

SECTOR 10 INC  
Form 10-K  
July 21, 2010

---

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Fiscal Year Ended March 31, 2010

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission File No. 000-24370

Sector 10, Inc.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Name of small business issuer in its charter)

Delaware  
State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

33-0565710  
(I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

14553 South 790 West #C  
Bluffdale, UT 84065

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Address of Principal Executive Offices) (Zip Code)

Registrant's Telephone Number, Include Area Code: (206) 853-4866

Securities Registered Pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class	Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered
None	None

Securities Registered Pursuant to Section 15(d) of the Act:

Common Stock, \$0.001 par value  
(Title of Class)

Check whether the issuer (1) filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act during the past twelve (12) months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports);and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past ninety (90)days. Yes  No

Check if disclosure of delinquent filers in response to Item 405 of Regulation S-B is not contained in this form, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. x

1

---

Issuer's revenues for its most recent fiscal year were \$0.

State the aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates computed by reference to the price at which the stock was sold, or the average bid and ask prices of such stock, as of a specified date within the past 60 days:

As of June 30, 2010, the Registrant had 43,993,268 shares of Common Stock issued and outstanding with an average market value of \$.07 per share for a total market value of \$3,079,530.

#### DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

List hereunder the following documents if incorporated by reference and the part of the form 10-K (e.g. part I, part II, etc.) into which the document is incorporated: (1) Any annual report to security holders; (2) Any proxy or other information statement; and (3) Any prospectus filed pursuant to rule 424(b) or (c) under the Securities Act of 1933: None.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
PART I	
ITEM 1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS	4
ITEM 2. DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY	10
ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS	11
ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS	14
PART II	
ITEM 5. MARKET FOR COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND SMALL BUSINESS ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES	14
ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA	14
ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OR PLAN OF OPERATION	15
ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK	20
ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	23
ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE	55
ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES	56
ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION	58
PART III	
ITEM 10. DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT	58
ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	60
ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS	63
ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS	64
ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES	65
ITEM 15. EXHIBITS	66
SIGNATURES	67
CERTIFICATIONS	

PART I

ITEM 1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS

SPECIAL NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This periodic report contain forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 with respect to the financial condition, results of operations, business strategies, operating efficiencies or synergies, competitive positions, growth opportunities for existing products, plans and objectives of management. Statements in this periodic report that are not historical facts are hereby identified as “forward-looking statements”.

Business

Organization:

Sector 10, Inc., as currently registered with the State of Delaware was originally incorporated on June 11, 1992 under the name Plasmatronic Technologies, Inc. The initial authorized shares amounted to 20,000,000 common shares and 1,000,000 preferred shares. On May 28, 1998, the Corporation name was officially changed from Plasmatronic Technologies, Inc. to Ecological Services, Inc. On January 2, 2003, the Corporation name was changed from Ecological Services, Inc. to Stanford Capital Corporation. In addition, the authorized capital stock was increased from 20,000,000 common shares to 50,000,000 common shares. On March 16, 2004, the Corporation name was changed from Stanford Capital Corporation to Skreem Entertainment Corporation. On December 1, 2006, the Corporation name was changed from Skreem Entertainment Corporation to SKRM Interactive, Inc.

Sector 10, Services USA, Inc. was formed as a Nevada corporation on September 16, 2002 and was a majority owned subsidiary of Sector 10, Holdings, Inc. The Company had minimal activity in 2002 and has been inactive until immediately prior to the acquisition of SKRM Interactive. The Company was inactive for the full 12 months ended March 31, 2007.

Sector 10, Inc. (formerly known as SKRM Interactive, Inc.) was the acquiring company resulting from the combination of Sector 10 Services USA, Inc, a private company, and SKRM Interactive, a Delaware public company. Sector 10 Services acquired SKRM Interactive through a reverse merger transaction on November 20, 2007. After the merger transaction, the Company had 7,732,030 shares outstanding. Purchase accounting adjustments were made to account for the combination of the entities. Immediately after the merger, the company was called SKRM Interactive, Inc. and continued its reporting obligations under that name. The Company was formally named Sector 10, Inc. on April 15, 2008. The SKRM year end of March 31 was continued with the new entity. On May 19, 2009, the Company affected a 1 for 10 reverse stock split whereby the new trading symbol was changed to SECI effective May 19, 2009.

Executive Summary:

Sector 10 has developed and seeks to market pre-deployed emergency and disaster response equipment with the world’s first patented Stationary Response Units (SRU) and Mobile Response Units (MRU). Sector 10 has patents issued in the United States and patent applications pending with U.S. and international agencies. Sector 10’s initial SRU and MRU design has been developed, produced, nationally test marketed and sold.

Speed to market and the effective use of corporate capital are the foundations of the framework for Sector 10 management decisions. The Company’s plan is to embark on a structured domino effect intended to activate company income and operational growth.

Sector10 is focused in developing a new market segment and opportunity thru knowledge-based solutions in a fragmented market. In 2008, SECTOR 10 growth strategy identified numerous opportunities nationally and around the world. Our strategy remains to continue to invest in management and business development, expand the number of customers we cover; be more effective in delivering the entire Firm to our clients; effectively manage risk, capital and expenses; and further strengthen our culture.

Overview:

Sector 10, Inc. (“Sector 10” or “The Company”) is in the business of providing emergency disaster response equipment and related support services. Sector 10 is currently licensed to manufacture and sell all Sector 10 products that were originally developed by Sector 10 Holdings, Inc. Sector 10 develops and markets emergency and disaster response equipment known as Mobile Response Unit (“MRU”) and Stationary Response Unit (“SRU”). The MRU and SRU provide an emergency communications system with on-board life safety resources that are needed in an emergency event. The SRU and MRU were developed to promote the concept of pre-deploying life saving tools and supplies in office buildings, factories, schools, construction sites, airports and cruise ships.

Sector 10 is a systems integration company exclusively representing a unique line of proprietary products and technologies focused on the pre-deployment of emergency and leveraging other incorporated asset system such as communication channels and interactive advertising. Sector 10’s life saving services center around the placement of stationary kiosks called SRU’s in high traffic venues and high-rise buildings. The SRU’s or stationary response units contain personal protective equipment (PPE) that can help people, prevent fatalities and injuries during natural disasters, terrorist attacks, and other life threatening situations such as fires. In addition the SRU provides four channels of communication and tracking capabilities that are linked to a command center and can interact with first responders to ensure the greatest number of lives can be saved.

Strategy:

Because of the inherent flexibility of the SRU and MRU design, the potential early markets include multi-level building owners and occupants, industrial users, governmental and military users and humanitarian users. In order to capitalize on its first mover advantage and what the Company perceives to be a growing demand for disaster preparedness, the Company believes it must simultaneously address as many markets as possible. This plan calls for outside funding to fuel potential growth.

The Company intends to sell certain products under bundled contracts of services and long-term product leases, thus securing monthly revenues for many years. Services will include SRU / MRU maintenance and restocking, emergency management training, and system monitoring. The Company proposes to develop the personnel and procedures necessary to provide such services. Markets that require expensive access are to be avoided for at least the first three years, one example being the home consumer market. The Company seeks to penetrate the corporate and institutional marketplace with large multi unit orders, focusing on industrial, construction, large buildings, and humanitarian markets.

If Sector 10 is able to increase the installed base of SRU’s / MRU’s, of which there can be no assurance, the Company anticipates that the re-supply of materials for the MRU’s will be an integral part of its business. Since Sector 10 will be selling directly to building owners and occupants, the Company presents an additional distribution channel to companies currently selling primarily to the emergency services industry. Several manufacturers of innovative new safety and emergency supplies appealing to the non-professional, such as smoke hoods, have already approached Sector 10 to be enlisted as suppliers. Sector 10 has the potential of being a large distribution outlet for smaller manufacturers and innovators. Since the building owners and occupants do not have the same cumbersome purchasing requirements as governmental emergency agencies, the Company believes that it can serve as a catalyst to bringing new and innovative products to market.

This report contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 29a of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21e of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. These forward-looking statements are subject to numerous risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from historical or anticipated results. You should not place undue reliance on such forward-looking statements, and, when considering such forward-looking statements, you should keep in mind the risk factors noted throughout this Form 10-KSB, including the section of this report entitled “Risks Related to Our Business and Operations.” You should also keep in

mind that all forward-looking statements are based on management's existing beliefs about present and future events outside of management's control and on assumptions that may prove to be incorrect. The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with our financial statements and notes thereto, which are included elsewhere in this report.



Description of Sector 10 Business:

Sector 10 is a systems integration company exclusively representing a unique line of proprietary products and technologies focused on the pre-deployment of emergency and leveraging other incorporated asset system such as communication channels and interactive advertising. Sector 10's life saving services center around the placement of stationary kiosks called SRU's in high traffic venues and high-rise buildings. The SRU's or stationary response units contain personal protective equipment (PPE) that can help people, prevent fatalities and injuries during natural disasters, terrorist attacks, and other life threatening situations such as fires. In addition the SRU provides four channels of communication and tracking capabilities that are linked to a command center and can interact with first responders to ensure the greatest number of lives can be saved.

Sector 10's life saving services center around the placement of stationary kiosks called SRU's in high traffic venues and high-rise buildings. The SRU's or stationary response units contain personal protective equipment (PPE) that can help people, prevent fatalities and injuries during natural disasters, terrorist attacks, and other life threatening situations such as fires. In addition the SRU provides four channels of communication and tracking capabilities that are linked to a command center and can interact with first responders to ensure the greatest number of lives can be saved.

These kiosks or Stationary Response Units (SRU's) can also be utilized as a means of communication during times of normal operation. The video displays create an interactive experience (with search and user menus) that enhances any facility by spreading information efficiently and effectively to patrons through an information and advertising network that caters to the specific needs of each unique building.

Sector 10 products have received endorsements from the Department of Homeland Security, Be Ready Utah, and Former Senator Jake Garn. Sector 10 is in the process of positioning itself as the global leader in pre-deployment emergency response.

Products:

EMERGENCY STATIONARY RESPONSE UNITS - (SRU)

The SRU mobile Response unit is a stationary response center containing the essential equipment needed for disasters and crisis situations. The unit can be placed near stairways, elevators, and transportation terminals. The SRU units utilize the PLX-3D System to deliver real time information, evacuation status, communications, and supplies the facilities to aid victims in crisis.

First and last, Sector 10 products serve a vital public safety function and are emergency response systems. This is particularly true of the SRU, which has no interactive communication system on-board. The primary market for this product is high-rise buildings.

SRUs offer these Emergency Response Features:

- Smoke, chemical and biological masks
- Eye wash system
- Iodide tablets
- Integrated computer system
- Visual touch screen panel
- Wi-Max wireless technology
- Surveillance camera
- Battery backup
- Evacuation chair
- Strobe light
- Siren
- First aid supply packs
- Real-time personnel tracking
- OSHA compliant

But Sector 10 has created additional business value and opportunity with the SRU-M. This innovative product combines life safety resource or the SRU that are vital to emergency response with interactive communications

technologies. This creates a new market by penetrating previously impermeable distribution points for advertising. This new market – Pre-deployed public safety systems – is a market segment being created by Sector 10. It is untouched and wide open with staggering potential for growth worldwide.

SRU-Ms are placed in high traffic public venues such as airports, convention centers, mass transit stations, entertainment venues, sports arenas and stadiums, and retail locations - wherever the public is found in masses. These are pre-deployed life saving devices that generate revenue during times of normal operations. The advertising dollars created can more than offset the expense of these multi-purpose units. Imagine the possibilities of a 42" display with multiple sections of interactive user-driven content.

Sector 10 has product placement programs that can place SRU-Media in high traffic areas at discounted prices or at maintenance-only cost to the end user... With the widespread adoption of pre-deployed emergency response systems, Sector 10 is building one of the largest proprietary digital networks in the world. Each day tens of thousands of people will be exposed to ads displayed on SRU-Ms, and the number will continue to grow.

With the SRU-M Sector 10 is creating a new market, a new way to deliver advertising messages to both large, gathered publics and to highly targeted audiences. This will be achieved by:

- Finding large, high traffic public venues which need both emergency response systems for public safety and the ability to deliver advertising messages to these publics. These venues include various high traffic locations such as malls, conventions centers, arenas, airports, and big box retailers throughout the United States.
- Negotiating with the venue management for the placement of the emergency response systems which contains the advertising message delivery components. The venue's need for emergency response systems allows Sector 10 to gain access to high traffic real estate that otherwise would be too expensive or simply not available, thus solving the real estate expense problem of many advertising vehicles.
- Finding sponsors to fund the placement of the advertising/emergency response systems in exchange for a portion of the revenues derived from the sale of advertising. Typical sponsors will be individuals and institutions looking for predictable return on a passive investment.
- Developing a national advertising sales management team to work with established advertising agencies to represent the Sector 10 advertising opportunity to their clients. It is not anticipated that Sector 10 advertising will be the sole advertising medium employed and therefore we expect that partnering with ad agencies will be the most cost effective means of generating ad sales.

Through this model Sector 10 will have two primary revenue streams:

- The sale and placement of the advertising/emergency response systems. This revenue will be provided by the sponsors who fund the placement of the systems.
- The on-going sale of advertising that is placed on the communications delivery components of the emergency response system during times of normal operation.

A more traditional sales model applies to the SRU. This product is intended for strictly safety applications, primarily in high rise buildings where the emergency response preparation needs remain acute but the public traffic is not as heavy. The SRU contains needed life safety resources, but has no messaging systems. Preliminary market response indicates that a lease will be the most attractive placement model for potential customers.

## EMERGENCY MOBILE RESPONSE UNITS - (MRU)

Permanently placed MRU's on location provide the fastest and most efficient security and first aid, working with the incident commander to build a unified command center.

MRU's are rush delivered to a disaster site to enable disasters to be quelled and victims to be treated and saved. The MRU mobile Response unit is a self-contained response center armed with all the essential equipment needed for disasters and crisis situations. The mobile unit can be delivered to a crisis site to administer first-aid and other medical needs. The unit is deliverable by air-drop or truck hitch mounted lift system

### MRU Features:

- Completely portable tent with automated easy deployment-->
- Eye wash system
- Integrated computer system
- Wi-Max wireless technology provides the latest speed and security
- Networkable via network cable, wireless, and cell access
- Satellite communications and networking for remote locations
- Web based controllable camera
- OSHA compliant
- 110VAC hookups with battery and solar backup
- Utility sink and clean water supply
- All the doors convert to a stretcher, table, and bench
- Compliant with PLX-3D Systems
- Modular shelves, drawers, bins, and cabinets
- First aid supply packs with tracking technology
- Plug and Play setup and installation

### MRU-OH

MRU Standard Product Features Include: eyewash, potable water, hand-wash, postings panel, soap and cup dispenser, garbage refuse, water delivery and recovery systems, compartments for fall protection, electrical TAG programs, MSDS, and Safety Program folders, a foldout plan or command table, forklift and crane lift points, lockable wheels and many other features that help meet and mitigate OSHA, EPA, NIOSH and many other related requirements.

### MRU-Specialty

MRU's provide the modularity, mobility, customization, and personalization to first responders when they arrive at a disaster site effectively providing a "portable village" — a desperately needed safe environment for victims and emergency professionals so that lives can be saved. These Response Units offer shelter, utilities, comforts, supplies and equipment.

### MRU Specialty Types:

- MRU-D Dental Unit
- MRU-S Surgical Unit
- MRU-V Vaccine Unit
- MRU-X X-ray Processing Center

### MRU Solutions:

- Emergency response
- Disaster aid
- Remote medical and health center
- Hazardous area clean up and response
- Remote locations
- 3rd World Countries

Rural work sites and construction zones  
Mining facilities

8

---

## Global Communications Network

The Sector 10 network is a global communications network that manages the delivery of emergency and commercial messaging to SRU-Ms. This network will be used for content distribution, secure remote data storage (to facilitate Sarbanes-Oxley compliance), voice communications, and site monitoring with video surveillance. A true networked digital signage solution ensures that digital advertisements play in designated zones, at designated times. The network will be fully integrated with the Emergency Alert System (EAS) including emergency alerts issued by the Department of Homeland Security and Amber Alerts.

## The Market

The market for Sector 10 products and services is largely untapped because we are creating and pioneering the market ourselves through our unique products unprecedented combination of business and public needs. There are many disaster preparedness needs in our nation's cities as well as unlimited advertising opportunities. Superior technology, unrivaled emergency and safety response systems and unique messaging features distinguish Sector 10 products.

Major US markets for the SRU-M devices include more than 700 malls, 10,000 big box retail stores, 150 convention centers, 150 arenas, and 100 airports. These combined US venues represent a potential of more than 144,000 product placements. More specific information on these opportunities is located in the financial projection.

Sector10 products represent a new product category in the market and an important step for emergency life safety markets across the world.

SRU/MRU's are available in various standard configurations, including emergency response equipment, disaster rescue response, spill-decon equipment, school emergency compliance, fire life & safety response, industrial safety & hygiene, clean room response, respiratory response, agricultural safety and hygiene, construction safety and hygiene. Customers can purchase the standard MRU's in any combination or design their own custom configuration to respond to their special needs.

The following are examples of Sector 10 applications available or under development as noted that solve problems at disaster sites, where "unified command sectors" are created.

Major markets defined by the company include Multi-Story Buildings, Military/Homeland Security, Construction/Industrial Sites, Schools, Hospitals, Hazmat/Decontamination Teams and Humanitarian Services.

The Multi-Story Building market is the initial target market for Sector 10. Customers consist primarily of building owners and managers, institutions and the building tenants. Targeting the top 20 building managers in the U.S. is central to the Company's sales implementation strategy. This complements the 80/20 principle, where a small number of building managers will make up over 80% of the market place. Sector 10 believes there are over 6 million U.S. buildings, of which approximately 943,000 are over 7 stories and approximately 400,000 are skyscrapers.

Humanitarian Vision – Sponsorship of MRU donations could become very widespread through the multi-national corporate community, world health organizations, charitable foundations, major church relief agencies, government relief agencies, the Red Cross, the US military, and through individual donors. Sector 10 also envisions being a provider of pre-prepared food storage and equipment for food delivery inside MRUs. This would include emergency dry-pack or other quick preparation foods and utilities. Sector 10 would do everything in its power to streamline the process for fulfilling these orders and minimizing the costs.



Large Scale Disaster Applications - A solution at a disaster site is to help the incident commander build the mobile unified command sector, in other words a unified cohesive solution to the disaster at hand. Sector 10 provides products, processes and systems to improve disaster site preparedness. Because Sector 10's MRU's are so mobile and modularized, they are a reliable resource for all sizes of tented facilities when constructing a "unified command sector" for an effective mobile shelter. This includes tents and equipment for erecting medical triage, command center, decontamination tent, supply and equipment shelter, utilities, etc.

#### Building Shareholder Value

The market opportunity available for Sector 10 products and its services is largely untapped. We have created patented products and processes to specifically address our target markets and give us a clear edge over future competition. There are many disaster preparedness needs in our nation's cities, our unprecedented combination of business solutions and public needs delivers not only a real need, but also creates a new product category in the market. Advanced technology, unrivaled emergency safety response systems and our unique messaging features distinguish Sector 10 products from other products currently on the market.

In addition to our pre-deployed features the SRU-M products present enhanced revenue opportunities to Sector10 and its shareholders. Alternative forms of advertising and marketing are on the rise. More and more, advertisers are looking to other forms of media, many of them un-measurable and un-quantified to meet their marketing needs. Ad agencies traditional ways of reaching their consumers are giving way to new media and new ways of engaging an audience. Advertisers now know that traditional TV as well as print ads are no longer sufficient ways to reach people affectively and as a result are directing their dollars to more new inventive ways of reaching the target market. If they want to remain competitive, agencies must take a serious look at new opportunities. These opportunities gave rise to Google, Yahoo and many others.

Because of the mobility of the consumer walking by the SRU-M's, ads will typically be shorter in length unless they stop and engage the SRU-M. The interactivity would allow the consumer to see a longer version of the ad or click for more information on the company of which S-10 would be able to charge more from the advertiser. "Pre-deployed Emergency Response thru Media Placement"

#### Employees

As of June 30, 2010, the Company has 2 employees and no current payroll. A total of 2 persons (CEO and CFO) work part time for the company and also work for the majority shareholder Sector 10 Holdings, Inc. Beginning in May, 2009, the CEO and CFO compensation was accrued on the Company books. No cash compensation was paid during the fiscal year

Two other employees (COO and Director of Business Development) had employment agreements that began in April/May 2009. The employment relationship and underlying employment agreements were either suspended or severed as of December 31, 2009. They are not considered employees as of the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010.

The Company had anticipated hiring additional employees. Due to serious issues resulting from the prior manufacturer, the Company has been delayed in their sales and production efforts and as a result have not had the funding to hire the personnel needed to assist in its growth. The Company is working on different funding approaches that may provide the ability top close several large sales proposals that currently exist. The Company shall use revenues and additional funding to hire new personnel. Such hiring may not occur until the 3rd quarter of the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011.

#### ITEM 2 DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTIES



The Company's administrative offices are located in an office facility located at 14553 South 790 West #C Bluffdale, UT 84065. The facility contains approximately 2,000 square feet of office space. The lease is maintained by the principal shareholder of the Company. Shared expenses incurred by Sector 10 Holdings were allocated to the Company on a monthly basis through April 2009. The allocation includes a portion for rent expenses. No further rent has been assessed. The Company is seeking new office space to accommodate the growth in personnel expected when the sales activity begins in fiscal 2011. No lease arrangement has been finalized as of the date of this report.

### ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The Company is aware of the following situation regarding litigation, pending or threatened, to which it is a party.

#### Dutro Group, Dutro Company & Reality Engineering

The Dutro Group consists of Dutro Company, Reality Engineering, William Dutro, Vicki Davis and Lee Allen. At the previous year end, certain financing relationships with the Dutro Group were not settled. Final documented settlements were negotiated with Dutro Company, Vicki Davis and William Dutro. Lee Allen refused to negotiate the \$18,000 advances loaned the Company. His balance of \$18,000 is recorded in Accounts Payable and is now included in pending litigation.

The Company resolved all outstanding issues regarding research and development expenses and other expenses incurred by Dutro Company on behalf of the Company. An agreement was signed on August 6, 2009 with all parties agreeing to payment. Subsequent to the agreement, the Company requested the return of detail information concerning the R&D expenditures including drawings, engineering information and other property and supplies held by Dutro Company. Dutro Company has refused to provide any requested information and/or property.

The Company also learned that Dutro Company and members of the Dutro Group may be involved in the manufacturing, sale and /or distribution of a product that is similar to the Sector 10 MRU. Such activity is in violation of the Master Product Manufacturing and Purchase Agreement dated October 1, 2007, between Dutro Company and the Company and possibly a violation of patents held on the MRU. The Company sent Dutro Company and members of the Dutro Group a Cease and Desist letter on August 25, 2009 to stop such activity. The Company is monitoring the status of this request.

Based on the fact that property owned by the Company was not returned and the fact that unauthorized production has been performed, the Company notified that Dutro Company was in breach of the Manufacturing Agreement. The Manufacturing Agreement was scheduled to terminate on September 30, 2009 unless an extension was agreed by the parties. No extension was agreed and the Manufacturing Agreement terminated on September 30, 2009.

Reality Engineering is managed by Lee Allen, a member of the Dutro Group. Reality Engineering agreed to assist the Company in enhancing the PLX-3D software. The agreed fee was \$50,000. Reality completed the project and has attempted to collect over \$168,000 for the services. Reality Engineering has not provided the Company with any useable product until the higher fee is paid. Reality Engineering has rejected all offers proposed by the Company to settle the difference in fee arrangement and has still never provided the Company with any useable end product from their services. On October 24, 2009, the Company informed Reality Engineering that they will no longer seek the end product derived from their services since no agreement could be reached on the fees. Accordingly, no fees will be paid to Reality as a result of their final rejection. The Company will review all actions taken by Reality Engineering and their impact on the Company.

Interest payments were due under the Dutro Group promissory note arrangements in January 2010. Total interest due for payment in January was \$23,685 which is allocated as follows: Vicki Davis - \$11,300, William Dutro - \$4,875 and Dutro Company - \$7,510. Payments were made to Vicki Davis and William Dutro for the full accrued amount. No payment was sent to Dutro Company in January. The promissory note required Dutro Company to return all materials to the Company upon request by the Company. The Company has made multiple requests for property still held at the Dutro Company facility. This includes various raw materials, supplies, molds, detail plans and specifications and other items. A letter was issued to Dutro Company in January 2010 requesting the return of all of the Company's property in accordance with the underlying promissory note agreement and manufacturing agreement. The letter stated that all interest payments under the promissory note would be withheld pending receipt of all requested

information.

11

---

On November 9, 2009, Lee Allen filed a claim against the Company in an effort to collect on the \$18,000 owed him plus interest accruing at an annual rate of 7.5%

On February 19, 2010, Dutro Company filed a claim against the Company seeking full payment of the \$250,000 promissory note plus interest.

On March 10, the Company was served notice that Dutro Company and Reality Engineering had filed a claim against the Company for collection of the fees owed Reality Engineering.

In April, 2010, the Company filed responses to the Dutro claims.

On July 8, 2010, The Company filed a claim against Dutro Company, Reality Engineering and all individuals included in the Dutro Group. The Company is seeking relief for the significant damages resulting from Dutro Group actions including breach of the Manufacturing agreement, breach of the promissory note, failure to perform on the Reality Engineering project, loss of patent rights due to Dutro actions, unauthorized use of confidential materials and other claims as they relate to interference with business, financial relational relationships causing delays and serious financial losses to the Company and investors.

The Company has engaged attorneys to dispute the claims filed by the Dutro Group and to vigorously seek relief for the damages incurred by Dutro Group actions.

The Company believes that sufficient reserves are included in the financial statements for exposures for the issues represented in these actions.

Edward Johnson

The Company is past due on the \$200,000 note payable plus accrued interest to Edward Johnson. The Note was originally due in February 2009 and extended to June 2009. The Company continued in discussions to work out payment arrangement after that period. Johnson filed a claim against the Company on February 8, 2010 to collect the debt plus interest.

In June 2010, The Company and Johnson have agreed to assign the loan to a 3rd party via a securities purchase and assignment agreement in an effort to pay Johnson for his loan plus agreed interest. It was agreed that the balance including accrued interest was \$226,615. This balance is final if paid in full on or before July 31, 2010. Any unpaid balance after July 31, 2010 will accrue interest at an annual rate of 6%. Through this assignment process, the Company will provide common shares to the purchaser of the note. As of July 10, 2010, a total of \$140,000 has been paid toward the balance due Johnson. The remaining balance of \$86,615 is expected to be paid in full on or before July 31, 2010.

The parties have agreed to halt all legal proceedings while the payment process is conducted. Upon full payment, the claim will be withdrawn and all parties will be released from any further current or future claims regarding this matter.

The Company believes that sufficient reserves are included in the financial statements for exposures for this case

Doty Scott

Doty Scott is a consultant that delivered services to the Company prior to November 20, 2007 which was the date of the merger between SKRM Interactive, Inc. and Sector 10 USA, Inc. (now Sector 10, Inc.) The amount due the consultant at that time was approximately \$16,000. This amount was treated as pre-acquisition debt and included in

accounts payable. The Company has been informed of an agreement that was signed on November 13, 2007 (pre-acquisition) that the consultant argues that carried a promissory note arrangement should the fees not be paid within a specific time period. Under the promissory note, additional compensation may be due. The consultant claims that they are entitled to over 3 million shares of common shares of the Company to fulfill their obligation. The Company reviewed the details of the claims presented by the consultant and has rejected their claims.

The Consultant's attorney provided an e-mail of a complaint that he filed in a court in San Diego, CA. on October 16, 2009. The Company Registered Agent was served regarding the Complaint on October 20, 2009. The Company disagrees with the consultant claims and argues that the benefits requested are far in excess of any reasonable benefits that should be due if the original \$16,000 fees can be validated. There is no signed promissory note contained in any agreement. Nor is there any signed promissory note contained in the claim documentation that was filed. The Company will vigorously argue against any claims presented by the consultant in this matter. An attorney has been engaged to respond to the claim filed on October 16, 2009.

The attorney filed a response on November 19, 2009 and requested that the case be removed to Federal Court in an effort to better protect the Company from frivolous claims that may be asserted by the consultant. Federal Court has met with the parties in an attempt for settlement. No such settlement was reached. The Court has established procedural deadlines at various dates throughout 2010 and a final date for all motions to be made on or before February 18, 2011. A mandatory settlement conference is scheduled for October 12, 2010.

Based on the facts of the case, the Company believes that sufficient reserves are included in the financial statements for exposures for this case.

Syptec, Inc.

Syptec, Inc. was engaged by Sector 10 Holdings, Inc. and Sector 10 Connex, Inc. (a company owned by Sector 10 Holdings) to provide administration services for the system network. The network was transferred from Holdings to the Company in May 2009 along with the administration.

The Network is not in service as of March 31, 2010. The Company has determined that the performance of the administrator has been inadequate and the administration agreement was cancelled. The performance issues have resulted in various disagreements with the administrator. As part of the termination, the Company has requested the return of all servers and related software and other equipment for deployment in other secure facilities with a new administrator. The administrator has not provided the return of the equipment at this stage. In addition, the administrator is seeking payment of fees and has filed a claim for \$58,732 of fees due. Although the fees at issue are attributable to services for the Company, the claim was not filed against the Company.

The Company disagrees with the total fees but has recorded the full amount in the financial statements. The Company has disputed this claim and considering legal options to provide the transfer the equipment to another administrator. The disputed fees include charges for consulting fees that are being challenged since the network is not in service. Removing the consulting fees included in the \$58,732 and the consulting fees paid with the acquisition of the equipment, the Company has a credit balance of approximately \$4,000.

Syptec filed a claim on March 17, 2009 for collection of the \$58,732. The claim was not received until April 26, 2009. The Company has filed a counterclaim stating that the fees are not due and to request return of all equipment that was under the control of the administrator. No action has resulted in this case and case is still pending. It is our understanding that the claimant is having financial difficulties which may cause delays in the proceedings.

The Company believes that sufficient reserves are included in the financial statements for exposures for this case

## ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

No matters were submitted to a vote of the Company's shareholders through the solicitation of proxies, during the Company's fiscal year ended March 31, 2010, other than those for which the majority of shares entitled to vote upon were approved as set forth in the Pre 14C Information Statement, filed on August 25, 2008, approving the Certificate of Amendment to the Certificate of Incorporation of the Company, pursuant to the authorization of the reverse stock split. The Company received correspondence from the Securities and Exchange Commission that required the Company to hold off on any further actions related to the reverse stock split until a no further comment letter was received from the SEC regarding the Pre 14C filed on August 25, 2008. The no further comment letter was received on January 6, 2009. Additional shareholder approval was received on April 22, 2009. A Definitive 14C was filed on April 24, 2009 regarding the amendments for the reverse stock split. The reverse stock split was effective on May 19, 2009. Due to the reverse stock split, the Company trading symbol was changed to SECI effective May 19, 2009.

## PART II

## ITEM 5. MARKET FOR COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND SMALL BUSINESS ISSUER PURCHASER OF EQUITY SECURITIES

The Company's Common Stock trades on the Over the Counter Bulletin Board under the symbol SECI.OB. Prior to May 19, 2009, the stock traded under the symbol "SECT.OB." The Company's stock began trading subsequent to its year end of March 31, 2006. Since its inception, the Company has not paid any dividends on its Common Stock, and the Company does not anticipate that it will pay dividends in the foreseeable future. As of June 30, 2009, the Company had approximately 185 shareholders of record.

The following chart sets out the Open, High, Low, Close, Volume and Adjusted Close Price for the stock for the period from March 31, 2009 until March 31, 2010. The dates represent the last trading date for the respective month:

Date	Open	High	Low	Close	Volume	Adj. Close
2010-03-31	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.06	124,600	0.06
2010-02-26	0.07	0.14	0.07	0.12	6,614,900	0.12
2010-01-29	0.11	0.11	0.10	0.10	40,000	0.10
2009-12-31	0.18	0.22	0.18	0.19	1,191,300	0.19
2009-11-30	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	5,000	0.08
2009-10-30	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0	0.10
2009-09-30	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	14,700	0.12
009-08-31	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.11	0	0.11
2009-07-31	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17	1,700	0.17
2009-06-30	0.25	0.30	0.25	0.27	127,100	0.27
2009-05-29	0.19	0.20	0.15	0.20	10,900	0.20
2009-04-30	0.30a	0.30a	0.30a	0.30a	20,000	0.30a
2009-03-31	0.30a	0.30a	0.30a	0.30a	12,000	0.30a

Note (a): The Company completed a reverse stock split on May 19, 2009. The information for March and April 2009 in the above table have been adjusted to reflect the impact of the reverse stock split.

The Company's transfer agent is OTC Stock Transfer, Inc. of Salt Lake City, Utah.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

None



## ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OR PLAN OF OPERATION

### Plan of Operation

The Company's cash balance is insufficient to satisfy the Company's cash requirements for the next 12 months. Due to issues surrounding Dutro Group, the ability to deliver products to customers has been delayed. The Company is dependent will need outside funding from the sale of shares or debt financing in order to continue operations beyond that point. The Company is currently negotiating a line of credit facility that is expected to provide sufficient funding for the next fiscal year.

The Company anticipates that it will acquire additional furniture and computers during the next twelve months. Any acquisitions would be furniture and computers for new personnel that may be hired and potential costs associated with relocation of corporate offices.

As of June 30, 2010, the Company has 2 employees and no current payroll. A total of 2 persons (CEO and CFO) work part time for the company and also work for the majority shareholder Sector 10 Holdings, Inc. Beginning in May, 2009, the CEO and CFO compensation was accrued on the Company books. No cash compensation was paid during the fiscal year

Two other employees (COO and Director of Business Development had employment agreements that began in April/May 2009. The employment relationship and underlying employment agreements were either suspended or severed as of December 31, 2009. They are not considered employees as of the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010.

The Company had anticipated hiring additional employees. Due to serious issues resulting from the prior manufacturer, the Company has been delayed in their sales and production efforts and as a result have not had the funding to hire the personnel needed to assist in its growth. The Company is working on different funding approaches that may provide the ability top close several large sales proposals that currently exist. The Company shall use revenues and additional funding to hire new personnel. Such hiring may not occur until the 3rd quarter of the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011. Our independent registered public accounting firm issued a report on their audit of our financial statements for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010. Our notes to the financial statements disclose that the cash flow of the Company has been absorbed in operating activities and has incurred net losses for the fiscal year, and have a working capital deficiency. In the event that funding from internal sources or from public or private financing is insufficient to fund the business at current levels, we will have to substantially cut back our level of spending which could substantially curtail our operations. The independent registered public accounting firm's report contains an explanatory paragraph indicating that these factors raise substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. Our going concern uncertainty may affect our ability to raise additional capital, and may also affect our relationships with suppliers and customers. Investors should carefully read the independent registered public accounting firm's report and examine our financial statements.

Results of Operations for the year ended March 31, 2010 as compared to the year ended March 31, 2009.

### Revenues -

The Company had no revenues for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010

The Company had net initial revenues of \$18,500 for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2009 consisting of total sales of \$37,000 less customer deposits of \$18,500. The deferred revenue was recognized to account for the product sales that were not shipped by the fiscal year ended March 31, 2009.



Other Income-

The Company had no other income for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010.

The company had other income of \$517,200 for the period ended June 30, 2008. The income was a result of the difference in the value of the shares provided in the conversion of debt to equity. No other income was reported during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2009.

Cost of Sales -

The Company had no cost of sales or other operating expenses for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010.

The Cost of Sales associated with the sales for this period was \$18,032 which was approximately \$1,900 per MRU unit and \$10,000 per SRU-M unit plus shipping. Cost of sales, inventory and other manufacturing costs were determined under an outsourced manufacturing agreement.

General and Administrative Expenses -

General and administrative expenses were \$4,315,058 for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010. These expenses are made up of financing fees - \$1,898,312, distribution fee - \$1,144,000, accrued wages - \$515,983, professional fees – investor relations – \$240,146, R&D expenses of \$161,336, other professional fees of \$253,993, director fees of \$30,000, insurance expense – 24,990 and other expenses of \$46,298.

General and administrative expenses were \$1,023,058 for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2009. These expenses are made up of professional fees – investor relations – \$556,458, expense allocation from Sector 10 Holdings of \$120,000, other professional fees of \$99,858, wages of \$75,000, R&D expenses of \$64,772, network and computer fees of \$58,859, director fees of \$15,000 and other expenses of \$33,111.

Depreciation Expense –

Depreciation expense was \$4,450 for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010.

Depreciation expense was \$4,450 for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2009.

Interest expense

The Company had interest expense of \$51,310 for the year ended March 31, 2010. The Company had interest expense of \$22,935 for the year ended March 31, 2009.

Other expense

The Company had other expense of \$216,814 for the year ended March 31, 2010. The expense is entirely attributed to the impairment of the Network Acquisition/Development Asset reflected as of the fiscal year end March 31, 2010.

The Company had no other expense for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2009.

## Liquidity and Capital Resources

### Cash and cash equivalents -

We believe our bank balance of \$70 with a deficit in working capital of \$1,391,085 as of March 31, 2010 is not sufficient to meet our working capital requirements for the coming year.

### Total assets -

We currently have \$968,520 in total assets for the year ended March 31, 2010. Our total assets are comprised of Cash - \$ 70, Inventory – \$18,409, Prepaid Assets – 6,770, Net fixed assets - \$12,090, and Network acquisition / development costs - \$931,181. The network development and acquisition costs include the development costs transferred by Sector 10 Holdings.

### Working capital -

Since the merger on November 20, 2007, we initially have financed the operations exclusively through advances from shareholders and officers. Beginning in May 2008, outside investors have assisted in provided working capital. Total outside capital received is \$735,500 as of the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010.

We expect to finance operations through the sale of equity and or debt financing. Additional capital arrangements have been established in the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011 which has generated funding and the purchase of certain existing notes payable. We anticipate that sales activity will begin in the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011. Sales activity is expected to generate sufficient cash flow to fund the ongoing operations of the business. There is no guarantee that we will be successful in arranging financing on acceptable terms or that future sales activity will be sufficient for funding operations.

The Company is currently negotiating a credit facility with various investors that is expected to provide sufficient funding for the next fiscal year. Various funding transactions are expected to close over the next several months. Obtaining additional financing would be subject to a number of factors, including investor sentiment. Market factors may make the timing, amount, terms or conditions of additional financing unavailable to us.

Our auditors are of the opinion that our continuation as a going concern is in doubt. Our continuation as a going concern is dependent upon continued financial support from our shareholders and other related parties.

### Liabilities -

Current liabilities as of March 31, 2010 were \$1,416,334. The balance was composed of accounts payable and accrued liabilities of \$1,143,308, note payable to outside investors of \$252,500 and interest and funding advances from Sector 10 Holdings and Peric DeAvila of \$20,526. Accounts payable includes an adjustment for accrued legal fees of \$94,416 which was made to reconcile an amount per the confirmation received from the respective law firm to what was reflected on the books. The Company disagrees with the amount reflected on the confirmation and believes that the differences are attributed to related companies. An analysis will be conducted with the firm to reconcile the amount reflected in the firm's records. This analysis will not be completed until the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011.

Current and total liabilities as of March 31, 2009 were \$908,406. The balance was composed of accounts payable and accrued liabilities of \$400,152, Note payable to outside investors of \$451,000, deferred revenue of \$18,500 and interest and funding advances from Sector 10 Holdings and Peric DeAvila of \$38,754.

Edgar Filing: SECTOR 10 INC - Form 10-K

Long term liabilities as of March 31, 2010 were \$483,000. The balance consists of Notes Payable to Dutro Company - \$250,000, Vicki Davis Living Trust - \$168,000 and William Dutro - \$65,000. The Notes Payable to Vicki Davis Living Trust and William Dutro were finalized in June 2009 and resulted from funding received through the fiscal year ended March 31, 2009. No loan agreement was in place at the end of the prior fiscal year. Dutro Company represents a settlement of all prior R&D expenses which was agreed in August 2009.

There were no long term liabilities as of March 31, 2009

Total liabilities as of March 1, 2010 were \$1,899,334. Total liabilities as of March 31, 2009 were \$908,406.

## Cash flows -

	Year Ended March 31,	Year Ended March 31,
Sources and Uses of Cash	2010	2009
Net cash provided by / (used in)		
Operating activities	\$ (48,218)	\$ (64,832 )
Investing activities	-	(147,995)
Financing activities	13,272	247,843
 Increase/(decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	 \$ (34,946)	 \$ 35,016
 Years ended March 31, 2010 and 2009		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 70	\$ 35,016

## Operating Activities -

Cash used in operations for the year ended March 31, 2010 was \$48,218. This included a loss from operation of \$4,587,632, stock issued for services of \$3,180,841, loss due to impairment of \$216,814, change in accounts receivable of \$2,000, change in prepaid expenses of \$6,770, change in deposits of \$10,000, net change in accounts payable and accrued liabilities of \$1,137,039, change in deferred revenue of \$18,500 and an adjustment for non cash depreciation expense of \$4,450.

Cash used in operations for the year ended March 31, 2009 was \$64,832. This included a loss from operation of \$532,775, stock issued for services of \$546,708, adjustment for non-cash gain in restructuring of \$517,200, change in accounts receivable of \$2,000, change in inventory of \$18,409, a change in deposits of \$10,000, a net change in accounts payable and accrued liabilities of \$445,894, change in deferred revenue of \$18,500 and an adjustment for non cash depreciation expense of \$4,450.

## Investing Activities -

There was no cash used in Investing Activities for the year ended March 31, 2010.

Investing Activities include current year additions to the Network Acquisition / Development Costs of \$147,995 for the year ended March 31, 2009.

## Financing Activities -

Cash provided in financing activities for the year ended March 31, 2010 was \$13,272. This was comprised of note payable from outside investors of \$31,500, net advances from related parties including Sector 10 Holdings / Peric DeAvila of \$18,228.

Cash provided in financing activities for the year ended March 31, 2009 was \$247,843. This was comprised of note payable from outside investors of \$451,000, net advances from related parties including Sector 10 Holdings / Peric DeAvila of \$202,465 and a net bank overdraft reversal of \$692.



### Critical Accounting Policies

The discussions and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations, including the discussion on liquidity and capital resources, are based upon the financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with US GAAP. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an ongoing basis, management re-evaluates its estimates and judgments, particularly those related to the determination of the impairment of its intangible assets. Actual results could differ from the estimates. We believe the following are the critical accounting policies used in the preparation of the consolidated financial statements.

#### Revenue -

The Company had no sales activity during the current fiscal year ended March 31, 2010. The Company records sales of its products based upon the terms of the contract; when title passes to its customers; and, when collectability is reasonably assured.

#### Income Taxes -

The amount of income taxes recorded by us requires the interpretation of complex rules and regulations of various taxing jurisdictions throughout the world. We have recognized deferred tax assets and liabilities for all significant temporary differences, operating losses and tax credit carryforwards. We routinely assess the potential realization of our deferred tax assets and reduce such assets by a valuation allowance if it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. We routinely assess potential tax contingencies and, if required, establish accruals for such contingencies. The accruals for deferred tax assets and liabilities are subject to a significant amount of judgment by us and we review and adjust routinely our estimates based on changes in facts and circumstances. Although we believe our tax accruals are adequate, material changes in these accruals may occur in the future, based on the progress of ongoing tax audits, changes in legislation and resolution of pending tax matters.

#### Litigation -

An estimated loss from a loss contingency is recorded when information available prior to issuance of the financial statements indicates that it is probable that a liability has been incurred at the date of the financial statements and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. Accounting for contingencies such as legal matters requires the use of judgment as to the probability of the outcome and the amount. Many legal contingencies can take years to be resolved. An adverse outcome could have a material impact on our financial condition, operating results and cash flows.

#### Going Concern Qualification

Our notes to the consolidated financial statements disclose that the cash flow of the Company has been absorbed in operating activities and has incurred net losses for the three months, and have a working capital deficiency. In the event that funding from internal sources or from public or private financing is insufficient to fund the business at current levels, we will have to substantially cut back our level of spending which could substantially curtail our operations. These factors raise substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. Our going concern uncertainty may affect our ability to raise additional capital, and may also affect our ability to raise additional capital, and may also affect our relationships with suppliers and customers. Investors should carefully examine our financial statements.



## ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

### Risks Related to our Business and Operations

Investing in our common stock involves a high degree of risk. You should carefully consider the risks described below, and all of the other information set forth in this Report before deciding to invest in shares of our common stock. In addition to historical information, the information in this Report contains forward-looking statements about our future business and performance. Our actual operating results and financial performance may be different from what we expect as of the date of this Report. The risks described in this Report represent the risks that management has identified and determined to be material to our company. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us, or that we currently deem to be immaterial, may also materially harm our business operations and financial condition.

We have not paid any cash dividends on our common stock to date and do not anticipate any cash dividends being paid to holders of our common stock in the foreseeable future. While our dividend policy will be based on the operating results and capital needs of the business, it is anticipated that any earnings will be retained to finance our future expansion. As we have no plans to issue cash dividends in the future, our common stock could be less desirable to other investors and as a result, the value of our common stock may decline, or fail to reach the valuations of other similarly situated companies who have paid dividends.

**Dependence upon Suppliers and Other Third Parties:** The Company relies on outsourced manufacturers for the production of all Sector 10 products. Dutro Company was the exclusive outsourced manufacturer through September 30, 2009 under a manufacturing agreement. The agreement was terminated and the Company claims that Dutro breached the agreement and damaged the Company's business. New outsourced manufacturers have been identified and nonexclusive manufacturing agreements are under negotiation with multiple manufacturing concerns for the production of all Sector 10 products. Much of the consumable medical supplies included in the MRUs are obtained from a limited number of distributors. Although alternative sources of supply may be available, the Company would likely experience operational disruptions if it were unable to obtain its products or related supplies from existing suppliers. Furthermore, the Company might incur significant unanticipated costs in engaging new suppliers. Because production of Sector 10 products requires specialized equipment, the Company would likely experience delay in switching to an alternative manufacturer.

Compliance with existing and new regulations of corporate governance and public disclosure may result in additional expenses.

Compliance with changing laws, regulations, and standards relating to corporate governance and public disclosure, including the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and other SEC regulations, requires large amounts of management attention and external resources. This may result in increased general and administrative expenses and a diversion of management time and attention from revenue-generating activities to compliance activities.

Our directors, executive officers and principal stockholders have effective control of the company, preventing non-affiliate stockholders from significantly influencing our direction and future.

Our directors, officers, and 5% stockholders and their affiliates control in excess of 50% of our outstanding shares of common stock. There are anti-dilution provisions in agreements that are expected to provide this group to continue to control a majority of our outstanding common stock following any financing transactions projected for the foreseeable future. These directors, officers and affiliates effectively control all matters requiring approval by the stockholders, including any determination with respect to the acquisition or disposition of assets, future issuances of securities,

declarations of dividends and the election of directors. This concentration of ownership may also delay, defer, or prevent a change in control and otherwise prevent stockholders other than our affiliates from influencing our direction and future.

There is a public market for our stock, but it is thin and subject to manipulation.

The volume of trading in our common stock is limited and can be dominated by a few individuals. The limited volume, if any, can make the price of our common stock subject to manipulation by one or more stockholders and will significantly limit the number of shares that one can purchase or sell in a short period of time. An investor may find it difficult to dispose of shares of our common stock or obtain a fair price for our common stock in the market.

The market price for our common stock is volatile and may change dramatically at any time.

The market price of our common stock, like that of the securities of other early-stage companies, is highly volatile. Our stock price may change dramatically as the result of announcements of our quarterly results, the rate of our expansion, significant litigation or other factors or events that would be expected to affect our business or financial condition, results of operations and other factors specific to our business and future prospects. In addition, the market price for our common stock may be affected by various factors not directly related to our business, including the following:

- intentional manipulation of our stock price by existing or future stockholders;
- short selling of our common stock or related derivative securities;
- a single acquisition or disposition, or several related acquisitions or dispositions, of a large number of our shares;
- the interest, or lack of interest, of the market in our business sector, without regard to our financial condition or results of operations;
- the adoption of governmental regulations and similar developments in the United States or abroad that may affect our ability to offer our products and services or affect our cost structure;
  - developments in the businesses of companies that purchase our products; and
- economic and other external market factors, such as a general decline in market prices due to poor economic indicators or investor distrust.

Our business may be affected by increased compensation and benefits costs.

We currently intend to retain all available funds and any future earnings for use in the operation and expansion of our business. We do not anticipate paying any cash dividends in the foreseeable future, and it is unlikely that investors will derive any current income from ownership of our stock. This means that your potential for economic gain from ownership of our stock depends on appreciation of our stock price and will only be realized by a sale of the stock at a price higher than your purchase price.

Our common stock is a “low-priced stock” and subject to regulation that limits or restricts the potential market for our stock.

Shares of our common stock may be deemed to be “low-priced” or “penny stock,” resulting in increased risks to our investors and certain requirements being imposed on some brokers who execute transactions in our common stock. In general, a low-priced stock is an equity security that:

- Is priced under five dollars;
- Is not traded on a national stock exchange, the Nasdaq Global Market or the Nasdaq Capital Market;
- Is issued by a company that has less than \$5 million in net tangible assets (if it has been in business less than three years) or has less than \$2 million in net tangible assets (if it has been in business for at least three years); and
  - Is issued by a company that has average revenues of less than \$6 million for the past three years.

We believe that our common stock is presently a “penny stock.” At any time the common stock qualifies as a penny stock, the following requirements, among others, will generally apply:

- Certain broker-dealers who recommend penny stock to persons other than established customers and accredited investors must make a special written suitability determination for the purchaser and receive the purchaser’s written agreement to a transaction prior to sale.
  - Prior to executing any transaction involving a penny stock, certain broker-dealers must deliver to certain purchasers a disclosure schedule explaining the risks involved in owning penny stock, the broker-dealer’s duties to the customer, a toll-free telephone number for inquiries about the broker-dealer’s disciplinary history and the customer’s rights and remedies in case of fraud or abuse in the sale.
- In connection with the execution of any transaction involving a penny stock, certain broker-dealers must deliver to certain purchasers the following:
  - bid and offer price quotes and volume information;
  - the broker-dealer’s compensation for the trade;
  - the compensation received by certain salespersons for the trade;
  - monthly accounts statements; and
  - a written statement of the customer’s financial situation and investment goals.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Stockholders and Board of Directors  
Sector 10, Inc.  
(A Development Stage Company)  
Bluffdale, Utah

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Sector 10, Inc. (A Development Stage Company) as of March 31, 2010 and 2009, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders equity (deficit) and cash flows for the years ended March 31, 2010 and 2009 and from inception of the development stage on September 16, 2002 through March 31, 2010. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Sector 10, Inc. as of March 31, 2010 and 2009, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the years ended March 31, 2010 and 2009 and from inception of the development stage on September 16, 2002 through March 31, 2010, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We were not engaged to examine management's assessment about the effectiveness of Sector 10, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of March 31, 2010 and, accordingly, we do not express an opinion thereon.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 10 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company has a working capital deficit. This raises substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans in regard to this matter are also described in Note 12. The consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

/s/ HJ & Associates, LLC  
Salt Lake City, Utah  
July 21, 2010

Sector 10, Inc.  
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)  
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS  
For the Years Ended March 31, 2010 and 2009

	March 31, 2010	March 31, 2009
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Current assets:		
Cash	\$70	\$35,016
Accounts receivable	-	2,000
Inventory, net	18,409	18,409
Prepaid assets	6,770	37,291
Total current assets	25,249	92,716
Fixed Assets:		
Furniture	9,182	9,182
Computers	13,068	13,068
Total fixed asset cost	22,250	22,250
Less: accumulated depreciation	(10,160 )	(5,710 )
Net fixed assets	12,090	16,540
Other assets:		
Deposits	-	10,000
Network acquisition/development costs	931,181	1,147,995
Total other assets	931,181	1,157,995
Total assets	\$968,520	\$1,267,251

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.



Sector 10, Inc.  
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)  
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS  
For the Years Ended March 31, 2010 and 2009

	March 31, 2010	March 31, 2009
<b>LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$1,143,308	\$400,152
Deferred revenue	-	18,500
Note payable - short term	252,500	451,000
Note payable – officer / shareholder	20,526	38,754
<b>Total current liabilities</b>	<b>1,416,334</b>	<b>908,406</b>
Long term liabilities:		
Note payable	483,000	-
<b>Total long term liabilities</b>	<b>483,000</b>	<b>-</b>
<b>Total liabilities</b>	<b>1,899,334</b>	<b>908,406</b>
Shareholders' equity (deficit)		
Preferred shares - \$0.001 par value; 1,000,000 authorized, no shares issued or outstanding		
C Common shares - \$0.001 par value; 199,000,000 authorized; 42,693,268 and 10,143,530 shares issued and outstanding, respectively	42,693	10,144
Additional paid- in-capital	4,274,432	1,009,008
Deficit accumulated during the development stage	(5,247,939)	(660,307 )
<b>Total shareholders' equity (deficit)</b>	<b>(930,814 )</b>	<b>358,845</b>
<b>Total liabilities and shareholders' equity</b>	<b>\$968,520</b>	<b>\$1,267,251</b>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Sector 10, Inc.  
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS  
For the Years Ended March 31, 2010 and 2009 and for the Period From Inception,  
September 16, 2002 to March 31, 2010

	Years Ended		Inception to
	March 31, 2010	March 31, 2009	March 31, 2010
Sales			
Cost of Sales	\$-	\$ 18,500	\$18,500
Gross Profit	-	(18,032 )	(18,032 )
	-	468	468
Expenses:			
General and administrative	4,153,722	958,286	5,234,465
Depreciation	4,450	4,450	10,160
Research & development	161,336	64,772	226,108
Total expenses	4,319,508	1,027,508	5,470,733
Income (loss) from operations	(4,319,508 )	(1,027,040)	(5,470,265)
Interest expense	(51,310 )	(22,935 )	(78,060 )
Other income: debt restructuring	-	517,200	517,200
Other expense: impairment loss	(216,814 )	-	(216,814 )
Net Income (loss) before income taxes	(4,587,632 )	(532,775 )	(5,247,939)
Provision for income taxes	-	-	-
Net Income (loss) after income taxes	\$(4,587,632 )	\$ (532,775 )	\$(5,247,939)
Weighted Average Shares Outstanding – basic and diluted	22,272,414	9,246,849	
Basic and diluted income (loss) per share			
Continuing Operations	\$(0.20 )	\$ (0.06 )	
Net Income (Loss)	\$(0.20 )	\$ (0.06 )	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements

Sector 10, Inc.  
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' DEFICIT  
For the period from September 16, 2002 (inception) through March 31, 2010

	Common stock (1)		Additional	Deficit
	Shares	Amount	Paid-In Capital	Accumulated During the Development Stage
Balance at Inception, September 16, 2002	-	\$-	\$ -	\$ -
Issuance of common stock for cash	4,700,000	4,700	(1,325 )	-
Issuance of common stock for services	300,000	300	(89 )	-
Net loss from September 16, 2002 to December 31, 2002		-	-	(3,586 )
Balance at December 31, 2002	5,000,000	5,000	(1,414 )	(3,586 )
Net loss		-	-	-
Balance at December 31, 2003	5,000,000	5,000	(1,414 )	(3,586 )
Net loss		-	-	-
Balance at December 31, 2004	5,000,000	5,000	(1,414 )	(3,586 )
Net loss		-	-	-
Balance at December 31, 2005	5,000,000	5,000	(1,414 )	(3,586 )
Net loss		-	-	-
Balance at March 31, 2006	5,000,000	5,000	(1,414 )	(3,586 )
Net loss		-	-	-
Balance at March 31, 2007	5,000,000	5,000	(1,414 )	(3,586 )
Recapitalization	2,732,030	2,732	(703,166 )	-
Net loss		-	-	(123,946 )
Balance at March 31, 2008	7,732,030	7,732	(704,580 )	(127,532 )
Issue shares on April 4, 2008 @\$1.10 per share for investor relations services	304,000	304	334,096	-
Issue shares on May 8, 2008 @\$1.10 per share for debt conversion	120,000	120	131,880	-
Issue shares on May 19, 2008 @\$.80 per share for Network Ownership and Development rights transfer	1,250,000	1,250	998,750	-
Issue shares on November 3, 2008 @\$.25 per share for investor relations consulting services	50,000	50	12,450	-
Issue shares on December 19, 2008 @\$.30 per share for investor relations services	37,500	38	11,212	-
Issue shares on January 5, 2009 @\$.40 per share for investor relations services	200,000	200	79,800	-
Issue shares on March 31, 2009 for extinguishment of related party payable at \$.30 per share.	450,000	450	134,550	-
Gain on extinguishment of related party payable	-	-	10,850	-
Net loss		-	-	(532,775 )
Balance at March 31, 2009	10,143,530	\$ 10,144	\$ 1,009,008	\$(660,307 )

Sector 10, Inc.  
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT)

	Common stock (1)		Additional	Deficit
	Shares	Amount	Paid-In Capital	Accumulated During the Development Stage
Issue shares on April 8, 2009 @\$.30 per share for Director Fees	50,000	50	14,950	-
Issue shares on April 22, 2009 @\$.20 per share for Product Design	27,500	28	5,472	-
Issue shares on April 24, 2009 @\$.30 per share for investor relations	37,500	37	11,213	-
Issue shares on April 24, 2009 @\$.20 per share for investor relations	25,000	25	4,975	-
Issue shares on May 8, 2009 @\$.10 per share per employment contract	50,000	50	4,950	-
Issue shares on May 15, 2009 @\$.20 per share per employment contract	10,000	10	1,990	-
Issue Shares on May 19, 2009 as a result of reverse stock split	43	-	-	-
Issue shares on July 13, 2009 @\$.27 per share per employment contract due at July 1, 2009	50,000	50	13,450	-
Issue shares on July 13, 2009 @\$.27 per share per employment contract due at July 1, 2009	10,000	10	2,690	-
Issue shares on September 24, 2009 @\$.135 per share for Board of Advisor Fees due on July 14, 2009	25,000	25	3,350	-
Issue shares on September 24, 2009 @\$.135 per share for Board of Advisor Fees due on July 14, 2009	25,000	25	3,350	-
Issue shares on September 28, 2009 based on May 1, 2009 authorization @\$.10 per share for prior year accrued services and current year board services.	550,000	550	54,450	-
Issue shares on September 28, 2009 based on May 1, 2009 authorization @\$.10 per share for prior year accrued services and current year board services.	400,000	400	39,600	-
Issue shares to on September 28, 2009 based on May 1, 2009 authorization @\$.10 per share for prior year accrued services and current year board services.	100,000	100	9,900	-
Issue shares on September 28, 2009 @\$.11 per share for R&D services	5,000	5	545	-
Issue shares on September 28, 2009 @\$.11 per share for short term investment.	15,000	15	1,635	-
Issue shares on September 30, 2009 @\$.30 per share to reimburse stock transferred on behalf of Company. Accrued in prior quarter.	250,000	250	77,250	-



Sector 10, Inc.  
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT)

	Common stock (1)		Additional	Deficit
	Shares	Amount	Paid-In	Accumulated
			Capital	During the
				Development
				Stage
Issue shares on September 30, 2009 @\$ .15 per share to stock transfer for investor relations services.	20,834	21	3,104	-
Issue shares on November 6, 2009 @ \$.11 per share regarding reimbursement of shares provided on behalf of Sector 10 Inc.	1,544,000	1,544	168,456	-
Issue shares on November 10, 2009 based on conversion of \$1,144,000 of distribution fee in accordance with provisions under Distribution Agreement. Based on agreement, the shares are convertible into shares based on a value computed on November 10, 2009 at \$.10067 per share.	11,363,636	11,365	1,132,636	-
Issue shares on November 10, 2009 regarding reimbursement of shares provided under the assignment contract. Shares valued at \$.10067 under the conversion computation in the distribution agreement.	13,250,000	13,250	1,320,750	-
Issue shares for agreement to provide financing under assignment transaction. Shares are valued under the conversion provision at \$.10067 as of November 10, 2009.	1,325,000	1,325	131,675	-
Issue shares authorized on December 3, 2009 @\$ .055 per share for consulting services rendered.	7,700	7	416	-
Issue shares authorized on December 3, 2009 @ \$.055 per share in accordance with agreement for short term funding.	7,500	7	405	-
Issue shares authorized on December 3, 2009 @ \$.055 per share in accordance with agreement for short term funding.	20,000	20	1,080	-
Issue shares on December 9, 2009 @\$ .15 per share per employment contract due at September 1, 2009.	50,000	50	7,450	-
Issue shares on December 9, 2009 @\$ .10 per share per employment contract due at November 1, 2009.	50,000	50	4,950	-
Issue shares on December 9, 2009 @\$ .12 per share per employment contract due at September 1, 2009.	10,000	10	1,190	-
Issue shares authorized on December 17, 2009 @\$ .093 per share in accordance with agreement for short term funding.	10,000	10	920	-

Sector 10, Inc.  
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT)

	Common stock (1)		Additional Paid-In Capital	Deficit Accumulated During the Development Stage
	Shares	Amount		
Issue shares on March 15, 2010 @\$.08 per share for conversion of Note Payable plus accrued interest.	1,084,200	1,084	85,652	-
Issue shares on March 15, 2010 @\$.08 per share for conversion of Note Payable plus accrued interest.	676,825	676	53,470	-
Issue shares on March 25, 2010 @\$.07 per share for investor relations services.	1,500,000	1,500	103,500	-
Net loss for the period.				(4,587,632)
Balance at March 31, 2010	42,693,268	\$42,693	\$4,274,432	\$(5,247,939)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Sector 10, Inc.  
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS  
For Years Ended March 31, 2010 and 2009  
and for the Period From Inception,  
September 16, 2002, to March 31, 2010

	Years Ended		Inception to
	March 31, 2010	March 31, 2009	March 31, 2010
<b>Cash Flows from Operating Activities:</b>			
Net Loss	\$(4,587,632)	\$(532,775 )	\$(5,247,939)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:			
Stock for services	3,180,841	546,708	3,727,549
Depreciation	4,450	4,450	10,160
Gain on debt restructuring	-	(517,200 )	(517,200 )
Loss due to impairment	216,814	-	216,814
Changes in			
Accounts receivable	2,000	(2,000 )	-
Prepaid expenses	6,770	-	6,770
Inventory	-	(18,409 )	(18,409 )
Deposits	10,000	(10,000 )	-
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	1,137,039	445,894	1,617,805
Deferred revenue	(18,500 )	18,500	-
Net cash used in operating activities	(48,218 )	(64,832 )	(204,450 )
<b>Cash Flows from Investing Activities:</b>			
Fixed asset purchases	-	-	(22,250 )
Network acquisition / development costs	-	(147,995 )	(147,995 )
Net cash used in investing activities	-	(147,995 )	(170,245 )
<b>Cash Flows from Financing Activities:</b>			
Bank overdraft	-	(692 )	-
Proceeds from general financing	31,500	451,000	482,500
Proceeds from shareholder /officers	50,242	263,275	933,063
Payments to shareholder/officers	(68,470 )	(465,740 )	(1,044,384)
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	-	-	3,586
Net cash provided by financing activities	13,272	247,843	374,765
Net increase (decrease) in cash	(34,946 )	35,016	70
Beginning of period - continuing operations	35,016	-	-
End of period - continuing operations	\$70	\$35,016	\$70
Cash Paid for interest	\$16,175	\$-	\$16,175
Cash paid for income taxes	\$-	\$-	\$-

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements





Sector 10, Inc.  
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS  
For Years Ended March 31, 2010

	Accounts Payable	Accrued Expenses	Note Payable	Note Payable	Common Stock	APIC
Supplemental disclosures:						
Noncash investing & financing activities disclosure:						
Dutro accrued interest reclassified to A/P	7,510	(7,510 )	-	-	-	-
Dutro converted A/P balance into a N/P	(250,000)	-	-	250,000	-	-
John Gargett accrued wages converted into N/P	-	(83,333 )	83,333	-	-	-
Patrick Love accrued wages converted into N/P	-	(52,000 )	52,000	-	-	-
Lee Allen N/P converted into A/P	18,000	-	-	(18,000 )	-	-
L Brown converted A/P Balance into a N/P	(7,500 )	-	7,500	-	-	-
Reimbursed expenses converted to N/P	(13,500 )	-	13,500	-	-	-
John Gargett convert NP into Common Stock	-	(3,403 )	(83,333)	-	1,084	85,652
Patrick Love convert NP into Common Stock	-	(2,147 )	(52,000)	-	677	53,470
	\$(245,490)	\$(148,393)	\$21,000	\$232,000	\$1,761	\$139,122

Sector 10, Inc.  
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 1 - ORGANIZATION AND BUSINESS OPERATIONS

Sector 10, Inc., as currently registered with the State of Delaware was originally incorporated on June 11, 1992 under the name Plasmatronic Technologies, Inc. The initial authorized shares amounted to 20,000,000 common shares and 1,000,000 preferred shares. On May 28, 1998, the Corporation name was officially changed from Plasmatronic Technologies, Inc. to Ecological Services, Inc. On January 2, 2003, the Corporation name was changed from Ecological Services, Inc. to Stanford Capital Corporation. In addition, the authorized capital stock was increased from 20,000,000 common shares to 50,000,000 common shares. On March 16, 2004, the Corporation name was changed from Stanford Capital Corporation to Skreem Entertainment Corporation. On December 1, 2006, the Corporation name was changed from Skreem Entertainment Corporation to SKRM Interactive, Inc.

Sector 10, Services USA, Inc. was formed as a Nevada corporation on September 16, 2002 and was a majority owned subsidiary of Sector 10, Holdings, Inc. The Company had minimal activity in 2002 and has been inactive until immediately prior to the acquisition of SKRM Interactive. The Company was inactive for the full 12 months ended March 31, 2007.

Sector 10, Inc. (formerly known as SKRM Interactive, Inc.) was the acquiring company resulting from the combination of Sector 10 Services USA, Inc, a private company, and SKRM Interactive, a Delaware public company. Sector 10 Services acquired SKRM Interactive through a reverse merger transaction on November 20, 2007. After the merger transaction, the Company had 7,732,029 shares outstanding (adjusted for reverse stock split). Purchase accounting adjustments were made to account for the combination of the entities. Immediately after the merger, the company was called SKRM Interactive, Inc. and continued its reporting obligations under that name. The Company was formally named Sector 10, Inc. on April 15, 2008. The SKRM year end of March 31 was continued with the new entity.

Sector 10, Inc. is currently licensed to manufacture and sell all Sector 10 products that were originally developed by Sector 10 Holdings, Inc. Sector 10 develops and markets emergency and disaster response equipment known as Mobile Response Unit ("MRU") and Stationary Response Unit ("SRU"). The MRU and SRU provide an emergency communications system with on-board life safety resources that are needed in an emergency event. The SRU and MRU were developed to promote the concept of pre-deploying life saving tools and supplies in office buildings, factories, schools, construction sites, airports and cruise ships.

Sector 10, Inc. is a systems integration company exclusively representing a unique line of proprietary products and technologies focused on the pre-deployment of emergency and leveraging other incorporated Asset system such as communication channels and interactive advertising. Sector 10's life saving services center around the placement of stationary kiosks called SRU's in high traffic venues and high-rise buildings. The SRU's or stationary response units contain personal protective equipment (PPE) that can help people, prevent fatalities and injuries during natural disasters, terrorist attacks, and other life threatening situations such as fires. In addition the SRU provides four channels of communication and tracking capabilities that are linked to a command center and can interact with first responders to ensure the greatest number of lives can be saved.

The SRU-M product contains two large video screens and is placed in high traffic areas such as convention centers, arenas and malls. The screens combined with the network used for the emergency response services provides both the Company and the customers with additional revenue sources by placing media on its screens. SRU products will be placed in high rise, office buildings and other non-high traffic areas without the media screens. The Company will

coordinate sales activity both domestically and internationally.

On September 1, 2007, Sector 10 USA, Inc. entered into a Distribution Agreement with Sector 10 Holdings, Inc. This agreement was assumed by Sector 10, Inc. as a result of the merger with SKRM Interactive. Under the terms of the agreement, Sector 10, Inc. has exclusive rights to manufacture, sell and distribute Sector 10 products within the assigned Territories under the agreement. The assigned territories include the following metropolitan areas:

New York	Miami	Atlanta
Los Angeles	Washington DC	San Francisco
Chicago	Houston	Salt Lake City
Philadelphia	Detroit	Phoenix
Dallas	Boston	Seattle

The fee for the acquisition of the distribution rights was established under the agreement. No liability for this fee is incurred in any respective market until a minimum of \$100,000 in sales are generated in the respective authorized distribution market. Each of the initial markets identified in the agreement require a distribution rights fee of \$250,000 to \$500,000 per market (depending on market size) with a maximum cumulative fee of \$5 Million. The fee may not exceed 20% of the cumulative sales in the market. Therefore, the fee may be paid over a period of time as sales are initiated in a new market.

The agreement was modified in August 2008 to provide Sector 10 Holdings the ability to elect to convert a portion of the fee to common shares of the Company. Sector 10 Holdings converted \$1,144,000 of the distribution fee in a financing transaction dated November 10, 2009. The distribution fee of \$1,144,000 was recognized as a paid distribution fee in the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010.

Sector 10 Inc will have rights to acquire other distribution territories. This includes other areas within the United States, Canada and other international markets. The agreement sets pricing requirements and establishes minimum performance standards. Pricing requirements set the price at which the specific Sector 10 Product will be acquired prior to sale by Sector 10, Inc. The pricing will be set by agreement when the products are available for sale. The pricing requirements will be adjusted to reflect the price available for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2009. The performance standards are effective when product is available and the sales process has begun. Minimum performance standards are the minimum sales results that are required to continue the exclusive distribution rights in the specific territory. Due to issues surrounding the former manufacturer, there was no sales activity in the fiscal year March 31, 2010. The Company has engaged various sales representatives that project more sales activity for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011. The term for the agreement is 5 years and is renewable with an automatic renewable feature.

## Note 2 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

### Basis of Presentation and Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Since the acquisition on November 20, 2007, there is no activity in the former SKRM company. All activity has been through the company known as Sector 10, Inc. The consolidation of these companies is presented in these financial statements

### Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect certain reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the

reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

### Cash and Cash Equivalents

It is the Company's policy to invest cash with financial institutions judged to be highly secure. For purposes of the statement of cash flow, the Company considers all highly liquid investments with a maturity of three months or less when purchased to be cash equivalents.

### Accounts Receivable

The Company extends credit to its customers in the normal course of business. The Company reviews outstanding receivables, and provides for estimated losses through an allowance for doubtful accounts. In evaluating the level of established loss reserves, the Company makes judgments regarding its customers' ability to make required payments, economic events and other factors. As the financial condition of these parties change, circumstances develop or additional information becomes available, adjustments to the allowance for doubtful accounts may be required. The Company has no sales and no receivables outstanding for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010. Due to the size of the sales and related receivables, no reserve was established for the current fiscal year. In the future, the Company expects to use 3rd party financing lease arrangements for many product sales that will minimize the need for providing credit to customers.

### Inventory

Inventories are valued at the lower of cost and net realizable value. Cost is determined on a first-in, first-out basis. Inventory is comprised of finished products that the Company intends to sell to its customers and product demos that are used for presentations to customers. The demos are also available for sale. The Company uses an outsourced manufacturer to produce the product. There was no sales activity and therefore minimal inventory on hand at the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010. The Company will with the assistance of the outsourced manufacturer periodically makes judgments and estimates regarding the future utility and carrying value of its inventory. The carrying value of inventory is periodically reviewed and impairments, if any, are recognized when the expected future benefit from the inventory is less than its carrying value. If applicable, the Company will establish inventory reserves for estimated obsolescence or unmarketable inventory which is equal to the difference between the cost of inventory and the estimated market value based upon assumptions about future demand and market conditions. For the years ended March 31, 2010 and 2009, the Company had a reserve of \$12,491.

### Property and Equipment and Depreciation

Property and equipment are carried at historical cost less accumulated depreciation. The cost of maintenance and repairs is charged to income as incurred, whereas significant renewals and betterments are capitalized. The cost and the related accumulated depreciation of assets sold or otherwise retired are eliminated from the accounts and any gain or loss is included in the statement of income.

The Company provides for depreciation of property and equipment principally by use of the straight-line method for financial reporting purposes. Depreciation begins in the month that depreciable assets are placed in service. The only assets currently placed in service are computers and furniture and equipment. Computers and depreciable equipment are estimated to have a useful life of 5 years. Depreciation is computed based on a straight line basis over the estimated useful life.

#### Notes Payable

Subsequent to the merger transaction on November 20, 2007, and through the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010 the Company received funding from both Sector 10 Holdings, Inc. (Majority Shareholder) and Pericles DeAvila (an Officer/Shareholder). In addition, the Company shares overhead expenses with Sector 10 Holdings through April 2009. Funding and/or disbursements transactions with the respective sources is accounted for in a separate account for Sector 10 Holdings, Inc. and Pericles DeAvila. The Company has imputed interest at 8% per annum.

Beginning in May 2008 and continuing through the end of the fiscal year March 31, 2010, the Company received funding from outside individual investors. The Company is currently negotiating a new funding arrangement which will assist in refinancing the existing debt as well as provide funding for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011. All significant future funding is expected to be raised from outside investors.

#### Contingencies

We account for loss contingencies in accordance with ASC 450 (SFAS No. 5), "Accounting for Contingencies." Accordingly, when management determines that it is probable that an asset has been impaired or a liability has been incurred, we accrue our best estimate of the loss if it can be reasonably estimated. Our legal costs related to litigation are expensed as incurred.

#### Income Tax

Deferred taxes are provided on a liability method whereby deferred tax assets are recognized for deductible temporary differences and operating loss, tax credit carryforwards and deferred tax liabilities are recognized for taxable temporary differences. Temporary differences are the differences between the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and their tax basis. Deferred tax assets are reduced by a valuation allowance when, in the opinion of management, it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are adjusted for the effects of changes in the tax laws and rates on the date of enactment.

#### Loss Per Share

In accordance with ASC 280 (SFAS No. 128), "Earnings Per Share," we report basic loss per common share, which excludes the effect of potentially dilutive securities, and diluted loss per common share, which includes the effect of all potentially dilutive securities unless their impact is anti-dilutive.

#### Share-Based Compensation

We may, from time to time, issue common stock, stock options or common stock warrants to acquire services or goods from non-employees. Common stock, stock options and common stock warrants issued to persons other than employees or directors are recorded on the basis of their fair value, as required by EITF Issue 96-18, "Accounting for Equity Instruments That Are Issued to Other Than Employees for Acquiring, or in Conjunction with Selling, Goods or Services."

#### Long Lived Assets

The Company maintains a Long Lived Asset which is reviewed regularly for impairment. In its review for impairment, the Company prepares estimates of future cash flows to assist in the determination of the asset's recoverability. If there is an issue regarding recoverability, an independent valuation will be obtained to determine any required adjustment for impairment. The estimates used in determining for recoverability are updated by the



Company on a regular basis to provide guidance for management's quarterly and annual reporting.

## Revenue Recognition

The Company had no sales activity during the current fiscal year ended March 31, 2010. The Company records sales of its products based upon the terms of the contract; when title passes to its customers; and, when collectability is reasonably assured.

## Impact of Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Sector 10 does not expect the adoption of any recently issued accounting pronouncements to have a material impact on its financial condition or results of operations.

## Note 3 – REVERSE MERGER

On September 12, 2007, SKRM Interactive, Inc., Jeffrey Martin (SKRM Shareholder), Sector 10 Services-USA, Inc. (“Sector 10 Services”), Sector 10 Holdings, Inc. (“Sector 10 Holdings”) and the Pericles DeAvila Institute for Humanitarian Studies (the “DeAvila Institute”) entered into a Stock Exchange Agreement (the “Exchange Agreement”) setting forth the terms and conditions upon the above named parties thereto proposed to consummate a stock exchange transaction pursuant to which Sector 10 Holdings and the DeAvila Institute would transfer to the Company approximately 75% of the issued and outstanding shares of common stock of Sector 10 Services in exchange for (i) the Company’s issuance and delivery to Sector 10 Holdings and the DeAvila Institute of 4,705,882 and 294,118 newly-issued shares of the Company’s common stock (the “Common Stock”), respectively, and (ii) Mr. Martin’s transfer and delivery to Sector 10 Holdings and the DeAvila Institute of 1,411,767 and 88,235 outstanding shares of Common Stock, respectively (collectively, the “Sector 10 Transaction”). A summary of the terms of the Exchange Agreement and related details were originally disclosed in a Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 11, 2007 (the “October Form 8-K”). All shares were adjusted to reflect the reverse stock split.

In November 2007, based upon the approval by the Sector 10’s shareholders of the Certificate of Amendment, and the filing of the Certificate of Amendment with the Secretary of State of the State of Delaware, the Company completed the transactions contemplated by the Exchange Agreement, including the issuance and delivery to Sector 10 Holdings and the DeAvila Institute of 4,705,882 and 294,118 newly-issued shares of the Company’s common stock, respectively. The Company believes the issuance of such shares to Sector 10 Holdings and the DeAvila Institute was exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the “Securities Act”), pursuant to the provisions of Section 4(2) thereof.

The reporting period for the new entity continued with a March 31 fiscal year end. The name of the company was formally changed to Sector 10, Inc. on April 15, 2008.

In the 4th quarter of the fiscal year ended March 31, 2008, additional liabilities were identified that were assumed in the merger. These additional liabilities were general accounts payable totaling \$60,088. An adjustment was made to include in accounts payable and to adjust additional paid in capital. The adjustment is reflected in the Statement of Shareholders Deficit. In the 4th quarter of the fiscal year ended March 31, 2009, the pre-acquisition liabilities were transferred to Sector 10 Holdings which agreed to assume all pre-acquisition liabilities. The adjustment was reflected in the NP Sector 10 Holdings.

Note 4 – INVENTORY

Dutro Company was the outside manufacturer of Sector 10 Products under an agreement dated October 1, 2007. The agreement expired on September 30, 2009 and was not renewed. Finished inventory was retrieved from the Dutro Company manufacturing facility and is stored in facilities controlled by the Company. The Company is still seeking the return of various raw materials, supplies, molds, detail plans and specifications and other items from Dutro Company. The Company is soliciting quotes from potential new manufacturers. There are interim sources that are immediately available for production until a new manufacturer is identified.

There were no sales in the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010. Due to problems with the manufacturer during the current fiscal year, there was no production of new inventory during the year. Therefore, total inventory remains at \$18,409 for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010. Inventory is used as demonstration equipment but is available for sale. The Company is working in rebuilding specifications with new manufacturers in order to be able to produce product when the current contracts under negotiation will require product delivery. Since the expectation for product is in the 3rd or 4th quarter of the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011, the Company has sufficient time to get other manufacturer in line for their production needs. A new manufacturing agreement is expected to be finalized in the 2nd quarter of the fiscal year ending March 31, 2011.

As sales and related production activity increases the Company will with the assistance of the outsourced manufacturer periodically makes judgments and estimates regarding the future utility and carrying value of its inventory. The carrying value of inventory is periodically reviewed and impairments, if any, are recognized when the expected future benefit from the inventory is less than its carrying value. If applicable, the Company will establish inventory reserves for estimated obsolescence or unmarketable inventory which is equal to the difference between the cost of inventory and the estimated market value based upon assumptions about future demand and market conditions. For the period ended March 31, 2010, the Company has a reserve of \$12,491.

Note 5 – NETWORK ACQUISITION/DEVELOPMENT COSTS

On May 19, 2008 Sector 10, Inc. (“Sector 10” or “Company”) entered into an agreement with its major shareholder Sector 10 Holdings, Inc. (“Holdings”). Holdings currently owns over 50% of the outstanding shares of Sector 10, Inc. Holdings had developed a Server Network to maintain and administer products and services that had been developed within specifications to manage the SRU and MRU safety products.

The network has fully integrated capabilities for distribution services including the worldwide transmission of video and audio broadcasts, with content management services that archive data under a redundant system with various server clusters across the nation to service Sector10’s National and world-wide requirements. In addition to providing for the normal Sector 10 products such as the SRU and SRU- Media, the Network also has the capability to provide other services. The development costs include the licensed rights to proprietary software including the PLX-3D software which is used for the monitoring and tracking services provided with the SRU/MRU.

The network was transferred from Holdings to the Company at a cost of \$1,000,000 which reflects the prior development costs incurred by Holdings prior to the transfer. As part of the agreement, the Company agreed to pay for development costs that were due in the month of transfer. This expense amounted to \$97,995 in May 2008. An additional \$50,000 was accrued for development expenses incurred prior to the year ended March 31, 2009. The transfer was reflected as Network acquisition /development costs and the Company issued 1,250,000 common shares that were valued at \$.80 per share for total value of \$1,000,000. The shares and related conversion price were adjusted to reflect the subsequent reverse stock split.

The Network is treated as a Long Lived Asset which is reviewed regularly for impairment.

The Company has experienced significant difficulty with the reliance on outside resources. The administrator of the network has taken over the hardware and systems software (not PLX-3D software). The hardware was originally located at several locations throughout the US. Due to problems with the prior administrator, the hardware is not controlled by the Company and the Company is not sure whether the equipment has been or otherwise transferred by the administrator in an unauthorized transaction. The equipment was originally placed in multiple locations. It was originally purchased in February and May 2008. Although our agreement stated that the equipment was exclusively for Sector 10, we have learned that the administrator has used the equipment with other clients and may have sold pieces of the equipment. Since the equipment is over two years old and the equipment is not under the control of the Company, it is unlikely that the equipment will be returned in useable condition and will need to be replaced.

The cost included in the network asset attributed to these costs is \$166,814. The full equipment does not need to be replaced to implement the pending sales that are expected to be closed during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011. The Company has contacted other administrators that will provide co-location services for \$100 per month per location. This approach will be used to prevent the outlay of costs unless and until it is determined that such expenditures shall be needed. It is unlikely that such expenditure will be needed in the short run of the next 1-2 years. Therefore the costs associated with the hardware may not need to be replaced at least for the next few years. Although the full cost of the missing equipment may not need to be replaced, the equipment is no longer available and an adjustment should be made to the Network Asset in an amount equal to the cost of the missing equipment.

Part of the litigation with the Duro Group involves the \$50,000 payment for Software development services from Reality Engineering. The \$50,000 fee from Reality was agreed prior to services. The \$50,000 fee was included as part of the Network Asset. Reality Engineering withheld any useable product from their services until the Company would agree to pay approximately \$168,000. This excess fee was rejected. No settlement of the difference was resolved and the Company notified Reality Engineering in October 2009 that the services were rejected and that the fee was not going to be paid. Since the fee was not paid and no enhancements were received for the PLX-3D system, it appears proper to adjust the Network Asset to remove this amount.

Based on the loss of the Syptec computer equipment and the failure to receive any benefit from the Reality Engineering project, the Company has adjusted the Network Asset to reduce the balance from \$1,147,995 to \$931,181. The adjustment of \$216,814 (composed of \$166,814 computers and \$50,000 Reality Fee) was treated as a loss due to impairment.

The net Network Asset remaining of \$931,181 represents the net transfer costs from the original transfer from Sector 10 Holdings to Sector 10 Inc. in May 2009. The Company believes that future revenues will generate sufficient revenues and net cash flows to recover the remaining Network Asset and thereby prevent any further adjustments to the Network Asset.

The fact that the asset is recorded at transfer cost rather than fair market value is critical in determining the impairment. Since it is Management's opinion that the network asset is recoverable and that the \$931,181 cost reflected on the books is less than the estimated fair market value, it is Management's opinion that no further adjustment for impairment is needed for the Network for the year ended March 31, 2010.

#### Note 6 – Deferred Expense

##### Distribution Fee

On September 1, 2007, Sector 10 USA, Inc. entered into a Distribution Agreement with Sector 10 Holdings, Inc. This agreement was assumed by Sector 10, Inc. as a result of the merger with SKRM Interactive. Under the terms of the

agreement, Sector 10, Inc. has exclusive rights to manufacture, sell and distribute Sector 10 products within the assigned Territories under the agreement.

The fee for the acquisition of the distribution rights was established under the agreement. No liability for this fee is incurred in any respective market until a minimum of \$100,000 in sales are generated in the respective authorized distribution market. Each of the initial markets identified in the agreement require a distribution rights fee of \$250,000 to \$500,000 per market (depending on market size) with a maximum cumulative fee of \$5 Million. The fee may not exceed 20% of the cumulative sales in the market. Therefore, the fee may be paid over a period of time as sales are initiated in a new market.

Due to the lack of significant sales activity, there have been two changes adopted in the Distribution Agreement as it relates to the payment of the \$5 million fee. First, the fee payment has been adjusted to be computed at 20% of sales regardless of the specific Metropolitan Territory. The payment computation is not initiated until there have been at least \$100,000 of aggregate sales. The amended agreement also provides a conversion option for all or a portion of the unpaid fees. The conversion is subject to various limitations in order to prevent the conversion of more than 50% of the future distribution fee in any calendar quarter.

Under a conversion election, it is likely that shares will be issued in return for the entire future distribution fee (up to \$5 million) regardless of whether the fee was earned based on sales generated by the Company. Prior to sufficient revenue generation, any distribution fee paid by way of a common stock conversion will be recorded as a deferred expense. The deferred expense associated with the distribution fee was moved from an asset to an expense account at March 31, 2010.

Sector 10 Holdings, Inc. has elected to convert \$1,144,000 of the fee under the conversion option. The conversion was effected on November 10, 2009. Total stock of 11,363,636 was issued as a result of this conversion. The conversion price was computed at \$.10067 per share which was based on the 10 day average immediately before the conversion was effected.

Based on the conversion, the Company offset \$1,144,000 of the \$5 million total distribution fee due under the Distribution Agreement. Since the Company has not generated a minimum of \$100,000 in sales, the Company has treated the \$1,144,000 amount paid by way of the conversion as a deferred expense. The deferred expense associated with the distribution fee was moved from an asset to an expense account at March 31, 2010.

#### Financing Fee

Financing fees are paid in transactions for assistance in obtaining financing or other capital needed to fund the Company operations.

Based on the current economic situation and the fact that the Company has not generated any significant revenues to date, the raising of needed capital has proven very difficult. The Company has reached an agreement with its majority shareholder Sector 10 Holdings, Inc (“Holdings”) to provide assistance in raising capital. The efforts will involve the Holdings management, shareholders and other contacts.

Holdings has been willing to leverage its assets in an effort to raise capital and to negotiate arrangements with various equity investors. On November 6, 2009, the Company authorized an anti-dilution provision to provide assurances that Holdings shall retain its equity ownership for any stock issued to other parties during the period of the agreement. In addition, the Company provided anti-dilution provision retroactively for any transaction that Holdings provided shares on behalf of the Company on or before November 1, 2009. For any shares issued under this provision, the Company shall break out the amount of reimbursed shares and dilution shares. The reimbursed shares shall equal to the amount of shares provided to an outside party for the benefit of the Company. This shall be intended to assist in financing for the Company. The dilution portion shall include the shares in excess of any reimbursed amount. The value of the dilution portion of the shares will be treated as financing fees. In addition, the agreement provides a fee to be paid to

Holdings for shares issued as reimbursement for a transaction that may result in funding for the Company. Any shares issued under this provision shall be treated as financing fees. Financing fees (if any) shall be recorded as deferred expense until the applicable financing transaction has closed.



The Company issued 1,554,000 shares to Holdings for benefits attributed to prior transactions where shares have previously been reimbursed but dilution occurred. The 1,554,000 was required to eliminate the dilution impact of the prior transactions. The shares were issued on November 6, 2009 and valued at .11 per share. The \$170,000 full value of the shares was treated as a financing fee. No portion of this fee is deferred since the transactions have closed.

Holdings has elected to convert \$1,144,000 of the Distribution Fee to additional common shares of the Company to be used for the benefit of funding the Company. Holdings was entitled to be reimbursed 11,363,636 shares as a result of this issuance. Holdings assigned previous held free trading shares to Mariennie & Associates on November 10, 2009. The assigned shares have been issued and distributed to the assignees as agreed in the agreement. Under Holdings' assignment contract, Mariennie & Associates shall provide Holdings with funding. Holdings Board has agreed to allocate a portion of the funding received in this transaction to the Company which was estimated to be approximately \$300,000 to \$400,000. The deferred expense was adjusted to recognize the finance fee paid to Holdings in stock. An adjustment was made to recognize additional finance fees of \$1,144,000 for stock that was provided to Sector 10 Holdings as part of the anti-dilution provisions. As of March 31, 2010, there was no balance in deferred expense.

The transaction between Sector 10 Holdings and Mariennie and Associates did not proceed in accordance with the agreement between the parties. No funding was received from this transaction by March 31, 2010 and it is unlikely that funds will be received in future. Sector 10 Holdings will actively pursue the return of all shares provided in the transaction and/or completion of the agreement as agreed. Holdings may be required to pursue legal action in order to complete the transaction as agreed.

As a result of the assignment of the shares, the Company issued shares to Holdings for reimbursement of the assigned shares and to adjust for the dilution impact. Total shares issued were 13,250,000 and valued at \$.10067 per share. The value of the dilutive portion was \$190,000 was treated as a financing fee.

Since Holdings has agreed to provide funding to the Company, the Company issued shares equal to 10% of the shares issued above for financing fees. Total shares of \$1,325,000 valued at \$.10067 were issued in Holdings name. The \$133,000 full value was treated as a financing fee.

The agreements were terminated in February 2010 with no funding received. Sector 10 Holdings is reviewing its options to recover any proceeds paid to the funding source. Since the contract has closed, the deferred expenses were adjusted to recognize the \$1,144,000 distribution fee paid to Holdings.

#### Note 7 – DEPOSIT

The Company was approached by DPO Medical, Inc. with respect to the possible acquisition of a medical product that (if acquired) could produce immediate revenues to assist in providing the Company with cash flow during their growth period. In order to hold the rights during its due diligence review, the Company on September 15, 2008, placed a \$25,000 refundable deposit with DPO Medical, Inc. The Company completed its due diligence review subsequent to the quarter ended September 30, 2008 and decided that it was not in the Company's best interest to pursue the acquisition of the medical product offered by DPO Medical. Accordingly, the Company has requested the full refund of the \$25,000 deposit. The deposit has been recorded as an asset on the balance sheet for the period ended December 31, 2008. A total of \$15,000 of the deposit was received in January 2009. The balance of \$10,000 was still outstanding as of the year ended March 31, 2009. The final \$10,000 deposit was received in May 2009.



#### Note 8 – DEBT CONVERSION

On May 8, 2008, Sector 10, Inc. (“Sector 10”) and Jeffrey Martin (“Martin”) agreed to convert all outstanding debt owed Martin to common shares of Sector 10, Inc. A brief description of the transaction and the related background is as follows.

Martin transferred 120,000 (adjusted for reverse stock split) SKRM Interactive, Inc. common shares (“Martin Transfer”) that were owned by Martin and/or related parties to various individuals in satisfaction of pre-acquisition debt. As a result of the Martin transfer, Sector 10 recorded \$649,200 in liabilities due Martin on its books for the fiscal year end March 31, 2008.

Martin agreed to convert the debt into Sector 10 shares in an amount equal to the number of shares used by Martin in the Martin Transfer. Sector 10 agrees to issue 120,000 new shares (adjusted for reverse stock split) of Sector 10, Inc. in complete and total satisfaction of the \$649,200 debt to Martin and in satisfaction of any other unrecorded debt that may exist between Martin and Sector 10.

The 120,000 shares were issued during the quarter ended June 30, 2008. The accounting for the debt conversion consisted of reclassification of the note payable of \$649,200 to additional paid-in-capital (\$131,880) and capital stock (\$120). The value of the shares issued on May 8, 2008 was \$132,000. The \$517,200 difference between the value and the debt converted was treated as other income.

#### Note 9 – NOTES PAYABLE

##### Related Parties - Shareholder / Officer

Subsequent to the merger transaction on November 20, 2007, the Company received funding from both Sector 10 Holdings, Inc. (Majority Shareholder) and Pericles DeAvila (an Officer/Shareholder). This continued in the fiscal year ended March 31, 2009. Funding and/or disbursements transactions with the respective sources is accounted for in a separate account for Sector 10 Holdings, Inc. and Pericles DeAvila. Interest is charged on the account at a rate of 8% per annum. Total interest accrued during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010 on the accounts is \$1,226 which is comprised of Pericles DeAvila - \$530 and Sector 10 Holdings - \$696.

##### Johnson Financing

On May 11, 2008, Sector 10, Inc. (“Sector 10”) entered into an agreement with Edward Johnson (“Johnson”) to provide short term financing to provide assistance with the development and expansion of the business of Sector 10. The loan will be for term of 9 months with 1 automatic extension of 6 months allowed if so requested by Sector 10. The 6 month loan extension was requested by Sector 10 on February 5, 2009. Interest on the loan is fixed at rate equal to prime plus 1 (As published in the Wall Street Journal as of the effective date of this agreement). The effective prime rate as of May 11, 2008 was 5%. The rate under the agreement is 6%. The investor has proposed that the interest on the loan is 6.5%. We have accrued the total loan at 6.5% for book purposes. Total interest accrued at March 31, 2010 is \$24,497 of which \$13,000 was accrued during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010.

A loan extension until August 11, 2009 was requested on February 5, 2009 but is now in default. The Company is currently negotiating financing with another investor group. The new financing will be used in part to repay the extended loan plus interest. The investor notified the Company on May 23, 2009 that the loan was due on June 11, 2009. We did not close on financing prior to June 11th and the loan will continue until financing is received and the loan is paid. Financing has not yet been secured as of the reporting date and the principal payment was not be made

on the due date of August 11th. The Company will work with the investor to work out an agreed payment arrangement. Upon the receipt of financing, the Company intends on making payments under this arrangement until all principal and accrued interest is paid in full. The Company has maintained contact with the Investor and is expecting sufficient funding in the 4th Quarter of the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010 to pay off the principal and all accrued interest.

An investor has been secured that has structured transactions to acquire the Ed Johnson note in installments in exchange for Company common shares. The agreed amount to payoff is \$226,615. This payoff is valid through July 31, 2010. Total payments made or arranged through July 14, 2010 amounted to \$182,500. As of July 14, 2010, the unpaid balance to Ed Johnson is \$44,115. The Company expects the full balance to be paid on or before July 31, 2010.

#### Dutro Financing:

Dutro Company was the Company's outsourced manufacturing resource. The owners of Dutro Company include Vicki Davis and William Dutro. Lee Allen is the nephew of William Dutro. Vicki Davis, William Dutro and Lee Allen as individuals (referred to as "Dutro Group") have made funds available to the Company to assist in financing. All funding has been provided by the individuals from the Dutro Group and Dutro Company has not provided any funding. The funds from Vicki Davis were received from the Vicki K. Davis, Living Trust TDT 5/19/95.

In 2008, Dutro Group had provided funding through Sector 10 Holdings at various times in the year. In most situations, the funding was either repaid or stock was provided in lieu of cash payments in settlement of any proceeds received. In November, 2008, the Company was approached by the Dutro Group to consider them as their sole source of working capital funding. Based on the previous relationship, a funding arrangement was initiated as the terms were to be negotiated.

Effective January 2, 2009, all Dutro Funding was transferred from Holdings to the Company and all obligations and future proceeds were assumed by the Company. An adjustment was made to the N/P Sector 10 Holdings to reflect all outstanding Dutro debt as January 2, 2009. Total transferred amount was \$98,000 broken down as follows: Vicki Davis – \$15,000; William Dutro \$65,000 and Lee Allen \$18,000.

Discussions regarding financing began in November 2008. The funding was anticipated to provide full funding needs so that no other outside source may be required. Discussions of the terms was not completed and it was decided that the terms requested for long term funding were not acceptable by the Company. Accordingly all funding was terminated as of the end of the fiscal year ended March 31, 2009. The final funding proceeds were received on March 24, 2009 and were reflected in the financial statements.

At March 31, 2009, the Company and the Dutro Group individuals did not have a signed document for the loan proceeds. The books were recorded to reflect the principal amounts as transferred to the Company on January 2, 2009. From January 2, 2009 through March 31, 2009, total proceeds of \$153,000 were received. All of these proceeds were received from the Vicki K. Davis, Living Trust TDT May 19, 1995. Interest has been accrued on all proceeds from January 2, 2009 or the actual date received (if later) through December 31, 2009 at an annual rate of 7.5%.

On June 10, 2009, the Company signed a loan document with the Vicki K. Davis, Living Trust TDT May 19, 1995 to cover the \$168,000 principal loaned to the company. The loan term expires on May 31, 2014. The interest rate under the agreement is computed at an annual rate of 7.5%. An agreement with the same terms was signed on June 24, 2009 by William Dutro for his respective \$65,000 loan amount. Mr. Allen has rejected the loan agreement and the loan was transferred to general accounts payable where it will be paid as funds become available. No further interest is being accrued on the amount due Mr. Allen. The amount due Mr. Allen is reflected in the accounts payable balance at the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010.

In addition to the loan agreements for the above individuals, the Dutro Company and Reality Engineering (Managed by Lee Allen and a related party to Dutro Company) have discussed the issue of getting reimbursed for research and development fees associated with their work on Sector 10 products. Dutro Company had presented old invoices

totaling \$264,872 plus additional future amounts of \$162,415 for a total of \$427,287. The Company reached final agreement with Dutro Company on August 6, 2009 to settle any past differences regarding research and development costs and all past production costs. The Company agreed to pay Dutro Company \$250,000. Included in this is \$41,213 of current accounts payable, \$48,787 of additional R&D expenses recorded in the period ended September 30, 2009 and \$160,000 allocated to research and development allocated in prior periods as follows: a total of \$50,000 R&D expense was recorded in the previous fiscal year and an additional \$110,000 of R&D expenditures was recorded in the period ended June 30, 2009. Dutro Company agreed to record the \$250,000 in a promissory note agreement under the same terms as agreed to by William Dutro and Vicki Davis.

All Dutro Group promissory notes are treated as long term notes payable. All notes expire on May 31, 2014. Total interest accrued for the Dutro Group for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010 is 33,416 of which \$30,010 was accrued during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010.

Interest payments under the Dutro Group promissory note arrangements are due on a quarterly basis beginning with the initial payment was due in January 2010. Total interest due for payment in January 2010 was \$23,685 which is allocated as follows: Vicki Davis - \$11,300, William Dutro - \$4,875 and Dutro Company - \$7,510. Payments were made to Vicki Davis and William Dutro for the full accrued amount. No payment was sent to Dutro Company in January. The promissory note required Dutro Company to return all materials to the Company upon request by the Company. The Company has made multiple requests for property still held at the Dutro Company facility. This includes various raw materials, supplies, molds, detail plans and specifications and other items. A letter was issued to Dutro Company in January 2010 requesting the return of all of the Company's property in accordance with the underlying promissory note agreement and manufacturing agreement. The letter stated that all interest payments under the promissory note would be withheld pending receipt of all requested information. The Dutro Company interest payment of \$7,510 was reclassified from accrued expense to contingency expense included in accounts payable.

Total interest due for payment in April 2010 was \$9,056 which is allocated as follows: Vicki Davis - \$3,150, William Dutro - \$1,219 and Dutro Company - \$4,687. Payments were made to Vicki Davis and William Dutro for the full accrued amount in April 2010. No payment was sent to Dutro Company since Dutro Company did not comply with the request for return of Company property.

Reality Engineering is a company managed by Lee Allen and related to Dutro Company. The Company Board authorized Management to engage Reality Engineering to provide \$50,000 of software consultation services to assist in preparing the PLX-3D software for customer demonstrations. Any additional fees required further Board approval. The Company booked the \$50,000 fee as an addition to the development cost at March 31, 2009. At the end of the project, Lee Allen informed the Company that the services cost approximately \$168,000. Any useable end product was withheld from the Company by Reality Engineering pending payment of the increased amounts. The Company agreed to \$50,000. No additional fees were authorized by Management nor were they approved by the Board. The Company has made proposals regarding the review of any documentation to support an additional price to the project.

Reality Engineering has not provided the Company with any useable end product from their services until the higher fee is paid. Based on the refusal to present a useable product and information needed to allow us to perform future modifications, the Company has presented two final options to Mr. Allen for settlement of the fee dispute. The options were presented on September 29, 2009. Reality Engineering rejected all offers proposed by the Company to settle the difference in fee arrangement and has still never provided the Company with any useable end product from their services. On October 24, 2009, the Company informed Reality Engineering that they will no longer seek the end product derived from their services since no agreement could be reached on the fees. Accordingly, no fees will be paid to Reality as a result of their final rejection.

#### Employee Group

John Gargett signed an employment agreement on April 24, 2009. Patrick Love signed an employment agreement dated May 8, 2009. They have agreed to a loan arrangement for any unpaid amounts due under the employment agreements. Loan agreements were established in July 2009 to begin accounting for unpaid amounts. Interest on any outstanding balance is accrued at an annual rate of 8%. The maturity term is May 14, 2014. However, the funds will be paid earlier if funds are available in the Company. It is not intended to be a long term program. Both Gargett and Love agreed to stop the accrual of any wages as of December 31, 2009. No further accruals were made after that date. In addition, both Gargett and Love elected on March 15, 2010 to convert the total balance due to common shares of the Company as follows.





Gargett entered into a Suspension and Conversion Agreement dated March 15, 2010 whereby his employment was suspended as of December 31, 2009 and he converted his entire balance plus accrued interest to common shares of the Company. The conversion price was agreed to at \$.08 per share. At the date of conversion, Gargett had a total conversion balance of \$86,736 which consisted of principal - \$83,333 plus interest accrued thru March 15, 2010 - \$3,403. Total shares issued under conversion were 1,084,200 common shares of the Company.

Love entered into a Severance and Conversion Agreement dated March 15, 2010 whereby his employment was terminated as of December 31, 2009 and he converted his entire balance plus accrued interest to common shares of the Company. The conversion price was agreed to at \$.08 per share. At the date of conversion, Love had a total conversion balance of \$54,146 which consisted of principal - \$52,000 plus interest accrued thru March 15, 2010 - \$2,146. Total shares issued under conversion were 676,825 common shares of the Company.

Total interest expense accrued on the employee notes during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010 was \$5,549. As a result of these transactions, there are no balances in employee notes for notes payable or accrued interest as of the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010.

#### Other Notes

In order to generate short term funding, the Company provided individual investors with an opportunity to receive common shares equal to their investment plus a promissory note to return principal within 180 days. Interest is accrued at an annual rate of 8%. A conversion option is provided at the end of the term to provide the investor with a right to convert all or a portion of the principal into common shares of the Company. The agreement further provides the issuance of warrants to provide the investor with subsequent opportunities to acquire Company common shares. The short term funding program was initially offered in September 2009. A total of \$52,500 (including \$31,500 cash and \$21,000 non-cash benefits) was received under this program under 4 different notes issued on or before December 31, 2009. The Company has elected to extend the notes until September 30, 2010. Total interest accrued for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010 was \$1,525.

A term sheet was signed with Calm Seas, LLC on March 12, 2010 to provide bridge funding of \$200,000 plus use best efforts to raise up to an additional \$2 Million. No funds were received on or before March 31, 2010 and no liability is recorded for the period March 31, 2010. Under the terms of the agreement, investor relations services were required to be performed during the full term. The investor relations agreement with Illuminated Financial Corp, a related party to Calm Seas, was extended on March 25, 2010 to provide services during the Calm Seas Agreement. For this extension, an additional 1,500,000 common shares were issued to Illuminated to cover the extension and other terms set forth in the original agreement dated January 7, 2009. Subsequent to March 31, 2010, only \$10,000 funding has been received from Calm Seas through July 14, 2010.

#### Summary of Interest and Notes Payable

	March 31, 2010	March 31, 2009
Interest expense		
Sector 10 Holdings, Inc. – Shareholder	\$ 696	\$ 7,398
Pericles DeAvila – Officer	530	634
Total related party interest expense	1,226	8,032
Interest – Johnson	13,000	11,497
Interest – Dutro Group	30,010	3,406

Edgar Filing: SECTOR 10 INC - Form 10-K

Interest - Employee		
Group	5,549	-
Interest – Other Notes	1,525	-
Total interest expense	\$ 51,310	\$ 22,935

Edgar Filing: SECTOR 10 INC - Form 10-K

Note Payable Balance	March 31, 2010	March 31, 2009
Sector 10 Holdings, Inc. – Shareholder	\$ 13,737	\$ 27,595
Pericles DeAvila – Officer	6,789	11,159
Total Note Payable – Officer / Shareholder	\$ 20,526	\$ 38,754
Edward Johnson – Johnson Financing	\$ 200,000	\$ 200,000
Vicki Davis - Dutro Group	-	168,000
William Dutro – Dutro Group	-	65,000
Lee Allen – Dutro Group	-	18,000
Patrick Madison – Other Notes	15,000	-
Lionel Brown – Other Notes	7,500	-
Patricia Fielding – Other Notes	20,000	-
Mark Madison – Other Notes	10,000	-
Total Note Payable – short term	\$ 252,500	\$ 451,000
Vicki Davis - Dutro Group	\$ 168,000	\$ -
William Dutro – Dutro Group	65,000	-
Dutro Company – Dutro Group	250,000	-
Total Note Payable – long term	\$ 483,000	\$ -
Total Notes Payable	\$ 756,026	\$ 489,754

Debt Maturity Schedule

As of March 31, 2010, the annual maturities for notes payable is scheduled as follows:

Fiscal Year	Amount
March 31, 2011	\$ 273,026
March 31, 2012	\$ 0
	\$ 0

Edgar Filing: SECTOR 10 INC - Form 10-K

March 31, 2013	
March 31, 2014	\$ 0
March 31, 2015	\$ 483,000
Total	\$ 756,026

#### Note 10 – REVERSE STOCK SPLIT

The Company filed a definitive Form 14C on April 24, 2009 to inform shareholders of the authorization to file a 1 to 10 reverse split of common shares.

The Board has unanimously adopted a resolution approving the Reverse Split whereby common shareholders would receive 1 share of post reverse split common shares for each 10 shares of pre-reverse split common shares. The majority shareholders also approved the reverse split. Management anticipates that the principal effects of the Reverse Split will be that:

1. The number of outstanding shares of Common Stock will be reduced from approximately 102,210,292 to approximately 10,221,029;
2. The number of shares of Post-Split Common Stock held by each Stockholder will be equal to 1/10th of the number of shares of pre-split Common Stock held by that stockholder;
3. The trading price of the Post-Split Common Stock will be greater than the current trading price of a share of Common Stock (the exact trading price of the Post-Split Common Stock will depend on the reaction, if any, of the public market for the Post-Split Common Stock, as well as other factors, all as discussed in greater detail below);
4. Stockholders who would otherwise be entitled to receive a fractional share of Post-Split Common Stock, after all Shares of Common Stock held by such Stockholder are consolidated, as a result of the Reverse Split will be entitled, upon surrender of the certificate(s) representing such Stockholder's Common Stock, if any, to have the fractional share rounded up to one share of Post-Split Common Stock; and
5. The Company will be authorized to issue 199,000,000 shares of Common Stock, of which approximately 10,221,029 shares will be issued and outstanding.

The amendment to the articles of incorporation reflecting the reverse split was approved by the Delaware Secretary of State on April 30, 2009.

The Company was notified on May 18, 2009 that NASDAQ has received all necessary information to process the reverse split. The Company was informed that the 1 for 10 reverse split transaction was approved and would be effective at the opening of business on May 19, 2009. Due to this transaction, the trading symbol for the Company has been changed. The new symbol is SECI. The former symbol was SECT. The new symbol took effect on May 19, 2009.

#### Note 11 – EQUITY

During the Quarter ended: June 30, 2008:

In April 2008, 304,000 shares were issued (Adjusted to reflect reverse stock split) to Capital Group Communications as part of a fee arrangement to provide the Company with investor relations services. The value of the shares was \$334,400 which was reflected as an adjustment to capital stock and paid in capital. The stock was issued for professional fees related to investor relations services. The total fees of \$334,400 were split between the expense recognized of \$194, 831 for the period ended June 30, 2008, expenses recognized of \$83,499 for the 3 month period

ended September 30, 2008 and expenses recognized of \$55,670 for the 3 month period ended December 31, 2008. No other expenses are expected under this agreement. The current agreement extended through December 17, 2008. Since services received were less than expected, the agreement was not renewed. The Company is considering options in requesting a return of a portion of the stock provided under the agreement.

In May, 2008, 120,000 shares were issued to Jeffrey Martin in exchange for the conversion of \$649,200 debt. A net adjustment of \$132,000 reflecting the value of the equity was made to capital stock and paid in capital. The difference between the value and the debt converted was treated as other income.

In May 2008, 1,250,000 shares were authorized to be issued to Sector 10 Holdings as part of the Network Acquisition. The shares were valued at \$.08 per share based on the closing price at May 19, 2008. The agreement for the acquisition requires that the shares retain the \$1 Million required value at the end of six months. If value is not retained, then additional shares may be issued to retain the full value at \$1 Million. On November 12, 2008, Sector 10 Holdings requested that no additional shares be issued as a result of the decrease in value at the end of six months. Sector 10 Holdings acknowledged that the 1,250,000 common shares received represents the total amount of shares to be received as a result of the Network transfer under the Agreement dated May 19, 2008.

During the Quarter ended: September 30, 2008:

There were no equity transactions and/or equity shares issued during the quarterly period ended September 30, 2008.

During the Quarter ended: December 31, 2008:

In November 2008, 50,000 shares were issued to Peter Kristensen as part of a fee arrangement to provide the Company with investor relations and capital services. The agreement is for a 12 month period beginning in November 2008. The value of the shares was \$12,500 which was reflected as an adjustment to capital stock and paid in capital. The stock was issued for professional fees related to investor relations services. The total fees of \$12,500 were split between the expense recognized of \$2,083 for the period ended December 31, 2008 and Prepaid Expense of \$10,417 which will be allocated over the remained of the agreement.

In December 2008, the Company entered into an agreement with Quality Stocks, LLC to provide investor relations and marketing services. The agreement is for a 6 month period beginning in December 2008. As part of this agreement, the Company agreed to pay professional service fees for each 3 month period under the agreement as follows: \$7,500 cash, issue stock of 37,500 restricted shares and issue 17,500 unrestricted shares. As of December 31, 2008, the 37,500 restricted shares were issued and the \$7,500 cash fees were accrued and subsequently paid in February 2009. The 17,500 unrestricted shares have not been issued and are not reflected in the financial statements. The total fees accrued under this agreement as of December 31, 2008 was \$18,750 including the \$7,500 accrued expense plus the \$11,250 valuation for the 37,500 shares issued. The value of the shares was \$11,250 which was reflected as an adjustment to capital stock and paid in capital will be amortized over 3 months. The stock and professional fees related to investor relations services. The total fees of \$18,750 were split between the expense recognized of \$11,250 for the period ended December 31, 2008 and Prepaid Expense of \$7,500 which will be allocated through February 2009. No value for the 17,500 shares that have not been issued has been reflected in the financial statements for the period ending December 31, 2008. The value will be reflected in the period when the stock is authorized and issued by the Company. The shares are expected to be authorized and issued in the 4th quarter for the fiscal year ending March 31, 2009.

During the Quarter ended: March 31, 2009:

In January 2009, the Company entered into an Investor Relations agreement with Illuminated Financial Services Corp. The contract agreement was for a 6 month period ending in July 2009. The agreement may be extended by agreement of both parties. In accordance with the agreement, 200,000 restricted new common shares were issued by the Company on January 5, 2009. In addition 225,000 unrestricted shares were transferred to Illuminated by Sector 10 Holdings for the benefit of the Company. Sector 10 Holdings was subsequently reimbursed for the stock provided in this transfer. The value of all shares were recorded transferred to Illuminated were valued at \$.04 per share resulting in

a total value of \$170,000 for the two transfers.

In November 2008, Sector 10 Holdings transferred 225,000 unrestricted Sector 10, Inc. shares to Peter Kristensen for the benefit of the Company and as part of an investor relations agreement. Sector 10 Holdings was subsequently reimbursed for the stock provided in this transfer. The value of all shares were recorded transferred to Peter Kristensen were valued at \$.25 per share resulting in a total value of \$56,250.



On March 31, 2009, the Company issued 450,000 new restricted common shares to Sector 10 Holdings, Inc. for the reimbursement of the 225,000 shares transferred to Illuminated Financial Services Corp and the 225,000 shares transferred to Peter Kristensen

In April and May, 2009, the Board of Directors authorized shares for new directors and new employees. On May 1, 2009, the Board of Directors authorized shares for existing directors and officers for prior services. The net wages of \$75,000 attributed to officer wages and the net director fees of \$15,000 was included in accrued liabilities as of the year ended March 31, 2009. Authorized shares have not been issued as of June 30, 2009.

On May 19, 2009, the Company completed a reverse stock split of all outstanding common shares. For every 10 shares outstanding at May 20. The common shares outstanding as of May 18, 2009 were reduced in accordance with this reverse split. The corresponding offset was made to increase Additional Paid In Capital by the same amount.

All of the above transactions reflected in this Note have been adjusted to reflect the reverse stock split.

During the Quarter ended: June 30, 2009:

In April 2009, John Gargett was added to the Board of Directors and was later hired to be the Company COO. In accordance with an employment agreement dated April 24, 2009, Mr. Gargett received 50,000 shares of Common stock for joining the Board of Directors and received 50,000 shares of stock under the terms of the employment agreement. The employment agreement provides for Mr. Gargett to receive an additional 150,000 shares of common shares in 50,000 share installments due on July 1, 2009, September 1, 2009 and November 1, 2009. The shares for July 1, 2009 were requested to be issued by the transfer agent in accordance with this agreement. The shares for September 1, 2009 and November 1, 2009 were issued in December 2009.

In April 2009, the Company issued at total of 27,500 common shares as follows: 25,000 shares to Layne Davis and 2,500 shares to his son Layne Davis. These shares were issued in consideration for product design work performed in the development of Sector 10 MRU and SRU design through the Dutro Company. No other obligations or consideration is expected.

In April 2009, 37,500 shares were issued to QualityStocks, LLC in accordance with the terms of an Investor Relations Agreement. No additional equity is required under the terms of the agreement.

In April 2009, Illuminated Financial received 25,000 of newly issued restricted stock from the Company. In addition, Sector 10 Holdings, Inc. transferred 250,000 free trading shares to Illuminated for services rendered to the Company. New shares were issued to Sector 10 Holdings, Inc. to replace the shares transferred on behalf of the Company. The 250,000 shares were issued during the period ended September 30, 2009. The value of the shares was determined to be \$77,500. This amount was accrued as a future stock award. When the shares are issued, the accrual will be adjusted to additional paid in capital.

In May 2009, Patrick Love was hired as Director of Business Development for the Company. In accordance with the terms of his employment agreement, he received 10,000 common shares. The employment agreement provides for Mr. Love to receive an additional 40,000 shares of common shares in 10,000 share installments due on July 1, 2009, October 1, 2009, January 1, 2010 and April 1, 2010. The shares for July 1, 2009 were requested to be issued by the transfer agent in accordance with this agreement. The shares for October 1, 2009 were issued in December 2009. The employment agreement was terminated on December 31, 2009, the remaining shares due in January 1, 2010 and April 1, 2010 were not issued due to the termination of the agreement.



In May 2009, the Board of Directors authorized the issuance of 900,000 shares to officers and directors for prior services. The shares were issued based on services performed on or before March 31, 2009. The shares were authorized on May 1, 2009.

In May 2009, the Board of Directors authorized the issuance of 150,000 shares to directors (on the Board as of April 1, 2009) for Board services for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010. This adjustment was completed to be consistent with the stock issued for the new director added in April 2009. The shares were authorized on May 1, 2009.

In May 2009, the Company affected a 1 to 10 reverse stock split. Total outstanding shares at the time of the split was 103,435,292 shares. Total shares outstanding after the reverse split was 10,343,573. Approximately 43 shares were issued in the split to account for fractional shares. All shares noted in this report are reflected as post split amounts.

During the Quarter ended: September 30, 2009:

In July 2009, the Company issued 50,000 shares to John Gargett in accordance with the requirements set forth in his employment agreement. The shares were required to be issued based on his employment as of July 1, 2009.

In July 2009, the Company issued 10,000 shares to Patrick Love in accordance with the requirements set forth in his employment agreement. The shares were required to be issued based on his employment as of July 1, 2009.

In September 2009, issued a total of 50,000 shares - 25,000 shares to John McCloskey and 25,000 shares to Hugh Cholmondeley for their acceptance as members of the Company Board of Advisors. The membership was accepted in July 2009.

In September 2009, issued 1,050,000 shares to officers and directors for past services rendered and for current director services. The shares were authorized on May 1, 2009 by the Board. Shares were allocated as follows: Pericles DeAvila – 550,000 shares, Laurence A Madison – 400,000 shares and Alan Rouleau – 100,000 shares.

In September 2009, issued 5,000 shares to John Ketcham for services in connection with R&D and development of manufacturing specs of all Sector 10 products.

In September 2009, issued 15,000 shares to Patrick Madison in connection with short term promissory note agreement dated September 14, 2009

In September 2009, issued a total of 270,834 shares to Sector 10 Holdings for reimbursement for shares provided to Illuminated Financial Services and Moody Capital on behalf of the Company.

During the Quarter ended: December 31, 2009:

In November 2009, the Company issued 1,554,000 shares to Sector 10 Holdings, Inc. in accordance with anti dilution provision for transaction closed on or before November 1, 2009.

In November 2009, the Company issued 11,363,636 shares to Mariennie & Associates and assignees. The issue was based on conversion of \$1,144,000 of distribution fee in accordance with provisions under Distribution Agreement between Sector 10 Holdings, Inc. and Sector 10, Inc.

In November 2009, the Company issued shares 13,250,000 shares to Sector 10 Holdings, Inc. in accordance with reimbursement and anti-dilution provisions.



In November 2009, the Company issued 1,325,000 shares to Sector 10 Holdings, Inc. in accordance with fee arrangement for seeking funding.

In December 2009, the Company issued 7,700 shares to John McCloskey for consulting services rendered in connection with the San Francisco Pilot project.

In December 2009, the Company issued 7,500 shares to Lionel Brown for short term funding based on promissory note dated December 3, 2009.

In December 2009, the Company issued 20,000 shares to Patricia Fielding for short term funding based on promissory note dated December 3, 2009.

In December 2009, the Company issued 50,000 shares to John Gargett in accordance with the requirements set forth in his employment agreement. The shares were required to be issued based on his employment as of September 1, 2009.

In December 2009, the Company issued 50,000 shares to John Gargett in accordance with the requirements set forth in his employment agreement. The shares were required to be issued based on his employment as of November 1, 2009.

In December 2009, the Company issued 10,000 shares to Patrick Love in accordance with the requirements set forth in his employment agreement. The shares were required to be issued based on his employment as of October 1, 2009.

In December 2009, the Company issued 10,000 shares to Mark Madison for short term funding based on promissory note dated December 17, 2009.

During the Quarter ended: March 31, 2010:

In March 2010, the Company issued 1,084,200 shares to John Gargett in connection with the Suspension and Conversion Agreements whereby Gargett elected to convert all principal and accrued interest outstanding on employee note. The conversion price was \$.08 per share and the total amount converted was \$83,736.

In March 2010, the Company issued 676,825 shares to Patrick Love in connection with the Severance and Conversion Agreements whereby Love elected to convert all principal and accrued interest outstanding on employee note. The conversion price was \$.08 per share and the total amount converted was \$54,146.

In March 2010, issued 1,500,000 shares to Illuminated Financial Corp for extension of the Investor Relations Management Agreement with the Company and for reimbursement of additional shares required due to the reverse split that occurred in May 2009. Shares were valued at \$.07 per share

#### Note 12 – GOING CONCERN

The Company generated minimal revenues prior to the current fiscal year. No revenues were generated for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010. This level of revenues is not sufficient for the Company to meet its future obligations. This factor raises substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern.

Management has several contracts under review and three large transactions under negotiation as of March 31, 2010. The finalization and implementation of these contracts has been significantly delayed due to serious issues encountered with the former manufacturer which are now in litigation. A claim was recently filed against the former manufacturer that has claims for significant damages.

Management is working with these contracts and in arranging new manufactures for its products. The Company expects to have agreements finalized during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011. The contracts involve obtaining funding from government agencies. These discussions have been negotiated and are now ready to proceed with the final approval process. Revenues under these contracts will be significant and should provide sufficient capital to move the Company forward as a going concern.

Revenues are expected to be generated initially in the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011 with significant increases expected in the fiscal year ended March 31, 2012 as the contracts mature. As the contracts are solidified, the Company will be able to obtain more sources of financing. Accordingly, Management intends to seek additional capital through equity and/or debt financing to assist the Company until profitable operations can be achieved. There can be no assurance that such increase in revenues will be generated or that financed funds will be available to the Company or available on terms acceptable to the Company. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of these uncertainties.

#### NOTE 13 - CALLABLE SECURED CONVERTIBLE NOTES PAYABLE AND WARRANTS

Prior to the merger with Sector 10, SKRM Interactive, Inc. entered into a securities purchase agreement with four investors (“note holders”) on November 30, 2006, for the sale of (i) \$1,000,000 in callable secured convertible notes and (ii) warrants to purchase 1,000,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$17.50 per share. On November 30, 2006, Sector 10 sold to the note holders \$600,000 in callable secured convertible notes (“convertible notes”) and issued 1,000,000 warrants to purchase stock at an exercise price of \$17.50 per share. The shares and conversions price have been adjusted to reflect the reverse stock split.

SKRM analyzed the convertible notes and the warrants for derivative financial instruments, in accordance with ASC 815 (SFAS No. 133), Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities and EITF 00-19, Accounting for Derivative Financial Instruments Indexed to, and Potentially Settled in, a Company's Own Stock. It was determined that the convertible notes and the warrants were treated as derivatives for financial reporting purposes. Accordingly, the derivatives were reported in SKRM reports filed prior to the merger in November 2007.

In November 2007, a repurchase agreement was entered into with the investors. The convertible note was retired and the exercise price for the warrants was fixed at \$1.75. As part of the repurchase agreement, the investors were provided a one-time adjustment should the stock price subsequent to the repurchase agreement be reduced below specified levels. The investors have made inquiries regarding multiple payments under this provision. The Company last informed them on February 27, 2009 that one payment is the most that is required under this provision. No further communication or request has been received from the investors regarding this matter as of the filing of this report.

Due to these changes, the warrants were no longer treated as derivatives under ASC 815 (SFAS No. 133), Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities or EITF 00-19, Accounting for Derivative Financial Instruments Indexed to, and Potentially Settled in, a Company's Own Stock.

Activity for year ended March 31, 2010	Warrants
Outstanding, beginning of year	1,000,000
Granted	-
Exercised	-
Expired or cancelled	-

Outstanding, end of year	1,000,000
Exercisable, end of year	1,000,000
Available for grant, end of year	-



The warrant exercise price is fixed at \$17.50 at the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010. The warrants expire on November 30, 2013.

In May 2009, the Company completed a 1 for 10 reverse stock split. The warrants are subject to the split. The above presentation reflects the impact of the reverse stock split. The outstanding warrants before the reverse stock split were 10,000,000 and the conversion price was \$1.75 per share.

#### Note 14 - INCOME TAX

Income taxes are accounted for using the asset and liability method. Deferred taxes are provided on a liability method whereby deferred tax assets are recognized for deductible temporary differences and operating loss and tax credit carryforwards and deferred tax liabilities are recognized for taxable temporary differences. Temporary differences are the differences between the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and their tax bases. Deferred tax assets are reduced by a valuation allowance when, in the opinion of management, it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are adjusted for the effects of changes in tax laws and rates on the date of enactment.

Net deferred tax assets /liabilities consist of the following components as of March 31, 2010 and 2009:

	March 31, 2010	March 31, 2009
Deferred tax assets:		
NOL Carryover	\$ 284,086	\$ 5,294
Related Party Accruals	134,541	3,238
Accrued Expenses	100,316	35,100
Deferred tax liabilities		
Depreciation	(2,332 )	(191 )
Valuation allowance	(516,611)	(43,441)
Net deferred tax asset	\$ -	\$ -

The income tax provision differs from the amount of income tax determined by applying the U.S. federal and state income tax rate to pretax income from continuing operations for the years ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 due to the following:

	March 31, 2010	March 31, 2009
Book Income	\$ (1,789,176)	\$ (207,782)
Depreciation	523	-
Meals & Entertainment	161	297
Stock for Services	1,228,625	213,372
Related Party Accruals	131,303	-
Accrued Expenses	65,216	-
Impairment Loss	84,557	-
Valuation Allowance	278,791	(5,887 )
	\$ -	\$ -

At March 31, 2010, the Company had net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$728,400 that may be offset against future taxable income from the year 2010 through 2030. No tax benefit has been reported in the March 31, 2010 financial statements since the potential tax benefit is offset by a valuation allowance of the same amount.

Due to the change in ownership provisions of the Tax Reform Act of 1986, net operating loss carryforwards for Federal income tax reporting purposes are subject to annual limitations. Should a change in ownership occur, net operating loss carryforwards may be limited as to use in future years.

The Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") has issued ASC 740 for Accounting for Income Taxes that clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise's financial statements. ASC 740 requires a company to determine whether it is more likely than not that a tax position will be sustained upon examination based upon the technical merits of the position. If the more-likely-than-not threshold is met, a company must measure the tax position to determine the amount to recognize in the financial statements. As a result of the implementation of ASC 740, the Company performed a review of its material tax positions in accordance with recognition and measurement standards established by ASC 740.

The Company had no unrecognized tax benefit which would affect the effective tax rate if recognized.

The Company includes interest and penalties arising from the underpayment of income taxes in the consolidated statements of operations in the provision for income taxes. As of March 31, 2009 the Company had no accrued interest or penalties related to uncertain tax positions.

The Company files income tax returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction and in the states of Delaware, Utah and any other jurisdiction where required. With few exceptions, the Company is no longer subject to U.S. federal, state and local, or non-U.S. income tax examinations by tax authorities for years before 2006.

#### Note 14 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The Company has evaluated subsequent events per the requirements of ASC Topic 855 and has determined that the following events should be disclosed.

- 1) 

Gage Group Agreement:

The agreement with Gage Group was entered into on February 18, 2010. The Gage Group is engaged to serve as a consultant and federal lobbyist for the Company. Gage is assisting in the efforts to obtain federal funds for the purchase of SRU and MRU units as pre-deployed assets for use by local fire and transit authorities. Gage is working with the Company in structuring up to a \$20 million installation in the City of San Francisco. In addition to the implementation in the City of San Francisco, the Gage Group has worked with the Company in identifying additional locations where the federal programs may be used. Each program is expected to generate upwards of \$20 million in gross revenue per location. The process with Gage Group and the City of San Francisco is ongoing and is expected to be ready for funding and implementation in the 3rd or 4th quarter of the fiscal year ending March 31, 2010. The implementation of this program with San Francisco and other cities will generate significant revenues and provide necessary funding for the growth of the Company.
- 2) Attorney Ronald Kaufman was formally engaged by the Company on April 5, 2010 to assist in the preparation, review and filing of Form S-8 issuance of Company options to key officers, directors and consultants. The S-8 registration statement covers up to 16,000,000 shares of common stock that may be issued pursuant to three types of option awards granted outside of the registrant's stock option plans (the "2010 Special Stock Option Awards"): (i) option awards granted to certain members of senior management and consultants of the registrant to purchase up to an aggregate of 12,000,000 shares of common stock of which 4,000,000 are exercisable at \$0.03 and 4,000,000 are exercisable at \$0.06 and 4,000,000 are exercisable at \$0.09 (ii) stock grant for 4,000,000 shares. The registration statement was approved for filing on July 12, 2010. The Form S-8 was filed on July 14, 2010.

3) Response to Dutro Company, Reality Engineering et al.  
On April 10, 2010, the Company filed a response and counterclaim against claims presented by Dutro Company and Reality Engineering. The claims are ongoing and have not been resolved on or before July 21, 2010. The Company filed a comprehensive claim against Dutro Group including various parties on July 8, 2010.

54

---

4) Doty Scott litigation: A settlement conference was held on April 16, 2010 regarding the Doty Scott litigation. The parties were unable to reach any settlement agreement. The case is ongoing and not resolved at July 21, 2010. The Court has established procedural deadlines at various dates throughout 2010 and a final date for all motions to be made on or before February 18, 2011. A mandatory settlement conference is scheduled for October 12, 2010.

5) Asher Enterprises, Inc – Funding Transactions

The Company entered into a financing transaction with Asher Enterprises, Inc. to raise capital for Company operations. Each transaction was structured as a Convertible Debenture due 9 months after the issue accruing interest at an annual rate of 8%

After 90 days, each respective note may be converted at anytime to Sector 10, Inc. common shares. The conversion price is determined as the market price multiplied by the applicable percentage. The market price is defined as the average 3 lowest trading prices during the 10 day trading period ending one day before the conversion notice was sent to the Company via fax (“Conversion Date”). Trading Price means the closing bid price on any day on the over the counter bulletin board. The applicable percentage shall equal 42%. The investor shall be limited to convert no more than 4.99% of the issued and outstanding shares at the time of the conversion. No conversion has been elected as of July 14, 2010.

As part of the transaction, the Company was required to pay legal fees for document preparation. The funds were withdrawn from the loan proceeds. In addition, the Company has a financing fee arrangement to pay 10% of the funds raised to the referral source.

The following summarizes the funding received from Asher Enterprises, Inc. through various transactions:

Transaction Date	Total Funding	Legal Fees	Financing Fee	Net funds	Date Received
April 30, 2010	\$ 53,000	\$ 3,000	\$ 5,300	\$ 44,700	May 10, 2010
May 26, 2010	\$ 30,000	\$ 2,500	\$ 2,700	\$ 24,800	June 2, 2010
July 8, 2010	\$ 30,000	\$ 2,500	\$ 2,700	\$ 24,800	July 16, 2010
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 113,000</b>	<b>\$ 8,000</b>	<b>\$ 10,700</b>	<b>\$ 94,300</b>	

6) Payment on Ed Johnson Note - Mazuma Funding Corp – various transactions

The Company borrowed \$200,000 from Ed Johnson under a note date May 11, 2008. The note was due and payable in February 2009 and was extended by mutual agreement. Ed Johnson filed a claim against the Company in February 2010 seeking payment plus accrued interest. The Company has identified Mazuma Funding Corp as an Investor who has expressed interest in purchasing the Ed Johnson Note in multiple transactions. In an effort to settle this claim and obtain payment, Johnson has agreed to fix the payment of the amount due including interest at \$226,615. This amount is valid if paid in full on or before July 31, 2010. Any unpaid balance remaining after July 31, 2010 will accrue interest at an annual rate of 8%. The claim has been stayed in court pending the final payoff under these terms. Upon final payoff both parties have agreed to provide a release for any further claims against either party regarding the issues surrounding the original \$200,000 note.

On June 21, 2010, Mazuma Funding Corp has agreed to purchase the \$226,615 amount due on the Ed Johnson Note dated May 11, 2008 through various installment transactions. A Purchase and Assignment Agreement for each installment portion of the note was (or will be) signed by all parties including Mazuma, Johnson and the Company. As part of each purchase installment, Mazuma received a convertible note from the Company in the amount of the respective purchase installment. Mazuma elected to immediately convert the note to common shares of the Company. The conversion price was agreed at the transaction date.

The following summarizes the Mazuma Funding Corp. Purchase of Ed Johnson Note through various transactions:

Transaction Date	Amount Purchased	Conversion Rate per share	Common Shares issued	Net Balance of Johnson Note
Beginning Balance				\$ 226,615
June 21, 2010	\$ 55,000	.0423	1,300,000	\$ 171,615
June 30, 2010	\$ 40,000	.04	1,000,000	\$ 131,615
July 6, 2010	\$ 45,000	.03	1,500,000	\$ 86,615
July 12, 2010	\$ 42,500	.0325	1,307,692	\$ 44,115
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 182,500</b>		<b>5,107,692</b>	

7) Filing Claim against Dutro Company – July 8, 2010

On July 8, 2010, The Company filed a claim against Dutro Company, Reality Engineering and all individuals included in the Dutro Group. The Company is seeking relief for the significant damages resulting from Dutro Group actions including breach of the Manufacturing Agreement, breach of the promissory note, failure to perform on the Reality Engineering project, loss of patent rights due to Dutro actions, unauthorized use of confidential materials and other claims as they relate to interference with business, financial relational relationships causing delays and serious financial losses to the Company and investors. The full damages have not been computed or estimated as of July 8, 2010. The Company has engaged attorneys to dispute the claims filed by the Dutro Group and to vigorously seek relief for the damages incurred by Dutro Group actions. The process is ongoing and is not resolved as of July 14, 2010. He Company will work with the attorneys in quantifying the damages resulting for the Dutro Group actions. The Company believes that such damages will be significant.

8) Late Filing

Due to many factors outside the control of the Company, the filing of the Form 10-K was not filed by the extended deadline of July 14, 2010. The financial statements were prepared on a timely basis but were not able to be reviewed due to issues surrounding the Dutro filings and claims discussed above.

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Critical Accounting Policies

The Company maintains disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the Company's Exchange Act reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure based closely on the definition of "disclosure controls and procedures" in Rule 13a-15(e). The Company's disclosure controls and procedures are designed to provide a reasonable level of

assurance of reaching the Company's desired disclosure control objectives. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognized that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.



As of the end of the period being reported upon, the Company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Company's Chief Executive Officer and the Company's Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures. Based on the foregoing, our Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were not effective at the reasonable assurance level.

Controls have been implemented in the last fiscal year to correct two control weaknesses that were identified in the prior year audit. The Company has adopted the policy to recognize revenue only after shipments have been fully documented by the manufacturer. The Company will also require additional controls and documentation to be implemented and utilized by the outside manufacturer to enhance the overall controls regarding production costs and inventory reporting. Due to significant issues relating to the prior manufacturer, no significant production or sales activity occurred during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010. The Company will use new manufacturers for future transactions and will be able to test controls relating to revenue recognition, inventory and other critical financial reporting activities when sales and production activities begin. Such activities are expected to begin by the end of the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011. The Company anticipates that it will be able to fully test the internal controls relating to financial reporting at that time.

Two key control weaknesses existed at March 31, 2010. First, certain journal entries were needed to correct the recording made in prior quarters so that the year-end financial statements were properly reflected. The Company will implement new procedures to provide adequate review of all journal entries to verify that adjustments are properly reflected in the proper period. Additionally, the financial statement review process was not adequately completed prior to the financial review by the independent auditors. Due to many factors outside the control of the Company, the filing of the Form 10-K was not filed by the extended deadline of July 14, 2010. The financial statements were prepared on a timely basis but were not able to be reviewed due to issues surrounding the Duro filings and claims discussed above.

There have been no other significant changes in our internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect the internal controls subsequent to the date the Company completed its evaluation.

(a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures. Our management, with the participation of our President, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. The disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report were effective such that the information required to be disclosed by us in reports filed under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is (i) recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms and (ii) accumulated and communicated to our management, including our President, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding disclosure. A controls system cannot provide absolute assurance, however, that the objectives of the controls system are met, and no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within a company have been detected.

Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act). Our internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes of accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Therefore, even those systems determined to be effective can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving their control objectives.

Our management, with the participation of the President, evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of March 31, 2010. In making this assessment, our management used the criteria set forth

by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in Internal Control — Integrated Framework. Based on this evaluation, our Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer, concluded that, as of March 31, 2010, our internal control over financial reporting were not effective.

(b) Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting. There were no changes in the Company's internal controls over financial reporting, that occurred during the period covered by this report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

The Company expects to expand by the end of the next fiscal year as sales activity is expected to increase. The Company plans the following actions regarding the review and enhancement of internal controls in the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011:

- 1) Review each significant financial reporting cycle process. Document procedures and develop new procedures where applicable for all cycles to enhance the internal controls of the respective cycle.
- 2) Perform tests of the process and procedures for all significant financial reporting cycles to validate effectiveness by the end of the fiscal year (where appropriate).
- 3) Improvement of the accounting system to track and identify revenues and expenses generated by the Company.
- 4) Hiring of new accounting and administrative staff to provide more segregation of duties with respect to cash receipts, disbursements, treasury and other key financial functions of the Company.

Any changes to the internal control procedures are expected to be completed in the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011.

This annual report does not include an attestation report of the Company's registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management's report was not subject to attestation by the Company's registered public accounting firm pursuant to temporary rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission that permit the Company to provide only Management's report in this annual report.

#### ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None

### PART III

#### ITEM 10. DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT

##### Information Regarding Present Directors and Executive Officers

The following table sets forth as of June 30, 2010, the name, age, and position of each executive officer and director and the term of office of each director of the Company.

Name	Age	Title	Director or Officer Since
Pericles DeAvila	40	President, Chief Executive Officer and Director	November 20, 2007
Laurence A. Madison	54	Chief Financial Officer / Secretary / Treasurer and Director	March 24, 2008
Alan Rouleau	50	Director	November 20, 2007

The following is the business background of each officer and director:

Pericles (Peric) DeAvila: Chairman, President, CEO and Director

Pericles DeAvila is the inventor of the SRU-M, and the associated PLX-3DSsystem, the first self-contained emergency response systems in the emergency and safety market. He has had years of entrepreneurial experience nationally and internationally. His experience in leading large groups of people was expanded when he continued his construction experience as the commercial/industrial construction manager on large projects in Silicon Valley and in the Seattle area; he is fluent in Portuguese, Italian, French, Spanish, as well as English.

In the development of Sector 10, Mr. DeAvila brought together and leads a seasoned team of experts such as Russell Marriott Jr., who pioneered the first government approved Deferred Compensation 401(K) and PAYSOP plans in the United States; Linda Chandler, former Senior Vice President of Sutro & Company; and Jake Garn, former U.S. Senator, Astronaut and Brigadier General, U.S. Air Force, amongst others. Mr. DeAvila experienced firsthand the power of a natural disaster through an earthquake in the Azores Islands in 1980. He lost a close friend and experienced human devastation and disease and believes that Sector 10 is the fulfillment of an essential need affecting our daily lives.

In 2002, he was the recipient of a Congressional National Leadership Award. He was appointed as special advisor to the Chairman of the Congressional Committee on the Business Advisory Council.

Laurence A. Madison, Executive Vice President, CFO, Secretary, Treasurer and Director

Mr. Madison has more than 30 years experience in public accounting, tax and financial consulting. He has experience as the Chief Financial Officer in both public and private companies. Madison brings Sector 10 experience and expertise in Sarbanes-Oxley compliance and corporate governance. He comes to Sector 10 from the Chicago office of the international accounting and management consulting firm Grant Thornton where he served in the tax quality assurance department. Prior to joining Grant Thornton, Mr. Madison worked with a large national internal audit consulting firm where he was responsible for reviewing financial processes and controls for large multi-national public companies to ensure compliance under Sarbanes- Oxley. Mr. Madison worked for 12 years in "Big Four" accounting firms and for 15 years running his own financial consulting firm where he specialized in providing Chief Financial Officer, tax and financial consulting services to private companies and assisted in raising capital for growth companies. Mr. Madison is licensed in Illinois as a Certified Public Accountant and a member of the AICPA and Illinois CPA Society. He has a Bachelors of Accounting from Purdue University and a Master of Science in Taxation from DePaul University.

Alan Rouleau, Director

Alan Rouleau is a construction industry professional in Seattle, WA. Mr. Rouleau has more than 25 years of multifaceted experience in the leadership of business and construction operations. He is an accomplished, successful professional in major program/general management roles over teams of eight plus professionals. He was the founder of a drywall company in 1984 that serviced the residential and light commercial industry in the Manchester, NH area. Mr. Rouleau has worked on projects such as the Adobe Waterfront, an architectural design project in the Seattle area. He also led a team of more than one hundred construction professionals responsible for construction and scheduling for a two-year contract at Safeco Field in Seattle, WA. Mr. Rouleau's experience includes the development and implementation of safety plans in multiple construction scenarios. This process includes assessment, accident prevention, designating competence training and resource allocation to help companies become more profitable by implementing practical safety plans. Mr. Rouleau served in the US Marine Corps from 1978-1982.

Except as indicated below, to the knowledge of management, during the past five years, no present or former director, or executive officer of the Company:

59

---

(1) filed a petition under the federal bankruptcy laws or any state insolvency law, nor had a receiver, fiscal agent or similar officer appointed by a court for the business or property of such person, or any partnership in which he was a general partner at or within two years before the time of such filing, or any corporation or business association of which he was an executive officer at or within two years before the time of such filing.

Prior to joining the Company, Laurence Madison filed Chapter 7 Bankruptcy on July 27, 2007. Discharge was finalized on December 6, 2007.

(2) was convicted in a criminal proceeding or named subject of a pending criminal proceeding (excluding traffic violations and other minor defenses);

(3) was the subject of any order, judgment or decree, not subsequently reversed, suspended or vacated, of any court of competent jurisdiction, permanently or temporarily enjoining him from or otherwise limiting, the following activities:

(i) acting as a future commission merchant, introducing broker, commodity trading advisor, commodity pool operator, floor broker, leverage transaction merchant, associated person of any of the foregoing, or as an investment advisor, underwriter, broker or dealer in securities, or as an affiliate person, director or employee of any investment company or engaging in or continuing any conduct or practice in connection with such activity;

(ii) engaging in any type of business practice; or

(iii) engaging in any activity in connection with the purchased or sale of any security or commodity or in connection with any violation of federal or state securities laws or federal commodities laws;

(4) was the subject of any order, judgment, or decree, not subsequently reversed, suspended, or vacated, of any federal or state authority barring, suspending, or otherwise limiting for more than 60 days the right of such person to engage in any activity described above under this Item, or to be associated with persons engaged in any such activity;

(5) was found by a court of competent jurisdiction in a civil action or by the Securities and Exchange Commission to have violated any federal or state securities law, and the judgment in such civil action or finding by the Securities and Exchange Commission has not been subsequently reversed, suspended, or vacated.

(6) was found by a court of competent jurisdiction in a civil action or by the Commodity Futures Trading Commission to have violated any federal commodities law, and the judgment in such civil action or finding by the Commodity Futures Trading Commission has not been subsequently reversed, suspended or vacated.

#### ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The following tables set forth certain summary information concerning the compensation paid or accrued for each of the Company's last three completed fiscal years to the Company's or its principal subsidiaries chief executive officer and each of its other executive officers that received compensation in excess of \$100,000 during such period (as determined at March 31, 2006, the end of the Company's last completed fiscal year):

Name	Fiscal Year	Compensation
Peric DeAvila	2010	\$ 183,333
Laurence A. Madison	2010	\$ 160,417
Peric DeAvila	2009	\$ None
Laurence A. Madison	2009	\$ None
Peric DeAvila	2008	\$ None
Laurence A. Madison	2008	\$ None

Peric DeAvila	2007	\$	None
---------------	------	----	------

### Cash Compensation

On February 26, 2010, the CEO and CFO executed employment agreements with the Company which cover the period through the end of the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010. The agreement confirmed the verbal agreement for compensation for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010 and set forth minimum salaries for the remaining fiscal years under the agreement

There was no cash compensation, to any officers in the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010 or for the year ended March 31, 2009. Compensation was accrued in the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010 but not paid. The amount accrued was for the period May 2009 through March 31, 2010 and was based on the terms set forth in the employment agreements.

### Bonuses and Deferred Compensation

The CEO and CFO have executed Employment agreements with the company that covers the period through the end of the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010. Under the agreement, the parties are entitled to a cash bonus and a stock bonus. The awards will be reviewed and approved by the Board of Directors within the first 120 days after the end of the applicable fiscal year end. The minimum bonus under the agreement is 10% of the salary for the year. The minimum stock bonus for the year computed based on the greater of 15% of compensation or 500,000 common shares. The Stock Bonus has been fixed at 400,000 shares for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010

In March 2010, the Board authorized a Stock Incentive Plan for the benefit of employees and others who perform services on behalf of the Company. No options were issued under the plan as of March 31, 2010. A Form S-8 Registration statement was filed on July 13, 2010 to authorize the issuance of Company options to key officers, directors and consultants. The S-8 registration statement covers up to 16,000,000 shares of common stock that may be issued pursuant to three types of option awards granted outside of the registrant's stock option plans (the "2010 Special Stock Option Awards"): (i) option awards granted to certain members of senior management and consultants of the registrant to purchase up to an aggregate of 12,000,000 shares of common stock of which 4,000,000 are exercisable at \$0.03 and 4,000,000 are exercisable at \$0.06 and 4,000,000 are exercisable at \$0.09 (ii) stock grant for 4,000,000 shares. The registration statement was approved for filing on July 12, 2010. The Form S-8 was filed on July 14, 2010.

No current deferred compensation programs are established as of March 31, 2010. The Company reserves the right to establish such a plan in the future.

### Compensation Pursuant to Plans.

On February 26, 2010, the CEO and CFO executed employment agreements with the Company which cover the period through the end of the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010. The agreement confirmed the verbal agreement for compensation for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010 and set forth minimum salaries for the remaining fiscal years under the agreement

The minimum base salaries set forth under the plan are as follows:

Fiscal year	Pericles DeAvila, CEO	Laurence A. Madison, CFO
March 31,	\$ 200,000	\$ 175,000



Edgar Filing: SECTOR 10 INC - Form 10-K

2010		
March		
31,		
2011	\$ 216,000	\$ 198,000
March		
31,		
2012	\$ 234,000	\$ 216,000
March		
31,		
2013	\$ 258,000	\$ 240,000
March		
31,		
2014	\$ 282,000	\$ 264,000
March		
31,		
2015	\$ 306,000	\$ 288,000
March		
31,		
2016	\$ 336,000	\$ 318,000

The above reflects the minimum base salary to be paid over the respective periods. The Board has the authority to increase the base in any of the years set forth above. If such an increase is approved, the excess over the original minimum base shall be added to increase the minimum base for all remaining years under this agreement. If the agreement is continued beyond the period ended March 31, 2016, the minimum base salary (as adjusted) shall be increased at an annual rate of 10%.

#### Pension Table

The Company reserves the right to establish a Company retirement plan. No such plan exists at the March 31, 2010

#### Other Compensation

The CEO and CFO have executed Employment agreements with the company that covers the period through the end of the fiscal year ended March 31, 2016. Under the agreement, the following other provisions

##### Welfare, Benefit, Savings and Retirements

In the event that there is no corporate plan available in any given year, the Company agrees to pay all insurance premiums for employee and family coverage for medical, prescription, dental, disability, employee term life, group life, split-dollar life, accidental death and travel accident insurance plans and programs that may need to be obtained on an individual basis. Employee agrees to minimize any cost to the Company by participating in spousal employee coverage where applicable. Term life coverage equal to the greater of \$2 Million or 10 times compensation shall be funded by the company. Premiums may be paid directly by Company or reimbursed to employee if paid by employee.

Treatment of Unpaid Wages or Other Compensation. Employee shall have the right to interest at an annual rate of 8% for any salary or other compensation that is earned under the provisions set forth under Section 5 but not paid within 30 days from the date earned or approved. This provision shall initially apply to any unpaid compensation at the end of the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010 and interest, if any shall be applied beginning in the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011. Employee may elect to convert any portion of the unpaid balance including interest to common shares of the Company based on written notice to the Company of such election. The conversion to shares will be computed based on the closing share price at on the date of election by the Employee to convert.

Anti-Dilution. The Company provides Employee with anti-dilution protection for common shares that are issued to other parties after the effective date and during the term of this agreement. Under the anti-dilution provision, the Company provides that the Employees direct ownership interest in common shares shall not be decreased as a result of future issuance of common shares. Should the direct interest be reduced below the interest due to a new issue of shares, the Company shall issue new shares to Employee to make up for any dilution effect. The direct interest shall include common shares directly owned by Employee and no common shares owned by related parties including family members or common owned corporations or entities. All shareholders with anti-dilution provisions shall be combined in determining the overall shares to be issued by the Company under the respective anti-dilution provision.

Expenses. During the Employment Period, the Employee shall be provided a Company Credit Card for all reasonable expenses incurred by the Employee in accordance with the policies, practices and procedures of the Company in effect immediately prior to the Effective Date or as subsequently approved by the Board of Directors. Approved expenses include expenses incurred in connection with the Employee responsibilities, licensing, fees, dues for professional licenses/associations, continuing education and benefit payments subject to reimbursement.

Employee agrees to seek approval for expenses in excess of \$1,000 before committing Company resources. Employee shall project expenses and submit estimates to the Company for use in internal budgets



## Compensation of Directors.

The Company compensates all Directors with the issuance of Company common shares. A total of 50,000 shares are issued for a year of service as a director. The shares are generally approved for issue in the 1st quarter of the applicable fiscal year. As the Company develops and/or new directors are added, the Board may revise the compensation for directors.

## Termination of Employment and Change of Control Arrangement

The CEO and CFO have executed employment agreements that provide minimum base salary, bonuses and other benefits. The agreement continues through the fiscal year ended March 31, 2016. If a change in control of the Company occurs, the provisions require immediate vesting in all unpaid benefits under the agreement. The minimum due under such an arrangement for any change in control would be an amount equal to three times the compensation due in the last fiscal year under the agreement. The amount shall be due and payable the day before any transaction resulting in such change of control.

There are no other compensatory plans or arrangements, including payments to be received from the Company, with respect to any person named in Cash Compensation set out above which in any way result in payments to any such person because of his resignation, retirement, or other termination of such person's employment with the Company or its subsidiaries, or any change in control of the Company, or change in the person's responsibilities following a changing in control of the Company.

## ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

The following table sets forth, as of March 31, 2010 the name and the number of shares of the Company's Common Stock, par value \$.001 per share, held of record or beneficially by each person who held of record, or was known by the Company to own beneficially, more than 5% of the 42,693,268 issued and outstanding shares of the Company's Common Stock and 47,503,268 shares that include issued and outstanding shares plus shares that have been accrued but not issued as of March 31, 2010 (after reflecting the impact of the reverse stock split), and the name and shareholdings of each director and of all officers and directors as a group.

Title of Class	Name of Beneficial Owner	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership	Percentage of Class
<b>OFFICERS, DIRECTORS AND FIVE PERCENT SHAREHOLDERS</b>			
Common	Peric DeAvila	653,900	1.37%
Common	Laurence Madison	475,000	1.00%
Common	Alan Rouleau	122,890	0.26%
Common	Sector 10 Holdings, Inc.	25,865,080	54.42%
All officers and Directors as a Group (3) Persons (3)			
Including officer, directors and 5% shareholder		1,251,790	2.63%
		27,116,870	57.08%

- (1) Anti-dilution agreements were entered into with Sector 10 Holdings, Inc. in November 2009 and officers Peric DeAvila and Laurence Madison in February 2010.
- (2) Based on the shares that were issued in March 2010, the anti-dilution provisions provide for additional shares to be issued. The shares were accrued as of March 31, 2010 but have not yet been issued. The accrued but not issued shares that are included in the schedule above include the following: Peric DeAvila – 103,900; Laurence A Madison – 75,000; Sector 10 Holdings – 4,107,500; Total shares - 4,287,000. These shares have not been issued as of July 21, 2010.

### ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

During the Year ended March 31, 2008:

The Company issued 50 Million common shares to Sector 10 Holdings as a result of the merger between SKRM Interactive and Sector 10 Services.

Jeffery Martin and related companies transferred 15 Million common shares to Sector 10 Holdings and DeAvila Institute as part of the merger transaction between SKRM Interactive and Sector 10 Services.

Sector 10 Holdings and Peric DeAvila have advanced the Company a net amount of \$109,372 for the year ended March 31, 2008.

During the Year ended March 31, 2009:

In May, 2008, 120,000 shares were issued to Jeffrey Martin in exchange for the conversion of \$649,200 debt. A net adjustment of \$132,000 reflecting the value of the equity was made to capital stock and paid in capital. The difference between the value and the debt converted was treated as other income.

In May 2008, 1,250,000 shares were authorized to be issued to Sector 10 Holdings as part of the Network Acquisition. The shares were valued at \$.08 per share based on the closing price at May 19, 2008. The agreement for the acquisition requires that the shares retain the \$1 Million required value at the end of six months. If value is not retained, then additional shares may be issued to retain the full value at \$1 Million. On November 12, 2008, Sector 10 Holdings requested that no additional shares be issued as a result of the decrease in value at the end of six months. Sector 10 Holdings acknowledged that the 1,250,000 common shares received represents the total amount of shares to be received as a result of the Network transfer under the Agreement dated May 19, 2008.

In January 2009, the Company entered into an Investor Relations agreement with Illuminated Financial Services Corp. The contract agreement was for a 6 month period ending in July 2009. The agreement may be extended by agreement of both parties. In accordance with the agreement, 200,000 restricted new common shares were issued by the Company on January 5, 2009. In addition 225,000 unrestricted shares were transferred to Illuminated by Sector 10 Holdings for the benefit of the Company. Sector 10 Holdings was subsequently reimbursed for the stock provided in this transfer. The value of all shares were recorded transferred to Illuminated were valued at \$.40 per share resulting in a total value of \$170,000 for the two transfers.

In November 2008, Sector 10 Holdings transferred 225,000 unrestricted Sector 10, Inc. shares to Peter Kristensen for the benefit of the Company and as part of an investor relations agreement. Sector 10 Holdings was subsequently reimbursed for the stock provided in this transfer. The value of all shares were recorded transferred to Peter Kristensen were valued at \$.25 per share resulting in a total value of \$56,250.

On March 31, 2009, the Company issued 450,000 new restricted common shares to Sector 10 Holdings, Inc. for the reimbursement of the 225,000 shares transferred to Illuminated Financial Services Corp and the 225,000 shares transferred to Peter Kristensen

All of the shares and related stock process have been adjusted to reflect the reverse stock split.

During the Year ended March 31, 2010:

In September 2009, issued 1,050,000 shares to officers and directors for past services rendered and for current director services. The shares were authorized on May 1, 2009 by the Board. Shares were allocated as follows: Pericles DeAvila – 550,000 shares, Laurence A Madison – 400,000 shares and Alan Rouleau – 100,000 shares.

In September 2009, issued a total of 270, 834 shares to Sector 10 Holdings for reimbursement for shares provided to Illuminated Financial Services and Moody Capital on behalf of the Company.

In November 2009, the Company issued 1,554,00 shares to Sector 10 Holdings , Inc. in accordance with anti dilution provision for transaction closed on or before November 1, 2009.

In November 2009, the Company issued 11,363,636 shares to Mariennie & Associates and assignees. The issue was based on conversion of \$1,144,000 of distribution fee in accordance with provisions under Distribution Agreement between Sector 10 Holdings, Inc. and Sector 10, Inc.

In November 2009, the Company issued shares 13,250,000 shares to Sector 10 Holdings, Inc. in accordance with reimbursement and dilution provisions. Shares are held by the Company pending receipt of funding.

In November 2009, the Company issued 1,325,000 shares to Sector 10 Holdings, Inc. in accordance with fee arrangement for seeking funding. Shares are held by the Company pending funding.

In March 2010, accrue the issuance of 4,287,000 shares to Principal shareholders – (4,100,000 shares) and executive officers (187,000 shares) under anti-dilution arrangements to reimburse for shares issued in the 4th quarter of the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011. The shares were valued at \$.06 per share as of March 31, 2010 resulting in a value of \$257,220 recorded as accrued liabilities. Shares are unissued at the fiscal year end.

#### TRANSACTIONS WITH PROMOTERS

There have been no transactions between the Company and promoters during the last fiscal year.

#### ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANTS FEES AND SERVICES -

	2010	2009
Audit Fees	\$ 46,200	\$ 36,400
Audit and Related Fees	-	-
Tax Fees	-	-
All Other Fees	-	-
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 46,200</b>	<b>\$ 36,400</b>

(1) Audit fees consist of fees billed for the audit of the Company’s consolidated financial statements and review of the interim consolidated financial statements.

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS

- 31.1 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1 Certification of the Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.2 Certification of the Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.



SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated:

Sector 10, Inc

Date: July 21, 2010

By

/s/ Pericles DeAvila  
Pericles DeAvila,  
Principal Executive Officer

Date: July 21, 2010

By

/s/ Laurence A. Madison  
Laurence A. Madison,  
Chief Financial Officer

In accordance with the Exchange Act, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Name	Title	Date
/s/ Pericles DeAvila Pericles DeAvila	Principal Executive Officer, Director	July 21, 2010
/s/ Laurence A. Madison Laurence A. Madison	Chief Financial Officer, Director	July 21, 2010