

INVIVO CORP
Form 10-K
September 30, 2002

Table of Contents

FORM 10-K

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

Annual Report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
For the fiscal year ended JUNE 30, 2002

OR

Transition Report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number 0-15963

INVIVO CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

DELAWARE
(State or other Jurisdiction
of Incorporation or Organization)

77-0115161
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

4900 HOPYARD RD. #210 PLEASANTON, CALIFORNIA
(Address of principal executive offices)

94588
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: 925-468-7600

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:
NONE

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:
COMMON STOCK

Indicate by check mark whether the issuer (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act during the past 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Based on the closing sales price of \$13.88 on September 19, 2002, the aggregate market value of registrant's voting Common Stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant was approximately \$54,905,948.

There were 4,477,399 shares of the registrant's Common Stock, \$.01 par value, outstanding on September 19, 2002.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE:

Portions of the Registrant's definitive proxy statement to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A not later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year June 30, 2002 are incorporated by reference in Part III.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

ITEM 4(A). EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE COMPANY

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED SHAREHOLDERS MATTERS

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

ITEM 7(A). QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

PART III

ITEM 10.

ITEM 11.

ITEM 12.

ITEM 13.

PART IV

ITEM 14. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

EXHIBIT INDEX

SIGNATURES

Exhibit 10.16

Exhibit 10.21

Exhibit 10.22

Exhibit 10.23

Exhibit 10.24

Exhibit 21.01

Exhibit 23.01

Exhibit 99.1

Table of Contents

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

OVERVIEW

Invivo Corporation designs, manufactures and markets monitoring systems that measure and display vital signs of patients in medical settings. The Company's systems simultaneously monitor heart function, respiration, heart rate, blood oxygen levels, invasive and non-invasive blood pressure and exhaled carbon dioxide levels.

The Company developed the first multi-parameter vital sign patient monitoring system for use during magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). Based on the Company's reputation in the MRI patient monitoring field and its technological expertise, it enhanced its focus into the general patient monitoring market in the mid-1990s with the introduction of new portable multi-parameter vital signs products.

The Company has established relationships with most of the world's largest MRI equipment manufacturers. It presently maintains distribution agreements or other original equipment manufacturers (OEM) vendor relationships with Siemens A.G. Medical Engineering Group (Siemens Medical), Philips Medical Systems (Philips Medical), Hitachi Medical Corporation, and GE Medical Systems (GE Medical). GE Medical, Siemens Medical and Philips Medical have approved the use of the Company's monitors for incorporation into their MRI equipment. The Company is currently working with Philips Medical to develop an integrated MRI compatible patient vital signs monitoring system for use with Philips MRI scanner designed for cardiovascular disease diagnosis.

On May 10, 2002, Invivo Corporation completed its sale of substantially all of the assets and the transfer of certain liabilities of Sierra Precision, a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, to 3D Instruments, LLC. Sierra Precision is a manufacturer of gauges that monitor and control oxygen flow for safety, industrial and governmental markets. Sierra Precision represented approximately 12% of Invivo Corporation's consolidated revenues for the first nine months of fiscal year 2002.

On May 30, Invivo Corporation sold substantially all of the assets and transferred certain liabilities of Lumidor Safety Corporation; a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, to Zellweger Analytics, Inc. Lumidor is a manufacturer of portable and fixed gas detection instrumentation for worker safety. Lumidor Safety represented approximately 13% of Invivo Corporation's consolidated revenues for the first nine months of fiscal year 2002.

With the sale of Sierra Precision and Lumidor Safety, the Company's non-medical business consists of a line of industrial instrumentation products representing approximately 4% of sales. The percentage of sales contributed by the Company's medical line of products, excluding the discontinued operations, was 90%, 93% and 96% for fiscal years 2000, 2001 and 2002, respectively.

INDUSTRY

MEDICAL

MRI

MRI is a non-invasive diagnostic tool that uses magnetic fields and radio frequencies to produce images of internal organs and structures of the body. As a result, MRI scanners are used worldwide, and are located principally in hospitals and stand-alone imaging centers. The Company believes that roughly half of these MRI scanners are located in the United States.

The Company believes the MRI marketplace will continue to grow as new uses for MRI are developed. The Company estimates that over 2,200 new MRI units were sold worldwide in 2001.

MRI patient monitoring technology enables physicians to track vital signs while the patient is undergoing an MRI procedure. While not every MRI use requires a patient monitor, as uses continue to expand the Company believes patient monitoring during the MRI procedure has become increasingly important. The MRI environment presents unique challenges for patient monitoring. A monitor must not interfere with the MRI in a manner that degrades the image. In addition, the monitor signal must be protected from the MRI's magnetic field and radio frequencies in order to maintain the accurate performance of the monitor. In light of these challenges, the Company is aware of only two other companies currently manufacturing MRI patient monitors. The Company believes it is the market leader.

Table of Contents

The Company expects that growth in the MRI monitoring market will come from new MRI unit placements, outfitting existing MRI equipment not presently equipped with monitoring devices, and replacing existing MRI patient monitors.

GENERAL PATIENT MONITORING

General patient monitoring products measure, display and document vital signs information obtained from sensors attached to the patient. The principal customers of patient monitoring products include hospitals and outpatient surgery centers.

The Company estimates the worldwide market for patient monitoring products that measure multiple vital signs, including MRI and general patient monitoring, was approximately \$2.0 billion in 2001. This market consists of three segments identified by their environments. The first segment is the portable monitoring market that includes the emergency room, bedside, cath labs and neo-natal care units of hospitals. The second segment is the inpatient and outpatient operating room market. The final segment includes intensive and critical care units in hospitals.

The general patient monitoring market is mature and therefore highly competitive.

INDUSTRIAL INSTRUMENTATION

The Company's industrial instrumentation product line consists of pressure and infrared sensor instrumentation that are utilized in industrial settings. The Company does not expect the industrial instrumentation segment in which it competes to experience growth in the foreseeable future.

PRODUCTS

MRI PATIENT MONITORS

Through its patented technologies and proprietary shielding techniques, the Company is able to monitor a patient's vital signs without disrupting the MRI process.

OMNI-TRAK 3100. In the late 1980s, the Company pioneered the development of vital signs monitoring during magnetic resonance imaging with the introduction of the Omni-Trak 3100. The Omni-Trak 3100 provides continuous monitoring of all key aspects of a patient's vitality, including electrocardiograph, respiration, heart rate, blood oxygen levels, invasive and non-invasive blood pressure and expired carbon dioxide levels.

OMNI-TRAK 3150. In April 1998, the Company introduced its next-generation MRI monitor. The Omni-Trak 3150 incorporates all of the features of the Omni-Trak 3100 plus it is compact, mobile and easy to use. Through state-of-the-art radio transmission, the Omni-Trak 3150 communicates with our Millennia remote display controller, allowing critical data to be viewed by physicians and technicians in both the MRI room and the control room.

MAGNITUDE. In fiscal 2001, the Company introduced its full featured, high-end MRI monitor. The Magnitude provides all of the features of the Omni-Trak 3150 along with Digital Signal Processing (DSP) of the electrocardiogram (ECG) signal for enhanced ECG performance and removal of MRI gradient artifact. The Magnitude also offers automatic identification and measurement of five anesthetic agents.

GENERAL PATIENT MONITORING

MILLENNIA. The Millennia portable patient monitor is a compact multi-parameter vital signs monitor. Hospitals maximize the use of these monitors because they can easily be moved with a patient or between locations. The Company also developed a modified version of the Millennia for incorporation into GE Medical's CT scanners for use in cardiology.

M12. The Company's recently introduced M12 patient monitor, the next generation of the Millennia, offers a large 12-inch color display with comprehensive vital signs monitoring including the second generation automatic five anesthetic agent identification and measurement.

Table of Contents

CENTURION 2000. The Company's Centurion 2000 is a PC-based central station monitoring system that networks Millennia or M12 patient monitors, allowing a single healthcare professional to monitor up to forty patients simultaneously. The main monitoring screen provides for rapid interpretation of vital signs information by a single health care professional and complies with new FCC-WMTS (Wireless Medical Telemetry Service) 608-614 MHz frequencies.

OTHER. Other monitors include:

a non-invasive blood pressure monitor that uses digital signal processing for fast and consistent measurements

an inexpensive multi-parameter vital signs monitor designed specifically for the international market

a stand-alone unit to measure blood oxygen levels

a monitor for blood pressure and blood oxygen levels

a portable, hand-held blood oxygen level monitor offering a low-cost, transportable monitoring unit

INDUSTRIAL INSTRUMENTATION

The Company's industrial sensor and instrumentation products consist of pressure sensors and infrared non-contact temperature measuring devices.

The infrared non-contact temperature measuring products are used in a wide variety of industrial instrumentation situations. These include the fabrication of semiconductors, the manufacturing of metals and glass, and miscellaneous automotive, plant maintenance, construction and food preparation applications. The Company's quickTemp is a hand-held, pocket-sized, infrared non-contact thermometer.

The Company sells its pressure sensing devices primarily to plastic extrusion equipment manufacturers who use these devices in their production processes. Manufacturers in the food, beverage, synthetic fiber and pharmaceutical industries also use these devices to measure the pressure of processing ingredients.

SALES AND MARKETING

Unlike many other medical device companies its size, the Company sells its patient monitoring products in the United States through a direct sales force. The domestic sales force includes 32 salespersons organized into five regions in the United States. Distributors, assisted by the Company's eight international sales personnel located in Europe and in the Far East, handle sales throughout the rest of the world.

The Company sells its patient monitoring products primarily to hospitals and, to a lesser degree, to stand-alone imaging centers, outpatient surgery centers and OEM customers. The Company has OEM or worldwide distribution agreements with Siemens A.G. Medical Engineering Group, Philips Medical Systems, Hitachi Medical Corporation and GE Medical Systems for its MRI monitoring equipment. These relationships facilitate the sale of monitors with the MRI equipment manufactured by these companies. GE Medical Systems accounted for 13.4% of revenues in fiscal 2002.

The Company has also established relationships with hospital group purchasing organizations such as HealthTrust, Premier Inc., AmeriNet, Inc., Broadlane, Inc., HealthSouth and MedAssets/Insource.

The Company markets its industrial instrumentation products mostly through distributors and its own sales personnel. The Company sells the products primarily to various industrial users.

Foreign sales represented 26%, 27% and 27% of the Company's total sales in fiscal 2002, 2001 and 2000. The Company is actively trying to expand its international presence, especially in the patient monitoring business. See Note 16 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information regarding foreign sales.

The Company's backlog of unfilled purchase orders for all its products was approximately \$7.9 million as of June 30, 2002. The Company's backlog of unfilled purchase orders for all its products including those of its discontinued operations was approximately

Table of Contents

\$11.3 million as of June 30, 2001 and approximately \$10.1 million as of June 30, 2000. Within the next 12 months, the Company expects to ship all of its current backlog. Because of customer changes in delivery schedules and the possible cancellation of orders, backlog as of any particular date may not be representative of the Company's actual sales for any succeeding fiscal period. Historically, order cancellations have not been significant. The Company's businesses are not inherently seasonal, although for some of its businesses orders and shipments in the first and second fiscal quarters have been historically lower than the third and fourth quarters.

MANUFACTURING AND ASSEMBLY

Other companies manufacture components and subassemblies to the Company's specifications. The Company then assembles its products at its facilities in California and Florida. The medical device manufacturing facility in Florida is ISO 9001 certified. The Company generally obtains the materials and supplies that it uses to produce its products from a wide variety of suppliers. The Company has not experienced any significant shortages. Although certain materials that the Company uses in the manufacture of medical devices are available from only a few suppliers, the Company does not anticipate any significant difficulties in obtaining any of these materials in the foreseeable future.

COMPETITION

The medical markets in which the Company competes include MRI and general monitoring. The Company is aware of three current competitors in the worldwide MRI monitoring market. The general patient monitoring market is highly competitive and includes companies that are much larger than the Company with significantly greater financial resources. The Company estimates there are approximately 15 to 20 competitors in the general patient monitoring market.

In the medical device business, price is an important factor in hospital purchasing patterns as a result of cost containment pressures on the health care industry. To the extent that healthcare reform measures negatively affect the financial condition of hospitals and thereby reduce their capital purchases, the Company expects price to continue to be a very important competitive factor. The Company also competes on the basis of product reliability, quality, technical features, performance and service. The Company's products are priced competitively with others in the market and the Company is comparable on quality, technical features, performance and service.

The markets for the Company's non-medical products are, in general, characterized by a relatively limited number of competitors; however, these markets are highly competitive. The Company estimates there are generally five to ten competitors in each of these markets. The Company competes on price, product reliability, quality, technical features, performance and service in these markets.

GOVERNMENTAL REGULATION

The patient monitoring devices the Company manufactures and markets are subject to regulation by the FDA and, in some instances, corresponding state and foreign governmental agencies.

The Company's existing medical devices were cleared for marketing in the United States through the FDA's section 510(k) premarket notification process. The 510(k) premarket notification process is available where the new product being submitted to the FDA can be compared to a pre-existing commercially available product that performs functions the FDA considers to be substantially equivalent. If a product does not meet the eligibility requirements for the 510(k) process, then its application must be submitted, instead, under the more time consuming and costly premarket approval procedure.

The Company's manufacturing facilities and the manufacture of its products are subject to FDA regulations regarding registration of manufacturing facilities, compliance with FDA good manufacturing practices and the reporting of adverse events. The FDA's good manufacturing practices, titled "Quality System Regulation", require preproduction design controls and implementation of a full quality assurance system along with standards for manufacturing processes and facilities and record keeping for device failure and complaint investigations. The Company is subject to periodic on-site inspection for compliance with such regulations. The FDA may also conduct investigations and evaluations of the Company's products at its own initiative or in response to customer complaints or reports of malfunctions. If the FDA believes that its regulations have been violated, it has extensive enforcement authority including the power to seize, embargo or restrain entry of products from the market and to prohibit the operation of manufacturing facilities until the noted deficiencies are corrected to their satisfaction.

Table of Contents

The Company seeks, where appropriate, to comply with the certification and safety standards of organizations such as Underwriters Laboratories and the various safety and test regulations of the European Community.

The manufacture and testing of the Company's medical devices requires it to handle and store small quantities of a wide variety of chemicals, some of which are highly toxic. Certain of these chemicals pose a serious threat to workers and others who may come in contact with them if improperly used or handled. Most municipalities, including those in which the Company is presently located, now require that the proposed storage and use of dangerous chemicals receive local approval. State air quality boards, or similar agencies, must also approve the venting, and certain other aspects of handling, of these types of chemicals. These municipal and state agencies may, as a condition to the granting of approvals and permits, impose certain procedural limitations on the Company's storage and handling of these chemicals and structural requirements on the facilities where these chemicals are stored and used. They also impose record keeping and reporting requirements on the users of these chemicals.

Compliance with these requirements has not, to date, had a material effect on the Company's capital expenditures, earnings or competitive position. Nonetheless, environmental regulation at the local, state and national levels continues to evolve, and the possibility exists that more stringent limitations and requirements may become applicable to the Company.

RESEARCH AND EXPERIMENTAL

During fiscal years 2002, 2001, and 2000 the Company's research and experimental expenses were approximately \$3.0 million, \$2.6 million, and \$2.3 million, respectively. Most of these expenditures relate to the patient safety monitoring business.

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

The Company's success and competitive position depends upon its continued ability to develop new proprietary technology while protecting the Company's existing intellectual property. As of June 30, 2002, the Company held nine US patents expiring at different times between 2002 and 2018.

There is no assurance that any of the Company's current or future patent applications will result in patents, and the Company's existing or future patents may be circumvented, declared invalid or challenged as to scope or ownership. For these and other reasons, the Company may not realize any competitive advantage from the Company's existing patents and any patents that the Company may be granted in the future. Furthermore, others may develop technologies that are similar or superior to the Company's proprietary technologies or design around any patents that the Company may hold. In addition, the Company has not secured patent protection in foreign countries and the Company cannot be certain that the steps the Company takes to prevent misappropriation of its intellectual property abroad will be effective, or that the application of foreign laws to technology developed abroad will not adversely effect the validity or enforceability of the Company's U.S. patents.

EMPLOYEES

As of June 30, 2002 the Company had 213 employees. The Company is not a party to any collective bargaining agreement and has not experienced a strike or work stoppage. The Company considers its relations with its employees to be good.

Table of Contents**ITEM 2. PROPERTIES**

The following table sets forth information with respect to the real property owned or leased by the Company which it considers material to its business.

| LOCATION | GENERAL CHARACTER AND USE OF THE PROPERTY | OWNERSHIP OR DATE OF EXPIRATION OF LEASE |
|--|--|---|
| Pleasanton, California | 3,200 square-foot headquarters facility | April 2006 |
| Fremont, California 8,000 square-foot building used as the Company's manufacturing and distribution facility for its industrial instrumentation products | July 2006 | |
| Orlando, Florida 54,000 square-foot building used as the manufacturing, distribution and administrative facility for the Company's patient monitoring products | Owned | |

From time to time, the Company leases smaller facilities as its needs dictate. The Company considers its facilities to be sufficient for its current operations.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The Company's medical device subsidiary, Invivo Research, was one of two third-party defendants named in a lawsuit in June of 1994 by Southern Nevada Surgical Center and Surgex Southern Nevada, Inc. in Nevada State District Court. The underlying action in this matter stemmed from an incident involving a surgical patient undergoing a procedure at the Southern Nevada Surgical Center. The patient suffered a serious permanent brain injury. A lawsuit was filed on behalf of the patient against the surgical center and the anesthesiologist who monitored the patient. The defendants in that action made a substantial settlement to the patient. Southern Nevada Surgical Center (SNSC) and Surgex were seeking indemnity and contribution of approximately \$14 million from the manufacturer of the anesthetic gas machine and Invivo Research, which manufactured the vital signs monitor used in this procedure. SNSC and Surgex alleged that both the anesthetic gas machine and the vital signs monitor were defective. The Company believes that the vital signs monitor operated properly and was properly designed for its intended function.

On August 18, 1999, the Nevada District Court granted the Company's Motion to Dismiss for Failure to Prosecute. The Order granted dismissal of the SNSC and Surgex contribution claims, without prejudice, based upon Nevada law that provides that an action must be brought to trial within five years of the date of the filing of the original action. The dismissal is being appealed.

In April of 1997, the plaintiff's insurer, CNA, filed an action with identical causes in the same Nevada State Court. This second action was removed by the Company to U.S. District Court. The action by CNA was dismissed by the District Court on January 19, 2000 as the District Court found CNA did not have standing as the real party of interest. CNA appealed the decision to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals. A three-member panel of the Ninth Circuit reversed the dismissal and remanded the case back to Federal District Court on July 30, 2001. The Company appealed this decision and requested a decision from the full panel of the Ninth Circuit. The Ninth Circuit, without issuing an opinion, unanimously voted to deny the Petition for Rehearing in this matter. The action has been remanded to the U.S. District Court for further proceedings.

Any judgment against the Company that exceeds the amount that its insurer is required to pay could have a material adverse effect on its business and results of operations.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

None.

ITEM 4(A). EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE COMPANY

7

Table of Contents

The executive officers and directors as of June 30, 2002 are listed below, together with brief accounts of their business experience and certain other information.

| <u>NAME</u> | <u>AGE</u> | <u>POSITION</u> |
|-------------------|------------|--|
| James B. Hawkins | 45 | President, Chief Executive Officer, Secretary and Director |
| John F. Glenn | 40 | Vice President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer |
| Stuart Baumgarten | 47 | President, Invivo Research, Inc. |

James B. Hawkins has been President, Chief Executive Officer and a Director of Invivo and its predecessor since August 1985. He also has served as Secretary of Invivo since July 1986. He earned his undergraduate degree in Business Commerce from Santa Clara University and his MBA from San Francisco State University.

John F. Glenn was appointed Vice President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer of Invivo in November 1990. Mr. Glenn earned his undergraduate degree in Business Administration from the University of Nevada and his MBA from the University of Santa Clara.

Stuart Baumgarten has been President of the Invivo Research subsidiary since November 1998. From March 1996 to November 1998, Mr. Baumgarten served as Vice President of Sales and Marketing for Invivo Research.

Table of Contents**PART II****ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED SHAREHOLDERS' MATTERS****MARKET INFORMATION**

The Company's common stock is traded on the Nasdaq National Market under the symbol SAFE. The following table describes, for the quarters indicated, the high and low closing sale prices for a share of the Company's common stock as reported on the Nasdaq National Market.

| | HIGH | LOW |
|--------------------------|-------------|------------|
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2002 | | |
| First Quarter | 12.08 | 8.91 |
| Second Quarter | 13.65 | 11.00 |
| Third Quarter | 13.50 | 11.64 |
| Fourth Quarter | 15.28 | 11.00 |
| YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2001 | | |
| First Quarter | 11.88 | 8.00 |
| Second Quarter | 11.88 | 7.13 |
| Third Quarter | 10.88 | 7.18 |
| Fourth Quarter | 10.18 | 8.00 |

As of June 30, 2002 the Company had 54 shareholders of record of its common stock, although there are a larger number of beneficial holders.

DIVIDEND POLICY

The Company intends to retain future earnings to finance the expansion of its business and does not anticipate paying any cash dividends on its common stock in the foreseeable future. If the Company were to declare dividends in the future, such dividends would be paid at the discretion of its board of directors after taking into account various factors, including, among other things, the Company's financial condition, results of operations, cash flows from operations, current and anticipated cash needs and expansion plans, the income tax laws then in effect and the requirements of Delaware law. In addition, the Company's credit facility prohibits the payment of dividends without consent from the lender.

Table of Contents**ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA**

The operations data set forth below with respect to the fiscal years ended June 30, 2002, 2001 and 2000 and the balance sheet data at June 30, 2002 and 2001 are derived from, and are qualified by, reference to the Company's audited consolidated financial statements included elsewhere herein and should be read in conjunction with those financial statements and the notes thereto. The operations data set forth below with respect to the fiscal years ended June 30, 1999 and 1998 and the balance sheet data at June 30, 2000, 1999 and 1998 are derived from audited consolidated financial statements not included herein.

**(IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE DATA)
FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30,**

| | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 | 1999 | 1998 |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS | | | | | |
| DATA: | | | | | |
| Sales | \$42,088 | \$38,054 | \$36,633 | \$34,717 | \$27,969 |
| Gross profit | 22,095 | 20,069 | 19,056 | 18,545 | 14,727 |
| Operating expenses | | | | | |
| Selling, general and administrative | 15,910 | 15,510 | 13,560 | 12,722 | 11,059 |
| Research and experimental | 3,026 | 2,615 | 2,288 | 2,371 | 1,969 |
| Other income (expense) | 183 | 747 | 1,088 | (153) | (388) |
| Loss on Sale of G.C. Industries | | (601) | | | |
| Income tax expense | 1,133 | 695 | 1,314 | 974 | 361 |
| Income from discontinued operations | 3,416 | 1,658 | 1,984 | 1,492 | 1,313 |
| Net income | \$ 5,625 | \$ 3,054 | \$ 4,967 | \$ 3,818 | \$ 2,263 |
| Basic net income per common share | \$ 1.27 | \$.69 | \$ 1.15 | \$ 1.07 | \$.69 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding (basic) | 4,427 | 4,403 | 4,329 | 3,552 | 3,265 |
| Diluted net income per common share | \$ 1.23 | \$.68 | \$ 1.10 | \$ 1.00 | \$.66 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding (diluted) | 4,581 | 4,476 | 4,497 | 3,831 | 3,427 |

**(IN THOUSANDS)
JUNE 30,**

| | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 | 1999 | 1998 |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET | | | | | |
| DATA: | | | | | |
| Working capital | \$38,838 | \$31,380 | \$26,730 | \$22,949 | \$ 9,364 |
| Total assets | 60,758 | 52,011 | 49,476 | 44,641 | 30,195 |
| Long-term debt | 1,464 | 1,647 | 1,393 | 1,375 | 1,480 |
| Stockholders' equity | 49,481 | 43,709 | 40,325 | 35,167 | 18,168 |

Table of Contents

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2002 COMPARED TO YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2001

Sales

Sales of \$42,088,300 for fiscal 2002 increased 10.6% compared to sales of \$38,053,600 for fiscal 2001. Sales at the Company's medical business increased 13.7% for fiscal 2002. The sales increase was primarily due to the continued growth in sales volume of the Company's MRI vital signs monitor. The continued growth in the MRI vital signs monitor can be attributed to increased acceptance and usage of MRI procedures in hospital settings as the Company continues to maintain its leadership position in this market. Millennium sales for fiscal 2002 increased slightly as the patient monitoring market continues to experience flat to slow growth. The Company's industrial instrumentation products experienced a sales decline of \$821,100 or 32.2% for fiscal 2002.

Gross Profit

The gross profit margin remained stable at 52.5% as the gross profit margin at the medical device business remained strong at 54.0% with the continued sales growth in MRI vital signs monitors. The gross profit margin for fiscal 2002 was impacted by the write-off of slow moving and obsolete inventory of approximately \$175,000 at the Company's non-contact infrared thermometer business in the third quarter of fiscal 2002 as that business continues to experience a prolonged sales decline. Throughout fiscal 2002, gross margins of the industrial instrumentation product lines declined due primarily to the impact of the decreased sales relative to fixed cost of sale components.

Operating Expenses

Selling, general and administrative expenses for fiscal 2002 increased 2.6% or \$400,500 from the previous fiscal period. Selling, general and administrative expenses were 37.8% of sales for fiscal 2002 compared with 40.8% for fiscal 2001 as the growth in sales for fiscal 2002 more than offset the increase in selling, general and administrative expenses. The increase in these expenditures in aggregate for fiscal 2002 was primarily due to higher selling expenses on the higher sales volume at the medical device business along with higher facility leasing and depreciation expenses at the industrial instrumentation product line and corporate facilities. These increases offset a decrease in selling expenses on the lower sales volume at the industrial instrumentation business along with the affect of the Company's adoption of SFAS No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets, effective July 1, 2001 as a result of which the Company stopped amortizing its goodwill. Amortization of goodwill in fiscal 2001 was \$254,400.

Research and experimental expenses for fiscal 2002 increased 15.7% or \$411,400 from the previous fiscal period. Research and experimental expenses were 7.2% of sales for fiscal 2002 compared to 6.9% in fiscal 2001. The increase in fiscal 2002 was due to increased expenditures of the medical device business on its next generation vital signs monitors which offset a decline in research and experimental expenditures at the industrial instrumentation product lines. The Company plans to continue its efforts in developing new products and enhancing its existing ones and expects future research and experimental expenditures as a percentage of sales to be in the range of the fiscal 2002 levels

Other Income and Expense

Interest income was \$290,500 for fiscal 2002 as compared to \$435,200 for fiscal 2001. The decrease was due to the lower interest rates earned on the Company's short-term investments.

Provision for Income Taxes

The effective tax rate for fiscal 2002 was 33.9% compared to 33.2% for the prior year. The slight increase was due to the effects of state income taxes and settlement of state income tax examinations. The effective rate differs from the statutory rate due principally to the benefit of a foreign sales corporation and other credits.

Table of Contents

Discontinued Operations

On May 10, 2002, the Company completed the sale of Sierra Precision, a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, for approximately \$4.9 million. On May 30, 2002, the Company sold Lumidor Safety Corporation, a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, for \$12.0 million. In conjunction with the discontinuance of these operations, the Company recorded a gain on the disposal of the subsidiaries of \$3,250,300 (net of income tax of \$2,142,800). Revenue from discontinued operations for fiscal 2002 was \$12,175,400. Revenue from discontinued operations for fiscal 2001 was \$16,225,500. Income from discontinued operations for fiscal 2002 was \$3,416,300. Income from discontinued operations for fiscal 2001 was \$1,657,700.

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2001 COMPARED TO YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2000

Sales

Sales for fiscal 2001 were \$38,053,600, an increase of 3.9% over sales of \$36,633,400 for fiscal 2000. Sales at the Company's medical device business increased 7.7% in fiscal 2001 as compared to fiscal 2000. Continued growth in sales of the Company's MRI vital signs monitor offset a decrease in Millennia product sales as the general monitoring market experienced slowing market conditions. The Company's industrial instrumentation products experienced sales declines of \$1,117,100 in fiscal 2001.

Gross Profit

The gross profit margin increased slightly in fiscal 2001 to 52.7% from 52.0% in fiscal 2000. An increase in the gross profit margin at the medical device business helped offset the deteriorating gross margins of the non-contact infrared industrial products due to price discounting and of the other industrial instrumentation product lines due to decreased sales.

Operating Expenses

Selling, general and administrative expenses for fiscal 2001 increased 14.4% or \$1,949,700 compared to fiscal 2000. Selling, general and administrative expenses were 40.8% of sales for fiscal 2001 compared with 37.0% for fiscal 2000. The increase in these expenditures in aggregate and as a percentage of sales for fiscal 2001 was primarily due to higher administrative and selling expenses at the Company's medical device business along with the write-off of the remaining balance on a note receivable of \$203,600, net of a deferred gain of \$52,000, from the sale of a product line in fiscal 1996. The note receivable was deemed not collectable based on the recent non-performance of the buyer and the effect of the current economic downturn on the product line's market. The increase in selling, general and administrative expenses at the medical device business was in anticipation of higher sales volume for fiscal 2001 than was actually achieved. The increase in selling expenses was also due to higher sales commission expenses and higher international selling expenses as the Company established a U.K. subsidiary in the first quarter of fiscal 2001.

Research and experimental expenses were \$2,615,000 or 6.9% of sales for fiscal 2001 compared to \$2,287,700 or 6.2% for fiscal 2000. The increase in these expenses in aggregate and as a percentage of sales in fiscal 2001 was due to increased expenditures on behalf of the medical device business which offset a decline in research and experimental expenditures at the industrial instrumentation product lines.

Other Income and Expense

Other income, net for fiscal 2001 of \$861,400 included a gain of \$450,000 on the settlement of a patent infringement lawsuit brought by the Company against a competitor in the MRI monitoring market. Other income also included interest income which was \$435,200 for fiscal 2001 as compared to \$388,800 for fiscal 2000. The increase in interest income was due to higher balances on the Company's short-term investments. Interest expense decreased to \$114,700 for fiscal 2001 compared with \$137,000 for fiscal 2000.

On March 2, 2001, the Company sold G.C. Industries, a gas permeation device business, for \$664,000 in cash. The asset sale resulted in a loss of \$600,500. G.C. Industries was a part of the safety and industrial segment and represented approximately 1% of the Company's annual sales.

Provision for Income Taxes

The effective tax rate for fiscal 2001 was 33.2% as compared to 39.4% for fiscal 2000. The effective rate differs from the statutory rate due principally to non-deductible goodwill, the benefit of a foreign sales corporation and other credits.

Inflation

Table of Contents

The Company does not believe that inflation had a significant impact on its results of operations during any of the last three fiscal years.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Working capital at June 30, 2002 increased to \$38,837,900 from \$31,380,200 at June 30, 2001. Net cash provided by operating activities was \$5,825,300 for fiscal 2002 compared with \$1,710,600 provided by operating activities for fiscal 2001. This increase in net cash provided by operating activities was primarily the result of the increase in net income which includes the gain on the sale of two of the Company's safety and industrial instrumentation businesses and a decrease in accounts receivable at the medical device business as the Company improved its collection efforts and days outstanding on its receivables.

Capital expenditures were \$2,013,200 for fiscal 2002 compared to \$762,300 for the prior year period. Capital expenditures were primarily related to the expansion of the Company's medical device facility and investments in manufacturing equipment and sales demonstration equipment for the medical device business along with leasehold improvements at the Company's new facility for the industrial instrumentation product lines.

The Company believes that its cash resources and cash flow from operations are adequate to meet its ongoing cash needs for working capital and capital expenditures. The Company's revolving bank line of credit is collateralized by the Company's accounts receivable, inventory, and equipment. The line of credit was renewed at the same terms for one year on December 1, 2001. At June 30, 2002, \$1,000,000 was available under the line of credit.

The Company will continue to explore opportunities for the possible acquisitions of technologies or businesses, which may require the Company to seek additional financing.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires the Company to make estimates and judgments that affect its reported amounts of assets and liabilities, revenues and expenses and related disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities. On an ongoing basis the Company evaluates its estimates, including those related to allowance for doubtful accounts, inventory reserves, warranty obligations, intangible assets, income taxes, revenue recognition and contingencies and litigation. The estimates are based on the information that is currently available to the Company and on various other assumptions that management believes to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results could vary from those estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

The Company believes that the following critical accounting policies affect the more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of its financial statements:

Revenue Recognition

The Company recognizes revenue from product sales when there is persuasive evidence that an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred and title has transferred, the price is fixed and determinable, and collectibility is reasonably assured. The Company accrues for estimated sales returns and other allowances at the time of recognition of revenue, which is typically upon shipment, based on historical experience. If different assumptions were employed in making these estimates, the amount of reported revenue could be affected.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

The Company maintains an allowance for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from the failure of its customers to make required payments, which results in bad debt expense. On an on-going basis, the Company evaluates the collectibility of accounts receivable based on a combination of factors. In circumstances in which it is aware of a specific customer's inability to meet its financial obligation, it records a specific reserve of the bad debt against amounts due. In addition, the Company must make judgments and estimates of the collectibility of accounts receivables based on historical bad debt, customers' credit worthiness, current economic trends, recent changes in customer payment trends, and deterioration in the customers' operating results or financial position. If circumstances change adversely, additional allowances may be required.

Inventory

Inventories are stated at lower of cost or market and with cost determined by the first-in, first-out method. The Company reviews the components of inventory on a regular basis for excess, obsolete and impaired inventory based on estimated future usage and sales.

Table of Contents

The Company may be required write-down inventory it is carrying at higher value due to changes in competitive conditions, new product introductions by the Company or its competitors, or rapid changes in customer demand.

Goodwill

The Company uses assumptions in establishing the carrying value of its goodwill. The criteria used for these evaluations include management's estimate of the asset's continuing ability to generate positive income from operations and positive cash flow in future periods compared to the carrying value of the asset. If assets are considered to be impaired, the impairment recognized is the amount by which the carrying value of the assets exceeds the fair value of the assets. Factors that would influence the likelihood of a material change in goodwill include significant changes in the asset's ability to generate positive cash flow, a significant decline in the economic and competitive environment on which the asset depends and significant changes in the Company's strategic business objectives.

Warranty

The Company provides for the estimated cost of product warranties at the time the related revenue is recognized. The amount of this provision is determined by using actual historical expenses and potential risks associated with the Company's different products. Should actual product failure rates or estimated costs to repair those product failures differ from the Company's estimates, revisions to the estimated warranty provision would be required.

Income Taxes

Under SFAS No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes*, income taxes are recorded based on the current year amounts payable or refundable, as well as the consequences of events that give rise to deferred tax assets and liabilities based on differences in how those events are treated for tax purposes (see Note 10 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements). The Company bases its estimate of deferred tax assets and liabilities on current tax laws and rates. The Company's accounting for deferred tax consequences represents management's best estimate of future events that can be appropriately reflected in the accounting estimates.

RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In August 2001, the FASB issued Statement No. 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets* (SFAS 144). SFAS 144 supersedes SFAS 121, *Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and for Long-Lived Assets to Be Disposed Of*, and provides new rules on asset impairment and a single accounting model for long-lived assets to be disposed of. Although retaining many of the fundamental recognition and measurement provisions of SFAS 121, the new rules significantly change the criteria that would have to be met to classify an asset as held-for-sale. The new rules also supersede the provisions of *Accounting Principles and Board Opinion No. 30, Reporting the Results of Operations-Reporting the Effects of Disposal of a Segment of a Business, and Extraordinary, Unusual and Infrequently Occurring Events and Transactions*, with regard to reporting the effects of a disposal of a segment of a business and require expected future operating losses from discontinued operations to be displayed in discontinued operations in the period(s) in which the losses are incurred. SFAS 144 is effective in fiscal 2003, and is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In April 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 145, *Rescission of SFAS Nos. 4, 44 and 64, Amendment of SFAS 13, and Technical Corrections as of April 2000* (SFAS 145). SFAS 145 revises the criteria for classifying the extinguishments of debt as extraordinary and the accounting treatment of certain lease modifications. SFAS 145 is effective in fiscal 2003, and is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

On July 30, 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 146, *Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities* (SFAS 146). SFAS 146 establishes accounting guidelines for the recognition and measurement of a liability for the cost associated with an exit or disposal activity initially at its fair value in the period in which the liability is incurred, rather than at the date of a commitment to an exit or disposal plan. This standard is effective January 1, 2003 for all exit or disposal activities initiated after that date.

NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Annual Report on Form 10-K contains forward-looking statements regarding the Company's plans, expectations, estimates and beliefs. Actual results could differ materially from those discussed in, or implied by, these forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements are identified by words such as believe, anticipate, expect, intend, plan, will, may and other similar expressions. In addition, any statements that refer to expectations, projections or other characterizations of future events or circumstances are forward-looking statements. The Company is not obligated to update or revise these forward-looking statements to

Table of Contents

reflect new events or circumstances. Factors that could cause actual results, events or circumstances to differ from forward-looking statements made in this report include those set forth in the following Risk Factors section.

RISK FACTORS

THE COMPANY IS DEPENDENT ON A CONCENTRATED LINE OF PRODUCTS

The Company's future financial performance will be dependent on its patient monitor product line, which includes a limited number of products. In the MRI monitoring market, the growth of the market for its MRI monitors is heavily dependent on the continued acceptance of MRI technology as a diagnostic tool. In the general patient monitoring market, future growth of the Company's Millennia and M12 monitors is dependent on its ability to further penetrate an already competitive market.

In addition, the recent consolidation in the medical care provider market has resulted in a number of very large purchasers of medical devices. These large purchasers typically prefer to establish relationships with medical device manufacturers that have broad and diverse product lines.

The failure of the Company's products to continue to gain market acceptance or a continued consolidation of the medical care provider market could have a material adverse effect on its business and results of operations.

THE COMPANY FACES SUBSTANTIAL LEVELS OF COMPETITION

The Company has encountered and will continue to encounter significant competition in the sale of its products. The Company's general patient monitoring competitors include a number of large multinational corporations. Some of these competitors may be able to adapt more quickly to new or emerging technologies and changes in customer requirements, or to devote greater resources to the development, promotion and sale of their products than the Company can. In the MRI patient monitoring market, the Company has enjoyed a significant first-to-market advantage over its competitors. However, competitors have introduced products designed to compete with its MRI vital signs monitoring products. In addition, as the market for MRI vital signs monitoring products expands it may attract competitors with greater resources.

Additionally, competition may increase if new companies enter the Company's markets or if existing competitors expand their product lines or intensify efforts within existing product lines. The introduction of competitive products may result in a decrease in the Company's market share and in a decrease in the prices at which the Company is able to sell its products. The Company's market share could also be adversely affected by increasing concentration in the medical care provider market. Any decrease in the Company's market share or decrease in the prices at which the Company is able to sell its products could have a material adverse effect on its business and results of operations.

THE COMPANY'S FINANCIAL RESULTS MAY FLUCTUATE

The Company's financial results may fluctuate significantly from period to period because of a variety of factors, many of which is beyond its control. These factors include:

- increased competition, including possible future competition in the MRI monitor market
- changes in the Company's pricing policies and those of its competitors
- changes in the Company's operating expenses or capital expenditures
- timing and market acceptance of new and upgraded product introductions by the Company and its competitors
- introduction of alternative technologies by the Company and its competitors
- effect of potential acquisitions
- other general economic factors

Table of Contents

Fluctuations caused by these and other factors could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business and results of operations.

THE COMPANY IS SUBJECT TO A SIGNIFICANT RISK OF NEW LAWS RELATED TO HEALTH CARE

Changes in the law or new interpretations of existing laws may have a significant effect on the Company's costs of doing business and the amount of reimbursement the Company receives from both government and third-party payors. In addition, economic forces, regulatory influences and political initiatives are subjecting the health care industry to fundamental changes. Federal, state and local government representatives are likely to continue to review and assess alternative health care delivery systems and payment methods. The Company expects ongoing public debate on these issues. Any of these efforts or reforms could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business and results of operations.

THE COMPANY'S BUSINESS IS SUBJECT TO TECHNOLOGICAL CHANGE AND INTRODUCTION OF NEW PRODUCTS

Technological change, evolving industry standards and new product introductions and enhancements characterize the markets for the Company's products. Many of the Company's products and products under development are technologically innovative, and therefore require significant planning, design, development and testing. These activities require the Company to make significant capital commitments and investments. In addition, industry standards may change on short notice and new products and technologies may render existing products and technologies uncompetitive. Additionally, the products that the Company is currently developing, and those that the Company develops in the future, may not be technologically feasible or accepted by the marketplace or they may not be completed in an acceptable time frame. Technological change could prevent the Company from achieving the benefits it expects from research initiatives and could also result in a loss from existing products.

THE COMPANY CURRENTLY IS INVOLVED IN A LEGAL PROCEEDING

The Company's medical device subsidiary, Invivo Research, was one of two third-party defendants named in a lawsuit in June of 1994 by Southern Nevada Surgical Center and Surgex Southern Nevada, Inc. in Nevada State District Court. The underlying action in this matter stemmed from an incident involving a surgical patient undergoing a procedure at the Southern Nevada Surgical Center. The patient suffered a serious permanent brain injury. A lawsuit was filed on behalf of the patient against the surgical center and the anesthesiologist who monitored the patient. The defendants in that action made a substantial settlement to the patient. Southern Nevada Surgical Center (SNSC) and Surgex were seeking indemnity and contribution of approximately \$14 million from the manufacturer of the anesthetic gas machine and Invivo Research, which manufactured the vital signs monitor used in this procedure. SNSC and Surgex alleged that both the anesthetic gas machine and the vital signs monitor were defective. The Company believes that the vital signs monitor operated properly and was properly designed for its intended function.

On August 18, 1999, the Nevada District Court granted the Company's Motion to Dismiss for Failure to Prosecute. The Order granted dismissal of the SNSC and Surgex contribution claims, without prejudice, based upon Nevada law that provides that an action must be brought to trial within five years of the date of the filing of the original action. The dismissal is being appealed.

In April of 1997, the plaintiff's insurer, CNA, filed an action with identical causes in the same Nevada State Court. This second action was removed by the Company to U.S. District Court. The action by CNA was dismissed by the District Court on January 19, 2000 as the District Court found CNA did not have standing as the real party of interest. CNA appealed the decision to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals. A three-member panel of the Ninth Circuit reversed the dismissal and remanded the case back to Federal District Court on July 30, 2001. The Company appealed this decision and requested a decision from the full panel of the Ninth Circuit. The Ninth Circuit, without issuing an opinion, unanimously voted to deny the Petition for Rehearing in this matter. The action has been remanded to the U.S. District Court for further proceedings.

Any judgment against the Company that exceeds the amount that its insurer is required to pay could have a material adverse effect on its business and results of operations.

THE COMPANY FACES PRODUCT LIABILITY AND PRODUCT RECALL RISKS

Table of Contents

With respect to all of its products, and particularly its medical devices, the Company faces the risk of potentially large product liability claims. The malfunction or misuse of its products could potentially result in serious harm to a patient. In addition, the Company may be required to indemnify its distributors and customers for similar claims made against them.

Claims could be made against the Company even if its products did not contribute to the injury that was sustained. Frequently, the Company's products are used with products developed by other manufacturers. Even if its products are not the cause of the injury, the Company may not be able to prove that some other product malfunction or human error caused a claimant's injury.

The Company has had product liability claims made against it in the past and may have further claims made against it in the future. While the Company is insured for certain product liability claims, not all claims will be covered and the level of its insurance may not be sufficient to protect it from the full amount of a successful claim. In addition, the Company may not be able to obtain adequate amounts of insurance at an acceptable cost. Claims made against the Company that are not insured, or that exceed the amount of the Company's coverage, could have a material adverse effect on its business and results of operations.

Similarly, the Company's products are subject to the potential of being recalled by government agencies for actual or potential deficiencies or problems. Any such recall would likely be expensive and would have a material adverse effect on the Company's business and results of operations.

THE COMPANY FACES INCREASED RISKS OF INTERNATIONAL OPERATIONS

International sales have accounted for over 20% of the Company's sales for each of the past three years and may increase over time. International sales are subject to a number of risks, including the following:

fluctuations in exchange rates may affect the demand for products and services the Company provides in foreign markets

adverse changes in local economic conditions could depress the demand for the Company's products

agreements may be difficult to enforce and receivables difficult to collect through a foreign country's legal system

foreign customers may have longer payment cycles

foreign countries may impose additional withholding taxes or otherwise tax the Company's foreign income, impose tariffs, or adopt other restrictions on foreign trade

U.S. export licenses may be difficult to obtain

the protection of intellectual property in foreign countries may be more difficult than in the United States
Any of these factors could have a material adverse impact on the Company's business and results of operations.

ITEM 7(A). QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The Company's sales are primarily denominated in U.S. dollars and as a result, the Company has relatively little exposure to foreign currency exchange risk with respect to its sales. The Company does not currently hedge against exchange foreign currency rate fluctuations. The effect of an immediate 10% change in exchange rates would not have a material impact on the Company's future operating results or cash flows.

Table of Contents

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Index to Consolidated Financial Statements:

| | Page Number |
|---|------------------------|
| Independent Auditors Report | 19 |
| Consolidated Balance Sheets June 30, 2002 and 2001 | 20 |
| Consolidated Statements of Income for the Years Ended June 30, 2002, 2001, and 2000 | 21 |
| Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity and Comprehensive Income For the Years Ended June 30, 2002, 2001, and 2000 | 22 |
| Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended June 30, 2002, 2001, and 2000 | 23 |
| Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements | 24-35 |

Table of Contents

Independent Auditors Report

The Board of Directors and Stockholders

Invivo Corporation:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Invivo Corporation and subsidiaries (the Company) as of June 30, 2002 and 2001, and the related consolidated statements of income, stockholders' equity and comprehensive income, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended June 30, 2002. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Invivo Corporation and subsidiaries as of June 30, 2002 and 2001, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended June 30, 2002, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

KPMG LLP

San Francisco, California
August 2, 2002

Table of Contents**INVIVO CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**Consolidated Balance Sheets
June 30, 2002 and 2001

| | <u>2002</u> | <u>2001</u> |
|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Assets | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 1,005,700 | 270,100 |
| Restricted cash | 1,520,900 | |
| Short-term investments | 27,344,400 | 9,091,300 |
| Trade receivables, less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$330,500 as of June 30, 2002 and \$441,000 as of June 30, 2001 | 10,724,600 | 11,648,400 |
| Inventories | 6,430,400 | 6,569,800 |
| Deferred income taxes | 837,800 | 1,013,300 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 236,700 | 389,200 |
| Current assets of discontinued operations | | 8,773,800 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| Total current assets | 48,100,500 | 37,755,900 |
| Property and equipment, net | 5,476,000 | 4,700,800 |
| Intangible assets | 7,037,000 | 7,037,200 |
| Other assets | 144,200 | 190,400 |
| Non-current assets of discontinued operations | | 2,326,800 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| | \$60,757,700 | 52,011,100 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| Liabilities and Stockholders Equity | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 1,778,300 | 1,975,100 |
| Accrued expenses | 6,045,900 | 3,256,500 |
| Current portion of long-term debt and capital leases | 113,300 | 154,700 |
| Income taxes payable | 1,325,100 | 147,000 |
| Current liabilities of discontinued operations | | 842,400 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| Total current liabilities | 9,262,600 | 6,375,700 |
| Long-term debt and capital leases, excluding current portion | 1,463,900 | 1,647,100 |
| Deferred income taxes | 550,400 | 279,700 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| Total liabilities | 11,276,900 | 8,302,500 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| Commitments and contingencies | | |
| Stockholders equity: | | |
| Common stock, \$.01 par value; authorized shares totaling 20,000,000; issued and outstanding shares totaling 4,434,899 as of June 30, 2002 and 4,423,249 as of June 30, 2001 | 44,300 | 44,200 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 26,701,800 | 26,581,500 |
| Retained earnings | 22,720,400 | 17,095,900 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) | 14,300 | (13,000) |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| Total stockholders equity | 49,480,800 | 43,708,600 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| | \$60,757,700 | 52,011,100 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |

Table of Contents**INVIVO CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**Consolidated Statements of Income
Years ended June 30, 2002, 2001 and 2000

| | <u>2002</u> | <u>2001</u> | <u>2000</u> |
|---|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Sales | \$42,088,300 | 38,053,600 | 36,633,400 |
| Cost of goods sold | 19,993,600 | 17,984,200 | 17,577,000 |
| | <u>22,094,700</u> | <u>20,069,400</u> | <u>19,056,400</u> |
| Gross profit | | | |
| Operating expenses: | | | |
| Selling, general, and administrative | 15,910,200 | 15,509,700 | 13,560,000 |
| Research and experimental | 3,026,400 | 2,615,000 | 2,287,700 |
| | <u>18,936,600</u> | <u>18,124,700</u> | <u>15,847,700</u> |
| Total operating expenses | | | |
| Income from operations | 3,158,100 | 1,944,700 | 3,208,700 |
| Other income (expense): | | | |
| Interest income | 290,500 | 435,200 | 388,800 |
| Interest expense | (79,800) | (114,700) | (137,000) |
| Other, net | (27,500) | 426,300 | 836,400 |
| Loss on Sale of G.C. Industries | | (600,500) | |
| | <u>3,341,300</u> | <u>2,091,000</u> | <u>4,296,900</u> |
| Income from continuing operations before income taxes | | | |
| Income tax expense | 1,133,100 | 694,600 | 1,314,300 |
| | <u>\$ 2,208,200</u> | <u>1,396,400</u> | <u>2,982,600</u> |
| Net income from continuing operations | | | |
| Discontinued operations: | | | |
| Income from operations of discontinued subsidiaries net of income tax of \$109,400 in 2002, \$923,600 in 2001 and \$941,300 in 2000 | 166,000 | 1,657,700 | 1,984,300 |
| Gain on disposal of subsidiaries, net of income tax of \$2,142,800 in 2002 | 3,250,300 | | |
| | <u>3,416,300</u> | <u>1,657,700</u> | <u>1,984,300</u> |
| Income from discontinued operations | | | |
| Net income | <u>\$ 5,624,500</u> | <u>3,054,100</u> | <u>4,966,900</u> |
| Basic net income per share data: | | | |
| Continuing operations | \$ 0.50 | 0.31 | 0.60 |
| Discontinued operations | 0.77 | 0.38 | 0.55 |
| | <u>\$ 1.27</u> | <u>0.69</u> | <u>1.15</u> |
| Basic net income per common share | | | |
| Weighted-average common shares outstanding (basic) | 4,427,185 | 4,402,760 | 4,328,897 |
| | <u>4,427,185</u> | <u>4,402,760</u> | <u>4,328,897</u> |
| Diluted net income per share data: | | | |
| Continuing operations | 0.48 | 0.31 | 0.58 |
| Discontinued operations | 0.75 | 0.37 | 0.53 |
| | <u>0.48</u> | <u>0.31</u> | <u>0.58</u> |
| | <u>0.75</u> | <u>0.37</u> | <u>0.53</u> |

Edgar Filing: INVIVO CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Diluted net income per common share | \$ 1.23 | 0.68 | 1.10 |
| Weighted-average common shares outstanding (diluted) | 4,580,653 | 4,476,014 | 4,497,490 |

21

Table of Contents**INVIVO CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**

Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity and Comprehensive Income
Years ended June 30, 2002, 2001 and 2000

| | Common stock | | Additional paid-in capital | Retained earnings | Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) | Comprehensive income |
|---|--------------|-----------|----------------------------------|----------------------|--|-------------------------|
| | Shares | Amount | | | | |
| Balances as of June 30, 1999 | 4,280,574 | 42,800 | 26,076,600 | 9,074,900 | (27,800) | \$ 3,790,100 |
| Exercise of stock options | 82,425 | 800 | 178,900 | | | |
| Tax benefit from exercise of options | | | 1,800 | | | |
| Net income | | | | 4,966,900 | | 4,966,900 |
| Unrealized gain on short-term investments | | | | | 1,600 | 1,600 |
| Balances as of June 30, 2000 | 4,362,999 | 43,600 | 26,257,300 | 14,041,800 | (26,200) | \$ 4,968,500 |
| Exercise of stock options | 60,250 | 600 | 183,200 | | | |
| Tax benefit from exercise of options | | | 141,000 | | | |
| Net income | | | | 3,054,100 | | 3,054,100 |
| Unrealized gain on short-term investments | | | | | 26,200 | 26,200 |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment | | | | | (13,000) | (13,000) |
| Balances as of June 30, 2001 | 4,423,249 | \$ 44,200 | 26,581,500 | 17,095,900 | (13,000) | \$ 3,067,300 |
| Exercise of stock options | 11,750 | 100 | 81,300 | | | |
| Tax benefit from exercise of options | | | 39,000 | | | |
| Net income | | | | 5,624,500 | | 5,624,500 |
| Unrealized loss on short-term investments | | | | | (900) | (900) |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment | | | | | 28,200 | 28,200 |
| Balances as of June 30, 2002 | 4,434,999 | \$ 44,300 | 26,701,800 | 22,720,400 | 14,300 | \$ 5,651,800 |

Table of Contents**INVIVO CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
Years ended June 30, 2002, 2001 and 2000

| | June 30, | | |
|---|--------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | | |
| Net Income | \$ 5,624,500 | 3,054,100 | 4,966,900 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 962,300 | 1,064,300 | 942,400 |
| Gain on sale of discontinued operations | (3,250,300) | | |
| Loss on sale of G.C. Industries | | 600,500 | |
| Write-off of note receivable | | 203,600 | |
| Loss on disposal of fixed assets | 31,800 | | |
| Deferred income taxes | 446,200 | 491,400 | (135,900) |
| Tax benefit from exercise of stock options | 39,000 | 141,000 | 1,800 |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | | |
| Trade receivables | 951,100 | (194,500) | (1,793,100) |
| Inventories | 383,500 | 242,300 | (1,694,900) |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 152,500 | 7,900 | 30,100 |
| Accrued expenses | 666,300 | 515,200 | (190,900) |
| Accounts payable | (196,800) | 98,500 | (8,500) |
| Income taxes payable | (964,600) | (1,200,200) | 280,700 |
| Other current liabilities | | 12,000 | 2,500 |
| Current assets of discontinued operation | 1,499,300 | (2,559,800) | (819,100) |
| Current liabilities of discontinued operations | (519,500) | (765,700) | (183,000) |
| | <u>5,825,300</u> | <u>1,710,600</u> | <u>1,399,000</u> |
| Net cash provided by continuing operating activities | | | |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | | |
| (Purchase) sale of short-term investments, net | (18,253,100) | (2,247,500) | 1,412,000 |
| Restricted cash | (1,520,900) | | |
| Sale of discontinued operations | 16,871,300 | | |
| Capital expenditures | (2,013,200) | (762,300) | (1,125,000) |
| Sale of G.C. Industries | | 664,000 | |
| Net investing activities of discontinued operations | (76,800) | (530,200) | (759,200) |
| Other assets | 46,200 | 34,400 | (211,800) |
| | <u>(4,946,500)</u> | <u>(2,841,600)</u> | <u>(684,000)</u> |
| Net cash used in continuing investing activities | | | |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | | |
| Exercise of stock options | 81,400 | 183,800 | 179,600 |
| Bank borrowings, net | | 1,541,000 | |
| Payments under long-term debt and capital leases | (224,600) | (1,286,800) | (133,800) |
| | <u>(143,200)</u> | <u>438,000</u> | <u>45,800</u> |
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities | | | |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 735,600 | (693,000) | 760,800 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year | 270,100 | 963,100 | 202,300 |

Edgar Filing: INVIVO CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|--|---------------------|----------------|----------------|
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of year | <u>\$ 1,005,700</u> | <u>270,100</u> | <u>963,100</u> |
|--|---------------------|----------------|----------------|

Table of Contents

INVIVO CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

June 30, 2002 and 2001

(1) Significant Accounting Policies

(a) Business

Invivo Corporation and subsidiaries (the Company) are engaged in two businesses, medical devices and industrial instrumentation. The medical device business designs, manufactures, and markets monitoring systems that measure and display vital signs of patients in medical settings. The industrial instrumentation business designs, manufactures, and markets sensor-based instruments for industrial process control applications.

(b) Principles of Consolidation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its subsidiaries. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

(c) Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

(d) Restricted Cash

At June 30, 2002 cash of \$1,520,900 was restricted from withdrawal and was related to the sale of Sierra Precision and Lumidor Safety Corporation.

(e) Short-Term Investments

The Company classifies all of its short-term investments as available-for-sale securities. Such short-term investments consist primarily of municipal and corporate bonds, mutual bond funds and money market funds, with unrealized gains and losses on the securities reflected as other comprehensive income in stockholders' equity. Realized gains and losses on short-term investments are included in earnings and are derived using the specific identification method for determining the cost of securities. It is the Company's intent to maintain a liquid portfolio to take advantage of investment opportunities; therefore, all securities are considered to be available-for-sale and are classified as current assets.

The Company derives the fair value of its short-term investments based on quoted market prices.

(f) Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market on a first-in, first-out basis.

(g) Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are stated at cost, net of accumulated depreciation and amortization. Depreciation is calculated on the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets as follows:

| | |
|------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Buildings | 30 years |
| Equipment | 3 to 5 years |
| Furniture and fixtures | 3 to 5 years |
| Leasehold improvements | Shorter of life of lease or 5 years |
| Automotive | 5 years |

Table of Contents**(h) Income Taxes**

The Company utilizes the asset and liability method of accounting for income taxes. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date. The measurement of deferred tax assets is reduced, if necessary, by a valuation allowance for any tax benefits which are not expected to be realized.

(i) Intangible Assets

The Company adopted SFAS No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets effective July 1, 2001*. SFAS No. 142 requires that goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite useful lives no longer be amortized, but instead tested for impairment at least annually in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 142. Accordingly, the Company did not record any amortization during fiscal 2002 related to goodwill. SFAS No. 142 requires a two-step process for testing impairment. First, the fair value of each reporting unit is compared to its carrying value to determine whether an indication of impairment exists. If impairment is indicated, then the fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill is determined by allocating the unit's fair value to its assets and liabilities (including any unrecognized intangible assets) as if the reporting unit had been acquired in a business combination. The amount of impairment for goodwill and other intangible assets is measured as the excess of its carrying value over its fair value. The Company completed its transitional impairment testing of goodwill in July 2001, and its first annual impairment testing as of June 30, 2002 for its reporting units and concluded that no impairment of goodwill exists.

The following table reconciles the prior year's reported net income to its respective pro forma balance adjusted to exclude the amortization of goodwill, which is no longer recorded under SFAS No. 142.

| | For the Year Ended June 30, 2001 | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------|---------|
| | Amount | Earnings per Share | |
| | | Basic | Diluted |
| Net income | \$3,054,100 | .69 | .68 |
| Add back goodwill amortization | 254,400 | .06 | .06 |
| Adjusted net income | \$3,308,500 | .75 | .74 |

| | For the Year Ended June 30, 2000 | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------|---------|
| | Amount | Earnings per Share | |
| | | Basic | Diluted |
| Net income | \$4,966,900 | 1.15 | 1.10 |
| Add back goodwill amortization | 260,700 | .06 | .06 |
| Adjusted net income | \$5,227,600 | 1.21 | 1.16 |

Intangible assets include the cost in excess of amounts otherwise assigned to net assets of businesses acquired (goodwill). Accumulated amortization as of June 30, 2001 and 2000 was approximately \$1,240,000 and \$1,319,800, respectively. Amortization expense was approximately \$254,400 and \$260,700 for 2001 and 2000, respectively. There was no amortization expense recorded during the year ended June 30, 2002.

(j) Revenue Recognition

The Company recognizes revenue and all related costs upon shipment of products to its customers. The Company does not as a matter of contract provide its customers the right of return. However, under certain circumstances the Company has allowed the return of product. Based on experience and other information available to the Company, the Company believes the amount of future returns can be reasonably estimated. An allowance for sales returns is reflected as a current liability with sales revenue in the income statement reduced to reflect estimated sales returns.

Table of Contents

(k) Net Income per Share

Basic net income per share is computed using the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted net income per share is computed using the weighted-average number of common and dilutive potential common shares outstanding during the period. Dilutive potential common shares consist of employee stock options.

(l) Warranties

Product warranties providing for the repair or replacement of defective products are included in the sale price of the Company's products. The typical warranty period is one year. Warranty obligations are accrued as a current liability for the estimated amount of warranty expense expected in future accounting periods based on experience and other information available to the Company.

(m) Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

(n) Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

Long-lived assets and certain identifiable intangibles held and used by the Company are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. The Company has identified no long-lived assets or identifiable intangibles which are considered impaired.

(o) Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Carrying amounts of certain of the Company's financial instruments including accounts receivable, accounts payable and accrued expenses approximate their fair values because of their short maturities.

(p) Research and Experimental Costs

Research and experimental costs related to the design, development and testing of new monitors, applications and technologies are charged to expense as incurred.

(q) Accounting for Stock Options

The Company accounts for its stock option plan in accordance with the provisions of Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees*, and related interpretations. As such, compensation expense would be recorded only if the current market price of the underlying stock exceeded the exercise price on the date of the grant. The Company has adopted the disclosure requirements of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*, which allows entities to continue to apply the provisions of APB Opinion No. 25 and provide pro forma net income and pro forma net income per share disclosures for employee stock option grants made in 1996 and future years as if the fair-value-based method defined in SFAS No. 123 had been applied.

(r) Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications have been made in the prior years' financial statements to conform to classifications used in the current year. These reclassifications had no effect on reported earnings.

(s) New Accounting Pronouncements

In August 2001, the FASB issued Statement No. 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets* (SFAS 144). SFAS 144 supersedes SFAS 121, *Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and for Long-Lived Assets to Be Disposed Of*, and provides new rules on asset impairment and a single accounting model for long-lived assets to be disposed of. Although retaining many of the fundamental recognition and measurement provisions of SFAS 121, the new rules significantly change the criteria that would have to be met to classify an asset as held-for-sale. The new rules also supersede the provisions of Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 30, *Reporting*

Table of Contents

the Results of Operations-Reporting the Effects of Disposal of a Segment of a Business, and Extraordinary, Unusual and Infrequently Occurring Events and Transactions, with regard to reporting the effects of a disposal of a segment of a business and require expected future operating losses from discontinued operations to be displayed in discontinued operations in the period(s) in which the losses are incurred. SFAS 144 is effective in fiscal 2003, and is not expected to have a material impact on Invivo's consolidated financial statements.

In April 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 145, Recision of SFAS Nos. 4, 44 and 64, Amendment of SFAS 13, and Technical Corrections as of April 2000 (SFAS 145). SFAS 145 revises the criteria for classifying the extinguishments of debt as extraordinary and the accounting treatment of certain lease modifications. SFAS 145 is effective in fiscal 2003, and is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

On July 30, 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 146, Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities (SFAS 146). SFAS 146 establishes accounting guidelines for the recognition and measurement of a liability for the cost associated with an exit or disposal activity initially at its fair value in the period in which the liability is incurred, rather than at the date of a commitment to an exit or disposal plan. This standard is effective January 1, 2003 for all exit or disposal activities initiated after that date.

(2) Discontinued Operations**(a) Sierra Precision**

On May 10, 2002, the Company completed its sale of substantially all of the assets and the transfer of certain liabilities of Sierra Precision, a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, to 3D Instruments, LLC (3D Instruments). Sierra Precision is a manufacturer of gauges that monitor and control oxygen flow for safety, industrial and governmental markets. The final sales price was approximately \$4.9 million, of which \$170,000 is being held in escrow for a period of 120 days as collateral with respect to the satisfaction of certain conditions. In addition, the Company entered into an agreement to not compete with the business of Sierra Precision for a period of three years. The Sierra Precision subsidiary has been accounted for as a discontinued operation. Accordingly, Sierra Precision's current and non-current assets have been segregated from continuing operations in the fiscal 2001 consolidated balance sheet, and its operating results have been segregated and reported as discontinued operations in the accompanying consolidated statements of income and cash flows, and related notes. Excluded from the transaction were substantially all the liabilities of Sierra Precision. In conjunction with the planned discontinuance of operations, the Company recorded in the third quarter ended March 31, 2002 a provision for disposition of \$287,300 (net of income tax benefit of \$223,100) for the loss, including transaction costs estimated to be incurred in the Sierra Precision disposition. At June 30, 2002, the Company revised its estimated loss on disposal of the business to \$608,700 (net of income tax benefit of \$401,300). Revenue from the discontinued operations of Sierra Precision for the fiscal years 2000, 2001 and 2002 was \$8,031,000, \$7,248,800 and \$5,624,400, respectively. Income from the discontinued operations of Sierra Precision for fiscal 2000, 2001 and 2002 was \$1,033,300, \$572,000 and \$24,700, respectively.

The assets of the discontinued operations of Sierra Precision have been recorded at their estimated net realizable value as current assets of discontinued operations or non-current assets of discontinued operations in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet at June 30, 2001 and consist of the following:

| | June 30, 2001 |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------|
| Current assets: | |
| Cash | \$ 1,000 |
| Accounts receivable | 1,622,900 |
| Inventory | 2,692,400 |
| Other | 26,000 |
| | <hr/> |
| | 4,342,300 |
| | <hr/> |
| Property and equipment, net | 1,287,200 |
| Other | 18,000 |
| | <hr/> |
| Total Assets | \$ 5,647,500 |
| | <hr/> |

(b) Lumidor Safety Corporation

Edgar Filing: INVIVO CORP - Form 10-K

On May 30, 2002, the Company sold substantially all of the assets and transferred certain liabilities of Lumidor Safety Corporation (Lumidor), a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, to Zellweger Analytics, Inc. Lumidor is a manufacturer of portable and fixed gas detection instrumentation for worker safety. The final sales price was approximately \$12 million, of which \$1.35 million is being held in escrow for a period of one year to secure indemnification obligations of Lumidor. In addition, the Company entered into an agreement not to compete with the business of Lumidor for a period of five years. The Lumidor subsidiary has been accounted for as a discontinued operation. Accordingly, Lumidor s current and non-current assets and liabilities have been segregated from continuing

27

Table of Contents

operations in the fiscal 2001 consolidated balance sheet, and its operating results have been segregated and reported as discontinued operations in the accompanying consolidated statements of income and cash flows, and related notes. For fiscal 2002, the Company recorded a gain on the disposal of the business at \$4,112,000 (net of income tax of \$2,291,100). Revenue from the discontinued operations of Lumidor for the fiscal years 2000, 2001 and 2002 was \$8,085,500, \$8,976,700 and \$6,551,000, respectively. Income from the discontinued operations of Lumidor for fiscal 2000, 2001 and 2002 was \$1,328,500, \$1,085,700 and \$141,300, respectively.

The assets and liabilities of the discontinued operation have been recorded at their estimated net realizable value as current assets and liabilities of discontinued operations or non-current assets of discontinued operations in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet at June 30, 2001 and consist of the following:

| | June 30, 2001 |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------|
| Current assets: | |
| Cash | 8,900 |
| Accounts receivable | 2,385,300 |
| Inventory | 1,986,900 |
| | <u>4,381,100</u> |
| Non-current assets: | |
| Property and equipment, net | 410,000 |
| Intangible assets | 378,600 |
| Other | 15,000 |
| | <u>5,184,700</u> |
| Current liabilities | |
| Accounts payable | 292,100 |
| Accrued expenses | 142,800 |
| Other | 143,900 |
| | <u>578,800</u> |
| Total liabilities | 578,800 |

(3) Short-Term Investments

Short-term investments consist of the following:

| | Cost | Unrealized holding gains (losses) | Fair value |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|--|-------------------|
| As of June 30, 2002: | | | |
| Municipal and corporate bonds | \$ 18,076,000 | 12,600 | 18,088,600 |
| Mutual bond funds | 8,000,200 | (13,500) | 7,986,700 |
| Money market funds | 2,790,000 | | 2,790,000 |
| | <u>28,866,200</u> | <u>(900)</u> | <u>28,865,300</u> |
| As of June 30, 2001: | | | |
| Money market funds | \$ 9,091,300 | | 9,091,300 |

Table of Contents**(4) Inventories**

A summary of inventories as of June 30 follows:

| | <u>2002</u> | <u>2001</u> |
|-----------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Raw materials | \$3,173,700 | 3,133,700 |
| Work in process | 2,080,500 | 2,351,600 |
| Finished goods | 1,176,200 | 1,084,500 |
| | <u>\$6,430,400</u> | <u>6,569,800</u> |

(5) Property and Equipment

A summary of property and equipment as of June 30 follows:

| | <u>2002</u> | <u>2001</u> |
|--|---------------------|------------------|
| Land and building | \$ 2,852,700 | 2,648,500 |
| Equipment | 5,501,400 | 5,068,500 |
| Furniture and fixtures | 1,235,900 | 1,190,500 |
| Vehicles | 39,900 | 39,900 |
| Leased improvements | 415,300 | 37,000 |
| | <u>10,045,200</u> | <u>8,984,400</u> |
| Less accumulated depreciation and amortization | (4,569,200) | (4,283,600) |
| | <u>\$ 5,476,000</u> | <u>4,700,800</u> |

(6) Borrowings

A summary of debt and bank borrowings as of June 30 follows:

| | <u>2002</u> | <u>2001</u> |
|--|---------------------|------------------|
| Term loan payable in monthly installments of approximately \$9,400, including interest at LIBOR plus 2% (3.84 % as of June 30, 2002); secured by land and building | \$ 1,577,200 | 1,679,600 |
| Less current portion | (113,300) | (113,300) |
| | <u>\$ 1,463,900</u> | <u>1,566,300</u> |

The aggregate maturities of long-term debt as of June 30, 2001 are as follows:

| Year ending June 30: | |
|----------------------|------------------|
| 2003 | \$ 113,300 |
| 2004 | 113,300 |
| 2005 | 113,300 |
| 2006 | 113,300 |
| 2007 | 113,300 |
| Thereafter | <u>1,010,700</u> |

\$1,577,200

Table of Contents

During fiscal year 2002, the Company renewed its bank line of credit from December 1, 2001 to December 1, 2002. The revolving line of credit requires the Company to maintain a minimum tangible net worth, a maximum ratio of total liabilities to tangible net worth, a minimum working capital balance, and quarterly and annual profitability, and prohibits the Company from paying dividends. As of June 30, 2002, \$1,000,000 was available under the line of credit.

(7) Accrued Expenses

A summary of accrued expenses as of June 30 follows:

| | <u>2002</u> | <u>2001</u> |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Accrued compensation and benefits | \$4,467,200 | 1,677,700 |
| Other | 1,578,700 | 1,578,800 |
| | <u>\$6,045,900</u> | <u>3,256,500</u> |

(8) Lease Commitments

The Company leases certain facilities and equipment under operating leases. The facilities leases require the Company to pay certain executory costs such as taxes, insurance, and maintenance. Rent expense related to operating leases was approximately \$639,818, \$498,000 and \$566,200 for the years ended June 30, 2002, 2001 and 2000, respectively.

A summary of future minimum lease payments required under noncancelable leases with terms in excess of one year, net of sublease rental income, as of June 30, 2002 follows:

| | <u>Operating leases</u> |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|
| Fiscal year ending June 30: | |
| 2003 | 673,000 |
| 2004 | 688,200 |
| 2005 | 698,400 |
| 2006 | 543,500 |
| 2007 | 262,900 |
| Thereafter | 982,600 |
| | <u>\$3,848,600</u> |

(9) Other Income and Expense

A summary of other, net as of June 30 follows:

| | <u>2002</u> | <u>2001</u> | <u>2000</u> |
|----------------------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Gain on sale of securities | | | 834,000 |
| Settlement of lawsuit | | 450,000 | |
| Other | (27,500) | (23,700) | 2,400 |
| | <u>(27,500)</u> | <u>426,300</u> | <u>836,400</u> |

Table of Contents**(10) Income Taxes**

Total income taxes for the years ended June 30, 2002, 2001, and 2000 were allocated as follows:

| | <u>2002</u> | <u>2001</u> | <u>2000</u> |
|-----------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Income from continuing operations | 1,133,100 | 694,600 | 1,314,300 |
| Discontinued operations | 2,252,200 | 923,600 | 941,300 |
| | <u>3,385,300</u> | <u>1,618,200</u> | <u>2,255,600</u> |

A summary of the components of income tax expense (benefit) attributable to income from continuing operations for the years ended June 30 is as follows:

| | <u>Current</u> | <u>Deferred</u> | <u>Total</u> |
|---------|---------------------|------------------|------------------|
| 2002: | | | |
| Federal | \$ 570,100 | 296,100 | 866,200 |
| Foreign | 3,400 | | 3,400 |
| State | 231,600 | 31,900 | 263,500 |
| | <u>\$ 805,100</u> | <u>328,000</u> | <u>1,133,100</u> |
| 2001: | | | |
| Federal | \$ 258,100 | 371,500 | 629,600 |
| State | 50,200 | 14,800 | 65,000 |
| | <u>\$ 308,300</u> | <u>386,300</u> | <u>694,600</u> |
| 2000: | | | |
| Federal | \$ 1,331,900 | (225,000) | 1,106,900 |
| State | 138,100 | 69,300 | 207,400 |
| | <u>\$ 1,470,000</u> | <u>(155,700)</u> | <u>1,314,300</u> |

The effects of temporary differences that give rise to significant portions of the deferred tax assets and liabilities as of June 30 are as follows:

| | <u>2002</u> | <u>2001</u> |
|---|------------------|-----------------------------|
| Deferred tax assets: | | |
| Reserves and other accruals | \$ 1,131,400 | 1,013,300 |
| State taxes | 31,400 | |
| | <u>1,162,800</u> | <u>1,013,300</u> |
| Gross deferred tax assets | 1,162,800 | 1,013,300 |
| Valuation allowance | | |
| | | <u> </u> |
| Total deferred tax assets, less valuation allowance | <u>1,162,800</u> | <u>1,013,300</u> |
| Deferred tax liabilities: | | |
| Tax depreciation in excess of book depreciation | (550,400) | (276,300) |
| Deferred revenue relating to Lumidor escrow | (325,000) | |

Edgar Filing: INVIVO CORP - Form 10-K

| | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| State taxes | | (3,400) |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| Total deferred tax liabilities | (875,400) | (279,700) |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| Net deferred tax asset | \$ 287,400 | 733,600 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |

Table of Contents

Management believes that it is more likely than not that the results of future operations will generate sufficient taxable income to realize the net deferred tax asset, or that the amounts will be recovered from previously paid taxes. Therefore no valuation allowance against deferred tax assets is needed.

Income Tax expense attributable to income from continuing operations was \$1,133,100, \$694,600, and \$1,314,300, for the years ended June 30, 2002, 2001, and 2000, respectively, and differed from the amounts computed by applying the U.S. federal income tax rate of 34% to pretax income from continuing operations as a result of the following:

| | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 |
|--|---------------------|----------------|------------------|
| Federal income tax at statutory rate | \$ 1,136,000 | 710,900 | 1,460,900 |
| State income taxes | 173,900 | 42,900 | 136,900 |
| Utilization of research, experimental, and other credits | (78,700) | (136,300) | (78,000) |
| Benefit of foreign sales corporation | (141,900) | (92,800) | (174,300) |
| Nondeductible goodwill | | 328,200 | 88,100 |
| Meals and entertainment | 22,200 | 29,500 | 70,500 |
| Decrease in valuation allowance on capital loss carryforward | | (173,300) | |
| Federal tax exempt interest income | (8,900) | | |
| Other | (28,200) | (14,500) | (50,800) |
| Adjustment of prior year's taxes | 58,700 | | (139,000) |
| | <u>\$ 1,133,100</u> | <u>694,600</u> | <u>1,314,300</u> |

(11) Stock Option Plan

The Company has established stock option plans to provide for the granting of stock options to employees (including officers and directors) at prices not less than the fair market value of the Company's common stock at the date of grant. Options vest ratably over four years and expire in ten years. The Company has reserved 37,800 and 1,020,000 shares of its common stock for issuance under the 1986 and 1994 plans, respectively. During 2002, the Company granted 203,800 options to purchase shares of common stock.

Pro forma information regarding net income and net income per share is required by SFAS No. 123, and has been determined as if the Company had accounted for the plans under the fair-value method. The fair value of options issued under the plans was determined at the date of grant using a Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following assumptions: no dividend yield; volatility factor of the expected market price of the Company's stock of 74% per SFAS 123 worksheets; a forfeiture rate of 5%; a weighted-average expected life of options of five years; and a risk-free interest rate of 4.44%, 5.31% and 6.20% for 2002, 2001 and 2000, respectively. For purposes of pro forma disclosures, the estimated fair value of the options is amortized to expense over the options' vesting period. The Company's pro forma net income and net income per common share would approximate the following:

| | | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 |
|------------------------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|
| Net income | As reported | \$ 5,624,500 | 3,054,100 | 4,966,900 |
| | Pro forma | 4,686,400 | 2,098,200 | 4,140,300 |
| Basic net income per share | As reported | 1.27 | .69 | 1.15 |
| | Pro forma | 1.06 | .48 | 0.96 |
| Diluted net income per share | As reported | 1.23 | .68 | 1.10 |
| | Pro forma | 1.02 | .47 | 0.92 |

Table of Contents

A summary of stock option activity for the years ended June 30, 2002, 2001 and 2000 follows:

| | Shares Available for Grant | Options | Weighted- average exercise price | Weighted- average grant date fair value | Options exercisable at year end | Weighted- average exercise price of options exercisable at year end |
|---------------|----------------------------------|----------|---|--|---------------------------------------|--|
| June 30, 1999 | 325 | 763,825 | 8.89 | | 426,575 | 6.96 |
| Reserved | 200,000 | | | | | |
| Granted | (186,850) | 186,850 | 10.03 | 6.32 | | |
| Exercised | | (82,425) | 2.18 | | | |
| Canceled | 19,575 | (19,575) | 11.25 | | | |
| June 30, 2000 | 33,050 | 848,675 | 6.94 | | 466,575 | 8.83 |
| Reserved | | | | | | |
| Granted | (55,600) | 55,600 | 8.81 | 5.37 | | |
| Exercised | | (60,250) | 3.05 | | | |
| Canceled | 32,350 | (32,350) | 11.25 | | | |
| June 30, 2001 | 9,800 | 811,675 | 10.11 | | 574,150 | 9.99 |
| Reserved | 220,000 | | | | | |
| Granted | (203,800) | 203,800 | 11.74 | | | |
| Exercised | | (11,750) | 6.92 | | | |
| Canceled | 6,300 | (6,300) | 10.81 | | | |
| June 30, 2002 | 32,300 | 997,425 | 10.48 | 7.47 | 632,975 | 10.15 |

| Range of exercise prices | Number outstanding as of June 30, 2002 | Weighted- average remaining contractual life | Weighted average exercise price | Number exercisable as of June 30, 2002 | Weighted- average exercise price |
|-----------------------------|---|--|--|--|---|
| \$ 2.00-5.130 | 37,800 | .54 | \$ 4.60 | 37,800 | \$ 4.60 |
| 7.00-9.875 | 239,625 | 6.15 | 8.97 | 157,250 | 8.50 |
| 10.00-16.130 | 720,000 | 7.03 | 11.29 | 437,925 | 11.22 |
| | 997,425 | 6.57 | 10.48 | 632,975 | 10.15 |

(12) Salary Deferral Plan

The Company's executive officers, together with all other eligible employees, may participate in the Company's 401(k) Salary Deferral Plan (the Plan). Employees become eligible upon completion of six months of service. Each eligible employee receives a retirement benefit based upon accumulated contributions to the Plan by the employee and the Company plus any earnings on such contributions. The Company contributes an amount equal to 35% of the first 4% of compensation which the employee contributes. The Plan currently provides that participants vest 25% each year over a four-year period. Company contributions to the Plan for the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2000 were \$132,500 and \$120,400, respectively.

(13) Legal Proceedings

Edgar Filing: INVIVO CORP - Form 10-K

The Company's medical device subsidiary, Invivo Research, was one of two third-party defendants named in a lawsuit in June of 1994 by Southern Nevada Surgical Center and Surgex Southern Nevada, Inc. in Nevada State District Court. The underlying action in this matter stemmed from an incident involving a surgical patient undergoing a procedure at the Southern Nevada Surgical Center. The patient suffered a serious permanent brain injury. A lawsuit was filed on behalf of the patient against the surgical center and the anesthesiologist who monitored the patient. The defendants in that action made a substantial settlement to the patient. Southern Nevada Surgical Center (SNSC) and Surgex were seeking indemnity and contribution of

Table of Contents

approximately \$14 million from the manufacturer of the anesthetic gas machine and Invivo Research, which manufactured the vital signs monitor used in this procedure. SNSC and Surgex alleged that both the anesthetic gas machine and the vital signs monitor were defective. The Company believes that the vital signs monitor operated properly and was properly designed for its intended function.

On August 18, 1999, the Nevada District Court granted the Company's Motion to Dismiss for Failure to Prosecute. The Order granted dismissal of the SNSC and Surgex contribution claims, without prejudice, based upon Nevada law that provides that an action must be brought to trial within five years of the date of the filing of the original action. The dismissal is being appealed.

In April of 1997, the plaintiff's insurer, CNA, filed an action with identical causes in the same Nevada State Court. This second action was removed by the Company to U.S. District Court. The action by CNA was dismissed by the District Court on January 19, 2000 as the District Court found CNA did not have standing as the real party of interest. CNA appealed the decision to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals. A three-member panel of the Ninth Circuit reversed the dismissal and remanded the case back to Federal District Court on July 30, 2001. The Company appealed this decision and requested a decision from the full panel of the Ninth Circuit. The Ninth Circuit, without issuing an opinion, unanimously voted to deny the Petition for Rehearing in this matter. The action has been remanded to the U.S. District Court for further proceedings.

Any judgment against the Company that exceeds the amount that its insurer is required to pay could have a material adverse effect on its business and results of operations.

(14) Major Customers and Credit Risk

In fiscal 2002, one customer accounted for greater than 10% of the Company's revenues or trade accounts receivable. In fiscal 2001 and 2000, no individual customer accounted for greater than 10% of the Company's revenues or trade accounts receivable.

The Company has a customer base that is diverse geographically and by industry. Customer credit evaluations are performed on an ongoing basis, and collateral is generally not required for trade accounts receivable. Management does not believe the Company has any significant concentration of credit risk as of June 30, 2002.

(15) Net Income per Common Share

The following table presents the calculation for basic and diluted net income per common share:

| | For the fiscal year ended June 30, | | |
|--|------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 |
| Basic: | | | |
| Weighted-average common shares outstanding | 4,427,185 | 4,402,760 | 4,328,897 |
| Net income | \$ 5,624,500 | 3,054,100 | 4,966,900 |
| Basic net income per common share | \$ 1.27 | 0.69 | 1.15 |
| Diluted: | | | |
| Weighted-average common shares outstanding (basic) | 4,427,185 | 4,402,760 | 4,328,897 |
| Dilutive stock options | 153,468 | 73,254 | 168,593 |
| Weighted-average common shares outstanding (diluted) | 4,580,653 | 4,476,014 | 4,497,490 |
| Net income | \$ 5,624,500 | 3,054,100 | 4,966,900 |
| | \$ 1.23 | 0.68 | 1.10 |

Edgar Filing: INVIVO CORP - Form 10-K

Diluted net income per
common share

For the years ended June 30, 2002, 2001 and 2000, options to purchase 30,500, 642,350 and 349,300 shares of common stock, respectively, were outstanding but were not included in the computation of net income per common share - assuming dilution, because the options' exercise prices were greater than the average market price of the common shares.

Table of Contents**(16) Segment Information**

The Company has adopted the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 131, Disclosure About Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information. SFAS 131 establishes standards for the reporting by public business enterprises of information about operating segments, products and services, geographic areas, and major customers. The method for determining what information to report is based on the way that management organizes the operating segments within the Company for making operating decisions and assessing financial performance. As a result of the sales of Sierra Precision and Lumidor in our industrial instrumentation segment, the Company currently operates in one segment.

The Company markets its products in the United States and in foreign countries through its sales personnel and distributors. Export sales account for a portion of the Company's net revenue and are approximately summarized by geographic area as follows (in thousands):

| | Year ended June 30, | | |
|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 |
| United States | \$ 31,200 | 27,900 | 26,700 |
| Export: | | | |
| Europe | 5,600 | 5,700 | 5,400 |
| Pacific Rim | 3,300 | 3,100 | 3,900 |
| Other International | 2,000 | 1,400 | 600 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| Total net sales | \$42,100 | 38,100 | 36,600 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |

(17) Supplemental Cash Flow Information

Noncash investing and financing activities and supplemental cash flow information are summarized as follows:

| | Year ended June 30, | | |
|--------------|---------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 |
| Cash paid: | | | |
| Income taxes | 1,172,400 | 2,186,000 | 2,109,000 |
| Interest | 79,800 | 114,700 | 137,000 |

Table of Contents

SELECTED QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA (NOT COVERED BY REPORT OF INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS):

| In thousands, except per share amounts | 1ST QTR | 2ND QTR | 3RD QTR | 4TH QTR |
|--|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| FISCAL YEAR 2002 | | | | |
| Sales | \$9,573 | 10,246 | 10,907 | 11,362 |
| Gross Profit | 5,028 | 5,600 | 5,692 | 5,776 |
| Net Income | 703 | 766 | 230 | 3,926 |
| Net Income per common share (basic) | 0.16 | 0.17 | 0.05 | 0.89 |
| Net Income per common share (diluted) | 0.16 | 0.17 | 0.05 | 0.85 |
| FISCAL YEAR 2001 | | | | |
| Sales | \$8,751 | 9,172 | 9,728 | 10,402 |
| Gross Profit | 4,743 | 4,908 | 5,078 | 5,425 |
| Net Income | 845 | 881 | 485 | 844 |
| Net Income per common share (basic) | 0.19 | 0.20 | 0.11 | 0.19 |
| Net Income per common share (diluted) | 0.19 | 0.20 | 0.11 | 0.19 |

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

PART III**ITEM 10.**

The information required is incorporated by reference from the Company's definitive proxy statement for the Company's 2002 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

ITEM 11.

The information required is incorporated by reference from the Company's definitive proxy statement for the Company's 2002 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

ITEM 12.

The information required is incorporated by reference from the Company's definitive proxy statement for the Company's 2002 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

ITEM 13.

The information required is incorporated by reference from the Company's definitive proxy statement for the Company's 2002 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

Table of Contents

PART IV

ITEM 14. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

EXHIBIT INDEX

| NUMBER | DESCRIPTION OF DOCUMENT |
|--------|---|
| 3.01 | Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Registrant(1) |
| 3.02 | Restated |
| 4.01 | By-Laws of the Registrant(2) |
| 10.01 | Form of Common Stock Certificate(2) |
| 10.01 | Sensor Control Corporation 1986 Incentive Stock Option Plan and 1986 Non-statutory Stock Option Plan, as amended(3) |
| 10.02 | Indemnity Agreement(2) |
| 10.03 | SafetyTek 1994 Stock Option Plan(4) |
| 10.04 | Credit Agreement between Wells Fargo Bank and Invivo Corp. dated October 6, 1998(5) |
| 10.05 | First Amendment to Credit Agreement between Invivo Corp. and Wells Fargo Bank dated November 1, 1998(5) |
| 10.06 | Stock Option Agreement with Walden Management Corporation Pension Fund for the Benefit of George S. Sarlo(1) |
| 10.07 | Second Amendment to Credit Agreement between Invivo Corp. and |

Wells Fargo Bank
dated December 1,
1999(6)10.08 Third
Amendment to
Credit Agreement
between Invivo
Corp. and Wells
Fargo Bank dated
May 15,
2000(7)10.09 First
Amendment to
Lease between
Miramar Flexspace
Ltd. and Invivo
Corporation dated
June 12,
2000(7)10.10
Lease between
Sierra Precision and
Capellino/Galleano
dated June 7,
2000(7)10.11 First
Amendment to
Lease between
Sierra Precision and
Capellino/Galleano
dated July 12,
2000(7)10.12
Fourth Amendment
to Credit
Agreement between
Invivo Corp. and
Wells Fargo Bank
dated December 1,
2000(8)10.13 First
Amendment to
Lease between
Principal Life
insurance Company
and Invivo
Corporation dated
February 26,
2001(9)10.14
Lease between
Arcadia
Management
Services and Invivo
Corporation dated
November 29,
2000(9)10.15 Note
and Mortgage
Modification
Agreement and
Notice of Future
Advance between
Suntrust Bank and
Invivo Research
Inc. dated May 30,
2001(10)10.16
Fifth Amendment
to Credit
Agreement between

Invivo Corp. and
Wells Fargo Bank
dated March 2,
2001**10.17 Sixth
Amendment to
Credit Agreement
between Invivo
Corp. and Wells
Fargo Bank dated
December 1,
2001(11)10.18
Seventh
Amendment to
Credit Agreement
between Invivo
Corp. and Wells
Fargo Bank dated
April 16,
2002(12)10.19
Asset Purchase
Agreement dated
April 20, 2002 by
and among 3D
Instruments, LLC
and Sierra Precision
and Invivo
Corporation(11)

Table of Contents

| NUMBER | DESCRIPTION OF DOCUMENT |
|--------|---|
| 10.20 | Asset Purchase Agreement dated as of May 30, 2002, by and between Lumidor Safety Corporation and Zellweger Analytics, Inc. and Invivo Corporation(13) |
| 10.21 | Eighth Amendment to Credit Agreement between Invivo Corp. and Wells Fargo Bank dated May 29, 2002** |
| 10.22 | Amended and Restated Employment Agreement for James B. Hawkins** |
| 10.23 | Amended and Restated Employment Agreement for John F. Glenn** |
| 10.24 | Amended and Restated Employment Agreement for Stuart Baumgarten** |
| 21.01 | Subsidiaries of Registrant** |
| 23.01 | Consent of KPMG LLP** |
| 99.01 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002** |

** Filed herewith

(1) Incorporated
by reference to
corresponding
Exhibit included
with Registrant s
Registration
Statement on
Form S-2 filed
on March 9,
1999. (File
No. 333-72071)(2) Incorporated
by reference to
corresponding
Exhibit included
with Registrant s
Form 10-K filed
September 28,
1990. (File
No. 0-15963)(3) Incorporated
by reference to
corresponding
Exhibit included
with Registrant s
Form 8-K filed
January 28,
1991. (File
No. 0-15963)(4) Incorporated
by reference to
corresponding
Exhibit included
with Registrant s
Form S-8 filed
January 27,
1995. (File
No. 33-88798)(5) Incorporated
by reference to
corresponding
Exhibit included
with Registrant s
Form 10-Q filed
November 12,
1998. (File
No. 0-15963)(6) Incorporated
by reference to
corresponding
Exhibit included
with Registrant s
Form 10-Q filed
February 14,
2000. (File
No. 0-15963)(7) Incorporated
by reference to
corresponding
Exhibit included
with Registrant s
Form 10-K filed
September 26,
2000. (File
No. 0-15963)(8) Incorporated
by reference to
corresponding
Exhibit included

with Registrant s
Form 10-Q filed
February 14,
2001. (File
No. 0-15963)(9) Incorporated
by reference to
corresponding
Exhibit included
with Registrant s
Form 10-Q filed
April 15, 2001.
(File
No. 0-15963)(10) Incorporated
by reference to
corresponding
Exhibit included
with Registrant s
Form 10-K filed
September 28,
2001 (File
No. 0-15963)(11) Incorporated
by reference to
corresponding
Exhibit included
with Registrant s
Form 10-Q filed
February 14,
2002. (File
No. 0-15963)(12) Incorporated
by reference to
corresponding
Exhibit included
with Registrant s
Form 10-Q filed
May 15, 2002.
(File
No. 0-15963)(13) Incorporated
by reference to
corresponding
Exhibit included
with Registrant s
Form 8-K filed
June 14, 2002.
(File
No. 0-15963

Table of Contents**(B) FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES**

Invivo Corporation and Subsidiaries

Schedule II

Valuation and Qualifying Accounts
Years ended June 30, 2002, 2001 and 2000

| | Balance at Beginning of Year | Additions Charged to Costs and Expenses | Deductions(1) | Balance at end of Year |
|---|---|--|----------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Allowance for doubtful accounts(1) | | | | |
| Fiscal 2002 | 441,000 | 112,700 | 223,200 | 330,500 |
| Fiscal 2001 | 471,400 | 205,900 | 236,300 | 441,000 |
| Fiscal 2000 | 208,100 | 491,300 | 228,000 | 471,400 |
| Warranty Reserve | | | | |
| Fiscal 2002 | 330,400 | 489,500 | 399,500 | 420,400 |
| Fiscal 2001 | 310,400 | 448,100 | 428,100 | 330,400 |
| Fiscal 2000 | 218,000 | 330,800 | 238,400 | 310,400 |

(1) Deductions as a result of write-offs

(C) REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

- (1) Current Report on Form 8-K dated April 23, 2002 reporting the Company had entered into a definitive agreement with 3D Instruments, LLC to sell the assets of Sierra Precision. A copy of the press release was filed as Exhibit 99.1 to the report.
- (2) Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 30, 2002 reporting the Company had sold the assets and transferred certain liabilities of Lumidor Safety Corporation to Zellweger Analytics, Inc. A copy of the press release was filed as Exhibit 99.1 to the report.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Invivo Corporation

/s/ John F. Glenn

John F. Glenn
Vice President-Finance\
Chief Financial Officer

September 27, 2002

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

| | | |
|---|---|---------------------------|
| <p>/s/ Ernest C. Goggio</p> <hr/> <p>Ernest C. Goggio</p> | <p>Chairman of the Board</p> | <p>September 27, 2002</p> |
| <p>/s/ James B. Hawkins</p> <hr/> <p>James B. Hawkins</p> | <p>President, Chief Executive Officer, Director (principal executive officer)</p> | <p>September 27, 2002</p> |
| <p>/s/ John F. Glenn</p> <hr/> <p>John F. Glenn</p> | <p>Chief Financial Officer (principal financial and accounting officer)</p> | <p>September 27, 2002</p> |
| <p>/s/ Laureen DeBuono</p> <hr/> <p>Laureen DeBuono</p> | <p>Director</p> | <p>September 27, 2002</p> |
| <p>/s/ George S. Sarlo</p> <hr/> <p>George S. Sarlo</p> | <p>Director</p> | <p>September 27, 2002</p> |

Table of Contents

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER CERTIFICATION

I, James B. Hawkins, President and Chief Executive Officer of Invivo Corporation certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K of Invivo Corporation (the Registrant);
2. Based on my knowledge, this Annual Report does not contain any untrue statement of material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statement made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this Annual Report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial Information included in this Annual Report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the Registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this Annual Report.

Table of Contents

CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER CERTIFICATION

I, John F. Glenn, Vice President of Finance and Chief Financial Officer of Invivo Corporation certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K of Invivo Corporation (the Registrant);
2. Based on my knowledge, this Annual Report does not contain any untrue statement of material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statement made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this Annual Report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial Information included in this Annual Report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the Registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this Annual Report.

/S/ John F. Glenn

John F. Glenn
Dated: 9/27/02