

BARCLAYS PLC  
Form 6-K  
March 25, 2014  
Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, DC 20549

**FORM 6-K**

**Report of Foreign Private Issuer**

**Pursuant to Rule 13a-16 or 15d-16**

**under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934**

March 25, 2014

**Barclays PLC**

(Name of Registrant)

1 Churchill Place

London E14 5HP

Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

**England**

**(Address of Principal Executive Offices)**

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant files or will file annual reports under cover of Form 20-F or Form 40-F.

Form 20-F  Form 40-F

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is submitting the Form 6-K in paper as permitted by Regulation S-T Rule 101(b)(1):

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is submitting the Form 6-K in paper as permitted by Regulation S-T Rule 101(b)(7):

**Table of Contents**

This Report is a Report on Form 6-K filed by Barclays PLC.

The Report comprises the following:

<b>Exhibit No.</b>	<b>Description</b>
1	Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013
2	Barclays PLC Strategic Report 2013
3	Barclays PLC Notice of AGM 2014
4	Barclays PLC proxy cards

**Table of Contents**

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorised.

BARCLAYS PLC  
(Registrant)

Date: March 25, 2014

By: /s/ Patrick Gonsalves  
Name: Patrick Gonsalves  
Title: Deputy Secretary

**Table of Contents**

Building the

Go-To bank

Barclays PLC

Annual Report 2013

---

**Table of Contents**

**Barclays PLC >**

Chairman's letter

The Strategic Report was approved by the Board of Directors on 3 March 2014 and signed on its behalf by the Chairman.

Barclays is going through a major transition. Critical progress was made in 2013 in putting in place the foundations for sustainable long-term success. The journey is incomplete, with major cultural change well in train but still requiring time to be fully entrenched and, externally, a continuing unsettled economic and financial market environment, and a demanding and changing regulatory agenda.

We must focus not only on what we do but on how we do it, and we are committed to embedding a values-driven culture in Barclays. To deliver sustainable performance, we have to balance the needs of all our stakeholders across the short and long-term. You can read elsewhere in this Report how our values: Respect, Integrity, Service, Excellence and Stewardship, are being driven down into the organisation. In 2013 we introduced a balanced scorecard for Barclays which seeks to ensure that we are meeting the needs of all of our stakeholders by measuring performance against metrics in five key areas:

- ; Customer & Client
- ; Colleague
- ; Citizenship
- ; Conduct
- ; Company

We have set ourselves stretching but achievable goals in all of these areas which are designed to drive, and which are starting to deliver, mutually reinforcing outcomes. You can read more about the Balanced Scorecard in the following pages.

There has been understandable concern and criticism of the increase in the incentive pool in a year in which the bank's profits were down. The immediate challenge for the Board Remuneration Committee and Board for 2013 was to find the right practical balance between the need to give shareholders a greater share of the income we generate, to bear down on costs, and to meet society's expectations without eroding the long-term competitiveness of the Investment Bank, and thus damaging the interests of our shareholders. Whilst the conclusion reached was an extremely difficult one, we believe that it was the right decision for shareholders in the long term. Looking forward, we remain committed to achieving a compensation to adjusted net operating income ratio in the mid 30s over the medium term. There is more detail on this in the Remuneration Report in the following pages.

There have been a number of changes to both the composition of the Board and to our governance structures during 2013. In particular, we created a new Board Conduct, Reputation and Operational Risk Committee to provide clear and dedicated focus on each of these major areas in complement to the role of the Board Financial Risk Committee. You can read more on these changes in my corporate governance report.

Elements in the global economic environment and prospects now seem more favourable than at the beginning of 2013, but financial markets face uncertainty created by structurally lower economic growth over a prolonged period and an uncertain political outlook in many of the areas in which we operate. And, while substantial progress has been made in strengthening the balance sheet, further regulation in prospect, including in particular retail ring-fencing in the UK and

rules to be put in place under the Dodd Frank legislation in the United States, and the emerging business environment will inevitably call for rigorous review and adaptation of the mix and structure of the businesses of the Bank.

This year the Annual Report incorporates the Strategic Report in line with reporting requirements. The changes are intended to facilitate more effective communication with all our stakeholders, improve corporate accountability and to provide more concise and relevant narrative reports. These objectives are entirely in line with our aim to become more clear and transparent on our journey to be the Go-To bank. We will continue to engage with stakeholders to identify ways in which we can further advance this agenda.

2014 will be a critical year for Barclays as we work toward meeting the needs and expectations of all our stakeholders in terms of both the business we do and how we do it. Your Board and the executive team led by Antony Jenkins are encouraged by what was accomplished in 2013 and are focused and determined to complete the Transform Programme, and we are confident of doing so.

## Sir David Walker

Chairman

## Notes

The term Barclays PLC Group or the Group means Barclays PLC together with its subsidiaries and the term Barclays Bank PLC Group means Barclays Bank PLC together with its subsidiaries. Barclays and Group are terms which are used to refer to either of the preceding groups when the subject matter is identical. The term Company, Parent Company or Parent refers to Barclays PLC and the term Bank refers to Barclays Bank PLC. In this report, the abbreviations £m and £bn represent millions and thousands of millions of pounds sterling respectively; the abbreviations \$m and \$bn represent millions and thousands of millions of US Dollars respectively; m and bn represent million thousands of millions of euros respectively and C\$m and C\$bn represent millions and thousands of millions of Canadian dollars respectively.

Unless otherwise stated, the income statement analyses compare the 12 months to 31 December 2013 to the corresponding 12 months of 2012 and balance sheet comparisons, relate to the corresponding position at 31 December 2012. Unless otherwise stated, all disclosed figures relate to continuing operations. Relevant terms that are used in this document but are not defined under applicable regulatory guidance or International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) are explained in the glossary online at [www.barclays.com/annualreport](http://www.barclays.com/annualreport). A hard copy can be provided on request by contacting Barclays Investor Relations, Barclays PLC, 1 Churchill Place, London E14 5HP.

Adjusted profit before tax and adjusted performance measures have been presented to provide a more consistent basis for comparing business performance between periods. Adjusted profit before tax is explained further on page 24 and defined in the online glossary at [www.barclays.com/annualreport](http://www.barclays.com/annualreport)

## Forward-looking statements

This document contains certain forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 21E of the US Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and Section 27A of the US Securities Act of 1933, as amended, with respect to certain of the Group's plans and its current goals and expectations relating to its future financial condition and

performance. Barclays cautions readers that no forward-looking statement is a guarantee of future performance and that actual results could differ materially from those contained in the forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements can be identified by the fact that they do not relate only to historical or current facts. Forward-looking statements sometimes use words such as may , will , seek , continue , aim , anticipate , target , expect , estimate , intend , plan , goal , believe , achieve or other words of similar meaning. Examples of forward-looking statements include, among others, statements regarding the Group's future financial position, income growth, assets, impairment charges and provisions, business strategy, capital, leverage and

other regulatory ratios, payment of dividends (including dividend pay-out ratios), projected levels of growth in the banking and financial markets, projected costs, original and revised commitments and targets in connection with the Transform Programme, deleveraging actions, estimates of capital expenditures and plans and objectives for future operations and other statements that are not historical fact. By their nature, forward-looking statements involve risk and uncertainty because they relate to future events and circumstances. These may be affected by changes in legislation, the development of standards and interpretations under International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS), evolving practices with regard to the interpretation and application of accounting and regulatory standards, the outcome of current and future legal proceedings and regulatory investigations, future levels of conduct provisions, the policies and actions of governmental and regulatory authorities, geopolitical risks and the impact of competition. In addition, factors including (but not limited to) the following may have an effect: capital, leverage and other regulatory rules (including with regard to the future structure of the Group) applicable to past, current and future periods; UK, United States, Africa, Eurozone and global macroeconomic and business conditions; the effects of continued volatility in credit markets; market related risks such as changes in interest rates and foreign exchange rates; effects of changes in valuation of credit market exposures; changes in valuation of issued securities; volatility in capital markets; changes in credit ratings of the Group; the potential for one or more countries exiting the Eurozone; the implementation of the Transform Programme; and the success of future acquisitions, disposals and other strategic transactions. A number of these influences and factors are beyond the Group's control. As a result, the Group's actual future results, dividend payments, and capital and leverage ratios may differ materially from the plans, goals, and expectations set forth in the Group's forward-looking statements. Additional risks and factors are identified in our filings with the SEC including our Annual Report on Form 20-F for the fiscal year ended 31 December 2012, and in the Form 6-K (Film No. 131097818) dated 16 September 2013, both of which are available on the SEC's website at <http://www.sec.gov>.

Any forward-looking statements made herein speak only as of the date they are made and it should not be assumed that they have been revised or updated in the light of new information or future events. Except as required by the Prudential Regulation Authority, the Financial Conduct Authority, the London Stock Exchange plc (LSE) or applicable law, Barclays expressly disclaims any obligation or undertaking to release publicly any updates or revisions to any forward-looking statements contained herein to reflect any change in Barclays' expectations with regard thereto or any change in events, conditions or circumstances on which any such statement is based. The reader should, however, consult any additional disclosures that Barclays has made or may make in documents it has published or may publish via the Regulatory News Service of the LSE and/or has filed or may file with the SEC.



---

**Table of Contents**

We've made some changes to this year's Strategic Report to give readers a clearer picture of how we operate, what our plans are and how they link to Barclays' goal and purpose.

In line with new regulatory reporting requirements and our commitment to transparent disclosures, this year's Strategic Report gives greater information on the business model and strategy of the Group and its divisions.

**The Strategic Report**

An overview of our 2013 performance, a focus on our new strategic direction, and a review of the businesses underpinning our strategy

<u>Group overview</u>	02
<u>Chief Executive's strategic review</u>	04
<u>Strategy and operating environment</u>	06
<u>Business model/Value creation</u>	08
<u>Balanced Scorecard</u>	10
<u>Group Finance Director's review</u>	12
<u>Strategic risk overview</u>	14
<u>UK Retail and Business Banking (UK RBB)</u>	18
<u>Europe Retail and Business Banking (Europe RBB)</u>	22
<u>Africa</u>	26
<u>Barclaycard</u>	30
<u>Investment Bank</u>	34
<u>Corporate Banking</u>	38
<u>Wealth and Investment Management</u>	42
<u>Chairman's governance overview</u>	46
<u>Summary remuneration report</u>	48

### Corporate website

We maintain a corporate website at [www.barclays.com](http://www.barclays.com) containing a wide range of information of interest to institutional and private investors including:

- Latest news and press releases
- Annual reports and investor presentations

See further content for the  
2013 Annual Report online at  
[www.barclays.com/annualreport](http://www.barclays.com/annualreport)

### Governance

The governance process of Barclays and reports from each of the Board committees presenting how the Board support the delivery of the strategy

<u>Corporate governance report</u>	52
<u>Directors' report</u>	78
<u>Board of Directors</u>	83
<u>Executive Committee</u>	85
<u>People</u>	86
<u>Remuneration report</u>	89
<u>Implementation of the Salz Review recommendations</u>	126

### Risk review

Insight into the level of risk across Barclays' businesses and portfolios, the material risks and uncertainties faced and the key areas of management focus.

<u>Risk factors</u>	133
<u>Credit risk</u>	142
<u>Market risk</u>	190
<u>Funding risk – Capital</u>	199
<u>Funding risk – Liquidity</u>	208
<u>Operational risk</u>	225
<u>Reputation risk</u>	226

<u>Conduct risk</u>	228
<u>Supervision and regulation</u>	230

## The Financial review

A review of the performance of Barclays, including the key performance indicators, and our businesses' contribution to the overall performance of the Group

<u>Key performance indicators</u>	238
<u>Consolidated summary income statement</u>	245
<u>Income statement commentary</u>	246
<u>Consolidated summary balance sheet</u>	247
<u>Balance sheet commentary</u>	248
<u>Analysis of results by business</u>	249
<u>Margins and balances</u>	267
Financial statements	

Detailed analysis of our statutory accounts, independently audited and providing in-depth disclosure and transparency on the financial performance of the business

<u>Independent Auditors' report</u>	271
<u>Consolidated financial statements</u>	277
<u>Parent company accounts</u>	282
<u>Notes to the financial statements</u>	284

## Risk management

A comprehensive overview of Barclays' approach to risk management and more specific information on policies that the Group determines to be of particular significance in the current operating environment

<u>Barclays risk management strategy</u>	378
<u>Credit risk management</u>	392
<u>Market risk management</u>	405
<u>Operational risk management</u>	415
<u>Liquidity risk management</u>	418
<u>Capital risk management</u>	420

## Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

### Shareholder information

Resources for shareholders including the Company articles of association, classes of shares and contact details for shareholder enquiries

<u>Shareholder information</u>	424
<u>Shareholder enquiries</u>	428
<u>Index</u>	429

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

[Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 01](#)

## **Table of Contents**

### **Group overview**

Barclays is a major global financial services provider engaged in personal banking, credit cards, corporate and investment banking, and wealth and investment management with an extensive international presence in Europe, the Americas, Africa and Asia.

With over 300 years of history and expertise in banking, Barclays operates in over 50 countries and employs approximately 140,000 people. Barclays moves, lends, invests and protects money for customers and clients worldwide.

#### **Adjusted profit before tax**

£5,167m

Down 32% due to costs to achieve Transform and a 4% reduction in income. Statutory profit before tax was up from £797m to £2,868m

#### **Return on Equity**

4.5%

Adjusted return on average shareholders' equity decreased from 9.0% principally reflecting the decrease in profit before tax, a £440m write down of deferred tax assets and the £5.8bn equity raised from the rights issue

#### **Dividends per share**

6.5p

Full year dividend of 6.5p maintained at same level as 2012

#### **Gross new lending to UK**

£88bn

We provided £88bn of Funding for Lending eligible gross new lending to UK households and businesses in 2013

**CRD IV fully loaded Common Equity Tier 1 Ratio**

9.3%

Up from 8.4% in September 2013 principally due to the issuance of additional shares through the rights issue

**Diverse employees**

139,600

Of our 139,600 global workforce, 71,300 were female and 68,300 male

Key performance indicators (KPIs)

The KPIs presented below reflect the indicators management used during 2013 whilst transitioning to use of the Balance Scorecard (see page 10).

Following the announcement of the Transform programme, a number of additional metrics have been monitored against the financial commitments made. These can be seen on pages 238 to 240.

	Measures	Statutory			Adjusted		
		2013	2012	2011	2013	2012	2011
Capital	Core Tier 1 ratio	13.2%	10.8%	11.0%			
	Estimated PRA leverage ratio <sup>a</sup>	3.0%					
Returns	Return on average shareholders equity (RoE)	1.0%	(1.2)%	5.9%	4.5%	9.0%	6.7%
	Return on average tangible shareholders equity (RoTE)	1.2%	(1.4)%	7.1%	5.3%	10.6%	8.1%
	Profit before tax	2,868	797	5,770	5,167	7,599	5,482
	Cost: income ratio	79%	84%	65%	71%	63%	68%
	Loan loss rate	64bps	70bps	77bps			
	Dividend per share	6.5p	6.5p	6.0p			
Income	Total income	27,935	25,009	32,292	28,155	29,361	28,513
growth	Income by geography: UK	11,461	7,461	15,819	11,681	12,040	11,981
	Europe	4,019	4,457	4,207	4,019	4,457	4,207

Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

	Americas	<b>7,034</b>	7,554	6,025	<b>7,034</b>	7,327	6,083
	Africa and Middle East	<b>4,137</b>	4,472	4,967	<b>4,137</b>	4,472	4,967
	Asia	<b>1,284</b>	1,065	1,274	<b>1,284</b>	1,065	1,274
<b>Citizenship</b>	Gross new lending to UK households and businesses <sup>b</sup>	<b>£88bn</b>	£44bn	£45bn			
	Global investment in our communities	<b>£72m</b>	£64.5m	£63.5m			
	Colleagues involved in volunteering, regular giving and fundraising initiatives	<b>71,000</b>	68,000	70,000			
	Group Employee Opinion Survey (EOS) Proud to be Barclays		78%	81%			
	Percentage of senior managers who are female <sup>d</sup>	<b>23%</b>	22%	21%			

Notes

a In 2013, the adjusted gross leverage metric was superseded by the estimated PRA leverage ratio as the primary leverage measure used by management.

Refer to page 240 for further details.

b In 2013, we tracked Funding for Lending Scheme eligible gross new lending, a new measure introduced in June 2012.

c In 2013, the EOS was not undertaken, having been superseded by the Sustained engagement of colleagues score in the Balanced Scorecard.

d Based upon percentage of females in the Director corporate grade.

**Table of Contents**

<b>Group overview</b>	<b>02</b>	The Group overview provides a view on how Barclays is segmented and reported, the services the businesses provide, and the performance of the Group as a whole
Chief Executive's strategic review	04	
Strategy and operating environment	06	
Business model/Value creation	08	
Balanced Scorecard	10	
Group Finance Director's review	12	
Strategic Risk overview	14	
Performance review by division	18	
Chairman's governance overview	46	
Summary remuneration report	48	

Barclays banking and financial service businesses work together to provide customers and clients the best offerings across our chosen markets.

**UK Retail and Business Banking (UK RBB)****£4,523m**

A leading UK high street bank providing retail banking services and general insurance to individuals and business banking services to small and medium enterprises (SMEs)

**Europe Retail and Business Banking (Europe RBB)****£666m**

A local presence for Barclays customers in Spain, Italy, Portugal and France, providing retail banking services to mass affluent individuals and business banking services to SMEs

**Africa Retail and Business Banking (Africa RBB)****£2,617m**



A leading pan-African retail and business bank serving customers and clients in 12 countries with a range of banking and bancassurance solutions

### **Barclaycard**

**£4,786m**

A leading international payments business, offering payments and lending to individuals, and a range of business services including card issuing and payment acceptance services

### **Investment Bank**

**£10,733m**

A global investment bank serving large corporate clients, financial institutions, governments and institutional investors with financial advisory, capital-raising, financing and risk management services

### **Corporate Banking**

**£3,115m**

A leading provider of cash management, lending and trade financing to corporate clients in the UK and South Africa, and global businesses, financial institutions and international organisations

### **Wealth and Investment Management**

**£1,839m**

A leading global wealth manager and advisor, providing private and intermediary clients with international and private banking, investment management, fiduciary services and brokerage

For more detailed information on the performance of divisions please refer to pages 18 to 45

**Table of Contents**

**Chief Executive's strategic review**

We have made good progress in 2013 and we start 2014 in a better position than for several years. While recognising there is much more to do, we have every reason to feel positive about our prospects and confident that we will become the Go-To bank for all our stakeholders.

2013 has been a year of significant change for Barclays. A year ago we set out the outcome of our strategic review and our Transform plan to make Barclays the Go-To bank for all our stakeholders. We continue to take steps to de-risk the business, strengthen the balance sheet, increase the efficiency of our operations and are making good progress against our plan.

Our 2013 results clearly demonstrate the benefits of the diversity we enjoy in the Group, as well as the strength of our core franchises. While impacted by the restructuring and de-risking activity, underlying business performance has been resilient, with adjusted income of £28.2bn and adjusted profit before tax of £5.2bn. Our core franchises remain strong, with UK Retail and Business Banking, Barclaycard, UK Corporate Banking, and within the Investment Bank our Equities and Investment Banking businesses all delivering good performances in 2013. Important progress has also been made in repositioning our African, European and Wealth businesses, although further work is required to get returns to acceptable levels. Our Fixed Income, Currency and Commodities business in the Investment Bank saw revenues fall, in line with our European peers, as market conditions remained subdued.

We are making good headway across the financial commitments we set out as part of our Transform plan as well as on de-leveraging to meet the PRA's revised target. I am pleased by our progress on RWAs and leverage. We have been able to move more quickly than anticipated in managing down CRD IV RWAs, bringing us a little below our Transform target well ahead of the 2015 timeline. Through rigorous analysis and focus, we have also virtually achieved the PRA leverage target six months in advance of the June 2014 deadline.

We have invested considerably in transforming our businesses. In the months ahead we expect to see the benefits of this coming through. We narrowly missed our cost guidance for 2013, largely due to a £331m increase at year-end in certain litigation provisions, but the true operating performance of Barclays was on track. Costs are a key area of focus for us and we remain committed to our 2015 Transform cost target of £16.8bn. Compensation for key talent is one area that we were prepared to invest in strategically. Our aim is to deliver a greater share of the income we generate to shareholders while remaining competitive on pay. Although profits for 2013 were down, the 38% reduction in incentives in the previous two years had begun to cause demonstrable damage to our business through increased attrition, with a near doubling of resignations of senior staff in the US for example. We concluded that a 2013 incentive pool of £2,378m was appropriate. Whilst this is up 10% on the final 2012 incentive pool, before adjustment for risk and conduct events it is down 18% on 2012 and remains 32% below the pool level in 2010 when we started to reposition Barclays' remuneration. This was a difficult decision, but the right one for the long term interest of our shareholders.

## Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

We have also made progress against the two non-financial commitments we made last February. The first of these, culture change, and in particular the process of embedding our Purpose and Values throughout the organisation, is going well. Every colleague has completed a mandatory training programme, and we have integrated our Purpose and Values into the day to day management processes of the Bank. We have developed and published our new Code of Conduct which every colleague must abide by and attest to annually.

[04 > Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013](#)

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

**Table of Contents**

Group overview	02	The role of the Group Chief Executive includes implementing the Group's strategy as agreed by the Board. Here Antony provides his view on the events of 2013, and a progress update on execution of the Transform plan.
<b>Chief Executive's strategic review</b>	<b>04</b>	
Strategy and operating environment	06	
Business model/Value creation	08	
Balanced Scorecard	10	
Group Finance Director's review	12	
Strategic Risk overview	14	
Performance review by division	18	
Chairman's governance overview	46	
Summary remuneration report	48	

The recent publication of our Balanced Scorecard for the Group addressed the second non-financial commitment we made, establishing the critical final component in our leadership framework. The Balanced Scorecard sets out a clear description of what we want Barclays to be, with eight clear targets out to 2018 against which our progress can be assessed by all of our stakeholders. The scorecard, alongside our Purpose and Values, is now embedded in how we measure and reward individual and business performance. This is a unique and powerful tool that aligns the organisation behind our Transform goals.

We begin 2014 in a better position than for several years. 2013 showed the tremendous value of the breadth and diversity of Barclays' earnings profile, and we have seen continued evidence of the strong fundamentals which are essential for longer term growth. We have started to put our legacy issues behind us, and have greater clarity on what the future holds, particularly in terms of regulation. With my leadership team in place and building on the progress we have made on our Transform programme in 2013, we will continue to adapt and optimise our business, reaping the substantive benefits of our work in 2014, 2015 and beyond.

While we have much more to do and we expect the operating environment to remain challenging, we have every reason to feel positive about our prospects and confident that we will become the Go-To bank for all our stakeholders.

**Antony Jenkins**

Group Chief Executive

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

[Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 05](#)

## **Table of Contents**

### **Strategy and operating environment**

We will not be able to generate sustainable returns over the long term unless we act at all times with good values.

The landscape for banks has fundamentally changed and will continue to evolve in the coming years. We believe these changes are not cyclical but represent a structural shift. Our Transform programme, launched in 2013, will reshape Barclays to generate sustainable returns and to meet the needs of all of our stakeholders.

### **Market and operating environment**

Barclays is a global financial services provider with our home markets in UK, US, and South Africa, and distribution and operations in a further 47 countries.

Banks are invariably exposed to the economies and markets in which they operate. This exposure ranges from mortgage lending (dependent on the ability of borrowers to repay and house price valuations) to corporate advisory (which may reflect business confidence in the economy). Prior to the financial crisis, global growth was supportive with banks well-positioned to benefit from their exposure to the upward trajectory of the global economy.

Global economic growth has faltered in recent years. This has prompted unprecedented monetary policies across central banks, for example quantitative easing and near zero interest rates. This policy response has, in turn, posed new challenges for banks such as compressed interest margins.

While global economic growth remained below the long-term trend in 2013, we saw improved consumer confidence and business sentiment in both the UK and US. Even with these grass root recoveries emerging in two of our home markets, we remain cognisant that global economic growth is expected to be subdued for a prolonged period.

Financial regulatory frameworks are evolving, as global regulators continue to respond to the issues that emerged during the financial crisis. It is clear that intensive and intrusive regulation is here to stay. Prudential reforms ranging from the UK Banking Reform Act to the US Dodd Frank Act are fundamentally changing the way that banks manage their capital, liquidity and risk.

A further challenge to the banking industry, and indeed to Barclays, in recent years is one of poor conduct, damaging our reputation and causing a loss of trust amongst our customers, clients and stakeholders. We recognise the importance of rebuilding trust in Barclays as well as meeting, and bettering, the developing needs of our customers and clients.

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

06 > Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013

**Table of Contents**

Group overview	02	It is important that we understand the conditions in which we operate in order to run the company effectively.
Chief Executive's strategic review	04	
<b>Strategy and operating environment</b>	<b>06</b>	In this section we aim to highlight some of the major external factors affecting Barclays and how we aim to address these factors through our strategy.
Business model/Value creation	08	
Balanced Scorecard	10	
Group Finance Director's review	12	
Strategic Risk overview	14	
Performance review by division	18	
Chairman's governance overview	46	
Summary remuneration report	48	

Barclays' sustainable success will be assured by becoming the 'Go-To' bank for all of our stakeholders. If we understand their needs and priorities and ensure that these are at the heart of our decision-making, we will be able to build a bank which is lower-risk, more predictable and higher-performing.

**The strategic response**

In 2013, we launched the Transform programme to deliver the recommendations of the Strategic Review. Transform is the plan that will help Barclays become the 'Go-To' bank. It has three overall goals: Turnaround, Return Acceptable Numbers, Sustain FORward Momentum.

**Turnaround the business**

Turnaround was the immediate task of stabilising the business and maintaining momentum. In the second half of 2012, we delivered our new goal, purpose, and values to unite Barclays with a shared sense of direction – how we will do business.

We have put in place a new Executive team which is focused on delivery. The vast majority of our 139,600 colleagues have participated in workshops and training in Barclays' values. To cement our cultural change, a guide for behaviour 'The Barclays Way' has been published internally and externally.

**Return Acceptable Numbers**

In 2013, we turned our attention towards the longer-term transformation of Barclays. For our Return Acceptable Numbers phase, we are de-risking and de-leveraging the business to make it more sustainable for the long term.

We committed to consolidate to core lines of business, to generate £1.7bn of cost savings by 2015, to lower our RWAs, funding and liquidity, and to reach a Core Tier 1 capital ratio of 10.5%. See page 238 for further details on the



Transform financial commitments.

In 2013, we:

- Completed a £5.8bn rights issue in October
  - Issued £2.1bn of CRDIV and PRA-qualifying Additional Tier 1 (AT1) capital
  - Reduced CRDIV leverage exposure by £196bn in H2 2013 to £1,363bn, of which an estimated £55bn related to foreign exchange
  - Drove improvements in our loan-to-deposit ratio (to 101% at December 2013)
- Additionally, we reduced legacy assets in our Exit Quadrant portfolios by £40bn through Investment Bank legacy asset reductions of £17bn and derivative efficiencies of £23bn.

### **Sustain Forward Momentum**

Our journey to Go-To depends on continuing to adapt Barclays for the future and ensuring that we do not return to a short-term bias as we execute our plans. In order to Sustain FORward Momentum, we have also set in place longer-term markers in four critical areas: Culture, Rewards, Control and Cost.

The Board-commissioned Salz Review also prompted us to review our conduct. We are committed to being open and transparent and to regaining the trust of all of Barclays' stakeholders. We have integrated our necessary behavioural transformation into our Transform programme. Full details on our response to the Salz Review can be found on pages 126 to 129.

A strong culture is the first line of defence against repeating the mistakes of the past. To unite around Barclays' Values and Behaviours, we published The Barclays Way to govern our way of working across our business globally. Colleagues are essential to embedding our Purpose and Values and, in 2013, all colleagues attended Values and Behaviours engagement sessions.

Reward and incentivisation is a critical enabler of behavioural change. As of 2014, colleague performance will be measured and rewarded not only on what an employee delivers but also how they achieve their objectives. As such, remuneration will align with Barclays' Purpose, Values and Behaviours as well as the Group Balanced Scorecard.

As of February 2013 control functions now have solid reporting lines into the Group CEO rather than business heads to avoid conflict of interest.

The Remuneration Committee will embed aggregate and individual incentive risk adjustments with additional Compliance and Risk input. Furthermore, our principal risk framework has been enhanced with the inclusion of conduct risk and reputation risk and a revised Enterprise-Wide Risk Committee will ensure adequate Board oversight.

To address the cost challenge, we have focused on creating the right level of financial analytics and on improving operational efficiencies. The key elements of our cost programme are right-sizing our businesses, industrialising handling of customer transactions and queries, and adopting innovative technology and automated processing.

## Focus of efforts in 2014

In 2014, we will continue to build on the progress made in 2013. We will focus on delivering on our financial commitments and expect to see the benefits of our 2013 work on cost begin to crystallise.

We aim to respond positively to the evolving regulatory landscape. We have sought to constructively engage our regulators and improve our regulatory and public disclosures in order to improve transparency and consistency with society's expectations.

The new regulatory and emerging business environment will inevitably call for continued rigorous review and adaption of the mix and structure of the businesses of the Bank to ensure we generate sustainable returns.

However, care needs to be taken to ensure that regulation does not go too far. A healthy banking sector ensuring returns above the cost of equity is essential to economic growth. Vibrant economies need vibrant banks. It is therefore important to ensure that the rightly-increased focus by the regulator on conduct supervision does not inadvertently result in the withdrawal of services and the restriction of choice.

Another key focus over 2013 and the coming years is rebuilding the trust that customers, clients, and stakeholders have in our organisation. We have pledged to increase transparency and conduct our business in the right way, as set out in our values.

We need to better respond to the current needs and anticipate the future demands of our customers and clients. As they become increasingly technology savvy we have worked to embed technology across our product offering. This ranges from payment innovations such as PingIt to expanding our Investment Bank's electronic trading platform BARX.

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

[Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 07](#)

**Table of Contents**

**Business model/Value creation**

Our customers and clients are at the centre of our goal and purpose.

Barclays is a globally diversified, universal bank – that is, we offer an integrated set of products and services across retail banking, wealth management, commercial and investment banking serving individuals, corporations, institutions, and governments.

08 > Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

**Table of Contents**

Group overview	02	Our business model is the mechanism for delivering our social purpose. As such, in this section, we outline how the Group delivers services to our customers, how we make money on those services, and how our model and approach differs from our competitors.
Chief Executive's strategic review	04	
Strategy and operating environment	06	
<b>Business model/Value creation</b>	<b>08</b>	
Balanced Scorecard	10	
Group Finance Director's review	12	
Strategic Risk overview	14	
Performance review by division	18	
Chairman's governance overview	46	
Summary remuneration report	48	

**Our business model**

Barclays seeks to satisfy the needs of our customers and clients by offering a rounded value proposition – a full range of products and services – and thereby, we aim to achieve a smoother income stream and sustainable returns.

Barclays' competitive advantage is created by the scale and diversity of our businesses and the quality, character and relationships of our people.

Our Retail and Business Banking businesses operate through a regional model, focusing on delivering targeted solutions to individuals and small businesses. We operate retail banks in UK (UK RBB), Africa (Africa RBB), and Europe (Europe RBB).

Barclaycard, Investment Bank, Corporate Banking and Wealth and Investment Management operate in global models, leveraging their offerings to provide comprehensive solutions across borders.

We are increasingly operating a shared service model for Central Functions. Improved functionalisation has allowed us to take advantage of synergies through the sharing of ideas and collaboration from cross-functional working groups.

**The sum of the parts**

Our business model enables us to maintain relevance to our customers and clients, whatever stage of life they are in. For example, this means being ready to help business owners launch a business, fund its growth, expand internationally, and protect against currency risk. For individuals, our model can provide a safe place to store savings,

help a first-time buyer make their first steps onto the property ladder, create an investment portfolio as wealth grows, or provide cross-border advice for the affluent.

We seek to add value to our clients through our end-to-end network, for example an individual retail customer in the UK is able to access current and savings account balances along with Barclaycard data all on the same mobile banking app. Pingit, our peer to peer payments service, is seamlessly integrated within our retail banking offering, a further example of this joined up approach to the way we do business.

Our international reach and scale means we have the responsibility, indeed obligation following our designation as a Global Systemically Important Financial Institution, to work together with our regulators to de-risk the industry and provide a more sustainable banking landscape over the long term. We are actively engaging with UK, EU, and US banking supervisors to develop a new model for the industry and to ensure our business is sustainable and flexible ready for the future.

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

[Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 09](#)

## **Table of Contents**

### **Balanced Scorecard**

The Balanced Scorecard is the final crucial piece of our plan alongside our Purpose and Values to embed the right culture in our business and become the Go-To bank. The Balanced Scorecard sets out eight specific commitments across our 5Cs (Customer & Client, Colleague, Citizenship, Conduct and Company) and defines what need to achieve over the next five years to be well on the way to becoming the Go-To bank.

We are committed to monitoring and reporting on our progress annually so that stakeholders can hold us to account. The Balanced Scorecard is supported by strategic initiatives that will drive progress across the 5Cs.

The Balanced Scorecard gives clear strategic context for our colleagues around what becoming the Go-To bank will look like and lays out Barclays' priorities. The Barclays Balanced Scorecard is cascaded into business unit and function scorecards. Together, these provide line of sight to employees to our organisational goal. They also provide a framework and starting point for all employees when they set their individual performance objectives across the 5Cs.

For more detailed information on the Balanced Scorecard, please see [barclays.com/balanced](http://barclays.com/balanced) scorecard and the key performance indicators section on pages 243 and 244

**Table of Contents**

Group overview	02	The Balanced Scorecard defines what we need to achieve over the next five years to be well on the way to becoming the Go-To bank. It clearly shows what success looks like across the 5Cs: Customer & Client, Colleague, Citizenship, Conduct, and Company.
Chief Executive's strategic review	04	
Strategy and operating environment	06	
Business model/Value creation	08	
<b>Balanced Scorecard</b>	<b>10</b>	
Group Finance Director's review	12	
Strategic Risk overview	14	
Performance review by division	18	
Chairman's governance overview	46	
Summary remuneration report	48	

**Metrics and targets**

We have agreed eight key measures against which we and our stakeholders can hold us to account. We are committed to monitoring and reporting on our progress annually.

	Metric	Actual 2013	Target 2018
<b>Customer &amp; Client</b>	RBB, Barclaycard and W&IM: Weighted average ranking of Relationship Net Promoter Score <sup>®a</sup> vs. peer sets	4th	1st
	CIB Client Franchise Rank: Weighted average ranking of wallet share or customer satisfaction with priority clients	4th	Top 3
<b>Colleague</b>	Sustained engagement of colleagues score	74%	87-91%

## Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

	% women in senior leadership <sup>b</sup>	21%	26%
<b>Citizenship</b>	Citizenship Plan initiatives on track or ahead	10/11	Plan Targets
<b>Conduct</b>	Conduct Reputation (YouGov survey)	5.2/10	6.5/10
<b>Company</b>	Return on Equity (Adjusted)	4.5%	> Cost of equity
	Fully Loaded CRD IV CET1 ratio	9.3%	>10.5%

For further information on Balanced Scorecard Methodology and Data Sources please visit [barclays.com/balanced scorecard](http://barclays.com/balanced%20scorecard) and see the Metrics and targets page

A detailed view of performance against Citizenship Plan targets is available in the Citizenship Report 2013 at [barclays.com/citizenshipreport](http://barclays.com/citizenshipreport)

### Delivering our strategic targets: Example Strategic initiatives

Here are some examples of strategic initiatives that will drive progress across the 5Cs and support our goal to become the Go-To bank.

<b>Customer &amp; Client</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>n We are using technology to improve our customers and clients experience and to be responsive to their changing needs, such as through Barclays Mobile Banking, BARX, PayTag and Barclays.Net</li> <li>n We are making our most important customer and client interactions as simple and instant as possible putting power in their hands to transact when, where and how they want to</li> <li>n We are simplifying our products and services and improving what we offer to match customer needs with the right service model</li> </ul>
<b>Colleague</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>n We have launched our Purpose and Values, and we are embedding them into all our HR processes including recruitment, promotion and performance management</li> <li>n We are developing and training leaders through the Barclays Leadership Academy and Barclays Global Curriculum</li> <li>n We are driving a consistent global diversity and inclusion plan resulting in a more visibly diverse talent pipeline</li> </ul>



<b>Citizenship</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>n We are ensuring the way we do business reflects broader societal and environmental considerations</li> <li>n We are contributing to growth through financing, supporting businesses and ensuring our products and services support sustainable progress</li> <li>n We are supporting the communities where we operate by helping five million young people to develop enterprise, employability and financial skills</li> </ul>
<b>Conduct</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>n We are following a rigorous and transparent framework on conduct risk reporting and management</li> <li>n We are using material conduct risk assessments to effectively identify, assess and manage conduct risk</li> <li>n We are exercising sound judgements to avoid detriment to customers, clients and counterparties or to market integrity</li> </ul>
<b>Company</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>n We are delivering the initiatives across the strategic quadrants: Invest and grow, Reposition, Transition, and Exit</li> <li>n We are managing costs (rightsizing, industrializing, innovating) and delivering our leverage and capital commitments</li> <li>n We are improving our controls through the roll-out of <i>The Barclays Guide</i>, which covers how we organise, manage and govern ourselves and includes a new risk management framework</li> </ul>

Notes

- a Net Promoter, Net Promoter Score, and NPS are trademarks of Satmetrix Systems, Inc., Bain & Company, Inc., and Fred Reichheld.
- b Senior leadership represents the Managing Director and Director corporate grades combined, a population of over 8,000 employees. Under the Companies Act 2006 we are also required to report on the gender breakdown of our senior managers. For this purpose, we have 988 senior managers (179 female and 809 male) who include Officers of the Group, certain direct reports of the Chief Executive, heads of major business units, senior Managing Directors, and directors on the boards of subsidiary undertakings of the Company.

## Table of Contents

### Group Finance Director's review

We have made quick progress on leverage, but focusing on balance sheet optimisation for sustainable returns is now the priority going forward.

Reflecting on the 2013 financial results for Barclays, I believe progress has been made and momentum is building around the Transform Programme. This was the first year of the Programme, which necessitated a substantial investment in future cost reduction and repositioning of our balance sheet and capital base.

Financial review

#### Overview

Within the results, there are two areas that I felt were particularly noteworthy. First, the breadth and diversity of income in the Group, underpinned by our traditional consumer and commercial banking franchises. Similarly, within the Investment Bank, growth in Equities and Investment Banking income provided an offset to the market-led weakness in certain FICC businesses. Second, demonstration of strong financial fundamentals across funding and liquidity, capital, credit risk management and margins should stand the bank in good stead for generating sustainable returns going forward.

#### Income statement review

2013 profits were impacted by £1.2bn of costs to achieve Transform which drove a 32% reduction in adjusted profit before tax to £5.2bn, while statutory profit before tax improved from £0.8bn to £2.9bn due to a significant reduction in the own credit charge.

Adjusted income was down 4% to £28.2bn reflecting reductions in Investment Bank FICC, partially offset by impressive performances in Investment Bank Equities and Investment Banking and growth in UK RBB, Barclaycard and Corporate.

Impairment charges improved 8% to £3.1bn reflecting lower impairments in the wholesale businesses, with increases in UK RBB and Barclaycard due to business growth and non-recurrence of prior year releases.

Adjusted operating expenses increased £1.3bn to £19.9bn reflecting £1.2bn of costs to achieve Transform, £220m provisions for litigation and regulatory penalties in Q413 in the Investment Bank, mainly relating to the US residential mortgage-related business and UK bank levy of £504m (2012: £345m). The Group's cost target for 2015 remains at £16.8bn excluding costs to achieve Transform.

## Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

I am pleased by the strong results in UK RBB, Barclaycard and Corporate Banking, whilst noting 2013 was a tough year for Investment Bank income. Moreover, following restructuring and de-risking activity we completed during the year, Europe RBB, Africa RBB and Wealth and Investment Management now have clear paths to shareholder value creation in the medium term. Importantly, the resilience of the underlying customer and client franchises across the Group allowed us to maintain dividends of 6.5p per share for full year 2013 despite a 25% increase in the total number of shares following the rights issue.

### [Balance sheet review](#)

In October, I began conducting a detailed balance sheet review, specifically focused on meeting leverage ratio requirements as a priority. We have made strong and quick progress on this. Our Prudential Regulation Authority (PRA) leverage exposure reduced by nearly £200bn from June 2013 which, combined with the £5.8bn rights issue and issuance of £2.1bn of AT1 securities, strengthened our PRA leverage ratio to just under 3%. Our focus on Risk Weighted Asset (RWA) management continued throughout the year, resulting in a 7%, or over £30bn, reduction in CRD IV RWAs. Looking ahead, the balance sheet review will continue but with increased focus on optimising the balance sheet, considering both risk weights and leverage, in order to generate improved returns.

### **Tushar Morzaria**

Group Finance Director

**Table of Contents**

Group overview	02	The Group Finance Director is responsible for monitoring the Bank's financial performance and position and communicating this to both internal and external stakeholders. Below he discusses the Bank's performance during 2013 and its year end position as at 31 December 2013.
Chief Executive's strategic review	04	
Strategy and operating environment	06	
Business model/Value creation	08	
Balanced Scorecard	10	
<b>Group Finance Director's review</b>	<b>12</b>	
Strategic Risk overview	14	
Performance review by division	18	
Chairman's governance overview	46	
Summary remuneration report	48	

What are the main financial issues in the year, and implications for the business?

**What happened:**

On 20 June 2013 the PRA announced the results of its review of capital adequacy of major UK banks and building societies. As part of its review, the PRA introduced a 3% Leverage Ratio target to be achieved by 30 June 2014. As at 30 June 2013, Barclays' PRA Leverage Ratio was 2.2%, representing a capital gap of £12.8bn.

**Actions taken:**

In order to achieve the PRA's 3% Leverage Ratio target by June 2014, we announced a series of actions including an underwritten rights issue, measures to reduce Barclays' CRD IV leverage exposure, and the continued execution of Barclays' capital plan with the issuance of CRD IV and PRA qualifying AT1 securities. In the second half of 2013, we increased our PRA Leverage Ratio from 2.2% to just shy of the 3.0% requirement through these actions.

A significant proportion of the capital gap was reduced through completion of a one for four rights issue which raised £5.8bn of capital for the Group. The rights issue completed on 4 October 2013 and, with a 94.63% acceptance rate of

shareholder rights, we welcome the strong support shown by shareholders during what was a challenging period.

Through management actions we were also able to reduce the Group's CRD IV leverage exposure by nearly £200bn to £1,363bn. This was achieved through de-risking the business via actions such as improving legal netting agreements on derivatives and reducing low returning Exit Quadrant assets.

A highlight of 2013 was Barclays' issuance of £2.1bn equivalent of benchmark Euro and US Dollar AT1 securities. This represented the targeted issuance for the PRA leverage plan, and constitutes a key step in transitioning our capital base towards future regulatory capital requirements.

### **Outlook:**

As a result of these actions, Barclays is now in a much stronger capital position and this has allowed us to increase the dividend payout ratio target from 30% to 40-50%, with a 40% payout ratio expected in 2014 to allow focus on capital accretion.

We remain committed to structurally reducing the cost base of the Group and we have reaffirmed our £16.8bn cost target with a mid-50s cost: income ratio for full year 2015. We will also continue to closely manage RWAs through rundown of the low returning Exit Quadrant and other balance sheet optimisation, and we target Group RWAs of £440bn by year-end 2015.

Regulation remains a key variable and, while we have gained clarity in certain areas, there remain a number of outstanding items which we will continue to anticipate as best we can. In particular, the Transform targets will allow us to achieve a fully loaded CRD IV Common Equity Tier (CET) 1 ratio of greater than 10.5% in 2015 with a fully loaded CRD IV leverage ratio of 3.5% by year-end 2015 with 3.5-4% targeted thereafter.

2014 will likely be another year of transition, with greater focus on balance sheet optimisation, particularly in the Investment Bank, combined with strict cost control in order to generate higher and more sustainable returns in the future. Ultimately, increasing the Group's RoE will help to reduce the market valuation discount and increase total shareholder returns.

## **Table of Contents**

### **Strategic Risk overview**

Although economic and market conditions remained challenging in 2013, our credit and market risk portfolios have continued to perform well

Maintaining our risk profile at an acceptable and appropriate level is essential to ensure our continued performance. Barclays constantly reviews its exposures in a number of areas including asset quality, industry and geography.

### **2013 performance**

While the economic environment in Barclays' main areas of business was marked by generally weak growth in 2013, the loan impairment charges reduced by 7% with the loan loss rate finishing the year 6bp lower at 64bps. The loan impairment charge for wholesale decreased by 27% principally reflecting a reduction in Corporate Banking due to lower exposure to the Spanish property and construction sector and lower charges in the UK. Impairment increased by 4% in retail mainly in UK RBB and Barclaycard as the two businesses grew and expanded their business portfolios and from the non-recurrence of releases in 2012.

Market risk remains at moderate levels and the daily value at risk reduced in 2013 from a combination of risk reduction and improved market conditions, notably, tightening of credit spreads.

The operational risk profile remained broadly steady while conduct risk relating to legacy issues was evidenced by additional provisions for PPI and interest rate hedging product redress.

Our funding profile continued to strengthen during 2013 as reliance on wholesale funding reduced as the loan to deposit ratio decreased to 101%. The Group's capital position was enhanced through the £5.8bn rights issue and £2.1bn AT1 securities as action was taken to move toward regulatory capital and leverage targets.

### **Risk was realigned in 2013 through two main programmes:**

#### **Transform Programme**

Under Transform, the risk profiles of businesses were adjusted as they were aligned with their revised strategies to meet the goal of becoming the 'Go-To' bank. This included a lowering of risk in Corporate Banking as Exit Quadrant assets were reduced in 2013. In Europe RBB, the risk profile is in line with the change of focus to mortgage lending and small business customers through its Premier proposition. The risk profile in Barclaycard remained broadly stable with growth within appetite and in line with ongoing strategy of recruiting quality prime customers, combined with a controlled testing into other open market areas of business.

## Revised Risk Management Structure

In 2013, the Group also introduced the Enterprise Risk Management Framework (ERMF), which sets out a framework and approach that is applicable to the whole bank, all colleagues and to all types of risk. To strengthen the governance relating to conduct and reputation matters Conduct risk and Reputation risk were re-categorised as Principal Risks in 2013 with Executive Committee sponsorship from the Principal Risk Owner, the Head of Compliance. The Board Enterprise Wide Risk Committee was also created in 2013. It recommends to the Board the Group's overall risk appetite as well as evaluating and reporting details of the Group's overall risk profile and risk monitoring.

[14 > Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013](#)

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

**Table of Contents**

Group overview	02	In this section the Group Chief Risk Office gives a summary of key changes in Principal Risks during 2013.
Chief Executive's strategic review	04	
Strategy and operating environment	06	
Business model/Value creation	08	
Balanced Scorecard	10	
Group Finance Director's review	12	
<b>Strategic Risk overview</b>	<b>14</b>	
Performance review by division	18	
Chairman's governance overview	46	
Summary remuneration report	48	

**Key influences on risk in 2013**

In 2013, a number of risks were considered and dealt with in order to minimise impact on the Group's performance and ability to meet its goals and priorities. These included, but were not limited to:

- ; Uncertain economic environment, including GDP growth, inflation, property values and unemployment
- ; Political uncertainty in a number of countries which could have adversely impacted the Group's retail and institutional customers
- ; The risk of a Eurozone crisis whereby a sovereign may default and exit from the Eurozone. While this receded somewhat during 2013 it could have resulted in losses to the Group through, for example, redomination risk
- ; Changes in both the level and volatility of prices, for example, interest rates, credit spreads, commodity prices, equity prices and foreign exchange rates, which could impact earnings or capital
- ; Potential operational risk of service disruption to customers as a result of failed systems or human errors, or increasing threat from cyber-attacks and breaches of security, with adverse effects on the Group's reputation, operations and financial condition
- ; Increased levels of legal proceedings in jurisdictions where it operates, and changing legal and regulatory environments, which could lead to fines, public reprimands and damage to reputation



; Changing and uncertain regulatory environment

## Risk and business strategy

The risk function plays a significant role in review and challenge of risks inherent within business plans and strategy by verifying that they fall within financial volatility risk appetite and incur a level of risk that is individually and in aggregate acceptable to the Board, or be modified accordingly. The Group-wide stress testing process is similarly linked to the medium term planning process and also supports strategic planning and capital adequacy. Barclays manages human rights risk via our environmental and social risk procedures and guidance and our reputational risk framework and continues to work collaboratively with others in our sector on integration of human rights issues into business decision making. Risk management responsibilities are laid out in the ERMF, which covers the categories of risk in which Barclays has its most significant actual or potential risk exposures, which are known as Principal Risks (see table on the following pages).

### Robert Le Blanc

Chief Risk Officer

#### Future priorities

At a time of significant internal and external change and uncertainty in the business environment, it is important that we continue to keep our customers at the forefront of our activities while meeting new regulatory requirements and improving effectiveness in the risk management process. Our priorities for 2014 reflect these aims and include:

- ; Providing our customers with faster decisions and enhancing support for those experiencing difficulties
  
- ; Closely managing funding and liquidity risk including redenomination risk
  
- ; Meeting regulatory expectations in relation to the PRA Leverage Ratio during 2014
  
- ; Implementing and embedding the ERMF across Risk including further enhancement of reputation and conduct risk management
  
- ; Continuing to manage and control impairment across the firm

Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

j Continuing to enhance and strengthen our operational risk management processes

j Further enhancing Recovery and Resolution Planning and responding to regulatory requirements for structural reform (e.g. UK Retail Ring Fence)

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

[Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 15](#)

**Table of Contents**

[Strategic Risk overview >](#)

continued

[Example of a related strategic](#)

[objective and associated risks](#)

Principal Risk	Objective	Example of a significant risk taken in pursuit of the objective
<p><a href="#">Credit risk</a></p> <p>The suffering of financial loss should any of our customers not fulfil their contractual obligations to the Group.</p>	<p>We are committed to supporting all our customers, counterparties and clients in their day-to-day banking needs and to tailoring our products to meet their requirements.</p>	<p>The credit risk that the Group faces from providing services to customers mainly arises from the default of wholesale and retail loans and advances particularly in a deteriorating credit environment.</p>
<p><a href="#">Market risk</a></p> <p>The reduction to earnings or capital due to volatility of trading book positions or an inability to hedge the banking book balance sheet.</p>	<p>To provide a range of execution and risk management services across all the major traded product classes.</p>	<p>Extreme market events have the potential to create large losses.</p>
<p><a href="#">Funding risk</a></p>		

Failure to maintain capital ratios and liquidity obligations could lead to an inability to support normal business activity and meet liquidity regulatory requirements.

To maintain high levels of quality capital to ensure we have the financial strength to continue supporting customers and clients, be it lending to creditworthy small businesses or arranging the financing of large cross-border projects.

If we cannot forecast our future capital needs accurately, for instance because of unforeseen regulatory actions or unexpected losses, a funding shortfall may occur.

### Operational risk

Losses or costs resulting from human factors, inadequate or failed internal processes and systems or external events.

To provide our personal customers with 24/7 access to the widest range of account facilities to enable them to pursue their financial goals, whenever they want and wherever.

Criminals are constantly searching for ways to perpetrate fraud against Barclays and its customers.

### Conduct risk

Detriment caused to our customers, clients, counterparties, or the Bank and its employees because of inappropriate judgement in the execution of our business activities.

We seek to provide customers with products that are tailored to their changing needs and the evolving financial landscape.

In pursuit of business, products may be sold to customers and clients that are unsuitable because of inadequate complexity, liquidity or other factors.

### Reputation risk

Damage to Barclays brand arising from any association, action or inaction which is perceived by stakeholders to be inappropriate or unethical.

We aim to become the Go-To bank for customers and all stakeholders.

The negative events that have beset Barclays and the banking industry in past years could recur if we failed to identify and pro-actively mitigate the risk of failure to act in accordance with our purpose and values.



**Table of Contents**

Examples of how Barclays mitigates the risk...	...and how this primarily contributes to our scorecard
<p>The Group sets out the level of risk it is prepared to accept through the risk appetite agreed by the Board and closely monitors and manages the risk during the year through, for example, the application of mandate and scale limits. When a customer does experience financial difficulties the Group may assist by offering a forbearance programme which is structured to be appropriate to the nature and expected duration of the their distress. It aims to provide the customer with a sustainable programme to help return them to good financial health.</p>	<p><b>Customers &amp; Clients</b></p> <p><b>Citizenship</b></p> <p><b>Company</b></p>
<p>We have built a business centred on client needs as opposed to the pursuit of profits from price changes and our well embedded stress testing framework helps ensure our portfolio is not overly exposed to extreme market events.</p>	<p><b>Customers &amp; Clients</b></p> <p><b>Company</b></p>

Capital planning is a significant component of our stress testing framework. The adequacy of all categories of capital are tested under severe economic and market scenarios, including consideration of business losses, and the availability of funds in the market according to different scenarios. Mitigation plans are developed based on this analysis.

**Customers & Clients**

**Citizenship**

**Company**

Our Fraud Risk management team works to ensure we keep ahead of fraudsters by investing in technology, and ensuring we support clients where incidents may occur.

**Customers & Clients**

**Citizenship**

We have developed a suitability framework that clarifies the criteria that must be followed before a product is considered suitable for a customer or client. It ensures that management and their teams consider how customers' needs may change in the future under various scenarios.

**Customers & Clients**

**Colleague**

**Conduct**

As part of our plan to become the Go-To bank, the Transform Programme places significant emphasis on values. All members of staff have received extensive training and senior management aim to embody our new values in everything they do. These values are being embedded in all of the bank's activities.

**Customers & Clients**

**Citizenship**

**Conduct**

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

[Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 17](#)



**Table of Contents**

**UK Retail and Business Banking (UK RBB)**

We are determined to go out of our way to make our customer's lives much easier, which is how we will continue to achieve sustainable long-term results.

**Ashok Vaswani**

CEO, Retail and Business Banking

The UK Retail and Business Banking business model

18 > [Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013](#)

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

**Table of Contents**

Group overview	02	This section provides a summary of the business models, strategies and risks in each of the Barclays businesses, as well as a discussion on the 2013 financial performance.
Chief Executive's strategic review	04	
Strategy and operating environment	06	
Business model/Value creation	08	
Balanced Scorecard	10	
Group Finance Director's review	12	
Strategic Risk overview	14	
<b>Performance review by division</b>	<b>18</b>	
Chairman's governance overview	46	
Summary remuneration report	48	

Our overriding goal is to provide our customers with simple products and to invest heavily in the customer experience, through both technology and people. This should result in customers consolidating their relationships with us and provide us with balance sheet and income growth.

**Market and operating environment**

UK RBB is performing well and continues to support the UK economy.

There is an opportunity to embrace the technological innovation and changing competitive landscape to enable our existing customers to do more with us, while protecting our market share and particularly driving income growth in Business Banking and mortgages.

We are reshaping the way we interact with our customers in a way that will drive customer satisfaction and deepen customer engagement, whilst simultaneously reducing the costs that customers do not value and growing our franchise.

**Business model risks**

While executing our strategy with speed and without compromising quality, we need to be cognisant of the emerging regulatory changes and adapt accordingly. As we continue to focus on rebuilding trust and reputation through improving customer experience, we also need to ensure operational risks are appropriately addressed in the business.

Any deterioration in the economic environment in the UK could adversely impact customers ability to keep up repayments and consequently, we will continue to manage risk appropriately. The UK remains a competitive environment with specialised providers offering web-based services, however our continued investment in technology and processing will help significantly improve and differentiate our customer experience.

Balanced Scorecard

## Customer and client

Our aim is to become the Go-To bank for all of our customers. That means we want all of our customers to be advocates of Barclays. We have a lot of work to do.

We will achieve this by significantly improving and differentiating customers experience of banking with Barclays through routine transactions and at the vital moments in their life.

We will measure progress through our Net Promoter Score® a widely used measure of customer advocacy.

Our external complaints reporting has also been enhanced in the UK with reporting beyond the FCA requirements and the launch of externally published Spotlight reports that focus on the key areas of customer complaints and how we are tackling them.

Through regular and rigorous review of our customers feedback we will identify where and how we will invest to make customer s interactions with us simpler, more intuitive and more personalised.

## **Table of Contents**

### [UK Retail and Business Banking \(UK RBB\) >](#)

continued

This will ensure we will deliver the right outcomes for customers, quickly and transparently and keep them informed along the way.

It will also help our colleagues better understand what we need do differently to become 'Go-To' for our customers across Barclays' retail operations.

In 2013 we implemented a number of initiatives to affect this ambition:

- We made significant progress in our core processes satisfaction scores
- We asked customers how we can make banking better through the Your Bank campaign and we're using their ideas to make some real improvements
- We are also evolving the way we operate to meet customers' changing needs. The pilot we've recently announced with Asda, bringing us closer to offering services where and when our customers want them, and SkyBranch, which transforms how our telephony business connects with customers, are great examples of this

### **Colleague**

We will continue to invest in our colleagues and create a diverse and inclusive environment allowing colleagues to fulfil their potential. This will ensure that our colleagues are fully engaged allowing them to provide excellent end-to-end customer service.

We also have an ambition to create the most digitally savvy workforce to help our customers become confident with digital banking.

During 2013 we started our search for 'Digital Eagles' colleagues across the business to support digital awareness and understanding in the UK. With over 5,000 Digital Eagle colleagues across the UK we are well placed to achieve this ambition.

### **Citizenship**

UK RBB continued to embed and contribute to the Barclays' 2015 Citizenship Plan. We have been focusing on the way we do business, listening more to our customers and showing them we've acted. We launched the Your Bank site where everyone (not just Barclays customers) can tell us what products and services will make their lives easier.

UK RBB continued to support the UK economy; advancing £1.8bn of gross new term lending to small businesses and helping over 120,000 start-ups. We also organise small business seminars, tools and trainings, which were attended by

over 20,000 people in 2013.

We are supporting our community through activities such as LifeSkills, our free, curriculum-linked programme designed to give young people in the UK access to the skills, information and opportunities they need to help them towards getting the jobs they want. The programme has so far reached out to 276,000 young people.

## Conduct

We continue to de-risk our portfolio ensuring everything that we do is open and transparent, this includes the way we re managing the PPI complaints process.

We are committed to building a culture based on Barclays Values. We will continue to focus on the right outcomes for our customers delivered by empowered colleagues who act with integrity at all times. We have conducted business-wide risk assessments to identify conduct risk issues. We will use this process to measure and control these risks and in so doing eliminate the gaps between what our customers expect from us and what we deliver to them. We intend to lead the way in restoring trust and pride in our industry and becoming the Go-To Bank

## Company

### Contribution to total income

£4,523m

	2013	2012	2011
Income (£m)	4,523	4,384	4,621
Adjusted profit before tax (£m)	1,195	1,225	1,222
Adjusted ROE (%)	11.5	12.3	12
Loan loss rate (bps)	25	21	44
Loans and advances to customers (£bn)	136.5	128.1	121.2
Customer deposits (£bn)	135.5	116.0	111.8
Distribution points	1,560	1,593	1,625

UK RBB performed well in 2013, growing at a faster rate than the market in key products, including increasing its stock share of mortgages. Income increased 3% to £4,523m driven by strong mortgage growth and contribution from Barclays Direct (previously ING Direct UK, acquired during Q113).

UK RBB continued to restructure and invest in the business as part of the Transform strategy. Adjusted operating expenses increased 4% to £3,008m due to costs to achieve Transform of £175m.

Adjusted profit before tax decreased 2% to £1,195m.

Loans and advances to customers increased 7% to £136.5bn due to Barclays Direct, which added £4.4bn, and other mortgage growth.

For more information on performance, please see  
pages 237 to 268

#### Future priorities

- ; Revolutionising our product set; offering simple products which are supported by industrial strength engines
- ; Investing in the customer experience; instant, transparent services with seamless multichannel access
- ; Selective balance sheet growth; opportunity with customers we know in consumer lending and mortgages
- ; Cost reduction; delivery of straight through processing, reduction in paper and infrastructure

## **Table of Contents**

Your Bank

learning from our customers

We are determined to rebuild trust in Barclays and demonstrate day-in day-out that we are changing, and doing the right thing for customers. In September, we launched Your Bank – the online platform that allows us to continually listen, respond, anticipate and learn from our customers.

As well as getting feedback and sense-checking our ideas, we have created a place where customers can share their ideas and suggestions for how Barclays can be better shaped around their needs and individual life stages, putting them at the heart of everything we do.

In the first week we received over 370,000 interactions on the Your Bank site and 2,226 ideas.

With all of the ideas that we receive, we are taking a ‘you said, we did’ approach and have made 8 commitments to making real change for our customers.

1. We are undertaking a formal review of our overdraft charges in 2014, with a view to making them simpler and more transparent. We have already started pilots with the launch of text alerts in June to customers who had gone over their overdraft limit and into their personal reserve, which would mean they would traditionally incur a £22 charge. The alerts tell them they have a day’s grace to add cleared funds and avoid the charge. In the first three months, over 65,000 customers avoided charges totalling over £1.4m, a response rate of 27 per cent. We are

now looking at where else we can roll out text alerts as a next step. Yes it’s a cost to us, but it’s the right thing to do.

2. We have launched CloudIt through online and mobile banking as a result of customer feedback. Being able to access your secure documents whilst on the move will really make our customer’s lives much easier.

3. Contactless payments have been very well received by customers, so we’re now looking at alternative contactless methods of payments which we’ll be testing with customers.

4. We’re looking to pilot paying in coin machines in our branches. Our customers told us they’d welcome something that can automatically count coins and credit their account.

5. We will extend our free Barclays Pingit app to the under 16’s, subject to developing proper parental controls. Younger customers, being tech savvy, will benefit from the app allowing them to send and receive money simply using their mobile phone number.

6. We are going to allow our mobile banking customers to set up new payees on their mobiles. This will extend the app meaning that customers can really do much more on the move.

Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

7. To further extend our services to a wider range of customers we ve made our mobile banking app available on Windows platform and are planning to do the same for Pingit.

8. We have expanded our mobile banking services to include mortgage accounts, so customers can view their mortgage arrangements wherever they are.

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

[Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 21](#)



**Table of Contents**

**Europe Retail and Business Banking (Europe RBB)**

In 2013 we restructured our business and are now on track to deliver the Go-To Premier bank in Europe.

**Curt Hess**

Chief Executive Officer, Europe RBB

The Europe Retail and Business Banking business model

[22 > Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013](#)

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

**Table of Contents**

In 2013 we made significant progress in transforming ourselves to become the **Go-To** bank for mass affluent customers in Spain, Portugal, Italy and France

### **Market and operating environment**

In 2013 the macroeconomic environment remained challenging – economic contraction, unemployment and political and regulatory uncertainty impacted both us and our competitors. Against these uncertainties we successfully reduced headcount and branch operations while taking steps to de-risk the business.

Going forward we will grow mass affluent customers, leveraging strong relationship management capabilities and a comprehensive product range, while capitalising on our global brand and investment services expertise. This focused strategy will enable us to compete successfully with local and international competitors. At the same time we will continue to support our existing mass market customers through a lower cost platform.

### **Business Model risks**

Further deterioration in macroeconomic conditions in Europe could adversely impact credit risk through the deterioration in asset prices or a customer's ability to service repayment obligations.

The business continues to proactively work to identify and mitigate any operational risk of pending regulatory change without compromising customer service and minimise disruption to the progress of our business.

In executing our strategy, we need to ensure we can manage operational risk and grow the business without compromising on quality or control.

Balanced Scorecard

### **Customer and client**

## Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

Our aim is to become the Go-To bank for mass affluent customers. We will do this by providing customers with top quality service and a differentiated proposition based on relationship management and investment services.

We will measure our progress through our Net Promoter Score®. By reviewing our customers feedback we will know whether we are achieving the positive customer experiences critical to our goal of growing mass affluent relationships.

In 2013 we launched initiatives to improve customer experience, including:

- A new Premier value proposition which provides customers with an experience tailored to their needs and ambitions
- Issuing iPads to our colleagues to speed up customer service and allow us to serve them where they are
- Faster credit approvals in Spain 98% of customers get a decision within 2 hours
- Enhanced online capabilities which allow customers to customise the banking alerts and updates they receive from us



## **Table of Contents**

### [Europe Retail and Business Banking \(Europe RBB\) >](#)

continued

These contributed to strong customer satisfaction and high customer advocacy scores – in Portugal we ranked #1 for service quality in a recent mystery shopping survey and in Spain we ranked the #2 most loved bank in a recent survey, well ahead of our mass affluent segment competitors.

### **Colleague**

We are committed to investing in our colleagues and encouraging the right values and behaviours from them.

In 2013 we launched the Premier Way, a training program that enables relationship managers to have confident, professional discussions with clients about their holistic financial needs.

In 2014 this programme will expand to include financial planning as well as giving colleagues access to externally recognised professional qualifications.

### **Citizenship**

We are implementing mechanisms to make citizenship part of what we do every day, as well as inspiring our colleagues, clients and other stakeholders to do the same.

In 2013 we implemented the Citizenship Lens into our processes for approving new products.

We continued our commitment to local communities by investing in employability programs for young people. Europe RBB colleagues have contributed over 11,000 hours to help their local communities.

### **Conduct**

We are dedicated to delivering the right outcomes for our customers.

In 2013 we raised awareness of conduct as a principal risk across the business and launched a Code of Conduct to guide how we all should work each day. We also commenced a programme to review critical end-to-end processes and identify possible conduct issues – in doing so identify potential mitigants to eliminate the gap between what our customers expect from us and what we deliver to them.

### **Company**

#### **Contribution to total income**

£666m

	2013	2012	2011
Income (£m)	666	708	1,004
Loss before tax (£m)	(996)	(343)	(767)
Adjusted ROE (%)	(45.2)	(12.9)	(9.7)
Loan loss rate (bps)	75	64	43
Loans and advances to customers (£bn)	37.0	39.2	42.7
Customer deposits (£bn)	45.0	46.1	50.2
Distribution points	633	1,142	1,228

Europe RBB continued to focus on restructuring the cost base of its business in 2013, as part of the Transform strategy. Income declined 6% to £666m, reflecting actions taken to reduce assets, particularly in Spain and Italy, to address the continuing economic challenges across Europe.

During the year the business reduced full time equivalent employees by 1,600 and closed over 500 distribution points. Operating expenses increased by £435m to £1,242m, almost entirely reflecting costs to achieve Transform of £403m.

Loss before tax increased £653m to £996m, including costs to achieve Transform of £403m and an increase in other net expenses.

Europe RBB also rolled out a new Premier customer proposition, targeting profitable growth from the mass affluent segment, in a drive to increase margins.

Loans and advances to customers fell by 6% to £37.0bn, driven by asset reduction activity as part of the Transform strategy.

For more information on performance, please see pages 237 to 268

### Future priorities

In 2014 we will:

- Grow Premier customers
- Acquire new mass affluent customers
- Deepen relationships with existing customers



- ; Continue to control costs by transitioning mass market customers to lower cost channels over time
- ; Optimise the performance and accelerate the run-off of our less attractive Exit Quadrant assets

[24 > Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013](#)

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

**Table of Contents**

Happy customers and  
healthy relationships

For one Italian customer Barclays has provided investment management and advice for three years and over that period developed a healthy and profitable relationship.

During this time we have worked to provide the customer with bank-assurance products, mutual funds, structured products, asset under custody and liquidity products. In doing so we have successfully deepened our relationship with the customer by more than doubling his assets under management over a two year period.

This customer is a strong advocate for Barclays and has been extremely satisfied with his Premier relationship manager highlighting the professionalism, proactivity and transparency of the services his relationship manager provided as the reasons for the customer's high satisfaction.

In addition to the high quality day-to-day relationship, we were also able to leverage some of the benefits we get from being part of a UK based global bank by giving this customer the opportunity to attend exclusive events that the customer greatly appreciated. These have helped us enhance our relationship.

Going forward we will continue to work closely with this customer to meet their personal banking and investment needs.

But we're not stopping there! We know this customer owns a successful SME business and we want to further deepen our relationship by providing products and services to that business in doing so help our client achieve his ambitions across both his professional and personal life.

**Table of Contents**

**Africa**

We offer our clients deep local knowledge and presence and the expertise and support of a global bank.

**Maria Ramos**

Chief Executive, Africa

Africa business model

[26 > Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013](#)

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

## **Table of Contents**

The African continent provides an excellent growth opportunity for the Group. With our strategy for Africa, we are well-positioned to benefit from this emerging market opportunity.

### **Market and operating environment**

Emerging markets growth eased somewhat in 2013 whilst developed country central banks maintained their accommodative monetary policy stance, with some cutting interest rates and others injecting liquidity into the financial system. South Africa's economic growth remained muted and we faced increasingly strong competition. In our rest of Africa markets, economic growth remained resilient. Our regulatory environment continues to evolve and rising customer and client expectations as well as new technologies are challenging how we do business.

### **Business Model risks**

Current economic conditions in South Africa bring increased financial pressure on customers and clients, some of whom may already be struggling to fulfil their payment obligations when faced with subdued economic activity and potentially rising interest rates. Rest of Africa challenges such as infrastructure constraints, lower commodity prices, and fiscal and current account imbalances in some markets have led to modest declines in our economic growth forecasts for some of the economies.

Intensified regulatory and government intervention ensures a sound operating environment; however, it does lead to increased cost of compliance and complexity of doing business.

We continue to see a host of emerging and non-traditional competitors as well as increasing consumer expectations for traditional banks to meet new service standards.

Balanced Scorecard

### **Customer and client**

Barclays is a powerful local bank, a powerful regional bank and a powerful global player. We use this unique advantage to the benefit of our customers and clients.

## Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

In Retail and Business Banking (RBB), we have focused on enhancing the customer experience. In South Africa this began with simplifying transactional product offerings, expanding the digital experience through the launch of our banking app, and rolling out a fully integrated online insurance platform. In our rest of Africa markets, customers are benefiting from revised Premier and Prestige banking proposition, Barclays Direct, Worldmiles Platinum credit / debit card as well as CashSend money transfer. It is our goal to simplify processes and improve customer experience.

We will measure our progress in our RBB businesses through our Net Promoter Score® a widely used measure of customer advocacy. Through regular and rigorous review of our customers and clients feedback we will identify where and how we need to invest to make the customer journeys simpler, more intuitive and more personalised.

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

[Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 27](#)

## **Table of Contents**

### **Africa >**

continued

For our Corporate and Investment Banking clients we continue to bring African clients to the global financial markets and give our global clients access to Africa. For example, we are leveraging our leading foreign exchange platform BARX Africa to trade 40 currencies across our key African markets. We have completed a pilot for Barclays Net – a streamlined and full-featured cash management platform for our corporate and commercial clients with full roll-out in South Africa in 2014.

In 2013, we were named Best Debt House in Africa for the fourth consecutive year by EuroMoney, Best Bond House and Best FX House at the Spire Awards and we were voted best Overall Bank by Risk South Africa.

We have a strong plan in place to continue capturing the opportunities before us by improving customer and client experience, driving process simplification as well as innovative products and systems.

### **Colleague**

We have made notable progress in building a talent pipeline that supports our ambitions and our expanded African footprint provides a unique opportunity for talented people to advance their careers. We want to be known for our leadership bench strength and we have embarked on a number of transformational leadership and values based programmes which are designed to support our leaders in delivering on a demanding performance agenda.

### **Citizenship**

Our ambition is to help facilitate greater, more inclusive prosperity in Africa. In 2013, we consolidated our citizenship structures thus strengthening our ability to deliver our African plan. Over the year our activities ranged from funding renewable energy projects to increasing access to financial services for individuals and small businesses. We continued to invest our community investment spend in targeted skills development programmes with proven job creation capabilities. Our colleagues continued to participate in a range of volunteering events such as the Nelson Mandela Day in South Africa and Barclays global Make a Difference Day campaign.

### **Conduct**

Our values underpin the way we behave and form the backbone of our reputation as a trusted financial services provider. Our ongoing programme to bring our revised values alive culminated with the launch of the Barclays Way – a global Code of Conduct – to embed clear and consistent expectations of behaviours across the Group.

In addition to our underlying values, we believe that laws, regulations and codes are an enabler to our business ensuring that we make informed decisions in how we manage our business and sustain ourselves into the future.

As with many other jurisdictions, we are managing regulatory change as well as increasing expectations from stakeholders, and our response supports our commitment to treat our customers fairly and operate with integrity.

## Basis of Company reporting

Barclays Group reports Africa RBB separately and the following information relates specifically to the Africa RBB reporting segment. Results from other global businesses operating in Africa are integrated within each respective business results.

## Company

### Contribution to total income

£2,617m

Africa RBB	2013	2012	2011
Income (£m)	2,617	2,928	3,364
Profit before tax (£m)	404	322	730
Adjusted ROE (%)	0.4	(0.1)	7.4
Loan loss rate (bps)	128	202	136
Loans and advances to customers (£bn)	24.2	29.9	32.5
Customer deposits (£bn)	16.9	19.5	20.3
Distribution points	1,396	1,451	1,493

2013 was affected by increased competition, a changing regulatory environment and foreign exchange movements, as average ZAR depreciated 16% against GBP. Income declined 11% to £2,617m driven by foreign currency movements, partially offset by the non-recurrence of fair value adjustments in the commercial property finance portfolio in the prior year. On a constant currency basis, income improved 5%, despite continued pressure on transaction volumes in a competitive environment.

The business incurred £26m of costs to achieve Transform which supported the re-shaping of the branch network and ongoing work on digitalisation of customer channels and products. Operating expenses decreased 4% to £1,896m. On a constant currency basis, costs increased 11% driven by a combination of increased investment spend on infrastructure and inflation increases in South Africa.

Profit before tax increased 25% to £404m. On a constant currency basis, profit before tax was up 57%, largely as a result of lower impairment provisions on the South African home loans recovery book.

Loans and advances to customers decreased 19% to £24.2bn as foreign currency movements offset growth of 2%, particularly in vehicle and asset finance.

For more information on performance, please see pages 237 to 268

## Future priorities



We have articulated very clear priorities for 2014:

- ; Continuing the turnaround programme for our RBB franchise in South Africa, and the build out across the continent;
- ; Investing in Corporate Banking across the continent;
- ; Capturing the growth opportunity in our Wealth, Investment Management and Insurance franchise;
- ; Continuing to invest in and develop the talent we have across the business; and
- ; These priorities are underpinned by an investment programme of over ZAR 3bn that will be invested into several large projects such as transforming our branches, integrating and standardising IT, investing in our digital capabilities and creating efficient processing hubs in the right locations.

## **Table of Contents**

Working together to serve global and regional clients and local customers

[We are bringing together the best of our African businesses with the best of our global franchise to the benefit of our customers and clients.](#)

During 2013, we worked closely between our operations across Africa and with our global colleagues to meet the needs of our customers and clients. We have been the bridge between local and global markets, we have helped our African clients achieve their regional growth ambitions and we have brought new products and solutions to our customers, clients and the communities in which we operate.

### **Local going global**

Acting as a joint-lead arranger we have raised \$1bn for South African state-owned power utility Eskom through a 10-year bond offering. The transaction achieved \$4bn in investor demand and represented Eskom's second US Dollar issuance. We are the only institution to have acted as joint-lead manager on both issuances.

### **Global going local**

Acting as the sole sponsor and joint financial advisor, we helped Glencore Xtrata, a global diversified natural resource company to access Africa with a listing on the Johannesburg Stock Exchange. This listing makes investment in Glencore more accessible to South African investors through its classification as a domestic equity.

### **Local going regional**

South African companies are looking at the rest of the continent for growth and we continue to help the expansion of corporates on the continent. We are supporting a clothing retailer's expansion into Ghana and won the tender to provide services to a major food retailer across six countries – Botswana, Ghana, Mauritius, Tanzania, Uganda and Zambia.

### **Access to global products and services**

With Barclaycard, we have rolled out a Worldmiles Platinum credit card in Botswana, Egypt, Kenya and Mauritius and the debit card version in Egypt, Ghana, Tanzania, Uganda and Zambia providing local customers with access to a leading global product. We have also worked closely with Barclaycard to launch the Pebble – a mobile payment device in South Africa.

### **Leveraging the Barclays Social Innovation Facility for product and services that drive social and commercial value**

## Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

With GlaxoSmithKline, we are investing up to £7m over three years, to help remove financial barriers to healthcare access while supporting small business development and job creation in Zambia. By combining our skills, expertise and resources, we hope to tackle the challenges of last mile delivery and create a model that could be scaled across Africa to help more people gain access to affordable healthcare.

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

[Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 29](#)

**Table of Contents**

**Barclaycard**

Barclaycard is one of the few global leaders in payments that provides Go-To solutions to both buyers and sellers, enabling us to deliver consistent growth and welcome close to 14m new customers in the past three years.

**Valerie Soranno Keating**

CEO, Barclaycard

The Barclaycard business model

[30 > Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013](#)

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

## **Table of Contents**

Barclaycard operates in the fast-growing consumer payments space, supporting buyers and sellers by enabling consumers and businesses to make and take payments. We provide the ability for consumers and businesses to fund these payments with credit.

### **Market and Operating environment**

The consumer payments sector is growing rapidly as a result of changing customer behaviour, based on the growth of electronic and mobile commerce and changing payment preferences as key factors. New technology, competition and regulations all present opportunities, but also the need to adjust our business model. Our customers and clients want us to do more for them, and to do it better, faster and cheaper, and digitally. This presents many opportunities for Barclaycard and also presents challenges.

### **Business Model risks**

This changing marketplace could create risks to the Barclaycard business model. The emerging competitive landscape in consumer payments is seeing new players enter the payment value chain, and new use of technology generating the need for continuous innovation and technology investment. Our unique ability of supporting both buyers and sellers, diverse distribution channels, and the scale of our business in multiple countries helps to mitigate the impact of this risk.

Other potential risks come from macroeconomic headwinds creating further credit risk for our customers and reducing income through potential margin compression, impacts of further regulatory change or increased operational risk. Our diversified business model and commitment to leading standards for business practice should help limit the potential impact from these.

Balanced Scorecard

## Customer and client

Barclaycard serves 35.5m customers, an 8% increase on prior year and 350k business clients, an 11% increase. We have focused on offering customers simple, innovative products as part of our objective of moving from satisfied customers to customers who are strong advocates of our business. We have implemented Net Promoter Score® as our key customer metric to measure this.

We have continued to build on a heritage of innovation, supporting more than 1m payments enabled devices across three continents and working with Transport for London on acceptance of contactless cards for over 6.5m bus journeys in the UK. We have launched bFlex, a revolutionary, flexible finance product designed for online purchases. Our tailored offers engine for UK customers, bespoke, has reached over 800k registered users, of whom over half are new to Barclays.

We have also worked with other areas of Barclays to better serve our customers, such as through the Better Together initiative, which combines current accounts and Barclaycard Freedom Rewards to provide customers with access to credit and the launch of Absa Pebble, Africa's first mobile payment acceptance device.

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

[Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 31](#)

## **Table of Contents**

### **Barclaycard >**

continued

Through our customer focus, we have seen a 39% reduction in complaints to a level of 3.12 per 1,000 accounts in 2013 and zero high-rated Regulatory Compliance monitoring reviews.

Our leading products have received numerous industry awards, including the Moneyfacts award for Best Card Provider for both our Balance Transfer rate (3rd year in a row) and Standard rate products in the UK, being ranked 2nd among Visa/MasterCard issuers in the 2013 JD Power industry customer service rankings in the US and winning the Best Corporate Card Provider at the Business Travel Awards.

### **Colleague**

We are committed to attracting, developing and retaining colleagues of the highest calibre and integrity. We continue to bring in the best talent from diverse backgrounds, while developing our existing talent. We ensure progression and rotation at all levels of the organisation and follow a structured learning and leadership development programme to develop our colleagues.

In 2013, we continued to make progress with our diversity agenda, with 34% women in senior leadership. We have also sustained high levels of colleague engagement to ensure talent retention, with 95% of high performers retained.

We have moved our Absa Card office to a new, world-class site in Pretoria and won the Customer Service Training Network Training Programme of the Year award for our UK contact centres.

### **Citizenship**

Barclaycard has continued to embed and contribute to the Barclays 2015 Citizenship Plan.

We have continued to focus on the way we do business to ensure we are fair and transparent. We have simplified the Terms & Conditions of many of our products.

We have ensured we are supporting the economy and contributing to growth, including offering £15.8bn in new lending to businesses and households in 2013, and adding a charity redemption category for Freedom Rewards customers in the UK who want to donate their collected points.

We are supporting our community through activities such as Royal British Legion London Poppy Day, supporting poppy sales with our colleagues and contactless terminals.

### **Conduct**



We continue to rollout the Barclays Values and Behaviours, empowering our Values Leaders to embed them with their colleagues.

Barclaycard continues to review policies and practices to identify and proactively ensure that they continue to be simple, leading, innovative and transparent, including those associated with handling PPI complaints. We are committed to ensuring that we adhere to the highest standards of conduct, proactively driving cultural change through developing best-in-class conduct risk awareness training.

## Company

### Contribution to total income

£4,786m

	2013	2012	2011
Income (£m)	4,786	4,344	4,305
Adjusted profit before tax (£m)	1,507	1,482	1,212
Adjusted ROE (%)	18.4	19.8	16.1
Loan loss rate (bps)	337	294	394
Loans and advances to customers (£bn)	35.6	33.8	31.0
Customer deposits (£bn)	38.9	38.2	34.8

Barclaycard continued to grow in all markets, with a net increase of nearly three million new customers in 2013. Income improved 10% to £4,786m reflecting continued net lending growth and contributions from 2012 portfolio acquisitions.

The business incurred £49m of costs to achieve Transform, as it continued to seek to become the Go-To bank for consumer payments. Adjusted operating expenses increased 11% to £2,048m reflecting increased costs from 2012 portfolio acquisitions, net lending growth, higher operating losses and costs to achieve Transform.

Barclaycard continued to deliver adjusted profit growth, improving 2% to £1,507m driven by the US and UK card portfolios.

Total assets increased 2% to £38.9bn primarily driven by the increase in loans and advances to customers across the UK and international businesses.

For more information on performance, please see

## Future priorities

Barclaycard will become the Go-To bank for consumer payments through:

- Delivering simple, leading and innovative products to our retail consumers and business clients that help them achieve their ambitions in the right way.
- Maintaining our track record of delivering strong financial performance, and delivering against the Transform targets through continued efficiencies, realising economies of scale, diversifying our business model and using world class analytics.
- Attracting, developing and retaining colleagues of the highest calibre and integrity.
- Continuing to have a positive impact in local communities by creating sustainable relationships and using the skills of the business and our colleagues to support these communities.
- Focusing on the way we do business to ensure that our products and services are industry-leading in transparency.

**Table of Contents**

Payment flexibility

Marco Tripoli is a charismatic ladies' fashion designer and boutique owner in South-West London. He uses a Barclaycard ePDQ terminal in his shop to take payment by credit or debit card.

Marco's tiny shop in Barnes is bursting at the seams with unique handbags, jewellery and clothes – around half of which Marco designs himself, the rest arriving twice weekly from all over Europe. His brand is built on a few key selling points: commitment to quality, sustainability and affordability, and a unique approach to customer service.

When women fall in love with a bag or dress at Tripoli's, they're not constrained to a quick decision in a cramped fitting room. Thanks to his Barclaycard ePDQ terminal, Marco can give his customer the option to try before she buys, in the comfort of her own home.

Many of my customers pop in on their way back from dropping the kids at school and if they like something, they'll take it with them to try on at home, says Marco. That way, they can see how a dress looks with their own shoes and accessories – or how one of my handbags complements an outfit.

After closing for the day, Marco calls personally at each of his prospective customers' homes. If they've decided against an item, it goes back to the shop with him. And if they've decided to buy, Marco simply uses his ePDQ terminal to take payment by credit or debit card.

This works really well for people who have children and can't come back to the shop in the afternoon. It's convenient for them and a much friendlier way to do business. In fact, technology, often considered to be faceless and impersonal, actually allows me to have a far more personal service with my customers.

Marco also uses his flexible payment machine at events all over London. At a recent local fair, ePDQ helped him sell 45 tote bags - purely thanks to the convenience of paying by card.

Marco is proud of his service-led, community-centric approach. 5% of his net profits go to a local charity that helps the elderly and disabled - and many of the small businesses in Barnes promote each other's services whenever they can.

These traditional, ethical business values are reflected in the high level of personalised support that Marco's had from his dedicated Barclaycard account manager, Andy.

## Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

He's extremely proactive and offers advice whenever he can. He recently recommended that I use an accounting package to manage my daily expenditure and it's saved me £200 a month in accountancy fees. He really does go over and above what you'd expect.

When Marco's machine broke down the night before a big event in Wimbledon, he simply called Andy and another one was flown immediately from Scotland to London. It arrived 15 minutes before the event started, says Marco, "You just can't put a value on service like that."

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

[Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 33](#)

**Table of Contents**

**Investment Bank**

From our unique position with dual home markets and truly global reach, we are transforming the Investment Bank so that we can continue to help our target clients achieve their ambitions.

**Eric Bommensath**

Co-Chief Executive, Corporate and Investment Banking

**Thomas King**

Co-Chief Executive, Corporate and Investment Banking

The Investment Bank business model

[34 > Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013](#)

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

## **Table of Contents**

The Investment Bank is a leading provider of advice, financing and risk management solutions to companies, governments and institutions around the world.

### **Market and operating environment**

Market conditions and the wider operating environment have remained challenging in 2013. While equity markets, advisory and underwriting all saw gains, continued macroeconomic uncertainty over the future of central bank bond buying programmes led to reduced volumes in Fixed Income markets. At the same time, the implementation of Basel III and new leverage ratios is increasing the constraints on capital allocation across the industry, thereby limiting opportunities for business growth.

### **Business Model risks**

A rapidly evolving regulatory environment combined with a subdued macroeconomic environment has impacted the profitability of capital intensive business lines.

To help mitigate this impact we have been actively rebalancing our business model through growth in Equities and Investment Banking and further intensifying focus on capital management, leverage and the reduction of our Exit Quadrant assets. We continue to keep our business model under review.

The Investment Bank still faces litigation risk and we continue to invest time and resources in strengthening our control environment, evolving our culture and simplifying and de-risking products.

Our business relies on the quality of our people, and so we also continue to reinforce our employee value proposition through a focus on learning and development and, in light of global compensation legislation, reiterating our commitment to pay for performance.

Balanced Scorecard

## Customer and client

Becoming the Go To partner for our clients is about building strong and deep relationships which enable us to support them in achieving their ambitions. To attain this aim we are focused on:

- ; Building strategic relationships with our clients by providing long-term solutions

- ; Providing a world-class client experience through the calibre of our people

- ; Offering seamless and efficient execution of transactions

- ; Developing the highest standards of client conduct through an enhanced product suitability framework

In 2013, we were named the best Flow House in Western Europe, the Best Flow House in North America and the best UK investment Bank by Euromoney.

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 35



## **Table of Contents**

### **Investment Bank >**

continued

### **Colleague**

Our people are fundamental to the performance of our business. We aim to support our colleagues to achieve their ambitions by:

• Providing world-class opportunities for career progression and global mobility

• Delivering industry leading solutions for clients

• Embracing diversity and inclusion

• Rewarding competitively for performance

2013 saw the launch of our Purpose and Values agenda, embedding them into all our HR processes including recruiting, promotion and performance management. Employee engagement was a key theme during the year with increased focus on Talent Management and Succession Planning; initiatives which saw a number of senior management moves across the Investment Bank. We continue to place Diversity & Inclusion to the forefront of our Talent agenda, because it is the right thing to do and because our clients are becoming increasingly diverse.

### **Citizenship**

The Investment Bank contributes to the 2015 Citizenship Plan across our business.

In 2013 we supported a wide range of transactions that make a direct difference to our communities. We did this across our core competencies of capital raising, lending and distribution across various sectors including public finance (governments, supranationals, development banks and other public sector entities), alternative energy and life sciences sectors.

In addition, we provided employment and training opportunities for over 650 graduates, and our staff contributed over 48,000 volunteer hours in 2013 to worthwhile causes around the globe.

### **Conduct**

Conduct is about ensuring that our products and services are designed and distributed to meet the needs of our clients and that we act with integrity in everything we do. In 2013 we have continued to invest heavily in this area through focus on the following:

- Culture and Organisation: Embedding our culture by completing values and behaviour training for all our staff and re-balancing how we assess and reward our people to place increased focus on conduct, risk and control
- Frameworks: Developing a comprehensive conduct risk framework and developing tools that support the consistent delivery of controls
- Governance: Putting in place an enhanced governance model including the introduction of a Chief Controls Officer, Reputation Risk Committee and Conduct Risk Steering Committee
- Control Initiatives: Strengthening control design around the trade lifecycle including with relation to unauthorised trading and key benchmark submissions

**Company**

**Contribution to total income**

£10,733m

	<b>2013</b>	2012	2011
Income (£m)	<b>10,733</b>	11,775	10,222
Profit before tax (£m)	<b>2,523</b>	3,990	2,415
ROE (%)	<b>8.2</b>	12.7	7.7
Cost: income ratio (%)	<b>75.0</b>	65.0	76.0
Compensation: income ratio (%)	<b>43.2</b>	39.6	48.4

While industry FICC revenues reduced in 2013, strong growth was seen in the Equities franchise, which continued to outperform the market. Total income decreased 9% to £10,733m, including a reduction of £309m relating to the Exit Quadrant. FICC income decreased 17% to £5,537m. Equities and Prime Services income increased 22% to £2,672m reflecting higher commission income and increased client volumes. Investment Banking income increased 3% to £2,200m driven by increased equity underwriting fees, partly offset by declines in financial advisory activity.

## Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

The Investment Bank continued to make progress in delivering part of the Transform strategy in 2013. The business incurred costs to achieve Transform of £262m, primarily related to restructuring across Europe, Asia and America. Operating expenses increased 5% to £8,012m, driven by costs to achieve Transform, UK bank levy which increased 62% to £333m, cost increases related to infrastructure improvement, and provisions for litigation and regulatory penalties of £220m in Q413, mainly related to US residential mortgage-related business.

Profit before tax decreased 37% to £2,523m.

Total assets decreased £209.9bn to £863.8bn, primarily reflecting decreases in derivative financial instruments, cash and balances at central banks, and trading portfolio assets.

CRD IV RWAs reduced to £221.6bn (30 June 2013: £254.1bn) through accelerated sell down of the Exit Quadrant assets and continued focus on driving efficiency in the ongoing business.

For more information on performance, please see

pages 237 to 268

### Future priorities

Our priorities to become the Go-To Investment Bank are to:

- ; Focus our people and resources on building the deepest relationships possible with our target clients so we become a first call
  
- ; Invest in market-leading talent and technology to deliver the best client experience and remain responsive to clients' changing needs
  
- ; Make efficiency and control a competitive advantage by increasing standardisation and automation across the platform to reduce cost to serve and strengthen controls

**Table of Contents**

Advising on one of the largest mergers & acquisition deals in history

Verizon is one of the world's largest telecommunications companies, and its mobile subsidiary, Verizon Wireless, is the number one and most profitable wireless business in the United States.

Barclays has had a longstanding inner-circle strategic and financing relationship with Verizon, dating back to 2003. When Verizon decided to acquire Vodafone's 45% stake in Verizon Wireless for \$130bn, in the third largest M&A deal ever, Verizon turned to Barclays to act as a financial advisor and joint lead arranger for the largest acquisition finance bridge facility in history. Barclays also served as a main underwriter and lead manager on Verizon's subsequent record breaking \$49bn bond offering.

This landmark transaction will help Verizon achieve its long-term ambition of owning 100% of Verizon Wireless, and it will position Verizon to provide more efficient, industry leading products and solutions to its customers.

It also serves as a clear demonstration of what we mean by Go-To : building long term client relationships and combining strategic advice and deep financing expertise to help our clients achieve their business goals.

[Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 37](#)

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

**Table of Contents**

**Corporate Banking**

Corporate Banking continues to support businesses both in the UK and internationally, and in 2013 delivered the best results since its creation in 2010.

**John Winter**

Chief Executive Officer

The Corporate Banking business model

[38 > Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013](#)

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

## **Table of Contents**

Corporate Banking remains an attractive business, with companies searching for strong banking partners. However, the economic and regulatory environment continues to be challenging.

The global corporate banking market is still growing and profitable although with significant differences across geographies, customer segments and sectors.

- ; UK economic recovery is gathering pace
  
- ; Signs of improvement in the European economy
  
- ; The multinational corporates segment will grow faster than large/mid-Corporates segment. However, top clients in this segment are targeted by both global and specialised local competitors

### **Risks to our business model**

The corporate banking industry is facing a number of risks that we have anticipated and are planning to mitigate:

- ; Some sectors and geographies will likely remain challenging particularly if interest rates rise. This could trigger increases in loan loss rates particularly in the UK where loan loss rates are at a Corporate Banking historical low. We proactively review and manage our exposure to all clients. We have a proven record of supporting businesses through their difficulties and minimising credit losses.
  
- ; In contrast, low interest rates may cause margins to compress. We continue to strengthen our market position by expanding our cash management product offering.
  
- ; Cybercrime and technology disruptions remain a risk. Barclays actively works to increase the resilience and enhance the security of its banking systems by fully supporting UK government and other banks' initiatives to increase system availability and reduce industry risks.
- ; Corporates increasingly use debt markets and other alternative sources of finance and non-UK banks have increased competition. To strengthen our market position we continue to expand our financing product capabilities, as well as to deepen existing, and acquiring new, client relationships.

Balanced Scorecard



## Customer and client

Corporate Banking is focused on becoming the 'Go-To' bank for business, corporate and institutional clients.

We work closely with clients to fully understand their business and continuously strive for better client service. As a result, no other bank achieved a higher rating from their Corporate Banking clients in the UK for overall satisfaction in 2013.<sup>a</sup>

Note

<sup>a</sup> Source: Charterhouse Research based on 2,200 interviews with companies turning over between £5m and £1bn carried out in YE Q4 2013. Survey data is weighted by turnover and region to be representative of the total market in Great Britain. Sum of top two box responses - Excellent and Very Good.

## **Table of Contents**

### [Corporate Banking >](#)

continued

We are committed to helping businesses succeed and achieve their ambitions, no matter how complex or challenging. Our clients benefit from in-depth sector expertise – over 45 sector teams, and tailored industry research.

Our products and services are regularly recognised through industry awards, e.g. in 2013:

• Best Trade Bank in the UK – Global Trade Review

• Best Domestic Cash Manager in the UK – Euromoney

• Best Trade Bank in Africa – Treasury Management International

• UK Education Lender of The Year – for the fourth year running

We are constantly looking for ways to make our client interactions as simple and instant as possible – whether that is reducing time to set-up new client accounts or providing our front-line teams with iPads to deliver more insight directly to clients.

We see technology as a key means of responding proactively to clients' changing needs. We continue to roll-out Barclays.net (our internet-based cash management service) and Pingit for Corporates, which now allows clients to complete a full spectrum of payment options via their smartphone.

### **Colleague**

Corporate Banking continues to invest in our colleagues to ensure they are fully engaged, motivated and supported to provide excellent client service.

We continue to promote a globally diverse and inclusive environment where all colleagues can fulfil their potential.

Priorities include driving sustainable engagement, gender diversity and developing the potential of our workforce.

### **Citizenship**

Every day Corporate Banking employees make a real difference; helping individuals, communities and businesses grow.

Primarily, we support businesses by lending money prudently. In 2013, we provided loans and advances of £61.1bn. Over 1,600 SME clients benefitted from the Cashback for Business scheme.<sup>a</sup> We paid £24m in cashback and have committed a further £6m. We have also continued to support the Business Growth Fund<sup>b</sup> with a commitment of £500m in equity.

In addition, our colleagues contributed almost 30,000 hours volunteering in their local communities.

## Conduct

We are focused on delivering the right outcomes for our clients.

Managing the conduct risks that arise in our business is fundamental to our success in becoming the Go-To bank. This means proactively looking out for our clients' best interests and acting with integrity at all times.

In advancing our Interest Rate Hedging Products redress, 32% of the in-scope population now have review outcomes. We are progressing well and are on track to meet our commitments to the FCA. We expect to have a substantial majority of all customers at the redress offer stage by mid-year.

In line with other Barclays divisions, we are in the process of formalising our Conduct Risk reporting and management framework. This framework will ensure that client interests are being taken into account appropriately in all our business decisions. It includes everything from our business model and strategy through to ensuring our products are designed and sold in the right way.

## Notes

<sup>a</sup> Cashback for Business offers 2% cashback to SMEs who take out a loan under the scheme.

<sup>b</sup> Business Growth Fund provides equity to businesses with a turnover of £5-100m

## Company

### Contribution to total income

£3,115m

	2013	2012	2011
Income (£m)	3,115	3,046	3,315
Profit/(loss) before tax (£m)	151	(390)	(5)
Adjusted ROE (%)	3.1	2.9	0.4
Loan loss rate (bps)	77	127	153

Loans and advances to customers (£bn)	<b>61.1</b>	64.3	68.3
Customer deposits (£bn)	<b>108.7</b>	99.6	87.5

Corporate Banking continued to make good progress in pursuing its turnaround strategy, which increased momentum in 2013. Total income increased 2% to £3,115m reflecting an increase in UK income, partially offset by non-recurring income from a reduction from Exit Quadrant assets in Europe and previously exited businesses.

Credit impairment charges declined 42% to £510m largely driven by Europe, which saw charges reduce by £224m to £318m following ongoing action to reduce exposure to the property and construction sector in Spain. Charges were also lower against large corporate clients in the UK.

Costs to achieve Transform were incurred to further invest in the ongoing client business, as well as rationalise the offering within Europe and Rest of World. Adjusted operating expenses increased 6% to £1,806m including costs to achieve Transform of £114m.

Performance improved across all regions in 2013, with the UK franchise continuing to deliver strong results. Adjusted profit before tax improved 74% to £801m. UK adjusted profit before tax improved 14% to £948m.

Loans and advances to customers decreased 5% to £61.1bn driven by the rundown of Exit Quadrant portfolios in Europe and a reduction in client demand as working capital deposits increased in the UK.

For more information on performance, please see pages 237 to 268

### Future priorities

Become the Go-To bank for domestic corporations in the UK and South Africa and multinational corporations and financial institutions globally by satisfying their financing and servicing needs.

- Maintain market-leading rating for client satisfaction in the UK
- Continue to provide responsible lending to support growth for viable businesses

- j Continue to strengthen our cross-border product offerings in Cash Management and Trade Finance
  
- j Continue to improve profitability, supported by helping clients to access banking solutions from the Investment Bank, Barclaycard and Wealth
  
- j Drive cost efficiencies and actively managing our balance sheet
  
- j Further strengthen our strong culture standards and controls to help clients achieve their commercial ambitions in the right way

## **Table of Contents**

Helping UK businesses with  
global growth ambitions

We are currently a mattress manufacturer that makes spring components. With Barclays' continuing support, we can evolve confidently to become a global components manufacturer that makes mattresses.

Simon Spinks, Managing Director, Harrison Spinks

## **New Market Expansion**

Harrison Spinks is a luxury bed and mattress manufacturer based in Leeds, with ambitious plans for growth. They wanted to take their spring technology to new products and markets. Barclays was their partner of choice to help them achieve their ambition.

With turnover increasing year-on-year, Harrison Spinks is thriving despite the challenging economy by innovating and seeking out new markets. They are expanding into North America by applying their innovative spring technology to new products such as baby mattresses, footwear and automotive seating.

Having supported them for eight years, Barclays is helping them to expand by meeting their corporate banking needs and foreign exchange requirements. Harrison Spinks is benefiting from our international scale and is tapping into our knowledge of the manufacturing industry.

As Simon Spinks, MD, says, It's good to have someone who knows your business and where you're trying to go. Barclays actually allows you to move forward.

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

[Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 41](#)

**Table of Contents**

**Wealth and Investment Management**

We are raising industry standards through our investment philosophy encompassing client profiling, investment strategies and portfolio construction.

**Peter Horrell**

Chief Executive Officer, Wealth and Investment Management

The Wealth and Investment Management business model

[42 > Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013](#)

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)



## **Table of Contents**

We are one of the world's leading wealth managers and we offer clients a gateway to the full range of Barclays capabilities. Wealth and Investment Management continues to focus on and invest in delivering an excellent client experience, while helping clients protect, grow and pass on wealth.

Balanced Scorecard

### **Market and operating environment**

We serve clients around the world: in the UK, where we are headquartered; in the US, which is the world's largest wealth market; in major hubs in Europe and in the fast growing markets of Asia, the Middle East and Africa.

We have a clear segmentation strategy across high net worth, affluent, retail and corporate clients which defines our services based on client need and value.

### **Risks to our business model**

Major changes in the wealth management industry in recent years have been driven by regulation, changing demographics, new sources of wealth, and evolving client needs, particularly around digitalisation.

We are making good progress in implementing our strategy, which builds on our strengths, focuses on competing where we can win and simplifies how we operate.

### **Customer and client**

We are building centres of excellence within Barclays for investment management and managing offshore banking relationships, as well as an online investment proposition for self-directed clients, which will significantly enhance our capabilities in this space.

We are making great strides in digital innovation, as evidenced by our voice biometrics programme, launched in 2013, and the continuing success of the online marketing service (Little Book of Wonders) for our high net worth clients.

We will measure success in serving clients through our Net Promoter Score®.

Our investment in the business means that we meet or exceed regulatory standards in all the markets in which we operate. We have limited the number of markets we operate in specifically to reduce business risk and position ourselves to capture emerging wealth.

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

[Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 43](#)

## **Table of Contents**

### [Wealth and Investment Management >](#)

continued

### **Colleague**

We are committed to engaging our colleagues and creating a diverse and inclusive environment where they can fulfil their potential. Following the launch of the Barclays Values and Behaviours, 96% of Wealth and Investment Management employees had attended a Values Workshop by June 2013, and we are making great progress in embedding the Values and Behaviours into our business and decision making. In September 2013, colleagues had the opportunity to reflect on and absorb the strategy for Wealth and Investment Management: 2,200 colleagues in 26 locations around the world attended town halls and strategy conversations. The Wealth and Investment Management Global Diversity & Inclusion Council was established in 2013 with the purpose of attracting and developing the most talented people from all backgrounds.

### **Citizenship**

Wealth and Investment Management contributes to the Barclays 2015 Citizenship Plan by embedding a citizenship ethos into the way we do business, through our strategy, decision-making and investment management approach. We will also contribute to growth by supporting the development of social impact investing and managing charity investments and support our communities through community investment and client philanthropy.

Our colleagues contributed over 9,000 hours to support our communities around the world in 2013.

### **Conduct**

Effectively managing Conduct Risk is essential in building a sustainable wealth management business. We are introducing a rigorous and transparent Conduct Risk reporting and management framework which ensures that the interests of clients and other stakeholders are appropriately considered in all business decisions. In 2013 we developed specific internal communications programmes to drive awareness of this important risk and help employees understand how their behaviours and decision making can specifically contribute to or mitigate client harm. We are also embedding conduct risk discipline into our business through a series of Material Risk Assessments intended to prevent potential client issues before they occur.

### **Company**

### **Contribution to total income**

£1,839m

	<b>2013</b>	2012	2011
Income (£m)	<b>1,839</b>	1,820	1,770
Adjusted (loss)/profit before tax (£m)	<b>(19)</b>	274	188
Adjusted ROE (%)	<b>(1.0)</b>	11.2	9.5
Loan loss rate (bps)	<b>51</b>	17	21
Loans and advances to customers (£bn)	<b>23.1</b>	21.3	18.8
Customer deposits (£bn)	<b>63.4</b>	53.8	46.5

Total income of £1,839m remained broadly in line with the prior year, as growth in deposit and lending balances, primarily in the High Net Worth business, offset a lower net interest margin reflecting a change in product mix and reduced contributions from structural hedges.

Credit impairment charges increased £83m to £121m, largely reflecting the impact of deterioration in recovery values from property held as security, primarily in Europe.

The business continued to implement its strategic programme to build on its strengths, focus on target markets and simplify how it operates. Adjusted operating expenses increased £241m to £1,750m largely reflecting costs to achieve Transform of £158m.

Business growth remained robust with strong growth in client assets, customer deposits and loans and advances to customers, which increased 8% to £23.1bn primarily driven by growth in the High Net Worth business.

For more information on performance, please see  
pages 237 to 268

### Future priorities

Wealth and Investment Management continues to be a key area of growth within Barclays. Examples of how we will achieve our strategy:

• Building on our strengths : a new direct to consumer offering for DIY Buy and Hold investors a growing and significant part of the investor market. We are on track to develop this direct to consumer offering, to be rolled out initially in the UK in 2015.

• Focus on competing where we can win: the strategic focus areas for our business continue to be the UK, US and global high-net worth hubs. In order to ensure that we are building a sustainable business and managing risks effectively, we are reducing the number of countries which we serve, taking into account the impact on clients, colleagues and local markets.

• Simplifying how we operate: we are making changes to the way we service our affluent clients in the UK with existing clients with assets of less than £500,000 managed by a new team called Private Clients.

**Table of Contents**

Innovating security and simplicity with voice biometrics

Barclays Wealth and Investment Management is the first wealth manager to introduce a pioneering voice security service which stores the unique biometric (with more characteristics than a fingerprint) of an individual's voice print to securely and quickly confirm a client's identity.

Last year, Barclays Wealth and Investment Management successfully rolled out a cutting-edge service enhancement which is used to identify customers by the sound of their voice, greatly improving the client experience.

When a customer calls Barclays Wealth and Investment Management to access their account and completes the traditional knowledge based identification process their voice print is captured and they are asked if they would like to enrol in the service.

The next time they call Barclays, the customer engages in a few seconds of natural conversation with a customer service agent. During that time, the voice biometrics technology is used to compare the customer's voice to their unique voiceprint on file, and silently signals to the Barclays representative when the customer's identity has been verified.

This approach has been welcomed by customers who have seen the time taken to verify their identity fall from 1.5 minutes to less than 10 seconds.

94% of clients scored at least nine out of 10 for the speed, ease of use and security of voice authentication.

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

[Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 45](#)

## **Table of Contents**

### **Chairman's governance overview**

Key for the Board is ensuring that we have in place the right strategy, a robust and appropriate risk management and control framework and the right people to create long-term value for shareholders. The UK Corporate Governance Code makes clear the principle that the Board should set the company's strategic aims, ensure that the necessary financial and human resources are in place for the company to meet its objectives and review management performance.

#### **The right strategy**

As a Board, we set direction and risk appetite and provide oversight and control of management in the day-to-day running of the business. As Chairman, I seek to ensure that adequate time is set aside at Board meetings for the open and collective discussion and debate of significant issues, most importantly, strategy. Once a well-informed decision is reached, we empower management to then execute that decision, with our ongoing oversight and support. This established process underpinned our endorsement of the Transform programme in early 2013 and the decision we made in July 2013 to undertake a rights issue.

#### **The right risks and controls**

It is essential that our risk culture supports our risk profile and that we have visible and dedicated risk management leadership, both in the Boardroom and in executive management. In 2013 we enhanced our internal control and risk management framework by creating a new Board-level committee charged with specific oversight of operational and conduct risks, reputational matters and our citizenship strategy. We also created a Board Enterprise Wide Risk Committee, whose role is to focus on a holistic view of our risk appetite and risk profile and to seek to identify potential future risk.

#### **The right people**

Talent development and succession planning are critical components of sustainable success and this starts at the very top, in the Boardroom. It is vital that we have on the Board the right balance and diversity of expertise, skills, experience, perspectives and, most crucially, independence of thought and action.



## Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

All Board appointments are made on merit, in the context of the diversity required for an effective Board, including diversity of skills, experience, background and gender. The aims set out in our Board Diversity Policy, published in April 2012, were to have 20% of the Board made up of women by the end of 2013, and for that position to have exceeded 25% by the end of 2015. There were three women on the Barclays Board at the end of 2013 (20%), compared to one woman at the end of 2012 (8%). Our Board Diversity Policy can be found on our website, [Barclays.com](http://Barclays.com)

**Sir David Walker**

Chairman

**Board Gender Balance**

		<b>31.12.13</b>	31.12.12
1 Directors	Men	<b>12</b>	11
2 Directors	Women	<b>3</b>	1

[46 > Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013](#)

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

**Table of Contents**

Group overview	02	<a href="#">Good governance is vital in supporting the delivery of our strategic priorities. More information on the role of the Board and its Committees can be found in Corporate Governance in Barclays which is available online at Barclays.com</a>
Chief Executive's strategic review	04	
Strategy and operating environment	06	
Business model/Value creation	08	
Balanced Scorecard	10	
Group Finance Director's review	12	
Strategic Risk overview	14	
Performance review by division	18	
<b>Chairman's governance overview</b>	<b>46</b>	
Summary remuneration report	48	

**Our Board**

**Sir David Walker** (74) Group Chairman

**Antony Jenkins** (52) Group Chief Executive; Executive Director

**Mike Ashley** (59) Non-executive Director

**Tim Breedon** (56) Non-executive Director

**Fulvio Conti** (66) Non-executive Director

**Simon Fraser** (54) Non-executive Director

**Reuben Jeffery** (61) Non-executive Director

**Wendy Lucas-Bull** (60) Non-executive Director

**Tushar Morzaria** (45) Group Finance Director; Executive Director

**Dambisa Moyo** (45) Non-executive Director

**Frits van Paasschen** (52) Non-executive Director

**Sir Michael Rake** (66) Deputy Chairman and Senior Independent Director

**Diane de Saint Victor** (59) Non-executive Director

**Sir John Sunderland** (68) Non-executive Director

**Steve Thieke** (68) Non-executive Director

Detailed biographies can be found on pages 83 to 85

## Board Governance Framework

### Our Board Committees

#### Board Committee

#### Role

#### **Board Enterprise Wide Risk Committee** Chaired by Sir David Walker

It takes an enterprise-wide view of risks and controls and brings together the overall risk appetite and risk profile of the business

#### **Board Audit Committee**

#### Chaired by Mike Ashley

It takes a largely backward-looking view, focused on financial reporting and control issues, including overseeing any control issue remediation plans

#### **Board Conduct, Reputation and Operational Risk Committee**

#### Chaired by Sir David Walker

It takes a largely forward-looking view of conduct risk, operational risk and reputation risk, including recommending the level of risk Barclays is prepared to take

### **Board Financial Risk Committee**

**Chaired by Tim Breedon**

It takes a largely forward-looking view of financial risk appetite and financial risk profile (credit, market, liquidity and funding risk) across Barclays

### **Board Remuneration Committee**

**Chaired by Sir John Sunderland**

It sets the overarching principles and parameters of remuneration policy across Barclays and approves remuneration arrangements for executive directors, senior executives and individual remuneration awards

### **Board Corporate Governance and Nominations Committee**

**Chaired by Sir David Walker**

It makes recommendations on Board and Board Committee composition and effectiveness, Board and executive succession plans, talent management strategy and corporate governance

### **Governance in action**

Our Board Committees continued to support the delivery of our strategic priorities during 2013:

- Board Audit Committee: estimating customer redress provisions, in particular PPI redress provisions, was an area of focus for the Board Audit Committee in 2013. The Committee played a key role in evaluating and challenging the assumptions underlying the provisions made.
- Board Conduct, Reputation and Operational Risk Committee: during 2013 the Board Conduct, Reputation and Operational Risk Committee spent time on developing Barclays' approach to Conduct Risk, establishing what it means for Barclays and how we will manage it in a way that ensures positive outcomes for our customers and clients.
- Board Financial Risk Committee: the possibility of a Eurozone crisis remained during 2013, as the weak growth outlook continued to raise concerns about sovereign creditworthiness in some countries. The Board Financial Risk Committee's focus was on continuing to reduce Barclays' exposure to redenomination risk.
- Board Corporate Governance and Nominations Committee: executive succession and talent management are a critical component of long-term success. 2013 saw the Board Corporate Governance and Nominations Committee

increase its focus on talent management.

You can read more about Governance in Action in the Corporate governance report, which is available on pages 52 to 77

The activity of the Board Remuneration Committee during 2013 is described in the Remuneration report.

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

[Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 47](#)

**Table of Contents**

**Summary remuneration report**

Our aim is to deliver a greater share of the income we generate to shareholders while remaining competitive on pay. Although profits for 2013 were down, the 38% reduction in incentives in the previous two years had begun to cause demonstrable damage to our business. The difficult decision to address this by increasing incentives in certain key areas is in the long term interest of shareholders. We remain fully committed to reducing the ratio of compensation to adjusted net operating income over time.

**Dear Shareholders**

The Committee's objective is to maximise long term value for shareholders by ensuring that we do not pay more than is necessary while remaining competitive.

**Remuneration Committee work in 2013**

Determining the 2013 incentive pool was an extremely difficult decision for the Committee. We were acutely aware of public sentiment and of the challenge of presenting shareholders with an increased pool in a year where profits have fallen. The Committee concluded that a 2013 incentive pool of £2,378m was warranted. This was up 10% on the final 2012 incentive pool but it remains 32% below pool levels in 2010 when we started to reposition Barclays remuneration. Before adjustment for risk and conduct events the incentive pool was down 18% on 2012.

Significant progress has been made since 2010 in addressing imbalances in remuneration. The Barclays' incentive pool reduced by 38% between 2010 and 2012 (48% for the Investment Bank) and bonuses for Managing Directors in the Investment Bank are fully deferred. The dilemma faced by the Committee in 2013 was that these actions were not matched by our peers, most notably the major US banks who are among our primary competitors. As a result, our lack of pay competitiveness was beginning to cause demonstrable damage to our business, especially outside the UK. The global resignation rate for senior staff in 2013 was significantly above that in 2012. This was particularly marked in the Investment Bank with a near doubling of resignations of senior staff in the US. In making our 2013 decisions on incentives, the Committee sought to ensure the health of the franchise in the long term interest of shareholders.

As in 2012, consideration of risk and conduct events was an important aspect of the Committee's work during 2013. We made total reductions of £290m to incentives for PPI, Interest Rate Hedging Products and other events. Of this, £176m of adjustments were made through reductions in LTIP awards that were granted in previous years and £114m of reductions were made to the 2013 incentive pool. This contrasts with risk and conduct adjustments to the incentive pool of £860m in 2012 for events including the investigation into the setting of inter-bank offered rates.

## 2013 compensation and incentive headlines

• Total compensation costs decreased 1% to £9,616m. Total compensation costs in the Investment Bank were slightly down at £4,634m (2012: £4,667m)

• This reduction in compensation costs in part offset the reduction in adjusted net operating income and meant that the Group compensation to adjusted net operating income ratio increased slightly to 38.3% (2012: 37.5%)

• There has been strong differentiation on the basis of individual performance to allow the Group to more effectively manage compensation costs

• While the final incentive pool is up on 2012, it is £1,106m or 32% below the 2010 outcome from when the Committee started its repositioning journey to reduce compensation. In the Investment Bank, incentive awards granted were 41% (£1,086m) below 2010 levels

• Before making adjustments for risk and conduct events, the 2013 incentive awards of £2,492m had been reduced 18% from 2012. After adjustments for risk and conduct, total incentive awards granted were £2,378m, up 10% on 2012

• Levels of deferral continue to significantly exceed the PRA Remuneration Code's minimum requirements and are expected to remain among the highest deferral levels globally. 2013 bonuses awarded to Managing Directors in the Investment Bank were 100% deferred.

When determining the incentive pool we looked at it on a pre- and post-adjustment basis for risk and conduct events. The Committee concluded that an incentive pool for 2013 of £2,492m on a pre-adjustment basis was appropriate. This is 18% down on the equivalent pre-adjustment pool in 2012. After applying an adjustment for risk and conduct events of £114m, the final 2013 incentive pool is £2,378m, up 10% on 2012, reflecting the lower level of risk and conduct adjustment for 2013.

A significant part of the Committee's work in 2013 was reviewing how to restructure executive Director and senior executive remuneration for compliance with new EU regulation, the Capital Requirements Directive IV and, in particular, the capped ratio of variable to fixed pay. Our approach is based on a new class of fixed pay called Role Based Pay. We are seeking shareholder approval at the 2014 AGM for a maximum ratio of variable to fixed pay of 2:1. For executive Directors, the level of Role Based Pay will be capped for the term of the policy and will be delivered in shares which will be subject to a holding period of up to five years to ensure alignment with shareholders. The Committee has recognised that in return for greater certainty there should be a reduced total remuneration opportunity.



**Focus in 2014**

The Committee will continue to focus on the need to pay at levels required to attract, retain and motivate our people. While not paying more than we judge to be necessary, we will ensure that we can continue to pay our people competitively and simultaneously seek to deliver a greater share of the income we generate to shareholders. We will be informed in this work by a continuing constructive engagement and dialogue with our shareholders and our other stakeholders.

I encourage you to read our full Remuneration report on pages 89 to 125 of the 2013 Annual Report or at [www.barclays.com/annualreport](http://www.barclays.com/annualreport).

On behalf of the Board

**Sir John Sunderland**

Chairman, Board Remuneration Committee

3 March 2014

[48 > Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013](#)

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

**Table of Contents**

Group overview	02	The next two pages provide the 2013
Chief Executive's strategic review	04	single total figure of remuneration for
Strategy and operating environment	06	each Director, which form part of the
Business model/Value creation	08	Annual Report on Directors
Balanced Scorecard	10	remuneration.
Group Finance Director's review	12	
Strategic Risk overview	14	
Performance review by division	18	
Chairman's governance overview	46	
<b>Summary remuneration report</b>	<b>48</b>	

**Single total figure for 2013 remuneration**

The following table shows a single total figure of remuneration in respect of qualifying service for each executive Director together with comparative figures for 2012.

**Executive Directors: Single total figure for 2013 remuneration (audited)**

	Salary		Taxable benefits		Bonus		LTIP		Pension		Total	
	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000
	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012
Antony Jenkins <sup>a</sup>	1,100	373	138	19	0	0	0	0	364	137	1,602	529
Tushar Morzaria <sup>b</sup>	171		14		1,200		0		43		1,428	
Chris Lucas <sup>c</sup>	501	800	47	34	500	0	985	643	125	200	2,158	1,677

- a Antony Jenkins joined the Board with effect from 30 August 2012.
- b Tushar Morzaria joined the Board with effect from 15 October 2013.
- c Chris Lucas stepped down from the Board with effect from 16 August 2013 due to ill health.

**Additional information in respect of each element of pay for the executive Directors (audited):**

**Base salary**

Antony Jenkins has been paid a salary of £1,100,000 per annum as Group Chief Executive since his appointment to the role. Tushar Morzaria commenced employment on 15 October 2013 on a salary of £800,000 per annum. Chris Lucas was paid a salary of £800,000 per annum.

**Taxable benefits**

Taxable benefits include private medical cover, life and ill health income protection, tax advice, home leave related costs, car allowance and the use of a company vehicle and driver when required for business purposes. The figure in the above table for Tushar Morzaria includes £4,576 of non-taxable relocation expenses. Further relocation expenses will be incurred for Tushar Morzaria.

**Pension**

Executive directors are contractually entitled to cash in lieu of pension contributions which reflects market practice for senior executives in comparable roles.

**Annual bonus**

Bonuses are earned by reference to the financial year and awarded in the following February. The Committee considered the performance of each of the executive Directors during their respective periods of office during the year. Their performance was assessed against their objectives agreed at the start of the year and comprised both Group and personal, financial and non-financial measures. Information on the Group measures is set out in the table below.

The executive Directors share collective responsibility for progress, as at the year end, against the Transform commitments set out at the start of 2013 and in the Rights Issue prospectus.

Objective	Targets / measures for 2013	Progress in 2013
-----------	-----------------------------	------------------

Strategic progress: the Transform programme	Performance is assessed against the Transform commitments of 2013	<p><b>Financial</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i Underlying performance has been resilient and momentum is building</li> <li>j CRD IV Risk Weighted Assets within the £440bn target for 2015</li> </ul>
---	---	---

Financial measures in the Transform commitments included return on equity, cost to income ratio, core capital ratio, dividend payouts and risk weighted assets.

- ; Fully loaded Common Equity Tier 1 capital ratio at 9.3% is on track to meet the target of 10.5% during 2015
- ; Cost reduction plans on target for expenses of £16.8bn in 2015 excluding costs to achieve Transform
- ; Adverse movement in cost to income ratio in 2013 mainly as a consequence of reduced income
- ; Work done in 2013 to target a 40% dividend payout ratio from 2014, achieving a payout ratio of 40-50% over time

**Non-financial**

Non-financial measures include progress on cultural change, the development of the Balanced Scorecard and the reputation of and trust in Barclays.

- ; Barclays Purpose, Values and Behaviours published and cascaded throughout organisation, now integrated into day to day management processes. Data shows that the culture is changing at Barclays.
- ; Balanced Scorecard published shortly after the year end. Data shows reputation of and trust in Barclays is improving.

2013 Financial and risk measures

The executive Directors lead delivery of overall performance measured by reference to income, profitability, risk weighted assets and return on equity

- ; Strength in the diversity of the Group's income, underpinned by our traditional consumer and commercial banking franchises, and growth in Equities and Investment Banking in the Investment Bank
- ; But income in the Investment Bank overall was down 9% driven by a decrease in FICC
- ; Adjusted profit before tax was down 32% year on year due to reduced income and costs to achieve Transform
- ; Successful execution of Rights Issue
- ; Strong financial fundamentals across funding and liquidity, capital, credit risk management and margins
- ; Adjusted return on average shareholders' equity decreased to 4.5% principally reflecting the decrease in profit before tax.

**Table of Contents**[Summary remuneration report >](#)

continued

The Committee and Board agreed that Antony Jenkins had performed strongly in his first full year as Chief Executive. However, on 3 February 2014 Antony Jenkins announced that he would decline any 2013 bonus offered to him by the Committee, citing the Rights Issue, restructuring costs and costs associated with legacy issues as his reasons.

60% of Tushar Morzaria's 2013 bonus of £1.2m will be deferred through a Share Value Plan award vesting over three years. 20% will be paid in cash and 20% in shares. All shares are subject to a six month holding period from the point of release. The bonus reflects both Tushar's performance at Barclays and his forfeited bonus opportunity when he left his previous employer to join Barclays.

The Committee decided to award Chris Lucas a 2013 bonus at a level of 40% of the maximum (the maximum being 250% of salary), pro-rating the resulting amount to reflect his service during 2013. The resulting award of £500,000 will be 100% deferred through a Share Value Plan award vesting over three years, each annual release of shares being subject to an additional six month holding period.

**LTIP**

The LTIP amount included in the 2013 single total figure of remuneration is the value of vesting amounts in 2013 in relation to the LTIP award granted in 2011. Chris Lucas was the only executive Director participant in this cycle. Accordingly, as Antony Jenkins and Tushar Morzaria were not participants in this cycle, the LTIP figure in the single figure table is shown as zero for both of them. Vesting was dependent on the performance period ending in 2013. The performance achieved against the performance targets is shown below.

Performance measure	Weighting	Threshold	Maximum 100% vesting	Actual	% of maximum achieved
Return on Risk Weighted Assets ( RoRWA )	60%	23% of award vests for average annual RoRWA of 1%	Average annual RoRWA of 1.5%	0.4%	0%

Loan loss rate	30%	10% of award vests for average annual loan loss rate of 95 bps	Average annual loan loss rate of 81 bps or below	71 bps	30%
Sustainability metrics	10%	Performance against the sustainability metrics is assessed by the Committee to determine the % of the award that may vest between 0% and 10%		0%	0%

The award was also subject to a discretionary underpin by which the Committee must be satisfied with the underlying financial health of the Group. The Committee was satisfied that this underpin was met, and accordingly determined that the award should vest to the extent of 30% of the maximum number of shares under the total award. The shares are scheduled to be released in March 2014.

### Chairman and non-executive Directors

Remuneration for non-executive Directors reflects their responsibility and time commitment and the level of fees paid to non-executive directors of comparable major UK companies.

### Chairman and non-executive Directors: Single total figure for 2013 fees (audited)

	Fees		Benefits		Total	
	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012
	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000
<b>Chairman</b>						
Sir David Walker <sup>a</sup>	750	167	17	6	767	173
<b>Non-executive Directors</b>						
Michael Ashley <sup>b</sup>	39				39	
David Booth <sup>c</sup>	185	170			185	170
Tim Breedon <sup>d</sup>	183	18			183	18
Fulvio Conti	110	110			110	110
Simon Fraser	140	140			140	140
Reuben Jeffery III	124	105			124	105
Sir Andrew Likierman <sup>e</sup>	45	135			45	135
Wendy Lucas-Bull <sup>f</sup>	25				25	

Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

Dambisa Moyo	129	120			129	120
Frits van Paasschen <sup>g</sup>	33				33	
Sir Michael Rake	220	220			220	220
Diane de Saint Victor <sup>h</sup>	90				90	
Sir John Sunderland	189	161			189	161
Alison Carnwath <sup>i</sup>		114				114
Marcus Agius <sup>j</sup>		750		1		751
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,262</b>	<b>2,210</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>2,279</b>	<b>2,217</b>

Notes

- a Sir David Walker joined the Board as a non-executive Director with effect from 1 September 2012 and as Chairman from 1 November 2012.
- b Michael Ashley joined the Board as a non-executive Director with effect from 18 September 2013.
- c David Booth retired from the Board as a non-executive Director with effect from 31 December 2013.
- d Tim Breedon joined the Board as a non-executive Director with effect from 1 November 2012.
- e Sir Andrew Likierman retired from the Board as a non-executive Director with effect from 25 April 2013.
- f Wendy Lucas-Bull joined the Board as a non-executive Director with effect from 19 September 2013.
- g Frits van Paasschen joined the Board as a non-executive Director with effect from 1 August 2013.
- h Diane de Saint Victor joined the Board as a non-executive Director with effect from 1 March 2013.
- i Alison Carnwath resigned from the Board as a non-executive Director with effect from 24 July 2012.
- j Marcus Agius stepped down as Chairman and as a non-executive Director on 2 July 2012.

---

**Table of Contents****Governance**

The governance process of Barclays and reports from each of the Board Committees presenting how the Board support the delivery of the strategy.

<u>Corporate governance report</u>	52
<u>Board Governance Framework</u>	54
<u>Board Audit Committee</u>	62
<u>Board Enterprise Wide Risk Committee</u>	68
<u>Board Financial Risk Committee</u>	70
<u>Board Conduct, Reputation and Operational Risk Committee</u>	73
<u>Board Corporate Governance and Nominations Committee</u>	75
<u>Compliance Statements</u>	77
<u>Directors report</u>	78
<u>Board of Directors</u>	83
<u>Executive Committee</u>	85
<u>People</u>	86
<u>Remuneration report</u>	89
<u>Annual statement from the Chairman of the Board Remuneration Committee</u>	89
<u>Remuneration questions and answers</u>	91
<u>Remuneration policy for all employees</u>	93
Table of Contents	128



<u>Remuneration and CRD IV</u>	95
<u>2013 incentives</u>	96
<u>Directors remuneration policy</u>	100
<u>Annual report on Directors remuneration</u>	111
<u>Additional remuneration disclosures</u>	122

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

[Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 51](#)

## **Table of Contents**

### **Corporate governance report**

Key for the Board is ensuring that we have the right strategy, a robust and appropriate risk management and control framework and the right people in place to create long-term value for shareholders.

Dear Shareholders

**Last year, in my first report as Chairman, I outlined the important ways in which Barclays was changing, in terms of its culture and values, its leadership and its risk management and control framework. I look back on my first full year as Chairman and can say with some confidence that substantial progress has been made in implementing these necessary changes.**

### **Doing business in the right way**

We recognised that to embed cultural change we had to change how our people behave, including challenging established mindsets and attitudes. As part of our Transform programme, we have set a simple goal – to make Barclays the ‘Go-To’ bank for all our stakeholders. We have defined a common purpose to assist us in reaching that goal, which is to help people achieve their ambitions in the right way. And we have clearly set out the values – respect, integrity, service, excellence and stewardship – that we expect everyone in Barclays to demonstrate in achieving that purpose. To reinforce these values and drive good behaviours, 2013 saw the launch of The Barclays Way, a single code of conduct, which is fully endorsed by the Board and applies across Barclays. It sets out how the values should be put into practice by each of us in our interactions with Barclays’ colleagues, customers and clients, governments and regulators, business partners, suppliers, competitors and the wider community in which we operate. You can read The Barclays Way on our website at [Barclays.com](http://Barclays.com).

To support the achievement of sustainable business performance, which is vital for the long-term success of Barclays, we have also introduced a Balanced Scorecard to measure Barclays’ performance. This sets out a series of measurable financial and non-financial commitments, covering our 5Cs – Customer & Client, Colleague, Citizenship, Conduct and Company – so that we, and our stakeholders, have a clear idea of what success looks like on our journey to becoming the ‘Go-To’ bank. You can read more about the 5Cs and the Balanced Scorecard in the Strategic Review.

### **The right strategy**

Key for the Board is ensuring that we have the right strategy, a robust and appropriate risk management and control framework and the right people in place to create long-term value for shareholders. Our role as the Board is to set direction and risk appetite and to provide oversight and control of management in running the business on a day-to-day basis. We rely on management for timely and relevant information, to distil complex and technical material into matters requiring often finely balanced business judgments and to identify the significant issues and present them to the Board for discussion and decision. One of my roles as Chairman is to ensure that the Board

receives the information it needs when it needs it, to ensure that there is adequate time set aside at Board meetings for the open and collective discussion and debate of significant issues and, once a well-informed decision is reached, to empower management to then execute that decision with the ongoing oversight and support of the Board.

I can think of no better examples of this process in action than the Board's endorsement of the Transform programme in early 2013 and the decision we made in July 2013 to undertake a rights issue. Below you can read about the Board's role and the intensive oversight to which both were subject.

### **The right risks and controls**

As a financial institution, it is our business to understand, measure, manage, price and mitigate risk. Putting it simply, taking risk is what we do. In doing so, we recognise that we have a responsibility towards ensuring the safety, soundness and ethical operation of the financial system and are cognisant of the social impact should we fail in that regard. It is essential, therefore, that our risk culture supports our risk profile and that we have visible and dedicated risk management leadership, both in the Boardroom and in executive management.

[52 > Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013](#)

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

## **Table of Contents**

I described to you in my report last year how we had enhanced our internal control and risk management framework by creating a new Board-level committee, the Board Conduct, Reputation and Operational Risk Committee, charged with specific oversight of operational and conduct risks, reputational matters and our citizenship strategy. As a result, 2013 saw a significant change in the nature and extent of the Board's scrutiny of these increasingly important areas. Financial risks – credit, market and funding – continued to be examined by the Board Financial Risk Committee and the Board Audit Committee continued to discharge its responsibilities for oversight of financial reporting, internal control and internal and external audit matters. It is inevitable that there is the potential for overlap across these Board Committees and we have sought to ensure that their respective responsibilities and accountabilities are very clear.

We need also to ensure that rewards for our people are consistent with our values and do not incentivise inappropriate risk-taking or reward focus on short-term returns: the Board Remuneration Committee developed and implemented changes to our remuneration structure in 2013 to ensure that remuneration is closely linked to the achievement of sustainable performance and long-term value creation. This is reflected in high levels of deferral for senior management, tougher performance conditions attached to awards and adjustments for current and historic risk, including the operation of malus. To bring the entire risk profile of Barclays together at Board level, we also created a Board Enterprise Wide Risk Committee, whose role is to focus on a holistic view of Barclays' risk appetite and risk profile and to seek to identify potential future risk, or the 'unknown unknowns' that may emerge.

Each of these Board Committees reports below on the material issues they examined during 2013.

### **The right people**

It is vital to Barclays' long-term success that we have the right people, leadership skills and values in place. Talent development and succession planning are critical components of sustainable success and this starts at the very top, in the Boardroom.

It is an essential part of my role, as Chairman, to ensure that the Board has the right balance and diversity of expertise, skills, experience, perspectives and, most crucially, independence of thought and action. There were a number of changes to the Board in 2013: Diane de Saint Victor, Frits van Paasschen, Mike Ashley and Wendy Lucas-Bull joined the Board as non-executive Directors and Tushar Morzaria joined us as Group Finance Director. In early 2014, Steve Thieke joined the Board as a non-executive Director. We said farewell to Sir Andrew Likierman, who retired at the 2013 Annual General Meeting after nine years' service; to Chris Lucas, who retired as Group Finance Director in August; and to David Booth, who retired at the end of 2013. Fulvio Conti and Simon Fraser will retire from the Board at the 2014 Annual General Meeting, having served for eight and four years respectively and I would like to thank them on behalf of the Board for their commitment and contribution. We have also seen changes in the chairmanship of two of the principal Board Committees with effect from 1 January 2014, with Mike Ashley succeeding Sir Michael Rake as Chairman of the Board Audit Committee and Tim Breedon succeeding David Booth as Chairman of the Board Financial Risk Committee. I can also report that, as at the end of 2013, there were three women on the Barclays Board (20%), compared to one woman at the end of 2012 (8%) and this progress is in line with the commitments we made in our Board Diversity Policy. You can find our Board Diversity Policy in the corporate governance section of

Barclays website, Barclays.com.

I should take the opportunity here to advise that the Board has asked Sir John Sunderland, who will have served on the Board for nine years in June 2014, to remain on the Board until the 2015 Annual General Meeting. This is for two very important reasons. First, we would like to ensure continuity for the Board Remuneration Committee, which Sir John so ably chairs, although we hope to be able to appoint a successor to Sir John in the near future. Secondly, the Board Corporate Governance and Nominations Committee has started to plan for succession to me as Chairman in due course and has asked Sir John, with his substantial experience of business leadership more widely as well as that on the Board of Barclays, to lead that process. The Board considers that Sir John continues to demonstrate the essential characteristics of independence and that his independence will not be impaired by his serving for over nine years.

My report below on the activities of the Board Corporate Governance and Nominations Committee during 2013 describes in more detail our work on talent management and succession planning, both at Board level and more widely in Barclays.

### **The right priorities**

As Chairman, I am primarily concerned with ensuring that the Board is operating effectively and focusing its time, energy and attention on the right areas. Each year, the Board undertakes a formal evaluation of its own effectiveness and, since 2004, these evaluations have been externally facilitated. From the 2013 Board Effectiveness Review, the Board will agree a set of priorities, against which we will report progress in future years. Our aim will be to ensure that we, as a Board, are doing the right things, in the right way and, in doing so, are setting the right example for Barclays and our industry as a whole.

**Sir David Walker**

Chairman

3 March 2014

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

[Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 53](#)

---

**Table of Contents**

[Corporate governance report >](#)

**Board Governance Framework**

**Board Governance Framework**

The Board has delegated certain responsibilities to Board Committees to assist it in carrying out its functions and to ensure independent oversight of internal control and risk management.

Committee	Role
Board Enterprise Wide Risk Committee	Takes an enterprise-wide view of risks and controls and brings together the overall risk appetite and risk profile of the business
Board Audit Committee	Takes a largely backward-looking view, focused on financial reporting and control issues, including overseeing any control issue remediation plans
Board Conduct, Reputation and Operational Risk Committee	Takes a largely forward-looking view of conduct risk, operational risk and reputation risk, including recommending the level of risk Barclays is prepared to take
Board Financial Risk Committee	Takes a largely forward-looking view of financial risk appetite and financial risk profile (credit, market, liquidity and funding risk) across Barclays

Board Remuneration Committee	Sets the overarching principles and parameters of remuneration policy across Barclays and approves remuneration arrangements for executive directors and senior executives and individual remuneration awards
------------------------------	---

Board Corporate Governance and Nominations Committee	Makes recommendations on Board and Board Committee composition and effectiveness, Board and executive succession plans, talent management strategy and corporate governance
--	---

### **Regulatory Investigations Committee**

In addition to the principal Board committees, the Regulatory Investigations Committee, which was formed in late 2012, met nine times in 2013. The primary purpose of this Committee is to oversee certain regulatory investigations, to consider the findings of those investigations and to direct the scope and conduct of those investigations as appropriate, including directing and overseeing any remediation activities and considering whether any disciplinary action is required in respect of any Barclays employee. Sir David Walker assumed chairmanship of the Committee in early 2013, succeeding Sir Michael Rake, who remains a member of the Committee. The other Committee members are Mike Ashley, Diane de Saint Victor, Antony Jenkins and Sir John Sunderland.

### **Board activity what is the role of the Board?**

The Board is responsible to shareholders for creating and delivering sustainable shareholder value through the management of the Group's businesses. We determine the strategic objectives and policies of the Group to deliver such long-term value, providing overall strategic direction within a framework of risk appetite and controls. Our aim is to ensure that management strikes an appropriate balance between promoting long-term growth and delivering short-term objectives. We endeavour to demonstrate ethical leadership and promote the Company's collective vision of the Company's purpose, values, culture and behaviours. Each of the Directors must act in a way we consider, in good faith, would promote the success of the company for the benefit of the shareholders as a whole.

The Board is also responsible for ensuring that management maintain a system of internal control which provides assurance of effective and efficient operations, internal financial controls and compliance with law and regulation. In addition, the Board is responsible for ensuring that management maintain an effective risk management and oversight process at the highest level across the Group. In carrying out these responsibilities, the Board must have regard to what is appropriate for

the Group's business and reputation, the materiality of the financial and other risks inherent in the business and the relative costs and benefits of implementing specific controls.

The Board is also the decision-making body for all other matters of such importance as to be of significance to the Group as a whole because of their strategic, financial or reputational implications or consequences.

## Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

Specific key decisions and matters have been reserved for approval by the Board. These include decisions on the Group's strategy, approval of risk appetite, capital and liquidity matters, major acquisitions, mergers or disposals, Board membership, financial results and governance issues, including the approval of the corporate governance framework. A schedule of matters specifically reserved to the Board can be found on Barclays' website, Barclays.com.

We have set out the names of the Directors and their full biographical details, including the skills and experience they each bring to the Board, on pages 83 to 85. An explanation of the main roles on the Board is set out on page 55.

[54 > Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013](#)

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)



**Table of Contents****Board Allocation of Time (%)**

	<b>2013</b>	2012
1 Strategy Formulation and Implementation Monitoring	<b>41</b>	39
2 Finance (incl. capital and liquidity)	<b>22</b>	12
3 Governance & Risk (incl. regulatory issues)	<b>35</b>	40
4 Other (incl. compensation)	<b>3</b>	9

All of our corporate governance practices have been brought together in one document, Corporate Governance in Barclays, which is available online at [barclays.com/corporategovernance](http://barclays.com/corporategovernance)

Board attendance	Independent	Scheduled meetings eligible to attend	Scheduled meetings attended	Additional meetings eligible to attend	Additional meetings attended
------------------	-------------	---------------------------------------	-----------------------------	--	------------------------------

Sir David Walker, Group Chairman	On appointment				
-------------------------------------	----------------	--	--	--	--

Executive Directors

Antony Jenkins	Executive Director				
----------------	--------------------	--	--	--	--

Chris Lucas  
(to 16 August  
2013)\* Executive Director

Tushar  
Morzaria  
(from  
15 October  
2013) Executive Director

Non-executive  
Directors

Mike Ashley  
(from  
18 September  
2013) Independent

David Booth Independent

Tim Breedon\* Independent

Fulvio Conti Independent

Simon Fraser Independent

Reuben  
Jeffery Independent

Sir Andrew  
Likierman (to  
25 April  
2013)\* Independent

Wendy  
Lucas-Bull  
(from  
19 September  
2013) Non-Independent

Dambisa  
Moyo \* Independent

Frits van  
Paasschen  
(from  
1 August  
2013) Independent

Sir Michael  
Rake\* Independent

Diane de  
Saint Victor  
(from 1 March  
2013) Independent

Sir John  
Sunderland\* Independent

Lawrence Dickinson, Secretary

Scheduled meetings Additional Meetings

\* unable to attend certain additional meetings held at short notice owing to prior business commitments

unable to attend two scheduled meetings held owing to prior business commitments

## Roles on the Board

Role	Responsibilities
------	------------------

Chairman of the Board	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>; Leads the Board including its operation and governance</li> <li>; Builds an effective Board</li> <li>; Sets the Board agenda in consultation with Chief Executive and Company Secretary</li> <li>; Facilitates and encourages active engagement and appropriate challenge by Directors</li> <li>; Ensures effective communication with shareholders and other stakeholders and ensures members of the Board develop and maintain an understanding of the views of major investors</li> <li>; Acts as Chairman of Board Corporate Governance and Nominations, Board Conduct, Reputation and Operational Risk and Board Enterprise Wide Risk Committees. Member of Board Remuneration Committee</li> </ul>
-----------------------	---

Group Chief Executive	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>; Recommends the Group's strategy to the Board</li> <li>; Implements the Group's strategy</li> <li>; Makes and implements operational decisions and manages the business day-to-day</li> </ul>
-----------------------	---

## Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

- Non-executive Director
- ; Effectively and constructively challenges management and helps develop proposals on strategy
  - ; Monitors the success of management in delivering the agreed strategy within the risk appetite and control framework set by the Board
  - ; Exercises appropriate oversight through scrutinising the performance of management in meeting agreed goals and objectives
- Deputy Chairman and Senior Independent Director
- ; Provides a sounding board for the Chairman
  - ; Provides support for the Chairman in the delivery of his objectives
  - ; Serves as a trusted intermediary for the Directors, when necessary
  - ; Available to shareholders should the occasion arise where there is a need to convey concerns to the Board other than through the Chairman or Group Chief Executive
- Company Secretary
- ; Works closely with the Chairman, Group Chief Executive and Board Committee Chairmen in setting the annual forward calendar of agenda items for the meetings of the Board and its Committees
  - ; Ensures timely and appropriate information flows within the Board, the Board Committees and between the Directors and senior management

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

[Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 55](#)

## **Table of Contents**

[Corporate governance report >](#)

Board Governance Framework continued

### **How has the Board changed?**

The Board went through a period of planned refreshment during 2013, with five new Directors joining and three retiring.

We announced in early 2013 that Chris Lucas, our Group Finance Director, planned to retire for health reasons and had agreed to remain in position until we found a suitable successor. Being a key executive Board position, it was vital that the search was thorough and we subsequently announced in July 2013 that Tushar Morzaria would join the Board as Group Finance Director. An interim Group Finance Director was appointed in August 2013 to cover the period after Chris Lucas's retirement was brought forward on health grounds and prior to Tushar Morzaria's arrival in October 2013.

The Board is made up of a majority of independent non-executive Directors and we recognise the importance of ensuring that we have an appropriate balance of skills, experience and diversity, as well as independence. The Board Corporate Governance and Nominations Committee has identified the key skills and experience required for the Board to function effectively, which are recorded on a skills matrix. This sets out the core competencies, skills and diversity that are desired for the Board, including financial services, experienced chief executives from other industries and experience of the main geographical markets in which Barclays operates, together with target weightings for each. The extent to which each of these is represented on the Board is monitored by the Board Corporate Governance and Nominations Committee on an on-going basis using the agreed skills matrix.

Using the skills matrix, and having considered likely future Board and Board Committee requirements, the Board Corporate Governance and Nominations Committee identified specific areas in which the Board would benefit from additional experience. All appointments to the Board are made on merit, taking into account skills, experience, independence and diversity, including gender. The following appointments were agreed by the Board in 2013:

- Diane de Saint Victor joined the Board as a non-executive Director with effect from 1 March 2013, bringing with her a wealth of regulatory and legal experience, particularly in the US, and geographic experience from Europe;
- Mike Ashley's appointment to the Board as a non-executive Director was announced in April 2013. This followed a search for a financial expert to strengthen the membership of the Board Audit Committee following Sir Andrew Likierman's retirement at the AGM in April 2013 and to provide succession for Sir Michael Rake as Chairman of that Committee. Mike Ashley is a highly experienced former auditor, with a valuable breadth of experience, including risk management and investment banking. He joined the Board on 18 September 2013 and succeeded Sir Michael Rake as Board Audit Committee Chairman with effect from 1 January 2014;

- Frits van Paasschen, who joined the Board as a non-executive Director on 1 August 2013, has extensive knowledge of the retail sector and brings a global outlook with specific geographic experience from the US, Europe and Africa. He is a serving Chief Executive Officer, contributing a valuable strategic and commercial perspective; and
- Wendy Lucas-Bull joined the Board as a non-executive Director on 19 September 2013, bringing valuable direct banking experience, in depth knowledge of banking in Africa and a good understanding of Conduct risk. Wendy is chairman of Barclays Africa Group, which is majority owned by Barclays. The UK Corporate Governance Code (the Code) suggests that such a business relationship should be considered when determining a non-executive Director's independence. The Board considers that Wendy demonstrates the essential characteristics of independence expected by the Board and set out in Barclays' Charter of Expectations, but has decided not to designate her as an independent Director for the purposes of the Code. The Board continues to be made up of a majority of independent non-executive Directors.

In early 2014, we announced the appointment of Steve Thieke as a non-executive Director. His appointment brings to the Board significant experience in financial services, across regulation, investment banking and risk management, as well as substantial board level experience in both executive and non-executive roles.

Given the number of new Directors that have joined our Board, it is vital that they are provided with an effective, tailored induction aimed at providing each of them with the information they need to know in order to become as effective as possible in the shortest time possible. Our induction programmes are therefore designed to allow the new Director to build quickly:

- An understanding of the nature of Barclays, its business and the markets in which it operates and the opportunities and challenges for each Business Division
  
- A link with Barclays' people
  
- An understanding of the relationships with Barclays' main stakeholders, such as customers and clients, shareholders and regulators

Our induction programmes typically comprise a series of meetings with the head of each of Barclays' major business divisions and Group functions. This allows the new Director to meet the business and function heads with responsibility for implementing the Board's strategy and to discuss specific matters affecting that business or function. On completion of the induction programme, the new Director should have sufficient knowledge of the opportunities and challenges facing Barclays to enable them to fully contribute to the Board's strategic discussions and oversight of the business. The following is an example of a typical induction programme; where a Director is joining a Board Committee, either as a member or as Committee Chairman, this programme is supplemented by a specific, tailored Committee induction programme:

**Table of Contents**

Executive

Subject Matter

Group Chief Executive

CEO's introduction  
  
(strategy and key priorities)

Business Division Chief  
Executives

Review of:

- ; UK Retail and Business Banking businesses
- ; Corporate and Investment Banking businesses
- ; Corporate Banking business
- ; Barclaycard business
- ; Wealth and Investment Management businesses
- ; Europe, Middle East Retail and Business Bank business

Group Function Heads (e.g.,  
Group General Counsel,  
Chief Risk Officer)

Briefings on:

- ; Legal function
- ; Risk function
- ; Operations and Technology
- ; Compliance and relationship with regulators
- ; Finance Group overview

- ; Internal Audit function
- ; Tax function
- ; Treasury function
- ; Investor Relations
- ; HR function
- ; Strategy and Corporate development

Senior Statutory Auditor

Areas of audit focus

Group Corporate Relations  
Director

Briefing on Citizenship and Brand and Marketing

Company Secretary

Role and responsibilities as a Director

In addition to induction on joining the Board or a Board Committee, a programme of briefings for all non-executive Directors is arranged each year in order to deepen their understanding of specific business or technical issues. During 2013, briefings were held on Barclays Equities, Fixed Income, Currencies and Commodities and Africa businesses, and the Board Financial Risk Committee and Board Audit Committee held a joint briefing session on Pillar 3 Reporting.

### **What has been the Board's role in Transform?**

The Code makes clear the principle that the Board should set the company's strategic aims, ensure that the necessary financial and human resources are in place for the company to meet its objectives and review management performance. Our approach as a Board in support of this principle is to challenge and test proposals on strategy put forward by the Chief Executive and, following a decision by the Board on the policy or strategy to be adopted, offer full empowerment to the executive Directors to then implement that strategy, subject to continuing oversight from the Board.

Transform is our strategy for both improved financial performance and cultural change. In the fourth quarter of 2012, Barclays embarked on a strategic review, covering business performance, costs, funding and liquidity and purpose, values and behaviours, the outcomes of which were debated and endorsed by the Board in early 2013. In approving the strategy, the Board examined the results of the business portfolio review, which analysed each business both from a financial and reputational perspective, and evaluated proposals for reducing costs and risk weighted assets and for maintaining our capital, funding and liquidity positions. We also assessed proposed strategies for embedding cultural change, for changing the reward structure and for strengthening the control environment. The proposed strategy translated into a series of non-financial and financial commitments, which were announced in February 2013.



## Board diversity

All Board appointments are made on merit, in the context of the diversity required for an effective Board, including diversity of skills, experience, background and gender. The aims set out in our Board Diversity Policy, published in April 2012, were to have 20% of the Board made up of women by the end of 2013, and for that position to have exceeded 25% by the end of 2015. Our Board Diversity Policy can be found on our website, Barclays.com.

The independence of non-executive Directors is reviewed on an annual basis as part of the Directors' evaluation process, taking into account length of tenure, ability to provide objective challenge to management and any relationships that might be considered as factors when determining independence.

### Balance of non-executive and executive Directors

1	2	12
Chairman	Executive Directors	Non-executive Directors

### Industry/background experience<sup>a</sup>

Number of directors	Experience
8	Financial services
7	Political/regulatory contacts
8	Current or recent Chairman/CEO
3	Accountancy/financial expert
3	International (US)
5	International (Europe)
3	International (RoW)
2	Retail/marketing

<sup>a</sup> Individual Directors may fall into one or more categories

## **Table of Contents**

### [Corporate governance report >](#)

Board Governance Framework continued

In terms of implementation, each element of the Transform programme is supported by specific project workstreams, led by individual senior executives. For example, the Controls workstream is headed by the Head of Compliance and the Business Portfolio Review workstream is headed by the Financial Controller. Over the course of 2013, the Board regularly scrutinised the progress being made by each workstream and tracked performance against the commitments made, to satisfy itself that implementation remained on track and that the commitments will be met.

You can read more about the progress of the Transform programme in the Strategic Report.

### **[What has the Board done to improve governance in light of the Salz Review recommendations?](#)**

In early April 2013, the report of the Salz Review into business practices at Barclays was published. This review was commissioned by the Board in July 2012 in the immediate aftermath of the publication of the LIBOR settlements.

The Salz Review was commissioned to examine Barclays' values, principles and standards of operation – the historical culture – and to make recommendations for change. In April 2013, the Board examined each of the 34 recommendations made by the Salz Review and committed to implementing them. We published our initial response in late April 2013. The majority of the recommendations have been aligned to the Transform programme workstreams being implemented by management, with the recommendations on Board governance forming a distinct workstream that is being implemented by the Board. In terms of Board governance, some of the main actions we have taken are:

- Implemented a new framework for the Board-level governance of risk, with the creation of the Board Enterprise Wide Risk Committee and Board Conduct, Reputation and Operational Risk Committee;
- Increased the minimum time commitment expected of Board Committee Chairmen to 25-30 days per annum on top of their minimum Director commitment of 30-36 days. The expected time commitments for Board committee members are set out in Barclays' Charter of Expectations, which is available at Barclays.com. In practice, Directors typically commit in excess of the minimum requirements;
- Developed a programme for non-executive Directors to engage with each business; and
- Focused on improving the quality and timeliness of information presented to the Board and its Committees.

You can read more about the progress that has been made in implementing the Salz Review recommendations on pages 126 to 129.

## What was the outcome of the 2013 Board Effectiveness Review and what are the Board's priorities for 2014?

The Board Corporate Governance and Nominations Committee engaged a new external facilitator, Bvalco, for the 2013 Board Effectiveness Review. Bvalco provide independent, formal and rigorous Board review services. I explain our reasons for appointing a new facilitator in my Board Corporate Governance and Nominations Committee Chairman's report on pages 75 and 76.

The review took the form of a short questionnaire followed by interviews with each of the Directors, members of our Executive Committee and a number of our major shareholders. The evaluation covered the effectiveness of the Board as a whole, in particular its contribution to business strategy and culture, the effectiveness of Board Committees and Board composition. Peer to peer feedback was also sought for each of the Directors. A representative from Bvalco attended our December 2013 Board and Board Financial Risk Committee meetings as an observer, in order to see first-hand the dynamics in the Boardroom and the effectiveness of the meetings. In addition, Bvalco had access to Board and Board Committee papers for 2013.

Representatives from Bvalco presented to the Board in early 2014 on the findings of the Board Effectiveness Review and the recommendations arising. From the Board's discussion of the review's findings, the following areas for action were agreed:

- Board priorities: we agreed that we would better articulate the Board's 2014 priorities, as opposed to the business priorities, and reflect these in Board and Committee agendas;
- The Board's role in culture and cultural change: we agreed that attending a Barclays Values workshop would form part of the induction programme for new non-executive Directors and that arrangements would be made for existing non-executive Directors to attend a workshop. We also agreed that we would ensure that, in addition to the regular reports to the Board Conduct, Reputation and Operational Risk Committee, the Board as a whole would be kept directly informed on the progress of implementing cultural change;
- Leveraging the Board's experience to support the Executive Committee: we agreed we would create extra time for more wide-ranging strategic discussions between the Board and Executive Committee members;
- Greater awareness of the work of Board Committees: we agreed to give more time, on a rolling basis, to Board Committee reporting to the Board, to allow all Directors to gain a deeper understanding of the workings of each Board Committee and their forward agendas;
- Improvements to the Board appointments process: given the number of recent changes to the Board, we agreed to ensure that all Board members are kept fully informed of prospective candidates and potential appointments; and
- Director induction: we agreed to improve the on-boarding process for new Directors, including partnering new Directors, if appropriate, with longer-serving Board members.

The Chairman has discussed with individual Directors any specific training or development needs arising from the review.

Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

A report on the actions taken in response to the findings of our 2012 Board Effectiveness Review can be found on page 60.

[58 > Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013](#)

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

---

**Table of Contents**

Governance in action

**Deciding to raise equity capital via a fully underwritten rights issue**

A significant event for the Board in 2013 was the decision to raise equity capital via a rights issue. Once the PRA had introduced a 3% leverage ratio expectation and had requested that Barclays meet that expectation by 30 June 2014, a number of Board meetings were held over a short space of time in order to discuss a range of potential options presented by management that aimed to reduce leverage. The Board examined these options and considered their potential impact on Barclays' business model, customers and clients, shareholders and the wider UK economy, as well as the likely views of the PRA, before deciding on a course of action.

The timeline below illustrates how the Board committed the time to discuss collectively this significant development; when information flowed up to the Board to enable it to evaluate the potential options for achieving the leverage ratio; how the Board came to agreement on the plan and supported management in its execution; and how the Board satisfied itself, to the best of its members' knowledge and belief, as to the adequacy of the disclosures made by Barclays. In addition to the formal Board meetings, as Chairman I kept in close contact with Board members to ensure that they were kept informed of progress.

The Board was acutely aware of the importance of taking all reasonable care to ensure that the rights issue prospectus disclosed all matters that a potential purchaser of Barclays' shares might be reasonably expected to find relevant. To support the Board, Christopher Saul of solicitors Slaughter and May, was appointed as an additional independent adviser to provide guidance on matters relating to Barclays, its business or the business environment more generally that, in his experience and having regard to capital markets practice, the Board should particularly take into account in approving the prospectus and any areas or matters in the prospectus on which the Board should particularly focus to satisfy itself as to the adequacy of disclosure. Christopher Saul held a number of meetings with various individual Directors and senior executives in advance of presenting to the Board on his assessment of the processes followed to prepare the prospectus, the issues likely to be of key interest to investors in choosing to take up their rights and how those issues were covered in the prospectus, in particular the disclosure of risk factors and dividend policy. This was in addition to the usual diligence and verification processes and advice received from the Group's existing legal and other advisers and internal teams.

## Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

The rights issue was launched in mid-September 2013 and closed in early October 2013, with acceptances representing 94.63% of the new shares offered to shareholders.

### June

**Mid June:** Board debated indications from the PRA of the capital, liquidity and leverage expectations it had of Barclays, following its assessment of major UK banks and building societies, and the initial potential options and timetable for meeting those expectations.

**Mid June:** Board undertook a preliminary evaluation of the range of initial potential options for achieving the expected leverage ratio, including assessing the likely impact on Barclays' business model, customers and clients and the wider economy and possible shareholder reaction to the PRA's expected announcement.

**20 June:** PRA announcement regarding UK banks' capital and Barclays' initial public response to the new 3% leverage ratio expectation.

### July

**Mid July:** Given subsequent discussions with the PRA, the Board examined a range of options presented by the Group Executive Committee for achieving the leverage ratio by 30 June 2014.

**Late July:** Board evaluated the components of a plan (the Leverage Plan), which included a potential rights issue. The Board approved a rights issue in principle and established and delegated authority to a Rights Issue Committee, comprising five Directors, including the Chairman, Deputy Chairman and Group Chief Executive, to give final approval and finalise the terms.

**Late July:** Rights Issue Committee approved the decision to launch a rights issue.

### August

**Throughout:** discussions between various individual Board Directors and senior executives and Christopher Saul; opportunity for Board Directors to review iterations of the draft rights issue prospectus.

### September

**Early Sept:** Board examined the disclosures in the draft rights issue prospectus, with the benefit of advice from Christopher Saul.

**Mid Sept:** Rights Issue Committee approved the rights issue documents.

**16 Sept:** Rights issue launched.

**30 July:** Barclays announced its intention to raise approximately £5.8bn via a fully underwritten rights issue, as part of the Leverage Plan. The PRA announced that, based on Barclays projections, the Leverage Plan was a credible plan to meet the target.

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

[Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 59](#)

**Table of Contents**

[Corporate governance report >](#)

Board Governance Framework continued

A summary of the Board's progress against the actions arising from the 2012 Board Effectiveness Review is set out below:

**Theme**

**Action Taken**

Enhancing the relationship between the Board and the Executive Committee following changes in both Board and Executive Committee composition in 2012

Given the changes in Board and Executive Committee composition, a programme has been developed for 2014 that will allow the non-executive Directors to spend more time with business CEOs and their management teams. In addition, Board meetings were held in New York and Johannesburg during 2013, which allowed the Board greater opportunity to see operations at first-hand and to meet and interact with the respective management teams.

Ensuring that the working relationship between the Chairman and CEO is strong in recognition of the critical importance of this relationship to the effectiveness of the Board as a whole

The working relationship was assessed by the Board Corporate Governance and Nominations Committee during 2013. The Deputy Chairman and Senior Independent Director, Sir Michael Rake, also monitored the relationship and sought feedback from non-executive Directors ahead of his annual review of the Chairman's performance.



## Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

Ensuring that the Board has greater line of sight into the views of key stakeholders

Non-executive Directors, in particular, Committee Chairmen, have held meetings with representatives from our main regulators in the UK, US and South Africa. Presentations from our regulators in the UK and US were also made to Board meetings. The Chairman and Group Chief Executive regularly updated the Board on any discussions held with regulators and other key stakeholders. In particular, the Board was kept updated on stakeholder views with regard to the Leverage Plan and associated rights issue.

Rebuilding trust with stakeholders with regard to Barclays' remuneration policy, particularly in light of voting at the 2012 AGM

An extensive programme of stakeholder engagement on remuneration matters took place in 2013 and has continued into early 2014, including on the Group's response to the remuneration aspects of CRD IV.

Ensuring that an appropriate balance of skills, experience and diversity on the Board is maintained

A number of changes were made to the Board during 2013, with the appointment of new non-executive Directors. These are described fully elsewhere in this report.

Further improving the quality of information flows to the Board to achieve an appropriate balance between data and analysis

Changes have been made to the form and content of material presented to the Board and Board Committees to ensure that the material focuses on key issues. Greater use has been made of the Board's electronic portal to provide any detailed supporting data. Feedback has been sought from Board members on the form and content of specific papers so that any further improvements could be made.

Enhancing succession planning for key Board positions, in particular, continuing to ensure that the Board builds its knowledge and understanding of the depth of talent in the business

Succession planning for the Chairmen of the principal Board Committees has been an area of focus in 2013, with new Chairmen appointed to the Board Audit Committee and Board Financial Risk Committee. The Board Corporate Governance and Nominations Committee has focused on talent management, as described later in this report. To help the Board build its awareness of the depth of talent in the business a series of talent receptions were also held in London, New York and Johannesburg.

## **How does the Board satisfy itself that it understands the views of stakeholders?**

The Board recognises that what Barclays achieves is only made possible by effective relationships with investors, customers and clients, employees and regulators. We are eager to ensure that we understand their views and concerns and also that we are able to effectively communicate our strategy, enabling us to come to a mutual understanding of respective objectives. Below are some examples of how we have sought to do that with our key stakeholder groups during 2013.

In order to promote effective and open communication with shareholders, we have published shareholder communication guidelines on our website, which can be accessed at [Barclays.com/investorrelations](http://Barclays.com/investorrelations).

## **Our Investors (both private and institutional)**

### **Private Shareholders**

We have a large number of private shareholders and are constantly striving to improve the service we provide. During 2013 we worked to deliver our 2013 Private Shareholder Relations strategy, with the objective of making shareholders lives easier where possible. All our shareholder documents are in Plain English and we aim to ensure that they are easy to understand and engaging. There is also a wide range of information for shareholders available on our website, [Barclays.com](http://Barclays.com).

We maintain a dedicated Barclays Shareholder Helpline so that shareholders can easily contact our Registrar if they have a question about their shareholding. In order to ensure that our Registrar provides the highest quality of service to our shareholders, we monitor their performance at a monthly review meeting using service reports.

## **Table of Contents**

Throughout 2013, we continued to actively encourage shareholders to sign up to Barclays e-view, which is an online portal that allows shareholders to manage their shareholding. We believe that communicating electronically with our shareholders ensures that they receive regular, up to date information directly to their inbox. We send emails to all Barclays e-view members each time we publish our results and provide details about the dividend, including links to Barclays.com where they can find more information. Barclays e-view members can instantly update their personal and bank details online. They can also view their share sales or purchases, vote online and download their electronic dividend tax voucher(s).

Ahead of the 2013 AGM we updated and amended our Shareholder Voting Instruction Card, which is sent to shareholders who request notification that our Annual Report and Notice of Meeting is available online, to include an attendance card. This enabled those shareholders who received the card and who also wished to attend the AGM to quickly and efficiently register on the day itself. This improved our shareholder registration process and was positively received by shareholders.

We are aware that some shareholders do not keep their personal details on the Barclays share register up-to-date. Starting in 2012, we conducted a tracing exercise to reunite over 24,000 Barclays Sharestore members, identified by our Registrar as having lost contact with us, with their shares and unclaimed dividends. I am pleased to confirm that at the end of March 2013, we had returned over £2m in dividends to shareholders. We plan to run an exercise on the ordinary share register during 2014 where we hope to trace 10,000 shareholders with over £5m in unclaimed dividends.

I would also like to take this opportunity to thank our shareholders for helping us to make two donations to charity in 2013. We donated over £40,000 to ABF The Soldiers Charity and over £89,000 to UNICEF. We ensured that we notified shareholders about these successes in our September and December 2013 dividend mailings. You can find out more about these donations in the Shareholder Information section in the Annual Report.

## **AGM**

The AGM is a unique opportunity to engage with our shareholder population as a whole, particularly our private shareholders, and we are committed to making constructive use of it to meet with you, hear your views and answer your questions. I was available at the AGM, held on Thursday 25 April 2013, to answer your questions, as were the Chairmen of our Board Committees. I very much enjoy speaking to you more informally before and after the meeting, and I hope that those of you I was able to speak with at our 2013 AGM also found it interesting and informative.

In accordance with best practice, all resolutions at the 2013 AGM were considered on a poll, which was conducted by the Registrar and monitored by an independent scrutineer. The results, along with proxy votes lodged prior to the meeting, were made available on our website on the same day. The votes cast at the meeting represented 61-68% of all the shares in issue and all resolutions were approved.

The 2014 AGM will be held on Thursday 24 April 2014 at the Royal Festival Hall in London. The Notice of AGM can be found in a separate document, which is sent out at least 20 working days before the meeting. The Notice of AGM and the accompanying circular, which sets out a clear explanation of each resolution to be proposed at the meeting, can also be found at [Barclays.com/agm](http://Barclays.com/agm). The resolutions will again be considered on a poll and the results will be announced via the Regulatory News Service and made available on our website on the same day. Shareholders unable to attend are encouraged to vote in advance of the meeting via [Barclays.com/investorrelations/vote](http://Barclays.com/investorrelations/vote). They may also submit questions to the Board by writing to Shareholder Relations at 1 Churchill Place, London E14 5HP.

## Institutional Investors

I met regularly in 2013 with our larger shareholders to hear their views on corporate governance matters, including remuneration, Board composition and specific AGM resolutions. Sir John Sunderland, Chairman of the Board Remuneration Committee, and Lawrence Dickinson, Company Secretary, also met regularly with larger shareholders and investor bodies to discuss remuneration matters. Feedback from these meetings was provided to the Board and appropriate Board Committees.

The Board was kept regularly updated on investors' views. Copies of analyst reports on Barclays were made available to the Board on a regular basis and Directors were invited to attend results conference calls and investor presentations. A weekly communication from the Group Chief Executive to the Board included an update on investor sentiment and share price performance. In addition, as part of strategy discussions during 2013, the Board was briefed by an external adviser on how Barclays is viewed by institutional shareholders as an investment proposition.

Barclays Investor Relations is dedicated to managing relationships with institutional equity and debt investors. During 2013 approximately half of our shareholder engagement involved executive management including the Group Chief Executive, Group Finance Director or other senior divisional management from across the Group. We also enhanced the way in which we engaged with these stakeholders in the following ways:

- Established a New York based Investor Relations team to promote more regular communication with North American investors;
- Expanded our programme of debt investor meetings and established a fixed income results conference call for debt investors and analysts at our full year and interim results; and
- Increased the frequency of our dialogue with our shareholders through a global engagement programme of over 800 meetings across equity and debt investors.

## Other stakeholders

Our main regulators in the UK and US interact on a regular basis with me and with the Group Chief Executive, Group Finance Director and the Chairmen of the principal Board Committees. This provides us with a first-hand view of regulatory matters. During 2013, more than 30 meetings involving me and individual non-executive Directors were held with representatives of our UK and US regulators, including 13 meetings that I attended. Any significant matters discussed in those meetings were reported to the Board or Board Committees as appropriate.

During 2013, the Board was updated on customer and client metrics, including Net Promoter Scores<sup>®</sup>, customer satisfaction rankings and complaint levels. The Group Chief Executive also reported regularly to the Board on meetings held with customers and clients.

## Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

Ensuring employees are well-informed, feel connected and understand our culture and objectives is vital if we are to deliver long-term value for our customers and clients. We build trust and seek feedback through regular two-way communications, both informally, through events such as internal forums and jams and formally, through our Employee Opinion Surveys. The outputs from these events and the results of Employee Opinion Surveys are reported to the Board by the Chief Executive. This helps us understand our colleagues' views and concerns when shaping future organisational direction.

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

[Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 61](#)

**Table of Contents**

[Corporate governance report >](#)

**Board Audit Committee**

**Board Audit Committee Report**

The Committee's terms of reference are available at [Barclays.com/corporategovernance](http://Barclays.com/corporategovernance)

Ultimately, our activity is designed to support our over-riding purpose, which is to protect the interests of you, our shareholders.

**Mike Ashley**

Chairman, Board Audit Committee

**Committee composition**

Membership of the Committee and attendance at meetings in 2013 is set out below:

Dear Shareholders

<b>Member</b>	<b>Meetings attended/eligible to attend</b>
Sir Michael Rake (Chairman) (to 31 December 2013)	
Mike Ashley (from 18 September 2013) (Chairman from 1 January 2014)	
Tim Breedon (from 1 March 2013)*	
Fulvio Conti	

I became Chairman of the Board Audit Committee with effect from 1 January 2014, having been a member since joining the Barclays Board last September. I would like to thank my predecessor, Sir Michael Rake, for his assured leadership of the Committee since becoming Chairman in 2009.

The report below describes how the Committee discharged its responsibilities during the last year, setting out the significant issues examined by the Committee in terms of assessing the integrity of the Group's financial reporting, the effectiveness of internal controls and the performance of the auditors, both internal and external. Ultimately, our activity is designed to support our over-riding purpose, which is to

protect the interests of you, our shareholders. Our aim is to act with the right levels of diligence to assure ourselves that appropriate and prudent judgments have been made with regard to financial reporting, that the financial statements provide a true and fair view of the company's financial position and that the independent scrutiny by the auditors on behalf of our owners is both objective and effective.

Barclays is a large and complex business that is undergoing a period of substantial change, driven internally by the Transform programme and externally by continuing developments in the regulatory environment. In 2014 our focus will be on ensuring that the effectiveness of our control environment keeps pace with this level of change; that we continue to exercise appropriate judgment on significant financial reporting matters and report in a fair, balanced and transparent way; and that our independent assurance mechanisms internal and external audit continue to perform effectively and efficiently to protect shareholders interests.

**Mike Ashley**

Chairman, Board Audit Committee

3 March 2014

Simon Fraser\*  
Sir Andrew Likierman (to  
25 April 2013)  
Diane de Saint Victor  
(from 1 December 2013)

Attended      Did not attend

\* Unable to attend meetings owing to prior  
business commitments

### **Board Audit Committee Allocation of Time (%)**

**2013**   2012

Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

1 Control Issues	<b>16</b>	13
2 Financial Results	<b>40</b>	31
3 Internal Audit Matters	<b>8</b>	14
4 External Audit Matters	<b>11</b>	6
5 Business Control Environment	<b>16</b>	14
6 Other (including Governance and Compliance)	<b>8</b>	22

[62 > Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013](#)

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)



**Table of Contents**

**Role and responsibilities**

The Committee is responsible for:

- assessing the integrity of the Group’s financial reporting and satisfying itself that any significant financial judgments made by management are sound;

- evaluating the effectiveness of the Group’s internal controls, including internal financial controls; and

- scrutinising the activities and performance of the internal and external auditors, including monitoring their independence and objectivity.

**Committee composition**

There were a number of changes to Committee composition in 2013. Tim Breedon, Mike Ashley and Diane de Saint Victor joined the Committee and Sir Andrew Likierman left the Committee on retiring from the Board. Sir Michael Rake retired from the Committee on 31 December 2013 and was succeeded as Chairman by Mike Ashley with effect from 1 January 2014. Fulvio Conti and Simon Fraser will retire from the Committee on 24 April 2014, when they retire from the Board. During 2013, Sir Michael Rake and, until his retirement, Sir Andrew Likierman, were the designated financial experts on the Committee for the purposes of the US Sarbanes-Oxley Act and have been succeeded in this by Mike Ashley, although each member of the Committee has

systems supporting the financial reporting processes can be relied upon. The Committee was satisfied, given the results of the testing of the compensating controls, that the integrity of the financial control environment was appropriate.

Another major component of the financial reporting process concerns the key assumptions and estimates or judgments that inevitably have to be made. Ahead of publication of the half-year and full-year results, the Committee examined in detail the main judgments and assumptions made by management. It also considered whether, in those areas where accounting policy choices might be made, appropriate policies had been selected. The Committee focused on the following areas at the full-year, having covered similar matters at the half-year, including seeking the views of the external auditor on the judgments made:

- Customer redress provisions, in particular PPI and interest rate hedging products redress provisions, were an area of focus for the Committee in 2013 and you can read more below about the Committee’s role in evaluating and challenging the assumptions underlying the provisions for PPI redress. Full details of the provisions taken in 2013 can be found on page 332;

- Another significant area of judgment for the financial statements arises in the valuation and disclosure of financial instruments held by the Corporate and Investment Bank, in particular, derivative assets and certain Exit Quadrant portfolios.

financial and/or financial services experience. You can find more details of the experience of Committee members in their biographies on pages 83 to 85.

### The Committee's work

The Committee met 13 times in 2013, including a separate meeting specifically in February 2013 on PPI provisions and two additional meetings to consider restated financial statements, reflecting the introduction of new accounting standards, prior to their publication. The chart opposite shows how the Committee allocated its time during 2013. Committee meetings were attended by management, including the Group Chief Executive, Group Finance Director, Chief Internal Auditor, Chief Risk Officer and General Counsel, as well as representatives from the businesses and other functions. The lead audit partner of the external auditor attended each meeting and the Committee held regular private sessions with the Chief Internal Auditor and the lead auditor partner, which were not attended by management.

### Significant financial statement reporting issues

With support and input from the external auditor, the Committee assessed the financial reporting processes, whether suitable accounting policies had been adopted, whether management had made appropriate estimates and judgments and whether disclosures in published financial reports were balanced and fair.

The integrity of the financial statements is underpinned by the control environment pertaining to the production of the financial reports. In this regard, the Committee considered the results of the Group's Turnbull assessments, its Sarbanes-Oxley s404 internal control process and the absence of any indications of fraud relating to financial reporting matters. The Committee also satisfied itself that there were appropriate verification standards and processes by which senior management attested to the accuracy of the factual content and a process to support the representations made by the Board to the external auditors. It did this by debating the outputs from the Disclosure Committee's review of the financial statements, including an assessment of disclosure controls and procedures, and by asking

The Committee was especially interested in assets where there is a lack of an active secondary market and limited trade activity, as the lack of observability results in valuation uncertainty. Consequently, the Committee examined the internal price verification processes, whereby methodologies are benchmarked against any observable traded prices, practices adopted by peers and other relevant pricing information. In particular, owing to the lack of any secondary market and limited, if any, recent origination experience, the Committee scrutinised the valuation of the Education, Social Housing and Local Authority (ESHLA) loan portfolio, which is held at fair value based on internal assessments of credit spreads. After due challenge and debate, the Committee satisfied itself as to the governance underpinning the valuation process and that the assumptions made were appropriate. In view of the absence of recent trading and the sensitivity of the valuation to alternative plausible assumptions, the Committee fully supported the transfer of this portfolio to level 3 categorisation and the associated disclosures. Full details of financial instruments held can be found on page 304;

i The Committee scrutinised credit impairment charges on loans and advances. The main judgments arose around the timing of the recognition of any impairment and estimating the size, particularly where forbearance has been granted. The Committee examined the underlying drivers of impairment in each

business and any post-model adjustments, including the process by which the Risk function had identified areas where adjustments needed to be made in order to satisfy itself that the credit impairment provisions were appropriate. As part of its assessment, the Committee was also briefed on impairment methodologies. Full details of credit impairment charges for 2013 can be found on page 291;

i The Committee examined the significant judgmental items in the calculation of the tax rate. The judgments covered the adequacy of tax provisions for matters that remain outstanding with the relevant tax authorities with regard to transactions from prior periods and deferred tax assets (DTA) in Spain and the US. In relation to the former, the Committee had regard

## Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

management to explain and evidence the basis on which the representations to the auditors were made. The Disclosure Committee is a management committee, chaired by the Group Finance Director, which considers the content, accuracy and tone of the disclosures, reporting its conclusions to the Group Executive Committee and the Board Audit Committee. The Committee also considered reports from the internal and external auditor relating to these aspects. In addition, the Committee discussed control issues in the IT environment, which are subject to remediation plans. Additional measures have been taken, including compensating controls, to ensure that the controls over the

to the status of negotiations with key tax authorities and assessed the drivers underlying the tax risk and the associated provisions, to satisfy itself that the coverage levels of the provisions were appropriate given the range of possible outcomes. In relation to DTA, the Committee noted that management's business forecasts supported the recovery other than in relation to Spain. The Committee therefore agreed with management's recommendation to write down the DTA in Spain. Full details of the tax rate and tax provision for 2013 can be found on page 294;

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

[Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 63](#)

**Table of Contents**[Corporate governance report >](#)

Board Audit Committee continued

Revisions to IAS 19 became effective from 1 January 2013, which require actuarial gains and losses arising from defined benefit pension schemes to be recognised in full. Consequently, the Committee examined the impact of IAS 19R on the Group's balance sheet and the revised presentation in the financial statements. The Committee agreed that management's assumptions underlying the pension valuation were appropriate;

The Committee assessed the impact of new accounting standards on Barclays' published financial statements. It agreed and approved restated financial statements for 2011 and 2012 reflecting the implementation of IAS19R and IFRS10 in April 2013. Prior to and in connection with the rights issue, the Committee also agreed and approved in late August 2013 the restatement of the financial statements included in Barclays' 20F filing for 2012 to reflect the implementation, as appropriate, of IAS19 and IFRS10 and also IFRS8 and IFRS7 for 2010 in addition to 2011 and 2012. The external auditor re-signed the audit report in respect of the September 2013 restatement;

In considering any potential impairment of goodwill, the Committee focused on management's track record in forecasting cashflows and the appropriateness of the discount rates used. Having assessed a report on the results of impairment testing and the key assumptions

**Governance in action****Customer redress provisions: PPI**

A significant area of judgment remains the estimation of provisions to be made for Payment Protection Insurance (PPI) and Interest Rate Hedging Products (IRHP) customer redress.

Throughout 2013, the Committee continued to exercise scrutiny over the level of customer redress provisioning, in particular the provisions for PPI redress. Ahead of approving the half-year results, with regard to PPI redress the Committee examined a report setting out the provisions booked and utilised and the balance remaining. It assessed range-based estimates for future provision utilisation, likely future costs and potential provision increases, based on projected volumes of claims, recent trends in claims experience, uphold rates (i.e., valid claims found in favour of the customer) and the average amount of redress paid, per policy. The assessment also factored in the possible impact of proactive mailing campaigns, the expected costs of referrals to the Financial Ombudsman Service (FOS) and potential remediation costs associated with issues arising from historical redress decisions. The impact on assumptions resulted in a recommendation by management to increase the level of provisions held by £1.35bn to £3.95bn, which, having examined the

adopted in the calculation of the recoverable amounts, the Committee agreed that goodwill arising from the businesses acquired in a previous period by Wealth and Investment Management should be written off; and

i The Committee debated material judgments relating to litigation provisions, reviewing the status of current cases and taking into account recent developments, including any settlements by peers of similar cases, in order to arrive at an appropriate level of provisioning, which it recommended to the Board.

In relation to the financial reports overall:

i The Committee evaluated whether the going concern basis of accounting was appropriate by assessing the Working Capital Report prepared by management. This report covered the liquidity position and forecast capital ratios, balance sheet and leverage position. The report incorporated known or expected regulatory changes, the impact of possible stress scenarios and details of the performance of early warning indicators. After examining the forecast, the Committee concluded that the liquidity and capital position of the Group remained appropriate and that there were no material uncertainties;

i The Committee examined the 2013 Annual Report and Financial Statements and was specifically tasked by the Board to advise it on whether the 2013 Annual Report and Financial Statements are fair, balanced and understandable. The Committee did this by satisfying itself that there was a robust process of review and challenge at different levels within the Group to ensure balance and consistency. In doing so, the Committee examined the outputs of these processes, which included reports from the Disclosure Committee on its assessment of the content, accuracy and balance of the disclosures and of the outputs from the Group's Legal & Technical Committee, and also had the opportunity to directly question the Chief Executive on the overall messages and tone of his review and the Annual Report. The

underlying data and discussed the appropriateness of the increased provision with the external auditor, the Committee endorsed. The Committee also considered whether the trends in data supported the assumptions in its review of the interim management statement for the third quarter of 2013.

In connection with the 2013 full-year results the Committee assessed the amount of unutilised provisions against key assumptions for potential future claims experience, FOS referrals and remediation costs. It also considered the mix of claims originating directly from customers and from Claims Management Companies. Although the flow of claim volumes continues to be unpredictable, the Committee concluded, based on its examination of the available information, that no additional provision was required at the 2013 full-year. As part of its review, the Committee also considered the proposed disclosure of the forecast assumptions used in the provision calculation and details of the sensitivity analysis performed on future expected claims, in order to satisfy itself that the disclosures were open and transparent. Further reviews of PPI claims experience will be undertaken during 2014 to monitor trends and ensure that the provision remains appropriate.

Committee also considered other information regarding the Group's performance presented to the Board during the period. After challenge and debate and consideration of all relevant information, the Committee concluded that it could recommend to the Board that the 2013 Annual Report and Financial Statements are fair, balanced and understandable; and

i The Committee evaluated the judgments made regarding adjusting items as presented in the performance highlights section of the interim and full-year results announcements and in the Annual Report. These were items that were significant or one-off in nature and included the own credit gain and provisions for customer redress. The Committee also considered the impact of the costs to achieve Transform.

[64 > Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013](#)

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

**Table of Contents**

**Internal control**

The Committee is responsible for examining the effectiveness of the Group's internal controls. In 2013, the Committee's main activities in discharging that responsibility were as follows:

- Discussed, on a regular basis, any control issues of Group-level significance and the progress of the remediation plans in place to address them. A particular area of focus for the Committee in 2013 was the pace of delivery of remediation plans and how realistic and achievable the timetables and milestones are given the number of change programmes in place across the Group under the Transform programme. The Committee was particularly concerned where remediation plans are dependent on technology enhancements, as the complexity of the IT infrastructure and demands on technology resources can lead to delays in implementation. The Committee emphasised to management the need for remediation programmes to be adequately resourced, with consistent leadership, and to be focused on implementing robust, automated solutions to strengthen preventative controls, rather than just short-term manual tactical fixes. The Committee has urged management to ensure that scarce resources are prioritised appropriately and will be monitoring this aspect closely going forward;

- Assessed reports on the control environment in Europe Retail and Business Banking, UK Retail and Business Banking, Investment Bank, Africa, Operations & Technology and Wealth, hearing directly from the heads of those businesses and functions. The Committee's aim is to review each business area over an 18 month to 2 year cycle.

Further details of the Group's system of internal control and risk management, including the main features of the internal control and risk management systems in relation to the financial reporting process, are included in the Directors' Report on pages 80 and 81 and in the Risk Management Report on pages 377 to 422.

**Internal audit**

The Committee is responsible for monitoring the performance and effectiveness of the internal audit function. In 2013 the Committee discharged that responsibility as follows:

- Approved the Internal Audit Charter, which is attached to the Committee's terms of reference;

- Discussed and approved changes to the Internal Audit methodology;

- Agreed the internal audit plan for 2013, including budget and resources, and assessed the plan on a regular basis, including any changes proposed to the scope of work and the level of resourcing available, satisfying itself that these remained appropriate;

- Discussed regular reports from the Chief Internal Auditor, which set out the Internal Audit function's

In addition to understanding management's view of any control issues, the Committee was keen to understand the pace and progress of cultural change in each business and the tone being set from the top;

• Examined a report from the Chief Internal Auditor on the Internal Audit function's assessment of Barclays' business continuity plans. The Committee was satisfied with the progress made to achieve a minimum capability and reviewed management's plans to reduce further the risk exposure. It encouraged management to ensure that these plans were delivered in a timely fashion;

• Evaluated the progress being made on remediation activity relating to LIBOR, which included an external review as required by the Commodity Futures Trading Commission's Order. The Committee also examined the progress of work to improve the controls around other benchmarks and market information provided to third parties, including the introduction of independent reviews of submissions. 11 non-interest rate benchmarking processes were exited in 2013;

• Examined, on a regular basis, the progress being made to implement specific action plans first introduced in 2011 to enhance the control environment in Barclays' US businesses. The Committee noted that progress was being made but pressed management to ensure that milestones are achieved and that the demand for IT and Finance resource is appropriately met;

• Was updated on the number and nature of any whistleblowing incidents. The intense focus on culture and values has generated an increase in the number of whistleblowing reports, all of which were investigated. The Committee noted that there was scope for improving the

whistleblowing process; and

• Continued to assess on a regular basis the progress being made to address key regulatory compliance control issues,

view of the control environment and performance against any key indicators. In particular, where the Chief Internal Auditor's view of the control environment differed from management's self assessment, the Committee probed for more detail in order to understand the underlying reasons for the difference and whether management's risk and control assessments were robust. In future, in addition to an assessment of the control environment, Internal Audit's assessment of each business will also include an assessment of management's own identification of control issues;

• Tracked the progress of the Transform workstream on governance and controls, which was led by the Chief Internal Auditor and which aims to introduce a clearer risk management framework with greater clarity of management responsibility;

• Debated the findings of an external evaluation of the performance of the Internal Audit function, which confirmed that the Internal Audit function is effective and respected by senior management. The Chief Internal Auditor was not present for this discussion; and

• Held private sessions with the Chief Internal Auditor and the lead audit partner and latterly solely with the Chief Internal Auditor, in the absence of management, to enable the Chief Internal Auditor to raise any issues directly.

#### **Assessing external audit effectiveness, appointing the auditor and safeguarding auditor objectivity and independence**

The Committee is responsible for monitoring the performance, objectivity and independence of the external auditor, PricewaterhouseCoopers (PwC). In 2013 the Committee's main activities in discharging that responsibility were as follows:



## Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

including client assets, know your customer and customer due diligence, anti money laundering, financial crime and fraud. The Committee's focus was on ensuring that the governance and controls are appropriate and challenging the pace of the remediation programmes to ensure that all key milestones are met.

- i Agreed the audit plan with PwC, ensuring materiality and scope were appropriate and agreeing the particular areas of audit focus. This included ensuring that there were appropriate levels of synergy with the Internal Audit plan;

- i Discussed PwC's approach to materiality following publication of the FRC's report in December 2013 on the guidelines used by the major audit firms and, in particular, considered the criteria which had led them to conclude on their overall materiality;

- i Settled the terms of the engagement letter and approved, on behalf of the Board, the fees payable for the audit;

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

[Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 65](#)

**Table of Contents**[Corporate governance report >](#)

## Board Audit Committee continued

• Held private sessions with the lead audit partner and the Chief Internal Auditor and latterly solely with the lead audit partner, in order to discuss any matters directly with the lead audit partner in the absence of management;

• Considered the Audit Quality Inspection Report on PwC for 2012/2013, issued by the FRC in May 2013 in order to be satisfied that the general quality of the audit work conducted by PwC is of a high standard and that any prior year findings have been acted upon;

• Questioned PwC on the actions it has continued to take to address matters raised in recent regulatory reviews. The Committee was reassured that appropriate steps have been taken; and

• Considered a report from PwC on the arrangements it has in place to maintain its independence and objectivity.

The Committee also re-evaluated the performance and effectiveness of the auditor and the external audit process. A survey of key management across the Group was conducted following the conclusion of the 2012 year-end audit. A further survey was carried out in late 2013/early 2014 and will be supplemented by a further test of stakeholder opinion (including non-executive Directors) following the conclusion of the 2013 year-end

**External audit tender**

The Committee is very mindful of investor sentiment regarding external audit firm tendering and rotation and of course the changes to the Code in 2012 recommending that the external audit is tendered at least every ten years. In addition, 2014 is likely to see new regulation in this area both from the UK Competition Commission (implementing its decision to mandate tendering at least every ten years) and the European Union (requiring audit firm rotation at least every 20 years). The Committee has therefore spent time in 2013 and the early part of 2014 discussing a potential tender for the external audit. The lead audit partner was not present during the Committee's discussion of the options for a tender of the external audit.

PwC, and its predecessor firms, has been Barclays auditor since 1896, and it is more than ten years since the external audit was last tendered. The lead audit partner rotates every five years and Andrew Ratcliffe, the current lead audit partner, has been in post since 2010 and will rotate at the conclusion of the audit for 2014. Transitional guidance issued by the FRC, if applied to Barclays, would suggest a tender for the 2015 audit. The Competition Commission's transitional guidance is not yet available, but is likely to mandate a tender slightly later than this. In addition the European Union's proposed transitional rules would require Barclays to replace PwC within 6 years of the Regulation coming into force.

audit. These surveys are designed to establish internal perceptions of PwC's objectivity and independence; PwC's knowledge of the business, its risks and culture; the effectiveness of working relationships, particularly with Internal Audit; the level of insight and value added; and the levels of challenge and professional scepticism demonstrated. The results were presented to the Committee and debated without the lead audit partner present. From this and the Committee's direct experience from its interactions with PwC, the Committee concluded that it continued to be satisfied with the performance of PwC and that PwC continues to display the necessary attributes of objectivity and independence. The results of the survey, which included feedback on individual members of the audit team, were subsequently shared with the lead audit partner so that any areas for improvement could be followed up.

The Committee has also had regard to the complexity and scale of the Barclays external audit and is particularly conscious of the degree of change impacting the business, including the Finance function, as a result of the Transform programme and the additional strain that both an audit tender and a change of audit firm would involve. In addition, the Committee noted that both the Group Finance Director and the Committee Chairman are new in role, the latter having previously been a senior partner in one of the audit firms likely to tender.

Weighing up all these factors, and with the Committee Chairman having recently spoken to a number of key investors, the Committee has recommended to the Board that, depending on the final rules from the Competition Commission and the European Union, a tender of the external audit should start in 2015 or 2016 with respect to the 2017 or 2018 audit and that PwC should not be invited to tender.

**Table of Contents**

Following all the above, and in particular the process of re-evaluation, the Committee recommended to the Board and to shareholders that PwC should be re-appointed as the Group's auditors at the AGM on 24 April 2014.

To help assure the objectivity and independence of the external auditor, the Committee has in place a policy that sets out the circumstances in which the external auditor may be permitted to undertake non-audit services.

Allowable services are pre-approved up to £100,000, or £25,000 in the case of certain taxation services. Any proposed non-audit service that exceeds these thresholds requires specific approval from the Chairman of the Committee before the auditor can be engaged. Such proposals must be robustly justified and, if appropriate, tendered, before they are approved. During 2013, the Chairman of the Committee scrutinised all such requests for approval, particularly those that concerned taxation-related services, and two requests for approval were declined. In particular, for tax advisory services the Committee's instruction to management is not to use the external auditor unless an exceptional case can be made for not engaging an alternative supplier.

The Committee assessed quarterly reports on non-audit services undertaken by the auditor in order to satisfy itself as to the types of services being provided and to which businesses and the fees incurred. While the level of non-audit services provided by PwC has fallen in recent years, the Committee made clear to management that it wished to see a further reduction in the level of non-audit fees payable to PwC and that the default position should be to use another firm. Subsequently, a communication was issued to all business Chief Financial Officers across

the Group emphasising the Committee's instructions.

A breakdown of the fees paid to the auditor for non-audit work during 2013 may be found in note 44 on page 368, with non-audit fees representing 28.5% of the audit fee (2012: 25.7%). Assurance activity conducted by the external auditor in connection with the rights issue in 2013 resulted in a slight increase in the level of non-audit fees year on year. Significant categories of engagement undertaken in 2013 included: attest and assurance services associated with the production of reports and comfort letters in connection with Barclays' rights issue; quality assurance services relating to Barclays' new performance management system; and tax compliance services for ongoing assignments initiated pre-January 2011 in connection with our expatriate and international assignees. In each case, the request to use the auditor for these services was sponsored by a senior executive, who was required to demonstrate to the Committee a robust and thorough value case for using the auditor, including an explanation of any tender process conducted, any alternative providers considered and the criteria on which the decision to award the service to the auditor was made, including the specific skills and experience to be provided by the auditor. In addition, in each case the relevant audit partner was required to attest that provision of the services had been approved in accordance with the auditors' own internal ethical standards and that the auditors' objectivity and independence would not be compromised.

Further details of the non-audit services that are prohibited and allowed under the policy can be found in the corporate governance section of Barclays' website, Barclays.com. 2014 is likely to see new regulation on non-audit services from the European Union, introducing certain restrictions around the provision of non-audit services by the auditor and a 70% non-audit services fee cap. The Committee will review the policy to take into account any new requirements that are introduced and will implement a limit for non-audit services of £250,000 over and above which the Committee will be required to approve such requests.

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

[Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 67](#)

**Table of Contents**

[Corporate governance report >](#)

**Board Enterprise Wide Risk Committee**

**Board Enterprise Wide Risk Committee Report**

We established the Committee at the end of January 2013 as part of an enhanced Board-level framework for the governance of risk.

The Committee's terms of reference are available at

[Barclays.com/corporategovernance](http://Barclays.com/corporategovernance)

**Sir David Walker**

Chairman, Board Enterprise Wide Risk Committee

This is the first report of the new Board Enterprise Wide Risk Committee, which we established at the end of January 2013 as part of an enhanced Board-level framework for the governance of risk. I describe below the Committee's role and responsibilities, its composition and how we discharged our responsibilities in 2013.

**Committee composition**

Membership of the Committee and attendance at meetings in 2013 is set out below:

**Member**

**Meetings  
attended/eligible**

**Role and responsibilities**

The Committee is responsible for:

i. Recommending to the Board the Group's overall risk appetite;

to attend

Sir David Walker  
 David Booth (to 31 December  
 2013)  
 Sir Michael Rake\*  
 Sir John Sunderland  
 Attended Did not attend

\* unable to attend 1 meeting owing to a prior business  
 commitment

Reviewing the aggregated risk profile for the Group  
 and performance against risk appetite;

Identifying the potential impact of key issues and  
 themes that may impact the enterprise wide risk  
 profile of the Group and ensuring that the Group's  
 overall risk profile and risk appetite remain  
 appropriate; and

**Board Enterprise Wide Risk Committee Allocation of  
 Time (%)**

Seeking to identify and assess future potential risks  
 which, by virtue of their unfamiliarity, uncertainty and  
 deemed low probability, may not have been factored  
 adequately into review by other Board Committees.

The Committee was established on 31 January 2013  
 and membership comprises the Chairman, Deputy  
 Chairman and the Chairmen of each of the principal  
 Board Committees. David Booth retired from the  
 Committee on 31 December 2013 on retiring from the  
 Board. Mike Ashley (Chairman, Board Audit  
 Committee) and Tim Breedon (Chairman, Board  
 Financial Risk Committee) joined the Committee with  
 effect from 1 January 2014.

	<b>2013</b>
1 Risk Profile/Risk Appetite	<b>61</b>
2 Key Risk Issues	<b>16</b>
3 Internal Control/Risk Policies	<b>10</b>
4 Other	<b>13</b>



[68 > Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013](#)

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

**Table of Contents**

**The Committee's work**

The Committee met twice in 2013, as planned, with meetings timed to take place around the half and full-year periods. The chart opposite shows how the Committee allocated its time during 2013. Committee meetings were attended by management, including the Group Chief Executive, Group Finance Director, Chief Internal Auditor, Chief Risk Officer, General Counsel and the then Head of Compliance, Government and Regulatory Relations.

In 2013 the Committee's main activities in discharging its responsibilities were as follows:

- Identified and prioritised key enterprise-wide risk themes, which resonate across the business and may impact Barclays' overall risk profile. They can be seen across the activities of the principal Board Committees, described in their reports. They are:

- Debated the risks and opportunities arising from the changing external environment, particularly the political environment, how this might impact the business in future and how Barclays might position itself;

- Debated ways in which risks that might lie ahead can be identified, by implementing a radar system to scan the horizon for issues, estimate the impact and probability and discuss possible mitigation. The Committee's discussion focused on establishing ways of achieving line of sight into unknown unknowns and ensuring that alternative perspectives are taken into account when assessing potential future risks;

- Assessed and recommended to the Board the Group's overall risk appetite for 2014, encompassing financial risk (credit, market and funding), operational risk and conduct and reputation risk, taking a holistic view of the Group's risk profile and how the different risk types interact;

**Theme**

**Significance**

Europe

The possibility of a Eurozone crisis remained during 2013, as the weak growth outlook

- Evaluated and approved Barclays' Enterprise Risk Management Framework, which replaced the Principal Risks Policy and is one of the key outputs from the Transform programme workstream examining the risk and control framework; and

## Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

continued to raise concerns about sovereign creditworthiness in some countries.

Examined the split of responsibilities across each of the principal Board Committees to satisfy itself that there is no underlap and that any overlap is being managed effectively. This included agreeing high-level principles for the allocation of responsibilities across the Board Audit Committee, Board Conduct, Reputation and Operational Risk Committee and Board Financial Risk Committee, which will inform each Committee's agenda for 2014.

**Political Instability** The possibility that Barclays' risk profile could be adversely impacted by deterioration of political stability in one or a number of countries in any of its main business geographies.

**Sir David Walker**

Chairman, Board Enterprise Wide Risk Committee

3 March 2014

**Quantitative Easing** The possibility of a slowing of monetary stimulus by one or more governments has increased the uncertainty of near term economic performance across our main business areas.

**Leverage/Regulatory Rules** Risk of failing to meet the PRA's expectations in relation to the Leverage Ratio by June 2014. While CRD IV has been issued, risks remain in respect of both its implementation and the additional requirements applied to each country.

**Internal Controls** The Transform programme is driving a period of significant strategic and organisational change, which in the short term, during implementation, may heighten operational risk exposure.

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

[Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 69](#)

---

**Table of Contents**

[Corporate governance report >](#)

**Board Financial Risk Committee**

**Board Financial Risk Committee Report**

Changes to regulatory requirements for capital and liquidity, in order to ensure the safety and soundness of financial institutions and their ability to withstand systemic shocks, continue apace.

The Committee's terms of reference are available at

[Barclays.com/corporategovernance](http://Barclays.com/corporategovernance)

**Tim Breedon**

Chairman, Board Financial Risk Committee

Dear Shareholders

I succeeded David Booth as Chairman of the Committee on 1 January 2014, having been a member of the Committee since late 2012. I would like to thank David on behalf of the Committee for his significant contribution, both as a member of the Committee and latterly as Chairman.

**Committee composition**

Membership of the Committee and attendance at meetings in 2013 is set out below:

**Member**

**Meetings  
attended/eligible  
to attend**

The report that follows describes how the Committee discharged its responsibilities during 2013 and provides an insight into the material issues it considered. 2013 saw the Committee refocus on

David Booth (Chairman) (to  
31 December 2013)  
Mike Ashley (from  
18 September 2013)  
Tim Breedon (Chairman from  
1 January 2014)  
Reuben Jeffery  
Sir Andrew Likierman (to  
25 April 2013)  
Dambisa Moyo\*

financial risk credit, market and funding risk as scrutiny of operational risk moved within the remit of the Board Conduct, Reputation and Operational Risk Committee. This has enabled the Committee to dig deeper into some of the key financial risk issues facing Barclays, for example, redenomination risk, tax risk and pension risk, and to provide additional scrutiny around risk governance and limit frameworks. You can read more about the Committee's role in the management of redenomination risk below, in the Governance in Action section. The Committee also continued to play a part in examining Barclays financial risk profile and in determining the scenarios to be used in Barclays stress testing exercises and evaluating the outcomes and the potential impact.

Changes to regulatory requirements for capital and liquidity, in order to ensure the safety and soundness of financial institutions and their ability to withstand systemic shocks, continue apace. 2014 will see implementation of CRD IV, the text of which was finalised in 2013, although the precise details of its implementation in the UK are still to be agreed. We also expect to see a continuation of weak economic conditions in some of our main markets and our focus will be on ensuring that we continue to identify potential financial risks and have in place a range of appropriate mitigating actions.

### **Tim Breedon**

Chairman, Board Financial Risk Committee

3 March 2014

Sir Michael Rake  
Attended      Did not attend

\* unable to attend 1 meeting owing to a prior business commitment

### **Board Financial Risk Committee Allocation of Time (%)**

2013    2012

1 Risk Profile/Risk Appetite (including capital and liquidity management)	<b>48</b>	61
2 Key Risk Issues	<b>22</b>	18
3 Internal Control/Risk Policies	<b>12</b>	6
4 Regulatory Frameworks	<b>4</b>	5
5 Other (including remuneration and governance issues)	<b>15</b>	10

[70 > Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013](#)

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

**Table of Contents**

**Role and responsibilities**

The Committee's responsibilities include:

- Recommending to the Board Enterprise Wide Risk Committee and the Board the total level of financial risk the Group is prepared to take (risk appetite) to achieve the creation of long-term shareholder value;

- Monitoring financial risk appetite, including setting limits for individual types of financial risk, e.g. credit, market and funding risk;

- Monitoring the Group's financial risk profile;

- Ensuring that financial risk is taken into account during the due diligence phase of any strategic transaction; and

- Providing input from a financial risk perspective into the deliberations of the Board Remuneration Committee.

**Committee composition**

Mike Ashley joined the Committee in September 2013 and Sir Andrew Likierman left the Committee in April 2013 on retiring from the Board. David Booth retired from the

**Risk Profile**

- Debated the quarterly Financial Risk Profile Report, which provided an update on credit, market, funding and liquidity risk in each of Barclays' main businesses, in order to satisfy itself that the financial risk profile of the Group remained appropriate. The Committee was especially concerned to understand the potential impact of continuing weak economic conditions in each of Barclays' main markets, in particular, the continuing possibility of a Eurozone crisis and the potential impact of a slowdown in monetary stimulus by one or more governments on economic performance.

Redenomination risk in the Eurozone was scrutinised regularly by the Committee and further details of the Committee's activity in this area are given below;

- Approved scenarios for Barclays' internal stress testing exercise and later evaluated the outputs. The scenario of a severe global recession was in line with the scenario operated by the PRA in its stress testing exercise. The Committee also endorsed a recommendation by management to run a sensitivity analysis on the potential impact of a disorderly cessation of quantitative easing. The stress testing exercises demonstrated that Barclays maintains acceptable leverage and capital ratios at the low points of the stress and the Committee was content with the results of the exercise;

- Regularly examined actual and forecast performance against the capital plan and capital targets, actual and forecast performance against liquidity risk appetite and the leverage ratio, via discussion of regular reports



Committee on 31 December 2013 on retiring from the Board, and was succeeded as Chairman by Tim Breedon with effect from 1 January 2014. Steve Thieke joined the Committee in early 2014 on his appointment to the Board. Details of the skills and experience of the Committee members can be found in their biographies on pages 83 to 85.

### **The Committee's work**

The Committee met six times in 2013. The chart on the previous page shows how the Committee allocated its time during 2013. Committee meetings were attended by management, including the Group Chief Executive, Group Finance Director, Chief Internal Auditor, Chief Risk Officer, Barclays Treasurer and General Counsel, as well as representatives from the businesses. The lead audit partner from the external auditor also attended each meeting. During the year the Committee held one of its meetings in New York and another in Johannesburg. In addition to the formal Committee meetings, the Committee held a briefing session on Pillar 3 reporting.

In discharging its responsibilities during 2013, the Committee:

### **Risk Appetite**

• Examined the proposed financial risk appetite for 2014 before recommending it to the Board Enterprise Wide Risk Committee for approval. Taking a 1 in 7 scenario and a 1 in 25 scenario, the Committee assessed the performance of agreed financial volatility parameters in those scenarios to establish any potential constraints. The financial volatility parameters are largely unchanged from 2013, although they have been updated to include the Common Equity Tier 1 ratio and leverage ratio in light of regulatory requirements. The Committee concluded that the proposed financial risk appetite affords some headroom should any additional conduct risk issues crystallise in 2014;

from Barclays Treasurer. In view of evolving regulatory requirements, the Committee encouraged management to develop a range of contingency options in order to ensure that the targets can continue to be met. Further details of Barclays' capital and liquidity risk management framework can be found in the Risk Management section of the Annual Report;

• Requested a deep dive into pension risk. The Committee evaluated the impact of volatility in the defined benefit pension scheme's liabilities on Core Tier 1 capital, given the sensitivity to inflation and interest rates. The main potential risks arise from any increase in inflation and the Committee considered whether there were any opportunities to reduce inflation risk over the next few years and the potential cost of doing so; and

• Regularly debated tax risk during 2013, in particular, the status of discussions with key tax authorities relating to matters that remain outstanding with regard to transactions from prior periods and the implementation of new tax principles within the Group. The Committee was content with the new tax risk governance framework that has been implemented, which is aligned to Barclays' Purpose and Values, and asked to be kept updated on the status of settlement discussions with key tax authorities on prior period transactions.

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

[Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 71](#)

---

**Table of Contents**

[Corporate governance report >](#)

Board Financial Risk Committee continued

**Risk Management Governance and Framework**

- Assessed and approved enhancements to the governance around the limits applied to Barclays Leveraged Finance and Capital Markets Underwriting businesses. The enhancements approved by the Committee are designed to improve controls given the scale of the business.
  
- Requested a review of models and model governance and assessed the work that has been done to meet supervisory guidance on Model Risk Management issued by the US Federal Reserve and the Office of the Comptroller of Currency. This guidance sets out expectations for achieving effective challenge, including independent validation of models, by way of critical analysis by objective, informed parties. Having assessed progress made to date, the Committee was content with the changes made to the governance and framework and the introduction of policies and standards. In 2013, 78 of the 83 most significant risk models were reviewed and validated and the Committee encouraged management to achieve full review and validation of all of the most significant risk models on an annual basis by the end of 2014.
  
- Debated the actions that are under way to implement a target operating model for the Risk function in view of greater functionalisation across the Group, in particular, the plans to implement a global shared services model, which should deliver more consistent processes and standards, improve efficiency and effectiveness, reduce costs and reinforce the independence of the risk function. The Committee endorsed the plans and requested further progress reports as implementation progresses.

**Risk in Remuneration**

- Assessed the input provided to the Board Remuneration Committee on the risk metrics to be used to determine financial performance and evaluated the Risk function's view of performance, which informed remuneration decisions for 2013. Matters to be taken into consideration included performance against risk profile, impairment performance against budget and control processes and the Committee requested that certain regulatory issues and risk control issues were reflected. The Remuneration Report on pages 89 to 125 includes more detail on how risk is taken into account in remuneration decisions.

**Risk Due Diligence**

- Asked management to undertake a post-acquisition review of the acquisition of certain portfolios from Edcon Proprietary Limited. The portfolio, acquired in 2012, had not performed as well as the acquisition business case had forecast, although its returns at that time still exceeded the Group's target. The Committee was keen to learn any lessons for future risk due diligence exercises, which were identified as greater consideration of execution risks and further financial analysis of potential economic and regulatory scenarios. At the Committee's request, the outputs of the review were shared more widely with senior management across the Group.

Further details of the Group's system of internal control and risk management are included in the Directors' Report on pages 80 and 81 and in the Risk Management Report on pages 377 to 422.

## Governance in action

### Redenomination Risk

A significant area of focus for the Committee during 2013 was redenomination risk, in view of Barclays exposures in peripheral Eurozone countries. The focus of the Committee's attention was Spain, Portugal and Italy, as Barclays exposures in Greece and Ireland are not considered to be material.

Redenomination risk in this instance arises when local assets are greater than local funding – there is a risk from the potential depreciation of locally held assets against international liabilities in the event of any disorderly exits from the Eurozone. In view of continuing concerns arising from economic and political conditions in the Eurozone, the Committee challenged management to eliminate redenomination risk as far as possible over the course of the year and requested regular updates on the actions taken and their impact.

Management had established a Euro Crisis Committee, chaired by the Chief Risk Officer and reporting up to the Committee, specifically tasked with managing redenomination risk. Actions taken in 2013 to close the funding gap have included seeking local Euro funding to replace Sterling denominated funding provided by the Group, via a combination of the European Central Bank's Long-Term Refinancing Operation, funding from local central banks and raising local deposits. Further actions have included reducing available for sale sovereign bond portfolios, particularly in Spain and Portugal. Action has also been taken to dispose of local assets, with positions in Spain, Portugal and Italy reduced across all asset classes. In addition, a reverse stress testing exercise involving Eurozone break-up scenarios has been run and detailed contingency planning for any Eurozone exit has been developed and tested. The Committee supported and encouraged these actions.

The Committee regularly assessed the progress being made during 2013 and continues to press management to explore further options to reduce redenomination risk, in particular with respect to Italy. During 2013, the net funding mismatch continued to decrease from 11.8bn to 11.6bn in Italy and from 4.1bn to 3.0bn in Portugal. The excess of local liabilities over local assets in Spain increased from 2.3bn to 3.1bn.



**Table of Contents**

[Corporate governance report >](#)

**Board Conduct, Reputation and Operational Risk Committee**

**[Board Conduct, Reputation and Operational Risk Committee Report](#)**

I am greatly encouraged by the additional time, focus and rigour the new Committee is bringing to these important areas of non-financial risk.

**Sir David Walker**

Chairman, Board Conduct, Reputation and Operational Risk Committee

The Committee's terms of reference are available at

[Barclays.com/corporategovernance](http://Barclays.com/corporategovernance)

**[Committee composition](#)**

Membership of the Committee and attendance at meetings in 2013 is set out below:

Meetings attended/eligible

Member

to attend

Sir David Walker (Chairman)

Tim Breedon (from 1 February 2013)

Reuben Jeffery (from 1 April 2013)

Wendy Lucas-Bull (from 1 December 2013)

Dambisa Moyo\*

Diane de Saint Victor (from 1 March 2013)

Sir John Sunderland

Attend Did not attend

\* unable to attend 1 meeting owing to a prior business commitment

**Board Conduct, Reputation and Operational Risk Committee Allocation of Time (%)**

	<b>2013</b>
	<b>10</b>
	<b>10</b>
1 Citizenship	<b>9</b>
2 Reputational Issues	<b>39</b>
3 Culture	<b>28</b>
4 Conduct and Compliance	<b>5</b>
5 Operational Risk	<b>5</b>
6 Other	

In early 2013, the Board decided to create a new Board Conduct, Reputation and Operational Risk Committee, with a remit to cover Conduct, Reputation and Operational risk. 2013 was therefore the first full year of operation for the Committee and the report that follows describes its role and how it discharged its responsibilities over the past 12 months.

Our primary focus during 2013 was on developing Barclays' approach to Conduct risk, where we have spent time establishing what it means for Barclays and how we will manage it in a way that ensures positive outcomes for our

customers and clients. The 'Governance in Action' section below provides more detail on the Committee's role in developing Barclays' approach to Conduct risk. Another key area of focus for the Committee has been assessing the pace and strength of cultural change at Barclays and I report on this below.

I have chaired the Committee during its formative stages and am greatly encouraged by the additional time, focus and rigour the new Committee is bringing to these important areas of non-financial risk. Reuben Jeffery will take over from me as Committee Chairman with effect from 1 April 2014.

### **Role and responsibilities**

The Committee is responsible for ensuring, on behalf of the Board, the efficiency of the processes for identification and management of Conduct risk, Reputation risk and Operational risk. It also has responsibility for oversight of the Barclays' Citizenship Strategy, including the management of Barclays' economic, social and environmental contribution.

The Committee reports to the Board both directly and through the Board Enterprise Wide Risk Committee.

### **Committee composition**

I have chaired the Board Conduct, Reputation and Operational Risk Committee from the outset and I am joined by seven non-executive Directors, all of whom are considered independent for the purposes of the Code, with the exception of Wendy Lucas-Bull. Our names and attendance at meetings during 2013 are set out in the table opposite.

Given its expanded remit, it was agreed that the Committee would benefit from the additional experience provided by Tim Breedon, Reuben Jeffery, Wendy Lucas-Bull and Diane de Saint Victor, who each joined the Committee during 2013. In addition, Mike Ashley joined the Committee in early 2014.

Our Chief Risk Officer, Head of Compliance and Chief Internal Auditor are expected to attend each meeting. Our Group Chief Executive, Antony Jenkins, attended all four meetings in 2013.

### **The Committee's work**

The Committee met four times in 2013. The chart opposite shows how the Committee allocated its time during 2013.



**Table of Contents**[Corporate governance report >](#)

Board Conduct, Reputation and Operational Risk Committee continued

**Operational risk**

At each meeting we examined the Operational Risk Profile Report, which provides us with an overview of Operational risk across the Group. Barclays has for the first time put in place a statement of operational risk appetite, together with quantitative and qualitative measures for assessment. The draft statement and assessment approach were scrutinised by the Committee in June and we recommended them to the Board for approval. In December we examined and recommended the proposed 2014 Operational Risk Appetite to the Board Enterprise Wide Risk Committee and the Board.

During 2012 the Board Risk Committee (now the Board Financial Risk Committee) had identified that Technology Risk is a key area of risk for Barclays, as it is likely to be for other banks. In March, we held our first operational risk deep dive focused on Technology Risk. We challenged management to further reduce the number of technology risk incidents and increase the pace of remediation for control issues.

Our scrutiny of the operational risk profile also highlighted the need for a deep dive into Cyber Risk which is the risk of attacks on Barclays, attacks on Barclays customers and attacks on service availability. We debated the issue at length, probing management for details of the resources and investment we are devoting to cyber risk, and what contingency we have in place should a cyber attack be successful. We asked

**Governance in action****Conduct risk**

We spent time at the outset in defining what Conduct risk means for Barclays, how much Conduct risk we are prepared to accept and how we measure and manage it.

Our first step was to scrutinise management's proposed definition of Conduct risk. We agreed that, for Barclays, Conduct risk is the risk that detriment is caused to our customers, clients or counterparties or Barclays and its employees because of inappropriate judgment in the execution of our business activities. We established our proposed tolerance, or appetite, for Conduct risk, agreeing that Barclays has no tolerance for such risk.

We scrutinised the proposed accountability framework, outcome statements and management information for Conduct risk and emphasised that, in addition to putting in place a sound framework, there must be focus on ensuring the right people are in place to run the businesses and that providing the right training was crucial.

to be kept regularly updated.

### Implementing and monitoring cultural change

Barclays is changing and a significant part of that is cultural change; our people need to live and breathe our new purpose and values in order to ensure that we achieve our ambition of becoming the Go-To bank. The Committee has therefore assumed Board oversight responsibility for implementing and monitoring cultural change. The approach to cultural and behavioural change focuses strongly on leadership behaviour and during 2013 has been measured using a proprietary tool - Transforming Barclays, which has gained insights into the conditions for success from colleague surveys, senior leadership forums and a pan-Barclays communication event, the Barclays Jam.

Over the year we have seen evidence of strong belief in our Purpose and Values and the results from internal surveys have been positive. For example, 97% of the senior leadership group believe that they can make decisions aligned to the Barclays Purpose and, in a Group-wide colleague survey, the score for sustainable engagement was 74%. We challenged management to ensure that an external perspective was obtained in order to ensure that customers and stakeholders agree that we are changing. We expect to see evidence of this in 2014.

### Citizenship

Our focus on Citizenship in 2013 was on the annual Citizenship Day and developing a long-term 2020 Citizenship Vision. We agreed with management that we needed to emphasise our commitments to society, focusing on execution and equipping leaders across the Group to take societal impacts into account in their decision making. We pressed management to strengthen communication of strategy and what we are doing to deliver it.

### Reputation

We requested that Conduct risk reports give more consideration to forward-looking aspects and how they are shaping the thinking on the management of Conduct risk. Management will report quarterly, including key observations drawn from the management information reported by each business, and commentary from the second line of defence on risks and actions to be taken. The reports will also draw out themes across the businesses, and any issues escalated to the management committee responsible for Conduct and Reputational risk, plus any developments in the external environment. For example, where a business has decided to exit or cease to offer a product, the focus will be on ensuring that appropriate processes are in place to identify and manage any potential Conduct risk arising from that decision. These reports will allow us to monitor Conduct risk effectively going forward and allow the Group to manage it proactively, rather than reactively.

The Committee examined the governance arrangements designed to bring Conduct and Reputational risk issues together, and pressed management for assurance that the structure ensured sufficient executive level accountability. After some discussion we were content to support the structure as proposed but emphasised the need for regular review to ensure it remains effective. We also emphasised that there could be greater focus on the duty of care owed to our customers and their need to understand our products.

We scrutinised the Group's approach to product development in light of Conduct risk. We were assured that the new product approval process in our UK Retail Bank had been revised and, although now a good process, would be refined further.

Going into 2014 we are confident that we have an effectively designed Conduct risk management framework in place. From 1 January 2014 our businesses have taken responsibility for the management of Conduct risk and will report against the thresholds set out in the framework. 2014 will be a transition year as the businesses embed the new approach in decisions and processes. We will be

Throughout 2013 the Committee monitored Reputational risk at Barclays, asking management to provide a more thematic view of risks to Barclays and to carry out horizon scanning in order to identify approaching risks early, before they crystallise, giving us the opportunity to mitigate them.

monitoring this transition closely and will report back to you on progress in our 2014 Annual Report.

The Committee also assessed the process in place for authorising Directors' conflicts of interest and concluded that they have been effective. The processes are described in Corporate Governance in Barclays available on our website Barclays.com.

We also examined and recommended to the Board for approval our new Group-wide Code of Conduct, The Barclays Way which was developed following extensive stakeholder engagement.

**Sir David Walker**

Chairman, Board Conduct, Reputation and Operational Risk Committee

3 March 2014

**Table of Contents**

[Corporate governance report >](#)

**Board Corporate Governance and Nominations Committee**

**[Board Corporate Governance and Nominations  
Committee Report](#)**

We seek to ensure that Barclays has the right people in place to achieve its goal of becoming the Go-To bank.

**Sir David Walker**

Chairman, Board Corporate Governance and  
Nominations Committee

The Committee's terms of reference are available at

[Barclays.com/corporategovernance](http://Barclays.com/corporategovernance)

**[Committee composition](#)**

Membership of the Committee and attendance at meetings in 2013 is set out below:

Meetings attended/eligible

Member

to attend

Sir David Walker (Chairman)  
 David Booth (to 31 December 2013)  
 Sir Michael Rake  
 Sir John Sunderland  
 Attended Did not attend

Note

Mike Ashley and Tim Breedon joined the Committee with effect from 1 January 2014. Our Group Chief Executive Officer, Antony Jenkins, also attends each meeting, although he will excuse himself if the matter of succession to his role is discussed. The Chairman will excuse himself if the matter of succession to his role is discussed.

**Board Corporate Governance and Nominations Committee Allocation of Time (%)**

1 Corporate Governance Matters	<b>2013</b>	2012
	<b>22 19</b>	21 32
2 Board & Committee Composition	<b>43 13</b>	29 14
3 Succession planning and Talent	<b>4</b>	4
4 Board Effectiveness		
5 Other		

2013 saw the Committee focus on Board and Board Committee composition and succession planning, resulting in a number of new appointments to the Board and changes to Board Committee membership, including the appointment of new chairmen for the Board Audit Committee and Board Financial Risk Committee. We also saw significant progress towards our Board Diversity targets, achieving our 2013 target of having 20% of the Board comprised of women by 2013. More information about Board Diversity can be found on page 57 and our Board Diversity Policy is available on our website, Barclays.com.

Executive succession and talent management occupied a substantial amount of time at each of the Committee's meetings in 2013, as we seek to ensure that Barclays has the right people in place to achieve its goal of becoming the Go-To bank. You will learn more about the Committee's activities in respect of talent management in the Governance in Action section, opposite.

**Role and responsibilities**

The Committee is responsible for ensuring that the composition of the Board and its Committees is appropriate and enables them to function effectively. This requires us to regularly examine the skills, experience and diversity on the Board and each Committee and look ahead at any upcoming retirements. Where we identify gaps, either in the short, medium or longer term, it is our role to find a way to fill those gaps by, for example, identifying and recommending new Directors, or recommending changes in Committee membership. Succession planning for key Board positions,

such as the Group Chief Executive, Senior Independent Director and Committee Chairmen, forms a part of our wider remit.

In addition to our responsibility for talent at Board level, we are responsible for the oversight of the Group's Talent Management Programme.

We are responsible for agreeing the annual Board effectiveness review process and monitoring any actions arising. We also keep abreast of corporate governance issues and developments to ensure that Barclays' practices remain in line with best practice.

### **Committee composition**

As Chairman of the Board, I also Chair the Board Corporate Governance and Nominations Committee. Joining me on the Committee are the Chairmen of each of our other Board Committees and the Deputy Chairman. During 2013 there were four members in total and our names and attendance at meetings during 2013 are set out in the table opposite.

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

[Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 75](#)

## **Table of Contents**

[Corporate governance report >](#)

Board Corporate Governance and Nominations Committee continued

## **The Committee's work**

The Committee held four meetings in 2013, one of which was an additional meeting to consider Executive Committee changes. The chart opposite shows how the Committee allocated its time during 2013.

## **Board and Committee Composition and Succession Planning**

As described on page 56, the Board went through a period of significant refreshment in 2013, with five new Directors having joined, and three having retired. This refreshment arose from a concerted focus by the Committee on Board and Committee composition, anticipating how it is likely to change, confirming its ideal size and the appropriate mix of skills, experience and diversity and concluding what changes were needed for the future. These issues were debated at each of our scheduled meetings and our conclusions reported to the next Board meeting. Actions agreed were implemented and followed up at the next meeting. For example, we agreed that a search should commence for a new non-executive Director with specific accounting expertise to provide succession options for chairing the Board Audit Committee. I ensured that the Committee was kept updated on progress and sought their views, both in formal meetings and informally. Mike Ashley was identified as a suitable candidate and was interviewed separately by me, Antony Jenkins, Chris Lucas, our then Group Finance Director, and other members of the Committee. The Committee recommended Mike Ashley's appointment to the Board on the basis of positive feedback from all Board members who interviewed him. Mike Ashley succeeded Sir Michael Rake as Chairman of Board Audit Committee with effect from 1 January 2014.

The Committee had specific regard to the independence criteria set out in the Charter of Expectations when considering the proposal that Sir John Sunderland should remain on the Board until the 2015 AGM in order to effect an orderly handover to a new Board Remuneration Committee Chairman.

Executive search firms, MWM Consulting and Egon Zehnder International, were instructed to assist with our Director searches in 2013. Neither external agency has any other connection with Barclays other than to provide executive recruitment services. Open advertising was not used in 2013 for Barclays non-executive Board positions, as we believe that targeted recruitment, based on the agreed role and person specification, is the optimal way of recruiting for these positions.

## **Board effectiveness**

The Committee considered the process for the 2013 Board Effectiveness Review early in the year. We have had our Board Effectiveness Review externally facilitated each year since 2004 and we debated whether we should continue with external facilitation, or arrange an internally facilitated evaluation. We decided that we would like to remain at the forefront of best practice and agreed to continue with external facilitation. We also agreed to choose a new facilitator in order to refresh the process and to allay any residual concerns there may be around potential conflicts given our previous facilitator's executive recruitment business. After discussions with a number of external service providers it was agreed to appoint Bvalco as the external facilitator. Bvalco has no other connection with Barclays

other than to provide Board evaluation services.

We also monitored progress against the actions arising from the 2012 Board Effectiveness Review. I provide an update on this, and the outcomes of the 2013 Board Effectiveness Review on page 58.

### **Corporate governance**

We examined our proposed corporate governance disclosures in the 2012 Annual Report and debated whether it appropriately addressed the issues given the LIBOR settlement in 2012 and subsequent events. Our focus was on ensuring that our reporting was as transparent as possible.

We also discussed feedback that had been received from various institutional investors and investor bodies on remuneration issues and matters to be voted on at the 2013 Annual General Meeting.

The Committee also assessed the processes in place for authorising Directors' conflicts of interest and concluded that they had been effective. The processes are described in 'Corporate Governance in Barclays' available on our website, Barclays.com.

### **Sir David Walker**

Chairman, Board Corporate Governance and Nominations Committee

3 March 2014

### **Governance in action**

### **Talent management**

We recognise that our people are our biggest asset and that we have a responsibility to our stakeholders, including our shareholders, customers and our people themselves, to ensure that we recruit the best, effectively manage their potential and that there are succession plans in place for key leadership positions. It is therefore crucial that there is Board level oversight of talent management.

We requested updates on talent management at each of our scheduled meetings in 2013 so that we could scrutinise the new multi-year talent strategy, which forms part of the Transform programme, and satisfy ourselves that it was fit for purpose.

We confirmed that the strategy, which is aimed at ensuring that Barclays has the right leadership now and for the future, is appropriate and challenged management to make significant progress on each of the identified initiatives.



Our discussions covered recruitment and talent management at all levels including our early career recruitment programmes (our graduate recruitment and our apprenticeships programme), ensuring the mobility and diversity of high potential employees, including high potential women, and developing senior leaders.

Having challenged management to achieve a 50% female graduate intake in the Investment Bank, a traditionally male-dominated business area, management provided an update on the actions being taken to widen the pool from which graduates are recruited and to address perceptions of careers in financial services. These include sponsorship of female university sports teams and societies, partnerships with organisations involved in creating opportunities for graduates and introducing a diversity objective to senior leaders objectives.

The Committee supported proposals to include a diversity metric in our new Balanced Scorecard and to encourage cross-business and cross-function moves. Internal mobility is being encouraged across the Group for all high potential employees, particularly for high-potential women, in order to ensure that career options are not confined to a particular business or function.

Both management and the Committee recognise that increasing diversity and developing talent are long-term objectives and we will continue to scrutinise progress closely in 2014.

## **Table of Contents**

[Corporate governance report >](#)

## **Compliance Statements**

### **Compliance Statements**

#### **UK Corporate Governance Code**

As Barclays is listed on the London Stock Exchange, we comply with the Code, which is publicly available at [www.frc.org.uk](http://www.frc.org.uk). For the year ended 31 December 2013, we complied with the relevant provisions set out in the Code and applied the principles of the Code as described in this report, except as disclosed below:

- ¶ Provision C.3.7 of the Code requires that the external audit contract is put out to tender at least every ten years. This is a new provision of the Code that applies for financial years beginning on or after 1 October 2012 and one which the Chairman of the Board Audit Committee discussed with some of our major shareholders in early 2014. Barclays external audit contract has not been re-tendered at any time in the past ten years. In view of potential new regulation that is likely from both the Competition Commission and European Union, Barclays is currently planning to tender its external audit in 2015 or 2016. The Board Audit Committee Report on page 62 provides further details.
  
- ¶ Provision E.2.3 of the Code requires that the Chairman should arrange for all Directors to attend the AGM. While such arrangements were made, Reuben Jeffery and Diane de Saint Victor were unable to attend the 2013 AGM owing to prior commitments. The Chairman and all Board Committee Chairmen attended and were available to answer questions.

#### **Disclosure and Transparency Rules**

Certain additional information that is required to be disclosed pursuant to DTR7.2.5 and DTR7.2.6 may be found in the Directors' Report on pages 78 to 82.

#### **New York Stock Exchange (NYSE)**

NYSE rules permit Barclays to follow UK corporate governance practices instead of those applied in the US, provided that any significant variations are explained. This explanation is contained in Barclays' 20-F filing, which can be accessed from the Securities and Exchange Commission's (SEC) EDGAR database or via our website, [Barclays.com](http://Barclays.com).

#### **Sir David Walker**

Chairman

3 March 2014

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

[Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 77](#)

**Table of Contents****Directors report**

The Directors present their report together with the audited accounts for the year ended 31 December 2013.

Other information that is relevant to the Directors Report, and which is incorporated by reference into this report, can be located as follows:

	Page
<b><u>Corporate governance report</u></b>	52-77
Employee involvement	86-88
Policy concerning the employment of disabled persons	87
Remuneration policy, including details of the remuneration of each Director and Directors interests in shares or in derivatives or other financial instruments relating to such shares	89-125
<b><u>Risk review</u></b>	131-236
Financial instruments	298-322
The subsidiary and associated undertakings which principally affect the profits or net assets of the Group in the year	356-357
Rights and obligations attaching to shares	424-427
Restrictions on the transfer of securities	424-427
Restrictions on voting rights	424-427

Section 414A of the Companies Act 2006 requires the Directors to present a Strategic Report in the Annual Report and Accounts. The information can be found on pages 02 to 50.

The Company has chosen, in accordance with section 414 C(ii) of the Companies Act 2006, and as noted in this Directors report, to include certain matters in its Strategic Report that would otherwise be required to be disclosed in this Directors report.

An indication of likely future developments is given in the Strategic Report.

### **Profit and dividends**

The adjusted profit for the financial year, after taxation, was £3,152m (2012: £5,440m). Statutory profit after tax for 2013 was £1,297m (2012: £181m). The final dividend for 2013 of 3.5p per share will be paid on 28 March 2014 to shareholders whose names were on the Register of Members at the close of business on 21 February 2014. With the interim dividends totalling 3p per ordinary share, paid in June, September and December 2013, the total distribution for 2013 is 6.5p (2012: 6.5p) per ordinary share. The interim and final dividends for 2013 amounted to £859m (2012: £733m).

Shareholders may have their dividends reinvested in Barclays shares by joining the Barclays PLC Scrip Dividend Programme (the Programme). At the Barclays 2013 AGM, shareholders approved the introduction of the Programme to replace the Barclays Dividend Reinvestment Plan. The Programme enables shareholders, if they wish, to receive new fully paid ordinary shares in Barclays PLC instead of a cash dividend, without incurring dealing costs or stamp duty. The Programme was initially offered for the second interim dividend, paid on 13 September 2013, and will also be offered for any future dividends (subject to the Directors making the Programme available for each dividend).

### **Board of Directors**

The names of the current Directors of Barclays PLC, along with their biographical details, are set out on pages 83 to 85 and are incorporated into this report by reference. Sir Andrew Likierman, Chris Lucas and David Booth left the Board with effect from 25 April 2013, 16 August 2013 and 31 December 2013 respectively. Diane de Saint Victor, Frits van Paasschen, Mike Ashley, Wendy Lucas-Bull and Tushar Morzaria joined the Board on 1 March 2013, 1 August 2013, 18 September 2013, 19 September 2013 and 15 October 2013 respectively. There were no other changes to Directors in 2013. Stephen Thieke was appointed to the Board on 7 January 2014.

### **Appointment and retirement of Directors**

The appointment and retirement of Directors is governed by the Company's Articles of Association (the Articles), the UK Corporate Governance Code (the Code), the Companies Act 2006 and related legislation. The Articles may only be amended by a special resolution of the shareholders.

The Board has the power to appoint additional Directors or to fill a casual vacancy amongst the Directors. Any such Director holds office only until the next AGM and may offer himself/herself for re-election. The Articles also require that at each AGM at least one-third (rounded down) of the Directors retire by rotation. The retiring Directors are eligible to stand for re-election. The Code recommends that all directors of FTSE 350 companies should be subject to annual re-election and all Directors will stand for election or re-election at the 2014 AGM with the exception of Fulvio Conti and Simon Fraser, who are retiring from the Board.

## **Table of Contents**

### **Directors indemnities**

The Company maintains Directors and Officers liability insurance, which gives appropriate cover for any legal action brought against its Directors. In addition, qualifying third party indemnity provisions (as defined by section 234 of the Companies Act 2006) were in force during the course of the financial year ended 31 December 2013 for the benefit of the then Directors and, at the date of this report, are in force for the benefit of the Directors in relation to certain losses and liabilities which they may incur (or have incurred) in connection with their duties, powers or office.

Qualifying pension scheme indemnity provisions (as defined by section 235 of the Companies Act 2006) were in force during the course of the financial year ended 31 December 2013 for the benefit of the then directors, and at the date of this report are in force for the benefit of directors of Barclays Pension Funds Trustees Limited as Trustee of the Barclays Bank UK Retirement Fund. The directors of the Trustee are indemnified against liability incurred in connection with the company's activities as Trustee of the retirement fund.

Similarly, qualifying pension scheme indemnities were in force during 2013 for the benefit of directors of Barclays Executive Schemes Trustees Limited as Trustee of Barclays Bank International Zambia Staff Pension Fund (1965), Barclays Capital International Pension Scheme (No.1), Barclays Capital Funded Unapproved Retirement Benefits Scheme, and Barclays PLC Funded Unapproved Retirement Benefits Scheme. The directors of the Trustee are indemnified against liability incurred in connection with the company's activities as Trustee of the schemes above.

### **Political donations**

The Group did not give any money for political purposes in the UK or the rest of the EU nor did it make any political donations to political parties or other political organisations, or to any independent election candidates, or incur any political expenditure during the year. Barclays Africa Group Limited (formerly Absa Group Limited), in which the Group has a majority stake, made donations totalling £170,800 in 2013 (2012: £185,666) in accordance with its policy of making political donations to the major South African political parties as part of their Democracy Support Programme. The Group made no other political donations in 2013.

In accordance with the Federal Election Campaign Act, Barclays provides administrative support to a federal Political Action Committee (PAC) in the USA funded by the voluntary political contributions of eligible Barclays employees. The PAC is not controlled by Barclays and all decisions regarding the amounts and recipients of contributions are directed by a steering committee comprised of eligible contributors. Contributions to political organisations reported by the PAC during calendar year 2013 totalled \$16,000 (2012: \$63,564).

### **Environment**

Barclays Climate Action Programme focuses on addressing environmental issues where we believe we have the greatest potential to make a difference. The Programme focuses on managing our own carbon footprint and reducing our absolute carbon emissions, developing products and services to help enable the transition to a low-carbon

economy, and managing the risks of climate change to our operations, clients, customers and society at large. We invest in improving the energy efficiency of our operations and offset the emissions remaining through the purchase of carbon credits. We also have a long-standing commitment to managing the environmental and social risks associated with our lending practices, which is embedded into our Credit Risk processes. A governance structure is in place to facilitate clear dialogue across the business and with suppliers around issues of potential environmental and social risk.

We have disclosed global greenhouse gas emissions that we are responsible for as set out by The Companies Act 2006 (Strategic Report and Directors Report) Regulations 2013. We provide fuller disclosure across our carbon management and environmental programmes in the Barclays Citizenship report. More details may be found on our website at [Barclays.com/citizenship](http://Barclays.com/citizenship).

	Current reporting Year 2013 <sup>a</sup>	Comparison Year 2012 <sup>b</sup>
<b>Global GHG Emissions<sup>c</sup></b>		
Total CO <sub>2</sub> e (tonnes)	<b>969,782</b>	1,014,332
Scope 1 CO <sub>2</sub> e emissions (tonnes) <sup>d</sup>	<b>57,334</b>	47,363
Scope 2 CO <sub>2</sub> e emissions (tonnes)	<b>732,096</b>	779,844
Scope 3 CO <sub>2</sub> e emissions (tonnes) <sup>e</sup>	<b>180,352</b>	187,126
<b>Intensity Ratio</b>		
Total Full Time Employees (FTE)	<b>139,600</b>	139,200
Total CO <sub>2</sub> e per FTE (tonnes)	<b>6.94</b>	7.28

Notes:

- a 2013 reporting year covers Q4 2012 and Q1, 2, 3 of 2013. The carbon reporting year is not fully aligned to the financial reporting year covered by the Directors' report. This report is produced earlier than previous carbon reporting to allow us to report within the year-end financial reporting timelines.
- b 2012 reporting year is the full calendar year (Jan 2012 – Dec 2012).
- c The methodology used to calculate our CO<sub>2</sub>e emissions is the GHG Protocol Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard (revised edition), using the operational control approach on reporting boundaries. Where properties are covered by Barclays consolidated financial statements but are leased to tenants who are invoiced for utilities, these emissions are not included in the Group GHG calculations.
  - i Scope 1 covers direct combustion of fuels and company owned vehicles (from UK and South Africa only, which are the most material contributors).
  - ii Scope 2 covers emissions from electricity and steam purchased for own use.
  - iii Scope 3 covers indirect emissions from business travel (global flights and private cars, rail, taxis and car hire from UK and South Africa where this type of transport is material).
- d Fugitive emissions from UK, Americas and Asia-Pacific are reported in Scope 1 for 2013. Fugitive emission data Europe and Africa was not available for this report but we are working to establish data for key operations in these regions for 2014. Fugitive emission data for 2012 is not available. Business travel reported in Scope 1 covers company cars in UK and South Africa. This covers the majority of our employees where we have retail operations with car fleets.
- e Scope 3 is limited to emissions from business travel which covers global flights and UK and South Africa ground transportation. Ground transportation data (excluding Scope 1 company cars) covers UK and South Africa where this type of transport is material and data is available.

## Research and development

In the ordinary course of business the Group develops new products and services in each of its business divisions.

## Share capital

### Share capital structure

The Company has ordinary shares in issue. The Company's Articles also allow for the issuance of Sterling, US Dollar, Euro and Yen preference shares (preference shares). No preference shares have been issued as at 28 February 2014 (the latest practicable date for inclusion in this report). Ordinary shares therefore represent 100% of the total issued share capital as at 31 December 2013 and 28 February 2014. Details of the movement in ordinary share capital during the year can be found in Note 32 on pages 344 and 345.

On 31 October 2008, Barclays PLC issued, in conjunction with a simultaneous issue of Reserve Capital Instruments issued by Barclays Bank PLC, warrants (the Warrants) to subscribe for up to 1,516.9 million new Ordinary Shares at a price of £1.97775. On 13 February 2013 Barclays PLC and Barclays Bank PLC announced that they had entered into an agreement with Deutsche Bank AG and Goldman Sachs International (the Warrantholders) pursuant to which the Warrantholders had agreed to exercise in aggregate the 379.2m outstanding Warrants. As a consequence of this agreement no other Warrants remain outstanding.

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

[Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 79](#)



**Table of Contents**[Directors report >](#)

Directors report continued

**Exercisability of rights under an employee share scheme**

Employee Benefit Trusts (EBTs) operate in connection with certain of the Group's Employee Share Plans (Plans). The trustees of the EBTs may exercise all rights attached to the shares in accordance with their fiduciary duties other than as specifically restricted in the relevant Plan governing documents. The trustees of the EBTs have informed the Company that their normal policy is to abstain from voting in respect of the Barclays shares held in trust. The trustees of the Global Sharepurchase EBT and UK Sharepurchase EBTs may vote in respect of Barclays shares held in the EBTs, but only as instructed by participants in those Plans in respect of their Partnership shares and (when vested) Matching and Dividend shares. The trustees will not otherwise vote in respect of shares held in the Sharepurchase EBTs.

**Special rights**

There are no persons holding securities that carry special rights with regard to the control of the company.

**Major shareholders**

Major shareholders do not have different voting rights from those of other shareholders. Information provided to the Company by major shareholders pursuant to the Financial Conduct Authority's DTR is published via a Regulatory Information Service and is available on the Company's website. As at 31 December 2013, the Company had been notified under Rule 5 of the DTR of the following holdings of voting rights in its shares.

<b>Person interested</b>	<b>Number of Barclays Shares</b>	<b>% of total voting rights attaching to issued share capital<sup>a</sup></b>
Qatar Holding LLC <sup>b</sup>	813,964,552	6.65
BlackRock, Inc <sup>c</sup>	805,969,166	7.06
The Capital Group Companies Inc <sup>d</sup>	809,174,196 <sup>e</sup>	5.03

Notes:

- a The percentage of voting rights and shares held are as calculated at the time of the relevant disclosures made in accordance with Rule 5 of the DTR and may not reflect the impact of the rights issue.
  - b Qatar Holding LLC is wholly-owned by Qatar Investment Authority.
  - c Total shown includes 8,003,236 contracts for difference to which voting rights are attached.
  - d The Capital Group Companies Inc (CG) holds its shares via CG Management companies and funds.
  - e This figure also includes the ordinary share equivalent of 361,579 ADRs.
- The Company has not been notified of any changes to the holdings of major shareholders between 31 December 2013 and 28 February 2014.

### **Powers of Directors to issue or buy back the Company's shares**

The powers of the Directors are determined by the Companies Act 2006 and the Company's Articles. The Directors are authorised to issue and allot shares, and to repurchase shares subject to annual shareholder approval at the AGM. Such authorities were granted by shareholders at the 2013 AGM. It will be proposed at the 2014 AGM that the Directors be granted new authorities to allot and buy-back shares.

### **Issue of shares pursuant to the Rights Issue**

On 30 July 2013, Barclays announced an underwritten rights issue to raise approximately £5.8bn (net of expenses). Under the terms of the Rights Issue, Barclays offered 3,219,067,868 New Ordinary Shares by way of Rights to Qualifying Shareholders at 185 pence per New Ordinary Share. The Rights Issue was made on the basis of one New Ordinary Share for every four Existing Ordinary Shares held by shareholders at close of business on the record date, 13 September 2013. The latest date for acceptance of the Rights Issue was 2 October 2013, and by this date, Barclays received valid acceptances in respect of 3,046,197,378 New Ordinary Shares, representing 94.63% of the total number of New Ordinary Shares offered to shareholders. The remaining 172,870,490 New Ordinary Shares were purchased by subscribers through the Underwriters for the Rights Issue.

### **Repurchase of shares**

The Company did not repurchase any of its Ordinary Shares during 2013 (2012: none). As at latest practicable date, the Company had an unexpired authority to repurchase Ordinary Shares up to a maximum of 1,286,066,272 Ordinary Shares.

### **Change of control**

There are no significant agreements to which the Company is a party that are affected by a change of control of the Company following a takeover bid. There are no agreements between the Company and its Directors or employees providing for compensation for loss of office or employment that occurs because of a takeover bid.

### **Risk Management and Internal Control**

The Directors have responsibility for ensuring that management maintain an effective system of risk management and internal control and for reviewing its effectiveness. Such a system is designed to manage rather than eliminate the risk of failure to achieve business objectives and can only provide reasonable and not absolute assurance against material misstatement or loss.

Barclays is committed to operating within a strong system of internal control that enables business to be transacted and risk taken without exposing itself to unacceptable potential losses or reputational damage. Barclays has an overarching framework that sets out Barclays approach to internal governance (the Barclays Guide). The Barclays

Guide establishes the mechanisms and processes by which the Board directs the organisation, through setting the tone and expectations from the top, delegating its authority and monitoring compliance.

A key component of the Barclays Guide is the Enterprise Risk Management Framework (ERMF). The purpose of the ERMF is to identify and set minimum requirements in respect of the main risks to achieving the Group's strategic objectives and to provide reasonable assurance that internal controls are effective. The key elements of the Group's system of internal control, which is aligned to the recommendations of The Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission, Internal Control – Integrated Framework (COSO 2), are set out in the risk control frameworks relating to each of the Group's Key Risks and in the Group operational risk framework. As well as incorporating our internal requirements, these reflect material Group-wide legal and regulatory requirements relating to internal control and assurance.

### **Effectiveness of internal controls**

Key controls are assessed on a regular basis for both design and operating effectiveness. Issues arising out of business risk and control assessments and other internal and external sources are considered to identify pervasive themes. Where appropriate, individually significant issues or those affecting more than one business may be categorised as having Group level significance and are reported to the Board Audit Committee via the Operational Risk and Control Committee. The Board Audit Committee monitors resolution of any identified control issues of Group level significance through to a satisfactory conclusion. In addition, regular reports are made to the Board Audit Committee by management, internal audit and the finance, compliance and legal functions covering in particular financial controls, compliance and other operational controls.

### **Risk management and internal control framework**

The Directors formally review the effectiveness of the system of internal control annually. Processes are in place for identifying, evaluating and managing the significant risks facing the Group in accordance with the guidance Internal Control: Revised Guidance for Directors on the UK Corporate Governance Code published by the Financial Reporting Council (the Turnbull Guidance).

---

**Table of Contents**

These processes include an attestation procedure which requires all significant processes and identified material risks to be assessed and recorded, together with the related key controls by the Heads of Businesses and Functions. As part of this, specific consideration is given to relevant information, including as a minimum: legal entities; any open control issues; any outstanding internal and external audit findings; regulatory reviews and any outstanding regulatory compliance matters; compliance with Group level policies; records of operational incidents and loss/risk events; experience of all types of fraud; and any other material control-related matters that have been raised either by management or via independent/external review. The status of any remediation in connection with these matters is also considered. The results of this attestation procedure were reported to the Board Audit Committee in February 2014, when it was noted that, although several of the attestations received referred to outstanding control design/operating effectiveness issues, none of these had prevented the Heads of Businesses or Functions from providing a Turnbull statement. All issues had identified remediation tasks and attributed timescales for resolution (or timescales being determined).

The Board regularly reviews these processes through its principal Board Committees. Regular risk reports are made to the Board covering risks of Group level significance, including Credit risk, Market risk, Funding risk, Operational risk, Conduct risk and Reputation risk. The Board Financial Risk Committee and the Board Conduct, Reputation and Operational Risk Committee receive reports covering the Principal Risks as well as reports on risk measurement methodologies and risk appetite. Further details of risk management procedures and potential risk factors are given in the Risk Management section on pages 377 to 422.

As discussed in the Risk review section of the Annual Report a number of matters were made public during the course of 2013 which related to failings in the design and/or operation of certain controls other than those over financial reporting. Whilst the matters were disclosed in 2013, many of the failings giving rise to those issues occurred in prior periods. Management has reviewed the specific control processes impacted and concluded that these are now designed and operating effectively. Areas of ongoing control remediation are not considered to constitute material control failings. In addition to the above matters, a number of other issues are currently being analysed to assess their potential to impact on the control environment and the materiality of any such impact. Remediation plans will be defined and implemented, where necessary.

**Controls over financial reporting**

A framework of disclosure controls and procedures is in place to support the approval of the Group's financial statements. The Legal and Technical Review Committee is responsible for reviewing the Group's financial reports and disclosures to ensure that they have been subject to adequate verification and comply with legal and technical requirements. The Committee reports its conclusions to the Disclosure Committee. The Disclosure Committee considers the content, accuracy and tone of the disclosures and reports its conclusions to the Group Executive Committee and the Board Audit Committee, both of which review its conclusions and provide further challenge. Finally, the Board reviews and approves results announcements and the Annual Report and ensures that appropriate disclosures have been made. This governance process ensures both management and the Board are given sufficient opportunity to review and challenge the Group's financial statements and other significant disclosures before they are

made public.

### **Management's report on internal control over financial reporting**

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Internal control over financial reporting is a process designed under the supervision of the principal executive and principal financial officers to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external reporting purposes in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) as adopted by the European Union and the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB).

Internal control over financial reporting includes policies and procedures that pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect transactions and dispositions of assets; provide reasonable assurances that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with IFRS and that receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorisations of management and the respective Directors; and provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorised acquisition, use or disposition of assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Internal control systems, no matter how well designed, have inherent limitations and may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that internal controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Management has assessed internal control over financial reporting as of 31 December 2013. In making its assessment, management has utilised the criteria set forth by COSO 2. Management concluded that, based on its assessment, the internal control over financial reporting was effective as of 31 December 2013. Our independent registered public accounting firm has issued a report on the Group's internal control over financial reporting, which is set out on page 276.

The system of internal financial and operational controls is also subject to regulatory oversight in the UK and overseas. Further information on supervision by the financial services regulators is provided under Supervision and Regulation in the Risk review section on pages 230 to 235.

### **Changes in internal control over financial reporting**

There have been no changes in the Group's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the period covered by this report which have materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect the Group's internal control over financial reporting.

## **Table of Contents**

[Directors report >](#)

Directors report continued

## **Going concern**

The Group's business activities and financial position, the factors likely to affect its future development and performance, and its objectives and policies in managing the financial risk to which it is exposed and its capital are discussed in the Strategic Report and in the Risk Review and Management section.

The Directors have assessed, in the light of current and anticipated economic conditions, the Group's ability to continue as a going concern. The Directors confirm they are satisfied that the Company and the Group have adequate resources to continue in business for the foreseeable future. For this reason, they continue to adopt the going concern basis for preparing accounts.

## **Disclosure of information to auditor**

Each Director confirms that, so far as he/she is aware, there is no relevant audit information of which the Company's auditors are unaware and that each Director has taken all the steps that he/she ought to have taken as a Director to make himself/herself aware of any relevant audit information and to establish that the Company's auditors are aware of that information. This confirmation is given pursuant to section 418 of the Companies Act 2006 and should be interpreted in accordance with and subject to these provisions.

## **Directors Responsibilities**

The following statement, which should be read in conjunction with the Auditors' report set out on pages 271 to 275, is made with a view to distinguishing for shareholders the respective responsibilities of the Directors and of the auditors in relation to the accounts.

The Directors are required by the Companies Act 2006 to prepare accounts for each financial year and, with regards to Group accounts, in accordance with Article 4 of the IAS Regulation. The Directors have prepared individual accounts in accordance with IFRS as adopted by the EU. The accounts are required by law and IFRS to present fairly the financial position of the Company and the Group and the performance for that period. The Companies Act 2006 provides, in relation to such accounts, that references to accounts giving a true and fair view are references to fair presentation.

The Directors consider that, in preparing the accounts on pages 277 to 376, and the additional information contained on pages 142 to 235, the Group has used appropriate accounting policies, supported by reasonable judgements and estimates, and that all accounting standards which they consider to be applicable have been followed.

Having taken all the matters considered by the Board and brought to the attention of the Board during the year into account, and having reviewed the annual report (including the strategic report), the Directors are satisfied that the

annual report and accounts, taken as a whole, is fair, balanced and understandable, and provides the information necessary for shareholders to assess the Company's performance, strategy and business model.

### **Directors' responsibility statement**

The Directors (as referenced on pages 83 to 85) have responsibility for ensuring that the Company and the Group keep accounting records which disclose with reasonable accuracy the financial position of the Company and the Group and which enable them to ensure that the accounts comply with the Companies Act 2006.

The Directors are responsible for the maintenance and integrity of the Company's website. Legislation in the UK governing the preparation and dissemination of financial statements may differ from legislation in other jurisdictions.

The Directors have general responsibility for taking such steps as are reasonably open to them to safeguard the assets of the Group and to prevent and detect fraud and other irregularities.

The Directors confirm to the best of their knowledge that:

- (a) The financial statements, prepared in accordance with the applicable set of accounting standards, give a true and fair view of the assets, liabilities, financial position and profit or loss of Barclays PLC and the undertakings included in the consolidation taken as a whole; and
- (b) The management report, which is incorporated into the Directors' Report on pages 78 to 82, includes a fair review of the development and performance of the business and the position of Barclays PLC and the undertakings included in the consolidation taken as a whole, together with a description of the principal risks and uncertainties that they face.

By order of the Board

**Lawrence Dickinson**

Company Secretary

3 March 2014

Barclays PLC

Registered in England, Company No. 48839

**Table of Contents**

**Board of Directors**

Executive Director

Non-executive Director

**Sir David Walker (74)**

Chairman

**Skills and experience**

Sir David joined the Board as a non-executive Director in September 2012 and became Chairman in November 2012.

Sir David began his career with Her Majesty's Treasury, where, with a period on secondment to the International Monetary Fund in Washington, he served until 1977. Sir David held several key positions at the Bank of England, where he became one of four Executive Directors. He was Chairman of the Securities & Investment Board and, ex officio, a nominated member of the Council of Lloyd's. Sir David was a non-executive member of the Court of the Bank of England, a non-executive board member of the former CEEB and subsequently of National Power plc. He was formerly Chairman of Reuters Venture Capital, Vice-Chairman of the Legal and General Group plc and was Chairman of the London Investment Bankers' Association for 4 years. Sir David was Chairman and CEO of Morgan Stanley International, and subsequently Chairman. He retired as Chairman of Morgan Stanley International in December 2005 but remained a Senior Advisor until the end of August 2012. Sir David is a member and a trustee of the Group of Thirty and previously served as Treasurer of the Group. He was Chairman of the Business Leaders Group of the East End charity Community Links.

Sir David has completed two reports and made recommendations in respect of the private equity industry and corporate governance at financial institutions. He also co-lead the independent review of the report that the FSA produced into the failure of Royal Bank of Scotland and was a member of the four-person Committee chaired by Lord Woolf (former Lord Chief Justice) into ethical business conduct at BAE Systems plc.

Sir David has significant experience as a director and chairman, coupled with an extensive knowledge of the financial services industry. This, in addition to an excellent understanding and experience of boardroom and corporate governance issues, enables him to provide effective leadership to the Barclays Board.



### Other principal external appointments

Trustee, Cicely Saunders Foundation

### Committee membership

Board Conduct, Reputation and Operational Risk Committee (Chairman)

Board Corporate Governance and Nominations Committee (Chairman)

Board Enterprise Wide Risk Committee (Chairman)

Board Remuneration Committee

### Antony Jenkins (52)

Group Chief Executive; Executive Director

### Skills and experience

Antony was appointed Group Chief Executive in August 2012. Previously Antony was Chief Executive of Barclays Retail and Business Banking business. He has been a member of the Group Executive Committee since 2009.

Antony started his career at Barclays, where he completed the Barclays Management Development Programme, before going on to hold various roles in retail and corporate banking. He then moved to Citigroup, working in both London and New York, before rejoining Barclays as Chief Executive of Barclaycard. Antony has represented Barclays as a non-executive Director on the Board of Absa (now Barclays Africa Group). He was also on the Board of Visa Europe Ltd and the Board of Motability Operations Group plc. Since becoming Group Chief Executive, Antony has taken the lead in the development of Barclays Transform programme, including the introduction of a new purpose and values, with the aim of making Barclays the Go-To Bank for customers and clients.

### Other principal external appointments

Member, Board of Directors of the Institute of International Finance

Member, International Advisory Panel of the Monetary Authority of Singapore

### Committee membership

Group Executive Committee (Chairman)

### Mike Ashley (59)

Non-Executive Director

### Skills and experience

Mike joined the Board as a non-executive Director in September 2013. He was formerly Head of Quality and Risk Management for KPMG Europe LLP (ELLP), which forms part of the KPMG global network, where his responsibilities included the management of professional risks and quality control. He was a member of the ELLP Board and was also KPMG UK's designated Ethics Partner. Mike has over 20 years experience as an audit partner, during which he was the lead audit partner for several large financial services groups, most recently HSBC Holdings and Standard Chartered PLC, and also for the Bank of England. Mike has an in depth understanding of auditing and the associated regulatory issues, with specific experience of large, global banks.

### Other principal external appointments

Member, Institute of Chartered Accountants in England and Wales Ethics Standards Committee

Member, HM Treasury's Audit Committee

Vice Chair, European Financial Reporting Advisory Group's Technical Expert Group

### Committee membership

Board Audit Committee (Chairman)

Board Conduct, Reputation and Operational Risk Committee

Board Corporate Governance and Nominations Committee

Board Financial Risk Committee

Board Enterprise Wide Risk Committee

### Tim Breedon (56)

Non-executive Director

### Skills and experience

Tim was appointed to the Board as a non-executive Director in November 2012. Tim held a number of roles at Legal & General Group plc (L&G) before joining its board as Group Director (Investments) and becoming Group Chief Executive. He was later an adviser to L&G, primarily with responsibilities in connection with Solvency II. Tim was a Director of the Association of British Insurers (ABI), and also served as its Chairman. He was also Chairman of the UK Government's non-bank lending taskforce, an industry-led taskforce that looked at the structural and behavioural barriers to the development of alternative debt markets in the UK. Tim was a director of the Financial Reporting Council and was on the board of the Investment Management Association. Tim has over 25 years of experience in financial services and has extensive knowledge and experience of regulatory and government relationships. He brings to the Board the experience and knowledge of leading a financial services company, combined with an understanding of the UK and EU regulatory environment and risk management. His customer focus

and understanding of investor issues, gained both at L&G and the ABI, is of particular relevance to Barclays.

#### Other principal external appointments

Non-executive Director, Ministry of Justice

#### Committee membership

Board Financial Risk Committee (Chairman)

Board Audit Committee

Board Conduct, Reputation and Operational Risk Committee

Board Corporate Governance and Nominations Committee

Board Remuneration Committee

Board Enterprise Wide Risk Committee

#### **Fulvio Conti (66)**

Non-executive Director

#### Skills and experience

Fulvio joined the Board in April 2006 as a non-executive Director. Fulvio has significant financial and business experience from a career spanning over 35 years, and is currently CEO and General Manager of Enel SpA, the Italian energy company, where he was previously Chief Financial Officer. During his career Fulvio held the role of General Manager and CFO of Telecom Italia SpA and CFO for various private and government owned entities in Italy. He was also in charge of finance at Montedison Compart, was CFO at Montecatini and Ferrovie dello Stato and has also held positions in finance and operations in various affiliates of Mobil Oil Corporation in Italy and Europe. Fulvio's wide ranging business experience, particularly in continental Europe, provides a valuable contribution to the Board Audit Committee and the main Board, particularly with regard to economic and political developments in the Eurozone.

#### Other principal external appointments

President, Eurelectric

Director, AON PLC

Director, ENDESA SA

Director, Italian Institute of Technology

Independent Director, RCS MediaGroup S.p.A

Vice President, Confindustria

#### Committee membership

Board Audit Committee

**Simon Fraser (54)**

Non-executive Director

**Skills and experience**

Simon joined the Board in March 2009 as a non-executive Director. He has extensive experience of the fund management industry, having started his career at Fidelity International, where he spent 27 years. During this time Simon was President of the Investment Solutions Group and President of the Retirement Institute. He held a number of other positions during his career at Fidelity International, including President, European & UK Institutional Business, Global Chief Investment Officer, Chief Investment Officer for Asia Pacific and Chief Investment Officer of the European Investment Group. With his extensive experience of the fund management industry, Simon provides a useful perspective on how Barclays is viewed by its institutional investors.

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

[Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 83](#)

**Table of Contents**

[Board of Directors >](#)

continued

[Other principal external appointments](#)

Director, Fidelity European Values PLC

Director, Fidelity Japanese Values PLC

Chairman, Foreign and Colonial Investment Trust PLC

Chairman, The Merchants Trust PLC

Non-executive Director, Ashmore Group PLC

[Committee membership](#)

Board Audit Committee

Board Remuneration Committee

**[Reuben Jeffery III \(61\)](#)**

Non-executive Director

[Skills and experience](#)

Reuben joined the Board in July 2009 as a non-executive Director. He is currently CEO and a director of Rockefeller & Co Inc. and Rockefeller Financial Services Inc. Reuben served in the US government as Under Secretary of State for Economic, Energy and Agricultural Affairs, as Chairman of the Commodity Futures Trading Commission and as a special assistant to the President on the staff of the National Security

Council. Before his government service, Reuben spent eighteen years at Goldman, Sachs & Co where he was managing partner of Goldman Sachs in Paris and led the firm's European Financial Institutions Group in London. Prior to joining Goldman Sachs, Reuben was a corporate attorney with Davis Polk & Wardwell. Reuben has a broad range of financial services experience, particularly investment banking, and in addition brings extensive insight into the US political and regulatory environment.

#### Other principal external appointments

Member, International Advisory Council of the China Securities Regulatory Commission

Member, Advisory Board of Towerbrook Capital Partners LP

Member, International Advisory Committee, RIT PLC

Director, Financial Services Volunteer Corps

Director, J Rothschild Capital Management Limited

#### Committee membership

Board Conduct, Reputation and Operational Risk Committee

Board Financial Risk Committee

#### Wendy Lucas-Bull (60)

Non-Executive Director

#### Skills and experience

Wendy was appointed to the Board as a non-executive Director in September 2013. She is currently Chairman of

Barclays Africa Group Limited (formerly Absa Group Limited), one of the largest financial services groups in Africa and majority owned by Barclays. She previously served as an Executive Director of Rand Merchant Bank and became Chief Executive of FirstRand Ltd's retail businesses following the merger of Rand Merchant Bank and First National Bank. She has held senior Board positions at the Development Bank of Southern Africa, the South African Financial Markets Advisory Board and Nedbank Group Limited. Wendy has also held positions on the boards of Telkom SA, Eskom, Aveng Ltd, Alexander Forbes Ltd, Dimension Data PLC and Anglo American Platinum Ltd. Her extensive experience from board level positions in South African banks, as well as her years of providing consultancy services to the largest banks in South Africa, provides the Board with valuable retail, commercial and investment banking expertise. Her in-depth knowledge of banking in Africa also provides an invaluable insight into banking in the region. Wendy has led or participated in a number of conduct related consultations throughout her career, and such knowledge and experience will contribute greatly towards the discussion of culture at Barclays.

#### Other principal external appointments

Director, Afrika Tikkun NPC

Director, Peotona Group Holdings

#### Committee membership

Board Conduct, Reputation and Operational Risk Committee

**Tushar Morzaria (45)**

Group Finance Director

**Skills and experience**

Tushar joined the Board and Executive Committee of Barclays in October 2013 as Group Finance Director. Prior to this, he was CFO, Corporate and Investment Bank at JP Morgan Chase, a role he held on the merger of the investment bank and the wholesale treasury/security services business at JP Morgan. Prior to the merger, he was CFO of the investment bank and held other various roles during his career at JP Morgan.

Tushar qualified as an accountant at Coopers and Lybrand Deloitte and for most of his career he has worked in investment banking, having held various roles at SG Warburg, JP Morgan and Credit Suisse.

Tushar has over 20 years of strategic financial management experience, which will prove invaluable in his role as Group Finance Director.

**Other principal external appointments**

None

**Committee membership**

Group Executive Committee

**Dambisa Moyo (45)**

Non-executive Director

**Skills and experience**

Dambisa joined the Board in May 2010 as a non-executive Director. She is an international economist and commentator on the global economy, with a background in financial services. After completing a PhD in Economics, she worked for Goldman Sachs in the debt capital markets, hedge funds coverage and global macroeconomics teams. Dambisa has also worked for the World Bank and formerly served as a non-executive Director of Lundin Petroleum AB (publ). Dambisa's background as an economist, in particular her knowledge and understanding of global macroeconomic issues and African economic, political and social issues, provides an important contribution to the Board's discussion of Barclays' business and citizenship strategy.

**Other principal external appointments**

Non-executive Director, SABMiller PLC

Non-executive Director, Barrick Gold Corporation

#### [Committee membership](#)

Board Conduct, Reputation and Operational Risk Committee

Board Financial Risk Committee

### **Frits van Paasschen (52)**

Non-Executive Director

#### [Skills and experience](#)

Frits was appointed to the Board as a non-executive Director in August 2013. Frits is an experienced Director and Chief Executive Officer. He is currently CEO and President of Starwood Hotels and Resorts Worldwide Inc, one of the world's largest hotel companies. He served as a non-executive Director for two NYSE listed companies, Jones Apparel Group and Oakley. He previously served as the CEO and President of Coors Brewing Company and has held various senior management positions with Nike, Inc. and Disney Consumer Products. Frits' extensive global and commercial experience and role as a CEO of an international business provides valuable strategic insight. In particular, his experience in developing and marketing brands, and a broad knowledge of enhancing business performance and the customer experience in a retail environment, is highly beneficial to many aspects of Barclays business.

#### [Other principal external appointments](#)

None

#### [Committee membership](#)

None

### **Sir Michael Rake (66)**

Deputy Chairman and Senior Independent Director

#### [Skills and experience](#)

Sir Michael joined the Board in January 2008 as a non-executive Director. He was appointed Senior Independent Director in October 2011 and Deputy Chairman in July 2012. Sir Michael spent over 30 years with KPMG, where he was Senior Partner of the UK firm and Chairman of KPMG International. Sir Michael is Chairman of BT Group plc and was previously Chairman of the UK Commission for Employment and Skills, Chairman of Business in the Community, Chairman of easyJet plc and a director of the Financial Reporting Council. Sir Michael has substantial



financial and commercial experience gained in the UK, Continental Europe and the Middle East. He also has significant experience, both as Chairman and a board member, of listed companies

#### [Other principal external appointments](#)

President, Confederation of British Industry

Director, McGraw-Hill Financial, Inc

#### [Committee membership](#)

Board Corporate Governance and Nominations Committee

Board Enterprise Wide Risk Committee

Board Financial Risk Committee

### **Diane de Saint Victor (59)**

Non-executive Director

#### [Skills and experience](#)

Diane was appointed as a non-executive Director in March 2013. She is currently General Counsel and Company Secretary and a member of the Group Executive Committee of ABB Limited, the publicly listed international power and automation technologies company based in Switzerland. Her responsibilities include Head of Legal and Integrity Group. She was formerly Senior Vice President and General Counsel of EADS, the European aerospace and defence company. Diane's legal experience and her knowledge of regulatory and compliance matters allows her to provide a unique perspective to the Board and its Committees.

#### [Other principal external appointments](#)

Member, Advisory Board of The World Economic Forum's Davos Open Forum

#### [Committee Membership](#)

Board Audit Committee

Board Conduct, Reputation and Operational Risk Committee



---

**Table of Contents**

Executive Director

Non-executive Director

**Sir John Sunderland (68)**

Non-executive Director

**Skills and experience**

Sir John joined the Board as a non-executive Director in June 2005. He has extensive business and board level experience, having spent forty years with Cadbury Schweppes PLC, where he became Chief Executive and subsequently Chairman. Sir John has significant experience as a director of UK listed companies, and has also held a number of similar positions in trade and professional bodies, including President of the Confederation of British Industry and President of the Chartered Management Institute. Sir John is Chairman of Merlin Entertainments Group PLC and was formerly a director of the Financial Reporting Council. In addition to his Board level experience Sir John brings extensive experience and knowledge of retailing and brand marketing.

**Other principal external appointments**

Non-executive Director, AFC Energy PLC

Governor, Reading University Council

Chancellor, Aston University

**Committee membership**

Board Remuneration Committee (Chairman)

Board Conduct, Reputation and Operational Risk Committee

Board Corporate Governance and Nominations Committee

Board Enterprise Wide Risk Committee

### **Steve Thieke (68)**

Non-executive Director

#### **Skills and experience**

Steve was appointed to the Board as a non-executive Director in January 2014. He has four decades of experience in financial services, both in regulation and investment banking. Steve worked for the Federal Reserve Bank of New York for twenty years, where he held several senior positions in credit and capital market operations and banking supervision and later he became a non-executive director at the FSA. He has also held senior roles in investment banking and risk management with JP Morgan, where he spent ten years. He was Head of the Fixed Income Division, co-Head of Global Markets, President and Chairman of JP Morgan Securities, Inc. and Head of the Corporate Risk Management Group, retiring from JP Morgan in 1999. He has significant board level experience, both in executive and non-executive roles, including spending seven years as a director of Risk Metrics Group, where latterly he served as Chairman of the

board, and nine years on the board of PNC Financial Services Corp.

#### **Other principal external appointments**

None

#### **Committee membership**

Board Financial Risk Committee

Board Remuneration Committee

Executive committee

### **Antony Jenkins**

Group Chief Executive

**Robert Le Blanc**

Chief Risk Officer

**Eric Bommensath**

Co-Chief Executive, Corporate and Investment Banking

**Irene McDermott Brown**

Group Human Resources Director

**Bob Hoyt**

Group General Counsel

**Valerie Soranno Keating**

CEO, Barclaycard

**Thomas King**

Co-Chief Executive, Corporate and Investment Banking

**Hugh (Skip) E. McGee III**

Chief Executive, Americas

**Tushar Morzaria**

Group Finance Director

**Maria Ramos**

Chief Executive, Absa Group and Barclays Africa

**Mike Roemer**

Group Head of Compliance

**Ashok Vaswani**

CEO, Retail and Business Banking

**Darryl West**

Interim Chief Operations and Technology Officer

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

[Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 85](#)

## **Table of Contents**

### **People**

In 2013, we covered a significant amount of ground on our journey to becoming the Go-To bank and continuing to attract, develop and retain the best talent will be critical to our success. This year, we have made major changes to our internal policies and practices, particularly in the areas of leadership, talent, reward and performance, to support our commitment to making Barclays a values-driven business.

All colleagues are expected to align their objectives to the 5Cs of the Balanced Scorecard, with individual performance for 2014 measured both on what has been achieved (their personal contribution to Go-To ) and how it has been achieved (their demonstration of our Values). Variable remuneration will be based on an individual s performance rating, with the Balanced Scorecard playing a greater role in determining business performance and its impact on bonus pools.

Our new global recognition plan uses non-financial rewards to celebrate outstanding colleague achievements, in particular where they have role-modelled the Values. Colleagues are also encouraged to share in our success by participating in our all employee share plans. The schemes have been running successfully since their inception over 10 years ago. Further details of our approach to remuneration are included in the Remuneration Report on pages 89 to 125.

It is our belief that leadership shapes culture, and that this drives business performance. Consequently, alongside these changes to Reward and Performance, we have focused on the processes that enable Barclays to acquire, assess and develop people through the lens of the Values and Behaviours to ensure that leaders have the personal insight, capability and mind-set to lead the organisation through Transform. All Barclays colleagues have participated in Values training delivered by internal Values Leaders , a community of 1,300 colleagues. This has also been aligned to the Global Induction programme to ensure all new joiners understand who we are and how we work together .

We have made significant progress on our talent development approach by defining a globally consistent potential framework, and managing a group-wide approach for senior level talent reviews and Managing Director promotions. As we look ahead, we will continue to make stronger connections between past performance, future potential and career opportunities across Barclays. To engage and develop our leaders, we have built a tailored approach, underpinned by a set of clearly articulated leadership competencies and behavioural standards, levelled by role. The Barclays Leadership Academy was deployed to all Managing Directors in October and, over the course of 2014, we will be rolling out the Leadership Curriculum to provide a consistent, rigorous, global approach to developing leadership capability for all levels up to Director.

Our Early Careers and Graduate recruitment programmes are a crucial talent pipeline and the source of Barclays future leaders. These programmes aim to help Barclays grow a diverse, engaged and highly trained workforce and became increasingly integrated over the course of 2013. In line with the firm-wide strategy, we presented a more unified proposition to applicants and have offered students and graduates the opportunity to work across Barclays. Additionally, our new Grow with Barclays framework presents clear pathways for progression from apprentice to



graduate with recognised qualifications along the way. We met our commitment to recruit 1,000 apprentices by the middle of 2013 and set a new goal to recruit another 1,000 by the end of 2015.

The Group places considerable value on the involvement of its employees and has continued to keep them informed on matters affecting them as employees and on various factors affecting the performance of the Group. It is important that our colleagues are informed of key decisions which impact upon them, beyond just our business strategy, and have the opportunity to express their views. The focus in 2013 has been upon establishing a dialogue with our colleagues using a variety of formal and informal channels ranging from Executive presentations, news

magazines and intranet content to communicate the Company's results and performance, along with channels such as regular town halls and the Barclays Jam, our first online global discussion which took place in July 2013 discussing our purpose and values. Both latter examples provided an opportunity for leaders to talk to colleagues directly and hear their views.

We advocate a partnership approach to industrial relations and maintain regular and constructive dialogue with more than 30 national unions, works councils and staff associations across the globe. Employee representatives are consulted regularly on a wide range of matters affecting their interests. In Europe and Africa we have well established regional consultation forums to engage colleagues on transnational issues. Where business restructuring has been necessary and this has resulted in potential job losses, we have worked closely with colleague representatives to avoid compulsory redundancies where possible, ensuring colleagues leaving Barclays have been supported and treated with respect. Where there are no collective representative bodies in-country, we engage directly with colleagues.

We remain firmly committed to continuing to evolve our people policies and practices to ensure that our colleagues are supported on their journey to becoming the Go-To bank. We recognise the important role our colleagues play as advocates of what we are trying to achieve and, as a result, are focused on sustaining and increasing employee engagement. Colleagues have demonstrated continued resilience and fortitude in 2013 and remain committed to the goal of becoming the Go-To bank.

### FTE by region

	2013	2012	2011
UK	54,400	55,300	56,100
Africa & Middle East	45,800	45,200	47,900
Continental Europe	9,800	11,100	11,600
Americas	11,100	11,100	10,900
Asia Pacific	18,500	16,500	14,600
Total	139,600	139,200	141,100

### Global employment statistics

## Diversity & Inclusion (D&I)

At Barclays, we have deployed a global D&I strategy to ensure that colleagues of all backgrounds are treated equitably and can contribute fully to the bank. This strategy is fully aligned to our business goals; it is embedded into our journey to becoming the Go-To bank and integral to our Values. This approach and the tangible progress we are making has resulted in national and international recognition from prestigious organisations such as The Times Top Places for Women, Community Business in Asia, and the Human Rights Campaign.

The strategy reaches from our employee diversity networks, up through to a dedicated D&I team, business diversity councils, and ultimately leads to the Chairman's office. Alongside the inclusion of diversity metrics within the company's Balanced Scorecard, creating a diverse and inclusive environment is at the forefront of the bank's vision.

## **Table of Contents**

Our 2013 priorities established the D&I foundation. We have expanded our global agendas to include multigenerational and multicultural, and have successfully launched the first in a series of listening groups. We commenced our deployment of our Unconscious Bias Leadership programme for our global Managing Director and Director population of over 8,000 leaders. Most significantly, we have aligned strategic deliverables to our Values and to the Transform priorities, and are on course for reaching our 2018 gender target of 26% senior female leadership (Directors and Managing Directors).

### **Gender**

Achieving a better balance between male and female employees, particularly at the senior leadership level, is a challenge that many financial services organisations face. Increasing female representation will continue to be a key priority for our diversity and inclusion strategy.

### **Female representation**

Above shows the positive change in female representation within Barclays from 2012 to 2013

We have achieved significant progress in the representation of females in senior leadership. Not only do we have three women sitting on our Group Executive Committee, but also female representation at Board level reached our target of 20% for 2013.

The turnover of all female employees across the bank was 14% in 2013, below the 15% average for all our employees. Going forward, we want more talented women to join Barclays and develop their careers with us. Our programme to provide high-potential female employees with targeted development and mentoring currently has more than 100 participants, while membership of our Women's Initiative Network continues to grow globally. Not only are we looking at the senior leadership population, but our target of a 50/50 gender split for our graduate recruitment means that our efforts stretch across the bank's entire talent pipeline.

We are consistently seen as leaders within the gender agenda by external benchmarks, best seen by our seventh consecutive year within The Times Top 10 Workplaces for Women. Both Maria Ramos and Val Soranno Keating have been listed within Fortune's Most Powerful Women 2013, giving credit to the strong women we have in leadership positions within the organisation.

## LGBT

Our support for our lesbian, gay, bisexual and transgender (LGBT) colleagues continued to advance in 2013. Membership of our award-winning Spectrum network for LGBT employees stands at more than 3,000 globally. The network continued its previous success of launching the first ever LGBT employee network mobile app by hosting the UK finance industry's first ever transgender awareness event at 1 Churchill Place.

We continue to support Pride events and awareness campaigns around the world, including World AIDS Day and other fundraising events which take place across Barclays.

Barclays were ranked second – our best ever result – by the charity Stonewall in its 2014 Global Workplace Equality Index, whilst also being awarded their Star Performer award for the second year running. We remain the only financial services organisations to have been named in the top 25 LGB employers list every year since the launch of the Index, marking a decade of being named one of the top LGBT employers.

## Disability

Last year saw significant milestones within the disability agenda. Sir David Walker sponsored the Barclays Accessibility statement, ensuring we not only comply with UK and international legislation, but we help to create an inclusive environment for all our disabled colleagues. The Reach employee network grew a further chapter in Tokyo, whilst Barclays became signatories to the Disability Confident Campaign.

Not only were we named winners of the BDF Disability Standard and Best Service Provider 2013, but our audio ATMs won both Best Innovation at UKUX and the RNIB Exemplars Award, recognising that we always have our diverse customer base in mind when designing new and innovative services.

Aligned to our equal opportunities approach, applications for employment by disabled persons are always fully considered, bearing in mind the aptitudes of the applicants concerned. In the event of members of staff becoming disabled, every effort is made to ensure that their employment with the Group continues. Furthermore, it is the policy of the Group that appropriate training, career development and the promotion of disabled people should, as far as possible, be identical to that of other employees. We also monitor a number of indicators relating to disability in order to address the underlying causes of workplace issues, for example the number of working days lost due to musculoskeletal problems. In 2013 3% of our global workforce declared themselves as having a disability.

## Multigenerational

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

[Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 87](#)

**Table of Contents**

[People >](#)

continued

The Barclays workforce is made up of all generations: from the Traditionalists (born between 1925-1945) to Generation Y (those born after 1988), we work hard to support all our colleagues at all stages of their career. The multigenerational agenda has come a long way in 2013 through the launch of the Springboard Mortgage in the UK, to employing our 1,000th apprentice, to ensuring we include all those on maternity leave within the Culture and Values training programme.

Our Barclays Elderly Simulation Kit, which allows colleagues to experience the challenges faced by senior citizens, has been recognised globally as a breakthrough in helping bridge the gap between younger and older generations. Our LifeSkills and Bridges into Work programmes continue to support those taking their first steps into the industry, whilst initiatives such as the UK RBB Jobshare programme help individuals with career changes whatever their stage in life.

We were named as the joint winners of Best of All Stages of Motherhood Award, winners for Talent Attraction by Working Mums, and named within the Top 10 Working Families Award, all of which is a testament to the traction Barclays has gained within the multigenerational pillar.

**Multicultural**

Above shows the percentage of underrepresented populations that make up our global and regional populations. Note that underrepresented populations are defined regionally to ensure inclusion with all groups in the workplace

aUK includes Asian, Mixed, Black, Other and Non-disclosed

bUS includes Hispanic/Latino, Asian, Mixed, Black, Other and Non-disclosed

cSouth Africa includes African, Indian, Coloured, Other, and Non-disclosed

## Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

We are committed to supporting all our employees, regardless of religion, ethnicity, nationality, or race. We respect important cultural and religious calendar dates and our UK canteens offer both halal and kosher food. There are also quiet rooms available for prayer in many of our larger sites.

This year, Barclays launched its first ever multicultural holiday e-card, which was available to send to all clients and customers, and donated £10m to UNICEF along with additional donations for every e-card sent. We also recognised our diverse customer base by having multicultural posters in many of our UK retail branches.

After being Highly Commended by Race for Opportunity, recognised as having the Best Diversity Initiative by CIPD Recruitment, and launching the African/Afro-Caribbean Society in the City of London, the multicultural agenda has seen some great advancements in 2013.

[88 > Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013](#)

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

**Table of Contents**[Remuneration report >](#)

Annual statement from the Chairman of the Board Remuneration Committee

Our aim is to deliver a greater share of the income we generate to shareholders while remaining competitive on pay. Although profits for 2013 were down, the 38% reduction in incentives in the previous two years had begun to cause demonstrable damage to our business. The difficult decision to address this by increasing incentives in certain key areas is in the long term interest of shareholders. We remain fully committed to reducing the ratio of compensation to adjusted net operating income over time.

## Remuneration Committee members

**Chairman**

Sir John Sunderland

**Members**

Sir David Walker

Simon Fraser

David Booth

(until 31 December 2013)

Tim Breedon

Stephen Thieke

(from 6 February 2014)

Contents	Page
<a href="#">Annual statement</a>	89
<a href="#">Remuneration questions and answers</a>	91
<a href="#">Remuneration policy for all employees</a>	93
<a href="#">Remuneration and CRD IV. This section sets out our plans for remuneration compliance with CRD IV in respect of the CRD IV pay ratio.</a>	95



<u>2013 incentives</u>	96
<u>Directors' remuneration policy. This sets out the Company's policy on Directors' remuneration for three years from the 2014 AGM.</u>	100
<u>Annual report on Directors' remuneration. This sets out payments and awards made to the Directors for 2013.</u>	111
<u>Additional remuneration disclosures</u>	122

The tables marked "audited" in the report have been audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP.

## Dear Shareholders

As Chairman of the Board Remuneration Committee, I am pleased to introduce the Directors' Remuneration Report for 2013.

Building on the 2012 changes to our approach to remuneration, we continued throughout 2013 to ensure remuneration decisions support the delivery of our goal of achieving sustainable performance for all our stakeholders. Barclays is in the process of introducing the Balanced Scorecard (refer page 10). By the end of 2014, all employees' performance assessments will be aligned to the Balanced Scorecard and will be measured against both what they achieve and how they achieve it.

By linking employee performance assessments and remuneration decisions to the Balanced Scorecard, we are promoting a clear and direct alignment between Barclays' strategy, performance management and remuneration.

## Business context in 2013

Adjusted profit before tax was down 32% to £5,167m due to costs to achieve Transform and a 4% reduction in income. In 2013, there was a good performance in the UK Retail and Corporate Banking businesses, along with continued strong growth in Barclaycard. The European, African and Wealth businesses performed less well, and are in a process of transition to improve returns. Within the Investment Bank, Equities saw very good growth which continued to outperform the market. This partially offset lower income in the Fixed Income, Currency and Commodities business.

## Remuneration Committee work in 2013

The Committee's objective is to maximise long term value for shareholders by ensuring that we do not pay more than is necessary while remaining competitive.

Determining the 2013 incentive pool was an extremely difficult decision for the Committee. We were acutely aware of public sentiment and of the challenge of presenting shareholders with an increased pool in a year where profits have fallen. The Committee concluded that a 2013 incentive pool of £2,378m was warranted. This was up 10% on the final 2012 incentive pool but it remains 32% below pool levels in 2010 when we started to reposition Barclays remuneration. Before adjustment for risk and conduct events the incentive pool was down 18% on 2012.

Significant progress has been made since 2010 in addressing imbalances in remuneration. The Barclays' incentive pool reduced by 38% between 2010 and 2012 (48% for the Investment Bank) and bonuses for Managing Directors in the Investment Bank are fully deferred. The dilemma faced by the Committee in 2013 was that these actions were not matched by our peers, most notably the major US banks who are among our primary competitors. As a result, our lack of pay competitiveness was beginning to cause demonstrable damage to our business, especially outside the UK. The

## Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

global resignation rate for senior staff in 2013 was significantly above that in 2012. This was particularly marked in the Investment Bank with a near doubling of resignations of senior staff in the US. In making our 2013 decisions on incentives, the Committee sought to ensure the health of the franchise in the long term interest of shareholders.

As in 2012, consideration of risk and conduct events was an important aspect of the Committee's work during 2013. We made total reductions of £290m to incentives for PPI, Interest Rate Hedging Products and other events. Of this, £176m of adjustments were made through reductions in LTIP awards that were granted in previous years and £114m of reductions were made to the 2013 incentive pool. This contrasts with risk and conduct adjustments to the incentive pool of £860m in 2012 for events including the investigation into the setting of inter-bank offered rates.

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

[Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 89](#)

## **Table of Contents**

### [Remuneration report >](#)

Annual statement from the Chairman of the Board Remuneration Committee continued

When determining the incentive pool we looked at it on a pre- and post-adjustment basis for risk and conduct events. The Committee concluded that an incentive pool for 2013 of £2,492m on a pre-adjustment basis was appropriate. This is 18% down on the equivalent pre-adjustment pool in 2012. After applying an adjustment for risk and conduct events of £114m, the final 2013 incentive pool is £2,378m, up 10% on 2012 reflecting the lower level of risk and conduct adjustment for 2013.

Overall compensation costs for 2013 are down on 2012. This in part offsets the reduction in income and means that the Group compensation to adjusted net operating income ratio increases only slightly from 37.5% in 2012 to 38.3% in 2013. The Committee remains strongly focused on its overall repositioning journey and is still committed to a compensation to adjusted net operating income ratio in the mid-30s in the medium term. However, the Committee believes that this can only be achieved once we have completed the changes that are under way as part of the strategic Transform programme. These changes will enable significant and sustainable cost reductions over the next few years including material reductions in headcount and compensation costs. These reductions are already underway and these changes will enable a sustainable repositioning of the compensation to adjusted net operating income ratio.

The Committee has also focused on remuneration compliance with new EU regulation, the Capital Requirements Directive IV (CRD IV) and, in particular, the capped ratio of variable to fixed pay (CRD IV pay ratio). The Committee considered this very carefully because it impacts Barclays' competitiveness in the global market for talent. Further information on our intended approach to ensure compliance with the CRD IV pay ratio is provided on page 95.

The Committee continued to consider the recommendations of the Salz Review in respect of remuneration. Key actions taken included the adoption of a new remuneration policy, a new performance assessment process, the removal of sales incentives for UK retail colleagues and enhancing the risk adjustment processes. The process for reviewing risk and conduct adjustments to the incentive pool was strengthened in 2013 by the formation of the Remuneration Review Panel (Panel) which reports to the Committee. Further details on the Panel are provided on page 129.

Outside the Committee's formal meetings, we continued to meet and engage with shareholders frequently. Matters discussed with shareholders include the aggregate spend on remuneration, senior executive remuneration levels, our new forward looking Directors' remuneration policy and our plans for remuneration compliance with CRD IV. We have also continued to work with regulators on our remuneration arrangements during 2013, in particular in the UK and the US.

### **Key executive Directors' remuneration decisions during 2013**

The Committee has agreed that Antony Jenkins' base salary will be unchanged for 2014 at £1,100,000. On 3 February 2014, Antony Jenkins announced that he would decline any 2013 bonus offered to him by the Board, citing the rights issue, restructuring costs and costs associated with legacy issues as the reasons for his decision.

Chris Lucas stepped down from the Board due to ill health with effect from 16 August 2013. Further details can be found on page 115. The Committee approved a pro-rated 2013 bonus award of £500,000 to Chris Lucas which will be fully deferred in shares.

Tushar Morzaria was appointed as Group Finance Director on a salary of £800,000 commensurate with market pay levels and being the same as his predecessor. The rest of his pay is in line with Barclays' policy for executive Directors. The Committee also approved a 2013 bonus of £1,200,000 recognising that he forfeited any right to participate in the JP Morgan incentive arrangement for 2013 and his contribution to Barclays in 2013. The long-term/deferred awards which Tushar had held at JP Morgan were replaced with Barclays' awards. The terms of these awards are no more generous than those that they replaced and the awards are in the form of Barclays' shares to align with shareholders.

As our key measure of strategic delivery, the Balanced Scorecard has been introduced as one of the measures on the Long Term Incentive Plan (LTIP) with effect from the 2013 award. The Balanced Scorecard will also be used alongside other performance measures for annual bonus and LTIP awards over the term of the Directors' remuneration policy. From 2014, for annual bonus the weightings will be 50% for financial measures, 35% for the Balanced Scorecard and 15% for personal objectives. For LTIP awards, financial measures will guide at least 50% of the maximum opportunity and the Balanced Scorecard measure will be no more than 30%. Risk measures will also be incorporated.

A significant part of the Committee's work in 2013 was reviewing how to restructure executive Director and senior executive remuneration for compliance with the CRD IV pay ratio. Our approach is based on a new class of fixed pay called Role Based Pay (RBP). We are seeking shareholder approval for a maximum ratio of variable to fixed pay of 2:1 in order to limit the increase in fixed costs to a minimum. For executive Directors, the level of RBP will be capped for the term of the policy and will be delivered in shares which will be subject to a holding period of up to five years to ensure alignment with shareholders. The Committee has recognised that in return for greater certainty there should be a reduced total remuneration opportunity for the executive Directors.

## Focus in 2014

The Committee will continue to focus on the need to pay at levels required to attract, retain and motivate our people. While not paying more than we judge to be necessary, we will ensure that we can continue to pay our people competitively and simultaneously seek to deliver a greater share of the income we generate to shareholders. We will be informed in this work by a continuing constructive engagement and dialogue with our shareholders and our other stakeholders.

## Our remuneration report

We have adopted in this report the remuneration disclosures required by the Large and Medium-sized Companies and Groups (Accounts and Reports) (Amendment) Regulations 2013. We are seeking shareholder approval at the 2014 AGM for:

- our Directors' remuneration policy;
- our 2013 annual report on Directors' remuneration; and
- our approach to compliance on the CRD IV pay ratio.

On behalf of the Board

**Sir John Sunderland**

Chairman, Board Remuneration Committee

3 March 2014

[90 > Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013](#)

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

**Table of Contents**

[Remuneration report >](#)

**Remuneration questions and answers**

[How do you justify a 2013 incentive pool of £2,378m when the bank's financial performance has declined?](#)

When considering the appropriate level of incentive awards for 2013, the Committee has had to establish the right balance between ensuring that the progress on repositioning remuneration, which began after 2010, was sustained, while also ensuring Barclays remains competitive and remuneration continues to reflect performance both before and after adjustment for risk and conduct events.

As set out in the 2012 Remuneration report, we took measured risks in 2012 by further driving down the total incentive pool. Barclays has been closely monitoring the effects of this decision and it has become clear that this repositioning has created a risk that in certain parts of our business we are not sufficiently competitive on pay in relation to the wider market. As a result, we have suffered from higher rates of resignation and found it harder to recruit. This issue needed to be addressed to ensure the health of our franchise and that we remain true to our policy of paying competitively.

In line with financial performance, the Committee has approved a total incentive pool on a before adjustment for risk and conduct events basis that is 18% down on the equivalent 2012 pool. However, as the level of adjustment required in 2013 is considerably less than that required in 2012, after adjustment for risk and conduct events, the final incentive pool is £210m up on 2012.

The final 2013 incentive pool of £2,378m and its increase from 2012 needs to be seen in the context of the multi-year reductions already achieved, the severe competitive pressures sustained within some parts of the business, the reduced risk and conduct adjustments required in 2013 and the overall repositioning journey that the Committee remains focused on achieving in a way that ensures that the long-term value of the Bank is maximised for shareholders.

[You made an adjustment for risk and conduct events of £860m to your 2012 bonus pool and also reduced the value of unvested deferred and long-term awards by £300m. What have you done in terms of adjustments to the 2013 pool?](#)

As in 2012, the total incentive awards in 2013 were determined after making appropriate adjustments to reflect risk and conduct events. Total risk and conduct adjustments of £290m were made in 2013. Of this, £176m of adjustments were made through reductions in long-term incentive awards that were granted in previous years and £114m of reductions were made from total incentive awards granted in 2013. The two main components of the 2013 adjustment reflect the further provisions in respect of the costs of redress for PPI and Interest Rate Hedging Products.

The process for reviewing risk and conduct adjustments to the incentive pool has also been strengthened in 2013 by the formation of the Remuneration Review Panel which provides recommendations to the Board Remuneration Committee. The Panel is independent of the business and it includes representatives from the key control functions of Risk, Compliance, Internal Audit and HR. The Panel's other responsibilities include reviewing proposed malus adjustments to individual incentives in respect of risk and conduct events and ensuring that risk, compliance,

behaviour and conduct are also appropriately considered in the determination of individual remuneration.

#### [Why do you need to pay bonuses at all?](#)

Barclays needs to pay competitively to ensure it has people with the skills and experience needed to deliver our strategy. In some parts of the banking sector, particularly investment banking, variable remuneration in the form of bonuses forms a high proportion of an employee's total remuneration. This structure has the advantage of giving Barclays the ability to reduce total remuneration significantly in underperforming areas and manage and control the cost base. However, it also means that in business areas that perform to a satisfactory or good standard, higher variable remuneration is required to make employees' total remuneration competitive.

#### [How were the bonuses determined for the executive Directors?](#)

The Committee decides executive Director bonuses, as it also does for members of the Group and business Executive Committees, and for other senior employees.

Executive Director bonuses are determined by reference to Group and individual performance in the year. Achievement of their objectives is assessed against both quantitative and qualitative measures, both financial and non-financial.

On 3 February 2014, Antony Jenkins announced that he would decline any 2013 bonus offered to him by the Board, citing the rights issue, restructuring costs and costs associated with legacy issues as his reasons.

#### [Why is Tushar Morzaria receiving a bonus of £1.2m for 2013?](#)

On joining Barclays, Tushar forfeited any right to participate in the JP Morgan incentive arrangements for 2013. His bonus for 2013 reflects his forfeited remuneration opportunity and also takes account of Tushar's performance for Barclays in 2013.

The award will be delivered in accordance with Barclays' regulatory requirements and remuneration policy. 40% of the total will be an upfront award (half in shares and half in cash) and 60% of the total will be made as a deferred share award releasing in three equal instalments over a period of three years. Any shares released will be subject to a further six month holding period from the date on which they are released.

---

**Table of Contents**

[Remuneration report >](#)

Remuneration questions and answers continued

[How was profit distributed between shareholders and employee remuneration in 2013?](#)

The charts opposite detail how the earnings generated by our businesses have been distributed to a number of key stakeholders including shareholders (in the form of dividends) and employees. Ensuring that Barclays has the right people in the right roles serving our customers and clients effectively in a highly competitive global banking environment is vital to our ability to generate sustainable shareholder returns.

[Why is the compensation ratio 38% when your stated commitment is to a ratio in the mid-30s in the medium term?](#)

The Committee remains committed to continuing its repositioning journey and in achieving a Group compensation to adjusted net operating income ratio in the mid-30s over the medium term. However, the Committee believes this can only be achieved once we have completed the changes that are under way as part of the strategic Transform programme. This includes material initial investments that will help transform the bank, providing an improved proposition for customers and clients and also enabling significant and sustainable cost reduction over the next few years. This includes material reductions in headcount and compensation costs but these will not be achieved just through cutting pay levels. These reductions are now underway and these changes will enable a sustainable repositioning of the compensation to net adjusted operating income ratio.

[Barclays plans for remuneration compliance with CRD IV mean senior executives are just getting more fixed remuneration. Is this appropriate?](#)

The CRD IV pay ratio impacts Barclays globally. This is not the case for Barclays non-EU headquartered competitors, for example, US financial services firms. For these firms, the CRD IV pay ratio only applies to their EU operations and not to their non-EU operations. 60% of Barclays 2014 Code Staff are expected to be based outside the EU. To remain globally competitive, Barclays has had to change its pay structure, increasing the level of fixed pay.

Our approach to the remuneration requirements of CRD IV is a new class of fixed pay called Role Based Pay (RBP). Before implementing we discussed our approach with the PRA and consulted with some of our major shareholders. RBP will enable Barclays to deliver competitive total remuneration to those staff impacted by CRD IV in a way that is more flexible than salary. Although it is similar to salary, the key differences are (save for where required under local law): (i) RBP will not add to our pensions and benefits expense; and (ii) it can, in certain circumstances, be adjusted down as well as up. Salary can typically only be increased. RBP will be paid in shares for executive Directors and members of the Group Executive Committee. Certain other senior employees will be paid RBP partly in shares and partly in cash.

Distribution of earnings



We believe that the best way to support our stakeholders is by operating a strong, profitable and growing business, which creates jobs and contributes to the economic success of the communities in which we live and work. The charts below detail how the earnings generated by our businesses are distributed to a number of key stakeholders.

## **Shareholders**

## **Government**

## **Employees**

Notes

Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

a Calculated as dividend per share divided by adjusted EPS.

b Taxes borne are the Company's own tax contribution, representing taxes paid by the Company in the year.

c Taxes collected are those collected from employees and customers on behalf of governments.

[92 > Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013](#)

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

---

**Table of Contents**

[Remuneration report >](#)

**Remuneration policy for all employees**

This section sets out Barclays' remuneration policy for all employees, explaining the purpose and principles underlying the structure of remuneration packages, and how the policy links remuneration to the achievement of sustained high performance and long-term value creation.

**Remuneration policy**

The Committee formally adopted a new remuneration policy for 2013 as part of the Transform programme. The principles set out in the policy are set out below and underpin 2013 remuneration decisions and the Committee's objectives for 2014 and beyond.

**Barclays' remuneration decisions:**

1. Support the goal of becoming the 'Go-To' bank by attracting, retaining and competitively rewarding colleagues with the ability, experience, skill, values and behaviours to deliver that goal.
2. Will only reward business results when these are achieved in a manner consistent with Barclays' values and behaviours:

• **Respect:** We respect and value those we work with, and the contribution that they make

; **Integrity:** We act fairly, ethically and openly in all we do

; **Service:** We put our clients and customers at the centre of what we do

; **Excellence:** We use our energy, skills and resources to deliver the best, sustainable results

; **Stewardship:** We are passionate about leaving things better than we found them.

3. Protect and promote shareholder interests by incentivising colleagues to deliver sustained performance and create long-term value through the delivery of Barclays' goal. Those decisions will reflect that performance for individuals and in aggregate. Barclays will pay competitively for high performance but will not pay more than the amount appropriate to maximise the long-term value of the bank for its shareholders.
4. Create a direct and recognisable alignment between remuneration and risk exposure, as well as adjusting current and deferred incentives for current and historic risk, including malus adjustments, as appropriate.
5. Should be as simple and clear for colleagues and stakeholders as possible as is the process used to determine them.
6. Ensure that the balance between shareholder returns and remuneration is appropriate, clear and supports long-term shareholder interests.

## Remuneration and performance

Adherence to the remuneration policy means that remuneration decisions for all employees across the whole of Barclays are aligned with and support the achievement of Barclays' goal of becoming the 'Go-To' bank.

## Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

This is achieved by linking remuneration to a broad assessment of all aspects of performance. At an individual level, a new approach to performance assessment was implemented for senior management in 2013 and will be implemented for all employees in 2014. Under the new approach, individual performance is measured jointly against objectives (the *what*) and demonstration of Barclays Values and Behaviours (the *how*). This ensures that our Values and Behaviours are firmly embedded in the way we manage individual performance and remuneration.

Furthermore, to ensure clear and direct alignment between individual performance and Barclays' strategy, all employees are required to align their 2014 objectives to the 5Cs of the Balanced Scorecard – Customers & Clients, Colleagues, Citizenship, Conduct and Company. This was implemented for senior management in 2013. This will help employees understand how their day to day activities contribute to the overall performance of the business or function in which they work and, ultimately, the overall performance of Barclays – as represented by the Balanced Scorecard.

Linking individual performance assessment and remuneration decisions to both our Values and Behaviours and the Balanced Scorecard in this way promotes the delivery of sustainable individual and business performance and establishes clear alignment between remuneration policy and Barclays' strategy.

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

[Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 93](#)

---

**Table of Contents**

[Remuneration report >](#)

Remuneration policy for all employees continued

**Remuneration structure**

Employees receive salary, pension and other benefits and are eligible to be considered for an annual bonus. Employees in some customer-facing businesses participate in incentive plans including plans based on customer feedback and other measures of the quality of service they provide to customers. This page provides further details of our employee remuneration structure. Some senior employees also receive Role Based Pay (RBP) from 2014. The remuneration structure for employees is aligned with the remuneration structure for executive Directors set out in more detail in the Directors' remuneration policy section of this report.

**Fixed remuneration**

**Salary** ; Salaries reflect individuals' skills and experience and are reviewed annually in the context of annual performance assessment. They are increased where justified by role change, increased responsibility or where justified by the latest available market data. Salaries may also be increased in line with local statutory requirements and in line with union and works council commitments.

**Role Based Pay** ; As a response to CRD IV and to ensure Barclays remains appropriately competitive for global talent from 2014, a small number of senior employees will receive a new class of fixed pay called RBP to recognise the breadth and depth of the role. Further information on RBP can be found on page 95.

**Pension and benefits** ; The provision of a market competitive package of benefits is important to attracting and retaining the talented staff Barclays needs to deliver Barclays' strategy. A defined contribution pension scheme, the Barclays Pension Savings Plan, and a flexible benefits scheme, 'My Rewards', enable employees to choose from a range of benefits including the level of their pension contributions, life assurance and private health benefits, annual leave (with the ability to buy and sell subject to certain parameters), and participation in Barclays' all employee share plans (HMRC approved for UK participants). The cost of

providing the benefits is defined and controlled. Following its launch in 2012 in the UK, My Rewards will be rolled out globally over the next few years.

## Variable remuneration

**Annual bonus** ; Annual bonuses reward and incentivise the achievement of Group, business and individual objectives

- The ability to recognise performance through variable remuneration enables the Group to control its cost base flexibly and to react to events and market circumstances. Bonuses remain a key feature of remuneration practice in the highly competitive and mobile market for talent in the financial services sector. The Committee is careful to control the proportion of variable to fixed remuneration paid to
- ; individuals
  - ; Bonus deferral levels are significantly in excess of PRA requirements
  - ; For PRA Remuneration Code Staff (Code Staff) the deferral rate is a minimum of 40% (for bonuses of no more than £500,000) or 60% (for bonuses of more than £500,000)
  - ; For non-Code Staff, bonuses over £65,000 are subject to a graduated level of deferral
  - ; 2013 bonuses awarded to Managing Directors in the Investment Bank are 100% deferred
  - ; Deferred bonuses are generally split equally between deferred cash bonuses under the Cash Value Plan (CVP) and deferred share bonuses under the Share Value Plan (SVP), each typically vesting in equal annual tranches over three years subject to the rules of the plans and continued service
  - ; Deferred bonuses are subject to malus provisions which enable the Committee to reduce the vesting level of deferred bonuses (including to nil) at its discretion. Events which may lead the Committee to do this include, but are not limited to, employee misconduct or a material failure of risk management.

---

**Table of Contents**

[Remuneration report >](#)

**Remuneration and CRD IV**

[This section sets out our plans for remuneration compliance with CRD IV in respect of the CRD IV pay ratio. The CRD IV pay ratio of 2:1 is subject to a binding shareholder vote at the 2014 AGM.](#)

CRD IV is EU regulation that has been effective from 1 January 2014. CRD IV's remuneration provisions include a maximum ratio between variable and fixed remuneration (CRD IV pay ratio) for Code Staff. Code Staff include senior management, risk takers, staff engaged in control functions and any other employees whose professional activities have a material impact on Barclays' risk profile. The maximum CRD IV pay ratio is either 1:1 (without shareholder approval) or 2:1 (with shareholder approval). The maximum ratio will apply to variable remuneration awarded in respect of the 2014 performance year onwards.

Barclays had 530 Code Staff in 2013. While the number of impacted employees will still be a small proportion of total employees, we expect this figure to increase in 2014 under the European Banking Authority's new definition of Code Staff. The current estimate for 2014 is between 1,100 and 1,400 Code Staff.

As an EU headquartered bank, the CRD IV pay ratio applies to Barclays Code Staff globally. This is not the case for Barclays' non-EU headquartered competitors, for example US financial services firms. For these firms, the CRD IV pay ratio only applies to their EU operations. Accordingly, the CRD IV pay ratio creates a competitive disadvantage for Barclays in the global market for talent, particularly in the US, Asia and Africa. 60% of Barclays' Code Staff in 2014 are expected to be based outside the EU.

**[Shareholder approval and remuneration compliance with the CRD IV pay ratio](#)**

We will comply with CRD IV's remuneration provisions in a simple and transparent manner. We have discussed our approach with the PRA and with some of our major shareholders. Our approach is legally compliant and based on the following principles:

- We do not want to increase fixed cost more than required
- We need to retain flexibility in our remuneration structure
- We must have the ability to be competitive on remuneration in the global environment in which we operate.



Barclays is seeking shareholder approval at the April 2014 AGM for the maximum CRD IV pay ratio of 2:1. A 2:1 ratio will limit the transfer from variable to fixed pay required to continue to pay our employees competitively.

### **Role Based Pay (RBP)**

Consistent with developing market practice, our approach to CRD IV remuneration compliance for the small number of impacted employees is a new class of fixed pay called RBP which has the flexibility to be decreased as well as increased. Salaries can typically only be adjusted upwards.

The key features of RBP are as follows:

- ⌋ It reflects the breadth and depth of responsibility associated with senior positions at Barclays
- ⌋ It is fixed but will be reviewed (typically annually)
- ⌋ It is paid monthly in cash alongside salary with effect from 1 January 2014 (excluding executive Directors and Group Executive Committee members who will be paid quarterly in shares (or share equivalents where local law makes this necessary) and certain other senior employees who will be paid partly in shares and partly in cash). The decision to pay in cash reflects the current very high levels of deferral within Barclays which are significantly in excess of regulatory requirements and the market
- ⌋ It can go down as well as up in certain circumstances, for example, following changes to role, responsibilities or accountabilities, or external factors, such as changes in business and economic environment, which may have a bearing on the role in terms of equivalent market opportunities or the particular skill set required for a role
- ⌋ It is not adjusted for performance (individual, business or Group) and is not salary for pension and benefits purposes (except where required under local law).

As a result of implementing RBP with a ratio of 2:1 we expect a small increase in fixed costs (and decrease in variable costs) for 2014. RBP will represent a relatively small proportion of total compensation costs.

---

**Table of Contents**

[Remuneration report >](#)

**2013 incentives**

[This section provides details of how 2013 total incentive award decisions were made.](#)

**2013 performance headlines**

The key considerations which the Committee took into account in making its remuneration decisions for 2013 are highlighted below:

- ┆ Adjusted income decreased 4% to £28,155m and adjusted profit before tax was down 32% to £5,167m
  
- ┆ The profits have been impacted by the reduction in income and the costs to achieve Transform including restructuring and de-risking activity completed during the year. Profits were also impacted by the withdrawal from certain lines of business which were incompatible with Barclays Purpose and Values, investing to transform our operations and resolving legacy conduct and litigation issues
  
- ┆ The UK Retail and Corporate Banking businesses delivered good results, alongside the continued strong growth of Barclaycard
  
- ┆ Within the Investment Bank, an impressive performance in Equities and in Investment Banking has helped to partially offset lower income from our Fixed Income, Currencies and Commodities business
  
- ┆ We have also started to make important progress in repositioning our African, European and Wealth businesses to improve returns
  
- ┆ CRD IV CET1 ratio fully loaded was 9.3% (30 September 2013: 8.4% or 9.6% on a pro forma post rights issue basis)

- ‡ The estimated PRA leverage ratio increased to just under 3.0% (30 June 2013: 2.2%), reflecting a reduction in the PRA leverage exposure of £196bn and an increase in eligible PRA adjusted Tier 1 Capital to £40.5bn (30 June 2013: £34.2bn)
- ‡ Adjusted return on average shareholders' equity decreased to 4.5% (2012: 9.0%) principally reflecting the decrease in profit before tax, a £440m write-down of deferred tax assets relating to Spain and the £5.8bn of equity raised from the Rights Issue in Q413
- ‡ Cultural change, particularly embedding our Purpose and Values throughout the organisation, was a major Transform priority for 2013. As well as every colleague completing a mandatory training programme, Barclays Purpose and Values was integrated into the day to day management processes covering recruitment, talent management, performance assessment and reward
- ‡ In the case of our Managing Director population, their 2013 performance has been formally assessed against whether they have exhibited the right values and behaviours, as well as producing the desired business outcomes. This criteria will apply to all employees in 2014.

### **2013 incentives repositioning journey and impact of 2012 decisions**

#### **2013 compensation and incentive headlines**

- ‡ Total compensation costs decreased 1% to £9,616m. Total compensation costs in the Investment Bank were slightly down at £4,634m (2012: £4,667m)
- ‡ This reduction in compensation costs in part offset the reduction in adjusted net operating income and meant that the Group compensation to adjusted net operating income ratio increased slightly to 38.3% (2012: 37.5%)
- ‡ Before making adjustments for risk and conduct events, the 2013 incentive awards of £2,492m had been reduced 18% from 2012. After adjustments for risk and conduct, total incentive awards granted were £2,378m, up 10% on 2012
- ‡ While the final incentive pool is up on 2012, it is £1,106m or 32% below the 2010 outcome from when the Committee started its repositioning journey to reduce compensation. In the Investment Bank incentive awards granted were 41% (£1,086m) below 2010
- ‡ There has been strong differentiation on the basis of individual performance to allow the Group to more effectively manage compensation costs
- ‡ Average value of incentive awards granted per Group employee is £17,000 (2012: £15,600) with the average value of incentive awards granted per Investment Bank employee of £60,100 (2012: £54,500). Average value of incentive awards granted per Group employee excluding the Investment Bank is £7,100 (2012: £6,800)

‡

Levels of deferral continue to significantly exceed the PRA Remuneration Code's minimum requirements and are expected to remain among the highest deferral levels globally. 2013 bonuses awarded to Managing Directors in the Investment Bank were 100% deferred.

We recognise the importance that our stakeholders attach to the judgements that we apply in managing remuneration. Remuneration must be managed in a way which incentivises employees, ensures pay is linked to performance and is appropriately aligned to risk and conduct.

Following 2010, material reductions were made to the incentive pool, particularly in the Investment Bank. In 2011 the Investment Bank pool was reduced by 35% from £2,660m to £1,737m and again in 2012 by a further 20% to £1,394m. Over this two-year period, incentives within the Investment Bank were almost halved (total incentive awards granted were down 48%). From a Group perspective, the pool was down by 38% over the same period. In making these reductions the Committee and management took measured risks with the aim of exerting further downward pressure on remuneration.

The Committee closely monitored the impact of these decisions. The evidence suggested that similar actions were not taken by our peers, most notably in the major US banks. This, together with uncertainty generated by CRD IV and high deferral levels (which significantly exceed regulatory requirements and the market) meant that Barclays, in certain areas of the business, was no longer appropriately competitive on remuneration, and this caused demonstrable damage to our business. While we remain committed to controlling pay levels, we also must seek to ensure that the health of the franchise is protected.

**Table of Contents**

In considering the appropriate level for the 2013 incentive pool, the Committee tried to find the right balance between ensuring the work on repositioning was not undone, reflecting performance (both financial and our long-term strategy), and keeping Barclays' remuneration appropriately competitive.

The outcome of this balancing process is that before adjustment for risk and conduct events, the 2013 incentive pool was reduced by 18% on the equivalent 2012 pool. The total 2013 risk and conduct adjustment was £290m of which £176m was applied through reduced LTIP payouts, the balance of £114m was taken by reducing the 2013 incentive pool. The level of adjustment required in 2013 was considerably less than that required in 2012, in which £860m was deducted from the pool for risk and conduct events including the investigation into the setting of inter-bank offered rates in 2012.

The final 2013 incentive pool after adjustments for risk and conduct events was £2,378m, up 10% on 2012. The increase should be seen in the context of the multi-year reductions already achieved, the competitive pressures sustained in some parts of the business, the reduced adjustments for risk and conduct events required in 2013 and the overall repositioning journey that the Committee remains focused on achieving in a way that ensures that the long-term value of the Bank is maximised for shareholders.

**Barclays incentive pools****Barclays total incentive awards granted – current year and deferred (audited)**

	<b>Barclays Group</b>			<b>Investment Bank</b>		
	<b>Year ended 31.12.13</b>	<b>Year ended 31.12.12</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>Year ended 31.12.13</b>	<b>Year ended 31.12.12</b>	<b>% Change</b>
	<b>£m</b>	<b>£m</b>		<b>£m</b>	<b>£m</b>	
Current year cash bonus	942	852	11	477	399	20
Current year share bonus	15	15		5	6	(17)
<b>Total current year bonus</b>	<b>957</b>	<b>867</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>482</b>	<b>405</b>	<b>19</b>
Deferred cash bonus	564	489	15	521	447	17
Deferred share bonus	576	498	16	521	446	17
<b>Total deferred bonus</b>	<b>1,140</b>	<b>987</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>1,042</b>	<b>893</b>	<b>17</b>

Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

<b>Commissions, commitments and other incentives</b>	281	314	(11)	50	96	(48)
<b>Total incentive awards granted</b>	2,378	2,168	10	1,574	1,394	13
<b>Proportion of bonus that is deferred<sup>a</sup></b>	54%	53%		68%	69%	
Total employees (full time equivalent)	139,600	139,200		26,200	25,600	2
Average incentive award granted per employee	£17,000	£15,600	9	£60,100	£54,500	10
Note						

<sup>a</sup>Calculated as total deferred bonus divided by the sum of total current year bonus and total deferred bonus.

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

[Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 97](#)

**Table of Contents**[Remuneration report >](#)

2013 incentives continued

Deferred bonuses are payable only once an employee meets certain conditions, including a specified period of service. This creates a timing difference between the communication of the bonus pool and the charges that appear in the income statement which are reconciled in the table below.

**Reconciliation of total incentive awards granted to income statement charge (audited)**

	<b>Barclays Group</b>			<b>Investment Bank</b>		
	<b>Year ended 31.12.13</b>	<b>Year ended 31.12.12</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>Year ended 31.12.13</b>	<b>Year ended 31.12.12</b>	<b>% Change</b>
	<b>£m</b>	<b>£m</b>		<b>£m</b>	<b>£m</b>	
Total incentive awards for 2013	2,378	2,168	10	1,574	1,394	13
Less: deferred bonuses awarded in 2013	(1,140)	(987)	16	(1,042)	(893)	17
Add: current year charges for deferred bonuses from previous years	1,147	1,223	(6)	1,042	1,117	(7)
Other <sup>a</sup>	169	21		144	75	92
<b>Income statement charge for performance costs</b>	<b>2,554</b>	<b>2,425</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1,718</b>	<b>1,693</b>	<b>1</b>

Note

a Difference between incentive awards granted and income statement charge for commissions, commitments and other long-term incentives.

- i Employees only become eligible to receive payment from a deferred bonus once all the relevant conditions have been fulfilled, including the provision of services to the Group
- j The income statement charge for performance costs reflects the charge for employees' actual services provided to the Group during the relevant calendar year (including where those services fulfil conditions attached to previously deferred bonuses). It does not include charges for deferred bonuses where conditions have not been met

i

As a consequence, while the 2013 incentive awards granted increased 10% compared to 2012, the income statement charge for performance costs increased 5%.

**Income statement charge (audited)**

	Barclays Group			Investment Bank		
	Year ended	Year ended	%	Year ended	Year ended	%
	31.12.13	31.12.12		31.12.13	31.12.12	
£m	£m	Change	£m	£m	Change	
Deferred bonus charge	1,147	1,223	(6)	1,042	1,117	(7)
Current year bonus charges	957	867	10	482	405	19
Commissions, commitments and other incentives	450	335	34	194	171	13
<b>Performance costs</b>	<b>2,554</b>	<b>2,425</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1,718</b>	<b>1,693</b>	<b>1</b>
Salaries	4,981	5,254	(5)	2,092	2,203	(5)
Social security costs	715	685	4	305	297	3
Post retirement benefits	688	612	12	161	147	10
Allowances and trading incentives	211	262	(19)	88	123	(28)
Other compensation costs <sup>a</sup>	467	521	(10)	270	204	32
<b>Total compensation costs<sup>b</sup></b>	<b>9,616</b>	<b>9,759</b>	<b>(1)</b>	<b>4,634</b>	<b>4,667</b>	<b>(1)</b>
<b>Other resourcing costs</b>						
Outsourcing	1,084	999	9	26	31	(16)
Redundancy and restructuring	687	68		186	41	
Temporary staff costs	551	481	15	255	227	12
Other	217	160	36	77	68	13
<b>Total other resourcing costs</b>	<b>2,539</b>	<b>1,708</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>544</b>	<b>367</b>	<b>48</b>
<b>Total staff costs</b>	<b>12,155</b>	<b>11,467</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5,178</b>	<b>5,034</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Compensation as % of adjusted net income</b>	<b>38.3%</b>	<b>37.5%</b>		<b>44.1%</b>	<b>40.3%</b>	
<b>Compensation as % of adjusted income</b>	<b>34.2%</b>	<b>33.2%</b>		<b>43.2%</b>	<b>39.6%</b>	

## Notes

a Investment Bank other compensation costs include allocations from Head Office and net recharges relating to compensation costs incurred in the Investment Bank but charged to other businesses and charges from other businesses to the Investment Bank.

b In addition, £346m of Group compensation (2012: £44m) was capitalised as internally generated software.

i Total staff costs increased 6% to £12,155m, principally reflecting a £619m increase in redundancy and restructuring charges, a 5% increase in performance costs and a 9% increase in outsourcing



## Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

- Performance costs increased 5% to £2,554m, reflecting a 10% increase to £957m in charges for current year cash and share bonuses and a 34% increase in commissions, commitments and other incentives to £450m. This was offset by a 6% decrease in the charge for deferred bonuses to £1,147m
- Redundancy and restructuring charges increased £619m to £687m, due to a number of Transform initiatives.

**Table of Contents**

Deferred bonuses awarded are expected to be charged to the income statement in the years outlined in the table that follows:

**Year in which income statement charge is expected to be taken for deferred bonuses awarded to date<sup>a</sup>**

	Actual	Expected <sup>b</sup>		
	Year ended 31.12.12	Year ended 31.12.13	Year ended 31.12.14	2015 and beyond
	£m	£m	£m	£m
<b>Barclays Group</b>				
Deferred bonuses from 2010 and earlier bonus pools	557	192	21	
Deferred bonuses from 2011 bonus pool	666	429	157	25
Deferred bonuses from 2012 bonus pool		526	299	155
Deferred bonuses from 2013 bonus pool			616	492
<b>Income statement charge for deferred bonuses</b>	<b>1,223</b>	<b>1,147</b>	<b>1,093</b>	<b>672</b>
<b>Investment Bank</b>				
Deferred bonuses from 2010 and earlier bonus pools	517	178	19	
Deferred bonuses from 2011 bonus pool	600	384	143	22
Deferred bonuses from 2012 bonus pool		480	272	143
Deferred bonuses from 2013 bonus pool			570	452
<b>Income statement charge for deferred bonuses</b>	<b>1,117</b>	<b>1,042</b>	<b>1,004</b>	<b>617</b>

Bonus pool component	Expected grant date	Expected payment date(s) <sup>c</sup>	Year(s) in which income statement charge arises <sup>d</sup>
Current year cash bonus	February 2014	February 2014	2013
Current year share bonus	February/March 2014	February 2014 to September 2014	2013
Deferred cash bonus	March 2014	March 2015 (33.3%) March 2016 (33.3%) March 2017 (33.3%)	2014 (48%) 2015 (35%) 2016 (15%)

## Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

Deferred share bonus	March 2014	March 2015 (33.3%)	2017 (2%)
			2014 (48%)
		March 2016 (33.3%)	2015 (35%)
		March 2017 (33.3%)	2016 (15%)
			2017 (2%)

### Notes

- a The actual amount charged depends upon whether conditions have been met and will vary compared with the above expectation. Charges for deferred bonuses include amounts for other incentives, such as commitments, which are awarded on a deferred basis.
- b Does not include the impact of grants which will be made in 2014 and 2015.
- c Payments are subject to all conditions being met prior to the expected payment date. In addition, employees receiving a deferred cash bonus may be awarded a service credit of 10% of the initial value of the award at the time that the final instalment is made, subject to continued employment.
- d The income statement charge is based on the period over which conditions are met.

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

[Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 99](#)

**Table of Contents**

[Remuneration report >](#)

Directors remuneration policy

This section sets out Barclays forward looking remuneration policy for Directors, explaining each element of the Directors remuneration policy and how it operates. The policy described in this section is intended to apply for three years beginning on the date of the 2014 AGM, subject to shareholder approval.

This remuneration policy sets out the framework for how the Committee's remuneration strategy will be executed for the Directors over the next three years. This is to be achieved by having a remuneration policy that seeks to:

- provide an appropriate and competitive mix of fixed and variable pay which, through its short and long-term components, incentivises management and is aligned to shareholders;
- provide direct line of sight with Barclays strategy through the incentive programmes; and
- comply with and adapt to the changing regulatory landscape.

**Impact of CRD IV on Directors remuneration policy**

Barclays is seeking shareholder approval for a maximum CRD IV pay ratio of 2:1 in accordance with CRD IV and has consulted with some of its major shareholders on this matter. Barclays response to CRD IV and to ensure that it remains appropriately competitive for global talent is to introduce a new class of fixed pay called Role Based Pay (RBP). For the Group Chief Executive, Antony Jenkins, the maximum value of RBP will be £950,000 and for the Group Finance Director, Tushar Morzaria, the maximum RBP will be £750,000. RBP will be delivered in shares which will be subject to a maximum holding period over five years (with restrictions lifting 20% per annum).

In line with the current approach, at the maximum level of variable pay 40% will be delivered as bonus and 60% as a Barclays Long Term Incentive Plan (LTIP) award. Barclays recognises that in return for greater certainty on fixed pay there should be a reduced total remuneration opportunity.

An illustration of the impact of CRD IV on the structure of the executive Directors remuneration together with the reduced maximum remuneration opportunity is set out below:

**Group Chief Executive, Antony Jenkins maximum remuneration opportunity**

Current structure (£000)

The maximum remuneration opportunity for the Group Chief Executive, Antony Jenkins, will reduce in 2014 by £1,374,000 as set out above. This comprises a reduction in maximum variable pay opportunity of £2,324,000, of which £950,000 transfers to fixed pay as RBP. The net resulting reduction of £1,374,000 is a 59% discount on the portion of variable pay which is being transferred into fixed pay. Overall there is a 16% discount in the maximum total (fixed and variable) remuneration opportunity.

**Group Finance Director, Tushar Morzaria    maximum remuneration opportunity**

Current structure (£000)

The maximum remuneration opportunity for the Group Finance Director, Tushar Mozaria, will reduce in 2014 by £950,000 as set out above. This comprises a reduction in maximum variable pay opportunity of £1,700,000, of which £750,000 transfers to fixed pay as RBP. The net resulting reduction of £950,000 is a 56% discount on the portion of variable pay which is being transferred into fixed pay. Overall there is a 15% discount in the maximum total (fixed and variable) remuneration opportunity.

**European Banking Authority consultation paper**

Although the European Banking Authority has published a consultation paper on the draft guidelines for the notional discount rate for long-term remuneration, this is still at consultation stage and it is not expected to be significant. Therefore it has not been factored in to the above illustration. We will review market positioning once the regulation is finalised and will consult with major shareholders on whether it is appropriate to amend our policy in future to allow for the discount.

**Table of Contents**

Remuneration policy for executive Directors

The implementation of remuneration policy for 2014 is set out on pages 118 and 119.

Element and purpose	Operation	Maximum value and performance measures
---------------------	-----------	--

A. Fixed pay

Salary

To reward skills and experience appropriate for the role and provide the basis for a competitive remuneration package

Salaries are determined with reference to market practice and market data (on which the Committee receives independent advice), and reflect individual experience and role.

Executive Directors' salaries are benchmarked against comparable roles in the following banks: Bank of America, BBVA, BNP Paribas, Citigroup, Credit Suisse, Deutsche Bank, HSBC, JP Morgan, Lloyds, Morgan Stanley, RBS, Santander, Société Générale, Standard Chartered and UBS. The Committee may amend the list of comparator companies to ensure it remains relevant to Barclays or if circumstances make this necessary (for example, as a result of takeovers or mergers).

Salaries for executive Directors are set at a point within the benchmark range determined by the Committee taking into account their experience and performance. Increases for the current executive Directors over the policy period will be no more than local market employee increases other than in exceptional circumstances where the Committee judges that an increase is needed to bring an executive Director's salary into line with that of our competitors. In such circumstances Barclays would consult with its major shareholders.

Salaries are reviewed annually and any changes are effective from 1 April in the financial year.

### Role Based Pay

To enable competitive remuneration opportunity in recognition of the breadth and depth of the role

Paid quarterly in shares which are subject to a holding period with restrictions lifting over five years (20% each year). As the executive Directors beneficially own the shares, they will be entitled to any dividends paid on those shares.

RBP will be reviewed and fixed annually and may be reduced or increased in certain circumstances. Any changes are effective from 1 January in the relevant financial year.

The maximum RBP for executive Directors is set at £950,000 for the Group Chief Executive, Antony Jenkins, and £750,000 for the Group Finance Director, Tushar Morzaria. It is not pensionable (except where required under local law). These amounts may be reduced but are at the maxima and may not be increased above this level.

There are no performance measures.

### Pension

To enable executive Directors to build long-term retirement savings

Executive Directors receive an annual cash allowance in lieu of participation in a pension arrangement.

The maximum annual cash allowance is 33% of salary for the Group Chief Executive and 25% of salary for the Group Finance Director and any other executive Director.


### Benefits

To provide a competitive and cost effective benefits package appropriate to role and location

Executive Directors' benefits provision includes private medical cover, annual health check, life and ill health income protection, tax advice, car cash allowance, and use of a company vehicle and driver when required for business purposes.

Additional benefits may be offered that are minor in nature or are normal market practice in a country to which an executive Director relocates or from which an executive Director is recruited.

The maximum value of the benefit is determined by the nature of the benefit itself and costs of provision may depend on external factors, e.g. insurance costs.



In addition to the above, if an executive Director were to relocate, additional support would be provided for a defined and limited period of time in line with Barclays' general employee mobility policy including provision of temporary accommodation, payment of removal costs and relocation flights. Barclays will pay the executive Director's tax on the relocation costs but will not tax equalise and will also not pay the tax on his or her other employment income.



**Table of Contents**[Remuneration report >](#)

Directors remuneration policy continued

**Remuneration policy for executive Directors continued**

Element and purpose	Operation	Maximum value and performance measures
---------------------	-----------	--

**B. Variable Pay****Annual bonus**

To reward delivery of short-term financial targets set each year, the individual performance of the executive Directors in achieving those targets, and their contribution to delivering Barclays strategic objectives

While financial objectives are

**Determination of annual bonus**

Individual bonuses are discretionary and decisions are based on the Committee's judgement of executive Directors performance in the year, measured against Group and personal objectives.

**Delivery structure**

Executive Directors are Code Staff and their bonuses are therefore subject to deferral of at least the level applicable to all Code Staff, currently 40% (for bonuses of no more than £500,000) or 60% (for bonuses of more than £500,000). The Committee may choose to defer a greater proportion of any bonus awarded to an executive Director than the minimum required by the PRA Remuneration

The maximum annual bonus opportunity is 80% of fixed pay. For these purposes, fixed pay is as set out in the charts on page 100.

The performance measures by which any executive Director bonuses are assessed include Group, business and personal measures, both financial and non-financial. Financial measures may include, but are not restricted to such measures as net income, adjusted profit before tax, return on equity, CET 1 ratio and return on risk weighted assets. Non-financial measures are based on the Balanced Scorecard. Personal objectives may include key initiatives relating to the role of the Director or in support of Barclays strategic objectives. The Balanced Scorecard is set out on page 10 and may be updated from time to time in

important, the Balanced Scorecard (which also includes Group financial targets) plays a significant role in bonus determination, to ensure alignment with Barclays strategy

Code. At least half the non-deferred bonus is delivered in shares or share-linked instruments.

Deferred bonuses for executive Directors may be delivered in a combination of shares or other deferral instruments.

line with the Group's strategy. In making its assessment of any bonus, the Committee will consider financial factors to guide 50% of the bonus opportunity, the Balanced Scorecard 35%, and personal objectives 15%. Any bonus is discretionary and any amount may be awarded from zero to the maximum value.

Deferred bonuses encourage long-term focus and retention. Delivery substantially or fully in shares with a holding period increases alignment with shareholders. Deferred bonuses are granted by the Committee (or an authorised sub-committee) at its discretion, subject to the relevant plan rules

Participants may, at the Committee's discretion, also receive the benefit of any dividends paid between the award date and the relevant release date in the form of dividend shares.

#### **Operation of risk and conduct adjustment and malus**

Any bonus awarded will reflect appropriate reductions made to incentive pools in relation to risk events. Individual bonus decisions may also reflect appropriate reductions in relation to specific risk and conduct events.

All unvested deferred bonuses are subject to malus provisions which enable the Committee to reduce the vesting level of deferred bonuses (including to nil) for any reason. These include, but are not limited to:

- A participant deliberately misleading Barclays, the market and/or shareholders in relation to the financial performance of the Barclays Group

- A participant causing harm to Barclays reputation or where his/her actions have amounted to misconduct, incompetence or negligence

· A material restatement of the financial statements of the Barclays Group or the Group or any business unit suffering a material down turn in its financial performance

· A material failure of risk management in the Barclays Group

· A significant deterioration in the financial health of the Barclays Group.

#### **Timing of receipt**

Non-deferred cash components of any bonus are paid following the performance year to which they relate, normally in February. Non-deferred share bonuses are awarded normally in March and are subject to a six-month holding period.

Deferred share bonuses normally vest in three equal portions over a minimum three-year period, subject to the provisions of the plan rules including continued employment and the malus provisions (as explained above). Should the deferred awards vest, the shares are subject to an additional six-month holding period (after payment of tax).

**Table of Contents**

**Remuneration policy for executive Directors continued**

Element and purpose	Operation	Maximum value and performance measures
---------------------	-----------	--

**B. Variable Pay continued**

**Long Term Incentive Plan (LTIP) award**

To reward execution of Barclays strategy and growth in shareholder value over a multi-year period

Long-term performance measurement, holding periods and the malus provisions discourage excessive risk-taking and inappropriate behaviours, encourage a long-term view and align executive Directors interests with

**Determination of LTIP award**

LTIP awards are made by the Committee following discussion of recommendations made by the Chairman (for the Group Chief Executive's LTIP award) and by the Group Chief Executive (for other executive Directors' LTIP awards).

**Delivery structure**

LTIP awards are granted subject to the plan rules and are satisfied in Barclays shares (although they may be satisfied in other instruments as may be required by regulation).

The maximum annual LTIP award is 120% of fixed pay. For these purposes, fixed pay is as set out in the charts on page 100.

Vesting is dependent on performance measures and service.

Following determination of the financial measures applicable to an LTIP cycle, if the Committee is satisfied with the underlying financial health of the Barclays Group (based on profit before tax) it may, at its discretion, adjust the percentage of shares considered for release up or down by up to 10% (subject to the maximum % for the award calibrated against financial performance measures).

those of shareholders

Performance measures balance incentivising management to deliver strong risk-adjusted financial returns, and delivery of strategic progress as measured by the Balanced Scorecard. Delivery in shares with a further two-year holding period increases alignment with shareholders

For each award, performance measures are set at grant and there is no retesting allowed of those conditions. The Committee has, within the parameters set out opposite, the flexibility to vary the weighting of performance measures and calibration for each award prior to its grant.

The Committee has discretion, and in line with the plan rules approved by shareholders, in exceptional circumstances to amend targets, measures, or number of awards if an event happens (for example, a major transaction) that, in the opinion of the Committee, causes the original targets or measures to be no longer appropriate or such adjustment to be reasonable. The Committee also has the discretion to reduce the vesting of any award if it deems that the outcome is not consistent with performance delivered, including to zero.

Participants may, at the Committee's discretion, also receive the benefit of any dividends paid between the award date and the relevant release date in the form of dividend equivalents (cash or securities).

### **Operation of risk adjustment and malus**

The achievement of performance measures determines the extent to which LTIP awards will vest. Awards are also subject to malus provisions (as explained in the Annual bonus paragraphs above) which enable the Committee to reduce the vesting level of awards (including to nil).

Performance measures will be based on financial performance (e.g. measured on return on risk weighted assets), risk metrics (e.g. measured by loan loss rate) and the Balanced Scorecard which also includes financial measures. The Committee has discretion to change the weightings but financial measures will be at least 50% and the Balanced Scorecard will be a maximum of 30%. The threshold level of performance for each performance measure will be disclosed annually as part of the implementation of remuneration report. Straight line vesting applies between threshold and maximum for the financial and risk measures.

**Timing of receipt**

Barclays LTIP awards have a five-year period in total from grant to when all restrictions are lifted. This will include a minimum three-year vesting period and an additional two-year holding period once vested (after payment of tax).

**Table of Contents**[Remuneration report >](#)

Directors remuneration policy continued

**Remuneration policy for executive Directors continued**

Element and purpose	Operation	Maximum value and performance measures
---------------------	-----------	--

**C. Other**

<p><b>All employee share plans</b></p> <p>To provide an opportunity for Directors to voluntarily invest in the Company</p>	<p>Executive Directors are entitled to participate in:</p> <p>(i) Barclays Sharesave under which they can make monthly savings over a period of three or five years linked to the grant of an option over Barclays shares which can be at a discount of up to 20% on the share price set at the start.</p> <p>(ii) Barclays Sharepurchase under which they can make contributions (monthly or lump sum) out of pre-tax pay (if based in the United Kingdom) which are used to acquire Barclays shares.</p>	<p>(i) Savings between £5 and the maximum set by Barclays (which will be no more than the HMRC maximum) per month. There are no performance measures.</p> <p>(ii) Contributions of between £10 and the maximum set by Barclays (which will be no more than the HMRC maximum) per tax year which Barclays may match up to HMRC maximum (current match is £600). There are no performance measures.</p>
--	--	---

## Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

<a href="#">Previous LTIP awards</a>	<p>Antony Jenkins currently holds unvested LTIP awards under the LTIP for the performance periods 2012-2014 and 2013-2015. The only differences between the operation of these awards and the future policy above are the performance measures and that the earlier 2012-2014 award only has a holding period of one year and this only applies to 50% of shares that are released (after payment of tax).</p>	<p>A summary of the performance measures that apply to the LTIP awards for 2012-2014 and 2013-2015 can be found on pages 114 and 115.</p>
<a href="#">Previous buy out awards</a>	<p>Tushar Morzaria currently holds an unvested buy-out award under the Barclays Joiners Share Value Plan which was granted to him in respect of awards he forfeited as a result of accepting employment at Barclays. This award was made in line with the Barclays recruitment policy outlined in the table on page 106.</p>	<p>The award was no more generous than and mirrored as far as possible the expected value and timing of vesting of the forfeited awards granted by JP Morgan.</p>
<a href="#">Shareholding requirement</a>	<p>Executive Directors must build up a shareholding of 400% of salary over five years from the later of: (i) the introduction of the new requirement in 2013; and (ii) the date of appointment as executive Director. They have a reasonable period to build up to this requirement again if it is not met because of a share price fall.</p>	<p>Barclays shares worth a minimum of 400% of salary must be held within five years.</p>
<p>To further enhance the alignment of shareholders and executive Directors interests in long-term value creation</p>	<p>Shares that count towards the requirement are beneficially owned shares including any vested share awards subject only to holding periods (including vested LTIPs, vested deferred share bonuses and RBP shares). Shares from unvested deferred share bonuses and unvested LTIPs do not count towards the requirement.</p>	
<a href="#">Outside appointments</a>	<p>Executive Directors may accept one board appointment in another listed company.</p>	<p>Not applicable</p>



Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

To encourage self-development and allow for the introduction of external insight and practice

Chairman's approval must be sought before accepting appointment. Fees may be retained by the executive Director. None of the executive Directors currently hold an outside appointment.

[104 > Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013](#)

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

**Table of Contents**

**Notes to the table on pages 101 to 104:**

**Performance measures and targets**

The Committee selected the relevant financial and risk based performance measures because they are key to the bank's strategy and are important measures used by the executive Directors to oversee the direction of the business. The Balanced Scorecard has been selected as it demonstrates the performance and progress of Barclays in the journey of becoming the Go-To bank as measured across the following dimensions (5Cs): Customers & Clients, Colleagues, Citizenship, Conduct and Company. Each of the 5Cs in the Balanced Scorecard will have equal weighting. All targets are set to be stretching but achievable and aligned to enhancing shareholder value.

The Committee is of the opinion that the performance targets for the annual bonus and Balanced Scorecard element of the LTIP are commercially sensitive in respect of the Company and that it would be detrimental to the interests of the Company to disclose them before the start of the relevant performance period. The performance against those measures will be disclosed after the end of the relevant financial year in that year's remuneration report subject to the sensitivity no longer remaining.

**Changes to the remuneration policy from that operating in 2013**

Element	Change
Role Based Pay	Not applicable before 2014. Introduced following CRD IV regulatory change with a maximum value (amount can decrease but not increase above the quoted maxima).
Pension	Fixed maximum at percentages of salary (with no annual review) 33% for Group Chief Executive and 25% for Group Finance Director.

**Annual Bonus**

Maximum of 80% of fixed pay (being the elements set out in the charts on page 100).

Financial performance measures will guide 50%, Balanced Scorecard 35% and personal objectives 15% of the bonus opportunity.

**Long Term  
Incentive Plan**

Maximum of 120% of fixed pay (being the elements set out in the charts on page 100).

The financial performance measures will have a weighting of at least 50% and the Balanced Scorecard measure will have a weighting of no more than 30%.

**Differences between the remuneration policy of the executive Directors and the policy for all employees of the Barclays Group**

The structure of total remuneration packages for executive Directors and for the broader employee population is similar. Employees receive salary, pension and benefits and are eligible to be considered for a bonus and to participate in all employee share plans. The broader employee population typically does not have a contractual limit on the quantum of their remuneration and does not receive RBP which is paid only to some, but not all, Code Staff. Executive Director RBP is determined on a similar basis to other Code Staff.

The Committee approaches any salary increases for executive Directors by benchmarking against market data for named banks. Incremental annual salary increases remain more common among employees at less senior levels.

As with executive Directors, bonuses for the broader employee population are performance based. Bonuses for executive Directors and the broader employee population are subject to deferral requirements. Executive Directors and other Code Staff are subject to deferral at a minimum rate of 40% (for bonuses of no more than £500,000) or 60% (for bonuses of more than £500,000) but the Committee may choose to operate higher deferral rates. For non-Code Staff, bonuses in excess of £65,000 are subject to a graduated level of deferral. The terms of deferred bonus awards for executive Directors and the wider employee population are broadly the same, in particular the vesting of all deferred bonuses (subject to service and malus conditions).

The broader employee population is not eligible to participate in the Barclays LTIP.

**How shareholder views and broader employee pay are taken into account by the Committee in setting policy and making remuneration decisions**

We recognise that remuneration is an area of particular interest to shareholders and that in setting and considering changes to remuneration it is critical that we listen to and take into account their views. Accordingly, a series of meetings are held each year with major shareholders and shareholder representative groups (including the Association of British Insurers, National Association of Pension Funds and ISS). The Committee Chairman attends these meetings, accompanied by senior Barclays employees (including the Reward and Performance Director and the Company Secretary). Matters discussed for 2013 included our forward looking Directors remuneration policy, 2013 remuneration and remuneration aspects of CRD IV. The Committee notes that shareholder views on some matters are not always unanimous, but values the insight and engagement that these interactions and the expression of sometimes

different views provide. This engagement is meaningful and helpful to the Committee in its work and contributes directly to the decisions made by the Committee. For example, the Committee's proposals on which employees should receive RBP in shares and on certain individual RBP levels were amended following discussions with shareholders. Shareholders also contributed directly to the Committee's approach to the level of discount in the total remuneration opportunities of the executive Directors to reflect the increased certainty of RBP. Shareholders provided feedback which was used to shape the Directors' remuneration policy.

The Committee takes account of the pay and employment conditions of the broader employee base when it considers the remuneration of the executive Directors. The Committee receives and reviews analysis of remuneration proposals for employees across all of the Group's businesses. This includes analysis by corporate grade and by performance rating and information on proposed bonuses and salary increases across the employee population and individual proposals for Code Staff and highly paid individuals. When the Committee considers executive Director remuneration, it therefore makes that consideration in the context of a detailed understanding of remuneration for the broader employee population and uses the all employee data to compare remuneration and ensure consistency throughout the Group. Employees are not consulted directly on the Directors' remuneration policy.

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

[Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 105](#)

**Table of Contents**

[Remuneration report >](#)

Directors remuneration policy continued

**Executive Directors policy on recruitment**

Barclays operates in a highly specialised sector and many of its competitors for talent are outside of the United Kingdom. The Committee’s approach to recruitment remuneration is to pay no more than is necessary to attract appropriate candidates to the role.

The remuneration packages offered on appointment to any new executive Director are a specific part of the Committee’s Terms of Reference. The terms of such packages must be approved by the Committee in consultation with the Chairman and (except for the terms of his own remuneration) the Group Chief Executive.

Any new executive Director’s package would include the same elements, and be subject to the same constraints, as those of the existing executive Directors, as shown below.

Element of remuneration	Commentary	Maximum value
Salary	<p>Determined by market conditions, market practice and ability to recruit.</p> <p>For a newly appointed executive Director, whether through external recruitment or internal promotion, if their salary is at a level below the desired market level, the Committee retains the discretion to realign their salary over a transitional period which may mean that annualised salary increases for the new appointee are higher than that set out in the salary section of the remuneration policy on page 101.</p>	In line with policy.

Role Based Pay	Determined by role, market practice and ability to recruit. Percentage may decrease or increase in certain circumstances subject to maximum value.	100% of salary.
Benefits	In line with policy.	In line with policy.
Pension	In line with policy.	33% of salary (Group Chief Executive), 25% of salary (Group Finance Director) and 25% if another executive Director is appointed.
Annual Bonus	In line with policy.	80% of fixed pay.
Long Term Incentive Plan	In line with policy.	120% of fixed pay.
Buy out	The Committee can consider buying out forfeited bonus opportunity or incentive awards that the new executive Director has forfeited as a result of accepting the appointment with Barclays, subject to proof of forfeiture where applicable.	The value of any buy out is not included within the maximum incentive levels above since it relates to a buy out of forfeited bonus opportunity or incentive awards from a previous employer.
	As required by the PRA Remuneration Code, any award made to compensate for forfeited remuneration from the new executive Director's previous employment may not be more generous than, and must mirror as far as possible the expected value, timing and form of delivery, the terms of the forfeited remuneration and must be in the best long-term interests of Barclays. Barclays deferral policy shall however apply as a minimum to any buy out of annual	

bonus opportunity.

Where a senior executive is promoted to the Board, his or her existing contractual commitments agreed prior to his or her appointment may still be honoured in accordance with the terms of the relevant commitment including vesting of any pre-existing deferred bonus or long-term incentive awards.

**Table of Contents****Executive Directors policy on payment for loss of office (including a takeover)**

The Committee's approach to payments in the event of termination is to take account of the individual circumstances including the reason for termination, individual performance, contractual obligations and the terms of the deferred bonus plans and long-term incentive plans in which the executive Director participates.

**Provisions relating to executive Directors termination**

Standard provision	Policy	Details
Notice periods in executive Directors service contracts	12 months notice from the Company.	Executive Directors may be required to work during the notice period or may be placed on garden leave or if not required to work the full notice period may be provided with pay in lieu of notice (subject to mitigation where relevant).
	6 months notice from the executive Director.	
Pay during notice period or payment in lieu of notice per service contracts	12 months salary payable and continuation of pension and other contractual benefits while an employee.	Payable in phased instalments (or lump sum) and subject to mitigation if paid in instalments and executive Director obtains alternative employment during the notice period or while on garden leave.



In the event of termination for gross misconduct neither notice nor payment in lieu of notice is given.

Treatment of  
Role Based Pay

Ceases to be payable from the executive Director's termination date. Therefore, RBP will be paid during any notice period and/or garden leave, but not where Barclays elects to make a payment in lieu of notice (unless otherwise required by local law).

Shares to be delivered on the next quarterly delivery date shall be pro-rated for the number of days from the start of the relevant quarter to the termination date. Where Barclays elects to terminate the employment with immediate effect by making a payment in lieu of notice, the executive Director will not receive any shares that would otherwise have accrued during the period for which the payment in lieu is made (unless required otherwise by local law).

Treatment of  
annual bonus on  
termination

No automatic entitlement to bonus on termination, but may be considered at the Committee's discretion and subject to performance measures being met and pro-rated for service. No bonus would be payable in the case of gross misconduct or resignation.

Treatment of  
unvested deferred  
bonus awards

Outstanding deferred bonus awards would lapse if the executive Director leaves by reason of resignation or termination for gross misconduct. However in the case of death or if the Director is an eligible leaver defined as leaving due to injury, disability or ill health, retirement, redundancy, the business or company which employs the executive Director ceasing to be part of the Group or in circumstances where Barclays terminates the employment (other than in cases of cause or gross misconduct), he or she would continue to be eligible to be considered for unvested portions of deferred awards, subject to the rules of the relevant plan

In an eligible leaver situation, deferred bonus awards may be considered for release in full on the scheduled release date unless the Committee determines otherwise in exceptional circumstances. After release, the awards may be subject to an additional holding period of six months.

unless the Committee determines otherwise in exceptional circumstances. Deferred awards are subject to malus provisions which enable the Committee to reduce the vesting level of deferred bonuses (including to nil).

In the event of a takeover or other major corporate event, the Committee has absolute discretion to determine whether all outstanding awards would vest early or whether they should continue in the same or revised form following the change of control. The Committee may also determine that participants may exchange existing awards for awards over shares in an acquiring company with the agreement of that company.

**Table of Contents**

[Remuneration report >](#)

Directors remuneration policy continued

**[Provisions relating to executive Directors termination continued](#)**

[Standard provision](#)

[Policy](#)

[Details](#)

[Treatment of unvested awards under the LTIP](#)

Outstanding unvested awards under the LTIP would lapse if the executive Director leaves by reason of resignation or termination for gross misconduct. However, in line with the plan rules approved by shareholders, in the case of death or if the Director is an eligible leaver defined as leaving due to injury, disability or ill health, retirement, redundancy, the business or company which employs the executive Director ceasing to be part of the Group (or for any other reason if the Committee decides at its discretion), he or she would continue to be entitled to be considered for an award. Awards are also subject to malus provisions which enable the Committee to reduce the vesting level of awards (including to nil).

In the event of a takeover or other major corporate event (but excluding an internal reorganisation of the Group), the Committee has absolute discretion to determine whether all outstanding awards vest subject to the achievement of any performance conditions. The Committee has discretion to apply a pro rata reduction to reflect the unexpired part of the vesting period. The Committee may also determine that participants may

In an eligible leaver situation, awards may be considered for release on the scheduled release date, pro rated for time and performance, subject to the Committee's discretion to determine otherwise in exceptional circumstances. After release, the shares (net of deductions for tax) are subject to an additional holding period of two years.

exchange awards for awards over shares in an acquiring company with the agreement of that company. In the event of an internal reorganisation, the Committee may determine that outstanding awards will be exchanged for equivalent awards in another company.

#### Repatriation

Except in a case of gross misconduct or resignation, where a Director has been relocated at the commencement of employment, the Company may pay for the Director's repatriation costs in line with Barclays general employee mobility policy including temporary accommodation, payment of removal costs and relocation flights. The company will pay the executive Director's tax on the relocation costs but will not tax equalise and will also not pay tax on his or her other income relating to the termination of employment.

#### Other

Except in a case of gross misconduct or resignation, the Company may pay for the executive Director's legal fees and tax advice relating to the termination of employment and provide outplacement services. The Company may pay the executive Director's tax on these particular costs.

#### Illustrative scenarios for executive Directors' remuneration

The charts below show the potential value of the current executive Directors' 2014 remuneration in three scenarios: Minimum (i.e. fixed pay), Maximum (i.e. fixed pay and the maximum variable pay that may be awarded) and Mid-point (i.e. fixed pay and 50% of the maximum variable pay that may be awarded). For the purposes of these charts, the value of benefits is based on an estimated annual value for 2014. The scenarios do not reflect share price movement between award and vesting.

A significant proportion of the potential remuneration of the executive Directors is variable and is therefore performance-related and at risk.

**Total remuneration opportunity: Group Chief Executive (£000)**

**Total remuneration opportunity: Group Finance Director (£000)**

## Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

In the above illustrative scenarios, benefits include regular contractual benefits. Additional ad hoc benefits may arise, for example, overseas relocation of executive Directors, but will always be provided in line with policy.

[108 > Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013](#)

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

**Table of Contents**

[Remuneration policy for non-executive Directors](#)

This section provides details of the remuneration policy for the Chairman and non-executive Directors.

**Remuneration policy for non-executive Directors**

**Element and purpose**

**Operation**

**Fees**

Reflect individual responsibilities and membership of Board Committees and are set to attract non-executive Directors who have relevant skills and experience to oversee the implementation of our strategy

The Chairman and Deputy Chairman are paid an all-inclusive fee for all Board responsibilities. The Chairman has a minimum time commitment equivalent to at least 80% of a full-time role. The other non-executive Directors receive a basic Board fee, with additional fees payable where individuals serve as a member or Chairman of a Committee of the Board. Fee levels for 2014 are disclosed in the table on page 119.

Fees are reviewed each year by the Board as a whole against those for non-executive Directors in companies of similar scale and complexity. Fees were last increased in May 2011.

The first £30,000 (Chairman: first £100,000) after tax and national insurance contributions of each non-executive Director's basic fee is used to purchase Barclays shares which are retained on the non-executive Director's behalf until they retire from the Board.

## Benefits

The Chairman is provided with private medical cover subject to the terms of the Barclays scheme rules from time to time, and is provided with the use of a Company vehicle and driver when required for business purposes.

For Chairman only

No other non-executive Director receives any benefits from Barclays. Non-executive Directors are not eligible to join Barclays' pension plans.

## Bonus and share plans

Non-executive Directors are not eligible to participate in Barclays cash, share or long-term incentive plans.

## Notice and termination provisions

Each non-executive Director's appointment is for an initial six year term, renewable for a single term of three years thereafter and subject to annual re-election by shareholders.

### Notice period:

Chairman: 12 months from the Company (six months from the Chairman).  
Non-executive Directors: six months from the Company (six months from the Non-executive Director).

### Termination payment policy

The Chairman's appointment may be terminated by Barclays on 12 months' notice or immediately in which case 12 months' fees and contractual benefits are payable in instalments at the times they would have been received had the appointment continued, but subject to mitigation if they were to obtain alternative employment. There are similar termination provisions for non-executive Directors based on six months' fees. No continuing payments of fees (or benefits) are due if a non-executive Director is not re-elected by shareholders at the Barclays Annual General Meeting.

In accordance with the policy table above, any new Chairman and Deputy Chairman would be paid an all-inclusive fee only and any new non-executive Director would be paid a basic fee for their appointment as a Director, plus fees

Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

for their participation on and/or chairing of any Board committees, time apportioned in the first year as necessary. No sign-on payments are offered to non-executive Directors.

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

[Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 109](#)



**Table of Contents**[Remuneration report >](#)

Directors remuneration policy continued

**Service contracts and letters of appointment**

All executive Directors have a service contract whereas all non-executive Directors have a letter of appointment. Copies of the service contracts and letters of appointment respectively are available for inspection at the Company's registered office. The dates of the current Directors' service contracts and letters of appointment are shown in the table below.

**Effective date****Chairman**

Sir David Walker	1 September 2012 (non-executive Director), 1 November 2012 (Chairman)
------------------	---

**Executive Directors**

Antony Jenkins	30 August 2012
Tushar Morzaria	15 October 2013

**Non-executive Directors**

Michael Ashley	18 September 2013
Tim Breedon	1 November 2012
Fulvio Conti	1 April 2006
Simon Fraser	10 March 2009
Reuben Jeffery III	16 July 2009
Wendy Lucas-Bull	19 September 2013
Dambisa Moyo	1 May 2010
Frits van Paasschen	1 August 2013
Sir Michael Rake	1 January 2008
Diane de Saint Victor	1 March 2013
Sir John Sunderland	1 June 2005
Stephen Thieke	7 January 2014

All Directors are put forward for re-election at each AGM, unless they have indicated that they will not seek re-election at the AGM.

### **Discretion**

In addition to the various operational discretions that the Committee can exercise in the performance of its duties (including those discretions set out in the Company's share plans), the Committee reserves the right to make either minor or administrative amendments to the policy to benefit its operation or to make more material amendments in order to comply with new laws, regulations and/or regulatory guidance. The Committee would only exercise this right if it believed it was in the best interests of the Company to do so and where it is not possible, practicable or proportionate to seek or await shareholder approval in General Meeting.

**Table of Contents**[Remuneration report >](#)**Annual report on Directors remuneration**

This section explains how our Directors remuneration policy was implemented during 2013.

**Single total figure for 2013 remuneration**

The following table shows a single total figure of remuneration in respect of qualifying service for each executive Director together with comparative figures for 2012.

**Executive Directors: Single total figure for 2013 remuneration (audited)**

	Salary		Taxable benefits		Bonus		LTIP		Pension		Total	
	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000
	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012
Antony Jenkins <sup>a</sup>	1,100	373	138	19	0	0	0	0	364	137	1,602	529
Tushar Morzaria <sup>b</sup>	171		14		1,200		0		43		1,428	
Chris Lucas <sup>c</sup>	501	800	47	34	500	0	985	643	125	200	2,158	1,677

## Notes

a Antony Jenkins joined the Board with effect from 30 August 2012.

b Tushar Morzaria joined the Board with effect from 15 October 2013.

c Chris Lucas stepped down from the Board with effect from 16 August 2013 due to ill health. Further details are disclosed on page 115.

**Additional information in respect of each element of pay for the executive Directors (audited):****Base salary**

Antony Jenkins has been paid a salary of £1,100,000 per annum as Group Chief Executive since his appointment to the role. Tushar Morzaria commenced employment on 15 October 2013 on a salary of £800,000 per annum. Chris Lucas was paid a salary of £800,000 per annum.

### **Taxable benefits**

Taxable benefits include private medical cover, life and ill health income protection, tax advice, home leave related costs, car allowance and the use of a company vehicle and driver when required for business purposes. The figure in the above table for Tushar Morzaria includes £4,576 of non-taxable relocation expenses. Further relocation expenses will be incurred for Tushar Morzaria.

### **Pension**

Executive directors are contractually entitled to cash in lieu of pension contributions which reflects market practice for senior executives in comparable roles.

### **Annual bonus**

Bonuses are earned by reference to the financial year and awarded in the following February. The Committee considered the performance of each of the executive Directors during their respective periods of office during the year. Their performance was assessed against their objectives agreed at the start of the year and comprised both Group and personal, financial and non-financial measures.

#### **(i) Shared objectives**

The executive Directors share collective responsibility for progress, as at the year end, against the Transform commitments set out at the start of 2013 and in the Rights Issue prospectus.

Objective	Targets / measures for 2013	Progress in 2013
Strategic progress: the Transform programme	<p>Performance is assessed against the Transform commitments of 2013</p> <p>Financial measures in the Transform commitments included return on equity, cost to income ratio, core capital ratio, dividend payouts and risk weighted assets.</p> <p>Non-financial measures include progress on cultural change, the development of the Balanced Scorecard and the reputation of and trust in Barclays.</p>	<p><b>Financial</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>; Underlying performance has been resilient and momentum is building</li> <li>; CRD IV Risk Weighted Assets within the £440bn target for 2015</li> <li>; Fully loaded Common Equity Tier 1 capital ratio at 9.3% is on track to meet the target of 10.5% during 2015</li> <li>; Cost reduction plans on target for expenses of £16.8bn in 2015 excluding costs to achieve Transform</li> <li>; Adverse movement in cost to income ratio in 2013 mainly as a consequence of reduced income</li> <li>; Work done in 2013 to target a 40% dividend payout ratio from 2014, achieving a payout ratio of 40-50% over time.</li> </ul> <p><b>Non-financial</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>; Barclays Purpose, Values and Behaviours published and cascaded throughout organisation, now integrated into day to day management processes. Data shows that the culture is changing at Barclays</li> <li>; Balanced Scorecard published shortly after the year end. Data shows reputation of and trust in Barclays is improving.</li> </ul>

**Table of Contents**[Remuneration report >](#)

Annual report on Directors remuneration continued

Objective	Targets / measures for 2013	Progress in 2013
2013 Financial and risk measures	The executive Directors lead delivery of overall performance measured by reference to income, profitability, risk weighted assets and return on equity	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Strength in the diversity of the Group's income, underpinned by our traditional consumer and commercial banking franchises, and growth in Equities and Investment Banking in the Investment Bank</li> <li>• But income in the Investment Bank overall was down 9% driven by a decrease in FICC</li> <li>• Adjusted profit before tax was down 32% year on year due to reduced income and costs to achieve Transform</li> <li>• Successful execution of Rights Issue</li> <li>• Strong financial fundamentals across funding and liquidity, capital, credit risk management and margins</li> <li>• Adjusted return on average shareholders' equity decreased to 4.5% principally reflecting the decrease in profit before tax.</li> </ul>

[\(ii\) Personal objectives/measures](#)**Antony Jenkins**

Objective	Targets / measures for 2013	Progress in 2013
Drive the strategic agenda	Deliver the Transform programme with the goal of making Barclays the 'Go To'	Defined the strategic vision and provided leadership driving the growing momentum in the delivery of Barclays' Transform bank strategy. The launch of the Balanced Scorecard and embedding the Barclays Purpose, Values and Behaviours are significant

achievements

Led the organisation in executing delivery of the six Transform commitments and PRA leverage expectation.

Impact and leadership	Building relationships with key stakeholders	Increased engagement and industry leadership with global regulators, industry leaders and thought leaders has led to an improved level of trust in Barclays.
-----------------------	--	--

**Tushar Morzaria**

Objective	Targets / measures for 2013	Progress in 2013
Drive the strategic agenda	Active contribution to Group Strategy discussions, lead cost management initiatives to meet target of £18.5bn in 2013 and leverage planning to achieve PRA target of 3% in June 2014	<p>Since October 2013, driven the cost reduction agenda, contributed strongly to the Quarter 3 and full year results process, and has conducted a detailed balance sheet review, specifically focused on meeting leverage ratio requirements as a priority</p> <p>Costs marginally over target and leverage target achieved six months early.</p>

Impact and leadership	Lead finance, tax and treasury functions, build relationships with ExCo and Board colleagues	Has made immediate positive impact in both the Executive Committee and Board.
-----------------------	--	---

**Chris Lucas**

Objective	Targets / measures for 2013	Progress in 2013
-----------	-----------------------------	------------------

Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

Drive the strategic agenda

Lead Transform workstreams related to CFO function, deliver STP and cost management targets

Strong communication of Transform agenda to shareholders and other external stakeholders and strong progress in Transform workstreams related to CFO function

Strong financial fundamentals across funding and liquidity, capital, credit risk management and margins.

Impact and leadership

Lead finance, tax and treasury functions, maintain strong relationships internally and externally, manage change in the Finance function

The Committee recognised 2013 achievements in driving change and leading the function, noting he is a widely respected leader both internally and externally and was highly collaborative on hiring a successor.



(iii) Individual outcomes

The Committee and Board agreed that Antony Jenkins had performed strongly in his first full year as Chief Executive while recognising that pre-tax profits had fallen in the period. However, on 3 February 2014 Antony Jenkins announced that he would decline any 2013 bonus offered to him by the Committee, citing the Rights Issue, restructuring costs and costs associated with legacy issues as his reasons.

60% of Tushar Morzaria's 2013 bonus of £1.2m will be deferred through a Share Value Plan award vesting over three years. 20% will be paid in cash and 20% in shares. All shares are subject to a six month holding period from the point of release. The bonus reflects both Tushar's performance at Barclays and his forfeited bonus opportunity when he left his previous employer to join Barclays.

The Committee decided to award Chris Lucas a 2013 bonus at a level of 40% of the maximum (the maximum being 250% of salary), pro rating the resulting amount to reflect his service during 2013. The resulting award of £500,000 will be 100% deferred through a Share Value Plan award vesting over three years, each annual release of shares being subject to an additional six month holding period.

**Table of Contents****LTIP**

The LTIP amount included in the 2013 single total figure of remuneration is the value of vesting amounts in 2013 in relation to the LTIP award granted in 2011 in respect of the financial years 2011-2013. Chris Lucas was the only executive Director participant in this cycle. Accordingly, as Antony Jenkins and Tushar Morzaria were not participants in this cycle, the LTIP figure in the single figure table is shown as zero for both of them. Vesting was dependent on the performance period ending in 2013. The performance achieved against the performance targets is shown below.

Performance measure	Weighting	Threshold	Maximum 100% vesting	Actual	% of maximum achieved
Return on Risk Weighted Assets (RoRWA)	60%	23% of award vests for average annual RoRWA of 1.0%	Average annual RoRWA of 1.5%	0.4%	0%
Loan loss rate	30%	10% of award vests for average annual loan loss rate of 95 bps	Average annual loan loss rate of 81 bps or below	71 bps	30%
Sustainability metrics	10%			0%	0%

## Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

Performance against the sustainability metrics is assessed by the Committee to determine the % of the award that may vest between 0% and 10%

The award was also subject to a discretionary underpin by which the Committee must be satisfied with the underlying financial health of the Group. The Committee was satisfied that this underpin was met, and accordingly determined that the award should vest to the extent of 30% of the maximum number of shares under the total award. The shares are scheduled to be released in March 2014.

### Chairman and non-executive Directors

Remuneration for non-executive Directors reflects their responsibility and time commitment and the level of fees paid to non-executive directors of comparable major UK companies.

### Chairman and non-executive Directors: Single total figure for 2013 fees (audited)

	Fees		Benefits		Total	
	2013 £000	2012 £000	2013 £000	2012 £000	2013 £000	2012 £000
<b>Chairman</b>						
Sir David Walker <sup>a</sup>	750	167	17	6	767	173
<b>Non-executive Directors</b>						
Michael Ashley <sup>b</sup>	39				39	
David Booth <sup>c</sup>	185	170			185	170
Tim Breedon <sup>d</sup>	183	18			183	18
Fulvio Conti	110	110			110	110
Simon Fraser	140	140			140	140
Reuben Jeffery III	124	105			124	105
Sir Andrew Likierman <sup>e</sup>	45	135			45	135
Wendy Lucas-Bull <sup>f</sup>	25				25	
Dambisa Moyo	129	120			129	120
Frits van Paasschen <sup>g</sup>	33				33	
Sir Michael Rake	220	220			220	220
Diane de Saint Victor <sup>h</sup>	90				90	
Sir John Sunderland	189	161			189	161
Alison Carnwath <sup>i</sup>		114				114
Marcus Agius <sup>j</sup>		750		1		751
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,262</b>	<b>2,210</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>2,279</b>	<b>2,217</b>

#### Notes

a Sir David Walker joined the Board as a non-executive Director with effect from 1 September 2012 and as Chairman from 1 November 2012.

## Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

- b Michael Ashley joined the Board as a non-executive Director with effect from 18 September 2013.
- c David Booth retired from the Board as a non-executive Director with effect from 31 December 2013.
- d Tim Breedon joined the Board as a non-executive Director with effect from 1 November 2012.
- e Sir Andrew Likierman retired from the Board as a non-executive Director with effect from 25 April 2013.
- f Wendy Lucas-Bull joined the Board as a non-executive Director with effect from 19 September 2013.
- g Frits van Paasschen joined the Board as a non-executive Director with effect from 1 August 2013.
- h Diane de Saint Victor joined the Board as a non-executive Director with effect from 1 March 2013.
- i Alison Carnwath resigned from the Board as a non-executive Director with effect from 24 July 2012.
- j Marcus Agius stepped down as Chairman and as a non-executive Director on 2 July 2012.

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

[Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 113](#)

**Table of Contents**[Remuneration report >](#)

Annual report on Directors remuneration continued

**Long Term Incentive Plan**

The BIS Regulations only require inclusion in the Single total figure of remuneration the value of the LTIP awards whose last year of performance ends in the relevant financial year and whose vesting outcome is known. This section sets out LTIP cycles in which the executive Directors participate, the outcome of which remains dependent on future performance and whose value could be between nil and the full amount.

**LTIP awards for 2013 performance year**

The Committee decided to make awards under the 2014-2016 LTIP cycle to both Antony Jenkins and Tushar Morzaria with a face value at grant of 400% of their respective salaries at 31 December 2013. The 2014-2016 LTIP awards will be subject to the following performance measures.

Performance measure	Weighting	Threshold	Maximum vesting
Return on Risk Weighted Assets (RoRWA)	50%	23% of award vests for average annual RoRWA of 1.08%	Average annual RoRWA of 1.52%
Loan loss rate	20%	7% of award vests for average annual loan loss rate of 70 bps	Average annual loan loss rate of 55 bps
Balanced Scorecard	30%	Performance against the Balanced Scorecard is assessed by the Committee to determine the % of the award that may vest between 0% and 30%. Each of the 5Cs in the Balanced Scorecard will have equal	

weighting. The targets within each of the 5Cs are deemed to be commercially sensitive. However retrospective disclosure of the targets and performance against them will be disclosed in the 2016 Remuneration Report subject to commercial sensitivity no longer remaining.

Straight line vesting applies between the threshold and maximum points in respect of the RoRWA and Loan loss rate measures respectively. If the Committee is satisfied with the underlying financial health of the Group based on profit before tax, depending on the extent of its satisfaction, the percentage of shares that may be considered for release by the Committee under the RoRWA measure can be increased or decreased by 10% of the total award, subject always to a maximum of 50% for the award calibrated against RoRWA.

### Outstanding LTIP awards

#### (i) LTIP awards granted during 2012

The performance measures for the awards made under the 2012-2014 LTIP cycle are shown below.

Performance measure	Weighting	Threshold	Maximum vesting
Return on Risk Weighted Assets ( RoRWA )	60%	23% of award vests for average annual RoRWA of 1.1%	Average annual RoRWA of 1.6%
Loan loss rate	30%	10% of award vests for average annual loan loss rate of 93 bps	Average annual loan loss rate of 70 bps or below
Citizenship metrics	10%	Performance against the Barclays Citizenship strategy is assessed by the Committee to determine the percentage of the award that may vest between 0% and 10%	

Straight line vesting applies between the threshold and maximum points in respect of the RoRWA and Loan loss rate measures respectively. Following the determination of the RoRWA vesting percentage, if the Committee is satisfied

## Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

with the underlying financial health of the Group based on cumulative profit before tax excluding own credit, it may at its discretion, adjust the percentage of award by up to five vesting points (subject to the maximum of 60% for the award calibrated against RoRWA). Actual performance to be determined on the scheduled release date in May 2015.

## (ii) LTIP awards granted during 2013

Awards were made in March 2013 under the 2013-2015 LTIP cycle in accordance with our remuneration policy to the executive Directors.

	% of salary	Number of shares	Face value at grant	Performance period
Antony Jenkins <sup>a</sup>	400%	1,545,995	£4,400,000	2013-2015
Chris Lucas <sup>b</sup>	400%	1,124,360	£3,200,000	

## Notes

a The maximum number of shares awarded to Antony Jenkins includes 117,888 shares as a Rights Issue adjustment. The original number of shares granted on 18 March 2013 was 1,428,107 at £3.08 per share.

b The maximum number of shares awarded to Chirs Lucas includes 85,737 shares as a Rights Issue adjustment. The original number of shares granted on 18 March 2013 was 1,038,623 shares at £3.08 per share.



**Table of Contents**

The performance measures for the 2013-2015 LTIP awards are as follows:

Performance measure	Weighting	Threshold	Maximum vesting
Return on Risk Weighted Assets (RoRWA)	50%	13% of award vests for average annual RoRWA of 1.1%	Average annual RoRWA of 1.6%
Loan loss rate	30%	10% of award vests for average annual loan loss rate of 75 bps	Average annual loan loss rate of 60 bps or below
Balanced Scorecard	20%	Performance against the Balanced Scorecard is assessed by the Committee to determine the percentage of the award that may vest between 0% and 20%. Each of the 5Cs in the Balanced Scorecard has equal weighting. The targets within each of the 5Cs are deemed to be commercially sensitive. However, retrospective disclosure of the targets and performance against them will be disclosed in the 2015 Remuneration Report subject to commercial sensitivity no longer remaining.	

Straight line vesting applies between the threshold and maximum points in respect of the RoRWA and Loan loss rate measures respectively. If the Committee is satisfied with the underlying financial health of the Group based on profit before tax, depending on the extent of its satisfaction, the percentage of Barclays shares that may be considered for release by the Committee under the RoRWA measure can be increased or decreased by 10% of the total award,

subject always to a maximum of 50% of the award. Actual performance to be determined on the scheduled release date in March 2016.

### Total pension entitlements

Antony Jenkins left the UK pension scheme in April 2012, and started receiving cash in lieu of pension. He has benefits in both the final salary 1964 section and in the cash balance Afterwork section. The accrued pension shown below relates to his 1964 section pension only. The other pension entries relate to his benefits in both sections.

Chris Lucas ceased to be an executive Director on 16 August 2013. The 2013 cash in lieu of pension shown below is for the period from 1 January 2013 to 16 August 2013.

Tushar Morzaria was appointed as an executive Director on 15 October 2013. The cash in lieu of pension shown below is for the period 15 October 2013 to 31 December 2013.

### Executive Directors pension (audited)

	Accrued pension at 31 December 2013 £000	Increase in value of accrued pension over net of inflation £000	Transfer value of accrued pension £000	Normal retirement date	Pension value in 2013 from DB Scheme £000	2013 cash in lieu of pension £000	Total £000
Antony Jenkins	3	1	7	11 July 2021	1	363	364
Tushar Morzaria						43	43
Chris Lucas						125	125

### Payments to the former Group Chief Executive Bob Diamond

During 2013, Bob Diamond drew a benefit under the Thrift Restoration Plan, a US retirement arrangement of which he ceased to be an active member as at 31 December 2010. The amount of the benefit that relates to Bob Diamond's service as an executive Director of Barclays was \$192,298.

Bob Diamond was tax equalised on tax above the UK tax rate where that could not be offset by a double tax treaty. On receipt of confirmation of the liability in 2013, an additional tax equalisation payment of £80,000 (above that amount disclosed in the 2012 Remuneration Report) was made in respect of accommodation for the period from 1 January 2012 to 3 July 2012. He is not entitled to tax equalisation for income in respect of any period after 3 July 2012.

### Payments to the former Group Finance Director Chris Lucas

Chris Lucas stepped down as Group Finance Director and from the Board on 16 August 2013 due to ill health.

In line with his contract of employment, Chris Lucas received contractual sick pay (100% of base salary), pension allowance and other benefits until 15 February 2014. The other benefits include private medical, life and ill health protection, Executive Income Protection Plan (EIPP), tax advice, car allowance and the use of a company vehicle and driver when required for business purposes. His contractual sick pay, pension allowance, car allowance and eligibility to payments under the Barclays Ill Health Income Plan ceased on 15 February 2014.

## Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

Under the terms of the EIPP, Chris Lucas became eligible to receive income protection payments because he was no longer able to carry out his role due to ill health.

From 16 February 2014, Chris Lucas is receiving payments under the EIPP. The EIPP payments will amount to 75% of his current base salary, being an annual payment of £600,000 per annum, and will be reviewed annually in line with inflation up to a maximum increase of 5% per annum. He will continue to be eligible for private medical insurance and life cover while he is receiving the EIPP payments. No salary, bonuses or other allowances are payable to him during this time. He will also have access to office space and use of a chauffeur, as required, for the purposes of the handover of his duties.

The income protection payments may be made to Chris Lucas for each year in which he is unable to undertake his role due to ill health or until he reaches 65 if earlier.

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

[Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 115](#)

## **Table of Contents**

### [Remuneration report >](#)

Annual report on Directors remuneration continued

On cessation of EIPP payments, Chris Lucas is not entitled to notice or a payment in lieu of notice or any other compensation in respect of the termination of his employment.

### **Payments to the former Chairman Marcus Agius**

Marcus Agius was appointed as a senior adviser providing corporate advisory support to Barclays Corporate and Investment Banking with effect from 1 November 2012. He is entitled to a fee for this role at the rate of £175,000 per annum. This fee was not paid for the period of 1 November 2012 to 1 January 2013. He is also eligible for medical cover. The appointment was reviewed after 12 months to determine the value provided from the arrangement and as a result was extended until 31 March 2014 when the agreement will end.

### **Executive Directors shareholdings and share interests**

The chart below shows the value of Barclays shares held beneficially by Antony Jenkins and Tushar Morzaria as at 28 February 2014 that count towards the minimum shareholding requirement of as a minimum Barclays shares worth four times salary. Executive Directors have five years from the later of (i) 2013 and (ii) date of appointment to meet this requirement. At close of business on 28 February 2014, the market value of Barclays ordinary shares was £2.52.

#### **Antony Jenkins (£000)**

#### **Tushar Morzaria (£000)**

Tushar Morzaria joined Barclays in October 2013. He will be building up to the shareholding requirement as early as possible as his share awards vest (net of shares sold to cover any income tax and social security). In addition, his 2014 LTIP and Share Value Plan (SVP) share awards ensure alignment with shareholders.

The table below shows shares owned beneficially by all the Directors and shares over which executive Directors hold awards which are subject to either deferral terms or performance conditions. The shares shown below that are subject to performance conditions are based on the maximum number of shares that may be released.

### **Interests in Barclays PLC shares (audited)**

	Owned outright	Unvested		Total as at 31 December 2013 (or date	Total as at 28 February 2014
		Subject to performance measures	Not subject to performance measures	of retirement from the Board, if earlier)	
<b>Executive Directors</b>					
Antony Jenkins	3,065,675	4,056,492	2,979,158	10,101,325	10,101,325
Tushar Morzaria	10,000		1,089,495	1,099,495	1,099,495
Chris Lucas <sup>a</sup>	1,155,178	5,259,050	677,887	7,092,115	
<b>Chairman</b>					
Sir David Walker	115,401			115,401	124,992
<b>Non-Executive Directors</b>					
Michael Ashley	11,049			11,049	13,986
David Booth <sup>b</sup>	122,310			122,310	
Tim Breedon	6,679			6,679	9,737
Fulvio Conti	80,834			80,834	84,586
Simon Fraser	116,744			116,744	120,041
Reuben Jeffery III	165,822			165,822	170,370
Sir Andrew Likierman <sup>c</sup>	42,835			42,835	
Wendy Lucas-Bull	2,000			2,000	4,793
Dambisa Moyo	27,814			27,814	31,112
Frits van Paasschen	2,508			2,508	6,355
Sir Michael Rake	60,556			60,556	63,960
Diane de Saint Victor	4,947			4,947	8,473
Sir John Sunderland	126,997			126,997	130,435
Stephen Thieke <sup>d</sup>					12,000

## Notes

a Chris Lucas stepped down from the Board with effect from 16 August 2013.

b David Booth retired from the Board with effect from 31 December 2013.

c Sir Andrew Likierman retired from the Board with effect from 25 April 2013.

d Stephen Thieke joined the Board with effect from 7 January 2014.

**Table of Contents****Performance graph and table**

The performance graph below illustrates the performance of Barclays over the past five financial years from 2009 to 2013 in terms of total shareholder return compared with that of the companies comprising the FTSE 100 index. The index has been selected because it represents a cross-section of leading UK companies.

**Total shareholder return £**

Year ended 31 December

In addition, the table below provides a five-year summary of the total remuneration of the Group Chief Executive over the same period. For the purpose of calculating the value of the remuneration of the Group Chief Executive, data has been collated on a basis consistent with the single figure methodology.

Year	2009	2010	2011	2012	2012	2013
Group Chief Executive	John Varley	John Varley	Bob Diamond	Bob Diamond	Anthony Jenkins	Anthony Jenkins
Group Chief Executive single figure						
of total remuneration £000s	2,050	4,567	11,070 <sup>c</sup>	1,892	529	1,602
Annual bonus against maximum opportunity %	0%	100%	80%	0%	0%	0%
Long-term incentive vesting						
against maximum opportunity %	50%	16%	N/A <sup>d</sup>	0%	N/A <sup>d</sup>	N/A <sup>d</sup>

## Notes

a Bob Diamond left the Board on 3 July 2012.

b Antony Jenkins became Group Chief Executive on 30 August 2012.

c Number in the single figure table above for 2011 is inclusive of £5,745k tax equalisation as set out in the 2011 Remuneration Report. He was tax equalised on tax above the UK rate where that could not be offset by a double tax treaty.

d Not a participant in a long-term incentive award which vested in the period.

**Percentage change in Group Chief Executive's remuneration**

## Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

The table below shows how the percentage change in the Group Chief Executive's salary, benefits and bonus between 2012 and 2013 compares with the percentage change in the average of each of those components of pay for United Kingdom based employees.

	Salary	Benefit	Annual bonus
Antony Jenkins <sup>a</sup>	No Change	No Change	No Change
Average based on UK employees	3.6% up	No Change	7.3% up

a Antony Jenkins joined the Board with effect from 30 August 2012. The year on year change has been calculated on an annualised basis.

We have chosen UK employees as the comparator group as it is the most representative group for pay structure comparisons.

### Relative importance of spend on pay

A year on year comparison of the relative importance of pay and distributions to shareholders is shown below. 2013 Group compensation costs have reduced 1% and dividends to shareholders have increased 17% from 2012.

[Group compensation costs \(£m\)](#)

[Dividends to shareholders \(£m\)](#)

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

[Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 117](#)



**Table of Contents**[Remuneration report >](#)

Annual report on Directors remuneration continued

[Statement of implementation of remuneration policy in 2014](#)

The executive Directors package for 2014, in line with the Directors remuneration policy, will be implemented with effect from the 2014 AGM as follows:

	<a href="#">Antony Jenkins</a>	<a href="#">Tushar Morzaria</a>	<a href="#">Comments</a>
Base Salary	£1,100,000	£800,000	No change from 2013.
Role Based Pay	£950,000	£750,000	Paid quarterly in shares subject to a holding period with restrictions lifting over five years.
Pension	33% of salary	25% of salary	Fixed cash allowance in lieu of participation in pension plan
Maximum bonus	80% of fixed pay	80% of fixed pay	Award subject to performance over the year and delivered in cash and/or shares, a proportion of which are deferred (at least the amount required by the PRA Remuneration Code) over three years with one-third vesting each year

Maximum LTIP	120% of fixed pay	120% of fixed pay	Delivered in shares and vesting dependent on performance over a three year period and subject to a further two year holding period after vesting
--------------	-------------------	-------------------	--

### Annual bonus

The annual bonus opportunity will be consistent with the policy detailed in the remuneration policy section of this report in terms of the maximum bonus opportunity, deferral and malus provisions. The measures have been selected to reflect a range of financial and non-financial goals that support the key strategic objectives of the Company. The performance measures and weightings are shown below.

Financial (50% weighting)	;	Business performance and Income generation
	;	Cost management
	;	Balance sheet
	;	Leverage
	;	Capital management
	;	Progress on the Transform programme financial commitments will continue to be assessed in 2014.

## Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

(35% weighting)

Progress towards the five year Balanced Scorecard targets will be assessed by the Committee at the year end. Each of the 5Cs in the Balanced Scorecard will have equal weighting. The Balanced Scorecard is set out on page 10.

Personal objectives (15% weighting)

Antony Jenkins 2014 personal objectives include:

- Delivery of the Transform commitments
- Further strengthening the control environment
- Continuing to embed our Purpose, Values and Behaviours and rebuilding Barclays reputation
- Continuing to strengthen the Executive Committee and Senior Leadership Group.

Personal objectives for each executive Director will be aligned to Barclays Purpose, Values and Behaviours and to the 5Cs of the Balanced Scorecard Customers & Clients, Colleagues, Citizenship, Conduct and Company.

Tushar Morzaria s 2014 personal objectives include:

- Effective management of the finance, tax and treasury functions including risk and control
- Management of external relationships and reputation
- Increased functionalisation and improved efficiency of functions.

Detailed calibration of the targets is commercially sensitive and it is not appropriate to disclose this information externally. Disclosure of achievement against the targets will be made in the 2014 annual report subject to the sensitivity no longer remaining.



**Table of Contents****Non-executive Director fees**

The fees for the Chairman and non-executive Directors are shown below.

	1 January 2014 £000	1 January 2013 £000	Percentage increase
Chairman	750	750	0
Deputy Chairman	250		
Board member	80	80	0
<b>Additional responsibilities</b>			
Senior Independent Director	30	30	0
Chairman of Board Audit or Board Remuneration Committee	70	70	0
Chairman of Board Financial Risk Committee	60	60	0
Membership of Board Audit or Board Remuneration Committee	30	30	0
Membership of Board Conduct, Reputation and Operational Risk Committee	25	25	0
Membership of Board Financial Risk Committee	25	25	0
Membership of Board Corporate Governance and Nominations Committee	15	15	0

The Chairman does not receive any other fees in addition to the Chairman fee.

Upon his appointment as Deputy Chairman in 2012, Sir Michael Rake opted to take his non-executive basic fee, Senior Independent Director fee and individual Committee fees. However, with changes in his Committee responsibilities, it has been agreed that with effect from 1 January 2014, Sir Michael Rake will be paid the Deputy Chairman's fee of £250,000. He does not receive any other fees.

## **Table of Contents**

### [Remuneration report >](#)

Annual report on Directors remuneration continued

### [Barclays Board Remuneration Committee](#)

The Board Remuneration Committee is responsible for overseeing Barclays remuneration as described in more detail below.

### **Terms of Reference**

The principal purposes of the Committee are to:

- Set the over-arching principles and parameters of Remuneration Policy across the Group
- Consider and approve the remuneration arrangements of the Chairman, executive Directors, other senior executives and those employees, including Code Staff, whose total remuneration exceeds an amount determined by the Committee from time to time (currently remuneration of £1m or more)

• Exercise oversight for remuneration issues.

The Committee considers and approves buy outs of forfeited rights for new hires of £1m or more, and packages on termination where the total value is £1m or more. It reviews the policy relating to all remuneration plans including pensions, and considers and approves policies to promote the alignment of the interests of shareholders and employees. It is also responsible for the selection and appointment of remuneration consultants.

The Terms of Reference are at [www.group.barclays.com/about-barclays/about-us/the-board-committees](http://www.group.barclays.com/about-barclays/about-us/the-board-committees) or from the Company Secretary on request.

### **Chairman and members**

The Chairman and members of the Committee are as follows:

- Sir John Sunderland, Committee member since 1 July 2005, Committee Chairman since 24 July 2012
- Sir David Walker, Committee member since 1 September 2012

; Simon Fraser, Committee member since 1 May 2009

; David Booth, Committee member from 1 July 2012 to 31 December 2013

; Tim Breedon, Committee member since 1 December 2012

; Stephen Thieke, Committee member since 6 February 2014

Sir David Walker was considered independent on appointment as Board Chairman. All other current members are considered independent by the Board.

### Remuneration Committee attendance in 2013

	Number of meetings eligible to attend	Number of meetings attended
Sir John Sunderland	8	8
Sir David Walker	8	8
Simon Fraser	8	8
David Booth	8	8
Tim Breedon	8	8

The performance of the Committee is reviewed each year as part of the Board Effectiveness Review. The 2013 review concluded that the Committee continues to operate effectively. There was a marginally higher overall score in 2013 than for 2012 and the report concluded that there is good evidence that the quality of constructive debate and challenge within the Committee has improved over the last twelve months.

### Advisers to the Remuneration Committee

The Committee received advice during 2013 from Towers Watson. Towers Watson were appointed by the Committee following a market review. The advice provided by Towers Watson to the Committee is independent. Towers Watson is a signatory to, and its continuing appointment as adviser to the Committee is conditional on adherence to, the voluntary UK Code of Conduct for executive remuneration consultants.

Work undertaken by Towers Watson includes advising the Committee and providing the latest market data on compensation and trends when considering incentive levels and remuneration packages. A representative from Towers Watson attends Committee meetings, when requested by the Committee. Towers Watson is available to advise and meet with the Committee members separate from management. Towers Watson also provides remuneration data to the Group and pensions advice and administration services to the Barclays Bank UK Retirement Fund. Fees are charged on a time/cost basis and Towers Watson were paid a total of £255,000 (excluding VAT) in fees for work in 2013 relating to the executive Directors, either exclusively or along with other employees within the Committee's Terms of Reference.

The Committee reviewed the objectivity and independence of the advice it receives from Towers Watson at a private meeting in July 2013. It is satisfied that Towers Watson is providing robust and professional advice.

## Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

In the course of its deliberations, the Committee considers the views of the Group Chief Executive, Group Human Resources Director and the Reward and Performance Director. The Group Finance Director and Chief Risk Officer provide regular updates on Group and business financial performance and the Group's risk profile respectively.

No Barclays employee or Director participates in discussions or decisions of the Committee relating to his or her own remuneration. No other advisers provided significant services to the Committee in the year.

[120 > Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013](#)

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)



**Table of Contents****Remuneration Committee activities in 2013**

The following provides a summary of the Committee's activities during 2013 and during the February 2014 meeting when 2013 remuneration decisions were finalised.

Meeting	Fixed pay issues	Variable pay issues	Governance, risk and other matters
January 2013	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>; Approved executive Directors' and senior executive 2013 salary</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>; Final 2012 incentive funding</li> <li>; Approved proposals for executive Director and senior executive 2012 bonuses and 2013 LTIP awards</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>; Risk adjustment and malus review</li> <li>; Review reward communications strategy</li> <li>; Barclays Transform project and remuneration</li> <li>; Finance and Risk update</li> </ul>
February 2013	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>; Executive Director retirement arrangements</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>; 2013 LTIP</li> <li>; Long-term compensation projections</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>; Risk adjustment and malus review</li> <li>; Approved 2012 remuneration report</li> <li>; Transform project reward update</li> </ul>

April 2013			; Consider and approve remuneration arrangements in respect of Group Executive Committee changes
May 2013	; Initial consideration of possible approaches to CRD IV compliance	; Remuneration trend analysis ; Review of incentives	; CRD IV regulation ; Control framework for hiring, exit and retention of employees
July 2013	; Approaches to CRD IV compliance	; 2013 incentive projections ; Barclays balanced scorecard ; Group Executive Committee remuneration ; Barclays LTIP review ; Review of regulation and formulaic incentives	; Review of Committee effectiveness and terms of reference ; Review Committee adviser independence ; CRD IV regulation ; Control framework for hiring, exit and retention of employees ; Finance and Risk update
September 2013	; Approach to CRD IV involving new fixed pay Role Based Pay	; Incentive funding and total compensation expenditure	; Malus review ; CRD IV regulation
October 2013	; Update on pension ; CRD IV update	; 2013 incentive funding projections	; Annual review of appointment of external Committee adviser

- ; Risk adjustment and malus review
- ; Control framework for hiring, exit and retention of employees
- ; Finance and Risk update

December 2013

- ; CRD IV update on implementation of Role Based Pay
- ; Initial considerations of executive Directors and senior executive 2014 fixed pay
- ; 2013 incentive funding proposals and initial senior executive individual proposals
- ; 2014 LTIP design and performance measures
- ; Risk adjustment and malus review
- ; Review draft forward looking Directors remuneration policy and 2013 remuneration report
- ; Review implementation of Salz review remuneration recommendations
- ; Control framework for hiring, exit and retention of employees
- ; Finance and Risk update

February 2014

- ; Approved executive Directors and senior executive 2014 fixed pay
- ; Final 2013 incentive funding
- ; Approved proposals for executive Director and senior executive 2013 bonuses and 2014 LTIP awards
- ; Risk adjustment and malus review
- ; Approved 2013 remuneration report
- ; Review reward communications strategy
- ; Finance and Risk update

Regular items: market and stakeholder updates including PRA/FCA, US Federal Reserve and other regulatory matters; LTIP performance updates; hiring and leaver updates.

**Statement of voting at Annual General Meeting**

## Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

At the last Annual General Meeting the voting results on the remuneration resolution To approve the Directors Remuneration Report for the year ended 31st December 2012 were as follows:

(i) the total number of votes cast in relation to the resolution was 8,220,989,069 for and 456,324,669 against ;

(ii) the percentage for was 94.74% and the percentage against was 5.26%; and

(iii) the number of abstentions was 114,117,315.

The disclosure in the 2014 remuneration report will include details of the binding shareholder vote on Directors remuneration policy.

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

[Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 121](#)

**Table of Contents**[Remuneration report >](#)**Additional remuneration disclosures**

This section contains voluntary disclosures that the Company has agreed with the UK Government that it will make about levels of remuneration for our eight most highly paid senior executive officers. It also contains additional remuneration disclosures (including voluntary disclosures) about levels of remuneration of employees in the Barclays Group and Barclays Code Staff.

**2013 total remuneration of the eight highest paid senior executive officers below Board level**

The table below shows remuneration for the eight highest paid senior executive officers below Board level who were Key Management Personnel in 2013.

**Eight highest paid senior executive officers below Board level**

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
	2013	2013	2013	2013	2013	2013	2013	2013
	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000
Salary	600	513	513	125	446	450	445	384
Current year cash bonus	550	450	420	440	295	0	0	243
Current year share bonus	550	450	420	440	295	0	0	243
Deferred cash bonus	825	675	630	660	442	725	726	365
Deferred share bonus	825	675	630	660	442	725	726	365
<b>Total remuneration</b>	<b>3,350</b>	<b>2,763</b>	<b>2,613</b>	<b>2,325</b>	<b>1,920</b>	<b>1,900</b>	<b>1,897</b>	<b>1,600</b>

**Total remuneration of the employees in the Barclays Group**

The table below shows the number of employees in the Barclays Group in 2012 and 2013 in bands by reference to total remuneration. Total remuneration comprises salary, bonus and the value at award of LTIP awards.

**Total remuneration of the employees in the Barclays Group**

Remuneration band	Number of employees	
	2013	2012
£0 to £25,000	74,600	71,581
£25,001 to £50,000	36,886	37,300
£50,001 to £100,000	23,381	22,766
£100,001 to £250,000	10,371	10,158
£250,001 to £500,000	2,507	2,440
£500,001 to £1,000,000	962	910
£1,000,001 to £2,500,000	419	373
£2,500,001 to £5,000,000	54	50
Above £5m	8	5

Barclays is a global business. Of those employees earning above £1m detailed in the table above, 57% are based in the US, 27% in the UK, and 16% in the Rest of World.

**Table of Contents****Code Staff remuneration**

Code Staff are the members of the Barclays PLC Board and Barclays employees whose professional activities could have a material impact on the Group's risk profile. A total of 530 individuals were Code Staff in 2013 (2012: 393).

**Code Staff aggregate 2013 remuneration by business****£m**

Investment Bank	Corporate Banking	Wealth and Investment Management	Retail Banking UK and Europe	Barclaycard	Barclays Africa	Group Functions
512	11	70	8	7	13	132

**Code Staff aggregate 2013 remuneration by remuneration type****£m**

	Senior management	Other Code Staff
Salary	13	142
Current year cash bonus	3	51
Current year share bonus	3	23
Deferred cash bonus	7	251
Deferred share bonus	8	247
<b>Total</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>714</b>
Long-term incentive award (outcome contingent on future performance)	3	2

Value of long-term incentive awards is the value at award.

**Code Staff deferred remuneration****£m**

	Senior management	Other Code Staff
<b>Deferred unvested remuneration outstanding at 31 December 2012</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>1,146</b>
Impact of changes in Code Staff population including leavers during 2012 and joiners in 2013	163	632
<b>Deferred unvested remuneration outstanding at 1 January 2013</b>	<b>263</b>	<b>1,778</b>
Deferred remuneration awarded in 2013	77	680
Deferred remuneration reduced in 2013 through performance adjustments	(23)	(119)
Deferred remuneration vested in 2013	(107)	(847)
<b>Deferred unvested remuneration outstanding at 31 December 2013</b>	<b>210</b>	<b>1,492</b>

### Code Staff joining and severance payments

	Senior management	Other Code Staff	£m
Total sign-on awards	0	0	
Total buy-out awards	0	0	
Total severance awards (18 individuals; highest individual award £3m)	0	11	

Senior management means members of the Barclays PLC Board and senior managers as defined in the PRA Remuneration Code. Code Staff are subject to a minimum shareholding guideline.



**Table of Contents**[Remuneration report >](#)

Additional remuneration disclosures continued &gt;

**Schedules to the Annual Report****Outstanding share plan and long-term incentive plan awards (audited)**

	Number of shares under award/ at 1 January 2013 (maximum)	Number of shares awarded in year (maximum)	Rights Issue Adjustment (maximum)	Market price on award date	Weighted average exercise price
<b>Antony Jenkins</b>					
Executive Share Award Scheme 2007	7,052			£4.14	
Barclays LTIP 2012-2014	1,052,347		86,870	£1.81	
Barclays LTIP 2012-2014	1,266,715		104,565	£1.86	
Barclays LTIP 2013-2015		1,428,107	117,888	£3.06	
Share Value Plan 2010	412,141			£3.55	
Share Value Plan 2011	285,370		11,778	£2.88	
Share Value Plan 2012	1,796,137		50,690	£2.53	
Share Value Plan 2012	1,995,237		164,704	£1.86	
<b>Tushar Morzaria</b>					
Share Value Plan 2013		1,089,495		£2.51	
<b>Chris Lucas</b>					
PSP 2010-2012	927,318			£3.55	
Sharesave 2007	3,735		308		£4.35
Sharesave 2012	6,250		515		£1.33
Executive Share Award Scheme 2007	44,006			£4.14	
Executive Share Award Scheme 2009	602,756		50,071	£3.55	

## Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

Share Value Plan 2011	130,293	5,377	£2.76
Share Value Plan 2012	736,877	50,690	£2.53
Barclays LTIP 2011-2013	1,447,701	119,506	£2.76
Barclays LTIP 2012-2014	2,158,661	178,195	£1.81
Barclays LTIP 2013-2015	1,038,623	85,737	£3.06

The interests shown in the table above are the maximum number of Barclays shares that may be received under each plan. Executive Directors do not pay for any share plan or long-term incentive plan awards (other than Sharesave). Numbers shown for Executive Share Award Scheme (ESAS) represent provisional allocations that have been awarded and may also include shares under option as at 31 December 2013. Antony Jenkins received 834 dividend shares from ESAS awards released in 2013 and 68,896 dividend shares from SVP awards released in 2013 (market price on release date was £3.06).

Chris Lucas received 15,369 dividend shares from the PSP award released in 2013 (market price on release date was £3.06) and 6,492 dividend shares from the SVP award released in 2013 (market price on release date was £3.06 and £3.07). With the exception of Chris Lucas SVP 2012 award, SVP awards do not have performance conditions as the awards are deferred share bonuses. Vesting of SVP awards is dependent on future service and subject to malus conditions. The vesting of 50% of each tranche of Chris Lucas SVP 2012 award is subject to the condition that on vesting return on equity exceeds cost of equity. The vesting of the second and third tranches of Chris Lucas SVP 2012 award are also subject to the Committee being satisfied with progress against the PRA's capital targets. The highest and lowest Barclays share prices during 2013 were £3.31 and £2.49 respectively; the share price on 31 December 2013 was £2.72. In relation to Chris Lucas PSP 2010-2012 award, the RoRWA performance measure was not met and the TSR performance measure was partially met. The Committee also considered the underpin and was satisfied with the underlying health of the Group. As a result, the award vested in March 2013 at 0.7 times the initial award (maximum was three times). SVP 2013 granted to Tushar Morzaria was granted in respect of awards he forfeited as a result of accepting employment at Barclays. This award was made in line with the Barclays recruitment policy.

**Outstanding Contingent Capital Plan awards and Cash Value Plan awards (audited)**

	Value under award at 1 January 2013 (maximum) (£000)	Value awarded in year (maximum) (£000)	Value paid in year (£000)	Value lapsed in year (£000)	Value under award at 31 December 2013 (maximum) (£000)	First scheduled release date	Last scheduled release date
<b>Antony Jenkins</b>							
Contingent Capital Plan 2011	900		450		450	15/03/2012	15/03/2014
Cash Value Plan 2012	2,250		750		1,500	18/03/2013	16/03/2015
<b>Chris Lucas</b>							
Contingent Capital Plan 2011	360		180		180	23/05/2012	23/05/2014

Deferred cash bonuses granted under CCP in 2011 and CVP in 2012 are dependent on future service and vest subject to malus conditions. The vesting of CCP awards is subject to the condition that the Core Tier 1 ratio is equal to or exceeds 7%. On the vesting of CCP awards, a coupon may be added which for the awards shown is 7% on the award amount (on an annualised and non-compounded basis). In addition to the values paid in year shown in the table above, a coupon of 7% was paid on the CCP amounts paid in 2013. Executive Directors do not pay for CCP awards. On the vesting of CVP awards, a service credit may be added on the third and final vesting amount which for the award shown is 10% on the award amount. Antony Jenkins received the CVP award as part of his 2011 bonus, which was awarded in respect of performance in his role as CEO of Retail and Business Banking. He did not pay for the award.

**Table of Contents**

Number of shares released/exercised	Market price on date of release/exercise	Number of shares lapses in 2013	Number of shares awarded/option (maximum)	Number of shares released/exercised/option	Value of exercise (£000)	End of three-year performance period, or first exercise/scheduled release date	Last exercise/scheduled release date
7,052	£3.06				22		
			1,139,217			31/12/2014	25/05/2015
			1,371,280			31/12/2014	25/05/2015
			1,545,995			31/12/2015	07/03/2016
412,141	£3.06				1,260		
142,685	£3.06		154,463		436	12/03/2012	15/03/2014
1,182,073	£3.06		664,754		3,614	18/03/2013	16/03/2015
			2,159,941			17/03/2014	16/03/2015
				1,089,495		17/03/2014	05/03/2018
209,298	£3.06	718,020			640		
			4,043			01/11/2014	30/04/2015
			6,765			01/11/2015	30/04/2016
44,006	£3.06				135		
602,634	£2.59		50,193		1,561	18/03/2013	16/03/2015
65,146	£3.07		70,524		200	08/05/2012	06/05/2014
122,813	£3.06		664,754		375	18/03/2013	16/03/2015
			1,567,207			31/12/2013	06/05/2014
			2,336,856			31/12/2014	25/05/2015
			1,124,360			31/12/2015	07/03/2016

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

[Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 125](#)

## **Table of Contents**

### **Implementation of the Salz Review Recommendations**

#### **Introduction**

The Board commissioned a review of Barclays' business practices in July 2012, led by Sir Anthony Salz, with a view to providing a comprehensive roadmap for cultural change. Sir Anthony Salz published his report in April 2013, and the Board intends to implement all 34 recommendations. To date we are pleased with progress made, although our work is ongoing.

The Salz recommendations have been fully integrated into the Transform programme, our long-term plan to transform the culture and performance of Barclays, with the goal of becoming the 'Go-To' bank. The primary tool against which we will report progress annually and measure success is our Balanced Scorecard, which sets out a clear description of what we want Barclays to be, out to 2018. The organisation is aligned to the Balanced Scorecard and to our Purpose, Values and Behaviours through the new performance management framework.

Below we have summarised progress against the Review's 34 recommendations. This update is not intended to be exhaustive and the Salz Review Report which details all the recommendations in full can be found on our website. Core elements of our Transform Programme, which address many of the recommendations, are described in further detail elsewhere in this report.

We are confident that implementing the Review's recommendations will help us become the 'Go-To' bank for all our stakeholders.

#### **1 Regulatory and business standards**

To ensure we work collaboratively and openly with regulators, we have been building the necessary culture, resources, capabilities and processes. We have developed a centralised database to facilitate internal and external coordination of regulatory interactions. Furthermore, all senior colleagues who work with regulators have undergone training to ensure communication with regulators is clear and transparent. Our performance and reward systems now assess colleagues on compliance with the spirit as well as the letter of the law and regulation. Since his appointment, Sir David Walker as Chairman has been obtaining feedback from regulators and other public authorities on an on-going basis.

#### **2 Setting high standards**

The Balanced Scorecard is the centrepiece of our plan alongside our Purpose, Values and Behaviours to embed the right culture in our business and become the 'Go-To' bank. Both are embedded in the new performance management

framework and drive variable compensation. From mid-year 2013, Senior Leaders received separate performance ratings in relation to both their objectives ( what they delivered) and our values ( how they delivered). This now applied to Managing Directors (MDs) as of the 2013 year-end and will to all colleagues from January 2014.

### 3 Customers

The Balanced Scorecard (see page 10) is cascaded into business unit and function scorecards, which define targets for meeting the needs and expectations of customers and of our other stakeholders. We have also launched initiatives that capture and build on customer feedback such as YourBank an online forum in the UK that allows people to submit, share and vote on ideas for improving Barclays everyday banking services. To date we have received more than 3,500 ideas. Internally, we have enriched our complaints reporting to management and will provide regular reports to the Board. Our external complaints reporting has been enhanced in the UK with reporting that goes beyond the FCA requirements as well as externally published Spotlight reports that focus on our response to key customer complaints.

## **Table of Contents**

### **4 Bringing the values to life**

In 2013, more than 98% of colleagues attended Values and Behaviours engagement sessions. In parallel, we have established a comprehensive leadership development curriculum which integrates Values and Behaviours into our learning programmes for Managing Directors and all colleagues. For more detail see the People section on pages 86 to 88. Further, we have trained 1,500 Values Leaders to champion our Values and Behaviours across the bank. In July, we hosted an online values forum, providing a direct opportunity for colleagues to voice their opinion – over 29,000 posts were generated.

### **5 Monitoring progress**

The Balanced Scorecard defines what we need to achieve over the next five years to be well on the way to becoming the Go-To bank. This provides the framework and starting point for all colleagues when they set their individual performance objectives, and colleagues will also be assessed against how they live the values and behaviours. To inform our broader understanding of areas where we can make improvements we are using customer, colleague and other stakeholders surveys.

### **6 Code of conduct**

We published our global Code of Conduct – The Barclays Way – in October 2013. It outlines our way of working across our business globally and will be refreshed annually. As of February 2014 more than 137,000 colleagues had attested to having read and understood the Code and colleagues will be required to re-attest annually.

### **7 Board experience**

Our stated objective is that approximately 50% of Non-Executive Directors (NEDs) have financial services experience. Furthermore, we now require that 25-33% of that 50% have directly relevant banking experience and this has been a key factor for the appointment of new NEDs. Over the last year we have appointed Wendy Lucas Bull, Mike Ashley and Stephen Thieke, all of whom have significant directly relevant banking experience, from a variety of sources. For further detail see pages 83 to 85.

### **8 Non-Executive Directors**

We updated the Charters of Expectations and NED appointment letters in October 2013 to reflect an additional time commitment of 25-30 days p.a. for Chairmen of Board Committees above the average 30-36 days p.a. commitment for all NEDs. In order to facilitate NED engagement with the business we have in place a programme to help NEDs build a detailed understanding of specialist topics as well as a NED Induction Programme, to allow new NEDs to meet business and functional heads. For further detail see the Corporate Governance section on page 52.

### **9 Board information**



Revised Board paper guidelines were published in July 2013, and a revised executive summary template was introduced in late 2013. Together these require papers to be short, insightful and neutral, present essential themes and help inform a robust debate. To support this, Group functional teams have taken increased responsibility for providing balanced and timely oversight of papers that business units intend to present to the Board.

## 10 Cohesive executive team

We have established a Senior Leadership Group (top 150) to build confidence, unity and collective ownership of our change programme. To improve transparency of our most senior decision making, we tried new and different formats for the Group Executive Committee in 2013. For example, we opened up some sessions to include a broader group of Senior Leaders as observers and participants. The Board reviews the effectiveness of senior executives regularly on an informal basis and formally through the Board Effectiveness Review annually. For more information see page 58. Individual Group ExCo remuneration recommendations take into account the ExCo members' effectiveness.

## 11 Group Chief Executive Succession

The Board Corporate Governance and Nominations Committee regularly reviews the Group CEO role profile and conducts an annual review of the Group CEO succession plan. This Committee has oversight for talent management and future leader succession planning. Several talent receptions were held in 2013, to increase the opportunity for meaningful interactions between NEDs and Senior Leaders. For further detail see the Corporate Governance section on page 75. All Group ExCo appointments in 2013 have considered both internal and external candidates and 12/41 (29%) of Senior Leader appointments were external.

## 12 Board coordination

We considered holding joint meetings of Board Committees to improve collaboration and coordination; however, we concluded the most efficient means of achieving this objective was cross participation. For example, Wendy Lucas Bull sits on both the Barclays Africa Group Limited Board and the Barclays Group Board. Excluding the Chairman, nine of our NEDs sit on more than one Board Committee, with four sitting on three or more Committees. Moreover, the Board Enterprise Wide Risk Committee (BEWRC) comprises the Chairmen of each of the other principal Board Committees, further enhancing coordination.

## 13 Board Committee for conduct, reputational and operational risk

The Board Conduct, Reputation and Operational Risk Committee (BCRORC) was established in January 2013 to oversee the governance of non-financial risk whilst the BEWRC takes a Group wide view of risks and controls, to bring together in one place the entire financial and non-financial risk profile of Barclays. For further detail on the mandate of both Committees see the Corporate Governance section on pages 73 to 77.

## 14 Board effectiveness

The methodology and results of our 2013 Board annual effectiveness review, facilitated by an independent third party are summarised on page 58. The activities being undertaken to address recommendations from prior years are presented on page 60 and progress against this year's objectives will be outlined in the 2014 Annual Report.

## 15 Shareholder interaction

The Chairman's Corporate Governance report on page 52 provides examples of how we have promoted open and effective communication with shareholders, including our shareholder communications guidelines which can be found on our website. We are committed to the constructive use of the AGM and proactively encourage shareholder participation, both through attendance and voting. The 2013 Annual Report incorporates new elements to help

## Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

stakeholders navigate the regulatory and statutory disclosure requirements, whilst providing insight and context to the long term strategy and Purpose as defined by our Balanced Scorecard.

[barclays.com/annualreport](https://www.barclays.com/annualreport)

[Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 127](#)

## **Table of Contents**

[Barclays implementation of the Salz Review recommendations >](#)

continued

### **16 Strengthening Human Resources**

The Group HR Director was appointed to the Group ExCo in 2009 and this remains an ExCo level role to contribute to strong and effective governance. Irene McDermott Brown was appointed as the Group HR Director in July 2013 following consultation with the Chairman, members of the Board Corporate Governance and Nominations Committee and Board Remuneration Committee. As a member of the Group ExCo and the leader of the HR function, Irene has championed a number of initiatives to embed our Purpose, Values and Behaviours and introduce a new performance management approach.

### **17 Employee engagement**

We introduced a new policy in early 2014 outlining how we will help colleagues build their skillset and take ownership of their careers. For example, for new joiners we have developed a Global Induction Programme, *Being Barclays* which combines face to face training supported by an online portal. We have also established leadership development programmes such as the Barclays Leadership Academy, further detail can be found on page 86. For top performers we will encourage mobility through secondments and placements and offer mentoring and NED exposure opportunities. Further, our global recognition plan to be rolled out in 2014 will allow us to recognise colleague contributions with a simple thank you or monthly awards.

### **18 Improving the performance management process**

Our new performance management approach aligns to our Purpose, Values and Behaviours. It measures both what a colleague delivers and also how they achieve their objectives. This new approach reinforces the relationship between performance, pay and other key HR decisions. To support this guidance has been issued to managers on how to set objectives aligned to a Balanced Scorecard and deliver clear and honest feedback; this will be supplemented by further training in 2014. Performance audits will be undertaken in 2014 to ensure the effectiveness of performance management outcomes.

### **19 Recruiting and induction**

Our recruitment process for Leaders now includes an assessment against Values; this will be rolled out to all colleagues. For a significant number of MD opportunities, we have supplemented the process with a Values assessment by an external partner specialising in business psychology. Our *Being Barclays* induction programme is compulsory for new joiners, including MDs and Directors, and supports engagement with our Purpose, Values and Behaviours within the first 90 days of joining Barclays.

### **20 Developing Barclays future leaders**

In 2013, we designed and implemented a global approach to talent identification and Group ExCo conducted a review of Senior Leaders across the organisation. During 2014, we will put in place mechanisms to improve transparency in identification of talent across all levels and opportunities for mobility and development to support our leadership and diversity initiatives. Promotion at all levels now includes assessment against the Values. For MD promotion, this is conducted by an external partner.

## 21 Pay principles

Our new Remuneration Policy on pages 100 to 110 reflects common pay principles across the bank and expressly links remuneration decisions to sustained performance, risk-alignment and our Purpose and Values. At a group and business level, the Remuneration Committee (RemCo) considers financial and non-financial measures including risk management and Balanced Scorecard outcomes in assessing performance. At an individual level, the performance-pay linkages have been further enhanced, incorporating the new performance approach which considers both what and how the colleague delivers. All colleagues are required to have risk and control objectives.

## 22 Retail incentives

We have removed retail sales incentives which may encourage behaviours that conflict with meeting customer needs in UK retail branches, with similar changes to be introduced in Africa. In 2014, all UK retail colleagues, across the customer network, working in the same customer-facing role will be rewarded with an equal share of the overall performance reward as measured through a Balanced Scorecard unless individual performance standards, based on appropriate behaviours, are not met.

## 23 Discretionary pay

When determining the discretionary incentive pool, financial and non-financial measures including risk management and Balanced Scorecard outcomes are key considerations for the Remuneration Committee in assessing performance. The Remuneration Review Panel has been established to focus on making recommendations to the RemCo on risk adjustment to incentive pools and individual malus outcomes. The Panel has also issued guidance to ensure consistency in getting risk based decisions right the first time. We made significant improvements in disclosure in the 2012 Remuneration report, and have adopted the same approach in the 2013 report.

## 24 Long-term awards

Our Long Term Incentive Plans (LTIPs) are now restricted to Group ExCo only and will be further limited to Executive Directors in 2014. The new LTIP is a Group-wide plan that is calibrated to financial performance adjusted for risk on a group wide basis. RemCo gives careful consideration to value at award to ensure that LTIPs are appropriately considered in the wider context of pay awards and disclosures. The annual Directors Remuneration Report on pages 111 to 121 sets out full details of the value at award, maximum potential face value and actual pay outs from LTIPs.

## 25 Control functions incentives

As of February 2013, control functions now have solid reporting lines into the Group CEO rather than business heads to avoid conflict of interest. Separate functional incentive pools are now in place, the size of each is influenced by performance against a function Balanced Scorecard. Individual performance objectives and reward are now aligned to the relevant function Balanced Scorecard and will be independent of the financial performance of businesses they support.



## **Table of Contents**

### **26 Control functions review of compensation**

The Remuneration Review Panel has been introduced to ensure appropriate and meaningful control function input into compensation decisions. The Remuneration Review Panel is independent of the business and its membership includes the control functions. As part of its remit the Panel makes recommendations to RemCo on risk adjustments to the incentive pools, and individual malus and suspensions. Control function input includes information relating to behaviour and conduct issues. Managers have also received guidance on incorporating risk and control behaviours when determining reward recommendations.

### **27 Board's role in compensation oversight**

The Board has established a new Remuneration Policy (see pages 100 to 110) which sets out clear principles and is aligned to our Purpose, Values and Behaviours. The Remuneration Committee Terms of Reference require consideration and approval of remuneration arrangements for high earners and colleagues who influence the risk profile of the organisation. The RemCo formally reports to the Board after each meeting. It works closely with the Board Risk Committees and receives risk input from the Chief Risk Officer at each meeting, an annual Risk Perspective on Performance assessment as well as input from the Remuneration Review Panel. The Remuneration Committee annually reviews the appointment of its independent advisor which must adhere to the UK Code of Conduct for executive remuneration consultants.

### **28 Risk culture and control framework**

The Barclays ERMF published in December 2013 sets risk appetite principles; defines risk appetite accountabilities for the CEO and CRO; and defines the roles and responsibilities across the three lines of defence. Risk appetite statements were set and approved by the BEWRC in December 2013. Colleagues are now assessed against mandatory risk and control objectives, in particular, front office Investment Bank colleagues' objectives specifically refer to unauthorised trading.

### **29 Conduct, reputational and operational risk**

The Barclays ERMF, together with the defined risk appetite statements and upcoming Key Risk Control Frameworks factor conduct, reputational and operational risks into business decisions and governance. Further, the Strategic Risk Assessment piloted in 2013 provides a top down view of business unit strategy integrating strategic planning activity, appetite setting and the assessment and management of material risks.

### **30 Issue escalation**

In 2013 we ran an awareness raising whistleblowing campaign, including creation of an independent, external whistleblowing hotline run by Public Concern at Work. The Behaviours we expect, in line with our Values, mandate a colleague responsibility to have courage to speak up when they believe something is wrong. Senior Leaders are

working to address perceived issues around raising concerns; feedback from colleagues suggests more work is required in this area.

### **31 Learning from mistakes**

A Group-wide methodology for learning from mistakes relating specifically to Operational Risk was launched in January 2013. Over 2014 we will look to improve this process and investigate the potential to build out this methodology across all risk types so as to be able to apply lessons learnt more broadly.

### **32 Control functions independence and influence**

We announced a new functional operating model in January 2013 which promotes the independence and authority of our control functions. Compliance, Risk, Legal, Finance and HR now have solid reporting lines into the Group Function Head. Appointment of Head of Compliance is subject to consultation with the Chairman and Chairman of the BCRORC. We are also reinforcing the compliance culture throughout the bank, Building an Effective Control Environment is a core capability that underpins our development approach across all levels.

### **33 Internal Audit**

In July 2012 we introduced a revised audit methodology to improve efficiency and effectiveness. We will continue to review the audit schedule and methodology to ensure both are fit for purpose. We conduct regular internal and external skills assessment reviews to ensure our audit teams have the appropriate skills and experience aligned to each business, with particular deep dives into the Investment Bank team. In 2013 our Internal Audit Charter was reviewed and updated to reflect the requirement to provide assurance on the risk culture of the firm we will continue to refresh the Charter annually.

### **34 Implementation**

This section of the Annual Report provides a summary of our progress on implementation. This and all previous editions can be found on our website.

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

[Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 129](#)

**Table of Contents**

[130 > Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013](#)

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)



**Table of Contents**

[Risk review >](#)

Insight into the level of risk across Barclays' businesses and portfolios, the material risks and uncertainties faced and the key areas of management focus. To find out more about the major risk policies which underlie our risk exposures, consolidated policy-based qualitative information is available under Risk management.

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

[Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 131](#)

**Table of Contents**[Risk review >](#)

Contents

**The management of risk plays a central role in the execution of Barclays' strategy.**

**For 2013, Barclays' Risk Management disclosures are located across the Annual Report and Pillar 3 Report.**

		Annual Report Risk	Pillar 3 Report Risk
<b>Risk overview</b>		review	
These pages provide a comprehensive overview of Barclays' risk factors and approach to risk management.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>┆ Risk factors 133-141</li> <li>┆ Barclays risk management strategy 378</li> <li>┆ Barclays risk culture 378-379</li> <li>┆ Risk governance and assigning responsibilities 379-384</li> <li>┆ Principal risks 384-385</li> <li>┆ Risk management in the setting of strategy 385-391</li> <li>┆ Modelling of credit risk 118-127</li> </ul>		
<b>Credit risk</b>			
Credit risk is the risk of suffering financial loss should the Group's customers, clients or market counterparties fail to fulfil their contractual obligations.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>┆ Credit risk overview and risk factors 142</li> <li>┆ Analysis of maximum exposure and collateral and other credit enhancement held 142-144</li> <li>┆ Concentrations of credit risk 145-148</li> <li>┆ Balance sheet credit quality 149-150</li> <li>┆ Analysis of loans and advances and impairment 151-157</li> <li>┆ Retail credit risk 158-172</li> <li>┆ Wholesale credit risk 173-180</li> <li>┆ Exit Quadrant assets 181</li> <li>┆ Exposures to Eurozone countries 182-189</li> <li>┆ Analysis of securitisations 84-97</li> <li>┆ Maturity of credit exposures 46-47</li> <li>┆ Capital requirements for credit risk 33-34</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>392</li> <li>398-400</li> <li>393</li> <li>404</li> <li>393-398</li> <li>392-402</li> <li>392-402</li> <li>52-57</li> <li>58-63</li> <li>66-69</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>36-47</li> <li>52-57</li> <li>58-63</li> <li>84-97</li> <li>46-47</li> <li>33-34</li> <li>66-69</li> </ul>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>┆ Counterparty credit risk exposure and RWAs</li> <li>┆ RWAs and credit risk exposure by business and Basel asset class</li> </ul>			36-41
<b>Market risk</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>┆ Market risk overview and risk factors</li> </ul>	190	405	74
Market risk is the risk of the Group suffering financial loss due to the Group being unable to hedge its balance sheet at prevailing market levels.	┆ Traded market risk	191-194	407-412	75-78
	┆ Non-traded market risk	195-196	413	80-81
	┆ Foreign exchange risk	197		82
	┆ Analysis of pension risk	197-198	414	82-83
	┆ Analysis of securitisations			84-97
	┆ Capital requirements for market risk			79
<b>Funding risk    Capital</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>┆ Funding risk    Capital overview and risk factors</li> </ul>	199	420	
Capital risk is the risk that the Group is unable to maintain appropriate capital ratios.	┆ Capital composition	200		18
	┆ Movement in total regulatory capital	201		19
	┆ Risk Weighted Assets by risk type and business	202		22
	┆ Movement in Risk Weighted Assets	202		23-24
	┆ CRD IV as implemented by the Prudential Regulation Authority	203-204		25-30
	┆ Leverage ratio requirements	205-206		31
	┆ Economic capital	207		
<b>Funding risk    Liquidity</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>┆ Funding risk    Liquidity overview and risk factors</li> </ul>	208		
Liquidity risk is the risk that the Group is unable to meet its obligations as they fall due as a result of a sudden, and potentially protracted, increase in net cash outflows.	┆ Liquidity stress testing	208-210	419	
	┆ Liquidity pool	211-212		
	┆ Funding structure	212-215		
	┆ Encumbrance	215-218		
	┆ Credit ratings	219		
	┆ Liquidity management at Absa Group	220		
	┆ Contractual maturity of financial assets and liabilities	220-224		
<b>Operational risk</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>┆ Operational risk overview and risk factors</li> </ul>	225	415	100
Operational risk is the risk of direct or indirect impacts resulting from human factors, inadequate or failed internal processes and systems or	┆ Operational risk profile	225		100
	┆ Supervision and regulation	230-235		
	┆ Capital requirements for operational risk			99

external events.

**Reputation risk**

Reputation risk

226-227

Reputation risk is the risk of damage to Barclays' brand arising from any association, action, or inaction which is perceived by stakeholders to be inappropriate or unethical.

**Conduct risk**

Conduct risk

228-229

Conduct risk is the risk that detriment is caused to our customers, clients, counterparties or Barclays and its employees because of inappropriate judgement in the execution of our business activities.

---

**Table of Contents**

[Risk review >](#)

**Risk factors**

The following information describes the material risks which the Group believes could cause its future results of operations, financial condition and prospects to differ materially from current expectations. Certain of the risks described below also have the potential to adversely impact the Group's reputation and brand which could have a material adverse effect on the Group's results of operations, financial condition and prospects, including the ability to meet dividend expectations, ability to maintain appropriate levels of capital and meet capital and leverage ratio expectations, or achieve stated targets and other expected benefits.

Additional risks relating to the Group that are not currently known, or that are currently deemed immaterial, may individually or cumulatively also have a material adverse effect on our business, operations, financial condition and/or prospects.

**Business conditions and the general economy**

**Weak or deteriorating economic conditions or political instability in the Group's main countries of operation could adversely affect the Group's trading performance**

The Group offers a broad range of services to retail and institutional customers, including governments, and it has significant activities in a large number of countries. Consequently, the operations, financial condition and prospects of the Group, its individual business units and/ or specific countries of operation could be materially adversely impacted by weak or deteriorating economic conditions or political instability in one or a number of countries in any of the Group's main business areas (being the UK, the US, the Eurozone and South Africa) or any other globally significant economy through, for example: (i) deteriorating business, consumer or investor confidence leading to reduced levels of client activity and consequently a decline in revenues and/or higher costs; (ii) mark-to-market losses in trading portfolios resulting from changes in credit ratings, share prices and solvency of counterparties; and (iii) higher levels of impairment and default rates.

The global economy continues to face an environment characterised by low growth. However, governments and central banks in advanced economies have maintained highly accommodative policies that have helped to support demand at a time of very pronounced fiscal tightening and balance sheet repair. During the next few years, a combination of forecasts of and actual recovery in private sector demand and of a reduced pace of fiscal austerity in Europe and the United States is likely to result in a return by central banks towards more conventional monetary policies. While the pace of decreasing monetary support by central banks is expected to be calibrated to the anticipated recovery in demand, such actions could have a further adverse impact on volatility in the financial markets

and on the performance of significant parts of the Group's business, which could, in each case, have an adverse effect on the Group's future results of operations, financial condition and prospects.

### Credit risk

#### **The financial condition of the Group's customers, clients and counterparties, including governments and other financial institutions, could adversely affect the Group**

The Group may suffer financial loss if any of its customers, clients or market counterparties fails to fulfil their contractual obligations to the Group. The Group may also suffer loss when the value of the Group's investment in the financial instruments of an entity falls as a result of that entity's credit rating being downgraded. In addition, the Group may incur significant unrealised gains or losses due solely to changes in the Group's credit spreads or those of third parties, as these changes may affect the fair value of the Group's derivative instruments, debt securities that the Group holds or issues, or any loans held at fair value.

### Deteriorating economic conditions

The Group may continue to be adversely affected by the uncertainty around the global economy and the economies of certain areas where Barclays has operations, as well as areas which may have an impact on the global economy. The Group's performance is at risk from any deterioration in the economic environment which may result from a number of uncertainties, including most significantly the following factors:

#### **i) Interest rate rises, including as a result of slowing of monetary stimulus, could impact on consumer debt affordability and corporate profitability**

The possibility of a slowing of monetary stimulus by one or more governments has increased the uncertainty of the near term economic performance across our major markets as it may lead to significant movements in market rates. Higher interest rates could adversely impact the credit quality of the Group's customers and counterparties, which, coupled with a decline in collateral values, could lead to a reduction in recoverability and value of the Group's assets resulting in a requirement to increase the Group's level of impairment allowance. Any increase in impairment resulting from, for example, higher charge-offs to recovery in the retail book and write-offs could have a material adverse effect on the Group's results of operations, financial condition and prospects.

#### **ii) Decline in residential prices in the UK, Western Europe and South Africa**

With UK home loans representing the most significant portion of the Group's total loans and advances to the retail sector, Barclays has a large exposure to adverse developments in the UK property sector. Despite a downward correction of 20% in 2009, UK house prices (primarily in London) continue to be far higher than the longer term average and house prices have continued to rise at a faster rate than income. Reduced affordability as a result of, for example, higher interest rates or increased unemployment could lead to higher impairment in the near term, in particular in the UK interest only portfolio.

The Spanish and Portuguese economies, in particular their housing and property sectors, remain under significant stress with falling property prices having led to higher LTV ratios and contributing to higher impairment charges. If these trends continue or worsen and/or if these developments occur in other European countries such as Italy: the Group may incur significant impairment charges in the future, which may materially adversely affect the Group's results of operations, financial condition and prospects.

The economy in South Africa remains challenging and the risk remains that any deterioration in the economic environment could adversely affect the Group's performance in home loans.

For further information see pages 158 to 176

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

[Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 133](#)

---

**Table of Contents**

[Risk review >](#)

Risk factors continued

[iii\) Political instability or economic uncertainty in markets in which Barclays operates](#)

Political instability in less developed regions in which Barclays operates could weaken growth prospects that could lead to an adverse impact on customers' ability to service debt. For example, economic and political uncertainty in South Africa continues to dampen down investment into the country with lending growth rates persisting, particularly in unsecured lending. Furthermore, debt serviceability may be adversely impacted by a further interest rate rise early in 2014 as a result of a downgrade to South Africa's credit rating.

The referenda on Scottish independence in September 2014 and on UK membership of the European Union (expected before 2017) may affect the Group's risk profile through introducing potentially significant new uncertainties and instability in financial markets, both ahead of the respective dates for these referenda and, depending on the outcomes, after the event.

There remain concerns in the market about credit risk (including that of sovereign states) and the Eurozone crisis. The large sovereign debts and/or fiscal deficits of a number of Eurozone countries and the sustainability of austerity programmes that such countries have introduced have raised concerns among market participants regarding the financial condition of these countries as well as financial institutions, insurers and other corporates that are located in, or have direct or indirect exposures to, such Eurozone countries.

For further information see pages 182 to 189

[\(iv\) Exit of one or more countries from the Eurozone](#)

The Group is exposed to an escalation of the Eurozone crisis whereby a sovereign defaults and exits the Eurozone, in the following ways:

- i The direct risk arising from the sovereign default of an existing country in which the Group has significant operations and the adverse impact on the economy of that exiting country and the credit standing of the Group's clients and counterparties in that country.
- j The subsequent adverse impact on the economy of other Eurozone countries and the credit standing of the Group's clients and counterparties in such other Eurozone countries.



- ┆ Indirect risk arising from credit derivatives that reference Eurozone sovereign debt.
  
- ┆ Direct redenomination risk on the balance sheets of the Group's local operations in countries in the Eurozone should the value of the assets and liabilities be affected differently as a result of one or more countries reverting to a locally denominated currency.
  
- ┆ The introduction of capital controls or new currencies by any such existing countries.
  
- ┆ Significant effects on existing contractual relations and the fulfilment of obligations by the Group and/or its customers.

If some or all of these conditions arise, persist or worsen, as the case may be, they may have a material adverse effect on the Group's operations, financial condition and prospects. The current absence of a predetermined mechanism for a member state to exit the Euro means that it is not possible to predict the outcome of such an event or to accurately quantify the impact of such an event on the Group's operations, financial condition and prospects.

### Specific sectors and geographies

The Group is subject to risks arising from changes in credit quality and recovery of loans and advances due from borrowers and counterparties in a specific portfolio or geography or from a large individual name. Any deterioration in credit quality could lead to lower recoverability and higher impairment in a specific sector, geography or in respect of specific large counterparties.

#### i) Exit Quadrant assets

The Investment Bank holds a large portfolio of Exit Quadrant assets, including commercial real estate and leveraged finance loans, which (i) remain illiquid; (ii) are valued based upon assumptions, judgements and estimates which may change over time; and (iii) which are subject to further deterioration and write downs.

For further information see page 181

#### ii) Corporate Banking assets held at fair value

Corporate Banking holds a portfolio of longer term loans to the Education, Social Housing and Local Authority (ESHLA) sectors which are marked on a fair value basis. The value of these loans is therefore subject to market movements and may give rise to losses.

#### iii) Large single name losses

In addition, the Group has large individual exposures to single name counterparties. The default of obligations by such counterparties could have a significant impact on the carrying value of these assets. In addition, where such counterparty risk has been mitigated by taking collateral, credit risk may remain high if the collateral held cannot be realised or has to be liquidated at prices which are insufficient to recover the full amount of the loan or derivative exposure. Any such defaults could have a material adverse effect on the Group's results of operations, financial condition and prospects.

### Market risk

**The Group's financial position may be adversely affected by changes in both the level and volatility of prices**

Barclays is at risk from its earnings or capital being reduced due to: (i) changes in the level or volatility of positions in its trading books, primarily in the Investment Bank, including changes in interest rates, inflation rates, credit spreads, commodity prices, equity and bond prices and foreign exchange levels; (ii) the Group being unable to hedge its banking book balance sheet at prevailing market levels; and (iii) the risk of the Group's defined benefit pensions obligations increasing or the value of the assets backing these defined benefit pensions obligations decreasing due to changes in either the level or volatility of prices. These market risks could lead to significantly lower revenues, which could have an adverse impact on the Group's results of operations, financial condition and prospects.

Specific examples of scenarios where market risk could lead to significantly lower revenues and adversely affect the Group's operating results include:

**i) Reduced client activity and decreased market liquidity**

The Investment Bank's business model is focused on client intermediation. A significant reduction in client volumes or market liquidity could result in lower fees and commission income and a longer time period between executing a client trade, closing out a hedge, or exiting a position arising from that trade. Longer holding periods in times of higher volatility could lead to revenue volatility caused by price changes. Such conditions could have a material adverse effect on the Group's results of operations, financial condition and prospects.

For further information see pages 190 to 198

---

**Table of Contents**

**ii) Uncertain interest rate environment**

Interest rate volatility can impact the Group's net interest margin, which is the interest rate spread earned between lending and borrowing costs. The potential for future volatility and margin changes remains, and it is difficult to predict with any accuracy changes in absolute interest rate levels, yield curves and spreads. Rate changes, to the extent they are not neutralised by hedging programmes, may have a material adverse effect on the Group's results of operations, financial condition and prospects.

For further information see pages 190 to 198

**iii) Pension fund risk**

Adverse movements between pension assets and liabilities for defined benefit pension schemes could contribute to a pension deficit. Inflation is a key risk to the pension fund and Barclays defined benefit pension net position has been adversely affected, and could be adversely affected again, by any increase in long term inflation assumptions. A decrease in the discount rate, which is derived from yields of corporate bonds with AA ratings and consequently includes exposure both to risk-free yields and credit spreads, may also impact pension valuations and may therefore have a material adverse effect on the Group's results of operations, financial condition and prospects.

For further information see pages 197 and 198

**Funding risk**

**The ability of the Group to achieve its business plans may be adversely impacted if it does not effectively manage its capital, liquidity and leverage ratios**

Funding risk is the risk that the Group may not be able to achieve its business plans due to: being unable to maintain appropriate capital ratios (Capital risk); being unable to meet its obligations as they fall due (Liquidity risk); adverse changes in interest rate curves impacting structural hedges of non-interest bearing assets/liabilities or foreign exchange rates on capital ratios (Structural risk).

**i) Maintaining capital strength in increasingly challenging environment**

Should the Group be unable to maintain or achieve appropriate capital ratios this could lead to: an inability to support business activity; a failure to meet regulatory requirements; changes to credit ratings, which could also result in increased costs or reduced capacity to raise funding; and/or the need to take additional measures to strengthen the

Group's capital or leverage position. Basel III and CRD IV have increased the amount and quality of capital that Barclays is required to hold. CRD IV requirements adopted in the United Kingdom may change, whether as a result of further changes to CRD IV agreed by EU legislators, binding regulatory technical standards being developed by the European Banking Authority or changes to the way in which the PRA interprets and applies these requirements to UK banks (including as regards individual model approvals granted under CRD II and III). Such changes, either individually and/or in aggregate, may lead to further unexpected enhanced requirements in relation to the Group's CRD IV capital.

Additional capital requirements will also arise from other proposals, including the recommendations of the UK Independent Commission on Banking, the Liikanen Review and section 165 of the Dodd-Frank Act. It is not currently possible to predict with accuracy the detail of secondary legislation or regulatory rulemaking expected under any of these proposals, and therefore the likely consequences to the Group. However, it is likely that these changes in law and regulation would require changes to the legal entity structure of the Group and how its businesses are capitalised and funded and/or are able to continue to operate and as such could have an adverse impact on the operations, financial condition and prospects of the Group. Any such increased capital requirements or changes to what is defined to constitute capital may also constrain the Group's planned activities, lead to forced asset sales and/or balance sheet reductions and could increase costs, impact on the Group's earnings and restrict Barclays' ability to pay dividends. Moreover, during periods of market dislocation, or when there is significant competition for the type of funding that the Group needs, increasing the Group's capital resources in order to meet targets may prove more difficult and/or costly.

#### ii) Changes in funding availability and costs

Should the Group fail to manage its liquidity and funding risk sufficiently, this may result in: an inability to support normal business activity; and/or a failure to meet liquidity regulatory requirements; and/or changes to credit ratings. Any material adverse change in market liquidity (such as that experienced in 2008), or the availability and cost of customer deposits and/or wholesale funding, in each case whether due to factors specific to Barclays (such as due to a downgrade in Barclays' credit rating) or to the market generally, could adversely impact the Group's ability to maintain the levels of liquidity required to meet regulatory requirements and sustain normal business activity. In addition, there is a risk that the Group could face sudden, unexpected and large net cash outflows, for example from customer deposit withdrawals, or unanticipated levels of loan drawdowns under committed facilities, which could result in (i) forced reductions in Barclays' balance sheet; (ii) Barclays being unable to fulfil its lending obligations; and (iii) a failure to meet the Group's liquidity regulatory requirements. During periods of market dislocation, the Group's ability to manage liquidity requirements may be impacted by a reduction in the availability of wholesale term funding as well as an increase in the cost of raising wholesale funds. Asset sales, balance sheet reductions and increased costs of raising funding could all adversely impact the results of operations, financial condition and prospects of the Group.

#### iii) Changes in foreign exchange and interest rates

The Group has capital resources and risk weighted assets denominated in foreign currencies; changes in foreign exchange rates result in changes in the Sterling equivalent value of foreign currency denominated capital resources and risk weighted assets. As a result, the Group's regulatory capital ratios are sensitive to foreign currency movements. The Group also has exposure to non-traded interest rate risk, arising from the provision of retail and wholesale (non-traded) banking products and services. This includes current accounts and equity balances which do not have a defined maturity date and an interest rate that does not change in line with base rate changes. Failure to appropriately manage the Group's balance sheet to take account of these risks could result in: (i) in the case of foreign exchange risk, an adverse impact on regulatory capital ratios; and (ii) in the case of non-traded interest rate risk, an adverse impact on income. Structural risk is difficult to predict with any accuracy and may have a material adverse effect on the Group's results of operations, financial condition and prospects.

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

[Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 135](#)

## **Table of Contents**

[Risk review >](#)

Risk factors continued

### [Operational risk](#)

#### **The operational risk profile of the Group may change as a result of human factors, inadequate or failed internal processes and systems, and external events**

Barclays is exposed to many types of operational risk, including fraudulent and other criminal activities (both internal and external), the risk of breakdowns in processes, controls or procedures (or their inadequacy relative to the size and scope of Barclays' business) and systems failure or non-availability. Barclays is also subject to the risk of disruption of its business arising from events that are wholly or partially beyond its control (for example natural disasters, acts of terrorism, epidemics and transport or utility failures) which may give rise to losses or reductions in service to customers and/or economic loss to Barclays. The operational risks that the Group is exposed to could change rapidly and there is no guarantee that the Group's processes, controls, procedures and systems are sufficient to address, or could adapt promptly to, such changing risks. All of these risks are also applicable where Barclays relies on outside suppliers or vendors to provide services to it and its customers.

#### [i\) Infrastructure and technology resilience](#)

The Group's technological infrastructure is critical to the operation of the Group's businesses and delivery of products and services to customers and clients. Any disruption in a customer's access to their account information or delays in making payments will have a significant impact on the Group's reputation and may also lead to potentially large costs to both rectify the issue and reimburse losses incurred by customers. Technological efficiency and automation is also important to the control environment and improvement is an area of focus for Barclays (for example, via updating of legacy systems, and introducing additional security, access management and segregation of duty controls).

#### [ii\) Ability to hire and retain appropriately qualified employees](#)

The Group is largely dependent on highly skilled and qualified individuals. Therefore, the Group's continued ability to manage and grow its business, to compete effectively and to respond to an increasingly complex regulatory environment is dependent on attracting new talented and diverse employees and retaining appropriately qualified employees. In particular, as a result of the work repositioning compensation while ensuring Barclays remains competitive and as the global economic recovery continues, there is a risk that some employees may decide to leave Barclays. This may be particularly evident amongst those employees due to be impacted by the introduction of role based pay and bonus caps in response to new legislation and employees with skill sets that are currently in high demand.

Failure by Barclays to prevent the departure of appropriately qualified employees, to retain qualified staff who are dedicated to oversee and manage current and future regulatory standards and expectations, or to quickly and effectively replace such employees, could negatively impact the Group's results of operations, financial condition,

prospects and level of employee engagement.

### iii) Cyber-security

The threat to the security of the Group's information held on customers from cyber-attacks is pertinent and continues to grow at pace. Activists, rogue states and cyber criminals are among those targeting computer systems. Risks to technology and cyber-security change rapidly and require continued focus and investment. Given the increasing sophistication and scope of potential cyber-attack, it is possible that future attacks may lead to significant breaches of security. Failure to adequately manage cyber-security risk and continually review and update current processes in response to new threats could adversely affect the Group's reputation, operations, financial condition and prospects.

### iv) Critical accounting estimates and judgments

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with IFRS requires the use of estimates. It also requires management to exercise judgement in applying relevant accounting policies. The key areas involving a higher degree of judgement or complexity, or areas where assumptions are significant to the consolidated and individual financial statements, include credit impairment charges for amortised cost assets, impairment and valuation of available-for-sale investments, calculation of income and deferred tax, fair value of financial instruments, valuation of goodwill and intangible assets, valuation of provisions and accounting for pensions and post-retirement benefits. There is a risk that if the judgement exercised or the estimates or assumptions used subsequently turn out to be incorrect then this could result in significant loss to the Group, beyond that anticipated or provided for, which could have an adverse impact on the Group's operations, financial results and condition.

In accordance with IAS 37 Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets, where provisions have already been taken in published financial statements or results announcements for on-going legal or regulatory matters, including in relation payment protection insurance (PPI), interest rate hedging products, and litigation relating to Devonshire Trust, these have been recognised as the best estimate of the expenditure required to settle the obligation as at the reporting date. Such estimates are inherently uncertain and it is possible that the eventual outcomes may differ materially from current estimates, resulting in future increases to the required provisions (as has, for example, been the case in relation to the provisions that the Group has made in relation to PPI redress payments), or actual losses that exceed the provisions taken.

In addition, provisions have not been taken where no obligation (as defined in IAS 37) has been established, whether associated with a known or potential future litigation or regulatory matter. Accordingly, an adverse decision in any such matters could result in significant losses to the Group which have not been provided for. Such losses would have an adverse impact on the Group's operations, financial results and condition and prospects.

Observable market prices are not available for many of the financial assets and liabilities that the Group holds at fair value and a variety of techniques to estimate the fair value are used. Should the valuation of such financial assets or liabilities become observable, for example as a result of sales or trading in comparable assets or liabilities by third parties, this could result in a materially different valuation to the current carrying value in the Group's financial statements.

The further development of standards and interpretations under IFRS could also significantly impact the financial results, condition and prospects of the Group. For example, the introduction of IFRS 9 Financial Instruments is likely to have a material impact on the measurement and impairment of financial instruments held.

### v) Risks arising from legal, competition and regulatory matters

**The Group operates in highly regulated industries, and the Group's businesses and results may be significantly affected by the laws and regulations applicable to it and by proceedings involving the Group**

## Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

As a global financial services firm, the Group is subject to extensive and comprehensive regulation under the laws of the various jurisdictions in which it does business. These laws and regulations significantly affect the way that the Group does business, can restrict the scope of its existing businesses and limit its ability to expand its product offerings or to pursue acquisitions, or can result in an increase in operating costs for the business and/or make its products and services more expensive for clients and customers. There has also been an increased focus on regulation and procedures for the protection of customers and clients of financial services firms. This has resulted, moreover, in increased willingness on the part of regulators to investigate past practices, vigorously pursue alleged violations and impose heavy penalties on financial services firms.



**Table of Contents**

The Group is exposed to many forms of risk relating to legal, competition and regulatory proceedings, including that: (i) business may not be, or may not have been, conducted in accordance with applicable laws and regulations in the relevant jurisdictions around the world and financial and other penalties may result; (ii) contractual obligations may either not be enforceable as intended or may be enforced in a way adverse to the Group; (iii) intellectual property may not be protected as intended and the Group may use intellectual property which infringes, or is alleged to infringe, the rights of third parties; and (iv) liability may be incurred to third parties harmed by the conduct of the Group's business.

*Risks arising from material legal, competition and regulatory matters*

The Group, in common with other global financial services firms, has in recent years faced a risk of increased levels of legal proceedings in jurisdictions in which it does business. This is particularly true in the US where the Group is facing and may in the future face legal proceedings relating to its business activities, including in the form of class actions.

The Group also faces existing regulatory and other investigations in various jurisdictions as well as the risk of potential future regulatory and other investigations or proceedings and/or further private actions and/or class actions being brought by third parties.

Material legal, competition and regulatory matters to which the Group is currently exposed are detailed in Note 30 to the financial statements and include:

- Lehman Brothers civil action;
- Civil actions in respect of certain series of preference shares issued in the form of American Depositary Shares;
- Mortgage related activity and litigation;
- Devonshire Trust civil action;
- London Interbank Offered Rates (LIBOR) and other benchmarks civil actions; civil actions in respect of foreign exchange trading; and investigations into LIBOR, ISDAfix and other benchmarks and foreign exchange rates;

- ; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission matters relating to the Group's power trading in the western US;
  
- ; BDC Finance LLC civil action;
  
- ; Interchange investigations;
  
- ; Interest rate hedging products redress;
  
- ; Credit default swap antitrust investigations;
  
- ; Swiss/US tax programme; and

- ; Investigations into certain agreements, including two advisory services agreements entered into by BBPLC and Qatar Holding LLC in 2008.

The outcome of each of these legal, competition and regulatory matters (and any future matters) is difficult to predict. However, it is likely that the Group will incur significant expense in connection with some or all of these matters, regardless of the ultimate outcome, and one or more of them could expose the Group to any of the following: substantial monetary damages and/or fines; other penalties and injunctive relief; additional civil or private litigation; criminal prosecution in certain circumstances; the loss of any existing agreed protection from prosecution; regulatory restrictions on the Group's business; increased regulatory compliance requirements; suspension of operations; public reprimands; loss of significant assets; and/or a negative effect on the Group's reputation.

#### *Potential financial and reputational impacts of other legal, competition and regulatory matters or other proceedings*

The Group is engaged in various other legal, competition and regulatory matters both in the UK and a number of overseas jurisdictions. It is subject to legal proceedings by and against the Group which arise in the ordinary course of business from time to time, including (but not limited to) disputes in relation to contracts, securities, debt collection, consumer credit, fraud, trusts, client assets, competition, data protection, money laundering, employment, environmental and other statutory and common law issues. The Group is also subject to enquiries and examinations, requests for information, audits, investigations and legal and other proceedings by regulators, governmental and other public bodies in connection with (but not limited to) consumer protection measures, compliance with legislation and regulation, wholesale trading activity and other areas of banking and business activities in which the Group is or has been engaged.

In addition to the specific matters set out above, there may be other legal, competition and regulatory matters currently not known to the Group or in respect of which it is currently not possible to ascertain whether there could be a material adverse effect on the Group's position. In light of the uncertainties involved in legal, competition and regulatory matters, there can be no assurance that the outcome of a particular matter or matters will not be material to the Group's results of operations for a particular period, depending on, among other things, the amount of the loss resulting from the matter(s) and the amount of income otherwise reported for the reporting period. Non-compliance by the Group with applicable laws, regulations and codes of conduct relevant to its businesses in all jurisdictions in which it operates, whether due to inadequate controls or otherwise, could expose the Group, now or in the future, to any of the consequences set out above as well as withdrawal of authorisations to operate particular businesses.

Non-compliance may also lead to costs relating to investigations and remediation of affected customers. The latter may, in some circumstances, exceed the direct costs of regulatory enforcement actions. In addition, reputational damage may lead to a reduction in franchise value.

There is also a risk that the outcome of any legal, competition or regulatory matters, investigations or proceedings to which the Group is subject and/or a party could (whether current or future, specified in this risk factor or not) may give rise to changes in law or regulation as part of a wider response by relevant law makers and regulators. An adverse decision in any one matter, either against the Group or another financial institution facing similar claims, could lead to further claims against the Group.

Any of these risks, should they materialise, could have an adverse impact on the Group's operations, financial results and condition and prospects.

#### vi) Regulatory risk

**Regulatory risks: the financial services industry continues to be the focus of significant regulatory change and scrutiny which may adversely affect the Group's business, financial performance capital and risk management strategies**

Regulatory risk arises from a failure or inability to comply fully with the laws, regulations or codes applicable specifically to the financial services industry which are currently subject to significant changes. Non-compliance could lead to fines, public reprimands, damage to reputation, increased prudential requirements, changes to Group structure and/or strategy, enforced suspension of operations or, in extreme cases, withdrawal of authorisations to operate. Non-compliance may also lead to costs relating to investigations and remediation of affected customers. The latter may exceed the direct costs of regulatory enforcement actions. In addition, reputational damage may lead to a reduction in franchise value.

## **Table of Contents**

[Risk review >](#)

Risk factors continued

### *Regulatory change*

The Group, in common with much of the financial services industry, continues to be subject to significant levels of regulatory change and increasing scrutiny in many of the countries in which it operates (including, in particular, the UK and the US and in light of its significant investment banking operations). This has led to a more intensive approach to supervision and oversight, increased expectations and enhanced requirements, including with regard to: (i) capital, liquidity and leverage requirements (for example arising from Basel III and CRDIV); (ii) structural reform and recovery and resolution planning; and (iii) market infrastructure reforms such as the clearing of over-the-counter derivatives. As a result, regulatory risk will continue to be a focus of senior management attention and consume significant levels of business resources. Furthermore, this more intensive approach and the enhanced requirements, uncertainty and extent of international regulatory coordination as enhanced supervisory standards are developed and implemented may adversely affect the Group's business, capital and risk management strategies and/or may result in the Group deciding to modify its legal entity structure, capital and funding structures and business mix or to exit certain business activities altogether or to determine not to expand in areas despite their otherwise attractive potential.

For further information see Regulatory Developments in the section on Supervision and Regulation.

### *Implementation of Basel III/CRD IV and additional PRA supervisory expectations*

CRD IV introduces significant changes in the prudential regulatory regime applicable to banks including: increased minimum capital ratios; changes to the definition of capital and the calculation of risk weighted assets; and the introduction of new measures relating to leverage, liquidity and funding. CRD IV entered into force in the UK and other EU member states on 1 January 2014. CRD IV permits a transitional period for certain of the enhanced capital requirements and certain other measures, such as the CRD IV leverage ratio, which are not expected to be finally implemented until 2018. Notwithstanding this, the PRA's supervisory expectation is for Barclays to meet certain capital and leverage ratio targets within certain prescribed timeframes. Barclays met the PRA's expectation to have an adjusted fully loaded CET 1 ratio of 7% by 31 December 2013 and will be expected to meet a PRA Leverage Ratio of 3% by 30 June 2014.

There is a risk that CRD IV requirements adopted in the UK may change, whether as a result of further changes to global standards, EU legislation, including the CRDIV text and/or via binding regulatory technical standards being developed by the European Banking Authority or changes to the way in which the PRA interprets and applies these requirements to UK banks, including as regards individual models approvals granted under CRD II and III. For example, further guidelines published by the Basel Committee in January 2014 regarding the calculation of the leverage ratio are expected to be incorporated into EU and UK law during 2014.

In addition the Financial Policy Committee of the Bank of England has legal powers, where this is required to protect financial stability, to make recommendations about the application of prudential requirements, and has, or may be

given, other powers including powers to direct the PRA and FCA to adjust capital requirements through sectoral capital requirements (SCR). Directions would apply to all UK banks and building societies, rather than to the Group specifically.

Such changes, either individually or in aggregate, may lead to unexpected enhanced requirements in relation to the Group's capital, leverage, liquidity and funding ratios or alter the way such ratios are calculated. This may result in a need for further management actions to meet the changed requirements, such as: increasing capital, reducing leverage and risk weighted assets, modifying legal entity structure (including with regard to issuance and deployment of capital and funding for the Group) and changing Barclays' business mix or exiting other businesses and/or undertaking other actions to strengthen Barclays position.

### *Structural reform*

A number of jurisdictions have enacted or are considering legislation and rule making that could have a significant impact on the structure, business risk and management of the Group and of the financial services industry more generally. Key developments that are relevant to Barclays include:

- i The UK Financial Services (Banking Reform) Act 2013, gives UK authorities the power to implement key recommendations of the Independent Commission on Banking, including: (i) the separation of the UK and EEA retail banking activities of the largest UK banks into a legally, operationally and economically separate and independent entity (so called 'ring fencing'); (ii) statutory depositor preference in insolvency; (iii) a reserve power for the PRA to enforce full separation of the retail operations of UK banks to which the reforms apply under certain circumstances; and (iv) a 'bail-in' stabilisation option as part of the powers of the UK resolution authority;
- j The European Commission proposals of January 2014 for a directive to implement recommendations of the EU High Level Expert Group Review (the Liikanen Review). The directive would apply to EU globally significant financial institutions and envisages, among other things: (i) a ban on engaging in proprietary trading in financial instruments and commodities; (ii) giving supervisors the power and, in certain instances, the obligation to require the transfer of other trading activities deemed to be 'high risk' to separate legal trading entities within a banking group; and (iii) rules governing the economic, legal, governance and operational links between the separated trading entity and the rest of the banking group;
- k On 18 February 2014, the US Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (FRB) issued final rules implementing various enhanced prudential standards under Section 165 of the DFA applicable to certain foreign banking organisations and their US operations, including Barclays. Because its total US and non-US assets exceed \$50bn, Barclays would be subject to the most stringent requirements of the final rules, including the requirement to create a US intermediate holding company (IHC) structure to hold its US banking and non-banking subsidiaries, including Barclays Capital Inc. the Group's US broker-dealer subsidiary. The IHC would generally be subject to supervision and regulation, including as to regulatory capital and stress testing, by the FRB as if it were a US bank holding company of comparable size. In particular, under the final rules, the consolidated IHC would be subject to a number of additional supervisory and prudential requirements, including: (i) subject to certain limited exceptions, FRB regulatory capital requirements and leverage limits that are the same as those applicable to US banking organisations of comparable size; (ii) mandatory company-run and supervisory stress testing of capital levels and submission of a capital plan to the FRB; (iii) supervisory approval of and limitations on capital distributions by the IHC to Barclays Bank PLC; (iv) additional substantive liquidity requirements (including monthly internal liquidity stress tests and maintenance of specified liquidity buffers) and other liquidity risk management requirements; and (v) overall risk management requirements, including a US risk committee and a US chief risk officer. The effective

date of the final rule is 1 June 2014, although compliance with most of its requirements will be phased-in between 2015 and 2018. Barclays will not be required to form its IHC until 1 July 2016. The IHC will be subject to the US generally applicable minimum leverage capital requirement (which is different than the Basel III international leverage ratio, including to the extent that the generally applicable US leverage ratio does not include off-balance sheet exposures) starting 1 January 2018. In light of the recent release of the final rules, Barclays continues to evaluate their implications for Barclays. Nevertheless, the Group currently believes that, in the aggregate, the final rules (and, in particular, the leverage requirements in the final rules that will ultimately become applicable to the IHC) are likely to increase the operational costs and capital requirements and/or require changes to the business mix of Barclays US operations, which ultimately may have an adverse effect on the Group's overall result of operations;

---

**Table of Contents**

; In the US, in December 2013, the relevant US regulatory agencies, finalised the rules implementing the requirements of Section 619 of the Dodd-Frank Act – the so-called Volcker Rule. The Volcker Rule, once fully effective, will prohibit banking entities, including Barclays PLC, Barclays Bank PLC and their various subsidiaries and affiliates from undertaking certain proprietary trading activities and will limit the sponsorship of, and investment in, private equity funds and hedge funds, in each case broadly defined, by such entities. These restrictions are subject to certain important exceptions and exemptions, as well as exemptions applicable to transactions and investments occurring solely outside of the United States. The rules will also require the Group to develop an extensive compliance and monitoring programme (both inside and outside of the United States), subject to various executive officer attestation requirements, addressing proprietary trading and covered fund activities, and Barclays therefore expects compliance costs to increase. The final rules are highly complex and differ in certain significant respects from the rules as proposed in October 2011. As such, their full impact will not be known with certainty until market practices and structures develop under them. Subject entities are generally required to be in compliance by July 2015 (with certain provisions subject to possible extensions); and

; The European Commission's proposal for a directive providing for a new framework for the recovery and resolution of credit institutions and investment firms (the Recovery and Resolution Directive or RRD), which is expected to be finalised in 2014.

These laws and regulations and the way in which they are interpreted and implemented by regulators may have a number of significant consequences, including changes to the legal entity structure of the Group, changes to how and where capital and funding is raised and deployed within the Group, increased requirements for loss-absorbing capacity within the Group and/or at the level of certain legal entities or sub-groups within the Group and potential modifications to the business mix and model (including potential exit of certain business activities). These and other regulatory changes and the resulting actions taken to address such regulatory changes, may have an adverse impact on the Group's profitability, operating flexibility, flexibility of deployment of capital and funding, return on equity, ability to pay dividends and/or financial condition. It is not yet possible to predict the detail of such legislation or regulatory rulemaking or the ultimate consequences to the Group which could be material.

### *Recovery and resolution planning*

There continues to be a strong regulatory focus on resolvability from international and UK regulators. The Group continues to work with all relevant authorities on recovery and resolution plans (RRP) and the detailed practicalities of the resolution process. This includes the provision of information that would be required in the event of a resolution, in order to enhance the Group's resolvability. The Group made its first formal RRP submissions to the UK and US regulators in mid-2012 and has continued to work with the relevant authorities to identify and address any impediments to resolvability. The second US resolution plan was submitted in October 2013 and Barclays anticipates annual submissions hereafter.

The EU has agreed the text of the RRD and expects to finalise the legislation in 2014. The RRD establishes a framework for the recovery and resolution of credit institutions and investment firms. The aim of this regime is to provide authorities with the tools to intervene sufficiently early and quickly in a failing institution so as to ensure the continuity of the institution or firm's critical financial and economic functions while minimising the impact of its failure on the financial system. The regime is also intended to ensure that shareholders bear losses first and that certain creditors bear losses after shareholders, provided that no creditor should incur greater losses than it would have incurred if the institution had been wound up under normal insolvency proceedings. The Directive provides resolution authorities with powers to require credit institutions to make significant changes in order to enhance recovery or resolvability. These include, amongst others, the powers to require the group to: make changes to its legal or operational

structures (including demanding that the Group be restructured into units which are more readily resolvable); limit or cease specific existing or proposed activities; hold a specified minimum amount of liabilities subject to write down or conversion powers under the so-called 'bail-in' tool. The proposal is to be implemented in all European Member States by 1 January 2015, with the exception of the bail-in powers which must be implemented by 1 January 2016.

In the UK, recovery and resolution planning is now considered part of continuing supervision. Removal of barriers to resolution will be considered as part of the PRA's supervisory strategy for each firm, and the PRA can require firms to make significant changes in order to enhance resolvability. The UK will also need to consider how it will transpose the RRD into UK law.

Whilst Barclays believes that it is making good progress in reducing impediments to resolution, should the relevant authorities ultimately decide that the Group or any significant subsidiary is not resolvable, the impact of such structural changes (whether in connection with RRP or other structural reform initiatives) could impact capital, liquidity and leverage ratios, as well as the overall profitability of the Group, for example via duplicated infrastructure costs, lost cross-rate revenues and additional funding costs.

### **Regulatory action in the event of a bank failure**

The UK Banking Act 2009, as amended (the Banking Act) provides for a regime to allow the Bank of England (or, in certain circumstances, HM Treasury) to resolve failing banks in the UK. Under the Banking Act, these authorities are given powers to make share transfer orders and property transfer orders. Following the Financial Services (Banking Reform) Act 2013 the authorities also have at their disposal a statutory bail-in power. This bail-in power is available to the UK resolution authority to enable it to recapitalize a failed institution by allocating losses to its shareholders and unsecured creditors. The bail-in power enables the UK resolution authority to cancel liabilities or modify the terms of contracts for the purposes of reducing or deferring the liabilities of the bank under resolution and the power to convert liabilities into another form (e.g. shares).

The draft RRD includes provisions similar to the Banking Act for the introduction of statutory bail-in powers, including the power to (i) cancel existing shares and/or dilute existing shareholders by converting relevant capital instruments or eligible liabilities into shares of the surviving entity and (ii) cancel all or a portion of the principal amount of, or interest on, certain unsecured liabilities (including certain debt securities) of a failing financial institution and/or to convert certain debt claims into another security, including ordinary shares of the surviving Group entity, if any. The Banking Act bail-in power is not expected to require significant amendments following finalisations of the RRD, but this cannot be guaranteed. Accordingly, if the Group were to be at or approaching the point of non-viability such as to require regulatory intervention, any exercise of any resolution regime powers by the relevant UK resolution authority may result in shareholders losing all or a part of their shareholdings and/or in the rights of shareholders being adversely affected, including by the dilution of their percentage ownership of the Barclays' share capital, and may result in creditors, including debt holders, losing all or a part of their investment in the Group's securities that could be subject to such powers.



## Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

In addition to bail-in power, the powers currently proposed to be granted to the relevant UK resolution authority under the Banking Act and draft RRD include the power to: (i) direct the sale of the relevant financial institution or the whole or part of its business on commercial terms without requiring the consent of the shareholders or complying with the procedural requirements that would otherwise apply; (ii) transfer all or part of the business of the relevant financial institution to a bridge bank (a publicly controlled entity); and (iii) transfer the impaired or problem assets of the relevant financial institution to an asset management vehicle to allow them to be managed over time.

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

[Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 139](#)

**Table of Contents**

[Risk review >](#)

Risk factors continued

If these powers were to be exercised (or there is an increased risk of exercise) in respect of the Group or any entity within the Group could result in a material adverse effect on the rights or interests of shareholders and creditors including holders of debt securities and/or could have a material adverse effect on the market price of Barclays shares and other securities.

*Market infrastructure reforms*

The European Market Infrastructure Regulation (EMIR) introduces requirements to improve transparency and reduce the risks associated with the derivatives market. Certain of these requirements came into force in 2013 and others will enter into force in 2014. EMIR requires entities that enter into any form of derivative contract to: report every derivative contract entered into to a trade repository; implement new risk management standards for all bi-lateral over-the-counter derivative trades that are not cleared by a central counterparty; and clear, through a central counterparty, over-the-counter derivatives that are subject to a mandatory clearing obligation. CRD IV aims to complement EMIR by applying higher capital requirements for bilateral, over-the-counter derivative trades. Lower capital requirements for cleared trades are only available if the central counterparty is recognised as a qualifying central counterparty, which has been authorised or recognised under EMIR (in accordance with related binding technical standards). Further significant market infrastructure reforms will be introduced by amendments to the EU Markets in Financial Instruments Directive that are being finalised by the EU legislative institutions and are expected to be implemented in 2016.

In the US, the Dodd-Frank Act also mandates that many types of derivatives now traded in the over-the-counter markets must be traded on an exchange or swap execution facility and must be centrally cleared through a regulated clearing house. In addition, participants in these markets are now made subject to CFTC and SEC regulation and oversight. Entities required to register with the CFTC as swap dealers or major swap participants and/or with the SEC as security-based swap dealers or major security-based swap dealers are or will be subject to business conduct, capital, margin, record keeping and reporting requirements. Barclays Bank PLC has registered with the CFTC as a swap dealer.

It is possible that other additional regulations, and the related expenses and requirements, will increase the cost of and restrict participation in the derivative markets, thereby increasing the costs of engaging in hedging or other transactions and reducing liquidity and the use of the derivative markets.

The new regulation of the derivative markets could adversely affect the business of Barclays Bank PLC and its affiliates in these markets and could make it more difficult and expensive to conduct hedging and trading activities, which could in turn reduce the demand for swap dealer and similar services of Barclays Bank PLC and its subsidiaries. In addition, as a result of these increased costs, the new regulation of the derivative markets may also result in the Group deciding to reduce its activity in these markets.

### vii) Losses due to additional tax charges

The Group is subject to the tax laws in all countries in which it operates, including tax laws adopted at the EU level, and is impacted by a number of double taxation agreements between countries.

There is risk that the Group could suffer losses due to additional tax charges, other financial costs or reputational damage due to: failure to comply with, or correctly assess the application of, relevant tax law; failure to deal with tax authorities in a timely, transparent and effective manner (including in relation to historical transactions which might have been perceived as aggressive in tax terms); incorrect calculation of tax estimates for reported and forecast tax numbers; or provision of incorrect tax advice. Such charges, or conducting any challenge to a relevant tax authority, could lead to adverse publicity, reputational damage and potentially to costs materially exceeding current provisions, in each case to an extent which could have an adverse effect on the Group's operations, financial conditions and prospects.

In addition, any changes to the tax regimes applicable to the Group could have a material adverse effect on it. For example, depending on the terms of the final form of legislation as implemented, the introduction of the proposed EU Financial Transaction Tax could adversely affect certain of the Group's businesses and have a material adverse effect on the Group's operations, financial conditions and prospects.

### viii) Implementation of the Transform programme and other strategic plans

The Transform programme represents the current strategy of the Group, both for improved financial performance and cultural change, and the Group expects to incur significant restructuring charges and costs associated with implementing this strategic plan. The successful development and implementation of such strategic plans requires difficult, subjective and complex judgements, including forecasts of economic conditions in various parts of the world, and is subject to significant execution risks. For example, the Group's ability to implement successfully the Transform programme and other such strategic plans may be adversely impacted by a significant global macroeconomic downturn, legacy issues, limitations in the Group's management or operational capacity or significant and unexpected regulatory change in countries in which the Group operates. Moreover, progress on the various components of Transform (including reduction in costs relative to net operating income) is unlikely to be uniform or linear, and certain targets may be achieved slower than others, if at all.

Failure to implement successfully the Transform programme could have a material adverse effect on the Group's ability to achieve the stated targets, estimates (including with respect to future capital and leverage ratios and dividends payout ratios) and other expected benefits of the Transform programme and there is also a risk that the costs associated with implementing the scheme may be higher than the financial benefits expected to be achieved through the programme. In addition, the goals of embedding a culture and set of values across the Group and achieving lasting and meaningful change to the Group's culture may not succeed, which could negatively impact the Group's operations, financial condition and prospects.

### Conduct risk

#### **Conduct risk: detriment is caused to our customers, clients, counterparties or Barclays and its employees because of inappropriate judgement in the execution of our business activities**

Ineffective management of conduct risk may lead to poor outcomes for our customers, clients and counterparties or damage to market integrity. It may also lead to detriment to Barclays and its employees. Such outcomes are inconsistent with Barclays' purpose and values and may negatively impact the Group's results of operations, financial condition and prospects. They may lead to negative publicity, loss of revenue, litigation, higher scrutiny and/or intervention from regulators, regulatory or legislative action, loss of existing or potential client business, reduced workforce morale, and difficulties in recruiting and retaining talent. This could reduce directly or indirectly the

attractiveness of the Group to stakeholders, including customers.

There are a number of areas where Barclays' conduct has not met the expectations of regulators and other stakeholders and where the Group has sustained financial and reputational damage in 2013, and where the consequences are likely to endure into 2014 and beyond. These include participation in London interbank offered rates (LIBOR) and interest rate hedging products, and Payment Protection Insurance (PPI). Provisions totalling £650m have been raised in respect of interest rate hedging products in 2013, bringing cumulative provisions to £1.5bn. Provisions of £1.35bn have been raised against PPI in 2013, bringing cumulative provisions to £3.95bn. To the extent that future experience is not in line with management's current estimates, additional provisions may be required and further reputational damage may be incurred.

## **Table of Contents**

Furthermore, the Group is from time to time subject to regulatory investigations which carry the risk of a finding that Barclays has been involved in some form of wrongdoing. It is not possible to foresee the outcome or impact of such findings other than fines or other forms of regulatory censure would be possible. There is a risk that there may be other conduct issues, including in business already written, of which Barclays is not presently aware.

Further details on PPI and interest rate hedging products, including a description of management judgements and estimates and sensitivity analysis on those estimates where available are provided in Note 28 (Provisions) to the financial statements. Further details on current regulatory investigations are provided in Note 30 (Legal, competition and regulatory matters).

### **Anti-money laundering, anti-bribery, sanctions and other compliance risks**

A major focus of government policy relating to financial institutions in recent years (including, in particular, the UK and the US) has been combating money laundering, bribery and terrorist financing and enforcing compliance with economic sanctions. In particular, regulations applicable to the US operations of Barclays Bank and its subsidiaries impose obligations to maintain appropriate policies, procedures and internal controls to detect, prevent and report money laundering and terrorist financing. In addition, such regulations in the US require Barclays Bank and its subsidiaries to ensure compliance with US economic sanctions against designated foreign countries, organisations, entities and nationals among others.

The risk of non-compliance for large global banking groups, such as Barclays, is high given the nature, scale and complexity of the organisation and the challenges inherent in implementing robust controls. The Group also operates in some newer markets, such as Africa, Asia and the Middle East, where the risks of non-compliance are higher than in more established markets. Failure by Barclays to maintain and implement adequate programs to combat money laundering, bribery and terrorist financing or to ensure economic sanction compliance could have serious legal and reputational consequences for the organisation, including exposure to fines, criminal and civil penalties and other damages, as well as adverse impacts on the Group's ability to do business in certain jurisdictions.

### **Failure to comply fully with the Consumer Credit Act (CCA3)**

As an example of non-compliance leading to costs of remediation, UK RBB has identified certain issues with the information contained in historic statements and arrears notices relating to consumer loan accounts and has therefore implemented a plan to return interest incorrectly charged to customers. Barclays is also undertaking a review of all its businesses where similar issues could arise, including Business Banking, Barclaycard, Wealth and Investment Management and Corporate Bank, to assess any similar or related issues. There is currently no certainty as to the outcome of this review. The findings of such review could have an adverse impact on the Group's operations, financial results and prospects.

## Reputation risk

### **Reputation risk: Damage to Barclays brand arising from any association, action or inaction which is perceived by stakeholders to be inappropriate or unethical**

Reputation risk is the risk of damage to the Barclays brand arising from any association, action or inaction which is perceived by stakeholders to be inappropriate or unethical and not in keeping with the bank's stated purpose and values (for example, the industry wide investigation into the setting of LIBOR and other benchmarks and the mis-selling of PPI to customers). Reputation risk may also arise from past, present or potential failures in corporate governance or management (for example, if Barclays were to provide funding or services to clients without fully implementing anti-money laundering, anti-bribery and corruption or similar precautions). In addition, reputation risk may arise from the actual or perceived manner in which Barclays conducts its business activities or in which business activities are conducted in the banking and financial industry generally.

Failure to appropriately manage reputation risk may reduce directly or indirectly the attractiveness of the Group to stakeholders, including customers and clients, and may lead to negative publicity, loss of revenue, litigation, higher scrutiny and/or intervention from regulators, regulatory or legislative action, loss of existing or potential client business, reduced workforce morale, and difficulties in recruiting and retaining talent. Sustained damage arising from conduct and reputation risks could have a materially negative impact on the Group's ability to operate fully and the value of the Group's franchise, which in turn could negatively affect the Group's operations, financial condition and prospects.

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

[Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 141](#)

---

**Table of Contents**

[Risk review >](#)

**Credit risk**

Credit risk is the risk of the Group suffering financial loss if any of its customers, clients or market counterparties fails to fulfil their contractual obligations to the Group.

All disclosures in this section (pages 142 to 189) are unaudited unless otherwise stated.

**Introduction**

Credit risk represents a significant risk to the Group and mainly arises from exposure to wholesale and retail loans and advances together with the counterparty credit risk arising from derivative contracts entered into with clients.

As a result, this section provides substantial information on the Group's exposure to loans and advances to customer and banks, together with collateral held and net impairment charges raised in the year. In addition it provides information on balances that are categorised as Credit Risk Loans, balances in forbearance, as well as exposure to and performance metrics for specific portfolios and assets types, including, for example, residential home loans, interest-only home loans, cards and other unsecured lending UK commercial retail estate and exposure in Corporate Spain.

More details of the topics covered in the section may be found in the credit risk section of the contents on page 132. Please see risk management section on pages 392 to 404 for details of governance, policies and procedures.

[Analysis of the Group's maximum exposure and collateral and other credit enhancements held](#)

**Basis of preparation**

The following tables present a reconciliation between the Group's maximum exposure and its net exposure to credit risk; reflecting the financial effects of collateral, credit enhancements and other actions taken to mitigate the Group's exposure.

For financial assets recognised on the balance sheet, maximum exposure to credit risk represents the balance sheet carrying value after allowance for impairment. For off-balance sheet guarantees, the maximum exposure is the maximum amount that Barclays would have to pay if the guarantees were to be called upon. For loan commitments and other credit related commitments that are irrevocable over the life of the respective facilities, the maximum exposure is the full amount of the committed facilities.

This and subsequent analyses of credit risk include only financial assets subject to credit risk. They exclude other financial assets not subject to credit risk, mainly equity securities held for trading, as available for sale or designated at fair value, and traded commodities. Assets designated at fair value in respect of linked liabilities to customers under investment contracts have also not been included as the Group is not exposed to credit risk on these assets. Credit losses in these portfolios, if any, would lead to a reduction in the linked liabilities and not result in a loss to the Group.

Of the remaining exposure left unmitigated, a significant portion relates to cash held at central banks, available for sale and trading portfolio debt securities issued by governments, cash collateral and settlement balances, all of which are considered lower risk. The credit quality of counterparties to derivative, available for sale, trading portfolio and wholesale loan assets are predominantly investment grade. Further analysis on the credit quality of assets is presented on pages 149 and 150.

Further detail on the Group's credit enhancement policies are presented on pages 398 to 400

## Overview

As at 31 December 2013, the Group's net exposure to credit risk after taking into account netting and set-off, collateral and risk transfer reduced 8% to £709.8bn, reflecting a reduction in maximum exposure of 11% and a reduction in the level of mitigation held by 14%. Overall, the extent to which the Group holds mitigation on its assets was stable at 54% (2012: 55%).



## Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

Where collateral has been obtained in the event of default, Barclays does not, as a rule, use such assets for its own operations and they are held for sale. The carrying value of assets held by the Group as at 31 December 2013 as a result of the enforcement of collateral was £234m (2012: £298m<sup>a</sup>).

### Note

a 2012 amounts have been revised to more accurately reflect the population of assets held as a result of collateral enforcement.

**Table of Contents****Maximum exposure and effects of collateral and other credit enhancements (audited)**

	Collateral					Net exposure
	Maximum exposure	Netting and set-off	Cash	Non-cash	Risk transfer	
As at 31 December 2013	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m
<b>On-balance sheet:</b>						
Cash and balances at central banks	45,687					45,687
Items in the course of collection from other banks	1,282					1,282
<b>Trading portfolio assets:</b>						
Debt securities	84,560					84,560
Traded loans	1,647					1,647
<b>Total trading portfolio assets</b>	<b>86,207</b>					<b>86,207</b>
<b>Financial assets designated at fair value:</b>						
Loans and advances	18,695			(6,840)	(301)	11,554
Debt securities	842					842
Reverse repurchase agreements	5,323			(5,006)		317
Other financial assets	678					678
<b>Total financial assets designated at fair value</b>	<b>25,538</b>			<b>(11,846)</b>	<b>(301)</b>	<b>13,391</b>
<b>Derivative financial instruments</b>	<b>324,335</b>	<b>(258,528)</b>	<b>(33,511)</b>	<b>(7,888)</b>	<b>(8,830)</b>	<b>15,578</b>
<b>Loans and advances to banks</b>	<b>37,853</b>	<b>(1,012)</b>		<b>(3,798)</b>	<b>(391)</b>	<b>32,652</b>

<b>Loans and advances to customers:</b>						
Home loans	179,527		(239)	(176,014)	(941)	2,333
Credit cards, unsecured and other retail lending	70,378	(8)	(1,182)	(18,566)	(2,243)	48,379
Corporate loans	180,506	(9,366)	(775)	(42,079)	(7,572)	120,714
<b>Total loans and advances to customers</b>	<b>430,411</b>	<b>(9,374)</b>	<b>(2,196)</b>	<b>(236,659)</b>	<b>(10,756)</b>	<b>171,426</b>
<b>Reverse repurchase agreements and other similar secured lending</b>	<b>186,779</b>			<b>(184,896)</b>		<b>1,883</b>
<b>Available for sale debt securities</b>	<b>91,298</b>			<b>(777)</b>		<b>90,521</b>
<b>Other assets</b>	<b>1,998</b>					<b>1,998</b>
<b>Total on-balance sheet</b>	<b>1,231,388</b>	<b>(268,914)</b>	<b>(35,707)</b>	<b>(445,864)</b>	<b>(20,278)</b>	<b>460,625</b>
<b>Off-balance sheet:</b>						
Contingent liabilities	19,675		(1,081)	(950)	(556)	17,088
Documentary credits and other short-term trade related transactions	780		(3)	(35)	(4)	738
Forward starting reverse repurchase agreements	19,936			(19,565)		371
Standby facilities, credit lines and other commitments	254,855		(1,220)	(20,159)	(2,529)	230,947
<b>Total off-balance sheet</b>	<b>295,246</b>		<b>(2,304)</b>	<b>(40,709)</b>	<b>(3,089)</b>	<b>249,144</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,526,634</b>	<b>(268,914)</b>	<b>(38,011)</b>	<b>(486,573)</b>	<b>(23,367)</b>	<b>709,769</b>

**Table of Contents**[Risk review >](#)

Credit risk continued

**Maximum exposure and effects of collateral and other credit enhancements (audited)**

As at 31 December 2012	Maximum exposure £m	Netting and set-off £m	Collateral		Risk transfer £m	Net exposure £m
			Cash £m	Non-cash £m		
<b>On-balance sheet:</b>						
<b>Cash and balances at central banks</b>	86,191					86,191
<b>Items in the course of collection from other banks</b>	1,473					1,473
<b>Trading portfolio assets:</b>						
Debt securities	116,307					116,307
Traded loans	2,410					2,410
<b>Total trading portfolio assets</b>	118,717					118,717
<b>Financial assets designated at fair value:</b>						
Loans and advances	21,996		(1)	(7,499)	(111)	14,385
Debt securities	6,975		(23)			6,952
Reverse repurchase agreements	6,034			(5,165)		869
Other financial assets	1,229					1,229
<b>Total financial assets designated at fair value</b>	36,234		(24)	(12,664)	(111)	23,435
<b>Derivative financial instruments</b>	469,156	(387,672)	(46,855)	(6,328)	(8,132)	20,169
<b>Loans and advances to banks</b>	40,462	(1,012)		(5,071)	(136)	34,243
<b>Loans and advances to customers:</b>						
Home loans	174,988		(179)	(172,543)	(53)	2,213

Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

Credit cards, unsecured and other retail lending	66,414	(10)	(909)	(17,703)	(2,321)	45,471
Corporate loans	182,504	(10,243)	(1,055)	(47,526)	(8,489)	115,191
<b>Total loans and advances to customers</b>	423,906	(10,253)	(2,143)	(237,772)	(10,863)	162,875
<b>Reverse repurchase agreements and other similar secured lending</b>	176,522		-	(174,918)		1,604
<b>Available for sale debt securities</b>	74,671		(1)	(233)	(32)	74,405
<b>Other assets</b>	2,001					2,001
<b>Total on-balance sheet</b>	1,429,333	(398,937)	(49,023)	(436,986)	(19,274)	525,113
<b>Off-balance sheet:</b>						
Contingent liabilities	21,857		(919)	(1,420)	(555)	18,963
Documentary credits and other short-term trade related transactions	1,027		(15)	(33)	(11)	968
Forward starting reverse repurchase agreements	23,549			(23,343)		206
Standby facilities, credit lines and other commitments	247,816		(1,013)	(16,960)	(3,396)	226,447
<b>Total off-balance sheet<sup>a</sup></b>	294,249		(1,947)	(41,756)	(3,962)	246,584
<b>Total</b>	1,723,582	(398,937)	(50,970)	(478,742)	(23,236)	771,697

Note

a Off-balance sheet commitments have been revised to reflect the inclusion of forward starting reverse repurchase agreements.

**Table of Contents****Concentrations of credit risk**

A concentration of credit risk exists when a number of counterparties are located in a geographical region or are engaged in similar activities and have similar economic characteristics that would cause their ability to meet contractual obligations to be similarly affected by changes in economic or other conditions. The Group implements certain limits on concentrations in order to mitigate the risk. The analyses of credit risk concentrations presented below are based on the location of the counterparty or customer or the industry in which they are engaged. Further detail on the Group's policies with regard to managing concentration risk is presented on page 393.

**Geographic concentrations**

As at 31 December 2013, the geographic concentration of the Group's assets remained broadly consistent with 2012. 37% (2012: 35%) of the exposure is concentrated in the UK, 24% (2012: 26%) in Europe and 29% (2012: 28%) in the Americas.

The most significant change in concentrations was for cash held at central banks. A significant reduction in Europe was noted, primarily with the European Central Bank, following the change in composition of the liquidity pool from cash and balances at central banks to government bonds; as a result UK cash contributed a higher proportion of the total. This also increased holdings in UK, Europe and Americas available for sale debt securities.

An analysis of geographical and industry concentration of Group loans and advances held at amortised cost and at fair value is presented on pages 152 and 153. Information on exposures to Eurozone countries is presented on pages 182 to 189.

**Credit risk concentrations by geography (audited)**

	United Kingdom £m	Europe £m	Americas £m	Africa and Middle East £m	Asia £m	Total £m
As at 31 December 2013						
On-balance sheet:						

Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

Cash and balances at central banks	7,307	29,983	4,320	2,111	1,966	45,687
Items in the course of collection from other banks	756	242		284		1,282
Trading portfolio assets	15,936	21,040	37,113	2,165	9,953	86,207
Financial assets designated at fair value	17,487	2,632	3,399	1,372	648	25,538
Derivative financial instruments	98,787	112,254	85,580	2,901	24,813	324,335
Loans and advances to banks	6,379	11,483	10,455	2,554	6,982	37,853
Loans and advances to customers	235,770	74,657	67,467	39,582	12,935	430,411
Reverse repurchase agreements and other similar secured lending	34,027	32,820	102,922	1,887	15,123	186,779
Available for sale debt securities	29,540	33,816	20,189	5,875	1,878	91,298
Other assets	917	380	260	324	117	1,998
<b>Total on-balance sheet</b>	<b>446,906</b>	<b>319,307</b>	<b>331,705</b>	<b>59,055</b>	<b>74,415</b>	<b>1,231,388</b>
<b>Off-balance sheet:</b>						
Contingent liabilities	10,349	2,475	4,521	2,110	220	19,675
Documentary credits and other short-term trade related transactions	496	121		163		780
Forward starting reverse repurchase agreements	5,254	3,903	4,753	4	6,022	19,936
Standby facilities, credit lines and other commitments	102,456	35,612	99,240	15,584	1,963	254,855
<b>Total off-balance sheet</b>	<b>118,555</b>	<b>42,111</b>	<b>108,514</b>	<b>17,861</b>	<b>8,205</b>	<b>295,246</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>565,461</b>	<b>361,418</b>	<b>440,219</b>	<b>76,916</b>	<b>82,620</b>	<b>1,526,634</b>

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 145

**Table of Contents**[Risk review >](#)

Credit risk continued

**Credit risk concentrations by geography (audited)**

As at 31 December 2012	United Kingdom £m	Europe £m	Americas £m	Africa and Middle East £m	Asia £m	Total £m
<b>On-balance sheet:</b>						
Cash and balances at central banks	3,547	70,173	6,568	2,354	3,549	86,191
Items in the course of collection from other banks	788	313		371	1	1,473
Trading portfolio assets	16,540	21,526	63,998	3,056	13,597	118,717
Financial assets designated at fair value	24,113	4,476	5,094	1,947	604	36,234
Derivative financial instruments	147,651	157,609	127,377	4,264	32,255	469,156
Loans and advances to banks	7,506	14,915	12,278	2,110	3,653	40,462
Loans and advances to customers	228,008	81,267	61,859	45,930	6,842	423,906
Reverse repurchase agreements and other similar secured lending	29,565	25,309	98,313	4,133	19,202	176,522
Available for sale debt securities	24,018	29,803	11,128	7,103	2,619	74,671
Other assets	987	332	328	290	64	2,001
<b>Total on-balance sheet</b>	<b>482,723</b>	<b>405,723</b>	<b>386,943</b>	<b>71,558</b>	<b>82,386</b>	<b>1,429,333</b>
<b>Off-balance sheet:</b>						
Contingent liabilities	10,343	3,372	5,045	2,342	755	21,857
Documentary credits and other short-term trade related transactions	629	103		295		1,027
Forward starting reverse repurchase agreements	7,186	5,331	4,597	6	6,429	23,549
Standby facilities, credit lines and other commitments <sup>a</sup>	100,260	32,736	91,574	21,637	1,609	247,816



Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

<b>Total off-balance sheet<sup>b</sup></b>	118,418	41,542	101,216	24,280	8,793	294,249
<b>Total</b>	601,141	447,265	488,159	95,838	91,179	1,723,582

**Industrial concentrations**

As at 31 December 2013, the industrial concentration of the Group's assets remained broadly consistent year on year. 48% (2012: 50%) of total assets were concentrated towards banks and other financial institutions, predominantly within derivative financial instruments which decreased significantly during the year. The proportion of the overall balance concentrated towards governments and central banks reduced to 12% (2012: 14%) primarily driven by the reduction in cash balances held within the liquidity pool. Home loans now contribute 13% (2012: 11%) of the total, due to growth within UK RBB.

Notes

- a 2012 amounts have been revised to accurately reflect the exposures within those regions. This resulted in a £6.2bn increase in the UK and a corresponding decrease in Europe.
- b Off-balance sheet commitments have been revised to reflect the inclusion of forward starting reverse repurchase agreements.

**Table of Contents**

**risk concentrations by industry (audited)**

Number	Banks £m	Other financial insti- tutions £m	Manu- facturing £m	Const- ruction and property £m	Govern- ment and central bank £m	Energy and water £m	Wholesale and retail distrib- ution and leisure £m	Business and other services £m	Home loans £m	Cards, unsecured loans and other personal lending £m	Other £m
Balance					45,687						
and at banks the of on er	1,174				108						
	6,964	18,064	1,379	655	50,955	3,265	545	3,312			1,068
ed at e ve l ents nd s to	4,720	2,835	164	8,589	5,613	162	327	3,038			90
	219,059	78,031	1,782	2,621	6,630	8,334	1,690	3,733			2,455
	35,738				2,115						

	99,355	10,343	23,951	4,988	7,452	12,864	20,062	179,527	52,715	19,154	4	
	62,180	116,148	1,083	6,019		23	1,326				1	
	15,625	12,817	25	97	56,780		21	5,435		498		
	470	1,295		17	82			134				
	345,930	328,545	13,693	37,013	178,977	19,213	15,470	37,040	179,527	52,715	23,265	1,2
	1,620	4,783	2,243	882	302	2,275	1,391	4,709	9	295	1,166	
	270	4	51	10		9	181	171		82	2	
	13,884	5,650			2			400				
	1,886	29,348	24,381	8,935	2,839	23,765	13,221	17,474	18,731	102,088	12,167	2
	17,660	39,785	26,675	9,827	3,143	26,049	14,793	22,754	18,760	102,465	13,335	2
	363,590	368,330	40,368	46,840	182,120	45,262	30,263	59,794	198,287	155,180	36,600	1,5

**Table of Contents**[Risk review >](#)

Credit risk continued

**Credit concentrations by industry (audited)**

	Banks £m	Other financial insti- tutions £m	Manu- facturing £m	Const- ruction and property £m	Govern- ment and central bank £m	Energy and water £m	Wholesale and retail distrib- ution and leisure £m	Business and other services £m	Home loans £m	Cards, unsecured loans and other personal lending £m	Other £m
December 2012											
Balance sheet:											
Receivable balances					86,191						
Loans											
Loans in course of collection											
Loans from other financial institutions	1,473										
Loans in portfolio	9,240	25,966	2,072	504	73,848	3,124	446	2,257			1,260
Loans at fair value	5,798	3,677	175	10,087	11,579	335	600	3,581			402
Loans	349,481	81,090	2,401	4,478	9,589	10,011	2,203	6,906			2,997
Loans to banks	38,842				1,620						
Loans to other financial institutions		86,330	11,518	25,877	4,788	7,622	14,603	24,152	171,993	55,645	21,378
Loans purchased and held for sale	64,616	106,231	4	1,014	3,684	261	98	610			4

Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

ar												
ding												
or sale												
ies	16,933	10,267	92	91	46,177	122	42	846				101
s	249	632	2	34	165	6	8	192	13	694		6
<b>balance</b>												
	486,632	314,193	16,264	42,085	237,641	21,481	18,000	38,544	172,006	56,339		26,148
<b>Off-balance sheet:</b>												
	1,369	4,701	2,532	1,218	1,690	2,684	1,484	4,219	89	333		1,538
ry												
other												
trade												
transactions	559	51	55	3		1	77	275		4		2
forward starting												
reverse repurchase	17,853	5,086	20		1		33	548		8		
agreements, and												
commitments <sup>a</sup>	8,068	26,396	24,937	8,675	3,829	21,790	10,566	13,727	17,538	96,993		15,297
<b>Off-balance sheet</b>												
	27,849	36,234	27,544	9,896	5,520	24,475	12,160	18,769	17,627	97,338		16,837
	514,481	350,427	43,808	51,981	243,161	45,956	30,160	57,313	189,633	153,677		42,985

Notes

a 2012 amounts for Banks have been revised to include £8,068m previously categorised as Other financial institutions.

b Off-balance sheet commitments have been revised to reflect the inclusion of forward starting reverse repurchase agreements.

## **Table of Contents**

### **Balance sheet credit quality**

The following tables present the credit quality of Group assets exposed to credit risk.

### **Basis of preparation**

For performing loans that are neither past due nor impaired, which form the majority of loans in the portfolios, the following internal measures of credit quality have been used for the purposes of this analysis:

- Strong – there is a very high likelihood of the asset being recovered in full, these include investment grade;
  - Satisfactory – while there is a high likelihood that the asset will be recovered and, therefore, is of no current cause for concern to the Group, the asset may not be collateralised, or may relate to facilities such as unsecured loans and credit card balances; and
  - Higher risk – there is concern over the obligor's ability to make payments when due. However, these have not yet converted to actual delinquency.
- Non-performing loans – loans that are impaired or are past due but not impaired – have been included in the Higher risk category for this analysis with prior year comparatives restated to align presentation. An age analysis of both is presented on pages 155 and 156.

For assets held at fair value, the carrying value on the balance sheet will include, among other things, the credit risk of the issuer. Most listed and some unlisted securities are rated by external rating agencies. The Group mainly uses external credit ratings provided by Standard & Poor's or Moody's. Where such ratings are not available or are not current, the Group will use its own internal ratings for the securities.

For further information on the way in which Barclays measures the credit quality of its loan portfolios, refer to page 404.

### **Overview**

As at 31 December 2013, the proportion of the Group's assets classified as strong remains flat at 83% (2012: 83%) of total assets exposed to credit risk.

Traded assets remain mostly investment grade within the strong category, with counterparties to 95% (2012: 94%) of total derivative financial instruments, and issuers of 95% (2012: 93%) of debt securities held for trading and 96%

## Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

(2012: 94%) of debt securities held available for sale being investment grade. The credit quality of counterparties to reverse repurchase agreements held at amortised cost remained broadly stable at 76% (2012: 73%). The credit risk of these assets is significantly reduced due to the high levels of collateralisation held.

In the loan portfolios, 85% of home loans (2012: 82%) to customers are measured as strong, The increase in the period reflects the acquisition of the largely strong credit quality portfolio in Barclays Direct during the year and improvements in the UK portfolio. The majority of credit card, unsecured and other retail lending remained satisfactory, reflecting the unsecured nature of a significant proportion of the balance, comprising 71% of the total (2012: 69%). The credit quality profile of the Group's wholesale lending improved with counterparties rated strong increasing to 69% (2012: 66%), primarily due to increases in settlement and collateral balances which are generally rated strong in the Investment Bank.

Further analysis of debt securities by issuer and issuer type is presented on page 178

Further information on netting and collateral arrangements on derivatives financial instruments is presented on pages 179 and 180

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

[Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 149](#)

**Table of Contents**[Risk review >](#)

Credit risk continued

**Balance sheet credit quality (audited)**

As at 31 December 2013	Strong (including investment grade) £m	Satisfactory (BB+ to B) £m	Higher risk (B- and below) £m	Maximum exposure to credit risk £m	Strong (including investment grade) %	Higher risk (BB+ to B) %	(B- and below) %	Maximum and exposure to credit risk %
Cash and balances at central banks	45,687			45,687	100%	0%	0%	100%
Items in the course of collection from other banks	1,218	51	13	1,282	95%	4%	1%	100%
<b>Trading portfolio assets:</b>								
Debt securities	80,190	3,633	737	84,560	95%	4%	1%	100%
Traded loans	526	700	421	1,647	32%	42%	26%	100%
<b>Total trading portfolio assets</b>	<b>80,716</b>	<b>4,333</b>	<b>1,158</b>	<b>86,207</b>	<b>94%</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>100%</b>
<b>Financial assets designated at fair value:</b>								
Loans and advances	17,020	1,017	658	18,695	91%	5%	4%	100%
Debt securities	403	36	403	842	48%	4%	48%	100%
Reverse repurchase agreements	4,492	794	37	5,323	84%	15%	1%	100%
Other financial assets	255	191	232	678	38%	28%	34%	100%
<b>Total financial assets designated at fair value</b>	<b>22,170</b>	<b>2,038</b>	<b>1,330</b>	<b>25,538</b>	<b>87%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>100%</b>



Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

<b>Derivative financial instruments</b>	<b>309,069</b>	<b>14,549</b>	<b>717</b>	<b>324,335</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>100%</b>
<b>Loans and advances to banks</b>	<b>35,181</b>	<b>1,634</b>	<b>1,038</b>	<b>37,853</b>	<b>93%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>100%</b>
<b>Loans and advances to customers:</b>								
Home loans	153,299	14,373	11,855	179,527	85%	8%	7%	100%
Credit cards, unsecured and other retail lending	14,728	50,100	5,550	70,378	21%	71%	8%	100%
Corporate loans	124,560	46,187	9,759	180,506	69%	26%	5%	100%
<b>Total loans and advances to customers</b>	<b>292,587</b>	<b>110,660</b>	<b>27,164</b>	<b>430,411</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>26%</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>100%</b>
<b>Reverse repurchase agreements and other similar secured lending</b>	<b>141,861</b>	<b>44,906</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>186,779</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>24%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>100%</b>
<b>Available for sale debt securities</b>	<b>87,888</b>	<b>1,354</b>	<b>2,056</b>	<b>91,298</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>100%</b>
<b>Other assets</b>	<b>1,598</b>	<b>340</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>1,998</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>100%</b>
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>1,017,975</b>	<b>179,865</b>	<b>33,548</b>	<b>1,231,388</b>	<b>83%</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>100%</b>
<b>As at 31 December 2012</b>								
<b>Cash and balances at central banks</b>	86,191			86,191	100%	0%	0%	100%
<b>Items in the course of collection from other banks</b>	1,337	103	33	1,473	91%	7%	2%	100%
<b>Trading portfolio assets:</b>								
Debt securities	108,379	5,841	2,087	116,307	93%	5%	2%	100%
Traded loans	460	1,617	333	2,410	19%	67%	14%	100%
<b>Total trading portfolio assets</b>	<b>108,839</b>	<b>7,458</b>	<b>2,420</b>	<b>118,717</b>	<b>92%</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>100%</b>
<b>Financial assets designated at fair value:</b>								
Loans and advances	19,609	1,684	703	21,996	89%	8%	3%	100%

Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

Debt securities	6,219	287	469	6,975	89%	4%	7%	100%
Reverse repurchase agreements	5,010	963	61	6,034	83%	16%	1%	100%
Other financial assets	664	462	103	1,229	54%	38%	8%	100%
<b>Total financial assets designated at fair value</b>	<b>31,502</b>	<b>3,396</b>	<b>1,336</b>	<b>36,234</b>	<b>87%</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>100%</b>
<b>Derivative financial instruments</b>	<b>443,112</b>	<b>23,498</b>	<b>2,546</b>	<b>469,156</b>	<b>94%</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>100%</b>
<b>Loans and advances to banks</b>	<b>36,668</b>	<b>2,868</b>	<b>926</b>	<b>40,462</b>	<b>91%</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>100%</b>
<b>Loans and advances to customers:</b>								
Home loans	142,828	20,407	11,753	174,988	81%	12%	7%	100%
Credit cards, unsecured and other retail lending	15,169	45,852	5,393	66,414	23%	69%	8%	100%
Wholesale	121,161	51,196	10,147	182,504	66%	28%	6%	100%
<b>Total loans and advances to customers</b>	<b>279,158</b>	<b>117,455</b>	<b>27,293</b>	<b>423,906</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>28%</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>100%</b>
<b>Reverse repurchase agreements and other similar secured lending</b>	<b>129,687</b>	<b>46,644</b>	<b>191</b>	<b>176,522</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>26%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>100%</b>
<b>Available for sale debt securities</b>	<b>69,871</b>	<b>2,331</b>	<b>2,469</b>	<b>74,671</b>	<b>94%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>100%</b>
<b>Other assets</b>	<b>1,623</b>	<b>322</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>2,001</b>	<b>81%</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>100%</b>
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>1,187,988</b>	<b>204,075</b>	<b>37,270</b>	<b>1,429,333</b>	<b>83%</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>100%</b>

**Table of Contents**

As the principal source of credit risk to the Group, loans and advances to customers and banks are analysed in detail below:

**Analysis of loans and advances and impairment****Retail and wholesale loans and advances to customers and banks**

	Gross		L&A net	Credit risk		Loan	Loan
	L&A	Impairment	of	loans	CRLs % of	impairment	Loan
	allowance	allowance	impairment	gross	gross L&A	charges	loss rates
	£m	£m	£m	£m	%	£m	bps
<b>As at 31 December 2013</b>							
<b>Total retail</b>	<b>236,219</b>	<b>4,372</b>	<b>231,847</b>	<b>7,567</b>	<b>3.2</b>	<b>2,161</b>	<b>91</b>
Wholesale customers	201,998	2,876	199,122	5,713	2.8	918	45
Wholesale banks	37,305	10	37,295	18		(17)	(5)
<b>Total wholesale</b>	<b>239,303</b>	<b>2,886</b>	<b>236,417</b>	<b>5,731</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>901</b>	<b>38</b>
<b>Loans and advances at amortised cost</b>	<b>475,522</b>	<b>7,258</b>	<b>468,264</b>	<b>13,298</b>	<b>2.8</b>	<b>3,062</b>	<b>64</b>
Traded loans	1,647	n/a	1,647				
Loans and advances designated at fair value	18,695	n/a	18,695				
<b>Loans and advances held at fair value</b>	<b>20,342</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>20,342</b>				
<b>Total loans and advances</b>	<b>495,864</b>	<b>7,258</b>	<b>488,606</b>				

As at 31 December 2012

Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

<b>Total retail</b>	232,672	4,635	228,037	8,722	3.7	2,075	89
Wholesale customers	199,423	3,123	196,300	6,252	3.1	1,251	63
Wholesale banks	40,072	41	40,031	51	0.1	(23)	(6)
<b>Total wholesale</b>	239,495	3,164	236,331	6,303	2.6	1,228	51
<b>Loans and advances at amortised cost</b>	472,167	7,799	464,368	15,025	3.2	3,303	70
Traded loans	2,410	n/a	2,410				
Loans and advances designated at fair value	21,996	n/a	21,996				
<b>Loans and advances held at fair value</b>	24,406	n/a	24,406				
<b>Total loans and advances</b>	496,573	7,799	488,774				

Loans and advances to customers and banks at amortised cost net of impairment remained stable at £468.3bn (2012: £464.4bn). This reflected a £3.8bn increase to £231.8bn in the retail portfolios, driven by increased mortgage lending and the acquisition of Barclays Direct in UK RBB. This was offset by reductions in Africa RBB, largely reflecting currency movements.

This growth combined with lower impairment charges on loans and advances resulted in a lower loan loss rate of 64bps (2012: 70bps).

Further detail can be found in the Retail and Wholesale Credit Risk sections on pages 158 and 173 respectively

**Table of Contents**[Risk review >](#)

Credit risk continued

**Group loans and advances held at amortised cost, by industry sector and geography**

Loans and advances, net of impairment allowances, held at amortised cost increased by 0.8% to £468,264m. This was driven by a rise in cash collateral and settlement balances, reflected in lending to other financial institutions, and an increase in home loans in the UK due to increased demand and the acquisition of Barclays Direct. This was offset by decreases in Africa, due to currency movements, and in Europe, due to the realignment of our target customer profile.

**Loans and advances at amortised cost net of impairment allowances by industry sector and geography**

	United Kingdom £m	Europe £m	Americas £m	Africa and Middle East £m	Asia £m	Total £m
<b>As at 31 December 2013</b>						
Banks	5,718	11,322	10,141	2,318	6,239	35,738
Other financial institutions	21,142	18,359	45,963	6,117	7,774	99,355
Manufacturing	5,306	1,916	1,297	1,218	606	10,343
Construction	3,133	417	19	347	27	3,943
Property	15,022	1,985	937	1,941	123	20,008
Government and central bank	1,546	1,739	685	1,325	1,808	7,103
Energy and water	1,715	3,035	1,489	735	478	7,452
Wholesale and retail distribution and leisure	9,609	1,296	464	1,320	175	12,864
Business and other services	12,826	2,656	2,220	1,926	434	20,062
Home loans	129,591	34,752	782	14,051	351	179,527
Cards, unsecured loans and other personal lending	28,168	6,792	12,630	3,842	1,283	52,715
Other	8,373	1,871	1,295	6,996	619	19,154
<b>Net loans and advances to customers and banks</b>	<b>242,149</b>	<b>86,140</b>	<b>77,922</b>	<b>42,136</b>	<b>19,917</b>	<b>468,264</b>
<b>Impairment allowance</b>	<b>(2,980)</b>	<b>(2,486)</b>	<b>(654)</b>	<b>(1,079)</b>	<b>(59)</b>	<b>(7,258)</b>

**As at 31 December 2012**

Banks	7,134	14,447	12,050	1,806	3,405	38,842
Other financial institutions	17,113	20,812	40,884	4,490	3,031	86,330
Manufacturing	6,041	2,533	1,225	1,232	487	11,518
Construction	3,077	476	1	699	21	4,274
Property	15,167	2,411	677	3,101	247	21,603
Government and central bank	558	2,985	1,012	1,600	253	6,408
Energy and water	2,286	2,365	1,757	821	393	7,622
Wholesale and retail distribution and leisure	9,567	2,463	734	1,748	91	14,603
Business and other services	15,754	2,754	2,360	2,654	630	24,152
Home loans	119,653	36,659	480	14,931	270	171,993
Cards, unsecured loans and other personal lending	29,716	5,887	11,725	7,170	1,147	55,645
Other	9,448	2,390	1,232	7,788	520	21,378
Net loans and advances to customers and banks	235,514	96,182	74,137	48,040	10,495	464,368
Impairment allowance	(3,270)	(2,606)	(472)	(1,381)	(70)	(7,799)

**Table of Contents****Loans and advances held at fair value by industry sector and geography**

Total loans and advances held at fair value, principally reflecting balances held in the Corporate and Investment Bank, decreased 16.7% to £20.3bn (2012: £24.4bn) primarily reflecting an increase in long term interest rates and paydowns associated with the ESHLA portfolio. A large portion of the total comprises lending to property, government, and business and other services. Property includes lending to the Corporate Real Estate business and to social housing associations.

**Loans and advances held at fair value, by industry sector and geography**

	United Kingdom £m	Europe £m	Americas £m	Africa and Middle East £m	Asia £m	Total £m
<b>As at 31 December 2013</b>						
Banks		150	72	273	1	496
Other financial institutions <sup>a</sup>	12	782	409	24	42	1,269
Manufacturing	21	41	98		6	166
Construction	148	1		11		160
Property	7,595	766	164	3		8,528
Government and central bank	5,288	8		98	1	5,395
Energy and water	12	65	465	48		590
Wholesale and retail distribution and leisure	40	75	97	53		265
Business and other services	2,865	59	261	127	1	3,313
Other	11	27	51	63	8	160
<b>Total</b>	<b>15,992</b>	<b>1,974</b>	<b>1,617</b>	<b>700</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>20,342</b>
<b>As at 31 December 2012</b>						
Banks		493	120	422		1,035
Other financial institutions	13	611	622	8	39	1,293
Manufacturing	6	38	601	16	15	676
Construction	161	1		28	4	194
Property	8,671	830	295	121		9,917
Government and central bank	5,762	6	314	17	5	6,104
Energy and water	10	73	41	46	3	173

## Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

Wholesale and retail distribution and leisure	33	2	220	72	1	328
Business and other services	3,404	20	685	14		4,123
Other	105	132	46	224	56	563
<b>Total</b>	<b>18,165</b>	<b>2,206</b>	<b>2,944</b>	<b>968</b>	<b>123</b>	<b>24,406</b>

### Note

a Included within Other financial institutions Americas are £250m (2012: £427m) of loans backed by retail mortgage collateral.

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

[Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 153](#)



**Table of Contents**[Risk review >](#)

Credit risk continued

**Impairment charges (audited)**

Loan impairment charges reduced 7% to £3,062m, reflecting lower charges in Corporate Banking and Africa RBB, partially offset by increased charges across the rest of the Group. Combined with a 1% increase in gross loans and advances, this resulted in a lower overall Group loan loss rate of 64 bps (2012: 70bps; 2011: 77bps).

**Credit impairment charges and other provisions by business (audited)**

	<b>2013</b>	2012	2011
	<b>£m</b>	£m	£m
<b>Loan impairment</b>			
UK RBB	<b>347</b>	269	536
Europe RBB	<b>287</b>	257	188
Africa RBB	<b>324</b>	632	462
Barclaycard	<b>1,264</b>	1,049	1,312
Investment Bank	<b>209</b>	192	129
Corporate Banking	<b>512</b>	864	1,124
Wealth and Investment Management	<b>121</b>	38	41
Head Office and Other Operations	<b>(2)</b>	2	(2)
<b>Total loan impairment charges<sup>a</sup></b>	<b>3,062</b>	3,303	3,790
Impairment charges on available for sale financial investments (excluding BlackRock, Inc.)	<b>1</b>	40	60
Impairment of reverse repurchase agreements	<b>8</b>	(3)	(48)
<b>Total credit impairment charges and other provisions</b>	<b>3,071</b>	3,340	3,802
<b>Impairment of investment in BlackRock, Inc.</b>			1,800

**Impairment allowances (audited)**

Impairment allowances decreased 7% to £7,258m, principally due to a reduction in corporate loans in Corporate Banking Europe, largely due to actions to reduce exposure in the Spanish property and construction sectors, and lower working capital funding requirements from UK counterparties.

## Movements in allowance for impairment by asset class (audited)

	At beginning of year	Acquisitions and disposals	Unwind of discounts	Exchange and other adjustments	Amounts written off	Recoveries	Amounts charged to income statement	Balance at 31 December
	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m
<b>2013</b>								
Home loans	855		(38)	(147)	(199)	30	287	788
Credit cards, unsecured and other retail lending	3,780	(5)	(132)	50	(2,050)	123	1,837	3,603
Corporate loans	3,164		(9)	(163)	(1,094)	48	921	2,867
<b>Total impairment allowance</b>	<b>7,799</b>	<b>(5)</b>	<b>(179)</b>	<b>(260)</b>	<b>(3,343)</b>	<b>201</b>	<b>3,045</b>	<b>7,258</b>
<b>2012</b>								
Home loans	834		(45)	(33)	(382)	24	457	855
Credit cards, unsecured and other retail lending	4,540	(59)	(144)	(248)	(2,102)	119	1,674	3,780
Corporate loans	3,522	(21)	(22)	75	(1,635)	69	1,176	3,164
<b>Total impairment allowance</b>	<b>8,896</b>	<b>(80)</b>	<b>(211)</b>	<b>(206)</b>	<b>(4,119)</b>	<b>212</b>	<b>3,307</b>	<b>7,799</b>

## Note

a Includes charges of £17m (2012: £4m write back; 2011: £24m charge) in respect of undrawn facilities and guarantees.

**Table of Contents****Impaired loans**

The following table represents an analysis of impaired loans in line with the disclosure requirements from the Enhanced Disclosure Taskforce. For further information on definitions of impaired loans refer to the identifying potential credit risk loans section on page 395.

**Movement in impaired loans**

	At beginning of year	Classified as impaired during the year	Transferred to not impaired during the year	Repayments	Amounts written off	Acquisitions and disposals	Exchange and other adjustments	Balance at 31 December
	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m
<b>2013</b>								
Home loans	2,207	1,217	(509)	(576)	(230)		(126)	1,983
Credit cards, unsecured and other retail lending	3,874	2,449	(168)	(362)	(2,267)		(141)	3,385
Wholesale loans	5,666	2,188	(804)	(710)	(1,074)		(124)	5,142
<b>Total impaired loans</b>	<b>11,747</b>	<b>5,854</b>	<b>(1,481)</b>	<b>(1,648)</b>	<b>(3,571)</b>		<b>(391)</b>	<b>10,510</b>
<b>2012</b>								
Home loans	2,198	1,421	(531)	(512)	(254)		(115)	2,207
Credit cards, unsecured and other retail lending	5,024	2,228	(332)	(417)	(2,482)	(59)	(148)	3,874
Wholesale loans	6,349	2,650	(649)	(1,282)	(1,145)	(80)	(177)	5,666
<b>Total impaired loans</b>	<b>13,571</b>	<b>6,359</b>	<b>(1,512)</b>	<b>(2,211)</b>	<b>(3,881)</b>	<b>(139)</b>	<b>(440)</b>	<b>11,747</b>

For information over restructured loans refer to disclosures on forbearance on page 157

### Age analysis of loans and advances that are past due (audited)

The following tables present an age analysis of loans and advances that are past due but not impaired and loans that are assessed as impaired. These loans are reflected in the balance sheet credit quality tables on page 150 as being Higher Risk.

#### Loans and advances past due but not impaired (audited)

	Past due up to 1 month £m	Past due 1-2 months £m	Past due 2-3 months £m	Past due 3-6 months £m	Past due 6 months and over £m	Total £m
<b>As at 31 December 2013</b>						
Loans and advances designated at fair value	113	45	9	10	170	347
Home loans	36	5	19	76	51	187
Credit cards, unsecured and other retail lending	103	37	16	56	109	321
Corporate loans	4,210	407	308	248	407	5,580
<b>Total</b>	<b>4,462</b>	<b>494</b>	<b>352</b>	<b>390</b>	<b>737</b>	<b>6,435</b>
<b>As at 31 December 2012</b>						
Loans and advances designated at fair value	108	50	39	19	176	392
Home loans	127	23	48	42	39	279
Credit cards, unsecured and other retail lending	133	6	6	8	35	188
Corporate loans	4,261	471	459	236	427	5,854
<b>Total</b>	<b>4,629</b>	<b>550</b>	<b>552</b>	<b>305</b>	<b>677</b>	<b>6,713</b>

**Table of Contents**[Risk review >](#)

Credit risk continued

**Analysis of loans and advances assessed as impaired (audited)**

The following tables present an age analysis of loans and advances collectively impaired, total individually impaired loans, and total impairment allowance.

**Loans advance assessed as impaired (audited)**

	Past due up to 1 month	Past due 1-2 months	Past due 2-3 months	Past due 3-6 months	Past due 6 months and over	Individually assessed for Total impairment	Total	
<b>As at 31 December 2013</b>								
Home loans	5,726	2,161	667	728	1,818	11,100	510	11,610
Credit cards, unsecured and other retail lending	1,589	1,029	411	632	2,866	6,527	1,548	8,075
Corporate loans	1,047	40	35	59	400	1,581	3,892	5,473
<b>Total</b>	<b>8,362</b>	<b>3,230</b>	<b>1,113</b>	<b>1,419</b>	<b>5,084</b>	<b>19,208</b>	<b>5,950</b>	<b>25,158</b>
<b>As at 31 December 2012</b>								
Home loans	4,649	2,297	650	888	1,964	10,448	783	11,231
Credit cards, unsecured and other retail lending	1,539	938	345	550	2,864	6,236	1,617	7,853
Corporate loans	344	57	46	57	872	1,376	4,010	5,386
<b>Total</b>	<b>6,532</b>	<b>3,292</b>	<b>1,041</b>	<b>1,495</b>	<b>5,700</b>	<b>18,060</b>	<b>6,410</b>	<b>24,470</b>

**Potential Credit Risk Loans (PCRLs)**

The Group reports potentially and actually impaired loans as PCRLs. PCRLs comprise two categories of loans: Credit Risk Loans (CRLs) and Potential Problem Loans (PPLs). For further information on definitions of CRLs and PPLs refer to the identifying potential credit risk loans section on page 395.

## PCRLs and coverage ratios

As at 31 December	CRLs		PPLs		PCRLs	
	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012
	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m
Retail <sup>a</sup>	7,567	8,722	708	758	8,275	9,480
Wholesale	5,731	6,303	1,100	1,102	6,831	7,405
<b>Group</b>	<b>13,298</b>	<b>15,025</b>	<b>1,808</b>	<b>1,860</b>	<b>15,106</b>	<b>16,885</b>

As at 31 December	Impairment allowance		CRL coverage		PCRL coverage	
	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012
	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m
Retail	4,372	4,635	57.8	53.1	52.8	48.9
Wholesale	2,886	3,164	50.4	50.2	42.2	42.7
<b>Group</b>	<b>7,258</b>	<b>7,799</b>	<b>54.6</b>	<b>51.9</b>	<b>48.0</b>	<b>46.2</b>

For more information refer to the Retail Credit risk section, Wholesale Credit risk section and Risk management section.

## Note

a 2012 PCRL balances in Africa RBB have been restated to better reflect their PCRL categorisation. As a result CRLs decreased by £99m and PPLs increased by £102m. This has been allocated between Home Loans (CRL: £76m and PPL: £59m) and Other Retail Lending (CRL: £23m and PPL: £43m).

**Table of Contents****Forbearance**

The following table summaries balances within forbearance programmes across the Group by division.

**Analysis of Group balances in forbearance programmes**

	Balances		Impairment stock		Coverage	
	2013 £m	2012 £m	2013 £m	2012 £m	2013 %	2012 %
<b>As at 31 December</b>						
<b>Retail</b>	<b>5,002</b>	5,447	<b>581</b>	575	<b>11.6</b>	10.6
UK RBB	2,785	2,907	89	96	3.2	3.3
Europe RBB	704	756	60	37	8.5	4.9
Africa RBB	305	481	38	33	12.5	6.9
Barclaycard	1,156	1,237	388	395	33.5	31.9
Corporate Banking <sup>a</sup>	23	34	5	12	22.0	35.4
Wealth and Investment Management	29	32	1	2	3.9	6.0
<b>Wholesale</b>	<b>3,385</b>	4,254	<b>891</b>	1,149	<b>26.3</b>	27.0
Investment Bank	495	846	30	180	6.1	21.3
Corporate Banking <sup>a</sup>	2,003	2,482	777	896	38.8	36.1
Africa RBB	159	243	14	38	8.8	15.6
Wealth and Investment Management	728	683	70	35	9.6	5.1
<b>Group</b>	<b>8,387</b>	9,701	<b>1,472</b>	1,724	<b>17.6</b>	17.8

Wholesale and retail balances in forbearance are reported as a CRL as long as they meet the normal CRL criteria (see identifying potential credit risk loans on page 395). In addition, for card portfolios, all forbearance balances less than 90 days in arrears where revised payments are less than 50% of contractual monthly payments are also included in CRLs.

Further detail can be found in the Retail and Wholesale Credit risk sections on pages 161 to 164 and pages 175 and 176 respectively

### Exposure to UK Commercial Real Estate (CRE)

This table details the Group's total exposure to UK CRE split by retail and wholesale lending classification.

### Exposure to UK CRE

As at 31 December	Loans and advances at amortised cost		Balances past due		Impairment allowances	
	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012
Wholesale	9,842	10,036	361	469	110	106
Retail	1,593	1,534	103	123	16	20
Group	11,435	11,570	464	592	126	126

Further detail can be found in the Retail and Wholesale Credit risk sections on pages 172 and 177 respectively

Note

a Primarily comprises UAE retail portfolios in 2013. Includes India portfolios in 2012.

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 157



## **Table of Contents**

[Risk review >](#)

Credit risk continued

### **Retail credit risk**

Gross loans and advances to customers and banks in the retail portfolios increased 2% to £236.2bn; principally reflecting movements in UK RBB, where a 6% increase to £138bn reflected the acquisition of Barclays Direct and growth in home loans. This was partially offset by a reduction in Africa RBB, principally reflecting currency movements.

The loan impairment charge increased 4% to £2,161m principally the result of:

- ; UK RBB increased 29% to £347m primarily due to the non-recurrence of releases in 2012 impacting unsecured lending and mortgages. Excluding these, impairment was broadly in line with prior year;
  - ; Barclaycard increased 20% to £1,264m primarily driven by higher assets, including the impact of the acquisition of the Edcon portfolio in late 2012, and the non-recurrence of releases in 2012. Impairment loan loss rates in consumer credit cards remained stable at 356bps (2012: 358bps) in the UK and at 268bps (2012: 268bps) in the US, but increased to 560bps (2012: 160bps) in South Africa;
  - ; Europe RBB increased 12% to £287m due in part to additional charges resulting from decreases in Spanish government subsidies to the renewable energy sector, foreign currency movements and an increase in home loan balances in recoveries. This was partially offset by improvements in underlying collections performance;
  - ; Africa RBB decreased 45% to £259m driven by lower charges in the South African home loans portfolios and foreign currency movements;
  - ; Wealth and Investment Management increased to £9m (2012: nil) driven primarily by losses on Spanish residential property; and
  - ; Corporate Banking decreased to a £5m release (2012: £27m charge) driven by a sharp improvement in residential property values.
- Higher overall impairment charges led to an increase in the retail loan loss rate to 91bps (2012: 89bps).

## Retail loans and advances at amortised cost

	Gross		L&A net Credit risk		Loan		Loan
	L&A	Impairment	of	loans	CRLs % of	impairment	Loan
	allowance		impairment	gross	L&A	charges	loss rates
	£m	£m	£m	£m	%	£m	bps
<b>As at 31 December 2013</b>							
UK RBB	138,056	1,308	136,748	2,664	1.9	347	25
Europe RBB	38,016	660	37,356	1,801	4.7	287	75
Africa RBB	19,363	491	18,872	1,026	5.3	259	134
Barclaycard	37,468	1,856	35,612	1,992	5.3	1,264	337
Corporate Banking <sup>a</sup>	488	39	449	45	9.2	(5)	(102)
Wealth and Investment Management	2,828	18	2,810	39	1.4	9	32
<b>Total</b>	<b>236,219</b>	<b>4,372</b>	<b>231,847</b>	<b>7,567</b>	<b>3.2</b>	<b>2,161</b>	<b>91</b>
<b>As at 31 December 2012</b>							
UK RBB	129,682	1,369	128,313	2,883	2.2	269	21
Europe RBB	39,997	560	39,437	1,734	4.3	257	64
Africa RBB	23,987	700	23,287	1,691	7.0	472	197
Barclaycard	35,732	1,911	33,821	2,288	6.4	1,050	294
Corporate Banking <sup>a</sup>	739	79	660	92	12.4	27	365
Wealth and Investment Management	2,535	16	2,519	34	1.3		
<b>Total</b>	<b>232,672</b>	<b>4,635</b>	<b>228,037</b>	<b>8,722</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>2,075</b>	<b>89</b>

Note

a Primarily comprises UAE retail portfolios in 2013. Includes India portfolios in 2012 that were predominantly disposed of in 2013.

**Table of Contents****Analysis of retail gross loans and advances**

	Secured home loans <sup>a</sup> £m	Credit cards, overdrafts and unsecured loans £m	Other secured retail lending <sup>b</sup> £m	Business lending £m	Total retail £m
<b>As at 31 December 2013</b>					
UK RBB	122,879	6,854		8,323	138,056
Europe RBB	33,615	2,870		1,531	38,016
Africa RBB	13,664	2,469	2,584	646	19,363
Barclaycard		34,276	2,487	705	37,468
Corporate Banking	252	199	30	7	488
Wealth and Investment Management	2,575	91	162		2,828
<b>Total</b>	<b>172,985</b>	<b>46,759</b>	<b>5,263</b>	<b>11,212</b>	<b>236,219</b>
<b>As at 31 December 2012</b>					
UK RBB	114,766	6,863		8,053	129,682
Europe RBB	34,825	3,430		1,742	39,997
Africa RBB	17,422	2,792	3,086	687	23,987
Barclaycard		32,432	2,730	570	35,732
Corporate Banking	274	336	117	12	739
Wealth and Investment Management	2,267	63	205		2,535
<b>Total</b>	<b>169,554</b>	<b>45,916</b>	<b>6,138</b>	<b>11,064</b>	<b>232,672</b>

Secured home loans and credit cards, overdrafts and unsecured loans are analysed on pages 165 and 169, respectively.

**Management adjustments to models for impairment**

Management adjustments to models for impairment in retail portfolios are applied in order to factor in certain conditions or changes in policy that are not incorporated into the relevant impairment models or to ensure that the impairment allowance reflects all known facts and circumstances at the period end. Adjustments typically increase the model derived impairment allowance.

Management adjustments to models of more than £10m with respect to impairment charge in our principal retail portfolios are presented below.

**Principal portfolios with management adjustments greater than £10m**

As at 31 December 2013	<b>Management adjustments to impairment charge, including forbearance £m</b>	<b>Proportion of total impairment charge %</b>
UK home loans	13	61.9
South Africa home loans	11	10.7
UK personal loans	20	13.7
UK overdrafts	10	14.3
Iberia cards	12	14.1
Spain business lending	25	40.1

The significant management adjustments to impairment were due to:

- ; UK home loans higher impairment allowances reflecting the external environment;
- ; South Africa home loans maintaining impairment coverage on the recoveries book following a reduction in the loss given default rate;
- ; UK personal loans impacts from changes to the impairment policy for emergence periods, forbearance and high risk pending their inclusion into the impairment models;
- ; UK overdraft additional coverage for dormant accounts together with changes to the impairment policy for emergence periods, forbearance and high risk pending inclusion into the impairment models;
- ; Iberia cards impacts from changes to impairment policy for emergence periods forbearance and high risk pending their inclusion into the impairment models; and
- ; Spain business lending additional charges resulting from decreases in subsidies to the renewable energy sector in Spain.

Notes

- a All portfolios under secured home loans are primarily first lien mortgages. Other secured retail lending under Barclaycard is a second lien mortgage portfolio.

b Other secured retail lending includes vehicle auto finance in Africa RBB and UK secured lending in Barclaycard.

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

[Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 159](#)

**Table of Contents**[Risk review >](#)

Credit risk continued

**Potential Credit Risk Loans and coverage ratios****PCRLs and coverage ratios**

	CRLs		PPLs		PCRLs	
	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012
As at 31 December	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m
Home loans	2,803	3,321	316	321	3,119	3,642
Credit cards and unsecured lending	3,468	3,954	279	295	3,747	4,249
Other retail lending and business banking	1,296	1,447	113	142	1,409	1,589
<b>Total retail<sup>a</sup></b>	<b>7,567</b>	<b>8,722</b>	<b>708</b>	<b>758</b>	<b>8,275</b>	<b>9,480</b>

	Impairment allowance		CRL coverage		PCRL coverage	
	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012
As at 31 December	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m
Home loans	776	849	27.7	25.6	24.9	23.3
Credit cards and unsecured lending	3,026	3,212	87.3	81.2	80.8	75.6
Other retail lending and business banking	570	574	44.0	39.7	40.5	36.1

<b>Total retail</b>	<b>4,372</b>	4,635	<b>57.8</b>	53.1	<b>52.8</b>	48.9
---------------------	--------------	-------	-------------	------	-------------	------

### PCRLs and coverage ratios by business

As at 31 December	CRLs		PPLs		PCRLs	
	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012
	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m
UK RBB	2,664	2,883	239	283	2,903	3,166
Europe RBB	1,801	1,734	73	98	1,874	1,832
Africa RBB <sup>a</sup>	1,026	1,691	153	163	1,179	1,854
Barclaycard	1,992	2,288	239	208	2,231	2,496
Corporate Banking	45	92	2	5	47	97
Wealth and Investment Management	39	34	2	1	41	35
<b>Total retail</b>	<b>7,567</b>	8,722	<b>708</b>	758	<b>8,275</b>	9,480

As at 31 December	Impairment allowance		CRL coverage		PCRL coverage	
	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012
	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m
UK RBB	1,308	1,369	49.1	47.5	45.1	43.2
Europe RBB	660	560	36.6	32.3	35.2	30.6
Africa RBB	491	700	47.9	41.4	41.6	37.8
Barclaycard	1,856	1,911	93.2	83.5	83.2	76.6
Corporate Banking	39	79	86.7	85.9	83.0	81.4
Wealth and Investment Management	18	16	46.2	47.1	43.9	45.7
<b>Total retail</b>	<b>4,372</b>	4,635	<b>57.8</b>	53.1	<b>52.8</b>	48.9

CRL balances in retail portfolios decreased 13.2% to £7,567m. This is primarily driven by Africa RBB and the associated depreciation of ZAR against GBP and the reduction in the recovery book in South Africa home loans. The reduction in UK RBB reflected higher cash recoveries in Business Banking and lower flows into recovery in Consumer Lending. The reduction in Barclaycard reflected lower balances in recovery across the principal portfolios. The CRL decrease was partially offset by higher balances in Europe RBB, primarily due to appreciation of EUR against GBP and an increase in recovery balances across all home loans portfolios.

Note

- a 2012 PCRL balances in Africa RBB have been restated to better reflect their PCRL categorisation. As a result PCRL balances increased by £3m; CRLs decreased by £99m; and PPLs increased by £102m. This has been allocated between Home Loans (CRL: £76m and PPL: £59m) and Other Retail Lending (CRL: £23m and PPL: £43m).



**Table of Contents****Retail forbearance programmes****Forbearance programmes on principal credit cards, overdrafts, unsecured loans, home loans and business lending portfolios**

Retail forbearance is available to customers experiencing financial difficulties. Forbearance solutions may take a number of forms depending on the extent of the financial dislocation. Short term solutions normally focus on temporary reductions to contractual payments and switches from capital and interest payments to interest only. For customers with longer term financial difficulties, term extensions may be offered, which may also include interest rate concessions and fully amortising balances for card portfolios.

Forbearance on the Group's principal portfolios in the US, UK, Eurozone and South Africa is presented below. The principal portfolios listed below account for 93% (2012: 94%) of total retail forbearance balances.

**Analysis of key portfolios in forbearance programmes**

	Balances on forbearance programmes					Marked to market	Marked to market	Impairment	Total balances on forbearance programmes	Total coverage ratio
	Total	% of gross L&A	Up-to-date	Past due of which: 1-90 days	Past due of which: more than 90 days	LTV of forbearance balances: weighted	LTV of forbearance balances: weighted	allowances against forbearance programmes		
	£m	%	£m	£m	£m	%	%	£m	%	
As at 31 December 2013										
Home Loans										
UK	2,364	1.9	1,867	313	184	63.4	51.6	23	1.0	
South Africa	248	2.1	117	115	16	74.4	60.5	17	6.9	
Spain	171	1.4	124	36	11	68.3	52.3	8	4.9	
Italy	307	2.0	248	31	28	62.2	50.9	10	3.2	
Credit Cards										

Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

UK	912	5.6	861	44	7	n/a	n/a	333	36.5
US	106	1.1	73	24	9	n/a	n/a	10	9.8
<b>Unsecured Loans</b>									
UK	142	2.9	94	40	8	n/a	n/a	34	23.7

**As at 31 December 2012**

**Home Loans**

UK	2,536	2.2	1,961	391	184	67.7	56.1	24	0.9
South Africa	404	2.6	170	164	70	78.3	64.7	16	4.0
Spain	174	1.3	118	45	11	68.9	53.3	10	5.7
Italy	426	2.6	391	20	15	62.6	52.2	7	1.7

**Credit Cards**

UK	991	6.3	927	56	8	n/a	n/a	350	35.3
US	116	1.3	77	29	10	n/a	n/a	15	12.9

**Unsecured Loans**

UK	168	3.4	113	42	13	n/a	n/a	44	26.2
----	-----	-----	-----	----	----	-----	-----	----	------

Loans in forbearance in the principal home loans portfolios decreased 13% to £3,090m primarily due to decreases in UK, South Africa and Italy.

⌋ The UK home loans under forbearance programmes decreased 7% to £2,364m. In H213, the definition was expanded to include customers who are up to date on their mortgage but have either been granted a term extension or have drawn against their Mortgage Current Account and displayed other indicators of financial stress. 2012 forbearance balances were restated from £1,596m to £2,536m in line with the new definition. As a result of the restatement, Mortgage Current Account balances (see page 169) now account for 71% of the total forbearance balances, the majority of the remainder being term extensions which account for 17%. Total past due balances reduced 14% to £497m due to improved collections procedures.

⌋ In South Africa, the reduction in forbearance balances is due to the implementation of enhanced qualification criteria which results in a more appropriate and sustainable programme for the customer, and a depreciation of ZAR against GBP.

⌋ In Italy, the majority of the balances relate to specific schemes required by the government, including schemes in response to natural disasters and amendments are primarily payment holidays and interest suspensions. The decrease of 28% to £307m is in part due to customers exiting such government forbearance schemes after recommencing payments. The coverage for forbearance accounts remains low, at 3.2% (2012: 1.7%) reflecting the underlying quality of customers on these government schemes, with 85% of customers being up-to-date upon entering the forbearance schemes for natural disasters.

Forbearance balances on principal credit cards, overdrafts and unsecured loan portfolios decreased by 9% to £1,160m. Forbearance programmes as a proportion of outstanding balances reduced in UK and US cards due to better payment rates arising from an improved credit environment.

## Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

- ; In UK Cards, Repayment Plans which account for majority of the forbearance balances exit forbearance treatment when they are more than 60 days past due. The small residual balance reported as >90 days past due relates to accounts that remain on legacy terms and conditions. Impairment allowances against UK cards forbearance decreased following a review of the qualification criteria in the forbearance policy, the impacts of which became evident from Q2 2013. This included a reduction of balances on forbearance programmes and better performance resulting in a decrease in impairment coverage ratio.
  
- ; US cards forbearance programme coverage ratio was lower than the UK due to the nature of the customer mix (partnership focused) and the type of forbearance, where long-term fully amortising forbearance plans make up over 50% of all forborne accounts. These plans have lower loss rates compared to the UK and carry lower levels of impairment driven by higher payment success rates compared with the UK.

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

[Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 161](#)

**Table of Contents**[Risk review >](#)

Credit risk continued

**Business lending****Balances on forbearance programmes: arrears managed**of which:  
Past due of which: Impairment

As at 31 December 2013	Total gross L&A £m	% of L&A %	Up-to-date £m	of which:		Impairment allowances marked against forbearance programmes on coverage ratio	Total balances on forbearance programmes coverage ratio
				1-90 days past due £m	91 or more days past due £m		
UK	49	0.6	25	4	20	12.1	24.7
Spain	4	0.4	3	1		0.2	5.7
Portugal	8	1.8	7	1		1.9	23.3

**Balances on forbearance programmes: EWL managed**

Impairment

allowances

of which:

marked  
against  
forbearance  
balances  
programmes  
on  
coverage  
ratio

As at 31 December 2013	Total £m	% of gross L&A %	Performing balances £m	Non-performing balances £m	Impairment allowances marked against forbearance programmes on coverage ratio	Total balances on forbearance programmes coverage ratio

Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

UK	229	2.7	159	70	19.9	8.7
Spain	122	11.9	116	6	25.0	20.5
Portugal	9	1.9	9		2.2	25.9

			Impairment allowances marked against balances on coverage ratio	Total balances on coverage ratio
	Balances on forbearance programmes	% of gross L&A	on forbearance programmes	on coverage ratio
As at 31 December 2013	£m	%	£m	%
UK	278	3.3	32.0	11.5
Spain	126	12.3	25.2	20.0
Portugal	17	3.7	4.1	24.6

**Table of Contents****Balances on forbearance programmes: arrears managed**

				of which:		allowances		Total balances on forbearance programmes coverage ratio
	Total	% of gross L&A	Up-to-date	1-90 days past due	Past due of which: 91 or more days past due	marked against forbearance programmes	on forbearance programmes	
As at 31 December 2012	£m	%	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	%
UK	60	0.7	26	8	26	15.6		26.4
Spain	4	0.5	3	1		0.3		7.5
Portugal	7	1.2	2	1	4	0.6		9.5

**Balances on forbearance programmes:  
EWL managed**

				of which:		allowances		Total balances on forbearance programmes coverage ratio
	Total	% of gross L&A	Performing balances	Non-performing balances	Past due of which: marked against forbearance programmes	on forbearance programmes		
As at 31 December 2012	£m	%	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	%
UK	143	1.8	89	54	12.4			8.7
Spain	79	7.1	75	4	8.4			10.6
Portugal	3	0.4	2	1	0.6			21.0

	Balances on forbearance programmes £m	% of gross L&A programmes %	Impairment allowances marked against balance on forbearance programmes £m	Total balances on forbearance coverage ratio %
<b>As at 31 December 2012</b>				
UK	203	2.5	28.0	13.8
Spain	83	7.6	8.7	10.5
Portugal	10	1.6	1.2	12.8

Total business lending forbearance balances in the UK increased 37% to £278m due to the monitoring of customers under forbearance for a longer period before they are recategorised as performing, and an increase in customers being granted forbearance while a review of their derivative position is being undertaken. Non-performing balances increased 13% to £90m, with a significant proportion of customers operating within the terms of their amended terms and conditions.

The relatively low total balances on forbearance coverage ratio of 8.7% (2012: 8.7%) for UK business lending EWL managed balances principally reflects focus on obtaining good quality collateral/security for secured deals.

Balances in Spain and Portugal increased 55% to £144m primarily due to the restructuring of facilities for EWL managed customers including loans to the renewable energy sector in Spain due to the reduction in government subsidies to this sector. Impairment coverage increased in Spain reflecting the additional charges taken against loans to the renewable energy sector.

## Forbearance by type

### Home loans

- ; Interest-only conversions: A temporary change from a capital and interest repayment to an interest-only repayment, for a maximum of 24 months.
- ; Interest rate reductions: A temporary reduction in interest rate, for a maximum of 12 months.
- ; Payment concessions: An agreement to temporarily accept reduced loan repayments, for a maximum of 24 months.
- ; Term extensions: A permanent extension to the loan maturity date which may involve a reduction in interest rates, and usually involves the capitalisation of arrears.

### Credit cards and unsecured loans

- ; Payment concessions (loans only): An agreement to temporarily accept reduced loan repayments, for a maximum of 12 months.

## Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

- ; Term extensions (loans only): A permanent extension to the loan maturity date, usually involving the capitalisation of arrears.
  
- ; Repayment plans (cards only): A temporary reduction in the minimum payment due, for a maximum of 60 months. This may involve a reduction in interest rates to prevent negative amortisation.
  
- ; Fully amortising (cards and loans): A permanent conversion of the outstanding balance into a fully amortising loan, over a maximum period of 60 months for cards and 120 months for loans.

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

[Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 163](#)



**Table of Contents**[Risk review >](#)

Credit risk continued

**Forebearance by type**

	<b>Home loans</b>			
	<b>UK</b>	<b>Spain</b>	<b>Italy<sup>a</sup></b>	<b>South Africa</b>
	<b>£m</b>	<b>£m</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>%</b>
<b>As at 31 December 2013</b>				
Interest only conversion	135	8		
Interest rate reduction		78		2
Payment concession	160		144	187
Term extension	413	85	163	59
Other	1,656			
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,364</b>	<b>171</b>	<b>307</b>	<b>248</b>
<b>As at 31 December 2012</b>				
Interest only conversion	153	14		
Interest rate reduction		74		9
Payment concession	173		295	236
Term extension	371	87	131	159
Other	1,839			
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,536</b>	<b>175</b>	<b>426</b>	<b>404</b>

**Credit cards and unsecured loans**

	<b>UK cards</b>	<b>US cards</b>	<b>UK personal loans</b>
	<b>£m</b>	<b>£m</b>	<b>%</b>

**As at 31 December 2013**

Payment concession	63		1
Term extension			31
Fully amortising		56	110
Repayment plan	833	50	
Other	16		
<b>Total</b>	<b>912</b>	<b>106</b>	<b>142</b>

**As at 31 December 2012**

Payment concession	74		7
Term extension			34
Fully amortising		60	127
Repayment plan	900	56	
Other	17		
<b>Total</b>	<b>991</b>	<b>116</b>	<b>168</b>

Forbearance balances for UK home loans classified as *Other* relates to Mortgage Current Accounts where the customer has drawn against available reserve, and displayed other indicators of financial stress. Term extensions made up 23% (2012: 21%) of home loan forbearance balances across principal portfolios.

In UK cards, *Other* represents legacy rehabilitated repayment plan accounts that have continued to be included and tracked as forbearance given their continued interest rate concessions.

## Notes

- a In Italy, payment concessions include plans where the customer has been extended a payment holiday and may be converted to a term extension once the agreed period is completed.

**Table of Contents**

## Portfolio reviews

**Secured home loans**

Total home loans to retail customers represented 73% (2012: 73%) of the Group's total retail balances. Home loan balances increased 2% to £173bn.

The principal home loan portfolios listed below account for 97% (2012: 96%) of total home loans in the Group's retail portfolios.

**Home loans principal portfolios<sup>a</sup>**

	<b>Gross loans and advances £m</b>	<b>&gt; 90 day arrears %</b>	<b>&gt; 90 day arrears including recoveries<sup>b</sup> %</b>	<b>&gt; 90 day annualised charge-off rates %</b>	<b>Recoveries proportion of outstanding balances %</b>	<b>Recoveries impairment coverage ratio %</b>
<b>As at 31 December 2013</b>						
UK	122,880	0.3	0.8	0.5	0.5	14.7
South Africa	12,172	0.7	6.2	2.6	5.6	34.7
Spain	12,748	0.7	3.0	1.1	2.4	36.0
Italy	15,518	1.1	3.5	0.7	2.4	25.8
Portugal	3,641	0.5	3.9	1.1	3.4	31.9
<b>As at 31 December 2012</b>						
UK	114,766	0.3	0.8	0.6	0.5	13.4
South Africa	15,773	1.6	8.4	3.9	6.9	34.6
Spain	13,551	0.7	2.6	1.1	1.9	34.0
Italy	15,529	1.0	2.9	0.8	1.8	25.4
Portugal	3,710	0.7	3.4	1.4	2.8	25.6

Arrears rates remained steady in the UK due to a conservative credit policy and stable economic conditions including the continued low base rate environment. The recoveries impairment coverage ratio increased reflecting a delay in impairment releases while the business assesses the changing environment conditions.

In the UK, gross loans and advances increased 7% to £123bn which includes £5.4bn of balances at acquisition from the Barclays Direct (formerly ING Direct) mortgage portfolio in February 2013. Within the total home loans portfolio:

i Owner-occupied<sup>d</sup> interest-only balances of £44.5bn (2012: £45.7bn) represented 36% of total home loan balances (see page 168 for more detail). The average balance weighted LTV for interest-only balances remained low at 54.2% (2012: 58.8%) and 90 day arrears rates remained stable at 30bps (2012: 30bps) which was in line with the overall portfolio performance; and

j Buy-to-let<sup>e</sup> home loans comprised 8% (2012: 7%) of the total balances. For buy-to-let home loans, 90 day arrears rates improved marginally from 0.2% to 0.1% while balance weighted portfolio LTV remained broadly stable at 62.9% (2012: 65.7%).

Gross loans and advances in South Africa of £12.2bn (2012: £15.8bn) were broadly unchanged in local currency. The improvement in the arrears and charge-off rates was driven by the continued strong performance of new lending and improvements in collections capabilities. The decrease in recoveries balances was driven by revised strategies in the recoveries environment to reduce this portfolio, and lower charge-off rates.

In the principal European home loans portfolios, gross loans and advances reduced 3% to £31.9bn reflecting the amortisation of existing balances and reduced new business flows following the realignment of our target Europe RBB customer profile (see page 14 for further detail). 90 day arrears rates and charge-off rates have remained broadly stable in Spain and Italy, but have reduced in Portugal due to improved collections performance.

j Balances in recoveries and recovery impairment coverage rates have increased in Spain in part due to an increase in the legal recovery timescales following introduction of new Spanish mortgage protection laws. The lengthy legal process in Europe and difficult property market conditions have contributed to the increased recovery balances and higher impairment coverage ratios.

#### Notes

a Excluded from the above analysis are Wealth International home loans, which are managed on an individual customer exposure basis, France home loans and other small home loans portfolios. All portfolios under Secured Home Loans are primarily first lien mortgages. Other Secured Retail Lending under Barclaycard is a second lien mortgage portfolio.

b 90 day arrears including recoveries is the sum of balances greater than 90 day arrears and balances charged off to recoveries, expressed as a percentage of total outstanding balances.

c Gross charge-off rates are calculated over average monthly outstanding balances through the year.

d Owner-occupied refers to mortgages where the intention of the customer was to occupy the property at origination.

e Buy to let refers to mortgages where the intention of the customer (investor) was to let the property at origination.

**Table of Contents**[Risk review >](#)

Credit risk continued

**Home loans principal portfolios distribution of balances by LTV (updated valuations\$)**

	UK		South Africa		Spain		Italy		Portugal	
	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
<b>As at 31 December</b>										
<=75%	<b>84.2</b>	76.1	<b>69.6</b>	62.8	<b>65.9</b>	64.2	<b>74.9</b>	74.3	<b>42.3</b>	40.3
>75% and <=80%	<b>6.9</b>	9.2	<b>8.8</b>	9.0	<b>6.6</b>	6.5	<b>14.2</b>	16.0	<b>8.6</b>	8.3
>80% and <=85%	<b>3.4</b>	5.4	<b>7.1</b>	8.2	<b>6.1</b>	6.1	<b>6.0</b>	5.5	<b>11.7</b>	10.6
>85% and <=90%	<b>2.1</b>	3.3	<b>4.8</b>	6.4	<b>5.5</b>	5.5	<b>1.8</b>	1.4	<b>12.1</b>	11.1
>90% and <=95%	<b>1.3</b>	2.2	<b>3.3</b>	4.0	<b>4.5</b>	4.4	<b>0.9</b>	0.9	<b>9.0</b>	10.2
>95% and <=100%	<b>0.8</b>	1.4	<b>1.9</b>	2.8	<b>3.3</b>	3.3	<b>0.6</b>	0.6	<b>7.3</b>	7.6
>100%	<b>1.3</b>	2.4	<b>4.5</b>	6.8	<b>8.1</b>	10.0	<b>1.6</b>	1.3	<b>9.0</b>	11.9
Portfolio Marked to market LTV:										
Balance weighted %	<b>56.3</b>	59.1	<b>62.3</b>	65.6	<b>63.4</b>	64.6	<b>60.0</b>	59.6	<b>76.4</b>	77.6
Valuation weighted %	<b>43.6</b>	45.5	<b>42.1</b>	44.2	<b>44.8</b>	45.4	<b>46.5</b>	46.7	<b>66.2</b>	67.7
Performing Balances:										
Balance weighted %	<b>56.2</b>	59.0	<b>60.5</b>	62.9	<b>62.2</b>	63.6	<b>58.6</b>	58.7	<b>75.1</b>	76.7
Valuation weighted %	<b>43.5</b>	45.5	<b>41.1</b>	42.6	<b>44.7</b>	45.3	<b>46.5</b>	46.6	<b>66.1</b>	67.6
Non-performing Balances:										
Balance weighted %	<b>68.9</b>	72.7	<b>92.9</b>	95.8	<b>103.3</b>	100.9	<b>98.8</b>	90.2	<b>79.2</b>	83.2
Valuation weighted %	<b>55.1</b>	58.6	<b>71.4</b>	77.9	<b>60.6</b>	61.5	<b>53.1</b>	54.2	<b>74.3</b>	80.3
For >100% LTVs:										
Balances £m	<b>1,596</b>	2,698	<b>540</b>	1,064	<b>1,027</b>	1,343	<b>244</b>	203	<b>324</b>	440
	<b>1,411</b>	2,478	<b>452</b>	898	<b>864</b>	1,136	<b>191</b>	167	<b>294</b>	405

Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

Marked to market collateral £m										
Average LTV: balance weighted %	<b>120.5</b>	112.3	<b>123.1</b>	121.7	<b>118.0</b>	118.1	<b>151.1</b>	137.0	<b>113.7</b>	110.7
Average LTV: valuation weighted %	<b>113.2</b>	108.9	<b>119.5</b>	118.4	<b>118.8</b>	118.2	<b>128.2</b>	121.1	<b>110.1</b>	108.5
% of balances in recoveries	<b>3.2</b>	2.6	<b>45.6</b>	46.2	<b>18.6</b>	12.0	<b>62.1</b>	51.2	<b>20.8</b>	12.5

Credit quality of the principal home loan portfolios reflected relatively conservative levels of high LTV new lending and moderate LTV on existing portfolios.

During 2013, the average balanced weighted portfolio LTV in the UK decreased to 56.3% (2012: 59.1%) primarily due to appreciating house prices.

For >100% LTV, with the exception of Italy, balances decreased during 2013:

- i In the UK, balances were 41% lower at £1,596m, partly driven by appreciating house prices. However, the average balance weighted LTV for the same period increased due to the remaining balances having higher LTVs than those paid down;
- i In South Africa, the 49% reduction in the balances to £540m (2012: £1,064m) was driven by a reduction in the recoveries book and an appreciation in house prices;
- i In Spain and Portugal, the balances decreased by 24% and 26%, respectively due to the increasing levels of repossessions where the assets are now held as Other Real Estate Owned (see page 167 Foreclosures in process and properties in possession); and
- i In Italy, the balances increased by 20% due to the falling property prices and court set auction valuations for properties going through the lengthy repossession process (see section Foreclosures in process and properties in possession ).

Note

- a Portfolio marked to market based on the most updated valuation including recoveries balances. Updated valuations reflect the application of the latest house price index available in the country as at 31 December 2013. Valuation weighted LTV is the ratio between total outstanding balances and the value of total collateral held against these balances. Balance weighted LTV approach is derived by calculating individual LTVs at account level and weighting by the individual loan balances to arrive at the average position.

**Table of Contents****Home loans principal portfolios    new lending**

	UK		South Africa		Spain		Italy		Portugal <sup>a</sup>	
As at 31 December	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012
New bookings £m	17,100	18,170	1,209	1,186	277	284	494	848	20	83
New mortgages proportion above 85% LTV (%)	3.8	3.9 <sup>b</sup>	30.4	36.8	1.8	4.1			15.6	4.9
Average LTV on new mortgages: balance weighted (%)	64.2	64.6	74.9	76.1	61.7	62.8	59.8	62.8	64.8	60.8
Average LTV on new mortgages: valuation weighted (%)	57.1	57.4 <sup>b</sup>	64.9	64.7	52.9	55.8	52.2	55.4	54.2	56.7

New bookings in UK decreased 6% to £17.1bn. The decrease in average balance weighted LTV in the UK to 64.2% (2012: 64.6%) was driven by an increased proportion of lower LTV origination.

In South Africa, new home loans above 85% LTV decreased to 30.4% (2012: 36.8%) due to an increase of new bookings in the 80-85% LTV band, the average LTV remained broadly unchanged.

New bookings in Spain, Italy and Portugal reduced 35% to £791m due to changes to business strategy, a tightening of the standard LTV credit criteria and active management to reduce funding mismatch. Average balance weighted LTV on new mortgages remained stable across these jurisdictions reflecting these credit criteria.

The proportion of new home loans above 85% LTV in Spain has decreased, and now primarily reflects lending to customers to finance the purchase of properties which were previously repossessed by the Bank and held as Other Real Estate Owned (see Foreclosures in process and properties in possession below).

**Foreclosures in process and properties in possession**

Foreclosure is the process by which the bank initiates legal action against a customer with the intention of terminating a loan agreement whereby the bank may repossess the property subject to local law and recover amounts it is owed. This process can be initiated by the bank independent of the impairment treatment and it is therefore possible that the foreclosure process may be initiated while the account is still in Collections (delinquent) or in Recoveries (post charge-off) where the customer has not agreed a satisfactory repayment schedule with the bank.

Properties in possession include properties held as Loans and Advances to Customers and properties held as Other Real Estate Owned .

Held as Loans and Advances to Customers (UK and Italy) refer to the properties where the customer continues to retain legal title but where the bank has enforced the possession order as part of the foreclosure process to allow for the disposal of the asset or the court has ordered the auction of the property.

Held as Other Real Estate Owned (South Africa, Spain and Portugal) refers to properties where the bank has taken legal ownership of the title as a result of purchase at an auction or similar and treated as Other Real Estate Owned within other assets on the bank's balance sheet.

### Home loans principal portfolios

	Foreclosures in process		Properties in possession	
	number	£m	number	£m
<b>As at 31 December 2013</b>				
UK	1,835	254	157	22
South Africa	10,161	484	398	5
Spain	1,560	236	1,305	93
Italy <sup>c</sup>	3,782	371	504	64
Portugal <sup>d</sup>	2,086	137	540	50
<b>As at 31 December 2012</b>				
UK	1,612	203	234	33
South Africa	12,145	778	1,314	27
Spain	1,396	194	945	117
Italy	2,888	283	436	58
Portugal <sup>d</sup>	1,506	110	336	45

In UK Home Loans, foreclosure in process increased 14% to 1,835 in 2013. This increase includes customers where contact and formal agreement of payment plans for arrears is still to be achieved. On average, 22% of customers in foreclosures in process made a monthly repayment which was less than the monthly contractual payment. 31% of customers in Recovery have recommenced full contractual payments as a minimum, and the foreclosure process for these customers are in temporary suspension pending agreement on the arrears.

#### Notes

- a The proportion of new home loans above 85% LTV in Portugal includes home loans to restructure customer existing home loans, with no increase in exposure.
- b Figures were restated following a detailed review of the LTVs post-migration to a new data management system.
- c In Italy, customers continue to retain legal title of the property after the court has ordered an auction. These are classified as properties in possession and excluded from foreclosures in process.
- d In Portugal, properties in possession is based on the number of associated mortgage contracts.



[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

[Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 167](#)

**Table of Contents**[Risk review >](#)

Credit risk continued

The properties in possession portfolio in South Africa is comprised entirely of Other Real Estate Owned. The reduction in 2013 is due to a more cautious approach adopted for taking ownership of properties at auction when the reserve price is not met, and improved sale processes for existing properties.

Foreclosures in process in the European mortgage portfolios increased driven by the continuing charge-offs. Foreclosures in process and property in possession stocks are increasing and are impacted by the increasing time to repossess and sell property.

**Exposures to interest-only home loans**

The Group provides interest-only mortgages to customers, mainly in the UK. Under the terms of these loans, the customer makes payments of interest only for the entire term of the mortgage, although customers may make early repayments of the principal within the terms of their agreement.

Subject to such early repayments, the entire principal remains outstanding until the end of the loan term and the customer is responsible for repaying this on maturity. The means of repayment may include the sale of the mortgaged property.

Interest-only lending is subject to bespoke underwriting criteria that includes: a maximum size of loan, maximum LTV ratios, affordability and maximum loan term amongst other criteria. Borrowers on interest-only terms must have a repayment strategy in place to repay the loan at maturity and a customer contact strategy has been developed to ensure ongoing communications are in place with interest-only customers at various points during the term of the mortgage. The contact strategy is varied dependent on our view of the risk of the customer.

Interest-only mortgages comprise £53bn (2012: £53bn) of the total £123bn (2012: £115bn) UK home loans portfolio. Of these, £45bn (2012: £46bn) are owner-occupied with the remaining £8bn (2012: £7bn) buy-to-let.

**Exposure to interest only owner-occupied home loans**

<b>As at 31 December</b>	<b>2013</b>	<b>2012</b>
Interest-only balances (£m) <sup>a</sup>	<b>44,543</b>	45,746
Of which: <sup>b</sup>		
Performing balances	<b>37,050</b>	37,919
Non-performing balances	<b>218</b>	239

90 day arrears (%)	<b>0.3</b>	0.3
Total impairment coverage (bps)	<b>2</b>	3
Marked-to-market LTV:		
Balance weighted %	<b>54.2</b>	58.8
Valuation weighted %	<b>42.4</b>	45.1
Marked-to-market LTV: Performing balances		
Balance weighted %	<b>55.0</b>	58.7
Valuation weighted %	<b>42.3</b>	45.1
Marked-to-market LTV: Non-performing balances		
Balance weighted %	<b>71.7</b>	74.1
Valuation weighted %	<b>56.5</b>	59.0
For >100% LTVs:		
Balances £m	<b>765</b>	1,239
Marked-to-market collateral £m	<b>669</b>	1,133
Interest-only home loans maturity years:		
2014	<b>812</b>	877
2015	<b>875</b>	985
2016	<b>1,183</b>	1,324
2017	<b>1,582</b>	1,707
2018	<b>1,659</b>	1,763
2019-2023	<b>8,815</b>	9,138
Post 2023	<b>29,466</b>	29,057

The average balance weighted LTV for interest only owner-occupied balances reduced to 54.2% (2012: 58.8%) driven by appreciating property prices.

Total impairment coverage at 2bps (2012: 3bps) is broadly in line with overall portfolio performance and highlights improvement year on year as a result of portfolio quality.

#### Notes

- a Includes forbore interest-only loans. 2013 balances include the impact of the acquisition of Barclays Direct. Balances also include the interest only portion of the Part and Part UK owner-occupied portfolio. A Part and Part Home Loan is a product in which part of the loan is interest only and part is amortising.
- b Performing and non-performing balances do not include the interest only portion of the Part and Part book which is £7,725m (2012: £7,587m).

**Table of Contents****Exposures to Mortgage Current Accounts (MCA) reserves**

MCA reserve is a secured overdraft facility available to home loan customers which allows them to borrow against the equity in their home. It allows draw-down up to an agreed available limit on a separate but connected account to the main mortgage loan facility. The balance drawn must be repaid on redemption of the mortgage.

Of total 953,000 home loan customers in the UK, 573,000 have MCA reserves, with total reserve limits of £18.3bn.

**Exposure to Mortgage Current Accounts reserves (UK)**

<b>As at 31 December</b>	<b>2013</b>	<b>2012</b>
Total outstanding of home loans with MCA reserve balances (£bn)	<b>72.7</b>	82.2
Home loan customers with active reserves (000s)	<b>573</b>	638
Proportion of outstanding UK home loan balances (%)	<b>59.2</b>	71.6
Total reserve limits (£bn)	<b>18.3</b>	18.5
Utilisation rate (%)	<b>31.9</b>	30.9
Marked to market LTV: Balance weighted (%)	<b>53.9</b>	57.7

While the MCA reserve was withdrawn from sale in December 2012, existing customers can continue to draw down against their available reserve limit (£12.5bn of undrawn limits as at December 2013).

The total overall home loans balances for customers who have a current account reserve has reduced by 12% to £72.7bn primarily due to amortising payments on the main mortgage account. The average marked to market LTV has reduced to 53.9% (2012: 57.7%) reflecting increasing house prices and paydown of the main mortgage loan.

**Credit cards, overdrafts and unsecured loans**

The principal portfolios listed below account for 91% (2012: 90%) of total credit cards, overdrafts and unsecured loans in the Group's retail portfolios.

**Principal portfolios**

	<b>Gross loans and advances £m</b>	<b>30 day arrears %</b>	<b>90 day arrears %</b>	<b>Annualised gross charge-off rates<sup>c</sup> %</b>	<b>Recoveries proportion of outstanding balances %</b>	<b>Recoveries impairment coverage ratio %</b>
<b>As at 31 December 2013</b>						
UK cards	15,937	2.4	1.1	4.4	4.6	86.2
US cards	10,301	2.1	1.0	4.0	1.8	86.6
UK personal loans	4,958	2.7	1.2	4.6	15.8	79.4
Barclays Partner Finance	2,499	1.6	0.8	2.9	3.2	83.2
South Africa cards <sup>a</sup>	2,224	8.1	4.3	7.3	5.1	70.7
Germany cards	2,169	2.5	1.0	3.7	2.9	81.9
UK overdrafts	1,307	4.8	3.3	7.6	14.5	94.5
Italy salary advance loans <sup>b</sup>	1,008	2.2	1.0	7.9	13.8	18.8
Iberia cards	1,139	5.3	2.3	9.9	9.2	84.6
South Africa personal loans	906	5.4	2.6	7.9	7.4	70.4
<b>As at 31 December 2012</b>						
UK cards	15,434	2.5	1.1	4.9	6.2	80.4
US cards	9,296	2.4	1.1	5.0	2.3	90.7
UK personal loans	4,861	3.0	1.3	5.1	17.4	78.9
South Africa cards <sup>a</sup>	2,511	7.4	3.9	4.7	4.7	70.9
Barclays Partner Finance	2,323	1.9	1.0	3.9	4.8	78.1
Germany cards	1,778	2.5	0.9	3.6	3.2	79.4
UK overdrafts	1,382	5.3	3.5	8.2	14.6	92.7
Italy salary advance loans <sup>b</sup>	1,354	2.3	0.9	8.4	9.4	12.5
Iberia cards	1,140	7.5	3.5	9.6	12.4	88.2
South Africa personal loans	1,061	5.6	3.1	8.5	7.6	72.3

Gross loans and advances in credit cards, overdrafts and unsecured loans increased 2% to £47bn with increases in UK and US card portfolios, Germany cards and Barclays Partner Finance being offset by decreases in Italy salary advance loans, South Africa cards and UK overdrafts. With the exception of South Africa cards, arrears rates remained broadly stable.

#### Notes

- a South Africa cards now includes the acquired Edcon portfolio in both 2012 (reflected partially for a 2 month period) and 2013 figures. The outstanding acquired balances have been excluded from the recoveries impairment coverage ratio on the basis that the portfolio has been recognised on acquisition at fair value during 2012 (with no related impairment allowance). Impairment allowances have been recognised as appropriate where these relate to the period post acquisition.
- b The recoveries impairment coverage ratio for Italy salary advance loans is lower than unsecured portfolios as these loans are extended to customers where the repayment is made via a salary deduction at source by qualifying employers often through third-party payment collection companies and Barclays is insured in the event of termination of employment or death.
- c Gross charge-off rates are calculated over average monthly outstanding balances through the year.

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

[Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 169](#)

**Table of Contents**

[Risk review >](#)

Credit risk continued

Recovery coverage increased significantly for UK cards, while the proportion of recovery balances was lower. This was driven by increased debt sale activity which also resulted in a lower recovery expectation across the remaining recovery book, thereby resulting in a higher impairment requirement.

Significant improvement in US cards arrears rates was driven by a targeted strategy focused on growth in high quality customers and low-risk Partnerships.

In the UK personal loans arrears rates reduced due to the improved performance of new bookings following changes to credit criteria.

In South Africa cards, delinquency and charge-off rates deterioration reflected the change in product mix following the acquisition of the Edcon portfolio in late 2012, maturing vintages and portfolio seasoning. Charge-off rates excluding Edcon would be 4.9% for 2013 (2012: 4.2%).

The Italy salary advance loans portfolio was closed to new business in August 2012, and the increasing proportion of outstanding balances in recovery primarily reflects the reduction in loans and advances.

Iberia cards portfolios showed improved arrears rates, notably during the second half of 2013 reflecting the tightening of credit risk strategies through the recessionary period, combined with the non-recurrence of one-off events in 2012 which included challenges in migrating to a new card management system and enhancing some collections tools.

**Re-age activity**

Re-age is applicable only to revolving products where a minimum due payment is required. Re-age refers to returning of a delinquent account to up-to-date status without collecting the full arrears (principal, interest and fees). The changes in timing of cash flows following re-aging do not result in any additional cost to Barclays. The following are the principal portfolios in which re-age activity occurs.

**Principal portfolios**

<b>Re-aged balances in total outstanding, excluding recoveries balances</b>	<b>Re-aged balances as proportion of total outstanding, excluding recoveries</b>	<b>30 day arrears of re-aged balances at 12 months on book<sup>a</sup></b>
---	--	--

	balances					
	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012
As at 31 December 2013	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m
UK cards	209	232	1.3	1.6	14.0	17.2
US cards	88	96	0.9	1.1	12.8	13.8

Re-aged balances as a percentage of total outstanding, excluding recoveries balances decreased in the UK cards portfolios. The decrease in US cards has been driven by improved ability of customers to self cure from a position of delinquency. The re-age activity in South Africa and Europe RBB cards portfolios are not considered to be material.

For further detail on policy relating to the re-aging of loans, please refer to the Risk Management section on page 402.

### Other secured retail lending

The principal portfolio listed below accounts for 48% (2012: 50%) of total other secured retail lending loans in the Group's retail portfolios. The balances have reduced reflecting foreign currency movements.

### Principal portfolios

	Gross loans and advances £m	30 day arrears £m	90 day arrears £m	Annualised	Recoveries	Recoveries
				gross charge-off rates <sup>b</sup> £m	proportion of outstanding balances £m	impairment coverage ratio £m
As at 31 December 2013	2,546	1.6	0.4	3.2	1.9	51.6
As at 31 December 2012	3,081	2.0	0.7	3.6	3.0	57.6

The reduction in arrears and charge-off rates in South Africa is driven by improvements in collections capabilities and stable performance of the existing portfolio.

#### Notes

a Defined as the yearly average of 30+ delinquency at 12 months on book (maturing in 2012 and 2013) for accounts re-aged during 2011 and 2012.

b Gross charge-off rates are calculated over average monthly outstanding balances through the year.





**Table of Contents****Business lending**

Business lending primarily relates to small and medium enterprises typically with exposures up to £3m or with a turnover up to £5m.

The portfolio is managed in two ways: arrears managed and early warning list (EWL) managed.

- Arrears Managed accounts are principally customers with an exposure limit less than £50,000 in the UK and 100,000 in Europe, with processes designed to manage a homogeneous set of assets. Arrears balances reflect the total balances of accounts which are past due on payments.
  - EWL Managed accounts are customers that exceed the Arrears Managed limits and are monitored with standard processes that record heightened levels of risk through an EWL grading. EWL balances comprise of a list of three categories graded in line with the perceived severity of the risk attached to the lending, and can include customers that are up-to-date with contractual payments or subject to forbearance as appropriate.
- The principal portfolios listed below account for 87% (2012: 88%) of total business lending loans in the Group's retail portfolios.

**Principal portfolios**

	Arrears managed		Early Warning List managed		Loan loss charge-off rates bps	Annualised Recoveries gross proportion of outstanding balances		Recoveries coverage ratio
	Drawn balances £m	Of which arrears balances %	Drawn balances £m	Of which Early Warning List balances %		charge-off rates <sup>a</sup> %	Recoveries %	
As at 31 December 2013								
UK	629	4.0	7,424	7.7	134	2.0	3.3	47.0
Spain	82	11.1	875	33.9	608	3.0	6.9	47.7

Portugal	157	4.6	268	25.1	691	6.6	9.2	65.4
<b>As at 31 December</b>								
<b>2012</b>								
UK	713	6.0	7,122	9.2	140	2.5	4.3	34.9
Spain	95	11.3	993	60.4	210	3.8	6.6	45.0
Portugal	185	6.4	393	17.8	503	5.7	6.7	65.9

While UK business lending balances increased 3%, closer management of the portfolio has reduced the level of arrears and EWL balances leading to a reduction in recoveries balances.

The reduction in business lending balances in Spain and Portugal was primarily due to the tightening of credit policy and a reduction in new business volumes.

The loan loss rates in Spain increased to 608bps (2012: 210bps) due primarily to the impact of changes in the renewable energy sector impacting the cash flow of customers in this sector and the difficult macro environment. EWL balances in Spain as a percentage of drawn balances reduced significantly following the review of cases and identification of performing assets that were moved to the performing book.

The loan loss rates in Portugal increased to 691bps (2012: 503bps) driven by increasing charge-off rates and recovery balances due to the macroeconomic environment.

#### Note

a Gross charge-off rates are calculated over average monthly outstanding balances through the year.

**Table of Contents**[Risk review >](#)

Credit risk continued

**UK Commercial Real Estate (UK CRE)**

The UK CRE portfolio includes property investment, development, trading and housebuilders.

During 2013 the gross loans and advances increased 4% to £1,593m in line with the overall business lending growth, whereas past due balances reduced to 6.5% (2012: 8.0%) due to continued focus by a dedicated team with early engagement of distressed customers and developments reducing new flows into delinquency. The balance weighted LTV reduced marginally to 54.9% (2012: 57.5%).

**UK Commercial Real Estate**

<b>As at 31 December</b>	<b>2013</b>	<b>2012</b>
UK CRE loans and advances (£m)	<b>1,593</b>	1,534
Past due balances (£m)	<b>103</b>	123
Balances past due as % of UK CRE total loans and advances	<b>6.5%</b>	8.0%
Impairment allowances (£m)	<b>16.2</b>	19.9
Past due coverage ratio	<b>15.7%</b>	16.1%
Total collateral (£m)	<b>3,792</b>	3,385
Marked-to-market LTV:		
Balance weighted (%)	<b>54.9</b>	57.5
Valuation weighted (%)	<b>50.7</b>	53.5
Marked-to-Market LTV performing balances:		
Balance weighted (%)	<b>54.2</b>	57.2
Valuation weighted (%)	<b>50.3</b>	53.2
Marked-to-Market LTV non-performing balances:		
Balance weighted (%)	<b>75.8</b>	75.4
Valuation weighted (%)	<b>69.8</b>	70.7
<b>Twelve months ended 31 December</b>		
Impairment charge (£m)	<b>18.4</b>	16.5

## Maturity analysis of exposure to UK CRE

## Contractual maturity of UK CRE loans and advances at amortised cost

	Past due balances £m	Not more than six months £m	Over six months but not more than one year £m	Over one year but not more than two years £m	Over two years but not more than five years £m	Over five years but not more than ten years £m	Over ten years £m	Total loans & advances £m
2013	103	69	37	45	163	276	900	1,593
2012	123	82	32	34	134	251	878	1,534

## UK CRE LTV analysis

	Balances		Balances as proportion of total		Collateral held	
	2013 £m	2012 £m	2013 %	2012 %	2013 £m	2012 £m
As at 31 December						
<=75%	1,187	1,080	74	70	3,437	2,989
>75% and <=80%	69	67	4	4	90	86
>80% and <=85%	57	62	4	4	70	76
>85% and <=90%	47	42	3	3	54	48
>90% and <=95%	28	47	2	3	31	52
>95% and <=100%	24	33	2	2	24	35
>100% and <=125%	66	81	4	5	63	75
>125%	36	39	2	3	23	24
Unsecured balances	79	83	5	6	n/a	n/a
Total	1,593	1,534	100	100	3,792	3,385

Portfolio LTVs have reduced due to appreciating commercial property values. Unsecured balances primarily relate to working capital facilities agreed with CRE companies.

**Table of Contents****Wholesale credit risk**

Gross loans and advances to customers and banks in the wholesale portfolios remained stable at £239.3bn. This reflected currency movements in Africa RBB offset by an increase in Wealth and Investment Management, primarily reflecting growth in the home loans portfolio.

The total loan impairment charge across the wholesale portfolios decreased 27% to £901m principally due to lower charges in Corporate Banking, mainly as a result of lower charges in Europe, reflecting actions to reduce exposure to the Spanish property and construction sectors and, in the UK, against large corporate clients. Impairment in Investment Bank was 9% higher than 2012 and was principally driven by a charge against a single name exposure in Q2 2013.

Key judgements were made on a number of identified cases within Investment Bank, Corporate Banking and Wealth and Investment Management. No material changes were made to unidentified impairment in 2013.

The lower impairment charge coupled with the lower loan balances resulted in an loan loss rate of 38bps (2012: 51bps).

Presented below is further information related to the Group's wholesale lending portfolios by business, with additional analysis of portfolios in Investment Bank and Corporate Banking. Further detail is presented on the Group's wholesale exposure to selected Eurozone countries (pages 182 to 189); geographic and industry asset concentrations (pages 145 to 148 and 152 to 153) and asset credit quality (page 150).

**Wholesale loans and advances at amortised cost**

	<b>Gross</b>		<b>L&amp;A net</b>	<b>Credit risk</b>	<b>CRLs % of</b>	<b>Loan</b>	<b>Loan loss</b>
	<b>L&amp;A</b>	<b>impairment</b>	<b>of</b>	<b>loans</b>	<b>gross L&amp;A</b>	<b>impairment</b>	<b>rates</b>
	<b>allowance</b>	<b>allowance</b>	<b>impairment</b>	<b>gross</b>	<b>impairment</b>	<b>charges</b>	<b>bps</b>
	<b>£m</b>	<b>£m</b>	<b>£m</b>	<b>£m</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>£m</b>	<b>bps</b>
<b>As at 31 December 2013</b>							
Investment Bank <sup>a</sup>	144,312	468	143,844	753	0.5	209	14
Corporate Banking	66,246	1,991	64,255	3,694	5.6	517	78
UK	51,805	369	51,436	1,175	2.3	173	33
Europe	6,327	1,494	4,833	2,343	37.0	321	507
Rest of World	8,114	128	7,986	176	2.2	23	28

Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

Wealth and Investment Management	20,995	192	20,803	704	3.4	112	53
Africa RBB	5,875	235	5,640	580	9.9	65	111
Head Office and Other Functions	1,875		1,875			(2)	(11)
<b>Total</b>	<b>239,303</b>	<b>2,886</b>	<b>236,417</b>	<b>5,731</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>901</b>	<b>38</b>

**As at 31 December 2012**

Investment Bank <sup>a</sup>	144,143	586	143,557	768	0.5	192	13
Corporate Banking	67,337	2,171	65,166	4,232	6.3	838	124
UK	52,667	428	52,239	1,381	2.6	279	53
Europe	8,122	1,536	6,586	2,607	32.1	527	649
Rest of World	6,548	207	6,341	244	3.7	32	49
Wealth and Investment Management	19,236	141	19,095	603	3.1	38	20
Africa RBB	7,313	250	7,063	681	9.3	160	219
Head Office and Other Functions	1,466	16	1,450	19	1.3		
<b>Total</b>	<b>239,495</b>	<b>3,164</b>	<b>236,331</b>	<b>6,303</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>1,228</b>	<b>51</b>

Note

a Investment Bank gross loans and advances include cash collateral and settlement balances of £91,305m as at 31 December 2013 and £85,116m as at 31 December 2012. Excluding these balances, CRLs as a proportion of gross loans and advances were 3.9% and the loan loss rate was 61bps.

**Table of Contents**[Risk review >](#)

Credit risk continued

**PCRLs and coverage ratios**

	CRLs		PPLs		PCRLs	
	2013 £m	2012 £m	2013 £m	2012 £m	2013 £m	2012 £m
<b>As at 31 December</b>						
Investment Bank	753	768	173	327	926	1,095
Corporate Banking	3,694	4,232	718	624	4,412	4,856
Wealth and Investment Management	704	603	159	74	863	677
Africa RBB	580	681	50	77	630	758
Head Office and Other Functions		19				19
<b>Total wholesale</b>	<b>5,731</b>	6,303	<b>1,100</b>	1,102	<b>6,831</b>	7,405

	Impairment allowance		CRL coverage		PCRL coverage	
	2013 £m	2012 £m	2013 £m	2012 £m	2013 £m	2012 £m
<b>As at 31 December</b>						
Investment Bank	468	586	62.2	76.3	50.5	53.5
Corporate Banking	1,991	2,171	53.9	51.3	45.1	44.7
Wealth and Investment Management	192	141	27.3	23.4	22.2	20.8
Africa RBB	235	250	40.5	36.7	37.3	33.0
Head Office and Other Functions		16		84.2		84.2
<b>Total wholesale</b>	<b>2,886</b>	3,164	<b>50.4</b>	50.2	<b>42.2</b>	42.7

CRL balances decreased 9% to £5,731m primarily due to Corporate Banking where lower balances principally reflected a reduction in Europe, most notably Spain, following write-offs and a debt sale. This decrease was partially offset by a higher balance in Wealth and Investment Management, principally reflecting the inclusion of balances against two individual names. The CRL coverage ratio increased to 50.4% (2012: 50.2%).



## Analysis of Investment Bank wholesale loans and advances at amortised cost

As at 31 December 2013	Gross		L&A net of impairment £m	Credit risk loan CRLs gross L&A £m	% of L&A	Loan impairment charges £m	Loan loss rates bps
	L&A £m	Impairment allowance £m					
<b>Loans and advances to banks</b>							
Interbank lending	11,975	10	11,965	18	0.2		
Cash collateral and settlement balances	19,892		19,892				
<b>Loans and advances to customers</b>							
Corporate lending	27,503	85	27,418	137	0.5	19	7
Government lending	1,149		1,149				
Other wholesale lending	12,380	373	12,007	598	4.8	190	153
Cash collateral and settlement balances	71,413		71,413				
<b>Total</b>	<b>144,312</b>	<b>468</b>	<b>143,844</b>	<b>753</b>	<b>0.5</b>	<b>209</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>As at 31 December 2012</b>							
<b>Loans and advances to banks</b>							
Interbank lending	13,763	41	13,722	51	0.4	41	30
Cash collateral and settlement balances	23,350		23,350				
<b>Loans and advances to customers</b>							
Corporate lending	29,546	205	29,341	349	1.2	160	54
Government lending	1,369		1,369				
Other wholesale lending	14,349	340	14,009	368	2.6	(9)	(6)
Cash collateral and settlement balances	61,766		61,766				
<b>Total</b>	<b>144,143</b>	<b>586</b>	<b>143,557</b>	<b>768</b>	<b>0.5</b>	<b>192</b>	<b>13</b>

**Table of Contents****Wholesale forbearance programmes**

Wholesale client relationships are individually managed with lending decisions made with reference to specific circumstances and on bespoke terms. Forbearance takes place when a concession is made on the contractual terms of a loan in response to an obligor's financial difficulties. Forbearance occurs when Barclays, for reasons relating to the actual or perceived financial difficulty of an obligor, grants a concession below current Barclays standard rates (i.e. lending criteria below our current lending terms), that would not normally be considered. This includes all troubled debt restructures granted below our standard rates but excludes loans that have been renegotiated for commercial reasons that are not indicative of increased credit risk.

Personal and Trusts includes Wealth and Investment Management clients that are, at the time of origination, high net worth individuals who use funds and trusts to organise their personal financial affairs.

**Analysis of wholesale balances in forbearance programmes****Balances on forbearance programmes**

	Balances between 1 and 90 days past due £m	Balances 91 days or more past due £m	Total balances past due £m	Impaired balances past to date £m	Performing balances £m	Total balances £m	Impairment allowances marked against balances on forbearance programmes £m	Total balances forbearance programmes coverage ratio %	Total balances on forbearance programmes % of gross L&A %
As at 31 December 2013									
Investment Bank		48	48	13	434	495	30	6.1	0.3
Corporate Banking	92	770	862	682	459	2,003	777	38.8	3.0
Africa RBB	21	25	46	7	106	159	14	8.8	2.7
Wealth Management		377	377	67	284	728	70	9.6	3.5
Group	113	1,220	1,333	769	1,283	3,385	891	26.3	1.4

## Wholesale forbearance reporting split by exposure class

	Sovereign £m	Financial institutions £m	Corporate £m	Personal and trusts £m	Total £m
<b>As at 31 December 2013</b>					
Restructure: reduced contractual cash flows			281		281
Restructure: maturity date extension	5	50	1,164	65	1,284
Restructure: changed cash flow profile (other than extension)	5		579	25	609
Restructure: payment other than cash			23	1	24
Change in security			27		27
Adjustments or non-enforcement of covenants			410	96	506
Other (e.g. capital repayment holiday; restructure pending)	1		546	107	654
<b>Total</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>3,030</b>	<b>294</b>	<b>3,385</b>
<b>As at 31 December 2012</b>					
Restructure: reduced contractual cash flows	4	16	405		425
Restructure: maturity date extension	5	107	1,412	33	1,557
Restructure: changed cash flow profile (other than extension)	5	46	876	26	953
Restructure: payment other than cash			71	1	72
Change in security			76	8	84
Adjustments or non-enforcement of covenants	10	7	626	128	771
Other (e.g. capital repayment holiday; restructure pending)			318	74	392
<b>Total</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>176</b>	<b>3,784</b>	<b>270</b>	<b>4,254</b>

**Table of Contents**[Risk review >](#)

Credit risk continued

**Wholesale forbearance reporting split by business unit**

	Corporate Banking £m	Investment Bank £m	Wealth and Investment Management £m	Africa RBB £m	Total £m
<b>As at 31 December 2013</b>					
Restructure: reduced contractual cash flows	272	1		8	281
Restructure: maturity date extension	671	377	133	103	1,284
Restructure: changed cash flow profile (other than extension)	467	25	73	44	609
Restructure: payment other than cash	23		1		24
Change in security	22		4	1	27
Adjustments or non-enforcements of covenants	247	45	213	1	506
Other (e.g. capital repayment holiday; restructure pending)	301	47	304	2	654
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,003</b>	<b>495</b>	<b>728</b>	<b>159</b>	<b>3,385</b>
<b>As at 31 December 2012</b>					
Restructure: reduced contractual cash flows	258	138		29	425
Restructure: maturity date extension	952	408	112	85	1,557
Restructure: changed cash flow profile (other than extension)	624	152	70	107	953
Restructure: payment other than cash	64	7	1		72
Change in security	45	26	12	1	84
Adjustments or non-enforcements of covenants	377	115	277	2	771
Other (e.g. capital repayment holiday; restructure pending)	162		211	19	392
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,482</b>	<b>846</b>	<b>683</b>	<b>243</b>	<b>4,254</b>

**Wholesale forbearance flows in 2013**

	<b>Balance £m</b>
<b>As at 1 January 2013</b>	
Added to forbearance	4,254
Removed from forbearance (credit improvement)	1,370
Fully or partially repaid and other movements (including FX)	(509)
Written off/moved to recoveries	(1,129)
<b>As at 31 December 2013</b>	<b>(601)</b>

The tables above detail balance information for wholesale forbearance cases.

Loan impairment on forbearance cases reduced 22% to £891m, which represented 26.3% of total forbearance balances.

Corporate borrowers accounted for 90% (2012: 89%) of balances and 94% (2012: 95%) of impairment booked to forbearance exposures, with impairment representing 28% (2012: 29%) of forbearance balances.

Corporate Banking accounted for 59% (2012: 58%) of overall wholesale forbearance with forbearance exposures in Corporate Banking in the UK accounting for 23% (2012: 16%) of total Wholesale forbearance balances and Corporate Banking in Spain accounting for 20% (2012: 29%). Corporate Spain accounted for 42% (2012: 45%) of total impairment booked to forbearance exposures.

The overall reduction in forbearance balances was driven primarily by full and partial repayments and balances written off or moved to recoveries.

- j The 19% reduction in Corporate Banking forbearance was driven primarily by reductions in Spain, which is predominantly property and construction exposure. This reflects the overall strategic drive to reduce exposure in the Corporate Spain portfolio, including a small number of large write-offs taken as a result of debt sales;
- j Wealth forbearance increased 7% driven by a combination of current EWL cases moving into forbearance, specifically due to a large number of property deals, along with newly reported cases booked in USA, Spain and Jersey. The increase was partially offset by balance reductions as a result of repayments or cases returned to performing, as well as balances that moving to full legal recovery or being written off in the year; and
- j Reductions in Investment Bank forbearance balances were primarily driven by repayment by clients and the sale of debt/assets.

Movements into forbearance were principally in Corporate Banking and Wealth and Investment Management, which accounted for 62% and 19% respectively of balances added to forbearance.



**Table of Contents****UK Commercial Real Estate (UK CRE)**

The UK CRE portfolio includes property investment, development, trading and housebuilders but excludes social housing contractors. The wholesale businesses operate to specific lending criteria and the portfolio of assets is continually monitored through a range of mandate and scale limits.

Total loans and advances at amortised cost to UK CRE remained broadly stable at £9,842m (31 December 2012: £10,036m) with new lending limited to high quality assets.

The impairment charge of £62m (2012: £69m) was almost entirely in UK Corporate Banking.

**Commercial Real Estate**

<b>As at 31 December 2013</b>	<b>2013</b>	<b>2012<sup>b</sup></b>
UK CRE loans and advances (£m) <sup>a</sup>	<b>9,842</b>	10,036
Past due balances (£m)	<b>361</b>	469
Balances past due as % of total loans	<b>3.7</b>	4.7
Impairment provision (£m)	<b>110</b>	106
Balances past due coverage ratio (%)	<b>30</b>	23
<b>Twelve months ended 31 December</b>	<b>2013</b>	<b>2012</b>
Impairment charge (£m)	<b>62</b>	69

**Maturity analysis of exposure to UK CRE****Contractual maturity of UK CRE loans and advances at amortised cost**

<b>Past due</b>	<b>Not more than six months</b>	<b>Over six months</b>	<b>Over one year</b>	<b>Over two years</b>	<b>Over five years</b>	<b>Over ten years</b>	<b>Total loans and</b>
-----------------	---------------------------------	------------------------	----------------------	-----------------------	------------------------	-----------------------	------------------------

Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

	balances £m	six months more than £m	but not more than one year £m	but not more than two years £m	but not more than five years £m	but not more than ten years £m	£m	advances £m
As at 31 December 2013	361	592	931	1,342	4,128	1,115	1,373	9,842
As at 31 December 2012	469	849	593	1,037	4,574	957	1,557	10,036

LTV analysis of UK CRE assets and collateral

As at 31 December 2013	Balances	Balances as proportion of total	Collateral held
	£m	%	£m
<=50%	2,717	28	9,626
>50% and <=75%	4,511	46	7,570
>75% and <=100%	622	6	539
>100% and <=125%	132	1	126
>125%	165	2	44
Unassessed balances <sup>c</sup>	1,314	13	
Unsecured balances	381	4	n/a
<b>Total</b>	<b>9,842</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>17,905</b>

Maturity analysis of exposure to Corporate Spain

Contractual maturity of Corporate Spain loans and advances  
at amortised cost

Past due balances £m	Over						Over loans and advances £m	Total loans and advances £m	impairment allowances £m
	Not more than six months £m	more than six months but not more than one year £m	more than one year but not more than two years £m	more than two years but not more than five years £m	more than five years but not more than ten years £m	more than ten years £m			
As at 31 December 2013	1,101	796	130	151	315	256	163	2,912	977
As at 31 December 2012	1,156	1,197	78	327	772	253	282	4,065	1,074



## Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

### Notes

- a Includes £83m (2012: £270m) of UK CRE exposure held at fair value.
- b 2012 numbers restated to reflect inclusion of a small numbers of assets now classified as UK CRE exposure.
- c Corporate Banking balances under £1m.

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

[Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 177](#)

**Table of Contents**[Risk review >](#)

Credit risk continued

**LTV analysis of Corporate Spain assets and collateral**

As at 31 December 2013	Balances	Balances as proportion of total	Collateral held
	£m	%	£m
<=75%	707	24	1,770
>75% and <=85%	138	5	173
>85% and <=90%	48	2	54
>90% and <=95%	23	1	25
>95% and <=100%	68	2	70
>100% and <=125%	209	7	189
>125%	287	10	122
Unsecured balances	1,432	49	n/a
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,912</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>2,403</b>

Timely management actions were taken in Spain to address emerging issues as the downturn developed. This resulted in problems being identified at an early stage.

Impairment allowances represented 34% of total balances to Corporate Spain (2012: 26%).

Total balances reduced 28% to £2,912m (2012: £4,064m), primarily due to debt sales and repayments. The maturity profile is well spread across different tenor buckets with a relatively low proportion above two years.

Collateral held in the above table includes cash, investment funds, equities, mortgages, bank guarantees and state guarantees.

**Analysis of debt securities**

Debt securities include government securities held as part of the Group's treasury management portfolio for asset and liability, liquidity and regulatory purposes and are for use on a continuing basis in the activities of the Group.

The following tables provide an analysis of debt securities held by the Group for trading and investment purposes by issuer type and where the Group held government securities exceeding 10% of shareholders' equity.

Further information on the credit quality of debt securities is presented on page 150. Further disclosure on sovereign exposures in the Eurozone is presented on pages 182 to 189.

## Debt securities

As at 31 December	2013		2012	
	£m	£m	£m	£m
<b>Of which issued by:</b>				
Governments and other public bodies	112,613	63.7	125,178	63.2
Corporate and other issuers	39,679	22.5	36,003	18.2
US agency	11,145	6.3	19,886	10.1
Mortgage and asset-backed securities	12,880	7.3	16,103	8.1
Bank and building society certificates of deposit	383	0.2	783	0.4
<b>Total</b>	<b>176,700</b>	<b>100.0</b>	197,953	100.0

## Government securities

As at 31 December	2013	2012
	Fair value £m	Fair value £m
United Kingdom	30,951	29,252
United States	28,979	36,740
France	9,868	6,462
South Africa	5,136	6,582
Germany	4,856	4,506
Japan	3,952	6,439
Italy	2,564	3,717
Spain	1,147	2,828

**Table of Contents****Analysis of derivatives (audited)**

The tables below set out the fair values of the derivative assets together with the value of those assets subject to enforceable counterparty netting arrangements for which the Group holds offsetting liabilities and eligible collateral.

**Derivative assets (audited)**

	<b>Balance sheet assets</b>	<b>Counterparty netting<sup>a</sup></b>	<b>Net exposure</b>
	<b>£m</b>	<b>£m</b>	<b>£m</b>
<b>As at 31 December 2013</b>			
Foreign exchange	60,123	46,806	13,317
Interest rate	218,300	182,335	35,965
Credit derivatives	21,923	18,555	3,368
Equity and stock index	13,349	9,553	3,796
Commodity derivatives	10,640	1,279	9,361
<b>Total derivative assets</b>	<b>324,335</b>	<b>258,528</b>	<b>65,807</b>
<b>Cash collateral held</b>			<b>33,509</b>
<b>Net exposure less collateral</b>			<b>32,298</b>
<b>As at 31 December 2012</b>			
Foreign exchange	59,479	45,009	14,470
Interest rate	355,000	307,173	47,827
Credit derivatives	29,797	25,497	4,300
Equity and stock index	10,987	6,821	4,166
Commodity derivatives	13,893	3,172	10,721
<b>Total derivative assets</b>	<b>469,156</b>	<b>387,672</b>	<b>81,484</b>
<b>Cash collateral held</b>			<b>46,855</b>

Net exposure less collateral

34,629

Derivative asset exposures would be £292bn (2012: £435bn) lower than reported under IFRS if netting were permitted for assets and liabilities with the same counterparty or for which we hold cash collateral. Similarly, derivative liabilities would be £288bn (2012: £427bn) lower reflecting counterparty netting and collateral placed. In addition non-cash collateral of £8bn (2012: £6bn) was held in respect of derivative assets. Barclays received collateral from clients in support of over-the-counter (OTC) derivative transactions. These transactions are generally undertaken under International Swaps and Derivative Association (ISDA) agreements governed by either UK or New York law.

Exposure relating to derivatives, repurchase agreements, reverse repurchase agreements, stock borrowing and loan transactions is calculated using internal PRA approved models. These are used as the basis to assess both regulatory capital and capital appetite and are managed on a daily basis. The methodology encompasses all relevant factors to enable the current value to be calculated and the future value to be estimated, for example, current market rates, market volatility and legal documentation (including collateral rights).

#### Note

a 2012 counterparty netting for foreign exchange, interest rate and commodity derivatives have been revised to be on a consistent basis with 2013.

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

[Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 179](#)

**Table of Contents**[Risk review >](#)

Credit risk continued

The table below sets out the fair value and notional amounts of OTC derivative financial instruments by collateral agreement type. Unilateral (one way) arrangements are where only one party needs to provide collateral and can be in favour of either Barclays or the counterparty. Bilateral agreements require either party to post security, depending on the value of the contract.

**Derivatives by collateral arrangement**

	2013			2012		
	Notional contract amount	Fair value		Notional contract amount	Fair value	
		Assets £m	Liabilities £m		Assets £m	Liabilities £m
<b>Unilateral in favour of Barclays</b>						
Foreign exchange	29,098	363	(344)	27,261	492	(423)
Interest rate	6,495	652	(115)	17,019	1,064	(145)
Credit derivatives	402	14	(7)	493	4	(7)
Equity and stock index	486	4	(17)	660		(18)
Commodity derivatives	5,477	84	(90)	6,426	451	(432)
<b>Total unilateral in favour of Barclays</b>	<b>41,958</b>	<b>1,117</b>	<b>(573)</b>	<b>51,859</b>	<b>2,011</b>	<b>(1,025)</b>
<b>Unilateral in favour of counterparty</b>						
Foreign exchange	37,223	1,023	(2,995)	38,081	1,316	(3,052)
Interest rate	153,566	5,221	(7,067)	152,021	7,920	(10,778)
Credit derivatives	378	1	(46)	5,326	2	(24)
Equity and stock index	1,158	90	(112)	1,352	21	(291)
Commodity derivatives	5,645	236	(109)	4,592	327	(85)
	<b>197,970</b>	<b>6,571</b>	<b>(10,329)</b>	<b>201,372</b>	<b>9,586</b>	<b>(14,230)</b>

<b>Total unilateral in favour of counterparty</b>						
<b>Bilateral arrangement</b>						
Foreign exchange	4,245,971	53,917	(57,005)	3,962,623	53,002	(55,952)
Interest rate	11,740,243	205,781	(194,414)	14,414,758	335,532	(324,340)
Credit derivatives	1,261,171	21,390	(20,969)	1,507,569	28,134	(28,222)
Equity and stock index	143,121	7,173	(12,170)	145,888	6,787	(9,120)
Commodity derivatives	157,639	8,673	(8,310)	205,628	11,208	(12,525)
<b>Total bilateral arrangement</b>	<b>17,548,145</b>	<b>296,934</b>	<b>(292,868)</b>	20,236,466	434,663	(430,159)
<b>Uncollateralised derivatives</b>						
Foreign exchange	293,733	4,820	(4,350)	293,052	4,628	(4,413)
Interest rate	222,676	5,577	(1,945)	370,754	10,306	(4,381)
Credit derivatives	8,069	517	(611)	16,596	1,648	(945)
Equity and stock index	17,877	2,659	(2,383)	14,515	1,087	(2,394)
Commodity derivatives	35,090	1,104	(1,673)	29,912	1,241	(1,260)
<b>Total uncollateralised derivatives</b>	<b>577,445</b>	<b>14,677</b>	<b>(10,962)</b>	724,829	18,910	(13,393)
<b>Total OTC derivative assets/(liabilities)</b>	<b>18,365,518</b>	<b>319,299</b>	<b>(314,732)</b>	21,214,526	465,170	(458,807)

The uncollateralised derivative asset exposure (including unilateral in favour of counterparty) of £21bn would be £7bn lower than reported under IRFS if netting was permitted for assets and liabilities with the same counterparty and that are subject to set off under netting agreements.

**Table of Contents****Exit Quadrant assets<sup>a</sup>**

On 12 February 2013, the Group announced as part of its Strategic Review that, following a rigorous bottom-up analysis of each of its businesses based on the attractiveness of the sector they operate in and their ability to generate sustainable returns on equity above cost of equity, it would be exiting certain assets.

The table below presents selected financial data for these Exit Quadrant assets:

	CRD IV RWAs <sup>b</sup>		Balance sheet		2013		
	2013 £bn	2012 £bn	2013 £bn	2012 £bn	Income/ (expense) £m	Impairment (charge)/ release £m	Net operating (expense)/ income £m
<b>Investment Bank</b>							
US residential mortgages	1.1	5.3	0.5	2.2	478		478
Commercial mortgages and real estate	1.6	3.1	2.0	4.0	182		182
Leveraged and other loans	9.7	10.1	6.0	11.5	(88)	11	(77)
CLOs and other insured assets	3.2	5.9	11.7	16.3	(281)		(281)
Structured credit and other	3.8	9.4	5.2	8.6	(128)		(128)
Monoline derivatives	2.2	3.1	0.3	0.6	(21)		(21)
Corporate derivatives	1.9	8.3	2.2	3.6			
<b>Portfolio assets</b>	<b>23.5</b>	45.2	<b>27.9</b>	46.8	<b>142</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>153</b>
Pre-CRD IV rates portfolio	18.7	33.9					
<b>Total Investment Bank</b>	<b>42.2</b>	79.1					
<b>Corporate Banking European assets</b>	<b>3.2</b>	5.0	<b>2.6</b>	3.9	<b>80</b>	<b>(321)</b>	<b>(241)</b>
<b>Europe RBB assets</b>	<b>9.0</b>	9.7	<b>21.3</b>	22.9	<b>118</b>	<b>(187)</b>	<b>(69)</b>



<b>Total</b>	<b>54.4</b>	93.8
--------------	-------------	------

Exit Quadrant income shown on page 261 differs from the income above due to revenues relating to associated litigation matters and recoverability of certain assets not yet received from the 2008 US Lehman acquisition.

The CRD IV RWAs of the Exit Quadrant businesses decreased £39.4bn to £54.4bn including reductions of £36.9bn in the Investment Bank. This reflects reductions in Investment Bank portfolio assets of £21.7bn to £23.5bn, relating to US Residential, Structured Credit Portfolios and optimisation initiatives within the derivatives portfolio. Pre CRD IV Rates derivatives RWAs decreased £15.2bn to £18.7bn. RWAs in Corporate Banking and Europe RBB Exit Quadrant portfolios decreased due to continued asset run down.

Portfolio Assets balance sheet assets decreased £18.9bn to £27.9bn driven by net sales and paydowns across asset classes. Income of £142m was primarily driven by gains relating to US Residential Mortgage exposures, partially offset by funding charges on Collateralised Loan Obligations and Other Insured Assets and the acceleration of disposals. Portfolio Assets income reduced to £142m (2012: £578m), largely driven by a reduction in fair value gains on US Residential Mortgages and sale of Commercial Real Estate loans.

Corporate Banking Exit Quadrant balance sheet assets in Europe decreased £1.3bn to £2.6bn largely driven by reductions in Spain and Portugal.

Europe RBB Exit Quadrant balance sheet assets decreased £1.6bn to £21.3bn largely driven by mortgage reductions in Spain and Italy, partially offset by foreign currency movements.

#### Notes

- a The Exit Quadrant Assets note is subject to audit, excluding RWAs.
- b The table above provides an indication of the CRD IV RWAs that are currently allocated to the Exit Quadrant businesses.

## **Table of Contents**

### [Risk review >](#)

Credit risk continued

### [Exposures to Eurozone countries \(audited\)](#)

#### **Overview**

The Group recognises the credit and market risk resulting from the ongoing volatility in the Eurozone and continues to monitor events closely while taking coordinated steps to mitigate the risks associated with the challenging economic environment. Risks associated with a potential partial break-up of the Euro area include:

- Direct risk arising from sovereign default of an exiting country and the impact on the economy of, and the Group's counterparties in, that country;
- Indirect risk arising from the subsequent impact on the economy of, and the Group's counterparties in, other Eurozone countries;
- Indirect risk arising from credit derivatives that reference Eurozone sovereign debt (see page 188); and
- Direct redenomination risk on the potential mismatch in the currency of the assets and liabilities on balance sheets of the Group's local operations in countries in the Eurozone (see page 189).

Contingency planning began in early 2012 based on a series of potential scenarios that might arise from an escalation in the crisis. Multiple tests have been run throughout 2012 and 2013 to establish the impact on customers, systems, processes and staff in the event of the most plausible scenarios. Where issues have been identified, appropriate remedial actions have either been completed or are underway.

During 2013 the Group's net on-balance sheet exposures to Spain, Italy, Portugal, Ireland, Cyprus and Greece reduced by 11% to £53bn. Exposure to retail customers and corporate clients reduced 17% to £13.0bn; largely reflecting reduced lending in Spain, Italy and Portugal as part of the active management to reduce redenomination risk. Sovereign exposure decreased 60% to £2.2bn principally due to a reduction in government bonds held as available for sale.

#### **Basis of preparation**

The Group presents the direct balance sheet exposure to credit and market risk by country, with the totals reflecting allowance for impairment, netting and cash collateral held where appropriate.

Trading and derivatives balances relate to Investment Bank activities, principally as market-maker for government bond positions. Positions are held at fair value, with daily movements taken through profit and loss:

- j Trading assets and liabilities are presented by issuer type, whereby positions are netted to the extent allowable under IFRS. Where liability positions exceed asset positions by counterparty type, exposures are presented as nil;
- j Derivative assets and liabilities are presented by counterparty type, whereby positions are netted to the extent allowable under IFRS. Cash collateral held is then added to give a net credit exposure. Where liability positions and collateral held exceed asset positions by counterparty type, exposures are presented as nil; and
- j Assets designated at fair value include debt and equity securities, loans and reverse repurchase agreements that have been designated at fair value.

Available for sale investments principally relate to investments in government bonds and other debt securities. Balances are reported on a fair value basis, with movements in fair value going through other comprehensive income (OCI).

Loans and advances held at amortised cost<sup>a</sup> comprise: (i) retail lending portfolios, predominantly mortgages secured on residential property; and (ii) corporate lending portfolios. Settlement balances and cash collateral are excluded from this analysis.

Sovereign exposures reflect direct exposures to central and local governments<sup>b</sup>, the majority of which are used for hedging interest rate risk and liquidity purposes. The remaining portion is actively managed reflecting our role as a leading primary dealer, market maker and liquidity provider to our clients. Financial institution and corporate exposures reflect the country of operations of the counterparty or issuer depending on the asset class analysed (including foreign subsidiaries and without reference to cross-border guarantees). Retail exposures reflect the country of residence for retail customers and country of operations for business banking customers. Off-balance sheet exposure consists primarily of undrawn commitments and guarantees issued to third parties on behalf of our corporate clients.

#### Notes

a The Group also enters into reverse repurchase agreements and other similar secured lending, which are materially fully collateralised.

b In addition, the Group held cash with the central banks of these countries totalling £0.2bn as at 31 December 2013 (2012: £0.7bn). Other material balances with central banks are classified within loans to financial institutions.

**Table of Contents****Summary of Group exposures**

The following table shows Barclays exposure to Eurozone countries monitored internally as being higher risk and thus being the subject of particular management focus. Detailed analysis on these countries is on pages 184 to 187a. Exposures on loans and advances to geographic regions including Europe as a whole are set out on pages 152 and 153. The net exposure provides the most appropriate measure of the credit risk to which the Group is exposed. The gross exposure is also presented below, alongside off-balance sheet contingent liabilities and commitments. Gross exposure reflects total exposures before the effects of economic hedging by way of trading portfolio liabilities, derivative liabilities and cash collateral, but after taking into account impairment allowances and IFRS netting.

**Net exposure by country and counterparty (audited)**

	Financial		Residential	Other retail	Total	Contingent	Total	
	Sovereign	institutions	Corporate	mortgages	lending	on-balance sheet	liabilities and	net
	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	exposures	commitments	exposure
						£m	£m	£m
<b>As at 31 December 2013</b>								
Spain	184	1,029	3,203	12,537	2,292	19,245	3,253	22,498
Italy	1,556	417	1,479	15,295	1,881	20,628	3,124	23,752
Portugal	372	38	891	3,413	1,548	6,262	2,288	8,550
Ireland	67	5,030	1,356	103	100	6,656	2,047	8,703
Cyprus		7	106	19	43	175	66	241
Greece	8	5	51	6	12	82	3	85
<b>As at 31 December 2012</b>								
Spain	2,067	1,525	4,138	13,305	2,428	23,463	3,301	26,764
Italy	2,669	567	1,962	15,591	1,936	22,725	3,082	25,807
Portugal	637	48	1,958	3,474	1,783	7,900	2,588	10,488
Ireland	21	3,585	1,127	112	83	4,928	1,644	6,572
Cyprus	8		106	44	26	184	131	315
Greece	1		61	8	9	79	5	84

During 2013 the Group's net on-balance sheet exposures to Spain, Italy, Portugal, Ireland, Cyprus and Greece reduced by 11% to £53bn. This reduction is principally due to a decrease in sovereign exposures by 60% to £2.2bn which was driven by a reduction in Spanish sovereign exposure by 91% to £0.2bn due to the disposal of available for sale government bonds, held for the purpose of interest rate hedging and liquidity, which have been replaced by interest rate swaps with alternative counterparties. Italian sovereign exposure decreased 42% to £1.6bn principally due to a reduction in government bonds held as available for sale.

Residential mortgage exposure reduced by 4% to £31.4bn, reflecting lower new originations across Spain, Italy and Portugal in line with Group strategy to reduce redenomination risk. Other retail lending reduced by 6% to £5.9bn driven primarily by reduced lending to business banking customers in Spain and Portugal as a result of the challenging economic conditions. Corporate exposure reduced 24% to £7.1bn, largely reflecting reduced lending in Spain, Italy and Portugal as part of the active management to reduce redenomination risk. Exposures to financial institutions increased by 14% to £6.5bn, with increased exposure in Ireland relating to securitised lending offset predominately by reductions in exposures for Spain and Italy.

### Gross exposure by country and counterparty (audited)

	Financial		Residential		Other retail		Total gross		Total gross exposure
	Sovereign	institutions	Corporate	mortgages	lending	on-balance sheet	Contingent liabilities and commitments	exposure	
	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m
<b>As at 31 December 2013</b>									
Spain	1,198	6,715	3,596	12,537	2,292	26,338	3,253	29,591	
Italy	4,104	4,339	1,836	15,295	1,881	27,455	3,124	30,579	
Portugal	526	171	950	3,413	1,548	6,608	2,288	8,896	
Ireland	587	7,819	1,424	103	100	10,033	2,047	12,080	
Cyprus		68	126	19	43	256	66	322	
Greece	9	824	52	6	12	903	3	906	
<b>As at 31 December 2012</b>									
Spain	2,900	9,291	4,450	13,305	2,428	32,374	3,301	35,675	
Italy	5,429	7,725	2,348	15,591	1,936	33,029	3,082	36,111	
Portugal	1,035	346	2,130	3,475	1,783	8,769	2,588	11,357	
Ireland	56	8,432	1,395	112	83	10,078	1,644	11,722	
Cyprus	9	102	119	44	26	300	131	431	
Greece	3	1,181	61	8	9	1,262	5	1,267	

#### Note

a Detailed analysis is not provided for Ireland as there is no redenomination risk due to local funding and due to significant risk relating to the underlying assets residing in an alternative country. The exposures for Cyprus and

Greece are deemed immaterial to the Group.

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

[Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 183](#)

**Table of Contents**[Risk review >](#)

Credit risk continued

[Spain \(audited\)](#)**Fair value through profit or loss**

	Trading portfolio			Derivatives			Designated at FV		Total	
	Assets	Liabilities	Net	Assets	Liabilities	Cash collateral	Net	Assets	2013	2012
	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m
As at 31 December										
Sovereign	1,020	(1,011)	9	28	(4)		24	107	140	476
Financial institutions	612	(114)	498	5,572	(5,572)			359	857	788
Corporate	479	(187)	292	398	(206)		192	421	905	817

**Fair value through  
OCI**

	Available for sale investments <sup>a</sup>			
	Cost	AFS reserve	2013 Total	2012 Total
	£m	£m	£m	£m
As at 31 December				
Sovereign	22	1	23	1,562
Financial institutions	159	4	163	480
Corporate	7	1	8	10

**Held at amortised cost**

As at 31 December	Loans and advances			
	Impairment		2013	2012
	Gross	allowances	Total	Total
	£m	£m	£m	£m
Sovereign	21		21	29
Financial institutions	24	(15)	9	257
Residential mortgages	12,670	(133)	12,537	13,305
Corporate	3,224	(934)	2,290	3,311
Other retail lending	2,453	(161)	2,292	2,428

### Off-balance sheet

As at 31 December	Contingent liabilities and commitments	
	2013	2012
	£m	£m
Sovereign		
Financial institutions	283	88
Residential mortgages	7	12
Corporate	1,831	1,938
Other retail lending	1,132	1,263

Note

a Cost refers to the fair value of the asset at recognition, less any impairment booked. AFS reserve is the cumulative fair value gain or loss on the assets that is held in equity. Total is the fair value of the assets at the balance sheet date.



## **Table of Contents**

### **Sovereign**

- ; £184m (2012: £2,067m) largely consisting of holdings in government bonds held at fair value through profit and loss. During the period Spanish sovereign exposure reduced due to the disposal of AFS government bonds.

### **Financial institutions**

- ; £857m (2012: £788m) held at fair value through profit and loss, predominantly debt securities held by the Investment Bank to support trading and market making activities; and

- ; £163m (2012: £480m) AFS investments with £4m (2012: £11m loss) cumulative gain held in AFS reserve.

### **Residential mortgages**

- ; £12,537m (2012: £13,305m) fully secured on residential property with average balance weighted marked to market LTV of 63% (2012: 65%). The increase in LTV is reflected in the CRL coverage of 37% (2012: 36%); and

- ; 90 day arrears rates have remained stable at 0.7% and 1.1% respectively.

### **Corporate**

- ; Net lending to corporates of £2,290m (2012: £3,311m) with CRLs of £1,651m (2012: £1,887m), impairment allowance of £934m (2012: £1,060m) and CRL coverage of 57% (2012: 56%). Balances on EWL peaked in November 2010;

- ; The portfolio is kept under close review. EWL balances remain on the reducing trend seen since the peak in H110. Over this period, EWL balances have more than halved;

- ; Net lending to property and construction industry of £774m (2012: £1,188m) largely secured on real estate collateral, with CRLs of £1,112m (2012: £1,429m), impairment allowance of £659m (2012: £820m) and CRL coverage of 59% (2012: 57%);

- ; Corporate impairment in Spain was at its highest level during H110 when commercial property declines were reflected earlier in the cycle; and

- ; £284m (2012: £359m) lending to multinational and large national corporates, which continues to perform.

### **Other retail lending**

## Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

- £961m (2012: £1,052m) credit cards and unsecured loans. 30 day arrears marginally improved while 90 day arrears rates increased. Gross charge off rates in credit cards and unsecured loans were stable in during the year; and
- £933m (2012: £1,045m) lending to small and medium enterprises (SMEs), largely secured against residential or commercial property.

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

[Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 185](#)

**Table of Contents**[Risk review >](#)

Credit risk continued

Italy (audited)

**Fair value through profit or loss**

	Trading portfolio			Derivatives			Designated at FV		Total	
	Liabilities		Net £m	Assets £m	Liabilities £m	Cash collateral £m	Net £m	Assets £m	2013 £m	2012 £m
	Assets £m	£m								
As at 31 December	2,403	(2,324)	79	1,542	(224)		1,318	2	1,399	1,123
Sovereign Financial institutions	210	(145)	65	3,777	(2,831)	(946)		239	304	391
Corporate	302	(144)	158	312	(107)	(107)	98	336	592	699

**Fair value  
through OCI**

	Available for sale investments <sup>a</sup>			
	Cost	AFS reserve	2013 Total	2012
				Total
As at 31 December	£m	£m	£m	£m
Sovereign Financial institutions	154	3	157	1,537
Corporate	60	3	63	138
	27	2	29	29

**Held at  
amortised cost**

As at 31 December	Loans and advances			
	Impairment Gross allowances		2013 Total	2012 Total
	£m	£m	£m	£m
Sovereign				9
Financial institutions	50		50	38
Residential mortgages	15,433	(138)	15,295	15,591
Corporate	997	(139)	858	1,234
Other retail lending	1,978	(97)	1,881	1,936

**Off-balance  
sheet**

As at 31 December	Contingent liabilities and commitments	
	2013	2012
	£m	£m
Financial institutions	361	90
Residential mortgages	25	45
Corporate	2,069	2,158
Other retail lending	669	789

**Sovereign**

‡ £1,399m (2012: £1,123m) predominantly of government bonds held at fair value through profit and loss and AFS government bonds of £157m (2012: £1,537m). AFS government bonds have a cumulative fair value gain of £3m (2012: £28m) held in the AFS reserve.

**Residential mortgages**

‡ £15,295m (2012: £15,591m) secured on residential property with average balance weighted marked to market LTVs of 60% (2012: 60%). CRL coverage of 24% (2012: 23%) marginally increased; and

## Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

90 day arrears at 1.1% (2012: 1.0%) were broadly stable, however gross charge-off rates improved to 0.7% (2012: 0.8%).

### Corporate

£858m (2012: £1,234m) focused on large corporate clients with limited exposure to property sector; and

Balances on EWL increased in 2013 due to the inclusion of a single counterparty. Excluding this counterparty, balances on early warning list have been broadly stable.

### Other retail lending

£982m (2012: £1,337m) Italian salary advance loans (repayment deducted at source by qualifying employers and Barclays is insured in the event of termination of employment or death). Arrears rates on salary loans deteriorated during 2013 while charge-off rates improved; and

£394m (2012: £434m) of credit cards and other unsecured loans. Arrears rates (both 30 and 90 days) in cards and unsecured loans slightly increased while gross charge-off rates have improved.

### Note

a Cost refers to the fair value of the asset at recognition, less any impairment booked. AFS reserve is the cumulative fair value gain or loss on the assets that is held in equity. Total is the fair value of the assets at the balance sheet date.

**Table of Contents**

Portugal (audited)

**Fair value through profit or loss**

	Trading portfolio						Designated at FV		Total	
	Assets		Liabilities		Net		Assets		2013 £m	2012 £m
	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m		
As at 31 December										
						Cash £m	Net £m			
Sovereign	88	(67)	21	87	(87)				21	8
Financial institutions	18	(5)	13	129	(120)	(9)			13	18
Corporate	45	(18)	27	75	(37)	(4)	34		61	252

**Fair value through OCI**

	Available for sale investments <sup>a</sup>			
	Cost £m	AFS reserve £m	2013 Total £m	2012 Total £m
As at 31 December				
Sovereign	307	3	310	594
Financial institutions	2		2	2
Corporate	65		65	331

**Held at amortised cost****Loans and advances**

As at 31 December	<b>Impairment Gross Allowances</b>		<b>2013 Total</b>	<b>2012 Total</b>
	<b>£m</b>	<b>£m</b>	<b>£m</b>	<b>£m</b>
Sovereign	42	(1)	41	35
Financial institutions	23		23	28
Residential mortgages	3,460	(47)	3,413	3,474
Corporate	1,117	(352)	765	1,375
Other retail lending	1,714	(166)	1,548	1,783

### Off-balance sheet

As at 31 December	<b>Contingent liabilities and commitments</b>	
	<b>2013 £m</b>	<b>2012 £m</b>
Financial institutions	1	1
Residential mortgages	11	25
Corporate	627	889
Other retail lending	1,649	1,673

### Sovereign

£372m (2012: £637m) of largely AFS government bonds. No impairment and £3m (2012: £4m loss) cumulative fair value gain held in the AFS reserve.

### Residential mortgages

Secured on residential property with average balance weighted LTVs of 76% (2012: 78%). CRL coverage of 34% (2012: 29%) marginally increased; and

90 day arrears rates improved to 0.5% (2012: 0.7%) while recoveries impairment coverage increased to 31.9% (2012: 25.6%) driven by an increase in loss given default rates.

### Corporate

Net lending to corporates of £765m (2012: £1,375m), with CRLs of £548m (2012: £501m), impairment allowance of £352m (2012: £296m) and CRL coverage of 64% (2012: 59%); and

Net lending to the property and construction industry of £217m (2012: £364m) secured, in part, against real estate collateral, with CRLs of £281m (2012: £275m), impairment allowance of £183m (2012: £149m) and CRL coverage of 65% (2012: 54%).

### Other retail lending

£890m (2012: £950m) credit cards and unsecured loans. During 2013, arrears rates in cards portfolio deteriorated while charge-off rates remained stable; and

j CRL coverage of 87% (2012: 74%) driven by credit cards and unsecured loans exposure.

Note

a Cost refers to the fair value of the asset at recognition, less any impairment booked. AFS reserve is the cumulative fair value gain or loss on the assets that is held in equity. Total is the fair value of the assets at the balance sheet date.

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

[Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 187](#)



**Table of Contents**[Risk review >](#)

Credit risk continued

**Analysis of indirect exposures**

Indirect exposure to sovereigns can arise through a number of different sources, including credit derivatives referencing sovereign debt; guarantees to savings and investment funds which hold sovereign risk; lending to financial institutions who themselves hold exposure to sovereigns and guarantees, implicit or explicit, by the sovereign to the Group's counterparties. A geographic and industrial analysis of the Group's loans and advances, including lending to European counterparties by type, is set out on pages 152 and 153.

**Credit derivatives referencing sovereign debt**

The Group enters into credit mitigation arrangements (principally credit default swaps and total return swaps) for which the reference asset is government debt. For Spain, Italy and Portugal, these have the net effect of reducing the Group's exposure in the event of sovereign default. An analysis of the Group's credit derivatives referencing sovereign debt is presented below.

	Spain	Italy	Portugal	Ireland	Cyprus	Greece
	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m
<b>As at 31 December 2013</b>						
<b>Fair value</b>						
Bought	59	311	186	(10)	1	
Sold	(51)	(289)	(183)	2	(1)	
<b>Net derivative fair value</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>(8)</b>		
<b>Contract notional amount</b>						
Bought	(8,333)	(17,083)	(3,456)	(2,901)	(7)	
Sold	8,307	16,528	3,430	2,971	7	
<b>Net derivative notional amount</b>	<b>(26)</b>	<b>(555)</b>	<b>(26)</b>	<b>70</b>		
<b>Net protection from credit derivatives in the event of sovereign default (notional less fair value)</b>	<b>(18)</b>	<b>(533)</b>	<b>(23)</b>	<b>62</b>		

**As at 31 December 2012**

Net protection from credit derivatives in the event of sovereign default (notional less fair value)	(122)	(307)	(88)	44
---	-------	-------	------	----

The fair values and notional amounts of credit derivative assets and liabilities would be lower than reported under IFRS if netting was permitted for assets and liabilities with the same counterparty or for which we hold cash collateral. An analysis of the effects of such netting is presented below.

	Spain	Italy	Portugal	Ireland	Cyprus	Greece
	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m
<b>As at 31 December 2013</b>						
<b>Fair value</b>						
Bought	31	88	72	(10)	1	
Sold	(23)	(66)	(69)	2	(1)	
<b>Net derivative fair value</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>(8)</b>		
<b>Contract notional amount</b>						
Bought	(2,468)	(4,273)	(1,068)	(800)	(4)	
Sold	2,442	3,718	1,042	870	4	
<b>Net derivative notional amount</b>	<b>(26)</b>	<b>(555)</b>	<b>(26)</b>	<b>70</b>		
<b>Net protection from credit derivatives in the event of sovereign default (notional less fair value)</b>	<b>(18)</b>	<b>(533)</b>	<b>(23)</b>	<b>62</b>		

**As at 31 December 2012**

Net protection from credit derivatives in the event of sovereign default (notional less fair value)	(122)	(307)	(88)	44
---	-------	-------	------	----

Credit derivatives are contracts whereby the default risk of an asset (reference asset) is transferred from the buyer to the seller of the credit derivative contract. Credit derivatives referencing sovereign assets are bought and sold to support client transactions and for risk management purposes. The contract notional amount represents the size of the credit derivative contracts that have been bought or sold, while the fair value represents the change in the value of the reference asset. The net protection or exposure from credit derivatives in the event of sovereign default amount represents a net purchase or sale of insurance by the Group. This insurance reduces or increases the Group's total exposure and should be considered alongside the direct exposures disclosed in the preceding pages.



**Table of Contents**

**Exposure to Eurozone residential property sector**

Barclays risk exposure and impairment in Spain and Portugal has been and will be affected by the housing sector in those countries as a result of changes to the bank's risk appetite in a declining housing sector, where the desired level of new business has been reduced, and with it, the total exposure.

The 2013 impairment charge to the residential mortgage book in Spain was £42m (2012: £72m) and in Portugal was £22m (2012: £24m). These decreases were principally driven by:

- Improvement in underlying performance following credit tightening and collections improvements; and
  - A slowdown in the rate of growth in our Loss Given Default, driven by a slowdown in house price deterioration and a one off charge on Spain in 2012 not being repeated.
- The impairment charge to our residential mortgage book in Italy increased to £41m (2012: £27m). However underlying portfolio performance is broadly in line with expectations, with 90 day arrears rates and charge off remaining broadly stable.

For information on our exposures to home loans in Spain, Portugal and Italy see pages 165 to 167.

**Eurozone Balance Sheet Redenomination Risk**

Redenomination risk is the risk of financial loss to the Group should one or more countries exit the Euro, leading to the devaluation of balance sheet assets and liabilities. The Group is directly exposed to redenomination risk where there is a mismatch between the level of locally denominated assets and liabilities.

Within Barclays, retail banking, corporate banking and wealth management activities in the Eurozone are generally booked locally within each country. Locally booked customer assets and liabilities, primarily loans and advances to customers and customer deposits, are predominantly denominated in Euros. The remaining funding needed is met through local funding secured against customer loans and advances, with any residual need funded through the Group.

During 2013, the net funding mismatch decreased 0.2bn to 11.6bn in Italy and 1.1bn to 3.0bn in Portugal. The surplus in Spain increased 0.8bn to 3.1bn.

Barclays continues to monitor the potential impact of the Eurozone volatility on local balance sheet funding and will consider actions as appropriate to manage the risk.

## Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

Direct exposure to Greece is very small with negligible net funding required from Group. For Ireland there is no local balance sheet funding requirement by the Group as total liabilities in this country exceed total assets.

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

[Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 189](#)

---

**Table of Contents**

[Risk review >](#)

Market risk

Market risk is the risk of a reduction to earnings or capital due to volatility of trading book positions or an inability to hedge the banking book balance sheet.

*All disclosures in this section (pages 190 to 198) are unaudited unless otherwise stated*

**Introduction**

This section contains key statistics describing the market risk profile of the bank. It includes both regulatory and management measures. This includes risk weighted assets by major business line, as well as Value at Risk (VaR) measures. Throughout the section, measures on a regulatory and a management basis are shown. The market risk management section on pages 405 to 414 provides full descriptions of these metrics.

The Group has seen a significant decrease in market risk, from lower business activities and disposals (notably Exit Quadrant assets). These movements are reflected in a wide range of risk measures within this section.

- ┆ The relationship between the Group's market risk measures and balance sheet is presented on page 191.
  
- ┆ Measures of traded market risk, such as value at risk, decreased in the year due to lower levels of client activity and improved market conditions. More details are provided on pages 191 to 193.
  
- ┆ This translated into lower volatility in daily trading revenue in the Investment Bank, although with lower average daily revenue from 2012 levels.
  
- ┆ Market risk RWAs fell from 2012 levels as a result of improving market conditions and general reduction in exposures across the main books.
  
- ┆ The section also covers non-traded market risks that mainly occur as a consequence of banking activities other than

trading activities; for instance, interest rate risk that arises in the banking book (IRRBB).

- ; Annual Earnings at Risk (AEaR) to interest rate shocks, a key measure of IRRBB, reduced in 2013. This reduction was predominately driven by changes in the equity structural hedge durations and a change in the hedge ineffectiveness sensitivity.
  
- ; Other market risks, such as pension risk, are disclosed from page 197 onwards.

**Table of Contents****Balance sheet view of trading and banking books**

As defined by the regulatory rules, a trading book consists of positions held for trading intent or to hedge elements of the trading book. Trading intent must be evidenced in the basis of the strategies, policies and procedures set up by the firm to manage the position or portfolio. The below table provides a group wide overview of where assets on the Group's regulatory balance sheet are managed within regulatory traded and non-traded books. A reconciliation between the IFRS and regulatory balance sheet is provided in the Pillar 3 report.

**Balance sheet split by trading and banking books**

	Banking book <sup>a</sup>	Traded book £m	Total £m
<b>As at 31 December 2013</b>			
Cash, balances at central banks and items in the course of collection	47,072		<b>47,072</b>
Trading portfolio assets		132,984	<b>132,984</b>
Financial assets designated a fair value	20,455	16,881	<b>37,336</b>
Derivative financial instruments		324,330	<b>324,330</b>
Available for sale investments	89,521		<b>89,521</b>
Loans and advances to banks	20,148	17,570	<b>37,718</b>
Loans and advances to customers	357,565	70,627	<b>428,192</b>
Reverse repurchase agreements and other similar secured lending	1,648	185,110	<b>186,758</b>
Other assets	19,878	312	<b>20,190</b>
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>556,287</b>	<b>747,814</b>	<b>1,304,101</b>
Deposits and items in the course of collection due to banks	47,787	9,605	<b>57,392</b>
Customer accounts	376,256	51,674	<b>427,930</b>
Repurchase agreements and other similar secured borrowings	6,511	190,212	<b>196,723</b>
Trading portfolio liabilities		53,464	<b>53,464</b>
Financial liabilities designated at fair value	9,471	54,756	<b>64,227</b>
Derivative financial instruments		320,634	<b>320,634</b>
Debt securities in issue	69,191	12,654	<b>81,845</b>
Subordinated liabilities	21,695		<b>21,695</b>



Other liabilities	15,322	1,046	<b>16,368</b>
<b>Total liabilities</b>	<b>546,233</b>	<b>694,045</b>	<b>1,240,278</b>

Included within the trading book are assets and liabilities which are included in the market risk regulatory measures. For more information on these measures (VaR, SVaR, IRC and APR) see the risk management section on pages 405 to 414.

### [Traded market risk review](#)

### [Review of management measures](#)

The following disclosures provide details on management measures of market risk. See page 407 for more detail on management measures and the differences when compared to regulatory measures.

The table below shows the total Investment Bank Management VaR on a diversified basis by risk factor (see page 407 for risk factor definitions). Limits are applied against each risk factor VaR as well as total Management VaR, which are then cascaded further by risk managers to each business.

The Management VaR numbers in the table below include add-ons, to better represent the market risk where the VaR model may not fully represent some risk factors. See page 408 for a description of risks not in VaR (RNIVs).

Average Management VaR reduced in 2013 due to a combination of lower client activity and improved market conditions, notably, tightening of credit spreads. Market volatility, which was mainly driven by Eurozone in the previous year, improved in 2013 along with general market sentiment, supported by improving macroeconomic trends in developed markets resulting in the review of quantitative easing programmes.

#### Note

- a The primary risk factors for banking book assets and liabilities are interest rates and to a lesser extent, foreign exchange rates. Credit spreads and equity prices will also be a factor where the Group holds debt and equity securities respectively, either as Financial Assets Designated at Fair Value (see note 14) or as Available for Sale (see note 16).

**Table of Contents**[Risk review >](#)

Market risk continued

**The daily average, maximum and minimum values of Management VaR**

For the year ended 31 December	2013			2012		
	Average £m	High <sup>a</sup> £m	Low <sup>a</sup> £m	Average £m	High <sup>a</sup> £m	Low <sup>a</sup> £m
<b>Management VaR (95%)</b>						
<b>Credit risk</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>12</b>	26	44	18
Interest rate risk	13	24	6	14	23	7
Spread risk	11	21	5	23	31	17
Basis risk	11	17	7	11	21	5
Equity risk	11	21	5	9	19	4
Commodity risk	5	8	2	6	9	4
Foreign exchange risk	4	7	2	6	10	2
Inflation risk	3	8	2	3	7	2
Diversification effect <sup>a</sup>	(47)	n/a	n/a	(60)	n/a	n/a
<b>Total Management VaR</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>21</b>	38	75	27

The three main contributors to total Management VaR were credit, interest rate and spread risks. From average 2012 levels, average VaR for credit risk fell by £8m (31%), interest rate risk fell by £1m (7%) and spread risk fell by £12m (52%). Overall average VaR for the Investment Bank fell by £9m (24%).

Equity risk VaR is the only risk factor that has shown an increase since 2012 as the business supported several key primary market activities over the year as well as increased volume.

The business remained within the Management VaR limits approved by the Board Financial Risk Committee (BFRC) throughout 2013 for both risk factor VaR and total VaR.

[Investment bank management VaR](#)[Investment bank daily trading revenue](#)

## Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

The histogram above shows the distribution of daily revenue for the Investment Bank in 2013 and 2012. This includes all income generated by Investment Bank except for Private Equity and Principal Investments. Business performance is discussed in more detail on pages 260 and 261.

The average daily revenue at the Investment Bank in 2013 was £41m, down 11% from 2012, however, there were more positive trading revenue days in 2013 than in 2012, with 97% of days generating positive trading revenue compared to 88% in 2012. The volatility of income was lower in 2013, in line with the decrease in average Management VaR and lower market volatility.

### Note

- a The high and low VaR figures reported for each category did not necessarily occur on the same day as the high and low VaR reported as a whole. Consequently a diversification effect balance for the high and low VaR figures would not be meaningful and is therefore omitted from the above table.

**Table of Contents****Investment Bank balance sheet and Management VaR**

The table below provides an overview of the assets and liabilities of the major trading portfolios in Investment Bank and associated standalone Management VaR. Whilst the table on page 191 shows the total balance sheet breakdown for the Group, split by traded and non-traded net balance sheet, the table below shows the assets and liabilities for the major trading portfolios in Investment Bank that are most sensitive to market risk. These comprise available for sale investments, debt securities in issue, derivative financial instruments, positions with other financial institutions at fair value, repurchase agreements, and trading portfolio assets/liabilities. The corresponding Management VaR shown is on a standalone business perspective; refer to the table on page 192 for the total Investment Bank Management VaR by risk factor.

for the year ended 31 December 2013

Portfolio	Description of business activity	Average			Principal balance sheet line items	Principal market risk exposure
		Assets £m	Liabilities £m	VaR £m		
Fixed Income, currencies and commodities	Market maker in fixed income, currencies and commodity markets.	518,647	505,356	19	Derivative financial instruments and repurchase agreements and trading portfolio assets/liabilities.	Market risk exposure arises from credit trading including bond syndication, and interest rate, currency and commodity market making and trading. The business is well diversified leading to lower risk.
Client Capital Management	This function primarily manages counterparty risk exposures arising from derivative	3,221	4,996	15	Trading portfolio assets/liabilities and derivative financial instruments and available for sale	Hedging the firm's credit including counterparty risk exposure on derivatives.

Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

	contracts.				financial instruments.	
Other Credit	Provides specific credit market exposures.	1,237	370	9	Trading portfolio assets/liabilities.	Risk exposure is primarily to credit markets.
Equities	Provides equity market making and risk management services for clients.	16,265	16,954	8	Trading portfolio assets/liabilities and derivative financial instruments.	Provides derivative solutions to clients. The business also supports cash equity trading, primary market issuance and block trades.
Portfolio Asset Book	Manages assets from non-core operations, including Exit Quadrant assets.	14,754	6,036	2	Trading portfolio assets/liabilities and derivative financial instruments and repurchase agreements.	Credit exposures which the business has been managing down.
Investment Bank Treasury	Provides funding and liquidity services.	27,780	22,128	11	Available for sale financial investments and debt securities in issue.	The principal service is the execution of liquidity and funding operations.
Other Investment Bank assets and liabilities		281,888	238,588		Loans and advances and cash at central banks.	
Other Investment Bank VaR and Diversification				(36)		
<b>Total Investment Bank</b>		<b>863,792</b>	<b>794,428</b>	<b>29</b>		

In order to provide an estimation of the scale of the balance sheet instruments that generate market risk, as defined by Barclays for purposes of risk management, assets and liabilities of the significant Investment Bank business lines have been aggregated. Due to differences in data sets for market risk and IFRS reporting, whilst assets and liabilities exclude balance sheet line items that would clearly not generate market risk (e.g. fixed assets), some line items included (e.g. Financial assets designated at fair value) could contain assets that do not generate market risk. Therefore other Investment Bank assets and liabilities contains (i) business lines that are primarily defined as banking book, and (ii) line items that should not generate market risk.

Management VaR is shown at 95th percentile. Market risks arising from the individual portfolios listed above diversify to provide total Investment Bank management VaR shown on page 192. Some functions such as Treasury and Client Capital Management shows exposure as a result of the service it provides to the client facing franchise, such as managing the firm's exposure to counterparty default or providing funding to execute business. Some client activities are not within the scope of Management VaR, resulting in the potential diversification not being captured.

## Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

On the other hand, Management VaR associated with Fixed Income, Currencies and Commodities reflects diversification within that business line.

The primary client facing businesses such as FICC and Equities contribute to the majority of the total balance sheet assets. The Portfolio Asset Book manages credit exposures, which have been reduced over the year in line with the Exit Quadrant strategy.

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

[Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 193](#)

**Table of Contents**[Risk review >](#)

Market risk continued

[Combined Scenario Stresses](#)

As part of Barclays risk management framework, on a regular basis the performance of the trading business in hypothetical scenarios characterised by severe macroeconomic conditions is modelled. Up to six global scenarios are modelled on a regular basis, for example, a sharp deterioration in liquidity, a slowdown in the global economy, terrorist attacks, global recession and a sovereign peripheral crisis.

Similar to 2012, throughout 2013 the scenarios analysis showed the biggest market risk related impact would be due to a severe deterioration in liquidity and a rapid slowdown in global economy.

[Review of regulatory measures](#)

The following disclosures provide details on regulatory measures of market risk. See page 409 for more detail on regulatory measures and the differences when compared to management measures.

Barclays' market risk capital requirements comprise two elements:

- i Trading book positions booked to legal entities within the scope of Barclays' PRA waiver where the market risk is measured under a PRA approved internal models approach, including Regulatory VaR, Stressed Value at Risk (SVaR), Incremental Risk Charge (IRC) and All Price Risk (APR) as required; and
- j Trading book positions that do not meet the conditions for inclusion within the approved internal models approach. Their capital requirement is calculated using standardised rules.

The below table summarises the regulatory market risk measures, under the internal models approach.

[Analysis of Regulatory VaR, SVaR, IRC and APR measures](#)

	Year-end	Average	Max	Min
As at 31 December 2013	£m	£m	£m	£m
Regulatory VaR	42	46	67	31

SVaR	90	85	112	61
IRC	139	238	539	115
APR	29	141	183	29

**As at 31 December 2012**

Regulatory VaR	44	68	133	42
SVaR	68	111	139	68
IRC	532	574	931	362
APR	176	213	275	176

Average Regulatory VaR fell by 32% to £46m (2012: £68m) and average SVaR fell by 23% to £85m (2012: £111m), both driven by improving market volatility and portfolio diversification.

Average IRC fell by 59% to £238m (2012: £574m) driven by a reduction in exposure to lower rated sovereign positions and a change in directional risk in corporate debt.

Average APR reduced by 34% to £141m (2012: £213m) due to an exit of a significant portion of the correlation portfolio.

As at 31 December 2013 (Year end)	Fixed Income, Currencies and Commodities	Client Capital Management	Other Credit	Equities	Portfolio Asset Book Treasury	
	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m
Regulatory VaR	24	25	1	21	2	3
SVaR	53	41	5	82	3	14
IRC	240	60		24	79	2
APR	27				8	

The table above shows the primary portfolios which are driving Investment Bank's modelled capital requirement as at 2013 year end. The standalone portfolio results diversify at the total Investment Bank level and are not necessarily additive. Regulatory VaR in the prior table shows the total Investment Bank results.



**Table of Contents**

## Non-traded market risk review

**Net interest income sensitivity**

The table below shows sensitivity analysis on the pre-tax net interest income for the non-trading financial assets and financial liabilities held at 31 December 2013 and 31 December 2012. The sensitivity has been measured using the Annual Earnings at Risk (AEaR) methodology as described on page 413. The benchmark interest rate for each currency is set as at 31 December 2013. The effect of structural hedging is taken into account.

**Net interest income sensitivity (AEaR) by business unit**

	<b>Wealth and Investment</b>							
	<b>UK RBB</b>	<b>Europe RBB</b>	<b>Africa RBB</b>	<b>Barclaycard</b>	<b>Corporate Banking</b>	<b>Management</b>	<b>Other<sup>a</sup></b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>As at 31 December</b>	<b>£m</b>	<b>£m</b>	<b>£m</b>	<b>£m</b>	<b>£m</b>	<b>£m</b>	<b>£m</b>	<b>£m</b>
<b>2013</b>								
+200bps	219	9	19	(84)	101	53	(92)	225
+100bps	118	5	9	(42)	50	27	(57)	110
-100bps	(140)	(1)	(8)	25	(160)	(15)	56	(243)
-200bps	(160)	(1)	(15)	26	(170)	(22)	49	(293)
<b>2012</b>								
+200bps	254	(3)	62	(99)	83	51	22	370
+100bps	135	(2)	29	(49)	41	25	3	182
-100bps	(175)	2	(25)	27	(143)	(15)	(45)	(374)
-200bps	(214)	2	(50)	18	(147)	(16)	(26)	(433)

Total AEaR to a +200bp shock decreased by 39% to £225m (2012: £370m), and to a -200bp shock, total AEaR decreased by 32% to £(293)m (2012: £(433)m). The drivers of these differences were predominantly due to large changes in UK RBB, Africa RBB and Other.

The change in UK RBB was due to a reduction in savings margin compression sensitivity due to additional hedges being transacted and a change in modelling pricing assumptions for Managed Rate Deposits in that they will follow market movements more closely.

The change in Africa RBB was primarily due to exchange rates and a reduction in asset and liability mismatch positions.

The change in Other was a combination of changes in the equity structural hedge durations (across GBP, EUR and USD) and a change in the hedge ineffectiveness sensitivity driven by increases in hedge positions (partly due to the rights issue in 2013).

Banking book exposures held or issued by the Investment Bank are excluded as these are measured and managed using VaR. AEaR to 100bp shocks decreased for the same reasons as outlined above and is split by currency in the table below.

### Net interest income sensitivity (AEaR) by currency (audited)

As at 31 December	2013		2012	
	+100 bps	-100 bps	+100 bps	-100 bps
	£m	£m	£m	£m
GBP	92	(199)	96	(273)
USD	9	(21)	30	(23)
EUR	(18)	(7)	20	(49)
ZAR	10	(9)	27	(25)
Other currencies	17	(7)	9	(4)
<b>Total</b>	<b>110</b>	<b>(243)</b>	182	(374)
<b>As percentage of net interest income</b>	<b>0.95%</b>	<b>(2.09%)</b>	1.56%	(3.21%)

Barclays measure some non-traded market risks using an Economic Capital (EC) methodology. EC is predominantly calculated using a daily VaR model and then scaled up to a 1 year EC confidence interval (99.98%). For more information on definitions of prepayment, recruitment and residual risk, and on how EC is used to manage market risk, see the market risk management section on page 413.

Note

a Other consists of Group Treasury and adjustments made for hedge ineffectiveness.

**Table of Contents**[Risk review >](#)

Market risk continued

**Economic capital**

						Wealth and Investment	
	UK RBB	Europe RBB	Africa RBB	Barclaycard	Corporate Banking	Management	Total
As at 31 December	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m
<b>2013</b>							
Prepayment risk	29				10	2	41
Recruitment risk	111				2	1	114
Residual risk	6	13	38	4	1	3	65
<b>2012</b>							
Prepayment risk	38				13	2	53
Recruitment risk	27				1	1	29
Residual risk	5	15	35	2	1	1	59

Total Economic Capital (EC) increased by 56% to £220m, predominately due to the increase in recruitment risk, the risk that arises when the Group commits to providing a product at a predetermined price for a future period, but where the customer has no contractual obligation to take up the product. Recruitment risk EC in UK RBB increased from £27m to £111m driven by an increase in mortgage pre-hedging due to continuing high volumes (particularly in the five year term).

**Analysis of equity sensitivity**

The table below measures the overall impact of a +/- 100bps movement in interest rates on available for sale and cash flow hedge reserves. This data is captured using PV01 (Present Value of 1bp) which is an indicator of the shift in asset value for a 1bp shift in the yield.

**Analysis of equity sensitivity (audited)**

As at 31 December	2013		2012	
	+100 bps	-100 bps	+100 bps	-100 bps
	£m	£m	£m	£m
Net Interest Income	110	(243)	182	(374)
Taxation effects on the above	(27)	61	(51)	105
<b>Effect on profit for the year</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>(182)</b>	131	(269)
<b>As percentage of net profit after tax</b>	<b>6.40%</b>	<b>(14.03%)</b>	72.38%	(148.62%)
Effect on profit for the year (per above)	83	(182)	131	(269)
Available for sale reserve	(861)	861	(673)	673
Cash flow hedge reserve	(2,831)	2,808	(2,179)	2,260
Taxation effects on the above	923	(917)	799	(821)
<b>Effect on equity</b>	<b>(2,686)</b>	<b>2,570</b>	(1,922)	1,843
<b>As percentage of equity</b>	<b>(4.20%)</b>	<b>4.02%</b>	(3.20%)	3.07%

The higher sensitivity on AFS reserves is driven by an increase in debt securities held for liquidity purposes. The higher sensitivity on cash flow hedge reserves is driven by an increased volume of positions during the period.

## **Table of Contents**

### **Foreign exchange risk (audited)**

The Group is exposed to two sources of foreign exchange risk.

#### **a) Transactional foreign currency exposure**

Transactional foreign exchange exposures represent exposure on banking assets and liabilities, denominated in currencies other than the functional currency of the transacting entity.

The Group's risk management policies prevent the holding of significant open positions in foreign currencies outside the trading portfolio managed by the Investment Bank which is monitored through DVaR.

There were no material net transactional foreign currency exposures outside the trading portfolio during 2013 or 2012. Due to the low level of non-trading exposures no reasonably possible change in foreign exchange rates would have a material effect on either the Group's profit or movements in equity for either of the years ended 31 December 2013 or 2012.

#### **b) Translational foreign exchange exposure**

The Group's investments in overseas subsidiaries and branches create capital resources denominated in foreign currencies principally US Dollar, Euro and South African Rand. Changes in the GBP value of the net investments due to foreign currency movements are captured in the currency translation reserve, resulting in a movement in Common Equity Tier 1 Capital.

The Group's strategy is to minimise the volatility of the capital ratios caused by foreign exchange movements, by using the Common Equity Tier 1 Capital movements to broadly match the revaluation of the Group's foreign currency RWA exposures.

During 2013, total structural currency exposures net of hedging instruments remained stable at £16.2bn (2012: £15.7bn).

The economic hedges primarily represent the US Dollar and Euro preference shares and additional Tier 1 instruments that are held as equity, accounted for at historic cost under IFRS and do not qualify as hedges for accounting purposes.

### **Functional currency of operations (audited)**

**Foreign Borrowings   Derivatives   Structural   Economic   Remaining**

	<b>currency which hedge net investments</b>	<b>which hedge the net investments</b>	<b>which hedge the net investments</b>	<b>currency exposures pre economic hedges</b>	<b>hedges</b>	<b>structural currency exposures</b>
	<b>£m</b>	<b>£m</b>	<b>£m</b>	<b>£m</b>	<b>£m</b>	<b>£m</b>
<b>As at 31 December 2013</b>						
US Dollar	34,220	5,555	12,558	16,107	5,812	10,295
Euro	9,336	538	5,570	3,228	2,833	395
Rand	3,835		114	3,721		3,721
Japanese Yen	454	89	352	13		13
Other	2,850		1,101	1,749		1,749
<b>Total</b>	<b>50,695</b>	<b>6,182</b>	<b>19,695</b>	<b>24,818</b>	<b>8,645</b>	<b>16,173</b>
<b>As at 31 December 2012</b>						
US Dollar	34,798	6,251	13,861	14,686	4,822	9,864
Euro	5,314	1,494	1,990	1,830	1,951	(121)
Rand	4,080		131	3,949		3,949
Japanese Yen	597	175	407	15		15
Other	3,040		1,027	2,013		2,013
<b>Total</b>	<b>47,829</b>	<b>7,920</b>	<b>17,416</b>	<b>22,493</b>	<b>6,773</b>	<b>15,720</b>

### Pension risk review

The UK Retirement Fund (UKRF) represents approximately 91% of the Group's total retirement benefit obligations globally. The other material overseas schemes are in South Africa and the US where they represent approximately 5% and 2% respectively of the Group's total retirement benefit obligations. As such, this risk review section focuses exclusively on the UKRF.

Pension risk arises because the estimated market value of the pension fund assets might decline; or the investment returns might reduce; or the estimated value of the pension liabilities might increase.

See page 198 for more information on how pension risk is managed.

### Assets

The Board of Trustees defines an overall long-term investment strategy for the UKRF, with investments across a broad range of asset classes. This ensures an appropriate mix of return seeking assets to generate future returns as well as liability matching assets to better match the future pension obligations. The main market risks within the asset portfolio are against interest rates and equities, as shown by the analysis of scheme assets within Note 37.

## Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

Fair value of UKRF plan assets increased by 4% to £23.7bn (2012: £22.8bn), driven by equities on the back of an equities rally. However, equities risk within the portfolio was relatively unchanged as its percentage against the rest of the portfolio remained stable.

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

[Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 197](#)

## **Table of Contents**

### [Risk review >](#)

Market risk continued

### **Liabilities**

The retirement benefit obligations are a series of future cash flows with relatively long duration. On an IAS 19R basis these cash flows are sensitive to changes in the expected long term inflation rate and the discount rate (AA corporate bond yield curve):

┆ An increase in long term inflation corresponds to an increase in liabilities

┆ An increase in the discount rate corresponds to a decrease in liabilities

Pension risk is generated through the Group's defined benefits schemes and this risk is deemed to move to zero over time as the chart below shows. The chart below outline the shape of the uninflated liability cashflow profile with the majority of the cash flows (approximately 75%) falling between 0 and 40 years, peaking within the 21 to 30 year band and reducing thereafter. The shape may vary depending on changes in inflation expectation and mortality and it is updated in line with Triennial Valuation process.

For more detail on liability assumptions see note 37.

### **Proportion of liability cashflows**

### **Risk measurement**

In line with Barclays risk management framework, the assets and liabilities of the UKRF are modelled within a VaR framework to show the volatility of the pension positions on a total portfolio level. This ensures that the risks, diversification benefits and liability matching characteristics of the UKRF obligations and investments are adequately captured. VaR is measured and monitored on a monthly basis at the pension risk for a such as the Market Risk Committee, Pension Management Group and Pensions Executive Board. The VaR model takes into account the valuation of the liabilities based on an IAS 19R basis (see note 37). The Trustees, via their consultants Towers Watson, receive quarterly VaR measures on a Funding basis.

In addition to this, the impact of Pension risk to the Group is taken into account as part of the stress testing process. Stress testing is performed internally at least on an annual basis, covering scenario such as European economic crisis and quantitative easing. The UKRF exposure is also included as part of the regulatory stress tests and exercises indicated the UKRF risk profile is resilient to severe stress events.



Unlike traded market risk, the capital requirement for pension risk is not reflected in risk weighted assets. Instead, the calculation is applied as a deduction from capital resources which has a similar effect on capital ratios.

#### Triennial valuation

The last triennial valuation was started with an effective date of 30 September 2010. In compliance with the Pensions Act 2004, the current valuation is being carried out with an effective date of 30 September 2013. During 2014, the Bank and Trustees will agree a scheme-specific fund.

---

**Table of Contents**

[Risk review >](#)

**Funding risk**   **Capital**

Capital risk is the risk that the Group is unable to maintain appropriate capital ratios, which could lead to (i) an inability to support business activity; (ii) a failure to meet regulatory requirements; or (iii) a change to credit ratings.

*All disclosures in this section (pages 199 to 207) are unaudited unless otherwise stated*

Capital management is integral to the Group's approach to financial stability and sustainability management and is therefore embedded in the way our businesses and legal entities operate. Our capital management strategy is driven by the strategic aims of the Group and the risk appetite set by the Board.

Barclays has continued to maintain a capital buffer over the PRA's minimum regulatory capital requirements.

For further information on funding capital risk policies, please refer to the Risk management section on pages 420 and 421.

For further information on future regulatory impacts and supervision and regulation, refer to pages 230 to 235.

The Core Tier 1 ratio increased to 13.2% (2012: 10.8%) reflecting an increase in Core Tier 1 capital of £5.1bn to £46.8bn. Barclays generated £1.3bn Core Tier 1 capital from earnings after absorbing the impact of provisions for PPI and interest rate hedging product redress. After deducting £1.7bn of dividends paid during 2013, retained regulatory capital generated from earnings decreased Core Tier 1 capital by £0.4bn. Other material movements in Core Tier 1 capital were:

• £5.8bn increase in share capital and share premium due to the rights issue;

## Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

- ; £0.8bn increase in share capital and share premium due to warrants exercised;
  
  - ; £1.8bn decrease due to foreign currency movements, primarily due to the strengthening of GBP against USD and ZAR; and
  
  - ; £0.5bn decrease in securitisation deductions due to rundown of exit quadrant assets.
- Total Capital Resources increased overall by £4.8bn to £70.7bn.

The increases in Core Tier 1 capital were partially reduced by decreases in Tier 2 capital as a result of £1.4bn of redemptions of dated subordinated liabilities offset by £0.7bn of new issuances and a further £0.5bn decrease in securitisation deductions at a total capital level.

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

[Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 199](#)

**Table of Contents**[Risk review >](#)

Funding risk    Capital continued

**Capital Composition****Key capital ratios**

As at 31 December	2013	2012
Core Tier 1	13.2%	10.8%
Tier 1	15.7%	13.2%
Total capital	19.9%	17.0%

**Capital Resources (audited)**

As at 31 December	2013	2012
	£m	£m
<b>Shareholders' equity (excluding non-controlling interests) per balance sheet</b>	<b>55,385</b>	50,615
Less: CRD IV additional Tier 1 equity	(2,063)	
Own credit cumulative loss <sup>b</sup>	806	804
Unrealised losses/(gains) on available for sale debt securities <sup>b</sup>	3	(417)
Unrealised gains on available for sale equity (recognised as Tier 2 capital) <sup>b</sup>	(151)	(110)
Cash flow hedging reserve <sup>b</sup>	(273)	(2,099)
<b>Non-controlling interests per balance sheet</b>	<b>8,564</b>	9,371
Less: Other Tier 1 capital preference shares	(6,131)	(6,203)
Less: Non-controlling Tier 2 capital	(478)	(547)
Other regulatory adjustments to non-controlling interests	(23)	(171)
<b>Other regulatory adjustments and deductions:</b>		
Defined benefit pension adjustment <sup>b</sup>	195	49
Goodwill and intangible assets <sup>b</sup>	(7,618)	(7,622)
50% excess of expected losses over impairment <sup>b</sup>	(787)	(648)
50% of securitisation positions	(503)	(997)
Other regulatory adjustments	(142)	(303)

<b>Core Tier 1 capital</b>	<b>46,784</b>	41,722
<b>Other Tier 1 capital:</b>		
Preference shares	<b>6,131</b>	6,203
Tier 1 notes <sup>c</sup>	<b>500</b>	509
Reserve capital instruments <sup>c</sup>	<b>2,858</b>	2,866
<b>Regulatory adjustments and deductions:</b>		
50% of material holdings	<b>(459)</b>	(241)
50% of the tax on excess of expected losses over impairment	<b>6</b>	176
<b>Total Tier 1 capital</b>	<b>55,820</b>	51,235
<b>Tier 2 capital:</b>		
Undated subordinated liabilities	<b>1,522</b>	1,625
Dated subordinated liabilities	<b>13,626</b>	14,066
Non-controlling Tier 2 capital	<b>478</b>	547
Reserves arising on revaluation of property <sup>b</sup>	<b>7</b>	39
Unrealised gains on available for sale equity <sup>b</sup>	<b>153</b>	110
Collectively assessed impairment allowances	<b>1,875</b>	2,002
<b>Tier 2 deductions:</b>		
50% of material holdings	<b>(459)</b>	(241)
50% excess of expected losses over impairment (gross of tax)	<b>(793)</b>	(824)
50% of securitisation positions	<b>(503)</b>	(997)
<b>Total capital regulatory adjustments and deductions:</b>		
Investments that are not material holdings or qualifying holdings	<b>(768)</b>	(1,139)
Other deductions from total capital	<b>(288)</b>	(550)
<b>Total regulatory capital</b>	<b>70,670</b>	65,873

## Notes

a Additional Tier 1 instruments that are not eligible for CRD III capital but are eligible under CRD IV rules.

b The capital impacts of these items are net of tax.

c Tier 1 notes and reserve capital instruments are included in subordinated liabilities in the consolidated balance sheet.

**Table of Contents****Movement in total regulatory capital**

	<b>2013</b>	2012
	<b>£m</b>	£m
<b>Core Tier 1 capital as at 1 January</b>	<b>41,722</b>	42,093
Profit for the period	<b>1,297</b>	181
Removal of own credit <sup>a</sup>	<b>2</b>	3,484
Dividends paid	<b>(1,672)</b>	(1,427)
<b>Retained regulatory capital generated from earnings</b>	<b>(373)</b>	2,238
Rights issue	<b>5,830</b>	
Movement in reserves – impact of ordinary shares and share schemes	<b>1,203</b>	(165)
Movement in currency translation reserves	<b>(1,767)</b>	(1,548)
Movement in retirement benefit reserves	<b>(515)</b>	(1,235)
Other reserves movements	<b>17</b>	33
<b>Movement in other qualifying reserves</b>	<b>4,768</b>	(2,915)
<b>Movement in regulatory adjustments and deductions:</b>		
Defined benefit pension adjustment <sup>a</sup>	<b>146</b>	53
Goodwill and intangible asset balances <sup>a</sup>	<b>4</b>	(62)
50% excess of expected losses over impairment <sup>a</sup>	<b>(139)</b>	(142)
50% of securitisation positions	<b>494</b>	320
Other regulatory adjustments	<b>162</b>	137
<b>Core Tier 1 capital as at 31 December</b>	<b>46,784</b>	41,722
<b>Other Tier 1 capital as at 1 January</b>	<b>9,513</b>	7,407
Regulatory adjustments and other movements	<b>(89)</b>	(82)
50% of material holdings	<b>(218)</b>	2,141
50% of the tax on excess of expected losses over impairment	<b>(170)</b>	47
<b>Tier 1 capital as at 31 December</b>	<b>55,820</b>	51,235
<b>Tier 2 capital as at 1 January</b>	<b>16,327</b>	16,323

Issuance of contingent capital notes and subordinated notes	652	2,258
Redemption of subordinated notes	(1,391)	(2,672)
Amortisation adjustments	349	(155)
Regulatory adjustments and other movements	(220)	(612)
Reserves arising on revaluation of property <sup>a</sup>	(32)	14
Unrealised gains on available for sale equity <sup>a</sup>	43	(718)
Collectively assessed impairment allowances	(127)	(383)
50% of material holdings	(218)	2,141
50% excess of expected losses over impairment (gross of tax)	31	(189)
50% of securitisation positions	494	320
<b>Tier 2 capital as at 31 December</b>	<b>15,906</b>	16,327
<b>Other deductions from total capital as at 1 January</b>	<b>(1,689)</b>	(2,588)
Investments that are not material holdings or qualifying holdings	371	852
Other deductions from total capital	262	47
<b>Other deductions from total capital as at 31 December</b>	<b>(1,056)</b>	(1,689)
<b>Total regulatory capital as at 31 December</b>	<b>70,670</b>	65,873

Note

a The capital impacts of these items are net of tax.

**Table of Contents**[Risk review >](#)

Funding risk    Capital continued

The following table represents risk weighted assets (RWAs) by risk type and business, using specific risk measurement approaches as defined under CRD III.

**Risk Weighted Assets (RWAs) by risk type and business**

As at 31 December 2013	Credit risk			Counterparty credit risk		Market risk		Operational risk	Total RWAs	
	STD £m	F-IRB £m	A-IRB £m	Internal model method £m	Non- model method £m	STD £m	Modelled VaR £m	Charges add-on and non-VaR modelled £m		
UK RBB	2,639		34,765					6,680	44,084	
Europe RBB	4,206		9,568		4			2,128	15,906	
Africa RBB	5,196	4,820	8,400		3			3,965	22,384	
Barclaycard	18,070		16,479					6,594	41,143	
Investment Bank	7,306	3,142	41,031	20,847	6,120	16,957	14,932	7,490	24,807	142,632
Corporate Bank	22,582	2,846	36,132	649	2				6,717	68,928
Wealth and Investment Management	11,209	225	1,796		230				3,261	16,721
Head Office Functions and Other Operations	168		2,684						159	3,011
<b>Total RWAs</b>	<b>71,376</b>	<b>11,033</b>	<b>150,855</b>	<b>21,496</b>	<b>6,359</b>	<b>16,957</b>	<b>14,932</b>	<b>7,490</b>	<b>54,311</b>	<b>354,809</b>
<b>As at 31 December 2012</b>										
UK RBB	1,163		31,401					6,524	39,088	
Europe RBB	5,051		8,786		3			1,955	15,795	



Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

Africa RBB	3,801	5,778	10,602		7				4,344	24,532
Barclaycard	17,326		13,957						6,553	37,836
Investment										
Bank	9,386	3,055	48,000	25,127	4,264	25,396	22,497	15,429	24,730	177,884
Corporate										
Bank	28,295	3,430	31,897	500					6,736	70,858
Wealth and										
Investment										
Management	11,647	317	707		199				3,184	16,054
Head Office										
Functions and										
Other										
Operations	205		4,961						160	5,326
<b>Total RWAs</b>	<b>76,874</b>	<b>12,580</b>	<b>150,311</b>	<b>25,627</b>	<b>4,473</b>	<b>25,396</b>	<b>22,497</b>	<b>15,429</b>	<b>54,186</b>	<b>387,373</b>

The table below outlines the 2013 changes in RWAs, split by risk type and key drivers for the movements. A more comprehensive basis of preparation for this table is disclosed within the Barclays Pillar 3 report on page 24.

**Movement analysis of RWAs**

	<b>Credit Risk £bn</b>	<b>Counterparty credit risk £bn</b>	<b>Market risk £bn</b>	<b>Operational risk £bn</b>	<b>Total £bn</b>
<b>As at 1 January 2013</b>	<b>239.8</b>	<b>30.1</b>	<b>63.3</b>	<b>54.2</b>	<b>387.4</b>
Book size	6.0	(2.1)	(17.9)	0.1	(13.9)
Acquisitions and disposals (including Exit Quadrant)	(7.7)	(0.2)	(3.6)	0.1	(11.4)
Book quality	(4.5)	0.2	(0.1)		(4.4)
Model updates	2.6	0.8	(0.1)		3.3
Methodology and policy	1.6	(0.2)			1.4
Foreign exchange movement <sup>a</sup>	(4.6)	(0.3)	(0.2)	(0.1)	(5.2)
Other	0.1	(0.4)	(2.1)		(2.4)
<b>As at 31 December 2013</b>	<b>233.3</b>	<b>27.9</b>	<b>39.3</b>	<b>54.3</b>	<b>354.8</b>

RWAs decreased by £32.6bn, reflecting:

• Reductions in book size decreased RWAs by £13.9bn, primarily driven by reduced sovereign exposure and risk reductions in the trading book, offset by asset growth in UK RBB and Barclaycard;

• Acquisitions and disposals decreased RWAs by £11.4bn, primarily driven by Exit Quadrant reductions, offset by the acquisition of Barclays Direct;

## Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

- ; Book quality improved resulting in a RWA reduction of £4.4bn, primarily driven by changing risk profile within UK RBB, Corporate Bank and the Investment Bank;
  
- ; Model updates increased RWAs by £3.3bn, primarily driven by model changes within Barclaycard in order to meet changes in regulatory guidance;
  
- ; Methodology and policy changes increased RWAs by £1.4bn, driven by changes to the treatment of forbearance, offset by improvements to the application of collateral to credit exposures;
  
- ; Foreign exchange movements decreased RWAs by £5.2bn, primarily driven by the appreciation of GBP against ZAR; and
  
- ; Other decreased RWAs by £2.4bn, primarily driven by changes in measurement within the trading book.

Note  
a Foreign exchange movement does not include FX for IMM, Modelled Market Risk or Exit Quadrant.

## **Table of Contents**

### **CRD IV as implemented by the Prudential Regulation Authority**

The new Capital Requirements Regulation and amended Capital Requirements Directive have implemented Basel 3 within the EU (collectively known as CRD IV) with effect from 1 January 2014. However, certain aspects of CRD IV are dependent on final technical standards to be issued by the European Banking Authority (EBA) and adopted by the European Commission as well as UK implementation of the rules. Barclays has calculated RWAs, Capital and Leverage ratios reflecting our interpretation of the current rules and guidance. Further changes to the impact of CRD IV may emerge as the requirements are finalised and implemented within Barclays.

#### **Capital ratios**

Barclays continues to be in excess of minimum CRD IV capital ratios on both a transitional and fully loaded basis.

As at 31 December 2013 Barclays exceeded the PRA target fully loaded CET1 ratio of 7%. On a transitional basis the PRA has implemented a minimum requirement CET1 ratio of 4%, Tier 1 ratio of 5.5% (in 2014) and Total Capital ratio of 8%.

Barclays' current regulatory target is to meet a fully loaded CET1 ratio of 9% by 2019, plus a Pillar 2A add-on. The 9% comprises the required 4.5% minimum CET1 ratio and, phased in from 2016, a Combined Buffer Requirement made up of a Capital Conservation Buffer (CCB) of 2.5% and an expected Globally Systemically Important Institution (G-SII) buffer of 2%.

Under current PRA guidance, the Pillar 2A add-on will need to be met with 56% CET1 from 2015, which would equate to approximately 1.4%<sup>a</sup> of RWAs if the requirement were to be applied today. The Pillar 2A add-on would be expected to vary over time according to the PRA's individual capital guidance.

In addition, a Counter-Cyclical Capital Buffer (CCCB) and/or additional Sectoral Capital Requirements (SCR) may be required by the Bank of England to protect against perceived threats to financial stability. CRD IV also includes the potential for a Systemic Risk Buffer (SRB). These buffers could be applied at the Group level or at a legal entity, sub-consolidated or portfolio level. No CCCB, SCR or SRB has currently been set by the Bank of England.

#### **Capital resources**

The PRA has announced the acceleration of transitional provisions relating to CET1 deductions and filters so the fully loaded requirements are applicable from 1 January 2014, with the exception of unrealised gains on available for sale debt and equity. As a result, transitional capital ratios are now closely aligned to fully loaded ratios.

Following the issuance of the EBA's final draft technical standard on own funds, a deduction has been recognised for foreseeable dividends. As at 31 December 2013, this represents an accrual for the final dividend for 2013, calculated at 3.5p per share, and the coupons on other equity accounted instruments.

Grandfathering limits on capital instruments, previously qualifying as Tier 1 and Tier 2, are unchanged under the PRA transitional rules.

The Prudential Valuation Adjustment (PVA) is shown as fully deducted from CET1 upon adoption of CRD IV. PVA is subject to a technical standard being drafted by the EBA and the impact is currently based on methodology agreed with the PRA. The PVA deduction as at 31 December 2013 was £2.5bn.

Barclays continues to recognise minority interests in eligible subsidiaries within African operations as CET1 (subject to regulatory haircuts prescribed in CRD IV) in accordance with our application of regulatory requirements on own funds.

As a result of the application of the EBA's final draft technical standard, PRA guidance and management actions taken during 2013, net long non-significant holdings in financial entities amount to £3.5bn and are below the 10% CET1 threshold that would require a capital deduction.

### RWAs

The PRA has confirmed Barclays model approvals under CRD IV, with certain provisions reflecting relevant changes to the rules and guidance; the impact of which has been reflected in our CRD IV disclosures where applicable. Barclays models are subject to continuous monitoring, update and regulatory review, which may result in future changes to CRD IV capital requirements.

It is assumed that corporates, pension funds and sovereigns that meet the eligibility conditions are exempt from CVA volatility charges.

Under CRD IV rules, all Central Clearing Counterparties (CCPs) are deemed to be Qualifying on a transitional basis. The final determination of Qualifying status will be made by the European Securities and Markets Authority (ESMA).

RWAs include 1250% risk weighting of securitisation positions that were previously deducted from Core Tier 1 and Tier 2 capital. The RWA increases are reflected in Credit Risk, Counterparty Credit Risk and Market Risk.

Securitisation RWAs include the impact of CRD IV on applying either standardised or advanced methods for securitisation exposures dependent on the character of the underlying assets.

### Note

- a Based on a point in time assessment made by the PRA, at least annually. The PRA is developing proposals to reform its Pillar 2 framework and, as noted in PS7/13 (PRA policy statement PS7/13 on strengthening capital standards published in December 2013), it expects to consult on those proposals during the course of 2014. The EBA is also developing guidelines on the Supervisory Review and Evaluation Process (SREP) and on Pillar 2 capital, which are likely to affect how the PRA approaches Pillar 2.

**Table of Contents**[Risk review >](#)

Funding risk    Capital continued

**Impact of CRD IV    Capital**

	<b>Fully-loaded</b>
	<b>£bn</b>
<b>As at 31 December 2013</b>	
<b>Core Tier 1 capital (CRD III)</b>	<b>46.8</b>
<b>RWA (CRD III)</b>	<b>354.8</b>
<b>Core Tier 1 ratio (CRD III)</b>	<b>13.2%</b>
<b>CRD IV impact on Core Tier 1 capital:</b>	
Conversion from securitisation deductions to RWAs	<b>0.5</b>
Prudential Valuation Adjustment (PVA)	<b>(2.5)</b>
Debit Valuation Adjustment (DVA)	<b>(0.2)</b>
Expected losses over impairment	<b>(1.3)</b>
Deferred tax assets deduction	<b>(1.0)</b>
Excess minority interest	<b>(0.6)</b>
Pensions	<b>(0.2)</b>
Foreseeable dividends	<b>(0.7)</b>
Gains on available for sale equity and debt	<b>0.2</b>
Other	<b>(0.6)</b>
<b>CET1 capital</b>	<b>40.4</b>
<b>Tier 1 capital</b>	<b>42.7</b>
<b>Total capital</b>	<b>61.6</b>
<b>RWAs (CRD III)</b>	<b>354.8</b>
<b>CRD IV impact to RWAs:</b>	
Credit Valuation Adjustment (CVA)	<b>17.3</b>
Securitisation	<b>19.3</b>
Other Counterparty Credit Risk (including Central Counterparty Clearing)	<b>30.6</b>
Other <sup>a</sup>	<b>13.6</b>
<b>RWA impact</b>	<b>80.8</b>

<b>CRD IV RWAs</b>	<b>435.6</b>
<b>CET1 ratio</b>	<b>9.3%</b>
<b>Tier 1 ratio</b>	<b>9.8%</b>
<b>Total capital ratio</b>	<b>14.1%</b>

As at 31 December 2013, assuming 2013 was the first year of application under the PRA's transitional rules, which reflect the maximum pace of transition, Barclays CET1 ratio would be 9.2%<sup>b,c</sup>, the Tier 1 ratio would be 11.5% and the total capital ratio would be 15.3%.

### CRD IV RWA by risk type and business

As at 31 December 2013	Credit risk £m	Counterparty credit risk £m	Market risk £m	Operational	Total
				risk £m	CRD IV RWAs £m
UK RBB	37,456			6,680	44,136
Europe RBB	14,084	4	2	2,128	16,218
Africa RBB	18,838	3		3,965	22,806
Barclaycard	33,859			6,594	40,453
Investment Bank	69,621	58,188	69,029	24,807	221,645
Corporate Bank	63,101	651		6,717	70,469
Wealth and Investment Management	13,714	231	74	3,261	17,280
Head Office Functions and Other Operations	2,389			159	2,548
<b>Total CRD IV RWAs</b>	<b>253,062</b>	<b>59,077</b>	<b>69,105</b>	<b>54,311</b>	<b>435,555</b>

Notes

- a Other CRD IV impacts to RWAs include deferred tax asset, significant holdings in financial institutions and other items.
- b Difference to fully loaded ratio arises from an additional capital deduction for unrealised gains on available for sale debt and equity of £0.2bn.
- c The transitional CET1 ratio according to the FSA October 2012 transitional statement would be 11.3%.

## **Table of Contents**

### **Leverage ratio requirements**

CRD IV introduces a non-risk based leverage ratio that is intended to act as a supplementary back-stop to the risk-based capital measures. The CRD IV leverage ratio is calculated as CRD IV Tier 1 capital divided by CRD IV leverage exposure. Under CRD IV, banks are required to report their leverage ratio for supervisory review purposes from 2014 and from 2015 banks are required to publish their leverage ratios in Pillar 3 disclosures, with the expectation that a binding Pillar I requirement will be introduced across the EU from 2018. The EBA is tasked with monitoring banks' submissions with regard to the leverage ratio by the end of 2016 which may result in further changes to the leverage ratio.

The PRA has communicated its expectation that Barclays meets a 3% estimated PRA leverage ratio by June 2014. The estimated PRA leverage ratio is calculated on the fully loaded CRD IV Tier 1 capital base adjusted for certain PRA defined deductions, and a PRA adjusted<sup>a</sup> CRD IV leverage exposure measure.

Barclays expects to meet the leverage expectation of 3% communicated by the PRA.

Barclays has disclosed an estimated leverage ratio based on our understanding of the requirements and guidance of CRD IV as currently published and is subject to further change as the rules are fully implemented. The estimated ratio does not take account of the finalisation of the Basel 3 leverage ratio framework issued by the Basel Committee on 12 January 2014.

### **CRD IV leverage ratio calculation**

In calculating the CRD IV leverage ratio the IFRS balance sheet is taken as a starting point and the following key adjustments to total assets have been applied:

- ; Derivatives netting adjustment: regulatory netting applied across asset and liability mark-to-market derivative positions pursuant to legally enforceable bilateral netting agreements and meeting the requirements of CRD IV;
  
- ; Potential Future Exposure (PFE) on derivatives: regulatory add-on for potential future credit exposures, calculated in accordance with the CRD IV mark-to-market method by assigning standardised percentages to the notional values on derivative contracts;
  
- ; Securities Financing Transactions (SFTs) adjustments: under CRD IV, the IFRS measure of SFTs is replaced with the Financial Collateral Comprehensive Method (FCCM) measure, calculated as an add-on equal to exposure less collateral, taking into account master netting agreements and adjusting for volatility haircuts;



- ┆ Undrawn Commitments: regulatory add-ons relating to off balance sheet undrawn commitments are based on a standardised credit conversion factor of 10% for unconditionally cancellable commitments and 100% for all other commitments. The rules specify relief to be applied to trade finance related undrawn commitments which are deemed to be medium/low risk (20%) and medium risk (50%);
- ┆ Regulatory deductions: items (comprising goodwill and intangibles, deferred tax asset permanent losses, own paper, cash flow hedge reserve, pension assets and PVA) that are deducted from the capital measure are also deducted from total leverage exposure to ensure consistency between the numerator and denominator;
- ┆ Other adjustments: includes adjustments required to change from an IFRS scope of consolidation to a regulatory scope of consolidation, adjustments for significant investments in financial sector entities that are consolidated for accounting purposes but not for regulatory purposes, and the removal of IFRS reduction in assets for the recognition of Credit Risk Mitigation and the netting of loans with deposits; and
- ┆ In addition, in accordance with SS3/13<sup>b</sup> the estimated PRA adjusted leverage exposure allows for further adjustments that reduce leverage exposure by £14bn. These adjustments:
  - \* Exclude potential future exposure on the qualifying central clearing counterparties (QCCPs) legs of client clearing transactions where Barclays does not guarantee the performance of the QCCP to the client
  - \* Allow for the netting of assets with cash collateral received for variation margin in relation to derivatives trades to facilitate customer central clearing as well as cash collateral received and posted on Barclays own derivative transactions with QCCPs.

### **Basel Committee leverage ratio**

On 12 January 2014, the Basel Committee announced the finalisation of its revised rules for calculating the Basel 3 leverage ratio. These included a number of elements that would require amendments to CRD IV if adopted in the EU, although implementation timeframes within the EU are not yet clear. Compared to the current CRD IV implementation, the revised rules contain elements that will increase leverage exposure; including capturing a calculation for net written credit derivatives based upon their notional value and the inclusion of netted cash legs of SFTs. The revised rules also include elements that will reduce leverage exposure including, the removal of volatility haircuts in relation to the SFTs add-on, the ability to net down derivative MTM exposures with eligible cash collateral (this element includes the impact of the PRA rule changes, and expands upon them), and more favourable credit conversion factors for undrawn commitments. Based on an initial high level impact analysis we have estimated the changes would decrease the CRD IV leverage ratio by approximately 20 basis points prior to management actions.

#### Notes

- a Adjusted to avoid creating disincentives to facilitate central clearing for customers and cash variation margin received and posted (as specified under SS3/132).
- b PRA Supervisory Statement SS3/13 on Capital and leverage ratios for major UK banks and building societies published in November 2013.



**Table of Contents****Risk review >**

Funding risk    Capital continued

**Estimated impact of CRD IV leverage**

	<b>IFRS Balance Sheet</b>	<b>Leverage exposure</b>	<b>Leverage exposure</b>
	<b>As at 31.12.13</b>	<b>As at 31.12.13</b>	<b>As at 30.06.13</b>
	<b>£bn</b>	<b>£bn</b>	<b>£bn</b>
<b>Fully loaded Leverage exposure</b>			
<b>Derivatives</b>			
IFRS derivative financial instruments	324	324	403
Additional netting adjustments for derivatives		(260)	(324)
Potential future exposure on derivatives		256	308
<b>Total derivatives</b>		<b>320</b>	<b>387</b>
<b>Securities Financing Transaction (SFTs)</b>			
Reverse repurchase agreements and other similar secured lending	187	187	223
Remove IFRS reverse repurchase agreements and other similar secured lending		(187)	(223)
Add leverage exposure measure for SFTs		92	93
<b>Total securities financing transactions</b>		<b>92</b>	<b>93</b>
<b>Other assets and adjustments</b>			
Loans and advances and other assets	801	801	907
Undrawn commitments		179	190
Regulatory deductions and other adjustments		(15)	(18)
<b>Total other assets and adjustments</b>		<b>965</b>	<b>1,079</b>
<b>Total exposure</b>	<b>1,312</b>	<b>1,377</b>	<b>1,559</b>
<b>PRA adjustment to CRD IV leverage exposure</b>		<b>(14)</b>	

<b>PRA adjusted leverage exposure</b>		<b>1,363</b>	1,559
			<b>Leverage</b>
		<b>Leverage ratio</b>	<b>ratio</b>
		<b>As at</b>	<b>As at</b>
<b>Leverage ratio</b>		<b>31.12.13</b>	<b>30.06.13</b>
CET1 capital		<b>40.4</b>	38.1
Additional Tier 1 capital		<b>2.3</b>	0.2
Tier 1 capital		<b>42.7</b>	38.3
PRA deductions to CET1 capital <sup>a</sup>		<b>(2.2)</b>	(4.1)
<b>PRA adjusted Tier 1 capital</b>		<b>40.5</b>	34.2
<b>CRD IV fully loaded leverage ratio</b>		<b>3.1%</b>	2.5%
<b>PRA fully loaded leverage ratio</b>		<b>3.0%</b>	2.2%

## Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

The estimated PRA leverage exposure decreased to £1,363bn (June 2013: £1,559bn). Excluding the impact of movements in foreign currency, leverage exposure reduced approximately £140bn driven by reductions in loans and advances, trading portfolio assets and potential future exposure on derivatives.

Applying the Basel 3 2010 text for the calculation of leverage would result in an estimated leverage exposure of £1,521bn (June 2013: £1,665bn), reflecting an increase of £144bn in the SFT exposure calculation from the CRD IV exposure. The estimated fully loaded leverage ratio would be 2.8% (June 2013: 2.3%) on this basis.

### Note

a The PRA adjustment to CET1 capital as at 30 June 2013 included incremental expected loss charges on specific portfolios deemed vulnerable by the PRA and a deduction relating to the calculation of PVA. No adjustment for PVA was applied as at 31 December 2013 as the underlying calculation of CET1 capital has been updated to reflect the agreed change in methodology.

---

**Table of Contents**

[Economic capital \(EC\) and its use as part of the ICAAP assessment \(Pillar 2\)](#)

RWAs, as provided in previous pages, are measured based on generic regulatory capital rules that assume all financial institutions have a well diversified portfolio. An alternative approach to measure Capital risk is to use an Economic Capital calculation approach that takes into consideration firm specific concentrations (e.g. sector, geography, single name), risk exposures and portfolio correlations.

Economic capital is an internal measure of the risk profile of the bank expressed as the estimated stress loss at a 99.98% confidence level. Barclays assesses capital requirements by measuring the Group's risk profile using internally developed models. The Group assigns economic capital primarily within the following risk categories: credit risk, market risk, operational risk, fixed asset risk (mainly property) and pension risk.

The Group regularly reviews its economic capital methodology and benchmarks outputs to external reference points. The framework uses default probabilities during average credit conditions, rather than those prevailing at the balance sheet date, thus seeking to remove cyclicalities from the economic capital calculation. The economic capital framework takes into consideration time horizon, correlation of risks and risk concentrations. Economic capital is allocated on a consistent basis across all of Barclays businesses and risk activities.

UK firms, as part of Pillar 2 framework, are required to update annually the firm's Internal Capital Adequacy Assessment Process (ICAAP). The information provided by Barclays within the ICAAP is used by the PRA/BoE to support the regulator capital solvency review. Requirements for local ICAAPs also exist in a number of jurisdictions in which Barclays operates (e.g. South Africa). The Group ICAAP is used to assess Group-wide capital adequacy to cover for all risks to which the Group is exposed.

As part of the Group ICAAP, and in line with PRA/BoE rules, the internal measure of Capital (EC) is used to support the Group's assessment of the appropriateness of capital allocated to each risk type. Economic Capital is also used to assess capital adequacy of a number of Barclays subsidiaries (as part of Local ICAAPs). Key risks considered as part of the Group and Local ICAAPs are:

• Pillar 1 risks (i.e. Credit, Market and Operational risk) for which capital requirements are primarily based on the Regulatory Capital framework (IRB and Standardised approaches) and calculated in line with PRA rules set out in GENPRU/BIPRU. Regulatory Capital requirements are then benchmarked against our Economic Capital calculations as part of our ICAAP assessment.

• Non-Pillar 1 risks for which we have bespoke approaches that are mainly included in the EC framework. Main non-Pillar 1 risks:

## Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

- \* Pension risk Barclays does not have ownership of the investments within the pension fund but rather works with the Trustees' dedicated investment team to ensure that the risk profile is appropriate and within risk appetite;
- \* Concentration risk (e.g. single name, industry, geography) managed and monitored as part of BAU, mainly through Group risk appetite framework, policy setting, monitoring, stress testing and EC framework. For EC purposes concentration risk is accounted for within each relevant risk type (mainly as part of the Wholesale Credit Risk EC calculation); and
- \* Interest Rate Risk in the Banking Book (IRRBB), also called non-traded interest rate risk (included as part of Market Risk in graphics below) Barclays' objective is to minimise non-traded interest rate risk and this is achieved by transferring IRRBB from the business to Barclays Treasury, which in turn hedges the net exposure via Barclays Investment Bank with the external market. Limits exist to ensure no material risk is retained within any business/product area.

### Notes

- a Figures are rounded to the nearest £50m for presentation purposes.
- b Total period end spot economic capital requirement (including pension risk) as at 31 December 2013 stood at £31,050m (2012: £31,050m).
- c Economic capital charts exclude the economic capital calculated for pension risk (spot pension risk for 2013 is £4,450m compared with £3,400m in 2012).
- d Includes Transition Businesses and capital for central function risk.
- e Includes credit risk loans.
- f Includes investments in associates, private equity risk, insurance risk, residual value and business risk.

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

[Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 207](#)

---

**Table of Contents**

[Risk review >](#)

**Funding risk**   **Liquidity**

Liquidity risk is the risk that a firm, although solvent, either does not have sufficient financial resources available to enable it to meet its obligations as they fall due, or can secure such resources only at excessive cost. This also results in a firm's inability to meet regulatory liquidity requirements. This risk is inherent in all banking operations and can be affected by a range of Group-specific and market-wide events.

*All disclosures in this section (pages 208 to 224) are unaudited and exclude BAGL unless otherwise stated*

Barclays has a comprehensive Liquidity Risk Management Framework (the Liquidity Framework) for managing the Group's liquidity risk. The Liquidity Framework meets the PRA's standards and is designed to ensure the Group maintains liquidity resources that are sufficient in amount and quality and a funding profile that is appropriate to meet the liquidity risk appetite. The Liquidity Framework is delivered via a combination of policy formation, review and governance, analysis, stress testing, limit setting and monitoring.

Liquidity risk is managed separately at Barclays Africa Group Limited (BAGL) due to local currency and funding requirements. Unless stated otherwise, all disclosures in this section exclude BAGL and they are reported on a stand-alone basis. Adjusting for local requirements, BAGL liquidity risk is managed on a consistent basis to Barclays Group.

For further detail on liquidity risk governance and framework see page 418.

[Liquidity risk stress testing](#)

Under the Liquidity Framework, Barclays has established a Liquidity Risk Appetite (LRA) together with the appropriate limits for the management of the liquidity risk. This is the level of liquidity risk the Group chooses to take in pursuit of its business objectives and in meeting its regulatory obligations. The key expression of the liquidity risk is through internal stress testing. This involves comparing the liquidity pool with anticipated stressed net contractual and contingent outflows for each of three stress scenarios.

[Liquidity Risk Appetite](#)

The three primary liquidity stress scenarios run by the Group under its LRA and aligned to the PRA's prescribed



stresses are:

i 90 day market-wide stress event;

i 30 day Barclays-specific stress event; and

i combined 30 day market-wide and Barclays-specific stress event.

Under normal market conditions, the liquidity pool is managed to be at least 100% of anticipated outflows under each of these stress scenarios. The 30 day Barclays-specific stress scenario, results in the greatest net outflows of each of the liquidity stress tests. The combined 30 day scenario assumes outflows consistent with a firm-specific stress for the first two weeks of the stress period, followed by relatively lower outflows consistent with a market-wide stress for the remainder of the stress period.

[208 > Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013](#)

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

---

**Table of Contents**

Key LRA assumptions include:

**Liquidity risk driver**

**Barclays specific stress**

**Wholesale unsecured funding**

; Zero rollover of wholesale deposits, senior unsecured debt and conduit commercial paper

; Prime brokerage: 100% withdrawal of excess client derivative margin and cash.

**Wholesale secured funding**

; Zero rollover of trades secured on less-liquid collateral

; Rollover of trades secured on highly-liquid collateral, subject to haircut widening.

**Deposit outflow**

; Substantial deposit outflows of Retail and Business Banking, Corporate Banking, Wealth and Investment Management as Barclays is seen as greater credit risk than competitors.

**Funding concentration**

; Additional outflows recognized against concentration of providers of wholesale secured financing

; Largest less-liquid secured funding counterparty refuses to roll trades.

**Intra-day liquidity**

; Anticipated liquidity required to support intra-day requirements at payment and settlement systems.

- Intra-group** ; Anticipated liquidity required to support material subsidiaries, based on stand-alone stress tests.
- Off-balance sheet** ; Significant drawdown on committed facilities based on facility type, counterparty type and counterparty creditworthiness  
; Outflow of all collateral owed to counterparties but not yet called  
; Collateral outflows contingent upon a multi-notch credit rating downgrade of Barclays Bank PLC  
; Variation margin outflows due to market movements, taking into account the mismatch between collateralised and uncollateralised positions  
; Increase in the firm's derivative initial margin requirement.
- Franchise viability** ; Liquidity required in order to meet outflows that are non-contractual in nature but necessary in order to support the firm's ongoing franchise (for example, market-making activities).
- Mitigating actions** ; Monetisation of unencumbered assets that are of known liquidity value to the firm but held outside the liquidity pool (subject to haircut/valuation adjustment).

## Liquidity regulation

Since June 2010, the Group has reported its liquidity position against Individual Liquidity Guidance (ILG) provided by the PRA. The PRA defines both eligible liquidity pool assets and stress outflows against reported balances.

The Group also monitors its position against anticipated Basel 3 liquidity metrics – the Liquidity Coverage Ratio (LCR) and the Net Stable Funding Ratio (NSFR). The LCR is designed to promote short-term resilience of a bank's liquidity risk profile by ensuring that it has sufficient high quality liquid resources to survive an acute stress scenario lasting for 30 days. The NSFR has a time horizon of at least 12 months and has been developed to promote a sustainable maturity structure of assets and liabilities.

In January 2013, the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision published a final standard for the LCR. The European CRR requires phased compliance with LCR standard from January 2015 at minimum of 60% increasing to 100% by January 2018.

In January 2014, the BCBS published a consultation proposing revision to the NSFR standards. The minimum NSFR requirement is to be introduced in January 2018 at 100%.

The methodology for estimating the LCR and NSFR is based on an interpretation of the Basel standards and includes

Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

a number of assumptions which are subject to change prior to the implementation of CRD IV.

Based on the revised Basel standards, as at 31 December 2013, Barclays had a surplus to both of these metrics with an estimated Basel 3 LCR of 102% (2012: 126%) and an estimated Basel 3 NSFR of 110% (2012: 112%).

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

[Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 209](#)

**Table of Contents**[Risk review >](#)

Funding risk    Liquidity continued

**Comparing internal and regulatory liquidity stress tests**

The LRA stress scenarios, the PRA ILG and Basel 3 LCR are all broadly comparable short term stress scenarios in which the adequacy of defined liquidity resources is assessed against contractual and contingent stress outflows. The PRA ILG and the Basel 3 LCR stress tests provide an independent assessment of the Group's liquidity risk profile.

<b>Stress Test</b>	<b>Barclays LRA</b>	<b>PRA ILG</b>	<b>Basel 3 LCR</b>	<b>Basel 3 NSFR</b>
<b>Time Horizon</b>	30-90 days	3 months	30 days	12 months
<b>Calculation</b>	Liquid assets to net cash outflows	Liquid assets to net cash outflows	Liquid assets to net cash outflows	Stable funding resources to stable funding requirements

As at 31 December 2013, the Group held eligible liquid assets in excess of 100% of stress requirements for all three LRA stress tests:

**Compliance with internal and regulatory stress tests**

	<b>Barclays LRA (30 day Barclay specific requirement)<sup>a</sup> £bn</b>	<b>Estimated Basel 3 LCR (revised text January 2013) £bn</b>
<b>As at 31 December 2013</b>		
<b>Total eligible liquidity pool</b>	<b>127</b>	<b>130</b>
<b>Asset inflows</b>		<b>12</b>

**Stress outflows**

Retail and commercial deposit outflows	(47)	(60)
Wholesale funding	(33)	(23)
Net secured funding	(14)	(12)
Derivatives	(7)	(7)
Contractual credit rating downgrade exposure	(13)	(13)
Drawdowns of loan commitments	(7)	(25)
Other	(1)	

**Total stress net cash flows**

(122) (128)

**Surplus**

5 2

**Liquidity pool as a percentage of anticipated net cash flows**

104% 102%

As at 31 December 2012

129% 126%

In 2013, Barclays Group right sized its liquidity pool to reduce the large LRA and LCR surpluses to support the leverage plan and reduce the costs of surplus liquidity, while maintaining compliance with its internal liquidity risk appetite and external regulatory requirements.

Barclays plans to maintain its surplus to the internal and regulatory stress requirements at an efficient level, continuing to monitor the money markets closely, in particular for early indications of the tightening of available funding. In these conditions, the nature and severity of the stress scenarios are reassessed and appropriate action taken with respect to the liquidity pool. This may include further increasing the size of pool or monetising the pool to meet stress outflows.

## Note

a Of the three stress scenarios monitored as part of the LRA, the 30 day Barclays-specific scenario results in the lowest ratio at 104% (2012: 129%). This compares to 127% (2012: 141%) under the 90 day market-wide scenario and 112% (2012: 145%) under the 30 day combined scenario.

**Table of Contents****Liquidity pool**

The Group liquidity pool as at 31 December 2013 was £127bn (2012: £150bn). During 2013, the month-end liquidity pool ranged from £127bn to £157bn (2012: £150bn to £173bn), and the month-end average balance was £144bn (2012: £162bn). The liquidity pool is held unencumbered and is not used to support payment or clearing requirements. Such requirements are treated as part of our regular business funding. The liquidity pool is intended to offset stress outflows and comprises cash and unencumbered assets set out below. The decrease of the size of the liquidity pool during 2013 is consistent with Group plans to optimise the size of the liquidity pool, within our established liquidity risk appetite framework, while maintaining compliance with regulatory requirements. The change in the composition of the liquidity pool, from cash and deposits with central banks to government bonds, was done to reduce the overall cost of the liquidity pool.

**Composition of the Group liquidity pool as at 31 December 2013**

	Liquidity pool £bn	Liquidity pool of which PRA eligible <sup>a</sup> £bn	Liquidity pool of which Basel 3 LCR eligible <sup>b</sup>		2012 Liquidity pool
			Level 1 £bn	Level 2A £bn	
Cash and deposits with central banks <sup>c</sup>	43	42	41		85
<b>Government bonds<sup>d</sup></b>					
AAA rated	52	51	52		40
AA+ to AA- rated	9	8	8		5
Other government bonds	1				1
Total government bonds	62	59	60		46
<b>Other</b>					
Supranational bonds and multilateral development banks	3	3	3		4
	10		5	5	7

Agencies and agency mortgage-backed securities					
Covered bonds (rated AA- and above)	6		6		5
Other	3				3
<b>Total Other</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>Total as at 31 December 2013</b>	<b>127</b>	<b>104</b>	<b>109</b>	<b>11</b>	
Total as at 31 December 2012	150	129	136	8	

The Group liquidity pool is well diversified by major currency and the Group monitors LRA stress scenarios for major currencies.

### Liquidity pool by currency

	USD £bn	EUR £bn	GBP £bn	Other £bn	Total £bn
<b>Liquidity pool as at 31 December 2013</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>127</b>
Liquidity pool as at 31 December 2012	26	66	25	33	150

### Management of the Group liquidity pool

The composition of the Group liquidity pool is efficiently managed. The maintenance of the liquidity pool increases the Group's costs as the interest expense paid on the liabilities used to fund the liquidity pool is greater than the interest income received on liquidity pool assets. This cost can be reduced by investing a greater portion of the Group liquidity pool in highly liquid assets other than cash and deposits with central banks. These assets primarily comprise government bonds and their inclusion in the liquidity pool does not compromise the liquidity position of the Group.

The composition of the liquidity pool is subject to limits set by the Board, Treasury Committee and the independent credit risk and market risk functions. In addition, the investment of the liquidity pool is monitored for concentration risk by issuer, currency, asset type and country. Given the incremental returns generated by these highly liquid assets, the risk and reward profile is continuously managed.

As at 31 December 2013, the portion of the Group liquidity pool comprised of cash and deposits with central banks reduced to £43bn (2012: £85bn) as a result of a reallocation to government bonds and other highly liquid assets.

Barclays manages the liquidity pool on a centralised basis. As at 31 December 2013, 90% of the liquidity pool was located in Barclays Bank PLC (2012: 90%) and was available to meet liquidity needs across the Group. The residual liquidity pool is held predominantly within Barclays Capital Inc. (BCI). The portion of the liquidity pool outside of Barclays Bank PLC is held against entity-specific stressed outflows and regulatory requirements. To the extent the use of this portion of the liquidity pool is restricted due to regulatory requirements, it is assumed to be unavailable to the rest of the Group.

Notes

a



## Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

£104bn of the liquidity pool is PRA eligible as per BIPRU 12.7. In addition, there are £9bn of Level 2 assets available, as per PRA's announcement in August 2013 that certain assets specified by PRA as Level 2 assets can be used on a transitional basis.

- b The LCR-eligible assets presented in this table represent only those assets which are also eligible for the Group liquidity pool and do not include any Level 2B assets as defined by the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision.
- c Of which over 95% (2012: over 95%) was placed with the Bank of England, US Federal Reserve, European Central Bank, Bank of Japan and Swiss National Bank.
- d Of which over 85% (2012: over 80%) of securities are comprised of UK, US, Japan, France, Germany, Denmark and the Netherlands.

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

[Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 211](#)

**Table of Contents**

[Risk review >](#)

Funding risk    Liquidity continued

**Contingent liquidity**

In addition to the Group liquidity pool, Barclays has access to other unencumbered assets which provide a source of contingent liquidity. Whilst these are not relied on in the Group’s LRA, a portion of these assets may be monetised in a stress to generate liquidity through use as collateral for secured funding or through outright sale.

In either a Barclays-specific or market-wide liquidity stress, liquidity available via market sources could be severely disrupted. In circumstances where market liquidity is unavailable or available only at heavily discounted prices, Barclays could generate liquidity via central bank facilities. The Group maintains a significant amount of collateral pre-positioned at central banks and available to raise funding.

For more detail on the Group’s other unencumbered assets see page 216.

**Funding structure**

The basis for sound liquidity risk management is a solid funding structure that reduces the probability of a liquidity stress leading to an inability to meet funding obligations as they fall due. The Group’s overall funding strategy is to develop a diversified funding base (both geographically and by depositor type) and maintain access to a variety of alternative funding sources, to provide protection against unexpected fluctuations, while minimising the cost of funding.

Within this, the Group aims to align the sources and uses of funding. As such, retail and commercial customer loans and advances are funded by customer deposits. Other assets together with other loans and advances and unencumbered assets are funded by long term wholesale debt and equity.

Trading portfolio assets are largely funded by repurchase agreements. The majority of reverse repurchase agreements are matched by repurchase agreements. The remainder of reverse repurchase agreements are used to settle trading portfolio liabilities. The liquidity pool is predominantly funded through wholesale markets. These funding relationships are summarised below:

**Assets<sup>a</sup>**


**Liabilities<sup>a</sup>**

£360m (2012: £362m)

Customer loans and advances

£366m (2012: £335m)

Customer deposits<sup>b</sup>



Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

£127m (2012: £ 150m)	Group liquidity pool		£82m (2012: £102m)	<1 Year wholesale funding
			£103m (2012: £138m)	>1 Year wholesale funding
£162m (2012: £163m)	Other assets		£102m (2012: £106m)	Equity and other liabilities
£340m (2012: £347m)	Reverse repurchase agreements and other similar secured borrowing <sup>c</sup>		£340m (2012: £347m)	Repurchase agreements and other similar secured borrowing <sup>c</sup>
£323m (2012: £466m)	Derivative financial instruments		£319m (2012: £460m)	Derivative financial instruments

**Deposit funding (including BAGL) (audited)**

**Deposit funding<sup>d</sup>**

	2013		2012	
	Loans and advances to customers £bn	Customer deposits £bn	Loan to deposit ratio %	Loan to deposit ratio %
<b>Funding of loans and advances to customers</b>				
<b>As at 31 December 2013</b>				
Retail and Business Banking	234	174		
Corporate Banking <sup>e</sup>	61	109		
Wealth and Investment Management	23	63		
<b>Total funding excluding secured</b>	<b>318</b>	<b>346</b>	<b>92</b>	102
Secured funding <sup>f</sup>		41		
<b>Sub-total including secured funding</b>	<b>318</b>	<b>387</b>	<b>82</b>	88
Retail and Business Banking, Corporate Banking and Wealth and Investment Management <sup>e</sup>	318	346	92	102
Investment Bank	41	20		
Head Office and Other Operations	1			
Trading settlement balances and cash collateral	70	62		
<b>Total</b>	<b>430</b>	<b>428</b>	<b>101</b>	110

The Group loan to deposit ratio as at 31 December 2013 was 101% (2012: 110%).

Notes

- a BAGL Group balances other than customer loans and advances of £34bn and customer deposits of £33bn are included in other assets and liabilities.
- b Excluding cash collateral and settlement balances.
- c Comprised of reverse repurchases that provide financing to customers collateralised by highly liquid securities on a short term basis or are used to settle short term inventory positions; repo financing of trading portfolio assets and matched cash collateral and settlement balances.
- d Included within Retail, Corporate Banking, Wealth and Investment Management and the Investment Bank are BAGL Group related balances totalling £34bn of loans and advances to customers funded by £33bn of customer deposits (£7bn of which is BAGL Investment Bank).
- e In addition Corporate Banking holds £15.7bn (2012: £17.6bn) loans and advances as financial assets held at fair value.
- f Secured funding includes covered bonds, public securitisations, bilateral repos and central banks borrowings. These are not included within customer deposits.

**Table of Contents**

Retail and Business Banking, Corporate Banking and Wealth and Investment Management activities are funded with customer deposits. As at 31 December 2013, the loan to deposit ratio for these businesses was 92% (2012: 102%). The excess of the Investment Bank's loans and advances over deposits is funded with long-term debt and equity. The Investment Bank does not rely on customer deposit funding from Retail and Business Banking, Corporate Banking and Wealth and Investment Management.

As at 31 December 2013, £122bn (2012: £112bn) of total customer deposits were insured through the UK Financial Services Compensation Scheme (FSCS) and other similar schemes. In addition to these customer deposits, there were £3bn (2012: £3bn) of other liabilities insured by governments.

Although, contractually, current accounts are repayable on demand and savings accounts at short notice, the Group's broad base of customers – numerically and by depositor type – helps protect against unexpected fluctuations in balances. Such accounts form a stable funding base for the Group's operations and liquidity needs. Barclays models the behaviour of both assets and liabilities to assess balance sheet funding gaps. The behavioural modelling approach reflects the forward-looking macroeconomic outlook and captures customer roll-over behaviour and optionality within a given asset or liability product. These behavioural maturities are used to determine funds transfer pricing interest rates at which businesses are rewarded and charged for sources and uses of funds.

**Behavioural Maturity Profile (including BAGL)**

	Loans and advances to customers £bn	Customer deposits £bn	Customer funding surplus/ (deficit) £bn	Behavioural maturity profile cash	
				outflow/(inflow) than one year £bn	Greater than one year £bn
<b>As at 31 December 2013</b>					
Retail and Business Banking	234	174	(60)	(6)	(54)
Corporate Banking	61	109	48	20	28
Wealth and Investment Management	23	63	40	6	34
<b>Total Retail and Business Banking, Corporate Banking and Wealth and Investment Management funding excluding secured</b>	<b>318</b>	<b>346</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>8</b>

As at 31 December 2012

Retail and Business Banking	231	156	(75)	(17)	(58)
Corporate Banking	64	100	36	12	24
Wealth and Investment Management	21	54	33	7	26
<b>Total Retail and Business Banking, Corporate Banking and Wealth and Investment Management funding excluding secured</b>	<b>316</b>	<b>310</b>	<b>(6)</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>(8)</b>

The relatively low cash outflow within one year demonstrates that customer funding remains broadly matched from a behavioural perspective.

### Wholesale funding

Wholesale funding relationships are summarised below:

#### Assets

	2013 £bn	2012 £bn
Trading portfolio assets and other securities	63	85
Reverse repurchase agreements	133	132
Reverse repurchase agreements	53	44
Derivative financial instruments	323	466
Liquidity pool	127	150
Other assets <sup>a</sup>	119	145

#### Liabilities

	2013 £bn	2012 £bn
Repurchase agreements	196	217
Trading portfolio liabilities	53	44
Derivative financial instruments	319	460
Less than 1 year wholesale debt	82	102
Greater than 1 year wholesale debt and equity	164	194

## Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

Trading portfolio assets are largely funded by repurchase agreements. The majority of reverse repurchase agreements (i.e. secured lending) are matched by repurchase agreements. The remainder of reverse repurchase agreements are used to settle trading portfolio liabilities (see note 19 offsetting financial assets and liabilities for further detail on netting).

Derivative assets and liabilities are largely matched. A substantial proportion of balance sheet derivative positions qualify for counterparty netting and the remaining portions are largely offset once netted against cash collateral received and paid.

The liquidity pool is largely funded by wholesale debt, the majority of which matures in less than one year. Other assets are largely matched by term wholesale debt and equity.

Note

a Predominantly available for sale investments, trading portfolio assets, financial assets designated at fair value and loans and advances to banks funded by greater than one year wholesale debt and equity.

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

[Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 213](#)

**Table of Contents****Risk review >**

Funding risk    Liquidity continued

**Composition of wholesale funding**

The Group maintains access to a variety of sources of wholesale funds in major currencies, including those available from money markets, repo markets and term investors, across a variety of distribution channels and geographies. The Group is an active participant in money markets, has direct access to US, European and Asian capital markets through its global investment banking operations and long-term investors through its clients worldwide. As a result, wholesale funding is well diversified by product, maturity, geography and major currency.

As at 31 December 2013, total wholesale funding outstanding (excluding repurchase agreements) was £186bn (2012: £240bn). £82bn (2012: £102bn) of wholesale funding matures in less than one year of which £23bn (2012: £18bn)<sup>a</sup> relates to term funding £104bn (2012: £138bn) of wholesale funding had a residual maturity of over one year.

Maturing wholesale liabilities have been replaced with customer deposits to increase the resilience and sustainability of the funding structure.

As at 31 December 2013, outstanding wholesale funding comprised £35bn (2012: £40bn) of secured funding and £151bn (2012: £199bn) of unsecured funding.

**Maturity profile of wholesale funding<sup>b</sup>**

	Over one month but not more than three months		Over three months but not more than six months		Over six months but not more than nine months		Over one year but not more than two years		More than five years	Total
	Not more than one month	Over one month but not more than three months	Over three months but not more than six months	Over six months but not more than nine months	Over nine months but not more than one year	Sub-total less than one year	Over one year but not more than two years	Over two years but not more than five years	More than five years	Total
	£bn	£bn	£bn	£bn	£bn	£bn	£bn	£bn	£bn	£bn
Deposits from banks	9.4	5.7	0.7	1.0	0.3	17.1	4.4	0.2		21.7
Certificates of deposit and commercial paper	2.1	10.8	6.8	5.4	2.6	27.7	0.6	0.6	0.4	29.3
Asset backed commercial paper	2.7	2.1				4.8				4.8



Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

Senior unsecured (Public benchmark)	2.5	0.8	2.6	1.6	0.1	7.6	3.9	6.0	3.9	21.4
Senior unsecured (Privately placed)	1.0	2.6	3.5	4.2	2.4	13.7	9.4	15.6	11.5	50.2
Covered bonds/ABS	0.3	0.4	0.4	3.3	0.6	5.0	6.9	6.0	7.1	25.0
Subordinated liabilities		0.2				0.2	0.1	2.9	17.6	20.8
Other <sup>c</sup>	2.3	1.4	1.5	0.4	0.3	5.9	1.8	2.5	2.1	12.3
<b>Total as at 31 December 2013</b>	<b>20.3</b>	<b>24.0</b>	<b>15.5</b>	<b>15.9</b>	<b>6.3</b>	<b>82.0</b>	<b>27.1</b>	<b>33.8</b>	<b>42.6</b>	<b>185.5</b>
<b>Of which secured</b>	<b>4.6</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>0.7</b>	<b>13.9</b>	<b>7.3</b>	<b>6.5</b>	<b>7.2</b>	<b>34.9</b>
<b>Of which unsecured</b>	<b>15.7</b>	<b>20.3</b>	<b>14.1</b>	<b>12.4</b>	<b>5.6</b>	<b>68.1</b>	<b>19.8</b>	<b>27.3</b>	<b>35.4</b>	<b>150.6</b>
Total as at 31 December 2012	29.4	39.4	17.5	8.2	7.2	101.7	28.3	56.2	53.5	239.7
Of which secured	5.9	4.0	2.4	0.8	0.5	13.6	5.2	13.8	7.8	40.4
Of which unsecured	23.5	35.4	15.1	7.4	6.7	88.1	23.1	42.4	45.7	199.3

Outstanding wholesale funding includes £50bn (2012: £63bn) of privately placed senior unsecured notes in issue. These notes are issued through a variety of distribution channels including intermediaries and private banks. A large proportion of end users of these products are individual retail investors.

In 2013, Barclays repaid 3bn of funding raised through the European Central Bank's 3 year LTRO, leaving 5bn (2012: 8bn) outstanding as at 31 December 2013.

The liquidity risk of wholesale funding is carefully managed primarily through the LRA stress tests, against which the liquidity pool is held. Although not a requirement, the liquidity pool exceeded wholesale funding maturing in less than one year by £45bn (2012: £48bn).

The average maturity of wholesale funding net of the liquidity pool was at least 69 months (2012: 61 months).

#### Notes

- a Term funding maturities comprise public benchmark and privately placed senior unsecured notes, covered bonds/asset-backed securities (ABS) and subordinated debt where the original maturity of the instrument was more than 1 year. In addition, at 31 December 2013, £3bn of these instruments were not counted towards term financing as they had an original maturity of less than 1 year.
- b The composition of wholesale funds comprises the balance sheet reported deposits from banks, financial liabilities at fair value, debt securities in issue and subordinated liabilities, excluding cash collateral and settlement balances. It does not include collateral swaps, including participation in the Bank of England's Funding for Lending Scheme. Included within deposits from banks are £4.1bn of liabilities drawn in the European Central Bank's 3 year LTRO.
- c Primarily comprised of fair value deposits (£4.6bn) and secured financing of physical gold (£5.0bn).

**Table of Contents****Currency composition of wholesale debt**

As at 31 December 2013 the proportion of wholesale funding by major currencies was as follows:

**Currency composition of wholesale funds**

	<b>USD</b> %	<b>EUR</b> %	<b>GBP</b> %	<b>Other</b> %
Deposits from banks	14	55	24	7
Certificates of deposits and commercial paper	64	19	16	1
Asset backed commercial paper	87	6	7	
Senior unsecured	30	34	16	20
Covered bonds/ABS	22	61	16	1
Subordinated liabilities	37	28	34	1
<b>Total as at 31 December 2013</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>10</b>
Total as at 31 December 2012	31	38	22	9

To manage cross-currency refinancing risk Barclays manages to foreign exchange cash-flow limits, which limit risk at specific maturities. Across wholesale funding, the composition is materially unchanged.

**Term financing**

In 2013, term funding maturities were mostly offset by cash inflows arising from growth in customer deposits and run-off of Exit Quadrant assets, while a significant portion of the Group's 2013 funding needs were pre-funded in 2012.

The Group issued £1bn of net term funding in 2013, including \$1bn of CRD IV compliant Tier 2 capital. This issuance was an important transitional step towards Barclays end state CRD IV capital structure.

The Group has £24bn of term debt maturing in 2014 and a further £22bn maturing in 2015<sup>a</sup>. The Group expects to issue more public wholesale debt in 2014 than in 2013 in order to maintain a stable and diverse funding base by type, currency and distribution channel.

**Encumbrance**

Asset encumbrance arises from collateral pledged against secured funding and other collateralised obligations. Barclays funds a portion of trading portfolio assets and other securities via repurchase agreements and other similar borrowing and pledges a portion of customer loans and advances as collateral in securitisation, covered bond and other similar secured structures. Barclays monitors the mix of secured and unsecured funding sources within the Group's funding plan and seeks to efficiently utilise available collateral to raise secured funding and meet other collateralised obligations.

As at 31 December 2013, £187bn (2012: £231bn) of the Group's assets were encumbered (excluding reverse repurchase agreements), which primarily related to firm financing of trading portfolio assets and other securities and to funding secured against loans and advances to customers. Encumbered assets have been identified via the Group's assets pledged process. For securities and commodities assets are considered encumbered if there has been legal title transfer against repurchase, stock lending and other similar secured borrowing agreements, trading portfolio liabilities or derivatives margin. Encumbered loans and advances have been identified where assets are pledged against external securitisations or covered bonds or have been used in external repurchase or other similar agreements with market counterparts or central banks. Additionally, encumbered assets include cash collateral posted. Encumbered assets do not include assets which may have been pledged for other reasons and do not include client activity.

In addition, £321bn (2012: £310bn) of the total £390bn (2012: £360bn) securities accepted as collateral, and held off-balance sheet, were on-pledged, the significant majority of which related to matched-book activity where reverse repurchase agreements are matched by repurchase agreements entered into to facilitate client activity. The remainder relates primarily to reverse repurchases used to settle trading portfolio liabilities as well as collateral posted against derivatives margin requirements.

Note

a Includes £0.3bn of bilateral secured funding in 2014 and £2bn in 2015.

**Table of Contents****Risk review >****Funding risk**    Liquidity continued

As at 31 December 2013, £332bn (2012: £300bn) of assets were identified as readily available. These consist of on and off-balance sheet assets that have not been identified as encumbered and are in transferable form. They include cash and securities held in the Group liquidity pool as well as additional unencumbered assets which provide a source of contingent liquidity. While these additional assets are not relied on in the Group's LRA, a portion of these assets may be monetised in a stress to generate liquidity through use as collateral for secured funding or through outright sale. Loans and advances to customers are only classified as readily available if they are already in a form such that they can be used to raise funding without further management actions. This includes excess collateral already in secured funding vehicles and collateral pre-positioned at central banks and available for use in secured financing transactions.

As at 31 December 2013, £222bn (2012: £237bn) of assets were identified as available as collateral. These assets are not subject to any restrictions on their ability to secure funding, be offered as collateral, or sold to reduce potential future funding requirements, but are not immediately available in the normal course of business in their current form. They primarily consist of loans and advances a proportion of which would be suitable for use in secured funding structures but are conservatively classified as not readily available because they are not in transferable form.

Not available collateral consist of assets that cannot be pledged or used as security for funding due to restrictions that prevent their pledge or use as security for funding in the normal course of business.

Derivatives and reverse repo assets relate specifically to reverse repurchase agreements, derivatives and other similar secured lending. These are shown separately as these on-balance sheet assets cannot be pledged. However, these assets can give rise to the receipt of non-cash assets which are not recognised on the balance sheet, but can be used to raise secured funding or meet additional funding requirements.

**Asset encumbrance**

	<b>Encumbered assets</b>	<b>Unencumbered assets</b>	<b>Unencumbered cannot be pledged as collateral</b>		
<b>On-balance sheet</b>	<b>Assets pledged as collateral</b>	<b>Readily as available assets</b>	<b>Not available collateral</b>	<b>Derivatives as collateral</b>	<b>and reverse repos</b>
<b>As at 31 December 2013</b>	<b>Assets<sup>a</sup> £bn</b>	<b>£bn</b>	<b>£bn</b>	<b>£bn</b>	<b>£bn</b>

Cash and balances at central banks	43.8		43.8			
Trading portfolio assets	130.6	65.3	65.3			
Financial assets at fair value	36.6	0.3	9.1	21.0	0.9	5.3
Derivative financial instruments	322.7					322.7
Loans and advances – banks	16.6		5.6	4.0	7.0	
Loans and advances – customers	324.7	62.6	66.1	196.0		
Cash collateral and settlement balances	91.3	50.6			40.7	
Available for sale financial investments	86.8	8.4	74.6	0.5	3.3	
Reverse repurchase agreements	186.0					186.0
Property plant and equipment	3.8				3.8	
Items in the course of collection from other banks	1.0				1.0	
Other assets	3.5				3.5	
Current tax asset	0.2				0.2	
Investment in associates and joint ventures	0.6				0.6	
Goodwill and intangible assets	6.3				6.3	
Deferred tax assets	4.8				4.8	
Retirement benefit assets						
<b>Total on-balance sheet</b>	<b>1,259.3</b>	<b>187.2</b>	<b>264.5</b>	<b>221.5</b>	<b>72.1</b>	<b>514.0</b>

## Off-balance sheet

	Collateral received £bn	Collateral received of which on-pledge £bn	Unencumbered assets Readily available assets £bn	Unencumbered assets Available as collateral £bn	Unencumbered – cannot be pledged as collateral Not available as collateral £bn
Fair value of securities accepted as collateral	389.8	321.1	67.2		1.5
<b>Total unencumbered collateral</b>			<b>331.7</b>	<b>221.5</b>	<b>73.6</b>

## Notes

a The amounts included in the table are for the Group excluding BAGL. The assets relating to BAGL amount to £53bn (2012: £56.2bn), of which nil (2012: £0.2bn) are encumbered assets. Securities received as collateral by BAGL of £0.7bn (2012: £0.6bn) have also been excluded.

b Excluding cash collateral and settlement balances.



**Table of Contents****Asset encumbrance**

	Assets <sup>a</sup> £bn	Encumbered assets pledged as collateral £bn	Unencumbered assets Readily available assets £bn	Unencumbered assets Available as collateral £bn	Unencumbered pledged as collateral Not available as collateral £bn	cannot be collateral Derivatives and reverse repos £bn
<b>On-balance sheet</b>						
<b>As at 31 December 2012</b>						
Cash and balances at central banks	84.6		84.6			
Trading portfolio assets	143.3	85.3	58.0			
Financial assets at fair value	43.5	8.1	6.7	22.2	0.8	5.7
Derivative financial instruments	466.4					466.4
Loans and advances – banks	16.0		6.5	2.3	7.2	
Loans and advances – customers	325.8	72.9	40.4	212.5		
Cash collateral and settlement balances	85.1	52.5			32.6	
Available for sale financial investments	70.3	12.3	54.0	0.4	3.6	
Reverse repurchase agreements	176.2					176.2
Property, plant and equipment	4.9				4.9	
Items in the course of collection from other banks	1.1				1.1	
Other assets	4.0				4.0	
Current tax asset	0.2				0.2	
Investment in associates and joint ventures	0.6				0.6	
Goodwill and intangible assets	6.5				6.5	
Deferred tax assets	3.5				3.5	
Retirement benefit assets	0.1				0.1	
<b>Total on-balance sheet</b>	<b>1,432.1</b>	<b>231.1</b>	<b>250.2</b>	<b>237.4</b>	<b>65.1</b>	<b>648.3</b>

**Off-balance sheet**

	Collateral received	Collateral received	Unencumbered assets Readily available	Unencumbered pledged as collateral Not available as collateral	cannot be collateral
--	------------------------	------------------------	--	--	-------------------------

Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

	£bn	of which on-pledge £bn	assets £bn	£bn	as collateral £bn
Fair value of securities accepted as collateral	360.5	309.5	50.1		0.9
<b>Total unencumbered collateral</b>			300.3	237.4	66.0

Notes

a The amounts included in the table are for the Group excluding BAGL. The assets relating to BAGL amount to £53bn (2012: £50.2bn), of which nil (2012: £0.2bn) are encumbered assets. Securities received as collected by BAGL of £0.7bn (2012: £0.6bn) have also been excluded.

b Excluding cash collateral and settlement balances.

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

[Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 217](#)



**Table of Contents**[Risk review >](#)

Funding risk    Liquidity continued

**Repurchase agreements and reverse repurchase agreements**

Barclays enters into repurchase and other similar secured borrowing agreements to finance its trading portfolio assets. The majority of reverse repurchase agreements are matched by offsetting repurchase agreements entered into to facilitate client activity. The remainder are used to settle trading portfolio liabilities.

Due to the high quality of collateral provided against secured financing transactions, the liquidity risk associated with this activity is significantly lower than unsecured financing transactions. Nonetheless, Barclays manages to gross and net secured mismatch limits to limit refinancing risk under a severe stress scenario and a portion of the Group's liquidity pool is held against stress outflows on these positions. The Group secured mismatch limits are calibrated based on market capacity, liquidity characteristics of the collateral and risk appetite of the Group.

The cash value of repurchase and reverse repurchase transactions will typically differ from the market value of the collateral against which these transactions are secured by an amount referred to as a haircut (or overcollateralisation). Typical haircut levels vary depending on the quality of the collateral that underlies these transactions. For transactions secured against highly liquid collateral, lenders demand relatively small haircuts (typically ranging from 0-2%). For transactions secured against less liquid collateral, haircuts vary by asset class (typically ranging from 5-10% for corporate bonds and other less liquid collateral).

As at 31 December 2013, the significant majority of repurchase activity related to matched-book activity. The Group may face refinancing risk on the net maturity mismatch for matched-book activity. 76% (2012: 75%) of matched-book activity is against highly liquid collateral. Where less liquid collateral is used, net repurchase refinancing requirements are managed to longer-tenors.

**Net matched-book activity<sup>a,b</sup>**

<b>Negative number represents net repurchase agreement (net liability)</b>	<b>Less than one month £bn</b>	<b>One month to three months £bn</b>	<b>Over three months £bn</b>
<b>As at 31 December 2013</b>			
Highly liquid	(8.9)	2.3	6.6
Less liquid	4.3	(0.1)	(4.2)
<b>Total</b>	<b>(4.6)</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<b>2.4</b>

As at 31 December 2012

Highly liquid	(14.1)	6.6	7.5
Less liquid	5.7	(1.7)	(4.0)
<b>Total</b>	<b>(8.4)</b>	<b>4.9</b>	<b>3.5</b>

The residual repurchase agreement activity is the firm-financing component and reflects Barclays funding of a portion of its trading portfolio assets. The primary risk related to firm-financing activity is the inability to roll-over transactions as they mature. However, 63% (2012: 74%) of firm-financing activity was secured against highly liquid assets and the weighted average maturity of firm-financing activity secured against less liquid assets was 69 days (2012: 84 days).

### Firm-financing repurchase agreements<sup>a,b</sup>

	Less than one month £bn	One month to three months £bn	Over three months £bn	Total £bn
<b>As at 31 December 2013</b>				
Highly liquid	42.8	7.9	2.9	53.6
Less liquid	20.7	2.9	7.8	31.4
<b>Total</b>	<b>63.5</b>	<b>10.8</b>	<b>10.7</b>	<b>85.0</b>
As at 31 December 2012				
Highly liquid	66.8	6.5	2.9	76.2
Less liquid	16.0	4.3	6.0	26.3
<b>Total</b>	<b>82.8</b>	<b>10.8</b>	<b>8.9</b>	<b>102.5</b>

Notes

a Highly liquid assets include government bonds, agency securities and mortgage-backed securities. Less liquid assets include asset backed securities, corporate bonds, equities and other.

b Includes collateral swaps.

**Table of Contents****Credit ratings**

In addition to monitoring and managing key metrics related to the financial strength of Barclays, we also subscribe to independent credit rating agency reviews by Standard & Poor's, Moody's, Fitch and DBRS. These ratings assess the credit worthiness of Barclays and are based on reviews of a broad range of business and financial attributes including risk management processes and procedures, capital strength, earnings, funding, liquidity, accounting and governance.

A credit rating downgrade could result in contractual outflows to meet collateral requirements on existing contracts. Outflows related to a multiple-notch credit rating downgrade are included in the LRA stress scenarios and a portion of the liquidity pool is held against this risk. Credit ratings downgrades could also result in increased costs or reduced capacity to raise funding.

**Credit ratings**

As at 31 December 2013	<b>Standard &amp; Poor's</b>	<b>Moody's</b>	<b>Fitch</b>	<b>DBRS</b>
<b>Barclays Bank PLC</b>	A (Stable)	A2 (Negative)	A (Stable)	AA (Low) (Stable)
<b>Long-term</b>	A-1	P-1	F1	R-1 (mid)
<b>Short-term</b>	bbb+	C-/baa2	a	A (high)
<b>Barclays PLC</b>				
<b>Long-term</b>	A- (Stable)	A3 (Negative)	A (Stable)	n/a
<b>Short-term</b>	A-2	P-2	F1	n/a

During 2013, Barclays was downgraded one notch by Standard & Poor's, as the rating agency views increased risk for some large European banks operating in investment banking due to tightening regulation and uncertain market conditions. As a result Barclays Bank PLC's rating moved to A/A-1 from A+/A-1, and Barclays PLC's to A-/A-2 from A/A-1. Similarly, DBRS downgraded Barclays Bank PLC, to AA (Low)/R-1 (Mid) from AA/R-1 (High), mainly driven by the changing regulatory environment. The downgrades were fully reserved for in the liquidity pool and there was no significant change in deposit funding or wholesale funding. Fitch and Moody's affirmed Barclays Bank PLC and Barclays PLC ratings.

Barclays' ratings currently benefit from sovereign support assumptions made by rating agencies. Levels of sovereign supports are reflected in the difference between the standalone rating and Barclays Bank PLC's long-term rating. As regulation evolves, rating agencies have communicated their intention to remove all or part of this support over time. As a consequence, Moody's put Barclays' long-term and short-term ratings on a Negative outlook.

The table below shows contractual collateral requirements and contingent obligations following one and two notch long-term and associated short-term simultaneous downgrades across all credit rating agencies, which are fully reserved for in the liquidity pool. These numbers do not assume any management or restructuring actions that could be taken to reduce posting requirements.

Further credit rating downgrades could result in contractual outflows to meet collateral requirements on existing contracts. These outflows do not include the potential liquidity impact from loss of unsecured funding, such as from money market funds, or loss of secured funding capacity. However, unsecured and secured funding stresses are included in the LRA stress scenarios and a portion of the liquidity pool is held against these risks.

**Contractual credit rating downgrade exposure (cumulative cash flow)**

	<b>Cumulative cash outflow</b>	
	<b>One-notch downgrade £bn</b>	<b>Two-notch downgrade £bn</b>
<b>As at 31 December 2013</b>		
Securitisation derivatives	7	8
Contingent liabilities	6	6
Derivatives margining		1
Liquidity facilities	1	2
<b>Total contractual funding or margin requirements</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>As at 31 December 2012</b>		
Securitisation derivatives	5	7
Contingent liabilities	7	7
Derivatives margining		1
Liquidity facilities	1	2
<b>Total contractual funding or margin requirements</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>17</b>

Note

aRefers to Standard & Poor's Stand-Alone Credit Profile (SACP), Moody's Bank Financial Strength Ratio (BFSR) Baseline Credit Assessment (BCA), Fitch Viability Rating (VR) and DBRS Intrinsic Assessment (IA).

## **Table of Contents**

[Risk review >](#)

Funding risk    Liquidity continued

### [Liquidity management at BAGL Group \(audited\)](#)

Liquidity risk is managed separately at BAGL Group due to local currency, funding and regulatory requirements.

In addition to the Group liquidity pool, as at 31 December 2013, BAGL Group held £4bn (2012: £5bn) of liquidity pool assets against BAGL-specific anticipated stressed outflows. The liquidity pool consists of South African government bonds and Treasury bills.

The BAGL loan to deposit ratio as at 31 December 2013 was 103% (2012: 113%).

As at 31 December 2013, BAGL had £9bn of wholesale funding outstanding (2012: £12bn), of which £6bn matures in less than 12 months (2012: £6bn).

### [Contractual maturity of financial assets and liabilities \(audited\)](#)

The table below provides detail on the contractual maturity of all financial instruments and other assets and liabilities. Derivatives (other than those designated in a hedging relationship) and trading portfolio assets and liabilities are included in the on demand column at their fair value. Liquidity risk on these items is not managed on the basis of contractual maturity since they are not held for settlement according to such maturity and will frequently be settled before contractual maturity at fair value. Derivatives designated in a hedging relationship are included according to their contractual maturity.

Financial assets designated at fair value in respect of linked liabilities to customers under investment contracts have been included in other assets and other liabilities as the Group is not exposed to liquidity risk arising from them; any request for funds from creditors would be met by simultaneously liquidating or transferring the related investment.

[220 > Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013](#)

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

**Table of Contents****Contractual maturity of financial assets and liabilities (including BAGL) (audited)**

As at 31 December 2013	On demand £m	Not more than three months £m	Over three months but not more than six months £m	Over six months but not more than nine months £m	Over nine months but not more than one year £m	Over one year but not more than two years £m	Over two years but not more than three years £m	Over three years but not more than five years £m	Over five years but not more than ten years £m	Over ten years £m	Total £m
<b>Assets</b>											
Cash and balances at central banks	38,602	7,085									45,687
Items in the course of collection from other banks	894	388									1,282
Trading portfolio assets	133,069										133,069
Financial assets designated at fair value	1,029	12,743	654	853	415	2,270	673	1,410	1,035	16,280	37,362
Derivative financial instruments	321,590	368	163	88	32	283	480	294	545	492	324,335
Loans and advances to banks	6,558	27,698	973	538	588	295	370	109	63	661	37,853
Loans and advances to customers	30,422	92,151	7,058	7,459	8,450	30,144	27,201	45,699	60,537	121,290	430,411

Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

Reverse repurchase agreements and other similar secured lending	21	172,401	9,119	2,335	2,583	107		130		83	186,779
Available for sale financial investments	632	2,633	3,186	2,115	5,722	9,755	14,366	14,767	24,433	14,147	91,756
Other financial assets		1,693				305					1,998
<b>Total financial assets</b>	<b>532,817</b>	<b>317,160</b>	<b>21,153</b>	<b>13,388</b>	<b>17,790</b>	<b>43,159</b>	<b>43,090</b>	<b>62,409</b>	<b>86,613</b>	<b>152,953</b>	<b>1,290,532</b>
<b>Other assets</b>											<b>21,735</b>
<b>Total assets</b>											<b>1,312,267</b>
Liabilities											
Deposits from banks	7,005	40,631	738	1,112	426	4,658	111	137		16	54,834
Items in the course of collection due to other banks	1,037	322									1,359
Customer accounts	293,708	102,873	8,708	5,928	6,308	3,436	1,587	2,237	1,869	1,248	427,902
Repurchase agreements and other similar secured borrowing	76	189,401	4,371	556	914	1,378	17	35			196,748
Trading portfolio liabilities	53,464										53,464
Financial liabilities designated at fair value	636	8,215	5,257	4,712	3,425	11,107	6,527	10,138	6,678	6,396	63,091
Derivative financial instruments	319,361	11	11	13	6	48	157	208	583	236	320,634
Debt securities in issue	72	22,233	10,553	10,812	3,486	11,786	6,705	8,350	10,657	2,039	86,693

Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

Subordinated liabilities	327	20	177		281	6	3,225	10,581	7,078	21,695	
Other financial liabilities	3,471				1,478					4,949	
<b>Total financial liabilities</b>	<b>675,359</b>	<b>367,484</b>	<b>29,658</b>	<b>23,310</b>	<b>14,565</b>	<b>34,172</b>	<b>15,110</b>	<b>24,330</b>	<b>30,368</b>	<b>17,013</b>	<b>1,231,369</b>
Other liabilities											16,949
<b>Total liabilities</b>											<b>1,248,318</b>
<b>Cumulative liquidity gap</b>	<b>(142,542)</b>	<b>(192,866)</b>	<b>(201,371)</b>	<b>(211,293)</b>	<b>(208,068)</b>	<b>(199,081)</b>	<b>(171,101)</b>	<b>(133,022)</b>	<b>(76,777)</b>	<b>59,163</b>	<b>63,949</b>

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 221



**Table of Contents**[Risk review >](#)

Funding risk    Liquidity continued

**Contractual maturity of financial assets and liabilities (including BAGL) (audited)**

<b>As at 31 December 2012</b>	<b>On demand £m</b>	<b>Not more than three months £m</b>	<b>Over three months but not more than six months £m</b>	<b>Over six months but not more than nine months £m</b>	<b>Over nine months but not more than one year £m</b>	<b>Over one year but not more than two years £m</b>	<b>Over two years but not more than three years £m</b>	<b>Over three years but not more than five years £m</b>	<b>Over five years but not more than ten years £m</b>	<b>Over ten years £m</b>	<b>Total £m</b>
<b>Assets</b>											
Cash and balances at central banks	42,435	43,756									86,191
Items in the course of collection from other banks	1,242	231									1,473
Trading portfolio assets	146,352										146,352
Financial assets designated at fair value	1,268	15,951	881	635	655	2,054	2,071	1,283	1,861	18,592	45,251
Derivative financial instruments	465,357	169	49	32	24	355	643	1,349	717	461	469,156
Loans and advances to banks	4,646	32,761	236	259	708	933	152	136	172	459	40,462
Loans and advances to	37,503	76,112	7,971	8,399	8,844	26,789	28,141	46,338	64,445	119,364	423,906

Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

customers											
Reverse repurchase agreements and other similar secured lending	36	164,866	8,709	1,388	1,224	66	82	117	12	22	176,522
Available for sale financial investments	333	4,338	2,770	5,230	4,725	10,805	5,263	14,145	15,189	12,311	75,109
Other financial assets		1,615				386					2,001
<b>Total financial assets</b>	<b>699,172</b>	<b>339,799</b>	<b>20,616</b>	<b>15,943</b>	<b>16,180</b>	<b>41,388</b>	<b>36,352</b>	<b>63,368</b>	<b>82,396</b>	<b>151,209</b>	<b>1,466,423</b>
<b>Other assets</b>											<b>21,912</b>
<b>Total assets</b>											<b>1,488,335</b>
<b>Liabilities</b>											
Deposits from banks	8,619	57,101	1,664	532	312	1,617	5,591	334	229	1,013	77,012
Items in the course of collection due to other banks	1,463	124									1,587
Customer accounts	255,747	90,490	9,810	8,086	4,572	11,148	865	1,925	1,981	787	385,411
Repurchase agreements and other similar secured borrowing <sup>a</sup>	394	206,355	5,331	2,321	1,421	47	780	529			217,178
Trading portfolio liabilities	44,794										44,794
Financial liabilities designated at fair value	1,434	7,982	6,278	3,929	3,249	11,977	11,922	11,248	10,145	8,855	77,019
Derivative financial instruments	459,587	63	32	29	19	333	337	507	1,074	740	462,721
Debt securities in issue	1,467	38,850	10,796	4,778	4,350	16,388	13,046	14,965	12,208	2,677	119,525

Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

Subordinated liabilities	877		92	363	400	1,840	11,883	8,563	24,018		
Other financial liabilities	4,080			641							4,721
<b>Total financial liabilities</b>	<b>773,505</b>	<b>405,922</b>	<b>33,911</b>	<b>19,675</b>	<b>14,015</b>	<b>42,514</b>	<b>32,941</b>	<b>31,348</b>	<b>37,520</b>	<b>22,635</b>	<b>1,413,986</b>
<b>Other liabilities</b>											<b>14,363</b>
<b>Total liabilities</b>											<b>1,428,349</b>
<b>Cumulative liquidity gap</b>	<b>(74,333)</b>	<b>(140,456)</b>	<b>(153,751)</b>	<b>(157,483)</b>	<b>(155,318)</b>	<b>(156,444)</b>	<b>(153,033)</b>	<b>(121,013)</b>	<b>(76,137)</b>	<b>52,437</b>	<b>59,986</b>

Expected maturity dates do not differ significantly from the contract dates, except for:

- Trading portfolio assets and liabilities and derivative financial instruments, which may not be held to maturity as part of the Group's trading strategies;
- Retail deposits, which are included within customer accounts, are repayable on demand or at short notice on a contractual basis. In practice, these instruments form a stable base for the Group's operations and liquidity needs because of the broad base of customers – both numerically and by depositor type (see Behavioural maturity profile on page 213; and
- Financial assets designated at fair value held in respect of linked liabilities, which are managed with the associated liabilities.

Note

a Balances have been revised to better reflect the contractual maturity of repurchase agreements.

**Table of Contents****Contractual maturity of financial liabilities on an undiscounted basis**

The table below presents the cash flows payable by the Group under financial liabilities by remaining contractual maturities at the balance sheet date. The amounts disclosed in the table are the contractual undiscounted cash flows of all financial liabilities (i.e. nominal values).

The balances in the below table do not agree directly to the balances in the consolidated balance sheet as the table incorporates all cash flows, on an undiscounted basis, related to both principal as well as those associated with all future coupon payments.

Derivative financial instruments held for trading and trading portfolio liabilities are included in the on demand column at their fair value.

Financial liabilities designated at fair value in respect of linked liabilities under investment contracts have been excluded from this analysis as the Group is not exposed to liquidity risk arising from them.

**Contractual maturity of financial liabilities undiscounted (including BAGL) (audited)**

	On demand £m	Within one year £m	Over one year but not more than five years £m	Over five years £m	Total £m
<b>As at 31 December 2013</b>					
Deposits from banks	7,005	42,923	4,956	70	54,954
Items in the course of collection due to other banks	1,037	333			1,370
Customer accounts	293,708	124,023	8,175	5,077	430,983
Repurchase agreements and other similar secured lending	76	195,246	1,431		196,753
Trading portfolio liabilities	53,464				53,464
Financial liabilities designated at fair value	636	21,659	29,037	20,777	72,109
Derivative financial instruments	319,361	45	450	1,246	321,102
Debt securities in issue	72	48,333	29,192	14,813	92,410
Subordinated liabilities		1,468	7,082	19,117	27,667
Other financial liabilities		3,471	1,478		4,949

<b>Total financial liabilities</b>	<b>675,359</b>	<b>437,501</b>	<b>81,801</b>	<b>61,100</b>	<b>1,255,761</b>
<b>At 31 December 2012</b>					
Deposits from banks	8,619	59,677	7,629	1,267	77,192
Items in the course of collection due to other banks	1,463	124			1,587
Customer accounts	255,747	113,220	14,492	3,624	387,083
Repurchase agreements and other similar secured lending	394	215,435	1,357		217,186
Trading portfolio liabilities	44,794				44,794
Financial liabilities designated at fair value	1,434	21,480	35,886	24,301	83,101
Derivative financial instruments	459,587	144	1,193	2,079	463,003
Debt securities in issue	1,467	60,396	46,742	16,566	125,171
Subordinated liabilities		1,948	6,284	24,266	32,498
Other financial liabilities		4,080	641		4,721
<b>Total financial liabilities</b>	<b>773,505</b>	<b>476,504</b>	<b>114,224</b>	<b>72,103</b>	<b>1,436,336</b>

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

[Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 223](#)

**Table of Contents**[Risk review >](#)

Funding risk    Liquidity continued

**Maturity analysis of off-balance sheet commitments received (including BAGL)<sup>a</sup>**

	On demand £m	Within one year £m	Over one year but not more than five years £m	Over five years £m	Total £m
<b>As at 31 December 2013</b>					
Guarantees, letters of credit and credit insurance	10,114	181	644	155	11,094
Forward starting repurchase agreements		14,334			14,334
<b>Total off balance sheet commitments received</b>	<b>10,114</b>	<b>14,515</b>	<b>644</b>	<b>155</b>	<b>25,428</b>
<b>As at 31 December 2012</b>					
Guarantees, letters of credit and credit insurance	9,108	207	760	303	10,378
Forward starting repurchase agreements		22,831			22,831
<b>Total off balance sheet commitments received</b>	<b>9,108</b>	<b>23,038</b>	<b>760</b>	<b>303</b>	<b>33,209</b>

**Maturity analysis of off-balance sheet commitments given (including BAGL) (audited)<sup>a</sup>**

	On demand £m	Within one year £m	Over one year but not more than five years £m	Over five years £m	Total £m
<b>As at 31 December 2013</b>					

Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

Contingent liabilities	17,873	1,583	1,174	554	21,184
Documentary credits and other short term trade related transactions	504	188	88		780
Forward starting reverse repurchase agreements		19,936			19,936
Standby facilities, credit lines and other commitments	247,045	3,845	3,514	451	254,855
<b>Total off balance sheet commitments given</b>	<b>265,422</b>	<b>25,552</b>	<b>4,776</b>	<b>1,005</b>	<b>296,755</b>
<b>As at 31 December 2012</b>					
Contingent liabilities	18,419	2,123	1,077	642	22,261
Documentary credits and other short term trade related transactions	134	732	111	50	1,027
Forward starting reverse repurchase agreements		23,549			23,549
Standby facilities, credit lines and other commitments	239,881	4,459	3,045	431	247,816
<b>Total off balance sheet commitments given</b>	<b>258,434</b>	<b>30,863</b>	<b>4,233</b>	<b>1,123</b>	<b>294,653</b>

Note

a The presentation of the tables for off balances sheet commitments received and given has been enhanced in line with the Enhanced Disclosure Taskforce recommendations.

---

**Table of Contents**

[Risk review >](#)

**Operational risk**

Operational risk is defined as the risk of direct or indirect impacts resulting from human factors, inadequate or failed internal processes and systems or external events.

*All disclosures in this section (page 225) are unaudited*

Operational risks are inherent in all the Group's business activities and are typical of any large enterprise. It is not cost effective to attempt to eliminate all operational risks and in any event it would not be possible to do so. Small losses from operational risks are expected to occur and are accepted as part of the normal course of business. More material losses are less frequent and the Group seeks to reduce the likelihood of these in accordance with its risk appetite.

The Operational Principal Risk comprises the following Key Risks: CyberSecurity, External Suppliers, Financial Reporting, Fraud, Information, Legal, Payments, People, Premises and Security, Product, Regulatory, Taxation, Technology and Transaction Operations. For definitions of these key risks see page 415.

**Operational risk profile**

The Barclays operational risk profile in 2013 was dominated by further charges of £1,350m (2012: £1,600m) for PPI and interest rate hedging product redress of £650m (2012: £850m). In response to these and other historical issues, and following publication of the Salz review, work continues to enhance the internal control and risk management framework (see the Risk Management section on page 416) with the creation of a new Board-level committee charged with specific oversight of operational and conduct risks, reputational matters and the citizenship strategy.

Within operational risk a high proportion of risk events have a low associated financial cost and a very small proportion of operational risk events will have a material impact on the financial results of the Group. In 2013 79.2% of the Group's net reportable operational risk losses had a value of £50,000 or less (2012: 74.7%) and accounted for only 1.8% (2012: 1.3%) of the Group's total net loss impact.

The analysis below presents Barclays operational risk events by Basel 2 category. There has been a slight reduction in the proportion of losses by amount within the Clients, Products and Business Practices category to 90.0% (2012: 92.2%), but this is still heavily impacted by provisions for PPI and interest rate hedging product redress. Execution, Delivery and Process Management impacts increased to 5.2% (2012: 3.7%). These events are typical of the banking industry as a whole where high volumes of transactions are processed on a daily basis. These are often fully or



partially recovered, resulting in low value net losses. External Fraud with 63% (2012: 41%), is the category with the highest frequency of events where high volume, low value events are also consistent with industry experience, driven by debit and credit card fraud.

The Group's operational risk profile is informed by bottom-up risk assessments undertaken by each business unit and top-down qualitative review from the Operational Risk & Control Committee (ORCC). External Fraud and Technology have been noted by the ORCC as key operational risk exposures. External Fraud has increased driven by the higher number of fraud events, particularly in credit card portfolios, and business growth, whereas for Technology there is an ongoing programme of work to improve controls, through efficiency and automation, and a focus on infrastructure resilience. CyberSecurity risk continues to be an area of attention given the increasing sophistication and scope of potential cyber-attack. Risks to technology and CyberSecurity change rapidly and require continued focus and investment.

For further information see Risk Management section (pages 415 to 417).

**Operational risk events by risk category**  
**% of total risk events by count**

**Operational risk events by risk category**  
**% of total risk events by value**

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

[Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 225](#)

---

**Table of Contents**

[Risk review >](#)

**Reputation risk**

Reputation risk is the risk of damage to Barclays brand arising from any association, action or inaction which is perceived by stakeholders (e.g. customers, clients, colleagues, shareholders, regulators, opinion formers) to be inappropriate or unethical.

*All disclosures in this section (pages 226 and 227) are unaudited*

Subsequent erosion of the bank's brand reduces the attractiveness of Barclays to those stakeholders and, therefore, the ability to achieve the Group's goals and business purpose.

The cost of reputation risk could manifest itself in one, or a combination of, the following outcomes: negative publicity; erosion of customer/client confidence; loss of revenue; litigation; regulatory or legislative action; loss of existing and potential client business; reduced internal morale; and difficulties in recruiting the talent required to deliver our commitments. Ultimately, it may destroy long-term shareholder value.

Reputation risk is pervasive in nature and may arise for a wide variety of reasons, real or perceived, for example:

- ; Failure to act in accordance with our stated values, standards and policies;
- ; Association with illegal activity or governance or regulatory breaches;
- ; Failure of technical systems and processes;
- ; Association with controversial clients or sectors; and
- ; Association with controversial business decisions or conduct relating to products, service provision, acquisitions,

and employment practices.

Barclays' reputation may also be impacted negatively by the standing of the banking and financial industry generally with stakeholders. Poor practice or controversy perpetrated by other banks affects the sector as a whole.

As reputation risk arises from a real or perceived failure to comply with expected norms, which are likely to change over time, the situation is not static. Today's decisions may be judged tomorrow by different standards and this needs to be factored into our risk culture, evaluation and sanctioning procedures. Reputation risk is also pervasive, it can arise anywhere in the organisation, and management of it requires more subjective judgement than many other risks.

Barclays experienced a number of events negatively impacting its reputation in 2013, some of which arose as a result of decisions and behaviours which occurred in prior years. For example, Barclays' participation in the submission of London interbank lending rates (LIBOR) between 2005 and 2009 fell short of the high standards by which we aim to conduct business. Barclays let down its customers and clients and regrets that these actions harmed trust and confidence among our stakeholders. While the findings involved only a relatively small number of the Group's 140,000 employees, Barclays has fully acknowledged and accepted responsibility for this past conduct. With interest rate hedging products, Barclays recognises that we have not met the standards expected of us by our regulator and customers in some cases concerning small and medium-sized enterprises.

Barclays also took the decision to exit client relationships in the Money Service Business (MSB) sector because of financial crime and regulatory risks in the sector. Although we consider that this decision was necessary from a regulatory perspective, unfortunately it has impacted on businesses in this sector and the customers they serve.

### **Lessons learnt and remediation activity**

Barclays has undertaken a range of initiatives to strengthen governance, drive positive cultural change through the organisation and apply a responsible and consistent lens to decision-making.

In 2013, reputation risk was elevated by Barclays to Principal Risk status in acknowledgement of its significance for the business. Governance of all Principal and Key Risks is being revised and strengthened as part of the Transform initiative and in 2014 has been aligned with the new Enterprise Risk Management Framework, which will ensure all risks are managed in a co-ordinated manner according to consistent parameters.

Governance around the management of reputation risk is designed to promote such a consistent approach and a risk-aware culture across our organisation globally. Every member of staff must take responsibility for managing reputation risk associated with their decisions and actions. The implementation of The Barclays Way, the bank's global code of conduct launched in October 2013, encourages Barclays' colleagues to speak up if they encounter decisions or behaviours which are not in keeping with Barclays' purpose and values, and promotes a more open culture conducive to the identification, management and reporting of reputation and other risks. Colleagues in all parts of the bank have attended values workshops and are required to attest annually to The Barclays Way, which explains how Barclays values and desired behaviours should be put into practice at work.

Where individuals are confronted by a decision which appears to have wider reputational consequences, they are supported by a clear set of processes outlined in the Barclays Reputation Risk Framework that articulates how businesses and functions should identify and manage this risk, including how to escalate an issue. The objective is to ensure that all decision-making includes an evaluation of the reputation risk potential and that, where material risk is identified, this is managed at the right level of seniority and in a timely way.



**Table of Contents**

Difficult decisions or dilemmas are referred initially to a business-level committee of senior executives familiar with the reputation risk profile and track record of their business area. If the issue is judged to be outside the scope of their authority, e.g. due to the materiality of the risk concerned or that it affects multiple business areas, then it can be escalated to the Barclays Reputation Committee. In 2013, this committee was re-designated a sub-committee of the new Conduct and Reputation Risk Committee (CRRC) chaired by Barclays' Head of Compliance and comprising Executive Committee-level representatives from Barclays businesses and functions. The CRRC reports to the Board Conduct, Reputation and Operational Risk Committee (BCRORC), which maintains Board-level oversight of non-financial Principal Risks.

The strategic review of the business in February 2013, explicitly considered reputation risk. The focus was on how we deliver returns, as well as what returns may be delivered. The analysis assessed each business against a range of criteria, including market attractiveness, competitor positioning, regulation, and reputation risk which was assessed using the lens of Barclays' purpose and values. This review incorporated the earlier review of reputation risk associated with Corporate and Investment Banking business lines and resulted in Barclays' exit from some businesses, including the Structured Capital Markets tax-related business unit. The view was that, while many tax services provided to clients are not controversial, there were some that relied on sophisticated and complex structures where transactions were carried out with the primary objective of accessing the tax benefits. Although this activity is legal, it was considered incompatible with the Group's purpose and published tax principles.

A further review of Barclays Wealth and Investment Management identified over 130 countries in which the business would no longer seek new clients. The review was designed to ensure alignment of the business to Barclays' purpose and values, reduce complexity and ensure we are well-placed to compete in a wealth management industry that has fundamentally changed.

On a more operational level, in 2013 we made the decision to drop using premium rate telephone numbers in the UK. As part of Which?'s campaign against the use of premium rate numbers, Barclays and Barclaycard committed to switching from more costly phone lines to local rate numbers, which are included in mobile phone packages and are free for customers using a landline. Barclays was the first financial services company to commit to do this.

---

**Table of Contents**

[Risk review >](#)

**Conduct risk**

Conduct risk is the risk that detriment is caused to our customers, clients, counterparties or Barclays and its employees because of inappropriate judgement in the execution of our business activities.

*All disclosures in this section (pages 228 and 229) are unaudited*

In 2013 there were a number of conduct risk issues affecting the Group, where Barclays conduct has not met the expectations of regulators and other stakeholders and where the consequences are likely to extend into 2014 and beyond. These include participation in benchmark rates, interest rate hedging products and Payment Protection Insurance.

The lessons learnt from these events and our strategic intent to become the 'Go-To' bank has influenced our determination to put customer needs and market integrity at the heart of our decision making and business activities.

In January 2013 Barclays inceptioned a Conduct Risk Programme to drive change in Barclays' culture and business practices to better align them with Barclays' aim to help customers achieve their

ambitions in the right way. It is establishing a conduct risk framework to support the business and cultural changes required to take a more proactive and forward looking approach, building good outcomes into business models, provide risk management of conduct risks and appropriate governance and oversight.

Over the year Barclays has developed its approach to identifying, evaluating and managing conduct risk and introduced a new principal risk for conduct risk within the EMRF. This is underpinned by six underlying key risks which focus on potential detriment to customers or market integrity across Barclays' end to end operations. Development of the Key Risk Framework will continue in 2014 with implementation of the complete framework planned to be complete by the end of the year.

**Principal risk framework** [Defines Conduct Risk, Risk Appetite and Roles and Responsibilities](#)

The Six Conduct Risk Key Risks:

**Business Model & Strategy**

Is our current and future business robust, resilient and sustainable?

**Governance & Culture**

Is our business run in the right way?

**Product Design**

Are the products and services we offer designed properly?

**Transaction Servicing**

Are the right products sold in the right way?

**Customer Servicing**

Are our new and existing customer expectations managed and met in the right way?

**Financial Crime**

Are we effectively protecting our business, our clients and market integrity?

The Principal Risk Framework targets 10 outcomes, which are positive indicators that Barclays is putting its clients and customers and market integrity at the heart of its business.

- 1 Our culture places customer interests at the heart of our strategy, planning, decision making and judgements.
- 2 Our strategy is to develop long term banking relationships with our customers by providing banking products and services that meet their needs and do not cause detriment.
- 3 We do not disadvantage or exploit customers, customer segments, or markets. We do not distort market competition.
- 4 We proactively identify conduct risks and intervene before they crystallise by managing, escalating and mitigating them promptly.
- 5 Our products, services and distribution channels are designed, monitored and managed to provide value, accessibility and to meet the needs of our customers.
- 6 We provide banking products and services that meet our customers' expectations and perform as represented. Our representations are accurate and comprehensible so our customers understand the products and services they are purchasing.
- 7 We address any customer detriment and dissatisfaction in a timely and fair manner.
- 8 We safeguard the privacy of personal data.
- 9 We do not conduct or facilitate market abuse.
- 10 We do not conduct or facilitate financial crime.





## **Table of Contents**

In 2013 businesses across Barclays began conduct risk assessments to evaluate how their business models and strategy might impact customers and markets and generate poor outcomes and the action that should be taken to address this risk. See the Business review section on pages 18 to 45 for more information on how individual businesses have taken the conduct agenda forward.

Barclays has also established a new conduct risk governance structure. This will provide the Board with relevant information about how conduct risks are being identified and managed, including whether controls are designed and operating effectively. For more information on the management of conduct risk see the Governance in Action example relating to conduct risk in the Governance Report on page 74.

### **Governance framework for conduct risk**

The CRC oversees Barclays' commitment to managing conduct risks and working towards delivering positive outcomes. Its responsibilities include investigation, consideration of areas of potential conduct risk, review of Barclays-wide Conduct Key Risk Profiles and review and challenge of conduct risk policies and standards.

The CRRC reviews and monitors the effectiveness of Barclays' management of Conduct and Reputational risk. Its responsibilities include review, challenge and recommendation of the Conduct and Reputational risk tolerance and appetite to the BCRORC, annual review of the frameworks and associated policies, review of performance against Conduct risk appetite and escalation of conduct risks, at its discretion, to the BCRORC.

The BCRORC is a committee of the Board of Directors of Barclays PLC and has the authority to investigate matters of conduct, reputational and operational risk Barclays-wide. Its responsibilities include review and approval of the Conduct risk appetite, governance and oversight of the management of Conduct risk, ensuring that the potential conduct and reputation impact of significant business decisions has been fully considered.

During 2014 the conduct risk programme will continue to develop the conduct risk framework, including the development of risk indicators and reporting and will support Barclays to make the important changes to the way it operates, such as through provision of training and guidance.

Barclays' approach to managing conduct risk has been developed in line with the regulatory expectations of the Financial Conduct Authority (FCA) and is subject to assessment by the FCA through its Firm Systematic Framework. Periodic discussions have been held with the FCA throughout the year.

Barclays' approach to promoting good customer outcomes and market integrity is consistent with the Barclays Way the new employee code of conduct adopted in 2013.

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

[Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 229](#)

---

**Table of Contents**

[Risk review >](#)

**Supervision and regulation**

*All disclosures in this section (pages 230 to 235) are unaudited*

**Supervision of the Group**

The Group's operations, including its overseas offices, subsidiaries and associates, are subject to a significant body of rules and regulations that are a condition for authorisation to conduct banking and financial services business. These apply to business operations and affect financial returns and include reserve and reporting requirements and prudential and conduct of business regulations. These requirements are set by the relevant central banks and regulatory authorities that supervise the Group in the jurisdictions in which it operates. The requirements reflect global standards developed by, among others, the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision and the International Organisation of Securities Commissions. They also reflect requirements of or derived from EU legislation.

Under the Financial Services Act 2012, the Bank of England has responsibility for monitoring the financial system as a whole and the system of regulation in the UK has been reorganised. Since 1 April 2013, the regulation and supervision of the Group has been divided between the Prudential Regulation Authority (PRA) – which is established as part of the Bank of England – and the Financial Conduct Authority (FCA). In addition, the Financial Policy Committee (FPC) of the Bank of England has significant influence on the prudential requirements that may be imposed on the banking system through powers of direction and recommendation. The FPC has direction powers over sectoral capital requirements which the FPC can set in relation to exposures to specific sectors judged to pose a risk to the financial system as a whole. The government has also proposed to make the FPC responsible for the Basel III countercyclical capital buffer, introduced in the EU under the Capital Requirements Directive and Regulation (CRD).

The Financial Services and Markets Act 2000 (FSMA) as amended remains the principal statute under which financial institutions are regulated in the UK. Barclays Bank PLC is authorised under FSMA to carry on a range of regulated activities within the UK and is authorised and subject to solo and consolidated prudential supervision by the PRA and subject to conduct regulation and supervision by the FCA. Firms are subject to a rolling programme of continuous, intensive and assertive engagement on prudential and conduct matters.

In its role as supervisor, the PRA seeks to maintain the safety and soundness of financial institutions with the aim of strengthening, but not guaranteeing, the protection of customers and the financial system. The PRA's continuing supervision of financial institutions is conducted through a variety of regulatory tools, including the collection of information from prudential returns, reports obtained from skilled persons, visits to firms and regular meetings with management to discuss issues such as performance, risk management and strategy.

The regulation and supervision of conduct matters is the responsibility of the FCA. FCA regulation of the Group is carried out through a combination of continuous assessment over rolling two-year periods; regular thematic and project work based on the FCA's sector assessments, which analyse the different areas of the market and the risks that may lie ahead; and responding to crystallised risks, seeking to ensure remediation as appropriate.

The Banking Act 2009 (the Banking Act) provides a regime to allow the Bank of England (or, in certain circumstances, HM Treasury) to resolve failing banks in the UK, in consultation with the PRA and HM Treasury as appropriate. Under the Banking Act, these authorities are given powers, including (a) the power to make share transfer orders pursuant to which all or some of the securities issued by a UK bank may be transferred to a commercial purchaser or the UK government; and (b) the power to transfer all or some of the property, rights and liabilities of a UK bank to a commercial purchaser or Bank of England entity. A share transfer order can extend to a wide range of securities including shares and bonds issued by a UK bank (including Barclays Bank PLC) or its holding company (Barclays PLC) and warrants for such shares and bonds. From 1 April 2013, certain of these powers were extended to companies within the same group as a UK bank. The Act also gives the authorities powers to override events of default or termination rights that might be invoked as a result of the exercise of the resolution powers. The Banking Act powers apply regardless of any contractual restrictions and compensation may be payable in the context of both share transfer orders and property appropriation. These resolution powers were supplemented with a bail-in power through the Financial Services (Banking Reform) Act 2013. This power allows for the cancellation or modification of a liability owed by the bank, with the exception of excluded liabilities. Excluded liabilities include: deposits protected under a deposit insurance scheme, secured liabilities, client assets and assets with an original maturity of less than seven days owed to a credit institution or investment firm among others.

The Banking Act also gives the Bank of England the power to override, vary or impose contractual obligations between a UK bank, its holding company and its group undertakings for reasonable consideration, in order to enable any transferee or successor bank to operate effectively. There is also power for the Treasury to amend the law (excluding provisions made by or under the Banking Act) for the purpose of enabling it to use the regime powers effectively, potentially with retrospective effect.

The Financial Services Act 2010, among other things, requires the UK regulators to make rules about remuneration and to require regulated firms to have a remuneration policy that is consistent with effective risk management. The UK regulators are mandated to make rules that require authorised firms (or a subset of authorised firms) to draw up recovery and resolution plans and to consult with HM Treasury and the Bank of England on the adequacy of firms plans. This Act also allows the FCA to make rules requiring firms to operate a collective consumer redress scheme to deal with cases of widespread failure by regulated firms to meet regulatory requirements that may have created consumer detriment.

**Table of Contents**

In addition to establishing the FPC, PRA and FCA, the Financial Services Act 2012 among other things, clarifies responsibilities between HM Treasury and the Bank of England in the event of a financial crisis by giving the Chancellor of the Exchequer powers to direct the Bank of England where public funds are at risk and there is a serious threat to financial stability; it establishes the objectives and accountabilities of the new regulatory bodies; amends the Threshold Conditions for authorisation; and gives the new bodies additional powers, including powers of direction over unregulated parent undertakings (such as Barclays PLC) where this is necessary to ensure effective consolidated supervision of the Group; and a power for the FCA to make temporary product intervention rules for a maximum period of six months, if necessary without consultation. The Act also gives the FCA the power to set rules in relation to the setting of benchmarks and creates a new criminal offence relating to the making of a false or misleading statement, or the creation of a false or misleading impression, in connection with the setting of a benchmark.

In addition to providing for the bail-in stabilisation powers referred to above, the Financial Services (Banking Reform Act) 2013 (the 2013 Act) gives the UK authorities powers to implement the recommendations of the Independent Commission on Banking by requiring, amongst other things: (i) the separation of the retail banking activities of UK banks in the UK and European Economic Area (EEA) in a legally distinct, operationally separate and economically independent entity (so called ring fencing); (ii) the increase of the loss-absorbing capacity of ring-fenced banks and UK headquartered global systemically important banks to levels higher than required by the CRD and (iii) preference to deposits protected under the Financial Services Compensation Scheme if a bank enters insolvency.

The 2013 Act also implements key recommendations of the Parliamentary Commission on Banking Standards, which was asked by the government to review professional standards and culture in the banking industry. Recommendations that have been implemented include: (i) the establishment of a reserve power for the PRA to enforce full separation of UK banks under certain circumstances; (ii) the creation of a senior managers regime for senior individuals in the banking and investment banking sectors to ensure better accountability for decisions made; (iii) the establishment of a criminal offence of causing a financial institution to fail; and (iv) the establishment of a regulator for payment systems.

The 2013 Act is primarily an enabling statute which provides HM Treasury with the requisite powers to implement the policy underlying the legislation through secondary legislation. The UK Government has published draft secondary legislation. The UK Government intends that both primary and secondary legislation will be in place by May 2015 and that UK banks will be required to be compliant with the structural reform requirements by 1 January 2019. Other elements of the Act will enter into force before that date.

Banks, insurance companies and other financial institutions in the UK are subject to a single compensation scheme (the Financial Services Compensation Scheme – FSCS) which operates when an authorised firm is unable or is likely to be unable to meet claims made against it because of its financial circumstances. Most deposits made with branches of Barclays Bank PLC within the EEA which are denominated in Sterling or other currencies are covered by the FSCS. Most claims made in respect of investment business will also be protected claims if the business was carried on from the UK or from a branch of the bank or investment firm in another EEA member state. The FSCS is funded by levies on authorised UK firms such as Barclays Bank PLC. In the event that the FSCS raises those funds more frequently or

significantly increases the levies to be paid by firms, the associated costs to the Group may have a material impact on the Group's results. Further details can be found in Note 28 on page 333.

Regulation in the UK is considerably shaped and influenced by EU directives and regulations. These provide the structure of the European Single Market, an important feature of which is the framework for the regulation of authorised firms. This framework is designed to enable a credit institution or investment firm authorised in one EU member state to conduct banking or investment business through the establishment of branches or by the provision of services on a cross-border basis in other member states without the need for local authorisation. Barclays operations in Europe are authorised and regulated by a combination of both home and host regulators.

Barclays operations in South Africa, including Barclays Africa Group Limited, are supervised and regulated by mainly the South African Reserve Bank (SARB), the Financial Services Board (FSB) as well as the Department of Trade and Industry (DTI). SARB oversees the banking industry and follows a risk-based approach to supervision, whilst the FSB oversees financial services such as insurance and investment business and focuses on enhancing consumer protection and regulating market conduct. The DTI regulates consumer credit through the National Credit Act (NCA) 2005, as well as other aspects of consumer protection not regulated under the jurisdiction of the FSB through the Consumer Protection Act (CPA) 2008. It is intended that regulatory responsibilities in South Africa will in future be divided between the SARB which will be responsible for prudential regulation and the FSB will be responsible for matters of market conduct. The precise timing for the move to 'twin peaks' regulation remains to be determined.

In the United States, Barclays PLC, Barclays Bank PLC and Barclays US subsidiaries are subject to a comprehensive regulatory framework involving numerous statutes, rules and regulations, including the International Banking Act of 1978, the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (BHC Act), the USA PATRIOT Act of 2001 and the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 (DFA). This framework regulates the activities of Barclays, including its US banking subsidiaries and the US branches of Barclays Bank PLC, as well as imposing prudential restrictions, such as limits on extensions of credit by the Barclays Bank PLC's US branches and the US banking subsidiaries to a single borrower and to affiliates. The New York and Florida branches of Barclays Bank PLC are subject to extensive federal and state supervision and regulation by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (FRB) and, as applicable, the New York State Department of Financial Services and the Florida Office of Financial Regulation. Barclays Bank Delaware, a Delaware chartered commercial bank, is subject to supervision and regulation by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC), the Delaware Office of the State Bank Commissioner and the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau. The deposits of Barclays Bank Delaware are insured by the FDIC. Barclays Wealth Trustees (US) NA is an uninsured non-depository trust company chartered and supervised by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency. The licensing authority of each US branch of Barclays Bank PLC has the authority, in certain circumstances, to take possession of the business and property of Barclays Bank PLC located in the state of the office it licenses or to revoke or suspend such licence. Such circumstances generally include violations of law, unsafe business practices and insolvency.

**Table of Contents**

[Risk review >](#)

Supervision and regulation continued

Barclays PLC and Barclays Bank PLC are bank holding companies registered with the FRB, which exercises umbrella supervisory authority over Barclays US operations. Barclays PLC and Barclays Bank PLC have each elected to be treated as a financial holding company under the BHC Act. Financial holding companies may generally engage in a broader range of financial and related activities than are permitted to registered bank holding companies that do not maintain financial holding company status, including underwriting and dealing in all types of securities. Financial holding companies such as Barclays PLC and Barclays Bank PLC are required to meet or exceed certain capital ratios and be deemed to be "well managed", and Barclays Bank Delaware and Barclays Wealth Trustees (US) NA are each required to meet certain capital requirements and be deemed to be "well managed". In addition, Barclays Bank Delaware must have at least a "satisfactory" rating under the Community Reinvestment Act of 1977 (CRA). Entities ceasing to meet any of these requirements, are allotted a period of time in which to restore capital levels or the management or CRA rating. Failure to meet such requirements during the allotted period of time may result in such institutions being prohibited from engaging in new types of financial activities or making certain acquisitions in the US. If the capital level or rating is not restored, the Group may ultimately be required by the FRB to cease certain activities in the United States. More generally, Barclays US activities and operations may be subject to other requirements and restrictions by the FRB under its supervisory authority, including with respect to safety and soundness.

Under the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, as amended by the DFA, Barclays is required to act as a source of financial strength for Barclays Bank Delaware. This could, among other things, require Barclays to inject capital into Barclays Bank Delaware if it fails to meet applicable regulatory capital requirements.

A major focus of US government policy relating to financial institutions in recent years has been combating money laundering and terrorist financing and enforcing compliance with US economic sanctions. Regulations applicable to US operations of Barclays Bank PLC and its subsidiaries impose obligations to maintain appropriate policies, procedures and controls to detect, prevent and report money laundering and terrorist financing and to ensure compliance with US economic sanctions against designated foreign countries, nationals and others. Failure of a financial institution to maintain and implement adequate programmes to combat money laundering and terrorist financing or to ensure economic sanction compliance could have serious legal and reputational consequences for the institution.

Barclays US securities broker/dealer, investment advisory and investment banking operations are also subject to ongoing supervision and regulation by the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (FINRA) and other government agencies and self-regulatory organisations (SROs) as part of a comprehensive scheme of regulation of all aspects of the securities and commodities business under the US federal and state securities laws. Similarly, Barclays US commodity futures and options-related operations are subject to ongoing supervision and regulation by the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CTFC), the National Futures Association and other SROs.

The credit card activities of the Group in the US are subject to the Credit Card Accountability, Responsibility and Disclosure Act of 2009 which prohibits certain pricing and marketing practices for consumer credit card accounts. Among the numerous provisions are those that prohibit increasing rates on existing balances and over limit fees in most instances, restrict increasing fees and rates prospectively, restrict what penalty fees can be assessed, regulate how payments are to be allocated to different balances and how the billing process is to work, and governs all communications to cardholders.

## Regulatory developments

The regulatory change generated by the financial crisis is having and will continue to have a substantial impact on all financial institutions, including the Group. Regulatory change is being pursued at a number of levels, globally notably through the G20, Financial Stability Board (FSB) and Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (BCBS), regionally through the European Union and nationally, especially in the UK and US. Further changes to prudential requirements and further refinements to the definitions of capital and liquid assets may affect the Group's planned activities and could increase costs and contribute to adverse impacts on the Group's earnings. Similarly, increased requirements in relation to capital markets activities and to market conduct requirements may affect the Group's planned activities and could increase costs and thereby contribute to adverse impacts on the Group's earnings.

## Global

The programme of reform of the global regulatory framework that was agreed by G20 Heads of Government in April 2009 has continued to be taken forward during 2013.

The FSB has been designated by the G20 as the body responsible for co-ordinating the delivery of the global reform programme. It has focused particularly on the risks posed by systemically important financial institutions. In 2011, G20 Heads of Government adopted FSB proposals to reform the regulation of globally systemically important financial institutions (G-SIFIs). A key element of this programme is that G-SIFIs should be capable of being resolved without recourse to taxpayer support. Barclays has been designated a G-SIFI by the FSB. G-SIFIs will be subject to a number of requirements, including additional loss absorption capacity above that required by Basel 3 standards (see below). The surcharges rise in increments from 1% to 2.5% of risk-weighted assets (with an empty category of 3.5% for institutions that increase the extent of the systemic risk they pose which is intended to discourage institutions from developing their business in a way that heightens their systemic nature). This additional buffer must be met with common equity.

In its November 2013 list of G-SIFIs, the FSB placed Barclays in a category that would require it to meet a 2% surcharge. The additional loss absorbency requirements will apply to those banks identified in November 2014 as globally systemically important and will be phased in starting in January 2016, with full implementation by January 2019. G-SIFIs must also meet the higher supervisory expectations for data aggregation capabilities by January 2016. In the EU the requirements for a systemic risk buffer will be implemented through the CRD.

The BCBS issued the final guidelines on Basel 3 capital and liquidity standards in June 2011, with revisions to counterparty credit risk in July and November 2011. It has continued to refine elements of this package through 2013, notably in relation to regulatory liquidity where revisions were agreed in January 2013 to the definitions of high quality liquid assets and net cash outflows for the purpose of calculating the Liquidity Coverage Ratio, as well as establishing a timetable for phasing-in the standard from January 2016. Amendments to the Basel 3 leverage ratio and liquidity frameworks were issued in January 2014. The requirements of Basel 3 as a whole are subject to a number of transitional provisions that run to the end of 2018. The Group is, however, primarily subject to the EU's implementation of the Basel 3 standard through the CRD (see below). An assessment of the likely impact of the Basel 3 capital, leverage and liquidity requirements as implemented by the CRD and interpreted by the PRA can be found in the analysis of funding risk in relation to capital and to liquidity (pages 199 to 224).



## Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

The BCBS also maintains a number of active work streams that will affect the Group. These include a fundamental review of the trading book where a second consultation on enhanced capital standards was issued in October 2013 and further work on large exposures. The Committee also continues to focus on the consistency of risk weighting of assets and explaining the variations between banks. A second consultation on enhanced standards was also held in relation to the

[232 > Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013](#)

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

## **Table of Contents**

securitisation framework. The results of this work are expected in 2014. In conjunction with the International Organization of Securities Commissions, the BCBS issued enhanced standards for margin requirements for non-centrally cleared derivatives in September. The BCBS also issued risk management guidelines related to anti-money laundering and terrorist financing in January 2014. These and other developments may further increase the capital required by the Group to transact affected business and/or affect the ability of the Group to undertake certain transactions.

## **European Union**

The EU continues to develop its regulatory structure in response to the financial and Eurozone crises. At the December 2012 meeting of EU Finance Ministers it was agreed to establish a single supervisory mechanism within the Eurozone. The European Central Bank (ECB) will have responsibility for the supervision of the most significant Eurozone credit institutions, financial holding companies or mixed financial holding companies. The ECB may extend its supervision to institutions of significant relevance that have established subsidiaries in more than one participating member state and with significant cross-border assets or liabilities. Following the passage of the regulation granting the ECB responsibility for banking supervision in the Eurozone in September 2013, it is expected that the single supervisory mechanism will become operational in autumn 2014.

Notwithstanding the new responsibilities of the ECB, the European Banking Authority (EBA) which came into being on 1 January 2011, along with the other European Supervisory Authorities, remains charged with the development of a single rulebook for the EU as a whole and with enhancing co-operation between national supervisory authorities. The European Securities Markets Authority (ESMA) has a similar role in relation to the capital markets and to banks and other firms doing investment and capital markets business. The progressive reduction of national discretion on the part of national regulatory authorities within the EU may lead to the elimination of prudential arrangements that have been agreed with those authorities. This may serve to increase or decrease the amount of capital and other resources that the Group is required to hold. The overall effect is not clear and may only become evident over a number of years. The EBA and ESMA each have the power to mediate between and override national authorities under certain circumstances. Responsibility for day to day supervision remains with national authorities and for banks, like the Group, that are incorporated in countries that will not participate in the single supervisory mechanism, is expected to remain so.

Basel 3 and (from 2016) the capital surcharge for systemic institutions have been implemented in the EU by the CRD. The CRD entered into force on 1 January 2014. Much of the implementation is expected to be done through binding technical standards being developed by the EBA, that are intended to ensure a harmonised application of rules through the EU which are still largely in the process of being developed and adopted. An assessment of the likely impact of the capital, leverage and liquidity requirements of CRD and CRR as interpreted by the PRA can be found in the analysis of funding risk in relation to capital and to liquidity (pages 199 to 207 in relation to capital and leverage and pages 208 to 224 in relation to liquidity).

A significant addition to the EU legislative framework for financial institutions is a directive establishing a framework for the recovery and resolution of credit institutions and investment firms. This Directive is intended to implement many of the requirements of the FSB's Key Attributes of Effective Resolution Regimes for Financial Institutions, and political agreement on it was reached by the European Parliament and Council in December 2013, although it has yet to complete the legislative process. The directive would give resolution authorities powers to intervene in and resolve a financial institution that is no longer viable, including through the transfers of business and creditor financed recapitalisation (bail-in within resolution) that allocates losses to shareholders and unsecured and uninsured creditors in their order of seniority, at a regulator determined point of non-viability that may precede insolvency. The concept of bail-in may affect the rights of

senior unsecured creditors subject to any bail-in in the event of a resolution of a failing bank. It also stipulates that firms would need a minimum percentage of liabilities in a form that allows them to be subject to bail-in. The proposal also requires the development of recovery and resolution plans at group and firm-level. The proposal sets out a harmonised set of resolution tools across the EU, including the power to impose a temporary stay on the rights of creditors to terminate, accelerate or close out contracts. There are also significant funding implications for financial institutions: the proposal envisages the establishment of pre-funded resolution funds of 1% of covered deposits to be built up over 10 years, although the proposal also envisages that national deposit guarantee schemes may be able to fulfil this function. The proposal is to be implemented by 1 January 2015, with the exception of the bail-in powers which must be implemented by 1 January 2016.

A proposal to amend the Directive on Deposit Guarantee Schemes is also being considered. The draft directive envisages that national schemes should be pre-funded, with a fund to be raised over a number of years. This would be a significant change for UK banks where levies are currently raised as needed after failure. An agreement between Council and Parliament remains to be finalised, but would envisage a fund of 0.8% of covered deposits to be built up over 10 years.

In relation to both resolution funds and the funds required by the Directive on Deposit Guarantee Schemes, there may be scope for the UK to use the Bank Levy to meet pre-funding obligations, although whether this will happen and the manner in which this might operate remains unclear.

In October 2012, a group of experts set up by the European Commission to consider possible reform of the structure of the EU banking sector presented its report. Among other things, the group recommended the mandatory separation of proprietary trading and other high-risk trading activities from other banking activities. The European Commission issued proposals to implement these recommendations in January 2014. These proposals would apply to G-SIFIs and envisage, among other things: (i) a ban on proprietary trading in financial instruments and commodities; (ii) giving supervisors the power and, in certain instances, the obligation to require the transfer of other trading activities deemed to be high risk to separate legal trading entities within the group; and (iii) rules on the economic, legal, governance, and operational links between the separated trading entity and the rest of the banking group. Contemporaneously, the European Commission also adopted proposals to enhance the transparency of shadow banking, especially in relation to securities financing transactions. These proposals have yet to be considered by the European Parliament and by the Council. Their impact, if they are adopted, remains to be determined.

The European Market Infrastructure Regulation (EMIR) introduces new requirements to improve transparency and reduce the risks associated with the derivatives market. These requirements come into force progressively through 2013 and 2014. When it enters fully into force, EMIR will require entities that enter into any form of derivative contract, including interest rate, foreign exchange, equity, credit and commodity derivatives, to: report every derivative contract that they enter to a trade repository; implement new risk management standards, including operational processes and margining, for all bilateral over-the-counter derivatives trades that are not cleared by a central counterparty; and clear, through a central counterparty, over-the-counter derivatives that are subject to a mandatory clearing obligation. EMIR has potential operational and financial impacts on the Group, including collateral requirements. Lower capital requirements for cleared trades are only available if the central counterparty is

## Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

recognised as a qualifying central counterparty which has been authorised or recognised under EMIR (in accordance with binding technical standards).

Proposals to amend the Markets in Financial Instruments Directive (known as MiFID II) were agreed in January 2014. These amendments

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

[Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 233](#)

---

**Table of Contents**[Risk review >](#)

## Supervision and regulation continued

take the form of a directive and a regulation and will affect many of the investment markets in which the Group operates and the instruments in which it trades, and how it transacts with market counterparties and other customers. Changes to the MiFID regime include the introduction of a new type of trading venue (the organised trading facility), to capture non-equity trading that falls outside the current regime. Investor protections have been strengthened, and new curbs imposed on high frequency and commodity trading. Pre- and post-trade transparency has been increased, and a new regime for third country firms introduced. The changes also include new requirements for non-discriminatory access to trading venues, central counterparties, and benchmarks, and harmonised supervisory powers and sanctions across the EU. Implementation is not expected until late 2016 and many of the provisions of MiFID II and its accompanying regulation will be implemented by means of technical standards to be drafted by ESMA. Some of the impacts on the Group will not be clear until these technical standards have been adopted.

**United Kingdom**

Developments in the UK have been dominated by the Financial Services Banking Reform Act 2013. The content and the impact of this legislation are discussed above. Secondary legislation and rule-making to implement both the Act and the recommendations of the Parliamentary Commission on Banking Standards will be anticipated through 2014.

As noted above, the FPC has a significant influence on prudential requirements. During 2013, the FPC continued to recommend that the PRA should seek to ensure that UK banks hold greater levels of capital. In particular, the FPC recommended that the PRA should apply higher capital requirements to any major bank or building society with concentrated exposure to vulnerable assets, where there were valuation uncertainties or where banks were highly leveraged relating to trading activities. This resulted in the PRA on 20 June 2013 requiring two firms, including Barclays, to submit plans to increase the Core Equity Tier 1 leverage ratio to 3% by end June 2014 after adjustment for prospective credit and conduct losses. Also responding to an FPC recommendation, the Bank of England and PRA are also developing an approach to annual stress testing of the UK banking system and the individual institutions within it. The first such exercise is planned for 2014.

Both the PRA and the FCA have continued to develop and apply a more assertive approach to supervision and the application of existing standards. This may include application of standards that either anticipate or go beyond requirements established by global or EU standards, whether in relation to capital, leverage and liquidity, resolvability and resolution of matters of conduct. In December 2013, the PRA published its requirements to implement the new European capital regime, clarifying key policy issues that affect the minimum level of Common Equity Tier 1 (CET1) capital which banks need to maintain. The PRA will require banks to meet a 4% Pillar 1 CET1 requirement in 2014, rising to 4.5% from 1 January 2015. Similarly, during the same period the required Pillar 1 Tier 1 capital ratio will be 5.5%, rising to 6% from 1 January 2015 onwards. The PRA will require UK banks to bring CET1 in line with the end-point definition from 1 January 2014 rather than benefiting from transitional arrangements. Additionally, the PRA will expect eight major UK banks and building societies including Barclays, to meet a 7% CET1 capital ratio and a 3% Tier 1 leverage ratio after taking into account adjustments to risk-weighted assets and CET1 capital deemed

necessary by the PRA from 1 January 2014, except where as in the case for Barclays the PRA has agreed a plan with the firm to meet the standards over a longer time frame. Barclays has agreed with the PRA that it will meet this requirement by end-June 2014 at the latest. Details of Barclays leverage calculation are set out on pages 205 and 206.

The FCA has retained an approach to enforcement based on credible deterrence that has continued to see significant growth in the size of regulatory fines. The FCA has focused strongly on conduct risk and on customer outcomes and will continue to do so. This has included a focus on the design and operation of products, the behaviour of

customers and the operation of markets. This may impact both the incidence of conduct costs and increase the cost of remediation. The Retail Distribution Review entered into force on 1 January 2013 and the Mortgage Market Review will apply from 26 April 2014. These conduct initiatives will affect the economics of investment advice and home finance provision respectively.

## United States

The DFA became law in July 2010. Although many of the DFA rules have been adopted and implemented, a number of rules have not yet been adopted, or have been adopted but not fully implemented. In addition, the rules that have been adopted and implemented have, for the most part, only recently become effective and their impact, in many cases, cannot yet be fully evaluated. Therefore, the full scale of the DFA's impact on the Group continues to remain unclear. In addition, market practices and structures may change in response to the requirements of the DFA in ways that are difficult to predict but that could impact Barclays business. Nonetheless, certain provisions of the DFA are particularly likely to have a significant effect on the Group, including:

j *Structural Reform:* On 18 February 2014, the FRB issued final rules implementing various enhanced prudential standards under Section 165 of the DFA applicable to certain foreign banking organisations and their US operations (i.e. branches, agencies, and subsidiaries), including Barclays.

The final rules specific requirements depend on the amount of assets of the foreign banking organisation both inside and outside the United States. Because its total US and non-US assets exceed \$50bn, Barclays would be subject to the most stringent requirements of the final rules, including the requirement to create a US intermediate holding company (IHC) structure to hold its US banking and non-banking subsidiaries, including Barclays Capital Inc. (the Group's US broker-dealer subsidiary). The IHC would be subject to supervision and regulation, including as to regulatory capital and stress testing as described below, by the FRB as if it were a US bank holding company of comparable size. While the operations and assets of Barclays Bank PLC's US branches would not be required to be held in the IHC, the branches would be subject to certain separate requirements.

Under the final rules, the consolidated IHC would be subject to a number of additional supervisory and prudential requirements, such as: (i) subject to certain limited exceptions, FRB regulatory capital requirements and leverage limits that are the same as those applicable to US banking organisations of comparable size; (ii) mandatory company-run and supervisory stress testing of capital levels and submission of a capital plan to the FRB; (iii) supervisory approval of and limitations on capital distributions by the IHC to Barclays Bank PLC; (iv) additional substantive liquidity requirements, including requirements to conduct monthly internal liquidity stress tests for the IHC (and also for Barclays Bank PLC's US branch network, separately), and to maintain in the US a 30-day buffer of highly liquid assets for the IHC (the branch liquidity buffer is set at 14 days); (v) other liquidity risk management requirements, including compliance with liquidity risk management standards established by the FRB and maintenance of an independent review function to review and evaluate regularly the adequacy and effectiveness of the liquidity risk management practices of Barclays combined US operations; (vi) overall risk management requirements, including a US risk committee and a US chief risk officer; and (vii) a potential 15:1 debt-to-equity limit applicable to the IHC in the event of the occurrence of a designation by the U.S. Financial Stability Oversight Council under Section 165(j) of the DFA that the foreign banking organisation poses a grave threat to the systemic stability of the

## Edgar Filing: BARCLAYS PLC - Form 6-K

United States and the debt-to-equity limit is necessary to mitigate such risk.

The effective date of the final rule is 1 June 2014, although compliance with most of its requirements will be phased-in between 2015 and 2018. More particularly, (i) Barclays will not be required to form its IHC until 1 July 2016, (ii) the IHC will not be subject to the US

[234 > Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013](#)

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

---

**Table of Contents**

generally applicable minimum leverage capital requirement (which is different than the Basel III international leverage ratio, including to the extent that the generally applicable US leverage ratio does not include off-balance sheet exposures) until 1 January 2018, and (iii) the IHC will first be required to make the aforementioned capital plan submission and be subject to the company-run and supervisory capital stress testing requirements of the final rules in January 2018.

In addition, Barclays US IHC will also be separately subject to the supplementary US version of the Basel III international minimum leverage capital requirement starting in 2018 to the extent the IHC has over \$250bn of total consolidated assets or \$10bn in total non-US exposures. In light of the recent release of the final rules, Barclays continues to evaluate their implications for Barclays. Nevertheless, the Group currently believes that, in the aggregate, the final rules (and, in particular, the leverage requirements in the final rules that will ultimately become applicable to the IHC) are likely to increase the operational costs and capital requirements and/or require changes to the business mix of Barclays US operations, which ultimately may have an adverse effect on the Group's overall result of operations.

Finally, the final rules did not implement the single counterparty credit exposure limits or the early remediation framework that were originally proposed in 2012. The FRB expects to implement such provisions at a later date.

- i *Other enhanced prudential requirements:* In addition to the requirements that would be implemented under the above final rules described under *Structural Reform*, the DFA and other US laws and regulations also impose higher capital, liquidity and leverage requirements on US banks and bank holding companies generally. As discussed above, these requirements will also be generally applicable to the IHC and Barclays US operations held by it.
- i *Restrictions on proprietary trading and fund-related activities:* In December 2013, the relevant US regulatory agencies, including the FRB, the FDIC, the SEC and the CFTC, finalised the rules implementing the requirements of Section 619 of the DFA – the so-called *Volcker Rule*. The Volcker Rule, once fully effective, will prohibit banking entities, including Barclays PLC, Barclays Bank PLC and their various subsidiaries and affiliates from undertaking certain *proprietary trading* (but will allow activities such as underwriting, market making and risk-mitigation hedging) and will limit the sponsorship of, and investment in, private equity funds (including non-conforming real estate and credit funds) and hedge funds, in each case broadly defined, by such entities. These restrictions are subject to certain exceptions and exemptions, including those listed above as well as exemptions applicable to transactions and investments occurring solely outside of the United States. The rules will require Barclays to develop an extensive compliance and monitoring programme (both inside and outside of the United States), subject to various executive officer attestation requirements, addressing proprietary trading and covered fund activities, and it is therefore expected that compliance costs will increase. The final rules are highly complex and differ in certain significant respects from the rules as proposed in October 2011. As such, their full impact will not be known with certainty until market practices and structures develop under them. Subject entities are generally required to be in



compliance by July 2015 (with certain provisions subject to possible extensions).

- Resolution plans:* The DFA requires bank holding companies with total consolidated assets of \$50bn or more to submit to the FRB and the FDIC, and regularly update, a plan for rapid and orderly resolution to be used if the company experiences material financial distress or failure. Non-US banking organisations that are treated as bank holding companies under US law, such as Barclays, are required to submit such plans with respect to their US operations if they have more than \$50bn in US assets. As Barclays' US assets exceed \$250bn, it submitted its most recent annual resolution plan as required in October 2013.
- Regulation of derivatives markets:* Among the changes mandated by the DFA are that many types of derivatives now (or previously) traded in the over-the-counter markets be traded on an exchange or swap execution facility and centrally cleared through a regulated clearing house. In addition, many participants in these markets are required to register with the CFTC as swap dealers or major swap participants and/or with the US SEC as securities swap dealers or major securities swap dealers and be subject to CFTC and SEC regulation and oversight. It is anticipated that additional participants in the derivatives markets will be required to register in the future. Barclays Bank PLC has registered as a swap dealer. Entities required to register are subject to business conduct, recordkeeping and reporting requirements and will be subject to capital and margin requirements. The DFA also requires most standardised derivatives to be traded on a regulated platform and cleared through a regulated clearing house. In addition, the CFTC, pursuant to the DFA, has proposed rules on position limits on derivatives on physical commodities. Once adopted and implemented, these rules will limit the size of positions that can be held by an entity, or a group of entities under common ownership or control, in futures and over-the-counter derivatives, subject to certain exemptions. These rules could restrict trading activity, reducing trading opportunities and market liquidity and potentially increasing the cost of hedging transactions and the volatility of the relevant markets. It is also possible that registration, execution, clearing and compliance requirements as well as other additional regulations (certain of which still are not final), and the related expenses and requirements, will increase the cost of and restrict participation in the derivative markets, thereby increasing the costs of engaging in hedging or other transactions and reducing liquidity and the use of the derivative markets. Barclays Bank PLC and its subsidiaries and affiliates may be exposed to these effects whether or not these subsidiaries are required to register in the capacities described. The new regulation of the derivative markets could adversely affect the business of Barclays Bank PLC and its affiliates in these markets and could make it more difficult and expensive to conduct hedging and trading activities.
- Risk retention requirements for securitisations:* The US federal banking agencies are required by the DFA to develop rules whereby, subject to certain exceptions, any sponsor of an asset-backed security (ABS) transaction must retain, generally, not less than 5% of the credit risk of any asset that the sponsor, through the issuance of ABS, transfers, sells or conveys to a third party. This may impact the participation by the Group's US operations in such transactions.
- The Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection (CFPB):* The CFPB is empowered to regulate the credit card industry, including the terms of credit card agreements with consumers, disclosures and fees. Actions by the CFPB in this area are likely to impact the Group's US credit card business. The CFPB became operational in July 2011, and has developed a model credit card disclosure form and is accepting consumer credit card complaints. More broadly, the CFPB has the authority to examine and take enforcement action against any US bank with over \$10bn in total assets, such as Barclays Bank Delaware, with respect to its compliance with Federal laws regulating the provision of consumer financial services and with respect to unfair, deceptive or abusive acts and practices. Since becoming operational, the CFPB has initiated several high-profile public actions against financial companies, including major credit card issuers. Settlements of those actions have included monetary penalties, customer remediation

requirements and commitments to modify business practices.

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

[Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013 > 235](#)

**Table of Contents**

236 > [Barclays PLC Annual Report 2013](#)

[barclays.com/annualreport](http://barclays.com/annualreport)

**Table of Contents**

**[Financial review>](#)**

**Contents**

**[A review of the performance of Barclays, including the key performance indicators, and our businesses contribution to the overall performance of the Group.](#)**

Key performance indicators	238
Consolidated summary income statement	245
Income statement commentary	246
Consolidated summary balance sheet	247
Balance sheet commentary	248
Analysis of results by business	249
Table of Contents	604

Margins and balances review

267