NEXCORE HEALTHCARE CAPITAL CORP Form 10-Q November 14, 2011

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

X QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Quarterly Period Ended September 30, 2011

" TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from

to

Commission File Number: 000-50764

NexCore Healthcare Capital Corp

 $(Exact\ name\ of\ Registrant\ as\ specified\ in\ its\ charter)$

Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	20-0003432 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)
1621 18th Street	
Denver, Colora	ndo 80202
(Address of principal execut	ive offices) (Zip Code)
303-244-0	700
(Registrant s telephone num	ber, including area code)
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports requir of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No "	
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically a File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post su	n S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an company. See definition of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer an	accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting d smaller reporting company in Rule12b-2 of the Exchange Act.
Large accelerated filer "	Accelerated filer "
Non-accelerated filer " (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined	
Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer s classes of	common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

49,455,841 (Outstanding on November 4, 2011)

Common Stock

(Class)

X

NEXCORE HEALTHCARE CAPITAL CORP

FORM 10-Q

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I. F	INANCIAL INFORMATION	Page
ITEM 1.	Financial Statements: Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2011 (unaudited) and December 31, 2010 Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 (unaudited) Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 (unaudited) Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)	2 3 4 5
ITEM 2.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	15
ITEM 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	20
ITEM 4.	Controls and Procedures	21
PART II. (OTHER INFORMATION	
ITEM 1.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	21
ITEM 1A.	Risk Factors	21
ITEM 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	28
ITEM 3.	<u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	28
ITEM 4.	Removed and Reserved	29
ITEM 5.	Other Information	29
ITEM 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	30
SIGNATU	RES	31

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NEXCORE HEALTHCARE CAPITAL CORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets

	September 30, 2011 (unaudited)	December 31, 2010 (as restated)
ASSETS		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,371,280	\$ 3,513,651
Restricted cash		1,006,342
Accounts receivable	464,229	284,883
Accounts receivable, related parties	417,322	301,662
Prepaid expenses and deposits	51,587	47,948
Revenue in excess of billings	147,655	335,460
Pre-development costs	686,290	246,527
Investment in unconsolidated affiliate	3,305,867	2,551,343
Property and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of 408,077 and 328,796, respectively	561,575	120,561
Real estate held for sale		7,191,821
Total assets	\$ 7,005,805	\$ 15,600,198
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY		
Liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 128,096	\$ 142,522
Accrued liabilities	194,213	229,709
Senior subordinated notes, related parties		3,336,661
Deferred rent	334,712	
Notes payable		3,915,869
Total liabilities	657,021	7,624,761
Commitments and contingencies		
Equity:		
Common stock, \$0.001 par value, 200,000,000 shares authorized, 49,455,841 issued and outstanding as of		
September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010	49,456	49,456
Additional paid-in capital	11,103,961	11,034,657
Accumulated deficit	(4,939,142)	(3,403,269)
Total stockholders equity	6,214,275	7,680,844
Noncontrolling interests	134,509	294,593
	22 1,2 07	_, ,,,,,,
Total equity	6,348,784	7,975,437
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 7,005,805	\$ 15,600,198

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

NEXCORE HEALTHCARE CAPITAL CORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations

(unaudited)

	For the Three Months Ended September 30,		For the Nine Mo September					
		2011		2010		2011		2010
REVENUE:	Φ.	500 500	Φ.	120 201	Φ.4	704204	Φ.	1 100 001
Revenue	\$	708,782	\$, -		1,784,304	\$	1,188,801
Revenue, related parties		758,396		3,961,814	4	2,390,883		4,563,442
T-4-1		1 467 170		4 400 015	,	1 175 107		5 750 042
Total revenue OPERATING EXPENSES:		1,467,178		4,400,015	4	1,175,187		5,752,243
Direct costs of revenue		109,828		26,750		218,675		90,013
		139,732		53,355		377,967		162,954
Direct costs of revenue, related parties Depreciation, amortization and write-off of assets				11,709		,		37,686
Selling, general and administrative		39,149 1,688,395		1,770,350	-	80,601 5,182,202		4,225,184
Sening, general and administrative		1,088,393		1,770,330	3	0,182,202		4,223,184
Total operating expenses		1,977,104		1,862,164	5	5,859,445		4,515,837
Income (loss) from operations		(509,926)		2,537,851	(1	1,684,258)		1,236,406
OTHER INCOME:		(20),)20)		2,007,001	(-	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		1,200,100
Interest income		151		367		796		1,444
Gain (loss) on sale of property and equipment, net		(184)		20,		966		1,
Loss on disposal of real estate assets		(101)				(13,461)		
						(,)		
Income (loss) before income taxes		(509,959)		2,538,218	(1	1,695,957)		1,237,850
Income tax provision		(30),)3))		2,330,210	()	1,075,757)		1,237,030
meome and provision								
Consolidated net income (loss)		(509,959)		2,538,218	(1	1,695,957)		1,237,850
Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interests		48,346		2,000,210	(-	160,084		1,207,000
The ross actionable to noncontrolling interests		10,5 10				100,001		
Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders	\$	(461,613)	\$	2,538,218	\$(1	,535,873)	\$	1,237,850
EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE								
Basic income (loss) per common share	\$	(0.01)	\$	0.10	\$	(0.03)	\$	0.05
Busic income (1888) per common share	Ψ	(0.01)	Ψ	0.10	Ψ	(0.03)	Ψ	0.05
Diluted income (loss) per common share	\$	(0.01)	\$	0.10	\$	(0.03)	\$	0.05
(100) F-1 - 101111111111111111111111111111111		(0.01)	7	,	-	(0.02)	-	
WEIGHTED AVERAGE COMMON SHARES OUTSTANDING								
Basic		49,455,841		25,246,716	40	9,455,841		25,083,142
		.,, 100,011		20,210,710	- 12	, .55,011		20,000,112
Diluted	2	49,455,841		25,267,521	40	9,455,841	9	25,090,153
2.13000		.,, 155,011		_0,_0,,5_1	17	, .55,0 11	-	,0,0,100

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

NEXCORE HEALTHCARE CAPITAL CORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(unaudited)

	For the Nine M	
	2011	2010
OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Consolidated net income (loss)	\$ (1,695,957)	\$ 1,237,850
Adjustments to reconcile consolidated net loss to net cash used in operating activities:		
Depreciation, amortization and write-off of assets	80,601	37,686
Loss on disposal of real estate assets (1)	13,461	
Gain on disposal of property and equipment	(966)	
Stock compensation expense and other	69,304	
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable (1)	(398,714)	(16,308)
Revenue in excess of billings	187,805	(438,373)
Prepaid expenses and deposits	(3,639)	24,573
Pre-development costs	(439,763)	265,890
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities (1)	(25,893)	245,145
Deferred rent	334,712	, i
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities	(1,879,049)	1,356,463
the table (asea in) provided by operating activities	(1,077,017)	1,000,100
INVESTING ACTIVITIES:		
Capital expenditures	(530,373)	(51,336)
Cash acquired in reverse merger		5,009,964
Proceeds from disposal of real estate assets (1)	13,483	
Proceeds from disposal of property and equipment	1,750	
Investment in unconsolidated affiliate	(754,524)	(2,551,343)
Change in restricted cash	1,006,342	(1,000,000)
	,,	(, , ,
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	(263,322)	1,407,285
rect cash (used in) provided by investing activities	(203,322)	1,407,203
FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
Proceeds from line of credit		500,000
roccess from the or creat		500,000
Net cash provided by financing activities		500,000
		,
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	(2,142,371)	3,263,748
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	3,513,651	2,250,837
	, ,	, ,
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 1,371,280	\$ 5,514,585
Cash and tash equitation, one of period	Ψ 1,5/1,200	φ 5,511,505
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:		
Cash paid for income taxes	\$	\$
•		
Cash paid for interest	\$	\$ 10,301
Cash Para 101 morest	Ψ	Ψ 10,501

As contemplated by the Acquisition (as defined in Note 1 below), the Company transferred its interests in nine subsidiaries holding real estate assets on March 25, 2011, as further described in Note 2 below, to CDA Fund, LLC (CDA), a subsidiary of BOCO Investments, LLC (BOCO). In exchange, CDA assumed the Company s related party senior notes with BOCO and GDBA Investments LLC. (GDBA), as well as the credit facility with First-Citizens Bank & Trust Company (First Citizens Bank). In addition, CDA assumed certain accrued liabilities of approximately \$24,000 and accounts receivable of approximately \$112,000 related to the assumed real estate assets. The transfer resulted in a loss of \$13,461 for the nine months ended September 30, 2011. The related party debt and the credit facility that were assumed by CDA were no longer obligations of the Company as of March 25, 2011. BOCO and GDBA are related parties. See the discussion of our related parties in Note 5.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

NEXCORE HEALTHCARE CAPITAL CORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(unaudited)

Note 1 Nature of Organization and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Nature of Organization

NexCore Healthcare Capital Corp, formerly CapTerra Financial Group, Inc. (CapTerra), is a full service healthcare real estate company focused on the development, acquisition and management of healthcare real estate. We deliver comprehensive solutions for hospitals, healthcare systems, and physician partners across the United States and have become one of the nation s most active and respected healthcare real estate companies. As used herein, the Company, we, our and us refer to Nexcore Healthcare Capital Corp and its consolidated subsidiaries and partnerships except where the context otherwise requires.

CapTerra completed a business combination with NexCore Group LP on September 29, 2010 which was accounted for as a reverse acquisition (the Acquisition). All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. Prior share amounts for NexCore Group LP have been retroactively adjusted by the share exchange ratio in connection with the business combination. Thus, the historical operating results, cash flows and financial position presented in the Consolidated Financial Statements are those of NexCore Group LP.

On April 1, 2011, the Company changed its state of incorporation from Colorado to Delaware (the Reincorporation). The Reincorporation did not affect any of the Company s contracts with third parties, result in any change in headquarters, business, jobs, or management, result in any change in the location of any of the Company s offices or facilities, or affect the assets, liabilities or net worth (other than as a result of the costs related to the Reincorporation) of the Company.

Significant Accounting Policies

Interim Financial Information and Reclassifications

The accompanying unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements have been prepared in accordance with U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X for interim financial information. Accordingly, these statements do not include all of the information and notes required by GAAP for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, the accompanying unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements include all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring items, necessary for their fair presentation in conformity with GAAP. Interim results are not necessarily indicative of results for a full year. The information included in this Form 10-Q should be read in conjunction with our audited Consolidated Financial Statements as of December 31, 2010 and related notes thereto as filed on Form 10-K/A on August 12, 2011. Certain items in our Consolidated Financial Statements for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 have been reclassified to conform to the current presentation.

Principles of Consolidation

The Company consolidates entities deemed to be voting interest entities if the Company owns a majority of the voting interest. The equity method of accounting is used for investments in non-controlled affiliates in which the Company is able to exercise significant influence but not control. The Company also consolidates any variable interest entities (VIEs) in which the Company is determined to be the primary beneficiary. The Company provides for noncontrolling interests in consolidated subsidiaries for which the Company sownership is less than 100 percent. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

A VIE is an entity in which either (a) the equity investment at risk is not sufficient to permit the entity to finance its own activities without additional financial support or (b) the group of holders of the equity investment at risk lack certain characteristics of a controlling financial interest. The primary beneficiary is the entity that has the ability to control those activities that most significantly impact the entity s economic performance and has the obligation to absorb a majority of the expected losses or the right to receive the majority of the residual returns. The Company continually evaluates whether entities in which it has an interest are VIEs and whether the Company is the primary beneficiary of any VIEs identified in its analysis.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of the Consolidated Financial Statements in accordance with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the Consolidated Financial Statements and reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Cash and Cash Equivalents and Restricted Cash

We consider all highly liquid investments purchased with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents. We continually monitor our positions with, and the credit quality of, the financial institutions with which we invest. As of December 31, 2010, we had restricted cash of \$1,006,342 related to certain minimum balances the Company was required to maintain until the nine non-medical properties were transferred. See Note 2. We had no restricted cash as of September 30, 2011.

Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable consists of amounts due from customers. The Company considers accounts more than 30 days old to be past due. The Company estimates its allowance for doubtful accounts based on specific customer balance collection issues identified. For the three months ended September 30, 2011, the Company recovered bad debt expense of \$1,629 which had been recorded earlier in 2011, and no bad debt expense was recorded for the nine months ended September 30, 2011. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, no bad debt expense was recorded. As of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, there was no allowance for doubtful accounts.

Pre-Development Costs

In accordance with GAAP, as set forth in the Accounting Standards Codification (ASC), the Company has capitalized certain third-party costs related to prospective development projects. Such costs remain capitalized until we determine if the project is likely to proceed. These costs include, but are not limited to, legal fees, marketing, travel, architectural and engineering, due diligence and other direct costs. If we determine we are going to proceed with the project, the associated pre-development costs are submitted for reimbursement from the owner of the project. If it is deemed probable by management that a prospective project will not materialize, any related capitalized costs are expensed and recorded as Selling, general and administrative expenses on the Consolidated Statement of Operations. The Company does not capitalize any internal costs as pre-development costs.

Revenue Recognition

Certain revenue arrangements require management judgments and estimates. Development fees are recognized over the life of a development project on the percentage-of-completion method where the circumstances are such that total profit can be estimated with reasonable accuracy and ultimate realization is reasonably assured. The percentage-of-completion method uses actual hours spent internally on the project compared to the total forecasted internal hours to be spent on the project. If estimates of total hours require adjustment, the impact on revenue is recognized prospectively in the period of adjustment. As of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Company recorded an asset of \$147,655 and \$335,460, respectively, for revenue recognized in excess of billings which represents the difference between actual billable revenue and the revenue recognized using the percentage-of-completion method.

The Company sources tenants and negotiates leases for buildings it manages and in return is paid a leasing commission. Leasing commission revenue is recognized based on each negotiated contract with the building owner and is recognized as services are performed unless future contingencies exist.

Property management fees and legal consultation fees are recognized monthly as services are performed, unless future obligations exist. Acquisition and disposition fees are recognized at the culmination of the purchase or sale of a building.

Certain contractual arrangements for services provide for the delivery of multiple services. The Company evaluates revenue recognition for each service to be rendered under these arrangements using criteria set forth in the ASC topic regarding multiple-element arrangements. For services that meet the separability criteria, revenue is recognized separately. For services that do not meet these criteria, revenue is recognized on a combined basis.

In addition, in regard to development service contracts, the owner of the property will typically reimburse the Company for certain expenses that are incurred on behalf of the owner. The Company bases the treatment of reimbursable expenses for financial reporting purposes upon the fee structure of the underlying contract. Contracts are accounted for on a net basis when the fee structure is comprised of at least two distinct elements, namely (i) a fixed management fee and (ii) a separate component that allows for expenses to be billed directly to the client. When accounting on a net basis, the Company includes the fixed management fee in reported revenue and nets the reimbursement against expenses. The Company bases this accounting on the following factors, which defines the Company as an agent rather than a principal:

The property owner, with ultimate approval rights relating to the expenditures and bearing all of the economic costs of such expenditures, is determined to be the primary obligor in the arrangement;

Because the property owner is contractually obligated to fund all operating costs of the property from existing cash flow or direct funding from its building operating account, the Company bears little or no credit risk; and

The Company generally earns no margin in the reimbursement aspect of the arrangement, obtaining reimbursement only for actual costs incurred.

All of our service contracts are accounted for on a net basis. See Note 8 for a detail of revenue recognized by activity for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010.

Investments in Unconsolidated Affiliates

Investments in unconsolidated affiliates are accounted for under the equity method and generally include entities over which we have significant influence, but do not control.

Guaranties

A guarantor is required to recognize, at the inception of a guaranty, a liability for the fair value of the obligation undertaken in issuing the guaranty. Management continually evaluates guaranties made to determine if the guaranties meet the criteria required to record a liability. As of September 30, 2011, our guaranties, referred to in Note 5, met the criteria to be recorded as liabilities; however, the amount was de minimus and no value was recorded.

Fair Value Measurements

The Company s financial instruments include cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable and accrued liabilities. The carrying values of these financial instruments are considered to be representative of their fair value due to the short maturity of these instruments.

GAAP clarifies that fair value is an exit price, representing the amount that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants. GAAP also requires disclosure about how fair value is determined for assets and liabilities and establishes a hierarchy for which these assets and liabilities must be grouped, based on significant levels of inputs as follows:

- Level 1: Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities;
- Level 2: Quoted prices in active markets for similar assets and liabilities and inputs that are observable for the asset or liability; or
- Level 3: Unobservable inputs in which there is little or no market data, which requires the reporting entity to develop its own assumptions.

Earnings Per Share

Basic income per share is computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding. Diluted income per share is determined by dividing the net income by the sum of (1) the weighted average number of common shares outstanding and (2) if not anti-dilutive, the effect of outstanding stock awards determined utilizing the treasury stock method. There was no dilutive effect of the outstanding stock awards for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, as the Company reported losses. The dilutive effect of outstanding awards for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 was 20,805 and 7,011 shares, respectively. Stock awards to purchase 1,052,079 shares of common stock were excluded from the calculation of diluted income per share for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 since the effect would have been anti-dilutive.

Because the business combination on September 29, 2010 was accounted for as a reverse acquisition, the number of common shares outstanding from the beginning of the applicable period to the acquisition date was computed on the basis of the weighted average number of partnership units of NexCore Group LP outstanding during the period multiplied by the exchange ratio established in the acquisition agreement, which was 5,880 shares for each partnership unit (the Exchange Ratio). The weighted average number of shares used in the earnings per share calculations was based on the historical weighted average number of partnership units outstanding multiplied by the Exchange Ratio. The number of common shares outstanding from the acquisition date to the end of the applicable period is the actual number of common shares of the Company outstanding during that period.

Noncontrolling Interests

Noncontrolling interests are the portion of equity, or net assets, in a subsidiary that are not attributable to the controlling interest. At September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Company owned 90% of the consolidated partnership, NexCore Group LP. NexCore Partners, Inc. owns the remaining 10%, which is classified as permanent equity in accordance with GAAP and is reflected as Noncontrolling interests in our Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Income Taxes

Deferred income taxes are provided for under the asset and liability method. Under this method, deferred tax assets, including those related to tax loss carry forwards and credits, and liabilities are determined based on the differences between the financial statement and tax bases of assets and liabilities using enacted tax rates in effect for the year in which the differences are expected to reverse. A valuation allowance is recorded to reduce deferred tax assets when it is more likely than not that the net deferred tax asset will not be realized.

Correction of Prior Year Misstatement

Securities and Exchange Commission s Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) No. 108, Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements, requires registrants to use both a balance sheet approach and an income statement approach when quantifying and evaluating the materiality of a misstatement and to adjust the financial statements if either approach results in quantifying a misstatement that is material.

During the quarter ended June 30, 2011, the Company transitioned to a new financial reporting team. During this process, we determined that a clerical error had been made in the preparation of our Consolidated Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2010, and Consolidated Statement of Operations for the year ended December 31, 2010 related to the allocation of operating results to noncontrolling interests as discussed below.

Regarding the Consolidated Balance Sheet, the error resulted in overstatement of Accumulated deficit at December 31, 2010 of approximately \$122,000, and a corresponding overstatement of the balance of Noncontrolling interests. Regarding the Consolidated Statement of Operations for the year ended December 31, 2010, Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests was overstated by approximately \$122,000 and Net income attributable to common stockholders was understated by the same amount. Following is a summary of the corrections.

As of
December 31,
2010
\$ 11,033,914
743
\$ 11,034,657
\$ 11,054,057
\$ (3,525,064)
121,795
\$ (3,403,269)
φ (ε, .σε, 2 σε)
¢ 417.121
\$ 417,131
(122,538)
\$ 294,593

Recently Adopted Accounting Pronouncements

In January 2010, ASC guidance for fair value measurements and disclosure was updated to require additional disclosures related to transfers in and out of Level 1 and 2 fair value measurements and enhanced detail in the Level 3 reconciliation. The guidance was amended to clarify the level of disaggregation required for assets and liabilities and the disclosures required for inputs and valuation techniques used to measure the fair value of assets and liabilities that fall in either Level 2 or Level 3. The updated guidance was effective for the Company s fiscal year beginning January 1, 2010, with the exception of the Level 3 disaggregation which is effective for the Company s fiscal year beginning January 1, 2011. The adoption had no impact on the Company s Consolidated Financial Statements.

There were various other accounting standards and interpretations issued during 2011 and 2010, none of which are expected to have a material impact on the Company s Consolidated Financial Statements.

Note 2 Real Estate Held for Sale

As of December 31, 2010, the Company had nine non-medical properties classified as real estate held for sale totaling \$7,191,821, three of which, representing a total cost of \$3,506,054, were completed projects and six of which, representing a total cost of \$3,685,767, were vacant land parcels. These non-medical properties were all related to the legacy CapTerra business. As part of the Acquisition, the Company agreed to dispose of all non-medical real estate assets to continue to focus on healthcare real estate.

On March 25, 2011, the Company transferred its interests in the nine subsidiaries holding these real estate assets to CDA, a subsidiary of BOCO, in exchange for assuming the Company s related party senior notes with BOCO and GDBA and the credit facility with First Citizens Bank. The transaction resulted in a loss of \$13,461. All debt assumed by CDA was no longer an obligation of the Company as of March 25, 2011. CDA also assumed all future contingencies related to this transaction.

Note 3 Investment in Unconsolidated Affiliate

During September 2010, the Company entered into a joint venture agreement with an institutional equity partner to develop various healthcare related real estate projects. The Company owns a 15% interest in the limited liability company through which the joint venture is being conducted (Venture I), which was determined to be a VIE. The Company is the managing member in Venture I, but its rights as managing member are subject to the rights of the institutional partner. We determined that we were not the primary beneficiary and therefore, we account for this investment under the equity method.

As of September 30, 2011, Venture I has two projects under development and our investment balance of \$3.3 million represents cash we contributed to Venture I to fund our portion of these development projects. No earnings or losses from Venture I were recognized for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 in the Company s Consolidated Statement of Operations as the amounts were de minimus. As of September 30, 2011, Venture I had net assets of approximately \$28.5 million, which primarily related to construction in process. In connection with these projects, we entered into agreements with the lender for the projects to guarantee completion of the buildings. Additionally, a related-party company signed limited payment guaranty agreements with the lender detailed in Note 5.

Note 4 Accrued Liabilities

	September 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
Accrued liabilities:		
Accrued vacation	\$ 123,347	\$ 161,847
Other	70,866	67,862
Total accrued liabilities	\$ 194,213	\$ 229,709

Note 5 Related Parties

GDBA Investments, LLC

GDBA, a private investment company, provided financing to our predecessor company, CapTerra. Upon completion of the Acquisition on September 29, 2010, one senior subordinated note with GDBA was outstanding. On September 30, 2010, one of our wholly-owned subsidiaries signed a promissory note with Safe Harbor I, LLC, an entity controlled by GDBA and BOCO, in the amount of \$25,000, which was used entirely as a principal reduction payment for the note held by Citizens Bank described in Note 6. On December 12, 2010, the note was amended and assigned to GDBA. Additionally, on December 1, 2010, we signed promissory notes totaling \$425,000 between GDBA and our consolidated affiliates that were used to repay outstanding debt to vendors. All the notes carried a 0.46% annual interest rate. The outstanding balances of these notes are detailed in the table below.

BOCO Investments, LLC

BOCO, a private investment company, provided financing to our predecessor company, CapTerra, and continues to provide various financial services to us. Upon completion of the Acquisition on September 29, 2010, one senior subordinated note with BOCO was outstanding with an annual interest rate of 0.46%.

Additionally, NexCore Group LP has a revolving line of credit with BOCO. The line of credit in the amount of up to \$2,000,000 bears an annual interest rate of 8% and is secured by substantially all of our assets. During the three months ended June 30, 2011, the line of credit was extended until July 29, 2012. There was no outstanding balance on this line of credit as of September 30, 2011 or December 31, 2010, respectively.

	GDBA	BOCO	Total
Related party debt as of December 31, 2010:			
Subordinated notes	\$ 505,854	\$ 2,580,041	\$ 3,085,895
Promissory notes	247,018		247,018
Line of credit			
Accrued interest	593	3,155	3,748
Total related party debt	\$ 753,465	\$ 2,583,196	\$ 3,336,661
Related party debt as of September 30, 2011	\$	\$	\$

Transfer of Assets

As discussed in Note 2, on March 25, 2011, the Company transferred its interests in nine subsidiaries holding real estate assets to CDA, a subsidiary of BOCO, in exchange for CDA assuming our related party debt with BOCO and GDBA, and the credit facility with First Citizens Bank. The related party debt that was assumed by CDA was no longer an obligation of the Company as of March 25, 2011.

Guaranties

The Company executed project completion guaranties with U.S. Bancorp (US Bank) in connection with construction loans for the development projects that Venture I commenced in September 2010 and June 2011. The guaranty agreements unconditionally guarantee US Bank that the projects will be completed, all costs will be paid, and that each property will be free and clear of all liens prior to the release of its specific guaranty. As of September 30, 2011, the Company believes any amounts associated with these guaranties will be de minimus and therefore has not recorded a corresponding liability. Additionally, a related party executed limited payment guaranties with US Bank related to those construction loans and the completion guaranties, for which they will receive fees upon completion of the projects. Messrs. Venn, Kloepher and Gross (our CEO, CIO and COO, respectively) have agreed, subject to certain limitations, to indemnify the related party if it is required to make payment under these limited payment guaranties.

Revenue

The main sources of income of the Company are fees and commissions related to property development, management and leasing. Revenue associated with transactions with properties where certain officers of the Company have, or the Company itself has, an ownership interest in, or can significantly influence decision-making on behalf of the property, is classified as Revenue, related party in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. Accordingly, we recorded \$758,396 and \$2,390,883 of related party revenue for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, we recorded \$3,961,814 and \$4,563,442 of related party revenue, respectively.

Note 6 Debt

Citizens Bank Senior Credit Facility

As of December 31, 2010, the Company had one outstanding note originally issued under a former real estate credit facility with Citizens Bank with a principal balance of \$3,615,869. As previously discussed, on March 25, 2011, CDA assumed this obligation in exchange for our interests in nine subsidiaries holding real estate assets. Under the terms of the transfer, the Company was no longer responsible for amounts due under the credit facility as of March 25, 2011.

Cypress Sound, LLC

As of December 31, 2010, Cypress Sound, LLC (Cypress), a subsidiary of the Company, had one note payable with a principal amount of \$300,000, secured by a first deed of trust on the property held by Cypress and a personal guaranty by our partner in Cypress. The interest-only note carried a rate of 12% and was scheduled to mature on February 13, 2011. During the first quarter of 2011, the Company fully paid the balance due on this note.

BOCO Line of Credit

During June 2011, the maturity date of our \$2,000,000 line of credit with BOCO was extended to July 29, 2012. There were no borrowings against this facility as of September 30, 2011 or at December 31, 2010.

Note 7 Stockholders Equity

Common Stock

There were 49,455,841 shares of common stock outstanding as of September 30, 2011 and as of December 31, 2010. Contemporaneously with the Acquisition, approximately 48.6 million shares of common stock became subject to various trading restrictions ranging from 2 to 4 years.

Stock Options

On February 1, 2011, the Company granted an option to purchase a total of up to 250,000 shares of common stock to one of our employees, which has a seven year term and will vest over four years. The option has an exercise price of \$1.05 per share, which was the fair value of the common stock on the day of the grant. Given a risk free rate of 2.79%, expected term of six years, no dividends, a forfeiture rate of 0.0%, and a volatility input of 54.74%, the estimated fair value of the option was \$0.54 per share.

On August 15, 2011, the Company granted an option to purchase a total of up to 500,000 shares of common stock to one of our employees, which has a seven year term and will vest over three years. The option has an exercise price of \$0.51 per share, which was the fair value of the common stock on the day of the grant. Given a risk free rate of 1.60%, expected term of six years, no dividends, a forfeiture rate of 0.0%, and a volatility input of 54.74%, the estimated fair value of the option was \$0.26 per share.

The total option expense recorded for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 was \$27,602 and \$69,304, respectively. No such expense was recorded for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010. Total unrecognized compensation expense for outstanding stock options is \$330,902 as of September 30, 2011.

Note 8 Revenue

Revenue line items are broken out on the Consolidated Statements of Operations as related party and non-related party. Revenue is made up of the following major categories:

	Septem	For the Three Months Ended September 30,		Months Ended aber 30,
	2011	2010	2011	2010
REVENUE:				
Development and construction management fees	\$ 618,258	\$ 2,019,861	\$ 1,747,931	\$ 2,216,096
Leasing commissions and fees	129,818	913,873	620,485	1,188,409
Property management fees	426,977	437,890	1,170,669	1,288,921
Other	292,125	1,028,391	636,102	1,058,817
Total revenue	\$ 1,467,178	\$ 4,400,015	\$ 4,175,187	\$ 5,752,243

Note 9 Commitments and Contingencies

Guaranties

The Company executed project completion guaranties with US Bank in connection with construction loans for the development projects that commenced in September 2010 and June 2011. The guaranty agreements unconditionally guarantee US Bank that the projects will be completed, all costs will be paid, and that each property will be free and clear of all liens prior to the release of its specific guaranty. As of September 30, 2011, the Company believes any amounts associated with these guaranties will be de minimus and therefore has not recorded a corresponding liability.

Leases

The Company leases its primary office space. The lease requires monthly base rent payments of approximately \$20,115 for the first year and then incremental increases each year thereafter. The current lease started January 1, 2011 and expires December 31, 2017. In addition, the Company pays certain facility operating costs as a portion of rent expense.

During the first quarter of 2011, the Company commenced improvements to the Denver office and completed these improvements during the second quarter. The landlord provided a \$245,000 allowance for tenant improvements and a rent abatement of \$186,600 that is recognized on a straight-line basis over the life of the lease.

In accordance with GAAP, the Company recognized all reductions outlined in the office lease on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease. For the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2011, the straight-line amount recorded as an expense in Selling, general and administrative on the Consolidated Statements of Operations was \$59,141 and \$174,339, respectively. For the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2010, the straight-line amount recorded as an expense on the Consolidated Statements of Operations was \$59,724 and \$168,953, respectively. The difference between the amount paid and the amount expensed is recorded as a deferred amount in Deferred rent in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. As of September 30, 2011, that amount was \$334,712. There was no such deferred rent balance at December 31, 2010. The Company also leases additional office space in two locations. These contracts require monthly payments totaling approximately \$2,615 and have terms of less than six months.

Future minimum lease payments under these operating leases approximate the following:

	1	Amount
Year:		
Remainder 2011	\$	68,191
2012		158,915
2013		253,449
2014		271,553
2015		277,587
Remaining		591,381
Total	\$ 1	,621,076

Contingent Consideration Related to the Acquisition

We are required to issue an additional 8,000,000 shares of common stock if we do not have a specified amount of net operating loss carry forwards (NOLs) for State and Federal income tax purposes for use during the period from the closing of the Acquisition, September 29, 2010, to January 1, 2014. These shares are referred to as the NOL Shares. If issued, the NOL Shares will be issued to each former partner of NexCore Group LP in proportion to the amount of shares such partner received in the Acquisition. The determination of our NOLs will be based on our Federal income tax return for the year ended December 31, 2013. As of September 30, 2011, the Company deems the issuance of the NOL Shares to be not probable. As such, we have not recorded any contingent consideration for possible issuance of the NOL Shares as of September 30, 2011.

Note 10 Concentrations

The Company s leasing and property management revenue for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and September 30, 2010, respectively, is primarily generated through transactions with two institutional partners who own, directly or through affiliates, a majority of the controlling interests of all but three of our managed healthcare properties. Additionally, the two projects currently under development with one of these institutional partners accounted for \$1.7 million, or 42%, of the Company s total revenue for the nine months ended September 30, 2011.

Note 11 Subsequent Events

GAAP requires an entity to disclose events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or are available to be issued (subsequent events) as well as the date through which an entity has evaluated subsequent events. There are two types of subsequent events. The first type consists of events or transactions that provide additional evidence about conditions that existed at the date of the balance sheet, including the estimates inherent in the process of preparing financial statements (recognized subsequent events). The second type consists of events that provide evidence about conditions that did not exist at the date of the balance sheet but arose subsequent to that date (nonrecognized subsequent events).

ITEM 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

As used herein the Company, we, our and us refer to NexCore Healthcare Capital Corp and its consolidated subsidiaries, including NexCore Group LP, a Delaware limited partnership, except where the context otherwise requires.

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the federal securities laws. Any forward-looking statements presented in this report, or which management may make orally or in writing from time to time, are based on beliefs and assumptions made by, and information currently available to, management. When used, the words anticipate, believe, estimate, should, will and similar expressions which do not relate solely to historical matters are into might, plan, project, result, identify forward-looking statements. Such statements are subject to risks, uncertainties and assumptions and are not guarantees of future performance, which may be affected by known and unknown risks, trends, uncertainties and factors that are beyond our control, including, but not limited to, those set forth under Risk Factors and elsewhere in this Form 10-Q and those discussed from time to time in our other Securities and Exchange Commission reports (including our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 and our other Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, each as amended from time to time) as well as other risks and uncertainties that are not presently anticipated. Should one or more of these risks or uncertainties materialize, or should underlying assumptions prove incorrect, actual results may vary materially from those anticipated, estimated or projected by the forward-looking statements. We caution you that while forward-looking statements reflect our good-faith beliefs when we make them, they are not guarantees of future performance and are impacted by actual events when they occur after we make such statements. Accordingly, investors should use caution in relying on forward-looking statements, which are based on results and trends at the time they are made, to anticipate future results or trends.

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included herein and the audited financial statements and other disclosures included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the Company s fiscal year ended December 31, 2010. Except as may be required by law, the Company assumes no obligation to update forward-looking statements to reflect actual results or changes in factors or assumptions affecting such forward-looking statements.

Overview

On September 29, 2010, CapTerra Financial Group, Inc., or CapTerra, completed a business combination with NexCore Group LP. In connection with this business combination, referred to as the Acquisition, CapTerra s former operations were discontinued and the Company continued NexCore Group LP s existing business as a national leader in the field of healthcare real estate development and management. NexCore Group LP was originally created in 2004 to provide real estate solutions to hospitals and healthcare systems through a national platform for the development, ownership and management of healthcare real estate. CapTerra changed its name to NexCore Healthcare Capital Corp.

On April 1, 2011, the Company changed its state of incorporation from Colorado to Delaware, in a transaction we refer to as the Reincorporation. The Reincorporation did not affect any of our contracts with third parties, result in any change in headquarters, business, jobs, or management, result in any change in the location of any of our offices or facilities, or affect our assets, liabilities or net worth (other than as a result of the costs related to the Reincorporation).

We provide real estate solutions for healthcare providers through a national platform for the development, ownership and management of healthcare real estate including on-campus and off-campus, multi-suite healthcare real estate and medical services buildings; post-acute care, assisted living and memory care facilities; free-standing satellite emergency department facilities; medical malls; multi-specialty physician group practices; outpatient care center (including musculoskeletal centers, cancer centers, neuroscience centers); and single-specialty centers (such as orthopedics, otolaryngology, arthritis, or cardiology). Such facilities are likely to include clinical space for physician care, surgery, imaging, dialysis, physical/occupational therapy, laboratory,

surgical hospitals, specialty hospital centers, rehabilitation centers (either under hospital or skilled nursing licensure) or other specialty care centers are also considered a part of our business plan dependent upon the relationships to other on- or off-campus healthcare providers and/or hospital systems, collectively referred to as Healthcare Real Estate. We also provide a full spectrum of strategy, development, acquisition, ownership, financing, leasing, and asset and property management services for Healthcare Real Estate.

We plan to assemble and manage a portfolio of high-quality, hospital-affiliated real estate, with particular emphasis on the acquisition and development of Healthcare Real Estate. Our business plan contemplates investments in Healthcare Real Estate development projects, such as the September 2010 ground-breaking of a 182,000 square foot Medical Services Building directly connected to the new Silver Cross Hospital in New Lenox, Illinois. We plan to continue acquiring and managing existing Healthcare Real Estate properties under joint venture agreements with large institutional partners and continue to build a managed portfolio of high quality assets. We currently provide management services for approximately 1.3 million square feet of Healthcare Real Estate and expect to be managing an additional 500,000 square feet related to projects currently under development.

We plan to continue our strategy of investing in limited liability companies and similar entities, referred to as Real Estate Partnerships, whereby we co-invest our capital with other third party institutional capital sources to develop and acquire Healthcare Real Estate. We may also retain a promoted profits interest in certain Real Estate Partnerships.

Outlook

An increasing demand for healthcare services is being driven by the aging baby boomer generation. The first baby boomers turn 65 in 2011, beginning a prolonged increase of the senior population. This increase will create a significant pipeline of customers for medical providers, increasing the demand for hospital stays, outpatient treatments and doctor visits, as well as a greater need for the development of new Healthcare Real Estate. In addition to the rising baby boomer population, other factors that contribute to the increasing demand for healthcare services include inadequate hospital infrastructures, advancements in outpatient medical technology, the rising costs of inpatient procedures, higher procedure reimbursement rates for outpatient services and the decentralization of hospitals and their need to preserve capital.

Healthcare Real Estate has continued to be a desirable real estate asset class because of its attractive asset yields and its inherently stabilizing forces including high barrier to entry markets, strong credit hospital sponsorship, stable rental growth rates, relatively long-term leases, low vacancy rates, and high tenant retention rates, all of which contribute to long-term stable property cash flows.

Recently, the Healthcare Real Estate sector has outperformed the commercial real estate sector and remained relatively stable despite the current economic crisis because outpatient medical facilities are driven by need, not speculation. While Healthcare Real Estate is not recession-proof, it has shown to be recession-resistant because of its sound fundamentals and the non-cyclical nature of demand for healthcare services.

Significant Transactions During 2011

On July 6, 2011, we entered into an employment agreement with Robert Lawless to serve as our Chief Financial Officer beginning August 15, 2011. Robert D. Gross will no longer serve as our Chief Financial Officer but will continue to serve as our Chief Operating Officer.

Development Activities with Joint Venture Partner

Silver Cross Hospital Medical Services Building Construction continues on schedule for this medical services building which broke ground during September 2010. The building is comprised of approximately 182,000 square feet. Completion is expected during the fourth quarter of 2011.

Saint Anthony North Medical Pavilion Construction for this medical office building continues to be on schedule. The medical office building, comprised of approximately 48,000 square feet, broke ground during June 2011. Completion is expected during the third quarter of 2012.

Rex Healthcare of Knightdale Medical Office Building Expansion Construction for this medical office building s expansion to include a wellness center continues to be on schedule. The expansion commenced during September 2011. Completion is expected during the second quarter of 2012.

Saint Agnes Hospital Medical Office Building Construction for this medical office building comprised of 80,000 square feet is expected to commence during the fourth quarter of 2011, with estimated completion during the fourth quarter of 2012. Acquisition Services

Doctors Community Hospital Professional Office Building An affiliate of one of our institutional capital partners completed the acquisition of a medical office building, comprised of approximately 64,000 square feet, on June 14, 2011. We provided various services in connection with the acquisition, including contract negotiations and due diligence and will continue to manage the property.

Alhambra Medical Office Building An affiliate of one of our institutional capital partners completed the acquisition of a medical office building, comprised of approximately 101,000 square feet, on September 30, 2011. We provided various services in connection with the acquisition, including contract negotiations and due diligence and will continue to manage the property. Disposition of Real Estate Assets

Legacy CapTerra Assets As of December 31, 2010, the Company had nine non-medical properties classified as real estate held for sale totaling approximately \$7.2 million. These non-medical properties were all related to the legacy CapTerra business. As part of the Acquisition, the Company agreed to dispose of all non-medical real estate assets to continue to focus on Healthcare Real Estate. On March 25, 2011, the Company transferred its interests in the nine subsidiaries holding these real estate assets to CDA Fund, LLC, or CDA, a subsidiary of BOCO Investments, LLC, or BOCO, in exchange for assuming the Company s related party senior notes with BOCO and GDBA Investments LLC and the credit facility with First-Citizens Bank & Trust Company, or First Citizens Bank. The transaction resulted in a loss of \$13,461. All debt assumed by CDA was no longer an obligation of the Company as of March 25, 2011. CDA will also assume any and all other future contingencies related to this transaction.

Debt and Line of Credit

Citizens Bank Senior Credit Facility At December 31, 2010, the Company had one outstanding note originally issued under a former real estate credit facility with Citizens Bank, with a principal balance of \$3,615,869. On March 25, 2011, we transferred our interests in nine subsidiaries holding real estate assets, including the outstanding principal of this credit facility, to CDA, a subsidiary of BOCO. Under the terms of this transfer, the Company was no longer responsible for amounts due under the credit facility as of March 25, 2011.

Cypress Sound, LLC At December 31, 2010, Cypress Sound, LLC, or Cypress, a subsidiary of the Company, had one note payable with a principal amount of \$300,000, secured by a first deed of trust on the property held by Cypress and a personal guaranty by our partner in Cypress. The interest-only note carried a rate of 12% and was scheduled to mature on February 13, 2011. During the first quarter of 2011, the Company fully paid the balance due on this note.

BOCO Line of Credit During June 2011, the maturity date of our \$2,000,000 line of credit with BOCO was extended to July 29, 2012. We currently have no borrowings against this facility.

Critical Accounting Policies

For a discussion of accounting policies that we consider critical to our business operations and understanding of our results of operations, and that affect the more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of our unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements, see Critical Accounting Policies under Item 7 Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K/A filed for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010 and incorporated by reference herein. Management periodically re-evaluates and adjusts the estimates that are used as circumstances change. As of September 30, 2011, there were no significant changes in those critical accounting estimates.

Results of Operations

As referred to above, on September 29, 2010, NexCore Group LP completed the Acquisition with CapTerra. The transaction has been accounted for as a reverse acquisition, thus the historical operating results, cash flows and financial position presented in the Consolidated Financial Statements are those of NexCore Group LP prior to the Acquisition.

Summary of the Three Months Ended September 30, 2011 Compared to the Same Period Ended September 30, 2010

For the Three Months				
	Ended September 30,			
	2011	2010	\$ Change	% Change
REVENUE:				
Development and construction management fees	\$ 618,258	\$ 2,019,861	\$ (1,401,603)	(69.4)%
Leasing commissions and fees	129,818	913,873	(784,055)	(85.8)%
Property management fees	426,977	437,890	(10,913)	(2.5)%
Other	292,125	1,028,391	(736,266)	(71.6)%
Total revenue	\$ 1,467,178	\$ 4,400,015	\$ (2,932,837)	(66.7)%
OPERATING EXPENSES:				
Direct costs	\$ 249,560	\$ 80,105	\$ 169,455	211.5%
Depreciation, amortization and write-off of assets	39,149	11,709	27,440	234.3%
Selling, general and administrative	1,688,395	1,770,350	(81,955)	(4.6)%
Total operating expenses	\$ 1,977,104	\$ 1,862,164	\$ 114,940	6.2%

Revenue

Development and construction management fees for the three months ended September 30, 2011 declined versus the same period ended September 30, 2010. This decrease is primarily due to the timing and size of our ongoing development projects. During the three months ended September 30, 2010, one 182,000 square foot development project commenced, which resulted in the immediate recognition of development fees and certain other revenue,

while only one small development project commenced during the three months ended September 30, 2011. Leasing commissions and fees declined for the three months ended September 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010 primarily as a result of leasing commission revenue being recognized for signed leases upon commencement of our development projects and the significant project commencement in 2010. Property management revenue was flat as the number of managed properties has remained consistent. Other revenue declined primarily due to a large service fee recognized during the three months ended September 30, 2010 and recognition of such fees during the same period ended September 30, 2011 was much lower. Recognition of development and leasing fees, are dependent on specific performance milestones associated with these large projects and as a result will tend to fluctuate significantly from period to period.

Direct costs

Our direct costs increased for the three months ended September 30, 2011 compared to the three months ended September 30, 2010. The increase is primarily related to the three projects under development during the three months ended September 30, 2011, compared to one project which commenced at the end of September 2010. We recognize direct costs associated with projects under development as incurred.

Selling, general and administrative

Selling, general and administrative expenses decreased for the three months ended September 30, 2011 compared to the three months ended September 30, 2010. The decrease is primarily related to costs incurred in 2010 associated with the Acquisition completed on September 29, 2010.

Summary of the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 Compared to the Same Period Ended September 30, 2010

	For the Ni Ended Sep			
	2011	2010	\$ Change	% Change
REVENUE:				
Development and construction management fees	\$ 1,747,931	\$ 2,216,096	\$ (468,165)	(21.1)%
Leasing commissions and fees	620,485	1,188,409	(567,924)	(47.8)%
Property management fees	1,170,669	1,288,921	(118,252)	(9.2)%
Other	636,102	1,058,817	(422,715)	(39.9)%
Total revenue	\$ 4,175,187	\$ 5,752,243	\$ (1,577,056)	(27.4)%
OPERATING EXPENSES:				
Direct costs	\$ 596,642	\$ 252,967	\$ 343,675	135.9%
Depreciation, amortization and write-off of assets	80,601	37,686	42,915	113.9%
Selling, general and administrative	5,182,202	4,225,184	957,018	22.7%
Total operating expenses	\$ 5,859,445	\$ 4,515,837	\$ 1,343,608	29.8%

Revenue

Development and construction management fees for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 declined versus the same period ended September 30, 2010. This decrease is primarily due to the timing and size of our ongoing development projects. During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, one 48,000 square foot development project commenced, which resulted in the immediate recognition of development fees and certain other revenue. During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, one 182,000 square foot development project commenced, which also resulted in the immediate recognition of development fees and certain other revenue. Leasing commissions and fees declined for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010 primarily as a result of leasing commission revenue being recognized for signed leases upon commencement

of the large development project in 2010. Property management fees decreased slightly for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010 due to reduced asset management fees for certain properties. Other revenue declined primarily because a large service fee was recognized during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and recognition of such fees during the same period ended September 30, 2011 was much lower. Recognition of development, acquisition and leasing fees are dependent on specific performance milestones associated with these large projects and as a result will tend to fluctuate significantly from period to period.

Direct costs

Our direct costs increased for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2010. The increase in direct costs is primarily related to the three projects under development during the nine months ended September 30, 2011, compared to one project which commenced at the end of September 2010. We recognize direct costs associated with projects under development as incurred.

Selling, general and administrative

Selling, general and administrative expenses increased for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2010. The increase was primarily related to additional staffing associated with the Acquisition and the related compensation expense. Additionally, professional fees increased related to our status as a public reporting company.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Cash and cash equivalents were \$1,371,280 on September 30, 2011 compared to \$3,513,651 on December 31, 2010. The reduction in cash is primarily related to operations of the Company including general and administrative expenses and pre-development activities, and an additional investment in our development joint venture. Our primary source of liquidity is cash provided by operations, although we have the ability to borrow under a line of credit with BOCO, as described below. Cash used by operating activities of \$1,879,049 for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 was substantially lower than the same period in 2010 where cash provided by operating activities was \$1,356,463 due to the 2010 cash inflows from the commencement of the large development project in 2010.

Cash used by investing activities was \$263,322 for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to cash provided by investing activities of \$1,407,285 for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. During the nine months ended September 2011, cash used primarily related to our investment in a development project that commenced in 2011, offset by the return of \$1,000,000 of restricted cash. During the nine months ended September 2010, the Acquisition provided over \$5,000,000 which was partially offset by our investment in the development project that commenced.

The Company has a \$2,000,000 line of credit with BOCO that is fully available to us with no current balance outstanding as of September 30, 2011. During June 2011, the maturity date of this line of credit was extended to July 29, 2012.

The Company intents to meet its liquidity needs from its available cash and funds provided by operations, and potentially with borrowings under the BOCO line of credit described above. The Company believes that these resources are sufficient to meet its reasonably foreseeable cash requirements. However, management continues to assess our capital resources in relation to our ability to fund operations and new investments on an ongoing basis. As such, management may seek to access the capital markets to raise additional capital through the issuance of additional equity, debt or a combination of both in order to fund our operations and future growth.

ITEM 3 Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

A smaller reporting company is not required to provide the information in this Item.

ITEM 4 Controls and Procedures

(a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures. We have established and currently maintain disclosure controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in our reports filed or submitted under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act) is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified by the SEC s rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

As of the end of the period covered by this report, our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934). Based upon that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that these disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this report. In designing and evaluating our disclosure controls and procedures, our management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and our management necessarily is required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

There were no changes in our internal controls over the financial reporting that occurred during our most recent fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal controls over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1 Legal Proceedings.

None

ITEM 1A Risk Factors.

The factors described below represent our principal risks. Other factors may exist that we do not consider to be significant based on information that is currently available or that we are not currently able to anticipate. The occurrence of any of the risks discussed below could have a material adverse affect on our business, financial condition, results of operations, cash flows and the trading price of our common stock. Potential investors and our stockholders may be referred to as you or your in this Item 1A, Risk Factors, section.

Risks Related to Our Operations and Properties

We may not be profitable in the future.

Real estate development is cyclical in nature, so it is difficult for us to accurately forecast our quarterly and annual revenue. Most of our expenses are fixed in the short term or incurred in advance of anticipated revenue. As a result, we may not be able to decrease our expenses in a timely manner to offset any revenue shortfall.

We will need additional capital in the future, but it may not be available to us on acceptable terms or at all.

In order to continue adding new real estate projects we will need additional debt and equity capital. To date, we have been successful in obtaining capital, but additional capital may not be available to us on acceptable terms or at all. We expect to rely principally upon our ability to access capital (debt and equity) through co-investment by third parties in Real Estate Partnerships, the success of which cannot be guaranteed.

We may not be able to manage our growth.

We hope to experience growth which, if achieved, may stretch our managerial, operational and financial systems. To accommodate our current size and manage growth, we intend to continue to improve our financial and operational systems.

Our quarterly operating results may fluctuate.

Our quarterly operating results may fluctuate significantly as a result of a variety of factors, many of which are outside of our control, including: the demand for our services and properties; the amount and timing of capital expenditures and other costs relating to the development of our properties; price competition or pricing changes in the industry; technical or regulatory difficulties; general economic conditions; and economic conditions specific to the healthcare industry. Our quarterly results may also be significantly impacted by the accounting treatment of acquisitions, financing transactions or other matters. At our current size, such accounting treatment can have a material impact on the results for any quarter.

Recent adverse macroeconomic and business conditions may continue

The United States has undergone and may continue to experience a prolonged recession that has been marked by pervasive and fundamental disruptions in the financial markets. Continued concerns regarding the uncertainty of whether the U.S. economy will be adversely affected by inflation, deflation or stagflation and the systemic impact of increased unemployment, volatile energy costs, geopolitical issues, sovereign debt, currency fluctuations, the availability and cost of credit, the U.S. mortgage market and a severely distressed real estate market have contributed to increased market volatility and weakened business. The United States may not experience a sustained recovery and could suffer pronounced instability and decreased economic activity for an extended period of time. Our operations are sensitive to changes in overall economic conditions that impact our tenants, including, among other things, increased bad debts due to such recessionary pressures.

We may face adverse economic or other conditions in the geographic markets in which we conduct business

Our operating results depend upon our ability to pre-lease and lease our projects. Adverse economic or other conditions in the geographic markets in which we operate, including periods of economic slowdown or recession, industry slowdowns, periods of deflation, relocation of businesses, changing demographics, earthquakes and other natural disasters, fires, terrorist acts, civil disturbances or acts of war and other man-made disasters which may result in uninsured or underinsured losses, and changes in tax, real estate, zoning and other laws and regulations, may lower our occupancy levels and limit our ability to increase rents or require us to offer rental concessions.

Our real estate investments are concentrated in Healthcare Real Estate

We are subject to risks inherent in concentrated investments in real estate, and the risks resulting from a lack of diversification become even greater as a result of our business strategy to concentrate our investments in the Healthcare Real Estate sector. Any adverse effects that result from these risks could be more pronounced than if we diversified our investments outside of Healthcare Real Estate. Given our concentration in this sector, our tenant base is especially concentrated and dependent upon the healthcare industry generally, and any industry downturn could harm the ability of our tenants to make lease payments and our ability to maintain current rental and occupancy rates.

Our Healthcare Real Estate, the associated healthcare delivery systems with which our Healthcare Real Estate projects are strategically aligned, and our tenants may be unable to compete successfully.

Our Healthcare Real Estate and the associated healthcare delivery systems with which our Healthcare Real Estate projects are strategically aligned often face competition from nearby hospitals and other Healthcare Real Estate projects that provide comparable services. Any of our properties may be adversely affected if the healthcare delivery system with which it is strategically aligned is unable to compete successfully. There are numerous factors that determine the ability of a healthcare delivery system to compete successfully, most of which are outside of our control

Our tenants face competition from other medical practices and service providers at nearby hospitals and other Healthcare Real Estate. From time to time and for reasons beyond our control, managed care organizations may change their lists of preferred hospitals or in-network physicians. Physicians also may change hospital affiliations. If competitors of our tenants or competitors of the associated healthcare delivery systems with which our Healthcare Real Estate are strategically aligned have greater geographic coverage, improve access and convenience to physicians and patients, provide or are perceived to provide higher quality services, recruit physicians to provide competing services at their facilities, expand or improve their services or obtain more favorable managed care contracts, our tenants may not be able to successfully compete which could have an adverse impact on our projects.

A material aspect of our business is investment in Healthcare Real Estate which can be highly illiquid

Our activities are primarily focused in real estate investment. Our operations will depend, among other things, upon our ability to finance or monetize our projects with additional or new equity partnerships. In the interim, such projects can be expected to be highly illiquid.

We may not realize the benefits that we anticipate from focusing on Healthcare Real Estate

As part of our business strategy, we focus on Healthcare Real Estate that is strategically aligned with a healthcare delivery system. We may not realize the benefits that we anticipate as a result of these strategic relationships. In particular, we may not obtain or realize increased rents, long-term tenants, or reduced tenant turnover rates as compared to Healthcare Real Estate with which we are not strategically aligned. Moreover, building a portfolio of Healthcare Real Estate that is strategically aligned does not assure the success of any given property. The associated healthcare delivery system may not be successful and the strategic alignment that we seek for our Healthcare Real Estate could dissolve, and we may not succeed in replacing them.

Our investments in development and re-development projects may not yield anticipated returns

A key component of our business plan is new-asset development and re-development opportunities. Our investment in these projects will be subject to the following risks:

we may be unable to obtain financing for these projects on reasonable terms or at all;

we may not complete development or re-development projects on schedule or within budgeted amounts due to a variety of factors, including materials and labor shortages and price increases;

we may encounter delays or refusals in obtaining all necessary zoning, land use, building, occupancy and other required governmental permits and authorizations; and

we may be unable to achieve planned occupancy levels as quickly as expected or at all.

We may not be successful in identifying and consummating suitable acquisitions or development opportunities in our existing or new geographic markets.

Our ability to expand through acquisitions and development opportunities is integral to our business strategy and requires that we identify suitable acquisition or development opportunities that meet our criteria and are compatible with our growth strategy. We may not be successful in identifying suitable properties or other assets that meet our acquisition or development criteria or in consummating acquisitions or developments on satisfactory terms or at all for a number of reasons, including, among other things, unsatisfactory results of our due diligence investigations, failure to obtain financing for the acquisition or development on favorable terms or at all, and our misjudgment of the value of the opportunities.

We may face increasing competition for the acquisition and development of Healthcare Real Estate

We compete with many other entities engaged in real estate investment activities for acquisitions and development of Healthcare Real Estate, including national, regional and local operators, acquirers and developers of healthcare-related real estate properties. The competition for healthcare-related real estate properties may

significantly increase the price that we must pay for Healthcare Real Estate or other assets that we seek to acquire on the yield we can obtain on new development projects, and our competitors may succeed in acquiring or developing those properties or assets themselves.

We may not be successful in integrating and operating acquired or newly-developed Healthcare Real Estate in the future.

If we acquire or develop Healthcare Real Estate, we will be required to operate and integrate them into our existing operations. While we believe that our infrastructure is scalable, our systems and processes may not be able to efficiently handle the anticipated growth in our operations. We may not have the requisite resources and personnel necessary to successfully operate and integrate acquired or newly developed Healthcare Real Estate into our existing portfolio in the future, and we may need to incur substantial unanticipated costs to meet our operating needs.

In addition, any Healthcare Real Estate that we acquire or develop in the future may be less compatible with our growth strategy than we originally anticipated, may cause disruptions in our operations or may divert management s attention away from daily operations.

We are exposed to risks associated with Real Estate Partnerships.

We have entered into Real Estate Partnerships and will likely do so in the future. We anticipate that we will co-invest with third parties through Real Estate Partnership with the third parties acquiring noncontrolling interests in or sharing responsibility for the management of such entities. We are not, and generally do not expect to be, in a position to exercise sole decision-making authority regarding the Real Estate Partnership. Consequently, our Real Estate Partnership investments may involve risks not otherwise present with other methods of investment in real estate. For example, our co-member, co-venturer or partner may have economic or business interests or goals that are or become inconsistent with our business interests or goals, and we and our partner may not agree on all proposed actions to certain aspects of the Real Estate Partnership. Our partners might fail to fund their share of required capital contributions which may delay construction or development of a Healthcare Real Estate project or increase our financial commitment to the Real Estate Partnership. In addition, relationships with venture partners are contractual in nature. These agreements may restrict our ability to sell our interest when we desire or on advantageous terms and, on the other hand, may be terminated or dissolved under the terms of the agreements and, in each event, we may not continue to own or operate the interests or assets underlying the relationship or may need to purchase these interests or assets to continue ownership.

We may develop and acquire Healthcare Real Estate subject to ground or air space leases that will expose us to the loss of such buildings upon a breach or termination of the ground or air space leases.

We intend to develop and acquire Healthcare Real Estate through leasehold interests in the land underlying the buildings or the air space above the buildings. Our ground or air space leases do and will in the future contain restrictions on use and transfer, such as limiting the subletting of the Healthcare Real Estate only to practicing physicians or physicians in good standing with an affiliated hospital. The use and transfer restrictions in our ground and air space leases may limit our ability to sell our buildings which, in turn, could harm the price realized from any such sale. Additionally, our ground and air space leases generally grant the lessor rights of purchase and rights of first offer and refusal in the event that we elect to sell the Healthcare Real Estate associated with the ground lease. As lessee under a ground or air space lease, we are also exposed to the possibility of losing the medical office building upon termination, or an earlier breach by us, of the lease.

The success of our business is dependent upon our management.

The success of our business is dependent upon the decision making of our directors and executive officers, particularly Messrs. Gregory C. Venn, and Peter Kloepfer who not only are executives of the Company but also are on the board and have a controlling interest in the board through the voting trust. These individuals intend to commit as much time as necessary to our business, but this commitment is no assurance of success. The loss of one or both of these individuals could have a material, adverse impact on our operations. We have not obtained key man life insurance on the lives of any of these individuals.

A voting trust controlled by Messrs Venn and Kloepfer owns 19,150,669 shares out of 49,455,841 shares issued and outstanding, or approximately a 38.7% interest. While this does not represent majority control, the number of shares subject to the voting trust gives the voting trust the ability to significantly influence any matters to be decided by the stockholders. As a result, if they choose to vote, the voting trust would be able to significantly influence the outcome of any corporate matters submitted to our stockholders for approval, including any transaction that might cause a change in control, such as a merger or acquisition. It is unlikely that stockholders in favor of a matter which is opposed by the voting trust would be able to obtain the number of votes necessary to overrule the vote of the voting trust. Further, the control by the voting trust means that it may make decisions for us with which you may disagree or that you may feel is not in our best interests. This arrangement could particularly create a conflict of interest with respect to our operations if the voting trust were to vote its shares in its own best interests and not in the interests of all stockholders.

We may experience uninsured losses or losses in excess of our insurance coverage.

We maintain comprehensive liability, fire, flood, earthquake, wind (as deemed necessary or as required by our lenders), extended coverage and rental loss insurance with respect to our properties. Certain types of losses, however, may be either uninsurable or not economically insurable, such as losses due to earthquakes, riots, acts of war or terrorism. Should an uninsured loss occur, we could lose both our investment in and anticipated profits and cash flows from a medical office building. If any such loss is insured, we may be required to pay a significant deductible on any claim for recovery of such a loss prior to our insurer being obligated to reimburse us for the loss, or the amount of the loss may exceed our coverage for the loss. In addition, future lenders may require such insurance, and our failure to obtain such insurance could constitute a default under loan agreements. We may determine not to insure some or all of our properties at levels considered customary in our industry and which would expose us to an increased risk of loss.

If any of our insurance carriers become insolvent, we could be adversely impacted.

We carry several different lines of insurance which are placed with several reputable insurance carriers. If any one of these insurance carriers were to become insolvent, we would be forced to replace the existing insurance coverage with another suitable carrier, and any outstanding claims would be at risk for collection. In such an event, we may not be able to realize proceeds from our insurance policies with respect to any claims that we have or replace the coverage at similar or otherwise favorable terms. Replacing insurance coverage at unfavorable rates and the potential for uncollectible claims due to carrier insolvency could be harmful.

We face environmental compliance costs and liabilities associated with owning, leasing, developing and operating our properties.

Under various U.S. federal, state and local laws, ordinances and regulations, current and prior owners and operators of real estate may be jointly and severally liable for the costs of investigating, remediating and monitoring certain hazardous substances or other regulated materials on or in such property. In addition to these costs, the past or present owner or operator of a property from which a release emanates could be liable for any personal injury or property damage that results from such releases, including for the unauthorized release of asbestos-containing materials and other hazardous substances into the air, as well as any damages to natural resources or the environment that arise from such releases. These environmental laws often impose such liability without regard to whether the current or prior owner or operator knew of, or was responsible for, the presence or release of such substances or materials. Moreover, the release of hazardous substances or materials, or the failure to properly remediate such substances or materials, may adversely affect the owner s or operator s ability to lease, sell, develop or rent such property or to borrow by using such property as collateral. Persons who transport or arrange for the disposal or treatment of hazardous substances or other regulated materials may be liable for the costs of removal or remediation of such substances at a disposal or treatment facility, regardless of whether or not such facility is owned or operated by such person.

Certain environmental laws impose compliance obligations on owners and operators of real property with respect to the management of hazardous substances and other regulated materials. For example, environmental laws govern the management and removal of asbestos-containing materials and lead-based paint. Failure to comply with these laws can result in penalties or other sanctions.

Risks Related to the Healthcare Industry

Recent healthcare reform legislation may affect our business in ways that are difficult to predict.

In March 2010, the President signed into law the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (PPACA), which will change how healthcare services are covered, delivered and reimbursed through expanded coverage of uninsured individuals and reduced Medicare program spending. In addition, the new law reforms certain aspects of health insurance, expands existing efforts to tie Medicare and Medicaid payments to performance and quality and contains provisions intended to strengthen fraud and abuse enforcement. The complexities and ramifications of PPACA are significant and have been implemented in a phased approach since 2010. At this time, it is difficult to predict the full effects of PPACA and its impact on our business, our revenues and financial condition and those of our tenants due to the law s complexity, lack of implementing regulations or interpretive guidance, gradual implementation and possible amendment. Further, we are unable to foresee how individuals and businesses will respond to the choices afforded them by PPACA could adversely affect the reimbursement rates received by our tenants, the financial success of our tenants and strategic partners and consequently us.

We may be impacted by adverse trends in healthcare provider operations.

The healthcare industry is currently experiencing, among other things:

changes in the demand for and methods of delivering healthcare services;

changes in third party reimbursement methods and policies;

consolidation and pressure to integrate within the healthcare industry through acquisitions and Real Estate Partnerships; and

increased scrutiny of billing, referral and other practices by U.S. federal and state authorities. These factors may adversely affect the economic performance of some or all of our tenants and, in turn, our lease revenues.

Reductions in reimbursement from third-party payors, including Medicare and Medicaid, could adversely affect the profitability of our tenants and hinder their ability to make rent payments to us or renew their lease.

Sources of revenue for our tenants typically include the U.S. federal Medicare program, state Medicaid programs, private insurance payors and health maintenance organizations. Healthcare providers continue to face increased government and private payor pressure to control or reduce healthcare costs and significant reductions in healthcare reimbursement, including reduced reimbursements and changes to payment methodologies under PPACA. The Center for Medicare & Medicaid Services, or CMS, estimates the reductions required by PPACA over the next ten years will include \$233 billion in cuts to Medicare fee-for-service payments, the majority of which will come from hospitals, and that some hospitals will become insolvent as a result of the reductions. In some cases, private insurers rely upon all or portions of the Medicare payment systems to determine payment rates which may result in decreased reimbursement from private insurers. PPACA will likely increase enrollment in plans offered by private insurers who choose to participate in state-run exchanges, but PPACA also imposes new requirements for the health insurance industry, including prohibitions upon excluding individuals based upon pre-existing conditions which may increase private insurer costs and, thereby, cause private insurers to reduce their payment rates to providers.

The slowdown in the United States economy has negatively affected state budgets, thereby putting pressure on states to decrease spending on state programs including Medicaid. The need to control Medicaid expenditures

may be exacerbated by the potential for increased enrollment in state Medicaid programs due to unemployment and declines in family incomes. Historically, states have often attempted to reduce Medicaid spending by limiting benefits and tightening Medicaid eligibility requirements. Many states have adopted, or are considering the adoption of, legislation designed to enroll Medicaid recipients in managed care programs and/or impose additional taxes on hospitals to help finance or expand the states Medicaid systems. Potential reductions to Medicaid program spending in response to state budgetary pressures could adversely affect the ability of our tenants to successfully operate their businesses.

Efforts by payors to reduce healthcare costs will likely continue which may result in reductions or slower growth in reimbursement for certain services provided by some of our tenants. A reduction in reimbursements to our tenants from third-party payors for any reason could adversely affect our tenants ability to make rent payments to us.

The healthcare industry is heavily regulated, and new laws or regulations, changes to existing laws or regulations, loss of licensure or failure to obtain licensure could harm our company and result in the inability of our tenants to make rent payments to us.

The healthcare industry is heavily regulated by U.S. federal, state and local governmental authorities. Our tenants generally will be subject to laws and regulations covering, among other things, licensure, certification for participation in government programs, billing for services, privacy and security of health information and relationships with physicians and other referral sources. In addition, new laws and regulations, changes in existing laws and regulations or changes in the interpretation of such laws or regulations could harm our financial condition and the financial condition of our tenants. These changes, in some cases, could apply retroactively. The enactment, timing or effect of legislative or regulatory changes cannot be predicted.

Many states also regulate the construction of healthcare facilities, the expansion of healthcare facilities, the construction or expansion of certain services, including by way of example specific bed types and medical equipment, as well as certain capital expenditures through certificate of need, or CON, laws. Under such laws, the applicable state regulatory body must determine a need exists for a project before the project can be undertaken. If one of our tenants seeks to undertake a CON-regulated project, but is not authorized by the applicable regulatory body to proceed with the project, the tenant would be prevented from operating in its intended manner. Failure to comply with these laws and regulations could harm us directly and our tenants—ability to make rent payments to us.

Our tenants and our company are subject to fraud and abuse laws, the violation of which by a tenant may jeopardize the tenant s ability to make rent payments to us.

There are various federal and state laws prohibiting fraudulent and abusive business practices by healthcare providers who participate in, receive payments from or are in a position to make referrals in connection with government-sponsored healthcare programs, including the Medicare and Medicaid programs. Our lease arrangements with certain tenants may also be subject to these fraud and abuse laws.

These laws include without limitation:

the Federal Anti-Kickback Statute, which prohibits, among other things, the offer, payment, solicitation or receipt of any form of remuneration in return for, or to induce, the referral of any federal or state healthcare program patients;

the Federal Physician Self-Referral Prohibition (commonly called the Stark Law), which, subject to specific exceptions, restricts physicians who have financial relationships with healthcare providers from making referrals for designated health services for which payment may be made under Medicare or Medicaid programs to an entity with which the physician, or an immediate family member, has a financial relationship;

the False Claims Act, which prohibits any person from knowingly presenting false or fraudulent claims for payment to the federal government, including under the Medicare and Medicaid programs;

the Civil Monetary Penalties Law, which authorizes the Department of Health and Human Services to impose monetary penalties for certain fraudulent acts; and

state anti-kickback, anti-inducement, anti-referral and insurance fraud laws which may be generally similar to, and potentially more expansive than, the federal laws set forth above.

Violations of these laws may result in criminal and/or civil penalties that range from punitive sanctions, damage assessments, penalties, imprisonment, denial of Medicare and Medicaid payments and/or exclusion from the Medicare and Medicaid programs. In addition, PPACA clarifies that the submission of claims for items or services generated in violation of the Anti-Kickback Statute constitutes a false or fraudulent claim under the False Claims Act. The federal government has taken the position, and some courts have held, that violations of other laws, such as the Stark Law, can also be a violation of the False Claims Act. Additionally, certain laws, such as the False Claims Act, allow for individuals to bring whistleblower actions on behalf of the government for violations thereof. Imposition of any of these penalties upon one of our tenants or strategic partners could jeopardize that tenant s ability to operate or to make rent payments or affect the level of occupancy in our Healthcare Real Estate. Further, we enter into leases and other financial relationships with healthcare delivery systems that are subject to or impacted by these laws. We also have other investors who are healthcare providers in certain of our subsidiaries that own our Healthcare Real Estate. If any of our relationships, including those related to the other investors in our subsidiaries, are found not to comply with these laws, we and our physician investors may be subject to civil and/or criminal penalties.

Our healthcare-related tenants may be subject to significant legal actions that could subject them to increased operating costs and substantial uninsured liabilities, which may harm their ability to pay their rent payments to us, and we could be subject to healthcare industry violations.

As is typical in the healthcare industry, our tenants may become subject to claims that their services have resulted in patient injury or other adverse effects. Many of these tenants may have experienced an increasing trend in the frequency and severity of professional liability and general liability insurance claims and litigation asserted against them. The insurance coverage maintained by these tenants may not cover all claims made against them nor continue to be available at a reasonable cost, if at all. In some states, insurance coverage for the risk of punitive damages arising from professional liability and general liability claims and/or litigation may not, in certain cases, be available to these tenants due to state law prohibitions or limitations of availability. As a result, these types of tenants of our Healthcare Real Estate and healthcare-related facilities operating in these states may be liable for punitive damage awards that are either not covered or are in excess of their insurance policy limits.

We also believe that there has been, and will continue to be, an increase in governmental investigations of certain healthcare providers, particularly in the area of Medicare/Medicaid false claims, as well as an increase in enforcement actions resulting from these investigations. Insurance is not available to cover such losses. Any adverse determination in a legal proceeding or governmental investigation, any settlements of such proceedings or investigations in excess of insurance coverage, whether currently asserted or arising in the future, could have a material adverse effect on a tenant s financial condition. If a tenant is unable to obtain or maintain insurance coverage, if judgments are obtained or settlements reached in excess of the insurance coverage, if a tenant is required to pay uninsured punitive damages, or if a tenant is subject to an uninsurable government enforcement action or investigation, the tenant could be exposed to substantial additional liabilities, which may affect the tenant s ability to pay rent. We could also be subject to costly government investigations or other enforcement actions.

ITEM 2 Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Not applicable.

ITEM 3 Defaults Upon Senior Securities.

None.

ITEM 4 (Removed and Reserved)

ITEM 5 Other Information.

Not applicable.

ITEM 6 Exhibits

The following is a list of exhibits filed as part of this Report on Form 10-Q.

Exhibit

Number	Description
3.1	Certificate of Incorporation of the Company, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 7, 2011.
3.2	Bylaws of the Company, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 7, 2011.
10.1*	Employment Agreement, dated as of July 6, 2011, by and between NGE Co. and Robert Lawless
31.1*	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2*	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1*	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2*	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
101	The following financial statements from NexCore Healthcare Capital Corp s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2011 formatted in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language) are furnished electronically herewith: (i) the Consolidated Balance Sheets, (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Operations, (iii) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows; and (iv) the related notes to these financial statements, tagged as blocks of text. The information in Exhibit 101 is "furnished" and not "filed", as provided in Rule 402 of Regulation S-T.

^{*} Filed herewith.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

November 11, 2011

NexCore Healthcare Capital Corp

By: /s/ Robert Lawless Robert Lawless Chief Financial Officer (duly authorized officer and

principal financial officer)

31