Wayside Technology Group, Inc. Form 10-K February 24, 2012

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

X	ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT
OF	7 1934.

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011

OR

o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934.

For the transition period from

to

Commission file number: 000-26408

WAYSIDE TECHNOLOGY GROUP, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

13-3136104

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation)

(IRS Employer Identification Number)

1157 Shrewsbury Avenue, Shrewsbury, New Jersey

07702

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

Registrant s telephone number, including area code: (732) 389-8950

Securities registered pursuant to section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share

Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered The Nasdaq Global Market

Securities registered pursuant to section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes o No x

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes o No x

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data file required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of Registrant s knowledge, in definitive proxy or other information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Large accelerated filer o

Accelerated filer o

Non-accelerated filer o

Smaller Reporting Company x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes o No x

The aggregate market value of the Common Stock held by non-affiliates of the Registrant computed by reference to the closing sale price for the Registrant s Common Stock as of June 30, 2011, which was the last business day of the Registrant s most recently completed second fiscal quarter, as reported on The Nasdaq Global Market, was approximately \$50,073,948. (In determining the market value of the Common Stock held by any non-affiliates, shares of Common Stock of the Registrant beneficially owned by directors, officers and holders of more than 10% of the outstanding shares of Common Stock of the Registrant have been excluded. This determination of affiliate status is not necessarily a conclusive determination for other purposes.)

The number of shares outstanding of the Registrant s Common Stock as of February 13, 2012 was 4,670,985 shares.

<u>Documents Incorporated by Reference</u>: Portions of the Registrant s definitive Proxy Statement for its 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed on or before April 30, 2012 are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Report.

$\mathbf{P}\mathbf{A}$	RT	I

Item 1. Business

General

Wayside Technology Group, Inc. (the Company, us, we, or our) is an information technology (IT) channel company. We resell software and hardware developed by others and provide technical services directly to customers in the United States and Canada. We also distribute software through resellers indirectly to customers worldwide. We offer an extensive line of products from leading publishers of software and tools for virtualization, networking, software development, database modeling, security, and other technically sophisticated domains.

Wayside Technology Group, Inc. was incorporated in Delaware in 1982. Our Common Stock is listed on The Nasdaq Global Market under the symbol WSTG . Our main web site address is www.waysidetechnology.com, and the other web sites maintained by our business include www.lifeboatdistribution.com, and www.techxtend.com. Reference to these uniform resource locators or URLs is made as an inactive textual reference for informational purposes only. Information on our web sites should not be considered filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, and is not, and should not be deemed to be, a part of this report.

The Company operates through two segments, Lifeboat Distribution (Lifeboat) and the TechXtend (TechXtend) segment (formerly the Programmer's Paradise segment). The Lifeboat segment distributes technical software through a worldwide network of corporate and value-added resellers, consultants, and systems integrators. The TechXtend segment sells technical software, hardware, and services for microcomputers, servers, and networks to individual programmers, corporations, government agencies, and educational institutions primarily in the United States and Canada. For each of our segments, revenues from unaffiliated customers, income and total assets, among other financial information, is presented in Note 10 in the Notes to our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Competition

The software market is highly competitive. Pricing is very aggressive in both software distribution and reselling. The Company expects pricing pressure to continue. The Company faces competition from a wide variety of sources. In the Lifeboat segment, we compete against much larger broad-line distributors, as well as specialty distributors and, in some cases, the direct sales teams of the vendors we represent also sell directly to the end-customers. In the TechXtend segment, we also compete against vendors who sell directly to customers, as well as software resellers, superstores, e-commerce vendors, and other direct marketers of software products. In both segments, some of our competitors are significantly larger and have substantially greater resources than the Company. Many of our competitors compete principally on the basis of price, product availability, customer service and technical support.

There can be no assurance that the Company can compete effectively against existing competitors or new competitors that may enter the market or that it can generate profit margins which represent a fair return to the Company. In addition, price is an important competitive factor in the personal computer software market and there can be no assurance that the Company will not be subject to increased price competition. An increase in the amount of competition faced by the Company, or its failure to compete effectively against its competitors, could have a material adverse effect on the Company s business, financial condition and results of operations.

The Company competes to attract prospective buyers and in sourcing new products from software developers and publishers, as well as in marketing its current product line to its customers. The Company

believes that its ability to offer software developers and IT professionals a wide selection of products at reasonable prices with prompt delivery and high customer service levels, along with its good relationships with vendors and suppliers, allows it to compete effectively in acquiring prospective buyers and marketing its current product line to its customers. The Company competes to gain distribution rights for new products primarily on the basis of its reputation and its relationships with software publishers.

The market for developer and infrastructure software products is characterized by rapid changes in technology, user requirements, and customer specifications. The manner in which software products are distributed and sold is changing, and new methods of distribution and sale may emerge or expand. Software developers and publishers have sold, and may intensify their efforts to sell, their products directly to end-users. The continuing evolution of the Internet as a platform in which to conduct e-commerce business transactions has both lowered the barriers for competition and broadened customer access to products and information, increasing competition and reducing prices. From time to time, certain software developers and publishers have instituted programs for the direct sale of large order quantities of software to certain major corporate accounts. These types of programs may continue to be developed and used by various developers and publishers. While Microsoft and other vendors currently sell new releases or upgrades directly to end users, they have not, however, attempted to completely bypass the reseller channel. There can be no assurances, that software developers and publishers will continue using resellers to the same extent they currently do. Future efforts by software developers and publishers to bypass third- party sales channels could materially and adversely affect the Company s business operations and financial conditions.

In addition, resellers and publishers may attempt to increase the volume of software products distributed electronically through ESD (Electronic Software Distribution) technology, through subscription services, and through on-line shopping services. Any of these competitive programs, if successful, could have a material adverse effect on the Company s business, results of operations and financial condition. For a description of additional risks relating to competition in our industry, please refer to Item 1.A. Risk Factors: We rely on our suppliers for product availability, marketing funds, purchasing incentives and competitive products to sell, and The IT products and services industry is intensely competitive and actions of competitors, including manufacturers of products we sell, can negatively affect our business.

Products

The Company offers a wide variety of products from a broad range of publishers and manufacturers, including CA Technologies Inc., Quest Software, Inc., Intel Corporation, Vmware, TechSmith Corporation, Flexera Corp., Acronis, Solarwinds, Astaro, and Veeam Corporation. On a continuous basis, we screen new products for inclusion in our catalogs and web sites based on their features, quality, price, profit margins and warranties, as well as on current sales trends. Since the Company predominantly sells software, sales of hardware and peripherals represented only 4%, 4% and 7%, of our overall revenue in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Marketing and Distribution

We market products through creative marketing communications, including our web sites, local and on-line seminars, print and electronic catalogs. We also use direct e-mail and printed material to introduce new products and upgrades, to cross-sell products to current customers, and to educate and inform existing and potential customers. We believe that our blend of electronic and traditional marketing and selling programs are important marketing vehicles for software publishers and manufacturers. These programs provide a cost-effective and service-oriented means to market and sell and fulfill software products and meet the needs of users.

The Company had three customers that accounted for more than 10% of total sales for 2011. For the year ended December 31, 2011, CDW Corporation, Insight and Software House International accounted for 14.0%, 11.0% and 10.5%, respectively, of consolidated net sales and, as of December 31, 2011, 12.4%, 6.8%, and 4.7%, respectively, of total net accounts receivable. For the year ended December 31, 2010, CDW Corporation accounted for 15.8% of consolidated net sales. For the year ended December 31, 2009,

CDW Corporation and Software House International accounted for 10.5% and 10.7%, respectively, of consolidated net sales. Our top five customers accounted for 42%, 44%, and 36% of consolidated net sales in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The Company generally ships products within 48 hours of confirming a customer s order. This allows for minimum backlog in the business.

Sales in Canada represented 7% of our consolidated revenues in 2011, as compared to 7% in 2010, and 8% in 2009. For geographic financial information, please refer to Note 10 in the Notes to our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Customer Support

We believe that providing a high level of customer service is necessary to compete effectively and is essential to continued sales and revenue growth. Our account representatives assist our customers with all aspects of purchasing decisions, process products ordered and respond to customer inquiries on order status, product pricing and availability. The account representatives are trained to answer all basic questions about the features and functionality of products. To deal with technical issues, we maintain an in-house technical support staff.

Purchasing and Fulfillment

The Company s success is dependent, in part, upon the ability of its suppliers to develop and market products that meet the changing requirements of the marketplace. The Company believes it enjoys good relationships with its vendors. The Company and its principal vendors have cooperated frequently in product introductions and in other marketing programs. As is customary in the industry, the Company has no long-term supply contracts with any of its suppliers. Substantially all of the Company s contracts with its vendors are terminable upon 30 days notice or less. Moreover, the manner in which software products are distributed and sold is changing, and new methods of distribution and sale may emerge or expand. Software publishers have sold, and may intensify their efforts to sell, their products directly to end-users. The Company s business and results of operations may be adversely affected if the terms and conditions of the Company s authorizations with its vendors were to be significantly modified or if certain products become unavailable to the Company.

We believe that effective purchasing from a diverse vendor base is a key element of our business strategy. For the year ended December 31, 2011, Veeam software and Quest were the only individual vendors from whom our purchases exceeded 10% of our total purchases. For the year ended December 31, 2011, Veeam and Quest accounted for 12.6% and 11.2%, respectively, of our total purchases. For the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, Quest was the only individual vendor from whom our purchases exceeded 10% of our total purchases, representing 11.2% and 10.2%, respectively, of our total purchases. The loss of a key vendor or group of vendors could disrupt our product availability and otherwise have an adverse effect on the Company.

In 2011, the Company purchased approximately 90% of its products directly from manufacturers and publishers and the balance from multiple distributors, as compared to 90% in 2010, and 85% in 2009. Most suppliers or distributors will drop ship products directly to the customers, which reduces physical handling by the Company. Inventory management techniques, such as drop shipping allow the Company to offer a greater range of products without increased inventory requirements or associated risk.

Inventory levels may vary from period to period, due in part to increases or decreases in sales levels, the Company s practice of making large-volume purchases when it deems the terms of such purchases to be attractive, and the addition of new suppliers and products. Moreover,

the Company s order fulfillment and inventory control systems allow the Company to order certain products just in time for next day shipping. The Company promotes the use of electronic data interchange (EDI) with its suppliers, which helps reduce overhead and the use of paper in the ordering process. Although brand names and

individual products are important to our business	, we believe that competitive source	ces of supply are available for sub	stantially all of the product
categories we carry.			

The Company operates distribution facilities in Shrewsbury, New Jersey and Mississauga, Canada.

Management Information Systems

The Company operates management information systems on Windows 2003 and Windows 2008 platforms that allow for centralized management of key functions, including inventory, accounts receivable, purchasing, sales and distribution. We are dependent on the accuracy and proper utilization of our information technology systems, including our telephone, web sites, e-mail and fax systems.

The management information systems allow the Company to monitor sales trends, provide real -time product availability and order status information, track direct marketing campaign performance and to make marketing event driven purchasing decisions. In addition to the main system, the Company has systems of networked personal computers, as well as microcomputer-based desktop publishing systems, which facilitate data sharing and provide an automated office environment.

The Company recognizes the need to continually upgrade its management information systems to most effectively manage its operations and customer database. In that regard, the Company anticipates that it will, from time to time, require software and hardware upgrades for its present management information systems.

Trademarks

The Company conducts its business under the various trademarks and service marks of Programmer s Paradise, the Island Man cartoon character logo, TechXtend, and Lifeboat. The Company protects these trademarks and service marks and believes that they have significant value to us and are important factors in our marketing programs.

Employees

As of December 31, 2011, Wayside Technology Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries had 109 full-time employees and 3 part-time employees. The Company is not a party to any collective bargaining agreements with its employees, has experienced no work stoppages and considers its relationships with its employees to be satisfactory.

Executive Officers of the Company

Set forth below are the name, age, present title, principal occupation and certain biographical information for our executive officers as of February 1, 2012, all of whom have been appointed by and serve at the discretion of our board of directors.

Name	Age	Position
Simon F. Nynens	40	Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer
Richard J. Bevis	62	Vice President of Marketing
Daniel T. Jamieson	54	Vice President and General Manager - Lifeboat
Vito Legrottaglie	47	Vice President - Operations
Kevin T. Scull	46	Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer
Shawn J. Giordano	42	Vice President of Sales

Simon F. Nynens was appointed President and Chief Executive Officer in January 2006. Mr. Nynens also serves on the Board of Directors and was named Chairman in June 2006. He previously held the position of Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (June 2004 - January 2006) and Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (January 2002 - June 2004). Prior to January 2002, Mr. Nynens served as the Vice President and Chief Operating Officer of the Company s European operations.

Richard J. Bevis was appointed Vice President Marketing in July 2007. Prior to joining Wayside Technology Group, Inc., Mr. Bevis worked for Covance Inc., a drug development service company, as Senior Director Marketing Communication from 2003 to 2007. He also held the position of Vice President of Corporate Communications for Eyretel, PLC. from 2002 to 2003.

Daniel T. Jamieson was appointed Vice President and General Manager of Lifeboat in April 2003. Prior to that, and since 1992, Mr. Jamieson held various sales and marketing management positions within the Company.

Vito Legrottaglie was appointed to the position of Vice President of Operations in April 2007. He previously held the position of Vice President of Information Systems since June 2003. Mr. Legrottaglie had previously served as Vice President of Information Systems from 1999 to 2000 and had been with the Company since 1996. Mr. Legrottaglie has also held the positions of Chief Technology Officer at Swell Commerce Incorporated, Vice President of Operations for The Wine Enthusiast Companies and Director of Information Systems at Barnes & Noble.

Kevin T. Scull was appointed Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer in January 2006. He previously held the position of Corporate Controller of the Company since January 2003. Prior to joining Wayside Technology Group, Inc., Mr. Scull worked for Niksun Inc. as Accounting Manager since January 2001 and, prior to that, for Telcordia Inc. since December 2000 as Manager of Accounting Policies.

Shawn J. Giordano was appointed Vice President of Sales in August 2008. Mr. Giordano joined Wayside Technology Group, Inc. in November 2007 as Senior Director of Sales for Programmer s Paradise and TechXtend. Prior to joining Wayside Technology Group, Inc., he worked for CA, Inc. (Computer Associates), a business consulting and software development company, from 2000 to 2007, most recently as Director of Channel Sales. Mr. Giordano began his career at Microwarehouse, Inc., and in over eight years with that company, progressed through positions of increasing responsibility in sales, marketing, and management. Mr. Giordano received a bachelor of science degree in management information science from the Stillman School of Business, Seton Hall University.

Available Information

Under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), the Company is required to file annual, quarterly and current reports, proxy and information statements and other information with the SEC. You may read and copy any document we file with the SEC at the SEC s public reference room at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549. Please call the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330 for further information about the public reference room. The SEC maintains a web site at http://www.sec.gov that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the SEC. The Company files electronically with the SEC. The Company makes available, free of charge, through its internet web site, its reports on Forms 10-K, 10-Q and 8-K, and amendments to those reports, as soon as reasonably practicable after they are filed with the SEC. The following address for the Company s web site includes a hyperlink to those reports under Financials/SEC Filings: http://www.waysidetechnology.com.

In January 2004, we adopted a Code of Ethical Conduct. The full text of the Code of Ethical Conduct, which applies to all employees, officers and directors of the Company, including our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Accounting Officer and our Controller is available at our web site, http://www.waysidetechnology.com, under Corporate Governance. The Company intends to disclose any amendment to, or waiver from, a provision of the Code of Ethical Conduct that applies to its Chief Executive Officer, Chief Accounting Officer or Controller on its web site under Investor Information.

Reference to the uniform resource locators or URLs contained in this section is made as an inactive textual reference for informational purposes only. Information on our web sites should not be considered filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, and is not, and should not be deemed to be part of this report.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Investors should carefully consider the risk factors set forth below as well as the other information contained in this report. Any of the following risks could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or those currently viewed by us to be immaterial may also materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Changes in the information technology industry and/or economic environment may reduce demand for the products and services we sell.

Our results of operations are influenced by a variety of factors, including the condition of the IT industry, general economic conditions, shifts in demand for, or availability of, computer products and software and IT services and industry introductions of new products, upgrades or methods of distribution. The information technology products industry is characterized by abrupt changes in technology, rapid changes in customer preferences, short product life cycles and evolving industry standards. Net sales can be dependent on demand for specific product categories, and any change in demand for or supply of such products could have a material adverse effect on our net sales, and/or cause us to record write-downs of obsolete inventory, if we fail to react in a timely manner to such changes.

We rely on our suppliers for product availability, marketing funds, purchasing incentives and competitive products to sell. We acquire products for resale both directly from manufacturers and indirectly from distributors. The loss of a supplier could cause a disruption in the availability of products. Additionally, there is no assurance that as manufacturers continue to or increasingly sell directly to end users and through the distribution channel, that they will not limit or curtail the availability of their products to resellers like us. For example, resellers and publishers may attempt to increase the volume of software products distributed electronically through ESD (Electronic Software Distribution) technology, through subscription services, and through on-line shopping services, and correspondingly, decrease the volume of products sold through us. Our inability to obtain a sufficient quantity of products, or an allocation of products from a manufacturer in a way that favors one of our competitors, or competing distribution channels, relative to us, could cause us to be unable to fill clients—orders in a timely manner, or at all, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition. We also rely on our suppliers to provide funds for us to market their products, including through our catalogs and on-line marketing efforts, and to provide purchasing incentives to us. If any of the suppliers that have historically provided these benefits to us decides to reduce such benefits, our expenses would increase, adversely affecting our results of operations.

The Lingering Effects of the Recent Economic Downturn May Reduce our Revenues and Profits. The lingering ongoing effects of the general economic downturn continues to cause some of our current and potential customers to delay or reduce technology purchases, resulting in longer sales cycles, slower

adoption of new technologies and increased price competition. We may, therefore, experience a greater decline in demand for the products we sell, resulting in increased competition and pressure to reduce the cost of operations. Any benefits from cost reductions may take longer to realize and may not fully mitigate the impact of the reduced demand. In addition, weak financial and credit markets heighten the risk of customer bankruptcies and create a corresponding delay in collecting receivables from those customers and may also affect our vendors—ability to supply products, which could disrupt our operations. The realization of any or all of these risks could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

The IT products and services industry is intensely competitive and actions of competitors, including manufacturers of products we sell, can negatively affect our business. Competition has been based primarily on price, product availability, speed of delivery, credit availability and quality and breadth of product lines and, increasingly, also is based on the ability to tailor specific solutions to client needs. We compete with manufacturers, including manufacturers of products we sell, as well as a large number and wide variety of marketers and resellers of IT products and services. In addition, manufacturers are increasing the volume of software products they distribute electronically directly to end-users and in the future will likely pay lower referral fees for sales of certain software licensing agreements sold by us. Generally, pricing is very aggressive in the industry, and we expect pricing pressures to continue. There can be no assurance that we will be able to negotiate prices as favorable as those negotiated by our competitors or that we will be able to offset the effects of price reductions with an increase in the number of clients, higher net sales, cost reductions, or greater sales of services, which service sales typically at higher gross margins, or otherwise. Price reductions by our competitors that we either cannot or choose not to match could result in an erosion of our market share and/or reduced sales or, to the extent we match such reductions, could result in reduced operating margins, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Disruptions in our information technology and voice and data networks could affect our ability to service our clients and cause us to incur additional expenses. We believe that our success to date has been, and future results of operations likely will be, dependent in large part upon our ability to provide prompt and efficient service to clients. Our ability to provide such services is dependent largely on the accuracy, quality and utilization of the information generated by our IT systems, which affect our ability to manage our sales, client service, distribution, inventories and accounting systems and the reliability of our voice and data networks.

We depend on certain key personnel. Our future success will be largely dependent on the efforts of key management personnel. We also believe that our future success will be largely dependent on our continued ability to attract and retain highly qualified management, sales, service and technical personnel. We cannot assure you that we will be able to attract and retain such personnel. Further, we make a significant investment in the training of our sales account executives. Our inability to retain such personnel or to train them either rapidly enough to meet our expanding needs or in an effective manner for quickly changing market conditions could cause a decrease in the overall quality and efficiency of our sales staff, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Risks Related to Our Common Stock. The exercise of outstanding options or any other issuance of shares by us may dilute your ownership of our Common Stock. Our Common Stock is thinly traded. As a result of the thin trading market for our stock, its market price may fluctuate significantly more than the stock market as a whole or of the stock prices of similar companies. Without a larger float, our common stock will be less liquid than the stock of companies with broader public ownership, and, as a result, the trading prices for our Common Stock may be more volatile. Among other things, trading of a relatively

small volume of our Common Stock may have a greater impact on the trading price of our stock than would be the case if our public float were larger.
Our common stock is listed on The Nasdaq Global Market, and we therefore are subject to continued listing requirements, include requirements with respect to the market value and number of publicly-held shares, number of stockholders, minimum bid price, number of market makers and either (i) stockholders equity or (ii) total market value of stock, total assets and total revenues. If we fail to satisfy one or more of the requirements, we may be delisted from The Nasdaq Global Market. If we are delisted from The Nasdaq Global Market, we do not qualify for listing on The Nasdaq Capital Market, and if we are not able to list our common stock on another exchange, our common stock could be quoted on the OTC Bulletin Board or on the pink sheets. As a result, we could face significant adverse consequences including, among others, a limited availability of market quotations for our securities and a decreased ability to issue additional securities or obtain additional financing in the future.
Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments
Not applicable.
Item 2. Properties
The Company leases 18,000 square feet of space in Shrewsbury, New Jersey for its corporate headquarters and warehouse under a lease expiring in December 2012. Total annual rent expense for these premises is approximately \$225,000. Additionally, the Company leases approximately 3,700 square feet of office and warehouse space in Mississauga, Canada, under a lease which expires November 30, 2013. Total annual rent expense for these premises is approximately \$30,000. The Company also leases office space in Almere, Netherlands under a lease which expires October 31, 2012, at an annual rent of approximately \$12,000. We believe that each of the properties is in good operating condition and such properties are adequate for the operation of the Company s business as currently conducted.
Item 3. Legal Proceedings
There are no material legal proceedings to which the Company or any of its subsidiaries is a party or of which any of their property is the subject.
Item 4. (Removed and Reserved)

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Shares of our Common Stock, par value \$0.01, trade on The Nasdaq Global Market under the symbol WSTG . Following is the range of low and high sales prices for our Common Stock as reported on The Nasdaq Global Market.

	Higl	1	Low
2011			
First Quarter	\$	15.350	\$ 11.270
Second Quarter		15.300	13.060
Third Quarter		13.880	10.000
Fourth Quarter		12.550	9.510
2010			
First Quarter	\$	9.330	\$ 7.750
Second Quarter		10.550	8.950
Third Quarter		10.400	8.540
Fourth Quarter		12.030	9.620

In 2011 and 2010, we declared quarterly dividends totaling \$0.64 and \$0.61 per share, respectively, on our Common Stock. There can be no assurance that we will continue to pay comparable cash dividends in the future.

During 2011, the Company granted a total of 15,000 shares of restricted stock to employees. These shares vest over 60 months. A total of 8,375 shares of restricted common stock were forfeited as a result of employees terminating employment with the Company.

During 2010, the Company granted a total of 150,500 shares of restricted stock to officers, and employees. These shares vest over 60 months. A total of 5,875 shares of restricted Common Stock were forfeited as a result of employees terminating employment with the Company.

The share issuances in all of the above transactions were not registered under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act). The issuances were exempt from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act and/or Regulation D thereunder, as they were transactions by the issuer that did not involve public offerings of securities and/or involved issuances to accredited investors.

As of February 10, 2012 there were approximately 32 record holders of our Common Stock.

During the fourth quarter of 2011, we repurchased shares of our Common Stock as follows:

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid Per Share (2)	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Average Price Paid Per Share (3)	Maximum Number of Shares That May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs (4)
October 1- October 31, 2011	9	\$		\$	398,257
November 1- November 30, 2011	8,164(1) 5	12.10			398,257
December 1 - December 31, 2011					398,257
Total	8,164	12.10			398,257

⁽¹⁾ Includes 8,164 shares surrendered to the Company by employees to satisfy individual tax withholding obligations upon vesting of previously issued shares of Restricted Stock. These shares are not included in the Common Stock repurchase program referred to in footnote (4) below.

- (2) Average price paid per share reflects the closing price of the Company s Common Stock on the business date the shares were surrendered by the employee stockholder to satisfy individual tax withholding obligations upon vesting of Restricted Stock or the price of the Common Stock paid on the open market purchase, as applicable.
- (3) Average price paid per share reflects the price of the Company s Common Stock purchased on the open market.
- (4) On October 9, 2002, our Board of Directors adopted a Common Stock repurchase program whereby the Company was authorized to repurchase up to 500,000 shares of our Common Stock from time to time. On July 31, 2008, the Company approved the increase of its Common Stock repurchase program by 500,000 shares. The Company expects to purchase shares of its Common Stock from time to time in the market or otherwise subject to market conditions. The Common Stock repurchase program does not have an expiration date.

STOCK PRICE PERFORMANCE GRAPH

Set forth below is a line graph comparing the yearly percentage change in the cumulative total shareholder return on the Company s Common Stock with the cumulative total return of the S&P Midcap 400 Index and the S&P 500 Computer and Electronics Retail Index for the period commencing December 31, 2006 and ending December 31, 2011, assuming \$100 was invested on December 31, 2006 and the reinvestment of dividends.

Edgar Filing: Wayside Technology Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

	Base Period		INI	DEXED RETURN Years Ending	S	
Company / Index	Dec06	Dec07	Dec08	Dec09	Dec10	Dec11
Wayside Technology Group, Inc.	100	61.44	51.35	63.22	95.45	108.50
S&P MidCap 400 Index	100	107.98	68.86	94.60	119.80	117.72
S&P 500 Computer & Electronics Retail						
Index	100	99.61	49.05	66.31	60.93	46.04

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The following tables set forth, for the periods indicated, selected consolidated financial and other data for Wayside Technology Group, Inc. and its Subsidiaries. You should read the selected consolidated financial and other data below in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and the related notes and with Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included elsewhere in this Form 10-K.

Year Ended December 31,

(In thousands, except per share data)

	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007
Consolidated Statement of Operations					
Data:					
Net sales	\$ 250,169	\$ 206,730	\$ 146,384	\$ 174,025	\$ 179,865
Cost of sales	226,928	186,720	130,791	157,228	162,630
Gross profit	23,241	20,010	15,593	16,797	17,235
Selling, general and administrative expenses	14,623	13,207	11,319	12,207	12,081
Income from operations	8,618	6,803	4,274	4,590	5,154
Other income, net	369	407	521	744	991
Income before income taxes	8,987	7,210	4,795	5,334	6,145
Income tax provision	3,448	2,789	1,928	2,168	2,442
Net income	\$ 5,539	\$ 4,421	\$ 2,867	\$ 3,166	\$ 3,703
Net income per common share:					
Basic	\$ 1.26	\$ 1.01	\$ 0.65	\$ 0.72	\$ 0.84
Diluted	\$ 1.20	\$ 0.98	\$ 0.65	\$ 0.71	\$ 0.80
Weighted average common shares					
outstanding:					
Basic	4,412	4,386	4,399	4,414	4,406
Diluted	4,606	4,500	4,427	4,461	4,656

December 31,

	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007
Balance Sheet Data:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 9,202	\$ 10,955	\$ 8,560	\$ 9,349	\$ 14,241
Marketable securities	5,375	4,528	7,571	9,367	9,641
Working capital	19,337	19,033	16,583	14,806	19,479
Total assets	74,861	68,683	53,667	47,485	56,753
Total stockholders equity	28,934	26,679	24,359	23,884	24,492

Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following management s discussion and analysis of the Company s financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with the Company s Consolidated Financial Statements and the Notes thereto. This discussion and analysis contains, in addition

to historical information, forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. Our actual results may differ materially

from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements as a result of certain risks and uncertainties, including those set forth under the heading Risk Factors and elsewhere in this report.

Overview

As of January 1, 2006 we organized our Company into two reportable operating segments—the TechXtend—segment (formerly the Programmer's Paradise—segment), which sells technical software, hardware and services directly to end-users (such as individual programmers, corporations, government agencies, and educational institutions) and the Lifeboat—segment, which distributes technical software to corporate resellers, VARs, consultants and systems integrators.

We offer a wide variety of technical and general business application software from a broad range of publishers and manufacturers. We market these products through our catalogs, direct mail programs, advertisements in trade magazines, as well as through Internet and e-mail promotions.

Forward-looking Statements

This report includes forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 21E of the Exchange Act. Statements in this report regarding future events or conditions, including but not limited to statements regarding industry prospects and the Company s expected financial position, business and financing plans, are forward-looking statements.

Although the Company believes that the expectations reflected in such forward-looking statements are reasonable, it can give no assurance that such expectations will prove to have been correct. We strongly urge current and prospective investors to carefully consider the cautionary statements and risks contained in this report, particularly the risks described under—Item 1A. Risk Factors—above. Such risks include, but are not limited to, the continued acceptance of the Company—s distribution channel by vendors and customers, the timely availability and acceptance of new products, contribution of key vendor relationships and support programs, as well as factors that affect the software industry generally.

The Company operates in a rapidly changing business, and new risk factors emerge from time to time. Management cannot predict every risk factor, nor can it assess the impact, if any, of all such risk factors on the Company s business or the extent to which any factor, or combination of factors, may cause actual results to differ materially from those projected in any forward-looking statements.

Accordingly, forward-looking statements should not be relied upon as a prediction of actual results and readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of their dates. The Company undertakes no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

The statements concerning future sales, future gross profit margin and future selling and administrative expenses are forward looking statements involving certain risks and uncertainties such as availability of products, product mix, pricing pressures, market conditions and other factors, which could result in a fluctuation of sales below recent experience.

Stock Volatility. The technology sector of the United States stock markets has experienced substantial volatility in recent periods. Numerous conditions which impact the technology sector or the stock market in general or the Company in particular, whether or not such events relate to

or reflect upon the Company s operating performance, could adversely affect the market price of the Company s Common Stock. Furthermore, fluctuations in the Company s operating results, announcements regarding litigation, the loss of a significant vendor, increased competition, reduced vendor incentives and trade credit, higher

postage and operating expenses, and other developments, could have a significant impact on the market price of the Company s Common Stock.

Financial Overview

We reported a net income of \$5.5 million for the year 2011 as compared to a net income of \$4.4 million in 2010. The increase resulted primarily from the increase in revenue, offset in part by competitive pricing pressure which lowered gross profit margin percentage and increased selling, general and administrative (SG&A) expenses. Our income before income taxes increased by \$1.8 million to \$9.0 million compared to \$7.2 million in 2010.

Income from operations amounted to \$8.6 million in 2011 as compared to \$6.8 million in 2010, representing an increase of \$1.8 million as compared to 2010. Gross profit increased by \$3.2 million in 2011 as compared to 2010, and SG&A expenses increased by \$1.4 in million in 2011 as compared to 2010.

The Company s sales, gross profit and results of operations have fluctuated and are expected to continue to fluctuate on a quarterly basis as a result of a number of factors, including but not limited to: the condition of the software industry in general; shifts in demand for software products; pricing; industry shipments of new software products or upgrades; the timing of new merchandise and catalog offerings; fluctuations in response rates; fluctuations in merchandise returns; adverse weather conditions that affect response, distribution or shipping; shifts in the timing of holidays; and changes in the Company s product offerings. The Company s operating expenditures are based on sales forecasts. If revenues do not meet expectations in any given quarter, operating results may be materially adversely affected.

Results of Operations

The following table sets forth for the years indicated the percentage of net sales represented by selected items reflected in the Company s Consolidated Statements of Earnings. The year-to-year comparison of financial results is not necessarily indicative of future results:

	Years ended December 31,					
	2011	2010	2009			
Net sales	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%			
Cost of sales	90.7	90.3	89.4			
Gross profit	9.3	9.7	10.6			
Selling, general and administrative expenses	5.9	6.4	7.7			
Income from operations	3.4	3.3	2.9			
Other income, net	0.2	0.2	0.4			
Income before income taxes	3.6	3.5	3.3			
Income tax provision	1.4	1.4	1.3			
Net income	2.2%	2.1%	2.0%			

Year ended December 31, 2011 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2010

Net Sales

Net sales for 2011 increased 21%, or \$43.4 million to \$250.1 million compared to \$206.7 million in 2010. Total sales for our Lifeboat segment in 2011 were \$192.7 million compared to \$149.1 million in 2009, representing a 29% increase. Total sales for the TechXtend segment in 2011 amounted to \$57.4 million, compared to \$57.6 million in 2010.

The increase in net sales for our Lifeboat segment was mainly a result of our continued focus on the expanding virtual infrastructure-centric business, the addition of several key product lines, and the strengthening of our account penetration.

Gross Profit

Gross Profit for 2011 was \$23.2 million compared to \$20.0 million in 2010, a 16% increase. Total gross profit for our Lifeboat segment was \$16.8 million compared to \$13.7 million in 2010, representing a 23% increase. Total gross profit for our TechXtend segment was \$6.4 million compared to \$6.3 million in 2010, representing a 2% increase. Vendor rebates and discounts for 2011 amounted to \$2.9 million compared to \$2.7 million for 2010. Vendor rebates are dependent on reaching certain targets set by our vendors.

Gross profit margin, i.e. gross profit as a percentage of net sales, for 2011 was 9.3% compared to 9.7% in 2010. Gross profit margin for our Lifeboat segment in 2011 was 8.7% compared to 9.2% in 2010. Gross profit margin for our TechXtend segment in 2010 was 11.2% compared to 11.0% in 2010.

The increase in gross profit dollars and the decrease in gross profit margin was primarily caused by the aggressive sales growth within our Lifeboat segment, offset in part, by continued pressure on discounts and rebates earned and competitive pricing pressure in both segments, and, in part, by our having won several large bids based on aggressive pricing, which we plan to continue to do.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

Total SG&A expenses for 2011 were \$14.6 million compared to \$13.2 million in 2010. As a percentage of net sales, SG&A expenses for 2011 and 2010 were 5.9% and 6.4%, respectively. This dollar increase was primarily the result of higher employee and employee-related costs (salaries, commissions, bonus accruals, benefits and travel and entertainment) of \$1.1 million and increased credit card processing fees of \$0.2 million due to increased sales volume.

Direct selling costs (a component of SG&A) for 2011 were \$7.8 million compared to \$6.9 million in 2010. Total direct selling costs for our TechXtend segment for 2011 were \$3.0 million compared to \$2.9 million in the same period in 2010. Total direct selling costs for our Lifeboat segment for 2011 were \$4.7 million compared to \$3.9 million in the same period in 2010, mainly due to increased employee related costs to manage and reward our growth in this segment.

The Company expects that its SG&A expenses, as a percentage of net sales, may vary depending on changes in sales volume, as well as the levels of continuing investments in key growth initiatives. We plan to continue to expand our investment in information technology and marketing, while monitoring our sales and remaining general and administrative expenses closely.

Income Taxes

For the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company recorded a provision for income taxes of \$3.4 million which consists of a provision of \$2.4 million for U.S. federal income taxes, as well as a \$0.5 million provision for state and local taxes, a \$0.3 million provision for foreign taxes, and a deferred tax expense of \$0.3 million.

As of December 31, 2011, the Company had a U.S. deferred tax asset of approximately \$0.6 million.

The effective tax rate for year en	nded December 31, 2010 was impacted by a benefit of \$78 thousand related to the reversal of the Company	S
liability related to uncertain tax	positions.	

For the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company recorded a provision for income taxes of \$2.8 million which consists of a provision of \$1.8 million for U.S. federal income taxes, as well as a \$0.5 million provision for state and local taxes, a \$0.2 million provision for Canadian taxes, and a deferred tax expense of \$0.3 million.

As of December 31, 2010, the Company had a U.S. deferred tax asset of approximately \$0.9 million.

Year ended December 31, 2010 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2009

Net Sales

Net sales for 2010 increased 41% or \$60.3 million to \$206.7 million compared to \$146.4 million in 2009. Total sales for our Lifeboat segment in 2010 were \$149.2 million compared to \$98.1 million in 2009, representing a 52% increase. Total sales for the TechXtend segment in 2010 amounted to \$57.6 million, compared to \$48.3 million in 2009, representing a 19% increase.

The increase in net sales for our Lifeboat segment was mainly a result of our continued focus on the expanding virtual infrastructure-centric business, the addition of several key product lines, and the strengthening of our account penetration.

In the TechXtend segment, sales for 2010 increased by \$9.3 million, compared with 2009. This increase was primarily due to the fact that we had larger transactions in 2010 compared to 2009.

Gross Profit

Gross Profit for 2010 was \$20.0 million compared to \$15.6 million in 2009, a 28% increase. Total gross profit for our TechXtend segment was \$6.3 million compared to \$5.7 million in 2009, representing a 12% increase. Total gross profit for our Lifeboat segment was \$13.7 million compared to \$9.9 million in 2009, representing a 38% increase.

Gross profit margin, as a percentage of net sales, for 2010 was 9.7% compared to 10.7% in 2009. Gross profit margin percentage for our TechXtend segment in 2010 was 11.0% compared to 11.7% in 2009. Gross profit margin percentage for our Lifeboat segment in 2010 was 9.2% compared to 10.1% in 2009.

The increase in gross profit dollars and the decrease in gross profit margin was primarily caused by the aggressive sales growth within our Lifeboat segment, offset in part, by competitive pricing pressure in both segments, and, in part, by our having won several large bids based on aggressive pricing, which we plan to continue to do.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

Total SG&A expenses for 2010 were \$13.2 million compared to \$11.3 million in 2009. As a percentage of net sales, SG&A expenses for 2010 and 2009 were 6.4% and 7.7%, respectively. This dollar increase was primarily the result of higher employee and employee-related costs (salaries, commissions, bonus accruals and benefits and travel and entertainment) of \$1.8 million.

Direct selling costs (a component of SG&A) for 2010 were \$6.9 million compared to \$5.5 million in 2009. Total direct selling costs for our TechXtend segment for 2010 were \$2.9 million compared to \$2.7 million in the same period in 2009. Total direct selling costs for our Lifeboat segment for 2010 were \$3.9

million compared to \$2.9 million in the same period in 2009, mainly due to increased employee related costs to manage and reward our growth in this segment.

The Company expects that its SG&A expenses, as a percentage of net sales, may vary depending on changes in sales volume, as well as the levels of continuing investments in key growth initiatives. We plan to continue to expand our investment in information technology and marketing, while monitoring our sales and remaining general and administrative expenses closely.

Income Taxes

For the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company recorded a provision for income taxes of \$2.8 million which consists of a provision of \$1.8 million for U.S. federal income taxes, as well as a \$0.5 million provision for state and local taxes, a \$0.2 million provision for Canadian taxes, and a deferred tax expense of \$0.3 million.

As of December 31, 2010, the Company had a U.S. deferred tax asset of approximately \$0.9 million.

The effective tax rate for year ended December 31, 2010 was impacted by a benefit of \$78 thousand related to the reversal of the Company s liability related to uncertain tax positions.

For the year ended December 31, 2009, the Company recorded a provision for income taxes of \$1.9 million which consists of a provision of \$1.1 million for U.S. federal income taxes, as well as a \$0.4 million provision for state and local taxes, a \$0.2 million provision for Canadian taxes, and a deferred tax expense of \$0.3 million.

As of December 31, 2009, the Company had a U.S. deferred tax asset of approximately \$1.2 million.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board, FASB issued ASU 2011-05, Presentation of Comprehensive Income, an amendment to FASB ASC Topic 220, Comprehensive Income. The update gives companies the option to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. The amendments in the update do not change the items that must be reported in other comprehensive income or when an item of other comprehensive income must be reclassified to net income. The ASU is effective for the Company for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011. In December 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-12 Deferral of the Effective Date for Amendments to the Presentation of Reclassifications of Items out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income in Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-05. This update stated that the specific requirement to present items that are reclassified from other comprehensive income to net income alongside their respective components of net income and other comprehensive income will be deferred. All other requirements of ASU 2011-05 will be required to be adopted. The amendments should be applied retrospectively for all prior periods presented. Early adoption is permitted because compliance with the amendments is already permitted. The

Company does not expect the adoption of these provisions to have a material impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our cash and cash equivalents decreased by \$1.8 million to \$9.2 million at December 31, 2011 from \$11.0 million at December 31, 2010. Net cash provided by operating activities amounted to \$3.7 million, net cash used in investing activities amounted to \$1.1 million, net cash used in financing activities amounted to \$4.3 million and the effect of foreign exchange on cash was \$0.1 million.

Net cash provided by operating activities in 2011 was \$3.7 million. In 2011, cash was mainly provided by \$7.4 million from net income net of non-cash charges, and a \$4.1 million increase in accounts payable, offset in part by a \$6.9 million increase in accounts receivable, an increase in current assets of \$0.7 million, and an increase in inventory of \$0.1 million. The increase in accounts receivable relates primarily to our increased revenue in December of 2011, compared to the comparable period in 2010. The increase in accounts payable is primarily due to our increased net sales in December 2011 as compared to 2010 and our normal cycle of payments.

In 2011, cash used in investing activities was \$1.1 million. This resulted primarily from net purchases of \$0.9 million in marketable securities. These securities are highly rated and highly liquid. These securities are classified as available-for-sale securities in accordance with ASC Topic 320 Investments in Debt and Equity Securities , and as a result, unrealized gains and losses are reported as part of accumulated other comprehensive income. Cash also was used in investing activities in the amount of \$0.2 million for the purchase of equipment and leasehold improvements.

Net cash used in financing activities in 2011 of \$4.3 million consisted of \$3.0 million of dividend payments on our Common Stock and \$1.5 million for the purchases of shares of our Common Stock offset by the tax benefit from share based compensation of \$0.2 million.

In 2008, the Company s Board of Directors authorized the purchase of 500,000 shares of our Common Stock. In 2002, the Company s Board of Directors authorized the purchase of 1,490,000 shares of our Common Stock. In October 1999, the Company was authorized by the Board of Directors to buy back 521,013 shares of our Common Stock in both open market and private transactions, as conditions warrant. A total of 2,112,756 shares of the Company s stock have been bought back to date leaving a balance of 398,257 shares of Common Stock that the Company is authorized to buy back in the future.

The repurchase program is expected to remain in effect for 2012. We intend to hold the repurchased shares in treasury for general corporate purposes, including issuances under various stock plans. As of December 31, 2011, we held 604,622 shares of our Common Stock in treasury at an average cost of \$8.25 per share. As of December 31, 2010, we held 514,259 shares of our Common Stock in treasury at an average cost of \$6.94 per share.

The Company s current and anticipated use of its cash and cash equivalents is, and will continue to be, to fund working capital, operational expenditures, the stock repurchase program and dividends, if any, declared by the board of directors. Our business plan furthermore contemplates to continue to use our cash to pay vendors promptly in order to obtain more favorable conditions.

The Company believes that the cash flows from operations and funds held in cash and cash equivalents will be sufficient to fund the Company s working capital and cash requirements for at least the next 12 months. We currently do not have any credit facility and, in the foreseeable future, we do not plan to enter into an agreement providing for a line of credit.

Contractual Obligations

(Dollars in thousands)

Payment due by Period	Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4-5 years	After 5 years
Long-term debt					
Capital Lease Obligations	\$ 132	\$ 76	\$ 56		
Operating Leases(1)	\$ 404	\$ 353	\$ 51		
Unconditional Purchase Obligations					
Other Long term Obligations					
reflected on the Company s Balance					
Sheet under GAAP					
Total Contractual Obligations	\$ 536	\$ 429	\$ 107		

⁽¹⁾ Operating leases relate primarily to the lease of the space used for our operations in Shrewsbury, New Jersey, Mississauga, Canada and Almere, Netherlands. The commitments for operating leases include the minimum rent payments and a proportionate share of operating expenses and property taxes.

The Company is not committed by lines of credit or standby letters of credit, and has no standby repurchase obligations or other commercial commitments.

Foreign Exchange

The Company s Canadian business is subject to changes in demand or pricing resulting from fluctuations in currency exchange rates or other factors. We are subject to fluctuations in the Canadian Dollar-to-U.S. Dollar exchange rate.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

As of December 31, 2011, we did not have any off-balance sheet arrangements, as defined in Item 303 (a)(4)(ii) of SEC Regulation S-K.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Management s discussion and analysis of the Company s financial condition and results of operations are based upon the Company s consolidated financial statements that have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of these financial statements requires the Company to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. The Company recognizes revenue from the sale of software and hardware for microcomputers, servers and networks upon shipment or upon electronic delivery of the product. The Company expenses the advertising costs associated with producing its catalogs. The costs of these catalogs are expensed in the same month the catalogs

are mailed.

On an on-going basis, the Company evaluates its estimates, including those related to product returns, bad debts, inventories, investments, intangible assets, income taxes, stock-based compensation, contingencies and litigation.

The Company bases its estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making

Page 20

judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates.

The Company believes the following critical accounting policies used in the preparation of its consolidated financial statements affect its more significant judgments and estimates.

The Company maintains allowances for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from the inability of its customers to make required payments. If the financial condition of the Company s customers were to deteriorate, resulting in an impairment of their ability to make payments, additional allowances may be required.

The Company writes down its inventory for estimated obsolescence or unmarketable inventory equal to the difference between the cost of inventory and the estimated market value based upon assumptions about future demand and market conditions. If actual market conditions are less favorable than those projected by management, additional inventory write-offs may be required.

The Company has considered future taxable income and ongoing prudent and feasible tax planning strategies in assessing the need for the valuation allowance related to deferred tax assets. In the event the Company were to determine that it would not be able to realize all or part of its net deferred tax assets in the future, an adjustment to the deferred tax assets would be charged to income in the period such determination was made.

Under the fair value recognition provision, stock-based compensation cost is measured at the grant date based on the fair value of the award and is recognized as expense on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period, which is the vesting period. We make certain assumptions in order to value and expense our various share-based payment awards. In connection with valuing stock options, we use the Black-Scholes model, which requires us to estimate certain subjective assumptions. The key assumptions we make are: the expected volatility of our stock; the expected term of the award; and the expected forfeiture rate. In connection with our restricted stock programs we make assumptions principally related to the forfeiture rate. We review our valuation assumptions periodically and, as a result, we may change our valuation assumptions used to value stock based awards granted in future periods. Such changes may lead to a significant change in the expense we recognize in connection with share-based payments.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

In addition to its activities in the United States, 7.5% of the Company s 2011 sales were generated in Canada. We are subject to general risks attendant to the conduct of business in Canada, including economic uncertainties and foreign government regulations. In addition, the Company s Canadian business is subject to changes in demand or pricing resulting from fluctuations in currency exchange rates or other factors.

The Company s \$5.4 million investments in marketable securities at December 31, 2011 are invested in insured certificates of deposit.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

See Index to Consolidated Financial Statements at Item 15(a).
Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure
None.
Page 21

Item 9A. Controls and procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures. As required by Rule 13a-15(b) under the Exchange Act, our management carried out an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures, as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act, as of the end of the period covered by this report. This evaluation was carried out under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Company s President, Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer (principal executive officer) and Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer (principal financial officer). Based upon that evaluation, the Company s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Accounting Officer concluded that the Company s disclosure controls and procedures were effective, as of the end of the period covered by this report, to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC s rules and forms and is accumulated and communicated to the Company s management, including the Company s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Accounting Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Management Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) of the Exchange Act. Internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Accounting Officer, and effected by the Board of Directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of our financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with GAAP. Internal control over financial reporting includes maintaining records in reasonable detail that accurately and fairly reflect our transactions and disposition of assets; providing reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary for preparation of our financial statements in accordance with GAAP; providing reasonable assurance that receipts and expenditures of the Company, are made in accordance of with authorizations of management and directors of the Company; and providing reasonable assurance that unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of Company assets that could have a material effect on our financial statements would be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting is not intended to provide absolute assurance that a misstatement of our financial statements would be prevented or detected. In addition, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that, owing to changes in conditions, controls may become inadequate, or that the degree of compliance with policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Accounting Officer, conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting based on the framework in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on this evaluation, management concluded that the Company s internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2011. There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended December 31, 2011 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

This annual report does not include an attestation report of the Company s registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management s report was not subject to attestation by the Company s registered public accounting firm.

Item 9B.	Other	Information
----------	-------	-------------

None.

PART III

Item 10. Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant

The information required hereunder, with the exception of the information relating to the executive officers of the Registrant that is presented in Part I under the heading Executive Officers of the Company, and the information relating to the Company s Code of Ethical Conduct that is presented in Part I under the heading Available Information, is incorporated by reference herein from our Definitive Proxy Statement for the 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A not later than April 30, 2012 (the Definitive Proxy Statement) under the sections captioned Election of Directors, Corporate Governance and Section 16 (a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

The information required hereunder is incorporated by reference herein from the Definitive Proxy Statement under the sections captioned Executive Compensation and Corporate Governance.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management

The information required hereunder is incorporated by reference herein from the Definitive Proxy Statement under the sections captioned Executive Compensation Securities Authorized for Issuance under Equity Compensation Plans and Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management .

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions, and Director Independence

The information required hereunder is incorporated by reference herein from the Definitive Proxy Statement under the sections captioned Executive Compensation, Corporate Governance and Transactions with Related Persons.

Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services

The information required hereunder is incorporated by reference herein from the Definitive Proxy Statement under the section captioned Appointment of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm .

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

- (a) The following documents are filed as part of this Report:
- 1. **Consolidated Financial Statements** (See Index to Consolidated Financial Statements on page F-1 of this report);
- 2. Financial Statement Schedule:

Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts

All other schedules are omitted since the required information is not present or is not present in amounts sufficient to require submission of the schedule, or because the information required is included in the consolidated financial statements or notes thereto.

Page 23

Exhibits Required by Regulation S-K, Item 601:

3.

Exhibit No.	Description of Exhibit
3.1	Form of Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Company. (1)
3.1(a)	Certificate of Amendment of Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Company. (2)
3.2	Form of Amended and Restated By-Laws of the Company. (1)
4.1	Specimen of Common Stock Certificate. (1)
10.18	1995 Stock Plan, as amended. (3)
10.19	1995 Non-Employee Director Plan, as amended. (3)
10.19(a)	2006 Stock-Based Compensation Plan. (4)
10.19(b)	First Amendment to 2006 Stock-Based Compensation Plan. (5)
10.19(c)	Second Amendment to 2006 Stock-Based Compensation Plan. (5)
10.20	Form of Officer and Director Indemnification Agreement. (1)
10.42	Lease dated as of May 14, 1997 between Robert C. Baker, et al as Landlord and the Company. (6)
10.42(a)	Modification of Lease, dated as of July 27, 2006, between SBC Holdings, L.P. (successor in interest to Robert C. Baker, et al.) and the Company. (2)
10.43	Employment Agreement, dated January 12, 2006, between the Company and Simon F. Nynens. (7)
10.45	Offer Letter, dated January 6, 2003, from the Company to Vito Legrottaglie. (8)
10.46	Resignation Letter, dated May 16, 2007, from Wayside Technology Group, Inc. to Jeffrey Largiader. (9)
10.47	General Release, dated May 18, 2007, between Jeffrey Largiader and Wayside Technology Group, Inc. (5)
10.48	Restricted Stock Letter, dated August 15, 2006, between Vito Legrottaglie and Wayside Technology Group, Inc. (f/k/a Programmer s Paradise Inc.). (5)
10.49	Restricted Stock Letter, dated August 15, 2006, between Jeffrey Largiader and Wayside Technology Group, Inc. (f/k/a Programmer s Paradise Inc.). (5)
10.50	Restricted Stock Letter, dated August 15, 2006, between Daniel Jamieson and Wayside Technology Group, Inc. (f/k/a Programmer s Paradise Inc.). (5)
10.51	Restricted Stock Letter, dated August 15, 2006, between Allan Weingarten and Wayside Technology Group, Inc. (f/k/a Programmer s Paradise Inc.). (5)
10.52	Restricted Stock Letter, dated August 15, 2006, between Edwin Morgens and Wayside Technology Group, Inc. (f/k/a Programmer s Paradise Inc.). (5)

10.53	Restricted Stock Letter, dated August 15, 2006, between Duff Meyercord and Wayside Technology Group, Inc. (f/k/a Programmer s Paradise Inc.). (5)
10.54	Restricted Stock Letter, dated August 15, 2006, between Simon F. Nynens and Wayside Technology Group, Inc. (f/k/a Programmer s Paradise Inc.). (5)
10.55	Restricted Stock Letter, dated August 15, 2006, between Simon F. Nynens and Wayside Technology Group, Inc. (f/k/a Programmer s Paradise Inc.). (5)
10.56	Restricted Stock Letter, dated August 15, 2006, between Kevin Scull and Wayside Technology Group, Inc. (f/k/a Programmer s Paradise Inc.). (5)
10.57	Restricted Stock Letter, dated January 31, 2007, between William Willett and Wayside Technology Group, Inc. (f/k/a Programmer s Paradise Inc.). (5)
10.58	Restricted Stock Letter, dated November 19, 2007, between Richard Bevis and Wayside Technology Group, Inc. (f/k/a Programmer s Paradise Inc.). (5)
10.59	Form of Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement. (5)
10.60	Restricted Stock Letter, dated February 5, 2008, between Kevin Scull and Wayside Technology Group, Inc. (10)
10.61	Restricted Stock Letter, dated February 5, 2008, between Richard Bevis and Wayside Technology Group, Inc. (10)
10.62	Restricted Stock Letter, dated February 5, 2008, between Simon Nynens and Wayside Technology Group, Inc. (10)
10.63	Restricted Stock Letter, dated February 5, 2008, between Vito Legrottaglie and Wayside Technology Group, Inc. (10)
10.64	Restricted Stock Letter, dated February 5, 2008, between Daniel Jamieson and Wayside Technology Group, Inc. (10)
10.65	Restricted Stock Letter, dated February 5, 2008, between Edwin Morgens and Wayside Technology Group, Inc. (10)
10.66	Restricted Stock Letter, dated February 5, 2008, between William Willett and Wayside Technology Group, Inc. (10)
10.67	Restricted Stock Letter, dated February 5, 2008, between Allan Weingarten and Wayside Technology Group, Inc. (10)
10.68	Restricted Stock Letter, dated February 5, 2008, between Mark Boyer and Wayside Technology Group, Inc. (10)
10.69	Restricted Stock Letter, dated February 5, 2008, between Duff Meyercord and Wayside Technology Group, Inc. (10)
10.72	Restricted Stock Letter, dated May 5, 2009, between Simon Nynens and Wayside Technology Group, Inc. (11)

10.73	Restricted Stock Letter, dated May 5, 2009, between Kevin Scull and Wayside Technology Group, Inc. (11)
10.74	Restricted Stock Letter, dated May 5, 2009, between Richard Bevis and Wayside Technology Group, Inc. (11)
10.75	Restricted Stock Letter, dated May 5, 2009, between Shawn Giordano and Wayside Technology Group, Inc. (11)
10.76	Restricted Stock Letter, dated May 5, 2009, between Daniel Jamieson and Wayside Technology Group, Inc. (11)
10.77	Restricted Stock Letter, dated May 5, 2009, between Vito Legrottaglie and Wayside Technology Group, Inc. (11)
10.78	Restricted Stock Letter, dated February 9, 2010, between Kevin Scull and Wayside Technology Group, Inc. (12)
10.79	Restricted Stock Letter, dated February 9, 2010, between Richard Bevis and Wayside Technology Group, Inc. (12)
10.80	Restricted Stock Letter, dated February 9, 2010, between Simon Nynens and Wayside Technology Group, Inc. (12)
10.81	Restricted Stock Letter, dated February 9, 2010, between Vito Legrottaglie and Wayside Technology Group, Inc. (12)
10.82	Restricted Stock Letter, dated February 9, 2010, between Daniel Jamieson and Wayside Technology Group, Inc. (12)
10.83	Restricted Stock Letter, dated February 9, 2010, between Shawn Giordano and Wayside Technology Group, Inc. (12)
10.84	Restricted Stock Letter, dated February 9, 2010, between Edwin Morgens and Wayside Technology Group, Inc. (12)
10.85	Restricted Stock Letter, dated February 9, 2010, between William Willett and Wayside Technology Group, Inc. (12)
10.86	Restricted Stock Letter, dated February 9, 2010, between Allan Weingarten and Wayside Technology Group, Inc. (12)
10.87	Restricted Stock Letter, dated February 9, 2010, between Mark Boyer and Wayside Technology Group, Inc. (12)
10.88	Restricted Stock Letter, dated February 9, 2010, between Duff Meyercord and Wayside Technology Group, Inc. (12)
21.1	Subsidiaries of the Registrant
23.1	Consent of EisnerAmper LLP
23.2	Consent of Amper, Politziner & Mattia, LLP

31.1 Certification pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, of Simon F. Nynens, the Chief Executive Officer of the Company. 31.2 Certification pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, of Kevin T. Scull, the Chief Accounting Officer of the Company. 32.1 Certification pursuant to Rule 13a-14(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, of Simon F. Nynens, the Chief Executive Officer of the Company. 32.2 Certification pursuant to Rule 13a-14(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, of Kevin T. Scull, the Chief Accounting Officer of the Company. 101 The following financial information from Wayside Technology Group, Inc. s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, filed with the SEC on February 24, 2012, formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language) includes: (1) Consolidated Balance Sheets, (2) Consolidated Statements of Earnings, (3) Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity and Comprehensive Income, (4) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, and (5) the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, tagged as blocks of text. (13) (1) Incorporated by reference to the Exhibits of the same number to the Registrant s Registration Statement on Form S-1 or amendments thereto (File No. 333-92810). (2) Incorporated by reference to the Exhibits of the same number to the Registrant s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2006 filed on November 3, 2006. (3) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit A and Exhibit B, respectively, to the Registrant s Definitive Annual Meeting Proxy Statement filed on April 30, 1998. (4) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit A of the Registrant s Definitive Annual Meeting Proxy Statement filed on April 28, 2006. Incorporated by reference to exhibits of the same number filed with the Registrant s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Year (5) Ended December 31, 2007 filed on March 13, 2008. (6) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.42 of the Registrant s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1998 filed on March 31, 1999. (7) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.43 to the Registrant s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2006 filed on May 12, 2006. Incorporated by reference to exhibits of the same number filed with the Registrant s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the (8) guarter ended March 31, 2007 filed on May 15, 2007. (9) Incorporated by reference to exhibits of the same number filed with the Registrant s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 21, 2007. Incorporated by reference to exhibits of the same number filed with the Registrant s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the (10)Period Ended March 31, 2008 filed May 12, 2008. Incorporated by reference to exhibits of the same number filed with the Registrant s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the (11)Period Ended June 30, 2009 filed August 11, 2009.

- Incorporated by reference to exhibits of the same number filed with the Registrant s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the Period Ended March 31, 2010 filed May 10, 2010.
- Users of this data are advised pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T that this interactive data file is deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, is deemed not filed for purposes of section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and otherwise is not subject to liability under these sections.
- (b) The exhibits required by Item 601 of Regulation S-K are reflected above in Section (a) 3. of this Item.
- (c) The financial statement schedule is included as reflected in Section (a) 2. of this Item.

Page 28

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized, in Shrewsbury, New Jersey, on February 24, 2012.

WAYSIDE TECHNOLOGY GROUP, INC.

By: /s/ Simon F. Nynens

Simon F. Nynens, President and Chief Executive

Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant in the capacities and on the dates indicated:

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ Simon F. Nynens Simon F. Nynens	President and Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of Directors (Principal Executive Officer)	February 24, 2012
/s/ Kevin T. Scull Kevin T. Scull	Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)	February 24, 2012
/s/ William H. Willett William H. Willett	Director	February 24, 2012
/s/ Mark. T. Boyer Mark. T. Boyer	Director	February 24, 2012
/s/ Duffield Meyercord Duffield Meyercord	Director	February 24, 2012
/s/ Edwin H. Morgens Edwin H. Morgens	Director	February 24, 2012
/s/ Allan D. Weingarten Allan D. Weingarten	Director	February 24, 2012
/s/ Mike Faith Mike Faith	Director	February 24, 2012

Items 8 and 15(a)

Wayside Technology Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Index to Consolidated Financial Statements and Schedule

	Page
Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firms	F-2-3
Consolidated Balance Sheets	F-4
Consolidated Statements of Earnings	F-:
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity and Comprehensive Income	F-0
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	F-′
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-8
Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts	F-24

F-1

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders
Wayside Technology Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries
We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Wayside Technology Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, and the related consolidated statements of earnings, stockholders—equity and comprehensive income, and cash flows for each of the years in the two-year period ended December 31, 2011. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company—s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.
We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standard require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.
In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Wayside Technology Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in the two-year period ended December 31, 2011, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.
In connection with our audits of the consolidated financial statements referred to above, we also audited the consolidated financial statement schedule, Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts, for each of the years in the two-year period ended December 31, 2011. In our opinion, this financial schedule, when considered in relation to the consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information stated therein.
/s/ EisnerAmper LLP
Edison, New Jersey
February 24, 2012

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders
Wayside Technology Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries
We have audited the accompanying consolidated statements of earnings, stockholders—equity and comprehensive income, and cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2009. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company—s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.
We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.
In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated results of operations and cash flows of Wayside Technology Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries for the year ended December 31, 2009 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.
In connection with our audit of the consolidated statements referred to above, we also audited the consolidated financial statement schedule, Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts, for the year ended December 31, 2009. In our opinion, this financial schedule, when considered in relation to the consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information stated therein.
/s/ Amper, Politziner & Mattia, LLP
Edison, New Jersey
February 22, 2010

Wayside Technology Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Balance Sheets

(Dollars in thousands, except share and per share amounts)