

NEOPROBE CORP
Form 10-Q
November 15, 2010

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2010

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to _____ to _____

Commission File Number: 0-26520

NEOPROBE CORPORATION
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware 31-1080091
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) (IRS Employer Identification No.)

425 Metro Place North, Suite 300, Dublin, Ohio 43017-1367
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

(614) 793-7500
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Edgar Filing: NEOPROBE CORP - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of “large accelerated filer,” “accelerated filer” and “smaller reporting company” in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12-b-2 of the Act.)

Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer’s classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date: 82,573,372 shares of common stock, par value \$.001 per share (as of the close of business on November 8, 2010).

NEOPROBE CORPORATION and SUBSIDIARIES

INDEX

| | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|-----------|
| PART I – Financial Information | | |
| Item 1. | Financial Statements | 3 |
| | Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2010 (unaudited) and December 31, 2009 | 3 |
| | Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three-Month and Nine-Month Periods Ended September 30, 2010 and September 30, 2009 (unaudited) | 5 |
| | Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity (Deficit) for the Nine-Month Period Ended September 30, 2010 (unaudited) | 6 |
| | Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Nine-Month Periods Ended September 30, 2010 and September 30, 2009 (unaudited) | 7 |
| | Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited) | 8 |
| Item 2. | Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations | 24 |
| | Forward-Looking Statements | 24 |
| | The Company | 24 |
| | Product Line Overview | 24 |
| | Results of Operations | 29 |
| | Liquidity and Capital Resources | 31 |
| | Recent Accounting Developments | 33 |
| | Critical Accounting Policies | 34 |
| Item 3. | Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk | 35 |
| Item 4T. | Controls and Procedures | 35 |

PART II – Other Information

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds 37

Item 6. Exhibits 37

PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

Neoprobe Corporation and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Balance Sheets

| ASSETS | September 30, 2010 (unaudited) | December 31, 2009 |
|--|--------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash | \$ 2,611,210 | \$ 5,639,842 |
| Accounts receivable, net | 1,382,017 | 1,331,908 |
| Inventory | 1,974,762 | 1,143,697 |
| Prepaid expenses and other | 374,338 | 474,243 |
| Assets associated with discontinued operations | 1,629 | 27,475 |
| Total current assets | 6,343,956 | 8,617,165 |
| Property and equipment | 2,373,580 | 1,990,603 |
| Less accumulated depreciation and amortization | 1,822,579 | 1,693,290 |
| | 551,001 | 297,313 |
| Patents and trademarks | 532,561 | 524,224 |
| Less accumulated amortization | 447,397 | 445,650 |
| | 85,164 | 78,574 |
| Other assets | 7,421 | 24,707 |
| Total assets | \$ 6,987,542 | \$ 9,017,759 |

Continued

Neoprobe Corporation and Subsidiaries,
Consolidated Balance Sheets, continued

| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT) | September 30, 2010 (unaudited) | December 31, 2009 |
|--|--------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 1,962,762 | \$ 763,966 |
| Accrued liabilities and other | 1,270,210 | 1,048,304 |
| Capital lease obligations, current portion | 10,305 | 11,265 |
| Deferred revenue, current portion | 619,422 | 560,369 |
| Liabilities associated with discontinued operations | 9,250 | 18,743 |
| Total current liabilities | 3,871,949 | 2,402,647 |
| Capital lease obligations | 12,190 | 19,912 |
| Deferred revenue | 576,130 | 534,119 |
| Note payable to Bupp Investors, net of discount of \$54,093 | -- | 945,907 |
| Notes payable to investor | -- | 10,000,000 |
| Derivative liabilities | 1,377,406 | 1,951,664 |
| Other liabilities | 34,050 | 33,362 |
| Total liabilities | 5,871,725 | 15,887,611 |
| Commitments and contingencies | | |
| Preferred stock; \$.001 par value; 5,000,000 shares authorized; 3,000 Series A shares, \$1,000 face value, issued and outstanding at December 31, 2009 | -- | 3,000,000 |
| Stockholders' equity (deficit): | | |
| Preferred stock; \$.001 par value; 5,000,000 shares authorized; 10,000 Series B shares and 1,000 Series C shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2010 | 11 | -- |
| Common stock; \$.001 par value; 200,000,000 shares authorized; 82,446,872 and 80,936,711 shares outstanding at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively | 82,447 | 80,937 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 249,825,422 | 182,747,897 |
| Accumulated deficit | (248,792,063) | (192,698,686) |
| Total stockholders' equity (deficit) | 1,115,817 | (9,869,852) |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity (deficit) | \$ 6,987,542 | \$ 9,017,759 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

Edgar Filing: NEOPROBE CORP - Form 10-Q

Neoprobe Corporation and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statements of Operations
(unaudited)

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|-------------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Revenues: | | | | |
| Net sales | \$ 2,128,942 | \$ 2,562,079 | \$ 7,300,690 | \$ 6,998,299 |
| License and grant revenue | 174,588 | 25,000 | 224,588 | 75,000 |
| Total revenues | 2,303,530 | 2,587,079 | 7,525,278 | 7,073,299 |
| Cost of goods sold | 626,630 | 927,587 | 2,327,251 | 2,330,032 |
| Gross profit | 1,676,900 | 1,659,492 | 5,198,027 | 4,743,267 |
| Operating expenses: | | | | |
| Research and development | 2,569,975 | 1,204,811 | 6,709,148 | 3,730,361 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 1,355,235 | 778,658 | 3,401,779 | 2,417,622 |
| Total operating expenses | 3,925,210 | 1,983,469 | 10,110,927 | 6,147,983 |
| Loss from operations | (2,248,310) | (323,977) | (4,912,900) | (1,404,716) |
| Other income (expense): | | | | |
| Interest income | 2,398 | 2,360 | 6,159 | 16,068 |
| Interest expense | (832) | (330,806) | (553,821) | (1,249,525) |
| Change in derivative liabilities | (87,753) | (6,334,479) | (671,360) | (18,539,318) |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt | -- | (16,240,592) | (41,717,380) | (16,240,592) |
| Other | (90) | (585) | (2,668) | (2,216) |
| Total other expense, net | (86,277) | (22,904,102) | (42,939,070) | (36,015,583) |
| Loss from continuing operations | (2,334,587) | (23,228,079) | (47,851,970) | (37,420,299) |
| Discontinued operations: | | | | |
| Impairment loss | -- | (1,728,887) | -- | (1,728,887) |
| Loss from operations | (47,072) | (52,303) | (59,662) | (162,896) |
| Net loss | (2,381,659) | (25,009,269) | (47,911,632) | (39,312,082) |
| Preferred stock dividends | (25,000) | (60,000) | (8,181,745) | (180,000) |
| Loss attributable to common stockholders | \$ (2,406,659) | \$ (25,069,269) | \$ (56,093,377) | \$ (39,492,082) |
| Loss per common share (basic and diluted): | | | | |
| Continuing operations | \$ (0.03) | \$ (0.31) | \$ (0.70) | \$ (0.53) |
| Discontinued operations | \$ -- | \$ (0.03) | \$ -- | \$ (0.03) |
| | \$ (0.03) | \$ (0.34) | \$ (0.70) | \$ (0.56) |

Attributable to common
stockholders

Weighted average shares
outstanding:

| | | | | |
|-------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Basic and diluted | 80,605,072 | 74,380,714 | 80,149,302 | 70,915,204 |
|-------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Neoprobe Corporation and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity (Deficit)
(unaudited)

| | Preferred Stock | | Common Stock | | Additional | Accumulated | Total |
|--|-----------------|--------|--------------|-----------|--------------------|------------------|----------------|
| | Shares | Amount | Shares | Amount | Paid-In Capital | Deficit | |
| Balance, December 31, 2009 | -- | \$ -- | 80,936,711 | \$ 80,937 | \$ 182,747,897 | \$ (192,698,686) | \$ (9,869,852) |
| Issued stock in payment of interest on convertible debt and dividends on convertible preferred stock | -- | -- | 347,832 | 348 | 476,319 | -- | 476,667 |
| Issued stock upon exercise of options, net of issuance costs | -- | -- | 230,511 | 230 | (1,138) | -- | (908) |
| Issued stock in connection with stock purchase agreement, net of costs | -- | -- | 660,541 | 661 | 776,797 | -- | 777,458 |
| Issued stock to 401(k) plan at \$0.76 | -- | -- | 53,499 | 53 | 40,570 | -- | 40,623 |
| Issued Series B and Series C convertible preferred stock, net of issuance costs | 11,000 | 11 | -- | -- | 64,636,810 | -- | 64,636,821 |
| Issued warrants in connection with consulting agreement | -- | -- | -- | -- | 279,367 | -- | 279,367 |
| Issued restricted stock | -- | -- | 60,000 | 60 | -- | -- | 60 |
| Issued stock upon exercise of warrants and other | -- | -- | 157,778 | 158 | 316,660 | -- | 316,818 |
| Stock compensation | -- | -- | -- | -- | 552,140 | -- | 552,140 |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------|-------|------------|-----------|----------------|------------------|--------------|--------------|--|
| expense | | | | | | | | | |
| Preferred stock dividends, including deemed dividends | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | (8,181,745) | (8,181,745) | |
| Comprehensive loss: | | | | | | | | | |
| Net loss | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | (47,911,632) | (47,911,632) | |
| Balance, September 30, 2010 | 11,000 | \$ 11 | 82,446,872 | \$ 82,447 | \$ 249,825,422 | \$ (248,792,063) | \$ | 1,115,817 | |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Neoprobe Corporation and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
(unaudited)

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|------------------------------------|-----------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | |
| Net loss | \$ (47,911,632) | \$ (39,312,082) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 162,028 | 284,219 |
| Amortization of debt discount and debt offering costs | 16,109 | 420,063 |
| Issuance of common stock in payment of interest and dividends | 476,667 | 554,667 |
| Stock compensation expense | 552,140 | 271,554 |
| Non-cash inventory adjustment | 351,000 | -- |
| Change in derivative liabilities | 671,360 | 18,539,318 |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt | 41,717,380 | 16,240,592 |
| Issuance of warrants in connection with consulting agreement | 279,367 | -- |
| Impairment loss on discontinued operations | -- | 1,728,887 |
| Other | 42,931 | 48,697 |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | |
| Accounts receivable | (35,718) | 226,510 |
| Inventory | (1,228,943) | (550,816) |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | (122,639) | 260,470 |
| Accounts payable | 1,194,196 | 47,063 |
| Accrued liabilities and other liabilities | 161,034 | 53,104 |
| Deferred revenue | 101,064 | (49,013) |
| Net cash used in operating activities | (3,573,656) | (1,236,767) |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | |
| Maturities of available-for-sale securities | -- | 494,000 |
| Purchases of equipment | (354,076) | (74,554) |
| Proceeds from sales of equipment | -- | 251 |
| Patent and trademark costs | (12,202) | (66,317) |
| Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities | (366,278) | 353,380 |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | |
| Proceeds from issuance of common stock | 1,092,161 | 3,625,250 |
| Payment of stock offering costs | (85,788) | (110,996) |
| Payment of preferred stock dividends | (86,389) | -- |
| Payment of debt issuance costs | -- | (20,183) |
| Payment of notes payable | -- | (137,857) |
| Payments under capital leases | (8,682) | (7,366) |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 911,302 | 3,348,848 |
| Net (decrease) increase in cash | (3,028,632) | 2,465,461 |

Edgar Filing: NEOPROBE CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|---------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Cash, beginning of period | 5,639,842 | 3,565,837 |
| Cash, end of period | \$ 2,611,210 | \$ 6,031,298 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

7

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
(unaudited)

1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

a. **Basis of Presentation:** The information presented as of September 30, 2010 and for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2010 and September 30, 2009 is unaudited, but includes all adjustments (which consist only of normal recurring adjustments) that the management of Neoprobe Corporation (Neoprobe, the Company, or we) believes to be necessary for the fair presentation of results for the periods presented. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America have been condensed or omitted pursuant to the rules and regulations of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission. The balances as of September 30, 2010 and the results for the interim periods are not necessarily indicative of results to be expected for the year. The consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with Neoprobe's audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2009, which were included as part of our Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Our consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Neoprobe, our wholly-owned subsidiary, Cardiosonix Ltd. (Cardiosonix), and our 90%-owned subsidiary, Cira Biosciences, Inc. (Cira Bio). All significant inter-company accounts were eliminated in consolidation.

In August 2009, the Company's Board of Directors decided to discontinue the operations of Cardiosonix and to attempt to divest our Cardiosonix subsidiary. This decision was based on the determination that the blood flow measurement device segment was no longer considered a strategic initiative of the Company, due in large part to positive events in our other development initiatives. Our consolidated statements of operations have been reclassified, as required, for all prior periods presented to reflect Cardiosonix as a discontinued operation. Cash flows associated with the operation of Cardiosonix have been combined within operating, investing and financing cash flows, as appropriate, in our consolidated statements of cash flows. See Note 2.

b. **Financial Instruments and Fair Value:** The fair value hierarchy prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value, giving the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurements). The three levels of the fair value hierarchy are described below:

Level 1 – Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets that are accessible at the measurement date for identical, unrestricted assets or liabilities;

Level 2 – Quoted prices in markets that are not active or financial instruments for which all significant inputs are observable, either directly or indirectly; and

Level 3 – Prices or valuations that require inputs that are both significant to the fair value measurement and unobservable.

A financial instrument's level within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of any input that is significant to the fair value measurement. In determining the appropriate levels, we perform a detailed analysis of the assets and liabilities whose fair value is measured on a recurring basis. At each reporting period, all assets and liabilities for which the fair value measurement is based on significant unobservable inputs or instruments which trade infrequently and therefore have little or no price transparency are classified as Level 3. In estimating the fair value of our derivative liabilities, we used the Black-Scholes option pricing model and, where necessary, other macroeconomic, industry and Company-specific conditions. See Note 3.

The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of each class of financial instruments:

- (1) Cash, accounts receivable, accounts payable, and accrued liabilities: The carrying amounts approximate fair value because of the short maturity of these instruments.
 - (2) Note payable to Bupp Investors: The carrying value of our debt is presented as the face amount of the note less the unamortized discount related to the initial estimated fair value of the warrants to purchase common stock issued in connection with the note. At December 31, 2009, the note payable to the Bupp Investors had an estimated fair value of \$3.9 million, based on the closing market price of our common stock. During June 2010, the Bupp Investors exchanged their note for preferred stock, resulting in extinguishment of the debt. See Note 10.
 - (3) Notes payable to investor: The carrying value of our debt is presented as the face amount of the notes. At December 31, 2009, the notes payable to investors had an estimated fair value of \$31.0 million, based on the closing market price of our common stock. During June 2010, the investor exchanged their notes for preferred stock, resulting in extinguishment of the debt. See Note 10.
 - (4) Derivative liabilities: Derivative liabilities are recorded at fair value. Fair value of warrant liabilities is determined based on a Black-Scholes option pricing model calculation. Fair value of put option liabilities is determined based on a probability-weighted Black-Scholes option pricing model calculation. Unrealized gains and losses on the derivatives are classified in other expenses as a change in derivative liabilities in the statements of operations. During June 2010, certain investors exchanged their notes for preferred stock, resulting in extinguishment of our remaining put option liabilities. See Note 10.
- c. Recent Accounting Developments: In January 2010, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2010-6, Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements. ASU 2010-6 amends FASB ASC Topic 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures. ASU 2010-6 requires new disclosures as follows: (1) Transfers in and out of Levels 1 and 2 and (2) Activity in Level 3 fair value measurements. An entity should disclose separately the amounts of significant transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements and describe the reasons for the transfers. In the reconciliation of fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3), an entity should present separately information about purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements (that is, on a gross basis rather than as one net number). ASU 2010-6 also clarifies existing disclosures as follows: (1) Level of disaggregation and (2) Disclosures about inputs and valuation techniques. An entity should provide fair value measurement disclosures for each class of assets and liabilities. A class is often a subset of assets or liabilities within a line item in the statement of financial position. An entity needs to use judgment in determining the appropriate classes of assets and liabilities. An entity should provide disclosures about the valuation techniques and inputs used to measure fair value for both recurring and nonrecurring fair value measurements. Those disclosures are required for fair value measurements that fall in either Level 2 or Level 3. ASU 2010-6 is effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009, except for the separate disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements in the roll forward of activity in Level 3 fair value measurements. Those disclosures are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010, and for interim periods within those fiscal years. We adopted the initial provisions of ASU 2010-6 beginning January 1, 2010. As the new provisions of ASU 2010-6 provide only disclosure requirements, the adoption of this standard did not impact our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows, but did result in increased disclosures.

2. Discontinued Operations

In August 2009, the Company's Board of Directors decided to discontinue the operations of Cardiosonix and to attempt to sell our Cardiosonix subsidiary. This decision was based on the determination that the blood flow measurement device segment was no longer considered a strategic initiative of the Company, due in large part to positive events in our other device product and drug development initiatives. We are in the process of identifying potential buyers, but our efforts thus far have not resulted in any definitive offers.

As a result of our decision to hold Cardiosonix for sale, we reclassified certain assets and liabilities as assets and liabilities associated with discontinued operations and reduced them to their estimated fair value at that time. The following assets and liabilities have been segregated and included in assets associated with discontinued operations or liabilities associated with discontinued operations, as appropriate, in the consolidated balance sheets:

| | September 30, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Accounts receivable, net | \$ 958 | \$ 15,349 |
| Inventory | 671 | 12,126 |
| Current assets associated with discontinued operations | \$ 1,629 | \$ 27,475 |
| Accounts payable | \$ 800 | \$ 5,400 |
| Accrued expenses | 8,450 | 13,343 |
| Current liabilities associated with discontinued operations | \$ 9,250 | \$ 18,743 |

We recorded an impairment loss of \$1.7 million related to the assets of Cardiosonix during the third quarter of 2009 and have reclassified all related revenues and expenses to discontinued operations for all periods presented. Until a sale is completed, we expect to continue to generate minimal revenues and incur minimal expenses related to our blood flow measurement device business. The following amounts have been segregated from continuing operations and included in discontinued operations in the consolidated statements of operations:

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|------------------------------------|--------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Net sales | \$ 5,312 | \$ 9,345 | \$ 41,547 | \$ 81,904 |
| Cost of goods sold | 3,180 | 2,432 | 14,796 | 36,156 |
| Gross profit | 2,132 | 6,913 | 26,751 | 45,748 |
| Operating expenses: | | | | |
| Research and development | 42,101 | 2,642 | 52,909 | 23,128 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 7,067 | 56,659 | 33,589 | 185,506 |
| Total operating expenses | 49,168 | 59,301 | 86,498 | 208,634 |
| Other income (expense) | (36) | 85 | 85 | (10) |
| Loss from discontinued operations | \$ (47,072) | \$ (52,303) | \$ (59,662) | \$ (162,896) |

3. Fair Value Hierarchy

The following tables set forth, by level, financial liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis:

Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis as of September 30, 2010

| Description | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Liabilities (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | Balance as of September 30, 2010 |
|--|--|---|--|--|
| Liabilities: | | | | |
| Derivative liabilities related to warrants | \$ -- | \$ 1,377,406 | \$ -- | \$ 1,377,406 |

Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis as of December 31, 2009

| Description | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Liabilities (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | Balance as of December 31, 2009 |
|---|--|---|--|---------------------------------------|
| Liabilities: | | | | |
| Derivative liabilities related to warrants | \$ -- | \$ 985,664 | \$ -- | \$ 985,664 |
| Derivative liabilities related to put options | -- | -- | 966,000 | 966,000 |
| Total derivative liabilities | \$ -- | \$ 985,664 | \$ 966,000 | \$ 1,951,664 |

There was no Level 3 liability activity during the three-month period ended September 30, 2010. The following table sets forth a summary of changes in the fair value of our Level 3 liabilities for the three-month period ended September 30, 2009:

Three Months Ended September 30, 2009

| Description | Balance at June 30, 2009 | Unrealized Losses | Purchases, Issuances and Settlements | Transfers In and/or (Out) | Balance at September 30, 2009 |
|--|--------------------------------|----------------------|---|---------------------------------|--|
| Liabilities: | | | | | |
| Derivative liabilities related to conversion and put options | \$ 11,289,422 | \$ 2,465,225 | \$ -- | \$ (12,788,647) | \$ 966,000 |

Edgar Filing: NEOPROBE CORP - Form 10-Q

The following tables set forth a summary of changes in the fair value of our Level 3 liabilities for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2009:

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010

| Description | Balance at December 31, 2009 | Unrealized Losses | Purchases, Issuances and Settlements | Transfers In and/or (Out) | Balance at September 30, 2010 |
|------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|--|---------------------------------|--|
| Liabilities: | | | | | |
| Derivative liabilities | | | | | |
| related to put options | \$ 966,000 | \$ -- | \$ (966,000) | \$ -- | \$ -- |

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009

| Description | Balance at December 31, 2008 | Unrealized Losses | Adoption of New Accounting Standard (Note 10) | Transfers In and/or (Out) | Balance at September 30, 2009 |
|---|---------------------------------------|----------------------|---|---------------------------------|--|
| Liabilities: | | | | | |
| Derivative | | | | | |
| liabilities related to conversion and put options | \$ 853,831 | \$ 7,596,329 | \$ 5,304,487 | \$ (12,788,647) | \$ 966,000 |

There were no transfers in or out of our Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements during the nine-month period ended September 30, 2010. During the nine-month period ended September 30, 2009, we transferred \$7.7 million into our Level 2 liabilities. The transfer was a result of the required January 1, 2009 adoption of a new accounting standard which clarified the determination of whether equity-linked instruments, such as warrants to purchase our common stock, are considered indexed to our own stock. As a result of adopting the new standard, certain warrants to purchase our common stock that were previously treated as equity were reclassified as derivative liabilities.

4. Stock-Based Compensation

At September 30, 2010, we have instruments outstanding under three stock-based compensation plans; the Amended and Restated Stock Option and Restricted Stock Purchase Plan (the Amended Plan), the 1996 Stock Incentive Plan (the 1996 Plan), and the Second Amended and Restated 2002 Stock Incentive Plan (the 2002 Plan). Currently, under the 2002 Plan, we may grant incentive stock options, nonqualified stock options, and restricted stock awards to full-time employees and directors, and nonqualified stock options and restricted stock awards may be granted to our consultants and agents. Total shares authorized under each plan are 2 million shares, 1.5 million shares and 7 million shares, respectively. Although instruments are still outstanding under the Amended Plan and the 1996 Plan, these plans are considered expired and no new grants may be made from them. Under all three plans, the exercise price of each stock option is greater than or equal to the closing market price of our common stock on the day prior to the date of the grant.

Stock options granted under the Amended Plan, the 1996 Plan and the 2002 Plan generally vest on an annual basis over one to three years. Outstanding stock options under the plans, if not exercised, generally expire ten years from their date of grant or 90 days from the date of an optionee's separation from employment with the Company. We issue new shares of our common stock upon exercise of stock options.

Stock-based payments to employees and directors, including grants of stock options, are recognized in the statement of operations based on their estimated fair values. The fair value of each stock option award is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model to value share-based payments. Expected volatilities are based on the Company's historical volatility, which management believes represents the most accurate basis for estimating expected volatility under the current circumstances. Neoprobe uses historical data to estimate forfeiture rates. The expected term of stock options granted is based on the vesting period and the contractual life of the options. The risk-free rate is based on the U.S. Treasury yield in effect at the time of the grant.

Compensation cost arising from stock-based awards is recognized as expense using the straight-line method over the vesting period. For the three-month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, our total stock-based compensation expense was approximately \$249,000 and \$126,000, respectively. For the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, our total stock-based compensation expense was approximately \$552,000 and \$272,000, respectively. We have not recorded any income tax benefit related to stock-based compensation in either of the three-month or nine-month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2009.

A summary of the status of our stock options as of September 30, 2010, and changes during the nine-month period then ended, is presented below:

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 | | | |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|---------------------------|
| | Number of Options | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life | Aggregate Intrinsic Value |
| Outstanding at beginning of period | 5,689,500 | \$ 0.44 | | |
| Granted | 20,000 | 1.72 | | |
| Exercised | (301,667) | 0.44 | | |
| Forfeited | (18,333) | 0.74 | | |
| Expired | (60,000) | 0.75 | | |
| Outstanding at end of period | 5,329,500 | \$ 0.44 | 4.8 years | \$ 7,695,000 |
| Exercisable at end of period | 4,649,167 | \$ 0.37 | 4.2 years | \$ 6,998,210 |

A summary of the status of our unvested restricted stock as of September 30, 2010, and changes during the nine-month period then ended, is presented below:

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| | Number of Shares | Weighted Average Grant-Date Fair Value |
| Unvested at beginning of period | 1,719,000 | \$ 0.76 |
| Granted | 60,000 | 1.92 |
| Vested | -- | -- |
| Forfeited | -- | -- |
| Unvested at end of period | 1,779,000 | \$ 0.80 |

Restricted shares vest upon occurrence of a specific event or achievement of goals as defined in the grant agreements. As a result, we have recorded compensation expense related to grants of restricted stock based on management's estimates of the probable dates of the vesting events.

As of September 30, 2010, there was approximately \$720,000 of total unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested stock-based awards, which we expect to recognize over the estimated remaining weighted average vesting terms of 0.8 years.

5. Comprehensive Income (Loss)

We had no accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) activity during the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2010, or for the three-month period ended September 30, 2009; therefore, our total comprehensive loss was equal to our net loss for those periods. Due to our net operating loss carryforwards, there are no income tax effects on comprehensive income (loss) components for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2009.

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009 |
|--|---|
| Net loss | \$ (39,312,082) |
| Unrealized losses on available-for-sale securities | (1,383) |
| Other comprehensive income | \$ (39,313,465) |

6. Earnings (Loss) Per Share

Basic earnings (loss) per share is calculated by dividing net income (loss) by the weighted-average number of common shares and, except for periods with a loss from operations, participating securities outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings (loss) per share reflects additional common shares that would have been outstanding if dilutive potential common shares had been issued. Potential common shares that may be issued by the Company include convertible securities, options and warrants.

The following table sets forth the reconciliation of the weighted average number of common shares outstanding to those used to compute basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2009:

| | Three Months Ended September 30, 2010 | | Three Months Ended September 30, 2009 | |
|--|--|----------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|
| | Basic Earnings Per Share | Diluted Earnings Per Share | Basic Earnings Per Share | Diluted Earnings Per Share |
| Outstanding shares | 82,446,872 | 82,446,872 | 79,363,787 | 79,363,787 |
| Effect of weighting changes in outstanding shares | (62,800) | (62,800) | (4,019,073) | (4,019,073) |
| Unvested restricted stock | (1,779,000) | (1,779,000) | (964,000) | (964,000) |
| Adjusted shares | 80,605,072 | 80,605,072 | 74,380,714 | 74,380,714 |
| | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 | | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009 | |
| | Basic Earnings Per Share | Diluted Earnings Per Share | Basic Earnings Per Share | Diluted Earnings Per Share |
| Outstanding shares | 82,446,872 | 82,446,872 | 79,363,787 | 79,363,787 |
| Effect of weighting changes in outstanding shares | (518,570) | (518,570) | (7,484,583) | (7,484,583) |
| Unvested restricted stock | (1,779,000) | (1,779,000) | (964,000) | (964,000) |
| Adjusted shares | 80,149,302 | 80,149,302 | 70,915,204 | 70,915,204 |

Earnings (loss) per common share for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 excludes the effects of 60,277,500 and 58,660,844 common share equivalents, respectively, since such inclusion would be anti-dilutive. The excluded shares consist of common shares issuable upon exercise of outstanding stock options and warrants, or upon the conversion of convertible debt and convertible preferred stock.

The Company's unvested stock awards contain nonforfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents, whether paid or unpaid (referred to as "participating securities"). Therefore, the unvested stock awards are included in the number of shares outstanding for both basic and diluted earnings per share calculations, except in the event of a net loss from operations. Due to our net loss, 1,779,000 and 964,000 shares of unvested restricted stock were excluded in determining basic and diluted loss per share for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

7. Inventory

From time to time, we capitalize certain inventory costs associated with our Lymphoseek® product prior to regulatory approval and product launch based on management's judgment of probable future commercial use and net realizable value of the inventory. We could be required to permanently write down previously capitalized costs related to pre-approval or pre-launch inventory upon a change in such judgment, due to a denial or delay of approval by regulatory bodies, a delay in commercialization, or other potential factors. Conversely, our gross margins may be favorably impacted if some or all of the inventory previously written down becomes available and is used for commercial sale. During the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, we capitalized \$741,000 and \$525,000, respectively, of inventory costs associated with our Lymphoseek drug product. During the nine-month period ended September 30, 2010, we expensed \$351,000 of previously capitalized pharmaceutical materials to research and development as they were no longer considered to be usable in the production of future saleable final drug product inventory.

The components of net inventory are as follows:

| | September 30, 2010 (unaudited) | December 31, 2009 |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Pharmaceutical materials | \$ 777,000 | \$ 525,000 |
| Gamma detection device materials | 221,926 | 137,695 |
| Pharmaceutical work-in-process | 138,000 | -- |
| Gamma detection device finished goods | 837,836 | 481,002 |
| Total | \$ 1,974,762 | \$ 1,143,697 |

8. Intangible Assets

The major classes of intangible assets are as follows:

| | Weighted Average Remaining Life ¹ | September 30, 2010 | | December 31, 2009 | |
|---------------------------|---|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization |
| Patents and trademarks | 3.2 yrs | \$ 532,561 | \$ 447,397 | \$ 524,224 | \$ 445,650 |

¹ The weighted average remaining life is calculated for issued patents and does not include pending patent applications or trademarks which are not currently being amortized.

The estimated amortization expenses, related to those patents and trademarks currently being amortized, for the next five fiscal years are as follows:

| | Estimated Amortization Expense |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| For the year ended 12/31/2010 | \$ 2,755 |
| For the year ended 12/31/2011 | 1,256 |
| For the year ended 12/31/2012 | 980 |
| For the year ended 12/31/2013 | 263 |
| For the year ended 12/31/2014 | 244 |

9. Product Warranty

We warrant our products against defects in design, materials, and workmanship generally for a period of one year from the date of sale to the end customer, except in cases where the product has a limited use as designed. Our accrual for warranty expenses is adjusted periodically to reflect actual experience and is included in accrued liabilities and other on the consolidated balance sheets. Our primary marketing partner, Devicor Medical Products, Inc. (Devicor), reimburses us for a portion of warranty expense incurred based on end customer sales they make during a given fiscal year. Payments charged against the reserve are disclosed net of Devicor's estimated reimbursement.

The activity in the warranty reserve account for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 is as follows:

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|-------------------------------------|-----------|------------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Warranty reserve at beginning of period | \$ 73,817 | \$ 63,441 | \$ 61,400 | \$ 62,261 |
| Provision for warranty claims and changes in reserve for warranties | 781 | 12,250 | 51,352 | 69,606 |
| Payments charged against the reserve | (16,578) | (21,254) | (54,732) | (77,430) |
| Warranty reserve at end of period | \$ 58,020 | \$ 54,437 | \$ 58,020 | \$ 54,437 |

10. Convertible Securities

In July 2007, David C. Bupp, our President and CEO, and certain members of his family (the Bupp Investors) purchased a \$1.0 million convertible note (the Bupp Note) and warrants. The Bupp Note bore interest at 10% per annum, had an original term of one year and was repayable in whole or in part with no penalty. The note was convertible, at the option of the Bupp Investors, into shares of our common stock at a price of \$0.31 per share. As part of this transaction, we issued the Bupp Investors Series V Warrants to purchase 500,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$0.31 per share, expiring in July 2012. See Note 12.

In December 2007, we entered into a Securities Purchase Agreement (SPA) with Platinum Montaur Life Sciences, LLC (Montaur), pursuant to which we issued Montaur a 10% Series A Convertible Senior Secured Promissory Note in the principal amount of \$7,000,000, \$3.5 million of which was convertible into shares of our common stock at the conversion price of \$0.26 per share, due December 26, 2011 (the Series A Note); and a five-year Series W Warrant to purchase 6,000,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$0.32 per share. The SPA also provided for two further tranches of financing, a second tranche of \$3 million in exchange for a 10% Series B Convertible Senior Secured Promissory Note along with a five-year Series X Warrant to purchase shares of our common stock, and a third tranche of \$3 million in exchange for 3,000 shares of our 8% Series A Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock

and a five-year Series Y Warrant to purchase shares of our common stock. Closings of the second and third tranches were subject to the satisfaction by the Company of certain milestones related to the progress of the Phase 3 clinical trials of our Lymphoseek radiopharmaceutical product.

In connection with the SPA, Montaur requested that the term of the \$1.0 million Bupp Note be extended approximately 42 months or until at least one day following the maturity date of the Series A Note. In consideration for the Bupp Investors' agreement to extend the term of the Bupp Note pursuant to an Amendment to the Bupp Purchase Agreement, dated December 26, 2007, we agreed to provide security for the obligations evidenced by the Amended 10% Convertible Note in the principal amount of \$1,000,000, due December 31, 2011, executed by Neoprobe in favor of the Bupp Investors (the Amended Bupp Note), under the terms of a Security Agreement, dated December 26, 2007, by and between Neoprobe and the Bupp Investors (the Bupp Security Agreement). As further consideration for extending the term of the Bupp Note, we issued the Bupp Investors additional Series V Warrants to purchase 500,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$0.32 per share, expiring in December 2012.

In April 2008, following receipt by the Company of clearance from the United States Food and Drug Administration to commence a Phase 3 clinical trial for Lymphoseek in patients with breast cancer or melanoma, we amended the SPA related to the second tranche and issued Montaur a 10% Series B Convertible Senior Secured Promissory Note in the principal amount of \$3,000,000, which was convertible into shares of our common stock at the conversion price of \$0.36 per share, also due December 26, 2011 (the Series B Note, and hereinafter referred to collectively with the Series A Note as the Montaur Notes); and a five-year Series X Warrant to purchase 8,333,333 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$0.46 per share.

In December 2008, after we obtained 135 vital blue dye lymph nodes from patients who had completed the injection of the drug and surgery in a Phase 3 clinical trial of Lymphoseek in patients with breast cancer or melanoma, we issued Montaur 3,000 shares of our 8% Series A Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock (the Series A Preferred Stock) and a five-year Series Y Warrant to purchase 6,000,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$0.575 per share (hereinafter referred to collectively with the Series W Warrant and Series X Warrant as the Montaur Warrants), for an aggregate purchase price of \$3,000,000. The "Liquidation Preference Amount" for the Series A Preferred Stock was \$1,000 and the "Conversion Price" of the Series A Preferred Stock was set at \$0.50 on the date of issuance, thereby making the shares of Series A Preferred Stock convertible into an aggregate 6,000,000 shares of our common stock, subject to adjustment as described in the Certificate of Designations.

In July 2009, we entered into a Securities Amendment and Exchange Agreement with Montaur, pursuant to which Montaur agreed to the amendment and restatement of the terms of the Montaur Notes, the Series A Preferred Stock, and the Montaur Warrants. The Series A Note was amended to grant Montaur conversion rights with respect to the \$3.5 million portion of the Series A Note that was previously not convertible. The newly convertible portion of the Series A Note was convertible into 3,600,000 shares of our common stock at \$0.9722 per share. The amendments also eliminated certain price reset features of the Montaur Notes, the Series A Preferred Stock and the Montaur Warrants that had created significant non-cash derivative liabilities on the Company's balance sheet. See Note 11. In conjunction with this transaction, we issued Montaur a Series AA Warrant to purchase 2.4 million shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$0.97 per share, expiring in July 2014. The change in terms of the Montaur Notes, the Series A Preferred Stock and the Montaur Warrants were treated as an extinguishment of debt for accounting purposes. Following the extinguishment, the Company's balance sheet reflected the face value of the \$10 million due to Montaur pursuant to the Montaur Notes, which approximated fair value at the date of the extinguishment.

On June 25, 2010, we entered into a Securities Exchange Agreement with Montaur, pursuant to which Montaur exchanged the Montaur Notes and the Series A Preferred Stock for 10,000 shares of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock (the Series B Preferred Stock), convertible into 32,700,000 shares of common stock. The Series B Preferred Stock is convertible at the option of Montaur, carries no dividend requirements and participates equally with our common stock in liquidation proceeds based upon the number of common shares into which the Series B Preferred Stock is then convertible. As consideration for the exchange, Neoprobe issued additional Series B Preferred Stock which is convertible into 1.3 million shares of common stock. Also on June 25, 2010, we entered into a Securities Exchange Agreement with the Bupp Investors, pursuant to which the Bupp Investors exchanged the Amended Bupp

Note for 1,000 shares of Series C Convertible Preferred Stock (the Series C Preferred Stock), convertible into 3,226,000 shares of common stock. The Series C Preferred Stock has a 10% dividend rate, payable quarterly until December 31, 2011, and participates equally with our common stock in liquidation proceeds based upon the number of common shares into which the Series C Preferred Stock is then convertible. The exchange of the Montaur Notes, the Series A Preferred Stock and the Amended Bupp Note were treated as extinguishments for accounting purposes. As a result, the Company recognized a loss on extinguishment of debt of \$47.1 million, recorded a deemed dividend of \$8.0 million, and wrote off \$966,000 in put option derivative liabilities during the second quarter of 2010. As a result of these exchange transactions, all security interests in the Company's assets held by Montaur and the Bupp Investors were extinguished.

During the three-month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, we recorded interest expense of \$0 and \$47,000, respectively, related to amortization of the debt discount related to our convertible notes. During the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, we recorded interest expense of \$12,000 and \$353,000, respectively, related to amortization of the debt discount related to our convertible notes. During the three-month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, we recorded interest expense of \$0 and \$9,000, respectively, related to amortization of the deferred financing costs related to our convertible notes. During the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, we recorded interest expense of \$4,000 and \$67,000, respectively, related to amortization of the deferred financing costs related to our convertible notes.

11. Derivative Instruments

Effective January 1, 2009, we adopted a new accounting standard which clarified the determination of whether equity-linked instruments (or embedded features), such as our convertible securities and warrants to purchase our common stock, are considered indexed to our own stock. As a result of adopting the new standard, certain embedded features of our convertible securities which were extinguished in the second quarter of 2010, as well as warrants to purchase our common stock, that were previously treated as equity are now considered derivative liabilities. We do not use derivative instruments for hedging of market risks or for trading or speculative purposes.

The estimated fair values of the derivative liabilities are recorded as non-current liabilities on the consolidated balance sheet. Changes in the estimated fair values of the derivative liabilities are recorded in the consolidated statement of operations. On July 24, 2009, we entered into a Securities Amendment and Exchange Agreement with Montaur, pursuant to which Montaur agreed to the amendment and restatement of the terms of the Montaur Notes, the Series A Preferred Stock, and the Montaur Warrants. As a result, the Company reclassified \$27.0 million in derivative liabilities related to the Montaur Notes, the Series A Preferred Stock, and the Montaur Warrants to additional paid-in capital. Also on July 24, 2009, Montaur exercised 2,844,319 of their Series Y Warrants, which resulted in a decrease in the related derivative liability of \$2.2 million. The net effect of marking the Company's derivative liabilities to market during the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2009 resulted in net increases in the estimated fair values of the derivative liabilities of \$6.3 million and \$18.5 million, respectively which were recorded as non-cash expense.

On June 25, 2010, we entered into a Securities Exchange Agreement with Montaur, pursuant to which Montaur exchanged the Montaur Notes and the Series A Preferred Stock for 10,000 shares of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock. As a result of this exchange transaction, the Company wrote off \$966,000 in put option derivative liabilities during the second quarter of 2010. During the third quarter of 2010, 120,000 Series V Warrants and 60,000 Series Z Warrants were exercised. The Company reclassified \$280,000 in derivative liabilities related to these warrants to additional paid-in capital. The net effect of marking the Company's derivative liabilities to market during the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2010 resulted in net increases in the estimated fair values of the derivative liabilities of \$88,000 and \$671,000, respectively, which were recorded as non-cash expense. The total estimated fair value of the remaining derivative liabilities was \$1.4 million as of September 30, 2010. See Note 10.

12. Stock Warrants

During the first nine months of 2009, David C. Bupp, our President and CEO, exercised 50,000 Series Q Warrants in exchange for issuance of 50,000 shares of our common stock, resulting in gross proceeds of \$25,000. The remaining 325,000 Series Q Warrants held by Mr. Bupp expired during the period. During the same period, another Bupp Investor exercised 50,000 Series V Warrants in exchange for issuance of 50,000 shares of our common stock, resulting in gross proceeds of \$16,000. Also during the first nine months of 2009, certain outside investors exercised a total of 1,010,000 Series U Warrants on a cashless basis in exchange for issuance of 541,555 shares of our common stock.

In July 2009, in conjunction with entering into a Securities Amendment and Exchange Agreement, Montaur exercised 2,844,319 Series Y Warrants in exchange for issuance of 2,844,319 shares of our common stock, resulting in gross proceeds of \$1.6 million. In September 2009, Montaur exercised their remaining 3,155,681 Series Y Warrants in exchange for issuance of 3,155,681 shares of our common stock, resulting in additional gross proceeds of \$1.8 million. See Note 10.

During the first nine months of 2010, a Bupp Investor exercised 120,000 Series V Warrants in exchange for issuance of 120,000 shares of our common stock, resulting in gross proceeds of \$37,200. Also during the first nine months of 2010, certain outside investors exercised a total of 60,000 Series Z Warrants on a cashless basis in exchange for issuance of 37,778 shares of our common stock.

In July 2010, we issued five-year Series BB Warrants to purchase 300,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$2.00 per share to an investment advisory firm in connection with a consulting agreement.

At September 30, 2010, there are 17.9 million warrants outstanding to purchase our common stock. The warrants are exercisable at prices ranging from \$0.31 to \$2.00 per share with a weighted average exercise price of \$0.50 per share.

13. Common Stock Purchase Agreement

Under a previously existing agreement, in March 2010, we sold to Fusion Capital Fund II, LLC (Fusion Capital), an Illinois limited liability company, 540,541 shares for proceeds of \$1.0 million under a common stock purchase agreement, as amended. In connection with this sale, we issued 120,000 shares of our common stock to Fusion Capital as an additional commitment fee. Subsequent to this sale, the remaining aggregate amount of our common stock we can sell to Fusion Capital under the amended agreement is \$9.1 million.

14. Income Taxes

We account for income taxes in accordance with current accounting standards, which include guidance on the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in the financial statements. Such standards also prescribe a recognition threshold and measurement model for the financial statement recognition of a tax position taken, or expected to be taken, and provide guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition. As a result, no liability for uncertain tax positions was recorded as of September 30, 2010. Should we need to accrue interest or penalties on uncertain tax positions, we would recognize the interest as interest expense and the penalties as a selling, general and administrative expense.

15. Segment and Subsidiary Information

We report information about our operating segments using the “management approach” in accordance with current accounting standards. This information is based on the way management organizes and reports the segments within the enterprise for making operating decisions and assessing performance. Our reportable segments are identified based on differences in products, services and markets served. There were no inter-segment sales. We own or have rights to intellectual property involving two primary types of medical device products, including oncology instruments currently used primarily in the application of sentinel lymph node biopsy, and blood flow measurement devices. We also own or have rights to intellectual property related to several drug and therapy products.

20

The information in the following table is derived directly from each reportable segment's financial reporting.

| (\$ amounts in thousands) Three Months Ended September 30, 2010 | Oncology Devices | Drug and Therapy Products | Corporate | Total |
|---|---------------------|---------------------------------|-----------|----------|
| Net sales: | | | | |
| United States ¹ | \$ 2,092 | \$ -- | \$ -- | \$ 2,092 |
| International | 37 | -- | -- | 37 |
| License and other revenue | 25 | 150 | -- | 175 |
| Research and development expenses | 113 | 2,457 | -- | 2,570 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses, excluding depreciation and amortization ² | 51 | -- | 1,255 | 1,306 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 26 | 1 | 22 | 49 |
| Income (loss) from operations ³ | 1,337 | (2,308) | (1,277) | (2,248) |
| Other income (expense) ⁴ | -- | -- | (86) | (86) |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | 1,337 | (2,308) | (1,363) | (2,334) |
| Loss from discontinued operations | -- | -- | (47) | (47) |
| Total assets, net of depreciation and amortization: | | | | |
| United States operations | 2,655 | 1,153 | 3,178 | 6,986 |
| Discontinued operations | -- | -- | 2 | 2 |
| Capital expenditures | 1 | -- | 99 | 100 |

| (\$ amounts in thousands) Three Months Ended September 30, 2009 | Oncology Devices | Drug and Therapy Products | Corporate | Total |
|---|---------------------|---------------------------------|-----------|----------|
| Net sales: | | | | |
| United States ¹ | \$ 2,477 | \$ -- | \$ -- | \$ 2,477 |
| International | 85 | -- | -- | 85 |
| License revenue | 25 | -- | -- | 25 |
| Research and development expenses | 220 | 985 | -- | 1,205 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses, excluding depreciation and amortization ² | 26 | -- | 705 | 731 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 32 | 1 | 15 | 48 |
| Income (loss) from operations ³ | 1,382 | (986) | (720) | (324) |
| Other income (expense) ⁴ | -- | -- | (22,904) | (22,904) |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | 1,382 | (986) | (23,624) | (23,228) |
| Loss from discontinued operations | -- | -- | (1,781) | (1,781) |
| Total assets, net of depreciation and amortization: | | | | |
| United States operations | 2,148 | 555 | 6,481 | 9,184 |
| Discontinued operations | -- | -- | 31 | 31 |
| Capital expenditures | 12 | -- | 4 | 16 |

Edgar Filing: NEOPROBE CORP - Form 10-Q

| (\$ amounts in thousands) Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 | Oncology Devices | Drug and Therapy Products | Corporate | Total |
|---|---------------------|---------------------------------|-----------|----------|
| Net sales: | | | | |
| United States ¹ | \$ 7,208 | \$ -- | \$ -- | \$ 7,208 |
| International | 93 | -- | -- | 93 |
| License and other revenue | 75 | 150 | -- | 225 |
| Research and development expenses | 368 | 6,341 | -- | 6,709 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses, excluding depreciation and amortization ² | 165 | -- | 3,075 | 3,240 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 91 | 16 | 55 | 162 |
| Income (loss) from operations ³ | 4,425 | (6,208) | (3,130) | (4,913) |
| Other income (expense) ⁴ | -- | -- | (42,939) | (42,939) |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | 4,425 | (6,208) | (46,069) | (47,852) |
| Loss from discontinued operations | -- | -- | (60) | (60) |
| Total assets, net of depreciation and amortization: | | | | |
| United States operations | 2,655 | 1,153 | 3,178 | 6,986 |
| Discontinued operations | -- | -- | 2 | 2 |
| Capital expenditures | 1 | 220 | 133 | 354 |

| (\$ amounts in thousands) Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009 | Oncology Devices | Drug and Therapy Products | Corporate | Total |
|---|---------------------|---------------------------------|-----------|----------|
| Net sales: | | | | |
| United States ¹ | \$ 6,745 | \$ -- | \$ -- | \$ 6,745 |
| International | 253 | -- | -- | 253 |
| License revenue | 75 | -- | -- | 75 |
| Research and development expenses | 857 | 2,873 | -- | 3,730 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses, excluding depreciation and amortization ² | 95 | -- | 2,167 | 2,262 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 108 | 3 | 45 | 156 |
| Income (loss) from operations ³ | 3,683 | (2,876) | (2,212) | (1,405) |
| Other income (expense) ⁴ | -- | -- | (36,016) | (36,016) |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | 3,683 | (2,876) | (38,228) | (37,420) |
| Loss from discontinued operations | -- | -- | (1,892) | (1,892) |
| Total assets, net of depreciation and amortization: | | | | |
| United States operations | 2,148 | 555 | 6,481 | 9,184 |
| Discontinued operations | -- | -- | 31 | 31 |
| Capital expenditures | 13 | -- | 62 | 75 |

1 All sales to Devicor are made in the United States. Devicor distributes the product globally through its international affiliates.

2 General and administrative expenses, excluding depreciation and amortization, represent costs that relate to the general administration of the Company and as such are not currently allocated to our individual reportable segments. Marketing and selling expenses are allocated to our individual reportable segments.

3 Income (loss) from operations does not reflect the allocation of selling, general and administrative expenses, excluding depreciation and amortization, to our individual reportable segments.

4 Amounts consist primarily of interest income, interest expense and changes in derivative liabilities which are not currently allocated to our individual reportable segments.

16. Supplemental Disclosure for Statements of Cash Flows

During the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, we paid interest aggregating \$135,000 and \$163,000, respectively. During the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, we transferred \$58,000 and \$33,000, respectively, of inventory to fixed assets related to the creation and maintenance of a pool of service loaner equipment. During the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, we issued 347,832 and 957,708, respectively, shares of our common stock as payment of interest on our convertible debt and dividends on our convertible preferred stock. During the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2010, we issued 53,499 and 80,883 shares of our common stock, respectively, as a matching contribution to our 401(k) plan. During the nine-month period ended September 30, 2010, we reclassified \$223,000 of deferred stock offering costs to additional paid-in capital related to the issuance of our common stock to Fusion Capital. See Note 13. Also during the nine-month period ended September 30, 2010, we recorded a deemed dividend of \$8.0 million related to the exchange of the Series A Preferred Stock for Series B Preferred Stock. See Note 10.

17. Subsequent Event

On November 10, 2010, Neoprobe completed a direct placement with institutional investors of 3,157,896 shares of our common stock at \$1.90 per share for gross proceeds of \$6.0 million. In addition to the common stock, Neoprobe issued one-year Series CC Warrants to purchase 1,578,948 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$2.11 per share, and two-year Series DD Warrants to purchase 1,578,948 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$2.11 per share. The common stock, warrants, and shares of common stock underlying the warrants were issued pursuant to a shelf registration statement on Form S-3 that was declared effective by the Securities and Exchange Commission in August 2010.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Forward-Looking Statements

From time to time, our representatives and we may make written or verbal forward-looking statements, including statements contained in this report and other Company filings with the SEC and in our reports to stockholders. Statements that relate to other than strictly historical facts, such as statements about our plans and strategies, expectations for future financial performance, new and existing products and technologies, anticipated clinical and regulatory pathways, and markets for our products are forward-looking statements. Generally, the words "believe," "expect," "intend," "estimate," "anticipate," "will" and other similar expressions identify forward-looking statements. The forward-looking statements are and will be based on our then-current views and assumptions regarding future events and operating performance, and speak only as of their dates. Investors are cautioned that such statements involve risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from historical or anticipated results due to many factors including, but not limited to, our continuing operating losses, uncertainty of market acceptance of our products, reliance on third party manufacturers, accumulated deficit, future capital needs, uncertainty of capital funding, dependence on limited product line and distribution channels, competition, limited marketing and manufacturing experience, risks of development of new products, regulatory risks, and other risks detailed in our most recent Annual Report on Form 10-K and other SEC filings. We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements.

The Company

Neoprobe Corporation is a biomedical technology company that provides innovative surgical and diagnostic oncology products that enhance patient care and improve patient outcome. We currently market a line of medical devices, our neoprobe® GDS gamma detection systems that are used in a cancer staging procedure called intraoperative lymphatic mapping. In addition to our medical device products, we have two radiopharmaceutical products, Lymphoseek® and RIGScan™ CR, in advanced phases of clinical development. We are also exploring the development of our activated cellular therapy (ACT) technology for patient-specific disease treatment through our majority-owned subsidiary, Cira Biosciences, Inc. (Cira Bio).

Product Line Overview

We believe Neoprobe's prospects continue to be bright as we accomplish developmental milestones in our key growth areas, especially related to our Lymphoseek initiative. Our gamma detection device line continues to provide a strong revenue base. Revenue from our gamma detection device product line for the first nine months of 2010 exceeded our original expectations, and while we expect overall revenue from our gamma detection device products to continue to be strong for 2010 as a whole, we expect revenue from this line during the fourth quarter of 2010 to be relatively consistent with the fourth quarter of 2009. We expect to continue to incur modest development expenses to support our gamma detection device product line as well as we work with our marketing partners to expand our product offerings in the gamma detection device arena. Our primary development efforts over the last few years have been focused on our oncology drug development initiatives, Lymphoseek and RIGScan CR. We continue to make progress with both initiatives; however, neither Lymphoseek nor RIGScan CR is anticipated to generate any significant revenue for us during 2010.

In August 2009, our Board of Directors decided to discontinue operations of Cardiosonix and to attempt to divest our Cardiosonix subsidiary. This decision was based on the determination that the blood flow measurement device segment was no longer considered a strategic initiative of the Company, due in large part to positive events in our other development initiatives. Until a sale is completed, we expect to continue to generate modest revenues and incur minimal expenses related to our blood flow measurement device business.

Our efforts thus far in 2010 have resulted in the following milestone achievements:

- Completed a successful meeting with the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) to review the Phase 3 (NEO3-05) clinical study results and development plan discussion to support a New Drug Application (NDA) submission for Lymphoseek as a lymphatic tissue tracing agent;
 - Completed successful pre-NDA dialogue with FDA on Lymphoseek pre-clinical data;
- Completed successful pre-NDA dialogue with FDA on Lymphoseek chemistry, manufacturing and control data;
- Initiated a third Lymphoseek Phase 3 clinical study in subjects with breast cancer or melanoma (NEO3-09) to support the NDA filing with the potential to expand Lymphoseek's product labeling;
 - Completed a pre-NDA meeting for Lymphoseek clarifying the regulatory pathway for Lymphoseek approval;
- Election of two new directors to Neoprobe's Board, bringing significant drug industry and corporate development expertise to the Company's leadership;
 - Completed exchange transactions that converted all of the Company's outstanding debt to equity;
- Received notice of grant awards of over \$1.2 million to support future Lymphoseek development through non-dilutive funding;
- Filed a shelf registration on Form S-3 to allow the Company to raise capital as necessary through the sale of up to \$20 million in a primary offering of securities to provide us with additional financial planning flexibility and to support the diversification of our share ownership to new institutions;
- Completed an offering and sale of common stock and warrants under the shelf registration statement resulting in approximately \$5.5 million in net proceeds to the Company;
- Completed preliminary RIGS® development activities including transfer of the biologic license application (BLA) from the Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER) to the Division of Medical Imaging Products in the Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER) at FDA and preparation of an investigational new drug (IND) request for the biologic product; and
 - Filed a complete response to the open BLA for RIGScan CR.

Our operating expenses during the first nine months of 2010 were focused primarily on support of Lymphoseek product development and on efforts to re-qualify the manufacturing process for our RIGScan CR product initiative. Our drug-related development expenses for the first nine months of 2010 have been considerably higher than 2009 as we prepared for the planned filing of a NDA for Lymphoseek and as we continue the other clinical evaluations of Lymphoseek to support post-marketing amendments to the NDA.

Lymphoseek

During 2008, we initiated patient enrollment in a Phase 3 clinical study in subjects with either breast cancer or melanoma (NEO3-05). In March 2009, we announced that this study had reached the accrual of 203 lymph nodes, the study's primary accrual objective. The NEO3-05 Phase 3 clinical study was an open label trial of node-negative subjects with either breast cancer or melanoma. It was designed to evaluate the safety and the accuracy of Lymphoseek while identifying the lymph nodes draining from the subject's tumor site. To demonstrate the accuracy of Lymphoseek, each subject consenting to participate in the study was injected in proximity to the tumor with Lymphoseek and one of the vital blue dyes that are commonly used in lymphatic mapping procedures. The primary efficacy objective of the study was to identify lymph nodes that contained the vital blue dye and to demonstrate a statistically acceptable concordance rate between the identification of lymph nodes with the vital blue dye and Lymphoseek. To be successful, the study needed to achieve a statistical p-value of at least 0.05. In addition, the secondary endpoint of the study was to pathologically examine lymph nodes identified by either the vital blue dyes or Lymphoseek to determine if cancer was present in the lymph nodes.

In June 2009, we initiated a Phase 3 clinical trial to be conducted in subjects with head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (NEO3-06). The NEO3-06 clinical study was designed to expand the potential labeling for Lymphoseek as a sentinel lymph node targeting agent after the initial marketing clearance for the product. Our discussions with FDA

and the European Medicinal Evaluation Agency (EMA) have also suggested that the NEO3-06 clinical trial will further support the use of Lymphoseek in sentinel lymph node biopsy procedures. We believe the outcome of the trial will be beneficial to the marketing and commercial adoption of Lymphoseek in the U.S. and European Union (EU). Based on the discussion with FDA regarding NEO3-05 in March 2010, we expanded the scope of NEO3-06 and we now plan to have approximately 20 participating institutions in the NEO3-06 clinical trial. Subject recruitment and enrollment is actively underway at a number of institutions and the trial protocol is currently under review at several other institutions. The accrual rate for trials of this nature is highly dependent on the timing of institutional review board approvals of the NEO3-06 protocol. Our experience in the NEO3-05 trial has shown that this process may be lengthening due to risk management concerns on the part of hospitals participating in clinical trials, as well as other factors.

In March 2010, Neoprobe met with FDA to review the clinical outcomes of NEO3-05. The meeting included a review of the efficacy and safety results of the NEO3-05 clinical study and Neoprobe's plans for the submission of a NDA for Lymphoseek based on the results of NEO3-05 and other previously completed clinical studies. During the meeting, Neoprobe provided FDA with the clinical results of the protocol-compliant clinical sites that participated in the NEO3-05 clinical study that contributed 136 intent-to-treat subjects who provided 215 lymph nodes containing the vital blue dye. 210 of the vital blue dye positive lymph nodes contained Lymphoseek for an overall concordance rate of 98%, achieving a very high level of statistical correlation (p-value = 0.0001) for the primary endpoint of the clinical study. Prior to the meeting, FDA requested that Neoprobe conduct a "reverse concordance" assessment of the clinical study where Lymphoseek might identify lymph nodes missed by the vital blue dyes. This assessment showed that Lymphoseek was able to identify 85 additional lymph nodes that did not contain the vital blue dye, and 18% of these nodes were found by pathology to contain cancer. There were no significant reported safety events related to Lymphoseek. FDA indicated that the clinical data from the NEO3-05 clinical study and other completed clinical evaluations of Lymphoseek would be supportive of a NDA submission for Lymphoseek. FDA also encouraged Neoprobe to request a series of pre-NDA meetings to review the non-clinical and chemistry, manufacturing and control (CMC) components of the NDA prior to its formal submission. Neoprobe completed successful non-clinical and CMC pre-NDA reviews with FDA during the second quarter of 2010.

In July 2010, Neoprobe initiated enrollment in another Phase 3 clinical evaluation of Lymphoseek in subjects with either breast cancer or melanoma (NEO3-09). This trial was originally intended as a supplement to the primary NDA for Lymphoseek for safety evaluation purposes and to support expanded product labeling claims. NEO3-09 is currently enrolling patients at eight study sites across the U.S. Neoprobe expects this study to be completed in the first quarter of 2011.

In October 2010, Neoprobe met with FDA for a pre-NDA assessment for Lymphoseek. As a result of the pre-NDA assessment, FDA requested that data from both the completed NEO3-05 study and the NEO3-09 study currently in progress be included in the Company's primary NDA for Lymphoseek rather than submitting the NEO3-09 study data as a planned major amendment to the ongoing NDA review. The pre-NDA assessment resulted in no modification to the NEO3-09 trial design or endpoints or to any of the other previously agreed-to clinical or regulatory components of the Lymphoseek NDA. As such, NEO3-09 will now be one of two adequate and well-controlled trials included in the primary NDA submission for a first-cycle review.

The Lymphoseek NDA submission will be based on the clinical results of NEO3-05, NEO3-09, and other already completed clinical evaluations of Lymphoseek. The request for the total data package from two clinical trials is consistent with FDA's ongoing initiative to push for more complete primary submissions and to limit major amendments made to NDAs. This ongoing initiative to shorten drug review cycle times was re-emphasized by FDA's Office of New Drug Development in late 2009 and enables more successful first-cycle reviews which ultimately shortens overall drug approval timelines. We believe the earlier than originally planned inclusion of the NEO3-09 study data may support stronger product labeling as an outcome of a first-cycle review of the Lymphoseek NDA and may also positively impact market adoption.

We plan to use the safety and efficacy results from the Phase 3 clinical evaluations of Lymphoseek, which will include sites in the EU, to support the drug registration application process in the EU as well as to amend the filing in the U.S. for expanded product labeling. Neoprobe expects to submit the NDA for Lymphoseek during the first half of 2011. Depending on the timing of the final pre-NDA meeting with FDA and the outcome of the FDA regulatory review cycle, we believe that Lymphoseek could be commercialized in early 2012. We cannot assure you, however, that this product will achieve regulatory approval, or if approved, that it will achieve market acceptance.

RIGScan CR

Over the past few years, we have also made progress in advancing our RIGScan CR development program while incurring minimal research expenses. Our RIGS technology, which had been essentially inactive since failing to gain approval following our original license application in 1997, has been the subject of renewed interest due primarily to the analysis of survival data related to patients who participated in the original Phase 3 clinical studies that were completed in 1996. During 2008, we submitted and received approval from EMEA for a plan to continue the clinical development for RIGScan CR. The clinical protocol we submitted to EMEA involves approximately 400 patients in a randomized trial of patients with colorectal cancer. The participants in the trial would be randomized to either a control or RIGS treatment arm. Patients randomized to the RIGS arm would have their disease status evaluated at the end of their cancer surgery to determine the presence or absence of RIGS-positive tissue. Patients in both randomized arms would be followed to determine if patients with RIGS-positive status have a lower overall survival rate and/or a higher occurrence of disease recurrence. The hypothesis for the trial is based upon the data from the earlier NEO2-13 and NEO2-14 trial results.

Our desire has been, and continues to be, to develop a clinical development plan which is harmonized between the U.S. and the EU. To that end, during December 2009 we submitted an IND amendment to FDA which included the design of a proposed Phase 3 clinical trial of RIGScan CR. The IND amendment included a Special Protocol Assessment (SPA) request in accordance with the Prescription Drug User Fee Act of 1992 and current regulatory guidelines, and a commitment to register on the clinicaltrials.gov website following discussions with FDA regarding the SPA. Since filing the IND amendment and SPA request, we have determined that due to differences in the current manufacturing process from the process used in the 1990's, a further amendment to the IND should be filed addressing the differences. In addition, in October 2010, we filed a response letter to FDA related to the Agency's complete response letter to the open BLA from 1997. The review responsibility for the RIGS BLA was recently transferred from CBER to the Division of Medical Imaging Products in CDER at FDA. The submission of the BLA response letter is the first of several near-term activities that Neoprobe intends to complete with FDA to reactivate the development of the RIGS technology. We intend to file a new IND request for the biologic component of the RIGS technology. The IND request will be accompanied by a synopsis of a revised proposed Phase 3 clinical trial design. Once FDA has assigned a new IND, we will file the complete protocol for FDA evaluation under the provisions of a SPA. A SPA review of the prospective protocol is expected to provide a clear development pathway for RIGS in 2011. As a result, we do not expect to receive feedback from FDA on a RIGS SPA request until sometime in the first quarter of 2011.

The Phase 3 clinical study as currently envisioned would be a randomized clinical study that would evaluate the ability of RIGScan CR to identify tumor-associated tissue in a group of patients as compared to a group of patients provided with traditional surgical care. Based on our current statistical analysis, we now believe the sample size for the proposed Phase 3 clinical study would be approximately 300 patients including both the RIGScan CR and traditional treatment groups. The primary endpoint of the trial as proposed is the assessment of the diagnostic ability of RIGScan CR to identify tumor-associated tissue, with a secondary endpoint of the change of the treatment paradigm of the RIGScan CR treated patients compared to patients treated with conventional treatment modalities.

It should also be noted that the RIGScan CR biologic drug has not been produced for several years. We are in the process of performing drug characterization work to ensure the drug cell line is still viable and submit this data to

EMEA and possibly FDA for their evaluation in connection with preparations to restart pivotal clinical trials. During the third quarter of 2009, we announced that we had executed a Biopharmaceutical Development and Supply Agreement with Laureate Pharma, Inc. This agreement will support the initial evaluation of the viability of the CC49 master working cell bank as well as the initial steps in re-validating the commercial production process for the biologic agent used in RIGScan CR. Laureate has made progress in the re-validation of the manufacturing process and has completed preliminary biologic characterization activities. They are expected to provide Neoprobe with GMP-produced material to support non-clinical and clinical evaluation within the next few months. In addition, we will need to re-establish radiolabeling capabilities for the CC49 antibody in order to meet the regulatory needs for the RIGScan CR product. We have also begun discussions with parties capable of supporting such activities.

We continue to believe it will be necessary for us to identify a development partner or an alternative funding source in order to prepare for and fund the pivotal clinical testing that will be necessary to gain marketing clearance for RIGScan CR. In the past, we have engaged in discussions with various parties regarding such a partnership. We believe the recently clarified regulatory pathway approved by EMEA is very valuable, but we believe clarifying the regulatory pathway in the U.S. is important for us and our potential partners in assessing the full potential for RIGScan CR. However, even if we are able to make such arrangements on satisfactory terms, we believe that the time required for continued development, regulatory approval and commercialization of a RIGS product would likely be a minimum of five years before we receive any significant product-related royalties or revenues. We cannot assure you that we will be able to complete definitive agreements with a development partner or obtain financing to fund development of the RIGS technology and do not know if such arrangements could be obtained on a timely basis on terms acceptable to us, or at all. We also cannot assure you that FDA or EMEA will clear our RIGS products for marketing or that any such products will be successfully introduced or achieve market acceptance.

Activated Cellular Therapy

In 2005, we formed a new subsidiary, Cira Bio, to explore the development of ACT. Neoprobe owns approximately 90% of the outstanding shares of Cira Bio with the remaining shares being held by the principals of a private holding company, Cira LLC. In conjunction with the formation of Cira Bio, an amended technology license agreement also was executed with The Ohio State University, from which both Neoprobe and Cira LLC had originally licensed or optioned the various cellular therapy technologies. As a result of the cross-license agreements, Cira Bio has the exclusive development and commercialization rights to three issued U.S. patents that cover the oncology and autoimmune applications of its technology. In addition, Cira Bio has exclusive licenses to several pending patent applications. We hope to identify a funding source to continue Cira Bio's development efforts. If we are successful in identifying a funding source, we expect that any funding would likely be accomplished by an investment directly into Cira Bio, so that the funds raised would not dilute current Neoprobe shareholders. Obtaining this funding would likely dilute Neoprobe's ownership interest in Cira Bio; however, we believe that moving forward such a promising technology will only yield positive results for the Neoprobe stockholders and the patients who could benefit from these treatments. We have been encouraged by recent media speculation regarding the potential connection of a retrovirus with chronic fatigue syndrome and the potential use of ACT to develop a treatment, which may stimulate some interest in our ACT platform. However, we do not know if we will be successful in obtaining funding on terms acceptable to us, or at all. In the event we fail to obtain financing for Cira Bio, the technology rights for the oncology applications of ACT may revert back to Neoprobe and the technology rights for the viral and autoimmune applications may revert back to Cira LLC upon notice by either party.

We expect our gamma detection device products to contribute a net profit in 2010 for that line of business, excluding general and administrative costs, interest and other financing-related charges. Our overall operating results for 2010 will also be greatly affected by the increased level of development activity we have conducted in 2010 to support our radiopharmaceutical products. Primarily as a result of the significant development costs we expect to incur related to the continued clinical development of Lymphoseek and RIGScan CR, we do not expect to achieve overall operating profitability during 2010. We cannot assure you that our current or potential new products will be successfully commercialized, that we will achieve significant product revenues, or that we will achieve or be able to sustain profitability in the future.

Results of Operations

Revenue for the first nine months of 2010 increased to \$7.5 million from \$7.1 million for the same period in 2009. Research and development expenses, as a percentage of net sales, increased to 92% during the first nine months of 2010 from 53% during the same period in 2009. Due to the ongoing Lymphoseek and RIGScan CR development activities of the Company, research and development expenses as a percentage of sales are expected to be higher in 2010 than they were in 2009. Selling, general and administrative expenses, as a percentage of net sales, increased to 47% during the first nine months of 2010 compared to 35% during the same period in 2009.

Three Months Ended September 30, 2010 and 2009

Net Sales and Margins. Net sales, comprised primarily of sales of our gamma detection systems, decreased \$433,000, or 17%, to \$2.1 million during the third quarter of 2010 from \$2.6 million during the same period in 2009. Gross margins on net sales increased to 71% of net sales for the third quarter of 2010 compared to 64% of net sales for the same period in 2009.

Gamma detection device sales decreased by \$464,000, offset by increases of \$23,000 and \$8,000 in extended warranty and service revenue, respectively. Of the \$464,000 decrease in gamma detection device sales, approximately \$699,000 was attributable to decreased sales volumes as sales volumes returned to a more normal level in the third quarter of 2010 compared to unseasonably high sales volumes that included initial stocking orders for new products in the third quarter of 2009, offset by \$235,000 attributable to increased sales prices. The price at which we sold our gamma detection products to our primary marketing partner, Devicor Medical Products, Inc. (Devicor), is based on a percentage of the global average selling price (ASP) received by Devicor and its affiliates on sales of Neoprobe products to end customers, subject to a minimum floor price. Decreased unit sales volumes of our wireless probes, high energy probes, corded probes, and accessories were offset by increased sales prices of our control units, wireless probes, and corded probes. The increase in gross margins on net product sales was due to net changes in the product mix coupled with the impact of the increase in control unit and wireless probe sales prices.

License and Grant Revenue. License and other revenue for the third quarter of both 2010 and 2009 included \$25,000 from the pro-rata recognition of license fees related to our distribution agreement with Devicor. License and other revenue for the third quarter of 2010 also included \$150,000 of grant revenue related to grants awarded by the State of Ohio's Third Frontier program.

Research and Development Expenses. Research and development expenses increased \$1.4 million, or 113%, to \$2.6 million during the third quarter of 2010 from \$1.2 million during the same period in 2009. Research and development expenses in the third quarter of 2010 included approximately (i) \$2.5 million in drug and therapy product development costs and (ii) \$113,000 in gamma detection device development costs. This compares to expenses of \$985,000 and \$220,000 in these segment categories during the same period in 2009. The changes in drug and therapy product development costs were primarily due to increased clinical activity costs of \$480,000, process development costs of \$422,000, and regulatory consulting costs of \$203,000 related to Lymphoseek; increased compensation costs of \$144,000 related to increased headcount; and increased license fees of \$79,000, process development costs of \$31,000, and regulatory consulting costs of \$19,000 related to RIGScan CR. The changes in gamma detection device development costs were primarily due to lower development costs related to our new high energy detection probe which was launched in 2009 of \$52,000 and lower compensation costs of \$24,000 related to decreased headcount and less time spent on device development projects, offset by higher development costs related to other product improvements of \$7,000.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses. Selling, general and administrative expenses increased \$577,000, or 74%, to \$1.4 million during the third quarter of 2010 from \$779,000 during the same period in 2009. The increase was primarily due to non-cash financial advisory fees related to the exchange of our outstanding debt for preferred

stock, and increased investor relations fees, compensation costs, and space costs.

29

Other Income (Expense). Other expense, net, was \$86,000 during the third quarter of 2010 compared to \$22.9 million during the same period in 2009. During the third quarter of 2009, we recorded a \$16.2 million non-cash loss on extinguishment of debt related to changes in the terms of our convertible debt, convertible preferred stock and the related warrants to purchase our common stock. During the third quarters of 2010 and 2009, we recorded charges of \$88,000 and \$6.3 million, respectively, related to the increase in the fair value of our derivative liabilities resulting from the requirement to mark our derivative liabilities to market. Interest expense, primarily related to the convertible debt agreements we completed in December 2007 and April 2008 and extinguished in June 2010, decreased \$330,000 to \$1,000 during the third quarter of 2010 from \$331,000 for the same period in 2009. Of this interest expense, \$55,000 in the third quarter of 2009 was non-cash in nature related to the amortization of debt issuance costs and discounts resulting from the warrants and conversion features of the convertible debt. An additional \$250,000 of interest expense in the third quarter 2009 was non-cash in nature due to the payment or accrual of interest on our convertible debt with shares of our common stock.

Discontinued Operations. During the third quarter of 2009, we made the decision to discontinue operations of the blood flow measurement device segment of our business as the segment was no longer considered a strategic initiative to the Company. This determination was based in large part on positive events in our other development initiatives. As a result, we recorded an impairment loss related to discontinued operations of \$1.7 million during the third quarter of 2009. Total revenues from discontinued operations were \$5,000 and \$9,000 in the third quarter of 2010 and 2009, respectively. The net loss from discontinued operations was \$47,000 and \$52,000 for the third quarter of 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 and 2009

Net Sales and Margins. Net sales, comprised primarily of sales of our gamma detection systems, increased \$302,000, or 4%, to \$7.3 million during the first nine months of 2010 from \$7.0 million during the same period in 2009. Gross margins on net sales increased slightly to 68% of net sales for the first nine months of 2010 compared to 67% of net sales for the same period in 2009.

Gamma detection device sales increased by \$187,000 along with increases of \$67,000 and \$49,000 in service and extended warranty revenue, respectively. Of the \$187,000 increase in gamma detection device sales, approximately \$143,000 was attributable to increased sales prices and \$44,000 was attributable to increased sales volumes. The price at which we sell our gamma detection products to Devicor is based on a percentage of the global ASP received by Devicor and its affiliates on sales of Neoprobe products to end customers, subject to a minimum floor price. Increased sales prices and unit sales volumes of our wireless probes and control units, coupled with increased unit prices of our corded probes, were offset by decreased unit sales volumes of our high energy probes, corded probes, and accessories. The slight increase in gross margins on net product sales was due to net changes in the product mix coupled with the impact of the increase in wireless probe and control unit sales prices.

License and Grant Revenue. License and other revenue for the first nine months of both 2010 and 2009 included \$75,000 from the pro-rata recognition of license fees related to the renewed distribution agreement with Devicor. License and other revenue for the first nine months of 2010 also included \$150,000 of grant revenue related to grants awarded by the State of Ohio's Third Frontier program.

Research and Development Expenses. Research and development expenses increased \$3.0 million, or 80%, to \$6.7 million during the first nine months of 2010 from \$3.7 million during the same period in 2009. Research and development expenses in the first nine months of 2010 included approximately (i) \$6.3 million in drug and therapy product development costs and (ii) \$368,000 in gamma detection device development costs. This compares to expenses of \$2.9 million and \$857,000 in these segment categories during the same period in 2009. The changes in drug and therapy product development costs were primarily due to increased process development costs of \$950,000, clinical activity costs of \$567,000, regulatory consulting costs of \$299,000, and market analysis costs of \$217,000.

related to Lymphoseek; increased compensation costs of \$463,000 related to increased headcount and incentive-based compensation; and increased process development costs of \$438,000, market analysis costs of \$108,000, license fees of \$62,000, and regulatory consulting costs of \$26,000 related to RIGScan CR. The changes in gamma detection device development costs were primarily due to higher compensation costs of \$46,000 related to increased incentive-based compensation, offset by lower development costs related to our new high energy detection probe which was launched in 2009 of \$119,000 and lower development costs related to various other product improvements of \$18,000.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses. Selling, general and administrative expenses increased \$984,000, or 41%, to \$3.4 million during the first nine months of 2010 from \$2.4 million during the same period in 2009. The increase was primarily due to non-cash financial advisory fees related to the exchange of our outstanding debt for preferred stock, and increased investor relations fees, compensation costs, and space costs.

Other Income (Expense). Other expense, net, was \$42.9 million during the first nine months of 2010 compared to \$36.0 million during the same period in 2009. During the first nine months of 2010, we recorded a loss on the extinguishment of debt of \$41.7 million related to the exchange of our outstanding convertible debt for convertible preferred stock. During the first nine months of 2009, we recorded a \$16.2 million non-cash loss on extinguishment of debt related to changes in the terms of our convertible debt, convertible preferred stock and the related warrants to purchase our common stock. During the first nine months of 2010 and 2009, we recorded charges of \$671,000 and \$18.5 million, respectively, related to the increase in the fair value of our derivative liabilities resulting from the requirement to mark our derivative liabilities to market. Interest expense, primarily related to the convertible debt agreements we completed in December 2007 and April 2008 and extinguished in June 2010, decreased \$696,000 to \$554,000 during the first nine months of 2010 from \$1.2 million for the same period in 2009. Of this interest expense, \$16,000 and \$420,000 in the first nine months of 2010 and 2009, respectively, were non-cash in nature related to the amortization of debt issuance costs and discounts resulting from the warrants and conversion features of the convertible debt. An additional \$403,000 and \$667,000 of interest expense in the first nine months of 2010 and 2009, respectively, was non-cash in nature due to the payment or accrual of interest on our convertible debt with shares of our common stock.

Discontinued Operations. During the third quarter of 2009, we made the decision to discontinue operations of the blood flow measurement device segment of our business as the segment was no longer considered a strategic initiative to the Company. This determination was based in large part on positive events in our other development initiatives. As a result, we recorded an impairment loss related to discontinued operations of \$1.7 million during the third quarter of 2009. Total revenues from discontinued operations were \$42,000 and \$82,000 in the first nine months of 2010 and 2009, respectively. The net loss from discontinued operations was \$60,000 and \$163,000 for the first nine months of 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Cash balances decreased to \$2.6 million at September 30, 2010 from \$5.6 million at December 31, 2009. The net decrease was primarily due to cash used to fund our operations, mainly for research and development activities, partially offset by cash received for the issuance of common stock related to a stock purchase agreement.

Operating Activities. Cash used in operations increased \$2.4 million to \$3.7 million during the first nine months of 2010 compared to \$1.2 million during the same period in 2009.

Accounts receivable increased slightly to \$1.4 million at September 30, 2010 from \$1.3 million at December 31, 2009. The increase was primarily a result of normal fluctuations in timing of purchases and payments by our primary customers. We expect overall receivable levels will continue to fluctuate during the remainder of 2010 depending on the timing of purchases and payments by our customers.

Inventory levels increased to \$2.0 million at September 30, 2010 from \$1.1 million at December 31, 2009. Gamma detection device materials and finished goods inventory levels increased as we have increased our product safety stock levels to ensure efficient and uninterrupted supply of our products to our distribution partners. During the first nine months of 2010, we capitalized \$741,000 of pharmaceutical materials related to our Lymphoseek product. During the first nine months of 2010, we expensed \$351,000 of previously capitalized pharmaceutical materials to research and development as they were no longer considered to be usable in the production of future saleable drug product inventory. We expect inventory levels to decrease somewhat over the remainder of 2010.

Accounts payable increased to \$2.0 million at September 30, 2010 from \$764,000 at December 31, 2009. The increase was primarily due to increased clinical, regulatory, and manufacturing activities related to advancing our Lymphoseek and RIGScan initiatives.

Investing Activities. Investing activities used \$366,000 of cash during the first nine months of 2010 compared to providing \$353,000 during the same period in 2009. Available-for-sale securities of \$494,000 matured during the first nine months of 2009. Capital expenditures of \$354,000 during the first nine months of 2010 were primarily for equipment to be used in the production of Lymphoseek, office furniture, software, and computers. Capital expenditures of \$75,000 during the first nine months of 2009 were primarily for computers, software, and production and laboratory equipment. We expect our overall capital expenditures for 2010 will be higher than 2009 as we continue to build our commercial production capability for Lymphoseek. Payments for patent and trademark costs decreased to \$12,000 during the first nine months of 2010 compared to \$66,000 during the same period in 2009.

Financing Activities. Financing activities provided \$998,000 of cash during the first nine months of 2010 compared to \$3.3 million provided during the same period in 2009. The \$998,000 provided by financing activities in the first nine months of 2010 consisted primarily of proceeds from the issuance of common stock of \$1.1 million, offset by payments of stock offering costs of \$86,000 and payments of capital leases of \$9,000. The \$3.3 million provided by financing activities in the first nine months of 2009 consisted primarily of proceeds from the issuance of common stock of \$3.6 million, offset by payments of notes payable of \$138,000, payments of stock offering costs of \$111,000, payments of debt issuance costs of \$20,000, and payments of capital leases of \$7,000. We do not rely to any material extent on short-term borrowings for working capital or to fund our operations.

In December 2006, we entered into a common stock purchase agreement with Fusion Capital Fund II, LLC (Fusion Capital), an Illinois limited liability company, to sell \$6.0 million of our common stock to Fusion Capital over a 24-month period which ended on November 21, 2008. Upon execution of the agreement, we issued to Fusion Capital 720,000 shares of our common stock as a commitment fee. Through November 2008, we sold to Fusion Capital under the agreement 7,568,671 shares for proceeds of \$1.9 million. As sales of our common stock were made under the original agreement, we issued an additional 234,000 shares of our common stock to Fusion Capital as an additional commitment fee. In December 2008, we entered into an amendment to the agreement which gave us a right to sell an additional \$6.0 million of our common stock to Fusion Capital before March 1, 2011, along with the \$4.1 million of the unsold balance of the \$6.0 million we originally had the right to sell to Fusion Capital under the original agreement. In connection with entering into the amendment, we issued an additional 360,000 shares in consideration for Fusion Capital's entering into the amendment. Also, as an additional commitment fee, we agreed to issue to Fusion Capital an additional 486,000 shares of our common stock pro rata as we sell the first \$4.1 million of our common stock to Fusion Capital under the amended agreement. In March 2010, we sold to Fusion Capital under the amended agreement 540,541 shares for proceeds of \$1.0 million and issued an additional 120,000 shares of our common stock to Fusion Capital as an additional commitment fee related to the sale. Subsequent to this sale, the remaining aggregate amount of our common stock we can sell to Fusion Capital is \$9.1 million, and we have reserved a total of 10,113,459 shares of our common stock in respect to potential sales of common stock we may make to Fusion Capital in the future under the amended agreement.

On June 25, 2010, we entered into a Securities Exchange Agreement with Montaur, pursuant to which Montaur exchanged the Montaur Notes and the Series A Preferred Stock for 10,000 shares of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock (the Series B Preferred Stock), convertible into 32,700,000 shares of common stock. The Series B Preferred Stock is convertible at the option of Montaur, carries no dividend requirements and participates equally with our common stock in liquidation proceeds based upon the number of common shares into which the Series B Preferred Stock is then convertible. As consideration for the exchange, Neoprobe issued additional Series B Preferred Stock which is convertible into 1.3 million shares of common stock. Also on June 25, 2010, we entered into a Securities Exchange Agreement with the Bupp Investors, pursuant to which the Bupp Investors exchanged the Amended Bupp Note for 1,000 shares of Series C Convertible Preferred Stock (the Series C Preferred Stock), convertible into 3,226,000 shares of common stock. The Series C Preferred Stock has a 10% dividend rate, payable quarterly until December 31, 2011, and participates equally with our common stock in liquidation proceeds based upon the number of common shares into which the Series C Preferred Stock is then convertible. The exchange of the Montaur Notes, the Series A Preferred Stock and the Amended Bupp Note were treated as extinguishments for accounting purposes. As a result of these exchange transactions, all security interests in the Company's assets held by Montaur and the Bupp Investors were extinguished.

Our future liquidity and capital requirements will depend on a number of factors, including our ability to expand market acceptance of our current products, our ability to complete the commercialization of new products, our ability to monetize our investment in non-core technologies, our ability to obtain milestone or development funds from potential development and distribution partners, regulatory actions by FDA and international regulatory bodies, and intellectual property protection. Our most significant near-term development priority is to complete additional clinical testing for Lymphoseek and to file the NDA shortly after completion of the trial. We believe our current funds, including \$5.5 million in net proceeds from our recently completed financing and including grant awards totaling over \$1.2 million expected to be received during the remainder of 2010 and 2011, will be adequate to sustain our operations at planned levels for the foreseeable future. We are in the process of determining the total development cost necessary to commercialize RIGScan CR but believe that it will require total additional commitments of between \$3 million to \$5 million to restart manufacturing and other activities necessary to prepare for the Phase 3 clinical trial contemplated in our EMEA scientific advice response. We expect to use currently available funds to continue the initial steps of restarting manufacturing of RIGScan CR; however, we still intend to involve a partner in the longer-term development of RIGScan CR. In August 2010, we filed a shelf registration on Form S-3 registering the potential sale of up to \$20 million in a primary offering of common stock and/or warrants of the Company, and recently closed on the sale of common stock and warrants pursuant to the shelf registration resulting in approximately \$5.5 million in net proceeds to the Company. We may also be able to raise additional funds under the shelf registration or through our stock purchase agreement with Fusion Capital to supplement our capital needs. However, the extent to which we sell any additional securities under the shelf registration or rely on Fusion Capital as a source of funding will depend on a number of factors, including the prevailing market price of our common stock and the extent to which we are able to secure working capital from other sources. Specifically, Fusion Capital does not have the right or the obligation to purchase any shares of our common stock on any business day that the market price of our common stock is less than \$0.20 per share. We cannot assure you that we will be successful in raising additional capital through Fusion Capital or any other sources at terms acceptable to the Company, or at all. We also cannot assure you that we will be able to successfully obtain regulatory approval for and commercialize new products, that we will achieve significant product revenues from our current or potential new products or that we will achieve or sustain profitability in the future.

Recent Accounting Developments

In January 2010, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2010-6, Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements. ASU 2010-6 amends FASB ASC Topic 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures. ASU 2010-6 requires new disclosures as follows: (1) Transfers in and out of Levels 1 and 2 and (2) Activity in Level 3 fair value measurements. An entity should disclose separately the amounts of significant transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements and describe the reasons for the transfers. In the reconciliation of fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3), an entity should present separately information about purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements (that is, on a gross basis rather than as one net number). ASU 2010-6 also clarifies existing disclosures as follows: (1) Level of disaggregation and (2) Disclosures about inputs and valuation techniques. An entity should provide fair value measurement disclosures for each class of assets and liabilities. A class is often a subset of assets or liabilities within a line item in the statement of financial position. An entity needs to use judgment in determining the appropriate classes of assets and liabilities. An entity should provide disclosures about the valuation techniques and inputs used to measure fair value for both recurring and nonrecurring fair value measurements. Those disclosures are required for fair value measurements that fall in either Level 2 or Level 3. ASU 2010-6 is effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009, except for the separate disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements in the roll forward of activity in Level 3 fair value measurements. Those disclosures are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010, and for interim periods within those fiscal years. We adopted the initial provisions of ASU 2010-6 beginning January 1, 2010. As the new provisions of ASU 2010-6 provide only disclosure requirements, the adoption of this standard did not impact our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows, but did result in increased disclosures.

Critical Accounting Policies

The following accounting policies are considered by us to be critical to our results of operations and financial condition.

Revenue Recognition Related to Net Sales. We currently generate revenue primarily from sales of our gamma detection products. Our standard shipping terms are FOB shipping point, and title and risk of loss passes to the customer upon delivery to a common carrier. We generally recognize sales revenue related to sales of our products when the products are shipped. Our customers have no right to return products purchased in the ordinary course of business.

The prices we charge our primary customer, Devicor, related to sales of products are subject to retroactive annual adjustment based on a fixed percentage of the actual sales prices achieved by Devicor on sales to end customers made during each fiscal year. To the extent that we can reasonably estimate the end-customer prices received by Devicor, we record sales to Devicor based upon these estimates. If we are unable to reasonably estimate end customer sales prices related to certain products sold to Devicor, we record revenue related to these product sales at the minimum (i.e., floor) price provided for under our distribution agreement with Devicor.

We also generate revenue from the service and repair of out-of-warranty products. Fees charged for service and repair on products not covered by an extended service agreement are recognized on completion of the service process when the serviced or repaired product has been returned to the customer. Fees charged for service or repair of products covered by an extended warranty agreement are deferred and recognized as revenue ratably over the life of the extended service agreement.

Revenue Recognition Related to Grants. We generate additional revenue from grants to support various product development initiatives. We generally recognize grant revenue when expenses reimbursable under the grants have been incurred and payments under the grants become contractually due.

Use of Estimates. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. We base these estimates and assumptions upon historical experience and existing, known circumstances. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Specifically, management may make significant estimates in the following areas:

- **Stock-Based Compensation.** Stock-based payments to employees and directors, including grants of stock options, are recognized in the statement of operations based on their estimated fair values. The fair value of each option award is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model to value share-based payments. Compensation cost arising from stock-based awards is recognized as expense using the straight-line method over the vesting period.
- **Inventory Valuation.** We value our inventory at the lower of cost (first-in, first-out method) or market. Our valuation reflects our estimates of excess, slow moving and obsolete inventory as well as inventory with a carrying value in excess of its net realizable value. Write-offs are recorded when product is removed from saleable inventory. We review inventory on hand at least quarterly and record provisions for excess and obsolete inventory based on several factors, including current assessment of future product demand, anticipated release of new products into the market, historical experience and product expiration. Our industry is characterized by rapid product development and frequent new product introductions. Uncertain timing of product approvals, variability in product launch strategies, regulations regarding use and shelf life, product recalls and variation in product utilization all impact the estimates related to excess and obsolete inventory.
- **Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets.** Long-lived assets and certain identifiable intangibles are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. The recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of an asset to future net undiscounted cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. If such assets are considered to be impaired, the impairment to be recognized is measured by the amount by which the carrying amount of the assets exceeds the fair value of the assets. Assets to be disposed of are reported at the lower of the carrying amount or fair value less costs to sell.
- **Product Warranty.** We warrant our products against defects in design, materials, and workmanship generally for a period of one year from the date of sale to the end customer. Our accrual for warranty expenses is adjusted periodically to reflect actual experience. Devicor also reimburses us for a portion of warranty expense incurred based on end customer sales they make during a given fiscal year.
- **Fair Value of Derivative Instruments.** Derivative instruments embedded in contracts, to the extent not already a free-standing contract, are bifurcated from the debt instrument and accounted for separately. All derivatives are recorded on the consolidated balance sheet at fair value in accordance with current accounting guidelines for such complex financial instruments. Fair value of warrant liabilities is determined based on a Black-Scholes option pricing model calculation. Fair value of conversion and put option liabilities is determined based on a probability-weighted Black-Scholes option pricing model calculation. Unrealized gains and losses on the derivatives are classified in other expenses as a change in derivative liabilities in the statements of operations. We do not use derivative instruments for hedging of market risks or for trading or speculative purposes.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Not applicable.

Item 4T. Controls and Procedures

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in reports filed under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the specified time periods. As a part of these controls, our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act.

Our internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and includes those policies and procedures that:

- pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Company;
- provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and that receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with authorization of management and directors of the Company; and
- provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, we evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Exchange Act) as of September 30, 2010. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive and principal financial officers, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Based on our evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report, our disclosure controls and procedures are adequately designed and effective.

Our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, does not expect that our disclosure controls and procedures will prevent all errors and all improper conduct. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute assurance that the objectives of the control systems are met. Further, a design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefit of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of improper conduct, if any, have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments and decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of a simple error or mistake. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more persons, or by management override of the control. Further, the design of any system of controls is also based in part upon assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. Over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate. Because of the inherent limitations of a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and may not be detected.

Changes in Control Over Financial Reporting

During the quarter ended September 30, 2010, there were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

- (a) During the three-month period ended September 30, 2010, a Bupp Investor exercised 120,000 Series V Warrants in exchange for issuance of 120,000 shares of our common stock, resulting in gross proceeds of \$37,200. Also during the three-month period ended September 30, 2010, certain outside investors exercised a total of 60,000 Series Z Warrants on a cashless basis in exchange for issuance of 37,778 shares of our common stock. The issuances of the shares were exempt from registration under Sections 4(2) and 4(6) of the Securities Act and Regulation D. The Bupp Investor and outside investors referred to above are each accredited investors as defined in Rule 501(a) of Regulation D, and each was fully informed regarding the investment. In addition, neither the Company, nor anyone acting on its behalf, offered or sold these securities by any form of general solicitation or general advertising.
- (b) During the three-month period ended September 30, 2010, we issued five-year Series BB Warrants to purchase 300,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$2.00 per share to an investment advisory firm in connection with a consulting agreement. The issuance of the warrants was exempt from registration under Sections 4(2) and 4(6) of the Securities Act and Regulation D. The investment advisory firm is an accredited investor as defined in Rule 501(a) of Regulation D, and was fully informed regarding the investment. In addition, neither the Company, nor anyone acting on its behalf, offered or sold these securities by any form of general solicitation or general advertising.

Item 6. Exhibits

31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.*

31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.*

32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer of Periodic Financial Reports pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.*

32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer of Periodic Financial Reports pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.*

* Filed herewith.

Items 1, 3, 4 and 5 are not applicable and have been omitted. There are no material changes in Item 1A from the corresponding item reported in the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009, and this item has therefore been omitted.

SIGNATURES

In accordance with the requirements of the Exchange Act, the registrant caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

NEOPROBE CORPORATION

(the Company)

Dated: November 15, 2010

By: /s/ David C. Bupp
David C. Bupp
President and Chief Executive Officer
(duly authorized officer; principal executive officer)

By: /s/ Brent L. Larson
Brent L. Larson
Vice President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer
(principal financial and accounting officer)