

CANARGO ENERGY CORP

Form 10-Q

August 09, 2005

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
Form 10-Q**

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2005

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 FOR THE TRANSITION PERIOD FROM _____ TO _____

**Commission File Number 0001-32145
CANARGO ENERGY CORPORATION**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

91-0881481

**(State or other jurisdiction of
Incorporation or organization)**

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

CanArgo Energy Corporation
P.O. Box 291, St. Peter Port, Guernsey, British Isles

GY1 3RR

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

(44) 1481 729 980

(Registrant's telephone number)

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined by Rule 12b-2 of the Act).

Yes No

The number of shares of registrant's common stock outstanding on August 4, 2005 was 221,929,283.

**CANARGO ENERGY CORPORATION
FORM 10-Q
TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Page

PART 1. FINANCIAL INFORMATION:

| | |
|--|----|
| <u>Item 1. Financial Statements Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets unaudited</u> | 3 |
| <u>Consolidated Condensed Statements of Operations unaudited</u> | 4 |
| <u>Consolidated Condensed Statements of Cash Flows unaudited</u> | 5 |
| <u>Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements</u> | 6 |
| | |
| <u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 28 |
| | |
| <u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u> | 39 |
| | |
| <u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u> | 39 |

PART 2. OTHER INFORMATION:

| | |
|--|----|
| <u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | 40 |
| | |
| <u>Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u> | 40 |
| | |
| <u>Item 6. Exhibits</u> | |
| <u>(a) Exhibit Index</u> | 41 |
| | |
| <u>Signatures</u> | 45 |

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

The United States Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 provides a "safe harbor" for certain forward-looking statements. Such forward-looking statements are based upon the current expectations of CanArgo and speak only as of the date made. These forward-looking statements involve risks, uncertainties and other factors. The factors discussed elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q are among those factors that in some cases have affected CanArgo's historic results and could cause actual results in the future to differ significantly from the results anticipated in forward-looking statements made in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, future filings by CanArgo with the Securities and Exchange Commission, in CanArgo's press releases and in oral statements made by authorized officers of CanArgo. When used in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, the words "estimate," "project," "anticipate," "expect," "intend," "believe," "hope," "may" and similar expressions, as well as "will," "shall" and other in future tense, are intended to identify forward-looking statements. Few of the forward-looking statements in this Report deal with matters that are within our unilateral control. Acquisition, financing and other agreements and arrangements must be negotiated with independent third parties and, in some cases, must be approved by governmental agencies. These third parties generally have interests that do not coincide with ours and may conflict with our interests. Unless the third parties and we are able to compromise their various objectives in a mutually acceptable manner, agreements and arrangements will not be consummated.

Table of Contents**PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. Financial Statements****CANARGO ENERGY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets**

| | June 30, 2005 (Unaudited) | December 31, 2004 (Audited) |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|
| <u>ASSETS</u> | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 18,810,753 | \$ 24,617,047 |
| Restricted cash | 5,300,000 | 1,400,000 |
| Accounts receivable | 1,613,431 | 2,526,442 |
| Crude oil inventory | 691,527 | 253,858 |
| Prepayments | 2,971,569 | 1,517,836 |
| Assets held for sale | 600,000 | 600,000 |
| Other current assets | 155,663 | 121,610 |
| Total current assets | \$ 30,142,943 | \$ 31,036,793 |
| Capital assets, net (including unevaluated amounts of \$39,505,594 and \$25,102,945 respectively) | 100,006,728 | 72,995,666 |
| Prepaid financing fees | 550,250 | 648,507 |
| Investments in and advances to oil and gas and other ventures net | | 478,632 |
| Total Assets | \$ 130,699,921 | \$ 105,159,598 |
| <u>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</u> | | |
| Accounts payable trade | \$ 2,045,247 | \$ 2,331,945 |
| Loans payable | 10,200,000 | 1,500,000 |
| Other liabilities | 758,647 | 3,080,839 |
| Accrued liabilities | 5,897,634 | 172,117 |
| Total current liabilities | \$ 18,901,528 | \$ 7,084,901 |
| Long term debt | 897,655 | 832,165 |
| Provision for future site restoration | 731,848 | 422,000 |
| Total Liabilities | \$ 20,531,031 | \$ 8,339,066 |

Commitments and contingencies

Stockholders' equity:

| | | |
|--|---------------------------|--------------------|
| Common stock, par value \$0.10; authorized - 300,000,000 shares; shares issued, issuable and outstanding - 218,485,420 at June 30, 2005 and 195,212,089 at December 31, 2004 | 21,848,540 | 19,521,208 |
| Capital in excess of par value | 199,749,926 | 184,141,618 |
| Deferred compensation expense | (1,833,425) | (1,976,102) |
| Accumulated deficit | (109,596,151) | (104,866,192) |
| Total stockholders' equity | \$ 110,168,890 | \$ 96,820,532 |
| Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity | \$ 130,699,921 | \$ 105,159,598 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

CANARGO ENERGY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
Consolidated Condensed Statements of Operations

| | Unaudited Three Months Ended | | Unaudited Six Months Ended | |
|---|---------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|
| | June 30, 2005 | June 30, 2004 | June 30, 2005 | June 30, 2004 |
| Operating Revenues from Continuing Operations: | | | | |
| Oil and gas sales | \$ 1,232,532 | \$ 2,078,553 | \$ 2,566,209 | \$ 5,439,024 |
| | 1,232,532 | 2,078,553 | 2,566,209 | 5,439,024 |
| Operating Expenses: | | | | |
| Field operating expenses | 470,310 | 564,622 | 969,230 | 1,232,959 |
| Direct project costs | 412,358 | 346,668 | 781,196 | 627,135 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 1,530,105 | 1,213,706 | 3,358,737 | 2,125,308 |
| Non-cash stock compensation expense | 509,828 | | 842,170 | |
| Depreciation, depletion and amortization | 519,375 | 926,224 | 1,031,038 | 1,807,045 |
| (Gain) Loss on dispositions | | 19,937 | | (335,014) |
| | 3,441,976 | 3,071,157 | 6,982,371 | 5,457,433 |
| Operating Loss from Continuing Operations | (2,209,444) | (992,604) | (4,416,162) | (18,409) |
| Other Income (Expense): | | | | |
| Interest, net | (1,149) | (248,913) | 22,820 | (256,386) |
| Other | 16,110 | (163,130) | (181,601) | (98,720) |
| Equity Loss from investments | (62,000) | | (155,016) | |
| Total Other Expense | (47,039) | (412,043) | (313,797) | (355,106) |
| Loss from Continuing Operations Before Minority Interest and Taxes | (2,256,483) | (1,404,646) | (4,729,959) | (373,515) |
| Minority interest in loss (income) of consolidated subsidiaries | | (583) | | 301 |
| Loss from Continuing Operations Net Income (Loss) from Discontinued Operations, net of taxes and minority interest | (2,256,483) | (1,405,229) | (4,729,959) | (373,214) |
| | | (43,539) | | 446,825 |
| Net Income (Loss) | \$ (2,256,483) | \$ (1,448,768) | \$ (4,729,959) | \$ 73,611 |

| | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| Weighted average number of common shares outstanding - Basic | 205,796,809 | 113,006,430 | 200,964,431 | 109,868,598 |
| - Diluted | 205,796,809 | 113,006,430 | 200,964,431 | 112,690,648 |
| Basic Net Income (Loss) Per Common Share | | | | |
| - from continuing operations | \$ (0.01) | \$ (0.01) | \$ (0.02) | \$ (0.00) |
| - from discontinued operations | \$ | \$ (0.00) | \$ | \$ 0.00 |
| Basic Net Income (Loss) Per Common | \$ (0.01) | \$ (0.00) | \$ (0.02) | \$ 0.00 |
| Diluted Net Income (Loss) Per Common Share | | | | |
| - from continuing operations | \$ (0.01) | \$ (0.01) | \$ (0.02) | \$ (0.00) |
| - from discontinued operations | \$ | \$ (0.00) | \$ | \$ 0.00 |
| Diluted Net Income (Loss) Per Common | \$ (0.01) | \$ (0.00) | \$ (0.02) | \$ 0.00 |
| Other Comprehensive Income: | | | | |
| Foreign currency translation | | (242,613) | | 219,523 |
| Comprehensive Income (Loss) | \$ (2,256,483) | \$ (1,691,381) | \$ (4,729,959) | \$ 293,134 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

CANARGO ENERGY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
Consolidated Condensed Statements of Cash Flows

| | Six months ended June, 30 | |
|--|----------------------------------|--------------------|
| | 2005 | 2004 |
| | (Unaudited) | (Unaudited) |
| Operating activities: | | |
| Loss from continuing operations | (4,729,959) | (373,214) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss from continuing operations to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities: | | |
| Non-cash stock compensation expense | 842,170 | |
| Non-cash interest expense and amortization of debt discount | 119,138 | 296,752 |
| Non-cash reduction in selling, general and administrative expenses | | 17,280 |
| Common stock issued for services | 53,600 | |
| Non-cash miscellaneous expenses | 12,500 | |
| Depreciation, depletion and amortization | 1,031,038 | 1,807,045 |
| Equity loss from investments | 155,016 | |
| Gain on dispositions | | (335,014) |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | 50,866 | |
| Minority interest in loss of consolidated subsidiaries | | (301) |
| Changes in assets and liabilities: | | |
| Restricted cash | (3,900,000) | |
| Accounts receivable | 862,145 | (230,553) |
| Inventory | (437,669) | 349,832 |
| Prepayments | (132,577) | (350,088) |
| Other current assets | (34,053) | 54,935 |
| Accounts payable | (286,698) | (55,015) |
| Deferred revenue | (2,322,192) | (899,247) |
| Income taxes payable | | (64,500) |
| Accrued liabilities | 421,787 | 405,374 |
| Net cash generated (used) by operating activities | (8,294,888) | 623,287 |
| Investing activities: | | |
| Capital expenditures | (13,766,006) | (3,159,284) |
| Proceeds from disposition of subsidiary | | 250,001 |
| Advance proceeds from the sale of CanArgo Standard Oil Products Limited | | 1,570,000 |
| Change in non-cash working capital items | (1,321,156) | 406,601 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (15,087,162) | (932,682) |
| Financing activities: | | |
| Proceeds from sale of common stock | 3,530,633 | 499,516 |
| Share issue costs | (435,877) | |
| Deferred offering costs | (519,000) | (471,508) |
| Advances from joint venture partner | | 290,000 |
| Payments of joint venture obligations | | (1,063,146) |

| | | |
|---|----------------------|--------------|
| Proceeds from loans | 15,000,000 | 2,806,000 |
| Repayment of loans | | (102,179) |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 17,575,756 | 1,958,683 |
| Net cash flows from assets and liabilities held for sale | | (3,037) |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | (5,806,294) | 1,646,251 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | 24,617,047 | 3,472,252 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | \$ 18,810,753 | \$ 5,118,503 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

CANARGO ENERGY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements

1. **Basis of Presentation**

The interim consolidated condensed financial statements and notes thereto of CanArgo Energy Corporation and its subsidiaries (collectively, we, our, CanArgo or the Company) have been prepared by management without audit pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. In the opinion of management, the consolidated condensed financial statements include all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring adjustments, necessary for a fair statement of the results for the interim period. Although management believes that the disclosures are adequate to make the information presented not misleading, certain information and footnote disclosures, including a description of significant accounting policies normally included in the financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S., have been condensed or omitted pursuant to such rules and regulations. The accompanying consolidated condensed financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in CanArgo's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. All amounts are in U.S. dollars. The results of operations for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results for any subsequent quarter or the entire fiscal year ending December 31, 2005.

Use of Estimates in the Preparation of Financial Statements

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

2. **Business Combination**

On June 7, 2005, CanArgo made an offer to acquire 55% of the ordinary share capital of Tethys Petroleum Investments Limited (Tethys) which was held by Provincial Securities Limited (Provincial) and Vando International Finance Limited (Vando) for consideration of 11,000,000 CanArgo common shares. On June 9, 2005 CanArgo issued 5,500,000 shares to Provincial, of which Russ Hammond (one of our non-executive directors) is Investment Advisor and 5,500,000 shares to Vando in connection with this transaction. At June 7, 2005, the closing price of CanArgo total common stock was \$0.76 giving the common stock consideration a market value of \$8,360,000 for the 11 million shares. On completion of the acquisition, CanArgo held 100% of the ordinary share capital of Tethys through its subsidiary CanArgo Limited and Tethys became a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company. We have recorded our interest as if the acquisition occurred on June 30, 2005.

Table of Contents

The purchase price was allocated to the net assets of Tethys as follows:

| | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|
| Cash | \$ 609,553 |
| Oil and Gas Properties | 6,648,063 |
| Other Current Assets | 1,688,294 |
| Current Liabilities | (297,162) |
| Provision for future site restoration | (288,748) |
| | \$ 8,360,000 |

| | Historical | Pro Forma (Unaudited) Six Months Ended June 30, 2005 | | Combined |
|--|---------------|---|---------------|---------------|
| | | Tethys | Adjustments | |
| Revenue | \$ 2,566,209 | \$ | \$ | \$ 2,566,209 |
| Loss from continuing operations | (\$4,729,959) | (\$215,649) | \$ 155,016(1) | (\$4,790,592) |
| Net (loss) | (\$4,729,959) | (\$215,649) | \$ 155,016 | (\$4,790,592) |
| Basic and diluted loss per share | | | | (\$0.02) |
| Basic and diluted weighted average common shares outstanding | | | | 211,964,431 |

(1) To add back the equity loss on investment recorded during the first six months of 2005 for the Company's share of losses prior to acquisition of its majority interest.

Table of Contents

| | Historical | Pro Forma (Unaudited) Three Months Ended June 30, 2005 | | Combined |
|--|---------------|---|--------------|---------------|
| | | Tethys | Adjustments | |
| Revenue | \$ 2,078,553 | \$ | \$ | \$ 2,078,553 |
| Loss from continuing operations | (\$2,256,483) | (\$131,569) | \$ 62,000(1) | (\$2,326,052) |
| Net (loss) | (\$2,256,483) | (\$131,569) | \$ 62,000 | (\$2,326,052) |
| Basic and diluted loss per share | | | | (\$0.01) |
| Basic and diluted weighted average common shares outstanding | | | | 216,796,809 |

(1) To add back the equity loss on investment recorded during the first six months of 2005 for the Company's share of losses prior to acquisition of its majority interest.

| | Historical | Pro Forma (Unaudited) Six Months Ended June 30, 2004 | | Combined |
|---------------------------------|--------------|---|-------------|--------------|
| | | Tethys | Adjustments | |
| Revenue | \$ 5,439,024 | \$ | \$ | \$ 5,439,024 |
| Loss from continuing operations | (\$373,214) | \$ | \$ | (\$373,214) |
| Net income | \$ 73,611 | \$ | \$ | \$ 73,611 |
| Basic income per share | | | | \$ 0.00 |

| | |
|--|-------------|
| Diluted income per share | \$ 0.00 |
| Basic weighted average common shares outstanding | 120,868,598 |
| Diluted weighted average common shares outstanding | 123,690,648 |

| | Pro Forma (Unaudited) Three Months Ended June 30, 2004 | | | Combined |
|--|---|--------|-------------|---------------|
| | Historical | Tethys | Adjustments | |
| Revenue | \$ 2,078,553 | \$ | \$ | \$ 2,078,553 |
| Loss from continuing operations | (\$1,405,229) | \$ | \$ | (\$1,405,229) |
| Net income | (\$1,448,768) | \$ | \$ | (\$1,448,768) |
| Basic and diluted loss per share | | | | (\$0.01) |
| Basic and diluted weighted average common shares outstanding | | | | 124,006,430 |

Table of Contents**3 Dismantlement, Restoration and Environmental Costs**

Effective January 1, 2003, we recognize liabilities for asset retirement obligations associated with tangible long-lived assets, such as producing well sites, with a corresponding increase in the related long-lived asset. The asset retirement cost is depreciated along with the property and equipment in the full cost pool. The asset retirement obligation is recorded at fair value and accretion expense, recognized over the life of the property, increases the liability to its expected settlement value. If the fair value of the estimated asset retirement obligation changes, an adjustment is recorded for both the asset retirement obligation and the asset retirement cost. As at June 30, 2005 the asset retirement obligation, which is included on the consolidated balance sheet in provision for future site restoration, was \$731,848, which includes \$288,748 for retirement obligations related to our acquired Tethys operations.

4 Foreign Operations

Our current and future operations and earnings depend upon the results of our operations primarily in the Republic of Georgia (Georgia) and to a lesser degree in the Republic of Kazakhstan (Kazakhstan). There can be no assurance that we will be able to successfully conduct such operations, and a failure to do so would have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows. Also, the success of our operations generally will be subject to numerous contingencies, some of which are beyond management control. These contingencies include general and regional economic conditions, prices for crude oil and natural gas, competition and changes in regulation. Since we are dependent on international operations, we will be subject to various additional political, economic and other uncertainties. Among other risks, our operations may be subject to the risks and restrictions on transfer of funds, import and export duties, quotas and embargoes, domestic and international customs and tariffs, and changing taxation policies, foreign exchange restrictions, political conditions and restrictive regulations.

5 Restricted Cash

Restricted cash consisted of the following at June 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004:

| | June 30, 2005 (Unaudited) | December 31, 2004 (Audited) |
|---------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|
| Restricted Cash Escrow | \$ 1,400,000 | \$ 1,400,000 |
| Restricted Cash Secured deposit | 3,900,000 | |
| | \$ 5,300,000 | \$ 1,400,000 |

Restricted cash of \$1,400,000 at June 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004 relates to money placed in a third party escrow account in October 2004, to fund part of the horizontal development program, of which WEUS Holding Inc., a subsidiary of Weatherford International Limited (Weatherford) is the primary contractor, at the Ninotsminda and Samgori Fields in Georgia These funds were disbursed to the contractor in July 2005 in accordance with the terms of the escrow agreement.

In the first quarter of 2005 we funded a certificate of deposit in the amount of \$3,900,000 to secure the issuance of a letter of credit as required under the rig rental and drilling contract we entered into with Saipem, S.p.A. Under the terms of the letter of credit \$1,100,000 was released and became unrestricted cash in July 2005. The remaining deposits are due to become unrestricted in October (\$2,250,000) and December 2005 (\$550,000).

Table of Contents**6 Accounts Receivable**

Accounts receivable at June 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004 consisted of the following:

| | June 30, 2005 (Unaudited) | December 31, 2004 (Audited) |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|
| Trade receivables before allowance for doubtful debts | \$ 1,032,226 | \$ 1,081,055 |
| Allowance for doubtful debts | (917,105) | (866,239) |
| Due from Samgori PSC partner | 1,080,190 | 1,057,534 |
| Insurance receivable | 314,024 | 1,047,359 |
| Other receivables | 104,096 | 206,733 |
| | \$ 1,613,431 | \$ 2,526,442 |

Bad debt expense for the six month period ended June 30, 2005 and June 30, 2004 was \$50,866 and \$0 respectively.

In September 2004, a blow-out occurred at the N100 well on the Ninotsminda Field. Our insurers will cover 80% of the costs associated with the blow out up to a maximum cover of \$2,500,000. We received \$800,000 from our insurers in the second quarter of 2005 in respect of costs incurred to date. As of June 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, \$314,024 and \$1,047,359 was recorded as a receivable, respectively.

Included in receivables as of June 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004 was \$1,080,190 and \$1,057,534, respectively, due from Georgian Oil Samgori Limited (GOSL) for its share of capital expenditure, on the planned horizontal well drilling program on the Samgori Field. We have funded 100% of the costs so far and should GOSL not be in a position to or elect not to fund its share of the program costs, we are entitled to continue the project at our sole risk at which time the receivable would be transferred to oil and gas properties. We would be entitled to 100% of the contractor's share of any incremental production resulting from the sole risk operations where we were the party undertaking the sole risk.

7 Inventory

Inventory of crude oil at June 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004 consisted of the following:

| | June 30, 2005 (Unaudited) | December 31, 2004 (Audited) |
|-----------|--|--------------------------------------|
| Crude oil | \$ 691,527 | \$ 253,858 |
| | \$ 691,527 | \$ 253,858 |

Table of Contents**8 Capital Assets**

Capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation and impairment, include the following at June 30, 2005:

| | Cost | Accumulated Depreciation And Impairment | Net Capital Assets |
|--|----------------|--|--------------------------|
| Oil and Gas Properties | | | |
| Proved properties | \$ 74,014,441 | \$ (24,181,220) | \$ 49,833,221 |
| Unproved properties | 39,505,594 | | 39,505,594 |
| | 113,520,035 | (24,181,220) | 89,338,815 |
| Property and Equipment | | | |
| Oil and gas related equipment | 15,126,444 | (4,919,704) | 10,206,740 |
| Office furniture, fixtures and equipment and other | 747,141 | (285,968) | 461,173 |
| | 15,873,585 | (5,205,672) | 10,667,913 |
| | \$ 129,393,620 | \$ (29,386,892) | \$ 100,006,728 |

Capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation and impairment, include the following at December 31, 2004:

| | Cost | Accumulated Depreciation And Impairment | Net Capital Assets |
|--|----------------|--|--------------------------|
| Oil and Gas Properties | | | |
| Proved properties | \$ 61,458,503 | \$ (23,382,448) | \$ 38,076,055 |
| Unproved properties | 25,102,945 | | 25,102,945 |
| | 86,561,448 | (23,382,448) | 63,179,000 |
| Property and Equipment | | | |
| Oil and gas related equipment | 14,119,443 | (4,693,368) | 9,426,075 |
| Office furniture, fixtures and equipment and other | 689,439 | (298,848) | 390,591 |
| | 14,808,882 | (4,992,216) | 9,816,666 |
| | \$ 101,370,330 | \$ (28,374,664) | \$ 72,995,666 |

Oil and Gas Properties

Unproved property additions relate to our exploration activity in the period. Oil and gas related equipment includes new or refurbished drilling rigs and related equipment.

Property and Equipment

Oil and gas related equipment includes drilling rigs and related equipment currently in use by us in the development of the Ninotsminda, Norio and Samgori Fields.

Table of Contents9 Prepaid financing fees

Prepaid financing fees at June 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004:

| | June 30, 2005 (Unaudited) | December 31, 2004 (Audited) |
|----------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|
| Commission and Professional fees | \$ 550,250 | \$ 648,507 |
| | \$ 550,250 | \$ 648,507 |

Prepaid financing fees as at June 30, 2005 are corporate finance fees incurred in respect of the US-based investment fund Cornell Capital Partners, LP (Cornell Capital) Promissory Note and the additional Ozturk Long Term Loan with Detachable Warrants, both discussed in Note 11.

As at December 31, 2004, commissions and professional fees related to the Standby Equity Distribution Agreement (SEDA) dated February 11, 2004 between CanArgo and Cornell Capital were included in Prepaid financing fees.

10 Investments in and Advances to Oil and Gas and Other Ventures

As discussed in Note 2, on June 9, 2005 we acquired 100% ownership of Tethys Petroleum Investments Limited. A summary of our net investment in and advances to oil and gas and other ventures consisted of the following at June 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004:

| | June 30, 2005 (Unaudited) | December 31, 2004 (Audited) |
|---|--|--------------------------------------|
| Kazakhstan Through 45% ownership of Tethys Petroleum Investments Limited | \$ | \$ 683,862 |
| Total Investments in and Advances to Oil and Gas and Other Ventures | \$ | \$ 683,862 |
| Equity in Profit (Loss) of Oil and Gas and Other Ventures | | |
| Kazakhstan | | (205,230) |
| Cumulative Equity in Profit (Loss) of Oil and Gas and other ventures | | (205,230) |
| Total Investments in and Advances to Oil and Gas and Other Ventures, Net of Equity Loss | \$ | \$ 478,632 |

Table of Contents**11 Loans Payable and Long Term Debt**

Loans payable at June 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004 consisted of the following:

| | June 30, 2005 (Unaudited) | December 31, 2004 (Audited) |
|--|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Short term loans payable | | |
| Promissory Notes | 10,200,000 | 1,500,000 |
| | | |
| Loans payable | \$ 10,200,000 | \$ 1,500,000 |
| | | |
| Long term debt | | |
| Long term loans with detachable warrants | \$ 1,050,000 | \$ 1,050,000 |
| Unamortized debt discount | (152,345) | (217,835) |
| | | |
| Long term debt | \$ 897,655 | \$ 832,165 |

On April 26, 2005 we signed a promissory note with Cornell Capital whereby Cornell Capital agreed to advance us the sum of \$15 million (Promissory Note) under the following terms:

This \$15 million and interest at a rate of 7.5% per annum was payable either in cash or using the net proceeds of drawdowns under the SEDA, within 270 calendar days from the date of the Promissory Note. Pursuant to the terms of the Promissory Note, we escrowed 25 requests for advances under the SEDA each in an amount not less than \$600,000 and one advance of \$289,726.03 (representing estimated interest) together with 16,938,558 shares of CanArgo common stock. The escrow agent releases requests every 7 calendar days from May 2, 2005 provided we have not previously made a payment to Cornell Capital in cash. We have the ability at our sole discretion upon 24 hours prior written notice to Cornell Capital to repay all and any amounts due under the Promissory Note in immediately available funds and withdraw any advance notices yet to be effected.

As disclosed in Note 20, the Promissory Note was repaid in full in cash on August 1, 2005, all escrowed advances cancelled and 7,260,647 shares of CanArgo common stock are being returned from escrow. On July 25, 2005 notice was given to Cornell Capital to terminate the SEDA.

In order to ensure timely procurement of long lead items for our drilling program in Georgia and for working capital purposes during 2004, we entered into a number of loan agreements of which those outstanding during the second quarter 2005 are described below.

Long Term Loan with Detachable Warrants: This loan from Salahi Ozturk advanced pursuant to the amended and restated loan and warrant agreement dated August 27, 2004 (Amended Agreement) matures in August 2006 unless it has previously been converted. Corporate finance fees of \$50,000 were paid in respect of the loan. Interest is payable quarterly at a rate of 7.5% per annum. The loan is convertible into shares of CanArgo Common Stock at 15% above a market price of \$0.60 in effect when the agreement was reached in August 2004, subject to customary anti-dilution adjustments. We have the option to force conversion of the loan if our share price exceeds 160% of \$0.60 (or \$0.96 per share) for a period of 20 consecutive trading days. No conversion is possible until August 28, 2005.

The Company's stock price at the time of the agreement was \$0.51; consequently, pursuant to EITF 98-5 Accounting for Convertible Securities with Beneficial Conversion Features or Contingently Adjustable Conversion Ratios and EITF 00-27 Application of Issue No. 98-5 to Certain Convertible Instruments, the issuance of the loan and detachable warrants resulted in a discount being recorded in the amount of \$263,786, which resulted from the relative fair value of the warrants, as determined using the Black-Scholes model.

Table of Contents

We used the following assumptions to determine the fair value of the debt and warrants:

| | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|
| | Additional Loan |
| Stock price on date of grant | \$ 0.51 |
| Risk free rate of interest | 2.51% |
| Expected life of warrant months | 48 |
| Dividend rate | |
| Historical volatility | 108% |

The discounts are being amortized to expense interest over the life of the loan using the effective interest method. The effective interest rate was 18.9%. As of June 30, 2005 we had amortized \$111,440 of the debt discount as interest expense.

Promissory Note: On May 19, 2004, we signed a promissory note with Cornell Capital whereby Cornell Capital agreed to advance us the sum of \$1,500,000. We have repaid the promissory note in full by making a series of takedowns in February and March 2005 under the SEDA.

12 Other Liabilities

Other liabilities consisted of the following at June 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004:

| | June 30, 2005 (Unaudited) | December 31, 2004 (Audited) |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|
| Prepaid sales and oil sales security deposit | \$ 417,452 | \$ 2,699,644 |
| Prepaid licence fees | 40,000 | 80,000 |
| Advanced proceeds from the sale of other assets | 301,195 | 301,195 |
| | \$ 758,647 | \$ 3,080,839 |

As of December 31, 2004 prepaid sales and oil sales security deposit included \$2,300,000 arising from security deposit payments under an oil sales agreement with Primrose Financial Group (Primrose) dated May 5, 2004. In February 2005, we cancelled the May 2004 oil sales agreement with Primrose, repaid the security deposit in full and concluded a new oil sales agreement.

13 Accrued Liabilities

Accrued liabilities consisted of the following at June 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004:

| | June 30, 2005 (Unaudited) | December 31, 2004 (Audited) |
|----------------------|--|-----------------------------------|
| Drilling contractors | \$ 5,303,730 | \$ |
| Professional fees | 366,755 | 93,001 |
| Other | 227,149 | 79,116 |
| | \$ 5,897,634 | \$ 172,117 |

Included in the amounts due to drilling contractors at June 30, 2005 are amounts invoiced by Weatherford totalling \$4,190,230. We have formally notified Weatherford that we dispute the validity of certain billings to the Company

for work Weatherford performed in the first and second quarter of 2005. The

Table of Contents

amount under dispute is approximately \$2.8 million. We have recorded all amounts billed by Weatherford as of June 30, 2005 pending the outcome of the dispute resolution which may require referral to the London Court of International Arbitration for resolution in accordance with the provisions of the contract. As of the date of these financial statements, Weatherford have de-mobilised their equipment from site and are in preparation for moving the equipment out of Georgia.

14 **Minority Interest**

Through our acquisition of 100% of Tethys Petroleum Investments Limited on June 9, 2005 we acquired a 70% ownership interest in the Kazakhstan based limited liability partnership, BN Munai LLP (BN Munai). BN Munai has only suffered losses from inception and currently the Company is the only partner funding the current operating losses, therefore, no minority interest is recorded at June 30, 2005 for the 30% ownership not under our control. The Company does not expect the minority partners in BN Munai to contribute funds to the partnership.

In September 2003, CanArgo Norio Limited (CNL) signed a Farm-In agreement (the Agreement) relating to the Norio Production Sharing Agreement (the Norio PSA) with a wholly owned subsidiary of the Georgian State Oil Company (Georgian Oil). Georgian Oil was already a party to the Norio PSA as the commercial representative of the State. The Agreement obligated Georgian Oil to pay up to \$2,000,000 to complete the MK-72 well on the Norio prospect in return for a 15% interest in the contractor share of the Norio PSA. Georgian Oil also had an option (the Option) exercisable for a limited period after completion of the well, to increase its interest to 50% of the contractor share of the Norio PSA on payment to CNL of \$6,500,000. In accordance with the terms of this Agreement, Georgian Oil invested \$1,758,000 in deepening the MK72 well.

On May 9, 2005 we announced that CNL had signed final documentation with Georgian Oil for CNL to secure 100% of the contractor share in the Norio PSA. On May 20, 2005 we paid Georgian Oil \$1,758,000 to terminate the Agreement and Option and secure a 100% working interest in the Norio PSA.

Table of Contents15 Stockholders Equity

| | Common Stock | | | | | |
|--|---|----------------------|---|--|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | Number of Shares Issued and Issuable | Par Value | Additional Paid-In Capital | Deferred Compensation Expense | Accumulated Deficit | Accumulated Deficit |
| Total, December 31, 2004 | 195,212,089 | \$ 19,521,208 | \$ 184,141,618 | \$(1,976,102) | \$(104,866,192) | \$ 96,820,532 |
| Shares Issued pursuant to Standby Equity Distribution agreement (Cornell Capital) | 380,836 | 38,084 | 469,514 | | | 507,598 |
| Shares Issued pursuant to Standby Equity Distribution agreement (Cornell Capital) | 335,653 | 33,565 | 458,837 | | | 492,402 |
| Exercise of stock options | 1,067,833 | 106,783 | 255,850 | | | 362,633 |
| Shares Issued pursuant to Standby Equity Distribution agreement (Cornell Capital) | 344,758 | 34,476 | 498,072 | | | 532,548 |
| Shares Issued pursuant to Standby Equity Distribution agreement (Cornell Capital) | 370,599 | 37,060 | 562,940 | | | 600,000 |
| Shares Issued pursuant to Standby Equity Distribution agreement (Cornell Capital) | 381,170 | 38,117 | 561,883 | | | 600,000 |

| | | | | |
|---|-----------|---------------|---------|---------|
| Shares Issued pursuant to Standby Equity Distribution agreement (Cornell Capital) | 495,745 | 49,574 | 550,426 | 600,000 |
| Exercise of stock options | 1,570,000 | 157,000 | 11,000 | 168,000 |
| Shares Issued pursuant to Standby Equity Distribution agreement (Cornell Capital) | 552,639 | 55,264 | 544,736 | 600,000 |
| Shares Issued pursuant to Standby Equity Distribution agreement (Cornell Capital) | 473,634 | 47,363 | 552,637 | 600,000 |
| Shares Issued pursuant to Standby Equity Distribution agreement (Cornell Capital) | 837,054 | 83,705 | 516,295 | 600,000 |
| Shares Issued pursuant to Standby Equity Distribution agreement (Cornell Capital) | 813,670 | 81,367 | 518,633 | 600,000 |
| Shares Issued pursuant to Standby Equity Distribution agreement (Cornell Capital) | 872,854 | 87,285 | 512,715 | 600,000 |
| Shares Issued pursuant to Standby Equity Distribution agreement | 847,458 | 84,746 | 515,254 | 600,000 |

Edgar Filing: CANARGO ENERGY CORP - Form 10-Q

(Cornell Capital)

| | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| Shares Issueable pursuant to consultancy agreement (CEOCast) | 80,000 | 8,000 | 45,600 | | | 53,600 |
| Shares Issued pursuant to Standby Equity Distribution agreement (Cornell Capital) | 801,068 | 80,107 | 519,893 | | | 600,000 |
| Shares Issued pursuant to Standby Equity Distribution agreement (Cornell Capital) | 812,348 | 81,235 | 518,765 | | | 600,000 |
| Shares Issued pursuant to Tethys buy-out | 11,000,000 | 1,100,000 | 7,260,000 | | | 8,360,000 |
| Shares Issued pursuant to Standby Equity Distribution agreement (Cornell Capital) | 639,591 | 63,959 | 536,041 | | | 600,000 |
| Shares Issued pursuant to Standby Equity Distribution agreement (Cornell Capital) | 596,421 | 59,642 | 540,358 | | | 600,000 |
| Stock based compensation under SFAS 123 | | | 699,493 | 142,677 | | 842,170 |
| Share issue costs | | | (1,040,634) | | | (1,040,634) |
| Net Loss | | | | | (4,729,959) | (4,729,959) |
| Total, June 30, 2005 | 218,485,420 | \$21,848,540 | \$199,749,926 | \$(1,833,425) | \$(109,596,151) | \$110,168,890 |

Table of Contents

On February 11, 2004, we entered into a Standby Equity Distribution Agreement (SEDA) that allowed us, at our option, periodically to issue shares of our common stock to US-based investment fund Cornell Capital. On February 03, 2005, the SEC declared effective the registration statement on Form S-3 (Reg. No. 333-115261) originally filed by us on May 6, 2004 in respect of the shares issuable under the SEDA. Under the terms of the SEDA, Cornell Capital will provide us with an equity line of credit for 24 months from the date the registration statement became effective. The maximum aggregate amount of the equity placements pursuant to the SEDA is \$20,000,000. Subject to this limitation, we can draw down up to \$600,000 in any seven trading-day period (a Put). The SEDA could be used in whole or in part entirely at our discretion. Shares issued to Cornell Capital would be priced at a 3% discount to the lowest daily Volume Weighted Closing Bid Price (VWAP) of CanArgo common shares traded on the Oslo Stock Exchange (OSE) for each of the five consecutive trading days immediately following a draw down notice by CanArgo. For each share of common stock purchased under the SEDA, Cornell Capital received a substantial discount to the current market price of CanArgo common stock. The level of the total discount varied depending on the market price of our stock and the amount drawn down under the SEDA. Such discounts comprised (1) 3% discount to, the lowest volume weighted average price of our common stock; (2) 5% of the proceeds that we receive for each advance under the SEDA; and (3) a commitment fee. The commitment fee, which has been paid, consisted of \$10,000 in cash and 850,000 shares of our common stock. On July 25, 2005, we issued to Cornell Capital a notice to terminate the SEDA.

As of August 8, 2005, we have received \$12,332,548 proceeds net of \$285,749 of discounts (excluding the commitment fee of \$10,000 and 850,000 shares of common stock previously paid to Cornell Capital) pursuant to twenty one takedowns under the SEDA in which we issued a total of 13,012,945 shares of our common stock to Cornell Capital at an average price of \$0.9477 per share. From these proceeds, \$1,532,548 was used to repay the promissory note of \$1,500,000 plus accrued interest on the note of \$32,548 to Cornell Capital and partially repay the promissory note of \$15,000,000, referred to below.

On April 26, 2005 we signed a promissory note with Cornell Capital whereby Cornell Capital agreed to advance us the sum of \$15,000,000. This amount and interest at a rate of 7.5% per annum was payable either in cash or using the net proceeds of drawdowns under the SEDA, within 270 days from the date of the promissory note. (See Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements, Item 10 Loans Payable and Long Term Debt above for a more detailed discussion). As disclosed in Note 20, the Promissory Note was repaid in full in cash on August 1, 2005.

On June 9, 2005 we issued 11,000,000 shares of CanArgo Common Stock by way of exchange for 55% of the share capital of Tethys Petroleum Investments Limited, (Tethys), thereby making Tethys a wholly owned subsidiary of CanArgo. (See Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements, Item 2 Business Combination above for a more detailed discussion).

16 Net Income (Loss) Per Common Share

Earnings (loss) per share is calculated in accordance with SFAS No. 128, Earnings Per Share. Basic and diluted earnings per share are provided for continuing operations, discontinued operations and net income (loss). Basic earnings (loss) per share is computed based upon the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding for the period and excludes any potential dilution. Diluted earnings per share reflects potential dilution from the exercise of securities (warrants, options and convertible debt) into common stock.

Basic and diluted net loss per common share for the six months and three months periods ended June 30, 2005 and June 30, 2004 were based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during those periods. Options and warrants to purchase CanArgo's Common Stock were outstanding during the six months ended June 30, 2005 were not included in the computation of diluted net loss per common share because the effect of such inclusion would have been anti-dilutive. The total number of such shares excluded from diluted net loss per common share were 11,771,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2005.

Table of Contents

| | June 30, 2005 | June 30, 2004 |
|--|--------------------------|------------------|
| Weighted average number of basic shares outstanding | 200,964,431 | 109,868,598 |
| Effect of: | | |
| Employee and director stock options | | 2,822,050 |
| | | |
| Weighted average number of dilutive shares outstanding | 200,964,431 | 112,690,648 |

17 **Commitments and Contingencies**

We have contingent obligations and may incur additional obligations, absolute and contingent, with respect to the acquisition and development of oil and gas properties and ventures in which we have interests that require or may require us to expend funds and to issue shares of our Common Stock.

At June 30, 2005, we had the contingent obligation to issue an aggregate of 187,500 shares of our Common Stock to Fielden Management Services PTY, Ltd (a third party management services company), subject to the satisfaction of conditions related to the achievement of specified performance standards by the Stynawske Field project, an oil field in Ukraine in which we had a previous interest.

Under the Production Sharing Contract for Blocks XI^G and XI^H (the Tbilisi PSC) in the Republic of Georgia our subsidiary CNL will acquire additional seismic data within three years of the effective date of the contract which is September 29, 2003. The total commitment over the next thirteen months is \$350,000.

In 2002, the Participation Agreement for the three well exploration program on the Ninotsminda /Manavi area with AES Gardabani (a subsidiary of AES Corporation) (AES) was terminated without AES earning any rights to any of the Ninotsminda / Manavi area reservoirs. We therefore have no present obligations in respect of AES. However, under a separate Letter of Agreement, if gas from the Sub Middle Eocene is discovered and produced from the exploration area covered by the Participation Agreement, AES will be entitled to recover at the rate of 15% of future gas sales from the Sub Middle Eocene, net of operating costs, approximately \$7,500,000, representing their prior funding under the Participation Agreement.

In April 2004, we acquired a 50% interest in the Samgori (Block XI^B) Production Sharing Contract (Samgori PSC) in Georgia. This interest was acquired from Georgian Oil Samgori Limited (GOSL), a company wholly owned by Georgian Oil, by one of our subsidiaries, CanArgo Samgori Limited (CSL). Under the terms of the agreement dated January 8, 2004, up to 10 horizontal wells will be drilled on the Samgori Field. Completion of well S302, which was funded 100% by us, satisfied our commitment to GOSL under the acquisition agreement. It is planned that the remainder of the drilling program will be funded jointly by CSL and GOSL, the Contractor parties, pro rata to their interest in the Samgori PSC. The total cost to us of participating in the whole program, which is due to be completed by June 2008, is anticipated to be up to \$13,500,000.

The original Contractor party to the Samgori PSC, National Petroleum Limited (NPL), has an option to reacquire its Contractor s interest in the Samgori PSC and its 50% interest in the operating company in the event that the agreed work program is not completed in part by September 2006 and in full by June 2008. Furthermore, NPL has outstanding costs and expenses of \$37,528,964 in relation to the Samgori PSC which are recoverable by NPL receiving 30% of annual net profit from the Field until such costs have been fully repaid. Under the Samgori PSC, up to 50% of petroleum produced under the contract is allocated to the Contractor parties for the recovery of the cumulative allowable capital, operating and other project costs associated with the Samgori Field and exploration

in Block XI^B (Cost Recovery Oil). The cost recovery pool includes the \$37,528,964 costs previously incurred by NPL. The balance of production (Profit Oil) is allocated on a 50/50 basis between the State and the Contractor parties respectively. While GOSL and CSL continue to have unrecovered costs, they will receive 75% of total production (net 37.5% to us). After

Table of Contents

recovery of their cumulative capital, operating and other allowable project costs including the NPL costs, the Contractor parties will receive 30% of Profit Oil (net 15% to us). The allocation of a share of production to the State, however, relieves the Contractor parties of all obligations they would otherwise have to pay the Republic of Georgia for taxes, duties and levies related to activities covered by the Samgori PSC. After NPL's costs are repaid from either Field production or other production in the PSC (in the event that new fields are developed in areas identified using seismic surveys originally performed by NPL), NPL shall continue to receive 5% of annual net profit.

Under the Samgori PSC, Georgian Oil as the State representative in the contract is entitled to receive up to 250,000 tons (approximately 1.6 million barrels) of oil (Base Level Oil) from a maximum of 50% per calendar quarter of production when the value of the cumulative Cost Recovery Oil, cumulative Cost Recovery Natural Gas, cumulative Profit Oil and cumulative Profit Natural Gas delivered to the Contractor parties exceeds the cumulative allowable capital, operating and other project costs including finance costs associated with the Samgori Field and exploration in Block XI^B and the NPL costs. While Base Level Oil is being delivered to Georgian Oil, the Contractor parties will continue to be entitled to a maximum of 50% of the remaining Profit Oil. The Base Level Oil is an estimate of the amount of oil that Georgian Oil would have expected to produce from the contract area had the State not come to a contractual arrangement with the previous Contractor party in 1996.

Upon completion of the acquisition of an interest in the Samgori PSC we had a contractual obligation to issue 4,000,000 shares of CanArgo Common Stock to Europa Oil Services Limited (Europa), an unaffiliated company in connection with a consultancy agreement with Europa in relation to this acquisition. On April 16, 2004 Europa was issued with 4,000,000 restricted shares of CanArgo Common Stock in an arms length transaction. A further 12,000,000 shares of CanArgo Common Stock are issuable upon certain production targets being met from future developments under the Samgori PSC.

In September 2004, a blow-out occurred at the N100 well on the Ninotsminda Field. The Company currently estimates that the total costs attributable to the blow-out, including compensation and cleaning of the environment will be \$2,000,000. The Company's insurance policies cover 80% of these costs up to a maximum of \$2,500,000 and the remaining 20% insurance retention being payable by the Company. On June 3, 2005 we received \$800,000, as a first installment, from our insurance company.

18 Discontinued OperationsCanArgo Standard Oil Products Limited

In September 2002, we approved a plan to sell our interest in CanArgo Standard Oil Products Limited (CSOP), a petroleum product retail business in Georgia, to finance our exploration and production activities. In October 2002, we reached agreement with Westrade Alliance LLC, an unaffiliated company, to sell our wholly owned subsidiary, CanArgo Petroleum Products Limited (CPPL), which held our 50% interest in CSOP for \$4,000,000 in an arms-length transaction, with legal ownership being transferred upon receipt of final payment due originally in August 2003 and subsequently extended. The total payment received in 2004 was \$1,857,000 with the final payment of the consideration received by us in December 2004 at which time we transferred our ownership in CPPL to Westrade Alliance LLC.

Table of Contents

The results of discontinued operations in respect of CSOP consisted of the following for the six month period ended June 30, 2004:

| | June 30, 2005 (Unaudited) | June 30, 2004 (Unaudited) |
|--|--|---------------------------------|
| Operating Revenues | \$ | 5,735,542 |
| Loss Before Income taxes and Minority Interest | | 106,956 |
| Income Taxes | | 41,278 |
| Minority Interest in Loss | | (74,117) |
| Net Loss from Discontinued Operation | \$ | \$ 74,117 |

The results of discontinued operations in respect of CSOP consisted of the following for the three month period ended June 30, 2004:

| | June 30, 2005 (Unaudited) | June 30, 2004 (Unaudited) |
|--|--|---------------------------------|
| Operating Revenues | \$ | 3,209,779 |
| Loss Before Income taxes and Minority Interest | | 109,261 |
| Income Taxes | | |
| Minority Interest in Loss | | (54,631) |
| Net Loss from Discontinued Operation | \$ | \$ 54,630 |

Lateral Vector Resources Inc

Lateral Vector Resources Inc. (LVR), a wholly-owned subsidiary of CanArgo, negotiated and concluded with Ukrnafta, the Ukrainian State Oil Company, a Joint Investment Production Activity (JIPA) agreement in 1998 to develop the Bugruvativske Field located in Eastern Ukraine.

In 2003, due to the lack of progress with the implementation of the JIPA, and failure to reach a negotiated agreement with Ukrnafta, management reached the decision to dispose of its interest in the Bugruvativske project and withdraw from Ukraine. Consequently, we recorded in 2003 a write-down in respect to the LVR deal and the acquisition of the Bugruvativske Field of approximately \$4,790,727.

On May 28, 2004, we announced that pursuant to a signed agreement between CanArgo Acquisition Corporation, our wholly owned subsidiary, and Stanhope Solutions Ltd., we had completed a transaction to sell our interest in the Bugruvativske Field through the disposal of LVR for \$2,000,000. We received \$250,000 as an initial payment and will receive the remaining \$1,750,000 if certain production targets are achieved on the project.

Table of Contents

The results of discontinued operations in respect of LVR consisted of the following for the six month period ended June 30, 2004:

| | June 30, 2005 (Unaudited) | June 30, 2004 (Unaudited) |
|---|--|---------------------------------|
| Loss (Income) Before Income taxes and Minority Interest | \$ | \$ 3,026 |
| Net Loss (Income) from Discontinued Operation | \$ | \$ 3,026 |

The results of discontinued operations in respect of LVR consisted of the following for the three month period ended June 30, 2004:

| | June 30, 2005 (Unaudited) | June 30, 2004 (Unaudited) |
|---|--|---------------------------------|
| Loss (Income) Before Income taxes and Minority Interest | \$ | \$ (11,092) |
| Net Loss (Income) from Discontinued Operation | \$ | \$ (11,092) |

Georgian American Oil Refinery

In 2003, we approved a plan to dispose of our interest in the Georgian American Oil Refinery Limited (GAOR) as the refinery had remained closed since 2001 and neither we nor our partners could find a commercially viable option to putting the refinery back into operation. In February 2004, we reached agreement with a local Georgian company to sell our 51% interest in GAOR for a nominal price of one US dollar and the assumption of all the obligations and debts of GAOR to the State of Georgia including deferred tax liabilities of approximately \$380,000. The gain recorded on disposition of GAOR was \$330,923.

The results of operations of GAOR have been classified as discontinued for all periods presented. Net income from discontinued operations is disclosed net of taxes and minority interest. The plan to dispose of the asset led to the write-off of an inter-company payable relating to oil sales purchased from Ninotsminda Oil Company Limited. These items have been respectively recorded in impairment of other assets and other income (expense) components of continuing operations.

The results of discontinued operations in respect of GAOR consisted of the following for the six months ended June 30, 2004:

| | June 30, 2005 (Unaudited) | June 30, 2004 (Unaudited) |
|---|--|---------------------------------|
| Operating Revenues | \$ | \$ |
| Loss (Income) Before Income taxes and Minority Interest | | |
| Minority Interest in Loss | | (523,968) |
| Net Loss (Income) from Discontinued Operation | \$ | \$ (523,968) |

Table of Contents

The results of discontinued operations in respect of GAOR consisted of the following for the three months ended June 30, 2004:

| | June 30, 2005 (Unaudited) | June 30, 2004 (Unaudited) |
|---|--|---------------------------------|
| Operating Revenues | \$ | \$ |
| Loss (Income) Before Income taxes and Minority Interest | | |
| Minority Interest in Loss | | |
| Net Loss (Income) from Discontinued Operation | \$ | \$ |

3-megawatt duel fuel power generator

In 2003, we signed a sales agreement disposing of a 3-megawatt duel fuel power generator for \$600,000. Following receipt of a non-refundable deposit of \$300,000, the unit was shipped to the US for testing. The test was completed at the beginning of 2005 and we expect the generator will be delivered to the buyer in the near future following receipt of the final payment.

The generator has been classified as Assets held for sale for all periods presented.

Gross consolidated assets in respect of the generator included in assets held for sale consisted of the following at June 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004:

| | June 30, 2005 (Unaudited) | December 31, 2004 (Audited) |
|-----------------------|--|--------------------------------------|
| Assets held for sale: | | |
| Capital assets, net | \$ 600,000 | \$ 600,000 |
| | \$ 600,000 | \$ 600,000 |

19 Segment and Geographical Data

The segment and geographical data below is presented for the six and three month periods ended June 30, 2005. For the six and three month periods ended June 30, 2004 the Republic of Georgia represented the only geographical segment.

Operating revenues from continued operations for the six month periods ended June 30, 2005 by geographical area were as follows:

**June 30,
2005
(Unaudited)**

| | |
|---|--------------|
| Oil and Gas Exploration, Development And Production | |
| Republic of Georgia | \$ 2,566,209 |
| Republic of Kazakhstan | |
| | |
| Total | \$ 2,566,209 |

Table of Contents

Operating revenues from continued operations for the three month periods ended June 30, 2005 by geographical area were as follows:

| | June 30, 2005 (Unaudited) |
|---|--|
| Oil and Gas Exploration, Development And Production | |
| Republic of Georgia | \$ 1,232,532 |
| Republic of Kazakhstan | |
| | |
| Total | \$ 1,232,532 |

Operating loss income from continued operations for the six month periods ended June 30, 2005 by geographical area was as follows:

| | June 30, 2005 (Unaudited) |
|---|--|
| Oil and Gas Exploration, Development And Production | |
| Republic of Georgia | \$ (52,187) |
| Republic of Kazakhstan | |
| | |
| Corporate and Other Expenses | (4,363,975) |
| | |
| Total Operating Loss | \$ (4,416,162) |

Operating income (loss) income from continued operations for the three month periods ended June 30, 2005 by geographical area was as follows:

| | June 30, 2005 (Unaudited) |
|---|--|
| Oil and Gas Exploration, Development And Production | |
| Republic of Georgia | \$ 40,998 |
| Republic of Kazakhstan | |
| | |
| Corporate and Other Expenses | (2,250,422) |
| | |
| Total Operating Loss | \$ (2,209,404) |

Net (loss) income before minority interest from continuing operations for the six month periods ended June 30, 2005 by geographic area was as follows:

| | June 30, 2005 (Unaudited) |
|--|--|
| Oil and Gas Exploration, Development And Production Republic of Georgia Republic of Kazakhstan | \$ (52,187) |
| Corporate and Other Expenses | (4,677,772) |
| Net (Loss) Income Before Minority Interest | \$ (4,729,959) |

Table of Contents

Net (loss) income before minority interest from continuing operations for the three month periods ended June 30, 2005 by geographic area was as follows:

| | June 30, 2005 (Unaudited) |
|---|--|
| Oil and Gas Exploration, Development And Production | |
| Republic of Georgia | \$ 40,998 |
| Republic of Kazakhstan | |
| Gain on sale of refinery | |
| Republic of Georgia | |
| Corporate and Other Expenses | (2,297,481) |
| Net (Loss) Income Before Minority Interest | \$ (2,256,483) |

The segment and geographical data below is presented as of June 30, 2005. As of December 31, 2004 the Republic of Georgia represented the only geographical segment.

Identifiable assets of continuing and discontinued operations as of June 30, 2005 by business segment and geographical area were as follows:

| | June 30, 2005 (Unaudited) |
|---|--|
| Corporate | |
| Republic of Georgia | \$ 590,884 |
| Republic of Kazakhstan | |
| Western Europe (principally cash) | 29,996,815 |
| Total Corporate | 30,587,699 |
| Oil and Gas Exploration, Development and Production | |
| Republic of Georgia | 89,169,284 |
| Republic of Kazakhstan | 10,342,938 |
| Assets Held for Sale | |
| Western Europe | 600,000 |
| Total Identifiable Assets | \$ 130,699,921 |

20 **Subsequent Events**

On July 25, 2005, we announced that we had closed the private placement of a \$25,000,000 issue of Senior Convertible Secured Loan Notes (SCSLN) with a group of investors arranged by Ingalls & Snyder LLC of New York

City.

The proceeds of this financing, after the payment of all professional and placing expenses and fees estimated at \$550,000, have been used to redeem short term debt in the amount of approximately \$7,400,000 under the Promissory Note with Cornell Capital to fund the appraisal of a new gas project in Georgia, to fund the development of the Kzyloy Gas Field in Kazakhstan and adjacent exploration areas, and for additional working capital for our development, appraisal and exploration activities in Georgia. In addition, we are terminating the SEDA which we currently have with Cornell Capital.

Table of Contents

In connection with the SCSLN we entered into a Note Purchase Agreement with a group of private investors (the Purchasers), all of whom represented that they qualified as accredited investors under Rule 501(a) promulgated under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act). Pursuant to the Note Purchase Agreement, we issued a note due July 25, 2009 in the aggregate principal amount of \$25,000,000 to Ingalls & Snyder LLC, as nominee for the Purchasers, in a transaction intended to qualify for an exemption from registration under the Securities Act pursuant to Section 4(2) thereof and Regulation D promulgated thereunder. For purposes hereof each of the Purchasers is deemed a beneficial holder of the Note and such Purchasers may each be assigned their own Note as provided in the Note Purchase Agreement and, accordingly, all such Notes are referred to herein collectively as the Note and any such Purchaser or its assignee is referred to herein as a holder of the Note.

The terms of the Note Purchase Agreement and related agreements include the following:

Interest. The unpaid principal balance under the Note bears interest (computed on the basis of a 360-day year of twelve 30-day months) (a) at increasing rates ranging from 3% per annum from the date of issuance to December 31, 2005; 10% per annum from January 1, 2006 until December 31, 2006; and 15% per annum from January 1, 2007 until final payment, payable semi-annually, on June 30th and December 30th, commencing December 30, 2005, until the principal shall have become due and payable and (b) at 3% per annum above the applicable rate on any overdue payments of principal and interest.

Optional Prepayments. CanArgo may, at its option, upon at least not less than 90 days and not more than 120 days prior written notice, prepay at any time and from time to time after July 31, 2006, all or any part of the Note, in a principal amount of not less than \$100,000 at the following Redemption Prices (expressed as percentages of the principal amount so prepaid): 105% after July 31, 2006; 104% after January 1, 2007; 103% after July 1, 2007; 102% after January 1, 2008; 101% after July 1, 2008, and 100% after January 1, 2009, together with all accrued and unpaid interest.

Mandatory Prepayment. CanArgo shall offer to prepay all, but not less than all, of the Note, on not less than 15 business days prior written notice, in the event of an occurrence of a Change of Control or Control Event. *Change in Control* is defined to mean (a) if CanArgo shall at any time cease to be a publicly held company or cease to have its capital stock traded on an exchange or (b) a transaction or series of related transactions pursuant to which (i) at least fifty-one percent (51%) of the outstanding shares of CanArgo's common stock or, on a fully diluted basis, shall subsequent to July 25, 2005 be owned by any person which is not related to or affiliated with CanArgo, (ii) if CanArgo merges into or with, consolidates with or effects any plan of share exchange or other combination with any person which is not related to or affiliated with CanArgo, or (iii) if CanArgo disposes of all or substantially all of its assets other than in the ordinary course of business and *Control Event* is defined to mean (i) the execution by CanArgo or any material subsidiary of CanArgo which has guaranteed the indebtedness evidenced by the Note (a CanArgo Group Member) of any agreement or letter of intent with respect to any proposed transaction or event or series of transactions or events which, individually or in the aggregate, may reasonably be expected to result in a Change in Control, or (ii) the execution of any written agreement which, when fully performed by the parties thereto, would result in a Change in Control.

Conversion. The Note is convertible, at the option of holders, into shares of CanArgo common stock (Conversion Stock) at a conversion price per share of \$0.90 (the Conversion Price), which is subject to adjustment: (a) if CanArgo issues any equity securities (other than pursuant to the granting of employee stock options pursuant to shareholder approved employee stock option plans or existing outstanding options, warrants and convertible securities) at a price per share of less than \$0.90 per share net of all fees, costs and expenses in which case the Conversion Price will be reset to such lower price and (b) in connection with any stock split, stock dividend, reverse stock split, reclassification, recapitalization, combination, merger, consolidation or any similar transaction, in which case the Conversion Price and number of shares of Conversion Stock will be appropriately adjusted to reflect any such event, such that the holders of the Note will receive upon conversion the identical number of shares of common stock or other consideration or property to be received by the holders of the common stock as if the holders had converted the Note immediately prior to any such event as such amount would then be adjusted by reason of such stock split, stock dividend, reverse stock split, reclassification, recapitalization, combination, merger, consolidation or

Table of Contents

other similar transaction. No fractional shares of common stock shall be issued upon any conversion; instead the Conversion Price shall be appropriately adjusted so that holders shall receive the nearest whole number of shares upon any conversion.

In connection with the execution and delivery of the Note Purchase Agreement, CanArgo entered into a Registration Rights Agreement with the Purchasers pursuant to which it agreed to register the Conversion Stock for resale under the Securities Act.

Security. Payment of all amounts due and payable under the Note Purchase Agreement, the Note and all related agreements (collectively, the Loan Documents) is secured by a security interest in all of CanArgo's assets, including its principal Guernsey bank account, as well as, guarantees from each other CanArgo Group Member and pledges of all of the outstanding capital stock of Ninotsminda Oil Company Limited, a limited liability company incorporated under the laws of the Republic of Cyprus; CanArgo Limited, a company incorporated under the laws of the Island of Guernsey; and Tethys Petroleum Investments Limited, a company incorporated under the laws of the Island of Guernsey, each of which is an indirect subsidiary of CanArgo. If CanArgo forms or acquires a Material Subsidiary (as defined in the Note Purchase Agreement) it shall cause such Subsidiary to execute a Subsidiary Guaranty (other than for certain excepted companies and legal entities) and thereby become a CanArgo Group Member subject to the provisions of the Note Purchase Agreement.

Covenants. Under the terms of the Note Purchase Agreement CanArgo is subject to certain affirmative and negative covenants, which can be waived by the beneficial holders of at least 51% of the outstanding principal amount of the Note (the Required Holders), including the following affirmative and negative covenants, respectively: (a) providing current information regarding CanArgo and rights of inspection; compliance with laws; maintenance of corporate existence, insurance and properties; payment of taxes; providing additional security; payment of counsel fees for the Purchasers (not in excess of \$100,000) and a placement fee of \$250,000; and termination of the SEDA, and (b) restrictions on: transactions with affiliates; mergers, consolidations and sales of all of CanArgo's assets; liens (except for certain permitted liens); the issuance of additional senior or *pari passu* indebtedness; changes in CanArgo's line of business; certain types of payments; sale-and leasebacks; sales of assets other than in the ordinary course of business; future Indebtedness, as defined in the Note Purchase Agreement (other than certain permitted indebtedness); canceling, terminating, waiving or amending provisions of, or selling any interests in (other than under certain circumstances) any of the Basic Agreements (as defined in the Note Purchase Agreement); and adopting any anti-take-over defenses except as permitted by the Note Purchase Agreement. CanArgo is not subject to any financial covenants, such as the maintenance of minimum net worth or coverage ratios, other than the restriction on its ability to incur additional Indebtedness.

Events of Default. An Event of Default shall exist if one or more of the following occurs and is continuing: (i) failure to pay when due any principal and, after 5 days, any interest, payable under the Note or any Security Document; (ii) default in the performance of certain enumerated covenants; (iii) default in the performance or compliance with any other terms which remains unremedied for 30 days after the earlier of a Responsible Officer first obtaining actual and not constructive knowledge of the default or the receipt of notice; (iv) any representation or warranty made in writing on behalf of CanArgo or any other CanArgo Group Member proves to have been false or incorrect in any material respect; (v) customary events involving bankruptcy, insolvency or reorganization; (vi) the entry of a final judgment or judgments in excess of \$2,500,000 (uncovered by insurance), which is not discharged or settled; (vii) violations of ERISA or the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, under funding of accrued benefit liabilities and other matters relating to employee benefit plans subject to ERISA or Foreign Pension Plans; (viii) any Loan Document ceases to be in full force and effect (except in accordance with its terms) or its validity is challenged by CanArgo or any affiliate; (ix) CanArgo or any other CanArgo Group Member modifies its Charter Document which results in a Default or Event of Default or will adversely affect the rights of Noteholders; or (x) a change occurs in the consolidated financial condition of CanArgo or in the physical, operational or financial status of the Properties (as defined in the Note Purchase Agreement), which change has a Material Adverse Effect (as defined in the Note Purchase Agreement).

Other than for certain Events of Default that will result in an automatic acceleration without notice, such as bankruptcy, if an Event of Default occurs and is continuing, the Required Holders may at any time at its or

Table of Contents

their option, by notice to CanArgo, declare all outstanding Notes to be immediately due and payable and holders of the Note may proceed to enforce their rights under the Loan Documents at law or in equity. CanArgo is responsible for the payment of all costs of collection, including all reasonable legal fees actually incurred in connection therewith.

Miscellaneous. The Note Purchase Agreement, the Note, the Security Agreement, the Subsidiary Guaranty and the Registration Rights Agreement are all governed by New York Law and the CanArgo Group Members party thereto subject themselves to the jurisdiction of New York Courts and waive the right to jury trial. The Pledge Agreements and the Security Interest Agreement relating to CanArgo's bank account are governed by the laws of the Bailiwick of Guernsey and the Republic of Cyprus, as provided therein.

On August 1, 2005, we made a payment of \$7,422,410.96 being the outstanding amount payable by CanArgo to Cornell Capital under the terms of both the Promissory Note and the SEDA. In accordance with Section 6 of the Promissory Note, upon receipt of such outstanding sums the Promissory Note is deemed cancelled.

Table of Contents

**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations
Qualifying Statement With Respect To Forward-Looking Information**

*THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION CONTAINS FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS. SEE
FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS BELOW AND ELSEWHERE IN THIS REPORT.*

In addition to the historical information included in this report, you are cautioned that this Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. When the words believes, plans, anticipates, will likely result, will continue, projects, expects, and similar expressions in this Form 10-Q, they are intended to identify forward-looking statements, and such statements are subject to certain risks and uncertainties which could cause actual results to differ materially from those projected. Furthermore, our plans, strategies, objectives, expectations and intentions are subject to change at any time at the discretion of management and the Board.

These forward-looking statements speak only as of the date this report is filed. The Company does not intend to update the forward-looking statements contained in this report, so as to reflect events or circumstances after the date hereof or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events, except as may occur as part of our ongoing periodic reports filed with the SEC.

The following is a discussion of our financial condition, results of operations, liquidity and capital resources. This discussion should be read in conjunction with our consolidated annual financial statements and the notes thereto, included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed for the year ended December 31, 2004 and subsequent amendments thereof filed on Forms 10-K/A in addition to our condensed consolidated quarterly financial statements and the notes thereto, included in Item 1 of this report.

Operationally, during the quarter, we continued to progress our exploration, appraisal and development plans both in our core area of Georgia and on our more recently acquired assets in Kazakhstan.

Georgia

On our Georgian assets, the focus has remained on our horizontal development drilling program on our Ninotsminda and Samgori Fields, the appraisal drilling program on our Manavi oil discovery, and exploration at Norio.

The planned development program for the Ninotsminda and Samgori Fields includes the drilling of up to 15 horizontal well sections which will be mainly drilled as sidetracks from existing vertical wells. It is planned that the horizontal component of these wells will be drilled under-balanced through the Middle Eocene reservoir section using Under-Balanced Coiled Tubing Drilling (UBCTD) technology. In June 2004, a contract was signed with WEUS Holding Inc., a subsidiary of Weatherford International Ltd, (Weatherford) for supply of the UBCTD equipment and services. Operations commenced on the N22H well on the Ninotsminda Field in December 2004, but were only completed in March 2005 with a horizontal section only 23% of that intended. The delay in completing this well and the failure to achieve the drilling objective was due to mechanical problems with the UBCTD equipment.

Nevertheless, the results obtained (higher gas flow rates than previously observed on the field) tend to indicate that under-balanced technology will be beneficial for production from this reservoir.

Subsequent operations by Weatherford on both the N100H2 and N49H wells also proved unsuccessful. Progress was hampered by multiple failures of the downhole motors and the loss of bottom hole assemblies in the wells. No horizontal section was drilled in either of these wells.

On July 21, 2005, we announced that Weatherford had given notice that it was demobilising its equipment.

Weatherford has now demobilised the equipment from the drilling site in preparation for moving it out of Georgia.

We are currently in negotiations with other UBCTD equipment suppliers and hope to be in a position to return to under-balanced drilling operations within the next three to six months. In the meantime and in the interests of capitalizing on the current high oil price, we plan to drill up to two horizontal sidetrack wells on the Ninotsminda

Table of Contents

Field and possibly one well on the Samgori Field using jointed pipe and our own drilling rig. A service contract has been signed with Baker Hughes for the provision of directional equipment for use in the drilling of these wells. Four horizontal sections have already been successfully drilled on the Ninotsminda Field using these techniques in the past. The first of the planned horizontals will be a second horizontal well from the N100 location. This part of the Ninotsminda reservoir has been shown to be very productive in the past, with the original well, N100H1, testing at rates in excess of 2,200 barrels of oil per day and having produced approximately 220,000 barrels.

On the Manavi M11Z sidetrack well to the original oil discovery, 5-inch casing has been run to a depth of 13,143 feet (4,007 metres). The Saipem Ideco E-2100Az drilling rig (which is equipped with a top-drive drilling system and is using a Baker-Hughes oil-based mud system) has successfully drilled through the over-pressured swelling clays that had proven challenging in the past. The Middle Eocene horizon (which came in high to prognosis and is the main reservoir in the Ninotsminda and Samgori Fields) shows evidence of hydrocarbons being present. We now plan to drill ahead to a total depth of 14,760 feet (4,500 metres) to fully test and evaluate the Middle Eocene and the Cretaceous reservoir intervals using the Saipem rig and slim-hole drilling technology. The M11Z well is expected to be tested during late August/early September and will be followed by the M12 appraisal well, which will be drilled to the west of the M11 location. The drilling site is already prepared and it is anticipated that the well will be spudded in October. Following this, we plan to drill the M13 appraisal well (located to the east of M11) commencing in the first quarter 2006. Given a successful test on M11Z, an early production system will be installed and test production will commence from the well, this being both to achieve early revenue and to gather production data for the full-field development.

On April 8, 2005 we announced that our wholly-owned subsidiary, CanArgo Norio Limited, had reached an agreement with a wholly owned subsidiary of Georgian Oil to secure 100% of the contractor share in the Norio (Block XI^c) & North Kumisi Production Sharing Agreement (the Norio PSA). The agreement included the payment to Georgian Oil of \$1,758,000 to terminate the farm-in agreement signed in September 2003. Georgian Oil had previously paid \$1,758,000 of a \$2,000,000 commitment to complete the MK72 exploration well to earn a 15% interest in the contractor share of the Norio PSA. The final documentation terminating the farm-in agreement was signed on May 6, 2005.

In late June, we recommenced drilling operations on the suspended MK72 well on the Norio PSA. The well is targeting a potentially large prospect mapped at Middle Eocene level just to the north of the Samgori Field. Operations are currently underway on the well which is currently in a highly over-pressured section, and it is planned to drill towards the primary target which seismic data suggests to be at a depth of approximately 15,747 feet (4,800 metres). Oil has already been encountered in the well which penetrated approximately 985 feet (300 metres) of net sandstones in the Oligocene secondary target, with oil being indicated by electric logs and with good oil and gas shows while drilling.

Following agreement with the Georgian Government on the principles of a gas offtake agreement and subject to concluding the agreement, we plan to drill an appraisal well to the West Rustavi R16 well, which flowed gas from the Cretaceous sequence at a depth of 12,792 feet (3,900 metres), close to the interpreted gas-water contact. Seismic data acquired by us indicates that the structure rises to the west and could contain a substantial volume of gas. Subject to the finalisation of the gas sales agreement, we hope to commence drilling the Kumisi 1 well in the fourth quarter 2005 depending on rig availability. Given success, the well will be tied into the Georgian gas system, with further development drilling anticipated.

Kazakhstan

In September 2003, following discussions with Provincial Securities Limited (Provincial), of which Russ Hammond (one of our non-executive directors) is Investment Manager, an agreement was concluded whereby Tethys Petroleum Investments Limited (Tethys), a newly formed company in which CanArgo would hold a 45% beneficial interest and in which Provincial would hold a 45% beneficial interest, would acquire the Kazakhstan assets of Atlantic Caspian Resources plc (ACR), a UK public company, for a 10% beneficial interest in Tethys. Under the terms of the Agreement between ACR and Tethys, ACR warranted that it held an interest in a Kazakh Limited Liability Partnership, BN-Munai LLP (BNM), which ACR claimed in turn owned interests in the Akkulkovsky exploration area and the Kyzyloy Gas Field. BNM's interest centred on the Akkulkovsky exploration area and the Kyzyloy Gas Field,

located in western Kazakhstan, just to the west of the Aral Sea. In the four years prior to our ownership interest, BNM had drilled two deep exploration wells in the Akkulkovsky area, which they plugged and abandoned. When Tethys examined in detail the claims of ACR it was found that the Akkulkovsky exploration contract was in the process of being cancelled and that no binding Production Contract existed for the Kyzyluy Field.

During the next period, with the assistance of Provincial, we secured an extension to the Akkulkovsky Exploration Contract (until September 2005), signed an agreement in principle to acquire the company which had won the tender for the much larger Greater Akkulkovsky exploration area and in May 2005 the Kyzyluy Production Contract was signed by the Ministry of Energy & Natural Resources of the Republic of Kazakhstan. CanArgo looked on these events as a significant step forward in its activities in Kazakhstan.

On June 7, 2005, CanArgo made an offer to acquire the 55% of the common stock of Tethys Petroleum Investments Limited (Tethys) which it did not own for consideration of 11,000,000 CanArgo common shares and on June 9, 2005 CanArgo issued its common shares. On completion of the acquisition, CanArgo held 100% of the common stock of TPI and TPI became a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company.

CanArgo increased its interest in the Kazakhstan project primarily to exploit the considerable upside which the Company sees in the Akkulkovsky area. In addition, the proved reserves of the Kyzyluy Field should bring early cash flow providing a balanced portfolio of exploration & development together with the company's established position and its ability to seek further assets.

Tethys through its wholly owned subsidiary Tethys Kazakhstan Ltd (TKL) owns a 70% interest in BN Munai LLP (BNM) a local Kazakh company whose interests center on the Akkulkovsky exploration block, a 1,667 ~~km~~ 11,749 acres) area, and a shallow gas field, the Kyzyluy Gas Field, located in the North Ustyurt basin in western Kazakhstan, just to the west of the Aral Sea. In early May, 2005, a Production Contract was signed by the Kazakhstan Ministry of Energy and Natural Resources and BNM relating to the Kyzyluy Gas Field. This is a shallow gas field which is estimated to contain independently assessed Proved Undeveloped natural gas reserves of 30 billion cubic feet (0.85 billion cubic metres). Six suspended

Table of Contents

gas wells at a depth of approximately 1,476 feet (450 metres) exist on the field with reported test flow rates of up to 9.6 million standard cubic feet (271,000 cubic metres) of gas per day.

Our immediate plans include the development of the Kyzyluy Gas Field with first gas expected before the end of the year, subject to finalisation of a gas sales agreement. Workover operations are now underway on the first of the six wells in preparation for the development of the Kyzyluy Field which is intended to be tied into the main Bukhara-Urals gas trunkline through a 37.5 mile (60 km) pipeline. It is planned to produce gas from the field with an initial expected plateau rate of 17.7 million cubic feet (500,000 cubic metres) per day.

In our acreage surrounding the Kyzyluy Field, we have identified several shallow exploration prospects which are analogous to the Kyzyluy structure. We have plans to drill five exploration wells this year each with a target depth of approximately 1,970 feet (600 metres). The first of these wells is now being drilled and we expect it to be completed by mid-August. Given success, these prospects would be tied into the Kyzyluy export pipeline which is being designed with a capacity of up to 78 million cubic feet (2.2 million cubic metres) of gas per day.

On the Akkulkovsky area, the existing seismic data is being reprocessed with the objective of improving the resolution at depth in an attempt to firm up deeper prospects. We believe that these prospects have potential to be similar to the reported large gas condensate fields just to the south in Uzbekistan which lie along the same structural trend. Based on the results of the interpretation of the reprocessed data, we may include a deep exploration well in our plans for 2006.

Work is continuing to finalise the acquisition of the exploration contract for the Greater Akkulkovsky area, an area of approximately 10,000 km² (10.9 million acres) surrounding the Akkulkovsky block which we believe has substantial exploration potential. We have also submitted an application for three further areas in the recent Kazakh licencing round.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

As of June 30, 2005 we had working capital of \$11,241,000 compared to working capital of \$23,952,000 as of December 31, 2004.

Cash flows from our Georgian operations together with the proceeds of the private placement of a \$25,000,000 issue of Senior Convertible Secured Loan Notes with a small group of investors (detailed above) means we have or should secure the working capital necessary to cover our immediate and near term funding requirements with respect to our currently planned development activities in the Republic of Georgia on our Ninotsminda and Samgori Fields and the appraisal of our Manavi oil discovery, and our exploration and development plans in the Republic of Kazakhstan.

While a considerable amount of infrastructure for the Ninotsminda and Samgori Fields has already been put in place, we cannot provide assurance that:

 funding of a field development plan will be timely;

 that our development plan will be successfully completed or will increase production; or

 that field operating revenues after completion of the development plan will exceed operating costs.

To pursue existing projects beyond our immediate appraisal and development plans and to pursue new opportunities, we may require additional capital. While expected to be substantial, without further exploration work and evaluation the exact amount of funds needed to fully develop all of our oil and gas properties cannot at present, be quantified. Potential sources of funds include additional sales of equity securities, project financing, debt financing and the participation of other oil and gas entities in our projects. Based on our past history of raising capital and continuing discussions, we believe that such required funds may be available. However, there is no assurance that such funds will be available, and if available, will be offered on attractive or acceptable terms. Should such funding not be forthcoming, we may not be able to pursue projects beyond our current appraisal and development plans or to pursue new opportunities.

Under the terms of the Senior Convertible Secured Loan Notes we are restricted from incurring future indebtedness and from issuing additional senior or *pari passu* indebtedness, except with the prior consent of the Required Holders or in limited permitted circumstances. The definition of indebtedness encompasses all customary forms of indebtedness including, without limitation, liabilities for the deferred consideration, liabilities for borrowed money

secured by any lien or other specified security interest, liabilities in respect of letters of credit or similar instruments (excluding letters of credit which are 100% cash collateralised) and guarantees in relation to such forms of indebtedness (excluding parent company guarantees provided by the Company in respect of the indebtedness or obligations of any of the Company's subsidiaries under its Basic Documents (as defined in the Note Purchase Agreement)). Pursuant to the terms of the Note Purchase Agreement, permitted future indebtedness is (a) indebtedness outstanding under the Senior Convertible Secured Loan Notes; (b) any additional unsecured indebtedness, the aggregate amount outstanding thereunder at any time not exceeding \$1,250,000 and; (c) certain unsecured intra-group indebtedness (in the case of indebtedness of a CanArgo Group Member (as defined in the Note Purchase Agreement) to a direct or indirect subsidiary of the Company which is not deemed to be a Material Subsidiary under the Note Purchase Agreement the aggregate amount outstanding under the particular indebtedness shall not exceed \$1,000,000 at any time).

Table of Contents

Development of the oil and gas properties and ventures in which we have interests involves multi-year efforts and substantial cash expenditures. While funding is available to us to pursue our current appraisal and development plans, full development of our oil and gas properties and ventures may require the availability of substantial additional financing from external sources. We may also, where opportunities exist, seek to transfer portions of our interests in oil and gas properties and ventures to entities in exchange for such financing. We generally have the principal responsibility for arranging financing for the oil and gas properties and ventures in which we have an interest. There can be no assurance, however, that we or the entities that are developing the oil and gas properties and ventures will be able to arrange the financing necessary to develop the projects being undertaken or to support the corporate and other activities of CanArgo. There can also be no assurance that such financing will be available on terms that are attractive or acceptable to or are deemed to be in the best interest of CanArgo, such entities and their respective stockholders or participants.

Ultimate realization of the carrying value of our oil and gas properties and ventures will require production of oil and gas in sufficient quantities and marketing such oil and gas at sufficient prices to provide positive cash flow to CanArgo. Establishment of successful oil and gas operations is dependent upon, among other factors, the following:

- mobilization of equipment and personnel to implement effectively drilling, completion and production activities;
- raising of additional capital;
- achieving significant production at costs that provide acceptable margins;
- reasonable levels of taxation, or economic arrangements in lieu of taxation in host countries; and
- the ability to market the oil and gas produced at or near world prices.

Subject to our ability to raise additional capital, above, we have plans to mobilize resources and achieve levels of production and profits sufficient to recover the carrying value of our oil and gas properties and ventures. However, if one or more of the above factors, or other factors, are different than anticipated, these plans may not be realized, and we may not recover the carrying value of our oil and gas properties and ventures.

Balance Sheet Changes

Cash and cash equivalents decreased \$5,806,000 from \$24,617,000 at December 31, 2004 to \$18,811,000 at June 30, 2005. The decrease was primarily due to expenditures in the period to fund the cost of preparing wells for our horizontal development program at the Ninotsminda and Samgori Fields, the appraisal of our Manavi oil discovery in Georgia, activities in Kazakhstan and net cash used by operating activities. This has been partially offset by cash received pursuant to the takedowns under the SEDA and the \$15,000,000 Promissory Note received from Cornell. Restricted cash increased to \$5,300,000 at June 30, 2005 from \$1,400,000 at December 31, 2004 due to the funding of a certificate of deposit to secure the issuance of a letter of credit as required under the rig rental and drilling contract we entered into with Saipem, S.p.A.

Accounts receivable decreased from \$2,526,000 at December 31, 2004 to \$1,613,000 at June 30, 2005 primarily due to the receipt of \$800,000 from our insurers in relation to N100 blow out costs and timing issues related to sales of crude oil at month end.

Inventory increased from \$254,000 at December 31, 2004 to \$692,000 at June 30, 2005 due to the accumulation of larger batches of oil for export sales.

Prepayments increased from \$1,518,000 at December 31, 2004 to \$2,972,000 at June 30, 2005 as a result of an increase in prepayments for materials and services related to our appraisal activities at the Manavi oil discovery, our horizontal well development program at the Ninotsminda and Samgori Fields and our Kazakhstan activities. Upon receipt of the materials and services, those amounts will be transferred to capital assets. This increase is included in the statement of cash flows as an investing activity.

Assets held for sale of \$600,000 at June 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004 consist of a 3-megawatt dual fuel power generator.

Table of Contents

Other current assets increased from \$122,000 at December 31, 2004 to \$156,000 at June 30, 2005.

Capital assets net, increased to \$100,007,000 at June 30, 2005 from \$72,996,000 at December 31, 2004, due to investing in capital assets including oil and gas properties and equipment, principally related to the Ninotsminda Production Sharing Contract and the acquisition of Tethys Petroleum Investments Limited and its 70% interest in the Kazakhstan based company BN Munai LLP.

Prepaid financing fees decreased to \$550,000 at June 30, 2005 from \$649,000 at December 31, 2004 due to the offset of commissions and professional fees, relating to the SEDA with Cornell Capital, against capital proceeds in excess of par value, partially offset by the fees charges by Cornell Capital in connection with the \$15,000,000 Promissory Note.

Investments in and advances to oil and gas and other ventures of \$479,000 at December 31, 2004 represented advances to our oil and gas interests in Kazakhstan partially offset by the impairment of our investment in the project as a result of losses incurred. We now own 70% of the Kazakhstan project, through our ownership of Tethys Petroleum Investments Limited, and our investment is reflected in capital assets as at June 30, 2005.

Accounts payable decreased to \$2,045,000 at June 30, 2005 from \$2,332,000 at December 31, 2004 primarily due to increased payments to suppliers in respect of preparing wells for our horizontal development program at the Ninotsminda Field in Georgia.

Loans payable increased to \$10,200,000 at June 30, 2005 from \$1,500,000 at December 31, 2004 due to the new Cornell Capital Promissory Note of \$15,000,000 issued in April 2005, partially offset by making repayments through a series of takedowns in May and June under the SEDA. The \$1,500,000 loan at December 31, 2004 was repaid by a series of takedowns in February and March 2005 under the SEDA.

Other liabilities decreased to \$759,000 at June 30, 2005 from \$3,081,000 at December 31, 2004 primarily due to the repayment in full of an oil sales security deposit in the amount of \$2,300,000.

Accrued liabilities increased from \$172,000 at December 31, 2004 to \$5,898,000 at June 30, 2005 due primarily to accrued contractor invoices in connection with our Georgian operations of which approximately \$2,800,000 relates to the disputed Weatherford invoices referred to in Note 13 of these financial statements.

Long term debt increased to \$898,000 at June 30, 2005 from \$832,000 at December 31, 2004 due to amortization of debt discount related to the \$1,050,000 convertible loan facility convertible into common stock with detachable warrants to purchase 2,000,000 common shares. In accordance with EITF 00-27 *Application of Issue No. 98-5 to Certain Convertible Instruments*, a portion of the proceeds of debt is accounted for as a discount to the face amount of the notes and is based on the relative fair value of the loans and the warrant securities and conversion stock at the time of issuance. At June 30, 2005 the unamortized discount amounted to \$152,000.

Provision for future site restoration increased to \$732,000 at June 30, 2004 from \$422,000 at December 31, 2005 primarily due to provisions for future site restoration in Kazakhstan as a result of the acquisition of new oil and gas properties.

Deferred compensation expense decreased to \$1,833,000 at June 30, 2005 from \$1,976,102 at December 31, 2004 due to share options issue expensed during the period.

Contractual Obligations and Commercial Terms

Our principal business and assets are derived from production sharing contracts and agreements (PSCs) in the Republic of Georgia and to a lesser extent, in the Republic of Kazakhstan. The legislative and procedural regimes governing PSCs and mineral use licenses in Georgia have undergone a series of changes in recent years resulting in certain legal uncertainties.

Our PSCs and mineral use licenses, entered into prior to the introduction in 1999 of a new Petroleum Law governing such agreements have not, as yet, been amended to reflect or ensure compliance with current legislation. As a result,

Table of Contents

despite references in the current legislation grandfathering the terms and conditions of our PSCs, conflicts between the interpretation of our PSCs and mineral use licenses and current legislation could arise. Such conflicts, if they arose, could cause an adverse effect on our rights under the PSCs. However the Norio PSA, the Tbilisi PSC and the Samgori PSC were concluded after enactment of the Petroleum Law, and under the terms and conditions of this legislation. To confirm that the Ninotsminda Production Sharing Contract (the Ninotsminda PSC) and the mineral usage license issued prior to the introduction in 1999 of the Petroleum Law were validly issued, in connection with its preparation of the Convertible Loan Agreement with us, the International Finance Corporation, an affiliate of the World Bank received in November 1998 confirmation from the State of Georgia, that among other things:

The State of Georgia recognizes and confirms the validity and enforceability of the Ninotsminda PSC and the license and all undertakings the State has covenanted with Ninotsminda Oil Company Limited (NOC) thereunder; the license was duly authorized and executed by the State at the time of its issuance and remained in full force and effect throughout its term; and

the license constitutes a valid and duly authorized grant by the State, being and remaining in full force and effect as of the signing of this confirmation and the benefits of the license fully extend to NOC by virtue of its interest in the license holder and the contractual rights under the Ninotsminda PSC.

Despite this confirmation and the grandfathering of the terms of existing PSCs in the Petroleum Law, subsequent legislative or other governmental changes could conflict with, challenge our rights or otherwise change current operations under the Ninotsminda PSC. No challenge has been made to date.

In 2002, the Participation Agreement for the three well exploration program on the Ninotsminda / Manavi area with a subsidiary of the US power company AES was terminated without AES earning any rights to any of the Ninotsminda / Manavi area reservoirs. The Company therefore has no present obligations in respect of AES. However, under a separate Letter of Agreement, if gas from the sub Middle Eocene is discovered and produced from the area covered by the Participation Agreement, AES will be entitled to recover at the rate of 15% of future gas sales from the Sub Middle Eocene, net of operating costs, approximately \$7,500,000, representing their prior funding under the Participation Agreement.

Under the Production Sharing Contract for Blocks XI^G and XI^H (the Tbilisi PSC) in the Republic of Georgia our subsidiary CNL will evaluate existing seismic and geological data during the first year and acquire additional seismic data within three years of the effective date of the Agreement which is September 29, 2003. The total commitment over the next thirteen months is \$350,000.

In April 2004, we acquired a 50% interest in the Samgori (Block XI^B) Production Sharing Contract (Samgori PSC) in Georgia. This interest was acquired from Georgian Oil Samgori Limited (GOSL), a company wholly owned by Georgian Oil, by one of our subsidiaries, CanArgo Samgori Limited (CSL). Under the terms of the agreement dated January 8, 2004, it is planned that up to 10 horizontal wells will be drilled on the Samgori Field. Completion of well S302, which was funded 100% by us, satisfied our commitment to GOSL under the acquisition agreement. It is planned that the remainder of the drilling program will be funded jointly by CSL and GOSL, the Contractor parties, pro rata to their interest in the Samgori PSC. The total cost to us of participating in the whole program, which is due to be completed by June 2008, is anticipated to be up to \$13,500,000.

The original Contractor party to the Samgori PSC, National Petroleum Limited (NPL), has an option to reacquire its Contractor's interest in the Samgori PSC and its 50% interest in the operating company in the event that the agreed work program is not completed in part by September 2006 and in full by June 2008. Furthermore, NPL has outstanding costs and expenses of \$37,528,964 in relation to the Samgori PSC which are recoverable by NPL receiving 30% of annual net profit from the Field until such costs have been fully repaid. Under the Samgori PSC, up to 50% of petroleum produced under the contract is allocated to the Contractor parties for the recovery of the cumulative allowable capital, operating and other project costs associated with the Samgori Field and exploration in Block XI^B (Cost Recovery Oil). The cost recovery pool includes the \$37,528,964 costs previously incurred by NPL. The balance of production (Profit Oil) is allocated on a 50/50 basis between the State and the Contractor parties respectively. While GOSL and CSL continue to have unrecovered costs, they will receive 75% of total

Table of Contents

production (net 37.5% to us). After recovery of their cumulative capital, operating and other allowable project costs including the NPL costs, the Contractor parties will receive 30% of Profit Oil (net 15% to us). The allocation of a share of production to the State, however, relieves the Contractor parties of all obligations they would otherwise have to pay the Republic of Georgia for taxes, duties and levies related to activities covered by the Samgori PSC. After NPL's costs are repaid from either Field production or other production in the PSC (in the event that new fields are developed in areas identified using seismic surveys originally performed by NPL), NPL shall continue to receive 5% of annual net profit.

Under the Samgori PSC, Georgian Oil as the State representative in the contract is entitled to receive up to 250,000 tons (approximately 1.6 million barrels) of oil (Base Level Oil) from a maximum of 50% per calendar quarter of production when the value of the cumulative Cost Recovery Oil, cumulative Cost Recovery Natural Gas, cumulative Profit Oil and cumulative Profit Natural Gas delivered to the Contractor parties exceeds the cumulative allowable capital, operating and other project costs including finance costs associated with the Samgori Field and exploration in Block XI^B and the NPL costs. While Base Level Oil is being delivered to Georgian Oil, the Contractor parties will continue to be entitled to a maximum of 50% of the remaining Profit Oil. The Base Level Oil is an estimate of the amount of oil that Georgian Oil would have expected to produce from the contract area had the State not come to a contractual arrangement with the previous Contractor party in 1996.

We have contingent obligations and may incur additional obligations, absolute or contingent, with respect to the acquisition and development of oil and gas properties and ventures in which we have interests that require or may require us to expend funds and to issue shares of our Common Stock.

Upon completion of the acquisition of an interest in the Samgori PSC we had a contractual obligation to issue four million shares of CanArgo Common Stock to Europa Oil Services Limited (Europa), an unaffiliated company in connection with a consultancy agreement with Europa in relation to this acquisition. On April 16, 2004 Europa was issued with four million restricted shares of CanArgo Common Stock in an arms length transaction. A further 12 million shares of CanArgo Common Stock are issuable upon certain production targets being met from future developments under the Samgori PSC.

At June 30, 2005, we had a contingent obligation to issue 187,500 shares of common stock to Fielden Management Services PTY, Ltd (a third party management services company) upon satisfaction of conditions relating to the achievement of specified Stynawske Field project performance standards, an oil field in Ukraine in which we had a previous interest.

In September 2004, a blow-out occurred at the N100 well on the Ninotsminda Field. The Company currently estimates that the total costs attributable to the blow out, including compensation and cleaning of the environment will be approximately \$2,000,000.

Results of Continuing Operations*Six Month Period Ended June 30, 2005 Compared to Six Month Period Ended June 30, 2004*

We recorded operating revenue from continuing operations of \$2,566,000 during the six month period ended June 30, 2005 compared with \$5,439,000 for the six month period ended June 30, 2004. The decrease is attributable to lower oil and gas revenues being recorded in the six month period ended June 30, 2005 due to lower production levels relating to a delay in the UBCTD program on both the Ninotsminda and Samgori Fields. Ninotsminda Oil Company Limited (NOC) and CanArgo Samgori Limited (CSL) sold 66,427 barrels of oil for the six month period ended June 30, 2005 compared to 233,157 barrels of oil for the six month period ended June 30, 2004.

NOC generated \$1,350,000 of oil and gas revenue in the six month period ended June 30, 2005 compared with \$5,137,000 for the six month period ended June 30, 2004 primarily due to a lower production achieved in the six month period ended June 30, 2005 compared to the six month period ended June 30, 2004 offset partially by a higher average net sales price achieved in the six month period ended June 30, 2005 compared to the six month period ended June 30, 2004. Its net share of the 95,027 barrels (525 barrels per day) of gross oil production for sale from the Ninotsminda Field in the period amounted to 61,767 barrels. In the period, 23,087 barrels of oil were

Table of Contents

added to storage. For the six month period ended June 30, 2004, NOC's net share of the 243,879 barrels (1,430 barrels per day) of gross oil production was 158,552 barrels.

CSL generated \$1,216,000 of oil and gas revenue for the six month period ended June 30, 2005 compared to \$302,000 from the April 2004 purchase date to June 30, 2004. Its net share of 89,848 barrels (491 barrels per day) of gross oil production for sale from the Samgori Field in the period amounted to 33,693 barrels. As at June 30, 2005, 10,979 barrels of oil remained in storage.

NOC and CSL's entire share of production was either sold locally in Georgia under both national and international contracts or added to storage. Net sale prices for Ninotsminda and Samgori oil sold during the first six months of 2005 averaged \$38.88 per barrel as compared with an average of \$23.19 per barrel in the first six months of 2004. Its net share of the 89,300 thousand cubic feet (mcf) of gas delivered was 46,307 mcf at an average net sale price of \$0.53 per mcf of gas. For the six month period ended June 30, 2004, NOC's net share of the 38,640 mcf of gas delivered was 25,116 mcf at an average net sales price of \$1.40 per mcf of gas.

The operating loss from continuing operations for the six month period ended June 30, 2005 amounted to \$4,416,000 compared with an operating loss of \$18,000 for the six month period ended June 30, 2004. The increase in operating loss is attributable to increased direct project costs, increased selling, general and administration costs, increased non cash stock compensation expense, reduced oil and gas revenue and a gain generated from the disposal of GAOR in the six month period ended June 30, 2004, partially offset by a reduced depreciation, depletion and amortization in the period.

Field operating expenses decreased to \$969,000 for the six month period ended June 30, 2005 as compared to \$1,233,000 for the six month period ended June 30, 2004. The decrease is primarily a result of a decrease in production at the Ninotsminda Field during the period. The reduction in production at the Ninotsminda Field was a result of the Company continuing to focus on the long-term development of its producing assets in Georgia through the preparation of wells for the Under Balanced Coiled Tubing Drilling (UBCTD) technology program together with a delay in implementing the program itself due to mechanical difficulties with the equipment. The preparation work for the UBCTD program necessitated the shut in of producing wells during the period thus resulting in a lower average production for the period. We have not had a corresponding decrease in our operating cost as the majority of our operating costs are fixed.

Direct project costs increased to \$781,000 for the six month period ended June 30, 2005, from \$627,000 for the six month period ended June 30, 2004, primarily due to costs directly associated with non operating activity at the Ninotsminda Field and the inclusion of Samgori project cost expenditures resulting from the acquisition of the Samgori (Block XI^B) Production Sharing Contract in Georgia.

Selling, general and administrative costs increased to \$3,359,000 for the six month period ended June 30, 2005 from \$2,125,000 for the six month period ended June 30, 2004. The increase is a result of additional costs incurred in respect of compliance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, increased audit fees, higher insurance premiums and a general increase in corporate activity.

Non cash stock compensation of \$842,000 for the six month period ended June 30, 2005 relates to the Company, effective January 1, 2003, adopting in August 2003, the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123,

Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, prospectively to all employee awards granted, modified, or settled after December 31, 2002.

The decrease in depreciation, depletion and amortization expense to \$1,031,000 for the six month period ended June 30, 2005 from \$1,807,000 for the six month period ended June 30, 2004 is attributable principally to lower production and sales from the Ninotsminda Field for the six month period ended June 30, 2005 compared to the six month period ended June 30, 2004.

The gain on disposal of subsidiaries of \$335,000 recorded for the six month period ended June 30, 2004 reflects a gain from the disposal of our interest in the Georgian American Oil Refinery, partially offset by a loss from the disposal of our interest in the Bugruvativske Field through the disposal of Lateral Vector Resources Inc.

Table of Contents

The decrease in other expense to \$314,000 for the six month period ended June 30, 2005, from \$356,000 for the six month period ended June 30, 2004, is primarily a result of higher interest income as a result of placing surplus cash on term deposits until needed, equity loss from investments, partially offset by increased levels of bad debts and foreign exchange losses.

Equity loss from investments for the six month period ended June 30, 2005 of \$155,000 relates to the loss incurred on the project in Kazakhstan to the date of the acquisition of 100% ownership in Tethys Petroleum Investments Limited. The loss from continuing operations of \$4,730,000 or \$0.02 per share for the six month period ended June 30, 2005 compares to a net loss from continuing operations of \$373,000 or \$0.00 per share for the six month period ended June 30, 2004. The weighted average number of common shares outstanding was higher during the six month period ended June 30, 2005 than during the six month period ended June 30, 2004, principally due to the issue of shares in respect of the Samgori purchase in April 2004, the issue of shares in respect of a global offering in September 2004, the issue of shares in respect of the Norio minority interest buyout in September 2004, the issue of shares under the terms of the SEDA in 2005 to repay the Cornell Capital promissory notes and in connection with additional takedowns under the SEDA, the exercise of share options in 2005 and the issue of shares in respect of the Tethys Petroleum Investments Limited buyout.

Three Month Period Ended June 30, 2005 Compared to Three Month Period Ended June 30, 2004

In April 2004, we announced that we had completed our acquisition of a 50% interest in the Samgori (Block XIB) Production Sharing Contract in Georgia.

We recorded operating revenue from continuing operations of \$1,233,000 during the three month period ended June 30, 2005 compared with \$2,079,000 for the three month period ended June 30, 2004. The decrease is attributable to lower oil and gas revenues being recorded in the three month period ended June 30, 2005 due to lower production levels relating to a delay in the UBCTD program on both the Ninotsminda and Samgori Fields. Ninotsminda Oil Company Limited (NOC) and CanArgo Samgori Limited (CSL) sold 28,837 barrels of oil for the three month period ended June 30, 2005 compared to 96,033 barrels of oil for the three month period ended June 30, 2004.

NOC generated \$742,000 of oil and gas revenue in the three month period ended June 30, 2005 compared with \$1,777,000 for the three month period ended June 30, 2004 primarily due to a lower production achieved in the three month period ended June 30, 2005 compared to the three month period ended June 30, 2004 offset partially by a higher average net sales price achieved in the three month period ended June 30, 2005 compared to the three month period ended June 30, 2004. Its net share of the 50,481 barrels (555 barrels per day) of gross oil production for sale from the Ninotsminda Field in the period amounted to 32,813 barrels. In the period, 14,523 barrels of oil were added to storage. For the three month period ended June 30, 2004, NOC's net share of the 95,208 barrels (1,046 barrels per day) of gross oil production was 61,885 barrels.

CSL generated \$491,000 of oil and gas revenue for the three month period ended June 30, 2005 compared to \$302,000 from the April 2004 purchase date to June 30, 2004. Its net share of 43,270 barrels (475 barrels per day) of gross oil production for sale from the Samgori Field in the period amounted to 16,226 barrels. As at June 30, 2005, 10,979 barrels of oil remained in storage. From the purchase date to June 30, 2004, CSL's net share of the 49,008 barrels (645 barrels per day) of gross oil production was 18,378 barrels.

NOC and CSL's entire share of production was either sold locally in Georgia under both national and international contracts or added to storage. Net sale prices for Ninotsminda and Samgori oil sold during the second quarter of 2005 averaged \$42.01 per barrel as compared with an average of \$24.71 per barrel in the second quarter of 2004. Its net share of the 85,037 thousand cubic feet (mcf) of gas delivered was 43,535 mcf at an average net sale price of \$0.49 per mcf of gas. For the three month period ended June 30, 2004, NOC's net share of the 19,796 mcf of gas delivered was 12,867 mcf at an average net sales price of \$1.41 per mcf of gas.

The operating loss from continuing operations for the three month period ended June 30, 2005 amounted to \$2,209,000 compared with an operating loss of \$993,000 for the three month period ended June 30, 2004. The increase in operating loss is attributable to increased direct project costs, increased selling, general and

Table of Contents

administration costs, increased non cash stock compensation expense, reduced oil and gas revenue, partially offset by reduced depreciation, depletion and amortization in the period.

Field operating expenses decreased to \$470,000 for the three month period ended June 30, 2005 as compared to \$565,000 for the three month period ended June 30, 2004. The decrease is primarily a result of a decrease in production at the Ninotsminda Field during the period. The reduction in production at the Ninotsminda Field was a result of the Company continuing to focus on the long-term development of its producing assets in Georgia through the preparation of wells for the Under Balanced Coiled Tubing Drilling (UBCTD) technology program together with a delay in implementing the program itself due to mechanical difficulties with the equipment. The preparation work for the UBCTD program necessitated the shut in of producing wells during the period thus resulting in a lower average production for the period. We have not had a corresponding decrease in our operating cost as the majority of our operating costs are fixed.

Direct project costs increased to \$412,000 for the three month period ended June 30, 2005, from \$347,000 for the three month period ended June 30, 2004, primarily due to costs directly associated with non operating activity at the Ninotsminda Field.

Selling, general and administrative costs increased to \$1,530,000 for the three month period ended June 30, 2005 from \$1,214,000 for the three month period ended June 30, 2004. The increase is a result of additional costs incurred in respect of compliance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, increased audit fees, higher insurance premiums and a general increase in corporate activity.

Non cash stock compensation of \$510,000 for the three month period ended June 30, 2005 relates to the Company, effective January 1, 2003, adopting in August 2003, the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123,

Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, prospectively to all employee awards granted, modified, or settled after December 31, 2002.

The decrease in depreciation, depletion and amortization expense to \$519,000 for the three month period ended June 30, 2005 from \$926,000 for the three month period ended June 30, 2004 is attributable principally to lower production and sales from the Ninotsminda Field for the three month period ended June 30, 2005 compared to the three month period ended June 30, 2004.

The loss on disposal of subsidiaries of \$20,000 recorded for the three month period ended June 30, 2004 reflects a loss from the disposal of our interest in the Bugruvativske Field through the disposal of Lateral Vector Resources Inc.

The decrease in other expense to \$47,000 for the three month period ended June 30, 2005, from \$412,000 for the three month period ended June 30, 2004 is primarily a result of higher interest income as a result placing surplus cash on term deposits until needed, equity loss from investments, partially offset by increased levels of bad debts and foreign exchange losses.

Equity loss from investments for the three month period ended June 30, 2005 of \$62,000 relates to the second quarter loss incurred on the project in Kazakhstan to the date of the acquisition of 100% ownership in Tethys Petroleum Investments Limited.

The loss from continuing operations of \$2,256,000 or \$0.01 per share for the three month period ended June 30, 2005 compares to a net loss from continuing operations of \$1,405,000 or \$0.01 per share for the three month period ended June 30, 2004. The weighted average number of common shares outstanding was higher during the three month period ended June 30, 2005 than during the three month period ended June 30, 2004, principally due to the issue of shares in respect of the Samgori purchase in April 2004, the issue of shares in respect of a global offering in September 2004, the issue of shares in respect of the Norio minority interest buyout in September 2004, the issue of shares under the terms of the SEDA in 2005 to repay the Cornell Capital promissory notes and in connection with additional takedowns under the SEDA, the exercise of share options in 2005 and the issue of shares in respect of the Tethys Petroleum Investments Limited buyout.

Table of Contents

Results of Discontinued Operations

Six Month Period Ended June 30, 2005 Compared to Six Month Period Ended June 30, 2004

The net income from discontinued operations, net of taxes and minority interest for the six month period ended June 30, 2004 amounted to \$447,000 resulting from our refinery operation where the plan to dispose of the asset led to the write-off of an inter-company payable relating to oil sales purchased from Ninotsminda Oil Company Limited. All discontinued operations had been disposed by December 31, 2004.

Three Month Period Ended June 30, 2005 Compared to Three Month Period Ended June 30, 2004

The net income from discontinued operations, net of taxes and minority interest for the three month period ended June 30, 2004 amounted to \$44,000 resulting from our refinery operation where the plan to dispose of the asset led to the write-off of an inter-company payable relating to oil sales purchased from Ninotsminda Oil Company Limited. All discontinued operations had been disposed by December 31, 2004.

Forward-Looking Statements

The forward-looking statements contained in this Item 2 and elsewhere in this Form 10-Q are subject to various risks, uncertainties and other factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from the results anticipated in such forward-looking statements. Included among the important risks, uncertainties and other factors are those hereinafter discussed.

Operating entities in various foreign jurisdictions must be registered by governmental agencies, and production licenses for development of oil and gas fields in various foreign jurisdictions must be granted by governmental agencies. These governmental agencies generally have broad discretion in determining whether to take or approve various actions and matters. In addition, the policies and practices of governmental agencies may be affected or altered by political, economic and other events occurring either within their own countries or in a broader international context.

We may not have a majority of the equity that is the licence developer of some projects that we may pursue in countries that were a part of the former Soviet Union, even though we may be the designated operator of the oil or gas field. In such circumstances, the concurrence of co-venturers may be required for various actions. Other parties influencing the timing of events may have priorities that differ from ours, even if they generally share our objectives. Demands by or expectations of governments, co-venturers, customers and others may affect our strategy regarding the various projects. Failure to meet such demands or expectations could adversely affect our participation in such projects or our ability to obtain or maintain necessary licenses and other approvals.

Our ability to finance all of our present oil and gas projects and other ventures according to present plans is dependent upon obtaining additional funding. An inability to obtain financing could require us to scale back or abandon part or all of our project development, capital expenditure, production and other plans. The availability of equity or debt financing to us or to the entities that are developing projects in which we have interests is affected by many factors, including:

world economic conditions;

the state of international relations;

the stability and policies of various governments located in areas in which we currently operate or intend to operate;

fluctuations in the price of oil and gas, the outlook for the oil and gas industry and competition for available funds; and

an evaluation of us and specific projects in which we have an interest.

Rising interest rates might affect the feasibility of debt financing that is offered. Potential investors and lenders will be influenced by their evaluations of us and our projects and comparisons with alternative investment opportunities.

Table of Contents

The development of oil and gas properties is subject to substantial risks. Expectations regarding production, even if estimated by independent petroleum engineers, may prove to be unrealized. There are many uncertainties in estimating production quantities and in projecting future production rates and the timing and amount of future development expenditures. Estimates of properties in full production are more reliable than production estimates for new discoveries and other properties that are not fully productive. Accordingly, estimates related to our properties are subject to change as additional information becomes available.

Most of our interests in oil and gas properties and ventures are located in former Soviet Union countries. Operations in those countries are subject to certain additional risks including the following:

- uncertainty as to the enforceability of contracts;
- currency convertibility and transferability;
- unexpected changes in fiscal and tax policies;
- sudden or unexpected changes in demand for crude oil and or natural gas;
- the lack of trained personnel; and

the lack of equipment and services and other factors that could significantly change the economics of production.

Production estimates are subject to revision as prices and costs change. Production, even if present, may not be recoverable in the amount and at the rate anticipated and may not be recoverable in commercial quantities or on an economically feasible basis. World and local prices for oil and gas can fluctuate significantly, and a reduction in the revenue realizable from the sale of production can affect the economic feasibility of an oil and gas project. World and local political, economic and other conditions could affect our ability to proceed with or to effectively operate projects in various foreign countries.

Demands by, or expectations of governments, co-venturers, customers and others may affect our strategy regarding the various projects. Failure to meet such demands or expectations could adversely affect our participation in such projects or our ability to obtain or maintain necessary licenses and other approvals.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Our principal exposure to market risk is due to changes in oil and gas prices and currency fluctuations. As indicated elsewhere in this Report, as a producer of oil and gas we are exposed to changes in oil and gas prices as well as changes in supply and demand which could affect its revenues. We do not engage in any commodity hedging activities. Due to the ready market for our production in the Republic of Georgia, we do not believe that any current exposures from this risk will materially affect our financial position at this time, but there can be no assurance that changes in such market will not affect CanArgo adversely in the future.

Also, as indicated elsewhere in this Report, because all of our operations are being conducted in countries that were a part of the former Soviet Union, we are potentially exposed to the market risk of fluctuations in the relative values of the currencies in areas in which we operates. At present we do not engage in any currency hedging operations since, to the extent we receive payments for our production in local currencies, we are utilizing such currencies to pay for our local operations. In addition, we frequently sell our production from the Ninotsminda Field and more recently from the Samgori Field in the Republic of Georgia under export contracts which provide for payment in US dollars. CanArgo had no material interest in investments subject to market risk during the period covered by this report.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

The Company maintains disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rule 13a-15 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, that are intended to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the Company's reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms and that the

Table of Contents

Company's employees accumulate this information and communicate it to the Company's management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding the required disclosure.

As a result of our efforts to comply with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and the rules issued thereunder, we reported in our Form 10-K/A filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 2, 2005 that we had identified a number of deficiencies that were symptomatic of and contributed to the overall material weakness relating to our financial statement close process identified in our evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2004. We also identified a material weakness relating to sufficient controls being in place to ensure adequate review of the application of generally accepted accounting principles relating to non-routine transactions, estimates and financial statement disclosures. Although as of June 30, 2005 these material weaknesses have not been fully remediated, we have been actively remedying the deficiencies described above, and have taken a number of appropriate remediation actions. The remediation efforts to date have generally involved the addition of staff, improvement in accounting and reporting processes and related controls and the appointment and training of dedicated controls personnel to remedy a number of the above deficiencies relating to the financial statement close process. Our remediation efforts represent a long-term commitment to continually evaluate and improve our financial statement closing process and our ability to properly apply generally accepted accounting principles relating to non-routine transactions, estimates and financial statement disclosures, in an effort to reduce to a minimal level the risk that a material error in our financial statements could occur. Other than this ongoing remediation effort there were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting or in other factors that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION**Item 2. Changes in Securities and Use of Proceeds and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities**

On June 6, 2005, we issued 80,000 shares of CanArgo common stock to CEOcast Inc in relation to the consultancy agreement between CanArgo and CEOcast Inc dated May 17, 2004 pursuant to which CEOcast Inc provides investor relations services and strategic advice to us. Such shares were issued in an arms length transaction intended to qualify for an exemption from registration under the Securities Act afforded by Section 4(2).

On June 9, 2005 we issued 11,000,000 shares of CanArgo common stock pursuant to the share exchange agreement dated June 9, 2005 between (1) Vando International Finance Limited (Vando) and Provincial Securities Limited (Provincial) and (2) CanArgo (Share Exchange Agreement) to the holders of 55% interest in the issued share capital of Tethys Petroleum Investments Limited (Tethys) as consideration for their shares in Tethys. Under the terms of the Share Exchange Agreement, Provincial and Vando each received 5,500,000 shares of CanArgo common stock. On the basis of the closing price of the CanArgo common stock on the American Stock Exchange Transactions Tape on June 7, 2005 of \$0.76 per share the total stock issued to Vando and Provincial was valued at \$8,360,000. The shares were issued in a transaction intended to qualify for an exemption from registration under the Securities Act afforded by Regulation S promulgated thereunder.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

On May 9, 2005, we held our annual meeting of stockholders at which the following resolution was resolved: The incumbent board of directors consisting of David Robson, the Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer, and Vincent McDonnell, the Chief Operating Officer and Chief Commercial Officer of the Company, respectively, and Messrs. Michael Ayre, Russ Hammond and Nils Trulsvik, independent directors, were re-elected; the number of votes cast at said meeting in person or by proxy for and against election of the members of the board were as follows:

Table of Contents

| | For | Withheld |
|-------------------|-------------|-----------------|
| David Robson | 146,097,491 | 10,457,077 |
| Vincent McDonnell | 146,308,205 | 10,246,363 |
| Michael Ayre | 149,967,514 | 6,587,054 |
| Russ Hammond | 146,576,112 | 9,978,456 |
| Nils Trulsvik | 146,395,941 | 10,158,627 |

No other business came before the meeting.

Item 6. Exhibits**(a) Exhibits**

Management Contracts, Compensation Plans and Arrangements are identified by an asterisk (*) Documents filed herewith are identified by a cross ().

- 1(1) Engagement Agreement with Sundal Collier & Co ASA dated August 13, 2001. (Incorporated herein by reference from Post-Effective Amendment No. 2 to Form S-1 Registration Statement, File No. 333-85116 filed on September 10, 2002)).
- 1(2) Standby Equity Distribution Agreement between Cornell Capital Partners, L.P. and CanArgo Energy Corporation dated February 11, 2004 (Incorporated herein by reference from Form S-3 filed May 6, 2003 (Reg. No. 333-115261)).
- 1(3) Placement Agent Agreement between CanArgo Energy Corporation, Newbridge Securities Corporation and Cornell Capital Partners, L.P. dated February 11, 2004 (Incorporated herein by reference from Form S-3 filed May 6, 2003 (Reg. No. 333-115261)).
- 1(4) Placement Agent Agreement dated September 22, 2004 by and between ABG Sundal Collier, Norge ASA and CanArgo Energy Corporation (Incorporated herein by reference from Amendment No 2 to Registration Statement on Form S-3 filed August 31, 2004 (Reg. No. 333-115645)).
- 1(5) Placement Agent Agreement dated September 22, 2004 by and between ABG Sundal Collier Inc. and CanArgo Energy Corporation (Incorporated herein by reference from Amendment No 1 to Registration Statement on Form S-3 filed July 1, 2004 (Reg. No. 333-115645)).
- 1(6) Engagement letter between ABG Sundal Collier Norge ASA and CanArgo Energy Corporation dated March 23, 2004 (Incorporated herein by reference from March 31, 2004 Form 10-Q).
- 2(4) Memorandum of Agreement between Fielden Management Services Pty, Ltd., A.C.N. 005 506 123 and Fountain Oil Incorporated dated May 16, 1995 (Incorporated herein by reference from December 31, 1997 Form 10-K/A).
- 3(1) Registrant's Certificate of Incorporation and amendments thereto (Incorporated by reference from the Company's Proxy Statements filed May 10, 1999 and May 9, 2000 and Form 8-K filed July 24, 1998).

- 3(2) Registrant's Bylaws (Incorporated herein by reference from Post-Effective Amendment No. 1 to Form S-1 Registration Statement, File No. 333-72295 filed on July 29, 1999).

41

Table of Contents

- *4(1) Amended and Restated 1995 Long-Term Incentive Plan (Incorporated herein by reference from Post-Effective Amendment No. 1 to Form S-1 Registration Statement, File No. 333-72295 filed on July 29, 1999).
- *4(2) Amended and Restated CanArgo Energy Inc. Stock Option Plan (Incorporated herein by reference from March 31, 1998 Form 10-Q).
- 4(3) Registration Rights Agreement between CanArgo Energy Corporation and Cornell Capital Partners, LP dated February 11, 2004 (Incorporated herein by reference from Form S-3 filed May 6, 2003 (Reg. No. 333-115261)).
- 4(4) Escrow Agreement among CanArgo Energy Corporation, Cornell Capital Partners, LP and Butler Gonzalez LLP dated February 11, 2004 (Incorporated herein by reference from Form S-3 filed May 6, 2003 (Reg. No. 333-115261)).
- *4(5) CanArgo Energy Corporation 2004 Long Term Incentive Plan (Incorporated herein by reference from Form 8-K dated May 19, 2004).
- 4(6) Amended and Restated Loan and Warrant Agreement between CanArgo Energy Corporation and Salahi Ozturk dated August 27, 2004 (Incorporated herein by reference from Form 8-K dated August 27, 2004).
- 4(6) Note Purchase Agreement dated July 25, 2005 among CanArgo Energy Corporation and Ingalls & Snyder Value Partners, L.P. together with the other Purchasers (Incorporated herein by reference from Form 8-K/A dated July 28, 2005).
- 4(7) Registration Rights Agreement dated July 25, 2005 among CanArgo Energy Corporation and Ingalls & Snyder Value Partners, L.P. together with the other Purchasers (Incorporated herein by reference from Form 8-K dated July 27, 2005).
- 10(1) Production Sharing Contract between (1) Georgia and (2) Georgian Oil and JKK Ninotsminda Ltd. dated February 12, 1996 (Incorporated herein by reference from Form S-1 Registration Statement, File No. 333-72295 filed on September 7, 1999).
- *10(2) Management Services Agreement between CanArgo Energy Corporation and Vazon Energy Limited relating to the provisions of the services of Dr. David Robson dated June 29, 2000 (Incorporated herein by reference from March 31, 2000 Form 10-Q). As amended by Deed of Variation of Management Services Agreement between CanArgo Energy Corporation and Vazon Energy Limited dated May 2, 2003 (Incorporated herein by reference to Form 8-K dated May 13, 2003).
- 10(3) Tenancy Agreement between CanArgo Energy Corporation and Grosvenor West End Properties dated September 8, 2000 (Incorporated herein by reference from March 31, 2000 Form 10-Q).
- 10(4) Production Sharing Contract between (1) Georgia and (2) Georgian Oil and CanArgo Norio Limited dated December 12, 2000 (Incorporated herein by reference from December 31, 2000 Form 10-K).

- *10(5) Service Agreement between CanArgo Energy Corporation and Vincent McDonnell dated December 1, 2000 (Incorporated herein by reference from December 31, 2001 Form 10-K).
- 10(6) Sale agreement of CanArgo Petroleum Products Limited between CanArgo Limited and Westrade Alliance LLC dated October 14, 2002. (Incorporated herein by reference from March 31, 2002 Form 10-Q)

Table of Contents

- 10(7) Farm-in Agreement dated September 4, 2003 relating to the Norio (Block XI^C) and North Kumisi Production Sharing Agreement in the Republic of Georgia with a wholly owned subsidiary of Georgian Oil, the Georgian State Oil Company (Incorporated herein by reference from March 31, 2003 Form 10-Q)
- 10(8) Stock Purchase Agreement dated September 24, 2003 regarding the sale of all of the issued and outstanding stock of Fountain Oil Boryslaw (Incorporated herein by reference from March 31, 2003 Form 10-Q)
- 10(9) Manavi Termination Agreement dated December 5, 2003 (Incorporated herein by reference from December 31, 2004 Form 10-K)
- 10(10) Termination Agreement between CanArgo Energy Corporation and Cornell Capital Partners, L.P. dated February 11, 2004 (Incorporated herein by reference from Form S-3 filed May 6, 2003 (Reg. No. 333-115261)).
- 10(11) Agreement between CanArgo Samgori Limited and Georgian Oil Samgori Limited dated January 8, 2004 (Incorporated herein by reference from Form S-3 filed May 6, 2003 (Reg. No. 333-115261)).
- 10(12) Consultancy Agreement between CanArgo Energy Corporation and Europa Oil Services Limited dated January 8, 2004 (Incorporated herein by reference from Form S-3 filed May 6, 2003 (Reg. No. 333-115261)).
- 10(13) Loan Agreement between CanArgo Energy Corporation and Salahi Ozturk dated April 26, 2004 (Incorporated herein by reference from March 31, 2004 Form 10-Q).
- 10(14) Loan Agreement between CanArgo Energy Corporation and C A Fiduciary Services Limited AS dated April 29, 2004 (Incorporated herein by reference from March 31, 2004 Form 10-Q).
- 10(15) Oil Sales Agreement between CanArgo Energy Corporation and Primrose Financial Group dated May 5, 2004 (Incorporated herein by reference from March 31, 2004 Form 10-Q).
- 10(16) Oil Sales Agreement between CanArgo Energy Corporation and Sveti Limited dated April 1, 2004 (Incorporated herein by reference from March 31, 2004 Form 10-Q).
- 10(17) Agreement dated April 25, 2004 between Ninotsminda Oil Company Limited, Sveti Limited and Primrose Financial Group on the termination of the Crude Oil Sales Agreement dated April 1, 2004 between Ninotsminda Oil Company Limited and Sveti Limited and the terms for the conclusion of a new crude oil sales agreement between Ninotsminda Oil Company Limited and Primrose Financial Group (Incorporated herein by reference from March 31, 2004 Form 10-Q).
- 10(18) Promissory Note dated May 19, 2004 between CanArgo Energy Corporation and Cornell Capital Partners, LP (Incorporated herein by reference from Form 8-K dated May 19, 2004) as amended by Letter of Amendment between Cornell Capital Partners, LP and

Edgar Filing: CANARGO ENERGY CORP - Form 10-Q

CanArgo Energy Corporation dated December 21, 2004 (Incorporated herein by reference from Form 8-K dated December 21, 2004).

- 10(19) Agreement dated March 17, 2004 between CanArgo Acquisition Corporation and Stanhope Solutions Ltd for the sale of Lateral Vector Resources Ltd. (Incorporated herein by reference from Form 8-K dated May 19, 2004).

43

Table of Contents

- 10(20) Master Service Contract dated June 1, 2004 between CanArgo Energy Corporation and WEUS Holding Inc. (Incorporated herein by reference from Form 8-K dated June 1, 2004).
- 10(21) Agreement number GN-070/RIG/NOC dated 21 June, 2004 between Ninotsminda Oil Company Limited and Great Wall Drilling Company Limited (Incorporated herein by reference from Form 8-K dated June 21, 2004).
- 10(22) Agreement between Ninotsminda Oil Company Limited and Saipem S.p.A. dated January 27, 2005 (Incorporated herein by reference from Form 8-K dated January 27, 2005).
- 10(23) Agreement between Ninotsminda Oil Company Limited and Primrose Financial Group dated February 4, 2005 (Incorporated herein by reference from Form 8-K dated February 4, 2005).
- 10(24) Termination Agreement between Ninotsminda Oil Company Limited and Primrose Financial Group dated February 4, 2005 (Incorporated herein by reference from Form 8-K dated February 4, 2005).
- 10(25) Promissory Note dated April 26, 2005 between CanArgo Energy Corporation and Cornell Capital Partners, LP (Incorporated herein by reference from Form 8-K dated April 26, 2005).
- 10(26) Subsidiary Guaranty dated July 25, 2005 by and among Ninotsminda Oil Company Limited, CanArgo (Nazvreri) Limited, CanArgo Norio Limited, CanArgo Limited, CanArgo Samgori Limited, Tethys Petroleum Investments Limited and CanArgo Ltd for the benefit of the holders of the Notes.
- 10(27) Security Agreement dated July 25, 2005 among Ingalls & Snyder Value Partners, L.P. together with the other Purchasers (Incorporated herein by reference from Form 8-K dated July 27, 2005).
- 10(28) Form of Management Services Agreement for Richard J. Battey, Chief Financial Officer.
- 10(29) Agreement dated July 25, 2005 among CanArgo Limited and Ingalls & Snyder Value Partners, L.P. together with the other Purchasers (Incorporated herein by reference from Form 8-K dated July 27, 2005).
- 10(30) Security Interest Agreement (Securities) dated July 25, 2005 among CanArgo Ltd, CanArgo Limited, Ingalls & Snyder LLC as Security Agent for the Secured Parties (Incorporated herein by reference from Form 8-K dated July 27, 2005).
- 10(31) Security Interest Agreement (Securities) dated July 25, 2005 among Tethys Petroleum Investments Limited, CanArgo Limited, Ingalls & Snyder LLC, as Security Agent for the Secured Parties and the Secured Parties (Incorporated herein by reference from Form 8-K dated July 27, 2005).
- 10(32)

Edgar Filing: CANARGO ENERGY CORP - Form 10-Q

Security Interest Agreement (Bank Account) dated July 25, 2005 by and among CanArgo Energy Corporation, Ingalls & Snyder LLC, as Security Agent for the Secured Parties and the Secured Parties (Incorporated herein by reference from Form 8-K dated July 27, 2005).

- 14 Code of Ethics (Incorporated herein by reference from December 31, 2004 Form 10-K).
- 21 List of Subsidiaries.

Table of Contents

- 33(1) Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Executive Officer of CanArgo Energy Corporation.
- 31(2) Rule 13a-14(c)/15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Financial Officer of CanArgo Energy Corporation.
- 32 Section 1350 Certifications.

SIGNATURES

In accordance with the requirements of the Exchange Act, the registrant caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

CANARGO ENERGY CORPORATION

Date: August 9, 2005

By: /s/Richard J. Battey
Richard J. Battey
Chief Financial Officer

Table of Contents**EXHIBIT 21****CanArgo Energy Corporation and Subsidiaries
June 30, 2005**

| | LEGAL NAME | INCORPORATION | CanArgo Energy Corporation Beneficial Ownership | Notes | Status |
|----|--|--------------------------|--|--------------|---------------|
| 1 | CanArgo Energy Corporation | Delaware | Parent | | Active |
| 2 | Fountain Oil Production Incorporated | Delaware | 100% | | Inactive |
| 3 | Fountain Oil Adygea Incorporated | Delaware | 100% | | Inactive |
| 4 | CanArgo Oil & Gas Inc | Ontario, Canada | 100% | | Active |
| 5 | CanArgo Limited | Ontario, Canada | 100% | A | Active |
| 6 | Fountain Oil Ukraine Limited | New Brunswick, Canada | 100% | | Inactive |
| 7 | UK-RAN Oil Corporation | New Brunswick, Canada | 90% | B | Inactive |
| 8 | Fountain Oil Canada Limited | New Brunswick, Canada | 100% | | Inactive |
| 9 | Focan Limited | New Brunswick, Canada | 100% | | Inactive |
| 10 | EOR Canada Limited | New Brunswick, Canada | 100% | C | Inactive |
| 11 | CanArgo Acquisition Corporation | Canada | 100% | | Inactive |
| 12 | Ninotsminda Oil Company Limited | Cyprus | 100% | D | Active |
| 13 | CanArgo Oil Boryslaw Limited | Cyprus | 100% | E | Inactive |
| 14 | CaspArgo Limited | Cyprus | 10% | F | Inactive |
| 15 | CanArgo Norio Limited | Cyprus | 100% | D | Active |
| 16 | Groundline Limited | Cyprus | 100% | D | Inactive |
| 17 | E.P.S. European Petroleum Services Limited | Cyprus | 100% | D | Inactive |
| 18 | Lateral Vector Resources Limited (formerly Longtex Limited) | Cyprus | 100% | D | Inactive |
| 19 | Courtway Limited | Cyprus | 100% | D | Inactive |
| 20 | CanArgo Limited | Guernsey | 100% | H | Active |
| 21 | CanArgo (Nazvrevi) Limited | Guernsey | 100% | D | Active |
| 22 | CanArgo Power Corporation Limited | Guernsey | 100% | D | Active |
| 23 | CanArgo (Kaspi) Limited | Guernsey | 100% | D | Active |
| 24 | Argonaut Well Services Limited | Guernsey | 100% | D | Active |
| 25 | CanArgo Petroleum Refining Limited | Guernsey | 100% | D & J | Inactive |
| 26 | Tethys Petroleum Investments Limited | Guernsey | 45% | D | Active |
| 27 | Tethys Kazakhstan Limited | Guernsey | 45% | K | Active |
| 28 | CanArgo Samgori Limited | Guernsey | 100% | D | Active |
| 29 | CanArgo Services (UK) Limited | England | 100% | D | Active |
| 30 | Sagarejo Power Corporation Limited | Republic of Georgia | 85% | L | Inactive |
| 31 | | Republic of Georgia | 50% | M | Inactive |

| | | | | | |
|----|---------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------|---|----------|
| | Georgian British Oil Company | | | | |
| | Ninotsminda | | | | |
| 32 | Georgian British Oil Company Nazvrevi | Republic of Georgia | 50% | N | Inactive |
| 33 | Georgian British Oil Company Norio | Republic of Georgia | 50% | P | Inactive |
| 34 | Ninotsminda Services Limited | Republic of Georgia | 100% | Q | Active |
| 35 | CanArgo Georgia Limited | Republic of Georgia | 100% | D | Active |
| 36 | Ninotsminda Oil Company Limited | Jersey | 100% | D | Inactive |
| 37 | CanArgo Norio Limited | Jersey | 100% | D | Inactive |
| | | | Less than | | |
| 38 | KaspOil JSC | Russia | 1% | R | Active |
| 39 | BN Munai LLP | Kazakhstan | 70% held by | S | Active |
| | | | TKL | | |
| 40 | Tathya MunelGaz LLP | Kazakhstan | 100% | T | |

Notes

A 100% owned by CanArgo Oil and Gas Inc.

B 90% owned by Fountain Oil Ukraine Limited. Balance owned by UK-Ran Energy Corp.

C 100% owned by Focan Ltd. which in turn is 100% owned by CanArgo Energy Corporation.

D 100% owned by CanArgo Limited, Guernsey.

E Formerly Fountain Oil Boryslaw Cyprus. Hold loans from Boryslaw Oil Company.

F 10% owned by CanArgo (Kaspi) Limited. Balance owned by Allied Petroleum Technologies Corporation.

G 100% owned by Groundline Limited. Established to own interest in JIPA. Legal interest never transferred.

H 100% owned by CanArgo Ltd. (Ontario).

J In process of dissolution.

K 100% owned by Tethys Petroleum Investments Limited.

L 85% owned by CanArgo Energy Corporation. Balance owned by Sagarejo Electric Service.

M 50% controlling interest owned by Ninotsminda Oil Company Limited. Balance owned by Georgian Oil. Non profit making PSC operator.

N 50% controlling interest owned by CanArgo Nazvrevi Limited. Balance owned by Georgian Oil. Non profit making PSC operator.

P 50% controlling interest owned by CanArgo Norio Limited. Balance owned by Georgian Oil. Non profit making PSC operator.

Q 100% owned by Ninotsminda Oil Company Limited. Holds ownership of apartments in payment of Rusatvi Cement Factory debt.

R Ownership uncertain but between less than 1% and 10%.

S 70% owned by Tethys Kazakhstan Limited. 20% by BN Invest. 10% by B Nazabayev.

T In process of being formed to be owned 100% by Tethys Kazakhstan Limited.

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT 31(1)

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO RULE 13A-14 OR 15D-14 OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE
SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

I, David Robson, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of CanArgo Energy Corporation;
2. Based on my knowledge, this quarterly report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this quarterly report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this quarterly report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this quarterly report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Securities Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Securities Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and we have:
 - a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this quarterly report is being prepared;
 - b. Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c. Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report based on such evaluation; and
 - d. Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
 - a. All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: August 9, 2005

/s/ Dr David Robson
Dr David Robson
Chairman, President and Chief Executive
Officer

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT 31(2)

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO RULE 13A-14 OR 15D-14 OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE
SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

I, Richard J. Battey, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of CanArgo Energy Corporation;
2. Based on my knowledge, this quarterly report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this quarterly report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this quarterly report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this quarterly report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Securities Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Securities Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and we have:
 - a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this quarterly report is being prepared;
 - b. Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c. Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report based on such evaluation; and
 - d. Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
 - a. All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: August 9, 2005

/s/ Richard J. Battey
Richard J. Battey
Chief Financial Officer

Table of Contents

Exhibit 32

**Certification of Periodic Financial Report
Pursuant to Section 906
of the
Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002,
18 U.S.C. Section 1350**

Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the undersigned officers of CanArgo Energy Corporation (the Company) hereby certify that to their knowledge:

The Company's quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2005 (the Report) fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d), as applicable, of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and that the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Dated: August 9, 2005

/s/ Dr David Robson
Dr David Robson
Chairman, President and Chief Executive
Officer

Dated: August 9, 2005

/s/ Richard J. Battey
Richard J. Battey
Chief Financial Officer

The certifications set forth above are being furnished as an exhibit solely pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, and shall not be deemed to be filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, nor shall they be deemed incorporated by reference in any filing under the Securities Act of 1934, as amended.

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906, or other document authenticating, acknowledging, or otherwise adopting the signature that appears in typed form within the electronic version of this written statement required by Section 906, has been provided to the Company and will be retained by the Company and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.