

ProtoKinetix, Inc.
Form 10KSB
April 13, 2006

**U. S. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549**

FORM 10-KSB

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended **December 31, 2005**

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number: **0-32917**

PROTOKINETIX, INC.

Formerly known as RJV Networks, Inc.

(Name of small business issuer as specified in its charter)

Nevada

(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

94-3355026

(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

**Suite 1500-885 West Georgia Street
Vancouver, British Columbia Canada V6C 3E8**

(Address of principal executive offices, including zip code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: **(604) 687-9887**

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act: **None**

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: **\$.001 par value common stock**

Check whether the issuer (1) filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act of 1934 during the past 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Check if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-B is not contained in this form, and no disclosure will be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-KSB or any amendment to this Form 10-KSB.

The issuer's revenues for the most recent fiscal year were USD \$2,000

The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates of the registrant was approximately \$20,954,192 based upon the closing price of our common stock which was \$0.66 on April 12, 2006. Shares of common stock held by each officer and director and by each person or group who owns 10% or more of the outstanding common stock amounting to 7,918,780 shares have been excluded in that such persons or groups may be deemed to be affiliates. This determination of affiliate status is not necessarily a conclusive determination for other

purposes.

Page 1

Edgar Filing: ProtoKinetix, Inc. - Form 10KSB

As of April 12, 2006, there were 39,667,556 shares of our common stock were issued and outstanding.

Documents Incorporated by Reference: None.

Transitional Small Business Disclosure Format: No.

Page 2

INTRODUCTION

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with our audited financial statements and notes thereto. Because we desire to take advantage of, the "safe harbor" provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, we caution readers regarding certain forward looking statements in the following discussion and elsewhere in this report and in any other statement made by, or on our behalf, whether or not in future filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Forward looking statements are statements not based on historical information and which relate to future operations, strategies, financial results or other developments. Forward looking statements are necessarily based upon estimates and assumptions that are inherently subject to significant business, economic and competitive uncertainties and contingencies, many of which are beyond our control and many of which, with respect to future business decisions, are subject to change. These uncertainties and contingencies can affect actual results and could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed in any forward looking statements made by, or our behalf. We disclaim any obligation to update forward looking statements.

Forward looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause our actual results, levels of activity, performance, or achievements to be materially different from any future results, levels of activity, performance, or achievement expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terminology such as "may," "will," "should," "could," "intend," "expects," "plan," "anticipates," "believes," "estimates," "predicts," "potential," or "continue" or the negative of such terms or other comparable terminology. Although we believe that the expectations reflected in the forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot guarantee future results, levels of activity, performance, or achievements. Moreover, neither we nor any other person assumes responsibility for the accuracy and completeness of such statements.

WE ARE A DEVELOPMENT STAGE BUSINESS AND AN INVESTMENT IN OUR COMPANY IS EXTREMELY RISKY.

TABLE OF CONTENTS
FORM 10-KSB ANNUAL REPORT

PROTOKINETIX, INC.

| Section | Heading | Page |
|----------|---|------|
| Part I | | |
| Item 1 | Description of Business | 5 |
| Item 2 | Description of Property | 10 |
| Item 3 | Legal Proceedings | 10 |
| Item 4 | Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders | 10 |
| Part II | | |
| Item 5 | Market for the Registrant's Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters | 11 |
| Item 6 | Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations or Plan of Operation | 16 |
| Item 6A | Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk | 22 |
| Item 7 | Financial Statements | 22 |
| Item 8 | Changes in and Disagreements on Accounting and Financial Disclosure | 22 |
| Item 8A | Controls and Procedures | 22 |
| Item 8B | Other Information | 22 |
| Part III | | |
| Item 9 | Directors, Executive Officers, Promoters and Control Persons, Compliance with Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act | 23 |
| Item 10 | Executive Compensation | 24 |
| Item 11 | Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters | 25 |
| Item 12 | Certain Relationships and Related Transactions | 25 |
| Part IV | | |
| Item 13 | Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K | 25 |
| Item 14 | Principal Accountant Fees and Services | 26 |
| | Certifications and Signatures | 27 |

PART I

ITEM 1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS

Important Disclosures and Disclaimers.

Please note that ProtoKinetix, Inc. (the "Company") is a development stage company that has not yet sold or marketed any products. The Company had \$2,000 in revenues for the year ended December 31, 2005.

It is important to understand that although the Company (as is discussed below) is focused on various promising scientific efforts, to date, there has not been a commercial product developed by the Company. The Company continues to conduct research; however, the ultimate commercialization of a viable product may never occur. Further, even if a product is developed, the desired results for which it was originally intended may not be achieved.

General

ProtoKinetix is a biotechnical company headquartered in Vancouver, British Columbia that owns the world-wide rights to a family of synthetic anti-freeze glycoproteins (trademarked by the Company as AAGP™). The Company is dedicated to the commercial development of AAGP™ for use in human and veterinary medicine, food additives and supplements, and the biotechnology and cosmetic industry. ProtoKinetix is making rapid and meaningful progress in this domain by coordinating a team of world recognized intellectual talent in a networked environment. This team has been able to use previously published research on native antifreeze proteins and antifreeze glycoproteins as a guide to the expansion and development of markets for this valuable family of molecules.

The ProtoKinetix business plan is based primarily on the furtherance of certain intellectual property rights obtained by way of "sub-licenses" of technology from other companies. At present, although the Company has engaged the prestigious patent law firm of Cabinet-Moutard of Versailles, France, to file a number of international patent applications (consistent with our agreements with the licensors of various technologies we license), the Company itself has no finished commercial product or products, and has received no final patents awards or FDA approvals for any product or diagnostic procedures.

The Company currently has no full time employees. The Company operates with a skeletal management team headed by John Todd, M.D. In addition to Dr. Todd, the Company receives advice and counsel from its Scientific Advisory Board. A short biography of Dr. Todd may be found within this Form 10-KSB, and the biographies of other members of the ProtoKinetix Scientific Advisory Board may be found within the "About Us" section of the Company's website located at www.protokinetix.com.

The Company is focused on the research and development of one primary compound which it has filed a trademark application for. This compound is called AFGP.

AFGP Project

The Company has undertaken is to develop and test synthetic antifreeze glycoproteins (AFGP).

ProtoKinetix has entered into agreements to acquire the exclusive right to develop products derived from patent pending technologies related to synthetic AFGPs. The ProtoKinetix intellectual property rights were developed by Dr. Jean-Charles Quirion.

Intellectual Property

As of the date of this report, although the Company's development agents, including the parties the Company has licensed AFGP technologies from, have applied to receive patents for technologies ProtoKinetix has licensed and continues to primarily base it's research efforts on, no patents have been issued by a governmental or quasi-governmental agency. The references of applications that the Company has filed to date are PCT/IB2005/003940, filed on December 2, 2005 under the priority of the French patent application FR 0412782 which was filed on December 2, 2004.

Subject to our available financial resources, our intellectual property strategy is: (1) to pursue licenses, trade secrets, and know-how within the Company's primary research areas, and (2) to develop and acquire proprietary positions to reagents and new platforms for the development of products related to these technologies.

Trade Secrets and Know-How

We believe that even if the Company's intellectual property position is ultimately diminished as a result of our development agents and licensors to receive patent protection for the licenses ProtoKinetix has contracted to access, we have developed a substantial body of trade secrets and know-how relating to the development of AAGP™, including but not limited to the optimization of materials for efforts, and how to maximize sensitivity, speed-to-result, specificity, stability and reproducibility.

Competition

The markets that the Company is attempting to enter are multi-billion dollar international industries. They are intensely competitive. Many of our competitors (from every perspective) are substantially larger and have greater financial, research, manufacturing, and marketing resources.

Industry competition in general is based on the following:

- Scientific and technological capability;
 - Proprietary know-how;
- The ability to develop and market products and processes;
- The ability to obtain FDA or other required regulatory approvals;
- The ability to manufacture products that meet applicable FDA requirements, (i.e. FDA's Quality System Regulations) see Governmental Regulation section;
 - Access to adequate capital;
- The ability to attract and retain qualified personnel; and
 - The availability of patent protection.

We believe our scientific and technological capabilities are significant. Some of the results of our research are available at our website located at www.protokinetix.com.

Our ability to develop our research is in large measure dependent on our having additional resources and/or collaborative relationships, particularly where we can have our product development efforts funded on a project or milestone basis. We believe that our know-how with our AFGP project, in spite of not yet receiving any patent protected rights, has been instrumental in our obtaining the collaborations we have developed.

Although there is no such immediate need to make any regulatory filing in the United States or abroad, one should know that we have limited experience with regard to obtaining FDA or other required regulatory approvals, and no experience with obtaining pre-marketing approval of a biologic product. (See "Governmental Regulation" for definition of pre-marketing approval.) For this reason, should our research efforts continue to show promise, we will likely need to hire consultants to assist the Company with such governmental regulations.

Our access to capital is more challenging, relative to most of our competitors. This is a competitive disadvantage. We believe however that our access to capital may increase as we get closer to the development of a commercially viable product.

To date, we believe our research has enabled us to attract and retain qualified consultants. Because of the greater financial resources of many of our competitors, we may not be able to complete effectively for the same individuals to the extent that a competitor uses its substantial resources to attract any such individuals.

As is discussed above, with respect to the availability of patent protection, we do not have our own portfolio of patents or the financial resources to develop and/or acquire a portfolio of patents similar to those of our larger competitors. We have been able to obtain access to patent-pending technology by entering into licensing arrangements. However, there can be no certainty that any of the patent-pending technologies we have licensed will ever receive final approval by any patent office.

Abandonment of the RECAF Project

The Company has completed its evaluation of the existence on the RECAF receptor site. Validation trials were set up in order to determine the specificity of the RECAF receptor site with a view towards developing a therapeutic antibody to destroy the cancer target the super antibody binds to. These trials failed to provide the Company with the specificity required necessary to fund the development of a therapeutic hunter killer antibody. The Company continues to own the rights to both the Super Anti-Body and the catalytic antibody platform technologies. The Company will continue to search for a receptor site that exists only on cancer cells, as well as one that is patentable.

The Company is not currently directing significant resources towards the RECAF Antibody Project

Governmental Regulation

As was discussed above, the Company currently has no commercially viable products. The below discussion relates to factors that may come into play ***when and if*** the Company has a commercially viable product.

All of the Company's research relates to products that are regulated by the European regulatory agencies, FDA, U.S. Department of Agriculture, certain state and local agencies, and/or comparable regulatory bodies in other countries (collectively, these agencies shall be referred to as the "Agencies"). Government regulation affects almost all aspects of development, production, and marketing, including product testing, authorizations to market, labeling, promotion, manufacturing, and record keeping. The FDA - and U.S. Department of Agriculture - regulated products require some form of action by that agency before they can be marketed in the United States, and, after approval or clearance, the Company must continue to comply with other FDA requirements applicable to marketed products. Both before and after approval or clearance, failure to comply with the FDA's requirements can lead to significant penalties.

The Company's proposed AAGP™ products may be regulated as medical devices and/or biologics. There are two review procedures by which medical devices can receive FDA clearance or approval. Some products may qualify for clearance under Section 510(k) of the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act, in which the manufacturer provides a pre-market notification that it intends to begin marketing the product, and shows that the product is substantially equivalent to another legally marketed product (i.e., that it has the same intended use and is as safe and effective as a legally marketed device and does not raise different questions of safety and effectiveness). In some cases, the submission must include data from human clinical studies. Marketing may commence when the FDA issues a clearance letter finding such substantial equivalence. An applicant must submit a 510(k) application at least 90 days before marketing of the affected product commences. Although FDA clearance may be granted within that 90-day period, in some cases as much as a year or more may be required before clearance is obtained, if at all.

If the medical device does not qualify for the 510(k) procedure (either because it is not substantially equivalent to a legally marketed device or because it is required by statute and the FDA's implementing regulations to have an approved application), the FDA must approve a pre-market approval application before marketing can begin. Pre-market approvals must demonstrate, among other matters, that the medical device provides a reasonable assurance of safety and effectiveness. A pre-market approval is typically a complex submission, including the results of preclinical and clinical studies. Preparing a pre-market approval is a detailed and time-consuming process. Once a pre-market approval has been submitted, the FDA is required to review the submission within a statutory period of time. However, the FDA's review may, and often is, much longer, often requiring one year or more, and may include requests for additional data.

Biologic products must be the subject of an approved biologics license application before they can be marketed. The FDA approval process for a biologic product is similar to the pre-market approval process, involving a demonstration of the product's safety and effectiveness based in part on both preclinical and clinical studies.

The Company's *proposed* AAGP™ products may be considered by FDA to be a biologic and will therefore be submitted to the biologics division of FDA, the Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research.

Every company that manufactures biologic products or medical devices distributed in the United States must comply with the FDA's Quality System Regulations. These regulations govern the manufacturing process, including design, manufacture, testing, release, packaging, distribution, documentation, and purchasing. Compliance with the Quality System Regulations is required before the FDA will approve an application, and these requirements also apply to marketed products. Companies are also subject to other post-market and general requirements, including compliance with restrictions imposed on marketed products, compliance with promotional standards, record keeping, and reporting of certain adverse reactions or events. The FDA regularly inspects companies to determine compliance with the Quality System Regulations and other post-approval requirements. Failure to comply with statutory requirements and the FDA's regulations can lead to substantial penalties, including monetary penalties, injunctions, product recalls, seizure of products, and criminal prosecution.

The Clinical Laboratory Improvement Act of 1988 prohibits laboratories from performing in vitro tests for the purpose of providing information for the diagnosis, prevention or treatment of any disease or impairment of, or the assessment of, the health of human beings unless there is in effect for such laboratories a certificate issued by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services applicable to the category of examination or procedure performed. Although a certificate is not required for ProtoKinetix, ProtoKinetix considers the applicability of the requirements of the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Act in the potential design and development of its products.

In addition, the FDA regulates the export of medical devices that have not been approved for marketing in the United States. The Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act contains general requirements for any medical device that may not be sold in the United States and is intended for export. Specifically, a medical device intended for export is not deemed to be adulterated or misbranded if the product: (1) accords to the specifications of the foreign purchaser; (2) is not in conflict with the laws of the country to which it is intended for export; (3) is labeled on the outside of the shipping package that it is intended for export; and (4) is not sold or offered for sale in the United States. Some medical devices face additional statutory requirements before they can be exported. If an unapproved device does not comply with an applicable performance standard or premarket approval requirement, is exempt from either such requirement because it is an investigational device, or is a banned device, the device may be deemed to be adulterated or misbranded unless the FDA has determined that exportation of the device is not contrary to the public health and safety and has the approval of the country to which it is intended for export. However, the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act does permit the export of devices to any country in the world, if the device complies with the laws of the importing country and has valid marketing authorization in one of several "listed" countries under the theory that these listed countries have sophisticated mechanisms for the review of medical devices for safety and effectiveness.

ProtoKinetix is also subject to regulations in foreign countries governing products, human clinical trials and marketing, and may need to obtain approval or evaluations by international public health agencies, such as the World Health Organization, in order to sell products in certain countries. Approval processes vary from country to country, and the length of time required for approval or to obtain other clearances may in some cases be longer than that required for U.S. governmental approvals. The extent of potentially adverse governmental regulation affecting ProtoKinetix that might arise from future legislative or administrative action cannot be predicted.

Environmental Laws

To date, we have not encountered any costs relating to compliance with any environmental laws.

ITEM 2. DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY

The Company does not own any real property. The Company is not currently paying a rental fee where it is located.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

There are currently no legal matters pending.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

A shareholder meeting was not held during fiscal year 2005.

Page 10

PART II**ITEM 5. MARKET FOR THE REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS**

Trades of our common stock are subject to Rule 15c-9 of the Securities and Exchange Commission, known as the Penny Stock Rule. This rule imposes requirements on broker/dealers who sell securities subject to the rule to persons other than established customers and accredited investors. For transactions covered by the rule, brokers/dealers must make a special suitability determination for purchasers of the securities and receive the purchaser's written agreement to the transaction prior to sale. The Securities and Exchange Commission also has rules that regulate broker/dealer practices in connection with transactions in "penny stocks." Penny stocks generally are equity securities with a price of less than \$5.00 (other than securities registered on certain national securities exchanges or quoted on the NASDAQ system, provided that current price and volume information with respect to transactions in that security is provided by the exchange or system). The Penny Stock Rules requires a broker/ dealer, prior to a transaction in a penny stock not otherwise exempt from the rules, to deliver a standardized risk disclosure document prepared by the Commission that provides information about penny stocks and the nature and level of risks in the penny stock market. The broker/dealer also must provide the customer with current bid and offer quotations for the penny stock, the compensation of the broker/dealer and its salesperson in the transaction, and monthly account statements showing the market value of each penny stock held in the customer's account. The bid and offer quotations, and the broker/dealer and salesperson compensation information, must be given to the customer orally or in writing prior to effecting the transaction and must be given to the customer in writing before or with the customer's confirmation. These disclosure requirements have the effect of reducing the level of trading activity in the secondary market for our common stock. As a result of these rules, investors may find it difficult to sell their shares.

The Company's Common Stock is quoted on the over-the-counter market and quoted on the National Association of Securities Dealers Electronic Bulletin Board ("OTC Bulletin Board") under the symbol "PKTX". The high and low bid prices for the Common Stock, as reported by the National Quotation Bureau, Inc., are indicated for the periods described below. Such prices are inter-dealer prices without retail markups, markdowns or commissions, and may not necessarily represent actual transactions.

| 2004 | Low | High |
|--------------------------|------------|-------------|
| As of March 31, 2004 | \$.47 | .55 |
| As of June 30, 2004 | .90 | .98 |
| As of September 30, 2004 | .54 | .62 |
| As of December 31, 2004 | .60 | .70 |
| 2005 | Low | High |
| As of March 31, 2005 | \$.45 | \$.55 |
| As of June 30, 2005 | .87 | .94 |
| As of September 30, 2005 | .52 | .58 |
| As of December 31, 2005 | .60 | .63 |

Dividends

We have never paid cash dividends and have no plans to do so in the foreseeable future. Our future dividend policy will be determined by our board of directors and will depend upon a number of factors, including our financial condition and performance, our cash needs and expansion plans, income tax consequences, and the restrictions that applicable laws, our current preferred stock instruments, and our future credit arrangements may then impose.

Currently under Nevada law, a dividend may not be made by a corporation if, after giving it effect:

- the corporation would not be able to pay its debts as they become due in the usual course of business; or
- except as otherwise specifically allowed by the corporation's articles of incorporation, the corporation's total assets would be less than the sum of its total liabilities plus the amount that would be needed, if the corporation were to be dissolved at the time of distribution, to satisfy the preferential rights upon dissolution of stockholders whose preferential rights are superior to those receiving the distribution.

Holdings

As of April 12, 2006, there were approximately 76 shareholders of record of the company's Common Stock.

As of April 12, 2006, the Company had 39,667,556 shares issued and outstanding. During the year ended December 31, 2005, the Company issued 12,507,991 new common shares. From January 1, 2006 through April 12, 2006 the Company issued 166,359 common shares.

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities; Use of Proceeds From Registered Securities

The previously filed Form 10-QSBs outline transactions related to new issuances for the first, second and third calendar quarters of 2005. Below is a table showing the number of newly issued shares by quarter:

| Period | Number of Newly Issued Common Shares |
|----------------|---|
| First Quarter | 2,000,000 |
| Second Quarter | 7,428,922 |
| Third Quarter | 147,344 |
| Fourth Quarter | 2,931,725 |
| Total | 12,507,991 |

There have been no sales of unregistered securities during calendar 2005 which would be required to be disclosed pursuant to Item 701 of Regulation S-B, except for the following:

On March 8, 2005 the Company issued a total of 2,000,000 common shares pursuant to a consulting agreement. These issuances were made in lieu of cash payments for services rendered and were considered exempt transactions under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

On April 4, 2005 the Company issued a total of 3,050,000 common shares pursuant to the exercise of prior issued Warrants. These issuances were considered exempt transactions under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

On April 5, 2005 the Company issued a total of 285,832 common shares to Thunderbird Global Corporation in consideration of the conversion of \$85,749.60 of the outstanding debentures Thunderbird Global Corporation holds. These issuances were considered exempt transactions under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

On April 30, 2005, the Company issued a total of 30,000 common shares pursuant to a due diligence fee agreement. These issuances were made in lieu of cash payments for services rendered and were considered exempt transactions under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

On May 9, 2005 the Company issued a total of 353,090 common shares to Thunderbird Global Corporation in consideration of the conversion of \$105,927 of the outstanding debentures Thunderbird Global Corporation holds. These issuances were considered exempt transactions under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

On May 10, 2005 the Company issued a total of 1,150,000 common shares pursuant to a consulting agreement. These issuances were made in lieu of cash payments for services rendered and were considered exempt transactions under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

On May 11, 2005 the Company issued a total of 1,200,000 common shares pursuant to a consulting agreement with Sedona West Investment Group, Inc. These issuances were made in lieu of cash payments for services rendered and were considered exempt transactions under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. The Company has cancelled these shares and is in the process of filing a complaint and a request for an order from a state court in Nevada against Sedona in order to have these shares returned to the Company treasury.

On May 20, 2005 the Company issued a total of 1,750,000 common shares pursuant to a consulting agreement. These issuances were made in lieu of cash payments for services rendered and were considered exempt transactions under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

On June 23, 2005 the Company issued a total of 810,000 common shares pursuant to a consulting agreement. These issuances were made in lieu of cash payments for services rendered and were considered exempt transactions under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

On August 10, 2005 the Company issued a total of 36,233 common shares pursuant to a consulting agreement. These issuances were made in lieu of cash payments for services rendered and were considered exempt transactions under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

On August 25, 2005 the Company issued a total of 111,111 common shares pursuant to a consulting agreement. These issuances were made in lieu of cash payments for services rendered and were considered exempt transactions under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

On November 7, 2005 the Company issued a total of 1,000,000 common shares pursuant to a prior executed contract. These issuances were made in lieu of cash payments for services rendered and were considered exempt transactions under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

On November 15, 2005 the Company issued a total of 311,725 common shares pursuant to a consulting agreement. These issuances were made in lieu of cash payments for services rendered and were considered exempt transactions under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

On December 2, 2005 the Company issued a total of 400,000 common shares pursuant to a consulting agreement. These issuances were made in lieu of cash payments for services rendered and were considered exempt transactions under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

On December 23 2005 the Company issued a total of 1,220,000 common shares pursuant to a consulting agreement. These issuances were made in lieu of cash payments for services rendered and were considered exempt transactions under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

There have been no sales of unregistered securities during calendar 2006 which would be required to be disclosed pursuant to Item 701 of Regulation S-B, except for the following:

On February 22, 2006 the company issued a total of 166,359 common shares pursuant to three consulting agreements. These issuances were made in lieu of cash payments for services rendered and were considered exempt transactions under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

Warrants

On November 21, 2005, in lieu of payment for advisory services rendered to the Company, the Company issued the following parties warrants to purchase common shares of the Company's stock:

| | No. of shares | Exercise Price | Date Exercised | Date Expired |
|-----------------------------|------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|--------------|
| Murdock Capital Partners | 100,000 | 0.60 | Not Exercised ⁽¹⁾ | 11/21/06 |
| Murdock Capital Partners | 100,000 | 0.58 | Not Exercised ⁽²⁾ | 11/21/06 |
| Total | 200,000 | | | |

⁽¹⁾ As of April 12, 2006 these warrants have not been exercised.

⁽²⁾ As of April 12, 2006 these warrants have not been exercised.

Disclosure Related to Form S-8 Issuances

Prior to issuing any common shares under Form S-8, the Company requests and receives an executed verification from all issuees stating that the issuee is a natural person and that: (a) the shares being issued are not being provided to create or sustain a market for the Company's securities, and (b) that the shares are not being issued as a part of a capital raising transaction. All consultants to the Company are required to provide work product as a part of and condition to their relationship with the Company. Consultant work product is delivered in accordance with the terms and conditions of each respective Consultants' agreement.

ITEM 6. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OR PLAN OF OPERATION

This discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements and related notes. Our discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, disclosure of any contingent liabilities at the financial statement date and reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. On an on-going basis we review our estimates and assumptions. Our estimates were based on our historical experience and other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results are likely to differ from those estimates under different assumptions or conditions, but we do not believe such differences will materially affect our financial position or results of operations. Our critical accounting policies, the policies we believe are most important to the presentation of our financial statements and require the most difficult, subjective and complex judgments, are outlined below in "Critical Accounting Policies," and have not changed significantly.

In addition, certain statements made in this report may constitute "forward-looking statements." These forward-looking statements involve known or unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause the actual results, performance, or achievements of the Company to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements. Specifically, 1) our ability to obtain necessary regulatory approvals for our products; and 2) our ability to increase revenues and operating income, is dependent upon our ability to develop and sell our products, general economic conditions, and other factors. You can identify forward-looking statements by terminology such as "may," "will," "should," "expects," "intends," "plans," "anticipates," "believes," "estimates," "predicts," "potential," "continues" or the negative of these terms or other comparable terminology. Although we believe that the expectations reflected-in the forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot guarantee future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements.

Critical Accounting Policies

Our critical and significant accounting policies, including the assumptions and judgments underlying them, are disclosed in the Notes to the Financial Statements. These policies have been consistently applied in all material respects and address such matters as revenue recognition and depreciation methods. The preparation of the financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reported period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. The accounting treatment of a particular transaction is specifically dictated by accounting principles, generally accepted in the United States of America, with no need for management's judgment in their application. There are also areas in which management's judgment in selecting any viable alternative would not produce a materially different result. See our audited financial statements and notes thereto which contain accounting policies and other disclosures required by accounting principles, generally accepted in the United States of America.

Overview

ProtoKinetix is a biotechnical company headquartered in Vancouver, British Columbia that owns the world-wide rights to a family of synthetic anti-freeze glycoproteins (trademarked by the Company as AAGP™). The Company is dedicated to the commercial development of AAGP™ for use in human and veterinary medicine, food additives and supplements, and the biotechnology and cosmetic industry. ProtoKinetix is making rapid and meaningful progress in this domain by coordinating a team of world recognized intellectual talent in a networked environment. This team has been able to use previously published research on native antifreeze proteins and antifreeze glycoproteins as a guide to the expansion and development of markets for this valuable family of molecules.

The ProtoKinetix business plan is based primarily on the furtherance of certain intellectual property rights obtained by way of "sub-licenses" of technology from other companies. At present, although the Company has engaged the prestigious patent law firm of Cabinet-Moutard of Versailles, France, to file a number of international patent applications (consistent with our agreements with the licensors of various technologies we license), the Company itself has no finished commercial product or products, and has received no final patents awards or FDA approvals for any product or diagnostic procedures.

The Company currently has no full time employees. The Company operates with a skeletal management team headed by John Todd, M.D. In addition to Dr. Todd, the Company receives advice and counsel from its Scientific Advisory Board. A short biography of Dr. Todd may be found within this Form 10-KSB, and the biographies of other members of the ProtoKinetix Scientific Advisory Board may be found within the "About Us" section of the Company's website located at www.protokinetix.com.

The Company is focused on the research and development of one primary compound which it has filed a trademark application for. This compound is called AFGP.

Project

The Company has undertaken is to develop and test synthetic antifreeze glycoproteins (AFGP).

ProtoKinetix has entered into agreements to acquire the exclusive right to develop products derived from patent pending technologies related to synthetic AFGPs. The ProtoKinetix intellectual property rights were developed by Dr. Jean-Charles Quirion.

Intellectual Property

As of the date of this report, although the Company's development agents, including the parties the Company has licensed AFGP technologies from, have applied to receive patents for technologies ProtoKinetix has licensed and continues to primarily base it's research efforts on, *no* patents have issued by a governmental or quasi-governmental agency. The references of applications that the Company has filed to date are PCT/IB2005/003940, filed on December 2, 2005 under the priority of the French patent application FR 0412782 which was filed on December 2, 2004.

Subject to our available financial resources, our intellectual property strategy is: (1) to pursue licenses, trade secrets, and know-how within the Company's primary research areas, and (2) to develop and acquire proprietary positions to reagents and new platforms for the development of products related to these technologies.

Trade Secrets and Know-How

We believe that even if the Company's intellectual property position is ultimately diminished as a result of our development agents and licensors to receive patent protection for the licenses ProtoKinetix has contracted to access, we have developed a substantial body of trade secrets and know-how relating to the development of AAGP™, including but not limited to the optimization of materials for efforts, and how to maximize sensitivity, speed-to-result, specificity, stability and reproducibility.

Competition

The markets that the Company is attempted to enter are multi-billion dollar international industries. They are intensely competitive. Many of our competitors (from every perspective) are substantially larger and have greater financial, research, manufacturing, and marketing resources.

Industry competition in general is based on the following:

- Scientific and technological capability;
 - Proprietary know-how;
 - The ability to develop and market products and processes;
 - The ability to obtain FDA or other required regulatory approvals;
- The ability to manufacture products that meet applicable FDA requirements, (i.e. FDA's Quality System Regulations) see Governmental Regulation section;
 - Access to adequate capital;
 - The ability to attract and retain qualified personnel; and
 - The availability of patent protection.

We believe our scientific and technological capabilities are significant. Some of the results of our research are available at our website located at www.protokinetix.com.

Our ability to develop our research is in large measure dependent on our having additional resources and/or collaborative relationships, particularly where we can have our product development efforts funded on a project or milestone basis. We believe that our know-how with our AFGP project, in spite of not yet receiving any patent protected rights, has been instrumental in our obtaining the collaborations we have developed.

Although there is no such immediate need to make any regulatory filing in the United States or abroad, one should know that we have limited experience with regard to obtaining FDA or other required regulatory approvals, and no experience with obtaining pre-marketing approval of a biologic product. (See "Governmental Regulation" for definition of pre-marketing approval.) For this reason, should our research efforts continue to show promise, we will likely need to hire consultants to assist the Company with such governmental regulations.

Our access to capital is more challenging, relative to most of our competitors. This is a competitive disadvantage. We believe however that our access to capital may increase as we get closer to the development of a commercially viable product.

To date, we believe our research has enabled us to attract and retain qualified consultants. Because of the greater financial resources of many of our competitors, we may not be able to complete effectively for the same individuals to the extent that a competitor uses its substantial resources to attract any such individuals.

As is discussed above, with respect to the availability of patent protection, we do not have our own portfolio of patents or the financial resources to develop and/or acquire a portfolio of patents similar to those of our larger competitors. We have been able to obtain access to patent-pending technology by entering into licensing arrangements. However, there can be no certainty that any of the patent-pending technologies we have licensed will ever receive final approval by any patent office.

AFGP Project

The second project that the Company has undertaken is to develop and test synthetic antifreeze proteins (AFP) and antifreeze glycoproteins (AFGP).

ProtoKinetix has entered into agreements to acquire the exclusive right to develop products derived from patent pending technologies related to synthetic AFGPs. The ProtoKinetix intellectual property rights were developed by Dr. Jean-Charles Quirion.

As of the date of this report, although the Company's development agents, including the parties the Company has licensed AFGP technologies from, have applied to receive patents for technologies ProtoKinetix has licensed and continues to primarily base its research efforts on, no patents have issued by a governmental or quasi-governmental agency.

Below is a further discussion of the Company's AFGP Project:

One of many accomplishments from pioneering research of the U.S. Antarctic Program was the discovery, in the early sixties, that fish living year-long in subzero temperature are extremely resistant to freezing. The substances that prevent these fish from freezing were isolated, characterized and designated as antifreeze glycoproteins or AFGP. Over the years, various kinds of AFGP were isolated from many species of fishes, and in some amphibians, plants and insects. All of the AFGPs share a common characteristic that prevents ice crystals from growing and connecting to each other.

A review of the scientific literature will confirm that there has been a great deal of interest around the world in these natural antifreeze glycoproteins which are able to protect a great many creatures which are subjected to freezing temperatures. A further review will also confirm that the natural antifreeze is able to preserve mammalian cells tissue and organs. The metabolic rate in living cells is reduced as the temperature is lowered. Keeping cells and tissue at a low temperature enables their preservation for a longer time than cells can be preserved for at a higher temperature. Yet, when cells are exposed to sub zero temperatures, they are destroyed by the formation of ice crystals which disrupts the cell membrane.

Scientists have conducted many experiments in which they extracted naturally occurring AFGP from a variety of fish and then used these naturally occurring antifreeze glycoproteins to reduce the temperature at which ice crystals are formed. It has been determined in experiments by many scientists that mammalian cells in a solution containing natural AFGP could be successfully preserved at temperatures several degrees below zero C (see attached). At this temperature the metabolic rate of the cells is very low, and these cells can be preserved for a longer period of time at sub zero temperatures as long as the cells are not destroyed by the formation of ice crystals. However, until today, applications of AFGP were limited since researchers were unable to produce sufficient quantities or stable enough copies of these antifreeze glycoproteins for commercial applications, and the use of naturally occurring compounds extracted from fish is too labour and cost-intensive to be practical.

Researchers, headed by Dr. Jean Charles Quirion in Rouen, France have developed an innovative and patented chemical synthesis protocol for manufacturing and stabilizing AFGP molecules using a chemical bond that protects these compounds from degradation by naturally occurring enzymes. Dr. Quirion and his team have produced several synthetic antifreeze glycoproteins and have the ability to produce many more different types of these molecules. The synthetic AFGP which has been made has been tested and we were able to show:

- The molecules are stable down to a pH of 1.8
- There is no toxicity demonstrated in 2 separate trials
- The molecules tested have shown that they reduce the freezing point to minus 18 degrees celcius
- We have been able to preserve red cells at temperatures below zero Celcius using 1 mg per ml of the synthetic antifreeze

Current research is being conducted to confirm the efficacy of these chemically synthesized new molecules and applications are being sought for the use of the synthetic AFGP to prolong the shelf-life of human blood and blood products as well as for other cell types, live vaccines, tissue and organs. The market for the preservation of blood and blood products is very large, as is the market for the preservation of human and animal cells for research purposes. The subzero cryopreservation of organs using our synthetic AFGP will be a major milestone in transplantation medicine

ProtoKinetix will continue to conduct research on the synthetic AFGP which are being manufactured. This work will be conducted by government agencies as well as by contract with private laboratory facilities.

Expenses

Expenses in 2005 arose primarily from professional and consulting fees. We incurred professional fees relating to costs associated with our being a reporting company under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. We also incurred consulting fees which contributed to a net loss of \$5,096,296 during the twelve month period ended December 31, 2005.

Plan of Operation

Our current operations are centered around the Company's relationships with various research and development consultants who are conducting research on behalf of the company at discrete and established laboratories in various parts of the world. The Company intends to continue these efforts throughout 2006.

Sales and Marketing

The Company is currently not selling or marketing any products.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

At December 31, 2005, we had \$96,571 in cash and \$109,310 in total current assets. As of the date of this report, we require additional capital investments or borrowed funds to meet cash flow projections and carry forward our business objectives. There can be no assurance that we will be able to raise capital from outside sources in sufficient amounts to fund our new business.

The failure to secure adequate outside funding would have an adverse affect on our plan of operation and results therefrom and a corresponding negative impact on shareholder liquidity.

Inflation

Although management expects that our operations will be influenced by general economic conditions, we do not believe that inflation had a material effect on our results of operations during the year ending December 31, 2005.

Going Concern

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles, which contemplate continuation of the Company as a going concern. The history of losses and the inability for the Company to make a profit from selling a good or service has raised substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern.

In spite of the fact that the current cash obligations of the Company are relatively minimal, given the cash position of the Company, we have very little cash to operate.

We intend to fund the Company and attempt to meet corporate obligations by selling common stock. However the Company's common stock is at a low price and is not actively traded.

Results of Operations for the Year Ended December 31, 2005

We had \$2,000 in net revenues.

We had a \$5,096,296 loss from operations for 2005.

Operating expenses were \$5,098,296 in 2005. These expenses were primarily incurred for professional fees, consulting services related to the operations of the Company's business, specifically, research and development related expenses, and other general and administrative expenses.

ITEM 6A QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

We face exposure to fluctuations in the price of our common stock due to the very limited cash resources we have. For example, the Company has very limited resources to pay legal and accounting professionals. If we are unable to pay a legal or accounting professional in order to perform various professional services for the company, it may be difficult, if not impossible, for the Company to maintain its reporting status under the '34 Exchange Act. If the Company felt that it was likely that it would not be able to maintain its reporting status, it would make a disclosure by filing a Form 8-K with the SEC. In any case, if the Company was not able to maintain its reporting status, it would become "delisted" and this would potentially cause an investor or an existing shareholder to lose all or part of his investment.

ITEM 7. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The Consolidated Financial Statements and schedules that constitute Item 7 are attached at the end of this Annual Report on Form 10-KSB on the "F" pages.

ITEM 8. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

Not applicable.

ITEM 8A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

As of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company conducted an evaluation under the supervision and with the participation of the principal executive officer and principal financial officer, of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act")). Based on this evaluation, the principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms. There was no change in the Company's internal controls over financial reporting during the Company's most recently completed fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 8B. OTHER INFORMATION

Not applicable.

Page 22

PART III**ITEM 9. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS, PROMOTERS AND CONTROL PERSONS;
COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 16(A) OF THE EXCHANGE ACT**

As of April 12, 2006, the Company's current officers and directors consist of the following persons:

| Name | Age | Office | Since |
|-----------------------|------------|--|--------------|
| Dr. John Todd | 61 | Chairman of the Board, President, CEO and CFO | Inception |
| Mr. C. Fred Whittaker | 63 | Director | 2005 |

Dr. John Todd

Dr. John Todd has held the position of Chairman and President of ProtoKinetix, Inc since July 2003. From 1999 to 2003 Dr. Todd was a visiting consultant at the BC Women's Hospital. Dr. Todd received his Doctor of Medicine from the University of Calgary in 1974.

C. Fred Whittaker

Mr. C. Fred Whittaker was elected to our Board of Directors in 2005. Mr. Whittaker has been in the accounting profession for over 40 years. Mr. Whittaker received his Chartered Accounting designation in 1967, and has worked for various accounting firms, including KPMG, as well as for himself at different times in the past. For the last 15 years, he has worked exclusively for Whittaker & Associates, a regional accounting firm which he founded located in Vancouver, British Columbia. Currently, Mr. Whittaker is a senior partner at the accounting firm of Whittaker & Associates and has been for the past 30 years.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliances

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), requires the Company's directors, executive officers and holders of more than 10% of the Company's common stock to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission initial reports of ownership and reports of changes in ownership of common stock and other equity securities of the Company. The Company believes that during the year ended December 31, 2005, its officers, directors and holders of more than 10% of the Company's common stock complied with all Section 16(a) filing requirements.

Code of Ethics

Effective March 31, 2006, our board of directors adopted the ProtoKinetix, Inc. Code of Business Conduct and Ethics. The board of directors believes that our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics provides standards that are reasonably designed to deter wrongdoing and to promote the following: (1) honest and ethical conduct, including the ethical handling of actual or apparent conflicts of interest between personal and professional relationships; (2) full, fair, accurate, timely, and understandable disclosure in reports and documents that we file with, or submits to, the Securities and Exchange Commission; (3) compliance with applicable governmental laws, rules and regulations; the prompt internal reporting of violations of the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics to an appropriate person or persons; and (4) accountability for adherence to the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics.

Identification of Audit Committee; Audit Committee Financial Expert

The Company currently does not have an audit committee and has not made a determination of whether there is a financial expert. The Company plans to establish an audit committee during the third quarter of the current fiscal year.

ITEM 10. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The following table summarizes the annual compensation paid to ProtoKinetix's named executive officers for the two years ended December 31, 2005, and 2004:

| Name and Position | Year | Annual Compensation | | | Long-Term Compensation | | |
|--|------|---------------------|-------|---------------------------|------------------------------|---|------------------------|
| | | Salary | Bonus | Other Annual Compensation | Restricted Stock Awards (\$) | Common Shares Underlying Options Granted (# Shares) | All Other Compensation |
| Dr. John Todd | 2005 | \$0 | -0- | -0- | -0- | ----- | -0- |
| <i>President, Chief Executive Officer and Director</i> | 2004 | 0 | -0- | -0- | -0- | ----- | -0- |
| Mr. C. Fred Whittaker | 2005 | \$0 | -0- | -0- | -0- | ----- | -0- |
| <i>Director And Director</i> | 2004 | 0 | -0- | -0- | -0- | ----- | -0- |

Options/SAR Grants in the Last Fiscal Year

N/A

Employment Agreements

None

Chief Executives Officer's compensation

During fiscal year 2005, Dr. John Todd did not draw a salary nor did the Company accrue a salary for any obligation.

Compensation of Directors

Directors receive no remuneration for their services as directors at this time. The Company has adopted no retirement, pension, profit sharing or other similar programs.

ITEM 11. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The following table sets forth certain information regarding the beneficial ownership of the Company's Common Stock as of December 31, 2005 based on information available to the Company by (i) each person who is known by the Company to own more than 5% of the outstanding Common Stock based upon reports filed by such persons within the Securities and Exchange Commission; (ii) each of the Company's directors; (iii) each of the Named Executive Officers; and (iv) all officers and directors of the Company as a group.

| Name and Address | Shares Beneficially Owned | Percent of Class |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Dr. John Todd ⁽²⁾ | 3,130,000 ⁽¹⁾ | .076% |
| Mr. C. Fred Whittaker ⁽³⁾ | 120,000 | .002% |
| Centrum Bank AG ⁽⁴⁾ | 4,668,780 | .113% |
| TOTAL | 7,918,780 | .193% |

⁽²⁾ This amount includes 400,000 shares beneficially owned J.D. Todd Medical Inc.

⁽³⁾ The address is 1500-885 Georgia Street, Vancouver, BC V6C 3E8 Canada

⁽⁴⁾ The address is 1500-885 Georgia Street, Vancouver, BC V6C 3E8 Canada

⁽⁵⁾ The address is Kirchstrasse 3, 9490 Vaduz Liechtenstein

A person is deemed to be the beneficial owner of securities that can be acquired by such person within 60 days from the date of the registration statement upon the exercise of options or warrants. Each beneficial owner's percentage ownership is determined by assuming that options or warrants that are held by such person and which are exercisable within 60 days of the date of this registration statement have been exercised. Unless otherwise indicated, the company believes that all persons named in the table have voting and investment power with respect to all shares of common stock beneficially owned by them.

ITEM 12. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

N/A

ITEM 13. EXHIBITS AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

(a) Exhibits.

3.1.i Certificate of Incorporation filed as an exhibit to the Company's registration statement on Form 10SB/A filed on July 24, 2001 and incorporated herein by reference.

3.1.ii By-Laws filed as an exhibit to the Company's registration statement on Form 10SB/A filed on July 24, 2001 and incorporated herein by reference.

14.1 ProtoKinetix, Inc. Code of Ethics attached.

23.1 Consent of Experts and Counsel attached.

31.1 Rule 13a-12(a)/15d-14(a) Certifications attached.

32.1 Section 1350 Certifications attached.

Page 25

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

Audit Fees

For the years ended December 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004, Peterson Sullivan PLLC, the Company's principal accountants, billed the Company \$30,292 and \$27,403, respectively, for fees for the audit of the Company's annual financial statements and review of financial statements included in the Company's Forms 10-QSB.

Audit-Related Fees

For the years ended December 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004, Peterson Sullivan PLLC did not provide the Company with any assurances or related services reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of the Company's financial statements and are not reported above under "Audit Fees."

Tax Fees

For the years ended December 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004, Peterson Sullivan PLLC did not bill for professional services for tax compliance, tax advice, and tax planning.

All Other Fees

For the years ended December 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004, Peterson Sullivan PLLC did not bill the Company for fees associated with the preparation and filing of the Company's registration statements, the creation of pro forma financial statements and other related matters.

Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policies

The Company currently does not have an audit committee. The Company's Board of Directors currently approves in advance all audit and non-audit related services performed by the Company's principal accountants.

SIGNATURES

In accordance with Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act, the registrant has caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

PROTOKINETIX, INC.

Date: April 12, 2006

By: /s/ Dr. John Todd
Dr. John Todd
President, CEO and CFO

In accordance with the requirements of the Exchange Act, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

| Signatures | Title | Date |
|------------------|--|----------------|
| /s/Dr. John Todd | Chief Executive Officer, President, | April 12, 2006 |
| Dr. John Todd | Chief Financial Officer and Chairman Of The Board | |

PROTOKINETIX, INCORPORATED
(A Development Stage Company)

FINANCIAL REPORT

DECEMBER 31, 2005

F1

C O N T E N T S

Page

| | |
|--|---------------|
| REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM | F3 |
| FINANCIAL STATEMENTS | |
| BALANCE SHEET | F4 |
| STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS | F5 |
| STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY and 6 | F6-F7 |
| STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS | |
| F8 | |
| NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - 14 | F9-F15 |

F2

<?xml:namespace prefix = v ns = "urn:schemas-microsoft-com:vml" />

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders
Protokinetix, Incorporated

We have audited the accompanying balance sheet of Protokinetix, Incorporated (a development stage company) as of December 31, 2005, and the related statements of operations, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, and for the period from December 23, 1999 (date of inception) through December 31, 2005. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company has determined that it is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Protokinetix, Incorporated (a development stage company) as of December 31, 2005, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, and for the period from December 23, 1999 (date of inception) through December 31, 2005, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 1 to the financial statements, the Company has not generated revenues or positive cash flows from operations and has an accumulated deficit at December 31, 2005. These conditions raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plan regarding those matters is also described in Note 1. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

/s/ Peterson Sullivan PLLC

March 27, 2006
Seattle, Washington

F3

PROTOKINETIX, INCORPORATED

(A Development Stage Company)

BALANCE SHEET

| | December 31, 2005 | |
|---|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | | ASSETS |
| Current Asset | | |
| Cash | | \$ 96,571 |
| Accounts receivable | | 6,539 |
| Prepaid expenses | | 6,200 |
| | Total current assets | 109,310 |
| Computer Equipment, net | | 2,461 |
| Intangible Assets | | 3,110,000 |
| | | \$ 3,221,771 |
| | | LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' |
| | | EQUITY |
| Current Liabilities | | |
| Due to outside management consultants | | \$ 306,892 |
| Accounts payable | | 31,087 |
| Accrued interest | | 36,294 |
| | Total current liabilities | 374,273 |
| Convertible Note Payable | | 123,323 |
| | Total liabilities | 497,596 |
| Stockholders' Equity | | |
| Common stock, \$.0000053 par value; 100,000,000 common shares authorized; 40,801,197 shares issued and outstanding | | 220 |
| Common stock issuable; 608,375 shares | | 6 |
| Additional paid-in capital | | 14,503,079 |
| Deficit accumulated during the development stage | | (11,779,130) |
| | | 2,724,175 |
| | | \$ 3,221,771 |

See notes to financial statements

PROTOKINETIX, INCORPORATED

(A Development Stage Company)

STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONSFor the Years Ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, and for the
Period from December 23, 1999 (Date of Inception) to December 31, 2005

| | 2005 | 2004 | Cumulative During the Development Stage |
|--|----------------|----------------|--|
| Revenues | \$ 2,000 | \$ - | \$ 2,000 |
| Expenses | | | |
| Professional fees | 333,186 | 1,573,933 | 2,426,693 |
| Consulting fees | 3,915,676 | 3,460,613 | 8,037,679 |
| Research and development | 410,650 | 209,532 | 620,182 |
| General and administrative | 155,835 | 121,096 | 347,061 |
| Impairment loss | 269,756 | | 269,756 |
| Interest | 13,193 | 23,100 | 36,293 |
| | 5,098,296 | 5,388,274 | 11,737,664 |
| Loss from continuing operations | (5,096,296) | (5,388,274) | (11,735,664) |
| Discontinued Operations | | | |
| Loss from operations of the discontinued segment | | | (43,466) |
| Net loss | \$ (5,096,296) | \$ (5,388,274) | \$ (11,779,130) |
| Net Loss per Common Share (basic and fully diluted) | \$ (0.13) | \$ (0.18) | |
| Weighted average number of common shares outstanding | 38,598,215 | 29,941,359 | |

See Notes to Financial Statements

F5

PROTOKINETIX, INCORPORATED
(A Development Stage Company)

STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

For the Years Ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, and for the
Period from December 23, 1999 (Date of Inception) to December 31, 2005

| | Common Stock Amount | Shares | Common Stock Issuable Amount | Additional Paid-in Capital | Stock Subscriptions Receivable | Deficit Accumulated During the Development Stage | T |
|--|------------------------|--------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|----------|
| Issuance of common stock, December 1999 | 9,375,000 \$ | 50 | - \$ | - | \$ 4,950 \$ | - \$ | - |
| Net loss for period | | | | | | | (35) |
| Balance, December 31, 2000 | 9,375,000 | 50 | - | - | 4,950 | | (35) |
| Issuance of common stock, April 2001 | 5,718,750 | 30 | | | 15,220 | | |
| Net loss for year | | | | | | | (16,902) |
| Balance, December 31, 2001 | 15,093,750 | 80 | - | - | 20,170 | | (16,937) |
| Net loss for year | | | | | | | (14,878) |
| Balance, December 31, 2002 | 15,093,750 | 80 | - | - | 20,170 | | (31,815) |
| Issuance of common | | | | | | | |

Edgar Filing: ProtoKinetix, Inc. - Form 10KSB

| | | | | | |
|--|------|-----------|--|----------------|----------------|
| stock for services: | | | | | |
| July 2003 2,125,000 | 11 | | | 424,989 | |
| August 2003 300,000 | 2 | | | 14,998 | |
| September 2003 1,000,000 | 5 | | | 49,995 | |
| October 2003 1,550,000 | 8 | | | 619,992 | |
| Issuance of common stock for licensing rights 14,000,000 | 74 | | | 2,099,926 | |
| Common stock issuable for licensing rights Shares cancelled on September 30, 2003 (9,325,000) | | 2,000,000 | | 11 299,989 | |
| Net loss for year Balance, December 31, 2003 24,743,750 | (49) | | | 49 | (1,262,745) (1 |
| Issuance of common stock for services: | | | | | |
| March 2004 1,652,300 | 9 | | | 991,371 | |
| May 2004 500,000 | 3 | | | 514,997 | |
| July 2004 159,756 | 1 | | | 119,694 | |
| 100,000 | 1 | | | 70,999 | |
| | 131 | 2,000,000 | | 11 3,530,108 - | (1,294,560) |

Edgar Filing: ProtoKinetix, Inc. - Form 10KSB

| | | | | | |
|--|-----------|---|---------|-----------|-------------------|
| August 2004 | | | | | |
| October 2004 | 732,400 | 4 | 479,996 | | |
| November 2004 | 650,000 | 4 | 454,996 | | |
| December 2004 | 255,000 | 1 | 164,425 | | |
| Common stock issuable for AFGP license | 1,000,000 | | 5 | 709,995 | |
| Common stock issuable for Recaf license | 400,000 | | 2 | 223,998 | |
| Warrants granted (for 3,450,000 shares) for services, October 2004 | | | | 1,716,253 | |
| Options granted for services, October 2004 | | | | 212,734 | |
| Stock subscriptions receivable | 1,800,000 | | 10 | 329,990 | (330,000) |
| Warrants exercised: | | | | | |
| August 2004 | 50,000 | | | 15,000 | |
| October 2004 | 600,000 | | 3 | 134,997 | |
| December 2004 | 1,000,000 | | 5 | 224,995 | |
| Options exercised, December 2004 | 100,000 | | 1 | 29,999 | |
| Net loss for | | | | | - (5,388,274) (5) |

period
Balance,
December

31,

2004 28,793,206

\$ 154 6,950,000

\$
\$ 37 9,924,547

\$ (330,000) \$ (6,682,834) \$

See Notes to Financial Statements

F6

PROTOKINETIX, INCORPORATED
(A Development Stage Company)

STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

(Continued)

For the Years Ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, and for the
Period from December 23, 1999 (Date of Inception) to December 31, 2005

| | Common Stock | | Common Stock | | Additional | Stock | Deficit | |
|---|--------------|--------|--------------|--------|--------------|---------------|----------------|--------------|
| | Shares | Amount | Shares | Amount | Paid-in | Subscriptions | Accumulated | Total |
| | | | | | Capital | Receivable | During the | |
| | | | | | | | Development | |
| | | | | | | | Stage | |
| Balance, December 31, 2004 | 28,793,206 | \$ 154 | 6,950,000 | \$ 37 | \$ 9,924,547 | \$ (330,000) | \$ (6,682,834) | \$ 2,911,904 |
| Issuance of common stock for stock subscriptions received | | | | | | 240,000 | | 240,000 |
| Issuance of common stock for licensing rights | 2,000,000 | 11 | (2,000,000) | (11) | | | | - |
| Issuance of stock for warrants exercised | 2,050,000 | 10 | (2,050,000) | (10) | | | | - |
| Options exercised, February 2005 | | | 35,000 | 1 | 10,499 | | | 10,500 |
| May 2005 | 200,000 | 1 | | | 59,999 | | | 60,000 |
| Note payable conversion, February 2005 | | | 285,832 | 1 | 85,749 | | | 85,750 |
| Issuance of common stock for note payable conversion | | | | | | | | |
| April 2005 | 285,832 | 1 | (285,832) | (1) | | | | - |
| May 2005 | 353,090 | 2 | | | 105,925 | | | 105,927 |
| Issuance of common stock for AFGP license | 1,000,000 | 5 | (1,000,000) | (5) | | | | - |
| Issuance of common | 1,400,000 | 6 | (1,400,000) | (6) | | 90,000 | | 90,000 |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------|--------|-----------|------|---------------|------|--|-----------------|--------------|
| stock for stock subscriptions received | | | | | | | | | |
| Issuance of stock for options exercised | 135,000 | 2 | (135,000) | (2) | | | | | - |
| Issuance of common stock for services: | | | | | | | | | |
| April 2005 | 30,000 | 1 | | | 14,999 | | | | 15,000 |
| May 2005 | 3,075,000 | 15 | | | 3,320,985 | | | | 3,321,000 |
| June 2005 | 50,000 | 1 | | | 50,499 | | | | 50,500 |
| August 2005 | 111,111 | 1 | (92,593) | (1) | 15,000 | | | | 15,000 |
| October 2005 | 36,233 | 1 | (36,233) | (1) | | | | | - |
| November 2005 | 311,725 | 2 | (245,000) | (1) | 36,249 | | | | 36,250 |
| December 2005 | 1,220,000 | 8 | | | 756,392 | | | | 756,400 |
| Common stock canceled; August 2005 | (250,000) | (1) | | | (257,499) | | | | (257,500) |
| Common stock issuable for services rendered | | | | | | | | | |
| June 2005 | | | 200,000 | 1 | 149,999 | | | | 150,000 |
| August 2005 | | | 36,233 | 1 | 21,739 | | | | 21,740 |
| September 2005 | | | 125,000 | 1 | 74,999 | | | | 75,000 |
| September 2005 (Proteocell) | | | 100,000 | 1 | 57,999 | | | | 58,000 |
| December 2005 | | | 120,968 | 1 | 74,999 | | | | 75,000 |
| Net loss for the year | | | | | | | | (5,096,296) | (5,096,296) |
| Balance, December 31, 2005 | 40,801,197 | \$ 220 | 608,375 | \$ 6 | \$ 14,503,079 | \$ - | | \$ (11,779,130) | \$ 2,724,175 |

See Notes to Financial Statements

F7

PROTOKINETIX, INCORPORATED

(A Development Stage Company)

STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWSFor the Years Ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, and for the
Period from December 23, 1999 (Date of Inception) to December 31, 2005

| | 2005 | 2004 | Cumulative During the Development Stage |
|---|----------------|----------------|--|
| Cash Flows from Operating Activities | | | |
| Net loss for year | \$ (5,096,296) | \$ (5,388,274) | \$ (11,779,130) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities | | | |
| Depreciation expense | 674 | 253 | 927 |
| Write-off of Recaf license | 269,756 | | 269,756 |
| Issuance of common stock for services and expenses | 4,316,390 | 2,796,501 | 8,222,891 |
| Warrants issued for consulting services | | 1,716,253 | 1,716,253 |
| Stock options issued for consulting services | | 212,734 | 212,734 |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities | | | |
| Accounts receivable | (6,539) | | (6,539) |
| Prepaid expenses | (6,200) | | (6,200) |
| Due to outside management consultants | (86,958) | 270,984 | 306,892 |
| Accounts payable | 10,199 | (20,660) | 31,087 |
| Accrued interest payable | 13,194 | 23,100 | 36,294 |
| Net cash used in operating activities | (585,780) | (389,109) | (995,035) |
| Cash Flows from Investing Activities | | | |
| Acquisition of intangible assets | - | (45,756) | (45,756) |
| Purchase of computer equipment | (1,705) | (1,683) | (3,388) |
| Net cash flows used in investing activities | (1,705) | (47,439) | (49,144) |
| Cash Flows from Financing Activities | | | |
| Warrants exercised | 330,000 | 375,000 | 705,000 |
| Stock options exercised | 70,500 | 30,000 | 100,500 |
| Issuance of common stock for cash | | | 20,250 |
| Proceeds from convertible note | | 315,000 | 315,000 |
| Net cash flows provided by financing activities | 400,500 | 720,000 | 1,140,750 |
| Net change in cash | (186,985) | 283,452 | 96,571 |
| Cash, beginning of year | 283,556 | 104 | |
| Cash, end of year | \$ 96,571 | \$ 283,556 | \$ 96,571 |
| Cash paid for interest | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
| Cash paid for income taxes | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
| Supplementary Information - Non-cash Transactions: | | | |
| Common stock issuable for acquisition of intangible assets | \$ - | \$ 934,000 | \$ 934,000 |

Edgar Filing: ProtoKinetix, Inc. - Form 10KSB

| | | | |
|--|---------|---------|---------|
| Stock subscriptions received | | 330,000 | 330,000 |
| Note payable converted to common stock | 191,677 | | 191,677 |

See Notes to Financial Statements

F8

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 1. The Company and Significant Accounting Policies

Organization

ProtoKinetix, Incorporated (the "Company"), a development stage company, was incorporated under the laws of the State of Nevada on December 23, 1999. The Company is a medical research company whose mission is the advancement of human health care.

In 2003, the Company entered into an assignment of license agreement (the "Agreement") with BioKinetix, Inc., an Alberta, Canada, corporation. The Agreement provided the Company with an exclusive assignment of all of the rights (the "Rights") that BioKinetix possessed relating to proprietary technologies that are being developed for the creation and commercialization of "superantibodies," an enhancement of antibody technology that makes ordinary antibodies much more lethal. In consideration, the Company's Board of Directors authorized the Company to issue 16,000,000 shares of its common stock to the shareholders of BioKinetix.

The Company is also currently researching the benefits and feasibility of proprietary synthesized Antifreeze Glycoproteins ("AFGP"). In preliminary studies, AFGP has demonstrated an ability to protect and preserve human cells at temperatures below freezing.

Going Concern

As shown in the financial statements, the Company has not developed a commercially viable product, has not generated any significant revenue to date, and has incurred losses since inception, resulting in a net accumulated deficit at September 30, 2005. These factors raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern.

The Company needs additional working capital to continue its medical research or to be successful in any future business activities and continue to pay its liabilities. Therefore, continuation of the Company as a going concern is dependent upon obtaining the additional working capital necessary to accomplish its objective. Management is presently engaged in seeking additional working capital.

The accompanying financial statements do not include any adjustments to the recorded assets or liabilities that might be necessary should the Company fail in any of the above objectives and is unable to operate for the coming year.

Cash

Cash consists of funds held in checking accounts. Cash balances may exceed federally insured limits from time to time.

Accounts Receivable

Receivables consist of cost advances and \$2,000 due from a veterinary center that purchased the Company's AFGP product for research.

Computer Equipment

Computer equipment is stated at cost and is depreciated using straight-line methods over the estimated useful lives.

Intangible Assets

The intangible assets consist of license rights to proprietary medical research technologies. The license rights are stated at cost or the value of the shares issued by the Company to acquire the license rights. The cost is not amortized because the licenses have indefinite lives. Intangible assets that have indefinite useful lives are not amortized but are tested at least annually for impairment.

Due to Outside Management Consultants

The Company's offices are currently provided by outside management consultants and costs are allocated to the Company. The amounts due are unsecured, bear no interest and are due on demand.

Convertible Note Payable

On February 1, 2004, the Company executed a subscription agreement under which the Company issued to a corporation an 8% secured convertible note in exchange for \$315,000. The note was due February 1, 2006, and is convertible into shares of the Company's common stock at the lower of \$.30 per share or 70% of the average of the three lowest trading prices for the 30 days prior to the conversion date. No beneficial conversion feature was applicable to this convertible note.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Financial instruments consist of cash, accounts receivable, due to outside management consultants, accounts payable, accrued interest and convertible note payable. The fair value of these financial instruments approximates the carrying amounts due to the short-term nature.

Revenue Recognition

The Company recognizes revenue when a sale is made, the fee is fixed or determinable, collectibility is probable and no significant company obligations remain.

Income Taxes

The Company accounts for income taxes under an asset and liability approach that requires the recognition of deferred tax assets and liabilities for expected future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in the Company's financial statements or tax returns. In estimating future tax consequences, the Company generally considers all expected future events other than enactments of changes in the tax laws or rates.

F10

Research and Development Costs

Research and development costs are expensed as incurred.

Earnings per Share and Potentially Dilutive Securities

Basic loss per share is computed by dividing the net loss available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding in the period. The Company's stock split 1:75 on August 24, 2001. In April 2002, the Board of Directors approved a 2.5 for 1 split of the Company's stock. The accompanying financial statements are presented on a post-split basis. The loss per share for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, have been adjusted accordingly. Diluted loss per share takes into consideration common shares outstanding (computed under basic earnings per share) and potentially dilutive securities. The effect of debt convertible into common shares was not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share for all periods presented because it was anti-dilutive due to the Company's losses. Common stock issuable is considered outstanding as of the original approval date for purposes of earnings per share computations.

There are 200,000 warrants outstanding of which 100,000 are exercisable at \$0.60 per share and 100,000 are exercisable at \$0.58 per share; all with an expiration date of November 21, 2006.

Stock-Based Compensation

The Company has a stock-based equity incentive plan, which is described more fully in Note 4. The Company accounts for the plan under the recognition and measurement principles of APB Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees," and related interpretations. No stock-based employee compensation cost is reflected in the net loss when options granted under the plan have an exercise price equal to or greater than the market value of the underlining common stock on the date of grant. No options have been granted to employees under the plan, therefore no reconciliation is provided of the effects on net loss in applying the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation." Compensation for stock options and warrants to purchase stock granted to non-employees is measured using the Black-Scholes valuation model at the date of grant multiplied by the number of options or warrants granted. The issuance of common shares for services is recorded at the quoted price of the shares on the date the services are rendered.

Estimates

The preparation of these financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of these financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the period. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

F11

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

SFAS No. 151, "Inventory Costs," is effective for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2005. This Statement amends the guidance in APB No. 43, Chapter 4, "Inventory Pricing," to clarify the accounting for abnormal amounts of idle facility expense, freight, handling costs, and wasted material (spoilage). The adoption of SFAS No. 151 is expected to have no impact on the Company's financial statements.

SFAS No. 152, "Accounting for Real Estate Time-Sharing Transactions," is effective for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2005. This Statement amends SFAS No. 66, "Accounting for Sales of Real Estate," to reference the financial accounting and reporting guidance for real estate time-sharing transactions that is provided in AICPA Statement of Position 04-2, "Accounting for Real Estate Time-Sharing Transactions." The adoption of SFAS No. 152 is expected to have no impact on the Company's financial statements.

SFAS No. 123(R), "Share-Based Payment," replaces SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation," and supersedes APB Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees." This Statement requires that the compensation cost relating to share-based payment transactions be recognized at fair value in the financial statements. The Company is required to apply this statement in the first interim period that begins after December 15, 2005. The Company is currently researching the effect of SFAS No. 123(R) on the financial statements.

SFAS No. 153, "Exchanges of Nonmonetary Assets - an amendment of APB Opinion No. 29," is effective for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2005. This Statement addresses the measurement of exchange of nonmonetary assets and eliminates the exception from fair value measurement for nonmonetary exchanges of similar productive assets in paragraph 21(b) of APB Opinion No. 29, "Accounting for Nonmonetary Transactions," and replaces it with an exception for exchanges that do not have commercial substance. The adoption of SFAS No. 153 is expected to have no impact on the Company's financial statements.

The EITF reached consensus on Issue No. 03-1, "The Meaning of Other-Than-Temporary Impairment and Its Application to Certain Investments," which provides guidance on determining when an investment is considered impaired, whether that impairment is other than temporary, and the measurement of an impairment loss. The FASB issued FSP EITF 03-1-1, "Effective Date of Paragraphs 10-20 of EITF Issue No. 03-1," "The Meaning of Other-Than-Temporary Impairment and Its Application to Certain Investments," which delays the effective date for the measurement and recognition criteria contained in EITF 03-1 until final application guidance is issued. The adoption of this consensus or FSP is expected to have no impact on the Company's financial statements.

SFAS No. 154, "Accounting Changes and Error Corrections," a replacement of APB No. 20, "Accounting Changes," and SFAS No. 3, "Reporting Accounting Changes in Interim Financial Statements." SFAS No. 154 changes the requirements for the accounting for and reporting of a change in accounting principle. Previously, most voluntary changes in accounting principles required recognition via a cumulative effect adjustment within net income of the period of the change. SFAS No. 154 requires retrospective application to prior periods' financial statements, unless it is impracticable to determine either the period-specific effects or the cumulative effect of the change. SFAS No. 154 is effective for accounting changes made in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005; however, this Statement does not change the transition provisions of any existing accounting pronouncements. The adoption of SFAS No. 154 is expected to have no impact on the Company's financial statements.

In September 2005, the EITF reached consensus on Issue No. 05-08, "Income Tax Consequences of Issuing Convertible Debt with a Beneficial Conversion Feature." EITF 05-08 is effective for financial statements beginning in the first interim or annual reporting period beginning after December 15, 2005. The adoption of EITF 05-08 is expected to have no impact on the Company's financial statements.

In September 2005, the EITF reached consensus on Issue No. 05-02, "The Meaning of 'Conventional Convertible Debt Instrument' in EITF Issue No. 00-19, 'Accounting for Derivative Financial Instruments Indexed to, and Potentially Settled in, a Company's Own Stock.'" EITF 05-02 is effective for new instruments entered into and instruments modified in reporting periods beginning after June 29, 2005. The adoption of EITF 05-02 is expected to have no impact on the Company's financial statements.

In September 2005, the EITF reached consensus on Issue No. 05-07, "Accounting for Modifications to Conversion Options Embedded in Debt Instruments and Related Issues." EITF 05-07 is effective for future modifications of debt instruments beginning in the first interim or annual reporting period beginning after December 15, 2005. The adoption of EITF 05-07 is expected to have no impact on the Company's financial statements.

Note 2. Intangible Assets

The Company has the following intangible assets at December 31:

| | |
|--------------------|-------------|
| BioKinetix License | \$2,400,000 |
| AFGP License | 710,000 |
| | \$3,110,000 |

At December 31, 2005, management has determined that there is no remaining useful life of the RECAF license. Accordingly, an impairment loss of \$269,756 was recorded in 2005.

Note 3. Income Taxes

The Company is liable for taxes in the United States. As of December 31, 2005, the Company did not have any income for tax purposes and therefore, no tax liability or expense has been recorded in these financial statements.

The Company has tax losses of approximately \$11,780,000 available to reduce future taxable income. The tax loss expires in years between 2022 and 2024.

The deferred tax asset associated with the tax loss carry forward is approximately \$4,005,000. The Company has provided a valuation allowance against the deferred tax asset. The valuation allowance increased by \$1,731,000 and \$1,949,000 for 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Note 4. Discontinued Operations

In 2003, the Company signed the licensing agreement described in Note 1. This agreement changed the Company's business plan to that of a medical research company. Accordingly, the operating results related to the internet-based real estate listing segment have been presented as discontinued operations in these financial statements for all periods presented. There were no revenues for the years presented in losses from discontinued operations.

Note 5. Stock-Based Compensation

In 2003, the Company adopted its 2003 and 2004 Stock Incentive Plans. Each plan provides for the issuance of incentive and non-qualified shares of the Company's stock to officers, directors, employees and non-employees. The Board of Directors determines the terms of the shares or options to be granted, including the number of shares or options, the exercise price, and the vesting schedule, if applicable. In 2004 and 2005, the Company issued common shares from both plans to non-employee consultants for services rendered as follows:

| 2004 | Number of Shares | Value per Share |
|------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| March | 1,652,300 | \$0.60 |
| May | 500,000 | \$1.03 |
| July | 159,756 | \$0.75 |
| August | 100,000 | \$0.71 |
| October | 732,400 | \$0.65 |
| November | 650,000 | \$0.70 |
| December | 255,000 | \$0.65 |
| Total 2004 | 4,049,456 | |

| 2005 | Number of Shares | Value per Share |
|------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| April | 30,000 | \$0.50 |
| May | 3,075,000 | \$1.08 |
| June | 50,000 | \$1.01 |
| August | 18,518 | \$0.81 |
| November | 66,725 | \$0.54 |
| December | 1,220,000 | \$0.62 |
| Total 2005 | 4,460,243 | |

In addition, during 2004 and 2005, the Company issued stock options to directors, advisors and consultants. A summary of the Company's outstanding stock options is as follows:

| | Number of Options | Weighted Average Exercise Price |
|--|----------------------|------------------------------------|
| Outstanding at December 31, 2003 | - | \$ - |
| Granted | 400,000 | \$0.30 |
| Exercised | (100,000) | \$0.30 |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2004 | 300,000 | \$0.30 |
| Granted | | |
| Exercised | (235,000) | \$0.30 |
| Forfeited | (65,000) | \$0.30 |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2005 | - | |
| Options exercisable at December 31, 2005 | - | |

The fair value of each option granted is estimated at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. The assumptions used in calculating the fair value of the options granted were a risk-free interest rate of 4.75%, a one-year expected life (except for the options exercised for which a two-week expected life was used), volatility of 118% and a dividend yield of 0.0%.

Note 6. Subsequent Event

In 2006, the Company entered into letter agreements for a private placement offering raising a total of \$450,000 by issuing 900,000 shares of common stock at \$0.50 per share including warrants to purchase 450,000 shares of common stock. The warrants are exercisable at \$0.50 per share until February 1, 2007.

In February 2006, the Company issued 166,359 shares of common stock for consulting services.