

MITEK SYSTEMS INC
Form 10-Q
August 03, 2012
[Table of Contents](#)

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-Q

x **QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2012

.. **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from to .

Commission File Number 001-35231

MITEK SYSTEMS, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: MITEK SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-Q

Delaware
(State or Other Jurisdiction of

87-0418827
(I.R.S. Employer

Incorporation or Organization)

Identification No.)

8911 Balboa Ave., Suite B

San Diego, California
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

92123
(Zip Code)

(858) 309-1700

(Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large Accelerated Filer ☐

Accelerated Filer ☐

Non-Accelerated Filer ☐ (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller Reporting Company ☒

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes ☐ No ☒

There were 25,923,793 shares of the registrant's common stock outstanding as of July 31, 2012.

Table of Contents

MITEK SYSTEMS, INC.

FORM 10-Q

For The Quarterly Period Ended June 30, 2012

INDEX

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1.	<u>Financial Statements</u>	1
	<u>Condensed Balance Sheets at June 30, 2012 (unaudited) and September 30, 2011</u>	1
	<u>Condensed Statements of Operations (unaudited) for the Three and Nine Months Ended June 30, 2012 and June 30, 2011</u>	2
	<u>Condensed Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited) for the Nine Months Ended June 30, 2012 and June 30, 2011</u>	3
	<u>Notes to Condensed Financial Statements (unaudited)</u>	4
Item 2.	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	13
Item 3.	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	19
Item 4.	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	19

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	20
Item 1A.	<u>Risk Factors</u>	20
Item 2.	<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	21
Item 3.	<u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	21
Item 4.	<u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	21
Item 5.	<u>Other Information</u>	21
Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	21
	<u>Signatures</u>	23

Table of Contents**PART I****FINANCIAL INFORMATION****ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.****MITEK SYSTEMS, INC****CONDENSED BALANCE SHEETS**

	June 30, 2012 (Unaudited)	September 30, 2011
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 6,193,014	\$ 5,655,716
Short-term investments	8,851,568	10,187,638
Accounts receivable, net	3,190,110	2,956,295
Other current assets	523,950	317,382
Total current assets	18,758,642	19,117,031
Long-term investments	401,881	417,230
Property and equipment, net	411,159	196,519
Other non-current assets	42,049	120,903
Total assets	\$ 19,613,731	\$ 19,851,683
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 1,279,319	\$ 358,907
Accrued payroll and related taxes	715,375	496,009
Deferred revenue	1,669,133	873,230
Other current liabilities	37,541	45,185
Total current liabilities	3,701,368	1,773,331
Other non-current liabilities	67,948	23,061
Total liabilities	3,769,316	1,796,392
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value, 1,000,000 shares authorized, none issued and outstanding		
Common stock, \$0.001 par value, 40,000,000 shares authorized, 25,923,793 and 24,144,366 issued and outstanding, respectively	25,924	24,144
Additional paid-in capital	36,268,733	33,660,397
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(878)	(9,855)
Accumulated deficit	(20,449,364)	(15,619,395)
Total stockholders' equity	15,844,415	18,055,291
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 19,613,731	\$ 19,851,683

Edgar Filing: MITEK SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-Q

The accompanying notes form an integral part of these condensed financial statements.

Table of Contents**MITEK SYSTEMS, INC.****CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS****(Unaudited)**

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Revenue				
Software	\$ 2,463,916	\$ 2,375,049	\$ 5,861,391	\$ 5,653,916
Maintenance and professional services	697,813	578,869	2,011,560	1,571,920
Total revenue	3,161,729	2,953,918	7,872,951	7,225,836
Operating costs and expenses				
Cost of sales-software	170,411	308,795	427,599	515,041
Cost of sales-maintenance and professional services	188,809	128,901	543,627	386,428
Selling and marketing	1,078,603	667,793	2,641,569	1,632,001
Research and development	2,117,174	738,368	5,026,959	1,970,687
General and administrative	1,626,133	784,573	4,089,315	2,242,009
Total costs and expenses	5,181,130	2,628,430	12,729,069	6,746,166
Operating (loss) income	(2,019,401)	325,488	(4,856,118)	479,670
Other income (expense), net				
Interest and other expense, net	(62,267)	(2,693)	(191,970)	(387,067)
Interest income	72,781	2,316	218,919	4,212
Total other income (expense), net	10,514	(377)	26,949	(382,855)
(Loss) income before income taxes	(2,008,887)	325,111	(4,829,169)	96,815
Provision for income taxes			800	2,492
Net (loss) income	\$ (2,008,887)	\$ 325,111	\$ (4,829,969)	\$ 94,323
Net (loss) income per share - basic	\$ (0.08)	\$ 0.01	\$ (0.19)	\$ 0.00
Net (loss) income per share - diluted	\$ (0.08)	\$ 0.01	\$ (0.19)	\$ 0.00
Shares used in calculating basic net (loss) income per share	25,613,698	22,574,421	24,980,253	20,648,090
Shares used in calculating diluted net (loss) income per share	25,613,698	24,818,674	24,980,253	22,795,676

The accompanying notes form an integral part of these condensed financial statements.

Table of Contents**MITEK SYSTEMS, INC.****CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS****(Unaudited)**

	For the nine months ended June 30,	
	2012	2011
OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Net (loss) income	\$ (4,829,969)	\$ 94,323
Adjustments to reconcile net (loss) income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Stock-based compensation expense	1,983,870	891,347
Accretion and amortization on debt securities	199,440	
Depreciation and amortization	185,485	130,228
Provision for bad debt	702	32,016
Non-cash interest expense on convertible debt		384,124
Amortization of capitalized debt issuance costs		53,945
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	(234,517)	(1,856,303)
Other assets	(219,152)	(167,621)
Accounts payable	920,412	289,932
Accrued payroll and related taxes	219,366	242,852
Deferred revenue	795,903	195,915
Other liabilities	(47,154)	36,175
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities	(1,025,614)	326,933
INVESTING ACTIVITIES		
Purchases of investments	(8,174,049)	(2,411,558)
Sales and maturities of investments	9,335,005	
Purchases of property and equipment	(213,299)	(106,279)
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	947,657	(2,517,837)
FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
Proceeds from the issuance of common stock		14,595,367
Proceeds from exercise of warrants and stock options	626,246	209,796
Proceeds from line of credit		105,000
Principal payments on capital lease obligations	(10,991)	
Net cash provided by financing activities	615,255	14,910,163
NET INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	537,298	12,719,259
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT BEGINNING OF PERIOD	5,655,716	1,305,049
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT END OF PERIOD	\$ 6,193,014	\$ 14,024,308
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION		
Cash paid for interest	\$ 8,071	\$ 2,815
Cash paid for income taxes	\$ 800	\$ 2,492

Edgar Filing: MITEK SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-Q

NON-CASH FINANCING AND INVESTING ACTIVITIES

Capital lease obligations	\$	95,388	\$	
Unrealized holding gain on available for sale investments	\$	8,977	\$	
Cashless exercise of option and warrants	\$	399	\$	846
Conversion of debt to common stock	\$		\$	1,063,926

The accompanying notes form an integral part of these condensed financial statements.

Table of Contents

MITEK SYSTEMS, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

In this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (this "Form 10-Q"), unless the context indicates otherwise, the terms "Mitek," "the Company," "we," "us" and "our" refer to Mitek Systems, Inc., a Delaware corporation.

1. NATURE OF OPERATIONS AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Nature of Operations

Mitek Systems, Inc. is primarily engaged in the development, sale, and service of its proprietary software solutions related to its mobile imaging solutions and intelligent character recognition software. The Company's technology is currently used by financial institutions to process checks and is used in other markets for specialized applications.

The Company's new mobile applications use its proprietary technology to capture and read data from photos of documents taken using camera-equipped smartphones and tablets. The Company has developed and deployed Mobile Deposit®, a software application that allows users to remotely deposit a check using their camera-equipped smartphone or tablet, Mobile Imaging Platform, an application that allows users to capture, extract and route information contained in documents, including Mobile Photo Quoting™, an application that allows a user to request and receive an insurance quote using their camera-equipped smartphone, Mobile Receipt, a receipt archival and expense report application and Mobile Phax®, a mobile document faxing application using the Company's proprietary technology. Other mobile applications under development include Mobile Photo Bill Pay, a mobile bill paying application that allows users to pay their bills using their camera-equipped smartphone or tablet, Mobile Balance Transfer, a credit card shopping application that allows a user to transfer an existing balance by capturing an image of their current statement and Mobile ACH Enrollment, an application that enables consumers to enroll their checking accounts as funding sources for mobile payments by taking photos of blank checks with their camera-equipped smartphone or tablet.

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited condensed financial statements of the Company as of June 30, 2012 have been prepared in accordance with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 8 of Regulation S-X and accordingly, they do not include all information and footnote disclosures required by accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S. ("GAAP"). We believe the footnotes and other disclosures made are adequate for a fair presentation of the results of the interim periods presented. The financial statements include all adjustments (solely of a normal recurring nature) which are, in the opinion of management, necessary to make the information presented not misleading. You should read these condensed financial statements and the accompanying notes in conjunction with the financial statements and notes thereto included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") on December 15, 2011 (the "Form 10-K").

Results for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2012 are not necessarily indicative of results for any other interim period or for a full year.

Reclassifications

Certain prior period amounts in our previously issued condensed financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the current period presentation. These reclassifications do not impact the reported net income (loss) for such prior periods and do not have a material impact on the overall presentation of the financial statements.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses and the related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an ongoing basis, management reviews its estimates based upon currently available information. Actual future results could differ materially from those estimates.

Earnings (Loss) Per Share

The Company calculates net income (loss) per share in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 260, *Earnings Per Share*. Basic net income (loss) per share is based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted net income (loss) per share also gives effect to all potentially dilutive securities outstanding during the period, such as convertible debt, options, warrants and restricted stock units, if dilutive. In a period with a net loss position, potentially dilutive securities are not included in the computation of diluted net loss because to do so would be antidilutive, and the number of shares used to calculate basic and diluted net loss is the same. As we reported a net loss for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2012, 4,071,700 potentially dilutive securities outstanding were not included in the diluted net loss per share calculation because their inclusion would have been antidilutive. For the three and nine months ended June 30, 2011, 50,000 potentially dilutive securities outstanding were not included in the diluted net income (loss) per share calculation.

Table of Contents

The computation of basic and diluted net (loss) income per share is as follows:

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	June 30, 2012	June 30, 2011	June 30, 2012	June 30, 2011
Net (loss) income	\$ (2,008,887)	\$ 325,111	\$ (4,829,969)	\$ 94,323
Weighted-average common shares outstanding - basic	25,613,698	22,574,421	24,980,253	20,648,090
Effect of dilutive common share equivalents		2,244,253		2,147,586
Weighted-average common shares and share equivalents outstanding - diluted	25,613,698	24,818,674	24,980,253	22,795,676
Net (loss) income per share - basic	\$ (0.08)	\$ 0.01	\$ (0.19)	\$ 0.00
Net (loss) income per share - diluted	\$ (0.08)	\$ 0.01	\$ (0.19)	\$ 0.00

Revenue Recognition

Revenue from sales of software licenses sold through direct and indirect channels is recognized upon shipment of the related product, if the requirements of FASB ASC Topic 985-605, *Software Revenue Recognition* (ASC 985-605) are met, including evidence of an arrangement, delivery, fixed or determinable fee, collectability and vendor specific objective evidence (VSOE) of the fair value of the undelivered element. If the requirements of ASC 985-605 are not met at the date of shipment, revenue is not recognized until such elements are known or resolved. Revenue from customer support services, or maintenance revenue, includes post-contract support and the rights to unspecified upgrades and enhancements. VSOE of fair value for customer support services is determined by reference to the price the customer pays for such element when sold separately; that is, the renewal rate offered to customers. In those instances when objective and reliable evidence of fair value exists for the undelivered items but not for the delivered items, the residual method is used to allocate the arrangement consideration. Under the residual method, the amount of arrangement consideration allocated to the delivered items equals the total arrangement consideration less the aggregate fair value of the undelivered items. Revenue from post-contract customer support is recognized ratably over the term of the contract. Revenue from professional services is recognized when such services are delivered to and accepted by the customer. When a software sales arrangement requires professional services related to significant production, modification or customization of software, or when a customer considers professional services essential to the functionality of the software product, revenue is recognized based on predetermined milestone objectives required to complete the project, as those milestone objectives are deemed to be substantive in relation to the work performed. Any expected losses on contracts in progress are recorded in the period in which the losses become probable and reasonably estimable.

Accounts Receivable and Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

Trade accounts receivable are recorded at the net invoice value and are not interest bearing. The Company considers receivables past due based on the contractual payment terms. The allowance for doubtful accounts reflects the Company's best estimate for probable losses inherent in accounts receivable balances. Management determines the allowance based on known troubled accounts, historical experience and other currently available evidence. The allowance for doubtful accounts was \$22,045 and \$21,344 as of June 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011, respectively.

Capitalized Software Development Costs

The Company evaluates its capitalized software development costs at each balance sheet date to determine if the unamortized balance related to any given product exceeds the estimated net realizable value of that product. Any such excess is written off through accelerated amortization in the quarter it is identified. Determining net realizable value, as defined by FASB ASC Topic 985-20, *Accounting for the Costs of Software to Be Sold, Leased or Otherwise Marketed* (ASC 985-20), requires making estimates and judgments in quantifying the appropriate amount to write off, if any. Actual amounts realized from the software products could differ from those estimates. Also, any future changes to the Company's product portfolio could result in significant increases to its cost of license revenue as a result of the write-off of capitalized software development costs.

In accordance ASC 985-20, the Company amortizes capitalized software development costs using the straight-line method over the remaining economic life of the product, estimated to be three years. The Company recorded amortization of software development costs of \$22,860 and \$34,289 in the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and \$91,438 and \$102,868 in the nine months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The Company records amortization of software development costs as Cost of revenue-software in the statements of operations.

Table of Contents*Fair Value of Equity Instruments*

The valuation of certain items, including the valuation of warrants, the beneficial conversion feature related to convertible debt and the compensation expense related to stock options granted, involve significant estimates based on underlying assumptions made by management. The valuation of warrants and stock options is based upon a Black-Scholes valuation model, which involves estimates of stock volatility, expected life of the instruments and other assumptions.

Deferred Income Taxes

Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. The Company maintains a valuation allowance against the deferred tax assets due to uncertainty regarding the future realization based on historical taxable income, projected future taxable income and the expected timing of the reversals of existing temporary differences. Until such time as the Company can demonstrate that it will no longer incur losses or if it is unable to generate sufficient future taxable income, it could be required to maintain the valuation allowance against its deferred tax assets.

Comprehensive (Loss) Income

Comprehensive (loss) income consists of net (loss) income and unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities. The following table summarizes the components of comprehensive (loss) income:

	Three months ended June 30,		Nine months ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Net (loss) income	\$ (2,008,887)	\$ 325,111	\$ (4,829,969)	\$ 94,323
Other comprehensive income:				
Change in net unrealized gains/losses on marketable securities	(1,072)	(89)	8,977	(89)
Total comprehensive (loss) income	\$ (2,009,959)	\$ 325,022	\$ (4,820,992)	\$ 94,234

Accumulated other comprehensive income on the balance sheet at June 30, 2012 includes a net unrealized loss on the Company's available-for-sale securities of \$878, compared to a net unrealized loss of \$9,855 at September 30, 2011.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2011-04, *Fair Value Measurement (ASC Topic 820): Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements* (ASU 2011-04) in GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS). Under ASU 2011-04, the guidance amends certain accounting and disclosure requirements related to fair value measurements to ensure that fair value has the same meaning in GAAP and in IFRS and that their respective fair value measurement and disclosure requirements are the same. ASU 2011-04 is effective for public entities during interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. Early adoption by public entities was not permitted. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on the Company's financial statements.

Edgar Filing: MITEK SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-Q

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-05, *Comprehensive Income (ASC Topic 220): Presentation of Comprehensive Income* (ASU 2011-05), which amended comprehensive income guidance. This accounting update eliminates the option to present the components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of stockholders' equity. Instead, entities must report comprehensive income in either a single continuous statement of comprehensive income, which contains two sections, net income and other comprehensive income, or in two separate but consecutive statements. ASU 2011-05 is effective for public companies during interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011, with early adoption permitted. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on the Company's financial statements.

Table of Contents**2. INVESTMENTS**

The following table summarizes investments by security type:

	Cost	As of June 30, 2012 Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Market Value
Available-for-sale securities:				
Corporate debt securities, short-term	\$ 8,852,595	\$ 1,404	\$ (2,431)	\$ 8,851,568
Corporate debt securities, long-term	401,732	149		401,881
Total	\$ 9,254,327	\$ 1,553	\$ (2,431)	\$ 9,253,449

The cost of securities sold is based on the specific identification method. Amortization of premiums, accretion of discounts, interest, dividend income and realized gains and losses are included in investment income. Realized gains or losses on the Company's investments were immaterial in the three and nine months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011.

The Company determines the appropriate designation of investments at the time of purchase and re-evaluates such designation as of each balance sheet date. All of the Company's investments were designated as available-for-sale debt securities in the three and nine months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011. As of June 30, 2012 and 2011, the Company's short-term investments had original maturity dates of greater than 90 days and less than one year. As of June 30, 2012, the Company had an investment with a maturity date of sixteen months, which is presented as long-term investments on the accompanying balance sheet. As of June 30, 2011, the Company did not hold any long-term investments.

Available-for-sale marketable securities are carried at fair value as determined by quoted market prices for identical or similar assets, with unrealized gains and losses, net of tax, and reported as a separate component of stockholders' equity. Management reviews the fair value of the portfolio at least quarterly, and evaluates individual securities with fair value below amortized cost as of each balance sheet date. For debt securities, in order to determine whether impairment is other than temporary, management must conclude whether the Company intends to sell the impaired debt security and whether it is more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell the debt security before recovering its amortized cost basis. If management intends to sell an impaired debt security or it is more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell the debt security prior to recovering its amortized cost basis, an other-than-temporary impairment is deemed to have occurred. The amount of an other-than-temporary impairment on debt securities related to a credit loss, or debt securities that management intends to sell before recovery, is recognized in earnings. The amount of an other-than-temporary impairment on debt securities related to other factors is recorded consistent with changes in the fair value of all other available-for-sale securities as a component of stockholders' equity in other comprehensive income (loss). No other-than-temporary impairment charges were recognized in the three and nine months ended June 30, 2012 or 2011.

Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures

FASB ASC Topic 820, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures* (ASC 820) defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value under GAAP and enhances disclosures about fair value measurements. Fair value is defined under ASC 820 as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. Valuation techniques used to measure fair value under ASC 820 must maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs. ASC 820 describes a fair value hierarchy based on the following three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value, of which the first two are considered observable and the last unobservable:

Level 1 Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities;

Edgar Filing: MITEK SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-Q

Level 2 Inputs other than Level 1 that are observable, either directly or indirectly, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities; and

Level 3 Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities.

The carrying amount of cash equivalents, investments, accounts receivable, accounts payable and other current and non-current liabilities are considered representative of their respective fair values because of the short-term nature of those instruments.

Table of Contents

The following table represents the fair value hierarchy for the Company's investments, measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2012:

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Corporate debt securities, short-term	\$	\$ 8,851,568	\$	\$ 8,851,568
Corporate debt securities, long-term		401,881		401,881
Total	\$	\$ 9,253,449	\$	\$ 9,253,449

3. DEBT*Convertible Debt*

In December 2009, the Company entered into a securities purchase agreement with accredited investors pursuant to which the Company agreed to issue in exchange for aggregate consideration of approximately \$1,000,000, the following securities: (i) 5% senior secured convertible debentures in the principal amount of approximately \$1,000,000 and (ii) warrants to purchase an aggregate of 337,501 shares of the Company's common stock with an exercise price of \$0.91 per share. Each investor received a warrant to purchase that number of shares of the Company's common stock that equals 25% of the quotient obtained by dividing such investor's aggregate subscription amount by \$0.75. The transaction resulted in proceeds to the Company of approximately \$922,000, net of transaction costs and expenses.

In December 2010, the Company converted the then outstanding balance of the debentures of approximately \$1,064,000, including accrued interest of approximately \$51,000, into 1,418,573 shares of the Company's common stock at a conversion price of \$0.75 per share. In addition, the Company recognized as interest expense the remaining unamortized discount of approximately \$320,000 related to the beneficial conversion feature at the time of conversion in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 470-20, *Debt with Conversion and Other Options*.

Prior to the conversion, interest was payable in cash or stock at the rate of 5% per annum on each conversion date (as to the principal amount being converted), on each early redemption date (as to the principal amount being redeemed) and on the maturity date. The principal amount of the debentures, if not paid earlier, was due and payable on December 10, 2011. The Company had the right to redeem all or a portion of the debentures before maturity by payment in cash of the outstanding principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest being redeemed. The Company agreed to honor any notices of conversion that it received from the holder before the date the Company paid off the debentures. The debentures were convertible into shares of the Company's common stock at any time at the discretion of the holder at a conversion price of \$0.75 per share, subject to adjustment for stock splits, stock dividends and the like. The Company had the right to force conversion of the debentures if (i) the closing price of its common stock exceeded 200% of the then-effective conversion price for 20 trading days out of a consecutive 30 trading day period or (ii) the average daily trading volume for its common stock exceeded 100,000 shares per trading day for 20 trading days out of a consecutive 30 trading day period and the closing price of its common stock exceeded 100% of the then-effective conversion price for 20 trading days out of a consecutive 30 trading day period. The debentures imposed certain covenants on the Company including restrictions against paying cash dividends or distributions on shares of its outstanding common stock. The debentures were secured by all of the Company's assets under the terms of a security agreement it entered into with the investors dated December 10, 2009.

In evaluating the accounting for the convertible debentures, the Company considered whether the conversion option related to the convertible debentures required bifurcation and separate accounting as a liability at fair value. Because the conversion option entitled the holder to convert to a fixed number of shares at a fixed price, the Company was not required to bifurcate the conversion option and the related debt host. Similarly, the warrant contract entitled the holder to convert to a fixed number of shares at a fixed price and was therefore recorded in stockholders' equity.

Of the gross proceeds, approximately \$786,000 was allocated to the debentures and approximately \$226,000 to the warrants. The value of the warrants was estimated using a Black-Scholes option valuation model. The amount allocated to the warrants was recorded as a discount on the debentures and was being amortized to interest expense over the term of the debentures. In addition, based on the conversion price of \$0.75 and the relative value of the debentures, a beneficial conversion feature of approximately \$402,000 was recorded as an additional discount on the debentures and was being amortized to interest expense in the accompanying statements of operations over the term of the debentures.

Table of Contents

The fair value of the vested warrants was estimated on the grant date using the Black-Scholes option valuation model with the following assumptions:

Risk-free interest rate	2.19%
Expected term (in years)	5
Stock price volatility	207%
Expected dividend yield	0%

Credit Facility

In January 2011, the Company entered into a loan and security agreement with its primary operating bank. The loan agreement permits the Company to borrow, repay, and re-borrow, from time to time until January 31, 2013, up to \$400,000 subject to the terms and conditions of the agreement. The Company's obligations under the loan agreement are secured by a security interest in its equipment and other personal property. Interest on the credit facility accrues at an annual rate equal to one percentage point above the Prime Rate, fixed on the date of each advance. Interest on the outstanding amount under the loan agreement is payable monthly. The loan agreement contains customary covenants for credit facilities of this type, including limitations on the disposition of assets, mergers and reorganizations. The Company is also obligated to meet certain financial covenants under the loan agreement, including minimum liquidity, with which the Company was in compliance as of June 30, 2012. The Company had no amounts outstanding under this credit facility as of June 30, 2012.

4. STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY*2012 Incentive Plan*

In January 2012, the Company's board of directors adopted the Mitek Systems, Inc. 2012 Incentive Plan (the "2012 Plan"), upon the recommendation of the compensation committee of the board of directors. The total number of shares of the Company's common stock reserved for issuance under the 2012 Plan is 2,000,000 shares, plus that number of shares of the Company's common stock that would otherwise return to the available pool of unissued shares reserved for awards under certain of the Company's prior equity plans as a result of forfeiture, cancellation or expiration of awards previously granted under such plans.

The 2012 Plan authorizes the grant of stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, restricted stock units and cash awards. Stock options granted under the 2012 Plan may be either options intended to constitute incentive stock options or nonqualified stock options, in each case as determined by the compensation committee of the board of directors in accordance with the terms of the 2012 Plan. On February 22, 2012, the Company's stockholders approved the 2012 Plan.

Stock-Based Compensation Expense

The following table summarizes stock-based compensation expense related to stock options and restricted stock unit awards, which was allocated as follows:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Nine Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Sales and marketing	\$ 214,140	\$ 65,324	\$ 394,881	\$ 168,471
Research and development	187,132	76,892	470,958	196,666
General and administrative	429,714	219,547	1,118,031	526,210

Edgar Filing: MITEK SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-Q

Stock-based compensation expense included in operating expenses	\$ 830,986	\$ 361,763	\$ 1,983,870	\$ 891,347
---	------------	------------	--------------	------------

The fair value calculations for stock-based compensation awards to employees for the nine month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 were based on the following assumptions:

	2012	2011
Risk-free interest rate	0.35 - 1.06%	0.26% - 2.26%
Expected life (years)	4.79	5.51
Expected volatility	90%	193%
Expected dividends	None	None

The expected life of options granted is derived using assumed exercise rates based on historical exercise patterns and vesting terms and represents the period of time that options granted are expected to be outstanding. Expected stock price volatility is based

Table of Contents

upon implied volatility and other factors, including historical volatility. After assessing all available information on either historical volatility, implied volatility, or both, the Company concluded that a combination of both historical and implied volatility provides the best estimate of expected volatility.

As of June 30, 2012, approximately \$9,263,367 of total unrecognized compensation expense related to stock options and restricted stock unit awards issued to date is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of approximately 3.5 years.

The per share weighted-average fair value of options granted during the nine months ended June 30, 2012 was \$6.33.

Common Stock

In October 2010, the Company sold 500,000 shares of common stock at \$1.50 per share to accredited investors in a private placement, resulting in net proceeds of \$750,000.

In December 2010, the Company issued 1,418,573 shares of common stock upon the conversion of outstanding convertible debentures as discussed in greater detail in Note 3 to the financial statements included in this Form 10-Q.

In May 2011, the Company entered into a securities purchase agreement with certain accredited investors pursuant to which, the Company sold to the investors an aggregate of 2,857,143 shares of the Company's common stock at a purchase price of \$5.25 per share for aggregate gross proceeds of \$15,000,000. The Company paid cash compensation of approximately \$1,050,000 in placement agent fees and reimbursed \$25,000 of placement agent out-of-pocket expenses incurred in connection with the financing. In addition, the Company incurred legal fees of approximately \$80,000 in connection with the private placement, resulting in net proceeds of approximately \$13,845,000.

Stock Options

The following table summarizes stock option activity under the Company's equity plans for the nine months ended June 30, 2012:

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price Per Share	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (in Years)
Outstanding, September 30, 2011	4,553,904	\$ 1.34	6.15
Granted	913,250	\$ 9.40	
Exercised	(1,734,333)	\$ 0.77	
Cancelled	(131,956)	\$ 7.67	
Oustanding, June 30, 2012	3,600,865	\$ 3.42	6.68

The following table summarizes significant ranges of outstanding and exercisable options as of June 30, 2012:

Range of	Number of	Weighted	Weighted	Number of	Weighted	Number of
	Options	Average	Average	Exercisable	Average	Unvested
<u>Exercise Prices</u>	Outstanding	Remaining Contractual Life (in Years)	Exercise Price	Options	Exercise Price of Exercisable Options	Options

Edgar Filing: MITEK SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-Q

\$0.00 to \$0.70	366,162	5.55	\$ 0.34	363,238	\$ 0.34	2,924
\$0.79 to \$0.82	851,772	5.84	\$ 0.80	663,991	\$ 0.80	187,781
\$1.06 to \$2.32	729,302	2.82	\$ 1.21	729,302	\$ 1.21	
\$2.60 to \$5.90	891,215	8.72	\$ 3.07	210,955	\$ 2.71	680,260
\$6.39 to \$12.37	762,414	9.49	\$ 10.38	68,941	\$ 9.54	693,473
	3,600,865	6.68	\$ 3.42	2,036,427	\$ 1.36	1,564,438

Aggregate intrinsic value represents the value of the Company's closing stock price on the last trading day of the fiscal period in excess of the weighted-average exercise price multiplied by the number of options outstanding or exercisable. The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the nine months ended June 30, 2012 was \$14,028,720. As of June 30, 2012, there were 3,600,865 options outstanding with a weighted-average remaining contractual term, weighted-average exercise price and aggregate intrinsic value of

Table of Contents

6.68 years, \$3.42 and \$6,732,813, respectively. As of June 30, 2012, there were 2,036,427 options exercisable with a weighted-average remaining contractual term, weighted-average exercise price and aggregate intrinsic value of 4.91 years, \$1.36 and \$5,560,133, respectively.

Restricted Stock Units

In January 2011, the Company's board of directors adopted, subject to stockholder approval, the Mitek Systems, Inc. Director Restricted Stock Unit Plan, as amended and restated (the "Director Plan"), reserving up to 1,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock for the issuance of restricted stock units to both employee and non-employee members of the Company's board of directors. On February 23, 2011, the Director Plan was approved by the Company's stockholders.

On March 15, 2011, the Company awarded an aggregate of 300,000 restricted stock units to certain of its directors at a fair value of \$5.12 per share. The restricted stock units vest in equal monthly installments over five years. To the extent a restricted stock unit becomes vested, and subject to satisfaction of any tax withholding obligations, each vested restricted stock unit will entitle its holder to receive one share of the Company's common stock, which will be settled and deemed issued and outstanding upon the earlier to occur of: (i) a change in control, (ii) a director's separation from service or (iii) the fifth anniversary of the award date. A holder of outstanding restricted stock units has none of the rights and privileges of a stockholder of the Company, including no right to vote or to receive dividends (if any) until such time the awards are settled in shares of the Company's common stock. There were 700,000 shares available for grant under the Director Plan as of June 30, 2012.

The Company has awarded an aggregate of 180,835 restricted stock units under the 2012 Plan to certain of its employees at a weighted-average fair value of \$10.06 per share. The restricted stock units vest in equal annual installments over four years.

The cost of the restricted stock units is determined using the fair value of the Company's common stock on the award date, and the compensation expense is recognized ratably over the vesting period. The Company recognized approximately \$168,838 and \$383,553 in stock-based compensation expense related to the outstanding restricted stock units in the three and nine months ended June 30, 2012, respectively. In the three and nine months ended June 30, 2011, the Company recognized \$76,861 and \$102,482 in stock-based compensation expense related to outstanding restricted stock units, respectively. As of June 30, 2012, the Company had approximately \$2,608,160 of unrecognized compensation expense related to outstanding restricted stock units expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of approximately 3.7 years.

Warrants

Historically, the Company has granted warrants to purchase its common stock to service providers and investors.

In connection with the issuance of shares of common stock to John H. Harland Company ("JHH Co.") in February and May of 2005, the Company issued warrants to purchase 321,428 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$0.70 per share, subject to adjustment for stock splits, stock dividends and the like. In June 2011, JHH Co. exercised the warrants, which were due to expire between February and May of 2012. The warrants were exercised under the cashless exercise method, resulting in the issuance of 288,582 shares of the Company's common stock to the warrant holder and the cancellation of the remaining 32,846 shares in consideration of the issuance.

In connection with the issuance of convertible debentures in December 2009, the Company issued warrants to purchase an aggregate of 337,501 shares of the Company's common stock with an exercise price of \$0.91 per share, of which warrants to purchase 330,834 shares of the Company's common stock have been exercised and warrants to purchase 6,667 shares of the Company's common stock remain outstanding as of June 30, 2012, subject to adjustment for stock splits, stock dividends and the like. These warrants expire in December 2014 and are discussed in greater detail in Note 3 to the financial statements included in this Form 10-Q.

The following table summarizes warrant activity in the nine months ended June 30, 2012:

	Number of warrants	Weighted-average exercise price
Outstanding and exercisable at September 30, 2011	132,189	\$ 0.91
Issued		
Exercised	(125,522)	\$ 0.91

Edgar Filing: MITEK SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-Q

Expired

Outstanding and exercisable at June 30, 2012	6,667	\$	0.91
--	-------	----	------

Table of Contents

5. INCOME TAXES

The Company's deferred tax assets are primarily comprised of federal and state net operating loss carryforwards. Such carryforwards began to expire in the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011. The Company's ability to use its net operating loss carryforwards may be substantially limited due to ownership change limitations that may occur in the future, as required by Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended ("Section 382"), as well as similar state law provisions. These potential ownership changes may limit the amount of net operating loss carryforwards that can be utilized annually to offset future taxable income. The Company carries a deferred tax valuation allowance equal to 100% of the net deferred tax assets. In recording this allowance, management has considered a number of factors, particularly the Company's recent history of sustained operating losses. Management has concluded that a valuation allowance is required for 100% of the net deferred tax assets as it is more likely than not that the deferred tax assets will not be realized. There can be no assurance that the Company will ever realize the benefit of any or all of the federal and state net operating loss carryforwards or the credit carryforwards, either due to ongoing operating losses or due to ownership changes, which limit the usefulness of the net operating loss or credit carryforwards. Any net operating loss or credit carryforwards that will expire prior to utilization or are determined to be limited due to Section 382 will be removed from deferred tax assets with a corresponding reduction to the valuation allowance. Due to the existence of the valuation allowance, it is not expected that any expiration or limitation of net operating loss or credit carryforwards will have an impact on the results of operations or financial position of the Company.

The Company recognizes the impact of an uncertain income tax position on its income tax return at the largest amount that is more-likely-than-not to be sustained upon audit by the relevant taxing authority. An uncertain income tax position will not be recognized if it has less than a 50% likelihood of being sustained. The Company's policy is to classify interest and penalties related to income tax matters as income tax expense. The Company had no accrual for interest or penalties as of June 30, 2012 or June 30, 2011, and has not recognized interest and/or penalties in the statements of operations for the nine months ended June 30, 2012.

The Company is subject to taxation in the U.S. and in various state jurisdictions. Generally, all of the Company's tax returns are subject to examination by U.S. federal tax and state tax authorities due to the Company's net operating loss carryforwards.

6. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Legal Matters

On March 29, 2012, United Services Automobile Association ("USAA") filed a complaint in the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Texas San Antonio Division against the Company seeking, among other things, a declaratory judgment that USAA does not infringe certain patents of the Company relating to Mobile Deposit and that such patents are not enforceable against USAA. In addition, USAA alleges that it disclosed confidential information to the Company and that the Company used such information in a patent application. USAA seeks damages and injunctive relief. The Company has moved to dismiss USAA's complaint.

On April 12, 2012, the Company filed a lawsuit against USAA in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware. The Company alleges that USAA infringes five of the Company's patents relating to image capture on mobile devices, that USAA breached the parties' license agreement by using the Company's products beyond the scope of the agreed-upon license terms and that USAA breached the parties' license agreement by disclosing confidential pricing and other confidential information for a Company legacy product installation in the lawsuit USAA filed in Texas.

On July 19, 2012, the Company filed a motion to amend its complaint against USAA to include the claims of defamation and violation of the Lanham Act for unfair business practices in its lawsuit in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware.

The Company believes that USAA's claims are without merit and intends to vigorously defend against these claims and pursue its claims against USAA. Management of the Company does not believe that USAA's claims or any other legal proceedings or claims will have, individually or in the aggregate, a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition, operating results, cash flows or liquidity.

Facility Lease

The Company's principal executive offices, as well as its research and development facility, are located in an office building in San Diego, California that the Company leases under a non-cancelable operating lease. The lease costs are expensed on a straight-line basis over the lease term. The term of the lease on this facility commenced in December 2005 and expires in December 2012.

In February 2009, the lease was amended to allow the Company to defer the payment of 50% of the basic rent due for the months of February through September 2009. The Company repaid the deferred rent with interest at an annual rate of 6% in equal monthly installments between October 2009 and March 2010. In addition, in connection with the February 2009 amendment, the Company waived its right to exercise an early

termination option.

Edgar Filing: MITEK SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-Q

In September 2009, the lease was further amended to reduce the amount of office space subject to the lease by 1,722 square feet from 15,927 square feet to 14,205 square feet, which reduced the Company's basic rent proportionately starting in December 2009.

In February 2012, the lease was further amended to increase the amount of office space subject to the lease by 9,807 square feet to 24,012 square feet, which increased the Company's basic rent proportionately starting in April 2012.

Subsequent to the end of the quarter, the lease was further amended on July 3, 2012 to decrease the rentable square footage from approximately 24,012 square feet to approximately 22,523 square feet and extend the term of the existing lease through June 30, 2019.

Table of Contents

The annual base rent under the lease amendment, which commences on January 1, 2013, is approximately \$471,000 per year and is subject to annual increases of approximately three percent per year. In connection with the lease amendment, the Company issued a standby letter of credit to the landlord that allows for one or more draws of up to \$210,000 over the term of the lease extension.

Capital Lease

In October 2011, the Company purchased equipment under a capital lease totaling \$95,388. At June 30, 2012, assets held under capital leases had a net book value of \$82,670, net of accumulated amortization of \$12,718. The Company did not purchase equipment under capital leases during the nine months ended June 30, 2011.

7. REVENUE AND VENDOR CONCENTRATIONS*Revenue Concentration*

For the three months ended June 30, 2012, the Company derived revenue of approximately \$2,006,000 from three customers, totaling 40%, 13% and 10% of the Company's total revenue, compared to revenue of approximately \$2,082,000 from three customers, totaling 36%, 23% and 11% of the Company's total revenue in the three months ended June 30, 2011. The Company derived revenue of approximately \$3,510,000 from three customers, totaling 17%, 15% and 13% of the Company's total revenue for the nine months ended June 30, 2012, compared to approximately \$3,415,000 from three customers, totaling 20%, 15% and 13% of the Company's total revenue for the nine months ended June 30, 2011. The corresponding accounts receivable balances of customers from which revenues were in excess of 10% of total revenue were approximately \$2,099,000 and \$1,739,000 at June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

The Company's revenue is derived primarily from the sale by the Company to channel partners, including systems integrators and resellers, and end-users of licenses to sell products covered by the Company's patented technologies. These contractual arrangements do not obligate the Company's channel partners to order, purchase or distribute any fixed or minimum quantities of the Company's products. In most cases, the channel partners purchase the license from the Company after they receive an order from an end-user. The channel partners receive orders from various individual end-users; therefore, the sale of a license to a channel partner may represent sales to multiple end-users. End-users can purchase the Company's products through more than one channel partner.

Revenues can fluctuate based on the timing of license renewals by channel partners. When a channel partner purchases or renews a license, the Company receives a license fee in consideration for the grant of a license to sell the Company's products and there are no future payment obligations related to such agreement; therefore the license fee the Company receives with respect to a particular license renewal in one period does not have a correlation with revenue in future periods. During the last several quarters, sales of licenses to one or more channel partners have comprised a significant part of our revenue. This is attributable to the timing of renewals or purchases of licenses and does not represent a dependence on any single channel partner. The Company believes that it is not dependent upon any single channel partner, even those from which revenues were in excess of 10% of the Company's total revenue in a specific reporting period, and that the loss or termination of the Company's relationship with any such channel partner would not have a material adverse effect on the Company's future operations because either we or another channel partner could sell our products to the end-user that purchased from the channel partner we lost.

International sales accounted for approximately 3% and 4% of the Company's total revenue for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2012, respectively. During the three and nine months ended June 30, 2011, international sales accounted for approximately 13% and 16%, respectively, of the Company's total revenue. The Company sells its products in U.S. currency only.

Vendor Concentration

The Company purchases its integrated software components from multiple third-party software providers at competitive prices. For the three and nine months ended June 30, 2012, the Company did not make purchases from any one vendor comprising 10% or more of the Company's total purchases. For the three months ended June 30, 2011, the Company made purchases from one vendor that comprised approximately 10% of total purchases. The Company did not make purchases from any one vendor comprising 10% or more of the Company's total purchases for the nine months ended June 30, 2011. The Company does not believe it is substantially dependent upon nor exposed to any significant concentration risk related to purchases from any single vendor, given the availability of alternative sources from which the Company may purchase necessary integrated software components.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.

This Form 10-Q, contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties, as well as assumptions that, if they never materialize or prove incorrect, could cause our results to differ materially and adversely from those expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. The forward-looking statements are contained principally in Part I, Item 2 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Part II, Item 1A Risk Factors, but appear throughout this Form 10-Q. Forward-looking statements may include, but are not limited to, statements relating to our outlook or expectations for earnings, revenues, expenses, asset quality, volatility of our common stock, financial condition or other future financial or business performance, strategies, expectations, or business prospects, or the impact of legal, regulatory or supervisory matters on our business, results of operations or financial condition.

Table of Contents

Forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of words such as estimate, plan, project, forecast, intend, expect, anticipate, believe, seek, target or similar expressions. Forward-looking statements reflect our judgment based on currently available information and involve a number of risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those described in the forward-looking statements. Factors that could cause or contribute to such differences include, but are not limited to, those identified below, and those discussed in the section titled Risk Factors included elsewhere in this Form 10-Q and in our other SEC filings, including the Form 10-K. Additionally, there may be other factors that could preclude us from realizing the predictions made in the forward-looking statements. We operate in a continually changing business environment and new factors emerge from time to time. We cannot predict such factors or assess the impact, if any, of such factors on our financial position or results of operations. All forward-looking statements included in this Form 10-Q speak only as of the date of this Form 10-Q and you are cautioned not to place undue reliance on any such forward-looking statements. Except as required by law, we undertake no obligation to publicly update or release any revisions to these forward-looking statements to reflect any events or circumstances after the date of this Form 10-Q or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.

Overview

Mitek Systems, Inc. is engaged in the development, sale and service of its proprietary software solutions related to mobile imaging solutions and intelligent character recognition software.

We have historically provided financial institutions with advanced imaging and analytics software to authenticate and extract data from imaged checks and other documents. Currently, we are applying our patented technology in image correction and intelligent data extraction to enter the market for mobile financial and business applications. Our technology for extracting data from any photo taken using camera-equipped smartphones and tablets enables the development of consumer friendly applications that use the camera as a keyboard to enter data and complete transactions. Users take a picture of the document and our products do the rest correcting image distortion, extracting relevant data, routing images to their desired location and processing transactions through users financial institutions.

We have developed and deployed Mobile Deposit®, a software application that allows users to remotely deposit a check using their camera-equipped smartphone or tablet, Mobile Imaging Platform , an application that allows users to capture, extract and route information contained in documents, including Mobile Photo Quoting™, an application that allows a user to request and receive an insurance quote using their camera-equipped smartphone, Mobile Receipt , a receipt archival and expense report application and Mobile Pha®, a mobile document faxing application using our proprietary technology. As of June 30, 2012, 408 financial institutions, including 8 of the top 10 banks, have signed agreements to deploy Mobile Deposit®, 137 of whom have completed implementation of and launched Mobile Deposit® to their customers. Other mobile applications under development include Mobile Photo Bill Pay , a mobile bill paying application that allows users to pay their bills using their camera-equipped smartphone or tablet, Mobile Balance Transfer , a credit card shopping application that allows a user to transfer an existing balance by capturing an image of their current statement and Mobile ACH Enrollment , an application that enables consumers to enroll their checking accounts as funding sources for mobile payments by taking photos of blank checks with their camera-equipped smartphone or tablet. Our mobile applications support all major smartphone operating systems, including the iPhone®, Android® and BlackBerry®.

We market and sell our mobile solutions through channel partners or directly to enterprise customers and end-users that typically purchase term licenses based on the number of transactions or subscribers that use our mobile applications. Our mobile solutions are often embedded in other mobile banking or enterprise applications developed by banks or their partners and marketed under their own proprietary brands. During the past year, we began developing new solutions for the insurance market and leveraged our platform to create custom mobile imaging solutions. All of our mobile imaging solutions use our proprietary technology to capture and read data from photos of documents taken using camera-equipped smartphones or tablets.

Market Opportunities, Challenges and Risks

The increase in the acceptance of mobile banking by financial institutions and their customers has helped drive our recent growth. In the past year, we experienced a significant increase in the number of financial institutions that have integrated and launched our mobile applications, particularly our Mobile Deposit® application, as part of their offering of mobile banking choices for their customers. We believe that financial institutions see our patented solutions as a way to provide an enhanced retail customer experience in mobile banking.

To sustain our growth in 2012 and beyond, we must continue to offer mobile applications that address a growing market for mobile banking and mobile imaging solutions sold into other vertical markets. Factors adversely affecting the pricing of or demand for our mobile applications, such as competition from other products or technologies, any decline in the demand for mobile applications, or negative publicity or obsolescence of the software environments in which our products operate, could result in lower revenues or gross margins. Further, because most of our revenues are from a single type of technology, our product concentration may make us especially vulnerable to market demand and competition from other technologies, which could reduce our revenues.

Table of Contents

The implementation cycles for our software and services by our channel partners and customers can be lengthy, often a minimum of three to six months and sometimes longer for larger customers and require significant investments. For example, as of June 30, 2012, we executed agreements indirectly through channel partners or directly with customers covering 408 Mobile Deposit® customers, 137 of whom have completed implementation and launched Mobile Deposit® to their customers. If implementation of our products by our channel partners and customers is delayed or otherwise not completed, our business, financial condition and results of operations may be adversely affected.

We derive revenue predominately from the sale of licenses to use the products covered by our patented technologies, such as our Mobile Deposit® application, and to a lesser extent by providing maintenance and professional services for the products we offer. The revenue we derive from the sale of licenses to use the products covered by our patented technologies is primarily derived from the sale to our channel partners of licenses to sell the applications we offer. Revenues related to most of our licenses for mobile products are required to be recognized up front upon satisfaction of all applicable revenue recognition criteria. The recognition of future revenues from these licenses is dependent upon a number of factors, including the timing of implementation of our products by our channel partners and customers and the timing of any re-orders of additional licenses and/or license renewals by our channel partners and customers.

During the last few quarters, sales of licenses to one or two channel partners have comprised a significant part of our revenue each quarter. This is attributable to the timing of when a particular channel partner renews or purchases a license from us and does not represent a dependence on any channel partner. If we were to lose a channel partner relationship, we do not believe such a loss would adversely affect our operations because either we or another channel partner could sell our products to the end-user that purchased from the channel partner we lost. However, in that case, we or other channel partners must establish a relationship with the end-user, which could take time to develop, if it develops at all.

We have numerous competitors in the mobile payments industry, many of which have greater financial, technical, marketing and other resources than we do. However, we believe our patented imaging and analytics technology, our growing portfolio of products for the financial services industry and our position as a pure play mobile-payments company provides us with a competitive advantage. To remain competitive, we must be able to continue to offer products that are attractive to the ultimate end-user and that are secure, accurate and convenient. We intend to continue to further strengthen our portfolio of products through research and development to help us remain competitive. We may have difficulty meeting changing market conditions and developing enhancements to our software applications on a timely basis in order to maintain our competitive advantage. Our continued growth will ultimately depend upon our ability to develop additional applications and attract strategic alliances to sell such technologies.

Results of Operations

Comparison of the Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011

Revenue

Total revenue increased \$207,811, or 7%, to \$3,161,729 for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to \$2,953,918 for the three months ended June 30, 2011. The increase is primarily due to an increase in sales of software licenses of \$88,867, or 4%, to \$2,463,916 for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to \$2,375,049 for the three months ended June 30, 2011. The increase in software license sales was driven primarily by an increase in sales of our Mobile Imaging Platform product. Sales of maintenance and professional services increased \$118,944, or 21%, to \$697,813 for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to \$578,869 for the three months ended June 30, 2011 primarily due to an increase in maintenance revenue related to additional maintenance agreements as well as an increase in billable professional services engagements.

Cost of Revenue

Cost of revenue includes the costs of royalties for third party products embedded in our products, personnel costs related to software support and billable professional services engagements, amortization of capitalized software development costs, cost of reproduction of compact discs and other media devices, and shipping costs. Cost of revenue decreased \$78,476, or 18%, to \$359,220 for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to \$437,696 for the three months ended June 30, 2011. The decrease is primarily due to a relatively lower mix of sales of products containing third-party software on which we pay royalties, partially offset by increased professional services activity on billable engagements. As a percentage of revenue, cost of revenue decreased to 11% for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to 15% for the three months ended June 30, 2011, primarily due to the decrease revenue.

Selling and Marketing Expenses

Edgar Filing: MITEK SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-Q

Selling and marketing expenses include payroll, employee benefits and other headcount-related costs associated with sales and marketing personnel and advertising, promotions, trade shows, seminars and other programs. Selling and marketing expenses increased \$410,810, or 62%, to \$1,078,603 for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to \$667,793 for the three months ended June 30, 2011. The increase is primarily due to increased personnel-related costs, including stock-based and other incentive

Table of Contents

compensation expense, totaling approximately \$239,000 related to an increase in headcount associated with the growth of our business, advertising and public relations expenses of approximately \$110,000 and outside services expenses totaling approximately \$42,000. As a percentage of revenue, selling and marketing expenses increased to 34% for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to 23% for the three months ended June 30, 2011.

Research and Development Expenses

Research and development expenses include payroll, employee benefits, third-party consultant expenses and other headcount-related costs associated with software engineering, research and development, and product management and support. These costs are incurred to develop new products and to maintain and enhance existing products. We retain what we believe to be sufficient staff to sustain our existing product lines, including product management and support, as well as development of new, more feature-rich versions of our existing product, as we determine the marketplace demands. We also employ research personnel, whose efforts are instrumental in ensuring product paths from current technologies to anticipated future generations of products within our area of business.

Research and development expenses increased \$1,378,806, or 187%, to \$2,117,174 for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to \$738,368 for the three months ended June 30, 2011. The increase is primarily due to increased personnel-related costs, including stock-based and other incentive compensation expense, totaling approximately \$653,000 related to an increase in headcount associated with the growth of our business, outside services expenses of approximately \$598,000 and recruitment expenses of approximately \$104,000. As a percentage of revenue, research and development expenses increased to 67% for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to 25% for the three months ended June 30, 2011.

General and Administrative Expenses

General and administrative expenses include payroll, employee benefits and other headcount-related costs associated with finance, facilities, legal, accounting and other administrative fees. General and administrative expenses increased \$841,560, or 107%, to \$1,626,133 for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to \$784,573 for the three months ended June 30, 2011. The increase is primarily due to increased personnel-related costs, including stock-based and other incentive compensation expenses, totaling approximately \$451,000 related to an increase in headcount associated with the growth of our business and increased legal expenses of approximately \$362,000. As a percentage of revenue, general and administrative expenses increased to 51% for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to 27% for the three months ended June 30, 2011.

Other Income (Expense), Net

Interest and other expense, net was \$62,267 for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to \$2,693 for the three months ended June 30, 2011, an increase of \$59,574 primarily due to an increase in amortization expense related to our investment portfolio. Interest income was \$72,781 for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to \$2,316 for the three months ended June 30, 2011, an increase of \$70,465 due to higher investment returns for the three months ended June 30, 2012.

Comparison of the Nine Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011

Revenue

Total revenue increased \$647,115, or 9%, to \$7,872,951 for the nine months ended June 30, 2012 compared to \$7,225,836 for the nine months ended June 30, 2011. Sales of software licenses increased \$207,475 or 4% to \$5,861,391 in the current nine-month period, compared to \$5,653,916 in the same period of last fiscal year. Sales of maintenance and professional services increased \$439,640, or 28%, to \$2,011,560 for the nine months ended June 30, 2012 compared to \$1,571,920 for the nine months ended June 30, 2011 primarily due to an increase in maintenance contracts and other professional services.

Cost of Revenue

Cost of revenue increased \$69,757, or 8%, to \$971,226 for the nine months ended June 30, 2012 compared to \$901,469 for the nine months ended June 30, 2011. The increase is primarily due to an increase in personnel costs related to software support due to additional headcount and increased professional services activity on billable engagements. As a percentage of revenue, cost of revenue was 12% for both the nine months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011.

Selling and Marketing Expenses

Edgar Filing: MITEK SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-Q

Selling and marketing expenses increased \$1,009,568, or 62%, to \$2,641,569 for the nine months ended June 30, 2012 compared to \$1,632,001 for the nine months ended June 30, 2011. The increase is primarily due to increased personnel-related costs, including stock-based and other incentive compensation expense, totaling approximately \$596,000 related to an increase in headcount associated with the growth of our business, as well as higher outside services and other direct operating expenses of approximately \$236,000 and marketing program expenses totaling approximately \$137,000. As a percentage of revenue, selling and marketing expenses increased to 34% for the nine months ended June 30, 2012 compared to 23% for the nine months ended June 30, 2011.

Table of Contents

Research and Development Expenses

Research and development expenses increased \$3,056,272, or 155%, to \$5,026,959 for the nine months ended June 30, 2012 compared to \$1,970,687 for the nine months ended June 30, 2011. The increase is primarily due to increased personnel-related costs, including stock-based and other incentive compensation expense, totaling approximately \$1,966,000 related to an increase in headcount associated with the growth of our business, as well as higher outside services expenses of approximately \$843,000, increased recruitment and relocation expenses of approximately \$196,000 and increased travel expenses of approximately \$78,000. As a percentage of revenue, research and development expenses increased to 64% for the nine months ended June 30, 2012 compared to 27% for the nine months ended June 30, 2011.

General and Administrative Expenses

General and administrative expenses increased \$1,847,306, or 82%, to \$4,089,315 for the nine months ended June 30, 2012 compared to \$2,242,009 for the nine months ended June 30, 2011. The increase is primarily due to increased personnel-related costs, including stock-based and other incentive compensation expenses, totaling approximately \$1,100,000 related to an increase in headcount associated with the growth of our business, and increased legal fees of approximately \$564,000. As a percentage of revenue, general and administrative expenses increased to 52% for the nine months ended June 30, 2012 compared to 31% for the nine months ended June 30, 2011.

Other Income (Expense), Net

Interest and other expense, net was \$191,970 for the nine months ended June 30, 2012 compared to \$387,067 for the nine months ended June 30, 2011, a decrease of \$195,097, or 50%. During the nine months ended June 30, 2011, we incurred expenses associated with the accretion of the discount on the convertible debentures issued in December 2009 and accrued interest on the principal amount of those convertible debentures, including the remaining unamortized discount of approximately \$320,000 related to the beneficial conversion feature at the time of the conversion of the debentures in December 2010, which expenses were not present in the nine months ended June 30, 2012. This decrease was partially offset by an increase in amortization expense related to investment returns in the nine months ended June 30, 2012. Interest income was \$218,919 for the nine months ended June 30, 2012 compared to \$4,212 for the nine months ended June 30, 2011, an increase of \$214,707 due to higher cash balances and related investment returns for the nine months ended June 30, 2012.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

On June 30, 2012, we had \$15,446,463 in cash and cash equivalents and short-term and long-term investments compared to \$16,260,584 on September 30, 2011, a decrease of \$814,121. The decrease in cash and cash equivalents and short-term and long-term investments was primarily due to an increase in cash used in operating activities.

Net cash used in operating activities

Net cash used in operating activities during the nine months ended June 30, 2012 was \$1,025,614. Cash used in operating activities decreased due to non-cash adjustments to operating activities for stock-based compensation expense, accretion and amortization on debt securities, and depreciation and amortization totaling \$1,983,870, \$199,440, and \$185,485, respectively. Cash used in operating activities also decreased due to an increase in accounts payable of \$920,412 and an increase in deferred revenue of \$795,903, partially offset by an increase in accounts receivable of \$234,517, all associated with the growth of our business.

Net cash provided by investing activities

Net cash provided by investing activities was \$947,657 during the nine months ended June 30, 2012, which consisted of \$9,335,005 related to the sales and maturities of investments, partially offset by purchases of investments of \$8,174,049, and \$213,299 related to the purchase of property and equipment.

Net cash provided by financing activities

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$615,255 during the nine months ended June 30, 2012, which included net proceeds of \$626,246 from the exercise of stock options partially offset by principal payments on capital lease obligations of \$10,991.

Credit Facility

Edgar Filing: MITEK SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-Q

In January 2011, we entered into a loan and security agreement with our primary operating bank. The loan agreement permits us to borrow, repay and re-borrow, from time to time until January 31, 2013, up to \$400,000 subject to the terms and conditions of the agreement. Our obligations under the loan agreement are secured by a security interest in our equipment and other personal property. Interest on the credit facility accrues at an annual rate equal to one percentage point above the Prime Rate, fixed on the date of each advance. Interest on the outstanding amount under the loan agreement is payable monthly. The loan agreement contains customary covenants for credit facilities of this type, including limitations on the disposition of assets, mergers and reorganizations. We are also obligated to meet certain financial covenants under the loan agreement, including minimum liquidity, for which we were in compliance as of June 30, 2012. We had no amounts outstanding under this credit facility as of June 30, 2012.

Table of Contents

Other Liquidity Matters

On June 30, 2012, we had investments of \$9,253,449, designated as available-for-sale marketable securities, which consisted of commercial paper and corporate issuances, carried at fair value as determined by quoted market prices for identical or similar assets, with unrealized gains and losses, net of tax, and reported as a separate component of stockholders' equity. All securities whose maturity or sale is expected within one year are classified as *current* on the balance sheet. All other securities are classified as *long-term* on the balance sheet. At June 30, 2012, we had \$8,851,568 of our available-for-sale securities classified as current and \$401,881 classified as long-term. At September 30, 2011, we had \$10,187,638 of our available-for-sale securities classified as current and \$417,230 classified as long-term.

We had working capital of \$15,057,274 at June 30, 2012 compared to \$17,343,700 at September 30, 2011.

Based on our current operating plan, we believe the current cash and cash equivalents, short-term investments and cash expected to be generated from operations will be adequate to satisfy our working capital needs for the next 12 months.

Critical Accounting Policies

Our financial statements and accompanying notes are prepared in accordance with GAAP. Preparing financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, stockholders' equity, revenue, expenses and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. Management regularly evaluates its estimates and assumptions. These estimates and assumptions are based on historical experience and on various other factors that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, and form the basis for making management's most difficult, subjective or complex judgments, often as a result of the need to make estimates about the effects of matters that are inherently uncertain. Actual results could vary from those estimates under different assumptions or conditions. Our critical accounting policies include revenue recognition, allowance for accounts receivable, investments, fair value of equity instruments, accounting for income taxes and capitalized software development costs.

Revenue Recognition

We enter into contractual arrangements with integrators, resellers and end-users that may include licensing of our software products, product support and maintenance services, consulting services, or various combinations thereof, including the sale of such products or services separately. Our accounting policies regarding the recognition of revenue for these contractual arrangements is fully described in Note 1 to our financial statements included in this Form 10-Q.

We consider many factors when applying GAAP to revenue recognition. These factors include, but are not limited to, whether:

Persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists;

Delivery of the product or performance of the service has occurred;

The fees are fixed or determinable;

Collection of the contractual fee is probable; and

Vendor-specific objective evidence of the fair value of undelivered elements or other appropriate method of revenue allocation exists.

Each of the relevant factors is analyzed to determine its impact, individually and collectively with other factors, on the revenue to be recognized for any particular contract with a customer. Management is required to make judgments regarding the significance of each factor in applying the revenue recognition standards, as well as whether or not each factor complies with such standards. Any misjudgment or error by management in its evaluation of the factors and the application of the standards, especially with respect to complex or new types of transactions, could have a

Edgar Filing: MITEK SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-Q

material adverse effect on our future revenues and operating results.

Accounts Receivable

We constantly monitor collections from our customers and maintain a provision for estimated credit losses that is based on historical experience and on specific customer collection issues. While such credit losses have historically been within our expectations and the provisions established, we cannot guarantee that we will continue to experience the same credit loss rates that we have in the past. Since our revenue recognition policy requires customers to be deemed creditworthy, our accounts receivable are based on customers whose payment is reasonably assured. Our accounts receivable are derived from sales to a wide variety of customers. We do not believe a change in liquidity of any one customer or our inability to collect from any one customer would have a material adverse impact on our financial position.

Table of Contents

Investments

We determine the fair value of our assets and liabilities based on the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. Valuation techniques used to measure fair value maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs. We use a fair value hierarchy based on the following three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value, of which the first two are considered observable and the last unobservable:

Level 1 Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities;

Level 2 Inputs other than Level 1 that are observable, either directly or indirectly, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities; and

Level 3 Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities.

In using this fair value hierarchy, management may be required to make assumptions about pricing by market participants and assumptions about risk, specifically when using unobservable inputs to determine fair value. These assumptions are judgmental in nature and may significantly affect our results of operations.

Fair Value of Equity Instruments

The valuation of certain items, including valuation of warrants, the beneficial conversion feature related to convertible debt and compensation expense related to stock options granted, involve significant estimates based on underlying assumptions made by management. The valuation of warrants and stock options are based upon a Black-Scholes valuation model, which involve estimates of stock volatility, expected life of the instruments and other assumptions.

Deferred Income Taxes

Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. We maintain a valuation allowance against the deferred tax asset due to uncertainty regarding the future realization based on historical taxable income, projected future taxable income, and the expected timing of the reversals of existing temporary differences. Until such time as we can demonstrate that we will no longer incur losses or if we are unable to generate sufficient future taxable income, we could be required to maintain the valuation allowance against our deferred tax assets.

Capitalized Software Development Costs

Research and development costs are charged to expense as incurred. However, the costs incurred for the development of computer software that will be sold, leased, or otherwise marketed are capitalized when technological feasibility has been established. These capitalized costs are subject to an ongoing assessment of recoverability based on anticipated future revenues and changes in hardware and software technologies. Costs that are capitalized include direct labor and related overhead. No such costs were capitalized during the three and nine months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Amortization of capitalized software development costs begins when product sales commence. Amortization is provided on a straight-line method over periods not exceeding three years. Unamortized capitalized software development costs determined to be in excess of net realizable values of the product are expensed immediately.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK.

Edgar Filing: MITEK SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-Q

As a smaller reporting company, as defined by Section 10(f)(1) of Regulation S-K, we are not required to provide the information set forth in this Item.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures, as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), that are designed to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required financial disclosures.

Table of Contents

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, we conducted an evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this Form 10-Q. We recognize that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving their objectives and management necessarily applies its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures. Based on this evaluation, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of June 30, 2012.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended June 30, 2012 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II

OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.

On March 29, 2012, USAA filed a complaint in the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Texas San Antonio Division against us seeking, among other things, a declaratory judgment that USAA does not infringe certain of our patents relating to Mobile Deposit, and that such patents are not enforceable against USAA. In addition, USAA alleges that it disclosed confidential information to us and that we used such information in a patent application. USAA seeks damages and injunctive relief. We have moved to dismiss USAA's complaint.

On April 12, 2012, we filed a lawsuit against USAA in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware. We allege that USAA infringes five of our patents relating to image capture on mobile devices, that USAA breached the parties' license agreement by using our products beyond the scope of the agreed-upon license terms and that USAA breached the parties' license agreement by disclosing confidential pricing and other confidential information for our legacy product installation in the lawsuit USAA filed in Texas.

On July 19, 2012, we filed a motion to amend our complaint against USAA to include the claims of defamation and violation of the Lanham Act for unfair business practices in our lawsuit in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware.

We believe that USAA's claims are without merit and intend to vigorously defend against these claims and pursue our claims against USAA. We do not believe that USAA's claims or any other legal proceedings or claims will have, individually or in the aggregate, a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, operating results, cash flows or liquidity.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS.

While we attempt to identify, manage, and mitigate risks and uncertainties associated with our business to the extent practical under the circumstances, some level of risk and uncertainty will always be present.

Before you decide to invest or maintain an interest in our common stock, you should consider carefully the risks described in Item 1A of the Form 10-K and the risk described below, which has been updated since the filing of the Form 10-K, together with the other information contained in this Form 10-Q. Other than as set forth below, there have been no material changes from the risk factors previously disclosed in the Form 10-K. If any risks described in our filings with the SEC come to fruition, our business, financial condition, results of operations, cash flows, projected results and future prospects could be materially and adversely affected. In these circumstances, the market price of our common stock could decline, and you could lose all or part of your investment or interest.

Claims that we infringe upon the rights of third parties may give rise to costly and lengthy litigation, and we could be prevented from selling products, forced to pay damages, and forced to defend against litigation.

Currently, and in the past, third parties have asserted claims that certain technologies incorporated into our products infringe their patent rights. Although we have resolved past claims, there is currently a claim of infringement pending against us by USAA and there can be no assurance that we will not receive notices in the future from other parties asserting that our products infringe, or may infringe, on their intellectual property.

Edgar Filing: MITEK SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-Q

rights. If our technology and products are found to infringe upon the proprietary rights of USAA or any other parties, we could incur substantial costs and we may have to:

obtain licenses, which may not be available on commercially reasonable terms, if at all, and may be non-exclusive, thereby giving our competitors access to the same intellectual property licensed to us;

expend significant resources to redesign our products or technology to avoid infringement;

Table of Contents

discontinue the use and sale of infringing products;

pay substantial damages; and

defend litigation or administrative proceedings which may be costly whether we win or lose, and which could result in a substantial diversion of our valuable management resources.

Furthermore, we may, as we have with USAA, initiate claims or litigation against parties for infringement of our proprietary rights or to establish the validity of our proprietary rights. Litigation, either as plaintiff or defendant, could result in significant expense to us, whether or not such litigation is resolved in our favor. Even if we were to prevail, any litigation could be costly and time-consuming and would divert the attention of our management and key personnel from our business operations. Furthermore, as a result of a patent infringement suit brought against us or our channel partners or licensees, we or our channel partners or licensees may be forced to stop or delay developing, manufacturing or selling technologies or potential products that are claimed to infringe on a third party's intellectual property rights unless that party grants us or our channel partners or licensees rights to use its intellectual property. Ultimately, we may be unable to develop some of our technologies or potential products or may have to discontinue development of a product candidate or cease some of our business operations as a result of patent infringement claims, which could severely harm our business.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS.

None.

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES.

None.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES.

None.

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION.

None.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS.

Exhibit		Incorporated by Reference from Document
No.	Description	
3.1	Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Mitek Systems, Inc.	(1)
3.2	Amended and Restated Bylaws of Mitek Systems, Inc.	(2)
4.1	Form of debenture issued on December 10, 2009.	(3)
4.2	Form of warrant issued on December 10, 2009.	(3)

Edgar Filing: MITEK SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-Q

10.1	Mitek Systems, Inc. 2012 Incentive Plan and the forms of agreement related thereto.	(4)
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.	*
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.	*
32.1	Certification Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.	*
101**	Financial statements from the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Mitek Systems, Inc. for the quarter ended June 30, 2012, formatted in XBRL: (i) the Condensed Balance Sheets, (ii) the Condensed Statements of Operations, (iii) the Condensed Statements of Cash Flows, (iv) the Notes to the Condensed Financial Statements.	*
<p>* Filed herewith.</p> <p>** Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, this Interactive Data File is deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, is deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise is not subject to liability under these sections.</p>		

Table of Contents

- (1) Incorporated by reference to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-3 (File No. 333-177965) filed with the SEC on November 14, 2011.
- (2) Incorporated by reference to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 1987.
- (3) Incorporated by reference to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on December 16, 2009.
- (4) Incorporated by reference to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-8 (File No. 333-179942) filed with the SEC on March 7, 2012.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

August 3, 2012

MITEK SYSTEMS, INC.

By: /s/ James B. DeBello
James B. DeBello

President and Chief Executive Officer

(Principal Executive Officer)

By: /s/ Russell C. Clark
Russell C. Clark

Chief Financial Officer

(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)