MARTIN MARIETTA MATERIALS INC Form DEF 14A April 22, 2010

#### SCHEDULE 14A (Rule 14a-101)

#### INFORMATION REQUIRED IN PROXY STATEMENT

# SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant x

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant o

Check the appropriate box:

- o Preliminary Proxy Statement
- o Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- x Definitive Proxy Statement
- o Definitive Additional Materials
- o Soliciting Material Pursuant to Rule 14a-12

#### Martin Marietta Materials, Inc.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- x No fee required.
- o Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
  - (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
  - (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
  - (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
  - (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
  - (5) Total fee paid:
- o Fee paid previously with preliminary materials:
- o Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

- (1) Amount Previously Paid:
- (2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
- (3) Filing Party:
- (4) Date Filed:

Notice of 2010 Annual Meeting of Shareholders and Proxy Statement

#### **Table of Contents**

April 23, 2010

Dear Fellow Shareholder:

The Directors and Officers of Martin Marietta Materials, Inc. join us in inviting you to attend the Corporation s Annual Meeting of Shareholders on May 27, 2010. The formal notice of this meeting and the proxy statement describing the matters to be acted upon at the meeting accompany this letter.

Your vote is important. Whether or not you plan to attend the Annual Meeting in person, we encourage you to vote so that your shares will be represented and voted at the meeting.

Thank you for your continued support of Martin Marietta Materials.

Sincerely,

Stephen P. Zelnak, Jr. *Executive Chairman of the Board* 

C. Howard Nye *President and Chief Executive Officer* 

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Notice of Annual Meeting of Shareholders To Be Held May 27, 2010

PROXY STATEMENT

**GENERAL INFORMATION** 

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

PROPOSAL 1 -- ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

**CORPORATE GOVERNANCE MATTERS** 

PROPOSAL 2 -- INDEPENDENT AUDITORS

**AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT** 

**COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS** 

MANAGEMENT DEVELOPMENT AND COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION IN

**COMPENSATION DECISIONS** 

**EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION** 

SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

GRANTS OF PLAN-BASED AWARDS TABLE

**OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT FISCAL YEAR-END TABLE** 

OPTION EXERCISES AND STOCK VESTED TABLE

PENSION BENEFITS TABLE

POTENTIAL PAYMENTS UPON TERMINATION OF CHANGE IN CONTROL AT DECEMBER 31, 2009

**EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION** 

INCORPORATION BY REFERENCE

SHAREHOLDERS PROPOSALS FOR 2010 ANNUAL MEETING

APPENDIX A

# MARTIN MARIETTA MATERIALS, INC. 2710 Wycliff Road, Raleigh, North Carolina 27607

#### Notice of Annual Meeting of Shareholders To Be Held May 27, 2010

To our Shareholders:

The Annual Meeting of Shareholders of Martin Marietta Materials, Inc. will be held on Thursday, May 27, 2010, at 11:30 a.m. at our principal office located at 2710 Wycliff Road, Raleigh, North Carolina. At the meeting, the holders of our outstanding common stock will act on the following matters:

- (1) Election as Directors of the three (3) nominees named in the attached proxy statement, each to serve for a term of three (3) years until the Annual Meeting of Shareholders in 2013, and until their successors are duly elected and qualified;
- (2) ratification of the appointment of independent auditors; and
- (3) any other business as may properly come before the meeting.

All holders of record of shares of Martin Marietta Materials common stock (NYSE: MLM) at the close of business on March 19, 2010 are entitled to vote at the annual meeting and any adjournments or postponements of the meeting.

Whether or not you expect to attend the meeting, we hope you will date and sign the enclosed proxy card and mail it promptly in the enclosed stamped envelope.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

Roselyn R. Bar Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary

Raleigh, North Carolina April 23, 2010

# IMPORTANT NOTICE REGARDING THE AVAILABILITY OF PROXY MATERIALS FOR THE ANNUAL SHAREHOLDER MEETING TO BE HELD ON MAY 27, 2010

The Corporation s proxy statement, form of proxy card and 2009 Annual Report to Shareholders are also available for review on the Internet at *ir.martinmarietta.com/annuals.cfm*.

#### PROXY STATEMENT

#### **GENERAL INFORMATION**

This proxy statement contains information related to the annual meeting of shareholders of Martin Marietta Materials, Inc., a North Carolina corporation, to be held on Thursday, May 27, 2010, at 11:30 a.m. at the Corporation s principal office, 2710 Wycliff Road, Raleigh, North Carolina. The Corporation s audited financial statements and portions of the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009 are being mailed to shareholders with this proxy statement.

This proxy statement, the proxy card, and the notice of meeting are being sent commencing on approximately April 23, 2010 to shareholders of record on March 19, 2010.

#### What is the purpose of the annual meeting?

At our annual meeting, shareholders will act on the matters outlined in the accompanying notice of annual meeting of shareholders. This statement is furnished in connection with the solicitation by the Board of Directors of the Corporation of proxies to be used at the meeting and at any and all adjournments or postponements of the meeting.

Whether or not you plan to attend the meeting, we encourage you to date, sign, and return your proxy in the enclosed envelope.

#### Who is entitled to vote at the meeting?

Only shareholders of record at the close of business on March 19, 2010 are entitled to notice of and to participate in the annual meeting. If you were a shareholder of record on that date, you will be entitled to vote all the shares that you held on that date at the meeting, or any adjournments or postponements of the meeting.

#### What are the voting rights of the holders of Martin Marietta Materials common stock?

Each share of Martin Marietta Materials common stock is entitled to one vote on each matter considered at the meeting.

#### Who can attend the meeting?

All shareholders as of the record date, or their duly appointed proxies, beneficial owners presenting satisfactory evidence of ownership as of the record date, and invited guests of the Corporation may attend the meeting.

#### What constitutes a quorum?

The presence at the meeting, in person or by proxy, of the holders of a majority of the common stock outstanding on the record date constitutes a quorum, permitting shareholders to take action at the meeting. On March 19, 2010, there were 45,328,859 shares outstanding of the Corporation s common stock, \$.01 par value per share.

1

#### **Table of Contents**

#### Who will oversee the voting results?

Votes cast by proxy or in person at the annual meeting will be tabulated by an independent inspector of election appointed by the Corporation's Board of Directors for the annual meeting from American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, the Corporation's transfer agent. The inspector of election will determine whether a quorum is present. For purposes of determining the presence of a quorum, abstentions will be counted as shares that are present and entitled to vote. If a broker indicates on the proxy that it does not have discretionary authority to vote on a particular matter and specific instructions are not received from the shareholder regarding that matter, but has discretionary authority to vote on other matters at the annual meeting, then those shares represented by the proxy will be counted as present and entitled to vote for the purposes of determining the presence of a quorum for the meeting.

#### How do I vote?

If you complete and properly sign the accompanying proxy card and return it to the Corporation, it will be voted as you direct. If you are a registered shareholder and attend the meeting, you may deliver your completed proxy card or vote in person. Shareholders whose shares are held by brokers, banks, or other nominees who wish to vote at the meeting will need to obtain a proxy form from the institution that holds its shares.

#### Can I change my vote after I return my proxy card?

Yes. Even after you have submitted your vote, you may revoke your proxy at any time prior to its exercise at the annual meeting (i) by filing with the Corporation s Corporate Secretary an instrument revoking the proxy prior to the meeting, (ii) by the timely delivery to the Corporation s Corporate Secretary, including at the meeting, of a subsequently dated and executed proxy, or (iii) if you attend the meeting, by voting your shares in person. Attendance at the meeting will not in and of itself constitute a revocation of a proxy.

#### How do I vote my 401(k) shares?

Each participant in the Corporation s Performance Sharing Plan and the Savings and Investment Plan may direct the trustee as to the manner in which shares of common stock allocated to the plan participant s account are to be voted. If the plan participant does not return a signed voting instruction card to the trustee in a timely manner or returns a card without indicating any voting instructions, the trustee will vote the shares in the same proportion as shares for which the trustee receives voting instructions for that plan.

#### How are brokers required to vote? What are broker non-votes?

Brokers holding shares for beneficial owners must vote those shares according to the specific instructions they receive from the beneficial owners. If specific instructions are not received, brokers may generally vote these shares at their discretion. However, the New York Stock Exchange rules preclude brokers from exercising their voting discretion on certain proposals, such as the election of Directors. In such cases, absent specific instructions from the beneficial owner, the broker may not vote on those proposals. This results in what is known as a broker non-vote. The ratification of the appointment of independent auditors is not such a proposal. Accordingly, if you want your broker to vote your shares on the election of Directors, you must provide specific voting instructions to your broker. Conversely, so any broker holding shares for you may vote your shares at their discretion with respect to the ratification of the appointment of independent auditors unless you give them specific instructions on how you wish for them to vote.

2

#### **Table of Contents**

#### Will my broker vote my shares for me on the election of Directors?

Because of a change in New York Stock Exchange rules, unlike at previous annual meetings, your broker will **not** be able to vote your shares with respect to the election of Directors if you have not provided directions to your broker. We strongly encourage you to submit your proxy card and exercise your right to vote as a shareholder.

#### What vote is required to approve each item?

The election of Directors requires a plurality of the votes cast. Withheld votes are not counted in determining whether a plurality of votes was received by a Director nominee.

The ratification of the selection of independent auditors, and any other proposal presented at the meeting, will be approved if more votes are cast by proxy or in person in favor of the proposal than are cast against it. Abstentions and broker non-votes will not be counted for or against the proposal.

With respect to any other matters that may properly be introduced at the annual meeting, the Corporation s Bylaws generally require the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast for or against a proposal at the meeting to authorize action by the shareholders at the annual meeting.

#### What are the Board's recommendations?

Unless you give other instructions on your proxy card, the persons named as proxy holders on the proxy card will vote in accordance with the recommendations of the Board of Directors. The Board s recommendation, as well as a description of each proposal, is set forth in this proxy statement. The Board recommends a vote:

**FOR** the election of the nominated slate of Directors; and

FOR ratification of the selection of Ernst & Young LLP as independent auditors.

With respect to any other matter that properly comes before the meeting, the proxy holders will vote as recommended by the Board of Directors or, if no recommendation is given, in their own discretion.

#### IMPORTANT NOTICE REGARDING THE AVAILABILITY OF PROXY MATERIALS FOR THE ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS TO BE HELD ON MAY 27, 2010

This proxy statement, form of proxy card and the accompanying annual report are also available at *ir.martinmarietta.com/annuals.cfm*.

3

# SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

#### Who are the largest owners of the Corporation s stock?

The following table sets forth information with respect to the shares of common stock which are held by persons known to the Corporation to be the beneficial owners of more than 5% of such stock as of March 19, 2010. To the best of the Corporation s knowledge, based in part on filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission as noted below, no person beneficially owned more than 5% of any class of the Corporation s outstanding voting securities at the close of business on March 19, 2010, except for those shown below.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership	Percent of Class
Davis Selected Advisers, L.P. <sup>(1)</sup> 2949 East Elvira Road, Suite 101 Tucson, AZ 85706	6,248,165	14.00%
SPO Advisory Corp. <sup>(2)</sup> 591 Redwood Highway, #3215 Mill Valley, CA 94941	5,381,235	12.08%
Ruane, Cunniff & Goldfarb Inc. (3) 767 Fifth Ave., New York, NY 10153	3,779,264	8.47%
Morgan Stanley <sup>(4)</sup> 1585 Broadway New York, NY 10036	3,421,363	7.7%
BlackRock, Inc. <sup>(5)</sup> 40 East 52nd Street New York, NY 10022	2,449,357	5.49%

- (1) As reported in Schedule 13G/A dated December 31, 2009 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 12, 2010.
- (2) As reported in Schedule 13F dated December 31, 2009 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 16, 2010.
- (3) As reported in Schedule 13G/A dated December 31, 2009 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 12, 2010.

- (4) As reported in Schedule 13G/A dated December 31, 2009 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 12, 2010.
- (5) As reported in Schedule 13G dated December 31, 2009 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 29, 2010.

4

#### How much stock do the Corporation s Directors and executive officers own?

The following table sets forth information as of March 19, 2010 with respect to the shares of common stock that are beneficially owned by the Directors and nominees, the Chief Executive Officer, the Chief Financial Officer, and the other executive officers listed in the Summary Compensation Table on page 35 of this proxy statement, individually, and by all Directors and executive officers of the Corporation as a group.

Name of Beneficial Owner	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership <sup>(1)</sup>
Sue W. Cole	34,358 <sub>(2,3)</sub>
Anne H. Lloyd	70,178(4)
David G. Maffucci	17,727 <sub>(2)</sub>
William E. McDonald	30,150(2)
Frank H. Menaker, Jr.	37,922(2)
C. Howard Nye	62,300(4)
Laree E. Perez	$16,015_{(2)}$
Michael J. Quillen	5,893(2)
Dennis L. Rediker	21,884(2)
Philip J. Sipling	113,410(4,5)
Bruce A. Vaio	68,228(4)
Richard A. Vinroot	34,030(2)
Stephen P. Zelnak, Jr.	186,340(4)
All Directors and executive officers as a group (16 individuals including those	
named above)	899,744(2,3,4)

- (1) As to the shares reported, unless indicated otherwise, (i) beneficial ownership is direct, and (ii) the person indicated has sole voting and investment power. None of the Directors or named executive officers individually own in excess of one percent of the shares of common stock outstanding. All Directors and executive officers as a group own 1.98% of the shares of common stock outstanding as of March 19, 2010. None of the shares reported are pledged as security.
- (2) Amounts reported include (1) compensation paid on an annual basis that Directors have received in common stock units that is deferred pursuant to the Amended and Restated Martin Marietta Materials, Inc. Common Stock Purchase Plan for Directors and (2) 1,379 restricted stock units that each Director received in 2009 as part of their compensation. The Directors do not have voting or investment power for their respective share units. The number of common stock units credited to each of the Directors as of March 19, 2010 is as follows: Ms. Cole, 11,108; Mr. Maffucci, 5,727; Mr. McDonald, 13,150; Mr. Menaker, 15,422; Ms. Perez, 4,015; Mr. Quillen, 2,893; Mr. Rediker, 6,884; and Mr. Vinroot, 13,530. Amounts reported also include options for common stock for each Director, as follows: Ms. Cole, 19,000; Mr. Maffucci, 12,000; Mr. McDonald, 17,000; Mr. Menaker, 21,000; Ms. Perez, 12,000; Mr. Quillen, 3,000; Mr. Rediker, 15,000; and Mr. Vinroot, 20,500.
- (3) Includes an approximation of the number of shares in Ms. Cole s IRA.

- (4) The number of shares owned for each of Ms. Lloyd and Messrs. Nye, Sipling, Vaio, and Zelnak and all Directors and executive officers as a group assumes that options held by each of them covering shares of common stock in the amounts indicated, which are currently exercisable within 60 days of March 19, 2010, have been exercised: Ms. Lloyd, 30,289; Mr. Nye, 10,508; Mr. Sipling, 52,809; Mr. Vaio, 23,123, Mr. Zelnak, 78,424; and all Directors and executive officers as a group 382,268. The amounts reported also include common stock units credited to each of the named executives in connection with (i) their deferral of a portion of their cash bonus under the Martin Marietta Materials, Inc. Incentive Stock Plan, and (ii) restricted stock awards granted under the Martin Marietta Materials, Inc. Amended and Restated Stock-Based Award Plan that are subject to forfeiture in accordance with the terms of the plan, each in the following amounts: Ms. Lloyd, 2,162 and 25,236, respectively; Mr. Nye, 4,442 and 44,181, respectively; respectively; Mr. Sipling, 626 and 20,221, respectively; Mr. Vaio, 3,546 and 26,091 respectively; Mr. Zelnak, 8,955 and 98,961, respectively; and all Directors and executive officers as a group, 26,078 and 301,580, respectively. There are no voting rights associated with the stock units.
- (5) Mr. Sipling retired on January 1, 2010.

#### Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act requires directors and officers of the Corporation and persons who own more than 10% of the common stock to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission initial reports of ownership and reports in changes in ownership of the common stock. Directors, officers and more than 10% shareholders are required by Securities and Exchange Commission regulations to furnish to the Corporation copies of all Section 16(a) reports filed.

5

#### **Table of Contents**

Based solely on its review of the copies of reports furnished to the Corporation and written representations that no other reports were required for the year ended December 31, 2009, the Corporation believes that no Director, officer, or 10% shareholder failed to timely file in 2009 any report required by Section 16(a).

#### THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

The Board of Directors currently consists of ten members, eight of whom are non-employee Directors. The Board is divided into three classes with three-year terms.

The Board of Directors has nominated three persons for election as Directors to serve three-year terms expiring in 2013. Unless otherwise directed, proxies will be voted in favor of these nominees. Each nominee has agreed to serve if elected. Each of the nominees is currently serving as a Director. Should any nominee become unable to serve as a Director, the persons named in the enclosed form of proxy will, unless otherwise directed, vote for the election of such other person for such position as the present Board of Directors may recommend in place of such nominee.

Under our Bylaws, nominations of persons for election to the Board of Directors may be made at an annual meeting of shareholders by the Board of Directors and by any shareholder who complies with the notice procedures set forth in the Bylaws. As described in the proxy statement for our 2009 annual meeting, for a nomination to be properly made by a shareholder at the 2010 annual meeting, the shareholder s notice must have been sent to, and received by, our Secretary at our principal executive offices between January 20, 2010 and February 19, 2010. No such notice was received during this period. Should any of the following nominees be unavailable for election by reason of death or other unexpected occurrence, the proxy, to the extent permitted by applicable law, may be voted with discretionary authority in connection with the nomination by the Board of Directors and the election of any substitute nominee.

The following sets forth the age and certain other biographical information for each of the nominees for election and for each of the other members of the Board of Directors as of the date of this proxy statement.

#### PROPOSAL 1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Nominees for Election to the Board of Directors for a Term Continuing Until 2013:

#### C. Howard Nye (47)

Director (since 2010)

Mr. Nye has served as President and Chief Executive Officer of the Corporation since January 1, 2010. He previously served as President and Chief Operating Officer of the Corporation from August 2006 to 2009. From 2003 to 2006, Mr. Nye served as Executive Vice President of Hanson Aggregates North America, a producer of aggregates for the construction industry, and in other managerial roles since 1993. Mr. Nye has also been active in a number of various business, civic, and education organizations, including serving as a member of the Board of Directors for the National Stone, Sand & Gravel Association, the American Road & Transportation Builders Association, and Romeo Guest Associates, Inc. Mr. Nye is also a member of the Duke University Alumni Board, as well as a former gubernatorial appointee to the North Carolina Mining Commission.

#### Laree E. Perez (56)

Director (since 2004), Chair of the Finance Committee and member of the Audit Committee and the Ethics, Environment, Safety and Health Committee.

Ms. Perez has served as the Managing Partner in The Medallion Company, LLC, an investment management company, since 2003 and as an independent financial consultant with that company since 2002. Ms. Perez is a Director of RRI Energy, Inc. (previously named Reliant Energy, Inc.) and is currently a member of its Audit, Nominating and Governance,

6

#### **Table of Contents**

Risk and Finance Oversight, and Compensation Committees. She has previously served as the Chairman of the Audit Committee of RRI Energy, Inc. from 2002-2007. Ms. Perez recently served as Vice Chairman of the Board of Regents at Baylor University. Ms. Perez has also served on the Leadership Council and as Chair of the Development Committee for the Mayo Clinic. Ms. Perez holds a BBA in Finance and Economics from Baylor University and has over 30 years experience in finance and investments.

#### Dennis L. Rediker (66)

Director (since 2003), Member of the Audit Committee, the Finance Committee and the Ethics, Environment, Safety and Health Committee.

Mr. Rediker served as President and Chief Executive Officer of The Standard Register Company, a document services company, from 2000 until his retirement in 2008. Mr. Rediker currently serves on the Board of Managers for the National Museum of the U.S. Air Force Foundation. Mr. Rediker was also previously the Chief Executive Officer of English China Clays, plc. During the past five years, Mr. Rediker served as Director of The Standard Register Company. Mr. Rediker is past volunteer Chairman of the Board of Trustees of the National Composites Center and the Dayton Development Coalition.

# THE BOARD UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS A VOTE <u>FO</u>R ALL NOMINEES FOR ELECTION TO THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

#### Directors Whose Terms Continue Until 2011:

#### **Sue W. Cole** (59)

Director (since 2002), Chair of the Management Development and Compensation Committee and member of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee.

Ms. Cole is a principal of Granville Capital Inc., a registered investment advisor. Ms. Cole has more than 37 years experience in financial services and investment management. Before joining Granville Capital, she served as Regional Chief Executive Officer of the Mid-Atlantic Region of United States Trust Company, N.A., an integrated wealth management firm, from 2003 to 2006, and as Chief Executive Officer for U.S. Trust Company of North Carolina and North Carolina Trust Company. Previously she was Head of Corporate Lending for the Greensboro, North Carolina Region of NCNB. Ms. Cole also previously served as a Director of UNIFI, Inc., and has been active in the community and charitable organizations including serving on the Investment Committees of UNC-Greensboro, the Moses Cone Wesley Long Community Health Foundation, and the Weaver Foundation; Chair of the Management Development and Compensation Committee and member of the Executive Committee of the North Carolina Biotechnology Center; member of the Board of Directors of the North Carolina Economic Development Board and member of the Board of Governors of the Center for Creative Leadership.

#### Michael J. Quillen (61)

Director (since 2008), Member of the Ethics, Environment, Safety and Health Committee and the Finance Committee.

Mr. Quillen has served as Chief Executive Officer and a member of the Board of Directors of Alpha Natural Resources, Inc., a leading Appalachian coal supplier, since its formation in 2004 until its merger with Foundation Coal Holdings, Inc. on July 31, 2009. He continued to serve as executive Chairman until December 31, 2009. Mr. Quillen now serves as non-executive Chairman of the combined entity, also named Alpha Natural Resources, Inc. He was named Chairman of the Board of Alpha in 2006. Mr. Quillen served as President of Alpha until 2006. In 2002, Mr. Quillen joined the Alpha management team as President and

7

#### **Table of Contents**

the sole manager of Alpha s operating subsidiary, Alpha Natural Resources, LLC, where he has served as Chief Executive Officer since 2003. From 2002 to 2005 he also served in senior executive capacities with other former affiliates of Alpha. From September 1998 to December 2002, Mr. Quillen was Executive Vice President Operations of AMCI Metals and Coal International Inc., a mining and marketing company (AMCI). While at AMCI, he was also responsible for the development of AMCI s Australian properties. He has held senior executive positions in the coal industry throughout his career, including Vice President-Operations of Pittston, President of Pittston Coal Sales Corp., Vice President of AMVEST Corporation, Vice President-Operations of NERCO Coal Corporation, President and Chief Executive Officer of Addington, Inc. and manager of Mid-Vol Leasing, Inc. Mr. Quillen has over 30 years of experience in the coal industry starting as an engineer.

#### Stephen P. Zelnak, Jr. (65)

Chairman of the Board (since 1997) and Director (since 1993), Chair of the Executive Committee.

Mr. Zelnak has served as executive Chairman since January 1, 2010. He previously served as Chief Executive Officer of the Corporation since 1993 and as President of the Corporation from 1993 to 2006. Mr. Zelnak joined Martin Marietta Corporation in 1981 and he had been responsible for the Aggregates operations since 1982. Mr. Zelnak is also a Director of Beazer Homes USA, Inc., and Pace Industries. Mr. Zelnak has served as Chairman of the North Carolina Citizens for Business and Industry, North Carolina Community College Foundation, National Stone, Sand and Gravel Association, Peace College Board of Trustees, North Carolina Foundation For Research And Economic Education, North Carolina Aggregates Association and the NC State Physical and Mathematical Sciences Foundation. He currently serves on the Advisory Boards of North Carolina State University and Georgia Institute of Technology.

#### Directors Whose Terms Continue Until 2012:

#### David G. Maffucci (59)

Director (since 2005), Chair of the Audit Committee and member of the Finance Committee.

Mr. Maffucci has served as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Xerium Technologies, Inc., a manufacturer and supplier of consumable products used in paper production, since 2009. From 2005 to 2006, Mr. Maffucci served as Executive Vice President of Bowater Incorporated and President of its Newsprint Division. He served as Chief Financial Officer of Bowater Incorporated from 1995 to 2005. On October 29, 2007, Bowater Incorporated combined with Abitibi-Consolidated Inc. to form AbitibiBowater Inc. (NYSE: ABH). AbitibiBowater produces a wide range of newsprint and commercial printing papers, market pulp and wood products. It is the eighth largest publicly-traded pulp and paper manufacturer in the world. Mr. Maffucci is a Director of Xerium Technologies, Inc., and prior to June 2009 when he assumed the Chief Financial Officer position, was a member of its Audit and Compensation Committees since 2008. On March 30, 2010, Xerium Technologies, Inc. filed a voluntary petition for relief under Chapter 11 of the Federal bankruptcy laws as part of a pre-arranged restructuring plan with the support of its lenders. Mr. Maffucci previously worked at KPMG.

#### William E. McDonald (67)

Director (since 1996), Chair of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, member of the Executive Committee and the Management Development and Compensation Committee.

Mr. McDonald served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Sprint Mid-Atlantic Telecom and Sprint Mid-Atlantic Operations from 1993 to 1998, and was President and Chief Executive Officer for the United Telephone-Eastern in Carlisle, PA. Mr. McDonald began

#### **Table of Contents**

working with Sprint in 1968 when he joined Sprint United Telephone-Southeast. He progressed through various management positions until 1980, when he was named Vice President-Revenue Requirements. In 1981, he became Vice President-Operations for Sprint United Telephone-Midwest in Kansas City, MO. Mr. McDonald became President of Uninet in 1982 and in 1984 served as Senior Vice President-Network Development for what is now Sprint Long Distance. He was named President of Sprint United Telephone-Northwest in Hood River, OR, in 1986, before becoming President of Sprint United Telephone-Eastern. Mr. McDonald served as Senior Vice President, Customer Service Operations, Sprint Corporation, a telecommunications company, until his retirement in 2000.

#### Frank H. Menaker, Jr. (69)

Director (since 1993), Member of the Audit Committee, the Ethics, Environment, Safety and Health Committee, the Executive Committee and the Management Development and Compensation Committee.

Mr. Menaker is Of Counsel in the DLA Piper international law firm, based in Washington, D.C., where he practices in the areas of internal investigations, corporate governance and regulatory matters. Mr. Menaker is also a member of the McCammon Group, a mediation, arbitration, facilitation, training and consulting group providing conflict resolution services throughout the mid-Atlantic region. Mr. Menaker previously served as Senior Vice President and General Counsel of Lockheed Martin Corporation, a defense, aeronautics, and aerospace company, from 1995 until 2005. He became an employee of Martin Marietta Corporation in 1971 and became the corporation s General Counsel in 1981, remaining in that position until the Lockheed merger with Martin Marietta in 1995. During his tenure, Mr. Menaker helped guide Lockheed Martin Corporation and Martin Marietta Corporation through a period of consolidation in the defense industry, beginning with Martin Marietta Corporation s successful Pac Man defense of Bendix Corporation in 2006. Mr. Menaker s professional activities include previously serving as past chair and fellow of the ABA Public Contract Law Section and as an advisor to Human Rights First. He is a Board member of Atlantic Legal Foundation. During the past five years, Mr. Menaker served as a Director of North American Galvanizing and Coatings, Inc., but no longer holds that position.

#### Richard A. Vinroot (69)

Director (since 1996), Chair of the Ethics, Environment, Safety and Health Committee and member of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee.

Mr. Vinroot has been a member of the law firm of Robinson, Bradshaw & Hinson, P.A. in Charlotte, North Carolina since 1969, where he practices in the areas of civil litigation including construction, labor, employment discrimination, securities and commercial contract disputes and controversies. He has appeared and served as lead trial counsel in the successful prosecution, defense and resolution of numerous actions in the federal and state courts during the past several years. Mr. Vinroot has also been active in civic and community activities, including serving on the Board of Trustees of Sugar Creek Charter School, and the Board of Trustees of the Charlotte-Mecklenburg County YMCA. From 1991 to 1995, Mr. Vinroot served as Mayor of Charlotte, North Carolina and from 1983 to 1991; he served on the Charlotte City Council.

9

#### DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

The Corporation uses a combination of cash and stock-based incentive compensation to attract and retain qualified candidates to serve on the Board of Directors. In setting director compensation, the Corporation considers the significant amount of time that Directors expend in fulfilling their duties to the Corporation as well as the skill-level required by the Corporation of members of the Board. The Board determines reasonable compensation for Directors upon recommendation of the Management Development and Compensation Committee of the Board, which retains independent compensation consultants to assist it.

#### Cash Compensation Paid to Board Members

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009, all of the individuals who were then Directors, except Mr. Zelnak, who was the Chief Executive Officer of the Corporation in 2009 and was not and is not compensated separately for his service as a Director, received an annual retainer of \$32,500. Directors received \$1,250 for each regular or special meeting of the Board and Board committees attended. Members of the Audit Committee received an additional \$5,000 in view of their increased responsibilities. The Chair of the Audit Committee received an annual fee of \$15,000 in light of his increased responsibilities. Each committee chair (other than the Chairs of the Audit and the Executive Committees) received an annual fee of \$8,000. Directors were also reimbursed for travel and other expenses related to attendance at Board and committee meetings. The Corporation s plane was used to transport some Directors to and from Board and committee meetings, but no Directors received personal use of the Corporation s plane or other perquisites and personal benefits in 2009.

#### Equity Compensation Paid to Board Members

All of the individuals who were Directors in 2009, except Mr. Zelnak, were granted 1,379 restricted stock units pursuant to the Amended and Restated Stock-Based Award Plan. The restricted stock units granted to the Directors in 2009 were fully vested upon award and will be distributed upon retirement. This component of Director compensation replaced annual awards of non-qualified stock options for 3,000 shares that had been made to Directors in previous years. The Board believes that the use of restricted stock units is more appropriate because of its lower impact on the Corporation s financial statements and made this determination after input from its independent compensation consultant. The value of the restricted stock unit awards to the Directors is approximately the same as the value of 3,000 shares of stock options at the time of grant.

#### **Deferred Compensation Program for Board Members**

Pursuant to the Common Stock Purchase Plan for Directors, non-employee Directors may elect to receive all or a portion of their fees in the form of the Corporation's common stock, which must be deferred until, at the Director's election, the date the person ceases to be a Director or the date that is one year and one month following the date that the person ceases to be a Director. Directors may elect to receive payment of the deferred amount in a single lump sum or in equal annual installments for a period up to ten years. Directors may also elect to defer their fees paid in cash on the same basis. The Board of Directors unanimously agreed that a minimum of 50% of each Director's fees would be paid in common stock and deferred pursuant to the terms of the plan. Amounts deferred under the plan in cash are credited with interest at the prime rate. Amounts deferred under the plan in common stock are credited toward units of common stock at a 20% discount from the fair market value of the common stock (the closing price of the common stock as reported in the Wall Street Journal) on the date the Director fees would otherwise be paid. There are no matching contributions made by the Corporation. The units are converted into common stock of the Corporation pursuant to the terms of the plan. Dividend equivalents are paid on the units at the same rate as dividends are paid to all shareholders. The Directors do not have voting or investment power for their respective units.

#### **Director Compensation Table**

The table below summarizes the compensation paid by the Corporation to each person who served as a non-employee Directors during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009.

Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified

	Fees						
	Earned			Non-Equity	<b>Deferred</b>		
				Incentive			
	or Paid in	Stock	Option	Plan	Compensation	All Other	
Name <sup>(1)</sup>	Cash(\$)(2)	$Awards(\$)^{(3)}$	wards( <b>6</b> )	<b>Anpensation</b>	n( <b>\$</b> )arnings(\$)( <b>5</b> Co	mpensation(\$)(6	) <b>Total(\$</b> )
(a)	<b>(b)</b>	(c)	<b>(d)</b>	(e)	<b>(f)</b>	<b>(g)</b>	(h)
Sue W. Cole	58,000	110,030			1,857	31,383	201,270
David G. Maffucci	76,250	110,030			394	27,190	213,864
William E.							
McDonald	55,000	110,030			2,836	34,073	202,439
Frank H. Menaker,							
Jr.	63,750	110,030			3,506	39,688	216,974
Laree E. Perez	73,000	110,030			306	14,944	198,280
Michael J. Quillen	51,250	110,030			59	16,607	177,946
Dennis L. Rediker	62,500	110,030			1,502	25,778	199,810
Richard A. Vinroot	51,750	110,030			3,183	33,810	198,773

- (1) Mr. Zelnak, who is the Chairman of the Board of Directors and was the Chief Executive Officer of the Corporation until December 31, 2009, is not included in this table because he is not compensated separately for his service as a Director. The compensation received by Mr. Zelnak as an employee of the Corporation is shown in the Summary Compensation Table on page 35.
- (2) The amounts in column (b) reflect fees earned in 2009. Some of these fees were deferred pursuant to the Common Stock Purchase Plan for Directors in the form of common stock units. The number of units of common stock credited in 2009 to each of the Directors under the Common Stock Purchase Plan for Directors and the grant date fair value for these awards determined in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718, which includes the 20% discount, are as follows: Ms. Cole, 2,278 units and \$73.75 value, respectively; Mr. Maffucci, 2,558 units and \$72.81 value, respectively; Mr. McDonald, 2,239 units and \$73.92 value, respectively; Mr. Menaker, 2,361 units and \$73.59 value, respectively; Ms. Perez, 1,942 units and \$75.44 value, respectively; Mr. Quillen, 2,169 units and \$74.34 value, respectively; Mr. Rediker, 2,342 units and \$73.65 value, respectively; and Mr. Vinroot, 2,183 units and \$74.10 value, respectively. The number of units credited to each of the Directors as of December 31, 2009, including units accumulated under the plan for all years of service as a Director, is as follows: Ms. Cole, 11,108; Mr. Maffucci, 5,727; Mr. McDonald, 13,150; Mr. Menaker, 15,422; Ms. Perez, 4,015; Mr. Quillen, 2,893; Mr. Rediker, 6,884; and Mr. Vinroot, 13,530. The 20% discount from the market price of the Corporation s common stock used in converting to common stock is reported in column (g).

- (3) Each Director received 1,379 restricted stock units in 2009. The amounts in column (c) reflect the grant date fair value for these awards determined in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718.
- (4) As of December 31, 2009, each Director held options for common stock in the amounts as follows: Ms. Cole, 19,000; Mr. Maffucci, 12,000; Mr. McDonald, 17,000; Mr. Menaker, 21,000; Ms. Perez, 12,000; Mr. Quillen, 3,000; Mr. Rediker, 15,000; and Mr. Vinroot, 20,500.
- (5) The amounts in column (f) reflect interest paid on fees deferred in cash under the plan.
- (6) The amounts in column (g) reflect for each Director: (i) an amount equal to the 20% discount from the market price of the Corporation s common stock used in converting fees deferred in 2009 into common stock units pursuant to the Common Stock Purchase Plan for Directors, and (ii) the dollar value of dividend equivalents paid in 2009 on common stock units held under the plan. The Directors did not receive perquisites or other personal benefits in 2009.

11

#### CORPORATE GOVERNANCE MATTERS

#### Corporate Governance Philosophy

The Board of Directors has long believed that good corporate governance is important to ensure the Corporation is managed for the long-term benefit of its shareholders. The Corporation s business is managed under the direction of the Board of Directors. The Board delegates the conducting of business to the Corporation s senior management team. The corporate governance standards established by the Board provide a structure within which Directors and management can effectively pursue the Corporation s objectives for the benefit of its shareholders. Even before the adoption of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, one of the most critical components that has governed the way the business affairs of the Corporation are conducted has been the Corporation s *Code of Ethics and Standards of Conduct*, which has been in place for more than two decades.

With that backdrop, the Board has endeavored to choose Board and Committee members who are distinguished by a depth of knowledge and experience, to elect a qualified and dedicated management team, and to direct the business affairs of the Corporation to achieve long-term value for shareholders. The Board believes this purpose is particularly important in overseeing the management of a company such as the Corporation that is engaged in a cyclical business where the long-term value for shareholders may not be reflected in current stock prices and which may be temporarily depressed by short-term factors, such as recessionary economies and operating markets.

In furtherance of these goals, in 2002, the Board created a Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, which consists of three independent Directors. Upon the recommendation of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, the Board of Directors has adopted a set of *Corporate Governance Guidelines* for the Corporation. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee is responsible for overseeing the guidelines and making recommendations to the Board relating to corporate governance matters.

The New York Stock Exchange has adopted rules that require listed companies like the Corporation to adopt governance guidelines and comply with certain standards regarding corporate governance. The Corporation voluntarily implemented these corporate governance rules even prior to their effective date in 2004. The Corporation s Chief Executive Officer certifies annually to the NYSE that he is not aware of any violation by the Corporation of the NYSE corporate governance listing standards. This certification is in addition to the certification by the Corporation s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer included with the Corporation s periodic reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The Corporation also submits written affirmations to the NYSE annually regarding details of the Corporation s compliance with the corporate governance rules of the NYSE.

The Corporation s *Corporate Governance Guidelines* are posted and available for public viewing on the Corporation s website at *www.martinmarietta.com*. The guidelines address a wide array of governance issues. Among other matters, the corporate governance principles of Martin Marietta Materials include the following:

#### **Ethics**

A comprehensive *Code of Ethics and Standards of Conduct* applicable to all Directors, officers, and employees of the Corporation, including the Corporation s executive officers, has been in place since the 1980 s.

A confidential telephone hotline for anonymous reporting of complaints and concerns was established in 1994 when the Corporation s common stock became publicly traded.

#### **Table of Contents**

The Board has had, since 1994, an Ethics, Environment, Safety and Health Committee that is responsible for reviewing and monitoring the Corporation s program on business ethics and conduct, compliance with environmental laws and regulations and matters concerning health and safety.

The Corporation will also disclose on its website any amendments to its *Code of Ethics and Standards of Conduct* and waivers, if any, of such code as applicable to the Corporation s Directors and executive officers. Any waiver of the *Code of Ethics and Standards of Conduct* for Directors or executive officers will be made only by the full Board and promptly disclosed to shareholders within four business days.

#### **Board Independence**

Eight out of ten Board members are non-employee Directors.

Eight out of ten Board members are independent Directors, as defined in the rules of the NYSE, and are not affiliated with the Corporation.

The Corporation s *Corporate Governance Guidelines* adopted by the Board reflect the Board s belief that at least two-thirds of all Directors should consist of independent Directors.

The Board has adopted *Guidelines for Director s Independence* for the Corporation and has determined that eight of the ten Board members are independent under these Guidelines.

The Board has adopted a policy of regularly scheduled executive sessions where the independent Directors meet without management.

#### **Independent Board Committees**

The Corporation has had, since it went public in 1994, an Audit Committee and a Compensation Committee. In 2004, the Compensation Committee was renamed the Management Development and Compensation Committee to more accurately reflect the Committee s responsibilities. Both Committees consist entirely of independent Directors, as defined in the rules of the NYSE and the applicable requirements of the SEC.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee also consists entirely of independent Directors. Included in the responsibilities of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee is oversight of Board nominations and Board committee assignments. The Committee recommends to the Board nominees and committee assignments based on the skills and expertise of the individual nominees and Directors, as well as the needs of the Corporation, among other things.

The Audit Committee meets privately with each of management, representatives of the Corporation s independent auditors, and the Corporation s internal audit department.

The members of the Audit Committee do not receive any consulting or advisory fees or other compensation from the Corporation, other than Directors fees.

The members of the Audit Committee have no affiliation with the Corporation other than as a Director of the Corporation.

The Board of Directors has determined that the Audit Committee includes at least one member who is an audit committee financial expert as defined in SEC rules.

13

#### **Table of Contents**

#### General

The Board and its members are subject to self-assessments of their performance and the Board s performance.

Directors have access to members of the Corporation s management team and, as necessary and appropriate, to independent advisors.

At least annually, the Board evaluates the performance of the Chief Executive Officer.

The Board has adopted charters for each of its Audit Committee, Management Development and Compensation Committee, and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, which meet the requirements of the rules of the NYSE and are available on the Corporation s website at <a href="https://www.martinmarietta.com">www.martinmarietta.com</a>.

#### Who are the Corporation s Independent Directors?

All of the Corporation s Directors are independent, non-employee Directors except Mr. Zelnak and Mr. Nye. Mr. Nye was elected to the Board as of January 1, 2010. Mr. Zelnak and Mr. Nye do not sit in the executive sessions of the independent Directors unless invited to attend for a specific discussion nor do they participate in any action of the Board relating to any executive compensation plan in which they may participate. The Board of Directors has determined that no Director (except Mr. Zelnak and Mr. Nye), or any person or organization with which the Director has any affiliation, has a relationship with the Corporation that may interfere with the Director s independence from the Corporation and its management.

In assessing the independence of its members, the Board has adopted for the Corporation a set of *Guidelines for Director s Independence*. The Guidelines are posted and available for public viewing on the Corporation s website at *www.martinmarietta.com*. These Guidelines reflect the rules of the NYSE, applicable requirements of the SEC, and other standards determined by the Board to be important in assessing the independence of Board members. The Board has determined that all members of the Board, except Mr. Zelnak and Mr. Nye, are independent under these Guidelines.

In making this independence determination, the Board considered other entities with which the Directors were affiliated and any business the Corporation had done with such entities. In that regard, Mr. Vinroot is a member of the law firm of Robinson, Bradshaw & Hinson, P.A. located in Charlotte, North Carolina, which provided certain legal services to the Corporation in 2009. Mr. Vinroot did not work on any of the legal matters for the Corporation. The amount of fees paid to Robinson, Bradshaw & Hinson, P.A. for such services in 2009 was approximately \$117,000, representing less than 0.2% of the firm s gross revenues for the last fiscal year. Accordingly, the Board determined that Mr. Vinroot s relationship with the Corporation, directly and as a partner of Robinson, Bradshaw & Hinson, P.A., was not material for purposes of the independence determination.

#### Do the independent Directors ever meet without management?

The Corporation s *Corporate Governance Guidelines* adopted by the Board provide that at least two Board meetings each year will include an executive session of the non-employee Directors to discuss such topics as they may choose, including a discussion of the performance of the Corporation s Chairman and its Chief Executive Officer. In 2009, the Corporation s non-employee Directors met 4 times in executive session without management, in addition to executive

sessions held by committees of the Board. The Chair of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, currently Mr. McDonald, a non-employee Director, presides at these executive sessions of non-employee Directors. In the absence of such Chair, the non-employee Directors would elect from among themselves a chair for such an executive session.

14

#### **Table of Contents**

#### What is the Board's leadership structure?

As of January 1, 2010, the role of Chairman and Chief Executive Officer are separate. The Board believes this structure is best currently for the Corporation as Mr. Zelnak s continuance as Chairman helps provide a seamless transition in connection with the succession of Mr. Nye as Chief Executive Officer. The Corporation also believes that the Board leadership structure wherein the Chairman of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee presides at executive sessions of the Board, at which Messrs. Zelnak and Nye do not attend unless specifically invited, facilitates communication among the non-employee Directors. We believe our Chief Executive Officer and our Chairman have an excellent working relationship that has allowed Mr. Nye to make a strong transition into his new role and will allow him to focus on the challenges the Corporation is facing in the current business environment. We believe Mr. Zelnak s continuation as Chairman provides strong leadership for our Board, while also positioning our Chief Executive Officer as the leader of the Corporation as recognized by our customers, employees and other constituencies.

#### What is the Board s role in risk oversight?

Our Board currently has eight independent members and only two non-independent members, the executive Chairman and the Chief Executive Officer. A number of our independent Board members are serving or have served as members of senior management of other public companies, have served as directors of other public companies, and otherwise have experience and/or educational backgrounds that we believe qualify them to effectively assess risk. Our Audit, Management Development and Compensation, and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committees are comprised solely of independent Directors, each with a different independent Director serving as Chair of the Committee.

The Board has delegated to our Audit Committee the primary responsibility for overseeing the Corporation s risk management processes on behalf of the full Board. The Audit Committee receives reports from management at least quarterly regarding the assessment of risks from management, and from the Corporation s internal audit department and independent auditors. The Audit Committee oversees the accounting and financial reporting processes, as well as legal and compliance matters. In addition, the Audit Committee reports regularly to the full Board of Directors, which also considers the Corporation s risk profile, probabilities of risks occurring, and steps taken to mitigate any impact. The Audit Committee and the Board of Directors focus on the most significant risks facing the Corporation and the general risk management strategy. The Management Development and Compensation Committee oversees aspects of risk related to the annual performance evaluation of our Chief Executive Officer, succession planning and ensuring that executive compensation is appropriate to meet the Corporation s objectives. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee oversees aspects of risk related to the composition of the Board and its Committees, Board performance and best practices in corporate governance. Both the Management Development and Compensation Committee and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee also report regularly to the full Board on these matters over which they provide oversight.

While the Board oversees the Corporation s risk management, the executive officers are responsible for the day-to-day risk management processes. We believe this division of responsibilities is the most effective approach for addressing the risks facing our Corporation and is appropriate whether the positions of Chairman and Chief Executive Officer are separate or held by the same individual.

#### How would interested parties make their concerns known to the independent Directors?

The Board of Directors provides a process for shareholders and other interested parties to send communications to the Board. Shareholders and other interested parties may communicate anonymously and confidentially with the Board

through the Corporation s Ethics Hotline at 1-800-209-4508. The Board has also designated the Corporate Secretary to facilitate communications to the Board. Shareholders and other

15

#### **Table of Contents**

interested parties may communicate directly with the Board of Directors, or directly with non-management Directors, or an individual Director, including the Chair of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, by writing to Martin Marietta Materials, Inc., Attn: Corporate Secretary, 2710 Wycliff Road, Raleigh, North Carolina 27607-3033.

All communications by shareholders or other interested parties addressed to the Board will be sent directly to Board members. While the Corporation s Ethics Officer and the Corporate Secretary may review, sort, and summarize these communications, all direct communications will be presented to the non-management Directors unless there is instruction from them to filter such communications (and in such event, any communication that has been filtered out will be made available to any non-management Director who wishes to review it).

The Corporation and its Board of Directors will continue to review and evaluate the process by which shareholders or other interested persons communicate with the Corporation and the Board and may adopt other or further processes and procedures in this regard. If so, the Corporation will identify those policies and procedures on its website at <a href="https://www.martinmarietta.com">www.martinmarietta.com</a>.

#### How often did the Board meet during 2009?

The Corporation s Board of Directors held 5 meetings during 2009, all of which were regularly scheduled meetings. There were also a total of 22 committee meetings in 2009. In addition, management confers frequently with its Directors on an informal basis to discuss Corporation affairs.

#### How many times did Directors attend meetings of the Board and its Committees?

In 2009, all Directors attended all of the meetings of the Board of Directors. All directors attended all of the meetings of the committees of the Board on which they served (during the periods that they served).

#### Will the Directors attend the Annual Meeting?

The Corporation s Directors are expected to attend the Corporation s Annual Meeting of Shareholders. All Directors then serving on the Board attended the 2009 Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

#### How are Directors compensated?

A table showing the compensation paid by the Corporation to the individuals serving as Directors in 2009, other than Mr. Zelnak, is included in the section of this proxy statement entitled DIRECTOR COMPENSATION *Director Compensation Table*. Mr. Nye, who was elected a Director as of January 1, 2010, is not included in the table. Mr. Zelnak s and Mr. Nye s compensation as executive officers of the Corporation is shown in the section entitled EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION and they receive no additional compensation for service as a Director.

#### What Committees has the Board established?

The Corporation s Board of Directors has six standing committees: the Audit Committee, the Ethics, Environment, Safety and Health Committee, the Executive Committee, the Finance Committee, the Management Development and Compensation Committee, and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee.

The **Audit Committee**, which is composed entirely of non-employee, independent Directors, held 8 meetings during 2009. The Audit Committee meets periodically and separately in executive sessions with management, the independent auditors, and the Corporation s internal auditors to review the activities of each. The Audit Committee possesses and may exercise the powers of the Board of Directors relating to accounting,

#### **Table of Contents**

auditing, and financial reporting matters of the Corporation, except when such powers are by statute or the Articles of Incorporation or Bylaws reserved to the full Board or delegated to another committee of the Board. The Audit Committee reports regularly to the full Board on these matters. The Audit Committee is directly responsible for the appointment, compensation, and oversight of the Corporation s independent auditors. Among other duties, the Audit Committee:

selects the independent auditors

pre-approves all audit and non-audit services provided to the Corporation by the independent auditors

monitors the independence of the independent auditors

reviews and approves:

♦ the scope and timing of work to be performed by the independent auditors

♦ compensation to be paid to the independent auditors

♦ financial accounting and reporting principles used by the Corporation

♦ policies and procedures concerning audits, accounting, and financial controls

♦ recommendations to improve existing practices

♦ results of the audit and the reports of the independent auditors

reviews and discusses the Corporation s annual audited financial statements and quarterly financial statements with management and the independent auditors

reviews and discusses management s assessment of the effectiveness of the Corporation s system of internal control over financial reporting

discusses the Corporation s earnings press releases, as well as financial information and earnings guidance provided to analysts and rating agencies

discusses guidelines, policies, and other matters related to risk assessment and risk management and how the process is handled by management

considers allegations, if ever made, of possible financial fraud or other financial improprieties

sets clear hiring policies for employees or former employees of the independent auditors

reviews the qualifications and the plan and scope of work of the corporate internal audit function

prepares an audit committee report as required by the SEC to be in this proxy statement

The Committee s current members are Directors Maffucci (Chair), Menaker, Perez, and Rediker. The Board of Directors has determined that the Chair of the Audit Committee, Mr. Maffucci, qualifies as an audit committee

financial expert as defined in rules adopted by the SEC. The Board has also determined that Mr. Maffucci, and the other members of the Audit Committee, are independent of management, as required by the rules of the NYSE, SEC, and the Board s *Guidelines for Director s Independence*.

The **Ethics, Environment, Safety and Health Committee** held 2 meetings during 2009. It monitors compliance with the Corporation s *Code of Ethics and Standards of Conduct* and reviews all matters presented to it by the Corporate Ethics Officer concerning the ethical practices of the Corporation and its Directors, officers, and employees, including conflicts or potential conflicts of interest between the Corporation and any of its Directors, officers, and employees. The Committee also reviews and monitors the adequacy of the Corporation s policies and procedures and organizational structure for ensuring compliance with

17

#### **Table of Contents**

environmental laws and regulations, and matters relating to health and safety. The Committee s current members are Directors Vinroot (Chair), Menaker, Perez, Quillen, and Rediker.

The **Executive Committee** held no meetings during 2009. It has the authority to act during the intervals between the meetings of the Board of Directors and may exercise the powers of the Board in the management of the business and affairs of the Corporation as may be authorized by the Board of Directors, except to the extent such powers are by statute, the Articles of Incorporation or Bylaws reserved to the full Board. The Committee s current members are Directors Zelnak (Chair), McDonald, and Menaker.

The **Finance Committee** held 5 meetings during 2009. It has been delegated general oversight powers related to the management of the financial affairs of the Corporation, including but not limited to, establishing lines of credit or other short-term borrowing arrangements and investing excess working capital funds on a short-term basis. The Committee reviews and makes recommendations to the Board of Directors concerning changes to capital structure, including the incurrence of long-term debt, issuance of equity securities, share repurchases, and the payment of dividends, as well as capital expenditures and the contributions budget. The Committee s current members are Directors Perez (Chair), Maffucci, Quillen, and Rediker.

The Management Development and Compensation Committee held 5 meetings during 2009. It is composed entirely of non-employee, independent Directors, as required by the rules of the NYSE, who are also non-employee and outside Directors as those terms are defined by Rule 16b-3 promulgated under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 and Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986. The Committee possesses and may exercise the powers of the Board of Directors relating to management development and compensation matters of the Corporation, except when such powers are by statute, the Articles of Incorporation or Bylaws reserved to the full Board or delegated to another committee of the Board. The Committee reports regularly to the full Board on these matters. The purposes of the Committee are to:

establish an overall strategy with respect to compensation for officers and management to enable the Corporation to attract and retain qualified employees

oversee executive succession and management development plans

discharge the Board s responsibilities relating to compensation of the Corporation s directors and elected officers

administer the Corporation s equity and other compensation plans, as amended from time to time

review and discuss the Compensation Discussion and Analysis and produce a compensation committee report as required by the SEC to be included in this proxy statement

The Committee has the power, in its sole discretion, to determine the compensation and benefits to be paid for all elected officers and employees. The Committee also approves and administers the grants of stock options and any other equity-based awards that may be granted by the Corporation.

The Committee reviews and approves the Corporation s goals and objectives for Chief Executive Officer s compensation, evaluates the Chief Executive Officer s performance in light of those goals and objectives, and determines and approves the Chief Executive Officer s compensation level based on the Committee s evaluation, which includes executive sessions with the independent directors of the Board of Directors. The Committee also reviews management s assessment of the performance of other elected officers, and reviews and approves the salary, bonus,

and other compensation of such elected officers. The Committee has the authority, in its sole discretion, to retain, pay, and terminate any consulting firm, if any, used to assist in evaluating director, chief executive officer, or senior executive compensation. The Committee has authority to delegate any of its duties under its charter, including to the Chair of the Committee, as it deems appropriate. The Committee also reviews with management at least annually plans for the orderly development and

18

## **Table of Contents**

succession of executive management of the Corporation. The Committee s current members are Directors Cole (Chair), McDonald, Menaker and Quillen.

The **Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee** held 2 meetings in 2009. The Committee is composed entirely of non-employee, independent Directors, as required by the rules of the NYSE. The Committee possesses and may exercise the powers of the Board of Directors relating to the process of governance of the Corporation, except when such powers are by statute, the Articles of Incorporation or Bylaws reserved to the full Board or delegated to another committee of the Board. The Committee reports regularly to the full Board on these matters. The purposes of the Committee are to:

oversee the identification and selection of qualified Board and Committee members

recommend to the Board director nominees for the next annual meeting of shareholders

oversee the development and implementation of a set of corporate governance principles applicable to the Corporation

The Committee also oversees the evaluation of the Board and its committees. The Committee has the sole authority to retain, pay, and terminate search firms, if any, used to identify Director candidates. The Committee s current members are Directors McDonald (Chair), Cole, and Vinroot.

Upon the recommendation of this Committee, the Board of Directors has adopted a set of *Corporate Governance Guidelines* for the Corporation. The Guidelines are posted and available for public viewing on the Corporation s website at *www.martinmarietta.com*. A copy may also be obtained upon request from the Corporation s Corporate Secretary. Additional information concerning the corporate governance process of the Corporation is contained in the section entitled CORPORATE GOVERNANCE MATTERS above.

# Will the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee consider any Director candidates recommended by shareholders?

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will consider nominees recommended by shareholders for election as a Director at an annual meeting of shareholders of the Corporation, if the shareholder making such recommendation complies with the advance notice provisions of the Bylaws of the Corporation. The Bylaws of the Corporation require advance notice for any proposal for the nomination for election as a Director at an annual meeting of shareholders that is not included in the Corporation s notice of meeting or made by or at the direction of the Board of Directors. In general, nominations must be delivered to the Secretary of the Corporation at its principal executive offices, 2710 Wycliff Road, Raleigh, North Carolina 27607, not less than 60 days nor more than 90 days prior to the first anniversary of the mailing of the proxy statement in connection with the preceding year s annual meeting of shareholders and must contain specified information concerning the nominee and the shareholder proposing the nomination. Any shareholder desiring a copy of the Bylaws of the Corporation will be furnished a copy without charge upon written request to the Secretary of the Corporation. Since the 2009 annual meeting, the Corporation has not made any material changes to the procedures by which shareholders may recommend nominees to the Corporation s Board of Directors. Additional information is contained in the section entitled SHAREHOLDERS PROPOSALS FOR 2011 ANNUAL MEETING below.

How does the Board select nominees for the Board?

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will consider candidates for Board membership suggested by its members and other Board members, as well as management and shareholders. The Committee has also retained a third-party executive search firm to identify potential candidates for its consideration from time to time. The Committee makes an initial determination as to whether to conduct a full evaluation of the candidate, and reviews all information provided to the Committee, including the

19

#### **Table of Contents**

recommendations for the prospective candidate and the Committee s own knowledge of the prospective candidate. If the Committee determines that additional consideration is warranted, interviews are conducted by the members of the Committee, as well as the Chief Executive Officer of the Corporation; appropriate inquiries are conducted into the background and qualifications of potential candidates; the Committee meets to discuss its evaluation and feedback from the Chief Executive Officer; and, if the Committee determines to do so, it makes a recommendation to the full Board as to the persons who should be nominated by the Board. The Board of Directors determines the nominees after considering the recommendation and report of the Committee.

In evaluating any potential candidate, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee considers the extent to which the candidate has the personal characteristics and core competencies outlined in the *Guidelines for Potential New Board Members* adopted by the Committee, and takes into account all other factors it considers appropriate. A copy of these Guidelines is attached to this proxy statement as Appendix A.

The Board of Directors, acting through the Committee, is responsible for presenting for shareholder consideration a group of Board candidates that, taken together, have the experience, qualifications, attributes and skills appropriate for functioning effectively as a Board and providing oversight to the Corporation. The Committee looks for certain characteristics common to all Board members, including integrity, strong professional reputation, mature business judgment, record of achievement, constructive and collegial personal attributes, and the ability and commitment to devote sufficient time and energy to Board service. In addition, the Committee seeks to include on the Board a complementary mix of individuals with diverse backgrounds and skills reflecting the broad set of challenges that the Board confronts. These individual qualities include matters such as experience in the mining or manufacturing industry, technical experience in financial or accounting matters, experience gained in situations comparable to the Corporation s which has grown through acquisitions, leadership experience, public company Board membership or executive officer experience, and relevant geographical experience.

Although the Committee does not have a formal policy regarding Board diversity, matters of diversity (including diversity in professional experience, geographic background reflecting the Corporation's geographic base, education, ethnic background and gender) are included in the many characteristics considered by the Committee in evaluating nominees for election as directors as reflected in the Corporation's *Guidelines for Potential New Board Members*. The Committee considers all candidates in the context of the qualifications enumerated above, as well as their complementary experiences, backgrounds and skills, in an effort to maintain a strong and effective Board of Directors. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee also assesses, through discussions at Committee and Board of Directors meetings, the effectiveness of its efforts with respect to diversity and consideration of its *Guidelines for Potential New Board Members* when it periodically reviews the overall composition of the Board and as part of its self-evaluation process. In addition to these qualities, the Committee has considered the special characteristics outlined in the Directors biographies on pages 6-9 of this Proxy Statement, which has led the Committee to conclude that the current Board members, including the nominees for election, have the appropriate characteristics to serve as members of the Board of Directors.

Each nominee approved by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and recommended for election at the 2010 Annual Meeting is a current Director standing for re-election. The Committee received no additional recommendations for Director nominees for consideration at the annual meeting.

#### Do the Board Committees have charters? How can shareholders obtain them?

The Corporation s Board of Directors has adopted written charters meeting the requirements of the NYSE for the Audit Committee, Management Development and Compensation Committee, and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. These charters address the purposes and responsibilities of each committee, as described above, and provide for an annual performance evaluation of each committee. Copies of these charters are posted on the

Corporation s website at www.martinmarietta.com, along with copies of the

20

#### **Table of Contents**

Corporation s Corporate Governance Guidelines, Code of Ethics and Standards of Conduct, and Guidelines for Director s Independence.

### How are transactions with persons related to the Corporation reviewed?

The SEC requires the Corporation to disclose in this proxy statement certain transactions in which the Corporation participates and in which certain persons considered related persons of the Corporation have a direct or indirect material interest. These related persons would include the directors and executive officers of the Corporation, nominees for director, certain control persons, and their immediate family members.

Each director, executive officer, and nominee for director of the Corporation receives and agrees to abide by the Corporation s *Code of Ethics and Standards of Conduct*. The Corporation considers that any transaction in which the Corporation participates and in which any related person of the Corporation has a direct or indirect material interest will be subject to the Corporation s *Code of Ethics and Standards of Conduct* and subject to review, approval or ratification, as appropriate under the circumstances, by the Corporation under the standards enumerated in the Corporation s *Code of Ethics and Standards of Conduct*. If a proposed transaction is one in which a Director of the Corporation has an actual or potential conflict of interest, it will be subject to review by the Chairman of the Board of Directors and the Chairman of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee.

Any waivers of the *Code of Ethics and Standards of Conduct* for Directors and executive officers may be made only by the Corporation s Board of Directors or any committee to which it delegates that authority. Any waivers for Directors and executive officers and any amendments to the *Code of Ethics and Standards of Conduct* will be promptly disclosed to the Corporation s shareholders.

In assessing the independence of its members, the Board considers any interests a director may have in any transactions in which the Corporation participates. The Board also considers other entities with which the Directors are affiliated and any business the Corporation has done with such entities.

#### PROPOSAL 2 INDEPENDENT AUDITORS

The Board of Directors recommends that the shareholders ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, to audit the consolidated financial statements of the Corporation and the effectiveness of the Corporation s internal control over financial reporting for the fiscal year 2010. The ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP is being submitted to the shareholders because management believes this to be good corporate practice. Should the shareholders fail to ratify this appointment, the Board of Directors and its Audit Committee will review the matter.

Ernst & Young LLP served as the Corporation s independent auditors for 2009 and audited the consolidated financial statements of the Corporation for the year ended December 31, 2009 and the effectiveness of the Corporation s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009. In connection with the audit of the Corporation s 2009 financial statements, the Corporation entered into an engagement letter with Ernst & Young LLP that sets forth the terms by which Ernst & Young LLP would perform audit services for the Corporation. Ernst & Young LLP required that the audit engagement agreement be subject to alternative dispute resolution procedures and an exclusion of punitive damages.

The Audit Committee is solely responsible for retaining or terminating the Corporation s independent auditors in 2010. Representatives of Ernst & Young LLP are expected to attend the Annual Meeting of Shareholders of the Corporation, will have the opportunity to make a statement if they so desire, and will be available to respond to questions from shareholders.

# THE BOARD UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS A VOTE $\underline{FO}R$ RATIFICATION OF THE SELECTION OF ERNST & YOUNG LLP AS INDEPENDENT AUDITORS.

21

#### **Table of Contents**

#### Summary of Fees

The following table summarizes the aggregate fees billed for professional services rendered to the Corporation by Ernst & Young LLP in 2009 and 2008. A description of these various fees and services follows the table.

	2009	2008
Audit Fees	\$ 1,404,000	\$ 1,515,000
Audit-Related Fees	99,000	173,000
Tax Fees	134,000	230,000
All Other Fees	0	0
TOTAL	\$ 1,637,000	\$ 1,918,000
Percentage of Audit & Audit-Related Fees to Total Fees	91.8%	88.0%

#### Audit Fees

The aggregate fees billed for professional services rendered by Ernst & Young LLP to the Corporation for each of 2009 and 2008 in connection with the annual financial statement audit, the annual internal controls audit, and reviews of the Corporation s financial statements included in the quarterly reports on Form 10-Q were \$1,210,000 and \$1,390,000, respectively. During 2009, Ernst & Young LLP also billed the Corporation \$194,000 related to the filing of the shelf registration statement, the March 2009 equity issuance and the November 2009 equity issuance. During 2008, Ernst & Young LLP also billed the Corporation \$125,000 related to the debt issuance in April 2008 under the Corporation s shelf registration statement.

#### Audit-Related Fees

The aggregate fees billed for professional services rendered by Ernst & Young LLP to the Corporation for each of 2009 and 2008 in connection with audit-related services, including subsidiary audits and continuing education, were \$99,000 and \$173,000, respectively.

#### Tax Fees

The aggregate fees billed for professional services rendered by Ernst & Young LLP to the Corporation for each of 2009 and 2008 in connection with tax advice, including consultation on transfer pricing issues, and other tax-related activities were \$134,000 and \$230,000, respectively.

#### All Other Fees

There were no other fees billed for other professional services rendered or products provided by Ernst & Young LLP to the Corporation for 2009 and 2008.

#### **Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures**

The Audit Committee has adopted a policy that requires advance approval of all audit, audit-related, tax services, and other services performed by Ernst & Young LLP. The policy provides for pre-approval by the Audit Committee of specifically defined audit and non-audit services. Unless the specific service has been previously pre-approved with respect to that year, the Audit Committee must approve the permitted service before Ernst & Young LLP is engaged to perform it. The Audit Committee has delegated to the Chair of the Audit Committee authority to approve permitted services, provided that the Chair reports any decision to the Committee at its next scheduled meeting.

22

#### **Table of Contents**

#### Audit Committee Review

In connection with the Audit Committee s review of services rendered and fees billed by Ernst & Young LLP, the Audit Committee has considered whether the provision of the non-audit related services described above is compatible with maintaining the independent auditors independence and has concluded that the provision of these services does not compromise such independence.

#### AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT

The Audit Committee operates under a written charter adopted by the Board of Directors, which is reassessed at least annually for adequacy by the Audit Committee. The Directors who serve on the Audit Committee have no financial or personal ties to the Corporation (other than Director compensation and equity ownership as described in this proxy statement) and are all independent for purposes of the Securities and Exchange Commission's regulations, the New York Stock Exchange listing standards, and the *Guidelines for Director's Independence* adopted by the Board of Directors. The Board of Directors has determined that none of the Audit Committee members has a relationship with the Corporation that may interfere with the Director's independence from the Corporation and its management. Copies of the Audit Committee's charter and the Corporation's *Guidelines for Director's Independence* can be viewed on the Corporation's website at www.martinmarietta.com.

The Board of Directors has charged the Audit Committee with a number of responsibilities, including review of the adequacy of the Corporation s financial reporting, accounting systems, and internal controls. The Corporation s independent auditors and the vice president of the internal audit function report directly and are ultimately accountable to the Audit Committee.

In the discharge of its responsibilities, the Audit Committee has reviewed and discussed with management and the independent auditors the Corporation s audited financial statements for fiscal year 2009. In addition, the Committee has discussed with the independent auditors matters such as the quality (in addition to acceptability), clarity, consistency, and completeness of the Corporation s financial reporting, as required by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61, as amended (AICPA, *Professional Standards*, Vol. 1, AU section 380), as adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board in Rule 3200T.

The Audit Committee has received from the independent auditors written disclosures and a letter concerning the independent auditors independence from the Corporation, as required by Independence Standards Board Standard No. 1, (Independence Standards Board Standard No. 1, Independence Discussions with Audit Committees), as adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board in Rule 3600T, and has discussed with the independent auditors the independent auditors independence. The Audit Committee also received from the independent auditors a letter indicating there were no material issues raised by the independent auditors most recent internal quality control review, or by any inquiry or investigation by governmental or professional authorities within the preceding five years. These disclosures have been reviewed by the Committee and discussed with the independent auditors.

Based on these reviews and discussions, the Audit Committee has recommended to the Board that the audited financial statements be included in the Corporation s 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K for filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

February 22, 2010

#### **AUDIT COMMITTEE**

David G. Maffucci, *Chair* Frank H. Menaker, Jr. Laree E. Perez Dennis L. Rediker

23

#### COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

### Compensation Philosophy and Objectives

We believe that our employees are the Corporation s most important resource. In developing our executive compensation policies, the Board of Directors, through the Management Development and Compensation Committee, focuses on two primary objectives: compensating our executive officers in a manner that is fair, reasonable and competitive and structuring executive compensation programs to provide incentives consistent with our strategic goals. The executive compensation program is designed to reward high performance in achieving both annual and long-term goals that have the ultimate objective of improving shareholder value. We measure the achievement of goals not only in terms of financial performance, but also by evaluating ethical conduct, safety performance, effectiveness of our internal controls and other factors as determined by the Committee. In 2009, as in prior years, the Committee evaluated financial performance by reference to our operating performance rather than short-term changes in stock price based on its view that our long-term operating performance will be reflected by stock price performance over the long-term. The Board believes this purpose is particularly important in overseeing the management of a company such as the Corporation that is engaged in a cyclical business where the long-term value for shareholders may not be reflected in current stock prices and which may be temporarily depressed by short-term factors, such as recessionary economies and operating markets. The types of compensation and benefits provided to the named executive officers are similar to those provided to other executive officers.

## The Role of the Management Development and Compensation Committee

The Committee is responsible for carrying out the philosophy and objectives of the Board of Directors related to executive compensation in addition to its responsibilities of overseeing the development and succession of executive management of the Corporation. The Committee has the authority to determine compensation and benefits for the Corporation s executive officers. The Committee members are each non-employee, independent Board members pursuant to the New York Stock Exchange rules, and the Committee operates pursuant to a written charter, a copy of which can be viewed on the Corporation s website at <a href="https://www.martinmarietta.com">www.martinmarietta.com</a>.

The performance of the Chief Executive Officer and each other executive officer is reviewed regularly by the Committee. Based on this review, the Committee sets compensation for all executive officers. Compensation decisions with respect to the executive officers other than the Chief Executive Officer are based in part on recommendations by the Chief Executive Officer, with input from the Senior Vice President Human Resources, with respect to salary adjustments and annual cash and equity awards. The Committee can accept, reject or modify any recommended adjustments or awards to executive officers. For the Chief Executive Officer, the Committee sets the levels of annual adjustments and awards based on the criteria it deems to be appropriate under the circumstances. There are no employment agreements between the Corporation and any executive officer of the Corporation, including the Chief Executive Officer.

The Committee uses a mix of annual and long-term compensation to provide a total compensation structure that is designed to motivate executives to achieve the business goals set by the Corporation and reward the Corporation s executives when they achieve those goals. Although the Committee has no specific pre-established policy or target for the allocation between either cash and non-cash or short-term and long-term compensation, the pay mix is largely dictated by competitive market practice combined with a philosophy of calibrating incentive levels to performance results. The Committee determines the percentage mix of compensation that it believes is appropriate for each of the executive officers based on their judgment and experience, compensation information compiled by management, recommendations of the Chief Executive Officer (except for his own compensation) and information provided by independent compensation

#### **Table of Contents**

consultants. The Committee in 2009 engaged Watson Wyatt Worldwide (which, as of January 1, 2010 became Towers Watson) as its independent compensation consultant to assist it in reviewing the Corporation s executive compensation program to ensure that the program is consistent with the Board s philosophy and to provide valuable information and insight as to market pay practices. Watson Wyatt conducted an extensive review and benchmarking study of the Corporation s compensation program in 2007. In addition, it reviews and provides comments on management s annual competitive analysis of each component of compensation and total compensation for the Chief Executive Officer and executive officers of the Corporation. Watson Wyatt provides the Committee with relevant market data and alternatives to consider when making compensation decisions for the Chief Executive Officer. Watson Wyatt also provides feedback to management on proxy information and published compensation surveys, which are then used by the Chief Executive Officer in making recommendations to the Committee with respect to compensation for executive officers other than the Chief Executive Officer. For 2009, these recommendations were made by Mr. Zelnak, who served as our Chief Executive Officer throughout 2009. For 2010, recommendations were made by both Mr. Nye, who became our Chief Executive Officer on January 1, 2010, and Mr. Zelnak who continues to serve as executive Chairman. The Committee and/or the Committee Chairman from time to time in 2009 met with Watson Wyatt in private sessions without management present.

#### The Use of Benchmarking Information

The Committee reviews compensation information drawn from various sources, including proxy statements of a peer group of the following companies that we selected primarily from the Standards and Poors 1500 Basic Materials Index with other companies added to the group, that are in the aggregates, cement, natural resources and specialty chemical industries based on similarities in revenue and business characteristics: Albermarle Corporation, Arch Coal Inc., Cabot Corporation, Cytec Industries Inc., FMC Corporation, Granite Construction Inc., Headwaters Inc., Louisiana Pacific Corp., Lubrizol Corp., Mosaic Company, Packaging Corp of America, RPM International, Inc., Sigma Aldrich Corp., Sonoco Products Co., Universal Forest Products Inc., Valspar Corporation and Vulcan Materials Company. Because the information in the proxy statements of these companies generally does not provide precise comparisons by position to our executive officers, the Committee also takes into consideration published independent compensation surveys of companies with revenue in the range of \$2 billion to \$2.5 billion as to median levels for each executive officer. As to the Chief Executive Officer s compensation, the Committee reviews compensation information derived from both the peer group described above and the published surveys described in the preceding sentence, as well as the advice of Watson Wyatt. The peer group used for compensation purposes, which is described above, is broader than the peer group used by the Corporation for the five-year performance chart included in the 2009 Annual Report to Shareholders because the Committee believes that the Corporation s direct competition for talent is broader than the companies that are included in the performance peer group.

In general, the Committee compares annual base salaries to the median compensation levels or the 50th percentile of executive officers performing similar job functions at the companies in both the peer group survey and the published surveys described above that were reviewed. The same peer group survey was used to consider compensation for the Chief Executive Officer and the executive officers responsible for operations. The published surveys described above are used to consider compensation for the Chief Executive Officer and all the named executive officers. The Committee also reviews a range of market pay for similarly-situated executive officers. It also compares targets for all variable pay, which is compensation other than base salaries, to the median level. The variable pay is based on specific performance measurements as discussed below for each component of compensation and is therefore commensurate with actual performance. In structuring variable pay, the Committee seeks to give each executive officer the opportunity to earn more than the median of the total compensation paid to executive officers performing similar job functions at the peer companies for outstanding performance. The Committee uses the median level for benchmarking purposes because it

#### **Table of Contents**

believes that is the appropriate level to attract and retain executive officers. Coupled with the opportunity to earn higher amounts commensurate with performance, the Committee believes high performing executives are given appropriate incentives and rewards for performance that results in improved shareholder value.

Although the Committee uses the benchmark standards as its starting point in setting compensation levels, the compensation packages for executive officers may vary materially from the peer group benchmarks based on several factors. Market data, position, tenure, individual and organization performance, retention needs and internal pay equity have been the primary factors considered in decisions to increase or decrease compensation opportunities. Specifically, the Committee sets compensation levels below the benchmark levels for executive officers with relatively less relevant experience, less responsibility, less tenure with the Corporation and/or lower performance ratings. Conversely, if an officer consistently receives favorable performance ratings, accumulates years of service and expertise in relevant areas, has more responsibility and/or has significant other achievements, his or her compensation will typically be above the peer group median. Long-term compensation to executive officers is based on specific performance measurements as discussed below for each component of compensation.

### Compensation Structure and Awards for 2009

The Committee periodically reviews the components of the Corporation s executive compensation program to ensure that pay levels are competitive and that incentive opportunities are effective in attracting and retaining talented employees. Consistent with the Committee s approach in prior years, the 2009 executive compensation program was based on the following principles:

Base salaries that provide a base level of compensation targeted to the median level of salaries paid to officers in comparable companies with perceived comparable responsibilities.

Annual cash bonuses that award recipients if they achieve or exceed performance results against established targeted corporate and personal goals.

Stock purchase awards that encourage stock ownership and reward future stock price appreciation by permitting the recipients to purchase stock at a discount with cash from bonus awards.

Long-term equity compensation that links officers rewards directly to the return realized by the Corporation s shareholders. This component includes both stock options and restricted stock awards, some of which are based on the Corporation s performance and some of which are granted in connection with executive succession planning for the Corporation s management.

Retirement and other benefits that are designed to attract and retain employees. The level of retirement payments reward employees who remain with the Corporation for longer periods of employment.

When setting total compensation for each of the named executive officers, the Committee reviewed in 2009 tally sheets that showed the executive s total current compensation, including equity and non-equity based compensation. In establishing specific award levels in 2009, the Committee reviewed award amounts granted in past years but it did not adjust amounts or otherwise take into account other award amounts or prior awards that vested because all of the components are targeted at median levels with the opportunity to exceed such levels for superior performance. When the performance is achieved, we believe that the executive officers should be rewarded and that this approach is consistent with achieving sustained value for the shareholders.

#### Base Salary

The Corporation provides the named executive officers with base salaries to provide minimum compensation for services rendered during the fiscal year. Salary levels for executive officers are typically

26

#### **Table of Contents**

considered by the Committee annually as part of each executive officer s performance review as well as upon a promotion or other change in job responsibility, and may be increased or decreased at that time based on: (1) the Committee s agreement on the individual s contribution to the Corporation, and (2) changes in median competitive pay levels. The competitive market rate based on the benchmarking standards referred to above and proposed individual salary for each executive are presented by the Chief Executive Officer to the Committee, along with data supporting the recommendations, other than for himself. In 2009, no increase in base salary was given to any of the Corporation s executive officers, including the Chief Executive Officer, as part of the Corporation s initiative to control costs in response to the severe economic recession.

## **Annual Bonus Compensation**

We award annual cash bonuses based on corporate performance objectives and the achievement of individualized targeted goals. This furthers the Corporation s compensation philosophy in that it encourages superior performance and rewards the achievement of the Corporation s annual goals. In 2009, under the Corporation s Executive Incentive Plan, the amount of bonus that an executive could receive was based upon a percentage of that executive s base salary. In 2009, all of the executive officers participated in the plan, except for Mr. Zelnak, for whom bonus compensation was awarded outside of the plan.

In determining awards granted under the plan, the Committee first reviews the achievements of the Corporation for the past year as compared to its targeted goals set at the end of the previous year. The Committee then conducts a comparative review of the individual contributions of each of the executive officers towards achieving these goals. The Committee also considers qualitative measures of performance for the executive officers such as adherence to and implementation of the Corporation s *Code of Ethics and Standards of Conduct*, safety, customer satisfaction, and product quality.

The amount awarded to each executive officer is based upon the Committee's general review of each individual s achievement of individualized targeted goals, including standard objective measures of financial performance such as earnings, cash flow, and other key sales and production metrics for the Corporation as a whole and for individual business units, and subjective measures, such as the individual s overall contribution to the Corporation, personal or organizational development and overall effectiveness of the executive. The target for these goals, which is a percentage of base salary depending upon the executive s position, is set at or about the median level of the executives with comparable responsibilities at the comparable company group. For 2009, the target bonus level set for each of the named executive officers was a percentage of such officer s base salary: 90% for the President, and 80% for the other named executive officers. The maximum is 150% of target commensurate with performance at that stretch level. There is no threshold since there is no guaranteed bonus if the performance goals are not met.

The objective goals vary by the position of the executive officer. They are established at the beginning of each plan year and are based upon the Corporation s Long Range Operating Plan, which is set at the end of the previous year. For executives in corporate staff positions, 50% of the determination is made with respect to the Corporation s performance and 50% is based on the individual s performance against established objectives. For executives with operating unit responsibility, 50% of the determination is made with respect to the operating unit s performance, 25% is based on the Corporation s performance and 25% is based on the individual s performance against established objectives.

The individualized target goals are tailored for each executive, his or her specific areas of responsibility and the then-current and longer term goals of the Corporation. In addition, achievement of the goals typically is in part dependent on conditions outside the control of each of the named executive officer. For example, we have a strong focus on safety in our operations. Regardless of the achievement of other targets, incentive awards are reduced for all employees in the event of any workplace fatality in the Corporation. Similarly, our

#### **Table of Contents**

business may be adversely affected by hurricanes or other weather-related conditions, which could have the result of impeding the achievement of certain performance-based goals.

Although there is substantial uncertainty with respect to achieving the target levels at the time the goals are set and communicated, our named executive officers have a reasonable expectation of receiving a cash incentive award at a level that is near their target level. However, the stretch levels remain extremely difficult to obtain and the maximum cash award level has not been achieved in prior periods.

The bonus level for 2009 for the named executive officers was 55% of target as a result of the Corporation s lower financial performance in 2009 even though the named executive officers achieved near their target levels on the individualized personal goals. The factors that the Committee took into account in determining the Corporation s performance in 2009 included a detailed assessment of the Corporation s overall financial performance and each segment s financial performance, the Corporation s continuing cost reduction and automation initiatives in a challenging economic environment, record safety results with the Corporation s best safety performance and an improved injury incident rate over the prior year s record low rate, and continuing achievement of excellent management of working capital.

The Chief Executive Officer does not participate in the Corporation s Executive Incentive Plan and his bonus, if any, is determined at the discretion of the Committee. Generally, his bonus level is approximately 100% of his base salary although there is no specific target for the Chief Executive Officer s bonus. The Committee did not attempt to set Mr. Zelnak s 2009 bonus in any particular relationship to peer compensation survey data. The Committee awarded him an annual incentive bonus of \$618,750 for 2009, which was 55% of his base salary, after considering many diverse factors. Consistent with its compensation philosophy that focuses on long-term performance, the Committee considers whether there are factors in addition to quantitative ones that should be taken into account in establishing the overall level of Mr. Zelnak s compensation. In this regard, the Committee considered accomplishments that benefit shareholders in the longer term. In determining the amount of Mr. Zelnak s bonus for 2009, the Committee took into account the same type of objective performance measures and qualitative measures, such as the effectiveness and quality of Mr. Zelnak s leadership of the Corporation, as it would typically consider for executive officers who receive awards under the plan.

The following achievements were considered by the Committee in determining Mr. Zelnak s 2009 bonus:

Earnings per share of \$1.91 despite a decrease of 23% in volumes over prior year, and a volume decline of 40% since the peak of the cycle in 2006.

The stringent focus on reducing costs, including overtime, SG&A, and discretionary expenses.

Achievement of record employee safety performance, including further improvement in total injury incidence and lost-time incidence rates.

The successful transition of leadership in the Corporation.

Excellent balance sheet management that allowed the Corporation to respond to marketplace opportunities, pay its debt obligations as they matured and retain its investment grade rating.

The innovative use of the Corporation s information systems to achieve new and improved applications to enhance customer service and management s ability to analyze and manage the business.

No material deficiencies in the Corporation s system of internal control over financial reporting.

28

#### **Table of Contents**

The continued successful implementation of the Corporation s focus on long-haul transportation to build a competitive advantage, on assembling assets in growth areas and on continuing its disciplined growth strategy with the completion of the acquisition of three quarries from CEMEX.

Because subjective performance criteria are used in determining the bonus compensation paid under the Executive Incentive Plan and the bonus compensation paid to Mr. Zelnak, the bonus compensation does not qualify for the performance-based exception to the \$1,000,000 limit on deductibility of executive officer compensation under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.

### Performance-Based Stock Purchase Awards

The Incentive Stock Plan is intended to give the named executive officers who participate in the Executive Incentive Plan the opportunity to invest up to 50% of their annual cash bonus to purchase units that are subsequently converted into shares of common stock pursuant to the terms of the plan at a 20% discount from the market price of the Corporation s common stock on the date the amount of the bonus is determined. Participation in the plan is elective, except that all senior officers of the Corporation are required to invest a minimum of 20% of their cash bonus towards the crediting of units under the plan, except for the Chief Executive Officer who is required to invest a minimum of 35% of his cash bonus towards the crediting of such units. The units generally vest in three years from the date of the award and are distributed in shares of common stock.

The mandatory contribution requirement directly links a portion of executive officer compensation to shareholder returns. The vesting aspect combined with the yearly stock purchase requirement creates continuous overlapping three-year cycles, which encourage executive officer retention and provide a continuous link of a significant portion of executive officer compensation with shareholder return over the long-term to reward these executive officers in line with our shareholders when our stock price increases.

The Incentive Stock Plan provides that the awards vest immediately upon a participant s reaching full retirement age. It is currently the Corporation s practice to not allow officers to participate in this plan in the year that they plan to retire. Accordingly, Mr. Zelnak and Mr. Sipling did not participate in this plan in connection with the bonuses paid for 2009, for which Incentive Stock Plan share units would have been granted in January 2010.

### Long Term Incentive Compensation

The Corporation s long-term compensation in 2009 was equity awards granted in the form of stock options and restricted stock units. When the Committee grants each component of long-term compensation, it first determines the intended cash value of the award based on specific performance metrics described below. It then converts the cash value into an equity award using the closing price of the common stock on the date of award. The equity award is subject to vesting and forfeiture as described below. The target for the awards is the median of comparable companies and the Committee has designed the awards to give the participants an opportunity to exceed the median level with high performance. Accordingly, these components of the executive compensation program encourage participants to focus on the long-term performance of the Corporation and provide an opportunity for executive officers to increase their stake in the Corporation. The long-term incentive compensation program delivers more value to executive officers if the value of the Corporation s stock increases.

<u>LTIP Awards</u>. The Corporation s long-term incentive program (LTIP Awards) consists of (1) granting a mix of options and restricted stock units and (2) basing the amount of the award on the performance of the Corporation. The Committee believes that the mix provides better incentives to executive officers than either options or restricted stock

units alone. In addition, a change in the accounting rules beginning in 2006 made

29

#### **Table of Contents**

stock options less attractive because of their impact on our financial statements. Stock options link the compensation provided to the named executive officers with gains realized by the shareholders and therefore serve to confer on recipients an ownership interest in the Corporation. These awards also assist the Corporation in maintaining competitive levels of total compensation. The vesting periods associated with stock options encourage the continued retention of these individuals. The granting of restricted stock unit awards to executive officers continues to provide a motivating form of equity compensation and provides certain advantages over the use of only stock options because restricted stock units have an intrinsic value when granted and executives holding these awards share an immediate downside risk with our shareholders. In addition, restricted stock units permit us to issue fewer shares to deliver the same value, thereby reducing potential dilution.

For each named executive officer, other than the Chief Executive Officer, the Committee derived a target dollar value for this component of compensation based on a review of equity award data in the compensation studies described above and input from Watson Wyatt. The target is increased each year by an amount equal to the average percentage increase in base pay for each level of officer and relevant subjective considerations, such as a promotion or expanded responsibilities. Based on the Corporation s return on invested capital as compared to the weighted average cost of capital for the preceding fiscal year, the award is granted based on a predetermined percentage of the target in the range of 0-200%. The maximum award (200%) is a stretch goal based on the Committee subjective determination that such level of shareholder value created by the Corporation is outstanding, and is achieved when the Corporation s return on invested capital is at least 6% higher than the weighted average cost of capital. In 2009, the awards granted were at the target, which is achieved when the Corporation s return on invested capital is up to 1% higher than its weighted average cost of capital. One-third of the dollar value was then converted to stock options and two-thirds of the dollar value was converted to restricted stock units using the closing price on the date of grant. The Committee selected the Corporation s return on invested capital as compared to the weighted average cost of capital as the performance criterion for this award because of its view that this is a standard measure of the Corporation s performance. The one-third stock options to two-thirds restricted stock units bases was determined on a variety of factors, including lattice modeling values for options, median levels of compensation, and a subjective view regarding the appropriate mix of restricted stock units and stock options in light of compensation, incentive and accounting considerations.

In 2009, the Committee awarded Mr. Zelnak 40,346 options and 20,173 shares of restricted stock units that are subject to vesting, which the Committee believes will align this portion of Mr. Zelnak s compensation directly with the Corporation s performance over the long term. In exercising its discretion for Mr. Zelnak, the Committee generally follows the same procedures as are followed in determining the amount of annual bonus compensation discussed above. The Committee determined the dollar value for this component of Mr. Zelnak s compensation by starting with the median level of long-term compensation identified in the compensation studies reviewed. The Committee then adjusted the amount as it deemed appropriate based on its subjective determination of the Chief Executive Officer s performance. The dollar value was converted to a mix of one-third stock options and two-thirds restricted stock units, as are the long-term stock option and restricted stock unit awards for the other named executive officers.

The stock options granted in 2009 vest ratably over 4 years and the restricted stock units vest on the fourth anniversary of the grant date, in each case in general if the executive is continuously employed from the date of grant through the vesting date. Prior to the exercise of a stock option, the holder has no rights as a shareholder with respect to the shares subject to such option, including voting rights and the right to receive dividends or dividend equivalents. Executives who receive awards of restricted stock units (including restricted stock units discussed below) receive dividend equivalents paid on the shares awarded at the same rate and at the same time as the other shareholders of the Corporation. The value of the receipt of these dividend equivalents is included in the value of the restricted stock unit awards shown in the Summary Compensation Table. We pay

#### **Table of Contents**

these dividend equivalents to remain competitive on compensation because the majority of other comparable companies in compensation surveys pay dividends or equivalents.

<u>Performance-Based Restricted Stock Unit Awards</u>. In 2009, the Committee granted restricted stock unit awards to the named executive officers under the Amended and Restated Stock-Based Award Plan. These awards were in addition to the LTIP awards described in the preceding paragraphs. The Committee makes these awards in addition to the awards described above because these awards incentivize performance relative to other public companies with which the Committee believes the Corporation competes for investors. By contrast, the awards described under the heading LTIP Awards reward the Corporation s performance without regard to the performance of other companies. These awards vest three years from the date of grant and require the executives to remain employed for the three-year period for vesting to occur. This approach offers the opportunity for the value of the awards to increase if the value of the Corporation s common stock increases, thereby further aligning the executive s interests with those of our shareholders.

The restricted stock unit awards granted in 2009 were based on the Corporation s performance as measured by its total return on shareholders investment that is equally weighted and determined by a total return to shareholders ranking that has a target level of at least the 60th percentile as compared to the Standard and Poor s index of 400 MidCap companies and to the Standard and Poor s 1500 Basic Materials Index over the three year period ending on December 31, 2008. No award would have been granted in 2009 if the Corporation had not met the threshold performance objectives. The target award was based on 40% of each executive officer s base salary. The executives would have earned 50% of their targeted award if the Corporation achieved the threshold 30th percentile as compared to each of the comparison groups, 100% of their targeted award if the Corporation had achieved the 60th percentile level, and 200% of the targeted award if the Corporation had achieved the 75th percentile level. These percentages were selected because, at the target level, it rewards executives for performance that surpasses the comparison groups of companies. The threshold and stretch goals were determined based on the Committee s subjective determination that these were appropriate. For the awards granted in 2009, the Corporation had a total return to shareholders of 30.2% over the previous three years that resulted in the achievement by the Corporation of the 89th percentile of the companies in the Standard and Poor s index of 400 MidCap companies and the 82nd percentile as compared to the Standard and Poor s 1500 Basic Materials Index. The total award in 2009 based on the Corporation s performance was 200% of the target.

Stock-Based Awards Generally. All stock purchase awards under our Incentive Stock Plan and performance-based restricted stock unit awards are granted at the Committee's regularly scheduled meetings in January following the availability of financial results for the prior year, and all LTIP awards are granted in May to enable us to consider current proxy information and compensation surveys for comparable companies. Newly hired executive officers may, subject to the discretion of the Committee, receive an award of restricted stock units as of the date of their hire. The per-share exercise price for all stock options and restricted stock units are awarded at the New York Stock Exchange closing price of the Corporation's common stock on the date of the grant. The Committee has never granted stock options with an exercise price that is less than the closing price of the Corporation's common stock on the grant date nor has it granted options that are priced on a date other than the date the Committee took action to make such grants. The Committee's schedule is determined several months in advance and the proximity of any awards to earnings announcements or other market events is coincidental.

All of the Corporation s equity-based award plans have been approved by shareholders.

## Ownership Guidelines

The Corporation does not have formal minimum ownership guidelines for the named executive officers but, as noted above, it does generally require that executive officers, other than the Chief Executive Officer,

#### **Table of Contents**

invest a minimum of 20%, and that the Chief Executive Officer invest a minimum of 35%, of each year s cash bonus award in common stock units of the Corporation that are purchased at a 20% discount to the price on the date of grant through the Incentive Stock Plan, which awards vest generally after three years of additional employment with the Corporation. In addition, a significant portion of the executive compensation program is in the form of equity awards that vest over various periods. There is no required holding period beyond the vesting period. The Committee believes that the equity components of the compensation program appropriately align the interests of executive officers with the interests of our shareholders, as more fully described above.

#### **Perquisites**

The Corporation provides named executive officers with perquisites that the Committee believes are appropriate, reasonable and consistent with its overall compensation program to better enable the Corporation to attract and retain superior employees for key positions. The Committee periodically reviews the types and levels of perquisites provided to the named executive officers. The value of each of the named executive officer s perquisites is included in the annual compensation set forth in the Summary Compensation Table.

The perquisites provided in 2009 to the named executive officers included personal use of leased automobiles and, for some executive officers, personal use of the Corporation s plane. The Corporation also provides to executive officers and other employees certain other fringe benefits such as tuition reimbursement, airline club dues, professional society dues, and food and recreational fees incidental to official company functions. The Corporation did not provide country club or dinner club memberships to its executive officers in 2009.

## Retirement and Other Benefits

In order to attract, retain and maintain market competitive levels of compensation, we provide retirement and other benefits to the named executive officers and other employees. The benefits under the defined benefit pension plan are more valuable for employees who remain with the Corporation for longer periods, thereby furthering the Corporation s objectives of retaining individuals with more expertise in relevant areas and who can participate in management development for purposes of executive succession planning. All of the Corporation s salaried employees are eligible to participate in the following retirement and other plans. The named executive officers participate in the plans on the same terms as the Corporation s other salaried employees. Additional information regarding these benefits is under the heading Pension Benefit Table below and the accompanying narrative.

<u>Pension Plan</u>. We have a defined benefit pension plan under which full-time salaried employees of the Corporation who have completed five continuous years of employment with the Corporation, including the named executive officers, earn the right to receive certain benefits upon retirement on a reduced basis at or after age 55 and on an unreduced basis at or after age 62. Retirement benefits are monthly payments for life based on a multiple of the years of service and the final average eligible pay for the five highest consecutive years in the last ten years before retirement, less an offset for social security. The amount is equal to the sum of (A) plus (B) plus (C) below:

- (A) 1.165% of the participant s final average eligible pay up to social security covered compensation, multiplied by the participant s credited years of service up to 35 years;
- (B) 1.50% of the participant s final average eligible pay in excess of social security covered compensation, multiplied by the participant s credited years of service up to 35 years;
- (C) 1.50% of the participant s final average eligible pay multiplied by the participant s credited years of service in excess of 35 years.

#### **Table of Contents**

<u>Supplemental Excess Retirement Plan</u>. We also have a nonqualified restoration plan that covers any employee in the defined benefit pension plan, including the named executive officers, who are highly compensated and whose qualified plan benefit is reduced by Internal Revenue Code benefit and pay limits in Sections 415(b) and 401(a)(17). The plan is based on the same formula as the qualified Pension Plan described above. Benefits under our nonqualified plan are paid from our general assets.

<u>Performance Sharing Plan</u>. The Performance Sharing Plan is a tax-qualified defined contribution retirement savings plan pursuant to which all salaried employees, including the named executive officers, are eligible to contribute up to the limit prescribed by the Internal Revenue Service on a before-tax basis and up to an additional 10% on an after-tax basis. We match 50% of the first 7% of pay that is contributed by employees to the Performance Sharing Plan up to Internal Revenue Service limitations. All contributions as well as any matching contributions are fully vested upon contribution.

<u>Retiree Medical</u>. Employees who commenced employment with the Corporation prior to December 1, 1999 and who retire with at least 5 years of service are currently eligible for retiree medical benefits for life. Employees who commenced employment with the Corporation between December 1, 1999 and December 31, 2001 and who retire with at least 15 years of service are currently eligible for retiree medical benefits until age 65. Employees share the cost of retiree medical based on their years of service and a predetermined cap on total payments by the Corporation. Employees who commenced employment with the Corporation on or after January 1, 2002 are not eligible for retiree medical benefits.

<u>Medical Insurance</u>. The Corporation provides an opportunity to all of its salaried employees and the employees immediate family to select health, dental and vision insurance coverage. The Corporation pays a portion of the premiums for this insurance for all employees. All employees, including the named executive officers, pay a portion of the premiums depending on the coverage they choose.

<u>Life and Disability Insurance</u>. The Corporation provides to all of its salaried employees, including the named executive officers, long-term disability and life insurance that provides up to 1.5 times base salary at no cost to the employee. All employees, including the named executive officers, can choose additional coverage and pay a portion of the premiums depending on the coverage they choose.

<u>Housing Allowance and Relocation Costs</u>. The Corporation provides relocation benefits, including a housing allowance, to certain employees upon their employment with the Corporation or in conjunction with a job relocation or promotion.

## Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control

The Corporation has entered into an Employment Protection Agreement with each of the named executive officers that provides for certain payments and benefits upon a change of control. The Corporation sequity-based award plans and retirement plans also provide for certain post-termination payments and benefits. The Committee believes these payments and benefits are important to align the interests of the executive officers with the interests of the shareholders because the agreements will reduce or eliminate the reluctance to pursue potential change of control transactions that may ultimately lead to termination of their employment but otherwise be in the best interests of our shareholders. There are no employment agreements with any employees of the Corporation, including the named executive officers. The Employment Protection Agreement is described on pages 42-43 of this Proxy Statement.

In addition, the Corporation s Amended and Restated Stock-Based Award Plan, pursuant to which equity-based awards are made to the executive officers, provides that upon the occurrence of a change of control of the Corporation as

provided in the Employment Protection Agreements, all time periods for purposes of vesting in, or realizing gain from, any outstanding award under the plan will automatically accelerate.

33

#### **Table of Contents**

#### Tax and Accounting Implications

Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 makes certain compensation to the named executives in excess of \$1 million non-deductible to the Corporation unless it is based on objectively determined performance criteria pursuant to a plan approved by shareholders. Three of the named executive officers received annual compensation exceeding \$1 million in 2009 that is not deductible pursuant to Section 162(m).

As part of its role, the Committee reviews and considers the deductibility of executive compensation under Section 162(m). Although the Committee has structured the current compensation program based on various performance criteria as described above, certain aspects of the program do not comply with the other requirements of Section 162(m). The Committee has determined that it is essential in achieving the compensation objectives discussed above to retain the flexibility to exercise subjective judgment in assessing an executive s performance. The Committee believes that the achievement of the Corporation s general compensation policies and objectives that are currently in place best serves shareholder interests.

As noted above, the Corporation has moved from using only stock options as its long-term equity compensation component to a mix of options and restricted stock units. This was in part due to a change in generally accepted accounting procedures beginning in 2006 that provided options would be accounted for on an equal footing with restricted stock units. Accordingly, restricted stock units became a more viable component of compensation.

## MANAGEMENT DEVELOPMENT AND COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

The Management Development and Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed with management the Compensation Discussion and Analysis beginning on page 24 of this proxy statement. Based on this review and discussion, the Management Development and Compensation Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in the Corporation s Annual Report on Form 10-K and this Proxy Statement.

February 23, 2010

# MANAGEMENT DEVELOPMENT AND COMPENSATION COMMITTEE

Sue W. Cole, *Chair* William E. McDonald Frank H. Menaker, Jr. Michael J. Quillen

# COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION IN COMPENSATION DECISIONS

The members of the Corporation s Management Development and Compensation Committee are Directors Cole, McDonald, Menaker and Quillen, none of whom has ever been an officer or employee of the Corporation or any of its subsidiaries. There are no executive officer-Director interlocks where an executive of the Corporation serves on the compensation committee of another corporation that has an executive officer serving on the Corporation s Board of Directors.

#### **EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION**

## **Executive Officer Compensation**

The following tables show annual and long-term compensation earned by the Chief Executive Officer, the Chief Financial Officer and the next three most highly compensated executive officers for the year ended December 31, 2009 for services in all capacities to the Corporation. Other than compensation paid by the Corporation as set forth below, no annual or long-term compensation of any kind was paid to the Chief Executive Officer or other named executive officers of the Corporation in the year ended December 31, 2009. These tables and the accompanying narratives should be read in conjunction with the *Compensation Discussion and Analysis* section of this proxy statement, which provides a detailed overview of the methods used by the Corporation to compensate its officers, including the named executive officers.

## **Summary Compensation Table**

2007

438.015

The table below summarizes the total compensation paid or earned by each of the named executive officers for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009. The Corporation has not entered into any employment agreements with any of the named executive officers.

### SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

Change in

382,845

45,643

						Pension Value and Non- Qualified				
				Stock	Non-EquityDeferred Incentive					
			Bonus	Awards	Option	Plan Compensation	All Other			
nd Principal Position a)	Year (b)	• \.,	(\$) <sup>(1)</sup> (d)	(\$) <sup>(2)</sup> (e)	Awards(\$)ff) (f)	pensatilon(\$)ings(\$00 (g) (h)	mpensation(\$) (i)	) <sup>(5)</sup> Tot: (		
P. Zelnak, Jr. <sup>(6)</sup>	2009	1,125,000	618,750	2,377,608	1,156,720	285,892	244,957	5,8		
n and CEO	2008 2007	1,100,625 1,036,782	429,244 715,000	3,671,106 4,127,242	1,819,557 2,090,141	689,310 2,934,507	375,677 325,480	8,0 11,2		
Lloyd	2009	450,000	158,688	708,388	259,750	174,542	79,119	1,8		
e Vice President,	2008	430,000	165,120	956,963	414,317	153,819	77,680	2,1		
Treasurer	2007	393,333	236,630	1,061,953	482,228	100,870	70,717	2,3		
ırd Nye <sup>(7)</sup>	2009	670,000	267,129	1,124,108	431,168	104,157	111,255	2,7		
t and COO	2008	646,667	279,360	1,465,612	634,092	109,419	91,711	3,2		
	2007	611,667	405,168	1,652,821	738,030	60,472	158,230	3,6		
Sipling <sup>(8)</sup>	2009	456,744	197,246	308,902	N/A	490,134	81,911	1,5		
e Vice President	2008	452,400	217,152	939,723	414,317	603,968	100,168	2,7		

Table of Contents 73

1.115.003

482,228

246,626

. Vaio <sup>(9)</sup>	2009	440,000	159,949	701,838	259,750	177,971	86,328
e Vice President	2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	2007	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

- (1) The amounts in column (d) reflect the cash bonuses to the named individuals earned in 2009 and paid in 2010 under the Executive Incentive Plan, which is discussed in further detail on page 27 under the heading Annual Bonus Compensation. The amounts in this column also include the amounts of bonus irrevocably deferred in common stock units at the election of each named executive officer pursuant to the Corporation s Incentive Stock Plan, which is discussed in further detail on page 29 under the heading Performance-Based Stock Purchase Awards. The number of stock units and the related grant date fair value attributable to the amounts of bonus so deferred by the named executive officers appear in column (i) and (l), respectively, of the Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table on page 37. The amounts mandatorily deferred in 2009 are included in column (e). The amounts deferred in 2009 for each of the named executive officers at his or her election, which are included in column (d), are as follows: Mr. Zelnak, \$0; Ms. Lloyd, \$0; Mr. Nye, \$16,696; Mr. Sipling, \$0; and Mr. Vaio, \$49,984.
- (2) The amounts in column (e) reflect the aggregate grant date fair value of awards made in the year reported, determined in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718 (without any assumption for early forfeiture), of awards of restricted stock units (including performance-based restricted stock unit awards granted in 2009) and awards related to the amount of cash bonus irrevocably and mandatorily deferred in common stock units by each named executive officer pursuant to the Corporation s Incentive Stock Plan, which is discussed in further detail on page 29 under the heading Performance-Based Stock Purchase Awards. The amount included in the table for performance-based restricted stock unit awards granted in 2009 is based on the probable outcome of the performance conditions as determined in accordance with the FASB ASC Topic 718, excluding the

35

#### **Table of Contents**

effect of estimated forfeitures. The amounts in column (e) do not include the 20% discount on the Incentive Stock Plan units, which is reported in column (i). Assumptions used in the calculation of these amounts are included in Note A to the Corporation s audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009, included in the Corporation s Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 26, 2010. The amounts of cash bonus deferred in 2009 at the election of each named executive officer are included in column (d). The amounts of cash bonus mandatorily deferred in 2009 for each of the named executive officers are as follows: Mr. Zelnak, \$0; Ms. Lloyd, \$39,672; Mr. Nye, \$66,782; Mr. Sipling, \$0; and Mr. Vaio, \$39,987.

- (3) The amounts in column (f) reflect the grant date fair value, determined in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718 (but excluding the effect of estimated forfeitures), of option awards made in the year reported. Assumptions used in the calculation of these amounts for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009 are included in Note A to the Corporation s audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009, included in the Corporation s Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 26, 2010.
- (4) The amounts in column (h) reflect the aggregate increase in the actuarial present value of the named executive officer s accumulated benefits during 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively, under all retirement plans established by the Corporation determined using interest rate and mortality rate assumptions consistent with those used in the Corporation s financial statements and include amounts which the named executive officer may not currently be entitled to receive because such amounts are not vested.
- (5) The amount shown in column (i) reflects for each named executive officer: matching contributions allocated by the Corporation to each of the named executive officers pursuant to the Performance Sharing Plan, which is more fully described on page 32 under the heading Retirement and Other Benefits, the value attributable to life insurance benefits provided to the named executive officers, which is more fully described on page 32 under the heading Retirement and Other Benefits; and the value attributable to personal use of leased automobiles provided by the Corporation, and, for some executive officers, the Corporation s plane. These values are included as compensation on the W-2 of named executive officers who receive such benefits. Each such named executive officer is responsible for paying income tax on such amount. None of the All Other Compensation elements included in column (i) that are not perquisites or personal benefits exceed \$10,000. None of the elements that are perquisites or personal benefits exceed the greater of \$25,000 or 10% of the total perquisites for each named executive officer. The amounts in column (i) also reflect the charges taken in each of 2009, 2008 and 2007 related to the 20% discount from the market price of the Corporation s common stock pursuant to the elective deferrals under the Incentive Stock Plan, and the dollar value of dividend equivalents on units credited under the Incentive Stock Plan and on restricted stock units as computed for financial statement reporting purposes for each fiscal year ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. No charges were recognized by the Corporation in 2009, 2008 or 2007 for the discount or dividend equivalents related to units credited under the Incentive Stock Plan for amounts earned in 2009, 2008 or 2007 and paid in 2010, 2009 or 2008, respectively.
- (6) Mr. Zelnak s position changed to executive Chairman on January 1, 2010.
- (7) The amount shown for 2007 in column (i) includes a relocation bonus of \$74,019 paid in connection with his moving allowance. Mr. Nye s position changed to President and CEO on January 1, 2010.

(8) Mr. Sipling retired on January 1, 2010.

(9)

Mr. Vaio was not a named executive officer for purposes of the Summary Compensation Table in 2007 and 2008.

## Grants of Plan-Based Awards

The table below shows each grant of an award made to a named executive officer in the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009. This includes equity awards made to the named executive officers under the Corporation s Stock-Based Award Plan and the Incentive Stock Plan.

36

## GRANTS OF PLAN-BASED AWARDS TABLE

								All Other Stock Awards: Number of	All Other  Option Awards: Number of  Securities	Exercise or	Grant D Fair Va
		Estima	ated Possik Under	ble Payouts r	Futu	stimat ıre Pay Undeı Equity	youts r y	Shares of	Underlying		of Stoc
	Grant T	Non-E hreshold	Awards	entive Plan S <sup>(1)</sup> MaximumTl	A	entive Award Tahraw	ls	Stock or um Units	Options	of Option Awards	and Opt
Name	Date	( <b>\$</b> )	(\$)	(\$)	(#)	(#)	(#)	(#)	(#)	(\$/Sh)	Awards
(a)	<b>(b)</b>	(c)	( <b>d</b> )	(e)	<b>(f)</b>	(g)	(h)	(i)	<b>(j</b> )	(k)	<b>(l)</b>
hen P. Zelnak, Jr.	1/29/09 <sup>(1,2)</sup> 5/27/09 <sup>(4)</sup> 5/27/09 <sup>(5)</sup>							9,398 20,173	40,346	79.79	768,0 1,609,0 1,156,7
e H. Lloyd	1/29/09 <sup>(1,2)</sup> 5/27/09 <sup>(3)</sup> 5/27/09 <sup>(4)</sup> 5/27/09 <sup>(5)</sup>		360,000	540,000				3,760 622 4,530		70.70	307,3 49,0 361,3
oward Nye	5/27/09 <sup>(3)</sup> 1/29/09 <sup>(1,2)</sup> 5/27/09 <sup>(3)</sup> 5/27/09 <sup>(4)</sup> 5/27/09 <sup>(5)</sup>		603,000	904,500				5,596 1,308 7,520		79.79 79.79	259,7 457,7 104,7 600,4 431,
p J. Sipling	1/29/09 <sup>(1,2)</sup>		361,920	542,880				3,780	·	17.17	308,9
e A. Vaio	1/29/09 <sup>(1,2)</sup> 5/27/09 <sup>(3)</sup> 5/27/09 <sup>(4)</sup>		352,000	528,000				3,676 1,410 4,530			300,4 112,4 361,4
	5/27/09 <sup>(5)</sup>							1,223	9,060	79.79	259,

<sup>(1)</sup> The amounts shown in column (d) reflect the target level of annual bonus that could have been earned in 2009 that was paid in 2010 pursuant to the Executive Incentive Plan. The amounts shown in column (e) reflect the maximum level of annual bonus that could have been earned in 2009. There is no threshold amount since no

amount is paid if performance falls below the performance goals. These amounts shown in columns (d) and (e) have not been reduced by the amounts that were mandatorily and voluntarily invested pursuant to the Incentive Stock Plan, which are also reported in column (i) of this table corresponding to footnote 2. The amount earned in cash and voluntarily deferred is also included in column (c) of the Summary Compensation Table. The dollar amount recognized for financial statement reporting purposes for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009, in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718, for mandatory deferrals under the Incentive Stock Plan is also included in column (e) of the Summary Compensation Table on page 35. Mr. Zelnak does not participate in the Executive Incentive Plan.

- (2) The amounts shown in column (i) reflect the restricted stock unit awards granted in 2009 pursuant to the Stock-Based Award Plan, which are based on the previous three-year performance cycle and are subject to vesting after an additional three years of continued employment. The awards are discussed under the heading Performance-Based Stock Purchase Awards on page 29 and the dollar amount is included in column (e) of the Summary Compensation Table on page 35.
- (3) The amounts shown in column (i) reflect the amount of cash bonus earned in 2009 but paid in 2010 that was deferred in units of common stock under the Incentive Stock Plan. Participants in this program for 2009 were approved on May 27, 2009. These awards are discussed under the heading Performance-Based Stock Purchase Awards on page 29. These awards are also included in columns (d) and (e) of this table and the Summary Compensation Table on page 35.
- (4) The amounts shown in column (i) reflect the number of shares of restricted stock units granted in 2009 to each of the named executive officers pursuant to the Stock-Based Award Plan. These awards are discussed under the heading LTIP Awards on page 29. These awards are also included in column (e) of the Summary Compensation Table on page 35.
- (5) The amounts shown in column (j) reflect the number of options to purchase shares of the Corporation s common stock granted in 2009 to each of the named executive officers pursuant to the Stock-Based Award Plan. These awards are discussed under the heading LTIP Awards on page 29. These awards are also included in column (f) of the Summary Compensation Table on page 35.
- (6) The amounts shown in column (l) reflect the grant date fair value of each equity award computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. These amounts are included in columns (e), (f) and (j) of the Summary Compensation Table on page 35.

Stock-based incentive awards have been a significant component of the Corporation s management compensation. In 1998, the Board of Directors adopted and the Corporation s shareholders approved the Stock-Based Award Plan. In 2008, the shareholders approved amendments to the plan increasing the number of shares of the Corporation s common stock available for restricted stock awards. They also approved amendments to the plan designed to more directly tie long-term compensation incentives to the Corporation s performance and enhance flexibility in structuring long-term incentive compensation packages by providing a mix of different types of long-term stock-based incentives.

37

#### **Table of Contents**

As amended, the plan authorizes the Management Development and Compensation Committee to award stock options, restricted stock and other stock-based incentive awards to employees of the Corporation for the purpose of attracting, motivating, retaining and rewarding talented and experienced employees. The plan also provides for the automatic grant of non-qualified stock options to non-employee Directors of the Corporation on an annual basis, or as otherwise determined by the Board of Directors. Since 2005, the Corporation s long-term compensation program consists of a mix of options and restricted stock units for senior level employees and restricted stock for other select employees. The awards granted are based on the achievement by the Corporation of specific goals related to the return on invested capital as compared to the Corporation s weighted average cost of capital. Other restricted stock unit awards are granted based on the Corporation s performance relative to peer groups.

A maximum of 5,000,000 shares of the Corporation s common stock are authorized under the plan for grants to key employees. Of that amount, 1,500,000 shares are available under the Plan for restricted stock awards. Each award under the plan is evidenced by an award agreement setting forth the number and type of stock-based incentives subject to the award and such other terms and conditions applicable to the award as determined by the Committee. No individual may receive annual grants for more than 10% of the shares available under the plan.

Restricted stock awards to the named executive officers represent the value of units that correspond to common stock awarded to participants under the plan based on the closing market price of the Corporation s common stock on the date of grant. The number of units granted to the named executive officers in 2009 include awards based on the attainment of the following goals: (1) on the Corporation s performance as measured by its specific return on shareholders investment determined by a total return to shareholders ranking that must be at least in the 30th percentile as compared to the Standard and Poor s MidCap 400 Index and to the Standard and Poor s Basic Materials Index (formerly named the Basic Materials Industrial Group) for the three year performance period ending on January 1 in the year the awards are granted; and (2) the Corporation s return on invested capital as compared to the weighted average cost of capital. Such awards vest generally if the executive is continuously employed from the date of grant through December 1 of the year that is immediately preceding three years from the date of grant or the fourth anniversary of the date of the grant, respectively, at which time shares of common stock are issued to the participant. Dividend equivalents are paid on the units at the same rate as dividends are paid to all shareholders.

Stock option awards to the named executive officers are made by the Committee, upon the recommendation of management of the Corporation, except for Mr. Zelnak, for whom the Committee formulates its own decision, and may be awarded based on past performance or as incentive for future efforts. Under the applicable award agreements, the 2009 options will vest and become exercisable in four equal increments on May 27, 2010, 2011, 2012, and 2013, and expire 8 years from the date of grant. Options awarded in 2009 expire 90 days following termination of employment, except in instances following death, disability or retirement. In the event of death, all outstanding options vest immediately and will expire one year following the date of death. For options awarded in 2009, the award agreement states that the terms of all outstanding options will be unaffected by normal retirement or disability and in the event of early retirement, options that are not vested will terminate on the second business day after such retirement and options that are vested will terminate 90 days thereafter unless the Chief Executive Officer or, in the case of the Corporation s executive officers, the Committee, determines that all outstanding options will be unaffected by such retirement. In the event of a change in control (as defined in the plan), the vesting date of all outstanding options is accelerated so as to cause all outstanding options to become exercisable. The exercise price of the shares of common stock subject to options is set by the Committee and must be at least 100% of the fair market value of the shares on the date the option is granted.

## Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End

The table below shows for each of the named executive officers the unexercised stock options, stock unit awards that have not vested, and equity incentive plan awards outstanding on December 31, 2009.

# OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT FISCAL YEAR-END TABLE

	OPTION AWARDS					STOCK AWARDS				
			<b>Equity Incentive</b>						l Equity Incentiv Plan	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or SPayout erValue or
	Number	Number	Plan Awards:			Number of	-	Market	Uneard	dearned
	of	of	Number of			Shares	1	Value of		Shares, Units
	Securities Underlying	Securities Underlying	Securities	3		or Units of Stock		hares or Units of	or	or Other
	Unexercised	Unexercised	Unexercise	dOption		That Have	St	tock That	That	Rights That
	Options	Options	Unearned	Exercise	Option	Not	H	<b>Have Not</b>	Not	Have Not
	(#)	(#)	<b>Options</b>	Price	Expiration	Vested	1	Vested <sup>(1)</sup>	Vested	Vested
Name	Exercisable	Inexercisab	le (#)	<b>(\$</b> )	Date	(#)		<b>(\$</b> )	(#)	<b>(\$</b> )
(a)	<b>(b)</b>	<b>(c)</b>	<b>(d)</b>	<b>(e)</b>	<b>(f)</b>	<b>(g)</b>		<b>(h)</b>	(i)	<b>(j</b> )
Stephen I Zelnak,	2.									
Jr.						19,327(6)		1,728,027		
						18,642(7)		1,666,781		
	19,525	0		61.05	5/24/2013	21,981 <sub>(8)</sub>		1,965,321		
	28,989	9,664	*	89.02	5/22/2014	19,676 <sub>(9)</sub>		1,759,231		
	18,642	18,642(	*	151.92	5/22/2015	6,217 <sub>(10)</sub>	\$	555,862		
	11,268	33,804(4		117.77	5/28/2016	9,167 <sub>(11)</sub>	\$	819,621		
	0	40,346(	5)	79.79	5/27/2017	3,904(12)	\$	349,057		
Anne H.						5,051(13)	\$	451,610		
Lloyd						3,407(6)	\$	304,620		
Livyu	5,500	0		36.55	8/15/2012	4,301(7)	\$	384,552		
	5,500	U		30.33	0/15/2012	7,501(/)	Ψ	304,332		

Edgar Filing: MARTIN MARIETTA MATERIALS INC - Form DEF 14A

	10,000	0	42.38	8/17/2014	5,131(8)	\$	458,763
	2,812	0	61.05	5/24/2013	$4,530_{(9)}$	\$	405,027
	5,111	$1,704_{(2)}$	89.02	5/22/2014	2,526(10)	\$	225,850
	4,301	4,301(3)	151.92	5/22/2015	3,760(11)	\$	336,182
	2,565	7,698(4)	117.77	5/28/2016	750(12)	\$	67,058
	0	9,060(5)	79.79	5/27/2017	790(13)	\$	70,634
<b>C.</b>					, ,		•
Howard							
Nye					6,582(7)	\$	588,497
•					7,854(8)	\$	702,226
					7,520(9)	\$	672,363
	6,582	6,583(3)	151.92	5/22/2015	3,819(10)	\$	341,457
	3,926	11,781(4)	117.77	5/28/2016	5,596(11)	\$	500,338
	0	15,039(5)	79.79	5/27/2017	1,798(12)	\$	160,759
		- , (0)			1,336(13)	\$	119,452
					10,000(14)	\$	894,100
Philip J.					-,(1.)	·	,
Sipling					4,381(6)	\$	391,705
	30,000	0	42.38	8/17/2014	4,301(7)	\$	384,552
	9,372	0	61.05	5/24/2013	5,131(9)	\$	458,763
	6,571	$2,191_{(2)}$	89.02	5/22/2014	2,721 <sub>(10)</sub>	\$	243,285
	4,301	4,301(3)	151.92	5/22/2015	3,687 <sub>(11)</sub>	\$	329,655
	2,565	7,698(4)	117.77	5/28/2016	626(12)	\$	55,971
Bruce A.	,	.,(.)			- (12)	·	/
Vaio					4,381(6)	\$	391,705
	5,000	0	42.38	8/17/2014	4,301(7)	\$	384,552
	4,686	0	61.05	5/24/2013	5,131(8)	\$	458,763
	6,571	2,191 <sub>(2)</sub>	89.02	5/22/2014	4,530(9)	\$	405,027
	4,301	4,301(3)	151.92	5/22/2015	2,526(10)	\$	225,850
	2,565	7,698(4)	117.77	5/28/2016	3,676(11)	\$	328,671
	0	9,060(5)	79.79	5/27/2017	1,028(12)	\$	91,913
	-	- , (0)		<del></del>	1,108(13)	\$	99,066
					-, (13)	7	,,,,,,,

39

### **Table of Contents**

- (1) Based on the closing price of our common stock as of December 31, 2009 (\$89.41).
- (2) Options exercisable ratably in installments on May 22, 2010.
- (3) Options exercisable ratably in installments on May 22, 2010 and 2011.
- (4) Options exercisable ratably in installments on May 28, 2010, 2011 and 2012.
- (5) Options exercisable ratably in installments on May 27, 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013.
- (6) Restricted stock units restrictions lapse on May 22, 2010.
- (7) Restricted stock units restrictions lapse on May 22, 2011.
- (8) Restricted stock units restrictions lapse on May 28, 2012.
- (9) Restricted stock units restrictions lapse on May 27, 2013.
- (10) Restricted stock units restrictions lapse on December 1, 2010.
- (11) Restricted stock units restrictions lapse on December 1, 2011.
- (12) Incentive Stock Plan units restrictions lapse on December 1, 2010.
- (13) Incentive Stock Plan units restrictions lapse on December 1, 2011.
- (14) Restricted stock units restrictions lapse on August 21, 2011.

### **Option Exercises and Stock Vested**

The table below shows on an aggregated basis for each of the named executive officers information on (1) the exercise of options for the purchase of the Corporation s common stock and (2) the vesting of stock, including restricted stock units and Incentive Stock Plan units, during the last completed fiscal year. There are no awards of stock appreciation rights (SARs) for the Corporation s common stock or other similar instruments.

## OPTION EXERCISES AND STOCK VESTED TABLE

	OPTION AWARDS		STOCK AWARDS		
	Number of Shares	Value Realized	Number of Shares	Value	
N	Acquired on Exercise	on Exercise	Acquired on Vesting	Realized on Vesting <sup>(1)</sup>	
Name	(#)	(\$)	(#)	(\$)	
(a)	<b>(b)</b>	(c)	<b>(d)</b>	(e)	
Stephen P. Zelnak, Jr.	0	0	93,392	7,201,800	

Anne H. Lloyd	0	0	5,803	407,175
C. Howard Nye	0	0	4,692	402,292
Philip J. Sipling	0	0	28,976	2,432,233
Bruce A. Vaio	0	0	6,918	458,681

(1) The amounts in column (e) include the value of restricted stock unit awards at the time of vesting and the appreciation of both mandatory and voluntary contributions under the Incentive Stock Plan.

## Pension Benefits

The table below shows the present value of accumulated benefits payable to each of the named executive officers, including the number of years of service credited to each such named executive officer, under our Pension Plan and Supplemental Excess Retirement Plan, determined using interest rate and mortality rate assumptions consistent with those used in the Corporation s financial statements.

#### PENSION BENEFITS TABLE

		Numbers of Years	<b>Present Value</b>	Payments
		Credited	of Accumulated	During Last Fiscal Year
Name (a)	Plan Name (b)	Service (#) (c)	Benefit (\$) <sup>(1)</sup> (d)	(\$) (e)
Stephen P. Zelnak, Jr.	Pension Plan	28.667	996,228	
Chairman and CEO	SERP	28.667	10,486,272	0
Anne H. Lloyd	Pension Plan	11.583	201,656	
Executive Vice President,	SERP	11.583	441,440	0
CFO and Treasurer				
C. Howard Nye	Pension Plan	3.417	54,280	
President and COO	SERP	3.417	234,219	0
Philip J. Sipling	Pension Plan	25.000	938,104	
Executive Vice President	SERP	25.000	2,923,247	0
Bruce A. Vaio	Pension Plan	13.583	234,330	
Executive Vice President	SERP	13.583	569,344	0

(1) Amounts in column (d) reflect the valuation method and use the assumptions that are included in Notes A and J to the Corporation s audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009, included in the Corporation s Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 26, 2010.

The Pension Plan is a defined benefit plan sponsored by the Corporation and covers all of the Corporation s executive officers, including the named executive officers, and substantially all of the salaried employees of the Corporation on a non-contributing basis. Compensation covered by the Pension Plan generally includes, but is not limited to, base salary, executive incentive compensation awards, lump sum payments in lieu of a salary increase, and overtime. The normal retirement age under the Pension Plan is 65, but unreduced early retirement benefits are available at age 62 and reduced benefits are available as early as age 55. The calculation of benefits under the Pension Plan is generally based on an annual accrual rate, average compensation for the highest consecutive five years of the ten years preceding retirement, and the participant s number of years of credited service (1.165% of average compensation up to social security covered compensation for up to 35 years and 1.50% of average compensation over social security covered compensation up to or over 35 years.) Benefits payable under the Pension Plan are subject to current Internal Revenue Code limitation, including a limitation on the amount of annual compensation for purposes of calculating eligible remuneration for a participant under a qualified retirement plan (\$245,000 in 2009). The Corporation s Supplemental Excess Retirement Plan is a restoration plan that generally provides for the payment of benefits in excess of the Internal Revenue Code limits, which benefits vest in the same manner that benefits vest under the Pension Plan. The SERP provides for a lump sum payment of the vested benefits provided by the SERP subject to the provisions of Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986. Certain of the named executive officers are eligible for early retirement, which allows for payment to employees who are age 55 with at least five years of service at a reduced benefit based on the number of years of service and the number of years prior to age 62 at which the benefits began. The present value of the pension plan and SERP benefit, respectively, for each of Messrs. Zelnak and Sipling, who are

retirement eligible, if they had retired on December 31, 2009 would be as follows: Mr. Zelnak, \$996,228 and \$10,486,272, respectively; and Mr. Sipling, \$938,104 and \$2,923,247, respectively. The other named executive officers are not yet eligible for early retirement, but would still be eligible for payments at age 55 at a reduced benefit based on the number of years of service and the number of years prior to age 65 at which the benefits began. The present value of the Pension plan and SERP benefit, respectively, for Ms. Lloyd, Mr. Nye and Mr. Vaio, who are not yet retirement eligible, if they had terminated on December 31, 2009 and began collecting benefits at age 55 would be as follows: Ms. Lloyd, \$189,019 and \$430,952, respectively; Mr. Nye, \$0 and \$0, respectively; and Mr. Vaio, \$231,226