

CATERPILLAR INC
Form PRE 14A
April 09, 2010

OMB APPROVAL
OMB Number: 3235-0059
Expires: January 31, 2008
Estimated average
burden hours per
response 14

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE
COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of
the Securities
Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant: X

Filed by a Party other than
the Registrant:

Check the appropriate box:

X

Preliminary Proxy Statement

Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule
14a-6(e)(2))

Definitive Proxy Statement

Definitive Additional Materials

Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

Caterpillar Inc.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than
the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

X

No fee required.

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

- (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
- (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
- (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
- (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
- (5) Total fee paid:

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

- (1) Amount Previously Paid:
- (2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
- (3) Filing Party:
- (4) Date Filed:

SEC 1913 Persons who are to respond to the collection of information contained in this form are not required to (04-05) respond unless the form displays a currently valid OMB control number.

100 NE Adams Street
Peoria, Illinois 61629

Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders
Wednesday, June 9, 2010
1:30 p.m. — Central Daylight Time

Northern Trust Building
50 South LaSalle Street
Chicago, Illinois 60675

April 30, 2010

Dear fellow stockholder:

On behalf of the board of directors (Board), you are cordially invited to attend the 2010 Caterpillar Inc. annual meeting of stockholders (Annual Meeting) to:

- § Elect directors;
- § Ratify our Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm;
- § Act on Company proposals;
- § Act on properly presented stockholder proposals; and
- § Conduct any other business properly brought before the meeting.

We have elected to furnish proxy materials for the Annual Meeting to stockholders via the Internet. We believe the use of the Securities and Exchange Commission’s e-proxy rule will expedite stockholders’ receipt of the proxy materials, lower the costs and reduce the environmental impact of our Annual Meeting. On April 30, 2010, we mailed a notice to most stockholders containing instructions on how to access the proxy materials and vote online. All other stockholders were sent a copy of the proxy materials by mail or e-mail. See page 4 of this proxy statement for more information on e-proxy and instructions on how you can (i) receive a paper copy of the proxy materials if you received a notice by mail, or (ii) elect to receive your proxy materials over the Internet or by e-mail, if you received them by mail this year.

You must have an admission ticket to attend the Annual Meeting. Procedures for requesting an admission ticket are detailed on page 74 of this proxy statement. Attendance and voting is limited to stockholders of record at the close of business on April 12, 2010.

Sincerely yours,

James W. Owens
Chairman

Page 1

Table of Contents

Part One – Information about E-proxy, Meeting Attendance and Voting Matters

Internet Availability of Proxy Materials

Frequently Asked Questions Regarding Meeting Attendance and Voting

Part Two – Corporate Governance Information

Corporate Governance Guidelines

Composition of the Board

Related Party Transaction Approval Process

Director Independence Determinations

Process for Identifying and Evaluating Potential Directors

Board Leadership Structure

Board’s Role in Risk Oversight

Board Meetings and Committees

Communication with the Board

Code of Ethics

Audit Committee Report

Audit Fees and Approval Process

Governance Committee

Part Three – Proposals to be Voted on at the 2010 Annual Meeting

Company Proposals

Proposal 1 –

Election of Directors

Proposal 2 –

	<u>Ratification of our Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>
<u>Proposal 3</u> –	<u>Amend 2006 Long-Term Incentive Plan</u>
<u>Proposal 4</u> –	<u>Amend Restated Certificate of Incorporation and Bylaws to Provide for Annual Election of Directors</u>
<u>Proposal 5</u> –	<u>Amend Restated Certificate of Incorporation and Bylaws to Eliminate Supermajority Voting Requirements</u>

Page 2

Stockholder Proposals

<u>Proposal 6</u> –	<u>Independent Chairman of the Board Caterpillar Response</u>
<u>Proposal 7</u> –	<u>Review of Global Corporate Standards Caterpillar Response</u>
<u>Proposal 8</u> –	<u>Special Stockholder Meetings Caterpillar Response</u>

Part Four – Other Important Information

[Persons Owning More than Five Percent of Caterpillar Common Stock](#)
[Caterpillar Common Stock Owned by Executive Officers and Directors](#)
[Compensation](#)

[Compensation Discussion and Analysis](#)
[Compensation Committee Report](#)
[Executive Compensation Tables](#)
[Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control](#)
[Director Compensation](#)
[Compensation Risk](#)

Other Matters

[Section 16\(a\) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance](#)
[Director Legal Proceedings](#)
[Matters Raised at the Meeting not Included in this Statement](#)
[Admission and Ticket Request Procedure](#)
[Appendix A – Proposed Amendments to 2006 Long-Term Incentive Plan](#)
[Appendix B – Proposed Amendments to Restated Certificate of Incorporation](#)
[Appendix C – Proposed Amendments to Bylaws](#)

Page 3

PART ONE — Information about E-proxy, Meeting Attendance and Voting Matters

Internet Availability of Proxy Materials

As permitted by e-proxy rules adopted by the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), Caterpillar Inc. (Caterpillar, the Company, we or us) is providing, in most cases, the proxy materials for its Annual Meeting electronically via the Internet or e-mail. On April 30, 2010, we initiated delivery of proxy materials to our stockholders of record as of the close of business on April 12, 2010 in one of three ways: 1) a notice containing

instructions on how to access proxy materials via the Internet (Internet Notice), 2) a paper copy mailing (Paper Mailing) or 3) an e-mail distribution. If you received an Internet Notice, you will not receive a printed copy of the proxy materials in the mail. Instead, the Internet Notice provides instructions on how to access the proxy materials and vote online or by telephone. If you received an Internet Notice and would like to receive a printed copy of the proxy materials or elect to receive the materials via e-mail in the future, please follow the instructions included in the Internet Notice. If you received a Paper Mailing and would like to register to receive an Internet Notice or an e-mail regarding availability of proxy materials in the future, you can do so by any of the following methods:

§ Internet – Go to www.eproxyaccess.com/cat2010 and follow the registration instructions.

§ Telephone – From within the United States or Canada, call us free of charge at 1-888-216-1363.

§ E-mail – Send us an e-mail at cat@eproxyaccess.com. Include the control number from your Paper Mailing as the subject line, and indicate whether you wish to receive a paper or e-mail copy of the proxy materials and whether your request is for this meeting only or for all future meetings.

Frequently Asked Questions Regarding Meeting Attendance and Voting

Q: Why am I receiving these proxy materials?

A: You have received these proxy materials because you are a Caterpillar stockholder, and Caterpillar's Board is soliciting your authority or proxy to vote your shares at the Annual Meeting. This proxy statement includes information that we are required to provide to you under SEC rules and is designed to assist you in voting your shares.

Q: What is e-proxy and why did Caterpillar choose to use it this year?

A: SEC rules allow companies to choose the method for delivery of proxy materials to stockholders. For most stockholders, we have elected to send an Internet Notice, rather than mailing a full set of proxy materials. We believe that this method of delivery will expedite your receipt of proxy materials and lower the costs and reduce the environmental impact of our Annual Meeting.

Q: Why didn't I receive an "annual report" or sustainability report with my proxy materials?

A: Our 2009 "Year in Review" and 2009 "Sustainability Report" are available exclusively online at www.CAT.com/investor. The online, interactive format of the reports furthers our efforts to lower costs and reduce the environmental impact of our communications. As required by SEC rules, complete financial statements, financial statement notes and management's discussion and analysis for 2009 are included as Appendix D to the proxy statement.

Page 4

Q: How do I obtain an admission ticket to attend the Annual Meeting?

A:

Anyone wishing to attend the Annual Meeting must have an admission ticket issued in his or her name. Admission is limited to:

§ Stockholders of record on April 12, 2010 and one immediate family member;

§ An authorized proxy holder of a stockholder of record on April 12, 2010; or

§ An authorized representative of a stockholder of record who has been designated to present a stockholder proposal.

You must provide evidence of your ownership of shares with your ticket request and follow the requirements for obtaining an admission ticket specified in the “Admission and Ticket Request Procedure” on page 74. Accredited members of the media and analysts are also permitted to attend the Annual Meeting pursuant to the directions provided in the “Admission and Ticket Request Procedure” on page 74.

Q: What is a stockholder of record?

A: A stockholder of record or registered stockholder is a stockholder whose ownership of Caterpillar stock is reflected directly on the books and records of our transfer agent, BNY Mellon Shareowner Services (Transfer Agent). If you hold stock through a bank, broker or other intermediary, you hold your shares in “street name” and are not a stockholder of record. For shares held in street name, the stockholder of record is your bank, broker or other intermediary. Caterpillar only has access to ownership records for the stockholders of record. So, if you are not a stockholder of record, the Company needs additional documentation to evidence your stock ownership as of the record date – such as a copy of your brokerage account statement, a letter from your broker, bank or other nominee or a copy of your voting instruction card.

Q: When is the record date and who is entitled to vote?

A: The Board set April 12, 2010 as the record date for the Annual Meeting. Holders of Caterpillar common stock on that date are entitled to one vote per share. As of April 12, 2010, there were [] shares of Caterpillar common stock outstanding.

A list of all registered stockholders will be available for examination by stockholders during normal business hours at 100 NE Adams Street, Peoria, Illinois 61629, at least ten days prior to the Annual Meeting and will also be available for examination at the Annual Meeting.

Q: How do I vote?

A: You may vote by any of the following methods:

§ In person – Stockholders of record and stockholders with shares held in street name that obtain an admission ticket and attend the Annual Meeting will receive a ballot for voting. If you hold shares in street name, you must also obtain a legal proxy from your broker to vote in person and submit the proxy along with your ballot at the meeting.

§ By mail – Signing and returning the proxy and/or voting instruction card provided.

§ By phone or via the Internet – Following the instructions on your Internet Notice, proxy and/or voting instruction card or e-mail notice.

If you vote by phone or the Internet, please have your Internet Notice, proxy and/or voting instruction card or e-mail notice available. The control number appearing on your Internet Notice, proxy and/or voting instruction card or e-mail notice is necessary to process your vote. A phone or Internet vote authorizes the named proxies in the same manner as if you marked, signed and returned the card by mail.

Page 5

Q: Why is it so important that I vote my shares?

A: We value your input on questions facing the Company. Please note that if you hold your shares through a broker in street name, beginning this year, New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) rules will not permit your broker to vote your shares in the election of directors without your instruction. Your failure to vote this year may require us to incur additional solicitation costs. In addition, your voice can be heard on important Company matters when you vote. Whether or not you plan to attend the Annual Meeting, we encourage you to vote your shares promptly so that we can avoid additional costs.

Q: What are “broker non-votes” and why is it so important that I submit my voting instructions for shares I hold in street name?

A: Under the rules of the NYSE, if a broker or other financial institution holds your shares in its name and you do not provide your voting instructions to them, that firm has discretion to vote your shares for certain routine matters. For example, Company Proposal 2, the ratification of the appointment of our independent registered public accounting firm, is a routine matter.

On the other hand, the broker or other financial institution that holds your shares in its name does not have discretion to vote your shares for non-routine matters, including stockholder proposals. When a broker votes a client’s shares on some but not all of the proposals at the Annual Meeting, the missing votes are referred to as “broker non-votes.”

Q: How can I authorize someone else to attend the meeting or vote for me?

A: Stockholders of record can authorize someone other than the individual(s) named on the proxy and/or voting instruction card to vote on their behalf by crossing out the individual(s) named on the card and inserting the name of the individual being authorized or by providing a written authorization to the individual being authorized to attend or vote.

Street name holders can contact their broker to obtain documentation with authorization to attend or vote at the meeting.

To obtain an admission ticket for an authorized proxy representative, see the requirements specified in the "Admission and Ticket Request Procedure" on page 74.

Q: How can I change or revoke my vote?

A: For stockholders of record: You may change or revoke your vote by submitting a written notice of revocation to Caterpillar Inc. c/o Corporate Secretary at 100 NE Adams Street, Peoria, Illinois 61629 or by validly submitting another vote on or before June 9, 2010 (including a vote via the Internet or by telephone). For all methods of voting, the last vote cast will supersede all previous votes.

For holders in street name: You may change or revoke your voting instructions by following the specific directions provided to you by your bank or broker.

Q: Is my vote confidential?

A: Yes. Proxy cards, ballots, Internet and telephone votes that identify stockholders are kept confidential. There are exceptions for contested proxy solicitations or when necessary to meet legal requirements. Innisfree M&A Incorporated (Innisfree), the independent proxy tabulator used by Caterpillar, counts the votes and acts as the inspector of election for the Annual Meeting.

Page 6

Q: What is the quorum for the meeting?

A: A quorum of stockholders is necessary to hold a valid meeting. For Caterpillar, at least one-third of all stockholders must be present in person or by proxy at the Annual Meeting to constitute a quorum. Abstentions and broker non-votes are counted as present for establishing a quorum.

Q: What vote is necessary for action to be taken on proposals?

A:

Directors are elected by a plurality vote of the shares present at the meeting, meaning that director nominees with the most affirmative votes are elected to fill the available seats. Company proposals to amend the Restated Certificate of Incorporation and Bylaws require the affirmative vote of no less than 75 percent of the outstanding shares. All other actions presented for a vote of the stockholders at the Annual Meeting require an affirmative vote of the majority of shares present or represented at the meeting and entitled to vote. Abstentions will have the effect of a vote against matters other than director elections. Broker non-votes will not have an effect on any proposals presented for your vote.

Votes submitted by mail, telephone or Internet will be voted by the individuals named on the card (or the individual properly authorized) in the manner indicated. If you do not specify how you want your shares voted, they will be voted in accordance with the Board's recommendations. If you hold shares in more than one account, you must vote each proxy and/or voting instruction card you receive to ensure that all shares you own are voted.

Q: When are stockholder proposals due for the 2011 annual meeting?

A: To be considered for inclusion in the Company's 2011 proxy statement, stockholder proposals must be received in writing no later than January 1, 2011. Stockholder proposals should be sent to Caterpillar Inc. by mail c/o Corporate Secretary at 100 NE Adams Street, Peoria, Illinois 61629. Additionally, we request that you also forward all stockholder proposals via facsimile to the following facsimile number: 309-494-1467.

Q: What does it mean if I receive more than one proxy card?

A: Whenever possible, registered shares and plan shares for multiple accounts with the same registration will be combined into the same card. Shares with different registrations cannot be combined and as a result, you may receive more than one proxy card. For example, registered shares held individually by John Smith will not be combined on the same proxy card as registered shares held jointly by John Smith and his wife.

Street shares are not combined with registered or plan shares and may result in the stockholder receiving more than one proxy card. For example, street shares held by a broker for John Smith will not be combined with registered shares for John Smith.

If you hold shares in more than one account, you must vote for each notice, proxy and/or voting instruction card or e-mail notification you receive that has a unique control number to ensure that all shares you own are voted.

If you receive more than one card for accounts that you believe could be combined because the registration is the same, contact our Transfer Agent (for registered shares) or your broker (for street shares) to request that the accounts be combined for future mailings.

Q: Who pays for the solicitation of proxies?

A: Caterpillar pays the cost of soliciting proxies on behalf of the Board. This solicitation is being made by mail, but also may be made by telephone or in person. We have hired

Innisfree to assist in the solicitation. We will pay Innisfree a fee of \$15,000 for these services, and will reimburse their out-of-pocket expenses. We will reimburse brokerage firms and other custodians, nominees and fiduciaries for their reasonable out-of-pocket expenses for sending proxy materials to stockholders and obtaining their votes.

Page 7

Q: Are there any matters to be voted on at the Annual Meeting that are not included in this proxy statement?

A: We do not know of any matters to be voted on by stockholders at the meeting other than those discussed in this proxy statement. If any other matter is properly presented at the Annual Meeting, proxy holders will vote on the matter in their discretion.

Under Caterpillar's Bylaws, a stockholder may bring a matter to vote at the Annual Meeting by giving adequate notice to Caterpillar Inc. by mail c/o Corporate Secretary at 100 NE Adams Street, Peoria, Illinois 61629. To qualify as adequate, the notice must contain the information specified in our Bylaws and be received by us not less than 45 days nor more than 90 days prior to the Annual Meeting. However, if less than 60 days' notice of the Annual Meeting date is given to stockholders, notice of a matter to be brought before the Annual Meeting may be provided to us up to the 15th day following the date the notice of the Annual Meeting was provided.

Q: Can I submit a question in advance of the Annual Meeting?

A: Stockholders wishing to submit a question for consideration in advance of the Annual Meeting may do so by sending an e-mail to the Corporate Secretary at Directors@CAT.com or by mail to Caterpillar Inc. c/o Corporate Secretary at 100 NE Adams Street, Peoria, Illinois 61629. At the Annual Meeting, the Chairman will alternate taking live questions with questions submitted in advance, if any.

PART TWO — Corporate Governance Information

Corporate Governance Guidelines

Our Board has adopted Guidelines on Corporate Governance Issues (Corporate Governance Guidelines), which are available on our Internet site at www.CAT.com/governance. The Corporate Governance Guidelines reflect the Board's commitment to oversee the effectiveness of policy and decision-making both at the Board and management level, with a view to enhancing stockholder value over the long term.

Composition of the Board

Structure

As of the date of this proxy statement, our Board consists of 15 directors and is divided into three classes for election purposes. One class is elected at each annual meeting to serve for a three-year term.

In response to prior stockholder proposals, the Board has decided to recommend Company Proposal 4 to declassify the Board. If at least 75 percent of the outstanding shares of the Company are voted in favor of Company Proposal 4 to amend our Restated Certificate of Incorporation and Bylaws to declassify the Board, each director elected at the Annual Meeting will hold office until the 2011 annual meeting. At the 2011 annual meeting, all of our currently serving directors' terms would automatically expire, and all directors would stand for election at the 2011 annual meeting and on an annual basis thereafter.

If fewer than 75 percent of the outstanding shares of the Company are voted in favor of Company Proposal 4, there will be no change to our directors' terms of office or to the class structure of the Board and directors elected at the Annual Meeting will hold office for a three-year term expiring at the 2013 annual meeting. Directors in the other two classes will continue in office for the remainder of their terms.

If a nominee is unavailable for election, proxy holders will vote for another nominee proposed by the Board or, as an alternative, the Board may reduce the number of directors to be elected at the Annual Meeting.

Page 8

At its June 9, 2010 meeting, the Board is expected to elect Douglas R. Oberhelman as a director of the Company, effective on July 1, 2010. Mr. Oberhelman's election to the Board is expected to occur in connection with his appointment as Chief Executive Officer (CEO) of the Company. Mr. Oberhelman is not expected to serve on any Board committees and will be a Class II director. His business experience and recent directorships are provided with his description as a Class II director below. When Mr. Oberhelman is elected as a director, the Board will have 16 directors.

The Board has determined that, with the exception of Mr. Owens, all directors are independent as determined under NYSE listing standards and the standards described under "Director Independence Determinations" on page 14.

The Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines require a director to tender his or her resignation upon a significant change in business or personal circumstances. Accordingly, on November 10, 2009, Gail D. Fosler submitted a letter of resignation from her position as a director following her resignation as president of The Conference Board. On November 19, 2009, John R. Brazil also submitted a letter of resignation from his position as a director following his retirement as president of Trinity University. On February 10, 2010, the Board accepted Ms. Fosler's and Mr. Brazil's resignations, effective upon the expiration of their current terms as Class I directors on the date of the Company's annual meeting of stockholders in 2011.

The current composition of the Board classes is as follows:

Director Biographies and Qualifications

Class I – Directors with terms expiring in 2011

§ W. FRANK BLOUNT, 71, Chairman and CEO of JI Ventures, Inc. (venture capital) and former Chairman and CEO of TTS, Inc. (private equity firm). Other current directorships: Alcatel-Lucent S.A.; Entergy Corporation; and KBR, Inc. Other directorships within the last five years: Adtran Inc. and Hanson PLC. Mr. Blount has been a director of the Company since 1995.

The Board believes that Mr. Blount's international business experience allows him to provide important insights for the Company's management and execution of its strategic plans. His experience as former Group President of AT&T, Inc. and former CEO of Telstra, Inc. of Australia and as a director for other large, publicly-traded multinational corporations enables him to provide meaningful input and guidance to the Board and the Company.

Mr. Blount will turn 72 this year and is expected to retire from the Board on or before December 31, 2010, pursuant to the mandatory director retirement age set forth in our Corporate Governance Guidelines.

§JOHN R. BRAZIL, 64, former President of Trinity University (San Antonio, Texas). Other current directorships: none. Other directorships within the last five years: none. Dr. Brazil has been a director of the Company since 1998.

The Board believes that Dr. Brazil's role as a thought leader in education and academia bring important insights and diverse viewpoints to the Board. His experience as a university president and prior service as a director of a large utility corporation and several banks also enables him to provide meaningful input and guidance to the Board and the Company.

§EUGENE V. FIFE, 69, Managing Principal of Vawter Capital LLC (private investment). Mr. Fife served as the interim CEO and President of Eclipsys Corporation (healthcare information services) from April to November of 2005 and currently serves as the non-executive Chairman. Other current directorships: Eclipsys Corporation. Other directorships within the last five years: none. Mr. Fife has been a director of the Company since 2002.

The Board believes that Mr. Fife's investment banking experience bolsters the expertise of the Board and adds important insights for the Company's growth strategy. His financial expertise and experience are important considerations for the Board. Additionally, his experiences as a chief executive officer and director of large, publicly-traded multinational corporations enables him to provide meaningful input and guidance to the Board and the Company.

Page 9

§GAIL D. FOSLER, 62, Senior Advisor of The Conference Board (research and business membership). Prior to her current position, Ms. Fosler has served as President, Trustee, Executive Vice President, Senior Vice President and Chief Economist of The Conference Board. Other current directorships: Baxter International Inc. Other directorships within the last five years: DBS Group Holdings Ltd. and Unisys Corporation. Ms. Fosler has been a director of the Company since 2003.

The Board believes that Ms. Fosler's knowledge of corporate best practices and global view of economic trends, especially her knowledge of emerging markets, is important to the Company's management and strategic plans. Her insight and expertise as a leading economic forecaster and advisor provides the Board with additional insight into long-term macro-economic planning. Her financial expertise and experience as a director at other large, publicly-traded multinational corporations enables her to provide meaningful input and guidance to the Board and the Company.

§PETER A. MAGOWAN, 68, former President and Managing General Partner of the San Francisco Giants (major league baseball team). Other current directorships: none. Directorships within the last five years: DaimlerChrysler AG, Safeway Inc. and Spring Group plc. Mr. Magowan has been a director of the Company since 1993.

The Board believes that Mr. Magowan's business experience as a long-term chief executive officer of Safeway Inc., a large, publicly-traded multinational corporation, is particularly valuable to the Board. His experience in owning and

managing a professional baseball organization also provides a diverse viewpoint on business matters. In addition, his experience as a director of other large, publicly-traded multinational corporations enables him to provide meaningful input and guidance to the Board and the Company.

Class II – Directors with terms expiring in 2012

§ DANIEL M. DICKINSON, 48, Managing Partner of Thayer I Hidden Creek (private equity investment). Other current directorships: IESI-BFC Ltd. and Mistras Group, Inc. Other directorships within the last five years: none. Mr. Dickinson has been a director of the Company since 2006.

The Board believes that Mr. Dickinson's experience in mergers and acquisitions, private equity business and role as an investment banker provide important insight for the Company's growth strategy. His significant financial expertise and experience, both in the U.S. and internationally, contributes to the Board's understanding and ability to analyze complex issues. His experience as a director of large, publicly-traded multinational corporations enables him to provide meaningful input and guidance to the Board and the Company.

§ DAVID R. GOODE, 69, former Chairman, President and CEO of Norfolk Southern Corporation (holding company engaged principally in surface transportation). Other current directorships: Delta Air Lines, Inc. and Texas Instruments Incorporated. Other directorships within the last five years: Norfolk Southern Corporation and Georgia-Pacific Corporation. Mr. Goode has been a director of the Company since 1993.

The Board believes that Mr. Goode's experience in the transportation and railroad industry provide valuable expertise to the Board. His extensive experience in a capital-intensive industry enables him to make important contributions to the Company's growth strategy. In addition, his experience as a chief executive officer and director of large, publicly-traded multinational corporations enables him to provide meaningful input and guidance to the Board and the Company.

§ DOUGLAS R. OBERHELMAN (effective July 1, 2010), 57, Vice Chairman and Chief Executive Officer-Elect and Group President of Caterpillar Inc. (machinery, engines and financial products). Mr. Oberhelman has been a Group President since January 1, 2001. Prior to becoming Group President, Mr. Oberhelman served as vice president with responsibility for the Engine Products Division. Other current directorships: Ameren Corporation and Eli Lilly and Company. As previously announced, Mr. Oberhelman will not stand for re-election to the Ameren board and will step down as a director effective April 27, 2010. Other directorships within the last five years: none.

The Board believes that Mr. Oberhelman's extensive experience and knowledge of the Company is valuable to the Board. He has worked at the Company for over 30 years and is familiar with its business and strategy. His knowledge of the business and background in finance enables him to provide meaningful input and guidance to the Board and the Company.

As previously announced, Mr. Oberhelman will become CEO and a member of the Board effective July 1, 2010.

§ JAMES W. OWENS, 64, Chairman and CEO of Caterpillar Inc. (machinery, engines and financial products). Prior to his current position, Mr. Owens served as Vice Chairman of Caterpillar. Other current directorships: Alcoa Inc. and International Business Machines Corporation. Other directorships within the last five years: none. Mr. Owens

has been a director of the Company since 2004.

The Board believes that Mr. Owens' extensive experience and knowledge of the Company is valuable to the Board. He has worked at the Company for over 35 years and is familiar with its business and strategy. His knowledge of the business and background in economics, coupled with his experience as a director of large, publicly-traded multinational corporations enables him to provide meaningful input and guidance to the Board and the Company.

Mr. Owens previously announced that he expects to retire as Chairman of the Board effective October 31, 2010.

§ CHARLES D. POWELL, 68, Chairman of Capital Generation Partners (asset and investment management), LVMH Services Limited (luxury goods) and Magna Holdings (real estate investment). Prior to his current positions, Lord Powell was Chairman of Sagitta Asset Management Limited (asset management). Other current directorships: LVMH Moët-Hennessy Louis Vuitton and Textron Corporation. Other directorships within the last five years: none. Lord Powell has been a director of the Company since 2001.

The Board believes that Lord Powell's substantial knowledge of international affairs and business expertise are important to the Board. His trade, public and governmental affairs and international experience is also valued by the Board. In addition, his role as a director of large, publicly-traded multinational corporations enables him to provide meaningful input and guidance to the Board and the Company.

§ JOSHUA I. SMITH, 69, Chairman and Managing Partner of the Coaching Group, LLC (management consulting). Other current directorships: Comprehensive Care Corporation, FedEx Corporation and The Allstate Corporation. Other directorships within the last five years: CardioComm Solutions Inc. Mr. Smith has been a director of the Company since 1993.

The Board believes that Mr. Smith's experience in management consulting and business leadership provides important guidance to the Board. His experience as the Chairman of the U.S. Commission on Minority Business Development, Maryland Small Business Development Finance Authority and as a member of the board of directors of the U.S. Chamber of Commerce provides valued insights on diversity issues. In addition, his experience as the founder and chief executive officer of his own business and role as a director of other large, publicly-traded multinational corporations enables him to provide meaningful input and guidance to the Board and the Company.

Class III – Directors nominated for election at the Annual Meeting

§ JOHN T. DILLON, 71, Senior Managing Director and former Vice Chairman of Evercore Partners (advisory and investment firm). Other current directorships: E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company and Kellogg Co. Other directorships within the last five years: Vertis Inc. Mr. Dillon has been a director of the Company since 1997.

The Board believes that Mr. Dillon's prior experience as a chief executive officer of a large, publicly-traded multinational corporation, knowledge of the forest products industry and experience with trade-related issues is particularly valuable to the Board. In addition, his financial expertise and experience as a director of large, publicly-traded multinational corporations enables him to provide meaningful input and guidance to the Board and the Company.

Mr. Dillon will turn 72 this year and is expected to retire from the Board on or before December 31, 2010, pursuant to the mandatory director retirement age set forth in our Corporate Governance Guidelines.

§

JUAN GALLARDO, 62, Chairman and former CEO of Grupo Embotelladoras Unidas S.A. de C.V. (bottling). Former Vice Chairman of Home Mart de Mexico, S.A. de C.V. (retail trade), former Chairman of Grupo Azucarero Mexico, S.A. de C.V. (sugar mills) and former Chairman of Mexico Fund Inc. (mutual fund). Other current directorships: Grupo Mexico, S.A. de C.V. and Lafarge SA. Other directorships within the last five years: none. Mr. Gallardo has been a director of the Company since 1998.

Page 11

The Board believes that Mr. Gallardo's international business experience, particularly in Latin America and South America, are important for the Company's growth strategy. His extensive background in trade-related issues also contributes to the Board's expertise. In addition, his experience as a chief executive officer and director of large, publicly-traded multinational corporations enables him to provide meaningful input and guidance to the Board and the Company.

§ WILLIAM A. OSBORN, 62, retired Chairman and CEO of Northern Trust Corporation (multibank holding company) and The Northern Trust Company (bank). Other current directorships: Abbott Laboratories and General Dynamics. Other directorships within the last five years: Nicor Inc., Tribune Company and Northern Trust Corporation. Mr. Osborn has been a director of the Company since 2000.

The Board believes that Mr. Osborn's financial expertise and experience is valuable to the Board. His experience as a chairman and chief executive officer of a large, publicly-traded multinational corporation is particularly important to the Board. In addition, his experience as a director of other large, publicly-traded corporations enables him to provide meaningful input and guidance to the Board and the Company.

§ EDWARD B. RUST, JR., 59, Chairman, CEO and President of State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company (insurance). He is also President and CEO of State Farm Fire and Casualty Company, State Farm Life Insurance Company and other principal State Farm affiliates as well as Trustee and President of State Farm Mutual Fund Trust and State Farm Variable Product Trust. Other current directorships: Helmerich & Payne, Inc. and The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc. Other directorships within the last five years: none. Mr. Rust has been a director of the Company since 2003.

The Board believes that Mr. Rust's financial and business experience is valuable to the Board. His role as a chief executive officer of a major national corporation and experience as a director of large, publicly-traded multinational corporations enables him to provide meaningful input and guidance to the Board and the Company. In addition, his extensive involvement in education improvement compliment the Company's culture of social responsibility.

§ SUSAN C. SCHWAB, 55, Professor, University of Maryland School of Public Policy. Prior to her current position, Ambassador Schwab held various positions including United States Trade Representative (member of the President's cabinet), Deputy United States Trade Representative and President and CEO of the University System of Maryland Foundation. Other current directorships: FedEx Corporation and The Boeing Company. Other directorships within the last five years: Adams Express Company, Calpine Corporation and Petroleum & Resources Corporation. Ambassador Schwab has been a director of the Company since 2009.

The Board believes that Ambassador Schwab brings extensive knowledge, insight and experience on international trade issues to the Board. Her educational experience and role as the U.S. Trade Representative provide important insights for the Company's global business model and long-standing support of open trade. In addition, her experience as a director of large, publicly-traded multinational corporations enables her to provide meaningful input and guidance to the Board and the Company.

Related Party Transaction Approval Process

Caterpillar’s Board adopted a written process governing the approval of related party transactions for directors and certain officers in April 2007. Under the process, all related party transactions are to be approved in advance by the Governance Committee. Related parties include directors and executive officers and their immediate family members. Caterpillar’s related party transaction policy includes transactions which may not require disclosure under applicable SEC rules.

Prior to entering into such a transaction, the applicable director or officer must submit the details of the proposed transaction to the Company’s general counsel, including whether: (i) the aggregate amount involved will or may be expected to exceed \$120,000 in any calendar year; (ii) the Company is a party; and (iii) the related person or his or her immediate family member has or will have a direct or indirect interest (other than solely as a result of being a director or a less than 10 percent beneficial owner of an entity involved in the transaction). The general counsel will then evaluate, based on the facts and circumstances of the transaction, whether the related person has a direct or indirect material interest in the transaction. If so, the general counsel will submit the matter to the Governance Committee for it to consider the following:

§ The nature of the related person’s interest in the transaction.

Page 12

§ The material terms of the transaction, including, without limitation, the amount and type of transaction.

§ The importance of the transaction to the related person.

§ The importance of the transaction to the Company.

§ Whether the transaction would impair the judgment of the director or executive officer to act in the best interest of the Company.

§ The alternatives to entering into the transaction.

§ Whether the transaction is on terms comparable to those available to third parties or, in the case of employment relationships, to employees generally.

§ The potential for the transaction to lead to an actual or apparent conflict of interest and any safeguards imposed to prevent such actual or apparent conflicts.

§ The overall fairness of the transaction to the Company.

In February 2010, Susan C. Schwab requested consideration of an arrangement whereby Ms. Schwab would provide consulting services to Mayer Brown LLP (Mayer Brown) on international trade issues. The Committee discussed the request, including the compensation she expected to receive from Mayer Brown, the fact that Mayer Brown provides legal services to the Company and that Ms. Schwab would not provide any services to Mayer Brown in connection with any of the Company’s legal matters. After careful consideration, the Committee unanimously approved the transaction under the Company’s related party transaction policy.

The Governance Committee also considered a matter reported by John T. Dillon under the Company’s related party transaction approval process in February 2010. Mr. Dillon is Senior Managing Director of Evercore Partners, a subsidiary of which has been appointed Independent Fiduciary and Independent Monitor in connection with a litigation settlement involving Caterpillar. After careful consideration, the Committee determined that Mr. Dillon did not have a direct or indirect material interest in this transaction.

In addition, the Governance Committee considered long-term transactions and relationships between the Company and The Conference Board, for which Ms. Fosler is currently a senior advisor and was previously the president and

trustee, and with LSV Asset Management, for which Mr. Owens' son is a partner. The Governance Committee concluded that Ms. Fosler and Mr. Owens' son do not have a direct or indirect material interest in the applicable relationship or transaction.

The Governance Committee also considered the following matter:

In August 2007, Caterpillar entered into an exclusive marketing agreement with Claycrete, Ltd., a Hong Kong chartered manufacturer of products designed to harden dirt roads. Under this agreement, the Company agreed to market Claycrete's products to Caterpillar's dealers in exchange for a marketing fee paid to Caterpillar based on the revenues generated by the agreement. In February 2008, Caterpillar also loaned \$1 million to Claycrete for working capital and other uses. Caterpillar expected the loan to be repaid from sales generated under the marketing agreement.

Claycrete had been initially introduced to Caterpillar by Mr. Joshua I. Smith, a member of our Board. Mr. Smith had provided a variety of consulting services to Mr. Jens Bogh, the Chief Executive Officer of Claycrete, including with respect to matters such as agreements with large companies like Caterpillar. Mr. Smith had an arrangement with Mr. Bogh whereby Mr. Smith would be paid for the business coaching provided to Mr. Bogh based upon among other things, sales by Claycrete of its products. Ultimately, no Claycrete products were sold under the marketing agreement, and no marketing fees have been paid to Caterpillar. For his services to Mr. Bogh and Claycrete, Mr. Smith was paid approximately \$37,000. Mr. Bogh is no longer the Chief Executive Officer of Claycrete, and Mr. Smith's interest in any transactions between Caterpillar and Claycrete has concluded.

The Governance Committee has reviewed the transactions involving Claycrete, Mr. Smith and Caterpillar. The Governance Committee determined that Caterpillar's related party transaction approval process was not correctly followed in this instance, but the Committee did not find that Mr. Smith intentionally or willfully violated the policy. At the Board's request, Mr. Smith certified to the Board his commitment to comply with Caterpillar's policies and procedures and has undergone additional training focused on Caterpillar's corporate governance practices.

Page 13

Director Independence Determinations

The Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines establish that no more than two non-independent directors shall serve on the Board at any point in time. A director is "independent" if he or she has no direct or indirect material relationship with the Company or with senior management of the Company and their respective affiliates. Annually, the Board makes an affirmative determination regarding the independence of each director based upon the recommendation of the Governance Committee. The Board makes its independence determinations on a case-by-case basis, after consideration of all relevant facts and circumstances. To assist in making its independence determinations, the Board has adopted the following standards, which conform to the applicable NYSE rules. Under these standards, a director is considered independent if he or she:

- (1) Has no material relationship with the Company, either directly or as a partner, stockholder or officer of an organization that has a relationship with the Company, and does not have any relationship that precludes independence under the NYSE director independence standards;
- (2) Is not currently, or within the past three years, employed by the Company, or an immediate family member is not currently, or for the past three years, employed as an executive officer of the Company;

- (3) Is not a current employee, nor is an immediate family member a current executive officer of, a company that has made payments to, or received payments from, the Company for property or services in an amount which, in any of the past three years, exceeds the greater of \$1 million or 2 percent of the consolidated gross revenues of that company;
- (4) Has not received, nor has an immediate family member received, during any twelve month period within the last three years, direct remuneration in excess of \$120,000 from the Company other than director and committee fees and pension or other forms of deferred compensation for prior services;
- (5) (i) is not a current partner or employee of a firm that is the Company's internal or external auditor; (ii) does not have an immediate family member who is a current partner of such a firm; (iii) does not have an immediate family member who is a current employee of such a firm and personally works on the Company's audit; or (iv) has not, nor has an immediate family member, been a partner or employee of such a firm and personally worked on the Company's audit within the last three years;
- (6) Is not part of an "interlocking directorate," whereby an executive officer of the Company simultaneously served on the compensation committee of another company that employed the director as an executive officer during the last three years;
- (7) Is free of any relationships with the Company that may impair, or appear to impair, his or her ability to make independent judgments; and
- (8) Is not employed by a non-profit organization where a substantial portion of funding for the past three years (exceeding the greater of \$1 million or 2 percent of the organization's annual consolidated gross revenues) comes from the Company or the Caterpillar Foundation.

Applying these standards, on April 7, 2010 the Board determined that each of the following directors met the independence standards: W. Frank Blount, John R. Brazil, Daniel M. Dickinson, John T. Dillon, Eugene V. Fife, Gail D. Fosler, Juan Gallardo, David R. Goode, Peter A. Magowan, William A. Osborn, Charles D. Powell, Edward B. Rust, Jr., Susan C. Schwab and Joshua I. Smith. In making its determination, the Board considered certain Company transactions, relationships or arrangements, including the following, which the Board determined did not affect the applicable director's independence:

§ The Conference Board, for which Ms. Fosler was the president and a trustee in 2009 and is currently serving as a senior advisor, received payments from the Company for research, subscriptions, conferences, webcasts, etc. The Board determined that the amount of the payments made by the Company was below the greater of \$1 million or 2 percent of The Conference Board's consolidated gross revenues and that Ms. Fosler's independence was not affected by these payments.

Page 14

§ Christopher Powell, brother of Charles D. Powell, is a member of the advisory board of PricewaterhouseCoopers in the United Kingdom; however, he is not a partner or employee of PricewaterhouseCoopers. PricewaterhouseCoopers is employed by us as our independent registered public accounting firm. The Board determined that Christopher Powell's limited role in providing advice to partners, business unit leaders and members of the PricewaterhouseCoopers United Kingdom executive board on the development of business for the firm does not affect Charles D. Powell's independence.

§ Various matching contributions made by the Caterpillar Foundation to non-profit organizations where directors or immediate family members are employed were also considered; however, none of the contributions were determined to have affected the independence of any of the directors.

In addition, the Board determined that, as a current employee of the Company, Mr. Owens is not independent based on the above standards.

Process for Identifying and Evaluating Potential Directors

The Governance Committee solicits and receives recommendations and reviews the qualifications of potential director candidates. After review, the Governance Committee recommends to the full Board candidates for election at the annual meeting of the Company's stockholders and candidates to fill vacancies on the Board. Potential director candidates may also be nominated by our stockholders and are similarly reviewed by the Governance Committee, which makes recommendations to the full Board. Additional information about the process for nominating directors and stockholder nominations is described in the "Governance Committee" section on page 21.

Our Chairman and CEO and Governance Committee periodically review with the Board the particular characteristics or attributes that would be most beneficial to the Company in future Board members. These characteristics, which are set forth in the Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines, include, but are not limited to: (i) integrity, honesty and accountability, with a willingness to express independent thought; (ii) successful leadership experience and stature in an individual's primary field, with a background that demonstrates an understanding of business affairs as well as the complexities of a large, publicly-held company (with particular consideration being given to candidates with experience as chief executive officers of successful capital-intensive businesses with international operations); (iii) demonstrated ability to think strategically and make decisions with a forward-looking focus, with the ability to assimilate relevant information on a broad range of complex topics; (iv) be a team player with a demonstrated willingness to ask tough questions in a constructive manner that adds to the decision-making process of the Board; (v) independence and absence of conflicts of interest; (vi) ability to devote necessary time to meet director responsibilities; and (vii) ability to commit to the Company's stock ownership guidelines.

Consistent with these criteria for potential director candidates and Caterpillar's Worldwide Code of Conduct, the Board values diversity of talents, skills, abilities and experiences and believes that the diversity that exists on the Board provides significant benefits to the Company. Although there is no specific diversity policy, the Governance Committee may also consider the diversity of its members and potential candidates in selecting new directors.

Board Leadership Structure

As set forth in the Company's Bylaws, the Chairman of our Board is also the CEO of the Company. In addition, in 2007 the independent directors of the Board unanimously elected a Presiding Director who is independent under the NYSE standards. As part of the Board's structure, all directors, other than the Chairman, are independent under the NYSE standards, and all committees of the Board are made up entirely of independent directors.

The Presiding Director's duties and responsibilities include: (i) presiding at all meetings of the Board at which the Chairman is not present; (ii) serving as a liaison between the Chairman and the independent directors; (iii) approving information sent to the Board; (iv) approving meeting agendas for the Board; (v) approving meeting schedules to assure that there is sufficient time for discussion of all agenda items; (vi) authority to call meetings of the independent directors; and (vii) if requested by major shareholders, ensuring that he is available for consultation and direct communication.

The Board has determined that the combined role of Chairman and CEO is appropriate for the Company as it promotes unified leadership and direction for the Company, allowing for a single, clear focus for management to execute the Company's strategy and business plans. This structure also avoids the added costs and inefficiencies that would result by mandating an independent Chairman. The Board believes that the governance structure and role of the Presiding Director allow the Board to effectively work with the combined role of the Chairman and CEO.

In anticipation of Mr. Owens' upcoming retirement and the leadership transition to Mr. Oberhelman, the Board has decided to allow Mr. Owens, the current Chairman and CEO, to continue to serve as Chairman of the Board until he retires on October 31, 2010. Mr. Oberhelman is expected to become the new CEO on July 1, 2010 and is expected to assume the role of Chairman of the Board on November 1, 2010. The Board considers that this approach to succession will provide stability and continuity to the Company during this period.

Board's Role in Risk Oversight

The full Board oversees enterprise risk as part of its role in reviewing and overseeing the implementation of the Company's strategic plans and objectives. The risk oversight function is administered both in full Board discussions and in individual committees that are tasked by the Board with oversight of specific risks as more fully described in the summary of each committee below.

On a regular basis, the Board and its committees receive information and reports from management on the status of the Company and the risks associated with the Company's strategy and business plans. In addition, the Audit Committee reviews the Company's risk assessment and risk management policies and procedures at least annually, including its major financial risk exposures and steps taken to monitor and control such exposures. The Chairman of the Audit Committee presents this information to the full Board for review.

The Board believes the combined role of Chairman and CEO is an effective structure for the Board to understand the risks associated with the Company's strategic plans and objectives. Additionally, maintaining an independent Board with a Presiding Director permits open discussion and assessment of the Company's ability to manage these risks.

Board Meetings and Committees

In 2009, our full Board met six times and regularly scheduled executive sessions, led by the Presiding Director, were held without management present. In addition to those meetings, directors attended meetings of individual Board committees. Overall attendance for our directors at full Board and committee meetings held in 2009 was 98.37 percent. For Board meetings only, attendance was 98.78 percent in 2009. No director attended fewer than 75 percent of the total meetings held in 2009. Company policy, posted on our Internet site, states that absent unavoidable conflict, all directors are expected to attend the Annual Meeting. All of our directors attended the Annual Meeting in June 2009.

Our Board has four standing committees – Audit, Compensation, Governance and Public Policy. Each committee's charter is available on our Internet site at www.CAT.com/governance. Following is a description of each committee of the Board. Current committee memberships are listed in the "Committee Membership" table on page 17.

The Audit Committee assists the Board in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities with respect to the integrity of Caterpillar's financial statements, Caterpillar's compliance with legal and regulatory requirements, the qualifications and independence of Caterpillar's Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm (auditors), the performance of Caterpillar's internal audit function and the auditors, the effectiveness of Caterpillar's internal controls and the implementation and effectiveness of Caterpillar's ethics and compliance program. The Audit Committee performs this

function by monitoring Caterpillar’s financial reporting process and internal controls and by assessing the audit efforts of the auditors and the internal auditing department. The Audit Committee has ultimate authority and responsibility to appoint, retain, compensate, evaluate and, where appropriate, replace the auditors. The Audit Committee also reviews updates on emerging accounting and auditing issues provided by the auditors and by management to assess their potential impact on Caterpillar. During 2009, the Audit Committee met 12 times and overall attendance was 95.83 percent. Daniel M. Dickinson, John T. Dillon, Gail D. Fosler and William A. Osborn, members of the Audit Committee, each meet the standards for independence set forth in the NYSE listing standards and the financial literacy standards adopted by the Board. Additionally, the Board has determined that Mr. Dickinson, Mr. Dillon and Mr. Osborn each qualify as an “audit committee financial expert” as defined under SEC rules.

Page 16

The Compensation Committee assists the Board in fulfilling its responsibilities in connection with the compensation of the Company’s directors, officers and employees. It performs this function by establishing and overseeing the Company’s compensation programs, recommending to the Board the compensation of directors who are not officers of the Company, administering the Company’s equity compensation plans, furnishing an annual Compensation Committee Report on executive compensation and approving the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section in the Company’s proxy statement, in accordance with applicable SEC rules and regulations. All members of the Compensation Committee meet the standards for independence set forth in the NYSE listing standards. During 2009, the Compensation Committee met four times and overall attendance was 100 percent.


The Governance Committee assists the Board by making recommendations regarding the size and composition of the Board and the criteria to be used for the selection of candidates to serve on the Board. The Governance Committee discusses and evaluates the qualifications of directors up for re-election and recommends the slate of director candidates to be nominated for election at the Annual Meeting. Stockholders who are interested in nominating a director candidate can do so in accordance with the policy discussed in the “Governance Committee” section on page 21. In addition, the Governance Committee recommends candidates to the Board for election as officers of the Company. The Governance Committee also oversees the Corporate Governance Guidelines and leads the Board in its annual self-evaluation process and shares the results thereof with the Board for discussion and deliberation. All members of the Governance Committee meet the standards for independence set forth in the NYSE listing standards. During 2009, the Governance Committee met six times and overall attendance was 100 percent.

The Public Policy Committee assists the Board in its oversight of matters of national and international public policy affecting the Company’s business, trade policy and international trade negotiations impacting the Company, major global legislative and regulatory developments both in the U.S. and internationally affecting the Company, investor, consumer and community relations issues, employee relations, implementation of policies promoting diversity within the Company, sustainable development initiatives and charitable and political contributions by the Company or by any committee or foundation affiliated with the Company. All members of the Public Policy Committee meet the standards for independence set forth in the NYSE listing standards. During 2009, the Public Policy Committee met five times and overall attendance was 100 percent.

Committee Membership

	Audit	Compensation	Governance	Public Policy
W. Frank Blount			Ö*	
John R. Brazil		Ö		
Daniel M. Dickinson	Ö			
John T. Dillon	Ö*			
Eugene V. Fife			Ö	

Gail D. Fosler
 Juan Gallardo
 David R. Goode
 Peter A. Magowan
 William A. Osborn
 James W. Owens
 Charles D. Powell
 Edward B. Rust, Jr.
 Susan C. Schwab
 Joshua I. Smith



* Chairman of committee

Communication with the Board

You may communicate with any of our directors, our Board as a group, our non-management directors as a group or any Board committee as a group by sending an e-mail to a particular director, the Board or a committee at Directors@CAT.com or by mail to Caterpillar Inc. c/o Corporate Secretary at 100 NE Adams Street, Peoria, Illinois 61629. The Board has delegated to the Corporate Secretary, or his designee, responsibility for determining, in his discretion, whether the communication is appropriate for consideration by the Presiding Director, an individual director, a committee, a group or the full Board. According to the policy adopted by the Board, the Corporate Secretary is required to direct all communications regarding personal grievances, administrative matters, the conduct of the Company’s ordinary business operations, billing issues, product or service related inquiries, order requests and similar issues to the appropriate individual within the Company. All other communications are to be submitted to the Board as a group, to the particular director to whom it is directed or, if appropriate, to the Presiding Director or committee the Corporate Secretary believes to be the most appropriate recipient. If a legitimate business concern is sent by e-mail or letter to the Presiding Director, a specific director, the Board or a Board committee, you will receive a written acknowledgement from the Corporate Secretary’s office confirming receipt of your communication.

Code of Ethics

Caterpillar’s code of ethics is called Our Values in Action (Code of Conduct). Integrity, Excellence, Teamwork and Commitment are the core values identified in the Code of Conduct and are the foundation for Caterpillar’s corporate strategy. The Code of Conduct applies to all members of the Board and to management and employees worldwide. It documents the high ethical standards that Caterpillar has upheld since its formation in 1925. The Code of Conduct is available on our Internet site at www.CAT.com/code.

The Audit Committee has established a means for employees, suppliers, customers, stockholders and other interested parties to submit confidential and anonymous reports (where permitted by law) of suspected or actual violations of the Code of Conduct, our enterprise policies or applicable laws, including those related to accounting practices, internal controls or auditing matters and procedures; theft or fraud of any amount; insider trading; performance and execution of contracts; conflicts of interest; violation of securities and antitrust laws; and violations of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act.

Any employee, stockholder or other interested party can submit a report via the following methods:

§ Direct Telephone: 309-494-4393 (English only)

§ Call Collect Helpline: 770-582-5275 (language translation available)

§ Confidential Fax: 309-494-4818

§ E-mail: BusinessPractices@CAT.com

§ Internet: www.CAT.com/obp

Audit Committee Report

The Audit Committee is comprised entirely of independent directors (as defined for members of an audit committee in the NYSE listing standards) and operates under a written charter adopted by the Board, a copy of which is available on our Internet site at www.CAT.com/governance. Management is responsible for the Company's internal controls and the financial reporting process. The auditors are responsible for performing an independent audit of the Company's consolidated financial statements and internal controls over financial reporting in accordance with standards established by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board in the United States (PCAOB) and issuing a report thereon. The Audit Committee is responsible for monitoring these processes. In this regard, the Audit Committee meets periodically with management, the internal auditors and auditors. The Audit Committee has the authority to conduct or authorize investigations into any matters within the scope of its responsibilities and the authority to retain outside counsel, experts and other advisors as it determines appropriate to assist it in conducting any investigations. The Audit Committee is responsible for selecting and, if appropriate, replacing the current auditors, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP.

Page 18

The Audit Committee has discussed with the Company's auditors the overall scope and execution of the independent audit and has reviewed and discussed the audited financial statements with management. Management represented to the Audit Committee that the Company's consolidated financial statements were prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States. Discussions about the Company's audited financial statements included the auditors' judgments about the quality, not just the acceptability, of the accounting principles, the reasonableness of significant judgments and the clarity of disclosures in the financial statements. The Audit Committee also discussed with the auditors other matters required by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61 Communication with Audit Committees, as amended by SAS No. 90 Audit Committee Communications (as adopted by the PCAOB in Rule 3200T). Management, the internal auditors and the auditors also made presentations to the Audit Committee throughout the year on specific topics of interest, including: (i) management's philosophy, asset allocation levels, risk management and oversight of the Company's benefit and pension funds; (ii) accounting for the Company's pension funding obligations; (iii) the Company's derivatives policy and usage review; (iv) the 2009 joint audit plan; (v) updates on the implementation of the internal audit plan for 2009; (vi) the Company's information technology systems and the controls in place within those systems for compliance with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Sarbanes-Oxley Act); (vii) the applicability of new accounting releases; (viii) the Company's critical accounting policies; (ix) risk management initiatives and controls for various business units within the Company; (x) updates on the management of financial markets and liquidity risk; and (xi) the Company's compliance with the internal controls requirements under Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act.

The auditors provided to the Audit Committee the written communications required by applicable requirements of the PCAOB regarding the independent accountant's communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence, and the Audit Committee discussed the auditors' independence with management and the auditors. The Audit Committee concluded that the auditors' independence had not been impaired.

Based on: (i) the Audit Committee's discussions with management and the auditors; (ii) the Audit Committee's review of the representations of management; and (iii) the report of the auditors to the Audit Committee, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board that the audited consolidated financial statements be included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009, filed with the SEC on February 19, 2010.

By the current members of the Audit Committee consisting of:

John T. Dillon (Chairman)
Daniel M. Dickinson

Gail D. Fosler
William A. Osborn

Audit Fees and Approval Process

Pre-Approval Process

The Audit Committee pre-approves all audit and non-audit services to be performed by the auditors. It has policies and procedures in place to ensure that the Company and its subsidiaries are in full compliance with the requirements for pre-approval set forth in the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and the SEC rules regarding auditor independence. These policies and procedures provide a mechanism whereby management can request and secure pre-approval of audit and non-audit services in an orderly manner with minimal disruption to normal business operations. The policies and procedures are detailed as to the particular service and do not delegate the Audit Committee's responsibility to management. These policies and procedures address any service provided by the auditors and any audit or audit-related services to be provided by any other audit service provider. The pre-approval process includes an annual and interim component.

Annual Pre-Approval Process

Annually, not later than the Audit Committee meeting held in April of each year, management and the auditors jointly submit a service matrix of the types of audit and non-audit services that management may wish to have the auditors perform for the year. The service matrix categorizes the types of services by audit, audit-related, tax and all other services. Approval of a service is merely an authorization that this type of service is permitted by the Audit Committee, subject to pre-approval of specific services. Management and the auditors jointly submit an annual pre-approval limits request. The request lists individual project and aggregate pre-approval limits by service category. The request also lists known or anticipated services and associated fees. The Audit Committee approves or rejects the pre-approval limits and each of the listed services. For 2009, the pre-approval limits were as follows:

Page 19

Type of Service	Pre-Approval Limits (in thousands)	
	Per Project	Aggregate Limit
Audit Services	\$ 500	\$ 25,000
Audit-Related Services	\$ 500	\$ 10,000
Tax Services	\$ 500	\$ 15,000
All Other Services	\$ 500	\$ 1,000

Interim Pre-Approval Process

During the course of the year, the Audit Committee chairman has the authority to pre-approve requests for services that were not approved in the annual pre-approval process. Committee approval is not required for individual projects below the pre-approval project limits. However, all services, regardless of fee amounts, are subject to restrictions on the services allowable under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and SEC rules regarding auditor independence. In addition, all fees are subject to on-going monitoring by the Audit Committee.

On-Going Monitoring

At each Audit Committee meeting subsequent to the Board meeting at which the service matrix and annual pre-approval limits request are approved, the chairman reports any interim pre-approvals since the last meeting. Also, at each of these meetings, management and the auditor provide the Audit Committee with an update of fees expected to be incurred for the year compared to amounts initially pre-approved.

Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm Fee Information

Fees for professional services provided by our auditors included the following (in millions):

	2009 Actual	2008 Actual
Audit Fees 1	\$ 21.8	\$ 22.2
Audit-Related Fees 2	2.1	5.5
Tax Compliance Fees 3	1.9	2.8
Tax Planning and Consulting Fees 4	1.9	2.3
All Other Fees 5	0.1	0.3
TOTAL	\$ 27.8	\$ 33.1

- 1 “Audit Fees” principally include audit and review of financial statements (including internal control over financial reporting), statutory and subsidiary audits, SEC registration statements, comfort letters and consents.
- 2 “Audit-Related Fees” principally includes agreed upon procedures for securitizations, attestation services requested by management, accounting consultations, pre- or post- implementation reviews of processes or systems, financial due diligence and audits of employee benefit plan financial statements. Total fees paid directly by the benefit plans, and not by the Company, were \$0.6 in 2008 and 2009 and are not included in the amounts shown above.
- 3 “Tax Compliance Fees” includes, among other things, statutory tax return preparation and review and advising on the impact of changes in local tax laws.
- 4 “Tax Planning and Consulting Fees” includes, among other things, tax planning and advice and assistance with respect to transfer pricing issues.
- 5 “All Other Fees” principally includes subscriptions to knowledge tools and attendance at training classes/seminars.

Governance Committee

The Governance Committee is comprised of three directors, all of whom meet the independence requirements for nominating committee members as defined in the NYSE listing standards and as determined by the Board in its business judgment. The Governance Committee operates under a written charter adopted by the Board, a copy of which is available on our Internet site at www.CAT.com/governance. As part of its mandate, the Governance Committee evaluates and makes recommendations regarding proposed candidates to serve on the Board, including recommending the slate of nominees for election at the Annual Meeting.

Director Resignation Policy

The Board has adopted a director resignation policy (Resignation Policy), which can be found in the Corporate Governance Guidelines. The Resignation Policy establishes that any director who receives more “withheld” votes than “for” votes in an uncontested election shall promptly tender his or her resignation. The independent directors of the Board will then evaluate the relevant facts and circumstances and make a decision, within 90 days after the election, on whether to accept the tendered resignation. The Board will promptly publicly disclose its decision and, if applicable, the reasons for rejecting the tendered resignation.

Process for Nominating Directors

The Governance Committee solicits and receives recommendations for potential director candidates from directors, the Chairman and Caterpillar management and may also utilize the services of a third party consultant to identify and evaluate potential nominees. The Governance Committee also considers unsolicited inquiries and nominees recommended by stockholders in accordance with the following procedures and in compliance with the Company’s Bylaws.

When considering a candidate, the Governance Committee believes that certain characteristics are essential. For example, candidates must be individuals of high integrity, honesty and accountability, with a willingness to express independent thought. Candidates must also have successful leadership experience and stature in their primary fields, with a background that demonstrates an understanding of business affairs as well as the complexities of a large, publicly held company. Particular consideration will be given to candidates with experience as a chief executive officer of successful, capital-intensive businesses with international operations. In addition, candidates must have a demonstrated ability to think strategically and make decisions with a forward-looking focus and the ability to assimilate relevant information on a broad range of complex topics.

The Governance Committee also believes that certain characteristics are desirable, such as being a team player with a demonstrated willingness to ask tough questions in a constructive manner that adds to the decision-making process of the Board. At the same time, candidates should be independent, with an absence of conflicts of interests. Moreover, candidates should have the ability to devote the time necessary to meet director responsibilities and serve on no more than five public company boards in addition to the Board. Candidates must also have the ability to commit to stock ownership requirements according to the Company’s Corporate Governance Guidelines.

Stockholder Nominations

In accordance with the Company’s Bylaws, stockholders may nominate a director candidate to serve on the Board by providing advance written notice to Caterpillar Inc. c/o Corporate Secretary at 100 NE Adams Street, Peoria, Illinois 61629. Written notice of an intent to nominate a director candidate at an Annual Meeting must be given either by personal delivery or by United States mail, postage prepaid, to Caterpillar Inc. at the address previously provided no later than ninety (90) days in advance of such meeting. The notice must state: (i) the name and address of the stockholder who intends to make the nomination and of the person or persons to be nominated; (ii) a representation that the nominating stockholder is a stockholder of record of the Company’s stock entitled to vote at such meeting and intends to appear in person or by proxy at the meeting to nominate the person or persons specified in the notice; (iii) a description of all arrangements or understandings between the stockholder and each nominee and any other person or persons (naming such person or persons) pursuant to which the nomination or nominations are to be made by the stockholder; (iv) such other information regarding each nominee proposed by such stockholder as would be required to be included in a proxy statement filed pursuant to the proxy rules of the SEC, had the nominee been nominated, or intended to be nominated, by the Board; and (v) the consent of each nominee to serve as a director of the Company if so elected. The presiding officer of the Annual Meeting may refuse to acknowledge the nomination of any person not made in compliance with the foregoing procedure. You may request a copy of the Company’s Bylaws by writing to the Corporate Secretary at 100 NE Adams Street, Peoria, Illinois 61629.

PART THREE — Proposals to be Voted on at the 2010 Annual Meeting

Company Proposals

PROPOSAL 1 — Election of Directors

The Board has nominated the following directors to stand for election for a three-year term expiring at the Annual Meeting in 2012. The nominees were evaluated and recommended by the Governance Committee in accordance with the process for nominating directors described on page 21.

Directors are elected by a plurality vote of the shares present or represented at the meeting and entitled to vote, meaning that director nominees with the most affirmative votes are elected to fill the available seats.

If at least 75 percent of the outstanding shares of the Company are voted in favor of Company Proposal 4 to amend our Restated Certificate of Incorporation and Bylaws to declassify the Board, each director elected at the 2010 annual meeting will hold office until the 2011 annual meeting. At the 2011 annual meeting, all of our directors' terms would automatically expire, and all directors would stand for election on an annual basis thereafter.

If at least 75 percent of the outstanding shares of the Company are not voted in favor of Company Proposal 4, there will be no change to our directors' terms of office, or to the class structure of the Board and directors elected at the Annual Meeting will hold office for a three-year term expiring at the 2013 annual meeting. Directors in the other two classes will continue in office for the remainder of their terms.

Class III – Directors nominated for election at the Annual Meeting

§JOHN T. DILLON, 71, Senior Managing Director and former Vice Chairman of Evercore Partners (advisory and investment firm). Other current directorships: E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company and Kellogg Co. Other directorships within the last five years: Vertis Inc. Mr. Dillon has been a director of the Company since 1997.

The Board believes that Mr. Dillon's prior experience as a chief executive officer of a large, publicly-traded multinational corporation, knowledge of the forest products industry and experience with trade-related issues is particularly valuable to the Board. In addition, his financial expertise and experience as a director of large, publicly-traded multinational corporations enables him to provide meaningful input and guidance to the Board and the Company.

Mr. Dillon will turn 72 this year and is expected to retire from the Board on or before December 31, 2010, pursuant to our mandatory director retirement age set forth in our Corporate Governance Guidelines.

§JUAN GALLARDO, 62, Chairman and former CEO of Grupo Embotelladoras Unidas S.A. de C.V. (bottling). Former Vice Chairman of Home Mart de Mexico, S.A. de C.V. (retail trade), former Chairman of Grupo Azucarero Mexico, S.A. de C.V. (sugar mills) and former Chairman of Mexico Fund Inc. (mutual fund). Other current directorships: Grupo Mexico, S.A. de C.V. and Lafarge SA. Other directorships within the last five years: none. Mr. Gallardo has been a director of the Company since 1998.

The Board believes that Mr. Gallardo's international business experience, particularly in Latin America and South America, are important for the Company's growth strategy. His extensive background in trade-related issues also contributes to the Board's expertise. In addition, his experience as a chief executive officer and director of large, publicly-traded multinational corporations enables him to provide meaningful input and guidance to the Board and the Company.

§ WILLIAM A. OSBORN, 62, retired Chairman and CEO of Northern Trust Corporation (multibank holding company) and The Northern Trust Company (bank). Other current directorships: Abbott Laboratories and General Dynamics. Other directorships within the last five years: Nicor Inc., Tribune Company and Northern Trust Corporation. Mr. Osborn has been a director of the Company since 2000.

Page 22

The Board believes that Mr. Osborn's financial expertise and experience is valuable to the Board. His experience as a chairman and chief executive officer of a large, publicly-traded multinational corporation is particularly important to the Board. In addition, his experience as a director of other large, publicly-traded corporations enables him to provide meaningful input and guidance to the Board and the Company.

§ EDWARD B. RUST, JR., 59, Chairman, CEO and President of State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company (insurance). He is also President and CEO of State Farm Fire and Casualty Company, State Farm Life Insurance Company and other principal State Farm affiliates as well as Trustee and President of State Farm Mutual Fund Trust and State Farm Variable Product Trust. Other current directorships: Helmerich & Payne, Inc. and The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc. Other directorships within the last five years: none. Mr. Rust has been a director of the Company since 2003.

The Board believes that Mr. Rust's financial and business experience is valuable to the Board. His role as a chief executive officer of a major national corporation and experience as a director of large, publicly-traded multinational corporations enables him to provide meaningful input and guidance to the Board and the Company. In addition, his extensive involvement in education improvement compliment the Company's culture of social responsibility.

§ SUSAN C. SCHWAB, 55, Professor, University of Maryland School of Public Policy. Prior to her current position, Ambassador Schwab held various positions including United States Trade Representative (member of the President's cabinet), Deputy United States Trade Representative and President and CEO of the University System of Maryland Foundation. Other current directorships: FedEx Corporation and The Boeing Company. Other directorships within the last five years: Adams Express Company, Calpine Corporation and Petroleum & Resources Corporation. Ambassador Schwab has been a director of the Company since 2009.

The Board believes that Ambassador Schwab brings extensive knowledge, insight and experience on international trade issues to the Board. Her educational experience and role as the U.S. Trade Representative provide important insights for the Company's global business model and long-standing support of open trade. In addition, her experience as a director of large, publicly-traded multinational corporations enables her to provide meaningful input and guidance to the Board and the Company.

YOUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS VOTING "FOR" THE NOMINEES PRESENTED IN PROPOSAL 1.

PROPOSAL 2 — Ratification of our Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board seeks an indication from stockholders of their approval or disapproval of the Audit Committee's appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers as auditors for 2010.

PricewaterhouseCoopers has been our auditors since 1925. For additional information regarding the Company's relationship with PricewaterhouseCoopers, please refer to the "Audit Committee Report" on page 18 and the "Audit Fees and Approval Process" disclosure on page 19.

If the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers as auditors for 2010 is not approved by the stockholders, the adverse vote will be considered a direction to the Audit Committee to consider other auditors for next year. However, because of the difficulty in making any substitution of auditors so long after the beginning of the current year, the appointment for the year 2010 will stand, unless the Audit Committee finds other good reason for making a change.

Representatives of PricewaterhouseCoopers will be present at the Annual Meeting and will have the opportunity to make a statement if they desire to do so. The representatives will also be available to respond to questions at the meeting.

YOUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND AUDIT COMMITTEE RECOMMEND VOTING "FOR" PROPOSAL 2.

Page 23

PROPOSAL 3 — Amend 2006 Long-Term Incentive Plan

The Board has adopted and recommends that you approve amendments to the Caterpillar Inc. 2006 Long-Term Incentive Plan (Plan) that would: (i) increase the number of shares authorized for issuance under the Plan; (ii) increase the limitation on the number of authorized shares that may be issued regarding restricted stock, restricted stock units and performance shares; (iii) expressly prohibit the exchange of underwater options and/or stock appreciation rights (SARs) for cash; (iv) further restrict the Plan's definition of change of control; (v) clarify that shares withheld for the payment of taxes will not be made available for additional grants; and (vi) make certain other clarifications to the provisions of the Plan document. Under the current terms of the Plan, the Company is authorized to issue a total of 37,600,000 shares, of which, approximately 7,000,000 shares remain available for future grants. We are asking that you approve an additional 20,000,000 shares for issuance under the Plan. This proposed amendment would increase the shares available for future grants under the Plan to approximately 27,000,000.

By increasing the number of shares authorized under the Plan and increasing the limitation on the number of authorized shares that may be issued regarding restricted stock, restricted stock units and performance shares, the Company believes it will have the flexibility to continue to provide equity incentives in amounts and forms determined appropriate by the Compensation Committee (Committee) over the next three to four years. The Company's average annual burn rate for 2007 through 2009, which is the total number of net shares subject to equity awards granted in a given year divided by the total number of shares of Caterpillar stock outstanding, was 1.16 percent. If stockholders approve these amendments, the total number of shares available for grants under the Plan, plus the number of shares subject to outstanding awards under all the Company's current and prior equity plans as of March 31, 2010, would be approximately 101,320,000 shares. As of the record date, the total number of shares of Caterpillar stock outstanding was approximately [].

The purpose of the Plan is to further the Company's compensation philosophy, as outlined in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis. Specifically, the Plan allows the Board to provide key present and future employees and

members of the Board with cash-based incentives, stock-based incentives and other equity interests in the Company, thereby giving them a stake in the growth and prosperity of the Company, aligning their interests with those of stockholders and encouraging the continuance of their services with the Company and its subsidiaries.

The Board desires to ensure the Company's continued ability to offer cash and equity based compensation to its key employees. The Board believes this type of compensation is critical to its ability to attract and retain highly qualified individuals and otherwise attain the goals described above. Recognizing the importance of the Company's broad-based equity compensation program and its effectiveness in meeting the Board's goals, the Committee and the Board approved these amendments to the Plan and hereby submit them to the Company's stockholders for approval.

Stockholder approval of these amendments is required by NYSE rules. The affirmative vote of holders of a majority of the Company's common stock present or represented at the Annual Meeting and entitled to vote is required to approve the Plan amendments. If stockholders do not approve these amendments, the Plan will remain in full force and effect through June 13, 2016, without giving effect to the proposed amendments.

The following is a summary of the principal features of the Plan, including the proposed amendments. This summary is qualified in its entirety by reference to the complete text of the amendments and restatement of the Plan with the proposed changes highlighted (attached as Appendix A). Stockholders are encouraged to read the text of the Plan in its entirety.

The amendments to the Plan will become effective upon stockholder approval.

Approval of the amendments to the Plan will also constitute re-approval, for purposes of Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code (Code), of the performance goals included in the Plan (described below) that are to be used in connection with awards under the Plan that are intended to qualify as "performance-based" compensation for purposes of Section 162(m).

Page 24

Administration

The Plan is administered by the Committee, or by another committee appointed by the Board. The Committee consists of at least two persons and Committee members must be "non-employee directors," as defined under Rule 16b-3 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and "outside directors" within the meaning of Section 162(m) of the Code. The full Board performs the functions of the Committee for purposes of granting awards to non-employee directors.

The Committee also has full authority to interpret the Plan and to establish rules for its administration. Further, the Committee makes all other determinations, that may be necessary or advisable for the administration of the Plan. The Committee has the authority to select the employees, prospective employees and directors who may participate in the Plan and to determine the size and types of awards, the number of shares subject to awards and the terms and conditions of these awards in accordance with the terms of the Plan. Subject to certain limitations, the Committee may delegate its authority under the Plan to one or more members of the Committee or one or more officers or other designees of Caterpillar.

Shares Subject to the Plan and Maximum Awards

As amended, the Plan provides that the number of shares of Caterpillar stock that may be issued will not exceed 40 million shares, subject to certain adjustments. In addition, 17.6 million shares of Caterpillar stock that were authorized for the Caterpillar Inc. 1996 Stock Option and Long-Term Incentive Plan (1996 Plan) but that have not been issued and that were not subject to outstanding grants pursuant to the 1996 Plan as of the date of adoption of the Plan were reserved and available for grant under the Plan. Thus, if the amendment is approved, the total number of

shares that may be issued under the Plan will not exceed 57,600,000.

The per share closing price of Caterpillar stock on the NYSE on March 31, 2010 was \$62.85. Caterpillar will register the additional shares issuable under the Plan under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, after it receives stockholder approval.

Of the shares authorized for issuance under the Plan, the maximum aggregate number of shares (including stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock and restricted stock units and performance shares to be paid out in shares) that may be granted in any one fiscal year to a single participant is 800,000 shares. The maximum aggregate cash payout (including performance units and performance shares paid out in cash but excluding cash-settled SARs) with respect to awards granted in any one fiscal year that may be made to a single participant is \$5 million. As amended, the Plan also provides that only 35 percent of the shares authorized under the Plan may be issued in connection with awards of restricted stock, restricted stock units and performance shares. Prior to the amendment, this limitation was 20 percent of the shares authorized under the Plan.

To the extent that shares of Company stock subject to an outstanding award under the Plan are not issued by reason of expiration, forfeiture or cancellation of such award, by reason of the tendering or withholding of shares to pay all or a portion of the exercise price or by reason of being settled in cash in lieu of shares, then such shares will immediately again be available for issuance under the Plan. Shares underlying awards granted in substitution for awards previously granted by an entity acquired by Caterpillar will not be counted against the Plan limit.

Shares of Company stock issued with respect to awards may be authorized but unissued shares or treasury shares. In the event there is a change in the capital structure of the Company as a result of any stock dividend or split, recapitalization, merger, consolidation or spin-off or other corporate change affecting the shares, the number of shares of Company stock authorized for issuance, available for issuance or covered by any outstanding award and the price per share of any such award, and the various limitations described above, will be proportionately adjusted. Fractional shares will not be issued under the Plan.

The Plan limit is reduced on a one-for-one basis based upon the number of shares of Company stock for which an award is granted or denominated. An award of SARs reduces the Plan limit on a one-for-one basis based upon the number of shares of Company stock actually delivered pursuant to the SAR and any shares withheld for the payment of taxes.

Page 25

Eligibility and Participation

Any director, or current or future employee (including officer) of Caterpillar or any of its subsidiaries is eligible to receive an award under the Plan, except that incentive stock options may only be granted to persons who are employed by the Company or its subsidiaries and only if the recipient does not own more than 10 percent of Caterpillar's stock. Caterpillar currently has approximately 94,000 employees and 15 directors. The selection of participants and the nature and size of the awards granted to participants is subject to the discretion of the Committee.

Awards

The Plan provides for awards of stock options to purchase shares of Company stock, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, restricted stock units, performance shares and performance units, the general terms and conditions of which are described in more detail below.

Stock Options. Stock options may be nonqualified stock options or incentive stock options that comply with Section 422 of the Code. Each stock option grant will be evidenced by an award document. If the award document does not

specify the time the stock option will first become exercisable, the stock option will become fully vested and exercisable by the participant on the third anniversary of the grant date. Each stock option may be exercised in whole or in part, from time to time, after the grant becomes exercisable. The Plan limits the term of any stock option to ten years and prohibits repricing of stock options without stockholder approval. As amended, the Plan would also prohibit the exchange of underwater options for cash.

The exercise price per share for all shares of Caterpillar stock issued pursuant to stock options under the Plan will be determined by the Committee but may not be less than 100 percent of the fair market value of a share of Caterpillar stock on the grant date. The exercise price of a stock option may be paid in cash, by tendering previously acquired shares or through a cashless exercise procedure.

Stock Appreciation Rights (SARs). SARs entitle a participant to receive an amount equal to the excess of the fair market value of a share of Caterpillar stock on the date the SAR is exercised over the fair market value of a share of Company stock on the date the SAR is granted, multiplied by the number of shares to which the SAR is exercised. The payment may be made in shares of Caterpillar stock having a fair market value on the date of exercise equal to the amount due upon the exercise of the SAR, may be paid in cash or a combination thereof.

The Committee may grant SARs under the Plan alone or in tandem with stock options. SARs that are granted alone must be granted with a per share exercise price not less than 100 percent of the fair market value of a share of Company stock on the date of grant. The grant price of tandem SARs will equal the option price of the related stock option. The Plan limits the term of SARs to 10 years from the grant date and prohibits repricing of SARs without stockholder approval. The Plan also prohibits the exchange of underwater SARs for cash.

SARs granted alone may be exercised upon the terms and conditions the Committee, in its sole discretion, imposes upon them in the award document. SARs granted in tandem with stock options may be exercised for all or part of the shares subject to the related stock option upon the surrender of the right to exercise the equivalent portion of the related stock option. A tandem SAR may be exercised only with respect to the shares for which its related stock option is then exercisable. With respect to a tandem SAR granted in connection with an incentive stock option: (i) the tandem SAR will expire no later than the expiration of the underlying incentive stock option; (ii) the value of the payout with respect to the tandem SAR may be for no more than 100 percent of the difference between the option price of the underlying incentive stock option and the fair market value of the shares subject to the underlying incentive stock option at the time the tandem SAR is exercised; and (iii) the tandem SAR may be exercised only when the fair market value of the shares subject to the incentive stock option exceeds the option price of the incentive stock option.

Page 26

Restricted Stock and Restricted Stock Units. An award of restricted stock is a share of Company stock granted to the participant that may not be sold or otherwise disposed of during a restricted period as determined by the Committee in the award document. The Committee may impose such conditions and/or restrictions on any shares of restricted stock granted pursuant to the Plan as it may deem advisable including without limitation, a requirement that shares will not be issued until the end of the applicable period of restriction (i.e., a restricted stock unit), restrictions based upon the achievement of specific performance goals (company-wide, subsidiary-wide, divisional and/or individual), time-based restrictions on vesting, which may or may not be following the attainment of the performance goals, sales restrictions under applicable stockholder agreements or similar agreements, a requirement that participants pay a stipulated purchase price for each share of restricted stock and/or restrictions under applicable federal or state securities laws.

Restriction periods must be at least three years for time-based restrictions. However, a maximum of 5 percent of the aggregate shares authorized for issuance of restricted stock, restricted stock units or performance shares) may be

issued as restricted stock or restricted stock units with no minimum vesting periods. If the period of restriction is not set forth in the award document, the transfer and any other restrictions will lapse (i) to the extent of one-third of the shares (rounded to the nearest whole) covered by the restricted stock award on the third anniversary of the grant date, (ii) to the extent of two-thirds of the shares (rounded to the nearest whole) covered by the restricted stock award on the fourth anniversary of the grant date and (iii) to the extent of 100 percent of the shares covered by the restricted stock award on the fifth anniversary of the grant date.

For awards of restricted stock (but not for restricted stock units), the participant may have all rights as a holder of shares of Caterpillar stock except that the restricted shares cannot be sold, transferred, assigned, pledged or otherwise encumbered or disposed of until the end of the restriction period established by the Committee and specified in the award document, or upon earlier satisfaction of any other conditions, as specified by the Committee in its sole discretion and set forth in the award document.

Performance Shares and Performance Units. Performance shares and performance units are awards of a fixed or variable number of shares or of dollar-denominated units that are earned by achievement of performance goals established by the Committee. Amounts earned under performance shares and performance units may be paid in shares of Caterpillar stock, cash or a combination thereof.

The terms and conditions of the performance awards are determined by the Committee, and the granting, vesting and/or exercisability of performance awards are conditioned on the achievement in whole or in part of performance goals (as described below) during a performance period as selected by the Committee. At the sole discretion of the Committee, participants may be entitled to receive any dividends declared with respect to shares that have been earned in connection with grants of performance units and/or performance shares that have been earned, but not yet distributed to participants.

Performance units and/or performance shares may not be sold or transferred, other than by will or by the laws of descent and distribution. Further, except as otherwise provided in the award document, a participant's rights under the Plan will be exercisable during the participant's lifetime only by the participant or the participant's legal representative.

Performance Goals

Section 162(m) of the Code limits publicly-held companies such as the Company to an annual deduction for federal income tax purposes of \$1 million for compensation paid to each of their "covered employees." Generally, "covered employees" are the CEO and the three other most highly compensated officers, other than the CFO, who are named in the summary compensation table of the Company's proxy statement each year. However, performance-based compensation is excluded from this limitation. The Plan is designed to permit the Committee to grant awards that qualify, to the extent possible, as performance-based for purposes of satisfying the conditions of Section 162(m).

Under the Plan, the Committee may condition the grant, vesting and/or exercisability of any award, including, but not limited to, performance shares and performance units, upon the attainment of performance targets related to one or more performance goals over a performance period selected by the Committee. The Committee may reduce any award below the maximum amount that could be paid based upon the degree to which the performance targets related to such award were attained. However, the Committee may not increase any award that is intended to satisfy the exception for "qualified performance-based compensation" set forth in Section 162(m) of the Code above the maximum amount that could be paid based on the attainment of performance targets.

For any awards that are intended to satisfy the Section 162(m) exception for "qualified performance-based compensation," the awards will be subject to one or more, or any combination, of the following performance goals

which will be established by the Committee in writing and stated in terms of the attainment of specified levels of or percentage changes in any one or more of the following measurements: (i) revenue; (ii) primary or fully-diluted earnings per share; (iii) earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, and/or amortization; (iv) pretax income; (v) cash flow from operations; (vi) total cash flow; (vii) return on equity; (viii) return on invested capital; (ix) return on assets; (x) net operating profits after taxes; (xi) economic value added; (xii) total stockholder return; (xiii) return on sales; or (xiv) any individual performance objective which is measured solely in terms of quantifiable targets related to the Company or the businesses of the Company; or any combination thereof. In addition, such performance goals may be based in whole or in part upon the performance of the Company, a subsidiary, division and/or other operational unit under one or more of such measures. Further, for any awards that are not intended to satisfy the Section 162(m) exception, the Committee may establish performance targets based on other performance goals, as it deems appropriate.

Award Forfeitures

Unless otherwise determined by the Committee, in the case of a forfeiture event, the following forfeitures will result:

- The unexercised portion of any stock option, whether or not vested, and any other award not then settled (except for an award that has not been settled solely due to an elective deferral by the participant and otherwise is not forfeitable in the event of any termination of service of the participant) will be immediately forfeited and canceled upon the occurrence of the forfeiture event; and
- The participant will be obligated to repay to Caterpillar, in cash, within five business days after demand is made by Caterpillar, the total amount of award gain (as defined in the Plan) realized by the participant upon each exercise of a stock option or settlement of an award (regardless of any elective deferral) that occurred on or after (i) the date that is six months before the occurrence of the forfeiture event, if the forfeiture event occurred while the participant was employed by Caterpillar or a subsidiary, or (ii) the date that is six months before the date the participant's employment by, or service as a director with Caterpillar or a subsidiary terminated, if the forfeiture event occurred after the participant ceased to be employed.

Forfeiture Events. The forfeiture of an award will be triggered upon either (i) the violation by a participant of certain non-solicitation provisions or (ii) the participant's disclosure to any person or entity, or the participant's unauthorized use of any "confidential or proprietary information" (as defined in the Plan) at any time during the participant's employment or service as a director with Caterpillar or a subsidiary or during the one-year period following termination of such employment or service.

Waivers. The Committee may, in its sole discretion, waive in whole or in part the Company's right to forfeiture. In addition, the Committee may impose additional conditions on awards, by inclusion of appropriate provisions in the award document.

Clawback

Any Plan participant who is an officer of the Company and whose negligent, intentional or gross misconduct contributes to the Company having to restate all or a portion of its financial statements, will be required to forfeit awards granted under the Plan and repay the Company the total amounts realized by the participant upon the exercise of an option or settlement of an award.

Change of Control

If any participant's (i.e., an officer employee's, a non-officer employee's or director's) employment or service with the Company and/or any subsidiary terminates either without cause or for good reason within the 12 month period following a change of control (as defined in the Plan), unless otherwise specifically prohibited under applicable laws, or by the rules and regulations of any governmental agencies or national securities exchanges:

- All stock options and SARs granted will become immediately exercisable, and shall remain exercisable throughout their entire term;
 - Any period of restriction and other restrictions imposed on restricted stock will lapse;

- All restricted stock units will become fully vested; and

Page 28

- Unless otherwise specified in an award document, the maximum payout opportunities attainable under all outstanding awards of performance units and performance shares will be deemed to have been fully earned for the entire performance period(s) as of the effective date of the change of control. The vesting of all such awards will be accelerated as of the effective date of the change of control, and in full settlement of such awards, there shall be paid out in cash to participants within 30 days following the effective date of the change of control the maximum of payout opportunities associated with such outstanding awards.

Other Provisions

The Committee may provide that the receipt of payment of cash or the delivery of shares that would otherwise be due to a participant under an award may be deferred at the election of the participant pursuant to an applicable deferral plan established by the Company or a subsidiary.

The Committee may make awards on terms and conditions other than those described above or in the Plan to comply with the laws and regulations of any foreign jurisdiction or to make the award effective under such laws or regulations.

The Committee may, at its discretion, accelerate the vesting of options, SARs, restricted stock and restricted stock units, performance units and performance shares at any time. The Committee may also, at its discretion, extend the period during which an option or SAR is exercisable following a participant's termination of employment or services.

No award will be construed as giving any participant a right to receive future awards or to continued employment or service with the Company.

U.S. Federal Income Tax Consequences

Incentive Stock Options. The grant of an incentive stock option will not be a taxable event for the participant or for the Company. A participant will not recognize taxable income upon exercise of an incentive stock option (except that the alternative minimum tax may apply), and any gain realized upon a disposition of Caterpillar stock received pursuant to the exercise of an incentive stock option will be taxed as long-term capital gain if the participant holds the shares of Company stock for at least two years after the date of grant and for one year after the date of exercise (holding period requirement). The Company will not be entitled to any income tax deduction with respect to the exercise of an incentive stock option, except as discussed below.

For the exercise of an incentive stock option to qualify for the foregoing tax treatment, the participant generally must be an employee of the Company or an employee of a Company subsidiary from the date the option is granted through a date within three months before the date of exercise of the option. If all of the foregoing requirements are met except the holding period requirement mentioned above, the participant will recognize ordinary income upon the disposition of the Company stock in an amount generally equal to the excess of the fair market value of the Company stock at the time the option was exercised over the option exercise price (but not in excess of the gain realized on the sale). The balance of the realized gain, if any, will be capital gain. The Company will be allowed an income tax deduction to the extent the participant recognizes ordinary income, subject to the Company's compliance with Section 162(m) of the Code and to certain reporting requirements.

Nonqualified Stock Options. The grant of a nonqualified stock option will not be a taxable event for the participant or the Company. Upon exercising a nonqualified stock option, a participant will recognize ordinary income in an amount equal to the difference between the exercise price and the fair market value of the Company stock on the date

of exercise. Upon a subsequent sale or exchange of shares acquired pursuant to the exercise of a nonqualified stock option, the participant will have taxable capital gain or loss, measured by the difference between the amount realized on the disposition and the tax basis of the shares of Company stock (generally, the amount paid for the shares plus the amount treated as ordinary income at the time the option was exercised). If the Company complies with applicable reporting requirements and with the restrictions of Section 162(m) of the Code, the Company generally will be entitled to an income tax deduction in the same amount and generally at the same time as the participant recognizes ordinary income.

Page 29

Restricted Stock and Restricted Stock Units. A participant who is awarded restricted stock will not recognize any taxable income for federal income tax purposes in the year of the award, provided that the shares of Company stock are subject to restrictions (that is, the restricted stock is nontransferable and subject to a substantial risk of forfeiture). However, if shares are issued at the time of grant, the participant may elect under Section 83(b) of the Code to recognize compensation income in the year of the award in an amount equal to the fair market value of the Company stock on the date of the award (less the purchase price, if any), determined without regard to the restrictions. If the participant does not make such a Section 83(b) election, the fair market value of the Company stock on the date the restrictions lapse (less the purchase price, if any) will be treated as compensation income to the participant and will be taxable in the year the restrictions lapse and dividends paid while the Caterpillar stock is subject to restrictions will be treated as compensation income and may be subject to withholding taxes. If, on the other hand, shares are not issued until after the restriction period ends (i.e., restricted stock units), the participant is not eligible to make an election under Section 83(b) of the Code. If the Company complies with applicable reporting requirements and with the restrictions of Section 162(m) of the Code, the Company generally will be entitled to an income tax deduction in the same amount and generally at the same time as the participant recognizes ordinary income.

Generally, there are no immediate tax consequences of receiving an award of restricted stock units under the Plan, provided the restricted stock units are subject to a substantial risk of forfeiture. Upon vesting of the restricted stock units, a participant will recognize ordinary income in an amount equal to the value of the fair market value of Company stock on the date shares are issued. If the Company complies with applicable reporting requirements and, if applicable, with the restrictions of Section 162(m) of the Code, the Company generally will be entitled to an income tax deduction in the same amount and generally at the same time as the participant recognizes ordinary income.

Stock-Settled Stock Appreciation Rights. There are no immediate tax consequences of receiving an award of stock-settled SARs under the Plan. Upon exercising a stock appreciation right, a participant will recognize ordinary income in an amount equal to the difference between the exercise price and the fair market value of the Company stock on the date of exercise. If the Company complies with applicable reporting requirements and, if applicable, with the restrictions of Section 162(m) of the Code, the Company generally will be entitled to an income tax deduction in the same amount and generally at the same time as the participant recognizes ordinary income.

Performance Awards. The award of a performance award will have no federal income tax consequences for the Company or for the participant. The payment of the award is taxable to a participant as ordinary income. If the Company complies with applicable reporting requirements and, if applicable, with the restrictions of Section 162(m) of the Code, the Company generally will be entitled to an income tax deduction in the same amount and generally at the same time as the participant recognizes ordinary income.

YOUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND COMPENSATION COMMITTEE RECOMMEND VOTING “FOR” PROPOSAL 3.

Required information relating to securities authorized for issuance under equity compensation plans is included in the following table:

Equity Compensation Plan Information (as of December 31, 2009)			
	(a)	(b)	(c)
Plan category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights ¹	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a))
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	68,021,668	\$44.2440	16,229,601
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total	68,021,668	\$44.2440	16,229,601

¹ Excludes any cash payments in-lieu-of stock.

Page 30

PROPOSAL 4 —

Amend Restated
Certificate of
Incorporation and
Bylaws to Provide for
Annual Election of
Directors

The Board proposes the annual election of directors.

The Company's Restated Certificate of Incorporation (Certificate of Incorporation) and Bylaws currently provide that the Board is to be divided into three classes of directors, with each class elected every three years. On the recommendation of the Governance Committee, your Board has approved amendments to the Company's Certificate of Incorporation and Bylaws to provide for the annual election of directors. Stockholder proposals seeking to implement the annual election of directors were brought before stockholders in 2008 and 2009, and received the vote of more than 69 percent and 65 percent, respectively, of the votes cast at each annual meeting of stockholders.

Each director will stand for re-election annually beginning at the 2011 annual meeting.

If approved, this proposal would become effective upon the filing of an amended and restated Certificate of Incorporation containing the proposed amendments with the Secretary of State of Delaware, which the Company

intends to do promptly after the required stockholder approval is obtained. Upon filing, each director elected at the 2010 Annual Meeting would hold office until the 2011 annual meeting. At the 2011 annual meeting, all of our current directors' terms would expire, and all directors would stand for election at the 2011 annual meeting and on an annual basis thereafter. For example, directors elected in 2009 would serve until 2011 and stand for election annually thereafter rather than for their originally elected three-year term expiring in 2012. At all times, directors will serve until their successors have been elected and qualified. This proposal would not change the present number of directors, and it would not change the Board's authority to change that number and to fill any vacancies or newly created directorships.

Subsections (b), (c), (d) and (f) of the SIXTH provision of the Certificate of Incorporation and subsections (b), (c) and (f) of Section 1, Election of Directors, of Article III of the Bylaws contain the provisions that will be affected if this proposal is adopted.

Vote Required

The affirmative vote of no less than 75 percent of the outstanding shares is needed to adopt these amendments.

Background of Proposal

This proposal is a result of the Board's ongoing review of corporate governance matters. The Board, assisted by the Governance Committee, considered the benefits and disadvantages of maintaining the classified board structure. The Board considered the view of some stockholders who believe that classified boards (i) encourage management entrenchment and self-dealing, (ii) have the effect of reducing the accountability of directors to stockholders because stockholders are unable to elect all directors on an annual basis and (iii) discourage possible bids to purchase the Company because classified boards prevent a hostile bidder from removing all directors at a single meeting.

The Board also considered the benefits of retaining the classified board structure, which has a long history in corporate law. Proponents of a classified structure believe it (i) provides continuity and stability in the management of the business and affairs of a company, (ii) enhances long-term planning and (iii) helps attract and retain director candidates. Proponents also assert that classified boards may enhance stockholder value by forcing an entity seeking control of a target company to initiate arms-length discussions with the board of that company. While the Board recognizes those potential benefits, it also recognizes that even without a classified board, the Company has other means that may allow it to compel a takeover bidder to negotiate with the Board, including certain provisions in its Certificate of Incorporation and Bylaws.

On the recommendation of the Governance Committee and after due deliberation based on the facts and data presented, your Board, subject to the approval of no less than 75 percent of the outstanding shares of the Company, approved the proposed amendments to the Certificate of Incorporation and Bylaws, and now recommends that you approve them.

Page 31

The proposed amendments to the Certificate of Incorporation and Bylaws to declassify the Board are described in more detail below. Appendix B to this proxy statement sets out the Certificate of Incorporation and Appendix C to this proxy statement sets out the Bylaws, each including these proposed amendments.

Certificate of Incorporation

• SIXTH:

(b)

The Board of Directors shall be and is divided into three classes: Class I, Class II and Class III, which shall be as nearly equal in number as possible. Each director shall serve At each annual meeting of stockholders, directors shall be elected for a term of office to expire at the next annual meeting of stockholders, with each director to ending on the date of the third annual meeting of stockholders following the annual meeting at which the director was elected, provided, however, that each initial director in Class I shall hold office until the annual meeting of stockholders in 1987; each initial director in Class II shall hold office until the annual meeting of stockholders in 1988; and each initial director in Class III shall hold office until the annual meeting of stockholders in 1989. Notwithstanding the foregoing provisions of this Article, each director shall serve until his successor is duly elected and qualified or until his death, resignation or removal.

- (c) In the event of any increase or decrease in the authorized number of directors, the newly created or eliminated directorships resulting from such increase or decrease shall be apportioned by the Board of Directors among the three classes of directors so as to maintain such classes as nearly equal as possible. No decrease in the number of directors constituting the Board of Directors shall shorten the term of any incumbent director.
- (d) Newly created directorships resulting from any increase in the number of directors and any vacancies on the Board of Directors resulting from death, resignation, disqualification, removal or other cause shall be filled by the affirmative vote of a majority of the remaining directors then in office (and not by stockholders), even though less than a quorum of the Board of Directors. Any director elected in accordance with the preceding sentence shall hold office until the next annual meeting of stockholders for the remainder of the full term of the class of directors in which the new directorship was created or the vacancy occurred and until such director's successor shall have been elected and qualified.
- (f) Notwithstanding the foregoing, whenever the holders of any one or more classes or series of stock issued by this corporation having a preference over the common stock as to dividends or upon liquidation, shall have the right, voting separately by class or series, to elect directors at an annual or special meeting of stockholders, the election, term of office, filling of vacancies, terms of removal and other features of such directorships shall be governed by the terms of Article FOURTH and the resolution or resolutions establishing such class or series adopted pursuant thereto and such directors so elected shall not be divided into classes pursuant to this Article SIXTH unless expressly provided by such terms.

Bylaws

•

Article III – Section 1. Election of Directors:

- (b) Election and Terms of Directors. Each director shall serve for a term of office to expire at the next annual meeting of stockholders, with each director to Classes of Directors. The board of directors shall be and is divided into three classes: Class I, Class II and Class III, which shall be as nearly equal in number as possible. Each director shall serve for a term ending on the date of the third annual meeting of stockholders following the annual meeting at which the director was elected; provided, however, that each initial director in Class I shall hold office until the annual meeting of stockholders in 1987; each initial director in Class II shall hold office until the annual meeting of stockholders in 1988; and each initial director in Class III shall hold office until the annual meeting of stockholders in 1989. Notwithstanding the foregoing provisions of this subsection (b), each director shall serve until his successor is duly elected and qualified or until his death, resignation or removal.

- (c) Newly Created Directorships and Vacancies. In the event of any increase or decrease in the authorized number of directors, the newly created or eliminated directorships resulting from such increase or decrease shall be

apportioned by the board of directors among the three classes of directors so as to maintain such classes as nearly equal in number as possible. No decrease in the number of directors constituting the board of directors shall shorten the term of any incumbent director. Newly created directorships resulting from any increase in the number of directors and any vacancies on the board of directors resulting from death, resignation, disqualification, removal or other cause shall be filled by the affirmative vote of a majority of the remaining directors then in office (and not by stockholders), even though less than a quorum of the board of directors. Any director elected in accordance with the preceding sentence shall hold office until the next annual meeting of stockholders for the remainder of the full term of the class of directors in which the new directorship was created or the vacancy occurred and until such director's successor shall have been elected and qualified.

(f) Preferred Stock Provisions. Notwithstanding the foregoing, whenever the holders of any one or more classes or series of stock issued by this corporation having a preference over the common stock as to dividends or upon liquidation, shall have the right, voting separately by class or series, to elect directors at an annual or special meeting of stockholders, the election, term of office, filling of vacancies, nominations, terms of removal and other features of such directorships shall be governed by the terms of Article FOURTH of the certificate of incorporation and the resolution or resolutions establishing such class or series adopted pursuant thereto and such directors so elected shall not be divided into classes pursuant to Article SIXTH of the certificate of incorporation unless expressly provided by such terms.

FOR THESE REASONS, YOUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS VOTING "FOR" PROPOSAL 4.

PROPOSAL 5

— Amend Restated
Certificate of
Incorporation and
Bylaws to Eliminate
Supermajority Voting
Requirements

The Board proposes replacing supermajority voting requirements with a simple majority of outstanding shares requirement.

We are proposing amendments to our Certificate of Incorporation and Bylaws that would remove any requirements for a supermajority vote of at least 75 percent of the outstanding stock of the Company.

The Company's Certificate of Incorporation and Bylaws currently require a supermajority vote of at least 75 percent of the outstanding shares of the Company to alter, amend or repeal provisions relating to (i) procedures and processes for the election and removal of directors, (ii) special meetings and shareholder actions by written consent, and (iii) policies and procedures relating to the annual meeting. This proposed amendment would reduce the required stockholder approval for these actions to a simple majority of outstanding shares.

Vote Required

The affirmative vote of no less than 75 percent of the outstanding shares is needed to adopt these amendments.

Background of Proposal

Supermajority voting provisions are intended to provide protection against self-interested action by large stockholders and to encourage a person seeking control of a company to negotiate with its board to reach terms that are fair and provide the best results for all stockholders. However, as corporate governance standards have evolved, many investors and commentators now view these provisions as limiting a board's accountability to stockholders and the ability of stockholders to effectively participate in corporate governance.

Page 33

On the recommendation of the Governance Committee and after due deliberation based on the facts and data presented, your Board, subject to the approval of no less than 75 percent of the outstanding shares of the Company, approved the proposed amendments to the Certificate of Incorporation and Bylaws, and now recommends that you approve them.

The proposed amendments to the Certificate of Incorporation and Bylaws to eliminate these supermajority provisions are described in more detail below. Appendix B to this proxy statement sets out the Certificate of Incorporation and Appendix C to this proxy statement sets out the Bylaws, each including these proposed amendments.

Certificate of Incorporation

- Article Fifth – Currently requires a supermajority vote to amend certain provisions in the Bylaws relating to policies and procedures relating to the annual meeting (Article II, Section 1(b)(ii)), special meetings (Article II, Section 1(c)), stockholder action by consent (Article II, Section 3(e)) and election of directors (Article III, Section 1):

“FIFTH: In furtherance and not in limitation of the powers conferred by statute, the Board of Directors shall have power to make, alter, amend and repeal the bylaws (except so far as the bylaws adopted by the stockholders shall otherwise provide). Any bylaws made by the Board of Directors under the powers conferred hereby may be altered, amended or repealed by the Board of Directors or by the stockholders. Notwithstanding the foregoing and anything contained in this Certificate of Incorporation to the contrary, Sections 1(b)(ii), 1(c) and 3(e)(f)1 of Article II, and Section 1 of Article III of the bylaws shall not be altered, amended or repealed, and no provisions inconsistent therewith shall be adopted, without the affirmative vote of the holders of not less than a majority seventy-five percent (75%) of the outstanding stock of the corporation entitled to vote generally in the election of directors, voting together as a single class (it being understood that for the purposes of this Article FIFTH, each share shall have one vote except as otherwise provided in accordance with Article FOURTH).”

- Article Sixth, subsection (e) – Currently requires a supermajority vote to remove a director without cause:

“(e) Any director may be removed from office without cause but only by the affirmative vote of the holders of not less than a majority seventy-five percent (75%) of the outstanding stock of the corporation entitled to vote generally in the election of directors, voting together as a single class (it being understood that for the purpose of this Article SIXTH, each share shall have one vote except as otherwise provided in accordance with Article FOURTH).”

- Article Eighth – Currently requires a supermajority vote to amend Articles Fifth, Sixth, Seventh and Eighth:

“EIGHTH: The corporation reserves the right to amend, alter, change or repeal any provision contained in this Certificate of Incorporation, in the manner now or hereafter prescribed by statute and this Certificate of Incorporation, and all rights conferred on stockholders herein are granted subject to this reservation. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the affirmative vote of not less than a majority seventy-five percent (75%) of the total voting power of all outstanding shares of stock in this corporation entitled to vote generally in the election of directors voting together as a single class (it being understood that for the purposes of this Article EIGHTH, each share shall have one vote except as otherwise

provided in accordance with Article FOURTH) shall be required to alter, amend or repeal, or adopt any provisions inconsistent with the provisions set forth in Articles FIFTH, SIXTH, SEVENTH, and this Article EIGHTH."

Bylaws

- Article III, Section 1(e): Requires a supermajority vote to remove a director without cause.

"(e) Removal. Any director may be removed from office without cause but only by the affirmative vote of the holders of not less than a majority seventy-five percent (75%) of the outstanding stock of the corporation entitled to vote generally in the election of directors, voting together as a single class."

FOR THESE REASONS, YOUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS VOTING "FOR" PROPOSAL 5.

1 See footnote 1 in Appendix B.

Page 34

Stockholder Proposals

PROPOSAL 6 — Independent Chairman of the Board

Pursuant to Rule 14a-8(l)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Company will provide the name, address and number of Company securities held by the proponent of this stockholder proposal promptly upon receipt of a written or oral request.

This proposal requires an affirmative vote of the majority of shares present or represented at the Annual Meeting and entitled to vote to pass.

Supporting Statement of Proponent

I believe:

- The role of the CEO and management is to run the company.
- The role of the Board of Directors is to provide independent oversight of the CEO and management.

I believe that the Chair of the Board of Directors should not be the CEO but should be a director independent of management.

As Intel co-founder and former chairman Andrew Grove put it, "The separation of the two jobs goes to the heart of the conception of a corporation. Is a company a sandbox for the CEO, or is the CEO an employee? If he's an employee, he needs a boss, and that boss is the board. The chairman runs the board. How can the CEO be his own boss?"

In 2009, Yale University's Millstein Center for Corporate Governance and Performance published a Policy Briefing paper "Chairing the Board," arguing the case for a separate, independent Board Chair. The report states that chairing and overseeing the Board is a time intensive responsibility and that a separate Chair leaves the CEO free to manage the

company and build effective business strategies.

A nonexecutive Chair is the prevailing practice in the United Kingdom and many other countries. More and more U. S. companies are separating the Chair and the CEO as a matter of good corporate governance. Nearly 40% of the S&P 500 companies have boards not chaired by their CEO.

I believe many U. S. companies hold back in making this transition to an independent Chair out of concern that such a move might be viewed as the demotion of the incumbent Chair/CEO, suggesting perhaps a loss of confidence in him or her. In apparent reflection of this, shareholder resolutions have been proposed in a number of companies requesting that the Board adopt a policy of an independent Chair and implementing that policy when the next CEO is chosen.

In October 2009, Caterpillar announced that Douglas Oberhelman would succeed James Owens as CEO. I am delighted that Caterpillar has been able to achieve CEO succession of promoting from within someone whom the board knows well and who has a 35-year successful career at Caterpillar. I have every confidence in Mr. Oberhelman's ability to run the company.

However, I feel now is the time that Caterpillar should transition to an independent Chair. I believe that, as a matter of good corporate governance, Mr. Oberhelman should not chair the Board, but the Board should elect a director independent of management as chair to lead it in its role of providing independent oversight of the new CEO and his management team.

Resolution Proposed by Stockholder

Resolved: The shareholders request the Board of Directors to adopt as policy that, whenever possible, the Chair of the Board of Directors not be the Chief Executive Officer or anyone reporting, directly or indirectly, to the chief executive officer.

Page 35

Caterpillar Response to PROPOSAL 6 – Independent Chairman of the Board

Statement in Opposition to Proposal

After careful consideration, the Board recommends voting AGAINST this proposal for the reasons provided below.

The combined role of Chairman and CEO promotes unified leadership and a clear focus for management as it executes our strategy and business plans.

The Board believes the combined role of Chairman and CEO promotes unified leadership and direction for the Company, which allows for a single, clear focus for management to execute our strategy and business plans. The Board has adopted Guidelines on Corporate Governance Issues (available at www.CAT.com/governance), consistent with our Bylaws, which state that the role of the Chairman of the Board is to be filled by the Company's CEO. This leadership structure has fostered the continued success of the Company, which has paid a cash dividend every year since its formation in 1925. Over the past five years, our annual total cumulative stockholder return has consistently outperformed the S&P 500 Index. Even in 2009, in the most challenging economic environment since the Great Depression, we remained profitable, improved our balance sheet and maintained our dividend rate and our "mid-A" credit ratings. The Board believes that these results are, in part, the product of the unified and focused leadership of

our Chairman and CEO. The combined structure also avoids the added costs and inefficiencies that would result by mandating an independent Chairman.

You have repeatedly rejected similar proposals in the past.

Our stockholders rejected similar stockholder proposals in 2006, 2007 and 2009. The proposal suggests that the Board cannot provide effective independent oversight of the Company and its management team because an independent director does not hold the office of Chairman. The Board believes that this assertion is without merit and the majority of our stockholders have indicated that they agree. Indeed, the majority of S&P 500 companies currently combine the positions of Chairman of the Board and CEO.

The proposal suggests that the succession of a new Chairman in 2010 is an opportunity to transition to an independent Chairman of the Board. Rather than introduce uncertainty and doubt regarding roles and responsibilities during the succession, as suggested by the proposal, the Board has determined to amend the Company's Bylaws to allow the current Chairman and CEO to continue to serve as Chairman of the Board during a transition period of no more than six months, following which time the new CEO will assume the role of Chairman. The Board considers that this approach to succession will provide stability and continuity as we execute on our strategy and business plans.

The Board has already adopted measures, including establishing the role of an independent Presiding Director, to assure its ability to provide independent oversight of management.

The Board agrees with the proponent that its role, among others, is to provide independent oversight of the CEO and management and has adopted various policies to ensure its independence. Each of the directors, with the exception of the Chairman, has been determined to be independent as defined under NYSE regulations, and all committees of the Board are made up entirely of independent directors. The Board and Governance Committee have consistently selected strong, accomplished individuals as directors, many of whom are currently or recently have been leaders of major companies or institutions, and all of whom are independent thinkers and have a wide range of relevant expertise and skills.

In addition, in 2007 the independent directors unanimously elected, from the ranks of the independent directors, the Chairman of the Governance Committee as the Board's Presiding Director. The Presiding Director's duties and responsibilities include: (i) presiding at all meetings of the Board at which the Chairman is not present; (ii) serving as a liaison between the Chairman and the independent directors; (iii) approving information sent to the Board; (iv) approving meeting agendas for the Board; (v) approving meeting schedules to assure that there is sufficient time for discussion of all agenda items; (vi) authority to call meetings of the independent directors; and (vii) if requested by major shareholders, ensuring that he is available for consultation and direct communication. Based on these duties and responsibilities, the Board believes that the Presiding Director provides an effective "counter-balance" to the combined role of CEO and Chairman.

Page 36

The Board regularly meets in executive session without management. The Presiding Director chairs these meetings and provides the Board's guidance and feedback to the Chairman and the Company's management team. The Board has complete access to the Company's management team. On a regular basis, the Board and its committees receive information and reports from management on the status of the Company and the risks associated with the Company's strategy and business plans.

Given the strong leadership of the Company's Chairman and CEO, the counter-balancing role of the Presiding Director and a Board comprised of strong and independent directors, the Board continues to believe it is in the best long-term interests of the Company and its stockholders to maintain the combined role of Chairman and CEO.

FOR THESE REASONS, YOUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS VOTING "AGAINST" PROPOSAL 6.

PROPOSAL 7 – Review of Global Corporate Standards

Pursuant to Rule 14a-8(l)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Company will provide the name, address and number of Company securities held by the proponents of this stockholder proposal promptly upon receipt of a written or oral request.

This proposal requires an affirmative vote of the majority of shares present or represented at the Annual Meeting and entitled to vote to pass.

Supporting Statement of Proponents

Caterpillar's current policy, the Worldwide Code of Conduct, contains no references to existing international human rights codes except for a corporate policy of non-discrimination, and aspirational goals to maintain employee health and safety. It also does not apply to company dealers whose activities can carry extensive reputational risks for Caterpillar. We believe company policies should reflect more robust, comprehensive understanding of human rights.

We recommend the review include policies designed to protect human rights-civil, political, social, environmental, cultural and economic-based on internationally recognized human rights standards: i.e.; the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the Fourth Geneva Convention, the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, core labor standards of the International Labor Organization, the International Covenant on Economic, Cultural and Social Rights, and United Nations resolutions and reports of UN special rapporteurs on countries where Caterpillar does business.

This review and report will assure shareholders that Caterpillar policies and practices reflect or conform to human rights conventions and guidelines and international law. While we are not recommending specific provisions of the above-named international conventions, we believe significant commercial advantages may accrue to our company by adopting a comprehensive human rights policy based on the UN Human Rights Norms serving to enhance corporate reputation, improve employee recruitment and retention, improve community and stakeholder relations and reduce risk of adverse publicity, consumer boycotts, divestment campaigns and lawsuits.

Resolution Proposed by Stockholders

Whereas, Caterpillar, a global corporation, faces increasingly complex problems as the international social and cultural context within which Caterpillar operates changes.

Companies are faced with ethical and legal challenges arising from diverse cultures and political and economic contexts. Today, management must address issues that include human rights, workers' right to organize, non-discrimination in the workplace, protection of environment and sustainable community development. Caterpillar itself does business in countries with human rights challenges including China, Colombia, Myanmar/Burma, Syria and Israel and the occupied Palestinian territories.

We believe global companies must implement comprehensive codes of conduct, such as those found in “Principles for Global Corporate Responsibility: Bench Marks for Measuring Business Performance,” developed by an international group of religious investors. (April, 2003, www.ben-marks.org) Companies must formulate policies to reduce risk to reputation in the global marketplace. To address this situation, some companies, such as Hewlett-Packard and Coca-Cola, are even extending policies to include franchisees, licensees and agents that market, distribute or sell their products.

In August 2003, the United Nations Sub-Commission on the Promotion and Protection of Human Rights took historic action by adopting “Norms on the Responsibilities of Transnational Corporations and Other Business Enterprises with Regard to Human Rights.”(www1.umn.edu/humanrts/links/NormsApril2003.html)

RESOLVED, the shareholders request the Board of Directors to review and amend, where applicable, Caterpillar’s policies related to human rights that guide international and U.S. operations, extending policies to include franchisees, licensees and agents that market, distribute or sell its products, to conform more fully with international human rights and humanitarian standards, and that a summary of this review be posted on Caterpillar’s website by October 2010.

Caterpillar Response to PROPOSAL 7 – Review of Global Corporate Standards

Statement in Opposition to Proposal

After careful consideration, the Board recommends voting AGAINST this proposal for the reasons provided below.

Our Worldwide Code of Conduct (Code of Conduct) defines what we stand for and believe in, documenting the uncompromisingly high ethical standards our Company has upheld since its founding in 1925.

Our Code of Conduct, first published in 1974, is readily available on the Company’s website at www.CAT.com/code and, as illustrated in the following excerpts, already embodies many of the principles contained in the proponents’ proposal.

We Treat People Fairly and Prohibit Discrimination

- We build and maintain a productive, motivated work force by treating all employees fairly and equitably. We respect and recognize the contributions of employees.
- We will select and place employees on the basis of their qualifications for the work to be performed, considering accommodations as appropriate and needed -- without regard to their race, religion, national origin, color, gender, sexual orientation, age, and/or physical or mental disability.
 - We support and obey laws that prohibit discrimination everywhere we do business.

We Select, Place and Evaluate Employees Based on their Qualifications and Performance

- Caterpillar selects employees, and places them in positions, based on their personal qualifications and skills for the job. We evaluate and reward employees based on the quality of the work they do and the contributions they make to Caterpillar.

We Foster an Inclusive Environment

- We understand and accept the uniqueness, and are non-judgmental regarding differences, of individuals. We value the diversity of unique talents, skills, abilities, and experiences that enable Caterpillar people to achieve superior business and personal results.

We Conduct Business Worldwide With Consistent Global Standards

- As a global company, we understand that there are many differing economic and political philosophies and forms of government throughout the world. We acknowledge the wide diversity that exists among the social customs and cultural traditions in the countries in which we operate. We respect such differences, and to the extent that we can do so in keeping with the principles of our Code of Conduct, we will maintain the flexibility to adapt our business practices to them.

Page 38

We Protect the Health and Safety of Others and Ourselves

- As a company, we strive to contribute toward a global environment in which all people can work safely and live healthy, productive lives, now and in the future. We actively promote the health and safety of employees with policies and practical programs that help individuals safeguard themselves and their co-workers.

We Support Environmental Responsibility Through Sustainable Development

- Our products and services are intended to support sustainable development of global resources and they will meet or exceed applicable regulations and standards wherever they are initially sold. We establish and adhere to environmentally sound policies and practices in product design, engineering, and manufacturing. We educate and encourage our customers to use the products they purchase from us in environmentally responsible ways. We take effective steps to continually increase the natural resources efficiency and cleanliness of our facilities. We offer leadership and financial support to industry and community initiatives that share our commitment to the environment.

We Refuse to Make Improper Payments

- In dealing with public officials, other corporations, and private citizens, we firmly adhere to ethical business practices. We will not seek to influence others, either directly or indirectly, by paying bribes or kickbacks, or by any other measure that is unethical or that will tarnish our reputation for honesty and integrity. Even the appearance of such conduct must be avoided.

Living By the Code

- While we conduct our business within the framework of applicable laws and regulations, for us, mere compliance with the law is not enough. We strive for more than that. Through our Code of Conduct, we envision a work environment all can take pride in, a company others respect and admire, and a world made better by our actions.

We View Our Suppliers As Our Business Allies

- We look for suppliers and business allies who demonstrate strong values and ethical principles and who support our commitment to quality. We avoid those who violate the law or fail to comply with the sound business practices we promote.

At Caterpillar, we are dedicated to promoting a healthy, productive and rewarding work environment for our employees worldwide.

Our Code of Conduct reflects our dedication to these issues. Your Board believes that the Code of Conduct effectively articulates our long-standing support for, and continued commitment to, human rights and does not believe that implementation of this proposal is necessary or desirable as the concerns raised by the proponent are already being addressed in a meaningful way. As these issues are already provided for in our Code of Conduct, the Board further believes that this proposal would add unnecessary cost to the Company and divert management's attention from the current processes in place to address these issues.

FOR THESE REASONS, YOUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS VOTING "AGAINST" PROPOSAL 7.

PROPOSAL 8 – Special Stockholder Meetings

Pursuant to Rule 14a-8(1)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Company will provide the name, address and number of Company securities held by the proponent of this stockholder proposal promptly upon receipt of a written or oral request.

This proposal requires an affirmative vote of the majority of shares present or represented at the Annual Meeting and entitled to vote to pass.

Supporting Statement of Proponent

A special meeting allows shareowners to vote on important matters, such as electing new directors, that can arise between annual meetings. If shareowners cannot call a special meeting investor returns may suffer. Shareowners should have the ability to call a special meeting when a matter merits prompt attention. This proposal does not impact our board's current power to call a special meeting.

This proposal topic also won more than 60% support at these companies in 2009: CVS Caremark (CVS), Sprint Nextel (S), Safeway (SWY), Motorola (MOT) and R.R. Donnelley (RRD).

We gave more than 57%-support each to 2008 and 2009 shareholder proposals calling for one-year terms for directors (declassification of the board). The Council of Institutional Investors www.cii.org recommends that management adopt shareholder proposals upon receiving their 50%-plus vote.

I believe that shareholders like us, who repeatedly give more than 57%-support to one-year terms for directors, will support a shareholder right to call a special meeting by a majority vote.

Please encourage our board to respond positively to this proposal regarding Special Shareowner Meetings.

Resolution Proposed by Stockholder

RESOLVED, Shareowners ask our board to take the steps necessary to amend our bylaws and each appropriate governing document to give holders of 10% of our outstanding common stock (or the lowest percentage allowed by law above 10%) the power to call a special shareowner meeting. This includes that a large number of small shareowners can combine their holdings to equal the above 10% of holders. This includes that such bylaw and/or charter text will not have any exception or exclusion conditions (to the fullest extent permitted by state law) that apply only to shareowners but not to management and/or the board.

Caterpillar Response to PROPOSAL 8 – Special Stockholder Meetings

Statement in Opposition to Proposal

After careful consideration, the Board recommends voting AGAINST this proposal for the reasons provided below.

Our Certificate of Incorporation already provides a process for calling special meetings, effectively safeguarding the broader interests of all stockholders.

The Board has a longstanding goal of providing effective governance of our business for the long-term benefit of our stockholders. The Board is composed of independent, active and effective directors. 14 of our 15 directors meet the independence requirements of the NYSE, the SEC and the Board's standards for determining director independence. The primary responsibility of the Board is to promote the best interests of Caterpillar and its stockholders by overseeing the management of the Company's business and affairs.

Page 40

Our Certificate of Incorporation provides that a special meeting of stockholders may be called at any time by a majority of the Board members. This provision is appropriate for a public company the size of Caterpillar because it allows the Board members, in accordance with their fiduciary duties, to exercise their business judgment to determine when it is in the best interests of the Company and its stockholders to convene a special meeting. These provisions effectively safeguard the broader interests of the Company and all stockholders by entrusting such decisions to a qualified and experienced group of directors elected by all stockholders who have a fiduciary duty to act in the best interests of the Company and all stockholders.

This proposal would enable holders of only 10 percent of our outstanding shares to call special stockholders' meetings without any limitation on the number or frequency of such meetings. Adoption of this proposal would mean that a small minority of stockholders acting together would be able to call such a special meeting. Thus, a very small group of stockholders could call special meetings on topics that do not concern, or may be of little or no interest or value to, the majority of stockholders.

A special stockholders' meeting would be an expensive and time-consuming event. Proxy materials would have to be prepared, printed and distributed, requiring the Company to incur significant costs. The Board and management of the Company would be required to spend a significant amount of time preparing for the meeting, diverting their attention from overseeing and managing the Company's business. As a result, the Board believes that a special stockholders' meeting should be convened when the Board members, exercising their fiduciary obligations, determine that there is an extraordinary matter or significant strategic concern that requires consideration by the Company's stockholders before the next annual meeting.

Our corporate governance policies ensure that the Board is held accountable and provide stockholders with access to the Board and ample opportunity to submit items for approval at annual meetings.

The Board believes that the Company's existing corporate governance policies provide an appropriate balance between ensuring that the Board is accountable to the stockholders and enabling the Board to effectively oversee the Company's business for the long-term benefit of the stockholders. In particular, stockholders may communicate directly with any director, any Board committee or the full Board; propose director nominees to the Governance Committee; and submit proposals for presentation at an annual meeting of the stockholders of the Company and for inclusion in the Company's proxy statement for that annual meeting.

In fact, the Board's governance practices and policies demonstrate its commitment to being accountable to the Company's stockholders, including the following:

- In June 2005, the Company terminated its shareholder rights plan, or “poison pill,” early in response to stockholder concerns.
- Based on shareholder votes in 2008 and 2009, the Board has approved a plan to declassify the Board so that all of the Directors are elected annually. We are seeking your approval for this plan set forth in Company Proposal 4.
- In response to current trends in corporate governance, we are also seeking your approval to eliminate certain supermajority voting requirements in our Certificate of Incorporation and Bylaws. See Company Proposal 5.

These policies provide stockholders with reasonable access to Board members and adequate opportunities to bring matters before the stockholders on an annual basis.

Our stockholders already have the right to vote on certain significant corporate transactions.

The suggestion that stockholders need the right to call special meetings to protect their interests in the case of extraordinary events involving the Company is simply misleading. We are subject to rules governing Delaware corporations and companies listed on the NYSE that already require us to submit certain significant matters to a stockholder vote for approval. For example, amendments to the Company’s Certificate of Incorporation, mergers, a sale of all or substantially all of the Company’s assets, increases in the number of authorized shares and the adoption of equity-based compensation plans all require a stockholder vote.

Page 41

Our stockholders expect us to make decisions based on facts – not speculation.

Currently, over half of the S&P 500 companies do not permit stockholders to call special meetings. However, without providing any factual basis, the proponent speculates that investor returns may suffer if stockholders are unable to call a special meeting. The Board believes that speculation is an insufficient justification to disrupt the Company’s operations and to incur the additional costs that would certainly be required if a small minority of activist stockholders were able to call special meetings.

FOR THESE REASONS, YOUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS VOTING “AGAINST” PROPOSAL 8.

PART FOUR — Other Important Information

Persons Owning More than Five Percent of Caterpillar Common Stock

Based on a review of any Schedule 13G and any amendments to Schedule 13G filed with the SEC through April 8, 2010, the following persons beneficially own more than five percent of Caterpillar common stock.

Persons Owning More than Five Percent of Caterpillar Common Stock(1) (as of December 31, 2009)

Name and Address	Voting Authority		Dispositive Authority		Total Amount of Beneficial Ownership	Percent of Class
	Sole	Shared	Sole	Shared		
BlackRock, Inc.	32,738,861	0	32,738,861	0	32,738,861	5.24

40 East 52nd Street
New York, NY 10022

State Street Corporation and various
direct and indirect subsidiaries (2)

State Street Financial Center One Lincoln Street Boston, MA 02111	0	27,518,971	0	86,887,071	86,887,071	13.9
---	---	------------	---	------------	------------	------

- (1) This information is based upon Schedule 13Gs filed with the SEC for year end December 31, 2009, except for Percent of Class adjusted from percent reported in the Schedule 13Gs filed by BlackRock and State Street to percentages calculated using Caterpillar's actual outstanding share amount of 624,722,719 at December 31, 2009.
- (2) State Street Bank and Trust Company serves as investment manager for certain Caterpillar defined benefit plans (17,711,047 shares) and defined contribution plans (41,657,053 shares).

Page 42

Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management

Security ownership of management is included in the following table.

Caterpillar Common Stock Owned by Executive Officers and Directors (as of December 31, 2009)

Blount	73,033	1	Magowan	327,980	12
Brazil	39,803	2	Oberhelman	826,401	13
Burritt	168,797	3	Osborn	57,699	14
Dickinson	3,820	4	Owens	1,935,336	15
Dillon	78,429	5	Powell	53,387	16
Fife	53,000	6	Rapp	285,874	17
Fosler	31,515	7	Rust	35,933	18
Gallardo	267,756	8	Schwab	1,518	
Goode	98,800	9	Smith	43,352	19
Lavin	256,282	10	Vittecoq	611,987	20
Levenick	487,964	11	Wunning	536,423	21
			All directors and executive officers as a group	6,687,583	22

- 1 Blount - Includes 55,000 shares subject to stock options exercisable within 60 days. In addition to the shares listed above, a portion of compensation has been deferred pursuant to the Directors' Deferred Compensation Plan (DDCP) representing an equivalent value as if such compensation had been invested on December 31, 2009, in 1,659 shares of common stock.
- 2 Brazil - Includes 31,000 shares subject to stock options exercisable within 60 days. In addition to the shares listed above, a portion of compensation has been deferred pursuant to DDCP representing an equivalent value as if such compensation had been invested on December 31, 2009, in 612 shares of common stock.
- 3 Burritt - Includes 148,200 shares subject to stock options exercisable within 60 days. In addition to the shares listed above, a portion of compensation has been deferred pursuant to the Supplemental Deferred Compensation Plan (SDCP), Supplemental Employees' Investment Plan (SEIP) and/or the Deferred Employees' Investment Plan (DEIP) representing an equivalent value as if such compensation had been

invested on December 31, 2009, in 278 shares of common stock.

4 Dickinson - In addition to the shares listed above, a portion of compensation has been deferred pursuant to DDCP representing an equivalent value as if such compensation had been invested on December 31, 2009, in 7,537 shares of common stock.

5 Dillon - Includes 55,000 shares subject to stock options exercisable within 60 days. In addition to the shares listed above, a portion of compensation has been deferred pursuant to DDCP representing an equivalent value as if such compensation had been invested on December 31, 2009, in 5,880 shares of common stock.

6 Fife - Includes 31,000 shares subject to stock options exercisable within 60 days.

7 Fosler - Includes 23,000 shares subject to stock options exercisable within 60 days.

8 Gallardo - Includes 55,000 shares subject to stock options exercisable within 60 days. In addition to the shares listed above, a portion of compensation has been deferred pursuant to DDCP representing an equivalent value as if such compensation had been invested on December 31, 2009, in 9,363 shares of common stock.

9 Goode - Includes 47,000 shares subject to stock options exercisable within 60 days. In addition to the shares listed above, a portion of compensation has been deferred pursuant to DDCP representing an equivalent value as if such compensation had been invested on December 31, 2009, in 45,868 shares of common stock.

10 Lavin - Includes 208,000 shares subject to stock options exercisable within 60 days. In addition to the shares listed above, a portion of compensation has been deferred pursuant to SDCP, SEIP and/or DEIP representing an equivalent value as if such compensation had been invested on December 31, 2009, in 16,776 shares of common stock.

11 Levenick - Includes 415,000 shares subject to stock options exercisable within 60 days. In addition to the shares listed above, a portion of compensation has been deferred pursuant to SDCP, SEIP and/or DEIP representing an equivalent value as if such compensation had been invested on December 31, 2009, in 14,947 shares of common stock.

12 Magowan - Includes 23,000 shares subject to stock options exercisable within 60 days. In addition to the shares listed above, a portion of compensation has been deferred pursuant to DDCP representing an equivalent value as if such compensation had been invested on December 31, 2009, in 21,412 shares of common stock.

13 Oberhelman - Includes 745,399 shares subject to stock options exercisable within 60 days. In addition to the shares listed above, a portion of compensation has been deferred pursuant to SDCP, SEIP and/or DEIP representing an equivalent value as if such compensation had been invested on December 31, 2009, in 41,747 shares of common stock.

14 Osborn - Includes 31,000 shares subject to stock options exercisable within 60 days. In addition to the shares listed above, a portion of compensation has been deferred pursuant to DDCP representing an equivalent value as if such compensation had been invested on December 31, 2009, in 176 shares of common stock.

15 Owens - Includes 1,590,000 shares subject to stock options exercisable within 60 days. In addition to the shares listed above, a portion of compensation has been deferred pursuant to SDCP, SEIP and/or DEIP representing an equivalent value as if such compensation had been invested on December 31, 2009, in 8,137 shares of common stock.

16 Powell - Includes 47,000 shares subject to stock options exercisable within 60 days. In addition to the shares listed above, a portion of compensation has been deferred pursuant to DDCP representing an equivalent value as if such compensation had been invested on December 31, 2009, in 176 shares of common stock.

17 Rapp - Includes 246,000 shares subject to stock options exercisable within 60 days. In addition to the shares listed above, a portion of compensation has been deferred pursuant to SDCP, SEIP and/or DEIP representing an equivalent value as if such compensation had been invested on December 31, 2009, in 20,036 shares of common stock.

18

Rust - Includes 31,000 shares subject to stock options exercisable within 60 days. In addition to the shares listed above, a portion of compensation has been deferred pursuant to DDCP representing an equivalent value as if such compensation had been invested on December 31, 2009, in 13,339 shares of common stock.

19 Smith - Includes 27,000 shares subject to stock options exercisable within 60 days. In addition to the shares listed above, a portion of compensation has been deferred pursuant to DDCP representing an equivalent value as if such compensation had been invested on December 31, 2009, in 1,811 shares of common stock.

20 Vittecoq - Includes 507,000 shares subject to stock options exercisable within 60 days.

21 Wunning - Includes 465,000 shares subject to stock options exercisable within 60 days. In addition to the shares listed above, a portion of compensation has been deferred pursuant to SDCP, SEIP and/or DEIP representing an equivalent value as if such compensation had been invested on December 31, 2009, in 21,729 shares of common stock.

22 This group includes directors, named executive officers and five additional executive officers subject to Section 16 filing requirements (group). Amount includes 5,133,239 shares subject to stock options exercisable within 60 days and 478,653 shares for which voting and investment power is shared. The group beneficially owns 1.07 percent of the Company's outstanding common stock. None of the shares held by the group have been pledged.

Page 43

Compensation

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

At Caterpillar, integrity is one of our core values. We believe in the power of honesty and know the only way to build and strengthen our reputation is through trust. We hold ourselves to the highest standard of integrity and ethical behavior and strive for transparency. We welcome the opportunity to share this Compensation Discussion and Analysis (CD&A) with our stockholders.

We understand investors have a vested interest in executive compensation. After reading this CD&A, we hope you will recognize a few vital points:

§ We have a thorough compensation review process

§ We have a competitive compensation plan that aligns executive performance and long-term stockholder interests

§ We believe the best way to compensate our executives is to base their rewards on performance

§ We have no severance packages that apply solely to executives. Change in Control provisions are found within existing compensation plans and apply equally to all participants in those plans.

§ We do not backdate or re-price equity grants

During fiscal year 2009, Caterpillar was organized into six groups, each led by a group president. Because the six group presidents have comparable responsibilities and are similarly compensated, we are including all six of the group presidents as named executive officers (NEOs), in addition to the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer.

On October 22, 2009, we announced that James W. Owens, Chairman and CEO, would retire on October 31, 2010. As Owens' successor, Douglas R. Oberhelman became Vice Chairman and CEO-Elect on January 1, 2010, and is expected to become CEO on July 1, 2010 and Chairman on November 1, 2010.

This CD&A describes the overall compensation practices at Caterpillar and specifically describes the 2009 total compensation for the following NEOs:

	§	James W. Owens, Chairman and CEO
§		Douglas R. Oberhelman, Vice Chairman, CEO-Elect and Group President
	§	Richard P. Lavin, Group President
	§	Stuart L. Levenick, Group President
	§	Edward J. Rapp, Group President
	§	Gerard R. Vittecoq, Group President
	§	Steven H. Wunning, Group President
§		David B. Burritt, Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

We are fortunate to have executives who are “career employees” with a strong commitment to the long-term success of Caterpillar. In fact, the average tenure of our NEOs is 33 years. Our reputation is a reflection of our employees’ ethical performance, and the values that guide Caterpillar have in turn rewarded our employees and stockholders with a successful and profitable Company.

Compensation Philosophy and Objectives

Two primary components define Caterpillar’s compensation philosophy: Pay for Performance and Pay at Risk.

As an employee’s responsibility increases, so does the proportional amount of “at risk” pay. This is especially true for our executives who have direct responsibility for overall Company performance. A significant portion of executive pay depends on meeting certain performance goals, which is fundamental to aligning executive pay with long-term stockholder interests.

Page 44

The Compensation Committee established three principles to drive this philosophy through the Company’s compensation design.

1. Base salary, as a percentage of total direct compensation, should decrease as salary grade levels increase. As employees move to higher levels of responsibility with more direct influence over the Company’s performance, they have a higher percentage of pay at risk.
2. The ratio of long-term incentive compensation to short-term incentive compensation should increase as salary grade levels increase. Caterpillar expects executives to focus on the Company’s long-term success. The compensation program is designed to motivate executives to take actions that are best for the Company’s long-term viability.
3. Equity compensation should increase as salary grade levels increase. Employees in positions that most directly affect the Company’s performance should have profitable growth for the Company as their main priority. Receiving part of their compensation in the form of equity reinforces the link between their actions and stockholders’ investment. Equity ownership encourages executives to behave like owners and provides a clear link with stockholders’ interests.

In following these principles and by tying employee compensation to both individual performance and the long-term performance of the Company, Caterpillar links the interests of management and long-term stockholders. In addition, the compensation program is designed to attract and retain high-caliber, talented employees who will guide the Company in continuing to meet and exceed its performance goals.

Overview of Compensation Practices

The Compensation Committee is responsible for the compensation program design and decision-making process for NEOs. The Compensation Committee regularly reviews Caterpillar’s compensation practices, including the methodologies for setting NEO total compensation, the goals of the compensation program and the underlying compensation philosophies. The Compensation Committee believes that by utilizing long-term cash and equity as the predominant components of NEO total compensation, along with significant stock ownership requirements, the NEO objectives are aligned with those of our long-term stockholders.

The Compensation Committee also uses benchmarking data, provided by its compensation consultant, to track Caterpillar’s practices and compensation levels against comparable companies within its industry and across multiple industries. However, the Compensation Committee exercises its independent judgment when establishing compensation policies, especially when rewarding individual performance. The responsibilities of the Compensation Committee are described more fully in its charter, which is available at www.CAT.com/governance.

How Caterpillar Determines Total Compensation for Executives

Performance Evaluation: CEO

The Board, excluding the CEO, performs the CEO’s evaluation. The Board’s evaluation includes both objective and subjective criteria of the CEO’s performance, including:

- § Caterpillar’s financial performance
- § The accomplishment of Caterpillar’s long-term strategic objectives
- § The achievement of individual goals set at the beginning of each year
- § The development of Caterpillar’s top management team

Prior to the Board’s determination, the Compensation Committee evaluates CEO compensation using benchmarking information (discussed on page 47) to set total compensation. The Compensation Committee also performs its own performance review and provides its recommendations to the Board.

Performance Evaluations: NEOs other than CEO

The Compensation Committee, in conjunction with the CEO, performs the other NEOs’ evaluations, excluding Mr. Burritt whose evaluation is performed by Mr. Rapp. Each February, the CEO submits a performance assessment and compensation recommendation to the Compensation Committee for each of the other NEOs. The performance evaluation is based on factors such as:

- § Achievement of individual and Company objectives
- § Contribution to the Company’s performance
- § Leadership accomplishments

The Compensation Committee also reviews total compensation benchmark information, with respect to the other NEOs, in determining whether to increase or decrease the CEO’s compensation recommendation. The Compensation Committee makes the final decision regarding the total compensation for the other NEOs.

Compensation Consultant

For 2009, the Compensation Committee retained John L. Anderson of Hewitt Associates LLC (Hewitt) to provide ongoing advice and information regarding design and implementation of Caterpillar’s executive compensation programs. Mr. Anderson also provided information and updates to the Compensation Committee about regulatory and

other technical developments that may affect the Company's executive compensation programs. In addition, Mr. Anderson and a team at Hewitt provided the Compensation Committee with competitive market information, analyses and trends on executive base salary, short-term incentives, long-term incentives, benefits and perquisites.

With the full knowledge of the Compensation Committee, Caterpillar has retained a separate and distinct unit of Hewitt to be the third-party administrator for Caterpillar's U.S. retirement plans, as well as Caterpillar's U.S. Health & Welfare plans, in 2009.

In 2010, Hewitt's executive compensation group separated from Hewitt and formed Meridian Compensation Partners, LLC (Meridian). Mr. Anderson joined Meridian and is no longer employed by Hewitt. For 2010, the Compensation Committee hired Meridian solely as its consultant.

The Compensation Committee believes that Mr. Anderson and, for 2009, a team working with him from Hewitt, provided candid, direct and objective advice to the Compensation Committee, which was not influenced by any other services provided by Hewitt. To ensure independence:

- § The Compensation Committee directly hired and has the authority to terminate Mr. Anderson
- § Mr. Anderson is engaged by and reports directly to the Compensation Committee and its chairman
- § Mr. Anderson meets regularly and as needed with the Compensation Committee in executive sessions that are not attended by any personnel of the Company
- § Mr. Anderson has direct access to all members of the Compensation Committee during and between meetings
- § Mr. Anderson was not the Hewitt client relationship manager for Caterpillar
- § Neither Mr. Anderson nor any member of the team from Hewitt participated in any activities related to the administration services provided to Caterpillar by other Hewitt business units
- § Interactions between Mr. Anderson and management generally are limited to discussions on behalf of the Compensation Committee and information presented to the Compensation Committee for approval

Annual Review of Consultant Independence

The Compensation Committee is responsible, without the influence or input of management, for retaining and terminating compensation consultants and determining their terms and conditions, including fees. Hewitt provided the Compensation Committee an annual update on its services and related fees. The Compensation Committee determined whether the compensation consultant's services were performed objectively and free from the influence of management. The Compensation Committee also closely examined the safeguards and steps Hewitt took to ensure that its executive compensation consulting services were objective, for example:

- § Hewitt separated its executive compensation consulting services into a single, segregated business unit within Hewitt

Page 46

- § Hewitt paid its executive compensation consultants solely on their individual results and the results of its executive compensation consulting practice. In 2009, Mr. Anderson received no incentives based on other services Hewitt provided to Caterpillar.
- § Mr. Anderson does own shares in Hewitt; however, he did not receive stock options or other equity-related awards from Hewitt
- § The total amount of fees for executive compensation consulting services to the Compensation Committee in 2009 was \$255,822
- § The total amount of fees paid by Caterpillar to Hewitt in 2009 for all other services, excluding Compensation Committee services, was \$14,928,178. This is compared to total Hewitt fiscal 2009 revenues of \$3,073,560,000.
- § Other services were provided under a separate contractual arrangement and by a separate business unit at Hewitt

For these reasons, the Compensation Committee does not believe the services provided by Hewitt in conjunction with administering Caterpillar's benefit plans compromised Mr. Anderson's ability to provide the Compensation Committee with perspective and advice that was objective.

Peer Group Benchmarking

For 2009 peer group benchmarking, Caterpillar continued to use the Caterpillar Compensation Comparator Group (CCCG) for NEO compensation benchmarking, which consisted of the 28 large public companies listed below. Because we compete for executive talent from a variety of industries, the 28 companies represent a cross section of industries, not just heavy manufacturing companies. The peer group study methodology is consistent each year, which makes it easier to isolate how Caterpillar's executive compensation program is changing in relation to the market. The Compensation Committee monitors the CCCG to ensure that it continues to provide a reasonable comparison basis for executive compensation. There were no changes from 2008 to 2009 with respect to the companies included in the CCCG.

The CCCG's median annual revenue is less than Caterpillar's. To account for differences in the size of the companies in that group, the compensation consultant conducts a regression analysis with each comparison and presents the analysis to the Compensation Committee. Regression analysis adjusts the compensation data for differences in the companies' revenue, allowing Caterpillar to compare its compensation levels to similarly sized companies. The following companies compose the CCCG:

Page 47

Caterpillar uses a comparator group to benchmark (compare) all components of compensation to other companies within the group. Caterpillar targets the executive total cash compensation package, as well as the long-term incentive compensation components, at the size-adjusted median level of the comparator group. The Compensation Committee believes that targeting at the size-adjusted median level of the comparator group is necessary to attract and retain high-caliber employees. This ensures that Caterpillar remains competitive while maximizing its resources for stockholders.

Components of Caterpillar's Compensation Program

Total compensation is a mix of total cash and long-term incentives.

Executive Short-Term Incentive Plan (ESTIP) and Short-Term Incentive Plan (STIP) are annual incentive plans that deliver a targeted percentage of base salary (excluding any variable base pay) based on performance against predetermined enterprise goals. The plans are designed to focus the

NEOs on the shorter-term critical issues that are indicative of improved year-over-year performance.

The Long-Term Incentive Plan (LTIP) includes both equity and cash under the Long-Term Cash Performance Plan (LT CPP). LTIP is designed to reward the Company's key employees for achieving and/or exceeding the Company's long-term goals, to drive stockholder return and to foster stock ownership.

Total compensation for all NEOs is a mix of annual total cash and long-term incentives.

Annual base salary represents a relatively small portion of our NEOs' compensation. In fact, on average, 73 percent of annual compensation for our NEOs varies each year based on Caterpillar's performance. The following chart shows the 2009 total compensation mix (based on targeted compensation).

Page 48

Total Annual Cash Compensation

The Compensation Committee's review of 2009 market data showed total annual cash compensation structures for all NEOs were in line with the size-adjusted median level of the CCCG. The Compensation Committee made no adjustments to the base salary compensation structures, or to the short-term incentive target opportunities shown below.

Total cash includes base salary and the Executive Short-Term Incentive Plan or Short-Term Incentive Plan.

Base Salary

Base salary increases are performance-driven. The Compensation Committee uses the criteria described in the "Performance Evaluation" section to assess performance, which are assigned no particular weighting. Base salary increases, however, are dependent upon assessment of these factors. Base salary structures for Caterpillar executives are designed with a midpoint set at the size-adjusted median level of the CCCG. For all employees, the minimum of the base salary structure is 80 percent of the midpoint of the salary structure and the maximum is 120 percent of the midpoint of the salary structure. Base salaries for the NEOs are not increased above the midpoint of their respective

structures without meeting certain performance requirements. If NEOs reach the midpoint of their salary structure, any amount awarded above the midpoint must be re-earned annually and approved by the Compensation Committee. This amount is called variable base pay and is paid in the form of an annual lump sum cash award (disclosed in the “Bonus” column of the Summary Compensation table on page 61). This reinforces the Pay for Performance component of Caterpillar’s compensation program. Additionally, due to current economic conditions, NEO base salary structures have been frozen and merit increases have been eliminated for 2009 and 2010.

Executive Short-Term Incentive Plan

The NEOs, excluding Mr. Burritt, participated in the 2009 ESTIP. The CEO was eligible for a target opportunity of 135 percent of base salary and the group presidents were eligible for a target opportunity of 100 percent of base salary.

In February 2009, the Compensation Committee reviewed and approved two enterprise-focused measures for the 2009 ESTIP. As further described below, these two measures link the compensation of the CEO and group presidents directly to the overall performance of Caterpillar. The measures and their relative weights in determining ESTIP payouts are as follows:

- §75% Corporate Return on Assets
- §25% Enterprise Quality

Prior to any ESTIP payout a “trigger” must be achieved, which is based on the Company’s PPS. If the trigger is not achieved, there is no ESTIP payout. The Compensation Committee approved a PPS trigger of \$2.50 for ESTIP because Caterpillar has a strategic goal of achieving a PPS of at least \$2.50 annually. Due to a PPS of \$1.43 in 2009, no payments were made under ESTIP.

Corporate Return on Assets (ROA) is Machinery and Engines profit after tax plus short-term incentive compensation expense (after tax) divided by average monthly Machinery and Engines assets.

Enterprise Quality is an average of the business unit quality performance factors.

Profit Per Share (PPS) is the portion of a company’s profit allocated to each outstanding share of common stock, diluted by the assumed exercise of stock-based compensation awards. PPS serves as an indicator of a company’s profitability. This is also known as Earnings Per Share.

As with all components of Caterpillar’s compensation program, ESTIP rewards performance. For both measures listed above, the Compensation Committee established the threshold, target and maximum performance levels. If the threshold level is not achieved for a given measure, there is no ESTIP payout on that measure. Increasingly larger payouts are awarded for achievement of target and maximum performance levels. The following table outlines the payout factor range that applied to each performance level. The payout factor for each measure does not exceed 200 percent.

Return On Assets

Mean Dealer Repair Frequency measures the dealer repair frequency for a collection of products over a period of time approximately equal to their first year of operation.

The Compensation Committee approved ROA as the largest portion of 2009 ESTIP. The Compensation Committee selected ROA because it is a good indicator of how efficiently the Company is using its assets to generate earnings and driving value for our stockholders. The Compensation Committee reviewed forecasted versus actual ROA results to determine the appropriate target for the 2009 measure. The corporate ROA slope ranged from a threshold of 4.40 percent to the maximum of 12.40 percent, with a target of 7.10 percent. The following table illustrates ROA performance levels:

Very Early Hour Reliability captures the number of dealer-performed repairs to a product that occur from the pre-delivery inspection through the initial hours of machine operation.

Enterprise Quality

The Compensation Committee approved enterprise quality as the other 2009 ESTIP factor. The Compensation Committee selected enterprise quality because Caterpillar must continue to place an increased emphasis on quality across the entire organization to meet our long-term goals. Enterprise quality was measured by the average of the various business unit quality performance factors, which are Mean Dealer Repair Frequency, Very Early Hour Reliability, Significant Part Numbers and Cat Production System Assessment. Each business unit's quality performance factor or factors were weighted based on its applicable 2009 net sales and transfers (inter-company sales). The results were averaged to determine the enterprise quality result.

Significant Part Numbers are part numbers that have had failures in the last three years on products built in the last five years (unless the part is a remanufactured part).

The 2009 ESTIP results were as follows:

Cat Production System (CPS) Assessment is the common Order-To-Delivery process used to achieve our long-term safety, quality, velocity, earnings and growth goals.

Due to a PPS of \$1.43 in 2009, no payments were made under 2009 ESTIP and the individual payout factors were not applicable.

Page 50

Short-Term Incentive Plan

As a vice president, our CFO, Mr. Burritt, participated in Caterpillar's 2009 STIP. Mr. Burritt was eligible for a target opportunity of 90 percent of base salary. The measures and their relative weights in determining the 2009 STIP are as follows:

§	87.5% Corporate Return on Assets
§	12.5% Enterprise Quality

PPS of \$2.50 was also used as the "trigger" for payout under the 2009 STIP. The same trigger methodology applies for STIP as described previously for ESTIP.

Due to a PPS of \$1.43 in 2009, no payments were made under 2009 STIP and the individual payout factors were not applicable.

Long-Term Incentive Plan

Run rate measures the rate at which companies grant

The Compensation Committee annually analyzes market data on portfolio approaches for long-term incentive plans. Based on advice from the compensation consultant, portfolio approaches, where two or more long-term incentive compensation awards are used in some combination, are common practice. For example, SARs reward share appreciation; time-vested restricted units strengthen and enhance retention; and cash performance awards reinforce a long-term pay-for-results culture (see page 52 for definition of SARs and restricted stock units).

Caterpillar uses all three awards in its executive compensation package. Instead of awarding all long-term compensation in the form of equity, the Compensation Committee has decided to award a portion in cash. The Compensation Committee sets the cash percent for NEOs each year. The cash award is tied to long-term stockholder performance due to the measures within the plan. This amount is then removed from the total CCCG long-term market award value. Providing a portion of long-term incentive in the form of cash also allows Caterpillar the ability to manage its share run rate, and preserve the available pool of shares authorized for issuance under LTIP. The 2009 LTIP award mix is illustrated in the following table:

equity. It is the number of shares granted under LTIP in any one year divided by the number of common shares outstanding.

An equity award is a stock award representing ownership in the Company. Equity for Caterpillar currently consists of stock-settled Stock Appreciation Rights, Restricted Stock Units and restricted stock.

The standard equity award is the equity value determined each year by the Compensation Committee. Each year, we benchmark against the CCCG to determine our standard award level, which is set at the size-adjusted median level of the comparator group.

Page 51

Equity

Each year, the Compensation Committee benchmarks against the CCCG to determine a competitive equity award for each salary grade, including NEOs. Our process benchmarks total equity value for all salary grades. Consistent with the Company's compensation philosophy, individuals at higher levels receive a greater proportion of total pay in the form of equity.

In February 2009, the Compensation Committee approved the 2009 equity design, which consisted of a mix of SARs and RSUs. This equity design supports our Pay for Performance and Pay at Risk philosophy. RSUs represent actual shares of stock and therefore carry less risk than SARs.

The Compensation Committee has the discretion to make positive or negative adjustments to equity awards based on a subjective assessment of an individual's performance, provided these adjustments do not increase the total number of awards issued to employees.

A Stock Appreciation Right (SAR) is a right to receive Caterpillar common shares based on the appreciation in value of a set number of shares of Company stock between the grant date and the exercise date. SARs were introduced in 2006 because they extend the life of the Caterpillar stock option pool and minimize stockholder dilution.

At the February 2009 Compensation Committee meeting, Mr. Owens discussed his recommendations with respect to standard equity award adjustments for all NEOs, other than himself. Equity award adjustments were made and were based upon individual performance (discussed in the “Other NEOs Compensation Decisions” section of this CD&A). NEO equity grants, other than Mr. Owens’, ranged from 71,176 to 166,252 SARs and 4,449 to 7,335 RSUs. At the February 2009 Board meeting, the Chairman of the Compensation Committee, Mr. Goode, in consultation with the Board and in accordance with the following “Annual Equity Grant Timing” section, established the equity award for Mr. Owens based on exceptional performance (discussed in “Compensation Decisions – Chairman and CEO Compensation Decisions – Equity Grant for 2010” section of this CD&A). Accordingly, for the 2009 equity grant, Mr. Owens received 504,180 SARs and 20,152 RSUs.

The final 2009 SAR and RSU awards for the NEOs are disclosed in the Grants of Plan-Based Awards in 2009 table on page 63.

Annual Equity Grant Timing

At the October 2008 Compensation Committee meeting, the Committee approved a formal policy for setting the timing of the annual equity grant date. As a result, beginning in 2009, the grant date for the annual equity grant will be the first Monday in March.

Caterpillar does not backdate, re-price or grant equity awards retroactively. The Compensation Committee approved the valuation of the 2009 equity awards at the February 10, 2009 meeting and delegated its authority to finalize the individual grants on the grant date to the Compensation Committee chair. The grant price (\$22.17) was the closing price for Caterpillar stock as reported on the NYSE on March 2, 2009 (grant date). All 2009 equity grants for the NEOs are disclosed in the Grants of Plan-Based Awards in 2009 table on page 63.

Chairman’s Restricted Stock Award Program

The CEO may submit restricted stock grant recommendations to the Compensation Committee at any Compensation Committee meeting. The Compensation Committee reviews the amount of the proposed grants as well as the CEO’s reasoning and approves or rejects the requested restricted stock grants. The Compensation Committee delegated the authority to execute the Chairman’s Restricted Stock Award Program, in its entirety, to the CEO. At the end of each year, the CEO will report an annual summary of the program to the Compensation Committee.

A Restricted Stock Unit (RSU) is a grant valued in terms of Company stock. At the time of the grant, no Company stock is issued. The grant entitles the recipient to receive Caterpillar common shares at the time of vesting. RSUs were introduced in 2007 because they reduce the share run rate and may be more tax efficient for equity-eligible employees outside the United States.

The Chairman’s Restricted Stock Award Program is a tool that makes equity a part of the compensation program to help attract and retain outstanding performers. Key elements of the program are (i) selected performance and retention-based grants can be made to officers and other key employees, as well as prospective employees; (ii) restricted shares have three to five year vesting schedules; and (iii) restricted shares are forfeited if the grantee leaves Caterpillar prior to vesting.

Stock Ownership Requirements

Equity compensation encourages our executives to have an owner's perspective in managing the Company. Accordingly, the Compensation Committee approved stock ownership requirements for all participants receiving equity compensation.

Specifically, NEOs are required to own shares equal to a minimum of 50 percent of the average (based on number of shares) of the last five grants received. Failure to meet these guidelines results in automatic grant reductions, unless compelling personal circumstances prevent an employee from meeting his or her targeted ownership requirement.

Even though Caterpillar targets all officers' total compensation at the size-adjusted median level of the CCCG, its stock ownership requirements are much higher than the median level, reaching well into the upper quartile of practices of the companies examined. At present, all NEOs exceed stock ownership requirements.

Long-Term Cash Performance Plan

The LTCPP is a Pay at Risk plan that delivers a targeted percentage of base salary to each participant based on performance against the goals of the entire Company. The LTCPP is offered to NEOs and other key employees. A three-year performance cycle is established each year for determining compensation under the LTCPP. The Compensation Committee generally sets threshold, target and maximum levels that make the relative difficulty of achieving the target level consistent from year to year. The payout amount can vary greatly from one year to the next. The objective is to have payouts under the LTCPP be at target, on average, over a period of years.

Each year the Compensation Committee specifies two measures and their payout factors, such as relative PPS growth and ROA, both weighted 50 percent for the LTCPP. The threshold performance levels must be met under both measures before a payout is made under that particular measure; however, there is no overall trigger as there is under ESTIP and STIP. In other words, each measure triggers independently of the other. Increasingly larger payments are awarded when the target and maximum performance levels are achieved. The following table outlines the payout factor range that applies to each performance level.

The Compensation Committee selected the following Standard & Poor's 500 companies (S&P Group) to compare Caterpillar's performance against the performance of our specific industry. This S&P Group is used because market cycle fluctuations are minimized when compared to similar companies. The S&P Group is used only for the relative PPS growth measure, not for setting levels of compensation under the LTCPP. There were no changes in the S&P Group from 2008 to 2009.

Relative PPS growth is one of two measures in the LTCPP. It measures Caterpillar's PPS growth against those companies in the Standard & Poor's peer group.

Return On Assets (ROA) is a profitability measure that reveals how much profit a company generates with the assets of the company. This is one of two measures in the 2007-2009 LTCPP.

The companies in this S&P Group are:

The 2009 LTCPP payout was based on a three-year performance cycle, which began in 2007 and ended in 2009. The 2007-2009 cycle evaluated two components: relative PPS growth, measured against the S&P Group, and ROA, again each weighted 50 percent. At the February 2007 meeting, the Compensation Committee determined that the targets (shown in the following table) were very challenging and that achieving the targets during this performance cycle would put the Company far ahead of historical benchmark levels at other companies, including the CCCG, the S&P Group and the S&P 500 overall.

The final 2009 LTCPP ROA was 8.6 percent, resulting in a payout factor of 66.41 percent. The relative PPS growth percentile rank was 19, resulting in no payout for this performance measure. The resulting weighted payout factors were added together to calculate the total cash payout factor of 33.21 percent, which resulted in a collective payout of \$2.5 million for all of the NEOs.

The Compensation Committee has the discretion to reduce individual LTCPP awards based on performance, but individual increases are not permitted. No adjustments were made to the 2009 LTCPP payouts to the NEOs. Individual payouts were capped at \$5 million and are disclosed in the “Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation” column of the Summary Compensation table on page 61.

Similar to the 2007-2009 LTCPP cycle, the 2008-2010 and 2009-2011 LTCPP cycles include a relative PPS Growth and ROA measure.

Compensation Decisions

The Executive Office (CEO and six group presidents) works as a team to drive our corporate strategy and deliver the annual business plan. Our ESTIP is based on corporate ROA and enterprise quality metrics and is the same for each executive officer. Our LTIP is the same for each executive officer and is based on corporate PPS growth relative to our peer group and ROA. Annual merit pay adjustment and equity grants are based on the NEOs’ achievement of their goals set at the beginning of the year. Both elements of compensation are benchmarked with peer companies and therefore keep the total compensation package competitive.

Page 54

Chairman and CEO Compensation Decisions

The CEO is evaluated by the Board on Company and individual performance metrics. In February of 2010, the Board reviewed the Compensation Committee’s assessment of Mr. Owens’ individual goals (which were created at the beginning of 2009) and his performance against those goals. The most critical results for Mr. Owens for 2009 were as follows:

Despite the most significant recessionary industry conditions since the 1930s, Caterpillar delivered profitability for the year at \$1.43 per share, or \$2.18 per share excluding redundancy costs

§ Further, in the face of a \$19 billion drop in top line sales and revenues, the Company strengthened its balance sheet and maintained its credit rating and dividend

§ Caterpillar maintained positive employee communications throughout the financial crisis – the employee survey, with 94 percent participation, achieved a record 82 percent favorable response

§ Using the Cat Production System, the Company maintained enterprise focus on process discipline and execution through disruptive schedule fluctuations – safety, product quality, and delivery performance all improved

Equity Grant for 2010

On March 1, 2010, the Compensation Committee, with approval from the Board, granted Mr. Owens an equity award of 300,000 RSUs under the Company's LTIP. This award represents Mr. Owens' total equity compensation for 2010. The RSUs will vest on November 1, 2010; however, the Compensation Committee placed transfer restrictions on the shares of common stock that will be issued upon the vesting of the award. Pursuant to the restrictions, the shares may not be assigned, transferred or pledged until three years after the March 1, 2010 grant date.

In making this award, the Compensation Committee considered, among other things, Mr. Owens' significant contributions in leading Caterpillar through the present economic downturn and positioning it for future success.

The Compensation Committee determined that instead of the usual mixture of SARs and RSUs, which would vest soon after his retirement, a grant of RSUs, with a three-year transfer restricted period, would better tie Mr. Owens' final year compensation more directly to the longer-term success or failure of the actions taken in his final year as Chairman and CEO.

Other NEOs Compensation Decisions

The CEO presents each NEO's performance evaluation to the Compensation Committee (excluding Mr. Burritt's performance evaluation). The focus of the evaluation for other NEOs is based upon product and business unit metrics in their respective areas of responsibility. The CEO presented the Compensation Committee the following key points for Group Presidents in making compensation decisions for 2009. Mr. Rapp conducted the performance evaluation for Mr. Burritt.

Douglas R. Oberhelman, Group President

§ Formed Strategic Planning Committee and launched update of the Company's Vision 2020 after Board selection as Vice Chairman in October 2009

§ Helped deliver a significant increase in 2009 cash flow, through an intense focus on cost and inventory reduction

§ Provided executive leadership for our Diversity and Sustainability initiatives, maintaining important positive momentum through the financial crisis

§ Improved product quality for commercial reciprocating engines and safety for his direct report divisions

Richard P. Lavin, Group President

- § Traveled extensively in Asia-Pacific region effectively overseeing dealer development and capacity expansion in this dynamic growth region
- § Established a new country manager for China in 2009 and leveraged this position to gain more traction with governmental/public affairs in China
- § Cash flow for his divisions improved markedly from 2008 levels due to inventory reduction, lower capital expenditures and strong cost management
- § Significantly improved operational performance – on time shipment dates and safety improved over 2008 levels

Stuart L. Levenick, Group President

- § Provided executive leadership for the Enterprise Alignment transition that was launched to reduce organizational complexity, improve accountability, increase customer focus and streamline costs
- § Successfully aligned manufacturing operations in the Americas tri-sphere into one business unit – maintained Caterpillar Production System deployment momentum
- § Met aggressive inventory reduction goals and managed CAPEX down in large construction equipment business
- § Worked closely on growth initiatives tied to investments, acquisitions and/or joint ventures to expand mining and tunnel boring product lines and capacity

Edward J. Rapp, Group President

- § Provided executive leadership for the enterprise initiative to focus on cash flow and liquidity in order to maintain our credit rating and dividends
 - § Strongly supported restructure and cost reductions in small machine business division
- § Provided leadership to our captive finance company successfully navigating through very disruptive financial markets in 2009
 - § Markedly improved quality on new product introductions and reduced inventory

Gerard R. Vittecoq, Group President

- § Provided executive leadership to drive manufacturing execution and supply chain efficiencies across the corporation with the Cat Production System
 - § Markedly increased accountable cash flow from his business units in 2009
- § Championed enterprise machine product quality journey allowing us to achieve continuous improvement in 2009
 - § Drove significant improvement in safety, compared to 2008, in our European manufacturing groups

Steven H. Wunning, Group President

- § Effectively deployed a robust process to monitor the financial viability of over 900 suppliers
- § Provided executive leadership for the corporate Tier 4 emissions compliance initiative
- § Markedly increased cash flow from his business units in 2009 by reducing inventory and managing capital expenditures
 - § Drove enterprise simplification and logistics initiatives which significantly reduced costs

David B. Burritt, Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

- § Supported corporate efforts to maintain profitability with strong cash flow, hold mid-A credit rating and maintain the dividend
 - § Strengthened the balance sheet and reduced debt to capital ratio

§ Demonstrated strong cost management for Global Finance and Strategic Services, while also strengthening internal controls

§ Provided clear and consistent communication during a period of economic turbulence

Page 56

Retirement and Other Benefits

The defined contribution and defined benefit plans available to the NEOs (excluding Mr. Vittecoq for the reasons described below) are also available to many U.S. Caterpillar salaried and management employees. All of the NEOs (excluding Mr. Vittecoq) participate in all of the following U.S. retirement plans.

Mr. Vittecoq is not eligible for the U.S. benefit plans because he is on the Swiss payroll and eligible for the Swiss benefit programs. He participates in Caprevi, Prevoyance Caterpillar and the Swiss Employees' Investment Plan. Both are Swiss retirement plans that are available to all other Swiss management employees. Mr. Vittecoq is eligible under Caprevi, Prevoyance Caterpillar for an early retirement benefit with no reduction.

A defined contribution savings plan is a retirement plan that provides for an individual account for each participant and for benefits based solely upon the amount contributed to the participant's account, and any income, expenses, gains and losses.

A defined benefit pension plan is a retirement plan in which benefits must be definitely determinable. Plan formulas are geared to retirement benefits, not contributions. The plan is funded by contributions to a trust account that are separate from the general assets of the Company. The Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation insures certain benefits.

A qualified retirement plan is afforded special tax treatment for meeting a host of requirements of the Internal Revenue Code.

A nonqualified plan is designed primarily to provide retirement

income for essential employees. There are no limits on benefits or contributions, and there are no reporting requirements so long as it is not funded.

Pension Plans

Caterpillar Inc. Retirement Income Plan (RIP)

Many U.S. salaried and management employees are eligible to participate in RIP. Benefit amounts are not offset for any Social Security benefits. Plan participants may choose among several payment options, such as a single life annuity, term-certain or various joint and survivor annuity benefits. Of the NEOs, Mr. Lavin, Mr. Levenick, Mr. Oberhelman, Mr. Rapp, Mr. Wunning and Mr. Burritt are currently eligible for early retirement, with a four percent benefit reduction, per year, from age 62. Mr. Owens is currently eligible to retire with no reduction in benefits.

Supplemental Retirement Plan (SERP)

If an employee's annual compensation or retirement income benefit under RIP exceeds the Internal Revenue Service tax code limitations, the excess benefits are paid from the SERP. The formula used to calculate the benefit payable in SERP is similar to the one used under RIP. The formula is described in detail in the 2009 Pension Benefits table on page 66.

Page 57

Savings Plans

Caterpillar 401(k) Plan

Most U.S. salaried and management employees, including the U.S.-based NEOs, are eligible to participate in the 401(k) plan.

	§	Contributions are made on a pre-tax basis
§	Participants can contribute up to 70 percent of their base salary and STIP awards	
	§	Contributions are limited by the tax code
§	Company matches 100 percent of the first six percent of pay contributed to the savings plan	
	§	All contributions vest immediately

Supplemental Deferred Compensation Plan (SDCP)

In addition to the 401(k) plan, all U.S.-based NEOs are allowed to participate in SDCP, which provides the opportunity to increase deferrals of base salary and to elect deferrals of STIP and LTCPP awards.

§ The plan was created in March 2007 with a retroactive effective date of January 1, 2005. It effectively replaces SEIP and DEIP (both defined below). The change allows the Company to comply with Internal Revenue Code Section 409A.

§ Contributions are made on a pre-tax basis and are comprised of four possible contribution types:

- Supplemental Base Pay Deferrals (maximum 70 percent deferral election)
- Supplemental STIP Deferrals (maximum 70 percent deferral election)
- Supplemental LTCPP Deferrals (maximum 70 percent deferral election)
- Excess Base Pay Deferrals (flat six percent deferral election)

§ Supplemental Base Pay Deferrals earn matching contributions at a rate of six percent of the deferred amount

§ Up to six percent of Supplemental STIP Deferrals are matched dollar-for-dollar

§ Supplemental LTCPP Deferrals are not eligible for an employer matching contribution

§ Excess Base Pay Deferrals are matched 100 percent by the Company. This is provided to restore the matching opportunity that is not available under the qualified plan due to Internal Revenue Service tax code limitations.

§ All contributions vest immediately

Supplemental Employees' Investment Plan (SEIP) and Deferred Employees' Investment Plan (DEIP)

In addition to the 401(k) plan, all U.S.-based NEOs were previously allowed to participate in SEIP and DEIP. These plans were frozen to new participants and new salary deferrals in March of 2007. Pay deferred into SEIP and DEIP prior to January 1, 2005 remains in SEIP and DEIP. Pay deferred on and after January 1, 2005 was transferred to SDCP.

Perquisites

The Company provides NEOs a very limited number of perquisites that it and the Compensation Committee believe are reasonable and consistent with its overall compensation program, and necessary to remain competitive. The Compensation Committee annually reviews the levels of perquisites provided to the NEOs. Costs associated with perquisites provided by the Company are included in the 2009 All Other Compensation table on page 62. Descriptions of these perquisites are provided below:

§ Home security systems are provided to ensure the safety of our NEOs

§ Mr. Owens participates in the Director's Charitable Award program, which is provided to all directors of the Company, and is funded by life insurance arrangements for which the Company pays the premiums. Mr. Owens derives no direct financial benefit from the program.

§ The Director's Charitable Award program was discontinued for new directors after April 1, 2008. Directors as of that date were grandfathered under the program.

§ Limited personal use of the Company aircraft is permitted for security purposes and to allow the NEOs to devote additional time to Caterpillar business.

Page 58

Change in Control

Except as required by applicable law, Caterpillar has no special executive severance packages or contracts. Mr. Vittecoq has an employment contract, which is required under Swiss law. The change in control provisions are provided under our long-term and short-term plans and are standard provisions for these types of plans, which apply to all participants in those plans. Our change in control provisions have no direct correlation with other compensation decisions.

The change in control provisions generally provide accelerated vesting and maximum payout under the incentive plans. The change in control provisions impose a "double-trigger," whereby a change in control and termination of employment without cause within 12 months of the change in control are needed to trigger the change in control provisions. These provisions are intended to allow executives to evaluate business opportunities with the best interests of stockholders in mind, as opposed to maximizing their own personal interests. The terms of the change in

control provisions are applicable to all employees covered by these plans and are not specific to the NEOs. Additionally, no payments are made for voluntary separation, resignation and termination for cause.

In the event of a change in control, maximum payout factors are assumed for amounts payable under the 1996 and 2006 Stock Option and LTIP.

§ LTIP allows for the maximum performance level, 150 percent payout factor, to be paid under each open plan cycle of the LTCPP. This is prorated based on the time of active employment during the performance cycle.

§ All unvested stock options, SARs, restricted stock and restricted stock units vest immediately

§ Stock options and SARs remain exercisable over the normal life of the grant

§ The ESTIP is assumed to achieve the maximum payout factor, 200 percent, under a change in control

Change in control information is disclosed in the “Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control” section on page 68 of this proxy statement.

Tax and Accounting Implications

Deductibility of Compensation

The goal of the Compensation Committee is to comply with the requirements of Internal Revenue Code Section 162(m), to the extent possible, with respect to long-term and short-term incentive programs to avoid losing the deduction for compensation in excess of \$1 million paid to the chief executive officer and the three other most highly compensated officers (other than the chief financial officer). Caterpillar has generally structured performance-based compensation plans with the objective that amounts paid under those plans will be tax deductible and the plans must be approved by the Company’s stockholders. However, the Compensation Committee may elect to provide compensation outside those requirements when necessary to achieve its compensation objectives.

Compensation Recoupment Policy

If the Board learns of any misconduct by an officer who contributed to the Company restating all or a portion of its financial statements, it will do what is required to correct the misconduct and prevent it from occurring again and, if appropriate, take necessary remedial action.

Page 59

To determine the corrective action, the Board will review the situation to identify whether the restatement was the result of negligence, or intentional misconduct. The Board will require reimbursement of any bonus or incentive compensation awarded to an officer or cancel unvested restricted or deferred stock awards previously granted to the executive officer if all of the following apply:

§ The amount of the bonus or incentive compensation was calculated based on the achievement of certain financial results that were subsequently the subject of a restatement

§ The officer engaged in intentional misconduct that caused or partially caused the need for the restatement

§ The amount of the bonus or incentive compensation that would have been awarded to the executive had the financial results been properly reported would have been lower than the amount actually awarded

Additionally, at the Board’s discretion, it may dismiss the officer, authorize legal action for breach of fiduciary duty or take other action to enforce the officer’s obligations to the Company. In determining appropriate remedial action, the Board may take into account penalties or punishments imposed by third parties, such as law enforcement agencies,

regulators or other authorities. The Board's power to determine the appropriate punishment for the wrongdoer is in addition to, and not in replacement of, third party actions.

Compensation Committee Report

The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed the CD&A included in this proxy statement with management. The Compensation Committee is satisfied that the CD&A fairly and completely represents the philosophy, intent and actions of the Compensation Committee with regard to executive compensation. We recommend to the Board that the CD&A be included in this proxy statement and the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for filing with the SEC.

By the current members of the
Compensation Committee consisting of:

David R. Goode (Chairman)
John R. Brazil
Edward B. Rust, Jr.
Joshua I. Smith

Page 60

Executive Compensation Tables

2009 Summary Compensation Table

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary	Bonus ²	Stock Awards ³	Option Awards ⁴	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation ⁵	Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation ⁶	All Other Compensation ⁷	Total
							Earnings ⁶		
J. W. Owen Chairman & CEO	2009	\$ 1,550,004	\$ —	\$ 407,413	\$ 3,578,115	\$ 868,001	\$ 1,985,254	\$ 360,998	\$ 8,749,785
	2008	\$ 1,550,004	\$ —	\$ 981,794	\$ 7,461,609	\$ 4,353,227	\$ 2,932,489	\$ 377,413	\$ 17,656,536
	2007	\$ 1,512,504	\$ 300,000	\$ 1,186,354	\$ 7,136,911	\$ 4,442,998	\$ 2,575,395	\$ 324,147	\$ 17,478,309
D. R. Oberhelman Group President	2009	\$ 729,996	\$ —	\$ 148,292	\$ 1,179,874	\$ 266,635	\$ 505,259	\$ 164,719	\$ 2,994,775
	2008	\$ 729,996	\$ 60,000	\$ 284,238	\$ 2,577,707	\$ 1,495,186	\$ 619,845	\$ 124,812	\$ 5,891,784
	2007	\$ 729,996	\$ 198,000	\$ 289,631	\$ 2,610,192	\$ 1,666,505	\$ 568,400	\$ 121,431	\$ 6,184,155

Edgar Filing: CATERPILLAR INC - Form PRE 14A

R. P. Lavin	584,004								
1 2009 \$	\$	—\$	132,644	\$ 1,055,465	\$ 196,257	\$ 366,197	\$ 148,887	\$ 2,483,454	
Group President	584,004	10,000							
2008 \$	\$	\$	363,633	\$ 2,484,182	\$ 1,071,222	\$ 381,424	\$ 619,217	\$ 5,513,682	
S. L. Leven	729,996								
2009 \$	\$	—\$	132,644	\$ 1,055,465	\$ 264,505	\$ 621,419	\$ 144,239	\$ 2,948,268	
Group President	729,996	10,000							
2008 \$	\$	\$	284,238	\$ 2,577,707	\$ 1,457,336	\$ 699,119	\$ 212,908	\$ 5,971,304	
2007 \$	712,248	\$ 110,000	\$ 289,631	\$ 2,579,339	\$ 1,560,817	\$ 531,446	\$ 180,868	\$ 5,964,349	
E. J. Rapp	584,004								
1 2009 \$	\$	—\$	132,644	\$ 1,055,465	\$ 196,190	\$ 362,994	\$ 100,886	\$ 2,432,183	
Group President	584,004	10,000							
2008 \$	\$	\$	323,936	\$ 2,453,022	\$ 1,071,010	\$ 312,921	\$ 49,348	\$ 4,804,241	
G. R. Vico	895,957								
8 2009 \$	\$	—\$	140,003	\$ 1,113,944	\$ 327,253	\$ 882,754	\$ 35,838	\$ 3,395,749	
Group President	880,993	20,000							
2008 \$	\$	\$	284,238	\$ 2,484,182	\$ 1,735,385	\$ 843,600	\$ 45,240	\$ 6,293,638	
2007 \$	826,177	\$ 82,618	\$ 422,801	\$ 2,270,803	\$ 1,896,463	\$ 1,228,584	\$ 43,047	\$ 6,770,493	
S. H. Wunn	729,996								
2009 \$	\$	—\$	132,644	\$ 1,055,465	\$ 264,925	\$ 481,115	\$ 168,011	\$ 2,832,156	
Group President	729,996	10,000							
2008 \$	\$	\$	284,238	\$ 2,484,182	\$ 1,465,075	\$ 777,695	\$ 190,418	\$ 5,941,604	
2007 \$	715,746	\$ 24,000	\$ 289,631	\$ 2,585,518	\$ 1,581,445	\$ 708,727	\$ 160,698	\$ 6,065,765	
D. B. Burritt	504,000								
2009 \$	\$	—\$	89,945	\$ 505,129	\$ 144,791	\$ 438,896	\$ 59,212	\$ 1,741,973	
Vice President & CFO	494,751	25,000							
2008 \$	\$	\$	169,478	\$ 1,024,730	\$ 858,879	\$ 436,890	\$ 88,269	\$ 3,097,997	
2007 \$	454,503	\$	—\$ 155,485	\$ 981,632	\$ 930,660	\$ 352,648	\$ 102,032	\$ 2,976,960	

1 Mr. Lavin and Mr. Rapp were not NEOs in 2007.

2 There was no Lump Sum Discretionary Cash Bonus awarded to NEOs for 2009 performance.

- 3 The following Restricted Stock Units (RSUs) were granted to NEOs on March 2, 2009: Mr. Owens — 20,152; Mr. Oberhelman — 7,335; Mr. Lavin — 6,561; Mr. Levenick — 6,561; Mr. Rapp — 6,561; Mr. Vittecoq — 6,925; Mr. Wunning — 6,561; and Mr. Burritt — 4,449. The amounts included in this column represent the aggregate grant date fair market value for RSUs and restricted stock granted in the years shown calculated in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board Standards Codification Topic 718, Compensation – Stock Compensation (FASB ASC Topic 718). In general, the aggregate grant date fair market value is the amount of the total expense the Company expects to report in its financial reporting over the equity award’s vesting schedule. The amounts reported reflect the total accounting expense and do not reflect the actual value that will be realized by the NEO. Assumptions made in the calculation of these amounts are included in Note 2 “Stock based compensation” to the Company’s consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009, included in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K (Form 10-K) filed with the SEC on February 19, 2010.
- 4 The following SARs were granted to NEOs on March 2, 2009: Mr. Owens — 504,180; Mr. Oberhelman — 166,252; Mr. Lavin — 148,722; Mr. Levenick — 148,722; Mr. Rapp — 148,722; Mr. Vittecoq — 156,962; Mr. Wunning — 148,722; and Mr. Burritt — 71,176. The amounts included in this column represent the aggregate grant date fair market value for SARs granted in the years shown in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. In general, the aggregate grant date fair market value is the amount of the total expense the Company expects to report in its financial reporting over the equity award’s vesting schedule. The amounts reported reflect the total accounting expense and do not reflect the actual value that will be realized by the NEO. Assumptions made in the calculation of these amounts are included in Note 2 “Stock based compensation” to the Company’s consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009, included in the Company’s Form 10-K filed with the SEC on February 19, 2010.
- 5 The amounts in this column reflect the cash payments made to NEOs under the LTCPP with respect to performance over a three-year plan cycle from 2007 through 2009. The 2009 ESTIP or STIP did not trigger a payout, as the performance metrics of the plan(s) were not achieved.
- 6 Because NEOs do not receive “preferred” or “above market” earning on compensation deferred into SDCP, SEIP and/or DEIP, the amount shown represents only the change between the actuarial present value of each officer’s total accumulated pension benefit between December 31, 2008 and December 31, 2009. The amount assumes the pension benefit is payable at each NEO’s earliest unreduced retirement age based upon the officer’s current compensation.
- 7 All Other Compensation for 2009 consists of the following items detailed in a separate table appearing on page 62: Matching contributions to the Company’s 401(k) plan; matching contributions to SDCP/EIP; corporate aircraft usage; home security; life insurance premiums for Mr. Owens under the Directors’ Charitable Award Program; and ISE allowances.
- 8 All amounts reported for Mr. Vittecoq were paid in Swiss Francs and have been converted to U.S. dollars using the exchange rate in effect on December 31, 2009 (1 Swiss Franc = .96340 US Dollar). Mr. Vittecoq’s 2009 Swiss Franc base salary has remained constant from 2008’s level at CHF 929,994. The conversion of Swiss Franc to the U.S. dollar amount inflates Mr. Vittecoq’s reported base salary, as the U.S. dollar has depreciated against the Swiss Franc.

2009 All Other Compensation Table

Name	Year	Matching Contributions	Matching Contributions	Financial Counseling	Corporate Aircraft	Tax	Home Security	Director’s Charitable	Other	Total All Other
------	------	---------------------------	---------------------------	-------------------------	-----------------------	-----	------------------	--------------------------	-------	--------------------

Edgar Filing: CATERPILLAR INC - Form PRE 14A

	401(k)	SDCP/EIP	2		Gross-Up on Corporate Aircraft 3		Award Insurance Premiums 5		Compensation	
J. W.										
Owen	2009	\$ 14,700	\$ 189,494	\$ —	\$ 116,523	\$ —	\$ 5,201	\$ 32,560	\$ 2,520	\$ 360,998
	2008	\$ 13,800	\$ 213,780	\$ 13,530	\$ 89,044	\$ 9,936	\$ 1,952	\$ 32,851	\$ 2,520	\$ 377,413
	2007	\$ 13,500	\$ 168,672	\$ 4,545	\$ 102,840	\$ 3,660	\$ 919	\$ 30,011	\$ —	\$ 324,147
D. R. Oberhelman	2009	\$ 14,700	\$ 71,491	\$ —	\$ 21,190	\$ —	\$ 55,718	\$ N/A	\$ 1,620	\$ 164,719
	2008	\$ 13,800	\$ 83,544	\$ 5,325	\$ 13,585	\$ 3,273	\$ 4,385	\$ —	\$ 900	\$ 124,812
	2007	\$ 13,500	\$ 72,726	\$ 4,975	\$ 21,000	\$ 4,795	\$ 4,435	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 121,431
R. P.										
Lavin	2009	\$ 14,700	\$ 51,974	\$ —	\$ 520	\$ —	\$ 950	\$ N/A	\$ 80,743	\$ 148,887
	2008	\$ 13,800	\$ 50,972	\$ 8,000	\$ —	\$ 98	\$ 1,520	\$ —	\$ 544,827	\$ 619,217
S. L.										
Leverette	2009	\$ 14,700	\$ 68,491	\$ —	\$ 58,500	\$ —	\$ 928	\$ N/A	\$ 1,620	\$ 144,239
	2008	\$ 13,800	\$ 35,280	\$ 8,000	\$ 51,376	\$ 2,572	\$ 1,094	\$ —	\$ 100,786	\$ 212,908
	2007	\$ 13,500	\$ 62,265	\$ 8,000	\$ 95,720	\$ 464	\$ 919	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 180,868
E. J.										
Rapp	2009	\$ 14,700	\$ 51,974	\$ —	\$ 32,587	\$ —	\$ 725	\$ N/A	\$ 900	\$ 100,886
	2008	\$ 13,800	\$ 21,240	\$ 8,000	\$ 3,458	\$ 1,047	\$ 903	\$ —	\$ 900	\$ 49,348
G. R.										
Vittecoq	2009	\$ N/A 1	\$ 35,838	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ N/A	\$ —	\$ 35,838
	2008	\$ N/A 1	\$ 35,240	\$ 10,000	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 45,240
	2007	\$ N/A 1	\$ 33,047	\$ 10,000	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 43,047
S. H.										
Wunn	2009	\$ 14,700	\$ 68,491	\$ —	\$ 83,200	\$ —	\$ —	\$ N/A	\$ 1,620	\$ 168,011
	2008	\$ 13,800	\$ 75,242	\$ 18,575	\$ 81,181	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 1,620	\$ 190,418
	2007	\$ 13,500	\$ 65,178	\$ 8,000	\$ 74,020	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 160,698
D. B.										
Burritt	2009	\$ 14,700	\$ 42,684	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 928	\$ N/A	\$ 900	\$ 59,212
	2008	\$ 13,800	\$ 44,390	\$ 6,600	\$ 20,254	\$ 1,423	\$ 902	\$ —	\$ 900	\$ 88,269
	2007	\$ 13,500	\$ 39,647	\$ 7,500	\$ 38,880	\$ 1,586	\$ 919	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 102,032

- 1 Mr. Vittecoq participates in a non-U.S. Employee Investment Plan.
- 2 The Officers Financial Counseling Program was eliminated effective January 1, 2009.
- 3 Several of our NEOs serve as board members for other corporations at the request of the Company, and the personal usage noted above primarily consists of NEO flights to attend these outside board meetings. Under the rules of the SEC, use of aircraft for this purpose is deemed to be personal, even though Caterpillar considers these flights beneficial to the Company and for a business purpose. Other personal usage is limited to the NEOs, their spouses or other guests, and CEO approval is required for all personal use. The value of personal aircraft usage reported above is based on Caterpillar's incremental cost per flight hour, including the weighted average variable operating cost of fuel, oil, aircraft maintenance, landing and parking fees, catering and other smaller variable costs. Occasionally, a spouse or other guest may accompany the NEO, and if the Company aircraft is already scheduled for business purposes and can accommodate additional passengers, no additional variable operating cost is incurred. Effective January 1, 2009, the tax gross-up on spousal accompanied travel was eliminated. Company aircraft is provided for security purposes and allows the NEOs to devote additional time to Caterpillar business.

Amounts reported for Home Security represent the cost provided by an outside security provider for hardware and monitoring service. The incremental cost associated with the home security services is determined based upon the amounts paid to the outside service provider.

- 5 Mr. Owens received no direct compensation for serving on the Board, but is entitled to participate in the Directors' Charitable Award Program. The amount reported includes Company paid life insurance premiums and administrative fees for Mr. Owens' participation in the program.
- 6 Mr. Lavin was an International Service Employee (ISE) based in China until his return to the U.S. in December of 2007. The amount shown includes foreign service allowances typically paid by the Company on behalf of ISEs, including allowances for Mr. Lavin's foreign and U.S. taxes attributable to his international service assignment. These allowances are intended to ensure that our ISEs are in the same approximate financial position as they would have been if they lived in the U.S. during the time of their international service. The amount shown also includes the premium cost of Company provided basic life insurance under a Group Variable Universal Life policy. The coverage amount is two times base salary, capped at \$500,000. The premium cost is as follows: Mr. Owens — \$2,520; Mr. Oberhelman — \$1,620; Mr. Lavin — \$1,620; Mr. Levenick — \$1,620; Mr. Rapp — \$900; Mr. Wunning — \$1,620; and Mr. Burritt — \$900. Mr. Vittecoq is not covered under a Company sponsored life insurance product.

Page 62

Grants of Plan-Based Awards in 2009

Name	Grant Date	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards 1			All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares or Units 2	All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options 3	Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards (\$/share)	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards (\$)
		Threshold	Target	Maximum				
J . W . Owens	LTCPP	\$ 1,317,503	\$ 2,635,007	\$ 3,952,510	—	—	\$ —	\$ —
	ESTIP	\$ 627,752	\$ 2,092,505	\$ 4,000,000	—	—	\$ —	\$ —
	03/02/2009	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	20,152	—	\$ —	\$ 407,413
	03/02/2009	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	—	504,180	\$ 22.17	\$ 3,578,115
D . R . Oberhelman	LTCPP	\$ 626,117	\$ 1,252,234	\$ 1,878,351	—	—	\$ —	\$ —
	ESTIP	\$ 218,999	\$ 729,996	\$ 1,459,992	—	—	\$ —	\$ —
	03/02/2009	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	7,335	—	\$ —	\$ 148,292
	03/02/2009	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	—	166,252	\$ 22.17	\$ 1,179,874
R . P . Lavin	LTCPP	\$ 321,202	\$ 642,404	\$ 963,607	—	—	\$ —	\$ —
	ESTIP	\$ 175,201	\$ 584,004	\$ 1,168,008	—	—	\$ —	\$ —
	03/02/2009	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	6,561	—	\$ —	\$ 132,644
	03/02/2009	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	—	148,722	\$ 22.17	\$ 1,055,465
S . L . Levenick	LTCPP	\$ 401,498	\$ 802,996	\$ 1,204,493	—	—	\$ —	\$ —
	ESTIP	\$ 218,999	\$ 729,996	\$ 1,459,992	—	—	\$ —	\$ —
	03/02/2009	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	6,561	—	\$ —	\$ 132,644
	03/02/2009	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	—	148,722	\$ 22.17	\$ 1,055,465

Edgar Filing: CATERPILLAR INC - Form PRE 14A

E . J . Rapp	LTCPP	\$ 321,202	\$ 642,404	\$ 963,607	—	—	\$ —	\$ —	—
	ESTIP	\$ 175,201	\$ 584,004	\$ 1,168,008	—	—	\$ —	\$ —	—
	03/02/2009	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	6,561	—	\$ —	\$ —	132,644
	03/02/2009	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	—	148,722	\$ 22.17	\$ —	1,055,465
G . R . Vittecoq	LTCPP	\$ 492,776	\$ 985,552	\$ 1,478,328	—	—	\$ —	\$ —	—
	ESTIP	\$ 268,787	\$ 895,956	\$ 1,791,912	—	—	\$ —	\$ —	—
	03/02/2009	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	6,925	—	\$ —	\$ —	140,003
	03/02/2009	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	—	156,962	\$ 22.17	\$ —	1,113,944
S . H . Wunning	LTCPP	\$ 401,498	\$ 802,996	\$ 1,204,493	—	—	\$ —	\$ —	—
	ESTIP	\$ 218,999	\$ 729,996	\$ 1,459,992	—	—	\$ —	\$ —	—
	03/02/2009	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	6,561	—	\$ —	\$ —	132,644
	03/02/2009	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	—	148,722	\$ 22.17	\$ —	1,055,465
D . B . Burritt	LTCPP	\$ 226,800	\$ 453,600	\$ 680,400	—	—	\$ —	\$ —	—
	STIP	\$ 133,583	\$ 445,276	\$ 890,552	—	—	\$ —	\$ —	—
	03/02/2009	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	4,449	—	\$ —	\$ —	89,945
	03/02/2009	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	—	71,176	\$ 22.17	\$ —	505,129

- 1 The amounts reported in this column are estimated awards under the LTCPP, ESTIP and STIP. The LTCPP estimates are based upon a predetermined percentage of an executive's base salary throughout the three-year cycle, and Caterpillar's achievement of specified performance levels (relative PPS growth and return on assets) over the three-year period. The threshold amount is earned if at least 50 percent of the targeted performance level is achieved. The target amount is earned if at least 100 percent of the targeted performance level is achieved. The maximum award is earned at 150 percent or greater of the targeted performance level. Base salary levels for 2009 were used to calculate the estimated dollar value of future payments for the 2009 to 2011 performance cycle. The ESTIP estimates are based upon the executive's base salary for 2009, and the achievement of specific performance metrics (75 percent Corporate Return on Assets and 25 percent Enterprise Quality). Prior to any ESTIP payout, a profit per share of \$2.50 must be achieved for a payout to occur. The STIP estimate for Mr. Burritt was based upon his base salary for 2009, and the achievement of specific performance metrics (87.5 percent Corporate Return on Assets and 12.5 percent Enterprise Quality). Prior to any STIP payout, a profit per share of \$2.50 must be achieved for a payout to occur. For the 2009 ESTIP and STIP, the threshold amount was earned if at least 30 percent of the targeted performance level was achieved. The target amount was earned if at least 70 percent of the targeted performance level was achieved. The maximum award was earned at 200 percent or greater of the targeted performance level, with a plan cap set at \$4,000,000. The 2009 ESTIP and STIP performance metrics were not achieved, and there was no cash payout for the 2009 plan year to report in the column "Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation" of the Summary Compensation table.
- 2 All RSUs are granted to the NEOs under the LTIP and will vest three years from the grant date. Plan provisions exist for accelerated vesting in the event of termination due to long-service separation (age 55 with 10 or more years of Company service), death, total disability or change in control. The actual realizable value of the RSU will depend on the fair market value of Caterpillar stock at the time of vesting.
- 3 Amounts reported represent SARs granted under the LTIP. The base price for all SARs granted to the NEOs is the closing price of Caterpillar stock on the grant date. The grant price was based upon the closing price (\$22.17) for Caterpillar stock on the grant date of March 2, 2009. All SARs granted to the NEOs will vest three years from the grant date. Plan provisions exist for accelerated vesting in the event of termination due to long-service separation (age 55 with 10 or more years of Company service), death, total disability or change in control. The actual realizable value of the SAR will depend on the fair market value of Caterpillar stock at the time of exercise.

- 4 The amounts shown do not reflect realized compensation by the NEO. The amounts shown represent the value of the SAR and RSU awards granted to the NEOs based upon the grant date value of the award as determined in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718.

Page 63

Outstanding Equity Awards at 2009 Fiscal Year-End

Name	Grant Date	Vesting Date	Option Awards		SAR / Option Exercise Price	SAR / Option Expiration Date 1	Stock Awards	
			Number of Securities Underlying SARs/Options	Unexercisable			Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested 2	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested 3
J.W. Owens	06/12/2001	06/12/2004	108,000	—	\$ 26.7650	06/12/2011	—	\$ —
	06/11/2002	06/11/2005	122,000	—	\$ 25.3575	06/11/2012	—	\$ —
	06/10/2003	06/10/2006	140,000	—	\$ 27.1425	06/10/2013	—	\$ —
	06/08/2004	12/31/2004	460,000	—	\$ 38.6275	06/08/2014	—	\$ —
	02/18/2005	02/18/2005	460,000	—	\$ 45.6425	02/18/2015	—	\$ —
	02/17/2006	02/17/2009	300,000	—	\$ 72.0500	02/17/2016	—	\$ —
	03/02/2007	03/02/2010	—	344,198	\$ 63.0400	03/02/2017	—	\$ —
	03/03/2008	03/03/2011	—	334,288	\$ 73.2000	03/03/2018	—	\$ —
	03/02/2009	03/02/2012	—	504,180	\$ 22.1700	03/02/2019	—	\$ —
	—	—	—	—	\$ —	—	48,5834	\$ 2,768,745
—	—	—	—	\$ —	—	11,6645	\$ 664,731	
D . R Oberhelman	06/12/2001	06/12/2004	48,000	—	\$ 26.7650	06/12/2011	—	\$ —
	06/11/2002	06/11/2005	122,000	—	\$ 25.3575	06/11/2012	—	\$ —
	06/10/2003	06/10/2006	140,000	—	\$ 27.1425	06/10/2013	—	\$ —
	06/08/2004	12/31/2004	140,000	—	\$ 38.6275	06/08/2014	—	\$ —
	02/18/2005	02/18/2005	140,000	—	\$ 45.6425	02/18/2015	—	\$ —
	02/17/2006	02/17/2009	110,000	—	\$ 72.0500	02/17/2016	—	\$ —
	03/02/2007	03/02/2010	—	125,884	\$ 63.0400	03/02/2017	—	\$ —
	03/03/2008	03/03/2011	—	115,484	\$ 73.2000	03/03/2018	—	\$ —
	03/02/2009	03/02/2012	—	166,252	\$ 22.1700	03/02/2019	—	\$ —
	—	—	—	—	\$ —	—	16,2766	\$ 927,570
—	—	—	—	\$ —	—	1,3307	\$ 75,797	
R.P. Lavin	06/10/2003	06/10/2006	20,000	—	\$ 27.1425	06/10/2013	—	\$ —
	06/08/2004	12/31/2004	70,000	—	\$ 38.6275	06/08/2014	—	\$ —
	02/18/2005	02/18/2005	70,000	—	\$ 45.6425	02/18/2015	—	\$ —
	02/17/2006	02/17/2009	48,000	—	\$ 72.0500	02/17/2016	—	\$ —
	03/02/2007	03/02/2010	—	47,580	\$ 63.0400	03/02/2017	—	\$ —
	03/03/2008	03/03/2011	—	111,294	\$ 73.2000	03/03/2018	—	\$ —
	03/02/2009	03/02/2012	—	148,722	\$ 22.1700	03/02/2019	—	\$ —
	—	—	—	—	\$ —	—	13,2648	\$ 755,915
	—	—	—	—	\$ —	—	2,3329	\$ 132,901

Edgar Filing: CATERPILLAR INC - Form PRE 14A

S . L	.06/10/2003	06/10/2006	54,000		\$ 27.1425	06/10/2013		\$	
Levenick				—					—
	06/08/2004	12/31/2004	126,000		\$ 38.6275	06/08/2014		\$	—
	02/18/2005	02/18/2005	130,000		\$ 45.6425	02/18/2015		\$	—
	02/17/2006	02/17/2009	105,000		\$ 72.0500	02/17/2016		\$	—
	03/02/2007	03/02/2010	—	124,396	\$ 63.0400	03/02/2017		\$	—
	03/03/2008	03/03/2011	—	115,484	\$ 73.2000	03/03/2018		\$	—
	03/02/2009	03/02/2012	—	148,722	\$ 22.1700	03/02/2019		\$	—
	—	—	—	—	\$	—	—	15,50210	\$ 883,459
	—	—	—	—	\$	—	—	66611	\$ 37,955
E.J. Rapp	06/12/2001	06/12/2004	24,000		\$ 26.7650	06/12/2011		\$	—
	06/10/2003	06/10/2006	54,000		\$ 27.1425	06/10/2013		\$	—
	06/08/2004	12/31/2004	60,000		\$ 38.6275	06/08/2014		\$	—
	02/18/2005	02/18/2005	60,000		\$ 45.6425	02/18/2015		\$	—
	02/17/2006	02/17/2009	48,000		\$ 72.0500	02/17/2016		\$	—
	03/02/2007	03/02/2010	—	47,044	\$ 63.0400	03/02/2017		\$	—
	03/03/2008	03/03/2011	—	109,898	\$ 73.2000	03/03/2018		\$	—
	03/02/2009	03/02/2012	—	148,722	\$ 22.1700	03/02/2019		\$	—
	—	—	—	—	\$	—	—	13,26412	\$ 755,915
	—	—	—	—	\$	—	—	1,50013	\$ 85,485

(table continued on next page)

Page 64

Outstanding Equity Awards at 2009 Fiscal Year-End (continued)

Name	Grant Date	Vesting Date	Option Awards		SAR / Option Exercise Price	SAR / Option Expiration Date 1	Stock Awards	
			Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised SARs/Options	Unexercisable			Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested 2	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested 3
G . R	.06/12/2001	06/12/2004	48,000		\$ 26.7650	06/12/2011		\$
Vittecoq				—				—
	06/11/2002	06/11/2005	54,000		\$ 25.3575	06/11/2012		\$
	06/10/2003	06/10/2006	54,000		\$ 27.1425	06/10/2013		\$
	06/08/2004	12/31/2004	126,000		\$ 38.6275	06/08/2014		\$
	02/18/2005	02/18/2005	130,000		\$ 45.6425	02/18/2015		\$
	02/17/2006	02/17/2009	95,000		\$ 72.0500	02/17/2016		\$
	03/02/2007	03/02/2010	—	109,516	\$ 63.0400	03/02/2017		\$
	03/03/2008	03/03/2011	—	111,294	\$ 73.2000	03/03/2018		\$
	03/02/2009	03/02/2012	—	156,962	\$ 22.1700	03/02/2019		\$
	—	—	—	—	\$	—	15,86614	\$904,204
	—	—	—	—	\$	—	2,17715	\$124,067
S . H	.06/11/2002	06/11/2005	60,000		\$ 25.3575	06/11/2012		\$
Wunning				—				—

Edgar Filing: CATERPILLAR INC - Form PRE 14A

06/10/2003	06/10/2006	54,000	—	\$ 27.1425	06/10/2013	—	\$ —
06/08/2004	12/31/2004	126,000	—	\$ 38.6275	06/08/2014	—	\$ —
02/18/2005	02/18/2005	130,000	—	\$ 45.6425	02/18/2015	—	\$ —
02/17/2006	02/17/2009	95,000	—	\$ 72.0500	02/17/2016	—	\$ —
03/02/2007	03/02/2010	—	124,694	\$ 63.0400	03/02/2017	—	\$ —
03/03/2008	03/03/2011	—	111,294	\$ 73.2000	03/03/2018	—	\$ —
03/02/2009	03/02/2012	—	148,722	\$ 22.1700	03/02/2019	—	\$ —
—	—	—	—	\$ —	—	15,50216	\$883,459
D . B .	06/10/2003	06/10/2006	23,100	\$ 27.1425	06/10/2013	—	\$ —
Burritt	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
06/08/2004	12/31/2004	23,100	—	\$ 38.6275	06/08/2014	—	\$ —
02/18/2005	02/18/2005	54,000	—	\$ 45.6425	02/18/2015	—	\$ —
02/17/2006	02/17/2009	48,000	—	\$ 72.0500	02/17/2016	—	\$ —
03/02/2007	03/02/2010	—	47,342	\$ 63.0400	03/02/2017	—	\$ —
03/03/2008	03/03/2011	—	45,909	\$ —	—	—	—