Interests held by the Department of the Treasury will not be considered permanent equity on AIG's balance sheet. The adjustments assume the fair value of the preferred interests transferred to the Department of the Treasury is equal to their carrying value. The fair value of these preferred interests may differ from this pro forma amount, which will affect the recorded value of the preferred interests. Such determination will be completed in the first quarter of 2011.

Exchange of AIG's Series C, E and F Preferred Stock for AIG Common Stock The adjustments represent the exchange of Series C Preferred Stock, Series E Preferred Stock, and Series F Preferred Stock for AIG Common Stock and assume no difference between the carrying value of the Series E and F Preferred Stock and the fair value of AIG Common Stock. As a result of the Recapitalization, the Department of the Treasury holds 1,655,037,962 shares of newly issued AIG Common Stock, representing ownership of approximately 92.2 percent of the outstanding AIG Common Stock at December 31, 2010. These pro forma financial statements do not contemplate a drawdown under this \$2 billion expected commitment. This table does not consider the future underwriting fees that may be incurred by the Department of Treasury, which AIG has agreed to reimburse as part of the Recapitalization.

Divestitures

Sale of AIG Star and AIG Edison

On February 1, 2011, AIG completed the sale of its Japan-based life insurance subsidiaries, AIG Star and AIG Edison for a total purchase price of \$4.8 billion, comprising \$4.2 billion in cash and \$0.6 billion in the assumption of third-party debt. AIG retained \$2 billion of net cash proceeds from the sale of AIG Star and AIG Edison, for which the Department of the Treasury provided a waiver, to use for capital support of Chartis instead of using the amount to repay SPV Preferred Interests. See Notes 1 and 4 herein for additional information on this sale.

Sale of Nan Shan

On January 12, 2011, AIG entered into an agreement to sell its 97.57 percent interest in Nan Shan Life Insurance Company, Ltd. (Nan Shan) for \$2.16 billion in cash.

Acquisitions

On February 10, 2011, Chartis announced an all-cash tender offer for the 45.34 percent of outstanding Fuji shares that it does not already own, as well as outstanding stock acquisition rights. The announced offering period began February 14, 2011 and is expected to close on March 24, 2011.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Part II

ITEM 9. Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None.

ITEM 9A. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Disclosure controls and procedures are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in SEC rules and forms and that such information is accumulated and communicated to management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures. In connection with the preparation of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, an evaluation was carried out by AIG management, with the participation of AIG's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of AIG's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Exchange Act)) as of December 31, 2010. Based on this evaluation, AIG's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that AIG's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of December 31, 2010.

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management of AIG is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. AIG's internal control over financial reporting is a process, under the supervision of AIG's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of AIG's financial statements for external purposes in accordance with U.S. GAAP.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

AIG management conducted an assessment of the effectiveness of AIG's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010 based on the criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). In conducting this assessment, AIG management excluded Fuji because AIG acquired a controlling interest in Fuji in 2010. Fuji's total assets constitute approximately \$13.7 billion or 2 percent of AIG's total consolidated assets at December 31, 2010 and less than 2 percent of AIG's consolidated revenues for the year ended December 31, 2010.

AIG management has concluded that, as of December 31, 2010, AIG's internal control over financial reporting was effective based on the criteria articulated in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the COSO. The effectiveness of AIG's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010 has been audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report, which is included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in AIG's internal control over financial reporting that have occurred during the quarter ended December 31, 2010 that have materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, AIG's internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

AIG management recognizes that the importance of continued attention to improving its internal controls, in particular those related to the period-end financial reporting and consolidation process, income taxes and accounting for non-standard transactions. AIG is committed to ensuring that the manual controls that have been established remain effective and sustainable. Furthermore, AIG has begun implementing technology solutions to mitigate the reliance on manual controls, some of which were implemented in 2010. However, the implementation of these systems will take several years.

376 AIG 2010 Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Part III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

Except for the information provided in Part I under the heading "Directors and Executive Officers of AIG", information required by Items 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14 of this Form 10-K are incorporated by reference from the definitive proxy statement for AIG's 2011 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, which will be filed with the SEC not later than 120 days after the close of the fiscal year pursuant to Regulation 14A.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

See Item 10 herein.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

See Item 10 herein.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

See Item 10 herein.

Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services

See Item 10 herein.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Part IV

Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules

- (a) Financial Statements and Schedules. See accompanying Index to Financial Statements.
- (b) Exhibits. See accompanying Exhibit Index.

378 AIG 2010 Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, the Registrant has duly caused this Annual Report on Form 10-K to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, on the 24th of February, 2011.

AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP, INC.

By /s/ ROBERT H. BENMOSCHE

(Robert H. Benmosche, President and Chief Executive Officer)

KNOW ALL PERSONS BY THESE PRESENTS, that each person whose signature appears below constitutes and appoints Robert H. Benmosche and David L. Herzog, and each of them severally, his or her true and lawful attorney-in-fact, with full power of substitution and resubstitution, to sign in his or her name, place and stead, in any and all capacities, to do any and all things and execute any and all instruments that such attorney may deem necessary or advisable under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and any rules, regulations and requirements of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission in connection with this Annual Report on Form 10-K and any and all amendments hereto, as fully for all intents and purposes as he or she might or could do in person, and hereby ratifies and confirms all said attorneys-in-fact and agents, each acting alone, and his or her substitute or substitutes, may lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, this Annual Report on Form 10-K has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities indicated on the 24th of February, 2011.

| Signature | Title |
|---|---|
| <u>/s/ ROBERT H. BENMOSCHE</u> (Robert H. Benmosche) | President, Chief Executive Officer and Director (Principal Executive Officer) |
| <u>/s/ DAVID L. HERZOG</u> (David L. Herzog) | Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer) |
| <u>/s/ JOSEPH D. COOK</u> (Joseph D. Cook) | Vice President and Controller (Principal Accounting Officer) |
| <u>/s/ LAURETTE T. KOELLNER</u> (Laurette T. Koellner) | Director |
| <u>/s/ DONALD H. LAYTON</u> (Donald H. Layton) | Director |
| <u>/s/ CHRISTOPHER S. LYNCH</u> (Christopher S. Lynch) | Director |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

| Signature | Title |
|--|--------------|
| <hr/> <i>/s/ ARTHUR C. MARTINEZ</i> <hr/> (Arthur C. Martinez) | Director |
| <hr/> <i>/s/ GEORGE L. MILES, JR.</i> <hr/> (George L. Miles, Jr.) | Director |
| <hr/> <i>/s/ HENRY S. MILLER</i> <hr/> (Henry S. Miller) | Director |
| <hr/> <i>/s/ ROBERT S. MILLER</i> <hr/> (Robert S. Miller) | Director |
| <hr/> <i>/s/ SUZANNE NORA JOHNSON</i> <hr/> (Suzanne Nora Johnson) | Director |
| <hr/> <i>/s/ MORRIS W. OFFIT</i> <hr/> (Morris W. Offit) | Director |
| <hr/> <i>/s/ RONALD A. RITTENMEYER</i> <hr/> (Ronald A. Rittenmeyer) | Director |
| <hr/> <i>/s/ DOUGLAS M. STEENLAND</i> <hr/> (Douglas M. Steenland) | Director |

380 AIG 2010 Form 10-K

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

EXHIBIT INDEX

| Exhibit Number | Description | Location |
|----------------|---|--|
| 2 | Plan of acquisition, reorganization, arrangement, liquidation or succession | |
| | (a) Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of May 11, 2001, among AIG, Washington Acquisition Corporation and American General Corporation | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1(i)(a) to AIG's Registration Statement on Form S-4 (File No. 333-62688). |
| | (b) Master Transaction Agreement, dated as of December 8, 2010, among AIG, ALICO Holdings LLC, AIA Aurora LLC, the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, the United States Department of the Treasury and the AIG Credit Facility Trust | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on December 8, 2010 (File No. 1-8787). |
| 3 | Articles of incorporation and by-laws | |
| 3(i)(a) | Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of AIG | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(i)(a) to AIG's Registration Statement on Form S-3 filed with the SEC on July 17, 2009 (File No. 333-160645). |
| 3(i)(b) | American International Group, Inc. Certificate of Designations of Series G Cumulative Mandatory Convertible Preferred Stock | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on January 14, 2011 (File No. 1-8787). |
| 3(i)(c) | American International Group, Inc. Certificate of Elimination of Series C Perpetual, Convertible, Participating Preferred Stock | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on January 14, 2011 (File No. 1-8787). |
| 3(i)(d) | American International Group, Inc. Certificate of Elimination of Series E Fixed Rate Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.3 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on January 14, 2011 (File No. 1-8787). |
| 3(i)(e) | American International Group, Inc. Certificate of Elimination of Series F Fixed Rate Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.4 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on January 14, 2011 (File No. 1-8787). |
| 3(ii)(a) | AIG By-laws, amended August 10, 2009 | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(ii) to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on August 14, 2009 (File No. 1-8787). |
| 4 | Instruments defining the rights of security holders, including indentures | Certain instruments defining the rights of holders of long-term debt securities of AIG and its subsidiaries are omitted pursuant to Item 601(b)(4)(iii) of Regulation S-K. AIG hereby undertakes to furnish to the Commission, upon request, copies of any such instruments. |
| | (1) Credit Agreement, dated as of September 22, 2008, between AIG and Federal Reserve Bank of New York | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on September 26, 2008 (File No. 1-8787). |
| | (2) Amendment No. 2, dated as of November 9, 2008, to the | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to AIG's Quarterly |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Credit Agreement dated as of September 22, 2008 between
AIG and Federal Reserve Bank of New York

Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30,
2008 (File No. 1-8787).

AIG 2010 Form 10-K

381

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

| Exhibit Number | Description | Location |
|----------------|---|--|
| (3) | Amendment No. 3, dated as of April 17, 2009, to the Credit Agreement dated as of September 22, 2009 between AIG and the Federal Reserve Bank of New York | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on April 20, 2009 (File No. 1-8787). |
| (4) | Amendment No. 4, dated as of December 1, 2009, to the Credit Agreement dated as of September 22, 2008 between AIG and the Federal Reserve Bank of New York | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on December 1, 2009 (File No. 1-8787). |
| (5) | Warrant to Purchase Common Stock, issued November 25, 2008 | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on November 26, 2008 (File No. 1-8787). |
| (6) | Warrant to Purchase Common Stock, issued April 17, 2009 | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on April 20, 2009 (File No. 1-8787). |
| (7) | Warrant Agreement, dated as of January 6, 2011, between AIG and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., as Warrant Agent | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on January 7, 2011 (File No. 1-8787). |
| (8) | Replacement Capital Covenant dated as of April 17, 2009, by AIG and for the benefit of each Covered Debt holder | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on April 20, 2009 (File No. 1-8787). |
| (9) | Securities Purchase Agreement, dated as of November 25, 2008, between AIG and the United States Department of the Treasury | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on November 26, 2008 (File No. 1-8787). |
| (10) | Series C Perpetual, Convertible Participating Preferred Stock Purchase Agreement, dated as of March 1, 2009, between the AIG Credit Facility Trust and AIG | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K/A filed with the SEC on March 13, 2009 (File No. 1-8787). |
| (11) | Securities Exchange Agreement, dated as of April 17, 2009, between AIG and the United States Department of Treasury | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on April 20, 2009 (File No. 1-8787). |
| (12) | Securities Purchase Agreement, dated as of April 17, 2009, between AIG and the United States Department of the Treasury | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on April 20, 2009 (File No. 1-8787). |
| (13) | Amended and Restated Purchase Agreement, dated as of January 14, 2011, among AIG, the United States Department of the Treasury and the Federal Reserve Bank of New York | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on January 14, 2011 (File No. 1-8787). |
| (14) | Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of January 14, 2011, between AIG and the United States Department of the Treasury | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.4 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on January 14, 2011 (File No. 1-8787). |
| (15) | Agreement to Amend Warrants, dated as of January 14, 2011, between AIG and the United States Department of the Treasury | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.5 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on January 14, 2011 (File No. 1-8787). |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

| Exhibit Number | Description | Location |
|----------------|---|---|
| (1) | AIG Amended and Restated 1996 Employee Stock Purchase Plan* | Filed as exhibit to AIG's Definitive Proxy Statement dated April 4, 2003 (File No. 1-8787) and incorporated herein by reference. |
| (2) | AIG 2003 Japan Employee Stock Purchase Plan* | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4 to AIG's Registration Statement on Form S-8 (File No. 333-111737). |
| (3) | AIG 1991 Employee Stock Option Plan* | Filed as exhibit to AIG's Definitive Proxy Statement dated April 4, 1997 (File No. 1-8787) and incorporated herein by reference. |
| (4) | AIG Amended and Restated 1999 Stock Option Plan* | Filed as exhibit to AIG's Definitive Proxy Statement dated April 4, 2003 (File No. 1-8787) and incorporated herein by reference. |
| (5) | Form of Stock Option Grant Agreement under the AIG Amended and Restated 1999 Stock Option Plan* | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(a) to AIG's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2004 (File No. 1-8787). |
| (6) | AIG Amended and Restated 2002 Stock Incentive Plan* | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to AIG's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008. |
| (7) | Form of Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement under the AIG Amended and Restated 2002 Stock Incentive Plan* | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(b) to AIG's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2004 (File No. 1-8787). |
| (8) | AIG Executive Deferred Compensation Plan* | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(a) to AIG's Registration Statement on Form S-8 (File No. 333-101640). |
| (9) | AIG Supplemental Incentive Savings Plan* | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(b) to AIG's Registration Statement on Form S-8 (File No. 333-101640). |
| (10) | AIG Director Stock Plan* | Filed as an exhibit to AIG's Definitive Proxy Statement dated April 5, 2004 (File No. 1-8787) and incorporated herein by reference. |
| (11) | Retention and Employment Agreement between AIG and Jay S. Wintrob* | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(m) to AIG's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1998 (File No. 1-8787). |
| (12) | SunAmerica Inc. 1988 Employee Stock Plan* | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(a) to AIG's Registration Statement on Form S-8 (File No. 333-70069). |
| (13) | SunAmerica 1997 Employee Incentive Stock Plan* | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(b) to AIG's Registration Statement on Form S-8 (File No. 333-70069). |
| (14) | SunAmerica Nonemployee Directors' Stock Option Plan* | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(c) to AIG's Registration Statement on Form S-8 (File No. 333-70069). |
| (15) | SunAmerica 1995 Performance Stock Plan* | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(d) to AIG's Registration Statement on Form S-8 (File No. 333-70069). |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

| Exhibit Number | Description | Location |
|-------------------|--|---|
| (16) | SunAmerica Inc. 1998 Long-Term Performance-Based Incentive Plan for the Chief Executive Officer* | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(e) to AIG's Registration Statement on Form S-8 (File No. 333-70069). |
| (17) | SunAmerica Inc. Long-Term Performance-Based Incentive Plan Amended and Restated 1997* | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(f) to AIG's Registration Statement on Form S-8 (File No. 333-70069). |
| (18) | SunAmerica Five-Year Deferred Cash Plan* | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(a) to AIG's Registration Statement on Form S-8 (File No. 333-31346). |
| (19) | SunAmerica Executive Savings Plan* | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(b) to AIG's Registration Statement on Form S-8 (File No. 333-31346). |
| (20) | American General Corporation 1994 Stock and Incentive Plan (January 2000)* | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to American General Corporation's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 1998 (File No. 1-7981). |
| (21) | Amendment to American General Corporation 1994 Stock and Incentive Plan (January 1999)* | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to American General Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1999 (File No. 1-7981). |
| (22) | Amendment to American General Corporation 1994 Stock and Incentive Plan (January 2000)* | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to American General Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1999 (File No. 1-7981). |
| (23) | Amendment to American General Corporation 1994 Stock and Incentive Plan (November 2000)* | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to American General Corporation's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2000 (File No. 1-7981). |
| (24) | American General Corporation 1997 Stock and Incentive Plan* | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to American General Corporation's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 1998 (File No. 1-7981). |
| (25) | Amendment to American General Corporation 1997 Stock and Incentive Plan (January 1999)* | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to American General Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1999 (File No. 1-7981). |
| (26) | Amendment to American General Corporation 1997 Stock and Incentive Plan (November 2000)* | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to American General Corporation's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2000 (File No. 1-7981). |
| (27) | American General Corporation 1999 Stock and Incentive Plan* | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to American General Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1998 (File No. 1-7981). |

384 AIG 2010 Form 10-K

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

| Exhibit Number | Description | Location |
|----------------|---|---|
| (28) | Amendment to American General Corporation 1999 Stock and Incentive Plan (January 1999)* | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to American General Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1999 (File No. 1-7981). |
| (29) | Amendment to American General Corporation 1999 Stock and Incentive Plan (November 2000)* | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to American General Corporation's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2000 (File No. 1-7981). |
| (30) | Amended and Restated American General Corporation Deferred Compensation Plan (12/11/00)* | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.13 to American General Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2000 (File No. 1-7981). |
| (31) | Amended and Restated Restoration of Retirement Income Plan for Certain Employees Participating in the Restated American General Retirement Plan (Restoration of Retirement Income Plan) (12/31/98)* | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.14 to American General Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2000 (File No. 1-7981). |
| (32) | Amended and Restated American General Supplemental Thrift Plan (12/31/98)* | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.15 to American General Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2000 (File No. 1-7981). |
| (33) | American General Employees' Thrift and Incentive Plan (restated July 1, 2001)* | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(a) to AIG's Registration Statement on Form S-8 (File No. 333-68640). |
| (34) | American General Agents' and Managers' Thrift and Incentive Plan (restated July 1, 2001)* | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(b) to AIG's Registration Statement on Form S-8 (File No. 333-68640). |
| (35) | CommLoCo Thrift Plan (restated July 1, 2001)* | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(c) to AIG's Registration Statement on Form S-8 (File No. 333-68640). |
| (36) | Western National Corporation 1993 Stock and Incentive Plan, as amended* | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.18 to Western National Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1995 (File No. 1-12540). |
| (37) | USLIFE Corporation 1991 Stock Option Plan, as amended* | Incorporated by reference to USLIFE Corporation's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 1995 (File No. 1-5683). |
| (38) | Letter Agreement, dated August 16, 2009, between AIG and Robert H. Benmosche* | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on August 17, 2009 (File No. 1-8787). |
| (39) | Executive Severance Plan, effective as of March 11, 2008* | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on March 17, 2008 (File No. 1-8787). |
| (40) | AIG Amended and Restated Executive Severance Plan* | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on September 26, 2008 (File No. 1-8787). |

AIG 2010 Form 10-K 385

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

| Exhibit Number | Description | Location |
|-------------------|---|---|
| | (41) Assurance Agreement, by AIG in favor of eligible employees, dated as of June 27, 2005, relating to certain obligations of Starr International Company, Inc.* | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(6) to AIG's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2005 (File No. 1-8787). |
| | (42) AIG 2005 Senior Partners Plan (amended and restated effective December 31, 2008)* | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.49 to AIG's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008. |
| | (43) 2005/2006 Deferred Compensation Profit Participation Plan for Senior Partners (amended and restated effective December 31, 2008)* | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.50 to AIG's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008. |
| | (44) 2005/2006 Deferred Compensation Profit Participation Plan for Partners (amended and restated effective December 31, 2008)* | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.51 to AIG's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008. |
| | (45) 2005/2006 Deferred Compensation Profit Participation Plan RSU Award Agreement (amended and restated effective December 31, 2008)* | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.52 to AIG's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008. |
| | (46) Agreement with the United States Department of Justice, dated February 7, 2006 | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on February 9, 2006 (File No. 1-8787). |
| | (47) Final Judgment and Consent with the Securities and Exchange Commission, including the related complaint, dated February 9, 2006 | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on February 9, 2006 (File No. 1-8787). |
| | (48) Agreement between the Attorney General of the State of New York and AIG and its Subsidiaries, dated January 18, 2006 | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on February 9, 2006 (File No. 1-8787). |
| | (49) Stipulation with the State of New York Insurance Department, dated January 18, 2006 | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on February 9, 2006 (File No. 1-8787). |
| | (50) AIG Senior Partners Plan (amended and restated effective December 31, 2008)* | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.59 to AIG's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008. |
| | (51) AIG Partners Plan (amended and restated effective December 31, 2008)* | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.60 to AIG's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008. |
| | (52) AIG Executive Incentive Plan* | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on May 22, 2006 (File No. 1-8787). |
| | (53) AIG Amended and Restated 2007 Stock Incentive Plan* | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.62 to AIG's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008. |
| | (54) AIG Form of Stock Option Award Agreement* | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.A to AIG's Registration Statement on Form S-8 (File No. 333- 148148). |
| | (55) AIG Amended and Restated Form of Performance RSU | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.64 to AIG's Annual |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

| Exhibit Number | Description | Location |
|----------------|--|--|
| (56) | AIG Amended and Restated Form of Time-Vested RSU Award Agreement* | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.65 to AIG's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008. |
| (57) | AIG Form of Time-Vested RSU Award Agreement with Four-Year Pro Rata Vesting* | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.D to AIG's Registration Statement on Form S-8 (File No. 333- 148148). |
| (58) | AIG Amended and Restated Form of Time-Vested RSU Award Agreement with Three-Year Pro Rata Vesting* | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.67 to AIG's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008. |
| (59) | AIG Amended and Restated Form of Time-Vested RSU Award Agreement with Three-Year Pro Rata Vesting and with Early Retirement* | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.68 to AIG's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008. |
| (60) | AIG Amended and Restated Form of Non-Employee Director Deferred Stock Units Award Agreement* | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.69 to AIG's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008. |
| (61) | Form of AIG 2009 TARP RSU Award Agreement (Top 25)* | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on December 31, 2009 (File No. 1-8787). |
| (62) | Form of AIG 2009 TARP RSU Award Agreement (Top 100)* | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.63 to AIG's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009. |
| (63) | Form of AIG Stock Salary Award Agreement* | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on December 31, 2009 (File No. 1-8787). |
| (64) | Form of Letter Awarding AIG 2009 Cash Incentive Performance Award* | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.65 to AIG's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009. |
| (65) | Memorandum of Understanding, dated November 25, 2009, between AIG, Maurice R. Greenberg, Howard I. Smith, C.V. Starr and Star International Company, Inc. | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on November 25, 2009 (File No. 1-8787). |
| (66) | Agreement for Binding Arbitration, dated as of August 31, 2009, between AIG and Maurice R. Greenberg and Howard I. Smith | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on August 31, 2009 (File No. 1-8787). |
| (67) | Purchase Agreement, dated as of June 25, 2009, among AIG, American International Reinsurance Company, Limited and the Federal Reserve Bank of New York | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on June 25, 2009 (File No. 1-8787). |
| (68) | Purchase Agreement, dated as of June 25, 2009, between AIG and the Federal Reserve Bank of New York | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.2 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on June 25, 2009 (File No. 1-8787). |
| (69) | Fourth Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of AIA Aurora LLC, dated as of December 1, 2009, among AIG, American International Reinsurance Company, Limited and the Federal Reserve Bank of New York | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on December 1, 2009 (File No. 1-8787). |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

| Exhibit Number | Description | Location |
|----------------|---|--|
| (70) | Second Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of ALICO Holdings LLC, dated as of December 1, 2009, between AIG and the Federal Reserve Bank of New York | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on December 1, 2009 (File No. 1-8787). |
| (71) | Securities Purchase Agreement, dated as of November 25, 2008, between AIG and United States Department of the Treasury | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on November 26, 2008 (File No. 1-8787). |
| (72) | Master Investment and Credit Agreement, dated as of November 25, 2008, among Maiden Lane III LLC, the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, AIG and the Bank of New York Mellon | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on December 2, 2008 (File No. 1-8787). |
| (73) | Asset Purchase Agreement, dated as of December 12, 2008, among the Sellers party thereto, AIF Securities Lending Corp., AIG, Maiden Lane II LLC and the Federal Reserve Bank of New York | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on December 15, 2008 (File No. 1-8787). |
| (74) | AIG Credit Facility Trust Agreement, dated as of January 16, 2009, among the Federal Reserve Bank of New York and Jill M. Considine, Chester B. Feldberg and Douglas L. Foshee, as Trustees | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on January 23, 2009 (File No. 1-8787). |
| (75) | Aircraft Facility Agreement, dated as of January 19, 1999, among International Lease Finance Corporation, Halifax PLC and the other banks listed therein | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to International Lease Finance Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1998 (File No. 000-11350). |
| (76) | Aircraft Facility Agreement, dated as of May 18, 2004, among Whitney Leasing Limited, as borrower, International Lease Finance Corporation, as guarantor, the Bank of Scotland and the other banks listed therein | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10 to International Lease Finance Corporation's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2004 (File No. 001-31616). |
| (77) | Form of letter announcing Special Cash Retention awards to executive officers* | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(101) to Amendment No. 1 to AIG's Annual Report for the year ended December 31, 2008 on Form 10-K/A filed with the SEC on April 30, 2009 (File No. 1-8787). |
| (78) | Form of letter agreement with certain executive officers regarding Special Cash Retention awards* | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(102) to Amendment No. 1 to AIG's Annual Report for the year ended December 31, 2008 on Form 10-K/A filed with the SEC on April 30, 2009 (File No. 1-8787). |
| (79) | Form of letter agreement with certain directors regarding deferred fees for 2009* | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(103) to Amendment No. 1 to AIG's Annual Report for the year ended December 31, 2008 on Form 10-K/A filed with the SEC on April 30, 2009 (File No. 1-8787). |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

| Exhibit Number | Description | Location |
|----------------|---|--|
| | (80) Final Determination, dated December 21, 2009, from the Office of the Special Master for TARP Executive Compensation to AIG* | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on December 31, 2009 (File No. 1-8787). |
| | (81) Determination Memorandum, dated October 22, 2009, from the Office of the Special Master for TARP Executive Compensation to AIG* | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on October 23, 2009 (File No. 1-8787). |
| | (82) 2009-2010 Stock Salary Award Agreement between AIG and Robert H. Benmosche, dated November 24, 2009* | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on November 25, 2009 (File No. 1-8787). |
| | (83) Restrictive Covenant Agreement between AIG and Robert H. Benmosche, dated November 24, 2009* | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on November 25, 2009 (File No. 1-8787). |
| | (84) Form of Reimbursement Agreement for Use of Corporate Aircraft* | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on January 25, 2010 (File No. 1-8787). |
| | (85) Letter Agreement, dated as of February 8, 2011, among AIG, AIA Aurora LLC, ALICO Holdings LLC and the United States Department of the Treasury | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on February 9, 2011. |
| | (86) Letter Agreement, dated as of February 11, 2011, among AIG, AIA Aurora LLC, ALICO Holdings LLC and the United States Department of the Treasury | Filed herewith. |
| | (87) Guarantee, Pledge and Proceeds Application Agreement, dated as of January 14, 2011, among AIG, AIG Capital Corporation, AIG Funding, Inc., AIG Life Holdings (International) LLC and AIA Aurora LLC and ALICO Holdings LLC | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on January 14, 2011 (File No. 1-8787). |
| | (88) AIA Aurora LLC Intercompany Loan Agreement, dated as of January 14, 2011, by and between AIA Aurora LLC and AIG | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on January 14, 2011 (File No. 1-8787). |
| | (89) ALICO Holdings LLC Intercompany Loan Agreement, dated as of January 14, 2011, by and between ALICO Holdings LLC and AIG | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.3 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on January 14, 2011 (File No. 1-8787). |
| | (90) Three-year Credit Agreement, dated as of December 23, 2010, among AIG, the subsidiary borrowers party thereto, the lenders party thereto and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Administrative Agent | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on December 27, 2010 (File No. 1-8787). |
| | (91) 364-Day Credit Agreement, dated as of December 23, 2010, among AIG, the subsidiary borrowers party thereto, the lenders party thereto, and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Administrative Agent | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on December 27, 2010 (File No. 1-8787). |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

| Exhibit Number | Description | Location |
|----------------|---|---|
| (92) | Letter of Credit and Reimbursement Agreement, dated as of December 23, 2010, among Chartis Inc., the lenders party thereto, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Administrative Agent and each Several L/C Agent Party thereto | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on December 27, 2010 (File No. 1-8787). |
| (93) | Settlement Term Sheet, dated July 1, 2010, with respect to the proposed settlement of the litigation titled <i>In re AIG Securities Litigation</i> | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Amendment No. 1 to AIG's Quarterly Report for the quarter ended September 30, 2010 on Form 10-Q/A filed with the SEC on November 23, 2010 (File No. 1-8787). |
| (94) | Purchase Agreement, dated as of September 30, 2010, between American International Group, Inc. and Prudential Financial, Inc. (excluding certain exhibits and schedules) | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on October 4, 2010 (File No. 1-8787). |
| (95) | AIG Long-Term Performance Units Plan* | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on May 28, 2010 (File No. 1-8787). |
| (96) | Form of Award Letter for LTPU-based stock salary* | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on May 28, 2010 (File No. 1-8787). |
| (97) | Determination Memorandum, dated March 23, 2010, from the Office of the Special Master for TARP Executive Compensation to AIG* | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on April 2, 2010 (File No. 1-8787). |
| (98) | Stock Purchase Agreement, dated as of March 7, 2010, among American International Group, Inc., ALICO Holdings LLC and MetLife, Inc. | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on March 11, 2010 (File No. 1-8787). |
| (99) | Supplemental Determination Memorandum, dated February 5, 2010, from the Office of the Special Master for TARP Executive Compensation to AIG* | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on February 8, 2010 (File No. 1-8787). |
| (100) | Release and Restrictive Covenant Agreement between AIG and Peter Hancock* | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.3 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on February 8, 2010 (File No. 1-8787). |
| (101) | Non-Competition and Non-Solicitation Agreement between AIG and Peter Hancock, dated February 8, 2010* | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.4 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on February 8, 2010 (File No. 1-8787). |
| (102) | Determination Memorandum, dated April 16, 2010, from the Office of the Special Master for TARP Executive Compensation to AIG | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to AIG's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2010 filed with the SEC on August 6, 2010 (File No. 1-8787). |
| (103) | Supplemental Determination Memorandum, dated August 3, 2010, from the Office of the Special Master for TARP Executive Compensation to AIG* | Filed herewith. |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

| Exhibit Number | Description | Location |
|----------------|---|---|
| | (104) Supplemental Determination Memorandum, dated December 20, 2010, from the Office of the Special Master for TARP Executive Compensation to AIG* | Filed herewith. |
| | (105) Supplemental Determination Memorandum, dated May 18, 2010, from the Office of the Special Master for TARP Executive Compensation to AIG* | Filed herewith. |
| | (106) Amendment No. 1, dated as of March 7, 2010, to the Second Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of ALICO Holdings LLC | Filed herewith. |
| 11 | Statement re: computation of per share earnings | Included in Note 17 to Consolidated Financial Statements. |
| 12 | Computation of Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charges | Filed herewith. |
| 21 | Subsidiaries of Registrant | Filed herewith. |
| 23 | Consent of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP | Filed herewith. |
| 24 | Powers of attorney | Included on signature page and filed herewith. |
| 31 | Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certifications** | Filed herewith. |
| 32 | Section 1350 Certifications** | Filed herewith. |
| 99.02 | Securities Registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act | Filed herewith. |
| 101 | Interactive data files pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T: (i) the Consolidated Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, (ii) the Consolidated Statement of Income (Loss) for the three years ended December 31, 2010, (iii) the Consolidated Statement of Shareholders' Equity for the three years ended December 31, 2010, (iv) the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for the three years ended December 31, 2010, (v) the Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the three years ended December 31, 2010 and (vi) the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, tagged as blocks of text.*** | Filed herewith. |

*

This exhibit is a management contract or a compensatory plan or arrangement.

**

This information is furnished and not filed for purposes of Sections 11 and 12 of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 18 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934.

As provided in Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, this information is furnished and not filed for purposes of Sections 11 and 12 of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Schedule I

Summary of Investments Other than Investments in Related Parties

| At December 31, 2010 (in millions) | Cost* | Fair Value | Amount at which shown in the Balance Sheet |
|--|----------------|-------------------|---|
| Fixed maturities: | | | |
| U.S. government and government-sponsored entities | \$ 8,204 | \$ 14,252 | \$ 14,252 |
| Obligations of states, municipalities and political subdivisions | 45,613 | 46,937 | 46,937 |
| Non U.S. governments | 14,905 | 15,469 | 15,469 |
| Public utilities | 425 | 433 | 433 |
| All other corporate and structured securities | 160,994 | 177,393 | 177,393 |
| Total fixed maturity securities | 230,141 | 254,484 | 254,484 |
| Equity securities and mutual funds: | | | |
| Common stocks: | | | |
| Public utilities | 4 | 5 | 5 |
| Banks, trust and insurance companies | 6,370 | 8,375 | 8,375 |
| Industrial, miscellaneous and all other | 1,444 | 1,970 | 1,970 |
| Total common stocks | 7,818 | 10,350 | 10,350 |
| Preferred stocks | 400 | 487 | 487 |
| Mutual funds | 351 | 396 | 396 |
| Total equity securities and mutual funds | 8,569 | 11,233 | 11,233 |
| Mortgage and other loans receivable | 19,367 | 19,558 | 19,367 |
| Finance receivables, net of allowance | 870 | 802 | 870 |
| Other invested assets | 41,546 | 40,828 | 42,210 |
| Securities purchased under agreements to resell, at contract value | 1,553 | 1,553 | 1,553 |
| Short-term investments, at cost (approximates fair value) | 42,185 | 42,185 | 42,185 |
| Unrealized gain on swaps, options and forward transactions | 5,917 | 5,917 | 5,917 |
| Total investments | | \$ 377,819 | |

*

Original cost of equity securities and fixed maturities is reduced by other-than-temporary impairment charges, and, as to fixed maturity securities, reduced by repayments and adjusted for amortization of premiums or accretion of discounts.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Condensed Financial Information of Registrant

Schedule II

Balance Sheet Parent Company Only**December 31,***(in millions)***2010 2009****Assets:**

| | | |
|---|-------------------|------------|
| Short-term investments | \$ 5,602 | \$ 1,311 |
| Other investments | 5,852 | 9,391 |
| Total investments | 11,454 | 10,702 |
| Cash | 49 | 57 |
| Loans to subsidiaries* | 61,630 | 72,926 |
| Due from affiliates net | 380 | 382 |
| Current and deferred income taxes | 3,957 | 7,470 |
| Debt issuance costs including prepaid commitment asset of \$3,628 in 2010 and \$7,099 in 2009 | 3,838 | 7,383 |
| Investments in consolidated subsidiaries* | 93,511 | 71,419 |
| Other assets | 3,515 | 3,134 |
| Total assets | \$ 178,334 | \$ 173,473 |

Liabilities:

| | | |
|---|---------------|-----------|
| Intercompany tax payable* | \$ 28,083 | \$ 28,729 |
| Federal Reserve Bank of New York credit facility | 20,985 | 23,435 |
| Parent company long-term debt | 24,953 | 28,299 |
| MIP notes payable | 11,318 | 13,376 |
| Series AIGFP matched notes and bonds payable | 3,703 | 3,760 |
| Loans Payable | 469 | - |
| Intercompany loans payable* | 1 | 1,778 |
| Other liabilities (includes intercompany derivative liabilities of \$150 in 2010 and \$1,278 in 2009) | 3,503 | 4,272 |
| Total liabilities | 93,015 | 103,649 |

AIG Shareholders' equity:

| | | |
|--|---------|----------|
| Preferred stock | 71,983 | 69,784 |
| Common stock | 368 | 354 |
| Treasury stock | (873) | (874) |
| Additional paid-in capital | 9,683 | 6,358 |
| Accumulated deficit | (3,466) | (11,491) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 7,624 | 5,693 |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

| | | |
|--------------------------------|---------------|--------|
| Total AIG shareholders' equity | 85,319 | 69,824 |
|--------------------------------|---------------|--------|

| | | |
|------------------------------|-------------------|------------|
| Total liabilities and equity | \$ 178,334 | \$ 173,473 |
|------------------------------|-------------------|------------|

*

Eliminated in consolidation.

See Accompanying Notes to Financial Statements Parent Company Only.

AIG 2010 Form 10-K

393

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Condensed Financial Information of Registrant *(Continued)***Schedule II****Statement of Income (Loss) Parent Company Only****Years Ended December 31,***(in millions)*

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|--|-----------|-------------|-------------|
| Income | | | |
| Equity in undistributed net income (loss) of consolidated subsidiaries* | \$ 16,210 | \$ (3,479) | \$ (61,542) |
| Interest income | 3,249 | 4,126 | 2,741 |
| Change in fair value of ML III | - | (1,401) | (900) |
| Dividend income from consolidated subsidiaries* | 1,830 | 2,002 | 2,401 |
| Net realized capital losses | (313) | (54) | (5,745) |
| Other revenues | 6 | 94 | 73 |
| Expenses | | | |
| Accrued and compounding interest | (636) | (2,022) | (2,116) |
| Amortization of prepaid commitment asset | (3,471) | (8,359) | (9,279) |
| Total interest expense on FRBNY Credit Facility | (4,107) | (10,381) | (11,395) |
| Other interest expense | (2,279) | (2,496) | (2,393) |
| Restructuring expense and related asset impairment and other expenses | (451) | (407) | (189) |
| Other expenses, net | (1,213) | (1,230) | (2,706) |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax expense (benefit) | 12,932 | (13,226) | (79,655) |
| Income tax expense (benefit) | 5,144 | (2,277) | 19,634 |
| Net income (loss) | 7,788 | (10,949) | (99,289) |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations | (2) | - | - |
| Net income (loss) attributable to AIG Parent Company | \$ 7,786 | \$ (10,949) | \$ (99,289) |

*

*Eliminated in consolidation.**See Accompanying Notes to Financial Statements Parent Company Only.*

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Condensed Financial Information of Registrant *(Continued)***Schedule II****Statement of Cash Flows Parent Company Only****Years Ended December 31,**
(in millions)

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|---|-----------------|----------|----------|
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | (1,942) | (1,393) | 284 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | | |
| Sale of investments | 3,201 | 1,466 | 743 |
| Maturities of investments | 11 | - | 5 |
| Sales of divested businesses | 278 | 857 | - |
| Funding to establish Maiden Lane III LLC | - | - | (5,000) |
| Purchase of investments | (27) | (172) | (4,016) |
| Change in short-term investments | (4,474) | 801 | (254) |
| Contributions to subsidiaries net | (2,574) | (5,683) | (12,153) |
| Mortgage and other loan receivables originations and purchases | (28) | (228) | (184) |
| Payments received on mortgages and other loan receivables | 785 | 515 | 269 |
| Loans to subsidiaries net | 5,703 | (5,927) | (76,358) |
| Other, net | (300) | (254) | 2,610 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | 2,575 | (8,625) | (94,338) |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | | |
| Federal Reserve Bank of New York credit facility borrowings | 19,900 | 32,526 | 96,650 |
| Federal Reserve Bank of New York credit facility repayments | (19,110) | (26,400) | (59,850) |
| Issuance of other long-term debt | 1,996 | - | 16,295 |
| Repayment of other long-term debt | (3,681) | (2,931) | (3,592) |
| Drawdown on the Department of the Treasury Commitment | 2,199 | 5,344 | - |
| Loans from subsidiaries net | (1,777) | 1,563 | 4,846 |
| Proceeds from issuance of AIG Series D preferred stock and common stock warrant | - | - | 40,000 |
| Issuance of common stock | - | - | 7,343 |
| Payments advanced to purchase shares | - | - | (1,000) |
| Cash dividends paid to shareholders | - | - | (1,628) |
| Other, net | (168) | (130) | (4,991) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | (641) | 9,972 | 94,073 |
| Change in cash | (8) | (46) | 19 |
| Cash at beginning of year | 57 | 103 | 84 |
| Cash at end of year | 49 | 57 | 103 |

Supplementary disclosure of cash flow information:

| <i>(in millions)</i> | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|----------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |

| Intercompany non-cash financing and investing activities: | | | |
|--|----|--------------|-------------|
| Settlement of repurchase agreement with loan receivable | \$ | - | \$ 3,160 |
| Capital contributions in the form of bonds | | - | 2,698 3,160 |
| Capital contributions to subsidiaries through forgiveness of loans | | 2,510 | 287 11,350 |
| Other capital contributions net | | 346 | 2,834 513 |
| Paydown of FRBNY Credit Facility by subsidiary | | 4,068 | 26 - |
| Investment assets received through reduction of intercompany loan receivable | | 468 | - - |
| Exchange of intercompany payable with loan payable | | 469 | - - |
| Settlement of payable to subsidiary with return of capital from subsidiary | | - | 15,500 - |
| Exchange of intercompany receivable with loan receivable | | - | 528 - |
| Intercompany loan receivable offset by intercompany payable | | 1,364 | - - |

See Accompanying Notes to Financial Statements Parent Company Only.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Notes To Condensed Financial Information of Registrant

American International Group, Inc.'s (the Registrant) investments in consolidated subsidiaries are stated at cost plus equity in undistributed income of consolidated subsidiaries. The accompanying condensed financial statements of the Registrant should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto of American International Group, Inc. and subsidiaries included in the Registrant's 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 (2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 24, 2011.

The Registrant includes in its statement of income (loss) dividends from its subsidiaries and equity in undistributed income (loss) of consolidated subsidiaries, which represents the net income (loss) of each of its wholly-owned subsidiaries.

On December 1, 2009, the Registrant and the Federal Reserve Bank of New York (FRBNY) completed two transactions that reduced the outstanding balance and the maximum amount of credit available under the FRBNY Credit Facility by \$25 billion. In connection with one of those transactions, the Registrant assigned \$16 billion of its obligation under the FRBNY Credit Agreement to a subsidiary. The Registrant subsequently settled its obligation to the subsidiary with a \$15.5 billion non-cash dividend from the subsidiary. The difference will be recognized over the remaining term of the FRBNY Credit Agreement as a reduction to interest expense. The remaining difference will be derecognized by AIG through earnings due to the repayment of all amounts owed under, and the termination of, the FRBNY Credit Facility.

Certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current period presentation.

The five-year debt maturity schedule is incorporated by reference from Note 15 to Consolidated Financial Statements.

The Registrant files a consolidated federal income tax return with certain subsidiaries and acts as an agent for the consolidated tax group when making payments to the Internal Revenue Service. The Registrant and its subsidiaries have adopted, pursuant to a written agreement, a method of allocating consolidated Federal income taxes. Amounts allocated to the subsidiaries under the written agreement are included in Due to Affiliates in the accompanying Condensed Balance Sheets.

Income taxes in the accompanying Condensed Balance Sheets are comprised of the Registrant's current and deferred tax assets, the consolidated group's current income tax receivable, deferred taxes attributable to the potential sales of foreign and domestic businesses and a valuation allowance to reduce the consolidated deferred tax asset to an amount more likely than not to be realized. See Note 22 herein for additional information.

The consolidated U.S. deferred tax asset for net operating loss and tax credit carryforwards and valuation allowance are recorded by the Parent Company, which files the consolidated U.S. Federal income tax return, and are not allocated to its subsidiaries. As, and if, the consolidated net operating losses and other tax attribute carryforwards are utilized, the intercompany tax balance will be settled with the subsidiaries.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Schedule III

Supplementary Insurance Information

At December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 and for the years then ended

| Segment (in millions) | Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs | Liability for Unpaid Claims and Claims Adjustment Expense, Future Policy Benefits ^(a) | Reserve for Unearned Premiums | Policy and Contract Claims ^(b) | Premiums and Other Considerations Revenue | Net Investment Income | Losses and Loss Expenses Incurred, Benefits | Amortization of Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs | Other Operating Expenses | Net Premiums Written |
|--------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|-----------------------------|---|---|--------------------------------|----------------------------|
| 2010 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chartis | \$ 4,973 | \$ 90,026 | \$ 23,573 | \$ 21 | \$ 32,521 | \$ 4,392 | \$ 27,867 | \$ 6,728 | \$ 3,386 | \$ 31,612 |
| SunAmerica | 9,606 | 28,192 | - | 686 | 5,230 | 10,768 | 8,749 | 1,368 | 1,918 | - |
| Mortgage Guaranty | 47 | 3,907 | 230 | - | 975 | 1,941 | 500 | 60 | 235 | 756 |
| Divested Businesses | - | - | - | - | 9,317 | 4,022 | 8,770 | 978 | 1,576 | - |
| Other | 42 | 294 | - | 5 | (14) | (193) | (12) | 42 | 103 | - |
| | \$ 14,668 | \$ 122,419 | \$ 23,803 | \$ 712 | \$ 48,029 | \$ 20,930 | \$ 45,874 | \$ 9,176 | \$ 7,218 | \$ 32,368 |
| 2009 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chartis | \$ 4,759 | \$ 79,617 | \$ 20,699 | \$ - | \$ 32,261 | \$ 3,292 | \$ 25,362 | \$ 6,627 | \$ 2,870 | \$ 30,653 |
| SunAmerica | 11,098 | 27,350 | - | 1,217 | 5,327 | 9,553 | 9,097 | 1,553 | 1,895 | - |
| Mortgage Guaranty | 116 | 5,769 | 657 | - | 1,030 | 1,988 | 2,869 | 78 | (76) | 909 |
| Divested Businesses | 24,792 | 88,678 | 7 | 2,074 | 12,633 | 5,516 | 12,702 | 1,148 | 2,496 | 3,283 |
| Other | 49 | (27) | - | - | (12) | (1,362) | (15) | 36 | 386 | - |
| | \$ 40,814 | \$ 201,387 | \$ 21,363 | \$ 3,291 | \$ 51,239 | \$ 18,987 | \$ 50,015 | \$ 9,442 | \$ 7,571 | \$ 34,845 |
| 2008 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chartis | \$ 4,959 | \$ 85,515 | \$ 25,006 | \$ - | \$ 35,510 | \$ 2,567 | \$ 25,524 | \$ 7,153 | \$ 3,604 | \$ 34,531 |
| SunAmerica | 14,447 | 29,479 | - | 1,265 | 7,644 | 9,134 | 11,535 | 522 | 3,257 | - |
| Mortgage Guaranty | 155 | 3,743 | 729 | - | 1,038 | 170 | 3,264 | 66 | 373 | 1,123 |
| Divested Businesses | 26,166 | 112,882 | - | 1,853 | 18,957 | (167) | 10,753 | 1,460 | 4,942 | 8,478 |
| Other | 55 | (27) | - | - | (12) | (1,251) | (40) | 238 | (11) | - |
| | \$ 45,782 | \$ 231,592 | \$ 25,735 | \$ 3,118 | \$ 63,137 | \$ 10,453 | \$ 51,036 | \$ 9,439 | \$ 12,165 | \$ 44,132 |

(a)

Liability for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expense with respect to the General Insurance operations are net of discounts of \$3.22 billion, \$2.66 billion and \$2.57 billion at December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

(b)

Reflected in insurance balances payable in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheet.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Schedule IV

Reinsurance

At December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 and for the years then ended

| <i>(in millions)</i> | Gross Amount | Ceded to Other Companies | Assumed from Other Companies | Net Amount | Percent of Amount Assumed to Net |
|--|------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|---|
| 2010 | | | | | |
| Life insurance in force ^(a) | \$ 891,145 | \$ 148,605 | \$ 1,220 | \$ 743,760 | 0.2% |
| Premiums: | | | | | |
| Chartis | \$ 38,965 | \$ 9,795 | \$ 2,442 | \$ 31,612 | 7.7% |
| SunAmerica | 5,240 | 628 | 12 | 4,624 | 0.3 |
| Mortgage Guaranty | 927 | 169 | (2) | 756 | (0.3) |
| Divested businesses | 9,643 | 435 | 27 | 9,235 ^(b) | 0.3 |
| Eliminations | - | (25) | (25) | - | - |
| Total premiums | \$ 54,775 | \$ 11,002 | \$ 2,454 | \$ 46,227 | 5.3% |
| 2009 | | | | | |
| Life insurance in force | \$ 2,340,019 | \$ 339,183 | \$ 1,023 | \$ 2,001,859 | 0.1% |
| Chartis | \$ 38,461 | \$ 9,869 | \$ 2,061 | \$ 30,653 | 6.7% |
| SunAmerica | 5,488 | 729 | 1 | 4,760 | - |
| Mortgage Guaranty | 1,082 | 210 | 37 | 909 | 4.1 |
| Divested businesses | 10,562 | 766 | 2,717 | 12,513 ^(b) | 21.7 |
| Eliminations | - | (913) | (913) | - | - |
| Total premiums | \$ 55,593 | \$ 10,661 | \$ 3,903 | \$ 48,835 | 8.0% |
| 2008 | | | | | |
| Life insurance in force | \$ 2,377,314 | \$ 384,538 | \$ 1,000 | \$ 1,993,776 | 0.1% |
| Premiums: | | | | | |
| Chartis | \$ 43,953 | \$ 12,335 | \$ 2,913 | \$ 34,531 | 8.4% |
| SunAmerica | 7,596 | 753 | 30 | 6,873 | 0.4 |
| Mortgage Guaranty | 1,306 | 246 | 63 | 1,123 | 5.6 |
| Divested businesses | 13,137 | 733 | 6,251 | 18,655 ^(b) | 33.5 |
| Eliminations | - | (1,933) | (1,933) | - | - |
| Total premiums | \$ 65,992 | \$ 12,134 | \$ 7,324 | \$ 61,182 | 12.0% |

(a) Excludes life insurance in force of \$399.4 billion and \$157.8 billion related to held-for-sale entities at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

(b)

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Includes accident and health premiums of \$1.57 billion, \$1.73 billion, and \$1.85 billion in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc., and Subsidiaries

Schedule V

Valuation and Qualifying Accounts

For the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008

| <i>(in millions)</i> | Balance, Beginning of year | Additions Charged to Costs and Expenses | Charge Offs | Activity of Discontinued Operations | Reclassified to Assets of Businesses Held for Sale | Divested Businesses | Other Changes ^(a) | Balance, End of year |
|---|----------------------------------|--|-------------|---|--|------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|
| 2010 | | | | | | | | |
| Allowance for mortgage and other loans receivable | \$ 838 | \$ 363 | \$ (326) | \$ 18 | \$ (58) | \$ (90) | \$ 122 | \$ 867 |
| Allowance for finance receivables | 1,606 | 6 | (28) | (144) | - | (1,384) | (45) | 11 |
| Allowance for premiums and insurances balances receivable | 537 | 87 | (113) | (2) | - | (7) | 13 | 515 |
| Allowance for reinsurance assets | 440 | 3 | 14 | (4) | - | - | 39 | 492 |
| Federal and foreign Valuation allowance for deferred tax assets | 23,705 | 1,486 | - | 1,292 | - | (1,180) | 470 | 25,773 |
| Overhaul reserve ^(b) | 390 | 479 | - | - | - | - | (339) | 530 |
| 2009 | | | | | | | | |
| Allowance for mortgage and other loans receivable | \$ 208 | \$ 638 | \$ (196) | \$ 99 | \$ (30) | \$ - | \$ 119 | \$ 838 |
| Allowance for finance receivables | 1,472 | 372 | (368) | 394 | (174) | - | (90) | 1,606 |
| Allowance for premiums and insurances balances receivable | 578 | 109 | (74) | 3 | - | - | (79) | 537 |
| Allowance for reinsurance assets | 425 | (35) | 102 | - | - | - | (52) | 440 |
| Federal and foreign Valuation allowance for deferred tax assets | 20,896 | 3,137 | - | (221) | - | - | (107) | 23,705 |
| Overhaul reserve ^(b) | 419 | 347 | - | - | - | - | (376) | 390 |
| 2008 | | | | | | | | |
| Allowance for mortgage and other loans receivable | \$ 77 | \$ 70 | \$ - | \$ (3) | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 64 | \$ 208 |
| Allowance for finance receivables | 878 | 353 | (343) | 532 | - | - | 52 | 1,472 |
| Allowance for premiums and insurances balances | 662 | 204 | (283) | (3) | - | - | (2) | 578 |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----|--------|-----|-----|---|---|-------|--------|
| receivable | | | | | | | | |
| Allowance for reinsurance assets | 520 | 3 | (7) | 1 | - | - | (92) | 425 |
| Federal and foreign Valuation allowance for deferred tax assets | 223 | 20,121 | - | 552 | - | - | - | 20,896 |
| Overhaul reserve ^(b) | 372 | 265 | - | - | - | - | (218) | 419 |

(a) *Includes recoveries of amounts previously charged off and reclassifications to/from other accounts.*

(b) *Amounts for Overhaul reserve represent reimbursements to lessees for overhauls performed and amounts transferred to buyers for aircraft sold and is included in Other liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheet.*