THUNDER MOUNTAIN GOLD INC Form 10-K April 01, 2019

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

x ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018

OR

"TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF $1934\,$

For the transition period from

to

Commission file number: 001-08429

Thunder Mountain Gold, Inc.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

Nevada 91-1031015

(State of other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

11770 W. President Dr., Ste. F

Boise, Idaho

(Address of Principal Executive Offices) (Zip Code)

(208) 658-1037

(Registrant's Telephone Number, including Area Code)

SECURITIES REGISTERED PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(b) OF THE ACT: None

SECURITIES REGISTERED PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(g) OF THE ACT: Common stock, Par Value \$0.001

(Title of Class)

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes" No x

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act.

Yes "No x

Indicate by checkmark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 229.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x No "

Indicate by checkmark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to the Form 10-K. x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, a smaller reporting company or an emerging growth company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," "smaller reporting company" and "emerging growth company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large Accelerated Filer o	Accelerated Filer o	Non-Accelerated Filer o	Smaller Reporting Company	X	Emerging
Growth Company o					

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes "No x

State the aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates computed by reference to the price at which the common equity was last sold, or the average bid and asked price of such common equity, as of the last business day of the registrants most recently completed second fiscal quarter: \$5,709,944 as of June 30, 2018.

The number of shares of the Registrant's Common Stock outstanding as of March 14, 2019, was 57,633,879

THUNDER MOUNTAIN GOLD, INC.

Form 10-K

December 31, 2018

Table of Contents

PART I3

ITEM 1 - DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS3

ITEM 1A - RISK FACTORS5

ITEM 1B - UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS8

ITEM 2 - DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTIES9

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS. 15

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES 15

PART II16

ITEM 5 - MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON STOCK, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND

ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES 16

<u>ITEM 6 - SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA17</u>

ITEM 7 - MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS

OF OPERATIONS17

ITEM 7A - QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK21

ITEM 8 - FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA22

ITEM 9 -&NBSP:CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND

FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE37

ITEM 9A - CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES37

<u>ITEM 9B - OTHER INFORMATION37</u>

PART III38

ITEM 10 - DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE38

ITEM 11 - EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION42

ITEM 12 - SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND

RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS44

<u>ITEM 13 - CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE45</u>

PART IV47

ITEM 15 - EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES47

PART I

Cautionary Statement about Forward-Looking Statements

This Annual Report on Form 10-K includes certain statements that may be deemed to be "forward-looking statements." All statements, other than statements of historical facts, included in this Form 10-K that address activities, events or developments that our management expects, believes or anticipates will or may occur in the future are forward-looking statements. Such forward-looking statements include discussion of such matters as:

The amount and nature of future capital, development and exploration expenditures;

The timing of exploration activities, and;

Business strategies and development of our Operational Plans.

Forward-looking statements also typically include words such as "anticipate", "estimate", "expect", "potential", "could" similar words suggesting future outcomes. These statements are based on certain assumptions and analyses made by us in light of our experience and our perception of historical trends, current conditions, expected future developments and other factors we believe are appropriate in the circumstances. Such statements are subject to a number of assumptions, risks and uncertainties, including such factors as the volatility and level of metal prices, uncertainties in cash flow, expected acquisition benefits, exploration, mining and operating risks, competition, litigation, environmental matters, the potential impact of government regulations, many of which are beyond our control. Readers are cautioned that forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance and actual results or developments may differ materially from those expressed or implied in the forward-looking statements. Except as required by law, we undertake no obligation to revise or update publicly any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

Management's Discussion and Analysis is intended to be read in conjunction with the Company's financial statements and the integral notes ("Notes") thereto for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2018. The following statements may be forward looking in nature and actual results may differ materially.

ITEM 1 - DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS

Company History

The Company was originally incorporated under the laws of the State of Idaho on November 9, 1935, under the name of Montgomery Mines, Inc. In April 1978 controlling interest in the Montgomery Mines Corporation was obtained by a group of the Thunder Mountain property holders who then changed the corporate name to Thunder Mountain Gold, Inc. with the primary goal to further develop their holdings in the Thunder Mountain Mining District, Valley County, Idaho.

Change in Situs and Authorized Capital

The Company moved its situs from Idaho to Nevada, but maintains its corporate offices in Garden City, Idaho. On December 10, 2007, articles of incorporation were filed with the Secretary of State in Nevada for Thunder Mountain Gold, Inc., a Nevada Corporation. The Directors of Thunder Mountain Gold, Inc. (Nevada) were the same as for Thunder Mountain Gold, Inc. (Idaho).

On January 25, 2008, the shareholders approved the merger of Thunder Mountain Gold, Inc. (Idaho) with Thunder Mountain Gold, Inc. (Nevada), which was completed by a share for share exchange of common stock. The terms of the merger were such that the Nevada Corporation was the surviving entity. The number of authorized shares for the Nevada Corporation is 200,000,000 shares of common stock with a par value of \$0.001 per share and 5,000,000 shares of preferred stock with a par value of \$0.0001 per share.

The Company is structured as follows: The Company owns 100% of the outstanding stock of Thunder Mountain Resources, Inc., a Nevada Corporation. Thunder Mountain Resources, Inc. owns 100% of the outstanding stock of South Mountain Mines, Inc., an Idaho Corporation. South Mountain Mines, Inc. owns 75% of Owyhee Gold Territory, LLC.

We have no patents, licenses, franchises or concessions which are considered by the Company to be of importance. The business is not of a seasonal nature. Since the potential products are traded in the open market, we have no control over the competitive conditions in the industry. There is no backlog of orders.

There are numerous Federal and State laws and regulation related to environmental protection, which have direct application to mining and milling activities. The more significant of these laws deal with mined land reclamation and wastewater discharge from mines and milling operations. We do not believe that these laws and regulations as presently enacted will have a direct material adverse effect on our operations.

Subsidiary Companies

On May 21, 2007, the Company filed Articles of Incorporation with the Secretary of State in Nevada for Thunder Mountain Resources, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of Thunder Mountain Gold, Inc. The financial information for the new subsidiary is included in the consolidated financial statements.

On September 27, 2007, Thunder Mountain Resources, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of Thunder Mountain Gold, Inc., completed the purchase of all the outstanding stock of South Mountain Mines, Inc., an Idaho corporation. On November 8, 2012, South Mountain Mines, Inc., ("SMMI") a wholly owned subsidiary of Thunder Mountain Resources, Inc., which in turn is a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, and Idaho State Gold Company II LLC ("ISGC") formed Owyhee Gold Territory LLC ("OGT") (aka Owyhee Gold Trust, LLC) a limited liability company (LLC).

On November 4, 2016, the Company entered into a Settlement Agreement between ISGC II and, SMMI. This Settlement was judicially-ratified on November 9, 2016. SMMI is the Manager and controlling Member and has 75% ownership in the OGT with ISGC II retaining 25% ownership, with a capped at \$5 million net returns royalty, with SMMI maintaining total management control over the Project.

The South Mountain Property consists of 17 patented mining claims encompassing approximately 326 acres, 21 unpatented mining lode claims covering approximately 290 acres, and approximately 489 acres of leased private land. In addition, the project owns 360 acres of private land (mill site) not contiguous with the mining claims.

Current Operations

Thunder Mountain Gold is a mineral exploration stage company with no producing mines. The Company intends to remain in the business of exploring for mining properties that have the potential to produce gold, silver, base metals and other commodities.

On February 27, 2019, the Company entered into an Option Agreement, (the "BeMetals Option Agreement") with BeMetals Corp., a British Columbia corporation ("BeMetals"), and BeMetals USA Corp., a Delaware corporation ("BMET USA"), a wholly owned subsidiary of BeMetals. Under the terms of the BeMetals Option Agreement, BMET USA will be entitled to purchase 100% of the issued and outstanding shares of SMMI from TMRI, both wholly owned subsidiaries of the Company. The term of the agreement is for two years, upon certain conditions extendable to three years, with BeMetals required to issue a preliminary economic assessment ("PEA") completed by a mutually agreed third-party engineering firm. Upon Tranche 2, BeMetals will issue 10 million BMET common shares (Consideration Shares) to TMRI, and BeMetals will also purchase of 2.5 million shares of the Company's common stock at a price of \$0.10 per share, for an aggregate purchase price of \$250,000, on a private placement. BeMetals will make cash payments of \$1,100,000 over the 24 months of the Option Agreement. The first Tranche of \$100,000 has been paid to the Company. The exercise of the Option Agreement price can be paid in combination with cash and BeMetals common shares. The calculation of the purchase price is an amount equal to the lesser of 50% of the market capitalization of BeMetals at the time; and the greater of either:

- A.\$10 million; or
- B. 20% the net present value of the South Mountain Project as calculated in a PEA

Payment may be satisfied by through the delivery of BMET common shares (Consideration Shares), a cash payment or a combination of Consideration Shares and cash. The BMET common shares will be issued at a deemed value based on the 5-day VWAP of Consideration Shares immediately prior to the date that BMET USA gives notice of its intention to exercise the option.

Payment(s) may be accelerated to exercise the Option Agreement, and restrictions will exist on resale of BeMetals common shares. The Company will not be granted any anti-dilution rights in respect of the Consideration Shares. The Company will provide additional information to shareholders of the Company regarding the BeMetals Option Agreement in either a Schedule 14A proxy circular or a Schedule 14C information statement to be prepared in connection with obtaining the required shareholder approval to the BeMetals Option Agreement. There is no assurance that the transactions contemplated under the BeMetals Option Agreement will be completed.

Reports to Security Holders

The Registrant does not issue annual or quarterly reports to security holders other than the annual Form 10-K and quarterly Forms 10-Q as electronically filed with the SEC. Electronically filed reports may be accessed at www.sec.gov. Information may be obtained on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1 (800) SEC-0330.

ITEM 1A - RISK FACTORS

Our business, operations, and financial condition are subject to various risks. This is particularly true since we are in the business of conducting exploration for mineral properties that have the potential for discovery of economic mineral resources. We urge you to consider the following risk factors in addition to the other information contained in, or incorporated by reference into, this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

We have no income and resources and we expect losses to continue for at least the next two years.

Our only continuing source of funds is through sales of equity positions received from investors, which may not be sufficient to sustain our operations. Any additional funds required would have to come from the issuance of debt, the sale of our common stock, or sale of a property interest. There is no guarantee that funds would be available from either source. If we are unsuccessful in raising additional funds, we will not be able to develop our properties and will be forced to liquidate assets.

We have no proven reserves.

We have no proven reserves at any of our properties. We only have indicated and inferred, along with assay samples at South Mountain; and assay samples at some of our other exploration properties.

We believe that we have the ability to continue as a going concern

We have never generated net income from our exploration efforts, and we have incurred significant net losses in each year since inception. Our accumulated deficit as of December 31, 2018, was \$6,833,610. We expect to continue to incur substantial additional losses for the foreseeable future, and we may never become profitable. Our ability to achieve and maintain profitability and positive cash flow is dependent upon our ability to locate and ultimately extract our proven or probable precious metals reserves, if any, our ability to generate positive net revenues and our ability to reduce our operating costs.

Based upon current plans, Thunder Mountain Gold management is confident that the Company will have the financial strength and opportunities to meet its financial obligations for the next 12 months. Factors considered substantiating this conclusion include:

- **A.** The recent Option Agreement for the South Mountain Project with BeMetals Corp. positions the Company for cash infusions, as well as equity considerations, that will more than cover the Company's financial obligations for the next 12 months, and
- **B.** The ability to raise additional equity capital based upon the success of the exploration and development conducted by BeMetals during this option period, and
- **C.** The Officers of the Company and their willingness to fund any liabilities not currently covered by the Company, and finally,
- **D**. The potential for additional strategic partnerships and funding surrounding the Company's Trout Creek Project in Nevada, by which discussions are currently being held.

While there is much work to do, it is important to note that in the event that the BeMetals Corp. does not exercise their Option under the agreement in the next 24 - 36 months, that there is a backup interest in financing from other entities that have been evaluating the Project.

Our financial statements, for the year ended December 31, 2018 were audited by our independent registered public accountants, whose report includes an explanatory paragraph stating that the financial statements have been prepared assuming we will continue as a going concern and that we have incurred operating losses since inception.

We believe that there is no doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern, even though our total accumulated deficit of \$6,833,610 as of December 31, 2018. Our plans for our continuation as a going concern include financing our operations through sales of unregistered common stock and the exercising of stock options by our officers, directors and originators. If we are not successful with our plans, equity holders could then lose all or a substantial portion of their investment.

At December 31, 2018, we had current assets of \$33,135. We are planning to raise additional funds in 2019 to meet our current operating and capital requirements for the next 12 months and beyond. However, we have based this estimate on assumptions that may prove to be wrong, and we cannot assure that estimates and assumptions will remain unchanged. For the year ended December 31, 2018 net cash used for operating activities was \$280,323. Our future liquidity and capital requirements will depend on many factors, including timing, cost and progress of our exploration efforts, our evaluation of, and decisions with respect to, our strategic alternatives, and costs associated with the regulatory approvals. If it turns out that we do not have enough money to complete our exploration programs, we will try to raise additional funds from public offerings, private placements or loans.

We know that additional financing will be required in the future to fund our planned operations. We do not know whether additional financing will be available when needed or on acceptable terms, if at all. If we are unable to raise additional financing when necessary, we may have to delay our exploration efforts or any property acquisitions or be forced to cease operations. Collaborative arrangements may require us to relinquish our rights to certain of our mining

Edgar Filing	. THI MIDED			Earm 10 1/
FOOM FIIIIO		IVICALINI AIIN	(7() () ()()	- FOILL LO-N

claims.

Our exploration efforts may be adversely affected by metals price volatility causing us to cease exploration efforts.

We have no earnings. However, the success of any exploration efforts is derived from the price of metal prices that are affected by numerous factors including: 1) expectations for inflation; 2) investor speculative activities; 3) relative exchange rate of the U.S. dollar to other currencies; 4) global and regional demand and production; 5) global and regional political and economic conditions; and 6) production costs in major producing regions. These factors are beyond our control and are impossible for us to predict.

There is no guarantee that current favorable prices for metals and other commodities will be sustained. If the market prices for these commodities weaken, we will temporarily suspend or cease exploration efforts.

The BeMetals Option Agreement may be adversely affected by exploration results, or adversely affected by metals price volatility causing us to cease exploration efforts. Consideration to be received by the Company is highly contingent upon future events.

There is no guarantee that the BeMetals Option Agreement will be exercised. This Agreement, and associated payments to the Company, are a contingent consideration, and may be terminated at any time by BeMetals during the Option period. The completion and exercise of the BeMetals Option Agreement is affected by the success of BeMetals exploration efforts is contingent upon 1) certain conditions precedent; 2) the price of metals that are affected by numerous factors including inflation, investor speculative activities, relative exchange rate of the U.S. dollar to other currencies, global and regional demand and production, global and regional political and economic conditions, and production costs in major producing regions. These factors are beyond our control and are impossible for us to predict.

There is no guarantee that the BeMetals consideration shares, in the event BeMetals Corp. elects to use its shares as consideration, that may be issued to the Company will be tradeable or liquid, and the future valuation is subject to significant uncertainty and cannot be determined at this time. The amount of consideration shares is dependent upon the results of BeMetals Corp. exploration results, and the corresponding Preliminary Economic Analysis (PEA) that BeMetals Corp. will produce. The Company will provide additional information to shareholders of the Company regarding the BeMetals Option Agreement in either a Schedule 14A proxy circular or a Schedule 14C information statement to be prepared in connection with obtaining the required shareholder approval to the BeMetals Option Agreement.

There is no guarantee that current prices for metals and other commodities will be sustained. If the market prices for these commodities weaken, then the BeMetals Option Agreement may not be exercised.

Our mineral exploration efforts may not be successful.

Mineral exploration is highly speculative. It involves many risks and often does not produce positive results. Even if we find a valuable mineral deposit, it may take many additional years or more before production is possible because of the need for additional detailed exploration, pre-production studies, permitting, financing, construction and start up.

During that time, it may not be economically feasible to produce those minerals. Establishing ore reserves requires us to make substantial capital expenditures and, in the case of new properties, to construct mining and processing facilities. As a result of these costs and uncertainties, we will not be able to develop any potentially economic mineral deposits.

We face strong competition from other mining companies for the acquisition of new properties.

If we do find an economic mineral reserve, and it is put into production, it should be noted that mines have limited lives and as a result, we need to continually seek to find new properties. In addition, there is a limited supply of

desirable mineral lands available in the United States or elsewhere where we would consider conducting exploration activities. Because we face strong competition for new properties from other exploration and mining companies, some of whom have greater financial resources than we do, we may be unable to acquire attractive new mining properties on terms that we consider acceptable.

Mining operations may be adversely affected by risks and hazards associated with the mining industry.

Mining operations involve a number of risks and hazards including: 1) environmental hazards; 2) political and country risks; 3) industrial accidents; 4) labor disputes; 5) unusual or unexpected geologic formations; 6) high wall failures, cave-ins or explosive rock failures, and; 7) flooding and periodic interruptions due to inclement or hazardous weather conditions. Such risks could result in: 1) damage to or destruction of mineral properties or producing facilities; 2) personal injury; 3) environmental damage; 4) delays in exploration efforts; 5) monetary losses, and; 6) legal liability.

We have no insurance against any of these risks. To the extent we are subject to environmental liabilities, we would have to pay for these liabilities. Moreover, in the event that we ever become an operator of a mine, and unable to fully pay for the cost of remedying an environmental problem, should it occur, we might be required to suspend operations or enter into other interim compliance measures.

Because we are small and do not have much capital, we must limit our exploration. This may prevent us from realizing any revenues, thus reducing the value of the stock and you may lose your investment as a result.

Because our Company is small and does not have much capital, we must limit the time and money we expend on exploration of interests in our properties. In particular, we may not be able to: 1) devote the time we would like to exploring our properties; 2) spend as much money as we would like to exploring our properties; 3) rent the quality of equipment or hire the contractors we would like to have for exploration; and 4) have the number of people working on our properties that we would like to have. By limiting our operations, it may take longer to explore our properties. There are other larger exploration companies that could and may spend more time and money exploring the properties that we have acquired.

We will have to suspend our exploration plans if we do not have access to all the supplies and materials we need.

Competition and unforeseen limited sources of supplies in the industry could result in occasional spot shortages of supplies, like dynamite, and equipment like bulldozers and excavators that we might need to conduct exploration. We have not attempted to locate or negotiate with any suppliers of products, equipment or materials. We will attempt to locate products, equipment and materials after we have conducted preliminary exploration activities on our properties. If we cannot find the products and equipment we need in a timely manner, we will have to delay or suspend our exploration plans until we do find the products and equipment we need.

We face substantial governmental regulation and environmental risks, which could prevent us from exploring or developing our properties.

Our business is subject to extensive federal, state and local laws and regulations governing mining exploration development, production, labor standards, occupational health, waste disposal, use of toxic substances, environmental regulations, mine safety and other matters. New legislation and regulations may be adopted at any time that results in additional operating expense, capital expenditures or restrictions and delays in the exploration, mining, production or development of our properties.

The Company has recorded \$65,000 of liabilities in Accrued Reclamation cost regarding the South Mountain Mine project. Various laws and permits require that financial assurances be in place for certain environmental and reclamation obligations and other potential liabilities. Once we undertake any trenching or drilling activities, a reclamation bond and a permit will be required under applicable laws. Currently, we have no obligations for financial assurances of any kind, and are unable to undertake any trenching, drilling, or development on any of our properties until we obtain financial assurances pursuant to applicable regulations to cover potential liabilities.

If we fail to maintain an effective system of internal controls, we may not be able to detect fraud or report our financial results accurately, which could harm our business and we could be subject to regulatory scrutiny.

Internal control systems provide only reasonable assurance that fraud and errors will be detected within the normal course of operations. Company's management believes that its internal controls are effective and commensurate for the size and scope of the business being conducted by the Company. The Company realizes the need to be proactive in this area and continues to evaluate ways for improving internal controls and weaknesses that are practical and cost effective for the size, structure, and future existence of our organization. The Company's Chief Financial Officer initiates and records all transactions. The transactions are reviewed and approved by the Company's President and CEO and reviewed by the Company's Audit Committee. Capital Items and expenditures more than \$5,000 must be approved by the Board of Directors, even if it is a line item in a Board Approved Budget. In addition, The Company has a Corporate Code of Business Conduct and Ethics (the "Code") which is acknowledged by officers and directors. This Corporate Governance applies to Thunder Mountain Gold Inc. and its subsidiaries (collectively, the "Company").

ITEM 1B - UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

Not required for smaller reporting companies	Not req	uired:	for s	smaller	reporting	companies
--	---------	--------	-------	---------	-----------	-----------

ITEM 2 - DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTIES

The Company, including its subsidiaries, owns rights to claims and properties in the mining areas of Nevada and Idaho, which includes its South Mountain Property in Idaho, and its Trout Creek Property in Nevada.

The Company owns 100% of the outstanding stock of Thunder Mountain Resources, Inc., a Nevada Corporation. Thunder Mountain Resources, Inc. owns 100% of the outstanding stock of South Mountain Mines, Inc. (SMMI), an Idaho Corporation., Inc. Thunder Mountain Resources, Inc. completed the direct purchase of 100% ownership of South Mountain Mines, Inc. on September 27, 2007, which consisted of 17 patented mining claims (approximately 327 acres) located in Owyhee County in southwestern Idaho. After the purchase, Thunder Mountain Resources staked 21 unpatented lode mining claims and obtained mineral leases on 545 acres of adjoining private ranch land.

The current land package at South Mountain consists of 17 patented mining claims encompassing approximately 326 acres, 21 unpatented mining lode claims covering approximately 290 acres, and approximately 489 acres of leased private land. In addition, the project owns 360 acres of private land (mill site) not contiguous with the mining claims.

All holdings are in the South Mountain Mining District, Owyhee County, Idaho.

The Company's plan of operation for the next twelve months, subject to business conditions, will be to continue to advance the South Mountain Project, including continued baseline environmental and engineering work necessary to complete a Preliminary Economic Analysis, and work on advancing the Trout Creek Project, Lander County, Nevada.

South Mountain Project, Owyhee County, Idaho

The South Mountain Project is considered an advanced stage, high-grade zinc-silver exploration or pre-development project. The land package at South Mountain consists of a total of 17 patented mining claims encompassing approximately 326 acres, 21 unpatented mining lode claims covering approximately 290 acres, and approximately 489 acres of leased private land. In addition, the project owns 360 acres of private land (mill site) not contiguous with the mining claims. All holdings are located in the South Mountain Mining District, Owyhee County, Idaho.

The property is located approximately 70 air miles southwest of Boise, Idaho and approximately 24 miles southeast of Jordan Valley, Oregon. It is accessible by highway 95 driving south from the Boise area to Jordan Valley Oregon, then by traveling southeast approximately 22 miles back into Idaho, via Owyhee County road that is dirt and improved to within 4 miles of historic mine site. The last 4 miles up the South Mountain Mine road are unimproved dirt road. The property is accessible year-round to within 4 miles of the property, where the property is accessible from May thru October without plowing snow. There is power distribution within 4 miles of the site as well. The climate is

considered high desert. The Company has water rights on the property, and there is a potable spring on the property that once supplied water to the main camp.

On February 27, 2019, the Company entered into an Option Agreement, (the "BeMetals Option Agreement") with BeMetals Corp., a British Columbia corporation ("BeMetals"), and BeMetals USA Corp., a Delaware corporation ("BMET USA"), a wholly owned subsidiary of BeMetals. Under the terms of the BeMetals Option Agreement, BMET USA will be entitled to purchase 100% of the issued and outstanding shares of SMMI from TMRI, both wholly owned subsidiaries of the Company. The term of the agreement is for two years with BeMetals completing a preliminary economic assessment ("PEA"), prepared by a mutually agreed third-party engineering firm. BeMetals will issue 10 million BMET common shares (Consideration Shares) to TMRI. BeMetals will also purchase of 2.5 million shares of the Company's common stock at a price of \$0.10 per share, for an aggregate purchase price of \$250,000, on a private placement. BeMetals will make cash payments of \$850,000 over the 24 months of the agreement and another \$250,000 upon exercise of the Option Agreement. The exercise of the Option Agreement price can be paid in combination with cash and BeMetals common shares.

Property History

The limited historic production peaked during World War II when, based on smelter receipts, the production of direct shipped ore totaled 53,653 tons containing 3,118 ounces of gold, 566,439 ounces of silver, 13,932 pounds of copper, 2,562,318 pounds of lead and 15,593,061 pounds of zinc. In addition to the direct-ship ore, a flotation mill was constructed and operated during the late-1940s and early-1950s.

Metal	Grade	Total Metal
Gold	0.058 opt	3,120 ozs
Silver	10.6 opt	566,440 ozs
Copper	1.4%	1,485,200 lbs
Lead	2.4%	2,562,300 lbs
Zinc	14.5%	15,593,100 lbs

Anaconda Crude Ore Shipments: 1941-1953 Total Tons: 53,653

South Mountain Mines Inc. (an Idaho Corporation) owned the patented claims from 1975 to the time the Company purchased the entity in 2007. They conducted extensive exploration work including extending the Sonneman Level by approximately 1,500 feet to intercept the down-dip extension of the Texas sulfide mineralization mined on the Laxey Level approximately 400 feet up-dip from the Sonneman. High grade sulfide mineralization was intercepted and confirmed on the Sonneman Extension. In 1985 South Mountain Mines Inc. completed a feasibility study based on historic and newly developed ore zones exposed in their underground workings and drilling. This resulted in a historic resource of approximately 470,000 tons containing 23,500 ounces of gold, 3,530,000 ounces of silver, 8,339,000 pounds of copper, 13,157,000 pounds of lead and 91,817,000 pounds of zinc. Although they determined positive economics, and that the resource was still open at depth with a large upside potential, the project was shut down and placed into care and maintenance.

In 2008, the Company contracted Kleinfelder, Inc., a nationwide engineering and consulting firm, to complete a technical report "Resources Data Evaluation, South Mountain Property, South Mountain Mining District, Owyhee County, Idaho". The technical report was commissioned by Thunder Mountain Resources, Inc. to evaluate all the existing data available on the South Mountain property. Kleinfelder utilized a panel modeling method using this data to determine potential mineralized material remaining and to make a comparison with the resource determined by South Mountain Mines in the mid-1980s.

Additional drilling and sampling will be necessary before the resource can be classified as a mineable reserve, but Kleinfelder's calculations provided a potential resource number that is consistent with South Mountain Mines' (Bowes 1985) reserve model.

Late in 2009, the Company contracted with Northwestern Groundwater & Geology to incorporate all the new drill and sampling data into an NI 43-101 Technical Report. This report was completed as part of the Company's dual listing on the TSX Venture Exchange in 2010.

In January of 2018, the Company engaged Hard Rock Consulting LLC (HRC) from Denver Colorado to update the South Mountain Project 43-101. HRC concluded that significant potential exists to increase the known mineral resource with additional drilling, as well as to upgrade existing mineral resource classifications with additional infill drilling. HRC also determined that the conceptual geologic model is sound, and, in conjunction with drilling results, indicates that mineralization is essentially open in all directions, and is continuous between underground levels and extends to the surface.

HRC also noted that:

THMG technical staff has thorough understanding of the geology of the South Mountain Project, and that the appropriate deposit model is being applied for exploration.

Because the Project is largely located on and surrounded by private land, it greatly simplifies Project approvals compared to mining projects involving public lands.

Initial metallurgical testing demonstrates that the South Mountain massive sulfide/skarn mineralization is amenable to differential flotation and concentration.

The current mineral resource at the South Mountain Project more than sufficient to warrant continued planning and development to further advance the Project.

The Technical Report was authored by Ms. J.J. Brown, P.G., SME-RM, Mr. Jeffrey Choquette, P.E., and Mr. Randy Martin, SME-RM, all of Hard Rock Consulting, each of whom is an independent qualified person for the purposes of NI 43-101 The NI 43-101 Technical Report has an effective date of April 7, 2018 and has been filed in Canada on SEDAR in accordance with NI 43-101. The Report can be reviewed on the Company's website at www.thundermountaingold.com.

Note to United States investors concerning estimates of measured, indicated and inferred resources.

Disclosure of the NI-43-101 has been prepared in accordance with the requirements of Canadian securities laws, including Canadian National Instrument 43-101 ("NI 43-101"), which differ from the current requirements of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") set out in Industry Guide 7. The Highlights of South Mountain NI-43-101 section refers to "mineral resources," "measured mineral resources," "indicated mineral resources," and "inferred mineral resources." While these categories of mineralization are recognized and required by Canadian securities laws, they are not recognized by Industry Guide 7 and are not normally permitted to be disclosed in SEC filings. United States investors are cautioned not to assume that all or any of measured, indicated or inferred mineral resources will ever be converted into mineral reserves. Under Industry Guide 7, mineralization may not be classified as a "reserve" unless the mineralization can be economically or legally extracted at the time the "reserve" determination is made. "Inferred mineral resources" have a great amount of uncertainty as to their existence and economic and legal feasibility. It cannot be assumed that all or any part of an inferred mineral resource will ever be upgraded to a higher category. Disclosure of "contained ounces" in a resource is permitted disclosure under Canadian reporting standards; however, Industry Guide 7 normally only permits issuers to report mineralization that does not constitute "reserves" by Industry Guide 7 standards as in-place tonnage and grade without reference to unit measures. Accordingly, information contained in this 10-k containing descriptions of South Mountain's mineral deposits may not be comparable to similar information made public by U.S. companies subject to the reporting and disclosure requirements of Industry Guide 7.

Highlights of South Mountain NI-43-101 Report:

The most recent THMG drilling program was successful in defining the geometry and confirming the grades of the DMEA and Texas massive sulfide zones. Confirmed intercepts within the model include:

DMEA core hole DM2UC13-13, returned a 91.5-foot true width intercept of 13.79% Zn, 12.75 o.p.t. Ag, 0.08 o.p.t. Au, 0.45% Cu, and 7.07% Pb;

Texas core hole TX13-03 drilled from the surface across the zone, returned 11.8 feet true width, assaying 14.08% Zn, 9.01 o.p.t. Ag, 0.01 o.p.t. Au, 1.43% Cu, and 0.35% Pb

DMEA core hole DM2UC13-17, includes a 42-foot true width intercept of 17.86% Zn, 2.98 o.p.t. Ag, 0.13 o.p.t. Au, 0.18% Cu, and 0.47% Pb;

Rib channel samples across the DMEA zone on the Sonneman of 130 feet true width, assaying 16.76% Zn, 4.11 o.p.t Ag, 0.09 o.p.t. Au, 0.78% Cu, and 0.38% Pb (pg.38 of the report), including 60 feet true width intercept assaying 25.00% Zn, 3.80 o.p.t Ag, 0.130 o.p.t. Au, 0.38% Cu, and 0.41% Pb

Details of the Technical Report:

The Table below outlines the Mineral Resource Statement for the South Mountain Project as of April 7, 2018.

Mineral Resources at 6.04% ZnEq Cut-off													
		: Equival Resource	ent				C	Containe	ed Met	al			
Classification	Short Tons	ZnEq lbs	ZnEq %	Zn lbs	Zn%	Ag oz	Ag opt	Au oz	Au opt	Pb lbs	Pb %	Cu lbs	Cu %
	x1000	x1000	,,0	x1000		x1000	opt	x1000	opt	x1000		x1000	70
Measured	63.2	22,200	17.57	14,700	11.64	237	3.745	4.0	0.063	600	0.483	700	0.566
Indicated	106.7	37,800	17.72	21,500	10.08	576	5.398	7.0	0.066	2,100	0.983	1,600	0.766
Measured + Indicated	169.9	60,000	17.66	36,200	10.66	813	4.783	11.0	0.065	2,700	0.797	2,300	0.692

Inferred massive sulfide 363.2 120,800 16.63 70,500 9.70 2,029 5.585 16.3 0.045 8,700 1.202 5,200 0.696 Notes:

- **1.** The effective date of the mineral resource estimate is April 7th, 2018. The QP for the estimate is Mr. Randall K. Martin, of Hard Rock Consulting, LLC. and is independent of THMG.
- 2. Mineral resources that are not mineral reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability. Inferred mineral resources are that part of the mineral resource for which quantity and grade or quality are estimated on the basis of limited geologic evidence and sampling, which is sufficient to imply but not verify grade or quality continuity. Inferred mineral resources may not be converted to mineral reserves. It is reasonably expected, though not guaranteed, that the majority of Inferred mineral resources could be upgraded to Indicated mineral resources with continued exploration.
- **3.** The mineral resource is reported at an underground mining cutoff of 6.04% Zinc Equivalent Grade ("ZnEq") within coherent wireframe models. The ZnEq calculation and cutoff is based on the following assumptions: an Au price of \$1,231/oz, Ag price of \$16.62/oz, Pb price of \$0.93/lb., Zn price of \$1.10/lb. and Cu price of \$2.54/lb.; metallurgical recoveries of 75% for Au, 70% for Ag, 87% for Pb, 96% for Zn and 56% for Cu, assumed mining cost of \$70/ton, process costs of \$25/ton, general and administrative costs of \$7.5/ton, smelting and refining costs of \$25/ton. Based on the stated prices and recoveries the ZnEq formula is calculated as follows; ZnEq = (Au grade * 43.71) + (Ag grade * 0.55) + (Pb grade * 0.77) + (Cu grade * 1.35) + (Zn grade)
- **4**. Rounding may result in apparent differences when summing tons, grade and contained metal content. Tonnage and grade measurements are in imperial units.

The updated resource model does not include any of the remaining polymetallic massive sulfide left in the upper part of the Laxey zone. Available historic smelter records indicate that approximately 53,642 tons of polymetallic massive sulfide were mined and direct shipped, mostly from this Zone. Historical smelter records indicate zinc values averaging 14.5%, lead 2.4%, copper 1.4%, silver at 10.6 opt, and gold at 0.058 opt (Table 6-2 of the Technical Report).

Long Section View of Modeled Estimation Domains. The deposit remains open along strike and down dip in the Laxey marble as shown in Figure 7-4 of the updated NI 43-101 Report.

Schematic Long Section of South Mountain Skarn Deposits

Underground Pre-Development Work Completed Since the Previous Technical Reports

The reconstruction of the Sonneman and Laxey drifts continued successfully until January 2014 when the Project went into care and maintenance. The Sonneman Level advanced 2,711 feet from the portal and is constructed to 12 feet by 12 feet for future development and mining. Approximately 350 feet of drift remains to be rehabilitated to reach the historic Texas massive sulfide zone located at the end of the old workings. This advance through this zone will allow for the drill stations and underground drilling to further define the high-grade resource encountered by William Bowes group in the 1980s.

The historic 2,200-foot long Laxey Level drift has been rehabilitated to 10 feet by 10 feet for approximately 720 feet. At that point the old tunnel had recently collapsed at an intrusive dike and preparations were being made to advance through the caved area. This old tunnel was rehabilitated and accessed along its full length in 2008, at which point it intercepted the Texas massive sulfide zone, one of many that had limited mining during and after the World War II period. Excellent high-grade massive sulfide is exposed in this area, and the core drilling during 2013 proved its continuity between the Laxey Level and the surface, an up-dip distance of nearly 400 feet.

During the development of the Sonneman Level during 2012-2013 several massive sulfide mineralized zones were mined through. Detailed rib sampling along some of these zones yielded the following results:

Significant THMG Channel Sample Intervals – Sonneman Drift

ID From To Length Ag (opt) Zn % Au (opt) Cu % Pb %

Edgar Filing: THUNDER MOUNTAIN GOLD INC - Form 10-K

OGT161671-02	30.0	160.0	130.00	4.11	16.76	0.09	0.78	0.38
OGT161671-02	209.2	230.2	21.00	3.14	14.02	0.26	0.31	0.37
OGT161671-02	270.2	275.0	4.80	3.21	13.80	0.24	0.14	1.10
OGT161714-22	9.0	32.0	23.00	7.18	14.69	0.01	1.17	0.65
OGT161714-22	76.9	92.0	15.10	8.24	14.04	0.01	2.30	0.59
OGT161735-9	0.0	40.0	40.00	13.97	16.44	0.02	0.70	0.86
OGT161724-30	0.0	40.0	40.00	5.80	5.63	0.00	0.28	2.83

Underground core drilling is planned for extending and upgrading the South Mountain resource - testing the continuity and down-dip extensions of the high-grade polymetallic massive sulfide zones. The Company plans to core drill the DMEA and Laxey zones to complete the confirmation and extensional drilling. Another core drill may be mobilized to focus primarily on the Texas zone to extend resources at depth beyond the current inferred resource area. In addition, there are plans to retrieve bulk samples for metallurgical test work. More than 15,000 feet (4,500 meters) have been

drilled at South Mountain and included in the model. The South Mountain historic ore zones remain open down-dip on the zones encountered. The successful drilling and development work prove that the South Mountain resource continues to grow with potential to increase the resource substantially.

HRC also reviewed the data on the anomalous gold-bearing multilithic breccia that was identified by THMG conducting reconnaissance work at South Mountain. In 2010, five holes were drilled in the anomaly for a total footage of 3,530 feet, and 705 total samples taken every five feet of drill hole. Of the 705 samples taken, 686 samples contained anomalous gold, or 97% of the samples. The highest-grade intercept ran 0.038 ounce per ton. HRC reviewed the reports done on the breccia completed by both Kinross and Newmont; of note was Newmont's comparison of the geology to the Battle Mountain Complex in Nevada.

Qualified Person – Edward D. Fields is the Qualified Person as defined by National Instrument 43-101 responsible for the technical data reported in this news release.

This property is without known reserves and the proposed program is exploratory in nature according to Instruction 3 to paragraph (b)(5) of Industry Guide 7. There are currently no permits required for conducting exploration in accordance with the Company's current board approved exploration plan.

Trout Creek Project, Lander County, Nevada

The Trout Creek gold exploration project is a pediment target located along the western flank of the Shoshone Mountain Range in the Reese River Valley in Lander County, Nevada. The claim package consists of 78 unpatented mining claims (approximately 1560 acres) that are situated along a recognizable structural zone in the Eureka-Battle Mountain mineralized gold trend. Thunder Mountain maintained a joint venture agreement with Newmont Mining on some of their adjoining mineral rights sections and aliquot parcels from 2011 thru 2016. On October 27, 2016 the Company terminated the exploration agreement with Newmont Mining Corporation to concentrate their efforts on the South Mountain Project. The Company retained the 78-claim package by paying annual fees to BLM of \$3,255 and Lander County \$940 fees.

The Project is located approximately 155 air miles northeast of Reno, Nevada, or approximately 20 miles south of Battle Mountain, Nevada, in Sections 10, 11, 14, 16, 21, 22, 27; T.29N.; R.44E. Mount Diablo Baseline & Meridian, Lander County, Nevada. Latitude: 40 23' 36" North, Longitude: 117 00' 58" West. The property is accessible by traveling south from Battle Mountain Nevada on state highway 305, which is paved. The project is generally accessible year-round and there are no improvements on the property.

The Trout Creek target is anchored by a regional gravity anomaly on a well-defined northwest-southeast trending break in the alluvial fill thickness and underlying bedrock. Previous geophysical work in the 1980s revealed an airborne magnetic anomaly associated with the same structure, and this was further verified and outlined in 2008 by Company personnel using a ground magnetometer. The target is covered by alluvial fan deposits of generally unknown thickness, shed from the adjacent Shoshone Range, a fault block mountain range composed of Paleozoic sediments of both upper and lower plate rocks of the Roberts Mountains thrust.

An extensive data package on the area was made available to Thunder Mountain Gold by Newmont during the joint exploration agreement period (2011-2016) that significantly enhanced the target area. This, along with fieldwork consisting of mapping and sampling the altered and mineralized structures that can be followed through the Shoshone Range. Of importance is that these structures align with the Cortez-Pipeline deposits and the Phoenix deposit (part of the Eureka-Battle Mountain-Getchell Trend).

In addition to the geologic fieldwork, Wright Geophysics conducted a ground gravity survey and CSMAT over the pediment target area and this provided insight into the gravel-bedrock contact as well as defining the favorable structural setting within the buried bedrock. An untested drill target was identified under the gravel pediment along these structures, and the geophysics showed that the bedrock was within 500 feet of the surface, which is reasonable depth for exploration drilling and potential mining if a significant mineralization is encountered.

The ongoing exploration field work, including claim maintenance and assessment, is financed by the Company through sales of unregistered common stock using private placements with accredited investors. Future work will be funded in the same manner or through a strategic partnership with another mining company.

There are currently no environmental permits required for the planned exploration work on the property. In the future, a notice of intent may be required with the Bureau of Land Management. This property is without known reserves and the proposed program is exploratory in nature according to Instruction 3 to paragraph (b)(5) of Industry Guide 7.

Competition

We are an exploration stage company. We compete with other mineral resource exploration and development companies for financing and for the acquisition of new mineral properties. Many of the mineral resource exploration and development companies with whom we compete have greater financial and technical resources than us. Accordingly, these competitors may be able to spend greater amounts on acquisitions of mineral properties of merit, on exploration of their mineral properties and on development of their mineral properties. In addition, they may be able to afford greater geological expertise in the targeting and exploration of mineral properties. This competition could result in competitors having mineral properties of greater quality and interest to prospective investors who may finance additional exploration and development. This competition could adversely impact on our ability to lock; MARGIN-LEFT: 0px; TEXT-INDENT: 0px; LINE-HEIGHT: 1.25; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">\$\$

) \$	(6,409
\$	22,856
Adjustments to reconcile net income(loss)	
to cash provided by (used in) operating	
activities:	
Imputed rent	
	3,492
	3,496
Changes in:	
Accounts receivable	
`	(8,106

Accrued expenses

6,242 Mineral right held for sale (3,013)) Land held for sale 290,953 Prepaid state taxes (200) Income taxes payable (1,990) 7,500 NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) **OPERATING ACTIVITIES** (16,226) 331,047

NET FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES

Proceeds from loan from related party

2,000

Payments on loan from related party (2,000) (310,000) NET CASH USED IN FINANCING ACTIVITIES (310,000) NET CHANGE IN CASH (16,226) 21,047 Cash balance, beginning of the period 19,507 19,037 Cash balance, ending of the period \$ 3,281 \$ 40,084 Supplemental disclosures: Taxes paid

\$	
\$	800
Interest paid	
NONCASH FINANCING ACTIVITIES:	
Forgiveness of interest payable to	
Related party	
	8,790
-4-	

PEBBLE BEACH ENTERPRISES, INC. NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

NOTE 1 - BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying unaudited interim financial statements of Pebble Beach enterprises, Inc., have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, and should be read in conjunction with the audited financial statements and notes thereto contained in PBE's Form 10-SB12G/A. In the opinion of management, all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring adjustments, necessary for a fair presentation of financial position and the results of operations for the interim periods presented have been reflected herein. The results of operations for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year. Notes to the financial statements that would substantially duplicate the disclosure

contained in the audited financial statements for fiscal year 2005, as reported in the Form 10-SB12G/A, have been omitted.

NOTE 2 - REVENUE RECOGNITION

PBE recognizes revenue when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, services have been rendered, the sales price is fixed or determinable, and collectibility is reasonably assured. This typically occurs when the services have been performed.

In 2006, PBE developed a new revenue stream. PBE is contacted by land developers to assist in the acquisition of mineral rights or surface waivers. PBE performs research for the developers and charges a fee for its services. The transactions related to this revenue stream are recorded under the net method, primarily due to PBE not having risk of loss.

2. Management Discussion and Analysis

Liquidity and Cash Requirements. As of September 30, 2006 our cash on hand was \$3,281. We anticipate our administrative and other non-real estate investment operational expenses over the next twelve months to be approximately \$25,000, including approximately \$10,000 in accounting and audit expenses. We arrive at a projected cash requirement of \$25,000 over the next year based on the assumptions that a) we will continue to receive our office space rent-free; b) our expenses will be limited to administrative cost; and c) that our auditors' costs will be relatively stable.

In terms of our real estate investment operational expenses, we rely principally on Adavco, Inc., a corporation controlled by our sole Director Annette Davis, to loan us money in order to purchase or upgrade real estate. Adavco is a real estate development company which has constructed apartment buildings and custom homes, among other development projects, since its founding in 1982. It had 2005 sales of \$150,000,000. We would be unable to continue operations without loans from Adavco, at least for the next 5-10 transactions. Adavco receives no compensation from us for their loans, outside of 5% annual interest on all monies loaned. We have a verbal agreement with Adavco to continue to receive loans from them as necessary, which we believe to be reliable because the management of Adavco consists of our sole Director and her husband.

Industry Trends. As population in our geographic area of concentration grows, and speculation increases, real estate prices have been increasing rapidly over the past seven years. There is concern among some that prices will begin to rise far less rapidly or even decrease over the next several years, as the high prices cause excessive building of

residential and other structures. We do believe prices will rise less rapidly in the future, but do not believe prices will decrease in our area of California. In any event, if prices did decrease, we would enter into more joint venture profit sharing agreements with owners of undeveloped land, which would expose us to less risk since we would not own the land.

How We Make Our Money and Results of Operations. The business model for our first area of operation involves buying a developed piece of land, with or without a structure already built on it, and selling it at a premium.

To date, we have completed three such transactions. The first two transactions were two finished lots of land of approximately ½ acre each, located in the Western Rosedale neighborhood of Bakersfield, California, with no structures built on them, which we purchased together on August 30, 2004 for \$290,953, each from Calle Cerca Investments. Our market research indicated that each of these lots were likely \$10,000 - \$15,000 undervalued due to the scarcity of such lots in this area of the city of Bakersfield, California. We contacted a local broker, Steve Lantz, who had many clients in need of finished, vacant lots, and on January 16, 2005, we sold one of the two lots for \$159,000 to a local couple, and we sold the other lot for \$165,000 on February 17, 2005 to Gracie Land Company. Total gross profit was \$30,500 after paying a commission of \$3,500 to the broker Steve Lantz.

The third transaction, began on February 24, 2005, when we purchased a house on a finished lot of approximately 8,000 square feet for \$200,655. We sold this house through a real estate broker on March 31, 2005 for \$287,500, for a total gross profit of \$86,845.

We do not compensate our officers or directors, nor do we pay out commissions to our officers and directors on our transactions. However, each of our three officers, when acting as consultants on any of our transactions, will invoice us for their time and services and we do pay those consulting invoices. The three corporations are: JD Consulting, controlled by our Secretary Jennifer Davis, which charges \$250 per hour; Select Research, controlled by our President Aaron J. Hashim, which charges \$200 per hour; and HML & Company, controlled by Lesa Hashim, our Vice-President and Treasurer, which charges \$200 per hour. For example, when escrow closed on the sale of the house on March 31, 2005, we paid \$45,000 in consultation fees to three corporations controlled by members of our management, leaving approximately \$40,000 of the original \$86,845 profit in our account. However, we were not invoiced, and did not pay, consultation fees on the sale of either of the two finished lots, which were our other two transactions we have completed to date.

Currently we are not purchasing any property due to the extreme market conditions that are occurring at this time. We feel it is much wiser to put this portion of our business plan on hold until prices come down in the overall real estate market. If and when the market does recover, we will initially focus on properties where the owner is in financial trouble and needs to sell the property at a discount. We feel this is the only way to approach the Real Estate Market with current conditions persisting the way they are.

The business model for our second area of operation, purchasing undeveloped land, brings in revenue in a similar fashion. After we complete due diligence, then purchase the land, and upgrade it, we will re-sell it to a developer, retaining the profit on the sale.

We have not conducted any transactions under this second area of operations to date.

The business model for our third area of operation, consulting work and joint ventures, involves two different revenue streams, one for consulting and one for joint ventures. When we consult for third parties who have already purchased the land, we charge \$90 - \$300 per hour to help facilitate the various issues needed for due diligence and upgrading the land, including securing mineral rights, surface rights, identifying zoning issues, helping to supply a study map and a tract map, contacting construction vendors to improve the site through grading, installing sewer hookups, etc. We were recently contacted by Lenox Homes and Jack Turman who owns a development company to do some mineral rights research for them. Initially we do not expect this to be a large revenue stream but we are hopeful that

over time we can establish some lasting relationships.

The business model for our fourth area of operation is our newest. It involves record retrieval and recordation of documents at the Hall of Records and Superior Court for Kern County. It's a high margin business that, once developed, can be very lucrative. We are currently in talks to become the sole Kern County representative for several potential customers. The record retrieval market potential is huge, as there are numerous companies across the United States that promote themselves to attorneys and other professionals as able to retrieve records in any county in the United States. By having our offices centrally located (adjacent to the various court houses and County Hall of Records), we have a significantly larger profit margin on work provided because there is virtually no traveling time or expense. By contrast most of our competitors are small operations that work out of their house, thus incurring travel expenses as well as time constraints.

-5-

Activity during the 3rd quarter:

We were contacted by Jack Turman, a large developer here in Bakersfield, California to help him in his negotiations with EOG Resources and Occidental Petroleum. The subject property is approximately 40 acres, of which EOG Resources owns the mineral rights to and is the lessor on a lease with Occidental Petroleum. Mr. Turman contracted with us to help him negotiate a deal between himself and the oil companies. We contacted both companies on behalf of Mr. Turman and negotiated a drill island along with a fee of \$1500.00 per acre for the rights to develop the surface on 29 of the 40 acres. Mr. Turman was pleased with the transaction; we anticipate handling more of Mr. Turman's oil issues on future projects.

Also, we recently completed some oil consulting work for Lenox Homes. Mr. Turman has a relationship with Lenox Homes and referred us to them so that we could help Lenox Homes with their oil issues on a 10-acre piece of property. We were required to locate the 7 mineral owners and obtain Surface Waiver deeds from each of them. We were able to locate all 7 and get the deeds signed. Lenox Homes was particularly impressed that we were even able to locate one of the individuals living in Germany. Recently, we also agreed to process an annexation into the City of Bakersfield for Lenox Homes on some of their property. We have already started this process for our new client and feel that it will be wrapped up some time in 2007. We are encouraged by the new relationship that has developed with Lenox Homes. We feel that this relationship is a great growth area for our business in the future, and we are excited by how things are progressing.

We are also pleased to announce that we have received our first orders from 2 new clients in the record retrieval business. Pacific Corporate & Title and Unisearch Corporation have both sent us orders during the month of September. Though initially we do not see this operation as a big revenue producer for Pebble Beach Enterprises, we are hopeful that we will receive more orders from these established companies in the future. We also expect that establishing good relationships with each of these companies will lead to referrals.

For the 3rd quarter we had a gross income of \$11,035.00 and a net income of \$5,825.00. The revenue is primarily from the oil and gas consulting services that were provided to Lenox Homes and Jack Turman. We have had great success with our new customers and have already received requests for our consulting services on other projects of theirs. The record retrieval division received its first 2 orders at the end of this quarter. For each order we charge a \$15.00 fee. Since we have no overhead for supplying this service it all transfers directly to the bottom line. We are optimistic that the customers and volume of orders will increase. It is our goal that by the end of 2007 we will process 20 of these requests per day. We believe that we can achieve this through word of mouth as well as advertising in the BRB Publication book. This book is made up of vendors in the various Counties throughout the United States. The book is purchased by companies that are in the record retrieval business and in need of qualified vendors in the counties that they serve. The book is the single best resource for getting your name out to the industry. If by the end of 2007 we can process 20 orders per day at \$15.00 each, that would be a gross profit of \$300.00 per day or \$6,000.00 per month.

We have now had activity in 2 of our 4 above mentioned revenue streams, in the latest quarter: record retrieval, for which we've done two projects this quarter and has produced \$30.00 in net income; 2) mineral rights retrieval and consulting services for which we've done 2 major projects this quarter resulting in gross income of \$11,035.00 and net income of \$5,825.00.

Marketing. With respect to finding property to purchase, we rely on direct marketing, through letters and phone calls to property owners directly, inquiring if they are interested in selling their land. Members of our management team have even been known to find land that is prime for development, locate the land owner, and knock on his/her door.

Our third area of operation, as it relates to consulting, has not been marketed yet, but we intend to start marketing within the next 12 months, as our time and cash flow allow. Our marketing activities will include but not be limited to attending farmers' conferences and builder conferences to solicit potential clients.

Advertising for all three areas of operation would involve research into the mailing addresses of potential clients, printing costs for promotional mailers, and postage costs. Costs for these activities are estimated \$6,000-10,000 per mailing, and therefore an annual budget of \$36,000-60,000. However, there are no advertising campaigns at this time. Our current strategy of slowly and methodically doing one transaction after another is working, and building our "self-sufficiency", which we define as no longer needing Adavco's help in purchasing property. We will conduct an advertising campaign when we

a) become self-sufficient, b) become profitable, c) our current strategy fails us, requiring emergency advertising in order to drum up new business, or d) reach a point in our operations where we are able to handle more transactions at the same time.

Potential Future Business Models. We may consider expanding operations in the future, as time and money allow, by targeting large builders and offering to develop and acquire land for them, and/or targeting large farmers that have excess land, which they want to develop. We anticipate this future model moving forward in the fall of 2007, contingent on our current success.

-6-

Description of Property.

Our principal office is a dedicated office building at 1200 Truxtun Ave., Suite 130, in Bakersfield, California.

We do not own real estate at the current time.

Plant and Significant Equipment. We do not expect any purchase of any plant or significant equipment assets in the next 12 months.

Number of Employees. Our current number of employees is zero. We do not expect a significant change in the number of employees in the next 12 months.

Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management.

We have only one class of securities - our Common Stock.

The following represents the security ownership of the only person who owns more than five percent of our outstanding Common Stock:

Annette Davis	38,054,331	95.1% of
	shares	common stock

Financing Plans. We will continue to rely on loans from Adavco, Inc. to complete brokerage transactions. At this time, there is nothing signed by Adavco Inc. to guarantee that such funds will be made available.

OFF BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

We have no off balance sheet arrangements.

Item 3. Controls and Procedures.

It is Management's responsibility for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting for Pebble Beach Enterprises. It is the President's ultimate responsibility to ensure the Company maintains disclosure controls and procedures designed to provide reasonable assurance that material information, both financial and non-financial, and other information required under the securities laws to be disclosed is identified and communicated to senior management on a timely basis. The Company's disclosure controls and procedures include mandatory communication of material events, management review of monthly, quarterly and annual results and an established system of internal controls.

As of September 30 2006, management of the Company, including the President, conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures with respect to the information generated for use in this Quarterly Report. Based upon and as of the date of that evaluation, the President and Treasurer have concluded the Company's disclosure controls were effective to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed in the reports that the Company files or submits under the relevant securities laws is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Commission's rules and forms. There have been no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting during the period ended March 31, 2006, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

It should be noted that while the Company's management, including the President, believes the Company's disclosure controls and procedures provide a reasonable level of assurance, they do not expect that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures or internal control over financial reporting will prevent all errors and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived or operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the controls. The design of any system of controls is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions; over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to errors or fraud may occur and not be detected.

-7-

Other Information

Item 4. Exhibits

Index of Exhibits

- 1. 31.1 Certification of Director pursuant to Exchange Act Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a), adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 2. 31.2 Certification of Treasurer pursuant to Exchange Act Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a), adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 3. 32.1 Certification of Director pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxlev Act of 2002
- 4. 32.2 Certification of Treasurer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxlev Act of 2002

-8-

SIGNATURES

In accordance with the requirements of the Exchange Act, the registrant caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Pebble Beach Enterprises, INC (Registrant)

Pebble Beach Enterprises, INC

Date December 27, 2007 By: /s/ Aaron Hashim

Aaron Hashim