

CHASE CORP
Form 10-Q
July 10, 2012
Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the Quarterly Period Ended May 31, 2012

Commission File Number: 1-9852

CHASE CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Massachusetts
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation
of organization)

11-1797126
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

26 Summer Street, Bridgewater, Massachusetts 02324

(Address of Principal Executive Offices, Including Zip Code)

(508) 819-4200

Edgar Filing: CHASE CORP - Form 10-Q

(Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months, and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. YES NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). YES NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by checkmark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). YES NO

The number of shares of Common Stock outstanding as of June 30, 2012 was 9,052,701.

Table of Contents

CHASE CORPORATION

INDEX TO FORM 10-Q

For the Quarter Ended May 31, 2012

Part I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1 Unaudited Financial Statements

| | |
|--|---|
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of May 31, 2012 and August 31, 2011</u> | 3 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and nine months ended May 31, 2012 and 2011</u> | 4 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the three and nine months ended May 31, 2012 and 2011</u> | 5 |
| <u>Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity for the nine months ended May 31, 2012</u> | 6 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended May 31, 2012 and 2011</u> | 7 |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 8 |

| | |
|---|----|
| <u>Item 2 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 18 |
|---|----|

| | |
|--|----|
| <u>Item 3 Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 26 |
|--|----|

| | |
|---------------------------------------|----|
| <u>Item 4 Controls and Procedures</u> | 26 |
|---------------------------------------|----|

Part II OTHER INFORMATION

| | |
|---------------------------------|----|
| <u>Item 1 Legal Proceedings</u> | 27 |
|---------------------------------|----|

| | |
|-----------------------------|----|
| <u>Item 1A Risk Factors</u> | 27 |
|-----------------------------|----|

| | |
|------------------------|----|
| <u>Item 6 Exhibits</u> | 28 |
|------------------------|----|

| | |
|--------------------------|----|
| <u>SIGNATURES</u> | 29 |
|--------------------------|----|

Table of Contents**Part 1 FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1 Unaudited Financial Statements**

CHASE CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(UNAUDITED)

In thousands, except share and per share amounts

| | May 31, 2012 | August 31, 2011 |
|--|-----------------|--------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Current Assets: | | |
| Cash & cash equivalents | \$ 13,189 | \$ 14,982 |
| Accounts receivable, less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$500 and \$473 | 18,533 | 19,103 |
| Inventories | 22,988 | 20,841 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 1,803 | 1,502 |
| Assets held for sale (Note 12) | | 1,004 |
| Deferred income taxes | 559 | 559 |
| Total current assets | 57,072 | 57,991 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | 30,922 | 28,594 |
| Other Assets: | | |
| Goodwill | 18,039 | 18,060 |
| Intangible assets, less accumulated amortization of \$11,735 and \$10,374 | 14,020 | 16,185 |
| Cash surrender value of life insurance | 7,107 | 6,915 |
| Restricted investments | 803 | 740 |
| Deferred income taxes | 329 | 332 |
| Other assets | 81 | 92 |
| | \$ 128,373 | \$ 128,909 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY | | |
| Current Liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 7,255 | \$ 7,276 |
| Accrued payroll and other compensation | 1,693 | 2,624 |
| Accrued expenses | 4,781 | 4,237 |
| Accrued income taxes | 1,932 | 1,387 |
| Current portion of long-term debt | 4,400 | 4,400 |
| Total current liabilities | 20,061 | 19,924 |
| Long-term debt, less current portion | 4,717 | 8,267 |
| Deferred compensation | 1,622 | 1,597 |
| Accumulated pension obligation | 5,792 | 6,713 |

Edgar Filing: CHASE CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|------------|------------|
| Other liabilities | 102 | 528 |
| Commitments and Contingencies (Note 8) | | |
| Stockholders' Equity: | | |
| First Serial Preferred Stock, \$1.00 par value: Authorized 100,000 shares; none issued | | |
| Common stock, \$.10 par value: Authorized 20,000,000 shares; 9,052,701 shares at May 31, 2012 and 8,952,910 shares at August 31, 2011 issued and outstanding | 905 | 895 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 12,162 | 10,678 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (4,693) | (3,666) |
| Retained earnings | 87,705 | 83,973 |
| Total stockholders' equity | 96,079 | 91,880 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 128,373 | \$ 128,909 |

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents

CHASE CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(UNAUDITED)

In thousands, except share and per share amounts

| | Three Months Ended May 31, | | Nine Months Ended May 31, | |
|--|----------------------------|-----------|---------------------------|-----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Revenues | | | | |
| Sales | \$ 34,378 | \$ 32,132 | \$ 94,868 | \$ 88,622 |
| Royalties and commissions | 761 | 494 | 1,821 | 1,532 |
| | 35,139 | 32,626 | 96,689 | 90,154 |
| Costs and Expenses | | | | |
| Cost of products and services sold | 22,210 | 21,230 | 65,231 | 58,732 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 7,603 | 7,209 | 21,108 | 20,461 |
| Operating income | 5,326 | 4,187 | 10,350 | 10,961 |
| Interest expense | (31) | (45) | (103) | (154) |
| Other income (expense) | (266) | 48 | 203 | 172 |
| Income before income taxes | 5,029 | 4,190 | 10,450 | 10,979 |
| Income taxes | 1,656 | 1,224 | 3,553 | 3,668 |
| Net income | \$ 3,373 | \$ 2,966 | \$ 6,897 | \$ 7,311 |
| Net income available to common shareholders, per common and common equivalent share | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.37 | \$ 0.33 | \$ 0.76 | \$ 0.82 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.37 | \$ 0.33 | \$ 0.76 | \$ 0.81 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding | | | | |
| Basic | 8,766,112 | 8,733,336 | 8,759,472 | 8,717,427 |
| Diluted | 8,798,269 | 8,778,884 | 8,782,564 | 8,763,850 |
| Cash dividends declared per share | | | \$ 0.35 | \$ 0.35 |

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents

CHASE CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME
(UNAUDITED)

In thousands, except share and per share amounts

| | Three Months Ended May 31, | | Nine Months Ended May 31, | |
|--|----------------------------|----------|---------------------------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Net income | \$ 3,373 | \$ 2,966 | \$ 6,897 | \$ 7,311 |
| Other comprehensive income: | | | | |
| Net unrealized gain (loss) on restricted investments, net of tax | (34) | 9 | 2 | 83 |
| Pension amortization, net of tax | 57 | 47 | 171 | 141 |
| Pension settlement loss, net of tax | 268 | | 268 | |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment | (586) | 619 | (1,468) | 1,680 |
| Total other comprehensive income (loss) | (295) | 675 | (1,027) | 1,904 |
| Comprehensive income | \$ 3,078 | \$ 3,641 | \$ 5,870 | \$ 9,215 |

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents

CHASE CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY
NINE MONTHS ENDED MAY 31, 2012
(UNAUDITED)

In thousands, except share and per share amounts

| | Common Stock Shares | Common Stock Amount | Additional Paid-In Capital | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (loss) | Retained Earnings | Total Stockholders Equity |
|--|------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|--|----------------------|---------------------------------|
| Balance at August 31, 2011 | 8,952,910 | \$ 895 | \$ 10,678 | \$ (3,666) | \$ 83,973 | \$ 91,880 |
| Restricted stock grants, net of forfeitures | 98,135 | 10 | (10) | | | |
| Amortization of restricted stock grants | | | 1,052 | | | 1,052 |
| Amortization of stock option grants | | | 421 | | | 421 |
| Common stock issuance | 1,656 | | 21 | | | 21 |
| Cash dividend paid, \$0.35 per share | | | | | (3,165) | (3,165) |
| Pension amortization, net of tax of \$92 | | | | 171 | | 171 |
| Pension settlement loss, net of tax of \$145 | | | | 268 | | 268 |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment | | | | (1,468) | | (1,468) |
| Net unrealized gain on restricted investments, net of tax of \$1 | | | | 2 | | 2 |
| Net income | | | | | 6,897 | 6,897 |
| Balance at May 31, 2012 | 9,052,701 | \$ 905 | \$ 12,162 | \$ (4,693) | \$ 87,705 | \$ 96,079 |

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents

CHASE CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS
(UNAUDITED)

In thousands, except share and per share amounts

| | Nine Months Ended May 31, | |
|--|---------------------------|----------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Net income | \$ 6,897 | \$ 7,311 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities | | |
| Loss on disposal/sale of fixed assets | 30 | |
| Depreciation | 2,083 | 1,868 |
| Amortization | 1,703 | 1,729 |
| Provision for losses on accounts receivable | 38 | 14 |
| Stock based compensation | 1,494 | 1,269 |
| Realized gain on restricted investments | (20) | (16) |
| Increase in cash surrender value life insurance | (45) | (15) |
| Pension settlement loss | (413) | |
| Excess tax benefit from stock based compensation | | (27) |
| Increase (decrease) from changes in assets and liabilities | | |
| Accounts receivable | 278 | 470 |
| Inventories | (2,300) | (6,619) |
| Prepaid expenses & other assets | (328) | (266) |
| Accounts payable | 102 | 1,943 |
| Accrued expenses | (1,150) | (560) |
| Accrued income taxes | 565 | (1,337) |
| Deferred compensation | 25 | 154 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 8,959 | 5,918 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Purchases of property, plant and equipment | (4,479) | (3,079) |
| Contingent purchase price for acquisition | (155) | (57) |
| Net proceeds from sale of fixed assets | 1,032 | |
| Additional proceeds from sale of discontinued operations | | 1,478 |
| Net contributions from restricted investments | (41) | (37) |
| Payments for cash surrender value life insurance | (137) | (137) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (3,780) | (1,832) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Borrowings on long-term debt | 9,085 | 1,191 |
| Payments of principal on debt | (12,635) | (4,741) |
| Dividend paid | (3,165) | (3,131) |
| Payments of statutory minimum taxes on stock options and restricted stock | | (13) |
| Excess tax benefit from stock based compensation | | 27 |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (6,715) | (6,667) |
| DECREASE IN CASH | (1,536) | (2,581) |
| Effect of foreign exchange rates on cash | (257) | 442 |

Edgar Filing: CHASE CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--|----|--------|----|--------|
| CASH, BEGINNING OF PERIOD | | 14,982 | | 17,340 |
| CASH, END OF PERIOD | \$ | 13,189 | \$ | 15,201 |
| Non-cash Investing and Financing Activities | | | | |
| Common stock received for payment of stock option exercises | \$ | | \$ | 386 |
| Accrual for contingent payments related to acquisitions | \$ | 201 | \$ | 217 |
| Property, plant & equipment additions included in accounts payable | \$ | 148 | \$ | 150 |
| Gain on termination of Evanston sale leaseback transaction (Note 11) | \$ | 425 | \$ | |

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents

CHASE CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(UNAUDITED)

In thousands, except share and per share amounts

Note 1 - Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial reporting and instructions to Form 10-Q and Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X. Therefore, they do not include all information and footnote disclosure necessary for a complete presentation of Chase Corporation's financial position, results of operations and cash flows, in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. Chase Corporation (the Company, Chase, we, or us) filed audited financial statements which included all information and notes necessary for such presentation for the three years ended August 31, 2011 in conjunction with its 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements contain all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring items) which are, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair presentation of the Company's financial position as of May 31, 2012, the results of operations, comprehensive income and cash flows for the interim periods ended May 31, 2012 and 2011, and changes in stockholders' equity for the interim period ended May 31, 2012.

The financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiaries. All intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated in consolidation. The Company uses the U.S. dollar as the reporting currency for financial reporting. The financial position and results of operations of the Company's HumiSeal Europe Ltd and Chase Protective Coatings Ltd divisions are measured using the UK pound sterling as the functional currency and the financial position and results of operations of the Company's HumiSeal Europe SARL division in France are measured using the euro as the functional currency. Foreign currency translation gains and losses are determined using current exchange rates for monetary items and historical exchange rates for other balance sheet items and are recorded as a change in other comprehensive income. Translation gains and losses generated from the remeasurement of assets and liabilities denominated in currencies other than the functional currency of our foreign operations are included in other income/(expense) on the consolidated statements of operations.

The Company has evaluated events and transactions subsequent to the balance sheet date. Based on this evaluation, and other than the June 2012 acquisition of NEPTCO, Inc. and the related debt bank financing arrangement with Bank of America, each as detailed in Note 13, the Company is not aware of any events or transactions that occurred subsequent to the balance sheet date but prior to filing that would require recognition or disclosure in its consolidated financial statements.

The results of operations for the interim period ended May 31, 2012 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for any future period or the entire fiscal year. These interim consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended August 31, 2011, which are contained in the Company's 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Out of Period Adjustment

During the quarter ending May 31, 2012 the Company capitalized certain internal costs related to production equipment projects associated with the Company's long-term plant consolidation plans that had previously been included incorrectly in cost of products and services sold in its consolidated statements of operations. The correction of \$388, consisting of \$161 and \$227 for the three month periods ending November 30, 2011 and February 29, 2012, respectively, was recorded in the three month

Table of Contents

CHASE CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(UNAUDITED)

In thousands, except share and per share amounts

period ending May 31, 2012. These corrections were not considered to be material to any of the periods ending November 30, 2011, February 29, 2012 or May 31, 2012.

Note 2 Recent Accounting Policies

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2011-05, Comprehensive Income (ASC Topic 220): Presentation of Comprehensive Income, (ASU 2011-05) which amends current comprehensive income guidance. This accounting update eliminates the option to present the components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of shareholders equity. Instead, the Company must report comprehensive income in either a single continuous statement of comprehensive income which contains two sections, net income and other comprehensive income, or in two separate but consecutive statements. The items that must be reported in other comprehensive income were not changed. In December 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-12, Deferral of the Effective Date for Amendments to the Presentation of Reclassifications of Items Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income in Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-05, (ASU 2011-12) which amends ASU 2011-05 by indefinitely deferring the requirement under ASU 2011-05 to present reclassification adjustments out of accumulated other comprehensive income by component in both the statement in which net income is presented and the statement in which other comprehensive income is presented. The Company adopted ASU 2011-05 with retrospective application as required, except for the components of ASU 2011-05 which were indefinitely deferred by ASU 2011-12, and has included in these condensed consolidated financial statements separate unaudited statements of comprehensive income. The adoption of ASU 2011-05 did not have an impact on the Company s consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows as it only required a change in the format of the current presentation.

In September 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-08, Intangibles - Goodwill and Other (ASC Topic 350) - Testing Goodwill for Impairment, (ASU 2011-08) which gives companies the option to first perform a qualitative assessment to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount before performing the two-step test mandated prior to this update. ASU 2011-08 also provides companies with a revised list of examples of events and circumstances to consider, in their totality, to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. If a company concludes that this is the case, it must perform the two-step test. Otherwise, a company may skip the two-step test. Companies are not required to perform the qualitative assessment and may instead proceed directly to the first step of the two-part test. ASU 2011-08 is effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011, with early adoption permitted. The adoption of ASU 2011-08 will not have an impact on the Company s consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Table of Contents

CHASE CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(UNAUDITED)

In thousands, except share and per share amounts

Note 3 Inventories

Inventories consist of the following as of May 31, 2012 and August 31, 2011:

| | May 31, 2012 | August 31, 2011 |
|-------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Raw materials | \$ 11,057 | \$ 10,206 |
| Finished and in process | 11,931 | 10,635 |
| Total inventories | \$ 22,988 | \$ 20,841 |

Note 4 Net Income Per Share

The determination of earnings per share under the two-class method is as follows:

| | Three Months Ended May 31, 2012 | | 2011 | | Nine Months Ended May 31, 2012 | | 2011 | |
|--|------------------------------------|-----------|------|-----------|-----------------------------------|-----------|------|-----------|
| Basic Earnings per Share | | | | | | | | |
| Net income | \$ | 3,373 | \$ | 2,966 | \$ | 6,897 | \$ | 7,311 |
| Less: Allocated to participating securities | | 107 | | 75 | | 218 | | 186 |
| Net income available to common shareholders | \$ | 3,266 | \$ | 2,891 | \$ | 6,679 | \$ | 7,125 |
| Basic weighted average shares outstanding | | 8,766,112 | | 8,733,336 | | 8,759,472 | | 8,717,427 |
| Net income per share - Basic | \$ | 0.37 | \$ | 0.33 | \$ | 0.76 | \$ | 0.82 |
| Diluted Earnings per Share | | | | | | | | |
| Net income | \$ | 3,373 | \$ | 2,966 | \$ | 6,897 | \$ | 7,311 |
| Less: Allocated to participating securities | | 106 | | 75 | | 218 | | 185 |
| Net income available to common shareholders | \$ | 3,267 | \$ | 2,891 | \$ | 6,679 | \$ | 7,126 |
| Basic weighted average shares outstanding | | 8,766,112 | | 8,733,336 | | 8,759,472 | | 8,717,427 |
| Additional dilutive common stock equivalents | | 32,157 | | 45,548 | | 23,092 | | 46,423 |
| Diluted weighted average shares outstanding | | 8,798,269 | | 8,778,884 | | 8,782,564 | | 8,763,850 |

Edgar Filing: CHASE CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|----|------|----|------|----|------|----|------|
| Net income per share - Diluted | \$ | 0.37 | \$ | 0.33 | \$ | 0.76 | \$ | 0.81 |
|--------------------------------|----|------|----|------|----|------|----|------|

For the three months ended May 31, 2012, stock options to purchase 265,081 shares of common stock were outstanding, but were not included in the calculation of diluted income per share because the options' exercise prices were greater than the average market price of the common stock and thus their inclusion would be anti-dilutive. For the nine months ended May 31, 2012 and 2011, stock options to purchase 265,081 and 265,201 shares of common stock were outstanding, respectively, but were not included in the calculation of diluted income per share because the options' exercise prices were greater than the average market price of the common stock and thus their inclusion would be anti-dilutive.

Note 5 Stock Based Compensation

In August 2010, the Board of Directors of Chase Corporation approved the fiscal year 2011 Long Term Incentive Plan (2011 LTIP) for the executive officers. The 2011 LTIP is an equity based plan with a grant date of September 1, 2010 and contains a performance and service based restricted stock grant of 32,835 shares in the aggregate, subject to adjustment, with a vesting date of August 31, 2013. Based on the fiscal year 2011 financial results, 32,835 additional shares of restricted stock (total of 65,670 shares) were earned and granted subsequent to the end of fiscal year 2011 in accordance with the performance measurement criteria. No further performance-based measurements apply to this award. Compensation expense is being recognized on a ratable basis over the vesting period.

Table of Contents

CHASE CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(UNAUDITED)

In thousands, except share and per share amounts

In August 2011, the Board of Directors of the Company approved the fiscal year 2012 Long Term Incentive Plan (2012 LTIP) for the executive officers. The 2012 LTIP is an equity based plan with a grant date of September 1, 2011 and containing the following components:

Restricted Shares (a) performance and service based restricted stock grant of 33,798 shares in the aggregate, subject to adjustment, with a vesting date of August 31, 2014. Compensation expense is being recognized on a ratable basis over the vesting period based on quarterly probability assessments; (b) time-based restricted stock grant of 16,899 shares in the aggregate, and a vesting date of August 31, 2014. Compensation expense is being recognized on a ratable basis over the vesting period.

Stock options options to purchase 59,493 shares of common stock in the aggregate with an exercise price of \$12.77 per share. The options will vest in three equal annual allotments beginning on August 31, 2012 and ending on August 31, 2014. The options will expire on August 31, 2021. Compensation expense is being recognized over the period of the award on an annual basis consistent with the vesting terms.

In August 2011, the Board of Directors of the Company approved a plan for issuing a time-based restricted stock grant of 5,037 shares in the aggregate to certain non-executive officer employees, with an issue date of August 31, 2011 and a vesting date of August 31, 2014. Compensation expense is being recognized on a ratable basis over the vesting period.

In August 2011, the Board of Directors of the Company authorized a grant of stock options to certain non-executive officer employees to purchase 20,883 shares of common stock in the aggregate with an exercise price of \$12.77 per share. The options will vest in three equal annual allotments beginning on August 31, 2012 and ending on August 31, 2014. The options will expire on August 31, 2021. Compensation expense is being recognized over the period of the award on an annual basis consistent with the vesting terms.

In December 2011, restricted stock in the amount of 1,887 shares related to the April 2011 grant was forfeited in conjunction with the termination of employment of a non-executive officer of the Company.

As part of their annual retainer, non-employee members of the Board of Directors receive a combined total of \$169 of Chase Corporation common stock, in the form of restricted stock valued in conjunction with the start of the new year of Board service which generally coincides with the Company's annual shareholder meeting. The stock award vests one year from the date of grant. In February 2012, non-employee members of the Board received a total grant of 10,085 shares of restricted stock for service for the period from January 31, 2012 through January 31, 2013. The shares of restricted stock will vest at the conclusion of this service period. Compensation is being recognized on a ratable basis over the twelve month vesting period.

Edgar Filing: CHASE CORP - Form 10-Q

In March 2012, the Board of Directors of the Company approved a plan for issuing a time-based restricted stock grant of 1,368 shares to a non-executive officer employee, with an issue date of March 8, 2012 and a vesting date of August 31, 2012. Compensation expense is being recognized on a ratable basis over the vesting period.

In March 2012, the Board of Directors of the Company authorized a grant of stock options to a non-executive officer employee to purchase 6,630 shares of common stock with an exercise price of \$14.62 per share. The options will vest in three equal annual allotments beginning on March 8,

Table of Contents**CHASE CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****(UNAUDITED)***In thousands, except share and per share amounts*

2013 and ending on March 8, 2015. The options will expire on March 8, 2022. Compensation expense is being recognized over the period of the award on an annual basis consistent with the vesting terms.

Note 6 Segment Data & Foreign Operations

The Company is organized into two operating segments, an Industrial Materials segment and a Construction Materials segment. The basis for this segmentation is distinguished by the nature of the products and how they are delivered to their respective markets. The Industrial Materials segment reflects specified products that are used in or integrated into another company's product with demand dependent upon general economic conditions. Industrial Materials products include insulating and conducting materials for wire and cable manufacturers, moisture protective coatings for electronics and printing services, laminated durable papers, and flexible composites and laminates for the aerospace, packaging and industrial laminate markets. The Construction Materials segment reflects construction project oriented product offerings which are primarily sold and used as Chase branded products in final form. Construction Materials products include protective coatings for pipeline applications, coating and lining systems for use in liquid storage and containment applications, high performance polymeric asphalt additives, and expansion and control joint systems for use in the transportation and architectural markets.

The following tables summarize information about the Company's reportable segments:

| | Three Months Ended May 31, | | Nine Months Ended May 31, | |
|---|----------------------------|-----------|---------------------------|-----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Revenues from external customers | | | | |
| Industrial Materials | \$ 21,612 | \$ 19,894 | \$ 59,584 | \$ 55,596 |
| Construction Materials | 13,527 | 12,732 | 37,105 | 34,558 |
| Total | \$ 35,139 | \$ 32,626 | \$ 96,689 | \$ 90,154 |
| Income before income taxes | | | | |
| Industrial Materials | \$ 5,368 | \$ 4,239 | \$ 12,332 | \$ 11,774 |
| Construction Materials | 1,913 | 1,338 | 2,036 | 2,719 |
| Total for reportable segments | 7,281 | 5,577 | 14,368 | 14,493 |
| Corporate and Common Costs | (2,252)(b) | (1,387) | (3,918)(a,c) | (3,514) |
| Total | \$ 5,029 | \$ 4,190 | \$ 10,450 | \$ 10,979 |

(a) Includes gain of \$425 on termination of Evanston sale leaseback transaction (see Note 11 for further information).

Edgar Filing: CHASE CORP - Form 10-Q

(b) Includes expenses of \$600 related to the acquisition of NEPTCO and \$413 of pension related settlement costs due to the timing of lump sum distributions.

(c) Includes expenses of \$700 related to the acquisition of NEPTCO and \$413 of pension related settlement costs due to the timing of lump sum distributions.

The Company's products are sold world-wide. For the quarters ended May 31, 2012 and 2011, sales from its operations located in the United Kingdom accounted for 13% and 12% of total Company revenues, respectively. In the fiscal year to date period, sales from its operations located in the United Kingdom accounted for 15% of total Company revenues compared to 13% in the same period in fiscal 2011. No other foreign geographic area accounted for more than 10% of consolidated revenues for the three and nine month periods ended May 31, 2012 and 2011.

Table of Contents

CHASE CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(UNAUDITED)

In thousands, except share and per share amounts

| | May 31, 2012 | August 31, 2011 |
|-------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Total assets | | |
| Industrial Materials | \$ 52,988 | \$ 49,306 (a) |
| Construction Materials | 51,829 | 54,329 |
| Total for reportable segments | 104,817 | 103,635 |
| Corporate and Common Assets | 23,556 | 25,274 |
| Total | \$ 128,373 | \$ 128,909 |

(a) Includes assets held for sale of \$1,004 from our Webster, MA property

As of May 31, 2012 and August 31, 2011, the Company had long-lived assets (defined as tangible assets providing the Company with a future economic benefit beyond the current year or operating period, including buildings, equipment and leasehold improvements) of \$4,499 and \$2,796, respectively, located in the United Kingdom. These balances exclude goodwill and intangibles of \$11,763 and \$13,267, as of May 31, 2012 and August 31, 2011, respectively.

Note 7 Goodwill and Other Intangibles

The changes in the carrying value of goodwill are as follows:

| | Construction Materials | Industrial Materials | Consolidated |
|---|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|
| Balance at August 31, 2011 | \$ 10,661 | \$ 7,399 | \$ 18,060 |
| Acquisition of Capital Services - additional earnout | 87 | | 87 |
| Acquisition of Paper Tyger - additional earnout | | 68 | 68 |
| Acquisition of Metronelec Assets - additional earnout | | 201 | 201 |
| FX translation adjustment | (13) | (364) | (377) |
| Balance at May 31, 2012 | \$ 10,735 | \$ 7,304 | \$ 18,039 |

The Company evaluates the possible impairment of goodwill annually each fourth quarter and whenever events or circumstances indicate the carrying value of goodwill may not be recoverable.

Edgar Filing: CHASE CORP - Form 10-Q

Intangible assets subject to amortization consist of the following at May 31, 2012 and August 31, 2011:

| | Weighted-Average Amortization Period | Gross Carrying Value | Accumulated Amortization | Net Carrying Value |
|----------------------------------|---|-------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|
| May 31, 2012 | | | | |
| Patents and agreements | 12.7 years | \$ 2,243 | \$ 2,207 | \$ 36 |
| Formulas | 9.8 years | 3,555 | 1,592 | 1,963 |
| Trade names | 4.7 years | 1,375 | 844 | 531 |
| Customer lists and relationships | 10.4 years | 18,582 | 7,092 | 11,490 |
| | | \$ 25,755 | \$ 11,735 | \$ 14,020 |
| August 31, 2011 | | | | |
| Patents and agreements | 12.7 years | \$ 2,243 | \$ 2,175 | \$ 68 |
| Formulas | 9.7 years | 3,589 | 1,318 | 2,271 |
| Trade names | 4.7 years | 1,413 | 693 | 720 |
| Customer lists and relationships | 10.4 years | 19,314 | 6,188 | 13,126 |
| | | \$ 26,559 | \$ 10,374 | \$ 16,185 |

Table of Contents**CHASE CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****(UNAUDITED)***In thousands, except share and per share amounts*

Aggregate amortization expense related to intangible assets for the nine months ended May 31, 2012 and 2011 was \$1,703 and \$1,729, respectively. Estimated amortization expense for the remainder of fiscal year 2012 and for each of the five succeeding fiscal years is as follows:

| Years ending August 31, | | |
|--------------------------------|----|--------|
| 2012 (remaining three months) | \$ | 589 |
| 2013 | | 2,256 |
| 2014 | | 2,199 |
| 2015 | | 2,001 |
| 2016 | | 1,939 |
| 2017 and beyond | | 5,036 |
| | \$ | 14,020 |

Note 8 Commitments and Contingencies

The Company is one of over 100 defendants in a lawsuit pending in Ohio which alleges personal injury from exposure to asbestos contained in certain Chase products. The case is captioned Marie Lou Scott, Executrix of the Estate of James T. Scott v. A-Best Products, et al., No. 312901 in the Court of Common Pleas for Cuyahoga County, Ohio. The plaintiff in the case issued discovery requests to Chase in August 2005, to which Chase timely responded in September 2005. The trial had initially been scheduled to begin on April 30, 2007. However, that date had been postponed and no new trial date has been set. As of May 2012, there have been no new developments as this Ohio lawsuit has been inactive with respect to Chase.

The Company was named as one of the defendants in a complaint filed on June 25, 2009, in a lawsuit captioned Lois Jansen, Individually and as Special Administrator of the Estate of Thomas Jansen v. Beazer East, Inc., et al., No: 09-CV-6248 in the Milwaukee County (Wisconsin) Circuit Court. The plaintiff alleges that her husband suffered and died from malignant mesothelioma resulting from exposure to asbestos in his workplace. The plaintiff has sued seven alleged manufacturers or distributors of asbestos-containing products, including Royston Laboratories (formerly an independent company and now owned by Chase Corporation). Chase has filed an answer to the claim denying the material allegations in the complaint. The parties are currently engaged in discovery and motion practice.

In addition to the matters described above, the Company is involved from time to time in litigation incidental to the conduct of its business. Although the Company does not expect that the outcome in any of these matters, individually or collectively, will have a material adverse effect on its financial condition or results of operations, litigation is inherently unpredictable. Therefore, judgments could be rendered or settlements entered, that could adversely affect the Company's operating results or cash flows in a particular period. The Company routinely assesses all of its litigation and threatened litigation as to the probability of ultimately incurring a liability, and records its best estimate of the ultimate loss in situations where the Company assesses the likelihood of loss as probable.

Table of Contents

CHASE CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(UNAUDITED)

*In thousands, except share and per share amounts***Note 9 - Pensions and Other Post Retirement Benefits**

The components of net periodic benefit cost for the three and nine months ended May 31, 2012 and 2011 are as follows:

| | Three Months Ended May 31, | | Nine Months Ended May 31, | |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------|--------|---------------------------|--------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Service cost | \$ 120 | \$ 132 | \$ 362 | \$ 395 |
| Interest cost | 130 | 107 | 389 | 323 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (131) | (110) | (393) | (330) |
| Amortization of prior service cost | 19 | 18 | 56 | 55 |
| Amortization of unrecognized loss | 69 | 60 | 207 | 179 |
| Settlement loss | 413 | | 413 | |
| Net periodic benefit cost | \$ 620 | \$ 207 | \$ 1,034 | \$ 622 |

When funding is required, the Company's policy is to contribute amounts that are deductible for federal income tax purposes. As of May 31, 2012, the Company has made contributions of \$1,275 in the current fiscal year to fund its obligations under its pension plan, and plans to contribute an additional \$600 over the remainder of the fiscal year ending August 31, 2012.

Note 10 Fair Value Measurements

The Company defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date (exit price). The Company uses a three-tier fair value hierarchy, which classifies the inputs used in measuring fair values. These tiers include: Level 1, defined as observable inputs such as quoted prices for identical instruments in active markets; Level 2, defined as inputs other than quoted prices in active markets that are either directly or indirectly observable; and Level 3, defined as unobservable inputs in which little or no market data exists, therefore requiring an entity to develop its own assumptions.

The Company endeavors to utilize the best available information in measuring fair value. Financial assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. The Company has determined that it does not have any financial liabilities measured at fair value and that its financial assets are currently all classified within Level 1 in the fair value hierarchy. The financial assets classified as Level 1 as of May 31, 2012 and August 31, 2011 represent investments which are restricted for use in a nonqualified retirement savings plan for certain key employees and directors.

Edgar Filing: CHASE CORP - Form 10-Q

The following tables set forth the Company's financial assets that were accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis as of May 31, 2012 and August 31, 2011:

| | Fair value measurement date | Total | Fair value measurement category | | |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|--------|---|---|---|
| | | | Quoted prices in active markets (Level 1) | Significant other observable inputs (Level 2) | Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) |
| Assets: | | | | | |
| Restricted investments | May 31, 2012 | \$ 803 | \$ 803 | \$ | \$ |
| Restricted investments | August 31, 2011 | \$ 740 | \$ 740 | \$ | \$ |

Table of Contents

CHASE CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(UNAUDITED)

In thousands, except share and per share amounts

Note 11 Sale Leaseback Transaction

In June 2009, the Company entered into a sale leaseback transaction pursuant to the sale of its real property (land and building) located in Evanston, IL. As part of this transaction, the Company agreed to provide financing to the purchaser, whereby the interest due on the financing was equal to the rental payments over the life of the lease. The Company had been accounting for this sale leaseback transaction under the deposit method due to its continued involvement in the form of providing this permanent financing to the buyer. The Company provided this recourse financing to the buyer whereby the only recourse it had was to take back control and ownership of the leased asset. Under the deposit method, the Company reported the property on its balance sheet and recorded depreciation expense as a period cost in its statement of operations. As of August 31, 2011, the property remained on its consolidated balance sheet in its original caption of property, plant, and equipment.

Deposits received in the form of cash from the buyer, totaling \$425, were being reported as a deposit on the contract and were previously included on the 2011 fiscal year-end balance sheet in other non-current liabilities. The remainder of the \$4,250 sales price (\$3,825) was due at various dates over the term of the 49 month lease, of which \$3,400 was due at the end of the lease term in July 2013. In the quarter ending November 30, 2011, the purchaser notified the Company that it would be unable to make any additional payments under the terms of the purchase agreement and seller financing arrangement. As a result, the Company took back control and ownership of the leased asset and recognized the \$425 in deposit payments as income in the quarter ended November 30, 2011.

Note 12 Assets Held for Sale

The Company periodically reviews long-lived assets against its plans to retain or ultimately dispose of these assets. If the Company decides to dispose of an asset and commits to a plan to actively market and sell the asset, it will be moved to assets held for sale. The Company analyzes market conditions each reporting period and records additional impairments due to declines in market values of like assets. The fair value of the asset is determined by observable inputs such as appraisals and prices of comparable assets in active markets for assets like the Company's. Gains are not recognized until the assets are sold. In December 2011, the Company finalized the sale of its Webster property for net proceeds of \$1,006. This transaction resulted in a gain of \$15 which was recorded in the Company's fiscal quarter ending February 29, 2012.

Note 13 Subsequent Event

Acquisition of NEPTCO, Inc.

On June 27, 2012, Chase acquired 100% of the capital stock of NEPTCO, Inc. (NEPTCO) a private company based in Pawtucket, RI, whose core products are sold primarily into the broadband communications and electronics packaging industries. NEPTCO operates three manufacturing facilities in the United States and one in China, as well as utilizing distribution facilities in Rotterdam, Netherlands and Mississauga, Ontario to assist in supply chain management. As part of this transaction, the Company also acquired NEPTCO s 50% ownership stake in a joint venture. The purchase price on a debt free, cash free basis was \$67,000 excluding any working capital adjustments and acquisition related costs. Due to the timing of this acquisition, the Company is still finalizing the purchase price allocation as it relates to the value of the assets acquired and is expected to complete this in the quarter ending August 31, 2012.

Table of Contents

CHASE CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(UNAUDITED)

In thousands, except share and per share amounts

The acquisition was funded through a five year term debt bank financing arrangement led and arranged by Bank of America, with participation from RBS Citizens. The applicable interest rate is based on the effective London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) plus a range of 1.75% to 2.25%, depending on the consolidated leverage ratio of Chase Corporation. As part of the financing for this acquisition, the Company retired all of its pre-existing debt with Bank of America and RBS Citizens. Additionally, the Company obtained a new revolving line of credit totaling \$15,000 which replaces the existing \$10,000 line, allowing for increased flexibility for working capital requirements going forward.

Table of Contents

Item 2 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion provides an analysis of the Company's financial condition and results of operations and should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and notes thereto included in Item 1 of Part I of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and with the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2011.

Recent Developments

On June 27, 2012, we acquired 100% of the capital stock of NEPTCO, Inc. ("NEPTCO") a private company based in Pawtucket, RI, whose core products are sold primarily into the broadband communications and electronics packaging industries. NEPTCO operates three manufacturing facilities in the United States and one in China, as well as utilizing distribution facilities in Rotterdam, Netherlands and Mississauga, Ontario to assist in supply chain management. As part of this transaction, we also acquired NEPTCO's 50% ownership stake in a joint venture. The purchase price on a debt free, cash free basis was \$67,000,000, excluding any working capital adjustments and acquisition related costs. The acquisition was funded through term debt bank financing led and arranged by Bank of America, with participation from RBS Citizens.

Overview

Revenue and net income in the third quarter exceeded the prior year quarter as we benefitted from increased royalties and sales of higher margin products. This coupled with our ability to leverage manufacturing and administrative overhead offset incremental transaction expenses related to our acquisition of NEPTCO, ongoing transition costs related to our Randolph plant move and pension settlement costs on our qualified defined benefit pension plan which accelerated recognition of expense due to the timing of lump sum distributions to plan participants.

Through nine months of fiscal 2012 revenues surpassed the same period in fiscal 2011 while net income compared unfavorably to the prior year period. The decrease in net income is due to the aforementioned incremental expenses as well as moving expenses which in total were \$1.8 million through the first three quarters of fiscal 2012. Additionally, unfavorable product mix seen in the first part of fiscal 2012 has had an impact on our profitability for the year to date period.

Revenue for the Industrial Materials segment increased over the prior year primarily due to the wire and cable product line as a result of continued high demand in the power cable and communication cable markets. Additionally, the third quarter saw increased sales from our electronic coatings product line as we had greater demand for export sales into Asia. The increased revenues in fiscal 2012 were partially offset by decreased sales in our aerospace and transportation product markets.

Revenues for the Construction Materials segment increased over the prior year to date primarily due to sales of our pipeline products as well as improvement in construction product sales in the current quarter over the prior year period. Overall demand in fiscal 2012 is ahead of fiscal 2011 for our key private label customers. The increased revenues in fiscal 2012 were partially offset by decreased sales in our coating and lining system products.

We will continue with our strategic plans to improve and enhance our facilities and equipment. These plans will include the on-going renovations of our facility in Oxford, MA as we continue with the move of our Randolph, MA operations to this facility by December 2012. Additionally, we believe that our strategic plans for investments in efficiency improvements, long-term consolidation, R&D, technology and marketing will allow us to improve the business in future years.

Table of Contents

We have two reportable segments as summarized below:

| Segment | Product Lines | Manufacturing Focus and Products |
|------------------------|--|---|
| Industrial Materials | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Wire & Cable • Electronic Coatings • Custom Products | Protective coatings and tape products including insulating and conducting materials for wire and cable manufacturers, moisture protective coatings for electronics and printing services, laminated durable papers, and flexible composites and laminates for the aerospace, packaging and industrial laminate markets. |
| Construction Materials | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pipeline • Construction Products • Private Label | Protective coatings and tape products including coating and lining systems for use in liquid storage and containment applications, protective coatings for pipeline and general construction applications, high performance polymeric asphalt additives, and expansion and control joint systems for use in the transportation and architectural markets. |

Results of Operations

Revenues and Operating Profit by Segment are as follows (Dollars in Thousands):

| | Three Months Ended May 31, 2012 | % of Total Revenues | Three Months Ended May 31, 2011 | % of Total Revenues | Nine Months Ended May 31, 2012 | % of Total Revenues | Nine Months Ended May 31, 2011 | % of Total Revenues |
|---|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Revenues from external customers | | | | | | | | |
| Industrial Materials | \$ 21,612 | 62% | \$ 19,894 | 61% | \$ 59,584 | 62% | \$ 55,596 | 62% |
| Construction Materials | 13,527 | 38% | 12,732 | 39% | 37,105 | 38% | 34,558 | 38% |
| Total | \$ 35,139 | | \$ 32,626 | | \$ 96,689 | | \$ 90,154 | |
| | Three Months Ended May 31, 2012 | % of Segment Revenues | Three Months Ended May 31, 2011 | % of Segment Revenues | Nine Months Ended May 31, 2012 | % of Segment Revenues | Nine Months Ended May 31, 2011 | % of Segment Revenues |
| Income before income taxes | | | | | | | | |
| Industrial Materials | \$ 5,368 | 25% | \$ 4,239 | 21% | \$ 12,332 | 21% | \$ 11,774 | 21% |
| Construction Materials | 1,913 | 14% | 1,338 | 11% | 2,036 | 5% | 2,719 | 8% |
| Total for reportable segments | 7,281 | 21% | 5,577 | 17% | 14,368 | 15% | 14,493 | 16% |
| Corporate and Common Costs | (2,252)(b) | | (1,387) | | (3,918)(c) | | (3,514) | |
| Total | \$ 5,029 | 14% | \$ 4,190 | 13% | \$ 10,450 | 11% | \$ 10,979 | 12% |

- (a) Includes gain of \$425 on termination of Evanston sale leaseback transaction. See Note 11 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further information.
- (b) Includes expenses of \$600 related to the acquisition of NEPTCO and \$413 of pension related settlement costs due to the timing of lump sum distributions.
- (c) Includes expenses of \$700 related to the acquisition of NEPTCO and \$413 of pension related settlement costs due to the timing of lump sum distributions.

Total Revenues

Total revenues increased \$2,513,000 or 8% to \$35,139,000 for the quarter ended May 31, 2012 compared to \$32,626,000 in the same quarter of the prior year. Total revenues increased \$6,535,000 or 7% to \$96,689,000 in the fiscal year to date period compared to \$90,154,000 in the same period in fiscal 2011.

Revenues in our Industrial Materials segment increased \$1,718,000 and \$3,988,000 in the current quarter and year to date periods, respectively, compared to the prior year periods. The increase in revenues from our Industrial Materials segment compared to the prior year periods is primarily due to the following for the current quarter and year to date periods, respectively: (a) increased sales of \$746,000 and \$4,235,000 from our wire & cable product line as we continue to benefit from strong demand in the power cable and communication cable markets; and (b) increased sales of \$684,000 and \$944,000 from our laminated durable paper products. We also experienced increased sales of \$1,099,000 in the current quarter from our electronic coatings products. These increases were partially offset by decreased sales in the aerospace

Table of Contents

and transportation market of \$696,000 and \$1,171,000 in the current quarter and year to date period, respectively.

Revenues from our Construction Materials segment increased \$795,000 and \$2,547,000 in the current quarter and year to date periods, respectively, compared to the prior year periods. The increase in sales from our Construction Materials segment in the current quarter as compared to the prior year period was primarily due to increased sales of \$919,000 from highway construction products which was partially offset by decreased sales of \$184,000 from our private label products. The increase in sales from our Construction Materials segment in the year to date period compared to the prior year period is primarily due to increased sales of \$2,597,000 from our pipeline products as well as increased sales of \$822,000 from our private label products due to increased demand from some of our key customers. Sales from our coating and lining systems decreased \$876,000 through the first nine months of fiscal 2012 compared to the prior year.

Cost of Products and Services Sold

Cost of products and services sold increased \$980,000 or 5% to \$22,210,000 for the quarter ended May 31, 2012 compared to \$21,230,000 in the prior year quarter. Cost of products and services sold increased \$6,499,000 or 11% to \$65,231,000 in the fiscal year to date period compared to \$58,732,000 in the same period in fiscal 2011.

The following table summarizes our costs of products and services sold as a percentage of revenues for each of our reporting segments:

| Cost of products and services sold | Three Months Ended May 31, | | Nine Months Ended May 31, | |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------|------|---------------------------|------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Industrial Materials | 62% | 64% | 65% | 64% |
| Construction Materials | 65% | 66% | 71% | 67% |
| Total | 63% | 65% | 67% | 65% |

Cost of products and services sold in our Industrial Materials segment was \$13,395,000 and \$38,789,000 in the current quarter and year to date periods compared to \$12,800,000 and \$35,489,000 in the comparable periods in the prior year. As a percentage of revenues, cost of products and services sold in the Industrial Materials segment decreased in the third quarter primarily due to increased sales of higher margin products as well as our ability to leverage fixed overhead costs on a higher revenue base. For the year to date period, the cost of products and services sold as a percentage of revenues increased due to the following items: (a) moving expenses of \$324,000 related to our plant transition from Webster to Oxford and Camberley to Winnersh; (b) accrued transition costs of \$400,000 related to our move from our Randolph plant; and (c) certain supplier inconsistencies that resulted in excess waste and incremental expenses of \$345,000 related to the utilization of specialized testing facilities for analyzing incoming raw materials for proper specifications. Due to the increasing number of capital expenditure projects associated with our long-term plant consolidation plans and the increased internal time and effort spent on these projects, we recently developed a labor and material tracking process to review our internal project costs. This review resulted in the capitalization of \$388,000 in the current quarter of costs that were expensed in the first six months of fiscal 2012. We will continue to review, track and monitor internal project costs for potential capitalization in future periods.

Cost of products and services sold in our Construction Materials segment was \$8,815,000 and \$26,442,000 in the current quarter and year to date periods compared to \$8,430,000 and \$23,243,000 in the comparable periods in the prior year. As a percentage of revenues, cost of products and services sold in the Construction Materials segment for the year to date period increased primarily due to higher raw material costs, increased

sales of lower margin products, and decreased sales of higher margin products.

Table of Contents

We have implemented price increases for certain products in this segment that have positively impacted our margins and helped offset raw material cost increases. We will continue to closely monitor raw material pricing across all product lines in an effort to preserve margins. While we saw improvements from our Rye, UK plant through the first nine months of fiscal 2012, compared to the production issues noted in the latter half of fiscal 2011, we had incremental costs related to some residual issues of \$67,000 in the current quarter and \$526,000 in the current fiscal year.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

Selling, general and administrative expenses increased \$394,000 or 5% to \$7,603,000 for the quarter ended May 31, 2012 compared to \$7,209,000 in the prior year quarter. As a percentage of revenues, selling, general and administrative expenses remained relatively flat at 22% in the current fiscal quarter compared to the same period in fiscal 2011. Selling, general and administrative expenses increased \$647,000 or 3% to \$21,108,000 in the fiscal year to date period compared to \$20,461,000 in the same period in fiscal 2011. In fiscal 2012 year to date, selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of revenues decreased to 22% compared to 23% in the same period in fiscal 2011. The dollar increase in the current quarter and year to date periods over the prior year periods is primarily attributable to incremental expenses of \$700,000 related to our acquisition of NEPTCO and \$413,000 in pension related settlement costs which accelerated expense in our qualified defined benefit plan due to the timing of lump sum distributions made from the plan in the current quarter. These increases were partially offset by our continued emphasis on controlling costs and leveraging fixed overhead.

Interest Expense

Interest expense decreased \$14,000 or 31% to \$31,000 for the quarter ended May 31, 2012 compared to \$45,000 in the prior year quarter. Interest expense decreased \$51,000 or 33% to \$103,000 for the fiscal year to date period compared to \$154,000 in the same period in fiscal 2011. The decrease in interest expense for both the current quarter and year to date period is primarily due to the capitalization of imputed interest on construction in process projects related to our Oxford, MA and Pittsburgh, PA facilities, as well as continued favorable interest rates on lower overall term debt balances.

Other Income (Expense)

Other expense of \$266,000 in the quarter ended May 31, 2012 compared unfavorably to other income of \$48,000 in the same period in fiscal 2011. Other income (expense) primarily includes interest income and foreign exchange gains and losses caused by changes in exchange rates on transactions or balances denominated in currencies other than the functional currency of our subsidiaries. The decrease in other income (expense) in the current quarter is primarily due to foreign exchange losses caused by the weakening of both the sterling and euro, and the subsequent revaluation of some of our European sales transactions completed in other functional currencies (and subsequently translated to the sterling and the euro).

Other income increased \$31,000 or 18% to \$203,000 for the fiscal year to date period compared to \$172,000 in the same period in the prior year. In fiscal 2012, other income includes a gain of \$425,000 recognized on deposit payments previously received on the sale of our Evanston, IL property. The Company took back control and ownership of this leased asset which was previously sold by us under a seller financing arrangement (see Note 11 to the consolidated financial statements). The increase in other income in the year to date period is partially

offset by the foreign exchange losses previously mentioned.

Table of Contents

Income Taxes

The effective tax rate was 32.9% in the quarter ended May 31, 2012 compared to 29.2% in the prior year quarter. The effective tax rate was 34.0% for the fiscal year to date period compared to 33.4% in the same period in fiscal 2011. The increased effective tax rate in fiscal 2012 is primarily due to non-deductible acquisition expenses related to our June 2012 acquisition of NEPTCO, Inc. offset by a continued favorable effective state income tax rate.

Net Income

Consolidated net income increased \$407,000 or 14% to \$3,373,000 in the quarter ended May 31, 2012 compared to \$2,966,000 in the prior year quarter. The increase in net income in the current quarter is primarily a result of additional revenue as discussed previously. Consolidated net income decreased \$414,000 or 6% to \$6,897,000 for the fiscal year to date period compared to \$7,311,000 in the same period in fiscal 2011. The decrease in consolidated net income compared to the prior year is due to the factors discussed previously including (a) \$700,000 in expenses related to our acquisition of NEPTCO; (b) increased plant transition and moving expenses of \$724,000; (c) accelerated pension settlement charges of \$413,000 resulting from the timing of lump sum distributions; and (d) unfavorable product mix experienced earlier in the current fiscal year.

Liquidity and Sources of Capital

Our overall cash balance decreased \$1,793,000 to \$13,189,000 at May 31, 2012, from \$14,982,000 at August 31, 2011. The reduced cash balance is primarily attributable to principal payments on outstanding debt, equipment purchases, and payment of our annual dividend. We will continue to review our current cash balances denominated in foreign currency in light of current tax guidelines, working capital requirements, infrastructure improvements and potential acquisitions.

Cash flow provided by operations was \$8,959,000 in the first nine months of fiscal year 2012 compared to \$5,918,000 in the prior year period. Cash provided by operations during the first nine months of fiscal 2012 was primarily due to operating income offset by decreased accrued expenses and increased raw materials purchases as a result of higher sales volumes. The lower balance of cash provided by operations during the prior year period was primarily due to increased inventory balances as a result of bulk purchases of key raw materials in order to take advantage of favorable pricing terms.

The ratio of current assets to current liabilities was 2.8 as of May 31, 2012, compared to 2.9 as of August 31, 2011. The decrease in our current ratio at May 31, 2012 was primarily attributable to increased accrued expenses due to costs associated with our June 2012 acquisition of NEPTCO, Inc., as well as decreases in cash and accounts receivable. This was partially offset by increased inventory resulting from increased demand and overall sales volume, as well as decreased accrued payroll and other compensation due to the payment of our annual incentive program.

Edgar Filing: CHASE CORP - Form 10-Q

Cash flow used in investing activities of \$3,780,000 was primarily due to cash paid for purchases of machinery and equipment at our manufacturing locations during fiscal 2012, which was partially offset by the net proceeds of \$1,006,000 from the sale of our Webster, MA property.

Cash flow used in financing activities of \$6,715,000 was primarily due to our annual dividend payment and payments made on the bank loans used to finance our past acquisitions of CIM and ServiWrap. Additionally, we paid the second of three scheduled promissory note payments of \$1,000,000 to the CIM shareholders in accordance with the CIM stock purchase agreement, described in more detail below.

Table of Contents

On October 13, 2011, we announced a cash dividend of \$0.35 per share (totaling \$3,165,000). The dividend was paid on December 5, 2011 to shareholders of record on October 31, 2011.

As of May 31, 2012 we had long-term unsecured credit available up to \$10,000,000 with Bank of America at the bank's base lending rate or, at our option, at the effective London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) plus 150 basis points. The entire amount of \$10,000,000 was available for use under this credit facility as of that date.

Under the terms of our credit facility, we were required to comply with certain debt covenants related to (a) the ratio of total liabilities to tangible net worth and (b) the ratio of operating cash flow to debt service on a rolling twelve month basis. We were in compliance with our debt covenants as of May 31, 2012. This facility was replaced with a new facility in June 2012, as described below.

We borrowed \$10,000,000 from Bank of America in September 2009 in order to fund our acquisition of CIM. This borrowing involved an unsecured, three year term note (the Term Note) with interest and principal payments due monthly. Interest was calculated at the applicable LIBOR rate plus a margin of 175 basis points, with interest payments due on the last day of each month. In addition to monthly interest payments, we were repaying the principal in equal installments of \$167,000 per month, beginning on September 30, 2009, and on the last day of each month thereafter until maturity. At May 31, 2012, the applicable interest rate was 1.99% per annum and the outstanding principal amount was \$4,500,000. The Term Note was subject to the same debt covenants as our line of credit discussed above. Prepayment of the Term Note was allowed at any time during the term of the loan. In November 2011, we executed an amendment to this Term Note, extending the maturity from August 31, 2012 to August 31, 2014. The Term Note was retired in June 2012, as described below.

As part of the CIM acquisition in September 2009, we also delivered \$3,000,000 in non-negotiable promissory notes (the Notes) payable to five CIM shareholders, who were the holders of all of the issued and outstanding shares of capital stock of CIM as of the acquisition date. The principal of the Notes will be paid in three consecutive annual installments of \$1,000,000 each, with the initial payment due on September 4, 2010. Interest on the unpaid principal balance of the Notes is accruing at a rate per annum equal to the applicable Federal rate, and will be paid annually with each principal payment. At May 31, 2012, the applicable interest rate was 0.84% per annum. The third and final installment on the Notes is scheduled to be paid in September 2012.

In December 2009, we borrowed \$7,000,000 from RBS Citizens in order to fund our acquisition of the ServiWrap product lines. This borrowing involved an unsecured, three year term note (the Term Loan) with interest and principal payments due monthly. Interest was calculated at the applicable LIBOR rate plus a margin of 190 basis points, with interest payments due on the last day of each month. In addition to monthly interest payments, we were repaying the principal in equal installments of \$117,000 each, beginning on January 15, 2010, and on the 15th day of each month thereafter until maturity. At May 31, 2012, the applicable interest rate was 2.14% per annum, and the outstanding principal amount was approximately \$3,617,000. The Term Loan was subject to the same debt covenants as our line of credit discussed above. Prepayment of the Term Loan was allowed at any time. In February 2012, we executed an amendment to this Term Loan, extending the maturity from December 15, 2012 to December 15, 2014. The Term Loan was retired in June 2012, as described below.

In June 2012, as part of our acquisition of NEPTCO, Inc., we borrowed \$70,000,000 under a five year term debt financing arrangement led and arranged by Bank of America, with participation from RBS Citizens. The applicable interest rate is based on the effective London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) plus a range of 1.75% to 2.25%, depending on the consolidated leverage ratio of Chase Corporation. As part of the financing for this acquisition, the Company retired all of its pre-existing debt (Term Note and Term Loan noted above) with Bank of America and RBS Citizens. Additionally, the Company obtained a

Table of Contents

new revolving line of credit totaling \$15,000,000 which replaces the existing \$10,000,000 line. The revolving line of credit bears interest at LIBOR plus a range of 1.75% to 2.25%, depending on the consolidated leverage ratio of Chase Corporation, or, at our option, at the bank's base lending rate. This revolving line of credit allows for increased flexibility for working capital requirements going forward, and we plan to use this availability to help finance our cash needs, including potential acquisitions, in the remainder of fiscal 2012 and future periods.

The credit facility contains customary affirmative and negative covenants that, among other things, restrict our ability to incur additional indebtedness. It also requires us to maintain a ratio of consolidated indebtedness to consolidated EBITDA (each as defined in the facility) of no more than 3.00 to 1.00, and to maintain a consolidated fixed charge coverage ratio (as calculated in the facility) of at least 1.25 to 1.00. We are required to repay the principal amount of the term loan in quarterly installments of \$1.4 million beginning in September 2012 through June 2014, increasing to \$1.75 million per quarter thereafter through June 2015, and to \$2.1 million per quarter thereafter through March 2017. The credit facility, including the revolving line of credit, matures in June 2017.

To the extent that interest rates increase in future periods, we will assess the impact of these higher interest rates on the financial and cash flow projections of our potential acquisitions.

We have no significant off balance sheet arrangements.

We currently have several on-going capital projects that are important to our long term strategic goals. We continue to renovate our Oxford, MA, and Pittsburgh, PA facilities in anticipation of the relocation of our operations from Randolph, MA. We expect that this transition will be completed by December 2012 and that we will then pursue a sale of our Randolph property. Machinery and equipment will also be added as needed to increase capacity or enhance operating efficiencies in our other manufacturing plants.

We may consider the acquisition of companies or other assets this year or in future periods which are complementary to our business. We believe that our existing resources, including cash on hand and our line of credit, together with cash generated from operations and additional bank borrowings, will be sufficient to fund our cash flow requirements through at least the next twelve months. However, there can be no assurances that additional financing will be available on favorable terms, if at all.

Recent Accounting Standards

In June 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2011-05, Comprehensive Income (ASC Topic 220): Presentation of Comprehensive Income, (ASU 2011-05) which amends current comprehensive income guidance. This accounting update eliminates the option to present the components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of shareholders equity. Instead, we must report comprehensive income in either a single continuous statement of comprehensive income which contains two sections, net income and other comprehensive income, or in two separate but consecutive statements. The items that must be reported in other comprehensive income were not changed. In December 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-12, Deferral of the Effective Date for Amendments to the Presentation of Reclassifications of Items Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income in Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-05, (ASU 2011-12) which amends ASU 2011-05 by indefinitely deferring the requirement under ASU 2011-05 to present reclassification adjustments out of accumulated other comprehensive income by component in both the statement in which net income is presented and the statement in which other comprehensive income is presented. We adopted ASU 2011-05 with retrospective application as

Edgar Filing: CHASE CORP - Form 10-Q

required, except for the components of ASU 2011-05 which were indefinitely deferred by ASU 2011-12, and have included in our condensed consolidated financial statements separate unaudited statements of comprehensive income.

Table of Contents

The adoption of ASU 2011-05 did not have an impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows as it only required a change in the format of the current presentation.

In September 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-08, Intangibles - Goodwill and Other (ASC Topic 350) - Testing Goodwill for Impairment, (ASU 2011-08) which gives companies the option to first perform a qualitative assessment to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount before performing the two-step test mandated prior to this update. ASU 2011-08 also provides companies with a revised list of examples of events and circumstances to consider, in their totality, to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. If a company concludes that this is the case, it must perform the two-step test. Otherwise, a company may skip the two-step test. Companies are not required to perform the qualitative assessment and may instead proceed directly to the first step of the two-part test. ASU 2011-08 is effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011, with early adoption permitted. The adoption of ASU 2011-08 will not have an impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Critical Accounting Policies

Our financial statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. To apply these principles, we must make estimates and judgments that affect our reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. In many instances, we reasonably could have used different accounting estimates and, in other instances, changes in the accounting estimates are reasonably likely to occur from period to period. Accordingly, actual results could differ significantly from our estimates. To the extent that there are material differences between these estimates and actual results, our financial condition or results of operations will be affected. We base our estimates and judgments on historical experience and other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable at the time and under the circumstances, and we evaluate these estimates and judgments on an ongoing basis. We refer to accounting estimates and judgments of this type as critical accounting policies, judgments, and estimates. Management believes there have been no material changes during the nine months ended May 31, 2012 to the critical accounting policies reported in Item 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations section in our Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2011.

Forward Looking Information

The part of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q captioned Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations contains certain forward-looking statements, which involve risks and uncertainties. Forward-looking statements include, without limitation, statements as to our future operating results, plans for manufacturing facilities, business development efforts, future economic conditions and expectations or plans relating to the implementation or realization of our strategic goals, acquisition opportunities and future growth. These statements are based on current expectations, estimates and projections about the industries in which we operate, and the beliefs and assumptions made by management. Readers should refer to the discussions under Forward Looking Information and Risk Factors contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2011 concerning certain factors that could cause our actual results to differ materially from the results anticipated in such forward-looking statements. These discussions and Risk Factors are hereby incorporated by reference into this Quarterly Report.

Table of Contents

Item 3 - Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

We limit the amount of credit exposure to any one issuer. As of May 31, 2012, other than our restricted investments (which are restricted for use in a nonqualified retirement savings plan for certain key employees and Directors), all of our funds were either in demand deposit accounts or investment instruments that meet high credit quality standards such as money market funds, government securities, or commercial paper.

Our domestic operations have limited currency exposure since substantially all transactions are denominated in US dollars. However, our European operations are subject to currency exchange fluctuations. We continue to review our policies and procedures to reduce this exposure while maintaining the benefit from these operations and sales to other European customers. As of May 31, 2012, we had cash balances in the United Kingdom for our HumiSeal Europe Ltd and Chase Protective Coatings Ltd operations denominated primarily in pounds sterling and equal to \$4,653,000 and cash balances in France for our HumiSeal Europe SARL operations denominated primarily in euros and equal to \$741,000. We will continue to review our current cash balances denominated in foreign currency in light of current tax guidelines, working capital requirements, infrastructure improvements and potential acquisitions.

We incurred a foreign currency translation loss for the nine months ended May 31, 2012 in the amount of \$1,468,000 related to our European operations which was recorded in other comprehensive income within our statement of stockholders' equity. We do not have or utilize any derivative financial instruments.

Item 4 - Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our reports under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow for timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and management is required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

We carry out a variety of ongoing procedures, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, to evaluate the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures. Based on the foregoing, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective at a reasonable assurance level as of the end of the period covered by this report.

Changes in internal control over financial reporting

There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting during our most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

Part II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1 Legal Proceedings

We are one of over 100 defendants in a lawsuit pending in Ohio which alleges personal injury from exposure to asbestos contained in certain Chase products. The case is captioned Marie Lou Scott, Executrix of the Estate of James T. Scott v. A-Best Products, et al., No. 312901 in the Court of Common Pleas for Cuyahoga County, Ohio. The plaintiff in the case issued discovery requests to us in August 2005, to which we timely responded in September 2005. The trial had initially been scheduled to begin on April 30, 2007. However, that date had been postponed and no new trial date has been set. As of May 2012, there have been no new developments as this Ohio lawsuit has been inactive with respect to us.

We were named as one of the defendants in a complaint filed on June 25, 2009, in a lawsuit captioned Lois Jansen, Individually and as Special Administrator of the Estate of Thomas Jansen v. Beazer East, Inc., et al., No: 09-CV-6248 in the Milwaukee County (Wisconsin) Circuit Court. The plaintiff alleges that her husband suffered and died from malignant mesothelioma resulting from exposure to asbestos in his workplace. The plaintiff has sued seven alleged manufacturers or distributors of asbestos-containing products, including Royston Laboratories (formerly an independent company and now owned by Chase Corporation). We have filed an answer to the claim denying the material allegations in the complaint. The parties are currently engaged in discovery and motion practice.

In addition to the matters described above, we are involved from time to time in litigation incidental to the conduct of our business. Although we do not expect that the outcome in any of these matters, individually or collectively, will have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations, litigation is inherently unpredictable. Therefore, judgments could be rendered or settlements entered, that could adversely affect our operating results or cash flows in a particular period. We routinely assess all of our litigation and threatened litigation as to the probability of ultimately incurring a liability, and record our best estimate of the ultimate loss in situations where we assess the likelihood of loss as probable.

Item 1A Risk Factors

Please refer to Item 1A in our Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2011 for a complete discussion of the risk factors which could materially affect our business, financial condition or future results.

Table of Contents

Item 6 - Exhibits

| Exhibit Number | Description |
|---------------------------|---|
| 31.1 | Certification of principal executive officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 31.2 | Certification of principal financial officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 32.1 | Certification of principal executive officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002* |
| 32.2 | Certification of principal financial officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002* |
| 101.INS | XBRL Instance Document** |
| 101.SCH | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document** |
| 101.CAL | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document** |
| 101.LAB | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document** |
| 101.PRE | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document** |
| 101.DEF | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document** |

* Furnished, not filed

** Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, these interactive data files are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933 or Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and otherwise are not subject to liability under those sections.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Chase Corporation

Dated: July 10, 2012

By: /s/ Peter R. Chase
Peter R. Chase,
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

Dated: July 10, 2012

By: /s/ Kenneth L. Dumas
Kenneth L. Dumas
Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer