

X RITE INC  
Form SC TO-T/A  
April 26, 2012

**UNITED STATES**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

**SCHEDULE TO**  
**TENDER OFFER STATEMENT UNDER SECTION 14(D)(1) OR 13(E)(1)**  
**OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**Amendment No. 2**

**X-RITE, INCORPORATED**

(Name of Subject Company (Issuer))

**TERMESSOS ACQUISITION CORP.**

A Wholly-Owned Subsidiary of

**DANAHER CORPORATION**

(Names of Filing Persons (Offerors))

**COMMON STOCK, \$0.10 PAR VALUE**

(Title of Class of Securities)

Edgar Filing: X RITE INC - Form SC TO-T/A

983857103

(CUSIP Number of Class of Securities)

**Jonathan P. Graham**

**Senior Vice President General Counsel**

**James F. O Reilly**

**Associate General Counsel and Secretary**

**2200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Suite 800W**

**Washington, D.C. 20037-1701**

**(202) 828-0850**

(Name, address, and telephone number of person authorized to receive notices and communications on behalf of filing persons)

*Copies to:*

**Daniel Wolf, Esq.**

**Joshua M. Zachariah, Esq.**

**Kirkland & Ellis LLP**

**601 Lexington Avenue**

**New York, NY 10022**

**(212) 446-4800**

**CALCULATION OF FILING FEE**

**Transaction Valuation(1)  
\$495,507,055**

**Amount of Filing Fee(2)  
\$56,786**

- (1) Calculated solely for purposes of determining the filing fee. The calculation assumes the purchase of 86,281,412 shares of voting common stock, par value \$0.10 per share. The transaction value also includes the aggregate offer price for (i) 5,434,529 shares issuable pursuant to outstanding options with an exercise price less than \$5.55 per share, which is calculated by multiplying the number of shares underlying such outstanding options at each exercise price therefor by an amount equal to \$5.55 minus such exercise price and (ii) 382,898 shares issuable pursuant to the vesting of restricted stock units.
- (2) Calculated in accordance with Exchange Act Rule 0-11 by multiplying the transaction value by 0.00011460.

Edgar Filing: X RITE INC - Form SC TO-T/A

- x Check the box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing with which the offsetting fee was previously paid. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

Amount Previously Paid: \$56,786  
Form or Registration No.: Schedule TO

Filing Party: Danaher Corporation  
Date Filed: April 17, 2012

- .. Check the box if the filing relates solely to preliminary communications made before the commencement of a tender offer.  
Check the appropriate boxes below to designate any transactions to which the statement relates:

- x Third-party tender offer subject to Rule 14d-1.  
.. Issuer tender offer subject to Rule 13e-4.  
.. Going-private transaction subject to Rule 13e-3.  
.. Amendment to Schedule 13D under Rule 13d-2.

Check the following box if the filing is a final amendment reporting the results of the tender offer. ..

If applicable, check the appropriate box(es) below to designate the appropriate rule provision(s) relied upon:

- .. Rule 13e-4(i) (Cross-Border Issuer Tender Offer)  
.. Rule 14d-1(d) (Cross-Border Third-Party Tender Offer)

This Amendment No. 2 (this Amendment) to the Tender Offer Statement on Schedule TO (the Schedule TO) amends and supplements the Schedule TO relating to the tender offer by Termessos Acquisition Corp., a Michigan corporation (Purchaser) and a wholly-owned subsidiary of Danaher Corporation, a Delaware corporation (Parent), for all of the outstanding common stock, par value \$0.10 per share (the Shares), of X-Rite, Incorporated, a Michigan corporation (the Company), at a price of \$5.55 per Share, net to the seller thereof in cash, without interest, less any applicable withholding taxes, upon the terms and subject to the conditions set forth in the Offer to Purchase, dated April 17, 2012 (the Offer to Purchase), a copy of which is attached as Exhibit (a)(1)(A), and in the related letter of transmittal (the Letter of Transmittal), a copy of which is attached as Exhibit (a)(1)(B), which, together with any amendments or supplements thereto, collectively constitute the Offer. Except as specifically provided herein, this Amendment does not modify any of the information previously reported on the Schedule TO.

All the information set forth in the Offer to Purchase, including all schedules and annexes thereto, is incorporated herein by reference in response to Items 1 through 9 and Item 11 in this Schedule TO, and is supplemented by the information specifically provided in this Schedule TO.

This Amendment No. 2 is being filed to amend and supplement Items 11 and 12 as reflected below.

## Item 11

(a) **Agreements, Regulatory Requirements and Legal Proceedings.** Item 11(a) of the Schedule TO is hereby amended and supplemented as follows:

The information set forth in Section 16 - Certain Legal Matters; Regulatory Approvals of the Offer to Purchase is hereby amended and supplemented by inserting the following three paragraphs as the second, third and fourth paragraphs of the subsection captioned General :

On April 20, 2012, a putative class action lawsuit captioned *Smith v. X-Rite, Inc. et al.*, Case No. 1:12-CV-386, was filed in the United States District Court for the Western District of Michigan, Southern Division. The complaint names as defendants the Company, the Board of Directors, Purchaser, and Parent. The plaintiff alleges that the Board of Directors breached its fiduciary duties to the Company's shareholders in connection with the Offer and the Merger, and further alleges that Parent and Purchaser aided and abetted those alleged breaches of fiduciary duty. The complaint alleges that the Offer and the Merger involve an unfair price and an inadequate sale process, that certain provisions contained in the Merger Agreement are preclusive of offers from other potential acquirors, that the Board of Directors will receive personal benefits not available to the Company's public shareholders as a result of the Merger Agreement, and that the Company has failed to make adequate disclosures about the background of the Offer and the Merger and the work performed by Centerview as the Company's financial advisor with respect to the Offer and the Merger. The complaint seeks injunctive relief, including to enjoin the Offer and the Merger, compensatory relief, rescission or rescissionary damages in the event the Offer or the Merger is consummated, and an award of attorneys' and other fees and costs, in addition to other relief. Parent and Purchaser believe the plaintiff's allegations lack merit and intend to contest them vigorously.

On April 19, 2012, a putative class action lawsuit captioned *Johnson v. Vacchiano et al.*, Case No. 12-03659-CZB, was filed in the Circuit Court of the State of Michigan in the County of Kent. The complaint names as defendants the Company, the Board of Directors, Purchaser, Parent, and shareholders OEPX, LLC, Sagard Capital Partners, L.P., and Tincum Lantern II L.L.C. The plaintiff alleges that the Board of Directors and defendant shareholders breached fiduciary duties allegedly owed to the Company's other shareholders in connection with the Offer and the Merger, and further claims that the Company, Purchaser and Parent aided and abetted those alleged breaches of fiduciary duty. The complaint alleges that the Offer and the Merger involve an unfair price and an inadequate sale process, that certain provisions contained in the Merger Agreement are preclusive of offers from other potential acquirors, and that the Company has failed to make adequate disclosures about the background of the Offer and the Merger and the work performed by Centerview as the Company's financial advisor with respect to the Offer and the Merger. The complaint seeks injunctive relief, including to enjoin the Offer and the Merger, rescission or rescissionary damages in the event the Offer or the Merger is consummated, compensatory damages as a result of the alleged breach, and an award of attorneys' and other fees and costs, in addition to other relief. Parent and Purchaser believe the plaintiff's allegations lack merit and intend to contest them vigorously.

On April 23, 2012, a putative class action lawsuit captioned *Balanced Beta Fund v. Utley et al.*, Case No. 12-03719-CBB, was filed in the Circuit Court of the State of Michigan in the County of Kent. The complaint names as defendants the Company, the Board of Directors, Purchaser, Parent, and shareholders OEPX, LLC, Sagard Capital Partners, L.P., Tincum Lantern II L.L.C, Tincum Capital Partners II, L.P., Tincum Capital Partners II Parallel Fund, L.P. and Tincum Capital Partners II Executive Fund L.L.C. The plaintiff alleges that the Board of Directors and defendant shareholders breached fiduciary duties allegedly owed to the Company's other shareholders in connection with the Offer and the Merger, the Company, Parent, and the Board of Directors failed to disclose material information in the Schedule TO and Schedule 14D-9 to allow for an informed decision by shareholders, and Parent aided and abetted those alleged breaches of fiduciary duty. The complaint alleges that the Offer and the Merger involve an unfair price and an inadequate sale process, the defendant shareholders used their control of the Company and the Board of Directors to cause the Company to be sold for insufficient consideration, the Board of Directors will receive personal benefits that they would not otherwise receive as a result of the Merger Agreement, and the Company has failed to make adequate disclosures about the background of the Offer and the Merger and the work performed by Centerview as the Company's financial

## Edgar Filing: X RITE INC - Form SC TO-T/A

advisor with respect to the Offer and the Merger. The complaint seeks declaratory relief, injunctive relief, including to enjoin the Offer and the Merger, compensatory relief, rescission or rescissory damages in the event the Offer or the Merger is consummated, and an award of attorneys' fees and other costs, in addition to other relief. Parent and Purchaser believe the plaintiff's allegations lack merit and intend to contest them vigorously.

The information set forth in Section 16 Certain Legal Matters; Regulatory Approvals of the Offer to Purchase is hereby amended and supplemented by inserting the following sentence at the end of the second paragraph of the subsection captioned United States Antitrust Compliance :

Parent filed a Premerger Notification and Report Form under the HSR Act in connection with the purchase of Shares in the Offer and the Merger on April 20, 2012, and the required waiting period with respect to the Offer and the Merger will expire at 11:59 P.M., New York City Time, on May 7, 2012, unless earlier terminated by the FTC and the Antitrust Division, or Parent receives a request for additional information or documentary material prior to that time.

The information set forth in Section 16 Certain Legal Matters; Regulatory Approvals of the Offer to Purchase is hereby amended and supplemented by inserting the following paragraph as the second paragraph of the subsection captioned Foreign Antitrust Laws :

Notifications were filed in Germany on April 16, 2012 and in Austria on April 18, 2012.

### Item 12. Exhibits.

Item 12 of the Schedule TO is hereby amended and supplemented by adding the following exhibits:

Exhibit No.	Description
(a)(5)(C)	Complaint filed in the United States District Court for the Western District of Michigan, Southern Division, captioned <i>Smith v. X-Rite, Inc., et al.</i> , Case No. 1:12-cv-00386 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit (a)(5)(2) to Amendment No. 1 to the Schedule 14D-9 filed by X-Rite, Incorporated with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 25, 2012).
(a)(5)(D)	Complaint filed in the Circuit Court of State of Michigan in the county of Kent, captioned <i>Balanced Beta Fund v. Utley, et al.</i> , Case No. 12-03719-CBB (incorporated by reference to Exhibit (a)(5)(3) to Amendment No. 1 to the Schedule 14D-9 filed by X-Rite, Incorporated with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 25, 2012).
(a)(5)(E)	Complaint filed in the Circuit Court of State of Michigan in the county of Kent, captioned <i>Johnson v. Vacchiano, et al.</i> , Case No. 12-03659-CZB (incorporated by reference to Exhibit (a)(5)(4) to Amendment No. 1 to the Schedule 14D-9 filed by X-Rite, Incorporated with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 25, 2012).

**SIGNATURES**

After due inquiry and to the best of my knowledge and belief, I certify that the information set forth in this statement is true, complete and correct.

Dated: April 25, 2012

**TERMESSOS ACQUISITION CORP.**

By: /s/ Daniel L. Comas  
Name: Daniel L. Comas

Title: President

**DANAHER CORPORATION**

By: /s/ Daniel L. Comas  
Name: Daniel L. Comas

Title: Executive Vice President and

Chief Financial Officer

---

**EXHIBIT INDEX**

<b>Exhibit No.</b>	<b>Description</b>
(a)(1)(A)	Offer to Purchase, dated April 17, 2012.*
(a)(1)(B)	Letter of Transmittal (including Internal Revenue Service Form W-9).*
(a)(1)(C)	Notice of Guaranteed Delivery.*
(a)(1)(D)	Letter from the Information Agent to Brokers, Dealers, Commercial Banks, Trust Companies and Other Nominees.*
(a)(1)(E)	Letter to Clients for Use by Brokers, Dealers, Commercial Banks, Trust Companies and Other Nominees.*
(a)(1)(F)	Press Release of Danaher Corporation, dated as of April 10, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to the Schedule TO-C filed by Danaher Corporation with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 10, 2012).*
(a)(1)(G)	Summary Advertisement as published on April 17, 2012.*
(a)(5)(A)	Excerpt from Transcript of Danaher Corporation's First Quarter 2012 Earnings Call on April 19, 2012.*
(a)(5)(B)	Excerpt from Earnings Press Release of Danaher Corporation, dated as of April 19, 2012.*
(a)(5)(C)	Complaint filed in the United States District Court for the Western District of Michigan, Southern Division, captioned <i>Smith v. X-Rite, Inc., et al.</i> , Case No. 1:12-cv-00386 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit (a)(5)(2) to Amendment No. 1 to the Schedule 14D-9 filed by X-Rite, Incorporated with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 25, 2012).
(a)(5)(D)	Complaint filed in the Circuit Court of State of Michigan in the county of Kent, captioned <i>Balanced Beta Fund v. Utley, et al.</i> , Case No. 12-03719-CBB (incorporated by reference to Exhibit (a)(5)(3) to Amendment No. 1 to the Schedule 14D-9 filed by X-Rite, Incorporated with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 25, 2012).
(a)(5)(E)	Complaint filed in the Circuit Court of State of Michigan in the county of Kent, captioned <i>Johnson v. Vacchiano, et al.</i> , Case No. 12-03659-CZB (incorporated by reference to Exhibit (a)(5)(4) to Amendment No. 1 to the Schedule 14D-9 filed by X-Rite, Incorporated with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 25, 2012).
(b)	Not Applicable.
(c)	Not applicable.
(d)(1)	Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of April 10, 2012, by and among X-Rite, Incorporated, Danaher Corporation, and Termessos Acquisition Corp. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the Form 8-K filed by X-Rite, Incorporated with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 11, 2012).*
(d)(2)	Tender and Support Agreement, dated as of April 10, 2012, by and among Danaher Corporation, Termessos Acquisition Corp. and Sagard Capital Partners, L.P. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to the Form 8-K filed by X-Rite, Incorporated with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 11, 2012).*
(d)(3)	Tender and Support Agreement, dated as of April 10, 2012, by and among Danaher Corporation, Termessos Acquisition Corp. and Tincum Capital Partners II, L.P., Tincum Capital Partners II Parallel Fund, L.P. and Tincum Capital Partners II Executive Fund L.L.C. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 to the Form 8-K filed by X-Rite, Incorporated with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 11, 2012).*
(d)(4)	Tender and Support Agreement, dated as of April 10, 2012, by and among Danaher Corporation, Termessos Acquisition Corp. and OEPX, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.3 to the Form 8-K filed by X-Rite, Incorporated with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 11, 2012).*
(d)(5)	Confidentiality Agreement, dated as of January 29, 2012, by and between Danaher Corporation and X-Rite, Incorporated.*
(e)	Not applicable.
(f)	Not applicable.
(g)	Not applicable.
(h)	Not applicable.

\* Previously filed.