Chemtura CORP
Form 10-Q
August 01, 2012
Table of Contents

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

x **QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 or 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2012

OR

o **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from to

(Commission File Number) 1-15339

CHEMTURA CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware	**52-2183153**
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	(I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)
1818 Market Street, Suite 3700, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania	**19103**
199 Benson Road, Middlebury, Connecticut	**06749**
(Address of principal executive offices)	(Zip Code)

(203) 573–2000

(Registrant s telephone number,

including area code)

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed from last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. x Yes o No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of the chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). x Yes o No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definition of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer, non-accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer x	Accelerated filer o
Non-accelerated filer o	Smaller reporting company o
(Do not check if smaller reporting company)	

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). o Yes x No

The number of shares of common stock outstanding as of the latest practicable date is as follows:

Class	**Number of shares outstanding at June 30, 2012**
Common Stock - $.01 par value	98,216,504

Table of Contents

CHEMTURA CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

FORM 10-Q

FOR THE QUARTER AND SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2012

INDEX		PAGE
PART I.	FINANCIAL INFORMATION	2
ITEM 1.	Financial Statements	2
	Consolidated Statements of Operations (Unaudited)	2
	Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Unaudited)	3
	Consolidated Balance Sheets	4
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)	5
	NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)	6
ITEM 2.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	29
ITEM 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	40
ITEM 4.	Controls and Procedures	41
PART II.	OTHER INFORMATION	42
ITEM 1.	Legal Proceedings	42
ITEM 1A.	Risk Factors	42
ITEM 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	42
ITEM 6.	Exhibits	43
SIGNATURE		44

Table of Contents

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. Financial Statements

CHEMTURA CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Statements of Operations (Unaudited)

Quarters and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011

(*In millions, except per share data*)

	Quarters ended June 30, 2012	Quarters ended June 30, 2011	Six months ended June 30, 2012	Six months ended June 30, 2011
Net sales	$ 845	$ 876	$ 1,553	$ 1,575
Cost of goods sold	632	652	1,169	1,190
Selling, general and administrative	74	92	156	171
Depreciation and amortization	35	34	68	71
Research and development	12	11	25	22
Facility closures, severance and related costs	23		23	
Impairment charges		1	1	3
Changes in estimates related to expected allowable claims		1	2	1
Equity income		(2)	(1)	(2)
Operating income	69	87	110	119
Interest expense	(16)	(16)	(30)	(32)
Other income (expense), net	7	(1)	3	
Reorganization items, net	(1)	(6)	(3)	(13)
Earnings before income taxes	59	64	80	74
Income tax (expense) benefit	(8)	6	(7)	3
Net earnings	51	70	73	77
Less: Net earnings attributed to non-controlling interests	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)
Net earnings attributable to Chemtura	$ 50	$ 69	$ 72	$ 76
Basic and diluted per share information - attributable to Chemtura				
Net earnings attributable to Chemtura	$ 0.50	$ 0.69	$ 0.73	$ 0.76
Weighted average shares outstanding - Basic	98.9	100.3	98.6	100.2
Weighted average shares outstanding - Diluted	99.1	100.5	99.1	100.3

See accompanying notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

CHEMTURA CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Unaudited)

Quarters and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011

(*In millions*)

	Quarters ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Net earnings	$ 51	$ 70	$ 73	$ 77
Other comprehensive (loss) income, net of tax				
Foreign currency translation adjustments	(44)	13	(22)	44
Unrecognized pension and other post-retirement benefit costs	(3)	1	(1)	3
Comprehensive income	4	84	50	124
Comprehensive income attributable to non-controlling interests	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)
Comprehensive income attributable to Chemtura	$ 3	$ 83	$ 49	$ 123

See accompanying notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents

CHEMTURA CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Balance Sheets

June 30, 2012 (Unaudited) and December 31, 2011

(*In millions, except par value data*)

	June 30, 2012 (unaudited)	December 31, 2011
ASSETS		
CURRENT ASSETS		
Cash and cash equivalents	$ 125	$ 180
Restricted cash		5
Accounts receivable, net	583	458
Inventories, net	568	542
Other current assets	156	136
Total current assets	1,432	1,321
NON-CURRENT ASSETS		
Property, plant and equipment, net	746	752
Goodwill	173	174
Intangible assets, net	379	392
Other assets	222	216
Total assets	$ 2,952	$ 2,855
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
CURRENT LIABILITIES		
Short-term borrowings	$ 30	$ 5
Accounts payable	225	173
Accrued expenses	205	194
Income taxes payable	14	18
Total current liabilities	474	390
NON-CURRENT LIABILITIES		
Long-term debt	748	748
Pension and post-retirement health care liabilities	430	460
Other liabilities	208	211
Total liabilities	1,860	1,809
STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Common stock - $0.01 par value Authorized - 500.0 shares Issued - 100.2 shares at June 30, 2012 and 98.3 shares at December 31, 2011	1	1
Additional paid-in capital	4,351	4,353
Accumulated deficit	(2,877)	(2,949)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(369)	(346)
Treasury stock- at cost - 2.0 shares at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011	(23)	(22)
Total Chemtura stockholders' equity	1,083	1,037

Non-controlling interest		9		9
Total stockholders' equity		1,092		1,046
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	$	2,952	$	2,855

See accompanying notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

CHEMTURA CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)

Six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011

(*In millions*)

	Six months ended June 30, 2012	2011
Increase (decrease) in cash		
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Net earnings	$ 73	$ 77
Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash used in operating activities:		
Impairment charges	1	3
Depreciation and amortization	68	71
Stock-based compensation expense	10	16
Reorganization items, net	1	1
Changes in estimates related to expected allowable claims	2	1
Equity income	(1)	(2)
Changes in assets and liabilities, net of assets acquired and liabilities assumed:		
Accounts receivable	(136)	(110)
Inventories	(36)	(57)
Accounts payable	55	11
Pension and post-retirement health care liabilities	(53)	(66)
Other	(2)	(15)
Net cash used in operating activities	(18)	(70)
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES		
Net proceeds from divestments	9	
Payments for acquisitions		(33)
Capital expenditures	(58)	(55)
Net cash used in investing activities	(49)	(88)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
Proceeds from ABL Facility, net	25	91
Proceeds from A/R Financing Facility, net	3	
(Payments on) proceeds from other short term borrowings, net	(3)	4
Common shares aquired	(10)	
Payment for debt issuance costs	(1)	
Proceeds for exercise of stock options	1	1
Net cash provided by financing activities	15	96
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS		
Effect of exchange rates on cash and cash equivalents	(3)	4
Change in cash and cash equivalents	(55)	(58)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	180	201
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	$ 125	$ 143

See accompanying notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

5

Table of Contents

CHEMTURA CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

1) NATURE OF OPERATIONS AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Nature of Operations

Chemtura Corporation together with our consolidated subsidiaries is dedicated to delivering innovative, application-focused specialty chemical and consumer product offerings. Our corporate headquarters is located at 1818 Market Street, Suite 3700, Philadelphia, PA 19103. Our principal executive offices are located at 1818 Market Street, Suite 3700, Philadelphia, PA 19103 and at 199 Benson Road, Middlebury, CT 06749. We operate in a wide variety of end-use industries including agriculture, automotive, construction, electronics, lubricants, packaging, plastics for durable and non-durable goods, pool and spa chemicals, and transportation.

When we use the terms Corporation, Company, Chemtura, Registrant, We, Us and Our, unless otherwise indicated or the context other requires, we are referring to Chemtura Corporation and our consolidated subsidiaries.

We are the successor to Crompton & Knowles Corporation (Crompton & Knowles), which was incorporated in Massachusetts in 1900 and engaged in the manufacture and sale of specialty chemicals beginning in 1954. Crompton & Knowles traces its roots to Crompton Loom Works incorporated in the 1840s. We expanded the specialty chemical business through acquisitions in the United States and Europe, including the 1996 acquisition of Uniroyal Chemical Company, Inc. (Uniroyal), the 1999 merger with Witco Corporation (Witco) and the 2005 acquisition of Great Lakes Chemical Corporation (Great Lakes).

The information in the foregoing Consolidated Financial Statements for the quarters and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 is unaudited but reflects all adjustments which, in the opinion of management, are necessary for a fair presentation of the results of operations for the interim periods presented. All such adjustments are of a normal recurring nature, except as otherwise disclosed in the accompanying notes to our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements include the accounts of Chemtura and our wholly-owned and majority-owned subsidiaries that we control. Other affiliates in which we have a 20% to 50% ownership interest or a non-controlling majority interest are accounted for in accordance with the equity method. Other investments in which we have less than 20% ownership are recorded at cost. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Our Consolidated Financial Statements have been prepared in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (U.S. GAAP), which require us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year s presentation. These changes did not have a material impact on previously reported results of operations, cash flows or financial position.

We operated as a debtor-in-possession (DIP) under the protection of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the Bankruptcy Court) from March 18, 2009 (the Petition Date) through November 10, 2010 (the Effective Date). From the Petition Date through the Effective Date, our Consolidated Financial Statements were prepared in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Section 852-10-45, *Reorganizations Other Presentation Matters* (ASC 852-10-45) which requires that financial statements, for periods during the pendency of our voluntary petitions for relief under Chapter 11 of Title 11 of the United States Code (the Chapter 11) filings, distinguish transactions and events that are directly associated with the reorganization from the ongoing operations of the business. Accordingly, certain income, expenses, realized gains and losses and expenses for losses that are realized or incurred in the Chapter 11 cases are recorded in Reorganization items, net in our Consolidated Statements of Operations. As of June 30, 2012, the Bankruptcy Court has entered orders granting final decrees closing all of the Debtors Chapter 11 cases except the Chapter 11 case of Chemtura Corporation.

Table of Contents

The interim Consolidated Financial Statements should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and notes included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2011 (the 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K). The consolidated results of operations for the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2012 are not necessarily indicative of the results expected for the full year.

Accounting Policies and Other Items

Cash and cash equivalents include bank term deposits with original maturities of three months or less. Included in cash and cash equivalents in our Consolidated Balance Sheets at both June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 is $1 million of restricted cash that is required to be on deposit to support certain letters of credit and performance guarantees, the majority of which will be settled within one year.

Included in our restricted cash balance at December 31, 2011 is $5 million of cash on deposit for the settlement of disputed bankruptcy claims that existed at the Effective Date.

Included in accounts receivable are allowances for doubtful accounts of $15 million and $20 million as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

During the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, we made interest payments of approximately $29 million. During the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, we made payments for income taxes (net of refunds) of $22 million and $6 million, respectively.

Accounting Developments

In May 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued ASU No. 2011-04, *Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs* (ASU 2011-04). ASU 2011-04 amends U.S. GAAP to conform it with fair value measurement and disclosure requirements in International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS). The amendments in ASU 2011-04 changed the wording used to describe the requirements in U.S. GAAP for measuring fair value and for disclosing information about fair value measurements. The provisions of ASU 2011-04 are effective for the first reporting period (including interim periods) beginning after December 15, 2011. The adoption of this standard did not have a material impact on our results of operations, financial condition or disclosures.

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-05, *Presentation of Comprehensive Income* (ASU 2011-05). ASU 2011-05 requires the presentation of comprehensive income, the components of net income and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. In December 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-12, *Deferral of the Effective Date for Amendments to the Presentation of Reclassifications of Items Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income in Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-05* (ASU 2011-12). ASU 2011-12 defers the effective date of the requirement in ASU 2011-05 to disclose on the face of the financial statements the effects of reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income on the components of net income and other comprehensive income. All other requirements of ASU 2011-05 are not affected by ASU 2011-12. The provisions of ASU 2011-05 are effective for the first reporting period (including interim periods) beginning after December 15, 2011. The adoption of this standard did not have a material financial statement impact as it only addressed the presentation of our

financial statements.

In September 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-08, *Intangibles Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): Testing Goodwill for Impairment* (ASU 2011-08). The guidance in ASU 2011-08 is intended to reduce complexity and costs by allowing an entity the option to make a qualitative evaluation about the likelihood of goodwill impairment to determine whether it should calculate the fair value of a reporting unit. The amendments also improve previous guidance by expanding upon the examples of events and circumstances that an entity should consider between annual impairment tests in determining whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. The provisions of ASU 2011-08 are effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011, with early adoption permitted. The adoption of this guidance will not have a material impact on our results of operations or financial condition.

In September 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-09, *Compensation Retirement Benefits Multiemployer Plans (Subtopic 715-80)* (ASU 2011-09). The guidance in ASU 2011-09 assists users of financial statements to assess the potential future cash flow implications relating to an employer s participation in multiemployer pension plans. The disclosures will indicate the financial health of all of the significant plans in which the employer participates and assist a financial statement user to access additional information that is available outside the financial statements. The provisions of ASU 2011-09 are effective

Table of Contents

for annual periods for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2011, with early adoption permitted. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on our results of operations or financial condition.

2) RESTRUCTURING ACTIVITIES

Corporate Restructuring Programs

On April 30, 2012, our Board of Directors (the Board) approved a restructuring plan providing for, among other things, the closure of our Industrial Performance Product segment s antioxidants manufacturing facility in Pedrengo, Italy. The Board also approved actions to improve the operating effectiveness of certain global corporate functions. This plan is expected to achieve significant gains in efficiency and costs. The plant closure is expected to be completed by the first quarter of 2013. The total cost of the restructuring plan is estimated to be approximately $40 million of which approximately $6 million will consist of non-cash charges. We recorded a pre-tax charge of $26 million in the second quarter ended June 30, 2012, which included $1 million for accelerated depreciation of property, plant and equipment included in depreciation and amortization, $2 million for accelerated asset retirement obligations included in cost of goods sold (COGS), and $23 million for severance and other obligations related to the Pedrengo closure included in facility closures, severance and related costs with the balance of the costs being expensed as incurred through 2013.

In November 2011, our Board approved a restructuring plan intended to make Chemtura AgroSolutions more cost efficient by centralizing certain functions regionally and consolidating laboratory activities in North America. Costs related to this plan were immaterial for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012.

Reorganization Initiatives

On January 25, 2010, our Board approved an initiative involving the consolidation and idling of certain assets within the Great Lakes Solutions business operations in El Dorado, Arkansas, which was approved by the Bankruptcy Court on February 23, 2010. During 2010 and 2011, the demand for brominated products used in electronic applications grew significantly and it became evident that we would need to produce larger quantities of bromine than were projected when we formulated our consolidation plan. In addition, in the first quarter of 2011, our joint venture partner informed us that they would exercise their right to purchase our interest in our Tetrabrom joint venture in the Middle East that supplies a brominated flame retardant to us. While under the terms of the joint venture agreement, the purchaser is obligated to continue to supply the current volumes of the brominated flame retardant to us for two years following the acquisition, we needed to plan for the ultimate production of this product once supply from the joint venture terminated. Our analysis indicated that the most cost effective source of the additional bromine we require is to continue to operate many of the bromine assets we had planned to idle and to invest to improve their operating efficiency. In light of this analysis, on April 20, 2011, our Board confirmed that we should defer a portion of the El Dorado restructuring plan and continue to operate certain of the bromine and brine assets that were planned to be idled. The sale of our 50% interest in Tetrabrom Technologies Ltd. was completed in November 2011.

As a result of our reorganization initiatives, we recorded pre-tax charges of $1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011, primarily for accelerated depreciation.

A summary of the changes in the liabilities established for these restructuring programs is as follows:

(In millions)	Severance and Related Costs	Other Facility Closure Costs	Total
Balance at December 31, 2011	$ 1	$	$ 1
2012 charge	12	1	13
Cash payments	(2)		(2)
Adjustments	(1)		(1)
Balance at June 30, 2012	$ 10	$ 1	$ 11

In addition, we recorded a charge of approximately $10 million included in facility closures, severance and related costs for the quarter ended June 30, 2012 to reflect the write-off of a receivable for which collection is no longer probable as a result of the restructuring actions. The amounts accrued for all of our reorganization initiatives and corporate restructuring programs are $11 million at June 30, 2012 and $1 million at December 31, 2011 and were included in accrued expenses.

Table of Contents

3) INVENTORIES

Components of inventories are as follows:

(In millions)	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Finished goods	$ 372	$ 348
Work in process	47	43
Raw materials and supplies	149	151
	$ 568	$ 542

Included in the above net inventory balances are inventory obsolescence reserves of approximately $17 million and $18 million at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

4) PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

(In millions)	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Land and improvements	$ 79	$ 85
Buildings and improvements	237	240
Machinery and equipment	1,302	1,238
Information systems equipment	187	175
Furniture, fixtures and other	32	31
Construction in progress	76	121
	1,913	1,890
Less: accumulated depreciation	(1,167)	(1,138)
	$ 746	$ 752

Depreciation expense was $26 million and $25 million for the quarters ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and $50 million and $51 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Depreciation expense included accelerated depreciation of certain fixed assets associated with our restructuring programs of $1 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2012 and $1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011.

5) GOODWILL AND INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Our goodwill balance was $173 million at June 30, 2012 and $174 million at December 31, 2011. The goodwill is allocated entirely to the Industrial Performance Products segment. The goodwill balance at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 reflected accumulated impairments of $90 million.

We have elected to perform our annual goodwill impairment procedures for all of our reporting units in accordance with ASC Subtopic 350-20, *Intangibles Goodwill and Other - Goodwill* (ASC 350-20) as of July 31, or sooner, if events occur or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of a reporting unit below its carrying value. We estimate the fair value of our reporting units utilizing income and market approaches through the application of discounted cash flow and market comparable methods (Level 3 inputs as described in Note 13 Financial Instruments and Fair Value Measurements). The assessment is required to be performed in two steps: step one to test for a potential impairment of goodwill and, if potential impairments are identified, step two to measure the impairment loss through a full fair valuing of the assets and liabilities of the reporting unit utilizing the acquisition method of accounting.

We continually monitor and evaluate business and competitive conditions that affect our operations and reflects the impact of these factors in our financial projections. If permanent or sustained changes in business or competitive conditions occur, they can lead to revised projections that could potentially give rise to impairment charges.

Table of Contents

Our intangible assets (excluding goodwill) are comprised of the following:

	June 30, 2012			December 31, 2011		
(In millions)	Gross Cost	Accumulated Amortization	Net Intangibles	Gross Cost	Accumulated Amortization	Net Intangibles
Patents	$ 130	$ (75)	$ 55	$ 128	$ (70)	$ 58
Trademarks	259	(75)	184	262	(71)	191
Customer relationships	145	(53)	92	146	(50)	96
Production rights	46	(30)	16	46	(28)	18
Other	75	(43)	32	70	(41)	29
Total	$ 655	$ (276)	$ 379	$ 652	$ (260)	$ 392

The increase in gross intangible assets since December 31, 2011 is primarily due to additions of $9 million, partially offset by foreign currency translation of $6 million.

Amortization expense related to intangible assets amounted to $9 million for the quarters ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, and $18 million and $20 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

6) DEBT

Our debt is comprised of the following:

(In millions)	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
7.875% Senior Notes due 2018	$ 452	$ 452
Term Loan due 2016	293	293
ABL Facility	25	
A/R Financing Facility	3	
Other borrowings	5	8
Total Debt	778	753
Less: ABL Facility	(25)	
Less: A/R Financing Facility	(3)	
Less: Other short-term borrowings	(2)	(5)
Long-term debt	$ 748	$ 748

Financing Facilities

On August 27, 2010, we completed a private placement offering under Rule 144A of $455 million aggregate principal amount of 7.875% senior notes due 2018 (the Senior Notes) at an issue price of 99.269% in reliance on an exemption pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933. We also entered into a senior secured term facility credit agreement due 2016 (the Term Loan) with Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent, and other lenders party thereto for an aggregate principal amount of $295 million with an original issue discount of 1%. The Term Loan permits us to increase the size of the facility by up to $125 million. On November 10, 2010, we entered into a five-year senior secured revolving credit facility available through 2015 (the ABL Facility) for an amount up to $275 million, subject to availability under a borrowing base (with a $125 million letter of credit sub-facility). The ABL Facility permits us to increase the size of the facility by up to $125 million subject to obtaining lender commitments to provide such increase. At June 30, 2012, we had $25 million of borrowings under the ABL Facility and $14 million of outstanding letters of credit (primarily related to insurance obligations, environmental obligations and banking credit facilities) which utilizes available capacity under the facility. At December 31, 2011, we had no borrowings under the ABL Facility, but we had $15 million of outstanding letters of credit. At June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, we had approximately $236 million and $201 million, respectively of undrawn availability under the ABL Facility.

Table of Contents

These facilities contain covenants that limit, among other things, our ability to enter into certain transactions, such as creating liens, incurring additional indebtedness or repaying certain indebtedness, making investments, paying dividends, and entering into acquisitions, dispositions and joint ventures. The Term Loan requires that we meet certain quarterly financial maintenance covenants including a maximum Secured Leverage Ratio (as defined in the agreement) of 2.5:1.0 and a minimum Consolidated Interest Coverage Ratio (as defined in the agreement) of 3.0:1.0. The ABL Facility contains a springing financial covenant requiring a minimum trailing 12-month fixed charge coverage ratio (as defined in the agreement) of 1.1 to 1.0 at all times during any period from the date when the amount available for borrowings under the ABL Facility falls below the greater of (i) $34 million and (ii) 12.5% of the aggregate commitments until such date such available amount has been equal to or greater than the greater of (i) $34 million and (ii) 12.5% of the aggregate commitments for 45 consecutive days. As of June 30, 2012, we were in compliance with the covenant requirements of these financing facilities.

Accounts Receivable Financing Facility

On October 26, 2011, certain of our European subsidiaries (the Sellers) entered into a trade receivables financing facility (the A/R Financing Facility) with GE FactoFrance SAS as purchaser (the Purchaser). Pursuant to the A/R Financing Facility, and subject to certain conditions stated therein, the Purchaser has agreed to purchase from the Sellers, on a revolving basis, certain trade receivables up to a maximum amount outstanding at any time of 68 million (approximately $85 million). The A/R Financing Facility is uncommitted and has an indefinite term. Since availability under the A/R Financing Facility is expected to vary depending on the value of the Seller s eligible trade receivables, the Sellers availability under the A/R Financing Facility may increase or decrease from time to time. The monthly financing fee on the drawn portion of the A/R Financing Facility is the applicable Base Rate plus 1.50%. In addition, the A/R Financing Facility is subject to a minimum commission on the annual volume of transferred receivables. At June 30, 2012, $3 million of international accounts receivables were outstanding under this facility. Cost associated with this facility of $2 million for the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2012 is included in interest expense in our Consolidated Statement of Operations. We had no outstanding advances under the A/R Financing Facility for the period ending December 31, 2011.

7) INCOME TAXES

We reported an income tax expense of $8 million and an income tax benefit of $6 million for the quarters ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, we reported income tax expense of $7 million and an income tax benefit of $3 million, respectively. The tax expense reported for the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2012 reflects fluctuations in jurisdictional profitability as well as the tax benefit of the second quarter restructuring charge. The tax benefit reported for the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2011 included a decrease in deferred foreign income taxes of approximately $17 million that had been recorded in an international jurisdiction in prior years. The tax benefit was recorded after receiving approval from the international jurisdiction to change our filing position. We have offset our current quarter and year-to-date U.S. income with net operating loss carryforwards and reduced the associated valuation allowance. We will continue to adjust our tax provision through the establishment or reduction of non-cash valuation allowances until we determine that it is more-likely than not that the net deferred tax assets associated with our U.S. operations will be utilized.

We have net liabilities related to unrecognized tax benefits of $45 million and $46 million at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. The decrease is primarily due to currency fluctuation.

We recognize interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits as income tax expense. Accrued interest and penalties are included within the related liability captions in our Consolidated Balance Sheet.

We believe it is reasonably possible that our unrecognized tax benefits may decrease by approximately $20 million within the next year. This reduction may occur due to the expiration of the statute of limitations or conclusion of examinations by tax authorities. We further expect that the amount of unrecognized tax benefits will continue to change as a result of ongoing operations, the outcomes of audits and the expiration of the statue of limitations. This change is not expected to have a significant impact on our financial condition.

8) ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE LOSS

The components of accumulated other comprehensive loss (AOCL), net of tax at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, are as follows:

Table of Contents

(In millions)		June 30, 2012		December 31, 2011
Foreign currency translation adjustments	$	31	$	53
Unrecognized pension and other post-retirement benefit costs		(400)		(399)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	$	(369)	$	(346)

9) EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE

The computation of basic earnings per common share is based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding. The computation of diluted earnings per common share is based on the weighted average number of common and common share equivalents outstanding.

The following is a reconciliation of the shares used in the computation of earnings per share:

(In millions)	Quarters ended June 30, 2012	Quarters ended June 30, 2011	Six months ended June 30, 2012	Six months ended June 30, 2011
Weighted average shares outstanding - Basic	98.9	100.3	98.6	100.2
Dilutive effect of common share equivalents	0.2	0.2	0.5	0.1
Weighted average shares outstanding - Diluted	99.1	100.5	99.1	100.3

At June 30, 2011, 1 million shares with a performance criteria were excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share because the specified performance criteria for the vesting of these shares had not yet been met. The shares could be dilutive in the future if the specified performance criteria are met.

On October 18, 2011, we announced that our Board had authorized us to repurchase up to $50 million of our common stock over the next twelve months. The shares are expected to be repurchased from time to time through open market purchases. The program, which does not obligate us to repurchase any particular amount of common stock, may be modified or suspended at any time at the Board s discretion. The manner, price, number and timing of such repurchases, if any, will be subject to a variety of factors, including market conditions and the applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). During the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2012, we purchased 0.7 million shares for $10 million. As of June 30, 2012, we had total purchases of 2.7 million shares for $31 million under this program.

10) STOCK INCENTIVE PLANS

In 2010, we adopted the Chemtura Corporation 2010 Long-Term Incentive Plan (the 2010 LTIP), which was approved by the Bankruptcy Court and became effective upon our emergence from Chapter 11. The 2010 LTIP provides for grants of nonqualified stock options (NQOs), incentive stock options (ISOs), stock appreciation rights, dividend equivalent rights, stock units, bonus stock, performance awards, share awards, restricted stock, time-based restricted stock units RSUs and performance-based RSUs. The 2010 LTIP provides for the issuance of a maximum of 11

million shares. NQOs and ISOs may be granted under the 2010 LTIP at prices equal to the fair market value of the underlying common shares on the date of the grant. All outstanding stock options will expire not more than ten years from the date of the grant. As of June 30, 2012, grants authorized under the 2010 LTIP included the 2009 Emergence Incentive plan (the 2009 EIP), the 2010 Emergence Incentive Plan (the 2010 EIP), the 2011 long-term incentive awards (the 2011 Awards), the 2012 long-term incentive awards (the 2012 Awards) and the 2010 Emergence Award Plan (the 2010 EAP), as well as grants made to the Board under the Director Compensation Program. All grants of NQOs have an exercise price equal to the fair market value of the underlying common stock at the date of grant.

Stock-based compensation expense was $3 million and $8 million for the quarters ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and $10 million and $16 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Stock-based compensation expense was primarily reported in SG&A.

Stock Option Plans

In March 2012, the compensation committee of our Board (the Compensation Committee) approved the grant of 0.8 million NQOs under the 2012 Awards. These options vest ratably over a three-year period.

In March 2011, under the 2010 EIP, we granted 0.8 million NQOs. One third vested immediately, one third vested on March 31, 2012 and one third vests on March 31, 2013.

Table of Contents

In March 2011, the Compensation Committee approved the grant of 1.4 million NQOs under the 2011 Awards. These options vest ratably over a three-year period.

We use the Black-Scholes option-pricing model to determine the fair value of NQOs. We have elected to recognize compensation cost for awards of NQOs equally over the requisite service period for each separately vesting tranche, as if multiple awards were granted. Using this method, the weighted average fair value of stock options granted during the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 was $8.14 and $8.40, respectively.

Total remaining unrecognized compensation expense associated with unvested NQOs at June 30, 2012 was $11 million, which will be recognized over the weighted average period of approximately 2 years.

Restricted Stock Units and Performance Shares

In March 2012, the Compensation Committee approved the grant of 0.6 million time-based RSUs under the 2012 Awards. These RSUs vest ratably over a three-year period.

In March 2012, the Compensation Committee approved the grant of 0.3 million performance shares under the 2012 Awards. The share grant is subject to a performance multiplier of up to 2 times the targeted award. The performance measurement period is the three calendar year period ending December 31, 2014, the performance share metric used will be our relative total shareholder return against the companies comprising the Russell 3000 Index, and the performance shares will be settled on March 1, 2015. We used the Monte-Carlo simulation model to determine the fair value of the performance shares. Using this method, the average per share fair value of these awards was $25.38.

In March 2011, under the 2010 EIP, we granted 0.4 million time-based RSUs with a fair market value of the quoted closing price of our stock on that date. One third vested immediately, one third vested on March 31, 2012 and one third vests on March 31, 2013.

In March 2011, the Compensation Committee approved the grant of 0.4 million time-based RSUs under the 2011 Awards. These RSUs vest ratably over a three-year period.

In March 2011, we established the initial allocations under the 2010 EAP, which was previously approved by the Bankruptcy Court and provided designated participants with the opportunity to share in a pool of up to 1 million fully vested shares of common stock. The portion of the 2010 EAP pool to be distributed was determined by Chemtura s consolidated earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization expense (EBITDA) during the 2011 fiscal year. In March 2012, the compensation committee approved the allocation of specified percentage interests in the 2010 EAP pool among designated participants, including our named executive officers. Under the formula approved by the Bankruptcy Court, our 2011 consolidated EBITDA resulted in a payout of 57% of the total 2010 EAP pool of 1 million shares, or 0.6 million shares, which were distributed to the participants in March 2012.

In February 2011, we granted 0.1 million time-based RSUs to non-employee directors with a fair market value of the quoted closing price of our stock on that date. These RSUs vest ratably over a two-year period.

Total remaining unrecognized compensation expense associated with unvested time-based RSUs and performance shares at June 30, 2012 was $16 million, which will be recognized over the weighted average period of approximately 2 years.

Employee Stock Purchase Plan

In May 2012, our shareholders approved the Chemtura Corporation 2012 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (the ESPP). This plan permits eligible employees to annually elect to have up to 10% of their compensation withheld and applied to the purchase of shares of Chemtura s common stock. Purchases are made at the end of quarterly offering periods and are based on the lower of the fair market value of the shares on the first and last trading days during the offering period. The first offering period will be the calendar quarter ending September 30, 2012. A total of one million shares are authorized to be issued under the ESPP, including up to 0.1 million shares per offering period and 0.3 million shares per plan year.

Table of Contents

11) PENSION AND OTHER POST-RETIREMENT BENEFIT PLANS

Components of our defined benefit plans net periodic benefit (credit) cost for the quarters and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 are as follows:

(In millions)	Qualified U.S. Plans Quarters ended June 30, 2012	2011	Defined Benefit Plans International and Non-Qualified Plans Quarters ended June 30, 2012	2011	Post-Retirement Health Care Plans Quarters ended June 30, 2012	2011
Service cost	$	$	$ 1	$ 1	$	$ 1
Interest cost	11	11	5	6	1	1
Expected return on plan assets	(13)	(14)	(6)	(5)		
Amortization of prior service cost		3		1	(2)	1
Amortization of actuarial losses	3		1		1	(2)
Net periodic benefit cost	$ 1	$	$ 1	$ 3	$	$ 1

(In millions)	Qualified U.S. Plans Six months ended June 30, 2012	2011	Defined Benefit Plans International and Non-Qualified Plans Six months ended June 30, 2012	2011	Post-Retirement Health Care Plans Six months ended June 30, 2012	2011
Service cost	$	$	$ 2	$ 2	$	$ 1
Interest cost	22	23	10	11	2	2
Expected return on plan assets	(27)	(28)	(11)	(9)		
Amortization of prior service cost		6		1	(3)	1
Amortization of actuarial losses	7		1		2	(3)
Net periodic benefit cost	$ 2	$ 1	$ 2	$ 5	$ 1	$ 1

For the six months ended June 30, 2012, we contributed $23 million to our U.S. qualified pension plans, $2 million to our U.S. non-qualified pension plans and $28 million to our international pension plans, which included $24 million to our UK Pension Plan discussed below. Contributions to post-retirement health care plans for the six months ended June 30, 2012 were $6 million.

On November 18, 2009, the Bankruptcy Court entered an order (the 2009 OPEB Order) approving, in part, our motion (the 2009 OPEB Motion) requesting authorization to modify certain post-retirement welfare benefits (the OPEB Benefits) under our post-retirement welfare benefit plans (the OPEB Plans), including the OPEB Benefits of certain Uniroyal salaried retirees (the Uniroyal Salaried Retirees). On April 5, 2010, the Bankruptcy Court entered an order denying the Uniroyal Salaried Retirees motion to reconsider the 2009 OPEB Order based, among other things, on the Uniroyal Salaried Retirees failure to file a timely objection to the 2009 OPEB Motion. On April 8, 2010, the Uniroyal Salaried Retirees appealed the Bankruptcy Court s April 5, 2010 order and on April 14, 2010, sought a stay pending their appeal (the Stay) of the 2009 OPEB Order as to our right to modify the OPEB Benefits. On April 21, 2010, the Bankruptcy Court ordered us not to modify the Uniroyal Salaried Retirees OPEB Benefits pending a hearing and decision as to the Stay. After consulting with the official committees of unsecured creditors and equity security holders, we requested that the Bankruptcy Court have a hearing to decide, as a matter of law, whether we have the

right to modify the OPEB Benefits of the Uniroyal Salaried Retirees as requested in the 2009 OPEB Motion. In November 2011, we reached an agreement in principle with a steering committee of the Uniroyal Salaried Retirees resolving all disputes concerning the 2009 OPEB Motion. On February 21, 2012, we filed a motion with the Bankruptcy Court seeking approval of a settlement stipulation with the steering committee of the Uniroyal Salaried Retirees based upon the prior agreement in principle and authorizing us to implement changes to the OPEB Benefits of all Uniroyal Salaried Retirees based upon the settlement stipulation and as a partial grant of the relief requested in the 2009 OPEB Motion. The Bankruptcy Court approved the motion at a hearing held on March 29, 2012. The changes were communicated to the participants in May 2012. The impact of the change was an $8 million increase to the projected benefit obligation, which we recorded in the second quarter of 2012 as an increase to the pension and post-retirement healthcare liabilities, with an offset to accumulated other comprehensive loss on our Consolidated Balance Sheet at June 30, 2012.

On May 9, 2011, one of our UK subsidiaries entered into definitive agreements with the trustees of the Great Lakes U.K. Limited Pension Plan (the UK Pension Plan) over the terms of a recovery plan which provided for a series of additional cash contributions to be made to reduce the underfunding over time. The agreements provided, among other things, for our UK subsidiary to make cash contributions of £60 million (approximately $96 million) in just over a three year period, with

Table of Contents

the initial contribution of £30 million ($49 million) made in the second quarter of 2011 and the second contribution of £15 million ($24 million) made in the second quarter of 2012. The agreements also provided for the granting of both a security interest and a guarantee to support certain of the liabilities under the UK Pension Plan.

There is also an evaluation being undertaken as to whether additional benefit obligations exist in connection with the equalization of certain benefits under the UK Pension Plan that occurred in the early 1990s. Based on the results of the evaluation to date, $8 million of expense was recorded in the fourth quarter of 2011, which may be subject to adjustment as further information is gathered as part of the evaluation. Upon completion of the evaluation and the finalization of the liability with respect to additional benefit obligations, additional cash contributions to the UK Pension Plan may be required starting in 2013. There were no changes to the evaluation during the second quarter of 2012.

12) DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS AND HEDGING ACTIVITIES

Our activities expose our earnings, cash flows and financial condition to a variety of market risks, including the effects of changes in foreign currency exchange rates, interest rates and energy prices. We maintain a risk management strategy that may utilize derivative instruments to mitigate risk against foreign currency movements. We do not enter into derivative instruments for trading or speculative purposes.

We have exposure to changes in foreign currency exchange rates resulting from transactions entered into by us and our foreign subsidiaries in currencies other than their functional currency (primarily trade payables and receivables). We are also exposed to currency risk on intercompany transactions (including intercompany loans). We manage these currency risks on a consolidated basis, which allows us to net our exposure. Prior to our Chapter 11 filing we purchased foreign currency forward contracts to manage our exposure.

On November 28, 2011, we sold our 50% interest in Tetrabrom Technologies Ltd. for net consideration of $38 million. The consideration will be paid in equal annual installments over a three year period. A pre-tax gain of $27 million was recorded on the sale in the fourth quarter of 2011. In February 2012, we purchased two forward contracts with a notional amount totaling $38 million to reduce the risk of currency exposure related to the three annual installments of this receivable. These contracts came due on the same day we received the first annual installment. We used fair value accounting methods for these contracts. We recorded a realized loss associated with the settlement of these contracts of less than $1 million in the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2012 in other income (expense), net in our Consolidated Statement of Operations.

In April 2012, we purchased two additional forward contracts with a notional amount totaling $25 million to reduce the risk of currency exposure related to the remaining two annual installments of the receivable. We use fair value accounting methods for these contracts and have recorded a loss of $1 million reflecting the changes in the fair market value of these contracts in other income (expense), net in our Consolidated Statement of Operations for the second quarter of 2012. The resulting net liability of the changes in fair market value of these contracts has been accounted for in other long-term assets of $1 million in our Consolidated Balance Sheet.

In June 2012, we purchased and settled a forward contract with a notional amount totaling $8 million to reduce the risk of currency exposure related to the payment of an intercompany payable denominated in Mexican Pesos. We used fair value accounting methods for these contracts and have recorded a gain of less than $1 million reflecting the changes in the fair market value of these contracts in other income (expense), net in our Consolidated Statement of Operations for the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2012.

13) FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS AND FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

Financial Instruments

The carrying amounts for cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, other current assets, accounts payable and other current liabilities, approximate their fair value because of the short-term maturities of these instruments. The fair value of debt is based primarily on quoted market values.

The following table presents the carrying amounts and estimated fair values of material financial instruments used by us in the normal course of business:

Table of Contents

(In millions)	As of June 30, 2012 Carrying Amount	As of June 30, 2012 Fair Value	As of December 31, 2011 Carrying Amount	As of December 31, 2011 Fair Value
Total debt	$ 778	$ 812	$ 753	$ 777

Fair Value Measurements

We apply the provisions of ASC 820 with respect to our financial assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value within the financial statements on a recurring basis. ASC 820 specifies a hierarchy of valuation techniques based on whether the inputs to those valuation techniques are observable or unobservable. Observable inputs reflect market data obtained from independent sources, while unobservable inputs reflect our market assumptions. The fair value hierarchy specified by ASC 820 is as follows:

- Level 1 Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets and liabilities.

- Level 2 Quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar assets and liabilities in markets that are not active or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market date.

- Level 3 Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets and liabilities.

Level 1 fair value measurements in 2012 and 2011 included securities purchased in connection with the deferral of compensation, our match and investment earnings related to the supplemental savings plan. These securities are considered our general assets until distributed to the participant and are included in other assets in our Consolidated Balance Sheets. A corresponding liability is included in other liabilities at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 in our Consolidated Balance Sheets. Quoted market prices were used to determine fair values of these Level 1 investments which are held in a trust with a third-party brokerage firm. The fair value of the asset and corresponding liability was $1 million at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011. Level 2 fair value measurements are used to value our foreign currency forward contracts (see Note 12 Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities.) For the six months ended June 30, 2012, there were no transfers into or out of Levels 1 and 2.

Level 3 fair value measurements are utilized in our impairment reviews of Goodwill (see Note 5 Goodwill and Intangible Assets). Level 1, 2 and 3 fair value measurements are utilized for defined benefit plan assets in determining the funded status of our pension and post-retirement benefit plan liabilities on an annual basis (at December 31).

14) ASSET RETIREMENT OBLIGATIONS

We apply the provisions of ASC Topic 410, *Asset Retirements and Environmental Obligations* (ASC 410), which requires us to make estimates regarding future events in order to record a liability for asset retirement obligations in the period in which a legal obligation is created. Such liabilities are recorded at fair value, with an offsetting increase to the carrying value of the related long-lived assets. The fair value is estimated by discounting projected cash flows over the estimated life of the assets using our credit adjusted risk-free rate applicable at the time the obligation is initially recorded. In future periods, the liability is accreted to its present value and the capitalized cost is depreciated over the useful life of the related asset. We also adjust the liability for changes resulting from revisions to the timing of future cash flows or the amount of the original estimate. Upon retirement of the long-lived asset, we either settle the obligation for its recorded amount or incur a gain or loss.

Our asset retirement obligations include estimates for all asset retirement obligations identified for our worldwide facilities. Our asset retirement obligations are primarily the result of legal obligations for the removal of leasehold improvements and restoration of premises to their original condition upon termination of leases at approximately 20 facilities; legal obligations to close approximately 90 brine supply, brine disposal, waste disposal, and hazardous waste injection wells and the related pipelines at the end of their useful lives; and decommissioning and decontamination obligations that are legally required to be fulfilled upon closure of approximately 30 of our manufacturing facilities.

The following is a summary of the change in the carrying amount of the asset retirement obligations for the quarters and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 and the net book value of assets related to the asset retirement obligations at June 30, 2012 and 2011:

Table of Contents

(In millions)	Quarter ended June 30, 2012	Quarter ended June 30, 2011	Six months ended June 30, 2012	Six months ended June 30, 2011
Asset retirement obligation balance at beginning of period	$ 20	$ 24	$ 21	$ 23
Accretion expense (income) cost of goods sold (a)	2	(3)	2	(2)
Payments		(1)	(1)	(1)
Reclassifications		1		1
Asset retirement obligation balance at end of period	$ 22	$ 21	$ 22	$ 21
Net book value of asset retirement obligation assets at end of period	$ 1	$ 1	$ 1	$ 1

(a) The accretion expense for the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2012 reflects the acceleration of obligations related to the Pedrengo, Italy facility due to the shutdown approved on April 30, 2012. The accretion reversal for the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2011 was primarily due to the extension of the retirement dates for various pipelines and wells related to the El Dorado, Arkansas facility.

Depreciation expense for the quarters and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 was less than $1 million.

At June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, $7 million and $6 million, respectively of asset retirement obligations were included in accrued expenses and $15 million and $15 million, respectively, were included in other liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet.

15) ACQUISITIONS AND DIVESTITURES

Acquisitions

On January 26, 2011, we announced the formation of ISEM S.r.l. (ISEM), a strategic research and development alliance with Isagro S.p.A., which will provide us access to two commercialized products and accelerate the development and commercialization of new active ingredients and molecules related to our Chemtura AgroSolutions segment. ISEM is a 50/50 joint venture between us and Isagro S.p.A. and is being accounted for as an equity method investment. Our investment in the joint venture was 20 million ($29 million), which was made in January 2011. In addition, we and Isagro S.p.A. have agreed to jointly fund discovery and development efforts for ISEM, for approximately $2 million annually from each partner for five years. During 2011, we funded approximately $2 million as planned. Funding our contributions will be done in part by reducing our planned direct research and development spending.

On February 1, 2011, we announced the formation of DayStar Materials, LLC, a joint venture with UP Chemical Co. Ltd. that will manufacture and sell high purity metal organic precursors for the rapidly growing LED market in our Industrial Engineered Products segment. DayStar Materials, LLC is a 50/50 joint venture and is being accounted for as an equity method investment. We made cash contributions of $6 million in 2011 in accordance with the joint venture agreement.

Divestitures

On November 28, 2011, we sold our 50% interest in Tetrabrom Technologies Ltd. for net consideration of $38 million. The consideration will be paid over a three year period beginning in April 2012. A payment of $9 million was received in April 2012. A pre-tax gain of $27 million was recorded on the sale in the fourth quarter of 2011. In February 2012, we purchased forward contracts with a notional amount of $38 million to reduce the risk of currency exposure related to the three annual installments of this receivable which matured in April 2012. In April 2012, we purchased two additional forward contracts totaling $25 million to reduce the risk of currency exposure related to the remaining two annual installments of the receivable (see Note 12 Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities for additional information).

16) EMERGENCE FROM CHAPTER 11

On March 18, 2009 (the Petition Date) Chemtura and 26 of our U.S. affiliates (collectively the U.S. Debtors or the Debtors when used in relation to matters before August 8, 2010) filed voluntary petitions for relief under Chapter 11 of Title 11 of the United States Code (Chapter 11) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the Bankruptcy Court).

On August 8, 2010, our Canadian subsidiary, Chemtura Canada Co/Cie (Chemtura Canada), filed a voluntary petition for relief under Chapter 11. On August 11, 2010, Chemtura Canada commenced ancillary recognition proceedings under Part IV of the Companies Creditors Arrangement Act (the CCAA) in the Ontario Superior Court of Justice, (the Canadian Court

Table of Contents

and such proceedings, the Canadian Case). The U.S. Debtors along with Chemtura Canada after it filed for Chapter 11 (collectively the Debtors) requested the Bankruptcy Court to enter an order jointly administering Chemtura Canada s Chapter 11 case with the previously filed Chapter 11 cases and appoint Chemtura Canada as the foreign representative for the purposes of the Canadian Case. Such orders were granted on August 9, 2010. On August 11, 2010, the Canadian Court entered an order recognizing the Chapter 11 cases as a foreign proceedings under the CCAA.

On November 3, 2010, the Bankruptcy Court entered an order confirming the Debtors plan of reorganization (the Plan). On November 10, 2010 (the Effective Date), the Debtors substantially consummated their reorganization through a series of transactions contemplated by the Plan and the Plan became effective.

In March 2011, we made a supplemental distribution to holders of previously issued common stock (Holders of Interests) as authorized by the Bankruptcy Court. The supplemental distribution included payments of $3 million in stock, valuing the stock at the Plan valuation.

On June 10, 2011, we filed a closing report in Chemtura Canada s Chapter 11 case and a motion seeking a final decree closing that Chapter 11 case. On June 23, 2011, the Bankruptcy Court granted our motion and entered a final decree closing the Chapter 11 case of Chemtura Canada.

In August 2011, we made a second supplemental distribution to Holders of Interests as authorized by the Bankruptcy Court. The supplemental distribution included payments of $2 million in cash and $12 million in stock, valuing the stock at the Plan valuation.

On December 1, 2011, we filed a motion requesting entry of an order granting a final decree closing the Chapter 11 cases of 22 Debtors (the Fully Administered Debtors):

- A&M Cleaning Products LLC
- Aqua Clear Industries, LLC
- ASEPSIS, Inc.
- ASCK, Inc.
- BioLab Company Store, LLC
- Biolab Franchise Company, LLC
- BioLab Textile Additives, LLC
- CNK Chemical Realty Corporation
- Crompton Colors Incorporated
- Crompton Holding Corporation
- Crompton Monochem, Inc.
- Great Lakes Chemical Global, Inc.
- GT Seed Treatment, Inc.
- HomeCare Labs, Inc
- ISCI, Inc.
- Kem Manufacturing Corporation
- Laurel Industries Holdings, Inc.
- Monochem, Inc.
- Naugatuck Treatment Company
- Recreational Water Products, Inc.
- Weber City Road LLC
- WRL of Indiana, Inc.

On December 15, 2011, the Bankruptcy Court entered an order granting a final decree closing the Fully Administered Debtors Chapter 11 cases.

On January 5, 2012, we filed a motion with the Bankruptcy Court seeking authority to make a third supplemental distribution to Holders of Interests, which was granted by the Bankruptcy Court on January 26, 2012. The Bankruptcy Court extended the time to make the third supplemental distribution by order dated March 2, 2012 and authorized an increase to the third supplemental distribution by order dated March 8, 2012. The third supplemental distribution was made in March 2012 and included payments of $3 million in cash and $20 million in stock, valuing the stock at the Plan valuation.

On February 7, 2012, we filed a motion requesting entry of an order granting a final decree closing the Chapter 11 cases for Bio-Lab, Inc. and GLCC Laurel, LLC, which was granted by the Bankruptcy Court on February 22, 2012.

On March 16, 2012, we filed a motion requesting entry of an order granting a final decree closing the Chapter 11 cases for Great Lakes Chemical Corporation and Uniroyal Chemical Company Limited (Delaware), which was granted by the Bankruptcy Court on March 29, 2012.

As of June 30, 2012, the Bankruptcy Court has entered orders granting final decrees closing all of the Debtors Chapter 11 cases except the Chapter 11 case of Chemtura Corporation.

At June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the remaining undisbursed amount in the Disputed Claims Reserve was $1 million and $29 million, respectively. The decrease in the Disputed Claims Reserve was due to settlement payments resolving Disputed Claims of $5 million and supplemental distributions to Holders of Interests of $23 million.

In July 2012, we made a final distribution to Holders of Interests under the Plan included all amounts remaining in the Disputed Claims Reserve. The final distribution included $3 million in stock, valuing the stock at the Plan valuation.

Table of Contents

The Reorganization Items, net recorded in our Consolidated Statements of Operations related to our Chapter 11 cases comprise the following:

	Quarters ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
(In millions)	2012	2011	2012	2011
Professional fees	$ 1	$ 6	$ 2	$ 12
Claim settlements, net (a)			1	1
Total reorganization items, net	$ 1	$ 6	$ 3	$ 13

(a) Represents the difference between the settlement amount of certain pre-petition obligations (obligations settled in common stock are based on the fair value of our stock at the issuance date) and the corresponding carrying value of the recorded liabilities.

17) LEGAL PROCEEDINGS AND CONTINGENCIES

We are involved in claims, litigation, administrative proceedings and investigations of various types in a number of jurisdictions. A number of such matters involve, or may involve, claims for a material amount of damages and relate to or allege, among other things, environmental liabilities, including clean-up costs associated with hazardous waste disposal sites, natural resource damages, property damage and personal injury.

As a result of the Chapter 11 cases, substantially all prepetition litigation and claims against us and our subsidiaries that were Debtors in the Chapter 11 cases have been discharged and permanently enjoined from further prosecution and are described below under the subheading Prepetition Litigation and Claims Discharged Under the Plan.

Claims and legal actions asserted against non-Debtors or relating to events occurring after the Effective Date, certain regulatory and administrative proceedings and certain contractual and other claims assumed with the authorization of the Bankruptcy Court, were not discharged in the Chapter 11 cases and are described below under the subheading Litigation and Claims Not Discharged Under the Plan.

Prepetition Litigation and Claims Discharged Under the Plan

Chapter 11 Plan and Establishment of Claims Reserves

On March 18, 2009, the Debtors filed voluntary petitions in the Bankruptcy Court seeking relief under Chapter 11. The Debtors Chapter 11 cases were assigned to the Honorable Robert E. Gerber and are being jointly administered as Case No. 09-11233. The Debtors continued to operate their business as debtors in possession under the jurisdiction of the Bankruptcy Court until their emergence from Chapter 11 on November 10, 2010.

Pursuant to the Plan, and by orders of the Bankruptcy Court dated September 24, 2010, October 19, 2010 and October 29, 2010, the Debtors established the Diacetyl Reserve, the Environmental Reserve and the Disputed Claims Reserve, each as defined in the Plan, on account of claims that were not yet allowed in the Chapter 11 cases as of the Effective Date, including proofs of claim asserted against the Debtors that were subject to objection as of the Effective Date (the Disputed Claims). The Diacetyl Reserve was approved by the Bankruptcy Court in the amount of $7 million, comprised of separate segregated reserves, and has since been reduced as settlement agreements have been approved by the Bankruptcy Court. The Environmental Reserve was approved by the Bankruptcy Court in the amount of $38 million, a portion of which was further segregated into certain separate reserves established to account for settlements that were pending Bankruptcy Court approval, and has since been reduced as settlement agreements have been approved by the Bankruptcy Court. The Disputed Claims Reserve was approved by the Bankruptcy Court in the amount of $42 million, plus additional segregated individual reserves for certain creditors claims in the aggregate amount of approximately $30 million, all of which have been reduced as settlement agreements have been approved by the Bankruptcy Court.

On June 24, 2011, we resolved the final disputed Environmental Claim. As a result, under the Plan, the amounts remaining in the Environmental Reserve were transferred to the Disputed Claims Reserve. Any remaining Disputed Claims, to the extent they were ultimately allowed by the Bankruptcy Court, were satisfied (to the extent allowed and not covered by insurance) from the Disputed Claims Reserve. Holders of the Disputed Claims are permanently enjoined under the Plan from pursuing their claims against us. On May 4, 2012, the Bankruptcy Court entered an order disallowing the last Disputed Claim subject to the Disputed Claims Reserve. In July 2012, we made a final distribution to Holders of Interests in accordance with the Plan that included all amounts remaining in the Disputed Claims Reserve.

Table of Contents

Litigation and Claims Not Discharged Under the Plan

Environmental Liabilities

We are involved in environmental matters of various types in a number of jurisdictions. A number of such matters involve claims for material amounts of damages and relate to or allege environmental liabilities, including clean up costs associated with hazardous waste disposal sites and natural resource damages.

The Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980, as amended (CERCLA), and comparable state statutes impose strict liability upon various classes of persons with respect to the costs associated with the investigation and remediation of waste disposal sites. Such persons are typically referred to as Potentially Responsible Parties or PRPs. Chemtura and several of our subsidiaries have been identified by federal, state or local governmental agencies or by other PRPs, as a PRP at various locations in the United States. Because in certain circumstances these laws have been construed to authorize the imposition of joint and several liability, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and comparable state agencies could seek to recover all costs involving a waste disposal site from any one of the PRPs for such site, including Chemtura, despite the involvement of other PRPs. In many cases, we are one of a large number of PRPs with respect to a site. In a few instances, we are the sole or one of only a handful of PRPs performing investigation and remediation. Where other financially responsible PRPs are involved, we expect that any ultimate liability resulting from such matters will be apportioned between us and such other parties. In addition, we are involved with environmental remediation and compliance activities at some of our current and former sites in the United States and abroad.

Each quarter, we evaluate and review estimates for future remediation and other costs to determine appropriate environmental reserve amounts. For each site where the cost of remediation is probable and reasonably estimable, we determine the specific measures that are believed to be required to remediate the site, the estimated total cost to carry out the remediation plan, the portion of the total remediation costs to be borne by us and the anticipated time frame over which payments toward the remediation plan will occur. At sites where we expect to incur ongoing operation and maintenance expenditures, we accrue on an undiscounted basis for a period of generally 10 years those costs which we believe are probable and reasonably estimable.

On June 6, 2011, our subsidiary Great Lakes Chemical Corporation received a proposed Consent Administrative Order (CAO) from the Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality alleging violations of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act in conjunction with its facility located in El Dorado, Arkansas. The violations alleged in the CAO were settled in May 2012 for a penalty of less than $1 million to be paid in August 2012. This settlement fully resolves the matter.

The total amount accrued for environmental liabilities as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 was $85 million and $88 million, respectively. At June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, $17 million and $18 million, respectively, of these environmental liabilities were reflected as accrued expenses and $68 million and $70 million, respectively, were reflected as other liabilities. We estimate that the reasonably possible ongoing environmental liabilities could range up to $99 million at June 30, 2012. Our accruals for environmental liabilities include estimates for determinable clean-up costs. We recorded a pre-tax charge of $4 million in 2012, and made payments of $5 million during the six months ended June 30, 2012 for clean-up costs, which reduced our environmental liabilities. At certain sites, we have contractual agreements with certain other parties to share remediation costs. As of June 30, 2012, no receivables are outstanding related to these agreements. At a number of these sites, the extent of contamination has not yet been fully investigated or the final scope of remediation is not yet determinable. We intend to assert all meritorious legal defenses and will pursue other equitable factors that are available with respect to these matters. However, the final cost of clean-up at these sites could exceed our present estimates, and could have, individually or in the aggregate, a material

adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows. Our estimates for environmental remediation liabilities may change in the future should additional sites be identified, further remediation measures be required or undertaken, current laws and regulations be modified or additional environmental laws and regulations be enacted, and as negotiations with respect to certain sites.

Other

We are routinely subject to other civil claims, litigation and arbitration, and regulatory investigations, arising in the ordinary course of our business, as well as in respect of our divested businesses. Some of these claims and litigations relate to product liability claims, including claims related to our current and historical products and asbestos-related claims concerning premises and historic products of our corporate affiliates and predecessors. We believe the claims relating to the period before the filing of the Chapter 11 cases are subject to discharge pursuant to the Plan and have been satisfied, to the extent they were timely filed in the Chapter 11 cases and allowed by the Bankruptcy Court, solely from the Disputed Claims Reserve. Further, we believe that we have strong defenses to these claims. These claims have not had a material impact on us to date and we believe the likelihood that a future material adverse outcome will result from these claims is remote.

Table of Contents

However, we cannot be certain that an adverse outcome of one or more of these claims, to the extent not discharged in the Chapter 11 cases, would not have a material adverse effect on its financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Guarantees

In addition to the letters of credit of $14 million and $15 million outstanding at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively, we have guarantees that have been provided to various financial institutions. At June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, we had $13 million and $11 million of outstanding guarantees, respectively. The letters of credit and guarantees were primarily related to liabilities for insurance obligations, environmental obligations, banking and credit facilities, vendor deposits and European value added tax (VAT) obligations.

We have applied the disclosure provisions of ASC Topic 460, *Guarantees* (ASC 460), to our agreements that contain guarantee or indemnification clauses. We are a party to several agreements pursuant to which we may be obligated to indemnify a third party with respect to certain loan obligations of joint venture companies in which we have an equity interest. These obligations arose to provide initial financing for a joint venture start-up, fund an acquisition and/or provide project capital. Such obligations mature through August 2016. In the event that any of the joint venture companies were to default on these loan obligations, we would indemnify the other party up to its proportionate share of the obligation based upon its ownership interest in the joint venture. At June 30, 2012, the maximum potential future principal and interest payments due under these guarantees were $4 million. At December 31, 2011, the maximum potential future principal and interest payments due under these guarantees were $8 million. In accordance with ASC 460, we have accrued $1 million in reserves, which represents the probability weighted fair value of these guarantees at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011. The reserve has been included in other liabilities on our Consolidated Balance Sheet at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 with an offset to the investment included in other assets.

In addition, we have financing agreements with banks in Brazil for certain customers under which we receive funds from the banks at invoice date, and in turn, the customer agrees to pay the banks on the due date. We provide a full recourse guarantee to the banks in the event of customer non-payment.

In the ordinary course of business, we enter into contractual arrangements under which we may agree to indemnify a third party to such arrangement from any losses incurred relating to the services they perform on our behalf or for losses arising from certain events as defined within the particular contract, which may include, for example, litigation, claims or environmental matters relating to our past performance. For any losses that we believe are probable and estimable, we have accrued for such amounts in our Consolidated Balance Sheets.

18) BUSINESS SEGMENT DATA

We evaluate a segment s performance based on several factors, of which the primary factor is operating income (loss). In computing operating income (loss) by segment, the following items have not been deducted: (1) general corporate expense; (2) amortization; (3) facility closures, severance and related costs; (4) certain accelerated depreciation; (5) changes in estimates related to expected allowable claims; and (6) impairment charges. Pursuant to ASC Topic 280, *Segment Reporting* (ASC 280), these items have been excluded from our presentation of segment operating income (loss) because they are not reported to the chief operating decision maker for purposes of allocating resources among reporting segments or assessing segment performance.

Industrial Performance Products

Industrial Performance Products are engineered solutions for our customers specialty chemical needs. Industrial Performance Products include petroleum additives that provide detergency, friction modification and corrosion protection in automotive lubricants, greases, refrigeration and turbine lubricants; castable urethane prepolymers engineered to provide superior abrasion resistance and durability in many industrial and recreational applications; polyurethane dispersions and urethane prepolymers used in various types of coatings such as clear floor finishes, high-gloss paints and textiles treatments; and antioxidants that improve the durability and longevity of plastics used in food packaging, consumer durables, automotive components and electrical components. These products are sold directly to manufacturers and through distribution channels.

Industrial Engineered Products

Industrial Engineered Products are chemical additives designed to improve the performance of polymers in their end-use applications. Industrial Engineered Products include brominated performance products, flame retardants, fumigants and organometallics. The products are sold across the entire value chain ranging from direct sales to monomer producers,

Table of Contents

polymer manufacturers, compounders and fabricators, fine chemical manufacturers and oilfield service companies to industry distributors.

Consumer Products

Consumer Products are performance chemicals that are sold to consumers for in-home and outdoor use. Consumer Products include a variety of branded recreational water purification products sold through local dealers and large retailers to assist consumers in the maintenance of their pools and spas and branded cleaners and degreasers sold primarily through mass merchants to consumers for home cleaning.

Chemtura AgroSolutions

Chemtura AgroSolutions develops, supplies, registers and sells agricultural chemicals formulated for specific crops in various geographic regions for the purpose of enhancing quality and improving yields. The business focuses on specific target markets in six major product lines: seed treatments, fungicides, miticides, insecticides, growth regulators and herbicides. These products are sold directly to growers and to major distributors in the agricultural sector.

General Corporate Expense and Other Charges

General corporate expense includes costs and expenses that are of a general corporate nature or managed on a corporate basis. These costs (net of allocations to the business segments) primarily represent corporate stewardship and administration activities together with costs associated with legacy activities and intangible asset amortization. Functional costs are allocated between the business segments and general corporate expense. Accelerated depreciation relates to certain assets affected by our restructuring programs. Facility closures, severance and related costs are primarily for severance costs related to our cost savings initiatives. Impairment charges related to the impairment of intangible assets and property, plant and equipment that were no longer supportable. Change in estimates related to expected allowable claims relates to adjustments to resolve disputed claims.

A summary of business data for our reportable segments for the quarters and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 are as follows:

	Quarters ended June 30,		**Six months ended June 30,**	
(In millions)	**2012**	**2011**	**2012**	**2011**
Net Sales				
Industrial Performance Products	$ 339	$ 370	$ 652	$ 706
Industrial Engineered Products	236	244	462	453
Consumer Products	158	152	242	231
Chemtura AgroSolutions	112	110	197	185
Total net sales	$ 845	$ 876	$ 1,553	$ 1,575

(In millions)	Quarters ended June 30, 2012	2011	Six months ended June 30, 2012	2011
Operating Income				
Industrial Performance Products	$ 33	$ 39	$ 57	$ 69
Industrial Engineered Products	38	42	82	75
Consumer Products	20	22	15	19
Chemtura AgroSolutions	23	12	33	14
	114	115	187	177
General corporate expense, including amortization	(22)	(26)	(51)	(54)
Facility closures, severance and related costs	(23)		(23)	
Impairment charges		(1)	(1)	(3)
Changes in estimates related to expected allowable claims		(1)	(2)	(1)
Total operating income	$ 69	$ 87	$ 110	$ 119

Table of Contents

19) GUARANTOR CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING FINANCIAL DATA

Our obligations under the Senior Notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed on a senior unsecured basis, jointly and severally, by each current and future domestic restricted subsidiary, other than excluded subsidiaries that guarantee any indebtedness of Chemtura or our restricted subsidiaries. Our subsidiaries that do not guarantee the Senior Notes are referred to as the Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries. The Guarantor Condensed Consolidating Financial Data presented below presents the statements of operations, statements of comprehensive income, balance sheets and statements of cash flow for: (i) Chemtura Corporation (the Parent Company), the Guarantor Subsidiaries and the Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries on a consolidated basis (which is derived from Chemtura historical reported financial information); (ii) the Parent Company, alone (accounting for our Guarantor Subsidiaries and the Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries on an equity basis under which the investments are recorded by each entity owning a portion of another entity at cost, adjusted for the applicable share of the subsidiary s cumulative results of operations, capital contributions and distributions, and other equity changes); (iii) the Guarantor Subsidiaries alone; and (iv) the Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries alone.

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations

Quarter ended June 30, 2012

(In millions)

	Consolidated	Eliminations	Parent Company	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries
Net sales	$ 845	$ (479)	$ 452	$ 236	$ 636
Cost of goods sold	632	(479)	362	200	549
Selling, general and administrative	74		30	14	30
Depreciation and amortization	35		9	13	13
Research and development	12		4	3	5
Facility closures, severance and related costs	23		4	1	18
Operating income	69		43	5	21
Interest expense	(16)		(18)	1	1
Other income, net	7		2		5
Reorganization items, net	(1)		(1)		
Equity in net earnings of subsidiaries		(24)	24		
Earnings before income taxes	59	(24)	50	6	27
Income tax expense	(8)				(8)
Net earnings	51	(24)	50	6	19
Less: Net earnings attributed to non-controlling interests	(1)				(1)
Net earnings attributable to Chemtura	$ 50	$ (24)	$ 50	$ 6	$ 18

23

Table of Contents

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations

Six months ended June 30, 2012

(In millions)

	Consolidated	Eliminations	Parent Company	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries
Net sales	$ 1,553	$ (960)	$ 867	$ 400	$ 1,246
Cost of goods sold	1,169	(960)	692	344	1,093
Selling, general and administrative	156		60	25	71
Depreciation and amortization	68		18	25	25
Research and development	25		9	5	11
Facility closures, severance and related costs	23		4	1	18
Impairment charges	1				1
Changes in estimates related to expected allowable claims	2		2		
Equity income	(1)				(1)
Operating income	110		82		28
Interest expense	(30)		(35)	2	3
Other income (expense), net	3		(1)		4
Reorganization items, net	(3)		(3)		
Equity in net earnings of subsidiaries		(29)	29		
Earnings before income taxes	80	(29)	72	2	35
Income tax expense	(7)				(7)
Net earnings	73	(29)	72	2	28
Less: Net earnings attributed to non-controlling interests	(1)				(1)
Net earnings attributable to Chemtura	$ 72	$ (29)	$ 72	$ 2	$ 27

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Comprehensive Income

Quarter ended June 30, 2012

(in millions)

	Consolidated	Eliminations	Parent Company	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries
Net earnings	$ 51	$ (24)	$ 50	$ 6	$ 19

Other comprehensive (loss) income, net of tax					
Foreign currency translation adjustments	(44)		28	(4)	(68)
Unrecognized pension and other post-retirement benefit costs	(3)		(5)		2
Comprehensive income (loss)	4	(24)	73	2	(47)
Comprehensive income attributable to non-controlling interests	(1)				(1)
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to Chemtura	$ 3	$ (24)	$ 73	$ 2	$ (48)

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Comprehensive Income

Six months ended June 30, 2012

(in millions)

	Consolidated	Eliminations	Parent Company	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries
Net earnings	$ 73	$ (29)	$ 72	$ 2	$ 28
Other comprehensive (loss) income, net of tax					
Foreign currency translation adjustments	(22)		15	(2)	(35)
Unrecognized pension and other post-retirement benefit costs	(1)		(3)		2
Comprehensive income (loss)	50	(29)	84		(5)
Comprehensive income attributable to non-controlling interests	(1)				(1)
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to Chemtura	$ 49	$ (29)	$ 84	$	$ (6)

Table of Contents

Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet

As of June 30, 2012

(In millions)

	Consolidated	Eliminations	Parent Company	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries
ASSETS					
Current assets	$ 1,432	$	$ 392	$ 247	$ 793
Intercompany receivables		(8,787)	3,154	2,614	3,019
Investment in subsidiaries		(12,330)	1,993	1,736	8,601
Property, plant and equipment	746		149	272	325
Goodwill	173		92	3	78
Other assets	601		176	178	247
Total assets	$ 2,952	$ (21,117)	$ 5,956	$ 5,050	$ 13,063
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY					
Current liabilities	$ 474	$	$ 167	$ 88	$ 219
Intercompany payables		(8,787)	3,587	2,902	2,298
Long-term debt	748		747		1
Other long-term liabilities	638		363	59	216
Total liabilities	1,860	(8,787)	4,864	3,049	2,734
Stockholders equity	1,092	(12,330)	1,092	2,001	10,329
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	$ 2,952	$ (21,117)	$ 5,956	$ 5,050	$ 13,063

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows

Six months ended June 30, 2012

(In millions)

	Consolidated	Eliminations	Parent Company	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries
Increase (decrease) to cash					
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES					
Net earnings	$ 73	$ (29)	$ 72	$ 2	$ 28
Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash (used in) provided by operations:					
Impairment charges	1				1
Depreciation and amortization	68		18	25	25
Stock-based compensation expense	10		10		
Reorganization items, net	1		1		
Changes in estimates related to expected allowable claims	2		2		
Equity income	(1)				(1)
Changes in assets and liabilities, net	(172)	29	(137)	(7)	(57)

Net cash (used in) provided by operations	(18)		(34)	20	(4)
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES					
Net proceeds from divestments	9				9
Capital expenditures	(58)		(13)	(20)	(25)
Net cash used in investing activities	(49)		(13)	(20)	(16)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES					
Proceeds from ABL Facility	25		25		
Proceeds from A/R Financing Facility, net	3				3
Payments on other short term borrowings, net	(3)				(3)
Common shares aquired	(10)		(10)		
Payment for debt issuance costs	(1)				(1)
Proceeds from the exercise of stock options	1		1		
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	15		16		(1)
CASH					
Effect of exchange rates on cash and cash equivalents	(3)				(3)
Change in cash and cash equivalents	(55)		(31)		(24)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	180		35		145
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	$ 125	$	$ 4	$	$ 121

25

Table of Contents

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations

Quarter ended June 30, 2011

(In millions)

	Consolidated	Eliminations	Parent Company	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries
Net sales	$ 876	$ (536)	$ 471	$ 238	$ 703
Cost of goods sold	652	(536)	384	190	614
Selling, general and administrative	92		38	14	40
Depreciation and amortization	34		8	12	14
Research and development	11		4	2	5
Impairment charges	1			1	
Changes in estimates related to expected allowable claims	1		1		
Equity income	(2)				(2)
Operating income	87		36	19	32
Interest expense	(16)		(19)		3
Other (expense) income, net	(1)		(8)		7
Reorganization items, net	(6)		(6)		
Equity in net earnings of subsidiaries		(66)	66		
Earnings before income taxes	64	(66)	69	19	42
Income tax benefit	6				6
Net earnings	70	(66)	69	19	48
Less: Net earnings attributed to non-controlling interests	(1)				(1)
Net earnings attributable to Chemtura	$ 69	$ (66)	$ 69	$ 19	$ 47

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations

Six Months ended June 30, 2011

(In millions)

	Consolidated	Eliminations	Parent Company	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries
Net sales	$ 1,575	$ (976)	$ 882	$ 384	$ 1,285

Cost of goods sold	1,190	(976)	720	312	1,134
Selling, general and administrative	171		71	27	73
Depreciation and amortization	71		18	25	28
Research and development	22		9	4	9
Impairment charges	3			1	2
Changes in estimates related to expected allowable claims	1		1		
Equity income	(2)				(2)
Operating income	119		63	15	41
Interest expense	(32)		(36)		4
Other (expense) income, net			(13)		13
Reorganization items, net	(13)		(13)		
Equity in net earnings of subsidiaries		(75)	75		
Earnings before income taxes	74	(75)	76	15	58
Income tax benefit	3				3
Net earnings	77	(75)	76	15	61
Less: Net earnings attributed to non-controlling interests	(1)				(1)
Net earnings attributable to Chemtura	$ 76	$ (75)	$ 76	$ 15	$ 60

Table of Contents

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Comprehensive Income

Quarter ended June 30, 2011

(In millions)

	Consolidated	Eliminations	Parent Company	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries
Net earnings	$ 70	$ (66)	$ 69	$ 19	$ 48
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax					
Foreign currency translation adjustments	13		(5)	1	17
Unrecognized pension and other post-retirement benefit costs	1		(1)	1	1
Comprehensive income	84	(66)	63	21	66
Comprehensive income attributable to non-controlling interests	(1)				(1)
Comprehensive income attributable to Chemtura	$ 83	$ (66)	$ 63	$ 21	$ 65

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Comprehensive Income

Six Months ended June 30, 2011

(In millions)

	Consolidated	Eliminations	Parent Company	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries
Net earnings	$ 77	$ (75)	$ 76	$ 15	$ 61
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax					
Foreign currency translation adjustments	44		(27)	5	66
Unrecognized pension and other post-retirement benefit costs	3		1	1	1
Comprehensive income	124	(75)	50	21	128
Comprehensive income attributable to non-controlling interests	(1)				(1)
Comprehensive income attributable to Chemtura	$ 123	$ (75)	$ 50	$ 21	$ 127

Table of Contents

Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet

As of December 31, 2011

(In millions)

	Consolidated	Eliminations	Parent Company	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries
ASSETS					
Current assets	$ 1,321	$	$ 372	$ 204	$ 745
Intercompany receivables		(7,846)	2,727	2,230	2,889
Investment in subsidiaries		(14,617)	2,011	1,734	10,872
Property, plant and equipment	752		160	271	321
Goodwill	174		92	3	79
Other assets	608		226	185	197
Total assets	$ 2,855	$ (22,463)	$ 5,588	$ 4,627	$ 15,103
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY					
Current liabilities	$ 390	$	$ 134	$ 79	$ 177
Intercompany payables		(7,846)	3,201	2,491	2,154
Long-term debt	748		747		1
Other long-term liabilities	671		460	60	151
Total liabilities	1,809	(7,846)	4,542	2,630	2,483
Stockholders equity	1,046	(14,617)	1,046	1,997	12,620
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	$ 2,855	$ (22,463)	$ 5,588	$ 4,627	$ 15,103

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows

Six Months ended June 30, 2011

(In millions