

GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION\DE
Form 10-Q
February 09, 2010
[Table of Contents](#)

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

x QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE QUARTER ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2009

o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

COMMISSION FILE NUMBER: 000-51233

GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

DELAWARE

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

83-0423116

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

1521 WESTBRANCH DRIVE, SUITE 200

Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION\DE - Form 10-Q

MCLEAN, VIRGINIA 22102

(Address of principal executive office)

(703) 287-5800

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12 b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date. The number of shares of the issuer's Common Stock, \$0.001 par value, outstanding as of February 8, 2010 was 22,080,133.

Table of Contents

GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I.	FINANCIAL INFORMATION:	
Item 1.	Financial Statements (Unaudited)	
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Assets and Liabilities as of December 31, 2009 and March 31, 2009</u>	1
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Schedules of Investments as of December 31, 2009 and March 31, 2009</u>	2
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and nine months ended December 31, 2009 and 2008</u>	9
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Changes in Net Assets for the nine months ended December 31, 2009 and 2008</u>	10
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended December 31, 2009 and 2008</u>	11
	<u>Financial Highlights for the three and nine months ended December 31, 2009 and 2008</u>	12
	<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	14
<u>Item 2.</u>	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	29
	<u>Overview</u>	29
	<u>Results of Operations</u>	33
	<u>Liquidity and Capital Resources</u>	41
<u>Item 3.</u>	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	52
<u>Item 4.</u>	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	52
PART II.	<u>OTHER INFORMATION:</u>	
<u>Item 1.</u>	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	53
<u>Item 1A.</u>	<u>Risk Factors</u>	53
<u>Item 2.</u>	<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	53
<u>Item 3.</u>	<u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	53
<u>Item 4.</u>	<u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>	53
<u>Item 5.</u>	<u>Other Information</u>	53
<u>Item 6.</u>	<u>Exhibits</u>	53
	<u>SIGNATURES</u>	54

Table of Contents

GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF ASSETS AND LIABILITIES
(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS)

	December 31, 2009 (Unaudited)	March 31, 2009
ASSETS		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 87,872	\$ 7,236
Investments at fair value		
Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments (Cost of \$22,902 and \$134,836, respectively)	19,404	94,740
Control investments (Cost of \$150,107 and \$150,081, respectively)	130,955	166,163
Affiliate investments (Cost of \$52,891 and \$64,028, respectively)	37,027	53,027
Total investments (Cost of \$225,900 and \$348,945, respectively)	187,386	313,930
Interest receivable	1,373	1,500
Due from Custodian	766	2,706
Deferred financing fees	512	1,167
Prepaid assets	300	172
Other assets	70	132
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 278,279	\$ 326,843
LIABILITIES		
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$ 200	\$ 1,283
Fee due to Administrator (Refer to Note 4)	156	179
Fees due to Adviser (Refer to Note 4)	793	187
Short-term loan	75,000	
Borrowings under line of credit at fair value (Cost of \$26,750 and \$110,265, respectively)	26,883	110,265
Other liabilities	246	127
TOTAL LIABILITIES	103,278	112,041
NET ASSETS	\$ 175,001	\$ 214,802
ANALYSIS OF NET ASSETS:		
Common stock, \$0.001 par value, 100,000,000 shares authorized, 22,080,133 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2009 and March 31, 2009	\$ 22	\$ 22
Capital in excess of par value	264,576	257,361
Net unrealized depreciation of investment portfolio	(38,514)	(35,015)
Net unrealized depreciation of derivative	(34)	(53)
Net unrealized appreciation of borrowings under line of credit	(133)	
Accumulated net investment loss	(50,916)	(7,513)
TOTAL NET ASSETS	\$ 175,001	\$ 214,802
NET ASSETS PER SHARE	\$ 7.93	\$ 9.73

THE ACCOMPANYING NOTES ARE AN INTEGRAL PART OF THESE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.

Table of Contents

GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULES OF INVESTMENTS
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2009
(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)
(UNAUDITED)

Company (1)	Industry	Investment (2)	Cost	Fair Value
NON-CONTROL/NON-AFFILIATE INVESTMENTS:				
<i>Senior Syndicated Loans:</i>				
Interstate FiberNet, Inc.	Service provider of voice and data telecommunications services	Senior Term Debt (4.3%, Due 7/2013) (3)	\$ 6,759	\$ 5,085
Survey Sampling, LLC	Service telecommunications-based sampling	Senior Term Debt (9.5%, Due 5/2011) (3)	2,397	1,073
<i>Subtotal - Syndicated Loans</i>			\$ 9,156	\$ 6,158
<i>Non-syndicated Loans:</i>				
American Greetings Corporation	Manufacturing and design greeting cards	Senior Notes (7.4%, Due 6/2016) (3)	\$ 3,043	\$ 2,895
B-Dry, LLC	Service basement waterproofer	Senior Term Debt (11.0%, Due 5/2014) (5)	6,613	6,580
		Senior Term Debt (11.5%, Due 5/2014) (5)	3,790	3,771
		Common Stock Warrants (4)	300	
			10,703	10,351
Total Non-Control/Non-Affiliate Investments			\$ 22,902	\$ 19,404
CONTROL INVESTMENTS:				
A. Stucki Holding Corp.	Manufacturing railroad freight car products	Senior Term Debt (4.7%, Due 3/2012)	\$ 9,101	\$ 9,101
		Senior Term Debt (7.0%, Due 3/2012) (6)	9,900	9,900
		Senior Subordinated Term Debt (13.0%, Due 3/2014)	8,586	8,586
		Preferred Stock	4,387	4,448
		Common Stock (4)	130	1,566
			32,104	33,601
Acme Cryogenics, Inc.	Manufacturing manifolds and pipes for industrial gasses	Senior Subordinated Term Debt (11.5%, Due 3/2012)	14,500	14,479
		Preferred Stock (4)	6,984	
		Common Stock (4)	1,045	
		Common Stock Warrants (4)	24	
			22,553	14,479

Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION/DE - Form 10-Q

ASH Holdings Corp.	Retail and Service buses and parts	school	Revolver, \$2,000 available (non-accrual, Due 3/2010) (5), (4)		
			Senior Subordinated Term Debt (non-accrual, Due 1/2012) (5), (4)	6,250	1,719
			Preferred Stock (4)	2,500	
			Common Stock Warrants (4)	4	
			Guaranty (\$500)		
			8,754	1,719	
Cavert II Holdings Corp.	Manufacturing	bailing wire	Senior Term Debt (8.3%, Due 10/2012)	2,875	2,875
			Senior Term Debt (10.0%, Due 10/2012) (6)	2,700	2,700
			Senior Subordinated Term Debt (13.0%, Due 10/2014)	4,671	4,671
			Preferred Stock (4)	4,110	4,863
			Common Stock (4)	69	2,926
			14,425	18,035	
Chase II Holdings Corp.	Manufacturing	traffic doors	Senior Term Debt (8.8%, Due 3/2011)	7,975	7,975
			Senior Term Debt (12.0%, Due 3/2011) (6)	7,560	7,560
			Senior Subordinated Term Debt (13.0%, Due 3/2013)	6,168	6,168
			Preferred Stock (4)	6,961	7,214
			Common Stock (4)	61	
			28,725	28,917	
Country Club Enterprises, LLC	Service	golf cart distribution	Subordinated Term Debt (14.0%, Due 11/2014) (5)	7,000	6,851
			Preferred Stock (4)	3,725	
			10,725	6,851	

Table of Contents

GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULES OF INVESTMENTS (Continued)
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2009
(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)
(UNAUDITED)

Company (1)	Industry	Investment (2)	Cost	Fair Value
CONTROL INVESTMENTS (Continued):				
Galaxy Tool Holding Corp.	Manufacturing aerospace and plastics	Senior Subordinated Term Debt (13.5%, Due 8/2013) (5)	\$ 17,250	\$ 16,991
		Preferred Stock (4)	4,112	
		Common Stock (4)	48	
			21,410	16,991
Mathey Investments, Inc. (7)	Manufacturing pipe-cutting and pipe-fitting equipment	Revolving Credit Facility, \$0 available (10.0%, Due 3/2011) (5), (8)	1,032	1,015
		Senior Term Debt (10.0%, Due 3/2013) (5)	2,375	2,337
		Senior Term Debt (17.0%, Due 3/2014) (5), (6)	7,227	7,010
		Common Stock (4)	500	
		Common Stock Warrants (4)	277	
			11,411	10,362
Total Control Investments			\$ 150,107	\$ 130,955
AFFILIATE INVESTMENTS:				
Danco Acquisition Corp.	Manufacturing machining and sheet metal work	Revolving Credit Facility, \$600 available (10.0%, Due 10/2010) (5)	\$ 900	\$ 885
		Senior Term Debt (10.0%, Due 10/2012) (5)	4,313	4,243
		Senior Term Debt (12.5%, Due 4/2013) (5)	9,067	8,840
		Preferred Stock (4)	2,500	
		Common Stock Warrants (4)	2	
			16,782	13,968
Noble Logistics, Inc.	Service aftermarket auto parts delivery	Revolving Credit Facility, \$0 available (4.2%, Due 2/2010) (5), (9)	2,000	1,200
		Senior Term Debt (9.3%, Due 12/2011) (5)	6,227	3,736
		Senior Term Debt (10.5%, Due 12/2011) (5) (6)	7,300	4,380

Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION/DE - Form 10-Q

		Preferred Stock (4)	1,750	
		Common Stock (4)	1,682	
			18,959	9,316
Quench Holdings Corp.	Service sales, installation and service of water coolers	Senior Subordinated Term Debt (10.0%, Due 8/2013) (5)	8,000	6,040
		Preferred Stock (4)	2,950	2,728
		Common Stock (4)	447	
			11,397	8,768
Tread Corp.	Manufacturing storage and transport equipment	Senior Subordinated Term Debt (12.5%, Due 5/2013) (5)	5,000	4,975
		Preferred Stock (4)	750	
		Common Stock & Debt Warrants (4)	3	
			5,753	4,975
Total Affiliate Investments			\$ 52,891	\$ 37,027
TOTAL INVESTMENTS			\$ 225,900	\$ 187,386

Table of Contents

-
- (1) Certain of the listed securities are issued by affiliate(s) of the indicated portfolio company.
 - (2) Percentage represents the weighted average interest rates in effect at December 31, 2009, and due date represents the contractual maturity date.
 - (3) Valued based on the indicative bid price on or near December 31, 2009, offered by the respective syndication agent's trading desk or secondary desk.
 - (4) Security is non-income producing.
 - (5) Fair value based on opinions of value submitted by Standard & Poor's Securities Evaluations, Inc. at December 31, 2009.
 - (6) Last Out Tranche of senior debt, meaning if the portfolio company is liquidated, the holder of the Last Out Tranche is paid after the senior debt.
 - (7) Investment was restructured in December 2009, resulting in the Company owning 100% of Mathey Investments, Inc. and thus reclassifying it as a Control Investment.
 - (8) Credit facility had a limit of \$1.0 million at December 31, 2009; the overdraft of approximately \$32 represented a protective disbursement of the Company's collateral. The credit facility was increased to \$1.75 million subsequent to December 31, 2009.
 - (9) Revolving credit facility was extended to May, 2010 subsequent to December 31, 2009.

THE ACCOMPANYING NOTES ARE AN INTEGRAL PART OF THESE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.

Table of Contents

GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULES OF INVESTMENTS
AS OF MARCH 31, 2009
(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

Company (1)	Industry	Investment (2)	Cost	Fair Value
NON-CONTROL/NON-AFFILIATE INVESTMENTS:				
<i>Senior Syndicated Loans:</i>				
Activant Solutions, Inc.	Service - enterprise software and services	Senior Term Debt (3.4%, Due 5/2013) (7)	\$ 1,658	\$ 904
Advanced Homecare Holdings, Inc.	Service - home health nursing services	Senior Term Debt (4.3%, Due 8/2014) (7)	2,947	2,019
Aeroflex, Inc.	Service - provider of highly specialized electronic equipment	Senior Term Debt (4.5%, Due 8/2014) (7)	1,892	1,083
Compsych Investments Corp.	Service - employee assistance programs	Senior Term Debt (3.8%, Due 2/2012) (7)	3,083	2,405
CRC Health Group, Inc.	Service - substance abuse treatment	Senior Term Debt (3.5%, Due 2/2012) (7)	7,772	5,026
Critical Homecare Solutions, Inc.	Service - home therapy and respiratory treatment	Senior Term Debt (3.8%, Due 1/2012) (7)	4,359	3,632
Generac Acquisition Corp.	Manufacturing - standby power products	Senior Term Debt (3.0%, Due 11/2013) (7)	6,799	3,820
Graham Packaging Holdings Company	Manufacturing - plastic containers	Senior Term Debt (3.6%, Due 10/2011) (7)	3,348	2,813
HMTBP Acquisition II Corp.	Service - aboveground storage tanks	Senior Term Debt (3.5%, Due 5/2014) (3)	3,838	2,942
Huish Detergents, Inc.	Manufacturing - household cleaning products	Senior Term Debt (2.3%, Due 4/2014) (7)	1,966	1,690
Hyland Software, Inc.	Service - provider of enterprise content management software	Senior Term Debt (3.6%, Due 7/2013) (7)	3,912	2,990
Interstate FiberNet, Inc.	Service - provider of voice and data telecommunications services	Senior Term Debt (5.2%, Due 7/2013) (3)	9,804	6,698
KIK Custom Products, Inc.	Manufacturing - consumer products	Senior Term Debt (2.8%, Due 5/2014) (7)	3,941	1,862
Kronos, Inc.	Service - workforce management solutions	Senior Term Debt (3.5%, Due 6/2014) (7)	1,899	1,291
Local TV Finance, LLC	Service - television station operator	Senior Term Debt (2.5%, Due 5/2013) (7)	985	359
LVI Services, Inc.	Service - asbestos and mold remediation	Senior Term Debt (4.5%, Due 11/2010) (7)	5,916	2,673
MedAssets, Inc.	Service - pharmaceuticals and healthcare GPO	Senior Term Debt (5.1%, Due 10/2013) (7)	3,517	3,129
Network Solutions, LLC	Service - internet domain solutions	Senior Term Debt (3.2%, Due 3/2014) (7)	8,672	5,506
Open Solutions, Inc.	Service - software outsourcing for financial institutions	Senior Term Debt (3.3%, Due 1/2014) (7)	2,648	1,206
Ozburn-Hessey Holding Co. LLC	Service - third party logistics	Senior Term Debt (4.4%, Due 8/2012) (7)	7,523	5,975
Pinnacle Foods Finance, LLC	Manufacturing - branded food products	Senior Term Debt (3.2%, Due 4/2014) (7)	1,950	1,570
PTS Acquisition Corp.	Manufacturing - drug delivery and packaging technologies	Senior Term Debt (2.8%, Due 4/2014) (7)	6,877	4,264
QTC Acquisition, Inc.	Service - outsourced disability evaluations	Senior Term Debt (2.8%, Due 11/2012) (7)	1,763	1,356
Radio Systems Corporation			1,644	1,308

Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION/DE - Form 10-Q

	Service - design electronic pet containment products	Senior Term Debt (3.3%, Due 9/2013) (7)		
Rally Parts, Inc.	Manufacturing - aftermarket motorcycle parts and accessories	Senior Term Debt (3.5%, Due 11/2013) (7)	2,458	1,073
SafeNet, Inc.	Service - chip encryption products	Senior Term Debt (4.2%, Due 4/2014) (7)	2,949	2,008
SGS International, Inc.	Service - digital imaging and graphics	Senior Term Debt (4.0%, Due 12/2011) (7)	1,475	978
Survey Sampling, LLC	Service - telecommunications-based sampling	Senior Term Debt (9.5%, Due 5/2011) (3)	2,596	2,441
Triad Laboratory Alliance, LLC	Service - regional medical laboratories	Senior Term Debt (4.5%, Due 12/2011) (7)	4,120	3,432
Wastequip, Inc.	Service - process and transport waste materials	Senior Term Debt (2.8%, Due 2/2013) (7)	2,893	1,530
WaveDivision Holdings, LLC	Service - cable	Senior Term Debt (3.5%, Due 6/2014) (7)	1,905	1,575
West Corporation	Service - business process outsourcing	Senior Term Debt (2.9%, Due 10/2013) (7)	3,323	2,293
Subtotal - Senior Syndicated Loans			\$ 120,432	\$ 81,851
Non-Syndicated Loans				
American Greetings Corporation	Manufacturing and design - greeting cards	Senior Notes (7.4%, Due 6/2016) (3) (10)	\$ 3,043	\$ 2,180
B-Dry, LLC	Service - basement waterproofer	Revolving Credit Facility, \$300 available (10.5%, Due 10/2009) (5)	450	443
		Senior Term Debt (10.0%, Due 5/2014) (5)	6,681	6,464
		Senior Term Debt (10.0%, Due 5/2014) (5)	3,930	3,802
		Common Stock Warrants (4)	300	
			11,361	10,709
Total Non-Control/Non-Affiliate Investments			\$ 134,836	\$ 94,740

Table of Contents**GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULES OF INVESTMENTS (Continued)****AS OF MARCH 31, 2009****(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)**

Company (1)	Industry	Investment (2)	Cost	Fair Value
CONTROL INVESTMENTS:				
A. Stucki Holding Corp.	Manufacturing - railroad freight car products	Senior Term Debt (5.0%, Due 3/2012)	\$ 11,246	\$ 11,246
		Senior Term Debt (7.2%, Due 3/2012) (6)	10,450	10,450
		Senior Subordinated Term Debt (13%, Due 3/2014)	8,586	8,586
		Preferred Stock (4)	4,387	5,128
		Common Stock (4)	130	14,021
			34,799	49,431
Acme Cryogenics, Inc.	Manufacturing - manifolds and pipes for industrial gasses	Senior Subordinated Term Debt (11.5%, Due 3/2012)	14,500	14,500
		Redeemable Preferred Stock (4)	6,984	6,920
		Common Stock (4)	1,045	
		Common Stock Warrants (4)	25	
			22,554	21,420
ASH Holdings Corp.	Retail and Service - school buses and parts	Revolver, \$400 available (non accrual, Due 3/2010) (5)	1,600	560
		Senior Subordinated Term Debt (non accrual, Due 1/2012) (5)	5,937	2,078
		Preferred Stock (4)	2,500	
		Common Stock Warrants (4)	4	
		Guaranty (\$500)		
			10,041	2,638
Cavert II Holding Corp.	Manufacturing - bailing wire	Revolving Credit Facility, \$3,000 available (8.0%, Due 10/2010) (8)		
		Senior Term Debt (8.3%, Due 10/2012)	5,687	5,687
		Senior Term Debt (10.0%, Due 10/2012) (6)	2,950	2,950
		Senior Subordinated Term Debt (13.0%, Due 10/2014)	4,671	4,671
		Preferred Stock (4)	4,110	4,591
		Common Stock (4)	69	733
			17,487	18,632
Chase II Holdings Corp.	Manufacturing - traffic doors	Revolving Credit Facility, \$1,105 available (4.5%, Due 7/2010)	3,395	3,395
		Senior Term Debt (8.8%, Due 3/2011)	8,800	8,800
		Senior Term Debt (12.0%, Due 3/2011) (6)	7,680	7,680
		Senior Subordinated Term Debt (13.0%, Due 3/2013)	6,168	6,168
		Redeemable Preferred Stock (4)	6,961	9,300
		Common Stock (4)	61	5,537

Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION/DE - Form 10-Q

			33,065	40,880
Country Club Enterprises, LLC	Service - golf cart distribution	Subordinated Term Debt (14.0% Due 11/2014)	7,000	7,000
		Preferred Stock (4)	3,725	3,725
			10,725	10,725
Galaxy Tool Holding Corp.	Manufacturing - aerospace and plastics	Senior Subordinated Term Debt (13.5%, Due 8/2013)	17,250	17,250
		Preferred Stock (4)	4,112	4,486
		Common Stock (4)	48	701
			21,410	22,437
Total Control Investments			\$ 150,081	\$ 166,163

Table of Contents**GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULES OF INVESTMENTS (Continued)****AS OF MARCH 31, 2009****(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)**

Company (1)	Industry	Investment (2)	Cost	Fair Value
AFFILIATE INVESTMENTS:				
Danco Acquisition Corp.	Manufacturing - machining and sheet metal work	Revolving Credit Facility, \$2,600 available (9.3%, Due 10/2010) (5) (9)	\$ 400	\$ 378
		Senior Term Debt (9.3%, Due 10/2012) (5)	4,837	4,584
		Senior Term Debt (11.5%, Due 4/2013) (5)	9,113	8,544
		Redeemable Preferred Stock (4)	2,500	2,558
		Common Stock Warrants (4)	3	
			16,853	16,064
Mathey Investments, Inc.	Manufacturing - pipe-cutting and pipe-fitting equipment	Revolving Credit Facility, \$1,463 available (9.0%, Due 3/2011) (5) (9)	537	529
		Senior Term Debt (9.0%, Due 3/2013) (5)	2,375	2,339
		Senior Term Debt (12.0%, Due 3/2014) (5)(6)	7,227	7,082
		Common Stock (4)	500	446
		Common Stock Warrants (4)	277	260
			10,916	10,656
Noble Logistics, Inc.	Service - aftermarket auto parts delivery	Revolving Credit Facility, \$0-available (6.5%, Due 12/2009) (5)	2,000	1,500
		Senior Term Debt (10.5%, Due 12/2011) (5)	5,727	4,295
		Senior Term Debt (12.5%, Due 12/2011) (5)(6)	7,300	5,475
		Senior Subordinated Term Debt (18.0%, Due 12/2011)	500	375
		Senior Subordinated Term Debt (14.0%, Due 5/2009)	150	149
		Preferred Stock (4)	1,750	
		Common Stock (4)	1,682	
			19,109	11,794
Quench Holdings Corp.	Service - sales, installation and service of water coolers	Senior Subordinated Term Debt (10.0%, Due 8/2013) (5)	8,000	5,800
		Preferred Stock (4)	2,950	2,542
		Common Stock Warrants (4)	447	
			11,397	8,342
Tread Corp.	Manufacturing - storage and transport equipment	Senior Subordinated Term Debt (12.5%, Due 5/2013) (5)	5,000	4,925
		Preferred Stock (4)	750	793
		Common Stock Warrants (4)	3	453
			5,753	6,171
Total Affiliate Investments			\$ 64,028	\$ 53,027

Total Investments	\$	348,945	\$	313,930
--------------------------	-----------	----------------	-----------	----------------

Table of Contents

-
- (1) Certain of the listed securities are issued by affiliate(s) of the indicated portfolio company.
 - (2) Percentage represents the weighted average interest rates in effect at March 31, 2009, and due date represents the contractual maturity date.
 - (3) Security valued using internally-developed, risk-adjusted discounted cash flow methodologies as of March 31, 2009.
 - (4) Security is non-income producing.
 - (5) Fair value based on opinions of value submitted by Standard & Poor's Securities Evaluations, Inc. at March 31, 2009.
 - (6) Last Out Tranche of senior debt, meaning if the portfolio company is liquidated, the holder of the Last Out Tranche is paid after the senior debt.
 - (7) Security valued based on the sale price obtained at or subsequent to March 31, 2009, since the security was sold.
 - (8) Revolver was sold to third party subsequent to March 31, 2009.
 - (9) Terms of agreement were refinanced and revolver limit was reduced.
 - (10) The Company received non-cash assumption of \$3,043 worth of senior notes received from American Greetings Corporation for the Company's agreement to the RPG bankruptcy settlement in which the Company received the aforementioned notes and \$909 in cash and recognized a loss on the settlement of approximately \$601.

THE ACCOMPANYING NOTES ARE AN INTEGRAL PART OF THESE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.

Table of Contents

GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS)

(UNAUDITED)

	Three Months Ended December 31,		Nine Months Ended December 31,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
INVESTMENT INCOME				
Interest income				
Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments	\$ 489	\$ 2,339	\$ 1,840	\$ 6,797
Control investments	2,856	3,068	8,593	8,372
Affiliate investments	1,605	1,478	4,533	3,938
Cash and cash equivalents	1	21	1	67
Total interest income	4,951	6,906	14,967	19,174
Other income	970	96	1,066	682
Total investment income	5,921	7,002	16,033	19,856
EXPENSES				
Loan servicing fee (Refer to Note 4)	886	1,258	2,892	3,769
Base management fee (Refer to Note 4)	113	442	588	1,303
Incentive fee (Refer to Note 4)	588		588	
Administration fee (Refer to Note 4)	156	195	527	642
Interest expense	385	1,823	1,640	4,009
Amortization of deferred finance costs	436	46	1,187	324
Professional fees	182	69	502	383
Stockholder related costs	49	112	276	413
Insurance expense	71	57	190	165
Directors fees	48	50	147	145
Other	61	57	198	246
Expenses before credit from Adviser	2,975	4,109	8,735	11,399
Credit to base management fee (Refer to Note 4)	(127)	(694)	(591)	(1,964)
Total expenses net of credit to base management fee	2,848	3,415	8,144	9,435
NET INVESTMENT INCOME	3,073	3,587	7,889	10,421
REALIZED AND UNREALIZED (LOSS) GAIN ON:				
Realized loss on sale of Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments	(1,318)		(35,922)	(4,215)
Realized loss on termination of derivative			(53)	
Net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments	1,383	(6,988)	36,597	(7,714)
Net unrealized (depreciation) appreciation of Control investments	(8,853)	1,755	(35,234)	7,728
Net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of Affiliate investments	1,257	(2,294)	(4,862)	(13,687)
Net unrealized (depreciation) appreciation of derivative	(7)		19	
Net unrealized depreciation (appreciation) of borrowings under line of credit	45		(133)	
Net loss on investments and borrowings under line of credit	(7,493)	(7,527)	(39,588)	(17,888)

Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION/DE - Form 10-Q

NET DECREASE IN NET ASSETS RESULTING FROM OPERATIONS	\$	(4,420)	\$	(3,940)	\$	(31,699)	\$	(7,467)
NET DECREASE IN NET ASSETS RESULTING FROM OPERATIONS PER COMMON SHARE:								
Basic and Diluted	\$	(0.20)	\$	(0.18)	\$	(1.44)	\$	(0.35)
SHARES OF COMMON STOCK OUTSTANDING:								
Basic and diluted weighted average shares		22,080,133		22,080,133		22,080,133		21,367,871

THE ACCOMPANYING NOTES ARE AN INTEGRAL PART OF THESE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.

Table of Contents

GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN NET ASSETS
(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)
(UNAUDITED)

	Nine Months Ended December 31,	
	2009	2008
<i>Operations:</i>		
Net investment income	\$ 7,889	\$ 10,421
Realized loss on sale of investments	(35,922)	(4,215)
Realized loss on termination of derivative	(53)	
Net unrealized depreciation of portfolio	(3,499)	(13,673)
Net unrealized appreciation of derivative	19	
Net unrealized appreciation of borrowings under line of credit	(133)	
Net decrease in net assets from operations	(31,699)	(7,467)
<i>Capital transactions:</i>		
Issuance of common stock		41,290
Shelf offering registration costs	(153)	(695)
Distributions to stockholders	(7,949)	(15,456)
Net (decrease) increase in net assets from capital transactions	(8,102)	25,139
Total (decrease) increase in net assets	(39,801)	17,672
Net assets at beginning of period	214,802	206,445
Net assets at end of period	\$ 175,001	\$ 224,117

THE ACCOMPANYING NOTES ARE AN INTEGRAL PART OF THESE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.

Table of Contents

GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

(UNAUDITED)

	Nine Months Ended December 31,	
	2009	2008
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Net decrease in net assets resulting from operations	\$ (31,699)	\$ (7,467)
Adjustments to reconcile net decrease in net assets resulting from operations to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Purchase of investments	(2,413)	(47,655)
Principal repayments of investments	14,828	26,753
Proceeds from sales of investments	74,706	13,296
Proceeds from short-term borrowings	75,000	
Net realized loss on sales of investments	35,922	4,215
Net realized loss on termination of derivative	53	
Net unrealized depreciation of investment portfolio	3,499	13,673
Net unrealized appreciation of derivative	(19)	
Net unrealized appreciation of borrowings under line of credit	133	
Net amortization of premiums and discounts	2	27
Amortization of deferred financing costs	1,187	324
Decrease in interest receivable	127	46
Decrease in due from custodian	1,940	1,969
Increase in prepaid assets	(128)	(63)
Decrease in other assets	67	295
(Decrease) increase in accounts payable and accrued liabilities	(1,083)	1
Decrease in administration fee payable to Administrator (See Note 4)	(23)	(13)
Increase in base management fee payable to Adviser (See Note 4)	100	137
Increase in incentive fee payable to Adviser (See Note 4)	588	
(Decrease) increase in loan servicing fee payable to Adviser (See Note 4)	(82)	7
Increase in other liabilities	119	50
Net cash provided by operating activities	172,824	5,595
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
Net proceeds from the issuance of common stock	(153)	40,595
Borrowings from line of credit	93,000	133,000
Repayments of line of credit	(176,515)	(159,971)
Purchase of derivative	(39)	
Deferred financing costs	(532)	
Distributions paid	(7,949)	(15,456)
Net cash used in financing activities	(92,188)	(1,832)
NET INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	80,636	3,763
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, BEGINNING OF PERIOD	7,236	9,360
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, END OF PERIOD	\$ 87,872	\$ 13,123
CASH PAID DURING PERIOD FOR INTEREST	\$ 1,824	\$ 3,959

NON-CASH ACTIVITIES (1)	\$	850	\$
--------------------------------	-----------	------------	-----------

-
- (1) On April 10, 2009, the Company made an investment disbursement to Cavert II Holding Corp. for approximately \$850 on their revolving line of credit, and the proceeds were used to make the next four quarterly payments due under normal amortization for both their senior term A and senior term B loans in a non-cash transaction.

THE ACCOMPANYING NOTES ARE AN INTEGRAL PART OF THESE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.

Table of Contents

GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION

FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AND PER UNIT AMOUNTS)

(UNAUDITED)

	Three Months Ended December 31,		Nine Months Ended December 31,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
<u>Per Share Data (1)</u>				
Net asset value at beginning of period	\$ 8.24	\$ 10.57	\$ 9.73	\$ 12.47
<i>Income from investment operations:</i>				
Net investment income (2)	0.14	0.16	0.36	0.49
Realized loss on sale of investments (2)	(0.06)		(1.63)	(0.20)
Net unrealized depreciation of investments (2)	(0.28)	(0.34)	(0.16)	(0.64)
Net unrealized appreciation of borrowings on line of credit (2)			(0.01)	
Total from investment operations	(0.20)	(0.18)	(1.44)	(0.35)
<i>Distributions from:</i>				
Net investment income	(0.12)	(0.24)	(0.36)	(0.72)
Total distributions (3)	(0.12)	(0.24)	(0.36)	(0.72)
<i>Effect of shelf offering:</i>				
Shelf registration offering costs	0.01			(0.03)
Effect of distribution of stock rights offering after record date (4)				(1.22)
Total effect of shelf offering	0.01			(1.25)
Net asset value at end of period	\$ 7.93	\$ 10.15	\$ 7.93	\$ 10.15
Per share market value at beginning of period	\$ 4.83	\$ 6.81	\$ 3.67	\$ 9.32
Per share market value at end of period	4.56	4.91	4.56	4.91
Total Return (5)	(3.18)%	(19.59)%	34.06%	(41.23)%
Shares outstanding at end of period	22,080,133	22,080,133	22,080,133	22,080,133
<u>Statement of Assets and Liabilities Data:</u>				
Net assets at end of period	\$ 175,001	\$ 224,117	\$ 175,001	\$ 224,117
Average net assets (6)	179,155	229,256	194,783	232,053
<u>Senior Securities Data:</u>				
Borrowings under line of credit and short-term loan	\$ 101,883	\$ 117,864	\$ 101,883	\$ 117,864
Asset coverage ratio (7)	270%	290%	270%	290%
Asset coverage per unit (8)	\$ 2,704	\$ 2,901	\$ 2,704	\$ 2,901
<u>Ratios/Supplemental Data:</u>				
Ratio of expenses to average net assets (9), (10)	6.64%	7.17%	5.98%	6.55%
Ratio of net expenses to average net assets (9), (11)	6.36%	5.96%	5.57%	5.42%
Ratio of net investment income to average net assets (9)	6.86%	6.26%	5.40%	5.99%

Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION\DE - Form 10-Q

- (1) Based on actual shares outstanding at the end of the corresponding period.
- (2) Based on weighted average basic per share data.
- (3) Distributions are determined based on taxable income calculated in accordance with income tax regulations which may differ from amounts determined under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.
- (4) The effect of distributions from the stock rights offering after the record date represents the effect on net asset value of issuing additional shares after the record date of a distribution.
- (5) Total return equals the change in the market value of the Company's common stock from the beginning of the period, taking into account dividends reinvested in accordance with the terms of our dividend reinvestment plan.
- (6) Calculated using the average of the balance of net assets at the end of each month of the reporting period.

Table of Contents

- (7) As a business development company, the Company is generally required to maintain a ratio of at least 200% of total assets, less all liabilities and indebtedness not represented by senior securities, to total borrowings.
- (8) Asset coverage per unit is the ratio of the carrying value of the Company's total consolidated assets, less all liabilities and indebtedness not represented by senior securities, to the aggregate amount of senior securities representing indebtedness. Asset coverage per unit is expressed in terms of dollar amounts per \$1 thousand of indebtedness.
- (9) Amounts are annualized.
- (10) Ratio of expenses to average net assets is computed using expenses before credits from the Adviser.
- (11) Ratio of net expenses to average net assets is computed using total expenses net of credits to the management fee.

THE ACCOMPANYING NOTES ARE AN INTEGRAL PART OF THESE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.

Table of Contents

GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE DATA AND AS OTHERWISE INDICATED)

DECEMBER 31, 2009

(UNAUDITED)

NOTE 1. ORGANIZATION

Gladstone Investment Corporation (the "Company") was incorporated under the General Corporation Laws of the State of Delaware on February 18, 2005 and completed an initial public offering on June 22, 2005. The Company is a closed-end, non-diversified management investment company that has elected to be treated as a business development company ("BDC") under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the "1940 Act"). In addition, the Company has elected to be treated for tax purposes as a regulated investment company ("RIC") under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"). The Company's investment objectives are to achieve a high level of current income and capital gains by investing in debt and equity securities of established private businesses.

Gladstone Business Investment, LLC ("Business Investment") a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, was established on August 11, 2006 for the sole purpose of owning the Company's portfolio of investments in connection with its line of credit. The financial statements of Business Investment are consolidated with those of the Company.

The Company is externally managed by Gladstone Management Corporation (the "Adviser"), an unconsolidated affiliate of the Company.

NOTE 2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Unaudited Interim Financial Statements

Interim financial statements of the Company are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP") for interim financial information and pursuant to the requirements for reporting on Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, certain disclosures accompanying annual financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP are omitted. In the opinion of the Company's management, all adjustments, consisting solely of normal recurring accruals, necessary for the fair statement of financial statements for the interim periods have been included. The current period's results of operations are not necessarily indicative of results that ultimately may be achieved for the year. The interim financial statements and notes thereto should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company's Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2009, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") on June 2, 2009.

The year-end balance sheet data was derived from audited financial statements but does not include all disclosures required by GAAP.

Reclassifications

Certain amounts in the prior year's financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation with no effect to net decrease in net assets resulting from operations.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all short-term, highly liquid investments that are both readily convertible to cash and have a maturity of three months or less at the time of purchase to be cash equivalents. Items classified as cash equivalents include temporary investments in commercial paper, United States Treasury securities and money-market funds. Cash and cash equivalents are carried at cost, which approximates fair value.

Investment Valuation Policy

The Company carries its investments at market value to the extent that market quotations are readily available and reliable, and otherwise at fair value, as determined in good faith by its Board of Directors. In determining the fair value of the Company's investments, the Adviser has established an investment valuation policy (the Policy). The Policy is approved by the Company's Board of Directors, and each quarter the Board of Directors reviews whether the Adviser has applied the Policy consistently and votes whether or not to accept the recommended valuation of the Company's investment portfolio.

The Company uses generally accepted valuation techniques to value its portfolio unless the Company has specific information about the value of an investment to determine otherwise. From time to time the Company may accept an appraisal of a business in which the Company holds securities. These appraisals are expensive and occur infrequently but provide a third-party valuation opinion that may differ in results, techniques and scopes used to value the Company's investments. When these specific third-party appraisals are

Table of Contents

engaged or accepted, the Company uses estimates of value provided by such appraisals and its own assumptions, including estimated remaining life, current market yield and interest rate spreads of similar securities as of the measurement date, to value the investment the Company has in that business.

The Policy, which is summarized below, applies to publicly-traded securities, securities for which a limited market exists, and securities for which no market exists.

Publicly-traded securities: The Company determines the value of publicly-traded securities based on the closing price for the security on the exchange or securities market on which it is listed and primarily traded on the valuation date. To the extent that the Company owns restricted securities that are not freely tradable, but for which a public market otherwise exists, the Company will use the market value of that security adjusted for any decrease in value resulting from the restrictive feature.

Securities for which a limited market exists: The Company values securities that are not traded on an established secondary securities market, but for which a limited market for the security exists, such as certain participations in, or assignments of, syndicated loans, at the quoted bid price. In valuing these assets, the Company assesses trading activity in an asset class and evaluates variances in prices and other market insights to determine if any available quote prices are reliable. If the Company concludes that quotes based on active markets or trading activity may be relied upon, firm bid prices are requested; however, if a firm bid price is unavailable, the Company bases the value of the security upon the indicative bid price offered by the respective originating syndication agent's trading desk, or secondary desk, on or near the valuation date. To the extent that the Company uses the indicative bid price as a basis for valuing the security, the Adviser may take further steps to consider additional information to validate that price in accordance with the Policy.

In the event these limited markets become illiquid such that market prices are no longer readily available, the Company will value its syndicated loans using estimated net present values of the future cash flows or discounted cash flows (DCF). The use of a DCF methodology follows that prescribed by the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 820-10, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures, which provides guidance on the use of a reporting entity's own assumptions about future cash flows and risk-adjusted discount rates when relevant observable inputs, such as quotes in active markets, are not available. When relevant observable market data does not exist, the alternative outlined in ASC 820-10 is the use of valuing investments based on DCF. For the purposes of using DCF to provide fair value estimates, the Company considers multiple inputs such as a risk-adjusted discount rate that incorporates adjustments that market participants would make both for nonperformance and liquidity risks. As such, the Company develops a modified discount rate approach that incorporates risk premiums including, among others, increased probability of default, or higher loss given default or increased liquidity risk. The DCF valuations applied to the syndicated loans provide an estimate of what the Company believes a market participant would pay to purchase a syndicated loan in an active market, thereby establishing a fair value. The Company will apply the DCF methodology in illiquid markets until quoted prices are available or are deemed reliable based on trading activity.

As of December 31, 2009, the Company assessed trading activity in its syndicated loan assets and determined that there continued to be market liquidity and a secondary market for these assets. Thus, firm bid prices or indicative bids were used to fair value the Company's remaining syndicated loans at December 31, 2009.

Securities for which no market exists: The valuation methodology for securities for which no market exists falls into three categories: (1) portfolio investments comprised solely of debt securities; (2) portfolio investments in controlled companies comprised of a bundle of securities, which can include debt and equity securities; and (3) portfolio investments in non-controlled companies comprised of a bundle of investments, which can include debt and equity securities.

(1) **Portfolio investments comprised solely of debt securities:** Debt securities that are not publicly traded on an established securities market, or for which a limited market does not exist (Non-Public Debt Securities), and that are issued by portfolio companies where the Company has no equity, or equity-like securities, are fair valued in accordance with the terms of the Policy, which utilizes opinions of value submitted to the Company by Standard & Poor's Securities Evaluations, Inc. (SPSE). The Company may also submit paid in kind (PIK) interest to SPSE for their evaluation when it is determined that PIK interest is likely to be received.

(2) **Portfolio investments in controlled companies comprised of a bundle of investments, which can include debt and equity securities:** The fair value of these investments is determined based on the total enterprise value of the portfolio company, or issuer, utilizing a liquidity waterfall approach under ASC 820-10 for the Company's Non-Public Debt Securities and equity or equity-like securities (e.g. preferred equity, equity, or other equity-like securities) that are purchased together as part of a package, where the Company has control or could gain control through an option or warrant security, both the debt and equity securities of the portfolio investment would exit in the mergers and acquisition market as the principal market, generally through a sale or recapitalization of the portfolio company. In accordance with ASC 820-10, the Company applies the in-use premise of value which assumes the debt and equity securities are sold together. Under this liquidity waterfall approach, the Company first calculates the total enterprise value of the issuer by incorporating some or all of the following factors to determine the total enterprise value of the issuer:

Table of Contents

- the issuer's ability to make payments;
- the earnings of the issuer;
- recent sales to third parties of similar securities;
- the comparison to publicly traded securities; and
- DCF or other pertinent factors.

In gathering the sales to third parties of similar securities, the Company may reference industry statistics and use outside experts. Once the Company has estimated the total enterprise value of the issuer, the Company will subtract the value of all the debt securities of the issuer, which are valued at the contractual principal balance. Fair values of these debt securities are discounted for any shortfall of total enterprise value over the total debt outstanding for the issuer. Once the values for all outstanding senior securities (which include the debt securities) have been subtracted from the total enterprise value of the issuer, the remaining amount, if any, is used to determine the value of the issuer's equity or equity-like securities. If, in the Adviser's judgment, the liquidity waterfall approach does not accurately reflect the value of the debt component, the Adviser may recommend that the Company use a valuation by SPSE, or, if that is unavailable, a DCF valuation technique.

(3) Portfolio investments in non-controlled companies comprised of a bundle of investments, which can include debt and equity securities: The Company values Non-Public Debt Securities that are purchased together with equity or equity-like securities from the same portfolio company, or issuer, for which the Company does not control or cannot gain control as of the measurement date, using a hypothetical secondary market as the Company's principal market. In accordance with ASC 820-10, the Company determines its fair value of these debt securities of non-control investments assuming the sale of an individual debt security using the in-exchange premise of value. As such, the Company estimates the fair value of the debt component using estimates of value provided by SPSE and its own assumptions in the absence of observable market data, including synthetic credit ratings, estimated remaining life, current market yield and interest rate spreads of similar securities as of the measurement date. Subsequent to June 30, 2009, for equity or equity-like securities of investments for which the Company does not control or cannot gain control as of the measurement date, the Company estimates the fair value of the equity using the in-exchange premise of value based on factors such as the overall value of the issuer, the relative fair value of other units of account including debt, or other relative value approaches. Consideration is also given to capital structure and other contractual obligations that may impact the fair value of the equity. Further, the Company may utilize comparable values of similar companies, recent investments and indices with similar structures and risk characteristics or its own assumptions in the absence of other observable market data and may also employ DCF valuation techniques.

Due to the uncertainty inherent in the valuation process, such estimates of fair value may differ significantly from the values that would have been obtained had a ready market for the securities existed, and the differences could be material. Additionally, changes in the market environment and other events that may occur over the life of the investments may cause the gains or losses ultimately realized on these investments to be different than the valuations currently assigned. There is no single standard for determining fair value in good faith, as fair value depends upon circumstances of each individual case. In general, fair value is the amount that the Company might reasonably expect to receive upon the current sale of the security in an arms-length transaction in the security's principal market.

Refer to Note 3 for additional information regarding fair value measurements and the Company's adoption of ASC 820-10.

Interest and Dividend Income Recognition

Interest income, adjusted for amortization of premiums and acquisition costs and for the accretion of discounts, is recorded on the accrual basis to the extent that such amounts are expected to be collected. Generally, when a loan becomes 90 days or more past due, or if the Company's qualitative assessment indicates that the debtor is unable to service its debt or other obligations, the Company will place the loan on non-accrual status and cease recognizing interest income on that loan until the borrower has demonstrated the ability and intent to pay contractual amounts due. However, the Company remains contractually entitled to this interest. At December 31, 2009, one Control investment, ASH Holdings Corp., was on non-accrual with a fair value of approximately \$1.7 million, or 0.9% of the fair value of all loans held in the Company's portfolio at December 31, 2009. At March 31, 2009, one Control investment, ASH Holdings Corp., was on non-accrual with a fair value of approximately \$2.6 million, or 0.8% of the fair value of all loans held in the Company's portfolio at March 31, 2009.

Conditional interest, or a success fee, is recorded upon full repayment of a loan investment. To date, the Company has not recorded any conditional interest. Dividend income on preferred equity securities is accrued to the extent that such amounts are expected to be collected and that the Company has the option to collect such amounts in cash. During the quarter ended December 31, 2009, the Company recorded and collected approximately \$953 of cash dividends on preferred shares of A. Stucki Holding Corp. Otherwise, the Company has not accrued for any other such dividend income.

Table of Contents

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

On July 1, 2009, the FASB issued Accounting Standard Update (ASU) 2009-01, The FASB Accounting Standards Codification and the Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, which has been codified as ASC 105, Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (ASC 105 or the Codification). ASC 105 establishes the exclusive authoritative reference for U.S. GAAP for use in financial statements, except for SEC rules and interpretive releases, which are also authoritative GAAP for SEC registrants. The Codification does not change current U.S. GAAP but is intended to simplify user access to all authoritative U.S. GAAP by providing all the authoritative literature related to a particular topic in one place. All existing accounting standard documents have been superseded and all other accounting literature not included in the Codification is considered non-authoritative. The Codification was effective for the Company during its interim period ended December 31, 2009 and did not have an impact on its financial condition or results of operations. The Company has included the references to the Codification, as appropriate, in these condensed consolidated financial statements.

In June 2009, the FASB issued an amendment to the accounting and disclosure requirements for the consolidation of variable interest entities (VIEs) within ASC 845, Nonmonetary Transactions. The elimination of the concept of a qualifying special-purpose entity (QSPE), as discussed below, removes the exception from applying the consolidation guidance within this amendment. This amendment requires an enterprise to perform a qualitative analysis when determining whether or not it must consolidate a VIE. The amendment also requires an enterprise to continuously reassess whether it must consolidate a VIE. Additionally, the amendment requires enhanced disclosures about an enterprise's involvement with VIEs and any significant change in risk exposure due to that involvement, as well as how its involvement with VIEs impacts the enterprise's financial statements. Finally, an enterprise will be required to disclose significant judgments and assumptions used to determine whether or not to consolidate a VIE. This amendment is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2009. This amendment is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

In July 2009, the FASB issued ASC 320, Investments-Debt and Equity Securities to make the guidance on other-than-temporary impairment more operational and to improve the presentation and disclosure of other-than-temporary impairments on debt and equity securities in the financial statements. ASC 320-10 requires significant additional disclosures for both annual and interim periods, including the amortized cost basis of available-for-sale and held-to-maturity debt, the methodology and key inputs used to measure the credit portion of other-than-temporary impairment, and a roll forward of amounts recognized in earnings for securities by major security type. ASC 320-10 requires that entities identify major security classes consistent with how the securities are managed based on the nature and risks of the security, and also expands, for disclosure purposes, the list of major security types identified in ASC 320. ASC 320-10 is effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009. The Company's adoption of this pronouncement did not have a material impact on the condensed consolidated financial statements.

In July 2009, the FASB issued ASC 825, Financial Instruments, which requires disclosures about fair value of financial instruments for interim reporting periods of publicly traded companies as well as in annual financial statements, whether recognized or not recognized in the statement of financial position. The guidance in ASC 825 is effective for interim periods ending after June 15, 2009. The Company's adoption of this pronouncement did not have a material impact on the condensed consolidated financial statements.

In July 2009, the FASB issued ASC 855-10-50, Subsequent Events which was initiated in an effort to incorporate accounting guidance that originated as auditing standards into the body of authoritative literature issued by the FASB. Moving the accounting requirements out of the auditing literature and into the accounting literature is consistent with the FASB's objective to codify all authoritative U.S. accounting guidance related to a particular topic in one place. It also provided an opportunity to consider international convergence issues. The FASB has established general standards of accounting for and disclosure of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or are available to be issued. Although there is new terminology, the standard is based on the same principles as those that previously existed in the auditing standards. The new standard, which includes a required disclosure of the date through which an entity has evaluated

Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION/DE - Form 10-Q

subsequent events, is effective for interim or annual periods ending after June 15, 2009. The Company's adoption of this pronouncement did not have a material impact on the condensed consolidated financial statements.

In July 2009, the FASB issued ASC 860, *Transfers and Servicing* which removes the concept of a QSPE from ASC 860-10 and removes the exception from ASC 810, *Consolidation*. This statement also clarifies the requirements for isolation and limitations on portions of financial assets that are eligible for sale accounting. This statement is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2009. ASC 860 is effective for the Company's fiscal year beginning April 1, 2010. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adopting this standard on the condensed consolidated financial statements.

In August 2009, the FASB issued ASU No. 2009-05, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures: Measuring Liabilities at Fair Value*. The update provides clarification to ASC 820 for the valuation techniques required to measure the fair value of liabilities. ASU No. 2009-05 also provides clarification around required inputs to the fair value measurement of a liability and definition of a Level 1 liability. The ASU is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after August 28, 2009. The Company adopted this

Table of Contents

standard beginning with its financing statements ending December 31, 2009. The Company's adoption of this standard did not have a material effect on its financial position and results of operations.

In September 2009, the FASB issued ASU No. 2009-12, *Measuring Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures: Investments in Certain Entities That Calculate Net Asset Value per Share (or Its Equivalent)*, that provides additional guidance on how companies should estimate the fair value of certain alternative investments, such as hedge funds, private equity funds and venture capital funds. The fair value of such investments can now be determined using net asset value (NAV) as a practical expedient, unless it is probable that the investment will not be sold at a price equal to NAV. In those situations, the practical expedient cannot be used and disclosure of the remaining actions necessary to complete the sale will be required. New disclosures of the attributes of all investments within the scope of the new guidance is required, regardless of whether an entity used the practical expedient to measure the fair value of any of its investments. ASU No. 2009-12 is effective for the first annual or interim reporting period ending after December 15, 2009, with early application permitted. The Company's adoption of this standard did not have a material effect on its financial position and results of operations.

In December 2009, the FASB issued ASU 2009-17, *Consolidations: Improvements to Financial Reporting by Enterprises Involved with Variable Interest Entities*, that amends the FASB ASC for the issuance of FASB Statement No. 167, *Amendments to FASB Interpretation No. 46(R)*. The amendments in this ASU replace the quantitative-based risks and rewards calculation for determining which reporting entity, if any, has a controlling financial interest in a variable interest entity with an approach focused on identifying which reporting entity has the power to direct the activities of a variable interest entity that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance and (1) the obligation to absorb losses of the entity or (2) the right to receive benefits from the entity. An approach that is expected to be primarily qualitative will be more effective for identifying which reporting entity has a controlling financial interest in a variable interest entity. The amendments in ASU No. 2009-17 also require additional disclosures about a reporting entity's involvement in variable interest entities, which will enhance the information provided to users of financial statements. The ASU is effective for annual periods beginning after November 15, 2009. The Company does not believe the adoption of this standard will have a material impact on its financial position and results of operations.

In January 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-06, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures*, that requires reporting entities to make new disclosures about recurring or nonrecurring fair-value measurements including significant transfers into and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair-value measurements and information on purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements on a gross basis in the reconciliation of Level 3 fair-value measurements. The FASB also clarified existing fair-value measurement disclosure guidance about the level of disaggregation, inputs, and valuation techniques. The new and revised disclosures are required to be implemented in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2009 and December 15, 2010. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adopting this standard on its financial position and results of operations.

NOTE 3. INVESTMENTS

The Company adopted guidance for fair value measurements, which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures about assets and liabilities measured at fair value. The guidance provides a consistent definition of fair value that focuses on exit price in the principal, or most advantageous, market and prioritizes, within a measurement of fair value, the use of market-based inputs over entity-specific inputs. The guidance also establishes the following three-level hierarchy for fair value measurements based upon the transparency of inputs to the valuation of an asset or liability as of the measurement date.

- Level 1 inputs to the valuation methodology are quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets;

- Level 2 inputs to the valuation methodology include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, and inputs that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the financial instrument. Level 2 inputs are in those markets for which there are few transactions, the prices are not current, little public information exists or instances where prices vary substantially over time or among brokered market makers; and
- Level 3 inputs to the valuation methodology are unobservable and significant to the fair value measurement. Unobservable inputs are those inputs that reflect the Company's own assumptions that market participants would use to price the asset or liability based upon the best available information.

As of December 31, 2009, all of the Company's assets were valued using Level 3 inputs.

The following table presents the financial instruments carried at fair value as of December 31, 2009 and March 31, 2009, by caption on the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of assets and liabilities for each of the three levels of hierarchy established by ASC 820-10:

Table of Contents

As of December 31, 2009				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total Fair Value Reported in Condensed Consolidated Statement of Assets and Liabilities
Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments				
Senior term debt	\$	\$	\$ 19,404	\$ 19,404
Senior subordinated term debt				
Preferred equity				
Common equity/equivalents				
Total Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments			19,404	19,404
Control investments				
Senior term debt			50,473	50,473
Senior subordinated term debt			59,464	59,464
Preferred equity			16,526	16,526
Common equity/equivalents			4,492	4,492
Total Control investments			130,955	130,955
Affiliate investments				
Senior term debt			23,284	23,284
Senior subordinated term debt			11,015	11,015
Preferred equity			2,728	2,728
Common equity/equivalents				
Total Affiliate investments			37,027	37,027
Total investments at fair value	\$	\$	\$ 187,386	\$ 187,386

As of March 31, 2009				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total Fair Value Reported in Condensed Consolidated Statement of Assets and Liabilities
Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments				
Senior term debt	\$	\$	\$ 94,740	\$ 94,740
Senior subordinated term debt				
Preferred equity				
Common equity/equivalents				
Total Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments			94,740	94,740
Control investments				
Senior term debt			50,209	50,209
Senior subordinated term debt			60,812	60,812
Preferred equity			34,150	34,150
Common equity/equivalents			20,992	20,992
Total Control investments			166,163	166,163
Affiliate investments				
Senior term debt			34,726	34,726
Senior subordinated term debt			11,249	11,249
Preferred equity			5,893	5,893
Common equity/equivalents			1,159	1,159
Total Affiliate investments			53,027	53,027

Total investments at fair value	\$	\$	\$	313,930	\$	313,930
--	----	----	----	----------------	----	----------------

Changes in Level 3 Fair Value Measurements of Investments

The following tables provide a roll-forward in the changes in fair value during the three and nine months ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 for all investments for which the Company determines fair value using unobservable (Level 3) factors. When a determination is made to classify a financial instrument within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy, the determination is based upon the significance of the unobservable factors to the overall fair value measurement. However, Level 3 financial instruments typically include, in addition to the unobservable or Level 3 components, observable components (that is, components that are actively quoted and can be validated to external sources). Accordingly, the gains and losses in the table below include changes in fair value due in part to observable factors that are part of the valuation methodology. Two tables are provided for each period, where the first table is broken out by Control, Affiliate and Non-Control/Non-Affiliate classification and the second table is broken out by major security type.

Table of Contents

Fair value measurements using unobservable data inputs (Level 3)

Fiscal Year 2010:

	Non-Control/ Non-Affiliate Investments	Control Investments	Affiliate Investments	Total
Three months ended December 31, 2009:				
Fair value as of September 30, 2009	\$ 25,004	\$ 132,399	\$ 46,900	\$ 204,303
Total realized gains (losses)	(1,318)			(1,318)
Total unrealized gains (losses)	1,383	(8,853)	1,257	(6,213)
New investments, repayments, and settlements, net	(5,665)	(2,841)	(880)	(9,386)
Transfers		10,250	(10,250)	
Fair value as of December 31, 2009	\$ 19,404	\$ 130,955	\$ 37,027	\$ 187,386

Nine months ended December 31, 2009:				
Fair value as of March 31, 2009	\$ 94,740	\$ 166,163	\$ 53,027	\$ 313,930
Total realized gains (losses)	(35,922)			(35,922)
Total unrealized gains (losses)	36,597	(35,234)	(4,862)	(3,499)
New investments, repayments, and settlements, net	(76,011)	(10,224)	(888)	(87,123)
Transfers		10,250	(10,250)	
Fair value as of December 31, 2009	\$ 19,404	\$ 130,955	\$ 37,027	\$ 187,386

	Senior Term Debt	Senior Subordinated Term Debt	Preferred Equity	Common Equity/ Equivalents	Total
Three months ended December 31, 2009:					
Fair value as of September 30, 2009	\$ 103,092	\$ 70,466	\$ 25,229	\$ 5,516	\$ 204,303
Total realized gains (losses)	(1,318)				(1,318)
Total unrealized gains (losses)	585	201	(5,975)	(1,024)	(6,213)
New investments, repayments, and settlements, net	(9,198)	(188)			(9,386)
Transfers					
Fair value as of December 31, 2009	\$ 93,161	\$ 70,479	\$ 19,254	\$ 4,492	\$ 187,386

Nine months ended December 31, 2009:					
Fair value as of March 31, 2009	\$ 179,676	\$ 72,061	\$ 40,043	\$ 22,150	\$ 313,930
Total realized gains (losses)	(35,922)				(35,922)
Total unrealized gains (losses)	34,593	355	(20,789)	(17,658)	(3,499)
New investments, repayments, and settlements, net	(85,186)	(1,937)			(87,123)
Transfers					
Fair value as of December 31, 2009	\$ 93,161	\$ 70,479	\$ 19,254	\$ 4,492	\$ 187,386

Fiscal Year 2009:

Non-Control/

Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION/DE - Form 10-Q

	Non-Affiliate Investments	Control Investments	Affiliate Investments	Total
Three months ended December 31, 2008:				
Fair value as of September 30, 2008	\$ 115,133	\$ 157,246	\$ 53,877	\$ 326,256
Total realized gains (losses)				
Total unrealized gains (losses)	(6,988)	1,755	(2,294)	(7,527)
New investments, repayments, and settlements, net	(937)	8,174	(671)	6,566
Transfers				
Fair value as of December 31, 2008	\$ 107,208	\$ 167,175	\$ 50,912	\$ 325,295
Nine months ended December 31, 2008:				
Fair value as of March 31, 2008	\$ 142,739	\$ 145,407	\$ 47,458	\$ 335,604
Total realized gains (losses)	(4,215)			(4,215)
Total unrealized gains (losses)	(7,714)	7,728	(13,687)	(13,673)
New investments, repayments, and settlements, net	(23,602)	24,218	6,963	7,579
Transfers		(10,178)	10,178	
Fair value as of December 31, 2008	\$ 107,208	\$ 167,175	\$ 50,912	\$ 325,295

Table of Contents

	Senior Term Debt	Senior Subordinated Term Debt	Preferred Equity	Common Equity/ Equivalents	Total
Three months ended December 31, 2008:					
Fair value as of September 30, 2008	\$ 201,463	\$ 66,889	\$ 36,046	\$ 21,858	\$ 326,256
Total realized gains (losses)					
Total unrealized gains (losses)	(9,322)	1,860	(502)	437	(7,527)
New investments, repayments, and settlements, net	3,341	(500)	3,725		6,566
Transfers			(182)	182	
Fair value as of December 31, 2008	\$ 195,482	\$ 68,249	\$ 39,087	\$ 22,477	\$ 325,295
Nine months ended December 31, 2008:					
Fair value as of March 31, 2008	\$ 237,878	\$ 46,733	\$ 29,934	\$ 21,059	\$ 335,604
Total realized gains (losses)	(4,215)				(4,215)
Total unrealized gains (losses)	(11,699)	(3,775)	616	1,185	(13,673)
New investments, repayments, and settlements, net	(26,482)	25,291	8,537	233	7,579
Transfers					
Fair value as of December 31, 2008	\$ 195,482	\$ 68,249	\$ 39,087	\$ 22,477	\$ 325,295

Non-Control/Non-Affiliate Investments

At December 31, 2009 and March 31, 2009, the Company held investments in Non-Control/Non-Affiliates of approximately \$19.4 million and \$94.7 million, respectively, at fair value. These investments were comprised primarily of syndicated loan participations of senior notes of private companies and non-syndicated loan investments where the Company does not have a significant ownership interest in the portfolio company. Included in Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments, at both December 31, 2009 and March 31, 2009, were common stock warrants of one Non-Control/Non-Affiliate company, which carried a nominal fair value. At December 31, 2009 and March 31, 2009, the Company's investments, at fair value, in Non-Control/Non-Affiliates represented approximately 11% and 44%, respectively, of the Company's net assets.

Investment Activity

During April and May 2009, the Company sold 29 of its 32 senior syndicated loans held at March 31, 2009 (collectively, the Syndicated Loan Sales) for an aggregate of approximately \$69.2 million in cash proceeds and recorded a realized loss of approximately \$34.6 million in connection with these sales. These loans were sold to pay down all unpaid principal and interest owed to Deutsche Bank AG (Deutsche Bank) under the Company's prior credit agreement because Deutsche Bank did not renew the Company's line of credit.

On September 29, 2009, the Company agreed to an early termination of its revolving line of credit to B-Dry, LLC, which had an original maturity date of October 16, 2009. The revolving line of credit was fully repaid at such time.

During October 2009, the Company completed the sales of certain senior syndicated loans (HMTBP Acquisition II Corp. and a portion of Interstate FiberNet, Inc.). These loans, in aggregate, had a cost value of approximately \$6.8 million and an aggregate fair market value of approximately \$5.5 million. Upon the settlement of these loans, the Company received approximately \$5.5 million in net cash proceeds and recorded a realized loss of approximately \$1.3 million.

Control and Affiliate Investments

At December 31, 2009 and March 31, 2009, the Company had investments of approximately \$144.2 million and \$157.0 million, respectively, at fair value, in revolving credit facilities, senior debt and subordinated debt of 12 portfolio companies. In addition, at December 31, 2009 and March 31, 2009, the Company had invested approximately \$23.8 million and \$62.2 million, respectively, at fair value, in preferred and common equity of those companies. At December 31, 2009 and March 31, 2009, the Company's investments in Control investments, at fair value, represented approximately 75% and 77%, respectively, of the Company's net assets. Also, at December 31, 2009 and March 31, 2009, the Company's investments, at fair value, in Affiliate investments represented approximately 21% and 25%, respectively, of the Company's net assets.

Investment Activity

On April 9, 2009, A. Stucki Holding Corp. refinanced a portion of its senior term debt by making principal repayments of approximately \$2.0 million, which represented the next three quarterly payments due under normal amortization on both their senior term A (\$1.6 million) and senior term B (\$412) loans.

On April 9, 2009, ASH Holdings Corp. made a repayment of approximately \$1.1 million on its revolving line of credit, which reduced the outstanding balance to \$500.

Table of Contents

On April 10, 2009, the Company entered into an agreement to reduce the available credit limit on Mathey Investment, Inc.'s revolving line of credit from \$2.0 million to \$1.0 million. This was a non-cash transaction.

On April 10, 2009, the Company made an investment disbursement to Cavert II Holding Corp. (Cavert) for approximately \$850 on its revolving line of credit, and the proceeds were used to make the next four quarterly payments due under normal amortization for both its senior term A and senior term B loans in a non-cash transaction. Subsequently, on April 17, 2009, Cavert repaid the outstanding \$850 in principal plus accrued interest on its revolving line of credit. The revolving line of credit was then sold to a third party for a nominal fee.

On April 13, 2009, the Company entered into an agreement to reduce the available credit limit on Chase II Holdings Corp.'s revolving line of credit from \$4.5 million to \$3.5 million. This was a non-cash transaction.

On October 13, 2009, the Company refinanced its revolving line of credit with Chase II Holdings Corp. to a third party, and the outstanding balance of \$3.5 million, plus accrued interest, was repaid in full. The proceeds were used to make a repayment on the outstanding amount under the Company's credit facility.

During October 2009, one of the Company's portfolio companies entered into an agreement with a third party to act as an advisor in looking at strategic investment alternatives.

During October 2009, A. Stucki Holding Corp. declared and, on November 3, 2009, paid accrued cash dividends on its preferred stock, of which the Company received approximately \$953.

On November 2, 2009, the Company entered into an agreement to reduce the available credit limit on Danco Acquisition Corp.'s revolving line of credit from \$3.0 million to \$1.5 million. This was a non-cash transaction.

On December 18, 2009, the Company assumed 100% ownership of Mathey Investments, Inc. (Mathey), previously an Affiliate investment, exercising its right after certain defaults on the part of Mathey and subsequent expiration of a forbearance period granted by the Company. The Company disbursed approximately \$282 to buy out the previous stockholders of Mathey and to pay for related legal expenses incurred in the process. The Company's investment in Mathey has been reclassified from an Affiliate to a Control investment in the condensed consolidated financial statements as of December 31, 2009.

Investment Concentrations

Approximately 50% of the aggregate fair value of the Company's investment portfolio at December 31, 2009 was comprised of senior debt, approximately 37% was senior subordinated debt, and approximately 13% was preferred and common equity securities. At December 31, 2009,

Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION/DE - Form 10-Q

the Company had investments in 16 portfolio companies with an aggregate fair value of \$187.4 million, of which A. Stucki Holding Corp., Chase II Holdings Corp. and Cavert II Holding Corp. collectively comprised approximately \$80.6 million, or 43% of the Company's total investment portfolio, at fair value. The following table outlines the Company's investments by type at December 31, 2009 and March 31, 2009:

	December 31, 2009		March 31, 2009	
	Cost	Fair Value	Cost	Fair Value
Senior Term Debt	\$ 103,154	\$ 93,161	\$ 224,261	\$ 179,676
Senior Subordinated Term Debt	77,424	70,479	79,362	72,061
Preferred Equity	40,728	19,254	40,728	40,043
Common Equity/Equivalents	4,594	4,492	4,594	22,150
Total Investments	\$ 225,900	\$ 187,386	\$ 348,945	\$ 313,930

Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION/DE - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

Investments at fair value consisted of the following industry classifications at December 31, 2009 and March 31, 2009:

	December 31, 2009			March 31, 2009		
	Fair Value	Percentage of Total Investments	Net Assets	Fair Value	Percentage of Total Investments	Net Assets
Aerospace and Defense	\$ 16,991	9.1%	9.7%	\$ 22,436	7.2%	10.4%
Automobile	8,570	4.6	4.9	14,436	4.6	6.7
Beverage, Food and Tobacco				1,570	0.5	0.7
Broadcasting and Entertainment				1,934	0.6	0.9
Buildings and Real Estate	10,351	5.5	5.9	10,709	3.4	5.0
Cargo Transport	9,316	5.0	5.3	13,324	4.3	6.2
Chemicals, Plastics and Rubber	14,479	7.7	8.3	21,420	6.8	10.0
Containers, Packaging and Glass	18,035	9.6	10.3	21,446	6.8	10.0
Diversified/Conglomerate Manufacturing	42,885	22.9	24.5	56,944	18.1	26.5
Diversified/Conglomerate Service				23,585	7.5	11.0
Electronics				6,594	2.1	3.1
Healthcare, Education and Childcare	8,768	4.7	5.0	33,605	10.7	15.6
Machinery	43,963	23.5	25.1	63,907	20.4	29.8
Oil and Gas	4,975	2.6	2.8	6,171	2.0	2.9
Personal, Food, and Miscellaneous Services				3,552	1.1	1.7
Printing and Publishing	2,895	1.5	1.7	3,158	1.0	1.5
Telecommunications	6,158	3.3	3.5	9,139	2.9	4.3
Total Investments	\$ 187,386	100.0%		\$ 313,930	100.0%	

The investments at fair value were included in the following geographic regions of the United States at December 31, 2009 and March 31, 2009:

	December 31, 2009			March 31, 2009		
	Fair Value	Percentage of Total Investments	Net Assets	Fair Value	Percentage of Total Investments	Net Assets
Mid-Atlantic	\$ 72,174	38.5%	41.2%	\$ 119,622	38.1%	55.7%
Midwest	68,481	36.6	39.1	105,945	33.7	49.3
Northeast	7,924	4.2	4.5	17,525	5.6	8.2
Southeast	23,120	12.3	13.2	40,512	12.9	18.9
West	15,687	8.4	9.0	30,326	9.7	14.1
Total Investments	\$ 187,386	100.0%		\$ 313,930	100.0%	

The geographic region indicates the location of the headquarters for the Company's portfolio companies. A portfolio company may have a number of other business locations in other geographic regions.

Investment Principal Repayments

Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION\DE - Form 10-Q

The following table summarizes the contractual principal repayment and maturity of the Company's investment portfolio by fiscal year, assuming no voluntary prepayments:

		Amount
For the remaining three months ending March 31:	2010	\$ 2,506
For the fiscal year ending March 31:	2011	23,705
	2012	55,148
	2013	13,260
	2014	61,282
	2015	21,642
	Thereafter	3,043
	Total contractual repayments	\$ 180,586
	Investments in equity securities	45,322
	Unamortized premiums on debt securities	(8)
	Total investments held at December 31, 2009:	\$ 225,900

Table of Contents**NOTE 4. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS***Investment Advisory and Management Agreement*

The Company is externally managed by the Adviser, which is controlled by its chairman and chief executive officer, under a contractual investment advisory agreement (the "Advisory Agreement"). In accordance with the Advisory Agreement, the Company pays the Adviser an annual base management fee of 2% of its average gross assets, which is defined as total assets less uninvested cash and cash equivalents resulting from borrowings, calculated as of the end of the two most recently completed fiscal quarters. The Advisory Agreement also includes a two-part incentive fee. On July 8, 2009, the Company's Board of Directors approved the renewal of its Advisory Agreement with the Adviser through August 31, 2010.

The following tables summarize the management fees, incentive fees and associated credits reflected in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of operations:

	Three months ended December 31,		Nine months ended December 31,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Base management fee	\$ 113	\$ 442	\$ 588	\$ 1,303
<i>Credits to base management fee from Adviser:</i>				
Fee reduction for the voluntary, irrevocable waiver of 2.0% fee on senior syndicated loans to 0.5%	(34)	(413)	(265)	(1,220)
Credit for fees received by Adviser from portfolio companies	(93)	(281)	(326)	(744)
Net base management fee	\$ (14)	\$ (252)	\$ (3)	\$ (661)
Incentive fee	\$ 588	\$	\$ 588	\$
Credit from voluntary, irrevocable waiver issued by Adviser's board of directors				
Net incentive fee	\$ 588	\$	\$ 588	\$
Total credits to fees:				
Fee reduction for the voluntary, irrevocable waiver of 2.0% fee on senior syndicated loans to 0.5%	\$ (34)	\$ (413)	\$ (265)	\$ (1,220)
Credit for fees received by Adviser from portfolio companies	(93)	(281)	(326)	(744)
Incentive fee credit				
Credit to base management and incentive fees from Adviser	\$ (127)	\$ (694)	\$ (591)	\$ (1,964)

Overall, the base management fee due to the Adviser cannot exceed 2.0% of total assets (as reduced by cash and cash equivalents pledged to creditors) during any given fiscal year. Amounts included in Fee due to Adviser in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of assets and liabilities were as follows:

Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION/DE - Form 10-Q

	December 31, 2009		March 31, 2009	
Unpaid base management fee due to Adviser	\$	(14)	\$	(114)
Unpaid loan servicing fee due to Adviser		219		301
Unpaid incentive fee due to Adviser		588		
Total Due to Adviser	\$	793	\$	187

Loan Servicing and Portfolio Company Fees

The Adviser also services the loans held by Business Investment, in return for which it receives a 2.0% annual fee based on the monthly aggregate outstanding balance of loans pledged under the Company's line of credit. Since the Company owns these loans, all loan servicing fees paid to the Adviser are treated as reductions directly against the 2.0% base management fee under the Advisory Agreement. For the three and nine months ended December 31, 2009, the Company recorded loan servicing fees due to the Adviser of \$886 and \$2,892, respectively, as compared to \$1,258 and \$3,769 for the three and nine months ended December 31, 2008, respectively, all of which were deducted against the 2.0% base management fee in order to derive the Base management fee line item in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of operations.

Under the Advisory Agreement, the Adviser has also provided and continues to provide managerial assistance and other services to the Company's portfolio companies and may receive fees for services other than managerial assistance.

Table of Contents

Administration Agreement

The Company has entered into an administration agreement (the Administration Agreement) with Gladstone Administration, LLC (the Administrator). Under the Administration Agreement, the Company pays separately for administrative services. The Company recorded administration fees of \$156 and \$527 for the three and nine months ended December 31, 2009, respectively, as compared to administration fees of \$195 and \$642 for the three and nine months ended December 31, 2008, respectively. As of December 31, 2009 and March 31, 2009, \$156 and \$179, respectively, was unpaid and included in Fee due to Administrator in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of assets and liabilities. On July 8, 2009, the Company's Board of Directors approved the renewal of its Administration Agreement with the Administrator through August 31, 2010.

NOTE 5. BORROWINGS

Line of Credit

On April 14, 2009, the Company, through its wholly-owned subsidiary, Business Investment, entered into a second amended and restated credit agreement providing for a \$50.0 million revolving line of credit (the Credit Facility) arranged by Branch Banking and Trust Company (BB&T) as administrative agent. Key Equipment Finance Inc. also joined the Credit Facility as a committed lender. In connection with entering into the Credit Facility, the Company borrowed \$43.8 million under the Credit Facility to make a final payment in satisfaction of all unpaid principal and interest owed to Deutsche Bank under the prior credit agreement. The Credit Facility may be expanded up to \$125.0 million through the addition of other committed lenders to the facility. The Credit Facility matures on April 14, 2010, and, if the facility is not renewed or extended by this date, all unpaid principal and interest will be due and payable within one year of the maturity date. Advances under the Credit Facility generally bear interest at the 30-day LIBOR rate (subject to a minimum rate of 2%), plus 5% per annum, with a commitment fee of 0.75% per annum on undrawn amounts. As of December 31, 2009, the Company has approximately \$26.8 million of principal outstanding with approximately \$22.6 million of availability under the line of credit.

Interest is payable monthly during the term of the Credit Facility. After April 14, 2010, if the Credit Facility is not renewed, all principal collections from the Company's loans are required to be used to pay outstanding principal under the Credit Facility. Available borrowings are subject to various constraints imposed under the Credit Facility, based on the aggregate loan balance pledged by Business Investment.

The Credit Facility contains covenants that require Business Investment to maintain its status as a separate entity; prohibit certain significant corporate transactions (such as mergers, consolidations, liquidations or dissolutions); and restrict material changes to the Company's credit and collection policies without lenders consent. The facility also limits payments on distributions to the aggregate net investment income for the prior twelve months preceding April 2010. As of December 31, 2009, Business Investment was in compliance with all of the facility covenants. The Company is also subject to certain limitations on the type of loan investments it can make, including restrictions on geographic concentrations, sector concentrations, loan size, dividend payout, payment frequency and status, average life and lien property. The Credit Facility also requires the Company to comply with other financial and operational covenants, which require the Company to, among other things, maintain certain financial ratios, including asset and interest coverage a minimum net worth, and a minimum number of obligors required in the borrowing base of the credit agreement.

Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION/DE - Form 10-Q

In conjunction with entering into the Credit Facility, the Company amended a performance guaranty which remains substantially similar to the form under the previous credit facility. The performance guaranty requires the Company to maintain a minimum net worth of \$169 million plus 50% of all equity and subordinated debt raised after April 14, 2009, to maintain asset coverage with respect to senior securities representing indebtedness of at least 200%, in accordance with Section 18 of the 1940 Act, and to maintain its status as a BDC under the 1940 Act and as a RIC under the Code. As of December 31, 2009, the Company was in compliance with the covenants under the performance guaranty.

Short-Term Loan

On June 30, 2009, the Company purchased \$83.0 million of short-term United States Treasury securities through Jefferies & Company, Inc. (Jefferies). The securities were purchased with \$18.0 million in funds drawn on the Credit Facility and the proceeds from a \$65.0 million short-term loan from Jefferies, with an effective annual interest rate of approximately 2.5%. On July 2, 2009, when the securities matured, the Company repaid the \$65.0 million loan from Jefferies in full, and repaid all but \$1.0 million of the amount drawn on the Credit Facility for the transaction, which was retained for working capital purposes.

On September 29, 2009, the Company purchased \$85.0 million of short-term United States Treasury securities through Jefferies. The securities were purchased with \$10.0 million in funds drawn on the Credit Facility and the proceeds from a \$75.0 million short-term loan from Jefferies, with an effective annual interest rate of approximately 0.66%. On October 2, 2009, when the securities matured, the Company repaid the \$75.0 million loan from Jefferies in full and repaid the \$10.0 million drawn on the Credit Facility.

Table of Contents

On December 29, 2009, the Company purchased \$85.0 million of short-term United States Treasury securities through Jefferies. The securities were purchased with \$10.0 million in funds drawn on the Credit Facility and the proceeds from a \$75.0 million short-term loan from Jefferies, with an effective annual interest rate of approximately 0.59%. On January 7, 2010, when the securities matured, the Company repaid the \$75.0 million loan from Jefferies in full and, on January 8, 2010, repaid the \$10.0 million drawn on the Credit Facility.

Fair Value

The Company elected to apply ASC 825, Financial Instruments, specifically for the Credit Facility and short-term loan, which was consistent with its application of ASC 820 to its investments. The Company estimated the fair value of the Credit Facility using estimates of value provided by an independent third party and its own assumptions in the absence of observable market data, including estimated remaining life, credit party risk, current market yield and interest rate spreads of similar securities as of the measurement date. Due to the nine day duration of the short-term loan, cost approximated fair value. The following table presents the Credit Facility and short-term loan carried at fair value as of December 31, 2009, by caption on the accompanying consolidated statements of assets and liabilities for each of the three levels of hierarchy established by ASC 820-10:

As of December 31, 2009				Total Fair Value Reported in Consolidated Statement of Assets and Liabilities
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	
Credit Facility	\$	\$	\$ 26,883	\$ 26,883
Short-Term Loan			75,000	75,000
Total	\$	\$	\$ 101,883	\$ 101,883

As of December 31, 2009				Total Fair Value Reported in Condensed Consolidated Statement of Assets and Liabilities
	Credit Facility	Short-Term Loan		
Three months ended December 31, 2009:				
Fair value at September 30, 2009	\$ 36,278	\$ 75,000	\$	111,278
Net decrease in principal outstanding	(9,350)			(9,350)
Net unrealized depreciation of Credit Facility (a)	(45)			(45)
Fair value at December 31, 2009	\$ 26,883	\$ 75,000	\$	101,883
Nine months ended December 31, 2009:				
Fair value at March 31, 2009 (b)	\$ 110,265	\$	\$	110,265
Net (decrease) increase in principal outstanding	(83,515)	75,000		(8,515)
Net unrealized appreciation of Credit Facility (a)	133			133
Fair value at December 31, 2009	\$ 26,883	\$ 75,000	\$	101,883

(a) Unrealized depreciation (appreciation) of \$45 and (\$133) is reported on the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of operations for the three and nine months ended December 31, 2009, respectively.

(b) ASC 825 was not adopted until the second quarter of fiscal year 2010; therefore, the Credit Facility is shown at its principal balance outstanding at March 31, 2009 in the table above.

The fair value of the collateral under the Credit Facility was approximately \$182.8 million and \$309.1 million at December 31, 2009 and March 31, 2009, respectively. The fair value of the collateral under the short-term loan was approximately \$85.0 million as of December 31, 2009.

NOTE 6. INTEREST RATE CAP AGREEMENT

In May 2009, the Company cancelled its interest rate cap agreement with Deutsche Bank and entered into an interest rate cap agreement with BB&T that effectively limits the interest rate on a portion of the borrowings under the line of credit pursuant to the terms of the Credit Facility. The interest rate cap has a notional amount of \$45 million at a cost of approximately \$39. At December 31, 2009, the interest rate cap agreement had a fair market value of approximately \$5. The Company records changes in the fair market value of the interest rate cap agreement quarterly based on the current market valuation at quarter end as unrealized depreciation or appreciation on derivative on the Company's condensed consolidated statements of operations. The interest rate cap agreement expires in April 2010. The agreement provides that the Company's floating interest rate or cost of funds on a portion of the portfolio's borrowings will be capped at 9% when the LIBOR rate is in excess of 9%.

Table of Contents

The use of a cap involves risks that are different from those associated with ordinary portfolio securities transactions. Cap agreements may be considered to be illiquid. Although the Company will not enter into any such agreements unless it believes that the other party to the transaction is creditworthy, the Company does bear the risk of loss of the amount expected to be received under such agreements in the event of default or bankruptcy of the agreement counterparty.

NOTE 7. COMMON STOCK

As of both December 31, 2009 and March 31, 2009, 100,000,000 shares of common stock, \$0.001 par value per share, were authorized and 22,080,133 shares of common stock were outstanding.

Registration Statement

On July 21, 2009, the Company filed a registration statement on Form N-2 (Registration No. 333-160720) that was amended on October 2, 2009. The SEC declared the registration statement effective on October 8, 2009 and such registration statement will permit the Company to issue, through one or more transactions, up to an aggregate of \$300.0 million in securities, consisting of common stock, senior common stock, preferred stock, subscription rights, debt securities and warrants to purchase common stock, or a combination of these securities.

NOTE 8. NET DECREASE IN NET ASSETS PER SHARE RESULTING FROM OPERATIONS

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted net decrease in net assets per share resulting from operations:

	Three months ended December 31,		Nine months ended December 31,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Numerator for basic and diluted net decrease in net assets resulting from operations per share	\$ (4,420)	\$ (3,940)	\$ (31,699)	\$ (7,467)
Denominator for basic and diluted shares	22,080	22,080	22,080	21,368
Basic and diluted net decrease in net assets resulting from operations per share	\$ (0.20)	\$ (0.18)	\$ (1.44)	\$ (0.35)

NOTE 9. DISTRIBUTIONS

The following table lists the per common share distributions paid for the nine months ended December 31, 2009 and 2008:

Fiscal Year 2010:

Declaration Date	Record Date	Payment Date	Distribution Per Share
April 16, 2009	April 27, 2009	May 8, 2009	\$ 0.04
April 16, 2009	May 20, 2009	May 29, 2009	0.04
April 16, 2009	June 22, 2009	June 30, 2009	0.04
July 8, 2009	July 23, 2009	July 31, 2009	0.04
July 8, 2009	August 21, 2009	August 31, 2009	0.04
July 8, 2009	September 22, 2009	September 30, 2009	0.04
October 6, 2009	October 22, 2009	October 30, 2009	0.04
October 6, 2009	November 19, 2009	November 30, 2009	0.04
October 6, 2009	December 22, 2009	December 31, 2009	0.04
		Total	\$ 0.36

Fiscal Year 2009:

Declaration Date	Record Date	Payment Date	Distribution Per Share
April 8, 2008	April 22, 2008	April 30, 2008	\$ 0.08
April 8, 2008	May 21, 2008	May 30, 2008	0.08
April 8, 2008	June 20, 2008	June 30, 2008	0.08
July 9, 2008	July 23, 2008	July 31, 2008	0.08
July 9, 2008	August 21, 2008	August 29, 2008	0.08
July 9, 2008	September 22, 2008	September 30, 2008	0.08
October 7, 2008	October 23, 2008	October 31, 2008	0.08
October 7, 2008	November 19, 2008	November 28, 2008	0.08
October 7, 2008	December 22, 2008	December 31, 2008	0.08
		Total	\$ 0.72

Table of Contents

Aggregate distributions declared and paid for the three months ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 were approximately \$2.6 million and \$5.3 million, respectively. Aggregate distributions declared for the nine months ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 were approximately \$7.9 million and \$15.5 million, respectively. All distributions were declared based on estimates of net investment income for the respective fiscal year, and some of the distributions included a return of capital.

The timing and characterization of certain income and capital gains distributions are determined annually in accordance with federal tax regulations which may differ from GAAP. These differences primarily relate to items recognized as income for financial statement purposes and realized gains for tax purposes. As a result, net investment income and net realized gain (loss) on investment transactions for a reporting period may differ significantly from distributions during such period. Accordingly, the Company may periodically make reclassifications among certain of its capital accounts without impacting the net asset value of the Company.

NOTE 10. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

At December 31, 2009, the Company was not party to any signed term sheets for potential investments.

In October 2008, the Company executed a guaranty of a vehicle finance facility agreement between Ford Motor Credit Company (FMC) and Auto Safety House, LLC (ASH), one of its Control investments (the Finance Facility). The Finance Facility provides ASH with a line of credit of up to \$500 for component Ford parts used by ASH to build truck bodies under a separate contract. Title and ownership of the parts is retained by Ford. The guaranty of the Finance Facility will expire upon termination of the separate parts supply contract with Ford or upon our replacement as guarantor. The Finance Facility is secured by all of the assets of Business Investment. As of December 31, 2009, the Company has not been required to make any payments on the guaranty of the Finance Facility, and the Company considers the credit risk to be remote.

NOTE 11. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The Company evaluated all events that have occurred subsequent to December 31, 2009 through the date of the filing of this Form 10-Q on February 8, 2010.

Short-Term Loan Repayment

On January 7, 2010, when the securities purchased on December 30, 2009 through Jefferies matured, the Company repaid the \$75.0 million loan from Jefferies in full, and, on January 8, 2010, repaid the \$10.0 million drawn on the Credit Facility for the transaction. Please refer to Note 5, *Borrowings* for more information.

Distributions

On January 12, 2010, the Company's Board of Directors declared the following monthly cash distributions:

Declaration Date	Record Date	Payment Date	Distribution Per Share
January 12, 2010	January 21, 2010	January 29, 2010	\$ 0.04
January 12, 2010	February 18, 2010	February 26, 2010	0.04
January 12, 2010	March 23, 2010	March 31, 2010	0.04

Portfolio Company Investment Activity

On January 22, 2010, the Company entered into an agreement with Noble Logistics, Inc. to extend the maturity of their revolving credit facility to May 2010. This was a non-cash transaction.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS
(dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data unless otherwise indicated).

All statements contained herein, other than historical facts, may constitute forward-looking statements. These statements may relate to, among other things, future events or our future performance or financial condition. In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terminology such as may, might, believe, will, provided, anticipate, future, could, growth, plan, intend, would, if, seek, possible, potential, likely, estimate or the negative of such terms or comparable terminology. These forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause our actual results, levels of activity, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. We caution readers not to place undue reliance on any such forward-looking statements. We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, after the date of this Form 10-Q.

The following analysis of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with our condensed consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto contained elsewhere in this report and in our annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2009.

OVERVIEW

General

We were incorporated under the General Corporation Laws of the State of Delaware on February 18, 2005. We were primarily established for the purpose of investing in subordinated loans, mezzanine debt, preferred stock and warrants to purchase common stock of small and medium-sized companies in connection with buyouts and other recapitalizations. We also invest in senior secured loans, common stock and, to a much lesser extent, senior and subordinated syndicated loans. Our investment objective is to generate both current income and capital gains through these debt and equity instruments. We operate as a closed-end, non-diversified management investment company and have elected to be treated as a business development company under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the "1940 Act"). In addition, for tax purposes, we have elected to be treated as a regulated investment company ("RIC") under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code").

Business Environment

The current economic conditions generally, and the disruptions in the capital markets in particular, have decreased liquidity and increased our cost of debt and equity capital, where available. The longer these conditions persist, the greater the probability that these factors could continue to increase our cost of and significantly limit our access to debt and equity capital and thus have an adverse effect on our operations and financial results. Many of the companies in which we have made or will make investments may also be susceptible to the economic downturn, which may affect the ability of one or more of our portfolio companies to repay our loans or engage in a liquidity event, such as a sale, recapitalization or initial public offering. An economic downturn could also disproportionately impact some of the industries in which we invest, causing us to be more vulnerable to losses in our portfolio. Therefore, the fair market value of our aggregate portfolio is likely to continue

to decrease during these periods.

The recession has affected the general availability of credit and, as a result, subsequent to our most recent fiscal year end, we sold 29 senior syndicated loans that were held in our portfolio of investments at March 31, 2009 to various investors in the syndicated loan market (collectively, the Syndicated Loan Sales) in order to repay amounts outstanding under our prior credit facility from Deutsche Bank, which matured in April 2009 and was not extended by that bank. These loans, in aggregate, had a cost value of approximately \$104.2 million, or 29.9% of the cost of our total investments, and an aggregate fair market value of approximately \$69.8 million, or 22.2% of the fair market value of our total investments, at March 31, 2009. We completed additional senior syndicated loan sales in October 2009 (see Recent Developments Senior Syndicated Sales section below). As a result of the settlement of these loan sales, at December 31, 2009, we had two remaining senior syndicated loans, which we plan to exit in the long-term future. Collectively, these sales have changed our asset composition in a manner that has affected our ability to satisfy certain elements of the Code s rules for maintenance of our RIC status. In order to maintain our status as a RIC, in addition to other requirements, as of the close of each quarter of our taxable year, we must meet the asset diversification test, which requires that at least 50% of the value of our assets consist of cash, cash items, U.S. government securities or certain other qualified securities. During the quarter ended December 31, 2009, we fell below the required 50% asset diversification threshold.

Failure to meet the asset diversification test alone will not result in our loss of RIC status. In circumstances where the failure to meet the quarterly 50% asset diversification threshold is the result of fluctuations in the value of assets, including as a result of the sale of assets, we will still be deemed under the Code s rules to satisfy the asset diversification test and, therefore, maintain our RIC status, as long as we have not made any new investments, including additional investments in our portfolio companies (such as advances under outstanding lines of credit), since the time that we fell below the 50% threshold. At December 31, 2009, the third quarterly measurement date following the Syndicated Loan Sales, we satisfied the 50% asset diversification threshold through the purchase of

Table of Contents

short-term qualified securities, which was funded primarily through a short-term loan agreement. Subsequent to the December 31st measurement date, these securities matured and we repaid the short-term loan, at which time we again fell below the 50% threshold. See [Recent Developments Short-Term Loan](#) for more information regarding this transaction. As of the date of this filing, we remain below the 50% threshold. Thus, although we currently qualify as a RIC despite our current, and potential future, inability to meet the 50% asset diversification requirement, if we make any additional investments before regaining compliance with the asset diversification test, our RIC status will be threatened. If we make a new or additional investment and fail to regain compliance with the 50% threshold on the next quarterly measurement date following such investment, we will be in non-compliance with the RIC rules and will have thirty days to cure our failure of the asset diversification test to avoid our loss of RIC status. Potential cures for failure of the asset diversification test include raising additional equity or debt capital, or changing the composition of our assets, which could include full or partial divestitures of investments, such that we would once again exceed the 50% threshold.

Until the composition of our assets is above the required 50% asset diversification threshold, we will continue to seek to deploy similar purchases of qualified securities using short-term loans that would allow us to satisfy the asset diversification test, thereby allowing us to make additional investments. There can be no assurance, however, that we will be able to enter into such a transaction on reasonable terms, if at all. We also continue to explore a number of other strategies, including changing the composition of our assets, which could include full or partial divestitures of investments, and raising additional equity or debt capital, such that we would once again exceed the 50% threshold. Our ability to implement any of these strategies will be subject to market conditions and a number of risks and uncertainties that are, in part, beyond our control.

On April 14, 2009, through our wholly-owned subsidiary, Gladstone Business Investment, LLC ([Business Investment](#)), we entered into a second amended and restated credit agreement providing for a \$50.0 million revolving line of credit (the [Credit Facility](#)) arranged by Branch Banking and Trust Company ([BB&T](#)) as administrative agent. Key Equipment Finance Company Inc. also joined the Credit Facility as a committed lender. Under the terms of the Credit Facility, committed funding was reduced from \$125.0 million under our prior facility to \$50.0 million. See [Liquidity and Capital Resources](#) section below for further information. As of February 8, 2010, approximately \$15.0 million was outstanding under the Credit Facility and \$33.7 million was available for borrowing due to certain limitations on our borrowing base. As a result of this limited availability under our credit facility, and the restraints upon our investing activities required in order to maintain RIC status under the Code as described above, we are unsure when we will once again be in a position to make any new investments. The Credit Facility also limits our distributions to stockholders and, as a result, we decreased our monthly cash distribution rate in April 2009 by 50% as compared to the prior year period. We do not know when market conditions will stabilize, if adverse conditions will intensify or the full extent to which the disruptions will continue to affect us. If market instability persists or intensifies, we may experience increasing difficulty in raising capital. We are currently working with our two lending banks to extend our line of credit.

Challenges in the current market are intensified for us by certain regulatory limitations under the Code and the 1940 Act, as well as contractual restrictions under the agreement governing the Credit Facility that further constrain our ability to access the capital markets. To maintain our qualification as a RIC, we must satisfy, among other requirements, an annual distribution requirement to pay out at least 90% of our ordinary income and short-term capital gains to our stockholders on an annual basis. Because we are required to distribute our income in this manner, and because the illiquidity of many of our investments makes it difficult for us to finance new investments through the sale of current investments, our ability to make new investments is highly dependent upon external financing. Our external financing sources include the issuance of equity securities, debt securities or other leverage such as borrowings under our line of credit. Our ability to seek external debt financing, to the extent that it is available under current market conditions, is further subject to the asset coverage limitations of the 1940 Act, which require us to have at least a 200% asset coverage ratio, meaning, generally, that for every dollar of debt, we must have two dollars of assets.

Recent market conditions have also affected the trading price of our common stock and thus our ability to finance new investments through the issuance of equity. On February 8, 2010, the closing market price of our common stock was \$4.92 which price represented a 38.0% discount to our December 31, 2009 net asset value, or NAV, per share. When our stock is trading below NAV, as it has consistently traded subsequent to September 30, 2008, our ability to issue equity is constrained by provisions of the 1940 Act which generally prohibit the issuance and sale of our common stock below NAV per share without stockholder approval other than through sales to our then-existing stockholders pursuant to a rights

offering. At our annual meeting of stockholders held on August 13, 2009, our stockholders approved a proposal which authorizes us to sell shares of our common stock at a price below our then current NAV per share for a period of one year from the date of approval, provided that our Board of Directors makes certain determinations prior to any such sale.

The recession may also continue to decrease the value of collateral securing some of our loans, as well as the value of our equity investments, which has impacted and may continue to impact our ability to borrow under our credit facility. Additionally, our credit facility contains covenants regarding the maintenance of certain minimum loan concentrations and net worth covenants which are affected by the decrease in value of our portfolio. Failure to meet these requirements would result in a default which, if we are unable to obtain a waiver from our lenders, would result in the acceleration of our repayment obligations under our credit facility. As of December 31, 2009, we were in compliance with all of the facility covenants.

Table of Contents

We expect that, given these regulatory and contractual constraints in combination with current market conditions, debt and equity capital may be costly or difficult for us to access for some time. For so long as this is the case, we will continue to focus our near-term strategy primarily on retaining capital and building the value of our existing portfolio companies to increase the likelihood of maintaining potential future returns. We will also, where prudent and possible, continue to consider the sale of lower-yielding investments. This has resulted, and may continue to result, in significantly reduced investment activity, as our ability to make new investments under these conditions is largely dependent on availability of proceeds from the sale or exit of existing portfolio investments, which events may be beyond our control.

Senior Syndicated Loan Valuations

Due to the illiquidity in the market for syndicated loans during the three quarters prior to and including June 30, 2009, a discounted cash flow (DCF) methodology was used to value these investments during those periods, following guidance provided under ASC 820-10, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures. However, in monitoring the market activity during the previous few quarters, we noted changing market conditions indicating a return to liquidity and a better functioning secondary market for syndicated loans. Therefore, in accordance with ASC 820-10, and following our valuation procedures, which specify the use of third-party indicative bid quotes for valuing syndicated loans where there is a liquid public market for those loans and market pricing quotes are readily available, a third-party bid quote was used to value the unsold senior syndicated loans at December 31, 2009. Where syndicated loans are sold subsequent to quarter end, the loans are valued at their respective sales prices. As of the date of this filing, there were no syndicated loans sold subsequent to December 31, 2009.

Recent Developments

Registration Statement

On July 21, 2009, we filed a registration statement on Form N-2 (Registration No. 333-160720) that was amended on October 2, 2009. The SEC declared the registration statement effective on October 8, 2009 and such registration statement will permit us to issue, through one or more transactions, up to an aggregate of \$300.0 million in securities, consisting of common stock, senior common stock, preferred stock, subscription rights, debt securities and warrants to purchase common stock, or a combination of these securities.

Senior Syndicated Sales

In October 2009, we completed the sales of certain senior syndicated loans (HMTBP Acquisition II Corp. and a portion of Interstate FiberNet, Inc.) and received approximately \$5.5 million in net cash proceeds and recorded a realized loss of approximately \$1.3 million.

Portfolio Company Investment Activity

Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION/DE - Form 10-Q

On October 13, 2009, we refinanced our revolving line of credit with Chase II Holdings Corp. to a third party, and the outstanding balance of \$3.5 million, plus accrued interest, was repaid in full. The proceeds were used to make a repayment on the outstanding amount under our Credit Facility.

During October 2009, one of our portfolio companies entered into an agreement with a third party to act as an advisor in looking at strategic investment alternatives. It is still premature in the process to speculate on what these strategic alternatives might be or what impact, if any, such activities may have on our investment in the subject portfolio company.

During October 2009, A. Stucki Holding Corp. declared and, on November 3, 2009, paid accrued cash dividends on its preferred stock, of which we received approximately \$953.

On November 2, 2009, we entered into an agreement to reduce the available credit limit on Danco Acquisition Corp.'s revolving line of credit from \$3.0 million to \$1.5 million. This was a non-cash transaction.

On December 18, 2009, we assumed 100% ownership of Mathey Investments, Inc. (Mathey), previously an Affiliate investment, exercising our right after certain defaults on the part of Mathey and subsequent expiration of a forbearance period granted by us. We disbursed approximately \$282 to buy out the previous stockholders of Mathey and to pay for related legal expenses incurred in the process. Our investment in Mathey has been reclassified from an Affiliate to a Control investment in the condensed consolidated financial statements at December 31, 2009.

On January 26, 2010, we entered into an agreement with Noble Logistics, Inc. to extend the maturity of their revolving credit facility to May 2010. This was a non-cash transaction.

Table of Contents

Short-Term Loan

On December 29, 2009, we purchased \$85.0 million of short-term United States Treasury securities through Jefferies & Company, Inc. (Jefferies). The securities were purchased with \$10.0 million in funds drawn on the Credit Facility and the proceeds from a \$75.0 million short-term loan from Jefferies with an effective annual interest rate of approximately 0.59%. On January 7, 2010, when the securities matured, we repaid the \$75.0 million loan from Jefferies in full, and, on January 8, 2010, repaid the \$10.0 million drawn on the Credit Facility for the transaction.

Table of Contents**RESULTS OF OPERATIONS***Comparison of the Three Months Ended December 31, 2009 to the Three Months Ended December 31, 2008*

A comparison of our operating results for the three months ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 is below:

	2009	For the three months ended December 31,		
		2008	\$ Change	% Change
INVESTMENT INCOME				
Interest income				
Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments	\$ 489	\$ 2,339	\$ (1,850)	(79.1)%
Control investments	2,856	3,068	(212)	(6.9)
Affiliate investments	1,605	1,478	127	8.6
Cash and cash equivalents	1	21	(20)	(95.2)
Total interest income	4,951	6,906	(1,955)	(28.3)
Other income	970	96	874	910.4
Total investment income	5,921	7,002	(1,081)	(15.4)
EXPENSES				
Loan servicing fee	886	1,258	(372)	(29.6)
Base management fee	113	442	(329)	(74.4)
Incentive fee	588		588	NM
Administration fee	156	195	(39)	(20.0)
Interest expense	385	1,823	(1,438)	(78.9)
Amortization of deferred finance costs	436	46	390	847.8
Professional fees	182	69	113	163.8
Stockholder related costs	49	112	(63)	(56.3)
Insurance expense	71	57	14	24.6
Directors fees	48	50	(2)	(4.0)
Other	61	57	4	7.0
Expenses before credit from Adviser	2,975	4,109	(1,134)	(27.6)
Credits to base management fee	(127)	(694)	567	(81.7)
Total expenses net of credit to base management fee	2,848	3,415	(567)	(16.6)
NET INVESTMENT INCOME	3,073	3,587	(514)	(14.3)
REALIZED AND UNREALIZED (LOSS) GAIN ON:				
Realized loss on sale of Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments	(1,318)		(1,318)	NM
Realized loss on termination of derivative				
Net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments	1,383	(6,988)	8,371	NM
Net unrealized (depreciation) appreciation of Control investments	(8,853)	1,755	(10,608)	NM
Net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of Affiliate investments	1,257	(2,294)	3,551	NM
Net unrealized depreciation of derivative	(7)		(7)	NM
Net unrealized depreciation of borrowings under line of credit	45		45	NM
Net loss on investments and borrowings under line of credit	(7,493)	(7,527)	34	NM

NET DECREASE IN NET ASSETS RESULTING FROM OPERATIONS	\$	(4,420)	\$	(3,940)	\$	(480)	12.2%
--	----	---------	----	---------	----	-------	-------

NM = Not Meaningful

Investment Income

Total investment income decreased for the three months ended December 31, 2009 as compared to the prior year period. This decrease was due mainly to a decrease in the size of our loan portfolio, specifically the senior syndicated loans, as well as continuing decreases in LIBOR, as compared to the prior year period.

Table of Contents

Interest income from our investments in debt securities decreased for the three months ended December 31, 2009, as compared to the prior year period for multiple reasons. The level of interest income from investments is directly related to the balance, at cost, of the interest-bearing investment portfolio outstanding during the period multiplied by the weighted average yield. The weighted average yield varies from period to period based on the current stated interest rate on interest-bearing investments and the amounts of loans for which interest is not accruing. The average cost basis of our interest-bearing investment portfolio during the three months ended December 31, 2009 was approximately \$179.6 million, compared to approximately \$296.0 million for the prior year period, due primarily to the aggregate senior syndicated loan sales that occurred during the current year period. Also contributing to the decrease in our interest income from investments in debt securities was a decrease in the average LIBOR between the two periods, which was approximately 0.24% for the three months ended December 31, 2009, as compared to 2.17% for the prior year period.

Interest income from Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments decreased for the three months ended December 31, 2009 due to an overall reduction in the size and number of Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments held at December 31, 2009, as compared to the prior year period. At December 31, 2008, we held positions in 35 Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments; however, as a result of the Syndicated Loan Sales, only four Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments were held at December 31, 2009. Also contributing to the decrease in interest income from Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments was a decrease in the average LIBOR between the two periods.

Interest income from Control investments decreased slightly for the three months ended December 31, 2009, as compared to the prior year period, driven primarily by lower average principal balances outstanding on loans to these companies during the current quarter. Decreases in LIBOR played a minimal role in the decline in interest income from Control investments, as the majority of these loans include interest rate floors.

Interest income from Affiliate investments increased slightly for the three months ended December 31, 2009, as compared to the prior year period, mainly due to interest rate increases that occurred on loans to certain Affiliate portfolio companies.

The following table lists the interest income from investments for the five largest portfolio company investments during the respective periods:

Three months ended December 31, 2009

Company	Interest Income	%
Chase II Holdings Corp.	\$ 620	12.5%
Galaxy Tools Holding Corp.	595	12.0
A. Stucki Holding Corp.	572	11.6
Mathey Investments, Inc.	479	9.7
Danco Acquisition Corp.	458	9.2
Subtotal	\$ 2,724	55.0%
Other companies	2,226	45.0
Total portfolio interest income	\$ 4,950	100.0%

Three months ended December 31, 2008

Company	Interest Income	%
A. Stucki Holding Corp.	\$ 764	11.1%
Chase II Holdings Corp.	708	10.3
Galaxy Tools Holdings Corp.	595	8.6
Noble Logistics, Inc.	431	6.3
Acme Cryogenics, Inc.	426	6.2
Subtotal	\$ 2,924	42.5%
Other companies	3,961	57.5
Total portfolio interest income	\$ 6,885	100.0%

The annualized weighted average yield on our portfolio, excluding cash and cash equivalents, for the three months ended December 31, 2009 was 10.68%, compared to 8.76% for the prior year period. The weighted average yield varies from period to period based on the current stated interest rate on interest-bearing investments and the amounts of loans for which interest is not accruing. The increase in the weighted average yield for the current quarter resulted primarily from our sale of lower interest-bearing senior syndicated loans subsequent to December 31, 2008.

Interest income from invested cash and cash equivalents decreased for the three months ended December 31, 2009, as compared to the prior year period. This decrease is a result of lower interest rates offered by banks, as this income is derived mainly from interest earned on overnight sweeps of cash held at financial institutions, in addition to us using the proceeds from repayments on outstanding loans during the year to pay down our line of credit.

Table of Contents

Other income increased for the three months ended December 31, 2009, as compared the prior year period, due to the receipt of approximately \$953 of accrued cash dividends from A. Stucki Holding Corp. The remaining balance in other income is comprised mainly of loan amendment fees that are amortized over the remaining lives of the respective loans, as well as other miscellaneous income amounts.

Operating Expenses

Total operating expenses, excluding any voluntary and irrevocable credits to the base management and incentive fees, decreased for the three months ended December 31, 2009, primarily due to a reduction in interest expense associated with the Credit Facility, as well as an overall decrease in the amount of fees due to our Adviser, partially offset by an increase in deferred financing fees related to the Credit Facility entered into in April 2009, as compared to the three months ended December 31, 2008.

Loan servicing fees decreased for the three months ended December 31, 2009, as compared to the prior year period. These fees were incurred in connection with a loan servicing agreement between Business Investment and our Adviser, which is based on the value of the aggregate outstanding balance of eligible loans in our portfolio, and were directly credited against the amount of the base management fee due to our Adviser. The decrease in fees is a result of the reduced size of our pledged loan portfolio, caused primarily by the Syndicated Loan Sales.

The base management fee decreased for the three months ended December 31, 2009, as compared to the prior year period, which is reflective of fewer total assets held during the quarter ended December 31, 2009 when compared to the prior year quarter. Likewise, due to the liquidation of the majority of our syndicated loans, the credit received against the gross base management fee for investments in syndicated loans has also been reduced. The base management fee is computed quarterly as described under Investment Advisory and Management Agreement in Note 4 of the notes to the consolidated financial statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K as filed with the SEC on June 2, 2009 and is summarized in the table below:

	Three months ended December 31,	
	2009	2008
Base management fee	\$ 113	\$ 442
<i>Credits to base management fee from Adviser:</i>		
Fee reduction for the waiver of 2% fee on senior syndicated loans to 0.5%	(34)	(413)
Credit for fees received by Adviser from the portfolio companies	(93)	(281)
Credit to base management fee from Adviser	(127)	(694)
Net base management fee	\$ (14)	\$ (252)

An incentive fee was earned by the Adviser during the current quarter, due in part to a one-time dividend received from A. Stucki Holding Corp. The incentive fee and any associated credits are summarized in the table below:

	Three months ended December 31,	
	2009	2008
Incentive fee	\$ 588	\$

Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION/DE - Form 10-Q

Credit from voluntary, irrevocable and unconditional waiver issued by Adviser's board of directors

Net incentive fee	\$	588	\$
--------------------------	-----------	------------	-----------

The administration fee decreased slightly for the three months ended December 31, 2009, as compared the prior year period. This decrease is also a result of fewer total assets held during the quarter ended December 31, 2009 in relation to the other funds administered by Gladstone Administration, LLC, as compared to the prior year period. The calculation of the administration fee is described in detail above under *Investment Advisory and Management Agreement* in Note 4 of the notes to the consolidated financial statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K as filed with the SEC on June 2, 2009.

Interest expense decreased for the three months ended December 31, 2009, as compared to the prior year period as a direct result of decreased borrowings under the Credit Facility during the current quarter. The weighted average balance outstanding on our line of credit during the quarter ended December 31, 2009 was approximately \$16.9 million, as compared to \$117.9 million in the comparable prior year period.

Other operating expenses (including amortization of deferred financing fees, professional fees, stockholder related costs, insurance expense, directors' fees and other direct expenses) increased over the comparable prior year period, driven primarily by increases in deferred financing fees related to the Credit Facility entered into in April 2009 and an increase in professional fees, mainly in additional auditing and accounting fees accrued in the current quarter.

Table of Contents**Realized and Unrealized (Loss) Gain on Investments***Realized Losses*

During the three months ended December 31, 2009, we completed the sales of certain syndicated loans for aggregate proceeds of \$5.5 million and recorded a realized loss of \$1.3 million. No investments were sold or written off during the three months ended December 31, 2008. We sold the majority of our senior syndicated loans during the quarter ended June 30, 2009, and we expect to sell the remaining two senior syndicated loans in the long-term future as we attempt to exit the senior syndicated loan market.

Unrealized Appreciation and Depreciation

Net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments is the net change in the fair value of our investment portfolio during the reporting period, including the reversal of previously recorded unrealized appreciation or depreciation when gains and losses are actually realized. During the three months ended December 31, 2009, we recorded net unrealized depreciation of investments in the aggregate amount of \$6.2 million, compared to \$7.5 million in the prior year period. The unrealized appreciation (depreciation) across our investment classes for the three months ended December 31, 2009 was as follows:

Investment Category	Net Unrealized Appreciation (Depreciation)	
Non-Control/Non-Affiliate	\$	1,383
Control		(8,853)
Affiliate		1,257
Total	\$	(6,213)

We recorded approximately \$1.4 million of net unrealized appreciation on our Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments for the quarter ended December 31, 2009, driven primarily by the reversal of previously unrealized losses associated with the HMTBP sale, as well as a modest appreciation in the value of American Greetings Corp. For the three months ended December 31, 2008, we recorded approximately \$7.0 million of unrealized depreciation on our Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments.

Our Control investments experienced the most significant net unrealized depreciation in our total portfolio, particularly our equity holdings, which alone depreciated in value by an aggregate of \$9.0 million during the quarter ended December 31, 2009. This depreciation in equity securities primarily related to depreciation of our equity positions in A. Stucki Holding Corp., Acme Cryogenics, Inc. and Chase II Holdings Corp., as well as the inclusion of approximately \$0.8 million of unrealized depreciation on our equity position in Mathey, as a result of the reclassification of Mathey as a Control investment during the quarter, partially offset by an increase in the value of our equity position in Cavert II Holdings Corp. The debt portion of our Control investments appreciated in value by an aggregate of approximately \$0.1 million during the current quarter, which includes approximately \$0.2 million of unrealized depreciation on our debt investments in Mathey, resulting from the reclassification of Mathey as a Control investment during the quarter. For the three months ended December 31, 2008, we recorded approximately \$1.8 million of unrealized appreciation on our Control investments.

Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION/DE - Form 10-Q

We recorded approximately \$1.3 million of net unrealized appreciation on our Affiliate investments during the quarter ended December 31, 2009, consisting of approximately \$2.0 million of net unrealized appreciation in equity positions, partially offset by approximately \$0.7 million of unrealized depreciation related to the debt positions. This increase was primarily a result of an increase in the value of our equity position in Quench Holdings Corp. and the impact of the reversal of the unrealized depreciation on our debt and equity investments in Mathey as a result of the reclassification of Mathey to a Control investment. Partially offsetting this increase was a decline in the value of our debt investment in Noble Logistics, Inc. For the three months ended December 31, 2008, we recorded approximately \$2.3 million of unrealized depreciation on our Affiliate investments.

Over our entire investment portfolio, we recorded an aggregate of approximately \$0.8 million of net unrealized appreciation on our debt positions for the quarter ended December 31, 2009, while our equity holdings experienced an aggregate of approximately \$7.0 million of net unrealized depreciation. At December 31, 2009, the fair value of our investment portfolio was less than the cost basis of our portfolio by approximately \$38.5 million, as compared to \$32.3 million at September 30, 2009, representing an increase in net unrealized depreciation of \$6.2 million for the quarter. We believe that our aggregate investment portfolio was valued at a depreciated value due primarily to the general instability of the loan markets even though we saw some return of market liquidity within the senior syndicated loan markets, a general decrease in multiples paid for companies and the general downturn in economic conditions negatively affecting the performance of some of our portfolio companies. Due to continued depreciation in our investments, our entire portfolio was fair valued at 83.0% of cost as of December 31, 2009, compared to 90% of cost as of March 31, 2009. The unrealized depreciation of our investments does not have an impact on our current ability to pay distributions to stockholders; however, it may be an indication of future realized losses, which could ultimately reduce our income available for distribution.

Net Unrealized Depreciation of Borrowings Under Line of Credit

During the quarter ended December 31, 2009, we recorded unrealized depreciation of \$45 for the line of credit that was fair valued in accordance with ASC 825 by an independent third party. ASC 825 was not applicable for the three months ended December 31, 2008.

Table of Contents**Derivatives**

During the quarter ended June 30, 2009, we cancelled our prior interest rate cap agreements and entered into a new interest rate cap agreement with BB&T for a notional amount of \$45.0 million that will effectively limit the interest rate on a portion of the borrowings under the Credit Facility. We incurred a premium fee of approximately \$39 in conjunction with this agreement. As of December 31, 2009, the derivative had a fair value of approximately \$5, and unrealized depreciation of \$7 was recorded for the three months ended December 31, 2009. For the comparable prior year period, the fair market value of our prior interest rate cap agreements remained flat.

Net Decrease in Net Assets Resulting from Operations

For the three months ended December 31, 2009, we recorded a net decrease in net assets resulting from operations of \$4.4 million as a result of the factors discussed above. For the three months ended December 31, 2008, we recorded a net decrease in net assets resulting from operations of \$3.9 million. Our net decrease in net assets resulting from operations per basic and diluted weighted average common share for the quarters ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 were \$0.20 and \$0.18, respectively.

Comparison of the Nine Months Ended December 31, 2009 to the Nine Months Ended December 31, 2008

A comparison of our operating results for the nine months ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 is below:

	2009	For the nine months ended December 31,		
		2008	\$ Change	% Change
INVESTMENT INCOME				
Interest income				
Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments	\$ 1,840	\$ 6,797	\$ (4,957)	(72.9)%
Control investments	8,593	8,372	221	2.6
Affiliate investments	4,533	3,938	595	15.1
Cash and cash equivalents	1	67	(66)	(98.5)
Total interest income	14,967	19,174	(4,207)	(21.9)
Other income	1,066	682	384	56.3
Total investment income	16,033	19,856	(3,823)	(19.3)
EXPENSES				
Loan servicing fee	2,892	3,769	(877)	(23.3)
Base management fee	588	1,303	(715)	(54.9)
Incentive fee	588		588	
Administration fee	527	642	(115)	(17.9)
Interest expense	1,640	4,009	(2,369)	(59.1)
Amortization of deferred finance costs	1,187	324	863	266.4
Professional fees	502	383	119	31.1
Stockholder related costs	276	413	(137)	(33.2)
Insurance expense	190	165	25	15.2

Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION/DE - Form 10-Q

Directors fees	147	145	2	1.4
Other	198	246	(48)	(19.5)
Expenses before credit from Adviser	8,735	11,399	(2,664)	(23.4)
Credits to base management fee	(591)	(1,964)	1,373	(69.9)
Total expenses net of credit to base management fee	8,144	9,435	(1,291)	(13.7)
NET INVESTMENT INCOME	7,889	10,421	(2,532)	(24.3)
REALIZED AND UNREALIZED (LOSS) GAIN ON:				
Realized loss on sale of Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments	(35,922)	(4,215)	(31,707)	752.2
Realized loss on termination of derivative	(53)		(53)	NM
Net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments	36,597	(7,714)	44,311	NM
Net unrealized (depreciation) appreciation of Control investments	(35,234)	7,728	(42,962)	NM
Net unrealized depreciation of Affiliate investments	(4,862)	(13,687)	8,825	(64.5)
Net unrealized appreciation of derivative	19		19	NM
Net unrealized appreciation of borrowings under line of credit	(133)		(133)	NM
Net loss on investments and borrowings under line of credit	(39,588)	(17,888)	(21,700)	121.3
NET DECREASE IN NET ASSETS RESULTING FROM OPERATIONS	\$ (31,699)	\$ (7,467)	\$ (24,232)	324.5%

NM = Not Meaningful

Table of Contents

Investment Income

Investment income decreased for the nine months ended December 31, 2009, as compared to the nine months ended December 31, 2008, due mainly to a reduction in the size of our loan portfolio, as well as decreases in LIBOR over the respective periods.

Interest income from our investments in debt securities decreased for the nine months ended December 31, 2009, as compared to the prior year period for several reasons. The level of interest income from investments is directly related to the balance, at cost, of the interest-bearing investment portfolio outstanding during the period multiplied by the weighted average yield. The weighted average yield varies from period to period based on the current stated interest rate on interest-bearing investments and the amounts of loans for which interest is not accruing. The average cost basis of our interest-bearing investment portfolio during the nine months ended December 31, 2009 was approximately \$210.6 million, compared to approximately \$297.9 million for the prior year period. This decrease was primarily due to the Syndicated Loan Sales that occurred during the current year period, as well as scheduled and unscheduled principal repayments. Also contributing to the decrease in our interest income from investments in debt securities was a decrease in the average LIBOR between the two periods, which was approximately 0.29% for the nine months ended December 31, 2009, as compared to 2.46% for the prior year period.

Interest income from Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments decreased for the nine months ended December 31, 2009, as compared to the prior year period, due to an overall reduction in the size and number of Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments held at December 31, 2009, as compared to the prior year period. At December 31, 2008, we held positions in 35 Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments; however, as a result of the Syndicated Loan Sales, only four Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments were held at December 31, 2009. Also contributing to the decrease in interest income from Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments was a decrease in the average LIBOR between the two periods.

Interest income from Control investments increased slightly for the nine months ended December 31, 2009, as compared to the prior year period. The increase is attributable to two additional Control investments, Galaxy Tool Holding Corp., which was acquired during the second quarter of the prior fiscal year, and Country Club Enterprises, LLC, which was purchased in the third quarter of the prior fiscal year, being held for the full nine months ended December 31, 2009, as opposed to a partial period in the prior year. However, this increase was partially offset by the reclassification of Quench from a Control investment to an Affiliate investment, which took place during the second quarter of the prior fiscal year. Continuing decreases in LIBOR played a minimal role in interest income from Control investments during the current year period, as the majority of these loans include interest rate floors.

Interest income from Affiliate investments also increased for the nine months ended December 31, 2009, as compared to the prior year period. This increase was due mainly to the reclassification of Quench Holdings Corp. as an Affiliate investment, as noted above, and the additional interest income accrued under the Affiliate investments classification as a result.

The following table lists the interest income from investments for the five largest portfolio company investments during the respective periods:

Nine months ended December 31, 2009

Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION/DE - Form 10-Q

Company	Interest Income	%
Chase II Holdings Corp.	\$ 1,942	13.0%
Galaxy Tools Holding Corp.	1,779	11.9
A. Stucki Holding Corp.	1,723	11.5
Acme Cryogenics, Inc.	1,274	8.5
Danco Acquisition Corp.	1,244	8.3
Subtotal	\$ 7,962	53.2%
Other companies	7,004	46.8
Total portfolio interest income	\$ 14,966	100.0%

Table of Contents**Nine months ended December 31, 2008**

Company	Interest Income	%
Chase II Holdings Corp.	\$ 2,138	11.2%
A. Stucki Holding Corp.	2,110	11.0
Acme Cryogenics, Inc.	1,274	6.7
Cavert II Holding Corp.	1,230	6.4
Noble Logistics, Inc.	1,218	6.4
Subtotal	\$ 7,970	41.7%
Other companies	11,137	58.3
Total portfolio interest income	\$ 19,107	100.0%

The annualized weighted average yield on our portfolio, excluding cash and cash equivalents, for the nine months ended December 31, 2009 was 10.16%, compared to 8.12% for the prior year period. The weighted average yield varies from period to period based on the current stated interest rate on interest-bearing investments and the amounts of loans for which interest is not accruing. The increase in the weighted average yield for the current year period resulted primarily from our sale of lower interest-bearing senior syndicated loans subsequent to December 31, 2008.

Interest income from invested cash and cash equivalents decreased for the nine months ended December 31, 2009, as compared to the prior year period. This decrease is a result of lower interest rates offered by banks, as this income is derived mainly from interest earned on overnight sweeps of cash held at financial institutions, in addition to us using the proceeds from repayments on outstanding loans during the year to pay down our line of credit.

Other income increased for the nine months ended December 31, 2009, as compared to the prior year period, due to the receipt of approximately \$953 of accrued cash dividends from A. Stucki Holding Corp. The remaining balance in other income is comprised mainly of loan amendment fees that are amortized over the remaining lives of the respective loans or recognized into income once the investment is disposed of, as well as other miscellaneous income amounts.

Operating Expenses

Total operating expenses, excluding any voluntary and irrevocable credits to the base management fee and incentive fees, decreased for the nine months ended December 31, 2009, primarily due to a reduction in interest expense associated with the Credit Facility, as well as an overall decrease in the amount of fees due to our Adviser, partially offset by an increase in deferred financing fees related to the Credit Facility entered into in April 2009, as compared to the nine months ended December 31, 2008.

Loan servicing fees decreased for the nine months ended December 31, 2009, as compared to the prior year period. These fees were incurred in connection with a loan servicing agreement between Business Investment and our Adviser, which is based on the value of the aggregate outstanding portfolio pledged against the Credit Facility. These fees were directly credited against the amount of the base management fee due to our Adviser. The decrease in fees is a direct result of the reduced size of our pledged loan portfolio, caused primarily by the Syndicated Loan Sales that occurred during the current year period.

Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION/DE - Form 10-Q

The base management fee decreased for the nine months ended December 31, 2009, as compared to the prior year period, which is reflective of fewer total assets held during the nine months ended December 31, 2009 when compared to the prior year period. Likewise, due to the liquidation of the majority of our syndicated loans, the credit received against the gross base management fee for investments in syndicated loans has also been reduced. The base management fee is computed quarterly as described under *Investment Advisory and Management Agreement* in Note 4 of the notes to the consolidated financial statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K as filed on June 2, 2009, and is summarized in the table below:

	Nine months ended December 31,	
	2009	2008
Base management fee	\$ 588	\$ 1,303
Credits to base management fee from Adviser:		
Fee reduction for the waiver of 2% fee on senior syndicated loans to 0.5%	(265)	(1,220)
Credit for fees received by Adviser from the portfolio companies	(326)	(744)
Credit to base management fee from Adviser	(591)	(1,964)
Net base management fee	\$ (3)	\$ (661)

An incentive fee was earned by the Adviser during the nine months ended December 31, 2009, primarily due to a one-time dividend received from A. Stucki Holding Corp. The incentive fee and any associated credits for the nine months ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 are summarized in the table below:

Table of Contents

	Nine months ended December 31,	
	2009	2008
Incentive fee	\$	588
Credit from voluntary, irrevocable and unconditional waiver issued by Adviser s board of directors		\$
Net incentive fee	\$	588

The administration fee decreased for the nine months ended December 31, 2009, as compared to the prior year period. The decrease in the current year period was due to an overall reduction of administration staff and related expenses incurred by our Administrator and, further, is a result of fewer total assets held during the quarter ended December 31, 2009 in relation to the other funds administered by Gladstone Administration, LLC, as compared to the prior year period. The calculation of the administration fee is described in detail under *Investment Advisory and Management Agreement* in Note 4 of the notes to the consolidated financial statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K as filed on June 2, 2009.

Interest expense decreased for the nine months ended December 31, 2009, as compared to the prior year period, a direct result of decreased borrowings under the Credit Facility during the current period. The weighted average balance outstanding on our line of credit during the nine months ended December 31, 2009 was approximately \$29.0 million, as compared to \$106.3 million in the prior year period.

Other operating expenses (including amortization of deferred financing fees, professional fees, stockholder related costs, insurance expense, directors fees and other direct expenses) increased over the comparable prior year period, driven primarily by increases in deferred financing fees related to the Credit Facility entered into in April 2009 and an increase in professional fees. Partially offsetting this increase were decreases in stockholder related costs, such as lower annual meeting costs, and other direct expenses, including decreased backup servicer fees and fewer travel expenses incurred during the current year period.

Realized and Unrealized (Loss) Gain on Investments*Realized Losses*

During the nine months ended December 31, 2009, we exited 30 senior syndicated loans and a portion of another senior syndicated loan for aggregate proceeds of approximately \$74.7 million in cash and recorded a realized loss of approximately \$35.9 million. For the nine months ended December 31, 2008, we received approximately \$13.3 million in cash proceeds and recognized a net loss on the sale of nine syndicated loans and the write-off of another syndicated loan in the aggregate amount of \$4.2 million. The increase in realized losses is attributable to the Senior Syndicated Loan Sales, which resulted from the liquidity needs associated with the repayment of amounts outstanding under our prior credit facility with Deutsche Bank, which matured in April 2009.

Unrealized Appreciation and Depreciation

Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION/DE - Form 10-Q

Net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments is the net change in the fair value of our investment portfolio during the reporting period, including the reversal of previously recorded unrealized appreciation or depreciation when gains and losses are actually realized. During the nine months ended December 31, 2009, we recorded net unrealized depreciation of investments in the aggregate amount of \$3.5 million, compared to net unrealized depreciation of investments in the aggregate amount of \$13.7 million for the prior year period. The unrealized appreciation (depreciation) across our investment classes for the nine months ended December 31, 2009 was as follows:

Investment Category	Net Unrealized Appreciation (Depreciation)	
Non-Control/Non-Affiliate	\$	36,597*
Control		(35,234)
Affiliate		(4,862)
Total	\$	(3,499)

* Includes the reversal of approximately \$35.7 million of previously recorded unrealized depreciation related to the sale of syndicated loans, which resulted in a \$35.9 million of realized loss for the current period.

We recorded approximately \$36.6 million of net unrealized appreciation of our Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments for the nine months ended December 31, 2009, due primarily to the reversal of \$35.7 million of previously recorded unrealized depreciation noted in the table above, and appreciation in value in the aggregate amount of approximately \$0.9 million on our remaining Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments. Survey Sampling, LLC, experienced the most significant devaluation; however, this was partially offset by increases in the values of Interstate FiberNet, Inc. and American Greetings Corp. For the nine months ended December 31, 2008, we recorded approximately \$7.7 million of unrealized depreciation on our Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments.

Our Control investments experienced the most significant net unrealized depreciation in our total portfolio, particularly our equity holdings, which alone depreciated in value by an aggregate of approximately \$34.9 million during the nine months ended December

Table of Contents

31, 2009. This depreciation in equity securities primarily related to depreciation of our equity positions in A. Stucki Holding Corp., Acme Cryogenics, Inc., Chase II Holdings Corp., Country Club Enterprises, LLC and Galaxy Tool Holding Corp., as well as the inclusion of approximately \$0.8 million of unrealized depreciation on our equity position in Mathey, as a result of the reclassification of Mathey as a Control investment during the nine months ended December 31, 2009, partially offset by an increase in the value of our equity position in Cavert II Holdings Corp. The debt portion of our Control investments depreciated in value by an aggregate of approximately \$0.3 million during the nine months ended December 31, 2009, including approximately \$0.2 million of unrealized depreciation on our debt investments in Mathey as a result of the reclassification of Mathey as a Control investment during the nine months ended December 31, 2009. For the nine months ended December 31, 2008, we recorded approximately \$7.7 million of unrealized appreciation on our Control investments.

We recorded approximately \$4.9 million of net unrealized depreciation on our Affiliate investments during the nine month period ended December 31, 2009, consisting of approximately \$3.6 million of net unrealized depreciation in equity positions and \$1.3 million of unrealized depreciation related to debt positions. This decrease was primarily due to decreases in the value of our equity positions in Danco Acquisition Corp. and Tread Corp. and in our debt investments in Noble Logistics, Inc. Partially offsetting the net decrease were increases in the value of our debt investments in Danco Acquisition Corp., as well as an appreciation in the value of our overall investment in Quench Holdings Corp. For the nine months ended December 31, 2008, we recorded approximately \$13.7 million of unrealized depreciation on our Affiliate investments.

Over our entire investment portfolio, we recorded an aggregate of approximately \$34.9 million of net unrealized appreciation on our debt positions for the nine months ended December 31, 2009, while our equity holdings experienced an aggregate of approximately \$38.4 million of net unrealized depreciation. At December 31, 2009, the fair value of our investment portfolio was less than the cost basis of our portfolio by approximately \$38.5 million, as compared to \$35.0 million at March 31, 2009, representing net unrealized appreciation of \$3.5 million for the period. We believe that our aggregate investment portfolio was valued at a depreciated value due primarily to the general instability of the loan markets even though we saw some return of market liquidity within the senior syndicated loan markets. Although our investment portfolio has depreciated, our entire portfolio was fair valued at 83.0% of cost as of December 31, 2009. The unrealized depreciation of our investments does not have an impact on our current ability to pay distributions to stockholders; however, it may be an indication of future realized losses, which could ultimately reduce our income available for distribution.

Net Unrealized Appreciation of Borrowings Under Line of Credit

During the nine months ended December 31, 2009, we recorded unrealized appreciation of \$133 for the line of credit that was fair valued in accordance with ASC 825 by an independent third party. We adopted ASC 825 during June 2009 and the Credit Facility was valued as its cost basis for that period. ASC 825 was not applicable for the nine months ended December 31, 2008.

Derivatives

During the nine months ended December 31, 2009, we cancelled our prior interest rate cap agreements, recorded a realized loss of \$53, and entered into a new interest rate cap agreement with BB&T for a notional amount of \$45.0 million that will effectively limit the interest rate on a portion of the borrowings under the Credit Facility. We incurred a premium fee of approximately \$39 in conjunction with this agreement. As of December 31, 2009, the derivative had a fair value of approximately \$5, representing an unrealized depreciation of \$34 for the nine months ended December 31, 2009 on our current interest rate cap agreement. For the prior year period, the fair market value of our prior interest rate cap agreements remained flat.

Net Decrease in Net Assets Resulting from Operations

For the nine months ended December 31, 2009, we recorded a net decrease in net assets resulting from operations of \$31.7 million as a result of the factors discussed above. For the nine months ended December 31, 2008, we recorded a net decrease in net assets resulting from operations of \$7.5 million. Our net decrease in net assets resulting from operations per basic and diluted weighted average common share for the nine months ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 were \$1.44 and \$0.35, respectively.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Operating Activities

Net cash provided by operating activities for the nine months ended December 31, 2009 was approximately \$172.8 million and consisted primarily of proceeds from borrowings under the short-term loan, as discussed in Note 7, *Short-Term Loan*, in the accompanying notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements, proceeds received from the syndicated loan sales and the net loss realized on those sales, and principal payments received from existing investments. For the nine months ended December 31, 2008, net cash provided by operating activities was approximately \$5.6 million and consisted primarily of principal loan repayments, proceeds from the sale of existing portfolio investments, and net unrealized depreciation of our investments, partially offset by the purchase of three new investments and add-ons to existing investments.

Table of Contents

At December 31, 2009, we had investments in equity of, loans to, or syndicated participations in 16 private companies with a cost basis totaling approximately \$225.9 million. At December 31, 2008, we had investments in equity of, loans to, or syndicated participations in 47 private companies with an aggregate cost basis of approximately \$354.2 million. A summary of our investment activity for the nine months ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 is as follows:

Quarter Ended	Investment Disbursements (1)	Principal Repayments (2)	Proceeds from Sales/Exits (3)	Net Loss on Disposal (3)
June 30, 2009	\$ 1,500(a)	\$ 7,575(a)	\$ 69,222	\$ (34,604)
September 30, 2009	318	2,757		
December 31, 2009	595	4,496	5,484	(1,318)
Total	\$ 2,413	\$ 14,828	\$ 74,706	\$ (35,922)

Quarter Ended	Investment Disbursements (1)	Principal Repayments (2)	Proceeds from Sales/Exits (3)	Net Loss on Disposal (3)
June 30, 2008	\$ 8,980	\$ 3,493	\$ 13,246	\$ (1,717)
September 30, 2008	27,632	18,791	50	(2,498)
December 31, 2008	11,043	4,469		
Total	\$ 47,655	\$ 26,753	\$ 13,296	\$ (4,215)

(a) Includes a non-cash transaction whereby a portfolio company, Cavert II Holdings Corp., drew \$850 on its revolving line of credit and immediately used the proceeds to pay down its senior term A and senior term B loans. No cash was disbursed in this transaction, as it was simply a transfer of balance. The \$850 drawn on the credit line was subsequently paid off in full, and the line of credit was sold to a third party for a nominal fee.

(1) Investment Disbursements:

Quarter Ended	New Investments		Disbursements to Existing Portfolio Companies		Total Disbursements
	Companies	Investments			
June 30, 2009	0	\$	\$	1,500(a)	\$ 1,500(a)
September 30, 2009	0			318	318
December 31, 2009	0			595	595
Total	0	\$	\$	2,413	\$ 2,413

Quarter Ended	New Investments		Disbursements to Existing Portfolio Companies		Total Disbursements
	Companies	Investments			
June 30, 2008	1	(b) \$ 5,753	\$ 3,227	\$	\$ 8,980
September 30, 2008	2	(c) 25,210	2,422		27,632
December 31, 2008	1	(d) 10,725	318		11,043
Total	4	\$ 41,688	\$ 5,967	\$	47,655

(a) See note (a) above.

(b) Tread Corporation.

Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION/DE - Form 10-Q

(c) Consists of aggregate investments in the amount of \$21.4 million in Galaxy Tool Corp. and an add-on investment of \$3.8 million in A. Stucki Holding Corp. to finance its acquisition of AlcoSprings.

(d) Country Club Enterprises, LLC.

(2) Principal Repayments:

Quarter Ended	Scheduled Principal Repayments	Unscheduled Principal Repayments (a)	Total Principal Repayments
June 30, 2009	\$ 2,004	\$ 5,571(b)	\$ 7,575
September 30, 2009	387	2,370(c)	2,757
December 31, 2009	396	4,100(d)	4,496
Total	\$ 2,787	\$ 12,041	\$ 14,828

Quarter Ended	Scheduled Principal Repayments	Unscheduled Principal Repayments (*)	Total Principal Repayments
June 30, 2008	\$ 2,516	\$ 977	\$ 3,493
September 30, 2008	3,294	15,497(e)	18,791
December 31, 2008	2,018	2,451	4,469
Total	\$ 7,828	\$ 18,925	\$ 26,753

(a) Includes principal repayments due to excess cash flows, covenant trips, exits, refinancings, etc.

Table of Contents

- (b) Includes principal payments received in connection with the refinancings of A. Stucki Holding Corp. and Cavert II Holdings Corp.
- (c) Includes \$2.0 million voluntary prepayment from Cavert II Holdings Corp. on its Senior Term Debt.
- (d) Includes full repayment of Chase II Holdings Corp. line of credit in the amount of \$3.5 million.
- (e) Includes early payoff of Hudson in the amount of \$6.0 million and principal proceeds of \$7.0 million received in connection with the Quench Holdings Corp. restructuring.

(3) Investment Sales / Exits:

Quarter Ended	Number of Investments Exited	Proceeds Received	Position Exited	Unamortized Loan Costs (a)	Net Loss on Exit
June 30, 2009	29	(b) \$ 69,222	\$ 103,772	\$ 55	\$ (34,604)
September 30, 2009	0				
December 31, 2009	2	(c) 5,484	6,810	(8)	(1,318)
Total	31	\$ 74,706	\$ 110,582	\$ 47	\$ (35,922)

Quarter Ended	Number of Investments Exited	Proceeds Received	Position Exited	Unamortized Loan Costs (#)	Net Loss on Exit
June 30, 2008	9	(d) \$ 13,246	\$ 14,926	\$ 37	\$ (1,717)
September 30, 2008	2	(e) 50(f)	2,530	18	(2,498)
December 31, 2008	0				
Total	11	\$ 13,296	\$ 17,456	\$ 55	\$ (4,215)

- (a) Includes the balance of premiums, discounts, acquisition costs, and deferred compensation unamortized at time of exit.
- (b) One syndicated loan (Critical Homecare Solutions) was sold in two separate installments.
- (c) Includes the partial sale of Interstate FiberNet, Inc.
- (d) Includes the partial sale of three syndicated loans still held subsequent to June 30, 2008 (CRC Health Group, Graham Packaging and Pinnacle Foods). One syndicated loan (NPC International) was sold in two separate installments.
- (e) Includes the write-off of Lexicon and early payoff of Hudson.
- (f) Dividends received in excess of the gain realized on the restructuring of Quench Holdings Corp., which reduced our equity basis in the investment.

Investment Activity

As discussed elsewhere in this report, during April and May of 2009, we sold 29 of our senior syndicated loans held at March 31, 2009 for an aggregate of approximately \$69.2 million in cash proceeds and recorded a realized loss of approximately \$34.6 million in connection with these sales. These loans were sold to pay down all unpaid principal and interest owed to Deutsche Bank under our prior credit facility. Additionally, in October 2009, we completed the sales of certain senior syndicated loans (HMTBP Acquisition II Corp. and a portion of Interstate FiberNet, Inc.) to various investors in the syndicated loan market and received approximately \$5.5 million in net cash proceeds and recorded a realized loss of approximately \$1.3 million.

In April 2009, we received cash payments in the aggregate of approximately \$2.9 million from A. Stucki Holding Corp. and Cavert II Holdings Corp., representing prepayments on their respective principal amounts due. Furthermore, in October 2009, our revolving line of credit with Chase II Holdings Corp. was refinanced, and the outstanding balance of \$3.5 million was repaid in full. See Note 3, *Investments* in our notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements for additional information on these activities.

During October 2009, one of our portfolio companies entered into an agreement with an investment banker to act as an advisor in assessing strategic investment alternatives. It is premature in the process to speculate on what these strategic alternatives might be or what impact, if any, such activities may have on our investment in the subject portfolio company.

During October 2009, A. Stucki Holding Corp. declared and paid accrued cash dividends on its preferred stock, of which we received approximately \$953.

Short-term Loan Agreement

On December 29, 2009, we purchased \$85.0 million of short-term United States Treasury securities through Jefferies. The securities were purchased with \$10.0 million in funds drawn on the Credit Facility and the proceeds from a \$75.0 million short-term loan from Jefferies with an effective annual interest rate of approximately 0.59%. On January 7, 2010, the securities matured, and we repaid the \$75.0 million loan from Jefferies in full and, on January 8, 2010, repaid the \$10.0 million drawn on the Credit Facility for purposes of this transaction. If necessary and available to us, we may use a similar form of loan agreement in future quarters as a financing option in order to satisfy certain quarterly asset diversification requirements and maintain our status as a RIC under Subchapter M of the Code.

Table of Contents

Our most recent investment in a new portfolio company occurred in November 2008. In light of current economic conditions, limited borrowings available under the Credit Facility, constraints on our ability to access the capital markets and the restraints upon our investing activities required to maintain our RIC status, our near-term strategy will be focused on retaining capital and building the value of our existing portfolio companies. We will also, where prudent and possible, consider the sale of lower-yielding investments. This strategy has resulted, and may continue to result, in significantly reduced investment activity, as our ability to make new investments under these conditions is largely dependent on availability of proceeds from the sale or exit of existing portfolio investments, events which may be beyond our control, and our ability to satisfy the asset diversification test under the Code. As our capital constraints and asset diversification improve, we intend to continue our strategy of making conservative investments in businesses that we believe will weather the current economic condition and that are likely to produce attractive long-term returns for our stockholders.

Financing Activities

Net cash used in financing activities during the nine months ended December 31, 2009 was approximately \$92.2 million, which consisted primarily of net repayments made on the line of credit, in connection with the termination of our prior credit facility, and distributions paid to our stockholders. During the nine months ended December 31, 2008, net cash used in financing activities was approximately \$1.8 million, due mainly to net repayments made on the credit facility in excess of borrowings and distributions paid, partially offset by the Rights Offering (as defined below).

Distributions

In order to qualify as a RIC and to avoid corporate level tax on the income we distribute to our stockholders, we are required, under Subchapter M of the Code, to distribute at least 90% of our ordinary income and short-term capital gains to our stockholders on an annual basis. In accordance with these requirements, we declared and paid monthly cash distributions of \$0.04 per common share during each month of the quarter ended December 31, 2009. Generally we seek to distribute 100% of our ordinary income in order to avoid taxes on our income. For the nine months ended December 31, 2009, our distributions to stockholders of approximately \$7.9 million exceeded our net investment income by approximately \$60. We declared these distributions based on our estimates of net investment income for the fiscal year. During the first quarter of fiscal year 2010, we reduced our monthly distribution from \$0.08 to \$0.04 per common share.

Section 19(a) Disclosure

Our Board of Directors estimates the source of the distributions at the time of their declaration as required by Section 19(a) of the 1940 Act. On a monthly basis, if required under Section 19(a), we post a Section 19(a) notice through the Depository Trust Company's Legal Notice System (LENS) and also sends to its registered stockholders a written Section 19(a) notice along with the payment of distributions for any payment which includes a distribution estimated to be paid from any other source other than net investment income. The estimates of the source of the distribution are interim estimates based on GAAP that are subject to revision, and the exact character of the distributions for tax purposes cannot be determined until our final books and records are finalized for the calendar year. Following the calendar year end, after definitive information has been determined by us, if we have made distributions of taxable income (or return of capital), we will deliver a Form 1099-DIV to our stockholders specifying such amount and the tax characterization of such amount. Therefore, these estimates are made solely in order to comply with the requirements of Section 19(a) of the 1940 Act and should not be relied upon for tax reporting or any other purposes and could differ significantly from the actual character of distributions for tax purposes.

Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION/DE - Form 10-Q

The following GAAP estimates were made by the Board of Directors during the quarter ended December 31, 2009:

Payment Date	Ordinary Income		Return of Capital		Total Distribution
October 30, 2009	\$	0.037	\$	0.003	\$ 0.040
November 30, 2009		0.040			0.040
December 31, 2009		0.042		(0.002)	0.040

Because the Board of Directors declares distributions at the beginning of a quarter, it is difficult to estimate how much of our monthly distributions, based on GAAP, will come from ordinary income, capital gains and returns of capital. Subsequent to the quarter ended December 31, 2009, the following corrections were made to the above listed estimates for that quarter:

Payment Date	Ordinary Income		Return of Capital		Total Distribution
October 30, 2009	\$	0.042	\$	(0.002)	\$ 0.040
November 30, 2009		0.081		(0.041)	0.040
December 31, 2009		0.016		0.024	0.040

Table of Contents

For distributions declared subsequent to quarter end, the following estimates, based on GAAP, have been made pursuant to Section 19(a) of the 1940 Act:

Payment Date	Ordinary Income	Return of Capital	Total Distribution
January 29, 2010	\$ 0.042	\$ (0.002)	\$ 0.040
February 26, 2010	0.035	0.005	0.040
March 31, 2010	0.045	(0.005)	0.040

Issuance of Equity

On July 21, 2009, we filed a registration statement (the Registration Statement) with the SEC that was amended on October 2, 2009. The Registration Statement was declared effective on October 8, 2009, and it will permit us to issue, through one or more transactions, up to an aggregate of \$300.0 million in securities, consisting of common stock, senior common stock, preferred stock, subscription rights, debt securities and warrants to purchase common stock, or a combination of these securities. To date, we have incurred approximately \$64 of costs in connection with the Registration Statement.

We anticipate issuing equity securities to obtain additional capital in the future. However, we cannot determine the terms of any future equity issuances or whether we will be able to issue equity on terms favorable to us, or at all. Additionally, when our common stock is trading below net asset value, we will have regulatory constraints under the 1940 Act on our ability to obtain additional capital in this manner. At December 31, 2009, our stock closed trading at \$4.56, representing a 42.5% discount to our net asset value of \$7.93 per share. Generally, the 1940 Act provides that we may not issue stock for a price below net asset value per share, without first obtaining the approval of our stockholders and our independent directors or through a rights offering.

We raised additional capital within these regulatory constraints in April 2008 through an offering of transferable subscription rights to purchase additional shares of common stock (the Rights Offering). Pursuant to the Rights Offering, we sold 5,520,033 shares of our common stock at a subscription price of \$7.48 per share, which represented a purchase price equal to 93% of the weighted average closing price of our stock in the last five trading days of the subscription period. Net proceeds of the offering, after offering expenses borne by us, were approximately \$40.4 million and were used to repay outstanding borrowings under our line of credit. Should our common stock continue to trade below its net asset value per share, we may seek to conduct similar offerings in the future in order to raise additional capital, although there can be no assurance that we will be successful in our efforts to raise capital.

Future Capital Resources

At our 2009 annual stockholders meeting, our stockholders approved a proposal that allows us to issue long-term rights, including warrants to purchase shares of our common stock at an exercise price per share that will not be less than the greater of the market value or net asset value of our common stock at a time such rights may be issued. This proposal is in effect until our next annual stockholders meeting, currently scheduled for August 2010, at which time we currently expect that we will ask our stockholders to vote in favor of renewing this proposal for another year.

Revolving Credit Facility

On April 14, 2009, we entered into the Credit Facility, which provides for a \$50.0 million revolving line of credit arranged by BB&T as administrative agent, replacing Deutsche Bank who served as administrative agent under our prior credit facility. Key Equipment Finance, Inc. also joined the Credit Facility as a committed lender. In connection with our entry into the Credit Facility, we borrowed \$43.8 million under the Credit Facility to repay Deutsche Bank in full all amounts outstanding under the prior credit agreement. The Credit Facility may be expanded up to \$125.0 million through the addition of other committed lenders to the facility. The Credit Facility matures on April 14, 2010 and, if the facility is not renewed or extended by this date, all unpaid principal and interest will be due and payable within one year of maturity. Advances under the Credit Facility will generally bear interest at the 30 day LIBOR rate (subject to a minimum rate of 2%), plus 5% per annum, with a commitment fee of 0.75% per annum on undrawn amounts.

Interest is payable monthly during the term of the Credit Facility. After April 14, 2010, if the Credit Facility is not renewed, all collections of principal from our loans are required to be used to pay outstanding principal under the Credit Facility. Available borrowings are subject to various constraints imposed under the Credit Facility, based on the aggregate loan balance pledged by Business Investment.

The Credit Facility contains covenants that require Business Investment to maintain its status as a separate entity, prohibit certain significant transactions (such as mergers, consolidations, liquidations or dissolutions) and restrict material changes to our credit and collection policies without lenders consent. The Credit Facility also limits the borrower and industry concentrations of loans that are eligible to secure advances as well as limits on payments of distributions limited to the aggregate net investment income for the prior twelve months preceding April 2010. As of December 31, 2009, Business Investment was in compliance with all of the facility covenants. We are also subject to certain limitations on the type of loan investments we make, including restrictions on geographic concentrations, sector concentrations, loan size, dividend payout, payment frequency and status, average life and lien property. The Credit Facility also requires us to comply with other financial and operational covenants, which require us to, among other things,

Table of Contents

maintain certain financial ratios, including asset and interest coverage a minimum net worth, and a minimum number of obligors required in the borrowing base of the credit agreement.

As of February 8, 2010, there was approximately \$15.0 million of borrowings outstanding on the Credit Facility at an interest rate of approximately 7.0%, and the remaining borrowing capacity under the Credit Facility was approximately \$33.7 million.

During May 2009, we cancelled our interest rate cap agreement with Deutsche Bank and entered into a new interest rate cap agreement with BB&T for a notional amount of \$45.0 million that will effectively limit the interest rate on a portion of the borrowings under the Credit Facility. We incurred a premium fee of approximately \$39 in conjunction with this agreement. As of December 31, 2009, the interest rate cap agreement had depreciated by approximately \$34 and had a fair value of \$5.

In conjunction with entering into the Credit Facility, we amended a performance guaranty which remains substantially similar to the form under the previous credit facility. The performance guaranty requires us to maintain a minimum net worth of \$169.0 million plus 50% of all equity and subordinated debt raised after April 14, 2009, to maintain asset coverage with respect to senior securities representing indebtedness of at least 200%, in accordance with Section 18 of the 1940 Act, and to maintain our status as a BDC under the 1940 Act and as a RIC under the Code. As of December 31, 2009, we were in compliance with the covenants under the performance guaranty.

Our continued compliance with these covenants, however, depends on many factors, some of which are beyond our control. In particular, depreciation in the valuation of our assets, which valuation is subject to changing market conditions that are presently very volatile, affects our ability to comply with these covenants. During the nine months ended December 31, 2009, net unrealized depreciation on our investments was approximately \$3.5 million, primarily brought about by continuing devaluations in our equity holdings of various portfolio companies, partially offset by the reversal of \$35.7 million of previously unrealized depreciation on syndicated loans that were sold during the period, compared to an unrealized depreciation of approximately \$13.7 million during the prior year period. Given the continued deterioration in the capital markets, net unrealized depreciation in our portfolio may continue to threaten our ability to comply with the covenants under the Credit Facility. Accordingly, there are no assurances that we will continue to comply with these covenants. Failure to comply with these covenants would result in a default, which, if we were unable to obtain a waiver from the lenders, could accelerate our repayment obligations under the Credit Facility and thereby have a material adverse impact on our liquidity, financial condition, results of operations and ability to pay distributions, as more fully described below.

The Credit Facility matures on April 14, 2010, and, if the facility is not renewed or extended by this date, all unpaid principal and interest will be due and payable within one year of maturity (April 14, 2011) and all collections of principal from our loans will be required to be used to pay outstanding principal under the Credit Facility. There can be no guarantee that we will be able to renew, extend or replace the Credit Facility on terms that are favorable to us, or at all. Our ability to obtain replacement financing will be constrained by current economic conditions affecting the credit markets, which have significantly deteriorated over the last several quarters and may decline further. Consequently, any renewal, extension or refinancing of the Credit Facility may result in significantly higher interest rates and related charges and may impose significant restrictions on the use of borrowed funds with regard to our ability to fund investments or maintain distributions. For instance, in connection with the establishment of the Credit Facility, the size of the line was reduced from \$125.0 million under our prior facility to \$50.0 million under the Credit Facility, as Deutsche Bank, who was a committed lender of our prior credit facility, elected not to participate in the new facility and withdrew its commitment. If we are not able to renew, extend or refinance the Credit Facility, this would likely have a material adverse effect on our liquidity and ability to fund new investments or pay distributions to our stockholders. Our inability to pay distributions could result in us failing to qualify as a RIC. Consequently, any income or gains could become taxable at corporate rates. If we are unable to secure replacement financing, we may be forced to sell certain assets on disadvantageous terms, which may result in realized losses such as those recorded in connection with the Syndicated Loan Sales, which resulted in a realized loss of approximately \$34.6 million for the first quarter of fiscal year 2010. Such realized losses could materially exceed the amount of any unrealized depreciation on these assets as of our most recent balance sheet

date, which would have a material adverse effect on our results of operations. In addition to selling assets, or as an alternative, we may issue equity in order to repay amounts outstanding under the Credit Facility. Based on the recent trading prices of our stock, such an equity offering may have a substantial dilutive impact on our existing stockholders' interest in our earnings and assets and voting interest in us.

Contractual Obligations and Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We were not a party to any signed term sheets for potential investments as of December 31, 2009.

In October 2008, we executed a guaranty of a vehicle finance facility agreement between Ford Motor Credit Company (FMC) and Auto Safety House, LLC (ASH), one of our Control investments (the Finance Facility). The Finance Facility provides ASH with a line of credit of up to \$0.5 million for component Ford parts used by ASH to build truck bodies under a separate contract. Title and ownership of the parts is retained by Ford. The guaranty of the Finance Facility will expire upon termination of the separate parts supply contract with Ford or upon our replacement as guarantor. The Finance Facility is secured by all of the assets of Business Investment. As of December 31, 2009, we have not been required to make any payments on the guaranty of the Finance Facility, and we consider the credit risk to be remote.

Table of Contents

Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of financial statements and related disclosures in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (GAAP) requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported consolidated amounts of assets and liabilities, including disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and revenues and expenses during the period reported. Actual results could differ materially from those estimates. We have identified our investment valuation process, which was modified during the quarter ended September 30, 2009, as our most critical accounting policy.

Investment Valuation

The most significant estimate inherent in the preparation of our condensed consolidated financial statements is the valuation of investments and the related amounts of unrealized appreciation and depreciation of investments recorded.

General Valuation Policy: We value our investments in accordance with the requirements of the 1940 Act. As discussed more fully below, we value securities for which market quotations are readily available and reliable at their market value. We value all other securities and assets at fair value as determined in good faith by our Board of Directors.

We adopted ASC 820-10 on April 1, 2008. In part, ASC 820-10 defines fair value and establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures about assets and liabilities measured at fair value. The new guidance provides a consistent definition of fair value that focuses on exit price in the principal, or most advantageous, market and prioritizes, within a measurement of fair value, the use of market-based inputs over entity-specific inputs. The guidance also establishes the following three-level hierarchy for fair value measurements based upon the transparency of inputs to the valuation of an asset or liability as of the measurement date.

- Level 1 inputs to the valuation methodology are quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets;
- Level 2 inputs to the valuation methodology include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, and inputs that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the financial instrument. Level 2 inputs are in those markets for which there are few transactions, the prices are not current, little public information exists or instances where prices vary substantially over time or among brokered market makers; and
- Level 3 inputs to the valuation methodology are unobservable and significant to the fair value measurement. Unobservable inputs are those inputs that reflect our own assumptions that market participants would use to price the asset or liability based upon the best available information.

Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION\DE - Form 10-Q

See Note 3, *Investments* in the accompanying notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements for additional information regarding fair value measurements and our adoption of ASC 820-10.

We use generally accepted valuation techniques to value our portfolio unless we have specific information about the value of an investment to determine otherwise. From time to time we may accept an appraisal of a business in which we hold securities. These appraisals are expensive and occur infrequently but provide a third-party valuation opinion that may differ in results, techniques and scopes used to value our investments. When these specific third-party appraisals are engaged or accepted, we would use estimates of value provided by such appraisals and our own assumptions, including estimated remaining life, current market yield and interest rate spreads of similar securities as of the measurement date, to value the investment we have in that business.

In determining the value of our investments, our Adviser has established an investment valuation policy (the *Policy*). The Policy has been approved by our Board of Directors, and each quarter the Board of Directors reviews whether our Adviser has applied the Policy consistently and votes whether or not to accept the recommended valuation of our investment portfolio.

The Policy, which is summarized below, applies to the following categories of securities:

- Publicly-traded securities;
- Securities for which a limited market exists; and
- Securities for which no market exists.

Valuation Methods:

Publicly-traded securities: We determine the value of publicly-traded securities based on the closing price for the security on the exchange or securities market on which it is listed and primarily traded on the valuation date. To the extent that we own restricted securities that are not freely tradable, but for which a public market otherwise exists, we will use the market value of that security adjusted for any decrease in value resulting from the restrictive feature.

Securities for which a limited market exists: We value securities that are not traded on an established secondary securities market, but for which a limited market for the security exists, such as certain participations in, or assignments of, syndicated loans, at the

Table of Contents

quoted bid price. In valuing these assets, we assess trading activity in an asset class, evaluate variances in prices and other market insights to determine if any available quote prices are reliable. If we conclude that quotes based on active markets or trading activity may be relied upon, firm bid prices are requested; however, if a firm bid price is unavailable, we base the value of the security upon the indicative bid price offered by the respective originating syndication agent's trading desk, or secondary desk, on or near the valuation date. To the extent that we use the indicative bid price as a basis for valuing the security, our Adviser may take further steps to consider additional information to validate that price in accordance with the Policy.

In the event these limited markets become illiquid such that market prices are no longer readily available, we will value our syndicated loans using estimated net present values of the future cash flows or discounted cash flows. The use of a DCF methodology follows that prescribed by ASC 820-10, which provides guidance on the use of a reporting entity's own assumptions about future cash flows and risk-adjusted discount rates when relevant observable inputs, such as quotes in active markets, are not available. When relevant observable market data does not exist, the alternative outlined in ASC 820-10 is the use of valuing investments based on DCF. For the purposes of using DCF to provide fair value estimates, we consider multiple inputs such as a risk-adjusted discount rate that incorporates adjustments that market participants would make both for nonperformance and liquidity risks. As such, we developed a modified discount rate approach that incorporates risk premiums including, among others, increased probability of default, or higher loss given default, or increased liquidity risk. The DCF valuations applied to the syndicated loans provide an estimate of what we believe a market participant would pay to purchase a syndicated loan in an active market, thereby establishing a fair value. We apply the DCF methodology in illiquid markets until quoted prices are available or are deemed reliable based on trading activity.

Through December 31, 2009, we assessed trading activity in syndicated loan assets and determined that there continued to be market liquidity and a secondary market for these assets. Thus, firm bid prices or indicative bids were used to fair value our remaining syndicated loans at December 31, 2009.

Securities for which no market exists: The valuation methodology for securities for which no market exists falls into three categories: (1) portfolio investments comprised solely of debt securities; (2) portfolio investments in controlled companies comprised of a bundle of securities, which can include debt and equity securities; and (3) portfolio investments in non-controlled companies comprised of a bundle of investments, which can include debt and equity securities.

(1) **Portfolio investments comprised solely of debt securities:** Debt securities that are not publicly traded on an established securities market, or for which a limited market does not exist (Non-Public Debt Securities), and that are issued by portfolio companies where we have no equity, or equity-like securities, are fair valued in accordance with the terms of the policy, which utilizes opinions of value submitted to us by Standard & Poor's Securities Evaluations, Inc. (SPSE). We may also submit paid PIK interest to SPSE for their evaluation when it is determined that PIK interest is likely to be received.

In the case of Non-Public Debt Securities, we have engaged SPSE to submit opinions of value for our debt securities that are issued by portfolio companies in which we own no equity, or equity-like securities. SPSE's opinions of value are based on the valuations prepared by our portfolio management team as described below. We request that SPSE also evaluate and assign values to success fees (conditional interest included in some loan securities) when we determine that there is a reasonable probability of receiving a success fee on a given loan. SPSE will only evaluate the debt portion of our investments for which we specifically request evaluation, and may decline to make requested evaluations for any reason at its sole discretion. Upon completing our collection of data with respect to the investments (which may include the information described below under Credit Information, the risk ratings of the loans described below under Loan Grading and Risk Rating and the factors described hereunder), this valuation data is forwarded to SPSE for review and analysis. SPSE makes its independent assessment of the data that we have assembled and assesses its independent data to form an opinion as to what they consider to be the market values for the securities. With regard to its work, SPSE has issued the following paragraph:

SPSE provides evaluated price opinions which are reflective of what SPSE believes the bid side of the market would be for each loan after careful review and analysis of descriptive, market and credit information. Each price reflects SPSE's best judgment based upon careful examination of a variety of market factors. Because of fluctuation in the market and in other factors beyond its control, SPSE cannot guarantee these evaluations. The evaluations reflect the market prices, or estimates thereof, on the date specified. The prices are based on comparable market prices for similar securities. Market information has been obtained from reputable secondary market sources. Although these sources are considered reliable, SPSE cannot guarantee their accuracy.

SPSE opinions of value of our debt securities that are issued by portfolio companies where we have no equity, or equity-like securities are submitted to our Board of Directors along with our Adviser's supplemental assessment and recommendation regarding valuation of each of these investments. Our Adviser generally accepts the opinion of value given by SPSE; however, in certain limited circumstances, such as when our Adviser may learn new information regarding an investment between the time of submission to SPSE and the date of our Board of Directors assessment, our Adviser's conclusions as to value may differ from the opinion of value delivered by SPSE. Our Board of Directors then reviews whether our Adviser has followed its established procedures for determinations of fair value, and votes to accept or reject the recommended valuation of our investment portfolio. Our Adviser and our management recommended, and the Board of Directors voted to accept, the opinions of value delivered by

Table of Contents

SPSE on the loans in our portfolio as denoted on the Schedule of Investments included in our accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements.

Because there is a delay between when we close an investment and when the investment can be evaluated by SPSE, new loans are not valued immediately by SPSE; rather, management makes its own determination about the value of these investments in accordance with our valuation policy using the methods described herein.

(2) **Portfolio investments in controlled companies comprised of a bundle of investments, which can include debt and equity securities:** The fair value of these investments is determined based on the total enterprise value of the portfolio company, or issuer, utilizing a liquidity waterfall approach under ASC 820-10. For Non-Public Debt Securities and equity or equity-like securities (e.g. preferred equity, equity, or other equity-like securities) that are purchased together as part of a package, where we have control or could gain control through an option or warrant security, both the debt and equity securities of the portfolio investment would exit in the mergers and acquisitions market as the principal market, generally through a sale or recapitalization of the portfolio company. In accordance with ASC 820-10, we apply the in-use premise of value which assumes the debt and equity securities are sold together. Under this liquidity waterfall approach, we continue to use the enterprise value methodology utilizing a liquidity waterfall approach to determine the fair value of these investments under ASC 820-10 if we have the ability to initiate a sale of a portfolio company as of the measurement date. Under this approach, we first calculate the total enterprise value of the issuer by incorporating some or all of the following factors:

- the issuer's ability to make payments;
- the earnings of the issuer;
- recent sales to third parties of similar securities;
- the comparison to publicly traded securities; and
- DCF or other pertinent factors.

In gathering the sales to third parties of similar securities, we may reference industry statistics and use outside experts. Once we have estimated the total enterprise value of the issuer, we subtract the value of all the debt securities of the issuer; which are valued at the contractual principal balance. Fair values of these debt securities are discounted for any shortfall of total enterprise value over the total debt outstanding for the issuer. Once the values for all outstanding senior securities (which include the debt securities) have been subtracted from the total enterprise value of the issuer, the remaining amount, if any, is used to determine the value of the issuer's equity or equity like securities. If, in our Adviser's judgment, the liquidity waterfall approach does not accurately reflect the value of the debt component, our Adviser may recommend that we use a valuation by SPSE, or if that is unavailable, a DCF valuation technique.

(3) **Portfolio investments in non-controlled companies comprised of a bundle of investments, which can include debt and equity securities:** We value Non-Public Debt Securities that are purchased together with equity or equity-like securities from the same portfolio company, or issuer, for which we do not control or cannot gain control as of the measurement date, using a hypothetical secondary market as our principal market. In accordance with ASC 820-10, we determine the fair value of these debt securities of non-control investments assuming the sale of an individual debt security using the in-exchange premise of value (as defined in ASC 820-10). As such, we estimate the fair value of the debt component using estimates of value provided by SPSE and our own assumptions in the absence of observable market data, including

Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION/DE - Form 10-Q

synthetic credit ratings, estimated remaining life, current market yield and interest rate spreads of similar securities as of the measurement date. Subsequent to June 30, 2009, for equity or equity-like securities of investments for which we do not control or cannot gain control as of the measurement date, we estimate the fair value of the equity using the in-exchange premise of value based on factors such as the overall value of the issuer, the relative fair value of other units of account, including debt, or other relative value approaches. Consideration also is given to capital structure and other contractual obligations that may impact the fair value of the equity. Further, we may utilize comparable values of similar companies, recent investments and indices with similar structures and risk characteristics or our own assumptions in the absence of other observable market data and may also employ DCF valuation techniques.

Due to the uncertainty inherent in the valuation process, such estimates of fair value may differ significantly from the values that would have been obtained had a ready market for the securities existed, and the differences could be material. Additionally, changes in the market environment and other events that may occur over the life of the investments may cause the gains or losses ultimately realized on these investments to be different than the valuations currently assigned. There is no single standard for determining fair value in good faith, as fair value depends upon circumstances of each individual case. In general, fair value is the amount that we might reasonably expect to receive upon the current sale of the security in an arms-length transaction in the security's principal market.

Valuation Considerations: From time to time, depending on certain circumstances, the Adviser may use the following valuation considerations, including but not limited to:

- the nature and realizable value of the collateral;
- the portfolio company's earnings and cash flows and its ability to make payments on its obligations;

Table of Contents

- the markets in which the portfolio company does business;
- the comparison to publicly traded companies; and
- DCF and other relevant factors.

Because such valuations, particularly valuations of private securities and private companies, are not susceptible to precise determination, may fluctuate over short periods of time, and may be based on estimates, our determinations of fair value may differ from the values that might have actually resulted had a readily available market for these securities been available.

Credit Information: Our Adviser monitors a wide variety of key credit statistics that provide information regarding our portfolio companies to help us assess credit quality and portfolio performance. We and our Adviser participate in the periodic board meetings of our portfolio companies in which we hold Control and Affiliate investments and also require them to provide annual audited and monthly unaudited financial statements. Using these statements or comparable information and board discussions, our Adviser calculates and evaluates the credit statistics.

Loan Grading and Risk Rating: As part of our valuation procedures above, we risk rate all of our investments in debt securities. For syndicated loans that have been rated by an NRSRO (as defined in Rule 2a-7 under the 1940 Act), we use the NRSRO's risk rating for such security. For all other debt securities, we use a proprietary risk rating system. Our risk rating system uses a scale of 0 to 10, with 10 being the lowest probability of default. This system is used to estimate the probability of default on debt securities and the probability of loss if there is a default. These types of systems are referred to as risk rating systems and are used by banks and rating agencies. The risk rating system covers both qualitative and quantitative aspects of the business and the securities we hold.

For the debt securities for which we do not use a third-party NRSRO risk rating, we seek to have our risk rating system mirror the risk rating systems of major risk rating organizations, such as those provided by an NRSRO. While we seek to mirror the NRSRO systems, we cannot provide any assurance that our risk rating system will provide the same risk rating as an NRSRO for these securities. The following chart is an estimate of the relationship of our risk rating system to the designations used by two NRSROs as they risk rate debt securities of major companies. Because our system rates debt securities of companies that are unrated by any NRSRO, there can be no assurance that the correlation to the NRSRO set out below is accurate. We believe our risk rating would be significantly higher than a typical NRSRO risk rating because the risk rating of the typical NRSRO is designed for larger businesses. However, our risk rating has been designed to risk rate the securities of smaller businesses that are not rated by a typical NRSRO. Therefore, when we use our risk rating on larger business securities, the risk rating is higher than a typical NRSRO rating. The primary difference between our risk rating and the rating of a typical NRSRO is that our risk rating uses more quantitative determinants and includes qualitative determinants that we believe are not used in the NRSRO rating. It is our understanding that most debt securities of medium-sized companies do not exceed the grade of BBB on an NRSRO scale, so there would be no debt securities in the middle market that would meet the definition of AAA, AA or A. Therefore, our scale begins with the designation 10 as the best risk rating which may be equivalent to a BBB from an NRSRO, however, no assurance can be given that a 10 on our scale is equal to a BBB on an NRSRO scale.

Company's System	First NRSRO	Second NRSRO	Gladstone Investment's Description(a)
>10	Baa2	BBB	Probability of Default (PD) during the next ten years is 4% and the Expected Loss (EL) is 1% or less
10	Baa3	BBB-	PD is 5% and the EL is 1% to 2%
9	Ba1	BB+	PD is 10% and the EL is 2% to 3%

Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION/DE - Form 10-Q

8	Ba2	BB	PD is 16% and the EL is 3% to 4%
7	Ba3	BB-	PD is 17.8% and the EL is 4% to 5%
6	B1	B+	PD is 22% and the EL is 5% to 6.5%
5	B2	B	PD is 25% and the EL is 6.5% to 8%
4	B3	B-	PD is 27% and the EL is 8% to 10%
3	Caa1	CCC+	PD is 30% and the EL is 10% to 13.3%
2	Caa2	CCC	PD is 35% and the EL is 13.3% to 16.7%
1	Caa3	CC	PD is 65% and the EL is 16.7% to 20%
0	N/A	D	PD is 85% or there is a payment of default and the EL is greater than 20%

(a) *The default rates set forth are for a ten year term debt security. If a debt security is less than ten years, then the probability of default is adjusted to a lower percentage for the shorter period, which may move the security higher on our risk rating scale*

The above scale gives an indication of the probability of default and the magnitude of the loss if there is a default. Our policy is to stop accruing interest on an investment if we determine that interest is no longer collectible. At December 31, 2009, one investment, ASH Holdings Corp., was on non-accrual for approximately \$1.7 million at fair value, or 0.9% of the aggregate fair value of our investment portfolio. Additionally, we do not risk rate our equity securities.

Table of Contents

The following table lists the risk ratings for all non-syndicated loans in our portfolio at December 31, 2009 and March 31, 2009, representing approximately 93% and 59%, respectively, of all loans in our portfolio at the end of each period:

Rating	December 31, 2009	March 31, 2009
Highest	8.0	7.0
Average	5.8	5.5
Weighted Average	5.5	5.1
Lowest	3.0	2.0

The following table lists the risk ratings for syndicated loans in our portfolio that were not rated by an NRSRO at December 31, 2009 and March 31, 2009, representing approximately 1% and 12%, respectively, of all loans in our portfolio at the end of each period:

Rating	December 31, 2009	March 31, 2009
Highest	6.0	9.0
Average	6.0	8.0
Weighted Average	6.0	8.0
Lowest	6.0	7.0

For syndicated loans that are currently rated by an NRSRO, we risk rate such loans in accordance with the risk rating systems of major risk rating organizations, such as those provided by an NRSRO. The following table lists the risk ratings for all syndicated loans in our portfolio that were rated by an NRSRO at December 31, 2009 and March 31, 2009, representing approximately 6% and 29%, respectively, of all loans in our portfolio at the end of each period:

Rating	December 31, 2009	March 31, 2009
Highest	B+/Ba3	BB/Ba2
Average	B/B2	B/B2
Weighted Average	B/B2	B/B2
Lowest	-/B2	CCC+/B3

Tax Status*Federal Income Taxes*

We intend to continue to qualify for treatment as a RIC under Subtitle A, Chapter 1 of Subchapter M of the Code. As a RIC, we are not subject to federal income tax on the portion of our taxable income and gains distributed to stockholders. To qualify as a RIC, we must meet certain source-of-income, asset diversification and annual distribution requirements. Under the annual distribution requirements, we are required to distribute to stockholders at least 90% of our investment company taxable income, as defined by the Code. Our policy is to pay out as distributions up to 100% of that amount.

In an effort to avoid certain excise taxes imposed on RICs, we currently intend to distribute during each calendar year, an amount at least equal to the sum of (1) 98% of our ordinary income for the calendar year, (2) 98% of our capital gains in excess of capital losses for the one-year period ending on October 31 of the calendar year, and (3) any ordinary income and net capital gains for preceding years that were not distributed during such years.

Revenue Recognition

Interest and Dividend Income Recognition

Interest income, adjusted for amortization of premiums and acquisition costs and for the accretion of discounts, is recorded on the accrual basis to the extent that such amounts are expected to be collected. Generally, when a loan becomes 90 days or more past due or if our qualitative assessment indicates that the debtor is unable to service its debt or other obligations, we will place the loan on non-accrual status and cease recognizing interest income on that loan until the borrower has demonstrated the ability and intent to pay contractual amounts due. However, we remain contractually entitled to this interest. At December 31, 2009, one Control investment, ASH Holdings Corp., was on non-accrual with a fair value of approximately \$1.7 million, or 0.9% of the fair value of all loans held in our portfolio at December 31, 2009. At March 31, 2009, one Control investment, ASH Holdings Corp., was on non-accrual with a fair value of approximately \$2.6 million, or 0.8% of the fair value of all loans held in our portfolio at March 31, 2009. Conditional interest, or a success fee, is recorded when earned upon full repayment of a loan investment. To date we have not recorded any conditional interest. Dividend income on preferred equity securities is accrued to the extent that such amounts are expected to be collected and that we have the option to collect such amounts in cash. During the quarter ended December 31, 2009, we recorded and collected approximately \$953 of cash dividends on preferred shares of A. Stucki Holding Corp; otherwise, we have not accrued for any other such dividend income.

Table of Contents

Services Provided to Portfolio Companies

As a business development company under the 1940 Act, we are required to make available significant managerial assistance to our portfolio companies. We provide these services through our Adviser, who provides these services on our behalf through its officers who are also our officers. Currently, neither we nor our Adviser charges a fee for managerial assistance; however, if our Adviser does receive fees for such managerial assistance, our Adviser will credit the managerial assistance fees to the base management fee due from us to our Adviser.

Our Adviser receives fees for the other services it provides to our portfolio companies. These other fees are typically non-recurring, are recognized as revenue when earned and are generally paid directly to our Adviser by the borrower or potential borrower upon the closing of the investment. The services our Adviser provides to our portfolio companies vary by investment, but generally include a broad array of services such as investment banking services, arranging bank and equity financing, structuring financing from multiple lenders and investors, reviewing existing credit facilities, restructuring existing investments, raising equity and debt capital, turnaround management, merger and acquisition services and recruiting new management personnel. When our Adviser receives fees for these services, 50% of certain of those fees are voluntarily and irrevocably credited against the base management fee that we pay to our Adviser. Any services of this nature subsequent to the closing would typically generate a separate fee at the time of completion.

Our Adviser also receives fees for monitoring and reviewing portfolio company investments. These fees are recurring and are generally paid annually or quarterly in advance to our Adviser throughout the life of the investment. Fees of this nature are recorded as revenue by our Adviser when earned and are not credited against the base management fee.

We may receive fees for the origination and closing services we provide to portfolio companies through our Adviser. These fees are paid directly to us and are recognized as revenue upon closing of the originated investment and are reported as fee income in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of operations.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Refer to Note 2 *Summary of Significant Accounting Policies* in the accompanying notes to our condensed consolidated financial statements for a summary of recently issued accounting pronouncements.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK.

We are subject to financial market risks, including changes in interest rates. We estimate that ultimately approximately 20% of the loans in our portfolio will be made at fixed rates and approximately 80% will be made at variable rates. As of December 31, 2009, our portfolio consisted of the following breakdown in relation to all outstanding debt:

17%	variable rates
48	variable rates with a floor
35	fixed rates
100%	Total

There have been no material changes in the quantitative and qualitative market risk disclosures for the three months ended December 31, 2009 from those disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2009, as filed with the SEC on June 2, 2009.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.

a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of December 31, 2009, we, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)). Based on that evaluation, our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective in timely alerting management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of material information about us required to be included in periodic Securities and Exchange Commission filings. However, in evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognized that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

b) Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended December 31, 2009, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.

Neither we, nor any of our subsidiaries, are currently subject to any material legal proceeding, nor, to our knowledge, is any material legal proceeding threatened against us or any of our subsidiaries.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS.

Our business is subject to certain risks and events that, if they occur, could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations and the trading price of our common stock. For a discussion of these risks, please refer to the Risk Factors section in our final prospectus dated October 8, 2009, as filed with the SEC on October 14, 2009 (the Final Prospectus). In connection with our preparation of this quarterly report, management has reviewed and considered these risk factors and has determined that the following risk factor should be read in connection with the existing risk factors disclosed in our Final Prospectus.

Any inability to renew, extend or replace our credit facility on terms favorable to us, or at all, could adversely impact our liquidity and ability to fund new investments or maintain distributions to our stockholders.

Availability under the Credit Facility will terminate on April 14, 2010 and if the facility is not renewed or extended by such date, all principal and interest will be due and payable within one year of maturity. Between the maturity date and April 14, 2011, our lenders have the right to apply all interest income to amounts outstanding under the Credit Facility. As of February 8, 2010, there was approximately \$15.0 million of borrowings outstanding on the Credit Facility. There can be no guarantee that we will be able to renew, extend or replace the Credit Facility on terms that are favorable to us, or at all. Our ability to obtain replacement financing will be constrained by current economic conditions affecting the credit markets. Consequently, any renewal, extension or refinancing of the Credit Facility will likely result in significantly higher interest rates and related charges and may impose significant restrictions on the use of borrowed funds with regard to our ability to fund investments or maintain distributions to stockholders. For instance, in connection with our most recent renewal, the size of our credit facility was reduced from \$125 million to \$50 million. If we are not able to renew, extend or refinance the Credit Facility, this would likely have a material adverse effect on our liquidity and ability to fund new investments or maintain our distributions to stockholders. If we are unable to secure replacement financing, we may be forced to sell certain assets on disadvantageous terms, which may result in realized losses and such realized losses could materially exceed the amount of any unrealized depreciation on these assets as of our most recent balance sheet date, which would have a material adverse effect on our results of operations. In addition to selling assets, or as an alternative, we may issue equity in order to repay amounts outstanding under the credit facility. Based on the recent trading prices of our stock, such an equity offering may have a substantial dilutive impact on our existing stockholders' interest in our earnings and assets and voting interest in us.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS.

Not applicable.

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES.

Not applicable.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS.

Not applicable.

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION.

Not applicable.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

See the exhibit index.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION

By: */s/ David Watson*
David Watson
Chief Financial Officer

Date: February 8, 2010

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit	Description
3.1	Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation, incorporated by reference to Exhibit a.2 to Pre-Effective Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement on Form N-2 (File No. 333-123699), filed May 13, 2005.
3.2	Amended and Restated Bylaws, incorporated by reference to Exhibit b.2 to Pre-Effective Amendment No. 3 to the Registration Statement on Form N-2 (File No. 333-123699), filed June 21, 2005.
3.3	First Amendment to Amended and Restated Bylaws, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 814-00704), filed on July 10, 2007.
4.1	Specimen Stock Certificate, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to Pre-Effective Amendment No. 3 to the Registration Statement on Form N-2 (File No. 333-123699), filed June 21, 2005.
11	Computation of Per Share Earnings (included in the notes to the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements contained in this report).
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to section 302 of The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to section 302 of The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to section 906 of The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to section 906 of The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

All other exhibits for which provision is made in the applicable regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission are not required under the related instruction or are inapplicable and therefore have been omitted.