

ALBANY INTERNATIONAL CORP /DE/
Form 10-Q
May 06, 2011
UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-Q

(√) QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended: March 31, 2011

OR

() TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number: 1-10026

ALBANY INTERNATIONAL CORP.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

14-0462060

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

(IRS Employer Identification No.)

216 Airport Drive, Rochester, New Hampshire

03867

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code 518-445-2200

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports) and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes [] No []

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes [] No []

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company.

Large accelerated filer [] Accelerated filer []
Non-accelerated filer [] Smaller reporting company []

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes
[] No []

The registrant had 28.0 million shares of Class A Common Stock and 3.2 million shares of Class B Common Stock outstanding as of March 31, 2011.

ALBANY INTERNATIONAL CORP.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page No.

Part I Financial information

Item 1. Financial Statements	
<u>Consolidated statements of income - three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>Consolidated balance sheets - March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010</u>	<u>2</u>
<u>Consolidated statements of cash flows - three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Notes to consolidated financial statements</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>Forward-looking statements</u>	<u>31</u>
<u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>32</u>
<u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>	<u>47</u>
<u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>47</u>

Part II Other Information

<u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>48</u>
<u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u>	<u>52</u>
<u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	<u>52</u>
<u>Item 3. Defaults upon Senior Securities</u>	<u>52</u>
<u>Item 4. (Removed and Reserved)</u>	<u>52</u>
<u>Item 5. Other Information</u>	<u>52</u>
<u>Item 6. Exhibits</u>	<u>52</u>

ALBANY INTERNATIONAL CORP.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME
(in thousands, except per share data)
(unaudited)

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Net sales	\$251,850	\$213,873
Cost of goods sold	146,857	136,644
Gross profit	104,993	77,229
Selling, general, and administrative expenses	57,765	52,910
Technical, product engineering, and research expenses	15,135	13,166
Restructuring and other, net	186	1,392
Operating income	31,907	9,761
Interest expense, net	4,776	3,825
Other expense/(income), net	4,869	(2,281)
Income before income taxes	22,262	8,217
Income tax expense	5,309	2,627
Income before equity in earnings of associated companies	16,953	5,590
Equity in (losses)/earnings of associated companies	(220)	8
Net income	\$16,733	\$5,598
Net income per share:		
Basic	\$0.54	\$0.18
Diluted	\$0.53	\$0.18
Shares used in computing earnings per share:		
Basic	31,223	30,943
Diluted	31,384	31,033
Dividends per share	\$0.12	\$0.12

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements

ALBANY INTERNATIONAL CORP.
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(in thousands, except share and per share data)
(unaudited)

	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
ASSETS		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$137,518	\$122,301
Accounts receivable, net	180,478	176,716
Inventories	168,098	156,171
Income taxes receivable and deferred	41,894	39,721
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	15,335	11,883
	<u>543,323</u>	<u>506,792</u>
Total current assets	543,323	506,792
Property, plant and equipment, at cost, net	485,301	488,121
Investments in associated companies	3,092	2,926
Intangibles	3,772	4,182
Goodwill	120,481	115,616
Deferred taxes	141,141	141,701
Other assets	20,129	18,955
	<u>\$1,317,239</u>	<u>\$1,278,293</u>
Total assets	\$1,317,239	\$1,278,293
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Notes and loans payable	\$2,227	\$1,587
Accounts payable	47,972	44,294
Accrued liabilities	107,750	110,292
Current maturities of long-term debt	12	12
Income taxes payable and deferred	13,542	9,670
	<u>171,503</u>	<u>165,855</u>
Total current liabilities	171,503	165,855
Long-term debt	416,827	423,647
Other noncurrent liabilities	191,027	190,493
Deferred taxes and other credits	71,067	72,038
	<u>850,424</u>	<u>852,033</u>
Total liabilities	850,424	852,033
Commitments and Contingencies	-	-
SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Preferred stock, par value \$5.00 per share; authorized 2,000,000 shares; none issued	-	-

Class A Common Stock, par value \$.001 per share; authorized 100,000,000 shares; issued 36,505,292 in 2011 and 36,442,209 in 2010	37	36
Class B Common Stock, par value \$.001 per share; authorized 25,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding 3,236,098 in 2011 and 2010	3	3
Additional paid in capital	388,340	387,876
Retained earnings	416,032	403,048
Accumulated items of other comprehensive income:		
Translation adjustments	20,467	(6,041)
Pension and post retirement liability adjustments	(100,160)	(100,355)
Derivative valuation adjustment	127	(276)
Treasury stock (Class A), at cost 8,484,528 shares in 2011 and 2010	(258,031)	(258,031)
	<u>466,815</u>	<u>426,260</u>
Total shareholders' equity		
	<u>\$1,317,239</u>	<u>\$1,278,293</u>
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity		

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements

ALBANY INTERNATIONAL CORP.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(in thousands)
(unaudited)

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Net income	\$16,733	\$5,598
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Equity in losses/(earnings) of associated companies	220	(8)
Depreciation	14,133	13,941
Amortization	2,177	1,954
Noncash interest expense	196	188
Provision for deferred income taxes, other credits and long-term liabilities	(2,213)	1,118
Provision for write-off of property, plant and equipment	41	1,467
Gain on disposition of assets	(428)	-
Increase in cash surrender value of life insurance	-	(847)
Excess tax benefit of options exercised	(14)	-
Compensation and benefits paid or payable in Class A Common Stock	340	955
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of business acquisitions and divestitures:		
Accounts receivable	1,856	8,396
Inventories	(8,372)	2,270
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	(3,270)	(2,769)
Accounts payable	2,248	(2,075)
Accrued liabilities	(5,433)	(11,734)
Income taxes payable	3,698	(1,437)
Other, net	(864)	(117)
Net cash provided by operating activities	<u>21,048</u>	<u>16,900</u>
INVESTING ACTIVITIES		
Purchases of property, plant and equipment	(4,919)	(6,821)
Purchased software	(1,047)	(1,073)
Proceeds from sale of assets	1,701	-
Acquisitions, net of cash acquired	-	(1,902)
Net cash used in investing activities	<u>(4,265)</u>	<u>(9,796)</u>
FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
Proceeds from borrowings	640	6,152

Edgar Filing: ALBANY INTERNATIONAL CORP /DE/ - Form 10-Q

Principal payments on debt	(7,017)	(17)
Proceeds from options exercised	109	87
Excess tax benefit of options exercised	14	-
Dividends paid	(3,744)	(3,705)
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Net cash (used in)/provided by financing activities	(9,998)	2,517
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	8,432	(5,329)
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Increase in cash and cash equivalents	15,217	4,292
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	122,301	97,466
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$137,518	\$101,758
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements

ALBANY INTERNATIONAL CORP.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(unaudited)

1. Basis of Presentation

In our opinion, the accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements contain all adjustments, consisting of only normal, recurring adjustments, necessary for a fair presentation of results for such periods. The results for any interim period are not necessarily indicative of results for the full year. The preparation of financial statements for interim periods does not require all of the disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Accordingly, certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America have been omitted. These consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with our Annual Report on Form 10-K as filed with the SEC for the year ended December 31, 2010.

In the first quarter of 2011, we modified our business segment reporting by reclassifying our Fiber Preparation business from the Engineered Fabrics segment to the Paper Machine Clothing segment. The change was made to better align our organizational structure with the customers that purchase these products. Prior year data has been modified to conform to the current year presentation. On April 29, 2011 we filed a current report on Form 8-K with reclassified segment data for quarterly periods in 2010, as well as annual data for 2010 and 2009.

In the first quarter of 2011, we adopted a recent accounting pronouncement related to revenue recognition principles for contracts with multiple revenue elements. This change, which affects the Albany Door Systems segment, accelerates revenue recognition associated with contracts that include both the sale of a door and installation services. We determine the consideration allocated to each revenue element at the inception of the arrangement, based on the relative fair values of the goods and services provided under the contract. The change was applied on a prospective basis, resulting in a one-time acceleration of net sales without the offsetting effect of applying the change to previous periods. As a result, we recognized in Q1 2011 an additional \$2.4 million in net sales and \$0.9 million of operating income. The effect of this change on any future quarterly period could vary significantly due to timing, or the number and value of contracts that include both the sale of a door and installation services. Normally, installation is completed within a few months after the door is delivered. If the new policy had been adopted in 2010, Q1 2011 net sales and operating income would have been lower by \$0.9 million and \$0.3 million, respectively.

2. Reportable Segment Data

The following table shows data by reportable segment, reconciled to consolidated totals included in the financial statements:

(in thousands)	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Net Sales		
Paper Machine Clothing	\$167,896	\$146,737
Albany Door Systems	45,128	33,755
Engineered Fabrics	20,586	19,112
Engineered Composites	11,472	8,641
PrimaLoft® Products	6,768	5,628
Consolidated total	\$251,850	\$213,873
Operating income		
Paper Machine Clothing	\$46,230	\$27,661
Albany Door Systems	5,371	2,884
Engineered Fabrics	4,041	1,657
Engineered Composites	(1,043)	(2,229)
PrimaLoft® Products	1,911	2,069
Research expense	(7,165)	(5,811)
Unallocated expenses	(17,438)	(16,470)
Operating income before reconciling items	31,907	9,761
Reconciling items:		
Interest expense, net	4,776	3,825
Other expense/(income), net	4,869	(2,281)
Income before income taxes	\$22,262	\$8,217

During 2006 we began a restructuring and performance improvement plan that resulted in significant restructuring costs. The table below presents restructuring costs by reportable segment for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010:

(in thousands)	Three Months Ended March 31,		2010
	2011		
Restructuring expense			
Paper Machine Clothing	\$33	\$2,286	
Albany Door Systems	152	28	
Engineered Composites	13		
Unallocated	(12)	(922)	
Consolidated total	\$186	\$1,392	

Restructuring expense in 2011 and 2010 was principally the result of residual costs associated with plans announced during 2009. The expense in 2010 was partially reduced by other post retirement curtailment gains, which was included in the Unallocated reportable segment.

There were no material changes in the total assets of reportable segments during this period.

3. Pensions and Other Benefits

We sponsor defined benefit pension plans in various countries. The amount of contributions to the plans is based on several factors including the funding rules in each country. Employer contributions of \$22.1 million in 2010 included \$13.2 million transferred into pension trusts, plus \$8.9 million for benefits paid directly to participants. We expect 2011 contributions to be approximately \$16.0 million, including \$12.3 million to be transferred to pension trusts, plus \$3.7 million for benefits paid directly to participants. We also provide certain medical, dental and life insurance benefits (Other Postretirement Benefits) for retired United States employees that meet program qualifications. We currently fund this plan as claims are paid.

The components of net periodic benefit cost for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 are, as follows:

(in thousands)	Pension Plans		Other Postretirement Benefits	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Service cost	\$932	\$623	\$228	\$209
Interest cost	5,086	5,028	955	1,024
Expected return on plan assets	(3,981)	(3,791)		
Amortization:				
Transition obligation	24	25		
Prior service cost/(credit)	9	4	(917)	(873)
Net actuarial loss	1,428	1,187	753	710
Curtailment (gain)				(922)
Net periodic benefit costs	\$3,498	\$3,076	\$1,019	\$148

In the first quarter of 2010 a benefit curtailment gain of \$0.9 million was recorded related to restructuring activities.

4. Restructuring

The Company-wide restructuring and performance improvement plan was driven by the need to adjust our manufacturing footprint to align with regional markets and to improve our cost structure. Restructuring expenses in 2011 and 2010 were principally the result of residual costs associated with plans announced during 2009 to reduce manufacturing capacity and administrative personnel. The following tables summarize charges reported in the Statement of Operations under Restructuring and other, net for the first three months of 2011 and 2010:

(in thousands)	Three months ending March 31,				
	2011 Total restructuring costs*	Total restructuring costs	Termination and other costs	2010 Writedown of plant and equipment	Benefit plan curtailment
Paper Machine Clothing	\$33	\$2,286	\$1,043	\$1,243	
Albany Door Systems	152	28	28		
Engineered Composites	13				
Unallocated	(12)	(922)			(922)
Total	\$186	\$1,392	\$1,071	\$1,243	\$(922)

* Restructuring costs incurred during the first quarter of 2011 pertain to termination and other costs. The tables below present year-to-date summaries of changes in restructuring liabilities for 2011 and 2010:

(in thousands)	Restructuring charges accrued December 31, 2010	Restructuring accruals in 2011	Payments	Currency translation/ other	Restructuring charges accrued March 31, 2011
Termination costs	\$3,443		\$(1,413)	\$123	\$2,153

(in thousands)	Restructuring charges accrued December 31, 2009	Restructuring accruals in 2010	Payments	Currency translation/ other	Restructuring charges accrued March 31, 2010
Termination costs	\$22,067	\$713	\$(9,744)	\$(510)	\$12,526

We expect that substantially all accruals for restructuring liabilities as of March 31, 2011 will be paid within one year and therefore have been recorded in current accrued liabilities in the accompanying financial statements.

5. Other Expense/(Income), net

Other expense/(income), net consists of the following:

(in thousands)	Three Months Ended March 31,		2010
	2011		
Currency transactions	\$3,866	\$(2,793)	
Amortization of debt issuance costs and loan origination fees	262	104	
Letter of credit fees	856	519	
Other miscellaneous (income)	(115)	(111)	
Total	\$4,869	\$(2,281)	

6. Income Taxes

The following table presents components of income tax expense for the three month period ended March 31, 2011 and 2010:

(in thousands)	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Income tax expense based on income from continuing operations, at estimated tax rates of 30% in 2011 and 32% in 2010, respectively	\$6,722	\$2,627
Discrete tax (benefit):		
Provision for/resolution of tax audits and contingencies	(1,413)	
Total income tax expense	\$5,309	\$2,627

Income tax expense for the first quarter of 2011 was \$5.3 million. The tax expense includes favorable discrete tax adjustments of \$4.5 million pertaining to the resolution of certain tax matters in the US and non-US tax jurisdictions and unfavorable discrete tax adjustments of \$3.1 million related to uncertain tax positions recognized in non-US tax jurisdictions in prior years.

The estimated effective tax rate on continuing operations for the first three months of the 2011 was 30%, as compared to 32% for the same period in 2010. The reduction in the tax rate was primarily due to a change in the distribution of income and loss amongst the various countries within which we operate. We currently expect that the consolidated tax rate for 2011 will remain around 30%, before discrete items. However, there can be no assurance that this will not change in future periods.

We conduct business globally and, as a result, the Company or one or more of our subsidiaries files income tax returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction and various state and foreign jurisdictions. We are currently under audit in the U.S. and non-U.S. tax jurisdictions, including but not limited to Canada, Germany, France, Japan and Sweden. Tax reserves are recorded for the outcome of these uncertainties in accordance with US GAAP principles.

It is reasonably possible that over the next twelve months the amount of unrecognized tax benefits may change within a range of a net increase of \$1.1 million to a net decrease of \$12.0 million, from the reevaluation of certain uncertain tax positions arising in examinations, in appeals, or in the courts, or from the closure of tax statutes. Not included in the range is \$23.1 million of tax benefits in Germany related to a 1999 reorganization that have been challenged by the German tax authorities in the course of an audit of tax years 2000-2003. In 2008 the German Federal Tax Court denied tax benefits to other taxpayers in a case involving German tax laws relevant to our reorganization. One of these cases involved a non-German party, and in the ruling in that case, the German Federal Tax Court acknowledged that the German law in question may be violative of European Union (EU) principles and referred the issue to the European Court of Justice (ECJ) for its determination on this issue. In September 2009, the ECJ issued an opinion in this case that is generally favorable to the other taxpayer and referred the case back to the German Federal Tax Court for further consideration. In May 2010 the German Federal Tax Court released its decision, in which it resolved certain tax issues that may be relevant to our audit and remanded the case to a lower court for further development. Although we were required to pay approximately \$15.0 million to the German tax authorities in order to continue to

pursue the position, we believe that it is more likely than not that the relevant German law is violative of EU principles and accordingly we have not accrued tax expense on this matter. As we continue to monitor developments related to this matter, it may become necessary for us to accrue tax expense and related interest.

In addition, we received reassessment notices comprised of tax, interest and penalties in the amount of \$57.3 million following the conclusion of a tax audit by the Canadian Revenue Agency (CRA) in 2008. Although management continues to believe that the reassessments were substantially without merit and have not accrued tax expense with regard to the full amount of these assessments, we were required to provide letters of credit to the CRA in the amount of \$52.9 million in connection with these reassessments.

7. Earnings Per Share

Earnings per share are computed using the weighted average number of shares of Class A Common Stock and Class B Common Stock outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share include the effect of all potentially dilutive securities.

The amounts used in computing earnings per share, including the effect on income and the weighted average number of shares of potentially dilutive securities, are as follows:

(in thousands, except market price data)	Three Months Ended	
	2011	2010
Net income	\$16,733	\$5,598
Weighted average number of shares:		
Weighted average number of shares used in calculating basic earnings per share	31,223	30,943
Effect of dilutive stock-based compensation awards:		
Stock options	114	56
Long-term incentive awards	47	34
Weighted average number of shares used in calculating diluted earnings per share	31,384	31,033
Average market price of common stock used for calculation of dilutive shares	\$23.81	\$21.04
Net income per share:		
Basic	\$0.54	\$0.18
Diluted	\$0.53	\$0.18

There was no dilution resulting from the convertible debt instrument, purchased call option, and warrant that are described in Note 10 as of March 31, 2011 and 2010.

The following table presents the number of shares issued and outstanding:

	Class A Shares	Class B Shares	Less: Treasury Shares	Net shares Outstanding
December 31, 2010	36,442,209	3,236,098	(8,484,528)	31,193,779
March 31, 2011	36,505,292	3,236,098	(8,484,528)	31,256,862

8. Inventories

Inventories consist of the following:

(in thousands)	March 31, December 31,	
	2011	2010
Finished goods	\$78,042	\$71,919
Work in process	52,107	48,973
Raw material and supplies	37,949	35,279
Total inventories	\$168,098	\$156,171

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market and are valued at average cost, net of reserves. We record a provision for obsolete inventory based on the age and category of the inventories.

9. Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

Goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite useful lives are not amortized, but are tested for impairment at least annually. Goodwill represents the excess of the purchase price over the fair value of the net tangible and identifiable intangible assets acquired in each business combination. Our reporting units are consistent with our operating segments.

Determining the fair value of a reporting unit requires the use of significant estimates and assumptions, including revenue growth rates, operating margins, discount rates, and future market conditions, among others. Goodwill and other long-lived assets are reviewed for impairment whenever events, such as significant changes in the business climate, plant closures, changes in product offerings, or other circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable.

To determine fair value, we utilize two market-based approaches and an income approach. Under the market-based approaches, we utilize information regarding the Company as well as publicly available industry information to determine earnings multiples and sales multiples. Under the income approach, we determine fair value based on estimated future cash flows of each reporting unit, discounted by an estimated weighted-average cost of capital, which reflects the overall level of inherent risk of a reporting unit and the rate of return an outside investor would expect to earn.

We completed our 2010 annual evaluation of goodwill for the Paper Machine Clothing reporting unit and the Albany Door Systems reporting unit in the second quarter of 2010. Our assessment of goodwill impairment indicated that the fair value of each reporting unit exceeded its carrying value and therefore no impairment provision was required.

We are continuing to amortize certain patents, trade names, customer contracts and technology assets that have finite lives. The changes in intangible assets and goodwill from January 1, 2011 to March 31, 2011, were as follows:

(in thousands)	Balance at January 1, 2011	Amortization	Currency translation	Other changes	Balance at March 31, 2011
Amortized intangible assets:					
Patents	\$221	\$(57)	\$12		\$176
Trade names	48	(1)			47
Customer contracts	3,521	(347)	14		3,188
Technology	392	(17)	(14)		361
Total amortized intangible assets	\$4,182	\$(422)	\$12		\$3,772
Unamortized intangible assets:					
Goodwill	\$115,616		\$4,865		\$120,481

As of March 31, 2011, the balance of goodwill was \$80.7 million in the Paper Machine Clothing segment and \$39.8 million in the Albany Doors Systems segment.

Estimated amortization expense of amortized intangible assets for the years ending December 31, 2011 through 2015 is as follows:

Year	Annual amortization (in thousands)
2011	\$1,500
2012	1,000
2013	800
2014	500
2015	200

10. Financial Instruments

Long-term debt consists of:

(in thousands, except interest rates)	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
Convertible notes, par value \$28,437, issued in March 2006 with fixed contractual interest rates of 2.25%, due in 2026	\$26,663	\$26,474
Private placement with a fixed interest rate of 6.84%, due in 2013 through 2017	150,000	150,000
Credit agreement with borrowings outstanding at an end of period interest rate of 3.58% in 2011 and 3.55% in 2010, due in 2015	230,000	237,000
Various notes and mortgages relative to operations principally outside the United States, at an average end of period rate of 3.04% in 2011 and 2010, due in varying amounts through 2021	10,176	10,185
Long-term debt	416,839	423,659
Less: current portion	(12)	(12)
Long-term debt, net of current portion	\$416,827	\$423,647

The note agreement and guaranty (the Prudential agreement) was entered into in October 2005 and was amended and restated September 17, 2010, with the Prudential Insurance Company of America, and certain other purchasers, in an aggregate principal amount of \$150 million, with interest at 6.84% and a maturity date of October 25, 2017. There are mandatory payments of \$50 million on October 25, 2013 and October 25, 2015. At the noteholders election, certain prepayments may also be required in connection with certain asset dispositions or financings. The notes may not otherwise be prepaid without a premium, under certain market conditions. The note agreement contains customary terms, as well as affirmative covenants, negative covenants, and events of default comparable to those in our current principal credit facility. For disclosure purposes, we are required to measure the fair value of outstanding debt on a recurring basis. As of March 31, 2011, the fair value of the note agreement was approximately \$169.2 million, which was measured using active market interest rates.

On July 16, 2010, we entered into a \$390 million unsecured five-year revolving credit facility agreement, under which \$230.0 million of borrowings and \$52.9 million in letters of credit were outstanding as of March 31, 2011. The 2010 credit agreement replaces the previous \$460.0 million credit agreement made in 2006. The applicable interest rate for borrowings under the 2010 agreement, as well as under the former agreement, is LIBOR plus a spread, based on our leverage ratio at the time of borrowing. Spreads under the 2010 agreement are higher than under the former agreement, reflecting changes in market spreads.

Our ability to borrow additional amounts under the credit agreement is conditional upon the absence of any defaults,

as well as the absence of any material adverse change. Based on our maximum leverage ratio and our consolidated EBITDA (as defined in the credit agreement), and without modification to any other credit agreements, as of March 31, 2011 we would have been able to borrow an additional \$107.1 million under the credit agreement.

Also on July 16, 2010, we entered into interest rate hedging transactions that have the effect of fixing the LIBOR portion of the effective interest rate (before addition of the spread) on \$105.0 million of the indebtedness drawn under the 2010 agreement at the rate of 2.04% for the next five years. Under the terms of these transactions, we pay the fixed rate of 2.04% and the counterparties pay a floating rate based on the three-month LIBOR rate at each quarterly calculation date, which on January 18, 2011 was 0.31%. The net effect is to fix the effective interest rate on \$105.0 million of indebtedness at 2.04%, plus the applicable spread, until these swap agreements expire on July 16, 2015. On January 18, the applicable spread was 250 basis points, yielding an effective annual rate of 4.54%. This interest rate swap is accounted for as a hedge of future cash flows, as further described in Note 11 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Reflecting, in each case, the effect of subsequent amendments to each agreement, we are currently required to maintain a leverage ratio of not greater than 3.50 to 1.00 and a minimum interest coverage of 3.00 to 1.00 under the credit agreement and Prudential agreement.

As of March 31, 2011, our leverage ratio was 2.04 to 1.00 and our interest coverage ratio was 8.93 to 1.00. We may purchase our Common Stock or pay dividends to the extent our leverage ratio remains at or below 3.50 to 1.00, and may make acquisitions with cash provided our leverage ratio would not exceed 3.00 to 1.00 after giving pro forma effect to the acquisition.

In March 2006, we issued \$180 million principal amount of 2.25% convertible notes. The notes are convertible upon the occurrence of specified events and at any time on or after February 15, 2013, into cash up to the principal amount of notes converted and shares of our Class A common stock with respect to the remainder, if any, of our conversion obligation at a conversion rate of 22.9188 shares per \$1,000 principal amount of notes (equivalent to a conversion price of \$43.63 per share of Class A common stock). As of March 31, 2011, \$28.4 million principal amount of convertible notes were outstanding, with a fair value of approximately \$27.6 million, which was measured using quoted prices in active markets. These amounts reflect the reduction in principal amount and fair value as a result of purchases made in 2009, as described below.

Holder may convert their notes at any time on or after February 15, 2013. Before February 15, 2013, a holder may convert notes during the five-business day period immediately after any period of five consecutive trading days in which the trading price per note for each of such five days was less than 103% of the product of the last reported sale price of our Class A common stock and the conversion rate on such day. Additionally, holders may convert prior to February 15, 2013, if we elect to distribute to all or substantially all of our Class A shareholders (a) rights or warrants to purchase shares of Class A common stock for less than their trading value, or (b) assets, debt securities, or rights to purchase securities, which distribution has a per-share value exceeding 15% of the current trading value of the Class A common stock.

Converting holders are entitled to receive, upon conversion of their notes, (1) an amount in cash equal to the lesser of the principal amount of the note and the note's conversion value, and (2) if the conversion value of the note exceeds the principal amount, shares of our Class A common stock in respect of the excess conversion value. The conversion rate of the notes (subject to adjustment upon the occurrence of certain events) is 22.9188 shares per \$1,000 principal amount of notes (equivalent to a conversion price of \$43.63 per share of Class A common stock). The exact amount payable upon conversion would be determined in accordance with the terms of the indenture pursuant to which the notes were issued and will be based on a daily conversion value calculated on a proportionate basis by reference to the volume-weighted average price of our Class A common stock for each day during a twenty-five day period relating to the conversion.

The notes are not redeemable before March 15, 2013. On or after March 15, 2013, we may, at our option, redeem for cash all or part of the notes for a price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the notes to be purchased, plus any

accrued and unpaid interest, including any additional interest, up to but excluding the redemption date.

On each of March 15, 2013, and March 15, 2021, holders may require that we purchase all or a portion of their notes at a purchase price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the notes to be purchased, plus any accrued and unpaid interest, including any additional interest, up to but excluding the purchase date. Holders also have the right to require that we repurchase notes upon the occurrence of certain fundamental events, including, without limitation, (1) a person or group, other than the Standish family, becoming beneficial owner of shares of common stock carrying more than 50% of the voting power of our common stock, (2) consummation of an exchange offer, tender offer, or similar event whereby our Class A common stock is converted into cash, securities, or other property, or any sale, lease, or other transfer of all or substantially all of our consolidated assets, (3) approval by our stockholders of a plan or proposal of liquidation or dissolution, or (4) the delisting of our Class A common stock under certain circumstances.

In connection with the sale of the notes, we entered into hedge and warrant transactions with respect to our Class A common stock. These transactions are intended to reduce the potential dilution upon conversion of the notes by providing us with the option, subject to certain exceptions, to acquire shares in an amount equal to the number of shares that we would be required to deliver upon conversion of the notes. These transactions had the economic effect to the Company of increasing the conversion price of the notes to \$52.25 per share.

Pursuant to the hedge transactions, if we deliver notice to the counterparties of any conversion of the notes on or prior to March 15, 2013, the counterparties are in the aggregate obligated to deliver to the Company the number of shares of Class A common stock that we are obligated to deliver to the holders of the notes with respect to such conversion, exclusive of any shares deliverable by the Company by reason of any additional (or make whole) premium relating to the notes or by reason of any election by the Company to unilaterally increase the conversion rate. The note hedge and warrant transactions had a net cost of \$14.7 million. Pursuant to the warrant transactions, we sold a total of 4.1 million warrants, each exercisable to buy a single share of Class A common stock at an initial strike price of \$52.25 per share. The warrants are American-style warrants (exercisable at any time), and expire over a period of sixty trading days beginning on September 15, 2013. If the warrants are exercised when they expire, we may choose either net cash or net share settlement. If the warrants are exercised before they expire, they must be net share settled. If we elect to net cash settle the warrants, we will pay cash in an amount equal to, for each exercise of warrants, (i) the number of warrants exercised multiplied by (ii) the excess of the volume weighted average price of the our Class A common stock on the expiration date of such warrants (the settlement price) over the strike price. Under net share settlement, we will deliver to the warrant holders a number of shares of our Class A common stock equal to, for each exercise of warrants, the amount payable upon net cash settlement divided by the settlement price.

As of March 31, 2011, the carrying amounts of the debt and equity components of our bifurcated convertible debt instrument were \$26.7 million and \$25.5 million, respectively. The carrying values of the debt and equity components include reductions of \$134.6 million and \$5.2 million, respectively, related to our convertible note purchases in 2009. The equity component is included in additional paid-in capital in the equity section of the balance sheet.

The convertible feature of the notes, the convertible note hedge, and the warrant transactions each meet the requirements of the applicable accounting guidance to be accounted for as equity instruments. As such, the convertible feature of the notes has not been accounted for as a derivative (which would be marked to market each reporting period) and in the event the debt is converted, no gain or loss is recognized, as the cash payment of principal reduces the recorded liability and the issuance of common shares would be recorded in stockholders' equity.

In addition, the amount paid for the call option and the premium received for the warrant were recorded as additional paid-in capital in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets and are not accounted for as derivatives (which would be marked to market each reporting period). Incremental net shares for the convertible note feature and the warrant agreement will be included in future diluted earnings per share calculations for those periods in which our average common stock price exceeds \$43.63 per share in the case of the Senior Notes and \$50.35 per share in the case of the warrants. The purchased call option is antidilutive and is excluded from the diluted earnings per share calculation.

Indebtedness under the note and guaranty agreement, the convertible notes, and the credit agreement is ranked equally in right of payment to all unsecured senior debt.

11. Fair Value Measurements

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States define fair value as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Accounting principles establish a hierarchy for inputs used in measuring fair value that maximizes the use of observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs by requiring that the most observable inputs be used when available. The hierarchy is broken down into three general levels: Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities; Level 2 inputs include data points that are observable, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets, quoted prices for identical assets or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, and inputs (other than quoted prices) such as interest rates and yield curves that are observable for the asset and liability, either directly or indirectly; Level 3 inputs are unobservable data points for the asset or liability, and include situations in which there is little, if any, market activity for the asset or liability.

The following table presents the fair-value hierarchy for our financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis:

(in thousands)	Total fair value at March 31, 2011	Quoted prices in active markets (Level 1)	Significant other observable inputs (Level 2)	Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3)
<i>Assets:</i>				
Cash equivalents	\$35,730	\$35,730		
Common stock of foreign public company	580	580		
Foreign exchange contracts	1,239		1,239	
Interest rate swap	208		208	

(in thousands)	Total fair value at December 31, 2010	Quoted prices in active markets (Level 1)	Significant other observable inputs (Level 2)	Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3)
<i>Assets:</i>				
Cash equivalents	\$23,087	\$23,087		
Common stock of foreign public company	561	561		
Foreign exchange contracts	862		862	
<i>Liabilities:</i>				
Interest rate swap	(452)		(452)	

During the three-months ended March, 2011, there were no transfers between levels 1, 2, and 3.

Cash equivalents include short-term securities that are considered to be highly liquid and easily tradable. These securities are valued using inputs observable in active markets for identical securities.

The common stock of a foreign public company is traded in an active market exchange. The shares are measured at fair value using closing stock prices and are recorded in the Consolidated Balance Sheets as Other assets. The securities are classified as available for sale, and as a result any gain or loss is recorded in the Shareholders' Equity section of the Consolidated Balance Sheets rather than in the Consolidated Statements of Income. When the security is sold or impaired, gains and losses are reported on the Consolidated Statements of Income. Investments are considered to be impaired when a decline in fair value is judged to be other than temporary.

Foreign currency instruments are entered into periodically, and consist of foreign currency option contracts or forward contracts that are valued using quoted prices in active markets obtained from independent pricing sources. During the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, we entered into foreign currency options (options) only, which are measured using market foreign exchange prices and are recorded in the Consolidated Balance Sheets as Other current assets. Changes in fair value of these instruments are recorded as gains or losses within Other (income)/expense, net. Gains and (losses) on the options totaled \$0.2 million and (\$0.1) million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

When exercised, the foreign currency instruments are net settled with the same financial institution that bought or sold them. For all positions, whether options or forward contracts, there is risk from the possible inability of the financial institution to meet the terms of the contracts and the risk of unfavorable changes in interest and currency rates, which may reduce the value of the instruments. We seek to control risk by evaluating the creditworthiness of counterparties and by monitoring the currency exchange and interest rate markets while reviewing the hedging risks and contracts to ensure compliance with our internal guidelines and policies.

We operate our business in many regions of the world, and currency rate movements can have a significant effect on operating results.

Changes in exchange rates can result in revaluation gains and losses that are recorded in Selling, General, Technical, Product Engineering, and Research expenses or Other income/expense, net. Revaluation gains and losses occur when our business units have intercompany or third-party trade receivable or payable balances in a currency other than their local reporting (or functional) currency.

Operating results can also be affected by the translation of sales and costs, for each non-U.S. subsidiary, from the local functional currency to the U.S. dollar. The translation effect on the income statement is dependent on our net income or expense position in each non-U.S. currency in which we do business. A net income position exists when sales realized in a particular currency exceed expenses paid in that currency; a net expense position exists if the opposite is true.

In order to mitigate foreign exchange volatility in the financial statements, we periodically enter into foreign currency financial instruments from time to time. There were no foreign currency financial instruments designated as hedging instruments at March 31, 2011.

As described in Note 10 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, on July 16, 2010, we entered into a \$390 million unsecured five-year revolving credit facility agreement. The applicable interest rate for borrowings under the agreement is LIBOR plus a spread, based on our leverage ratio at the time of borrowing. Interest rate changes on this variable rate debt cause changes in cash flows, and in order to mitigate this cash flow risk we have fixed a portion of the effective interest rate on part of the indebtedness drawn under the agreement by entering into interest rate hedging transactions on July 16, 2010. This interest rate swap locked in our interest rate on the forecasted outstanding

borrowings of \$105 million at 2.04% plus the credit spread on the debt for a five year period. The credit spread is based on the pricing grid, which can go as low as 2.0% or as high as 2.75%, based on our leverage ratio.

The interest rate swap is accounted for as a hedge of future cash flows. The fair value of our interest rate swap is derived from a discounted cash flow analysis based on the terms of the contract and the interest rate curve, and is recorded in the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2011 as Other noncurrent assets of \$0.2 million. Unrealized gains and losses on the swap will flow through the caption Derivative valuation adjustment in the Shareholders' equity section of the Consolidated Balance Sheets, to the extent that the hedge is highly effective. Gains and losses related to the ineffective portion of the hedge will be recognized in the current period in earnings. Amounts accumulated in Other comprehensive income are reclassified as Interest expense, net when the related interest payments (that is, the hedged forecasted transactions) affect earnings. For the three months ended March 31, 2011, \$0.5 million of interest expense was recorded related to the swap.

Fair value amounts of derivative instruments were as follows:

(in thousands)	Balance sheet caption	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
Asset Derivatives			
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:			
Foreign exchange contracts	Other assets	\$1,239	\$862
Total asset derivatives not designated as hedging instruments		\$1,239	\$862
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:			
Interest rate swap	Other noncurrent assets	\$208	
Total asset derivatives designated as hedging instruments		\$208	
Liability Derivatives			
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:			
Interest rate swap	Other noncurrent liabilities		(\$452)
Total liability derivatives designated as hedging instruments			(\$452)
Total derivatives		\$1,447	\$410

Gains/(losses) on changes in fair value of derivative instruments were as follows:

(in thousands)	Three months ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments		
Interest rate swap ¹	\$403	
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments		
Foreign exchange contracts ²	234	(73)

1 Unrealized gains are recognized in Other comprehensive income, net of tax. This derivative was an effective hedge of interest rate cash flow risk for the three months ended March 31, 2011.

2 Gains/(losses) are recognized in Other expense, net.

12. Contingencies

Asbestos Litigation

Albany International Corp. is a defendant in suits brought in various courts in the United States by plaintiffs who allege that they have suffered personal injury as a result of exposure to asbestos-containing products that we previously manufactured. We produced asbestos-containing paper machine clothing synthetic dryer fabrics marketed during the period from 1967 to 1976 and used in certain paper mills. Such fabrics generally had a useful life of three to twelve months.

We were defending against 4,800 claims as of April 18, 2011. This compares with 5,158 such claims as of February 11, 2011, 5,170 claims as of October 29, 2010, 7,343 claims as of July 23, 2010, and 7,464 claims as of April 29, 2010. These suits allege a variety of lung and other diseases based on alleged exposure to products that we previously manufactured.

The following table sets forth the number of claims filed, the number of claims settled, dismissed, or otherwise resolved, and the aggregate settlement amount during the periods presented:

<i>Year ended December 31,</i>	<i>Opening Number of Claims</i>	<i>Claims Dismissed, Settled, or Resolved</i>	<i>New Claims</i>	<i>Closing Number of Claims</i>	<i>Amounts Paid (thousands) to Settle or Resolve (\$)</i>
2005*	29,411	6,257	1,297	24,451	504
2006	24,451	6,841	1,806	19,416	3,879
2007	19,416	808	190	18,798	15
2008	18,798	523	110	18,385	52
2009	18,385	9,482	42	8,945	88
2010	8,945	3,963	188	5,170	159
2011 to date	5,170	402	31	4,799	1,066

*Prior to 2005, \$2.3 million was paid to settle or resolve certain outstanding claims.

We anticipate that additional claims will be filed against the Company and related companies in the future, but are unable to predict the number and timing of such future claims. These suits typically involve claims against from twenty to more than two hundred defendants, and the complaints usually fail to identify the plaintiffs' work history or the nature of the plaintiffs' alleged exposure to our products. Pleadings and discovery responses in those cases in which work histories have been provided indicate claimants with paper mill exposure in approximately 15% of the total claims filed against the Company to date, and only a portion of those claimants have alleged time spent in a paper mill to which we are believed to have supplied asbestos-containing products.

The significant increase in the number of dismissed claims during 2009 and early 2010 is in large part the result of changes in the administration of claims assigned to the multidistrict litigation panel of the federal district courts (the MDL). Beginning in May 2007 the MDL issued a series of administrative orders intended to expedite the resolution of pending cases. Those orders provided a process to allow defendants to move for dismissal of claims that were

noncompliant or were not being prosecuted. While there is no way to anticipate how many plaintiffs may attempt to refile their claims, that process resulted in the dismissal of numerous claims, either voluntarily or involuntarily. As of April 18, 2011, 448 claims remained against the Company in the MDL. This compares to 12,758 claims that were pending at the MDL as of February 6, 2009.

With respect to claims remaining at the MDL, future discovery may yield more relevant information regarding work histories and the basis, if any, for a plaintiff's claim against the Company. The Company does not currently believe a meaningful estimate can be made regarding the range of possible loss with respect to the claims remaining at the MDL, although this conclusion could change as the MDL's efforts to advance resolution of these claims progresses.

As of April 18, 2011, the remaining 4,352 claims pending against the Company were pending in a number of jurisdictions other than the MDL. Pleadings and discovery responses in those cases in which work histories have been provided indicate claimants with paper mill exposure in approximately 25% of total claims reported, and only a portion of those claimants have alleged time spent in a paper mill to which we are believed to have supplied asbestos-containing products. For these reasons, we expect the percentage of these remaining claimants able to demonstrate time spent in a paper mill to which we supplied asbestos-containing products during a period in which our asbestos-containing products were in use to be considerably lower than the total number of pending claims. Detailed exposure and disease information sufficient meaningfully to estimate a range of possible loss of a particular claim is typically not available until late in the discovery process, and often not until a trial date is imminent and a settlement demand has been received. For these reasons, we do not believe a meaningful estimate can be made regarding the range of possible loss with respect to these remaining claims.

It is our position and the position of the other paper machine clothing defendants that there was insufficient exposure to asbestos from any paper machine clothing products to cause asbestos-related injury to any plaintiff. Furthermore, asbestos contained in our synthetic products was encapsulated in a resin-coated yarn woven into the interior of the fabric, further reducing the likelihood of fiber release. While we believe we have meritorious defenses to these claims, we have settled certain of these cases for amounts we consider reasonable given the facts and circumstances of each case. Our insurer, Liberty Mutual, has defended each case and funded settlements under a standard reservation of rights. As of April 18, 2011, we had resolved, by means of settlement or dismissal, 35,893 claims. The total cost of resolving all claims was \$8.1 million. Of this amount, almost 100% was paid by our insurance carrier. The Company has approximately \$130.0 million in confirmed insurance coverage that should be available with respect to current and future asbestos claims, as well as additional insurance coverage that we should be able to access.

Brandon Drying Fabrics, Inc. (Brandon), a subsidiary of Geschmay Corp., which is a subsidiary of the Company, is also a separate defendant in many of the asbestos cases in which Albany is named as a defendant. Brandon was defending against 7,876 claims as of April 18, 2011. This compares with 7,868 such claims as of February 11, 2011, 7,869 claims as of October 28, 2010, 7,907 claims as of July 23, 2010 and April 29, 2010, and 7,905 such claims as of February 16, 2010.

The following table sets forth the number of claims filed, the number of claims settled, dismissed, or otherwise resolved, and the aggregate settlement amount during the periods presented:

<i>Year ended December 31,</i>	<i>Opening Number of Claims</i>	<i>Claims Dismissed, Settled, or Resolved</i>	<i>New Claims</i>	<i>Closing Number of Claims</i>	<i>Amounts Paid (thousands) to Settle or Resolve (\$)</i>
2005	9,985	642	223	9,566	0
2006	9,566	1,182	730	9,114	0
2007	9,114	462	88	8,740	0
2008	8,740	86	10	8,664	0
2009	8,664	760	3	7,907	0
2010	7,907	47	9	7,869	0
2011 to date	7,869	2	9	7,876	0

We acquired Geschmay Corp., formerly known as Wangner Systems Corporation, in 1999. Brandon is a wholly owned subsidiary of Geschmay Corp. In 1978, Brandon acquired certain assets from Abney Mills (Abney), a South Carolina textile manufacturer. Among the assets acquired by Brandon from Abney were assets of Abney's wholly owned subsidiary, Brandon Sales, Inc. which had sold, among other things, dryer fabrics containing asbestos made by its parent, Abney. It is believed that Abney ceased production of asbestos-containing fabrics prior to the 1978 transaction. Although Brandon manufactured and sold dryer fabrics under its own name subsequent to the asset purchase, none of such fabrics contained asbestos. Under the terms of the Assets Purchase Agreement between Brandon and Abney, Abney agreed to indemnify, defend, and hold Brandon harmless from any actions or claims on account of products manufactured by Abney and its related corporations prior to the date of the sale, whether or not the product was sold subsequent to the date of the sale. It appears that Abney has since been dissolved. Nevertheless, a representative of Abney has been notified of the pendency of these actions and demand has been made that it assume the defense of these actions. Because Brandon did not manufacture asbestos-containing products, and because it does not believe that it was the legal successor to, or otherwise responsible for obligations of Abney with respect to products manufactured by Abney, it believes it has strong defenses to the claims that have been asserted against it. In some instances, plaintiffs have voluntarily dismissed claims against it, while in others it has entered into what it considers to be reasonable settlements. As of April 18, 2011, Brandon has resolved, by means of settlement or dismissal, 9,720 claims for a total of \$0.2 million. Brandon's insurance carriers initially agreed to pay 88.2% of the total indemnification and defense costs related to these proceedings, subject to the standard reservation of rights. The remaining 11.8% of the costs had been borne directly by Brandon. During 2004, Brandon's insurance carriers agreed to cover 100% of indemnification and defense costs, subject to policy limits and the standard reservation of rights, and to reimburse Brandon for all indemnity and defense costs paid directly by Brandon related to these proceedings.

As of April 18, 2011, 6,821 (or approximately 81%) of the claims pending against Brandon were pending in Mississippi. For the same reasons set forth above with respect to Albany's claims, as well as the fact that no amounts have been paid to resolve any Brandon claims since 2001, we do not believe a meaningful estimate can be made regarding the range of possible loss with respect to these remaining claims.

Mount Vernon. In some of these asbestos cases, the Company is named both as a direct defendant and as the successor in interest to Mount Vernon Mills (Mount Vernon). We acquired certain assets from Mount Vernon in 1993. Certain plaintiffs allege injury caused by asbestos-containing products alleged to have been sold by Mount Vernon many years prior to this acquisition. Mount Vernon is contractually obligated to indemnify the Company against any liability arising out of such products. We deny any liability for products sold by Mount Vernon prior to the acquisition of the Mount Vernon assets. Pursuant to its contractual indemnification obligations, Mount Vernon has assumed the defense of these claims. On this basis, we have successfully moved for dismissal in a number of actions.

Although we do not believe, based on currently available information and for the reasons stated above, that a meaningful estimate of a range of possible loss can be made with respect to such claims, based on our understanding of the insurance policies available, how settlement amounts have been allocated to various policies, our settlement experience, the absence of any judgments against the Company or Brandon, the ratio of paper mill claims to total claims filed, and the defenses available, we currently do not anticipate any material liability relating to the resolution of the aforementioned pending proceedings in excess of existing insurance limits. Consequently, we currently do not anticipate, based on currently available information, that the ultimate resolution of the aforementioned proceedings will have a material adverse effect on the financial position, results of operations, or cash flows of the Company. Although we cannot predict the number and timing of future claims, based on the foregoing factors and the trends in claims against us to date, we do not anticipate that additional claims likely to be filed against us in the future will have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows. We are aware that litigation is inherently uncertain, especially when the outcome is dependent primarily on determinations of factual matters to be made by juries.

NAFTA Audits

The Company's affiliate in Mexico was notified in November 2010 that Mexican customs authorities expected to issue demands for duties on certain imports of PMC from the Company and the Company's affiliate in Canada for which the Company has claimed duty-free treatment under the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA).

The notices result from a decision by the Mexican Servicio de Administración Tributaria (SAT) to invalidate NAFTA certificates provided by the Company on products shipped to its Mexican affiliate during the years 2006 through 2008. The Demand Notices arose from an SAT audit during 2010, at the conclusion of which the SAT determined that the Company had failed to provide documentation sufficient to show that the certificates were validly issued, and declared the certificates issued during this period to be invalid. The Company believes that the certificates of origin were valid and properly issued and has commenced administrative appeals with SAT disputing its resolutions.

The import duties identified in such notices to date are approximately US \$2.5 million, and relate to only a portion of the shipments covered by the invalidated certificates.

In the event of an adverse ruling at the conclusion of the administrative appeal process, the Company would have an opportunity to appeal the outcome in Mexican Tax Court, during which it would have an opportunity to present evidence to establish that the shipments in question were of U.S. and Canadian origin and entitled to the benefits of NAFTA. As all of the shipments covered by the invalidated certificates were, in fact, of U.S. or Canadian origin, the Company expects that it will be able to demonstrate that the certificates were validly issued. The Company has been advised by counsel that, if this is the case, then the Tax Court is likely to revoke the SAT invalidation actions and rule in favor of the Company.

In the unlikely event that the Company were not to prevail, however, then it could become subject to additional demand notices for the balance of the shipments during the period from 2006 through 2008 covered by the invalidated certificates. If such demand notices were to be issued for all the shipments so covered, then the Company could be liable for duties aggregating between US \$8.0 and \$10.0 million. The Company has also been advised by counsel that SAT would likely seek additional antidumping duties and penalties which could increase these amounts by up to 900%, but that the possibility that SAT would succeed in obtaining such additional duties and penalties is remote. The Company also does not believe that it faces any material risk of certificates being invalidated with respect to any period other than the 2006 through 2008 audit period. For this reason, the Company does not feel that this matter is likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

13. Changes in Stockholders' Equity

The following table summarizes changes in Stockholders' Equity:

(in thousands)	Class A Common Stock	Class B Common Stock	Additional paid in capital	Retained earnings	Accumulated items of other comprehensive income	Treasury stock	Total Shareholders' Equity
December 31, 2010	\$36	\$3	\$387,876	\$403,048	(\$106,672)	(\$258,031)	\$426,260
Net income				16,733			16,733
Dividends declared				(3,749)			(3,749)
Compensation and benefits paid or payable in Class A Common Stock	1		340				341
Options exercised			124				124
Cumulative translation adjustment					25,912		25,912
Amortization of pension liability					791		791
Change in derivative valuation adjustment					403		403
March 31, 2011	\$37	\$3	\$388,340	\$416,032	(\$79,566)	(\$258,031)	\$466,815

14. Comprehensive Income

Comprehensive income consists of the following:

(in thousands)	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Net income	\$16,733	\$5,598
Other comprehensive income/(loss), before tax:		
Foreign currency translation adjustments	25,912	(19,561)
Amortization of pension liability adjustment	1,297	1,053
Pension and postretirement liability adjustments		(1,337)
Derivative valuation adjustment	660	
Income taxes related to items of other comprehensive income/(loss):		
Amortization of pension liability adjustment	(506)	(411)
Pension and postretirement liability adjustments		521
Derivative valuation adjustment	(257)	
Other comprehensive income/(loss), net of tax	27,106	(19,735)
Comprehensive income/(loss)	\$43,839	(\$14,137)

15. Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In October 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) amended authoritative guidance related to accounting and disclosure of revenue recognition for multiple-element arrangements. This guidance provides principles for allocation of consideration among multiple elements, allowing more flexibility in identifying and accounting for separate deliverables under an arrangement. This guidance introduces an estimated selling price method for allocating revenue to the elements of a bundled arrangement if vendor-specific objective evidence or third-party evidence of selling price is not available, and significantly expands related disclosure requirements. This is effective on a prospective basis for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified this year. Our adoption of this guidance during this first quarter has accelerated revenue recognition on some contracts in the Albany Doors segment, as described in Note 1 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

In April 2010, the FASB issued guidance on the criteria that should be met for determining whether the milestone method of revenue recognition is appropriate, with regard to research and development transactions. The guidance allows the milestone method as an acceptable revenue recognition methodology when an arrangement includes substantive milestones. The guidance provides a definition of substantive milestone and should be applied regardless of whether the arrangement includes single or multiple deliverables or units of accounting. The guidance includes enhanced disclosure requirements about each arrangement, individual milestones and related contingent consideration, information about substantive milestones, and factors considered in the determination. This is effective prospectively to milestones achieved this year. Our adoption of this guidance did not have a material effect on our financial statements.

Forward-looking statements

This quarterly report and the documents incorporated or deemed to be incorporated by reference in this quarterly report contain statements concerning our future results and performance and other matters that are forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act). The words believe, expect, anticipate, intend, plan, project, may, variations of such words or similar expressions are intended, but are not the exclusive means, to identify forward-looking statements. Because forward-looking statements are subject to risks and uncertainties, actual results may differ materially from those expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements.

Forward-looking statements in this quarterly report include, without limitation, statements about future economic and paper industry conditions, sales and operating income expectations during the next several quarters in each of our businesses, anticipated improvements in cash generation, revenue growth and income expectations for our non-PMC businesses, the timing and impact of certain production and development programs in our AEC business segment, the amount and timing of anticipated costs and savings associated with cost-reduction and performance-improvement initiatives, pricing conditions in the PMC industry, the amount and timing of capital expenditures, future tax rates and cash paid for taxes, depreciation and amortization, future debt levels and debt covenant ratios, future contributions to our pension plans, future revaluation gains and losses, and future levels of EBITDA. Furthermore, a change in any one or more of the foregoing factors could have a material effect on our financial results in any period. Such statements are based on current expectations, and we undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements.

Statements expressing our assessments of the growth potential of various businesses, or referring to earlier assessments of such potential, are not intended as forecasts of actual future growth, and should not be relied on as such. While we believe such assessments to have a reasonable basis, such assessments are, by their nature, inherently uncertain. This quarterly report and earlier reports set forth a number of assumptions regarding these assessments, including historical results and independent forecasts regarding the markets in which these businesses operate. Historical growth rates are no guarantee of future growth, and such independent forecasts could prove incorrect.

Further information concerning important factors that could cause actual events or results to be materially different from the forward-looking statements can be found in Trends, Liquidity, Outlook, and Legal Proceedings sections of this quarterly report, as well as in the Risk Factors , section of our most recent Annual Report on Form 10-K. Although we believe the expectations reflected in our forward-looking statements are based upon reasonable assumptions, it is not possible to foresee or identify all factors that could have a material and negative impact on future performance. The forward-looking statements included or incorporated by reference in this quarterly report are made on the basis of our assumptions and analyses, as of the time the statements are made, in light of their experience and perception of historical conditions, expected future developments and other factors believed to be appropriate under the circumstances.

Except as otherwise required by the federal securities laws, we disclaim any obligations or undertaking to publicly release any updates or revisions to any forward-looking statement contained or incorporated by reference in this report to reflect any change in our expectations with regard thereto or any change in events, conditions or circumstances on which any such statement is based.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following Management's Discussion and Analysis (MD&A) is intended to help the reader understand the results of operations and financial condition of the Company. The MD&A is provided as a supplement to, and should be read in conjunction with, our Consolidated Financial Statements and the accompanying Notes. In addition, the results of operations below reflect a previously reported segment reclassification, as described in Note 1 to our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Overview

After a period of intense restructuring, the Company has become a portfolio of businesses, each with roots in advanced textiles and materials processing: Paper Machine Clothing (PMC), Albany Door Systems (ADS), Engineered Fabrics, PrimaLoft® Products, and Albany Engineered Composites, Inc. (AEC).

PMC remains the Company's core business segment and primary generator of cash. While the paper industry in our traditional geographic markets has suffered from well-documented overcapacity in the publication grades, especially newsprint, the industry is still expected to grow on a global basis, driven by demand for packaging and tissue grades, as well as the expansion of paper consumption and production in Asia and South America. Although we no longer consider the PMC industry as having significant growth potential, our PMC business has significant prospects for long-term cash-generation. We feel we are now well-positioned in this industry, with high-quality, low-cost production in growth markets, substantially lower fixed costs in mature markets, and continued strength in new product development and field services. We seek to maintain the cash-generating potential of this business by maintaining the low costs that we achieved through restructuring, and competing vigorously by using our differentiated products and services to reduce our customers' total cost of operation and improve their paper quality.

During the recession, we focused on reducing fixed costs in each of the ADS, Engineered Fabrics, and PrimaLoft Products businesses. As global economies have improved, we hope to accelerate growth in these businesses with new products and by expanding our markets geographically, while preserving the margin improvements achieved during the downturn.

We believe that AEC provides the greatest growth potential, both near and long term, for our Company. Our goal is to develop AEC into a second core business by the end of this decade. Our strategy is to grow organically by focusing our proprietary technology on high-value aerospace and defense applications that cannot be served effectively by conventional composites. AEC supplies a number of customers in the aerospace industry. AEC's most significant aerospace customer is the SAFRAN Group, for whom we make braces for the Boeing 787 main landing gear, outer guide vanes for the CFM-56 engine, and fan blades and other components for the LEAP-X engine. AEC is also developing other new and potentially significant products for airframe (both civilian and military) and land-based defense applications.

Consolidated Results of Operations**Net sales**

The following table summarizes our net sales by business segment:

(USD in thousands)

	Three months ended		% Change
	March 31, 2011	2010	
Paper Machine Clothing	\$167,896	\$146,737	14.4%
Albany Door Systems	45,128	33,755	33.7%
Engineered Fabrics	20,586	19,112	7.7%
Engineered Composites	11,472	8,641	32.8%
PrimaLoft® Products	6,768	5,628	20.3%
Total	\$251,850	\$213,873	17.8%

Net sales were affected by the following:

- Changes in currency translation rates had the effect of increasing net sales by \$2.5 million during 2011.
- Excluding the effect of changes in currency translation rates, 2011 net sales increased 16.6%.
- Sales volume in 2011 increased in all of our business segments, reflecting economic growth, successful product introductions, and geographic expansion in most regions in which we conduct our business.

Gross Profit

The following table summarizes gross profit by business segment:

(USD in thousands)

	Three months ended	
	March 31, 2011	2010
Paper Machine Clothing	\$78,320	\$58,013
Albany Door Systems	16,148	11,797
Engineered Fabrics	8,217	5,837
Engineered Composites	(19)	(1,045)
PrimaLoft® Products	3,657	3,599
Unallocated	(1,330)	(972)
Total	\$104,993	\$77,229
% of Net Sales	41.7%	36.1%

The increase in gross profit during 2011 was principally due to the net effect of the following:

- \$12.5 million increase due to higher company-wide sales, reflecting growth in global economies, particularly in Europe and South America.
- \$11.9 million increase due to higher profitability in our PMC and growth businesses, principally resulting from a lower cost structure and higher plant utilization and production efficiencies.
- \$4.2 million increase due to lower costs associated with PMC equipment relocation and idle capacity related to restructuring activities in 2010.
- \$0.5 million decrease due to inventory write-offs in our AEC segment.

Selling, Technical, General, and Research (STG&R)

The following table summarizes STG&R by business segment:

(USD in thousands)

Years ended December 31,	2011	2010
Paper Machine Clothing	\$32,057	\$28,066
Albany Door Systems	10,625	8,885
Engineered Fabrics	4,176	4,180
Engineered Composites	1,011	1,184
PrimaLoft® Products	1,746	1,530
Research	7,165	5,811
Unallocated	16,120	\$16,420
Total	\$72,900	\$66,076
% of Net Sales	28.9%	30.9%

STG&R expenses for 2011 were higher than 2010, principally due to the net effect of the following:

- Salaries and commissions within selling expense increased \$2.1 million due to higher sales in our PMC and ADS segments.
- Revaluation of nonfunctional currency assets and liabilities resulted in a loss of \$2.0 million compared to no effect in 2010. The revaluation losses were principally due to the strengthening euro and the resulting effects on nonfunctional currency trade receivables and payables.
- Corporate expense was \$1.4 million higher due to higher long-term incentive compensation expense for executive employees.
- Sale of a building provided a gain totaling \$0.4 million in 2011.
- The increase in research expense was principally due to an increase in activities that support the growth in our AEC segment.

Operating Income

The following table summarizes operating income by business segment:

(USD in thousands)

	Three months ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Paper Machine Clothing	\$46,230	\$27,661
Albany Door Systems	5,371	2,884
Engineered Fabrics	4,041	1,657
Engineered Composites	(1,043)	(2,229)

PrimaLoft® Products	1,911	2,069
Research expense	(7,165)	(5,811)
Unallocated expenses	(17,438)	(16,470)
<hr/>		
Total	\$31,907	\$9,761
<hr/>		

In addition to the items discussed above affecting gross profit and STG&R, operating income in 2011 and 2010 was reduced by restructuring costs.

Restructuring Expense

The following table summarizes restructuring expense by business segment:

(USD in thousands)

	Three months ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Paper Machine Clothing	\$33	\$2,286
Albany Door Systems	152	28
Engineered Composites	13	
Unallocated expenses	(12)	(922)
Total	\$186	\$1,392

Restructuring charges in 2011 are the remaining costs attributable to restructuring actions initiated in 2009 to reduce manufacturing capacity in the U.S. and in Europe. Restructuring expense in 2010 included a post-retirement benefit plan curtailment gain of \$0.9 million, and a non-cash charge of \$1.2 million to write-down property, plant, and equipment related to previously announced reductions in manufacturing capacity.

Other Earnings Items

(USD in thousands)

	Three months ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Interest expense, net	\$4,776	\$3,825
Other expense/(income), net	4,869	(2,281)
Income tax expense	5,309	2,627
Equity in (losses)/earnings of associated companies	(220)	8
Net income	\$16,733	\$5,598

Interest Expense, net

The increase in interest expense, net is principally the result of higher interest rates during 2011, partly offset by lower levels of outstanding debt. In July 2010 we renegotiated our revolving credit agreement and entered into an interest rate swap agreement that fixes the interest rate on a portion of the debt. The new agreements resulted in a weighted average interest rate of 3.58% during Q1 2011, compared to a rate of 1.27% during Q1 2010 under the old agreement. See the Capital Resources section below for further discussion of borrowings and interest rates.

Other Expense/(Income), net

Other expense/(income), net included the following:

- Foreign currency revaluations of intercompany balances resulted in losses of \$3.9 million in 2011 and gains of \$2.8 million in 2010. The change from gains to losses is principally due to the relative strength of the euro against the US dollar, Canadian dollar, Australian dollar, and Japanese yen.
- Amortization of capitalized debt issuance costs were \$0.3 million in 2011 and \$0.1 million in 2010. The increase is due to an increase in capitalized professional fees associated with the renegotiation of our revolving credit agreement during July 2010.
- Debt issuance costs were \$0.9 million in 2011 compared with \$0.5 million in 2010. These costs are principally fees associated with a letter-of-credit (LOC) that is required by the Canadian government until pending tax issues are resolved. We expect to resolve our tax issues with Canada during the second half of 2011, which will result in lower LOC fees.

Income Tax Expense

Income tax expense was \$2.7 million higher in 2011 principally due to higher pre-tax income offset in part by a lower 2011 estimated tax rate, reflecting a shift in the distribution of income to lower-tax jurisdictions. Our effective income tax rate, exclusive of discrete tax items, was 30.0 percent for Q1 2011. Q1 2011 income tax expense was reduced by favorable discrete tax adjustments of \$1.4 million. Our effective income tax rate for Q1 2010 was 32.0 percent.

Outlook

Each Albany business performed well during Q1 2011 with sales and profitability strong across-the-board. The outlook in the short term, excluding currency effects and assuming continued success in offsetting inflation, is for year-over-year improvement, driven by stable performance in PMC and growth in Doors, EF, PrimaLoft, and AEC.

Segment Results of Operations

Paper Machine Clothing Segment

Business Environment and Trends

PMC is our primary business segment and continues to account for nearly 67% of our consolidated revenues in current and prior periods. PMC is purchased primarily by manufacturers of paper and paperboard.

According to data published by RISI, Inc., paper and paperboard production decreased severely during 2009 and 2008 due to the global recession. The decline was especially pronounced in North America and Europe driven mainly by declining demand for newsprint and certain printing and writing grades. Paper and paperboard production recovered somewhat during 2010, but global production still remains well below pre-recession levels. According to RISI, global production is expected to grow at an annual rate of 3.3% over the next five years, driven primarily by secular demand increases in the Asia and South America, with stabilization in the mature markets of Europe and North America.

Shifting demand for paper, across different paper grades as well as across geographical regions, triggered the elimination of a large number of older, less efficient machines in areas with significant established capacity, primarily in the mature markets of Europe and North America. At the same time newer, faster, and more efficient machines were being installed in areas of growing demand. Recent technological advances in PMC, while contributing to the papermaking efficiency of customers, have lengthened the useful life of many of our products and had an adverse impact on overall PMC demand. These factors help to explain why PMC revenue growth has not kept pace with the rate of growth in paper production.

Economic conditions over the past three years have had a significant impact on the structure of the global PMC industry. The ability to make and sell paper machines and PMC together could be perceived as providing a competitive advantage, and during the recent recession two of our competitors were acquired by paper machine builders. One of our competitors filed for bankruptcy and reorganized itself, reducing some of the indebtedness on its balance sheet. Some of the aggressive pricing practices that contributed to price erosion during the recession seem to have abated, as prices have remained relatively stable over the past few quarters. Future price erosion, especially in the mature markets of Europe and North America, remains a risk. We would expect such risk to be greatest were there to be any return to recession or general economic uncertainty, or if there is any future secular reduction in demand for certain printing and writing paper grades.

Our strategy for meeting the challenges and trends in this segment has been (a) to grow share in the mature markets of North America and Europe with new products and technology, (b) grow sales in the

emerging markets of Asia and South America, (c) continue to create higher quality, higher performing products and services that deliver greater value to our customers, and (d) offset the effects of inflation through continuous productivity improvement.

Review of Operations

(USD in thousands)

	Three months ended	
	March 31, 2011	2010
Net sales	\$167,896	\$146,737
Gross profit	78,320	58,013
% of net sales	46.6%	39.5%
Operating income	46,230	27,661

Net Sales

Net sales were affected by the following:

- Changes in currency translation rates had the effect of increasing 2011 sales by \$1.5 million.
- Excluding the effect of changes in currency translation rates, 2011 sales increased 13.4%.
- The increase in 2011 sales due to higher sales volume in every region.
- 2011 net sales increased by \$0.5 million due to lower sales returns and allowances accruals, primarily due to the resolution of quality issues in our pressing fabrics product-line.

Gross Profit

The increase in 2011 gross profit was principally due to the net effect of the following:

- \$8.2 million increase due to higher sales.
- \$4.8 million increase due to a lower cost structure provided by the full effect of our restructuring and cost reduction activities in prior years.
- \$2.0 million increase due to higher levels of plant utilization partly due to the replenishment of inventory held for customers.
- \$1.0 million increase related to favorable geographic sales mix.
- \$2.6 million increase due to lower idle capacity.
- \$1.5 million increase due to lower machinery and equipment relocation costs.

Operating Income

The increase in 2011 operating income was principally due to the net effect of the following:

- \$20.3 million increase due to higher gross profit.
- \$0.4 million increase due to a gain on building sale.
- \$2.3 million increase due to lower restructuring costs, as our restructuring activities were substantially completed during 2010.
- Selling and technical expenses increased by \$2.1 million principally due to higher sales.

- Revaluation of nonfunctional currency assets and liabilities provided a loss of \$1.5 million compared to a gain of \$0.3 million in 2010. The change to revaluation gains was principally due to the U.S. dollar weakening against the euro, and the resulting effects on nonfunctional currency trade receivables and payables.

Outlook

The strong performance of PMC in Q1 2011 demonstrates our competitive strength in every major market segment and region. This continued outstanding performance is being driven by the broadest and deepest product portfolio in the industry; a wave of new products up and down the paper machine backed by a full R&D pipeline; outstanding field and technical service; strategic partnerships with key customers in each

region of the world; a significant capacity advantage in the fastest growing regions; and continuing efforts to offset growing inflationary pressures.

PMC orders in Q1 2011 kept pace with sales, and the order backlog remained stable. Combined the absence of the normal January seasonal weakness, and the surge in sales at the end of the quarter, which appears to have pulled forward sales from April, Q1 for PMC could well be a stronger quarter than Q2.

Albany Door Systems Segment

Business Environment and Trends

ADS derives approximately 70% of its revenue from the sale of high-performance doors, with the balance derived from aftermarket parts and service which carry a higher profit margin. Geographically, approximately two-thirds of segment revenue comes from our European operations. Historically, sales volume in this segment has been tightly connected to gross domestic product, which is the principal driver of the change in sales from 2008 to 2010. Our priorities in this segment are:

- Acceleration of new product introduction, which represents a major source of competitive advantage
- Expansion of our aftermarket business
- Geographic expansion

Review of Operations

(USD in thousands)

	Three months ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Net sales	\$45,128	\$33,755
Gross profit	16,148	11,797
% of net sales	35.8%	34.9%
Operating income	5,371	2,884

Net Sales

Net sales were affected by the following:

- Changes in currency translation rates had the effect of increasing 2011 sales by \$0.8 million.
- Excluding the effect of changes in currency translation rates, 2011 sales increased 31.4%.
- Adoption of authoritative guidance related to revenue recognition of multiple-element arrangements provided a one-time acceleration of deferred revenue totaling \$2.4 million in Q1 2011.
- Sales of new products and aftermarket sales increased 44.2% and 19.0%, respectively, as economies improved in North America and Europe along with geographic expansion of our brand.
- New products sales increased 51.7% in Europe and 37.3% in North America, principally due a positive economic cycle along with successful introduction of new products.

Gross Profit

The increase in 2011 gross profit was principally due to the net effect of the following:

- \$4.0 million increase due to higher sales, of which \$0.9 million was related to the adoption of the authoritative guidance for revenue recognition of multiple-element arrangements.
- \$0.4 million increase in profitability due to higher aftermarket sales, which carry a higher level of profitability.

Operating Income

The increase in 2011 operating income was principally due to the following:

- \$4.4 million increase due to higher gross profit.
- \$1.1 million decrease principally due to higher selling expense associated with higher sales.
- \$0.5 million decrease due to higher administration expense related to higher salaries and professional fees.

Outlook

The strong performance of this segment can be attributed to a positive economic cycle and increased revenue from a wave of new products, some the result of internal development, others from acquisitions during 2009 and 2010. These factors should continue to drive improved year-over-year quarterly results for the rest of 2011.

Engineered Fabrics Segment

Business Environment and Trends

EF manufactures products similar to PMC, but for customers in industries other than paper. The largest portion of revenue in this segment is derived from sales to the nonwovens industry, which includes the manufacture of diapers, personal care and household wipes. Other markets that are served by this segment are businesses adjacent to the paper industry, and manufacturers of tannery, textile and building products. Segment sales in the European and Pacific regions combined are almost at the same level as sales within the Americas.

Review of Operations

(USD in thousands)

	Three months ended	
	March 31,	2010
	2011	
Net sales	\$20,586	\$19,112
Gross profit	8,217	5,837
% of net sales	39.9%	30.5%
Operating income	4,041	1,657

Net Sales

Net sales were affected by the following:

- Changes in currency translation rates had the effect of increasing 2011 sales by \$0.2 million.
- Excluding the effect of changes in currency translation rates, 2011 sales increased 6.7%.
- The increase in 2011 sales was principally due to higher sales volume of nonwoven products in North America, as that market continues to recover and grow.
- Sales were relatively flat in all other EF product lines.

Gross Profit

The increase in 2011 gross profit is principally due to following:

- \$0.4 million increase due to higher sales.
- \$0.8 million increase due to lower depreciation expense.
- \$1.0 million increase in profitability due to prior year restructuring activities and process improvement initiatives.

Operating Income

2011 operating income increased principally due to the following changes:

- \$2.4 million increase due to higher gross profit.

- Increases in selling expense associated with higher sales were completely offset by lower administration expense.

Outlook

Stronger sales, particularly in nonwoven products, and order-to-sales ratios were well ahead of comparable 2010 levels. At the same time, gross margins and operating income margin in this business improved significantly during the quarter. We are working to sustain these margin improvements as this business grows in production and sales in emerging regions during the remaining quarters of 2011.

Engineered Composites Segment

Business Environment and Trends

AEC provides custom-designed advanced composite structures based on proprietary technology to customers in the aerospace and defense industries. AEC's most significant customer is the SAFRAN Group, for whom we supply landing gear components for the Boeing 787 and outer guide vanes for the CFM-56 engine. AEC is currently developing for SAFRAN a family of composite parts, including fan blades and fan cases, to be incorporated into the CFM LEAP-X engine.

While SAFRAN is AEC's most significant customer and the LEAP-X engine our most significant program, we are also developing applications that will enable AEC to diversify from engine components and landing gear braces to other potentially significant airframe (both civilian and military) and land-based defense applications. The Company's goal is to grow AEC into a second core business by the end of this decade, primarily by using proprietary technology to develop new, high-value aerospace and defense applications that cannot be served effectively by conventional composites. AEC's unique, proprietary composites technologies provide opportunities to displace metal components with lower-weight, high-strength, and, in some cases, potentially high-temperature composite components. Achieving lower weight is the key to improving fuel efficiency, and is thus a critical performance requirement in the aerospace industry and driver of growth in aerospace composites.

Review of Operations

(USD in thousands)

	Three months ended March 31, 2011	2010
Net sales	\$11,472	\$8,641
Gross profit	(19)	(1,045)
% of net sales	-0.2%	-12.1%
Operating income	(1,043)	(2,229)

Net Sales

Net sales were affected by the following:

- Net sales in 2011 grew 32.8% over 2010.
- The increase in 2011 sales is due to the continued growth in production of Landing Braces, LEAP-X, and Joint Strike Fighter advanced composite materials.

Gross Profit

2011 gross profit included the following:

- \$1.5 million increase due to higher sales and plant utilization related to higher production levels.

- \$0.5 million decrease due to write-offs related to obsolete equipment and materials.

Operating Income

2011 operating income increased principally due to the following:

- \$1.0 million increase due to higher gross profit.
- \$0.2 million increase due to a reduction in bad debt reserve.

Outlook

Despite an expected sharp slowdown in production of landing gear braces for the Boeing 787 for the balance of 2011, AEC should experience improvement in year-over-year sales and EBITDA. And assuming the landing gear brace program ramps back up in 2012, AEC should hit its short-term target of a \$60.0 million annual sales run rate with steadily improving profitability by the middle of 2012. We are working intensely with SNECMA on the Leap-X engine, producing test parts while advancing steadily toward manufacturing readiness, and are on track for a mid-decade inflection point in revenues and income as the Leap-X engine enters production. How steep the resulting growth rate will be continues to depend primarily on whether Boeing will re-engine the 737 in mid-decade or introduce a replacement aircraft at the end of the decade.

PrimaLoft® Products Segment

Business Environment and Trends

The PrimaLoft® Products segment includes sales of high performance insulation and yarns for outdoor clothing, home furnishings and sleeping bags. Approximately two-thirds of sales are derived from the North American market. Top-line growth in this segment is affected by the health of consumer apparel markets in North America and Europe, and the severity and harshness of the winter weather in those markets.

Review of Operations

(USD in thousands)

	Three months ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Net sales	\$6,768	\$5,628
Gross profit	3,657	3,599
% of net sales	54.0%	63.9%
Operating income	1,911	2,069

Net Sales

Net sales were affected by the following:

- Changes in currency translation rates had a very little impact on net sales.
- 2011 sales increased 20.3% principally due to strong demand for outdoor clothing, driven by cold weather in North America and Europe along with the successful introduction of new product-lines.

Gross Profit

Gross profit in 2011 was relatively flat with 2010 principally due to the following:

- 2011 gross profit was \$0.7 million higher due to higher sales.
- Profitability was reduced by \$0.6 million due to higher toll-manufacturing expense, driven-by higher material and labor costs, especially in Asia.

Operating Income

Operating income was lower in 2011 principally due to the following:

- Slightly higher gross profit totaling \$0.1 million.

- \$0.2 million in higher selling expense associated with marketing and promotional activities.

Outlook

Continued strong sales can be attributed to the combined effects of economic recovery, strength in new product introductions, along with geographic expansion. As a result of higher sales and our initiatives to control inflation, we look for this segment to improve year-over-year quarterly performance during the remainder of 2011.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Cash Flow Summary

(USD in thousands)

	Three months ended	
	March 31, 2011	2010
Net income	\$16,733	\$5,598
Changes in working capital	(10,137)	(7,466)
Other operating items	14,452	18,768
Net cash provided by operating activities	21,048	16,900
Net cash used in investing activities	(4,265)	(9,796)
Net cash (used in)/provided by financing activities	(9,998)	2,517
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	8,432	(5,329)
Increase in cash and cash equivalents	15,217	4,292
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	122,301	97,466
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$137,518	\$101,758

Below is our discussion of cash flow activities comparing the three-months ending March 31, 2011 to the same period of 2010:

Operating activities

The increase in cash provided by operating activities in 2011 was principally due to an increase in net income as compared to 2010. Depreciation and amortization expense totaled \$16.3 million in 2011 and \$15.9 million in 2010. For the full year of 2011, we expect our depreciation and amortization to total \$65.0 million.

Changes in working capital include changes in inventories and accounts receivable. Inventories increased \$8.4 million in 2011 and decreased \$2.3 million in 2010. Accounts receivable decreased by \$1.9 million in 2011 and \$8.4 million in 2010. The decrease in accounts receivable during 2011 is the result of successful collection effects, primarily in our PMC segment, as demonstrated by a decrease in the days sales outstanding from 60 days at the end of December 31, 2010 to 59 days at the end of March 31, 2011. The increase in inventory during 2011 was mostly in the PMC segment as high production levels during the quarter replenished inventory we are required to hold for customers. The decreases in inventory and accounts receivable during 2010 were due in part to our company-wide initiatives to reduce

working capital.

Cash payments made in connection with restructuring activities, primarily employee severance payments, were \$1.4 million in 2011 and \$9.7 million in 2010. We expect to pay substantially all of our remaining \$2.2 million restructuring accrual as of March 31, 2011 by the end of this year.

Cash used for incentive compensation and profit sharing in 2011 was \$11.3 million, compared to \$7.6 million in 2010. The increase was primarily due to the Company's decision in 2011 to fund profit-sharing payouts totaling \$2.4 million with cash instead of shares.

Cash payments for income taxes were \$3.0 million in 2011 and \$3.3 million in 2010. We expect to make payments for income taxes in the range \$10.0 to \$15.0 million for the full year.

We purchased foreign currency options for \$0.3 million in 2011 and \$0.6 million in 2010. The options serve as part of our strategy to mitigate volatility in operating cash flows and EBITDA caused by the effect of changes in foreign currency rates on sales and costs denominated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar.

Investing Activities

Capital expenditures, including purchased software, were \$6.0 million during 2011 and \$7.9 million in 2010. Capital expenditures in our PMC segment amounted to \$2.6 million and \$4.8 million in 2011 and 2010, respectively, while capital expenditures in our AEC business amounted to \$1.8 million and \$1.1 million for the same periods. We estimate capital spending for 2011 to be approximately \$40.0 million, 30 to 40 percent of which is expected to be invested in our AEC business.

We actively manage our global portfolio of real estate that is for sale, which was freed-up due to prior restructuring activities. In 2011 we sold a property located in the United States for \$1.7 million in cash proceeds.

During 2010, we acquired certain assets and liabilities of Envico Ltd., a New Zealand-based manufacturer and distributor of high-performance doors, for approximately \$1.9 million.

Financing Activities

Cash dividends paid were \$3.7 million during both 2011 and 2010. Dividends have been declared each quarter since the fourth quarter of 2001. Decisions with respect to whether a dividend will be paid, as well as the amount of the dividend, if applicable, are made by the Board of Directors each quarter. To the extent the Board declares cash dividends in the future, we would expect to pay such dividends out of operating cash flows. Future cash dividends will depend on debt covenants and on the Board's assessment of our ability to generate sufficient cash flows.

Capital Resources

We finance our business activities primarily with cash generated from operations and borrowings, largely through our revolving credit agreement as discussed below. Our subsidiaries outside of the United States may also maintain working capital lines with local banks, but borrowings under such local facilities tend not to be significant.

We have a \$390.0 million five-year revolving credit agreement that was executed during 2010. During 2011 we paid down outstanding debt under this agreement of \$7.0 million, leaving \$230.0 million outstanding as of March 31, 2011. In addition, as of March 31, 2011, \$52.9 million in letters of credit were outstanding under this agreement, in respect of preliminary assessments for income tax contingencies. Income tax contingencies are more fully described in Note 6 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

The applicable interest rate for borrowings under the agreement is LIBOR plus a spread (all-in), based on our leverage ratio at the time of borrowing. Spreads under the 2010 agreement are higher than under the

old agreement, reflecting changes in market spreads. The all-in average interest rate was 3.58% in 2011 and 1.27% in 2010.

In connection with our 2010 credit agreement, we entered into interest rate swap agreements that have the effect of fixing the LIBOR portion of the effective interest rate (before addition of the spread) on \$105.0 million of the indebtedness drawn under the credit agreement at the rate of 2.04% until these swap agreements expire on July 16, 2015. Under the terms of hedging transactions, we pay the fixed rate of 2.04% and the counterparties pay a floating rate based on the three-month LIBOR rate at each quarterly calculation date. On March 31, 2011, the applicable spread was 250 basis points, yielding an effective annual rate of 4.54%.

We have a \$150.0 million borrowing from the Prudential Insurance Company of America, for which the agreement was amended and restated during 2010. The principal is due in three installments of \$50.0 million each in 2013, 2015, and 2017, and the interest rate is fixed at 6.84%.

We also have \$28.4 million principal amount of 2.25% convertible notes outstanding that were issued March 2006. The notes are convertible upon the occurrence of specified events, as described in Note 10 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Reflecting, in each case, the effect of subsequent amendments to each agreement, we are currently required to maintain a leverage ratio of not greater than 3.50 to 1.00 and to maintain a minimum interest coverage of 3.00 to 1.00 under the new credit agreement and Prudential agreement.

As of March 31, 2011, our leverage ratio was 2.04 to 1.00 and our interest coverage ratio was 8.93 to 1.00. We may purchase our Common Stock or pay dividends to the extent our leverage ratio remains at or below 3.50 to 1.00, and may make acquisitions with cash provided our leverage ratio would not exceed 3.00 to 1.00 after giving pro forma effect to the acquisition. As of March 31, 2011, we were in compliance with the covenants of our debt and credit agreements.

Our ability to borrow additional amounts under the credit agreement is conditional upon the absence of any defaults, as well as the absence of any material adverse change. Based on the maximum leverage ratio and our consolidated EBITDA (as defined in the new agreement), and without modification to any other credit agreements, as of March 31, 2011, we would have been able to borrow an additional \$107.1 million under our credit agreements.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

As of March 31, 2011, we have no off-balance sheet arrangements required to be disclosed pursuant to Item 303(a)(4) of Regulation S-K.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In October 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) amended authoritative guidance related to accounting and disclosure of revenue recognition for multiple-element arrangements. This guidance provides principles for allocation of consideration among multiple elements, allowing more flexibility in identifying and accounting for separate deliverables under an arrangement. This guidance introduces an estimated selling price method for allocating revenue to the elements of a bundled arrangement if vendor-specific objective evidence or third-party evidence of selling price is not available, and significantly expands related disclosure requirements. This is effective on a prospective basis for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified this year. Our adoption of this guidance during this first quarter has accelerated revenue recognition on some contracts in the Albany Doors segment, as described in Note 1 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

In April 2010, the FASB issued guidance on the criteria that should be met for determining whether the milestone method of revenue recognition is appropriate, with regard to research and development

transactions. The guidance allows the milestone method as an acceptable revenue recognition methodology when an arrangement includes substantive milestones. The guidance provides a definition of substantive milestone and should be applied regardless of whether the arrangement includes single or multiple deliverables or units of accounting. The guidance includes enhanced disclosure requirements about each arrangement, individual milestones and related contingent consideration, information about substantive milestones, and factors considered in the determination. This is effective prospectively to milestones achieved this year. Our adoption of this guidance did not have a material effect on our financial statements.

Non-GAAP Measures

This Form 10-Q contains certain items, such as earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (EBITDA), EBITDA excluding restructuring charges, sales excluding currency effects, effective income tax rate excluding discrete tax items, and certain income and expense items on a per share basis, that could be considered non-GAAP financial measures. Such items are provided because we believe that, when presented together with the GAAP items to which they relate, they provide additional useful information to investors regarding our operational performance. Presenting increases or decreases in sales, after currency effects are excluded, can give us and investors insight into underlying sales trends. An understanding of the impact in a particular quarter of specific restructuring costs, or gains and losses such as the gain in Q1 2011 from the sale of a building, on operating income or EBITDA can give us and investors additional insight into quarterly performance, especially when compared to quarters in which such items had a greater or lesser effect, or no effect.

The effect of changes in currency translation rates is calculated by converting amounts reported in local currencies into U.S. dollars at the exchange rate of a prior period. That amount is then compared to the U.S. dollar amount reported in the current period. We calculate our effective tax rate excluding discrete tax items by removing discrete items from total income tax expense, then dividing that result by income before tax. We calculate EBITDA by adding Interest expense net, Income taxes, Depreciation, and Amortization to Net income. We believe that EBITDA provides useful information to investors because it provides an indication of the strength and performance of our ongoing business operations. While depreciation and amortization are operating costs under GAAP, they are non-cash expenses equal to current period allocation of costs associated with capital and other long-lived investments made in prior periods. While we will continue to make capital and other investments in the future, it is currently in the process of concluding a period of significant investment in plant, equipment, and software. Depreciation and amortization associated with these investments have a significant impact on our net income. EBITDA is also a calculation commonly used by investors and analysts to evaluate and compare the periodic and future operating performance and value of companies. EBITDA, as defined by us, may not be similar to EBITDA measures of other companies. EBITDA may not be considered a measurement under GAAP, and should be considered in addition to, but not as a substitute for, the information contained in our statements of operations.

The following table shows the calculation of EBITDA, and EBITDA excluding restructuring charges:

(USD in thousands)

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Net income	\$16,733	\$5,598
Interest expense, net	4,776	3,825
Income tax expense	5,309	2,627
Depreciation	14,133	13,941
Amortization	2,177	1,954
EBITDA	\$43,128	\$27,945
Restructuring and other, net	186	1,392
EBITDA excluding restructuring charges	\$43,314	\$29,337

We disclose certain income and expense items on a per share basis. We believe that such disclosures provide important insight of the underlying quarterly earnings and are financial performance metrics commonly used by investors. We calculate the per share amount by using the effective tax rate utilized during the applicable reporting period and the weighted average number of shares outstanding for the period.

The following tables show the earnings per share effect of certain income and expense items:

(USD in thousands, except per share amounts)

Three Months Ended March 31, 2011	Pre tax Amounts	Tax Effect	After tax Effect	Shares Outstanding	Per Share Effect
Foreign currency revaluation losses	5,851	1,755	4,096	31,223	0.13
Income tax adjustments		1,413	1,413	31,223	0.05

(USD in thousands, except per share amounts)

Three Months Ending March 31, 2010	Pre tax Amounts	Tax Effect	After tax Effect	Shares Outstanding	Per Share Effect
Restructuring and other, net	\$1,392	\$445	\$947	30,943	\$0.03
Foreign currency revaluation gains	2,767	885	1,882	30,943	0.06

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

For discussion of our exposure to market risk, refer to Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk under Item 7A of form 10-K, which is included as an exhibit to this Form 10-Q.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

a) Disclosure controls and procedures.

The principal executive officers and principal financial officer, based on their evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, have concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective for ensuring that information required to be disclosed in the reports that it files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Commission's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures, include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in filed or submitted reports is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including its principal executive officer and principal financial officer as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

(b) Changes in internal control over financial reporting.

There were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the last fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION**Item 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS****Asbestos Litigation**

Albany International Corp. is a defendant in suits brought in various courts in the United States by plaintiffs who allege that they have suffered personal injury as a result of exposure to asbestos-containing products that we previously manufactured. We produced asbestos-containing paper machine clothing synthetic dryer fabrics marketed during the period from 1967 to 1976 and used in certain paper mills. Such fabrics generally had a useful life of three to twelve months.

We were defending against 4,800 claims as of April 18, 2011. This compares with 5,158 such claims as of February 11, 2011, 5,170 claims as of October 29, 2010, 7,343 claims as of July 23, 2010, and 7,464 claims as of April 29, 2010. These suits allege a variety of lung and other diseases based on alleged exposure to products that we previously manufactured.

The following table sets forth the number of claims filed, the number of claims settled, dismissed, or otherwise resolved, and the aggregate settlement amount during the periods presented:

<i>Year ended December 31,</i>	<i>Opening Number of Claims</i>	<i>Claims Dismissed, Settled, or Resolved</i>	<i>New Claims</i>	<i>Closing Number of Claims</i>	<i>Amounts Paid (thousands) to Settle or Resolve (\$)</i>
2005*	29,411	6,257	1,297	24,451	504
2006	24,451	6,841	1,806	19,416	3,879
2007	19,416	808	190	18,798	15
2008	18,798	523	110	18,385	52
2009	18,385	9,482	42	8,945	88
2010	8,945	3,963	188	5,170	159
2011 to date	5,170	402	31	4,799	1,066

*Prior to 2005, \$2.3 million was paid to settle or resolve certain outstanding claims.

We anticipate that additional claims will be filed against the Company and related companies in the future, but are unable to predict the number and timing of such future claims. These suits typically involve claims against from twenty to more than two hundred defendants, and the complaints usually fail to identify the plaintiffs' work history or the nature of the plaintiffs' alleged exposure to our products. Pleadings and discovery responses in those cases in which work histories have been provided indicate claimants with paper mill exposure in approximately 15% of the total claims filed against the Company to date, and only a portion of those claimants have alleged time spent in a paper mill to which we are believed to have supplied asbestos-containing products.

The significant increase in the number of dismissed claims during 2009 and early 2010 is in large part the result of changes in the administration of claims assigned to the multidistrict litigation panel of the federal district courts (the MDL). Beginning in May 2007 the MDL issued a series of administrative orders intended to expedite the resolution of pending cases. Those orders provided a process to allow defendants to move for dismissal of claims that were noncompliant or were not being prosecuted. While there is no way to anticipate how many plaintiffs may attempt to

refile their claims, that process resulted in the dismissal of numerous claims, either voluntarily or involuntarily. As of April 18, 2011, 448 claims remained against the Company in the MDL. This compares to 12,758 claims that were pending at the MDL as of February 6, 2009.

With respect to claims remaining at the MDL, future discovery may yield more relevant information regarding work histories and the basis, if any, for a plaintiff's claim against the Company. The Company does not currently believe a meaningful estimate can be made regarding the range of possible loss with respect to the claims remaining at the MDL, although this conclusion could change as the MDL's efforts to advance resolution of these claims progresses.

As of April 18, 2011, the remaining 4,352 claims pending against the Company were pending in a number of jurisdictions other than the MDL. Pleadings and discovery responses in those cases in which work histories have been provided indicate claimants with paper mill exposure in approximately 25% of total claims reported, and only a portion of those claimants have alleged time spent in a paper mill to which we are believed to have supplied asbestos-containing products. For these reasons, we expect the percentage of these remaining claimants able to demonstrate time spent in a paper mill to which we supplied asbestos-containing products during a period in which our asbestos-containing products were in use to be considerably lower than the total number of pending claims. Detailed exposure and disease information sufficient meaningfully to estimate a range of possible loss of a particular claim is typically not available until late in the discovery process, and often not until a trial date is imminent and a settlement demand has been received. For these reasons, we do not believe a meaningful estimate can be made regarding the range of possible loss with respect to these remaining claims.

It is our position and the position of the other paper machine clothing defendants that there was insufficient exposure to asbestos from any paper machine clothing products to cause asbestos-related injury to any plaintiff. Furthermore, asbestos contained in our synthetic products was encapsulated in a resin-coated yarn woven into the interior of the fabric, further reducing the likelihood of fiber release. While we believe we have meritorious defenses to these claims, we have settled certain of these cases for amounts we consider reasonable given the facts and circumstances of each case. Our insurer, Liberty Mutual, has defended each case and funded settlements under a standard reservation of rights. As of April 18, 2011, we had resolved, by means of settlement or dismissal, 35,893 claims. The total cost of resolving all claims was \$8.1 million. Of this amount, almost 100% was paid by our insurance carrier. The Company has approximately \$130.0 million in confirmed insurance coverage that should be available with respect to current and future asbestos claims, as well as additional insurance coverage that we should be able to access.

Brandon Drying Fabrics, Inc. (Brandon), a subsidiary of Geschmay Corp., which is a subsidiary of the Company, is also a separate defendant in many of the asbestos cases in which Albany is named as a defendant. Brandon was defending against 7,876 claims as of April 18, 2011. This compares with 7,868 such claims as of February 11, 2011, 7,869 claims as of October 28, 2010, 7,907 claims as of July 23, 2010 and April 29, 2010, and 7,905 such claims as of February 16, 2010.

The following table sets forth the number of claims filed, the number of claims settled, dismissed, or otherwise resolved, and the aggregate settlement amount during the periods presented:

<i>Year ended December 31,</i>	<i>Opening Number of Claims</i>	<i>Claims Dismissed, Settled, or Resolved</i>	<i>New Claims</i>	<i>Closing Number of Claims</i>	<i>Amounts Paid (thousands) to Settle or Resolve (\$)</i>
2005	9,985	642	223	9,566	0
2006	9,566	1,182	730	9,114	0
2007	9,114	462	88	8,740	0
2008	8,740	86	10	8,664	0
2009	8,664	760	3	7,907	0

Edgar Filing: ALBANY INTERNATIONAL CORP /DE/ - Form 10-Q

<i>2010</i>	<i>7,907</i>	<i>47</i>	<i>9</i>	<i>7,869</i>	<i>0</i>
<i>2011 to date</i>	<i>7,869</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>9</i>	<i>7,876</i>	<i>0</i>

49

We acquired Geschmay Corp., formerly known as Wangner Systems Corporation, in 1999. Brandon is a wholly owned subsidiary of Geschmay Corp. In 1978, Brandon acquired certain assets from Abney Mills (Abney), a South Carolina textile manufacturer. Among the assets acquired by Brandon from Abney were assets of Abney's wholly owned subsidiary, Brandon Sales, Inc. which had sold, among other things, dryer fabrics containing asbestos made by its parent, Abney. It is believed that Abney ceased production of asbestos-containing fabrics prior to the 1978 transaction. Although Brandon manufactured and sold dryer fabrics under its own name subsequent to the asset purchase, none of such fabrics contained asbestos. Under the terms of the Assets Purchase Agreement between Brandon and Abney, Abney agreed to indemnify, defend, and hold Brandon harmless from any actions or claims on account of products manufactured by Abney and its related corporations prior to the date of the sale, whether or not the product was sold subsequent to the date of the sale. It appears that Abney has since been dissolved. Nevertheless, a representative of Abney has been notified of the pendency of these actions and demand has been made that it assume the defense of these actions. Because Brandon did not manufacture asbestos-containing products, and because it does not believe that it was the legal successor to, or otherwise responsible for obligations of Abney with respect to products manufactured by Abney, it believes it has strong defenses to the claims that have been asserted against it. In some instances, plaintiffs have voluntarily dismissed claims against it, while in others it has entered into what it considers to be reasonable settlements. As of April 18, 2011, Brandon has resolved, by means of settlement or dismissal, 9,720 claims for a total of \$0.2 million. Brandon's insurance carriers initially agreed to pay 88.2% of the total indemnification and defense costs related to these proceedings, subject to the standard reservation of rights. The remaining 11.8% of the costs had been borne directly by Brandon. During 2004, Brandon's insurance carriers agreed to cover 100% of indemnification and defense costs, subject to policy limits and the standard reservation of rights, and to reimburse Brandon for all indemnity and defense costs paid directly by Brandon related to these proceedings.

As of April 18, 2011, 6,821 (or approximately 81%) of the claims pending against Brandon were pending in Mississippi. For the same reasons set forth above with respect to Albany's claims, as well as the fact that no amounts have been paid to resolve any Brandon claims since 2001, we do not believe a meaningful estimate can be made regarding the range of possible loss with respect to these remaining claims.

Mount Vernon. In some of these asbestos cases, the Company is named both as a direct defendant and as the successor in interest to Mount Vernon Mills (Mount Vernon). We acquired certain assets from Mount Vernon in 1993. Certain plaintiffs allege injury caused by asbestos-containing products alleged to have been sold by Mount Vernon many years prior to this acquisition. Mount Vernon is contractually obligated to indemnify the Company against any liability arising out of such products. We deny any liability for products sold by Mount Vernon prior to the acquisition of the Mount Vernon assets. Pursuant to its contractual indemnification obligations, Mount Vernon has assumed the defense of these claims. On this basis, we have successfully moved for dismissal in a number of actions.

Although we do not believe, based on currently available information and for the reasons stated above, that a meaningful estimate of a range of possible loss can be made with respect to such claims, based on our understanding of the insurance policies available, how settlement amounts have been allocated to various policies, our settlement experience, the absence of any judgments against the Company or Brandon, the ratio of paper mill claims to total claims filed, and the defenses available, we currently do not anticipate any material liability relating to the resolution of the aforementioned pending proceedings in excess of existing insurance limits. Consequently, we currently do not anticipate, based on currently available information, that the ultimate resolution of the aforementioned proceedings will have a material adverse effect on the financial position, results of operations, or cash flows of the Company. Although we cannot predict the number and timing of future claims, based on the foregoing factors and the trends in claims against us to date, we do not anticipate that additional claims likely to be filed against us in the future will have a material adverse effect on our

financial position, results of operations, or cash flows. We are aware that litigation is inherently uncertain, especially when the outcome is dependent primarily on determinations of factual matters to be made by juries.

NAFTA Audits

The Company's affiliate in Mexico was notified in November 2010 that Mexican customs authorities expected to issue demands for duties on certain imports of PMC from the Company and the Company's affiliate in Canada for which the Company has claimed duty-free treatment under the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA).

The notices result from a decision by the Mexican Servicio de Administración Tributaria (SAT) to invalidate NAFTA certificates provided by the Company on products shipped to its Mexican affiliate during the years 2006 through 2008. The Demand Notices arose from an SAT audit during 2010, at the conclusion of which the SAT determined that the Company had failed to provide documentation sufficient to show that the certificates were validly issued, and declared the certificates issued during this period to be invalid. The Company believes that the certificates of origin were valid and properly issued and has commenced administrative appeals with SAT disputing its resolutions.

The import duties identified in such notices to date are approximately US \$2.5 million, and relate to only a portion of the shipments covered by the invalidated certificates.

In the event of an adverse ruling at the conclusion of the administrative appeal process, the Company would have an opportunity to appeal the outcome in Mexican Tax Court, during which it would have an opportunity to present evidence to establish that the shipments in question were of U.S. and Canadian origin and entitled to the benefits of NAFTA. As all of the shipments covered by the invalidated certificates were, in fact, of U.S. or Canadian origin, the Company expects that it will be able to demonstrate that the certificates were validly issued. The Company has been advised by counsel that, if this is the case, then the Tax Court is likely to revoke the SAT invalidation actions and rule in favor of the Company.

In the unlikely event that the Company were not to prevail, however, then it could become subject to additional demand notices for the balance of the shipments during the period from 2006 through 2008 covered by the invalidated certificates. If such demand notices were to be issued for all the shipments so covered, then the Company could be liable for duties aggregating between US \$8.0 and \$10.0 million. The Company has also been advised by counsel that SAT would likely seek additional antidumping duties and penalties which could increase these amounts by up to 900%, but that the possibility that SAT would succeed in obtaining such additional duties and penalties is remote. The Company also does not believe that it faces any material risk of certificates being invalidated with respect to any period other than the 2006 through 2008 audit period. For this reason, the Company does not feel that this matter is likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

There have been no material changes in risks since December 31, 2010. For discussion of risk factors, refer to Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

We made no share purchases during the first quarter of 2011. We remain authorized by the Board of Directors to purchase up to 2 million shares of our Class A Common Stock.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None.

Item 4. (Removed and Reserved)

Item 5. Other Information

On December 17, 2010, the Registrant's Board of Directors approved amendments to the Registrant's Corporate Governance Guidelines to effect changes to Director compensation. A copy of the relevant excerpt from the Guidelines is being filed as Exhibit 10(o)(vi).

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit No.	Description
10(o)(vi)	Excerpt from the Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines describing director compensation.
31.1	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) of the Exchange Act.
31.2	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) of the Exchange Act.
32.1	Certification pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Subsections (a) and (b) of Section 1350, Chapter 63 of Title 18, United States Code).
99.1	Quantitative and qualitative disclosures about market risks as reported at December 31, 2010.
101	The following financial information from the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2011, formatted in eXtensible Business Reporting Language (XBRL), furnished herewith:
	(i) Consolidated Balance Sheets at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010,
	(ii)

	Consolidated Statements of Income for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010,
(iii)	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, and
(iv)	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

As provided in Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, this information shall not be deemed filed for purposes of Sections 11 and 12 of the Securities Act and Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act or otherwise subject to liability under those sections.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

ALBANY INTERNATIONAL CORP.

(Registrant)

Date: May 6, 2011

By

/s/ John B. Cozzolino

John B. Cozzolino
Chief Financial Officer and
Treasurer
(Principal Financial Officer)

54
